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#### Abstract

This paper presents a short and direct proof of the Riemann hypothesis, based on the previous longer [2]. A zeta function $\zeta^{\rho}(s)$ is defined that shares all the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, but none of the trivial. Proof is obtained by relating the two by an abstract oscillator equation, setting both to zero and solving for the general solution directly. The Riemann hypothesis is proven by a single claim.


## 1. Introduction

It is well known by B. Riemann's functional equation

$$
\zeta(s)=2^{s} \pi^{s-1} \sin \left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s)
$$

that any non-trivial zeros of the zeta function $\zeta(s)$ that do not have a real part one half must exist within the critical strip $0<\mathfrak{R}(s)<1$, at the vertices of rectangles, symmetric across the critical line $\mathfrak{R}(s)=1 / 2$ and symmetric across the real axis. [1][3]


Abstract representation of the hypothetical non-trivial zeros (not to scale).

The equation relates $\zeta(s)$ to $\zeta(1-s)$, which is a reflection through the point $s=1 / 2$, and this paper proposes that one can prove the asymmetry of any hypothetical zeros across the critical line (that they do not exist and the Riemann hypothesis is true), using a representation of $\zeta(s)$ that this paper refers to as the "Riemann oscillator"

$$
a_{s}+2 \zeta^{\rho}(s) b_{s}+\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}=\zeta(s)
$$

critically determined by $\zeta^{\rho}(s)$.
As described in detail in [2], the above is a special case. The general case is only different in the second term

$$
a_{s}+2 \omega_{0} \zeta^{\rho 2}(s) b_{s}+\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}=\zeta(s) .
$$

For more background on harmonic oscillators and how the above definitions are constructed, see [2].
Consider the following simplification in order to better illustrate the motivation behind this Riemann oscillator. Given

$$
a+b+c=0,
$$

there are only two types of solutions.
Type 1. Two terms negate each other and the third is zero, which has the geometric representation of a line ("linear solution").

Type 2. Two terms negate the third, which has the geometric representation of a plane ("planar solution").

All of the above holds true as well for

$$
a+b \zeta+c=0 .
$$

Assuming $a, b$ and $c$ have no roots, the linear solution is

$$
a=-c, \zeta=0
$$

and the planar solution is

$$
\zeta=\frac{-a-c}{b}, \zeta \neq 0 .
$$

Similarly given $a_{s}, b_{s}$ and $c_{s}$ of the Riemann oscillator having no roots, the linear solution is

$$
a_{s}=-\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}
$$

and the planar solution is

$$
\zeta^{\rho}(s)=\frac{-a_{s}-\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}}{2 b_{s}}, \zeta^{\rho}(s) \neq 0
$$

Setting the Riemann oscillator equal to zero one is able to solve for the two types of Riemann zeta function zeros directly, which for the defined oscillator would either prove or deny the hypothesis. The linear type would be all the critical zeros and the planar type would contain both the trivial zeros and any hypothetical nontrivial zeros off the critical line. Proof of the hypothesis then follows by relating $\zeta^{\rho}(s)$ to $\zeta(s)$ by means of the Riemann oscillator. By setting both $\zeta^{\rho}(s)$ and
$\zeta(s)$ to zero and solving for a general solution proof is obtained if the hypothetical zeros off the critical line are not mathematically possible and $\mathfrak{R}(s)=$ $1 / 2, \zeta(s)=0, \zeta^{\rho}(s)=0$.

Claim. Given an asymmetric Type 2 solution for the zeros of

$$
a_{s}+2 \zeta^{\rho}(s) b_{s}+\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}=\zeta(s)
$$

when $\zeta(s)=0$ and $\zeta^{\rho}(s)=0$ there exists the unique solution for all the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function.

$$
\zeta^{\rho}(s) 2 b_{s}=-a_{s}-c_{s} \omega^{2}+\zeta(s)=0 \Leftrightarrow \Re(s)=\frac{1}{2}, \zeta(s)=0,
$$

where all the real parts of the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function have a real part equal to one half.

