

# Refutation of condition/decision duality and the internal logic of extensive restriction categories

© Copyright 2019 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

**Abstract:** The equations for condition/decision duality are *not* tautologous, hence refuting what follows as internal logic of extensive restriction categories. These conjectures form a *non* tautologous fragment of the universal logic  $\forall\exists\perp$ .

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/ $\forall\exists\perp$  with Tautology as the designated proof value,  $\mathbf{F}$  as contradiction,  $\mathbf{N}$  as truthity (non-contingency), and  $\mathbf{C}$  as falsity (contingency). The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal, or repeating fragments of 128-tables, sometimes with table counts, for more variables. (See ersatz-systems.com.)

LET  $\sim$  Not,  $\neg$ ; + Or,  $\vee, \cup, \sqcup$ ; - Not Or; & And,  $\wedge, \cap, \sqcap, ;$ ; \ Not And;  
 $>$  Imply, greater than,  $\rightarrow, \Rightarrow, \mapsto, >, \supset, \Rightarrow$ ;  $<$  Not Imply, less than,  $\in, <, \subset, \neq, \neq, \ll, \lesssim$ ;  
 $=$  Equivalent,  $\equiv, :=, \Leftrightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \stackrel{\Delta}{\approx}, \simeq$ ; @ Not Equivalent,  $\neq$ ;  
 $\%$  possibility, for one or some,  $\exists, \diamond, \mathbf{M}$ ; # necessity, for every or all,  $\forall, \square, \mathbf{L}$ ;  
 $(z=z)$   $\mathbf{T}$  as tautology,  $\mathbf{T}$ , ordinal 3;  $(z@z)$   $\mathbf{F}$  as contradiction,  $\emptyset, \text{Null}, \perp$ , zero;  
 $(\%z\>\#z)$   $\mathbf{N}$  as non-contingency,  $\Delta$ , ordinal 1;  $(\%z\<\#z)$   $\mathbf{C}$  as contingency,  $\nabla$ , ordinal 2;  
 $\sim(y < x)$  ( $x \leq y$ ), ( $x \subseteq y$ ), ( $x \sqsubseteq y$ );  $(A=B)$  ( $A\sim B$ ).  
 Note for clarity, we usually distribute quantifiers onto each designated variable.

From: Robin Kaarsgaard, R. (2019). [arxiv.org/pdf/1905.09181.pdf](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1905.09181.pdf) robin@di.ku.dk  
 Condition/decision duality and the internal logic of extensive restriction categories.

**Abstract:** ... While categorical treatments of flowchart languages are abundant, none of them provide a treatment of this dual nature of predicates. In the present paper, we argue that extensive restriction categories are precisely categories that capture such a condition/decision duality, by means of morphisms which, coincidentally, are also called decisions. Further, we show that having these categorical decisions amounts to having an internal logic: Analogous to how subobjects of an object in a topos form a Heyting algebra, we show that decisions on an object in an extensive restriction category form a De Morgan quasilattice ...

## 4 The internal logic of extensive restriction categories

### 4.1 Kleene's three valued logics and De Morgan quasilattices

As for Boolean algebras, one can derive a partial order on De Morgan quasilattices by

$$p \leq q \text{ iff } p \wedge q = p, \tag{4.1.1.1}$$

$$((p\&q)=p) \gg \sim(q < p); \quad \mathbf{T\mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{T}} \quad \mathbf{T\mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{T}} \quad \mathbf{T\mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{T}} \quad \mathbf{T\mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{T}} \tag{4.1.1.2}$$

and another one by

$$p \sqsubseteq q \text{ iff } p \vee q = q. \tag{4.1.2.1}$$

$$((p+q)=q) \gg \sim(q < p); \quad \mathbf{T\mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{T}} \quad \mathbf{T\mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{T}} \quad \mathbf{T\mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{T}} \quad \mathbf{T\mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{T}} \tag{4.1.2.2}$$

Unlike as for Boolean algebras, however, these do not coincide, though they are anti-isomorphic, as it follows from the De Morgan laws that

$$p \leq q \text{ iff } \neg q \sqsubseteq \neg p. \tag{4.1.3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (((p+q)=q) \succ \sim(q < p)) \succ (((p \& q)=p) \succ \sim(q < p))) \& \\ & \sim(((p \& q)=p) \succ \sim(q < p)) \succ (((p+q)=q) \succ \sim(q < p)); \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathbf{FFFF \ FFFF \ FFFF \ FFFF} \tag{4.1.3.2} \end{aligned}$$

Eqs. 4.1.1.2 and 4.1.2.2 are *not* tautologous; and 4.1.3.2 is contradictory because of the *iff* in 4.1.3.1. This refutes condition/decision duality and hence what follows as internal logic of extensive restriction categories.