## Any finite connected poset is isomorphic to

 $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \setminus X$  for some finite poset X

Pierre-Yves Gaillard

We show that, given any finite connected poset X, there is a finite poset Y such that the quotient poset  $\operatorname{Aut}(Y) \setminus Y$  is isomorphic to X.

Let X be a finite poset, G its automorphism group and  $X_*$  the set of all G-orbits in X. For  $A, B \in X_*$  write  $A \leq B$  if the inequality  $a \leq b$  holds in X for some  $a \in A, b \in B$ . Equipped with this relation  $X_*$  is again a finite poset.

If X is a poset we write  $X^-$  for the subset of minimal elements, and  $X^+$  for the subset of maximal elements. An element of  $X^- \cap X^+$  is called an **isolated point**.

**Theorem.** If X is a nonempty finite poset without isolated points, then there is a finite poset Y without isolated points such that  $Y_* \simeq X$ .

To prove the theorem we will define a poset Y and show that it has the required properties.

Denote by |S| the cardinality of any set S and put  $[n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  for any nonnegative integer n. Let X be a nonempty finite poset without isolated points. Set

 $n := |X|, \quad m := |X^-|, \quad X^- = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}.$ 

Let  $x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots, x_n$  be an enumeration of the elements of  $X \setminus X^-$  such that  $x_i < x_j$  implies i < j.

We will first define integers  $c_1 < \cdots < c_n < r$  and then define Y using these integers.

For  $i \in [m]$  we set  $c_i := i$ .

• Definition of  $c_{m+1} < c_{m+2} < \cdots < c_n$ : Let  $\Pi$  be the set of all non-constant polynomial  $P(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  with positive leading coefficient; here t is an indeterminate.

Set for  $m < i \leq n$ 

$$P_i(t) := \sum_{x_j < x_i} \binom{t}{j},$$

where the sum runs over the  $j \in [m]$  such that  $x_j < x_i$ . Since  $P_i \in \Pi$  for all i, there are integers  $c_{m+1}, \ldots, c_n \in m\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m < c_{m+1} < \cdots < c_n$  and  $P_i(c_i) \neq P_j(c_j)$  whenever  $m < i < j \leq n$ .

• Definition of r: For  $i \in [m]$  denote by  $\Pi_i$  the set of all the polynomials in  $\Pi$  whose degree is congruent to -i modulo m, and set

$$Q_i(t) := \sum_{x_j > x_i} \binom{t-i}{c_j - i},$$

where the sum runs over the  $j \in [n]$  such that  $x_j > x_i$ . Since each  $Q_i$  is in  $\Pi_i$ , the  $Q_i$  are pairwise distinct. Hence there is an integer  $r > c_n$  such that the  $Q_i(r)$  are pairwise distinct.

• Definition of Y: For  $i \in [n]$  set  $Y_i := \{S \subset [r] \mid |S| = c_i\}$ , and let Y be the union of the  $Y_i$ . For  $S \in Y_i$ ,  $T \in Y_j$  set

$$S < T \iff x_i < x_j \text{ and } S \subset T.$$

Then  $\leq$  is a partial order on Y. Note that for  $S \in Y_i$  we have: S is minimal in Y if and only if  $x_i$  is minimal in X, and S is maximal in Y if and only if  $x_i$  is maximal in X. In particular Y is a nonempty finite poset without isolated points.

• Proof of the isomorphism  $Y_* \simeq X$ : Write  $S \sim T$  for  $S, T \in Y$  to indicate that there is an automorphism of Y which maps S to T.

There is a strictly increasing surjection  $f: Y \to X$  mapping  $S \in Y_i$  to  $x_i$ . We claim that f induces an isomorphism  $Y_* \to X$ . To prove this it suffices to show that for  $S \in Y_i$  and  $T \in Y_j$  we have  $S \sim T$  if and only if i = j. If i = j, then, since S and T are two cardinality  $c_i$  subsets of [r], there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of [r] which moves S to T, and  $\sigma$  induces an automorphism of Y which maps S to T. It only remains to prove that  $S \sim T$  implies i = j.

Recall that we have  $S \in Y_i$ ,  $T \in Y_j$ ,  $S \sim T$  and we claim i = j.

Case 1: S is minimal. Then T is also minimal and we get  $i, j \in [m]$ . The number of elements of Y which are greater than S (respectively greater than T) is  $Q_i(r)$ (respectively  $Q_j(r)$ ). But the assumption  $S \sim T$  implies  $Q_i(r) = Q_j(r)$ , and thus i = j.

Case 2: S is not minimal. Then T is also not minimal and we get  $m < i, j \le n$ . The number of minimal elements of Y which are less than S (respectively less than T) is  $P_i(c_i)$  (respectively  $P_j(c_j)$ ). But the assumption  $S \sim T$  implies  $P_i(c_i) = P_j(c_j)$ , and thus i = j. This completes the proof of the theorem.