

Fractional Calculus, Irreversible Time, and Hubble Law

by Yuli Vladimirsky

There is no remembrance of former things; neither shall there be any remembrance of things that are to come with those that shall come after. (Ecclesiastes 1:11)

Abstract

In this essay we suggest that use of differential calculus of integer orders in description of physical processes is an approximation. We propose use of fractional calculus with orders very close to integers. Time is defined time axiomatically in terms of set theory, it is assumed to be partially ordered, can be continuous or discrete, homogeneous, and, in general, nonuniform. Use of fractional calculus predicts irreversible time, temporal indefinitism, and evolution of the Universe, as described by the Hubble Law of expansion. Dimensionless Hubble and Cosmological constants are associated numerically with deviations of first and second fractional derivatives from their corresponding integers. These deviations are extremely small and their ratio corresponds to the Universe expansion deceleration parameter.

1. Introduction

Understanding and description of Nature relies heavily on Differential and Integral Calculus, based, in turn, on the concept of the derivative. This is the reason why in classical physics, in principal, the universe appears predictable: If you know the laws that govern a physical system and you know its initial state, you should be able to track its evolution indefinitely far into the future and restore the past. This notion supposed to holds true whether you're using Newton's laws to predict the future position of an object, Maxwell's equations to describe an electromagnetic field, or Einstein's theory of general relativity to predict the evolution of the shape of space-time. So, the evolution process can be determined from initial data. However, use of calculus of integer orders is limited in its description ability of some phenomena, sometimes generating questionable entities or properties not observable or detectable in the Nature. This insufficiency requires additional assumptions to explain observable or not observable phenomena like unconfirmed time reversibility, introduction of mysterious dark matter and dark energy (clammed 95.5% of mater-energy balance composing of the Universe) just to be able to explain discrepancies of dominating theory with observations.

The intent of this essay is to explore an alternative approach on formulation laws of physics by turning to Fractional Calculus.

2. Concepts of Time (1)

Was there time when there was no time and what was before that time?

Interest in time as an entity and its nature is based on expectations of "stakeholders" in terms of its use and handling. Philosophers are interested in what is time and how it is associated with reality; for scientists it is important to identify structure and properties of time, and its association with space and physical phenomena; promoters of science fictions are looking for possibility of time travel. However, it seems, that time is irreversible, the past is gone, and time travel does not seem to be feasible.

i. Wheel of Time

In ancient Indian philosophy (2nd millennia BC), a concept of time, the so-called “**wheel of time**”, was considered with repeating cycles over the infinite life of the Universe – the “**hypertime**”. The Universe endlessly goes through cycles of creation and destruction with each cycle lasting 4.320 billion years (compare with established Earth age of 4.543 ± 0.05 billion years)

ii. Infinite Universe and Time

The early Greek philosophers (5th century BC) generally believed that the universe (and therefore time itself) was infinite with no beginning and no end. Many philosophers asserted that time is not a reality, but a concept or mental construction. They saw time (as well as motion) as an illusion because, some notions were based on beliefs that reality was limited to what exists in the here and now, and the past and future are unreal and imaginary. Zeno’s logical constructions (so called **Zeno’s Paradoxes**) were devised, at least partially, to support doctrine of time as an illusion, ironically lead to absurdity. However, other contemporary philosophers, like Heraclitus, firmly believed that the flow of time is real and the very essence of reality. Analysis of Zeno’s paradoxes by Baruch Spinoza contributed to development of differential and integral calculus by Isaac Newton and by Gottfried Leibniz.

iii. Creation of Time

Starting with Plato, in the 4th Century BC, believes were evolving that time was created by the Creator at the same instant as the heavens. But in an attempt to be more scientific, Plato identified time with the periods of motion of the heavenly bodies. Plato was also aware of the so-called “**Great Year**”, a complete cycle of the equinoxes around the ecliptic (effectively the return of the planets and the “fixed stars” to their “original relative” positions, a process that takes about 25,800 years). Pythagorean and some Stoic philosophers saw the end of this cycle as the end of time itself, after which history would start to repeat itself all over again in an endless repetition (see **Wheel of Time**).

iv. Back to Infinite Universe and Time

Aristotle saw time as something that does not exist on its own but is relative to the motions of things. He called time “the numeration of continuous movement” or “the number of change in respect of before and after”. He saw time as the measure of change, though a change may occur faster or slower. Aristotle also believed that, although space was finite, time was infinite, and that the Universe has always existed and will always exist. Furthermore, he believed that time was **continuous**, not discrete. This view was adopted by Newton and incorporated in his mechanics.