## Proof outline.

1) Define the Riemann oscillator.
2) All the zeros $\zeta(s)=0$ exist of just two types: the Type 1 linear and the Type 2 planar solutions.
3) The Type 1 linear solution is $\mathfrak{R}(s)=1 / 2$.
4) The Type 2 planar solution exists in pairs $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$.
5) Given $\mathfrak{J}\left(s_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{J}\left(s_{2}\right)$ (hypothetical zeros symmetric across the real axis would necessarily share a common imaginary part), all the distances of the planar solution pairs are asymmetric across the critical line; $\mid \mathfrak{R}\left(s_{1}\right)$ $1 / 2\left|\neq\left|\Re\left(s_{2}\right)-1 / 2\right|\right.$.
6) Therefore no non-trivial zeros are given by the planar solution.
7) Therefore all the non-trivial zeros have a $\Re(s)=1 / 2$.

If all this is understood, then one can prove the Riemann hypothesis in this way.
Proof of the Claim. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{s} \equiv \frac{1}{s-1^{\prime}} \\
b_{s} \equiv-\frac{1}{(s-1)^{2}}, \\
c_{s} \equiv \frac{1}{(s-1)^{3}} \\
\omega_{0} \equiv i s^{*}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i$ is the imaginary number, $s^{*}$ is the complex conjugate of $s$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{\rho}(s) \equiv & \frac{-2\left(s^{*}\right)^{2}-(s-1)^{3}}{4(s-1)} \\
& \quad-i \frac{1}{2}(s-1)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-i t)^{s}-(1+i t)^{s}}{\left(t^{2}+1\right)^{s}\left(e^{2 \pi t}-1\right)} d t \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

which converges for all $s$ except $s=1$, and is the Abel-Plana continuation of

$$
-\frac{(2 s-1)}{2(s-1) s}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{s} \frac{(s-1)^{2}}{2 s} \frac{(n-1)^{s}}{\left(1-n^{2}\right)^{s}} .
$$

Multiply $2 b_{s}$ by (1), then add this to $a_{s}$ plus $\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}$ and one gets the Riemann zeta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{s}+2 \zeta^{\rho}(s) b_{s}+\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}=\zeta(s) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) is the Riemann oscillator critically determined by $\zeta^{\rho}(s)$. Now define $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)$, as $\zeta^{\rho}(s)$ divided by $\omega_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{\rho 2}(s) \equiv & i \frac{2\left(s^{*}\right)^{2}+(s-1)^{3}}{4(s-1) s^{*}}  \tag{3}\\
& \quad-\frac{(s-1)^{2}}{2 s^{*}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-i t)^{s}-(1+i t)^{s}}{\left(t^{2}+1\right)^{s}\left(e^{2 \pi t}-1\right)} d t,
\end{align*}
$$

such that

$$
\omega_{0} \zeta^{\rho 2}(s)=\zeta^{\rho}(s), s \neq 0,
$$

as (3) is undefined at $s=0$. This gives the Riemann oscillator critically determined by $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)$, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{s}+2 \omega_{0} \zeta^{\rho 2}(s) b_{s}+\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}=\zeta(s), s \neq 0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way $\zeta^{\rho}(s)$ and $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)$ share all the same zeros, and the first and third terms of the special and general cases of the Riemann oscillator are equal at the zeros of $\zeta^{\rho}(s)$ and $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)$. For a more elaborate construction of the above definitions, see [2].
Upon examination of $\zeta^{\rho}(s)$ from (1), one finds it does not share any of the trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function
$\zeta^{\rho}(-2 n)=-\frac{5}{6},-\frac{9}{10},-\frac{13}{14},-\frac{17}{18},-\frac{21}{22}, \ldots=\frac{1}{4 n+2}-1 \neq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore neither does $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)$ from (3), as they share the same zeros.
Considering first the Riemann oscillator in (4) that $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)$ critically determines, solve for $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)$. One gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{i\left((s-1)^{2}(1-(s-1) \zeta(s))-\left(s^{*}\right)^{2}\right)}{2(s-1) s^{*}}=\zeta^{\rho 2}(s) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $(1-(s-1) \zeta(s))=1, \zeta(s)=0$ in the numerator, (5) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)=-\frac{i\left((s-1)^{2}-\left(s^{*}\right)^{2}\right)}{2(s-1) s^{*}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all the zeros (trivial and non-trivial) of the Riemann zeta function. As proposed, (6) consists of two types. The Type 1 linear solution is

$$
a_{s}=-\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}, \zeta^{\rho 2}(s)=0
$$

