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**Abstract**

Ensuing from first principles, I suggest pre-geometric theory of spacetime [1], in which the apex of *light cone* ‘here and now’ is not modeled with dimensionless point [2], but with non-trivial mathematical object along *null intervals*, endowed with brand new structure, topology and dynamics (contrary to Robert Geroch [3]), and defined on so-called Zenon manifold [4].

The full manuscript is available upon request.

According to David Hilbert, an old French mathematician claimed that, if you suggest a new mathematical theory, it could not be considered complete until you have made it so clear that you can explain it to the first man whom you meet on the street. Let me try.

As told by Aristotle (*Physics* VI:9, 239b10), Zeno of Elea (490-430 BC) has formulated the famous *dichotomy paradox*: That which is in locomotion must arrive at the half-way stage before it arrives at the goal. In the drawing below, if we imagine B going back to A, then B will *stop only* at the ultimate limit B≡A, which denotes one single dimensionless point [2], and locomotion will be impossible. See Thompson’s lamp paradox and FI, pp. 15-16 in [1].
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The only possible solution to the paradox above is to endow *every* point in [AB] with structure, topology and dynamics from the Heraclitean *flow of events* (p. 11 in [1]): replace B≡A with the elementary step of time AB depicted with Fig. 2c at p. 14 in [4]. The speculations about spacetime continuum [5] and causality [3] are unacceptable, and I suggest a *perfect* continuum of spacetime points as 4D events called ‘atoms of geometry’ (Fig. 3 at p. 7 and p. 12 in [4]) defined on a brand new *pre-geometric manifold*, dubbed *Zenon manifold*. In one sentence, I introduce Heraclitean time (p. 11 in [1]) “inside” the geometric points AB (read above) to solve the problem of continuum [5]: all points from the number line (p. 39 in [1]) *follow* the Heraclitean time (Fig. 2c at p. 14 in [4]) without any gaps whatsoever, not even by Gedankenexperiment. Now let’s delve into details [8].

¹ Email: dchakalov@gmail.com. No permanent address. Download the latest version (zenon.pdf) from this http URL.
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8. Notice that the back bone of Zenon manifold — the noumenal ‘monad without windows’ (read (iii) at p. 6 in [6]) — is not explicitly present in the drawing above. It is a brand new notion of ‘zero’ which, just like the “big bang”, does not belong to the physical (or rather physicalized) spacetime (p. 3 in [1]). We may think of it (not “Him”) as ‘Platonic Universe as ONE’ and suggest physical theology (pp. 29-30 in [1]) and spacetime engineering (pp. 1-3 and p. 13 in [4]; pp. 8-10 in [6]), and also correct many errors in present-day point-set topology, set theory, and number theory. The current mathematical presentation of the Continuum [5] is ‘not even wrong’: recall Zeno’s dichotomy paradox above and read Erwin Schrödinger from 1935 (p. 2 in [6]) and Werner Heisenberg from 1958. The intact quantum world is perfect continuum, interpreted as Platonic Res potentia (dubbed John). It is still the first off mystery in Quantum Mechanics, known since 1911, thanks to Charles Wilson.

The Continuum is about Time: the elementary step of Heraclitean time AB ‘here and now’ (read above) is just the interface between irreversible past and Platonic potential future (Fig. 3 at p. 7 and Fig. 2c at p. 14 in [4]). Think of AB as the ancient Ouroboros with two dual states (p. 3 in Penrose-Norris Diagram), or the god Janus looking simultaneously at the past and in the future. We need new Mathematics, not some “primordial standard clock” (Xiangang Chen). For if some “oscillating” physical stuff behaves like “primordial clock” of the scale factor, it must be defined by another physical phenomenon, ad infinitum: Turtles all the way down. Which is why we need the Aristotelian Unmoved Mover endowed with self-action: Der Geist bewegt die Materie (Virgil, *The Aeneid*, VI, 727). Needless to say, the physicalized explications or “jackets” from the universal self-action cannot be traced to any physical “turtle” whatsoever, and many (otherwise smart) people decided to call them “dark” (p. 14 in [4]). But again, the self-acting John is Platonic reality “before” light, like Macavity. It has exactly zero chance to exist as physical reality (p. 17 in [1]).

As of today, nobody is interested. I keep exploring my “carrot” (p. 1 in [4]), it works like a charm, better than a Swiss watch — read the ‘yellow button’ story at p. 15 in [7]. Again, the full manuscript, entitled Zenon Manifold, is available upon request (Matthew 7:6).
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Addendum

The two-page manuscript above was submitted to arXiv.org on 24 Apr 2019 09:46:09 EST. One day later, on 25 April 2019, arXiv Moderation wrote: “Our moderators have determined that your submission is not of plausible interest for arXiv. As a result, we have removed your submission.”

Let me show just one example of Platonic theory of spacetime, which, according to arXiv Moderation, “is not of plausible interest for arXiv.” Recall the Catch 22 paradox from Escher’s drawing hands at p. 3 in [4] and the crux of “GW astronomy”, pp. 15-16 therein. Here’s my conclusion (p. 12 therein):

But there is no direct coupling of matter and geometry. Instead, matter is “coupled” to its atemporal (p. 3) Platonic state called Res potentia (John). The latter is being localized in the physical world (local mode of spacetime) as ‘geometry’, once-at-a-time. Thus, matter is acting on itself via its Platonic state (John) in the global mode of spacetime, thanks to which matter becomes gravitationalized (Sic!) and acquires inertia due to the feedback (p. 11) from the entire Universe as ONE. In the same way the human brain is acting on itself. Only matter interacts with matter. Neither geometry (p. 4) nor parapsychological “ghosts” can.

There is no direct coupling of matter and geometry. As I explained previously (p. 3 in [6]),

... the left-hand side contains an entirely different, neither quantum nor classical, animal. Many people consider “intuitively clear” to interpret this brand new object as ‘pure geometry’, like the grin of the Cheshire cat without the cat (p. 15), but here’s the catch: “There is no spring or sink everywhere (emphasis mine - D.C.) in spacetime for matter (particles’ plus electromagnetic field’s) energy-momentum” (Zhaoyan Wu), which could be reserved exclusively for gravity, so that gravity could employ such “spring or sink” to interact with matter and fields, say, with a plastic bottle (p. 21) or with “a bead on a stick” (Richard Feynman). We face the same puzzle in the physics of the human brain: if the mind were able to interact with brain’s tissue, then the mind will be a bona fide physical field. But how could geometric things interact with matter?

Read the first excerpt above. Again, geometric things do not directly interact with matter. They just can’t. But this fact “is not of plausible interest for arXiv”, said arXiv talebans.

So be it (Matthew 7:6).
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