

$\xi'(s)$ i.e. the Riemann's Xi function satisfies the functional equation

$$\xi(1-s) = \xi(s) \quad (2)$$

$$\det \xi(s) = 0$$

Claim :- $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\because \xi(s) = 0$$

$$\xi(1-s) = \xi(s) = 0$$

$$\therefore \xi(1-s) = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

H.M. Edwards [4, p. 39]

$$\xi(s) = \xi(0) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{p}\right) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

where ξ is entire function, p ranges over all roots p of $\xi(p) = 0$ (*)

$$\xi(1-s) = 0 \quad (\text{from (1)})$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(0) \prod_p \left[1 - \frac{(1-s)}{p}\right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(0) \prod_p \left(\frac{p+s-1}{p}\right) = 0$$

$$\xi(0) = \frac{1}{2} \quad ([4]^2, \text{p. 37, Theorem 2.11})$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_p \left(\frac{p+s-1}{p}\right) = 0$$

$$\prod_f \frac{f + \Delta - 1}{f} = 0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\text{let, } s = \sigma + it$$

$$\& f = a + ib$$

$$\text{(3)} \Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{a+ib + \sigma + it - 1}{a+ib} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a+\sigma-1) + i(b+t)}{a+ib} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a+\sigma-1) + i(b+t)}{a+ib} \right|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \left| \frac{(a+\sigma-1) + i(b+t)}{a+ib} \right|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a+\sigma-1)^2 + (b+t)^2}{a^2 + b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma+2\sigma-1)^2 + (b+t)^2}{a^2 + b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (2\sigma-1)^2 + 2(a-\sigma)(2\sigma-1) + (b+t)^2}{a^2 + b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2\sigma-1+2a-2\sigma) + (b+t)^2}{a^2 + b^2} = 0$$

$$\prod_{\substack{\delta = a+ib \\ a^2+b^2}} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a-1)}{a^2+b^2} = 0 \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

(where R.Z.F. has non-trivial zeros)
 \therefore The critical strip is ~~defined~~ $0 \leq \text{Re}(\sigma) \leq 1$

$$0 \leq \text{Re}(\sigma) \leq 1; \quad \delta = \sigma + it$$

$$0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$$

2 cases $\rightarrow 0 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ & $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1$

Case 1:- $0 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$

If $\delta = a + ib$

Claim :- $0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}$

We Prove this by contradiction.

Let $a \notin [0, \frac{1}{2}]$

$\therefore 0 \leq a \leq 1$ ($\because \delta = a+ib$ is a non-trivial zero of $\zeta(\delta)$)

$\therefore \frac{1}{2} < a \leq 1$

[3] \rightarrow Jonathan Sondow

$$\zeta(\delta) = \zeta(0) \prod_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{\beta}\right)$$

$$\zeta(\delta) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\delta = a+ib} \left(1 - \frac{\delta+it}{a+ib}\right)$$

$$\zeta(\delta) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\delta = a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib}$$

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{f=at+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib}$$

$$\because 0 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \leq -\sigma \leq 0 \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$\because \frac{1}{2} < a \leq 1 \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

Adding (5) & (6)

$$0 < a - \sigma \leq 1 \Rightarrow a - \sigma \neq 0$$

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{f=at+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib}$$

$$(a-\sigma) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib} \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=at+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib} \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \prod_{f=at+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib} \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(s) \neq 0$$

Which is a contradiction since we assumed that $\xi(s) = 0$

\therefore Our assumption that $a \notin [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is wrong

$$\therefore a \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$$

$$a \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$$

$$0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$(4) \Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a-1)}{a^2+b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (1-2\sigma)(1-2a)}{a^2+b^2} = 0 \quad \text{--- (7)}$$

$$\therefore 0 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (\text{by case 1})$$

$$\Rightarrow 1-2\sigma \geq 0 \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

$$\& \therefore 0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1-2a \geq 0 \quad \text{--- (9)}$$