Because

$$
-\frac{i\left((s-1)^{2}-\left(s^{*}\right)^{2}\right)}{2(s-1) s^{*}}=0 \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{R}(s)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re(s)=\frac{1}{2}, \zeta^{\rho 2}(s)=0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Type 2 planar solution is

$$
\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)=\frac{-a_{s}-\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}}{2 \omega_{0} b_{s}}, \zeta^{\rho 2}(s) \neq 0
$$

whereby solving for the real part of $s$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{R}(s) \\
& =\frac{ \pm \sqrt{-(2 \mathfrak{J}(s)+i)^{2}\left(\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)^{2}-1\right)}+2 \mathfrak{J}(s)+\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)+i}{2 \zeta^{\rho 2}(s)},  \tag{8}\\
& \zeta^{\rho 2}(s) \neq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

such that (8) provides a pair of $\mathfrak{R}(s)^{\prime} s$ across the critical line from each other.
Upon examination of the square root, because

$$
-(2 \mathfrak{J}(s)+i)^{2}\left(\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)^{2}-1\right)=\left(\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)^{2}-1\right)\left(s^{*}-s+1\right)^{2},
$$

one can also express (8) as

$$
\mathfrak{R}(s)=\frac{ \pm \sqrt{\left(\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)^{2}-1\right)\left(s^{*}-s+1\right)^{2}}+2 \mathfrak{J}(s)+\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)+i}{2 \zeta^{\rho 2}(s)}
$$

which also provides a pair of $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)$ 's

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta^{\rho 2}(s) \\
& =\frac{ \pm \sqrt{(2 \operatorname{Im}(s)+i)^{2}}|1-2 \operatorname{Re}(s)|+\left(\mathrm{s}^{*}+\mathrm{s}-1\right)(2 \operatorname{Im}(s)+i)}{4\left(\left|(s)^{2}\right|-s^{*}\right)} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

that correspond to a total of four possible hypothetical zeros (two sets of pairs) across the real and critical lines from each other, as were graphically defined at the beginning of this paper.

Now one can ask, given any bypothetical non-trivial zero $s_{1}$ off the critical line, is it possible for any $s_{2}$ to be symmetric to $s_{1}$ across the critical line? That is; for any $\mathfrak{R}\left(s_{1}\right) \neq$ $1 / 2$, is it possible given the two solutions in (8) to bave a $\Re\left(s_{2}\right) \neq 1 / 2$ equidistant from the critical line? If it is mathematically impossible, then the Riemann hypothesis is necessarily true, as the Type 1 zeros are the only other possibility, given by (7). Now one can prove the Riemann hypothesis. Because

$$
r=\sqrt{(v-x)^{2}+(w-y)^{2}}
$$

gives the distance between any two points $v+i w$ and $x+i y$ on the complex plane, the distance $r_{c r}$ from any point $S$ to the nearest point $1 / 2+i \mathfrak{J}(\mathrm{~s})$ on the critical line is given by

$$
r_{c r}=\left|\Re(s)-\frac{1}{2}\right|
$$

One can verify if symmetry between the positive and negative solutions of (8) is possible or impossible. Setting the two distances equal to each other

$$
\left|\Re\left(s_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right|=\left|\Re\left(s_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right|,
$$

