# ON $\Lambda_b$ -SETS AND THE ASSOCIATED TOPOLOGY $\tau^{\Lambda_b*}$

M. Caldas (Universidade Federal Fluminense),<sup>†</sup>S. Jafari (College of Vestsjaelland So

T. Noiri(Yatsushiro College of Technology)

Dedicated to Professor Alexander Arhangelskii on the occasion of his 65th birthday<sup>§</sup>

#### Abstract

In this paper we define the concept of  $\Lambda_b$ -sets (resp.  $V_b$ -sets) of a topological space, i.e., the intersection of *b*-open (resp. the union of *b*-closed) sets. We study the fundamental property of  $\Lambda_b$ -sets (resp.  $V_b$ -sets) and investigate the topologies defined by these families of sets.

#### 1 Introduction

In 1996, Andrijević [2] introduced a new class of generalized open sets called *b*-open sets into the field of topology. This class is a subset of the class of semi-preopen sets [3], i.e. a subset of a topological space which is contained in the closure of the interior of its closure. Also the class of *b*-open sets is a superset of the class of semi-open sets [7], i.e. a set which is contained in the closure of its interior, and the class of locally dense sets [5] or preopen sets

Keywords and phrases: b-open sets,  $\Lambda_b$ -sets,  $V_b$ -sets, topology  $\tau^{\Lambda_b}$ .

<sup>\*1991</sup> Math. Subject Classification – Primary: 54D30, 54A05; Secondary: 54H05, 54G99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Departamento de Matematica Aplicada, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Rua Mario Santos Braga, s/n 24020-140, Niteroi, RJ Brasil. E-mail: gmamccs@vm.uff.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Herrestraede 11, 4200 Slagelse, Denmark. E-mail: jafari@stofanet.dk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Department of Mathematics, Yatsushiro College of Technology, Kumamoto, 866-8501 Japan. E-mail: noiri@as.yatsushiro-nct.ac.jp

[11], i.e. a set which is contained in the interior of its closure. Andrijević studied several fundamental and interesting properties of *b*-open sets. Among others, he showed that a rare *b*-open set is preopen [[2], Proposition 2.2]. Recall that a rare set [4] is a set with no interior points. It is well-known that for a topological space X, every rare *b*-open set is semi-open if and only if the interior of a dense subset is dense.

Throughout the present paper, the space  $(X, \tau)$  always means a topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let  $A \subseteq X$ , then A is said to be *b*-open [2] if  $A \subseteq Cl(Int(A)) \cup Int(Cl(A))$ , where Cl(A) and Int(A) denotes the closure and the interior of A in  $(X, \tau)$ , respectively. The complement  $A^c$  of a *b*-open set A is called *b*-closed and the *b*-closure of a set A, denoted by  $Cl_b(A)$ , is the intersection of all *b*-closed sets containing A. The *b*-interior of a set A denoted by  $Int_b(A)$ , is the union of all *b*-open sets contained in A.

The family of all *b*-open (resp. *b*-closed) sets in  $(X, \tau)$  will be denoted by  $BO(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $BC(X, \tau)$ ).

PROPOSITION 1.1 (Andrijević [2]) (a) The union of any family of b-open sets is b-open.(b) The intersection of an open and a b-open set is a b-open set.

LEMMA 1.2 The *b*-closure  $Cl_b(A)$ , is the set of all  $x \in X$  such that  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $O \in BO(X, x)$ , where  $BO(X, x) = \{U \mid x \in U, U \in BO(X, \tau)\}.$ 

It is the aim of this paper to introduce the concept of  $\Lambda_b$ -sets (resp.  $V_b$ -sets) which is the intersection of *b*-open (resp. the union of *b*-closed) sets. We also investigate the notions of generalized  $\Lambda_b$ -sets and generalized  $V_b$ -sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Moreover, we present a new topology  $\tau^{\Lambda_b}$  on  $(X, \tau)$  by utilizing the notions of  $\Lambda_b$ -sets and  $V_b$ -sets. In this connection, we examine some of the properties of this new topology.

