Besides and together with a study of the social sciences and philosophy, this author’s intuitive confidence in this hermeneutic design insights that underlies the arguments and discourse, is inspired from ‘an intimate and spontaneous idiosyncratic philosophical exercise (praxis) in the quest for the essence of meaning’, a ‘craft’ that has been nurtured continuously for nearly 25 years now (without conscious planning at the beginning nor at any time thereafter) since his discovery of ‘philosophical questioning and discourse’ at high school. An exercise that mirrors the intimate idiosyncratic exercise/praxis allowing an artist like a musician to grasp and develop memes that latter down the years enable the artist to be more or less ‘consummate with respect to the personal orientation they give to their arts’. Central to all such idiosyncratic processes is a continuous idiosyncratic memetic refinement over time of rough-cuttings, internal coherences, insights, inspirations, intuitive validations, constraining, sense-of-failing, sense-of-succeeding, confidence, mental inflections and mental projections; of course as per ability and ultimate pertinence with respect to intrinsic reality!
An Intimate Insight on Psychopathy and a Novel Hermeneutic Psychological Science

Abstract

This paper is rather a profound hermeneutic enunciation putting into question our present understanding of psychopathy. It further articulates, in complement, a novel theoretical and methodological conceptualisation for a hermeneutic psychological science. Methodology-wise, it puts into question a traditional more or less categorical and mechanical approach to the social and behavioural sciences as it strives to introduce a creative and insightful approach for the articulation of ideas. It rather seeks to construe the scientific method as being more about falsifiability and validation but driven by a sense of creative understanding and insight of notions laid out as open-ended conceptualisations. Theory-wise, it sees continuity between anthropology and psychology as anthropopsychology behind an entropic construct of human psychology based on a recurrent re-institutionalisation mechanism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.
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Introduction

Quite possibly everything about this paper whether the authoring, the approach and the substance sparks of novelty bordering on the outlandish. Further, why not take a traditional categorical approach and clearly present scientific ideas the traditional way? It is a personal insight developed more than 20 years ago, and just when the author began his B.Sc. in Sociology and Anthropology; that a study of the social and behavioural should carry the philosophical and insightful at its very core above anything else given the inherent ephemeral nature of its subject matter. When I came across the term hermeneutics (and others like phenomenology), this author felt as a personal persuasion that that was the chart for the future of the social sciences. My vision in this regard is one of a social science that delves directly into the core of things and avoids platitudes. To come back to the point of this abstract, this explains my apparently tattered approach. But tattered really? No, as the central insight of my articulation is that the scientific method is a validation and falsifiability method, and not necessarily the creative method. The creative method as a hermeneutics isn’t supposed to roll down and stifle its very expressiveness, and at the same time it should be articulated in such a way that an exercise of falsifiability, validation and open-ended questioning can be undertaken over it. Such a hermeneutic science calls for a mutual sense of such a hermeneutics by both the author and would-be critic. I hopefully believe the way I have articulated ideas should be able to allow for such an examination. My hermeneutic inspiration in this regard can be analogised with musical creation and music theory. The latter is there to
ensure the appropriate articulation of rules but is not really the drive of musical creation, as musical creation is rather the musician’s hermeneutical insight of how to go about creating music while adhering to music theory, such that any such music is analysable/critiqued by the way it credibly adheres to music theory, and actually in exceptional cases further develop music theory. A second point that makes this method ideal is that the apparent enunciation of this paper (an outright call for a reinvention of the state of the art regarding our understanding of psychopathy and the underlying psychology science); is that it is doubtful such an articulation can be credibly presented in simple categorical terms, without rather utilising an entropic hermeneutic-referential approach based on an open-endedness for falsifiability and validation in future elaboration and development of ideas. Further, I thought it more critical (wary of platitudinising the occasion) that the purity of ideas expressed herein shouldn’t be overly clouded particularly as the treatment of this paper is largely in substance virgin territory, as of the underlying conceptualisation referential drive (beyond just simplistic rhyming/speculative/interpreted categories of philosophical theories and concepts but rather as ‘a driven distinct comprehensively coherent/contiguous operant-level of insights articulation, and carrying implicative and applicative operant-level possibilities going forward’; more like a song is a coherent referential whole beyond just naïve categories of disjointing/disparateness/disentailing percussions-and-tunes-more-or-less-similar-to-those-of-the-song construed as constituting the song.) As a matter of fact, I would rather I wrote another paper talking about influences for such an articulation for this paper going by my hermeneutic design insights. Moreover, going by the very nature of how humans develop new ideas; while many, if not most, of my arguments may be more or less ‘plainly intelligible’, I equally thought it important to articulate ideas I hold in deep conviction and further as many such ideas come with their requisite precise convoluted qualifications even if such ideas might not be quite intelligible from a plain and simple reading, with the notion that
such a requisite insight will be forthcoming in future critique as the very nature of the introduction of new ways of thinking often mean their unintelligibility at first (equally explains my repeating of many terms for ‘habituation’), but then it is not the pertinence of reality that compromises it is the impertinence of human certitudes that does!

In the bigger scheme of things, it is herein contended that human social and institutional progress and development is not structurally/paradigmatically contiguous as to the very inherent nature of any given institutionalised framework as all such frameworks arrive at apathetic threshold as these rather develop into denaturing <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology} stifling prospective possibilities, thus requiring prospective fundamental reconception. While such prospective re-projection/re-anticipation recognises prior human cumulated knowledge as enabling institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure right up to the present, it also recognises at a certain point the ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge predisposition and its developed temporal institutional self-serving predisposition’ becomes critically a drawback for the possibility of knowledge-reification of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, as the dimensionality-of-sUBLIMATING—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation behind the ‘inventing’/’creation’ of prior knowledge fades into seconndnatured mechanical dispositions requiring the renewal of dimensionality-of-sUBLIMATING—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation prospectively. At which point, the more decisive issue is recognising and assuming the reality of a fundamental apriorising/axiomatic/referencing intellectual break/schism/estrangement with such ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge predisposition and its developed temporal institutional self-serving predisposition’, as so-implied across sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing between non-universalising sophisty and prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation as well as in the case of medieval-pedantic dogmatism and prospective budding-positivism, and it is herein contended likewise with regards to our modern day intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (associated with a predisposition for disparateness-of-conceptualisation<-unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-
dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-
turned around to falsely imply progress occurs anyway to then paradoxically imply surreptitiously there shouldn’t be any prospective human originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in resolving prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint), is the issue of the fundamental lack of dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as ‘knowledge becomes increasingly mechanical’ and is rather a secondary and derivational tool for temporal self-serving posturing and is poorly perceived as worthy in of itself but for the imprimaturing so projected and the perceived temporal social-value arising with such imprimaturing and as it is increasingly associated with generalised incuriosity in genuine intellectual development and the substituting of mere imprimatur totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought over genuine knowledge-reification as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness. This has developed in our present age of intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) into the absurdity/ridiculousness of pop-intellectualism substituting for genuine and reifying thought, as to the relentless expansion of our modern merchandising mentality to which nothing resists; and paradoxically, such a disposition hangs onto the ‘dereified as-deficient-reflexivity of our <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) it then sophistically usurp in its teleological-degradation rather than teleologically-elevating it
out of its <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>); with media-driven imprimaturing increasingly usurping the role of genuine academic standard production and ultimate validity hanging on the mere imprimatur. As what becomes critical in such a context is no longer prospective knowledge-reification as the primary and essential constraining worth but rather obsession with mere sway and influence even to the point of undermining prospective knowledge-reification as supposed intellection is increasingly infused with obfuscations, falsehoods and subterfuges (as to the fact that misrepresentations and pretences to misunderstand are rather conveniently given as of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction and hardly reflecting a discernment about the possibility for advancing human progress) that apparently render human-subpotency/mortality bigger than existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness/immortality. But then human intellection across all ages and times come to an end not because of inherently right or inherently wrong ideas per se (as the very basic genuine striving for intellectual progress is what is critically decisive as that exercise ensures that down-the-line correct and reifying ideas will arise anyway), but critically when deliberate deception-and-induced-deception-as-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity becomes more important than an aspiration for genuine intellection as an open-ended activity providing the possibility for human knowledge and reflexive empowerment from that knowledge. At which point, it is wrong for ‘genuine intellection’ not to recognise what is going on as to imply that it is veridically in dialogical-equivalence with such deception-and-induced-deception-as-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (whether or not, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought>) as this only leads to a destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> habituation and enculturation/endemisation of such deception-and-induced-deception-as-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity rendering the supposedly empowering activity of knowledge-reification impotent as in many ways such denatured intellection openly claims as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> inclinations that poorly appreciate existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic- digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflededness implications of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. In many ways this intellectual falsehood (so-construed by this author as to the implausibility of genuine lack of understanding as from a serious intellectual engagement but rather a ‘strategic/calculated behaviour of mere power even against genuine knowledge’ which this author intimately construes as a ‘decadent and dangerous conception of knowledge’ that is effectively destructive of prospective human knowledge reifying and empowering possibilities) is at the ‘root source’ for surreptitiously ensuring that the public debate fails and thus leading to public policy defaulting into vested postures and interests especially so when such an intellectual teleological-decadence<--in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflededness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation> whether by mystifications-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity-that-are-vague-and-imprimatur-driven, misinterpretation-of-statistics-totalising-entailing-implications, denial-of-relativism–thus-foiling/undermining-relative-ontological-completeness-implications/conclusions/projections-of-prospective-knowledge-reification-in-
a-dumbing-down-posturing-that-implies-that-the-present-is-unchangeable-as-of-presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, etymological-flouting-as-of-mere-conceptual-patterning-and-mere-stigmatising-of-competing-theories-and-concepts-on-the-naivity-that-such-stigmatising-representation-will-undermine/override-their-analysable-ontological-veracity and an-approach-as-of-the-ordinary-egotistic-perspective-in-existential-extraction-that-absolutises-the-present-that-is-passed-as-knowledge-reification all undermining informed insight and the requisite human intellectual and emotional sacrifice for genuine knowledge-reification and prospective progress involving the authentic self and social transformation rather than ‘gimmicks instilling a merchandising mentality of ideas’. This then provides paradoxically the underlying meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for upholding the status quo and inducing in many ways the impotence of the social sciences in thoroughly addressing human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of society that ultimately have serious structural/paradigmatic consequences associated with institutional failures (which such intellectualism is hardly inclined to address). Critically, such a ‘self-contented intellectualism’ increasingly focuses not on knowledge-reification as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness or the critical analysis of such knowledge-reification but in the face of criticism rather consciously substitutes strategies of institutional ascendency as of a strategy of influence by default imprimatur status rather than genuine knowledge-reification pertinence. It will be as naïve as implying the validity of a common basis for doing arithmetic where an interlocutor insists on 2 + 2 as 5 but when appropriately explained the veridical assumptions of arithmetic goes on to insist 3 + 3 as 7, speaking not of a fundamental problem of arithmetic operation as of dialogical-equivalence but a fundamental question of ontological-bad-
faith/inauthenticity on the naïve mental reflex that anyway dialogical-equivalence is ever always assumed to then adopt an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing attitude of abusing the notion of dialogical-equivalence as to wrongly implied logical-dueness. Faced with such an orientation the genuine intellectual reaction is to engage it upfront as of an inclination ‘not just to evaluate logical coherence as of correctness or incorrectness or any other evaluation in-between on the basis of ontological-good-faith/authenticity’, but beforehand ‘to equally evaluate the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-⟨in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought⟩) as of underlying existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidation/deblurring as well as whether the veracity of such apriorising/axiomatising/referencing can be established as being of relative-ontological-completeness implications as construed necessary herein and overriding naïve apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of presencing–absolutising-identitive-constitutedness in relative-ontological-incompleteness (that seem to undermine the absolute a priori of existence and imply that when existence doesn’t fit/digresses-from its conceptual-moulds then existence must have an inherent issue strangely enough as to be ignored/overcome by the stubborn/dogged/political upholding of such defective conceptual-moulds over inherent knowledge-reification implications as of existential-reality)’. We can appreciate that while many a subject-matter will often seem to imply that dialogical-equivalence is just assumed ‘as to the fact of merely engaging as of logical coherence without questioning the underlying ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality in ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, the fact is this is rather the consequence of their universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,as-to-entailing-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩
of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework rendering the possibility of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity directly ridiculous as in the natural sciences given its direct universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness} subject to prediction, such that we can hardly contemplate of an interlocutor insisting to imply that gravity on earth is \(7 \text{ m/s}^2\) to ensure that calculations conform to its expectations for one interest or another; but the reality of that universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness} as preempting such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity inclinations is not so directly obvious in many a social domain-of-study and that blurred possibility effectively elicits circumstances of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-{unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’} not only as of wrong ontological-conception out of good-intent (failing ‘technical ontological-good-faith/authenticity’ as of its ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective conceptualisation) but equally as of outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (in spirit). This idea is essential in the thought of many such postmodern thinkers as Derrida and Foucault given the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity as herein construed as reflecting human constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-{including-virtue-as-ontology} and destructuring-threshold-{uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality}—of-ontological-performance-{including-virtue-as-ontology}. The fact is knowledge-reification is of ‘existential <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construal for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}’ and nothing can be construed in
totalisingly—disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought whether as of ignoring or on the other hand exaggerating, and just as we can fathom that we don’t have the choice to fiddle with even a single number or operation without a mathematical equation going wrong as of its existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic—conflicatedness over our human-subpotency motives, the same actually do apply in all knowledge-reification and claims of subject-matter specificities (wrongly implying their subontological nature) ‘rather speak of the difficulty with respect to human emotional-involvement and associated lack of rigour relative to knowledge-reification in addressing human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’, but not inherent constraining existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic—conflicatedness dissimilarity of subject-matters. Just as there is no magical arithmetic or physics to resolve such a more fundamental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing situation involving ‘abusing the assuming of dialogical—equivalence’, it is wrong and foolhardy not to bluntly recognise this reality in the social domain as to the possibility of then achieving prospective transcendence—and—sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de—mentativity as to existence-potency,—disclosed—from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—
<amplituding/formative>epistemic—totalising—renewing—realisation/re—perception/re—thought,—in—supererogatory—epistemic—conflicatedness implications. The fact is the ‘a priori or axiomatic conception’ is effectively what precedes and validates logic as of elaboration—as—mere—extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring—of—elucidation—outside—existential—contextualising—contiguity, however there is no logical—basis for the ‘a priori or axiomatic
conception’ but for ‘its ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construal as of existence’ as can thereof be validated as of strong prediction arising as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation establishing its universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness}) (and so given the fact of human \langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{thrownness-in-existence,-imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness-(as-to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-‘\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation’), speaking to the fact that logic is rather the inner working coherence/contiguity of any human apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construct); and thus the ‘a priori or axiomatic conception’ is rather about ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ as to mere ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-\langle\text{postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism}\rangle’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-\langle\text{preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism}\rangle’ so-underlining existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. However, the universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness}) generated in domains like mathematics and many a natural sciences is so efficient (as of the underlying positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought achieved ‘universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-
entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism so-reflected as our present positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism’ first induced by budding-positivists like Copernicus, Galileo, Descartes, Newton, Leibniz, etc.) that in many ways mathematicians ‘don’t go on to be thinking about the soundness of axioms once these are construed as of existence’ for instance with the axioms-of-addition, but this doesn’t mean that the idea of unsoundness of ‘a priori or axiomatic conception’ (as to invalidate dialogical-equivalence) doesn’t exist especially so when it comes to blurred domains not only in the social sciences but sometimes in the natural sciences as well where lack of universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) arises such that there is nothing that transparently renders someone ridiculous from fiddling around ‘wrongly implying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence’ not only out of good-intent or ontological-good-faith/authenticity but ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as well. (In this regards, the idea of ‘putting in question dialogical-equivalence by not merely engaging for logical coherence but equally putting into question the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology pretense of being as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ is effectively central to all prospective institutionalisations in relative-ontological-completeness as reflected with the Socratic philosophers putting in question the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of non-universalising sophists specifically with Socrates during his trial as to his highlighting of the inconsistencies of his accusers sophistic non-universalising apriorising arguments priorly for the notion of a mutual logical coherent engagement to arise in the very first place with Socrates rather purporting that such a
possibility of mutual logical coherent engagement could only arise on the basis of his universalising apriorising arguments as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and budding-positivists equally putting into question the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of non-positivising/non-rational-empiricists medieval-scholasticism pedants specifically as with Galileo’s implicit dismissal of any such pretence of logical coherence engagement in the face of what he could see positively through the telescope with respect to the ‘imaginary pedantic machinations’ of his interlocutors and so as to the prospective positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation; as in fact the very notion of prospective institutionalisation is one of renewing reference-of-thought— and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing prospectively as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, putting into question the <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness superseded/transcended). With such teleologically-decadent—as-in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation spirit of intellectualism, it can difficultly be fathomed how such a ground-breaking event as the appearance of Einsteinian physics in early 20th century prompting great excitement and curiosity among physicists recasting the contributions of prior physicists, and then eliciting the work of many other physicists and mathematicians in the subsequent decades leading in-
between to the superseding of Einsteinian physics with Bohrian physics and then Feynmanian physics, etc. as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
\textit{amplituding}/formative\textit{epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness constraining, can be contemplated as of such a rather impoverished conception of genuine intellec...
conflicatedness/immortality purposes, as so-reflected in the supposed intellection values conferred in many a press operation with such vague catchphrases as ‘the-greatest/most-influential thinker of our times’ as of mere influence peddling and poorly advancing the inherent importance of prospective knowledge-reification as addressing the human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of our prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, notwithstanding the sometimes crude and unsavoury social discomfort implications in this respect. Thus in many ways such an orientation is unsettling to upcoming/future young thinkers as to what can be of profound intellection value with respect to opting for a profound intellectual commitment for prospective knowledge-reification rather than just strategies of socially perceived intellectual success within deified temporal/mortal existential frameworks; especially in the underhanded institutional presence of such avowedly teleologically-decadent–as-in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflictedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation mantras like theories die with the passing of their authors as so-implied with regards to many a postmodern scholar, wherein such highbrowing has been surreptitiously inclined to put-up their temporalities/mortalities (notwithstanding that knowledge is as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflictedness consequences accruing to the entire humankind) to institutionally and socially undermine prospective knowledge-reification with stooges/foils muddying the ontological-veracity of genuine thought as of its true human emancipatory implications, as they ‘sneak-in and sneak-out about knowing and not knowing’
in a distorted conception of intellectualism as a Machiavellian/political exercise rather than the requisite magnanimity of engagement for a genuine knowledge-reification exercise! Actually, the projection of values including intellectual values in such epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag are often prospectively deficient, given the fact that notions of value are only as pertinent as of their transvaluation implications in relative-ontological-completeness since the very same conception of value when construed on the basis of relative-ontological-incompleteness may actually be associated with vices-and-impediments, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existent-unthought> (given that virtue is rather as of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as to transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity and not the vagueness of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness-<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) in human-subpotency social-aggregation-enabling). We can grasp in this respect that the value conception as from the non-universalising sophistry perspective had construed as decadent the prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation just as did medieval-pedantic dogmatism of budding-positivists like Galileo and Descartes; as in many ways prospective knowledge-reification requires that we supersede our emotional-involvement starting with the very intellection striving for such prospective knowledge-reification. (In any case, ultimately the reality of human knowledge-reification involves ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-
and-teleology’, and so in transvaluation; as for instance, it can hardly be imagined that the reference-of-thought of the non-positivism/medievalism mindset as of its structural/paradigmatic ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology is apt as of its supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to grasp our modern day conception of say physics given its ‘valuation framework as of its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ that needs to be transvaluated into a positivism mindset, and it can fairly be contended that prospective issues of knowledge-reification in modern day physics having to do with theory-of-everything conception arise because of our inappropriately apt supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of an occlusive-consciousness reference-of-thought requiring prospective deprocrypticism reference-of-thought structural/paradigmatic ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of a protensive-consciousness (out of a full insight about causality as from the epistemic ‘relative-ontological-completeness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in conflatedness’ herein implied as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework involving a ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as implied prospectively in ‘construing of both the right apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination and thus the knowledge for that right mindset-as-
of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination’), and we can better understand as such why underlying confliction arises with all registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendences because these involve human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint transvaluation as putting in question the old valuation, and in this regards the transcendental/transvaluating conception is universally existential and cannot be just about the physical world without social world implications and vice-versa as so-underlined with the fact that both are for-human-studies/for-human-constructs by the underlying fact that these are the very same human-subpotency implications as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>; as inevitably the apparently innocuous Copernican, Galilean, Cartesian, Newtonian, etc. conception of the material world in superseding the human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of ‘traditional mythological/supernatural conceptualisation of material world/things as of the universalising but non-positivism–medievalism preclusive-consciousness’ have constructive implications about corresponding requisite prospective social-values in superseding the human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of ‘traditional mythological/supernatural conceptualisation of the social-construct as of the universalising but non-positivism–medievalism preclusive-consciousness’, and the possibility for the further advancement of such material sciences arises from the effectively enabling social-values like freedom-of-speech, opened communication, etc. availing as of the transcending positivism/rational-empiricism occlusive-consciousness. Likewise, it is herein contended that the future possibility for the natural sciences advancement is inseparable from the possibility of social and social-organisational as of prospective human aporeticism
transvaluation as to the prospective deprocripticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought protensive-consciousness induced Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and so over our present procripticism or disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought occlusive-consciousness, and in effect this conjoint-epistemic-relationship-and-fate in the conceptualisation of the material and social world is even confirmed today as with the social and social-organisational framework that underlied and was necessary for most of the scientific and technological advances after the second-world war).

temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-ordementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) implying an ontological-performance—\(<\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}>\) that is rather constrained on the prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation ‘despite the implications as from budding/nascent insights of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications—\(<\text{as-to-existence-potency},-\text{disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of}\)>\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\)epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness—\(<\text{as-to-the-ontological-normaley/postconvergence-projective-perspective,}-\text{to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence}>\)’ for the need for prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation, to which the Self absconds (in \(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\)epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as to limited-mentation-capacity implications) until the perceived induced notional—positive-opportunism from any such prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation elicits the requisite human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\)epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (involving prospective knowledge-reification and/or deferential-formalisation-transference) for prospective secondnatured institutionalisation as of renewed prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. Furthermore, besides the conceptualisation articulated herein, what vindicates this idea of apriorising/axiomatic/referencing intellectual break/schism/estrangement is effectively that the possibility for prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology is associated with a renewed framework of ontologisation/ontological-
veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology which is in ‘affirmation/projection by its underlying supererogatory-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument’ to the superseded framework of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as unaffirmed/deprojected; as to the possibility of the recovery of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation prospectively, disentangled from ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge predisposition and its developed temporal institutional self-serving predisposition’. And finally, after many years of formative contemplation this author is rather dedicated to writing henceforth even if read skimmed just by a handful or fortuitously or never-but-potentially, whatever cometh, hopefully over the next half a century, and thinks any human who genuinely feels strongly about the need for profound human thought should be able to do likewise, as ultimate responsibility and choice notionally lies with the individual.
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why the different registry-worldviews/dimensions are seemingly preconverging—or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism with respect to one another (from the prospective perspectives)....2088

human ontological transcendental possibilities arise from human individuations that correspond to the appropriate ‘intemporal-projecting existential becoming’ allowing for such ontological possibilities, and the latter is made possible by the ‘so-renewed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as to renewed logical-basis/logic<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>’ ........................................................................................................................................2090

our psychological nature is actually about mental-devising-representation which is meant to serve notionally the pertinent of supposed ontological articulations with respect to intrinsic reality, and it doesn’t has any end to itself but for such dialectical readjustments to ontological-veridicality2095
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analysing perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of a different nature in a superseded registry-worldview/dimension like non-positivism/medievalism registry-
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De-mention-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentioning-or-dialectical—de-mentionation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as such redefines psychology as a postdictatory science (tying the mental-devising-representation process to the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality referencing/correction-tool).................................................. 2116
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what is ‘ontologically normal’ beyond the subjective conventioning of the psychology science (before even worrying about the abnormal)? ........................................................................................................ 2164
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knowledge-notionalisation is about ‘a deterministic and operant construct preserving intemporality/longness as ontology’ ........................................................................................................2179
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mentativity or is non-transcendable ........................................................................................................2196

‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-confledness-or-ontological-reprojecting points out that conventioning constructs like sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising do not supersede the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/intrinsic-veridicality, as may be naively advanced with circumventing/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought ........................................2197

we don’t have absolute mentation capacity and the most intemporal of our dispositions should take pride of place in defining our achievement motives whether as philosophies, causes, skillsets and talents in our value and valor aspirations...............................................................................................................2200

transcendental institutionalisation is basically an ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought’–as-confledness-or-ontological-reprojecting/intemporal-preservation preemptive conceptualisation.................................................................................................................................2202

articulating a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ which is ‘profoundly ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibilities for transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation of
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deprocrypticism.................................................................................................................. 2204
anthropopsychoogy as the anthropological-continuity as implied by intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation relation to reality as ontological-
normacy/postconvergence/precedingness points out that at registry-worldview/dimension-level
ontology as the transcending dimension is veridically an abject organicalism.......................... 2205
‘ontological-prime^{movers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ ................................. 2208
Entropy as defined (‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation re-
institutionalisation’) relates that the intemporal-preservation-institutionalisation entropy is the
preceding-and-defining reference for the hermeneutic-referencing of the ontological meaning of all
other associated conceptualisations and notions ........................................................................... 2218
‘referentialism’ which makes reference to the supersedingness/precedingness of the ‘intemporal
preservation institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy/contiguity’ before articulating concepts
and notions in referential and organic elucidation of the entropic construct .............................. 2218
‘Intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation’
with respect to uninstitutionalised-threshold ............................................................................ 2219
Logic as logical-congruence only arises where there is a mutual registry-worldview reference-of-
thought–categorical-impertitives/axioms/registry-teleology ..................................................... 2223
*the mind is actually a mental devising tool’ whose veracity/ontological-pertinence must be
validated by an abstractly veridical intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality.................................. 2223
contention is an ‘ontological-entrapment’ not about logical operation/processing/contention of the
‘non-veridical hollow perversions-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-a-priorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> narratives’ but rather
reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) it as
ontological-prime^{movers-totalitative-framework........................................................................ 2225
virtue (knowledge is virtue) is treated scientifically as highlighted above is that virtue is a ‘the-
Good/understanding/knowledge construct’ and not a ‘good-natured/impression construct’ .... 2226
each registry-worldview/dimension is only capable of the virtue reflected by its intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation ............................................. 2231
a defect of postilogism/psychopathy compelling—non-conviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
threshold-of-shallow-supercituation in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> operates on the ‘parasitising/co-opting’ basis
that intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation reference-of-
thought–categorical-impertitives/axioms/registry-teleology are mere formulaic determinants of
human thought and action ............................................................................................................ 2233
it is de-mentation{supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mention—
stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} that enables the mental-reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting
(reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with)-representation of the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
existential–defect> as perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in construing
unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought .............................................................. 2234
Reality being blunt/incisive as it is rather preceding/superseding and ontological-
normacy/postconvergence with respect to us, is in essence of potent operant and deterministic
phenomenality that doesn’t have any place for our thresholding discrete incrementalism-in-relative-
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ontological-incompleteness notions

‘traditionally 4 human mental projections/representations/dispositions’ associated with virtuous paradigmatic construct

Human mental development across time validate the notion that we have consistently been in a state of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose


two dilemma with respect to the conceptualisation of virtue

intemoral-preservation is a mementically/psychoanalytically evasive construct at uninstitutionalised-threshold, the pursuit of which is veridically the human species eudaemonic contemplation

‘human progress/transcendence happens as a matter of fact, with no registry-worldview/dimension

Stranding (of-perverting-temporal-dispositions-of-reference-of-thought) should be construed at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold (the threshold where the registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding—as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation)

There is no reason for de-mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation-or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) and recomposuring but for the fact that the internal coherence of a registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding—as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold

ontological construct ‘escalates’ specific/particular instances of phenomena (in this case psychopathy and social psychopathy phenomenon) into a universal conceptualisation which ‘knowledge principle conceptualisation’ then addresses (percolates into) the ‘infinity of related incidental phenomena and cases’

temporal-to-intemoral-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation before logical processing/operation

‘ontology is about working with what is/knowledge-driven, and not wishful-thinking/impression-driven’ to accede to intrinsic-reality transcendent-able/sublimating/supererogatory—de-mentativity as it enables ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework

it is critical to distinguish between a true philosophical development that arises by intemoral-disposition and an institutionalised development that is articulated to elicit ‘positive-opportunism’ in humans

the concepts of intemolarity/longness and temporality/shortness is more scientific than the impression notions of good and bad

The use of ‘human mental-dispositions/individuations’ as of temporal-to-intemoral-dispositions doesn’t mean ontologically that the analyst view is that some individuals are inherently/exclusively
By pedestal is meant the 'temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositional meaning' of whether the intemporal-disposition individuation-pedestal or the temporal-dispositions individuations-pedestals.

‘Unconscionability-drag’ (from an ontological/intemporal reference) refers to the comprehensive state of disambiguation of temporal-dispositions individuation-pedestals which are wrongly associated to the intemporal-disposition to be ontological as these conjugate/inflect/protract (in mimicking-protraction).

at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ human learned behaviour is primarily geared towards what is 'perceived as succeeding' .................................................................

‘unconscionability-drag’ carries the resolution for disambiguating reference-of-thought in the ontological social construction of meaning .................................................................

Unconscionability-drag (enabling ontological reference), by which the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversions teleologies of meaning is accounted for .........................

‘an ontological psychoanalytic/memetic-contiguity deconstruction across anthropology’ which the present treatment of psychology doesn’t recognise .................................................................

the transcendental requirement for a ‘habitation’ to a so-called ‘prospective intemporal and more veridical mental-devising-representation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is rather ‘unfathomable’ for the prior

<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—
of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) .................

For Deprocrypticism, ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-escalation/aetiology’ teleology: will involve identifying, defining, characterising, qualifying and articulating the aetiology of this individuation perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> dynamism..............

Conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding thus refers to the fact that in a ‘social construction of meaning’, intrinsic-reality by itself and in of itself (as may be grasped ontologically from superseding/transcendental categorical-imperatives preserving intemporal) is not necessarily the deterministic basis for human social adherence to it .................................................................

an exercise in institutionalisation/intemporalisation beyond just intemporal philosophical projection is needed for the social integration of any transcending veridicality paradigm.........................

‘conventioning’ is not wholly antipodal to ‘ontologising/intrinsic-veridicality’ as the latter prospective integration in the social-construct is through the former.........................

The application of the universal technique of human transcendency-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity to procrypticism-deprocrypticism transcendency-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity can be basically be articulated as follows (the ontological entrapment)...........................................................................

Institutionalisation and formalisation are based exactly on the fact that we don't have a universal intemporal/longness or the-good disposition, but rather according to the mediocrity principle of science we are solipsistically temporal-to-intemporal in our mental-disposition with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-conflict’ ..................................................

Solipsism means I exist alone (with respect to intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality)...........

By ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is meant that ‘intrinsic reality’ is one and given
mentation is that it is ‘weakly positive opportunistic’ to the cross
perpetuation of the entropy behind such a philosophical
define mental orientations or registry
Meaningfulness of temporal
flourishing, with higher teleologies there is ‘an even more critical passing of generational
‘a physical animal passing of specie generational succession’ for survival and optimising
socially
bigger issue is how do our development and institutionalisation/intemporalisation of true
existentialism/f
psychoanalytic ontological form (in full blossoming of the transcending dimension with its
registry
conceptualisation of meaningfulness
Memetism as to suprastructural meaningfulness
from just intellectualism as mere
the specificity of the would
be intellectualism involved in a transcendental construct, as different
from just intellectualism as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft
Memetism as to suprastructural meaningfulness-and-teleology will refer to the projective
categorisation conceptualisation of reality’s intrinsic
perspective) has no influence on reality’s intrinsic
– ontology), and that the flaws and corrections in how we go about representing ‘intrinsic reality’
(metaphysics or the human-centered temporal-perspective) has no influence on reality’s intrinsic
nature

how can meaningfulness-and-teleology be represented in ‘a prospective apriorising–registry state’
which is ontologically more real contrasted to ‘a present retrospective apriorising–registry’, as
meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘temporally seems’ to vary depending on the uninstitutionalised-threshold point-of-reference to imply at one moment it is intemporal and at another it is temporal?

Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation explains the dynamism of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure going by a recurrent emanance template

There is no such thing as ‘intemporal temporality’ as mental-dispositions ‘geared to accommodate temporality’ (as to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness) are doing nothing but providing the anchoring for the endemisation and enculturation of the vices-and-impediments associated with such temporal registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>

Technically, it can be said that the underlying psychopathic phenomenon known as postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-superoergation is associated with all the institutional-cumulation/institutional–recomposure by its eliciting of
‘protracted slantedness’ in temporal-dispositions

Distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought (mental-slantedness or decandoring-of-the-mind or denaturing, and not soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candor

the articulation of reality as referentially of ontological-normaly/postconvergence enables and allow creative projective-insights thought possibilities that the all too common ‘fixated traditional
categorisation conceptualisation of reality’ doesn’t allow

the specificity of the would-be intellectualism involved in a transcendental construct, as different
from just intellectualism as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft

Memetism as to suprastructural meaningfulness-and-teleology will refer to the projective
categorisation conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond and superseding an intradimensional registry-worldview abstraction scope to the scope of transdimensional/transcendental existential
psychoanalytic ontological form (in full blossoming of the transcending dimension with its
existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications personhoods-and-socialhood-formation)....

ontologically (i.e. ‘the-Good/understanding’ contrasted with ‘good-natured/impression-driven’) the bigger issue is how do our development and institutionalisation/intemporalisation of true
knowledge ‘save us from potent-temporality and its vices-and-impediments with respect to
‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-conflict’

The notion of higher teleologies as such is specific to the human species in holding that beyond just
‘a physical animal passing of specie generational succession’ for survival and optimising-specie-flourishing, with higher teleologies there is ‘an even more critical passing of generational
succession’ as memetic-skewing-or-reordering/philo-cultural optimising of possibilities......

Meaningfulness of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as to ‘existential idealism/success’ as these
define mental orientations or registry-worldview teleological-dispositions

the entropy behind such a philosophical-driven conceptualisation of human meaning and
responding psychoanalytic-unshackling

the perpetuation-of-deprocrysticism transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity is that it is ‘weakly positive opportunistic’ to the cross-section of the social construct
transcendence is more of a human-mention-capacity driven construct and its mundane recognition is not inherently by its supposed virtue.

Meaning (defined previously as what defines/predicates value, thought and action) all the vices-and-impediments of successive registry-worldviews can be directly ascribed as corresponding perversions-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of temporal-dispositions at the registry-worldviews uninstitutionalised-threshold.

‘knowledge-notionalisation’ or knowledge as a continuum from ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions to knowledge/intemporality.

preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism arises simply by a shift of reference-of-thought (in the strive for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation wherein the latter reference-of-thought as a registry-worldview/dimension is shown to be more intemporally-preservational).


why ontological-normalcy/postconvergence indicates that ‘good-naturedness constructs’ are defective is quite simple as it is based on adhering to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.

this insight in transcendental analysis is that by its very nature in that it puts into question ways, assumptions and traditions of thought and practices, the possibility of truly profound insights that go well beyond more or less platitudes and inevitably requires taking stock of the full-depth-of-existential-implications/existentialism of transcendent-meaningfulness–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument.

dialectical succession of registry-worldviews as the successive/snowballing institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations.

‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as meaning produced apparently with the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’


‘postlogic denaturing of temporal-dispositions individuations ontological-performance<including-virtue-as-ontology> as conjugated-postlogism’ is so-inherently linked with the registry-worldview uninstitutionalised-thresholds

proof of the Sartrean notion of ‘existence-preceding-essence’ or the Derridean notion of ‘there is nothing outside the text’


how individuals arrive at their various teleologies/finalities of the intemporal-disposition as

‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’..................................................................................... 2422

‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposing................................................................................................................................. 2423

at uninstitutionalised-threshold, we should be expecting nothing less than the ‘normal’ human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbeded-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor....................................................... 2426

distortion of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness from postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration leading to temporal-preservation-as-pseudoimmanence-preservation occurs at the three levels of contextualisation as individuation, intradimensional and transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisations............................................. 2433
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‘requisite specialness of the discipline of philosophy as a first-order ontology’ among all subject-matters (or-as-it-protrudes-into-subject-matters-or-second-order-ontologies), as the one that can least afford to be of normal trade

‘subtransversality-by-supratransversality technique of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguating-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’


Existence/existential-reality is thus a teleological-contiguity/oneness-of-teleology ‘with teleological-discretion being defined only by epistemic choice/differentiation’

decentering is what divulges all the uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness

the idea of pivoting/decentering extends to the notions of the ‘self’s own pivoting/decentering for understanding’

ontological-normalcy/postconvergence points out that paradoxically the transcendental mindset/reference-of-thought associated with a ‘knowledge construct of intrinsic-reality’ should priorly be established (‘centered’ over the prior meaningful-frame which is ‘decentered’) for the knowledge construct to take hold by the continuing ‘moulting’ of its proponents and corresponding social construct

the Social is much more than aggregativity (social-aggregation)

fundamental ‘paradox of post-structural deconstruction by its transcendental implications’
absolving/fleeting
/escaping-reflex-logic

accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay

supererogatory-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
for-relative-ontological-completeness of ‘the very same physics

epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’

with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

(epistemic-totalisingly—,as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) and is not involved with the latter as of any incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness, and the same elucidation extends to the overall human epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence as of the very-same-purview-of-construal-as-existence wherein our present positivism/rational-empiricism

epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism representation runs-through/deflates prior non-positivism/medievalism

epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism representation or wherein prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism representation will cut-through/deflate our ‘positivism—procrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self’

epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism representation; such that we can fathom that this hermeneutic elucidation by its ‘mere prompting of what is implied by deprocrypticism’

epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is rather ‘sparing to our positivism—procrypticism emotional-involvement for the sake of intellectual engagement’ as it ‘doesn’t directly project the true supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument as of prospective deprocrypticism construal’ relative to our ‘positivism—procrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self dereifying—gesturing perspective’, and this sparingness thus should not be naively construed to imply that we can engage as of epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity such deprocrypticism in prospective relative-ontological-completeness from our relative-ontological-incompleteness ‘positivism—procrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self perspective’ as if as of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism representation whereas in reality such perspectival existentialising—enframing/engagement is rather flawed—and-untenable as it is just a furtherance of positivism—procrypticism preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism representation warranting rather prospective psychoanalytic-unshacking/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the positivism—procrypticism mindset to effectively begin to contemplate and come to terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct with the

epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective deprocrypticism as a perspective that is prospectively-unenframed—
amplituding

supererogatory—de-mentative—amplituding—
<supererogatorily-stranding/attributing as of 'dialectical-thinking-as-soundness by dementing-as-unsoundness' as to transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—de-mentativity dynamics> and so-reflected as to conceivability/epistemic-reflexivity—
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—'effusing/ecstatic—inlining'—<so-educed-from—'(supererogatory—de-mentative—amplituding—
<mental-aestheticising-attuning/amplituding>)-interlay/organicalism',—
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising underlies (as of non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>
veridical epistemic-projection perspective) 'the structuring/paradigming implications of conceivability/epistemic-reflexivity to ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> 'so-reflected as to the
‘notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding referencing/registering/decisioning imbued shallow-supererogation—to—profound-supererogation' spanning human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>

asceticism

asceticism speaks of the disposition of value-ricochetting/transvaluation—
as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness
temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrastic—
and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of transvaluation for prospective relative-ontological-completeness constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> brings about prospective emancipatory/teleologically-elevated ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, pointing out that all values are as ontologically-pertinent as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness transvaluation implications as to the fact that for instance ‘supposed friendship/family/social/professional values’ leading to involvement in say a genocide (as of the insight exposed from such an extreme example undermining human predisposition for ‘a nihilistic <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-<imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) are effectively associated with vices-and-impediments as to existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, and thus pointing out that there are no true values without the prior conception of their transvaluation as of relative-ontological-completeness implications;\ the effective manifest ‘asceticism-as-of-parrhesiastic-askaesis-or-acumen transvaluation development’ (as enabling the superseding of human prior <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) can be contemplated as of reference-of-thought-level induced universalising-idealisation transvaluation as reflected with ‘Socrates principled ascetic stances associated with his maieutic eliciting of a basic sense of universalising-idealisation in his interlocutors even when bordering on the incongruous during his condemnation while upholding the ontological-pertinence of the incongruous universalising-idealisation over sophistic/pedantic apparently congruous non-universalising’ developing into ‘Plato’s perpetuating of the philosophical tradition with his Academy with a further phronesis/practicality emphasis in striving, as of the deferential-formalisation-transference implications underlying all true knowledge-constructs (as of the underlying Socrates maieutic exercise ‘inconclusiveness insight’ which is rather more critical in eliciting/instigating a sense of knowledge-reification and so-reflecting the reality that the ordinarness as <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-<imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) framework lacks the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-attain-sublimating-humanity-‘as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory—epistemic-confinedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-<imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications)) for profound knowledge-reification as of human limited-mentation-capacity commitment induced disinterest/indifference/apathy and thus 'veridical knowledge-reification is structured/paradigmed out-of-profoundly-developed-interest/concern/care-induced-institutionalising as of deferential-formalisation-transference for its requisite appropriate dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension'), to influence Dionysus I of Syracuse along the philosopher-king paradigm' and 'Aristotle's expansive approach to philosophical and knowledge inquiry along the universalising-idealisation paradigm, setting up the Lyceum together with the tutoring of Alexander the Great' along the same lines of reasoning as Plato, as well as latter post-Socratic philosophical perpetuation like the Stoics, Cynics, etc. and their institutional influence on Greek and Roman leadership and society;

this same asceticism ideal can be recounted with budding-positivists as of Galileo, Copernicus, Descartes, etc. ascetic stances even against the condemnation of their then present-day medieval establishment creating the possibility for later enlightenment scientific and social emancipatory thought (highlighting the incontrovertible necessity for asceticism as of its broader meaning as to human originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation to overcome the

amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of any prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation mere complexification, as so-implied with any given registry-worldview/dimension possibilities for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublation/supererogatory—de-mentativity)
human-subpotency registry-worldview/dimension
ent so construed as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is rather wrongly construed in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as superseding ecstatic-existence/intrinsic-reality at its prospective deestructuring-threshold—of-uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality—of-ontological-performance—prospective deprocrypticism with respect to prospective deporcrypticism, as this is exactly what explains the disparate-unconceptualisation—of-unforegrounding-disentailment,–failing-to-reflect—immanent-ontological-contiguity’—as—ought problem, and logical issues of elaboration-as-merely-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation—outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity: blurriness thus fundamentally speaks of a ‘closed-minded unilateral-conceptualisation-of-knowledge’ wherein the human Self is wrongly construed as of a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness reference for the conception of knowledge rather than reflecting ontological-veracity with an ‘open-minded bilateral-conceptualisation-of-knowledge’ wherein the human Self itself has to prospectively be developed/constructed-out-of-its-prior-shiftiness-of-the-Self in ‘epistemic-conflatedness construed as epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity construct’ (so-construed as projective-insights) to then be able to register the entailing implications of prospective knowledge (so-construed as predicative insights), in the sense that for instance without implying the need for psychoanalytic—unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposing as of prospective positivism construction-of-the-Self/self-consciousness a non-positivism mindset as animistic or as medieval in its non-positivism ‘closed-minded unilateral-conceptualisation-of-knowledge’ (thus lacking the positivistic projective-insights as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism) will only end up ‘complexifying the mechanical outcome of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of its non-positivism as animism or as medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’ as implied in an animistic God of plane type of articulation and this applies likewise with our positivism—procrypticism with respect to prospective deporcrypticism, as this is exactly what explains the disparate-unconceptualisation—of-unforegrounding-disentailment,–failing-to-reflect—immanent-ontological-contiguity’ of all registry-worldviews/dimensions as to the fact that successive registry-worldviews/dimensions involve successive renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of relative-ontological-completeness in reflection of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening.
(amplituding/formative) epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supero-ration) grasp of existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising -renewing realised/re-

perception/re-thought. -in-supero-ration – epistemic-confledness at their
destructuring-threshold. <uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating-

desublimating-decisionality> — of ontological-performance — includ-

ing-virtue-as-ontology>; | blurriness at the destructuring-threshold-

<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating — desublimating-

decisionality> — of ontological-performance — includ-

ing-virtue-as-ontology>; is what brings up the is—ought problem (which had hitherto

traditionally been wrongly framed rather in presencing — absolutising-

identitive-constitutedness terms as of elaboration-as-mere-

extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-

outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, because going by ecstatic-

existence as it reflects human historicity/ontological-

eventfullness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing becoming in existential-

contextualising-contiguity, human ‘ontological/knowledge uncertainty’

inherently implies human sovereign choices and options are then

necessarily of ‘ought indeterminacy’ as of prior relative-ontological-

incompleteness but prospective relative-ontological-completeness with

respect to prospective knowledge implications provides the

‘ontological/knowledge certainty’ to turn such prior ‘ought indeterminacy’

into ‘is determinacy’ whether this prospective ‘is determinacy’

transformation carries with it the given prospective knowledge

acceptance, rejection or any other qualified attribution associated with the

prior ‘ought indeterminacy’) given that the prior registry-

worldview/dimension reproducibility — mathesis/motif/thrownness-

disposition, — as — reproducibility-of-aestheticisation specific elaboration-as-

mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-

elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity reaches its ‘is
determinacy’ limits of analysis from whence its ‘ought indeterminacy’

arises at its destructuring-threshold. <uninstitutionalised-

threshold/presublimating — desublimating-decisionality> — of ontologi-

cal-performance — includ ing-virtue-as-ontology>, speaking of an issue of

relative-ontological-incompleteness that is only resolvable by the very fact

that prospective relative-ontological-completeness changes the prior

‘ought indeterminacy’ as of prior normativities/conventions/practices into

the prospective registry-worldview/dimension ontologically-veridical ‘is
determinacy’ as reflected in renewed normativities/conventions/practices

as to prospective institutionalisation, and in this regard we can appreciate

how medieval-scholasticism non-positivism reference-of-thought-level

pedantic dogmatism ‘ought indeterminacy’ emphasis gave way to the

positivism/rational-empiricism scientific cause-and-effect ‘is determinacy’

emphasis or how ancient sophists non-universalising ‘ought

indeterminacy’ gave way to the universalising-idealisation ‘is
determinacy’ of Socratic philosophers or how notions like cannibalism,

various practices of slavery and serfdom, etc. in human history as of

‘ought indeterminacy’ of their practices in relative-ontological-

incompleteness gave way to the present ‘is determinacy’ of their rejection
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<amplituding/formative> supererogatory—de—mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or—confalatedness/transvaluative—rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation), and finally blurriness is associated with sophistic/pedantic induced equivalence of teleologically—elevated knowledge—reifying meaningfulness—and—teleology and teleologically—degraded

<amplituding/formative> wooden-language—(imbued—averaging—of—thought—as—leveling/ressentiment/closed—construct—of—meaningfulness—which—teleology—as—of—nondescript/ignorable—void—with—regards—to—prospective-apriorising—implications) meaningfulness—and—teleology as of social—stake—contention—or—confliction perversed inclination; unblurriness as construed from the ontologically—veridical perspective of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (in reflection of

<amplituding/formative> epistemic—causality—as—to—projective—totalitative—implications, for—explicating—ontological—contiguity of relative—ontological—incompleteness/relative—ontological—completeness), highlights that there is a a human capacity of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument (so—construed as dimensionality—of—sublimating—


<amplituding/formative> epistemic—totalisingly,—as—to—existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation) in its becoming historiality/ontological—eventfulness/ontological—aesthetic—tracing wherein foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing—down—sublimation as to existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation in reflecting 'immanent—ontological—contiguity'),—as—operative—notional—deprocrypticism is more than just a question of arbitrary unification but rather is a structural/paradigmatic confiscation/selectiveness of the possibility of prospective relative—ontological—completeness ontological—veracity of meaningfulness—and—teleology that is reflexive of ecstatic—existence', and foregrounding—
conditions on mere ‘pedantic grounds of intellectual-entitlement to
disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment-
failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>’ and so as of the life
sciences need for existential-reality constraining ‘foregrounding—
entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-
notional–deprocrypticism
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstruments’ as so-reflected consistently in gene regulation ‘as of foregrounding—
entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-
notional–deprocrypticism structural/paradigmatic
confiscation/selectiveness of the possibility of the ontological-veracity of
biological hereditary meaningfulness-and-teleology’;¶ (the overall
implications of unblurriness reflected as from
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-
(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-
notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity<-as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
epistemic/notional–projective-perspective>’ is in highlighting that
eccentric-existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is of the
inherent ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity epistemic-
ricochetting/transepistemicity primacy and on this basis is all-
defining/deterministic in the construing of knowledge-reification as of
existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness’, and so as ecstatic-
existence is what can ‘validate-and-falsify the ontological-veracity of any
supposed ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ and as it
overrides any human secondary epistemic inclination that may wrongly be
of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, with the inherent
becoming of ecstatic-existence rather reflected in ontologically-veridical
‘knowledge-reification gesturing/process entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-
completeness epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity implications of
aetiologyisation/ontological-escalation’ and in so doing ‘abstractively-and-
systematically justifying the socially imbibed intellectual deferential-
formalisation-transference’ as to the fact that the knowledge-reification is
not of ‘mere imprimatur totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-
thought that fails to justify abstractively-and-systematically any such
entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity
implications of aetiologyisation/ontological-escalation’, and thus
‘superseding-and-resolving the epistemic aporeticism of prospective
knowledge-reification’ with regards to ‘determining intrinsic-
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligence/setup/measuring-instrument
ent—as-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology

circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability—as-reflected-from-conflating-perspective,—in-structural/paradigmatic-registry-worldview—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ’)


conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives

conflatedness or
conflation

conflatedness or effecting-wholeness-as-of-profundity-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology;¶ so-implied by
‘<amplifying/formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
epistemic conflating of conceptualisations with-and-as-of-the-precedence
of-existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—
as-of—<amplifying/formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness as—of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’, as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori—of-conceptualisation—
as it is effectively underscored by difference-conflatedness—as-totalitative-reification—in-singularisation—as-veridical-epistemic-determinism;¶
constitutedness is structurally/paradigmatically validated by the underlying reality of human limited-mentation-capacity (speaking of human epistemic-abnormality/preconvergence to the human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of that moment) thus in a state of relative-ontological-incompleteness in need for prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

<amplifying/formative> epistemic-totalisingly-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supерerogation) to achieve relative-ontological-completeness, and so as of the very-same-

<amplifying/formative> epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; and by that token as conflatedness aspires for relative epistemic-normalcy it becomes reflective of the ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-


constitutedness constitutedness or effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology; so-implied by ‘atomising epistemic constituting of conceptualisations as to falsely imply their existence-in-existence since existential-contextualising-contiguity-is-thus-inherently-not-construed-as-

<amplifying/formative> formative> epistemic-totalisingly-preceding-and-redefining’, as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism by such misconception in

<amplifying/formative> epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and logocentrism, failing to reflect the ecstatic singularity of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation—as constitutedness is rather falsely underscored by identifiable-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism; constitutedness is structurally/paradigmatically flawed given the underlying reality of human limited-mentation-capacity at any moment (speaking of human epistemic-abnormality/preconvergence with respect to the human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of that moment) such that constitutedness poorly construes of relative-ontological-completeness implications (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existent-unthought>) as it is in an underlying state of homelessness (as failing to grasp that homeliness as to the possibility of attaining originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> can only arise as human-subpotency pursues-and achieves relative epistemic-normalcy as of prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplitudizing/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to achieve relative-ontological-completeness) since the state of human limited-mentation-capacity implies that ‘human understanding has-ever-and-is-ever-always about attaining apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination conception of the-very-same—’<amplitudizing/formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as it strives to reflect as from relative epistemic-normalcy the ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplitudizing/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-confatedness, but then the constitutedness epistemic stance by wrongly implying its prior attainment of epistemic-normalcy from the state of limited-mentation-capacity is in effect wrongly projecting flawed absolutising/presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness thus veering-off from originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> as of the absolute a priori that is existence/the-very-same—‘<amplitudizing/formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as so-validated with causality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

de-mentation-
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) and 'the operative de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological—de-mentation-or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought-devolving' (as of reference-of-thought 'implied level of
\textless\text{amplitude/}formative\textgreater\text{ nondisjoining/nondisparate/notional—deprocrypticism' induced foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity'),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology as derivative axiomatic-constructs from overcoming/superseding human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint), and in both reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology frames as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\textless\text{amplitude/}formative\textgreater\text{ epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) grasp of ecstatic-existence—as—the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, and as of human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology in inducing 'both meaningfulness-and-teleology and its existentially incipient metaphoricity' (as to apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of conceptualisation), de-mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation-or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding—or-attributive-dialectics) is metaphorically—and-meaningfully reflected as the human mental-aestheticisation—architectonically-consigning—aestheticised-perceptibility-and-disposition that underlies 'supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as to postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-attribution and preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-attribution and then their mutually-reinforcing-attributive-possibilities,' for—

\textbf{denaturing} denaturing/usurping/arrogating/perverting-in-constitutedness

as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and speaks to the fact that human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplifying/formative>epistemic-
totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation) reflects an overall human existential 
foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to 
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)-as-
operative-notional—deprocrypticism wherein as to ‘the very same overall 
phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening-(<amplifying/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-
to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) variously attains differing ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> so-reflected as the successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought— and reference-of-thought-
developing—meaningfulness-and-teleology implying that human 
meaningfulness-and-teleology can be construed as ever always two-
faceted as to the facet of achieved sublimation-over-desublimation of 
meaningfulness-and-teleology as validated with predicative-effectivity— 
sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and on the other 
hand the facet of the existentially-withdrawn-(as ‘unaccounted-for’- 
leftover-or-residuality-or-spirit-of—meaningfulness-and-teleology-so-
constrained-as-metaphoricity,-informing-prospective-
supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness,-so-
reflected-and-compensated-with-the-notion-of-dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<amplifying/formative>supererogatory—de-
mentativness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—
equalisation) which is just as decisive for prospective human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplifying/formative>epistemic-
totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation) in the sense that ‘human intelligibility ever 
always projects of an underlying <amplifying/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought striving to 
grasp existence as it is signified-as-to-immanency (speaking of 
ontological-contiguity perspective of the unchanging immanency of 
existence as oneness-of-ontology as to the coherence underlying the very 
possibility for construing-and-reconstruing of intelligibility in existence)’ 
and this facet structurally/paradigmatically acts as the ‘prior requisite 
human experiential framework to be challenged-disproved-invalidated’ 
which surpassing enables further sublimation-over-desublimation of 
meaningfulness-and-teleology as validated with predicative-effectivity— 
sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) (as to the fact that 
it is recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-
positivism/medievalism and procrypticism respectively ‘as reflecting the 
‘prior requisite human experiential framework to be challenged-
disproved-invalidated’ highlighting the facet of the existentially-
withdrawn-(as ‘unaccounted-for’-leftover-or-residuality-or-spirit-of— 
meaningfulness-and-teleology-so-constrained-as-metaphoricity,-informing-
sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalisation/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–
equalisation) as limiting or of prospective human-subpotency aporeticism'
which surpassing as to human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure enables the possibility for human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal, elicitting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of
prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and
prospectively deprocripticism sublimation-over-desublimation of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as validated with predicative-effectivity–
sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and so with
regards to 'the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, elicitting-of-prospective-
supererogation ‘}

difference-in-
knd/difference-
in-apriorising-
or-logicising

difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising—<difference-
in-aposteriorising-or-logicising-or-deriving-in-determining–mutually-
relative-validity-by-invalidity-as-to-the-veracity-of-any-given-existential-
instantiation’, -though-in-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–
<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-
schema>–of-the-very-same-mutually-abstract-apriorising-or-axiomatising-
or-referencing-conceptualisation>

difference-in-
nature/difference-
in-apriorising-or-
axiomatising

difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising–
<difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising-or-referencing-as-to-mutual-
notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>–of-abstract-
conceptualisation, -rendering-irrelevant-any-mutual-aposteriorising-or-
logicising-or-deriving, -as-validity-or-invalidity-as-to-the-veracity-of-any-
given-existential-instantiation-is-aposteriorised-or-logised-or-derived-
from-the-more-profound-apriorising-or-axiomatising-or-referencing-
conceptualisation>

dimensionality-
of-sublimating—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-
growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalisation/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–
equalisation-(human-ontological-performance–<including-virtue-as-
ontology>–so-construed-as-from-prospective-ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic-projection-perspective-as-to-
reoriginariness/reorigination-as-reflecting-difference-conflatedness-as-
totaliative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
determinism)

‘<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—


absolutising-identitive-constitutedness registry-worldview/dimension as of its 
<amplituding/formative> wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-
thought-<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology-as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’ - with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) and as prodded by its given 
sophistry is paradoxically disinclined to its prospective reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning as it is ever always in 
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncrretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its prospectively 
ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology as it seem to poorly 
construe of the ‘implications of apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-
notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> ‘ and as it 
wrongly substitutes for it a ‘communication-as-of-dialogical-equivalence 
issue’ like with the sophists accusing Socrates for not communicating well 
by the terms of their ‘warped/twisted ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled-as-
of-their-non-universalising—syllogising’ faced with his universalising-
idealisation or medieval scholastics by the terms of their ‘pedantic 
dogmatism’ blaming Galileo for not communicating well faced with his 
‘budding positivism/rational-empiricism’, and a modern day naïve 
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncrretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-
teleology communication discourse that is utterly clueless of the 
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-
implications,—for-expliicating-ontological-contiguity of our positivism—
proercrypticism ‘proercrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as 
of an occluded self-consciousness’ requiring prospective deprcrypticism 
or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposition as of de-
mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation—or-dialectical—de-
mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) 
dissemination/see-
ding 
maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven 
by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality ‘reification gesturing for prospective knowledge’ 
arising as from existential-contextualising-contiguity 
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-
implications,—for-expliicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective 
relative-ontological-completeness 
supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinst-
ment so-construed as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation amenable thus to 
existence’s validation as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-
framework; wherein for instance the same budding positivists 
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as— 
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation dissemination/seedling as reflected in 
different budding positivists like Copernicus, Galileo, Descartes, Newton, 
Leibniz are variously-and-transversally validated by existence as of
dissingularisation

‘epistemically-not-immanent’-as-lacking-internal-necessity-and-
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instru-
ment; as-of-apriorising-teleological-parsimony/disparateness of
conceptualisations, dissingularisation-(operantly-constrained-as-of-
incrementalism/disjointing/disparateness/disentailing/internal-
decohering), and thus dissingularisation is construed ‘as from
<amplitudining/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-
implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-
ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness’ rather as
‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism representation’,
with dissingularisation so-induced by ‘prospective parrhesiastic-
aestheticisation of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition, as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as
preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’, reflecting the contrastive
apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-
framework/narrative-framework of ‘prior preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism temporal underpinning-suprasocial-construct,-
<amplitudining/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-
thought–as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology-as-of ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>, and-sophistry reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought
undermined/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism by
‘prospective postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism intemporal parrhesiastic-aestheticisation induced
reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’


distractive-
alignment-to-
reference-of-
thought

epistemic-
abnormalcy/precon-
vergence

<amplitudining/formative>epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/tranepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness-(constrained-as-tranepistemistic-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-
from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplitudining/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness),
reflecting intemporal-solipsistic—firstnature-of-epistemic-growth-or-

positivism ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
residuality/sprit-drivenness conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/anamnestic-residuality-as-ratiocinative-integrity-(not-mythical-recollection)/tranepistemic
epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective of analysis as to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in determining ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence’, and is contrasted with the notion of totalitarian as ‘being-all-defining-and-determining-rather-by-human-subpotency-ideology/obstinacy/ideology-overt-projection/assertion that ignores-and-overlooks the epistemic construal from existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective of analysis as to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in determining ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence’, such that the notion of
epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaninglessness-and-teleology’ as reflected by the fact that apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by a positivistic mindset is
epistemic-totalisingly/circumscribingly/delineatingly different from a non-positivistic mindset whereas the notion of totalitarian as-of-ideology/obstinacy is rather about direct dogmatic commitment to a given meaningfulness-and-teleology with the inclination to dispense whether extensively or partially with ontological-veracity often on a supposed assumption of grander overall ontological-veracity

epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence refers to the fact that the human mindset as of construction-of-the-Self is inherently of a given ‘determinable relative-ontological-completeness/incompleteness apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-
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relative-ontological-incompleteness or relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension inherent
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating of meaningfulness-and-teleology, epistemic-totalitative (as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
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human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-
‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublating-
humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression-as-of-‘amplituding/formative’epistemic-totalising—renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-
conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-
thought—<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of the
‘displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject induced as of de-
mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation-or-dialectical—de-
mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics)’ in undermining the
‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ associated with <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating as of
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-
thought—<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) perspective

epistemic-totality
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence
structurally/paradigmatically induces the
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
nature of human meaningfulness-and-teleology in existence with this
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
varying as of relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-
ontological-completeness reference-of-thought
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-
implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, such that human
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology conception and thereof—its—developing-institutional-and-living-
conceptions-of-beings are reflected as of its—
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence’
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—and—internally—coherent
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstru-
ment for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring
meaningfulness-and-teleology in existential-instantiations;|| and
epistemic-totality as such further speaks of the
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
nature of human ‘reference-of-thought—which—varies—as-of—relative-
ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness-
implications—as—liable—to—metaphoricity—as—of—reference-of-thought-
 evolving-and—developing—teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, and we
can consider in this regards ‘the very same physics
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview/domain-
of-construal—as—intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’
wherein existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression-as-of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—
event

realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-
conflatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective of human
ontological-performance<-including-virtue-as-ontology>/ontological-
veracity shows a relative-ontological-completeness variation as of
‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ to theory-of-
relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs

event speaks of ‘existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-
aestheticisation instigation(s) of humanity-level of possibilities of Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development
and living-development–as-to-personality-development transformation of
meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’ as of
‘aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications’ of metaphoricity—as-
event-of-prospective-intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation induced
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as structurally/paradigmatically
providing the possibility for deflating/superseding the vices-and-
impediments of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought, as so-implied with regards to the events instigating the successive
prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process say with
‘Socrates/Plato/Aristotle with their schools existentially-contextualised
intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation evental instigation of
universalising-idealisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum-
ent as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation wherein prospective universalisation is
postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism and
prior base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation is preconverging-or-
dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ or ‘budding positivists existentially-
contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation evental
instigation of positivism/rational-empiricism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum-
ent as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation wherein prospective
positivism/rational-empiricism is postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—
apriorising-psychologism and prior universalisation—non-
positivism/medievalism is preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-
psychologism’;¶ with the underlying insight here that ‘existentially-
contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation evental
instigation(s)’ speaks of the possibility of aetiologisation/ontological-
escalation as of ‘infinity/a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales
implications’ of deflating/superseding the vices-and-impediments of prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of a
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffective,—disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that structurally/paradigmatically
recognises an issue of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—
 existsontologically-flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments and the preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism implications warranting the superseding/deflating of prior relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought rather than the given prior relative-ontological-incompleteness underpinning—suprasocial-construct/sophistry amplifying/formative wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought)—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—'nondescript/ignorable-void'—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications) induced false pretence of an issue of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring on the basis of the its prospectively unrecognised ontologically-flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments and the preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism implications, such that the true 'issue of prosecution' with regards to Socrates or Galileo with respect to their asceticism stances was about the ontological-impertinence of their respective social-setup in failing to recognise prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments which then exposed them to their social-setup sophistry in a pretence that theirs were just case-issues-and-not-of-event-implications thus with their respective sophistry 'aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring on the basis of their respective social-setup ununiversalisation and non-positivism/medievalism ontologically-flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments and as of the preconverging—or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism implications', just as it is herein contended that the sophistic/pedantic disposition of our times will assume a nondescript/ignorable void pretence of case-issues-and-not-of-event-implications thus 'aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring on the basis of our positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought prospectively ontologically-flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments' thus 'ignoring the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications with regards to existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation evental instigation of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments implied prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for deflating superseding vices-and-impediments of positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought'

existential-contextualising-contiguity existential-contextualising-contiguity refers to meaningfulness-and-teleology projective epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity construed paradigmatically/structurally as of 'conflatedness-with-existence/conflatedness-of-construal-alongside-existent-sublimating-manifestation', so-implied as existential-contextualising-contiguity's—
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context or logical-
dueness—rather-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought or relative-ontological-veridicality-as-of-prospective-
reference-of-thought, (existential-contextualising-contiguity as ‘conflatedness-with-existence as to existence-potency, -disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought, -in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness
construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework/conflatedness-of-construal-alongside-existential-manifestation’
is effectively what allows for the projective epistemic countenancing of
‘relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstru-
ment’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
(<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—-
sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), and
thus the corresponding knowledge-reification capacity towards
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as
implied with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’, such that existential-contextualising-
contiguity <amplituding/formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative-implications, -for-expli
cating-ontological-contiguity
conflatedness highlights that abstract notions/conceptualisations are only
as pertinent as reflexive of existential sublimating manifestation which
structurally/paradigmatically precedes (‘not the unforegrounding-
disentailment or vague-foregrounding/vague-entailment as background’
implied with such abstract notions/conceptualisations, but rather as the
foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’), -as-
operative-notional—deprocrypticism which is so-construed as: ‘existential-
contextualising-contiguity as to existence-potency, -disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought, -in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness’
underlying causality with regards to <amplituding/formative> epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications, -for-expli
cating-ontological-contiguity as to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework) any such abstract notions/conceptualisations thus avoiding
any elaboration-as-merely-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-
outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity and reflecting the epistemic-
veracity of human knowledge-reification/ontological-veracity rather as of
the <amplituding/formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative-implications, -for-expli
cating-ontological-contiguity so-imbued
in difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-
as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so contrary to atomising/taking-
to-pieces constitutedness of poor projective epistemic countenancing of
relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ as of their ontologically-flawed
reflection of <amplitude/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity
given their <amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag meaningfulness-and-
teleology of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/identitive-
constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality—dereification-in-dissingularisation-
as-flawed-epistemic-determinism). thus existential-contextualising-
contiguity <amplitude/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of its
implied epistemic maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
completeness veridically implies the ‘(<amplitude/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
ontological-contiguity) foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—
sublimation as to existence—as-sublating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’)—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-
teleology’ as of the existential reflexivity of epistemic causality with
regards to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-
existence—as-painintelligibility.<imbedded-and-educed—human-subpotency—
epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> (as existential-
contextualising-contiguity is rather about human-subpotency—
epistemically maximalising/amplifying-rationale and—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indecatability/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-
intemperal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor for human self-
surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-
collateralising-protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublating-humanity’—as-to-
existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness), and
this point is important to preempt the ‘ontologically-flawed
unforegrounding-disentailment’ of existential-contextualising-contiguity
by way of vague and naive elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-
outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as can be
wrongly/unwittingly be projected with flawed used of ‘human conceptual-
tools’ like language/logic/mathematics/statistics/algorithms/models/etc.
that are only as pertinent as of their reflecting of the absolute a priori that
is existence and ‘not superseding/overriding existential-reality in
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness’ (even
as such conceptual-tools of formulation and representation can rather be
of valid foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
existence—as-sublating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-
operative-notional—deprocrypticism as to their epistemically-construed
phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-conflatedness—
reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> but not epistemically
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overriding/superseding inherent existence which is ever always absolutely the foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective—supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism), and this explains why existential-reality is priorly affirmative as to the epistemic validity/invalidity of contrastive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisations such that ‘the questioning of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing validity/invalidity of existence itself doesn’t arise in the very first place’ as it is existence in its foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective—supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological—contiguity’)—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism as the absolute a priori that gives reasons and the ‘human consciousness level of epistemic—sufficiency-constitutedness’ doesn’t inherently commits existence/existential—manifestation as to the fact that it is the human consciousness that recurrently has to readjust itself in its epistemic re-evaluation of existence/existential—manifestation from its prior posture of epistemic sufficiency, as of human limited—mention—capacity—deepening—(<amplifying>—formative>epistemic—totalisingly,—as-to—existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation) (as starkly manifested with such epiphenomenon like quantum entanglement):¶ further knowledge—reification as of existential-contextualising-contiguity as underlined by the ‘coherence/contiguity—of—superseding—oneness—of—ontology—implied—as—of—inherent—existence—coherence/contiguity,—and—so—construed—as—the—enabler—of—insight—or—intuition—or—foresight—as—of—embodied—consciousness’ reflects the veridicality that all epistemic—conceptions of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in—transitive—conflatedness—reflexivity,—in—the—full—potency—of—existence> speak to the congruence of overall existence as to overall reifying—and—empowering—reflexivity—of—ecstatic—existence—as—pannintelligibility—<imbued—and—educated—human—subpotency—epistemic—perspective—of—aestheticising—motif—and—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation> reflecting the ‘ontological—contiguity of the comprehensive supervening of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in—transitive—conflatedness—reflexivity,—in—the—full—potency—of—existence’) as enabling human existential analysis as of transverse epistemic—conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotency—<in—transitive—conflatedness—reflexivity,—in—the—full—potency—of—existence> and so while invalidating any reductionist subpotency substituting for any other epistemic—conceptions of immanently imbued phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies thus ‘enabling the transverse hermeneutic process that brings—about/yields human knowledge—reification’ as ultimately validated/invalidated by prospective sublimation—over—desublimation ontological implications;¶ and this conception of human knowledge—reification as of existential-contextualising-contiguity is different from the typical notion of analogy/mere—analogue in the sense that the latter is rather generally about ‘mere conceptualisations of common/comparative patterning and the accompanying vague elaboration—as—mere—
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ without establishing the analogy/mere-analogising coherent ontological-contiguity as of existential-contextualising-contiguity and thus do not speak to ‘an entailing dynamics of existentially reflected ontological-contiguity as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ as is the case with ‘thought-experiments of mere common/comparative patterning’ thus inducing blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
<unforegrounding-disentailment, -failing-to-reflect ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> which do not project an entailing dynamics unlike thought-experiments of veridical existential-contextualisation-contiguity such as Einsteinian relativity conceptualisations as to their foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’), –as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism and so since thought-experiments reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity because of their awareness of relative-ontological-completeness implications don’t fall into the ontological-flaws of equating/levelling-down everything across space and time associated with presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness when it comes to reflecting ontological-contiguity projection in relative-ontological-completeness as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation given that existence—is-the absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation enabling sublimation-over-desublimation, and this differentiation between veridical knowledge-reification and analogy/mere-analogising also highlights that actually knowledge is more critically a contiguous whole as to the underlying reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology (and this should be the overall expected epistemic attitude) but for the artificial divisions arising as to human limited-mentation-capacity warranting specialisations and the fact that various epistemic-conceptions of specialisations are of their ‘peculiar optimal epistemicity for inducing sublimation’, but then the requisite originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edgesness/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as to sublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure remains of the same ontological-congruence across all human knowledge-reification domains as reflected by the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology implied peculiar (‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing—of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment’) foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism and this insight will explain why
conceptual/axiomatic epistemic-veracity analyses across subject-matters like physics, chemistry, biology, psychology, the-social are not ‘mere conceptualisations of common/comparative patterning’ but speak to an underlying overall reference-of-thought epistemic-veracity for sublimation warranted across all the subject-matters so-reflected as of overall philosophical epistemological conceptualisation (and so specifically as to the positivism/rational-empiricism overall epistemic attitude of reference-of-thought underlying all these subject-matters) but more thoroughly implicated in many a natural science domain (given the natural sciences very strong constraining to predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and low emotional-involvement inducing the requisite candidness for prospective knowledge-reification sublimation) but requiring a thoroughly insightful philosophical expliciting and elucidation to induce a more consciously profound epistemic-veracity in the-social as well as the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in enhancing overall human contemplation for knowledge-reification; such an existential-contextualising-contiguity conception of knowledge-reification unlike the mere aestheticisation of abstract dialecticism or analogy/mere-analogueising makes a most profound claim to being ontological/scientific by the more profound veracity that it is epistemically embedded as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (thus averting vague elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) and construes of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation enabling sublimation-over-desublimation, that is, the existential-contextualising-contiguity of knowledge-reification projects/construes of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity and transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity in recognition of ‘an effective reality basis implying more and more profound reconstructions/reconceptualisations (and so as to

<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought arising by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly, as to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) thus ‘is not mere eclecticism’ as can be interpreted from a naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic-projection perspective to knowledge-reification as to a relic/artifactual orientation poorly entertaining ontological-contiguity projection of relative-ontological-completeness implications and that then equates/level-down everything across space and time failing to reflect historioriality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing associated with prospective sublimation, and so just as say Einsteinian relativity in rearticulating prior physics conception like Lorentz transformation, Maxwell’s equations, etc. do not speak to ‘a soulless eclectic gathering of such conceptions’ but rather priorly a re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–'projective-insights'/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocryptism-prospective-
sublimation) drivenness as to a prospective ontological-contiguity projection of relative-ontological-complexness that is what develops the insight about the true prospective sublimating possibilities lying behind such prior physics conceptions as reflected with the Theory of relativity) inducing transformative implications with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology as transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-dementivity (and so in contrast to the mere aestheticisation of abstract dialecticism or analogy/mere-analogising) with existential-contextualising-contiguity speaking thus of overall human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence, and we can consider in this regards for instance the veridicality that the convolutedness of say modern day genetics knowledge-reification in existential-contextualising-contiguity cannot be construed as of mere conceptual-patterning as say in terms of Mendelian hereditary (as conceptual-patterning can be so-elicited with the mere aestheticisation of abstract dialecticism or analogy/mere-analogising) since such a conceptual-patterning conception will be existentially/ontologically elusive by its poor reflection of relative-ontological-complexness and by the relic/artifactual orientation not structured/paradigmed in perpetually furthering/inducing the veracity of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation underlying the complex sublimating conception of genetics in existential-contextualising-contiguity and in many case such an approach as to blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology will rather distract from the more ontologically-profounded issue of deeper and deeper induced sublimation of genetics science as of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity imbued sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ (and this mistake is often made as of mere academicism in a flawed knowledge-reification gesturing that construe of the insights of latter existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidations as to ontological-contiguity projection of relative-ontological-complexness implications rather in terms of abstract and vague relic/artifactual conceptualisations failing to establish the entailing dynamics of existentially reflected ontological-contiguity as to existence— as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation invalidating any existential-contextualising-contiguity analysis and end up equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms—conceptualisations by wrongly implying everything is of the same ontological-contiguity thus undermining historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing insights along the same lines like absurdly striving to idly rearticulate Mendelian hereditary as from the insight garnered from say modern day genetics with a poor capacity to discern their respective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing implications as to the overall human prospective knowledge-reification project of sublimation and human emancipation) and this insight underlies the contention herein to overcome blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology of our positivism—procrpticism uninstitutionalised-threshold for the prospective relative-ontological-complexness, and so-reflected as the
deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)
('preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
<amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-
(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-superoeration in reflecting
'immanent-ontological-contiguity'),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-perspective> as to its prospectively induced scalarising as of human supererogatory/messianic intemperal and seconndatured socially-optimal instigative potency’ at its given/defined institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-superoeration’ (and so over prior positivism—procrypticism—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)
construed-as ‘mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—that-is-not-of-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to-
<unforegrounding-disenchantment,.failing-to-reflect—'immanent-ontological-contiguity'> as to prior descalarising totalisingsingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought of individuals-suboptimal instigative potency as of human temporal—to-intemperal-dispositions
'"amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-perspective>’, blurriness as to the very nature of the social will often lead to the naïve ‘epistemic obviating of the inherent existential-contextualising-contiguity foreground/operandantly-entailing-conception of many a social-domain (as to their veridical ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework as <ampliituding/formatative>epistemic-causality) accounting for the resolution of underlying human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint implications’, for instance, with the ‘flawed and paradoxical supposedly foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism statistics over the effectively veridical and potent social-domain existential-contextualising-contiguity thus ‘ignoring the social-domain existential-contextualising-contiguity effective originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness—and-its-institutionalisation responsible for the resolution of underlying human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’ as prospectively accounting for the manifestation of the statistical outcomes in the very first place (consider for instance that the statistical outcomes arising from past social aporia-resolving transformational initiatives like the New Deal, G.I. bill, Medicare, civil rights, the post-war public infrastructure and technology investments, etc. accounting-for/as-the-true existential-contextualising-contiguity foreground/operandantly-entailing-conception for the growth of the U.S. middle-class specifically as well as the statistical outcomes associated with both international organisations public policies and countries-specific public policies worldwide are paradoxically being raised-and-foregrounded-over-the-ontological-veracity-of-the-social-existential-contextualising-contiguity to ‘surreptitiously’ imply that the need for such social aporia-resolving transformational initiatives in the future as advocated by many is unwarranted as ‘the statistical outcomes seem to be construed as their very own epistemic causation of the rise of the US middle-class and global population data improvements’ or in another respect the aporia-resolving nature of budding-positivists and before them universalising-idealisation thinkers in both instances as to their foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism social commitments in contributing towards and enabling the overcoming of the corresponding social and emancipatory limitations and social-vestedness/normativity of their
societies and epochs is naively being interpreted-and-unforegrounded/disentailed as of our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness to wrongly imply ours is the era that ‘would hardly harbour any such critiquing for its further aporia-resolving emancipation and growth’ as to a ‘humanism’ that hardly grasp the existential-contextualising-contiguity ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’—as-to-existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—of—<amplituding/formative>—epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness), likewise as manifested for instance in the economics domain the extensive use of mathematics as a conceptual-tool often takes on a purpose all of its own that overrides/unforegrounds/conceptually-disentails the socioeconomic-domain existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidation of veridical economic phenomena as it is often uncritically skewed in the direction of vested political and big-business interests perception of things bound to overlooked the underlying aporetic concerns associated with the recurrence of economic and financial crises and weak income growth and redistribution; all such cases of blurriness that unforegrounds/conceptually-disentails existential-contextualising-contiguity are intimately related to the poor capacity of such blurry domains-of-study to naturally (as of their underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with regards to the ‘full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation as to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—of—<amplituding/formative>—epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness) and clearly define their human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor/cadre (as to keep tab of the perpetual ‘<amplituding/formative>—epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-perspective>’ and preempting its unforegrounding-disentainment with flawed use of conceptual-tools), as such blurry domains rather adopt a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness disposition construed as ‘discrete functionalism of social-vestedness/normativity’ for their supposed originariness/reefying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation; whereas in many ways there is relatively more profound universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>—epistemic-totalising—in-relative-
ontological-completeness) in the natural sciences as to their very strong constraining of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint to ‘inherent existence-potency’, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in-supererogatory–epistemic-confalnedness of construal of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework as reflecting existential-reality/ontological-veracity’, (and where this fails as with climate change it again has to do with blurriness and the associated eliciting of social-vestedness/normativity) as we can appreciate as of a typical case in point how the similar integration of conceptual-tools like mathematics, statistics, algorithms, models, etc. operate between say the economic sciences and natural sciences wherein the latter relatively-tends to preserve their natural science existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding–entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence–as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism ‘as served by the conceptual-tools’ while the former (with the manifestation of mystification complexes of conceptual-tools) often end up overlooking their very own socioeconomic existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding–entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence–as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism ‘and seem to serve the conceptual-tools’ which take a purpose all of their own in the pursuit of a given ‘discrete functionalism of social-vestedness/normativity’ construal of things bent on ‘collateralising other critically aporetic things’

existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseeding–oneness-of-ontology

falsifiability refers to epistemic-veracity ‘determinable as from existence-potency’, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in-supererogatory–epistemic-confalnedness construal of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework as reflecting existential-reality/ontological-veracity’, and thus the broader implication of falsifiability is construed basically as ‘epistemic-veracity for determining existential-reality/ontological-veracity as of

<amplituding/formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’,

with the implication that since existence is the absolute a priori, the ‘becoming of
existence as ecstatic-existence’ is the inherent determinative basis of falsifiability as the latter is reflexive of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and where ecstatic-existence manifestation is rather as of an ‘overall singular/unrepeatable/nonrecurring/as-of-yet-unrepeatable-or-nonrecurring unfolding manifestation’ as implied with the ambit of such theories as the big bang theory, string theory, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process etc., falsifiability is reflected by determining the coherence-as-of-ontological-congruence and incoherence-as-of-ontological-incongruence of any such ambit implied ‘overall singular ecstatic-existence unfolding manifestation model-theory’ as reflected by ‘the falsifiability of its underlying-and-subsumed-phenomena’ with regards to the epistemic-veracity of their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework going by their specifically relevant repeatable/recurring methodological evaluations or observations or experiments, whereas where ecstatic-existence manifestation is about just a ‘repeatable/recurrent ecstatic-existence manifestation phenomenon’ then such an ecstatic-existence manifestation phenomenon is falsifiable as of the epistemic-veracity of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework going by its specifically relevant methodological evaluations or observations or experiments.

growth-or-confalatedness/transvaluative-rationalisation/transepistemicity/anamnesic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equilisation involved in the dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for such prospective knowledge-reification; and with regards to 'the reference-of-thought of all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in their successive relative-ontological-completeness as so-construed in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process' implied knowledge-reification, the foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity'),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism of meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather as of 'the successive reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness confalatedness-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity-as-of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity'; it can also be appreciated for instance that the natural sciences aspire for comprehensive foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity'),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in other to reflect deeper and deeper ontological-contiguity and corresponding sublimation, and so in the sense that their articulated axiomatic-constructs and their 'assemblages of axiomatic-constructs' are meant as derivable-as-of-necessity-and-mutually-coherent in all existential instantiations and not as discretionary-and-incoherent, such that where issues undermining derivation-as-of-necessity-and-mutual-coherence arise at any given unreified-threshold then it is understood that prospective knowledge-reification requires defining-and-superseding that prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of poor derivation-as-of-necessity-and-mutual-coherence so-revealed as from foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity'),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism conception in existential-contextualising-contiguity; foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity'),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism, as-to—'<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity—as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-perspective> speaks to the fact that existence can only truly epistemically be construed as of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—as-in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> so-reflected as 'foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective—
surpassing relative-ontological-completeness implications as to human subject to the sublimating possibilities of existence as herein fully-and-otherwise conceptualised as to the full implications of the notion of 'de-
mentation-(supererogatory-ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-
mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of human reference-of-
thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-
teleology' as driving/dynamising the 'succession of registry-
worldviews/dimensions in institutional-cumulation/institutional-
recomposure as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(</amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)
underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process as of 'human living-development—as-to-personality-development,
institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology', and so decisively derived-and-construed as from 'the
counterintuitive discernment about the full ontological implications of
human cognisance-and-integration of postlogism/notional–psychopathy
denatured meaningfulness-and-teleology at uninstitutionalised-thresholds
as articulated herein specifically with regards to psychopathy and social
psychopathy manifestation in our positivism–procrysticism registry-
worldview/dimension' providing insight on 'the human ontological-
performance <including-virtue-as-ontology> of registry-
worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—reference-of-thought-
devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology' so-reflected dialectically as of
human notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity—<mentally-
aestheticised—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema> and
notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-
aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> speaking of
'notional—symmetrisation—<as-to-symmetrisation-by-desymmetrisation-in-
reflecting-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—by—preconverging-or-
dementing-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology> of the
successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and—
reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology), such
existence foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity')—as-
operative-notional—deprocrysticism conception is very much unlike
entailment as of vague elaboration—</amplituding/constitutive>—as-merely-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferreing-of-elucidation-
outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity caught up in presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness in distorted-originariness/distorted-
origination failing to reflect 'phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—as-to-
their-drivenness—and-their-corresponding-teleological-aporeticism in the
full-potency of existence' (as from the ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective), in the sense
that 'existence is the overall originariness/origination—<so-construed-as-
to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-
construal—of-existence> of ontological-contiguity' construed as overall-
sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity',—as-operative-notional—deprocryptism,—as-to—

‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity in elucidating the inherent physics epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotency—<in-transitive-confoundedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence' given the inherent physics epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotency—<in-transitive-confoundedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility—<imbued-and-educed—human—subpotency—epistemic-perspective—of—aestheticising—motif—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> implied originariness/origination—<so—construed—as—to—ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising—construal—of—existence>, and the same can be said of any other inherent subject-matter epistemic-conception with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence, and just as the same can be said even of inherent mathematics epistemic-conception notwithstanding its rather contemplatable peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotency—<in-transitive-confoundedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence>, but then all other subject-matters are equally epistemic-conceptions as of their very own peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence (as even the social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-confoundedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as of human living/institutional/Being implications do have transverse-phenomenal/manifest existential consequences as to the human organising-and-institutionalising capacity to elucidate the natural sciences phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-confoundedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> even as the former don’t substitute for the inherent natural sciences phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-confoundedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in elucidating the natural sciences); ¶ rather the valid epistemic-conceptions of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-confoundedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to their peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies should not lead to naïve reductionist interpretations in constitutedness that pretend to then substitute for the other phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-confoundedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (as it can be noted not only with the naivety of physicalism reductionism or universal mathematical/informational reductionism or consciousness reductionism) ‘wrongly seeming to supersede the ontological-contiguity of existence/ecstatic-existence as of overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-confoundedness’ whereas ‘ultimately it is sublimation in existence’ as of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-confoundedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> induced sublimation (so—
reflected as 'foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective—supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity')—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism as to overall reifying-and—empowering—reflexivity—of-ecstatic-existence—as-panintelligibility—<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective—of—aestheticising-motif—and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation>) that is the 'defining and superseding epistemic—conception of originariness/origination—<so-construed—as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising—construal—of—existence> of the ontological-contiguity of existence' as to the possibility of human limited-mentation—capacity—deepening—(<amplifying/formative>epistemic-totalising—ly—as—to-existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation) induced epistemic—conceptions of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in—transitive—confoundedness—reflexivity,—in—the—full—potency—of—existence> (and this actually allows for the epistemic—conception of any other possible phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in—transitive—confoundedness—reflexivity,—in—the—full—potency—of—existence> that are not as of yet divulged as to their correspondingly inducible sublimation in existence), and so over all such reductionist epistemic—conceptions wrongly construing peculiar transverse epistemic—conception phenomen—manifest—subpotencies in constitutedness as substituting for other phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in—transitive—confoundedness—reflexivity,—in—the—full—potency—of—existence> (and thus fundamentally since a physics reductionism of existence cannot generate the profound sublimation in existence of say a biology epistemic—conception of living phenomena or a biological/neurological reductionism of existence cannot generate the more profound sublimation in existence of say a social and socio-psychological epistemic—conception of social—constructs and institutions meaningfulness—and—teleology, such pretences are often at best unscientific postures riding—the—wave—exploit—without—corresponding—sublimation—as—to—existence—potency—implications—of the success obtained in their relevant epistemic—conceptions of physical phenomena and living phenomena respectively to then wrongly project substitutive sublimation in another domain—of—study, and so—manifested at worst with the usurpation of such natural sciences successes associated particularly with their desublimating projections in wrongly drawing profound social and socio—psychology interpretations)


Historicity-tracing

historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing—is construed-as-of-its-defining-more-profound-structural/paradigmatic-'reoriginariness/reorigination-futural-ontological-performance-

singularisation

identitve-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-
dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism,-as-not-immanent-or-lacking-internal-necessity-or-undifferentiated-as-lacking-ontological-depth-of-reality-(as-of-’no-differentiated-or-disambiguated-tracing-thus-neuterising-of’)-dynamic-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-


incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness


intemporality

Intemporality / longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/dispensing-with-ontologically-perverting-immediacy-behaviour,
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(=amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), -as-
recomposing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-of-existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness,—as-of-
human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven—recomposing—
constructivism—towards—singularisation—(as of relative constitutedness
towards relative conflatedness);лиimited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(=amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) —
fundamentally speaks of human knowledge-reification as from time
immemorial so-constrained as involving human projective conceptualising
beyond animality (as from human recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
trepidation-consciousness, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation
warped-consciousness, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism
preclusive-consciousness, our present positivism—procrypticism occlusive-
consciousness and prospective deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness),
speaking of human teleology so-construed as ‘human
phenomenal/manifest conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence as
ontological (so-reflecting <amplituding/formative>disposedness—(as-to-
orientation/value-construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising) and
<amplituding/formative>entailment—(as-to-totalising-
contiguous/coherent—factuality-of-variability))’, underlaid as of overall
reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-
paintelligibility—<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-
perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation;лиimited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
(=amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (as to human living-development—as-to-
personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-
development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—
as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructural-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology), rather arising as of ‘aestheticisation—
and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology of human ontological-
performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> ’ underlying both ‘motif-as-
to-aestheticisation—<imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness> ’ and
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstra-
ment—for—conceptualisation as to aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ (so-
constrained as <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—conflatedness
of meaningfulness-and-teleology involving ‘the epistemic—
totalising—resubjecting of motif-as-to-aestheticisation—<imbued—
ontological much as pseudoscience is decried by serious scientists as it is ontologically notionally/epistemically be considered as involved in philosophy however ancient philosophical as aspiring social sciences) nature philosophy as of human philosophical from which it emerges entailing sciences) ontology > virtue successes and failures potential) of human meaningfulness and teleology with respect to ‘human existential-instantiations of both manifest motif (outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aesthetised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation) and associated/attendant manifest aposteriorizing/logicising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology’; with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-superoeration) as to aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology speaking to an emphasis on both its ‘generativity potential’ and its ‘ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> potential’ (as reflected in issues of human meaningfulness-and-teleology induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) requiring appropriate human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension to ever always preserve human meaningfulness-and-teleology cross-fertilising ‘generativity potential’ and ‘ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> potential’ as institutionally reflected respectively with the artistic, the philosophical and the scientific/ontological orientations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, and in this respect ‘the philosophical as spanning aestheticisation (generativity potential) and aestheticisation-towards-ontology (ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> potential) of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ speaks to the epistemic successes and failures as to human ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> leading up to science/ontology as aestheticisation-towards-ontology (ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> potential) and science (including the aspiration of the social sciences) is thus but the exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—<as-to-entailing-theoretical,—conceptual-and-operant-implications> of the philosophical from which it emerges as of natural philosophy (and human-nature philosophy as of human-subpotency construal with respect to aspiring social sciences) and is ever always implicitly anchored to the philosophical in the face of its prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming while the philosophical as well must necessarily be concerned about its ultimate ontological-veracity relevance to avoid degenerating into a pedantry (as we can appreciate that both ancient-sophists and medieval-scholastics could be notionally/epistemically be considered as involved in philosophy however ontologically-flawed we may now think of their given closed mindsets very much as pseudoscience is decried by serious scientists as it is only such ontological-veracity by its perpetual epistemic-totalising—resubjecting to
the validation/invalidation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation that can establish the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of philosophical knowledge to avoid its degeneracy into a poor and relic/artifactual knowledge-reification pedantic gesturing of mere aestheticisation hardly appreciative of the cogency of relative-ontological-completeness implications as to a conception of cumulative/recomposuring knowledge allowing for future knowledge-reification beyond a naive institutionalised investedness as to relic/artifactual conception of knowledge weakened to the questioning of how-does-it-knows-that-what-it-says-is-true especially when it adopts disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> over foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional–deprocrysticism meaningfulness-and-teleology that projects requisite <amplituding/formative> disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and–derived-parameterising) and <amplituding/formative> entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability) as herein implied/ambitioned, with the implication that the philosophical epistemic attitude gives a leeway for aestheticising inexactitude/tolerances for further aestheticising possibilities of human thought different-from/completmentary-to an exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—<as-to-entailing-theoretical,-conceptual-and-operant-implications>-scientific/ontological epistemic attitude that may by naivety utterly shut down alternate human aestheticising possibilities (as more radically manifested today with many a science-ideology approach) even as such alternate human aestheticising possibilities ‘inducible exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—<as-to-entailing-theoretical,-conceptual-and-operant-implications>-elucidations’ may be required for science’s very own further development in its prospective aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming (as increasingly appreciated with a postmodern influence on science) and so given that human thought at any given moment as of its aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology is not absolutely determinative/certain as so-reflected by the enframed—unenframed or enframed-overflowing or re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation—imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’—of-notional–deprocrysticism—prospective-sublimation) veracity that truly underlies all human meaningfulness-and-teleology thus enabling the prospective possibility for human emancipation and progress (as even the sciences while ultimately aspiring for exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—<as-to-entailing-theoretical,-conceptual-and-operant-implications>-scientific accounts, will implicitly adopt practices of inexactitude/tolerances as to the more critical issue of their prospective aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming wherein for instance it is mostly in the last 30-or-so years that astronomy has arrived at a highly cogent scientific account of astronomical phenomena, in the medical domain because of the critical nature of any developments to
human health and preservation of life even the most flimsy statistics are often portrayed as of relevance however the possibility for pseudo-analysis or later retraction, and generally in this respect science at its ‘breakthrough-level of scientific accounts’ is rather of relatively high inexactitude/tolerances as nascent scientific conceptions even within say the physics domain are contested, with the critical notion of science-in-practice rather being about ultimate aspiration to continually converge towards more and more exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—entailing-theoretical,—conceptual-and-operant-implications—scientific accounts); \* but then human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as to aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology necessarily prior conformation to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation (and so over any human-subpotency institutionalising conceptions like philosophy and science), and in the bigger picture in this regards the institutionalised conception of philosophy for instance is a distorted Western metaphysics-of-presence notion of the more universal concept of overall human knowledge (pure and simple), with the flaw that speaking of say non-Western philosophy is a misnomer so-construed as ‘a distorted and undue epistemic intercession of supposed Western philosophy as a reference point of conception into any non-Western society aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology notion of overall human knowledge’ (as to any such non-Western social dynamics very own originariness—parrhesia,—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation inducing of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as outcome/outfit/shell—constructed-historically—as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised—incrusting/plating/coating—as-institutional-manifestation) and furthermore such a misnomer as to its metaphysics-of-presence seem to supersede the more fundamental notion of human underlying ontological-commitment (as instigatively driving the human out of animality) as to the more pivotal/critical human-subpotency ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—as-of—epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflicatedness (as reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process beyond any identitive conception as Western or non-Western or even differentiation internal to any such Western conception or non-Western conception), thus overlooking the dynamic underlying human constructive and cultural diffusionary process critically leading to various social-setups dynamics of relative-ontological-completeness in renewing of human meaningfulness-and—teleology); \* human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) thus implies that ultimately the actual knowledge attitude is that of the creative generation, elucidation and exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—as-to-entailing-theoretical,—conceptual-and-operant-implications—of human meaningfulness-and—
teleology and so as to the requisite originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation within the artistic framing, philosophical framing or scientific/ontological framing as to their respective aporeticism need for aestheticisation (generativity potential) and/or aestheticisation—towards-ontology (ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> potential), and so as we can appreciate that even the artistic as to aestheticisation is much more than just mere patterning but ‘a projection of aestheticising depth’ that speaks of its specific generative, elucidative and exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—<as-to-entailing-theoretical,-conceptual-and-operant-implications> aspects as to specific human perception of artistic sublimation;¶ and in this regards human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<amplituding/formattive>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) needs to factor in that much of the institutional confusion associated with the artistic, philosophical and scientific speaks more of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing conscious and unconscious institutional politics of self-preservation whether from 'institutionalised philosophy' or 'institutionalised science' as to the overall politicisation of knowledge given that human limited-mentation-capacity warrants human institutional specialisations as subdividing the overall human knowledge aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology (while factoring that existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is not beholdening to any such human-subpotency institutionalising) implying that scientific achievements are de facto philosophical achievements as inherent to the practice of science is notionaly/epistemically ‘implicated philosophy’ whether the scientist is explicitly conscious or not of this such that faced with scientific dilemma some of the most novel philosophies are implicitly articulated in scientific works in need for their philosophical explicitation (as herein explicated as to the fact that nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations—<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving> actually point to an overall reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning/sublimation as for instance with Newtonian physics pointing to an overall positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning), and likewise the scientific methods/methodologies/approaches were developed by philosophers involved in natural philosophy knowledge-reification gesturing firstly as thought experiments and thereafter articulating effective practical methodologies not because they gave up on natural philosophy but because their normal living experience cognition they used was no longer sufficient for a more profound and creative insight into abstruse phenomenality and so they expanded upon their normal living experience cognition associated with thought experiments to
association with the development of a better world as to the selfless
devvelopment conception structurally/paradigmatically construed in
existential
epistemic attitude, such that
relative
to
depth
prospective being
prospectively
positivism
ununiversalisation, universalisation
(as from recurrent
narrowing
effectivity
enculturated/constructed social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments
for—conceptualisation required for the relevant domain-of-study as to
reflecting its given epistemic-conception
phenomenal/manifest—subpotency—
in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence—pertinence to which any such
scientific methods/methodologies/approaches are rather subjected);

human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as
reflecting both overall knowledge-reification orientation associated with
the overall philosophical and exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—
—as-to-entailing-theoretical,—conceptual-and-operant-implications—
orientation associated with science rather fundamentally speaks to the
pre-eminence of their aetiologisation/ontological-escalation purpose so-
reflected in the succession of ‘relative-ontological-completeness—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing—of—predicative-
effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ as
narrowing-down selectivity of the intemporal-disposition as of
ontological-pertinence for prospectively secondnated institutionalisation
(as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—
universalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, our
positivism—procrpticism/disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought and
prospectively deprocrpticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought) and is thus primarily concerned about human
prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meanfulness
and-teleology and thereof the derived prospective living-development—as-
to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-
function-development, so-speaking to a dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension
epistemic attitude, such that the philosophical nor the scientific cannot be
construed as a self-serving conception (as can be so-construed in modern
day psychology individual augmentation/enhancement notion in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) but rather ‘a self-
development conception structurally/paradigmatically construed in
association with the development of a better world as to the selfless
notional–asceticism implied’ (with a confusion as of individual augmentation/enhancement rather arising from a misconstrual of the Socratic philosophers and their successors like stoics and cynics emphasis on self-development as to the fact that their universalising-idealisation as to their given epoch implied a more fated/precarious/perilous/uncertain world with their notion of self-development implying forming individuals that can face such a world with valour in view to a constructive projection of a better world), and such is the general basis for interpreting philosophical thought as to its specific epochal aporeticism associated with the corresponding human limited-mentation-capacity and the prospective projective-insights from all such specific aporetisms concerning their retrospective and prospective implications and is in many ways no different from a cumulative/recomposuring understanding as to scientific aporeticisms reflection of human historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing while avoiding an epistemically-flawed complex of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness; along the same lines human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as reflecting both overall knowledge-reification orientation further implies that there can’t be any tradition/practice of knowledge that overrides existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as it can be often naively implied in many a blurry and pedantic domain-of-study subject to totalisingly-disentailing— discretion/whim-of-thought with any such orientations claiming to ignore ontological-veracity rather speaking of institutional bankruptcy as to the fact that ‘human-subpotency cannot subject knowledge but is rather subject to knowledge’ such that issues of human ineptness/incapacity arising from disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> cannot be transformed and construed as structural/paradigmatic issues of inherent knowledge as of the inherent nature of science or inherent nature of the philosophical (failing to attend to prospective existential aporeticisms while construing the framework of human agreeability and agreeing as knowledge rather than the construal of ontological-veracity as of the impersonal manifestation of the sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as the more fundamental purpose of the intellectual enterprise as to the reality of the fact that true knowledge has ever always been about superseding human limited-mentation-capacity and not defining it as a point of reference however disagreeable the exercise), and in many ways this drawback is reflected in the modern practice of philosophical interpretations in the humanities as to a relic/artifactual way and academic practice of going about knowledge-reification that equates/level-down everything across space and time as to wrongly imply everything is of the same ontological-contiguity as to the proliferation of isms—conceptualisations without any ‘relative-ontological-completeness <amplituding/formative>entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability reflecting historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ as well
as mere conceptual-patterning with no contiguous knowledge-reification gesturing as to when for instance such notions as humanism and antihumanism, enlightenment and counter-enlightenment, etc. seem to imply that the latter conceptualisations are against humanity or enlightenment rather than being more profound conceptions of humanity and enlightenment over the former as shallow conceptions thus inducing blurriness of thought and in a further twisted relic/artifactual approach the very notion of postmodernism as of ‘postmodern-thought elucidation of ontologically-flawed desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbibed-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’ is paradoxically construed as postmodern condition as of the modern’s take prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism or disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought (as to an academically induced confusion equating postmodern-thought with the analytical criticism of modern society’s metanaratives so-articulated by postmodern-thought more like qualifying budding positivists critiques of the non-positivising medieval-world/medievalism as the modern condition) with all this contradictory intellectual-muddling arising because of the precedence of institutional self-preservation over existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as we can easily appreciate that the lack of blurriness in many a natural science as to an untenable constraining of social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) will avert any such relic/artifactual approach to knowledge (say for instance construing modern genetics as a deeper conception of hereditary as anti-hereditary or say quantum physics as a deeper conception of physics as anti-physics along the lines of equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms—conceptualisations because of institutional pre-eminence over relative-ontological-completeness conception as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), thus speaking of the requisite underlying ontological-good-faith/authenticity and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity insight (manifested beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-⟨in-existential-extrication-as-of-existent-unthought⟩) when going about knowledge-reification in domains-of-study subject to blurriness, and critically human knowledge-reification as to organic-knowledge is inherently of existential implications (as to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human’⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal to which the sublimating relative-ontological-completeness has to be epistemically affirmed while the desublimating relative-ontological-incompleteness has to be epistemically unaffirmed and so with regards to the constraining implications as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation with no naive notion of neutrality/goodnaturedness that wrongly leads to equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms—conceptualisations) such that part and parcel of knowledge is to identify and qualify improbable, obscure and shady misanalyses passing for true knowledge (just as the Socratic philosophers
as to their universalising-idealisation and budding-positivists understood respectively with regards to mere-sophistry and mere-scholasticism) with such blurriness failing to grasp relative-ontological-completeness implications and equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms—conceptualisations providing the ubiquitous framework for a poorly accounted for media-driven pop-intellectualism subject to marionetting subterfuges of dominance/vested-interest actors as to a circular interest holding down the profound emancipative potential of the humanities and social sciences as of their inherent sublimating nature (and likewise it is critical to grasp that human sublimation as induced from nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness-reference-of-thought-devolving> equally requires corresponding institutional sublimation that doesn’t just assume a relative-ontological-incompleteness—presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology value-construct and methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising existentialising—enframing as we can appreciate for instance that such modern developments like nuclear science, general technical progress and even the Internet today require corresponding human referencing/registering/decisioning social and institutional sublimation that cannot simply be assumed by ‘default of institutional status/pre-eminence’ without profound questioning and reflection for corresponding prospective sublimation). ¶ and in this regards as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>-epistemic-totalisingly-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as being ever always about the same—immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to—‘human’—<amplituding/formative>-epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal (structuring/paradigming the veracity of knowledge necessarily as being in ontological-contiguity), knowledge-reification construed as of interpretation of say a given historical figure’s theory/philosophy/thought is ever always ‘piorily about the interpreter’s relative-ontological-completeness constructive construal as to the starting reference which is the very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality’ such that in reality ‘the ontological-veracity of interpretation is never truly about a relic/artifactual notion of interpretation of any given historical figure’s theory/philosophy/thought without involving any relative-ontological-completeness conception as to the very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality’ but rather any such a given historical figure articulate their theory/philosophy/thought as of the projected ontological-veracity they make of the very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with existence being exactly the ‘starting/instigative concern (as to relative-ontological-completeness construal) of the interpreter’ and thereof deriving the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing implications (as to aestheticisation and aestheticisation-towards-ontology) with respect to the given historical figure’s theory/philosophy/thought as to relative-ontological-completeness ontological-veracity (and we can appreciate in this regards for instance that as to the very-same-immanent-
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-
'human' amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—purview-of-
construal there was no better interpretation of say the prior foregoing
physics as to when say Einseinian physics was introduced as rather
providing the more profound epistemic-projection perspective for
appreciating the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
aesthetic-tracing implications of such prior foregoing physics like
Newtonian mechanics and other subsequent prior physics conceptions like
Lorentz transformation, Maxwell’s equations, etc. without adopting any
relic/artifactual notion of their interpretation as to equate/level-down
everything across space and time as to an improbable poor sense of
relative-ontological-completeness underlying/organising their
comprehensive conceptualisation), and this insight is very much implicated
in the Derridean and Foucauldian conceptions of interpretation as to the
implicated grasp of projective-insights in deconstruction and genealogy
knowledge-reification gesturings respectively (which by their
underlying/organising implicated 'projective-insights'/epistemic-
projection-in-conflicatedness' of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as to
human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—

( amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as
sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of
the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as so-explicated herein, stand-out particularly as to their re-
originary—as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation-
imbuised-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—projective-
insights'/epistemic-projection-in-conflicatedness'—
of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) of the-very-same-
immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality and thus
structurally/paradigmatically effectively enabling the construal of
sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-
tracing implications of relative-ontological-completeness just as it is so-
implicated in the natural sciences unlike many a presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness knowledge-reification posturing which are
structurally/paradigmatically bugged down in desublimating historicity-
tracing—presencing-imbuied-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as
to their relic/artifactual postures equating/leveling-down everything
across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patterning and
isms—conceptualisations with a poor sense of the projective-
insights/epistemic-projection-in-conflicatedness of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as to underlying/organising relative-
ontological-incompleteness by relative-ontological-completeness
implications), and as is explicitly reflected herein as to the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process imbued
historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing
projective-insights of relative-ontological-completeness implications (so-
reflected as of notional—deprocrypticism or

notional—preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought dimensionality-of-sublimating—
supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-
growth-or-conflicatedness/transvaluable-
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rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—
equalisation profound dispensing-with-immediacy—drastic—
ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension
projected apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—lysergic—psychologisms) thusly
striving to explain everything as of human-subpotency 'fatedness—
sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency, disclosed-from
prospective-epistemic-disgression-as-of-
<amplifying/formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re
perception/re-thought, in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness (in
reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of the human-institutionalisation
process), with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
(<amplifying/formative> epistemic-totalising, as-to-existence—as
sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of
the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological
veridicality, as-to- 'human <amplifying/formative> epistemic
-totalising—purview—of—construal implying necessarily that the intellectual
and-moral valour in the human knowledge-reification exercise is all about
articulating its historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic
tracing as to relative-ontological-completeness ontological-verbatim
while collectively taking pride in the collective advancement thusly
with the very first commitment of the intellectual being 'a prior commitment to
inherent knowledge above all else' including above their very own
theoretical/philosophical/thought postures as so—allowing for the full
human knowledge-reification potential as it is very often a relic/artifactual
attachment to institutionally hallowed postures irrespective of the
implications as to existence—as sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of
prospective-supererogation that brings about the enculturation of
strategies of institutional self-preservation over prospective knowledge
reification, and in this regards 're-originary—as
unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation—imbued
postconverging/dialectical-thinking—projective-insights' /epistemic
projection-in-conflatedness /of—notional—deprocrysticism—prospective
sublimation) relative-ontological-completeness implications as of the
very—same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
enabling the construal of sublimating historiality/ontological
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing' fundamentally reflects how
prospective deconstructing—threshold <uninstitutionalised
threshold/presublimating—desublimating—decisionality>—of—ontological
performance <including—virtue—as—ontology> of human meaningfulness
and—teleology are superseded by mere 'projective-insights' /epistemic
projection-in-conflatedness as to the fact that there is no logical
basis/logic <as—to—transversality-of-affirmative-and—unaffirmative
—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> for any prospective
relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness—teleology with
logic rather being the inner working coherence/contiguity of any such a
relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
construct with the consequence that the prior relative-ontological
incompleteness meaningfulness—teleology logical—basis/logic <as—to—
transversality-of-affirmative—unaffirmative—disambiguated
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> is structurally/paradigmatically
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incompetent-and-irrelevant but for universal human ‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’ capacity to-come-to-terms-with/to-respond-to prospective sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as of human underlying ontological-commitment that then as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure begets the prospective relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construct logical-basis/logic—as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> (as there is no prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism—procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively but for universal human ‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’ capacity to-come-to-terms-with/to-respond-to prospective sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as of human underlying ontological-commitment in then begetting as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure their prospective relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construct logical-bases/logics—as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>) so-reflected starkly in the fact that for instance as to a predisposition in an animistic social-setup to relate to the notion of plane as God of plane—it is rather the effective veracity as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as of human underlying ontological-commitment—that as to induced psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is bound to bring about an animistic change of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construct as mentality rather than any engagement as of prior animistic meaningfulness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construct logical-basis/logic—as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>, but then any such prospective worldview reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving transforming meaningfulness-and-teleology is bound to elicit temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions at any such prospective destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction as so-structurally/paradigmatically associated with an elicited pedantry emphasising the disjointing relative-ontological-incompleteness logical-basis/logic—as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> which is in want for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) is all about ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness in epistemic-totalisingly–resubjecting the collective and individual mortals that we are (however the emotional-involvement as succumbing to temporal impulses is exactly what leads to relic/artifactual conceptions of knowledge bent on institutional self-preservation rather than attending to prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming), there can’t be any pretense as of vague human-subpotency temporal purposes to compromise knowledge as to the fact that only the ‘affirmation as of sublimating veracity’ or ‘unaffirmation as of desublimating impertinence’ reflects organic-knowledge as to its requisite
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument rather than any social or institutional extrinsic-attribution decadent crafts perceived as superseding the requisite intrinsic-attribution for genuine knowledge (even to the extent of temporal institutional or social non-recognition as the primary purpose of knowledge, especially as it reflects prospective human destructuring-threshold–<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology>, is to enable the social and institutional attendance-to/dealing-with its prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming as to human self-surpassing and by this token rather construing of practices of institutional or social recognition within prior institutionalised framework as dispensable/superfluous with regards to prospective knowledge imbued transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity parrhesiastic purposes of prospective knowledge-reification) and so beyond presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and blurriness induced pedantic abandonment to desublimating incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness (in lieu of sublimating maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness with the so-induced universal-transparency–transparency-of-totalising-entailing.–as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) part-and-parcel of the process of human cross-generational transformation more critical and important than any punctual enframed notions of knowledge acquiescence) and with the appropriate intellectual attitude being one beyond the immediate existentialising—enframing as to ‘fundamentally skewing the dynamism in the play of temporal-and-intemporal-dispositions of social-stake-contention-or-confliction of the social-construct towards sublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure’ and in this regards knowledge-reification can only extend as far as eliciting human ontological-commitment as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal and subsequent second-natured human institutionalisation from the universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing.–as-to-entailing–
meaningfulness-and-teleology


perspective

antiakrasiatic–maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—


logically-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—<construed-as-to-act-execution-or-logical-implications-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement>

logically-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation

maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) as of the implied reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as—reproducibility-of-aesthetisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought (as to elicable <amplituding/formative> wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), thus rendering 'propositional compatibility as of mutual aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring' improbable as both are affirmative whereas in reality the former should be affirmed and the latter should be unaffirmed thus explaining why only a 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposing' can arise from the former over the latter to restore ontological-veracity, and this is enabled/validated only by their mutually supposedly coherent ontological-commitment underlying any society/social-setup conventioning as so reflected by its 'self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction' enabling the relative-ontological-completeness 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposing (and not propositional-convinced-of-dialogical-equivalence)' over the relative-ontological-incompleteness cross-generationally as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework sublimating implications, reflecting the fact that there is no base-institutionalisation propositional-convinced-of-dialogical-equivalence of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation but rather a 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposing' arising as of their ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework sublimating implications pointing out that base-institutionalisation is relatively as to existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in-supererogatory—epistemic-confoundedness and this notion of 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposing (and not propositional-convinced-of-dialogical-equivalence)' applies likewise in 'affirming relative existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in-supererogatory—epistemic-confoundedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation implications' of universalisation over base-institutionalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism over universalisation, and prospectively deprocrpticism over our positivism—procrpticism, and such a state of improbable propositional-convinced-of-dialogical-equivalence arises because of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness shiftiness-of-the-Self associated with human sovereign-
notional-deprocrypticism as of deprocrypticism construction-of-the-Self from whence its implied specialised/profound knowledge-construct can be engaged in deferential-formalisation-transference (without the possibility of sophist/pedantic undermining like the eliciting of various temporal manifestations of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction implications) even if the vast majority of humans don’t have a thorough grasp of deprocrypticism implied profund/specialisms knowledge-construct implications

neuterising


neuterisation


nondescript/ignoreable void

equalisation as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism' induced 'prospective
intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality
parrhesiastic seeding—promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence
antiakrasiastic-aspiration ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-
onontology> 

and it is herein that the notion of construction-of-the-Self is
central as to the implication that meaningfulness-and-teleology
ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> involves 'direct
bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for
appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-
onephological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in order for the
upholding of anamnesis (as to when ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-
signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications—<as-to-existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-
the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-
which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-
overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence> from
such human-subpotency prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition,—as—reproductibility-of-aestheticisation is implied), as to the
fact that with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction the prior
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproductibility-of-
aestheticisation <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—imbued—
temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-
reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
at its destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating—desublimating—decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> cannot uphold/uptake the
prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness-and-
teleology as it rather engages with such prospective knowledge in
complexification of its prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition,—as—reproductibility-of-aestheticisation

<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-dragnatured/preconverging-
or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) which is alien to the requisite
prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s parrhesiastic value-
ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness implications; hence the <amplituding/formative>wooden-
language—(imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-dragnatured/preconverging-
or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of a prior registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-
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non-presencing

normalcy/postconvergence> speaks to the transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-dementivity that is ecstatic-existence as phenomenologically reflecting existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation 'both as signifier-as-to-transcending (speaking of human-subpotency ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> perspective of the changing transcending-and-sublimity of existence reflected as to sublimating notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity and desublimating notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—

(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications and signified-as-to-immanency (speaking of ontological-contiguity perspective of the unchanging immanency of existence as oneness-of-ontology as to the coherence underlying the very possibility for construing-and-reconstruing of intelligibility in existence) 'so-construed as reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, and critically in this regards reductionist conceptions will wrongly tend to imply 'human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholding—<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms/distortedly—the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>' supersedes the 'scalality/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence'—this further explains why reductionisms (as to their <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating implications) fail to reflect non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> as to the requisite human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—

(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) knowledge-reification gesturing and with such reductionisms rather inducing presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation—outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity poor and relic/artifactual conceptions of knowledge that poorly contemplates of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications, and so as 'failing to override apriorising constitutedness with apriorising conflatedness as the latter enables relative-ontological-completeness implications to be drawn' in keeping tab of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation 'both as signifier-as-to-transcending (speaking of human-subpotency ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> perspective of the changing transcending-and-sublimity of existence reflected as to sublimating notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity and desublimating notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications and signified-as-to-immanency (speaking of ontological-contiguity perspective of the unchanging immanency of
existence as oneness-of-ontology as to the coherence underlying the very possibility for construing-and-reconstruing of intelligibility in existence’ so construed as reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence; the failure to adopt such a non-presencing-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> apriorising conflatedness construal (underlined by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly-,as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as to existential-contextualising-contiguity ‘implied
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatednesss of ontological-contiguity’) is critically associated with presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness academicism proliferation of isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning’ articulated rather as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (wherein the knowledge-reification gesturing is simply construed ‘out of idly/singly abstractable logical possibilities for such ‘isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning’ and not-or-poorly aspiring to portray the unchanging immanent-backdrop construable-and-reconstruable as of existential contextualising in ontological-contiguity in
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’) as to disparateness-of-conceptualisation—unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect—immanent-ontological-contiguity’> and thus with the ‘ontologically-flawed implication that the absolute a priori is not construed as existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ but instead any of such given isms—conceptualisations and associated reductionisms now substituting for the unchanging immanent-backdrop of existence-contextualising-contiguity as the absolute a priori of conceptualisation as of vague academicism proceduralisms in totally-visibly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought, and so rather than a knowledge-reification gesturing of foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism that starts-from-and—remains-in/is-of-epistemical-embeddedness-with existential-contextualising-contiguity (as to prospective knowledge-reification gesturing ‘implied <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatednesss of ontological-contiguity’) in construing of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint to be conceptually superseded/overcome in transcendence-and-sublinitv/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity as is the case with all true science/ontology so-reflectd in their historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (consider in this regards the apriorising conflatedness, in reflecting the unchanging immanent-backdrop of existence-contextualising-contiguity, of recurrent aspiration
for ontological-contiguity across
Galilean/Cartesian/Newtonian/Leibnizian physics to present day string-theory/loop-quantum-gravity/etc. as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supercorogation, ever always being about conceptually superseding/overcoming the physics epistemic-conception prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in producing the ‘successive sublimating physics as successive
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating conception of ontological-contiguity of physics across-the-times’ rather than an apriorising constitutedness disposition for the mere articulation of idle/single ‘isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning’ as of elaboration-as-merely-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity lacking
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating profound-and-contiguous knowledge-reification gesturing and in fact one of the most critical/challenging epistemic concern of physicists today given the increasing theoretical abstraction is in preempting such a development of a conceptualising that poorly aligns with the epistemic-totality of existential-contextualising-contiguity however difficult the available experimental possibilities for portraying prospective sublimation, and it should further be noted here that the successive sublimating physics across-the-times ‘are of complementary historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing and rather so as successive
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating profound-and-contiguous knowledge-reification gesturings and ‘not any naïve shallowminded comparison of commonality of ‘isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning’ failing priorly to disambiguate the successive knowledge-reification gesturings across-the-times as preceding-and-framing any given concepts’ like failing to realise that the ‘notion of time in physics’ priorly speaks to different physics ‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications’ across-the-times as to physics relative-ontological-completeness conception as from pre-Newtonian/Leibnizian notion of time, Newtonian/Leibnizian notion of time, Einsteinian notion of time up to present-day physics theories notion of time reflecting the epistemic-veracity that there is no sound concept and conceptualising without the ‘priorly projected ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity and as of the relative-ontological-completeness implied profoundness’ within which any such concept and conceptualising is articulated and ‘this effectively contrasts with such apriorising constitutedness disposition naïve shallowminded isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning’ that equates/level-down everything across space and time as to wrongly imply everything is of the same ontological-contiguity thus with a poor grasp of ‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness
implications’ and so ‘as to a superficiality and inauthenticity that is patently incapable of construing underlying human

epistem-totalising–thrownness-in-existence
relevant human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint to be superseded and rather
often directly/indirectly contravene/disregard such parrhesiastic insights’
as so-often instigated with such idle/single ‘isms–conceptualisations mere
conceptual-patterning’ in apriorising constitutedness as of elaboration-as-
merely-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-
elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity and which in so
doing do not satisfy: foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—
sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism as to

