Urban Heat Island Amplification Estimates on Global Warming Using an Albedo Model Alec Feinberg, Ph.D., DfRSoft Research DfRSoft@gmail.com Vixra: 2003.0088, DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.32758.14402 Key Words: Urban Heat Islands, Albedo modeling, UHI amplification effects, global warming causes and amplification effects, cool roofs Abstract In this paper we provide nominal and worst case estimates of global warming due to UHI effect (including urban areas) using a Weighted Amplification Albedo Solar Urbanization (WAASU) Model. This is done with the aid of related studies on UHI footprint surface coverage yielding effective amplification factors. Using this method, we find conservatively between 4% and 22% of global warming may be due to the UHI effect (with urban areas).We also provide more aggressive estimates. Results provides insight into the UHI area effects from a new perspective and illustrates that one needs to take into account effective amplification factors when assessing UHI's to include their footprint on a global scale. Lastly, such effects likely show a more persuasive argument for the need of worldwide UHI albedo goals. **1. Introduction** It is concerning that there are so few UHI publications recently on their possible influences to global warming. Part

23 of the motivation for this paper is to illustrate the continual need for more up-to-date related studies including UHI 24 amplification effects (that include their urban areas) as will be discussed in this paper. The subject of UHI effect 25 having significant contributions to global warming is very important and should remain so. The topic has a 26 controversial history. One such paper was from McKitrick and Michaels, in 2007, who found that the net warming 27 bias at the global level may explain as much as half the observed land-based warming. This study was criticized 28 (Schmidt 2009) and defended for a period of about 10 years by Mckitrick (see McKitrick Website). Other authors 29 have also found significance (Feddema et al. 2005, Ren et al. 2007, Stone 2009, Yang et al. 2011, and Haung et al. 2015). These studies used land-based temperature station data to make assessments. Although the studies have all 30 31 found global warming UHI significance with different assessments, they have yet to influence the IPCC enough to 32 necessitate albedo goals in their many reports and meetings like the CO₂ effort. This is important because, we feel it 33 really is the IPCC's responsibility to inform the global community of such needs in the form of goals. Although they 34 have provided reports on UHIs including health related issues, the response to their reports does not appear to be 35 effective on the global scale compared with the on-going CO₂ effort. Surely promoting UHI albedo goals would 36 make a large impact. 37

38 The contention that UHI effects are basically only of local significance is most likely related to urban area estimates. 39 For example, IPCC (Satterthwaite et. al. 2014) AR5 report references Schneider et al. 2009 study that resulted in 40 urban coverage of 0.148% of the Earth (Table 1). This seemingly small area tends to dismiss the contention that UHI 41 effect can play a large scale role in global warming. Furthermore, estimates of how much of land has been urbanized 42 vary widely in the literature and this is in part due to the definition of what is urban and the datasets used. Despite 43 the growing importance of urban land in regional to global scale environmental studies, it remains extremely 44 difficult to map urban areas at coarse scales due to the mix of land cover types in urban environments, the small area 45 of urban land relative to the total land surface area, and the significant differences in how different groups and 46 disciplines define the term 'urban'.

47

1 2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

Furthermore, global warming UHI amplification effects are not quantified to a large degree related to area estimates.
Because of this, the average solar heating area itself has not been quantified as part of such area estimates on land size effect and typically unrelated to important building solar heating areas.

Table 1 Summarizin	ig Literature Urbaniza	ition Area Estimates
Percent of Land	Percent of Earth	References
2.7	0.783	GRUMP 2005, From NASA Satellite Light study based on 2004 data supplemented with census data
1%	0.29	NASA 2000 Satellite data, Galka 2016
0.5	0.148	(Schneider et al. 2009) based on 2000-2001 data from Satterthwaite IPCC 2014 reference
0.5%	0.145	(Zhou 2015) based on a 2000 data set [60]

Surface area land approximations vary widely and most are obtained with satellite measurements sometimes
 supplemented in some way with census data. Table 1 captures some papers that are of interest.