#### **2** $\Lambda_b$ -sets and $V_b$ -sets

**D**EFINITION 1 Let *B* be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . We define the subsets  $B^{\Lambda_b}$ and  $B^{V_b}$  as follows:

 $B^{\Lambda_b} = \bigcap \{ O/O \supseteq B, \ O \in BO(X, \tau) \}$  and  $B^{V_b} = \bigcup \{ F/F \subseteq B, \ F^c \in BO(X, \tau) \}.$ 

**P**ROPOSITION 2.1 Let A, B and  $\{B_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Omega\}$  be subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then the following properties are valid:

(a)  $B \subseteq B^{\Lambda_b}$ ; (b) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A^{\Lambda_b} \subseteq B^{\Lambda_b}$ ; (c)  $(B^{\Lambda_b})^{\Lambda_b} = B^{\Lambda_b}$ ; (d)  $[\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}]^{\Lambda_b} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}^{\Lambda_b}$ ; (e) If  $A \in BO(X, \tau)$ , then  $A = A^{\Lambda_b}$ ; (f)  $(B^c)^{\Lambda_b} = (B^{V_b})^c$ ; (g)  $B^{V_b} \subseteq B$ ; (h) If  $B \in BC(X, \tau)$ , then  $B = B^{V_b}$ ; (i)  $[\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}]^{\Lambda_b} \subseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}^{\Lambda_b}$ ; (j)  $[\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}]^{V_b} \supseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}^{V_b}$ .

**PROOF.** (a) Clear by Definition 1.

(b) Suppose that  $x \notin B^{\Lambda_b}$ . Then there exists a subset  $O \in BO(X, \tau)$  such that  $O \supseteq B$  with  $x \notin O$ . Since  $B \supseteq A$ , then  $x \notin A^{\Lambda_b}$  and thus  $A^{\Lambda_b} \subseteq B^{\Lambda_b}$ .

(c) Follows from (a) and Definition 1.

(d) Suppose that there exists a point x such that  $x \notin [\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}]^{\Lambda_{b}}$ . Then, there exists a subset  $O \in BO(X, \tau)$  such that  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda} \subseteq O$  and  $x \notin O$ . Thus, for each  $\lambda \in \Omega$  we have  $x \notin B_{\lambda}^{\Lambda_{b}}$ . This implies that  $x \notin \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}^{\Lambda_{b}}$ . Conversely, suppose that there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x \notin \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}^{\Lambda_{b}}$ . Then by Definition 1, there exist subsets  $O_{\lambda} \in BO(X, \tau)$  (for each  $\lambda \in \Omega$ ) such that  $x \notin O_{\lambda}$ ,  $B_{\lambda} \subseteq O_{\lambda}$ . Let  $O = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} O_{\lambda}$ . Then we have that  $x \notin \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} O_{\lambda}$ ,  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda} \subseteq O$  and  $O \in BO(X, \tau)$ . This implies that  $x \notin [\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}]^{\Lambda_{b}}$ . Thus, the proof of (d) is completed.

(e) By Definition 1 and since  $A \in BO(X, \tau)$ , we have  $A^{\Lambda_b} \subseteq A$ . By (a) we have that  $A^{\Lambda_b} = A$ .

(f)  $(B^{V_b})^c = \bigcap \{F^c/F^c \supseteq B^c, F^c \in BO(X, \tau)\} = (B^c)^{\Lambda_b}.$ 

(g) Clear by Definition 1.

(h) If  $B \in BC(X, \tau)$ , then  $B^c \in BO(X, \tau)$ . By (e) and (f):  $B^c = (B^c)^{\Lambda_b} = (B^{V_b})^c$ . Hence  $B = B^{V_b}$ .

(i) Suppose that there exists a point x such that  $x \notin \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}^{\Lambda_b}$ . Then, there exists  $\lambda \in \Omega$  such that  $x \notin B_{\lambda}^{\Lambda_b}$ . Hence there exists  $O \in BO(X, \tau)$  such that  $O \supseteq B_{\lambda}$  and  $x \notin O$ . Thus  $x \notin [\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}]^{\Lambda_b}$ .

(j) 
$$[\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}]^{V_b} = [((\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda})^c)^{\Lambda_b}]^c = [(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}^c)^{\Lambda_b}]^c \supseteq [\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} (B_{\lambda}^c)^{\Lambda_b}]^c = [\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} (B_{\lambda}^{V_b})^c]^c = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}^{V_b}$$
  
(by (f) and (i)).  $\Box$ 

**R**EMARK 2.2 In general  $(B_1 \cap B_2)^{\Lambda_b} \neq B_1^{\Lambda_b} \cap B_2^{\Lambda_b}$ , as the following example shows.