‘epistem-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
existential-contextualising-contiguity in elucidating ontological-
contiguity<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
epistemic/notional–projective-perspective> ’ with the consequence of
failing/poorly reflecting ‘the requisite ontologically-pertinent dynamic
theoretical–conceptual–operant depth/profundness for addressing
subject-matters as epistemic-conceptions as to their given/defined human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint with respect to
originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum
ent—for–conceptualisation’), with foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-
down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-
of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism operantly implying
‘drawing out the full epistem-totalising/circumscribing/delineating implications of
assertions/claims/conceptualisations as of ontological-contiguity in
reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity such that there is hardly
any notional–disjointedness of the assertions/claims/conceptualisations as
validating their ontological-veracity’; on the other hand, the ‘knowledge-
reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-
contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness
implications’ of deconstruction, genealogy and other critical theory
practices are meant to articulate meaningfulness-and-
teleology/conceptualisations by their
derivation/delineation/disambiguation as from human epistemic-
embeddedness in existence so-construed as thrownness (as to the
phenomenological aspiration/possibility for overcoming imbued deficiency
construed as metaphysics-of-presence as defining/given human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint by their originariness-
parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum
ent–for–conceptualisation) in reflecting relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness implications of knowledge-reification gesturing and in many ways the poor appreciation of postmodern-thought is very much associated with their critics fundamentally poor grasp of the precedence of ‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications’ over mere apriorising constitutedness shallowminded articulation of conceptualisations with a poor sense of relative-ontological-completeness implications ‘as so-exemplified with naïve truth relativism accusations as to the weirdly and wrongly implied posture that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–


reflecting-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–by–preconverging-or-
dermenting-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology> of the
successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought–and–
reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as to their
‘aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology of human
ontological-performance.<including-virtue-as-ontology> ·¶ (as to
‘human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-
development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology’) in notionally/epistemically construing the ontological-
performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology> of human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening–(<amplitude/indicating>epistemic-totalisingly, as-
to-existence–as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) implied successive registry-worldviews/dimensions
translated as the various specifically given de-scalarising of the
‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence’ (as to the specific neuterising/ascriptivities
construed as specifically given ‘human-subpotency non-
scalarity/beholdening–<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-
structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-
ontologisation>) and so-reflected respectively as recurrent-utter-
institutionalisation <amplitude/indicating>epistemic-
totalising–random-as-impulsive de-scalarising’, base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation <amplitude/indicating>epistemic-
totalising–nominal-as-tendentious de-scalarising’, universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism <amplitude/indicating>epistemic-
totalising–ordinal-as-qualifying de-scalarising’ and positivism–
procrpticism <amplitude/indicating>epistemic-totalising–intervalist-as-categorising de-scalarising’ while paradoxically wrongly assuming (as
to their <amplitude/indicating>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) the ontological-
performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology> of the ‘scalarity/immanency
of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ so-implied
verbically as to the deneuterising/deascriptivity of depocrpticism or
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
<amplitude/indicating>epistemic-totalising–ratio-
contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism scalarising’ ·¶ (thus ‘scalarising
of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ effectively speaks of ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence analysis as to non-presencing–<as-to-
perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> implications while
‘de-scalarising of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ effectively speaks
of epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence analysis as to the specifically
given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness registry-
worldview/dimension), and it should be noted as well that besides the
defining de-scalarising of any specifically given registry-
worldview/dimension as reference-of-thought epistemic-totality of
meaningfulness-and-teleology, the successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions as to their reference-of-thought-devolving further
involve ‘devolving de-scalarising and scalarising of human
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) institutional-being-and-craft ladened (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) with sophistic strategies of empty/vague process and pedantry, vague sensibility/decorum-drivenness, providing credence to frivolity over equanimity, emotional gimmickiness/ manipulation as well as surreptitious practices of perfidious/double-dealing/betraying as to ‘dilutive/drowning and sabotaging imposturing/jumbling/sleight in undermining prospective genuine knowledge-reification’ for agenda-driven deceitful/dastardly/scheming purposes in proximity with deceptive supposedly objectively mediatrice institutions, and so as to underlying ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity–structure inducing a social intellectual impotency undermining the supposed purpose of veridically
cumulating/expanding the breadth of human knowledge as to an intellectual potency that never/hardly comes but for its institutional-being-and-craft human-subpotency agency (in disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>) substituting for and in many ways not exposed to the sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-confoundedness, so-associated with sycophantic beholdenness to socially dominant vested-interests/actors reflecting an underlying overall procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—immanent-ontological-contiguity’> as to prior descalarising totalisingly—disentailing—disposition/disentailment/non-drivenness—of—individuals—suboptimal-instigative-potency—of—human-temporal—to-intemporal-dispositions-accordingness—
as—of—varying—individuations—contextually—transverse—desublimation/sublimation,—as-to-the—redounding/wavering/ waveforming—of—their—referencing—and—their—devolved—referencing—inbued—ontological—performance—<including—virtue—
as—ontology> (as of dimensionality—of—sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de—mentativeness/epistemic—
and critically so, as to the fact that supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation rather speaks of ‘one long continuous whole of human originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as of notional–deprocriptism’ which as guiding spirit no human prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation can pretend to ignore-and-override without falling into perversion of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to pedantry and/or sophistry by mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing in gimmickiness/desublimation, as it underlies the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-confoundedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure with regards to the fact that by the inherently implied institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds of any given registry-worldview/dimension as reflecting the preconverging-or–dementing–apriorising–psychologism perspective in shallower teleological depth ‘there is no neutrally sound knowledge in relative-ontological-incompleteness as to when prospective insight about the relative-ontological-incompleteness deficient ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> existentially avails as reflecting prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought–
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intellectual-and-moral irresponsibility notwithstanding the fact that the possibility for all prospective knowledge-reification arises as of ontological-good-faith/authenticity reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning induced sublimation-over-desublimation), and in many ways human cognitive confliction at uninstitutionalised-thresholds doesn’t imply the given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness is the ontologically-veridical framing for reconstruing human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> even as it is the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism/mental-schema since it is fundamentally about overcoming the latter’s <amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of prospective secondnaturing institutionalisation as revealed when it turns away from inherent-and-genuine knowledge-reification into strategies of social-chainism/social-influence and effectively the possibility for all prospective human sublimation-over-desublimation rather implies the possibility for human solipsistic firstnature superseding and overriding of any given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness with re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—’projective-insights’/’epistemic-projection-in-confatedness’-of-notional—deprocrpticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation (as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-confatedness) and the corresponding social secondnaturing, as thus enabling and explaining the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with genuine-knowledge ever always about ‘adopting an uncompromising bluntness to solipsistic falsehood and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ as to its self-contained intemporal purpose as of the very defining tradition of all such historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing sublimation-over-desublimation so-construed as intellectualism with respect to the fact that there can’t be any ontology/science where any mortal by mere status and influence can be excepted directly or indirectly from ontological analysis implications as this then structurally/paradigmatically defines how the supposed ontology/science is bound to flop theoretically—conceptually—operantly (and in many ways explains the current crisis/usurpation of the social intellectual-function wherein socially dominant vested-interests/actors come to surreptitiously assume ascendence as to generalised social intellectual apathy that leads to the relegating of ‘true intellectualism’ into ‘expertising as a useful secondary adjunct’ to any whatever primary interest hence rendering the latter susceptible to perversion/impertinence/impotency and incapable of genuinely driving a specific or general human and social emancipatory vision) and this is particularly the case with an ontology/science that claims to construe of the pervasiveness of postlogism social implications as associated say with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in non-positivistic social-constructs or postlogism psychopathy social
implications as to our positivism–procrypticism social-construct thus requiring that any such ontologically illegitimate perverted dynamics of social status and influence is necessarily trampled upon to structurally/paradigmatically preserve the possibility of an ontology/science and so notwithstanding any sophistic disposition to elicit


<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag against the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-refication/contemplative-distension associated with all such prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming superseding sublimation-over-desublimation; in this respect, the ‘equalisation of all historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ as to the dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding/formative> supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation is exactly what reflects supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation as ‘one long continuous whole of human originariness–parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ (that precedes-and-defines registry-worldviews/dimensions mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising as to human-subpotency) as it is so-fundamentally tied down to ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure reflecting the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} in the face of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, as to the fact that the intemporal-projection (driven as of ontological-good-faith/authenticity) associated with the reference-of-thought–categorical- imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in respectively superseding prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism addressing/bound-to-address their given prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint are flipped-about mechanically as of mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising temporal-projection (driven as of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity) in respectively undermining the attainment of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism as to the fact that such temporal-projection associated with sophistic and
pedantic tendencies are rather of presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness relation with prior reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology originally meant to address prior
human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint (as so-reflected with the
sophists satisfaction with non-universalising sophistry in the face of
Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation, medieval scholastics
satisfaction with non-positivising pedantry in the face of budding-
positivism as well as with today’s intellectual-muddlement-
(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-
completeness) of poor knowledge-reification gesturing that fails
‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of
existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-
completeness implications’ and for instance naively interprets
enlightenment thinkers in presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness terms while lacking the originariness-parrhesia,—as—
spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness for
addressing our procrystalism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint and wrongly and defectively
decontextualising enlightenment thought into the present as of
disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-
 failing-to-reflect- immanent-ontological-contiguity > that fail the
notional—deprocrystalism foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—
sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrystalism operant test of
’drawing out the full <amplitude/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating implications of
assertions/claims/conceptualisations as of ontological-contiguity in
reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity such that there is hardly
any notional—disjointedness of the assertions/claims/conceptualisations as
validating their ontological-veracity’); and to perfectly understand what
is meant by ‘equalisation of all historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aesthetisation—
aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ as to the dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<amplitude/formative>supererogatory—de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—
equalisation, the idea is that as of underlying maximalising-
recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness for institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recompose with regards to reference-of-
thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-
teology implications had Socrates as typifying universalising-
idealisation Socratic philosophers been at the more profound human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
(<amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) aporetic
possibility for prospective positivism/rational-empiricism as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation he would have supererogatorily (even as there is no universalising-idealisation logical-basis/logic-as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative, disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> for advocating any such positivism/rational-empiricism but for Socrates ‘aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-performance—including-virtue-as-ontology> which manifested in inducing universalising-idealisation over prior non-universalising sophistry which had no logical-basis/logic—as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative, disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> for any such universalising-idealisation) acted as Descartes as typifying the budding-positivists and likewise had Descartes and Socrates been at the more profound human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—

(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) aporetic possibility for prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought as articulated herein they would have supererogatorily adopted this same deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought insight as to the scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (as the underlying idea of notional—deprocrypticism as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation speaks of ‘the successive supererogatory maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as scalarisation for institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposurer cross-generational levels of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—


supererogatory—austerity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure’ as preceding-and-defining in addressing human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint before-and-over any so-derived mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation with respect to the fact that ontological-pertinence rather priorly lies with the addressing of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint (and this is the fundamental insight about all knowledge and philosophical interpretations as rather construed implicitly or explicitly as of difference-conflatedness—astotalitative-reification-in-singularisation—as-veridical-epistemic-determinism in aporetically reflecting prospectively the ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure underlying human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) in foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism and so as superseding presening—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness which poor aporetic hardly contemplates of such profound prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—

(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications and rather adopting the framework of prior mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising reflecting the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality ‘as to the fact that dimensionality-of-sublimating—
supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicty/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is aporetically the more fundamental incipient/seeding originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation to both Descartes thinking-proposition for budding-positivism and Socrates’s universalising-idealisation in turn secondarily inducing their respective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ and thus in many ways the naïve/flawed conception of Platonism and Cartesianism today arise as to a reasoning as from reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation perspective whereas Descartes and Plato—and—Plato’s Socrates are more fundamentally involved in an aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming exercise with respect to medieval-scholasticism non-positivising and ancient-sophists non-universalising respectively ‘which is defining of where philosophy commences ’ as ‘philosophy commences with dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicty/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ and in turn such naïve conception of philosophy as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, by equating/leveling-down everything across space and time and failing to grasp the implications of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposuring aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening.—epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation so-underlied herein as to de-mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation—or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding—or-attributive-dialectics), is what today underlies the misanalysis/overemphasis of say Humean or Kantian philosophy as if of differently evolved framing to Descartes’s thinking-proposition thus leading to their positivism/rational-empiricism relative presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation poorly contemplative prospectively of the more fundamental incipient/seeding originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for prospective philosophical framing as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as so-implied with advanced postmodern-thought), and their equalisation exactly implies that Descartes and budding-positivists and Socrates and universalising-idealisation Socratic philosophers are more profoundly construed more than just as of their mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but are rather critically construed as to their ‘parrhesiastic disposedness’ with regards to their prospective
aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming addressed in foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism and it is this that more profoundly informs their thought and make them ever always relevant as to their respective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in the overall human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (as the ‘veracity of all prior human aporeticism self-surpassing of reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and—teleology in reflection of the immanence of existence as the very same all along’ has ever always veridically been about attaining deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought but for human limited-mentation-capacity implications thus inducing the entailing dynamics of ‘the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming thresholds of existential apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rule’ as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) towards originariness/origination—<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>—as deprocrypticism in overcoming any relative presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ and so no different from say human aporeticism self-surpassing associated with construing what-matter-is-made-up-of as of the succession of such defining questioning and answers across registry-worldviews/dimensions even if just as with overall existence concerning overall human meaningfulness-and-teleology what-matter-is-made-up-of equally remains immanently the same all along but for human aporeticism implications of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) pointing out that the veracity of the questioning and answers about what-matter-is-made-up-of by the Democrituses and others is veridically as of the prospective profoundness of such questioning and answers being wrestled with today as the sublimated modern day and future developments of physics and so as to the physics epistemic-conception human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implied ‘originariness/origination—<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> in overcoming any relative presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’), and our own present ‘originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure’ is rather about not construing of their prior mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity failing to factor in their relative-ontological-incompleteness human limited-mentation-capacity aporetic context so as to falsely justify our present procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and then fail to address our own prospective aporetic context as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation but rather lies in conceptualising how to reconstrue of their projected ‘originariness-parrhesia,–as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure’ in the light of our present human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) aporetic context so-reflected as our prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint and this is what crucially explains the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective of analysis assumed herein as to our prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought aporeticism resolvable as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as a further human foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism with this insight pointing to ‘the unassailability/centrality across all times of human dimensionality-of-sublimating—(<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutive-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation with regards to human knowledge-reification’ (given that later generations don’t need to reinvent from scratch the ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> level achieved by the successive preceding generations as to institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure and can then redirect more critically their limited-mentation-capacity to further advance human self-surpassing to overcome prospective human aporeticism), and this insight points out that human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality is more fundamentally formative as to human projected ‘originariness-parrhesia,–as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure’ and is a central conceptualisation for the deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism in undermining temporal distorting/undermining of prospective knowledge-reification
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology

notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity¬<mentally-
aestheticised¬postconverging/dialectical-thinking¬qualia-schema>¬(in-
'mutual
supererogatory¬acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness¬of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument',¬whether-with-regards-to-mutual-relative-ontological-
incompleteness-or-mutual-relative-ontological-completeness—(of-the-
underlying-reference-of-thought-level),¬notwithstanding-differing-
temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-ontological-performance—<including-
virtue-as-ontology>s-as-to-reference-of-thought-devolving-level-as-
implying-differing-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring),¶ notional-
contiguity/epistemic-contiguity¬<mentally-
aestheticised¬postconverging/dialectical-thinking¬qualia-schema> (as of
such 'mutual
supererogatory¬acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness¬of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument') rather speaks to difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-
logicising;¶ and finally, as-of-the-epistemic-veracity-implications-for-
knowledge-construal as implied with ‘the-specific-notional-
contiguity/epistemic-contiguity¬<mentally-
aestheticised¬postconverging/dialectical-thinking¬qualia-schema>—of-
ontological-contiguity’, notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity¬
<mentally-aestheticised¬postconverging/dialectical-thinking¬qualia-
schema> speaks-of-the-epistemic-normalcy-and-ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-of-analysis

notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity¬<mentally-
aestheticised¬preconverging/dementing¬qualia-schema>¬(in-differing-
relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-relative-ontological-
completeness—at-reference-of-thought-level-as-implying—'differing
supererogatory¬acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness¬of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument',¬'fundamentally-implying-at-their-reference-of-thought-devolving-
level-the-irrelevance-or-ontological-importance-of-the-relative-
ontological-incompleteness-in-relation-to-the-relevance-or-ontological-
veracity-of-the-relative-ontological-completeness—for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring);¶ notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity¬<mentally-
aestheticised¬preconverging/dementing¬qualia-schema> (as of such
differing-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-relative-ontological-
completeness—at-reference-of-thought-level-as-implying—'differing
supererogatory¬acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness¬of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument') rather speaks to difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-
axiomatising;¶ and finally, as-of-the-epistemic-veracity-implications-for-
knowledge-construal as implied with ‘the-specific-notional-
contiguity/epistemic-contiguity¬<mentally-
aestheticised¬postconverging/dialectical-thinking¬qualia-schema>—of-

ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity


ontological-commitment

existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-confoundedness, in the
sense that human social, institutional and conceptual constructions (as to
to their projected ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to social-
stake-contention-or-confliction’) warrant that ‘the capacity to fulfil the
prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-
mentativity function’ like prospective cure from the doctor, prospective
technical transformation from the technician/engineer, prospective
scientific breakthrough from the researcher, prospective social
transformation from the social scientist/advocate/policymaker, etc. rather
supersedes human prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-
equivalence—<as-superseded-logical-basis> (as to its naïve pretence of
mere logical convincing rather than prospective transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity implications) as the
prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—<as-
superseded-logical-basis> is more of prior reasoning-from-
results/afterthought secondnatured institutionalisation derived from ‘prior
reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning induced transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity out of prior human
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued—
deretermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality’; thus dialogical-equivalence as of prior
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation (especially as prospectively susceptible
at the institutionalised-threshold to human temporality/shortness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-
thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology—as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) induced
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-
or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-
impertativices/axioms/registry-teleology)) cannot substitute for prospective
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity
as of prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation as to prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseding-logical-basis> as rather
tied/constrained to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-
supererogatory—epistemic-confoundedness, explaining why all prospective
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity
are rather about breaking from prior reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation,—and in this regards, the ontological-commitment
significance of prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseding-logical-basis> rather arises as ‘a
disclosed prospective relative as constitutedness superseded prior as prospective deprocrypticism rather notionally/epistemically entails its superseded apriorising/axiomatising/referencing notionally/epistemically entailed any arose in the first place as before then such notions did not equivalence quantum mechanics, prospective greek apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conception of genes formalisation socially surpassing deferential mentativity prospective (but only as so researcher, prospective social transformation from the technologist, etc. initiative is not critically about logically engaging the social framework in its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence superseded-logical-basis but rather eliciting 'prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity percolation-channelling as-to-social/institutional/conceptual-constructs formation/establishment/superseding—metaphoricity', human ontological-commitment as such implies that the doctor, researcher, technologist, etc. that the respective notions arose in the first place as before then such notions did not notionally/epistemically entailed any prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence superseded-logical-basis and likewise it is herein contended that prospective deprocrypticism rather notionally/epistemically entails its prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence superseded-logical-basis beyond-and-superseding any pretence of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence superseded-logical-basis as to our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness manifestation of positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness as-of-reference-of-thought and so as of human reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-completeness implied existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
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perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-confaltness
ontological-contiguity–(as-of-the-effectively-operant-implications-of
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument
-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring);¶ as
of-affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating
logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating
measuring/postconverging–or-dialectical-thinking-of-prospective-relative
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, while implying as of the
same unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating
logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring
<preconverging–or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>–of-prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought;¶ and
ontological-contiguity speaks–of-and-inherently-implies notional
contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-
aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as
from the perspective of relative-ontological-completeness in ontological
contiguity, for instance as of 'the very same physics
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain
of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-verity/identity/existential
reality', the state of relative-ontological-completeness of theory-of
relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs with
respect to the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness of classical
mechanics—axiomatic-constructs implies that the former perspective is of
notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-
aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> since
its perspective provides knowledge about itself and enlightens the
interpretation of the latter as to its correctness-and-flaws, while the latter
perspective is rather of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity
<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> since
it cannot grasp the overall picture of its own correctness-and-flaws and
furthermore it is inherently in no position to analyse and account for the
picture of the correctness-and-flaws of the former, and insightfully this
equally explains why prospective notional–deprocrypticisation perspective
implying existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic
digression-as-of–<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising–renewing
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory–epistemic
confaltness as to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism is the notional
contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-
aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> for
articulating and explaining the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human
institutionalisation-process since it is the most profound human state of
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating
logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating
measuring/postconverging–or-dialectical-thinking-of-prospective-relative
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought;¶ it should be noted
here that there is no such thing as 'ontological-discontiguity' by the mere
fact that ontology/intrinsic-reality/existence/existential-reality is the superseding—oneness-of-ontology and any 'supposedly implied ontological incoherence' (that may arise from human poor grasp of ontology/intrinsic-reality/existence/existential-reality) is rather as of human reference-of-thought relatively deficient perception/construal that then actually speaks of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity <mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> just as human reference-of-thought relatively efficient perception/construal 'supposedly attaining ontological-contiguity' speaks of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity <mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>, likewise there is no such thing 'ontological-decadence' but rather 'epistemic-decadence' or teleological-decadence <in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
<amplituding/formative> supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation>, and going by the very same reasoning while there is 'ontological-normalcy' however there is no such thing as 'ontological-abnormalcy' but rather human 'epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence', and further there is no such thing as ontological-causality/metaphysical-causality as 'existence as of its inherent immanency is tautologically all the causation that there is as to its overall ontological-contiguity' and all the notion of causality that is relevant thereof is indissociable from human-subpotency epistemic-situation (as to human teleology so-construed as 'human phenomenal/manifest concepitivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting <amplituding/formative> disposedness–(as-to-orientation/value-construct/value–and–derived-parameterising) and <amplituding/formative> entailment–(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability))’, underlied as of overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity–of-ecstatic-existence-as–panintelligibility–<imbued–and–educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif–and–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>) speaking of epistemic-causality as to human relative-ontological-completeness conflatedness implications, with the idea of ontological-causality/metaphysical-causality rather a confusion arising out of human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (and this further translates to imply that existence is what is of 'immanent determination’ notwithstanding 'human-subpotency epistemic-causality imbued underdetermination’ of the ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity determination that is existence’ such that a notion like overdetermination is also a confusion arising out of human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness given that there can’t be any determination superseding the ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity determination that is existence’ with any exaggerated <as-supposedly–overdetermination> or understated <as-supposedly-underdetermination> conception of determination rather speaking of 'human-subpotency epistemic-causality imbued underdetermination’ in waiting for the validative/invalidative manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of—
of an ‘imbricated/threaded/recomposuring reflexivity-connection between
episodicity and ontologisation of existential-phenomena-and-
epiphénomènes-subpotencies as to overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-
conflatedness’) basically because there is nothing beyond existence and
‘all phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies are epistic situations that speak
to the transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity that is existence’ as ‘there is no
whole that is construable as existence and then beside that whole the
epistic-conception of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies-<in-
transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> of
the said whole’ but rather ‘the full-potency of existence is integrative of
phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies in transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity
as the whole’ such that a full human epistic construal of existential
phenomena/manifestations should necessarily involve insight (as to overall
reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-
panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistic-
perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>) about ‘the
specific human-subpotency in transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity in
existence (just as of all other phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies-<in-
transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> of
sufficiently relevant epistic-conception)’, and this is exactly what
epistically underlies the the construal of knowledge-reification as the
‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-
inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-
enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied
-consciousness’; critically, (as from its notional-contiguity/epistic-
contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–
qualia-schema> perspective of construal as human knowledge-reification
and sublimation) ontological-contiguity implied ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence thus reflects that what is central-and-defining
is human notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> as of its
formativeness/formative-existential-process (that is as of
epistic/notional lack of notional-contiguity/epistic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-
schema>), so-construable as to the <amplifying/formative>epistic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
ontological-contiguity of overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-
ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-
subpotency–epistic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> with regards to
‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-
restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-
and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of
prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-
temporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’, and this then explains
the defective ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of
all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness meaningfulness-
and-teleology as structurally/paradigmatically (as to de-mentation–
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process

ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (as of its "amplifying/formative" epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entainment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity' )—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
epistemic/notional—projective-perspective>) , speaks of overall philosophical depth of contemplation as to 'coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing' as 'true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-
framework-or-narrative-framework) induced
ontological-good-faith/authenticity

ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure


ontological-performace<-including-virtue-as-ontology> of human

amplituding/formative
epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought—supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness as to—ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism, and the further operant reference-of-thought-devolving of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of any such given reference-of-thought existential-contextualising-contiguity instantiations of

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring temporal-to-intemporal meaningfulness-and-teleology;¶ ontological-performance-
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amplituding/formative
human ‘referencing/registering/decisioning of shallow-supererogation—
to—profound-supererogation conception of social-stake-contention-or-
confliction’, and in this regards just as say medicine in the understanding
of the body for restructuring/reparadigm the possibility of curing is
way more than just curing (as to the fact that at any given moment in time
just a little proportion of the human population is actually/directly in quest
for medical attention) with the even grander social implications of modern
medicine being the ‘overall supererogatory—de-mentative
restructuring/reparadigm of social expectations/anticipations of
healthy behaviour and healthy living existentialising—framing/imprinting ’
likewise the articulation of human ontological-performance<including-
virtue-as-ontology> (as to relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-
ontological-completeness) is much more than just as of the ‘direct
conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity’ but speaks to the ‘overall
supererogatory—de-mentative restructuring/reparadigm of social
expectations/anticipations as of prospective human ontological-
performance<including-virtue-as-ontology> existentialising—
framing/imprinting’ associated with relative-ontological-completeness
implications (as to the fact for instance that say the prevalence of notions-
and-accusations-of-sorcery as inducing vices-and-impediments in a non-
positivistic social-setup is much more than just about doing away with the
‘direct conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity’ of incidental manifestations of
notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in such a non-positivistic social-setup
but rather the ‘overall supererogatory—de-mentative restructuring/reparadigm of social
expectations/anticipations of human ontological-performance<including-virtue-as-ontology> in adopting a
positivistic existentialising—framing/imprinting’ are even much more
momentous in myriad of positivistic ways and along the same lines it is
herein contended that more than just doing away with the ‘direct
conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity’ of incidental manifestations of our
procrysticism/disjoinedness-as-of-reference-of-thought the ‘overall
supererogatory—de-mentative restructuring/reparadigming social
expectations/anticipations of human ontological-performance<including-
virtue-as-ontology> in adopting prospective
deprocrysticism/preempting—disjoinedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
existentialising—framing/imprinting’ are even much more profoundly
significant as to potentially reflecting ‘human-decisionality<as-to-play-
of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> omni-
potential commensurability with inherent immanent-existence’s
sublimation-structure/omni-potentiality, and in all these instances such an
expanded implication for prospective human ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> arise as to the epistemic-projection
perspective of relative profound-supererogation is ‘not of
referenced/registered/decisioned self-presence/self-constitutedness’ but
rather ‘of referencing/registering/decisioning self-becoming/self-
conflicatedness/formative—supererogating’ involving renewed self-
awareness as to prospective construction-of-the-Self)

ontological-
primemovers-
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework / totalitative-accruing—
relative-cause-and-effect-predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-
gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-healthcare-and-medical-delivery reflects the ‘epistemic-veracity of human conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising’ towards construing the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier speaking of ‘ontological-prime mover-totalitative-framework as causality as of construction’, whereas a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness will naively equate any one of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s given perceptivity of ‘health epiphenomenon of existence’ in which it projects-mentally-by-its-reference-of-thought as the ‘absolute basis for construing, defining and refining the conception of causality’ failing to factor-in that it is rather in an ‘epistemic situation as of epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence in relative-ontological-incompleteness’ requiring not such a constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing but rather a conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in relative-ontological-completeness in reflecting the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier (this ontological-prime movers-totalitative-implications insight about causality as reflected with the health epiphenomenon can be extended to all domains construed as for-human-studies/for-human-constructs for the simple reason that all such domains are of ‘epistemically manifest historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in existential-contextualising-contiguity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

{amplifying/formative}epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’. and this explains why a registry-worldview/dimension is a <amplifying/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-’nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} with the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness just as well aspiring for progress just as the state of relative-ontological-completeness but the former failing to grasp that progress structurally/paradigmatically arises rather by a change of supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/strument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology in existence, such that even such budding positivists like Newton or Descartes while making breakthroughs as of positivism/rational-empiricism are still caught up in ‘reasoning as of the old’ non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing respectively with Newton’s interests in alchemy and in the case of Descartes lingering religious sacrality/inviolability influence/grip on his thoughts; causality as herein construed as ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework can thus be understood as the ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’ as so constructively implied herein, as to the reality that
'a traditional conception of causality as if human-subpotency is constituting the possibility for causations in existence' is herein construed as ontologically-flawed as it fails to reflect that existence is already a given and the very exercise of 'human-subpotency construal of causation is one of conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing about the already given existence' and so as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility—<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, speaking to the fact that existence is rather about ecstatic reflexivity as all phenomena/manifestations in existence (so-construed as phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies—<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity—in-the-full-potency-of-existence>) are as of their specifically/notionally enabled reifying and empowering; finally it is just as important to grasp also here that the 'articulation as human-causative-construction' of the notions of 'temporal individuations or temporal-dispositions' and 'intemporal individuation or intemporal disposition' are rather conceived epistemically as of their structural/paradigmatic implications from the perspective of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier and thus are construed as of their 'structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness', reflecting a human-causative-construction conception in conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing about existence as ontologically-veridical (as it is the 'totalitative epistemic/notional—projective-perspective' that points out the veridical conception of causation) and so over a traditional reflex construal of human causation in constitutedness as of presenting—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (wherein for instance with regards to prospective human-causative-construction, as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility—<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, prospective aetiology/ontology/ontological-escalation say with respect to a temporal-disposition for accusing others of sorcery in a social-setup cognisant-and-integrative of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in conjugation and protraction of other temporal dispositions, speaks to the structural/paradigmatic implications of 'non-positivism notional—procrpticism/notional—disjointedness—of-reference—of-thought' induced vices-and-impediments as destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance requiring prospective intemporal-disposition projection as of the 'specific notional—deprocrpticism or <amplituding/formative>notional—preempting—disjointedness—of-reference—of-thought of positivism/rational-empiricism' ontological-performance—<including-virtue—as-ontology> as prospective
constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, and this fundamental conception of aetiological/ontological-escalation applies in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with respect to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, including prospectively say as of our present positivism—procrysticism requiring the structural/paradigmatic implications of prospective deprocrysticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought aetiological/ontological-escalation)

and postconverging—or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism); panintelligibility is so-underlied as to teleology implied ‘phenomenal/manifest conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological’, and with overall panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining reflected as of ‘the full-potency of existence as epistemically integrative of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive—conflicated—reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as the whole in ontological-contiguity or integrality’, and with panintelligibility conception as herein articulated speaking to the more profound and dynamic existential construal of difference educating sublimation-over-desublimation so-construed beyond the successive Heideggerian ontological-difference conception knowledge-reification gesturing (of shallow epistemicity insight) and the Derridean difference conception knowledge-reification gesturing (of more profound epistemicity insight as to its quasi-transcendental epistemicity) towards ‘an integral-difference of epistemic-as-ontological—reflexivity integrity of sublimation-over-desublimation’ knowledge-reification gesturing (panintelligibility as articulated herein rather projects of scientific exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—as-to-entailing-theoretical,—conceptual—and-operant-implications>, as so-underlied by ‘existential phenomenalties/manifestations projected perspective <amplitude/formative—disposedness—(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising) and <amplitude/formative—entailment—(as-to-totalising—contiguous/coherent—factuality-of-variability)’ ); and with this overall scientific conception of panintelligibility ‘differing from a metaphysical projection of a mere pan-conceptualisation of undefined theoretical—conceptual—operant aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as may be so-implied with panpsychism conception’ and so as panintelligibility is not about ‘any metaphysical/ideological advocacy’ but is rather asserted as of ontologically-veracity in the reflection of existential-reality in the sense that the conception of say an atom or a cell or the social inherently speak to their ‘phenomenal/manifest perspective conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological’ (and so-reflected by their projected perspective <amplitude/formative—disposedness—(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising) and <amplitude/formative—entailment—(as-to-totalising—contiguous/coherent—factuality-of-variability) as to the overall coherence/ontological-contiguity/integrality of their variously implied intelligibilities/teleologies construed as from ‘existence projected perspective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism backdrop’ rather so-reflected by ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous—epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining of existence’, implying that the atom is not construable-as-existentially-incongruous with the cell which is not construable-as-existentially-incongruous with the social or for that matter all phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive—confiliated—reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence> are necessarily construable-as-existentially-congruous as so-reflected by ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous—epistemicity of the underlying
overall panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining of existence’), such that actually ‘all phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence> are rather of reductionist <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—throwness-in-existence conception’ (with the underlying nonreduction being of overall panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining of existence) and thus are supersedingly underlied by ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous—epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining of existence’ (as the ‘veridical perspective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism backdrop for sublimation-over-desublimation’ to which

‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—throwness-in-existence conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity adopts a projective-insights as of difference–conflatedness for sublimation-over-desublimation’), such that panintelligibility also ‘doesn’t actually speak of any constitutive-emergence conceptualisation (though entertains an overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness conceptualisation) as such a constitutive-emergence conceptualisation will rather imply the idea of any such’ <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—throwness-in-existence conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity’ of say the conceptualisation of atomicity, cellularity or social-aggregation as constitutively superseding the ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous—epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining of existence’ thus wrongly inducing ‘a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemicity reductionism as so-construing the full-potency of existence’ (and further failing to epistemically account for relative-ontological-incompleteness of reductionist <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—throwness-in-existence conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity’ as to prospective supererogation for relative-ontological-completeness inherent conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity imbuenment of existence) rather than ‘a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising projective-insights as of difference–conflatedness epistemicty nonreductionism of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ as to ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous—epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining of existence’ (in other words phenomenal/manifest epistemicty reductionism conceptions are of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—throwness-in-existence conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity’ and cannot constitutively explain existence even as various phenomenal/manifest reductionist elucidations ‘can provide in conflatedness of the various phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence> together with their relative-ontological-completeness implications’ the projective-insights about ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous—epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining of existence’, and in fact existential supererogation as to ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—throwness-in-existence conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity’ is always about driving towards
‘nonreductionist epistemic-reflexive conflating-construal of existential phenomenality/manifestation as to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective’ reflecting existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as well as existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and so over-and-beyond grotesquely punctual confusion/misconstrual as of ‘reductionist conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity constituting-construal of existential phenomenality/manifestation as to epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence perspective’ as manifested for instance with naïve science-ideology interpretations of the social in the sense that in many ways such science-ideology interpretations tend to ‘confusingly in shallow-supererogation’ implicit the reality of the ‘<amplitudes/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame—of—ontological-contiguity of the social and socio-psychological epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest−subpotencies−<in-transitive-conflatedness−reflexivity,−in-the-full-potency−of−existence> (as to their implied sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences), and then surreptitiously project/select/pop-up (in totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim−of−thought) opportune/ad−hoc biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social and socio-psychological frame—of—ontological-contiguity, and so as of vague disparateness−of—conceptualisation—<unforegrounding−disentailment,−failing−to−reflect−immanent−ontological−contiguity−>);¶ the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemicity perspective reflected by the ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous—epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining of existence’ contrasting with phenomenal/manifest−subpotencies−<in-transitive-conflatedness−reflexivity,−in-the-full-potency−of−existence> ‘<amplitudes/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness−in−existence conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity’ as to epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence epistemicity perspective is what underlies ‘phenomenal/manifest−subpotencies−<in-transitive-conflatedness−reflexivity,−in-the-full-potency−of−existence> supererogatory−acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness−differential as of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness epistemicity underlying ontological-performance−<including−virtue−as−ontology>’ speaking to the inherent imbuenment of existence as of its ‘transcendence-and−sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory−de−mentativity and immanence differential conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity integral−difference’ (so construed as the ever requisite need for any ‘<amplitudes/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness−in−existence conceptivity/epistemic−reflexivity’ epistemic−conflatedness implied projective/reprojective—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing induced ‘projective−insights for predicative−insight’ so−reflecting dimensionality−of−sublimating—<amplitudes/formative>supererogatory−de−mentativeness/epistemic−growth−or−conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic−residuality/spirit−drivenness−equalisation) so−underlying transversality−of−affirmative−and-
unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
(specifically as to human human living-development—as-to-personality-
development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development
and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology with the latter reflected in the succession of registry-
worldviews/dimensions transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing relative-ontological-
incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness epistemicity as to
ontological-performance.<including-virtue-as-ontology>)
perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomli-
ing-as-to-shallow-supererogation>
perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>- (construed-as-of-human-limited-mentation-
capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-reconceptualised-rather-as-of-
prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought)
perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>- (reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought)
positive-opportunism speaks to the fact that unlike is the case with
intemporal solipsistic constructs, 'suprasocial or
<amplifying/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-
thought<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'—with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) as deterministic validation of
ontological-veracity is never a relevant element for prospective
knowledge-reification' given that the underpinning—suprasocial-construct
of meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected in any social-setup
institutionally is rather 'a secondnatured/habituated institutionalisation
construct as from deferential-formalisation-transference ' arising from the
'unteleable existentially constraining knowledge-reifying and empowering
reflexivity sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplifying/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-confliatedness
induced metaphoricity from dimensionality-of-sublimating—
supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-equalisation ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in solipsistic transversality, and thus reflecting the ontological-veracity that any such suprasocial framework is not the inherently relevant basis for prospective knowledge-reification as of ‘a convincing of human-subpotency’ but rather what is relevant is ‘the pertinence of its underlying deferential-formalisation-transference-as-non-sophistic’ and/or susceptibility to prospective existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

epistem-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-suprerogatory—epistemic-conflatedness induced metaphoricity as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment and so validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework with respect to ‘adhering to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

epistem-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-suprerogatory—epistemic-conflatedness implications’, for prospective deferential-formalisation-transference suprasocial meaningfulness-and-teleology to arise;¶ as the fact is underpinning—suprasocial-constructs are rather afterthought/reasoning—from-results as for instance it is not the inherent budding positivists meaningfulness-and-teleology that induced a social transformation into positivist thinking but rather the ‘acquiring constraining effect on existence’ of such budding positivism instigated positivist and liberal meaningfulness-and-teleology that then induced its social adoption later on as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction-with-regards-to-rationalising-the-benefits-of-the-world-as-of-technical,—well-being,—health-and-social-development-implications, as ‘underpinning—suprasocial-constructs remain beholden to their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness framework of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness ’ as wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) with poor nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought without such manifest positive-opportunism and the possibility for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity can only arise as of untenable prospective existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

epistem-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-suprerogatory—epistemic-conflatedness constraining relative-ontological-completeness framework supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in its cross-generational transformative effect even as its initial instigation doesn’t elicit immediate positive-opportunism as of its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-‘as-to-existence-potency’-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-


postlogism or postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation
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the overall basis of the given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘social-
construct <amplituding/formative> epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating given institutionalised-and-
uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnaturings’ when it comes to
social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and as from the overall human
aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology existentialising—
frame of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
‘presencing—absolutising-identitative-constitutedness as of social-
vestedness/normativity’ thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdening-
becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-
originariness/origination as of social
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and as from the overall human
aestheticisation towards ontology existentialising frame of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology,
any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
<amplitudizing/formative>epistemic-totalising construal given epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence implied epistemic-projection perspective
with the ontological-veracity of teleology projectively arising as herein construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implications of
<amplitudizing/formative>epistemic-totalising construal, and this underlying projective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-conception is reflected with all the terms/terminologies articulated herein like solipsism, organisational, akrasiatic-drag, temporality, intemporality, etc., as so-construed <amplitudizing/formative>epistemic-totalisingly (as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied totalisingly-entailing by the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process and thereof corresponding protracted living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development implications), with this projective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-conception conceptual approach herein including the very notion of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness rather construed herein as from non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>’ to imply the ontological-veracity of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness ‘is not present to itself’ but rather to its prospective relative-ontological-completeness perspective and so in ‘contrast to the epistemic-conception of such a notion like presentism’ (lacking such
thought of procrypticism or disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought


prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseded-logical-basis>-<as-from-prospectively-construed-distorted-originariness/distorted-origination>


<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating backdrop for constructively setting-up the prospect of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the projected apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism and reference-of-
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thought-devolving (so-construed as to human becoming existential-instantiations effective delineating of human meaningfulness-and-teleology anchored upon the reference-of-thought backdrop of overall conceptualisation as to overall reference of meaningfulness-and-teleology and so for articulating devolving-conceptualisations as devolving axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology), with reference herein thus implying relative-ontological-completeness implications as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening.

<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence— as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (and this conception of reference is differs from a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective 'of referencing existence in absolute identitive terms' which fail to project the requisite epistemic insight as to the sublimating implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence— as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) underlined by its dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative> supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation associated with the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to its difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism and so with regards to 'the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation' so-reflected as from originariness/origination<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>)

incompleteness and so with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human’-amplituding/formative-epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’, and implies the structural/paradigmatic

relative-ontological-completeness

relative-ontological-incompleteness

on to analyse sophisticated thought not making the same mistake as supposedly ontologically-flawed as of its presencing—absolutising-identive-constitutedness instigated paradoxical criticism of relativity), factoring in that ‘existence is not beholdening to human-sub potency’ as to when the human projects any supererogatory-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument which needs to be validated as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation thus the conception of relative-ontological-completeness speaking rather of the validative pertinence imparted by existence and so relatively (with regards to registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought) as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to prospective deprocrysticism supererogatory-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument as of the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (whereas the presencing—absolutising-identive-constitutedness perspective by equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms—conceptualisations as to wrongly imply everything is of the same ontological-contiguity in absolute terms as to its epistemic lack of projective-insights ‘will naively equate in absolution such a relative-ontological-completeness projective-insights about the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to its difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ with say Ancient sophists non-universalising meaningfulness-and-teleology or basically unintelligible and so since it wrongly operates on the basis that its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective is supposedly of absolutely profound knowledge-reification gesturing); and operantly relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications refers to epistemic-veracity for knowledge-reification/ontological-veracity rather construed as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening (amplituding/formative) epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) induced ‘given axiomatic-constructs/reference-of-thought existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness amplituding/formative) epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of ‘affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring- postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism> of prospective relative-ontological-completeness’—by— ‘unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism> of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness’ supererogatory-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument’ , and so over the epistemic-impertinence and flawed approach of
‘atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness conception as knowledge-reification/ontological-veracity’


shiftiness-of-the-Self shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere reproducibility—


<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating) respectively as its so-shifty-defined apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ reflected as of its mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aesthetisation poorly contemplative of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation originariness—parrhesis,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation

parrhesiastic-aestheticisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema’, reflecting the contrastive apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as—teleological-framework/narrative-framework of ‘prospective postconverging-or—dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism-intemporal parrhesiastic-aestheticisation induced reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ and ‘prior preconverging-or—dementing—apriorising-psychologism-temporal underpinning—suprasocial-construct as to its <amplituding-formative>wooden-language—imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiastic—drag/denatured/preconverging-or—dementing—narratives—of—the—reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and sophistry reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as reasoning—from—results/afterthought’ (with the implication that such ‘prospectively induced singularity is not really meaning but rather metaphoricity—as—event—of—prospective—intemporal—parrhesiastic—aestheticisation with regards to the prior preconverging—or—dementing—apriorising-psychologism-temporal underpinning—suprasocial-construct as to

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument
ent implications of a God—of—plane type of assertion by a non—positivism social—setup speaking of its deficient prior—temporal—parrhesiastic—aestheticisation so—reflected—in—its—non—positivism—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—that—is—not—positivistic/rational—
empiricistic, as meaning rather requires that such a non—positivism social—
setup operates a positivism/rational—empiricism social—setup specific
supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring—instrument
ent and thus it is metaphoricity—as—event—of—prospective—intemporal—
parrhesiastic—aestheticisation because the non—positivism social—setup
rather enters into ‘a cross—generational non—positivism pseudo—
edginess/pseudo-incisiveness <amplituding-formative>epistemic—
totalising—self—referencing—
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiastic—drag as of its apriorising—
 teleologicalthresholding—as—teleological-framework/narrative—
framework’ with the ‘prospective metaphoricity as positivism/rational—
empiricism meaningfulness—and—teleology’, over which its pseudo—
edginess/pseudo-incisiveness is cross—generationally involved—as—of—
a—fooling—about—exercise in ‘an internal parrhesiastic—aestheticisation
transitioning accommodation towards positivism/rational—empiricism so—
induced by the positive—opportunism constraint of prospective
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socially-functional-and-accordant


storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration

storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration—(as-of ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>’)

subknowledging

subknowledging—(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-ontologically-veridical-sound-thought)


supererogation

supererogation speaks to the fact that the very possibility for all human
meaningfulness-and-teleology arises by way of individuals solipsistic self-becoming/self-conflatedness/formative–supererogating detour to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness as to 'underlying individuals ontological-commitment so-reflected as from the contiguous/coherent superseding–oneness-of-ontology that is existence in inducing sublimation-over-desublimation' with 'existence itself inherently intercessory to the formative possibility for all human meaningfulness-and-teleology' (and thus with 'human meaningfulness-and-teleology more precisely construed as intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions as to human individuals and collective-individuals phenomenal/manifest conceiptivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence' with regards to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>), such that the ‘supposed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc. of any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing’ is not the inherently given possibility for its very manifestation to inceptively arise in individuals but rather ‘individuals are involved in self-becoming/self-conflatedness/formative–supererogating solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic conceiptivity/epistemic-reflexivity as to their self-elicitting/stimulating epistemic-conflatedness as of projective/reprojective—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in existence’ for the possibility for any such 'supposed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc. of any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing’ (as to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to arise/result as individuals and collective-individuals achieved human sublimation-over-desublimation in existence as of their self-becoming/self-conflatedness/formative–supererogating involving renewed self-awareness as to prospective construction-of-the-Self; supererogation thus speaks of the very 'human epistemic-conflatedness as of projective/reprojective—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing breath-of-life/making-alive' that as to 'effectively underlying human beholdening—inch, apprehending, and taming—drive or aestheticising—surrealising/supererogating—drive of existentialising—framing/imprinting' goes into grasping, mastering, developing, construing-of and contemplating-of meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of the inherent implications of human <amplituding/formative>thrownness-in-existence, imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness—as-to-the-human—re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-
conflatedness/formative–supererogating ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> ' in existential-instantiations
signifying/connoting/indicating/suggesting any 'supposed
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology
underlied by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow,
etc.' (reflecting human limited-mentation-capacity as to human
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence)
ever always comes out short with respect to the full-potential for 'inherent
immanent-existence overall withdrawn effectively-manifest-
sublimation/sublime or withdrawn sublimation-structure' of
meaningfulness-and-teleology, and that conversely the possibility for
human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-—as-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) imparts
the ability for human self-becoming/self-conflatedness/formative–
supererogating reappraisal of the
appropriateness/completeness/superseding of any such
signified/connoted/indicated/suggested 'supposed reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied by language,
culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc.' (and so as to human
living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-
development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology) so-construed as human 'aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming
supererogating ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> '
as to projective-insights/epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (but that while such human
'aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> ' is relatively highly
inducible with living-development—as-to-personality-development and
institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development within any
given registry-worldview/dimension, the presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing appraisal tends to
fail to adopt the requisite and more profound 'aporeticism—
overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> ' with regards to its Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology reflecting prospective destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-
decisionality>--of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-
ontology> as to taxingness-of-originariness), as so-reflected by the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with all
the successive presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
existentialising—enframing underpinning—suprasocial-construct rather
incapable of explaining the possibility for the succession of registry-
worldviews/dimensions with such an explanation arising only as of
'human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formatting> supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation' (as reflected by the 'aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>' respectively of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and prospective deprocripticism in relative-ontological-completeness out of respectively recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospective procripticism in relative-ontological-incompleteness as to the fact that 'human <amplituding/formatting> epistemic-totalising—throwness-in-existence under the logical-basis/logic—<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness implied reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc. 'don't override existence—as-the-absolute—a-priori-of-conceptualisation enabling human reappraisal as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in sublimatingly pointing to the 'more profound relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing logical-basis/logic—<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> 'which the human can as of prospective 'aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>' consciously choose to pursue (or opt not to pursue as to its presencing—absolute/identitive-constitutedness <amplituding/formatting> wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry/teleology) turning a blind eye to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) and so as of re-originary—as—unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation—(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—'projective-insights'/'epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness'—of-notional—deprocripticism—prospective-sublimation) profound-supererogation;¶ with the broader implications that all supererogating sublimating/subsulimating human possibilities (and as these become prospective second-natured institutionalisation ‘reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc. 'and so even as to their mere existential instantiations) are rather as of shallow (human living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development within any given registry-worldview/dimension) to profound (Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) human 'aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating
ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’, such that human ‘aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating
ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ thus notionally
speaks to the ‘absolute-giftingness-backdrop that is existence—as
sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for
human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative> supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic
growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—
equalisation bestowed/bequeathed/gifted deflating ontological-
escalation/aetiologyisation’ reflected as to human-subpotency ‘fatedness
of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency, disclosed-from
prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re
perception/re-thought, -in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness (in
reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation
process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality
as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—
over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, with all the
possibility for the merest human sublimating/desublimating
meaningfulness-and-teleology to arise necessarily bound to individuals
self-becoming/self-conflatedness/formative—supererogating as to ‘human
epistemic-conflatedness as of projective/reprojective—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing breath-of-life/making-alive’ for that
meaningfulness-and-teleology however shallow or profound the
‘aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ in the sense that not even a
Camusian suicide as to its projection of self-dissolution can arise without
individual self-becoming/self-conflatedness/formative—supererogating
(with human supererogation as such critically defining-and-distinguishing
the human from any humanoid/robot of mechanical-potentiality);

supererogation is so-reflected in human learning-and-enculturation
process underlined on the one hand by the ‘socio-institutional
supererogating guiding-and-instructional cultural-predisposition’ and on
the other the ‘supererogating precocious-disposition enabling the learning
of the learner as to their self-becoming/self-conflatedness/formative—
supererogating’ and so as specifically associated with childhood
personality-development (beyond just the availing opportunity for its
learning made possible by the ‘socio-institutional supererogating guiding-
and-instructional cultural-predisposition’) and this reflects the fact that
the learner or child is inherently supererogating by its individual
solipsistic self-becoming/self-conflatedness/formative—supererogating as
to its relational construal-and-absorption of the given social-construct
culture/practices so-defining consequently its very personhood (as to
‘human epistemic-conflatedness as of projective/reprojective—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing breath-of-life/making-alive’ beyond
‘robotic reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, -as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’) in concurrent
supererogating instigations)

**surrealisation**


critically herein thus surrealisation-as-to-supererogation speaks notionally and denotationally to human supererogating epistemic-projection perspective openness/re-ontologisation/rescalarisation (as of non-presencing—as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence,) for prospective relative-ontological-completeness 'reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of prospective sublimating—nascence' and this contrasts with hyperrealisation which speaks notionally and denotatively to human shallow-supererogating epistemic-projection perspective closure/subontologisation/descalarisation (as of any punctual presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) in relative-ontological-incompleteness as to its given relative-ontological-incompleteness—presublation-construct—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology

**temporality**


**teleology**

teleology speaks to 'phenomenal/manifest conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting amplituding-formative)—disposedness—as-to-orientation/value-
construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising) and entailment—(as-to-totalising—contiguous/coherent—factuality-of-variability)'</p>

and so as to any given phenomenal/manifest—subpotency—in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall reifying-and—empowering-reflexivity—of-ecstatic-existence—as-panintelligibility—inimbued—edu—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective—of—aestheticising—motif—and—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation>;'¶ and teleology is thus the cognate to coherent intelligibility articulation of phenomena as to existential—reality, given that 'all phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> are epistemic situations that speak to the transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity that is existence' as 'there is no whole that is construable as existence and then beside that whole the epistemic—conception of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> of the said whole' but rather 'the full—potency of existence is epistemically integrative of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as the whole',¶ the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projective—perspective of ontological-contiguity (as the implied 'full epistemic coherence of existence' as to overall—ecstatic-existence-supervening—confalatedness) inherently explains 'the specific decoherencing—effect of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency—of—existence>', wherein 'phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full—potency—of—existence> in relatively shallow amplituding/parameterising epistemic—totalising/circumscribing/delineating mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition in existence' and 'phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency—of—existence> in relatively deeper amplituding/parameterising epistemic—totalising/circumscribing/delineating mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition in existence' are of a correspondingly shallow teleological—depth and deeper teleological—depth in the full-potency of existence, thusly reflecting the confalatedness epistemic—conception of existence as to overall reifying—empowering—reflexivity—of—ecstatic—existence—as—panintelligibility—inimbued—edu—human-subpotency—epistemic—perspective—of—aestheticising—motif—and—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation>;'¶ teleology as implied with the ontological—contiguity—of—the—human—institutionalisation—process 'as the cognate to coherent—intelligibility articulation of human registry—worldviews/dimensions induced meaningfulness—and—teleology so—construed as teleological—inflections—(as—to—more—profound—nondisjo—<amplituding/parameterising> epistemic—totalising/circumscribing/delineating) of meaningfulness' rather speaks to 'scalarity/immanency of existence's ontological—normalcy/postconvergence' perspective as reflecting prospective notional—contiguity/epistemic—contiguity—in—mentally—aesthetised—postconverging/dialectical—thinking—qualia—schema> and 'human—subpotency non—scalarity/beholdening—as—to—what—has—gone—
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the fact that with regards to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure—of-meaningfulness—and-teleology, the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisation-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds are ‘successive teleological-inflections—(as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing—
"amplituding/"formative") epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating)
of meaningfulness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument—conceptualisation for their existential-instantiations aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring’ wherein the teleological-inflection—(as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing—
"amplituding/"formative") epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating)
state of recurrent—utter—uninstitutionalisation is ‘structurally/paradigmatically cognisant—of—integrative—
"as-to-its—no—disjointedness—imbued—preconverging—or—dementing—quality-schema" of failing non—rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as—impulsive—or—accidented—or—random—mental—disposition’, the teleological-inflection—(as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing—
"amplituding/"formative") epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating)
state of base—institutionalisation—ununiversalisation while ‘adhering to rulemaking—over—non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism is structurally/paradigmatically cognisant—of—integrative—
"as-to-its—no—disjointedness—imbued—preconverging—or—dementing—quality-schema" of failing universalisation—directed—rulemaking—over—non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’, the teleological-inflection—(as—to—more—profound—nondisjointing—
"amplituding/"formative") epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating)
state of universalisation—non—positivism/medievalism while ‘adhering to universalisation—directed—rulemaking—over—non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’ and with the teleological-inflection—(as—to—more—profound—nondisjointing—
"amplituding/"formative") epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating)
state of positivism—pracrypticism while ‘adhering to positivising/rational—empiricism—based—universalisation—directed—rulemaking—over—non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism is structurally/paradigmatically cognisant—of—integrative—
"as—to—its—no—disjointedness—imbued—preconverging—or—dementing—quality-schema" of failing preempting—disjointedness—as—of—reference—of—
thought,—as—to—
transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism—(construed as ‘reducing temporal-to-
temporal-converging-or-dialectical-thinking-meaningfulness-and-teleology-over-unaffirmation-of-relative-ontological-
completeness-preconverging-or-dementing-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—or—mutually-transverse-
unintelligibility—or—logical-incongruence<as-to-affirmation-of-relative-
ontological-completeness-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-over-unaffirmation-of-relative-ontological-
completeness-preconverging-or-dementing-meaningfulness-and-teleology>—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing involves the epistemic
construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness
supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incipience—with-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligence/setup/measuringinstrument
sent’ construed as knowledge-reification gesturing, and so over a human
ordinary <amplitude/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—
averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—nondescript/ignoreable-void—with-
regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>—mental-reflex to
construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘human-subpotency
existentialising—enframing pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incipience of its
secondnatured institutionalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold’ thus
exposing such meaningfulness-and-teleology to human
<amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasic-drag which is exactly what
needs to be superseded as of human developing self-
consciousness/construction-of-the-Self for prospective transcendence-and
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity to arise as of
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing induced reasoning—
through/messianic-reasoning, such that the notion of prospective human value and aspiration beyond the ‘given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproduci-

bility-of-aestheticisation that underlies its underpinning—suprasocial-construct and

<amplitudformingative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-

form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-
or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-

imperatives/axioms/registry—teleology)’ doesn’t exist and as to the consequent susceptibility to sophist/pedantic manipulation of such presentencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness human-subpotency epistemic/notional—projective-perspective of social-stake-contention-or-confliction and this further explains why prospective reasoning-

through/messianic-reasoning has ever always been as of a ‘presencing—

absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ in this respect in order to then outrightly commit to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity value-aspiration reflecting the fact that the given human-subpotency—

aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-

indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-

intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor potentiation construed as ‘human-subpotency convergence to existence’ is beyond ‘the averaging of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ or any secondnatured institutionalisation underpinning—suprasocial-construct but is rather as of ‘human intemporal individuation solipsistic/intersolipsistic instigation’ that is not fixated on the previous two for such requisite solipsistic/intersolipsistic instigation; transversality-of-affirmative-and-

unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing equally reflects as of its implied ‘existence-potency,—disclosed-from-

prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplitudformingative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-

perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-confoundedness

supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring instrumen
t’ a foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-protective-

supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-

operative-notional—deprocrypticism epistemic-disposition over a pseudo-

edginess/pseudo-incisiveness disparateness-of-conceptualisation—

<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—’immanent-

ontological-contiguity’> epistemic-disposition wherein the appropriate perspective of subject-matters/domains-of-study elucidation/knowledge-

reification reflects their respective epistemic-conception

phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-confoundedness—

reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall reifying-and-

empowering—reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligence—

<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-

aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-

conceptualisation>; transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—

disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing further speaks to the
fact of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness
<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ over the ‘unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-
<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness’, wherein for instance the underlying misinformation/misanalysis/misrepresentation about postmodern-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness arises because of its assessment from the ontologically-flawed perspective of naïve identitive mere formulaic positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness–as-of-reference-of-thought rather in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness with further susceptibility to sophistry of intellectual falsehood and muddlement as of institutional-being-and-craft, just as assessing budding positivism/rational-empiricism thought from medieval scholasticism perspective will induce a ridiculous and ontologically-flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing outcome about budding positivism which was further susceptible to medieval pedantic sophistry as of institutional-being-and-craft;\furthermore, transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffective,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of its implied ‘existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so as

uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/universal/universalised/universalising

when expressed specifically herein universal/universalised/universalising-<as-to-universalisation> refers to the specific universalisation registry-worldview/dimension as to its ‘universalising-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—rules of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising meaningfulness-and-teleology’ while when expressed herein in a general sense universal/universalised/universalising actually and precisely refers to ‘totalising-entailing of implied knowledge-reification gesturing’ for instance in the sense that mathematics is universal means mathematics is totalisingly-entailing (with this general sense applying with regards to any given registry-worldview/dimension as to its given ‘entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—rules’ and as further reflecting the implication that registry-worldviews/dimensions of relative-
ontological-completeness are of more profound ontologically totalising-entailment apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–rules as so implied as from ‘non-rules totalising-entailing, rulemaking-over-non-rules totalising-entailing, universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules totalising-entailing, and preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,–as-to-
<amplituding/formative> epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-
positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules totalising-entailing’, and so-construed as of their respective foregrounding—entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,–eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism’), and this regards we can appreciate how the very implications of say universal human rights supererogatorily becomes more and more profound as from say the Socratic philosophers (even as slavery, class and female-discrimination was prevalent), budding-
positivists (even as in many ways the practices of serfdom/slavery, class-discrimination and female-discrimination were equally prevalent) and todays supposedly universal conception of human rights (even as it is marked by collateralisation of other peoples, cultures and nations);¶ actually the specific sense and general sense are thus linked on the basis that both imply totalising-entailing with the specific sense speaking of totalising-entailing as to the specific universalisation registry-worldview/dimension ‘when mankind initially consciously cognised that the profoundness of meaningfulness-and-teleology should be totalising-entailing but without necessarily differentiating such a conception of totalising-entailing between mythological and empirical totalising-entailing with both construed as universal meaningfulness-and-teleology’, while the general sense of universal implicitly captures and exactifies/precises the conception of totalising-entailing in terms of ‘entailing,<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness’ as reflecting the implication of human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding/formative> epistemic-
totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,–eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation) as to the ‘notionalisation/notional-
conception/amplituding of totalising-entailing so-reflected by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ (along the same lines as notional–deprocrypticism) thus amplificatorily rendering the conception of totalising-entailing (as to notionally–universal) as more ‘profoundly construed as from perspective relative-ontological-
completeness as of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existence/intrinsic-reality’

universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,–as-to-
entailing,<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness) or understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework-of-underlying-existential-phenomena, and so as to
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entailing,-as-to-
entailing-
<amplituding-for
mative>epistemic-
totalising--in-
relative-
ontological-
completeness

vices-and-
impediments

veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology for social-functioning-and-
accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction-(for-
undermining-social-incoherency-by-constraining-transcendently-
enabling-level--of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism)'

vices-and-impediments-as-to-living/institutional/Being—as-of-reference-
of-thought/structural/paradigmatic-defect-of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>
There is a common word that already exists that best describes what a psychopath is philosophically-speaking. It is a French word that doesn't exactly exist in English. The word is ‘cinglé’ and is better translated in English as ‘slanted mind’ (in contrast to the straightness/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking of a ‘conviction-as-to-profound-supererogationly predisposed human mind’ as of prelogism or prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-(existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) so-construed as candidity/candour-capacity. It should equally be noted that sometimes the word cinglé is used intermittently with deranged (dérangé) which is a more general word that does not capture the socially-functional-and-accordant phenomenal specificity that is of relevance herein. In other words, ‘the cinglé’ perceives meaning as ‘a hollow mimicking form in-of-itself that determines others behaviour’ in contrast to the normal–as-of-candidity/candour-capacity human relation to meaning as of essence or supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism we abide by (and so, even in the case of ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ or bad prelogism where the bad logic of the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind operates by an ad-hoc and circumspect exaggeration or omission).

In other words, the psychopath manifests postlogism or postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation-(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) by its reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-
teleology construed as ‘how can a perverted sought after outcome be obtained with an interlocutor or interlocutors with respect to a targeted end-goal or targeted individual by falsely projecting hollow-abstract logic notwithstanding that it is existentially unreal or it is faked or it is opportunistically raised or raised out-of-context (existential-decontextualised-transposition)’, i.e. meaning-as-form or pathologically/compulsively hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, contrasted to the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds prelogic state (‘existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at’ construed as ‘what does the veridical logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of a given existential situation intrinsically imply as relevant and sound outcome‘, i.e. meaning-as-ontologically-veridical/in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, whether thereafter the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation is rightly or wrongly assumed). Hence prelogism or prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation is all about the appropriateness of logic without any implication/questioning about any issue with the reference-of-thought on which logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation is based, and thus the idea of re-engaging is valid on the basis that the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation can be well performed subsequently despite an initial failure or possible initial failures. Whereas with postlogism or postlogism-as-of-compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation this essentially has to do not with an issue of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation but rather an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-

DIGRESSION (ON OVERALL CONCEPTION OF THE FULL POTENTIAL OF HUMAN ONTOLOGICAL-PERFORMANCE-<INCLUDING-VIRTUE-AS-ONTOLOGY>)

[Fundamentally thus the issue of postlogism associated with psychopathy is structurally/paradigmatically related to human prelogism underlined by candidity/candour-capacity as to an ontological-contiguity in notional–symmetrisation-<as-to-symmetrisation-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that is postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking~apriorising-psychologism and centered positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension; the ontological-contiguity of a deprocrypticism candidity/candour-capacity construal/conceptualisation articulated as of ‘deprocrypticism narrative of candidity/candour-capacity’ is as of a uninhibited/decomplexified conflatedness in futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation as of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context candidity/candour-capacity fullness/completeness of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality basis as conflatedness in construing their respective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-relative-distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought arising as of their respective relative <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal-dispositions in failing to contrastively-construe at their respective uninstitutionalised-thresholds the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought and the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus wrongly implying issue of logical-
processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation in wrong ontological-contiguity equivalence of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology. Abstractly, the ontological-contiguity issue has to do with a prospective precise ontological-normalcy in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-

210
phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-’protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context precision but then construed in prior imprecise 
epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence as of respectively <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context or <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-’preclusive-
consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context or <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-’warped-
consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context or <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-’trepidatious-
consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, and all in subpar construals/conceptualisations to the ~amplituding/formative~ epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, with the successive imprecisions wholly operating as if utterly precise, whereas these are distractive to the profound precision in ~amplituding/formative~ epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; thus equally explaining the requisite structural/paradigmatic construal/conceptualisation for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of pure-ontology/existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness! Such a phenomenal insight as of ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’ is instructive of how a Derridean deconstruction critique as a bottomless chessboard of a Heideggerian destruktion as incapable of getting at the bottom of the archaeological-layers/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of ontological axioms/horizons of meaningfulness as of its ‘attempt-at-such-a-delaying’ thus considered to
present positivism–procrypticism psychical representation, is effectively grounded on the
totality that placeholder-setup/mentality-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is ‘by itself inherently an utterly discreet and arbitrary construct’ but for
the fact that every registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought has been
habituated to its own as of its existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications
meaningfulness-and-teleology and considers its own by reflex to be sanctimonious. But then
the fact is the true sanctimony lies with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality construed as
of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it so defines the
placeholder-setup/mentality-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology veracity/ontological-pertinence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, as implied with the notion of ‘postconverging-
or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
psychology-of-dynamics’. Thus, however weird it may seem to our positivism–procrypticism
psychical representation, in reflecting our positivism–procrypticism relative epistemic-
abnormalcy/preconvergence to it a candidity/candour-capacity deprocrypticism placeholder-
setup/mentality-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocontiguity-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligence-setup/measuring-instrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is actually more real and profound
ontologically to ours as of our positivism–procrypticism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising–intervalism-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
as-referentialism ‘ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>-construct of
candidity/candour-capacity’ as of conflatedness with respect to the upholding/failing of
ontological-normalcy by prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>; and so beyond a vague
notion of virtue but rather as an overall superseding reference-of-
In other words from an ontological-normalcy perspective implied with candidity/candour-
capacity deprocriptism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, ascription-constructs are naïve
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construals of human reference-of-
The ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
implies human reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> is construed as it upholds/fails ontological-normalcy as from
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and is actually a
wholly internal process of conflatedness, highlighting ‘the concatenation to intemporal-
projection inextricably of derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-
projection, with the former in relative intemporality/longness and the latter in relative
temporality/shortness as of distractiveness’; construed as temporal-concatenation-to-
temporality-or-ontological-veridicality-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-
as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’. As a further elucidation, by ‘protensive-consciousness’ is
meant the consciousness-awareness-teleology <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-
as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of
conflatedness as an anticipatory mental-disposition with respect to deprocripticism’s

wherein ‘limited-mentation-capacity is overcome by its referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intellibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as of ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of the full-cohesive transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity determinativeness ingrained in social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—-in-relative-ontological-completeness}; in contrast to our positivism–procrypticism ‘occlusive-consciousness’ with consciousness-awareness-teleology implications as of ‘human limited-mentation-capacity by its categorising—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness

respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intellibilitysetup/measuringinstruments’ as of their corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions Beings and associated meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>. Underlying such graduated conceptualisation of human consciousness as of notional–conflatedness, is the fact that as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, such human consciousness conflatedness ultimately behind the successive institutionalisation-cumulations/institutional-recomposes in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is grounded on its least common human temporality/shortness-to-intemporality/longness denominator which is the ‘constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-
entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness); and while the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-
transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality’ is aspirational as inducing the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation mental-disposition behind the ‘inventing’ of prospective institutionalisation, it is effectively occurs spontaneously to the intemporal disposition and cannot be the basis for collective grounding of such human consciousness conflatedness as this inevitably leads to temporal concatenation to intemporality, rather its import lies solely as of solipsistic intemporal projection drive given that ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality is beyond the possibility of its secondnatured institutionalisation just as implied with the notion of faith in creeds. Further, the dynamics of such a graduated human consciousness as of notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism can be
reinterpreted operantly as of ‘notional–referentialism’ as it points to the fact that categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments are actually ‘various levels of failing to achieve the deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that ensure ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, and thus are construed as of the same notion of referentialism, as of ‘pseudo-referentialism mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments levels’ given their respectively underlying limited-mentation-capacity in achieving referentialism. While in reality these are respectively of ‘categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments’ they still act as if of ‘deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, and so ‘in their beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ thus generating as of their ‘pseudo-referentialism mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments levels’ their respective neuterising construed as of ‘their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Neuterising thus refers to human attribution of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-
capacity misconstruing, with respect to existential social-stake-contention-or-confliction possibilities, such that its reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance—\textless including-virtue-as-ontology\textgreater is relatively ontologically-incomplete/of-ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness, and so-construed from the conflatedness of notional—deprocrypticism; thus neutronising is specifically ‘a contextually developed perversion-or-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—\textless as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation\textgreater, that is secondnaturaed as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with the consequent implications of relatively defective meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance—\textless including-virtue-as-ontology\textgreater. For instance, as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, an animist society might notice that going to a given forest leads to illness and ascribe evil to that forest but then a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought positivism interpretation may be that at a certain time of the day and during a certain time of the year that forest attracts mosquitoes that cause malaria for instance which can be prevented by rubbing a certain leaf on ones cloths and body, together with the fact that a given root can be used to cure the malaria, and in addition to a whole web of nuanced understanding available to the positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology relative to the ‘abject and brute’ animistic interpretation as meaningfulness-and-teleology neutronising that it is an evil forest one should not trespass together with a whole cohort of ‘imaginary tales’ in shoring up that posture, speaking of its threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism. This is a most elaborate articulation of neutronising but it equally applies where meaningfulness-and-teleology is ‘just about miscued’ say between positivism—procrypticism and deprocrypticism with the latter underlying the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of the former as it
neuterising, for instance in the case of psychopathy and corresponding conjugated-postlogism as social psychopathy as in the various illustrations highlighted herein and particularly as more obviously revealed with childhood psychopathy. In the bigger picture, ascriptivity-or-ascriptivity-hardening/pseudo-referentialism arises as of notional–referentialism/notional–deprocrypticism; wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s existential reference-of-thought deepest-level of neuterising is elicited by its ‘trepidatious-consciousness impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness


apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument failing
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutive-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-

formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-

non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’, and ultimately futural

Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-

development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
deprocrypticism existential reference-of-thought overcomes-neuterising/fully-deneuterises by

its ‘protensive-consciousness referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-
of-conflatedness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as

structurally/paradigmatically preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutive-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-

formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-

non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’ and so by way of its more

profound conflatedness as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Thus basically, neuterising

of the various references-of-thought-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness is as

of ‘categorising–occlusive-consciousness/qualifying–preclusive-consciousness/tendentious–
warped-consciousness/impulsive–trepidatious-consciousness—ontologically-compromised-

mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-
dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments’

by their respective relative human limited-mentation-capacities as their respective beyond-

the-consciousness-awareness-teleologies preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
grasping that the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure
cross-generational process is effectively the mechanism for ‘overcoming non-
positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising’ to
be able to then reveal, construe and uphold positivistic Being and meaningfulness-and-
teleology, and this equally applies with regards to overcoming our
neuterising’ to attain futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocrypticism Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology. As a further
elucidation, a comparison can be made between a construct of ‘notional~referentialism’
disambiguated as referentialism, categorising neuterising, qualifying neuterising, tendentious
neuterising and impulsive neuterising, and in parallel a reflection of ‘data conceptualisation’
disambiguated as ratio-contiguous referencing, intervalist pseudo-referencing, ordinal
pseudo-referencing, nominal pseudo-referencing and random pseudo-referencing. We can
grasp that effectively data conceptualisation as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is
inherently ratio-contiguous as of ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought but then we don’t always have the capacity to reference ratio-contiguous
data and so the other types of data conceptualisations are available to us as well ‘as of the
limitations of our measuring capacity’, and we grasp that the latter are actually in
‘constructed-deficiency of <amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-
contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism’ as of their respective epistemic-
Here as well it is important to understand that it is the ratio-contiguous referencing data
conceptualisation that provides the ‘overriding framework as of conflatedness’ for making-
sense-of/construing the relatively deficient referencing data conceptualisations as of their
reference-of-thought; as what is so generated is nothing as of reality but rather a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal. Instead such a construction of prospective relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is a conflatedness of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ by an epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of maximalising-recomposuring–for-relative-ontological-completeness; driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality to reconstruct the same physics domain-of-study as the theory-of-relativity–together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs, and rather reflects the ontological-veridicality that ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of its epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘construed as a constructed-deficiency of the theory-of-relativity–together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective’, and the former can only be subsumed/implied/construed-as-non-contradictory to the latter. Such a basic conception of comparative axiomatic-constructs in their reflection of the very same <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality highlights that ontologically-veridical meaningfulness is a construction or derived-construction as of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or the closest axiomatic-construct approximation to it; the insight here being that ‘relative completeness/profoundness of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ is what is ontologically preeminent/critical for the notional perspective of ontological construal/conceptualisation. This is equally relevant with regards to the ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ which refers to the transcendental-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism— as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition as this basically defines the possibility of institutionalisation within recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as inherently non-existent. Likewise it is the habituated rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for the prospective institutionalisation of base-institutionalisation that is the (warped-consciousness neuterising-induced)—reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness for enabling intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional—referential-notions/articulations/virtue of base-institutionalisation. This insight extends to all successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations in construing their teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities. This equally explains the divergence of individuals and societies ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> across registry-worldviews/dimensions even though all humans have the same basic intellectual potential; as within the institutionalisation limits of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as its underlying reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, individuals cannot all of a sudden start thinking in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct enabled by a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’; given that there is a need for the requisite institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose as of successive psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose underlying the transcendences in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The fact is
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity—in-reification/dereification, being mutually cognisant-and-integrative by ‘conscious—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation as teleologically-degraded’ or ‘naïve—conviction—as-to-profound-suprerogation as flawed supposedly teleologically-elevated’ relationship with the same/common/shared reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry—teleology. In this regard, a non-positivistic as ‘a superstitious centered-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry—teleology as associated with say a medieval or animistic social-setup implies that a postlogism-slantedness, conjugated-postlogism or any other temporal mental-disposition with regards to say with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery will meet with a mental-reflex across the registry-worldview/dimension <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology that is cognisant-and-integrative as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity dereification in notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema>, as in its questioning and analysing whether the accusation of sorcery is true and so as an assumed/presupposed-as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of the overall reference-of-thought underlying
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating belief in
superstition, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. Such a construal equally applies to our positivism–
procrypticism associated manifestation of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
associated with a postlogism-slantedness, conjugated-postlogism or any other temporal
mental-disposition instigation wherein our underlying procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought mental-disposition is a notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-
<mentally-aestheticised~postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> of the
positivism–procrypticism
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-
context—meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-
ontology>s as of ‘conscious–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation as teleologically-degraded’ or ‘naïve-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation as flawed supposedly teleologically-elevated’ relationship with its centered-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-
and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This explains why it is structurally/paradigmatically
impossible for either such a non-positivistic social-setup or our procrypticism social-setup to
resolve the vices-and-impediments associated with the corresponding reference-of-thought
centered-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, as it is in circular
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its
on the basis of the centered–epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought and hence implying that there can’t be any dialogical-equivalence. Such that from a positivistic perspective, an argument in a non-positivistic social-setup of the type one may be accused of sorcery is construed as ridiculous since it is in notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>, with its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification cognisant-and-integrative with a non-positivistic superstitious meaningfulness-and-teleology centered–epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought, and that itself is perceived as of ‘aetiological concern’ as to the possibility of an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification mental-disposition that can be cognisant-and-integrative in notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> with numerous existential circumstances reflecting the endemising/enculturating of non-positivistic superstition and its vices-and-impediments. The same applies from a deprocrypticism perspective with regards to a procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental disposition as an argument seeming to articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology in the same disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought terms-as-axiomatic-construct by which the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought arises in the first place is in circular <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of the same centered–epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought defect. Thus it is ontologically impossible to address any given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments as of that fundamental <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology centered–epistemic-totalisation, besides at best palliative constructs of a non-universal nature, as not of an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation nature. Thus further validating the idea that it is a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in secondnaturining such a prospective institutionalisation ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ that enables such a transformation whether from a retrospective or prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity perspective. This explains ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism as construing/conceptualising the most profound/complete ontologically-veridical ‘reference-of-thought construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as of the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions from the notional–deprocrypticism perspective construal/conceptualisation, as being ‘the most profound/complete ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ among all the registry-worldviews/dimensions as of its preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as to <amplituding/formative> epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism. Furthermore, within a registry-worldview/dimension for the disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions, its reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument is its (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced) as reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, which by way of a différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral articulates the intradimensional relative ontological-veracity of all other intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved
devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ brings out in anticipation/projection/thrownness-disposition the overall fundamental elucidative contrast between the ‘degradation/uninstitutionalised-threshold unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought projection’ and the ‘elevation/institutionalisation soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought projection’ at their respective reference-of-thought-devolving-level of analysis; as can be elucidated contrastively between ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation institutionalisation’, ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation and universalisation institutionalisation’, ‘universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation and positivism institutionalisation’ and prospectively ‘positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation and deprocrypticism institutionalisation’. The implication here is that with say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation social-setup, in order to construe ontological-veridicality; as of conflatedness we can’t simply imply the presence universalisationnon–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as the basis of instigating logical-dueness for elucidation and thereof construing ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, as such a mental-reflex representing/skewing-the-representation of the presence as universalisationnon–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation will overlook the presence uninstitutionalised-threshold and wrongly represent its meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of elevation/institutionalisation in soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought projection’. It is rather the conflatedness projective/anticipative contrast between the said uninstitutionalised-threshold however the mental-reflex complex of presence and the prospective positivism institutionalisation however the mental-reflex complex of the latter’s abstractness as from the presence uninstitutionalised-threshold perspective that enables their
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and emphasising the supplanting–
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-
teleology as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification), and
prospectively ‘articulating organically as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-
existential-reality’ the transcendental construct of futural Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation while in
positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation (doing so by failing the
‘<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
of positivism–procrypticism’ in de-emphasising the threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and emphasising the supplanting–
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-
teleology as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification); such that
supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism is actually as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality reflected as to ontological-good-faith/authenticity over ontological-
bad-faith/inauthenticity elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity, and
so as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—amplituding/formative—supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation. This reflects historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of its notional—conflatedness nature of ontological-performance—including-virtue-as-ontology as anti-nihilistically grounded on ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as enabled by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. It points out that ontologically-veridical meaningfulness cannot be construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of a soulless nihilistic-teleology-for-the-attainment-of-temporality/human-mortal-whims as it simply brings an end to the transcendental potential for the human existential tale perpetuation; as the organic-knowledge behind the ‘invention’ of prospective institutionalisation necessarily has to take precedence in further driving the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process over a conceptualisation as of denaturing of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Such an approach to transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity is exactly what validates transcendental knowledge as of a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment and not a grounded knowledge-construct commitment; as an approach as of grounded knowledge-construct commitment that merely implies transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity as being incremental to the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought doesn't undermine/unshackle that prior reference-of-thought with respect to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to—'human amplituding/formative—epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal’ as of the requisite undermining/unshackling by the
needs to ‘wean off organically beyond mere mechanical adjustments’ its non-positivism before the notion of ‘a credible logical engagement in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of positivism/rational-empiricism with a mindset as of a positivistic social-setup’ can be genuinely entertained. In this regard, the budding positivists had to implied an utter break with medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation to avoid the circular problem of their positivism knowledge and science being interpreted in mystical and alchemic terms-as-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment equally highlights that the idea of a common universal human potential available to all individuals while true is not inherently existentially fulfilled/valorised if that human-subpotency is not effectively to-the-best-of-our-temporal/mortal-superseding-endevouring unleashed as of a maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought. This conceptualisation insight points out that prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation associated with our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as of its epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is effectively the defective result of our positivism institutionalisation destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at the positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation, wherein the prospective ‘procrypticism uninstitutionalisation’ arises as
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-
medieval social-setup however frequent the demonstrations within a given limited period of time doesn’t mean that the social-setup has been transformed into a positivistic social-setup; since their existentially habituated state of animism or medievalism teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities as of (warped-or-preclusive-consciousness neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, will need to be undone/unshackled psychoanalytically in the medium to long-run to veridically achieve positivism; given that that uninstitutionalised-threshold is in a state of circular-pervasiveness-of-reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’! This equally explains the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inherent in our prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation, together with its inherent manifestations of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness and social psychopathy conjugated-postlogism, when construed from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought institutionalisation as in our metaphysics-of-presence beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> we systematically override the ontological-veridicality implications of such procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and proceed by mental-reflex to uphold our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩ at this
positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as of an existentially nihilistic mental-
disposition in degeneration of the human existential tale; as all presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness by mental-reflex keep on representing their uninstitutionalised-
threshold as institutionalised, that is as ‘centered and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–
apriorising-psychologism’, as a ‘delusion of an always institutionalised presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’
rather than being veridically ‘decentered and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism’ at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as of ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-
devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’, as logical-dueness doesn’t even arise in the
very first place given perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. We can get a
projected sense of this as of metaphysics-of-absence in that despite the articulation of
positivistic principles/interpretations in the animistic social-setup or medieval social-setup, in
the short to medium run individuals will keep on overriding and ignoring such positivistic
meaningfulness-and-teleology nihilistically, notwithstanding that we may recognise this as of
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and falling back to
construe/conceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology in non-positivistic animistic or
medieval terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct, construed from the positivistic perspective as
perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as of unsoundness-
very own institutionalisation arose out of that anti-nihilistic process, and at the more immediate social-stake-contention-or-confliction level involves temporal concatenation to intemporality/longness as denaturing of the prior institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by their elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, and so as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s, due to lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness} at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such a threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism being rather as of a temporal extricatory paradigm and that naively considers the mutual intersubjective eliciting of temporal extricatory paradigms to be intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, given a failure to structurally/paradigmatically grasp intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity implications, and rather confusing this with social-aggregation-enabling implications. This is clearly made obvious when ‘the very same motif of reasoning’ is construed as of metaphysics-of-absence implications (as to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) say with respect to an animistic or medieval non-positivistic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
‘banally’ portrayed historically is not as of an expanding ‘grounded knowledge construct’ from time immemorial as of a wrong incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-reflex as if humans have had only one ‘amplitudizing/formative’ epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. But actually the underlying process is one of ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling as of a succession of prospective institutionalisations maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construed from a succession of ‘amplitudizing/formative’ epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ so implied by an ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ enabling successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy with respect to human notional deepening limited-mentation-capacity as of institutional-cumulation; such that counterintuitive to what we might be inclined to think, the development of human psychology is not as of ‘a grounded construction that simply varies incrementally across all times’, but rather ‘a construction which teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities/teleological-potency are sharply rearticulated in succession of institutionalisations as of ontological conflatedness’, and this is important ‘to avoid unduly considering our whole psychical-nature-and-potential as of our present positivistic institutionalisation mindset/consciousness as of metaphysics-of-presence’, but rather grasp that there are teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities/teleological-potency of our mental-projection and mental-disposition as of deprocrypticism or preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘amplituding/formative’ epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ beyond just what we can imagine as of our presence as positivism–procrypticism. This analysis brings out what is effectively meaningfulness as it shows that meaningfulness is more completely about apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights thus involving the ‘amplituding/formative’ epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness and then ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ for effectively articulating their meaningfulness as of instantiative-context or existential-instantiations with respect to existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring; and these are the two underlying commitments that make-up meaningfulness. Within a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation framework the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is utterly geared in an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ and beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> by mental-reflex presupposes-and-assumes the ontological absoluteness/indubitability of its ‘amplituding/formative’ epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, and wrongly so even at its uninstitutionalised-threshold; such that it is only cross-generationally that it can attend effectively as of its transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity to the reality of temporal denaturing of the said institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidationoutside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, pointing to its perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and thus the need for <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, involving maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, with respect to the implications of its ontologically deficient ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ taken for granted without questioning as of intradimensional grounded meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such a transcendental engagement recurrently put into question in conflatedness the prior institutionalisation ‘amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold by substituting it with the prospective institutionalisation ‘amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
while on the other hand the grounded uninstitutionalised-threshold recurrently overrides as of constitutedness beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> any notion of its ontologically deficient
amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold and just triggers ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’
on that basis for its intradimensional grounded meaningfulness-and-teleology, and this explains its ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’, and explaining why transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity fully occurs as of a cross-generational habituation process. Remarkably, such a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process enabling the human existential tale in successive institutional-cumulations is always rather perceived intradimensionally as an exceptional-askance and unordinary. For instance, the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition in their own times advocating the end of such perverse human institutions like serfdom and slavery were construed in their own times by their dominant societies as of exceptional-askance and unordinary such that in effect these actually engendered great conflict before such practices came to an end; and such metaphysics-of-absence analysis does apply with respect to superstitions, universal human rights, free society, modern science, etc. but then as of our developed present institutionalisation the idea of not entertaining such practices is viewed as not an exceptional-askance and ordinarily to be expected. This explains human mental states respectively as of uninstitutionalised-threshold and as of prospective institutionalisation with respect to maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as the process enabling prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of same
ontological-completeness but presences in their <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) consider maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness as of exceptional-askance and unordinary due to their
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex avoiding being
ontologically decentered and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism.
Insightfully, this point out the circumspective nature of any transcendental knowledge
construction exercise as of ontological-tolerance to avoid on the one hand outrightly
articulating construed ontological-veridicality at the expense of avoiding any Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastucture-of-meaningfulness-and-teleologyal engagement, as such a psychoanalytical
commitment necessarily recognises human potential to transcend, and the other hand the
nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that ‘supersedes humankind and doesn’t
factor in human moods and whims’ in its effectiveness. Caught between these two elements
human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ‘often actually imbued with active and passive
mental-strategies of compromise’ but which wouldn’t cut it with the maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness necessary for human development and
progress. Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastucture-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and progress requires
ontologically-veridical

as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm ‘responses’ as of universal implications and
not temporal extricatory paradigms ‘reactions’ of mere circumstantial implications. Such a
maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought
prospective reference-of-thought ‘construes as circularity and
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag pretences of knowledge and judgements
which are rather in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism in ordinariness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language (imbed—averaging-of-thought<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) social-
aggregation-enabling’ when expounded by a prior reference-of-thought going by its prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, since there is no
sound/authentic knowledge and judgements outside the prospective reference-of-thought
relatively sound/authentic knowledge and judgements as of its ontological-normalcy/relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in an
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm; and so structurally/paradigmatically as of
the relationship between non-positivism and positivism as well as our
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. This underlying notion of
‘notional~conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness construal/conceptualisation’ can
further be expanded upon contrastively with regards to knowledge practice in many an
reality/ontological-veridicality not subject to immediate-constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework thus rather eliciting atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness that induces relatively poor ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>. The central element here has to do with the pervasiveness of ‘conceptual patterning’ that actually speaks of a nombralisticas <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag approach to conceptualising knowledge based on an intellectual exercise of producing patterns of thought with little consideration as to their underlying intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. At its worst, such an orientation construes of categorisation/taxonomisation of knowledge as inherently representative of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by that mere exercise. Such a constitutedness ends up misconstruing the organical depth involved and renders all knowledge constructs so categorised/taxonomised on the same vague plane of mechanical equivalence undermining their transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity, originality, organic nature and more often than not turning them into platitudes as rather concerned with perceived academic formulations and formats in of themselves rather than ontological-veracity as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity. The underlying mental-reflex for this intellectual disposition associated with conceptual patterning is the assumption that by mere categorising/taxonomising ideas on the basis of their similarities and differences it should be able to attain a grander truth as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. But then such an approach is naïve by its failure to reckon the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity which implies that human conceptualisation
tends to develop from prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as of the incompleteness of the paradigm/structure of human reference-of-thought. Such that a naïve categorisation/taxonomisation conceptual patterning perspective on that basis equally inherits that relative-ontological-incompleteness of the paradigm/structure of human reference-of-thought; with the consequence that it is not ‘notionally structured’ to conceptually factor in human poor to perfect/near-perfect construal on the basis of conflatedness but rather suffers from constitutedness. This weakness is underlined and resolved by the notion of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that enables conflatedness in line with existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. It is such a conceptual patterning mental-reflex associated with categorising/taxonomising dispositions in constitutedness that is behind the naïve but poor influence of the saying that ‘every idea has already been thought of before’ with the nefarious consequence of ‘emphasising themes and authorial differentiation within such categorised/taxonomised thematics in of themselves’ as if an epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-study mainly involves intersubjective evaluation or evaluation among humans within the scope of their mortality on the naïve assumption that such categorising/taxonomising effectively covers analytically the entirety/potency of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, whereas such is achieved rather by a conceptualising as implied by referentialism-as-of-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence that places existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context above intersubjective evaluation or evaluation among humans in their mortality in
determining intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of intersolipsistic insight. Consider for instance that in the run up to the development of theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics in the early part of last century, the scientists involved weren’t in the exercise of evaluating their respective theories in a closed framework emphasising their respective ‘ownership-of-theories’ as mortals but rather an opened framework emphasising whoever theories contribute in disclosing intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as the superior third party. This can equally be compared to naively articulating categories/taxonomies of sounds on the basis that their constitutedness defines the entire existential possibility/potency of musical compositions that can arise but then the ‘depth/axiomatic-construct of existence for musical compositions’ doesn’t submit to such a naïve categorising/taxonomising constitutedness but rather such ‘depth/axiomatic-construct of existence for musical compositions’ is as of an imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-instantiations that is graspable rather by a conflatedness as enabled by referentialism-as-of-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Given our limited-mentation-capacity, existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is then the preceding and transformative element of meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation as of our deepening limited-mentation-capacity enabling our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for grasping ontologically-veridical organic-knowledge articulated in any given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality such that the wrong approach for prospective intellectual creation is one that simply lumps authorial articulations under given themes together in ‘mechanical association’ without factoring beforehand their respective ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity dynamism
and implied organic-knowledge’ as of conflatedness. This equally underlies the pervasive disposition for misattributed and misfocused analyses as such blurry intellectual exercise become an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal-dispositions focussing less on the possibilities and insights of prospective elucidation and expansion of knowledge as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as being the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity immortal/first-party, and turning more and more and placing the stakes rather on authorial second-parties/mortals competing analyses even to the extent on occasion of undermining the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity immortal/first-party. Further, such conceptual patterning will often fail to identify the appropriate point for grasping intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as instead of emphasising conflatedness in (re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation)) originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination projection into existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, it emphasises mere structural patterns inducing constitutedness, and so whether at detailing or synoptic levels of analysis. This extends to the way issues are raised, questions are posed, as well as their supposed resolutions; ultimately lacking in providing theoretical, conceptual and operant constructs of universal applicative pertinence, and explains a certain position of closure that holds that philosophy is just a vague thinking exercise. Furthermore, whereas an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity construal highlights the ontological-
contiguity of all knowledge as of their reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic relationship, conceptual patterning seem to naively imply a discreet relationship of knowledge constructs with little insight of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework interconnectedness as this is often not the primary driving focus, as it is naively assumed that the conceptual patterning is a correspondence of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of the mere structural conceptualisation in constitutedness rather than striving to expand the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework existential-reality potential, and this easily leads to virtuality or ontologically-flawed construal. The defect of conceptual patterning is easily overlook mainly as philosophy is of first order knowledge, a level at which knowledge differentiation doesn’t easily manifest itself. Such errors of conceptual patterning will hardly arise in second-level knowledge where transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity implications arise in a specular way. For instance, while hereditary is an underlying conceptual patterning idea in biology, it will be unthinkable to try to lump together and undermine the originality of subsequent hereditary notions of genetics on the basis that these are of the same conceptual patterning as earlier notions like Mendelian heredity as the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity differentiations are spectacular. Finally, one practical intellectual flaw arising out of such naïve categorising/taxonomising conceptual patterning has to do with a certain vague intellectual practice based on perceived intellectual pertinence in terms of the authorial ‘precedence of mentioned terms’ irrespective of association whether simple formalistic identifying of terms and notions with little consideration of the divergence of implied organic-knowledge as of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-
mentativity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework nature and differences as well as their divergence in meaningfulness-and-teleology implications. This again leads to lumping, artificial categorising and undermines originality and organic-knowledge, turning this into simplistic mechanical associations with the more serious consequence being that the more decisive notion for human knowledge renewal as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, becomes seriously undermined; as it refers to a transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—de-mentativity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework renewal of a same <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but with such effort for renewal often laden with a tradition that is naively of constitutedness undermining requisite creativity as of conflatedness, as it ‘critically presupposes beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> that prospective meaningfulness is deterministically tied down to a certain categorising/taxonomising relationship with the prior conceptualisations’ in the given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Ultimately, the idea here is that approaching intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with our given limited-mentation-capacity in other to achieve ontological-veracity requires a rather counterintuitive mental-reflex as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context that ‘originally reconstructs the ontological-pertinence of axiomatic-constructs and their derived-conceptualisations’. Such an analytic insight as of a deprocrypticism (protensive-consciousness referentialism-induced)—reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-
structure-of-meaningfulness analysis as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy, points out that actually, and according to this author’s view, such a currently discussed philosophical issue as the hard problem of consciousness arises as a result of a fragmented thematic construal as of constitutedness wherein a more profound view of the philosophical enterprise as intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity ontological-primum-movers-totalitative-framework here hasn’t been entertain sufficiently to point out that effectively it is a problem that actually ‘devolves out’ of the more fundamental issue of Being as of its but is rather being posed as of a ‘disjointed/fragmented analysis’ as a consciousness grounded problem. This equally explains this author’s construal of human consciousness development as rather of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; consciousness defined as of ‘notional <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag human-subpotency/subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflicatedness. The fundamental fact is that existence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is the absolute a priori of intrinsic-reality/superseding–oneness-of-ontology prior to any human derived knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue, and hence existence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
explaining human limited-mentation-capacity flawed mental-disposition for constitutedness lies with human misconstruing from ‘existential-instantiations’ the ontological-veridicality of axiomatic-constructs as derived from the ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. The ‘iterating nature of existential-instantiations in imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing’ as of existence’s is what provides humankind-as-of-it-subpotency with direct mental access to existential-reality/existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality, as humans don’t have direct mental access to conceptualised/construed existential-reality/existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality as-of-its-full-potency, but rather projectively-or-anticipatorily construe of axiomatic-constructs about intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as derivable as from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing in elucidating existential-instantiations, as of (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, and so as of the maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. Otherwise with a naïve mental-reflex of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existentia-contextualising-contiguity of existential-instantiations, we will rather tend to wrongly construe ‘the conceptual patterning of existential-instantiations’ as rather being ‘axiomatic-constructs as of the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing ’, thus inducing virtualities or ontologically-flawed construals associated with the uninstitutionalised-thresholds. Thus, the ontological-veracity as prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of ‘the
axiomatic-constructs of a (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation

imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing ’ generating knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue implied as meaningfulness-and-teleology, is rather ensured by the construal of existential-instantiations as of maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness which is as of conflatedness, thus enabling the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. It is interesting to grasp here that we cannot from our ‘sense of conceptual patterning’ claim to put into question the inherent nature of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and as of its implied superseding—oneness-of-ontology, since existence is structurally/paradigmatically precedent and our conceptual patterning is arising secondarily as of our shoddy-and-incomplete construal of the ‘iterating nature of existential-instantiations’ as of existence’s imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing ; and any such pretence of conceptual patterning is nothing but a virtuality or ontologically-flawed construal as of naïve constitutedness. Of course, it is rather prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that will imply deeper ontological-veracity of the same underlying purview for the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition grounded on existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Insightfully and making the case against conceptual patterning as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of existential-instantiations, this points out that existence inherent superseding—oneness-of-ontology necessarily implies ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology is effectively as of a natural transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity existential-
contextualising-contiguity-of-all-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness ‘in wait’ to be elucidated however imbricated/threaded/recompusured such an exercise, explaining why our knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue of a given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in conflatedness need to be as of a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, and more than just conceptual patterning that doesn’t or poorly attends to a natural transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity existential-contextualising-contiguity-of-all-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness. For all the above elucidations highlighting the ontological-veracity implications of constitutedness and conflatedness, it should be noted that emphasis is rather on the deficiency of limited-mentation-capacity in construing intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality such that the more profound/complete recomposuring of the very same <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality highlights/reflects in its subsuming interpretation the true deficiency of the shoddy/incomplete. This can be expanded upon as follows, the reason why relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence/destructuring can only be construed with certainty-as-to-their-real-ontological-deficiency ‘rather as a constructed-deficiency of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/conflatedness’ lies in the fact that the construal/conceptualisation of an epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is ‘supposedly as of a perfect or near-perfect or relatively-perfect ontological correspondence between such human
elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation’-as-of-upholding-ontological- 
veridicality rather than ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-
threshold’ as-of-failing-ontological-veridicality since a logical correspondence with intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality will be vaguely implied by mental-reflex; as is often the case 
with postlogism and conjugated-postlogism. By and large, this overall conceptualisation 
explains the nature of ‘notional constructs’ as implying a variance of poor-to-perfect 
ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of the same underlying idea 
conceptualised as of its perfect/near-perfect/relatively-perfect ontological-performance- 
<including-virtue-as-ontology> as in-sync/corresponding with inherent intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of human construal/conceptualisation of 
it. This fully articulates the dynamic relationship of human limited-mentation-capacity as of 
its poor to perfect relationship-with/conceptualising-of existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-
onological-veridicality; respectively as poor as of constitutedness and as relatively-
perfect/near-perfect/perfect conflatedness, construed as notional–conflatedness as of 
constitutedness-to-conflatedness of human limited-mentation-capacity. Insightfully, it 
highlights that constitutedness arises as of human limited-mentation-capacity 
‘poor/unsound/shoddy/incomplete unanticipated/unprojected’ construal/conceptualisation-of-
axiomatic-constructs-as-knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-
notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue from ‘the 
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring iterating of existential-instantiations’ as of 
‘existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality’, while conflatedness arises as of 
human limited-mentation-capacity ‘good/sound/profound/complete anticipated/projected’ 
construal/conceptualisation-of-axiomatic-constructs-as-knowledge-
constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-
human-constructs in the sense that these do not add anything to the given abstract/imaginary existence but are simply enabling to human curiosity and emancipation; that is, whether humans in 2000 BC or 2000 AD are knowledgeable about notions as genetics, theory-of-relativity, universal human rights, etc. doesn’t add anything to ‘abstract/imaginary existence as a pre-given’ pointing to the fact that human existence is about human-subpotency construed as of successive defining transcendentally-enabled-institutionalisation-levels-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-beeing-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as levels of human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). Thus in effect the natural sciences are actually for-human-studies/for-human-constructs whose specific ambit of human-subpotency is about ‘human consciousness as for material and physical effecting devolving teleologies as meaningfulness’ while the social domains of study are actually for-human-studies/for-human-constructs whose specific ambit of human-subpotency is about ‘human consciousness inherent effecting devolving teleologies as meaningfulness’. This validates the idea of dualism as ultimately human-subpotency effecting can only arise from the conflatedness of human consciousness in-its-embodiment as the potent ‘phenomenological transcendental-
notional–deprocrypticism perspective refers to the underlying idiosyncratic, intricate, compounded and pervasive succession of premeaningfulness/preframing–<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> as of notional–conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness from human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ as it reflects relative ontological-performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology>–as-of-its-broadest-implications of any (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as its intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue and as the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ‘abstract teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities’; and it reflects any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s specific institutionalisation-by-uninstitutionalisation-or-uninstitutionalised-threshold postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism construct as a specific aesthetic trace of ‘ontologically elevated-by-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold. historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism equally supersedingly enlightens the idea of totalising-entailing which is often somewhat articulated as in the statement ‘the whole is greater than the sum of its parts’ but failing to specifically clarify that ‘limited-mentation-capacity constitutedness conceptualisation construes of an ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness that is relatively shoddy and incomplete’ and generates virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal when it construes of parts and whole in
a given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality and so as a derived/unoriginal mental-reflex as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, whereas limited-mentation-capacity conflatedness conceptualisation as of notional–deprocrypticism-as-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construes of a ‘non-mediating incisive as referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating.-as-of-conflatedness profundness/completeness’ by an incisive <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–removing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought that further expands human grasp of the given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as a non-derived/original mental-reflex of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. The latter is effectively what relays the ontological-veracity of the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–removing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought that further expands human grasp of the given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality implied axiomatic-construct as of completeness/profoundness subsuming the reality of the perceived whole and parts within the incisive conflatedness; pointing out that the fundamental issue is how human limited-mentation-capacity effectively construes intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of its profundness/completeness. Consider in this particular regards the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality reflected as akin to an engineering product like a jet engine wherein the conceptualisation is an incisive conflatedness that goes beyond the whole and parts of the jet engine to grasp a conceptualisation profundness/completeness of required critical performances like fuel burn, maintenance cycles, robustness, etc. construed as of the articulated depth of the reference-of-
thought of aircraft engine engineering science. This overall notional conception extends as well to the various ways by which human limited-mentation-capacity ‘accosts’ intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, bringing about the various registry-worldviews/dimensions categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness induced neuterising or prospectively deprocripticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness meaningfulness-and-teleology. That is, the deprocripticism protensive-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in its referencing of conflatedness, with no intermediating construct as of constitutedness, thus achieves ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness meaningfulness-and-teleology. While the occlusive/preclusive/warped/trepidatious-consciousnesses mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments by their successive intermediating categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive constructs as of constitutedness on conflatedness induce their successively categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness meaningfulness-and-teleology. This ultimately points to the centrality of the implications of the 'notion of limited-mentation-capacity' as of its deprocripticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness as a notional conception in construing meaningfulness-and-teleology, while avoiding its ontologically-flawed constitutedness construals in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the various neuterising. Hence the ‘notion of limited-mentation-capacity’ as it overcomes ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness towards ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness is what is effectively and ontologically defining of issues of reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology given that as of its ontologically veridical conflatedness it is the cumulative recomposuring of
human limited-mentation-capacity as deepening limited-mentation-capacity that is behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process itself, and also underlies temporal-to-intemporal individuations differentiation as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-and-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of limited-mentation-capacity, and as this is so-conceptualised from the ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective of deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness protensive-consciousness sound conceptualisation perspective’. This equally underlies and is in sync with the notion of candidity/candour-capacity as a variance of the same as of notional–deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness protensive-consciousness sound conceptualisation perspective’. It is the 'notion of limited-mentation-capacity' that as of its deficiency is falsely-composited by ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’ into ontologically-flawed constructs of neuterising, historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism highlights that humankind in its projected-or-anticipated relationship with ‘existence as-the-absolute-a-priori’ is rather in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, and not the full potency of existence; existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought construed rather as ‘shoddy-and-incomplete actualising in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ of the full potency of existence. Existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought refers to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s overall historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism construct, wherein its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construes beyond-the-consciousness-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness as to the existential possibilities that arise with successive institutional-cumulations or institutional-recomposures associated with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. This thus divulges the essence of existence as ‘the full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echonest/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness. In other words existence is already given rather as of its potency, and the real problem of existence is humankind’s access to existential possibilities as of humankind’s limited-mentation-capacity. That is, human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity is what achieves existence as a ‘potent construct’, as the notion of existence-as-a-grounded-construct doesn’t-make-sense/is-
unavailable for any specific human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construct, including our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, as this will falsely imply that our reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is ‘developed enough’ as of Being-and-contemplation to have achieved the full potency of existence to then know what’s existence whereas in reality such <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag highlights human-subpotency/subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence. Thus our construal of existence can only be an ‘as of existence’ exercise that rather highlights human potential to transcend towards grasping existence/existential-possibilities; with that potency only instigated as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for transcendence-and-sUBLImity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Basically, existence as of prospective base-institutionalisation reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-a-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-meniality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought but for the former transcendental instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, existence as of prospective universalisation reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-a-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-meniality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation reference-of-thought but for the former transcendental instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-

Interestingly, from our vantage positivism/rational-empiricism perspective, we’ll certainly construe the supposed intradimensional resolution of existential issues of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> arising in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of base-institutionalisation superseding projection/anticipation, and same with base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation as intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of
universalisation superseding projection/anticipation, and same with universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism as intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as
of positivism/rational-empiricism superseding projection/anticipation, but we won’t or hardly
construe of the same as of our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag about our positivism–procrypticism as it
being of intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of deprocrypticism
as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought superseding projection/anticipation!
This points to the flaw of a Heideggerian Dasein conceptualisation as it wrongly implies ‘humankind has any developed mental state as of Being-and-contemplation in any past-to-present epoch’ to ‘fully register as of that epoch’s metaphysics-of-presence’ what is existence/existential-possibilities not factoring Being conflatedness
Existence is rather a ‘potency construct of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as of human existential potential’ and not ‘a grounded construct for construing existence’ as wrongly implied/attempted with the Heideggerian Dasein notion, as all what ‘grounding’ does is to wrongly elevate the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in which such a construct is articulatedly grounded thus contradictorily undermining the possibility for transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity by wrongly implying that the said registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is of absolute ontological-performance--<including-virtue-as-ontology>, whereas it is deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality in inducing prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments that allows for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought thus expanding human notion of existence/existential-possibilities. Anecdotally, the prophesying social scientists of their times who insist on the recurrence of the practices of the creed are ‘not stupid’ as they know very well that reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for meaningfulness-and-teleology are just that with respect to an animal of limited-mentation-capacity beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology--<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> who is bound to circularly elicit shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology on such renewed reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for meaningfulness-and-teleology and further denaturing them as of the prospective institutionalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold! In other words and as relevant with all other registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendental implications, base-institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology cannot truly be-grounded-as-explained to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as this wrongly implies the latter’s reference-of-thought as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is a sound basis for construing the meaningfulness-and-teleology of base-institutionalisation inducing rather a circular-complexification of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought as it adopts by mental-reflex an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-disposition rather than a
notional–deprocrypticism as <amplituding/formative>notional–preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which points out that the various uninstitutionalised-thresholds from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to procrypticism are actually levels of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and that the various institutionalisations from base-institutionalisation to notional–deprocrypticism are actually levels of preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought all reflected as of notional–deprocrypticism. The validity of the construal of existence as-of-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness rather as transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is that in the state of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s) humankind can only credibly adopt a ‘conflatedness exercise’ rather as of effecting-wholeness-as-of-profundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology in re-projection-or-re-anticipation to match existence as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness given existential ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of iterating-of-existential-instantiations ’ to further elevate its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’. This thus validates the notion that existence can only be construed as a transcendental conflatedness as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-

institutionalisation is one that comes into terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct with existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> determination as of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/subliming/supererogatory–de-mentativity to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>s), is fulfilled by the notion of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought/nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought as the construct that reflects any registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism highlighting the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring.<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the implications of its conflatedness as its given reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology and
(<amplitunding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs brings about a new ‘centered–epistemic-totalisation/circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology of ‘the very same physics <amplitunding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought as we can do more things with the latter axiomatic-construct more-profound/grander meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>; and interestingly, physicists will surely fancy that they could do better in ultimately grasping theoretically the full-potency of existence divulgeable as of ‘the very same physics <amplitunding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ with an ambition for a theory of everything. However, a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay is nevertheless critical as a first step for breaking away from a prior centered–epistemic-totalisation of a very same <amplitunding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in relative deficient/flawed ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, and thus by extension with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-human<amplitunding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ which is a given reference-of-thought, construed as ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’; and for all practical matters this has been the way Derridean deconstruction has been commonly applied as in effect all our meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> has been as of our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-
thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ horizon and such a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay is an inspired conception providing the groundwork as its initiates the centered–epistemic-totalisation exercise for the insight of a futural différence as of the latter’s transcendental–epistemic-totalisation that underlies conflatedness in breaking with the philosophical tradition or human knowledge conceptualisation tradition or towards fulfilling the understanding of Being. In this regard talking about the physics example again, such a Derridean freeplay différence is akin to the ‘putting in question exercise’ that surrounds the cooperation/mutual-complementing-ideas-among-various-physicists leading up to the critical breakthroughs; which then establish such physics centered–epistemic-totalisation schemes as Newtonian physics and later on Theory-of-relativity and Quantum-mechanics, and today with respect to various theoretical efforts with the potential of leading to a physics Theory of Everything. Inherent to futural différence is the notion of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with its ultimate cross-generational collapsing for the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought; and so as of
prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction dynamism with increasing social universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought. Insightfully again, this idea of infinite-possibilities/circularity implied as of a Derridean infinite-decentered-freeplay of a given meaningful-frame/axiomatic-construct/model such as mathematical models/axiomatic-constructs circularity is familiar to physicists and other scientists who understand that there is no infinity in the real-world/existence and infinity showing up in mathematical models/axiomatic-constructs point to the fact that there is a circular or undefined or undecidable problem arising from poor human limited-mentation-capacity conceptualisation implying the given mathematical model/axiomatic-construct is in circular-existential-disjointedness-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of the axiomatic-construct relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-⟨mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema⟩ in constitutedness, and thus a need for a more ontologically-complete mathematical model/axiomatic-construct that as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨\amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩ then resolves/overcomes the circularity/circular-existential-disjointedness-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness reflected in the prior mathematical model/axiomatic-construct by the infinities-as-circular-or-undefined-or-undecidable with a new mathematical model/axiomatic-construct in relative ontological-contiguity as of conflatedness, and so as of the very same \amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising~devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; and so because human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨\amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-

‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’. It should be noted thus that an axiomatic-construct is as of an implied correspondence with the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to—

‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ or <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and it supersedes and is defining of logic which is rather the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as reflected with any given explicited axiomatic-construct in the same way that insight/intuition is reflected rather with regards to any given implicated axiomatic-constructs; with an axiomatic-construct such as an idea or a concept or a notion or a theory being any conception as of meaningfulness-and-teleology of supposed existential-implications correspondence. That is the traditional knowledge conception articulated as ‘axioms of logic’ is rather vague, with the appropriate articulation being rather ‘logic of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought’, as the axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought is the effective human limited-mentation-capacity supposed correspondence relation with existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation for human-subpotency possibilities for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential~
notions/articulations/virtue, with increasing ontological-performance-\(<\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}\>\) as of human transcendence; even though such a conception as ‘axioms of logic’ could be perceived rather as a meta-conception or more like a technical practicality akin to say the scaffolding of a building! In other words as the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, logic and by extension mathematics imply elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, whereas axiomatic-constructs as reflecting ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions are construed in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-\(<\text{postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism}\>\) as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. But then as of ‘ontology of logic’ and ‘ontology of mathematics’ as their very own respective conceptualised meta-axiomatic-constructs as ontologies in terms of reflecting their philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’, both logic and mathematics are construed practically as formalisations which are mainly as such constructs of faithful/reproducible syntaxisation on the supposed basis of ‘smarter and simpler articulations’ for the sake of succinctness, clarity and fungibility; however, without the implication of any other inherent transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity of such formalisations besides their succinctness, clarity and fungibility usefulness ‘thus-limitedly construed as their inherent meta-conceptualised ontological-veracity/axiomatic-construct of logic and mathematics transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity’. But then it is naïve to construe of mathematics, as logicists have tended to do, as essentially an exercise of
mathematical formalisation. The fact is that mathematics have always been developed implicitly or explicitly in association with or inspired from the context/existential-contextualising-contiguity of other applied and transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity activities as of their axiomatic-constructs development and mathematics very own existential-reality of developed axiomatic-constructs applicative orientation, including developing together with heavily dependent mathematics domains like physics, engineering, other applied sciences and statistical studies. This latter situation which is more real than generally said and makes of mathematics ‘a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality created axiomatic-constructs’ and more so than the ‘abstract romantic image portrayed as of the mere manipulation of numbers and forms’ as if not inspired as of existential-reality contextuality itself. Thus naively taking cue from the formalisation of mathematics as if it will enable the inherent transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity of any discipline is bound to lead to disappointment, as the inherent axiomatic-constructs as theories, concepts, notions and ideas of the existential domain in question have to be critically developed as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for logic and mathematics to then be relevant as of a secondary tool or at best a concomitant tool. In this regards, the ‘truly mathematical proof’ (over and above any formal mathematical proof) is rather about validation/invalidation of any such mathematics as it can be so-demonstrable in the occurrence of existential phenomena/manifestations; even as such a mathematical demonstration is rather so ‘existentially nominal’ that such phenomenal/manifest veracity of mathematics is often for all practical purposes mostly overlooked by mathematicians when involved in their formalisation exercise including ‘formal proofs’ as to the fact that the existential validation/invalidation of mathematics is so nominally obvious that hardly any
experimenting is warranted for confirmation and this existential nominalism can easily lead to a reductionist confusion that mathematics (as to its epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest-subpotency--<in-transitive-conflicatedness--reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence’) is not priorly subject to existence—as-sublating-withdrawal,eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (and this very insight about the ‘existentially nominal’ sublating-validation/desublating-invalidation of mathematics as of a ‘very existentially nominal supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation as to the mere adequacy of formalised mathematics’ explains on the other hand why the mere introduction of mathematics, statistics and data in domains requiring ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation’ is not construed as sublating-validation in such domains where such mathematics, statistics and data are rather ‘distracting-from and not-contributing-to’ the inherent domain’s epistemic-conceptions phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies--<in-transitive-conflicatedness--reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> given ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation’). In physics the Newtons, Leibnizes, Einsteins, Poincarés, Schrodingers, Bohrs had to elicit the transcendental-enabling/sublating/supererogatory–de-mantativity of the physics <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality created axiomatic-
constructs with mathematics being accessory to the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity. They didn’t just start to develop ‘patterns of mathematical equations’ without the prior insight about the physics domain-of-study and what to strive for, and actually from that ‘physics reality precedence perspective’ got the insight to further develop their relevant branches of mathematics. Nor do even pure mathematicians just go about constructing ‘mathematical patterns’ as of formalisation without striving to get insight and inspiration from existential-reality as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity; and we can appreciate in this regards how the human mathematical disposition adjust from a classical reflex with regards to existential phenomena/manifestations that assume a non-classical character like statistical-con structs, quantum phenomena, black holes, etc. as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation. The naivety of logicism lies exactly in this respect of construing formalisation as most of what is supposed to be achieved, and failing to grasp that when it comes to social reality its own transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity has to be ‘creatively construed’, and this in many ways explains the frustrated conclusion that will often then arise from such a naïve formalisation perspective that the philosophical exercise is not necessarily transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity, contrary to the precept of all other knowledge! Thus the conceptualisation of logic implied by any given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ points to the fact that the various registry-worldviews/dimensions operate their own conception of logic as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as we can appreciate inherently as of
metaphysics-of-absence that however deficient, that each registry-worldview/dimension does have its own sense of logic as of its self-conscious construed meaningfulness-and-teleology. The notion of an absolutely valid logic can only arise on the backdrop of an absolutely valid reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as implied by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation, wherein such a logic is its ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. In this regard, the link-up of all the concepts and notions articulated herein by this author speaks of ‘suprastructural logic’ that is critically articulated as of a prospective notional–deprocrypticism psychoanalytic-unshackling metaphysics-of-absence and conflatedness, and further subsumed in the word candidity or candour-capacity. Such ‘suprastructural logic’ is even more damning about the naïve constitutedness construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology that besets the knowledge and philosophical tradition. Such a conception of logic and logical analysis points to the

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag naivety and vagueness involved when construing logic and logical analysis as absolute without any explicitly implied or formulated reference-of-thought, construed as ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’; usually in our case, in a non-transcendental

