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Abstract. A few progressions of the same type and their periodic sequences.
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0. Introduction.

We define some progressions of the same type, and study their periodic sequences to find the rule related to them.

1. Periodicity of a progression(1).

Now we define a progression as follows.

Let \(k(>1)\) and \(n\) be also a positive integer, then

\[
a_{n,k} =
\begin{cases} 
1 & \text{(when } n = 1) \\
(a_{n-1,k}+n)^{k-1} \text{ (mod } k) & \text{(when } n > 1) 
\end{cases}
\]

One by one we survey the shortest periods of the progressions of this kind, for some cases of \(k\).

(e.q.) When \(k=2\), then \(\{a_{n,2}\} = \{1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, \ldots\}\).

This progression seems periodic and its shortest period is assumed 4.

When \(k=3\), then \(\{a_{n,3}\} = \{1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, \ldots\}\).

This progression seems periodic and its shortest period is assumed 3.

When \(k=4\), then \(\{a_{n,4}\} = \{1, 3, 0, 0, 1, 3, 0, 0, 1, 3, 0, \ldots\}\).

This progression seems periodic and its shortest period is assumed 4.

Periodicity of progressions is easily found for now (See Table 1).
Table 1: (A.S.P. means the assumed shortest period.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k \ n</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>A.S.P.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Theorem 1

Let l be a positive integer. If $a_{n,k}$ = $a_{n+l,k}$ and k|l (i.e. l is divisible by k.) for the above-mentioned progression \{a_{n,k}\}, then \{a_{n,k}\} has a period equal to l.

Proof.

We will prove deductively, that if $a_{n+m,k}$ = $a_{n+m+l,k}$ then $a_{n+m,1,k}$ = $a_{n+m+l,1,k}$ where m is a non-negative integer.

When m = 0 evidently $a_{n,k}$ = $a_{n+l,k}$.

Furthermore if $a_{n+m,k}$ = $a_{n+m+l,k}$ then $a_{n+m+1,k}$ \equiv $(a_{n+m,k}+n+m+1)^k-1$ (mod k) $\equiv (a_{n+m+1,k}+n+m+1)^k-1$ (mod k) = $a_{n+m+1,k}$, for l\equiv0(mod k).

This completes Theorem 1.

\[ \square \]

Theorem 2

Suppose k is a prime number larger than 2.

If n\equiv0 or n\equiv k−1 (mod k) then $a_{n,k}$ = 0, otherwise $a_{n,k}$ = 1.

Proof.

When k = 3 then $a_{1,3}$ = 1, $a_{2,3}$ = $(a_{1,3}+2)^2$ (mod 3) = 0, $a_{3,3}$ = $(a_{2,3}+3)^2$ (mod 3) = 0, $a_{4,3}$ = $(a_{3,3}+4)^2$ (mod 3) = 1 (mod 3) = 1.

Therefore $a_{1,3}$ = 1 = $a_{4,3}$, so 3 is a period of this progression.

This completes Theorem 2 for k = 3.

When k is larger than 3 then, applying Fermat’s little theorem[1], $a_{1,k}$ = 1, $a_{2,k}$ = $(a_{1,k}+2)^{k-1}$ (mod k) = 3^{k-1} (mod k) = 1, $a_{3,k}$ = $(a_{2,k}+3)^{k-1}$ (mod k) = 4^{k-1} (mod k) = 1, ... $a_{k,1,k}$ = $(a_{k-2,k}+k-1)(mod k) = 0 (mod k) = 0, ...$, $a_{k,1,k}$ = $(a_{k-1,k}+k)^2 (mod k) = 0 (mod k) = 0.
Also \( a_{k+1,k} = (a_{k,k} + k + 1)^2 \mod k = 1 \mod k = 1 \), so \( k \) is a period of this progression.

This completes Theorem 2 for \( k \) larger than 3.

2. Periodicity of a progression(2).

Now we define another progression as follows.

Let \( k(>1) \) and \( n \) be also a positive integer, then

\[
b_{n,k} = 1 \quad (\text{when } n = 1)
\]
\[
= (b_{n-1,k} - n)^{k-1} \mod k \quad (\text{when } n > 1)
\]

Periodicity of progressions is easily found for now (See Table 2).

Table 2: (A.S.P. means the assumed shortest period.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>A.S.P.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7(*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On Table 2, * indicates that the period for each \( k \) does not start from the first term.

Theorem 3

Let \( l \) be a positive integer. If \( b_{n,k} = b_{n+1,k} \) and \( k|l \) (i.e. \( l \) is divisible by \( k \)) for the above-mentioned progression \( \{b_{n,k}\} \), then \( \{b_{n,k}\} \) has a period equal to \( l \).

Proof.

We will prove deductively, that if \( b_{n+m,k} = b_{n+m+1,k} \) then \( b_{n+m+1,k} = b_{n+m+l+1,k} \) where \( m \) is a non-negative integer.

When \( m=0 \) evidently \( b_{n,k} = b_{n+1,k} \).

Furthermore if \( b_{n+m,k} = b_{n+m+1,k} \) then \( b_{n+m+1,k} \equiv (b_{n+m,k} - n - m - 1)^{k-1} \mod k \equiv (b_{n+m+1,k} - n - m - 1)^{k-1} \mod k \), for \( l \equiv 0 \mod k \).

This completes Theorem 3, similarly as Theorem 1.
3. Periodicity of a progression (3).

Now we define another progression again and again as follows.

Let \( k(>1) \) and \( n \) be also a positive integer, then

\[
c_n, k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{(when } n = 1) \\ (c_{n-1,k} + (-1)^n n)^{k-1} \pmod{k} & \text{(when } n > 1) \end{cases}
\]

Periodicity of progressions is easily found for now (See Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k ) ( \backslash n )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>A.S.P.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6(*)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4(*)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10(*)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14(*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On Table 3, * indicates that the period for each \( k \) does not start from the first term.

Theorem 4

Let \( l \) be a positive integer. If \( b_{n,k} = b_{n+1,k} \) and \( k \mid l \) (i.e. \( l \) is divisible by \( k \)) for the above-mentioned progression \( \{b_{n,k}\} \), then \( \{b_{n,k}\} \) has a period equal to \( l \).

**Proof.**

We will prove deductively, that if \( b_{n+m,k} = b_{n+m+1,k} \) then \( b_{n+m+1,k} = b_{n+m+l+1,k} \) where \( m \) is a non-negative integer.

When \( m=0 \) evidently \( b_{n,k} = b_{n+1,k} \).

Furthermore if \( b_{n+m,k} = b_{n+m+l,k} \) then \( b_{n+m+1,k} \equiv (b_{n+m,k} - n - m - 1)^{k-1} \pmod{k} \)

\( (\text{mod } k) \equiv (b_{n+m+1,k} - n - m - 1 + 1)^{k-1} \pmod{k} \) for \( l \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \).

This completes Theorem 4, similarly as Theorem 1. 

\( \square \)
references