55

56 One key paper listed in the table that we study here is due to Schneider et al. (2009) since it is cited by the AR5 57 2014 IPCC report (Satterthwaite et al. 2014). In Schneider paper, the larger area found in the GRUMP 2005 study in 58 Table 1 is criticized. These area estimates are important in our paper as we are using a *Weighted Amplification* 59 *Albedo Solar Urbanization (WAASU) Model*. Amplification factors that we will use are related to such urban 50 coverage. Therefore, we decided to use both the Schneider et al. and GRUMP studies as the nominal and worst cases 51 urbanization area estimates respectively. Furthermore they were both done using data set from around 2000 which is 52 a convenient time to extrapolate down to 1950 and up to 2019 (see Sec. 3).

63

In our study, where we introduce the WAASU model, we will see that it has some advantages over the ground-based temperature studies like McKitricks and Michaels. The model is non probabilistic, in line with the way typical energy budgets are calculated, it uses only two key parameters (urban coverage, and average albedo). Because it is simplistic, it has transparency compared with the complex land-based studies.

69 2. UHI Amplification Effects

70

76 77

78 79

80

81

82 83

84

85 86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

71 The table below lists the global warming causes and amplification effects. In this section we will summarize only 72 the UHI amplification effects listed in the table since the root causes and the main global warming amplification 73 effects are fairly well known.

75 **Table 2** Global Warming Cause and Effects

Global Warming Causes \rightarrow	Population \rightarrow Expanding Urban Heat Islands (UHI), Roads & Increases in Greenhouse gas
Global Warming Amplification Effects \rightarrow	Increase in Specific Humidity, Decrease in Relative Humidity, Decrease in land albedo due to cities & roads, Decrease in water type areas from loss of albedo (reflectivity) due to Ice and snow melting
Urban Heat Island Amplification	UHI solar heating area (building areas), UHI building heat capacities,
Effects \rightarrow	humidity effects and hydro-hotspots, reduced wind cooling, solar canyons

- The UHI amplification effects that we consider to dominate listed in the Table are as follows:
 - The humidity amplification effect: This has been observed. For example, Zhao et al. (2014) noted that UHI temperature increases in daytime ΔT by 3.0°C in humid climates but decreasing ΔT by 1.5°C in dry climates. They noted that such relationships imply that UHIs will exacerbate heat wave stress on human health in wet UHI climates. One explanation for this is how heat dissipates through convection which is more difficult in humid climates. Another explanation is that warmer air holds more water vapor. This can increase local specific humidity so that there could be local greenhouse effects.
 - The heat capacity and solar heating area amplification effect: This contributes to the day-night UHI cycle. Here in most cities, it is observed that daytime atmospheric temperatures are actually cooler compared to night. For example, in a study by Basara et al. (2008) in Oklahoma city UHI it was found that at just 9-m height, the UHI was consistently 0.5–1.75°C greater in the urban core than the surrounding rural locations at night. Further, in general UHI impact was strongest during the overnight hours and weakest during the day. This inversion effect can be the results of massive UHI buildings acting like heat sinks with large solar area and heat capacities, absorb radiation via convection in the day, and actually reducing the UHI effect, but at night, buildings cools down, giving off their stored heat that increases local temperatures to the surrounding atmosphere. This effect increases with city growth as buildings have gotten substantially taller (Barr 2019) since 1950.
- The Hydro-hotspot amplification effect: This effect is not well addressed. Here atmospheric moisture source is a complex issue due to Hydro HotSpots (HHS). Hydro hotspots occur when buildings are hot due to sun exposure. Then during precipitation periods, the hot highly evaporation surfaces increase localized water vapor in the air via the effect that warm air holds more moisture. This increase in local greenhouse gas, could blanket city heat and increase infrared radiation during these periods. This, as discussed above, is another possible UHI humidity amplification.
- *Reduced Wind Cooling and Solar Canyons:* In UHIs reduced wind is a known effect due to building wind friction. As well, tall buildings create solar canyons and trap sunlight reducing the average albedo although

106 107 some benefits occurs from shading. In general, both have the effect of amplifying the temperature profile of UHIs.