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that is unconsciously implied as of our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension. Insightfully, such a ‘suprastructural logic’ undermines metaphysical notions like good, essence and truth as being naively
ontology’s-directedness-as-Being thus enables the superseding of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence. Further, the fact is that it is rather axiomatic-constructs whether explicit or implicit that are supposedly in a meaningfulness-and-teleology correspondence relation with an epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality as of their given meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as validated by ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework; so-construed as of the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity when developing axiomation-constructs, with the latter subject to their transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity when prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought avails prospectively with regards to their meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>. The implications here as well are that implicit axiomatic-constructs like analogies and supposed intuitions/insights that do not reflect/align as of the coherence/contiguity of superseding–oneness-of-ontology implied as of the full-potency of existence coherence/contiguity, are ontologically naïve and vague. Thus axiomatic-constructs ontological-veracity are dependent on relative ontological-contiguity; as axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought in relative ontological-contiguity of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality. An axiomatic-construct is in such relative ontological-contiguity by its conflatedness as of the coherence/contiguity of superseding–oneness-of-ontology implied as of the full-potency of existence coherence/contiguity. An ‘axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–
reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~purview-of-construal’, reflected as of relative mutual unintelligibility. In
axiomatic-construct terms, it is ‘mentally-unsound/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism and by derivation illogical’ to be insisting on articulating notions of relevance
to the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs like
space-time or quanta in terms of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of
their respectively corresponding relative ontological-contiguity and relative notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity<-mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema>, and so with regards to ‘the very same physics
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. Such mutual unintelligibility,
with regards to reference-of-thought, speaks of differing
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument as axiomatic-
construct’ of the differing references-of-thought, with the traditional philosophical and
knowledge anti-psychologism stance fundamentally grounded on a mix-up about the nature
of ‘axioms wrongly construed as elements of logic’ as implied with statements like ‘axioms
of logic’ rather than the fact that axiomatic-constructs are ‘ontological wholes of
correspondence’ as of supposed correspondence with <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality/existential-reality and thus carry transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity implications as of human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}, whereas
logic and logical analysis is rather the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-
construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and at best
thought with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’. This author phenomenological transcendental conception is articulated as of non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant implications construing/conceptualising in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, not as an external speculative dialectics, but as a wholly internal natural dialectics in conflatedness as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Such that human phenomenological <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s) is the ‘complete scientific archaeological depth’ for grasping ontology and Being as of the conflatedness of human limited-mentation-capacity implications construed from notional~deprocrypticism perspective as historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, and consequently doesn’t carry any external ideological implication but rather for the inherent ontological and Being implications. Further as of such phenomenological transcendental conflatedness, there is no issue about existence itself as it is pre-given, as existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness, but rather an issue to humankind arising as of human-subpotency in the full-potency of existence with all the problem of existence being the issue of humankind’s limited-mentation-capacity implications as failing Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. The phenomenological insight here about the nature of ‘existence as so construed as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’ is that Being is the conflatedness as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation selectivity inherent in existence that rather skews presence states towards the ‘ontological statistical-exception’ of intemporal/longness over temporality/shortness possibilities, thus rendering existence as of relative teleological orderliness and not teleological chaos in the case were all ontological-possibilities as of temporality-to-intemporality were to be arising in equivalence/equal-measure. Thus, such ontology’s-directedness-as-Being conflatedness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness existentially supersede abstract/imagined/misconstrued/virtual constitutedness possibilities as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity implications that are effectively as of non-existence. The further implication is that human ‘prior existential-reality insight as arising by conflatedness as of the coherence/contiguity of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’ rather ‘points to the ontological-veracity of prospective existential-reality as of conflatedness upholding prospective coherence/contiguity of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’; wherein as of human-subpotency the ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as leading up to our present positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension speaks of a conflatedness as of successive opened-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought–<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignoreable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) and from which Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology exercise we can’t as of soundness-or-authenticity exculpate ourselves to then pretend ours is the registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought that is non-transcendable as of our <amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, when the insight of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity implications as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought avails, and so as the conflatedness upholding prospective coherence/contiguity of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. This further explains why there is need for corresponding Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to human technical development, and as with prior technologies future technologies will necessarily imply renewed human self-consciousness which is not by itself a given and needs to be ‘thought through and effectively conceptualised’ with respect to the future implications of human development, nuclear weapons knowledge, electronic communication, artificial intelligence, etc. as ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding-formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ is subject to epistemic-decadence as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. Such ‘ontological statistical-exception’ of intemporality/longness as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being permeates all existential processes including life itself. This
explains why the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
driveness—equalisation mental-disposition behind the ‘inventing’ of prior institutionalisation
as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being—as-of-existential-reality construed as prior
ontology’s-directedness-as-Being is necessarily the requisite mental-disposition for the
‘inventing’ of prospective institutionalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality construed as prospective ontology’s-directedness-as-Being; and so,
overcoming temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology on
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
as of uninstitutionalised-threshold failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-
ontological-preservation. Ultimately, phenomenology is all about grasping the conflatedness
of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. Furthermore, just as a transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity biological science in relative
ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought will dissociate modern day heredity DNA
 genetics as of its theoretical, conceptual, methodological, operant and applicative
implications from say 19th century Mendelian heredity however its inherent merits, and will
not naively purport to analyse the former on the grounds of the latter which as axiomatic-
construct is in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-
aestheticised~preconverging/dementing~qualia-schema> on the basis of a naïve conceptual
patterning implied as of the common term ‘heredity’; this author likewise is very much
critical and averse to such conceptual patterning mental-reflexes imbued in traditional non-transcendental philosophical and knowledge analysis all too ready to construe and articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology in sophistic/pedantic conceptual patterning terms overlooking transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity implications, and failing to fathom that conceptual patterning is no substitute for transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity work required for all knowledge notwithstanding setbacks and failures that may be involved, given the reality that human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> arises as an exercise of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of relatively profound and complete axiomatic-constructs/reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to—‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ or <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality! Consider for instance criticisms often levied against post-structuralism and specifically Derridean deconstruction as simply convoluted expressions of familiar and trite ideas. But then the effective transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity insight as of their applications arising in the social sciences and literal studies clearly demonstrate otherwise. Further many such critiques have tended to be naïve about what passes for theory whereby naïve conceptual patterning of general knowledge are articulated devoid of ‘new theory’, with little or no transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity implications, which in reality is nothing more than a sophistry of argument from authority. This conception of relatively profound and complete axiomatic-constructs/reference-of-thought in ontological-
contiguity can equally be demonstrated in graphical terms as a problem ‘not along the curve created-by-human-limited-mentation-capacity’ in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of axiomatic-construct but rather a problem arising as of the need for ‘a change of the curve to-be-created-by-deepening-human-limited-mentation-capacity’ in relative ontological-contiguity of axiomatic-construct for grander human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, as of the very same <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. The <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-perception/re-thought involves taking cue from existence/existential-contextualising-contiguity/contexts as of existential-instantiations imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring in a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness exercise as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; wherein say with a demand curve, the insight as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) of a significant rise in consumers’ salaries implies that everything else being equal the demand curve-axiomatic-construct will shift to the right as of relative ontological-contiguity. The notion of axiomatic-construct in ontological-contiguity arises out of its existential completeness and profoundness, for instance the axiomatic-construct in ontological-contiguity as concept of a bicycle arises by the completeness and profoundness of the bicycle in its existential wholeness of functionality and contents as its ontological-contiguity. Ontological-contiguity rather highlights relative perspectives as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence depths of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought of construal;
which for instance renders the idea of general relativity in relative ontological-contiguity and newtonian physics in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> rather as uncorrelated, whereas a notion of ‘continuity of ontology’ as is implied by ‘ontological-continuity as of relative ontological-continuity and relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’ will seem to imply correlatedness by the very nature of the term continuity. Ultimately, the overall analysis above points out that this is not an inherent ontological-as-of-the-full-potency-of-existence problem but rather a problem of human-subpotency as of its limited-mentation-capacity that is resolvable by the deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) with respect to reference-of-thought; as contrary to the ‘Derridean différance decentering’ freeplay that is entrapped in circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology on the wrong implied assumption of the same perpetual horizon as registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought so-implied as of our positivism mental-disposition, a ‘futural différance’ recognises that human limited-mentation-capacity transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity brings about prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus it centers-as-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought-as-of- ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought to override the circularity as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of meaningfulness-and-teleology implications of the uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-
(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) in the social-stake-contention-or-confliction
dynamism thus highlighting the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold;
wherein the ‘circular reference-of-thought of intemporal-as-ontological-meaningfulness-and-
teleology’ of sound ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> is not
disambiguated from the ‘circular reference-of-thought of temporal-as-denaturing
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of ontologically-flawed/deficient ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>. Thirdly, there is thus beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> temporal individuations
denaturing dynamics relations to the reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-
notions/articulations/virtue, arising as of the conjugation of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s. Fourthly cross-generationally, the
intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation as of
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reconceptualises of
a transcending elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation of implicit-and-
explicit articulation of new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-
and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing temporal-to-intemporal human limited-mentation-capacity implications. Such superseding is actually attained as of the specific protensive-consciousness specific human premeaningfulness/preframing—<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>. That is, as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
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reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry–teleology as
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing-
thus failing prospective intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-
preservation, inherently the issue of human limited-mentation-capacity as of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s, is a paramount and permanent one such that
the construct of deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry–teleology is exactly about an epistemic-totalising–conflated-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional–deprocrypticism-reflected-
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing preemptive
projecting/anticipating of the denaturing possibility of human limited-mentation-capacity as
of deprocrypticism social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-
entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)
ontological-performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology>.; inherently a deprocrypticism
protensive-consciousness is one which totalises-for-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
as-notional–deprocrypticism with no nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of
akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) or a-registry-
worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
notional–deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
aesthetic-tracing as of extended metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisation and as of the insight of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. The latter highlights the recurrence of such ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold phenomena’ as <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) and institutionalised-being-and-craft. For instance, the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology have arisen as secondnatured constructs that have substituted for their uninstitutionalised-threshold free-for-all <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) framework, such that many a subject matter domain like the heavens, forces of nature, material nature, social laws, etc. are now effectively construed socially as of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as abstract intemporal/ontological-driven conceptualisation as of respectively formal religion, formal science, legal system, etc. voiding free-for-all construals as of temporal social-aggregation-enabling teleological dispositions as of respectively animistic dispositions, alchemic and essences-driven explanation of nature, crude mob justice, etc. Insightfully, as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, anthropologists are very much aware that the social diffusion of new transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity practices into a given society are more likely to be adopted as of the society’s institutional
and formal percolation-channelling framework than as of an dimensionality-of-sublimating—
\[\text{amplituding/formative}\]supererogatory–de-mentativenss/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation ‘direct convincing’ at individuals-level underlying deferring to institutional and formal meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the need for profoundness and rigour that doesn’t avail in ordinary thought for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Likewise, on occasion in the face of prior institutionalisation established and perceived vested interest such intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology could be ontologically undermined as of institutionalised-being-and-craft. Consider in this regard Establishment efforts undermining the Diderot-led Encyclopédistes project. Furthermore, every registry-worldview/dimension relates to its value construct as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought constitutedness as more or less absolute, and doesn’t factor in that its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is a structural/paradigmatic deficiency inducing the \[\text{amplituding/formative}\]epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of its value construct. But then prospective institutionalisation necessarily implies a notion of prospective value construct as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness which will be unintelligible to the prior value construct, such that it is only a sense of intemporal consummation that drives transcendental dispositions as it is paradoxical to expect that what is in need for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity acts as transcended, as transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is inevitably and so across all registry-worldviews/dimensions a state of paradoxical conflictedness as more profoundly involving a cross-generational meaningfulness-and-teleology psychoanalytic-unshackling than a
grounding conceptualisation! Furthermore, both the prior institutionalisation value construct and the prospective institutionalisation value construct are their respectively given centered-epistemic-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology, with transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity conflictedly implying overriding the prior institutionalisation’s centered-epistemic-totalisation-facticity for the prospective institutionalisation’s centered-epistemic-totalisation-facticity. But then ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is an empirical fact, and thus the resolution of this transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity paradox is rather reflected by the dynamics of human positive-opportunism as of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing,<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) avails with respect to social-stakecontention-or-confliction, wherein while in the immediate-and-short-term human ‘self-referencing’ will seem to imply that it is almost impossible to transcend from a given social conventioning centered–epistemic-totalisation-facticity but cross-generationally human ‘re-conventioning whether driven by a sense of pure-ontology as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality or otherwise with say cultural-diffusion’, as ‘syncretising-effecting’ on meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to social-stakecontention-or-confliction induces human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity. Consider in this regard historical transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity elicited by cultural diffusion whether with respect to trading or invasion or voyages of exploration. The fact is a social-setup is structurally/paradigmatically a framework where individuals are naturally
involved in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived existential possibilities, and thus individuals and social groups are not in an absolutely given/set self-referencing centered–epistemic-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology within their social-setup and are predisposed on critical occasions as of syncretising-effecting to ‘reinvent’, circumvent or adapt as to what they perceive as optimum existential possibilities, such that a social-setup is already involved internally however restricted in its very own reinvention/circumventing/adaptation as of its very own internal ‘self-referencing and syncretising-effecting construed as <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and it is this element that enables all human societies to have a minimal opening/overture/receptivity to each other, including at the very extreme between an industrial age society and a hunter-gatherer society. Without such a structural/paradigmatic ‘self-referencing and syncretising-effecting construed as <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag human nature’, both internal social transformation however lethargic and cultural diffusion will be basically impossible, and <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag induced transformation arises because human perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction drifts within-and-across social-setups whether with regards to basic trading, curiosity, social competition and generally as of a predisposition to achieve optimum existential possibilities. In this regard, the rapid transformation implications of cultural diffusion arise because it makes relatively immediately available to individuals and social groups a comprehensive set of options however limited the nature and speed of their adoption. This syncretising-effecting
mechanism ultimately explains why cross-generational transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity occurs notwithstanding a seemingly self-referencing centered–epistemic-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology within a given social-setup in the immediate-and-short-term. transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought occurs because structurally/paradigmatically it is social-dispositions and mental-dispositions of intemporal-as-ontological nature as of longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of more profound ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-confoundedness, as re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-confoundedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation), that are most likely to be syncretised cross-generationally as providing the most overall positive-opportunism by their relative universal projection implications and are formally-and-overtly assumed, and so over temporal-as-ontologically-flawed social-dispositions and mental-dispositions which are more or less formally-and-overtly unassumed as of their temporal denaturing nature or poor universal projection. However, such a conception of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is not actively contemplated socially but occurs latently and passively with any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology as its inherent social-dispositions and mental-dispositions are rather as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–⟨in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought⟩with regards to such transcendental implications! Despite the fact that all social-setups tend to
be surreptitiously permeated with individuals temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology social-dispositions and mental-dispositions of suboptimal ontological implications for social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, every social-setup as a conventional-construct can only be held together in the long-term as of its requisite given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-level of minimally-expected basic conscious-adherence-at-best or token-adherence-at-worst to the said institutionalisation-level’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with regards to meeting a basic level of individuals and social existential-possibilities expectations. It may thus seem from within just one human generation perspective that the underlying human metaphoricy for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is rather marginal especially when not associated with any external cultural diffusion. However, human metaphoricy as of cultural transformation had tended historically, in the main, to ebb in peaks and lows, and so as of the relative universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,–as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) about such metaphoricy instigative reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation direct, indirect and/or devolving implications. The fact that individuals in a social-setup are already involved internally however restricted in its very own reinvention/circumventing/adaptation in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived existential possibilities and is thus of a minimal opening/overture/receptivity to internal and external metaphoricy, also critically speaks to the fact that any social-setup is only able to hold together because of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment that is subject to existence-potency,–disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness validatory ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. As of its circularity, the lack or poorer cause-and-effect determinism of any such supposedly coherent ontological-commitment threshold of a social-setup meaningfulness-and-teleology’ allows for the possibility for prospective metaphoricity to reconstrue-and-redefine the social-setup meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such prospective metaphoricity possibility cannot be preempted because even the social-setup conventioning in its functional operation of meaningfulness-and-teleology needs this supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in other to affirm itself over any spontaneously arising disruptive meaningfulness-and-teleology that may be articulated by individuals or groups, with the result that a social-setup ever always exposes itself to prospective metaphoricity in one way or the other when such spontaneously arising disruptive meaningfulness-and-teleology is not of poorer but rather of a superseding ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of the social-setup given supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. We can consider in this regard that an animistic non-positivistic or medieval non-positivistic social-setup will certainly imply a supposedly coherent ontological-commitment respectively as of superstitious spiritualism meaningfulness-and-teleology or scholasticism pedantic dogmatism meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of the given social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ in its capacity to demonstrably and objectively uphold and function going by its specific registry-worldview/dimension as of superstitious spiritualism or scholasticism pedantic dogmatism. It is exactly this ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ that equally makes available the possibility for prospective metaphoricity to demonstrably undermine the implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment of such prior social-setups registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-
teleology, and so as of the prospectively induced ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology as from existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional-projective-perspective of relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by way of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework such as with prospective positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, given the inherence of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, inevitably prospective metaphoricity undermines vested interests as of the direct, indirect and/or devolving implications of prospective metaphoricity and by that token elicit sophistic/pedantic inclinations to such prospective metaphoricity meaningfulness-and-teleology. Further any such prospective metaphoricity ultimately takes hold rather as of within the social deferential-formalisation-transference framework wherein it is driven by a sense of positive-opportunism as of particular and general social interest. That said, a social-setup is ever always ‘existentially invested’ to a given registry-worldview/dimension and the fact of greater existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification from prospective metaphoricity which may involve undermining such ‘existentially invested’ registry-worldview/dimension in its <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought←as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}> means that it doesn’t necessarily construe such prospective metaphoricity as pertinent and so where it is nihilistically disinclined by its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-
collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\(\text{amplituding/formative}\)epistemic-
totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-
conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness \(\text{amplituding/formative}\)wooden-
language-\((\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-}
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>))\), as of its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology-\(<\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}>\) manifestation. The abstract
notion of antinihilism as implied by such prospective metaphoricity is not construed in
human temporal terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct as a ‘living notion’ going by an epistemic-
totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag elicitation of
value as of untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality. In this regard, as of the temporal ‘mental
and existential investment’ of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation prospective base-
institutionalisation antinihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology is basically nothing and
worthless, likewise as of the temporal ‘mental and existential investment’ of base-
institutionalisation–ununiversalisation prospective universalisation antinihilism
meaningfulness-and-teleology is basically nothing and worthless, same with universalisation–
non-positivism/medievalism and prospective positivism, and equally so for positivism–
procrRYPTicism and futurial Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-
of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocrRYPTicism. Explaining in many ways why the elicitation of value as of
prospective secondnatured institutionalisation rather occurs as of the superseding of
\(\text{amplituding/formative}\)epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
Ultimately, prospective metaphoricity in a reflection of the individual-as-receptable-of-
temporal-to-intemporal-individuations realistically implies that it is rather fundamentally a question of grasping the mechanism that tips the balance towards human intemporality/longness and subsequent prospective institutionalisation which is ontologically sufficient for prospective ontological-effectiveness, rather than a naïve engagement as if the human is all-essentially intemporal-as-of-an-absolute-ontological-commitment-disposition. More critically, such a conception of prospective metaphoricity cognisant of the decisiveness of deferential-formalisation-transference for institutionalisation and thus subsequent social percolation-channelling, come to grasp that sophistic/pedantic predispositions are the more salient entrenched interests beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> with respect to prospective metaphoricity as of the implications of such undermining of social deferential-formalisation-transference. In this regard, the sophistic/pedantic barriers to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism metaphoricity implications are necessarily spurious and associated with our positivism–procrypticism institutional-being-and-craft as of the direct, indirect and/or devolving prospective metaphoricity implications. We can appreciate in this regard that for the medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation, it doesn’t matter that budding positivism can be demonstrated as more ontologically pertinent as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, so long as it is socially and institutionally credible to uphold non-positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology in effect by undermining its deferential-formalisation-transference. It is with regards to such sophistic/pedantic disinclination to prospective metaphoricity that the latter elicits contortioning gesturing, wherein for instance Socrates with respect to the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation (as we can appreciate that however say a Protagoras engagement with Socrates may project coherence as of his contextual appreciation of Socrates predisposition
for coherence, this doesn’t exclude the possibility of a ‘floating sophistic’ inclination that simply adjusts to its interlocutor thus undermining in the bigger picture the notion of knowledge as of universal coherence idealisation, or still maybe Protagoras is just at the lower end of the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation) and budding positivists with respect to medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation (as we can appreciate that the recognition and then censure and then banning of Copernicus’s heliocentric world work or engagement with Galileo’s support of heliocentrism then his persecution for publishing, rather speaks paradigmatically of the covert/underhanded nature of the medieval establishment pedantic disposition as of the implications of ideas undermining medieval dogma as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction) construe of such sophistic/pedantic disinclination as implying notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> with their prospectively implied metaphoricity; with the consequence that there can’t be common/mutual aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as of dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence and inherently so because of the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation and medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation inauthentic/unsound apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of respectively non-universalising and non-positivism/medievalism dogma prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought warranting their unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> for the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of prospective Socratic philosophers
universalising-idealisation and prospective positivism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
meaningfulness-and-teleology respectively. Likewise, this author’s critique of the spurious institutional-being-and-craft muddlement of our positivism–procrypticism with respect to its structural/paradigmatic implicated undermining of the possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism is not an idle exercise, and so as of such <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-
notions/articulations/virtue’ construed as institutionalisation, as the latter’s reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology can be denaturing (beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>)
as of their <amplituding/formative>wooden-language–(imbued—temporal–mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
by the various temporalities in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism at its
uninstitutionalised-threshold. This latter is only undermined driven by ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of prospective
human limited-mentation-capacity prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-
meaningfulness as of implicated-and-explicated reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-
notions/articulations/virtue’ construed as prospective institutionalisation, by its greater social
universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness). Again,
the latter institutionalisation’s meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance–
<including-virtue-as-ontology> is equally vouched by transcendentally-complementing
mentation-(supererogatory-ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—
stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) contextual ontologically contiguous transitioning construal
of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>
that anticipates and accounts for human inherent intemporality/longness and temporality,
purports to avoid wrong elevation of temporality/shortness in threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and wrong degradation of
intemporality/longness in supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism implied reference-of-
thought—elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation, given the inherently
confounding ontological-veridicality of human potent beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. Broadly speaking thus, the
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought as of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions in social-stake-
contention-or-confliction implies that it is naïve to conceive of a ‘neuter framework of
reference-of-thought putting the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as of the same
axiomatic teleological projection’ as in effect as of conflatedness this simply wrongly
elevates temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-dispositions
teleologically-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold and wrongly degrades
the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition
elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation; as the former is in reality
denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought> while the latter is upholding reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. Actually such an ordinary mental-reflex of a ‘neuter framework of reference-of-thought putting the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as of the same axiomatic teleological projection’ when it comes to social-stake-confliction-or-contention is only valid as of ‘mutual conceptualisation as of a given institutionalisation with a common ontological-reference-of-thought’ wherein it is then strictly a matter of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation in determining ontological-veracity. But then at such a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, there is a relative variance of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in intemporality/longness entailing the prospective institutionalisation and the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in temporality/shortness entailing the uninstitutionalised-threshold; thus implying a relative variance in such intemporal and temporal teleological projection respectively as of elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation and teleologically-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold in determining ontological-veracity. In this sense we can garner that it is inappropriate to imply a ‘neuter framework of reference-of-thought putting the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as of the same axiomatic teleological projection’ and so, as of an uninstitutionalised-threshold and the prospective institutionalisation; given the variance of temporality/shortness rather as respectively in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism-or-medievalism, and prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with intemporality/longness rather as respectively in base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The bigger point here being that the very notion of transcendence-and-
sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’; imply a
disambiguation as of mutual unintelligibility of prospective institutionalisation’s reference-
of-thought soundness-or-authenticity and the uninstitutionalised-threshold’s reference-of-
thought unsoundness-or-inauthenticity. Deneuterising, from a storied-construct/ontologically-
valid-narration perception perspective insight, highlights a temporal mental-disposition
uninstitutionalised-threshold issue’ as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> involving human temporal limited-
mentation-capacity at its uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein the reference-of-thought as
temporal-mental-disposition-is-actually-of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-in-an-‘apparently-elevated’-
devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation whereas inherent-superseding-existential-
reality-unattached-to-its-temporal-limited-mentation-capacity-mental-disposition-points-to-
its-degraded-devolving-at-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such a deneuterising binarity of
storied ontologically-flawed <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-temporal-mental-dispositions and storied
background of ontologically-veridical-inherent-superseding-existential-reality-unattached-to-
such-temporal-mental-dispositions portrays how a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-
narration can be articulated as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> ‘emphasising exclusively that it is the
construal of human temporality-to-intemporality limited-mentation-capacity transversal-and-
cumulative-implications’ that accounts for ontologically-veridical human character-and-
social-formation-dynamics as of both uninstitutionalised-threshold representation and
prospective-institutionalisation representation. Such a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-
narration is ultimately articulated rather as of the implications of the failing to uphold Being
as of the temporal-to-intemporeal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human limited-mentation-capacity in temporal constitutedness mental-reflexes at presence reference-of-thought, and so reflected by the implied intemporal conflatedness of phenomenological transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity as of notional-deprocrypticism. We can appreciate the metaphysics-of-absence insight about such a deneuterising storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration from the fact that a non-positivism/medievalism or animistic social-setup is ‘not committed in a \(<amplituding/formative>\)epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ to positivistic/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to occurrences and incidents best explained and dealt with by such positivistic meaningfulness as of the latter’s prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. As such non-positivism/medievalism or animistic social-setup ‘will not be self-effacing as of its ontologically-flawed \(<amplituding/formative>\)epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-temporal-mental-dispositions as-if-always-in-a-state-of-institutionalisation, failing to psychoanalytically project about its uninstitutionalised-threshold of non-positivism and the prospective institutionalisation of positivism’. This equally explains how our positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition is construed in deneuterising from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism perspective ‘as not self-effacing as of its ontologically-flawed \(<amplituding/formative>\)epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-temporal-mental-dispositions as-if-always-in-a-state-of-institutionalisation, failing to psychoanalytically project about the uninstitutionalised-threshold of its procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
and the prospective institutionalisation of deprocrypticism’. This is actually the ontologically-veridical phenomenological transcendental framework for construing/conceptualising human temporal character and social formation mental-dispositions as of uninstitutionalised-threshold and prospective-institutionalisation based on the dynamics of limited-mentation-capacity, unlike a naïve neuterising mental-reflex that by its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag fails to attain such a conflatedness as of notional~deprocrypticism deneuterising insight. Central and critical to achieving such a deneuterising analysis in grasping the full and complete possibilities of ontologically-veridical construal of human meaningfulness-and-teleology given human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions as of prospective institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold is the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology~<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. It is exactly what renders a veridical ontological-escalation or aetiologisation of the human condition possible as the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of conflatedness as of notional~deprocrypticism. It is most critical because at any registry-worldview/dimension, human self-consciousness is a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex as of being-only-in-institutionalisation-and-hence-only-of-a-meaningfulness-and-teleology-that-is-intemporal while defectively ignoring-and-undermining the veridicality of uninstitutionalised-threshold-and-its-assorted-and-conjugated-temporal-meaningfulness-and-teleology such that transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is always perceived as unnatural when <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, in the sense that ‘it-is-others,-as-of-the-prior-registry-worldviews/dimensions,-that-have-an-uninstitutionalised-threshold-and-the-
awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> reflecting uninstitutionalised-threshold Being undervelopment; wherein with specific regards to a postlogism-slantedness/psychologism mental-disposition and less and less so as of temporal exacerbation/opportunism/affordability, such instigated part-conviction-as-to-profound-supерerogation is rather as of a relevant generalised social projection as ‘<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}
of veridical supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supерerogation—
pостконвергирующий/диалектический мышление–априорное психологизм’ in relevant social engagement not perceived as of critical social-stake-contention-or-confliction as providing a ‘supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supерerогация—постконвергирующий/диалектический мышление–априорное психологизм credibility backdrop’ for subsequent targeted threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supерерогация—
preконвергирующий/дементирующий/априорное психологизм mental-disposition in relation to specific social engagements perceived as of critical social-stake-contention-or-confliction. Effectively, such part-conviction-as-to-profound-supерерогация–or–part–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supерерогация with respect to pertinently-perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction contexts arises due to (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>)) constraint of human limited-mentation-capacity as of prospective human апоретизм such that this induces as of various existential-instantiations ‘ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-
tonology>’, subpar to ontologically-веридичная meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as fundamentally underscored by the
This operantly defines procrpticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as beyond just the construal of new supposedly intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prospective institutionalisation to preempt the temporally denaturing reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prior institutionalisation, but rather the deneuterising construal of the very ‘limited-mentation-capacity as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> constraining dynamism’ behind the denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the very first place; conceptualised henceforth as the very reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of the Deprocrpticism registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation as of its implied notional–deprocrpticism. Overall, the fact is that given that what is most relevant to the individual is the practicality as of their ‘rationalising threads of part-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation–or–part–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation perception-and-relation to meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over just abstract universal propositions, when it comes to social-stake-contention-or-confliction social-functioning-and-accordance constraints such temporal part-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation–or–part–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation mental-dispositions tend to be ultimately translated decisively onto issues of public repercussions like corruption, mismanagement, nepotism, etc. It is very much naïve to imagine that as of such uninstitutionalised-threshold as of Being/ontological-framework-expansion
underdevelopment, individuals in positions of social-stake-contention-or-confliction with respect to upholding/failing probity will simply adhere, at the exclusion of engrained-habits-and-mental-dispositions, to mere propositions of probity rather than in the face of weak-institutional-constraints-and-penalties to perceive such universal propositions as mere linguistic appendages of relative practical insignificance. The notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> is the effective and credible deneutering enabling articulation that grasps such an ontologically flawed mental-reflex that recurrently permeates consciously and unconsciously human phenomenological mentation, as it ‘credibly’ grasps-and-accounts-for, without resorting to any neutering, the full and complete possibilities of human mental-dispositions as of the exclusive dynamics of human limited-mentation-capacity across all registry-worldviews/dimensions involving the conjugation of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation and temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuations of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s. Ultimately, the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> given its psychoanalytic-unshackling as of prospective deprocrysticism transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, points to a self-consciousness that should rather come to terms with the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions resolved beyond just the notion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology but rather their protraction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality conflatedness of Being as implied as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The issue of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or Being underdevelopment is associated with that of the construal of knowledge as organic-knowledge or mechanical-knowledge respectively; with the latter construed as of the ‘mere effecting possibilities of knowledge’ without a coherence/contiguity with the ‘knowledge inventing’ mental-disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the given knowledge, as implied with organic-knowledge. It is such a mechanical-knowledge as of ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-dispositions towards the mere effecting possibilities of the knowledge’ that induces the forgetting of Being construed as ontology’s-directedness-as-Being, by undermining the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality upholding of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation that is behind organic-knowledge. Human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal mental-dispositions as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> are all too ready to construe of the comprehensiveness of knowledge as mere effecting possibilities of knowledge at the given institutionalisation’s uninstitutionalised-threshold in temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology terms-as-of-axiomatic-construal as of the plainly implied opportunism with little consideration of the projective intemporal value dispositions behind the ‘knowledge inventing’ and its organic
preservation. Thus the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process arises exactly to ensure deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturings of knowledge as of organic-knowledge comprehensiveness. The following is enlightening in this regard. (For what it takes to get a medieval as non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, that is, suppose for instance where in a medieval social-setup an accusation of witchcraft is demonstrated by an outsider from a positivistic social-setup to be incorrect and unsound to the approval of all in that social-setup, that outsider understanding fundamentally that the medieval setup by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ is in a state of \(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag\) of a medieval worldview will grasp that that unique demonstration of medieval-postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought\(<\) as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation\) (as accusation of witchcraft) is not to be construed naively as an adequate basis for a new logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as ‘prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism re-engaging mental-reflex’ that re-engages with non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought, given the possibilities of further accusations of witchcrafts or by-and-large the vices-and-impediments potentially arising from such a non-positivism/medievalism worldview as of the ‘local community dynamism of individual interests involved’ that endemises and enculturates notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. It is rather the cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure transforming of the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought into a
positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought that is ontologically-speaking to be construed as the structural/paradigmatic resolution of the vices-and-impediments arising from a non-
positivism/medievalism worldview with respect to such notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery.
The same applies with respect to our positivism–procrypticism worldview and futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism worldview). We can appreciate such metaphysics-of-absence insight as of say in a situation 
of cultural diffusion the requirement that a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation social-setup 
opportunistically grasping mere effecting possibilities of base-institutionalisation knowledge, 
as of relative convenience to individuals, are much more better off equally coming into terms 
institutionally with the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality induced intemporality/longness behind the ‘inventing of the base-institutionalisation culturally diffused knowledge’ for an optimum accrual of the Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation; that 
is, based on base-institutionalisation’s ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ enabling the superseding of recurrent-
utter-uninstitutionalisation vices-and-impediments as of its ‘non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-
mental-disposition’. Such conceptualisation extends to all registry-worldviews/dimensions 
prospective institutionalisation including our positivism–procrypticism prospective 
transcendental emancipation to deprocrypticism. Underlying Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology is the construal of knowledge in both its ‘immediate, cause-
is the complementary background for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; as we can appreciate that despite the positivistic inclinations of the Copernicus, the Galileos and the Newtons, the scientific advances that ultimately took hold arose because those budding scientists had a sense that the very ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’ background had to be superseded as of its scholasticism and mysticism underlying knowledge background for a positivism/rational-empiricism knowledge background to take hold as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity not only to science but transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity as well to the open society equally required for the sound functioning of science. It is this dynamic relationship as of ‘immediate, cause-and-effect and non-blurry practical and scientific knowledge’ and ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’ that is behind Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as resolving the vices-and-impediments of the prior registry-worldview/dimension. But then no matter the succession of institutionalisations as successive Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, there is an ever present issue of Being underdevelopment as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor wherein institutionalising reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are always subject at uninstitutionalised-thresholds to their denaturing as of their <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing--narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology), as of temporal failing to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Hence Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given human limited-mentation-capacity is rather upheld by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation wherein the abstract intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology behind the prior registry-worldview institutionalisation should equally be reflected as of prospective registry-worldview institutionalisation, and involving the requisite deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing of knowledge as organic-knowledge. We can appreciate the latter point in the sense that with the development of various positivistic scientific and knowledge fields, the knowledge agents weren’t naïve to imply that the ‘normal social temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions as of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)’ are appropriate framework for engaging their subject-matter, as they rather promoted formal knowledge/scientific societies and adopted their specific jargons to ensure that the intemporal value reference mental-dispositions behind their respective ‘knowledge inventing’ was the institutional mental-disposition for engaging with the knowledge formally or as of secondnatured education practically available to everyone interested, and so while alienating and considering general social <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as improper and unqualified. This was to avoid a circularity of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}


This point is central and critical to the very notion of society-as-social-construct, as society is caught between the notion of sovereignty as-allowing-basic-level-of-universal-individual-and-collective-self-affirmation-striving-for-social-equality and the notion of knowledge as-of-selective-construal-of-social-value-and-institutional-hierarchisation-as-of-ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework-overriding-social-equality-for-the-sake-of-individual-and-social-emancipation-as-of-efficient-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-
ontology>–implications. The implication of this dilemma is the reality that society is always subpar to a knowledge social determination as well as subpar to a sovereignty social determination. This dilemma is unavoidable by the very implications of a society: every social-setup as a conventional-construct can only be held together in the long-term as of its requisite given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-level of minimally-expected basic conscious-adherence-at-best or token-adherence-at-worst to the said institutionalisation-level’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to meeting a basic level of individuals and social existential-possibilities expectations; such that the notions of knowledge and sovereignty can only be ‘socially effective’ within this articulated framework as enabled by ‘social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)’. This articulation can be elucidated more explicitly in cases of cultural diffusion between societies of differing institutionalisation level as such cultural diffusion isn’t by a simplistic institutionalisation knowledge-level transference, but involves a mutual sense of sovereign selectivity and recognition among the societies, however the drive for cultural diffusion; thus allowing for ‘acculturating-indigenising-pidginising transitioning settings and their social constructions as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ prior to eventual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought accommodation. This is equally the knowledge and sovereignty dynamics that prevails within any given society. Thus, knowledge can effectively and efficiently be pushed forward but rather through an exercise of increasing ‘social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)’ thus enabling ‘intemporal ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic- causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for- explicating-ontological-contiguity’ associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, all along this ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process a suboptimal relation between knowledge and sovereignty undermines Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of various pertinent social manifestations: – wherein sovereignty is affirm over knowledge as ‘supposedly being knowledge’ by a culture of mere social-aggregation-enabling of temporal-to-intemporal hotchpotch opinionatedness, notwithstanding the underlying transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity in formal institutional deferential- formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling, with the result that beyond the underlying implied institutionalisation-level such a social-aggregation-enabling hotchpotching opinionatedness culture tends to critically and decisively inform individual and collective thought and action in a manner that is suboptimal to intemporality-as-ontology as of the manifestation of such a temporal-to-intemporal hotchpotching culture in the extended-informality that permeates even formal institutions; – wherein by exploiting of temporal mental-dispositions as of individuals and the collective-social sovereignty, knowledge is undermined by wrongly implying the pertinence of social-aggregation-enabling construed as ‘exploitation of sovereignty’/mobbishness as of ‘intellectual institutional-being-and-craft self-serving’ in lieu of upholding institutionalisation, including the tendency to degrade
knowledge conceptualisations into popular frameworks of knowledge appraisal thus subverting institutional deferential-formalisation-transference rigorous knowledge framework as of their transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness; – the ontologically-flawed articulation of knowledge by an intellectual disposition akin to <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology),-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology undermining knowledge as of its organic true nature implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind prior ‘knowledge inventing’ and prospective ‘knowledge inventing’, and so as of intellectual institutional-being-and-craft; – ultimately the very paradox of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag means that the human sovereign psyche is one that is geared to construe of ‘presence as all-encompassing meaningfulness-and-teleology value construct’ such that the transcendental implications of knowledge by mental-reflex are construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness to presence, rather than as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of presence construed as of prospective relative ontological-contiguity over prior/transcended/superseded relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>. However despite this knowledge and sovereignty dilemma associated with Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, the insight about human <$\text{amplituding/formative}>$ epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of self-referencing and syncretising-effecting intemporal implications means that the requisite intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology psychoanalytic-unshackling positive-opportunism can cross-generationally be induced for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology despite the inherent circular distractiveness of temporality, and ultimately so as enabled by ‘social universal-transparency→(transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing <$\text{amplituding/formative}>$ epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness)’. The above analysis point out that transcendental knowledge in particular involves more than just knowledge as a grounded construct but as well an understanding of how such knowledge is instigated in society as part and parcel of the knowledge construed as organic-knowledge; given that the social-construct-as-society is not necessarily of immediate receptivity and is of a suboptimal disposition to such transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity implications that are not priorly as of grounded constructs of knowledge. This will explain why the mere articulation of positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology constructs of knowledge wasn’t enough in undermining medieval mental-dispositions, and the persistent initiatives of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Rousseaux, Diderots, etc., were not vague actions but informed by an intuition about the nature of human society and how it develops given the inherently untransformable human-subpotency~aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as of human limited-mentation-capacity. Thus in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, crucially the
issue of ontological-veracity is only half the problem of knowledge, with the other half being the grasp of the underlying sovereignty and knowledge dynamics as of eliciting ‘social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing–as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)’. As it is the latter that induces that social positive-opportunism for deferential-formalisation-transference and institutional percolation-channelling, as of social deferential attribution of power for the beneficial effect of knowledge as empowering various institutional domains. Further, as implying the superseding of entrenched grounded knowledge as of its psychoanalytic-unshackling implications and in destabilising the underlying existential reference-of-thought, transcendental knowledge is of a circular but consistent exercise of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, and so due to the ‘existential and emotive commitments’ it is involved in undoing with regards to the implied prior notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> reference-of-thought and introducing the prospective ontological-contiguity reference-of-thought as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to–‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’. Consider in this regard, that the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as of propective ontological-contiguity is more than just a reification gesturing of its very own axiomatic-construct affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring–<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> but extends to encompass a de-assertion/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring–<preconverging-or-
purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality is relatively trite as occurring within the same registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought as of the positivistic/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology mindset as well as its distance with respect to physical reality, such a transcending reification gesturing as of the grandest axiomatic-constructs having to do with consciousness with regards to the ‘very reference-of-thought itself’ wherein the prospective ontological-contiguity reference-of-thought as deprocrypticism/disjointedness-as-reference-of-thought implies a transcending reification gesturing that not only affirms deprocrypticism prospective registry-worldview/dimension but in that affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought de-asserts/demets our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, this will elicit an existential and emotional involvement that will rather convert into a circular neuterisation of deprocrypticism by a mental-complex avoiding such emotional discomfort and sense of existential ego undermining as is the case with all destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> with respect to their prospective institutionalisations. This explains why it is not a fundamental contradiction as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor at uninstitutionalised-thresholds that the positivistic/rational-empiricism initiatives of such enlightenment thinkers like Galileo, Descartes, Diderot, etc. were met with counteracting reactionary views, and as it further elicits ontologically-flawed ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold by prospective institutionalisation dialogical-equivalence’. This can’t be the case because dialogical-equivalence can only arise
deneuterising. It should thus be noted that such a transcendental exercise is not about passing the test as of the judgment of uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-reflexes of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which is ‘ontologically flawed and wanting’ but rather is as of a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal-projection transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~dementativity for prospective institutionalisation relative to such <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that circularly reinstitute the uninstitutionalised-threshold temporality/shortness as if intemporal in incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. In other words prospective institutionalisation arises as of ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ which as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought is introducing a ‘new-as-of-the-prospective-institutionalisation ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’ that blocks-out/supersedes/de-asserts/dements as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> the ‘prior-or-old-as-now-uninstitutionalised ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’; with the implication that our ‘procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning’ is not admissible to prospective ‘deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning’ and so from the moment of the event-construed-as-the-prospective-ontology-origination of deprocrypticism, just as ‘non-positivistic medieval reasoning’ is not admissible to prospective ‘positivism reasoning’ from the moment of the event-construed-as-the-prospective-ontology-origination of positivism,
etc., across the successive institutionalisations in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; and so as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of the uninstitutionalised-threshold and the prospective institutionalisation. Such a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontologically-flawed predisposition in circularly striving to reassert the ‘prior-or-old-as-now-uninstitutionalised ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’ over the ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ is fundamentally due to the structural/paradigmatic lifetime ‘mental and existential investment’ in the former, such that by and large it is mostly a crossgenerational transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity that fully brings about the adaptation of the induced ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ as the ‘new-as-of-the-prospective-institutionalisation ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’. Such a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontologically-flawed circular predisposition arises due to human temporal-dispositions as of Being underdevelopment that tends to lead to the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> denaturing of knowledge as mechanical-knowledge and undermining organic-knowledge; wherein knowledge is related to as of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, that is, knowledge related to as of ‘the mere positive-opportunism it engenders at best’ with little or no cognisance that there is an attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme as of intemporality/longness behind ‘knowledge invention’ that must be preserved and perpetuated as ‘the very core of knowledge’ and so to undermine knowledge denaturing, so-construed as organic-knowledge. Organic-knowledge requires the articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology rather in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct as the profound-and-complete articulation of
knowledge, and as the very attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme behind knowledge that induces the appropriate psychoanalytic-unshackling for its reception. In other words, we can’t seriously contemplate a profound positivistic knowledge engagement with a non-positivistic as animistic or medieval mindset without the idea of priorly eliciting the appreciation-and-adopt of a positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme when contending about any salient positivistic articulations as otherwise all such positivism/rational-empiricism articulations and explaining will be reconstrued circularly in animistic or medieval terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct as of the latter teleologically-degraded prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought relative to our positivism–procrypticism necessarily requires priorly the requisite apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity from positivism–procrypticism’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset into deprocrypticism’s preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as otherwise such knowledge will be teleologically-degraded in circular positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though in the latter case our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence blinds us to appropriately appreciating this given the human mental-reflex of representing any uninstitutionalised-threshold as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-
preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) as of our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag. The point here is that the meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed has to supersede the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold perspective/framing/reference/horizon for its prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity-enabling purpose, even if that implies being temporally unpalatable, given that the fundamental purpose for the underlying aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm and not temporal extricatory paradigm. Put another way, for instance, Newtonian physics doesn’t have any inherent meaningfulness-and-teleology as we can appreciate from a positivism/rational-empiricism perspective/framing/referencing/horizon with an animistic social-setup as of the latter’s attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme underlying its meaningfulness-and-teleology thus requiring the latter’s prior apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity to a positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme ‘for the notion of the mutual contemplation of Newtonian physics to even arise’. This speaks of the centrality of attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme with respect to human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as it is what underlies apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought. Attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as such carries a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘underlying sense of end-teleology/end-purposefulness’ and thereof its operative-construct and implicative-construct with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology. It further implies a ‘the human toddling potential’ for living-as-of-human-personality-developing, social-

Thus an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme can pertinently be defined as the ‘assumed-and-unflinching
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’
inducing a given specific non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> outcome with regards to prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-or-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the construal-as-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and establishing-and-upholding the underlying framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with that attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme; and so, whether such a framework is a reference-of-thought as of overall construal-as-existence/existential-possibilities, or within a reference-of-thought like a social projection <amplitude/form>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or specifically with living-as-of-human-personality-developing. For instance, with respect to coming across and living say in an early hunter-gather society with its interpretation of ill-health as of bad omen, we will still maintain an ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ as of the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of positivism’s/rational-empiricism’s perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation, at least as of our self-conscious awareness, even as this reflects mutual beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> as when we publicly pretend to act otherwise by subscribing to the interpretation within such a social-setup. As construed within a given reference-of-thought, say in our positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought we can further have the conception of the physics or biology or law or literature or even just entrepreneur or accountant or technician specific attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, and further at the individual level as of changing attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme with living-as-of-human-personality-developing. Attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as so-construed is critical fundamentally because the notionally inherent human capacity for aetiology/ontological-escalation is directly associated with ‘attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-
completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising,protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-confutedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) to be able to achieve transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity’, and so as of intemporality. With regards to living-as-of-human-personality-developing, we can appreciate in the case of a child’s personality development as of its given attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme that it has a poor dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of its more direct focus on instant-sensations-and-carefreeness requiring that the child is directed to end at successive stages infantile habits as it grows up with an increasing sense of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension that ultimately involves major stages like schooling, greater social autonomy and responsibility, and developing into an adult with even greater dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as for instance the notion of pleasure is increasingly substituted with that of work-and-pleasure, etc. Such living-development—as-to-personality-development as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension is construed as the more profound attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme for human optimum living, and so over say an animal-like immediacy attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of living. With regards to the
or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism. Attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,–disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ is a corresponding disposition for reflecting the
‘incisive-and-intransigent nature of existence as absolute a priori’ to which we can only get
in-relative-synchronisation with a corresponding level of projection-or-
anticipation/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
that as of its relative dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-
factor,–in-overcoming–‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’–to–‘attain-sublimating-
humanity’–as-to-existence-potency,–disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language–{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’–with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} is the
appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme ‘assumed-and-unflinching
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,–disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ required for the correspondingly required
Basically, attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is simply a reflection of level of
deneuterising—referentialism as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism.
Ultimately for living-as-of-human-personality-developing, social-projection-institutional-
orientations and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-
toddling potential’ or the human potential to develop from a relative-ontologically-flawed to
a relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, can only arise by notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> induced psychoanalytic-unshackling as of relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffective–disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ over relative-ontologically-flawed attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, with the latter necessarily having to ascend to the relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme for the former’s implied meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> to avail, and so in reflecting the ‘incisive-and-intransigent nature of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’; as we can appreciate this with regards to existence’s relative validation of the positivism/rational-empiricism ‘perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation’ interpretation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s ‘bad omen’ interpretation. Such an ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffective–disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ has ultimately nothing to do with the deliberate willing of the relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. As we can appreciate that without implying a dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of a child’s living-as-of-human-personality-developing, the child’s poorly developed attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme will poorly face optimum living of adult life or where such was the case about all human children then the human species will be no more culturally unique than any other animal. Again, as of human social-projection-institutional-orientations we know that subject-matter, trades and bureaucratic expertise come with a requisite implied attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in detachment from <amplituding/formative>wooden-
intemporality/longness or eliciting of \(<\text{amplituding/formative}}\) wooden-language-\(<\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—’nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>\rangle\). This notion of fulfilling a given prospective institutionalisation’s requisite attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme underlies the very idea of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence as well as dialogical inequivalence/non-correspondence; as where one party does fulfils the attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of a given institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought and thus its corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology, and the other doesn’t as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness. This further explains why epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting arise with the successive prospective institutionalisations in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, wherein for instance the positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of say a Galileo or Descartes is circularly beyond the contention framework of scholasticism meaningfulness-and-teleology, speaking of the impossibility of logical-congruence between the positivists and scholastics with only the utter dominance of positivism arising as of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework induced positive-opportunism as of scientific, medical, technical advancements, free society, etc. that leads to the crossgenerational collapsing of scholasticism. It is interesting to note here that such positivist scholars were ‘never beholden to a convincing exercise with scholasticism but rather with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’, and for which purpose rather opted to create internally-coherent positivist networks and societies for the perpetuation of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology while averting its denaturing by wrongly implying notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity—<mentally—
correspondence in other to preserve genuine knowledge over charlatanism; as such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity practices do not speak of ‘genuine intellectual disagreement’ but undermining of intellectualism basically and do not merit to be elevated teleologically to the level of intellectual contention because of their underlying knowledge denaturing predisposition. This is critically the case with registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity implied knowledge given that the old/prior/superseded as of its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought construes of ‘implied grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness while the new/prospective/superseding as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought construes of ‘implied grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of prospective non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. This brings home the reality that it is inevitable that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are necessarily ‘paradigmatically/structurally conflicted’, with prospective transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework being the critically fundamental determining arbiter of what will prospectively pass for knowledge rather than the naivety of logical-congruence of dialogical-equivalence at any such uninstitutionalised-threshold; as fundamentally the issues faced by the Descartes, Galileos, Diderots, etc. as of ‘budding positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ are structurally/paradigmatically fundamentally inevitable as of their articulation within a non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism context. This is the case since at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, such a framework of logical-
congruence of dialogical-equivalence is structurally/paradigmatically superseded, in the sense that every institutionalisation say for instance scholasticism scholarship has its ‘genuine intellectual engagement framework’ as of its underlying attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme reference-of-thought supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, but then at its uninstitutionalised-threshold (as implied from prospective positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme reference-of-thought supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) scholasticism and positivism are rather in transversality–of-affirmative–and–unaffirmative–disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing; as so reflected in their mutually beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication–as–of–existential-unthought>. This is equally reflected with regards to the prospective transcendence–and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de–mentativity implying knowledge proponents, as the very notion of implying a prospective transcendental conceptualisation as of organic-
knowledge is one that undervalues the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of its social-stake-contention–or–confliction while the very notion of perceiving highly the meaningfulness–and–teleology within a prior institutionalisation framework is one that is necessarily apprehensive and shallowminded to the notion of a prospectively undermining prospective non-presencing–<as–to–perspective–ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> transcendence–and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de–mentativity attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–
episteme reference-of-thought supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human mortals contentions in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, wherein the ‘superior party’ of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is the validator of ontological-pertinence as of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and thereof ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ as new reasoning-from-results/afterthought, and so over and above ‘interhuman negotiating or agreeableness’. Thus ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as of its charlatanic effect undermines, as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, the articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that could jeopardise pre-established temporal interest, and cultivating rather incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought in overlooking concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework strife to uphold-and-promote the ‘superior party’ which is the non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; with such intellectual-bad-faith rather advancing such an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness accommodating framework for strategically cultivating pre-established temporal interest. Central to such incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is a simplistic, poor and inadequate articulation of the notion of scepticism usurping genuine intellectual scepticism. Such a poor notion of scepticism operates by a spurious relationship with intellectual contentions that is susceptible to legitimise-or-delegitimise arguments however ontologically pertinent or impertinent as of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, rather as of its commitment to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness that in many ways could just as well validate
wooden-language (imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications) and untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme and their social contentions. As in effect, such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity scepticism fails to act as a ‘knowledge-growth-mechanism with regards to the perpetuation of knowledge coherence and pertinence’ as is the case with genuine intellectual scepticism, but is rather geared towards a dogmatic pedantry/mandarinism that usurps the very notion of scepticism, and so as of the naïve implication that proceduralism is the substitute for existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity. This poor scepticism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme usurping the pre-established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, has existentialising—enframing implications as of the forestalling of prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ upholding of the primacy of the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and so over mere ‘interhuman negotiating or agreeableness’; as this subsequently undermines intemporal knowledge deferential-formalisation-transference behind the secondnaturing for prospective institutionalisation. Rather the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of genuine intellectual scepticism is encrusted within the very notion of prospective relative-ontological-completeness of human meaningfulness-and-teleolgy, given human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening (amplituding/formative)epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Such a genuine intellectual scepticism construes of knowledge by its given amplituding/formative)epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality/existential-reality in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the competing contending construals elicited relative credibility and relative scepticism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, thus enabling the upholding of the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, which as of its transcendence-enabling nature brings about prospective human emancipation. While genuine intellectual scepticism rather strives in a comprehensive intellectual credibility and scepticism framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity scepticism avoids such constraining as it rather emphasises a predisposition for discreet, ‘ontologically unconstrained framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ and non-comprehensiveness, that rather allow for selectivity, incompleteness and perfidy passing for genuine intellectual scepticism. Effectively while genuine intellectual transformation involves dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, a perfidious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity scepticism involves eliciting a sense of immediacy and temporality/shortness as of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) and untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality social-chainism as ‘developed thought’, thus deflating the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension intemporal detachment/backstep for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. In this latter respect, and for the possibility of prospective social transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity and emancipation, social practices at any given period as ‘becoming constructs’ are not inherently ontologically sacrosanct by the fact that these are the outcome of preceding
emancipative is to relay in uninhibited/decomplexified terms—of-axiomatic-construct the blunt reality of the social as this is the very attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme that empowers prospective social emancipation however socially inconvenient it may sound; and so beyond habituated amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. The fact that many that are institutionally anchored may speak otherwise or naively against such a stance doesn’t diminish in any way the ‘natural appropriateness’ of such a job description as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring— for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, but rather speaks of a poverty of institutionalisation that creeps into institutional anchors as of their reasoning-from-results/afterthought constructions subject to temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry—teleology,—for—aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology. As a result of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism—form—factor, the ever present reality of human uninstitutionalised-threshold as reflected successively with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism, has always implied resolution beyond just reasoning-from-results/afterthought that warrants successive non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> as of the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought together construed as of the notional—conflatedness of notional—deprocrypticism. Reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme implicitation

The implication here is that ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality is rather about a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’, but that reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning adduced transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity prospectively comes out short with the prospective reasoning-from-results/afterthought outcome, and so because of human limited-mentation-capacity at any moment. Thus the successive reasoning-from-results/afterthought outcomes as the logocentric constructs of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions arrive at their successive reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
well elicited, even reasoning-from-results/afterthought constructs still need their good ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> in practice, and given human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, there is always room for human denaturing temporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of such reasoning-from-results/afterthought constructs induced by reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning; pointing to the fact that ultimately the underlying ‘sanctity of knowledge’ arises from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of such authenticity based intemporal organic-knowledge that is wary of the denaturing that can arise as of temporal mechanical-knowledge that ‘dispenses with the originary/as-of-event spirit of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ and adopts a mere pedantic relating with the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology.

as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology itself losing out. These subterfuges are behind the awkward, unnatural and clobbered nature of human development for the past two centuries as civilisation is construed and developed in ‘an undertone reaction/anticipation of threat’ rather than natural as of human communion. Thus ‘subterfuges of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ arise as of the suboptimality of human intemporality/longness which suffers from human apprehensiveness of humans, thus undermining the notion of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. This underlying human mental-disposition arises as of the successive human as trepidatious/warped/preclusive/occlusive-consciousness in neuterising; as such neuterising is the outcrop of human limited-mentation-capacity. In other words neuterising can effectively be ‘decomposed-as-from-a-conflatedness-perspective into the ontologically-veridical underlying limited-mentation-capacity manifestation’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so-construed from the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’. Such an exercise can be conceptualised as an abstract reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level of deneuterising—referentialism, wherein for instance, with regards to ‘the very same medical <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ as structurally/paradigmatically defining ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among individuals and the social-collective’:
the trepidatious-consciousness of an early hunter-gatherer recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation society direct experience of misfortune say like catching an unknown disease in a given forest may imply an existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen as of its relative neuterising as of its random-as-uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition (noting that such a poor reification is better than no reification at all in the sense that where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen provides a basic reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its trepiditious nature as to ‘a crude predisposition to avoid the forest’);

- for the warped-consciousness of an animistic base-institutionalisation society imply existential-contextualising-contiguity-second-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period as of its relative neuterising as of its tendentious–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism (noting as well that in the case where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period provides a relatively better reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its tendentious nature as to inducing tendentiously crude behaviours and psychological assurances associated with positive experiences over negative experiences);

- for the preclusive-consciousness of a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism society imply existential-contextualising-contiguity-third-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-failure-
to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-
pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor as of its relative neuterising as of its qualifying–circumscribing-
as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-
scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its universalisation-directed-rulemaking-
over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism (noting that in the case
where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a
perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-
certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor provides an even better
reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its preclusive nature as
to comprehensively-qualified narrative of a non-ad-hoc and weighty/profound existential
interpretation inducing the predisposition as of a fateful universal narrative of human
behaviour implications);

- for an occlusive-consciousness as of our positivism/rational-empiricism implying
existential-contextualising-contiguity-fourth-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-
and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation still as of
its relative neuterising as of its categorising–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-
delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology given its positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism (noting also that in the case where the given forest is infested with say
mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-
scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation provides a
decisively better reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its
occlusive nature as to an existential interpretation as of rational-empiricism/positivism
conception of human behaviour implications with direct understanding of immediate cause-and-effect implications); and prospectively
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-merenon-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism implied as of say post-structuralism ‘which factors in socioeconomic, education, information, environmental, gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery’ (noting finally that in the case where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation provides the best reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency as of its protensive nature as to coherent existential interpretation drawing out the full implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as a projective–totalitative-implications conception and superseding presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness naiveties as to the socially extended constructive construal of healthcare as more than just as of immediate disease/illness cause-and-effect implications). The latter as deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is the effective basis for evaluating the ontological-veracity of all preceding reference-of-thought as of its deneuterising—referentialism that breaks-down the various neuterising to their basic human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics implications.

In this regard, their successive profoundness as of their ‘successive (uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ speaks of more and more profound convergence-as-of-accumulation of human-subpotency grasp of the full-potency of existence coherence/contiguity. It should be noted as well that the afore is focused on the abstract reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level of différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral, as it is actually reflecting ‘the backdrop construed as human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ for the effectively devolving différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral teleological process of meaningfulness; given that the abstract reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level so-established rather enframes teleologically-devolving-as-drifting meaningfulness with regards to ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among individuals and the social-collective’ construed from notional~deprocrypticism deneuterising, to fully reflect the ontological-veridicality of mental-states as of affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> and unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> stranding dialectics. For instance, reflecting in an early hunter-gatherer society the ‘candid existential

epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so as of non-presencing—as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>; wherein as for the trepidatious-consciousness epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, ‘human mentally-closed limited-mentation-capacity as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ induced neuterising can be construed as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—originariness/origination as of random-as—uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality ‘existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as reflected in the idea of bad omen, for the warped-consciousness as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-
conveys the reality of human cross-generational institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure due to the impossibility of the very first humans as of their limited-mentation-capacity and yet inexperience/unaccumulated-experience to be able to reason more than their initial apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument will permit as of their state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’, and hence their construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘their relative neuterising’. Likewise the ultimate possibility of human cross-generational institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as enabling the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of notional–deprocrypticism/\langle\text{amplitudizing} formative\rangle\notional–preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is the backdrop for deneuterising—referentialism enabling the full transparent ontologically-veridical elucidation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing; as of the possibility of deneuterising. In the bigger scheme of things, as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism as deneuterising—referentialism, what had hitherto been conceived notionally as logicism is herein exposed as effectively superseded by the notion of différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral so-construed as of ‘reference-of-thought-or-axiomatic-construct-devolving-as-of-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness-différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ and as implied as-of-the-construal-of-différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and so with respect to the more ontologically-veridical reality of human conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology always from a position of limited-mentation-capacity as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, thus in need for its prior deepening so-captured in the ‘human sublimation-inducing—
textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of the notional~conflatedness of notional~deprocrypticism différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ as transcendence-and-sUBLIMITY/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity-enabling, whereas such a human limited-mentation-capacity implication is naively ignored with logicism in its metaphysics-of-presence/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage. Such a ‘human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of the notional~conflatedness of notional~deprocrypticism différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’, by its insight with respect to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology construal, is best predisposed to grasp the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of non-presencing<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> reference-of-thought as this enables transcendence-and-sUBLIMITY/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity, thus fulfilling the full implications of knowledge as of its ontologically-veridical knowledge-notionalisation and organic-knowledge nature. Fundamentally this all has to do with human limited-mentation-capacity, as if at a given (re-originary~as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation- (imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking~‘projective-insights’/~epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’~of-notional~deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation)) originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination moment humankind-as-of-its-integant-individuals had a profound-and-complete mentation-capacity, then human meaningfulness-and-teleology will be absolutely identitive with no implied-différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology requiring as of existential-constraint human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,~as-to-existence—as—
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as the circular driving notion of diffèreance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral. Diffèreance as internal-dialectics/difference-deferral, beyond just an ontological conception as expressed herein, had already always been existent notionally as a wholly internal process of human self-referencing-syncretism for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought,-as-of-devolving-axiomatic-constructs as-so-reflect in ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ construed-as institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompos, and with regards to the successive registry-worldview/dimension rearticulated as of temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance<-including-virtue-as-ontology> of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving. The notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> also highlights theoretically why the Husserlian epoché or bracketing method construed as eidetic reduction is ontologically-flawed by its constitutedness as it naively imply circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality/delineating-as-epistemic-totality meaningfulness-and-teleology for its essence in presence, rather than the fact that presence reference-of-thought as ‘metaphysics-of-presence is structurally/paradigmatically an ontologically-flawed bracketing or epoché as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and is representing metaphysics-of-absence implications as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives)’ when it comes to presence uninstitutionalised-
threshold reference-of-thought in its relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing−qualia-schema>/relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought for meaningfulness-and-ontology ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, as well as ignoring prospective
institutionalisation implications construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Such
an eidetic reduction is circularly constraint in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag at its given
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought without factoring in the
phenomenological implications of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process as of difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as ‘Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology coherence/contiguity implications as of
ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’, and thus fails to get to the ‘deepest phenomenological
transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional–conflicatedness of
notional–deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ reflected by metaphysics-of-
absence in the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> which is as of the transcendental implications in reflecting
the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The further insight
here is that, such a most ontologically-complete profoundness/depth of ‘phenomenological
transcendental-point-of-departure handle in-its-overcoming-of-neuterisation’ reflected by
metaphysics-of-absence for the construal of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-
intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue points to a fundamental epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting; with the latter arising as a result of lack of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’” as of the variance of uninstitutionalised-threshold prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and prospective institutionalisation relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. For instance, such epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting associated with the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process necessarily explains the ‘mutually transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing unintelligibility’ of the Galileos, Newtons, Diderots episteme articulating prospective positivising/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology and the Establishment scholasticism medieval dogmatic episteme. The implication here is that the articulation of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as of reference-of-thought is by itself tied up to a prospective epistemic disruption, construed as of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, beyond just grounded knowledge as of the prior episteme which is rather construed as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. Such transcendental epistemic-breaks(epistemic-resetting arise because humankind is subpotent as of its knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue to the full-potency of existence, and in the human construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, the ‘superseding party’ is not any involved humans as knowledge agents but inherent existential-reality itself, with any such humans as knowledge agents only ‘pertinent in delegation’ as of their ‘kowtowing to existential-reality’, with such delegation inherently revoked as of their failed
‘kowtowing to existential-reality’. To the extent that human knowledge agents ‘achieve sufficient-and-recurrent credibility as of their knowledge methods and approaches’ with respect to social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness), an apparent episteme as of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’’ arises as of institutional-being-and-craft. But then, where transcendental implications as of prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought point to more profound reference-of-thought for construing/conceptualising existential-reality putting such a prior episteme in question, this induces a state of mutual ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity between the prospective episteme and the prior episteme as of the lack of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’’ with respect to social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness); and so more than just as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, but further because as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, there is ‘a drift from the ideal of knowledge agents only as ‘pertinent in delegation’ as of their ‘kowtowing to existential-reality’ towards a teleologically-degraded exercise of institutional-being-and-craft muddlement. It should be noted that such a notional construct of episteme interpreted herein
is implied as of ‘dynamic social <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncetising’ across the entire social spectrum as of notional–episteme dynamically covering both informal institutional settings and formal institutional settings. In the bigger scheme of things, such transcendental epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting in transition associated with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor arise wherein ‘the prior shaman is being contested by a new shaman in a hunter-gatherer society’ with possible accusations of witchcraft as of institutionalised-being-and-craft, wherein ‘two or more traditional priesthoods of an early civilisation foment against one another’, wherein ‘sophistry and philosophy vie for what passes as valuable and true knowledge’, wherein ‘medieval scholasticism dogmatic knowledge and positivism/rational-empiricism knowledge vie for the interpretation of human and physical nature’, and in our case wherein ‘knowledge traditions including philosophical traditions are put into question as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, antinihilism and transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity knowledge perspectives’. Ultimately, this point out that epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting become inevitable wherein the prior knowledge episteme paradigmatically/structurally loses its way as of its initial justification as safeguarding the prospective possibility of enlightening human knowledge as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being, but then by its institutional-being-and-craft uninstitutionalised-threshold actually paradigmatically/structurally beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> undermines the prospective possibility of prospective enlightening human knowledge; and so, as increasingly the prior epistemic disposition is one that overlooks prospective inherent
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> turning rather towards social-aggregation-enabling implications as meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, undermining the very notion of the intellectual exercise as about developing/institutionalising the social and not kowtowing-to-it construed as charlatanism! Further in all such transcendental contexts despite the fact that the-new is derived from the-old as for instance the Descartes, the Galileos, the Leibnizes and the Newtons as budding positivists are the outcrop of Scholasticism itself, the-new epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting is justified in that even the-old is predicated on upholding Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being going by the human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Insightfully, that exercise is actually reflected as of temporal-to-intemporal individuations wherein the individual is rather a receptacle of temporal-to-intemporal individuations with variance of mental-dispositions among individuals an issue of variance as of skewness towards temporality/shortness or intemporality; such that even the budding positivists carried elements of scholasticism but were more definitely of a positivistic outlook, and many scholastics articulated notions which could more fruitfully be developed in a positivistic outlook but were stifled by their scholasticism dogmatic intellectual commitments. In effect, human limited-mentation-capacity however the institutionalisation-level as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor implies that it is impossible for the intemporal projection as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that prospectively construes of successive frameworks of ‘reference-of-thought—
threshold prospective institutionalisation knowledge as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity is not socially integrated directly as of an dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation exercise engaging with intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such prospective intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is not necessarily perceived at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as any more pertinent for attaining social approbation than other temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the said uninstitutionalised-threshold. This point out that maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-dispositions in their intemporality/longness or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are as of a projected-or-anticipated conflatedness of social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) for institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling. That is at the uninstitutionalised-threshold such intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is pragmatically expounded socially not in terms of its inherent dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation ideal which is socially-too-abstract but rather as a structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct of positive-opportunism as of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference percolation-channelling to attain social approbation. It is such a ‘conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct of positive-opportunism of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling to attain social approbation’ that holds together in social universal-
temporal-to-intemporal solipsistic mental-dispositions as of a given secondnatured institutionalisation. Out of such a conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct, intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is not necessarily perceived as any more pertinent for attaining social approbation than other temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, the ideal articulation of base-institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, just as that of universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism; are only pertinent for attaining social approbation as of their conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct of positive-opportunism of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling. This highlights that from the perspective of immediate-or-short-run social approbation, it is simpler though ontologically flawed as of constitutedness to engage a registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold rather by an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-disposition on the basis of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought or its same metaphysical framework of contention rather than adopting at its uninstitutionalised-threshold a more complex but ontologically-veridical maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition on the basis of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought or superseding metaphysical framework of contention as of conflatedness. That is, engaging a non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery on its same terms in case of an accusation of sorcery to imply the other is the sorcerer, etc. will
sound more credible as of its \textit{wooden-language}\langle\textit{imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle) in a non-positivism social-setup than say projecting to prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology and implying that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are not real speaking of both the defect of such accusation and the defective superstitious \textit{wooden-language}\langle\textit{imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle in the non-positivism social-setup. Ultimately, such a profound phenomenological \textit{epistemic-totalising~conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional~deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance-\langle including-virtue-as-ontology \rangle} construal faced with the inherent dogmatic and psychological biases of human \textit{epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence} (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-\langle including-virtue-as-ontology \rangle)s) in many ways necessarily has to project out of ‘ordinariness of thought’ for pretence of arriving at a sound construct capable of a most profound reflection of social ontological-veridicality. Consider with respect to a most profound emotional-involvement the issue of human imperilment as a test for the capacity for such requisite depth of transcendental contemplation. Consider for instance that tens of millions including soldiers killed in both the first and second world wars pass for mere victims of the wars in a bizarre twist of mutual \textit{self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag} that shuts-off-the-mind to the odious
reality of mutual genocide, to say the least. Consider that in Russia a dictator responsible for killing about 25 millions of his own citizens is still considered a national hero by the majority. Consider that the first president of the United States in position of power was a slave-owner thus encouraging the Atlantic slave trade that led to genocidal proportions of deaths but he is venerated by a majority as the greatest U.S. President. Consider in a different sense though non-exculpatory that Heidegger a leading intellectual joined the Nazi party leaving 2 years later with hardly any critical influence on the party and is universally condemned today. Consider as well that many an intellectual or public figure today actively or passively voiced for the recent wars killing millions whether in the Middle-East or elsewhere with a corresponding social indifference and mental shut-off. These profound considerations highlight the contemplative depth to which the social thinker needs to get to in order to truly be engaged in a transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal as implied with notional–deprocrypticism as preemting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so be able to keep their head up from drowning in human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s) in order to be able to produce ‘veridical ontology’ on a same parity as nature constrains on the natural sciences. Effectively, such transcendental insight points out that existence/existential-possibilities is inherently a radical ontology beyond our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatc-drag in existence/existential-possibilities as ‘hyperbolic pretences of ontology’. This author thinks that there can effectively be an engaging and constructive approach for arriving at such a depth of radical ontology warranted by existence/existential-possibilities that is
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity for the social avoiding
the platitudes of our times such that many an intellectual have even given up to ‘this all-
powerful emotional-involvement element of the social’. Human
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore
existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity to my
human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>s) implies the need for a sound perpetuating construct of
universal projection as intemporality-or-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology as the opportunity for prospective transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity. Such a construct is a ‘response
construal’ that inherently enables transformative universal implications as beyond presence
issues and complexes as it sublimes presence out of its failure. This is unlike the all too
frequent construct of ‘reactionary construal’ caught up in presence as it is presence-serving
and so whether as of positive or negative reaction; as even as a positive act a reactionary
construal is hardly of entailing.<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-
ontological-completeness thus hardly as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. A hero as
of a positive ‘reactionary construal’ may perfectly prevent a crime from happening and save
the day but then such action is not dependable and the outcomes are unreliable as well
together with the possibility on occasion of wrong judgement and/or wrong action or
usurpation; thus the social construction of crime prevention needs an intellectualised social
‘response construal’ mechanism of universal implication that ensures dependability of crime
prevention as of the foresight of law and policing management construed as of an intemporal-
as-ontological intellectual projection exercise. This same depth-of-thought is warranted
across the dynamic scope of the social including the political for true transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity beyond normative conventioned
constructs bound to hold-up the possibility of prospective ‘visions of humankind emancipation’. Such a depth of contemplation will fathom for instance that humankind appeared on earth about 100000 years ago but the pervasive structural/paradigmatic determinism of the nation-state which became common just about 500 years ago has been a source of much of humankind’s problems as of ‘reactionary construal’ and humankind’s constitutedness to the notion of nation-state seems to create an impasse for human Being-and-contemplative development. Consider again the possibility capable of arising as of a ‘response construal’ as effectively articulated by Derrida in his analysis of spirit. Derrida grasps that Heidegger strove to produce universal human meaningfulness-and-teleology but was caught up in the epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>)s) as spirit failed to universalise and so Heidegger couldn’t carry the effective implications of his work to its true universal conclusion as he was caught up in the ‘reactionary construal’ of them-and-us, as his commitment to the ‘us’ overlooked/didn’t-come-into-grips with what the ‘us’ was doing, not to mention the possibility of him actually acting as transcendental over the them-and-us as a position of making a universal ‘response construal’. This problem isn’t particular to Heidegger but for the fact that the underlying regime of ‘us’ were the Nazis, as the them-and-us logic is intellectually rampant such that even Derrida was being condemned by many for not adopting it. The question can be asked whether any genuine intellectualism as providing a ‘response construal’ for humankind overall can construe of emancipation meaningfulness-and-teleology in them-and-us basis and whether this isn’t a recipe for potential disaster as all them-and-us rationale are just variances of the same insanity! We can imagine that a true understanding and universal application of Derrida’s spirit insight as a ‘response construal’
could have educated thought-and-intellectualism and prevent say the subsequent Rwanda and Burundi genocides in Africa from occurring with many supposedly normal and educated persons caught up in the overall mobbishness; but such a lesson can hardly come out from the prevalent them-and-us lazy intellectualism ‘reactionary construal’ which simply provides <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag comfort to protagonists by its lack-of or pseudo universal projection. Basically, a phenomenological extended metaphysics-of-absence as of notional–deprocrypticism perspective points out that humankind does have the possibilities of adopting an uninhibited/decomplexified posture for ‘inventing’ a whole new renewal/re-percepting/re-thinking beyond our apparently constricted metaphysics-of-presence framework which in reality is just presence ‘hyperbolic dazing effect’ utterly distinct from the radical ontology possibilities of existence/existential-possibilities. transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as implied here is with regards to reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ which is the ‘ontologically veridical enabling notion of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ in epistemic-confusedness as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought. Such a conceptualisation of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is actually what a Kantian transcendental imagination and other subsequent philosophies of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity it inspired would have strove to arrive at, but according to this author wrongly understood transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity rather as of ‘phenomenal-
abstractiveness’ as the basis/grounding to then construe/conceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology failing to factor in that ‘existential phenomenal-abstractiveness conflates-in-effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology all the way to consciousness as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for the possibility of meaningfulness-and-teleology to then arise on the basis of such a given apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; given that it is consciousness that teleologically-registers/recognises phenomenal-abstractiveness as of meaningfulness-and-teleology in addition to the implications thereof with regards to the varying-as-transcending nature of consciousness with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) arising in further conflatedness as of human maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in an exercise of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought that re-projects- or re-anticipates the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to—’human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal’, and so as of a retrospective to prospective insight. Hence such philosophies failing to grasp that phenomenal-abstractiveness is ultimately as of ‘a conflatedness and so construed from the perspective of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional—deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ actually ended up inducing constitutedness in striving to construe meaningfulness-and-teleology vaguely from phenomenal-abstractiveness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Consciousness as the enabling point-of-focus for ‘human-
existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) or ‘consciousness’s ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> construed in amalgamation as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal”. This notion of conflatedness construal of existence as of becoming-in-existence-rather-as-subsumed-in-existence is critical in that all notions that naively imply an intercession between human becoming and existence construed as existence-in-existence, such as the transcendental ego perspective, end up in constitutedness as the said ‘transcendental ego cannot invent existence as if preceding existence’ thus inducing constitutedness. Rather existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is by itself construed as ‘the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ with nothing else outside or preceding it’; as existence is an implied-axiomatic-construct-constrained-as-reference-of-thought as an implied-theory, with the ‘implied about existence’ arising as of a given/specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of a given human limited-mentation-capacity implied registry-worldview/dimension consciousness, such that meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of existence’s implied axiomatic-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness-as-of-instantiative-context with no meaningfulness-and-teleology construable outside it but for an epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of prospective ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implied prospective registry-worldview/dimension consciousness and its corresponding existence’s the-very-same-
immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ implied
axiomatic-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness-as-of-instantiative-context,
with no meaningfulness-and-teleology outside or preceding it. Thus conflatedness warrants
that human-subpotency becoming is amalgamated as of existence as of the underlying
‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-
coherence/contiguity, -and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-
of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-
commitment as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides
existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) for appropriate
construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-
ontology>. The insight here is that we can’t be at a posture of prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of the the-
very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ and then pretend
to ground meaningfulness-and-teleology about the nature of existence as if we are of
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity as of the-very-
same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’, as our state of
relative-ontological-incompleteness perverts that grounding objective and rather points to the
need for an notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> induced psychoanalytic-unshackling
towards a prospective state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. What is fundamentally warranted is priorly attaining psychoanalytically, as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-

epistemic-totalisation, as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Actually, this author holds that the very
fundamental handicapping issue to meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the philosophical
tradition lies in the naïve human mental-reflex of implying that ‘a given human determination
of the effecting basis/foundation/axiomatic-construct derived/deciphered from existential-
instantiations as underlying the presence institutionalisation
</amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology carries-and-
reflects all the depth/profoundness of existence/existential-possibilities’, thus not allowing for
the possibility for further imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of
existence/existential-possibilities of existential-instantiations outside any such reference-of-
thought determination; such reference-of-thought determination being affixed rather in
constitutedness as of any of the various registry-worldviews/dimensions specific underlying
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
thought such as ‘non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism, as-
impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition of recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation’ not cognisant of the conflatedness possibility of prospective base-
institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought,
‘rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of base-
institutionalisation–ununiversalisation’ not cognisant of the conflatedness possibility of
prospective universalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought,
‘universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism’ not cognisant of the conflatedness possibility of prospective
positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and in our case ‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of positivism—procrypticism’ not cognisant of the conflatedness possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such that it thus construes as absolutely reflecting existence/existential-possibilities by operations of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity on the basis of that given determination reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with the consequence that its constitutedness, since it doesn’t allows for superseding existence/existential-possibilities, now ‘contraditorily-and-naively supersedes-and-is-determinative-of existence itself’ rather than taking its cue from the conflatedness of existence/existential-possibilities given the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-instantiations and as reflected at registry-worldview/dimension depth of construal as of reference-of-thought; as it then fails to grasp that ‘there is no understanding to be had outside the conflatedness of existence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ with any such conceptualisation being nothing but vague virtuality that is not as of ontological-contiguity and ontological-veracity. Thus the problem of the philosophical tradition is notionally one of erroneous constitutedness, and this issue is recurrent-beyond-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing-with-the-latter-only-a-bi-manifestation-of-the-reccurence,-as-psychically-recurrent as of human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity due to inherent human temporality/shortness and intemporality/longness across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, and speaks of a human existential-extrication-as-of-
textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence. Such ‘consciousness conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is reflected by the signifying mirroring of meaningfulness-and-teleology that is language as of its metaphoricity. Metaphoricity can thus be construed as the signification of articulated meaningfulness-and-teleology as of reference to existential-instantiation contexts adjunctively and not as naturally devolving into the ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as signification of reference-of-thought, such that metaphoricity is rather an ‘adjunctive incorporation’ to the ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. The ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of its self-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology is always susceptible to the further deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought such that prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology arises out of the adjunction to this ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and is adjoined to it as metaphoricity, with metaphoricity construed as the signification implied as of syncretising-effecting meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus language effectively reflects the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reality of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as language is always a blending of the ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ with the conflatedness adjunction of its metaphoricity. It is interesting to grasp here that a signifying-construct as signification of ‘the self-referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is always <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating and is effectively signifying a reference-of-thought as of ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. Such centered-epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as reference-of-thought, and its signification as implied by an ‘underlying epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ necessarily has to do with the fact that meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of a ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) for intelligibility to arise, thus is construed as reference-of-thought as of the the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-human-epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’; as we know intuitively that meaning is always about the-one-meaning as well as a perspective/framing/reference/horizon were all the-one-meaning cohere/are-in-ontological-contiguity metaphoricity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} adhocly produces by conflatedness adjunctive significations where these do not fit in with the ‘underlying epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ due to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought when conceptualising about such an
‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. But then an adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification so produced as reflected by ‘a transcendental syncretising-effecting meaningfulness-and-teleology’ like the construal of budding positivism/rational-empiricism in medieval society, may turn out in due-course/cross-generationally to be of an even greater meaningfulness-and-teleology <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating effect over the prior notion of the ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and thus prospectively become the ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’; and so as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay, by SUBSUMING some significations of the prior ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, while ELIMINATING some significations of the prior ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and so together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, and finally LEAVING-OUT some significations of the prior ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and so together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, as its very own as the prospective ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ adjunctive-metaphoricty-significations to which other adjunctive-metaphoricty-significations could be incorporated adjunctively. Effectively, with the positivism/rational-empiricism self-referencing <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology, its adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification can be construed as of the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of cross-generational positivism/rational-empiricism reappropriation of the ancient mathesis universalis metaphoricty as its very own ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ ‘behind the instigative-drive for construing all human knowledge’ by such enlightenment thinkers like Galileo and ubiquitously with Descartes that rolled-over into later thinkers like Leibniz, Newton, and ultimately subverted medievalism and scholasticism leading to our present positivism/rational-empiricism dominant <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology. Existence itself as the absolute a priori underscores such a conception given the human species sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of existential-stakes migration; since the existential dispositions of human subjects relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction arises as of ‘their living existential-instantiations’, and where they construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as not self-referentially covered by the ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, they will inevitably articulate adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations to that prior ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. This explains the lockstep nature of human meaningfulness-and-teleology and language, with the latter as the former’s significational
into society, from its perspective, develops as of a dynamics of adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations in ‘significations accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay construed here as the phenomenology of human language acquisition différance’ that fundamentally mirror the child’s developing existential social relationships as an ordered process of social existential overtures constraining-and-cohering the child’s adoption-of/integration-with the supposedly ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of a peculiar, intuitive and dynamic developing metaphoricity where ‘both the child and members of the overall social-construct existentially adjust to each other as of spurious meaningful utterances like mutual babbling and baby-talk’ while implicitly converging towards the child’s adoption/integration at various stages of its existential development of the ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as it is reflected by the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes. But then as might be phenomenologically appreciated the notion of language as of its existential import is thus utterly dynamic as an overall signification construct that is never ‘absolutely present’ but rather ‘immensely existentially present’ with an ‘absolute language signification construct imagery rather implied as of projection/anticipation but not phenomenologically real’ explaining the concrete variation of individuals linguistic performance, as the phenomenality of language is rather held together by ‘the given social-setup underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for its evolving-and-devolving construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’! Thus phenomenologically, ‘language arises, ebbs and flows as of a continuously-elusive individual and collective-social consciousness steering that reflects the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag dynamics of individual and collective-
social meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and this equally explains why language evolves and transforms over time. In effect, ‘language is never phenomenologically the complete possibilities of language as an absolute present conception but is rather a becoming as of an immensely-existentially-present signification reflected by individuals and the collective-social along existential development stages as of the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes’. The above insight further points out the pertinence of construing of and analysing language more completely as of human existentialism/thrownness/facticity, giving that language is more phenomenologically-and-pragmatically a signification accompaniment of ‘individuals and the collective-social along existential development stages as of the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes’. This highlights the ‘knowledge implications as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay with regards to such a phenomenological conception of language as a lockstep veridical reflection of human personality development all along the various existential stages as of a notion of the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes from childhood to adulthood’, notwithstanding the fact that the privileged social conceptualisation of language is as of ‘language as the complete possibilities of language as of an absolute present conception usually of a privileged end-institution purpose’. Metaphoricity is thus rather construed as of its overall conflatedness <amplituding-formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of full consciousness development as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlying human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence, beyond just mere figurativeness but as of figurative projected implications of
individuals and the collective-social meaningfulness-and-teleology as of their peculiarity/differentiation to the entire textual/hermeneutical rhetorical-stylistic-semantic delivery, and as such metaphoricity induces <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signification in producing, as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay, ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and together with its associated adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations. Overall, human explicit and implicit signification as of language as articulated above is equally reflected in human aesthetics/arts like music and even science. Ultimately, human adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations conflatedness reflecting syncretising-effecting superseding of human self-referencing signifying-constructs as of the need to supersede the limited certitude as of human limited-mentation-capacity, inherently implies that the possibility for ‘absolute certitude as of its theoretical possibility’ lies with such an adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations conflatedness as of syncretising-effecting as ultimately converging towards a depreopencticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so as of the prospect of an ontologically-veridical Theory of Everything, and insightfully with regards to elucidating the pervasiveness of ‘accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay construed as différance in conflatedness’ associated with human existential grasp of knowledge as of the implications of its limited-mentation-capacity. The notion of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay as underlying human limited-mentation-capacity induced différance highlights the phenomenological reality all along humanity’s existence of ‘the privileging of ontological-construction’ as from the perspective/framing/reference/horizon of the end-purpose of the various relevant dominant social agencies and social institutions, and so as reflected as of humanity’s existence historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While such a privileging as
of immediate/instant existential implications like say parents and society privileging the conception of what is language in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of its end-purpose as of the perspective of the child’s integration in various social structures and institutions; however, in the bigger picture the fact that social structures and social institutions dysfunction as of human limited-mentation-capacity, point to the ‘ontological-veracity of fundamentally re-evaluating the pertinence of only-a-social-and-institutional-end-purpose-perspective/framing/reference/horizon driven basis for ontological-construction’, and so as of a putting into question exercise. Ultimately, such privileged perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of its ‘non-recording and negation’ of a ‘diverse-and-complete existential effecting possibilities accountability for ontological-construction’, and rather assuming the approach of a ‘select privileged historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-construction’, instead incompletely portrays the operant reality of humanity’s existence as of the cumulation of successive humanity’s...
substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of various temporal-to-intemporal perspectival existential amalgamation that structurally/paradigmatically reflect the dynamics of human ontologically-veridical construals and misconstruals towards transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. Accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay is thus reflective of the fulsome humanity existential ontological-conceptualisation dynamics than just as of the select ontological-veracity of the privileged as dominant social and institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Consider in this regard supposedly that ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs reflect an historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as transcendental outcomes of such différance, accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay is not only about the successive <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as différance transcendental outcomes as of ‘developed classical mechanics’ and then ‘developed theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs’” as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness/relative-ontological-contiguity as axiomatic-constructs of ‘the very same physics <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existentia‌l-reality’, but will grasp the deeper-level phenomenological insight with regards to all the background efforts and contributions that ultimately brought about these two successive <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construed as the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of the différance. The implication here resonates
with the idea that knowledge is much more than the construal of conceptual knowledge outcome, but rather its construal as notional–knowledge involving the dynamic understanding of both its temporality/misconstrual and intemporality-as-ontological-construal as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay involving specifically disambiguation as of human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics as of deneuterising—referentialism and thus beyond neuterising’ reflecting the difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising of the uninstitutionalised-threshold and the prospective institutionalisation; as the ‘effecting implications of knowledge’ are more than just about its conceptualised intemporality-as-ontology but involves grasping this together with the implications of temporality, and so because of the circular existential implications of human limited-mentation-capacity. Hence language can be more pertinently construed ontologically as of the social dynamics of existential meaningfulness-and-teleology signification than just as of just an outcome privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing(reference/horizon that is in many ways ad-hoc and phenomenologically uninsightful as of the many existential implications behind comprehending language. Thus human privileged social and institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon tend to be in constitutedness. Further such accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay is the existentially veridical and effective basis for reflecting historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing transcendental outcome as can be implied in a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration as of existentially insightful meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such a perspective should possibly usher in a ‘suprastructural postmodernism in everything’ including such nascent contemplations for breaking out of currently perceived subject-matter doldrums as implied with postmodern social sciences, postmodern humanities, postmodern art, postmodern science, postmodern mathematics and postmodern physics, and so notwithstanding a history of post-structuralism
critiques of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity ‘with moronic incantations that fail the mark of even bad intellectual arguments as social-aggregation-enabling invocations’, granted as of their beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>—; as such a statement is not gratuitous given the mere fact that where knowledge-as-of-organic-knowledge as of human intemporality/longness doesn’t take its due place, it is occupied by ignorance as of human temporality/shortness with consequent nefarious ramifications for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology.


Such phenomenology as the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional~conflatedness of notional~deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ is operantly enabled by accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay and is the maximal ontologically veridical articulation of conflatedness that ‘undermines the privileging of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag as of its ubiquitous-protractedness/structural-or-paradigmatic ‘ontological-contiguity or difference-of-kind’
disposition, and so beyond just reflecting such presencing—absolutising-identititive-constitutedness privilege undermining as of transcendental outcomes implied by historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différence’ by its rather quasi-transcendental-freeplay orientation doesn’t quite get to such a phenomenological depth of conflatedness, it does effectively elicit such an underlying conception of phenomenological profoundness. As such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différence’ is what is meant to be understood as a relatively more pertinent ontologically depth for such a more evolved and ‘experimental’ articulation of différence in the strive to maximally undermine <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag implied in the Glas experimental project which goal is well beyond the two texts but more fundamentally a demonstration of ‘sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ as multifaceted. Ultimately, ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différence’ unsuspectingly points out that meaningfulness-and-teleology imply by default a given perspective/framing/reference/horizon, such that as of a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology facet it is then already compromising non-presencing—or—withdrawal—or—metaphysics-of-absence—or—transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination meaningfulness-and-teleology facet. Thus, this author holds that such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différence’ is fundamentally incomplete as of comparison with the implied conflatedness of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay which is truly transcendental. The former fails to factor in that human limited-mentation-capacity has to establish the appropriate ‘perspective/framing/reference/horizon implications’ with regards to
meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as disambiguating presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness from non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> by their respective supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, such that unsuspectingly the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ not doing that rather represents the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as the common perspective/framing/reference/horizon for both, thus falsely pointing to ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising between presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> (rather than difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising), and so contradictorily as if both are of the presencing supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. With the reality that non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> is wrongly-and-unsuspectingly given as of common presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, thus inducing a relative ontologically-flawed quasi-transcendental freeplay as non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> is rather in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> when analysed as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Consider in this regard ‘the very same physics <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ with the articulation as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness being ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the articulation as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-


l-reality’ transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs interpretation as of non-presencing—\(<\text{as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence}>\). In any case thus such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ doesn’t have any serious ontological consequences with respect to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness since it is reflected with the Glas experimental project, but it fails to recognise the possibility of a futural différance where meaningfulness-and-teleology is construed as of the prospective non-presencing—\(<\text{as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence}>\).

supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument which points to a prospective relative-ontological-completeness/ontological-contiguity as of the very same \(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\)epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview—as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; even though it is the first step towards such a futural différance transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. It equally explains such a Derridean conclusion that human sublimation is an always evasive notion given its failure to recognise the difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising as of the transcendental implications of prospective non-presencing—\(<\text{as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence}>\) in inducing sublimation, with such a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising arrived at by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\)epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation) as of de-mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation—or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding—or-attributive-dialectics) involving ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being—as-of-existential-reality driven re-projection/re-anticipation as of prospective
mentativity metaphoricity thus perfectly satisfies the ‘foreboding concern for ontological-veracity’ critically pursued by the Derridean freeplay différance, as it is existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation that phenomenological validates transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, and so implying human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation); and thus, this point that enables the Derridean freeplay différance as of tendential-deliberation-of-decidability to achieving transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is the full conflatedness reflecting existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation in its non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>, and so beyond just a Derridean freeplay différance which is then in constitutedness as not factoring in the process of a tendential-deliberation-of-decidability towards attaining transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Insightfully, we can grasp that the Derridean freeplay différance becomes as of constitutedness because ‘reasoning itself has become defective’ as presupposing-by-the-Derridean-freeplay to supersede existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. So because at the point of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity reasoning is still presupposing thought-determination instead of given up to the possibility of existence’s divulgation construed as ontological-faith-notion/ontological-fideism, and so erroneously become the transcendent-al-signifier of existence despite the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity which priority at that point should be the need for validation from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and not make any determination priorly, even as of freeplay. Furthermore, it is wrong to construe/equate as imagination such ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality that as ‘hunch’ restores existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, since in reality it is rather pushing reasoning to its very limits in a notional disposition that is not guaranteed, and only occasionally as of tendential-deliberation-of-decidability is it confirmed by existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-amplituding-formative-epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic—conflatedness as validatable by ontological—primemovers—totalitative-framework. Thus behind ontological—faith—notion—or—ontological—fideism—imbued—underdetermination—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as—so—being—as—of—existential—reality as ‘hunch’ is a transversality—of—affirmative—and—unaffirmative,—disambiguated—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing depth of reasoning and perspective which is pushed to its brink in projection/anticipation/expectancy. The fact is ontological—faith—notion—or—ontological—fideism—imbued—underdetermination—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as—so—being—as—of—existential—reality exhausts—and—supersedes—reasoning as of projection/anticipation/expectancy with no prior certitude, and is more than just imagination which rather comes prior to and is exhausted—and—superseded—by—reasoning. Such a lack of prior certitude explains why transcendence—and—sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de—mentativity ‘are not really reasoned—out’ but rather discovered—as—divulged by existence, with the human—subpotency concern being one of adopting the right attitude—mental—disposition/care—and—episteme that allows existence—as—full—potency to come up with the divulgation. Ontological—faith—notion—or—ontological—fideism—imbued—underdetermination—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as—so—being—as—of—existential—reality as such is equally the basis for implying a correspondence theory of human thought and reality, as not really arising as of any instantative absolute correspondence but rather as of the ‘promise of prospective human ontological—completeness—of—reference—of—thought’ implied by ontological—faith—notion—or—ontological—fideism—
completeness articulation of such ideas as space-time, considering the ether as unreal, considering that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale, etc. as the fundamental basis for understanding the new physics as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such a construal as a shift in axiomatic-construct is more-or-less within the same positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview, though it might pretty much be argued that the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs marks the beginning of a proto-postmodern science as of the fundamental human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation developments in physics since then, even though its meaningfulness-and-teleology remains intelligible, more or less, to the positive science essentially by the modern conception of observational and experimental validation. However, the idea of requisite shift in attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme from that simplistic ‘modern conception’ cannot be contested. Such an attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme implied shift as articulated above, construed as of an overall registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity is rather ‘massively distressing’ when implied ‘as of an instant of transitioning’ since the reality of such attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme transitioning have tended to take place rather cross-generationally as of human beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. As we can now imagine the transitioning of positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme from earlier crude conceptualisations of positivism/rational-empiricism as presently reflecting a more universal valid notion of positivism/rational-empiricism as of its spread worldwide and profoundness in today’s societies. Interestingly, this transitioning nature of human attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme renewal manifestation as of the social
collective evolution, and is equally reflected in the individual as receptacle-of-temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>; as at any
given moment individuals and society are rather inclined to adopt an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of dual-language/split-mentality as of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore
existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity to my
human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>s). The implied notion of human emancipation is always
being articulated in an existentially dual-language/split-mentality that on the one hand fails
the implied emancipation and on the other hand implies a strife for such emancipation.
Consider in this regard, the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of warring nations
in the early 20\textsuperscript{th} century all too ready to arm themselves massively in preparation for the
world wars and equally very much aware of the need for international peace, or in the 18\textsuperscript{th}
and 19\textsuperscript{th} centuries the dual-language/split-mentality of universal human rights and ending
slavery in the new world and the slave trade on the one hand and on the other still practicing
it up to the point of wars like the American civil war to bring an end to it. In a more prosaic
note, the dual-language/split-mentality associated with the evasiveness of emancipatory
social and political dispositions as of relevant settings and contexts. In fact, this author will
surmise that in many ways we already carry inklings of postmodern deprocrypticism or
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme as of the dual-language/split-mentality at appropriate contexts
and settings extolling our liberality with progressive stakes while in other secluded settings
and contexts espouse a damning language regarding such progressive stakes. The idea of
requisite attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme renewal as implied for notional
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality induced transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity speaks of a ‘reality as of underlying human beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’, that reflects a human tacit awareness that the grounding of its meaningfulness-and-teleology is not-certain-as-absolute at any given moment, and that it should be prepared to shift its attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme for more profound-and-complete meaningfulness-and-teleology. While such an inclination is more forthcoming as of less profound-and-perceived personal existential implications with regards to the axiomatic-constructs within a reference-of-thought as articulated priorly with a shift for the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs within the positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought, however, as of more profound-and-perceived personal existential implications as drastically implied at the phenomenological depth of reference-of-thought transcendental conceptualisation this turns out to be much more difficult to countenance given individuals ‘mental and existential investment’ into meaningfulness-and-teleology as grounded on a given ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag established existential–epistemic-totalisation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as well as the ‘psychological comfort’ habituated at the given neuterising. But then every registry-worldview/dimension has its own specific hurdle to clamber-over and that of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism is exactly the capacity to construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as of full/complete human consciousness implications
as implied by its protensive-consciousness which ultimately doesn’t allow for meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> arising as of human prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The fact is the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality conflatedness implication with respect to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness is such that in reality we are always tacitly aware of the evasiveness of absolute certainty but often rather inclined as of practicality to hang on to a delusion of the results of prior non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> as if of absolute certainty, so-construed as reasoning-from-results/afterthought. But then veridical absolute certainty is ever a promise always held in prospective existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness relative-ontological-completeness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, and so as of the certainty of human limited-mentation-capacity prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative~implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity, implied as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This explains why ontology’s-directedness-as-Being is the direction of meaningfulness-and-teleology grounding as always prospective as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and so, as of the successive base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and
deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> respectively as successive meaningfulness-and-teleology grounding for recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism–procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Interestingly we can appreciate that the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of relevant existential issues of all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are wanting-as-relatively-ontologically-flawed from our positivism–procrypticism as prospective perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, we are hard-pressed to concede that from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, our positivism–procrypticism is wanting-as-relatively-ontologically-flawed; as by reflex every registry-worldview/dimension is inclined to hang on to a delusion of the results-as-afterthought of prior non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> even at its uninstitutionalised-threshold despite its notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> with the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation. Thus, induces its specific neuterising as it fails to construe of meaningfulness-and-teleology projectively as of prospective existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought.-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness relative-ontological-completeness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. The implied maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness notion also underscores the postmodern
‘conventioning and tradition grounded critiques’ of postmodernism fundamentally misconstrue that they are departing, as of their reference-of-thought, from a less real position to evaluate a more real position; more like the irony of trying to evaluate the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs from a posture of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’. Here is what fundamentally underlies the naïve misunderstanding of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation. For instance, the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs actually reflects that priorly conceptualised-notions like ‘space’, ‘time’, ‘ether’ and ‘the laws of physics at atomic scale had to be the same as at the macroscale’, were all wrong. Thus ‘speaking of the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity as of its existential analytic capacity’ in a state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. It is human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as subsequently assuming as more real the notion of ‘space-time’, ‘considering the ether as unreal’, ‘considering that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale from the macroscale’, etc. that as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation exercise brought about the more profound insight enabling the conception of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs ultimately validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework by existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflicatedness; as all along humankind existence as of human-subpotency, the new reality so-espoused ‘is never about existence in itself as-existence-is-given-whatever-it-is-that-is-
given’, but about human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) for human emancipation. Thus implying
existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness is ‘not really about any variation as of the human-
subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-
singularisation directed directly to inherent-existence-as-of-existential-reality/existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
whatever’, as it rather comes down to the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-
recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening−(⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) bringing about a more
profound and complete grounding for human construing of the full-potency of existence,
which remains-whatever-it-is-ultimately. The postmodern insight here is rather that what is
relevant to humankind is human-subpotency development towards the abstract full-potency
of existence-whatever-it-is-ultimately. So the notion of human-subject-emancipatory-
relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation has nothing to do
with the inherent nature of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Rather it has to
do with ‘enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-
constructivism-towards-singularisation’ of human limited-mentation-capacity which needs to
be deepened before humankind embarks on the task of ‘conceptualising meaningfulness-and-
teleology that increasingly reflects existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridical’. Thus this
actually lead to ‘more and more objective meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as we cannot argue that the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs is less objective than classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs since it involved the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation that led to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Quite the contrary, it is that exercise in inducing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought that brings about greater objectivity, as reflected in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process behind Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. That naivety in failing to grasp this lies in the ontologically-flawed mental-reflex of temporal <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, wherein mental-dispositions operate by default without a double-gesturing, on the ‘wrong assumption that they already have the most ontologically-developed perspective/framing/reference/horizon for grasping prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and failing to project/anticipate prospectively the implications of their very own shallow limited-mentation-capacity implications from a deeper prospectively-construed perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Such a ‘modern take’ is susceptible to construe of the presence as of metaphysics-of-presence/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage, with hardly any contemplation of the retrospective and prospective projective-insights for construing ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. This paradox for human knowledge, as implied with the postmodern double-gesture reification, highlights that the human paradigm for construing knowledge is similar to H.G. Well’s country of the blind narrative, with the more critical issue being about ‘human
blindness which needs to be resolved first before proceeding to see’, as what is to be seen as of the world is already given-whatever-it-is, and our true issue-as-of-knowledge is to develop the necessary human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to see it. This fundamentally underlies the idea of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/*reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as underlying a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought for meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation and ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>. In registry-worldview/dimension terms, the naivety of ‘failing to recognise that human limited-mentation-capacity deepens by human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’ paradoxically and ridiculously amounts rather to construing of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in terms of the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold’s/uninstitutionalised-threshold’s reference-of-thought as of it prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The argument traditionally made about postmodern-thought as ‘sceptical with regards to ontologically-flawed-metanarratives/ideologies and the lack of objectivity of meaning’ is a wrongly articulated/made argument ontologically, since it is being wrongly articulated/made from the ‘modern perspective/frame/reference/horizon’ which is actually in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of a shallower limited-mentation-capacity (as to ‘redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-the-referencing-and-the-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance<including-virtue-as-ontology> as to presublimation and nascent-sublimations overlapping-contiguity-of-referencing-and-devolved-referencing’ associated with historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition) and thus has to be decentered-as-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Rather the ontologically-veridical articulation of the postmodern argument as of its actual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought which has to be prospectively centered-as-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism over the modern take as prospectively decentered-as-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, should be affirmatory in articulating that postmodern-thought is about: the appraisal and supplanting of ontologically-flawed-metanarratives/ideologies including socio-econo-political ideologies and ontologically-flawed professed ideologies like demarcating ontological-flawed-ideology-of-science-and-its-distortive-implications from ontologically-veridical-science-in-practice, and its pursuit for the most profound-and-complete objectivity of meaning as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by renewing appraisal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of human-subpotency existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’, and it is much more than just a naïve notion of a multiplicity of narratives as wrongly implied from the modern take of existentialising—enframing necessarily subject to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as of the modern’s take prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism or disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought in many ways explaining the difficulties of Derrida and Foucault in effectively qualifying their thought postures (when each was asked whether they were poststructuralist) underlied/organised respectively by messianicity and parrhesia but rather postmodern-thought is of a prospective ‘relative-ontological-completeness re-originary–as-
observations/remarks/‘constatations’ about the conception of social reality from their authentic analysis ‘without going further out-of-the-scope-of-ontological-veracity to ideologise constructivism, relativism and deconstruction beyond their implied ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/constatations’ as many of their critiques poorly misinterpret them; with the implications that their stances are open-ended and receptive to the elucidative justifications for their non-ideologised ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/‘constatations’ about the constructivism, relativism and deconstruction manifestation/conception of social reality. Thus the ontologically affirmative position adopted herein as of the prospective ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ is not contradictory but rather complementing their positions as it rather reinterprets their observations/remarks/‘constations’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for—explicating-ontological-contiguity; wherein for instance, for the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought ill-health is as of an existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen while for the positivism reference-of-thought ill-health is as of a perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation. Basically, the ‘hitherto ontologically-flawed postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ in its relation with modernity wrongfully implied that it seeks the validation of modernity, and so as ridiculously as implying that budding
positivism/rational-empiricism should have sought for its validation from medieval-scholasticism. In both cases, the fundamental issue once universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) avails as of overall underlying human ontological-commitment as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for relative-ontological-completeness, as herein implied originally/as-of-event with the ‘prospective/new postmodern deprocripticism or preemtpting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’, is mostly about dismissing the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as when a critique of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> exposes the reality of a dialogical and intellectual inequivalence given their anti-intellectual stances against postmodern-thought preferring to ‘circumvent genuine intellectual engagement’ for extra-intellectual activities of institutional-being-and-craft meant to preserve vested narrow interests beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. Just as it was perceived as a fool’s errand by the Descartes, Galileos, Diderots, etc., to contemplate of genuine intellectual engagement between their budding positivism/rational-empiricism ventures with traditional medieval scholasticism, especially with regards to the latter’s institutionally-associated dogmatic censure and persecution, and thus with the former resorting to discursive strategies for universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of overall underlying human ontological-commitment as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for relative-ontological-completeness; it
is inevitably the case that what is most critically warranted is for the ‘prospective/new postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ to articulate its full-fledged discourse as of universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of the liberality of thought allowed for in open society notwithstanding such extra-intellectual and media-driven perverted representation of postmodern-thought. The reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor speaking of human shallow-to-deeper limited-mentation-capacity implies that prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge by its so-projected intemporality, at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, is not necessarily grasp as intemporal in the overall human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework as of the lack of universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) for its prospective institutionalisation. Critical for the social validation and institutionalisation of any paradigmatic transcendental knowledge is the fact that its ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is not sufficiently decisive given that human temporal-to-intemporal nature as of the social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at the uninstitutionalised-threshold cannot adjudge-and-commit-to the ontological-pertinence of such prospective transcendental knowledge ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’. Consider in this regard, the ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ of the prospective positivism/rational-realism transcendental knowledge articulated by the Corpernicuses, Descartes, Galileo, Diderots, etc. as meaningfulness-and-
teleology of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought validated by corresponding prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’. Such ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ was not a sufficient basis for their ideas to be socially adopted by the medieval establishment social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of non-positivism/medievalism. The point being made here is that within a given registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation framework the idea of ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is only more or less determinant as of the institutionalisation’s internal basis of validation of knowledge grounded on its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’. However, at its uninstitutionalised-threshold the prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as of the prospective institutionalisation’s basis of validation of knowledge grounded on the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of the prospective institutionalisation’s epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ will not necessarily meet with the approbation of the prior institutionalisation now construed as the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so as of mutually beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. This has to do with the fact that the full-potency of existence that divulges relative ontological-verticality supersedes human-subpotency epistemising orientation towards its, and thus epistemic constructs as of human-subpotency construal are inevitably ad-hoc to ontological-veracity as of the full-
potency of existence; as existence doesn’t adjust to human-subpotency with the reverse being true, equally it is human epistemic constructs that ad-hocly adjust to ontological-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. Thus while the idea of ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as the basis for the validation of knowledge is inherently ontologically veridical as of a given institutionalisation’s internal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, however, this is an overrated notion with regards to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as external/prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, which should and cannot be ignored by any proponent of prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge. Rather human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework fundamentally subscribes to knowledge, given this paradox, as of ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ induced as of a paradigmatic transcendental knowledge ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ establishing and upholding it. The idea here is that the inherent and direct notions of positivism/rational-empiricism expounded by the Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, Copernicuses, etc. were not the fundamental basis for the ultimate human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework validation but rather their derived positive-opportunism that brought about the ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ implied-by-and-derving-from their notions of universal human rights and open society, technical
advances, better social organisation, etc., then leading to a reasoning-from-results/afterthought institutionalisation and enculturation of such (re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation)) originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination positivism/rational-empiricism thought. In other words, human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as inclination to adhere to prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge as of its ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is very much limited and such prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ however its ontological-veridicality cannot be naively construed as all that which is needed to effectuate social transformation and transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. We can appreciate this for instance in the case of cultural diffusion with respect to many a non-modern traditional social-setting where modern day medicine however its overall ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ over other types of premodern medicine, will often be suspected and avoided as of its poorly established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, and it is only after it has been ‘socially habituated-as-institutionalised’ that it has the requisite ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’. This equally manifests as of prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge construal, as implied for instance by postmodern-thought and particularly so as postmodern-thought has still been undergoing its full construction. The implication here is that all prospective transcendental meaningfulness-and-
established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’. This latter cases arise with many a bogus social or natural science study and methodology grounded on the ‘mystifying imprimatur’ of positivistic science, as ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, but then on closer examination turns out to be poorly designed as well as the prevalence of institutional-being-and-craft suboptimal dispositions with regards to truly upholding the science ethos in many situations with regards to the ideal operation and promotion of scientific research; and so, as of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of any ‘reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’.

Already, postmodern interpretations have increasingly been much more relevant practically to many subject-matter domains and activities, with even greater potential for transformative implications if fully acted upon. Furthermore, the ‘prospective/new postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ warrants that postmodern-thought hitherto articulated beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’, need to be translated-as-reconceptualised into its very own ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as of its own truly postmodern organic-knowledge. The fact is that organic-knowledge is fundamentally driven as of attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, wherein for instance Newtonian Physics as of positivism/rational-empiricism
consciousness. The practical implications as well should be that meaningfulness and definitions often articulated about postmodern-thought that do not capture the postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme should be rejected; as the tendency for postmodern-thought to be misconstrued or perverted is not accidental, given the very fact that at its very core postmodern-thought is implying a prospective/new prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought requiring its own apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. In this regard, central to translating-as-reconceptualising prior and new postmodern-thought as of its very own ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ organic-knowledge is the requirement for an affirmative mental-reflex with postmodern-thought construed ‘as the appraisal and supplanting of ontologically flawed metanarratives and its pursuit for the most profound-and-complete objectivity of meaning, by renewing appraisal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality involving its human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of human existential-contextualising-contiguity’; and it is much more than just a naïve notion of a multiplicity of narratives as wrongly implied from the modern take of existentialising—enframing necessarily subject to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as of the modern’s take prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism or disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought in many ways explaining the difficulties of Derrida and Foucault in effectively qualifying their thought postures (when each was asked whether they were poststructuralist) underlied/organised respectively by messianicity and parrhesia but rather postmodern-thought is of a prospective ‘relative-
any prior/old/superseded or prospective/new/superseding attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme by itself but rather in any such exercise always apriorises the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ and then reflect the other attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme referred to posteriorly, and hence the latter is adhocly-and-scantily identified. We can grasp this insight about this natural inclination to uphold-as-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ from the fact that ‘originary contacts’ between two cultures of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-and-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought doesn’t mean a wholly immersed-and-engrossed meaningfulness-and-teleology between the cultures, since their natural inclination is to both apriorise ‘their own present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ and respectively posteriorise the other culture attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of their respectively apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme; and so, as the framework of any subsequent cultural diffusion metaphoricity. Thus to fully grasp what is implied here ontologically by attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, beyond the natural inclination, is to understand that attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ implies a mental-projection exercise ‘reflecting-and-contemplating a wholly immersed-and-engrossed meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of their given neuterising-as-of-prior-relative-ontologicl-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought if a ‘prior/old/superseded attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ or deneuterising-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought if a ‘prospective/new/superseding attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’, whilst the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is then rather adhocly-and-scantily
identified now as either deneuterising if it in relation to the prior/old/superseded or neuterising if it is in relation to the prospective/new/superseding. In other words, when it comes to registry-worldview/dimension implications, ontologically-veridical representation of attitude/mental-disposition/care-and–episteme means ‘to be or exist as of the given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought’ rather than ‘to refer to it’; as the ‘referring to’ natural inclination is ontologically-flawed as it registers into the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ unlike the ‘to be or exist as’ approach which is ontologically-veridical but is not the natural inclination of representation as it overrides the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’. ‘Postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ is the paradoxical fact that the more waywardly supernatural/mythical/idolised it is, the least potent has been human-subpotency mastery of the the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’, while the more waywardly realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the mediocritiy-principle it is, the more potent has been human-subpotency in its mastery of the the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-


and fails to recognise any such uninstitutionalised-threshold pointing to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Thus, the manifestations of temporality/shortness at its unrecognised ontologically-veridical uninstitutionalised-threshold are construed as aberrations/oddities going from this wrongly implied intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology posture in \(<\text{amplituding}/\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalising~self-referring-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}\), rather than a recognition of it prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, implying recognising its uninstitutionalised-threshold with the temporal-to-intemporal implications as of knowledge-notionalisation; thus providing the potency/empowering-consciousness for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity, as knowledge-notionalisation not only factors in conceptual knowledge dynamics but equally the dynamics of the conceptual ignorances to better skew meaningfulness-and-teleology towards intemporality/longness as of organic-knowledge. The paradox here is that by its ‘most realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ as of its maximum potency/empowering-consciousness for human subpotent mastery of the the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human\(<\text{amplituding}/\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal}\), the ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ grounded on such rational-realism recognition of humankind temporal-to-intemporal nature at its uninstitutionalised-threshold is actually ‘effectively empowered’ to incisively tackle issues arising from human temporality/shortness as of its prospective structural/paradigmatic prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and so beyond just \(<\text{amplituding}/\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalising~self-}
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and ad-hoc palliative resolution of a ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ very much inclined to aberrational/odities conceptioning of such temporality/shortness manifestations thus leading to their endemisation/enculturation from ‘ontologically-flawed and inevitability analyses’ conception. Thus a ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is structurally/paradigmatically disempowered to address issues of its temporality/shortness as of the vices-and-impediments at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. So because its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is ‘existentially invested’ in modern social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought from where it derives its value-construct and value-reference, as it hardly countenances that prospective transcendental knowledge implied value-construct and value-reference is not meant to be of ‘idle’ relevance to the modern social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework but rather redeploy an altogether empowering perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought postmodern social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology of value-construct and value-reference at the procrysticism uninstitutionalisation. Such prospective change as of de-mentation–

(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-orattributive-dialectics) of attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme can be appreciated retrospectively with respect to non-positivism/medievalism aprorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme which from our modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme we rather construe as vague scholastic pedantic dogmatism with regards to budding positivism/rational-empiricism, but then such a conclusion as of their non-
positivism/medievalism habits and traditions is not necessarily obvious to the non-positivism/medievalism


– base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation tendentious—circumscribing—as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology, warped-consciousness ‘bidimensional’ seclusive-
recomposuring systemic construal of ill-health, further existential-contextualising-contiguity-
second-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-
specific-evil-period;
  – universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism qualifying–circumscribing-as-epistemic-
totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology, preclusive-consciousness ‘tridimensional’ circumstantiating-
recomposuring seclusive-systemic construal of ill-health, further existential-contextualising-
contiguity-third-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-
Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor;
  – positivism–procrypticism categorising–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-
as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology occlusive-consciousness ‘quadridimensional’ categorising-recomposuring circumstantiating-seclusive-systemic construal of ill-health, further perceptivity-as-of-full-
disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation;
  – depocrypticism referentialism–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-
epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
protensive-consciousness ‘transdimensional’ referentialism-recomposuring categorising-
circumstantiating-seclusive-systemic construal of ill-health, further existential-
contextualising-contiguity-full-reification perceptivity-as-of-factoring-in-socioeconomic,-
education,-information,-environmental,-gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-
healthcare-and-medical-delivery. And so, as of the intemporal ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonexcruciating-existential-preempting-of-
existential-unthought attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as dispensing-with-
immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-by-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity—in-reification/dereification cognisant-and-integrative of such acts and miscuings in temporality, thus endemising and enculturating the reference-of-thought vices-and-impediments. Thus such Being underdevelopment, construed as of dynamic social-chainism of human temporality/shortness endemisation and enculturation as of the universal implications of such endemising and enculturating paradigm/structure in ontological-contiguity, warrants corresponding aetiologisation/ontological-escalation superseding ethos as of ‘deprocrypticism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought’ notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema>. The fact is any registry-worldview/dimension as of its ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ is structurally/paradigmatically oblivious-to and does-not-reflect its very own prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as the underlying basis of its own specific-level induced vices-and-impediments, and is rather palliative as of its selecting, triaging, mutually-concurring-and-accommodating and power-relations driven palliating virtue constructs. The question can actually be asked, as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> of this ‘made-up’ normativity supposed ontological-contiguity, whether such a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is actually as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, and in a position, on the basis of such palliation, to address the actual fundamental grounding of its
vices-and-impediments; which in reality are actually ontologically addressable/resolvable as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness so implied as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. What is particular with notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> is this insight that fundamentally the appropriate prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme to that given reference-of-thought. Insightfully, we can thus grasp that the non-positivism/medievalism scholastic pedantic dogmatism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is inherently not structured to be transcendentally-enabling and operative of positivism/rational-empiricism posteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-
non-appropriate non-positivism/medievalism scholastic pedantic dogmatism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’

attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme

sequent valuation, simply speaks of the implications of the notion of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-axiomatic-construct as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-

‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’, in that our appropriate-or-inappropriate-at-various-successive-levels conception as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-

‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ has nothing to do with inherent existential reality but with us adjusting our apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology in order to reflect ontologically-veridical signification as of existence. And intuitively from our positivistic angle we can effectively recognise this about all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought as we appreciate that by reflex these are just beholden to their very own apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology reasoning-from-results/afterthought, but it is hard from our positivistic angle to then appreciate that prospectively we are equally in such a beheld positivism–procrypticism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme

‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
metaphoricity as of its instigating ‘out of thin air’ the budding positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme further inspired its subsequent radicalisation by latter thinkers; wherein for instance, the more thoroughly positivism/rational-empiricism development of ‘the very same physics <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ was undertaken by Newton and Leibniz, extending the metaphoricity further even when we contemplate that in many ways these metaphoricity relaying scientists were still imbued with non-positivism/medievalism mystical and alchemic ideas. This ‘out of thin air’ metaphoricity possibility arises because the ‘full-potency of existence in relation to human-subpotency-as-human-knowledge grasp of that full-potency of existence’ is ever one of non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>; as the very notion of ‘human-subpotency-as-human-knowledge grasp of the full-potency of existence’ given human limited-mentation-capacity implies that such a grasp only opens up a ‘limited framework of the full-potency of existence’ for new human existential and knowledge possibilities as of new/prospective habits-and-tradition. But then this ‘limited framework of the full-potency of existence’ as of new habits-and-tradition construed as ‘reason-from-results/afterthought framework, ‘doesn’t induce a commitment upon the absolute transcendental possibility in the full-potency of existence’. Such that by dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care— and —episteme’ with respect to our modern take
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
reasoning-from-results/afterthought logocentric constitutedness is rather as of ‘reasoning-through or Derridian messianic reasoning’ over our positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and so as of a postmodern affirmatory stance of dialogical inequivalence that goes beyond idling in the ‘modern take rigmarole language’, just as we can appreciate how budding positivism obviate non-positivism/medievalism pedantic dogmatism language to affirm meaningfulness-and-teleology weeding out ornate pedantic detours, to articulate blunt reality as of deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Insightfully, and as is the case with all prospective
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity implied meaningfulness-and-teleology, we can appreciate that the foremost goal of budding positivists ‘was not to elicit the direct approval’ of the non-positivism/medievalism established arrangement, as in many ways they adopted a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ with respect to establishment social stakes, but rather sought to induce the requisite metaphoricity of budding positivism for the destruction-deconstruction of non-positivism/medievalism for prospective positivism, as their conception of achievement motive were tied down to prospective positivism institutionalisation as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, the prospective ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is well beyond the notion of eliciting the approbation of the modern take established arrangement in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct, but rather is of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’, in inducing budding postmodern metaphoricity for the destruction-deconstruction of the modern take for prospective postmodern-deprocrypticism institutionalisation as of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. In both cases, the prospective institutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is ontologically validated as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, divulging the \(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag vagueness and futility of the pretences and judgments of the destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating-desublimating-decisionality>}—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>\).
We can equally appreciate here that such a conception of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is rather as of organic-knowledge and not mechanical knowledge, in the sense that what is critical is the induced apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument metaphoricity for prospective institutionalisation as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not simply a mechanical knowledge conception possibly tolerated as of a stale a posteriori adjunctiveness as with the Copernican heliocentric idea initially, needing a latter apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument metaphoricity reinvigoration as of the overall renewal of ‘the very same physics <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. It should be noted that such metaphoricity rather points to psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification organic-knowledge nature of such prospective institutionalisation transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology, which in its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘a dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation inventing’ of the prospective notion of ‘thinking/postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ as positivism/rational-empiricism thinking or deprocrypticism thinking respectively, and so as their successive prospective reasoning-from-results/afterthought. In both cases, such metaphoricity as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning cannot be construed as grounded-as-intelligible on the superseded/transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of medievalism–non-positivism or positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, but rather as of its very own transcendental-
reasoning-from-results/afterthought instigating the secondnaturining of prospective institutionalisation, and so as of implied reference-of-thought/axiomatic-constructs reflection of the pre-eminence of the full-potency of existence as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over human-subpotency with the latter adjusting to existence as-of-de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) enabling its prospective relative-ontological-completeness. The dimensionality-of-sublimating— <amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation articulation of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning cannot be construed as amenable to the contending disposition of prior deferential-formalisation-transference secondnatured institutionalisation, thus the irrelevance/impertinence of any such implied contending as of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought, as any such contention can only re-arise as of the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning renewing of secondnatured prospective ‘reason-from-results’/afterthought. Thus the direct implication of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is that it can only call upon ‘a kindred sense of things’, as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation contemplation that can surpass/overcome temporal nihilistic <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of a protracted-consciousness cognisant of the prospective ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> and human emancipation implications of Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. It should be noted here that the notion of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of its nihilism rather speaks to social apathy towards veridical prospective ontological possibilities of emancipation as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications going by the very implications of knowledge-reification as being as of the relative-ontological-completeness perspective, and is not to be confused with naïve and literal interpretations in ‘untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality non-ontological terms of social-stake-contention-or-confliction conceptualisations’ that wrongly seem to imply that knowledge-reification can be contemplated paradoxically as being as of the relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective as may be reflected by mere conceptual-patterning in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness without contemplating that the underlying knowledge-reification process/gesturing implications is definitely as of the relative-ontological-completeness perspective since a untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality non-ontological interpretation will rather imply knowledge dereification and endemising/enculturating of temporal-dispositions as of vices-and-impediments for the simple reason that the latter ‘cannot be ignored and then by magic become virtue’ as the overall for knowledge-reification is to understand human destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> and then bring about prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility—<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>. This
normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence>. But then Heidegger failed to realise that the induced transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity of the Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation as well as that of Descartes and other budding-positivists rational-empiricism/positivism were both originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation disseminative events induced as of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications—<as-to-existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—amplituding/formative—epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence> involving transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity from non Universalising sophistry and medieval-scholasticism pedantic dogmatism respectively; and so as to the fact that dimensionality-of-sublimating—amplituding/formative—supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is aporetically the more fundamental incipient/seeding originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation to both Descartes thinking-proposition for budding-positivism and Socrates’s universalising-idealisation in then secondarily inducing their respective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ and thus in many ways the naïve/flawed conception of Platonism and Cartesianism today arise as to a reasoning as from reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation perspective whereas Descartes and Plato–and–Plato’s Socrates are more fundamentally involved in an aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming exercise with respect to medieval-scholasticism non-positivising and ancient-sophists non-universalising respectively. These induced transcendences later on became prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of their mere ‘atrophying mechanical practice’ with succeeding generations, and so just as Nietzsche equally appreciated that Christianity was becoming a mere ‘atrophying mechanical practice’ of succeeding Christian generations as for instance with ascetic practices becoming more of symbolism/aura and losing their inceptive emancipatory inspiration. Thus with all these instances rather warranting renewed originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and so as of prospective projection as implied with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, but instead Heidegger will elicit a naïve turn to the pre-Socratics while Nietzsche will express admiration of Buddhism as both being of grander originariness and authenticity. However going beyond a ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’ disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect-’immanent-ontological-contiguity’> notion of philosophy, it is herein contended that this relatively deficient analysis reflects the fundamental ontological-deficiency of subsequent philosophies influenced by Kantian philosophy which is rather ‘as a projection within the very same intelligible Cartesian/budding-positivists induced rational-empiricism/positivism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ failing to conceive of the ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity
successiveness of registry-worldviews/dimensions, with the result that Kantian implied
transcendental idealism is veridically ‘phenomenal-abstractiveness within the very same
intelligible rational-empiricism/positivism registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-
thought’ (as the true reality of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-
mentativity is rather one of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-
dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) involving ‘human mental-
disposition successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reprojection-or-reanticipation
capacity of registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought, inducing human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of the
very ontologically same existence/existential-reality’ so-reflected as the ‘difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their successive reference-of-thought
imbued apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ construed ‘as the successive
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument for
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology
in existence’, and so-construed as the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions
consciousness-enabled phenomenal-abstractiveness), and this basic deficient and vacuous assumption fundamentally disorientated Nietzschean and Heideggerian thought wherein a more complete appraisal of Nietzschean transvaluation should rather be as of relative-ontological-completeness implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutive-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality—implications beyond just ‘transformation from Roman/Master/Hierarchising/Aristocratic value-construct to Judeo-Christian-Islamic-monotheisms/Slave/Dehierarchising/Commoner value-construct as of the very same universalising-idealisation’ speaking rather more of revaluation than transvaluation. It is this underlying misconception that induces subsequent philosophical misinterpretations of notions like <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>),

ressentiment and leveling failing to appreciate that these are ontologically-driven as of underlying relative-ontological-completeness knowledge-reification basis of such conceptualisations arising as to the need for prospective emancipatory inspiration of prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation inducing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Thus <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-<imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) is herein rather construed as <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-<imbued—averaging-of-
thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>⟩ or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity with respect to ‘mechanical practice’ of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, we can appreciate that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology arises as of aestheticisation before converging towards ontologisation, just as rightfully implied by Nietzsche’s genealogy of morals, but this doesn’t imply valuelessness (as is often naively implied with Nietzschean thought) since aestheticisation convergence towards ontologisation leads to grander ontological-performance-⟨including-virtue-as-ontology⟩. In this regards, we can appreciate that while from our vantage modern perspective the ontological-veracity of the Egyptian cultural system aestheticisation behind the construction of the pyramids will seem inherently impertinent, but that specific human aestheticisation induced technical, scientific and mathematical innovations were of lateral civilisational ontological-pertinence; likewise we can appreciate that while for the atheist the ontological-veracity of religion is unproven, however various specific religions human aestheticisation in many ways relayed laterally the ontological-veracity of universalising-idealisation thinkers as of the relatively conducive social conditions allowing for the arrival of medieval thinkers who then instigated the possibility for modern day science ontologisation; and besides, it can equally perfectly be claimed that even our modern day positivistic civilisation is not beyond a critique of ‘deficient ontologisation’ as we can appreciate the reality of the human aestheticisation of many modern activities (even those associated with technological development) held as of higher interest/worth which ontologisation value is questionable with respect to other possible activities of grander ontologisation but not necessarily held as of higher interest/worth (with the very worst case being media-driven merchandising associated with a generalised dumbing-down and de-
intellectualisation increasingly and surreptitiously substituting for reifying intellectualism, increasingly undermining the citizenry capacity for democratic sovereign judgement). This analysis points to the convoluted relationship between human aestheticisation and ultimate ontologisation value. Rather than naïve and simplistic analysis, it is such an insight that better informs Heideggerian and Nietzschean thought with regards to ressentiment and leveling (as to wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>));
pointing to the centrality of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as more critically about inducing the necessary human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation transformation towards prospective ontologisation rather than the mere critique of any given human aestheticisation as of its inherence, as the fact is all human aestheticisations including religion (which is often a target in modern times, however rightly so on many an occasion) are sub-ontological-as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> and the more salient point is in instigating their more profound ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness-epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Such a possibility recurrently arises mainly as of human value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness implications. Transvaluation notionally refers to the structural/paradigmatic referencing basis of human value structure as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and is what critically defines the variation of human ontological-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation for prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

\langle\text{amplituding}/formative\rangle\text{epistemic-totalisingly,}-\text{as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,}-\text{eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} as to human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Transvaluation as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