v. Creationism again: Modern Scientific and Creationist Point of View on Time and Universe

Influenced by Judaeo-Christian faith the medieval philosophers and theologians developed the concept of Universe having a **finite past** with a definite beginning. They believed that an all-powerful and infinite God created world and time, therefore, they are finite in nature. This concept of **creation** exists to this day, although not all versions are quite as literal as held by medieval philosophers. In the modern western world both, **evolutionists** (scientists) and **creationists** view time as linear and finite: a doctrine of **temporal finitism** - timeline for Universe includes a beginning and an end. The creationist views timeline in thousands of years with creation and following destruction by the **hand of God**. Some creationists accept the scientifically “proven” ages of the Universe and Earth. The evolutionist view the

lifespan of the Universe in billions of years with the beginning (creation) as a **cataclysmic event** (Big Bang) and destruction through another **cataclysm** (Big Crunch, Big Freeze, Big Bounce, etc.)

3. Reversibility of Time

The time reversibility is usually seen as Process Reversibility and Time Travel, generalized to include travel into the future. In science, time reversibility is associated with tracing processes in reversed order with negative values of time. Practically, all physical laws (including laws of thermodynamics and quantum physics) are time direction invariant **(2)**. This is so the called **T-symmetry (3)** or **time reversal symmetry** which is the theoretical symmetry of physical laws under time reversal. Even the Second Law of thermodynamics postulate **(4)** – Entropy Increase – is a statistical law, and “theoretically” can not exclude probability (as small as it could be) of rearranging system into its original state. In quantum mechanics existence of antiparticles sometimes is interpreted as particles moving backward in time **(5)**.

4. Axiomatic Approach: basic properties of Time

What is Time?! It seems something almost tangible, but elusive and even allusive, escaping mental grip and deceiving intuition about it. We will not define what is time, but postulate its properties.

- omnipresence
- order
- continuity
- homogeneity
- uniformity

4.1 Omnipresence of Time

Time is everywhere, but where? In space, supposedly! How to formalize this property?

4.2 Time as Order

Intuitively, time is an ordered entity. Thus, it can be considered as an ordered set. The order on a set is defined by four specific binary relations. In general, an “element” of time before most “recent element” is identified as preceding, and the term “following” is excluded from binary relation presented below.

Definition of Order (6)

- O1. reflexivity** $a \leq a$ meaning: element a precedes or coincides with itself
- O2. anti-symmetry** if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$, then $a=b$; meaning: if element a precedes or coincides with b and element b precedes or coincides with a , then a coincides with b
- O3. transitivity** if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$, then $a \leq c$; meaning: if element a precedes or coincides with b and b precedes or coincides with c , then a precedes or coincides with c
- O4. comparability:** for all elements either $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$; or in terms *trichotomy*: for $a < b$, $a = b$, or $b < a$; meaning: or a preceding b , or b preceding a , or a coinciding with b

Conditions 1) and 2) define *pre-order* or *quasi-order*
 Conditions 1), 2), and 3) define *partial order* and a partial order set is called *poset*
 Conditions 1) through 4) define *strict* or *total order*

The universal and absolute time, implied in Newton's equations, obeys all four order conditions and *Newton's time is a strict order*. On the other hand, in general (and special) relativity theory in different inertial and not inertial reference frames different time flow not the same due to relativity effects like dilation. In these situations the trichotomy (O4.) condition will not hold, the time follows the first 3 relation, thus, it is partially ordered, and the *Einstein time should be qualified as partial order*.

Time Topology

The last three properties (continuity, homogeneity, and uniformity) are related to topology: The concept of topology is based on a notion of *neighborhood*. Intuitively, time appears to be one dimensional entity. It should be evident, that as in an ordered set any point in the past (exuding the most recent “now”) should have two closest neighbors: preceding and following. The “now” has only one neighbor – the preceding moment, Newtonian and Einstein times are expected to have all the point from $t = -\infty$ to $t = +\infty$ with 0 at an arbitrary point.

Definition of Neighborhood (7)

A set $U \subset R$ is a *neighborhood* of a point $x \in R$ if $U \supset (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ for $(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \ \& \ \varepsilon > 0)$. The *open interval* $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ or equivalently $(x - \varepsilon < c < x + \varepsilon)$ is called a ε -*neighborhood* of x .

