

# Particle Mass Ratios Nearly Equaling Geometric Ratios – Additional Examples

Carl Littmann

25 Washington Lane #313, Wyncote PA 19095 USA [clittmann@verizon.net](mailto:clittmann@verizon.net)

June 2021

## Abstract

There are many important Particle Mass ratios in Physics, such as the 'Proton to electron' mass ratio, about 1836.15 to 1. And there are many major Volumetric ratios in 'Solid Geometry', some of which we may have seen in high school. And, remarkably, some of the major particle Mass ratios nearly equal some of those major geometric Volumetric ratios! This article gives many additional examples of these matches, which couldn't be included in my earlier article, but latter should be read first, preferably, at:

Ref. <http://viXra.org/abs/1901.0299>

(But even both articles don't give all the important examples -- to prevent unwieldy length.)

## Introduction

As implied by the above Abstract, the goal of this article is to find out why the different Particles in physics have the different masses they do! And that was the goal of many Nobel Laureates. But that goal eluded them. But this article largely succeeds. In many cases, we have importantly discovered that a major particle mass ratio, existing in Nature, nearly equals a basic volume ratio in a symmetrical sphere pattern. In many cases, we have also found that the Average of two different major volumetric ratios nearly equals a major particle mass ratio. Or simply averaging the masses of two different particles together -- nearly equals the mass of a third particle! Quite remarkable, and unlikely to be just 'chance'.

So, 'Nature' sometimes accomplishes the same thing, or almost the same, in two different ways. For example, two different atoms in 'Chemistry' can strongly 'bond' together, either with a 'covalent' bond **or** an 'ionic' bond. And in this article, we find two different ways that Nature often uses to create a mass for a major 'particle' to have, relative to the electron. I.e., by creating a particle with mass ratio that will nearly equal a major geometric ratio **or** with such mass as will nearly equal the Average of two such geometric ratios.

And, importantly, the more different patterns that are found which give nearly the same geometric ratio -- the greater the chance of there being a particle in Nature with a mass ratio (relative to the electron) almost matching that geometric ratio. And the more prominent and longer half-life, such particle will generally have. (Note, the more modern term, 'Baryon', may be used in place of my older term, 'Hyperon', in my article.)

Related helpful information can also be found by 'clicking' or otherwise using my (website) links below:

<http://www.causeeffect.org/articles/book.html>

<http://www.causeeffect.org/video/RatioTalk11-11-16.mp4> (for this link, allow 30 sec. to load)



<http://viXra.org/abs/2203.0146>

Now, readers should **SCROLL DOWN BELOW**, and view the many drawings, examples, and comments - - thus completing the goals of this Article:


Example 1: The main mass ratio estimate, obtained from the dwgs, below, is 1836.36/1, and that est. is a pinch high. But perhaps it interacts with the main ratio estimate in later 'example 2', using other sketches, 1836.00/1 -- so the combined result is even closer to the actual Proton to electron mass ratio, 1836.15/1.

AN ICOSIDODECAHEDRON-DIRECTED ARRAY OF 30 SMALL SPHERES (SOME HIDDEN) SURROUNDING AN 'INNER SPHERE' ('DASHED-IN'), AND THOSE 30 SURROUNDED BY LARGER OUTER SPHERE

ICOSIDODECAHEDRON, WITH 30 VERTICES.  
1 OF 13 ARCHIMEDEAN 'GLOBAL' SOLIDS,  
1 OF 2 QUASI-REGULAR POLYHEDREN



SECTIONAL VIEW OF UPPER RIGHT SPHERE SLICED THRU ITS 'EQUATOR'



SPHERES: VOL. (MASS) = 6.79882 UNITS

PROTON

PROTON

PROTON MASS EST. = 1836.36 ELECTRON UNITS

OR VOL. UNITS

Note:

Author thinks above's influence on Proton's mass result is less than his other 'constructs'.


### Another Way to Est. Proton's Mass, close to 1836.15 electrons

The sketches above give an estimate for Proton's mass of 1836.36 electrons, near proton's actual mass, 1836.15. In the super-expanded 'Sectioned View', lower left, 1 core electron sphere (Vol. = 1 Unit) is shown surrounded by 10 small 'sliced' spheres (among 30 small spheres in an 'icosidodecahedron' pattern). These 30 are surrounded by an Outer sphere (Vol. = 6.79882 units). At lower right, with that same 6.79882 unit Vol. sphere, we generate 3 big spheres around it, in a simple triangular pattern. And each resulting sphere volume = 1836.36 unit electrons, our Proton Mass Est.

