The Navier–Stokes problem

Daniel Thomas Hayes

February 14, 2020

A proposed solution to the millennium problem on the existence and smoothness of the Navier–Stokes equations.

1. Introduction

The Navier–Stokes equations are thought to govern the motion of a fluid in \mathbb{R}^d where $d \in \mathbb{N}$, see [1,3,7]. Let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the velocity and let $p = p(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}$ be the pressure, each dependent on position $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and time $t \ge 0$. I take the externally applied force to be identically zero. The fluid is assumed to be incompressible with constant viscosity $v \ge 0$ and to fill all of \mathbb{R}^d . The Navier– Stokes equations can then be written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} - \nabla p, \qquad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{2}$$

with initial condition

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0) = \mathbf{u}_0 \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In these equations

$$\nabla = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_d}\right)$$
(4)

is the gradient operator and

$$\nabla^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i^2} \tag{5}$$

is the Laplacian operator. When v = 0, equations (1), (2), (3) are called the Euler equations. Solutions of (1), (2), (3) are to be found with

$$\mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x} + Le_i) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}) \tag{6}$$

for $1 \le i \le d$ where e_i is the *i*th unit vector in \mathbb{R}^d and L > 0 is a constant [7]. The initial condition \mathbf{u}_0 is a given C^{∞} divergence-free vector field on \mathbb{R}^d . A solution of (1), (2), (3) is then accepted to be physically reasonable [3] if

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + Le_i, t) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad p(\mathbf{x} + Le_i, t) = p(\mathbf{x}, t)$$
(7)

on $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and

$$\mathbf{u}, p \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)).$$
(8)

2. Solution to the Navier–Stokes problem

I provide a proof of the following theorem [2,3,6,7].

Theorem. Take $\nu > 0$. Let \mathbf{u}_0 be any smooth, divergence-free vector field satisfying (6). Then there exist smooth functions \mathbf{u} , p on $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)$ that satisfy (1), (2), (3), (7), (8).

Proof. It is sufficient to rule out the possibility that there is a smooth, divergence-free \mathbf{u}_0 for which (1), (2), (3) have a solution with a finite blowup time [3]. Let the Fourier series of \mathbf{u} , p be

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}},\tag{9}$$

$$\tilde{p} = \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} p_{\mathbf{L}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \tag{10}$$

respectively. Here $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $p_{\mathbf{L}} = p_{\mathbf{L}}(t) \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}$, $k = 2\pi/L$, and $\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty}$ denotes the sum over all $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The initial condition \mathbf{u}_0 is a Fourier series [2] of which is convergent for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Substituting $\mathbf{u} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$, $p = \tilde{p}$ into (1) gives

$$\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} e^{ik\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} \cdot ik\mathbf{M}) \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{M}} e^{ik(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{M})\cdot\mathbf{x}}$$
$$= -\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^{2} |\mathbf{L}|^{2} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} e^{ik\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} ik\mathbf{L} p_{\mathbf{L}} e^{ik\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}}.$$
(11)

Equating like powers of the exponentials in (11) yields

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot \mathbf{i}k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{M}} = -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} - \mathbf{i}k\mathbf{L}p_{\mathbf{L}}$$
(12)

on using the Cauchy product type formula [4]

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} a_l x^l \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} b_m x^m = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{l-m} b_m x^l.$$
(13)

Substituting $\mathbf{u} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ into (2) gives

$$\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{i}k\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}k\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = 0.$$
(14)