**EXAMPLE 2.3** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$ . Let  $B_1 = \{b\}$  and  $B_2 = \{c\}$ . Then we have  $(B_1 \cap B_2)^{\Lambda_b} = \emptyset$  but  $B_1^{\Lambda_b} \cap B_2^{\Lambda_b} = \{a\}$ .

**D**EFINITION 2 In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , a subset *B* is a  $\Lambda_b$ -set (resp.  $V_b$ -set) of  $(X, \tau)$ if  $B = B^{\Lambda_b}$  (resp.  $B = B^{V_b}$ ). By  $\Lambda_b$  (resp.  $V_b$ ), we denote the family of all  $\Lambda_b$ -sets (resp.  $V_b$ -sets) of  $(X, \tau)$ .

**R**EMARK 2.4 By Proposition 2.1 (e) and (h) we have that:

- (a) If  $B \in BO(X, \tau)$ , then B is a  $\Lambda_b$ -set.
- (b) If  $B \in BC(X, \tau)$ , then B is a  $V_b$ -set.

**THEOREM 2.5** (a) The subsets  $\emptyset$  and X are  $\Lambda_b$ -sets and  $V_b$ -sets.

- (b) Every union of  $\Lambda_b$ -sets (resp.  $V_b$ -sets) is a  $\Lambda_b$ -set (resp.  $V_b$ -set).
- (c) Every intersection of  $\Lambda_b$ -sets (resp.  $V_b$ -sets) is a  $\Lambda_b$ -set (resp.  $V_b$ -set).
- (d) A subset B is a  $\Lambda_b$ -set if and only if  $B^c$  is a  $V_b$ -set.

**PROOF.** (a) and (d) are obvious.

(b) Let  $\{B_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Omega\}$  be a family of  $\Lambda_b$ -sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then by Definition 2 and Proposition 2.1 (d),  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}^{\Lambda_b} = [\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}]^{\Lambda_b}$ . (c) Let  $\{B_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Omega\}$  be a family of  $\Lambda_b$ -sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then by Proposition 2.1 (h)

(c) Let  $\{B_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Omega\}$  be a family of  $\Lambda_b$ -sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then by Proposition 2.1 (h) and Definition 2  $[\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}]^{\Lambda_b} \subseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}^{\Lambda_b} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}$ . Hence by Proposition 2.1 (a)  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda} = [\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda}]^{\Lambda_b}$ .  $\Box$ 

**R**EMARK 2.6 By Theorem 2.5,  $\Lambda_b$  (resp.  $V_b$ ) is a topology on X containing all b-open (resp. b-closed) sets. Clearly  $(X, \Lambda_b)$  and  $(X, V_b)$  are Alexandroff spaces [1], i.e. arbitrary intersections of open sets are open.

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $b \cdot T_1$  if for each pair of distinct points x and y of X, there exist a b-open set  $U_x$  containing x but not y and a b-open set  $U_y$  containing y but not x. It is obvious that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $b \cdot T_1$  if and only if for each  $x \in X$ , the singleton  $\{x\}$  is b-closed.

**THEOREM 2.7** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent: (a)  $(X, \tau)$  is b-T<sub>1</sub>;

- (b)Every subset of X is a  $\Lambda_b$ -set;
- (c) Every subset of X is a  $V_b$ -set.

**PROOF.** It is obvious that (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c).

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Let A be any subset of X. Since  $A = \bigcup \{ \{x\} \mid x \in A \}$ , A is the union of b-closed sets, hence a  $V_b$ -set.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Since by (c), we have that every singleton is an union of *b*-closed sets, i.e. it is *b*-closed, then  $(X, \tau)$  is an *b*- $T_1$  space.  $\Box$ 

Recall that a subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be generalized closed (briefly g-closed) [8] if  $Cl(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in \tau$ . A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  if every g-closed subset of X is closed. Dunham [6] pointed out that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  if and only if for each  $x \in X$  the singleton  $\{x\}$  is open or closed.

**T**HEOREM 2.8 For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties hold: (a)  $(X, \Lambda_b)$  and  $(X, V_b)$  are  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , (b) If  $(X, \tau)$  is b-T<sub>1</sub>, then both  $(X, \Lambda_b)$  and  $(X, V_b)$  are discrete spaces.