(Interestingly, the main sphere pattern above was also found in an old Japanese shrine.[1])

Example 2, See discourse near bottom of below Dwg.


Note a small electron sphere = 1 unit of mass (or vol.) at centers of upper two sketches.



**An earlier good way to estimate the mass of Proton, and also to estimate the ave. mass of the Pion & Kaon Particles.**

The above Dwg. (also shown in an earlier article) shows one of three ways to construct an estimate of the Proton's mass, 1836.00 electrons, close to its 1836.15 empirical mass. Note, Dwg. also shows how to construct a great estimate for the ave. PION particle mass, 270.10 electrons, and the ave. KAON particle mass, 970.00 electrons. Those particle mass estimates were also needed to construct sphere volumes, which we averaged together, to estimate the Proton's mass, relative to the electron's.

Example 2A, See discourse near bottom of below Dwg. Note, we start with the 2 proton masses (or their volumes) shown near the top of Dwg., and make estimates for ( $\tau$ ) and ( $D_s^+$ ). [2]



### Drawing: Ways to Estimate Masses of Tauon ( $\tau$ ) and Strange D Meson ( $D_s^+$ )

Our dwg's Estimate for the Mass of the Tauon ( $\tau$ ) is 3475.42 electrons (vs. empirical value 3477.19), and for the Strange D Meson ( $D_s^+$ ) our dwg's Est. is 3852.33 electrons (vs. empirical value 3852.19).

Averaging those empirical masses of ( $\tau$ ) and ( $D_s^+$ ) together, in previous sentence, gives 3664.69 electrons, a mass est. somewhat near the empirical Charged D Meson ( $D^\pm$ ) mass, 3658.71 electrons. But too high, yet still likely affecting the mass outcome of ( $D^\pm$ ) and increasing its half-life. ((A closer method to est. ( $D^\pm$ ) mass is shown at author's website, but that est. is a little low.))

To make dwg. estimates, we started with the Proton and built, inwardly, small cores (instead of the usual 'starting with a one electron core and building outwardly'). We used our 1<sup>st</sup> proton around 3 spheres around a core to make the 1<sup>st</sup> core; and we used a 2<sup>nd</sup> proton around 4 spheres around another core to make 2<sup>nd</sup> core. We averaged cores together to make an 'Ave. core' vol. And around that Ave. core, we built the two different sphere patterns, as shown in near bottom of sketch, to make our mass estimates for ( $\tau$ ) and ( $D_s^+$ ).

Example 3, The below Dwg. (near bottom) shows a third way to construct a great estimate of the mass of the ave. Pion particle, 270.10 electrons. (Earlier article showed only 2 ways.) Above that, is shown one (of a few) ways to estimate the mass of an Omega 'Hyperon', each resulting in a 3273.75 electron mass est.

**Dwg. Est. for Mass of Omega Hyperon (symbol  $\Omega^-$ ) = 3273.75 electron masses vs. empirical value, 3272.90 electrons.** Dwg. shows 1 large sphere surrounding & touching 4 close packed spheres, and those 4 around & touching 1 centered Core sphere of mass, 3.375 electrons. Also see below. That 3.375 electron Core also used in some dwgs. of Pions, along with electrons each of 1 unit mass (or vol.), to give the Pion particle more rigidity than achievable in alternate dwgs. of Pion.

Opt'l. Note: 4 medium size spheres touch largest sphere, but Not at its equator, since 2 of the 4 are mostly to the front of largest sphere's equator, and the other 2 mostly behind its equator.

Opt'l. Note: Alternatively, a set of 3 largest (Omega Hyperon) spheres can be drawn easier on a flat paper sheet by drawing each tangent to 2 of a set of 3 smaller (3.375 electron sized) spheres. Each 3.375 electrons' sphere built from a 'ring' of 6 electrons, also making a substructure in the Pion.

Mass = 1 electron  
Mass = 3.375 electrons

Section View, lower half of substructure

Two equal, mutually touching spheres, slightly larger than electrons, have replaced core electron and added rigidity to substructures.


Mass=3.375 electrons  
Mass=1 electron

**Dwg. Est. for Mass of Omega 'Hyperon', ( $\Omega^-$ ): 3273.75 electrons**

Above Dwg. gives, for the Mass of the major 'Omega Hyperon' (aka Omega Baryon): 3273.75 electron masses, vs. an empirical value: 3272.90 electrons. The above sketches use a 3.375 electron mass sphere in est., which is also generated in some Pion dwg. constructions, which also provide greater rigidity. ((Opt'l, had just 1 or a set of 3 electron-sized spheres been used, (instead of spheres sized 3.375 electrons each), then an ave. Kaon particle would have resulted, not the larger mass Omega Baryon.))

