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#### Abstract

This paper attempts to disprove the asymptotic in time $O(n \log n)$ prediction of Schönhage-Strassen, claiming its 'best possible' result and remarking that no one will ever find a faster multiplication algorithm. Accordingly, this paper postulates that, the most desired complexity in time, i.e. $O(n)$ is as achievable using only two basic arithmetic operations. We present four algorithms for large integer multiplications. First algorithm is based on the place value approach and achieves the much desired complexity of $O(n)$, based on Nearest Place Values (NPV) approach. Second algorithm extends and improves Karatsuba algorithm for any ordered pairs greater than 2. It is important to remind that the present version of Karatsuba algorithm works only for ordered pairs of 2. Third algorithm called Addition and Subtraction (AnS) achieves time complexity of $O(n)$ for very large integer multiplications using only repeated additions and subtractions. The fourth algorithm is called the Repeated Doubling Method (RDM), which is an improvised version of AnS algorithm and achieves time complexity of $O(n)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Around 1956, Andrei Nikolajewitsch Kolmogorov conjectured that, the asymptotic time complexity of integer multiplication is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$, but during 1962 Anatoly Alexeevitch Karatsuba proved that the conjecture is false and showed that $O\left(n^{1.585}\right)$ is possible.
In the year 1963 Andrei Leonovich Toom reduced it to $O\left(n^{1.46}\right) \& O\left(n^{1.404}\right)$ through Toom-3 and Toom 4. During 1966 Stephen Arthur Cook further refined the Toom-n algorithm and reduced it to $O\left(n^{1+\varepsilon}\right)$. In the year 1971, a breakthrough happened with Schönhage-Strassen algorithm running in time $O(n \log n \cdot \log \log n)$.

For almost 35 years, Schönhage-Strassen's algorithm has been the fastest algorithm known for multiplying integers, with a time complexity $O(n \log n \cdot \log \log n)$ for multiplying $n$-bit inputs. $\ln 2007$, Martin Fürer given asymptotically faster algorithm having time complexity $O\left(n \log n 2^{O\left(\log ^{*} n\right)}\right)$.

Arnold Schönhage and Volker Strassen predicted that, there should exist an algorithm that multiplies n digit numbers using essentially $n \log n$ basic operations. They also predicted that $O(n \log n)$ is the 'best possible' result and that no one will ever find a faster multiplication algorithm.
During last year April 2019, David Harvey, and Joris van der Hoeven have defined a new algorithm having asymptotic time complexity $O(n \log n)$, which has been predicted by Schönhage and Strassen.

Below Table: 1a provides chronological summary of the significant developments pertaining to integer multiplication and corresponding time complexity.

| Year | Algorithm | Time Complexity |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $?!$ | Conventional Method | $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ |
| 1962 | Karatsuba | $O\left(n^{\log 3 / \log 2}\right)$ |
| 1963 | Toom | $O\left(n 2^{5 \sqrt{\log n / \log 2}}\right)$ |
| 1966 | Schönhage | $O\left(n 2^{\sqrt{2 \log n / \log 2}}(\log n)^{3 / 2}\right)$ |
| 1969 | Knuth | $O\left(n 2^{\sqrt{2 \log n / \log 2} \log n)}\right.$ |
| 1971 | Schönhage - Strassen | $O(n \log n * \log n)$ |
| 2007 | Fürer | $O\left(n \log n 2^{O(\log * n)}\right)$ |
| 2019 | Harvey - Hoeven | $O(n \log n)$ |
| 2020 | In this paper | $O(n)$ |

Table: 1a-number of bit operations to multiply two $n$-bit integers
Our algorithm tries to achieve the much desired in time $O(n)$ through two unique improvised approaches, and both algorithms having equal importance. The first algorithm is based on Vedic mathematics technique called the Nearest Place values (NPV) method and the second algorithm based on Egyptian, Babylonians \& Average \& Difference Square multiplication algorithm is called Addition and Subtraction (AnS) method.

## 2. The algorithms

We all know that, in arithmetic terms, repeated addition is called multiplication and addition \& subtraction on large numbers is relatively having less time complexity than the multiplications. If ' $n$ ' is the order of the digits, we use only two basic arithmetic operations to achieve the asymptotic time complexity run in $O(n)$ time.

The first algorithm we, will discuss about Integer multiplication using radix, place value, and face value to achieve the in time $O(n)$. In the second algorithm we, will go through an improvised Karatsuba algorithm for ordered pair's like 3 way, 4 way and 5 way splits, to be used in divide and conquer approach for large integer multiplication. In the third algorithm we will discuss about Integer multiplication in time $O(n)$ using AnS (Addition and Subtraction) approach, using repeated addition and subtractions. In the fourth algorithm using RDM (Repeated Doubling Method) approach is an improvised version of the third (Ans) algorithm to achieve in time $O(n)$.

## 3. Vedic Mathematics

Before going through the first algorithm of this paper "The Nearest Place Values" approach, one must know the concepts of Vedic Mathematics and especially about the Nikhilam Navatashcaramam Dashatah (NND).

Vedic mathematics is believed to be reconstructed by Shri Bharathi Krishna Tirathaji (1884-1960) between 1911 and 1918. Vedic mathematics is divided into sixteen sutras (formulae) and thirteen upa-sutras (sub-formulae or corollaries), which can be applied to any branch of mathematics. Vedic mathematics sutras reduces the complexity of calculations using simple methods similar to the working of human minds.

The Sixteen Sutras and its meaning

| The Sixteen Sutras and its meaning |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{S I}$ No | Sutras |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ekadhikina Purvena | Nikhilam Navatashcaramam Dashatah (NND) |
| 3 | Urdhva-Tiryagbyham | All from 9 and the last from 10 |
| 4 | Paraavartya Yojayet | Vertically and crosswise |
| 5 | Shunyam Saamyasamuccaye | Transpose and adjust |
| 6 | (Anurupye) Shunyamanyat | When the sum is the same that sum is zero |
| 7 | Sankalana-vyavakalanabhyam | If one is in ratio, the other is zero |
| 8 | Puranapuranabyham | By addition and by subtraction |
| 9 | Chalana-Kalanabyham | By the completion or non-completion |
| 10 | Yaavadunam | Differences and Similarities |
| 11 | Vyashtisamanstih | Whatever the extent of its deficiency |
| 12 | Shesanyankena Charamena | Part and Whole |
| 13 | Sopaantyadvayamantyam | The remainders by the last digit |
| 14 | Ekanyunena Purvena | The ultimate and twice the penultimate |
| 15 | Gunitasamuchyah | By one less than the previous one |
| 16 | Gunakasamuchyah | The product of the sum is equal to the sum of the product |

## Table: 3a-The Sixteen Sutras of Vedic Mathematics

In this paper, we improve and use "Nikhilam Navatashcaramam Dashatah (NND)" sutra to achieve the desired O(n) asymptotic time complexity. The literal meaning of sutra is "All from 9 and the last from 10 ". This sutra is used to convert the integer multiplication using few subtract, add and shift operations.

The steps for multiplication of 2 digit number, which is less than the nearest base using the NND sutra the base method when ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' $<100$ are as shown below in Table: $3 b$ and Table: 3c:

| Step 1 | $a^{*} b$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Step 2 | $\mathrm{~A}=($ Nearest Base $)-a$ |
| Step 3 | $\mathrm{B}=($ Nearest Base $)-b$ |
| Step 4 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{~B}$ |
| Step 5 | $\mathrm{D}=(a-\mathrm{B})^{\prime}$ or' $^{\prime}(b-\mathrm{A})$ |
| Step 6 | Result $=\left(100^{*} \mathrm{D}\right)+\mathrm{C}$ |

Table: $\mathbf{3 b}$ - when ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' < 100
As an example, consider to find 94 * 97 which is less than and very much closer to the nearest base 100,

| Step 1 | $94^{*} 97$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Step 2 | $\mathrm{A}=100-94=6$ |
| Step 3 | $\mathrm{B}=100-97=3$ |
| Step 4 | $\mathrm{C}=6^{*} 3=18$ |
| Step 5 | $\mathrm{D}=(94-3)^{\prime}$ 'or' $(97-6)=91$ |
| Step 6 | Result $=\left(100^{*} 91\right)+18=9100+18=\mathbf{9 1 1 8}$ |

Table: $3 c$ - worked example: when ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' $<100$
The steps for multiplication of 2 digit number which is greater than the nearest base using the NND sutra, the base method when ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' > 100 are as shown below in Table: 3d and Table: 3e.

| Step 1 | $a^{*} b$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Step 2 | (Nearest Base) $-a=-\mathrm{A}$ |
| Step 3 | (Nearest Base) $-b=-\mathrm{B}$ |
| Step 4 | $\mathrm{C}=\left(-\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{B}\right)$ |
| Step 5 | $\mathrm{D}=a-(-\mathrm{B})^{\prime}$ or' $^{\prime} b-(-\mathrm{A})$ |
| Step 6 | Result $=\left(100^{*} \mathrm{D}\right)+\mathrm{C}$ |

Table: $3 d$ - when ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' > 100

As an example, consider to find 103 * 108 which is greater than and very much closer to the nearest base 100.

| Step 1 | $103^{*} 108$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Step 2 | $\mathrm{~A}=100-103=-3$ |
| Step 3 | $\mathrm{B}=100-108=-8$ |
| Step 4 | $\mathrm{C}=\left(-3^{*}-8\right)=24$ |
| Step 5 | $\mathrm{D}=103-(-8)^{\text {'or' } 108-(-3)=111}$ |
| Step 6 | Result $=(100 * 111)+18=11100+24=\mathbf{1 1 1 2 4}$ |
| Table: $3 e$ - worked example: when ' $a^{\prime}$ and ' $b^{\prime}>10$ |  |

Table: $3 e$ - worked example: when ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' > 10
The steps for multiplication of 2 digit number in which one number, which is a is greater than and the other number lesser than the nearest base 100, using the NND sutra. The base method when ' $a$ ' > 100 and ' $b$ ' < 100 are as shown below in Table: 3 f and Table: 3 g :

| Step 1 | $a^{*} b$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Step 2 | (Nearest Base) $-a=-\mathrm{A}$ |
| Step 3 | $\mathrm{~B}=($ Nearest Base $)-b$ |
| Step 4 | $\mathrm{C}=\left(-\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ |
| Step 5 | $\mathrm{D}=a-(\mathrm{B})$ 'or' $b-(-\mathrm{A})$ |
| Step 6 | Result $=\left(100^{*} \mathrm{D}\right)-\mathrm{C}$ |

Table: $3 f$ - when ' $a$ ' $>100$ and ' $b$ ' $<100$
As an example, consider to find 104 * 97 in which 97 is less than and 100 is greater than the nearest base 100,

| Step 1 | $104^{*} 97$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Step 2 | $\mathrm{A}=100-104=-4$ |
| Step 3 | $\mathrm{B}=100-97=3$ |
| Step 4 | $\mathrm{C}=\left(-\mathbf{4}^{*} 3\right)=-12$ |
| Step 5 | $\mathrm{D}=104-\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ 'or' $97-(-4)=101$ |
| Step 6 | Result $=(100 * 101)-12=11100-12=\mathbf{1 0 0 8 8}$ |

Table: $3 g$ - worked example: when ' $a$ ' > 100 and ' $b$ '< 100
Using NND sutra, a $2 \times 2$ digit multiplication operation can be performed in 1 multiplication, whereas classical multiplication requires 4 multiplication operations.
In the first algorithm of this paper "The Nearest Place Values" approach, we will eliminate the 1 multiplication needed by the NND sutra and achieve in time $O(n)$.