\langle\text{amplituding}/formative\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,}-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness implies the ontological-veracity of all values is derived from their relative-ontological-completeness implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-sublimating—\langle\text{amplituding}/formative\rangle\text{supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism \langle\text{amplituding}/formative\rangle\text{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,}-\text{for-explicating-ontological-contiguity (that doesn’t allow for any nondescript/ignorable void to allow for notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity—<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>) while the value proposition as of human-subpotency is one that is based on absolutising the present reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism (allowing for nondescript/ignorable void inducing notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>); and this basic human value dichotomy explains the re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation-
(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-'projective-insights'/‘epistemic-projection-in-
conflatedness’-of-notional-deprocriptism-prospective-sublimation) and epistemic-
ricochetting/transepistemicity nature of human transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity instigation as of prospective
dimensionality-of-sublimating—śniwxjzdxm-ism. supererogatory-de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and subsequent prospective
secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-
of-aestheticisation. In this regards, it is important to grasp that human secondnaturing
capacity is just as critical as human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
śniwxjzdxm-ism. supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation capacity for the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process to be able to materially/substantively arise, notwithstanding the
contradiction that secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–
as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is ‘bound to be reflected as teleologically-degraded’
prospectively as a destructuring-threshold—śniwxjzdxm-ism. desublimating-
desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>
as of mere ‘mechanical practice’ that fails prospective anamnesis as of ecstatic-existence-as-
transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications—<as-to-existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—śniwxjzdxm-ism. epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-
conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-
which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>})
associated with the successive registry-worldview’s/dimension’s self-conscious meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure so-implied successively as of trepidatious—self-consciousness, warped—self-consciousness, preclusive—self-consciousness, occlusive—self-consciousness and prospectively protensive—self-consciousness; as the human proclivity to even recognise and pursue any value-construct can only arise in the very first place with its
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) in
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag difficultly recognising the idea of prospective destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating-desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, and wary of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity implications that can be instigated as of prospective ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation induced self-consciousness meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’. It is thus not odd that as of human emotional-involvement implications, Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and budding positivists projected meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure rather met initially with the antipathy of their underpinning-suprasocial-construct and <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) and specifically had to face up respectively with the value-construct conception of their temporal/sycophantic-sophistic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness ontologically-flawed disparities-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-toreflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> whether with the Ancient Sophists or medieval-scholasticism pedants. We can further appreciate the critical impact of the universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure of the Socratic philosophers and their successors as providing the appropriate meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for the Roman Empire and subsequent religio-political developments unlike the case with say Ancient Egypt and Persia whose non-
universalising sectarian cults perpetual ideological conflicts ultimately sapped their stability despite their technical advancement, and likewise Western enlightenment effectively arose as of the induced meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure of budding positivists, with perverted consequences like annihilation of Native Indians in the New World and the Transatlantic slavery rather arising as of their outlying societies opportunistic activities distortive of budding positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as so-construed in their core societies in Europe with respect to the ending of serfdom, nascent socioeconomic emancipation and human rights. Thus basically the idea of human value-construction is ever always caught up between on the one hand human limited-mentation-capacity to come to terms with ‘transvaluation as <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process-anamnesis as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism underlying the human construction-of-the-Self’ and on the other hand ‘the effective ontological-impertinence/dereification arising in the conceptualising of human value-construction as of a <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as construing of value-construction within any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s presencing—absolutising-identititive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and so whether as of trepidatious (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), warped (base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation), preclusive (universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism) or occlusive (positivism—procrypticism) implications’. This discrepancy (between the human capacity to achieve
transvaluation and effective social–value-construction narrative as of any given registry-worldview/dimension) is reflected in the underlying reality that effectively practised human value-construction is the ‘outcome of privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon’; wherein social–value-construction across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions arises as a functional necessity that is meant to reflect supposedly coherent ontological-commitment and so in order to elicit stable social-functioning-and-accordance for social-stake-contention-or-confliction, whether such social–value-construction is ontologically-pertinent or not. In this respect, the reality in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process points to changing ‘structural/paradigmatic marginal equity of social–value-construction’, so-construed as ‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’ and so rather as from the structural/paradigmatic reference basis of ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ whether the latter is implied-and-justified as of talent, royalty, class, productivity, mere traditional and cultural practice justification, etc.; thus effectively reflecting the overall consequence of social–value-construction as the ‘outcome of privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon’. In this regards, social–value-construction arises from two levels; as of the inherent structural/paradigmatic implication of ‘outcome of privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ as of ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ and this in conjugation then with the individual inherently appraisable social–value-construction as of ‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’. In this respect, we can appreciate that an autocrat is more capable of ‘displaying greater social–value-construction’ than an ordinary denizen by the former’s mere social–value-construction ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ as of its status in the autocracy (however an autocrat’s apparent magnanimity on the basis of the prior perspective of the autocratic society will rather be construed as of deficient value-construction as from a
prospective perspective of \textlt{amplituding/formative}\textlt{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity} comparison to the overall social and virtue progress implications of a better accountable political system, while on the other hand individuals effectively advocating for such a prospective political system may be construed as of deficient value-construction in the prior autocracy), while modern day social–value-construction ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ arises as of politico-bureaucratic, talent, entrepreneurial, socio-historical, traditional and cultural practice justification, etc. implications (but is just as well subject to transvaluation analysis as of \textlt{amplituding/formative}\textlt{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity}, as it can perfectly be argued that the apparent magnanimity of plutocrats as of a capitalistic economic value-distributive system ‘excessively skewed towards final product/service/financial delivery as-of-first-come-near-monopoly and institutionally-skewed-possibility-for recurring wealth accumulation’ while excessively overlooking/devaluing the return to massive public externalities/external-resources contributions to economic production such as public education, human and social development, infrastructure, basic research, technological research, etc. rather speaks of deficient social–value-construction, especially as such a system ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ as of its occlusive presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness is geared towards propping special interests, warfare spending, anti-taxation, anti-immigration, trivial interest in global human development, co-opted media narrative, etc. as of a suboptimal social–value-construction). But this doesn’t cancel the fact that individuals throughout sublimating \textlt{historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing} notwithstanding any disadvantaged ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ for social–value-construction, intuitively cognisant of the pertinence of human transvaluation have elicited the underlying ontological-veracity/ontological-impertinence of their social-construct value-
construction as of its supposedly coherent ontological-commitment to induce the transformation of the social-setup value-construction; such that at various critical times the more salient ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ for social–value-construction had thus been basically intellectual-pertinence-as-of-ontological-veracity such that all other ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ for social–value-construction have tended critically to ultimately be grounded on intellectual-pertinence-as-of-ontological-veracity whether of genuine or surreptitious justification. The more salient issue then for the knowledge-reification of social–value-construction thus lies with its ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ narrative(s) with respect to underlying knowledge-reifying transvaluation implications projection as being of most profound intellectual-pertinence-as-of-ontological-veracity. In this regards, our present rational-empiricism/positivism occlusiveness warrants prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure transvaluation so-implied as of notional–deprocrypticism or <amplituding/formative>notional–preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought appropriate foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism; and so as the disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> of our rational-empiricism/positivism occlusiveness in its <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} tend to rather reflect our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. The occlusiveness of our positivism/rational-empiricism social–value-construction as such from the prospective
perspective of deprocrypticism or preemping—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought can be analysed-and-construed as imbued with occlusive collateral aspects of rather nondescript/ignorable void falsely implying ‘the appropriate exhaustiveness of our rational- empiricism/positivism stances’ thus speaking rather of ideology than ontological-veracity as aptly reflected upon by postmodern-thought. Such occlusive collateral aspects take the form of economic dysfunction and inequities as collateral to economic ideologism, social dysfunction and discriminations as collateral to domineering and excluding social narratives, sophistic/pedantic and vested interest undermining genuine sovereignty paradoxically as of obscured-and-deluding knowledge and misinformation that undermines individuals sovereign competence and choice with regards to increasingly skewed-contrived-and-limited stakes of the democratic process thus eliciting protest voting, and in the bigger global framework of competing politico-cultural values with individuals and societies rather construed as collateral damages. Transvaluation analysis thus ensues from the human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex which implies that the very state of unwariness with respect to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic disposition is structurally/paradigmatically potently conducive/endemising/enculturating of its vices-and-impediments. But then while such an abstract transvaluation perspective for the construal of social–value-construction is cogently obvious, however the fact remains that the human subject as of its limited-mentation-capacity exists in circumstances of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint as of its given reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation inducing its deficient ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> thus explaining its given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments. Thus the transvaluation of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—as-of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is critically of
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) as of successive human construction-of-the-Self as from based animality to trepidatious—self-consciousness, warped—self-consciousness, preclusive—self-consciousness, occlusive—self-consciousness and prospectively protensive—self-consciousness. Thus human limited-mentation-capacity implies that ‘more than just a thought-of ontological notion’ as of transvaluation, social—value-construction is rather accomplished phronetically/in-practicality as of the specific social-setup universal-transparency—{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and is bound rather to be highly infused with ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ narrative(s) where such universal-transparency—{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) is muted and where such universal-transparency—{transparency-of-
prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of any relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance as of its ontologically-flawed implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment; pointing to the ontological-veracity of a ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This ontological reality basis of social–value-construction, it is often claimed, needs to account for the reality of human sovereignty and free-will as to the ‘autonomy and independence of human disposedness’. But then such a conception of human sovereignty and free-will seems to imply an ‘existence-in-existence constitutedness ontologically-flawed paradigm’ as to imply human sovereignty and free-will supersede-and-overide existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation so-reflected as of <amplituding/formative>formative>epistemic-totalisingly-preceding-and-redefining-existential-contextualising-contiguity. We can effectively appreciate that such human sovereignty and free-will implied ‘autonomy and independence of human disposedness’ say with regards to a mystical cause of disease in a non-positivistic society doesn’t stop existence as reflecting bacteria theory or any other biological reason from being the cause of disease and such a reference-of-thought-devolving-level manifestation of the primacy of existence equally extends to reference-of-thought-level wherein overall existence ‘as transcendental-enabling’ for a rational-empiricism/positivism registry-worldview/dimension as of its supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘is more effective’ with respect to human grasp of existential reality manifestations than a non-positivism registry-worldviews/dimension, just as a prior universalisation registry-
worldview/dimension ‘is more effective’ as of its supererogatory-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument in grasping existential reality manifestations than a preceding ununiversalisation registry-worldview/dimension. This however doesn’t imply the elimination of human sovereignty and free-will but rather effective speaks of human-subpotency within existence-potency-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness, so-construed as ‘human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> within the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’; and specifically speaks as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, wherein within the absolute a priori framework that is existence, humankind can construe of existence becoming/emanance manifestations allowing for human knowledge-reification and empowerment from the knowledge-reification within existence, with this in itself inducing a human reflexivity as of a human reflexive influence within existence (wherein for instance, a positivistic disease theory of bacteria and biological causation structurally/paradigmatically induces a whole set of human existential disposedness of emancipatory and curative implications in existence as of human sovereignty and free-will, but also in the very first place the fundamental human existential disposedness at reference-of-thought-level to rational-empiricism/positivism is structurally/paradigmatically conducive/preparatory for the possibility of such a positivistic disease theory of bacteria and biological causation to be construed by such humans). This then speaks to the fact that ‘human sovereignty and free-will is deflated going by the ontological-veracity of human
deferential-formalisation-transference overall and underlying social-setup conception of knowledge-reification and empowerment from such knowledge-reification as enabling the framework of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—
as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology’ and then ‘the individual dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness~equalisation mental-disposition and expression’ within the former (and it is the latter that often comes to the mind when speaking of human sovereignty and free-will as ‘autonomy and independence of human disposedness’, while naively ignoring/overlooking the underlying ‘superseding existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation reflected in <amplituding/formative>formative>epistemic-totalisingly-preceding-and-
redefining-existential-contextualising-contiguity implications upon human sovereignty and free-will’). Interestingly, such a broader conception of the manifestation of human sovereignty and free-will will recognise that the overall human deferential-formalisation-transference actually has a historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing character that extends right up to the very first humans and as with the production of language and human institutions, with regards to constraining existence-potency,-disclosed-
from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of<-amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-
conflatedness/existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, and as these institutions and institutional practices undergo metaphoricity all along towards our present, and carries effective/ontologically-veridical teleological implication in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-
sublimating→<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity successiveness of registry-worldviews/dimensions. The point here is that, ‘the individual dimensionality-of-sublimating→<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation mental-disposition and expression’ driving the deferential-formalisation-transference knowledge-reification and empowerment from the knowledge-reification as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, even as of poor ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> of social—value-construction so-construed as destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating—decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>, can only achieve social-functioning-and-accordance by a claim to be as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, whether relatively real or surreptitious; and it is this preceding broader human sovereignty and free-willing disposedness for claiming social—value-construction for social-functioning-and-accordance as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment that gives the teleological orientation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as it then exposes human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemie-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to the prospective constraint to be as supposedly coherent ontological-commitment thus inducing the possibility for prospective
transcendence-and-sUBLimity/subLImination/supererogatory~de-mentativity when its any given meaningfulness-and-teleology is discovered/shown not to be ontologically veridical leading to its effective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-elicit ing-of-prospective-supererogation). Thus the bigger picture here with regards to social–value-construction for social-functioning-and-accordance as of human sovereignty and free-will implications speaks to relative-ontological-completeness as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and so as of existence constraint implied ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-sublimating— (<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativity/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalv Luke rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism  

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting both destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating-desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness implied preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema and constructiveness-of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness implied postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema as elucidation of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Ultimately, the naïve articulation of human sovereignty and free-will as of strict ‘autonomy and independence of human disposedness’ rather speaks of a poor ontological sense-of-things, and as such ontological-veracity ensues the notion of human sovereignty and free-will is rather subsumed as of human-subpotency knowledge-reification and derived empowerment reflexivity in existence; and as apparent in the sciences, we can’t imply that we have a choice
of gravity on earth as 6 m/s² rather than the existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness
manifestation of 9.8 m/s² and our human sovereignty and free-will is then enabled reflexively
with the latter and not the former where we develop and operate technology on that basis for
instance, the same equally applies with respect to the social domain in other to avoid mere
disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>. The conception of human sovereignty and free-will so-
IMPLIED as of ‘the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-
reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-
subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>’ basically underlies all human
knowledge-reification whether with regards to philosophy as first-level ontology pertaining to
‘overall existence phenomenal appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of the-very-
same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ or with regards
to second-level ontologies ‘specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-
supervening-conflatedness) appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; differentiated by the fact that ‘overall existence
phenomenal appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ across human generations as of
‘cumulative reference-of-thought relative-ontological-completeness implications’ is
surprisingly of high ontological-contiguity explaining the cross-generational relative
intelligibility of philosophical meaningfulness-and-teleology (for instance the questions and
answers/contemplations about the why and how of human existence phenomena from the
very first humans are just as relevant today even as of the differing contextual discernments, and so with regards to virtue, value attribution, aesthetics, episteme and Being) while ‘specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness) appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving relative-ontological-completeness implications’ is of high notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> explaining the unintelligibility of the explanation of epiphenomena as contrasted cross-generationally with various superstitious beliefs in the past compared with modern day science epiphenomenal explanations (for instance with the appraisal of ‘health epiphenomena of existence’ as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ranging from perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen, perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period, perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor, perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation, and perceptivity-as-of-factoring-in-socioeconomic,-education,-information,-environmental,-gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-healthcare-and-medical-delivery). Insightfully, the very essence of ‘overall existence phenomenal appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as associated with philosophical aspects (beyond the our artificial subject-matter divisions referring to aspect where virtue, value, ontological principles and epistemic issues are of central concern) is one of interpretation given that the ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence is ‘a directly comprehensive and fulsome framework amenable to interpretation’ whereas ‘specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness) appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ especially as of their unordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence like natural sciences while informed by ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence
background/sense-of-things further require and accentuate their epiphenomenal manifestations (which are beyond ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence) with the devising of experimentations (as providing the prolongation for human interpretation capacity with respect to such epiphenomenal manifestations, as in reality even the natural sciences are fundamentally interpretative as ‘specifically aphoristic/cogent/pointed extensions of the underlying human philosophical interpretative disposition for knowledge-reification’). It is important to grasp here that mere experimentations, as often practised in many domains, that do not arise because of the veridical need to effectively accentuate epiphenomenal manifestations as of unordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence but rather ‘on the vagueness and naivety that experimentations by themselves demonstrate profoundness’ are ontologically-impertinent (in the sense that the ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence as ‘a directly comprehensive and fulsome framework amenable to interpretation’ is the more critical basis for a profound knowledge-reification interpretation than any such ad-hoc and simplistic experimentation vagueness and naivety); and in many ways this explains experimental delusions in many domains associated with poor reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as to the misunderstanding that experimentation should focus on the very critical epiphenomenal manifestations that are not amenable to the ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence as ‘a directly comprehensive and fulsome framework amenable to interpretation’. However, as of underlying human-subpotency sovereignty and free-will, what is definitely central to knowledge-reification is that it is grounded on human empowering reflexivity from prospective knowledge as of ‘ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-as-to-existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—ampituning/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic—
conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-
which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-
prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence— from such human-subpotency prior
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’. This reflects the ontological-
veracity that human sovereignty and free-will can only be construed in conflatedness as of
human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence revealing the
epistemic-impertinence of dispositions for ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness as wrongly implying human sovereignty and free-will supersedes existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness rather than the epistemic-veracity of difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity of human meaningfulness-and-teleology. We can garner
for instance that there is and has never been any truly ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness’ of the sciences as often wrongly implied by science ideologues, but that
scientists across-the-times have allowed existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness to manifest
itself in determining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; and so, as from the
budding science of the days of Galileo and Copernicus, to Newtonian science, to Lavoisier
laboratory science, to Einsteinian science to modern day institutional practices of science,
with all fundamentally driven not by any ‘purported science-ideology’ but rather the
practicality of results as of the constraint of the subject-domains of scientific study together
with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
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totalisingly, as to existence—as sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting of prospective-supерerogation) implications in transforming the conceptualisation within any such specific subject-domains of scientific study as of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification rather than ‘any implied notion that naively supersede existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’. A further twist to such a poor conception of human sovereignty and free-will in the social arises as of an improper appraisal of the ‘implications of deferential-formalisation-transference as being structurally/paradigmatically both-intensional-and-extensional to the fulfilment of human sovereignty and free-will’. The fact is human sovereignty and free-will is more critically about its ‘fulfilment as of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’ rather than ‘mere appearance-of-fulfilment usurping-the-sense of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’. For instance, a plumber who draws up the costing for a plumbing job explaining to the customer what is advantageously entailed in a convincing manner (as of ‘mere appearance-of-fulfilment usurping-the-sense of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’) as they fail to ensure that their professional assessment will truly resolve the technical issue (as they are just looking to contract the job) is not really advancing the sovereign choice of the customer compared to another plumber who undertakes a candid professional assessment that may not sound advantageous with the customer (as they are more critically interested in the ‘fulfilment as of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’) but does solve the technical issue; as any such customer in a deferential-formalisation-transference situation will most likely agree. Such operation of human sovereignty and free-will, beyond more or less simplistic social situations as the case highlighted above, is supposedly implied in the operation of all human institutions as of their inherent deferential-formalisation-transference proxy nature; but in many ways such a notion of ‘implications of deferential-formalisation-
transference as being structurally/paradigmatically both-intentional-and-extensional to the
fulfilment of human sovereignty and free-will’ gets sunk with the increasing complexity and
size of human institutions as to what such implications really are, and so especially as the
idea of human sovereignty and free-will increasingly becomes abstracted and diffused in the
overall social-construct and its institutions as so-associated with ‘the protraction of political
and institutional performance, evaluation and accountability’ as reflective of human
sovereignty and free-will. However, with regards to the latter as of social protraction of
political and institutional action, the possibility of protracted human sovereignty and free-will
while indirect comes to be increasingly associated with the sense of ‘equanimity/balance of
institutions’ as to their expected ‘equanimity/balance of contending frameworks and policy
frameworks as reflexive of socially-perceived commendation and disapprobation’, whether as
garnered ‘politically from the equanimity/balance of competing policies and politics as from
polling and/or polls trends’ and ‘professionally with the equanimity/balance of
mainstream/conventional complementary professional policy-recommendations and
professional practices’. The question about the effectiveness of such implied
equanimity/balance as reflecting of human sovereignty and free-will is often raised critically
with regards to political and institutional performance particularly during crises. In many
ways, the systemic interrelatedness of large institutions as to their complementary end
purposes and practices, renders such an assessment of implied equanimity/balance rather
structural/paradigmatic to the overall politico-institutional system itself; and particularly so as
in many ways the possibility of readjustment is much more practically instigated politically
especially as with public institutions the individual manifestation of sovereign choice is much
more rigidly tied to political action unlike the relative ability for direct disengagement from
private entities. However, the fundamental fact that human sovereignty and free-will is ever
always a question of the ‘transverse relation of all humans sovereignty and free-will in
society’ inherently implies the underlying possibility for the undermining of human sovereign choice as of inherent social differentiation. Beyond transvaluation implications as of the broader overall ‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’ in relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; going by the phronesis/practicality as of our positivism–procrypticism occlusiveness, the assessment of institutionally implied ‘equanimity/balance of contending frameworks and policy frameworks as reflective of socially-perceived commendation and disapprobation’, as advancing human sovereignty and free-will as of deferential-formalisation-transference implications, can be rather straightforward with regards to relatively compact/self-contained institutional functions and roles usually involved in direct public service delivery but it is much more difficult with spurious/supporting institutional functions and roles. We can appreciate in this regards that public scandals generally tend to arise out of public services and private services delivery institutional frameworks as of their relatively compact/self-contained institutional functions and roles, and that issues of transparency rendering such assessment difficult generally arise with regards to underlying spurious/supporting/supervisory/regulatory institutional functions and roles. In another respect concerning the modern day media, the need for relevant and balanced/equanimous communication and information delivery to the general public has increasingly been taking a backseat, and so fundamentally as the media becomes more of a business-making institution and rather plays a weaker and ancillary/perfunctory role in public policies and politics accountability. This is paradoxically reflected in the reality that despite the huge choice of media today, strangely enough this has rather been associated with greater public muddlement with regards to political stakes and public policies; undermining the
political process as increasingly public policies are paradigmed/structured to default/revert into the interests of powerful groups and corporations with the support of increasingly astute, surreptitious and media-savvy political and economic think-tanks, as their media underhandedness in many ways foil the possibility for credible and effective public interest debate as of the distractedness of media reflexive anchoring on a stale, traditional, simplistic and increasingly irrelevant age-old left and right political narrative (and its derived politics and policies narratives) poorly reflecting the sophistication of the electorate that ‘doesn’t live in left and right worlds but a realistic world in want for solutions’! Strangely enough, such a media environment is now laden with public gurus holding outlandish views increasingly given the forum for their opinions (presented as reified-knowledge) not only in marginal media but mainstream media as well out of all proportion with the social and/or relevant expertising academic/professional resonance of such ideas, and so as of the underlying pretence of freedom-of-speech; as the notion of freedom-of-speech is increasingly being portrayed rather as the rationalising foundation for all sorts of discreetly, whimsically/fancifully and strategically prejudiced influences on media orientation. In this regards, the notion of freedom-of-speech as of such consequentially biased and disproportionate representation undermining ‘equanimity/balance of contending frameworks and policy frameworks as reflective of socially-perceived commendation and disapprobation’ (as thusly failing to advance human sovereignty and free-will as of deferential-formalisation-transference implications), is increasingly becoming the unbecoming/undoing of the modern day democratic political process. Direct media surreptitious drumming-up of specific policy stances and political movements have often interfered with political governance as with the tea-party movement for instance; when considering how political orientations are ‘strategically advanced/framed’ in the media at critical moments for upholding favourable political policies or foiling unfavourable political policies while undermining sound analytic
public debate. It is no small wonder that a public opinion increasingly exposed to such media-driven ‘subterfuges’, overlooking the age-old party politics narrative entrapment, has been turning to protest voting as an expression of political disdain. Furthermore, the idea of human sovereignty and free-will across all times is intimately tied down to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding} / \text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as to the relative-ontological-completeness implications for knowledge-reification underlying sublimating historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>\>; as the fact is the conception of human sovereignty and free-will effectively varied in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as from the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>\> of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, our positivism–procrypticism and will equally vary with prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding} / \text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). This effectively brings up the centrality of causality, as implied with ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conflating towards the inherent ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier, wherein human sovereignty and free-will is
construed as of the ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’, reflecting a human-causative-construction conception in conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing about existence as ontologically-veridical (as it is the ‘totalitative epistemic/notional–projective-perspective’ that points out the veridical conception of causation) and so over a traditional reflex construal of human causation in constitutedness as of any given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in prospective relative-ontological-incompleteness. This insight about human sovereignty and free-will effectively points to the ontological-flaw of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conceptions whether as of the past, present or future, inherently as of failing to account for relative-ontological-completeness implications that effectively and empirically underline sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing; and so especially as it is often implied by a ‘naïve type of philosophising that the conception of human sovereignty and free-will can be abstracted outside existential-contextualising-contiguity as to the underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in wrongly implying that human sovereignty and free-will is rather veridically underlied by ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ outside existential-contextualising-contiguity implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness. But then such pretence of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness veracity of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ is both theoretically and empirically non-veridical, speaking more of the reality of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating
implications than truly rational argumentations as of knowledge-reification implications. Such ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentations are often intimately associated with providing the meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for the powerful and vested-interests, and their insinuations of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ as ‘outside existential-contextualising-contiguity implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness’ is in effect not truly about the irrelevance of existential-reality implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness but rather more critically ‘is in effect about defaulting to specifically unavowedly/surreptitiously implied convenient/advantageous interpretations about existential-contextualising-contiguity which are not to be subjected to a fulsome analysis for ontological-veracity as of implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness and so on the basis of merely projecting the term ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ and thereof implying logical-dueness and articulating logic on the so-narrowed and uncontested framework’. The reason why such a ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ supposedly pertinent argumentation about human sovereignty and free-will cannot hold is that all meaningfulness-and-teleology (as implied with the logical operation of any such projected ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’) operate on priorly established apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and inherently all apriorising/axiomatising/referencing purport to be as of existential-contextualising-contiguity thus subject to analysis as of
relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications as to their existential-reality veracity, such that fundamentally such ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation about human sovereignty and free-will are rather ‘internally inconsistent’ and more aptly reflect manifestations of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications when analysed as of relative-ontological-completeness. Consider in this regards for instance as of the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness notion of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ underlying slavery, such an implied ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ is inherently making a claim on existential-reality which rather more aptly reflect a manifestation of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that one human being has the right to own another human being (as actually not even the logical-dueness of such a ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation can arise from the perspective of relative-ontological-completeness as what is then implied from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective is the supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-><preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of any such implied slavery ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’). The proof that this is priorly ‘a power-
grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and not of veridical logical-dueness’ lies in the fact that for instance the Haitian slave revolters wouldn’t countenance the logical-dueness of any such implied logic of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ underlying their enslavement but merely as of their relative-ontological-completeness perspective of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing undertake in revolt the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of any such implied slavery ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’. This points to the reality that ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation do not truly escape the ontological prism as of existence being the absolute a priori, and rather speak of epistemic situations in epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence with the possibility for true causality implications to be drawn in relative-ontological-completeness as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construable ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’. The confusion here arises because of the habituation of any such ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ which is then taken to be natural to the point of ‘forgetting/overlooking that it is underlied by apriorising/axiomatising/referencing power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications’ to which even the weaker party might end up getting habituated to (over years,
decades or centuries) as of little alternate existential choice and possibilities, and from which point a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness false sense of logical-dueness as of relative-ontological-completeness implications may seem to arise; but as with say the American civil war and the Haitian slave revolt, the reality that such implied ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ is rather of flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications is met not with logical-dueness and logical-engagement in wrongly validating any such apriorising/axiomatising/referencing but is rather meted with relative-ontological-completeness perspective supererogatory-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable/measuring/instrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>. In fact, besides the more starkly demonstrable case with respect to say slavery this equally applies with less starkly obvious situations having to do with human social differentiation as well as any other situations requiring prospective knowledge-reification as the possibility for all human progress arises effectively as a result of the transcending of all such human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications construed as ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ as well as their socially attendant situations in need for prospective knowledge-reification; and so not as of a falsely implied logical-dueness and logical engagement that wrongly validate the relative-ontological-incompleteness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ as being of existential-reality in relative-ontological-completeness, but rather as of the relative-ontological-completeness perspective supererogatory-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
logicising/unsuitable/measuring/instrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of such implied ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation. In fact, such an interpretation about the ontological-veracity of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation is not only relevantly undermined with respect to say highlighting the supposed weaker party perspective in such a framework of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing but is equally undermined/subverted when conveniently so by the stronger party for instance in the case of the various allied powers of the second-world war overlooking Nazi scientists direct or indirect participation in war crimes on the rationale of strengthening themselves to ensure future security, and one can imagine the same with regards with many ad-hoc arrangements having to do with spying activities, etc.; thus pointing fundamentally to the ascendency of the ontological implications of human limited-mentation-capacity as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemie-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) possibilities of relative-ontological-
completeness analysis over the absolutising of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied
prospective-deprocryptism-dissemination and thus the knowledge for that right mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocryptism-dissemination’). Even with the modern day polity and law, the reality of human sovereignty and free-will implied in human rights takes precedence over any ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ practicalities and is the basis for continual social and governmental reforms; and as so-implied by the ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’ and this is the very legitimation for any intellectualism purporting knowledge-reification. Ultimately, the very possibility for prospective knowledge-reification as providing the illumination for prospective human sovereignty and free-will conceptualisation is itself bound to be undermined, and so as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, in the interplay of human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness inclinations for vested postures and interests poorly appreciating relative-ontological-completeness implications in contrast to dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation inclinations very much appreciative of relative-ontological-completeness implications as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. In this regards, one can appreciate the human sovereignty and free-will expansion drive of the prospective knowledge-reification associated with the Socratic universalising philosophers,
dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory-de-mentativity/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation meaningfulness-and-teleology
that presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness formulaic interpretation adoption as the
and so equating such ‘prospective<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness magnanimity induced originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’
with teleologically-degraded meaningfulness-and-teleology as of blatant two-facedness/falseness that would hardly contemplate that ‘the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness institutional framework structurally/paradigmatically undermines
in many ways the possibility for veridical prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’. Beyond and informing this
analysis of human sovereignty and free-will ontological implications (in articulating the very underlying ontological-veracity insights that expand/broaden our specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-
<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>”), the notion of causality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is basically tied to the resolving/elucidating
of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as of the full potential for human knowledge-reification. Such a human-causative-construction as of the underlying notion of relative-ontological-completeness implications is construed as ‘more than just about direct re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ as to wrongly imply that human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is just of a direct intemporal-as-ontological nature rather than truly involving both dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation implications and secondnatured institutionalisation implications. That is, the all-pervasiveness of the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (as to temporal-to-intemporal individuations) regarding ontological-performance<including-virtue-as-ontology> (as so-reflected as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor) interjects-and-invalidates the possibility of merely such intemporal-as-ontological dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation construal of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity; thus implying ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications’ (and not ‘absolute-ontological-completeness implications’) given human limited-mentation-capacity at all moments, as so-reflected in the prospective destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating–desublimating–decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> of any specific registry-worldview’s/dimension’s existential
desublimation manifestation underlined by <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) or <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>). This more effectively speaks to the fact that ‘the
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalisng/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’, instigative of the
‘inventing’/‘creating’ of the possibility for ‘prospective seconndnatured institutionalisation as
prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’, gets lost effectively in the prospective seconndnatured institutionalisation
induced reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as human temporality/shortness encounters it (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) and so rather as of the
‘secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of<-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness–
high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation’ beyond which its implied dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-
surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-
protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-
conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-
language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) is construed as relatively vague-and-irrelevant as 
human temporality/shortness now re-construes in constitutedness such ‘secondnatured-
institutionalisation—existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-
of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained–
reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relatively-shallow-frame-of-
elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-
susceptibility,-in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation’ in such a way that is obviating and becomes homeless as to the 
conflatedness of dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
as potentiating the superseding of the structural/paradigmatic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing implications of human temporal-dispositions for the prospective conception of knowledge-reification as so-reflected in the transepistemicity/conflating-nature of notional~deprocrypticism or 

averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>-)) and existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-implications’ for
veridical ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology. The very
ontological-veracity of any such ‘notional conceptualisation’ lies in construing how these
reflect causality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-implications as so-implied with
the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process opened-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology. What is critical with respect to prospective deprocrypticism or
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is effectively the fact that its
prospective institutionalisation is much more than just any such ‘secondnatured-
institutionalisation—existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-
of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relatively-shallow-frame-of-
elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribute-and-high-extrinsic-attribute-
susceptibility,-in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflation/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation’ as prospective deprocrypticism involves ‘superseding existential-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective with
the integration of the necessary, abstract and non-eliciting-of-opportunism dispensing-with-
 immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension
into its secondnatured institutionalisation’ thus providing the structural/paradigmatic
interlocking of deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology with the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘re-inventing’/‘re-creating’
dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory-de-}mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-}rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation; as} otherwise such supposedly prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation will in reality be} just a complexification of our positivism/rational-empiricism institutionalisation were it to} manifest a secondnaturesd incapacity for the ‘re-inventive’/‘re-creative’} preservation/sustaining/upkeep of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The fact is the elucidation/resolving of human-subpotency—}aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—} imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor has ever always been} about the interplay of ‘immediacy of temporal-dispositions in existential-extrication-as-of—}existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective’ and ‘dispensing-with—}immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as} intemporal-disposition as intemporal-disposition’, wherein the former (beyond-the—}consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of—existential-unthought>)} is mainly responsive to ‘secondnaturesd-institutionalisation—existence-potency,—disclosed—}from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic—totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic—}conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness—}and-teleology as of relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low—}intrinsic-attrition-and-high-extrinsic-attrition-susceptibility,—in-dimensionality-of—}desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de—}mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative—}rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ and is} rather critically apathetic to the necessary, abstract and non-eliciting-of-opportunism as of

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation, but so in a mismatch with secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-


<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation; such that structurally/paradigmatically the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in relative-ontological-completeness, has always developed more or less accidentedly as to wrongly imply the requisite selfless projection of human dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as to construction-of-the-Self is only as critical when it enables the
relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-
high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation for prospective secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—
reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so rather as of the latter’s ‘poor-
cognisance and poor-integration into any such prospective secondnatured meaningfulness-
and-teleology of the underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation coherently perpetuating priorly-and-prospectively the possibility for
human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation to arise in the very first place’.
This explains in many ways temporal-dispositions to existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective over intemporal-disposition of
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions reflected
in the repetitive succession of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—
averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>} assuming a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness inclination
about all that ever existed and matters, implying an orientation to living-development—as-to-
personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and
transformation-and-not-absolutely-deterministic-and-immuable-as-individuation-representations. Furthermore (even as prior secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
wooden-language (imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as to human self-consciousness capacity for construction-of-the-Self in inducing the requisite supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness~of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process; as recurrently implied all along in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with the circular conflicting paradox of
human opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to prospective
originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
driveness—equalisation. This in many ways will explain the underlying conundrum as to the
prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation associated with
projecting prospectively the more profound dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as to human self-
consciousness capacity for construction-of-the-Self to induce the required supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness~of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for prospective
deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought human self-
surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-
protohumanity’-to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’–as-to-existence-potency,—disclosed-from-
in its epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence naively and wrongly goes on to define the very human-in-its-temporality/shortness/mortality in want for its prospective development paradoxically as the determining agent (as in its very presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) of such prospective development’; such that there is an underlying transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/amphotatising/referencing between such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and prospective originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation that is fundamentally irreconcilable, as to the former’s in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation critical for prospective human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’—as-to-existence-potency,—disclosed—from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness (as so-validated by the fact that we’ll effectively recognised that ‘supposedly constructing psychology’ on the effective <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of any of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of either recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation and universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism is effectively sub-ontological—<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency—in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> but then go on to falsely imply the profoundness of thought as of the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of our positivism—procrypticism in its
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications) has been the determinant for the possibility for the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations to even arise in the very first place and equally speaks to the prospective human potential possibilities, as the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing records of successive human civilisations shows that nothing is inherently given (particularly so as the cultural diffusion possibilities are already limited as to the already globalised world warranting our very own prospective reinvention/recreation) but for effective human effectuation. Humanity is thus intimately tied to human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor relative-ontological-completeness implications of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as to the fact that the ultimate attainment of humanity as from Hegelian proto-humanity has ever always been as of originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as reflected by the fact that our mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is rather ‘a positive-opportunism exploitation that poorly projects humanity prospectively as to an existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and notionally-collateralising posturing that is unwary of its relative-ontological-incompleteness to then aspire for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ and all the prospective humanity that can arise is ever always as of originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation that goes after that relative-ontological-completeness, as to the fact that the possibility for humanity to arise is ever always tied down with the possibility for
veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology along human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation formation’ speaks to the ‘social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of any human originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation as to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’; as so-reflected with the susceptibility to variedly teleologically-degraded ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> in a ‘dynamic social and institutional conjugation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s-including-virtue-as-ontology at the destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ that ends up ‘reconstruing any implied originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation in its very own terms as to the effectively manifest dynamics of institutional and social relations, constraints and performances’ that as of varying implicated stakes are not ‘necessarily absolutely tied-down’ to the abstract originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation even as such framework-for-idealising/transcending/sublimating is clearly or abstrusely the reference of social and institutional deferential-formalisation-transference. Thus the underlying reflex in considering human originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation as more or less fulfilled with a satisfactory theoretical-and-practicable-projected-outcome in many ways is naïve and incomplete as to when it is ‘wrongly predicated on a conception of the social and institutional as merely a passive framework of exquisite integration of abstract
as a naïve and amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
synergetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview/dimension reference-
of-thought including our positivism–procrypticism may falsely project of itself (beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology<-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>). Thus
prospective originariness/reifying/intellectualising—
idealising/transcending/sublimating–meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation must
necessarily contend/vie with social and institutional wonkiness-of-secondnaturing as to the
social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of originariness/reifying/intellectualising—
idealising/transcending/sublimating–meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation. Critically
such wonkiness-of-secondnaturing, as to the social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of
originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating–
meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation, involves ‘blurry social and institutional expanse
of accommodating, contradictory and modulatory amplituding/formative>wooden-
language-{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}’ that while of differing
functional/dysfunctional implications however critically lends itself to paradoxical
accommodations, contradictions and modulations of the prospective
originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating–
meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation. In many ways thus such social and institutional
‘cognisance-and-integration of the associated dysfunctional
amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}’
(as to shiftiness-of-the-Self and corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology implications)
by itself provides ‘preparatory/foundational causation’ for existential-extrication-as-of-existent-unthought temporal-dispositions underlying institutional and social failures and crises as to their destructuring-threshold—uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality—of-ontological-performance—including-virtue-as-ontology> (however the seeming remoteness from such direct social and institutional issues, crises and failures); as associated with various social and institutionalised frames of

<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), and as further surreptitiously enabled with sophistic/pedantic dispositions predisposed to articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms eliciting human temporality/shortness but then of teleologically-decadent—as-in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation totalising-entailing social and institutional implications that default to vested postures and interests. This analysis is critical by the very ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as required for prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as to the reality of the implications of ‘wonkiness-of-secondnaturing as of the social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating–meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation’ associated with our positivism/rational-empiricism secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
possibilities of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity; and this particular point is critical for the awareness that social thought can be developed that ‘transepistemically overlooks the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conception of value-construction and overall meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (as to its deconstructing-threshold—uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality)—of ontological-performance—including-virtue-as-ontology> induced <amplituding/formative> wooden-language—imbued—averaging-of-thought—sublimating—dmentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality, as so-reflected empirically in the instigation of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations. Thus, there is a direct relation between human-subpotency and existence-potency—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—as—of—amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought—in—supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness (so underlied as of the parrhesiastic seeding-promise-of-human-subpotency-ontological-performance—including-virtue-as-ontology>—correspondence-with-the-full-potency-of-existence—as—of—its—coherence/contiguity), and this is effectively instigated/originated by the human capacity for dispensing—with-immediacy—for-relative-ontological-completeness—by—reification/contemplative-distension in its construction-of-the-Self with respect to prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. The underlying point here is that there is no inherent meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather as of the specific human-subpotency as to
overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-
in-imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency-epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, that is, as to ‘human-subpotency-
potential to epistemically converge to the full-potency of existence’; and this underlying-
structure of reflexivity is the very structure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process, however, the surreptitious and opportunistic temporal-
interpretations to exploit its positive consequences at one moment and to reject it the moment
it prospectively challenges-us/puts-us-to-question as of prospective implications of living-
development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-
function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The
implication here is that all human knowledge is necessarily for-human-studies/for-human-
constructs whether with regards to the social or the natural sciences; as to the fact that all
such knowledge is ever only referenced/registered/decisioned in the human consciousness
(individual consciousness and collective consciousness respectively as to direct knowledge
and indirect knowledge as of deferential-formalisation-transference implications) and
functions to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness with regards to human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in existence. The very possibility for
prospective human knowledge generation thus calls for human dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<amplituding-formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation given the reality of human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, with such human
dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation speaking of true humanity projection for prospective secondnaturing institutionalisation (that goes on to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness), and so over the wrongfully elicited self-satisfaction of sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitivite-constitutedness in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought failing to address the universal implications of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. This underlying human knowledge-notionalisation is what speaks of the distinction between the physician and quack-doctor, the technician/engineer and the scammer, the intellectual and the sophist, etc. Critically, the former as involved in prospective originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation bluntly profess that ‘human temporality/shortness wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)’ is in want for secondnatured knowledge and institutionalisation, and so as to the former human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩ (as to the specifically cultivated arts/skills and time investment, and on the intimation that the implied deferential-formalisation-transference is so-validated as of the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment). In the bigger picture, this speaks to a human socially expanded framework of deferential-formalisation-transference as to various cultivated skills/arts and time investment with their knowledge deferential-formalisation-transference validation as of the supposedly
coherent ontological-commitment; and implying a greatly expanded human collective
consciousness as of differing for-human-studies/for-human-constructs of
originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating–
meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation. On the other hand, what is typical about quack-
doctors, scammers, sophists, etc. with regards to prospective human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint is a
predilection for eliciting the idea that ‘human temporality/shortness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}’ is
basically of competent judgment (notwithstanding the latter’s underlying banal framework as
to the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and lack of related cultivated skills/arts and time
investment as to the requisite human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}). It is on the basis of ‘so-prepping the
human ego’ in an exercise not truly meant to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-
consciousness (going by the eventual outcomes of such falsehoods) given that in the very first
place the issue has nothing to do with inherent and genuine
originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating–
meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation but rather a lulling falsehood that sees our mortal
egos as the very target for surreptitiously inducing our moral and intellectual
disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession; as in effect, overall sophistry as to its
underlying social-vestedness/normativity undermining of human dispensing-with-
technically aloof/remote and racing technological, organisational and social transformation; such that the requisite human thoughtfulness that can correspondingly broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness is increasingly out of the loop as humankind in the modern positivism age has increasingly become rather a self-subjugating agent to such transformations as to their lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation implications with the notion of human consciousness sublimation increasingly passivised and blanked to vested social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning actions. But then humankind faces the challenge of contemplatively articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology capable of reinventing/recreating and keeping the human at the driver seat rather than an object of unformulated/unthought-of driven existential emergence/becoming as of lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation over a ‘dreary blankness of consciousness’ (rather functioning to be attended-to and accommodated/unaccommodated by that lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation) as human consciousness is in want of its very own corresponding sublimation as to redefining the possibilities/potential for prospective humanity that can further broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness. Such ‘dreary blankness of consciousness’ (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) is predicated upon and drags along the shiftiness-of-the-Self as from prior human stake-contention-or-confliction conceptualisation in a psychological entrapment of defining naiveties and complexes (so-construed in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as historicity-tracing’), and so towards humankind’s supposed future (as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology); and in many ways this historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-
transposition has already been stifling/stalling the human prospective potential as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective conception of future historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing relevant to deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Such historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition is fundamentally defined by a certain enduring reproducibility passivity and blankness of human social processes, wary of the implications of prospective renewal possibilities as the psychological entrapment constraints of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition override prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation possibilities, and the prospect for the future is ever so tied down to the psychological entrapment of prior human stake-contention-or-confliction framework that nullifies the possibility for renewal of humanity. Institutionalised historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition thus foregoes the construal of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as a construct of re-originariness/re-origination of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) so-implied from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional—projective-perspective as to maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness and rather adopts the temporality/shortness comfort as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness hanging on to historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness notional framework of human stake-contention-or-confliction. Historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition thus involves a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating conception of social-vestedness/normativity as to an
underlying human psychological entrapment (as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development—as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that is incapable to re-stake/put-back-at-stake meaningfulness-and-teleology out of its historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition social-vestedness/normativity in order to reflect the true prospective overall aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as to the unbridled ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional—projective-perspective re-originariness/re-origination of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\)epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Such social and institutional social-vestedness/normativity for instance like in many ways the practice in modern day scholarship (especially when poorly constrained to existence-potency,—disclosed—from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\)epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflicatedness) is bound to ‘make its own weather’ rather as from human-subpotency temporality/shortness; wherein ‘invested’ institutional and theoretical/conceptual postures take on an essence all of their own, and so independently and overlooking the precedence of existential-reality for the possibility for prospective sublimation and knowledge-reification and failing to ‘effectively re-stake/put-back-at-stake in re-originariness/re-origination the capacity of human ontological-performance—\(<\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}\) in a renewing originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ over already set/established/determining prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, and so failing to be responsive to the fact that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\)epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) rather invokes prospective
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding-formative>supererogatory-de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
ralionalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality for re-
originariness/re-origination (and as ever always such destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> across the successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions abuse of the idea of being at the backend of human institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recomposure as speaking to its own exceptionalism in a naïve
<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag posture instead of the true instigative
exceptionalism of the underlying ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process). This temporal/shortness disposition to fail re-originariness/re-origination is of
overall social recurrence as to human temporality/shortness
<amplituding-formative>wooden-language{(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>—as of
‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-
frames-as-from-living,—institutionalising,—and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’; and so in all
situations particularly those poorly constrained to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplituding-formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic—
conflatedness. Such that such ontologically-flawed presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness becomes a psychological entrapment of an overwhelming presence hardly capable of profound re-originariness/re-origination but for its thresholding to the accrued historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition perception of temporal/shortness human stakes-contention-or-confliction framework; with the consequence that this mitigates the possibility to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness off-the-beaten-path of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition (as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as to the relation with human lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation, as such a consciousness increasingly adopts a desublimation/gimmickiness rather than its very own sublimation in tandem with material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation. This is reflected with the increasing remoteness/aloofness and alienation of the generalised human subject from such material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation captured under abstract institutional frameworks of stewardship expecting a ‘dreary blankness of consciousness’ (rather functioning to be attended-to and accommodated/unaccommodated by the lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation) in order to maximise passive enculturation and merchandising as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’. Thus, the possibility for the generalised human subject capacity for consciousness sublimation is seized up and constrained in such socially and institutionally bureaucratising and deterministic frameworks that now structurally/paradigmatically determine the possibilities of human consciousness sublimation.
as to their abstracted defining conception of human stake-contention-or-confliction (as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) such that the generalised human subject re-originariness/re-origination sublimation imaginary possibilities are already truncated as from prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective of re-originariness/re-origination as implied with prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Today, many agile initiatives allowing more or less for the expression of the human subject imaginary and so specifically with start-up entrepreneurship increasingly highlight that in many ways traditional social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning are suboptimal conceptualisations of human consciousness sublimation possibilities as to their thoroughgoing beholdenness to ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’ bounded to prospective thresholds of passivity and blanking of human consciousness sublimation possibilities. In many ways because of poor appreciation of the ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ the modern mindset has tended to construe of its lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation implications naively as implying the comprehensive fulfilment of human potential with poor appreciation/sense that effectively as reflected with prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, the proximity of technology then never implied as today a generalised human consciousness passivity and blankness to the point of relative desublimation/gimmickiness over sublimation (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought>); and so as potently contended by Baudrillard simulacrum conception wherein gimmicky formulaic representations of overall aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology increasingly substitute for more profound possibilities of human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to the potential for prospective human consciousness sublimation as of a totalising-entailing projection of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. Whereas historically the technological accessibility and proximity to the generalised human consciousness of such events like the invention of metal implements, the plough, writing, the printing press, etc. provided more profound possibilities for human consciousness sublimation in re-orginariness/re-origination, beyond mere lopsided technological as of lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation in the framework of ‘a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’ that passivises and blanks thus undermining/stifling the possibility for prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While a traditional conception of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring is often articulated as resting on ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social—value-construction’ presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation perspective thus supposedly rendering irrelevant their analysis as of inherent ontological-veracity (as to supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with regards to the ‘full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation as to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation of healthcare’ as to their successive relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness). In both cases it is rather from the full <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that the ontological-veracity as of prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (as to supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with regards to the ‘full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness) truly reflects the deterministic epistemic causality of existential sublimation manifestation, and so over any such conceptualisation of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’, rather in shallow <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation (and not full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation with existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness). Such prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective of re-originariness/re-origination is of the most profound <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality conceptualisation of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) can be
observed with the traditional first peoples like the pygmies. As for instance the very basic
initiation of trading/exchange itself with the ‘other person’ as to the possibility of developing
community is as of human-subpotency ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to
eexistence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-
faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, wherein an
item of trade/exchange is placed at a neutral location/spot in the hope that the other will take
it and reciprocate out of ontological-good-faith/authenticity with a satisfactory
trade/exchange item (and so with the very real possibility that it might be taken without
reciprocity out of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity), and so as to their underlying
 correspondingly ‘instigatable/promptable ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-
bad-faith/inauthenticity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation’, with
‘mutually-and-complemetenarily instigated/prompted ontological-good-faith/authenticity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation’ inducing the very creative dynamics
for human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring as to human-subpotency
potential for social formation, modes-of-living, language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence,
cultural practices etc., as such ‘instigative/prompting ontological-good-faith/authenticity or
ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation’
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>). It can be appreciated that without perceived reciprocity
the inherent transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity
implications with respect to human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-
completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (and this effectively explains
everything in ontological-contiguity and notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> and so in reflecting
the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as from relative-
ontological-incompleteness to prospective relative-ontological-completeness as there is
nothing left to be explained about the human-subpotency phenomena, unlike the notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema> discreteness perspective of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied
contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-
construction’); as we can appreciate that the very possibility for prior successive and
prospective human emancipation paradoxically lies in superseding any such ‘human social-
vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-
discrete-social–value-construction’  <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
conceptualisation perspective in relative-ontological-incompleteness as underlying
justification for the sustainability of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-
institutional-constructs–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposing (as
it rather becomes prospectively from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective a
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal–mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/tranepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation. Desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition imbued psychological entrapment arises inherently because of the taxingness-of-originariness as to the fact that: what has gone before aesthetically structures/paradigms distortedly the possibility for the later aestheticisation, with regards to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of its decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation. But then existence is not beholden to any such human reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation residuality that induces human decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation stifling/stalling of the full possibility of prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation (as construed from the prospective deprocrypticism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective) can be reflected with respect to the very supposedly most enlightening-giving notion of philosophy as to its decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation (as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective) from human philosophy, to varying philosophies as of African, Oriental, European, Arab, etc. as to desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition psychological entrapment that ultimately denatures the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing purity of the very notion of philosophy. This patent elucidation of the decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation as to such a supposedly most abstract and enlightening-giving notion that is philosophy is a basic insight (as construed from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) of desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition psychological entrapment with respect to the overall prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (which structurally/paradigmatically seems to be entrapped/stifled in human taxingness-of-originariness). Effectively, human decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation arises as of ‘taxingness-of-originariness (what has gone before aesthetically structures/paradigms distortedly the possibility for the later aestheticisation). The idea of superseding the human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation (as to ‘abstractly projected finality in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’) for prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, patently makes obvious what the true implications of prospective deprokenpticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought project with respect to its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension re-originariness/re-origination conceptualisation in relation to our present positivism–proypticism aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology. This is reflected in the projected underlying ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> divergent relation between historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition (as constrained to human taxingness-of-originariness as to: what has gone before aesthetically structures/paradigms distortedly the possibility for the later aestheticisation) and prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Historicity-tracing—presencing-imbuend-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as implied at all uninstitutionalised-threshold is what underlies the notionally-collateralising inclination of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of any given registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness; speaking in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as ‘an overall human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect’ for corresponding human consciousness sublimation. But then the implication of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as supposedly superseding human relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding-formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation, as to its ‘aspiring pureness of re-originariness/re-origination’, is effectively ‘a reconstrual in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to the obviating of its decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation induced historicity-tracing—presencing-imbuend-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition (beyond the implications of taxingness-of-originariness as to: what has gone before aesthetically structures/paradigms distortedly the possibility for the later aestheticisation)’; such that the deprocrypticism potential is ‘a wholly other of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbuend-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’ as to the implications of its re-originariness/re-origination for prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
aesthetic-tracing beyond foregone aestheticisation-and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (in truly reflecting the ‘full human-subpotency potentiation’ as to the most profound human capacity for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension). Its defining question is whether and how can the human reconstrue meaningfulness-and-teleology in re-originariness/re-origination beyond its trailing/dragging foregone aestheticised meaningfulness-and-teleology construal? This limitativeness of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition is fundamentally an issue of human psychological entrapment ‘defining naiveties and complexes’ as to human shiftiness-of-the-Self as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (construable abstractly as fundamentally subpar to human effectuation potential but for the fact that the psychological entrapment is a paradoxical circular constituent of the human as to its ‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity by sublimating-humanity existentialism-form-factor’). Human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as the very seeding disposition for historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition is ever always characterised by its immediacy-reactive-criticality (over panoramic-sublimating-criticality) as to its constraining aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology framework; such that the propensity for human meaningfulness-and-teleology to be instigated (as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) before any construable human panoramic-sublimating-criticality outcome of meaningfulness-and-teleology) has ever always been bound to take ‘a notionally-collateralising inclination detour of aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ (as of the defining ‘originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect of human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-
of ‘manifest existence-potency-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness in epistemic conflation’ rather veridically
construable in the prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing transepistemicity (as of
presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as such actually reflects the
structural/paradigmatic limitation of the given human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
epistemic-gesturing for the construal of ontological-veracity–as-to-inherent-ontological-
contiguity at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold; speaking of a state of notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema> in relative-ontological-incompleteness in relation to the now prospective
notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–qualia-schema> of the relative-ontological-completeness, as from the ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective. Effectively,
historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as of its
implied contrastive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of (relative-
ontological-incompleteness of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) and
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of (relative-ontological-completeness in
prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>), can be reflected
historically with respect to say ‘an engrained traditional non-positivism/medievalism
conceptualisation of the world’ incapable/could-not-bring-itself to mentally process the
implications of planets shown with a telescope to be rather going around the sun in a nascent
positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme implied by Galileo and further conceptually articulated by Descartes’ thinking proposition as to its mathesis universalis implications, such that it is as of a cross-generational transformation/supererogatory–de-mentativeness that humankind develops the positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism (as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) to grasp the full structural/paradigmatic implications of positivism/rational-empiricism as from the initial non-positivism/medievalism historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition with regards to the prospect of positivism/rational-empiricism aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, this insight can be extended in reflecting the historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of ‘an engrained traditional non-universalising conceptualisation of the world’ incapable/could-not-bring-itself to mentally process the implications of the nascent universalising-idealisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme implied by the Socratic philosophers as to its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism (as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) induced cross-generational transformation. In both instances it speaks to an underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism ‘wanting of human consciousness sublimation’ to effectively come to terms with ‘manifest existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness in epistemic conflation’, thus inducing its notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> as to the fact that notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> is now implied
conflatedness in epistemic conflation’ (overcoming the prior
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism ‘loss of notional-contiguity/epistemic-
contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>’
now of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) is rendered possible by human
metaphoricity-of-aestheticisation—as-of-’dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation-totalising-entailing-instigation,-process,-and-outcome-of-
reoriginariness-of-aestheticisation’in-preserving-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-
by-the-given-redefining-prospective-epistemic-digression-implications-as-to-ontological-
contiguity. Thus in the bigger scheme of things, the state of recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation

given
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument enters into
historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition at its
uninstitutionalised-threshold in its epistemic construal of prospective base-
institutionalisation–unununiversalisation, likewise the latter in its epistemic construal of
prospective universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and likewise the latter in its
epistemic construal of prospective positivism–procrypticism, and the latter as well in its
epistemic construal of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought; as so-reflected from the relative-ontological-completeness implied
notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–qualia-schema> as to perspective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. In other
words, (with regards to human living-development–as-to-personality-development or

Hence historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
institutionalisation-process; and it is this dimensionality-of-sublimating—
\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\) supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation prospective reformulating/revamping of human aestheticisation–
and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of
conceptualisation in prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-
aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> (over the ‘saturation of
ontological-performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ of prior aestheticisation–and–
aestheticisation-towards-ontology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation in
notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) for the prospective sublimation of
aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of conceptualisation as of ‘renewed
notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–qualia-schema>’ (so-construed as human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–
\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\) epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of ‘prospective dimensionality-of-
sublimating–\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\) supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation recovery of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-
aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> of aestheticisation–and–
aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism conceptualisation”) that is entailed in the
very notion of human de-mentation–{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-
dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} as reflected with renewed
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism as to prospective postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema over prior preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema. The implication here is that the overcoming of any historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition is intimately tied to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose so-implied as its prospective construction-of-the-Self as of its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. Insightfully, while with prior registry-worldviews/dimensions human consciousness sublimation ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> had rather assumed ‘an overall human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect’ (involving ‘a notionally-collateralising inclination detour of aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ as to the underlying ‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity by sublimating-humanity existentialism-form-factor’), the requisite protensive—self-consciousness of prospective deprocrypticism is one that as to its full grasp/understanding/universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the restructuring/reparadigming possibilities of prospective human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology should be amenable to a self-consciousness projection that should be able to engage with its corresponding level of taxingness-of-originariness (as to its own ‘humanity-sublimation homework’ at its given supposed growth/maturity at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) in adopting a re-originariness/re-origination consciousness sublimation over historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation). Thus (as it projects beyond human ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness psychological entrapment’ imbued notional-
towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus the prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of deprocrypticism very much equates to human consciousness sublimation as of its successive transcendences of registry-worldviews/dimensions as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness, given that prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing is more than just the prospective reproducibility potential of aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-
towards-ontology but is actually the ‘equalisation of all historicity/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-
ontology’: as to imply that ‘the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’ = ‘the dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation’ = ‘the
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation of 
universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism’ = ‘the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation of positivism–procrypticism’, (even as their mere reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation—and–
aestheticisation-towards-ontology do not equate ‘as of their differing positive-opportunism
structure/paradigm of underlying reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
to prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’); and so-construed as ‘the dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation for notional–deprocrypticism/deprocrypticism dimensionality’ as of a
prospective imaginary/ideality of human consciousness sublimation beyond just mere
before logical-dueness as to ontologically-valid language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence can even arise in the first place; explaining in many ways the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective projecting of a dynamic differentiated transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human-subpotencies ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as to the selective-and-deselective determination of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness, and so over the purported inherent human-subpotency/mortal perspective pre-eminence over the sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness. Thus more than just about ‘prospective succession’ as to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (beyond just their mere secondnaturing reproducibility aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology), prospective deprocrypticism protensive–self-consciousness is more critically bechanced as to an originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> projection of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation beyond mere reproducibility. Prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as such is more profoundly the abstractive conceptualisation (beyond the reproducibility constraining upon human limited-mentation-capacity implications) as to human-subpotency ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-
implies an abandonment of even the reality of prior human thoughtfulness that led to its present as its present is construed as of decisively absolutised capacity of thought’, thus falsely rendering/construing of human capacity in its present ‘the exceptional capacity of excogitation’ unwary of its own ontological-impertinence as to the need to projectively integrate the structuring/paradigming relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications of excogitation in its own present and the prospective projection as reflected herein with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process conception. This occlusiveness of thought then goes on to ride-the-wave/expand-without-corresponding-sublimation-as-to-existence-potency-implications of a lopsided scientific and technological sublimation as it falsely ‘usurps the latter’s speakership as of a science-ideology elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ even as notable natural scientists as to their candid knowledge-reification intuitions put in question such a naïve science-ideology hardly recognising the so-implied commonality of epistemic and methodological applications reflected by the naïve institutional-appendage of gatekeeping scientism such a naïve intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) projects as truly science and knowledge; and so, as its disparateness-of-conceptualisation-(unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’) and desublimation/gimmickiness is poorly inclined as to its blurriness to be critically exposed to the validative/invalidative sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflicatedness (as it hardly recognises the epistemic pre-
eminence of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and the consequential relative-ontological-completeness implications), as its advancing of authority here is rather more seminal than the requisite confident knowledge-reification and elucidation of true thought for justifying its deferential-formalisation-transference beyond its mere institutional pre-eminence, and ‘an alien exercise of supposed intellectualism’ that fails to truly engage with critiques as it is surreptitiously involved in extra-intellectualism rather than reify and argue/prove/disprove speaking of a political development that can only undermine true human knowledge-reification potential as all such posturing end up assuming a corresponding social-vestedness/normativity role incapable of the requisite mental adventure for human consciousness sublimation as it is hardly bothered by the state-of-affairs of intellectual impotency it projects in the face of the conceptual and practical challenges of the social it construes as imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/insurmountable/unovercomable (explaining in many ways such an intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) supposed conception of the end of history that fails to account for the fact that the ‘end of any human minds’ is not the end of the ecstatic-existence possibilities of human consciousness sublimation as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness as so-effectively pointed out by Baudrillard), and as eventually the tool of the sophist is wielded as to a supposedly intellectual approach that increasingly overlooks true knowledge-reification work rather turning to the surreptitious eliciting of the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag of human temporality/shortness
amplituding/formative wooden-language (imbued—averaging-of-thought—\(\text{as-to-}\)
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as it hardly portrays the requisite dimensionality-of-sublimating—


epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness, in a stance that is oblivious to the recurrent need for metaphoricity-of-aestheticisation—as-of—’dimensionality-of-sublimating—


supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation over the

wooden-language (imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
now increasingly inducing sovereign disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession.
But then the requisite human intellection sublimation from our positivism–procripticism
historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition (as from
prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence deprocripticism perspective) is reflected
in the fact that the true prospect of the deprocripticism imaginary/ideality as prospective
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing will effectively have to be
as of a variedly sublimating-humanity that humankind could generate cross-generationally by
its dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory—dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of
human-subpotency ontological-performance—equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-
coherence/contiguity’ towards its potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so construed as of ‘ontologically-
uncompromised—referentialism deprocripticism emancipated
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-
consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-
reasoning’; as we can fathom that no singular minds in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
could metaphoricitically generate the comprehensive imaginary/ideality for the human
possibility of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, and likewise for prospective
universalisation–non-positivism-medievalism, likewise for prospective positivism—
supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistem-ic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness~equalisation as to a much more profound deprocrypticism imaginary/ideality projection (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-
restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,—institutionalising,—and-Being-
ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-
subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’). This is very much in line with the idea that every registry-worldview/dimension certainly has a conceptualisation of the notion of progress but such a conceptualisation is naively grounded on its presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness (as it engages in the complexification of meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of its very same apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument it construes/reproduces as absolute) and fails to appreciate that it is rather by putting in question its supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism that it then aligns to existence-potency,—
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory~epistemic-
conflatedness; and so because the initiation by human limited-mentation-capacity of the supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to reflect ecstatic-existence is of limited ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> such that inherently the human should be able to anticipate the need for its limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening\(<\text{amplituding}/\text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalising,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of re-apriorising/re-
axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting so-
explaining the dimensionality-of-sublimating---\(<\text{amplituding}/\text{formative}>\) supererogatory–de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transeptisticency/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation, as if the
human had absolute-mentation-capacity as falsely implied by presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness inclinations the very first humans will not
apriorise/axiomatise/reference meaningfulness-and-teleology as of recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation but will directly attain prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In this regards, the dimensionality-of-
sublimating---\(<\text{amplituding}/\text{formative}>\) supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transeptisticency/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation and the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of---
\(<\text{amplituding}/\text{formative}>\) supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transeptisticency/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation are intimately related respectively to ontological-good-
faith/authenticity (enabling the possibility of human transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity) and ontological-bad-
faith/inauthenticity (assuming a desublimation/gimmickiness as to its perceived presencing
social-stake-contention-or-confliction), and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
 teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. Prospective
deprocrypticism thus is ‘a projection beyond just about a deterministic
 supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, but a
fundamental grasp of the underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation and the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (for prospective critical/decisive skewing towards dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation); as enabling ‘organic attainment’ of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (rather than a ‘mechanical conception’ which will unbeknownst still be subject to the same dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation, rather as to a mere and further complexification of our very same positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). This is critical to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness so-implied as of the sublimation possibilities enabled by the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation over the desublimation/gimmickiness of the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint (thus speaking of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor). Basically, the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—\(<\text{amplituding}\text*/\text{formative}>\)--supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation reflected in the ‘diseminative—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity mental-orientation’ is more than just a question of ad-hocness and speaks to the recurrence in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds implied notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—\(<\text{mentally-aestheticised}\text*/\text{preconverging}\text*/\text{dementing}>\text*/\text{qualia-schema}\) (as rather failing to attain prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity—\(<\text{mentally-aestheticised}\text*/\text{postconverging}\text*/\text{dialectical}\text*/\text{thinking}\text*/\text{qualia-schema}>)\), in reflecting prospective ontological-contiguity: as to imply that ‘the lack-of—\(<\text{amplituding}\text*/\text{formative}>\)--epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’ = ‘the lack-of—\(<\text{amplituding}\text*/\text{formative}>\)--epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation’ = ‘the lack-of—\(<\text{amplituding}\text*/\text{formative}>\)--epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism’ = ‘the lack-of—\(<\text{amplituding}\text*/\text{formative}>\)--epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of positivism–procrypticism’; so-construed as ‘the lack-of—\(<\text{amplituding}\text*/\text{formative}>\)--epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
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institutionalisation-process can be reflected in the recurrent variance of ‘lack-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness and dimensionality-
of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-
growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’; as implied contrastively say with the-
sophists/medieval-scholastics lack-of- dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation and Socratic philosophers/budding-positivists dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation as reflected say in an ordinary non-universalising/non-positivism–
medievalism world inclined to construe of its ‘normality’ (notional-contiguity/epistemic-
contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>) as
given even in the face of its prospectively implied ‘abnormality’ (notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema>) from the projected universalising-idealisation/rational-empiricism
implications. This reality is equally applicable to our state of positivism–procrypticism as to a
disinclination to perceive its prospectively implied ‘abnormality’ (notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema>) as projected from prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In many ways, as of reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, this paradox is
inevitable as the very state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation do not have the directly
that the latter with prospective universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, likewise the latter with prospective positivism—procrypticism, and likewise our positivism—procrypticism with prospective deprocrypticism. This emphasis is made rather to point to the

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag underlying the supposed projection of intellection on the basis of the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvalutive-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation (in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-
subpotency epistemic perspective, as it rather reflects prospective notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema>); as reflected in the fact that the supposed intellection of the non-universalising sophists, the medieval-scholastics and our present intellectual-muddlement-
(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) ends up
in gimmickiness-of-thought (poorly-constrained or unconstrained to existence-potency,—
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-
conflatedness) skewing towards an exercise of eliciting human temporality/shortness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>) with
\textless amplituding/\text{formative}\textgreater epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness \textless amplituding/\text{formative}\textgreater wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-\textless as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\textgreater ). In this regards, this author construes such gimmicky pretences of intellecction in our present day rather ‘intimating of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective’ with regards to otherwise structural/paradigmatic human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint issues (requiring the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought), which articulation and constructive addressing should actually be the very conceptualisation of intellecction. In this regards, we can appreciate that the Socratic philosophers and budding-positivists actually addressed and resolved the human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of their respective times as of sublimating intellectualism (as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought, involving a sense of intellectual-and-moral sacrifice as to the pre-
eminence of ecstatic-existence implications as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness) undermining their respective gimmickiness-of-thought (in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective) associated with sophists and medieval-scholastics then respectively defining the ‘thought/intellectual Establishment’, and that the possibility for such sublimating intellectualism as to its crude and unsavoury social discomfort implications is hardly a question of eliciting human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of moral and intellectual disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession. In the bigger scheme of things the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativene-ss/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation warrants that the prospective projection of any human meaningfulness-and-teleology as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity should be articulated in such a way as to imply that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology should assume the same disposition as to the possibility of enabling the sublimation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; such that ‘supposed reifying’ meaningfulness-and-teleology in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective effectively comes out as epistemically-decadent and in ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, as to the fact that in the face of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, if no

<amplitudating/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation rather speaks of a parasitising conception of intellection that warrants that by some miracle the possibility of human sublimation induced as of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension should arise, for that sublimation to be then parasitised with gimmickiness-of-thought as to social-stake-contention-or-confliction eliciting of human temporality/shortness


<amplitudating/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation explains a poor inclination-or-capacity to effectively interpret the projected meaningfulness-and-teleology of many a past thinker as to presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness institutional and social-vestedness/normativity
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that naively think that being at the
backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process
inherently grants epistemic-profundity (not factoring that this is not necessarily the case with
overall existence beholden frameworks which can actually suffer intellectual regression)
unlike the case with epiphenomena as in the science domains (as providing the prolongation
for human interpretation capacity with respect to epiphenomenal manifestations outside
ordinary existential sublimation manifestations). In this regards, we can appreciate that the
strong predictive constraining in many a natural science domain (as strongly constrained to
existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness) induces the manifestation of sublimating thought
as from induced requisite cogency of knowledge-reification (as of ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-
existential-unthought) unlike is the case in many a blurry domain highly subjected to
imprimatur totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought as to poor deferential-
formalisation-transference justification as often in the social not the least bothered about the
overall cogency of projected knowledge-reification (thus rather tending towards existential-
extrication-as-of-existentia-lenought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective). We
can consider in this regards how authority actually serves its true deferential-formalisation-
transference role quickly gives to prospective possibilities of sublimating knowledge-
reification wherein for instance in the physics domain-of-study at the beginning of the 20th
century the eminent physicists from say the cohorts of the Poincarés, the Einsteins, the Bohrs,
the Feynmans, etc. successively passing on the baton (as to existence-potency,-disclosed-
from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<<amplituding/formative>>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness), as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought; whereas in many a blurry domain-of-study, disparities-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> tend to be the order of the day often assuming a quasi-political strategic orientation as to gimmickiness-of-thought as of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought postures (poorly appreciating the profound knowledge-reification sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<<amplituding/formative>>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness) as to the fact that the human mortal whim/discretion-of-thought projected as aura-and-imprimatur comes to be enshrined as being bigger than ecstatic-existence structural/paradigmatic implications. In many ways (unlike is the case with the natural sciences directly constrained to ecstatic-existence predicative-effectivity–sublimation-{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} induced constraining reifying-and-empowering reflexivity undermining human-subpotency totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought), many a blurry domain-of-study tend to be inclined to conceptualise supposed knowledge-reification as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity without the defining ‘<<amplituding/formative>>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional-projective-perspective> as to the lack or poor predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) induced constraining reifying-and-empowering reflexivity leading to a social-vestedness/normativity reflex rather than ontological elucidation reflex. Such an approach is often projected contradictorily as methodologically emulating the natural sciences on the one hand but on the other hand implying that the knowledge-reification implications for the social are different as to the supposedly non-metaphysical (as non-ontological) nature of the social and cultural; failing to grasp/intuit that there can’t be any such thing as non-ontological as ‘all that there is’ is ontological, as existence is effectively all that there is and it is rather a question of the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility–⟨imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation⟩ to epistemically come to terms with the absolute a priori that is existence as the ontological as to the overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness. Furthermore, the ‘social and cultural is rather priorly constrained to the ontological’ with regards to the fact that ‘scientific and technical capabilities and their implicated socio-organisational and value-referencing construct’ as to their inherent human reifying and empowering reflexivity implications, speaking of the ontological, are not necessarily ontologically-tied-to and/or ontologically-exclusive-of any social and cultural framework or peoples (in the sense that scientific and technical phenomena like electricity, machines, modern medicine, etc., their enabling social utilities/utilisations, and the value/moral outlook of the underlying positivism/rational-empiricism conceptualisations like provision of modern public services, associated freedoms, prospective knowledge-reification and empowering implications, etc. are not strictly meant for given specific social and cultural frameworks, and are rather amenable to all human social and cultural frameworks with
regards to relative-ontological-completeness implications as to ‘enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’; as the ontological inherently permeates all social and cultural frameworks so-reflected as of their underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment thus inducing the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity when any of its given meaningfulness-and-teleology is discovered/shown not to be ontologically veridical leading to its effective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Such that all human social or cultural frameworks are construable as of relative-ontological-completeness implications as to ‘enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’; and the idea of such relative-ontological-completeness implications is not about the subjugation of the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness but quite the contrary as the state of relative-ontological-completeness (as to its true human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as—of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as—of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)) implies an ‘emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care—–and—episteme’ in relation to ‘the other’ that is in the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness. Interpreting the historical failures associated with colonising or slaving or otherwise-exploitative-or-extirminating societies (as in the specific case of
positivism/rational-empiricism technical and scientific development it inevitably implied the coming-together/encountering/meeting of societies worldwide), to then imply such a notion of relative-ontological-completeness implications is irrelevant is rather a nuancing error that fails to assess/evaluate that the more critical issue had to do with ‘the appropriate emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ as effectively and paradoxically such a lack of nuancing can then lead to the interpretation that such historical failures should equally be the unavoidable expectation prospectively in analogous circumstances of socio-cultural disparity of societies, rather than interpreted to mean the prospective need for the requisite human knowledge-reifying and empowering reflexivity of appropriate human emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme in the relationship between the state of relative-ontological-completeness and the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness. Such a wrong interpretation arises as to lack-of—\textless amplituding/formative\textgreater epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness (reflecting mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation) that fails to make a nuance between on the one hand historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition implications as to the ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social—value-construction presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism in \textless amplituding/formative\textgreater epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ explaining the historical failures and on the other hand historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing implications as to ‘existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\textless amplituding/formative\textgreater epistemic-totalising—renewing—
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness given difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as to enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation reflected <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ that speaks to the ontologically-veridical and appropriate human emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. Such a wrong interpretation actually falls back into prospectively disenfranchising and undermining the emancipation of the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness prospectively as to its human inevitability stance poorly cognisant of the implications of the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> (underlying human construction-of-the-Self). Incidentally, the ‘supposedly positivism/rational-empiricism formulaic/mechanical knowledge contenders’ as of the economic-opportunism-and-then-enculturation of their nefarious practices, were very much countervailing the practice and trend within their own societies of origin undergoing-positivism/rational-empiricism-transformation and the underlying dual-language/split-mentality unscrupulousness was given away as of the ‘out-of-sight demeanour’ in their main societies pointing to historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition distortive-originariness/distortive-origination, rather than being fully assumed as marking positivism/rational-empiricism progress implied historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing originariness. Besides such an approach (that claims to mirror the sciences while at the same time claiming to be non-ontological as to non-metaphysical) fails to grasp that natural sciences are actually in
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)-implications-of–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuring/instrumenting-as-so-reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby-directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes’ but rather the inherent ‘education of scientists as from basic notions while making reference to past scientists momentous contributions up to the state-of-the-art outcomes’ is the equivalent of ‘natural sciences own sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuring/instrumenting construct’ (as of past, present and future projections of scientific sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing), and so as overall and defining ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-
axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-as-so-
reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>, as-if-thereby-
directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes (and as the social is permeated
with historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as to
the distorting epistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity induced
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) makes the critical flaw of ignoring that
such ‘a reference of conceptualisation/conception’ manifests its very own
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing defect of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-
as-ontology>’ as to its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, that then fails to
reflect the true social sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-
tracing (as overall and defining ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—
entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-
operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional–projective-
perspective>’), especially as it turns a blind eye to its more profound human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. Thus
failing to allow existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and true
transcendental signifier (going by the sublimating-over-desublimating implications of
existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness) to epistemically enlighten the social sublimation
process (as it is existence that enables without ever giving any reasons as existence is the
effective reason and the human that epistemically adjust to it for sublimation) as to the social
historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing

transcendental-enabling/sublimation insights of prior, present and prospective

‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment—{narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-

epistemic/notional—projective-perspective>’, so-construable as of: human-subpotency

‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-

prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplitude/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-
conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over-
deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’. Actually human-subpotency

ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘precedes-and-
defines thought’ and so as prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning (as to
originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation) inducing secondnatured and
subsequent reasoning-from-results/afterthought (as to reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation), with the latter
being projected naively as absolute (in its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of
conceptualisation as of its human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) when ‘logically’ conceptualising the social
apriorisingly/axiomatisingly/referencingly—as-from-scratch/as-from-zero—wrongly-implying-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating- withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)-implications-of—re-apriorising/re- axiomatisising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting—as-so- reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby- directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes (and as the social is permeated with historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as to the distorting epistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness). Such a critical epistemic and true knowledge-reification implications flaw arises because of the failure in grasping the ‘projective implications’ of human limited-mentation-capacity (as to ‘human limited- mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)) when ‘logically’ conceptualising the social apriorisingly/axiomatisingly/referencingly—as-from-scratch/as-from-zero—wrongly-implying-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating- withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)-implications-of—re-apriorising/re- axiomatisising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting—as-so- reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby- directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes (and as the social is permeated with historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as to the distorting epistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness); as human limited-mentation-capacity- deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal, eliciting of prospective supererogation) (reflected in its re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting of conceptualisation as to the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
(<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting of prospective supererogation)—implications-of—re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting—as-so-reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,—as-if-thereby-directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes), is effectively a reflection of the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative> supererogatory—de-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-perspective>’ that precedes-and-defines the pertinence of ‘methods/methodologies/approaches as to reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’. This inevitably means that a naïve and traditional conception of methods/methodologies/approaches as ‘mere deterministic alibis of profoundness of studies’ is uncalled for as to the fact that ‘this doesn’t inherently commits existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness (when failing to truly reflect the requisite ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,—profound-and-creative supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’), such that it is the precedence of the ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity drivenness of contemplation/analysis’ of the researcher/investigator that is vital as to cultivating ‘an internalised reappropriating of the existential-contextualising-contiguity implications of methods/methodologies/approaches as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’. The requisite ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,—profound-and-creative supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’ reflect the ontological-veracity that ‘the human knowledge-reification project’ is rather a ‘commitment to origination/reorigination underlying originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as—to-existence—as-sublimating—
withdrawal, eliciting of prospective supererogation)’ so-implied by its subjection to existence—potency, disclosed from prospective epistemic digression as of

<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in supererogatory epistemic conflatedness inducing of historiality/ontological—eventfulness/ontological—aesthetic—tracing as reflecting the dimensionality—of—sublimating—

<amplituding/formative> supererogatory de-mentativeness/epistemic—growth—or—conflatedness/transvaluative—rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic—residuality/spirit—drivenness—equalisation (as the postconverging—or—dialectical—thinking—apriorising—psychologism contiguity in reflecting the ontological—contiguity—of—the—human—institutionalisation—process); and so well beyond mere methods/methodologies/approaches to ‘the historicity—tracing—presencing—imbued—hyperrealisation/hyperreal—transposition of the merely affixed methods/methodologies/approaches of successive registry—worldviews/dimensions in distorted—originariness/distorted—origination’ as reflecting the dimensionality—of—desublimating—lack—of—

<amplituding/formative> supererogatory de—mentativeness/epistemic—growth—or—conflatedness/transvaluative—rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic—residuality/spirit—drivenness—equalisation, explaining why the successive institutionalisations occur ‘by subverting their prior registry—worldview/dimension perceived methods/methodologies/approaches for prospective knowledge—reification’. The fact is ‘what is effectively lost—abandoned in practices of science—ideology supposedly based on scientific methods/methodologies/approaches’ is the fundamental reality that such methods/methodologies/approaches came—about/were—introduced/were—invented in a tight—and—entwined relationship of prior

‘<amplituding/formative> epistemic—totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential—contextualising—contiguity foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing—down—sublimation as to existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal, eliciting of prospective supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),--as-operative-notional~deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity--<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional~projective-perspective>’ as to predicative-effectivity~sublimation--(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and genuine-and-profound knowledge-reification; with science-ideology rather becoming an enterprise that rides-the-wave/exploits-without-corresponding-sublimation-as-to-existence-potency-implications of achieved science prestige so effectively constrained, to then imply the ‘blinded epistemic-veracity of mere supposedly scientific methods/methodologies/approaches with little-or-poor heeding to the implications of the ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment--(narrowing-down--sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),--as-operative-notional~deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity--<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional~projective-perspective>’ (manifested as of corresponding-gimmickiness/desublimation-inducing,-shallow-and-uncreative supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness--of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument--for-conceptualisation that fails to reflect the ‘relevant-level human-subpotency--aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’ to be surpassed/superseded/overcome for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as it gives too much a place to totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought and disparateness-of-conceptualisation--<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect--‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> and as it fails to represent ontological-contiguity implications of conceptualisation); and so with ‘the muddling/pedantising of
methods/methodologies/approaches as to prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> in a poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity relation to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplitudin/fformative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness as to the requisite prospectively-profound-and-
recreative insight implications about prospective appropriateness of
methods/methodologies/approaches with regards to profound knowledge-reification beyond
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <amplitudin/fformative>epistemic-
totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’. The latter is
so-criticised as to the fact that methods/methodologies/approaches, as reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, are actually
the mechanical-knowledge outcrop of the ‘successive reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
prospective idiosyncratic-framing of existential-reality as to the organic-knowledge of the
Socrates, Platos, Aristotles, Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Leibnizes, Darwins,
Rousseaus, etc. as to their induced prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>’ (which never existed before as
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation), with regards to enabling ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-
conceptualisation’ (as to implied ‘conceptualising implications about existential-reality’ in
reflecting the ‘relevant-level human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’ to be surpassed/superseded/overcome for
prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity);
speaking to the fact that ontological-good-faith/authenticity about existential-reality precedes-and-define the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity beyond just mere muddling/pedantising of methods/methodologies/approaches as to prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> in a poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity relation to existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness as to the requisite prospectively-profound-and-
recreative insight implications about prospective appropriateness of methods/methodologies/approaches with regards to existential-contextualisation-contiguity.
Critically ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–
conceptualisation’ (which is actually constrained to ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—
entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-
operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional–projective-
perspective>’), precedes-and-defines the pertinence of ‘methods/methodologies/approaches as to reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’; and so as to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-
deepening-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with regards to existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness. More than just about abstract knowledge-reification the implications of science-ideology are ultimately social and institutional as to the implications of human emancipation; and so in the sense that contrary to what is generally thought, science itself as for-human-studies is the very first-level of social science as of the epistemic implications it projects upon society and social meaningfulness-and-teleology, and critically so because in reality budding-positivists were actually the very first modern social scientists in the sense that their posturing wasn’t critically about the ‘technicalities of the budding natural science they advanced’ like a heliocentric world or rational-empiricism driven natural science basis of analysis (as to satisfy their mere natural science curiosity given that in many ways some of the notions where previously advanced in different forms), but they were rather critically engaged in a social posturing to epistemically reconstrue the society and social meaningfulness-and-teleology in those scientific terms and the future elaboration and development of the natural sciences could only be rendered possible with an open society responsive to such budding scientific meaning, and it was this social posturing which was the true source of their troubles and persecution. In fact, such ridiculous historical interpretations seeming to criticise budding positivists like Galileo for wrongly making the case for a heliocentric world for instance are paradoxically based on condemning the latter and other budding positivists for having a poor experimental framework as of ontologically-deficient presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness analyses that fail to factor in that the very notion of ‘positivistic science experimental framework historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ was developed and enculturated/constructed as scientific practices by these budding positivists with their medieval societies previously knowing nothing of such as to their scholastic pedantry (as to the mere disinclination and

Thus it is such an ideological conception of science and knowledge-reification on the latter basis (as of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>) that ultimately translates into the ‘methodological, epistemic, institutional and social sagging of human knowledge-reification’ reflected abstractly in crises of methodology, epistemicity and scholarship as well as derived human institutional and social crises as to underlying meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure; and critically so with regards to our own positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought relevant-level of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor that has to be addressed. In another respect, given the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension involved in true human consciousness sublimation, the
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation warrants
that the conception of veridical human knowledge and emancipation is not beholden on the
mere eliciting of a basic positive-opportunism, as ‘the very abstract value-reference
commitment for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension’ that brings about sublimation needs to be construed as
to imply ‘it is the underlying organic framing of the induced sublimation’, and so in order to
avoid ‘sublimation value-reference usurpation’ wherein the temporal induced positive-
opportunism elicits parallel competing meaningfulness-and-teleology (in existential-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective of the
dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation) and
come to foreclose/undermine the instigative intemporal/longness dispensing-with-
immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension
inducing sublimation as of the secondnaturing institutionalisation exercise. In many ways the
underpinning—suprasocial-construct itself as to ‘a rather acerbic and direct positive-
opportunism inclination’, while of abstractive apprehension of sublimation possibilities, tend
to poorly appreciate the underlying and implied dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation and is functionally-speaking rather positive-opportunism beholden as
to historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition
prospective-apriorising-implications>). Ultimately, the deprocripticism registry-worldview/dimension construed as the nascent prospect for overcoming the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-confoundedness/transvalutative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
effectively projects the possibility of boundless human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology well beyond our present contemplation of what is implied by meaningfulness-and-teleology, as in many ways the reality of our past and present aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology has ‘paradoxically hugely been burdened with desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition induced preemptive anticipation/anxiety about the human’ rather than the summoning of the full possibilities of the human; as by a soothing mental-reflex just as with all registry-worldviews/dimensions we tend to take comfort in our ‘beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhibited-mental-aestheticising as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ rather than contemplate about prospective possibilities of ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing~disinhibited-mental-aestheticising as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’. Interestingly, in this regards in many ways the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process possibility is hardly just about human ‘mere technical capacity potential’ but it is rather more critically a psychological issue as of desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition psychological entrapment implications that limit/stifle the human imaginary/ideality as to its dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflictedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepiemetric/anamnestic-residuality/agent-drivenness—equalisation capacity to project in disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ (as to the underlying human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance<including-virtue-as-ontology> equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity). It is important to grasp here that such a construal of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought highlighting the prospective implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> (as to underlying human construction-of-the-Self) is not ‘a metaphysical/ideological advocacy’, no more than say the universalising-idealisation philosophers nor the budding-positivists were involved in any ‘metaphysical/ideological advocacy’, but rather just as modern day science such a conception speaks to ‘the inherent ontological implications as to human knowledge-reification and corresponding empowering reflexivity as to human-subpotency implied human potential’ (as implied in the differentiation between postmodern ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction/genealogy that exposes itself and is phronetically/practically encrusted/embedded/inlaid with inherent existence as to its underlying ontological claim sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidatio, and say a Hegelian dialectics and its derived-dialectics like Marxism wherein aspiration/ideology takes-a-leap-above/parts-with
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflicatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation. That said all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their defining human contemplative moment arising from their very human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (while effectively contemplative of prospective progress), hardly/poorly project of prospective emancipation directly on the ontologically-veridical basis of the defining ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’ (associated with its defining prospective transvaluative-rationalising / sublimating-thoughtfulness / historiality-or-ontological-eventfulness-or-ontological-aesthetic-tracing / prospective-ontological-projection / ideality as to prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation) but rather directly proceed as of the ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ (reflecting its threshold of lack-of<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflicatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness / desublimating-or-gimmickiness-unthoughtfulness / historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition / social-vestedness-or-normativity / positive-opportunism-disposition), but then the latter is improvisably/uncontrollably potentiatively-transformed into the former as to the former
existentially constraining implications of ontological-veracity. Thus the reality of prospective human emancipation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process rather as of such a ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ (as to the potentiative transforming/conversion, on the basis of existentially constraining implications of ontological-veracity, of human ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ into human ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’) in many ways limits/stifles/undermines/derails human contemplative capacity for prospective emancipative implications (as can be so-contemplated from prospective notional–deprocrypticism conceptualisation of ‘boundless human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’); and so critically as to the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag social-stake-contention-or-confliction state inducing human psychological entrapment in want for prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. But then such apparently defining limitation to ‘boundless human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ when analysed as to the reality of human transformation across the time scale in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (wherein the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation right up to our present positivism and so as from the appearance of mankind on earth about 200000 years ago) show ‘a time-accelerated
metaphoricity potentiation’ when we consider that our present positivism registry-worldview is just about 500 years; pointing out that as of our specific human-subpotency as to overall overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-pandin intelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> (underlying human construction-of-the-Self) the human prospective capacity to serenely come to terms with ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’ as so induced by the latter’s existentially constraining implications of ontological-veracity, is not necessarily forever bound to be as of the ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ that undermines the possibility for such prospective deprocrypticism conceptualisation of ‘boundless human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ (as to the potential for a full human psychological uninhibitedness/decomplexification in superseding the ‘underlying human formative decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation’). But then such overcoming of ‘human consciousness tenuous self-surpassing shift in its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing appraisal’ still has to be effectively achieved as to the requisite human prospective development of protensive–self-consciousness in the face of the ever present manifestations of desublimating/gimmicky sophistry and eliciting of human temporality/shortness <amplituding-formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and so over the requisite maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness. The very forward-facedness of human consciousness as it defines human social-stake-contention-or-confliction is in many ways architectonically determinative and defining (as it projects postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema over preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema), with regards to the structural/paradigmatic circular recurrence of ‘potentiative-paradox of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ (as the underlying potentiative-paradox of human paradoxes); as to the ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ (as of the potentiative transforming/conversion, on the basis of existentially constraining implications of ontological-veracity, of human ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ into human ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’), and so with regards to the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process induced construction-of-the-Self. Effectively the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process possibility of successive transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is a reflection of the ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ as to its ‘transitorily implied successive notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity~mentally-aestheticised~postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as from successive human consciousness forward-facedness postures in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’, but which from the
successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-
and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective
human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’). Human prospective consciousness protensivity as
of prospective deprocrypticism protensive–self-consciousness (with regards to the
fundamental ‘human self-consciousness structural/paradigmatic seeding-disposition as to
epistemic/notional shiftiness-of-the-Self/construction-of-the-Self’ instigating of
notional–procrypticism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as-of-the-subsequent-
reflection/translation-of-human-consciousness-seeding-disposition-into–‘induced-human-
social-construction-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’) is thus critically about human
‘notional–deprocrypticism/deprocrypticism requisitely cultivated originariness in
deneuterising exteriorisation-and-re-exteriorisations as prospective originariness-and-
reoriginariness’ as to enable human attending-to/dealing-with its ‘prospectively
conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’ (associated with
its defining prospective transvaluation / sublimating-thoughtfulness / historiality-or-
ontological-eventfulness-or-ontological-aesthetic-tracing / prospective-ontological-projection
/ ideality as to prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation) and so
over ‘notional–procrypticism distortive-originariness in neuterising interiorisation-and-re-
interiorisations as prior distortive-originariness-and-redistortive-reoriginariness’ in merely
drifting to its ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face
up to’ (reflecting its threshold of lack-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-
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strand-or-attribute-dialectics)

supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing for mental-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-
television’ as to postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-
attrition and preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation–attrition and then their mutually-reinfusing-attribute-possibilities.-for-
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~pseudoconfation/conflation-of-human-
limited-mentation-capacity’-as-to-correspondingly-ensuing—desublimating-or-sublimating-
mental-aestheticisation-representation (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-
successively-profoud-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-
and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-television of prospective
human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbied-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’). This speaks to human limited-mentation-capacity-
deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) enabled by the ‘conceptivity/epistemic-
reflexivity as of both reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation’ (conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity, in the sense that the one notion is
already caught up in the other notion in the sublimating/desublimating
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of
aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as to relative-ontological-
incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications just as for instance the notion
of length is already caught up in the notion of width in the ‘sublimating
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of a
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflicatedness. Originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation effectively reflects ‘human projective-capacity for reoriginariness/reorigination in
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating conflation’ while reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation reflects ‘human derivational-disposition’; and so as to originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation driven re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting for the requisite ‘human corresponding-
sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–
subpotency—beholding-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhibited-mental-aestheticising epistemic/notional—projective-perspective as of neuterising interiorisation-and-re-interiorisations as prior distortive-originariness-and-redistortive-reoriginariness’ (as to the structural/paradigmatic implications of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction). This effectively comes down to human inclination for dealing directly with ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’ rather than just with ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’, and fundamentally so out of spontaneous ontological-good-faith/authenticity induced prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> organic-knowledge rather than just mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> mechanical-knowledge in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith; and critically so as of the enabling dynamics for human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity as reflected by the fact that germinative/seeding projections as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning however their re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation—(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’—of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) nature are effectively what explain the possibility for the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process on the basis of eliciting the social-construct supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. Critically, the ‘formative underlying human decoherencing-structure—of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness) and ‘the desublimation/gimmickiness of mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence–<as-superseded-logical-basis> mechanical-knowledge in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith overlooking existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness. This ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ is critically cognisant of the reality of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning–<as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-
desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-
referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance–<including-
virtue-as-ontology>> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and
redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>> at uninstitutionalised-
thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’, in order to articulate and construct
prospective knowledge taking account of the structural/paradigmatic implications of ‘human
temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordion-<as-of-varying-individuations-
contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-
redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>> at uninstitutionalised-
thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ for prospective knowledge-
reification; and so as to ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative
supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–
conceptualisation’ (that create/invent methods/methodologies/approaches as to prospective-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>
organic-knowledge in ontological-good-faith/authenticity so-constrained by existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness). The structural/paradigmatic implications of
‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordion-<as-of-varying-individuations-
contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-
redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ for prospective knowledge-reification, for instance means that with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction the Socrates/Platos/Aristotles (nor the succession of other prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> thinkers in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) ‘are not engaged in an exercise of convincing the whole of humankind-as-to-human-mortal-subpotency but rather aligning to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness as to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublation/supererogatory~de-mentativity implications’; and what is critical at the intemporal firstnatureness reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning level is the inducing of ‘the requisite intemporal accordioning-<as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublation,-as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>> dynamics of such reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for prospective deferential-formalisation-transference as to the social-construct underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment such that such prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublation/supererogatory~de-mentativity prospectively put in question sophistic-pretences-of-playing-an-intellectual-and-moral-function as to when the social-construct is ultimately concerned with the prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublation/supererogatory~de-mentativity intellectual-function to which such sophistic/pedantic pretences paradoxically rather adopt a
tempering/discouraging penchant in a social disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession inclination’ (and further as to the sophistic/pedantic pretence that no human idealisation is warranted failing to factor in that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology is already idealisation that has already selected-and-deselected what is idealiseable and unidealiseable as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, such that from the ontological perspective the issue is not about no idealisation but rather the ontologically appropriate idealisation and appropriate human contemplation and execution as ‘postures of no idealisation’ carry with them poor contemplations and executions already ‘ignoring-and-devaluing’ human existential-contextualising-contiguity epistemic-situations of relative-ontological-incompleteness associated with vices-and-impediments). Thus the point in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process has never been a direct convincing process (as to the shallowness of contemplation projected by sophistic/pedantic thought in eliciting human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)), but is rather reflected in an exercise conveying ‘profound human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity enabling conceptualisations’ at the ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’ (with regards to human living-development—as-to-personality-development or institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development or Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-
to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in
reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive
registry-worldviews/dimensions). Such a profound conceptualisation as herein contemplated
is ‘not at all concerned with satisfying the shallower perspectives elicited from sophistry as to
our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness human
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag social-stake-contention-or-confliction
state’, but rather targets the bigger picture to which sophistry poorly contemplate of; as to the
fact that such sophistry ‘fails to even display a prior-and-basic curiosity-and-enlightening-
attitude about inherent/authentic knowledge itself’ before even moving to the next stage of
contemplating the validity/invalidity of knowledge argumentations. The fact that prospective
human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint means prospective meaningfulness-and-
teleology is ever always caught up in ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions
accordioning<as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-
desublimation/sublimation, as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-
referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance-<including-
virtue-as-ontology>> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating
historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and
sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’,
speaks rather of the opportunity for the social-construct intellectual-function to induce human
elevation as of prospective secondnatured institutionalisation (as herein implied as to
prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with
regards to its underlying intellectual exposition to falsifiability and validity/invalidity
sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-

(passed for intellection out of poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity). In this regards, as to the ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ associated with the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, just as the possibility for prospective base-institutionalisation could not arise without the ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, and so successively up to our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension; the sophistic/pedantic pretence as impliciting that our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension is the ‘absolutely unassailable epistemic framework even beyond ontological analysis’ is its fundamental contrivance for eliciting human temporality/shortness wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) in an exercise forestalling the meaningfulness-and-teleology implications for contemplating prospective ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirt-drivenness—equalisation’ as projected with postmodern-thought and herein implied as from the notional~deprocrypticism/deprocrypticism epistemic projective-perspective. Such sophistic/pedantic implicitation of no ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirt-drivenness—equalisation’ is often articulated sophistically in terms of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrsiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}, and more brazenly in terms of intellectual misanalyses/misrepresentations, pretences-of-misunderstanding and muddlement of prospectively emancipating conceptualisations as so-directed towards postmodern-thought. The fact is the possibility for prospective human knowledge in all domains can only and have only been able to arise on the basis of the ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirt-drivenness—equalisation’ involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as to the ‘conflating reoriginariness/reorigination of re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-
Intelligibility setting up/re-measuring/instrumenting underlying human conceptualisation and then the devolving existential-instantiation implications as to posteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living-, institutionalising-, and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-sub potency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemp oral-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’); as to the fact that even secondnatur ed meaningfulness-and-teleology involves the exertion of the requisite prospective curiosity, contemplation and elevation ‘beyond a historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition gimmickiness/desublimation relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Critically, an ‘underlying dumbing-down public intellection and media industry’ thrive on cultivating ‘a historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition gimmickiness/desublimation relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and is in many ways at the root source of the modern day democratic crisis of political and socio-economic disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession, as it disenables/paralyses the possibility for sublimating debates thus in many ways rendering the public decisionmaking process ‘a defaulting process as to the social-vestedness/normativity of social-stake-contention-or-conf licion’. Such undermining of the possibility of ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ is effectively critical with regards to historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition, as to the fact that by mitigating the possibility to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness off-the-beaten-path of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbuéd-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition for prospective possibilities of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, the human mind is psychologically entrapped in mental-reflexes of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as to the elicited <amplituding/formative> wooden-language (imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). At the root of this undermining of prospective ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative> supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ is the social dilution/enfeeblement of value-construction/value-aspiration as to their ‘ad-hoc and incoherent <amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating implications supposedly non-ontological as to non-metaphysical’ (with regards to conceptualising the social-construct prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity value-construction/value-aspiration), as associated particularly with ‘the specious usurpation of the overall social-construct’s intellectual-function as to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity value-construction/value-aspiration’, with the paradox of such usurpation especially as of its drivenness in ‘intellectually mediating institutions as to popular-sovereignty’ including the media effectively projecting arbitrary social-vestedness/normativity constructs and frameworks of value-construction/value-aspiration while failing to intellectually editorialise/articulate/reflect the ontological equanimity/balance
of conceptualisations as to the momentous implications of prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (thus implicitly upholding the notion that the social is non-ontological as non-metaphysical); especially given that the equanimity/balance for upholding democratic sovereignty is in effect achievable only as of 'structural/paradigmatic  <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating operant considerations for equanimity/balance with regards to the social, political and media landscapes decision-making/editorialising processes’, as the often sparing instantiating existential frames of day-to-day social, political and media landscapes decision-making/editorialising processes are poorly amenable naturally to such ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating operant considerations for equanimity/balance’ and end up assuming social-vestedness/normativity defaulting postures with occasional clamours for equanimity/balance of the decision-making/editorialising processes quite often the niggling exceptions to entrenched and existentially-unthought reflex. Such that beyond ‘gimmickiness/desublimation frameworks of aestheticisation' in many ways the social-construct’s intellectual-function itself (as of aestheticisation-towards-ontology with respect to prospective human emancipation) becomes capitalistically-captured-at-the-exclusion/denaturing-of-reifying-and-empowering-intellectual-reflection as to the precedence of media-business-relevant-aestheticisation, underhanded-media-capitalist-direct-ownership-and-indirect-sponsorship-distortive-influence, blatant-intellectual-misanalyses-and-sophistry, public-influence-and-lobbying-overtaking-inherent-intellectual-veracity, politicised-institutional-stakes-overtaking-inherently-objective-social-knowledge-production-in-higher-academia, a-consciously-aware-intellectual-functional-impotence-that-cynically-construes-of-the-possibility-for-prospective-sublimating-social-knowledge-as-the-opportunity-for-its-muddling-and-archiving, etc. These all contribute in making-more-and-more-of-an-empty-shell the supposed intellectual
unthought as to the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation very much reflects the fact that all presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness are effectively manifestations of underlying ontological-bad-
faith/inauthenticity with regards to their prospective Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology; as all such presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness fail to account for their ‘prior and prospective becoming’ which 
onologically-veridical rationalisation effectively lies with the nonextricatory-existential-
preempting-of-existential-unthought human emancipatory disposition associated with the 
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation.
Similarly with respect to the ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation’ dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-
by-reification/contemplative-distension, in many ways just as prior human scientific and 
technological sublimation momentously induced historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing inevitably required its accompanying social 
sublimation (as the manifestations of failing social sublimation were in many ways the reason 
for conflictual and exploitative encounters associated with budding-positivism), and so as of 
the contiguity of both human techno-scientific and social sublimations giving their mutually 
for-human-studies sublimating nature; it is inevitably the case that a naïve construal of
prospective science and technological development that seem to imply the requisite prospective sublimation of the overall human as to its prospective construction-of-the-Self is not critical, will inevitably lead to conundrums of prospective science and technology development as to the very possibility for developing the full human potential of science and technology as well as with respect to the underdevelopment of the human as to its shiftiness-of-the-Self in the capacity to handle and deal with prospective science and technology in such a manner that doesn’t imperil mankind’s very own survival (departing as from the larger conception of survival, beyond ‘reactionary construal’ of them-and-us in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <amplitudine-formative> epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akraistic-drag that end up ‘destructively dehumanising’ the various ‘the other’). Thus the very notion of human value-construction is entwined with ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning-as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ and the idea of prospective human emancipatory transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentatitivity possibilities critically lies in appreciating the enabling ‘prospective predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) constraining that prospectively transforms human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> capacity’ as of the ‘elucidative foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’. The bigger point here (as of the
‘elucidative foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-
ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocripticism in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’) lies with the fact that the ‘social-
construct <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating given
institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnatured reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ (that is, as to
any specific registry-worldview/dimension given thrownness-disposition) effectively
precedes-and-defines-as-ontologically-flawed any notion of a ‘supposed human-subpotency
abstract self-determinative ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> capacity
as to the full-potency of existence’ (as wrongly upheld by presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness postures that fail to appreciate the succession of projective stances of
‘human reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
conceptualisation’ as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation right up to prospective
deprocripticism) but for the ontological-veracity of ‘prospective predicative-effectivity—
sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) constraining that prospectively
transforms human ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> capacity’ as to
induced prospective sublimation; and so as ‘reflecting the ontological-performance—
<including-virtue-as-ontology> of the reference-of-thought-devolving in formativeness—<as-
to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>
of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historicality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-
ontological-commitment) constraining that prospectively transforms human ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> capacity'; thus reflecting the tight-and-entwined
relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-
worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-
effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as the critical enablers
for the possibility of prospective transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such an
insight divulges the underlying structural/paradigmatic possibility that arise for
sophistic/pedantic dispositions across all registry-worldviews/dimensions as to the prior
'social-construct <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnatured
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’ <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of human meaningfulness-and-teleology
when not subjected to ‘prospective predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-
ontological-commitment) constraining that prospectively transforms human ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> capacity’. Critically, deprocrypticism or
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as converging to the ‘supposed
human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-
ontology> capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ effectively implies the converging of
prior ‘social-construct <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ towards deprocrypticism’s ‘prospective predicative-
effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) constraining that
prospectively transforms human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>
their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) are respectively intellectually-and-morally incompetent with regards to articulating prospective sublimating value-construction as of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively. This insight points to the fundamental deficiency of all frameworks supposedly involved in articulating human prospective transcendence-and-sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology whereas there are as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>;
as to the fact that with regards to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplitudining/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-confiliatedness, the ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ (as reflected by its given reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation) is prospectively underdetermined for articulating prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus the ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ can only be construed in terms of notional~deprocrypticism imbued dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplitudining/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-confiliatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation (so-construed as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projective-perspective) ‘as it resolves human underdetermination for articulating prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness. In other
words, ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accounding-<as-of-varying-
individualuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-
redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>> at uninstitutionalised-
thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historicality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ inherently mean that all human
frameworks of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-
superseded-logical-basis> are structurally/paradigmatically intellectually-and-morally
incompetent with regards to articulating prospective sublimating value-construction, as to the
fact that the possibility for human prospective sublimation is a ‘messianic-structure of
intemporality’ as to solipsistic ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality: as reflected by prospective ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profoun-
and-creative supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–
conceptualisation’ (that create/invent methods/methodologies/approaches as to prospective-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>
organic-knowledge in ontological-good-faith/authenticity so-constrained by existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness) so-construed as originariness-parrhesia,—as–
spontaneity-of-aestheticisation (which is actually constrained to

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness. The implication here is that with regards to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to the possibility of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity, the underlying ‘notional—deprocrypticism or <amplituding/formative>notional—preempting—disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought imbued dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation is what accounts for human sublimation as of the succession of prospective institutionalisations’ (associated with its coherencing restructuring/reparadigmimg of the ‘successive registry-worldviews’/dimensions’ reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of their overall decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation’, speaking of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-
reference-of-thought  human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint for prospective sublimation’ or ‘messianic-
structure of intemporality’). The possibility for prospective human sublimation as to the very
essence of human knowledge-reification exercise as underlined by ‘messianic-structure of
intemporality’ is: human-subpotency ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to
existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-
faith/authenticity–over–deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’. Prospective
human sublimation is ever always an exercise involving the primacy of
notional–deprocripticism projected prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> over prior social-
vestedness/normativity notional–procripticism prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>; as to the implication that ‘the breadth
of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions is not structurally/paradigmatically a
competent intellectual-and-moral framework for instigating prospective human sublimation’
as all the possibility for prospective human sublimation arises as to the requisite dispensing-
with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-
distension exclusively associated with human prospective intemporal/longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology projection (so-construed as from the ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projective-perspective) as so-associated with the
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure eliciting of prospective ‘human corresponding-
sublimation-inducing,–profound-and-creative
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–
conceptualisation’ (that create/invent methods/methodologies/approaches as to prospective-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence–<as-superseding-logical-basis>
organic-knowledge in ontological-good-faith/authenticity so-constrained by existence-
potency,–disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of–
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness) so-construed as originariness-parrhesia,–as–
spontaneity-of-aestheticisation (which is actually constrained to ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-
contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to
eexistence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,–eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating
ontological-contiguity–<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
epistemic/notional–projective-perspective>’), and so over ‘the desublimation/gimmickiness
of mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
dialogical-equivalence–<as-superseded-logical-basis> mechanical-knowledge prospectively
in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith overlooking
existence-potency,–disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of–
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness. In order words, the possibility for prospective

human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. In this regards, we can appreciate that ‘supposed knowledge-reification framework of human-subpotency determination as to a temporal mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing as desublimating’ tend to eliciting ‘the breadth of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions not structurally/paradigmatically a competent intellectual-and-moral framework for instigating prospective human sublimation’ while ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflicatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’ tends to be rather constrained to both the ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ and its derived deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing. The possibility of such a transformation critically constrained to ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrysticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional–projective-perspective>’ underlying notional–deprocrysticism is only possible because of the tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as the critical enablers for the possibility of prospective
transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology; with foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism thus being an exercise of satisfying that tight-and-entwined relationship to then enable ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’ as of prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>.

Foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism as to its implied transformation of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> into prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness as prospectively overcoming human-subpotency underdetermination is conceptualised along the same vein with the ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ with regards to human phenomenal/manifest sublimation and desublimation in existence (as to the insight for mitigating the concomitant drawback of desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-
transposition in the pursuit for sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing at the very center of Foucault and Derrida contentions). Foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism invalidates presencing—absolutising-identititve-constitutedness conception of knowledge-reification as of ‘supposed knowledge-reification framework of human-subpotency determination as to a temporal mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing as desublimating’; that fail to realise that ‘human self-satisfactory mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising constructs’ are not beholden to existence with regards to ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. We can appreciate in this regards that the classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> that did not recognise notions like space-time, considered the ether real, did not consider that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale, etc. speaking to ‘human self-satisfactory mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising constructs’ wasn’t in any way beholden to existence as to the prospective sublimation of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> that recognised notions like space-time, considered the ether as real, considered that the laws
of physics are different at atomic-scale, etc., and so as ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. It is interesting to appreciate that given the prior enculturation of an underlying ‘scientific—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ induced by budding-positivists (associated with their persecution), the stage was set for the foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional~deprocrypticism of such a theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> as to the tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as the critical enablers for the possibility of prospective transcendentental meaningfulness-and-teleology, without eliciting (as was the case with the Galileos/Descartes, etc. in the face of the medieval-scholastics pedantic dogmatism Establishment) ‘the breadth of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions not structurally/paradigmatically a competent intellectual-and-moral framework for instigating prospective human sublimation’ as to the sophistic/pedantic possibility for inducing human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>

...with regards to prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction. Interestingly as well, we can appreciate the more or less socially enculturated disposition in our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension (with regards to the ‘profoundly sublimating natural sciences’) of human appreciation of the ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ and its derived deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturung, with regards to such sciences foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’}, as-operative-notional–deprocripticism as to the tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity–sublimation-{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} as critically enabling prospective sublation. Foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’}, as-operative-notional–deprocripticism as such induces the requisite ontological-faith-notion/authenticity and discipline both among natural scientists and any contending interlocutors as to the constraining implications of prospective sublimation thus allowing for ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. In contrast this author is critical of the notion that disparateness-of-conceptualisation<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-
ontological-contiguity'), as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism of physics implied tight- and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as critically enabling prospective sublimation. In effect, such a controversy of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity never arose (as explained by the prior enculturation of an underlying 'scientific—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying- ontological-commitment)’ induced by budding-positivists and associated with their persecution), and further because of the very high predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) associated with the physical sciences and generally ‘much of the basic/fundamental and disinterested natural sciences’. However, the case with psychological, social and ‘interest-driven scientific frameworks’ is quite often ‘hardly one of high predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ with the result that such a ‘purist ontological and scientific framing of supposedly knowledge-reification issues as to prospective sublimating historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ is either indirectly or directly undermined with social-vestedness/normativity ideas which ‘structurally/paradigmatically speak to an underlying disengagement with the deeper notion of veracity/truth supposedly projected as pure scientific and pure ontological analysis in the relevant domains’, as to the ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction relative privileging of human methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising epistemic gadgetry’ (surreptitiously associated with <amplinding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or- dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology) over existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness. This difference between a ‘purist
science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ and the conception of veracity/truth
as from the latitude of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-
arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ is critically
reflected in the fact that the former orientation is priorly-and-ultimately concerned with
existence’s foregrounding—entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-
ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism imbued sublimation
whereas the latter is critically concerned with ‘conceptions of human abstract interpositions
as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-
elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ that are not necessarily subject to
phenomenal/manifest existence’s foregrounding—entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation
as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in
reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism’; and
so-peculiarly implied with the ‘importing/exporting of reductionisms’ (as to the fact that there
is no physics reductionism of physics or say mathematics reductionism of mathematics or
biology reductionism of biology as to being the real and natural orientation for the specific
physics, mathematics and biology epistemic-conceptions of their respective epistemic-
conceptions phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-
the-full-potency-of-existence>) to explain human psychological and social phenomena that
‘end up implicitly denying the very obvious reality of the psychological and social
subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’.
In many ways taking such ontologically-flawed interpretations seriously induces human
impotency and desublimation (as to the implicit contention that the human ‘supposedly has no profound sublimating social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies-
<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ with the ‘supposedly profound phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ construed rather in reductionist terms of biology/neurology or physicalism) as is often also associated with social-vestedness/normativity disparateness-of-conceptualisation<-unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>; thus ‘actually denying the metaphysical nature and thus ontological nature of the sublimating social and socio-psychological’ such that existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of<-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness sublimation implications with regards to the social and socio-psychological are hardly contemplated and recognised as so-projected herein as to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. But then such reductionism actually fails the ‘necessitation test of any science/ontology’ as in reality it is a gimmicky exploitation of the sublimation of the natural sciences as to their inherent phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> to then ‘utilise the clout to falsely imply substitutive/reductionist sublimation over the social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ (as so-reflected with practices of science-ideology associated with biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social and socio-psychological). But then the giveaway of such a flawed conception of science/ontology lies in the fact that such approaches do not project any ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation
sociocultural, economic, political, legal, etc. manifestations on such biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist basis of supposed sublimation as to their ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-perspective>’. The reality of such biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social and socio-psychological is rather one that points out that the ‘traditional nature versus nurture debate itself is fundamentally an axiomatically bankrupt conception’ since ‘not even such proponents implicitly point to an underlying human drivenness and functioning of the social and socio-psychological framework on the basis of any such supposed ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame—of—ontological-contiguity of biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations’, but rather the strategies of such proponents (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) work paradoxically only by impliciting the reality of the ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame—of—ontological-contiguity of the social and socio-psychological epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-conflicatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (as to their implied sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences)’, and then surreptitiously project/select/pop-up (in totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought) opportune/ad-hoc biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social and socio-psychological
necessitation frame–of–ontological-contiguity’ (as implied as of the requisite "amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional~projective-perspective>")", is that (besides their basic epistemic innocence/naivety) such biological/neurological and evolutionary interpretations substitutive/reductionist epistemic-conception then provide the room for sophistic/pedantic dispositions that construe of the inherent sublimation in the natural sciences qua natural sciences as the surreptitious opportunity to project gimmicky/desublimating interpretations about the social (on the basis of the ‘hollow impressiveness of the natural sciences’) as a psychological trick/gimmick as to rendering knowledge-reification sublimation in the social impotent with regards to varied social-stake-contention-or-confliction purposes. Such claims often project/imply that analysing the social qua social is just about irrelevant (or paradoxically ‘make their very own subterfuge social interpretations’ as from the psychological trick/gimmick of the projected hollow impressiveness of the natural sciences so-derived from the clout of a natural science without demonstrating the epistemic-veracity for such a bypassing/dodgery as to arrive at the social ‘"amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame–of–ontological-contiguity’ sublimating implications and consequences). Besides, such claims are often so-associated with vague non-metaphysical as non-ontological conceptualisations of the social in vague disparateness–of–conceptualisation-<unforegrounding–disentailment,-failing–to–reflect–‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> as to elaboration–as–mere–extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring–of–elucidation–outside–existential–
contextualising-contiguity, and thus in many ways further undermine/distract-from the social ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame–of–ontological-contiguity’ conception of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in dealing with direct social and institutional issues, crises and failures. A ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ equally differs from the conception of veracity/truth as from the latitude of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ with the former construing of ‘knowledge as to existential knowledge-reification privileging manifest sublimating outcome in existence’ in contrast to the latter construing of ‘knowledge as to collective acquiescence as to the privileging of human commendation-or-agreeing/convincing-among-mortals (rather than a detour to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness) even over manifest sublimating outcome in existence’. Such a ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ construes of knowledge as a ‘perpetual off-balance act associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’ (as involved in the reconceptualisation of the physics state-of-the-art from Einsteinian physics, Bohrian physics, Feynmanian physics, etc., emphasising rather ‘the constancy of the intemporal individuation as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective’ and ‘not about the constancy of any notion of intemporal individual’). Such a ‘perpetual off-balance act associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’ speak to
the more profound reality that the ordinariness of human thought across the succession of human registry-worldviews/dimensions points to their ‘epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence’ despite the delusion of all registry-worldviews/dimensions in their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as being of ‘absolute epistemic-normalcy’; and it is because of this latter fact (as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective) that prospective human progress and emancipation as of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity can occur in the very first place (in contradiction to all such registry-worldviews/dimensions presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness failure to directly grasp their very own <amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, even as the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity necessarily involves such a requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure). In other words, the ‘effective equilibration of human sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions’ does not lie with any ‘ordinariness/commonsensicality as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as falsely elicited by their sophistic/pedantic dispositions, as in reality it rather lies in ‘the dynamically differentiated transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of the ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions narratives’: and so as to human-subpotency ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory–epistemic-confiliatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation—
vestedness/normativity’ effectively renders any prospective human emancipation and construction-of-the-Self pointless-and-contradictory; as to the fact that even such advocates turn out to be incoherently muted-and-muddled with regards to such an argument about ‘a false sense of a categorically/absolutely sublimated social-construct ordinariness/commensicality and social-vestedness/normativity’, revealing their true motives rather as status quo preserving with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>). The ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ is ever always about the ‘prospective upholding of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflicatedness and structurally/paradigmatically so-explains the very possibility for human progress. In contrast the conception of veracity/truth as from the latitude of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ is rather more bent upon emphasising human-subpotency methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising grounds for veracity/truth rather than eliciting prospective sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences. Such notions of veracity/truth without articulating existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflicatedness are vague disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>, and worse still when accompanied by claims of humility as to inherent institutionalised prescience are more often than not mere manifestations of intellectual entitlement; (as to imply the society is inherently beholden to the mere institutionalised imprimatur of intellection even as to when it projects intellectual
desublimation associated with intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing-as-to-entailing-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) as well as intellectually-distortive practices such as blind institutionalised priming/funnelling/staking of specific theoretical postures over genuine and profound ontological elucidation as to existential contextualisation with the associated academic careerism at the very antipode of genuine sublimating intellection) and so as reflecting the modern day intellection relevant prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. Interestingly, the ‘purist science/ontology epistem-conception of veracity/truth’ projects prospective sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences to implicitly underscore ‘interlocutory humility’ induced as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness; as to the fact that humility was rather imbued with the Einsteinian/theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs perspective over the prior institutionalised/classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs with the latter never assuming any arrogance as to its prior methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising conception of physics. Critically, with regards to the blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology in the social that exposes prospective transcendental dispositions (as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure) to sophistic/pedantic amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) eliciting of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), it is important to articulate such prospective sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology while equally reflecting upon the sophistic/pedantic to its dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-mentativity/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure as part and parcel of the prospective sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology, and not wrongly imply the desublimation is in apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity as to the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme (in this case reflecting sophistic/pedantic procrepticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought); and as so articulated elsewhere with the case of the Socratic philosophers and budding positivists it is always the case that the sophistic/pedantic dispositions will fathom that in relation to prospectively sublimating base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrpticism the effective ‘world that exists to the majority people (as of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning—<as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,—as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historiciality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’) respectively is recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-
positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought to go on cynically eliciting <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} as of the latter. Ultimately, there is a ‘social underlying sublimating intellection proficiency’ to which all specific domains of study need to account for their sublimating pertinence; and the possibility of putting into question all ‘Establishment intellection as of their given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ (from across the most ancient civilisations to modern times and so as instigated by the Socrates, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, etc.) has always arisen within-or-without such epochal Establishment intellection by the prompting of their ‘social underlying sublimating intellection proficiency’ which contemplative consciousness is not to be underestimated as to a ‘decadence posturing of intellectual entitlement’. Critically, the possibility of prospective value-construction and pretence of projecting more profound value is indissociable from the capacity of producing the relative-ontological-completeness knowledge that broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness as to the fact that just as prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively are intellectually-and-morally wanting with respect to prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought value-construction respectively; pretences of profound intellection as to the former are nothing but sophistic/pedantic exploitations of human limited-mentation-capacity as to ‘a delusion of generating knowledge and value from thin air’, and of vital importance in that regards is the fact that that which is in relative-ontological-completeness has to occupy the intellectual-and-moral ground imbued by such relative-ontological-completeness. Vague notions of arrogance
and wretchedness are nothing but the ontological-veracity of the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness arrogance and wretchedness of thought (as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective) as to an epistemically-decadent <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology); and so as to the fact that the magnanimity of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension out of concern about human prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘is the most important human and humanity-producing enterprise’ notwithstanding the paradox that the prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively are intellectually-and-morally undeveloped to be the framework for appraising value-construction as of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively in many ways explaining the underlying implications of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation as involving cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. This affirmation is not articulated idly as to the fact that part and parcel of human knowledge-reification is not to allow desublimating thought to occupy the ground of sublimating thought (as the latter has to include a challenge to the knowledge-destroying desublimating thought arrogance and wretchedness), however the subterfuges available to such desublimation whether as of sophistry and mere-institutional-appendaging as reflecting the veridical prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint; taking hint that it is fundamentally a question about existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness and no amount of human mortals methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising can supersede prospective sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences as otherwise the very idea of ontology/science then collapses and the supposed knowledge-reification exercise becomes pointless but as for institutional parading value. There is simply no knowledge without the effective demonstrated knowledge-reification implications and pretending otherwise as to ‘virtual wisdoms’ is nothing more than <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Hence basically the overall differentiation between ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ and ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ lies with their constraining whether towards inherent existence projected implications or towards human-subpotency projected implications respectively. This underlying point has structural/paradigmatic implications with regards to human meaningfulness-and-teleology as to human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. This differentiation can be rearticulated in aestheticisation terms to imply that existence (as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness) is ‘the scalar conception that enables prospective human sublimation as of aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ while on the other hand human-subpotency (as to human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
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Uncontemplative-distension is thus rather the recognition that human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension doesn’t achieve absolute ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ (as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension rather reflects the epistemic
perspective towards ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and not ‘scality/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’); with the effective ‘scality/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ as of the absolute distension (beyond just relative-ontological-completeness) underlying the overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding-formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as the inherent ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure effectively reflected as of notional—deprocrypticism. Deprocrypticism as such by its ontologically-uncompromised nature ‘technically entails’: prospective human ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> as to sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of ‘scality/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ in overcoming the desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of ‘human-subpotency non-scality/beholdening—<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Translated, this ‘scality/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ and ‘human-subpotency non-scality/beholdening—<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ underlying prospective human ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> with regards to human meaningfulness-and-teleology speaks to the fact that prospectively induced human sublimation is bound to paradoxically distort-and-desublimate the ontological-veracity appraisal for inducing further and concomitant human sublimation (and so because of the structural/paradigmatic effect of relative limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) in constrast to what will prevail in case
of 'absolute-mentation-capacity of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’). But then such effect critically varies as to both ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ and ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’; in the sense that the latter poorly constrained to high predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) is strongly prone to desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, while the former strongly constrained to high predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) is rather relatively amenable to sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. That said, human sublimation increasingly implies a ‘generalised background cultural,-organisation-and-institutional framework’ that itself needs to be sublimating, and it is here as well that even the propensity for sublimation of ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ can be desublimated by an ontologically-impertinent ‘generalised background cultural,-organisation-and-institutional framework’ adopting ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’. In many ways with regards to the overall social framework, the usurpation of the intellectual-function arising as of ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ is often associated with vague-and-surreptitious conceptualisations of business success and media-and-social influence (in desublimating historicity-tracing) as superseding social intellection itself as an inherent exercise for the social domain’s ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ (as to the latter’s prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-
exercise of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity on the basis of the prior institutionalisation secondnatured apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (thus wrongly implying that there is an underlying absolute sound basis for human knowledge-reification as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, whereas in reality such grounds are recurrently restructured/reparadigmed for relative-ontological-completeness as to re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting); hence implying that prospective sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing at any uninstitutionalised-threshold is necessarily imbued with prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ and its derived deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturining. We can appreciate in this regards that budding-positivists meaningfulness-and-teleology however relatively intelligible to us today, wouldn’t make sense to the ‘ordinariness/commonsensicality of the non-positivism/medievalism prior institutionalisation secondnatured apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ but the fact is that such budding positivism in its restructuring/reparadigming for relative-ontological-completeness rather induced the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for our present day positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Such a restructuring/reparadigming for relative-ontological-completeness induced psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure equally applies
reordering/institutional-recomposure’ with regards to (the overall originariness/origination-
<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-
construal-of-existence> of ontological-contiguity’ in overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-
conflicatedness) so-implied across all human domains-of-study epistemic-conceptions
phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies->in-transitive-conflicatedness/reflexivity,-in-the-full-
potency-of-existence> as to their explicit ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—
entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–
operative-notional~deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-><as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional~projective-
perspective>’ as to imbued deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-
of-thought sublimation over procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
desublimation, thus prospectively inducing a strongly enculturated predicative-effectivity–
sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) constraining of deprocrypticism or
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology, (and
so overriding disparateness-of-conceptualisation->unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-
reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> as to the latter’s implied
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). But then as across the successive
registry-worldviews/dimensions, the uninstitutionalised-threshold is a fertile spot for
sophistic/pedantic practices whether as with the Ancient sophists or medievalism-scholastics
or today institutional-being-and-craft intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-
prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing->amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness). What is central to all such sophistry is their
emphasis on the notion that prospective knowledge is attained as to the sensibility/decorum

as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; explaining their pedantic obsession. On the other hand, what is central with prospective genuine knowledge is ever always the emphasis on the fact that knowledge-reification is fundamentally about sublimation-over-desublimation as to the implications of the ‘tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as critically enabling prospective sublimation’ so-implied as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness. The strategic problem faced by the Ancient sophists and medievalism-scholastics in this respect (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) is how to exploit the fact that there is no ‘universalising-idealisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ and no ‘positivism/rational-empiricism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ to structurally/paradigmatically undermine respectively the possibility for both Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism implied transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity meaningfulness-and-teleology by eliciting presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum as of non-universalising Ancient sophistry and non-positivism medieval-scholasticism meaningfulness-and-teleology respectively. Likewise, it is herein contended
that a tradition of philosophy introduced and propped up after the second-world-war and a
general social science and humanities attitude and practices closely associated with this
orientation (as to perceived geostrategic reasons for undermining the possibility of unfettered
thought paradoxically uncritical/thoughtless about the social implications associated with
poor/usurped social critique) is fundamentally grounded on an actively surreptitious exercise
of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness ≃amplituding/formative≫epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that in many
ways (given the inherent impotency it induces as recognised explicitly and implicitly by even
its very own leading figures) has had the consequence of ‘undermining the natural social
critical thinking that should enable the proper intellectual framing and addressing of human
and social issues leading to a rather subservient intellectual posturing to socially dominant
vested-interests/actors’ as so-reflected in the current impotence of the political exercise with
mediating institutions failing sovereign-equanimity as political, economic and social stakes
cumulatively default to vested-interests as to their presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness existentialising—enframing. Such an underlying intellectually deficient
orientation is the surreptitious underhandedness failing social intellectual engagement in
many ways explains the surreptitious campaigning against many a critical theory as to the
possibility for a revitalised genuine and healthy social critique (and as it is especially so-
directed at muddling promising postmodern-thought which portrays a very profound
ontological-veracity as to prospective sublimation possibilities in the face of prospective
human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint); and so-enabled as to no ‘deprocrypticism—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-
pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-
commitment)’ (notwithstanding a natural scientific culture that points out that substantive
issues are analysed on the basis of their relevant and operant substantive pertinence) as to the overriding possibility of ‘projecting such a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum of institutional imprimatur’ that is rather obsessively defensive of institutional pre-eminence over inherent knowledge-reification. But then the Ancient sophists and medievalism-scholastics were the institutional imprimatur of their periods but their pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum was never in any way beholden upon sublimating existence as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-
referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance-<including-
virtue-as-ontology>> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’. The strategic reflex of assuming a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum preemptively ‘shuts-off the possibilities of relative-ontological-
completeness interpretations’ and arbitrarily defines ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ as imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; such that effectively the social is interpreted (as of surreptitious disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>) as non-ontological thus implying not it is subject to analyses as of social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies<-in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>. But then human sublimation in existence effectively speaks of the notional–symmetrisation<-as-to-symmetrisation-by-desymmetrisation-in-reflecting-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–by–preconverging-or-dementing-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology> underlying human ontological-performance<-including-virtue-as-ontology> as to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions, and such a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum strategy as to its implicated denial of such an ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of human ontological-performance<-including-virtue-as-ontology> underlined by human historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, effectively reveals its non-scientific nature notwithstanding the confusion of vague academicism proceduralism with true sublimating science/ontology. All the knowledge-reification that effectively can be is of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation having to do with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as enabling human-subpotency epistemic-projection towards the full-potency
of existence so-construed as intemporality, and not a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness human-subpotency epistemic-projection in syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag so-construed as temporality. But then the inclination to assume an ontologically-flawed sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum strategy is ever always associated across all registry-worldviews/dimensions with blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to meaningfulness-and-teleology rather unconstrained to predicative-effectivity-sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as to lack of ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity-sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’. Consider in this regards, the structural/paradigmatic possibility of such an abstract human sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum strategy exercise with regards to say Einsteinian/theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs if there was ‘no positivism/rational-empiricism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity-sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ (as produced by the efforts of budding-positivists even as during their own epoch this was contested by their Establishment) that allowed for sublimating scientific thought to be integrated or rejected by its mere predicative-effectivity-sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) (as to the ‘positivism/rational-empiricism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity-sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’), then there is nothing inherently telling that the latter physics Establishment will have just acknowledged such a theoretical construct as to its
rationalising/ transepistemicity/ anamnestic- residuality/ spirit- drivenness – equalisation’.