108109 2.1 Urbanization Surface Area Amplification Factors

110 We see from the previous section that estimating climate change impact just based on the UHI and Urban area 111 coverage as in Table 1, cannot take into account solar heating building sidewall areas, massive heat capacities, the 112 113 humidity effects, wind reduction and the solar canyon effect which amplify UHI effects beyond its own climate area. 114 In order to estimate the UHI amplification effects, it is logical to look at UHI footprint studies. Zhang et al. (2004) 115 found the ecological footprint of urban land cover extends beyond the perimeter of urban areas, and the footprint of urban climates on vegetation phenology they found was 2.4 times the size of the actual urban land cover. In a more 116 117 recent study by Zhou et al. (2015), they looked at day-night cycles using temperature difference measurements. In 118 this study they found UHI effect decayed exponentially toward rural areas for majority of the 32 Chinese cities. 119 Their study was very thorough and extended over the period from 2003 to 2012. They describe China as an ideal 120 area to study since it has experienced the rapidest urbanization in the world in the decade they evaluated. They 121 found that the "footprint" of UHI effect, including urban areas, was 2.3 and 3.9 times of urban size for the day and 122 night, respectively. These factors are summarized in the table below. Our study uses the average day-night 123 amplification footprint coverage factor of 3.1 as a conservative value in our WAASU model.

124 In this study we consider these as urban area coverage amplification factors. That is, because buildings have a larger 125 solar area, greater than its ground area, one can think of this as essentially making up for the building surface sides 126 by increasing the ground "footprint" surface urban coverage area by a factor of 3.1. As buildings do increase the 127 solar heating area of the Earth, this is a reasonable way to account for the UHI effect through the footprint 128 amplification. This is the "footprint" concept. Essentially the UHI climate coverage has an average area 3.1 times 129 larger than its own which is how the parameter is treated in the WAASU model. This also increases the solar surface 130 area of the Earth that is accounted for in our model through renormalization discussed in Section 4 and Appendix B.

- 131
 Table 3 Urban Climate Amplification Factors
 Footprint **Day-Night Urban Climate Amplification Amplification Factor Average Factor** Area Coverage Amplification 2.3-3.9 3.1 Conservative Spherical Area Coverage 4.6-7.8 6.2 Aggressive 132 133 Although the 3.1 amplification factor may seem high, it is likely conservative. For example, two effects that would 134 be hard to quantify are loss of wetlands and rainwater management due to urbanization. Here we note, 135 Changes to the wetland area show a negative correlation with temperature (i.e. wetland decrease, increase • 136 in temperature), and a positive correlation with precipitation (i.e. wetland decrease, precipitation 137 decrease)." Cao et. al. (2011) Hirshi et al. (2011) 138 Impermeable surface creates annual evapotranspiration unknown amplification issues with natural cooling • 139 As well many coastal cities like Sydney, dump (132 billion gallons, Cormack 2015) frequently elevating • 140 temperatures from storm water runoff from hot buildings and streets into rivers, lakes and the sea raising 141 local and costal temperatures in the vicinity also extending the UHI footprint. 142 143 These effects in some areas may be responsible for added draught, deforestation due to urbanization and draught 144 related fires. We note that such amplification effects are hard to quantify. 145 146 Furthermore the 3.1 factor from the Zhou et al. (2015) study does not provide for altitude atmospheric dissipative 147 effects that also may contribute to global warming. We might envision altitude area effects as part of a spherical area 148 footprint about the UHI and its coverage. In this way, we might aggressively extend this with a half sphere over the 149 UHI with urban coverage in order to include some vertical radiation effects for global extent. UHI have been 150 modeled with exponential decay as described (Zhou et al.), this can more easily be approximated by a half sphere 151 roll off to simplify for an aggressive case. A half sphere model may be more appropriate as well. The area of a half sphere would then add another factor of 2 { $(4\pi r^2/2)/\pi r^2$ }. Therefore, this extrapolated amplification footprint factor 152 ranges of 4.6-7.8 for the day-night cycle respectively yielding an average of 6.2 shown in the table.
- 153 154