## 4. Karatsuba Algorithm

Karatsuba algorithm is based on divide and conquer strategy. Karatsuba algorithm can multiply a 2 digit multiplication in 3 multiplication steps instead of 4 steps. A generalization of two digit multiplication using Karatsuba algorithm for $a_{1} a_{2} * b_{1} b_{2}$ is shown below:

1. $\mathrm{A}=a_{1} * b_{1}$
2. $\mathrm{B}=a_{2} * b_{2}$
3. $\mathrm{C}=\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) *\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)$
(Note in this paper we, opted the negative variation of the Karatsuba algorithm to find the value of C, which is an improvised version over the original algorithm and reduces the overall complexity when the opted numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ are greater than 5.)
4. $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}-(\mathrm{C})$ here $\mathrm{D}=\left(a_{1} * b_{2}\right)+\left(a_{2} * b_{1}\right)$
5. Result $=\left(100^{*} A\right)+(10 * D)+B$

As an example, consider multiplication of $48 * 67$, using Karatsuba algorithm. Let $a_{1}=4, a_{2}=8$ and $b_{1}=6, b_{2}=7$ :

1. $A=4 * 6=24$
2. $B=8 * 7=56$
3. $C=(4-8) *(6-7)=(-4) *(-1)=4$
4. $D=24+56-(4)=80-4=76$
5. Result $=(100 * 24)+(10 * 76)+56=2400+760+56=3216$

So, 48*67 = 3216
The shift, addition and subtraction operations can be ignored as multiplication operation is most costly. For a $2 \times 2$ digit multiplication, Karatsuba algorithm used only 3 multiplications steps instead of 4 . The time complexity of Karatsuba algorithm is $=O\left(n^{\log 3 / \log 2}\right) \approx O\left(n^{1.585}\right)$.

## 5. Toom - 2 Algorithm

Toom - Cook algorithm is based on our realization that any integer can be written as a polynomial. Toom-2 algorithm can multiply a 2 digit multiplication in 3 multiplication steps instead of 4 steps. A generalization of two digit multiplication using Toom-2 for $a_{1} a_{2} * b_{1} b_{2}$ is shown below:

```
\(p(x)=\left(a_{1} x+a_{2}\right)\)
\(q(x)=\left(b_{1} x+b_{2}\right)\)
\(r(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c\)
\(r(x)=p(x)^{*} q(x)\)
\(r(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c=\left(a_{1} x+a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1} x+b_{2}\right)\)
```

Example we want to multiply $48 \times 67$
48 can be written as $p(x)=\left(a_{1} x+a_{2}\right)=(4 x+8)$ and $q(x)=\left(b_{1} x+b_{2}\right)=(6 x+7)$ where $x=10$, which is the base value.
So $r(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c=(4 x+8)(6 x+7)$
Substituting ' $x$ ' value as 0,1 and finding 'inf' (infimum) or 'GLB' (Greatest Lower Bound)

Now considering $x=0$ :
$r(0)=p(0) * q(0)$
So, $a(02)+b(0)+\mathrm{c}=[4(0)+8][6(0)+7]$
$c=$ [8] [7]
Therefore, $c=56$
Now considering $x=1: r(1)=p(1) * q(1)$
So, $a(12)+b(1)+c=[4(1)+8][6(1)+7]$
$=a+b+56=[4+8][6+7]$
$=a+b+56=12 \times 13$
$=a+b=156-56$
$=a+b=100$ $\qquad$ .Equation 1

Now considering 'inf' (infimum) or 'GLB' (Greatest Lower Bound)
So, 'inf' of $a x^{2}+b x+c=(4 x+8)(6 x+7)$
$=a x^{2}=(4 x)(6 x)$
$=a=(4)(6)$
$=a=24$
Now, we already know the value of ' $c$ ' which is equal to 56 , and ' $a$ ' $=24$
To find the value of ' $b$ ' by substituting the value of ' $a$ ' in the Equation 1
$a+b=100$
$24+b=100$
$b=100-24=76$
Solving the above equations we get $a=24$ and $b=76$. And we know the value of $c$, which is equal to 56
Substituting the values of $a=24, b=76$ and $c=56$, in $a \times 2+b x+c$, and considering the base value of $x=10$
$24\left(10^{2}\right)+76(10)+56$
$2400+760+56=3216$
So, $48 \times 67=3216$
A Toom -2 algorithm needs 3 multiplications. The time complexity of Toom-2 algorithm is $=O\left(n^{\log 3 / \log 2}\right) \approx O\left(n^{1.585}\right)$.

## 6. An improvised version of the NND method - the Nearest Place Values method (NPV)

A $2 \times 2$ digit multiplication needs the 3 multiplications to achieve the result as per Karatsuba as explained in (Section 4) and Toom-2 algorithms (Section 5).
We have also demonstrated that, for a $2 \times 2$ digit multiplication using the base method (as explained in Section 3 ), we need only 1 multiplication (when the two numbers are nearest to the base value 100).
In this section, we propose nearest place values approach for which we do not need any multiplications at all to multiply any two integers and absolutely achieves much desired $O(n)$ time complexity.

The proposed nearest value approach is based on and combination of Vedic mathematics (discussed in section 3) and divide and conquer algorithm. The salient features of proposed approach are:
> Any given order can be multiplied
$>$ The time complexity achieved is equal to $O(n)$, i.e., absolutely with zero number of multiplications.
There are two unique methods to obtain $O(n)$ time complexity using proposed nearest place values approach. The two methods are namely: the nearest Ten's method and the nearest Hundred's method for a $2 \times 2$ multiplication. We can understand the concepts behind the two methods with a few examples in the remainder of this section.

## The nearest Ten's method

Approach1: Nearest Ten's Method for $2 \times 2$ digits order. To find multiplication of $48 * 67$ and to achieve the $O(n)$. Note: The place value of 4 and 6 is Ten's:

| Ten's $=(10)^{1}$ | $X$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y)-10$ | The steps to arrive the needed value of Ten's place |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{1}$ | 48 | 67 | 105 | $T_{1}=(X+Y)-10=(48+67)-10=115-10=105$ |
| $T_{2}=T_{1}-10$ | 38 | 57 | 85 | $T_{2}=\left(T_{1}-10\right)=(48-10) \&(67-10),(X+Y)-10=(38+57)-10=95-10=85$ |
| $T_{3}=T_{2}-10$ | 28 | 47 | 65 | $T_{3}=\left(T_{2}-10\right)=(38-10) \&(57-10),(X+Y)-10=(28+47)-10=75-10=65$ |
| $T_{4}=T_{3}-10$ | 18 | 37 | 45 | $T_{4}=\left(T_{3}-10\right)=(28-10) \&(47-10),(X+Y)-10=(18+37)-10=55-10=45$ |
| $T_{5}=T_{4}-10$ | 8 | 27 | 25 | $T_{5}=\left(T_{4}-10\right)=(18-10) \&(37-10),(X+Y)-10=(8+27)-10=35-10=25$ |
| $(X)^{*}(Y)=+V e$, So to Add |  | $T=$ | +325 | The final value of $T=$ Sum of the values from $T_{1}$ to $T_{5}=+325$ |
| One's = (10) ${ }^{0}$ | $-X^{\prime}$ | $Y^{\prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}\right)+1$ | The steps to arrive the needed value of One's place |
| $O_{1}=T_{5}-10$ | -2 | 17 | 16 | $O_{1}=T_{5}-10=(8-10) \&(27-10),\left(-X^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}\right)+1=-2+17+1=-2+18=16$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{O}_{1}+1$ | -1 | 18 | 18 | $O_{2}=O_{1}+1=(-2+1) \&(17+1),\left(-X^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}\right)+1=-1+18+1=-1+19=18$ |
| $\left(-X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=-V e$, So to Subtract |  | $0=$ | -34 | The final value of $O=S u m$ of the values from $O_{1}$ to $O_{2}=-34$ |

The procedures for the Nearest Place Values (NPV) Method for multiplication
$>$ The method to find the product of ${ }^{\prime} X^{\prime *} Y^{\prime}$ ' is to finally arrive to a unit digit multiplication (multiplicand to reach and end at ( $1^{*} \leq Y^{\prime}$ ) or 'multiplier to reach and end at ( $X^{\prime} \geq{ }^{*} 1$ )) in the least possible steps using the very Nearest Place Values multiplication approach appropriately.
$>$ To derive the next row values, the value of ' $X$ ' the multiplicand and ' $\gamma$ ' the multiplier are to be added first, and then add or subtract the appropriate nearest place value to arrive to the unit digit multiplication in the shortest possible steps which is equal to the nearest place value. The method continues step by step lessening ' $X^{\prime}$ and ${ }^{\prime} Y$ ' values till arriving and end at unit digit multiplication.
$>$ All the rows final values of so arrived are to be grouped according to their place value and to be added together to get the total of that particular place value and to be multiplied with that place value.
$>$ If the arrived row values of both ' $X$ ' and ' $Y$ ' are positive or negative, then the final value should be added because the product of $(+X)^{*}(+Y)$ (or) $(-X)^{*}(-Y)$ are $=+X Y$ : $(+V e)$. Similarly, if any one of the values of ' $X$ ' or ' $Y$ ' is negative, the final value should be subtracted because the product of $(-X)^{*}(+Y)($ or $)(+X)^{*}(-Y)$ is $=-X Y$ : $(-V e)$.
Using the following appropriate expansion for a 2 digit multiplication
$X^{*} Y=O\left(10^{\circ}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)=$
$48 * 67=(-34)\left(10^{0}\right)+325\left(10^{1}\right)=-34+3250=3216$

## Concept behind the $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{n})$ using nearest Ten's algorithm for a two digit multiplication

2-digit integers and having Radix 10, can be expanded. i.e., $a b=10 a+b$ and $c d=10 c+d$.
$(10 a+b)(10 c+d)$, we will try to understand the concept behind the nearest Ten's approach to achieve $O(n)$ :

| Ten's $=(10)^{1}$ | $X$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y)-10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | $10 a+b$ | $10 c+d$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-10$ |
| $T_{2}=T_{1}-10$ | $10 a+b-10$ | $10 c+d-10$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-30$ |
| $T_{3}=T_{2}-10$ | $10 a+b-20$ | $10 c+d-20$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-50$ |
| $T_{4}=T_{3}-10$ | $10 a+b-30$ | $10 c+d-30$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-70$ |
| $T_{5}=T_{4}-10$ | $10 a+b-40$ | $10 c+d-40$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-90$ |
| $(X)^{*}(Y)=+V e, ~ S o ~ t o ~ A d d ~$ | $T=$ Sum all terms of $(X+Y)-10=$ |  | $50 a+5 b+50 c+5 d-250$ |
| One's $=(10)^{0}$ | $-X^{\prime}$ | $Y^{\prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}\right)+1$ |
| $O_{1}=T_{5}-10$ | $10 a+b-50$ | $10 c+d-50$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-99$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{O}_{1}-1$ | 10a +b-50-1 | $10 c+d-50-1$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-97$ |
| $\left(-X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=-V e$, So to Subtract | $T=$ Sum all terms of $\left(-X^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}\right)+1=$ |  | - (20a +2b+20c +2d-196) |

Table: $6 b$ - Concept behind nearest Ten's algorithm for 2x2
Using the following expansion

| $X$ | $* Y=(10 a+b) *(10 c+d)=O\left(10^{\circ}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $T$ | $=(50 a+5 b+50 c+5 d-250)(10)=500 a+50 b+500 c+50 d-2500$ |
| $O=$ | $-20 a-2 b-20 c-2 d+196$ |
|  | $500 a r+50 b$ |
|  | $+500 c$ |
| $=$ | $+50 d$ |

$=480 a+48 b+480 c+48 d-230=48(10 a+b+10 c+d-48)$
Substituting $48=10 a+b$
$=(10 a+b)(10 a+b+10 c+d-(10 a+b))=(10 a+b)(10 a+b+10 c+d-10 a-b)=(10 a+b)(10 c+d)$