In words, a subset U of the set R is a *neighborhood* of a point x if the subset U includes vicinity of x such as x is between $x - \varepsilon$ and $x + \varepsilon$ when $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

4.3 Continuous or Discrete Time

Two types of sets satisfy this neighborhood condition: real numbers presenting continuum and discrete system based on integer numbers. In general, a neighborhood is required for differentiation and integration. In view of Riemann–Stieltjes integral (8),

$$\text{Eq.1.} \quad RS \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_a^b f(x) du(x) \quad \text{vs} \quad R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_a^b f(x) u'(x) dx$$

based on differentials vs derivatives of the classical Riemann integral, the continuity of time is not necessary nor essential: time *can be continuous or discrete*

4.4 Homogeneity of Time

Fundamentally, time structure or topology can be expected to be the same everywhere and at all times (looks like tautology): *time should be homogeneous*

4.5 Uniformity of Time

In **Newton's physics** time is absolute and uniform on all scales and frames of reference – local and global – independent on forces or movements. But, according to **General Relativity**, only two types of frames of reference can be expected to have uniform time: **inertial frames** and those **containing constant force fields**, like gravitation. An **inertial frame** is a frame of reference in which a body is at rest or is moving at a constant speed in a straight line. In inertial frames time dilation depends on relative velocities. In force field time dilation is determined by its strength. When state of a frame of reference is changing time is not uniform until an inertial or constant force field states are established.

But what is **uniformity**? Being seemingly a simple concept, the uniformity definition in mathematics is quite involved and based on concept of a topological space. In Set theory (9), there are three equivalent definitions based on concept of **entourage** (surrounding). Use of those definitions is not trivial.

Definition of uniformity in terms of differentials

A simple and intuitive definition of uniformity is formulated in this essay in terms of first order differentials. Introducing a local self-derivative to be called 1st order **s-derivative** as a ratio of two sequential differentials of time makes explicit use of a topological neighborhood.

$$\text{Eq.2. } t^{(1)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow \partial t} \frac{\partial t}{\partial t(\Delta t)} = \frac{dt}{dt(\partial t)} \equiv t_{\partial t}^{(1)}$$

Generalization of **s-differentials** to arbitrary orders can be presented as:

$$\text{Eq.3. } dt(\partial t) \cdot t_{\partial t}^{(1)} = dt \text{ and } dt(\partial t) \cdot t_{\partial t}^{(\beta)} = dt^\beta; \beta > 0$$

Now, the time is uniform when 1st s-derivative equals 1 and all other derivatives are 0

$$\text{Eq.4. } t^{(1)} \equiv 1, \text{ then } dt(\partial t) \equiv dt; \text{ and } t^{(\beta)} \equiv 0 \text{ for all } \beta \neq 1 .$$

As it was mentioned before, generally, **time is not uniform**. For mathematical description of physical processes related to time we intend to use **differential equations**, (slightly) **deviating** from **integer orders**, or, in other words, **Fractional Calculus**.

6. Fractional Calculus (10), (11) (12),

To proceed with analysis we turn to fractional calculus using Riemann-Louisville integral transform ${}_c I_t^\alpha f(t)$ for **left fractional integral of order α (real number)** from a **function $f(t)$** . Using standard unified notation as derivative of a negative order ($-\alpha$) this integral is defined in a following way

$$\text{Eq.5. } {}_c I_t^\alpha f(t) = {}_c D_t^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_c^t (t-\tau)^{(\alpha-1)} f(\tau) d\tau, \alpha \geq 0, c < t$$

To illustrate relation of time uniformity with fractional calculus this equation is presented in Riemann–Stieltjes form:

$$\text{Eq.6. } (t-\tau)^{(\alpha-1)} d\tau = d\theta^{\alpha-1} \rightarrow {}_c I_t^\alpha f(t) = {}_c D_t^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_c^t f(\theta-t) d\theta^{\alpha-1}, \alpha \geq 0$$

The *left fractional derivative of order α* from a function $f(t)$ is defined as first integer derivative of a left fractional integral as following:

$$\text{Eq.7. } {}_c D_t^\alpha f(t) = \frac{d^n}{dt^n} {}_c I_t^{n-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{d^n}{dt^n} {}_c D_t^{-n+\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_c^t (t-\tau)^{(n-\alpha-1)} f(\tau) d\tau, \alpha \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, n-\alpha \in (0,1)$$