155 3.0 Area Extrapolated Rates to 1950 and 2019

156 In order to apply the area amplification factors, we first need to project the Schneider and GRUMP area estimates 157 down to 1950 and up to 2019. Both use datasets from around 2000 so this is a convenient somewhat middle time 158 frame. Here we decided to use the world population growth rate (World bank 2018) which varies by year as shown
159 in Appendix A in Figure A1. We used the average growth rate per ½ decade for iterative projections (that averaged
160 between 1.3% and 1.6% per year).

160 between 1.3%

To justify this we see that Figure A2a illustrates that building material aggregates (USGS 1900-2006) used to build
 cities and roads correlates well to population growth (US Population Growth 1900-2006).

165 It is also interesting to note that building materials for cities and roads also correlates well to global warming trends166 (NASA 1900-2006) shown in Figure A2b.

167 168 Column 2 in Table 4 show the projections with the actual year (~2000) data point tabulated value also listed in the 169 table (also see Table 1). Since 1950 is taken as the reference year, we did not use any amplification factor for this 170 period. Next we assumed the amplification factor of 3.1 (conservative) and 6.2 (aggressive) applied to the percent of 171 the Earth values (see Table 1) shown in the Schneider and GRUMP studies. Therefore, under these assumptions, the 172 urban effective amplification coverage used in the WAASU model is shown in Column 4.

173 174

164

Table 4 Values used to estimate the Solar Surface area in cities
--

Year	Urban coverage Percent of Earth	Urban Coverage Amplification Factor Effect	Effective Amplification Coverage Area Effect
	IPCC Sch	nneider Study	
1950	0.059*	1	0.059%**
2000-2001	0.0051x29%=0.148		
2019	0.188*	3.1 footprint***	0.583%
2019	0.188*	6.2 half-sphere****	1.166%
	Worst Case	GRUMP Study	
1 950	0.316%	1	0.316%**
2000	0.027x29%=0.783%		
2019	0.952%*	3.1 footprint***	2.95%
2019	0.952%*	6.2 half-sphere****	5.9%

175 176 177

*Growth rate of cities using world population yearly growth rate in Fig A1, study,**amplification factors not used, considered reference year,*** conservative, ****aggressive amplification.

178 4. Weighted Amplification Albedo Solar Urbanization (WAASU) Model Overview 1950 & 2019

180 The WAASU model is very straightforward; it is based on a global weighted albedo model. The weighted Earth181 constituents are

179

$$EWA = \sum_{i} \{\% Earth Area_{i} x Surface Item Albedo_{i} \}$$
(1)

Here EWA is the Earth's Weighted Albedo value. The model allows us to hold all values from 1950 to 2019
constant except for the urban coverage value. The effect of cloud coverage is also weighted and is averaged in with
Equation 1 as

186

$$Global Weighted \ Albedo = Average \{ (Clouds \ Albedo) x \% \ Coverage \} + (Earth \ Weighted \ Albedo) \}$$
(2)

188

The components of the model are flexible and only need to have reasonableness in known values and maintain relative consistency from 1950 to 2019 as they are held fixed. The important values are the variables of interest, urban coverage. In this way the WAASU model has been adjusted to the most commonly used global albedo 1950 value of ~30% (30.024%) shown in Table 5a. Then in 2019, using the extrapolated area coverage (see Sec.3.0) the area is amplified, the resulting model change to the global albedo is found as 29.982% for the Schneider nominal case (shown in Table 5b) and for the GRUMP worst case the albedo was similarly obtained as 29.794% for the conservative amplification factor. Other examples provided in Appendix B.