## Nearest Ten's algorithm for a two digit multiplication

To find the product of a two 2 digit numbers. The two 2 digit can be written as $(10 a+b)$ and $(10 c+d)$ To find $(10 a+b)^{*}(10 c+d)$
$(10 a+b)^{*}(10 c+d)=100 a c+10 a d+10 b c+b d$
Also $(10 a+b)^{*}(10 c+d)$ can be expanded in two different ways

## Expansion-1: $(a+1)(10 c+d+b-10)\left(10^{1}\right)+(b-10)(10 c+d-10 a-10)\left(10^{0}\right)$

Or

## Expansion-2: $(c+1)(10 a+b+d-10)\left(10^{1}\right)+(d-10)(10 a+b-10 c-10)\left(10^{0}\right)$

Consider the example which we have seen: $48 \times 67$ from the Table: $6 a$, by observation the nearest Ten's method,
The total sum of ten's values, which we have arrived using nearest Ten's value method is $325 \times 10$. Which can be arrived using the first part of the expansion-1, which is $(a+1)(10 c+d+b-10)(10)$
Let $48=(4 * 10+8)$ and $a=4$ and $b=8$ and $67=(6 * 10+7)$ and $c=6$ and $d=7$
By substituting the values of $a, b, c$ and $d$, in the first part of the expansion-1, which is $(a+1)(10 c+d+b-10)(10)$
$=(4+1)(67+8-10)(10)$
$=(5)(65)(10)=3250$
The total sum of one's values, which we have arrived using nearest Ten's value method is -34 . Which can be arrived using the second part of the expansion 1 , which is $(b-10)(10 c+d-10 a-10)$
By substituting the values of $a, b, c$ and $d$, in the second part of the expansion-1, which is $(b-10)(10 c+d-10 a-10)$
$=(8-10)(67-40-10)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
$=(8-10)(67-50)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
$=(-2)(17)(1)=-34$
By adding the ten's value and one's value
$3250-34=3216$

## So, $48 \times 67=3216$

So, technically the expansion-1: $(a+1)(10 c+d+b-10)(10)+(b-10)(10 c+d-10 a-10)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$ has been used to find the product of a $48 \times 67$, using nearest Ten's method without using any single multiplication.

## The nearest Hundred's method

Approach 2: Nearest Hundreds Method for $2 \times 2$ digits order. To find 48*67. Note: The place value of 4 and 6 is Ten's, and we consider the next base value, which is 100 for the nearest Hundred's method.

| Hundred's $=(10)^{2}$ | $X$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y)-100$ | The steps to arrive the needed value of Hundred's place |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 48 | 67 | 15 | The place value of 4 in $X$ and 6 in $Y$ is 10. The next place value after 10 is 100 . So $\mathrm{H}=(X+Y)-100=(48+67)-100=115-100=15$ |
| $X^{*} Y=+V e$, So to Add |  | $H=$ | +15 | The final value of $\mathbf{H}=15$ |
| Ten's $=(10)^{1}$ | $-X^{\prime}$ | $-Y^{\prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+10$ | The steps to arrive the needed values of Ten's place. |
| $T_{1}=\mathrm{H}-100$ | -52 | -33 | -75 | $T_{1}=(H-100)=(48-100) \&(67-100),\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+10=(-52-33)+10=-85+10=-75$ |
| $T_{2}=T_{1}+10$ | -42 | -23 | -55 | $T_{2}=\left(T_{1}+10\right)=(-52+10) \&(-33+10),\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+10=(-42-23)+10=-65+10=-55$ |
| $T_{3}=T_{2}+10$ | -32 | -13 | -35 | $T_{3}=\left(T_{2}+10\right)=(-42+10) \&(-23+10),\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+10=(-32-13)+10=-45+10=-35$ |
| $\left(-X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | $T=$ | +165 | The final value of $T=S u m$ of the values from $T_{1}$ to $T_{3}=+165$ |
| One's = (10) ${ }^{0}$ | $-X^{\prime \prime}$ | $-Y^{\prime \prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$ | The steps to arrive the needed value of One's place |
| $O_{1}=T_{3}+10$ | -22 | -3 | -24 | $O_{1}=\left(T_{3}+10\right)=(-32+10) \&(-13+10),\left(-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1=(-22-3)+1=-25+1=-24$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{O}_{1}+1$ | -21 | -2 | -22 | $O_{2}=\left(O_{1}+1\right)=(-22+1) \&(-3+1),\left(-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1=(-21-2)+1=-23+1=-22$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{3}=\mathrm{O}_{2}+1$ | -20 | -1 | -20 | $O_{3}=\left(O_{2}+1\right)=(-21+1) \&(-2+1),\left(-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1=(-20-1)+1=-21+1=-20$ |
| $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | 0 = | +66 | The final value of $T=S u m$ of the values from $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{O}_{3}=+66$ |

Using the following appropriate expansion for a 2 digit multiplication
$X * Y=O\left(10^{\circ}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)$
$48 * 67=66\left(10^{0}\right)+165\left(10^{1}\right)+15\left(10^{2}\right)=66+1650+1500=3216$

## Concept behind the $O(n)$ using nearest Hundred's algorithm for a two digit multiplication

Let the two numbers are $(10 a+b)$ and $(10 c+d)$

| Hundred's = (10) ${ }^{2}$ | $x$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y-100)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | $10 a+b$ | 10c +d | $10 a+b+10 c+d-100$ |
| $X^{*} Y=(+V e)$, So to Add |  | $H=$ Sum all terms of $(X+Y-100)=$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-100$ |
| Ten's $=(10)^{1}$ | $-X^{\prime}$ | $-Y^{\prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+10$ |
| $T_{1}=\mathrm{H}-100$ | $10 a+b-100$ | $10 c+d-100$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-190$ |
| $T_{2}=T_{1}+10$ | $10 a+b-100+10$ | $10 c+d-100+10$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-170$ |
| $T_{3}=T_{2}+10$ | $10 a+b-100+20$ | $10 c+d-100+20$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-150$ |
| $\left(-X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime}\right)=+V e$, so to Add |  | $T=$ Sum all terms of ( $\left.-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+10=$ | $-(30 a+3 b+30 c+3 d-510)$ |
| One's = (10) ${ }^{0}$ | - $\chi^{\prime \prime}$ | - $Y^{\prime \prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$ |
| $O_{1}=T_{3}+10$ | $10 a+b-100+30$ | $10 c+d-100+30$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-139$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{O}_{1}+1$ | $10 a+b-100+31$ | $10 c+d-100+31$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-137$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{3}=\mathrm{O}_{2}+1$ | $10 a+b-100+32$ | $10 c+d-100+32$ | $10 a+b+10 c+d-135$ |
| $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | 0 = Sum all terms of ( $-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}$ )+1 = | -(30a +3b+30c+3d-411) |

Table: 6d - Concept behind nearest Hundred's algorithm for 2x2

Using the following expansion
$X^{*} Y=(10 a+b)^{*}(10 c+d)=O\left(10^{\circ}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)$
$H=(10 a+b+10 c+d-100)\left(10^{2}\right)=1000 a+100 b+1000 c+100 d-10000$
$T=-(30 a+3 b+30 c+3 d-510)\left(10^{1}\right)=-300 a-30 b-300 c-30 d+5100$
$0=-(30 a+3 b+30 c+3 d-411)=-30 a-3 b-30 c-3 d+411$

| $1000 a$ | $+100 b$ | $+1000 c$ | $+100 d$ | -10000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $-300 a$ | $-30 b$ | $-300 c$ | $-30 d$ | +5100 |
| $=\underline{-30 a}$ | $\underline{-3 b}$ | $\underline{-30 c}$ | $\underline{-3 d}$ | $\underline{+411}$ |
| $\underline{+670 a}$ | $\underline{+670 c}$ | $\underline{+67 d}$ | $\underline{-4489}$ |  |

$=670 a+67 b+670 c+67 d-4489=67(10 a+b+10 c+d-67)$
Substituting $67=10 c+d$
$=(10 c+d)(10 a+b+10 c+d-(10 c+d))=(10 c+d)(10 a+b+10 c+d-10 c-d)=(10 c+d)(10 a+b)$

## Nearest Hundred's algorithm for a two digit multiplication

To find the product of a two 2 digit numbers. The two 2 digit can be written as $(10 a+b)$ and $(10 c+d)$
To find the product of $(10 a+b)^{*}(10 c+d)$
$(10 a+b) *(10 c+d)=100 a c+10 a d+10 b c+b d$
Also $(10 a+b)^{*}(10 c+d)$ can be expanded in two different ways
Expansion-3: $(10 a+b+10 c+d-100)\left(10^{2}\right)+(a-9)(10 c+d+b-110)\left(10^{1}\right)+(b-10)(10 c+d-10 a-10)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
Or
Expansion-4: $(10 a+b+10 c+d-100)\left(10^{2}\right)+(c-9)(10 a+b+d-110)\left(10^{1}\right)+(d-10)(10 a+b-10 c-10)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
Consider the example which we have seen: $48 \times 67$ from the Table: $6 c$, by observation the nearest Hundred's method, The total sum of hundred's value, which we have arrived using nearest Hundred's value method is $15 \times 100$. Which can be arrived using the first part of the expansion-4, which is $(10 a+b+10 c+d-100)\left(10^{2}\right)$

Let $48=(4 * 10+8)$ and $a=4$ and $b=8$ and $67=(6 * 10+7)$ and $c=6$ and $d=7$
By substituting the values of $a, b, c$ and $d$, in the first part of the expansion 4 , which is $(10 a+b+10 c+d-100)\left(10^{2}\right)$
$=(48+67-100)\left(10^{2}\right)$
$=(15)(100)=1500$
The total sum of ten's values, which we have arrived using nearest Hundred's value method is $165 \times 10$. Which can be arrived using the middle part of the expansion 4 , which is $(c-9)(10 a+b+d-110)\left(10^{1}\right)$
By substituting the values of $a, b, c$ and $d$, in the middle part of the expansion-4, which is $(c-9)(10 a+b+d-110)\left(10^{1}\right)$
$=(6-9)(48+7-110)\left(10^{1}\right)$
$=(-3)(55-110)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
$=(-3)(-55)(10)=1650$
The total sum of one's values, which we have arrived using nearest Hundred's value method is $66 \times 1$. Which can be arrived, using the last part of the expansion 4, which is $(d-10)(10 a+b-10 c-10)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
By substituting the values of $a, b, c$ and $d$ in the last part of the expansion-4, which is $(d-10)(10 a+b-10 c-10)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
$=(7-10)(48-60-10)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
$=(-3)(48-70)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
$=(-3)(-22)(1)=66$
By adding the hundred's, ten's value and one's values
$1500+1650+66=3216$
So, $48 \times 67=3216$
So, technically the expansion-4: $(10 a+b+10 c+d-100)\left(10^{2}\right)+(c-9)(10 a+b+d-110)\left(10^{1}\right)+(b-10)(10 a+b-10 c-10)$ $\left(10^{\circ}\right)$ has been used to find the product of a $48 \times 67$, using nearest Hundred's method without using any single multiplication.