As it follows from equation above, the fractional derivative is not local, but for integer orders it becomes local, as it supposed to be. At any value of the lower limit (the past) including $-\infty$, this integral is a constant, and integer derivative of a constant is 0. Consequently, at the lower (left) limit the fractional derivative Eq.7., “disappears” or becomes irrelevant. Applied to time this could mean, that the notion of finite (had beginning) or infinite (always existed) time is, actually, irrelevant, or even meaningless. This makes it impossible to trace time back to its “beginning”. Generally, the lower limit can be different for integrals in different situation and for multiple integration attention should be paid how the lower limit are handled. But, wherever it is unambiguous, the subscripts will be omitted

$$\text{Eq.8. } D^\alpha f(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d^\alpha(f)}{dt^\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_c^t (t-\tau)^{(-\alpha-1)} f(\tau) d\tau, \alpha \geq 0$$

It should be noted, that unlike derivatives of integer orders, fractional derivatives are not local. Sequential application of differentiation and/or integration is commutative

$$\text{Eq.9. } D^{\pm\beta} D^{\pm\alpha} f(t) = D^{\pm\alpha} D^{\pm\beta} f(t) = D^{\pm\alpha\pm\beta} f(t), \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, t \geq 0,$$

and exhibits “identity” property

$$\text{Eq.10. } D^{\pm\alpha} D^{\mp\alpha} f(t) = D^0 f(t) = f(t), \alpha \geq 0, t \geq 0.$$

For integer values of $\alpha, \beta, \alpha \pm \beta = \pm 1, 2, \dots, N$ fractional calculus converges to classical integer. For power functions such as

$$\text{Eq.11. } f(t) = C \cdot t^\mu, \mu > -1, C \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}, \mathbb{R}_{<0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | x > 0\}$$

where C is a constant with positive real value, the fractional integral and derivative *Power Rule* can be respectively defined as recursive formula with commutative property for sequential application differentiation and integration (10):

$$\text{Eq.12. } D^{\pm\alpha} t^\mu = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu-(\pm\alpha)+1)} t^{\mu-(\pm\alpha)}, \alpha > 0, \mu > -1, t \geq 0$$

Few recursive properties of Gamma function to be used in this work.

$$\text{Eq.13. } \Gamma(\alpha)=(\alpha-1)\Gamma(\alpha-1) \text{ , } \Gamma(\alpha+1)=\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) \text{ , or } \Gamma(\alpha)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}$$

Using Taylor series $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we can get values of Gamma function for small arguments

$$\text{Eq.14. } \Gamma(\varepsilon)=\frac{\Gamma(\varepsilon+1)}{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}-\gamma+\varepsilon\frac{6\gamma^2+\pi^2}{12}+O(\varepsilon^2), \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

where $\gamma=0.57721\dots$ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant (also called Euler's constant). Further approximations yield

$$\text{Eq.15. } \Gamma(\varepsilon)\approx\frac{1}{\varepsilon}-\gamma+\varepsilon\cdot 0.9890\dots\approx\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon-\gamma\approx\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

I. Classic Newton's Law and Reversible Time

Mathematically, time reversal means replacing the time t by $-t$. This way one can verify whether the physical law is unchanged under time reversal. Almost all physical laws are time reversible.

As an example let us consider Newton's second law which governs the motion of bodies in classical mechanics (2). The classical equation of the second Newton's law is

$$\text{Eq.16. } F=m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2}, \frac{d^2x}{dt^2}=\frac{F}{m}=a, \frac{F}{m}=\frac{dv}{dt}, F=const$$

where m is a mass, $F \rightarrow$ force, $a \rightarrow$ acceleration, and $v \rightarrow$ speed. Integrating, we've got

$$\text{Eq.17. } v=\int_0^t \frac{F}{m} dt=\frac{F}{m}t+v_0$$

with v_0 as the starting speed ($t=0$). Recalling that $v=\frac{dx}{dt}$, and integrating again, we have

$$\text{Eq.18. } x=\int_0^t \left(\frac{F}{m}t+v_0\right)dt=v_0t+\frac{1}{2}\frac{F}{m}t^2+x_0 \text{ and finally } x=v_0t+\frac{1}{2}\frac{F}{m}t^2+x_0$$

The Newton's law does not change because it is insensitive to the substitution of $(+t)^2$ by $(-t)^2$. Mathematically this means that when $x(t)$ is a solution, then so is $x(-t)$. Physically, this means that an object following a certain trajectory for positive times will retrace its original trajectory backward when time is reversed, thus following reversed process.

