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Abstract

Ensuing from first principles, I suggest pre-geometric theory of spacetime [1], in which the apex of light cone ‘here and now’ is not modeled with dimensionless point [2], but with non-trivial mathematical object along null intervals, endowed with brand new structure, topology and dynamics (contrary to Robert Geroch [3]), and defined on so-called Zenon manifold [4].

The full manuscript is available upon request.

According to David Hilbert, an old French mathematician claimed that, if you suggest a new mathematical theory, it could not be considered complete until you have made it so clear that you can explain it to the first man whom you meet on the street. Let me try.

As told by Aristotle (Physics VI:9, 239b10), Zeno of Elea (490-430 BC) has formulated the famous dichotomy paradox: That which is in locomotion must arrive at the half-way stage before it arrives at the goal. In the drawing below, if we imagine B going back to A, then B will stop only at the ultimate limit B≡A, which denotes one single dimensionless point [2], and locomotion will be impossible. See Thompson’s lamp paradox and FI, pp. 15-16 in [1].

![Diagram showing the paradox of Zeno's dichotomy](image)
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The only possible solution to the paradox above is to endow every point in [AB] with structure, topology and dynamics from the Heraclitean flow of events (p. 11 in [1]): replace B≡A with the elementary step of time AB depicted with Fig. 2c at p. 14 in [4]. The speculations about spacetime continuum [5] and causality [3] are unacceptable, and I suggest a perfect continuum of spacetime points as 4D events called ‘atoms of geometry’ (Fig. 3 at p. 7 and p. 12 in [4]) defined on a brand new pre-geometric manifold, dubbed Zenon manifold. In one sentence, I introduce Heraclitean time (p. 11 in [1]) “inside” the geometric points AB (read above) to solve the problem of continuum [5]: all points from the number line (p. 39 in [1]) follow the Heraclitean time (Fig. 2c at p. 14 in [4]) without any gaps whatsoever, not even by Gedankenexperiment. Now let’s delve into details [8].

---

1 Email: dchakalov@gmail.com. No permanent address. Download the latest version (zenon.pdf) from this http URL.
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8. Notice that the back bone of Zenon manifold — the noumenal ‘monad without windows’ (read (iii) at p. 6 in [6]) — is not explicitly present in the drawing above. It is a brand new notion of ‘zero’ which, just like the “big bang”, does not belong to the physical (or rather physicalized) spacetime (p. 3 in [1]). We may think of it (not “Him”) as ‘Platonic Universe as ONE’ and suggest physical theology (pp. 29-30 in [1]) and spacetime engineering (pp. 1-3 and p. 13 in [4]; pp. 8-10 in [6]), and also correct many errors in present-day point-set topology, set theory, and number theory. The current mathematical presentation of the Continuum [5] is ‘not even wrong’: recall Zeno’s dichotomy paradox above and read Erwin Schrödinger from 1935 (p. 2 in [6]) and Werner Heisenberg from 1958. The intact quantum world is perfect continuum, interpreted as Platonic Res potentia (dubbed John). It is still the first off mystery in Quantum Mechanics, known since 1911, thanks to Charles Wilson.

The Continuum is about Time: the elementary step of Heraclitean time AB ‘here and now’ (read above) is just the interface between irreversible past and Platonic potential future (Fig. 3 at p. 7 and Fig. 2c at p. 14 in [4]). Think of AB as the ancient Ouroboros with two dual states (p. 3 in Penrose-Norris Diagram), or the god Janus looking simultaneously at the past and in the future. We need new Mathematics, not some “primordial standard clock” (Xingang Chen). For if some “oscillating” physical stuff behaves like “primordial clock” of the scale factor, it must be defined by another physical phenomenon, ad infinitum: Turtles all the way down. Which is why we need the Aristotelian Unmoved Mover endowed with self-action: Der Geist bewegt die Materie (Virgil, *The Aeneid*, VI, 727). Needless to say, the physicalized explications or “jackets” from the universal self-action cannot be traced to any physical “turtle” whatsoever, and many (otherwise smart) people decided to call them “dark” (p. 14 in [4]). But again, the self-acting John is Platonic reality “before” light, like Macavity. It has exactly zero chance to exist as physical reality (p. 17 in [1]).

As of today, nobody is interested. I keep exploring my “carrot” (p. 1 in [4]), it works like a charm, better than a Swiss watch — read the ‘yellow button’ story at p. 15 in [7]. Again, the full manuscript, entitled Zenon Manifold, is available upon request (Matthew 7:6).
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Addendum

The two-page manuscript above was submitted to arXiv.org on 24 Apr 2019 09:46:09 EST. One day later, on 25 April 2019, arXiv Moderation wrote: “Our moderators have determined that your submission is not of plausible interest for arXiv. As a result, we have removed your submission.”