Sublimation in existence as such is rather as of originariness- parrhesia, – as – spontaneity-of- aestheticisation supererogatory– acuity/ perspicacity/ astuteness/ edginess/ incisiveness that doesn’t adhere to professed naivities implied with presencing– absolutising- identitive- constitutedness sensibility/ decorum supposed projections of candour that tend to arise with social lack of universal- transparency– (transparency- of- totalising- entailing,– as- to- entailing- <amplituding/ formative> epistemic- totalising– in- relative- ontological- completeness)

associated with blurriness of meaningfulness- and- teleology poorly amenable to predicative- effectivity– sublimation– (as- to- underlying- ontological- commitment); and reflect the idea that there is no knowledge without sublimating knowledge in the very first place and such pretences often thrive on exploiting ‘a false sense of a categorically/ absolutely sublimated social- construct orderlyness/ commensicality and social- vestedness/ normativity’, but then such an ontologically- flawed conception can be divulged when we contemplate of prospective transcendence- and- sublimity/ sublimation/ supererogatory– de- mentativity reflection of the relative- ontological- incompleteness of the succession of registry- worldviews/ dimensions rather pointing out that the latter are ever always involved in an exercise of presencing– absolutising- identitive- constitutedness <amplituding/ formative> epistemic- totalising– self- referencing- syncetising/ circularity/ interiorising/ akrasiatic- drag when analysed as from originariness/ origination– <so- construed– as- to- ontological- normalcy/ postconvergence- perspective- scalarising- construal- of- existence> perspective of notional– deprocrypticism. Insightfully it can be garner that blurriness of meaningfulness- and- teleology (as leading to disparateness- of- conceptualisation– <unforegrounding- disentailment,– failing- to- reflect- ‘immanent- ontological- contiguity’> due to lack of the universal- transparency– (transparency- of- totalising- entailing,– as- to- entailing- <amplituding/ formative> epistemic- totalising– in-
relative-ontological-completeness) of sublimating-over-desublimating
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional~deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional~projective-perspective>‘) is intimately linked with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds; as to the lack of ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’. In this regards, blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the respective uninstitutionalised-thresholds of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism as to their respective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism is overcome respectively (as so-construed from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective as of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional~deprocrypticism) with the induced social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) of:
- base-institutionalisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-
universalisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-
(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed as ‘universalisation-directed-
rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’ given
‘relative <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-
contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating
ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
epistemic/notional—projective-perspective> as to its prospectively induced scalarising as of
human supererogatory/messianic intemporal and secondnatured socially-optimal instigative
potency’ at its given/defined institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception
of ‘the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (and so over prior base-
institutionalisation—ununiversalisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-
(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed as ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—that-is-not-universalisation-directed
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’ given ‘relative disparateness-of-
conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’> as to prior descalarising totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought
of individuals-suboptimal instigative potency as of human temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions accordioning-<as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-
desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-
referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance-<including-
disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought of individuals-suboptimal instigative potency as of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioniŋ-as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-
- deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-
{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} construed-as ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-referece-of-thought,-as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-
conflatededness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism’ given ‘relative <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—
entailment-{narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-
operative-notional-deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-
perspective> as to its prospectively induced scalarising as of human supererogatory/messianic intemporal and secondnatured socially-optimal instigative potency’ at its given/defined institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-

profound secondnatured methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ and so as human reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology engendered sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation (with the critical insight here for instance that the Socratic philosophers meaningfulness-and-teleology as of universalising-idealisation ‘is not a relic of thought’ and it is very much ‘historically alive/living’ as to being pertinent to modern day universalising implications of thought but for when prospective contextualisation requires universalising positivising/rational-empiricism just as we can garner that Newtonian/Leibzinian physics ‘is not a relic of thought’ and it is very much ‘historically alive/living’ as to being pertinent to modern day physics but for when prospective contextualisation requires theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs, and thus reflecting comprehensively that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to its implied overall notional—deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologisms ‘enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) of relative-ontological-completeness’ rather speaks of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as—to-existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure prospectively induced meaningfulness-and-teleology as the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologisms). Further, ‘human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
supererogation’. The implication here is that there is no logical-basis as of our positivism–procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness for the so-projected prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather its prospectively induced sublimation as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (as the logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> of prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather the inner working coherence/contiguity of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construct such that our positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> is structurally/paradigmatically incompetent-and-irrelevant but for our projective-insights capacity for grasping prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology sublimation as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). This further points out that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ are rather ‘existence sublimation imbued cut-off points of logical engagement as transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ wherein for example there is no common logical-basis between non-universalising sophistry and universalising-idealisation of Socratic philosophers and likewise between budding-positivists and non-positivising medieval scholasticism and this author claims as well between present day institutional-being-and-craft intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) and
drivenness–equalisation as to its lack-of/shallow dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension) is besotted in temporality upon the logical-basis of relative-ontological-incompleteness

as so-enabled with lack of universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness)
(explaining the latter’s iterative-looping-narrations as successive shades of universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) arise speaking to a more fundamental ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure when reflecting <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality). This underlying ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure over ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure imbued sublimating-over-desublimating ontological implications as most profound construal of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality inevitably highlights the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure existential-condescension—<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ of sublimating base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism respectively over desublimating recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism respectively, and the failure to articulate this requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure existential-condescension—<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ is a failure to meet the ‘prospectively warranted organic-knowledge epistemic-veracity’ as failing to reflect supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity(astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument—for—
conceptualisation in implying that ‘the sublimating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism is the valid logical-basis’ and ‘the desublimating
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism is the invalid logical-basis’. This point
out that the successive relative-ontological-completeness as base-institutionalisation,
universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism respectively are actually
projective-insights speaking to the fact that human prospective emancipation should rather be
construed as of ‘human reference-of-thought (as grandest axiomatic-construct level) research-
programme conception’ as so-enabling the transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity of the respective prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-
positivism/medievalism and procrypticism. Such ‘human reference-of-thought (as grandest
axiomatic-construct level) research-programme conception’ reflects the fact that it is the
‘prospective structural/paradigmatic sublimation as to existence—-as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as so-induced by notional—asceticism
reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ that affirmatively validates any of the respective
relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldviews/dimensions instigated human
emancipation, and so as to the fact that the corresponding reasoning-from-results/afterthought
inducing secondnatured institutionalisation (that speaks to collective thought in any given
registry-worldview/dimension) while serving its secondnaturering institutionalisation purpose
‘is overrated with regards to the challenge of human aporeticism at prospective
uninstitutionalised-thresholds’ and shouldn’t be the threshold/limit for determining the
possibility for prospective human emancipation (since it is relatively of poor responsiveness
to prospective human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-institutionalizing-meaningfulness-and-teleology) which rather
requires instigative notional-asceticism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning (as to the fact that for instance it is naïve to conceive that it was the ‘pure articulation of positivism/rational-empiricism logic that convinced/converted the non-positivism/medieval world into our positivism world’ but rather decisive in the secondnaturung of positivism/rational-empiricism was the notional-asceticism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning instigative detour to positivism/rational-empiricism structural/paradigmatic sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (manifested as of the ships that set sail around the world for spices and trade eliciting a positive commercial opportunism that is decisively responsible for destroying the collective social myth of a flat world; the bacteria theory that will ensure that one lives or die if we believe in it or not and draw the health implications constrained the destruction of a collective superstitious medical worldview; the scientific tools and knowledge that ensured that nation A or nation B will triumph if they believe in it or not, constrained the collective need to adopt a scientific worldview, etc.). Since the relative-ontological-completeness logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> is in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with the relative-ontological-incompleteness logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>, it is only the sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation that affirmatively upholds the relative-ontological-completeness over the relative-ontological-incompleteness (as to their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment). In other words, genuinely projected knowledge as of ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure is more than just the mechanical construct but speaks of the ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism>’ as of veridical existential relationship/signature as organic-knowledge. This is more obviously grasped with respect to human living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as to the positive-opportunism implications eliciting a decomplexed placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of such ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ but less obvious and poorly grasped with regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. In this respect with regards to human living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as of our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension we can appreciate for instance that in a professional–client relationship like between a physician and a patient or a plumber and a customer, the two parties do not normally engage one another in equivocating as of the ordinary meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimation which wouldn’t achieve the sublimation of medical care meaningfulness-and-technology or plumbing technician technical meaningfulness-and-teleology (as to the fact that the client doesn’t go on pretending to engage the professional at its more profound level of technical knowledge contemplation) with the relation thus involving the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism> of the professional with a corresponding deferential apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of the client’ and so as reflecting the sublimating knowledge ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure beyond-and-above the desublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure of ordinary meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, this sublimating knowledge ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-
such a prospective sublimating knowledge ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension--<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ as to prospective living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development. In this regards, it can be appreciated with respect to budding-positivism and universalising-idealisation respectively that where the epistemic-veracity of looking through a telescope and drawing positivistic ontological implications do not avail as in the scholastic-medievalism underpinning–suprasocial-construct or where construing meaningfulness in coherent universalising terms do not avail as in the non-universalising sophistry underpinning–suprasocial-construct, then there is a fundamental reality of desublimating ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity over which prospective sublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity knowledge respectively as of budding-positivism and universalising-idealisation can only be established as of their respectively requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity existential-condescension--<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ and naïve present day presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness interpretations in terms of the supposed arrogance of the Socrates, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, etc. is nothing more but a manifestation of dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation (as to the failure to appreciate that the surpassing of human-subpotency aporeticism is all about originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation that only arises as of ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring--<postconverging-or-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) this signals their emperor has no clothes moment. In this regards, as to ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>’, the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ for organic-knowledge ‘speaks to an intellectual-and-moral responsibility associated with knowledge as of the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for its elucidation and appropriate second-natured institutionalisation that is not dissociated from the very construction-of-the-Self’, and knowledge cannot thus be construed as ‘a minor and side thing of mere influencing and stature’ that is dissociated with veridical human mental-development and emancipation in order to rather surreptitiously serve human-subpotency as mortal methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising perverted purposes (as so-often implicitly construed by many a social dominance/vested-interest actor and sycophantic-sophistry throughout human history in eliciting <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought–<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) hardly showing disinterested interest in genuine knowledge). The blunt fact is that as explained above and clearly obvious with human living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development the ordinariness of meaningfulness-and-teleology is not to be exploited as if it is a credible state of profound ontological-veracity given the lack of dispensing-with-
immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as to a disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> which pedantry and sophistry thrives on this lack of universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness)) with regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlying the social intellectual-function. Intellectualism as such is much more than just about presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising enterprise as to the fact that ‘all given registry-worldviews/dimensions as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness underpinning–suprasocial-construct relate to their given meaningfulness-and-teleology in absolute terms whereas in reality there are veridically relative subontologisation of ontology as metaphysics-of-presence’; and it is here that the social intellectual-function comes in to veridically reflect the reality that a social-construct is not of absolute scalarisation of human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> for the possibility for its prospective scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-–ontologisation/supererogatory–involting-or-guilding-or-amplifying–scalarisation-<as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>, and the social intellectual-function as such is not about a naivist ‘discrete functionalism of social-vestedness/normativity’ as otherwise the possibility for the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity right up to our present wouldn’t have availed speaking to our very own intellectual-and-moral responsibility for prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology. The social intellectual-function means that human thought can project beyond, overlook and override presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing conception of sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition; and so as to the fact that presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing actually tend to be skewed towards ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as to the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> positive-opportunism of living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development) over ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (with regards to its supererogation-profundity-structure requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and in fact in many ways individuals intersolipsistic actions in society implicitly recognise this reality even as the overall underpinning–suprasocial-construct tends to be abstractly structured/paradigmed to skew towards ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as for instance professional choices and callings made well beyond just a question of their remunerative or supposed incidental social prestige worth). Part and parcel of the social intellectual-function is to undermine this skewing towards ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as to the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> positive-opportunism of living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development) and reconstrue human-subpotency aporeticism in terms of ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-
veracity disposition’. In this regards historically, without individuals making choices not to optimally pursue ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ as to their given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ but instead optimising their effort for ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ then the possibility will not arise for the very backbone of human value and ontological-veracity sublimation (reflecting the ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’) upon which ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ is grounded. History knows that the ‘contorted human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness mentality of registry-worldviews/dimensions’ as of ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ do not truly pay their dues to the Socrates, Descartes, Kant, Newtons, Leibniz, Pasteurs, Rousseaux, Diderots, Einsteins, Teslas, etc. upon whose meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure building ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ arise and outlandishly skew human meaningfulness-and-teleology (and so not only with human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but is equally reflected in a poor-spirited bland conception of human living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development). This insight is critically important not as an idle exercise of merely stating the appropriateness of sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition but in reflecting that the skewed underpinning—suprasocial-construct projected and structured/paradigmed ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ cannot be construed as absolute as in effect it will ultimately prospectively stultifying the requisite ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and
ontological-veracity disposition’ that acts as the backbone for human value and ontological-veracity sublimation (as has always been the manifest case for surpassing the uninstitutionalised-thresholds of registry-worldviews/dimensions). The fact is ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ as underlying presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness end up as the registry-worldviews/dimensions Establishments underpinning—suprasocial-construct as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness—<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,—as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation> of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing of social-vestedness/normativity and social-stake-contention-or-confliction. It is the ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (so-reflected in human historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing) that goes beyond presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and generate the requisite structural/paradigmatic sublimation-over-desublimation as reflected with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process while superseding ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening—<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ disposition of ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ as the latter at best construes of social reformation (and so across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions) in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing subontologising palliative terms that as to their specifically defined ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ are very much integrative of collateral aspects as imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in
surmountable/unovercomable with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction and thus by dulling the social-construct’s conscience in this way rather distracts from the realisation and contemplation of the full possibilities for profound structural/paradigmatic transformation of ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’. The subtle manifestation of the social implications of ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as to the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-⟨in-existent-extrication-as-of-existent-unthought⟩ positive-opportunism of living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development) with regards to our positivism–procrpticism registry-worldview/dimension can be appreciated in present day sycophantic-sophistry and intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness), media-driven disenfranchising narrative existentialising—enframing and dominance/vested-interest diffused institutional influence in many ways and occasions rendering formal and official languages of institutions smokescreens for underhanded ⟨amplituding/formative⟩wooden-language–(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drug/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). In many ways this presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing analysis as to the positivism–procrpticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s structural/paradigmatic social institutional beholding-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination–as-to-historicity-tracing–inhibited-mental-aestheticising implications is very much relevant however the underlying socio-econo-political subontologisation/ideology-over-ontology whether technocratic, capitalistic or communist (as in fact all such systems mirror each other as to their beholding-becoming—distortive-
originariness/distortive-origination–as-to-historicity-tracing–inhibited-mental-aestheticising) towards intelligence (‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing-
<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>’ as to ‘bechancing-becoming—
originariness/origination–as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-
tracing–disinhibited-mental-aestheticising sublimation reclamation/recovery from
beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination–as-to-historicity-
tracing–inhibited-mental-aestheticising’). It is herein contended that the veridical social
intellectual-function (as to the creative dynamics of living-development–as-to-personality-
development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and so across the succession of registry-
worldviews/dimensions as to its orientation towards reclamation/recovery of unenframed-
conceptualisation is effectively what underlies the unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–
supererogation possibility of all prospective human Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology enabling the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity reflecting the fact
that their underpinning–suprasocial-constructs as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness existentialising—enframing are otherwise hardly transcendental with regards
to prospective construction-of-the-Self implications given their beholdening-becoming—
distortive-originariness/distortive-origination–as-to-historicity-tracing–inhibited-mental-
aestheticising. It is for the sake of preserving the full possibilities of prospective human value
and ontological-veracity sublimation beyond presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness existentialising—enframing that the social intellectual-function must ever
always remain independent and not be usurped by dominance/vested-interest actors and
sycophantic-sophistry. Ultimately as with all human uninstitutionalised-thresholds the prospective deprocrypticism or preemtping—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’ urges the human along beyond its limit of contemplation at which point such a taxingness-of-originariness upon human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality is more appropriately construed not as meaningfulness-and-teleology but metaphoricity as merely the setup for prospective human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity; and this reality is what avails across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions instigated transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity for their respective prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the fact that the intemporal messianic-reasoning/reasoning-through instigation respectively of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism are not actually as of meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather are as of metaphoricity with regards respectively to prior recurrent-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism, and so as to the fact that the latter (as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) are ever always urged along beyond their uninstitutionalised-thresholds given ‘taxingness-of-originariness upon human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ in the face of their ‘specifically given reference-of-thought–and–
reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology prospective human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ for the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure possibility enabling prospective transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as effectively involving the veridically
uninhibited/decomplexified dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation in cognisance-and-integration of the requisite ‘ontological-good-
faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension<of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ for the availing of the organic-
knowledge meaningfulness-and-teleology of base-institutionalisation, universalisation,
positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism respectively (as to their respective
ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure ‘inducing of their reference-of-thought–and–
reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology underlying logical-basis of
logical operation/processing/contention of narratives’ reflected as of their respectively
induced ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-
effectivity–sublimation–{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment}’
imbued foregrounding—entailment–{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism). This conception of ‘ontological-good-
faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension<of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ rather speaks to the fact that ‘human
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ is thus merely reflecting the veridicality of the possibility of prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity which is only possible as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation with regards to human formativeness<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus it is only the possibility of ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension<-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ that can thus allow human existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation beyond naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (given that human ontological-performance<-including-virtue-as-ontology> cannot be neutrally be separated from human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence and the reflexive temporal-to-intemporal ontological implications on human ontological-performance<-including-virtue-as-ontology>). This insight can be illustrated as follows: supposed say in 5000 BC an asteroid or virus could bring about a human cataclysm, such a ‘potential manifestation of existence is not beholdening to human appreciation of the existential implications of the notion and science behind the asteroid or virus’ and in this regard suppose extraterrestrials living in a ‘supposedly habitable Mars’ had achieved our present day civilisational and technological level, it is inevitable that they will effectively adopt ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension<-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ with regards to the human species on Earth and strife to preempt such a cataclysm as to their technical capacity. We can appreciate that the human species on Earth as to its relative-ontological-incompleteness doesn’t have a
pretence to being of a ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> state failing to factor in human specific
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically
given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence’ but together
with the extraterrestrials is rather structurally/paradigmatically in existential-discursivity—
implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation relation as to the primacy of the full-potency of
existence over any subpotency (speaking fundamentally to prior human ontological-
commitment) with regards to the fact that the ontological-veracity of all humans as human-
subpotency is priorily of existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation
superseding pretenses of mere methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising
presciences as to entitlements of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
articulated induced elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity. Speaking of the requisite ‘owning-up’ as to when relative-
ontological-completeness avails rather than ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity in upholding
relative-ontological-incompleteness (given that immortality/existence-perspective as to
intemporality cannot be construed as arising from our prior mortals whims superseding of
existential sublimation entailment and such presumption rather speaks to preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–
apriorising-psychologism). It is this pre-eminence of existential-discursivity—implicit-
sublimation-over-desublimation that explains why the availing of relative-ontological-
completeness as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation takes precedence in defining human intellectual-and-moral

This insight puts into perspective our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conception of intellectual-and-moral responsibility wherein supposedly failed/unsuccessful/ineffective initiatives undertaken as to relative-ontological-completeness (for instance with regards to some public engagement aspiratory dispositions of such intellectuals like Sartre, Foucault, etc. and in the scientific domain for instance controversies associated with Louis Pasteur breakthroughs in microbial science) seem to be wrongly analysed from the posture of a supposedly neutral/objective social-setup conception of intellectual-and-moral responsibility (that ducks/ignores such relative-ontological-completeness aetiologisation/ontological-escalation posturing) without factoring in that ‘the
social-setup’s relative-ontological-incompleteness specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ is not of neutrally/objectively sound ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>; as to the fact that for instance the incidence of modern day wars and their man-made catastrophies do not speak of neutral/objective individuals and social intellectual-and-moral responsibility as to their existence within the meaningful sovereign frameworks that structurally/paradigmatically directly/indirectly validate such calamities. In other words, our intellectual-and-moral responsibility is already engaged as to our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence and the idea that any attitude of unconcern/indifference is intellectually-and-morally neutral/objective is bogus; and human intellectual-and-moral responsibility starts at the very least with an orientation to relative-ontological-completeness as to overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation. Besides such a more stark elucidation as to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’, thus points to the primacy of ‘the very <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence of human discursivity as to the possibility for prospective existential sublimation’ so-reflected in originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection as to overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation. The bigger point here is that prospective human sublimation underlying prospective knowledge-reification in relative-ontological-completeness cannot be engaged with any given registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness as if the latter is of a ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance-⟨including-virtue-as-ontology⟩ state failing to factor in human specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given  ⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ with regards to the fact that human  ⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence is already engaged in existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-⟨of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism⟩’ (and so very much countering the deceptive eliciting in desublimation of  ⟨amplituding/formative⟩wooden-language-(imbuend—averaging-of-thought-⟨as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩) by dominance/vested-interest actors and sycophantic-sophistry seeming to imply human-subpotency takes precedence over existence). In this regards, and in the bigger scheme of things existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-⟨of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism⟩’ implies that as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, the respective state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism cannot be construed as of ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance-⟨including-virtue-as-ontology⟩ state failing to factor in human specific
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ with respect to prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism respectively; and as relative-ontological-completeness avails intellectual-and-moral responsibility is rather reflected as of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation. Unlike it is often assumed from a sloppy conception of human sublimation in existence (caught up in any given presencing—absolutising-identititive-constitutedness self-justification of uncertainty of prospective human sublimation), the comprehensive coherence of human sublimation in existence as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is effectively highly regular and consistent (and this can only be fully appreciated from an ontologically sound conception of ‘existence as of its immanently tautologuous coherence speaking to its ontological-contiguity’ as to the possibility for intelligibility to arise as so-reflected with the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process so-associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}). This confliction in the perception and relation to human sublimation in existence between metaphysics-of-presence as to presencing—absolutising-identititive-constitutedness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ on the one hand and on the other hand difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as to relative-ontological-completeness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, is
aptly reflected in the entangled/enmeshed nature of human sublimation in existence as reflected with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. This is so fundamentally because of human teleology speaking of ‘human phenomenal/manifest conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting <amplituding/formative> disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising) and <amplituding/formative> entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent—factuality-of-variability))’ (as reflecting the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-({<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}) underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process); such that human sublimation is hardly ‘purist’ and rather occurring as from successive human registry-worldviews/dimensions projections of their specifically flawed presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness given apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. The insight here is that human state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness structurally/paradigmatically impacts reflexively on human appraisal of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness sublimation implications, and so across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions right up to the originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which purportedly escapes any such reflexive presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of its
overall meaningfulness-and-teleology. But the overall structure/paradigm of human sublimation in existence as such is not always coherent as to the discrepancy in the occurrence of specific sublimations and desublimations say material and technical sublimation pointing to relative-ontological-completeness and ‘immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology instigating the referencing/registering/decisioning desublimation of the nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations–<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving>’. In this regards, we can appreciate how the subsequent immaterial/social sublimation required for prospective positivism/rational-empiricism came to be appreciated by such thinkers like the Rousseaux, Diderots, etc. as to the fact that the material possibilities of their epoch associated with the printing press and increasing technical knowhow rendered the immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of their epoch wanting, explaining for instance Rousseau’s appreciation of the ‘noble savage’ and nature as speaking to an aporeticism that recognised that mankind needed a more mature conception of interhuman relationship and human relation with nature as to when mankind/some-of-mankind began manifesting a more developed relationship with nature beyond just as of the immediacy of subsistence/survival relationship with nature (say for instance having technical more efficient guns with gunpowder didn’t imply just killing animals at whim); thus speaking of the prospectively requisite immaterial/social sublimation as to prospective positivism/rational-empiricism postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism. In this regards even budding positivists like Galileo, Descartes, etc. just as well implicitly recognised this discrepancy of prospective material and technical sublimation positivistic science in relative-ontological-completeness and the immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology of medieval-scholasticism associated with alchemic/magical thinking, to the point that in many ways their actions were directed towards articulating at the very least an underlying ‘scientific—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ as the requisite immaterial/social sublimation for enabling positivistic science as we know it today to arise. This very insight explains the enlightenment struggle against feudalism and slavery as to the fact that the technical and scientific progress as to relative-ontological-completeness weren’t the occasion to put such technical and scientific progress like shipbuilding and other ocean voyage technologies at the service of the prior medievally clouded immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness—presublimation-construct—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology value-construct and methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising existentialising—enframing, but rather called for a renewed conceptualisation of humanity beyond a mentality of immediate subsistence/survival. Thus it is always the case that the positive-opportununism driving the second-natured institutionalisation of human sublimation induces discrepancy as to immediate material and technical possibilities of sublimation and the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy—for-relative-ontological-completeness-by—reification/contemplative-distension immaterial/social sublimation considerations that rise to the aporetic challenge of the immediate material and technical possibilities of sublimation. In many ways this discrepancy of material and technical sublimation and immediate distortive immaterial/social desublimation is reflected in the ‘historicity—tracing—presencing—imbued—hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition gimmickiness—desublimation relation with meaningfulness—and—teleology’ of our positivism—procrypticism, for instance as associated with an ‘underlying dumbing-down public intellection and media industry’; as media-access and its commercialisation function in many ways rather adhocly substitutes—for/undermines a
profound social intellectual-function as to social-stake-contention-or-confliction implications.

The further implication of this discrepancy is in highlighting that the supposed equanimity/balance of the overall politico-institutional system as to sublimating notions of sovereignty, democracy, free-will, etc. is only veridically effective as to the originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of notional-deprocrypticism given the perpetual challenge of material sublimation upon human immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; as prospective material/technical sublimation is associated with a discrepant ‘immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology instigating the referencing/registering/decisioning desublimation of the nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving>’ that goes on as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness to render the supposed equanimity/balance of the overall politico-institutional system as to sublimating notions of sovereignty, democracy, free-will, etc. increasingly of relic/artifactual human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> reflected in their failing effective outcomes of equanimity/balance; wherein their practice increasingly tends to dominance/vested-interest actors and sycophantic-sophistry induced desublimating narratives as to the <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} displayed in the public domain (caught-up/entrapped in ‘a politico-institutional beholdening relic/artefactual disenfranchising notion of both-sides’ as psyching-subterfuge that renders the common concrete pragmatic aspirations of sovereign individuals increasingly politically
irrelevant as to the paradox for instance that the healthier political framework in the years following the second world-war, as hardly subject to closed-circles of effective direct/indirect politico-institutional influence rampant today, notwithstanding the even greater social prejudice/bigotry/closed-mindedness was able to induce critical progressive social transformations that in many ways the present day political framework as to a period of rather profound and real-world cosmopolitanism/opened-mindedness can only dream about) as the more potent possibilities for social transformation are increasingly subdued under politico-institutional defaulting frameworks-and-practices rather surreptitiously subjected to closed-circles of effective direct/indirect politico-institutional influence ‘as to a strategic capacity to elicit old and relatively aporetically irrelevant beholding narratives of identity as a divide-and-conquer strategy for undermining the real and concrete common sovereign narrative of social transformation possibilities’ as so-reflected with commonly held objective sovereign aspirations that cut across party/ideological affiliations when not subjected to the disenfranchising effects of crafty politicised beholding narratives of identity with their ‘ad-hoc/arbitrary popping-up in the media at critical electoral moments involving high emotional charge quelling cerebral thinking as of the modern day efficient disenfranchising technique of flawed apriorising deception involving arbitrarily-skewing-or-debasing-the-terms-of-supposedly-constructively-opened-public-debate’ (as to the wrong mental enculturation of the notion that the ‘political game’ in-of-itself precedes individuals and social sovereign aspirations as if the latter were just ‘paying fans to a sports encounter’ rather than a political process meant to serve them as so reflected with an enculturated media political narrative hardly/poorly making room for direct individual and social sovereign aspirations as centrally defining with the consequence that substance is increasingly overwhelmed by a political characters portrayal of the political debate with political actors then effectively turning over rather towards the levers of their potential power which is paradoxically not
necessarily/deterministically social sovereign aspirations as to a relic/artifactual conception-and-projection in the public domain but rather surreptitious/private closed-circles of effective direct/indirect politico-institutional influence as so plainly exposed by the fact that long-term consequences of public policies recurrently ‘default for dominance/vested-interest actors’.

Even in the purely intellectual sense, modern day scientific advancements and achievements have correspondingly given rise to a distorted manifestation of science-ideology as a usurpatory mouthpiece of veridical science-in-practice that effectively rides the wave of natural sciences accomplishments and in so doing projects of a naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic conception of science that in many cases poorly reflects upon effective scientific practices and craft as it poorly appreciates the dynamics of the overall human knowledge and scientific enterprise as to the aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology underlying the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, so-reflected from such science-ideology poor appreciation of the implications of the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing rendering the scientific adventure as of a living existential-contextualising-contiguity exercise. Such that by this token science-ideology conception of science the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding-formative}>\text{epistemic-totalisingly},-\text{as-to-existence}—\text{as-sublimating}\)-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications in fully appreciating human underlying aestheticisation scheming in conceptualising existence—\text{as-sublimating}\)-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation behind the ultimate development of human knowledge and science is lost to a flatminded interpretation of human progress based on the mere elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity conception of
methods/methodologies/approaches as to mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation with a poor appreciation for the prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation behind the invention and validation of any such methods/methodologies/approaches. Further science-ideology as to its dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<<amplituding/formative>>supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation equally fails to appreciate how prior human aestheticisation scheming including human superstitions, belief systems and religions were a necessary pathway to the present even as modern science demonstrates their limits (given that we are an animal of limited-mentation-capacity reflected as to our human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality to which the notion of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is vital for perpetually enhancing that limited-mentation-capacity as of our aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology); as such mystical/spiritual narratives were veridically ‘trialing aestheticisation frameworks of human apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as of the affirmatory sublimating possibilities inducible as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ that ultimately enabled and propelled human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<<amplituding/formative>>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (so-associated with such affirmatory
sublimating possibilities strong selective cultural diffusion as to the sublimating strengthening and anchoring upon the social-setup that such mystical/spiritual narratives enabled), and so-construable as from the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure that led to our present day non-superstitious clairvoyance/clearsightedness with the important projective-insights that since human aestheticisation scheming has always been central and preceding human aestheticisation-towards-ontology (as even manifested in modern day natural sciences creativity) it would be foolhardy to adopt a mental-disposition as of science-ideology that poorly recognises the critical creative role for human aestheticisation in the perpetual development of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, especially so with regards to our own capacity to conceptualise of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology herein construed as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as to the requisite originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness behind the prospective creation/invention of sublimating methods/methodologies/approaches as secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in the face of prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming as to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, with budding-positivists inventing/creating the positivism/rational-empiricism sublimating methods/methodologies/approaches superseding medieval-scholasticism desublimating methods/methodologies/approaches and likewise Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation inventing/creating universalising-idealisation sublimating methods/methodologies/approaches superseding non-universalising
sophists desublimating methods/methodologies/approaches), as otherwise we’ll merely sanctify as absolute our present positivism–procrypticism level of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and its corresponding methods/methodologies/approaches associated with its living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as to wrongly imply ours is the human generation that don’t face any prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming. Along the same line of intellectual appreciation of prospective sublimation implications as to the fact that nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations–<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> ‘critically points to an overall nascent knowledge-reification gesturing directly or indirectly prescient of a comprehensive sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology conception of the given prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension’; the possibility for ontology/science is effectively ‘an ontological-contiguity projection as to an all-englobing/all-encompassing construction’ (notwithstanding the epistemic limitation inherent to human limited-mentation-capacity) that captures relative-ontological-completeness induced sublimation as reflected in any subject-matter (as to its phenomenal/manifest-subpotency–<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity–in-the-full-potency-of-existence>) and so as to the subject-matter underlying existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension–<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ (and so as effectively reflected by the overall reference-of-thought and reference-of-thought-devolving/subject-matter ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation–{as-to-underlying-ontological-
commitment’). In this regards, we can appreciate that going by the positivism/rational-empiricism relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension, the natural sciences do not allow for any other external interpretations of their phenomenal/manifest-subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (but for issues of epistemic limitation inherent to human limited-mentation-capacity). In this regards, there can’t be any instance/circumstance to which the mathematician will construe of 1+1 as being equal to 4 as to totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought; as to the fact that inherent ontological-veracity precedes-and-supersedes ‘mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing’. The implication here that in the bigger scheme of things, the ‘apriorising decisions advancing mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing’ over inherent ontological-veracity as manifested in many a social domain (while equally relevant in the natural sciences especially when ‘mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing’ increasingly undermine the organisation behind the natural conduct of the natural sciences) go on to undermine their pretenses to a status of profound ontological-veracity as reflected of an ontology/science as to aestheticisation-towards-ontology. In this regard, relic/artifactual conception of veridical human historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing rather speaks to deficient knowledge-reification gesturing caught up in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing–inhibited-mental-aestheticising. Likewise, deliberate intellectual decisions emphasising institutional self-preservation and rendering veridical knowledge elucidation secondary to such institutional self-preservation decisions, in many ways wrest
away from such supposed intellectual institutions their status as veridically knowledge producing as these increasingly become political as to their emphasising of a political motive ready to forego veridical knowledge-reification for its institutional self-preservation; with the consequence of increasing sycophantic-sophistry and social intellectual-function indifference or betrayal to dominance/vested-interest actors. This issue of institutional self-preservation is in many ways at the very root of the non-intellectual, media-driven and dishonest criticisms levied against postmodern-thought as to the latter obvious conclusive emancipatory implications; so-reflected in a practice of ‘clouded thought’ that has no true intellectual elucidation purpose but rather an extension of the political over veridical knowledge-reification (such that arguments about the accommodation of different intellectual practices tend to be articulated wrongly as to imply that ‘the true ontological-veracity as to sublimation-over-desublimation of intellectual practices’ are irrelevant and secondary to the mere purpose of institutional accommodation of different intellectual practices). It is herein contended that just as the prior successive registry-worldviews/dimensions required their specific ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ to usher in the possibility of their very own secondnatured institutionalisation unclouded knowledge-reification gesturing, the ultimate possibility for our positivism–procrepticism overcoming its intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) lies with the prospective ‘deprocrepticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ imbued foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-

Critically thus the veracity of human sublimation is rather as to the originariness/origination—<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of deprocrypticism as effectively reflecting existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, and so as to the fact that the deprocrypticism given ‘directly relevant trace of prospective human effectively-purist-sublimation—<reflecting-prospective-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing> as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ is not ‘beholdening wrongly upon the overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This projected deprocrypticism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective points out that human sublimation in existence actually reflects the overall ontological-contiguity as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing (so-reflect as of human
‘formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-
and-deferentialism>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology): defining the
construal/conceptualisation of human transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity (with regards to the requisite human
self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-
protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory—epistemic-
conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-
language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>). Such an ultimate construal of human self-surpassing
as to the notional—deprocrypticism epistemic-projection perspective in ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence effectively grapples with the requisite
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating relative-
ontological-completeness implications as of reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-
devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of prospective sublimating—
nascence’ as so-elicited by nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-
Insightfully this can be reflected upon creatively as the requisite underlying deprocrypticism
or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought institutionally projected (implying
structural/paradigmatic institutionalising of prospective scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–
re-ontologisation/supererogatory—involuting-or-guilding-or-amplifying–scalarisation-<as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>.)
unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation-
(reflecting-a-supererogatory–decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to-‘their-
nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality–numbing-
traction-desublimation’)–as-so-operationalising-‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–re-
ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-
ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology (as of human living-development–as-to-personality-
development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and so as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation. Such an
‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–supererogation of deprocrypticism
institutionalisation’s parameterisation/reparameterisation-
(reflecting-a-
supererogatory–decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to-‘their-nascent-
sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality–numbing-traction-
desublimation’)–as-so-operationalising-‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–re-
ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-
ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology (as to Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology) will call into question as of pure-ontology the very
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism defining overall human social-stake-
contention-or-confliction associated with such notions like tribes, nations, races, regions, etc.
(and any other notions) as of their structured/paradigmed dehumanising implications (and so
rather as of their degeneracy/breaking-down/distortion of human ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> from the more apt ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
conception of the human as to humanity); so-reflected by a beholding
conceptualisation/construal of the human as of their underpinning—suprasocial-construct implied presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing as being ‘the imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable framework of human agency’. However, as to a constructive knowledge-reification gesturing with respect to the haunting fact of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence as to any such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing speaking to such a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence, such a deprocrypticism institutionalisation ‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing—supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation-(reflecting-a-supererogatory—decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to—‘their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality—numbing-traction-desublimation’)—as-so-operationalising—‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as—re-ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology is more immediately-and-constructively bound to ‘appraise the conception of sovereign equanimity/balance driving human agency imbued sublimation as to <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating relative-ontological-completeness implications’. This double epistemic orientation to a deprocrypticism institutionalisation ‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing—supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation-(reflecting-a-supererogatory—decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to—‘their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality—numbing-traction-desublimation’)—as-so-operationalising—‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as—re-ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology can be understood in the sense that just as we can
appreciate that if supposedly we are found in say an exclusively animistic social-setup with supposedly no possibility to rejoin a positivistic social-setup, while at the very least we appreciate that the material/technical capacity of a positivistic social-setup overall meaningfulness-and-teleology will enhance such an animistic social-setup as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, the fact remains that our thrownness in the animistic social-setup requires at least a basic engagement tolerable to its meaningfulness-and-teleology before any pretense to a projection of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology (as can so be appreciated with the cultural diffusion encounters throughout human history). In this regards as to a decisively globalising world we can’t conceive that ours will be the human generation bereft of ‘profound diffusionary/non-diffusionary aestheticisation prospective insight as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ given the increasingly relic/artifactual nature of traditional cultures in our modern age as to the potent lack of prospective creative aestheticisation off-the-beaten-path of an increasing convergence deadening of the possibility prospective reappraisals of human meaningfulness-and-teleology (as so-construed as of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation), as to the fact that overall human beholdening inclination (as to any defining overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology concerned mostly with human living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development in the priorly achieved Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) rather tends to reconverge to shallow <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence
concreteness/concretism/existentialising—enframing—of-human-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> as reflected by the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions
existentialising—enframing (when it comes to human overall ‘aestheticisation as reflecting
the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-
aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating—so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human
meaningfulness-and-teleology’); thus as not necessarily speaking of the absolute possibility
of human consciousness projection in want for its recurrent
parameterisation/reparameterisation-(reflecting-a-supererogatory~decisionality-of-
socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to-'their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-
presublimary-decisionality~numbing-traction-desublimation')-as-so-operationalising-
'scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-ontologisation’ in optimising human ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> (and our positivism–procrypticism registry-
worldview/dimension cannot be overlooked in this regards notwithstanding the fact that it is
at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure). But then just like
with all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, our positivism–procrypticism presencing—
existentialising—enframing effectively projects a hurdle to any such structural/paradigmatic
deprocrypticism conception of re-ontologisation as to its inherent <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag poorly amenable to profound alternative
institutional aestheticising contemplation ‘given its calamitous conception and relation to the
possibility for prospective re-ontologisation from its subontologisation’ such that any such
profound alternative institutional aestheticising contemplation are traditionally bound to arise
as disruptive institutional transformations whether or not involving power-showdown as
associated with sudden/revolutionary transformations with ‘their drawback of having to think
on their feet inducing deficient ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as well as generalised social apprehension which is then enigmatically held against them’ (however the merits of their underlying case) very much unlike ‘the latitude for articulating conceptualisations available for presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing’ (however their structural/paradigmatic flaws). Today manifestations (in the political domain) of protest votes for instance, more than just a question of poor political leadership actually has to do in many ways with ‘an alienating politico-institutional entrapment/frame-up of sovereign choice’ within the supposed democratic process that ‘forestalls-and-narrows as of strategic rules and processes’ the effective political fulfilment of individual and social sovereign choices inducing anti-sovereign consequences as to defaulting policy consequences to dominance/vested-interest actors without truly being institutionally subject to competing profound alternative institutional aestheticising contemplation given their institutional ascendence. Such a beholdening presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing skews the fundamental ontology question by its inherent <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag gatekeeping stifling of the possibility for inquiring on the ontological-veracity of its practice as to a reflex for advancing the quietude of social-vestedness/normativity. This latter issue is the ultimate challenge to prospective deprocripticism institutionalisation ‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation- {reflecting-a-supererogatory–decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to-‘their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality–numbingtraction-desublimation’}-as-so-operationalising-‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-reontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology; as of the paradox that a social-setup as to its
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence is so pragmatically self-focussed that its aestheticisation and hence aestheticisation-towards-ontology dynamic-potential as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is narrowed/limited/constricted however its level of development (explaining the decisiveness/criticality of cultural diffusion imbued originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in re-ontologisation accompanying human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as can be appreciated throughout human history). This is explained by the fact that the human can relatively easily appreciate the ontological-pertinence of new practices arising as from outside cultural diffusion but it is very much difficult to reconstrue of such practices as from the taxingness-of-originariness involved in surpassing an internalised <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ posture; and this very much explains the double epistemic orientation to deprocrypticism institutionalisation ‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing—supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation—(reflecting-a-supererogatory—decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to—‘their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality–numbing-traction-desublimation’)—as-so-operationalising—‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as—re-ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology as highlighted above (as to the need to feed our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence decisively globalising world with aestheticising re-originariness/re-origination to uphold the capacity for pure-ontology as to re-ontologisation). In this regards, all such ontologisation/re-ontologisation potential for human meaningfulness-and-teleology: is structurally/paradigmatically ever inducible as of human formativeness—<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism—of-meaningfulness—
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—conceptualisation’) is what effectively captures all the possibilities of human sublimation or desublimation in existence and so as to human overall ‘aestheticisation as reflecting the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,—so-
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation’)) speaks to human premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-
psychologism-of-existential-stake> with regards to formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-
premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology; as underlying the possibilities for human sublimation-inducing—
textuality/heritemetics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-
of-existence<-so-construed-as-the-premeaningfulness/preframing-that-enables-
‘foregrounding—entailment-as-reflecting-ontological-contiguity>. Thus it is by such a
‘sublimation-over-desublimation understanding’ of this <amplituding/formative>throwness-
in-existence,-imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness-(as-to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-
axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising–conceptualisation’) that the apparently imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in
surmountable/unovercomable framework of our positivism—procrypticism presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing (as the challenge of the
double epistemic orientation to deprocrypticism institutionalisation
‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation-
(reflecting-a-supererogatory–decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to–’their-
nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality–numbing-
traction-desublimation’)-as-so-operationalising–’scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–re-
ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-
ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology as highlighted above) can be looked at in a new and
enlightening perspective (beyond such a ‘positivism–procrypticism—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism encultured/constructed social-
pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-
commitment)’) and so rather as from a prospective ‘deprocrypticism—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism encultured/constructed social-
pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-
commitment)’; and so as to the elucidation of such presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness existentialising—enframing induced human
<amplituding/formative>thrownness-in-existence,-imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness-(as-to-
the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-
’<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation’)) deficient ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>. Thus as being amenable both to ‘sublimation as
to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ and to
‘desublimation as failing existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation’, human <amplituding/formative>thrownness-in-existence,-imbued-
arbitrariness/waywardness-(as-to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-
process-of-‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation’) notionally
speaks of an underpinning framework that is structural/paradigmatic to the potentiality for
both emancipating ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure and human impeding
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation’) as to its ‘effectively underlying human beholdening—inchng,-apprehending,-and-taming–drive or aestheticising—surrealising/supererogating–drive of existentialising—framing/imprinting’ is rather ‘manifested structurally/paradigmatically as reflecting human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> (with regards to constraining existential-contextualising-contiguity upon human underlying ontological-commitment as to the possibility for sublimation or desublimation)’ as at defining institutionalised-threshold or as at defining uninstitutionalised-threshold of human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>; so-underlined respectively by the dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension associated with postconverging (postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism-representation,-as-of-postconverging-aestheticisation) as at defining institutionalised-threshold or failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension associated with preconverging (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-representation,-as-of-preconverging-aestheticisation) as at defining uninstitutionalised-threshold. In this respect (with regards to the possibility for human sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to its ‘invention’/’creation’ of prospective methods/methodologies/approaches as to ‘prospective sublimation induced methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising’ (involving
scholasticism or present day intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) in their <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ will hardly cognise the ‘prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming-overcoming merits’ respectively of projected Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation, budding-positivists positivism/rational-empiricism and prospective postmodern-thought as herein projected with deprocrypticism conceptualisation and so as to the latter skirting/peripheral initiation within the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing of the former so-construed by the Derridean conception of prospective philosophy occurring rather at the margin of prior secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation philosophy (as to the fact that the ancient-sophists, medieval-scholasticism or present day intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) will falsely pretend that their respective presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing associated with the eliciting of their respective <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), is of ‘existential and contemplative internal adequation’ respectively for the nascent contemplation of such universalising-idealisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and prospective postmodern-thought as herein projected with deprocrypticism conceptualisation whereas the skirting/peripheral initiation within such respective presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing as of the former effectively speaks to their
‘fundamental structural/paradigmatic falsehood’ for the possibility for the social intellectual-function prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming-overcoming sublimation involving ‘their seeding-misprising ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure that covertly and/or overtly project respectively that afterall all the world that exists is-of-non-universalising-sophistry or is-of-non-positivising-scholasticism or is-of-disjointed-intellectual-muddling in contempt of relative-ontological-completeness implications’ and this ‘seeding-misprising ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure’ has to be factored into the prospective articulation of deprocrypticism,—as-to-the-ultimate-fulfilment-of-notional—deprocrypticism as to the fact that the complete possibility for ontology/science implies ‘accounting for everything potent’ including at the more fundamental level human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to its implied ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure that are respectively instigative or forestalling of the possibility for prospective human aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming sublimation). This is further reflected in ‘the very postconverging-as-to-ontological-normalcy over preconverging-as-to-epistemic-abnormalcy conception of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity’ with regards to the fact that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism and positivism—procrypticism respectively aren’t of the ‘existential and contemplative internal adecuation’ for prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism, as to the ‘increasing crumbling of the former social intellectual-function’ into subterfuge of false-scepticism (as to the fact that veridical scepticism is of constructive knowledge commitment effectively exposing itself to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-
sublimation at which point incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is manifested, thus necessarily inducing presublimation until when the ‘prospective nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-&lt;blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness-reference-of-thought-devolving&gt;’ decisively point to a prospective change/sublimation of the existentially referencing/registering/decisioning reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-registering/registering/decisioning (at which point maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is manifested), so-arising as of the ultimately/eventually perceived referencing coherence/contiguity of the ‘prospective nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-&lt;blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness-reference-of-thought-devolving&gt;’. This ‘redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-the-referencing-and-the-devolved-referencing-imbuued-ontological-performance-&lt;including-virtue-as-ontology&gt; as to presublimation and nascent-sublimations overlapping-contiguity-of-referencing-and-devolved-referencing’ in many ways explain why budding-positivists like Newton and Descartes for instance paradoxically integrated medieval non-positivistic esoteric, alchemic and deistic notions, however marginally or qualified, as pragmatically complementing their nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-&lt;blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness-reference-of-thought-devolving&gt; positivistic/rational-empiricism conceptions (as reflected with Newton’s interest in alchemy and the occult in association with his positivistic natural philosophy as well as Descartes’ underlying deistic interest in association with his incipient positivistic mathesis universalis schema/disseminative metaphoricity explicited with his thinking proposition and scepticism exercise engendering as to its dimensionality-of-sublimating—&lt;amplituding/formative&gt;supererogatory–dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation our
positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme). Along the same lines, it is interesting to note how Plato’s Socrates and Plato as to their dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation universalising-idealisation instigation were in many ways rather beholdening to a pre-universalising Delphian spirituality conception (as so-reflected particularly by the Delphian motto know thyself) with regards to their universalising-idealisation approach mostly emphasising human and social virtue (as underlined with Socrates’ maieutics and Plato’s theory of Forms) and so very much in contrast to the latter Aristotelian approach in an all-expansive perspective of universalising-idealisation particularly so by its emphasis on overall universalising-idealisation pragmatic knowledge including practical and natural phenomena universalising-idealisation implications. This ‘redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-the-referencing-and-the-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as to presublimation and nascent-sublimations overlapping-contiguity-of-referencing-and-devolved-referencing’ (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implications as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) is effectively what epistemically underlies the inherent ontological-veracity of the ‘postconverging/dialectical-thinking of reference-of-thought sublimating as to the implied ontological-normalcy of notional~deprocrypticism’ over the inherent ontological-flaw of the ‘preconverging/dementing of reference-of-thought in desublimation-as-presublimating as to the implied epistemic-abnormalcy of notional~procrypticism’; as to the fact that the reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning speaks of the referencing projective-insights psychologically and apriorisingly underlying
the prospective nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> as to their operant predicative-insights. Insightfully (as to its deneuterising—referentialism construed as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, notional–deprocrypticism enabled fundamental ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, and so superseding a naïve metaphysics-of-presence affect-driven mented or stigmatic psychology rather as of a shallow perspective of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and vaguely articulated as of universal import but rather manifesting our positivism–procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing), the conception of human socio-institutional conceptions/constructs/models is rather as of ‘a supererogatory psychologistic protraction of human relevantly induced notional–asceticism (as to its skirting/peripheral initiation within a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing to constructively enable the veridical expression of its ‘instigative–askesis-or-acumen structuring/paradigming the possibility for prospective sublimating and reifying socio-institutional conceptions/constructs/models as to prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming-overcoming for human social emancipative reinvigoration/disruption’) in dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection of prospective methods/methodologies/approaches as from prospective sublimation induced methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising’ (reflected historically as of a sacral, monasterial, pastoral, hippocratic, etc. aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-
ontology and inconsistently echoed in modern day deonto-professional institutional practices); and so unlike any given ‘naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing perspectiveless-and-soulless blinded adherence to prior methods/methodologies/approaches’ whether of ancient-sophistry, medieval-scholasticism or of present day disjointing/disparateness/disentailing intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
worldviews/dimensions possibility for prospective transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity to arise (as to the notional–asceticism
instigating originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection of prospective
methods/methodologies/approaches as from prospective sublimation induced
methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising), and so because all the ‘existential
and contemplative internal adequation’ available for any given relative-ontological-
incompleteness registry-worldview/dimension is as of its inherent
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism imbued logical-basis/logic-<as-to—
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> that is not structured/paradigmed to recognise the
prospective sublimating relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism imbued logical-basis/logic-<as-to—
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> (with only the cross-generational positive-opportunism
arising from the relative-ontological-completeness comprehensively induced sublimation as
to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation that then
elicits the universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness),
untenability and affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of the relative-ontological-completeness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism imbued logical-basis/logic-<as-to—
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>). But then with such notional–asceticism associated
with deprocrypticism factoring in that the projective-insights ‘out of thin air’ (as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning) that go on to contemplate of prospective relative-ontological-completeness sublimation is potentially a universal human capacity as of discretionary human disposition (as to when relative-ontological-completeness avails) for opting for sublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure or opting for desublimating ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity-structure, and that (as speaking to human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality) ‘this most fundamentally potent point of human-subpotency is the epistemic point-of-departure for construing ontology/science as from the notional–deprocrypticism projected human-subpotency profound-and-complete mentation-capacity ontological implications’; given that to avoid being merely a complexification of positivism–procrypticism as of the possibility for disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought deprocrypticism warrants the requisite human organic-disposition as of deprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism for prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning ‘rather than just another induced reasoning-from-results/afterthought equally subjected to human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ speaking of a circular positivism–procrypticism complexification as of

<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}
as to human incapacity to psychically project the overall existential dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation underlying notional–asceticism. This very notional–asceticism insight (speaking of dimensionality-of-sublimating—
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supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation) about the notional–deprocrypticism reflected in the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process explains why the universalising-idealisation of the Socratic philosophers is not a ‘disengaged articulation but subverts’ non-universalising sophistry, why budding-positivism is not a ‘disengaged articulation but subverts’ non-positivising medieval-scholasticism and prospectively why postmodern-thought and herein deprocrypticism is not a ‘disengaged articulation but subverts’ present-day disjointing/disparateness/disentailing intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of thought; and so further reflected as to the fact that base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism (as of their respective prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) are respectively subversions of the aporeticisms of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and positivism–procrypticism. The veracity of human knowledge as ever always a ‘non-disengaging epistemic articulation as to the totalising oneness of existence manifest sublimations’ lies with the very immanent–ontological-contiguity of existence that epistemically speaks to the ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ as so divulging/disclosing existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation; such that human knowledge-reification is effectively in reality about addressing and superseding human aporetisms (human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor) as surpassing epistemic-constructs of sublimation-
over-desublimation so-implied with the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding-formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvalutive-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation (as to living-development–as-to-personality-development,
institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). The implication here is that human
‘epistemic-constructs of sublimation-over-desublimation’ are not-and-never
optional/discretionary representations about existence (but for when ‘deliberately of mere
aestheticisation as mere motif implications’ with no relative reference to any ontological-
contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with regards to human epistemic
aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology of immanent existence; reflected in
the fact that all such epistemic-constructs as knowledge-reification (as referencing any
ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) speak to an underlying
human ontological-commitment as to the possibility for prospective sublimation-over-
desublimation (so-implied with the self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to social-
stake-contention-or-confliction underlying human ontological-commitment). In this regards,
we can appreciate that the successive human registry-worldviews/dimensions speak to
successive human aporeticisms of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’, with the implication that human epistemic limits arising due to human limited-mentation-capacity at the uninstitutionalised-thresholds respectively of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism–procripticism as to their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing, do not speak of limits to prospective human knowledge-reification (as epistemic-constructs referencing prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) respectively as of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocripticism. But then with regards to the uninstitutionalised-thresholds of all registry-worldviews/dimensions in their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, the fact is that their socio-institutional decisional-construct for responding to their own given prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming take up a pedantising and institutional self-preservation nature that falsely turns around (breaks with ‘prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ for knowledge-reification) to undermine prospective human knowledge-reification, by wrongly implying any such prospective construal of ‘prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-confiliatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation) is about ‘a framework of metaphysical/ideological advocacy as of totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought (rather than truly being a framework of ontological-veracity implied relative-ontological-completeness entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability)’ and so in order to falsely nullify/undermine the subverting epistemic implications of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (of prospective human epistemic aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology of immanent existence) as to the ‘anything goes orientation’ of totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought projection that allows for pedantising and institutional self-preservation over addressing their respective prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming. In this regards, as to their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability): the ancient-sophists adopted a ‘non-universalising break with prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for knowledge-reification’ wrongly construing ‘the subverting epistemic implications of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation of the universalising-idealisation of
Socratic philosophers’ as being about ‘a framework of metaphysical/ideological advocacy as of totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought (rather than truly being a framework of ontological-veracity implied relative-ontological-completeness entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent—factuality--variability)’ to then falsely justify their non-universalising pedantising and institutional self-preservation and so over addressing their prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming necessarily warranting prospective universalising-idealisation; likewise the medieval-scholastics adopted ‘a non-positivising break with prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for knowledge-reification’ wrongly construing ‘the subverting epistemic implications of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation of budding-positivism’ as being about ‘a framework of metaphysical/ideological advocacy as of totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought (rather than truly being a framework of ontological-veracity implied relative-ontological-completeness entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent—factuality--variability)’ to then falsely justify their scholastic non-positivising pedanticising and institutional self-preservation and so over addressing their prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming necessarily warranting prospective positivism/rational-empiricism; and likewise it is herein contended that present day disjointing/disparateness/disentailing intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing entailment—relational—ontological-completeness) adopts ‘a disjointing/disparateness/disentailing break with prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for knowledge-reification (as to a strategically flawed anti-relativism interpretation that then overlooks and ignores relative-ontological-completeness implications as of our present day presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing as to social-vestedness/normativity, with such a flawed anti-relativism interpretation a technical impossibility as it confuses/muddles non-universalising with relativism as to the fact that postmodern-thought like deconstruction and genealogy knowledge-reification gesturings implied relativism is of universal import of relative-ontological-completeness as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutive-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation)’ wrongly construing ‘the subverting epistemic implications of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation of many a postmodern-thought herein construed as human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as being about ‘a framework of metaphysical/ideological advocacy as of totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought (rather than truly being a framework of ontological-veracity implied relative-ontological-completeness <amplituding/formative>entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability)’ to then falsely justify its disjointing/disparateness/disentailing intellectual-muddlement—(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) pedantising and institutional self-preservation and so over addressing its prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming necessarily warranting prospective <amplituding/formative>nondisjointing/nondisparate implications as of relative-ontological-completeness implications herein articulated as to ‘notional~deprocrypticism
in terms of mundane reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation comprehensive construal of sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology on presencing-distorted—meritocracy/totalising—sovereign-appropriation—of-human-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘<amplituding/formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising) and ontologisation’ as so- reflected by the underpinning—suprasocial-construct (historically involving ‘dominance/vested-interest structure in relative-ontological-incompleteness—presublimation-construct—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating—existentialising—decisionality’ as from blantant brutish conquest/subjugation conception of appropriation, dominion protection conception of appropriation, to the very natural-order-of-things conception of appropriation and to our subtle modern day institutionally-distorted/disjointed conception of appropriation as particularly the target as to Lyotard’s critique of such institutionally-distorted implied metanarratives especially with regards to their poor/sheepish/dubious/ineffectual social/institutional devolving parameterised equanimity/balance as putting in question their theoretical, conceptual and operative veracity, and speaking in all the above epochal instances of ‘inauthenticity—and—lack-of-equanimity of social/institutional process towards structural/paradigmatic priorly-defaulted/usurped social/institutional outcome as reflecting manifest lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’). But then such a presencing-distorted—meritocracy/totalising—sovereign-appropriation—of-human-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> conception of sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-construed fundamentally as to the underpinning—suprasocial-construct conception that mostly defines human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the constraint of ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as to the beyond-
meritocracy/totalising—sovereign-appropriation—of-human-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> element that is often overrated with respect to the ‘prior
reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning
presublimation-drivenness’ underpinning—suprasocial-construct conception of
meaningfulness-and-teleology; with an ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and
ontological-veracity disposition’ (historically involving ‘dominance/vested-interest structure in
relative-ontological-incompleteness—presublimation-construct—of-meaningfulness-and
teleology desublimating—existentialising—decisionality’ as from blantant brutish
conquest/subjugation conception of appropriating, dominion protection conception of
appropriation, to the very natural-order-of-things conception of appropriating and to our
subtle modern day institutionally-distorted/disjointed conception of appropriating) that
then mostly overrides the ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-
veracity disposition’ (so-construed as to the ‘re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-
thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’—of
notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition supererogatory
rescalaring of ontologisation and value-construction within any given registry-worldview/dimension presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—
framming’ imbued ‘authenticity-and-equanimity of social/institutional process towards
credible social/institutional outcome as reflecting manifest dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’). It is the capacity
for human self-reflexive questioning of how the ‘supererogation-profundity—structure of
nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations—blinded-to-their-relative-
ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving’ in reflection of the overall
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implications as to
immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’).

structurally/paradigmatically explaining the possibility for the succession of registryworldviews/dimensions

as

to

their

induced

living-development–as-to-personality-

development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development social-stakecontention-or-confliction. Inherently, unlike the flawed intuitive human projection of
meaningfulness-and-teleology in constitutedness terms inducing presencing—absolutisingidentitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing distortion that seem to wrongly imply
that human nature is of intemporal-disposition only without factoring the distorting
implications on human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of human
temporal-dispositions

with

regards

to

social-stake-contention-or-confliction

at

uninstitutionalised-thresholds, in rather truly reflecting human ontological-performance<including-virtue-as-ontology> as of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions this then allows for
conceptualising how intemporal-disposition induced ontological-performance-<includingvirtue-as-ontology> in superseding uninstitionalised-thresholds arises (as of the conflatedness
of

the

dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-

mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluativerationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation

as

to

existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation implications)
and

so

involving

‘human

<amplituding/formative>thrownness-in-existence,-imbued-

arbitrariness/waywardness-⟨as-to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencingprocess-of-‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~conceptualisation’⟩ (speaking of
varying

temporal-to-intemporal

human

ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-

ontology>) fundamental subjection to prospective existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation implications in a narrowing-down selection of the
intemporal-disposition as being of ontological-veracity thus reflecting its sublimating
inducing supererogation-profundity–structure, and as this in turn underlies the narrowing890


stake-and-contention framing as premeaningfulness/preframing—
as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> is rather ever always caught up in
an enframed–unenframed or enframed-overflowing or re-originary–as-
unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-
notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) stance as to the prospective possibility of
the ontological-veracity of human ontological-performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology>
as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation; wherein
blurriness as to uninstitutionalised-threshold is an epistemic-constraint undermining
sublimation and inducing desublimation, and universal-transparency–(transparency-of-
totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness) as to induced prospective institutionalisation is an epistemic-
constraint for undermining desublimation and inducing sublimation as such universal-
transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) is so-
reflected in the succession of ‘relative-ontological-completeness—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-
pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-
commitment)’ as narrowing-down selectivity of the intemporal-disposition for prospectively
secondnatured institutionalisation. This disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect–‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>
insight (as to the mix-up/muddling of presuplating reference-of-thought/grandest-
axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning and prospective nascent-
particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations–<blinded-to-their-relative-
ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving>) is equally reflected in the
manifestation of postlogism and social-postlogism (arising from conjugated-postlogism induced meaningfulness-and-teleology) across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions (as associated with psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension); wherein the possibility for the specifically given registry-worldview/dimension induced postlogism and social-postlogism is fundamentally possible only as of the specific registry-worldview/dimension destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> presublimating reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning imbued apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism ontological-deficiency whether as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (notional–procrypticism). Such that the manifested postlogism-(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) is directly related to the presublimating reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning <amplituding/formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) to be cognisant-and-integrative in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (construed as if of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) of the same meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated as of postlogism manifestation (articulated rather as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) thus inducing the conjugated-postlogism; and so as to the fact that for instance a postlogism manifestation grounded in a social-setup as of say an animistic social-setup cognisant-and-integrative of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (as if of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) is susceptible to the postlogism of notions-and-accusations-of-
fact that the cognisance-and-integration of prospective nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations—<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving> as if of relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimating reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning is circularly beholding meaningfulness-and-teleology to human-subpotency (as subontologising prior apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) rather than to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (as re-ontologising prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) and thus undermining the prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure induced re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting as confluence towards the possibility of ‘scality/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. The psychologistic and apriorising implications here is that with regards to say a God of plane proposition in an animistic social-setup, an engagement striving to elucidate the notion of plane involving any existential-instantiation aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring in terms of the animistic social-setup non-positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation, is structurally/paradigmatically already validating the animistic social-setup non-positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as paradoxically valid for all instances of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring warranting positivistic
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation (thus inducing the animistic social-setup incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and its non-positivistic complexification); as to the fact that it is a positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation adopting rather a relation of ‘non-aposteriorising/non-logicising/non-deriving/non-intelligising/non-measuring as from the non-positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation of such an animistic social-setup God of plane non-positivistic proposition’ that enables the possibility for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as bringing to the consciousness-awareness-teleology of the animistic social-setup that the notion of plane implies an altogether superseding positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation induced psychologism of reference-of-thought (over their non-positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation psychologism of reference-of-thought) from whence aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring can then ensue in existential-instantiations of conceptualising. Furthermore, it is such ontologically-deficient incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness (as to its cognisant-and-integrative blending/incorporating of prospective ‘nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations–<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> as if of relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimating reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning in circularly beholdening meaningfulness-and-teleology to human-subpotency’) that is behind the development of all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions given
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) so-construed as being of preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence (as so-reflected from the undermined maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism conception in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective). As of practical existential implications maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness means that the positivistic <amplituding/formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) cannot be responsive to the social-stake-contention-or-confliction projected as of such a non-positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology, as to a fundamental positivistic disavowal of its non-positivistic <amplituding/formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) as non-aposteriorising/non-logicising/non-deriving/non-intelligising/non-measuring (as from the holding-forth of its non-positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation). By extension, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness psychologistic and apriorising implications (so-construed as from the technical ontological-veracity of originariness/origination--<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of notional–deprocrypticism), speaks to the fact that the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the respective registry-worldviews/dimensions in relative-ontological-completeness (base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism respectively) are projected in disavowal of their respective prior registry-worldviews/dimensions in relative-ontological-incompleteness (recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism respectively) destructuring-threshold-uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating-desublimating-decisionality—of-ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology as reflected by their disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and-derived-parameterising), implying the latter are effectively non-aposteriorising/non-logicising/non-deriving/non-intelligising/non-measuring (as from the holding-forth of their respective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation). Thus, as to their respective presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatich-drag, all relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising—psychologism pretend to articulate what can prospectively be possible and impossible (in such a way that ‘conveniently’ imply that theirs is the registry-worldview/dimension that ‘thinks right’ while ignoring projective-insights as of the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implications as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with respect to all corresponding prospective relative-ontological-completeness projective-insights implications of transcendence—and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity; failing to factor in that their paradoxical contemplation in relative-ontological-incompleteness is exactly what renders their supposed determination of what can prospectively be possible and impossible structurally/paradigmatic nonsensical but for the convenience of falling back (even when relative-ontological-completeness avails) as of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity to the notion that afterall all the world that exists is-as-of-their-given-registry-worldview/dimension however its structural/paradigmatic vices—and-impediments (which mental-reflex is ever
always ‘exactly the aporeticism’ to be superseded with prospective sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). In a further elucidation, the ‘redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-the-referencing-and-the-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance-⟨including-virtue-as-ontology⟩ as to presublimation and nascent-sublimations overlapping-contiguity-of-referencing-and-devolved-referencing’ associated with human temporal inclination to historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition has to do fundamentally with the very nature of human sublimation (notwithstanding its constraint by human limited-mentation-capacity). Such a most profound insight about human sublimation in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as to ‘re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-⟨imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation⟩ intemporal-disposition supererogatory rescalarisation of ontologisation and value-construction (within any given registry-worldview/dimension presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing) inducing prospective sublimation-over-desublimation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure thus effectively superseding any such given registry-worldview/dimension underpinning–suprasocial-construct prior conception of ontologisation and value-construction’ reflects a spontaneous human incipient/seeding sublimation-construct which is underlined by both human-decisionality-⟨as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation⟩ and effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime. This spontaneous human incipient/seeding sublimation-construct (underlined as of human-decisionality-⟨as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation⟩ and effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime) is incipiently/seedingly reflected in human aestheticisation and aestheticisation-towards-ontology (as to artistic, the philosophical and the
as-to-the-imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-of
notional–deprocrypticism/dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/scalarisation-as-to-
rescalarisation-as–re-ontologisation’). Most fundamental to ‘human-decisionality-
play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> omni-potential
commensurability with inherent immanent-existence’s sublimation-structure’/omni-
potentiality thus is the pretense to being as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in inducing prospective effectively-manifest-
sublimation/sublime, and such a pretense is exactly what underlies overall human
ontological-commitment as to the possibility for prospective sublimation-over-desublimation
(so-implied with the self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to social-stake-contention-
or-confliction underlying human ontological-commitment); such that all presencing-
distorted–meritocracy/totalising–sovereign-appropriation—of-human-ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of
‘<amplituding/formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and-
derived-parameterising) and ontologisation’ as so-reflected by their underpinning–
suprasocial-construct (historically involving ‘dominance/vested-interest structure in relative-
desublimating–existentialising–decisionality’ as from blatant brutish conquest/subjugation
conception of appropriating, dominion protection conception of appropriating, to the
very natural-order-of-things conception of appropriating and to our subtle modern day
institutionally-distorted/disjointed conception of appropriating) are effectively obligated to
their ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction
underlying human ontological-commitment’ in being epistemic-totalisingly–resubjectable to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for inducing
prospective effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime (thus explaining the possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity as of: human-subpotency ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process’). However, human limited-mentation-capacity as it induces human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions with respect to human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> is effectively the critical structural/paradigmatic impediment to human omni-potentiality but that said the possibility for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) is equally what critically renders the elucidation of human omni-potential pertinent and vital (as herein undertaken beyond any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective in
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
synergetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ as to social-vestedness/normativity historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition but rather enabling the construing of the more ontologically-veridical perspective allowing for prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing). From this insight what effectively underlies ‘human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> as to the prospect for omni-potentiality’ (as reflecting the sublimating possibility for prospective ‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>’ as to ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing~disinhibited-mental-aestheticising sublimation reclamation/recovery from
beholden—becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhhibited-mental-aestheticising’) is in successive absolutely-disruptive hierarchical-ordering: the implications of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (as can be so-constrained as of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism’ so-reflecting <amplituding/formative>disposedness-{as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising} and <amplituding/formative>entailment-{as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent—factuality-of-variability}), then presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing social-vestedness/normativity, followed by dominance/vested-interest—drivenness, and finally generalised social apprehension of the possibility for prospective re-ontologisation (however the merits of their underlying case); as to the fact that universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness} over blurriness with regards to elucidated emancipatory/sublimating implications as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (reflecting ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’), have the effect of overcoming generalised social apprehension of the possibility for prospective re-ontologisation while undermining desublimating presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing social-vestedness/normativity and dominance/vested-interest—drivenness, noting however that such universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) elucidated emancipatory/sublimating
implications as from the ‘absolutely-disruptive hierarchical-order implied as to the
implications of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation’ is more precisely about the opening-up of ‘desublimating presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing social-
vestedness/normativity and dominance/vested-interest—drivenness’ to prospective
ontological-veracity as of re-ontologisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology to the extent
that such ‘prior desublimating presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
existentialising—enframing social-vesnedness/normativity and dominance/vested-interest—
drivenness’ structurally/paradigmatically reflects ‘prospective ontologically-flawed
presublimation–human-decisionality-induced-desublimation usurpation-of/substitution-for
nascent–human-decisionality-induced-sublimation—of-blinded-relative-ontological-
completeness-imbued-supererogatory—reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—
as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning> in the overall prospective human sublimation-
construct’ as incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-
conceptualisation. Omni-potentiality as both incipiently/seedingly and comprehensively
‘effectively reflected subsumptively in human operative consciousness-by-subconsciousness
directedness in existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation as eliciting effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime in existence’ (as of
human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-
social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-
to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology),
is underlined by a psychological-disposition to supererogatory–unbeholdening-conflatedness
(bound to a historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing formativeness-
<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-
and-deferentialism>–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> temporal-dispositions projection); as to the fact
that ‘existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as
eliciting effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime in existence’ is unbefheldening to ‘human
psychological-disposition to relic/artifactual–beholding-constitutedness’ with the full-
potential for ‘inherent immanent-existence overall withdrawn effectively-manifest-
sublimation/sublime or withdrawn sublimation-structure’ rather lying with ‘human
psychological-disposition to supererogatory–unbeholding-conflatedness’. But then the very
‘aestheticisation and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology of human ontological-performance–
<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ takes form as of ‘relic/artifactual–beholding-
constitutedness secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’, speaking to the requisite projective
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination of
‘supererogatory–unbeholding-conflatedness originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation’ as from prior ‘relic/artifactual–beholding-constitutedness secondnatured
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’ for convergence towards omni-potentiality (so-construed as reflecting the
sublimating possibility for prospective ‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing–<as-to–
perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>’ as to ‘bechancing-becoming—
originariness/origination–as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic–
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’. In this respect, an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projective perspective of omni-potentiality points to the relic/artifactual–beholdening-constitutedness historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of present day human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> structured/paradigmed as to its ricocheting beholdening all the way from the very ‘international overarching order of social-stakes-contention-or-confliction existentialising—enframing’, ricocheting-with ‘nation-states overarching orders of social-stakes-contention-or-confliction existentialising—enframing’, ricocheting-with ‘intrastatal/communal orders of social-stakes-contention-or-confliction existentialising—enframing’ and as interspersed ricochettingly with ‘corporate/institutional orders of social-stakes-contention-or-confliction existentialising—enframing’ (speaking to a relic/artifactual–beholdening-constitutedness historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition ricocheting hierarchisation) in many ways inducing structural/paradigmatic limits to abstract ‘human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> omni-potential commensurability with inherent immanent-existence’s sublimation-structure’/omni-potentiality, and so as to the various orders respective-and-dynamically instilled ‘desublimating presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing social-vestedness/normativity and dominance/vested-interest—drivenness’. This elucidation of omni-potentiality while highly abstract is effectively the ‘epistemic-totalising unenframable conception for convergence towards omni-potentiality’ as of a conceptualisation not caught up in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness in order articulate an fundamental framework for ontological-veracity elucidation; and so, as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness unenframed-conceptualisation for effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime of nascent-human-
decisionality-induced-sublimation-of-blinded-relative-ontological-completeness-imbued-
supererogatory-reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-
referencing/registering/decisioning> necessary for prospective Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and its induced prospective living-
development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-
function-development as underlined in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence so-reflected as to
‘re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-
postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-
conflicatedness’-of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition
supererogatory rescalarisation of ontologisation and value-construction (within any given
registry-worldview/dimension presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
existentialising—enframing) inducing prospective sublimation-over-desublimation
meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure thus effectively superseding any such given
registry-worldview/dimension underpinning—suprasocial-construct prior conception of
ontologisation and value-construction’. That said, human-subpotency reifying-and-
empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed—
human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> in reflecting the overall ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, underscores that the effective
mechanism for overcoming ‘relic/artifactual—beholdening-constitutedness historicity-
tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’ lies with the human
capacity for reframing (as of supererogatory—unbeholdening-conflicatedness
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing so-implied as of
notional—deprocrypticism) whether as to mere aestheticisation reframing or aestheticisation—
and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology reframing (as to living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). Inherently the requisite originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for human reframing given human limited-mentation-capacity is rather more forthcoming with directly graspable contextually restricted frameworks-of-conceptualisation with human reframing capacity increasingly of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination impotence with frameworks-of-conceptualisation of overwhelming scale inducing increasing ‘sovereign-deference with lack of universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness)’ and leading to direct/indirect dominance/vested-interest—drivenness structural/paradigmatic domination/pre-eminence over social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The grander issue in this regards (as to optimal human reframing capacity with regards to the equanimity/balance of human theoretical-conceptual-operant institutionalised-conceptualisations) as of the present thus has to do with ‘generalised-and-representative human appreciation of its reifying and empowering reflexivity potential giving the perplexing/passivising modern day scale of organisationally and institutionally structured/paradigmed meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as to the fact that modern day organisational and institutional structure and purposes (by their social-stakes-contention-or-confliction) in critical ways render the sovereign human increasingly more of a mere cog within systems that as of their technical, bureaucratic and socially-defining presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing purposes are already in many ways decisively structurally/paradigmatically predefined as imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in
surmountable/unovercomable frameworks as not subject to prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming analysis, and thus increasingly undermining generalised-and-representative human appreciation of deconstructive acuity and reappraisal (but for such institutional and organisational predetermined distorted conception of paucity/deficiency as to their very presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing conceptualisations), as well as more fundamentally undermining the capacity for human re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-'projective-insights'/'epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness'-of-notional-deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) engagement with existence as to all-encompassing <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness in the contemplation of omni-potentiality. Ultimately (as to human-subpotency ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of:<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’), omni-potentiality is ever always directly and truly contemplatable as from the ‘absolutely-disruptive hierarchical-order implied as to the implications of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (as can be so-constrained as of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism’ so-reflecting <amplituding/formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and–derived-parameterising) and <amplituding/formative>entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factualty-of-
variability). Such that in many ways the overarching reframing for convergence towards omni-potentiality is more profoundly and supersedingly about undermining/subverting disparateness-of-conceptualisation-unforegrounding-disentailment-failing-to-reflect-
aestheticising–re-motif-and-re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-process,-in-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation); wherein ‘the epistemictotalising–resubjecting of motif-as-to-aestheticisation-<imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness>
to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in inducing
aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ necessarily implies that intelligibility itself is
seedingly/incipiently encumbered with ‘presublimating relic/artifactual–beholdening-
constitutedness historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-
transposition’ when it comes to eliciting ‘prospective/nascent sublimating
supererogatory–unbeholdening-confoundedness momentous historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ (and so all along from the very seeding/incipient
aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology and so-perpetuative as to human
living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-
function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology),
as to the fact that ‘intelligibility as the effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime arising from
subjecting-and-resubjecting motif-as-to-aestheticisation-<imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness>
to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ speaks of ‘successions of aestheticising constitutedness failing to
factor in human limited-mentation-capacity’ and thus ‘inducing an absolutising
referencing/registering/decisioning (an absolutising construct–of-human-decisionality–<as-to-
play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation>’)

that incidentally(parenthetically wrongly purport to reflect ‘inherent immanent-existence overall
withdrawn effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime or withdrawn sublimation-structure’. It
is this fundamental insight ‘about the inherent absolutising
effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ as to the inherent sublimating/emancipatory possibilities accruable to all humans and societies as to their underlying ontological-commitment of meaningfulness-and-teleology; so-reflecting the fact that overall human civilisation (notwithstanding any given societies/cultures of naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as to presencing-distorted–meritocracy/totalising–sovereign-appropriationing—of-human-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>

‘merely-beholdening–aestheticising-reflex of meaningfulness-and-teleology’) could only be possible by the cumulating/recomposing of all such ‘structural/paradigmatic momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ manifested at various stages across all human societies/cultures and diffusible likewise across all human societies/cultures with the implications that such ‘structural/paradigmatic momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ more fundamentally speak to ‘overall human momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime attainment’ (with such a truer ontological-veracity rather much more profound than the ‘merely-beholdening–aestheticising-reflex of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of various societies/cultures and as of such ontologically-flawed representation across various human historial epochs). In this respect the ontological-veracity of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (as of the accruing effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime from stone-age to bronze-age to iron-age involving the formation of agrarian societies and cities and subsequent development of universalising societies and today’s positivising modern world) rather more aptly speaks of ‘overall human momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime
attainment’; with the profound idea that the more momentous grasp of the notion of say the civilisations of Ancient Zimbabwe, Ancient Egypt, Ancient Greece, Ancient China, Ancient India or Ancient Aztec, etc. are rather as of a more profound point-of-departure as from a ‘human psychological-disposition for supererogatory–unbeholdening-conflatedness historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of sublimating intelligibility’ divulging the underlying dynamism of human ‘structural/paradigmatic momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (and so rather than a shallower point-of-departure as from a ‘human psychological-disposition for relic/artifactual–beholdening-constitutedness historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of presublimating intelligibility’ of ‘merely-beholdening–aestheticising-reflex of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ caught up in complexes of ‘naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as to presencing-distorted—meritocracy/totalising—sovereign-appropriportioning—of-human-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ that end up inducing poor/distorted human understanding of the human). The underlying point here is that just as human tools, other technical/material capabilities like electricity, etc. are rather of ‘structural/paradigmatic momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ as to the inherent sublimating/emancipatory possibilities accruable to all humans and societies as to their underlying ontological-commitment of meaningfulness-and-teleology, a ‘human psychological-disposition for supererogatory–unbeholdening-conflatedness historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of sublimating intelligibility’ implies that the othernesses of human civilisations/cultures/societies carry a more profound ‘structural/paradigmatic momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex
potency-of-existence> are defined by their basic structural/paradigmatic ‘effectively underlying beholding—inchng,-apprehending,-and-taming-drive or aestheticising—
surrealising/supererogating—drive of existentialising—framing/imprinting’, and so in
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence re-aestheticising/re-
motif and re-procession/re-automatism—as-to-re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing of
their surrealising/supererogating—drive of existentialising—framing/imprinting’ as their
‘interlay/organicalism
supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—differential ontological-
performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>/potentiation’ so-construed as to their
‘germinative intensification—amplituding of aestheticisation—beholdening-out-of-
bechancing’ / ‘taxingness-of-originariness,-imbued—sublimating-by-desublimating—
amplituding as to the backdrop-of-inherent-immanent-existence’s—sublimation-structure—<of
‘unsurrealistic-as-real’—ontological-normalcy>’ (as so-underlied by human-subpotency
epistemically-reflexive consciousness overlying the substantive cumulated abstract tissue of
social emanance as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-
panintelligibility—<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-
aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>.
A
deepening of this critical pure-ontology discernment as from the above elucidation of
‘phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-
potency-of-existence>—in—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-
existence,—<of—‘surrealistic-as-pseudoreal’—epistemic-abnormalcy> (including human-
subpotency), surrealisingly/supererogatorily discloses that existentialising—decisionality is
structurally/paradigmatically of ‘beholdening as sovereignising—imbued—subontologisation/subpotentiation’ while sublimating—nascence is
structurally/paradigmatically of ‘unbeholdening ontologising-depth as to backdrop-of—
inherent-immanent-existence’s–sublimation-structure-<of-’unsurrealistic-as-real’–ontological-normalcy>’ (such that perspectively ‘to beholden-as-sovereignising is to underly/organise/decision existentialising subpotentiation’ and so potently constrained as from perspective ‘unbeholdening sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’); as to the fact that surrealisingly/supererogatorily existentialising–decisionality is of ‘notional–presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness structuring/paradigming—beholdening-as-to-effectuation’ and so potently constrained as from sublimating–nascence ‘notional–non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> as to backdrop-of-inherent-immanent-existence’s–sublimation-structure-<of-’unsurrealistic-as-real’–ontological-normalcy>’. This overall conception underlies the conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity of both ‘existentialising–decisionality and sublimating–nascence’ with regards to induced sublimation/desublimation (beyond naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing) as from non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> epistemic-projection perspective just as so-reflected ‘between reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ and so as to relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications (just as for instance the notion of length is already caught up in the notion of width in the ‘sublimating <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of a rectangle’ and so with regards to the fact that human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology of meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always about ‘idealised-typification in epistemic-conflicatedness sublimation or epistemic constitutedness/pseudoconflation desublimation/gimmickiness’ for eliciting sublimation/desublimation from the ‘full-potency of existence withheld as from ontological-
That is, the individual and social existentialising–decisionality is more readily defined by default in ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ and this is effectively the default individual and social existentialising–decisionality psychological-disposition as to upholding/defending sovereignty, but then given human limited-mentation-capacity the individual and social are then secondarily predisposed to deferential-formalisation-transference existentialising–decisionality psychological-disposition as to the positive-opportunism consequences of deferring to ‘universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of sublimating–nascence’ (in delegating sovereignty ultimately as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with the lack of such ‘universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of sublimating–nascence’ as to when ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisionality’ arises inducing defaulting ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ existentialising–decisionality psychological-disposition (as to relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating–existentialising–decisionality). The implications of this dual existentialising–decisionality psychological-dispositions is critical particularly with regards to the social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning of human meaningfulness-and-teleology of ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisionality’ as rather poorly amenable to profound ‘unbeholding sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ as it is relatively the case in the natural sciences (and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>); as to the fact that existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and existence—as-
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ontologising-depth in inducing desublimation/sublimation’ as to the fact that nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations—blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving—are often of ‘restricted and directly transparent/potent existentialising—decisionality scope of sublimation for human deferential-formalisation-transference’ while the social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning (as to ‘reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of prospective sublimating—nascence’) imply a depth of appreciation which initially leads to ‘blurriness in existentialising—decisionality’ as of relative-ontological-incompleteness—presublimation-construct—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating—existentialising—decisionality. We can for instance appreciate this ‘human existentialising—decisionality dual psychological-dispositions continuum-gradient of sovereignising—by—ontologising-depth in inducing desublimation/sublimation’ say with regards to cultural-diffusion in a non-positivistic like animistic social-construct wherein positivistic technical and material nascent-sublimations can relatively be easily appreciated/grasped in a short timeframe by their immediate sublimating—nascence but the more profound notion of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension (as to social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology) reflecting a positivising referencing/registry/decisioning is more problematically conceptualisable and mostly arises as of cross-generational appreciation/grasp (given the non-positivistic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—decisionality psychological-disposition of defaulting individual and social ‘beholdening as sovereignising—imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’); and this ‘human existentialising—decisionality dual psychological-dispositions continuum-gradient of sovereignising—by—ontologising-depth in inducing desublimation/sublimation’ applies in the succession of registry-
(wherein if such social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning pretense-of-sublimation warrants gravity on earth to be considered as \(7 \text{ m/s}^2\) for instance for one reason or another but for existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), rather the natural scientist and technician will view such social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning existentialising—decisionality pretense-of-sublimation as the very structural/paradigmatic undermining of the possibility of natural science and technical development as to sublimating–nascence beyond just the specific instance but as to a fundamentally underdeveloped social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning desublimating–existentialising—decisionality that must be overridden (so that similar intellectual decadent pretense-of-sublimation should not arise) for the prospective possibility for science and technical development sublimating–nascence to flourish; and likewise it is herein contended that absolutising social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning existentialising—decisionality pre-eminence as to imprimatur and the dynamics of imprimatur (with regards to ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisionality’ associated with social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning) as ‘precedingly defining the possibility of prospective knowledge over inherent knowledge’ is itself the very structural/paradigmatic desublimating undermining of the possibility of veridical social and institutional prospective sublimation/emancipation as to sublimating–nascence, and in that respect no mortal (including the one mortal making this articulation herein) can pretend to a status bigger than existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation to then imply that genuine knowledge-reification cannot cross-it/has-to-bow-to-it (for one reason or another), and in that regards the more profound knowledge-reification as to the structural/paradigmatic upholding at all instances of the possibility for prospective genuine knowledge-reification inducing sublimation/emancipation as to sublimating—
nascence is more than just the specific knowledge-reification gesturing for sublimation but rather more critically overt articulation of the ‘veridical structural/paradigmatic intellectual underdevelopment underlying any such a mortal claim’ as to the fact that no human can claim that 2 + 2 is not equal to 4 because they are vexed for one reason or another (as it is that condition of our mortality that then provides the possibility for our self-surpassing in prospective construction-of-the-Self) so-reflected in the fact that the underlying existentialising-frame of knowledge is the very requisite condition for eliciting the true meaningfulness-and-teleology of any given specific knowledge-reification gesturing for sublimation (as for instance there is little point articulating any given positivistic existentialising-decisionality specific knowledge-reification gesturing for sublimating-nascence as to positivistic nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-

<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> where the underlying registry-worldview/dimension existentialising-frame of knowledge is of non-positivistic desublimating-existentialising-decisionality and is not addressed/dealt-with as the Galileos, Descartes, etc. understood with respect to non-positivising medieval-scholasticism desublimating-existentialising-decisionality or the universalising-idealisation Socratic-philosophers sublimating-existentialising-decisionality understood with respect to non-universalising ancient-sophists desublimating-existentialising-decisionality and in both instances as of their prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions implied incipient/seeding

<amplituding/formative>thrownness-in-existence,–imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness–(as-to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-

‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation’) as to sublimating–nascence epistemic-confledtedness as of projective/reprojective—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, and it is contended as well that the conceptualisation herein is rather the more profound as to when its meaningfulness-and-teleology elucidates as
to its deprocriptism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought sublimating—existentialising—decisionality ‘the desublimating—existentialising—decisionality of such disjointing intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) underlying existentialising—frame of knowledge as to fundamental misanalysis’ as so-reflected also with ‘postmodern thinkers direct/indirect criticisms of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as the sublimating—existentialising—decisionality predefining condition for their specific knowledge articulation to more profoundly be grasped/comprehended/realised), with human knowledge-construal being an altogether level playing field only driven as of the sublimating potential as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (and in this regards theories and concepts cannot be articulated to imply that their subverting criticisms are rather personal/traditions attacks as is increasingly the case in todays institutional-being-and-craft intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) since the very first credo of the intellectual is for inherent knowledge above any given theories and concepts and traditions which are rather subordinate to the more profound purpose of the human knowledge-reification project as was so understood and propounded by such mid-twentieth century thinkers like Bertrand Russell, A.J. Ayer, Richard Rory, etc. even as their conceptions came under criticism because a genuine relation with knowledge is what can bring about appropriate prospective correction for sublimating knowledge when prospective inspiration avails notwithstanding the traditional approach to knowledge so long as it remains self-critical whereas a false social and institutional pre-eminence driven relation to knowledge shoves existential issues under the table not because there is no human intelligence to tackle true knowledge but because the possibility for more profound
contemplation is a-priori placed out-of-sight since ‘supposed knowledge-reification as to its gesturing’ is as of ‘existentialising–decisionality that desublimatingly precedes knowledge-reification’ rather than veridically ‘knowledge-reification as of its very own deriving/manifest/ensuing/eventuating sublimating–existentialising–decisionality’ and as so-reflected when mere methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising as of human-subpotency is construed as doing away with priorly requisite-and-relevant supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument–for–conceptualisation with the off-the-shelf and made-to-measure projection of methods and statistics by itself considered as supposedly profound knowledge, and even then such an approach ends up losing out on vision while wrongly reinforcing knowledge as a self-serving punctual/expeditious institutional enterprise rather than of overall prospective human existential sublation/emancipation). Overall the social-construct itself is reflexive of this ‘human existentialising–decisionality dual psychological-dispositions continuum-gradient of sovereignising—by—ontologising-depth in inducing desublation/sublation’ as of its very underlying social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning as to social-stake-contention-or-confliction wherein the ‘implicated sublimating–existentialising–decisionality’ underlying the ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ associated with nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublations–<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> (as reflected by the dedication/selflessness/disinterest/magnanimity underlying such existentialising–decisionality of sublimating–nascence as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>) tend to be incoherently overlooked/ignored when it comes to ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’
existentialising–decisionality should be the case with nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations—<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving> (speaking rather of self-serving social-vestedness/normativity ‘institutionalised-wisdom-of-irresponsibility’, as so-manifested across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, as to when institutional frameworks in their underlying ontologically-deficient underpinning–suprasocial-construct that poorly appreciate dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation are naively construed ‘as inherently superseding prospective human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>’ and so ‘by the mere presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing mystic of institutional pre-eminence whether intellectual or administrative/governmental’ as we can appreciate in such a case like Edward Snowden’s with a human desublimating–existentialising–decisionality of vague ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ of such ‘institutionalised-wisdom-of-irresponsibility’ while paradoxically there is now an emerging social clamouring for increasing social and online privacy as a requisite for prospective human sublimation/emancipation as to the positive-opportunism sublimating–existentialising–decisionality of ‘unbeholdening sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’). Ultimately, such structuring/paradigming intellectual or administrative/governmental institutions desublimating–existentialising–decisionality as to social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning conception tend to align with their given presencing—
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing gesturing is inherently construed as superseding prospective ‘unbeholdening sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ which universal-transparency-
〈transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-〈amplituding/formative〉epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness〉 (as herein articulated) is exactly what accounts for human-subpotency ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
in existantialising–decisionality’ and thus must be actively implied in social knowledge conceptualisation as to ‘distantiation of contemplative existentialising–frame as to transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ not as utterly doing away with human sovereignty but rather as explicitly projecting the notion of appropriate-and-coherent human sovereignty deferential-formalisation-transference ‘in relation to prospective knowledge as of human specialisation-and-focussing, time-investment as well as effectively manifestable sublimation’ and so with regards to human limited-mentation-capacity implied requisite expediency for profound human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> associated with human intemporal individuations firstnated instigation of prospective sublimation and subsequent human positive-opportunism secondnated institutionalisation). This lack of ‘distantiation of contemplative existentialising–frame as to transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ as arising at destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> is the very element particularly acted upon by social and intellectual pedantry as to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-conceptualisation (as it can be appreciated for instance that the lack of ‘distantiation of contemplative existentialising–frame as to transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ in a non-positivistic social-setup between prospective positivistic knowledge and prior non-positivistic knowledge is exactly what can enable pedantic dispositions to cultivate non-positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology in such a social-setup), and critically in this regards it principally involves intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as
undermining the social-construct’s intellectually potent reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>. Such muddlement is more critically as of the inconsistency associated with both sceptical argumentations (with sceptical arguments not necessarily muddling when assuming a coherent/consistent threshold of scepticism in want for elucidation) as well as surreptitiously acquiescing/accommodating argumentations, wherein in both instances the inconsistency is bent on blurring/undermining universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) as to a structural/paradigmatic implication that renders prospective knowledge impotent and so out of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity in desublimating—existentialising—decisionality gesturing of attenuating/devaluing, blurring and trivialising wherein there is ‘supposedly no totalising-entailing conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ thus allowing for totally-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought pretense-of-sublimation rather unconstrained to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation. Critically the ‘unbeholding sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ associated with nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> is necessarily of totalising-entailing as to the immediate-potency of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation thus relatively undermining such ‘beholdening as sovereignising—imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ gesturing associated with social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning as of ‘blurriness in existentialising—decisionality’ (that is, where the latter does not extensively intrude into the former as for instance in determining-and-demarcating the framework of natural sciences research). Hence
in many ways prospective knowledge cannot elude the aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming of such ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ gesturing and so relatively to the given domain-of-study/domain-of-interest blurriness, wherein blurriness is reflected with desublimating–existentialising–decisionality supposedly taking precedence over prospective knowledge-reification rather than the very knowledge-reification gesturing as determining sublimating–existentialising–decisionality; with this conflicting of ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ and ‘unbeholdening sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ so-reflected across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions given human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as to prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-⟨in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought⟩). Thus such an aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming necessarily imply the integration of the analysis of intellectual-muddlement-⟨blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩ as part and parcel of prospective knowledge-reification as to knowledge-notionalisation, and especially as so-manifested increasingly with ‘extra-knowledge/knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-knowledge frameworks’ that on the baiting of imprimatur then switch on to propound ‘extra-knowledge/knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-knowledge constructs out-of and implicitly obviating the veracity of the universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩ of knowledge-reification’ (and so as to self-serving social-vestedness/normativity) and this must effectively be contested. Such lousiness and as broadly reflected in poor media editorialising in many ways increasingly turns media accessibility into intellectual pre-eminence as
intellection is no longer about depth of contemplation and knowledge-reification for sublimation but rather about gimmicky-and-flashy threads of mere communication performance’ with many such interlocutors openly admitting-and-manifesting their critical lack of relevant intellectual thematic competence as popularity then supposedly becomes the driving force of thought; the fact though remains (however the seemingly trivialising concern about such media driven pop-intellectualism as rather unimportant in some milieus of more profound intellectual contemplation) that unfortunately in many ways directly or indirectly (as to the social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning susceptibility to ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisionality’ and as encouraged by dominance/ vested-interest actors) such pop-intellectualism end up being elevated as the summum of intellection in the social while overlooking the requisite depth of sublimating universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of critical importance for effective social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning sublimating–existentialising–decisionality (and as the ‘mediatic framework of access and communication of sublimating thought’ is rather turned around into ‘a framework that supposedly inherently create sublimating thought by mere access and communication’ especially as to naive social feel-good banalities as supposedly sublimation actually of desublimating existentialising—enframing as of vague impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’). But then the idea of knowledge driven as of totalising-entailing as so-demonstrable with say the momentous development of quantum physics with the physics totalising-entailing implications of argumentations of sublimating–existentialising–decisionality at critical moments moving from one physicist to the other as of ‘totalising-entailing pertinence of thought upheld/elevated above anyone
person’ (whether Bohr, Einstein, Dirac, Schrodinger, etc.) without any extra-knowledge/ knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-knowledge notion like reputation having any incidence, speaks to a more profound lack of constraining aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming as to institutional convenience that fails to articulate such a ‘totalising-entailing pertinence of thought upheld/elevated above anyone person’ and thus renders in relative terms the social domain more intellectually impotent in inducing a similar level of sublimating–existentialising–decisionality as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as is relatively the case in the natural sciences (and so notwithstanding the relative blurriness of the social which can effectively be brought to exactifying/precisioning–of-sublimation–<as-to-entailing-theoretical,-conceptual-and-operant-implications> as to the requisite self-criticality overcoming as well as emotional-involvement overcoming rather than assuming a relatively false social and institutional pre-eminence driven relation to knowledge); with the further implication of such ‘totalising-entailing pertinence of thought upheld/elevated above anyone person’ being that the ‘knowledge-reification process becomes highly impersonal and complementary in a natural way’ without the artifice of ‘politically-driven accommodation of ideas not necessarily as of the pre-eminence of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’. In this regards, it is contended that the argumentation articulated herein are strictly striving towards aetiolisation/ontological-escalation in reflection of ‘abstract human intemporal individuative ontological-performane (as to the backdrop of the notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions) while striving for totalising-entailing pertinence of thought’ and so projecting beyond any implications of personalising/particularising import but rather turning towards ‘ontological elucidation import as it then reifyingly-and-empoweringly enables human sublimation as to prospective operationalising construals’ and so-reflected in the idea that the
fundamental stakes of prospective knowledge-reification is about prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction and not prior social-stake-contention-or-confliction (as for instance prospective positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology is not developed to go about articulating/relating-to meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the prior social-stake-contention-or-confliction of non-positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology), and so by the mere implications of dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory—dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflicatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation (even as such prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology tend to be rather desublimatingly related to as of dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—supererogatory—dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflicatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation by the prior presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing). But then as well the fact remains that the reality of human knowledge-reification especially (as speaking to prospective human destructuring-threshold—uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—including-virtue-as-ontology>) is inevitably infused with social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning manifest politically-driven motives of desublimating—existentialising—decisionality beyond just ‘a purported baseline conception of neutral knowledge-reification’ with such frameworks projecting their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing conception of the ‘overall possibility of human existentialising—decisionality as to catchmenting-by-rejection’. In this respect, it is important to grasp that knowledge-reification then desublimatingly becomes an issue of more than just rightness or wrongness but involves a striving for interest/advantage/ascendancy/head-start with respect to existentialising—decisionality of
prospective knowledge-reification, and this reality given human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions is reflected by an inherent human ‘referencing/registering/decisioning of shallow-supererogation—to—profound-supererogation conception of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ with respect to prospective knowledge-reification. In many ways recent history of human thought has shown that ‘social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning manifest politically-driven motives of desublimating—existentialising—decisionality going beyond just neutral knowledge-reification’ that cannot be ignored as to intellectually decadent practices of scepticism and blurring underlied by cynical reframing of thought at later moments (which had been related to sceptically and in blurriness at previous moments), and so as to shallow-supererogation desublimating—existentialising—decisionality driven by mere institutional-ascendency. In many ways thus the conceptualisation herein ‘is not caught-up/constrained to any such fooleries’ (as to the history of such ploy against postmodern thought) and is consciously articulated as to the profound-supererogation motive of human sublimation beyond/and-not-subjected-to the existentialising—enframing of any shallow-supererogation social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning as to the 8.5 billion humans on planet Earth and as any party of interest of profound-supererogation may find useful or not! In this respect, it is critical to understand what defines humanity as to the ‘firstnaturedness and derived secondnaturedness positive-opportunism’ required for human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming.—‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’—as—to—existence-potency,—disclosed—from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as—of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness; as to the fact that all human sublimation is instigated as of re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-
thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) before secondnaturing positive-opportunism institutionalisation; as reflecting Derridean messianicity wherein even when the messiah comes they still have to come (inevitably so given prospective human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions to whatever induced supererogation/messianicity of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation given human dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation). It is this fact that explains why no underpinning–suprasocial-construct is able to coherently explain human-subpotency ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ since it will always be caught-up in its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing as to its underlying-presencing-distorted–meritocracy/totalising–sovereign-approportioning—of-human-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> desublimating–existentialising—decisionality. In other words ‘the legislation for human prospective sublimation’ (as to sublimating–existentialising–decisionality) lies with the firstnatured intemporal individuation relation to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and the positive-opportunism arising thereof (as of a minimum) for human secondnaturing institutionalisation; and so as to the fact that the Socrates, Descartes, Kant, Newtons, Leibniz, Pasteurs, Rousseaus, Diderots, Einsteins, Teslas, etc. didn’t ask for any prior consent from the rest of the human species to undertake whatever sublimation they envisioned about humanity making nonsensical the idea that there is any ‘generalised human deterministically
constraining contemplation of prospective sublimating’. Humanity as such has always been, is and will ever always be about intemporal individuations imagination-and-capacity-for-prospective-sublimation (as to living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology implications) and in that regards the triteness of human pedantry and <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought←as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) patently doesn’t count (given the latter associated temporal desublimating–existentialising–decisionality in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought that fails aetiologisation/ontological-escalation); and this is the case fundamentally since such intemporal disposition projected prospective sublimating–nascence engages human ontological-commitment as to prospective sublimation-over-desublimation (so-implied with the self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction underlying human ontological-commitment). The fact is the intellectual exercise is more acutely/incisively about identifying the relevant aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming in the very first place in order to then effectively relate to what is of prospective profound sublimating intellectualism and so over desublimating pedantry (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology←<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) as to the simple fact that human prospective destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> means that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always caught up prospectively between intellectualism sublimating–existentialising–decisionality and pedantry desublimating–existentialising–
decisionality. This is the case given the requisite condition for the very basic human
sublimating~existentialising~decisionality as so-underlied by existence—as-the-absolute-a-
priori-of-conceptualisation and existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation (reflecting the ever always present challenge for intellectualism
over pedantry); so-underscored by the ever always present challenge for human
dimensionality-of-sublimating—\textlt;amplituding/formative\textgreater{} supererogatory~de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to
requisite epistemic-conflatedness implied projective/reprojective—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing induced ‘projective-insights for predicative-insight’. In
this respect, intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-
entailing,-as-to-entailing-\textlt;amplituding/formative\textgreater{} epistemic-totalising—in-relative-
ontological-completeness) poor appreciation of ‘distantiation of contemplative
existentialising-frame as to transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ (with regards to living-development—as-to-personality-
development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infransctructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology implications), is reflected in the ‘extra-
knowledge/knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-knowledge paradox’ when it
claims to co-opt/supersede prospective sublimating knowledge-reification (on the basis of
desublimating prior apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism in epistemic-
abnormalcy/preconvergence) failing to grasp the underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—
\textlt;amplituding/formative\textgreater{} supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation of the said prospective sublimating knowledge-reification; as to
imply that (say with regards to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as.infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) it is supposedly possible to understand the veracity of any specific positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology while remaining of non-positivistic mindset, which inevitably induces a relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating–existentialising–decisionality. This ‘extra-knowledge/knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-knowledge paradox’ when it claims to co-opt/supersede prospective sublimating knowledge-reification can be further elucidated along the same lines (with regards to living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development) wherein for instance the notion of say genius is supposed to imply the ‘supposed genius’ is exceptional/abnormal (by their ‘specifically given sublimating elucidation’ so-enabled as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). But then actually the ‘supposed genius’ cannot be exceptional/abnormal for the simple reason that ‘existence (so sublimatingly elucidated) is nothing but just normal as to its ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ reflecting the fact that the social-construct meaningfulness-and-teleology as from the moment of the sublimating elucidation is/has-been rather of epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence, with the notion of ‘supposed genius’ serving as to human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) to render obstruse the veracity of this epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence of the social-construct meaningfulness-and-teleology that the ‘supposed genius’ is pointing out as ‘the very issue at stake warranting the social-construct’s prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluitive-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drovenness–equalisation’ as the ‘supposed genius’ sublimating elucidation implies it has relatively achieved its own ‘prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’ and is of no inherent prospective issue in that respect. Such that in fact such a notion of genius thus as to wrongly implicated exceptionalism/abnormalcy is surreptitiously (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) about substituting a different and desublimating–existentialising–decisionality (whether of pedantic incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-conceptualisation or <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) and particularly so in relatively blurry domains-of-study/domains-of-interest (as we can appreciate that such a ‘technically wrong presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing deficient notion of genius’ in spheres of inherently sublimating–nascence as to nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations—blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving> is practically of ‘insignificant though technical ontological-impertinence’ and so ‘as to their very knowledge-reification gesturing as determining sublimating–existentialising–decisionality’ since the immediate/direct potency as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation will be highly challenging to any incompetent mind pretending to be technically/scientifically apt/of-sublimating-existentialising–decisionality in lieu of the truly apt/of-sublimating-existentialising–decisionality technician/scientist, and so unlike desublimating–existentialising–decisionality taking precedence over prospective knowledge-reification arising relatively in blurry
contrast between social-vestedness/normativity and re-orginariness/re-origination availing with regards to relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications’ along the same lines as the conception of both reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in the sense that the one notion is already caught up in the other notion in the sublimating/desublimating <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as to relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications just as for instance the notion of length is already caught up in the notion of width in the ‘sublimating <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of a rectangle’ and so with regards to the fact that human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology of meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always about ‘idealised-typification in epistemic-conflatedness sublimation or epistemic constitutedness/pseudoconflation desublimation/gimmickiness’ for eliciting sublimation/desublimation from the ‘full-potency of existence withheld as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projection-perspective’). As we can appreciate that more critically than any individual persons punctual existential ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>/morality/ethics, etc. the vices-and-impediments manifested in any registry-worldview/dimension are more decisively explained by the given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> dynamics of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ (with the grandest deeds of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>/morality/ethics, etc. rather reflected in the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-
mentativity of any such destructuring-threshold—uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality—of-ontological-performance—


including-virtue-as-ontology>/morality/ethics, etc. wrongly construed as of human structural/paradigmatic flawed ‘referenced/registered/decisioned self-presentation/self-constitutability’). All the more profound and truer notion of ontological-performance—

including-virtue-as-ontology>/morality/ethics, etc. rather lies with prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—

supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation and this aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming ‘can’t be dodged’ and then a pretense of prospective ontological-performance—

including-virtue-as-ontology>/morality/ethics, etc. re-avails (explaining why what then arises is rather pedantic incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-conceptualisation and associated with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). Put simply as of non-presencing—

as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> (in so-reflecting human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—

epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), the vices-and-impediments of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism and our positivism—procrypticism at their respective destructuring-threshold—uninstitutionalised—
threshold/presublimating–desublimating–decisionality—of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> structurally/paradigmatically speak to their requisite prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming as all the more profound and truer notion of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>/morality/ethics, etc. and so overriding their nombrilistic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conceptual naivities of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>/morality/ethics, etc. This ‘extra-knowledge/knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-knowledge paradox’ is exactly what underlies the flawed circular manifestation of ‘human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in relative-ontological-
incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
desublimating–existentialising–decisionality’ and warranting prospective cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure; and so as reflecting the difference between a conception of knowledge as of mechanical-knowledge and knowledge as of organic-knowledge as to the latter more profound and genuine knowledge conception implication for prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
supererogating’ (and so over the mechanical-knowledge conception implication of knowledge as a mere vague thing ready-at-hand ‘separate from human construction-of-the-
Self’ thus wrongly implying dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\) supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness~equalisation as to structural/paradigmatic flawed ‘referenced/registered/decisioned self-presence/self-constitutedness’). Critically, in many ways the ‘projection that the social is necessarily a framework of knowledge as to knowledge-driven existentialising~decisionality’ is ontologically flawed given human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions to arrive at desublimating or sublimating~existentialising~decisionality overlooking organic-knowledge implications (whether by temporal ‘beholdening as sovereignising~imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ implied ‘pretense-of-sUBLIMATION as to desublimating~existentialising~decisionality supposedly taking precedence over prospective knowledge-reification’ or intemporal ‘unbeholdening sublimating~nascence ontologising-depth of the full-pOTENCY of existence’ implied ‘as to the very knowledge-reification gesturing as determining sublimating~existentialising~decisionality’). Thus as to critical pure-ontology (underlied as of overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-spanintelligibility-\(<\text{imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation}>\) the fact is rather that inherent to human temporality is its ‘ephemeral purpose beholdening’ that ‘do not truly know-of/carry a universal-transparency–\(<\text{transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing-}\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\) epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) project’ as to its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-\(<\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}>\) frame. The prospect of human temporality induced increasing incoherence (as to living-development~as-to-personality-development, institutional-development~as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-
the individual and not knowledge, well before sublimating knowledge can be of any relevance thereof as to derived-formulaicity projected reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation). This ‘human existentialising—decisionality dual psychological-dispositions continuum-gradient of sovereignising—by—ontologising-depth in inducing desublimation/sublimation’ effectively underlies the inherent existentialising—decisionality of underpinning—suprasocial-construct as to underlying socio-econo-political subontologisation/ideology-over-ontology whether technocratic, capitalistic or communist; as to the fact that in many ways ‘the very existentialising—realness of such abstract notions as to their nondisjointing tends to be absent/vague, relative/qualified and ephemeral/fleeting’ with the underpinning—suprasocial-construct more fruitfully identifiable/construable as to its ‘underlying social dynamics of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness social-vestedness/normativity’ that-drives/is-behind such subontologisation/ideology-over-ontology disjointing abstract notions as technocratic, capitalistic or communist which are rather ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (as can be more vividly be observed in moments of crisis when such ‘underlying social dynamics of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness social-vestedness/normativity’ manifest themselves as superseding any such abstract ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ but also persistently across time in more subtle ways). Such ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ are geared on collectively inducing defaulting ‘beholdening as sovereignising—imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ existentialising—decisionality psychological-disposition (as to relative-ontological-incompleteness—presublimation-construct—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating—existentialising—decisionality) that goes on to
'surreptitiously/subconsciously distract-from/drown/dilute/enframe the possibility for prospective incisive and diligent ontological-veracity sublimation/emancipation analysis of any such underpinning–suprasocial-construct defining catchmenting-by-rejection of value and value-possibilities’ as to the underlying manifestations of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness social-vestedness/normativity (as more thoroughly elucidated further above); wherein as ‘supposedly forever-and-ever tried-and-tested ready-to-hand reflex existentialising–decisionality that do not know of human limited-mentation-capacity and thus the need for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’ the analytical possibility for original prospective creative re-ontologisation (as required for human scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–re-ontologisation/supererogatory–involuting-or-guilding-or-amplifying–scalarisation-<as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>) is structurally/paradigmatically closed-off, and there is ‘supposedly no sublimating/emancipating existentialising–decisionality meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that can arise outside the underpinning–suprasocial-construct existentialising—enframing as putting into question the very ontological-veracity of the subontologisation/ideology-over-ontology ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (as the underpinning–suprasocial-construct becomes an enclosing/hemming-in religiosity inculcated as defining the very notional/epistemic framework of human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulneess-and-teleology and so consciously/unconsciously as supposedly superseding pure-ontology) as we can appreciate that the very supposedly abstract notions of say social-science or economics-science or
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) of sublimating–nascence’ eliciting human positive-opportunism integration secondnatured—
supererogatory~progressivity) ‘unbeholding sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ existentialising–decisionality is notionally operating but rather operating as to the enframing of that underpinning–suprasocial-construct ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ (as reflecting the reality of human shallow-supererogation of supererogatory~progressivity). Thus beneath any supposedly underpinning–suprasocial-construct (reflected in the modern-day underlying socio-econo-political subontologisation/ideology-over-ontology whether technocratic, capitalistic or communist), is a more fundamental ‘non-presencing<-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> notion of supererogatory~progressivity’ (however the shallow-supererogation of supererogatory~progressivity) accounting for the possibility for prospective human social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning sublimation/desublimation as in effect creatively permeating all such ‘underpinning–suprasocial-construct of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising–entailing’; and so (especially potent when such ‘non-presencing<-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> notion of supererogatory~progressivity’ is manifested as of profound supererogating entailings<-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation over shallow-supererogation of supererogatory~progressivity), as more critically superseding human delusions as to desublimating beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing~inhibited-mental-aestheticising (and thus reflecting the sublimating possibility for prospective ‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing<-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>’ as to ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing–disinhibited-mental-aestheticising sublimation reclamation/recovery from beholding-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination–as-to-historicity-tracing–inhibited-mental-aestheticising’, so-construed as reclamation/recovery of unenframed-conceptualisation). In this respect we can appreciate with regards to the capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ that its most critical/grave moments are moments at which it is hardly/poorly present/existent as to its ‘given implied totalising-entailing meaningfulness-and-teleology’ wherein for instance the social atrophying associated with the Great Depression rather elicited statal supererogatory~progressivity extending into the postwar era of sociopolitical and socioeconomic value renewal that can hardly be qualified as of capitalistic instigation in the pure sense of the word and in many ways the technocracy developed and resourced in the postwar years and the associated scientific and technical advancement especially in the face of the Cold War in many ways speak to an underlying supererogatory~progressivity on which waves the capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ rode as so-reflected by Eisenhower cautioning about the U.S. militario-industrial complex potential sycophantic exploitation of such overall national supererogatory~progressivity and further reflected as to the accruing of national technical and scientific dividends incommensurably to private capitalistic actors. Furthermore, moments of national socio-economic crises as to such capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ have always been critically involved with recouping and reallocating resources and means for ‘a poorly self-sustaining capitalistic model of social ascendency with respect to public externalities, taxation and public debt’ as such a capitalistic model increasingly developed in later years into a structural/paradigmatic parasitising renting economic model associated with the explosion of financialisation especially as it substitutes/arrogates the
social capacity to instigate formative supererogatory-progressivity initiatives (as it can now be appreciated that in many ways much of the postwar economy arose as of strong public and local governance directed investment in public infrastructure, housing and property which supererogatory-progressivity in many ways is now capitalistically substituted/arrogated rather as of a short-term renting-model that thrives upon creating winners and losers as to asset inflation strategy for skewed value-extraction). In a critical respect all the creative social supererogatory-progressivity after the postwar years is now reduced in terms of public mitigation of the deleterious fallouts from the capitalistic model all other social supererogatory-progressivity possibilities are now effectively assumed to lie with propping up a poorly self-sustaining capitalistic model (with respect to public subventions, bailouts, taxbreaks) and so notwithstanding the massive financial gains and transfers to tax havens as to a global economy of contrasting rising wealth disparity with the supererogatory-progressivity for individual and social creative initiatives construed as lying in a labour subsistence surrendering to whatever modest possibilities such capitalistic model makes available as supposedly an absolutely determining construct of human supererogatory-progressivity possibilities (while overlooking the reality of its manifest renting parasitising of social value and value possibilities). This in effect speaks to ‘a renting and skewed value-extraction capitalistic colonising of the social capacity for supererogatory-progressivity’ as to imply that the social capacity for initiative can only be logged/cultured into the expropriating/estranging/constraining/limiting capitalistic model and so-reflected as of a globalised framework of totalising-entailing interlocking corporate interests and corporate welfaring that in effect critically and implicitly dictates to states (as of the subtle threat of runaway financial and economic disaster and/or state political-economy retrogradation for non-compliance) the very possibility for their full-capacity for supererogatory-progressivity while being well aloof of the public accounting that political
actors running states have to fulfill thus speaking to a most fundamental globalised capitalistic induced democratic-deficit while relatively disempowered governments are left to pick-up-the-pieces (while structurally/paradigmatically hemmed-in by the clerical counsels championing the capitalistic model) as to the blindness/sightlessness of a general public backlash (directed to media-driven impressionable narratives rather than to the protracted implications of the roguish capitalistic model), and so as to the more critical structural/paradigmatic international capitalistic system usurpation and undermining of the possibility for social supererogatory-progressivity and rendering democratic processes circularly unsatisfactory with the electorate increasingly resorting to protest and anti-incumbent votes. In many ways thus the supererogatory-progressivity potential of the global economy presents more opportunities than the capitalistic model arrogatingly seem to imply as in many ways it can be argued that as of individual and social supererogatory-progressivity much of ‘vocational rationale’, ‘vocational skills’, ‘vocational economic models’ and ‘vocational creativity’ underlying the capitalistic model can perfectly thrive without capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’; and so as to the fact that the very notion of capitalistic enterprising across the world takes various shapes and forms wherein ‘the more doctrinaire skewed value-extraction and market distorting models’ ride-the-wave of profound value creation activities (often of poorly compensated supererogatory-progressivity) and in many ways undermining the inclination for profound value creation as to the shortcut for short-term returns. This capitalistic model of skewed value-extraction undermines the possibility of overall human supererogatory-progressivity as to when in the contest between optimal-resource-allocation for value-creation as to the requisite creativity for individuals and social supererogatory-progressivity and skewed value-extraction eventually reflects poorly self-sustaining capitalistic model (but for mechanisms of external and foreign relocations
exploiting the externalities investments in education and infrastructure of second and third world countries) but still posing the question as to how skewed value-extraction can structurally/paradigmatically address in the long-run issues of requisite social and public investment as a requisite for a theoretically self-sustaining economic model (not critically driven and supported by the supererogatory~progressivity prioritisation of local or foreign state) as ‘arrogating public supererogatory~progressivity at the exclusion of overall social and resourcefulness/ingenious possibilities’. Interestingly, the more explicit manifestation of supererogatory~progressivity as underlying any given underpinning–suprasocial-construct is most obvious today with the Chinese economic revolution as to the creative impetus driving its overall socioeconomic transformation. Here again it is fair to say just like with the Japanese and South Korean economic revolutions (given their more uniform and deferential populations) there is a whole directedness here (beyond just a purist capitalism model especially of a renting and skewed value-extraction capitalistic model) and so as to ‘country supererogatory~progressivity directed whole socioeconomic transformation project’, and in many ways the capacity for the Chinese to now begin to invest abroad lies with this relatively healthy supererogatory~progressivity conception/model less betrothed to short-term skewed value-extraction poorly capable of fulfilling the necessary externalities investment to thrive in weaker developing markets (in contrast to the long-term resource-allocation needed make such markets stable and sustainable). But then in reality when push-came-to-shove the fact is that the postwar history of all modern developed governments was hardly about their naïve subjection to a purit capitalistic model to rebuild themselves as in reality their redevelopment involved initial and massive public-driven investments in association with already matured nation-building human resource as to the reality of their supererogatory~progressivity national development programmes (especially as in the middle of the 20th century international trade accounted for just a small part of economic growth) and it is this that
purportedly then gave way in later years to a the rising capitalistic model associated with privatisations and private equitisation; and this supererogatory~progressivity model applied in the postwar governments of Western Europe, the United States as well as China, Japan and South Korea as to their initial economic redevelopment. Paradoxically one of the most deleterious postwar economic policy stances advanced with respect to many a third world country as to the prodding of international economic organisations and as ‘abstractly and vaguely theorised’ by capitalist economists was the advocacy of nation-building in the third world following their postwar independence on the basis of the purist capitalistic model, thus leading in many ways to perpetuating the dependence of these nations on these international economic organisations as having to submit to the capitalistic shallow-supererogation of supererogatory~progressivity as so-associated with debt servitude and structural adjustment programmes. The fact then is that the only nations in the postwar years that ‘truly experienced anything closed to the pure capitalistic economic model as devoid of any national supererogatory~progressivity investment-drive and social programmes mitigation for the consequences of the capitalistic model’ are in many ways third world countries of limited human and natural resources to be capable of instigating national supererogatory~progressivity with respect to their incipiently disadvantageous circumstances (especially compounded by their limited nation-building human resources) and this in many ways accounts for their high and relatively inefficient and subsistence informal sectors as to the relative inability of state resources to construct profound and sustainable projects of socioeconomic development (and even then when given the chance with the little means available as of a natural intuition they recoursed essentially to supererogatory~progressivity initiatives like education and basic infrastructural capacities that will hardly pass the test of a true profit-driven and value-extraction capitalistic model), and more critically so as to their more profound interests in social stability in the very first place able to arise only as from a
basic level of social wellbeing of their populations before even contemplating of any such abstract capitalistic model rationale (which in many ways actually served to induce a skewed logic on the basis of which natural resources exploiting corporations from developed countries exploit third world natural resources on unfair terms of economic supererogation) and as the short-termism of such a capitalistic model can hardly contribute to inducing the requisite political stability for sustained economic progress (with the capitalistic model as to its self-serving requirement rather warranting the requisite externalities possibilities for its thriving to be established beforehand). The more abstract rationale here (as to ‘human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> omni-potential commensurability with inherent immanent-existence’s sublimation-structure’/omni-potentiality) is to reflect the reality today of underlying human supererogatory~progressivity as to the incipient reality that human family, communal, clanic and national communities cannot truly operate on the totalising-entailing basis of a purist capitalistic model of social organisation (as to the very risk of undermining social organisation as reflected in the relative prioritisation of national education and basic public facilities in the post-independence years in many third world countries) with such a purist conception rather reflected as to capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ in a rather comprehensively developed framework of value-allocation and value-extraction. Further the capitalistic model as to its fabrication of winners and losers given its all englobing delimiting of human supererogatory~progressivity increasingly brings peoples at loggerheads across races, classes, regions and nations with the implication that since it is centrally/critically defining as to the present day statal conception of social supererogatory~progressivity possibilities, there must necessarily be losers and winners with no creative supererogatory~progressivity beyond this dilemma; thus as to the fact that there can’t be a profound humanity-level creative
supererogatory~progressivity as well as decolonised–capitalistic-by-statal so-construed as ‘anarchical individual and social supererogatory~progressivity’. Such a representation as herein articulated of the truer supererogatory~progressivity (however the shallow-supererogation of supererogatory~progressivity) beneath the capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ is hardly reflected today as to ‘hardened narratives of an absolutising pure capitalistic model’ as mirroring the very ruthlessness associated with the renting and skewed value-extraction capitalistic model (as so-enculturated socially and mediatically as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness social-vestedness/normativity). The relative veracity of supererogatory~progressivity is strongly seen with the state-driven Asian and European supererogatory~progressivity economies (with the Germans, Japanese and Chinese out-competing the U.S. with respect to trade balance and so without all the ‘grandiose capitalistic economic theorising’ but on the more veridical realism of policy-driven supererogatory~progressivity) and as even in the U.S. there is atleast a critical level of strategic supererogatory~progressivity with local states definitely adopting incentives-driven approaches of supererogatory~progressivity; all this speaking from an entailing-totalising perspective analysis of the purist capitalistic model as poorly self-sustaining of its socioeconomic framework (especially its relative irresponsibility with regards to foundational externalities like education, infrastructure, well thought-out policies, collective social advancement, etc.). The bigger question that then arises has to do with the possibility for optimal human supererogatory~progressivity ‘beyond just the statism and geostrategy/states-competition muddled framework’ that is structured/paradigmed to induce skewed shallow-supererogation of supererogatory~progressivity as to capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Taking a step aback, in many ways the reality of the very fundamental notion of the capitalistic model speaking of
perfect markets do not exist, and rather ‘markets themselves develop as advantageously created situations after the facts’ as to the requisite human creative supererogatory–progressivity for a market to even arise; and in this respect the supposed fittest notion of capitalistic competition as to punctual/immediate fitness tends to underperform the more advantageous supererogative contemplative deliberation of markets for critically efficient/optimising resource allocation/utilisation/development (as to the fact that structurally/paradigmatically the relatively deliberative conceptualisation of markets associated with say German, Japanese, Chinese, South Korean public-policy supererogatory–progressivity economic models participate in their competitive edge over ‘vague/abstract punctual/immediate fitness notion of capitalistic competition’ that speaks to an overall deliberative optimalising potential of human supererogatory–progressivity beyond any such capitalistic limitative-artifice-of-human-imaginary/metaphysical-conceptualisation as to ‘unbeholding sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ existentialising–decisionality). the so-construed notional–deprocrypticism epistemicity conception of predicative-effectivity–sublation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as to the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process provides the requisite basis for prospective human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> convergence towards ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normancy/postconvergence’, and so as to the fact that prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as of its superseding/transcending conception (beyond ‘social-construct <amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnaturing’) technically equates to ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ so-implied with the protensive-consciousness ‘deepest
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’) as so-relevantly analysable across the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions (as reflecting the underlying ‘human social psychology of dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation> of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’) involves presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing manifestations as to:

eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-

prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation>’ implied as of ‘human-

subpotency non-scalarity/beholding-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-

structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ will wrongly

project the accomplishment of prospective ontologisation and value-construction as from

presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as to its prior Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-

infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-

incompleteness enframed-conceptualisation induced living-development—as-to-personality-
development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development of social-

stake-contention-or-confliction and wrongly implying that any given registry-

worldview/dimension is an imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in-

surmountable/unovercomable framework since it fails to factor in how registry-

worldviews/dimensions are transcended for prospective re-ontologisation and value-

construction; in the sense that it is as of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reflected