II. Fractional Force in Newton's Law and Irreversible Time

The Newton's equation above is based on assumption that the force is proportional **precisely** to second derivative of displacement $a=d^2x/dt^2$. This is seemingly very good description, but in this work we

suggest that the force could be proportional to fractal derivative slightly deviating from 2 by $\lambda \rightarrow \pm 0$, and the first derivative slightly deviating from 1 by $\eta \rightarrow \pm 0$.

$$\text{Eq.19. } F = m \frac{d^{2+\lambda} x}{dt^{2+\lambda}} = m D^{2+\lambda} x(t), \frac{d^{2+\lambda} x}{dt^{2+\lambda}} = \frac{F}{m} = a, \frac{F}{m} = \frac{d^{1+\eta} v}{dt^{1+\eta}}, F = \text{const},$$

Clearly, when $\lambda=0$ and $\eta=0$ the equation becomes classical Newton's law. Applying fractional integral Eq.12. to modified Newton's second law once and using values of $\mu=0$ and $\alpha=-(1+\eta)$, and recalling lower limit, we obtain:

$$\text{Eq.20. } v = \frac{F}{m} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(1+\eta+1)} t^{1+\eta} + v_{c1} = \frac{F}{m} \frac{t^{1+\eta}}{\Gamma(2+\eta)} + v_{c1} \approx \frac{F}{m} t^{1+\eta} + v_{c1}, \eta \rightarrow \pm 0,$$

For positive time the speed $v = (F/m)t^{1+\eta}$ has **one** real value, while for negative values expression $v = (F/m)(-t)^{1+\eta}$ **does not have real values**, but only infinite number of complex roots.

This effect is evident by presenting numbers in complex exponential form. For a positive number k and a negative number $-k$ it can be written respectively

$$\text{Eq.21. } k = |k|(+1)^\alpha = |k|e^{i(0+2n\pi)\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad -k = |k|(-1)^\alpha = |k|e^{i(\pi+2n\pi)\alpha}$$

where α is an arbitrary real number and n are natural numbers including zero: $n=0,1,2,\dots$

Thus, for positive time values we have real value solution for $n=0$

$$\text{Eq.22. } t^{1+\eta} = |t|(1)^{1+\eta} = |t|e^{i(0+2n\pi)(1+\eta)}, \quad \text{or} \quad |t|e^{i(0)(1+\eta)} = t,$$

and infinite number of complex solutions with no physical meaning while for all other values $n=1,2,\dots$:

$$\text{Eq.23. } t^{(1+\eta)} = |t|(1)^{(1+\eta)} = |t|e^{i(2n\pi)(1+\eta)}$$

For negative time values no real solutions exists, but only complex ones for all values of $n=0,1,2,\dots$:

$$\text{Eq.24. } -t^{(1+\eta)} = |t|(-1)^{1+\eta} = |t|e^{i(\pi+2n\pi)(1+\eta)}$$

Applying fractional integral Eq.12. second time with $\mu=0$ and $\alpha=-(1+\delta)$, and considering that generally, deviations from integer powers don't have to be the same, we obtain for displacement

$$\text{Eq.25. } x = \frac{F}{m} \frac{\Gamma(1+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(1+\eta+1+\delta)} t^{2+\eta+\delta} + v_{c1} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} t^{1+\delta} + x_{c2} \approx \frac{F}{2m} t^{2+\lambda} + vt^{1+\delta} + x_{c2}, \quad \lambda = \eta + \delta$$

As demonstrated above, we have real solution $t > 0$ only, but not for $t < 0$, thus for negative times and fractional derivatives the Newton's law doesn't hold:

$$\text{Eq.26. } m \frac{d^{2+\lambda} x}{dt^{2+\lambda}} = F(x) \rightarrow m \frac{d^{2+\lambda} x}{d(-t)^{2+\lambda}} \neq F(x)$$

III. “Fixed” Distance in the Universe

Moving in space requires speed which is the first derivative of displacement. In classical mechanics two bodies separated by some fixed distance $S = \text{constant}$ will remain at this distance as long no forces are applied. Mathematically this means: first derivative by time of displacement (relative speed) is zero. Indeed, presenting distance $S = S(t^0)$ with explicit (in)dependence on time t and using Power Rule for derivative, we can express this statement by the following equation

$$\text{Eq.27. } D^1 S(t^0) = S \frac{\Gamma(0+1)}{\Gamma(0-1+1)} t^{0-1} = S \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(0)} \frac{1}{t^1} = \frac{S}{t^1 \cdot \infty} = 0, \text{ or } \frac{dS(t^0)}{dt} = 0,$$

As it was expected the 1st order integer derivative from a constant equals zero and seems consistent with everyday observation. To whatever extend it could appear strange, it will be shown that using fractional calculus unexpectedly reveals phenomena observed on cosmological scale. Applying fractional derivative Eq.12. by time from a fixed distance S_0 we obtain (recall Eq.15.)