196

197 Although factors are held constant, since urban coverage increases in 2019, this increases the surface area of the 198 Earth which realistically does occur with city growth of tall buildings having large solar heating areas as accounted for by the amplification in the model. This new area, however small, requires some renormalization in the model of

the Earth components in the WAASU model (see Appendix B). Renormalization then may introduce some slight

error in the model. However, the model is sensitive to urban coverage changes but works well with renormalization and shows consistency with proportionality to the coverage as described in the next section.

Table 5a: A	lbedo=30.02	24%, 195	0	Table 5b : Albedo=29.982%, 2019				
	Enter	Enter			Enter	Enter	Walaktad	
Surface	% of Earth	Albedo	Weighted	Surface	% of Earth	Albedo	Weighted Albedo in %	
	Area	(0-1)	Results		Area	(0-1)	Results	
Water	71.0	(,		Water	70.6			
Sealce	15	0.66	9.900	Sea Ice	14.922	0.66	9.848	
Open Ocean	56	0.06	3.360	Open Ocean	55.708	0.06	3.342	
Land	29.0			Land	29.4			
Roads (0.04)	0.051	0.04	0.002	Roads (0.04)	0.051	0.04	0.002	
Urban Cov (0.12)	0.059	0.12	0.007	Urban Cov (0.12)	0 580	0.12	0.070	
Forest (0.17)	3.5	0.17	0.595	Ecrest (0.17)	3 482	0 17	0.592	
Forest (Snow)	4.9	0.81	3.969		4 074	0.94	2 0 4 9	
Grass lands (0.26)	3.79	0.26	0.985	Forest (Snow)	4.074	0.01	3.540	
Grass Lands Snow	7	0.81	5.670	Grass lands (0.26)	3.770	0.26	0.980	
Desert (0.4)	9.7	0.4	3.880	Grass Lands Snow	6.964	0.81	5.640	
Sum % of Earth Area	100.000			Desert (0.4)	9.649	0.4	3.860	
Weighted Earth			28.37	Sum % of Earth Area	100.000			
				Weighted Earth			28.28	
	66.6	0.476	31.68	Clouds (0.47)	66.6	0.476	31.68	
Clouds (0.47)							Global	
			Global				Weighted	
			Weighted				Alberte in	
			Albedo in				Albedo in	
Global=Average(Clouds			30.024	Global=Average(Clouds			29.982	
& Weighted Earth) %			50.024	& Weighted Earth) %			20.002	

4.1 WAASU Model Global Warming Estimates

From the above global WAASU model the estimates of the Earth's power absorption and temperature are then straightforward using the fundamental equation

$$P_{Total} = 1361 \text{W/m2} \{0.25 \text{ x} (1\text{-Albedo})\} = \sigma T^4$$
 (3)

Table 5 Results of GW Temperature Budget Change With City Surface Areas and Albedos

Year	Urban Extent Global Area %	UHI Effective Global Surface Area %	Albedo Roads	Albedo Cities	Global Weighted Albedo	Temperature (no GH gases)*	UHI Radiative Forcing	Percent Of Global Warming
		Noi	minal Cas	e IPCC Sc	hneider 200	9 Study		
1950	0.059	0.059	0.04	0.12	30.024	-18.594	0	Par
2019	.188	0.583	0.04	0.12	29.982	-18.555	0.143 W/m^2	4.02%
2019	.188	1.166	0.04	0.12	29.935	-18.513	0.303 W/m^2	8.52%
What if	0.188	0.583	0.04	0.5	30.092	-18.655°C	-0.231 W/m ²	-6.51% (Cooler)

Worst Case GRUMP 2005 Study								
1950	0.316%	0.316	0.04	0.12	30.03	-18.599°C	0	Par
2019	0.952%	2.95	0.04	0.12	29.794	-18.385°C	0.783 W/m ²	22%
2019	0.952%	5.9	0.04	0.12	29.573	-18.184	1.53 W/m^2	43%
What if	0.952%	2.95%	0.04	0.5	30.339	-18.881°C	-1.07 W/m ²	-30.2% (Cooler)

216 Results are compiled in Table 5. The table also includes "what if" estimates, if we could change urbanization to be 217 more reflective with cool roofs. The values here are relative to the conservative footprint amplification values. The 218 general results are summarized:

220 Conservative Area Coverage:

- Nominal Schneider case from 1950 to 2019 is 0.038C (-0.18.555-(-18.594) due to urban amplification coverage. This represents 4.02% (0.038C/0.95C) contribution to global warming
- Worst GRUMP case from 1950 to 2019 is 0.209C (-0.18.385-(-18.594) due to urban amplification coverage. This represents 22% (0.209C/0.95C) contribution to global warming
- "What if" corrective action results using cool roofs shows that changing city albedos range from 6.51% to 30.2% cooler for reducing global warming
- Aggressive half-sphere dome area coverage:
- Nominal Schneider case from 1950 to 2019 is 0.081C (-0.18.513-(-18.594) due to urban amplification coverage. This represents 8.52% (0.081C/0.95C) contribution to global warming
 Worst GRUMP case from 1950 to 2019 is 0.409C (-18.184-(-0.18.594) due to urban amplification
 - Worst GRUMP case from 1950 to 2019 is 0.409C (-18.184-(-0.18.594) due to urban amplification coverage. This represents 43% (0.409C/0.95C) contribution to global warming

We note that the global warming goes as the UHI footprint coverage as one might expect showing model consistency. For example, the Schneider nominal case, when the UHI effective surface area doubles, the percent of global warming approximately doubled. This shows the strength of the model and the ability of it to adapt with renormalization (see Appendix B).

237

231

232

219

221

222

223

224

238 5. Conclusions and Suggestions

239 In this paper we were able to estimate using UHI effect (with urban area) amplification coverage estimates with the 240 aid of a Zhou et al. (2015) footprint study. These estimates inserted into our WAASU model found that between 4.0 241 and 22% of global warming is related to UHI effect. Only UHI amplification effects were considered, global 242 amplification effects in Table 2 were not speculated to factor in. The model found that the effect on global warming 243 was proportional to the UHI footprint coverage. This was noted since the amplification factors doubled using a half 244 spherical coverage in the aggressive assessment; the model then found global warming results roughly also doubled 245 from 8.52% to 43%. As area estimates and amplification factors are very sensitive to the final results, it is clear 246 refined estimates are needed. However, given even our conservative results, the study still points to the need for 247 albedo enhancements like cool roofs in cities and urban areas to help stop related global warming anomalies.

248

250

251

252

249 Below we provide suggestions and corrective actions which include:

- Creating IPCC goals to include the need for albedo enhancements in existing UHIs and roads
- A directive for future albedo design requirements of city and roads
- Recommend an agency like NASA be tasked with finding applicable solutions to cool down UHIs.
- Recommendation for cars to be more reflective. Here although world-wide cars likely do not embody much
 of the Earth's area, recommending that all new manufactured cars be higher in reflectivity (e.g., silver or
 white) would help raise awareness of this issue similar to electric cars that help improve CO2 emissions
- 256

We stress again that the IPCC is the main governing force and the only agency capable of promoting such albedo
changes for cities and roads. Therefore, whether it is just for UHI known health reasons or due to studies similar to
ours, we strongly urge the IPCC to set albedo goals and include such goals in their global meetings.

- 260
- 261 Appendix A Growth Rates and Natural Aggregates Information

Below is a plot of the world population growth rate that varies from about 2.1 to 1.1. This is used to make growth rate estimate of urban coverage. We note that natural aggregate used to build cities and roads are reasonably correlated to population growth in Figure A2a. Also of interest (Fig. A2b) is the fact that one can see some correlation to global warming with the use of natural aggregates.