Equating like powers of the exponentials in (14) yields

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{15}$$

Applying $\mathbf{L} \cdot$ to (12) and noting (15) leads to

$$p_{\mathbf{L}} = -\sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}) (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{M}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}})$$
(16)

where p_0 is arbitrary and $\hat{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{L}/|\mathbf{L}|$ is the unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{L} . Then substituting (16) into (12) gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} = -\sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot \mathbf{i}k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{M}} - \nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{i}k\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}})(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{M}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}) \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}_0(0)$. Without loss of generality [2], I take $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{0}$. The equations for \mathbf{u}_L are to be solved for all $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} + \mathbf{i}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}},\tag{18}$$

$$p_{\rm L} = c_{\rm L} + {\rm i}d_{\rm L} \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $c_{\mathbf{L}} = c_{\mathbf{L}}(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, and $d_{\mathbf{L}} = d_{\mathbf{L}}(t) \in \mathbb{R}$. Substituting (18), (19) into (12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\partial t} + \mathrm{i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathrm{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} ((\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}} + \mathrm{i} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}}) \cdot \mathrm{i} k \mathbf{M})(\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{M}} + \mathrm{i} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{M}}) \\ &= -\nu k^{2} |\mathbf{L}|^{2} (\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}} + \mathrm{i} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{L}}) - \mathrm{i} k \mathbf{L} (c_{\mathrm{L}} + \mathrm{i} d_{\mathrm{L}}). \end{aligned}$$
(20)

Equating real and imaginary parts in (20) gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (-(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}) = -\nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} + k\mathbf{L}d_{\mathbf{L}}, \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} ((\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}) = -\nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}} - k\mathbf{L}c_{\mathbf{L}}.$$
 (22)

Substituting (18) into (15) gives

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot (\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} + \mathbf{i}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}) = 0. \tag{23}$$

Equating real and imaginary parts in (23) gives

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{0},\tag{24}$$

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{25}$$

From (21) and in light of (24) it is possible to write

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\partial t} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathrm{L}} + \sum_{\mathrm{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (-(\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}} \cdot k\mathrm{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}} \cdot k\mathrm{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{M}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathrm{L}} = -\nu k^{2} |\mathrm{L}|^{2} \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathrm{L}}$$
(26)

where $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{L} = \mathbf{a}_{L}/|\mathbf{a}_{L}|$ is the unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{a}_{L} . Then (26) implies

$$\frac{\partial |\mathbf{a}_{L}|}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (-(\mathbf{a}_{L-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{L-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{L} = -\nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}|\mathbf{a}_{L}|.$$
(27)

From (27) it is possible to write

$$\frac{\partial |\mathbf{a}_{L}|}{\partial t} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (|\mathbf{a}_{L-\mathbf{M}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}| + |\mathbf{b}_{L-\mathbf{M}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}|) - \nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}|\mathbf{a}_{L}|$$
(28)

on using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality [5]

$$|\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}| \le |\mathbf{a}| |\mathbf{b}|. \tag{29}$$

It then follows from (28) that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}|e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}|e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} - \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}|\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|}$$
(30)

implying that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|k| \mathbf{M} ||\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|k| \mathbf{M} ||\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{x}|} - \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^{2} |\mathbf{L}|^{2} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|}$$
(31)

in light of (13), which yields

$$\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}|e^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|)|\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}|e^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|)|\mathbf{x}|} - \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}|\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|}$$
(32)

on using the triangle inequality [5]

$$|\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}| \le |\mathbf{a}| + |\mathbf{b}|. \tag{33}$$

From (22) and in light of (25) it is possible to write

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{L}} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} ((\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{L}} = -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{L}}$$
(34)

where $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{L} = \mathbf{b}_{L}/|\mathbf{b}_{L}|$ is the unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{b}_{L} . Then (34) implies

$$\frac{\partial |\mathbf{b}_{L}|}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} ((\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{L}} = -\nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}|\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|.$$
(35)

From (35) it is possible to write

$$\frac{\partial |\mathbf{b}_{L}|}{\partial t} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (|\mathbf{a}_{L-\mathbf{M}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}| + |\mathbf{b}_{L-\mathbf{M}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}|) - \nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}|\mathbf{b}_{L}|$$
(36)

on using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. It then follows from (36) that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}|k| \mathbf{M} ||\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}|k| \mathbf{M} ||\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} - \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^{2} |\mathbf{L}|^{2} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|}$$
(37)

implying that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}|e^{k|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}|e^{k|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{x}|} - \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}|\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|}$$
(38)

in light of (13), which yields

$$\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}|e^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|)|\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|k|\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}|e^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|)|\mathbf{x}|} - \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}|\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|}$$
(39)

on using the triangle inequality. Let

$$\psi = \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}|X},\tag{40}$$

$$\phi = \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}|X}$$
(41)

where $X = |\mathbf{x}|$ and note that $|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}| \leq Q$ where $Q = \psi + \phi$. Then (32) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \leq \psi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X} + \phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X} - \nu \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial X^2}$$
(42)

and (39) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \leq \psi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X} + \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X} - \nu \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial X^2}.$$
(43)