~~\therefore By (8) & (9) From (8) & (9)~~

$$\Rightarrow (1-2\sigma)(1-2a) \geq 0 \quad \text{--- (10)}$$

$$(7) \Rightarrow \prod_{a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (1-2\sigma)(1-2a)}{a^2+b^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(a_0-\sigma)^2 + (b_0+t)^2 + (1-2\sigma)(1-2a_0)}{a_0^2+b_0^2} = 0 \quad \text{--- (11)}$$

for some $a_0+ib_0 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\therefore \text{By (10)} \quad (1-2\sigma)(1-2a) \geq 0; \quad 0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-2\sigma)(1-2a_0) \geq 0$$

$$\therefore (11) \Rightarrow (a_0-\sigma)^2 = 0, \quad (b_0+t) = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1-2\sigma)(1-2a_0) = 0$$

$$(a_0 - \sigma)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_0 = \sigma$$

Putting $a_0 = \sigma$ in $(1 - 2\sigma)(1 - 2a_0) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - 2\sigma)(1 - 2\sigma) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - 2\sigma)^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - 2\sigma = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\sigma = \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ P.d.}$$

Case 2 $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$

Claim: - $\frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1$, $\rho = a + ib$. [3] Jonathan Sondow

$\because 0 \leq a \leq 1$ [$\because \rho = a + ib$ is a non-trivial zero of $\xi(s) = \xi(s) \prod_{\rho} (1 - \frac{s}{\rho})$]

We prove the claim by contradiction [\because $\rho = a + ib$ is a non-trivial zero of $\xi(s) = \xi(s) \prod_{\rho} (1 - \frac{s}{\rho})$]

we assume that
let, $a \notin [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$

$$\because 0 \leq a \leq 1$$

$$\therefore 0 \leq a < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\xi(s) = \xi(s) \prod_{\rho} (1 - \frac{s}{\rho})$$

$$s = \sigma + it$$

$$\rho = a + ib$$

$$\xi(\sigma + it) = \xi(s) \prod_{\rho = a + ib} \frac{(a - \sigma) + i(b - t)}{a + ib}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$$

$$-1 \leq -\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}$$

$$0 \leq a < \frac{1}{2} \quad (\text{assumption})$$

$$-1 \leq a - \sigma < 0$$

$$a - \sigma \neq 0$$

$$\xi(\sigma + it) = \xi(0) \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib}$$

$$(a-\sigma) + i(b-t) \neq 0$$

$$\frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib} \neq 0$$

$$\prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib} \neq 0$$

$$\xi(0) \prod_{f=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma) + i(b-t)}{a+ib} \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(\sigma + it) \neq 0$$

which is a contradiction ^{we had} $\xi(\sigma + it) = 0$

\therefore Our Assumption that $a \notin [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ is wrong

$$\therefore a \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1$$

$$\& \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 \quad (\text{By case 2})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 \Rightarrow 2\sigma - 1 \geq 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq a \leq 1 \Rightarrow 2a - 1 \geq 0$$

By (4),

$$\prod_{z=a+ib} \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a-1)}{a^2+b^2} = 0 \quad \text{--- (12)}$$

$$\because 2\sigma - 1 \geq 0 \quad \& \quad 2a - 1 \geq 0$$

$$\therefore (2\sigma - 1)(2a - 1) \geq 0 \quad \text{--- (13)}$$

$$\textcircled{*} \Rightarrow \prod \frac{(a-\sigma)^2 + (b+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a-1)}{a^2+b^2} = 0$$

$$\nexists \frac{(a_1-\sigma)^2 + (b_1+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1)}{a_1^2+b_1^2} = 0$$

for some $a_1+ib_1 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(a_1-\sigma)^2 + (b_1+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) = 0$$

$$\because \text{By (13)} \quad (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) \geq 0 \quad \forall a_1 \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) \geq 0 \quad \forall a_1 \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$$

$$(a_1-\sigma)^2 + (b_1+t)^2 + (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a_1-\sigma)^2 = 0 \quad \& \quad (b_1+t)^2 = 0 \quad \& \quad (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = \sigma \quad \& \quad b_1 = -t \quad \& \quad (2\sigma-1)(2a_1-1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = \sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad (2\sigma-1)(2\sigma-1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (2\sigma-1)^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\sigma = \frac{1}{2}}$$

References

- 1.) E.C. Titchmarsh - The Theory of the R.Z. Function
- 2.) Equivalents of R.H. - Arithmetic Equivalents
Kevin Broughan
- 3.) A Monotonicity Property of Riemann's ξ Function
& a Reformulation of the R.H.: Tomalhan
Sondow and ~~Choo~~ Cristian Dumitrescu
Periodica Hungarica - May 2010
- 4.) H.M. Edwards - Riemann's Zeta function -
Academic Press