# A framework for human host immune responses to four types of parasitic infections

### 1 Wan-Chung Hu\*

- 2 Department of Clinical Pathology, Taipei Tzu Chi Hospital, Buddhist Tzu Chi Medical Foundation,
- 3 New Taipei City, Taiwan

### 4 \* Correspondence:

- 5 Wan-Chung Hu
- 6 Wanchung.Hu09@gmail.com
- 7 Word count: abstract, 146; main text, 1044
- 8 **Tables:** 0; **Figures:** 0
- 9 Keywords: immune response, parasitic infection, eosinophils, basophils, protozoa, helminths,
- 10 parasitic insects

### 11 Abstract

- 12 Human host immune responses to parasitic infections are complex. They can be categorized into four
- 13 immunological pathways against four types of parasitic infections. For intracellular protozoa, the
- 14 eradicable host immunological pathway is TH1 immunity involving macrophages, interferon gamma
- 15 (IFNg) CD4 T cells, innate lymphoid cells 1 (ILC1), CD8 T cells, invariant natural killer T cells 1
- 16 (iNKT1) cells, and immunoglobulin G3 (IgG3) B cells. For free-living extracellular protozoa, the
- 17 eradicable host immunological pathway is TH22 immunity involving neutrophils, interleukin (IL)-
- 18 22/IL-17 CD4 T cells, innate lymphoid cells 3 (ILC3), iNKT17 cells, and IgG2 B cells. For
- endoparasites (helminths), the eradicable host immunological pathway is TH2a immunity with
   inflammatory eosinophils (iEOS), IL-5/IL-4 CD4 T cells, IL-25 inducing inflammatory innate
- 20 Inflammatory eositophils (IEOS), IL-5/IL-4 CD4 1 cells, IL-25 inducing inflammatory inflate
   21 lymphoid cells 2 (iILC2), mast cells-tryptase (MCt), iNKT2 cells, and IgG4 B cells. For ectoparasites
- (parasitic insects and arachnids), the eradicable host immunological pathway is TH2b immunity with
- inflammatory basophils, mast cells-tryptase/chymase (MCtc), IL-3/IL-4 CD4 T cells, IL-33 inducing
- nature innate lymphoid cells 2 (nILC2), iNKT2 cells, and immunoglobulin E (IgE) B cells. The
- tolerable host immunity against ectoparasites and endoparasites is TH9 immunity with regulatory
- 26 eosinophils, regulatory basophils, IL-9 mast cells (MMC9), thymic stromal lymphopoietin inducing
- 27 innate lymphoid cells 2, IL-9 CD4 T cells, iNKT2 cells, and IgA2 B cells. This categorization
- 28 provides a complete framework of immunological pathways against four types of parasitic infections.

## 29 **1** Introduction

- 30 Host immune responses to parasitic infections are complex. Parasites include protozoa, helminths,
- 31 and insects. Previously, I proposed a framework for all the known host immunological pathways and
- 32 their roles in the immune responses against four specific types of pathogens and the corresponding
- 33 four specific types of hypersensitivities (1). Here, I extend the framework and propose a new
- 34 framework of host immunological pathways for four types of parasitic infection. Host immunological
- 35 pathways against parasites are determined primarily by the location of the infection. After identifying

- 36 the location of the parasitic infection, the host immune system can attack these parasites with
- 37 different effector cells and using different strategies.

