

# Prime and Twin Prime Theory

Xuan Zhong Ni, Campbell, CA, USA

(June, 2020)

## Abstract

In this article, we use method of a modified sieve of Eratosthenes to prove the prime and the twin prime theory.

We use  $p_i$  for all the primes, 2,3,5,7,11,13,.....,  $i=1,2,3,.....$ ,

If a prime pair  $(p_m, p_{m+1})$  is a twin prime, then it can be written as  $(6k-1, 6k+1)$  for some  $k$ .

Let  $p_m\# = \prod_{i=1\dots m} p_i$ ,

Theorem 1, When sieve upto  $p_m$ , the total number of the remaining numbers  $\{R_j^m\}$ , inside of  $(0, p_m\#)$  is  $\prod_{i=1\dots m} (p_i - 1)$ ,

We can generate the remaining numbers for period  $(0, p_{m+1}\#)$  when sieve upto  $p_{m+1}$  by sequence of the remaining numbers  $\{R_j^m\}$ , inside of  $(0, p_m\#)$  as following;

$$\{R_j^m\}, \{p_m\# + R_j^m\}, \{2 \times p_m\# + R_j^m\}, \dots, \{(p_{m+1}-1) \times p_m\# + R_j^m\},$$

and then taking out the terms of  $\{p_{m+1} \times R_j^m\}$ ,

Obviously the total number of the remaining numbers when sieve upto  $p_{m+1}$  in the period of  $(1, p_{m+1}\#)$  is,

$$\prod_{i=1\dots m} (p_i - 1) \times p_{m+1} - \prod_{i=1\dots m} (p_i - 1) = \prod_{i=1\dots m+1} (p_i - 1),$$

For  $m=2$ , the remaining numbers in  $(0, 6)$ , are 1 and 5 when sieve upto 3, the period of  $(0,6)$  is the building blocks for the period  $(0, 30)$ , and the remaining numbers 1 and 5 are the basic numbers to generate all the remaining numbers in period  $(0, 30)$  when sieve upto prime number 5. It can be seen from the following, blocked sequence;

$$(0,1,2,3,4,5,6)(7,8,9,10,11,12,)(13,14,15,16,17,18)(19,20,21,22,23,24)(25,26,27,28,29,30),$$

the new generated remaining numbers are;

$$(\cdot,1,\cdot,\cdot,5,)(7,\cdot,\cdot,11,\cdot,)(13,\cdot,\cdot,17,\cdot,)(19,\cdot,\cdot,23,\cdot,)(25,\cdot,\cdot,29,\cdot,),$$

there remaining twin pairs of

$$(5,7), (11,13), (17,19),(23,25), (29,1),$$

if we treat  $(29,1)$  as one twin.

after taking out the two remaining numbers 1 and 5 time 5, it left the following remaining sequence;

$$(\cdot,1,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,)(7,\cdot,\cdot,11,\cdot,)(13,\cdot,\cdot,17,\cdot,)(19,\cdot,\cdot,23,\cdot,)(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,29,\cdot,),$$

and the remaining twin pairs are,

$$(11,13),(17,19),(29,1);$$

It is clear that all the remaining number twins are generated by basic numbers, 1 and 5 too, And all the primes and twin primes are also from the two basic numbers, 1 and 5.

Similarly we have the following for the remaining twins,

Theorem 2;

When sieve upto  $p_m$ , the total number of the remaining number twins inside of  $(0,p_m\#)$  is  $\prod_{i=2..m}(p_i - 2)$ ,

In general not all the remaining twins are twin primes. We need to sieve more larger primes to get twin primes.

Let  $p_M$  be the least prime satisfied the  $p_m\# < p_M^2$ , then we sieve upto  $p_M$  for the period  $(0, p_m\#)$ , then all those still remaining numbers are primes and remaining twins are twin primes.