Figure A1 Population growth rate by year from 1960 to 2018, World Bank, 2018



268 269

Figure A2 a) Natural aggregates correlated to U.S. Population Growth (USGS 1900-2006) b) Natural aggregates
 correlated to global warming (NASA 2020)

272

273 Appendix B: Albedo Model Examples Similar to Section 4 & Renormalization Information

Table B1 shows Albedo Model with Albedo of 29.935% results with Urban Cov of 1.166% (Schneider case) renormalized to 1.153 along with other renormalized results. Table B2 showing Albedo results of 29.794% with Urban Cov. 2.95% (GRUMP case) renormalized to 2.867% along with renormalized results. We see in Table 5 there is good agreement with the albedo model's ability to adapt with renormalization as global warming due to UHI effect is proportional to urban coverage as one might expect. That is, the albedo model is relative to global solar normalized area.

Table B1	l Albedo re	sults 29.9	935%	Table B2AlbedoResults29.794%			
	Enter	Enter			Enter	Enter	
Surface	% of Earth	Albedo	Weighted Albedo in %	Surface	% of Earth	Albedo	Weighted Albedo in %
	Area	(0-1)	Results		Area	(0-1)	Results
Water	70.2			Water	69.0		
Sea Ice	14.836	0.66	9.792	Sea Ice	14.579	0.66	9.622
Open Ocean	55.387	0.06	3.323	Open Ocean	54.427	0.06	3.266
Land	29.8			Land	31.0		
Roads (0.04)	0.050	0.04	0.002	Roads (0.04)	0.050	0.04	0.002
Urban Cov (0.12)	1.153	0.12	0.138	Urban Cov (0.12)	2.867	0.12	0.344
Forest (0.17)	3.462	0.17	0.588	Forest (0.17)	3.402	0.17	0.578
Forest (Snow)	4.846	0.81	3.926	Forest (Snow)	4.762	0.81	3.857
Grass lands (0.26)	3.749	0.26	0.975	Grass lands (0.26)	3.684	0.26	0.958
Grass Lands Snow	6.923	0.81	5.608	Grass Lands Snow	6.803	0.81	5.511
Desert (0.4)	9.594	0.4	3.838	Desert (0.4)	9.427	0.4	3.771
Sum % of Earth Area	100.000			Sum % of Earth Area	100.000		
Weighted Earth			28.19	Weighted Earth			27.91
Clouds (0.47)	66.6	0.476	31.68	Clouds (0.47)	66.6	0.476	31.68
			Global				Global
			Weighted				Weighted
			Albedo in				Albedo in
Global=Average(Clouds & Weighted Earth) %			29.935	Global=Average(Clouds & Weighted Earth) %			29.794

- 284 Renormalization is done as follows:
 - 1. Model starts with 1950 Table 5a albedo 30.024%, then 2019 Urban Coverage area is entered
 - 2. For example, in Table B1, if the new Urban area is 1.166% larger, now the "Sum of % of Earth Area" will be 101.116% in 2019
- All areas are renormalized to 101.107% (1.107=1.166-0.059 where 0.059 original area Table 5a) For example, Sea Ice at 15% in 1950 becomes 15%x(100.000/101.107)=14.836% and the Urban Cov becomes 1.166%x(100/101.11)=1.153%.