#### 38 2 Host immunological pathways for different types of parasitic infections

#### 39 2.1 Intracellular protozoa and TH1/TH1-like immunity

40 For intracellular protozoa, the host immunological pathway is a TH1 immune response involving

- 41 macrophages (M1), interferon gamma (IFNg) CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells (CD28+, CD27-, Tc1,
- 42 EM4), invariant natural killer T1 (iNKT1 cells), and IgG3 B cells. Innate lymphoid cells 1 (ILC1) is
- 43 the immune cells helping to initiate TH1 immune reaction. CCR5 is the chemokine receptor used by
- TH1 immune cells. The ligands of CCR5 include C-C motif chemokine ligand (CCL) 3 and CCL4 44
- 45 [also known as macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)  $1\alpha$  and  $1\beta$ , respectively](2). TH1 immunity
- 46 is the host immune response to intracellular pathogens. The intracellular location is more important than the pathogen type. Thus, TH1 immunity can be triggered to defend against intracellular bacteria, 47
- 48 fungi, and protozoa. Activated macrophages are the key effector cells that digest intracellular
- 49 bacteria, fungi, and protozoa. Intracellular protozoa are categorized into the parasite groups.
- 50 Intracellular protozoa, including Plasmodium, Leishmania, Toxoplasma, Babesia, and
- 51 Cryptosporidium, can all trigger a TH1 host immune response (3-7). Intracellular bacteria such as
- 52 Chlamydia and intracellular fungi such as Histoplasma can also trigger TH1 immunity. This is the
- 53 intracellular protozoa-eradicable host immune response.
- 54 For immune tolerance to intracellular protozoa, the host mounts a TH1-like immune response. The
- 55 effector cells for TH1-like immunity are macrophages (M2), IFNg/transforming growth factor beta
- 56 CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells (CD28- CD27- EM3), iNKT1 cells, and IgA1 B cells. CCR2 is the
- 57 chemokine receptor used by TH1-like immune cells(8). The ligand for CCR2 is monocyte
- 58 chemoattractant protein-1 (CCL2). TH1-like immunity is a chronic immune tolerance to intracellular
- 59 pathogens, including intracellular bacteria, protozoa, and fungi. Alternative activated macrophages
- 60 M2 are the principal cells mediating the TH1-like immunity to intracellular pathogens. Chronic
- infections with intracellular protozoa usually trigger the TH1-like immunological pathway. 61

#### 62 2.2 Extracellular protozoa and TH22/TH17 immunity

- 63 For free-living extracellular protozoa, the eradicable host immunological pathway is TH22 immunity
- 64 with neutrophils (N1), IL-22 CD4 T cells, iNKT17 cells, and IgG2 B cells. Innate lymphoid cells 3
- (ILC3) helps to initiate the TH22/TH17 immunity. Neutrophils are the major effector cells of the 65
- TH22 host immunological pathway. The chemokine receptor used by TH22 immune cells is 66
- CCR10(9). CCR10 ligands include CCL27 (CTACK) and CCL28 (MEC). TH22 immunity is the 67
- 68 host immune response to extracellular protozoa, bacteria, and fungi. It is worth noting that
- 69 extracellular location determines the host immunological pathway, which is more important than
- 70 whether the pathogen is bacteria, fungi, or protozoa. Neutrophils can use neutrophil extracellular
- 71 traps and kill these extracellular free-living pathogens. These extracellular free-living protozoa
- 72 include Trypanosoma, ameba, Giardia, and Trichomonas (10-14). These pathogens can induce TH22
- 73 host immunity. Extracellular bacteria, such as Escherichia coli and extracellular fungi such as
- 74 Aspergillus can also trigger TH22 host immune reactions.
- 75 The immune tolerance pathway against extracellular protozoa, fungi, and bacteria is TH17 immunity.
- 76 The effector cells of TH17 immunity include neutrophils (N2), IL-17 CD4 T cells, iNKT17 cells, and
- 77 IgA2 B cells. The chemokine receptor used by TH17 immune cells is CCR6(15). The ligand of

- 78 CCR6 is CCL20 (MIP- $3\alpha$ ). The TH17 immune reaction is a chronic immune tolerance to
- 79 extracellular free-living protozoa.

#### 80 2.3 Helminths (endoparasites) and eradicable TH2a immunity

81 For helminths (endoparasites), the eradicable host immunological pathway is TH2a immunity with

inflammatory eosinophils, IL-5/IL-4 CD4 T cells, mast cells-tryptase (MCt), iNKT2 cells, and IgG4 82

B cells. Endoparasites means the parasites are located in our bodies. Inflammatory innate lymphoid 83 84 cells 2 (IL-25 induced iILC2) help to initiate TH2a immune response(16, 17). Eosinophils are the