## $3 \times 3$ Digit Multiplication

We can understand the $O(n)$ concepts with one more worked out examples,
For a $3 \times 3$ digit multiplication
Example: 798 * 654 to achieve the $O(n)$

Approach 1: Nearest Hundreds Method for 3x3 digits. To find 798*654

| Hundred's $=(10)^{2}$ | $X$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y)-100$ | The steps to arrive the needed value of Hundred's place |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 798 | 654 | 1352 | The place value of 7 in $X$ and 6 in $Y$ is 100. $H_{1}=(X+Y)-100=(798+654)-100=1452-100=1352$ |
| $H_{2}=H_{1}-100$ | 698 | 554 | 1152 | $H_{2}=\left(H_{1}-100\right)=(798-100) \&(654-100),(X+Y)-100=(698+554)-100=1252-100=1152$ |
| $H_{3}=H_{2}-100$ | 598 | 454 | 952 | $H_{3}=\left(H_{2}-100\right)=(698-100) \&(554-100),(X+Y)-100=(598+454)-100=1052-100=952$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}=\mathrm{H}_{3}-100$ | 498 | 354 | 752 | $H_{4}=\left(H_{3}-100\right)=(598-100) \&(454-100),(X+Y)-100=(498+354)-100=852-100=752$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}=\mathrm{H}_{4}-100$ | 398 | 254 | 552 | $H_{5}=\left(H_{4}-100\right)=(498-100) \&(354-100),(X+Y)-100=(398+254)-100=652-100=552$ |
| $H_{6}=H_{5}-100$ | 298 | 154 | 352 | $H_{6}=\left(H_{5}-100\right)=(398-100) \&(254-100),(X+Y)-100=(298+154)-100=452-100=352$ |
| $H_{7}=H_{6}-100$ | 198 | 54 | 242 | $H_{7}=\left(H_{6}-100\right)=(298-100) \&(154-100),(X+Y)-100=(198+54)-100=252-100=152$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{8}=\mathrm{H}_{7}-100$ | 98 | -46 | -48 | $H_{8}=\left(H_{7}-100\right)=(198-100) \&(54-100),(X+Y)-100=(98-46)-100=52-100=-48$ |
| $X^{*} Y=+V \mathrm{e}$, So to Add |  | $H=$ | +5216 | The final value of $\mathrm{H}=$ Sum of the values from $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{8}=+5216$ |
| One's $=(10)^{1}$ | $-X^{\prime}$ | $-Y^{\prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+1$ | The steps to arrive the nearest value of Ten's place |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}=\mathrm{H}_{8}-100$ | -2 | -146 | -147 | $O_{1}=\left(H_{8}-100\right)=(98-100) \&(-146-100),\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+1=(-2-146)+1=-148+1=-147$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{O}_{1}+1$ | -1 | -145 | -145 | $O_{2}=\left(O_{1}+1\right)=(-2+1) \&(-146+1),\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+1=(-1-145)+1=-146+1=-145$ |
| $\left(-X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | $T=$ | +292 | The final value of $\mathrm{H}=$ Sum of the values from $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{O}_{2}=+\mathbf{2 9 2}$ |

Table: 6e - Nearest Hundreds Method for 3x3
Using the following appropriate expansion for a 3 digit multiplication
$X^{*} Y=O\left(10^{\circ}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)$
$798 * 654=292\left(10^{0}\right)+0\left(10^{1}\right)+5216\left(10^{2}\right)$
$=292+0+521600=521892$
Approach 2: Nearest Thousands Method for 3x3 digits order. To find 798 * 654

| Thousand's $=(10)^{3}$ | $X$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y)-1000$ | The steps to arrive the needed value of Thousand's place |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Th | 798 | 654 | 452 | The place value of 7 in $X$ and 6 in $Y$ is 100. The next place value after 100 is 1000.So Th=( $X+Y$ )-1000=(798+654)-1000=1452-1000=452 |
| $X^{*} Y=+V e$, So to Add |  | Th = | +452 | The final value of $T h=+452$ |
| Hundred's $=(10)^{2}$ | $-X^{\prime}$ | $-Y^{\prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+100$ | The steps to arrive the needed value of Hundred's place |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}=$ Th-1000 | -202 | -346 | -448 | $\begin{aligned} & H_{1}=(T h-1000)=(798-1000) \&(654-1000),\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+100=(-202-346)+100=- \\ & 548+100=-448 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{H}_{1}+100$ | -102 | -246 | -248 | $\begin{aligned} & H_{2}=\left(H_{1}+100\right)=(-202+100) \&(-346+100),\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+100=(-102-246)+100=- \\ & 348+100=-248 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\left(-X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | $\boldsymbol{H}=$ | +696 | The final value of $\mathrm{H}=$ Sum of the values from $H_{1}$ to $H_{2}=+696$ |
| One's $=(10)^{0}$ | $-X^{\prime \prime}$ | - $Y^{\prime \prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$ | The steps to arrive the needed value of One's place |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}=\mathrm{H}_{2}+100$ | -2 | -146 | -147 | $O_{1}=\left(H_{2}+100\right)=(-102+100) \&(-246+100),\left(-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1=(-2-146)+1=-148+1=-147$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{O}_{1}+1$ | -1 | -145 | -145 | $O_{2}=\left(O_{1}+1\right)=(-2+1) \&(-146+1),\left(-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1=(-1-145)+1=-146+1=-145$ |
| $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | 0 = | +292 | The final value of $0=$ Sum of the values from $O_{1}$ to $O_{2}=+292$ |

Using the following appropriate expansion for a 3 digit multiplication
$X^{*} Y=O\left(10^{0}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)+T h\left(10^{3}\right)$
$798 * 654=292\left(10^{0}\right)+0\left(10^{1}\right)+696\left(10^{2}\right)+452\left(10^{3}\right)=292+69600+452000=521892$

