

Refutation of Tannakian categories via profinite groups of Iwasawa embedding

© Copyright 2019 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

Abstract: We evaluate the seminal equation to axiomatize profinite groups with the Iwasawa embedding property. It is *not* tautologous. This taints Tannakian categories and subsequent conjectures to establish model theory of proalgebraic (pro-affine algebraic) groups. These conjectures form a *non* tautologous fragment of the universal logic $\forall\exists\forall$.

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/ $\forall\exists\forall$ with Tautology as the designated proof value, **F** as contradiction, **N** as truthity (non-contingency), and **C** as falsity (contingency). The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal, or repeating fragments of 128-tables, sometimes with table counts, for more variables. (See ersatz-systems.com.)

LET \sim Not, \neg ; + Or, \vee, \cup, \sqcup ; - Not Or; & And, $\wedge, \cap, \square, \cdot, \otimes$; \ Not And;
 $>$ Imply, greater than, $\rightarrow, \Rightarrow, \mapsto, \succ, \supset, \Rightarrow$; $<$ Not Imply, less than, $\in, <, \subset, \neq, \neq, \ll, \lesssim$;
 $=$ Equivalent, $\equiv, :=, \Leftrightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \hat{=}, \approx, \simeq$; @ Not Equivalent, \neq, \oplus ;
 $\%$ possibility, for one or some, \exists, \diamond, M ; # necessity, for every or all, \forall, \square, L ;
 $(z=z)$ **T** as tautology, \top , ordinal 3; $(z@z)$ **F** as contradiction, \emptyset , Null, \perp , zero;
 $(\%z\#z)$ **N** as non-contingency, Δ , ordinal 1; $(\%z\#z)$ **C** as contingency, ∇ , ordinal 2;
 $\sim(y < x)$ ($x \leq y$), ($x \subseteq y$), ($x \sqsubseteq y$); $(A=B)$ ($A\sim B$).
 Note for clarity, we usually distribute quantifiers onto each designated variable.

From: Pillay, A.; Wibmer, M. (2019). Model theory of proalgebraic groups. arxiv.org/pdf/1908.10064.pdf

Abstract We lay the foundations for a model theoretic study of proalgebraic groups (more accurate to use the term “pro-affine algebraic group” or “affine group scheme”). Our axiomatization is based on the [T]annakian philosophy.

Introduction The theory T_{IP} axiomatizes profinite groups G having the Iwasawa (or embedding) property: Any diagram $G \rightarrow A, G \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A$ where $B \rightarrow A$ is an epimorphism of finite groups and $G \rightarrow A$ is an epimorphism can be completed to a commutative diagram via an epimorphism $G \rightarrow B$, if B is a quotient of G . (1.1)

LET $p, q, r, s: A, B, G$, Divisor.

$$((q > p) \& (r > p)) > (((r \setminus s) > q) > (r > q)); \quad \text{TTTT TTTT TTTT TTFT} \quad (1.2)$$

Remark 1.2: Eq. 1.2 as rendered is *not* tautologous. This refutes the profinite groups of Iwasawa embedding, taints Tannakian categories, and taints subsequent conjectures to establish model theory of proalgebraic (pro-affine algebraic) groups.