In the October 2019 initial announcements of the medicine, physics, chemistry, and literature Nobel Prize, the hope to solidify their dominance of the sciences, i.e., reinvigorating and embellishing the conscious of political and social praxis, the Nobel Prizes were widely regarded as a revered prize system that took part in the short-term celebratory process, i.e., through excellence and achievement of breakthrough and discovery; including popular literature and obligatory diplomacy.

The factuality of the Nobel Peace Prize being awarded to statesmen and diplomatic figureheads is all fascinating, for the prize systems inability to sustain their grip on long-term interests represents the prize systems tendency for jubilant decline. Even then, the celebratory intelligentsia has been giving the honorship of “Nobel Laureate:” for the stakes are high for the Nobel Prize committee, but the risks are even greater for the unforeseeable duration. With very little end results that can substantiate the Nobel Prizes claim of merit and prestige by awarding medals and citations without first referencing long-term interests.

The Nobel Prizes are a short-term means to adulate decades long headway in scientific research, year-long causes for peace, and short-term popularity of literary trends. Never before has the Nobel Laureates -- presiding in their respective countries, been a subject of ridicule and disdain, i.e., their willingness and acceptance to side with political and social conventionality. And their incapacity to award prizes to political and scientific leaders that can shape the consciousness of history, rather than just modern science.
Instead, the historical psychology of the Nobel Prizes is one of desperation to achieve mainstream publicity and scientific notoriety. But the collapse of the norms of post-modernity has only sent the prize system into the abyss of academic and/or political horror. What seem, at the advent of neo-capitalism, to be an important arbiter of progress, and the unity of nations and states (based on the competitive enterprise of scientific, literary, and political competition) has led to a Nobel committee struggling to transcend the norm of misnomer and political dismay. Instead, the Nobel Prizes has become antithetical to the contemporary sciences.

To be of scientific, political, and literary leadership, is to decline the Nobel Prize without say. For the Nobel Prizes do not dictate terms to a helpless street thug whom prides himself to be of his own personhood. Let alone will an officer allow himself to follow orders from a civilian prize winner. Least the Laureate, or even the fellow, is to obey the chain of command or risk the tranquility of the many -- at the expenditure of the few.

When lives are at stake, the top prize is of little concern. The advance sciences share no bondage to the modernist prize system, instead to the beginning of Starfleet. For the sake of humankind; the highest merit of individual identity.

- The Leading Professor Miguel Angel Sanchez-Rey *The Grandmaster, The Master of Space-Time*
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