$$\text{Eq.28. } \frac{d^{1+\eta}(S)}{dt^{1+\eta}} = \dot{S} = D^{1+\eta} S(t^0) = S \frac{\Gamma(0+1)}{\Gamma(0-(1+\eta)+1)} t^{0-(1+\eta)} = S \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(-\eta)} \frac{1}{t^{(1+\eta)}} \approx \frac{S \cdot (-\eta)}{t^{(1+\eta)}}$$

Obtained formula has dimension of velocity and reflects relative positional change of objects or, as it is called in cosmology, recessional velocity v_r .

$$\text{Eq.29. } v_0 \approx \frac{S \cdot \eta}{t^{(1-\eta)}} = \frac{\eta}{t^{(1-\eta)}} \cdot S \sim v_r$$

The order of fractional derivative has been chosen $(1-\eta) < 1$ to comply with observed expansion of the Universe when the objects are moving away from the observer, thus defining recessional velocity as positive. In cosmology the recessional velocity is defined by the Hubble’s Law as a product of Hubble parameter H and separation between objects

$$\text{Eq.30. } v_r = H \cdot S, \text{ then } \frac{\eta}{t^{(1-\eta)}} \sim H \text{ and } \eta = H t^{(1-\eta)} \approx H t$$

This equation indicates, that the farther is the astronomical object from the observer the higher is the velocity. For very large distances, comparable with the radius of the observable Universe, increasing velocity will reach the speed of light. Indeed, this is how the observable radius of the Universe is defined. An object positioned far enough that emitted light, traveling during time equal to the age of the Universe, cannot reach the observer yet. The age of the universe is estimated to be around $T = 13.80 \pm 0.02$ billion years, and beyond distance $R_0 = 13.8 \text{ Gly} = 4.25 \text{ Gpc}$ (Gig-parsec) the Universe is expanding at speed exceeding speed of light c . The distance $R_0 = c \cdot A_U$ is defined by the age of the universe $A_U \approx H^{-1}$ as inverse of Hubble Parameter H . The actual radius of the observable Universe is calculated to be larger $R_U = 14.25 \text{ Gpc} = 46.5 \text{ Gly}$, because we see the light emitted when Universe had radius R_0 .

The value of η can be estimated by using known value of Hubble constant. The measurements from the Hubble Space Telescope and the Gaia space telescope together showed that the rate of expansion is $\sim 73.5 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$. But the more distant background universe, according to previous measurements from the Planck telescope, is moving somewhat slower at $\sim 67.8 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$. Though, this discrepancy is of serious concerns and debates among astrophysicists, for the purpose of this paper, the most acceptable value of $H=67.8 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ will be used. This means that a galaxy, far away from Earth, moves away with speed 67.8 km/s faster for every $Mpc = 3.26 \text{ Mly} = 3.086 \cdot 10^{19} \text{ km}$. Presenting Hubble constant in time units we have $H=67.8 \text{ km/s}/3.09 \cdot 10^{19}=2.195 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$. To evaluate η from Eq.30. , a “characteristic or fundamental” time is required. The Hubble law is expected to be universal and to be applicable on all spatial scales, so the Plank’s time $t_p = \sqrt{\hbar G c^{-5}} = 5.39 \cdot 10^{-44} \text{ s}$ can be used. Thus, we find the value for of the deviation η of the first derivative from one is numerically equal to the Hubble parameter expressed in Plank’s time units.

$$\text{Eq.31. } \eta = \frac{v_r}{S} \cdot t_p^{(1-\eta)} = H \cdot t_p^{(1-\eta)} = 2.195 \cdot 10^{-18} \cdot 5.39 \cdot 10^{-44} \approx 1.18 \cdot 10^{-61} t_p^{-1} = H_p$$

The second fractional derivative Eq.12. from a “fixed” distance using Eq.13. and Eq.15. yields:

$$\text{Eq.32. } D^{2+\lambda} S = \ddot{S} = \frac{S \cdot \Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(-(2+\lambda)+1) t^{2+\lambda}} = \frac{S}{\Gamma(-(1+\lambda)) t^{2+\lambda}} \approx \frac{S \cdot (-\lambda) \cdot (-(1+\lambda))}{t^{2+\lambda}} \approx \frac{S \cdot \lambda}{t^{2+\lambda}}$$

The fractional acceleration is proportional to the distance and has real value for positive time. In general, the second fractional derivative with deviation from the integer ($\lambda \neq 2\eta$).