Example 4,

The Xi Double Charm Baryon, ( $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ ), a rather new Particle discovered using the ‘super-collider’; and below – a great estimate of its mass, by averaging together two good estimates, each of which is simply an average of a pair of already known particles masses.




NOTES: (Me) DENOTES THE MASS OF 1 ELECTRON.  
 (Me)=0.511 MILLION ELEC. VOLTS (MeV) OF ENERGY.

The Xi Double Charm Baryon with Dwg's Est. Mass of 7,086.15 electrons

The newly discovered particle, the ‘Xi Double Charm Baryon’, ( $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ ), with the mass of **7,086.1** electrons, is virtually matched, as shown by the drawing above, by using above ‘averaging method’ – i.e., to propose a good, and thus probable, mass value for a new particle to have.

The above Drawing shows how the ‘Averaging of two already known Particle masses’ – tends to predict a good mass candidate for ‘Nature’ to match – by Nature's creating a new particle with a mass nearly equal to that ‘average’. Especially if averaging each of **2 pairs** of already known particles gives nearly the same mass (for a candidate), not just **1** pair ‘making the nomination’.

Example 5, the Sigma Hyperon,  $\Sigma^-$ , see below, especially the main sketch at left.



The Heaviest, Longest-life Sigma Hyperon,  $\Sigma^-$ , (see left sketch, our best estimate)

That main sketch at left, is the best of two estimates shown, for the Sigma Hyperon's mass, **Est.= 2343.77 electrons** vs. an empirical 2343.35 result.

Note the 1 big sphere around and touching a tetrahedral array of 4 spheres. And, as better shown in the close-up view above that main sketch -- inside of each of the 4 spheres there is a 'cubic' array of 8 smaller spheres close-packed around an octahedral array of 6 electrons.

An alternate, (but less accurate) sketch estimate is shown at right, where two sphere constructions are shown averaged together. We may find that of additional interest - later.

Some other Miscellaneous Particle Mass Ratio Estimates,  
And descriptions of the Volume Ratios found in Sphere Patterns, which nearly equal those Particle  
Mass Ratios. And miscellaneous other comments about our approach:

We have presented above, examples of major Vol. Ratios, in basic sphere patterns, that equal, or nearly so,  
the Mass Ratios of major particles. And we have provided **large** drawings to aid our visualizing all that, 'at-  
a-glance'. Those examples, shown above, along with miscellaneous comments, exemplified how we reach  
our goal, one at a time. Thus, in total, showing the likely great merit of this article's approach or theme.  
Therefore, in a sense, all the remainder, below, is **Optional** -- it just enhances the broader goal of providing  
a more complete reference.

But since many large drawings would extend the scrolling required to unwieldy length, in most of what  
follows -- we will provide just a 'verbal' description of pattern's sphere volumes and mass ratios, and **avoid**  
the larger space that drawing would require.

Optionally, it might be helpful if we remind reader of 3 general principles, or rules, that we continue to  
follow. And which were discussed in an earlier article:

- a. We regard the relative volume of spheres we view -- as directly proportional to the masses of the  
particles they represent. Sort of like incompressible water, like the so-called Bohr 'Liquid Drop Model of a  
compact Nucleus', or like analogous concepts of Pythagoras and Democritus. I.e., if, relative to an electron  
vol., the size of the vol. of a sphere is doubled, the mass of that latter sphere is also assumed also doubled.
- b. We regard aspects of Heisenberg's 'Uncertainty Principle' as generally preventing the existence of a  
**compact** particle with a mass less than 200 electrons. ((However, a small ball of energy can exist in space  
with just the Energy of, say, 1 electron ((I.e., its so-called ( $E=mc^2$ ) 'mass-equivalent Energy'.))
- c. When we use the term, "Resonance equivalent mass" (or mass equivalence to an  $E=mc^2$  amount of  
'resonance Energy'), we mean the following: At such special total 'resonance' mass or resonance energy  
amount -- if two particles, one or both moving, have a 'close encounter' with one-another -- they scatter  
much more at that special (Resonance) value than if the total energy was typically somewhat lower or  
higher (than that special value).

Example 6, subj. the Lambda Hyperon, ( $\Lambda^0$ ). as shown in a previous article:

A Ratio results, (largest sphere vol. to smallest sphere vol.), when 1 large sphere surrounds & touches each  
of a group of 4 tetrahedrally arrayed spheres, and that group is 'close-packed' around a tetrahedrally arrayed  
group of 4 electrons, with each electron equaling 1 unit vol.

