

✓  $\xi(s)$  i.e. the Riemann's Xi function satisfies the functional equation (2)

$$\xi(1-s) = \xi(s)$$

$$\det \xi(\Delta) = 0$$

Claim :-  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

$$\therefore \xi(s) = 0$$

$$\xi(1-s) = \xi(s) = 0$$

$$\therefore \xi(1-s) = 0 \text{ --- (1)}$$

H.M. Edwards [1, p. 39]

$$\xi(s) = \xi(0) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{s}{p}\right) \text{ --- (2)}$$

where  $\xi$  is entire function,  $p$  ranges over all roots  $p$  of  $\xi(p) = 0$  (\*)

$$\xi(1-s) = 0 \text{ (from (1))}$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(0) \prod_p \left[1 - \frac{(1-s)}{p}\right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(0) \prod_p \left(\frac{p+s-1}{p}\right) = 0$$

$$\xi(0) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ ([2, p. 37, Theorem 2.11])}$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_p \left(\frac{p+s-1}{p}\right) = 0$$

$$\prod_f \frac{f + \Delta - 1}{f} = 0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\text{let, } s = \sigma + it$$

$$\& f = a + ib$$

$$\text{(3)} \Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{a+ib + \sigma + it - 1}{a+ib} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a+\sigma-1) + i(b+t)}{a+ib} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a+\sigma-1) + i(b+t)}{a+ib} \right|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \left| \frac{(a+\sigma-1) + i(b+t)}{a+ib} \right|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a+\sigma-1)^2 + (b+t)^2}{a^2 + b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma+2\sigma-1)^2 + (b+t)^2}{a^2 + b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (2\sigma-1)^2 + 2(a-\sigma)(2\sigma-1) + (b+t)^2}{a^2 + b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2\sigma-1+2a-2\sigma) + (b+t)^2}{a^2 + b^2} = 0$$

$$\prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a-1)}{a^2+b^2} = 0 \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

(where R.Z.F. has non-trivial zeros)  
 $\therefore$  The critical strip is ~~defined~~  $0 < \text{Re}(\sigma) < 1$

$$0 < \text{Re}(\sigma) < 1; \quad \sigma = \sigma + it$$

$$0 < \sigma < 1$$

$$2 \text{ Cases} \rightarrow 0 < \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \& \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1$$

Case 1 :-  $0 < \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$

If  $f = a + ib$

Claim :-  $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$

We prove this by contradiction.

Let  $a \notin (0, \frac{1}{2}]$

$\therefore 0 < a < 1$  ( $\because f_n$  is a non-trivial zero of  $\zeta(\sigma)$ )

$\therefore \frac{1}{2} < a < 1$

[3]  $\rightarrow$  Jonathan Sondow

$$\zeta(\sigma) = \zeta(0) \prod_f \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{f}\right)$$

$$\zeta(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{f=a+ib} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma+it}{a+ib}\right)$$

$$\zeta(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib}$$

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{p=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib}$$

$$\because 0 < \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \leq -\sigma < 0 \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$\because \frac{1}{2} < a < 1 \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

Adding (5) & (6)

$$0 < a - \sigma < 1 \Rightarrow a - \sigma \neq 0$$

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{p=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib}$$

$$(a-\sigma) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib} \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{p=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib} \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \prod_{p=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib} \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \zeta(s) \neq 0$$

which is a contradiction since we assumed that  $\zeta(s) = 0$

$\therefore$  Our assumption that  $a \notin [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  is wrong

$$\therefore a \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$$

$$a \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$$

$$0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a-1)}{a^2+b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (1-2\sigma)(1-2a)}{a^2+b^2} = 0 \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{7}$$

$$\therefore 0 < \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (\text{by case 1})$$

$$\Rightarrow 1-2\sigma \geq 0 \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{8}$$

$$\& \therefore 0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1-2a \geq 0 \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{9}$$