## 7. Improvised Karatsuba algorithm for n-way splits

We understand that the $2 \times 2$ Karatsuba Algorithm (Section 4) and Toom-2 (Section 5) needs total of 3 multiplication operations. In this Section, we present an improvised Karatsuba algorithm for n-way splits.
The algorithm for $3 \times 3$ ( 3 way algorithm)
$a b c^{*} d e f$
$A=\left(a^{*} d\right)$
$B=\left(b^{*} e\right)$
$C=(c * f)$
$D=A+B-((a-b)(d-e))$
$E=A+B+C-((a-c)(d-f))$
$F=B+C-((b-c)(e-f))$
$G=C\left(10^{0}\right)+F\left(10^{1}\right)+E\left(10^{2}\right)+D\left(10^{3}\right)+A\left(10^{4}\right)$
From the above example, it is clear that 6 single digit multiplications are required for the Karatsuba $3 \times 3$ multiplication, which is 1 more than the Toom-3 algorithm, and 1 less than conventional Karatsuba 2 way Algorithm. In addition, we can eliminate the interpolation and the evaluation needed by Toom-3 algorithm.
Let us consider an example to demonstrate improvised Karatsuba algorithm, to find the product of 368 * 897 :
Here $a=3, b=6, c=8$ and $d=8, e=9, f=7$,
$A=\left(a^{*} d\right)=(3 * 8)=24$
$B=\left(b^{*} e\right)=(6 * 9)=54$
$C=(c * f)=(8 * 7)=56$
$\mathrm{D}=A+B-((a-b)(d-e))=24+54-((3-6) *(8-9))$
$=78-\left((-3)^{*}(-1)\right)=78-3=75$
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```
\(\mathrm{E}=A+B+C-((a-c)(d-f))=24+54+56-((3-8) *(8-7))\)
\(=134-((-5) * 1)=134+5=139\)
\(\mathrm{F}=B+C-((b-c)(e-f))=54+56-((6-8)) *(9-7))\)
\(=110-((-2) * 2)=110+4=114\)
```

Substituting the above five values in
$G=C\left(10^{\circ}\right)+F\left(10^{1}\right)+E\left(10^{2}\right)+D\left(10^{3}\right)+A\left(10^{4}\right)$
$\mathrm{G}=56\left(10^{0}\right)+114\left(10^{1}\right)+139\left(10^{2}\right)+75\left(10^{3}\right)+24\left(10^{4}\right)$
$=56+1140+13900+75000+240000=330096$
368 * 897 = 330096

The above $3 \times 3$ Karatsuba algorithm method can be extended to any number of equal pairs.
Improvised Karatsuba Algorithm for n-ordered pairs.
The algorithm for $4 \times 4$ (4 way algorithm)
$4 \times 4$ digit multiplication
$a b c d{ }^{*} e f g h$
$A=\left(a^{*} e\right)$
$B=\left(b^{*} f\right)$
$C=\left(c^{*} g\right)$
$D=\left(d^{*} h\right)$
$E=A+B-((a-b)(e-f))$
$F=A+B+C-((a-c)(e-g))$
$G=A+B+C+D-((a-d)(e-h)-((b-c)(f-g))$
$H=B+C+D-((b-d)(f-h))$
$l=C+D-((c-d)(g-h)$
$J=D\left(10^{\circ}\right)+I\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)+G\left(10^{3}\right)+F\left(\left(10^{4}\right)+E\left(10^{5}\right)+A\left(10^{6}\right)\right.$
As demonstrated above, total of 10 single digit multiplications needed for the Karatsuba $4 \times 4$ multiplication.
Improvised Karatsuba Algorithm for $5 \times 5$ ( 5 way algorithm)
$5 \times 5$ digit multiplication
$a b c d e^{*} f g h i j$
$A=\left(a^{*} f\right)$
$B=\left(b^{*} g\right)$
$C=\left(c^{*} h\right)$
$D=\left(d^{*} i\right)$
$E=\left(e^{*} h\right)$
$F=A+B-((a-b)(f-g))$
$G=A+B+C-((a-c)(f-h))$
$H=A+B+C+D-((a-d)(f-i))-((b-c)(g-h))$
$I=A+B+C+D+E-((a-e)(f-j))-((b-d)(g-i))$
$J=B+C+D+E-((b-e)(g-j))-(c-d)(h-i))$
$K=C+D+E-((c-e)(h-j))$
$L=D+E-((d-e)(I-j))$
$M=E\left(10^{\circ}\right)+L\left(10^{1}\right)+K\left(10^{2}\right)+J\left(10^{3}\right)+I\left(\left(10^{4}\right)+H\left(10^{5}\right)+G\left(10^{6}\right)+F\left(10^{7}\right)+A\left(10^{8}\right)\right.$
As demonstrated above, total of 15 single digit multiplications needed for the Karatsuba $5 \times 5$ multiplication.
For $6 \times 6$ multiplication, we need 21 single digit multiplications and similarly for $7 \times 7$ multiplication, we need 28 , and for $8 \times$ 8 multiplication, we need 36 single digit multiplications.
In general for an $n$ ordered pair, the improvised Karatsuba algorithm requires, $(n)((n+1) / 2)$ single digit multiplications. It is important to note that, for other ordered pairs, using appropriate divide and conquer and Karatsuba improvised algorithm, the overall time complexity can be reduced.

## 8. Integer multiplication to achieve in time $O(n)$ - using nearest place values and divide and conquer method

To find 831275469 * 897512436 using divide and conquer through O(n) approach.
Using the improvised $3 \times 3$ Karatsuba algorithm and $O(n)$ nearest place values approach, one can find the product.
We can opt for any one of the Nearest Hundreds Method or Nearest Thousands Method to find the product according to the merit.
To find the product of 831275469 * 897512 436, using $3 \times 3$ Improvised Karatsuba algorithm. Let $a=831, b=275, c=469$ and $\mathrm{d}=897, \mathrm{e}=512, \mathrm{f}=436$.
A = 831* 897
B $=275$ * 512
C $=469$ * 436
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}-[(831-275)(897-512)]$
$E=A+B+C-[(831-469)(897-436)]$
$\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}-[(275-469)(512-436)]$
$G=C\left(10^{0}\right)^{3}+F\left(10^{1}\right)^{3}+E\left(10^{2}\right)^{3}+D\left(10^{3}\right)^{3}+A\left(10^{4}\right)$

A=831*897 using Nearest Thousand's Method

| Thousand's=103 | $X$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y)-1000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Th | 831 | 897 | 728 |
| $(X)^{*}(Y)=+V e$, So to Add |  | Th | +728 |
| Hundred's=10 ${ }^{2}$ | $-X^{\prime}$ | $-Y^{\prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+100$ |
| $H_{1}=T h-1000$ | -169 | -103 | -172 |
| $\left(-X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | H = | +172 |
| One's=10 ${ }^{\circ}$ | -X' | $-Y^{\prime \prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}=\mathrm{H}_{1}+100$ | -69 | -3 | -71 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{O}_{1}+1$ | -68 | -2 | -69 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{3}=\mathrm{O}_{2}+1$ | -67 | -1 | -67 |
| $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | 0 = | +207 |

Table: $8 a$ - worked example: $831 * 897$
The 3 values $\mathrm{Th}=728, \mathrm{H}=172, \mathrm{~T}=0$ and $\mathrm{O}=207$
$X^{*} Y=O\left(10^{0}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)+H\left(10^{3}\right)$
$A=831 * 897=207+0(10)+172(100)+728(1000)$
$=207+17200+728000=745407$. So, $A=745407$
B=275*512 using Nearest Hundred's Method

| Hundred's=102 | $X$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y)-100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 275 | 512 | 687 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{H}_{1}-100$ | 175 | 412 | 487 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}=\mathrm{H}_{2}-100$ | 75 | 312 | 287 |
| $X^{*} Y=+V e$, So to Add |  | H = | +1461 |
| Ten's=101 | $-X^{\prime}$ | $Y^{\prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}\right)+10$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}=\mathrm{H}_{3}-100$ | -25 | 212 | 197 |
| $T_{1}=H_{4}+10$ | -15 | 222 | 217 |
| $\left(-X^{\prime}\right)^{*} Y^{\prime}=-V e$, So to Subtract |  | $T=$ | -414 |
| One's=10 ${ }^{\circ}$ | - ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $Y^{\prime \prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}+Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}=T_{2}+10$ | -5 | 232 | 228 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{O}_{1}+1$ | -4 | 233 | 230 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{3}=\mathrm{O}_{2}+1$ | -3 | 234 | 232 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{4}=\mathrm{O}_{3}+1$ | -2 | 235 | 234 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{5}=\mathrm{O}_{4}+1$ | -1 | 236 | 236 |
| $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*} Y^{\prime \prime}=-V e$, So to Subtract |  | H = | -1160 |

Table: 8b - worked example: 275*512
The needed three values are $\mathrm{H}=1461, \mathrm{~T}=-414$ and $\mathrm{O}=-1160$
$X^{*} Y=O\left(10^{\circ}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)$
$B=275 * 512=(-1160)+(-414)(10)+1461(100)$
$=-1160-4140+146100$
$=146100-5300=140800$. So, $B=140800$
C=469*436 using Nearest Hundred's Method

| Hundred's=10 | $X$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y)-100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 469 | 436 | 805 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{H}_{1}-100$ | 369 | 336 | 605 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}=\mathrm{H}_{2}-100$ | 269 | 236 | 405 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}=\mathrm{H}_{3}-100$ | 169 | 136 | 205 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{5}=\mathrm{H}_{4}-100$ | 69 | 36 | 5 |
| $X^{*} Y=+V e$, So to Add |  | $\boldsymbol{H}=$ | +2025 |
| Ten's=101 | $-X^{\prime}$ | $-Y^{\prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+10$ |
| $T_{1}=H_{5}-100$ | -31 | -64 | -85 |
| $T_{2}=T_{1}+10$ | -21 | -54 | -65 |
| $T_{3}=T_{2}+10$ | -11 | -44 | -45 |
| $\left(-X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | $T=$ | +195 |
| One's=10 ${ }^{\circ}$ | - $\chi^{\prime \prime}$ | $-Y^{\prime \prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$ |
| $O_{1}=T_{3}+10$ | -1 | -34 | -34 |
| $\left(-X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | $0=$ | +34 |

Table: 8c - worked example: 469*436
The 3 values $\mathrm{H}=2025, \mathrm{~T}=195$ and $\mathrm{O}=34$
$X^{*} Y=O\left(10^{\circ}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{C}=469 * 436=34+195(10)+2025(100)$
$=34+1950+202500=204484$. So, $C=204484$
We have found the first three values needed for the improvised 3 way Karatsuba algorithm namely A, B, and C. By substituting the above three values, we can find the next three values needed, namely $D, E$ and $F$.
$D=A+B-[(831-275)(897-512)]$
$=745407+140800-[(556)(385)]$
556 * 385 using Nearest Hundred's Method

| Hundred's=10 | $X$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y)-100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $H_{1}$ | 556 | 385 | 841 |
| $H_{2}=H_{1}-100$ | 456 | 285 | 641 |
| $H_{3}=H_{2}-100$ | 356 | 185 | 441 |
| $H_{4}=H_{3}-100$ | 256 | 85 | 241 |
| $(X)^{*}(Y)=+$ Ve, So to Add |  | $H=$ | +2164 |
| $T_{e n}{ }^{\prime} s=10^{1}$ |  | $X^{\prime}$ | $-Y^{\prime}$ |
| $T_{1}=H_{4}-100$ | 156 | -15 | $\left(X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+10$ |
| $\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime}\right)=-V e$, So to Subtract | $T=$ | -151 |  |
| $O_{n e}{ }^{\prime} s=10^{0}$ |  | $X^{\prime \prime}$ | $-Y^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $O_{1}=T_{1}+10$ | 166 | -5 | $\left(X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$ |
| $O_{2}=O_{1}+1$ | 167 | -4 | 162 |
| $O_{3}=O_{2}+1$ | 168 | -3 | 164 |
| $O_{4}=O_{3}+1$ | 169 | -2 | 168 |
| $O_{5}=O_{4}+1$ |  | 170 | -1 |
| $\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)=-V e$, So to Subtract | $0=$ | 170 |  |

Table: 8d - worked example: 556*385
The 3 values $\mathrm{H}=2164, \mathrm{~T}=-151$ and $\mathrm{O}=-830$
$X^{*} Y=O\left(10^{\circ}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)$
$556 * 385=(-830)+(-151)(10)+2164(100)$
$=-830-1510+216400$
$=216400-2340=214060$
$D=745407+140800-[(556)(385)]$
$=745407+140800-214060$
$=886207-214060=672147$. So, $D=672147$
$E=A+B+C-[(831-469)(897-436)]$
$E=745407+140800+204484-[(362)(461)]$
362 * 461 using Nearest Hundred's Method

| Hundred's=10 ${ }^{2}$ | $X$ | $Y$ | $(X+Y)-100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 362 | 461 | 723 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{H}_{1}-100$ | 262 | 361 | 523 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}=\mathrm{H}_{2}-100$ | 162 | 261 | 323 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}=\mathrm{H}_{3}-100$ | 62 | 161 | 123 |
| $(X) *(Y)=+V e, ~ S$ | Add | $H=$ | +1692 |
| Ten's=101 | $-X^{\prime}$ | $Y^{\prime}$ | $\left(-X^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}\right)+10$ |
| $T_{1}=\mathrm{H}_{4}-100$ | -38 | 61 | 33 |
| $T_{2}=T_{1}+10$ | -28 | 71 | 53 |
| $T_{3}=T_{2}+10$ | -18 | 81 | 73 |
| $T_{4}=T_{3}+10$ | -8 | 91 | 93 |
| $\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime}\right)=-V e$, So to Subtract |  | $T=$ | -252 |
| One's=10 ${ }^{0}$ | $X^{\prime \prime}$ | $Y^{\prime \prime}$ | $(X+Y)-1$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{4}+10$ | 2 | 101 | 102 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{O}_{1}-1$ | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| ( $X^{\prime \prime}$ )* $\left.{ }^{\prime} Y^{\prime \prime}\right)=+V e$, So to Add |  | 0 = | +202 |

Table: $8 e$ - worked example: $362 * 461$
The 3 values $\mathrm{H}=1692, \mathrm{~T}=-252$ and $\mathrm{O}=-202$
$X^{*} Y=O\left(10^{\circ}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)$
$362 * 461=-202+(-252)(10)+1692(100)$
$=202-2520+169200$
= 169402-2520=166882
$E=745407+140800+204484-[(362)(461)]$
$=745407+140800+204484-166882$
$=1090691-166882=923809$. So, $E=923809$
$F=B+C-[(275-469)(512-436)]$
$=140800+204484-[(275-469)(512-436)]$
$=140800+204484-[(275-469)(512-436)]$
$=140800+204484-[(-194)(76)]$

| $194 * 76$ using Nearest Hundred's Method |
| :--- |
| Hundred's=10 $X$ $Y$ $(X+Y)-100$ <br> $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ 194 76 170 <br> $(X)^{*}(Y)=+V e$, So to Add  $H=$ +170 <br> Ten's $=10^{1}$ $X^{\prime}$ $-Y^{\prime}$ $\left(X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)+10$ <br> $\mathrm{~T}_{1}=\mathrm{H}_{4}-100$ 94 -24 80 <br> $\mathrm{~T}_{2}=\mathrm{T}_{1}+10$ 104 -14 100 <br> $\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime}\right)=-$ Ve, So to Subtract $\boldsymbol{T}=$ -180  <br> One's=10 $X^{\prime \prime}$ $-Y^{\prime \prime}$ $\left(X^{\prime \prime}-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$ <br> $\mathrm{O}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{2}+10$ 114 -4 111 <br> $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{O}_{1}-1$ 115 -3 113 <br> $\mathrm{O}_{3}=\mathrm{O}_{2}-2$ 116 -2 115 <br> $\mathrm{O}_{4}=\mathrm{O}_{3}-3$ 117 -1 117 <br> $\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(-Y^{\prime \prime}\right)=-\mathrm{Ve}$, So to Subtract $\mathbf{O}=$ -456  |

Table: $8 f$ - worked example: 194*76
The 3 values $\mathrm{H}=170, \mathrm{~T}=-180$ and $\mathrm{O}=-456$
$X^{*} Y=O\left(10^{\circ}\right)+T\left(10^{1}\right)+H\left(10^{2}\right)$
$194 * 76=(-456)+(-180)(10)+170(100)$
$=-456-1800+17000$
= $17000-2256=14744$
$F=140800+204484-(-14744)$
$=345284+14744=360028$. So, $F=360028$
Now we found all the 5 values needed.
$C=204484, F=360028, E=923809, D=672147 \& A=745407$
Substituting the above five values in the expansion
$G=C\left(10^{0}\right)^{3}+F\left(10^{1}\right)^{3}+E\left(10^{2}\right)^{3}+D\left(10^{3}\right)^{3}+A\left(10^{4}\right)^{3}$
$=204484+360028(10)^{3}+923809(10)^{6}+672147(10)^{9}+745407(10)^{12}$
204484
360028000
923809000000
672147000000000
(+) 745407000000000000 746080071169232484
$831,275,469 * 897,512,436=746,080,071,169,232,484$
Here it is important to highlight that in the above $9 \times 9$ digit multiplication, if we use conventional long multiplication method, it requires 81 multiplication steps, whereas using the nearest place values algorithm and using the improvised $3 \times 3$ Karatsuba Algorithm presented in this paper, we can achieve the much desired $O(n)$ in time complexity without using a single multiplication.

## 9. Egyptian, Babylonians and Average \& Difference Square multiplication methods

The two ancient civilizations - Egyptian and Babylonians developed mathematics that was similar in some ways and different in others. In this section, we provide brief summary of Egyptian and Babylonians methods. Furthermore, we present an improved called - Average \& Difference Square multiplication algorithms, based on modifications to Egyptian and Babylonian algorithms.

## Ancient Egyptian Multiplication method

Ancient Egyptians had developed a very interesting method for multiplying two numbers, which is called doubling method. Egyptians are aware of the Binary numbers, also called 'base- 2 ' numbers. They also understand that any decimal number numbers can be represented using the sum of Binary numerals.

$$
\text { Considering } 2 \times 2 \text { digit multiplication } 48 * 67
$$

| LHS | RHS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 48 | 67 |
| 1 | 67 |
| $(1+1)=2$ | $(67+67)=134$ |
| $(2+2)=4$ | $(134+134)=268$ |
| $(4+4)=8$ | $(268+268)=536$ |
| $(8+8)=16^{*}$ | $(536+536)=1072$ |
| $(16+16)=32^{*}$ | $(1072+1072)=2144$ |

Table: 9 - Egyptian Doubling Method: 48*67
From the above Table: 9, the number 48 on LHS column can be written as sum of $32 \& 16$. The corresponding rows value of 32 and 16 from the RHS column is 2144 and 1072. By adding the corresponding values of $16 \& 32$, one can find the product of $48^{*} 36$, which is equal to $1072+2144=3216$.

## Babylonians Multiplication method

Babylonians used square number tables in multiplication of two numbers.
To multiply two numbers say ' a ' and ' b ' Babylonians used the following algorithm.

$$
a^{*} b=\frac{(a+b)^{2}-a^{2}-b^{2}}{2}
$$

The improvised version of the Babylonians algorithm, which is much simplified version.

$$
a * b=\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}-(a-b)^{2}}{2}
$$

We use the difference square $(a-b)^{2}$ instead of sum square $(a+b)^{2}$ in the improvised Babylonians algorithm
Before the use of calculators, for multiplications, during $18^{\text {th }}$ century, they have referred predefined square number tables to do the multiplications using Quarter Square method.

As an example, consider $2 \times 2$ digit multiplication 48 * 67
Using the improvised Babylonians algorithm, let $a=48$ and $b=67$

$$
48^{*} 67=\frac{48^{2}+67^{2}-(48-67)^{2}}{2}=3216
$$

Average \& Difference Square (Quarter Square) multiplication method.
By further simplifying the Babylonians algorithm, we can achieve the Quarter Square multiplication Algorithm or simplify the Average \& Difference Square Multiplication.
Refining the Babylonians algorithm to achieve Average \& Difference Square Multiplication.

$$
a^{*} b=\frac{(a+b)^{2}-(a-b)^{2}}{4}
$$

Considering the previous example of $2 \times 2$ digit multiplication $48 * 67$
Using the Quarter Square algorithm, let $a=48$ and $b=67$

$$
48 * 67=\frac{(48+67)^{2}-(48-67)^{2}}{4}=3216
$$

To multiply any two integers and to achieve the $O(n)$ time complexity, the needed strategy is
> By observing the above three algorithms one can see that, we need binary multiplication followed by the Egyptians (doubling technique) to multiply any two integers.
$>$ Through repeated addition and subtraction of the two integers ' $a$ ' \& ' $b$ ', we can achieve the much needed doubling at every alternate steps.
In the next chapter, which discusses and simplifies the above two needed strategy to achieve the $O(n)$ time complexity.

## 10. Addition \& Subtraction (AnS) approach to achieve $O(n)$

Let ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' be two integers.
In this Section, we propose Addition and Subtraction (AnS) based approach, which uses only addition and subtraction for multiplication of two integer numbers. The proposed approach relies and borrows some techniques that were discussed in Section. 9, through repeated addition and subtraction of the two numbers ' $a$ ' \& ' $b$ '.

| Steps | Repeated Addition | $a^{*} 2^{n-1}$ | \# | Repeated Subtraction | $b^{*} 2^{n-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $a$ | $a^{*} 2^{0}=a$ | \# | $b$ | $b^{*} 2^{0}=b$ |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{ll}a & a^{*} 2^{0}=a \\ a+b & \end{array}$ |  | \# | $a-b$ |  |
| 3 | $(a+b)+(a-b)=2 a$ | $a^{*} 2^{1}=2 a$ | \# | $(a+b)-(a-b)=2 b$ | $b^{*} 2^{1}=2 b$ |
| 4 | $2 a+2 b$ |  | \# | $2 a-2 b$ |  |
| 5 | $(2 a+2 b)+(2 a-2 b)=4 a$ | $a^{*} 2^{2}=4 a$ | \# | $(2 a+2 b)-(2 a+2 b)=4 b$ | $b^{*} 2^{2}=4 b$ |
| 6 | $4 a+4 b$ |  | \# | $4 a-4 b$ |  |
| 7 | $(4 a+4 b)+(4 a-4 b)=8 a$ | $a^{*} 2^{3}=8 a$ | \# | $(4 a+4 b)-(4 a+4 b)=8 b$ | $b^{*} 2^{3}=8 b$ |

Table: 10a - The 7 steps of AnS algorithm - (a*b)
Write down ' $a$ ' \& ' $b$ ', add ' $a$ ' \& ' $b$ ' and subtract ' $a$ ' \& ' $b$ '. Now you have a two new numbers. Apply the same rules add \& subtract to the two new numbers. As you keep repeating the process till we get five more new values and then to stop.

By observation from Table: 10a, we find that through repeated addition and subtractions, of the two integers ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ', doubles itself, at every alternate steps i.e. at $1,3,5$ and 7 . Every odd numbered steps yields binary series. $2^{0}, 2^{1}, 2^{2}$, and $2^{3}$ which is $1,2,4$, and 8 . It's a combination of the Egyptian doubling multiplication method and Average \& Difference Square Multiplication.

We collectively employ and improvise the Egyptians doubling logic and Babylonians algorithms to multiply the two integers only using the two basic arithmetic operations addition, and subtraction. Through repeated additions and subtractions to double any given two numbers using $(a+b)$ and ( $a-b$ ). It is very important to highlight that, the number of repeated steps needed to multiply any order of integers is to be limited to maximum of 7 steps Using this property and divide and conquer algorithm, we can achieve the much desired in time $O(n)$ as demonstrated below..

Product of 69 * 87 using the AnS algorithm in time $O(n)$
To find 69 \& 87 using repeated addition and subtraction ( $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ ) and (a-b), considering $a=69$ \& $b=87$

| $2^{n}$ | LHS $=(a+b)$ |  | RHS $=(a-b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathbf{2}^{0}$ | 69 | \& | 87 |
| $(69+87)=156$ |  | \& | $(69-87)=-18$ |
| 2 =2 ${ }^{1}$ | $(156-18)=138$ | \& | $(156+18)=174$ |
|  | $(138+174)=312$ | \& | $(138-174)=-36$ |
| $4=2^{2}$ | $(312-36)=276$ | \& | $(312+36)=348$ |
|  | $(276+348)=624$ | \& | $(276-348)=-72$ |
| $8=2^{3}$ | (624-72) =552 | \& | $(624+72)=696$ |

In the above example, $69 * 87$, the smallest number is 69 and the number ' 9 ' is having the biggest face value. We know that the number 9 can be written has $8+1=2^{3}+2^{0}$. To arrive the needed values, we have to follow the AnS repeated addition and subtraction to reach the level of $2^{3}$.
The product $69 * 87$ can be written has $(60+9) * 87=(60 * 87)+(9 * 87)$
$=(6 * 87)\left(10^{1}\right)+(9 * 87)\left(10^{0}\right)$
$=(4+2)(87)\left(10^{1}\right)+(8+1)(87)\left(10^{0}\right)$
$=\left(2^{2}+2^{1}\right)(87)\left(10^{1}\right)+\left(2^{3}+2^{0}\right)(87)\left(10^{0}\right)$
Substituting corresponding values of 69 under 87 from Table: $10 b$
$69 * 87=(348+174)(10)+(696+87)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
$=522(10)+783(1)=5220+783=6003$
The other way also it works 69 *87
$=69$ * $(80+7)$
$=(69 *(8))\left(10^{1}\right)+(69) *(4+2+1)\left(10^{0}\right)$
$=\left(69 *\left(2^{3}\right)\right)\left(10^{1}\right)+(69) *\left(2^{2}+2^{1}+2^{0}\right)\left(10^{0}\right)$
Substituting corresponding values 87 under 69
$=552(10)+(276+138+69)(1)=5520+483=6003$
Now let us consider a three digit multiplication using an example 839 * 694.
Let $\mathrm{a}=839$ and $\mathrm{b}=694$, and the smallest number is 694 .
In 694 the number ' 9 ' is having biggest face value 9 and can be written as $8+1=2^{3}+2^{0}$.
So to reach the $2^{3}$ level we have to follow the AnS algorithm to get the desired answer.

| $2^{n}$ | LHS $=(a+b)$ |  | RHS $=(a-b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1=2^{0}$ | 839 | \& | 694 |
| $(839+694)=1533$ |  | \& | $(839-694)=145$ |
| 2 =2 ${ }^{1}$ | $(1533+145)=1678$ | \& | $(1533-145)=1388$ |
|  | $(1678+1388)=3066$ | \& | $(1388-1678)=290$ |
| $4=2^{2}$ | $(3066$ +290) $=3356$ | \& | (3066-290) =2776 |
|  | $(2776+3356)=6132$ | \& | $(2776-3356)=580$ |
| $8=2^{3}$ | $(6132+580)=6712$ | \& | $(6132-580)=5552$ |

$839 * 694=839 *(600+90+4)$
$=839 *\left(6\left(10^{2}\right)+9(10)+4\right)$
$=(839)(4+2)(100)+(839)(8+1)(10)+(839)(4)$
$=(839)\left(2^{2}+2^{1}\right)(100)+(839)\left(2^{3}+2^{1}\right)(10)+(839)\left(2^{2}\right)$
Substituting corresponding values of694 under 839 from table 10c
$=(3356+1678)(100)+(6712+839)(10)+3356=(5034)(100)+(7551)(10)+3356=503400+75510+3356=582266$
$=839$ * 7694 =582266
We can get the same answer the other way also
$839 * 694=(800+30+9) * 694$
$=\left(8\left(10^{2}\right)+3(10)+9 *(694\right.$
$=(8)(694)(100)+(2+1)(694)(10)+(8+1)(694)$
$=\left(2^{3}\right)(694)(100)+\left(2^{1}+2^{0}\right)(694)(10)+\left(2^{3}+2^{0}\right)(694)$
Substituting corresponding values of 839 under 694 from table 10c
$=(5552)(100)+(1388+694)(10)+(5552+694)=(5552)(100)+(2082)(10)+6246=555200+20820+6246=582266$
$=839 * 694=582266$

## 11. Integer multiplication to achieve in time $O(n)$ - AnS and divide \& conquer method

In this section, we propose a new method called - Addition and Subtraction (AnS) approach, which is based on divide and conquer method to break large integer numbers into smaller blocks/chunks and then apply the AnS method discussed in Section 10, on each individual chunks simultaneously.

To find 759726896599588732731867 * 990593834999174568981846 . Which is a 24 digit $\times 24$ digit multiplication.
Using the $3 \times 3$ improvised Karatsuba algorithm the, 24 digits has been split into 3 equal parts each part having 8 digits, so value of ' $n$ ' $=24 / 3=8$. Let $a=75972689, b=65995887, c=32731867$ and $d=99059383, e=49991745, f=68981846$
$A=(a * d)=75972689 * 99059383$
$B=(b * e)=65995887 * 49991745$
$C=(c * f)=32731867 * 68981846$
$\mathrm{D}=A+B-[(a-b)(d-e)]=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}-[(75972689-65995887)(99059383-49991745)]=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}-[(9976802)(49067638)]$
$\mathrm{E}=A+B+C-[(a-c)(d-f)]=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}-[(75972689-32731867)(99059383-68981846)]=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}-[(43240822)(30077537)]$
$\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}-[(b-c)(e-f)]=\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}-[(65995887-32731867)(49991745-68981846)]=\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}-[(33264020)(-18990101)]$
$G=C\left(10^{0}\right)^{8}+F\left(10^{1}\right)^{8}+E\left(10^{2}\right)^{8}+D\left(10^{3}\right)^{8}+A\left(10^{4}\right)^{8}$
To find $A=75972689 * 99059383$
In 75972689, the number 9 is having the largest face value and in 99059383, the number 9 is having the largest face value. Any one of the number having the face value number 9 can be opted, to have least number of steps in AnS algorithm, which is up to level $2^{3}$,because $9=(8+1)$.

| $2^{n}$ | LHS $=(a+b)$ |  | RHS $=(a-b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1=2^{0}$ | 75972689 | \& | 99059383 |
|  | $(75972689+99059383)=175032072$ | \& | $(75972689-99059383)=-23086694$ |
| 2 =2 ${ }^{1}$ | $(175032072-23086694)=151945378$ | \& | $(175032072+23086694)=198118766$ |
|  | $(151945378+198118766)=350064144$ | \& | $(151945378-198118766)=-46173388$ |
| $4=2^{2}$ | $(350064144-46173388)=303890756$ | \& | $(350064144+46173388)=396237532$ |
|  | $(303890756+3962375320)=4266266076$ | \& | $(303890756-3962375320)=-3658484564$ |
| $8=2^{3}$ | $(4266266076-3658484564)=607781512$ | \& | $(4266266076+3658484564)=7924750640$ |

Table: 11a - (75972689*99059383)
75972689 * $99059383=$
Place value of $9=(8+1)$ is $=10000000=10^{7}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(607781512+75972689)\left(10^{7}\right)$ =6837542010000000
Place value of $9=(8+1)$ is $=1000000=10^{6}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(607781512+75972689)\left(10^{6}\right)$
$=683754201000000$
Place value of 0 is $=100000=10^{5}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(0)\left(10^{5}\right)=0$
Place value of $5=(4+1)$ is $=10000=10^{4}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(303890756+75972689)\left(10^{4}\right)$
=3798634450000
Place value of $9=(8+1)$ is $=1000=10^{3}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(607781512+75972689)\left(10^{3}\right)=683754201000$
Place value of $3=(2+1)$ is $=100=10^{2}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(151945378+75972689)\left(10^{2}\right)=22791806700$
Place value of 8 is $=10=10^{1}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(607781512)\left(10^{1}\right)=6077815120$
Place value of $3=(2+1)$ is $=1=10^{\circ}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(151945378+75972689)\left(10^{\circ}\right)=227918067$
Adding the above eight values