‘re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-

postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-

conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition

supererogatory rescalarisation of ontologisation and value-construction (within any given registry-worldview/dimension

presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing) inducing prospective sublimation-over-desublimation

meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure thus effectively superseding any such given registry-worldview/dimension underpinning–suprasocial-construct prior conception of

ontologisation and value-construction’, and so as to the underlying ‘tight-and-entwined
connection between the overall human ontological-commitment as to existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (across all registry-
worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-
effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) inherent in the
'scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ perspective that
such ‘re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation—(imbued-
postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-
conflatedness’—of-notional—deprocrypticism—prospective—sublimation) intemporal-disposition’
can induce, and with such ‘re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-
conceptualisation—(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-
insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’—of-notional—deprocrypticism—prospective—
sublimation) intemporal-disposition supererogatory rescalarisation of ontologisation and
value-construction (within any given registry-worldview/dimension presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing) inducing prospective
sublimation—over—desublimation meaningfulness—and—teleology infrastructure thus effectively
superseding any such given registry-worldview/dimension underpinning—suprasocial-
construct prior conception of ontologisation and value-construction’
structurally/paradigmatically explain the possibility for the succession of registry-
worldviews/dimensions as to prospectively induced living-development—as-to-personality-
development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development social-stake-
contention—or—confliction),
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing (beyond
'subontologisation of ontology as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness—<as-to-its-
eliciting-by—or—exploiting—of—descalarising—sycophantic—sophistic—interests,—as—inducing—
prospective—threshold—of—institutional—and—social—desublimation'>),
appreciation of Socratic philosophy and budding-positivism didn’t arise as to their abstractly articulated universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism respectively (explaining their persecution at that instigative stage) but only took hold respectively as to the positive-opportunism respectively of a universalising-idealisation backdrop and positivism/rational-empiricism backdrop for the subsequent induced living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction implications these ushered at which point the need to draw from their respective meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for prospectively induced living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction then elicited their appreciation. This reflect the fact that the rescalarising re-ontologisation respectively as of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and prospective deprocrypticism or preemtting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought over the respective subontologisation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construed as descalarising, rather speak of a ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ and its derived deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing that goes well beyond the sophistic/pedantic contemplative pertinence or logical-basis/logic-as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> of any of the transcended registry-worldview/dimension caught up in its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). The further implication is that such ‘a
deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of rescalarion possibilities for re-ontologisation. In this regards with respect to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing conception of social-stake-contention-or-confliction in its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, in many ways the core incipient/nascent/instigative social intellectual-function as keeping opened/alive the ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ is about an intemporal-disposition that is consummated as to its unenframed-conceptualisation and so in ‘articulating the universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the dead-end as to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing with respect to its implications for prospective induced living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ and thus ushering the possibility for prospective ontological-good-faith/authenticity within-and-without such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing in renewing the social intellectual-function engagement for such prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and so as to the fact that Socratic philosophers were more critically/precisely involved in reparadigm/structuring thought rather as of philosophy implied universalising-idealisation ontological-good-faith/authenticity over non-universalising sophistry ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
(amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications is as of the apriorising conflatedness of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implied maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness unenframed-conceptualisation and not apriorising constitutedness implied incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-conceptualisation) and in many ways structurally/paradigmatically explains the engrained manifestation for the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions elapsing into ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing with the subontologisation of ontology as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness<-as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation>’,

- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing skewed epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating conception of value-construction as to social-vestedness/normativity,

- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing construed as the imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable framework with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction,

- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag closed framework of sanctified probity and probationary exercise,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing social disenfranchising underlying desublimating influence-networking—<subverting-supposedly-universal-possibilities-and-opportunities> falsely construed as prospectively sublimating,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing flawed exemplifying/epitomising/palliation as supposedly sublimation in substitution of relevant ontological optimisation exercise for prospective sublimation,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing institutional and social dysfunctional stultifying/hampering as to constricted enframed outlets of sublimation and defensive institutional threatening of chaos with regards to re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’—of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) prospective sublimation possibilities it construes as valuelessness,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing desublimation as to formulaic hollowing-out/pedantising of priorly induced sublimation,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing catchmenting of budding sublimating ontologisation and value-construction into its constricted desublimating existentialising—enframing of institutionalised social-vestedness/normativity undermining the full potential for prospective ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology and value-construction,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing structural/paradigmatic demobilisation of human sovereign and full prospective sublimation capacity,
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as to preempting prospectively subverting sublimation,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing structurally/paradigmatically construing as calamitous the possibility for prospective re-ontologisation from its subontologisation; with ‘human superseding of so-articulated presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing’ keeping opened/alive the ‘scality/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. Critically, scalarisation analysis operantly implies projecting the implied ‘scaling/scalar of reference’ as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective implications of analysis as to the prospective possibilities for ‘human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In this regards, it can very much be appreciated that human scalarisation potential (existentially manifestable as of successive rescalarisation as re-ontologisation as to
human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplituding-formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications) reflects all the sublimation-over-desublimation possibility for the full possibility of human ontological-performance-⟨including-virtue-as-ontology⟩ as can be so-construed as from notional~deprocrypticism prospectively implied originariness/origination-⟨so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence⟩. But then inevitably human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplituding-formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications speaks to conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity in the sense that (beyond naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing) as from non-presencing-⟨as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence⟩ epistemic-projection perspective, ‘human descalarisation is already caught up in the human aspiration for scalarisation re-originariness/re-origination’ as to the underlying sublimating-by-desublimating ⟨amplituding-formative⟩epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as to relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications just as for instance the notion of length is already caught up in the notion of width in the ‘sublimating ⟨amplituding-formative⟩epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of a rectangle’ and so with regards to the fact that human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology of meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always about ‘idealised-typification in epistemic-conflatedness sublimation or epistemic constitutedness/pseudoconflation desublimation/gimmickiness’ for elicitng sublimation/desublimation from the ‘full-potency of existence withheld as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projection-perspective’. In this respect, scalarisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
conceptualisation) underlies the very possibility for human limited-mentation-capacity-
deepening–(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) reflecting the ontological-contiguity—
of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The overall point here is that the human as ever
always caught up in ‘human limited-mentation-capacity implied phenomenal/manifest
concreteness/concretism/existentialising—enframing—of-human-ontological-performance—
<including-virtue-as-ontology> descalarisation reflex’, the human capacity for scalarisation
lies in a ‘distending/dragged-out scalarisation relationship’ with this ‘phenomenal/manifest
concreteness/concretism/existentialising—enframing—of-human-ontological-performance—
<including-virtue-as-ontology> descalarisation reflex’ as to the fact that human absolute
scalarisation cannot be achieved as to any resultant reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of
concreteness/concretism/existentialising—enframing—of-human-ontological-performance—
<including-virtue-as-ontology>, as human absolute scalarisation is always a potential held-up
in originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as to the capacity for ‘human
gesturing of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness’ (as can be so-appreciated with the notional–deprocrypticism or
<amplituding/formative>notional–preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process); such that
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
conceptualisation rather speaks of ‘one long continuous whole of human originariness-
parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as of notional–deprocrypticism’ which guiding
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framework involving a detour to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of<-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-confatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. The supposed consequence of such ontologically-flawed analysis as to intersubjectivity—of—meaningfulness-and-teleology presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing that fails to grasp relative-ontological-completenes...
ontologisation/supererogatory–involuting-or-guilding-or-amplifying–scalarisation–<as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>’ (as to prospective human ontological-performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology> potential for historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing over historicity-tracing) structurally/paradigmatically encompasses:


- human individual as solipsistic sovereign-emergence of drivenness beyond just ‘socially induced emancipatory/non-emancipatory drivenness’ as to the individual thrownness in any
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>,
- human formative convoluted developmental echeloning in any registry-worldview/dimension as of socially translatative ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity–structure reflecting respectively the structure of human intemporal and temporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>,

Such a depth of contemplation as to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology effectively reflects a rather more profound conceptualisation of human psychology as to its transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity inducing potential as to the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (at the crossroads of prior meaningfulness-and-teleology and prospective metaphoricity) over approaches of relative gimmickiness-of-thought as to our positivism/rational-empiricism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that poorly address human egotistic/self-referential complex in the face of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint and with the corresponding possibility for sophistic/pedantic moral and intellectual disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession (as the fact is when it comes to social-stake-contention-or-confliction ‘knowledge-reification tends to be notionally/epistemically caught up between a sublimation and desublimation/gimmickiness paradigm’ as reflected in the social reality of ‘a veil of knowledge associated with
subterfuges’ reflected say in an ambiguous continuity between genuine-knowledge and
chicanery, social/institutional intellectualism and social/institutional sycophantic-sophistry,
treatment and placebo, alchemy and chemistry, quackery and medicine, technological-
advancement and technical-mystification, flawed-industrial-analyses-and-certifications and
disinterested-scientific-analyses-and-certifications, etc.). In other words, the notion of ‘the
other’ as aetiology/ontological-escalation is much more than ‘magnanimity towards the
other’ but more fully a stance that ‘calls upon a principled commitment to the notion of the
other’ by the other as enabling the completeness of universal responsibility. Paradoxically,
viewed from this angle as of the possibility of inducing prospective notional-
contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–qualia-schema> for ontologically-veridical virtue transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, a different interpretation can be made
about the posture of a thinker like Heidegger during the troubled years of the 1930s; as
effectively, the implication of Heidegger’s analysis of the situation which he associated with
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity points to ‘a conception emphasising ontology as defining
virtue thus ultimately geared towards prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-
<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of the need
for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, but failing not
because of the said orientation but with regards to the wrong conclusion about Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology misunderstood as implying that it lies with a
historical tradition like the Ancient Greece tradition or German Folk tradition rather than
lying with an underlying transcendental universal notion construed as ‘going beyond them-and-us logic’ as of the implications of universal human emancipatory potential of re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflictedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation), and this fundamentally scuppered his possibility of ‘attaining a conception of prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of the need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, rather than an ‘ontologically-flawed idea implying a certain given historical tradition’. Likewise, but with regards to virtue analysts analyses that are naively articulated on the basis of the ontological-contiguity of our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as of our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought leading to palliation as of selecting, triaging, mutually-concurring-and-accommodating and power-relations driven palliating virtue constructs, an altogether different drawback is decisively apparent as we know that since those troubled years, wars, genocides, and other crimes against humanity have still been taking place and will probably continue to take place, as of the structural/paradigmatic consequence arising with such manifestations in ontological-contiguity of our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’; divulging that conceptualising virtue in ontological-contiguity is at best only of palliative consequence and not truly aetiologisation/ontological-escalation which rather warrants prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The fact is well-meaningness, good-intentions and/or good-naturedness however
comforting to contemplate about doesn’t substitute for ontology/ontological-veridicality as of the need to truly understand the human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics behind human action for appropriate aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that brings an end to the endemisation and enculturation of any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s vices-and-impediments. This existential reality about ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is no more different between the social world and the natural world, and so as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation inherent ontological coherence/contiguity. This insight about virtue as lying with ontology has been to varying degrees implicitly understood by many postmodern thinkers, beginning with Heidegger pointing to a sophistication of thought but for the poor development and poor conclusions of his analysis during the troubled years of 1930s; and rather poorly interpreted by virtue critiques adopting a ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ in ontological-contiguity as of its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought perspective construed-as reasoning-from-results/afterthought of modernity. Such sophistication of thought to think in terms of inherent ontology, however ontologically-flawed with respect to Heidegger, has been further implicitly pursued by latter postmodern thinkers as of quasi-transcendental implications for construing virtue from the orientation of prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as implicated with the case of Derrida’s spirit insight. Ultimately, the ‘postmodern deproprietism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ should ontologically nurture the requisite psychoanalytic-
unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification for prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought implied as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as implied by postmodern human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation thus inducing the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation addressing/resolving our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ vices-and-impediments. As a further elucidation, prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought actually points out that the uninstitutionalised-threshold is rather a point of de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) which is what justifies the pre-eminence of the prospective institutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme over the uninstitutionalised-threshold attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. We can effectively grasp why Heidegger’s implicated insight as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> but rather being associated with a given tradition actually couldn’t break through the barrier of perceiving notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> as ‘futural way of thinking’, as it misperceived that any tradition can reveal as of its inherent nature the ‘futural way of thinking’, rather than that this lies with ‘a universal principle understanding of the transformation of traditions’ and thus how such universal principle understanding as of its universal implications informs about the ‘futural way of thinking’. In this regard, we can equally understand why Heidegger’s supposed criticism of Cartesianism was altogether a
misplaced analysis given that ‘a universal principle understanding of the transformation of traditions’ as herein implied by this author in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, would have provided the insight that Descartes was actually ‘establishing a positivism tradition as of futural way of thinking’ breaking away from non-positivism/medievalism and so ‘as to the fact that dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is aporetically the more fundamental incipient/seeding originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation to Descartes thinking-proposition for budding-positivism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ and thus in many ways the naïve/flawed Cartesianism today arise as to a reasoning as from reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation perspective whereas Descartes is more fundamentally involved in an aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming exercise with respect to medieval-scholasticism non-positivising (as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) which philosophically precedes his secondary thinking-proposition as reasoning-from-results/afterthought; such that budding-positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument becomes intelligible, thus revealing that Heidegger notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> why intending to be of prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is
actually of an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring with prior positivism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, even though in its attempt it effectively elicits many insights for the prospect of ontologically-veridical prospective postmodern
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with its corresponding postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. In other words philosophical thought is all incipiently/seedingly about dimensionality-of-sublimating—
We thus see why the future redevelopment of Heideggerian misconceived prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as undertaken by latter thinkers like Foucault, Derrida, Deleuze, Lacan, Lyotard and others are full of prospective quasi-transcendental ‘structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications’ as reflecting an underlying reality of prospective reference-of-thought de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) construed herein as of prospective postmodern deprocrypticism or preempts—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought a priorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility setup/measuring instrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, and so just as searing with ‘structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications’ was the mathesis universalis metaphoricity extended development/influence on the works of the Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Leibnizes and others that ultimately reflected an underlying reality of prospective reference-of-thought de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) implied as of prospective positivism a priorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility setup/measuring instrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in superseding/transcending non-positivism/medievalism. In effect it is herein contended that what is implicitly missed about the Cartesian proposition ‘I think therefore I am’ is not the idea that Descartes contemplates that he is the first person to be self-conscious about his thinking; rather his underlying reasoning is ‘more than just speculative doubting’ but ‘motivated doubting’ that is highly contextual-as-of-the-non-positivism/medieval-epoch and highly prefigurative-as-to-what-Descartes-wants-to-do-of-transformative-with-thinking-given-that-context aporeticism (underlying that Descartes’
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalisng/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is aporetically
the more fundamental incipient/seeding originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation in then secondarily inducing his thinking-proposition for budding-positivism
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation). That is, Descartes seeks to affirm the ‘mereness of thought’ beyond any
existing habit-and-tradition-of-thought as of non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism
pedantic dogmatism reasoning-from-results/afterthought, and so liberated rearticulate thought
‘out of thin air’ as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as reflected by his
novel mathesis universalis metaphoricity rationalism schema/dissemination that permeates all
of his works such that even with his ontological argument something subtle and more original
is happening, in that unlike many medieval scholasticism dogmatic interpretations that
construe of a supernatural permeation into the natural, in affirming the ontological argument
Descartes blocks-out/passivises the supernatural from the natural with the metaphoricity
implication that the natural can be thought of operationally and in sublimation on its own
terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct. Thus Descartes ‘I think therefore I am’ is rather a statement
of intent as of a ‘futural way of thinking and sublimation’ and its budding positivism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
disposition/care—and—episteme, that is unique as ‘consciously setting up the pre-eminence of
thinking in eliciting-and-resolving systemic doubting and structuring/paradigming the
possibility of elucidation of any subject on this thinking and sublimation basis’. In effect
Descartes project is actually as to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression-as-of—epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness relative-ontological-completeness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of positivism, and so from the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of non-positivism/medievalism. With both the budding positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme and postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, we may be forgiven to confuse-and-dismiss their schema/structural-or-paradigmatic-disseminative-implications as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as incoherent from a shallow-and-immediate uninsightful analytical perspective on the basis of the respectively prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought of non-positivism/medievalism and positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (since as of the latter relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective ‘all the reasoning in the world’ is only respectively as of non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism or positivism–procrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism); thus failing to perceive that the projective-insights for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming–‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’–to–’attain-sublimating-humanity’–as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is still ongoing today even as it is more clearly demarcated as initiated about 500 years ago. The overall logic of this notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> analysis, implied as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, can be understood simply as of the relation between existence which is already given and human-subpotency which as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought grasp more and more what is of the full-potency of existence by way of its axiomatic-constructs of existence or of purviews/domains of existence, with its grandest axiomatic-construct as an epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct being the reference-of-thought. We can grasp that it is not existence and purviews/domains of existence which will adjust to human-subpotency for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather human-subpotency adjusting as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/rethought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-confloatedness; with such adjusting being construed as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. But then humankind as of its developed-and-invested habits and traditions about existence counterintuitively relates to existence and purviews/domains of existence as if it supersedes them, and thus do not or poorly construes of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct as an issue of human-subpotency adjustment as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification, implied as of de-mentionation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentionation-or-dialectical–de-mentionation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) with regards to the reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity. In lieu the poor intuition is to imply that we are already well grounded and that
even though beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> human induced bias leads to a wholly immersed-and-engrossed focussing only at its given present institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as if other retrospective-and-prospective institutionalisations’ reference-of-thought do not have their own attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of their underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought. This phenomenological insight in recognising that there is ‘an underlying metaphoricity-induced relative-emancipatory migration’ from the mindset of the early hunter-gathers as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation towards modern man as of positivism–procrypticism to the prospective postmodern man as of deprocrypticism, calls for a full appreciation of this most profound phenomenological transcendental process of corresponding ‘human attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme migration’ inducing successive apriorisings/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and so, as of retrospective and prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology interpretation construed as historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Such a conception that goes beyond our natural inclination of ‘referring to’ and ‘adhocly-and-scantily’ identify other retrospective and prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme from our present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, towards an ontologically-veridical transparent ‘to be or existing as wholly immersed-and-engrossed’ existential projection insight about all registry-worldviews/dimensions attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is what underlies the
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-aperi-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology;
with the latter construed as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism
and the former construed as preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism. Thus a
registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought always operates as if
it is the absolute framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology, that is, by its ‘interiorisation
attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’, notwithstanding the ontological-veridicality
of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought at its
uninstitutionalised-threshold, as reflected by the prospective registry-worldview/dimension
institutionalisation reference-of-thought in an ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme’ towards it. Consider in this regard the ontologically-veridical
reflected immersed-and-engrossed attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme with
respect to the ‘ill-health <amplitunding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—
purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’
wherein the ‘to be or existing as wholly immersed-and-engrossed’ recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification
perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme could involve a
conversational stance of the sort, ‘I have been stricken by a spirit’, in an effusive-
conversational-as-of-existential articulating of what can be done to allay such a spirit; or with
respect to our positivism–procrypticism, in an effusive-conversational-as-of-existential
articulating of a clinical analysis mainly as a patient ill-health state; or with respect to
prospective postmodernism, in an effusive-conversational-as-of-existential articulating of
associated socio-economic and socio-political factors behind a patient’s ill-health. Basically,
‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’, as relevant for the
conception of a ‘postmodern exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme

When so-construed prospectively, ‘postmodern exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is all about such a deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as implied by its human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation ‘originary postmodern-thought-process and other postmodern creative-processes avant-gardism’ that are not in a reasoning-from-results/afterthought ontological entanglement with our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’. Consider in this regard the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning prospective structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications as of Derridean différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral, Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse and Deleuzian immanence experimentation that can all be construed (and as equally implied by this author’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism conception of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing), as of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism for perpetuated/disseminative preemption of conceptual disjointedness. Thus ultimately the
determinism as such is a conception that grasps that ‘axiomatic-constructs as of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
thought-devolving’ is the meaningfulness-and-teleology format implied by the ontological-
faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of
human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>
equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-
coherence/contiguity’ with respect to any given ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality/existential-reality’, with potentially divergent meaningfulness-and-teleology
implications as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-
completeness arising from human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation); with relative completeness increasingly
attained, by way of ‘reinvigorating as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning. Thus singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
determinism by its implied notional~conflatedness highlights that ‘axiomatic-constructs as of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
thought-devolving’ in reflecting of ‘human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-
virtue-as-ontology> correspondence with the full-potency of existence in its
coherence/contiguity’ as of implied human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-
recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation, is effectively as of
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism to
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. That is singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism points out that there is no inherent meaning of existence about existence as existence is tautologically what it is as existence, rather the notion of meaning arises as of the notion of human-subpotency strife to ‘grasp what is existence’, and that latter notion is all about human-subpotency ‘axiomatic-constructs as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation. In other words, meaning is always a human project to construe existence as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) of ‘axiomatic-constructs as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’. singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and as reflected by this author’s notion of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism conception of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, points out that dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is ontologically-flawed, and that prospective relative-ontological-completeness reflects that singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is what is rather ontologically-veridical. It is this prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism that reflects the effective possibility of a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>
equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ as implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; attainable as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) of ‘axiomatic-constructs as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, and so reflected by the notion of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. This reality of the need to construe of human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism has increasingly been revealed as from the ‘strangely axiomatic teleologically-thorough singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism manifestations’ of quantum entanglement, relativity theory implications, the teleologically constrained nature of biological processes as more than just the parsimonious-or-disparate nature of organic matter but rather singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of whole living organisms, and likewise human meaningfulness itself is a structuring/paradigm-ing singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of sharply defined teleological possibilities of social and individuals existence with respect to the different registry-worldviews/dimensions specific institutionalisations, etc. (Interestingly, as of this author’s conception of such a teleological perception of existence as of its singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-
singularisation insights of postmodern-thought has been subject to naïve obfuscation grounded on the supposed privilege of ‘science-ideology’ over science-in-practice as an opened construct of scientific knowledge as of cause-and-effect constraint, and with the form of science at various times continually moulding as from the budding science of the days of Galileo and Copernicus, to Newtonian science, to Lavoisier laboratory science, to Einsteinian science to modern day institutional practices of science, with all fundamentally driven not by any ‘purported science-ideology’ but rather the practicality of results as of the constraint of the subject-domains of scientific study as of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification rather than ‘any implied notion that naively supersede existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’. In this regard, it is interesting to note that the notion of science practised by the successive pioneers cited above are markly different from each other and all subjected rather to the implications of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of their purview/domain of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. It is interesting as well to note for example that when equations didn’t work out in reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity, Einstein rather rethought and subjected human assumptions to existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation for his science, with such notions as space-time rather than traditional space and time; pointing out that there cannot be any ideology about science and it is rather the constraint for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification that determines science practice, and so in existential conflatedness. Further, it had long dawned on this author that scam studies meant to undermine the validity of underlying constructivist and relativist insights about existential reality as implied by postmodern-thought including with respect to such implications in the natural sciences are rather ‘supposedly invalidating’ wholly with respect to the authors of such scam studies coming out with the arguments of their ‘intendedness of invalidation’; with the legitimate contention that such ploys are thus
surreptitious manoeuvres for preempting a given orientation of thought ‘not because of the inherent invalidity of such orientations as of inherent theoretical knowledge arguments in undermining such orientations’ but rather as a ploy of ‘inducing popularised scientific ideology’ to surreptitiously stifle such orientations without truly engaging in undermining its theorisation. Bogusness or non-bogusness is not a relevant scientific criteria, though granted it can be a relevant criteria for ‘surreptitious media-driven invalidation’, as science-in-practice is about ultimate cause-and-effect relationships, and in practical terms many scientific studies are rather elaborated as of ‘deferred cause-and-effect constraint’ as a reifying gesture for ultimate cause-and-effect determination. The fact that similar scam studies for the ‘intendedness of invalidation’ cannot be construed as scientifically valid with respect to any given orientation of study renders such manoeuvres intellectually void, and whatever their underlying ‘covert goals’ and however genuine their authors are of intent. It is very much important in this regard that intellectuals, whether in the natural sciences or in the social and humanities, not be cowered/enframed by non-intellectual/extra-intellectual approaches to ‘acknowledged intellectual ways and approaches for intellectual argumentation’, and not even if such approaches are media-driven, so because much that is central and critical to intellectualism is about exploring all possibilities.) All these highlight an underlying ontology’s-directedness-as-Being that bears notional~conflatedness singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism implications, as of ontologically-veridical singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism of human-subpotency ontological-performance->including-virtue-as-ontology< correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality over ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism; and yet our psychological disposition is more often than not geared to ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-
completeness reflects the former’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as dialectically out-of-phase/preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism. This insight about human ‘excogitative-blanking of the prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ at uninstitutionalised-thresholds actually highlights that from a prospective perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is very much imbued with a flawed ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, as is the case with all other prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, ‘when we seem to perceive-and-think that our social world of meaningfulness-and-teleology is coherent, failing to factor in that it is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as reflected as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective depromcrypticism or pre-empting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’; as this false sense of coherence is actually the effect of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which we necessarily relate to as if of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and this further explains as reflected from their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought the notional–procripticism/notional–disjointedness of all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology as of their identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as cloistered-within-the-
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology that utterly overlooks the structural/paradigmatic reference-of-thought denaturing implications of its prospective disjointedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology out of prospective existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification, as such disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought can be instigated originally from a postlogism-slantededness mental-disposition and the developing social dynamics with human temporality. We can appreciate in this sense that even within a non-positivistic social-setup as animistic or medieval for instance, despite the fact that it is susceptible to ontologically-flawed superstitious beliefs like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, the bulk of human action will be in good intent as of its institutionalisation framework 'perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity'; but then at its uninstitutionalised-threshold where its reference-of-thought structural/paradigmatic ontological-flawed implications of believing in superstition set in as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, it always systemically faces notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness as of vices-and-impediments arising from non-positivism/superstitious human-subpotency existentially constrained temporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s as <-amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology} in usurpation of the prior institutionalisation ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’ now in false certainty/assurance. This points out that when consciously aware of uninstitutionalised-threshold manifestation, we can’t naively operate as of our prior institutionalisation ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of
meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’, as of the fact of
the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<-existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought> preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism human-
subpotency existentially constrained temporal ontological-performance<-including-virtue-as-
ontology>s as <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing-
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}
in usurpation; such that an enlightened insight is able to bring up and examine a
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism representation as temporal denaturing
ontological-performance<-including-virtue-as-ontology>s of the prior institutionalisation
‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about
existential-contextualising-contiguity’. But this conception is a reflection of more than just
ad-hoc temporal manifestations at uninstitutionalised-thresholds but rather points out, besides
the trite or more grave consequences of this state of affairs as a result of human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, that the possibility
for all prospective institutionalisations necessarily passes through understanding ‘human-
subpotency existentially constrained temporal ontological-performance<-including-virtue-as-
ontology>s as <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing-
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}
of the prior registry-worldview/dimension in usurpation’, which understanding is actually
what empowers the possibility for prospective institutionalisations that surp dense/transcend
it. In other words, humans in the various prior institutionalisations before our positivism were
not limited to their various registry-worldviews/dimensions as recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation and our positivism just because they were inherently different from us as a species, but because of the need for the necessary institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of understanding as of its organic-knowledge to enable the very same species to accede prospective institutionalisations as of human-subpotency adjusting to the full-potency of existence, and not the false certainty/assurance that any human registry-worldview/dimension is fully developed and that existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will adjust to it, however our myopic/cloistered 60 – 100 years of living perspective. That is, grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology is certainly required, but as of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity it is not about grounding as of the present but rather as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and as highlighted elsewhere it is ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (of human-subpotency as of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\text{-epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\)) that can imply human-subpotency ontological-performance-\(<\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}\) correspondence with the full-potency of existence. It should be noted here that this ontology’s-directedness-as-Being/ontologically-veridical notion of human-subpotency singularisation/epistem-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontological-performance-\(<\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}\) correspondence with the full-potency of existence is a notion of teleology in notional–conflatedness as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting \(<\text{amplituding/formative}\text{-disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising})\) and
intemporality-and-degradations-as-temporalities,-on-the-‘flawed-axiomatic-mental-reflex-of-
no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-dynamic-implications’-on-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>-which-is-falsely-construed-identitively-as-of-identitive-
constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-
determinism. We can appreciate that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-
to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
speaks of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity ontological-performance-<including-
virtue-as-ontology> as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplifying/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-
construal’; thus validating registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought-level
meaningfulness-and-teleology differentiation as ‘ontologically-veridical difference-
conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. It is exactly
because any given registry-worldview/dimension as of its given reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology is a
cloistered-consciousness (as wholly set/focusing only on its reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of temporal-to-intemporal ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s failing to appreciate meaningfulness-and-
teleology as of the prospective <amplifying/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totallative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought implied by the ontological-contiguity—of-
the-human-institutionalisation-process) that its postlogism-slantedness manifestation as
temporal manifestation, whether with regards to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-

tracing of meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing (so-construed as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism). In the bigger scheme of things singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism notionally reflect respectively the profoundness and shoddiness associated with human intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s. singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism fully-reflects abstractly the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’, as existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is being so at the exclusion-and-surpassing of any apriorising/axiomatising/referencing notion including the often misconstrued apriorising/axiomatising/referencing notions of space and/or time, as all such notions are rather in constitutedness since such notions seem to apriorise as if superseding the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing precedence of existence itself as the absolute a priori; construed herein rather as ‘ecstatic’ but not as of Heidegger’s ‘time/period ecstatic’ analysis, as it is herein contended that existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation construed as ‘ecstatic apriorising’ subjects even time and any other notion, with the implication that the phenomenality of the analysis herein is not time-bound but solely existential more like the principles of physics are abstractly existential and so beyond the time-archaeology of astronomical manifestations reflecting such physics principles. singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism thus speaks of how human subpotent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of
its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-
as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) induce
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity, with the ‘ecstatic
releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-
renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-
conflatedness. This ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ as of
existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is what has ever always debunked
human subpotent dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism
as from the human subpotent reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-
and-teleology of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to our present positivism–
procrpticism, as of an ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ that is
increasingly in teleological nested-congruence along ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-
onological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism  <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’,
pointing to the ontological-veracity of human-subpotency ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> correspondence with the full-potency of existence as of
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so beyond just
the seeding promise of such ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>
correspondence solely as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-
totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\langle \text{amplituding}/\text{formative} \rangle\text{-epistemic-totalising-}\text{-in-relative-ontological-completeness}. \text{Insightfully, we can contemplate that the specific logocentric practices of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process are effectively the successive shortfall-outcomes-of-human-subpotency-ontological-performance-}\langle \text{including-virtue-as-ontology} \rangle\text{-correspondence-with-the-full-potency-of-existence from intemporal-disposition dimensionality-of-sublimating—}\langle \text{amplituding}/\text{formative} \rangle\text{-supererogatory-}\text{-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ strive for potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticisemancipated apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ that go on to induce secondnatured institutionalisations as of the successive prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought intemporal reifying reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation—as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as reasoning-from-results/afterthought, as well as their correspondingly associated uninstitutionalised-threshold dereifying ‘\langle \text{amplituding}/\text{formative} \rangle\text{wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic—}
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ strive for potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’, highlights the ontological-veracity as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism which is ever always sought-and-resought by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (that is, as of the teleological wholeness/nested-congruence from non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of recurrent-utter-ininstitutionalisation towards prospectively preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of deprocrypticism); with ontologically-veridical singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism further implying, as of its potentiative-attainment of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> correspondence with existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, that existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is as of ‘ecstatic singularity’. This ‘ecstatic singularity’ about existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation can be delineated as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so-construed as of human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence différance/internal-
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’). This is ontologically critical to understand because the wrong mental-reflex conception of uninstitutionalised-threshold as mainly being as of ‘human intemporal secondnatured institutionalisation mental-disposition’ will wrongly imply a human nature that is only intemporal and so as of the secondnatured intemporality/longness of the prior institutionalisation. This fails to factor in that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are rather a framework of ‘recurring dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplitudinig/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalvative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality temporal-to-intemporal ’ requiring prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so without any intemporal secondnatured institutionalisation induced universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing—<amplitudinig/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness), deferential-formalisation-transference and habituation as of positive-opportunism; and thus fully reflecting the ontological-veridicality of human-subpotency~aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. It is this ‘recurring dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplitudinig/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalvative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality temporal-to-intemporal ’ reality at all the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds that fundamentally reflect ‘the same fundamental human potentiation as of human-subpotency~aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’ across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions notwithstanding the institutionalisation-level but for the fact that this same ‘recurring
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation
temporal-to-intemporal ’ rather operates on different registry-worldviews/dimensions
institutionalisations secondnatured reference-of-thought–categorical-
impératives/axioms/registry-teleology at their uninstitutionalised-thresholds; whereby the
successive prior registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations fall short, as of their
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> correspondence with the full-potency of
existence, in construing existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of
successive prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought. This insight fundamentally explains ‘intemporal ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,‘for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as involving successive reference-of-thought–categorical-
impératives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-
to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation); geared
towards more and more robust secondnatured institutionalisation reference-of-thought–
categorical-impératives/axioms/registry-teleology even though in the face of the very same
‘recurring dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
temporal-to-intemporal '. Insightfully, ontologically-veridical ‘reifying
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–metaphoricity-conception of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ as historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing is convenient because by mental-reflex every registry-worldview/dimension will necessarily reflect its meaningfulness-and-teleology as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as it wrongly implies and operates in its

Such structural/paradigmatic/systemic prior incongruence of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ontological-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation’, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’s; in order to bring about the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity enabling of the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic/ontological-veridicality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework induced positive-opportunism for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is further critical to understand that while universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) with associated nested-congruence and harmony is brought about as of prior institutional secondnaturing, this should not be naively expected at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as we very much know that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are conflicted as of their framework of ‘recurring dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutive-rationalising/transepi-stemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation temporal-to-intemporal ’ for prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Thus uninstitutionalised-thresholds, are necessarily imbued with varied temporal-to-intemporal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing narratives as of the ‘lack of intemporal secondnatured institutionalisation induced universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness), deferential-formalisation-transference and habituation in positive-opportunism’; since any uninstitutionalised-threshold ever always brings about human ‘recurring dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluitive-
rationalising/transeptemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought without the necessary psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification as of the developed disposition to register such implications as of their intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology pertinence; as the notion of cross-generational de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) herein highlighted has ever always been an unconscious human mental process, wherein the mental-disposition hardly places itself in a situation of explaining how its own very present mental-disposition comes about from preceding generations mental-dispositions and drawing the implications, in going beyond excogitative-blanking as of the present in a cloistered-consciousness but which is paradoxically necessarily the framework of such transcendentally implying meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus the metaphoricity exercise of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is not one of necessarily eliciting instant meaningfulness-and-teleology universal approbation but rather instigating universal untenability as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework for prospective universal positive-opportunism; as we can appreciate that in reality the possibility of the successive institutionalisations was not the outcome of every human soul grasping the implications as of the successive transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity but rather as of a generative dynamics as of critical drift/gravitating effect in reflection of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism'. Furthermore, the implications of ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ as of
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as the latter reflects ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, with regards to the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology as teleologically-elevated or teleologically-degraded, is that the conception of ontological-veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology varies as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought; for instance with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’, the meaningfulness-and-teleology of a positivistic mindset with the idea of going into a supposed evil forest to collect a plant root as a cure in say an animistic social-setup will probably be construed as ridiculous as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought despite the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification ontological-veracity that the possibility of curing ailments in the animistic social-setup lies with the positivistic mindset prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The fundamental implication here is that transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology is hardly construed in any presence registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought as of its rather prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus elicits the presence prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; with the possibility of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity arising as of cross-generational induced metaphoricity. In a further analysis of ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as the latter reflects ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, with


structural/paradigmatic <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of meaningfulness-and-
teleology as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-thought
construed as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness over the
prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought construed as
incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness; wherein the prospective relative-
teleological-completeness-of-reference-thought is in a reified overlooking/superseding of the
prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. In other words, reification
is about apriorising-teleological resetting of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology to the prospective
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Lacking such an insight about
reification will induce an ontologically-flawed apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-
onefunctional-contiguity of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought which is in dereification and the corresponding ontologically-flawed apriorising-
teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of the prospective relative-
teleological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought which is as of reification; wherein
dereification involves teleological embrangling/muddling/underdetermining meaningfulness-
and-teleology to the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. This
is because the lack of reification wrongly implies that the <amplituding/formative>wooden-
language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) reference-of-thought framework of registry-
worldviews/dimensions are the absolute determinants of intemporal value reference, such that
the <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
reference-of-thought framework of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-
institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism,
positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism, are paradoxically-and-falsely equally the
absolute determinants of intemporal value reference; whereas reification highlights that all
the successive institutionalisations are as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising-purview-of-construal’, but of varying ontological-performance-<including-virtue-
as-ontology> as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought, as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Behind this possibility of ontologically-
flawed dereification of human meaningfulness-and-teleology is the fact that given the reality of
human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, ‘the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ is
a secondnaturing process as of elicited and secondnatured positive-opportunism of instigated
‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as of
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
here is that the social-construct has ever always been a threshold as of its prior institutionalisation as well as a threshold as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold; wherein respectively there is positive-opportunism for prior institutionalisation and no positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation, explaining the developing reality of the various successive human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisations, as of retrospective and prospective implications. This fundamentally points to a ‘human psychology of positive-opportunism as of prior-institutionalisation-reification and uninstitutionalised-threshold-dereification’, that points out that hitherto the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process has not been about ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation temporal individuations dispositions’ transformation into ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reflected as to ontological-good-faith/authenticity over ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity elucidatin/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’, but rather a constraining positive-opportunism secondnaturing to emancipating reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology; and so, despite the fact that ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reflected as to ontological-good-faith/authenticity over ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ is a human individuation quality that avails potentially to all individuals as temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-receptacles but as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance—\(\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}\) has not hitherto been structurally/paradigmatically defining of ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process even as it has rather been instigative as of a re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation—\(\text{imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflicatedness’-of-notional-deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation}\) human intemporal-disposition. The basis for this ‘human psychology of positive-opportunism as of prior-institutionalisation-reification and uninstitutionalised-threshold-dereification’, is the fact that humankind is caught up in intemporal-reification and temporal-dereification as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance—\(\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}\) given its limited-mentation-capacity; wherein the ‘social-construct uninstitutionalised-threshold’ as of ‘no positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation’ is a threshold at which there is a structural/paradigmatic lack of constraining institutionalisation to preempt ‘human temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction dynamics’ assuming of uninstitutionalised-threshold dereification threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—\(\text{preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-disposition as of ontologically-flawed relation with prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity.}\)
other words, as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> given human limited-mentation-capacity:

– at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’, thus allowing for ‘non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition,—that-is-not-rulemaking dereification behaviour’ at its prospective recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation;

– at base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’, thus allowing for rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—that-is-not-universalisation-directed dereification behaviour’ at its prospective ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation;


– at our positivism–procrypticism, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
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<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology —for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology underlies relative-ontological-incompleteness as of human living underdevelopment, institutional underdevelopment and Being underdevelopment, as of a lack of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’; as of the fact that meaningfulness-and-teleology is always incomplete when conceived simplistically as being all about ‘mechanical-constraints of rules without spirit’, construed as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation—as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive implied dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. The full implications here is that a deprocripticism ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology is more critically about eliciting the ‘subject intemporal-disposition sense of knowledge-and-virtue as of its de-mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation-or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) for a fully protracted-consciousness beyond a cloistered-consciousness’ in line with Foucauldian hermeneutics of the subject futural implications. Further, it is important to grasp that ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ is actually associated with all the transcendences of all the
successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, but that what is particular with deprocrypticism summoning of ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existing-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as implied by its ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, is the fact that it achieves the potentiative-aspiration of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existing-reality as a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance-
procrysticism dereification beyond our positivism–procrysticism ordinariness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
reification-dereification’ as of human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity effectively reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of prior successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure towards the attainment of deprocripticism. Thus reification aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is implied as of human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> potentiative-aspiration for singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. Ultimately, it is the reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that reflects intemporal value reference, and not the 
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} as of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> which is rather in 
<amplitudin/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Reification as such points out intellectual-and-moral inequivalence thus dismissing as ontologically-flawed a cross
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with our positivism–procrypticism to then contend with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preemtping—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Consider in this regard, the peregrinations of say a Descartes or Rousseau wherein in many ways they will fail to fulfil the mundane medieval world conception of ‘the supposedly good life’ as of its <amplitunding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as they reify meaningfulness-and-teleology by their peregrinations to construe of the structural/paradigmatic underdevelopment/unenlightenment of their society as in need of prospective positivistic reflection of the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of non-positivism/medievalism as of their ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality

singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism reified insight. The insight here about reification is that all their intemporal value references are rather as subsumed in their ‘positivistic reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with the corresponding implications of human ‘prospective positivistic transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ as aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and so over non-positivism/medievalism vices-and-impediments. By that token they are effectively of the most intellectually-and-morally inclined persons of their society. Contrastively, the temporal value reference as of non-positivism/medievalism <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignoreable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-dispositions of persons like ‘honourable aristocrats’ simply reified to the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension with its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought vices-and-impediments, while favourably looked upon as of non-positivism/medievalism society <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag from a prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism insight points to such a prior registry-worldview/dimension denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology, and implying effectively that they are of lesser intellectual-and-moral dialogical-equivalence. This further explains why vague classification schemes of value like good-naturedness, kindness, honesty, etc. have no inherent meaning as of themselves, as all the meaningfulness-and-teleology that there is and can exist is ontological as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, such that any such implied meaning is only ontologically intelligible with its reification as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as so implied from singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as the reflection of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. This points out that as of its very own <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is not the ontologically-veridical point of conceptualisation of intemporal value reference, which is rather as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as we can appreciate with regards to all prior institutionalisations but will certainly be complexified/inhibited to construe the same as of our positivism–procrypticism as from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)

instigated prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—dementativity, is construed as ‘putting-into-question its existentially invested conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, which is rather a contradiction of sorts given human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-


existential-reality, which by this token is rather concerned with the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>

denaturing of the prior institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold in ‘notional-


advancement of temporal interests in stifling the possibility of prospective human intellectual-and-moral emancipation. The idea of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity raised herein by this author is a reflection of the reality that knowledge as organic-knowledge is existentially all-committal by the mere fact of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint — imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, with the possibility of denaturing as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and particularly so in spurious and blurry domains of study not readily/easily constraint to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity. This brings up the implication of what is truly transcendental knowledge by its nature as of knowledge-notionalisation and
organic-knowledge. Transcendental knowledge is actually institutionalising and re-institutionalising, implying it supersedes institutional practices and constructs as to the possibility for prospective institutionalisation, and so as of its dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-confoundedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation inducing institutional secondnaturing. It is rather not out of the question that knowledge so-construed as of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity implications put-into-question as ‘charlatanic’ institutions and their practices construed as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought specifically as extra-intellectual and pedantic orientations that undermine the advancement of their supposed prospective intellectual and emancipatory vocations. Interestingly, we can garner that positivistic knowledge arose and was cultivated as of ‘its very own apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme conception of knowledge’ that superseded and didn’t recognise-and-submit to scholastic pedantry for its validation, as it construed that the latter wasn’t meant/structured/paradigmed to uphold and perpetuate positivism implied transcendental knowledge as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and in due course, by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework constraining it cross-generationally overrode scholastic pedantry. It is herein contended that it isn’t out of the question that a creeping and slumbering institutional-being-and-craft intellectual tedium today increasingly fails to elicit the full re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation–(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-confoundedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) potential for prospective intellectual
emancipation, and so rather as of structural institutionally-induced and societally-induced anti-intellectualism implications. The question can further be asked whether transcendental implied knowledge can actually be construed as the subject of ‘understanding’ of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with the latter’s <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, given the psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification implications of transcendental knowledge. Is transcendental knowledge as of that token rather more a metaphoricity constraint as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework for the possibility of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as more than just about abstract intellection but extending intellectualism to supersede the existential-investment implications that underlie excogitative-blanking to such prospectively implied ‘understanding’ as of transcendental knowledge. From the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought naïve non-transcendental <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, it may be thought/reasoned that a transcendentally projecting intemporal mental-disposition is rather uncanny about the ‘existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought malignity reality of existence’ construed as pragmatic living, but this rather confirms the ‘dereifying irresponsibility’ of such temporal thought/reasoning mental-dispositions ‘caught up mainly in their 60-to-100 years of existence reality of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The intemporal ‘reifying choice-and-adherence’ to the ‘reified assumed-responsibility’ of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is ever always a reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that by definition is not in a ‘reasoning with’ relation with reasoning-from-results/afterthought deficient prior institutionalising; and certainly explaining why uninstitutionalised-thresholds transcending has ever always been conflicted
as to the necessary reality of imposing the ‘superior party’ that is as of the full-potency existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality over the denaturing mortals that we are for our prospective emancipation. Without an insight about reification and dereification, the notion of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as it reflects ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology is easily misconstrued since denaturing of meaning in dereification will be teleologically-elevated and meaning produced as of reification will be teleologically-degraded; as so blatantly obvious particularly with the dereification manifestation of childhood psychopathy postlogism-slantedness but then takes on a wholly covert nature as of adulthood psychopathy and social psychopathy dynamics. In this regard, divergent as of temporal-to-intemporal dynamics of human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of apriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology reflecting dereified and reified construals of existential-contextualising-contiguity is to be expected, and assessable on the basis of a commonly expected apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, which then speaks of a dialogical-equivalence of both temporal mental-dispositions and the intemporal mental-disposition with no dereification and reification contrast. However, compounding this situation making relevant the need to contrast reification and dereification and imply moral-and-intellectual inequivalence together with dialogical inequivalence, and so between temporal mental-dispositions and intemporal mental-disposition, is specifically the flawed ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy which is ‘structurally/paradigmatically associated with the denaturing of the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, and arises so fundamentally with regards to the
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intellibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which is the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/aksis-ic-drag backdrop for existential-instantiations aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology; with the fundamental implication that there are thus divergent apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intellibilitysetup/measuringinstruments as of psychopathic induced postlogism-slantedness, and its social cognisance and integration as conjugated-postlogism so-conjugating as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as of social psychopathy. In this latter case of contrasted reification and dereification and implying moral-and-intellectual inequivalence together with dialogical inequivalence, and so between temporal-as-psychopathic-and-social-psychopathic mental-dispositions and the intemporal mental-disposition, and so-implied as of ‘disseminative-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—contrastive-reification-dissemination-and-dereification-dissemination-implications’ construed as the ‘variance/discrepancy of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism and as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism respectively; it is only ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism from the projected ‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ of the intemporal mental-disposition as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism recognising this ‘preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism variance/discrepancy of meaningfulness-
and-teleology’ that induces an ontologically-veridical disambiguation of dereified and reified construals of existential-contextualising-contiguity as implied by the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments as of reifying intemporal/valid/postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective relative-ontological-completeness apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity and as of dereifying temporal-as-psychopathic-and-social-psychopathic/invalid/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> (psychopathic and social psychopathic), and so before aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology can even be then articulated as ontologically-veridical exclusively as of the intemporal/valid/postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. Such a difference-conflatedness-as-of-epistemic-totality is equally what reflects in the bigger scheme of things, at the reference-of-thought-level, the reality of humankind as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions humans psychological dispositions as per their corresponding apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments. In this regard, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can be construed as human limited-mentation-capacity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reification as ‘apriorising-teleological resetting of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-

But then again, the reality of theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness will point out that such ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism is in reality preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness. This insight equally applies at the reference-of-thought-level, for instance, with regards to the fact that our positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension doesn’t recognise-nor-register any such notion as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought that speaks of our prospective preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising—
implied as of their disseminative-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—contrastive-reification-dissemination-and-dereification-dissemination-implications. But then just as the reflex mental state and attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism social-setup will be resistant to an elucidation of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery adopting the perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of the reifying prospective positivism to arrive at ontological-veridicality, likewise more fundamental in undermining the elucidation of the manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy is the fact of an ordinariness <amp;lt;amplituding/formative>wooden-language-
{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} reflex mental state and attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in our positivism–procrypticism that will be resistant to adopting the reifying perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought to arrive at ontological-veridicality that rather implies the dialectical-dementation of our positivism–procrypticism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold; and as we falsely go on to construe existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification by adopting the positivism–procrypticism dereifying perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness in an exercise of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. Further and insightfully again, with the manifestation of childhood psychopathy where the postlogism-slantedness is universally transparent there is no occurrence of interlocutors cognisant-and-integrative
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity—in-reification/dereification as of the childhood slantedness, but with respect to adult psychopathy with the attendant maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness, such interlocutors cognisant-and-integrative apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity—in-reification/dereification arise as of their temporal threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism, which implies an invested social commitment as of thought and association that is then inclined to overlook inherent ontological-veridicality, as of interlocutors postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s leading to the dynamics of social psychopathy, and this logic also explains how and why notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are endemised/enculturated in a non-positivism social-setup; with the insight as articulated by this author that more critically manifestations of postlogism-slantedness across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions are rather revelatory of the fundamental prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, with transcendental implications that goes well beyond the ad-hoc conception of manifestations of postlogism-slantedness but more broadly conceive as of the destructuring/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications arising from underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought with regards to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
construed as ‘transcendental human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-
constructivism-towards-singularisation that reflects ‘modern suprastructuralism’; just as a
‘postmodern suprastructuralism’ reflects deprocrypticism as of its preempts—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought over our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-
as-of-reference-of-thought. This insight about the need for prospective notional-
contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–qualia-schema> underlies a postmodern understanding, as it is herein contended,
that it is by the exercise of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of the need for futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastucture-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or
preempts—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so over our positivism–
procrypticism temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions ‘mutual cognisance and
integrativeness of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’,
that we provide the ontologically-veridical aetiologisation or ontological-esclation resolving
the vices-and-impediments of our ‘so-prospectively deprocrypticism-construed’
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its underlying
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so beyond just our ad-hoc palliative
construals of virtue. Basically when post-structuralists speak of ‘the other’ this translates into
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of ‘universal projection implications attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme event-or-operant implications to all and sundry’ as implied in
the above analysis, as postmodern-thought portends to be non-ideology-driven, non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant. This insight is also very much conscious of the ontologically-flawed misconstrual of ‘the other’ that pervades human mental-dispositions as of ‘mutual temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology eliciting’ construed as ‘intemporal temporality’. Such tendencies are hardly of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as their emphasis lies in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, rather than nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in enabling Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘universal projection implications attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme event-or-operant implications to all and sundry’; such that fundamentally, such tendencies do not address structurally/paradigmatically defining issues of a registry-worldview/dimension as of its vices-and-impediments like the comprehensive implications of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought/procrypticism with regards to our positivism—procrypticism or say the comprehensive implications of non-positivism in a medieval or animistic social-setup. Prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity—as-to-mentally-aestheticised—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema> thus effectively implies deneuterising ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding/overriding the prior reference-of-thought
virtue. The fact is our pretences and arguments of practice, as not critically pinned down to their ontological-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, can similarly be meted with pretences and arguments of practice as of each and every registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought practices, and thus conceptualising virtue by \(<\text{amplitudining} / \text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising} - \text{self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}\) while circumventing as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-\(<\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}>\) the vices-and-impediments of each registry-worldview/dimension in want of its ‘pure-ontology’ virtue resolution as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. In this regard such palliative virtue constructs overlooking fundamental underlying structural/paradigmatic ontological implications about our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care--and--episteme’ reflected by the ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought\(\text{apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care--and--episteme' with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology existentialising—enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks, are no different to say} ‘non-positivism/medievalism \(\text{apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care--and--episteme'} overlooking its own social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology existentialising—enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks as reflected from \('\text{positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care--and--episteme'}\). However, approbating we may be predisposed to such palliative virtue constructs as of lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension, the fact is these are not really the underlying drivers for virtue transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity and are peripheral to more ontologically profound theorised-or-untheorised emancipatory events driving virtue transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, notwithstanding our state of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology--in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. The fact is from an ontological standpoint, we inherently are no more virtuously exceptional even with regards to the earliest of humans, and so as of the very same species potency, and thus we can’t ascribed inherent virtuous superiority by the mere token of our own practice. Rather the exceptionality behind human virtuous potential lies ontologically with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, reflecting the fact that pure-ontology that as of its secondnaturing induces the requisite level of human virtue performance at each given registry-worldview/dimension, retrospectively to prospectively. It is rather by acting upon the inherent ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of its ontological reflection in Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that virtue transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity comes about, whether or not beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology--in-existential-extrication-as-
implications of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness: will question such reasoning-from-results/afterthought basis of palliative virtue constructs especially as of their
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-
for-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-by-reification/contemplative-
distension implications; ask whether by definition a registry-worldview/dimension reference-
of-thought is structured/paradigmed to sponsor/promote/endorse its very own prospective
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of the need for
the subversion of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-
and-teleology that endemise-and-enculturate its vices-and-impediments by prospective
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-telopeology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology for
prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity, more
like could the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, etc. call upon the very same non-
positivism/medievalism in need for prospective positivism transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity to underwrite the subversion of its
entrenched non-positivism/medievalism internal social-stake-contention-or-confliction
changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology existentialising—
enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks; and, hence the
ontologically-veridical paradox of the very structuring/paradigming implications of human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-
to-existence—–as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) renders
any registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought ever deficient as of its need for psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology. Ultimately, anti-constructivism and anti-relativism criticisms of postmodern-thought come down to our ‘modern positivism/rational-empiricism ontologically-flawed as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ constitutedness construed of categorising/taxonomising schemes that pervades the ‘modern categorising mental-disposition’ as of our occlusive-consciousness neutering, as we fail to grasp the implication of an implied apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument that is naively superseding the true apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument nature of existential reality as the absolute a priori’; such that the meaningfulness-and-teleology that arises is a relatively virtual-or-ontologically-flawed-construal. On the contrary it is conflatedness that ensures that our apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument syncs with the true apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument nature of existential reality as the absolute a priori, and so as of an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence posture which rather ‘turns the idea of analysing and conceptualising on its head’ into one of ‘grasping human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications as of the underlying psychoanalytic-unshackling’ for human-subpotency construal of the full-potency that is existence. This insight about the complete relationship between developing human-subpotency and its potential to fully grasp the full-potency of existence, fundamentally underlies the protensive-consciousness referentialism of the notional–conflatedness of

The latter points to an inappropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme which is not beholden to the prospective institutionalisation but rather is of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought relation with it. More concretely, consider the practice of serfdom in Europe, or the annihilation of many Native American tribes and slavery and slave trade in the new world, while at the same time in a registry-worldview/dimension transitioning from the non-positivism/medievalism to the positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview with this contrastive mechanical-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme and organic-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. While the full implications of a positivism/rational-empiricism organic-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme will imply an end to such practices as of universal human
rights, ‘economic-opportunistic-and-then-enculturated tenants’ of such blatant moral supremacy and thus racial supremacy distorted the implications of the technical and social organisation advancement brought about from budding positivism/rational-empiricism to reconceptualise by their specific interests meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the prior non-positivism/medievalism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus justify their nefarious practices; speaking of mechanical-knowledge in positivism/rational-empiricism. Whereas progressive organic-knowledge tenants construed positivism/rational-empiricism as an openness to the potential of all societies and peoples to rather arrive at the higher possibility of positivism/rational-empiricism virtue, and so as of a human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation posture that allows for universal human emancipation as expressed by the Quakers movement, Rousseaux, Diderots, etc. Incidentally, the positivism/rational-empiricism mechanical-knowledge contenders as of the economic-opportunism-and-then-enculturation of their nefarious practices, were very much countervailing the practice and trend within their own societies of origin undergoing-positivism/rational-empiricism-transformation and the underlying dual-language/split-mentality unscrupulousness was given away as of the ‘out-of-sight demeanour’ in their main societies, rather than being fully assumed as marking positivism/rational-empiricism progress. The occasional development of enlightenment and positivism/rational-empiricism by its technical and social organisation transformation implications wasn’t the opportunity for such societies to turn around and then dehumanise other societies and humanities that haven’t done likewise, but rather as of organic-knowledge called for a double-gesture reification in recognising that such positivism/rational-empiricism implications are about all of humanity, just as implied in preceding human cultural emancipations. Suprastructuralism or
postmodernism double-gesturing of virtue doesn’t function on the naïve basis of ‘merely construing relative implied levels of virtue development and making relative conclusions’ but rather orientate meaningfulness-and-teleology to the more profound perspective of all of humanity’s potential as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and then reconstrue the possibility of all of humanity-as-of-societies to ultimately fulfil it virtuous potential; and this is the optimum and emancipatory virtue disposition for all humankind and human societies. It adopts this orientation because it always put into question the idea of ‘grounding meaningfulness-and-teleology as of any specific human society relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as fundamentally denaturing, and likely to induce transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing dehumanising of some cultures and societies by others’; as it recognises, however tepid, that all societies and humans are curious, predisposed to their emancipation and achieving optimum existential possibilities, and can uphold universal values, and so as of universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness). Ultimately, such a double-gesturing hold out the possibility in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as pertinent for all humankind, whether as of internal social-progress, cultural diffusion or cultural-reappropriations. This practically translates, say considering an instance of a given traditional practice that is abhorrent to modern positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, by implying from a postmodern perspective that emancipation truly arises when the humans come to assume as well by themselves a universal positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in
transforming their society. We can appreciate that supposed a space civilisation come to earth, implying for instance in a position of strength that we are too violent, disorganise, etc. and thus morally inferior, and that our best interests was just to take our cue from them. Here as well, the postmodern double-gesture reification of virtue will project that we do have the potential for further development, and that to be ourselves we cannot be utterly alienated from ourselves like robots in our relationship with them, and that our curiosity and openness will correspondingly bring about our functional moral equivalence with universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness). Further arguing that if they are truly more advanced than us, then that advancement is necessarily about a greater aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation that will necessarily subscribe to recognising ‘the other’ that we are to them; as insightfully, grander aetiologisation/ontological-escalation come with relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. Claims of such grander aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as implying dehumanising interpretations are ontologically-flawed as such claims are rather surreptitiously based on prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as teleological-degradations-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity<-mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>. In other words, the organic-knowledge in its true appreciation of ‘the other’ as of aetiologisation or ontological escalation implies a ‘universal projection implications attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme event-or-operant to all and sundry’. Finally, the naivety when facing such anti-constructivism
and anti-relativism arguments is to think that these are always about fair and objective intellectual disagreements; but then the history of many such criticisms has revealed its underlying perfidy; as to when for instance, supposed critiques of postmodern relativism make mention of the anti-relativism stances of many a creed like Christianity (which are necessarily absolutist as to their doctrinal practices) thus decontextualising and equating the framework of secular intellectual discourse with that of a creed, something which even such creeds do not do given the mortal framework of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence (as to when even the Christian Jesus refers to giving to Caesar what belongs to Caesar and to the Christian God what belongs to God as of a necessary relativistic stance with respect to human mortality which requires constructiveness and this stance is further reflected with interfaith dialogue which will be absolutely impossible if creeds were to engage each other on the absolute basis of their doctrinal practices), and furthermore much of the criticisms levied against postmodern relativism is ‘forged criticism’ in the sense that the critiques make their own flimsy interpretations of postmodern-thought and then go on to criticise the flawed interpretation for instance the idea that pastiche art or the fact that Las Vegas Strip as-copying-other-notable-places-architectures are necessarily inauthentic and flawed is not necessarily a postmodern criticism as authenticity and veracity is more fundamentally about the re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–’projective-insights’/’epistemic-projection-in-conflicatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) creative insight and appreciation of any pastiche work or of such a Las Vegas Strip replication of other notable places. With regards to all these ‘forged criticisms’ the underlying falsehood is rather geared to elicit a non-intellectual emotional response than true knowledge-reification insight. Further, as of organic-knowledge and knowledge-notionalisation, this author holds that it is naïve to
conceptualise of human knowledge mainly as of pure erudition warranting mainly sound arguments, proofs and convincing demonstrations, and that the reality all along ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplitunding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ shows that there has always been beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> ‘institutional investment’ that is not always just of eruditic ideal, inclined to undermined prospective knowledge as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-constructs-and-reference-of-thought, and that true knowledge especially as it portends to transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity cannot be conceptualised losing sight of this fact. The blunt fact is that postmodern-thought has shown itself to be more useful and applicable across the humanities with a massive potential for furthering human emancipation, however the tentativeness of many of its bold ideas, and so much more than the vagaries peddled by many such critiques surreptitious anti-intellectual media-driven waylaying who on the contrary seem to construe of institutional anchoring as the very essence of validation. Such situations are often highly liable to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity undermining of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology due to ‘lack of social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,–as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)’. In other words, medieval charlatanic eliciting of old ways, conventioning and existence as of non-positivism/medievalism despite its prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as underscoring medieval vices-and-impediments with respect to prospective positivism was psychically and surreptitiously undermining of a sense of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and this insight is valid across all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of the eliciting of temporal individuations self-referencing cloistered-consciousness in nihilistically undermining prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. It is only an organic-knowledge sense of consummation-as-not-beholden to temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology stakes that human intemporal individuations as of a protracted-consciousness can contemplate of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its cross-generational transcendental implications and as reflected from the insight in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. Again, it can be noted here that Einstein, Bohr and the other seminal physics contributors to the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs had no prior basis to adopt their subsequently transcendent and sublimation orientation but for their ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of their ‘re-projection/re-anticipation’ about ‘the very same physics <amplituding/forceful>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ which was then validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and so divulged by existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/forceful>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness; as prior human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness experience wouldn’t have thought
about space-time, considered the ether as unreal, considered that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale, etc. In other words, there wasn’t any prior ‘logocentric transcendental-signifier’ as of the prior classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs construed as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enabling the obtention of any such conclusions from the given classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs constitutedness, but rather it is by conflatedness with regards to ‘the very same physics <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ that the prospective theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs was construed as of non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. Interestingly, as of the underlying phenomenology-driven ontology, it is rather more pertinent with respect to transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity to grasp that such ultimate decidability is construed as of human intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation mental-disposition in ‘a tendential-deliberation-of-decidability as enabled by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework tendential validation as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness. Such a construal of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity will cover the seminal contributions prior and after the defining-threshold epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs by Einstein and Bohr. Such an ontological-basis for construing sublimation overrides our neuterising laden modern convention ways of judging breakthroughs overemphasising singular initiative, as it is rather grounded more soundly on an abstract notion of ‘intemporal-as-ontological individuation’ as the basis of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) analysis; and insightfully, as reflected in the underlying conflatedness of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay, sublimation is achieved rather out of the notional obviating of human temporal-as-non-ontological neuterising as of deneuterising—referentialism and with correspondent intemporal-as-ontological rearticulation/reconstrual of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of dynamics of insight of shallow-to-deeper human limited-mentation-capacity implications, and so as of protensive-consciousness of notional-deprocrypticism perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Similarly, this author’s articulation of futural-différance as of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is necessarily construed ontologically as of a rearticulated protractedness as futural différance that coincides-and-is-contiguous with a prior Derridean différance as of quasi-transcendence and evasiveness of sublimation. In both cases, this highlights that ‘decidability is not instantaneous as of inherent spontaneous identification and occurrence of decisional act’ but that decidability in enabling transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is as of an ‘overall différance tendential-deliberation-of-decidability’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) process. Thus sublimation is equally reflected in the deliberateness involved in cultivating artistic, educational, technical or research capabilities/skill in the final outcomes derived forthwith, as of the quality imbued on human limited-mentation-capacity to deepen itself; and this translates into human contemplation of the existential-possibilities attainable by its human-subpotency. Tendential-deliberation-of-decidability is thus the central ontological insight attached to différance as ‘a contiguously theoretical and operant phenomenological construct involving necessarily the deliberateness as of Derridean freeplay différance, as a putting into
question exercise, and subject to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation before attaining defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity’; and différance as of such ‘existential-reality concreteness dynamics’ is scientific and utterly dissimilar from a speculative idealisation exercise à la Hegelian dialectics and well beyond the latter’s conceptual patterning. Ultimately, such tendential-deliberation-of-decidability for attaining defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity, arises from more than just a blatant/flatminded notion of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) or say the vague social convention idea of talent, it is more critically beyond and about a question of human mental-disposition with respect to the prescience of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness so-implied as of
ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. This is the very meaning of organic-knowledge beyond the conception of mechanical-knowledge as-knowledge-as-a-mere-thing-to-be-acted-upon-for-
given-outcomes. Organic-knowledge as such implies priorly a supplanting-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism
deferece to the prescience of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness over any human-as-mortal
framing of meaningfulness-and-teleology including oneself-as-human-as-mortal, as it is
human mortality-as-temporality that is rather what is in need for further Being and
consciousness development. Thus the paradigm of sublimation for a registry-
worldview/dimension reference-of-thought, as reflected in the Derridean social ethics stance,
is rather one for the ‘subsumptive inventing’ of the prospective ontological possibilities of
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over human normativity/conventioning as of the latter’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and so by maximalising-recomposing \(<\textit{amplituding}/\textit{formative}>\) epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of organic-knowledge. A nonextricatory existential paradigm of sublimation implying that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism–procrypticism, are successively-wanting of prospective defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity going by their successively-given mechanical-knowledge in temporality-as-of-neuterisation/relative-ontological-incompleteness/existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. In other words, an intemporal-as-ontological mental-disposition projecting of the organic-knowledge as of prospective registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought in prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought can’t sidestep such implied prospective defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity, and undertake existence as of the prior registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, even if it such a mental-disposition could lead to such an outcome as in H.G. Well’s country of the blind or Galileo say with the medieval Establishment; despite the fact that the possibilities of such outcomes arise out of establishment Charlatanism, which knows better, but exploits lack of ‘social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–\(<\textit{amplituding}/\textit{formative}>\) epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)’.

But then it is actually a sign of ‘propounded theoretical health and pertinence’ when all such Establishment charlatanism comes to dodge such substantive-and-frontal articulation of prospective knowledge, and in lieu come up with worn out refrains and sidestepping manoeuvres avowing their true ‘intellectual blankness’ grounded on institutional-being-and-
craft; as we know that in all genuinely inclined intellectual pursuits the very central tenet has always been about theoretical disputative engagement and not acts of escapism and downgrading of intellectual arguments as of ‘solo media exploits of intellectual popularity’. Thus by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought as futural différance, accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay comes into terms with both presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing.<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> on the basis of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness/ontological-contiguity of the latter over the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity.<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of the former as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’. Thus what is being correctly implied is not ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising but rather difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising between presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing.<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. Such an insight is enabled as of the fundamental awareness that human knowledge construction fundamentally involves two different exercises; with the first factoring in that at the fundamental level of knowledge construction humankind has a limited-mentation-capacity that needs to be developed as a ‘developed consciousness perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness/notional-contiguity’ construed as its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to then be able at an operative level to articulate sound-or-authentic meaningfulness-and-teleology grounded on such a developed consciousness perspective/framing/reference/horizon. This explains why
it is impossible for a ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mindset perspective/framing reference/horizon as of trepidatious-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ to grasp base-institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘base-institutionalisation mindset perspective/framing reference/horizon as of warped-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; for a ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation mindset perspective/framing reference/horizon’ to grasp universalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘universalisation mindset perspective/framing reference/horizon as of preclusive-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; for a ‘universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mindset perspective/framing reference/horizon’ to grasp positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘positivistic mindset perspective/framing reference/horizon as of occlusive-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; and prospectively for a ‘positivism–procrypticism mindset perspective/framing reference/horizon’ to grasp deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-meaningfulness without first developing a ‘deprocrypticism mindset perspective/framing reference/horizon as of protensive-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’. As we can get that the fundamental stake for the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, etc. during the Enlightenment wasn’t just about the specific positivistic knowledge they articulated or else they would have been satisfied with just their personal curiosity and enlightenment and leave it at that, but rather they surreptitiously undermined many of the prevailing social norms and
rules in trying to expound their knowledge and vision, and more critically so because they knew it is the ‘formation of a positivistic social consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ that would enable the anchoring of all such prospective positivistic knowledge, and this sense of things fully underscored such a more comprehensively directed project-and-purpose undertaken later by the Encyclopédistes; with the underlying insight that while a social state of generalised prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is enabling to surreptitious Establishment charlatanism, however with increasing ‘social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness}’ such charlatanism is exposed for what it really is, explaining the panickiness and falsehood associated with such charlatanism as with the reactionaries to the Encyclopédistes project, as if the articulation of knowledge by itself was a threat rather than subject to disputation! Underlying as the non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical and conceptual possibility for such futural différance consciousness development is the notion of de-mentation-
{supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} which by pointing out an epistemic-break as of difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising/ontological-discontinuity, underscore at once ‘both as affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of the consciousness in ontological-contiguity/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and as unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of the consciousness of notional-
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discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-
qualia-schema>/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of
maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and not incrementalism-
in-relative-ontological-incompleteness, as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising-purview-of-construal’’. As futural différance is enabled, unlike the case with the
‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’, as of ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality involving human mental-disposition successive
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reprojection-or-reanticipation capacity inducing human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-({<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-
to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation);
overriding the idea that the perspective/framing/reference/horizon of contemplation is
absolutely given-and-determined as of the implication that all meaningfulness-and-teleology
should be as of ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising, but rather
reconceptualising the possibility of difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-
axiomatising as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
bringing about transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of
non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normaley/postconvergence>. Thus such a
phenomenology associated with accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-
freeplay further divulges, unlike the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’, the
full possibility of human sublimation. Consider in this regard the decisive transitions-as-
sublimitys that occurred in physics: with ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’
and the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs;
wherein the successive axiomatic-constructs in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness and
prospective relative-ontological-completeness, with regards to ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as of ‘the very same physics -epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ are not as of a ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising but rather a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising; with human-subpotency aligning towards the full potency of existence which thus divulges the possibility of human sublimation as of the physics science implications today. It is interesting to note that the difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising bringing about the successive physics axiomatic-constructs/theories are successive ‘epistemic-breaks’ from prior reasoning and are akin to ‘leaps of faith’ which then ‘establish new reasoning’ that then becomes the internal ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising of the new physics as the new presencing; brought about from the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity of non-presencing<-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. In other words, human consciousness tends to be constraint to its -epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and thus assumes a ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising mental-disposition as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. But existence/ontology’s-directedness-as-Being as of non-presencing<-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> is beyond and not constraint by human consciousness as of its -epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and thus hints-at the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality possibilities of
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity as of ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework validation that is at the very center of the ‘promise of
correspondence between human-subpotency as of Being-and-consciousness development and
existence as of ontological-veridicality’, and so despite the complexifying/inhibiting
metaphysics-of-presence of any given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag from a ‘difference-in-
kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising posture; such that humankind then overlooks
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and re-projects/re-anticipates non-
presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> enabling human
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity. Therefore,
metaphoricity as highlighted herein is actually construed as of ‘its natural ontology
implications’, and this natural ontological notion of metaphoricity is construed herein as
superseding-and-englobing all other differentiated adjunctive significations including
conventional figures-of-speech. Metaphoricity as such simply refers to signification
adjunctiveness to ‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of both the
meaningfulness-and-teleology implications to the so-renewed ‘underlying
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct
of language’ and the specific adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification within such renewed
‘underlying <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
signifying-construct of language’. Metaphoricity is very much a mirroring of existential
‘syncretising-effecting’ going by the latter’s existential implications on ‘human underlying
self-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology as an epistemic-totalising/circular construal’.
This ‘epistemic-totalisation/circularity epistemic-breaking’ of self-referencing associated
existentially with syncretising-effecting as mirrored in metaphoricity arises because of human
limited-mentation-capacity, and is a reflection of the circular deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of growing certitude from the opening up of non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> by human re-projection/re-anticipation ultimately validated by existence/ontology’s-directedness-as-Being ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework. Further, metaphoricity as such speaks of the evasiveness of all human meaningfulness-and-teleology at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrently pointed out herein as of token threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism possibilities relation to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity implications. The implications of this reality as of metaphoricity explains why epistemes are fundamentally and necessarily constricted as of their specific registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought; as ultimately epistemes are as relevant as the ontological-possibilities divulgeable by presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>, such that in the case of the latter there is no prior insight about the veracity of any episteme before it is divulged with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Consider in this regard Galileo’s implying positivistic episteme metaphoricity over a medieval Establishment scholasticism-and-mysticism episteme as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as the necessary backdrop for the knowledge he articulates and all subsequent positivistic knowledge. In many ways, this author as of organic-knowledge is very much aware of the ‘drawback implications’ of our positivism—
proecrypticism episteme as of its constitutedness with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism psychoanalytic-unshackling organic-knowledge, as of the full articulation of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay with respect to our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation implications representation, and so beyond just our natural inclination for \(<\text{amplituding/formative} \)epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akriastic-drag. Galileo could well had possibly recasted his implied positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology in scholasticism-mysticism terms, just as Copernicus work was held back priorly in limbo, but then the implications as he perceived would have been a degradation and lost of the essence of what he was doing, and so more than just the specific scientific knowledge but more critically it warranted a psychoanalytic-unshackling into the non-presencing–or–withdrawal–or–metaphysics-of-absence–or–transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination perspective/framing/reference/horizon of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology we entertain today. Likewise, as of such metaphoricity episteme, the meaningfulness-and-teleology herein implied as of its essence cannot do without this hermeneutic circle phenomenological ontology elucidation as of its psychoanalytic-unshackling conflatedness; and the ideal backdrop for this lies in a further developed postmodern-thought phenomenological-depth of construction, as implied herein by this author as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay. This author conceives that at the very core to such genuine understanding of postmodern-thought is a double-gesture
reification that consists of perspective/framing/reference/horizon and then contention/argumentation within such articulated perspective/framing/reference/horizon, as so implied by postmodern-thought together with other kindred though less dramatic textuality-thinkers like Gadamer and Habermas; as of the need to adopt/instigate the appropriate mindset for knowledge appraisal given the fundamental distorting effect, beyond just perception, of human limited-mentation-capacity. This double-gesture reification reality for construing human knowledge amounts to a quasi psychoanalytic-unshackling, as it reflects the fact that The-Given as of existentialism/thrownness/facticity is always an insufficiently/poorly developed perspective/framing/reference/horizon for direct instigation of contention/argumentation aspiring for profundity and completeness. Such that this double-gesture reification of the textuality-driven intellectuals involves their ‘special focus orientations’ profundity say like genealogy with Foucault, deconstruction with Derrida, etc., and this together with transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing complementarity and criticisms of all such ‘special focus orientations’, go on to conjointly-and-fruitfully define what is postmodern-thought.

Postmodern-thought as such can be analogised with the anecdote of the blind men striving to determine what an elephant is, but with each one saying authentically what the find in front of them in developing the relevant specific imageries and overall imagery of what an elephant is. This in itself is a milestone in theorisation, and as an overall conception postmodern-thought, besides the ‘special focus orientations’ of the specific textuality-driven intellectuals, is primarily about ‘consistently taking a best shot’ at reality and is not inherently driven at its core by ideology but rather authenticity. As such it effectively achieves a more potent construal of the human condition and knowledge especially as it is ‘driven by such transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing cumulative authenticities that augment the possibilities
of human limited-mentation-capacity’ thus going a long way to open up new and coherent thought possibilities as of its grander and overall conception and spirit. Interestingly, what is central about the ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critique of postmodern-thought is the lack-of-insight/feinting-lack-of-insight about all these underlying elements of postmodern-thought construction: as failing to grasp/recognise the implied double-gesture reification as of its transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity implications, and by not appreciating due to ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness the implications of perspective/framing/reference/horizon before contention/argumentation as of any given perspective/framing/reference/horizon, thus implying ‘poor critical judgment’. With such ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness further protracting into a poor grasp of postmodern theorists ‘special focus orientations’ with the tendency to engage postmodern-thought as of an uninsightful literal and shallowminded/banal/flimsy reading; and with the ultimate outcome that all such naïve uninsightful literal and shallowminded/banal/flimsy readings are cumulated and summated as the entirety of the postmodern theoretical construct, and so on an apparently implied flawed logic that the discretion allowed for criticism doesn’t engage the intellectual credibility of the critique, a notion that is especially abused within a media background. Such ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness with respect to postmodern-thought fails to grasp that all subject-matter as of their inherently deferential-formalisation-transference as of institutional percolation-channelling are necessarily construed as of a double-gesture reification that supersedes the ordinariness/banality of day to day social existence analysis as of <:amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}, such that as of the history of such critiques it will be naïve not to factor in the reality of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity and so particularly as it tends to shy away from genuine
intellectual engagement with postmodern-thought, and highlighting that the idea of arrogance peddled about postmodernism strangely enough speaks of the ‘ignoble arrogance’ of such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critiques, as structurally/paradigmatically that which attributes value judgments is that which is knowledgeable-as-of-its-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and not that which is ignorant-as-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Such that there is no dialogical-equivalence that then arises by the fact that the former is a nonextricatory/intemporal/ontological relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology while the latter is an existential-extrication/temporal/non-ontological relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology, in the sense that it is the former intemporal-as-ontological individuation mental-disposition that is responsible for bringing about human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process retrospectively and prospectively while the latter as of its false ‘untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality’ is rather existentially extricatory and oblivious to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. As ultimately, it is the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought pursued by the former that supersedes and dissolves human vices-and-impediments as of prospective registry-worldview/dimension transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity reference-of-thought. The overall insight here of such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity can be construed analogically as say in a non-positivistic social-setup where the modern disease theory is not yet socially familiar
such that patients may assume that they should be cured immediately/instantly after treatment with no perspective/framing/reference/horizon of appreciation for judging medicine as optimally an over-a-time-period-bodily-reparation construed as the basis of a positivist physician practice; a notion being spread and advocated by the positivist physician in the social-setup. Now consider a competing healer very much aware of such a non-positivist social-setup ‘lack of social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness)’ with regards to such over-a-time-period-bodily-reparation notion and throwing a spanner in the works by pretending that the physician should confirm that patients are cured immediately as otherwise the physician must be practising witchcraft on the patients, understanding fully well the authentic disposition of the physician to affirm a practice of over-a-time-period-of-bodily-reparation for a long term dependable notion of medicine. While they are pragmatically inclined to advanced opportunistically whatever explanation to justify that their healing is immediate/instant and so involving any such stratagem like opportunistically accusing patients or some other persons for any implied failure of immediate/instant cure having the effect on the most part of shutting-off any complain or at least negative allegations about the healer’s cure, and so-enabled on the basis of the healer priorly institutionalised deferential-formalisation-transference posture in the social-setup. Such a healer encouraging the social-setup notion of immediate/instant cure as a ploy (given the possibility of the positivistic disease theory conception subverting their own non-positivistic healing practice notwithstanding ontological-veracity). The manifest acts of many such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critiques with respect to postmodern-thought: whether when pretending to misunderstand postmodern double-gesture reification of meaningfulness, blatantly caricaturing in the most inane terms postmodern-thought, avoiding genuine intellectual-level disputation, and so rather opting for subversive
‘uncritical social media preaching towards sold publics-of-conquest’ paradoxically while claiming not to grasp postmodern-thought, with subterfuges of unoriginal thought usurping the notion of science and intellectualism towards such uncritical publics; and all this as a manifestation of perverted intellectual institutional-being-and-craft. While postmodern-thought is not and has never been immuned from genuine intellectual criticism not only from other schools-of-thought but among postmodern and poststructuralist thinkers themselves, and this calling out of such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critics is much more than an issue about postmodern-thought but about all intellectualism generally as such malpractices tend to mark the beginning of intellectual teleological-decadence--<in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of--supererogatory--dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness--equalisation> subversion of progressive thinking and go on to permeate social practices and media practice, thus rendering social and critical thought impotent. Further knowledge as understood by this author is more than just the conception of its intemporal-as-ontological nature but knowledge is much more completely and potently notional knowledge as it understands as well the implications of temporal-as-non-ontological mental-dispositions dynamics in relation to pure-ontology, and thus in the face of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity shouldn’t take the bait of overlooking and thus falsely elevating teleologically as intellectually pertinent ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity rather than relating to it at its teleologically-degraded level for what it truly is, and so as part and parcel of a complete conception of knowledge. Ultimately, intellectual statuses are as pertinent as veridically enabling to human emancipation as of
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, and intellectuals’ choice of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity is nothing less than self-inflicting irreverence and cannot thus turn around to intimate irreverence when surreptitiously undermining knowledge of universal consequential implications. This author as of metaphysics-of-absence will summate that prior postmodern thinking is akin-and-pointing-to a proto-prospective reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought over a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as prior reference-of-thought, and that necessarily it speaks by its double-gesture reification of quasi-psychoanalytic-unshackling thus requiring a psychoanalytic-reorientation to such an implied prospective reference-of-thought ‘as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought of a better knowledge perspective/reference-of-thought before/as-preceding contention/argumentative-engagement, and so avoiding ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness. The underlying current of postmodern-thought is that our limited-mentation-capacity induces our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness with regards to reference-of-thought and its derived meaningfulness-and-teleology, with the implication that we need to a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought to be able to articulate intemporal-as-ontological construal as of the internal-dialectics/différance of meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, all concepts, notions as of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, are made to have their internal-dialectics/différance as of non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> for their sublimation and transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity into more profound and more complete meaningfulness-and-teleology. For instance the ‘postmodern take’ about science is rather a
more profound and complete notion of science than the ‘modern take’, such that a ‘modern approach’ to the conception of science naively fails to factor in unlike the ‘postmodern approach’ the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity and the need to deepen it, thus translated into the prior need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness; wherein the ‘modern take’ might naively consider medicine as simply providing medications and remedies, the ‘postmodern take’ by an internal-dialectics/différance of the notion of medical science will factor in socioeconomic, education, information, environmental, gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery as a more profound and complete notion of medical science; construed effectively as of deprocrypticism or preemitting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Thus, for postmodern-thought the capacity to attain relative ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology comes down to the capacity of arriving at the very essence of meaningfulness-and-teleology while overcoming the drawback of our human limited-mentation-capacity. This insight about the essence of things is what underlies fundamentally Heideggerian-essencing-as-of-the-ontological-difference, Sartrean-existence-precedes-essence and Derridean-différance-as-there-is-nothing-outside-the-text, all construed by this author as of existential-contextualising-contiguity; is the enabling approach for human ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflicatedness as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. Basically thus, the overall postmodern project implication is that we deepen our limited-mentation-capacity first (and so as of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~dentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflicatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation of our supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness~of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) to ensure that we go about deriving ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative-
ontological-completeness. This is in reality the ultimate scientific insight as such an internal-dialectics/différance is articulated as of non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant scientific implications; and this is reflected in the very initiation of the postmodern paradigm with Heidegger’s criticism of Hegelian dialectics, with the latter construed by this author as ‘not founded-on-and-constrained-by ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’, but rather dialectical discretion, imagination and speculation ‘as to lack of a congruent,-cogent-and-operant entailing framework of ontological-contiguity’ as herein implied by this author with ‘the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process congruent,-cogent-and-operant entailing framework of ontological-contiguity’. Anecdotally, the shallowmindedness of a ‘modern take’ in failing to recognise the postmodern double-gesture reification will simply consider the blind men reporting of an elephant as a tree-trunk, a rope, a wall, a fan or a spear as ‘postmodern madness’ without factoring in the underlying double-gesture reification for perspective and insight, given the problematic of human limited-mentation-capacity that itself needs to be factored in and thus actually strengthen the human thought process in its aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. In the bigger scheme of things, such an internal-dialectics/différance is what explains the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and so-construed as suprastructuralism beyond just the specific interpretation of suprastructuralism as of postmodernism with respect to modernism. This internal-dialectics/différance as of successive transcendence-and-sUBLImity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity is behind the respective registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their given reference-of-thought specific neuterising as well as the ultimate deneuterising—referentialism of deprocrypticism. But then ontological-bad-
faith/inauthenticity is equally elicited by ‘lack of social universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩’ as of a cynicism of institutional-being-and-craft. The transcendental implications of a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ arises for instance in the sense that however ‘wishful’ the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework transcendental-possibilities/potential as of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue and human emancipation potential/possibilities of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension like positivism as of its ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, cannot avail to a prior registry-worldview/dimension like non-positivism/medievalism. In this regard the Copernicuses, Galileos and Diderots of their eras, and more explicitly Descartes in his direct construal of the positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument, would have certainly sensed that their specific knowledge conceptualisations wasn’t the more critical issue but rather their insistence was an implicit understanding that the non-positivistic ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ was structurally/paradigmatically a framework that wouldn’t be enabling for their positivistic and all other positivistic knowledge conceptualisations as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought (and were thus more fundamentally projective dimensionality-of-sublimating—⟨amplituding/formative>supererogatory-dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-confoundedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation). Such conflatedness imbued in postmodern-thought address more than just constitutedness implications of knowledge construction as articulated herein but equally points critically to
intellectually decadent institutional dispositions and practices where imprimatur and the
dynamics of imprimatur by themselves are increasingly construed as of more critical
epistemic pertinence for knowledge constructions undermining the possibilities of
breakthroughs given that the primacy of intellectualism as of the pertinence of intellectual
arguments increasingly takes a back seat, with intellectual postures increasingly defended
with non-intellectualism obsession of ideologies of schools-of-thought as of institutional-
being-and-craft. This manifests itself in the form of many an intellectual increasing
disposition ‘to misunderstand’ others works, as there are little common stakes for
breakthroughs but rather the stakes are increasingly of institutions academic visibility and
tenure with emphasis on likeminded networks and forums driven increasingly by influence
than carefree universal intellectual curiosity. Furthermore intellectualism has increasingly
been surreptitiously mingling-and-yielding to social and economic interests undermining its
obligation for enabling social clairvoyance, with a resultant sense of socioeconomic and
socio-political impotence as such a blurriness is increasingly undermining the relevance of
intellectualism in its public discourse and enlightenment mission. Ultimately, the epistemic
and structural paradigm of academic institutional setups are not dissociated from the effective
possibility for transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity, especially
as such breakthroughs require the spontaneity of Dionysian arrangements. This author’s
construes of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’
conceptualisation as of ontological-escalation or aetiologisation, with respect to our present
positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as the more fundamental
transcendental issue for prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
transcendental-possibilities/potential beyond self-referencing-syncretism and circular
palliative knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-
reflecting human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as such, is concomitant with a ‘dynamic cumulative remnant-and-co-opting premeaningfulness/preframing-
<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>
covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-of-
circular-complexification as an uninstitutionalised-threshold corollary to the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ likely to induce the ‘denaturing of any
given presence institutionalisation consciousness reference-of-thought conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold’ as of the dynamic elicitation of constitutedness as of shallow limited-mentation-capacity, for instance, as can be elicited as of the given postlogisms and conjugated-postlogisms associated with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in shallow limited-mentation-capacity denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
undermining the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality behind the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. Such a dynamic cumulative remnant-and-co-opting premeaningfulness/preframing—<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> arises, as of the cumulative succession of prior ontologically-compromised-mediating consciousnesses covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-of-
circular-complexification with respect to the specific presence institutionalisation
denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as 
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
thus failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation as of
beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought>, the supposedly implied assumption though false is one of social universal-
transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as all
uninstitutionalised-thresholds-or-uninstitutionalised-thresholds-are-overtly-unassuming-and-
rather-parasitising-or-coopting-of-institutionalisation-in-false-representation-as-
institutionalisation such that prospective social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-
totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness) elucidation of prospective institutionalisation reflecting the
inherent veridicality of the uninstitutionalised-threshold in its beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> collapses it.
Thus the ‘notion of limited-mentation-capacity' is basically the ‘underlying veridical human
meaningfulness-and-teleology notion’ for which ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-
of-their-specific-constitutedness-consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’
construed as ontologically-flawed constructs in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of
‘neuterising as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
reference-of-thought-devolving’ whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, and so elucidated from the
ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective
of deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-
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confalatedness protensive-consciousness sound conceptualisation perspective’. In so doing, the latter reflects the limited-mentation-capacity dynamism of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of notional–deprocrypticism as well as temporal-to-intemporal individuations mental-dispositions, by way of deneuterising—referentialism, in lieu of neuterising. Thus this notion of human limited-mentation-capacity as the basis of différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral divulges ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’ and as of their ontologically-flawed constructs of neuterising, with regards to articulating teleological elevation-as-of-upholding-ontological-veridicality or teleological degradation-as-of-failing-ontological-veridicality respectively either as of conflatedness or destructuring respectively. Basically, the construal/conceptualisation of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s) has always involved a disparateness-of-ontologically-construed-social-reality as of on the one hand a dichotomy of ‘intemporal-projection transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity abstraction of prospective Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology construal as of organic-knowledge implications and so as reductive construction however non-mechanical and intemporal-as-ontological-its-projection and hence as an open-ended-incompleteness/nonachievement-of-ontological-normalcy construal of social reality’, and on the other hand ‘an ad-hoc open-ended summative hotchpotch conventioning of temporal projections and intemporal projection grounding of social reality construction including organic-knowledge as well as mechanical-knowledge implications’, such that from the ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective, the overall social Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology
‘underlying human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
thought-devolving, and so without being subject to any neuterising’ as is the case with all
‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’. Thus by its deneuterising—referentialism construed as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, deprocrypticism
enables a fundamental ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, and so superseding a naïve metaphysics-of-presence affect-driven mented or stigmatic psychology rather as of a shallow perspective and vaguely articulated as of universal import. The idea here with regards to human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-
mentativity, is that from a creative perspective: the notion of a given neuterising is equinominal/equivalent with a given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, and as this speaks of human limited-mentation-capacity prospectively-construed ontologically-
flawed implications as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. It is over this neuterising that human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity is achieved from the prospective notional—conflicatedness of notional—deprocrypticism and so by
deneuterising—referentialism, which is equinominal/equivalent to non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. In other words the historical implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) is that ‘as of a less and less ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-
teleology towards ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, ‘it projectively/anticipatorily brought about the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
absence wherein across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions a notional–deprocrypticism insight makes obvious that it is increasing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence by increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that underlies reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as a wholly internal process of conflatedness, highlighting ‘the concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection, with the former in relative longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’ that occurs at the individuation-level and is reflected in the registry-worldview/dimension-level by the concatenation of institutionalisation inextricably with uninstitutionalised-threshold as the former is in longness and the latter in shortness/distractiveness to the former. This conceptualisation of candidity/candour-capacity associated with deprocrypticism with regards to ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) implications for reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ is in effect a ‘more profound-and-comprehensive notion of différance construed rather with respect to the defining reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ and can be qualified as ‘futural différance’ as of its suprastructural nature, and goes beyond the limits of a Derridean perspective of différance as ‘historial différance’ rather articulated from ‘presencing-as-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought construing of past-as-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in ad-hoc reassessing of meaningfulness-and-teleology of presencing-as-prospective as from its very own reference-of-thought in grasping alterations of meaningfulness-and-teleology going back from the past but not to the point of
drivenness–equalisation exercise, more like a genuine notion of faith lies fully and completely within the individual without any pretence to external interpersonal appraisal, as such a latter manoeuvre simply opens up the avenue for human mortal-to-mortal impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness \textless \text{amplituding/formative} > \text{wooden-language} \{\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—}\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\} \text{in social-aggregation-enabling rather than transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcedental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—de-mentativity thus undermining the more decisive element of futural différance as based on ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven organic-knowledge as setting up the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations reference-of-thought in their respective all-pervasiveness of transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism axiomatic-construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘superseding successive defining human finitudes as destructuring-threshold-\textless \text{uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality}\rangle—of-ontological-performance-\langle \text{including-virtue-as-ontology}\rangle \text{towards attaining successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as institutionalisations’}. Such a construal of futural différance structurally/paradigmatically answers the Heideggerian techne concern as construed by this author of humankind thrown in the midst of the technical as
utility while without ‘matching notional philosophically developed mindset/reference-of-thought for a coherent grasp and aligning with the organic mental origination as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality enabling that technical knowledge to arise-and-be-elevating-of-contemplation-and-Being in the very first place and prospectively’. But rather related to as of transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic marked by incoherence of contemplative mindset/reference-of-thought development in the midst of the technical world as rather literally ‘hurling along’ prospectively prospectively-underdeveloped-Being-as-of-unexpanded-ontological-framework; and so as reflected by conflatedness \(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\)epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,\,for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of ‘the concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection’. Consider a metaphysics-of-absence elucidation with regards to say a remote/isolated non-positivistic animist/base-institutionalisation society for instance which by some token has sustainable-and-learned access to basic but greatly enhancing productive techniques from travellers of a positivistic culture but without a substantial corresponding organisational and institutional diffusion associated with such greatly enhancing productive techniques due to the very brief nature of the encounter or disconnected/incoherent/perfunctory/chaotic nature of their relations, this will structurally/paradigmatically have degenerative effect on such an animistic social organisation wherein this isn’t enhancing of the society’s social organisation and relations and will be possibly disruptive. This example isn’t that farfetched as anthropological evidence of such cases abounds with many native societies so disrupted by culturally
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven organic-knowledge; as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘requires the transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith

ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can and is often usurped by eruditic establishments by a nombrilistic elicitation of temporal mental-dispositions as to the commonsense/social-aggregation-enabling of a given registry-worldview/dimension as a denaturing construal in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct that are effectively divorced and subpar to the organic-knowledge as enabling the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The idea that intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity is only the panache of the technical as of the sciences and that there is no need for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to be instigative-and-be-elevating-of-contemplation-and-Being in complement as of human development is nothing less than a derogation that renders such an establishment erudition no different, as of human-subpotency~aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, from the mediums, shamans, witchdoctors, dogmatic scholastics of prior registry-worldviews/dimensions as vested in their ‘circular-pervasiveness<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’)’ rather than moving ahead of human blithe and their platitudes, and construing the real possibility of human emancipation as of a prospective opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; as the masses-defined-as-non-specialists can effectively be ‘tolerated’ to be ignorant as of the focussing possibility of human limited-mentation-capacity but that which is duty bound to a human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology domain/specialism beyond-just-an-institutional-construct-but-existentially is morally-and-intellectually bound to spearhead the effective development of that Being domain/specialism and not be involved in dithering, and so as of an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm.]
END OF DIGRESSION (ON OVERALL CONCEPTION OF THE FULL POTENTIAL OF HUMAN ONTOLOGICAL-PERFORMANCE-<INCLUDING-VIRTUE-AS-ONTOLOGY>)


measurements’ (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) is ‘a covert negative
vista’ that wrongly undermines/dismantles ‘inherent/preceding intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existent-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-
thought’), and so because the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-
in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> is existentially
being related to as if it is of appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness with
all the derived corresponding implications with respect to perverted representation of
meaningfulness as well as teleologically-degraded/preconverging-or-dementing-
reflexive/entailing-teleological-differentiation implications, given that all the ‘apriorising-
reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements (out of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’) which are
implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology falsely/deceptively induced by the
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (defect of the
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
measurements itself) lead to a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge as
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
measurements for the aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–purposel-
of-obtained-measurements (perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>), as meaningfulness-and-teleology is structurally/paradigmatically
constrained as to threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, as from

candidity/candour-capacity perspective. The implication being that
structurally/paradigmatically reference-of-thought (grandest-axiomatic-construct) in effect in
its soundness or unsoundness induces devolving sound or unsound meaningfulness-and-

teleology; with appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflicatedness
structurally/paradigmatically implying ‘appropriate devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology
of reference’, perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>

structurally/paradigmatically implying ‘perverted devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology of
reference’ and derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>

structurally/paradigmatically implying ‘derived-perverted devolving meaningfulness-and-

teleology of reference’. (Hence the circular-pervasiveness reflex by which a registry-

worldview always resets its meaningfulness-and-teleology as neuter/conviction-as-to-

profound-supererogation-or-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism
and so even at the point of its underlying demonstrated incompleteness-of-reference-of-

thought behind its perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> is nothing but ‘a flawed <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-
postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-
shallow-supererogation issue with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions references-
of-thought as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
(due to ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-
incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-
threshold’); highlighting the notion of defectiveness in successive transformative
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument as
corresponding to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>/postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Consider for instance (with regards to
human growing/developing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-reference-of-
thought), the historical transformation of meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with the
development of human astronomical instruments, as from objects for religious calculations
such as astrolabes to the development of telescopes today rather for advanced astronomical
science mirroring a corresponding human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-
ontological-rescheduling-{by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as
of the successive institutionalisations. This explains the peculiar mimetised-preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology we’ll construe for instance of a
non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought that doesn’t register positivistic
meaningfulness reference-of-thought and likewise prospectively such a construal will have
our present placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-
worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought ‘tend to convention’ and in so doing close the ‘existential frame-of-ontology/meaningfulness (which is the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity)’ in their conventioning, and thus to the exclusion of prospective ontological profundness of reference-of-thought. Thus all registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto been <amplituding-formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). However human existential closure of meaningfulness as conventioning doesn’t supersede but is rather superseded by existential ontological-veridicality, explaining the susceptibility of registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought to be transcended/superseded with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) expansion of ontological-depth as increasing ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (or reducing relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism’). Existential closure of meaningfulness as conventioning induces psychically a registry-worldview/dimension ‘exclusive representing' of itself as as ‘candored and straight’ with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology whereas its transcending/superseding by the prospective registry-worldview/dimension exposes psychically that it is rather ‘decandored and oblongated’ with respect to more profound prospective/transcending/superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology. A further example will be say ‘the God of plane’ type of articulation wherein such a base-institutionalisation as of animistic social-setup which is not positivistic (not the case of non-positivistic as medieval) is psychically ‘candored and straight’ with itself in <amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (its metaphysics-of-presence) and goes on articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology even in the new existential transcendental/superseding contextualisation in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the doubly-prior/transcended/superseded base-institutionalisation/animistic registry-worldview/dimension. Given such a state of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, the notion of generating meaningfulness-and-teleology from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective priorly implies a requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, and so by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. While excluding any exercise of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity since the latter is only appropriate in the instance of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as the base-institutionalisation (animistic) prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–


1182
respect to the base-institutionalisation (animistic) registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>. Equally we can imagine that making a positivistic argument in the midst of a non-positivism/medievalism setup will seem ‘deranged’ from their perspective and their mental orientation will be geared to their traditional sense of meaning and living as absolutely defining, but then the ‘center’ had moved from their world (from non-positivistic as baseinstitutionalisation/animistic or medieval preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism decenter) to the positivistic world (as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism center). Likewise such a suprastructural articulation of our positivism–procrypticism relationship to its postlogism that includes psychopathy and social psychopathy will apparently not make any sense to our present but then ontologically our present is now decentered as threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, though our mental-reflex will be a traditional sense of meaning and living as sound-and-not-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as well. However, to the extent that it is ‘not such <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology inclinations’ that drove human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisations and resolved uninstitutionalised-thresholds from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism (as by reflex the temporal mental-disposition will rather be inclined to temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) extrication in any registry-worldview/dimension with no upholding of transcendental possibilities), to that extent the intemporal-disposition should rather construe/conceptualise its intemporal-disposition as the tip of human transcendental
institutionalisation possibility and thus inherently that it transversally takes precedence over human temporal complexes (and such a ‘transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing confliction’ resolved intemporally by prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and secondnaturing. This actually explains the inevitable contrariety involved in the making of transcendental human progress involving a prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought and a prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought; given the blunt fact that ‘there is no untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality’ and pretences of inevitability of human progress without need for intemporal projection are falsehoods ‘arising as temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology distraction’ with respect to the institutionalising/intemporalising constraining effect of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projections.). Critically, the notion of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity and transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity associated with intemporality/longness and institutionalisation/intemporalisation as of its very defining core is rather one of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as it propounds the supersedingness/primacy/ascendency of intrinsic-reality as a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven construct over human ‘good-naturedness’/impression-driven constructs as well as social-aggregation-enablers. The idea being that ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is much more than a notion associated with the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension (as has naively been traditionally implied) but is a central heuristic drive in defining and structuring meaningfulness-and-teleology in all prior registry-worldviews as well however relatively inefficient; given that with corresponding shallow to limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation), as institutionalising ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework successively induce more and more profound ‘mimetic-echoness to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ as of the full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness. (Consider the case with ancient Egyptians and even ancient Greeks where their relations with their deities were closely related to the fortune they expected on an empirical basis whether with respect to such occurrences like droughts, warfare, etc. which technically speaking is a rational allocation as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology going by their limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)). transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity and transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as so construed is more than just a vague notion of dialecticism but one that recognises on ‘an effective reality basis that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’ implies more and more profound reconstruals/reconceptualisations (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought) inducing transformative implications with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology as transcendence; in contrast to the mere aestheticisation of abstract dialecticism or analogy/mere-analogising speaking thus of human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence. As knowledge conception as contrasted to sovereign conception, ‘transcendence
and transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity doesn’t recognise any human discreet primacy with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ but rather intrinsic-reality is the inherent purveyor of pertinence and primacy. For instance, we don’t have a choice in deciding that gravity is about 9.8 m/s² on earth since intrinsic-reality imposes that idea and the corresponding knowledge construction and organisation where intrinsic-reality is ascendant is rather based on an ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity. This is not to be confused with sovereign constructions and organisations driven by human sovereign choices such as political choices or marketing choices or other sovereign choices based on practices and habits. The latter are social-scientific (besides the previous notion of social-scientific referring to intrinsic social reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity), with respect to transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity construals/conceptualisations only as of existence-in-its-mimetic-echoness as inclusive of the human condition, i.e., human existential sovereign choices of meaningfulness-and-teleology as ontological construals ‘not in terms of the inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-verdicality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology itself’ but ‘rather as of the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the reality of the human sovereign choices as of themselves as humans values independent of their inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as ontologically construing the reality of human condition’, and so with respect to historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, politicisation and other social choices like moralisation, cultural value, economic value, etc. This distinction is critical because very often sovereign choices as conventions will tend to be acted upon as if these were transcendental knowledge of intrinsic-reality/ontology construal of the social in a wrong equivalence, and further because the transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of the intrinsic-reality/ontology construal of the social is more fundamental as the tool for ‘creating/inventing-and-destroying/deconstructing conventions’ for more and more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/superseding–oneness-of-ontology as of human subpotent knowledge. Sovereign constructs can as such be construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> to stifle the possibility of intrinsic-reality/ontology of the social, construed as ontology/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity knowledge, from arising. This insight explains why all deferentia-formalisation-transference are only of pertinence as they justify and are derived from relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity conceptualisations, and collapse when they fail that test. For instance, notions such as arguments from authority are useful in ensuring social efficacy but when authority is demonstrated as relatively fallacious, it then has no pretence to the sanctity of not being undermined. Ultimately, the veridical nature of knowledge beyond ‘institutionalised-being-and-craft’ (as established by prior transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity) to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is not as an exercise of ‘logical mere convincing’ as of social-aggregation-enabling about what is knowledge and appropriate, but rather as a critical exercise of channelling of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as secondnaturing institutionalisation percolation-channelling to elicit the necessary positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation as skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity) towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness. The fact is as construed by the Galileos, Copernicus, Diderots
and others of the world, transcendental knowledge (as relatively ‘consecrated’ by relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) necessarily carries a ‘cynicism-of-grandeur-as-of-effective-intemporal-solipsistic-commitment’ to deal with the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor (and so as of ‘circular-complexification’/perpetual-reinstitutionalisation as a result of the same human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor mental-dispositions across all the successive ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process registry-worldviews/dimensions). In the bigger scheme of things, as of the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional~conflatedness of notional~deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ reflected by metaphysics-of-absence in the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as of the transcendental implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue, we can appreciate that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions conventioning are increasingly ontologically-driven in their value construct as it is more and more profound ontological-veridicality that enables human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity and the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process in the first place; with the deprocrypticism institutionalisation conventioning supposedly attaining absolute ontological grounding. The insight here is that the relative pure-ontology-drive of a Socrates
philosophical clairvoyance superseding Athenian society conventioning limits but then with the latter perceiving in amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as absolutely ontological, Socrates is paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent and thus accused of heresy. Such an argument can also be extended to say a Copernicus or a Galileo whose relative pure-ontology drive advocating a heliocentric universe in medieval society comes against medieval society scholastics dogmatism conventioning limits but then with the latter perceiving in amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as absolutely ontological, Copernicus and Galileo are paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent. This highlights that a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s construes in amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as being the absolute ontological determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, and that meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relative pure-ontology superseding it is paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent. This is relevant with regards to the ‘intellectual projection’ choices made as of their transformative implications on society; wherein such highly unconventional thinkers like Diderot of more dramatic social transformation implications are actually less appreciated as of the amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of their epochal society conventioning limits naively construed by mental-reflex as the absolute ontological determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, over similar thinkers whose thought are more forthcoming towards such societal conventioning limits. As of relevance to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-
construal/conceptualisation is inevitably equally along the same lines. In fact, it can be further contended going by the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor that ‘human knowledge is necessarily a secondnaturing construction’ and not an ‘intemporal-disposition construction’ as the latter will wrongly imply that we are only intemporal-as-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, which is obviously false since we are temporal-to-intemporal by our mental-disposition and our virtue with the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation is actually to understand (as knowledge/the-Good) this and paradoxically be superseding in that respect by a pivoting/decentering psyche and institutionalisation, and not an artificial projection that is not real and hence will be ineffective and circular as threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Thus human knowledge is a dynamic secondnatured construct in upholding-and-vouching for the intemporal while preempting of the temporal, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>.

[The notion of ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’ as used herein goes beyond the notions of ‘consciously’ or ‘unconsciously’ as we normally understand them, in the sense that ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’ speaks of the mental state as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought at the point of uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/solipsistic/recomposuring/animality-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (also referred to
as ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’) where the mental-disposition/mindset/reference-of-thought is rather emphasised as being in ‘a state of relative incapacity’ rather than one of full-conscious-capacity but neither full-unconscious-capacity mental-disposition. Thus unlike just ‘conscious’ or ‘unconscious’, the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> implies ‘conscious’ and/or ‘unconscious’ as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of a registry-worldview/dimension whether with regards to retrospective or prospective transcendental analysis. For instance say in a non-positivistic as medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation social-setup someone accused another of sorcery. It is hardly the case that we can absolutely say they committed a conscious immoral act with their accusation of sorcery since the ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as knowledge-framework available to them doesn’t enable their full conscious appraisal of such a judgment call as they are in an insecure-certitude-by-incertitude-and-virtue-by-vice-mental-flux with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. However, supposed they adopted such an attitude not only by such ignorance but rather affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, then they are effectively relatively conscious with respect to their action as a dishonest/deceitful/immoral act even though beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. Of course, where supposed someone from a positivistic social-setup found themselves in such a non-positivistic social-setup and equally proffered such an accusation of sorcery, then their conscious immorality is fully engaged as being in full-conscious-capacity with respect to their deception going by their positivistic prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that supersedes superstitions

This effective realism as of rational-realism is the requisite insight in understanding how supposedly re-originarily–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation–(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-confoundedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) transcendental notions of intemporality/longness in successive epochs become dominant notions of human knowledge and institutionalisation by giving man access to relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity. Further along the rational-realism line of thinking, the fact is paradoxically that
as more cuttlingly demonstrated with ‘cultural diffusion driven transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity’, the mechanism of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is not a simplistic transference from a more ontologically-completeness-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview to a lesser one. Surprisingly, the lesser one is actually in the position of determination in the contention for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, and it is the competitiveness of ideas that are more ontologically-complete and ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and inconsistency that initially leads to the \textless \textit{amplituding/formative}\textgreater \textit{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag} towards the path of its transcendence; as notions and ideas of the prospective reference-of-thought gradually creep over those of the prior reference-of-thought. (This should be distinguish from the case of the transference of ideas where there is a common reference-of-thought, for instance, the-theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics are spectacular developments from Newtonian physics but they still share the same common reference-of-thought of positivism/rational-empiricism enabling the new theories to be quickly adopted within the mechanism of the common reference-of-thought in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of psychical and institutional orientation). Consider in this regard the case in an animistic social-setup wherein failure to be cured from the traditional healer tempts individuals in that setup as a matter of life and death to approach the newcomers of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, and with a successful cure sowing doubts about animistic tradition relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity, and with various other such positivistic outcomes inducing in the middle to long run further \textless \textit{amplituding/formative}\textgreater \textit{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag} of thought; as explanations for the cure
will still be advanced in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the old reference-of-thought (giving human natural predisposition to social-aggregation-enabling) but increasingly ridding such explanations of their credible substance until there is critical transference into the new registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought. <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is actually the process by which transcendental meaningfulness, as of prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought, is institutionalised; underlying the essential contiguity of human mental-disposition across all registry-worldviews/dimensions. This equally highlights a superficiality-of-inherent-sanctimony displayed by succeeding institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, which may wrongly imply being out of the scope of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and thus fundamentally undermine ontologically-veridical analysis where exceptionalism is adhered to instead of the mediocrity principle. This quite sums up the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mechanism by which re-originary–as–unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) transcendental ideas (transcendental in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of putting in question the prior <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving, beyond just novel ideas within the same reference-of-thought), whether by diffusion or internal transformation, come to be dominant when ontologically pertinent; as even the ‘moulting’ intellectual/emancipator, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, is coming from a point of
habitation with prior traditional ideas (consider the case of Newton with alchemic notions), wherein acceptance of the new ideas they are purporting only comes after an unconscious process of suspicion and denial of such nagging new ideas until they arrive at a firm point of supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism before admitting to themselves the possible veracity/ontological-pertinence of the ideas, and so as their very own <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which makes it unsurprising that even socially <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is a necessary process for the ultimate acceptance of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as this subsumes-as-supplant-(as-of-the-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) the prior ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. It is hardly the case of just a direct intemporal sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology transference of transcendental notions. The bigger point being that the construal/conceptualisation of transcendental ideas is not necessarily validated by their immediate recognition, a notion the would-be intellectuals/emancipators should be of a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’, but rather as providing fodder in the competitive ideas assuring human progress with emphasis rather with respect to cross-generational import (prospective-institutionalisation <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling—(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as enabled by psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure). It is doubtful that Galileo or Diderot and others of their inclination were naïve to think that their initiatives will immediately lead to a positivistic transformation of society but they certainly had a cynical sense of cross-generational purposefulness (whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>). This equally explains why in all epochs, however different the nature, there is an inherent temporal mental-disposition abhorrence of transcendentald ideas as putting into question the present and present interests (for instance, even the industrial revolution when considered as actually generating material wealth was poorly perceived by many trade guilds). It is only the ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) that allows for ‘a relative teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation of references-of-thought’ as to what the appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness (correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements) and the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements) truly are, and the implications thereof with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology (purposeful architectural aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-
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in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) enabling social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<bramplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)-or-
understanding-of-ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena
superseding grasp of social vices-and-impediments as of the given transcendence-unenabling-
uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-
desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic, by its psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure or social pivoting/decentering to
reconstruct/reconceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness. The difference between postlogism (postlogism-as-of-compulsing–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation-
(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness)) and prelogism
(prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation–(existentially-veridical-logical-
dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at)) can further be developed as such. Supposed
there is a given context where the solution to additions of the
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-
measurements (meaningfulness-and-teleology) taken involves rewards depending on how big
is the number with the Donor not in a position to pay particular attention to the exact sums to
be resolved if a character is in a position to fiddle with the implied sum to be resolved like
deliberately using the defective
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument—producing-
measurements as perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (more like the
‘covert negative vista’ of the hidden-nature/unavailable social universal-transparency-
(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) of psychopathy especially at adulthood). Now supposed to resolve a ‘purposeful measurement’ (meaningfulness-and-teleology), A appropriately uses a correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) and find out that the numbers measured and to be added are 5 + 2 and is trying its best thereafter to resolve the sum but fails in its logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation and gives 9 as the answer, this doesn’t void logically re-engaging with A with respect to other sums in terms of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements to be undertaken (as to logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) so long as A learns and understands the addition principle well. This instance of A’s reference-of-thought where it is not perverted (correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements) but its logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation has failed because of A’s genuine incapacity for addition calculations is part and parcel (whether successful or not) of prelogism. Now supposed B is in a position and has the mental-disposition to covertly add 1 to any of the numbers measured and to be involved in the calculations to be undertaken before then calculating and so as to measurement (so-construed as use of a defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements speaking of B’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) such that its calculations as aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—
of-obtained-measurements (meaningfulness-and-teleology) is undertaken erroneously rather implying \(6 + 3\) instead of \(5 + 2\) (with respect to the same correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as measurement undertaken by A for subsequent calculation as \(5 + 2\)) and then resolved correctly to be 9 as well just as A did out of wrong calculation, fundamentally the idea of re-engaging with B for solutions of additions (as to logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) is flawed since B is not committed due to its perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (incorrect apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements) to genuinely strive for correct answers (ontological-veridicality), and this speaks of the possibility of B denaturing an infinite number of additional calculations (to the extent where it is ‘socially-functional-and-accordant’ to do so, i.e. functionally possible in the social context). Unlike the case with A having to do with A’s addition ability but whose reference-of-thought is not perverted, such that A’s defect is a defect—of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, on the other hand B’s defect is a Being/ontological/existential—defect, i.e., the teleological disposition of B inherently carries the defect (to the point that B can be socially-functional-and-accordant while committing the defect, i.e. where the veridical notion/axiomatic-construct of the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is not universally transparent as a ‘negative covert vista’). Now supposed we are in a social context where C, D, E, F are to calculate additions as well but from the solutions arrived at by A and B. In the instance where C is ignorant of B’s Being/ontological/existential—defect, there is a
possibility of re-engaging with C but only where B’s condition is exposed to it, but where the characters are not that ignorant but in any of the mental states (implying undermining the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity of normal additionality with such a social-aggregation-enabler situation) and so as of expediency or affordability for D, opportunism for E, exacerbation for F, social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation for B, C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F or temporal-endemisation/temporal-enculturation of B’s condition for B, C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F. It should be noted that C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F technically speaking have a ‘derived-Being/ontological/existential–defect’ as well, and so to the point that they consciously perceive it can be socially-functional-and-accordant to them wherein lack of ‘social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing,-<amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’ enables their own ‘covert negative vista’ however ad-hoc as conjugated-postlogism i.e. as to the conjugated-ignorance of C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it), conjugated-affordability of D, conjugated-opportunism of E, conjugated-exacerbation of F, and conjugated-social-chainism of B, C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it) D, E and F, and conjugated-temporal-enculturation to B’s condition of B, C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F; and they cannot therefore be re-engaged logically with (as of ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation re-engaging reflex’) on the basis that they will relay in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>–as-to-
uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) elicited by B in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of B’s
postlogism-as-of-compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-
shallow-supererogation and C, D, E and F relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-
‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ that is ‘in-wait as of prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to enable their conjugated-postlogism,
where it is socially-functional-and-accordant to do so. It should be qualified that postlogism
(psychopathy) and conjugated-postlogism (as social psychopathy) are enabled, endemised
and enculturated by the possibility of the phenomena being socially-functional-and-accordant
without negative consequences to its agents so long as it is not socially universally
transparent, and so eliciting the respective temporality/shortness over the
intemporality/longness of adhering to proper
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (ontologically-
veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology). Further more than postlogism and conjugated-
postlogism being just passively socially-functional-and-accordant, a more active socially-
functional-and-accordant framework is often induced by extrinsic-attrition on the token of
eliciting ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity’. This is highly specific
and circumscribe for efficacy-sake from accrued involvement with childhood psychopathy
(with regards to adult psychopathy or adult postlogism) wherein achieving the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance
threshold enabling postlogism/psychopathy and/or conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy
involves an insight about how ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-
dueness). In this regard, prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds generally adopt a generalising approach for determining ‘the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance experiences and recounts with any specific individual’ including psychopathic or conjugated-postlogism, and in so doing construe dichotomously the said individual’s as adhering or not-adhering to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (and so specifically judged rather in various shades of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance implied mechanical-knowledge), as entails with associating or not associating the said individual in given occasions or in specifically given aspects of life depending on such experiences and recounts. With this in mind (based on its dormant childhood development experience), the adult psychopathy personality arising from its growth experience (and correspondingly the protraction into conjugated-postlogism behaviour in this regard), wherein its childhood psychopathy failing the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance induced a shift in behaviour such that in lieu of ‘such preposterous acts-and/or-narratives of vicious postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation’ at childhood, the childhood psychopathy comes to grasp that ‘acts-and/or-narratives of vivious postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation’ as of ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ will lead to relative social overlooking of the ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’; and so cultivating its deterministic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework faulty-mentation-procedure-deception ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’. For instance, as highlighted further below where John in a
elements and thus explaining derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation arises, in addition to the more fundamental issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of prospective procrypticism uninstitutionalisation. In other words, ‘psychopathic/postlogism and social-psychopathic/conjugated-postlogism vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ as of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> take the form of mental ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’ that such ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ based on their systematic combination with ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ directed to relevant significant others will enable the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, by such a compensation mechanism. With this faulty-mentation-procedure-deception, this is thus supposed to override the ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ as of an association between the ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’, and ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ towards relevant significant others, wherein that compensating is not a trite equivalence but rather involves ‘high-proportionality of overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ relative to ‘specific or given postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ in order to enable the postlogism/psychopathic manifestation achieve the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (with such overcompensation involving sought after overall
preceding and subsequent sense of social allegiance with relevant significant others and then corresponding ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ towards relevant significant others, whether relevant individuals and/or relevant social network, as overall ‘social investment’ that should allow its instigated ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ with respect to another individual or situation, as the occasion may arise, to be overlooked/absolved/exonerated/exculpated socially). This faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition at adulthood psychopathy is more profound than just an ad-hoc trite association between committing a given vicious act and initiating a given limited ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue act-and/or-narrative’ in compensation as is the case at childhood psychopathy, since the adult psychopath discovers at that stage that such triteness of association is relatively inefficient for attaining the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (but rather requires a more profound association of the ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ and ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’). As then during its childhood the ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ are relatively universally transparent socially for what these truly are, as rather being associated with its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought¬<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, ‘than just merely or confused with innocent virtue acts-and/or-narratives’; and as ‘interlocutors in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation come to grasp the deliberativeness/consciousness of the artificial and fallacious systematic eliciting of ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ as a crude-trite-compensating mechanism for its urge to commit ‘postlogism-as-
of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-
supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ and is thus socially-dysfunctional at childhood. Whereas at adulthood psychopathy the overcompensating involves a surreptitious upending/undermining/blurring of this underlying insight that the ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ is rather as of a personality development derived-from and connected-with such fallacious crude-trite-compensating at childhood; such that it is then adopted and relayed as contending thus wrongly validating its apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (which are actually outside existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) as first-level deception, and thus enabling the infinite possibilities of second-level deception from their logical-processing-or-logical-implicationation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. This underlying postlogism/psychopathic faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition and its protraction in conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy involving deliberative/conscious or unconscious (conjugated-ignorance) artificial, fallacious and surreptitious systematic eliciting of ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ systematically enabling the possibility for committing ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ with respect to another individual or situation, as the occasion may arise, while ensuring social overlooking/absolving/exonerating/exculpating is a central enculturating/endemising mechanism at the registry-worldview/dimension-level (beyond the individuation-level) of human temporalities-drives to adhere to the <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry—teleology) (failing/not-upholding<-as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—
ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). Further, at the
confluence of postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy with
respect to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology arises disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought; inherent in temporality/shortness and as of postlogism and conjugated-
postlogism mental-dispositions (shallowness-of-thought construed as of temporal-extricatory
reasoning as well as incoherent and awkwardly implied universal projections, but which
actually speaks of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag explaining why its ‘universal projection
lip-servicing nature or inductive limitation fails the test of a true principle’, basically
highlighting a dynamic reference-of-thought relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology
as of poor performance of supposed intemporal-projection but actually in effect
pseudointemporality-as-temporality and speaks, more specifically with regards to
psychopathic/postlogic meaningfulness-and-teleology, rather as of relatively ‘mere-rhyming
mental-disposition’ emphasising <amplituding/formative>wooden-language{imbued—
temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-
dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology} in ‘toning-triggering/snappings-of-impression/tenseness-of-interlocutory-
engagement-(easily copied with conjugated-postlogism at an intuitive-level’)—falsely-
projecting-profoundness-of-thought more like vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-
formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-
subknowledging with respect to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology given

The relative transparency of childhood psychopathy perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (as highlighted with the case of John in a ‘dereifying act’ spilling water on a chair in conjunction with its psychopathic perverted compensation mental-disposition as a basis for concurrently instigating postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation so long as it can be socially-functional-and-accordant in satisfying its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge by vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging) is highly revealing of the perverted nature of ‘temporal psychopathic/postlogic synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and as it develops into adult psychopathy where social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
as-to-profound-supererogation rather than as postlogism-as-of-compulsing—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation’ as the
adult psychopath undergoes maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to
attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction
(further elucidated elsewhere) inducing the further protraction in conjugated-
postlogism/social-psychopathy of derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> ‘temporal-synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’—as-
shallowness-of-thought in derived–vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-
projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledgeing
(beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought>). This at the institutional-level, a framework as the extended-informality-
{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-
meaningfulness-and-teleology} without social universal-transparency—{transparency-of-
totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—
in-relative-ontological-completeness} as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-
of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context as so reflected by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-
of-thought (disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) is bound to induce defective/perverted
‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ relative
to intemporal/ontological and virtue constructs.