- 85 major effector cells that use IgG4-mediated antibody-dependent cellular toxicity to attack the
- 86 helminth tegument. Mast cells-tryptase are the mast cell subtypes in TH2a immunity. The chemokine
- 87 receptor used by TH2a immunity is CCR4(18). The ligands of CCR4 are CCL17 (thymus and
- 88 activation-regulated chemokine) and CCL22 (monocyte-derived dendritic cell). This TH2a pathway
- 89 belongs to the TH2 immunity and is a subtype. The letter "a" means "acid" which is derived from the
- 90 name of eosinophils. Helminths (endoparasites) that can induce TH2a immunity with eosinophilia
- include Ascaris, hookworms, tapeworms, pinworms, filarial worms, Toxocara, and Strongyloides 91 92
- (19-24). However, several helminths can also induce IgE antibodies, so this immune response is a
- 93 subtype of the TH2 immune response.

#### 94 2.4 Parasitic insects and arachnids (ectoparasites) and eradicable TH2b immunity

95 For insects (ectoparasites), the eradicable host immunological pathway is TH2b immunity with

- 96 inflammatory basophils, mast cells-tryptase/chymase (MCtc), IL-3/IL-4 CD4 T cells, iNKT2 cells,
- 97 and IgE B cells. Ectoparasites means these insects are located in our bodies' outer skin surface.
- 98 Nature innate lymphoid cells 2 (IL-33 induced nILC2) help to initiate TH2b immune reaction(25,
- 99 26). The major effector cells of TH2b immunity are basophils and mast cells-tryptase/chymase
- 100 (MCtc). Circulating basophils and resident mast cells have the same characteristics. The chemokine
- 101 receptor used in the TH2b immune response is CCR1(27). CCR1 is expressed on basophils. Resident
- 102 mast cells can also serve as antigen-presenting cells. The letter "b" means "base" which is derived
- 103 from the name of basophils. IgE can cause the physical expelling of insects (ectoparasites) via skin
- 104 itchiness, skin wheal with toxin dilution, rhinorrhea, mucus formation and secretion, nausea/
- 105 vomiting, bronchoconstriction, and increased bowel movement. Basophil accumulation is usually 106 noted at the site of insect bites or dwelling. However, these IgE-mediated mechanisms can also expel
- 107 helminths in the lung or intestine. Thus, this immune response (TH2b) is a subtype of the TH2
- 108 immune response. The bites of parasitic arachnids and insects, including those of ticks, fleas, and
- 109 mosquitos, can induce a TH2b immune reaction (28-32). The stings of non-parasitic insects such as
- 110 bees and wasps also induce a TH2b immune reaction.

#### 111 2.5 Parasites and tolerable TH9 immunity

112 The TH9 host immunological pathway is a chronic immune tolerance response to parasites

- 113 (endoparasites and ectoparasites). The main effector cells of the TH9 immunological pathway include
- 114 regulatory eosinophils, regulatory basophils, mast cells (MMC9), IL-9 CD4 T cells, and IgA2 B
- 115 cells(33). Thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) induced innate lymphoid cells 2 help to initiate
- 116 TH9 immunity(25, 34). IL-9 producing mast cells (MMC9) are the mast cell subtype responsible for
- 117 TH9 immunity. The chemokine receptor functioning in TH9 immunity is CCR3(35, 36). The ligands
- 118 of CCR3 are eotaxin-1 (CCL11) and eotaxin-3 (CCL26).

#### 119 3 Conclusion

- 120 This framework describes the immunological pathways of the human host response to four types of
- 121 parasitic infections. Intracellular protozoa induce TH1/TH1-like immunity; extracellular protozoa
- induce TH22/TH17 immunity; endoparasites (helminths) induce TH2a eradicable immunity; and
- 123 ectoparasites (parasitic insects and arachnids) induce TH2b eradicable immunity. TH9 immunity is a
- 124 tolerable immue response to endoparasites and ectoparasites.

### 125 **4 Conflict of Interest**

126 The author declares that the manuscript was written in the absence of any commercial or financial 127 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### 128 **5** Author Contributions

129 WCH conceived and wrote the manuscript and agrees to be accountable for the content of the work,

### 130 **6 Funding**

131 Details of all funding sources should be provided, including grant numbers if applicable. Please 132 ensure to add all necessary funding information, as after publication this is no longer possible.