PROOF. (a) Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $\{x\}$  is either preclosed or open and hence  $\{x\}$  is either *b*-open or *b*-closed. If  $\{x\}$  is *b*-open,  $\{x\} \in \Lambda_b$ . If  $\{x\}$  is *b*-closed in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $X \setminus \{x\}$ is *b*-open and hence  $X \setminus \{x\} \in \Lambda_b$ . Therefore  $\{x\}$  is closed in  $(X, \Lambda_b)$ . Hence  $(X, \Lambda_b)$  and  $(X, V_b)$  are  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  spaces.

(b) This follows from Theorem 2.7.  $\Box$ 

### **3** G. $\Lambda_b$ -sets and g. $V_b$ -sets

In this section, by using the  $\Lambda_b$ -operator and  $V_b$ -operator, we introduce the classes of generalized  $\Lambda_b$ -sets (=  $g.\Lambda_b$ -sets) and generalized  $V_b$ -sets (=  $g.V_b$ -sets) as an analogy of the sets introduced by Maki [9].

**D**EFINITION 3 In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , a subset *B* is called a  $g.\Lambda_b$ -set of  $(X, \tau)$  if  $B^{\Lambda_b} \subseteq F$  whenever  $B \subseteq F$  and *F* is b-closed.

**D**EFINITION 4 In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , a subset *B* is called a *g*.*V<sub>b</sub>*-set of  $(X, \tau)$  if  $B^c$  is a *g*. $\Lambda_b$ -set of  $(X, \tau)$ .

**R**EMARK 3.1 We shall see, however, that we obtain nothing new according to the following results.

**P**ROPOSITION 3.2 For a subset *B* of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties hold:

(a) B is a  $g.\Lambda_b$ -set if and only if B is a  $\Lambda_b$ -set,

(b) B is a  $g.V_b$ -set if and only if B is a  $V_b$ -set.

PROOF. (a) Every  $\Lambda_b$ -set is a  $g.\Lambda_b$ -set. Now, let B be a  $g.\Lambda_b$ -set. Suppose that  $x \in \Lambda_b(B) \setminus B$ . It follows from theorems 2.24 and 2.27 of [10] that for each  $x \in X$ , the singleton  $\{x\}$  is preopen or preclosed. If  $\{x\}$  is preopen, then  $\{x\}$  is b-open and hence  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is b-closed. Since  $B \subset X \setminus \{x\}$ , we have  $B^{\Lambda_b} \subset X \setminus \{x\}$  which is a contradiction. If  $\{x\}$  is preclosed,  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is b-open and  $B \subset X \setminus \{x\}$ . Therefore, we have  $B^{\Lambda_b} \subset X \setminus \{x\}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $B^{\Lambda_b} = B$  and B is a  $\Lambda_b$ -set.

(b) This is proved in a similar way.  $\Box$ 

## 4 The associated topology $au^{\Lambda_b}$

In this section, we define a closure operator  $C^{\Lambda_b}$  and the associated topology  $\tau^{\Lambda_b}$  on the topological spaces  $(X, \tau)$  by using the family of  $\Lambda_b$ -sets.

**D**EFINITION 5 For any subset *B* of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , define  $C^{\Lambda_b}(B) = \bigcap \{U : B \subseteq U, U \epsilon \Lambda_b\}$  and  $Int^{V_b}(B) = \bigcup \{F : B \supseteq F, F \epsilon V_b\}.$ 

**P**ROPOSITION 4.1 For any subset B of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ ,

*Proof.* (a), (b) and (c): Clear.

(d) Suppose that there exists a point x such that  $x \notin C^{\Lambda_b}(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_\lambda)$ . Then, there exists a subset  $U \epsilon \Lambda_b$  such that  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_\lambda \subseteq U$  and  $x \notin U$ . Thus, for each  $\lambda \epsilon \Omega$  we have  $x \notin C^{\Lambda_b}(B_\lambda)$ . This implies that  $x \notin \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} C^{\Lambda_b}(B_\lambda)$ .

Conversely we suppose that there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x \notin \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} C^{\Lambda_b}(B_{\lambda})$ . Then, there exist subsets  $U_{\lambda} \in \Lambda_b$  for all  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , such that  $x \notin U_{\lambda}$ ,  $B_{\lambda} \subseteq U_{\lambda}$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} U_{\lambda}$ . From this and Proposition 2.1(c) we have that  $x \notin U$ ,  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda} \subseteq U$  and  $U \in \Lambda_b$ . Thus,  $x \notin C^{\Lambda_b}(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} B_{\lambda})$ . (e) Suppose that there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x \notin C^{\Lambda_b}(B)$ . Then there exists a subset  $U \in \Lambda_b$  such that  $x \notin U$  and  $U \supseteq B$ . Since  $U \in \Lambda_b$  we have  $C^{\Lambda_b}(B) \subseteq U$ . Thus we have  $x \notin C^{\Lambda_b}(C^{\Lambda_b}(B))$ . Therefore  $C^{\Lambda_b}(C^{\Lambda_b}(B)) \subseteq C^{\Lambda_b}(B)$ . The converse containment relation is clear by (a).

