

Refutation of inquisitive modal logic via flatness grade

© Copyright 2019 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

Abstract: We evaluate two seminal definitions for flatness grade with neither tautologous. This refutes inquisitive modal logic and relegates it to a *non* tautologous fragment of the universal logic VL4.

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/VL4 with Tautology as the designated proof value, **F** as contradiction, **N** as truthity (non-contingency), and **C** as falsity (contingency). The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal, or repeating fragments of 128-tables, sometimes with table counts, for more variables. (See ersatz-systems.com.)

LET \sim Not, \neg ; + Or, \vee, \cup, \sqcup ; - Not Or; & And, $\wedge, \cap, \sqcap, ;$; \ Not And;
 $>$ Imply, greater than, $\rightarrow, \Rightarrow, \supset, \succ, \supset, \succ$; $<$ Not Imply, less than, $\in, <, \subset, \prec, \neq, \ll, \lesssim$;
 $=$ Equivalent, $\equiv, :=, \Leftrightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \stackrel{\Delta}{\approx}, \simeq$; @ Not Equivalent, \neq ;
 $\%$ possibility, for one or some, \exists, \diamond, M ; # necessity, for every or all, \forall, \square, L ;
 $(z=z)$ **T** as tautology, \top , ordinal 3; $(z@z)$ **F** as contradiction, $\emptyset, \text{Null}, \perp$, zero;
 $(\%z\>\#z)$ **N** as non-contingency, Δ , ordinal 1; $(\%z\<\#z)$ **C** as contingency, ∇ , ordinal 2;
 $\sim(y < x)$ ($x \leq y$), ($x \subseteq y$), ($x \sqsubseteq y$); $(A=B)$ ($A \sim B$).
 Note for clarity, we usually distribute quantifiers onto each designated variable.

From: Meißner, S.; Otto, M. (2019). A first-order framework for inquisitive modal logic. arxiv.org/pdf/1906.04981.pdf

3.2 Graded flatness and the standard translation

Definition 3.4 (flatness grade).

The flatness grade $b(\phi) \in \mathbb{N}$ of $\phi \in \text{InqML}$ is defined by syntactic induction, for all $\psi, \chi \in \text{InqML}$, according to

$$b(\psi \rightarrow \chi) := b(\chi); \tag{3.4.1.1}$$

LET $p, q, r, s:$ $p, \psi, \chi, b; + \forall$ (intuitive disjunction).

$$(s\&(q\>r))=(s\&r); \quad \text{TTTT TTTT **FF**TT TTTT} \tag{3.4.1.2}$$

$$b(\psi \forall \chi) := b(\psi) + b(\chi) + 1. \tag{3.4.2.1}$$

$$(s\&(q+r))=(((s\&q)+(s\&r))+(\%p\>\#p)); \quad \text{CCCC CCCC CCTT TTTT} \tag{3.4.2.2}$$

Eqs. 3.4.1.2 and 3.4.2.2 as rendered are *not* tautologous. This refutes flatness grade and hence inquisitive modal logic.