~~\therefore By \textcircled{8} & \textcircled{9}~~ From \textcircled{8} & \textcircled{9}

$$\Rightarrow (1-2\sigma)(1-2a) \geq 0 \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{10}$$

$$\textcircled{7} \Rightarrow \prod_{a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (1-2\sigma)(1-2a)}{a^2+b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(a_0-\sigma)^2 + (b_0+t)^2 + (1-2\sigma)(1-2a_0)}{a_0^2+b_0^2} = 0 \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{11}$$

for some  $a_0+ib_0 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\therefore \text{By } \textcircled{10} \quad (1-2\sigma)(1-2a) \geq 0; \quad 0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-2\sigma)(1-2a_0) \geq 0$$

$$\therefore \textcircled{11} \Rightarrow (a_0-\sigma)^2 = 0, \quad (b_0+t)^2 = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1-2\sigma)(1-2a_0) = 0$$

$$(a_0 - \sigma)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_0 = \sigma$$

Putting  $a_0 = \sigma$  in  $(1-2\sigma)(1-2a_0) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow (1-2\sigma)(1-2\sigma) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-2\sigma)^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1-2\sigma = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\sigma = \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\text{Re}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{Pd.}$$

Case 2  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1$

Claim: -  $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1$ ,  $\rho = a + ib$ .  
 $0 < a < 1$  [3] Jonathan Sondow  
 $\left[ \because \rho = a + ib \text{ is a non-trivial zero of } \xi(s) = \xi(\sigma) \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) \right]$

We prove the claim by contradiction  
 as assume that

let,  $a \notin \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ .

$$\because 0 < a < 1$$

$$\therefore 0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\xi(s) = \xi(\sigma) \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)$$

$$s = \sigma + it$$

$$\rho = a + ib$$

$$\xi(\sigma + it) = \xi(\sigma) \prod_{\rho = a + ib} \frac{(a - \sigma) + i(b - t)}{a + ib}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1$$

$$-1 < -\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}$$

$$0 < a < \frac{1}{2} \quad (\text{assumption})$$

$$-1 < a - \sigma < 0$$

$$a - \sigma \neq 0$$

$$\xi(\sigma + it) = \xi(0) \prod \frac{(a - \sigma) + i(b - t)}{a + ib}$$

$$(a - \sigma) + i(b - t) \neq 0$$

$$\frac{(a - \sigma) + i(b - t)}{a + ib} \neq 0$$

$$\prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a - \sigma) + i(b - t)}{a + ib} \neq 0$$

$$\xi(0) \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a - \sigma) + i(b - t)}{a + ib} \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(\sigma + it) \neq 0$$

which is a contradiction <sup>we had</sup>  $\therefore \xi(\sigma + it) = 0$

$\therefore$  Our Assumption that  $a \notin [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  is wrong

$$\therefore a \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1$$

$$\& \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1 \quad (\text{By case 2})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1 \Rightarrow 2\sigma - 1 \geq 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1 \Rightarrow 2a - 1 \geq 0$$

By (4),

$$\prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a-1)}{a^2+b^2} = 0 \quad \text{--- (12)}$$

$$\because 2\sigma - 1 \geq 0 \quad \& \quad 2a - 1 \geq 0$$

$$\therefore (2\sigma - 1)(2a - 1) \geq 0 \quad \text{--- (13)}$$

$$\textcircled{*} \Rightarrow \prod \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a-1)}{a^2+b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(a_1-\sigma)^2 + (b_1+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1)}{a_1^2+b_1^2} = 0$$

for some  $a_1+ib_1 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(a_1-\sigma)^2 + (b_1+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) = 0$$

$$\because \text{By (13)} \quad (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) \geq 0 \quad \forall a_1 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) \geq 0 \quad \forall a_1 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$$

$$(a_1-\sigma)^2 + (b_1+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a_1-\sigma)^2 = 0 \quad \& \quad (b_1+t)^2 = 0 \quad \& \quad (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = \sigma \quad \& \quad b_1 = -t \quad \& \quad (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = \sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad (2\sigma-1)(2\sigma-1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (2\sigma-1)^2 = 0$$



$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\sigma = \frac{1}{2}}$$

### References

- 1.) E.C. Titchmarsh - The Theory of the R.Z. Function
- 2.) Equivalents of R.H. - Arithmetic Equivalents  
Kevin Broughan
- 3.) A Monotonicity Property of Riemann's Xi Function  
& a Reformulation of the R.H.: Jonathan  
Sondow and ~~Chris~~ Cristian Dumitrescu.  
Periodica Hungarica - May 2010