[Consider the instance of an archetype illustration with respect to say a Socrates or Rousseau
individuation ‘intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as-to—
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—social-context-construed-conflicatedness’,
implies that same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness are undisambiguated/undelineated, and available to temporal postlogic/psychopathic synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, temporal-dispositions in conjugated-postlogism synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as well as intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, for instance, it won’t be surprising that the ‘intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-social-context-construed-conflatedness’ of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as implied in this write-up, in principle, is rather alien as of its purposefulness/ontological-aspiration (notwithstanding the debatableness of veracity/ontological-pertinence as all knowledge constructs must necessarily be opened to) to many ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shallowness-of-thought/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. This fundamentally arises due to the fact that prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity arises as ‘an exercise of outward-facing prospective institutionalisation metaphysics-of-absence value-referencing’ relative to a ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inward facing uninstitutionalised-threshold value-referencing’.

Ultimately, loss of social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing–amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought such that mental states with respect to postlogisms and conjugated-postlogisms as of specific registry-worldviews/dimensions reveal the reality of the registry-worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and more specifically relevant to the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy it points to disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought associated with procrypticism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. It should be noted as well that the notion of overlooking and resetting (as the fact is the conscious manifestation of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> doesn’t truly qualify for such a notion of overlooking and resetting since it is of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> and not defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, more like it can’t be pretended that overlooking the nefarious implications of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-positivistic social-setup in some way implies a resetting of non-positivism/medievalism mindsets/reference-of-thought, and it will be more of an intellectual-and-moral dereliction from a positivistic insight) doesn’t cancel the fundamental temporal mental-dispositions as portrayed above given that intrinsic-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’ in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as-inherently-implied-by-the-
uninstitutionalised-threshold (threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism-of-
procrypticism), and the ‘deprocrypticism’ mindset/reference-of-thought will be existentially
perpetuating ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-
rationaising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’ in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as-inherently-implied-by-its-preempting-of-
any-uninstitutionalised-threshold. It should further be noted that the notion of in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability is not about conceptualising in the simplistic
sense of any specific effective factual acts of circularity/recurrence/recurrence/repeatability-
as-of-conflated-construal but rather about a defining defectiveness of registry-worldview
reference-of-thought-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>’ inherently-implied (threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism-of-the-
uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation,
ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism) given the registry-
worldview/dimension-level of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,—‘threshold-of—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. So basically,
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability-as-of-conflated-construal is about the
‘circularity of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-logically-contending) in need for base-
institutionalisation-(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-
logically-contending)’, the ‘circularity of ununiversalisation-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-
logically-contending)’, the ‘circularity of non-positivism/medievalism-(reflected-as-
unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-logically-contending) in need for positivism-(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-logically-
contending)’ and prospectively the ‘circularity of procrypticism-(reflected-as-unsoundness-
or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-logically-contending) in need for deprocrypticism-(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-
logically-contending)’, successively as of their prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought.

[For instance, resetting relations anew and overlooking non-positivism/medievalism
postlogism issue of say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery does not mean that characters in
such a non-positivism/medievalism setup are no longer susceptible to the same mental-
dispositions ‘as of non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought’ on different or
subsequent occasions/instances where the medieval postlogism-as-of-compulsing–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation issue of
notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery will arise again, where it is socially-functional-and-
accordant to do so passively or actively by eliciting social-aggregation-enablers over the
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory--de-mentativity’. The reason being that the perversion-of-reference-of-thought--as-effectively-apriorising-in
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> speaks to a fundamental relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,--‘threshold-of--
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (utterly different
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ununiversalisation manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by universalisation reference-of-thought, non-positivism/medievalism manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by positivism reference-of-thought, and prospectively procrypticism manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by deprocrypticism reference-of-thought. As palliative construal is rather ontologically incoherent as the idea for striving to construe intemporality/longness from temporality/shortness is rather naïve and actually as of ontologically-flawed <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag here implies that every registry-worldview/dimension is rather pre-inclined to represent its own threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ with respect to prospective notional–depocrypticism ‘ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’; though paradoxically it will effectively recognise such a representation about prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions. For instance, we’ll be hard pressed to acquiesce to an argument with regards to medieval manifestation of postlogism for instance as it instigates notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, associated with a logic in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of non-positivism/medieval relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,’‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ of the type ‘A’s action was what brought about the accusation of witchcraft, and A should stop the practice’, from our positivistic transcendentally <amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of its positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and would rather imply ‘the decandored/oblongated and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase nature’ of such non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought priorly without its contesting status even arising in the very first place; but then with respect to our own postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as psychopathy and social psychopathy pointing to our own relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,—‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as procrypticism, we will tend to advance a ‘nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) as a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension. Thus the articulation of prospective institutionalisation ‘is not about ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework implying equivalence between the prior/transcended/superseded and the prospective/transcending/superseding’. It is rather about the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendancy of the latter in transversality-of-affirmative-and—
unaffirmative,—disambiguated—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and inequivalence with the former. For instance the factual ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/effectiveness validations of say a chemistry mindset/reference-of-thought (with demonstrations of chemistry principles by chemical reactions producing elements and
compounds) say in a non-positivism/medievalism setup prone to alchemy and essences-driven explanations ‘is not and cannot be construed as a logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation validation as of alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought’ but rather ‘a chemistry scientific mindset/reference-of-thought validation’, critically because the issue is fundamentally not about the specific validations of chemistry principles but rather about the non-positivism/medievalism alchemy and essences-driven explanations defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mental-disposition reflex with respect to metaphorically-as-of-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of interpretive defects of that may arise from such non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought based on alchemy and essences-driven explanations given its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. Thus wrongly implying that a contending engagement between the two is of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, ‘wrongly elevates and validates the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought’ as the mindset/reference-of-thought of contention, as such a possibility of contending engagement from the chemistry mindset/reference-of-thought is about harkening rather to a paradigmatic and conflatedness (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) of the alchemy and essences-driven explanations mindset/reference-of-thought reflex for the ascendency of a positivistic chemistry registry-worldview reflex as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it addresses the former defect of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–
syncretising/metaphysics-of-presence and thus provides the possibility for resolving metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locals/aetiology/ontological-escalation of defects of that non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought based on alchemy and essences-driven explanations given its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-'threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. This insight equally comes to the mind as we can equally imagine that a mere demonstration or demonstrations of positivistic meaningfulness effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in say a base-institutionalisation/animistic social-setup or non-positivism/medievalism social-setup to their approbation is not a sufficient basis to imply that they are thereafter of positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought and to be engaged with as of logical-processing-ological-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, as any such positivistic demonstration pertinence is not about its factual effectiveness approbation in the base-institutionalisation/animistic social-setup per se but rather as of its paradigmatic and conflatedness (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) of the underlying base-institutionalisation/animistic relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-’threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ which is rather of cross-generational import (prospective-institutionalisation <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as enabled by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure). Such an insight can be extended prospectively on the same measure with respect to our
recomposure of the prospective institutionalisation by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought."

psychologism/dialectically-or-contendingly in-phase) and their uninstitutionalised-threshold state (in threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as decandored/oblongated and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/dialectically-or-contendingly out-of-phase). The notion of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ as being of true transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity can be further elucidated with regards to two remarkable historical developments which while inherently exceptional, to say the least, aren’t truly transcendental. Consider for instance that transcendental is generally considered as the central notion of Kantian philosophy. The reality however is that the supposed transcendentalism is actually an elaboration in the terms of the actual and true rational-empiricism/positivism reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity established by Descartes’ thinking proposition and scepticism exercise as the fundamental basis for continuously re-elaborated ‘extended rationalism’ right up to the present. Kantian supposed transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity (Copernican revolution) is not eliciting a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ (which is exactly what Descartes’ thinking proposition and scepticism exercise does with
respect to the non-positivism/medievalism psyche/placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology). The Kantian construct is an elaboration well within the psychical framework established by the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation ‘extended rationalism’ thinking proposition and scepticism exercise, and Kantian meaningfulness-and-teleology is utterly comprehensible and intelligible to that psyche/mentation, though in many ways it is a more profound elaboration of meaningfulness-and-teleology issues. So it is actually an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument within the extended-rationalism reference-of-thought that doesn’t psychically and meaningfully supersede it but elaborates within it; and it doesn’t reference an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ as implied by a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, as from Recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to Base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, to Universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, to Positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively to Deprocrypticism; as successively non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition—(as ‘base constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) gives way to rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism.—(as ‘first-
mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing principles with respect to a mental state that is perpetually in a transformative becoming state of shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplitunding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). (This latter condition inherently means that the certitude of such an enterprise itself can only be grounded on the human existential existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as the absolute apriorising.) It is this author’s contention that the Kantian conceptualisation exercise while interesting is in many ways rather a heuristic construct given its grounding on a categorisation reflex that poorly syncs with and is in constant need for heuristic re-adaptation to match ‘an existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality existential reality nature that is preceding-and-superseding to any human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of it’, and thus rendering such an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conceptualisation exercise highly heuristic (to constantly resolve the virtualities it raises by re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification), and so when not employing a referentialism reflex that is naturally inclined to be contiguous with intrinsic-reality as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. A further weakness is the naive implication thus that an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing exercise of human mental understanding only starts and ends with the positivistic/rational-empiricism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as if it is the only one that had existed, against the anthropological and historical trend, and without explaining how previous meaningful-frames developed into the positivistic/rational-empiricism and how the latter could develop
prospectively. Besides the Kantian argument that the transcendent (in all its connotations beyond direct experiences) cannot be known is equally anthropologically and historically erroneous as even in his days, with respect to adopting of a positivistic/rational-empiricism worldview over non-positivistic/ alchemic/essences/medieval registry-worldview/dimension certainly does have a name (transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity). But then it is more the case that from an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag posture holding only one registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as absolute, then prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is rather a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> notion. Besides, Kant’s notion of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity (transcendental idealism) and subsequent philosophical development of the notion is one relating to immediate phenomenal conceptualisation rather construed as ‘phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence’ (and more precisely phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence as of ‘the positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights’ transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity implied by Descartes) rather than a construal of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as implied herein as of deepening limited-mentation-capacity with respect to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to–‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ as superseding–oneness-of-ontology as an all-encompassing <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of human psychical and
oneness, thereafter defining relative certitudes by the contextualising-contiguity of existence as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\(<\text{amplituding/formative}}\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\) as of its successively developed transcendental psychical and institutionalisation notions from apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition to successively profound apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument rules associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\(<\text{amplituding/formative}}\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\), as further elaborated in this paper. This same insight can be extended with respect to an Einstein and Bohr led theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics physics respectively in relation to the physics of Newton, Galileo, Leibniz; wherein the latter established the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psyche as
\(<\text{amplituding/formative}}\text{epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling—by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology}\)’ of positivistic physics right back then in their epoch such that the overall underlying principle of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity back then is still what prevails today. It is that physics psyche established back then which enabled seemingly aloof conceptualisations of physics like theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics within a decade or so of their articulations as of more profound elaboration of
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework to establish themselves as the central physics theories with little or no quarrel. It is interesting to grasp that such a physics and science psyche wasn’t available to a Copernicus in what may be construed today as a relatively benign conceptualisation of a heliocentric model of the world, with the revolt of Galileo and others ultimately establishing that physics and science psyche over a non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument relationship to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that is not ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of its non-scientific psyche. In other words however ‘good-natured, well-meaning and wishful for enabling human progress’ the mental-disposition in that epoch as alchemic and non-positivistic was structurally not ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity, and instinctively one may argue that it is by coming out from the frustration of not achieving anything decisive but for ‘palliative results’ in terms of progress with an alchemic and non-positivistic psyche that the Newton’s of that epoch increasingly adopted a positivistic sense of things which they increasingly came to realise as being ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity. This same ‘ontological misconstrual’ naively grounded on ‘palliative constructs and naïve conceptual patterning’ driven by ‘good-naturedness, well-meaningfulness and wishfulness’ is pervasive in the social sciences today as of its poor ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity construction having to do with an epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag agent of limited-mentation-capacity that we are as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification wherein our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-

Consider for instance a situation where statistically people likely to rest more in their home in winter are compared with people spending more time outdoors with regards to prevalence of flu, and then arriving at the conclusion that the treatment for flu is resting more at home. Such a construct as basic constitutedness is at best a sound palliative construct and naïve conceptual patterning however good-natured, well-meaning and wishful, but doesn’t deal with the required pure-ontology conflatedness as of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity in establishing a comprehensive disease theory for flu that syncs with other human diseases theories and human biology theories and general biology theories and informed by the bigger ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ (construed rather as of an organic depth of ontological coherence/contiguity that is structurally/paradigmatically transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity contiguously as from the deeper apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ and not vague ad-hoc mechanical patchwork of non-transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity conceptualised/construed relations), and so as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology.

The practice in many a social science specialism is often to articulate concepts whose linkage with other social science concepts and the overall social science background knowledge
construct is vague such that ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity is hardly established but for bare ‘palliative constructs and naïve conceptual patterning’ that are more often than not <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag than truly ontological when examined closely such that the test of transcendently-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism when the implications of such notions are examined as of metaphysics-of-absence not only in terms of one registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness-and-teleology but two or more, say our present positivism reference-of-thought and retrospective non-positivism reference-of-thought, their ‘supposed ontological status’ turn out to be ridiculous <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, exposing their true nature as rather palliative constructs and conceptual patterning. In the bigger framework can notions construed/conceptualised as of ‘human subjectivity so-construed as ineffectively transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism’ be given the label ontology, or rather is ontology exactly not about effective transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism? And what is fundamentally involved in developing that transcendentally-enabling-level–of-
prospectively preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as-to-
philosophy’ as herein implied by this hermeneutic psychology suprastructuralism insight construed as of metaphysics-of-absence as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, not only with regards to the social sciences but also when it comes to the many instances of poor scientific studies thus enabling the decisive superseding of palliative construals and conceptual-patterning that can hardly be qualified as ontological. The underlying contention of both such a present ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ and prospective ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ as of their respective relative ontologically-veridical psychical background referencing as of conflatedness for knowledge/meaningfulness-and-teleology has to do with the bigger ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reality (of ontologically valid knowledge/meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of its notional–conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as the structural/paradigmatic basis by which ‘ontological-deficiency (conceptually represented as subsuming of virtue-defect or vices-and-impediments ‘with virtue not truly differentiated from ontology’ but rather such a conceptual-differentiation being represented as of our notional <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag animate-existential-referencing/subjectification emotional-involvement implications)’ is construed fundamentally going by a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought relative deficiency as prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought (as its uninstitutionalised-threshold) thereby resolvable structurally/paradigmatically by the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; thus validating with regards to both reference-of-thought respectively as the ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-
transience of reference-of-thought as experienced by Okonkwo returning from banishment to Umuofia village in Chinua Achebe’s Things Fall Apart. That is, basically and by reflex, mental-dispositions as of the formation of ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism.,-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology will not necessarily construe transitorily at its uninstitutionalised-threshold that ‘base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is the relative ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought (as explained further below with respect to ‘symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought but which is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and/or desymmetrisation for perceived temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ associated with distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/ontological-asymmetrisation as of deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness in aetiologisation/ontological-escalation); such that on a logical-basis the <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)} in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation will be more inclined to turn towards the ‘prior conventional non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism.,-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’ as reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and so over the ‘prospective relative pure-ontology conflatedness implying rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism’. This is because a registry-worldview/dimension is a ‘circular-pervasiveness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}’ wherein
achievement motives and temporal-stakes of the conventional constructs as of human finite
aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—
averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>} so-construed prospectively, will tend to ‘take precedence as of relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-
reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as
of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (as implied by
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as heuristic but
non-constraining compensation for human limited-mentation-capacity where constraining
social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness} doesn’t
yet avail) even though, it is such relative pure-ontology conflatedness that is the ontological-
faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality enabling (by
ultimately making available such prospective constraining social universal-transparency-
{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness}) the successive institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recomposure. Even then and ultimately, it is mainly a cross-
conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) so-construed prospectively, will tend to ‘take precedence as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness notion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and implying rather a prospective transcendental depth-of-thought/reference-of-thought. This equally explains why the implied supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is necessarily a ‘presencing—absolutising-identititive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation that needs to take into account this ‘paradox of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity’. And critically so, because beyond just ‘human conscious willing’, transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity necessarily implies the ‘prospect of humans to appreciate/understand meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’; such that, structurally/paradigmatically/necessarily, that which gets to ‘conceptualise/construe beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’ is necessarily ontologically-asymmetrical as rather imbued with intellectual-and-moral responsibility over that which doesn’t get there (and so, even with regards to a basic non-transcendental construal of asymmetrisation within a same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought like Doctor – Patient, Parent – Child, Server –
Customer, Teacher – Student etc. as ensues from a Derridean binary opposition analysis. However at uninstitutionalised-threshold, the notion of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation is not readily acquiesced to for the simple reason that two references-of-thought/axiomatic-constructs are at play with those adhering to the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology inclined beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> to uphold meaningfulness-and-teleology as such, whereas in contrast adherence to the prospective/transcending/superseding as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will certainly grasp the pertinence of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation as of deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflicatedness aetiologisation/ontological-escalation; so construed, as prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought brings about deepening sense as to apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology construal for a sounder and sounder relationship with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In this respect, it should be noted that in the example on the denaturing of Additionality as further articulated below with regards to the characters A, B, C, D, E, F and Z, it is naïve to think that the characters A, B, C, D, E, F will simply acquiesce to Z’s supposedly ontologically-veridical posture, as by their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> they may operate on a logic that once such a situation as A induced additionality defect deception develops as of ‘lack of
constraining social universal-transparency-\(\{\text{transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing}\}\) epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness), that’s fine and implicitly others could just as well consciously go along with it, and that it is just as implicitly legitimate as of the ‘\(\{\text{amplituding/formative}\}\) wooden-language-\(\{\text{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}\)’ of prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension’ notwithstanding its failing/not-upholding-\(\{\text{as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing}\}\) intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; highlighting how across the successive registry-worldviews threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism arise, however, different the perception from ‘very-crude’ (with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) to ‘seemingly polished’ (with our positivism–procrypticism) depending on prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This is to point out that at uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal-dispositions as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought do not necessarily acquiesce to intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality or asymmetrisation (as Z’s … looking down on A, B, C, D, E and F mental-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\(\{\text{as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation}\}\) as allowing for the endemisation/enculturation of the denaturing of additionality and the implications thereof of subsequent denaturing in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability that ensue where socially-functional-and-accordant due to lack of constraining social universal-transparency-
(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) which protects the internal-coherence of
meaning for virtue’; not only as a specific/particular construal/conceptualisation but of
universal import as having to do with endemisation/enculturation of perversion-of-reference-
of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation>. Does the ‘intellectual romanticism’ of a Rousseau articulation of
universal human rights necessarily register fully in the mindset/reference-of-thought of the
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> of his
epoch or is it rather more truly a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> notion until the necessary psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure generations latter that brings this
beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought> notion to the fore of the <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—
averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>}, and this interrogation could be extended to say superstitious notions and their
implications in a non-positivistic social-setup as the drive of say a rational-
empiricism/positivistic emancipating agent in many ways will be a beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>
notion for the <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-
<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} in such a
social setting, and equally similar issues faced today in many a traditional society like female
genital mutilation is more than just an issue of stopping the practitioners of genital mutilation but has to do with meaningfulness and teleology in such social setup that is a question of a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology notion with respect to recasting of gender rights in a prospective meaningfulness and teleology. Likewise, it could be asked whether such an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation notion as deprocrypticism institutionalisation implied suprastructuration over our positivism—procrypticism is rather not a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology notion as of the present mental disposition and mental-projection. The fact is that registry-worldviews/dimensions operate meaningfulness and teleology as of their ontological representation of reality within the limits of their reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which provide them with their ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ (so derived from prior ontological-faith-notion—or-ontological-fideisim induced projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction), but then the further possibility of expanding the axiomatic-construal/axiomatic-conceptualisation of ontological representation of reality as prospective registry-worldview/dimension suprastructuration requires new projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction to establish more profound reference-of-thought—
imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality effectively with deconstruction/engaged-destruktion/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness; and so, with respect to transcending from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation right up to our positivism–proorypticism institutionalisation suprastructuration, and prospectively the same human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor issues arise with respect to the possibility of our prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity to deproorypticism, as we perceive our ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ as absolute failing to construe the all-encompassing redefining implications of projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction with respect to the possibility of an altogether new/prospective
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ (as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}> mental-dispositions most profound relationship to meaningfulness-and-teleology tends to be geared rather towards the given ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ as-an-only-one as this enables human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}> so-construed prospectively, as within ONLY recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (by its non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
dispositions or vices-and-impediments as arising mainly as of their conscious choices, paradigmatically/structurally a registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> notion is the more decisive/salient notion as to human ‘objectively construed/analysed virtuous-dispositions or vices-and-impediments’ even though individual ‘conscious choices’ will tend to ‘simply qualify the effective possibility of such virtuous-dispositions or vices-and-impediments arising’; such that a registry-worldview/dimension incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is paradigmatically/structurally susceptibility as a state of ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the vices-and-impediments so implied to arise-and-be-endemised/enculturated beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>]. This explains why the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is basically about shifting apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments to supersede the state of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) in handling the more and more profound/depth of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality construing reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct that avails as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or increasing ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; (such that such meaningfulness as expressed herein is more than just of logical construct implying simple logical meaningfulness as within only a single-as-our-present positivistic predicative-insights framework of reasoning and understanding, but requires a more profound retrospective and
prospective mental-projection in its contemplation). This equally explicates the empirical reality associated with the occurrence of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity cross-generationally as the timeframe for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction induced prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism is associated with relative ‘temporal-mental-dispositions’-construed-as-surreptitiously-or-palpably-committed-to-extrinsic- attribution-or-its-perpetuating-upon-other-mental-dispositions-as-supposedly-superseding-intrinsic-reality/ontological-verbatimality as so-mentally-invested with regards to perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ notwithstanding subsequent apprehension of ontologically-verbatimal meaningfulness-and-teleology, that speaks of ‘ad-hoc social-commitment-thresholds for foregoing the upholding of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ and assuming denaturing as of ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
a postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-
shallow-supererogation stand is a ‘mental-shortcut’ that is fundamentally perverted as it
perceives meaning as ‘deterministic of others behaviours by its empty-form’ while a
prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation stand is one that relates to
meaning on the basis of its assumed existential validity, or at worst involves omissions or
exaggerations relative to such fundamental existential validity, but doesn’t countenance by
mental-reflex the projection of empty-form of meaningfulness which is ‘existentially invalid’
in the very first place. Consequently, where there is ‘lack of constraining social universal-
transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness} at the
uninstitutionalised-threshold due to relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought, postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
threshold-of-shallow-supererogation implied meaningfulness-and-teleology will tend to be
incidentally conjugated with prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation
dispositions as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-
social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation. This is the case beyond just any such specific instances and such specific
postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-
shallow-supererogation character(s) and specific conjugated-postlogism character(s) but
rather as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect, and thus defining together with the
registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought at its ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold the threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as a preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism enculturation’. This is characteristic of the successive
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and the intemporal-as-ontological postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and further explains the ‘paradox of transcendence-
and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ (confusion of relative
ontologically-veridical becoming-or-present-of-reference-of-thought’) wherein the temporal
is hung (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought>) to the <amplituding/formative> wooden-language-{imbued—
temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-
dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology} thus ‘construed-as-of-contingent-circular-pervasiveness
<amplituding/formative> wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} as-
instant-and-absolute-basis-for-being/existence’ (despite the relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-
thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness) whereas the
intemporal-as-ontological construes reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as meant for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and up for remaking once perversion-and-derived-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> undermines their
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation on the basis of
the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-
element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

Now supposed Z was another character inclined for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as preserving the inherent intemporality/longness of additionality as allowing civilisational/institutional-being-and-craft setup preservation, brought in by the Donor, there is no question that Z will register the newly divulged ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality of the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and its derived-implications as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-
only as a specific/particular construal/conceptualisation but of universal import as having
do with endemisation/enculturation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>
speaking fundamentally of the given prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-
‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (wherein Z’s disposition is an ordered-
construct or secondnaturizing institutionalisation over B, C, D, E and F mental-
anarchy/mentarchy inducing of ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’). Though metaphorically in the
mortal’s temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology terms, that ‘low-
life’ of universal import may be utterly oblivious to the practicalities of B, C, D, E and F so
engrossed in a world of ‘high-life’ of temporality/extrication as the ‘fullness of
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over the appreciation of the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, be it that the latter disposition as
philosophically intemporal is what creates-and-enables the being in
civilisation/institutionalised-being-and-craft in the first place, as the metaphorically ‘high-
life’ of temporality/extrication cannot count on an overall principle of temporality/extrication
for its existential sustainability (as B, C, D, E and F needs that the Donor grants the rewards
by not factoring in the deceit, thus their existential principle doesn’t sustain the
‘civilisation/institutionalised-being-and-craft setup’ in which they are living in, hence
qualified as extricatory/temporal/parasitising/co-opting as ‘least-and-derived-temporal-
operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-
ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’) but unavowedly and
paradoxically rather on the parasitising/co-opting of the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation with the implications thereof ushering in the successive institutionalisations as the need for new ‘contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as of-existential-reality’ when the idea of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ arises (as uninstitutionalised-threshold); i.e., from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation to universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism to positivism—procrypticism and prospectively to deprocrypticism. While for the temporal mental-disposition individuations the form-and-perception or derived-form-and-perception of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation whether upholding ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality or not (and so whether unconsciously, expeditently or consciously) is a sufficient basis so long as it is socially-functional-and-accordant such that the possibility of blurring or undermining existential-reality by ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unreadiness/unrecomposing-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality’ is just as valid, hence a failure to abstractly recognise intemporality/longness as of-existential-reality with the implication thereof as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> with respect to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s vices-and-impediments implied by its implied relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. Hence the reason why the vices-and-impediments inherent of a given registry-worldview/dimension cannot be structurally/paradigmatically/ontologically resolved within it as there is need for prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought structured to inherently supersede such vices-and-impediments, whether as base-institutionalisation in superseding recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation superseding base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism superseding universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and deprocrypticism superseding positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The central idea here being that the most critically important notion in the situation of A, B, C, D, E, F and Z, is Z’s upholding of prospective transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity over any temporal extricatory paradigm, however, the enculturation and mass thinking behind temporal extricatory paradigm. (* Noting that individuation as defined elsewhere speaks of temporal-to-intemporal trait characteristic, as anywhere between shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that can accrue atleast incidentally/on-occasion in all individuals-as-receptacles-of-individuations but more recurrently as teleologically defining in a-life-phase-or-life-phases-of-given-individuals, thus critically enabling a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect intradimensional and transcendental/transdimensional/interdimension/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness analysis as metaphysics-of
differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation of references-of-thought’ of Z’s intemporal-disposition reference-of-thought as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing over B, C, D, E and F temporal-dispositions references-of-thought as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, can be demonstrated in the archetype characters of say a Socrates or Rousseau (even though no human individual as receptacle of individuations can be qualified as purely of intemporal-disposition or purely of temporal-dispositions). Wherein within their respective registry-worldviews/dimensions setups, their maximalising-as-transcendental recomposuring mental-dispositions in projection for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft, i.e. ontologising of future conventioning, as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as the grander intellectual-and-moral effort that can be made within their registry-worldviews/dimensions) is rather poorly construed to the ordinariness/averageness of thought within their respective registry-worldviews/dimensions setups (which mental-dispositions and conventioning – as ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unorderedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ – will rather think as irrational the projective disposition of a Socrates that doesn’t rather advance a temporal interest in the city-state polity but is rather bent on spreading new ideas as a natural philosopher while prioritising as of
nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in his asceticism the
prospective intemporal over the temporal status quo, and likewise with a Rousseau who isn’t
advancing a temporal interest that his aristocratic stature should warrant like actively
pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings but is rather bent principally
on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading notions of a renewal of the human
condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism. This is certainly because
emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically temporal-dispositions do not appreciate that there is a
more ‘profound level of living in the realm of human thoughtfulness’ based on eudaemonic-
contemplation of ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-
ontological-reprojecting that then ‘invents/creates’ the structural/paradigmatic possibility for
prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft as there isn’t any inherent
intemporality/longness needed for maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-
completeness out of the ordinariness/averageness of any institutionalised-being-and-craft
setup. Hence such intemporality/longness as maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-
ontological-completeness need its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of given that the-succession-of-registry-worldviews-
or-dimensions-institutionalisations/the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process is ‘not a human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation transformation exercise as of temporal-dispositions/shortness-of-
register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather is solely a secondnaturing to supersede
the uninstitutionalised-threshold divulged by relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-
‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. The implication is that acting as-of-a-
secondnatured nature is not enough for articulating prospective institutionalisation requiring ‘intemporal projection <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ for the requisite prospective maximalising–recomposing–for-relative-ontological-completeness, and such conceptualisations from only a secondnaturedness of thought as rather contextually temporal is not intemporal as of-universal-and-abstractive nature but is in ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–syncretising’/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence. Thus a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation secondnaturedness is challenged by its very own level of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising–psychologism’ marking its uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation with base-institutionalisation, non-positivism-or-medievalism with universalisation and procrypticism with positivism, in need for a renewed institutionalisation respectively as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. This equally explain why the notion of human transcendental progress is relatively ‘re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation–(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) driven’ as it requires an intemporal-solipsism as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of thought more than just institutionalised secondnatururing such that it has often been the erudition periphery of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure that had tended to fundamentally put into question their present with new paradigm shifts. It is ontologically-speaking impossible to comprehensively
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> from a psychopathic character is contextually likely to be engaged with (as ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation re-engaging reflex’) and even exploited (whether unconsciously, expediently or consciously), implies a comprehensive structural/paradigmatic undermining of the phenomena of psychopathy and social psychopathy is impossible without putting in question and undermining our uninstitutionalised-threshold as procrypicism for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism which is effectively the structural/paradigmatic resolution of psychopathy and social psychopathy (besides palliative conceptualisations that can hardly make a dent on the comprehensively defined structural/paradigmatic phenomenon in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the larger aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) just as positivism is the structural/paradigmatic ontological resolution of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, and ad-hoc tempering with medieval postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) as instances of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery doesn’t grasp the underlying and comprehensive medieval social-construct structural/paradigmatic endemisation/enculturation of such a phenomenon. Further, registry-worldviews/dimensions being prospectively <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} with their ‘intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ or ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation’ determined by their sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers, there is a need to circumvent and break these sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers by prospective ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ to allow for new defining
transcendental meaningfulness and its corresponding grander teleological-
differentiation/teleology that can then perceive the prior registry-worldview/dimension as of
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ and accessorily its
enculturating/endemising of its postlogism, and superseding both of these in the prospective
registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation. For instance, the intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-
mentativity of a medicine based on natural causes and drugs as natural cures carried the
effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that undermined non-
positivism/medievalism sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers to do away
with such notions as curses, sorcerers, etc. being the cause of disease, and undermine the
whole teleologically-degraded dispositions based on such sanctified-conventioning-social-
aggregation-enablers. Likewise only by articulating comprehensive and effective
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation resolutions to the defect of procrypticism and its
postlogism first with respect to formal constructions that the derived
effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework can feed back as percolation-
channelling to dimensionally (registry-worldview) to undermine the relative-ontological-
incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ of our
procrypticism and accessorily its enculturating/endemising of psychopathy and social
psychopathy. Thus suprastructurally (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) and as of the ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective,
‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-
epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ implies a
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as ‘a relative teleological-
differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation of references-of-thought’ by maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of prospective reference-of-thought as
supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as of higher ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought reflected in operant individuation terms as ‘coherence
in depth of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness/longness-of-
register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology construal of reference-of-thought’) over the
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically/contendingly-out-
of-phasing of the prior reference-of-thought as subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as of lesser ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> reflected in operant
individuation terms as ‘disjointed-misappropriation/arrogation and derived-disjointed-
misappropriation/arrogation of meaningfulness-and-teleological-differentiation in
shallowness as incremental/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology construal
of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’; construed as of
defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—
producing-measurements for thee aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—

existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-
confalatedness. That is existence is existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-
reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory-epistemic-confalatedness, such that it inherently implies the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process which can be construed as
deprocrypticism-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/deprocrypticism-in-reverberation or ontological-
normalcy-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/ontological-normalcy-in-reverberation or ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence. By extension such projective-insights from a ‘notional human
completed-mentation-capacity’ perspective about deprocrypticism conceptually implies that
procrypticism is the actually implied epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence reflection
‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-in-arrogation, along successive limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implied uninstitutionalised-thresholds:
   as failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> recurrently
rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,-(as ‘base-
constitutedness
of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), as failing/not-
upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> universalisation-directed-
rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,-(as ‘first-
level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), as failing/not-
upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,-(as ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument), as failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutive-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,-(as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument), and up to when uninstitutionalised-threshold is structurally/paradigmatically superseded by ‘notional—deprocrypticism’ construed as deprocrypticism-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/deprocrypticism-as-of-its-reverberation as ‘notional—deprocrypticism’ accounts for both deprocrypticism and procrypticism since it is a potency-construal and not a given reference-of-thought construal (contrasted with ‘conceptual deprocrypticism’ as a given reference-of-thought construal); just as ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ implies a potency-construal of both knowledge and the ignorances wherein the enlightening referencing of knowledge extends to a grasp of the nature and possibilities of the ignorances as well, in contrast to human ‘knowledge conceptualisation’ as of knowledge as of its enlightening or intemporal referencing only. Thus just as deprocrypticism subsuming perspective (of institutionalisation-upholding) construed as notional—deprocrypticism, on the basis of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-
to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)
maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness institutionalisation, will
construe the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as of ‘the
successive structural/paradigmatic
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
towards deprocrypticism-as-the-real-notion as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’; likewise a
procrypticism subsuming perspective (as failing-to-uphold-institutionalisation/upholding-
uninstitutionalised-threshold) construed as notional—procrypticism, will construe the
successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds as of ‘the successive structural/paradigmatic
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
towards procrypticism-as-the-real-notion as of epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence-or-
failing-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’. It is this
underlying ontological-normalcy/postconvergence notion as from the (metaphysics-of-
absence/postdication/projective-insights) perspective of a ‘notional human completed-
mentation-capacity’ implications as notional—deprocrypticism or
<amplituding/formative>notional—preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
when construed rather in ‘successive increasingly-profound-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
construals with respect to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as of the institutional-
these limits construed as uninstitutionalised-thresholds in want for prospective institutionalisation):


– the postlogism associated with ‘base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation reference-of-thought as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ warrants ‘prospective

– the postlogism (including notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, alchemic-thinking, etc.) associated with ‘universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ warrants ‘prospective positivism reference-of-thought as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation’, and so by the ‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-
rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of prospective positivism’s—
existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to
existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality’ thus preempting ‘the universalisation-directed-
rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism (as ‘second-
level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’) of
universalisation’s—existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context now of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-epistemology, as-the-latter-
fails-to-reflect existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-
of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality at its corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold state
of non-positivism/medievalism’;
– the postlogism (including psychopathy and social psychopathy, etc.) associated with
‘positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought as subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ warrants ‘prospective deprocrypticism reference-of-
thought as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing teleological-
differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation’, and so by the ‘preempting—disjointedness-
as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–

The prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,—‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising—psychologism’ for relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought are explained by the fact that:


reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology implying registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> as first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge (inducing circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of a subsequent implication of a second-order level wrongly implied deception of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of infinite deception possibilities with respect to the infinite possibilities of ‘perfect logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’ on the false basis of the perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>). Such perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-devising-representation-perversion has various shades of ‘temporal/shortness to intemporal/longness depth/register of meaningfulness stranded finalities/teleologies’. This can be demonstrated as follows with psychopathy at childhood (which at this point is relatively transparent to the critical observer). Let’s say John is a psychopath, he wants to get his brother Peter punished for annoying him. John knows that dad will punish anyone who spills water on the chair. John, in a ‘dereifying act’, then spills water on a chair and goes and tell dad Peter has spilled water on the chair, and waits for Peter to get punished (and, this way of acting and thinking is not limited only to a benign notion like spilling water as it could be setting fire, destroying an equipment, etc.). This is different even from ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ or prelogism in that a child who has a ‘poor or bad supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ or prelogism is ad-hoc and circumspect by taking advantage or reacting to a situation that has developed to accuse another as of temporal-existential constraint. They don’t initiate such a situation ‘as a rational way of thinking’ and even less to the gravity that the psychopath does.

One other major flaw in the perception of the psychopath is that they are liars (a pathological liar, it is said). This again is a flawed notion. To lie is to be in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (‘poor or bad supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’), whether by omitting or exaggerating in a circumspect and ad-hoc manner but relative to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Lying as such is ‘an ad-hoc defect—of-logical-processing—or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought—for-social-functioning-and-accordance that doesn’t speak of the true postlogism/psychopathic phenomenon which has to do with the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,—and-not-of-logical-contention with regards to registry/anchoring-of-meaning/meaningful-reference/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview as the psychopath perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> speaks of ‘a circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as enabled by social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’
reference’. This is the fundamental fact that explains the evasiveness in grasping the psychopath in its motive and orientation as the psychopath’s actions can be as simple as a basic formulaic (meaning-by-the-mere- illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated-or-postlogism-formulaic slanting compelling—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation as to preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism) understanding of the effects on interlocutors of endearing, pleasing, laughter, etc. in inducing distraction, empathy, suspension-of-profound-reasoning or reference-of-thought teleological-degradation in relation to its threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism in undermining an prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation perspective which reference-of-thought is veridical. All the ‘poor or bad supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ terms above, i.e. lying, bullying, manipulating, fooling, etc., wrongly point to the fact that the psychopath is having a ‘deliberative prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mental process’ with respect to its end purpose, and thus wrongly implying it is in ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’ with the wrong idea that its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought-elements/registry-elements/anchoring-of-meaning-elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are existentially veridical. The psychopath is operating on the basis of ‘a last mimicking denaturing postlogism—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>—with-succeeding-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci-as-deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards
apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation rather than the idea of compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation), as at least they will then wrongly realign prelogically/(existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) again to it with respect to its subsequent narratives to examine the pertinence of its logic/logical-processing i.e. engaging logical operating/processing and wrongly granting it supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism (be it even ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ as this will then wrongly imply its wrong or poor performance of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, rather than its hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>/vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging/slanting of empty narratives that are flawed or non-existent as postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation) thus wrongly involved in prelogism hence wrongly validating as real its ‘fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ which is its ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements, that in reality are out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (instead of examining in the very first place their relevance/pertinence or its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought); in so doing, analysing its meaning as essence instead of analysing it as non-veridical hollow mimicking form or vague-rhyming-or-
copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-
vocalisation-or-subknowledging or meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-
formulaically-narrated or non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives. What the psychopath is
doing is ‘SLANTING’ as of compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising.
That is to arrive at a sought-outcome by subknowledging-or-mimicking the non-veridical
hollow-form of the meaning of other persons supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism narratives
which it perceives as ‘being blatantly deterministic’ of the views and actions of the ‘normal
prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind’, i.e. the psychopath is
'narrating veridical emptiness/hollow narratives’. The idea being about arriving at a sought-
outcome by taking a posture that does not attach a depth of supplanting–conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism on
narratives but rather simply ‘the mere possibility of the hollow narratives being articulated,
and then integrated by interlocutors as real’. Thus the psychopathic postlogic mindset and by
derivation conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration mindset is one of
relating to meaningfulness as valid by ‘the mere performative-form representation of
meaningfulness’ rather than veracity/ontological-pertinence of meaningfulness. The psyche is
thus fundamentally one geared towards how to perform in interlocution rather than express a
genuine sense of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and hence the disposition for
extrinsic-attribution by active social-aggregation-enabling. Meaningfulness is seen not as an
end-construct that is of passive social determinism by its inherent veracity/ontological-
pertinence as of intrinsic-attribution associated with transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity, but rather as a potent and active
construct of social determinism which requires actually eliciting a sought after outcome and
not a notion of intrinsic existential/ontological inherence. This mental-disposition is qualified as epistemic-decadence or postlogism and its derivation/adoption by temporal-dispositions is derived-epistemic-decadence in conjugated-postlogism. More precisely, it is critical to distinguish between the notion of slanting (cinglé in French) as postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation and the notion of a lie which is prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (be it a ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’) as with a lie the implied-logico-logic-logical-dueness (with the corresponding implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry-elements) are existentially veridical with the ‘lying deception’ being of ad-hoc exaggeration or omission or inappropriate accounting of circumstantiality and/or factuality but as of ‘effectively due’ logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. The narratives-and-acts-foci of the set-of-narratives of a ‘lying deception’ do not successively shift (as with slanting) but carry an overall coherence implying deception-but-as-of-successively-cohering-narratives. This is because a lie is more of deception arising out of ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) ad-hocly articulated as deception-but-as-of-successively-cohering-narratives to resolve the ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s), and lying doesn’t fundamentally imply where such ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) is non-existent the interlocutor will still not be predisposed to a veridical and appropriate logical-engagement/interlocution/implicitation. This equally explains why a lie collapses as a whole (or whole pieces of the lie) since such a collapse arises out of the truth/ontological-veridicality resolution of the contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) behind the coherent structure(s) of the lying deception. Slanting on the other hand speaks of a fundamental pathological faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge associated with postlogism-as-of-compulsing–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction (and by extension ‘derived-slanting’ induced as conjugated-postlogism-opportunism and conjugated-postlogism-exacerbation arises out of purposeful enculturation/endemisation of the slanting habit where it is viewed by some interlocutors of the psychopath as socially-functional-and-accordant, since its manifestation is not universally transparent as ontologically decadent); due to the slanted child psychopathy mind’s developmental incompleteness (as it is so focussed on attaining its sought after outcome in advance that it construes of ‘presupposing/presuming/premising in concurrence’ as an independent mental activity that must not necessarily be derived-and-implied from existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, whereas the latter is exactly what validates logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as a process reflecting existential-reality as of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), with respect to construing meaningfulness as prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, but instead construes meaningfulness as postlogism-as-of-compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation explaining the circular nature and its particularly overblown extrinsic-attribution mental-disposition to elicit social-aggregation-enabling over relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity with regards to inherent reality and meaningfulness. The peculiarity of slanting is that it is deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts wherein the initiation of a hollow falsehood narrative is followed by the projection of another hollow falsehood narrative on the basis of the former as if the former was true, and the projection of another falsehood narrative
on the basis of the previous one as if the previous one was true, and so on. Thus slanting doesn’t have a ‘coherent whole of narratives’ with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as is the case when someone tells a lie, and actually where such a ‘coherent whole of narratives’ with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is wrongly implied about slanting, it has to do with prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind/mental-disposition ‘wrongly conjoining the succession of slanting narratives from the last iterated slanted narrative’ to wrongly imply that the slanting psychopath narratives are a ‘coherent whole of narratives as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, and this is the mechanism that induces conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration by some interlocutors of the adult psychopath, whether conscious or unconsciously. It is interesting to note that at childhood psychopathy where the mental-disposition is relatively universally-transparent what is perceived and related to by supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutors is not a ‘coherent whole of narratives’ but a deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect/mental-unsoundness-effect arising out of its contemplation (as if it were true), pointing out that the reality of mental-states in wrong prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation alignment to psychopathic slanting is actually a mental-unsoundness not different as contemplating aligning in supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism to the childhood psychopathy slanting as with the dereifying example of spilling water on a chair
and accusing another. A salient comparison that strongly highlights the difference between slanting and lying, is that a lying child doesn’t come across as delirious since its lying deception is a coherent whole as of contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) while a slanting deception is as of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge due to psychopathic developmental failure to relate to meaningfulness as of prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation with the personality development out of that developmental failure bringing about the adult psychopath slanting mental-disposition with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and as the adult psychopath developed maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, induces interlocutors prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism alignment to its postlogic compelling—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation narratives whereas at childhood psychopathy interlocutors will not align in-prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologismally (in order not to wrongly conjoin the psychopathic postlogic slanting narratives as deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts as if of coherent whole as prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism narratives, and this is what actually occurs by inducing conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration in interlocutors at adulthood psychopathy) given the obvious and transparent deliriousness/delirious-effect/cingleé-effect associated with slanting over a slant over a slant, successively. Hence, this slanting deception (deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts) is also qualified as deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives
or deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Thus, with slanting the implied-logical-dueness (with the corresponding implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry elements) are existentially unreal/non-veridical/flawed explaining the meaningful emptiness/hollowness of slanting (as not even an exaggeration or omission or inappropriate accounting of circumstantiality and/or factuality as of ‘effectively due’ logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation), thus explaining why ‘slanting and derived-slanting’ is construed as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as opposed to lying deception construed in a shade of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought. Insightfully, it points out as well that the basis of the postlogism/psychopathic induced deception is not the psychopath itself (as it is commonly asserted about psychopathic manipulation), but rather it lies in the very nature of the reasoning of the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation interlocutor mental engagement reflex who ‘aligns in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’ as it will ‘normally do’ with other prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism minds to a postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation mind, and then wrongly validates that the postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation mind is in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. In order words, the operation of the psychopathic mind as of its incomplete mentation development (as inclined to induce a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception) as it fails to construe meaningfulness as based on prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation but rather as based on postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-
supererogation with its personality development into adulthood on this basis, paradoxically leads to the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind’s deception since the latter operates on the basis that everyone must be supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism (be it ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ at worst) and the notion of postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation doesn’t register naturally except where the personality development of the childhood psychopathy into an adult psychopath is experienced closely, and the adulthood psychopath mentation processes structure can be retraced to the delirious mentation processes structure at childhood psychopathy when it is universally transparent as maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness continually developed during its personality development into adulthood psychopathy now enables it becoming socially-functional-and-accordant. This induced deception does not however occur at childhood psychopathy since it is very much transparent as a deliriousness/delirious-effect/cingle-effect as the childhood psychopathy has hardly achieved maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness of its slanting-deception mental-disposition. What underlies the slanting of the psychopath is its rather unnuanced understanding and gauging of social situations and social cues as out of existential-contextualising-contiguity by its dereification on a mental-processing disposition that is rather a ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’, and so in contrast with the expected ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-processing’ of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism dispositions in existential-contextualising-contiguity, however bad-or-poor their ontological-performance-<including-
of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mental-processing. This
underlies the apparent vividness of interlocution with the psychopath especially with regards
to social-stake-contention-or-confliction due to a ‘supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism manifestation
of the interlocutor by compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-
of-shallow-supererogation manifestation of the psychopath cross-perception effect’ wherein
the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutor by its mental-reflex is wrongly inclined to
perceive and so specifically with adult psychopathy a ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-
processing’ in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification with regards to
the psychopath ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-
lulling-diffidence’ as to inducing the interlocutor reifying perception of the psychopath’s
dereifying projection of existential-contextualising-contiguity, while the psychopath view of
the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutor’s supposedly ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent
mental-processing’ in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification is rather as
of its ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-
diffidence’ inclination as to inducing the interlocutors reifying perception of the psychopath’s
dereifying projection of existential-contextualising-contiguity. While at childhood
psychopathy such a ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-
lulling-diffidence’ as to inducing the interlocutors reifying perception of the psychopath’s
dereifying projection of existential-contextualising-contiguity is socially inefficacious and
trouble-inducing giving the deliriousness effect from universal-transparency–{transparency-
of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-
relative-ontological-completeness) of its acts, at adulthood psychopathy the lack of such universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the postlogism-slantedness rather makes the latter ‘sound impassioned/stirring/vivid/spirted’ to the unsuspecting interlocutor who by mental-reflex wrongly assumes as ontologically-veridical the falsely implied existential-contextualising-contiguity, giving the psychopath life-long learnedness and adaptation from its childhood inefficacy as of its increasing maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness with adulthood, and this latter ‘apparently impassioned/stirring/vivid/spirted but rather falsely implied existential-contextualising-contiguity’ disposition tends to be socially enculturated/endemised as of conjugated-postlogism. But then, more than just the deception this state of affairs has a further nefarious effect on the natural human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbuied-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, as the induced ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) with respect to intrinsic meaningfulness further elicits supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism minds temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, which can actually be more decisive grounds for the perpetuation of psychopathy as social-psychopathy, as the fact is the psychopath is very much pathological and tends to act compulsively in its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception as of circumstantiality.
uninstitutionalised-threshold as registry-worldviews/dimensions threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of perversion-and-derived-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively (as
applicable with the construal of psychopathy and social psychopathy postlogism)
procrypticism; wherein the habitual intradimensional placeholder-setup/mentation/mental-
devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology ‘nondescript/ignorable void
(actually speaking of akasiatic-drug-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives)
scheduling or a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-
euterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional~deprocrypticism-reflected-
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the prospective
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’,
at uninstitutionalised-threshold (reflecting uninstitutionalised-threshold), is now substituted
(from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective of
the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought) by its
‘decentering and dialectical-dementation of its reference-of-thought’; which we can
effectively acquiesce to as of the uninstitutionalised-thresholds but will rather have a mental
complex when this is implied prospectively to imply our uninstitutionalised-threshold as
procrypticism, just as all registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto displayed a mental
complex when their construal as uninstitutionalised-threshold is implied. Thus this implied
human ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-
dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as driven by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence will explain the specific natures of registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought (as ‘underlying scheduling of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’) behind the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure peculiar psychologisms/psychologism-constructs of meaningfulness in explaining the empirical-realities of the various anthropological societies mindsets/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology; whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation psychology, base-instutitonalisation–ununiversalisation psychology, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism psychologism, positivism–procrypticism psychologism, and prospectively deprocrypticism psychologism equally qualified as suprastructuralism. Hence, our present positivism mental-disposition is just one of human historical psychologisms/psychologism-constructs, and it is not absolute as to imply there aren’t or weren’t other human psychologisms/psychologism-constructs, wherein in their own realisation, perception and thought they are ‘not decentered’ and ‘not preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-caricaturing-hollow-staging-and-performance rather so construed from a higher psychologism’s articulation of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as ontologically-veridical. Thus, deprocrypticism as decentering and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview reference-of-thought will certainly imply an altogether different psychologism of meaningfulness-and-teleology as suprastructuralism. It should be noted that the implied meaning of psychologism here has to fundamentally do with a psychology arising out of ontological development in the construal of intrinsic-
psychological growth disposition (‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’). Wherein, going by its first impulse with respect to its ‘construal/conceptualisation activity as of its coming into existence in the world’, human natural mental-reflex starts out with a simplistic idealism to account at one fell swoop for the comprehensiveness/complexity of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality it faces and has to contend with while construing/conceptualising fundamental meaningfulness-and-teleology. This then gives rise to such a simplistic idealism of the natural idea of Gods or God or Spirits, as taking away the chore of understanding and purpose, and giving a sense of intuitive guidance, hope, peace of mind and as to what humans should expect in their existence. But as of the intrinsic-reality constraints of having to deal with matters of the world on its own by developing notions of understanding and purposefulness as the mere imagination of God or Gods or Spirits by itself doesn’t give agency (or at the least ‘perceived’ sufficient agency) in resolving human issues of the world and making its need for understanding and purposefulness go away. This induces a bifurcation of human intellectual-and-moral allegiance to the supernatural and the real in adjunction, as of their ‘perceived’ effectiveness. With a commitment to the idealism of the supernatural not only as of its ‘perceived’ virtuous import, but as of ‘perceived’ nefarious effects to human nolition to it, man hangs on to both an effective realistic as well as idealistic conceptualisation/construal in existence. Such a growth psychology ultimately goes beyond construing idealism as the supernatural but as a complement to more and more profound realistic understanding and purposefulness in existence, but then having to readjust such idealism wherein the real as of its critical import to critical existence issues increasingly comes to take presence as of its effectiveness. Such that as construed today, human history overall has been an exercise in toning down the grander notion of idealism as of notions of the supernatural, essences and metaphysical ideals, and enabling increasing permeation
and/or superseding of such notions with an effectiveness-driven realism leading to a general and increasing elevation of knowledge as the human-and-social-emancipator, the present ascendency of philosophies increasingly concerned with the human realities of existence (strongly so, lately with such movements as positivism, phenomenology, existentialism and post-structuralism) and science in all its facets whether physical, biological or social, as well as a human-centeredness of arts and culture. Rational-realism is grounded on this historic empirical state of affairs of increasing human realism in taking hold of its destiny on ‘the premise of a deference to intrinsic-reality as of its effective inherence validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ that has accompanied human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\{amplituding/epistemic\-totalisingly, as to-existence—\(\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{epistemic\-totalisingly, as to-existence—}\text{as-sublimating}^{-}\text{withdrawal, eliciting}\text{-of-prospective}\text{-supererogation}\})\} in construing/conceptualising meaningfulness-and-teleology. Rational-realism thus finds in the grander notion of idealism, an avowal of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\{amplituding/formative\-epistemic\-totalisingly, as to-existence—\text{as-sublimating-}^{-}\text{withdrawal, eliciting}\text{-of-prospective}\text{-supererogation}\} that actually is behind all threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; with the idea that there is no place to hide behind idealisms and that human emancipation and virtue has been and is fundamentally about buckling down and undertaking the requisite effort in ‘understanding for real’ and not differing to ‘thin air’ in the name of idealism. Rational-realism pushes the grander notion of realism further by asking the question, have all the idealisms as of the grander idealism been identified and superseded? It comes to the conclusion that while that has been decisively the case with supernaturalism, belief in essences and metaphysical idealism, as of structural/paradigmatic social implications, one other sort of idealism remains to be recognise as ‘false realism’; the
idealism that doesn’t grasp what man itself is, rather as overly indulgent in not recognising how a thorough understanding of itself in enabling pivoting/decentering is effectively the strongest asset for its full emancipation. Central to such a most basic realism is grounding human knowledge of itself and thereof all knowledge on the ‘mediocrity principle’ as to enable the full construal of both metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics-of-absence ontologies as enabling a further human emancipation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism, deprocrypticism psychologism. This is the insight behind the articulation of the social construed in threshold terms of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction rather as socially-functional-and-accordant. This insight further divulges the reality across all registry-worldviews/dimensions of ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ and ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’, as powerful conceptualisations for framing issues in their appropriate psychologism however unpalatable/inconveniencing, as history has always shown that unpalatability, inconvenience and contrariety have always been the test that all humans have had to undergo to effectively achieve their respective prospective registry-worldview/dimension transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity, and the more complete conceptualisation of knowledge goes beyond its technicalities and plainness to imply its underlying sense of dedication as the very intemporal-solipsism as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality disposition behind its creation, cultivation and projection. And as with all previous realism drives, the idea of rational-realism is not as an articulation within the finite scope of the present meaningfulness-and-teleology frame of thought and social-stake-contention-or-confliction but rather carries a prospective scope, just as the vocation of the realism of a positivistic mindset/reference-of-
thought in a non-positivistic social-setup should not be about elaborating meaning as of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology to engage the non-positivistic social-setup in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of its non-positivistic sense of social-stake-contention-or-confliction of human relations as that will certainly just induce an ‘idle circularity and contrariety’ within the non-positivistic social-setup. But rather the point is all about recognising ‘human prospective institutionalisation capacity as the very essence of human virtue’ available to all humans past and present, that enabled this animal among all creatures to be engaged in a grander collective exercise of ‘existential-tautological eudaemonic-contemplation’ (as of human ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness’), to imply that there is a prospective virtuous possibility of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation that can be grasped, and so expressed in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the notion of social-stake-contention-or-confliction of that prospective institutionalisation psychologism, just as the vocation of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought is all about eliciting the notion of social-stake-contention-or-confliction in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of positivistic psychologism to imply that the non-positivistic community has the capacity and should come to terms with its human emancipatory institutionalisation potential. Insightfully, the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument comparison can be used to reveal the ‘perpetually stable temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature of human mental-disposition as of institutionalisation or uninstitutionalised-threshold’, across all registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought but for the fact that they have different reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation building up from the prior ones as of their respective elucidation-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomling-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) with social
universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness} of the
calculations to be done, it is fair to say ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
institutionalisation mental-disposition’ in this reference-of-thought is of quasi-intemporal-
disposition (and the whole point of human knowledge aspiration and virtue is to achieve this
state or deferential-states-of-this-state as with formalisations and percolation-channelling).
Thus calculations (logically-derived meaningfulness) in such an institutionalised framework
are effectively in ontological-good-faith/authenticity but for failure in performance as defect–
of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-
social-functioning-and-accordance. But then human existential-reality comes with human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-({<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}) with
limited grasp of intrinsic-reality at various stages of human emancipation up to the present
day, such that social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-
entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness}
required for ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’
has been made transcendentally available only in partial construals/conceptualisations that are
as-of existential-reality, and where non-available at uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is naïve
to construe human mental-disposition as of quasi-intemporal-disposition; as the
anthropological and historical evidence consistently points to a different structure with
regards to the ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ as of
existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context
elucidated ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. It points to a fundamental structural disposition for human temporalities-drives to adhere to the \(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\) wooden-language-\(\{\text{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}\) (failing/not-upholding-\(<\text{as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing}>\) \(\text{intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-}\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\) \text{epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication) of the given registry-worldview/dimension, when incapable of construing a prospective registry-worldview reference-of-thought as providing the resolution for the vices-and-impediments associated with such a present registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation. Such notions as the following that can be at the very centre of ways of thought in various social-setups or subcultures are not fortuitous but speaks of the reality (as metaphysics-of-absence) of the notion of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ that structurally/paradigmatically ‘notionally acquiesce to the possibility of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s temporality/shortness and is non-transcendental to that possibility’: she deserves to be rape because she was scantily clad as well dressed women will not be raped; his goods deserve to be stolen as he didn’t look after them properly; those people/group/ethnicity deserved what happened to them because they are so and so; etc. [We can note here that such statements as of a variance of more banal to weightier nature can be made as being socially-functional-and-accordant (without or hardly any negative consequences at the acceptable socially-functional-and-accordant-threshold like being repudiated or incriminated, etc.), construed as ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-
modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-
incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ in the same social space that
statements of ‘maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-
maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-
prospective-institutionalisation’ are made but with both construed in the conventioning of
social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as
effectively ‘non-dissociable’, thus validating the notion that institutionalisation is not about
solipsistic transformation into the intemporality-drive (longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology disposition) but rather about acceptable thresholds for the
registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation defined social-functioning-and-
accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, explaining why uninstitutionalised-
thresholds are bound to arise successively in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process (out-of-human temporality) together with corresponding
prospective institutionalisations (out-of-human intemporality) with the latter enabling
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought
of defined social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as
of the notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> in reflecting the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. This equally explain why
and in particular in certain domains like the philosophical construed as ‘notional
philosophical’ (by its very ‘first-ontology responsibilities’), the social-construct
conventioning cannot and should not be considered and related to as an absolute determinant
of meaningfulness, value and worth as it is more of a conventioning however ontologically-
informed the conventioning, and ‘the need for the social-construct further development
requires that it can utterly be put into question by pure-ontology conflatedness with no
conventioning complexes’! (As a reminder, the notion of intemporality/temporality is an ontological-as-of-being construct and the apparent references to virtue imply the subsumed construal of virtue by the ontological-as-of-being construct, such that it is important to grasp that all notions articulated herein are ontological, just as the notions of the being domains-of-study of the natural world are ontological, and the high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction nature of the being domains-of-study of the social world should not naively imply a construct that isn’t ontological or otherwise, as in both instances the aspiration is for ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity as an otherness from any emotional-involvement/subjectification/notional <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag predilection of the inquirer’. This elucidation is equally to highlight that the idea of socially-functional-and-accordant ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-dissociability is beyond just a construal as of virtue analysis but rather an ontological analysis, as it applies in all social conceptualisations of performance and functionality whether virtuous or virtuously-neutral but necessarily as of the social being/existence domains-of-study.) The conventioning of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction effectively ‘non-dissociable’ modular construal of temporal-dispositions and intemporal-disposition rather as of socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds, has deterministic implications with regards to ‘interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis’ as well as ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuation-level of analysis’; for construing the implications of such ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-dissociability social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction effectiveness-or-ineffectiveness and ontological-resolution as
of ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism by way of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ in resolving registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought—for-social-functioning-and-accordance capabilities, as the very foundational operant conceptualisation of an ontologically-contiguous ‘postconverging—or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation—dynamics or natural psychology—of—dynamics’.

psychologism, as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition, and non-constraining ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality prospective institutionalisation as base-institutionalisation), amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in—‘warped-consciousness’—enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of socially-
functional-and-accordant ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-
dissociability—(as of base-institutionalisation constraining rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism, and non-constraining ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality prospective 
ipriorising/axiomatising/referencing—first as-of-existential-reality prospective 
ipriorising/axiomatising/referencing—universalisation, amplituding/formative>epistemic-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/‘second-level presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism, and non-constraining ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality prospective institutionalisation as deprocrypticism), and ratio-contiguous/conflation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of deprocrypticism socially-functional-and-accordant as of intemopolarity/longness or ontological-contiguity, with no-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-non-dissociability-(as of constraining ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
and-definitional of human consciousness as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification, but actually such reality is otherwise of the same ontologically-veridical nature as existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-superoergatory~epistemic-conflatedness into which everything else is caught into as
superseding–oneness-of-ontology (even though our high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-
emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-
of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction will often tend to induce a relatively
flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology construal in this regard, that explains our metaphysics-
of-presence mental-disposition). Thus an appropriate ontologically-veridical social-
conceptualisation and/or storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration as
aetiological/ontologically-escalatory that has the capacity to supersede the inherent human
high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-
totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-
confliction specific element (which tend to denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology
construal, as high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-
involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-
perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is behind manifest human ‘non-
dissociability’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-
functioning-and-accordance temporal-to-intemporal thresholds’ within the ontological scope
of any given institutionalisation), should be able to imply the same underlying ontologically-
veridical existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-superoergatory~epistemic-
conflatedness of the superseding–oneness-of-ontology as any other truly ontologically-veridical conceptualisation, be it of animate or inanimate nature. The implication being that the underlying notional <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag(of our ‘emotional-involvement’ as self-centering-and-definition of human consciousness as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification) can perfectly be escaped from to more profound and unsuspecting depths of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology construal (enabling ‘dissociability of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance temporal-to-intemporal thresholds’ ontologically), and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, ushering in ‘an ontologically-veridical existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness contemplation to a point that subsumes equably both animate-existential-referencing/subjectification and inanimate-existential-effecting, wherein the underlying teleological-determinism of human functional and performance thresholds are effectively desubjectifiable-as-objectifiable to the point of attaining ‘effecting teleological-determination’ of the same level as inanimate ‘effecting determination’ (with little temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology construal), and so enabled with the referentialism technique of point-referencing for conflation in construeng temporal-to-intemporal contrastive-synopsising-depths-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as ‘dissociable temporal-to-intemporal thresholds of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-
appreciate as we can garner that we, as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, are relatively psychologically geared to handle meaningfulness in a relatively objective way than say a non-positivism/medievalism mindset cannot and rather parse over towards arriving at its final ‘greater egotistic or <amplitudising/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag driven’ belief/conclusion and this explains why their mental-dispositions were relatively alchemic, feudal of mentality, etc. For instance and why the corresponding transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of our registry-worldview enabled the natural sciences to arise, our relatively developed sense of democracy, globalisation, etc. Likewise we can appreciate with such phenomena today like ‘fake news’ easily spreading socially and often just as ‘real news’ our very own limitations of transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology construal as manifested in our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview, with the implication of metaphysics-of-absence insight that a prospective registry-worldview as deprocrypticism will be an improvement over our transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology construal capacity). Prospectively a transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-
rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’
psychologism (universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism), ‘‘failing-preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism’’ psychology (positivism–procrypticism), and prospectively ‘preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism’ psychology (deprocrypticism) that fully enables human full attainment of
transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of
meaningfulness-and-teleology and overcoming subjectification, enabling an understanding of
the social domain at the same level as of the natural domain and the derived-implications
with regards to social and human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought associated with the deprocrypticim registry-worldview.
Basically, transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality/antinihilism as implied by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process reflects the successive psychologisms as of the respective
mutually beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-
institutionalisation basis we don’t necessarily function socially absolutely on the basis of veridical sound logic as we are limited by capacity (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) given our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and secondly by arbitrariness in the choices we make, and this get even worst at the uninstitutionalised-threshold. Consider in this regard even the case of Heidegger as one of the greatest thinker of the last century in his ‘perplexed cooperation’ with the Nazi regime. The closest we come to absolute reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation has to do with the abstract and uncompromising determination of mathematical meaningfulness, and receding more and more as we get towards domains of increasing ‘emotional involvement’ (the social) as ontological-veridicality increasingly takes a backseat to extricatory/temporal paradigms and further so with respect to increasing informality as in the extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} of all human institutions, and particularly where social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing-as-to-entailing-amplituding-formative}epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) is blurred and not forthcoming as logic tends out to be an issue of making-a-mistake-at-one-moment-expressing-the-most-profound-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-at-the-other-moment in a circular reference-of-thought. This tendency is further exacerbated with the dynamic conjugation of temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) to postlogism-slantedness. This reality of our reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as being in effect subpar rather than absolute and specifically more compromised at uninstitutionalised-threshold and as associated with postlogism as
conjugated-postlogism is what qualifies contextually as temporal individuations threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as a temporal mental-disposition defect
contrasted to a wrongfully implied supposedly reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as of ontologically-sound mental-disposition. This
manifestation as a social dynamic (dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect) of such contrastive
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation takes the form of temporal-to-intemporal social
interlocutors beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-
of-existential-unthought> de-convergence as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-
unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. Such a distinction
particular at the uninstitutionalised-threshold is required because it then implies ontologically
the relegation of logical engagement as rather irrelevant and in lieu determines ontological-
veridicality by the soundness-of-the-reference-of-thought as of reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the first place to establish or not
perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. This delineation is
in line with the idea of human temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology) to intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) individuations nature as implicitly recognised in the structuring of formal constructs like the
law, formal institutions, etc. It equally falls in line with the idea of knowledge-notionalisation
on the basis that it is equally critical to understand the possibility of the ignorances just as
conceptual knowledge itself to further uphold, advance and skew for the latter. The point
being that meaningfulness-and-teleology construal should supersede just a naïve unilateral
the possibility of attaining or not attaining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\langle amplitude/\text{formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising-~in-relative-ontological-completeness}}. Where this is effectively attained, it becomes psychically and institutionally untenable for interlocutors to act as of subpar (threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) with regards to reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. This will explain why the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism within a prior registry-worldview/dimension utterly disappears within the prospective registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology, in the sense that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery for instance are not entertained in a positivism social-setup as the positivism/rational-empiricism social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\langle amplitude/\text{formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising-~in-relative-ontological-completeness}} knows this to be non-veridical ontologically-speaking giving its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This imbued potency in social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\langle amplitude/\text{formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising-~in-relative-ontological-completeness}} across all registry-worldviews/dimensions is what explains the possibility of social transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. The reason for this is that the entire construct of human social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as the ‘social existential contract’ is implicitly built on supposed reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation to meaningfulness-and-teleology as of both the individual’s expectation and the social’s expectation such that failure in this respect arises mostly surreptitiously since even the most disingenuous individuation
will want the social-construct to function well in order to ‘parasitise’ it, as a failing social-construct as of ‘universal social surreptitious parasitising/co-opting’ puts even such individuation in jeopardy. We can appreciate this notion by the fact that even a miscreant will tend to advance, however dubious, a rationale that is meant to be socially functional. Basically, the postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation mindset threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism arises out of its temporal individuation’s surreptitiousness (‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) such that it can induce threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism rule) as of marginal social instigation (consider the targeted nature of the adult psychopath’s maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness within the scope of social functionality) while socially enabled circularly (due to the underlying prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as social procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as social superstition is itself an enabler for psychopathy just as a non-positivistic registry-worldview/dimension social superstition is itself an enabler for its corresponding postlogism for ‘imaginary’ accusations of sorcery); and so, while socially inducing temporal-dispositions conjugated-postlogisms derived threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and so overall, on the flawed mental-reflex that such protraction of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism is supposedly
registry-worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is a drawback in this respect. As the framework of generalised social referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology is a circular-pervasiveness closed-structure as of the habituated predicative-insights for meaningfulness-and-teleology based on the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of the registry-worldview/dimension as prior (despite the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness). So the transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of projective-insights about the prospective registry-worldview/dimension predicative-insights of meaningfulness-and-teleology going by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought doesn’t supersede the prior’s ‘circular-pervasiveness closed-structure of habituated predicative-insights for meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in the short run. Chinua Achebe’s Things Fall Apart Okonkwo returning from his long banishment construes meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms of the old/prior whereas his Umuofia village which had the same inclination as his as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought before he was banished and likewise at the very beginning of the foreigners cultural diffusion inducing a subsequent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought had moved on to the new/prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology which is now antipodal to his, hence his confliction with his circular-pervasiveness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} which is equally a reflection of the confliction the village had had with the same prior circular-pervasiveness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-
when the foreign cultural diffusion arrived before superseding it cross-generationally. We can equally construe of the inverse situation as in H.G. Well’s The Country of the Blind which also highlights the implications of relative contrast of ontological-completeness-by-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology construal where Nunez’s ‘seeing of the environment’ reference-of-thought as of it prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought doesn’t make an impression but is actually frowned upon on the habituated ‘feeling of the environment’ reference-of-thought as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness. This is because the personhood and socialhood formation have been constructed in circular-pervasiveness out of the prior reference-of-thought as ‘feeling of the environment’ explaining why a registry-worldview is a wooden-language-⟨imbued—averaging-of-thought-⟨...⟩⟩ that hardly entertains its own transcendability/dementability, and why transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity is rather cross-generational for the requisite personhood and socialhood psychoanalytic-unshackling exercise to be initiated. Consider that the ‘existential value references as what is worth living for’ for both Okonkwo and ‘feeling of the environment’ reference-of-thought are temporally construed as definite-and-set as of their given perspectives or apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights in the circularly-pervasive closed-structure of their reference-of-thought’ despite their respective inherent prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought without room for countenancing new perspective-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-new-predicative-insights overcoming their circularly-pervasive closed-structure of reference-of-thought, speaking of their distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Interestingly, facing their respective conundrum to take a drastic and immediate decision as of their ‘existential value references as what is worth living for’, and without the prospect for cross-generational adjustment, their decisions are equally dramatic in terms of considering physically doing away with Nunez’s notion of ‘seeing of the world’ reference-of-thought, and Okonkwo’s tragic acts upon the foreigners messenger and subsequently upon himself. This reflects the mental-disposition of all registry-worldviews uninstitutionalised-thresholds, including our own as positivism–procrypticism as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with regards to their ‘existential value references as what is worth living for’ rather temporally construed as definite-and-set as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought notwithstanding any notion of relative prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Furthermore, it should be noted that the relative validity of a prospective <amplitudin/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights ‘is not at all about the demonstrable instantiative logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation validity’ but rather such a demonstration is more structurally/paradigmatically, together with all other such demonstrations of the prospective <amplitudin/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights, ‘a contributory invalidation of the prior
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights in its circular-pervasiveness’ at its uninstitutionalised-
threshold as of its ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-
of-thought; thus qualified as transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-
mentativity suprastructuration. Just as the exercise of demonstrative convincing on the basis
of a scientific principle within a non-positivistic social context ‘is not at all about the
demonstrable instantiative logical-processing-or-logical-implicationation—supposedly-
apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation validity’ but rather
structurally/paradigmatically, together with all other such demonstrations as of scientific and
positivistic principles/axioms/reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights, ‘a contributory invalidation of non-scientific and non-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights in circular-pervasiveness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold
We can grasp an abstract sense of this situation as follows. Supposed human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as inducing
perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation) the ‘sea-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) will hardly countenance operating the perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights of the former as more ontologically profound, given its ‘circular-pervasiveness closed-structure of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements for earth landscape aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements’ on the basis of its ‘sea-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’; and this same mental-reflex applies successively to relatively ‘lower-level-heights perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (prior registry-worldviews/dimensions) with respect to relatively ‘higher-level-heights perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions). The fundamental difficulty is that ‘no given perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (registry-worldview/dimension) recognises that there is any above it, and by reflex circularly undertakes predicative-insights from its perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (and it is only the long run cross-generational habituation construed as of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) with the prior ontologically construed as decentered and preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, with the implication that its logical-dueness doesn’t exist just as the logical-dueness of the animist reference-of-thought with their God of plane proposition doesn’t ontologically exist.) We can grasp as well that it is the ‘space-satellite-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (as deprocrypticism) that ultimately provides the ideal ‘ascertaining-perspectives for gauging the overall earth landscape’. Besides, why the explication herein is necessarily implying a prospective reference-of-thought (as the author in here with a supposed deprocrypticism reference-of-thought construal as implying a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over our positivism–procrypticism), the fact is that any transcendental analysis is caught in two worlds as two different reference-of-thought in striving to explicate the ontological pre-eminence of the prospective reference-of-thought as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, thus facing the dilemma that by mental-reflex we are not ‘habituated’ to the notion of our reference-of-thought being construed as ‘preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism and not thinking’, and so whether speaking of being construed within our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism and not thinking, within non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation as preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism and not thinking, within ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation as preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism and not thinking, and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation as preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism and not thinking. We can grasp this by imagining how a non-positivism uninstitutionalised-threshold will react when construed as preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism and not thinking with say notions-and-accusations-of-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring--meaningfulness-and-teleology just as a deprocrypticism analysis will not engage us on the basis of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring--meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so in both cases as of the relative ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of non-positivism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). But then wholly carried out in both instances it will be off-putting to both prior reference-of-thought, explaining why a transcendental analysis is a deconstructive-engagement/engaged-destruktion recognising and harnessing the human potential to psychoanalytically-unshackle. This is more than just an abstract conceptualisation but an empirical reality of how cultural diffusion possibility as of ‘relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ took place historically (and so for instance, as of the relative ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ allowed to the animist to say ‘God of plane’ in the view that in due course there will be psychoanalytic-unshackling towards positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology; considering as well as of registry-worldview level of analysis that such a conceptualisation of ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ is cross-generationally associated with the meeting of cultures wherein their meeting points often as of cultural and commercial relationships initiate ‘acculturating-indigenising-pidginising transitioning settings and their social constructions as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising’ prior to eventual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought accommodation). Likewise, this ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ as of a deprocrypticism construed herein may elicit a misconstrual from a positivistic perspective failing to factor in
the circular-pervasiveness implied in the notion of positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and thus failing to grasp the deprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights that construes our positivism–procrypticism as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/not-thinking and decentered, and wrongfully trying to engage meaningfulness-and-teleology in positivism–procrypticism terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct failing to factor in the circular-pervasiveness of the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. (More like a non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought insisting to contendingly engage a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought but failing to grasp the implications as of circular-pervasiveness of being of non-positivistic of reference-of-thought as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Such insight point out that the ‘mental tools’ available to a mental state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to an implied prospective state of base-institutionalisation, the ‘mental tools’ available to a mental state of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to an implied prospective mental state of universalisation, the ‘mental tools’ available to a state of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to an implied prospective mental state of positivism, and prospectively the ‘mental tools’ available to a state of positivism–procrypticism are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-
recomposure’ as its leads to prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions of increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought superseding successive structural/paradigmatic basis of vices-and-impediments; – as failing rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation or failing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism in ununiversalisation or failing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism in non-positivism/medievalism or failing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as-to-
such transcending enabled by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. In other words the notion of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting with respect to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> is rather vague, as the more fundamental issue here is that human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity for construing virtue-as-ontology/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is ‘ever structurally/paradigmatically in need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ and that is what is to be sought after as with the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised striving for base-institutionalisation, the base-institutionalised–ununiversalised striving for universalisation, the universalised–non-positivist/medievalist striving for positivism and in our case the positivist–procryptist striving for deprocrypticism as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; and so as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) enabled by reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposu’re’ and so allowed by de-mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation-or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics). Such naïve construal of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting is on the impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness basis that human mental capacity is a given as if there is no structural/paradigmatic issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with no recognition of any such ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)

retrospectively to prospectively. This equally explains the ontological vagueness when it comes to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> not only with regards to the notions of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting but also such notions associated with positive psychology as positivity, flourishing, emotional intelligence, etc. as naively instigating social <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with their implications when considered at a more profound level turning out to be rather vague and at best palliative since these are not construed structurally/paradigmatically as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity within the framework in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). In other words, what does it mean in a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mental state to have a positive psychology when its fundamental structural/paradigmatic issue as failing rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism is not factored-in in its virtue-as-ontology construal/conceptualisation? And the same can be asked of us with regards to our positivism—procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In which case such vague approaches will simply imply beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> naïve perpetuation in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the fundamental vices-and-impediments with both uninstitutionalised-thresholds, thus explaining the fundamental
recomposure’, and paradoxically thus by implication that there is no relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, to then wrongly imply such articulations of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting and positive-psychology are of intemporal projection whereas these are actually of conscious or unconscious beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projection. This insight explains the bizarreness we face from time to time discovering that even institutions we imagine should relatively be spared by scandals as human vices-and-impediments like many public-facing institutions, the media, faith institutions, etc. are now-and-then plague with scandals bound to re-occur because of this misunderstanding of knowledge as virtue-as-ontology/ontology articulated above as of structural/paradigmatic nature of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation, and not naïve at best palliative construals in impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness. A further reason for the difficulty has to do thus with the fact that each registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is inherently a metaphysics-of-presence construed as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought that is in a circular-evasiveness from more ontologically-veridical metaphysics-of-absence construals/conceptualisations as implied by prospective relative completeness-of-reference-of-thought which rather construes it as a preconverging-or-dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. The ontological implication is that beforehand/axiomatically with respect to the cross-engagement of a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, the former is priorly invalidated into a preconverging-or-dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation’s-
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought by the latter as a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, invalidating by implication the logical-dueness/logical-pertinence as of logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the former. This we can grasp retrospectively in a cross-engagement with say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery between our positivism and the non-positivism/medieval registry-worldview/dimension going by our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. But since we have been habituated as of our existential formation within our <amplituding-formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) to be in logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation by default and thus always contendingly relevant on the basis of sharing a mutual positivism reference-of-thought, we will hardly entertain though a deprocrypticism cross-engagement implied invalidation of our logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation and thus rendering us contendingly irrelevant on the basis of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought construed as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. But then ironically such a undementability posture could as well be adopted by a non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought in its own existential formation that recognises non-positivistic ideas and notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as relevant and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with its logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation valid by default. This point out that there is necessarily a central growth element of a structural/paradigmatic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology for cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ allowing for dementability and thus transcendability as enabling human virtue-as-ontology/ontology. Further to the points made this far, talk of such a narrative as of such structural/paradigmatic <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of vices-and-impediments of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought that does not focus on substantive critiquing/assessment of the arguments made but is rather geared to imply beforehand that such arguments are impropriety, is actually nothing more than our falsehood as mortals circularly pretending to imply that humankind-in-its-deficit does have a status above its mortal shortfall, and so paradoxically as a flawed and unsubstantiated route to wrongly imply no such argumentation is admissible. This is often a choice deterrent of institutional and eruditical Establishments of presence failing to recognise that more profound human insights arise from Dionysian dispositions and not just a reflex of looking at the presence as forever given as it is. The bluntness of reality/ontology doesn’t recognise the mortals that we are and we can’t advance our mortal statuses as superseding inherent reality/ontology, but we are rather bound to be much more substantive than that to avoid ‘human closure of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which easily arises given our temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The fact is such an articulation is not idle but rather the requisite fervour
associated with many an enlightening thought, however qualified as impropriety, as a wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) start arising when we temporally carve away statuses out of the reach of ontological contention making the mortals that we are bigger than intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality.) On any such occasion, ontological-veridicality as of deprocripticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is restored by doing away with ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ and articulating a ‘mental break-in’/preconverging-or-dementing−apriorising-psychologism of positivism−procripticism meaningfulness-and-teleology at its procripticism uninstitutionalisation as of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought from deprocripticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights, just as we’ll appreciate that were the animists insistent say on relating to the plane as God of plane to a point implying their potential non-transcendability as of psychoanalytic-unshackling in due course, ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ is no longer warranted but a direct ‘mental break-in’/preconverging-or-dementing−apriorising-psychologism by a demonstration to uphold ontological-veridicality. Such a demonstration might be construed as of a simple paper plane demonstration of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework principles or extraordinarily a flight from the flight deck with explanation or more extensively articulating that things work by natural causes and effects with no spirits inside them thus implying that a positivism-centered meaningfulness-and-teleology is more ontologically pertinent. Certainly such a ‘mental break-in’/preconverging-or-dementing−apriorising-psychologism demonstration with regards to our procripticism reference-of-thought as of its disjointedness-
as-of-reference-of-thought construed from a deprocrypticism reference-of-thought perspective or apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights will look weird to us going by our circularly pervasive <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, but it is more of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality even though we are unhabituated to it since it is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> and not yet by social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness}, just as had been the case from the perspective or apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights of all the uninstitutionalised-thresholds reference-of-thought with respect to the ‘mental break-in’/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of their corresponding prospective institutionalisations reference-of-thought. The bigger point being that by definition a reference-of-thought doesn’t fathom the nature and degree of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights. (Thus suggesting base-institutionalisation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, implying universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, suggesting positivism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and suggesting deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism will be perceived initially as ‘bullshit’ going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as of our temporal inclination to
subjectification/nombrilism/self-referencing. But then human temporal inclination to utter expletives is not intellectual argument but a mark of intellectual ineptness, with the ‘ontologically relevant’ intellectual issue being about understanding the ‘habituation exercise’ as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework and percolation-channelling involved in the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as pertinent for deprocripticism ‘without in the very least entertaining’ the wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) mental-reflex as has been the case across all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure that has always been a drawback as of temporal extricatory paradigm and parasitising/co-opting inclination subpar to the warranted ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality perpetually upholding the currency in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process across-the-times; as at this point, intellectual commitment overtly meets ontology.) Explained in other terms, implying in a non-positivism social-setup that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are inherently vices-and-impediments as of the transcendental prospective positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will not be convincing on a par with other argumentators in that social-setup but rather for such temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology purpose requires making a ‘temporal palliation argument’ of the type oneself or another person is not involved in sorcery or a counterargument that the accuser is the sorcerer, and so on the basis of the prior non-positivism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, to be more convincing on a par with other-
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argumentators in that non-positivism social-setup (but then all this will wrongfully validate superstition and thus fail the very point of ontology/aetiology/ontological-escalation as an exercise in ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity as intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/asymmetrisation and not a temporal extrication exercise of ‘social-aggregation-enabling as of symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought, as this is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag and/or desymmetrisation for perceived temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction’). Thus there is a fundamental ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality argumentation handicap in the short run for undermining the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery associated with the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought social referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology which is ‘superstitious’ in the very first instance such that any argumentator putting into question superstitiousness like there is nothing like sorcery is ‘shooting itself on the foot’ in the short run. It is rather the long run cross-generational resolution construed as of de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) by superseding the prior non-positivism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by ‘continuous habituation going by the latter’s ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework in the long run as superseding the prior beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> and initiating the appropriate prospective social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-
disjointedness—as-of-reference—of-thought. This explains how and why re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation—(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection—in-conflatedness’—of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective—sublimation) ideas can supersede conventionalised ideas where the former provide in the big picture the possibility for the social-construct to function better by social universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising—entailing—as—to—entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative—ontological—completeness) at a cross-generational depth of analysis, and equally explains human historical suspicions of new ideas just in case their social universal-transparency—(transparency—of—totalising—entailing—as—to—entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative—ontological—completeness) turn out to be better and possibly leading to the dismantling of the prior and vested and contingent interests. It should be grasped that the comprehensiveness/dynamic—cumulative—afereffect of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s threshold—of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as—to—shallow—supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising—psychologism (as an operant construal) at its uninstitutionalised—threshold is what defines it as uninstitutionalised—threshold which is decentered and preconverging—or—dementing—apriorising—psychologism from the prospective institutionalisation perspective while that of its reference—of—thought—prelogism—as—of—conviction—as—to—profound—supererogation (as an operant construal) of its institutionalisation is what defines it as prior institutionalisation. (As implied by this author the nature of human individuations accounts respectively for human intemporality/longness and human temporality/shortness as the ‘more fundamentally ontological—primemovers—totalitative—framework analysable operant agency of the human condition as of human knowledge—and—virtue or vices—and—impediments respectively as such individuations then accrue in varying degrees in individuals as of varying circumstances’; and so—construed respectively as of
intemporal individuation conflatedness which enables prospective institutionalisations or temporal individuations distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought that induce uninstitutionalised-thresholds at all the institutionalisations uninstitutionalised-threshold.)

can be made or committed opportunistically by ‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-
formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-
subknowledging out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context’), while the threshold of nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism arising as of a
corresponding derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> caricaturing-hollow-
staging-and-performance of the temporal conjugated-postlogism individuation’s mental-
disposition is as of corresponding reference-of-thought—looseness-of-tethering—to—prelogism-
as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (as of ‘derived—vague-rhyming-or-copied-
mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-
subknowledging out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context’). Such temporal postlogism-as-of-compulsing—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation
individuation’s mental-disposition threshold of nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-
to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism failing
existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as a
‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-
hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’—as—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—of-tethering-trajectory
to reference-of-thought—prelogism—as-of-conviction—as-to-profound-supererogation can be
seen transparently in the instance of the childhood psychopathy spilling water on a chair as a
thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) and reference-of-

thought–looseness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation (threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) respectively as of

human intemporal and temporal mental-dispositions that establish the ontological-

primemovers-totalitative-framework of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-

reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of meaningfulness-and-teleology whether as of

‘direct or derived vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-
of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging out of existential-

contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-

completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ with temporal-
dispositions or logical-dueness as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-

reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context with the intemporal/conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation mental-disposition; so-construed as of their contrastive-synopsising-depths-
of-meaningfulness-and-teleology rather for a ‘conflation construal/conceptualisation’ and not

a rather deceptive analytical reflex of ‘constitutedness of reference-of-thought

construal/conceptualisation’. The fact is by mental-reflex we relate to social meaningfulness-

and-teleology by constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-

extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-

contextualising-contiguity which by habit or chance will often turn out to be as of existential-

contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-

completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of the

institutionalisation ambits of the domain-of-concern preceding so-established/so-

The implication is that postlogism/psychopathy and other human temporal phenomena (and so, across all registry-worldviews) which speak of uninstitutionalised-threshold are often wrongfully construed on the basis of intemporal secondnatured institutionalisation human nature whereas the conflatedness requires ‘synopsising-depth of a human temporal-to-intemporal nature’ and so by conflatedness to establish the uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology rather as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (construed as intimately tying down our limited-mentation-capacity by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring to the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) as should be the case at all uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so over the mental-reflex of assuming secondnatured institutionalisation reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (construed as letting our limited-mentation-capacity by unimbricatedness/untthreadedness/unrecomposuring out of the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) as the latter is only practically effective when dealing with an already established human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/institutionalised-construct but not at uninstitutionalised-threshold which require their own new specific reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness–
and-teleology which so established then enables the practical effectiveness of elaboration-as-
mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-
existential-contextualising-contiguity. Consider the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’ of
spilling water on a chair and accusing another, even at that relatively social universal-
transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) level
there is a chance of mistaking as with the visitor sitting on the wet chair and needing an
explanation of the whole situation including the child’s condition, and such insight gets more
and more opaque with the manifestation of adulthood psychopathy. This is an
uninstitutionalised-threshold situation which is necessarily beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought} and without
social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness} of the
visitor. This example is exactly along the lines of the reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
needed for construing postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism as of its social
model at uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so by way of maximalising-recomposuring-for-
relative-ontological-completeness (the latter is what sets up apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments and is of
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, in contrast to elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity which is what renders-operant/incidenting predicative-insights). It
is only then that such an established institutionalisation framework allows for elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity on the basis of the established reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such a conceptualisation/construal is dramatically different from how we ordinarily conceive the construal of social meaningfulness-and-teleology before the institutionalisation of such a specific uninstitutionalised-threshold takes place. (Consider in this respect how the visitor erred in its relation with the childhood psychopathy on the basis of its commonly assumed social elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. At this individuation-level representation of the disambiguation of the transcending and transcended registry-worldviews, the visitor is using the ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights’ of positivism–procripticism that do not factor in the possibility of the childhood psychopathy’s slantedness as inducing procripticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology going by the visitor’s relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of positivism–procripticism, while the explainer of the situation has factored in deprocripticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology to preempt the induced procripticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology from the childhood psychopathy slantedness. At this individuation-level, the fact is that in order to be certain to avoid a similar deception again in its relation with the childhood psychopathy the visitor will now construe of
deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology to preempt the slanted inducing of procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology and gives up on positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to its relations with the childhood psychopathy. Thus at this individuation-level uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to the childhood psychopathy, a new deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology has superseded the prior positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, as it is the one to be circularly/recurrently/repetitively/repeatedly be utilised for operant/incidenting predication as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. This is equally implied at the registry-worldview/dimension-level by dynamic-cumulative aftereffect, but in this instance factoring in well more than just one incident of childhood psychopathy but rather the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect implications on the social structure of myriad cases of psychopathy, and as of postlogism/psychopathic personalities development from childhood to adulthood together with the implications of conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy not only with regards to conjugated-ignorance as with the visitor but all the temporal-dispositions including ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as of habits
and thinking patterns consequences as of the extended-informality—(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) by formality dynamics; with the implication of lack of social universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing—as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as the manifestation is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> at this uninstitutionalised-threshold, together with the inherent human complex of non-transcendability and hence undemandability across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions. At this registry-worldview/dimension-level it is obvious that a straightforward articulation going by the incidental situation of such an individuation-level analysis will not be the case, but rather requires focusing on the bigger structural/paradigmatic picture of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. However, suggesting at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis the ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality of a new deprocripticism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology that implies that the registry-worldview/dimension is in circular-pervasiveness of procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’—as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology will meet with a mental-complex of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage metaphysics-of-presence and can only arise as of a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. (Such an insight can be further elucidated in a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration given the limits of the possibility of explanation as herein about the ‘lived
highlighted before as of a social-setup whose relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is non-positivistic, a positivism minded interlocutor arguing that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery do not exist upon an accusation of sorcery is literally undermining itself but is seen as ontologically necessary for the cross-generational possibility of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity. Supposed however that the interlocutor isn’t an isolated individual but a member from a positivistic society bringing about a cultural diffusion in the non-positivistic society such that the latter looks up to the former by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it effectively has greater control on intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality reflected by way of say its relative technology, then in this case the non-positivistic social-setup will at least in ad-hoc instances be circumspect in countenancing that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery do not exist as of }\textless\textit{amplituding-formative}\textgreater\text{epistemic-totalising\~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drug}. This new positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
voiding notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and superstition generally as of the prior non-positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology will more likely be taken-up-fully/habituated only cross-generationally in the middle run as the mental-reflex will constantly relapse into notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and superstition of the prior non-positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, highlighting that a postlogism like psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism or one associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in non-positivism social-setup is not truly
speaking an isolated phenomenon as construed from an individuation-level of analysis but speaks in the bigger picture of an underlying registry-worldview/dimension registry-worldview/dimension-level relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-⟨in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought⟩ and ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising-‐in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩; such that implying that our prior positivism-procrypticism, as of its reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, cannot longer be upheld at such uninstitutionalised-threshold but requiring in lieu a deprocrypticism reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology will be difficult to countenance but for a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposition since the issue is one of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-⟨as-Being-or-ontological-or-existent-defect⟩. Thus supposed the case of the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair arose in say a non-positivistic social-setup, as of its superstitiousness, with its explanation that the reason had to do with its suspicion of sorcery from the brother. While the social-setup entertains superstitious notions however the childhood psychopathy relatively poor maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness means that it is more likely to be disbelieved in this instance as well in addition to the household familiarisation with the psychopathic/postlogism condition of the child. Likewise, a visiting stranger in such a non-positivistic social-setup might just as well have a similar reaction as the visitor in a positivism-procrypticism social-setup by believing and reacting to the childhood
registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold which should thus be always construed as being in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought with respect to its prospective institutionalisation. It is effectively derived-denaturing that induces threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of uninstitutionalised-thresholds, as we can appreciate that the childhood psychopathy and the visitor’s meaningfulness-and-teleology are in effect ontologically-speaking threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. But then at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis however, when compared to the simplistic individuation-level postlogism analysis insight, implying ontological-veridicality/ontological-reality on the basis of ‘logically-due prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation conflatedness as of positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’ with respect to the overall non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension as of its dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect with regards to the manifest registry-worldview/dimension-level social construal of superstitions and notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in general, can only arise from a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, as the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension in relation to the prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension is a <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} just as our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension in relation to futural Being-
psychopathy and social psychopathy divulge a bigger reality at the registry-worldview/dimension-level dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect that is hidden by registry-worldview/dimension-level complexity, wherein the childhood postlogism individuation-level construal points out the reality at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of respectively a conventioning non-positivism in lieu of an ontologically-veridical positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology and a conventioning positivism–procrypticism as procrypticism in lieu of an ontologically-veridical deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. That insight then brings up the idea of how does a registry-worldview/dimension-level dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect reflect the more simplistic individuation-level ontological-veridicality at childhood postlogism/psychopathy; which is the more elaborate purpose herein. That is, how distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought as undermining conflatedness induces psychological-complexes pointing to, as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect, the registry-worldview/dimension-level ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Considering again the childhood psychopathy case in a ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair, these basic elements can be expounded at the individuation-level of analysis. It should be noted that the visitor ‘as of its conjugated-postlogism as conjugated-ignorance’ is rather inclined to wrongly imply a ‘symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought but which is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that may induced its inclination for desymmetrisation for its perceived temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction but for the fact of the relative contextual innocuousness with respect to social-stake-contention-or-
confliction when it comes to childhood psychopathy compared to adulthood psychopathy’. The explainer of the situation ‘as of its prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-of-reference-of-thought’ is in an ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/asymmetrisation relative to the visitor and childhood psychopathy with respect to the construal of ontological-veridicality. Hence the explainer of the situation construes the conflatedness as of its asymmetrisation with respect to the visitor whose reference-of-thought ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as not factoring in the childhood psychopathy postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation-of-reference-of-thought which is ‘pathologically ontologically-destructuring’ implying both the childhood psychopathy and the visitor are rather in a state of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not bad or poor logic such that the notion of logical-dueness doesn’t arise in the very first place, as a reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct is fundamentally construed as of its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought prior to the notion of logical-dueness arising once soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought is established; thus, given the asymmetrisation of the explainer of the situation reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct as existential/ontological as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as contextually-manifest prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in contrast to the visitor’s ‘supposed reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct’ which is non-existential/non-ontological as not-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as contextually-manifest prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. It is this fundamental fact that underlies the notion of ‘distractiveness or
arrogation or usurpation or co-opting’ associated with the construal of the meaningfulness-and-teleology of temporal-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism in relation to intemporal meaningfulness-and-teleology as ontological; as such symmetrisation and subsequent desymmetrisation will wrongfully lead to the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology of the visitor’s reference-of-thought so ontologically-destructured by the childhood psychopathy postlogism ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair and accusing another, thereby undermining ontological-veridicality where logic-as-of-prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation is wrongly assumed thus supposedly implying logical-processing-
or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation is now to be engaged on the basis of the visitor’s ontologically-destructured reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct rather than implying the reference-of-thought—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology of the explainer of the situation reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct as soundness-or-
authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the visitors and childhood psychopathy ‘reference-
of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. The implication here is that the construal/conceptualisation of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology lies entirely/exclusively/supersedingly on the reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct/curve-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the explainer of the
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and temporal alignment in assuming the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as appropriate as derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and intemporal projection of appropriate apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. One cannot depart from both ‘the state of the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as persion-
of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or any states of
temporal alignment in assuming the defective
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as appropriate as
derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>' to construe
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
structurally/paradigmatically by their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought, as all the meaningfulness-and-teleology that can be as of intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality wholly lies with the intemporal projection of appropriate
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The implication at the
registry-worldview level is that base-institutionalisation ‘wholly carries all the
meaningfulness-and-teleology that can be as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ over
a state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, and likewise for universalisation over base-
institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism over universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism, and in our case futural Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism over our positivism–procrypticism. The
point here is to highlight that ‘conflectedness’ doesn’t imply any symmetrisation of
meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> since the latter is structurally/paradigmatically not logically-
due for logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-
to-profound-supererogation in the very first place as is erroneously assumed by temporal
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
wrongly transforming the issue into one of logic-as-of-prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation thus supposedly implying logical-processing-or-logical-
implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation by
wrongly enabling logical-dueness to arise instead of an issue of derived unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and thus also implying as well its dismissal as
distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. In both wrongful
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments for
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology
what is produced isn’t ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism qualified as arrogation or usurpation or
co-opting’ exactly because of the induced postlogism/psychopathy distractive-alignment-to-
reference-of-thought out of existentially/ontologically veridical context; and its social
integration/derivation in conjugation with human temporality/shortness of
ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as conjugated-
postlogism due to relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and
specifically in the case of positivism–procrypticism, due to disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
thought. This equally underlies on the basis of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect at
the individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analyses the notion of
‘decentering’ as of de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–
de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics), as the idea of value-reference if
wrongfully ontologically construed as determined by the ‘<amplituding/formative>wooden-
language-⟨imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignoreable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩⟩ as respectively non-positivism reference-of-thought’ or as procrypticism reference-of-thought’, then in effect the phenomena of non-positivism/medievalism postlogism like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as well as psychopathic-postlogism-and-its-social-integration as of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought will respectively be wrongfully construed to be of existential/ontological transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity veracity. The bigger point being that symmetrisation implying mutual recognition of reference-of-thought can only arise where there is mutual appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness as existential/ontological transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity veracity thus enabling the logical-dueness of both interlocutors to arise as of their soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in the very first place, notwithstanding thereafter the appropriateness or inappropriateness of the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation exercise which is then an altogether different issue of effective/ineffective logic-as-prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, and this latter is what tends to be falsely implied in situations of postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy, and need to be ‘ontologically dismissed offhand’ and brought back to the fundamental issue of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> rather reflected-as-of-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in determining whether logical-dueness arises in the very first place. Central to such a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect registry-worldview/dimension-level analysis derived from such an individuation-level insight
is the idea that social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is contiguous as of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis, notwithstanding it developing complexification as of dynamic-cumulative-after/effect as from the individuation-level to the registry-worldview/dimension-level and thus with a greater opportunity for the simplistic individuation-level childhood postlogism/psychopathy phenomenon relatively resolvable at that individuation-level to fail resolution with the myriad of such cases at the circular-complexification registry-worldview/dimension-level of more surreptitious adulthood pathological postlogism/psychopathy as the maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness induces ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness} with consequent conjugated-postlogism ‘involving beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought} dynamics further associated with a generalised social ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness} reflected by the given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought thus reflecting the uninstitutionalised-threshold backdrop for the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation——preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. In other words, social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is structurally/paradigmatically ‘ontologically compromised’ as of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought such that what a registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation accede to as socially-functioning-and-accordant is
failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy, notwithstanding the mere fact of simply being secondnatured/institutionalised at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of our positivism—procrypticism. Notional–conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness points out that it is the aspiration for base-institutionalisation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, for universalisation from base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, for positivism from universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively for deprocripticism from our positivism–procripticism that are of ontology/virtue equivalence as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; and not the <amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-complex of considering the <amplituding/formative> wooden-language–(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) while failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality within the given registry-worldview/dimension, be it at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as our positivism–procripticism. A naïve conceptualisation of ontology/virtue construal ideal by the mere fact of simply being at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of our positivism–procripticism institutionalisation doesn’t speak of our firstnature/intemporal projection-of-thought but rather of a secondnatured institutionalisation that induced our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process that cannot be confused
with the idea of construing our present positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation
reference-of-thought as the definite ontology/virtue closed-structure, but rather warrants that
we take stock of the exceptional ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process that has gone before in providing the secondnatured possibilities of our present as of
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—-as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality

driven
notional—conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness, and in that respect conjure how we
can equally undertake our own part of the human existential tale homework in summoning
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—-as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality

driven
notional—conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as an opened-structure for futural
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
deprocrypticism, and not a closed-structure naïve <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag nombrilism as
of flawed/perverted reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
at our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation of procrypticism as disjointedness-as-
of-reference-of-thought, and by so doing denying the ‘grander human existential-tale
implications of notional—conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness’. This fundamental
and protracted epiphenomenal insight as of ‘human subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-
within-the-full-potency of ontology/intrinsic-reality/of-referential-nature/of-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of existence-as-of-its-
mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness more than just as of a virtue conceptualisation is more profoundly/all-embracingly an echoness of the implication of human limited-mentation-capacity for ontological-construal/ontological-conceptualisation, and so with little temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction and is equally relevant with regards to innocuous knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue as it subsumes virtue-as-inherent-ontology; with dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect implications at the individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis as of metaphysics-of-absence. In this regard, metaphysics-of-absence as articulated herein by this author is rather about, ‘human limited-mentation-capacity construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence metaphysics-of-absence/Doppler-thinking as it disambiguates human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor meaningfulness-and-teleology <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’, as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. For instance, the immediacy of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling in the natural sciences which is implicit in those fields by their ‘relatively high results-constraining-effectiveness nature’ provides metaphysics-of-absence insights with regards to obviating the high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction bound to disrupt thought and analysis in the social as of its ‘relatively low results-constraining-effectiveness nature’. Along the same argument and with regards to the high temporal-to-
intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction inherent in the social, it is important to grasp that such an epiphenomenon/incidental-phenomenon insight as implied herein with postlogism/psychopathy and corresponding human social dynamics implications is rather a social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment that goes well beyond any given specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-confaltedness)/incidental occurring behind the inspired/insight-for-the social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for universal retrospective to prospective understanding of postlogism/psychopathy and human social dynamics implications. In other words such a social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is inherently the more expansive, universal, decisive, objective and easier basis for critiquing its theorising-conceptualising-operationalising narratives ‘in order to assess the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of the possibilities of easily transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism myriad retrospective and prospective social contexts of analysis, and so more critically rather than an obscured/muddled/obfuscedated and difficult critiquing grounded on ‘assessing the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment rather on the basis of any such specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-confaltedness)/incidental occurring as of its relatively poorly objectifiable-as-desubjectifiable/subjectified incidental social context for
analysis. Consider similarly that an epiphenomenal/incidental occurrence of an apple-hitting-Newton-on-the-head-while-he-sat-under-a-tree thus inspiring/providing-insight-for his laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for explaining mechanical phenomena. Certainly, the inherently more expansive, universal, decisive, objective and easy basis for critiquing its theorising-conceptualising-operationising narratives ‘in order to assess the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of his laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is the possibilities of easily transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism myriad retrospective and prospective mechanical phenomena for analysis, and so more critically rather than an obscured/muddled/obfuscated and difficult critiquing grounded on ‘assessing the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment on the basis of the specific epiphenomenal/incidental occurrence of an apple-hitting-Newton-on-the-head-while-he-sat-under-a-tree as of the latter relatively poorly objectifiable-as-desubjectifiable/subjectified incidental mechanical occurrence for analysis. In both instances, such an apparently naïve intellectual disposition will point to relative intellectual impertinence at best, and at worst conscious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity angling to cynically undermine universal veracity/ontological-pertinence as of the opportunity of implying poorly objectifiable-as-desubjectifiable/subjectified incidental analysis as pre-eminently of universal import. While this logic is immediately obvious with the low temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-
confliction nature of many a natural sciences <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purview~as~domain-of~construal~as~intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with their disposition for replication and other experiments and observations analyses as hardly any scientist will go on if it is problematic to objectively ascertain the contextual reality of an-apple-hitting-Newton-on-the-head-while-he-sat-under-a-tree to contend that Newton’s laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is wrong, such an insight about the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment being wholly construed as of its ‘very own veracity/ontological-pertinence as of any of its objectificable contexts’ can-and-is often easily flouted and sidetracked with the high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction that permeates the study of the social as of its blurriness. This equally explains why it is actually better and more critical to construe/conceptualise social knowledge not only on the basis of the inherent veracity/ontological-pertinence of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as with the natural sciences but equally factoring in the human social condition as of high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and so as of a knowledge-notionalisation exercise. In other words metaphysics-of-absence refers to any such projections, as of human imaginative capacity derived from our underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides
existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) and existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echeness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency; thus enabling human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(＜amplituding/formative＞epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) insights as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights. We can further get a sense with respect to the implications of what is meant by reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, relative to the construal/conceptualisation from the middle of the last century in the biological domain as of its specific uninstitutionalised-threshold then over which the DNA-based genetics reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology was developed which induced an altogether new dramatically different but ontologically-veridical imagery/picture of the nature of biology at that uninstitutionalised-threshold that then became a new specific institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology thereafter amenable to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity such that the prior non DNA-based construal/conceptualisation (as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to that now DNA-based genetics specific institutionalised＜amplituding/formative＞epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of biology cannot longer be upheld, and this is so in the bigger picture as a contributory conflatedness within the same positivism registry-worldview institutionalisation. (In fact, the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are the conjoined effect of all specific uninstitutionalised-threshold institutionalisation breakthroughs of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology construed conjointly as of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation.)