IV. Hubble, Cosmological, and Deceleration Parameters

The Hubble parameter describes expansion of the Universe

$$\text{Eq.33. } H \equiv \frac{\dot{S}}{S} \quad H = D^{1-\eta} S = \dot{S} = \frac{S}{\Gamma(-\eta) \cdot t^{1-\eta}}$$

The evolution of Hubble parameter, associated with the second derivative \ddot{S} is (Eq.32.)

$$\text{Eq.34. } \dot{H} = \frac{\ddot{S}}{S} - \frac{\dot{S} \cdot \dot{S}}{S^2} = \frac{\ddot{S}}{S} - H^2$$

The evolution of Hubble parameter \dot{H} is described by a deceleration parameter q defined as

$$\text{Eq.35. } \dot{H} = -H^2 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\ddot{S}}{S \cdot H^2}\right) = -H^2 \cdot (1+q) \quad \text{and} \quad q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{\ddot{S} \cdot S}{(\dot{S})^2} = \frac{-\ddot{S}}{S \cdot H^2}$$

The definition of “accelerating” expansion is that the second time derivative of the cosmic scale factor \ddot{S} is positive, which implies that the deceleration parameter is negative. Finally, the deceleration

parameter can be expressed as a ratio second derivative deviation to square of the first derivative deviation from integer values:

$$\text{Eq.36. } q = -\frac{\ddot{S} \cdot S}{(\dot{S})^2} = -\frac{\Gamma^2(-\eta)}{\Gamma(-(1+\lambda))} \approx -\frac{-\lambda}{(-\eta)^2(-1-\lambda)} \approx -\frac{\lambda}{\eta^2}$$

As it follows from this equation the deceleration parameter is positive when the order of second derivative is $2-\lambda < 2$ indicating that the Universe should expand with decreasing rate, and it is negative when $2+\lambda > 2$ indicating accelerating expansion. And, indeed, until recently deceleration of Universe expansion was considered well established. However, according to now-days cosmological models, the Universe went through phases of acceleration and deceleration. The **accelerating** or **decelerating expansion of the Universe** is characterized by continuously **increasing** or **decreasing** with time speed at which a distant galaxy is receding from the observer. The latest observations suggest that the expansion evolution during age of the Universe should be classified as follows: **accelerating** in the very early universe, then **decelerating** for most of its age (about 8 billion years) until “recently” (6 billion years ago), and **accelerating** again since then until present (see Figure 1). The accelerated expansion was discovered in 1998 and is accepted by astronomers and astrophysicists. To explain this intermittent behavior of the Universe expansion the ideas of “dark matter” and “dark energy” were introduced. But, as of now, no other properties or manifestations of these mysterious entities have been detected or observed.




Figure 1, Universe expansion last 13.8 Billion Years (*Illustration by Z. Rostomian (LBNL)/N. Ross & BOSS Lyman-alpha team (LBNL) ((13))*)

Data presented in this plot (excluding outlier at ~ 2.5 billion years ago) have been used to evaluate evolution of the Universe during last 11 billion years by fitting a second order polynomials. These equations describe Hubble parameter $H(t)$, its change $\dot{H}(t)$, and deceleration parameter q respectively:

$$\text{Eq.37. } H(t) = 4.28 \cdot 10^{-53} t^2 - 2.13 \cdot 10^{-35} t + 3.39 \cdot 10^{-18} [s^{-1}], R^2 = 0.94$$

$$\text{Eq.38. } \dot{H}(t) = 8.56 \cdot 10^{-53} t - 2.13 \cdot 10^{-35}, [s^{-2}]$$

$$\text{Eq.39. } q = -\frac{\ddot{S} \cdot S}{(\dot{S})^2} \approx -\frac{\lambda}{\eta^2} = \frac{\Lambda}{H^2} \text{ and } \lambda = q \cdot \eta^2 = 4.0$$

Now-days (using Planck's time units) with $H = \eta = 1.18 \cdot 10^{-61} t_p^{-1}$ and deceleration parameter $q = 4.0$ (calculated using these equations) the cosmological parameter found to be (14) $\Lambda = \lambda = 5.6 \cdot 10^{-122} t_p^{-2}$.