Adding (42) and (43) yields

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \leq Q \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X} - \nu \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial X^2}.$$
(44)

Equation (44) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} - Q \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X} + v \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial X^2} = H$$
(45)

where $H = H(X, t) \leq 0$. Here $Q|_{t=0}$ converges for all $X \geq 0$ since $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|_{t=0}$ converges for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Note also that

$$\frac{\partial^{s} Q}{\partial X^{s}} \ge 0 \text{ for } s \ge 0.$$
(46)

At points where Q is a maximum,

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \ge 0. \tag{47}$$

The extreme case is then $Q = \Omega$ where

$$\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial t} = \Omega \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial X} - \nu \frac{\partial^2\Omega}{\partial X^2}.$$
(48)

Let

$$\Omega = \lambda \frac{\partial A}{\partial X} / A = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \log_e A \tag{49}$$

where λ is a constant. Substituting (49) into (48) gives

$$\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial X} (\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}/A) = \lambda^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} ((\frac{\partial A}{\partial X}/A)^2) - \lambda \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial X} ((\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial X^2}A - (\frac{\partial A}{\partial X})^2)/A^2).$$
(50)

Then with $\lambda = -2\nu$, equation (50) gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X}(\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}/A) = -\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial X^2}/A)$$
(51)

which leads to

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -v \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial X^2} + hA \tag{52}$$

where h = h(t) is arbitrary. Let

$$A = \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{\mathbf{L}} e^{k|\mathbf{L}|X}$$
(53)

where $A_{L} = A_{L}(t)$. Substituting (53) into (52) gives

$$\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial A_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} e^{k|\mathbf{L}|X} = -\nu \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 A_{\mathbf{L}} e^{k|\mathbf{L}|X} + h \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{\mathbf{L}} e^{k|\mathbf{L}|X}.$$
 (54)

Equating like powers of the exponentials in (54) leads to

$$\frac{\partial A_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} = -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 A_{\mathbf{L}} + A_{\mathbf{L}} h.$$
(55)

Equation (55) is easily solved to find

$$A_{\rm L} = A_{\rm L}(0) {\rm e}^{-\nu k^2 |{\rm L}|^2 t + \int_0^t h(\tau) \, d\tau}.$$
 (56)

It then follows that

$$\Omega = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \log_{e} \left(\left(\sum_{\mathbf{L} = -\infty}^{\infty} A_{\mathbf{L}}(0) e^{-\nu k^{2} |\mathbf{L}|^{2} t} e^{k |\mathbf{L}|X} \right)^{-2\nu} \right).$$
(57)

Now with

$$\Omega = \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega_{\mathbf{L}} e^{k|\mathbf{L}|X}, \ \ \Omega_{\mathbf{0}} = 0$$
(58)

where $\Omega_{\mathbf{L}} = \Omega_{\mathbf{L}}(t) \ge 0$ it follows that

$$A = e^{\int^{X} \frac{\Omega}{\lambda} dX}$$

= $e^{\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{\mathbf{L} \neq 0} \frac{\Omega_{\mathbf{L}} e^{k|\mathbf{L}|X}}{k|\mathbf{L}|}}$
= $1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{\mathbf{L} \neq 0} \frac{\Omega_{\mathbf{L}} e^{k|\mathbf{L}|X}}{k|\mathbf{L}|} + \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{\mathbf{L} \neq 0} \frac{\Omega_{\mathbf{L}} e^{k|\mathbf{L}|X}}{k|\mathbf{L}|})^{2} + \dots$ (59)