The Vol. Ratio resulting, (i.e., our Mass Ratio Estimate): **2180.19/ 1**.

The nearly matching major particle Mass Ratio (found in Nature) is the Lambda Hyperon particle mass,  
symbol ( $\Lambda^0$ ), to electron particle mass: **2183.34 / 1**.

Opt'l. note: Incidentally, each of the spheres; in the first mentioned group of 4 spheres, has a mass of 198.0  
electrons. That same mass or ratio, 198.0/1, is found in a substructure shown in the sketch on the right side  
of Example 5. (The Lambda 'Hyperon' is also known as the major Lambda 'Baryon'.)

Example 7, subj. the so-called lowest 'Sigma Resonance' ( $\Sigma^{*+}$ ),

Ratio of largest sphere vol. to smallest sphere vol. in Example 2, see that previous Dwg. in this article:

The Vol. Ratio (i.e., Mass Ratio) resulting: **2702.0/ 1**,

Thus, the relative mass of that biggest sphere -- is our Est. Mass: **2702.0 electrons**.

The nearly matching entity involves one of the most prominent and early discovered 'Resonance Masses'  
found in Nature, the so-called lowest 'Sigma Resonance' ( $\Sigma^{*+}$ ), with mass equivalence of **2706.06**  
**electrons**. ((It is in the resonance 'family': " $\Sigma^*(1385)$ ".))



Example 8, subj. the so-called lowest 'Xi Resonance' ( $\Xi^{*0}$ ), shown in a previous article:

The Ratio of largest sphere vol. to smallest sphere vol., when 1 very large sphere surrounds & touches an octahedrally arrayed group of 6 internal medium-size spheres and that group is close-packed around a cubically arrayed group of 8 small spheres, and that group surrounds & touches a 1-unit vol. electron.

The Vol. Ratio (i.e., Mass Ratio) resulting: 2995.03/ 1,

Thus, the relative mass of that biggest sphere -- is our Est. Mass: 2995.03 electrons.

The nearly matching entity involves one of the most prominent and early discovered 'Resonance Masses' found in Nature, the so-called lowest 'Xi Resonance' ( $\Xi^{*0}$ ), with mass equivalence of 2997.65 electrons, ((It is in the resonance 'family', " $\Xi^{*}(1530)$ ".))

Example 9, subj. the major and heaviest 'Xi Hyperon', ( $\Xi^{-}$ ), a.k.a. 'Xi Baryon'.

The Ratio of the average of two estimated ratios in this article is as follows:

Note Example 6, ( $\Lambda^0$ ), Est.= 2180.19/ 1, and note Example 8, ( $\Xi^{*0}$ ), Est.= 2995.03/ 1.

The averaging of those equals an estimated ratio, 2587.6/1.

So our **Est.** for a good 'candidate' for a particle mass to have is 2587.6 electrons.

And we find in nature, a particle, the 'Xi Hyperon', ( $\Xi^{-}$ ), with mass =2586.5 electrons. Thus, our estimate was a very good one.

Example 10, subj. Here we contemplate, simply, a symmetrical sphere pattern, but not, itself, representing a volume ratio nearly equal to any known particle mass ratio or prominent 'resonance'. But its generated volume ratio (2786/1), when averaged with other prominent particle mass ratios, gives an average ratio nearly equal to still another prominent particle mass ratios in nature.

For Example 10, we imagine 1 very large sphere surrounding and touching an octahedrally arrayed group of 6 medium size spheres. And each of those spheres surrounds (internally, within itself) an array of 6 small spheres, and those 6 surround & touch a centered very small sphere -- which we'll regard as a 1 unit vol. (or mass) electron. That creates, (biggest to smallest sphere), a volume ratio of 2786/1. Or a 2786 electron mass to use in our averaging to help nearly match the mass of other prominent particles.

Discussion of other Estimates nearly matching the mass of other particles, and aided by the above example 10 result:

To keep this article from being unwieldy long, we will address, below, only 'qualitatively' -- how we use abstract geometric patterns to estimate some other particle masses. And we will see how our sphere mass result in Example 10, (2786 electron masses), helps us make our good estimates.

Averaging the 2786 electrons' result with the ave. Kaon particle mass -- comes rather close to the 'Eta Prime meson' mass, ( $\eta'$ ), although our estimate is a little 'high'.

Averaging that est. for the Eta Prime meson ( $\eta'$ ), with our mass est. for the Omega Hyperon ( $\Omega^{-}$ ), ref. example 3, -- comes rather close to the mass of the lightest major Xi Hyperon, ( $\Xi^0$ ).