V. Cosmology Discussion

The Universe evolution, as considered in modern cosmology, is based on the Big Bang theory, so the Universe is finite in time and space and its expansion is controlled by inertia, gravitational forces (mostly mysterious dark matter) and energy field (mostly mysterious dark energy). It was shown in this work, that use of fractional calculus predicts evolution of the Universe without Big Bang, inertia, forces or energy fields. In view of a fact that left fractional derivative integral is 0 at the lower limit (Eq.7.) “beginning of time disappears” or becomes irrelevant. This observation is of a significant importance when analyzing evolution of processes in time. Specifically, absolute 0 (origin) on time axis and current events on this axis cannot be determined nor defined, thus, notion of **temporal finitism** becomes meaningless. In all fairness, “absence” of lower limit of fractional derivative, applied to cosmology, does not indicate infinite time in the past either. In this respect, the choices should not be limited to classical dichotomy: are time and age of Universe finite or infinite. The third option could be *irrelevance*. Following the approach discussed in this essay, there is no way to determine if the beginning was in the infinity (no beginning) or at some finite point in the past. Mathematically, this is a consequence of fractional derivative being independent on its lower limit. What, if indeed, the Nature cannot be described by concepts based on of temporal finitism-infinitism dichotomy, but rather as indefinitism? If so, the future can't be predicted precisely and the past never would be possible to restore uniquely.

VI. Summary:

- Today, the laws of physics are formulated in terms of differential and integral calculus with integer orders leading to time in-variance
- In this essay we suggest that integer calculus in physics is an approximation and propose to use fractional calculus with orders close to integers
- Using fractional following results have been obtained:
 - Time is irreversible and can flow only in positive direction
 - Global Time is not necessarily uniform and this non-uniformity can be observed on cosmological scale as evolution of the Universe
 - Temporal finitism/infinitism concept could be irrelevant, and indefinitism is more appropriate: beginning of time cannot be determined or defined
 - Obtained equations based on fractional first and second derivatives suggest that Universe can't be stationary, but should expand or contract (without any forces or inertia) with a rate proportional to the distance from the observer
 - Behavior of the Universe, as experimentally established by the Hubble Law of expansion and acceleration, corresponds to first derivative being slightly less than **1** (one) and second derivative slightly more than **2** (two)

- The deviation of the first derivative from the integer η is negative and is numerically equal to the Hubble Parameter in Planck's time units H_P : $\eta = H_P = -1.18 \cdot 10^{-61}$
- The deviation of the second derivative from the integer λ is positive and is numerically equal to the Cosmological Parameter in Planck's time units Λ_P : $\lambda = \Lambda_P = +5.6 \cdot 10^{-122}$
- The deceleration parameter is expressed as a ratio of the second derivative deviation to square of the first derivative deviation from their integer values, $q = \lambda / \eta^2$ or equivalently, as a ratio of Cosmological Parameter to squared Hubble Parameter: $q = \Lambda / H^2$
- Hubble and Cosmological Parameters slowly vary with time, what can be associated with change of fractal derivatives deviation from integers

References

- (1) Review of philosophy of time was prepared using website: *Exactly what is time*, (<http://www.exactlywhatistime.com/philosophy-of-time/ancient-philosophy/>)
- (2) Roel Snieder, *Time-Reversal Invariance and the Relation between Wave Chaos and Classical Chaos*, (Department of Geophysics and Center for Wave Phenomena, Colorado School of Mines, Golden/Colo./CO/ 80401-1887, USA, rsnieder@mines.edu, 2002)
- (3) T-symmetry (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/T-symmetry>)
- (4) Statistical Thermodynamics and Rate Theories/Postulates of Statistical Thermodynamics (https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Statistical_Thermodynamics_and_Rate_Theories/Postulates_of_Statistical_Thermodynamics)
- (5) Trevor Pitts, **Dark Matter, Antimatter, and Time-Symmetry**, Physics Archive, <https://arxiv.org/html/physics/9812021>
- (6) Order Theory (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Order_theory)
- (7) Topology of Real Numbers (https://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~hunter/intro_analysis_pdf/ch5.pdf)
- (8) Riemann–Stieltjes integral (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Riemann%E2%80%93Stieltjes_integral)
- (9) Uniform space (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uniform_space)
- (10) Keith B. Oldham, Jerome, *Fractional Calculus: Theory and Applications of Differentiation and Integration to Arbitrary Order*, Dover Publications, 2006
- (11) Xuru, *Introductory Notes on Fractional Calculus*, xuru.org (<http://www.xuru.org/Index.asp>)
- (12) Malinoswska, A.B. et al 2015, XII, Chapter 2, *Fractional Calculus*, in *Advanced Method in the Fractional Calculus of Variations* (<http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-14755-0>)
- (13) BOSS Quasars Unveil a New Era in the Expansion History of the Universe (<https://newscenter.lbl.gov/2012/11/12/boss-quasars-early-universe/>)
- (14) Planck units, Table 5: Today's universe in Planck units (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Planck_units#cite_note-20),