

## Refutation of Presburger arithmetic via Axiom 2

© Copyright 2019 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

**Abstract:** In Presburger arithmetic, Axiom 2 as  $x+1 = y+1 \rightarrow x=y$  is *not* tautologous. Therefore Presburger arithmetic is a *non* tautologous fragment of the universal logic  $\forall\mathcal{L}4$ .

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/ $\forall\mathcal{L}4$  with Tautology as the designated proof value, **F** as contradiction, **N** as truthity (non-contingency), and **C** as falsity (contingency). The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal, or repeating fragments of 128-tables, sometimes with table counts, for more variables. (See ersatz-systems.com.)

LET  $\sim$  Not,  $\neg$ ; + Or,  $\vee$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $\sqcup$ ; - Not Or; & And,  $\wedge$ ,  $\cap$ ,  $\square$ ,  $;$ ; \ Not And;  
 $>$  Imply, greater than,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\Rightarrow$ ,  $\mapsto$ ,  $>$ ,  $\supset$ ,  $\succ$ ;  $<$  Not Imply, less than,  $\in$ ,  $<$ ,  $\subset$ ,  $\neq$ ,  $\neq$ ,  $\ll$ ,  $\leq$ ;  
 $=$  Equivalent,  $\equiv$ ,  $:=$ ,  $\Leftrightarrow$ ,  $\leftrightarrow$ ,  $\stackrel{\Delta}{\approx}$ ,  $\approx$ ,  $\simeq$ ; @ Not Equivalent,  $\neq$ ;  
 $\%$  possibility, for one or some,  $\exists$ ,  $\diamond$ , **M**; # necessity, for every or all,  $\forall$ ,  $\square$ , **L**;  
 $(z=z)$  **T** as tautology, **T**, ordinal 3;  $(z@z)$  **F** as contradiction,  $\emptyset$ , Null,  $\perp$ , zero;  
 $(\%z\#z)$  **N** as non-contingency,  $\Delta$ , ordinal 1;  $(\%z\<\#z)$  **C** as contingency,  $\nabla$ , ordinal 2;  
 $\sim(y < x)$  ( $x \leq y$ ), ( $x \subseteq y$ ), ( $x \sqsubseteq y$ );  $(A=B)$   $(A\sim B)$ .

Note for clarity, we usually distribute quantifiers onto each designated variable.

From: [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Presburger\\_arithmetic](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Presburger_arithmetic)

The language of Presburger arithmetic contains constants 0 and 1 and a binary function +, interpreted as addition. In this language, the axioms of Presburger arithmetic are the universal closures of the following:

$$[\text{Axiom}] 2. \quad x+1 = y+1 \rightarrow x=y \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LET } p, q: \quad x, y; \quad (\%r\#r) 1; \quad (r=r) \text{ T} \\ ((p+(\%r\#r))=(q+(\%r\#r)))>(p=q) ; \\ \text{TCCT TCCT TCCT TCCT} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

**Remark 2.2:** If Eq. 2.1 takes ordinal constant 1 as **T**, then:

$$\begin{aligned} ((p+(r=r))=(q+(r=r)))>(p=q) ; \\ \text{TFFT TFFT TFFT TFFT} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

**Remark 2.1:** We attempt to resuscitate Eq. 2.1 by removing 1 from the antecedent:

$$[(x+1 = y+1) -1] \rightarrow x=y \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (((p+(\%r\#r))=(q+(\%r\#r)))-(\%r\#r))>(p=q) ; \\ \text{TNNT TNNT TNNT TNNT} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Eqs. 2.2, 2.3, and 3.2 are not tautologous, thereby refuting Presburger arithmetic by its own Axiom 2.