Theorem 3;

When sieve upto  $p_{m+1}$ , the total number of the remaining numbers inside period  $((k-1) \times p_m\#, k \times p_m\#)$  is equal approximately to  $\prod_{i=1 \dots m+1} (p_i - 1) / p_{m+1} \pm 1$ ,

particular for the period of  $(0, p_m\#)$ ,

This is equivalent to the following theorem,

Theorem 4;

For any number  $d$  with  $(d, p_m\#) = 1$ , no common factor with  $p_m\#$ , when sieve upto  $p_m$ , the total number of the remaining numbers inside period  $(0, p_m\#/d)$  is equal approximately to  $\prod_{i=1 \dots m} (p_i - 1) / d \pm 1$ ,

When sieve upto  $p_M$ , the total number of the remaining numbers inside period  $(0, p_m\#)$  are those remaining numbers when sieve upto  $p_{M-1}$  in the same period  $(0, p_m\#)$  subtract those remaining numbers when sieve upto  $p_{M-1}$  in the period  $(0, p_m\#/p_M)$  multiplied by  $p_M$ .

We use  $\{(a, b)\}^M$  to denote those remaining numbers in period  $(a, b)$  when sieve upto  $p_M$ . We have,

$$\{(0, p_m\#)\}^M = \{(0, p_m\#)\}^{M-1} - \{ \{(0, p_m\#/p_M)\}^{M-1} \times p_M \}, \quad (1)$$

and so on, we have,

$$\{(0, p_m\#)\}^{M-1} = \{(0, p_m\#)\}^{M-2} - \{\{(0, p_m\#/p_{M-1})\}^{M-2} \times p_{M-1}\}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\{(0, p_m\#/p_M)\}^{M-1} = \{(0, p_m\#/p_M)\}^{M-2} - \{\{(0, p_m\#/p_M p_{M-1})\}^{M-2} \times p_{M-1}\}, \quad (3)$$

and so on and on, we will have,

$$\{(0, p_m\#)\}^M = \sum_{d|P} \mu(d) \{\{(0, p_m\#/d)\}^m \times d\}, \quad (4)$$

here  $P = \prod_{i=m+1 \dots M} p_i$ .

There are no remaining number in period  $(0, p_m\#/d)$  when  $p_m\#/d < 1$ , and only one remaining number, 1, when  $1 < p_m\#/d < p_m$ ,

We have,

$$|\{(0, p_m\#)\}^M| = \sum_{d|P} \mu(d) |\{(0, p_m\#)\}^m|/d \pm ER_m \quad (5)$$

we have,

$$|\{(0, p_m\#)\}^M| = \left[ \prod_{i=1 \dots m} (p_i - 1) \right] \times \left[ \prod_{i=m+1, \dots M} (1 - 1/p_i) \right] \pm ER_m \quad (6)$$

here, the  $ER_m$  is the possible error,

$$ER_m = |\{d; d | P, p_m < d < p_{m-1}\#}|,$$

$$ER_m = |\{(0, p_{m-1}\#)\}^m| - |\{(0, p_{m-1}\#)\}^M| \quad (7)$$

$$ER_m = \prod_{i=1 \dots m} (p_i - 1)/p_m - \left[ \prod_{i=1 \dots m-1} (p_i - 1) \right] \times \left[ \prod_{i=m, \dots M} (1 - 1/p_i) \right] + ER_{m-1} \quad (8)$$

We have,

$$ER_m = \sum_{l=1, m} [ \prod_{i=1 \dots l} (p_i - 1)/p_i ] \times [1 - \prod_{i=l+1, \dots M} (1 - 1/p_i)], \quad (9)$$

Then we have,

Theorem 5;

when sieve upto  $p_M$  for the  $(0, p_m^\#)$ , the total number of the remaining primes inside  $(0, p_m^\#)$  is equal approximately to  $\prod_{i=1 \dots m} (p_i - 1) \prod_{j=m+1 \dots M} (1 - 1/p_j) \pm ER_m$ ,

here  $ER_m$  as above.

Similarly for the twin primes we have,

Theorem 6;

when sieve upto  $p_M$  for the  $(0, p_m^\#)$ , the total number of the remaining twin primes inside  $(0, p_m^\#)$  is equal approximately to  $\prod_{i=2 \dots m} (p_i - 2) \prod_{j=m+1 \dots M} (1 - 2/p_j) \pm ER_m$ ,

and here  $ER_m$  is the same as above.

This also proves the twin prime conjecture.