where $s_{1}$ is either the positive or negative solution to (8) and $s_{2}$ is either the positive or negative as well, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{ \pm \sqrt{-\left(2 \mathfrak{J}\left(s_{1}\right)+i\right)^{2}\left(\zeta^{\rho 2}\left(s_{1}\right)^{2}-1\right)}+2 \mathfrak{J}\left(s_{1}\right)+\zeta^{\rho 2}\left(s_{1}\right)+i}{2 \zeta^{\rho 2}\left(s_{1}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\, \\
& =\left\lvert\, \frac{ \pm \sqrt{-\left(2 \mathfrak{J}\left(s_{1}\right)+i\right)^{2}\left(\zeta^{\rho 2}\left(s_{2}\right)^{2}-1\right)}+2 \mathfrak{J}\left(s_{1}\right)+\zeta^{\rho 2}\left(s_{2}\right)+i}{2 \zeta^{\rho 2}\left(s_{2}\right)}\right.  \tag{10}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\,, s_{1}=s_{2} \vee \zeta^{\rho 2}\left(s_{1}\right)= \pm 1 \vee \zeta^{\rho 2}\left(s_{2}\right)= \pm 1
\end{align*}
$$

Because the only solutions to (10) are extraneous, given any hypothetical nontrivial zero $s_{1}$ off the critical line, it is not possible for any $s_{2}$ to be symmetric to $s_{1}$ across the critical line. That is; for any $\Re\left(s_{1}\right) \neq 1 / 2$, it is not possible given the two solutions in (8) to have a $\mathfrak{R}\left(\mathrm{s}_{2}\right) \neq 1 / 2$ equidistant from the critical line. The value inside the square root reduces to zero for $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)= \pm 1$, leaving $|i|=|i|$, but for $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)= \pm 1$ no solutions exist for (6). These solutions are also outside the critical strip. The only other possible solution $s_{1}=s_{2}$ is meaningless,
as the only argument that would make sense would be the critical zeros. However, $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)=0$ for $\mathfrak{R}(s)=1 / 2$ leaves (10) undefined. The answer then is

$$
\left|\Re\left(s_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right| \neq\left|\Re\left(s_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right|, \zeta^{\rho 2}(s) \neq 0
$$

Therefore, no non-trivial zeros exist off the critical line at the vertices of rectangles symmetric across both the real and critical line. Their existence is mathematically impossible. The negative solution to (8) is therefore also extraneous and the positive solution only applies to the trivial zeros. This should be obvious upon examination of the above.
Because $\omega_{0} \zeta^{\rho 2}(s)=\zeta^{\rho}(s), s \neq 0$, where the two zeta functions share all the same zeros, all of the above holds true for $\zeta^{\rho}(s)$ as well, as the two oscillator representations of the Riemann zeta function are identical when $\zeta^{\rho 2}(s)=$ $0, \zeta^{\rho}(s)=0$. Therefore, letting also $\zeta^{\rho}(s)=0, \zeta(s)=0$ one gets the general solution for the real part of all the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function, which proves the claim of this paper. Given an asymmetric Type 2 solution for the zeros of

$$
a_{s}+2 \zeta^{\rho}(s) b_{s}+\omega_{0}^{2} c_{s}=\zeta(s)
$$

when $\zeta(s)=0$ and $\zeta^{\rho}(s)=0$ there exists the unique solution for all the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function.

$$
\zeta^{\rho}(s) 2 b_{s}=-a_{s}-c_{s} \omega^{2}+\zeta(s)=0 \Leftrightarrow \Re(s)=\frac{1}{2}, \zeta(s)=0
$$

where all the real parts of the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function have a real part equal to one half.
No non-trivial zeros could exist in the critical strip except those on the critical line, those provided by the Type 1 line solution, as the Type 2 solutions are asymmetric across the critical line. There are no other types of solutions for the Riemann oscillator. Since the Riemann oscillator is equivalent to the Riemann zeta function for all $s$, there are no other types of solutions for the Riemann zeta function. The Riemann hypothesis is therefore correct.

Acknowledgments. Sincere thanks to G. Volk, J. Sondow and D. Allen for without their help the final form of this paper would not have been possible.

## JEFFREY N. COOK

MAUMEE, OH, USA
Email: jnoelcook@yahoo.com

References
[1] B. Riemann, Über die Anzabl der Primzablen unter einer gegebenen Grösse. Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie, (1859). Gesammelte Werke, Teubner, Leipzig, (1892). Reprinted by Dover, NY, (1953).
[2] J. N. Cook, Harmonic Motion and a Direct Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis, (2020).
[3] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function, Second revised (Heath-Brown) edition, Oxford University Press, (1986).