### 133 **7** Acknowledgments

134 The author is very thankful for Professor Chi-Huey Wong and Alice L Yu for their guidance during135 post-doctorate research.

### 136 8 References

- 137 1. W. C. Hu: A Framework of All Discovered Immunological Pathways and Their Roles for Four
- 138 Specific Types of Pathogens and Hypersensitivities. *Front Immunol*, 11, 1992 (2020)
- 139 doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.01992
- 140 2. B. Ma, M. J. Kang, C. G. Lee, S. Chapoval, W. Liu, Q. Chen, A. J. Coyle, J. M. Lora, D. Picarella, 141 R. J. Homer and J. A. Elias: Role of CCR5 in IFN-gamma-induced and cigarette smoke-induced
- R. J. Homer and J. A. Elias: Role of CCR5 in IFN-gamma-induced and cigarette smoke-induced
  emphysema. *J Clin Invest*, 115(12), 3460-72 (2005) doi:10.1172/JCI24858
- 143 3. S. Cabantous, B. Poudiougou, A. Traore, M. Keita, M. B. Cisse, O. Doumbo, A. J. Dessein and S.
- Marquet: Evidence that interferon-gamma plays a protective role during cerebral malaria. *J Infect Dis*, 192(5), 854-60 (2005) doi:10.1086/432484
- 146 4. M. Sedegah, F. Finkelman and S. L. Hoffman: Interleukin 12 induction of interferon gamma-
- dependent protection against malaria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 91(22), 10700-2 (1994)
- 148 doi:10.1073/pnas.91.22.10700
- 149 5. L. R. Castellano, D. C. Filho, L. Argiro, H. Dessein, A. Prata, A. Dessein and V. Rodrigues:
- 150 Th1/Th2 immune responses are associated with active cutaneous leishmaniasis and clinical cure is
- associated with strong interferon-gamma production. *Hum Immunol*, 70(6), 383-90 (2009)
- 152 doi:10.1016/j.humimm.2009.01.007
- 153 6. Y. Suzuki, M. A. Orellana, R. D. Schreiber and J. S. Remington: Interferon-gamma: the major 154 mediator of resistance against Toxoplasma gondii. *Science*, 240(4851), 516-8 (1988)
- 155 doi:10.1126/science.3128869
- 156 7. H. N. Ehigiator, N. McNair and J. R. Mead: Cryptosporidium parvum: The contribution of Th1-157 inducing pathways to the resolution of infection in mice. *Experimental Parasitology*, 115(2), 107-113