```
6837542010000000
    6 8 3 7 5 4 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
        3798634450000
        683754201000
            22791806700
                6 0 7 7 8 1 5 1 2 0
                    227918067
                            (+)
7525807697190887
A=75972689 * 99059383 = 7,525,807,697,190,887
```

To find $B=65995887 * 49991745$
In 65995887, the number 9 is having the largest face value and in 49991745, the number 9 is having the largest face value. Any one of the number having the face value number 9 can be opted, to have least number of steps in AnS algorithm, which is up to level $2^{3}$,because $9=(8+1)$.

| $2^{n}$ | $L H S=(a+b)$ |  | $R H S=(a-b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1=2^{0}$ | 65995887 | \& | 49991745 |
|  | $(65995887+49991745)=115987632$ | \& | $(65995887-49991745)=16004142$ |
| $2=2^{1}$ | $(115987632+16004142)=131991774$ | \& | $(115987632-16004142)=99983490$ |
|  | $(131991774+99983490)=231975264$ | \& | $(131991774-99983490)=32008284$ |
| $4=\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $(231975264+32008284)=263983548$ | \& | $(231975264-32008284)=199966980$ |
|  | $(263983548+199966980)=463950528$ | \& | $(263983548-199966980)=64016568$ |
| $8=2^{3}$ | $(463950528+64016568)=527967096$ | \& | $(463950528-64016568)=399933960$ |

## 65995887 * 49991745

Place value of $4=10000000=10^{7}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(263983548)\left(10^{7}\right)=2639835480000000$
Place value of $9=(8+1)$ is $=1000000=10^{6}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(527967096+65995887)\left(10^{6}\right)$
=593962983000000
Place value of $9=(8+1)$ is $=100000=10^{5}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(527967096+65995887)\left(10^{5}\right)$
=59396298300000
Place value of $9=(8+1)$ is $=100000=10^{4}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(527967096+65995887)\left(10^{4}\right)$ =5939629830000
Place value of 1 is $=1000=10^{3}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(65995887)\left(10^{3}\right)=65995887000$
Place value of $7=(4+2+1)$ is $=100=10^{2}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(263983548+131991774+65995887)\left(10^{2}\right)$ =46197120900
Place value of 4 is $=10=10^{1}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(263983548)\left(10^{1}\right)=2639835480$
Place value of $5=(4+1)$ is $=1=10^{\circ}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(263983548+65995887)\left(10^{\circ}\right)=329979435$
Adding the above eight values

| 2639835480000000 |
| ---: |
| 593962983000000 |
| 59396298300000 |
| 5939629830000 |
| 65995887000 |
| 46197120900 |
| 2639835480 |
| 329979435 |
| 3299249553952815 |

$B=65995887$ * $49991745=3,299,249,553,952,815$

To find $\mathrm{C}=32731867$ * 68981846
In 32731867 , the number 8 is having the largest face value and in 68981846, the number 9 is having the largest face value. Any one of the number having the face value number 8 or 9 can be opted, to have least number of steps in AnS algorithm, which is up to level $2^{3}$, because $9=(8+1)$.

| $2^{n}$ | $L H S=(a+b)$ |  | $R H S=(a-b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathbf{2}^{0}$ | 32731867 | \& | 68981846 |
|  | $(32731867+68981846)=101713713$ | \& | $(32731867-68981846)=-36249979$ |
| $2=2^{1}$ | $(101713713-36249979)=65463734$ | \& | $(101713713+36249979)=137963692$ |
|  | $(65463734+137963692)=203427426$ | \& | $(65463734-137963692)=-72499958$ |
| $4=2^{2}$ | $(203427426-72499958)=130927468$ | \& | $(203427426+72499958)=275927384$ |
|  | $(130927468+275927384)=406854852$ | \& | $(130927468-275927384)=-144999916$ |
| $8=2^{3}$ | $(406854852-144999916)=261854936$ | \& | $(406854852+144999916)=551854768$ |

32731867 * $68981846=$
Place value of $6=(4+2)$ is $=10000000=10^{7}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(130927468+65463734)\left(10^{7}\right)$
= 1963912020000000
Place value of 8 is $=1000000=10^{6}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(261854936)\left(10^{6}\right)=261854936000000$
Place value of $9=(8+1)$ is $=100000=10^{5}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(261854936+32731867)\left(10^{5}\right)$
= 29458680300000
Place value of 8 is $=100000=10^{4}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(261854936)\left(10^{4}\right)=2618549360000$
Place value of 1 is $=1000=10^{3}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(32731867)\left(10^{3}\right)=32731867000$
Place value of 8 is $=100=10^{2}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(261854936)\left(10^{2}\right)=26185493600$
Place value of 4 is $=10=10^{1}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(130927468)\left(10^{1}\right)=1309274680$
Place value of $6=(4+2)$ is $=1=10^{\circ}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(130927468+65463734)\left(10^{\circ}\right)=196391202$
Adding the above eight values