Let me show just one example of Platonic theory of spacetime, which, according to arXiv Moderation, “is not of plausible interest for arXiv.” Recall the Catch 22 paradox from Escher’s drawing hands at p. 3 in [4] and the crux of “GW astronomy”, pp. 15-16 therein. Here’s my conclusion (p. 12 therein):

But there is no direct coupling of matter and geometry. Instead, matter is “coupled” to its atemporal (p. 3) Platonic state called Res potentia (John). The latter is being localized in the physical world (local mode of spacetime) as ‘geometry’, once-at-a-time. Thus, matter is acting on itself via its Platonic state (John) in the global mode of spacetime, thanks to which matter becomes gravitalized (Sic!) and acquires inertia due to the feedback (p. 11) from the entire Universe as ONE. In the same way the human brain is acting on itself. Only matter interacts with matter. Neither geometry (p. 4) nor parapsychological “ghosts” can.

There is no direct coupling of matter and geometry. As I explained previously (p. 3 in [6]),… the left-hand side contains an entirely different, neither quantum nor classical, animal. Many people consider “intuitively clear” to interpret this brand new object as ‘pure geometry’ (at the limit $B=A$, read above - D.C.), like the grin of the Cheshire cat without the cat (p. 15), but here’s the catch: “There is no spring or sink everywhere (emphasis mine - D.C.) in spacetime for matter (particles’ plus electromagnetic field’s) energy-momentum” (Zhaoyan Wu), which could be reserved exclusively for gravity, so that gravity could employ such “spring or sink” to interact with matter and fields, say, with a plastic bottle (p. 21) or with “a bead on a stick” (Richard Feynman). We face the same puzzle in the physics of the human brain: if the mind were able to interact with brain’s tissue, then the mind will be a bona fide physical field. But how could geometric things interact with matter?

Read the first excerpt above. Again, geometric things do not directly interact with matter. They just can’t. Matter is always localized in the irreversible past (Fig. 3 at p. 7 in [4]), whereas the gravitational energy is inherently non-localizable (MTW p. 467) and atemporal Platonic Res potentia (John) placed in the potential future, “just in the middle between possibility and reality” (Werner Heisenberg, p. 2 in [6]). According to GR textbooks, geometry acts on matter, telling it how to move (MTW p. 5), and hence the gravitalized matter becomes self-acting. Why? Because we cannot see ‘the grin of the Cheshire cat without the cat’. We can see only one of Escher’s drawing hands (p. 3 and p. 10 in [4]), placed in the right-hand side of Einstein’s field equations, and it cannot obey the law of energy conservation (Hermann Bondi). If it could, gravity will become physical field. Thus, we need brand new notion of Time (read above) to unify GR and QM (Charles Wilson).

But this brand new approach to quantum gravity “is not of plausible interest for arXiv”, said arXiv talebans.

So be it (Matthew 7:6).
Regarding quantum gravity and cosmology [8], look at the so-called evolution equation (Sec. 3 in CEN.pdf): at every consecutive instant AB ‘here and now’ (read above), the total energy of the physicalized universe is being nullified — once-at-a-time. The equation is still in symbolic form, pending the precise formulation of hyperimaginary numbers ($|w|^2 = 0$):

$$|w|^2 = |m|^2 + |m_i|^2$$

I also suggested spacetime engineering (pp. 1-3 and p. 13 in [4]; pp. 8-10 in [6]), with emphasis on particular type of natural healing known as Reiki. It is made of two Japanese words — Rei which means ‘Higher Power’ and Ki which denotes ‘life force energy’ (see ‘Platonic Universe as ONE’ [8] and pp. 11-12 in [6]). Reiki energy is not based on belief, faith, or suggestion. It cannot be guided by the practitioner, because it has its own innate wisdom to guide itself by its own self-action (read above): recall the Law of Reversed Effort (p. 9 and p. 38 in [1]). Everyone can master Reiki by learning (p. 43 therein), much like learning to juggle three balls in the air (p. 9 in [6]). All you need is one click away.

Happy Easter!

D. Chakalov
Easter 2019, 15:15 GMT
Questions and Answers

Q1. What do you mean by ‘Platonic Universe as ONE’ [8]?
A1: Firstly, the entire Universe as ‘ONE’ is not comprehensible with our cognition, because it is not relational: it includes, by definition, absolutely everything. The drawing below is totally misleading, because it shows some background dark area “outside” the universe, with respect to which (Sic!) we can think of ‘the boundary of the universe’. Secondly, the entire Universe as ‘ONE’ is atemporal Platonic object (read my email from 3 August 2018 at p. 26 in [1]), like the Platonic state of a photon “between” the time it is emitted and the time it is absorbed. In photon’s reference frame, it does not age nor move at all, being at null interval (Kevin Brown). Ditto to the Platonic Universe as ONE [8] depicted with the red vertical line in Fig. 2c at p. 14 in [4].

[Image of a diagram showing a view of the universe from space]

Please don’t hesitate to ask further questions.

D. Chakalov
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