158 (2007) doi:10.1016/j.exppara.2006.07.001 159 E. Bakos, C. A. Thaiss, M. P. Kramer, S. Cohen, L. Radomir, I. Orr, N. Kaushansky, A. Ben-Nun, S. 8. 160 Becker-Herman and I. Shachar: CCR2 Regulates the Immune Response by Modulating the 161 Interconversion and Function of Effector and Regulatory T Cells. J Immunol, 198(12), 4659-4671 162 (2017) doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1601458 163 T. Duhen, R. Geiger, D. Jarrossay, A. Lanzavecchia and F. Sallusto: Production of interleukin 22 9. 164 but not interleukin 17 by a subset of human skin-homing memory T cells. Nat Immunol, 10(8), 857-165 63 (2009) doi:10.1038/ni.1767 166 10. C. W. Cai, J. R. Blase, X. Zhang, C. S. Eickhoff and D. F. Hoft: Th17 Cells Are More Protective 167 Than Th1 Cells Against the Intracellular Parasite Trypanosoma cruzi. PLoS Pathog, 12(10), e1005902 168 (2016) doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005902 169 11. X. Guo, L. Barroso, D. M. Lyerly, W. A. Petri, Jr. and E. R. Houpt: CD4+ and CD8+ T cell- and IL-17-mediated protection against Entamoeba histolytica induced by a recombinant vaccine. Vaccine, 170 171 29(4), 772-7 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.11.013 172 A. Suryawanshi, Z. Cao, J. F. Sampson and N. Panjwani: IL-17A-mediated protection against 12. 173 Acanthamoeba keratitis. J Immunol, 194(2), 650-63 (2015) doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1302707 174 13. H. M. Makinde, R. Zariffard, P. Mirmonsef, R. M. Novak, O. Jarrett, A. L. Landay and G. T. 175 Spear: IL-22 Levels are Associated withTrichomonas vaginalisInfection in the Lower Genital Tract. 176 American Journal of Reproductive Immunology, 70(1), 38-44 (2013) doi:10.1111/aji.12100 177 S. M. Singer: Control of Giardiasis by Interleukin-17 in Humans and Mice--Are the Questions 14. 178 All Answered? Clin Vaccine Immunol, 23(1), 2-5 (2016) doi:10.1128/CVI.00648-15 179 E. V. Acosta-Rodriguez, L. Rivino, J. Geginat, D. Jarrossay, M. Gattorno, A. Lanzavecchia, F. 15. 180 Sallusto and G. Napolitani: Surface phenotype and antigenic specificity of human interleukin 17-181 producing T helper memory cells. Nat Immunol, 8(6), 639-46 (2007) doi:10.1038/ni1467 182 16. C. Pei, C. Zhao, A. J. Wang, A. X. Fan, V. Grinchuk, A. Smith, R. Sun, Y. Xie, N. Lu, J. F. Urban, Jr., 183 T. Shea-Donohue, A. Zhao and Z. Yang: Critical Role for Interleukin-25 in Host Protective Th2 184 Memory Response against Heligmosomoides polygyrus bakeri. Infect Immun, 84(12), 3328-3337 185 (2016) doi:10.1128/IAI.00180-16 186 17. Y. Huang, L. Guo, J. Qiu, X. Chen, J. Hu-Li, U. Siebenlist, P. R. Williamson, J. F. Urban, Jr. and W. 187 E. Paul: IL-25-responsive, lineage-negative KLRG1(hi) cells are multipotential 'inflammatory' type 2 188 innate lymphoid cells. Nat Immunol, 16(2), 161-9 (2015) doi:10.1038/ni.3078 189 S. Yi, J. Zhai, R. Niu, G. Zhu, M. Wang, J. Liu, H. Huang, Y. Wang, X. Jing, L. Kang, W. Song, Y. 18. 190 Shi and H. Tang: Eosinophil recruitment is dynamically regulated by interplay among lung dendritic 191 cell subsets after allergen challenge. Nat Commun, 9(1), 3879 (2018) doi:10.1038/s41467-018-192 06316-9 193 19. A. D. Klion and T. B. Nutman: The role of eosinophils in host defense against helminth 194 parasites. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 113(1), 30-37 (2004) 195 doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2003.10.050 196 E. Mitre, D. Masure, J. Vlaminck, T. Wang, K. Chiers, W. Van den Broeck, J. Vercruysse and P. 20. 197 Geldhof: A Role for Eosinophils in the Intestinal Immunity against Infective Ascaris suum Larvae. 198 PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 7(3) (2013) doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002138 199 21. V. Wright and Q. Bickle: Immune responses following experimental human hookworm 200 infection. Clinical and Experimental Immunology, 142(2), 398-403 (2005) doi:10.1111/j.1365-201 2249.2005.02945.x 202 22. P. L. Minciullo, A. Cascio, S. Isola and S. Gangemi: Different clinical allergological features of 203 Taenia solium infestation. Clin Mol Allergy, 14, 18 (2016) doi:10.1186/s12948-016-0056-x