(f) Clear.

- (g) By (a) and Definition 5, the proof is clear.
- (h) By Definition 5, by (g) and (b).  $\Box$

Then we have the following :

**T**HEOREM 4.2  $C^{\Lambda_b}$  is a Kuratowski closure operator on X.

**D**EFINITION 6 Let  $\tau^{\Lambda_b}$  be the topology on X generated by  $C^{\Lambda_b}$  in the usual manner, i.e.,  $\tau^{\Lambda_b} = \{B : B \subseteq X, C^{\Lambda_b}(B^c) = B^c\}.$ We define a family  $\rho^{\Lambda_b}$ , by  $\rho^{\Lambda_b} = \{B : B \subseteq X, C^{\Lambda_b}(B) = B\}$ By Definition 6,  $\rho^{\Lambda_b} = \{B : B \subseteq X, B^c \epsilon \tau^{\Lambda_b}\}.$ 

PROPOSITION 4.3 Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then , (a)  $\tau^{\Lambda b} = \{B : B \subseteq X, Int^{V_b}(B) = B\}.$ (b)  $\Lambda_b = \rho^{\Lambda_b}.$ (c)  $V_b = \tau^{\Lambda_b}.$ (d) If  $BC(X, \tau) = \tau^{\Lambda_b}$  then every  $\Lambda_b$ -set of  $(X, \tau)$  is b-open (i.e.,  $BO(X, \tau) = \Lambda_b$ ). (e) If every  $\Lambda_b$ -set of  $(X, \tau)$  is b-open (i.e.,  $\Lambda_b \subseteq BO(X, \tau)$ ), then  $\tau^{\Lambda_b} = \{B : B \subseteq X, B = B^{V_b}\}.$ 

(f) If every  $\Lambda_b$ -set of  $(X, \tau)$  is b-closed (i.e.,  $\Lambda_b \subseteq BC(X, \tau)$ ), then  $BO(X, \tau) = \tau^{\Lambda_b}$ .

*Proof.* (a) By Definition 6 and Proposition 4.1(b) we have, if  $A \subset X$  then  $A \epsilon \tau^{\Lambda_b}$  if and only if  $C^{\Lambda_b}(A^c) = A^c$ , if and only if  $(Int^{V_b}(A))^c = A^c$ , if and only if  $Int^{V_b}(A) = A$  if and only if,  $A \epsilon \{B : B \subset X, Int^{V_b}(B) = B\}$ . (b) Let *B* be a subset of *X*. By Proposition 2.1(e)  $BO(X,\tau) \subset \Lambda_b$  and  $C^{\Lambda_b}(B) = \bigcap \{U \mid B \subset U, U \in \Lambda_b\} \subset \bigcap \{U \mid B \subset U, U \in BO(X,\tau)\} = B^{\Lambda_b}$ . Therefore, we have  $C^{\Lambda_b}(B) \subset B^{\Lambda_b}$ . Now suppose that  $x \notin C^{\Lambda_b}(B)$ . There exists  $U \in \Lambda_b$  such that  $B \subset U$  and  $x \notin U$ . Since  $U \in \Lambda_b, U = U^{\Lambda_b} = \{V \mid U \subset V \in BO(X,\tau)\}$  and hence there exists  $V \in BO(X,\tau)$  such that  $U \subset V$  and  $x \notin V$ . Thus,  $x \notin V$  and  $B \subset V \in BO(X,\tau)$ . This shows that  $x \notin B^{\Lambda_b}$ . Therefore,  $B^{\Lambda_b} \subset C^{\Lambda_b}(B)$  and hence  $B^{\Lambda_b} = C^{\Lambda_b}(B)$  for any subset *B* of *X*. By the definitions of  $\Lambda_b$  and  $\rho^{\Lambda_b}$ , we obtain  $\Lambda_b = \rho^{\Lambda_b}$ .

