Monte Carlo based Algorithm for Scoring Grid Systems

Abstract—We evaluate Monte Carlo based algorithm for scoring grid systems. The former were used to describe features of the area under study. This was done to select suitable features for City Information Modelling. These algorithms measures path of shortest distance. However, shortest distance does not always ensures shortest time. The algorithm works by pairing multiple iterations of two random numbers in a polygon. The mean of shortest path between these points is calculated. Thus grid systems with shorter paths will return a lower value as compared to grid systems with longer paths. This was applied to GIS systems in urban planning to rate the feasibility of different grid systems. It is a heuristic algorithm that tells us to about the nature of grid system. Instead of measuring the geometry of every path in a grid it eases the workflow of an urban planner by automation.
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INTRODUCTION

This paper defines a new feature for city information modelling by measuring the average length of N randomly generated paths in a grid system. A grid system with mostly longer paths would have a greater normalized local score (NLS). For benchmark, the algorithm was applied to different street systems namely gridiron, loose grid and radial grid. Monte Carlo method, Dijkstra algorithm were utilized for the task. It was found that radial grid systems are the best in comparison to loose grid and grid iron. Grid iron scored the worst because of shorter paths that are not allowed to move along diagonally.

A. Random Points Generation:
After specifying polygonal boundary of a city, 1000~5000 random points (latitudes and longitudes) were generated inside the polygon. These points were paired at randomly in the form [(lat, long), (lat, long)].

B. Dijkstra Algorithm:
The shortest path between the algorithms was calculated using the processing toolbox. Dijkstra algorithm (Mehlhorn & Sanders, 2008) finds the shortest path between nodes of graph that have positive cost value. Pseudocode of the algorithms is as under;

```python
1 function Dijkstra(Graph, source):
2     create vertex set Q
3     for each vertex v in Graph:
4         dist[v] ← INFINITY
5         prev[v] ← UNDEFINED
6     dist[source] ← 0
7     Q ← 0
8     while Q is not empty:
9         u ← vertex in Q with min dist[u]
10        remove u from Q
11        for each neighbor v of u:
12           alt ← dist[u] + length(u, v)
13           if alt < dist[v]:
14              dist[v] ← alt
15              prev[v] ← u
16     return dist[], prev[]
```

To use the algorithm in processing toolbox paste the following code in Python shell of QGIS.

```python
def shortest_path(a, b, c, d):
    res = processing.run("native:shortestpathpointtopoint",
                       {'INPUT':'<path_to_file>.geojson|layername=NewOrleans',
                        'STRATEGY':0,'DIRECTION_FIELD':None,
                        'VALUE_FORWARD':'', 'VALUE_BACKWARD':'',
                        'VALUE_BOTH':'', 'DEFAULT_DIRECTION':2,
                        'SPEED_FIELD':None,'DEFAULT_SPEED':50,
                        'TOLERANCE':0,
                        'START_POINT':'{},{} [EPSG:4326]'.format(a,b),
                        'END_POINT':'{},{} [EPSG:4326]'.format(c,d),
                        'OUTPUT':"TEMPORARY_OUTPUT"}"
```

Where (a, c), (b, d) are longitude and latitude of the two points.
C. Monte Carlo-Dijkstra algorithm:
Flowchart of Monte Carlo algorithm coupled with Dijkstra algorithm was used to score the grid systems.

![Flowchart of Monte Carlo-Dijkstra algorithm](image)

D. Results:

**Loose Grid:**
Loose grids have inclined roads as well as rectangular roads. This means that there exist a set points in the grid where diagonal path is allowed between two points. The path does not have to travel along rectangular grid therefore they have lower score in comparison to grid iron.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Normalized Local Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4528</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4563</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2218</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2238</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2229</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean NLS: 0.300*

**Grid Iron:**
Grid Iron allow paths along the rectangular axis. Diagonal path, which is the shortest between two corners of a rectangle is not allowed so the algorithm has to travel along the horizontal and vertical paths. The sum of lengths is obviously larger than the length of diagonal path. It’s the primary reason why the NLS of grid iron is high.
Central New Orleans, US

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Normalized Local Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2215</td>
<td>0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2245</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean NLS: 0.366*

CONCLUSION

NLS is a measure of the distance between two nodes of a road network. Closer nodes have lower NLS values. Therefore a grid system have lower NLS value would have on average shorter paths from any location on the grid to another. The reason for introduction of this algorithm is to automate the scoring of grids for city planners. Instead of measuring individual polygons or curves in grid system this algorithm provides a heuristic approach for scoring grids.
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