For consistency, matching (53) with (59) yields

$$A_{\mathbf{0}} = 1, \ A_{\mathbf{L}} = \frac{\Omega_{\mathbf{L}}}{\lambda k |\mathbf{L}|} + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 k^2}) \text{ for } \mathbf{L} \neq \mathbf{0}.$$
 (60)

Then (57) becomes

$$\Omega = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \log_{e}(A^{\lambda}) \tag{61}$$

where

$$A = 1 + \sum_{\mathbf{L} \neq \mathbf{0}} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\mathbf{L}}(0)}{\lambda k |\mathbf{L}|} + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 k^2}) \right) e^{-\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 t} e^{k |\mathbf{L}| X}.$$
 (62)

Note that it is sufficient to take X to be in a finite domain due to the spatially periodic boundary conditions. Without loss of generality let $\Omega_{L}(t) = \alpha_{|L|}(t)$. Then

$$A = 1 + \{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\lambda k})^{2} \sum_{\mathbf{L}\neq\mathbf{0}} \sum_{\mathbf{M}\neq\mathbf{0}} \frac{\alpha_{|\mathbf{L}|}(0)\alpha_{|\mathbf{M}|}(0)}{|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{M}|} e^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|)X} e^{-\nu k^{2}(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|)^{2}t} + \frac{1}{24}(\frac{1}{\lambda k})^{4} \sum_{\mathbf{L}\neq\mathbf{0}} \sum_{\mathbf{M}\neq\mathbf{0}} \sum_{\mathbf{N}\neq\mathbf{0}} \sum_{\mathbf{P}\neq\mathbf{0}} \frac{\alpha_{|\mathbf{L}|}(0)\alpha_{|\mathbf{M}|}(0)\alpha_{|\mathbf{N}|}(0)\alpha_{|\mathbf{P}|}(0)}{|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{N}||\mathbf{P}|} \times e^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|+|\mathbf{N}|+|\mathbf{P}|)X} e^{-\nu k^{2}(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|+|\mathbf{N}|+|\mathbf{P}|)^{2}t} + \ldots\} + \{(\frac{1}{\lambda k})\sum_{\mathbf{L}\neq\mathbf{0}} \frac{\alpha_{|\mathbf{L}|}(0)}{|\mathbf{L}|} e^{k|\mathbf{L}|X} e^{-\nu k^{2}|\mathbf{L}|^{2}t} + \frac{1}{6}(\frac{1}{\lambda k})^{3} \sum_{\mathbf{L}\neq\mathbf{0}} \sum_{\mathbf{M}\neq\mathbf{0}} \sum_{\mathbf{N}\neq\mathbf{0}} \frac{\alpha_{|\mathbf{L}|}(0)\alpha_{|\mathbf{M}|}(0)\alpha_{|\mathbf{N}|}(0)}{|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{N}|} e^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|+|\mathbf{N}|)X} e^{-\nu k^{2}(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|+|\mathbf{N}|)^{2}t} + \ldots\}.$$
(63)

In light of (63) and due to $A \in [0, 1]$ from (59) it is then clear that A increases with increasing $t \ge 0$. It then follows that Ω has no finite-time singularity and $|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}| \le \Omega$. \therefore blowup is ruled out.

References

[1] Batchelor G. 1967. *An introduction to fluid dynamics*. Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge.

[2] Doering C. 2009. The 3D Navier–Stokes problem. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **41**: 109–128.

[3] Fefferman C. 2000. Existence and smoothness of the Navier–Stokes equation. *Clay Mathematics Institute*. Official problem description.

[4] Hardy G. 1949. Divergent series. Oxford University Press.

[5] Kreyszig E. 1989. *Introductory functional analysis with applications*. Wiley Classics Library.

[6] Ladyzhenskaya O. 1969. *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flows*. Gordon and Breach, New York.

[7] Tao T. 2013. Localisation and compactness properties of the Navier–Stokes global regularity problem. *Analysis and PDE*. **6**: 25–107.