(c) Let  $B \in \tau^{\Lambda_b}$ . Then  $C^{\Lambda_b}(B^c) = B^c$  and  $B^c \in \rho^{\Lambda_b}$ . By (b),  $B^c \in \Lambda_b$  and  $B^c = (B^c)^{\Lambda_b}$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.1(f)  $B^c = (B^{V_b})^c$  and  $B = B^{V_b}$ . This shows that  $B \in V_b$ . Consequently, we obtain  $\tau^{\Lambda_b} \subset V_b$ . Quite similarly, we obtain  $\tau^{\Lambda_b} \supset V_b$  and hence  $V_b = \tau^{\Lambda_b}$ . (d) Let B be any  $\Lambda_b$ -set i.e.,  $B\epsilon\Lambda_b$ . By (b),  $B\epsilon\rho^{\Lambda_b}$  thus,  $B^c\epsilon\tau^{\Lambda_b}$ . From the assumption we have  $B^c\epsilon BC(X,\tau)$  and hence  $B\epsilon BO(X,\tau)$ .

(e) Let  $A \subseteq X$  and  $A \in \tau^{\Lambda_b}$ . Then by Definitions 5 and 6

 $A^{c} = C^{\Lambda_{b}}(A^{c}) = \bigcap \{ U : U \supseteq A^{c}, U \in \Lambda_{b} \} = \bigcap \{ U : U \supseteq A^{c}, U \in BO(X, \tau) \} = (A^{c})^{\Lambda_{b}}.$ 

Using Proposition 2.1(f) we have  $A = A^{V_b}$ , i.e.,  $A \in \{B : B \subseteq X, B = B^{V_b}\}$ .

Conversely , if  $A \epsilon \{ B : B \supseteq X, B = B^{V_b} \}$  then by Proposition 3.2(b)) A is a  $g.V_b$ -set. Thus  $A \epsilon V_b$ . By using (c)  $A \epsilon \tau^{\Lambda_b}$ .

(f) Let  $A \subseteq X$  and  $A\epsilon\tau^{\Lambda_b}$ . Then  $A = (C^{\Lambda_b}(A^c))^c = (\bigcap \{U : A^c \subseteq U, U\epsilon\Lambda_b\})^c = \bigcup \{U^c : U^c \subseteq A, U \in \Lambda_b\}.$ Conversely, if  $A\epsilon BO(X, \tau)$  then by (b)  $A\epsilon\Lambda_b$ . By assumption  $A\epsilon BC(X, \tau)$ . By using (c)  $A\epsilon\tau^{\Lambda_b}$ .  $\Box$ 

**P**ROPOSITION 4.4 If  $BO(X, \tau) = \tau^{\Lambda_b}$ , then  $(X, \tau^{\Lambda_b})$  is a discrete space.

Proof. Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is not b-open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $\{x\}$  is b-closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Thus  $\{x\}\epsilon\tau^{\Lambda_b}$  by Proposition 4.3 (c). Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is b-open in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $\{x\}\epsilon BO(X, \tau) = \tau^{\Lambda_b}$ . Therefore, every singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $\tau^{\Lambda_b}$ -open and hence every subset of X is  $\tau^{\Lambda_b}$ -open.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgement. The authors are very grateful to the referee for his observations which improved the value of this paper.

#### References

- [1] P. Alexandroff, Diskrete Räume, *Math. Sb.* **2**(1937),501-508.
- [2] D. Andrijević, On *b*-open sets, *Mat. Vesnik* 48(1996), 59-64.
- [3] D. Andrijević, Semi-preopen sets, Mat. Vesnik 38(1986), 24-32.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, Eléments de mathématique, Livre III: Topologie générale, Châp. IX-Paris 1948.
- [5] H. H. Corson and E. Michael, Metrizability of certain countable unions, *Illinois J. Math.* 8(1964), 351-360.
- [6] W. Dunham,  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  spaces, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **17**(1977), 161-169.
- [7] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topologyical spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 70(1963), 36-41.
- [8] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **19**(1970), 89-96.
- [9] H. Maki, Generalized Λ-sets and the associated closure operator, The special Issue in commemoration of Prof. Kazuada IKEDA's Retirement (1986), 139-146.
- [10] H. Maki, T. Umehara and T. Noiri, Every topological space is pre-T<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser A. Math. 17(1996), 33-42.
- [11] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt 53(1982), 47-53.