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Postmodernisms’ of the Age is a contemporary issue of notability. Though I suspect there are grounds for serious skepticism of what Postmodernisms’ entails for humanist philosophy, i.e., the post-modern condition, whether “postmodernisms” is in the stages of its own realization of a transcendental philosophy. Yes, it truly is the end of ideologism; that much is true, for ideologism comes in many different formalities; it just isn’t about notability, it’s about whether the human condition can surpass metaphysical epistemology?

That’s why post-modernity attained the information age, because the human condition implies that it encroached into the expert sciences to dwell on political and economic history. That is, to establish a spirit of the age that isn’t happening; besides the output is a psychotropic state that took half a year to recover from.

In which, the expert sciences are no longer willing to take part in public intellectualism. Instead, what you see is transcendentalist philosophers and continental philosophers asserting their own “post-modern condition.” But yet they claim no affiliation with humanistic psychology; instead what you observe is deluded thinking that doesn’t make sense to a Freudian psychoanalyst. Since factuality sets in, there is really no sense to what you or I are saying, if it isn’t for a human condition which is radically empiricist and yet not so much an end to history, but the recognition of one’s own futility.