204 J. C. Schroeder, D. Jones and A. Maranich: Peripheral Eosinophilia Found in Pediatric 23. 205 Enterobius vermicularis Infections. Clin Pediatr (Phila), 58(1), 13-16 (2019) 206 doi:10.1177/0009922818805193 207 24. H. B. Kim, J. W. Seo, J. H. Lee, B. S. Choi and S. G. Park: Evaluation of the prevalence and 208 clinical impact of toxocariasis in patients with eosinophilia of unknown origin. Korean J Intern Med, 209 32(3), 523-529 (2017) doi:10.3904/kjim.2014.270 210 25. A. Camelo, G. Rosignoli, Y. Ohne, R. A. Stewart, C. Overed-Sayer, M. A. Sleeman and R. D. 211 May: IL-33, IL-25, and TSLP induce a distinct phenotypic and activation profile in human type 2 212 innate lymphoid cells. *Blood Adv*, 1(10), 577-589 (2017) doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2016002352 213 C. L. Hsu, C. V. Neilsen and P. J. Bryce: IL-33 is produced by mast cells and regulates IgE-26. 214 dependent inflammation. PLoS One, 5(8), e11944 (2010) doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011944 215 27. K. Amin, C. Janson, I. Harvima, P. Venge and G. Nilsson: CC chemokine receptors CCR1 and 216 CCR4 are expressed on airway mast cells in allergic asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 116(6), 1383-6 217 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2005.08.053 218 Y. Sakakibara, T. Wada, M. Muraoka, Y. Matsuda, T. Toma and A. Yachie: Basophil activation 28. 219 by mosquito extracts in patients with hypersensitivity to mosquito bites. Cancer Sci, 106(8), 965-71 220 (2015) doi:10.1111/cas.12696 221 29. H. Karasuyama, Y. Tabakawa, T. Ohta, T. Wada and S. Yoshikawa: Crucial Role for Basophils in 222 Acquired Protective Immunity to Tick Infestation. Front Physiol, 9, 1769 (2018) 223 doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.01769 224 30. R. E. Halliwell and K. R. Schemmer: The role of basophils in the immunopathogenesis of 225 hypersensitivity to fleas (Ctenocephalides felis) in dogs. Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 15(3), 203-13 226 (1987) doi:10.1016/0165-2427(87)90083-3 227 31. S. M. Erdmann, B. Sachs, R. Kwiecien, S. Moll-Slodowy, I. Sauer and H. F. Merk: The basophil 228 activation test in wasp venom allergy: sensitivity, specificity and monitoring specific immunotherapy. 229 Allergy, 59(10), 1102-9 (2004) doi:10.1111/j.1398-9995.2004.00624.x 230 32. E. Cichocka-Jarosz, A. Dorynska, J. J. Pietrzyk and R. Spiewak: Laboratory markers of mast cell 231 and basophil activation in monitoring rush immunotherapy in bee venom-allergic children. 232 Immunotherapy, 3(8), 1013-7 (2011) doi:10.2217/imt.11.91 233 C. Y. Chen, J. B. Lee, B. Liu, S. Ohta, P. Y. Wang, A. V. Kartashov, L. Mugge, J. P. Abonia, A. 33. 234 Barski, K. Izuhara, M. E. Rothenberg, F. D. Finkelman, S. P. Hogan and Y. H. Wang: Induction of 235 Interleukin-9-Producing Mucosal Mast Cells Promotes Susceptibility to IgE-Mediated Experimental 236 Food Allergy. Immunity, 43(4), 788-802 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2015.08.020 237 M. Verma, S. Liu, L. Michalec, A. Sripada, M. M. Gorska and R. Alam: Experimental asthma 34. 238 persists in IL-33 receptor knockout mice because of the emergence of thymic stromal 239 lymphopoietin-driven IL-9(+) and IL-13(+) type 2 innate lymphoid cell subpopulations. J Allergy Clin 240 Immunol, 142(3), 793-803 e8 (2018) doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2017.10.020 241 H. Heath, S. Qin, P. Rao, L. Wu, G. LaRosa, N. Kassam, P. D. Ponath and C. R. Mackay: 35. 242 Chemokine receptor usage by human eosinophils. The importance of CCR3 demonstrated using an 243 antagonistic monoclonal antibody. J Clin Invest, 99(2), 178-84 (1997) doi:10.1172/JCI119145 244 36. A. Khanolkar, S. J. Burden, B. Hansen, A. R. Wilson, G. J. Philipps and H. R. Hill: Evaluation of 245 CCR3 as a basophil activation marker. Am J Clin Pathol, 140(3), 293-300 (2013) 246 doi:10.1309/AJCPLSN0RQKHJX1A

247

## 248 9 Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.