```
1963912020000000
    261854936000000
        29458680300000
        2618549360000
            32731867000
            26185493600
                    1309274680
                        196391202 (+)
    2257904608686482
C=32731867 * 68981846 = 2,257,904,608,686,482
D = A +B - [(9976802) (49067638)].
```

To find 9976802* 49067638
In 9976802, the number 9 is having the largest face value and in 49067638, the number 9 is having the largest face value. The number 9976802 is the smallest and having the face value number 9 is opted, to have least number of steps in AnS algorithm, which is up to level $2^{3}$, because $9=(8+1)$.

| $2^{n}$ | $L H S=(a+b)$ |  | RHS $=(a-b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1=2^{0}$ | 9976802 | \& | 49067638 |
|  | $(9976802+49067638)=59044440$ | \& | $(9976802-49067638)=-39090836$ |
| $2=2^{1}$ | $(59044440-39090836)=19953604$ | \& | $(59044440+39090836)=98135276$ |
|  | $(19953604+98135276)=118088880$ | \& | $(19953604-98135276)=-78181672$ |
| $4=2^{2}$ | $(118088880-78181672)=39907208$ | \& | $(118088880$ + 78181672) $=196270552$ |
|  | $(39907208+196270552)=236177760$ | \& | $(39907208-196270552)=-156363344$ |
| $8=2^{3}$ | $(236177760-156363344)=79814416$ | \& | $(236177760+156363344)=392541104$ |

Table: 11d - (9976802*49067638)
9976802 * 49067638
Place value of $9=(8+1)$ is $=1000000=10^{6}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(392541104+49067638)\left(10^{6}\right)$ $=441608742000000$
Place value of $9=(8+1)$ is $=100000=10^{5}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(392541104+49067638)\left(10^{5}\right)$ =44160874200000
Place value of $7=(4+2+1)$ is $=100000=10^{4}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(196270552+98135276+49067638)\left(10^{4}\right)$ =3434734660000
Place value of $6=(4+2)$ is $=1000=10^{3}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(196270552+98135276)\left(10^{3}\right)=294405828000$
Place value of $8=100=10^{2}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(392541104)\left(10^{2}\right)=39254110400$
Place value of 0 is $=10=10^{1}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(0)\left(10^{1}\right)=0$
Place value of 2 is $=1=10^{\circ}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(98135276)\left(10^{\circ}\right)=98135276$
Adding the above seven values

```
441608742000000
    44160874200000
        3434734660000
        294405828000
        39254110400
                98135276
            (+)
    489538108933676
9976802*49067638 =489538108933676
D = A +B - [(9976802) (49067638)]. Substituting the values of A & B
D = 7525807697190887 +3299249553952815-489538108933676 =10,335,519,142,210,026
```

$E=A+B+C-[(43240822)(30077537)]$
To find 43240822 * 30077537
In 43240822, the number 8 is having the largest face value and in 30077537, the number 7 is having the largest face value.
The number 30077537 having the smallest face value number 7 is opted, to have least number of steps in AnS algorithm,
which is up to level $2^{2}$, because $7=(4+2+1)$.

| $2^{n}$ | $L H S=(a+b)$ |  | RHS $=(a-b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1=2^{0}$ | 43240822 | \& | 30077537 |
|  | $(43240822+30077537)=73318359$ | \& | $(43240822-30077537)=13163285$ |
| $2=2^{1}$ | $(73318359+13163285)=86481644$ | \& | $(73318359-13163285)=60155074$ |
|  | $(86481644+60155074)=146636718$ | \& | $(86481644-60155074)=26326570$ |
| $4=2^{2}$ | $(146636718+26326570)=172963288$ | \& | $(146636718-26326570)=120310148$ |

Table: 11e - (43240822*30077537)
43240822*30077537
Place value of $3=(2+1)=10000000=10^{7}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(86481644+43240822)\left(10^{7}\right)$
=1297224660000000
Place value of 0 is $=1000000=10^{6}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(0)\left(10^{6}\right)=0$
Place value of 0 is $=100000=10^{5}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(0)\left(10^{5}\right)=0$
Place value of $7=(4+2+1)$ is $=10000=10^{4}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(172963288+86481644+43240822)\left(10^{4}\right)$ =3026857540000
Place value of $7=(4+2+1)$ is $=1000=10^{3}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(172963288+86481644+43240822)\left(10^{3}\right)$ =302685754000
Place value of $5=(4+1)$ is $=100=10^{2}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(172963288+43240822)\left(10^{2}\right)=21620411000$
Place value of $3=(2+1)=10=10^{1}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(86481644+43240822)\left(10^{1}\right)=1297224660$
Place value of $7=(4+2+1)$ is $=1=10^{\circ}$ and the corresponding value at LHS is $(172963288+86481644+43240822)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
$=302685754$

Adding the above eight values

