

Refutation of the Barwise compactness theorem via sublanguage L_A

© Copyright 2019 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

Abstract: A definition with variant to establish a sublanguage in support of the Barwise compactness theorem is *not* tautologous. By extension the theorem is also refuted. These conjectures form a *non* tautologous fragment of the universal logic $V\mathbb{L}4$.

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/ $V\mathbb{L}4$ with Tautology as the designated proof value, **F** as contradiction, **N** as truthity (non-contingency), and **C** as falsity (contingency). The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal, or repeating fragments of 128-tables, sometimes with table counts, for more variables. (See ersatz-systems.com.)

LET \sim Not, \neg ; + Or, \vee , \cup , \sqcup ; - Not Or; & And, \wedge , \cap , \square , ;; \ Not And;
 $>$ Imply, greater than, \rightarrow , \Rightarrow , \mapsto , $>$, \supset , \Rightarrow ; $<$ Not Imply, less than, \in , $<$, \subset , \prec , \neq , \ll , \lesssim ;
 $=$ Equivalent, \equiv , $:=$, \Leftrightarrow , \leftrightarrow , $\hat{=}$, \approx , \simeq ; @ Not Equivalent, \neq ;
 $\%$ possibility, for one or some, \exists , \diamond , **M**; # necessity, for every or all, \forall , \square , **L**;
 $(z=z)$ **T** as tautology, \top , ordinal 3; $(z@z)$ **F** as contradiction, \emptyset , Null, \perp , zero;
 $(\%z\>\#z)$ **N** as non-contingency, Δ , ordinal 1; $(\%z\<\#z)$ **C** as contingency, ∇ , ordinal 2;
 $\sim(y < x)$ ($x \leq y$), ($x \subseteq y$); $(A=B)$ ($A \sim B$).
 Note for clarity, we usually distribute quantifiers onto each designated variable.

From: Bell, J.L. (2016). 5. Sublanguages of $L(\omega_1, \omega)$ and the Barwise compactness theorem. plato.stanford.edu/entries/logic-infinitary/#5. jbelle@uwo.ca

We say that L_A is a sublanguage of $L(\omega_1, \omega)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(ii.) \text{ if } \varphi, \psi \in L_A, \text{ then } \varphi \wedge \psi \in L_A \text{ and } \neg\varphi \in L_A \tag{5.ii.1}$$

$$((p\&s)\<q)\>((p\&(s\<q))\&(\sim p\<q)) ; \tag{5.ii.2}$$

TTTT TTTT T**F**TT T**F**TT

Remark 5.ii.2: Eq. 5.ii.1 could be interpreted and rendered in part as $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \in L_A$ for

$$((p\&s)\<q)\>(((p\&s)\<q)\&(\sim p\<q)) ; \tag{5.ii.3}$$

TTTT TTTT T**F**TT T**F**TT

Eq. 5.ii.2 (with 5.ii.3 as rendered) is *not* tautologous. The purpose of Eq. 5.ii.1 was in the first place to support a proof of the Barwise compactness theorem, herewith refuted by extension.