292 References

285

286

287

- Barr J. M., 2019 The Economics of Skyscraper Height (Part IV): Construction Costs Around the World, https://buildingtheskyline.org/skyscraper-height-iv/
- Basara J., P. Hall Jr., A.Schroeder, B.Illston, K.Nemunaitis 2008, Diurnal cycle of the Oklahoma City urban heat
 island, J. of Geophysical Research
- Cao C.X., Zhao J., P. Gong, G. R. MA, D.M. Bao, K.Tian, Wetland changes and droughts in southwestern China,
 Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk, Oct 2011,
 https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/19475705.2011.588253
- Cormack L. 2015 Where does all the stormwater go after the Sydney weather clears? The Sydney Morning Hearald,
 https://www.smh.com.au/environment/where-does-all-the-stormwater-go-after-the-sydney-weather-clears 20150430-1mx4ep.html
- Feddema, J. J., K. W. Oleson, G. B. Bonan, L. O. Mearns, L. E. Buja, G. A. Meehl, and W. M. Washington (2005),
 The importance of land-cover change in simulating future climates, *Science*, **310**, 1674–1678,
 doi:10.1126/science.1118160
- Galka M. 2016, Half the World Lives on 1% of Its Land, Mapped, https://www.citylab.com/equity/2016/01/half earth-world-population-land-map/422748/, (2016 publication on 2000 data set, http://metrocosm.com/world population-split-in-half-map/
- Global Rural Urban Mapping Project (GRUMP) 2005, Columbia University Socioeconomic Data and Applications
 Center, Gridded Population of the World and the Global Rural-Urban Mapping Project (GRUMP).
- Hirshi M., Seneviratne S., V. Alexandrov, F. Boberg, C. Boroneant, O. Christensen, H. Formayer, B. Orlowsky &
 P. Stepanek, Observational evidence for soil-moisture impact on hot extremes in Europe, *Nature Geoscience* 4, 17-21 (2011)
- Huang Q., Lu Y. 2015 Effect of Urban Heat Island on Climate Warming in the Yangtze River Delta Urban
 Agglomeration in China, *Intern. J. of Environmental Research and Public Health* 12 (8): 8773
- McKitrick R. and Michaels J. 2004. A Test of Corrections for Extraneous Signals in Gridded Surface Temperature
 Data, Climate Research
- McKitrick R., Michaels P. 2007 Quantifying the influence of anthropogenic surface processes and inhomogeneities
 on gridded global climate data, J. of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres
- 320 McKitrick Website Describing controversy: https://www.rossmckitrick.com/temperature-data-quality.html
- 321 NASA 1900-2006 updated, 2020 https://climate.nasa.gov/vital-signs/global-temperature/
- NASA 2000, Gridded population of the world, , https://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/set/gpw-v3-population count/data-download
- Ren, G.; Chu, Z.; Chen, Z.; Ren, Y. 2007 Implications of temporal change in urban heat island intensity observed at
 Beijing and Wuhan stations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, L05711,doi:10.1029/2006GL027927.
- Schmidt G. A. 2009 Spurious correlations between recent warming and indices of local economic activity, *Int. J. of Climatology*
- Schneider, A., M. Friedl, and D. Potere, 2009:A new map of global urban extent from MODIS satellite data.
 Environmental Research Letters, 4(4), 044003, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/4/4/044003
- Satterthwaite D.E., F. Aragón-Durand, J. Corfee-Morlot, R.B.R. Kiunsi, M. Pelling, D.C. Roberts, and W. Solecki,
 2014: Urban areas. In: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and
- 332 Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental333 Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
- 334 Stone B. 2009 Land use as climate change mitigation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43(24), 9052–9056,
 335 doi:10.1021/es902150g
- 336 US Population Growth 1900-2006, u-s-history.com/pages/h980.html1
- 337 USGS 1900-2006, Materials in Use in U.S. Interstate Highways, https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2006/3127/2006-3127.pdf
- **338** World Bank, 2018 population growth rate, worldbank.org
- Yang, X.; Hou, Y.; Chen, B. 2011 Observed surface warming induced by urbanization in east China. J. Geophys.
 Res. Atmos, 116, doi:10.1029/2010JD015452.
- 341 Zhang, X., Friedl, M. A., Schaaf, C. B., Strahler, A. H. & Schneider, A. 2004 The footprint of urban climates on
- 342 vegetation phenology. Geophys. Res. Lett. 31, L12209
- 343 Zhao L, Lee X, Smith RB, Oleson K, Strong 2014, contributions of local background climate to urban heat islands,
- 344 Nature. 10;511(7508):216-9. doi: 10.1038/nature13462

- Zhou D., Zhao S., L. Zhang, G Sun and Y. Liu, 2015, The footprint of urban heat island effect in China, *Scientific Reports*. 5: 11160
- 347 Zhou Y., SmithS., Zhao K., M. Imhoff, A. Thomson, B. Lamberty, G. Asrar, X. Zhang, C. He and C. Elvidge, A
- 348 global map of urban extent from nightlights, Env. Research Letters, 10 (2015), (study uses a 2000 data set).

390