```
1297224660000000
    3026857540000
        302685754000
            21620411000
                1297224660
                302685754
1300577423615414
43240822* 30077537 =1300577423615414
E = A +B +C -[(43240822) (30077537)]
E=A +B+C-1300577423615414 substituting the values of A, B & C
E=7525807697190887 +3299249553952815 +2257904608686482-1300577423615414 =11,782,384,436,214,770
F=B +C -[(33264020) (-18990101)] = B +C + [(33264020) (18990101)].
To find 33264020 * 18990101
```

In 33264020, the number 6 is having the largest face value and in 18990101, the number 9 is having the largest face value.
The number 33264020 having the smallest face value number 6 is opted, to have least number of steps in AnS algorithm,
which is up to level $2^{2}$, because $6=(4+2)$.

| $2^{n}$ | $L H S=(a+b)$ |  | RHS = (a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1=2^{0}$ | 33264020 | \& | 18990101 |
|  | $(33264020+18990101)=52254121$ | \& | $(33264020-18990101)=14273919$ |
| $2=2^{1}$ | $(52254121+14273919)=66528040$ | \& | $(52254121-14273919)=37980202$ |
|  | $(66528040+37980202)=104508242$ | \& | $(66528040-37980202)=28547838$ |
| $4=2^{2}$ | $(104508242+28547838)=133056080$ | \& | $(104508242-28547838)=75960404$ |

33264020 * 18990101
Place value of $3=(2+1)=10000000=10^{7}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(37980202+18990101)\left(10^{7}\right)$
=569703030000000.
Place value of $3=(2+1)=10000000=10^{6}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(37980202+18990101)\left(10^{6}\right)$
=56970303000000.
Place value of 2 is $=100000=10^{5}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(37980202)\left(10^{5}\right)=3798020200000$
Place value of $6=(4+2)$ is $=10000=10^{4}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(75960404+37980202)\left(10^{4}\right)$
=1139406060000
Place value of 4 is $=1000=10^{3}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(75960404)\left(10^{3}\right)=75960404000$
Place value of 0 is $=100=10^{2}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(0)\left(10^{2}\right)=0$
Place value of 2 is $10=10^{1}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(37980202)\left(10^{1}\right)=379802020$
Place value of 0 is $=1=10^{\circ}$ and the corresponding value at RHS is $(0)\left(10^{\circ}\right)=0$
Adding the above eight values

```
569703030000000
    56970303000000
    3798020200000
    1139406060000
            75960404000
                    379802020
                            (+)
631687099466020
33264020 * 18990101=631687099466020
F = B +C +[(33264020) (18990101)].
F=B+C +631687099466020 substituting the values of A, & B
F=3299249553952815 +2257904608686482 +631687099466020=6,188,841,262,105,317
```

We found the 6 values needed using the AnS and Karatsuba 3 way algorithm
A = 7,525,807,697,190,887
$B=3,299,249,553,952,815$
C $=2,257,904,608,686,482$
$D=10,335,519,142,210,026$
$E=11,782,384,436,214,770$
$F=6,188,841,262,105,317$
But we need $A, C, D, E$, and $F$ values to arrive the answer. Substituting the five values in the below expansion and adding: (considering ' $n$ ' $=24 / 3=8$ )

```
G=C(100)8+F(101)8}+E(1\mp@subsup{0}{}{2}\mp@subsup{)}{}{8}+D(1\mp@subsup{0}{}{3}\mp@subsup{)}{}{8}+A(1\mp@subsup{0}{}{4}\mp@subsup{)}{}{8
```

Using AnS algorithm and the improvised 3 way split Karatsuba algorithm, we found the product of a randomly selected $24 x$ 24 digit multiplication 759726896599588732731867 * 990593834999174568981846
$=752,580,780,054,607,960,033,870,981,031,828,468,436,308,686,482$.

## Generalized AnS Algorithm to achieve in time $O(n)$ for very large integer multiplication

To find the product of ' $n$ ' digit * ' $m$ ' digit multiplication and following AnS method to arrive the needed 7 values, by substituting the values of multiplicand as ' $n$ ' and multiplier as ' $m$ '.

| $2^{n}$ |  | LHS = $(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})$ |  | RHS $=(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1=2^{0}$ | $\mathrm{a}=$ | $n$ | \& | $\boldsymbol{m}$ | = b |
|  | $(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})=$ | ( $n+m$ ) | \& | ( $n-m$ ) | $=(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})$ |
| $2=2^{1}$ | $(a+b)+(a-b)=2 a=$ | 2n | \& | $2 m$ | $=\mathbf{2 b}=(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})-(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})$ |
|  | $(2 a+2 b)=$ | $(2 n+2 m)$ | \& | (2n-2m) | $=(2 a-2 b)$ |
| $4=2^{2}$ | $(2 a+2 b)+(2 a-2 b)=4 a=$ | $4 n$ | \& | $4 m$ | $=4 \mathrm{~b}=(2 \mathrm{a}+2 \mathrm{~b})-(2 \mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{~b})$ |
|  | $(4 a+4 b)=$ | $(4 n+4 m)$ | \& | (4n-4m) | $=(4 a-4 b)$ |
| $8=2^{3}$ | $(4 a+4 b)+(4 a-4 b)=8 a=$ | 8 n | \& | $8 m$ | $=8 \mathrm{~b}=(4 a+4 b)-(4 a-4 b)$ |

Through the $A n S$ method we can arrive (without a single multiplication) the needed $8=(4+4)$ primary values namely: $n, 2 n, 4 n, 8 n$ and $m, 2 m, 4 m, 8 m$.
With the help of the any one set of above 4 primary values one can easily calculate the other 5 secondary values needed namely: $3 n=(n+2 n), 5 n=(n+4 n), 6 n=(2 n+4 n), 7 n=(n+2 n+4 n)$ or $(n+6 n), 9 n=(n+8 n)$ 'or' $3 m=(m+2 m), 5 m=(m+4 m)$, $6 m=(2 m+4 m), 7 m=(m+2 m+4 m)$ or $(m+6 m), 9 m=(m+8 m)$.

By substituting the corresponding values of ' $L H S^{\prime}$ to ' $R H S^{\prime}$ or ' $R H S^{\prime}$ ' to ' $L H S^{\prime}$ ' and multiplying the individual face value with respect to its corresponding place value and adding all those final values together to get the desired final answer in time $O(n)$.

The salient features of the proposed AnS based algorithm is summarized here for convenience:
$>$ In the AnS method, we used only the two basic arithmetic operations namely additions and subtractions repeatedly to double the two integers values and considered the alternate steps results to carry out the multiplication.
$>$ The upper limit to repeat the repeated addition and subtraction to find product of two large integers using AnS method is maximum of 7 steps ( 6 addition and 6 subtraction operations) to find the 8 primary values needed and 6 more additions needed to find the 5 secondary values.
$>$ To find a product of any two large integers, we need 18 basic arithmetic operations a total of 12 additions and 6 subtractions only.
$>$ The unique advantage of the above $A n S$ algorithm is that, we can multiply any two numbers having any two different orders in time $O(n)$.

## 12. Repeated Doubling Method (RDM) to achieve in time $O(n)$.

An improvised version of $A n S$ algorithm is called Repeated Doubling Method (RDM).
By doubling any one side value of RHS or LHS is much sufficient enough to carry out the multiplication and to achieve the much desired time complexity of $O(n)$.
By following Repeated Doubling Method (RDM), which is an improvised approach of AnS method, we need only four primary values and five secondary values.
We can understand the RDM through a worked example.
Suppose we have to multiply two numbers say 69 * 87
To find the product of 69 \& 87 using Repeated Doubling method considering $a=69 \& b=87$

| $2^{n}$ | $L H S=a$ |  | $R H S=b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 = \mathbf { 2 } ^ { 0 }}$ | 69 | $\&$ | $\boldsymbol{b}=\mathbf{8 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}=\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{1}}$ |  | $\&$ | $2 b=(b+b)=(87+87)=\mathbf{1 7 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}=\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  | $\&$ | $4 b=(2 b+2 b)=(\mathbf{1 7 4 + 1 7 4})=\mathbf{3 4 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{8}=\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  | $\&$ | $8 b=(4 b+4 b)=(\mathbf{3 4 8 + 3 4 8})=\mathbf{6 9 6}$ |

In the above example, $69 * 87$, the smallest number is 69 and the number ' 9 ' is having the biggest face value. We know that the number 9 can be written has $8+1=2^{3}+2^{0}$. To arrive the three more needed values, we have to follow the repeated doubling to reach the level of $2^{3}=8$.
If we double any one side of the LHS or RHS is very much sufficient to find the product.
From the Table: 12a, we only doubled the RHS value and followed repeated doubling approach at each level until to arrive the value of $2^{3}=8$.

By substituting the corresponding values of ' $L H S^{\prime}$ ' to ' $R H S^{\prime}$ ' and multiplying the individual face value with respect to its corresponding place value and adding all those final values together to get the desired final answer.

The product 69 * 87 can be written has
$=(60+9) * 87$
$=(60 * 87)+(9 * 87)$
$=(6 * 87)\left(10^{1}\right)+(9 * 87)\left(10^{0}\right)$
$=(4+2)(87)\left(10^{1}\right)+(8+1)(87)\left(10^{0}\right)$
$=\left(2^{2}+2^{1}\right)(87)\left(10^{1}\right)+\left(2^{3}+2^{0}\right)(87)\left(10^{0}\right)$
Substituting corresponding values of 69 under 87 from Table: $12 a$
$69 * 87=(348+174)(10)+(696+87)\left(10^{\circ}\right)$
$=522(10)+783(1)=5220+783=6003$
Through the RDM approach maximum values needed is 9 (4 primary and 5 secondary values) to multiply any two integers.
The main advantage of $R D M$ over ANS is that we have reduced the maximum number of operation from 18 (12 additions +6 subtractions) to 9 additions only. Technically we have eliminated 9 ( 3 additions +6 subtractions needed by the AnS algorithm) arithmetic operations and improvised the RDM algorithm.
The RDM approach can be extended to any range of two numbers to find their product.
The salient features of the proposed RDM based algorithm is summarized here for convenience:
$>\quad$ In the RDM approach, we used only one arithmetic operation namely addition, repeatedly to double the any one of the (LHS or RHS) integer value to carry out the multiplication.
$>$ The upper limit to repeat the repeated doubling to find product of two large integers using RDM approach is maximum of 3 steps to find the 3 primary values needed namely $2^{1}=2,2^{2}=4$, and $2^{3}=8$. Using the 4 primary values, we can calculate the 5 secondary values needed namely $3,5,6,7$ and 9 .
$>$ To find a product of any two large integers, we need 9 basic arithmetic operations ( 3 additions for primary and 6 additions for secondary values).
$>$ The unique advantage of the above RDM algorithm is that, we can multiply any two numbers having any two different range, in time $O(n)$.
$>$ We can also implement the RDM algorithm along with divide and conquer method or directly without utilizing the divide and conquer method, using big integer concept to multiply any two integers (multiplicand and multiplier, having any range).
> RDM algorithm is much faster than the proposed NPV and AnS algorithms.
> Repeated doubling is also called multiplication.

## Conclusion

This paper proposed three novel and unique methods to achieve the much desired Integer multiplication in time $O(n)$. The first method called - Nearest Place Values (NPV) method, modifies and improves the Vedic multiplication technique "All from 9 and the last from 10 (NND)". Second method called - Repeated Addition \& Subtraction method (AnS), borrows and improves ancient Babylonians multiplication algorithms \& Egyptian multiplication of doubling technique. The third method is called - Repeated Doubling Method (RDM), which is an improvised version of AnS algorithm.

In all the three proposed methods only two basic arithmetic operations namely addition and subtraction has been used. We also disproved the prediction made by Schönhage-Strassen that the best possible result of asymptotic in time is $O(n \log n)$. The proposed methods indeed achieve $O(n)$ time complexity, by borrowing and improving appropriate ancient techniques and combining them with improvised divide and conquer Karatsuba Algorithm.

Based on the proposed methods and observations, we can say that not only the "Repeated Addition is called Multiplication" also "Systematic Addition and Subtraction (using NPV \& AnS) and Repeated Doubling (using RDM) is also called multiplication".
Furthermore, we also extended the proposed $R D M$ technique for very large integer multiplication. The proposed repeated $R D M$ approach, is the most efficient and achieves much desired $O(n)$ complexity than the Nearest Place Values (NPV) and Addition and Subtraction (AnS) method.
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