Averaging our Est. for the mass of the Eta Prime meson, ( $\eta'$ ), with the mass of the ave. Pion gives an estimate for the mass of the Eta meson, ( $\eta$ ).

There are other perhaps better ways to estimate the mass of the particles addressed immediately above. For example, another method involves first generating the Tauon, ( $\tau$ ), a.k.a. the Tau particle, see dwg. in example 2A, above. Other possible examples are not addressed here -- to avoid unwieldy article length.

A reminder -- there are many other important particles, but most less prominent, and most are not addressed in this article, nor even in my other more basic ref. online article. Most of these are addressed at my website, and hopefully, by using the link provided previously in this article's Introduction. My website or my other ref. article likely addresses most of the issues that may be on the mind of the reader of this above (present) article, and thus those issues will not be addressed here -- to avoid unwieldy article length.

My major work, (matching abstract geometric ratios with particle mass ratios) -- is likely not 100% complete. And there are at least some rather good geometric sphere patterns which I have not studied, even though having less symmetry than those related to Platonic solids - which I have addressed. For example, I have not well studied geometric sphere patterns related to almost 13 'Archimedean Solids' and others. So, there are still likely some possible sphere patterns which might cast further light on the subject of my articles.

### Closing Remarks:

As remarked in this article's Introduction, many rather recent Nobel Laureates, even familiar with 'quark theory', etc., have attempted to discern a 'rationale' as to why the various particles in Nature have the particular mass values they do.

Below, I quote some examples of remarks by some Nobel Laureates, and in some cases my opinion:

In an interview shortly before his death in early 1988, **Feynman** was quoted as saying, "**Why is it that the mass of the muon compared with the electron is exactly 206 or whatever it is, why are the masses of the various particles such as quarks what they are?** All these numbers, and others analogous to that -- which amount to some two dozen -- have **no** explanations in these string theories -- absolutely none! ..... **There's not an idea at the present time, in any of the theoretical structures that I have heard of, which will give a clue as to why those masses are what they are.**"[3]

And **Steven Weinberg**, who was awarded the Nobel Prize for his outstanding contributions to the standard model (of Particle Physics), said in his fairly recent book, *Dreams of a Final Theory*: "**The standard model involves many features that are not dictated by fundamental principles**" (as he would only wish for) "**but instead simply have to be taken from experiment. These apparently arbitrary features include a menu of particles, a number of constants such as ratios of masses, and even symmetries themselves. We can easily imagine that any or all of these features of the standard model might have been different.**"[4]

And **John Wheeler** wrote in his book, *Geons, Black holes & Quantum Foam (A Life in Science)* on page 119, "I was so enchanted with the electron, with its beautiful, exact Dirac theory and its ultimate simplicity, that I couldn't help wondering: **Is everything made out of electrons? Isn't there some way to tie together the electron and its antiparticle, the positron—perhaps with the help of the photon—to build all other particles?** “..... “On my own, I kept trying this and that as I worked away at my desk, **but I never came up with a plausible way to build the world from positive and negative electrons.**" (He also wrote, “What else is there out of which to build a particle except **geometry itself?**”[5])

I have written the above online Journal article, and many others like it, because I think they provide substantial solutions to the problem raised by the Nobel Laureates, quoted above. I regard the above article, and related ones, as largely establishing, in fact, that '**geometry itself**', largely reveals the solution. I.e., Again, see article's drawings, which, 'at a glance', exemplify **that**. And thus Wheeler, although not accomplishing this goal during his time, was, in a sense, correct: There are simple 'geometric methods' which show "**how everything can be constructed rather well from the electron**", and I have illustrated this in major cases in the above and related articles. And in some cases, by using structures that, although not 'electrons' themselves, are structures which were built from the electron. [6]

## REFERENCES

- [1] Rothman, T., Hidetoshi, F.; Sacred Mathematics-Japanese Temple Geometry, Chap. 6, Prob. 14, pp. 203-204, Princeton University Press, 2008.
- [2] Example **2A** and related comments were added to my article in early Sept., 2021
- [3] Davies, P. C. W., Brown, J., editors; Superstrings: A theory of Everything?; "Richard Feynman", p.195, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [4] Weinberg, S.; Dreams of a Final Theory, Chap. VIII, p.192, Vintage Books, 1994
- [5] Misner, C.W., Thorne, K.S., Wheeler, J.A.; Gravitation, Part 2, Sect. 44, p.1202, W. H. Freeman and Company, 1973
- [6] As of this viXra article's date, its author has a website at <http://www.causeeffect.org/>
- [7] Added, Apr. 2023, note about the ave. of ( $\tau$ ) and ( $D_s^+$ ) masses being near the ( $D^+$ ) mass