In this case, however the ‘emotional involvement’ in conflatedness within the same positivism registry-worldview of appraisal is way low compared to the high ‘emotional involvement’ in making the same construct as of a contrastive transcending/superseding of a prior registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought into an entirely new/prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought like between non-positivism and positivism or prospectively between our positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism as in this latter instance such a construal/conceptualisation is comprehensively redefining of the human psyche and tend to elicit the highest levels of ‘emotional involvement’ thus requiring rather a cross-generational adjustment as conflatedness over the prior distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. In conclusion, such a construal/conceptualisation as of deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology

over our positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of our ‘lived social’ uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to psychopathy and social psychopathy and procrypticism in general is a wholly new dramatically different depth of
understanding, and from our present inclination of elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity within the positivism institutionalisation framework. Beyond the
above constrastive individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis
with respect to the uptake of prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology,
this social reality of varying social reference-of-thought–closeness-of-tethering–to-
prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation and reference-of-thought–
looseness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’
implying increasing reference-of-thought–looseness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as of greater temporality/shortness construed as of
various shades of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism speaks in the bigger
picture of a social reality across all registry-worldviews/dimensions that tends to ‘destructure
any registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology’ by an
‘ontological degradation effect’ having to do with human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbuend-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and in so doing
inducing threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as uninstitutionalised-
threshold. In other words, a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation
meaningfulness-and-teleology in becoming the new reference-of-thought (over the prior
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought) with its supposedly grander
intemporal-preservation-entropy—or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation reference-of-
worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought can thus be construed as of pure-ontology conflatedness for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so because it is both the mechanical-knowledge as the constraining technical outcome and the non-constraining driving underlying intemporal-disposition ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, with both constituting the organic-knowledge. This transcendental knowledge construct establishes a dominant social framework of knowledge grounded on its inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework (as it supersedes the prior beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-⟨in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought⟩ meaningfulness-and-teleology and the prior ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing-as-to-entailing-＜amplituding/formative＞epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩), and then imbues the prospective institutionalisation with social validity and social structure of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of deferential-formalisation-transference. This is the social-setup of the prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought as of pure-ontology conflatedness for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology. But then in due course and at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of this prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought, its organic-knowledge (as driven by intemporal-disposition ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) wanes as the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature sets in as it is related to at the uninstitutionalised-threshold by the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s least common denominator as ＜amplituding/formative＞wooden-
language—imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) for social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction (in a social dynamics at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold that is a drawback-to/undermines prospective-knowledge-and-institutional deferential-formalisation-transference as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—de-mentativity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and is rather oriented to sovereign extrication over knowledge-reification at this uninstitutionalised-threshold as of social-aggregation-enabling), as of its bare constraining mechanical-knowledge since reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are only ‘mechanistically’ constraining, lacking the organic-spirit or ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Anecdotally, we know as of our uninstitutionalised-threshold that in effect the technical constraints of the law tend to supersede the spirit of the law as it is naïve to think that a ‘sense of rightness’ is all that matters before the law, and this extends to human meaningful and organisational principles in general. Such that temporal-dispositions fulfilment of such ‘mechanistic’ effectiveness as mechanical-knowledge ‘without the non-constraining and abstract organic mental-disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of the emanant-kind that-had-driven the reference-of-thought construal in the first place’ distort in due course organic meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of temporal mental-dispositions of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus such implied prospective reference-of-thought, social organisations and institutions as organic
meaningfulness-and-teleology then tend to develop ‘subcultural reorientations’ that are ‘mildly alien’ and ‘on-occasional gravely alien’ to the (especially in the extended-informalities of the social and institutions) original organic-knowledge conceptualisation as of the implied prospective reference-of-thought social and institutions meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus for an ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity ontological-primumoves-totalitative-framework construal for the deprocrypticism prospective institutionalisation, it is critical to grasp both the inherent ontological-veracity of the meaningfulness-and-teleology behind the construal of deprocrypticism and the ‘reality of a human condition of temporal-dispositions distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and so as of notional~conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in articulating a (protensive-consciousness referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, that is preemptive of a least-common-denominator-of-social-functioning-and-accordance-effecting to bare mechanical-knowledge as of


preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to its postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>, in full conscious-awareness-teleology, which when perceived as uncontested by the psychopath (likely to arise where the concerned party lacks insight of its underlying faulty-mentation-procedure-deception and as it seem socially-function) will ultimately lead to its slanting-deception (or deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts or deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives or deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-preconverging-or-dementing-of-narratives) inducing its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and its consequent derivation as conjugated-postlogism or social psychopathy threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. This process is mirrored with the various conjugated-postlogisms conscious or unconscious aligning to the psychopathic/postlogic postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging. Thus effectively such a postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation process is rather very simplistic, and the deception arises actually from the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mental-states to be by mental-reflex in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation thus inducing wrongful teleological elevation of the postlogism/psychopathic meaningfulness-and-teleology, which wouldn’t occur at childhood psychopathy. Finally, as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect and across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, the ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought
The statements articulated priorly (before the square brackets texts digression) speak of the reality of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ even in our own positivism reference-of-thought registry-worldview. It is fair to say the statement made before, “Z … will look down on B, C, D, E and F mental-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as allowing for the endemisation/enculturation of the denaturing of additinality and the implications thereof of subsequent denaturing in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability” is circumstantially relevant even in our positivistic registry-worldview wherein ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) induces a ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ temporality/shortness or shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology drive. The Milgram experiments, a demonstration par excellence of the human condition at uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction constraints as of human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), truly reflect the inherent nature of 'human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition'; and the deprocrypticism-driven understanding of which should rather be an avenue for a pivoting/decentering psychologism with respect to positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimensions vices-and-impediments (just as with all previous transcendences of ‘intemperality one-dimensional-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’, rather than a naïve metaphysics-of-presence mental complex that only serves ‘flawed egos’ and is of no ontologically-veridical import). The point of this distinction made between the nature of ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought and ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought, as of prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is to put into perspective the idea that the present and as of our present social construction and individualizations as being relatively more exceptional than the solipsistic nature of humans in prior epochs is false, with such wrongly implied exception rather being a confusion between ‘cumulated institutionalisation’ (which we carry by being secondnatured at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding/formative)epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) leading to the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension) and that our inherent solipsistic sense of intemporality/longness (which overall is no more greater than that of humans of previous successive registry-worldviews/dimensions); and further that we are just of the same ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ as all humans past when it comes to making solipsistic choices at uninstitutionalised-threshold, which choices when of intemporality-drive solipsistic-choices are maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness leading to prospective institutionalisations. This notion of human mental-disposition and by extension meaningfulness-and-teleology as comprising, rather as a more complete and grander conceptualisation, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-facet and an uninstitutionalised-threshold-facet, so-construed by
metaphysics-of-absence, carries institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold implications with respect to the determination of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of pertinent scientific conceptualisation (scientific approach, methodology and methods) as rather construed most critically by its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Such metaphysics-of-absence considerations are critically relevant in fully appreciating the articulation herein by this author of such notions (that rather speak of uninstitutionalised-threshold implications with respect to ‘a social pretence of scientific conceptualising as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity’), like deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling and transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. Insightfully, it is the case that our present-day positivistic institutionalisation secondnatured scientific practice outcome of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity is grounded on institutionally-determined peerage/colliegiality as of positivistic institutionalisation deferential-formalisation-transference, so supposedly recognised within the social collective or ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. But then we grasp that at the disjuncture of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology (as ‘moulting’ firstnature/intemporal conceptualisation of what developed to become today our scientific practice institutionalisation as of its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) from the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension, we can definitely fathom that the enlightenment actors like the Descartes’s, Galileos, Diderots, etc. of those transitioning times would have certainly been circumspect with regards to any such notion of preceding social approval (for their
scientific meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity), given the social non-
positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalised-threshold non-scientific disposition, as beyond-
the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought>. This points to an altogether different social relation with the notion of scientific
practice construed as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity, by such intemporal-solipsism as to
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality mental-disposition
that conceive of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology in the uninstitutionalised-threshold
social-setup of non-positivism/medievalism where they were institutionally-outlying. As
exemplarily implied with the Encyclopédistes led by Diderot, such construal is grounded on a
more basic and potent construct of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and
actually reveals in many ways the reality of a natural Foucauldian power relations which it
turns out is actually in the medium to long term a social-granting-of-power-exercise with
respect to the virtue of true knowledge, as of the social percolation-channelling possibilities
enabling promising ideas, however institutionally-outlying or institutionally-central, to take
hold in society depending on their relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity as of
veracity/ontological-pertinence; without heed given to mere centrality as
veracity/ontological-pertinence but decentering if the centrality is not ontologically pertinent,
and rather further secondnaturing prospective institutionalisation of scientific practice as of
its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendence-enabling; very much
highlighting the prospective institutionalisation pertinence of such notions articulated by this
author like deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling
and transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. In another respect, with regards to scientific meaningfulness-and-teleology and as it informs the social-construct of knowledge and deferential-formalisation-transference (as power relations with respect to knowledge as socially empowering), it is critical to grasp that it is relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity that induces social deference to formal knowledge constructs and other formal constructs, on the basis that that will ‘produce the greater human Good’, as at the prior as uninstitutionalised-threshold when such domains lacked or were deficient with respect to formal knowledge constructs or other formal constructs like officialdoms, it was rather a question of ‘relatively free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary knowledge constructs’ with relatively impulsive and simplistic contending mental-dispositions on the basis of the determining or non-determining need for ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human temporal <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) mental-dispositions and projections’ and not necessarily emphasising ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity by human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’; explaining why higher and higher registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought increasingly defer domains of meaningfulness-and-teleology more and more to formal constructs while increasingly reducing the sphere of the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of its free-for-all nature. The bigger point being that even
in our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension with relatively strong ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity by human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’ in many domains; however, with regards to domains (and so, more than just about broad subject matter areas and broad spheres of other formal constructs including officialdoms, but rather and critically the specifically relatively undeveloped knowledge spheres of such broad subject matters and broad spheres of other formal constructs including officialdoms, and as specific in this instance as with regards to our understanding of psychopathy) that are spurious and blurry, these are often not socially related to in profound knowledge/scientific meaningfulness-and-teleology terms on the basis of ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity by human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’ profound treatment, and are rather prone to ‘relatively free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary knowledge constructs’ in rather relatively impulsive and simplistic contending mental-dispositions on the basis of the determining or non-determining need for ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human temporal <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} mental-dispositions and projections’ and not necessarily emphasising ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity by human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’. This contrasts with those domains that are more pertinently and decisively intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity which quickly obtain deferential-
formalisation-transference (deferential as not opinionating randomly with respect to imagining the legal implications of one another’s actions but deferring one’s understanding to the formal legal domain, appreciating in deference scientific principles and not opinionating about what we imagine about the stars but deferring to the astronomer and physicist, appreciating statistics and human geography methods and not imagining how censuses and polls should be done but deferring to the demographer and statistician, etc.; as providing a grander depth of knowledge by deferential-formalisation-transference pointing out that ‘human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’ are the basis for ‘inventing’ human knowledge and corresponding virtue (as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation), and not ‘human temporal <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) mental-dispositions and projections’. Hence the construal of knowledge construct in such domains that are spurious and blurry as with respect to postlogism/psychopathy social implications should as of precedence be about articulating the illuminating insight that ultimately allows for the attainment of their own deferential-formalisation-transference based on ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity by human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’, and undermining a social relations with regards to knowledge and virtue that is based on ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human temporal <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) mental-dispositions and projections’, and so in order to release the inherent virtue imbued in true knowledge. The afore elucidations are mainly to point out that it is naïve to construe the
analysis of postlogism phenomenon including psychopathy on the assumption of an overall ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ of the social as of the present as metaphysics-of-presence instead of assuming a ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ of the social by prospective metaphysics-of-absence, since the construal of our postlogism as of psychopathy and social psychopathy is necessarily, from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective, reflected from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought. Insightfully, by metaphysics-of-absence we can appreciate this logic with respect to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as intuitively we’ll be hard-pressed to recognise that the non-positivism/medievalism social-construct mental-disposition is one of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation of an intemporality-drive whereas in fact it is one of human uninstitutionalised-threshold of temporalities-drives such that it is endemised/enculturated in various temporality/shortness shades (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence from a prospective positivism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought. The same applies with psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism, as the <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} in such a context should not and cannot be the trusted reference of intellectual contemplation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in the elucidation of psychopathy and social psychopathy (just as it is not a trusted reference with regards with priorly
established formal knowledge constructs whether subject-matter disciplines or formalising constructs including the law, officialdom, etc.), as it is effectively poorly ontological or non-ontological in the sense that it tends to be of an extricatory/temporal paradigm and not intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as when it fails to appreciate the virtuous implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (metaphorically-as-of-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales) as providing the possibility for prospective institutionalisation as structurally/paradigmatically superseding the positivism–procripticism registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments! It is thus important to grasp that the notion of virtue as of our temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions is more than just about the notion of being at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposeur, but rather the intemporal mental-disposition (intemporal-disposition) to strive as maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for base-institutionalisation to supersede recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation equates that striving for universalisation to supersede base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation equates that striving for positivism to supersede universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism equates that striving for deprocripticism to supersede positivism–procripticism; as the highest human virtue of ontological import. Since the inducing of institutionalisation-as-a-secondnatured-construct across all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure or registry-worldviews/dimensions inevitably implies a dichotomy of reference-of-thought modalities of the same perpetual temporalities-drives and intemporality-drive (given human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor), respectively as ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-
incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ and ‘maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maxi. Virtue is essentially about the intemporality-drive as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication with reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology subservient to that purpose, and not about the temporalities-drives as ‘mere adherence as intradimensionally deterministic by form’ to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as these are failing/not-upholding-{as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing} intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication rather than upholding it, their very raison d’être. Interestingly, supposed by some circumstance an individual of a positivistic insight found themselves in a non-positivistic community, whether base-institutionalisation/animistic or medieval, facing a disease attributed to a negative spirit or so, but the positivistic individual knows it is a case of an infection with the idea that a certain root or leaf in the nearby forest can be used as cure, however, the community rather believe that the forest is an evil forest and this will just make things worse for them overall. Obviously, as of its positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, by ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting its mental-disposition will be to
unleash its maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
intemporality-drive to supersede the non-positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that the evil forest brings bad omen substituting it with
the positivistic one that the root or leaf in the forest brings about cure by walking over the
supposed ‘evil forest’, and more than just the circumstantial situation will equally appreciate
that positivistic thinking over animistic or medieval thinking will go a long way in improving
the community’s existence. It is interesting to grasp the difference in the dereifying and
reifying construal of existential-contextualising-contiguity here between the non-positivists
mindsets and the positivist mindset as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and
relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought and respectively as of their divergent
non-positivists dereification perspective and positivist reification perspective; as seeing the
positivist stranger walking into the supposed ‘evil forest’ will be the confirmation for
members of the non-positivist social-setup of its viciousness-or-supernaturalness-or-evil-
disposition. It can be noted here that seeing the positivist walking into the evil forest will be
branded as proof/evidence by the non-positivists of its viciousness-or-supernaturalness-or-
evildisposition going by their supernatural conception of existential-contextualising-
contiguity—in-reification/dereification as of their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-
of-reference-of-thought, contrasted with the positivist naturalist conception of existential-
contextualising-contiguity-in-reification as-seeking-a-cure as of its prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and possibly ensuing into a country of the
blind scenario. This insight equally highlights the evasiveness of ‘what is meant by
proof/evidence’ even in our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as of its
prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as the notion of
proof/evidence is more critically tied down to existential-contextualising-contiguity-
reification as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; just
as postmodern-thought notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> in decentering the ‘modern-take thinking’ reveals the underlying bias of the latter meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected particularly more vividly in gender, race, class, etc. Interestingly, this paradox is very much typical of all transcendental situations and explains the universal ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ contorted gesturing associated with transcendental thresholds. As we can garner in this case that the positivist constrained to existence rather in such a country-of-the-blind scenario cannot simply be deferential to living and Being as of the non-positivist social-setup value reference while very much aware of the structural/paradigmatic virtue implications as of prospective positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus will ‘contortively’ hold on to the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning possibility of positivistic value references over non-positivistic value reference, even as the latter is always in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; with the implication that such ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen/asceticism as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning contortion is rather in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the contorted prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought from their respective existentialism intelligibility stances. This contortion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
projection is what marks ‘transcendental acts of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen/asceticism as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ whether of philosophical implications as with say Socrates or philo-religious implications as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought. The contortion arises because inherently the state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought ever always fails to accompany prospective state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought but for the induced cross-generational transcendental metaphoricity possibility, and the contortion is more of a token as of the metaphoricity possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity and without which token contortion there is ‘no existential reference for such transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity’, as a gesturing of metaphoricity that is ‘beyond the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought full meaningfulness-and-teleology implications contemplation’. The contortion implies that there is ‘nothing any more important than upholding the metaphoricity possibility for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’; as transcendental instigation can’t be of ordinary inclination at one moment and at another moment of transcendental inclination, as this will only ‘teleologically-degrade and devalue’ the implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity into the ordinariness of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought thus psychoanalytically/exegetically/symbiologically existentially undercutting the token contortion existential reference for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-
mentativity. Thus ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ only evolves into such asceticism as of contortive metaphoricity gesturing for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought; and has historically acted as a sort of internal cultural diffusion disposition. Such a prospective ontological conception of asceticism rather as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning asceticism, different from asceticism as reasoning-from-results/afterthought or institutional asceticism, should basically be understood as of the general notion that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology are naturally ‘correlate-aesthetic-constructs as of the various reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-towards-ontological-completenesss-of-deprocrpticism’ as of their specific reflection of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-

reference-of-thought mere identitive conceptualisations/‘candid existential expressiveness’ are existentially veridical; and it is important to grasp that every registry-worldview/dimension is of a reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument that by its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as-reproductibility-of-aestheticisation falsely implies that its meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily as of ‘identitive <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ even at its uninstitutionalised-threshold where it is effectively preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproductibility-of-aestheticisation fails to induce an ontologically-veridical reifying trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of existential-contextualising-contiguity. We can imagine as of a non-positivistic social-setup reference-of-thought identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology, the ‘candid existential expressiveness’ that ‘integrates superstition as-thinking’ as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold, much like as from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism perspective we can imagine the ‘candid existential expressiveness’ in our positivism–procrypticism that ‘integrates procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as-thinking’ as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold; and in both cases the ‘trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of ontological wholeness/nested-congruence’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification breaks down at the uninstitutionalised-threshold thus assuming a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-
preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-
dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism representation of the
breakdown and going on in both cases to ‘overlook effectively as-if-thinking respectively’ the
ontologically-veridical reality of ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism
superstition’ and ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism
procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’. It is singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in preempting any such structural/paradigmatic
threshold construed as uninstitutionalised-threshold as implied by notional–deprocrypticism
that reflects ‘ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ as factoring in prior registry-
worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of
the ontologically-flawed threshold of its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation from the perspective of prospective
registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-
completeness to construe historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as
of notionally-full existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. In other
words, existential-contextualising-contiguity as reflecting existence—as-the-absolute-a-
priori-of-conceptualisation isn’t halted at any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
structural/paradigmatic limit/threshold-construed-as-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition
for ontological conception, but rather reifies as of singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as implied with ontologically-veridical
difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
determinism as of notional–deprocrypticism, with such singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism reflecting an historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of all such structural/paradigmatic
limits/thresholds-construed-as-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition of reference-of-thought
ontological conception. In effect, such a trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing can be construed
as a ‘creative metaphoricity tracing’ of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-
performance Including-virtue-as-ontology>s of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
the dynamics of ‘human overall Being-personality-growth and the implications for its living-
personality-growth and institutional-personality-growth’ implied as of
notional--deprocripticism ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism, as a fundamental
hermeneutic psychological science which as of singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism articulates-and-rearticulates such
tracing/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of comprehensive/totalising-entailing/nested-
congruence conflatedness from a most profound existential-contextualising-contiguity
knowledge-reification depth of notional--deprocripticism protracted-consciousness. Such a
hermeneutic psychology is necessarily cognisant and departs from a construal of the
fundamental instigation of human knowledge and emancipation as of ‘ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’, as establishing in the very
first place the prospective relative-ontological-completeness reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for reference-
of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and
so prior to assumed meaningfulness-and-teleology
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring. Hence such a notion cannot be
construed on the basis of ordinarily assumed meaningfulness-and-teleology
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring which doesn’t put into question its
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as it is rather
submerged/drowned into it by mental-disposition reflex; but rather as implied as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, such a hermeneutic psychology is more about instigating a parrhesiastic psychoanalytic-unshackling soul-searching acumen. In this regard, it is akin for instance to budding positivism reasoning-through/messianic reasoning implied within a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup, in the sense that that budding positivism reasoning-through/messianic reasoning then ‘is-not reasoning as-of-yet’ as reasoning is then as of the non-positivism/medievalism social-setup apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘as non-positivism reasoning susceptible to superstition and scholasticism-like pedantry construed as universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism but not yet as of rational-empiricism’; with such budding positivism rather a metaphoricity instigation of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic soul-searching for the psychoanalytic-unshackling of the human subject as of a structural/paradigmatic Lacanian displacement/decentering of the human subject from its prior ‘epistemic-totality/reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of non-positivism/medievalism’ to a prospective ‘epistemic-totality/reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of positivism/rational-empiricism’, that is the fundamental structural/paradigmatic seeding-resolution of the ‘non-positivism/medievalism human subject superegoic vices-and-impediments’. This has the very same metaphoricity implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as such a hermeneutic psychology supersedes our ordinary meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring which doesn’t put into question
explicating-ontological-contiguity’ to imply that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is cognisant of emancipation but doesn’t anticipate that emancipation as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness is rather as of base-institutionalisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproductibility-of-aestheticisation, and likewise the latter doesn’t anticipate the universalisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproductibility-of-aestheticisation, with the latter not anticipating our positivism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproductibility-of-aestheticisation which itself doesn’t anticipate prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism. The fact is human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor at its uninstitutionalised-thresholds implies that the human psychological reflex as of its limited-mentation-capacity at any such uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘is not geared to adhere to abstract ontological-veridicality’ as it will operate its state of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as if in a fully-attained state of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, as of the-very-central-implication-of-thrownness, as reflected by the successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproductibility-of-aestheticisation towards ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and thus from a strictly ontologically-veridical point-of-view/perspective, and so beyond our enculturated-conception,-normalisation-and-practice-of-psychology and just as various mystical-and-mythical-practices of prior non-positivism registry-worldviews/dimensions were their own sort of enculturated-conception,-normalisation-and-practice-of-psychology as of their own times, the notion of a
psychological science as reinforcing/propping-up human psychology in any prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology state is downright ontologically ridiculous and the manifestation of an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag naivety. We can appreciate that the psychoanalytic-unshackling of all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought is rather one that shouldn’t wrongly be reinforcing/propping-up the human subject as if a given reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism has its very own complete transformative and emancipative potential as if of fully-attained singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, but an ontologically-veridical psychology rather warrants implying the human subject displacement/decentering as the structural/paradigmatic possibility of the human subject emancipation with regards to the successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldviews/dimensions superegoic vices-and-impediments; wherein postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reasoning-from-results/afterthought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold is construed as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of prospective postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation up to the prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism of deprocrypticism. As of its inherent organic knowledge, such a hermeneutic psychology parrhesiastic articulation as herein ‘doesn’t do gimmicks of communication’ as if to imply any favour whatever as of ‘emotional or whatever feel-good trading for the appreciation of the possibility for prospective human emancipation’, since by its ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ it is beyond the idea of convincing for convincing sake as it is simply ‘a blunted eliciting of a solipsistic sense of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projection in any human and no more’ with no point going beyond that point as it then becomes as of intellectual-and-moral apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>; and so, as its essential meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of a solipsistic transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffectrive,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflection of the ontologically ‘superior party’ that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation in its ecstatic singularity, on the same token that a natural scientist is in a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffectrive,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflection of its object of study as of existence as the ontologically ‘superior party’ without any need to be involved in any bogus exercises that may imply that gravity may not be 9.8 m/s² on earth if any given human subject isn’t accommodated for in some way somehow however faintly, be it that it may be the case that gravity is not 9.8 m/s² but that as well needs to be established as of the ontologically ‘superior party’ that is existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. But then the human reality across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, isn’t inherently ‘of immediate intellectual responsiveness’ to the notion of its uninstitutionalised-threshold and the corresponding superseding of this as of prospective institutionalisation; as even the disposition to assume an intellectually enlightening mental-disposition is existentially-invested and not necessarily a given. We can appreciate from our positivistic perspective the ‘obvious reality’ of the fact that superstitious beliefs are bogus, but then paradoxically from the beginning of times superstitious beliefs had pervaded all the echelons of human societies whether as of true belief or opportunistically,
and have only been increasingly undermined with the advent of positivistic reasoning at the beginning of modern times about 500 years ago. This has to do with the ‘existentially invested nature as of assumed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ of human ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology’/reference-of-thought-devolving. Thus any given registry-worldview/dimension is strongly constrained to represent itself as of its ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ prior institutionalisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought and very weakly constrained to represent itself as of its preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism uninstitutionalised-threshold which it tends to represent as nondescript/ ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives), for the possibility of its prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity into prospective institutionalisation. This reality is known as human ‘supererogatory–de-mentative constraint’ to prospective institutionalisation transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as of the possibility of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Human supererogatory–de-mentative constraint is fundamentally associated with poor universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,–as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction at uninstitutionalised-thresholds. This then fails to induce the necessary existential assurance for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity and on that token fails to tip the balance over the ‘social obfuscation dynamic effect’ of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language–(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) as of the prior institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that stifle the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity possibility for prospective institutionalisation. Thus as of the more critical insight that prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is actually ontologically transformative as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, over mere palliative construals as of the very same prior reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, for resolving a given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments; this notion of human supererogatory–de-mentative constraint is critical for the psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding insight underlying dynamism with regards to the human mind prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as implied by a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ that emphasises the ‘Lacanian subject’ growth as of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics), rather than a second-guessing mented or stigmatic psychology that fails to integrate the decisively ontological transformative implications of human psychology as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and thus making the given presence reference-of-thought as our positivism–procrypticism ‘all-determinative of what can be construed as psychological emancipation’ as of its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag despite the fact of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflagratedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) in
construing meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond the constraint of ‘human lifespan of depth-
of-thought’ to a more profound appreciation of the underlying possibility for human
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of human
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. In this regard as of lack of dispensing-with-
immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension is
the human temporal inclination to decontortion construed as a disposition to undermine
‘intemporal ontological-veracity as of universal existential import’ for the sake of ‘temporal
narrow-and-specific existentially-invested advantage/interest with little concern about
emancipatory universal meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so as the very contrary
disposition to reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning contortion. Decontortion as of human
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is rather counter to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality disposition by its deterministic hanging onto prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought reasoning-from-results/afterthought while ignoring/overlooking the ontological-veracity implications of the trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of reifying existential-contextualising-contiguity, and thus adopting a dereification posture as enabled by ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness}’. Such a human disposition to decontortion at uninstitutionalised-thresholds arise on the naïve basis that human temporal willing/volition can effectively supersede the ontological integrity/veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology as it reflects existence’s coherence/contiguity as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. But then such a decontortoning disposition as can be manifested by a falsely striving to elevate the temporal frame of our 60–100 years of living above the intemporal/ontological frame of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality is rather definitional of our uninstitutionalised-threshold where we are actually preconverging—or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism and prospectively dialectically-primitive, notwithstanding our attendant <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and vague untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality gesturing. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can thus be construed as one of increasingly undermining the human subject temporal decontortion disposition not to dispense-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness; wherein across the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, decontortion is ontologically-constrained both as of the ‘dynamic construal of
appropriate-as-intemporal existential phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation and construal of appropriate-as-intemporal existential human mental-disposition’. The former is ontologically-constrained as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in undermining the human temporal inclination to phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation decontortion, while human temporal mental-disposition for decontortion is additionally ontologically-constrained with availability of universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing-as-to-entailing-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness). Relatively objectified phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation as implied in the natural sciences is hardly subjected to decontortion while relatively subjective phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation as implied in the social is rather easily subjected to decontortion as of blurriness and emotional-involvement. In another respect the implications of flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-indissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism also has implications with the ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology> as of the effective productivity potential of human knowledge construction. In this regard, it is herein contended that the historically recurrent critique of naïve formalisation particularly in many a field of study that uncritically strive to adhere to a ‘supposedly pre-given science methodology and epistemology naively construed as of inherent transcendental signifier’ such as in the analytic tradition of philosophy, naïve scientific psychology as of facetious methodologies as well as many a natural science domain, that purport to conceptualise complex social meaningfulness-and-teleology in naïve naturalistic methodology terms, all arise because of a flawed predisposition to identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-indissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism implied as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism that in many ways ignores/overlooks
existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; and so, as of their ‘formalisation credo as identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism’ thus leading to a disposition that considers knowledge as an exercise of mere conceptual patterning inherently validated by formalisations on the basis of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity without the constraint of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as its very own transcendental signifier which ultimately manifestly-as-inherently enables transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity as the very essence of knowledge. This has led in many ways to a dissonance between their knowledge productivity implications and existential reality wherein for instance psychological and psychiatric science seems to imply that all along its practice human psychological illnesses have multiplied many times over as of ever transforming and expanding formalisation credo, while the analytical tradition of philosophy by the avowals of its internal critics has been involved in a recurrent second-guessing exercise as of its visceral inclination for ‘abstracting reality by formalisation outside of social reality’ wrongly mimicking a natural science tradition whose domain-of-study ecstatically allows for such an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. Such an approach that atomises/takes-to-pieces analysis ‘as supposedly elucidative’ tends to be rather abstract as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Such that beyond its abstracting exercise, as when it returns in striving to supposedly elucidate social and other existential phenomenality, it is lost to it that social and other existential phenomenality is already precedingly/supersedingly as of ‘ecstatic totalising-entailing/nested-congruence’, with the consequence that it naively
construes of reification as simply projecting ‘the supposedly reifying atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation analysis’ on the social and other existential phenomenality. Hence it ends up abstractly pulling-apart the ‘ecstatic totalising-entailing/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality and thus misrepresenting, denaturing and producing relatively ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such articulations tend out to be merely implied decontextualised/abstracted constructs with poor appreciation and construal of their conceptualisations as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness with respect to temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> which is what enables the reification of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-verbatim. In this regard for instance, the well-articulated Foucauldian discourse of ‘speech activity’ conceptualisation associated with the notion of parrhesia more critically enables its existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification with regards to the possibility of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity as can be projected from an Ancient Greece context right up to our modern and futural context in contrast to say analytic philosophy ‘speech act’ which by its atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation orientation is in many ways by its mere denotative/connotative constitutedness nature just an implied existentially decontextualised/abstracted construct as of its poor ontological-as-existential-commitment with respect to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, in contrast to the reifying conflatedness connotative nature of ‘speech activity’ discourse as of its contextualising ecstatic-totalising-entailing/nested-congruence; such that the former assumes rather an identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity posture as of atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation
completeness, and so from the epistemic/notional perspective of existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-amplituding/formative-epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-confaltedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalacy/postconvergence/referentialism and this ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology’ orientation is theoretically, conceptually and operantly ontologically efficacious inherently by its ecstatic-totalising-entailing/nested-congruence as it reflects totalisingly-entailing the ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordionning-as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbuued-ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology>> ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology>s-including-virtue-as-ontology of narratives’ as of the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology. This totalising-entailing insight is reflected in the Derridean deconstruction orientation with its obvious narratology implications pertinence to literary studies as of its conflatedness with existential-contextualising-contiguity in contrast to such a notion like language games when construed rather in constitutedness. This difference of conceptualising comes down to the atomising/taking-to-pieces flaw reflex of constituting-towards-epistemic-totality implied as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as against the ecstatic-totalising-entailing/nested-congruence disposition for reifying-epistemic-totality-for-completeness implied as of ontologically-veridical difference-confaltedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism; wherein the conflatedness mental-reflex is involved in construing of both the right apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset-as-of-
ontological-incompleteness. This specific deficiency of the analytic tradition as so-reflected in many of its conceptualisations has to do with the very notion of knowledge as being about supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ‘affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable measuring instrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>
of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility setup/measuring instrument as axiomatic-construct’, and logic actually being in effect the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, with the implication that all the knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology that exists is about existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework in the causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications, for explicating-ontological-contiguity of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment implied as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. In this regard, ‘speech activity’ discourse speaks of an supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as expressed above (with regards to the social contextualisation beyond just speech for the possibility of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity…”) which is then being reified/elucidated for the prospective possibility of human emancipation, with logic being the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of this articulated ontological-as-existential-commitment having to do with such social contextualisation’. Likewise the underlying notion of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as herein articulated by this author is as difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as from existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
\textit{amplituding/formative}epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-confoundedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism supposedly coherent ontological-commitment about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’; articulating knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification
\textit{amplituding/formative}epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of human underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. This underlying notion of ontological-performance-\textit{including-virtue-as-ontology} speaks more fundamentally of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, as explicitly underlined in all transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity elucidating/reifying subject-matters and sciences, unlike approaches that do-not-or-poorly-appreciate the fact that just as scientific studies are transformative the study of the social rightly articulated beyond-institutional-being-and-craft is just as transformative with regards to prospective human living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though it is more subject to higher emotional-involvement as of its displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject
\textit{amplituding/formative}epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Whereas the analytic tradition posture as with ‘speech act’ gives precedence to logical-commitment as reflected in its atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach (implied as of elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) geared towards identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, which by the token of working by atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation on specific aspects or specific interpretation as of formalisation construct ignores/overlooks ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as the veridical supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in want of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, as can be validated and falsified by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. This fundamental difference of conceptualisation very often underlies the disagreements between the analytic philosophical orientation and other philosophical traditions, in the sense that while the latter might be implicitly implying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ when making its argument, the former will tend to be making a logical-commitment argument as of formalisation construct that ignores/overlooks-and-hence-is-poorly-constrained to the precedence/supersedingness/ascendency of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ in need of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and goes on to naively deploy outside existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification such logic notions like non-sequitur, fallacies, etc. and/or mere categorising denotative/connotative formalisations in constitutedness as ends in themselves, rather than construing logic as of the ‘inner working
coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for knowledge elucidating/reifying which validation and falsifiability is rather a matter of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. The fundamental point here is that logic (reflected by the atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach) is instead the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of Being and beings as reflected in first-level ontology and second-level ontologies, and logic cannot derive the superseding/preceding ecstatic existential veridicality of Being and beings which validation and falsifiability is ever always a matter of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Being and beings construed-as-of-ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in the conceptualising of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ or any <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any-issue-in-existence as knowledge, and so as of articulated axiomatic-constructs; is rather reflected either in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument-validating-measuring,<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> when the conceptualising is in prospective relative-ontological-completeness or is reflected in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring-instrument-invalidating-measuring,<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> when the conceptualising is in prior relative-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation as of its underlying affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>, logic seems to be the only mental exercise
involved since the underlying affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is ever so
pervasive-and-transparent to contemplation by mental-reflex, such that when the
affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of covert
flawed-as-dementing apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is implied with
regards to say adulthood psychopathic postlogism-slantedness as of the
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of its meaningfulness-and-
teleology as from difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-contiguity, we go on to
aposteriorise/logicise/derive/intelligise/measure and thus wrongly validating the flawed
affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> as of
the flawed-as-dementing apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and so instead
of implying its unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism>, as will be done at childhood psychopathy where it is overt and obvious. Further temporal individuation dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation conjugating to this postlogism-slantedness speaks of socially derived affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of flawed-as-dementing apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, equally requiring unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>; as so implied at the uninstitutionalised-thresholds including as of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The underlying insight can be garnered as of the temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reflected as of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of a reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold, for instance with the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of flawed-as-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism non-positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with respect to our positivism or prospectively the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of our flawed-as-preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

deterministically affirmative of emancipatory/sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology. Whereas maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness associated with organic knowledge is about ‘utterly resolving as of <amplitudine/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-

‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’. This divergence
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prior relative-ontological-incompletenesss or with a Rousseau Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social enlightenment common apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective relative-ontological-completenessss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> devaluing the conventioning-referencing as of aristocratic/despotic self-aggrandisement apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prior relative-ontological-incompletenesss. The point here being that the stake for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity are ever always beyond any given registry-worldview/dimension <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) conventioning-referencing <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and by that token is geared towards antinihilistic undermining of sophistic/pedantic dispositions as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness. With the very blurry nature of the social, even with the best of intentions as when continental philosophers try to engage the analytic tradition, the experience has often turned out poorly given the failure to explicitly grasp/appreciate the conflicting implications of their differing knowledge commitments as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment implied ecstatic-totalising-entailing/nested-congruence with the former and logical-commitment implied atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation with the
latter; even as going by conceptual-patterning, it can be naively implied that similar conceptual wordings imply similar knowledge commitments and operant articulations. In the same vein, one can say that notions like spacetime, force, atoms, etc. in the physics <amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality are inherent supposedly coherent ontological-commitment about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ that are in need of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and logic can only be the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of such supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, and all the physics that is relevant is their further existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as physics knowledge as of its ontological-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as can be validated and is falsifiable by ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Even mathematics it is often underestimated works rather on supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, as of the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification constraining implications of its ‘equal sign’, speaking of a self-conscious awareness that calculations should reflect-and-be-constrained as per calculations operative validation and falsifiability with regards to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, and with mathematical logic as of mathematics supposedly coherent ontological-commitment ‘concurrent formatting as formalisation’ being the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct
construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ towards that purpose. Such reflecting-and-constraining to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ can difficulty be said with regards to the overall atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach as of its <amplituding-formatting>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag presumption; which strangely enough has been subjected to no less than five major successive internal indictments but still keeps up its operative predilection of atomising/taking-to-pieces, with this author of the opinion that such an in-built institutional grip might be in many ways inducing diversion of intellectual and scholarly resources from a more profound advancement of philosophy for greater human transformation implications. It is important to grasp here that ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ is superseding/preceding as of existence’s ecstatic singularity, such that ontology supersedes logic which is rather ontology’s ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. It is rather ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ that provides the ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as axiomatic-construct’ insight about supposedly coherent ontological-commitment articulated as ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and not mere logic, with logic not able by itself to derive ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as it is often naively implied but instead reflecting the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and as any such implied derivation is rather as of explicited/implicated coherence/contiguity with another/other ‘transversally
devolving-or-complementary ontological/axiomatic-construct conceptions’ as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. Interestingly, such notions like experimentation, testing, trials, case studies, observational studies, interview, data analysis, content analysis, statistics and basically overall research orientations and research methods as of their formal study implications are just focussed-and-contrasted extensions, with regards to the general and normal day to day experience about living itself for the inspired construing of ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ providing insight about supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in producing knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology; such that critically, appropriate philosophical phenomenal insight with regards to ‘the general and normal day to day experience about living itself’ as of observational and articulated ontological-pertinence sufficiency, and as supplemented with the grasp and engagement with other philosophical works, speaks of veridical scientific insight and validity subject to ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework, and so because such well-inspired experience-and-interpretation from ‘general and normal day to day experience about living itself’ in the philosophical domain-of-study is generally more ontologically profound and comprehensive as of conflatedness than any contrasted ad-hoc and focussed domain study, even though such domain studies may be insightfully relevant in specific ways but still as of the more profound background of well-inspired experience-and-interpretation from ‘general and normal day to day experience about living itself’. The point here is to highlight that by its very given domain-of-study with respect to overall existence, philosophical knowledge more profoundly makes a totalising-entailing conflatedness demand on human living experience for the inspired construing of ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ than other more specific domains-of-study for which ad-hoc and focussed domain
study methods are pervasively decisive for ontological pertinence. But then this is more a question of ‘expanded onticising construal of existence as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved purviews of existence so-construed as subject-matters/domains-of-study’. The ontological-veracity and epistemic-veracity of all such <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality are effectively as of the very same underlying congruent philosophical domain-of-study construal of ecstatic manifestation of existence but for their ‘onticising specifisms of existence’s ecstatic manifestation’; as so-implied as of overall existence metaphoricity/ecstasy reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility~<imbued-and-educed~human-subpotency~
epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> as of supervening-conflatedness. Knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology, whether of underlying ontological-construal or ontical-construal, is epistemically validated as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as reflected by ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework. Inherently, because human-subpotency supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is very much intimately linked with the ontological-performance~<including-virtue-as-ontology> of human as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness appraisal, it is always ever the case that as of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence the validation of knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is equally as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ or <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality constructs; which construal is necessarily as of conflatedness with respect to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-

outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. This constitutedness nature of the notion of cause-and-effect so-implied veridically as ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework arises as of the ‘basic and mere mimicking and deployment’ of supposedly science approaches and methodologies on the naïve assumption that their mere deployment is inherently of epistemic-veracity, such that such deployment when it undermines the ‘inherently nested-congruence of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<-amplituding/formative>-epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ or <-amplituding/formative>-epistemic-totalising-devolved-purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality’ is in effect just elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Rather any such science approaches and methodologies striving to validate knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology by the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected by ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of<-amplituding/formative>-epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflicatedness, is necessarily instigated as from a philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. Insightfully, while in many ways such an elucidation hardly needs to be explicited in many a natural science domain-of-study as of their directly constraining cause-and-effect nature such that such nested-congruence with existence will often tend to arise naturally as of valid/invalid outcome constraining of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of<-amplituding/formative>-epistemic-totalising-renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness, this unexplicated implicitness should not be confused with the notion that the natural sciences are essentially reduced to their science approaches and methodologies; as is often and awkwardly naively construed from without in many a social domain-of-study. The fact is notwithstanding the ‘onticising specificisms of existence’s ecstatic manifestation’ of the natural science domains-of-study, these are just as driven by a philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ as reflected in the often ‘unspoken/unelaborated scientific hunches and fine-tuning’ which is effectively what drives their deployed science approaches and methodologies for their sought after scientific reifying outcomes; and it is this subsuming/nestedness that keeps such science approaches and methodologies in nested-congruence with existential-contextualising-contiguity as of conflatedness; so-implied as of their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected by ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness. In other words, science approaches and methodologies in reality are simply the extension of philosophical depth of contemplation when it comes to ‘onticising specificisms of existence’s ecstatic manifestation’ as of the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of natural sciences; with the implication that the philosophical depth of contemplation has to be undertaken, notwithstanding the fact that the implicited nature in the natural sciences of their onticising direct sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation outcomes as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework will seem to wrongly imply otherwise. Such a philosophical depth of
contemplation in nested-congruence as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-onesness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ is very often incomplete, of-divvied-theorisation and/or ‘poor coherence of theorisation with operant approaches and methodologies’, when it comes to many a social domain-of-study; as quite often theorisation in many a social domain-of-study strives on disparateness, rather than a tendency to ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\textless \text{amplituding/formative}\textgreater \text{epistemic-totalising}\textless \text{renewing}\textless \text{realisation/re-perception/re-thought,\textless \text{in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness enforced}}\textgreater \text{unifying coherence as in many a natural science domains-of-study, with the consequence that studies are often aloof to direct existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge reifying exercise as of a tendency to technicality as of institutional-being-and-craft imprimatur, ‘fallback to unquestioned/dogmatic normativities’ and ‘habituated dispositions’ which priorly enframed subject-matters and institutional-setups structurally/paradigmatically stifle the possibility for conceptualisation as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\textless \text{amplituding/formative}\textgreater \text{epistemic-totalising}\textless \text{renewing}\textless \text{realisation/re-perception/re-thought,\textless \text{in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidations implications, beyond their conventioning-referencing existentialising—enframing. Ultimately the bigger issue arises as of the poorly-singularised/poorly-immanented nature of many a social domain-of-study unlike the grand singularised/immanented \textless \text{amplituding/formative}\textgreater \text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting \text{immanent-ontological-contiguity})},\textless \text{as-operative-}
notional–deprocrypticism’ that are actually actively sought in the natural sciences; and this author portends that the suprastructuralism/postmodernism as of deprocrypticism ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ holds the promise for such effective grand singularised/immanented social conceptualisation that doesn’t dodge/ignore/disregard outstanding questions about the human existential reality including structural/paradigmatic biases arising beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> as of human emotional-involvement andSophistic/pedantic distortion of perception of reality so-implied in our present positivism–procrypticism ‘contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ and just as well when ‘science-ideology’ seem to subvert and undermine science-in-practice. Worst still while in effect the idea of specialisation in many a natural science domain is often the natural progression of a ‘comprehensively elucidated/reified foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism of the given natural science domain-of-study’ with specialism more of a furtherance of such a foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism scheme in a strong arborescent syncing with the subject-matter general-theoretical-level, in many such social domain-of-study of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> (including some science domains as well which naively tend to draw comprehensive social and human implications of their studies) the drawback to such specialisms is often associated with ‘major interpretative loopholes at the general-theoretical-level of the subject-matter’ with regards to the
garnered by the fact that all the knowledge-reification herein implied arises as of the very same underlying ‘objectifying cogent unifying process and gesturing’ as of ‘the<br>\textless\text{amplituding/formative}\textgreater\text{-}\text{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for}-\text{explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness’}, which is exactly what avails in the good practices of the natural sciences as driven by their ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ whether with regards to say ‘objectifying chemical processes articulation’, ‘objectifying physical principles articulation’ or ‘objectifying biological processes articulations’, contrary to a practice of disparate-ness-of-conceptualisation-\textless\text{unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’}\textgreater in many a social domain-of-study wherein supposedly reified knowledge ‘hardly has any underlying implied knowledge-reification process/gesturing for its derivation’ as ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ such that these turn out to be poorly operant or non-operant with the conceptual-patterning gesturing of mere-referring-confused-with-explicating, mere-mentioning-confused-with-deriving and mere-conceptual-synonymising-confused-for-knowledge-reification, such that the underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ of the supposed knowledge-reification is hardly operantly existent or is operantly non-existent. Bizarrely, the blurriness of the social seem to be misconstrued as implying knowledge-reification in the social should reflect such blurriness-as-of-disparateness rather than the ultimate objectifying foregrounding—entailment\text{-}(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism, and so by conjugating ‘relative-ontological-completeness \textless\text{amplituding/formative}\textgreater\text{-}\text{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for}-\text{explicating-ontological-contiguity’ together with ‘subject-matter breadth and depth’ to achieve such an overall subject-matter knowledge-reification as of objectifying foregrounding—entailment\text{-}(narrowing-down–
sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism, in order to elucidate the blurriness. Such that quite often as of institutional practice the notion of foregrounding—entailment{(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism is often misconstrued non-aporetically/undilemmatically/unreframed/untransformed as ‘merely bringing together disparate conceptualisations for their cross-examination (on the basis of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation)’ in a naïve substitution of the idea that foregrounding—entailment{(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism truly speaks of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint elicited reframing/transforming/reconstrual underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ that ‘runs-through/deflates’ implied conceptualisations in elucidating their ontological-veracity by its capacity to ‘objectively deflate-all-conceptualisations as of operant <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’ as herein implied (involving prospective originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for veridical ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology), rather than vague contrasting-and-comparison of disparate conceptualisations poorly reflecting underlying existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness; and further, such an insight of underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ as herein implied is often misconstrued as being monotonous (whereas such ‘supposedly monotonous process/gesturing
mathematical and other scientific methods become interpretatively intelligible; such that merely adopting-and-mimicking such methods without precedingly construing of the ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ of any such social domain-of-study is ‘massively uninsightful/shallow and subject to institutional-being-and-craft sophistic/pedantic misconstrual and manipulation’ as it is rather such a ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness that points to the specific scientific methodology of relevance or irrelevance, given that in certain cases the qualitative nature of things will for instance render statistical and mathematical methods irrelevant. This further explains why Derridean deconstruction and Foucauldian discourse analysis have been found in many social domains-of-study, including domains like medical and healthcare practice for instance, to provide a ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ that ‘fully-address-in-depth social issues’; in the sense that Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative address the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject in reflecting the need to undermine human destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> to further advance its constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality nature thus overcoming underlying logocentrism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness transcendentaland-sublimity implications, and thus reflecting the fact that human knowledge is more completely a two-fold process involving building the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thereof the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications.-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness. It is thus not surprising that naive disparateeness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-
disentailment, failing to reflect ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’ leads to subject-matters and studies whose supposed knowledge-reification tend to be most heavily dependent on ‘peering to a fault’ of the contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing of institutional-being-and-craft that is poorly constrained to existential-reality, rather than a peering process that is heavily constrained to existential-reality as of underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as validatable and falsifiable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as to existence-potency, -disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflicatedness as it is critically the case in the good practices of the natural sciences. The implication here is that the modern positivist ‘identitive conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism is basically caught up in its very own enframed <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} which as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness is rather ‘predisposed to a mental-reflex of construing concepts and conceptualisations in absolute terms of conceptual-patterning by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation of concepts and conceptualisations as of a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness inclination in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that poorly or doesn’t recognise the transforming nature of concepts and conceptualisations as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-


<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
synergetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag very often and systematically rather
construes of such postmodern concepts and conceptualisations substitutively in its
predisposition of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness by its mere referring,
mentioning and synonymising of postmodern concepts and conceptualisationons thus
undermining the inherent postmodern-thought implied elucidation, derivation and
knowledge-reification of concepts and conceptualisations, and as such identitive positivistic
modern thought fundamentally fails to recognise and factor in the aforementioned
postmodern-thought knowledge-reification process/gesturing as of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-
ontological-completeness. Such a recurrent ontologically-flawed predisposition is tantamount
to say construing Newtonian physics in the absolute terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of its
concepts and conceptualisations of say space, time, force, etc. to then project this
predisposition by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation of these Newtonian physics
concepts and conceptualisations as if of Einsteinian physics in the hope that this will enable
the elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of Einsteinian physics, whereas the
latter implies an utterly different reification process/gesturing for its specific physics
elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification as of its
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-
ontological-completeness. It is rather the suprastructuralism/postmodernism reification
process/gesturing as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-
incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness that supersedingly induces postmodern-
thought implied concepts and conceptualisations elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification, just as the same can be said of Einsteinian physics reification process/gesturing as of `<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness in supersedingly inducing its specific implied concepts and conceptualisations elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of say space-time, force, etc. In both instances, when interpreted from the relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective in ontologically-flawed presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of naïve positivistic modern thought or Newtonian physics respectively, suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought and Einsteinian physics will be ‘qualified negatively as relativistic’ since the latter do not assume a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness with concepts like truth, space, time, force, etc. and the latter rather perceive these as ontologically-flawed elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective which emphasises construing existential-reality as it manifests itself as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness; and likewise, the fact that existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness ‘epistemically implies human limited-mention-capacity-deepening-(`<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) for construing ontological-veracity’, thus ‘putting-in-question/deflating by difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness traditional conceptions beyond their simplistic conceptual-patterning to reflect underlying ecstatic-existence, will tend to be construed from the relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective in presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness as nominalistic rather than as of ‘foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism

supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness~of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’ as from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective. In other words, the concepts and conceptualisations of postmodern-thought are meaningless without their relevant and underlying theoretical background framework gesturing, and there is no point in construing them as of simplistic conceptual-patterning by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation as if these are of positivistic modern thought theoretical background framework gesturing just as the same can be said of striving for the elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of Einsteinian physics concepts and conceptualisations as if of Newtonian physics concepts and conceptualisations by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation as if of the latter. In both cases, the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implied displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject points to different sense-of-conscious-representation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology between the relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness such that the former is rather in pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness implying the need for its unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring/instrument-invalidating-measuring<-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism> and cannot simply be projected as the latter which is what is rather truly and effectively of supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness~of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity implying the need for its true and effective affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuringinstrument-validating-measuring.<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>. A further naivety is the appreciation of postmodern knowledge-reification process/gesturing arises as of a general misunderstanding of what is generally implied with regards to any given knowledge-reification process/gesturing. As indicated before all subject-matters/domains-of-study effectively reflect existence’s overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility–<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness with regards to <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness/relative-ontological-incompleteness, such that for instance even a naïve traditional conception of the physics domain-of-study as of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness is shown to be veridically rather as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness going by the successive relative-ontological-completeness physics conception of such notions as space, time, etc. in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating development of successive theories say Cartesian, Newtonian, Einsteinian, String theory, etc. using the very same notions and derived-notions but with different implications. This <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating nature of all domains-of-study in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, speaks of
the epistemic-veracity of the fact that ‘all knowledge is truly developed as of a hermeneutic circle for relative-ontological-completeness’ that involves human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\)epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—\(\text{as-sublimating}\)-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). This hermeneutic circle knowledge-reification process/gesturing is furthermore reflected in both human scholarly-and-pedagogic exercise wherein subject-matters/domains-of-study are grasped in successive articulations of deeper and deeper hermeneutic insight as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. The implication here is that postmodern knowledge-reification process/gesturing simply integrates this notion in the sense that top-level postmodern scholars articulate their knowledge-reification process/gesturing at its ‘appropriate hermeneutic circle level of postmodern knowledge-reification’ no different from say top-level physicists and natural scientists articulating their knowledge-reification process/gesturing at their ‘appropriate hermeneutic circle level of top-level physics/natural-science knowledge-reification’. In both instances, the knowledge-reification process/gesturing implies that the scholar or student striving to engage at that top-level understanding, needs to grasp the ‘preceding formative/pedagogic hermeneutic circle levels of knowledge-reification’. Such a supposed scholar or student cannot depart from ordinary/banal \(<\text{amplituding/formative}\)wooden-language-\(<\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—}\text{as-to}\)-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>\) level of knowledge conception to then claim that the top-level physics/natural-science/postmodern-thought hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification process/gesturing should be directly and fully graspable to it as of a \(<\text{amplituding/formative}\)wooden-language-\(<\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—}\text{as-to}\)-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>\)
predisposition to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness. The fact is the various pedagogic hermeneutic circle levels of any subject-matter/domain-of-study as of successive maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness are meant to transmit a ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/comprehensive organic-attitude-to-knowledge which is much more than just its technical knowledge veracity’ and that ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/comprehensive organic-attitude-to-knowledge’ is needed together with the induced technical dispensation of the lower hermeneutic circle of pedagogic knowledge-acquisition to then be able to engage with the higher/top-level scholarly/pedagogic hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification in its maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. It is important to understand here that the top-level physics/natural-science/postmodern-thought hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification process/gesturing cannot strive to engage the supposed scholar or student at any such ordinariness/banal <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) level of knowledge conception, and implicit in its knowledge-reification gesturing/process is the notion that the prior/all-the-prior hermeneutic circle level(s) of the subject-matter/domain-of-study need to be grasped beforehand; and this is basically because such a top-level is imbued with fundamental and new knowledge-reification priorities. While in many ways the unblurred/sharply-delineated nature of the natural sciences renders such a ‘hermeneutic circle of levels of understanding’ more or less very transparent, with regards to the blurriness of the social such a postmodern-thought ‘hermeneutic circle of levels of understanding’ rather requires increasing familiarisation, habituation and contemplation with regards to such critical texts and analyses (and as is particularly necessary with regards to the ‘parrhesiastic nature of philosophy that is behind the engendering/parrhesiastic-aestheticisation of
underlying reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and thereof derived domains-of-study reified-knowledge as from the underlying reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’, and one’s intemporal solipsistic level of parrhesiastic contemplation is itself a decisive element for the capacity to appreciate-and-understand philosophical thought more than just an issue of technical acquisition of philosophical knowledge as of mere knowledge mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition). More critically, social and philosophical knowledge are no different from any other type of knowledge subject to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of inherent existence/ontological implications, as fundamentally requiring contemplative reification arising with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), with the implication that any philosophical, historic and social conception of knowledge is not an imprimatur totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought exercise on the basis of ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’ induced disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> but rather implying a furtherance of the overall hermeneutic exercise involved in the advancement of all human knowledge as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, wherein all such knowledge-reification is a hermeneutic circle involving: the analyst’s/philosopher’s baseline re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation—(<imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’—of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) up-to-date knowledge-reification process/gesturing of the specific knowledge area as of inherent existence/ontological implications whether say with a natural science
domain like hereditary as of its given specificity or philosopher’s thought as of the general ontological comprehensiveness of philosophical thought; to then credibly analyse the coherence of the given prior contribution on the basis of the analyst’s/philosopher’s baseline re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation–{imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflicatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation} up-to-date knowledge-reification process/gesturing of the specific knowledge area as of inherent existence/ontological implications as to what it brings and reflects about current knowledge-reification; and then the analyst’s/philosopher’s reflection on the shortfall in the ontological-performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology> of the given prior contribution while reflecting the epochal constraints for such a shortfall going beyond a construal of the given prior contribution as mere ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’; and finally, the analyst’s/philosopher’s conceptual interpretation as its prospective contribution that is subject to validation and falsifiability as of inherent existence/ontological implications thus amenable to foregrounding—entailment–{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism with other so-constructed knowledge-reification, that are well beyond a disparateness-of-conceptualisation–<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect–‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> orientation driven by the cultivation of mere imprimatur totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’ disposition. It is important to appreciate here that a history of postmodern-thought criticism driven by populism, media operations, false intellectual engagement and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, is particularly telling not about postmodern thinkers knowledge-reification epistemic-veracity but rather ‘the knowledge-reification epistemic-veracity of such critics who often pride themselves on not understanding
postmodern-thought then by a strange paradox have the knowledge to produce a profound criticism of postmodern-thought which they supposedly do not understand’. Even more critically, the question can be raised whether such critics profoundly appreciate the overall human knowledge-reification process/gesturing as herein articulated, and whether this very fact isn’t linked to the knowledge-reification methodological difficulties arising in many social domains-of-study ‘assuming a disparateness-of-conceptualisation→unforegrounding-disentailment→failing-to-reflect→immanent-ontological-contiguity’ epistemic-disposition that is in many ways poorly constrained to existential-reality’ with the result of their relative knowledge-reification passivity with regards to many a social issue ‘but for adventures into social commentary divorced from genuine operant knowledge-reification implications’; and in this regards could it be that the true ‘unsaid issue with suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought’ lies with its parrhesiastic emphasis on the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject for the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thereof the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of projected existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness, an issue that has always been a difficult knot throughout the ontological-contiguity→human-institutionalisation-process but which inevitably has to be dealt with for the possibility of prospective human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation. Such weaknesses manifested by many a postmodern critic fundamentally points to an atomising/taking-to-pieces predisposition that poorly appreciates the \(<\text{amplituding/formative}}\) epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalititative-implications,→for-explicating-ontological-contiguity involved in knowledge-reification, and is reflected in a lack of parrhesiastic and hermeneutic insight that ‘poorly grasp the philosophical analysis implications of the existential background/development of becoming-as-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, as if philosophy only started as of our present positivist era with a naivety
that seems to imply that all-that-should-have-been,-that-is-and-that-will-be,-as-of-the-human-potential is as of a modern positivist <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} in its given reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation with no or poor insight of prior-and-prospective human becoming as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness’; and so when it generally comes to analysing philosophical texts requiring a sense of parrhesia and hermeneutic insight. This lack is quite often reflected in such misconstrued analyses of traditional philosophical figures by a failure to understand the overall coherent narrative of such figures as of an atomising/taking-to-pieces predisposition to identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism ending up quite often claiming the incoherence of such figures and/or of their narrative accounts, and so in a ‘naïve insight’ arising exactly because the possibility for understanding requires the critic’s own parrhesiastic insight and then hermeneutic conceptualisation to then develop the capacity to grasp first of all such traditional philosophical figures underlying knowledge-reification process/gesturing and thus be able to understand how such knowledge-reification process/gesturing develops and why, and thus enabling the grasp not only of the accuracy of narrated accounts and notions but equally insight about the nuanced and covertly narrated accounts and notions, and all these while being informed by the immediate and broader underlying social background and implicated social and philosophical stakes of contention-and-confliction. In this regards, more than just the simpleminded analysis of traditional philosophical figures, such parrhesiastic and hermeneutic analytical insight actually converges with the epochal philosophical implications of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness and are actually more scientifically profound in that respect than
meets the eye as to the fact that such analyses are more than just ‘archivistic retrieving’ but structurally/paradigmatically conceptualise the extended existential possibilities of falsifiability and validation in determining ontological-veracity as of a critical exercise of "amplituding/formative" epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. In this regards, such hermeneutic and parrhesiastic depth of analysis is more profoundly driven beyond the specific accuracy of narrative accounts about traditional philosophical figures but goes on to analyse the structural/paradigmatic possibilities of overall human social transformation reflected in the narrative accounts of such traditional philosophical figures. For instance, the ontological-veracity of Socratic philosophy is rather more strongly based on the overall social implications and underlying narrative of its novel universalising-idealisation that ‘runs-through/is-deflating’ by its event instigating traditional philosophical figures and schools, and as pursued by their successors including the stoics, cynics, etc. and as to its induced universalising-idealisation transformative meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure impact with respect to societies of the Mediterranean including the Roman empire and subsequent religio-political developments. In another respect, it is often touted from a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness orientation that Socratic philosophers were institutionally ‘anti-democratic’, going particularly by the Platonic emphasis on philosopher kings, by the naivety and mere token that the prevailing ancient Athens ‘mob-rule democracy’ is of the same conceptual-patterning as our modern conception of democracy; but this is rather unnuanced with regards to what was a more pressing question of good governance in Ancient Athens and in the sense that such a ‘mob-rule democracy’ is not what prevails today and more critically the fact is the modern democracy model whether of direct
or indirect manifestations is rather more critically informed by these criticisms of the Socratic philosophers (and not intellectual inspiration from any such mob-rule instigating sophists) wherein we rather place emphasis on ‘informed expertising and expertising-institutions for the comprehensive process of our modern democracy’ such that modern day crises of democratic governance with regards to bad governance, institutional crisis, economic crisis or undesirable wars are rather generally construed as arising from ‘failure or sophistry of expertise and expertising-institutions’ in need of better expertising, and furthermore major political calamities of the 20th century leading to totalitarian governments and their instigation of genocides arose exactly due to misinformed populist democracy. Paradoxically, this insight validates the point advanced herein that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is critically more than just its mechanical-knowledge reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but rather an organic-knowledge as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation that then feeds into prospective originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation; emphasising as of any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s specific limited-mentation-capacity that knowledge ‘more profoundly lies with the knowledge-reification gesturing and organic implications’, just as we cannot simplistically interpret the importance of Aristotelian science in terms of its constitutive elements as earth, water, air, fire and aether on a naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness basis from the vantage perspective of our modern positivism (as being at the receiving backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process) but rather the more critical insight lies with its novel and transformative universalising-classificatory knowledge-reification gesturing as opening up the possibility for prospective human reconceptualisation of science providing the backdrop from which modern science took off from the medieval times to the present. Likewise, the transformative nature of budding positivism more than just as garnered from the precised narrative accounts about budding positivist thinkers, lies more profoundly with its meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure impact on the developing enlightenment social developments and as this budding positivism metaphoricity epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically brought about our positivism/rational-empiricism modern society. The analyses of human becoming so-implied as of parrhesiastic and hermeneutic development is in of itself a pure science that is epistemically-derivable as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’, and so beyond the specific accuracy of narrative accounts of traditional philosophical figures and besides such parrhesiastic and hermeneutic insight actually informs about the ontological-pertinence of such narrative accounts. In another respect, even with a most natural sense of parrhesia and hermeneutic insight, many a figure predispose to atomising/taking-to-pieces analysis, including founders of this orientation and other of its leading figures, have ultimately come to realise its relative underlying platitude with respect to prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity such that a prevailing notion has developed within as to imply philosophy doesn’t necessarily involve a transcendental-and-sublimity promise as of a nombrilistic institutional-being-and-craft predisposition; and as such a merely reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation knowledge culture that ‘dodges potential parrhesiastic implications from
its very own tentative analyses’ speaks of ‘a supposed intellectualism’ that does not lead prospective social progress as it becomes a sophistic/pedantic problem for prospective social progress especially so when it originates from the ‘mother of all disciplines’. The fact is ‘philosophy just as any of its derived domain-of-study is not the ownership of any institutional culture’ but rather ‘a human abstract-property co-opted institutionally in deferential-formalisation-transference to the extent that that deference fulfils its promise of knowledge-reification for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity’. In this regards, the transcendental-and-sublimity possibilities of 7.5 billion humans today and human posterity cannot be construed as hanging on such terms of institutional-being-and-craft dispositions prevailing in many a social domain-of-study and even some of the natural sciences as of naive science-ideology, and so because beyond the temporal human disposition to contemplate of existence as of a lifespan-of-existence-implications there need to be ‘human intemporal contemplation that abstractly lives/exists beyond a-lifespan-of-existence-implications to fetch for prospective possibilities of meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’, something which a-lifespan-of-existence-implications projection as of a <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} is not structured/paradigmed to do! But then the phenomenological question arising with respect to the fact that many a social domain-of-study ‘tend to assume a disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> epistemic-disposition that is in many ways poorly constrained to existential-reality’, is how exactly does such lack of ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ affect the realisation of the full knowledge-reification potentiality of domains-of-study as of their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as
human-subpotency is ever always unduly prospectively-aporetic/prospectively-undecidable/prospectively-dilemmatic/prospectively-indeterminate/prospectively-deficient/prospectively-limitative/prospectively-constraining in its ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> construal of ecstatic-existence to which it only bears an ‘as of’ semblance (in any of its given presencing) that isn’t constraining in anyway on ‘the becoming of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier’ such that ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-
conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-
which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-
prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence> from such human-subpotency prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation ever always warrant prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation and thus the epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity prospective implications
for renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation; and so, in order to ‘prospectively elevate the ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> of human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology in
the construal of existential-reality’ while overcoming the stalling in ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> underlying the mere complexification of the prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation. This inversely-varying-emphasis of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-
of-aestheticisation and reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is so-reflected with: prospective reactualising of
‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’ (as derived
both wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}, and as
the originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation enabling the institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recomposure to occur reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process as of prospective intemporal parrhesiastic ontological-
faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for the succession of registry-
worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought. Obviously given human emotional-
involvement, such intemporal parrhesiastic instigation of prospective reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is ascetic as it
emphasises that the ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> of human
meaningfulness-and-teleology as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of—epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-
validation/desublimating-invalidating implications is not compromisable, and so over
temporal nihilistic dispositions of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation susceptible to compromising ontological-
performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)} and
sophistic/pedantic dispositions. Ultimately, human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
'human-subpotency conscious-able aestheticisation of ecstatic-existence as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness is ever always a ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ which is patternly developed-and-anchored as from its driven originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation; and so at the thresholds of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation unduly aporetic/undecidable/dilemmatic/indeterminate/deficient/limitative/constraining ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> wherein originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation re-stakes/puts-back-at-stake epistemic-ricochetingly/transepistemically the reconstruing of existential-reality despite the taxingness-of-originariness, and so as of a perception of unduly aporetic/undecidable/dilemmatic/indeterminate/deficient/limitative/constraining ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation meaningfulness-and-teleology as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidating implications. It is important to grasp that the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation (as of human ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’, ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-
developing/becoming-as-of-social-integration-and-evolving relevant meaningfulness-and-teleology’ involves initially a more direct focus on instant-sensations-and-carefreeness with the child aspiring for social-integration-and-evolving at successive stages as it grows up with an increasing sense of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension in a ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ as of its ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ that ultimately involves major stages like language acquisition achievement, schooling achievement, greater social autonomy and responsibility achievement, and developing into an adult with even greater dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as for instance the notion of pleasure is increasingly substituted with that of work-and-pleasure, etc. It is critical to grasp here that such living-development—as-to-personality-development human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology (‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ as of a ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’) in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness involving ‘hermeneutic reactualising as <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ always entails the three human aestheticisation manifest elements: ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’, ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’, and ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’. This human aestheticisation insight is informing about what exactly is meant by such major stages of human personality development like language acquisition achievement, schooling
reflexivity for prospective relative-ontological-completeness orientation’. This basically explains the constantly developing nature of human ‘institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions like language’ which are not truly absolutely of present-at-hand as to wrongly imply presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (even as the privileged social conceptualisation of say language is as of ‘language as the complete possibilities of language as of an absolute present conception usually of a privileged end-institution purpose’). Insightfully, we can garner that it is ‘human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity purposefulness-reflexivity for prospective relative-ontological-completeness orientation’ implied as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that fundamentally renders/makes human institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions’ to be necessarily as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness and not in constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. In another respect, ‘living-development–as-to-personality-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ is of ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ with regards to human childhood to adulthood personality development as of the forming individual need to assimilate/integrate human progressive cultural cumulation, and this is very much in contrast to ‘institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-
aestheticisation’ underlying ‘hermeneutic reactualising as <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ to be worth the epistemic-
ricochetting/transepistemicity effort, with the preference for any such effort rather directed at
the complexification of the prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–
as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. This will explain for instance why as of the furtherance
in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, the
‘institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology
aestheticisation’ with regards to language development hasn’t warranted any ‘high
parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ with respect to
new language creation but this has rather been directed towards language complexification as
of advancing human knowledge and construction-of-the-Self. In the bigger picture, the above
human meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation analysis (and as reflected specifically
with language acquisition) is reflective of the fact that the specific human-subpotency as to
overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-
<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, reflected in human underlying
supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, is ultimately potentiated/ontologisable as of
human ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
dertermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning’. This instigation of human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-
and-teleology so-reflected in ‘human existence historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing creative aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-
teleology’ driven as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in
renewing reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation involves an ‘overall flux of human meaningfulness-and-teleology of varying
temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’s’ wherein
such a flux construed as human aporetic dissemination is confronted to ‘existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–<amplituding-
conflatedness validative/invalidative selectivity/deselectivity’ enabling living-development–
as-to-personality-development meaningfulness-and-teleology, institutional-development–as-
to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology meaningfulness-and-teleology; and thereof
reflected in the secondnatured institutionalisation framework of the given registry-worldview
underpinning–suprasocial-construct and its <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-
{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>} as uninstitutionalised-threshold. It is important here to
grasp that despite any human registry-worldview/dimension
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ontologically-flawed inclination to think
otherwise, its given underpinning–suprasocial-construct and its given
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} ‘are not
the absolute possibility of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’, as of
their induced reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation, for the prospective aestheticisation of human intemporal-as-ontological
meaningfulness-and-teleology given that such underpinning-suprasocial-construct and <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignore-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) are effectively rather secondnatured institutionalisation outcome of reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, the more profound basis for prospective generation of human intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology arises as of transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation that renews reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of prospective existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating—invalidation implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as—to-existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of-prospective-supererogation). This underlying insight is reflective of the fact that ‘secondnaturfness is no substitute for originariness as of the
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality—as—to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for—
explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative—
ontological-completeness’, as originariness is ever always about ‘intemporal parrhesiastic
seeding—promise dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or—
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit—
drivenness—equalisation of the registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology
beyond just its mechanical reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for the possibility of further prospective parrhesiastic instigation as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ in contrast to the essentially mechanical/mere-form of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of secondnaturedness. This fundamental originariness and secondnaturedness conundrum in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is reflected by the fact that the human Self is ever always in disseminative constructiveness/destructuring defining its given registry-worldview/dimension shiftness-of-the-Self as of ‘a subpar existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness/human-subpotency disposition to construe as of full existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness at its uninstitutionalised-threshold’ its prior secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; and so in obfuscation and pedantry. The possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity has ever always been able to arise at such uninstitutionalised-thresholds of registry-worldviews/dimensions not by a ‘false pretence’ that the ontologically-veridical underlying issue of prospectively-aporetic/prospectively-undecidable/prospectively-dilemmatic/prospectively-indeterminate/prospectively-deficient/prospectively-limitative/prospectively-constraining ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> of prior reproducibility—

mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in the construal of ecstatic-existence, is one in want of candid analysis as of the very same prior
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but rather the ontological-veracity of originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for prospective/renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; as perfectly understood by the Socratic philosophers advancing of universalising-idealisation relative to the Ancient sophists non-universalising inclination, budding positivists/rational-empiricists advancing of positivism/rational-empiricism relative to the medieval-scholastics pedantic dogmatism and equally as of our positivism–procrypticism this author construes practices of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> not constrained to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness but rather institutionalised imprimatur as of institutional-being-and-craft as intellectually wanting and in need of the advancing of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. In other words, the uninstitutionalised-thresholds of all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their shiftiness-of-the-Self are the aporetic point at which their languages collapse into ‘wooden languages’ that are from a prospective perspective not profound but mechanical/mere-form reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation thus inherently raising up the underlying ontological-veracity issue of their prospectively-aporetic/prospectively-undecidable/prospectively-dilemmatic/prospectively-
indeterminate/prospectively-deficient/prospectively-limitative/prospectively-constraining ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation that can only be dealt with as of prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation so-construed as ‘intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation of the registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond just its mechanical reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for the possibility of further prospective parrhesiastic instigation as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’. The fact is that the possibility for prospective institutionalisation transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is ever always underdetermined, as between prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought and prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is the ‘aporia of underdetermined madness’ that human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation renders possible as prospective ontological-veracity is only then epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically salvageable as to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation implications as of
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. That is, between reasoning—as-reasoning-from-results/afterthought and reasoning—as-reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is ‘aporetic underdetermined madness’ that renders a pretence of hanging unto prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation more like ‘a pretence of already grasping the complete implications of ecstatic-existence while ignoring/not-referencing/registering/decisioning the epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity implications of prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ and rather speaks in effect of a nihilistic <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>); and this temporal nihilism at uninstitutionalised-thresholds has ever always been associated with a corresponding intemporal asceticism for opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (not partaking as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in any such ‘wooden language’) that is the sine qua non for the habituation of the possibility of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity. Overcoming this ‘aporia of underdetermined madness’ despite human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, has ever always been the absolutely determinative possibility for the fulfilment of the construction-of-humanity-as-of-its-developing-construction-of-the-Self enabling human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity to arise; as its overcoming has ever always elicited humankind’s ability to ascetically go beyond its ‘prior comfort zone’ to reconstrue its future emancipatory possibilities. In this regard, the idea of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality—dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirt-drivenness—equalisation element of meaningfulness-and-teleology instigating the successive transcendences-and-sublimity’, as the very renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seems to induce a ‘deferment of human instinctual responsibility’ as to temporally imply ‘human ontological-performance—strategies are valid by their mechanical/mere-form alignment to any such reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ inducing human naïve untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality as of the shiftiness-of-the-Self of the corresponding registry-worldview/dimension wherein the eliciting of a mutual sense of temporality/shortness within such a framework as of syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is wrongly reconstrued as ‘intemporality’ (but then we can garner from our vantage modern positivism perspective that such defective process in prior registry-worldviews/dimensions effectively spoke of their corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold and the same does applies in our own respect from a prospective perspective). In this regards the prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, as of its notional—deprocrypticism reflexivity of this human limited-mentation-capacity instigating ‘aporetic deficiency of ontological-performance—strategies are valid by their mechanical/mere-form alignment to any such reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ inducing human naïve untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality as of the shiftiness-of-the-Self of the corresponding registry-worldview/dimension wherein the eliciting of a mutual sense of temporality/shortness within such a framework as of along the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, effectively elicits originariness—parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation but then as of its ‘foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’), as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism
supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’, it is not
receptive to a human dephasing shiftiness-of-the-Self as of ‘deferment of human instinctual
responsibility’ that dehistorialises humankind into Being/Existential homelessness as a vague
temporal-to-intemporal nihilism wherein we wrongly deify our presencing—absolutising-
identitive–constitutedness <amplituding/formative>epistemic–totalising–self–referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic–drag while paradoxically failing to articulate a
coherent existential narrative underlying human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought–indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued–temporal-to–intemporal–dispositions–existentialism–form–factor involving a
developing historiality/ontological–eventfulness/ontological–aesthetic–tracing of human
recurrent destructuring–threshold–<uninstitutionalised–threshold/presublimating–
and its superseding with human recurrent constructiveness–of–ontological–performance–
<including–virtue–as–ontology>, and so beyond just the nombrilism of our lifespans. This
orientation is very much the peculiarity of deprocrypticism as in reality all the other prior
registry–worldviews/dimensions are notionally/epistemically various levels of
notional–procrypticism or notional–disjointedness–as–of–reference–of–thought (in successive
relative–ontological–completeness as of increasing notional–deprocrypticism or increasing
but it is prospective deprocrypticism ontological–faith–notional–or–ontological–fideism
dimensionality–of–sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-
mentativeness/epistemic–growth–or–conflatedness/transvaluative–
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic–residuality/spirit–drivenness–equalisation specific
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism assessment of the virtue and vices-and-impediments of individuals in any of the preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions will find them relatively wanting/deficient with regards to our positivism, this ‘is not decisively/critically the case on the basis that we are inherently better individuals than any of the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions individuals’ but rather a question of us being at the vantage backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\langle\text{amplituding/}^\text{formative}\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\rangle, pointing out that what is decisive/critical for inducing human virtue over vices-and-impediments rather lies with the assessment of any such registry-worldview/dimension prospective ‘point of \langle\text{amplituding/}^\text{formative}\text{epistemic-}^\text{causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-}\text{for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ as so-implied by the prospective registry-worldview/dimension supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as it reflects upon the preceding registry-worldview/dimension ‘notional~procrypticism/notional~disjointedness as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ in order to construe/assess/supersede by its induced virtue at the prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-\langle\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}\rangle over vices-and-impediments at the destructuring-threshold-\langle\text{uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating–decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-}\langle\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}\rangle as of living-
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance-
threshold/presublimating–desublimating–decisionality—of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> construed rather as of its manifest ‘preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising–psychologism–as-of-postlogism/psychopathy–(as-of-the-
‘preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’–at-its-uninstitutionalised-threshold-it-wrongly-
implies-as-nondescript/ignorable-void) at the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s de-
structuriing-threshold-of-ontological-performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology>’
ontologically-flawed inordinary/unexpected/anormal catching-up-by-extrinsic-attribution for
social-functioning-and-accordance now construed rather as from the supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of the
prospective registry-worldview/dimension for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring cognisant-and-integrative social
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ speaks of the structural/paradigmatic manifestation of the
given prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s corresponding notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness (whether such a corresponding
notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness, starting as from the basis of ‘fundamental
animality failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension’, is recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s trepidatious–
self-consciousness specific notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness of ‘failing
rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism given
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension’ from base-institutionalisation perspective, un-
universalisation’s warped–self-consciousness specific notional–procrypticism/notional–dis-
jointedness of ‘failing universalisation-directed-
rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism given
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension’ from universalisation perspective, non-
 positivism’s/medievalism’s preclusive–self-consciousness specific
notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness of ‘failing positivising/rational-empiricism-
based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism given dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’ from
positivism/rational-empiricism perspective or prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-
of-reference-of-thought occlusive–self-consciousness specific
notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness of ‘failing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought, as to <amplituding/formative> epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superceding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism given dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension’ from deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-
as-of-reference-of-thought protensive–self-consciousness perspective; as of epistemic-
ricochetting/transepistemicity foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as
to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in
reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
{<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} grasp of ecstatic-existence—as-the-
absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation) so-reflected as the given prior registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s susceptibility to its corresponding ‘postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ disposition. The point is that ‘ecstatic-existence doesn’t have
any inherent/supposed limit of manifestation tied-down/bogged-down to human limited-
mentation-capacity as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness’ (successively as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism), such that the implied difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-
epistemic-determinism between the prior and prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions involving prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplitudying/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument construal of ecstatic-existence, as ever the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplitudying/formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-
construal’, so-implied from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension transcendence-
and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de~mentativity constructiveness-of-ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> exposes the prior registry-worldview/dimension destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-
decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> veridically as of manifest ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-as-of-
postlogism/psychopathy-(as-of-the-‘preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’-at-its-
uninstitutionalised-threshold-it-wrongly-implies-as-nondescript/ignorable-void) at the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuriing-threshold-of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ ontologically-flawed inordinary/unexpected/anormal catching-up-by-extrinsic-attribute-for-social-functioning-and-accordance as from the supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of the
prospective registry-worldview/dimension for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring cognisant-and-integrative social meaningfulness-and-teleology’ so-construed as difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising. Hence, ‘all the human home that exists’ is as of the full implications of the perpetuation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as it explains what is the human and its becoming beyond any epochally blinded nombrilism. But then while realistically the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is driven as of human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation and secondnatured institutionalisation dispositions with respect to the fact that the human <amplituding/formative>wooden-language{(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}> disposition of all registry-worldviews/dimensions is very much capable of countenancing however fragile prospective relative-ontological-completeness implications; that is, until when that fragility is exploited by temporal sophistic/pedantic dispositions in wrongly and cynically implying the equivalence of prospective intemporal-projection and prior temporal-projection as to when ancient Sophists elicit the contemplation of Socratic philosophers intemporal universalising-idealisation narrative in terms of their epochal <amplituding/formative>wooden-language{(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}> non-universalising narrative, as to when medieval-scholasticism fail to engage prospective budding positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology and harkening rather
to its dogmatism pedantry, and as to when modern day intellectual-muddlement-
(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) seems to
be blinded to the implication of ‘prospective event/aporetic thinking implied deprocrypticism
or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and take the route of eliciting
disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> unconstrained to existential-reality as of ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework such that even the idea of a human existential narrative
tends to be put into question together with a tendency to question the pertinence of
historically transformative figures and movements, and so in a ‘disparateness-of-
conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’> impotence-inducing exercise’ (as to the fact that where there is uncertainty,
whether real or unreal, ontological implications cannot then be effectively derived). The
manifest reality of human ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-
onontology is thus one that is ever sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-
in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> as of human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. This is reflected inherently in the fact that given
human limited-mentation-capacity, human aestheticisation is ever always
reactualising/recomposuring towards a fully ontologising reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of
supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument; that is, human
aestheticisation as from prospective originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation instigation develops by recomposuring as from ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’ to ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’ and then to ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’ with the latter achieving the given registry-worldview/dimension reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology. Basically, human aestheticisation, in reflection of human limited-mentation-capacity and human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as—to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) possibility, ever always involves a ‘human disposition in portraying/reflecting/construing existence/ontological-veracity’ as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ and as of ‘human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-presencing-/as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’ which then define together the aestheticisation specificity of the culturally cumulated outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations explaining why human institutional constructs like language, cultural practices, etc. are inherently of their given cultural specificness. In this regards, the social-setup in its furtherance of human aestheticisation towards human ontologising of meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always drawn between ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ rather in constitutedness as of its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and ‘human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint
equilibrium at their prospective destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> with regards to their given reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; with the
underpinning–suprasocial-construct, <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—
averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>} and sophistry in their ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
finitism of aestheticisation’ dynamics seemingly substituting in effect for prospective
ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of ‘human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation
possibilities’. The <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-
implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-
incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—
of-the-human-institutionalisation-process critically and insightfully highlights, in reflection of
inherent human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, that ‘all registry-worldviews/dimensions are ever
always at the crossroads of knowledge-reification and sophistry as the latter is facilitated by
underlying social <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-
thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} as of
surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-
protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-
confiliatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-
language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>})’ that then allows for the corresponding 
‘reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation reference-of-thought-level 
supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument for 
meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring’.
This is fundamentally what explains why the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation 
cannot all of a sudden start reasoning as of base-institutionalisation, and the latter as of 
universalisation, the latter as of positivism/rational-empiricism and prospectively the latter as 
of deprocrypticism. The overall point here is that it is the ‘parrhesiastic structure’ as of 
parrhesiastic-aestheticisation that ‘invents/creates’ the prospective registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, and carries the ‘intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise 
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-
mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-confiliatedness/transvalutative-
rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation of the 
registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond just its mechanical 
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-
contiguity’ at a registry-worldview/dimension destructuring-threshold—uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality—of-ontological-performance—
including-virtue-as-ontology ‘wherein normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as secondnature institutionalised constructs assume absolute determinism that flawly override any parrhesiastic amplituding/formative epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ontological-veracity’, and explains the Sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation non-universalising inclination on the basis that that social practice is absolutely deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology and the medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation non-positivising/medievalism dogma on the basis that that social practice is absolutely deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as well as present day overall intellectual-muddlement—blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing—as-to-entailing—amplituding/formative epistemic-totalising—relative-ontological-completeness) as of institutional-being-and-craft normativities, conventions, practices, etc. in procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of its lack of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’), as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism supererogatory-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’ on the basis that such social practices are absolutely deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, adherence to prospective knowledge-reification as of human temporality/shortness arises as of the existentially constraining untenability of positive-opportunism induced reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but doesn’t necessarily elicits intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness.epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation for prospective knowledge-reification as of ‘a weak social mental-reflex that any parrhesiastic <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ontological-veracity will put in question prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as can be reflected in normativities, conventions, practices, etc.’, and this is what explains the prevalence of disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as ‘mere-form of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ temporally takes pride-of-place and so unconstrained to prospective existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—as-of—

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation implications ‘as of parrhesiastic <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ontological-veracity’ thus providing the framework for ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity and sophistry hanging on unto secondnatured normativities, conventions, practices, etc. thus rendering prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity impotent. Thus ‘the possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is ever always a renewed parrhesiastic structure’ that as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning can overcome such a <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to—
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, and so
counterintuitively to any given registry-worldview/dimension notion/sense of transcendence-
and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as rather occuring along its already
secondnatured established reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation normativities, conventions, practices, etc.; and this very
much explains why the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions are successive
parrhesiastic instigation of renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. Further the ‘renewed parrhesiastic
structure’ in undermining prior ‘reference-of-thought-level and thus reference-of-thought-
devolving-level of disparateness-of-conceptualisation<unforegrounding-disentailment,-
failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>’ implies ‘foregrounding—entailment-
{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-
notional–deprocrypticism as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness, and not ‘unification as of
human-subpotency elicited contrasting-and-comparison’ as the latter just leads to a
complexification of disparateness-of-conceptualisation<unforegrounding-disentailment,-
failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> along the very same reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of an
ontologically-flawed human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence that ‘allows the mortals that
we are to average our thoughts’ rather than existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness imposing
ontological-veracity as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This explains why the universalising-idealisation of Socratic philosophers, budding positivists thought and herein as well suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought are all characterised in their knowledge-reification not by an articulation along the prior established reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but rather prospective existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness constraining parrhesiastic aestheticisation of prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, that in all three cases looks down upon the notion of human-subpotency sophistic/pedantic pretence of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as—operative-notional—deprocripticism that is no more than complexification of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>. Critically as of such parrhesiastic instigation of prospective relative-ontological-completeness the prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation ‘sycophantic-sophistic pretences of candour’ are edgily/incisively trampled-upon parrhesiastically as the Socratic philosophers go out of their way to highlight the intellectual discredit of the sophists, as budding positivists go out of their way to highlight medieval-scholasticism dogma, and likewise suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought is beyond just our positivism—procrypticism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and as reflected herein with the parrhesiastic highlighting of institutional-being-and-craft and intellectual-muddlement—(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-
level for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology as validated by ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework’ reflecting a foregrounding—entailment—\{narrowing-down—
sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’\},—as-operative-
notional–deprocrypticism so-implied in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process successive registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—\{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-
to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation\}
implications of supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring, and
so ‘over human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence implied disparateness-of-
conceptualisation—\{unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’\> unification as of an ontologically-flawed human-subpotency contrasting-and-
comparison driven notion of foregrounding—entailment—\{narrowing-down—sublimation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’\},—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism’. Rather the
Socratic philosophers are not obstinate as all the possibility for prospective transcendence-
and-sUBLImity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity that can-exist-as-of-existence-
potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—
in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness (as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being—
as-of-existential-reality intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise dimensionality-of-
sublimating—\{<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth—
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transeptemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation for prospective knowledge-reification, with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\)>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation\)) can only arise as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\)>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> in human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence as of non-universalising sophistry reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation secondnatured normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as of its lack of prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation foregrounding—
entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operate
notional–deprocrypticism

supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’; likewise the budding positivists are not obstinate as all the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity that can-exist-as-of-existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\)>epistemic-
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human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence of positivism–procrypticism’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation secondnatures normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as of its lack of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’. In furtherance of this prospective epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity indictment, this author laments a covert practice of an intellection that has been critical of postmodern-thought but in latter years ‘reformulates the implications of postmodern ideas’ as original thought even as such practices supposedly passes their institutional thresholds of admissibility with the caveat though that much of such thought is poorly operant given its ad-hoc depth of knowledge-reification gesturing/process as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> implications, and along the same parrhesiastic prospective epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity line this author is very much befuddled of a perverted exercise to undermine the originality of this work supposedly because of the theoretical orientation by a naïve ad-hoc synonymising exercise that this author is very much confident fails as it overlooks the coherence and knowledge-reification gesturing/process articulated herein. Generally, such perversion of thought as it discreetly networks fails society in the long-run when it seems to assume a foreshadowing posture with regards to what can be thought or not thought as of a ‘realpolitiking of thought’ exercise. Such intellectual shadiness of vague highmindedness is no more different from the gross inanity of ancient sophists or medieval-scholastic pedants, as of naïve shallowminded
normalcy/postconvergence involving ‘ontologically-projective-as-of-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence aestheticising/designed axiomatisation insight’. Basically thus, naïve mimickry of mere scientific approaches and methodologies isn’t inherently ontologically-pertinent but for vague ‘science-ideology imprimatur’ as of institutional-being-and-craft, as priorly any study as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ or any <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality should necessarily be in nested-congruence as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness, and so-construed from a philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’; with the ultimate implication that subject-matters/domains-of-study <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocripticism is what validates their maturity/immaturity. It should be noted here as well that it is human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimatingwithdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of relative-ontological-completeness as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
phenomenality rather than the contrary approach that delves directly in existential-contextualising-contiguity and then reifies-out conceptualisations as of difference-confeatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. The implication here is that quite often when required to explicate social phenomena outside the framework of such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach, what happens is that responses will often tend not to be as of the direct import of such analytical atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation frameworks of supposed reification/elucidation, but rather as extra-contemplative articulations and commentaries that in many ways fall back into the very \(<\text{amplituding/formative}}\text{wooden-language-\{imbued—averaging-of-thought-\langle\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-\langle\text{nondescript/ignorable-void\rangle-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle\text{that is supposed to be reified but now under the imprimatur of authority}}\rangle\text{this is very much unlike the case with proponents of \langle\text{ecstatic totalising-entailing/nested-congruence}}\text{whose social and existential analyses are just a natural reification/elucidation projection as from within the \langle\text{ecstatic totalising-entailing/nested-congruence}}\text{of existential phenomenality framework of their study}}\rangle\text{Furthermore this contrast equally produces other distractive effects in the sense that when such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation analysis is presumed to be more profound as of its poorly nuanced interpretation of existential-contextualising-contiguity in a rather blurry social domain-of-study, then it assumes that issues of mutual misunderstanding are due to poor writing, poor use of language or ambiguous conceptualisations of such \langle\text{ecstatic totalising-entailing/nested-congruence}}\text{proponents thought, failing to factor in the existential-contextualising-contiguity dereifying effects of abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation as decontextualising and pulling-apart the \langle\text{ecstatic totalising-entailing/nested-congruence}}\text{of existential phenomenality, wherein the constraining effect of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-}}\text{1587}}\text{}}\rangle
veridicality as the ‘superior party’ is ignored/overlooked on the naïve token of working on specific aspects or specific interpretation, and so out of sync with existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Again, what is loss of critical pertinence here is exactly what is implied by ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’, as being rather all about elucidating the necessary-existential-states-and-conditions so-construed as ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, and not presuming-and-skirting-around them, before further expanding on the elucidation/reification of their manifestations as validated or can be falsifiable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; or otherwise this simply leads to a loss of the sense of ontologically-veridical reality. Ultimately, such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation tendencies and further as of a frequently gestational knowledge state with respect to the possibility for prospective social transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity, induces a penchant for flawed intellectually supplementing rhetorisation rather than reification as well as naïve focussing on disparateness of conceptualisations-and-interpretations as of lack or poor constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework disposition rather than an orientation towards the ‘transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing or transversal-analysis-towards-validatory-selectivity-for-foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-notional~deprocrpticism of conceptualisations-and-interpretations’ as constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework which is what further reifies the body of knowledge by enabling existence as the transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity to continually select the trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of sound and complementary conceptualisations-
sciences; as his works/research-programme quest for truth ‘expands the conception of truth beyond our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions as if all the world that has ever existed is as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’, and displaces/decenters the human subject as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness cloistered-consciousness for a more mature and nuanced conception of truth and the implications of truth; and so, beyond the contemplation of naïve atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation dereifying rhetorisations that border on
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} populist interpretations rather than elevating human ontological construal of the social domain-of-study! It is herein contended that existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as of its ecstatic singularity actually points to appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of every domain-of-study; as the fact remains that the domain-of-study of the social world is utterly different as of existential-contextualising-contiguity from the domain-of-study of the natural world, and not to mention that even within the natural world or social world there are equally subject-matters peculiarities that require their own specific approaches to elucidation/reification as of existential-contextualising-contiguity – and this said without undermining the idea of the ecstatic singularity of existence from which all such subject-matter-human-specialisms ecstatically arise as veridically implied by singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism speaking of an
underlying ecstatic commonness though not common phenomenality. Thus, in all cases the overall implications for the optimum advancement of human knowledge is most critically about constraining knowledge to existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidation/reification rather than just mere formalisation as of conceptual patterning for its own sake. The fact is the natural sciences are already naturally constraint to existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification by the implicated immediate-constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity whereas the human world is rather blurry in this regard and hence requires the requisite explicited insight about existence as of its ecstatic singularity for its appropriate approach for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. In many ways such an insight is often implied in the natural sciences as of its relative transparency of cause-and-effect reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity but not by a naïve/mimicked formalisation as of mere conceptual patterning. Consider in this regard the implications of interpreting natural science transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity knowledge say between Mendelian heredity and DNA genetics or say Descartes Physics and Newton and Leibniz Physics on the basis of naïve formalisation as of conceptual patterning, then in many ways the latter contributors would be poorly appreciated given that the spectacular transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity implications of their studies are massively overlooked by a poor appreciation that knowledge is critically all about formalisation as of conceptual patterning rather than existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <amplitudizing/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Actually, formalisation in the natural sciences and mathematics is the effective ‘formatting outcome’ of an implicated creative process of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. This process
or mathematicians working under the physics existential-contextualising-contiguity guise’ as of the insight of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of the physics domain-of-study, with such mathematics ‘very often not well presented but essentially sublime’, and thereafter such existential-contextualising-contiguity initially reified mathematics is further reified as of mathematics more generalised-level of existential-contextualising-contiguity insight while ‘exquisitely formalised in concurrence’. This reality of ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ is very much obvious from the accounts of ‘successive partial contributions-and-failures’ that lead to major breakthroughs in the natural sciences as of the ‘very same <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’; with this ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ construed as occurring within the very same scientist, across scientists of the same interest-of-study in a generation, and across scientists of the same developing interest-
of-study cross-generationally as of the ‘very same <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. In this regard, we can appreciate that as of their differing ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> the threshold where the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs projects its prospective relative-ontological-completeness is considered as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism, and striving to operate the classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs in its projected prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is effectively preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism; even though both address the ‘very same physics <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. The implications of flawed formalisation credo as of conceptual patterning identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism implied dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism extends, as of its flawed primacy of conceptual patterning on the basis of a conception of knowledge that tends to belittle and trivialise original knowledge contributions geared towards creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification while naively overrating contributions to knowledge of a conceptual patterning orientation, in further blurring the study of the social with mischaracterisations and poor appreciation of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity implications and ultimately induces self-perpetuating artifices of institutional-being-and-craft that mechanically ‘paradoxically then supersede knowledge’ as of its very organic authenticity. One recurrent consequence of the formalisation credo that keeps on arising for instance in the analytic tradition of philosophy as of its non-totalising-entailing or ‘poor conflatedness of totalising-entailing/nested-congruence’, is that the underlying conception about growing the body of
human knowledge seems to be the ‘incrementing of all such conceptual patterning conceptualisations’ going by their cross-analysis as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Basically, the underlying implication of conflatedness, and so over naïve constitutedness, is that all ontologically-veridical conceptualisations can only be veridical by their ‘abstract reduction to the totalising-entailing/nested-congruence implication of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as of its ecstatic singularity’, and thus implies the articulation of all such ontologically-veridical conceptualisations as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; while avoiding any such conceptualising naïveté that may imply ‘existence in existence’ as this can only lead to flawed conceptualisations, <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and logocentrism as of constitutedness. Critically, no concepts have any veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but only rather as of their conflatedness with existence, and cannot be construed as ‘existing in existence’ as implied by constitutedness which just leads to ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism implied identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. We can appreciate that the naïve conceptual patterning of conceptualisations in many a social domain-of-study failing to disambiguate divergent knowledge implications-and-contributions as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification end up transforming subject-matters into descriptive enunciations of weak existentially explanatory and predicative capacity. The entire project of human meaningfulness-and-teleology is nothing but one of creatively elucidating/reifying existence/existential-possibilities, ‘with no out of existence knowledge project’, which is merely delusional. Thus, what is critically missing here is the fundamental constraining
reality for creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, and so over
the mere possibilities for abstracting conceptualisations. This very much explains why many
of those who subscribe to the formalisation credo have a poor existential projection and
appreciation for grasping the existential-contextualising-contiguity reifying gestures of
postmodern-thought and other critical theories, and end up often haranguing such orientations
by striving to constrain them on the basis of vague abstractions as of elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity. This failure in fully appreciating the import of ontologically-
veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-
epistemic-determinism ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification,
inducing successive differences of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>
of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~purview-of-construal’ with increasing prospective relative-ontological-
completeness’ as of implied singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
determinism has fundamental <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, as transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity only arise as of human expansion of its
reifying grasp of existential-contextualising-contiguity. Consider in this regard that the
repeated maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness articulation by
this author on the theme of conceptual patterning here further complements as of further
articulated reification of this very theme elsewhere herein, more than just about a mechanical
repeating; and this knowledge-reification insight often goes missing with many a subscriber
to the formalisation credo, as of reification along the three frames indicated above (as of same
of study, scholars of the same generation, scholarscross-generationally developing interest-of-study). In this regard, the contribution of post-structuralist scholars like Foucault, Derrida, Lyotard, Lacan, Deleuze have now and then been belittled as not original, as of a very much naïve conceptual patterning conception of knowledge; going by their profound association with earlier scholars and more specifically Heidegger and Nietzsche. From a creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification perspective of knowledge construal, this is no less silly as dismissing and belittling as unoriginal the ideas of later physicists since their contributions are just more evolved formalisation as of conceptual patterning of concepts originally/as-of-event available to earlier contributors to the ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ propounded by Newton together with the conceptual patterning influences of Galileo, Descartes, Leibniz, etc. as of the conceptual patterning of such concepts like space, time, force, etc. Such a conclusion certainly reflects a ‘massive ontological dearth’ in failing to appreciate the creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of the latter contributors in both instances. This further speaks of a poor grasp of the human knowledge project as being all about further reifying human grasp of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’, with the intellectual’s job to the best of their abilities rather being about orientating its effort for the best possibility to further this goal whether as of critical altogether new thought development or critical recomposuring of prior thought, or both. More likely than not the headway made by prior scholars means that the good intellectual knows as of the true goal of human knowledge advancement beyond just institutional-being-and-craft that their best effort is rather in further advancing/reifying/elucidating the headway as of ‘repeating/repetition of
maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-‘human-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’. This is especially the case where such headway mirrors ‘pure-ontology’ articulation, as there is only one ontological as existential reality. This orientation and rearticulating exercise by postmodern-thought speaks rather of an assurance that they are on a solid ontological pathway just as physicists orientation and redevelopment of the ontic lines setup by the early Galileos, Newtons and Leibnizes speaks of an assurance of ontological depth, in both instances as of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. Ultimately, and it is this author’s contention, the various scholarly contributions to postmodern-thought can be understood as rather pointing to the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. We can equally appreciate that much of the disseminative rational-empiricism/positivism implications of the works of such pioneers like Copernicus, Galileo, and specifically Descartes, etc. created ‘a rational-empiricism/positivism disseminative metaphoricity orientation making the human subject thinking as of mathesis universalis conceptualisation central’ reflected by Descartes ‘I think therefore I am’, and as followed and adopted to resolve various human knowledge issues by subsequent thinkers in successive generations as of human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning wherein in their states of undecidability/aporia ‘left it’ to existence as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as the veritable transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity to ‘continually select’ rational-empiricism/positivism disseminative orientations for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity, leading to our present refined positivism/rational-empiricism conception! But then because our present ‘positivism–procrypticism human subject is rather undecentered’ relative to the prospective postmodern—deprocrypticism self-conscious mindset we fail to truly appreciate the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of postmodern-thought as of the prospective exercise of ‘leaving it’ to existence as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as the veritable transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity to ‘continually select’ postmodern—deprocrypticism disseminative orientations for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity, in the same vain that the ‘non-positivism/medievalism undecentered human subject’ failed to truly appreciate the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of prospective positivism/rational-empiricism thought. On the other hand, recurrent conceptual patterning predispositions and orientations arise because of poor appreciation/reference for judging knowledge often as of poor institutional mechanical conceptualisation of knowledge, wherein the constraining metrics of institutional setups including strangely enough also many such tertiary institutions where poststructuralist thinkers studied-and-taught-as-outlying-intellectuals, ‘apparently and falsely surpass existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’. Such institutional nombrilistic inclinations operate on the naivety that institutional processes are inherently reifying by their mere infrastructure and deferential-formalisation-transference, and set up
enframed constraints that are in many ways self-defeating for the purpose of profound existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. But then with regards to the social notwithstanding its high emotional-involvement disruptiveness to knowledge, more profoundly existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification here implies human displacement/decentering even though our temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology dispositions certainly have a hard time assuming the full implications of such prospectively implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology. This further speaks to the fact that human knowledge is much more than distantly/remotely abstracted conceptions of meaningfulness-and-teleology of trite existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, as on critical occasions this puts the human subject itself into question; and so, as of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ even where this edges into contortioning asceticism as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought. Such ‘pure-ontology’ orientation grounded on creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification is ever always a ‘conflatedness totalising-entailing/nested-congruence’ as it aspires to grasping and articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology as portends to the wholeness/nested-congruence of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’; with such construal in reality rather very much as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism rather than dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. It is thus not a surprise that many natural sciences in their ‘creative
existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ develop as and aspire to be whole/congruent in conception, even though their concepts can be misconstrued as rather disparate but in effect are ‘operant as of wholeness/nested-congruence’. Likewise, the underlying deprocripticism-or-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness totalising-entailing/nested-congruence suprastructuralism conception herein is rather articulated as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of epistemic reflection of the ecstatic singularity of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Unlike the constitutedness rampant with human and social conceptualisations, it is important to grasp that conceptualisations in many a natural science domain tend to be naturally as of conflatedness totalising-entailing/nested-congruence given their theoretical, conceptual and operant existential contiguity/congruence <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity with ‘the ecstatic singularity of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ implied with regards to all such seemingly ad-hoc conceptualisations being contiguously reflected across space and time’. We can consider in this regard the strongly nested-congruence/contiguity of seemingly disparate conceptualisations as force, energy, etc. in physics or hereditary and functional conceptualisations in biology; reflected as of the specifically ecstatically nested-congruence of such conceptualisations with the existential wholeness, and so more than just abstractable conceptualisations out of sync with effective nesting as of the existential wholeness. In other words, the nestedness of the conceptualisations imply that there is a natural or existential cogency-and-fluidity among the concepts, speaking-of-and-reflecting their wholeness; the implication is not necessarily that all the whole field-of-study must be grasped all at once but rather that this existential cogency-and-fluidity speaking-of-and-
reflecting wholeness must insightfully be grasped before articulating existentially/ontologically pertinent conceptualisations that are equally cogent-and-fluid with the wholeness. That underlying dynamic theoretical-conceptual-operant interrelatedness speaking of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is often very much lacking in many a social domain-of-study which ad-hoc nature of conceptualisations can easily be misconstrued as of the same wholeness/nested-congruence nature with many natural science conceptualisations. This reality of comprehensive depth of knowledge is easily lost to ad-hoc and disparate social conceptualisations that by their constitutedness token tend to give up on the central issue of knowledge as of its wholeness/nested-congruence reflection ‘as of creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation in its ecstatic singularity. The naivety of implied constitutedness in the social is in the expectation that the unity of disparateness of conceptualisations as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-'human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ will take care of itself in reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence without human self-conscious wholeness/nested-congruence conception as of conflatedness in this respect; but then such parsimony loses more than just wholeness/nested-congruence in the sense that sound conceptualisations cannot be done without a sense of wholeness/nested-congruence in the first place, and more precisely as of ‘totalising-entailing/nested-congruence conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic singularity’. While in many ways the natural sciences as immediately-and-directly constrained by ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework are naturally and ad-hocly structured/paradigmed to implicitly construe wholeness/nested-congruence of conception as of ‘totalising-entailing/nested-congruence conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic singularity’ with regards to their conceptualisations, this cannot be
said of the same of the social as of the need for its self-conscious understanding of
wholeness/nested-congruence conception as of ‘conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic
singularity’ given its inherent blurriness, <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and emotional-involvement,
in order to then achieve parallel level of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
knowledge conception as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. In effect this ontological difficulty fundamentally has to do with the inherent
difficulty of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-
factor,-in-overcoming—’notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to—’attain-sublimating-
humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—
’nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>))
construed as ‘dispensing-with-shallow-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’—for-relative-
ontological-completeness-by-reification; with human self-consciousness rather prone to its
given reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for its
knowledge construal. The insight for singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
epistemic-determinism being that as of its ‘dispensing-with-shallow-
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’—for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification,
as increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought towards

ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism avails, effectively the construal of the social
assumes the requisite reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
wholeness/nested-congruence conceptualisation as of the conflatedness of ‘prospective
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism
deprocripticism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, as implied by
the suprastructuralism conception herein in fully reflecting the ecstatic singularity of
existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, and so over our present
parsimony/disparateness of conceptualisations ‘reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as-of-ontologically-compromised—
categorising positivism–procripticism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’. Thus we can
appreciate here that ultimately singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
determinism is not just artificially prompted but is rather the structural/paradigmatic
consequence of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme, ultimately as of prospective ontological-
ormalcy/postconvergence ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocripticism or
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Our mental-disposition is caught up
between its capacity to conceptualise as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
epistemic-determinism implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness conflatedness
and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism implied prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness constitutedness; and basically intemporal ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> arises by drawing out the full
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity of meaningfulness-and-teleology exclusively as of
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism implied conflatedness
prospective relative-ontological-completeness as it enables ‘ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> to be utterly as of predictable structural/paradigmatic
internal-necessity/determinism so-construed as immanence-function-conflatedness’. Thus the
inherent ecstatic singularity of existence carries intemporal ‘immanence-functions-
conflatedness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–
implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism conflatedness, while
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism constitutedness
arises as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ontological-construal defect when
naively failing to convey the ‘immanence-function-conflatedness implication’ of overall
reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-
educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>. Thus naturalistic methodologies are
only as pertinent as of their explaining of underlying background of the social as of physical
and biological reality, but not as substitutive explanations as to overall reifying-and-
empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–
human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> of social emanance as this is bound
to induce constitutedness. What is misjudged by many naturalistic methodologies with
regards to the social is the fact that the very reality as to overall reifying-and-empowering-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing) immanence-function-conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence over social, and as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning immanence-function-conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence over reasoning-from-results/afterthought. Basically, immanence-function-conflatedness speaks of the counterintuitive mental-reflex for drawing out the full <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity for ‘creative understanding’/insight as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, going by existence’s ecstatic singularity as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility–<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>. This immanence-function-conflatedness insight is effectively what marks prospective deprocryticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of an utterly different protensive self-consciousness from our hesitant and occlusive positivism–procrypticism self-consciousness. Hence existence’s ecstatic singularity is very much akin with the Deleuzian plane of immanence construed herein as of existence’s ecstatic singularity immanence/internal-necessity <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; the ontological implication here being that ‘we are as potently transcendental as from our flawed constitutedness’ or ‘we are as potently immanent as of our virtuous conflatedness’. Immanence-function-conflatedness points out that the mental-reflex for objectifying discursivity between prospective relative-ontological-completeness and prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is fundamentally flawed as of constitutedness, as all the objectifying discursivity that is ontologically-veridical is as of the conflatedness of prospective relative-ontological-completeness over prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness construed as immanence-function-conflatedness. Thus metaphoricity of non-positivism mindset ‘supposedly in an objectifying/contending discursivity’ with a positivism mindset registers as of positivism immanence-function-conflatedness reflection of the underlying non-positivism mental-disposition with regards to such issues like existential desublimation manifestations of superstitution, spiritualism, etc. This same conception holds with the deprocrypticism immanence-function-conflatedness overriding the meaningfulness-and-teleology of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset ‘supposedly in an objectifying/contending discursivity’ with the deprocrypticism mindset, as the latter reflects the underlying positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition mindset with regards to existential desublimation manifestations of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In both instances, the issue lies in the lack of a common apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring, with immanence-function-conflatedness implying that all the meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness over the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness; respectively as of positivism and deprocrypticism. If by anticipation we do know immanently that a non-positivism mindset is bound to a non-positivistic-as-existentially-superstitious apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of structural/paradigmatic internal-necessity/determinism insight from positivism immanence-function-conflatedness with the obviousness there is no point implying an ontologically-flawed objectifying/contending discursivity in assessing the non-positivism existentially-superstitious inclination, the same implication will extend to deprocrypticism immanence-function-conflatedness as of structural/paradigmatic internal-necessity/determinism insight with regards to anticipating the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset of our positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition with no pretence of such a positivism–procrypticism ontologically-flawed objectifying/contending discursivity in assessing the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought inclination. In other words, immanence-function-conflatedness is all about reflecting the straightforwardness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in arriving at ontological-veridicality over the human mindset flawed-and-naive predisposition to make of its objectifying/contending discursivity as structurally/paradigmatically deterministic by mere mental-reflex of naively elevating prior relative-ontological-incompleteness meaningfulness-and-teleology as if of prospective relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Immanence-function-conflatedness equally highlights knowledge as of its essential organic construct implications. As a constitutedness predisposition tends to imagine that knowledge is basically a cumulative exercise to an already soundly structured/paradigmed mindset, but nothing could be farther from the truth as knowledge is really an exercise of re-forming-or-reshaping-as-transforming the structuring/paradigming of the mind. In other words, it is rather vague to ‘surreptitiously sneak in supposedly positivism knowledge’ into an unquestioned/unchallenged non-positivism mindset, as at best the outcome will be simply a further complexification of the non-positivism mindset apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as with such a reflection as ‘God of plane’ in a non-positivism animistic social-setup, speaking of non-positivism complexification and not positivism knowledge acquisition. This is effectively what validates the notion of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ as central to the very notion of organic knowledge as it enables prospective transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such a ‘decentering of the human subject’ implies that the false ontological-certitudes of the non-positivism mindset as of its non-positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument are necessarily ironically trampled-upon in the discourse of positivism organic knowledge in a non-positivism social-setup. For instance, walking into the evil forest to retrieve a plant cure with induced curing eliciting psychoanalytic-unshackling with respect to the non-positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as its superstitious value-reference structure is shown to be inadequate given that it is the violation of that non-positivism value-reference that is what carries the potential for its prospective emancipation into-and-as-of-the-implications-of a prospective positivism mindset. Thus organic knowledge as of its transcendental implications cannot imply that the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is an appropriate framework for prospectively implied reference-of-thought knowledge acquisition. Likewise, it is herein contended that similarly a deprocrypticism contortion reifying gesture necessarily questioning our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for the possibility of psychoanalytic-unshackling implications as of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ is the necessary organic knowledge for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. The implication of organic knowledge conception is that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by its


The study of the social as of immanence-function-conflatedness insight grasp that the blurriness,

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and remoteness of cause-and-effect
invoke a more refined conception of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as reflecting existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Such a refinement while cognisant of the pertinence of falsifiability and validation is more in line with the Lakatosian research-programme perspective given the complexity of the social just as many a complex domain in the natural sciences in effect assume the research-programme epistemic model; consider that while the natural sciences are generally more amenable to strong immediate cause-and-effect determination, such complex studies like string theory in physics, medical research, etc. send to assume in effect the research-programme epistemic model. The underlying insight here is that many a complex study purview as well as the study of the social given its poorly constraining immediate cause-and-effect determination, renders knowledge validation more of a ‘construct of comprehensive-coherence and competitive claim to ontological pertinence as of extensive research-programme implications’, but this should however implicitly reflect concurrently the underlying notions of falsifiability-or-deferring-falsifiability and validation-or-deferring-validation. It is herein contended that it is the implicated orientation of many post-structuralists thinking as of the research-programme epistemic model as articulated herein that renders their thought scientifically credible and pertinent as such scholars like Foucault, Derrida, Deleuze, to cite just these few have turn out to be the dominant scholarly-cited authors in the general humanities, and so precisely because of the very thorough existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification in their scholarly output, and paradoxically so over purported scholarly approaches ‘supposedly of a more scientific methodology but when evaluated as of such authorial scholarly comprehensive research-programmes’ turn out to be of weaker existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. This insight equally informs this author’s supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism that it is ultimately as of such comprehensive research-programme epistemic
model as articulated herein and its further existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, as well as existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of the disposition for advancing the metalevel transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-
down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-
notional—deprocrypticism of the ‘structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of
postmodern and other human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-
becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ thought, that the
ontological-pertinence assumes ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
unassailability; and so, not for the mere sake of research-programme extensiveness but as of
its internal constraining to falsifiability-or-deferred-falsifiability and validation-or-deferred-
validation as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as implied by the
articulation of authenticity herein as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme ‘implicitation of ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework’, on the basis that the very first epistemic frontier for ontological-
pertinence lies with the scholarly developed creative insight for existential-contextualising-
contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge. Ultimately, postmodern-thought has been
unassailable to vague scepticism and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity criticism exactly
because of its strong scholarly research-programme existential-contextualising-contiguity
knowledge-reification, and thus an immanence-function-conflatedness insight in the study of
the social as of its inherent complex nature is certainly justified to adhere to a research-
programme epistemic model as herein articulated. In another respect, while intellectualism as
of organic knowledge implications in many ways commands massive social deference and
adherence, it is equally important not to naively assume that at uninstitutionalised-thresholds,
human existential-investment as of its temporality/shortness cannot be predisposed to anti-intellectualism, as this insight is pertinent in the sense that transcendental knowledge is articulated mostly as of its undermining of human temporal existential-investment. The bigger point here being that the possibility of prospective transcendence-and-sUBLIMITY/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity lies in upholding-and-defending authentic intellectualism even as of metaphoricity beyond <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) socially intelligible meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Metaphoricity as such ironises on social intellectual nihilism as it is bent on undermining any temporality/shortness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality solipsistic intemporality/longness parrhesiastic askance, and as of immanence-function-conflatedness ‘highlights and keeps wide-opened the prospect’ for prospective authentic intellectualism by undermining its blending with inauthentic untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality manifestations that usurp and undermine human transcendence-and-sUBLIMITY/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity. Further, while ‘human projected conception of knowledge cumulation’ seems to be ever always ‘perceived absolutely as within an only same institutionalisation reference-of-thought’, with their merits at least for expanding human mastery of its environment at their given level as well as their defects as of undermining the possibility for prospective knowledge, for instance as of the animistic social-setup to perceive its animistic knowledge system as absolute, as of the medieval/non-positivism social-setup to perceive its medieval scholasticism as absolute or as of our
positivism–procrpticism social-setup to perceive our positivism–procrpticism humanistic knowledge system as absolute; it is immanence-function-conflatedness by its implied internal-necessity construct that best reflects the reality of human knowledge cumulation by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology conception, recognising the underlying retrospective and prospective epistemic dynamics behind knowledge as of protracting self-consciousness over the cloistering self-consciousness of falsely absolutising specific registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought. With such immanence-function-conflatedness insight, the epistemic and methodological pretences as of our humanistic positivism–procrpticism are evaluated on their true merits, and such an evaluation reveals that such epistemic and methodological pretences while ‘developed institutional practice’ are just that as-more-or-less-mechanically-institutionalised, and that critically from a deeper perspective the reality is that it is the research-programme as articulated above that underlies human knowledge cumulation, and so as of the competitive evaluation of various epistemic and methodological commitments made in immediacy and their ultimate prospective evaluation as of their research-programmes productive outcomes. The research-programme as such can be reconstrued as the reevaluation of any propounded knowledge and epistemic paradigms as of their ultimate existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge; such that the immediacy of contention of appropriateness of epistemic and methodological approaches is less critical, as ultimately all knowledge constructs and their epistemic and methodological commitments face their long term bottomline reevaluation as to their relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge construed as their research-programmes. This speaks of the fact that such a conception of epistemic commitment as of research-programme is effectively one of epistemic
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism so-implied as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence associated with ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism; and very much overcoming the limiting effect of our present conception of epistemic commitment as rather dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of ontologically-compromised—categorising positivism–procrypticism. Thus, if immanence-function-conflatedness reveals that it is the ‘projected research-programme of any given knowledge construct as of its prospective relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ that is its preeminent epistemic and methodological validation, ‘pretences of pre-given epistemic predispositions’ that do not attend pertinently and similarly to prospective relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification are nothing more but <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag predispositions that pretend to supersede existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, and institutionalised, such <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag predispositions may actually be structurally/paradigmatically stifling for the possibility of prospective knowledge and transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity, and more seriously so where the possibility of varied research-programme choices are difficultly entertainable without institutional backing for research needing major funding and/or resources. Finally, the research-programme epistemic model attends to the social as of the reality of human emotional-involvement by its extensiveness. Consider that many a transformative natural science idea have certainly been ‘supposedly gross conceptualisations’ but with varied social responses as of their given social epoch sensitivities; consider in this regard Copernicus and Galileo heliocentric world argument eliciting social sensitivities then and equally stark
physics ideas at the beginning of the last century with relativity and quantum mechanics hardly eliciting any social sensitivities, rather as of the disarming effect on conventioning simply on the basis of their matter-of-fact cause-and-effect. In many ways the prospect of prospective knowledge very much lies with a shakeup of the social ‘sense of presence’ and this is not contradictory in the sense that if the present was all that great then its very transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity wouldn’t be occurring, and so existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality warrants that transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity occurs as to conflict with the naïve social ‘sense of presence’ as absolute, and so because it is all about the very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ but with contrastive underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. It is quite absurd to think that the possibility of prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity especially, as of our apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument, lies wholly within the ambit of our ‘sense of presence’ agreeableness; as this rather speaks of the framework of our limited certitudes as this limits/stifles the possibility of further profound existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. While today that notion of contrariety has in many ways sanked in and been accepted with natural science knowledge especially so as it hardly elicits social emotional-involvement, the fact of the matter is that the possibility of the profound study and emancipation of the social inevitably comes with a contrariety of our social ‘sense of presence’. Just as the ‘decentering of the subject’ was what brought about the positivistic mindset today that allowed for modern day science to develop and just as well modern day social science, it is inevitable that a further development of human knowledge as
of its organic knowledge construct warrants a further ‘decentering of the human subject’ as implied by deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and justified by the fact that if previous generations had to undergo their psychoanalytic-unshackling for prospective institutionalisation, we can only ever be pushed into the corner of our intellectual nihilism when we seem to pretend that we are beyond the prospect of our transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. Immanence-function-conflatedness analytical implications equally arise as of the ‘countervailing transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing relation induced as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ between ‘existence/existential-possibilities as the selecting transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ and ‘the ever developing human limited-mentation-capacity as of its deepening from relative uninstitutionalised-threshold to relative institutionalisation so-construed as prospective institutionalisation dissemination’, as this transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing is exactly what validates epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness as relevant for the protracted-consciousness of notional~deprocrypticism. Thus for such a notion of research-programme as articulated herein rather than just implying mere epistemic latitude/anarchy, it speaks instead of the construal/justification of epistemic-veracity as of precedence of prospective relative-ontological-completeness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and so as of the structural/paradigmatic implication of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Thus prospective relative-ontological-completeness is inherently bound with its very own epistemic <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative–implications, for explicating-ontological-contiguity as of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ involved in knowledge-reification. This inherently projects a ‘practical picture of human epistemic determination’ of ‘maximal disseminative human epistemic articulations at relative uninstitutionalised-threshold’ and ‘minimum select human epistemic articulations at prospective institutionalisations’, and so as of existence/existential-possibilities as the transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity transversally induced ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework selective epistemic-veracity transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. In this regard and at the general epistemic level of reference-of-thought-devolving, we can appreciate the massively shrunk epistemic-veracity possibilities available for our present positivism credible construal of ontological-veridicality over the epistemic-veracity possibilities previously available for non-positivistic social-setups credible construal of ontological-veridicality as of their full existential cognition of superstition, witchcraft, spiritualism, etc., and their social implications; and this reflects the very fact that ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications, for explicating-ontological-contiguity’ is one associated with increasing thinning out of epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications, for explicating-ontological-contiguity induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Central to such epistemic-veracity thinning out is the very essential process behind increasing ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process which is deferential-formalisation-transference. Besides deferential-formalisation-transference associated epistemic-veracity relevance for institutional construction and institutional rules of critical importance for human organisation like political and legal institutions, such deferential-formalisation-transference associated epistemic-veracity has been inherently of strongest relevance in knowledge domains more easily amenable to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and low emotional involvement like the natural sciences but weakly so inherently in many a social domain-of-study not readily amenable to strong ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and of high emotional involvement, and as such social domains practically tend to get into amalgamation with the extended-informality as of its deficient epistemic impertinence. Prospective notional–deprocrypticism necessarily implies a further epistemic-veracity thinning out as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought associated ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, with the implication that our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold epistemic-veracity is in many ways construed as of epistemic impertinence at its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold and superseded by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism disseminative epistemic-veracity and so as the prospective epistemic-veracity thinning out outcome of existence/existential-possibilities as the transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity determinant selector as of the deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought disseminative
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research-programme coherence and ontological-contiguity. The idea being that the deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity as of such disseminative research-programme coherence and ontological-contiguity equally imply an underlying falsifiability-or-deferred-falsifiability and validation-or-deferred-validation as a constraint to the social domain-of-study meant to render it more thoroughly amenable to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for- explicating-ontological-contiguity capable of reflecting the unassailability of the most transversally profound theorisations and conceptualisations on the basis of their demonstrable operant implications as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Such a deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity implication is pertinent because blurriness and undisambiguation underlies the indecision and relative impertinence in many an instance of social knowledge conception that is not thoroughly subjected to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, such that it is obvious to all that the epistemic-veracity as of existence/existentia-totalisational function of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as developed in the natural sciences tends to be poorly developed in many a domain-of-study of the social. In this regard, we can appreciate for instance in the physics and other natural sciences <amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview–as-domain-of-constructual-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridical, the ‘thin epistemic-veracity line’ arrived at transversally as of concurrent cause-and-effect determinations that allows for developed singular or near-singular comprehensive explanations of phenomena ‘discarding the demonstrably impertinent conceptions’, while in contrast with many a domain-of-study in the social, without necessarily implying this as all-encompassing but still critically and substantively so, such a spearheading towards the ontologically decisive is lost/obliterated in an approach driven by theoretical and conceptual mutuality/equilibrium.
rather than a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffective,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing constraining to the ‘superior party’ that is existence/existential-possibilities, and thus specifically giving room for many an instance of obvious muddlement as well as ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity with a corresponding relative passivity to social issues and problems as if institutional-being-and-craft was an end in itself as structurally/paradigmatically knowledge certifying. Furthermore, while the idea of falsifiability and validation have traditionally been associated with the fundamental research methodologies of experimentation and observation, however the complex nature of social phenomena and even some natural science phenomena has dragged out the epistemic-veracity of the scientific methodology. Such that what increasingly underlies the scientific methodology is more extensive as of the reflection of pertinent phenomenality experimented or stated or demonstrated, by the coherence and implied ontological-contiguity of observations, conceptualisations and predictions, in their conflatedness totalising-entailing/nested-congruence or how these conflate as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness with existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Ultimately, the contrastive epistemic-veracity of theoretical and conceptual articulations rather lies with regards to their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of their critical operant implications and unmuddled conceptions. Furthermore, the deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity implies a further extension of deferential-formalisation-transference as of less predisposition to extended-informality <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-
{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>}. With the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity that the deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought extended-
informality requires an organic-knowledge type of pedagogy based on eliciting an ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality solipsistic sense-of-things, over the usual mechanical-knowledge type of pedagogy which is rather based on eliciting positive-opportunism sense-of-things. This is critical because the deprocrypticism reference-of-thought warrants a more originary/as-of-event mental-disposition ‘beyond just responsiveness to seconndnatured institutionalisation’ but equally the capacity to assume the dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory–dementativenss/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen behind the ‘inventing’ as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning with respect to ‘upholding and defending ontological-veridicality beyond constraining-and/or-secondnatured institutionalisation framework’ as well as actually perpetuating prospective ontologically-veridical sublimation-as-of-deprocrypticism-immanmented-implications, and so as of a fundamental mental-disposition for perpetually preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. With the foregoing immanence-function-conflatedness insight, of most critical importance and decisiveness as structurally/paradigmatically anchoring futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology is the need for a deprocrypticism reconceptualised conception of the human construction-of-the-Self. In this regard, we can appreciate critically that hitherto and as of a natural human predisposition to epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, the
psychology traditions have tended to ad-hocly construe construction-of-the-Self as of a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference, and so over an existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness absolutising epistemic reference, specifically as so-construed from our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension flawed absolutising epistemic reference. The fact that existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality precedes human-subpotency thus questions the veracity of the ontological orientation of traditional psychology/psychoanalysis; wherein ‘the human psychology of absolutising epistemic reference is wrongly conceived as of ontological-normalcy rather than as of epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence’ considering the necessarily decontorting human-subpotency psyche on the constraint of our ontologically-compromised reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence. The implication here is that we cannot have a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference that as of human-subpotency can surpass the ontological-veracity of the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as absolutising epistemic reference as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and so given human-subpotency prior relative-ontological-incompleteness implied flawed prospective ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>. Such a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference for meaningfulness-and-teleology can be construed as of ‘human akrisia-susceptibility-or-akrasiac-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’; as of ‘human-subpotency temporality/shortness flawed absolutising epistemic reference’ as it induces flawed ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as by its
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag it ‘wrongly seem to advantageously
substitute’ for the potent as intemporal absolutising epistemic reference ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-
conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. It is this construction-of-the-Self
human-subpotency deficiency element construed as ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-
akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness
complex’ that raises-the-charge-that-and-reflects-the-notion-that the mental-disposition of
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is structurally/paradigmatically bound to fail the
ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of base-institutionalisation mental-
disposition, that of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation will likewise fail as of
universalisation mental-disposition, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism will
likewise fail as of positivism mental-disposition, and prospectively our positivism–
procrypticism will likewise fail as of deprocrypticism mental-disposition. This element of the
dynamic evolution of the human psyche and the underlying instigative agency, herein
articulated as ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-
Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’, is mostly lost to
traditional psychology that doesn’t register our own positivism–procrypticism prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of an ontological-
ormalcy/postconvergence/referentialism notional–deprocrypticism perspective of analysis
as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. We can perceive
the ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ associated with akrasia-susceptibility-or-
akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/demtening–qualia-schema’ from a prospective positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in this regards, with respect to ‘God of plane’ type of expression in an animistic/base-institutionalisation setup wherein their fundamental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument psychologist is so ingrained that every meaningfulness from a positivistic social-setup cultural diffusion is inevitably reconstrued/devolved in the animistic/base-institutionalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought psychologist of meaningfulness-and-teleology in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with its uninstitutionalised-threshold as a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) whereas such a representation as a nondescript/ignorable void wouldn’t be recognised from the positivism/rational-empiricism perspective as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Likewise, as of prospective insight, the nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) we imply as of our positivism–procrypticism disjoinedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is certainly prospectively contemplatable in futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism reflection of our akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/demtening–qualia-schema’ of positivism–procrypticism disjoinedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought in ‘amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism from the deprocrypticism ontological-contiguity, whereas from our positivism–procrypticism perspective we’ll tend to a ‘resetting of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ of positivism–procrypticism in ontological-disconguity as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. This expansion of the traditional notion of akrasia, as akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is rather as of the perspective of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism amplituding/formative>notional~preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought/notional~deprocrypticism and not as of ontologically-compromised human-subpotency epistemic/notional~projective-perspective; and is articulated more completely to reflect ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology> as of the the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation with respect to prospective relative-ontological-completeness amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in accounting for human differences of ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology>. It is herein contended that such a traditional psychology approach to construction-of-the-Self is constituted as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. Thus the notion of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus fundamentally the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and orientations underlying construction-of-the-Self as of a deprocrypticism conception is rather transformative, in reflecting its protensive-consciousness insight of varied human constructions-of-the-self as of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure with successive registry-worldviews/dimensions human-subpotency reference-of-thought induced recurrently from the instigative <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics). Thus, what critically stands out from traditional psychology as inducing such a novel differentiated and transformative articulation of construction-of-the-Self is the notion of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’. Interestingly, many a traditional take on the notion of akrasia, construed herein as akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex, like the Socratic argument of its non-veridicality strangely enough rather confirms its veridicality, in the sense that such arguments are being made from the perspective of human-sub potency, which is exactly the irrelevant perspective for ontological-veridicality articulation. Consider the idea that a cholera epidemic that was to occur say in 100 B.C. will not stop from occurring because human beings did not know of notions-of-bacteria-as-causing-diseases-and-instead-believed-in-bad-omen-for-not-making-the-right-sacrifices-or-so-so-and-so; as existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will not factor in such a state of ‘human-subpotency in its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’, and adjust to it by stopping such an epidemic. This is exactly why ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology
implies a displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject with its emancipation arising as of its submitting to the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as is falsifiable and can be validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Thus intemporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> ever always warrants human prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for empowering and responsible meaningfullness-and-teleology for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity. Thus akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiat-drag complex further implies that the very state of unwariness with respect to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic disposition is structurally/paradigmatically potently conducive/endemising/enculturating to its vices-and-impediments, and as the very possibility for prospective ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> arises as of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as of its ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. Can we wish that we don’t have understanding whether directly, or indirectly as of reifying deferential-formalisation-transference, so that we aren’t intellectually-and-morally accountable then? How can we reconcile the fact that given human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence the possibility for prospective human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation enabling transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity could only arise as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that had no prior effective knowledge and virtue reference to go on to prospectively ‘invent’ reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning knowledge and virtue before the institutionalising of such reasoning-from-
results/afterthought emancipatory possibilities, and then contend to make any given reasoning-from-results/afterthought knowledge and virtue limits intellectually and morally deterministic as of a nihilistic <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}? In this regard, the anti-nihilist stance implies that the very first notion of human ontological-performance-{including-virtue-as-ontology} as of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence induced anxiety lies in the fact that as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, humankind has the relative capacity to build and/or adhere to prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibilities. It is this insight that validates the ontological-veracity of the conception of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’, and it is inherently so-validated as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as it cogently-and-fluidly as of ecstatic-totalising-entailing/nested-congruence ahistorically-and-aculturally reflects-and-accounts-for the transitioning ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process development of the human species psyche. This insight equally specifically underlies the psychoanalytic
syncrétising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when it recognises that we do fall short of intemporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, but strangely enough hardly has there been articulated any conception about this obviously fundamental structuring/paradigm-ing ontologically-veridical implication of human-subpotency psyche limitation/compensative complex as from the perspective of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality which is exactly what is ontologically pertinent, and so out of our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncrétising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inclination. Thus, human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is rather construed here as of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causealogy-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in the shiftiness-of-the-Self as of living, institutional and Being ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s arising as of human temporality; wherein ‘human-subpotency temporality/shortness flawed absolutising epistemic reference’ as it induces flawed ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> by its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncrétising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ‘wrongly seem to advantageously substitute’ for the potent as intemporal absolutising epistemic reference ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of the existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality perspective. In this regard, traditional psychology fails a theoretical-conceptual-operant accounting for the changing construction-


- the protensive-consciousness nonshiftiness-of-the-Self (by its epistemic preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as to <amplituding/formative> epistemic-growth-

the ‘sense-of-right-orientation with regards to human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-undeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of existentially-becoming-and-developing phronetic/practicality situations as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness (with anamnesis so-construed as ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality mental-disposition’) and not any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. This in many ways explains many a critic misinterpretation of a rift between Socrates and Plato as of their emphasis on anamnesis and the forms/ideas on the one hand and Aristotle on the other hand as of his phronesis/practicality emphasis (on the basis of the specific universalising-idealisation phronetic/practicality situations as to its defining existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness). The fact is that Socrates (and as momentously reflected in his abhorrence of writing as of his focus on the ‘very spirit-of-things in his pedagogy’ over ‘mere reproducing by writing that is not necessarily pedagogically instructive’, and thus not contradictory with Plato’s writing as of recording-for-posterity) and Plato were more engaged with establishing overall philosophical insight beyond just their universalising-idealisation renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation over non-universalising sophistry (even as their association of anamnesis with mythical recollection was caught up in the universalising-idealisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism but by the practical demonstration is relevant in all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of the example articulated as well herein by this author with regards to a child’s solipsistic sense of meaning wherein after grasping the rules of additionality even a deliberately collective social misleading will not derail the child’s true sense of meaning) as they factored that any such renewal is being undertaken phronetically/practically with human limited-mentation-capacity that is not of absolutising conceptualisation, speaking prospectively of destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>, and thus what is more profoundly critical is knowledge-reification as of the transepistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Aristotle as successor to their thought effectively had to move on to more fruitfully and complementarily elaborate phronetically/practically the implications of universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of science, practical-virtue, rationality, etc., rather than just theoretically reiterating his predecessors, and as such phronesis as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is what induces existential-contextualising-contiguity and thus allows prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—(<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transeptismicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation insight for further human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (as to ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied—as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied—
consciousness’, so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides the existentially inherent human-subpotency potential) leading to further superseding/transcendence as of prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. But the fact is there is comprehensive coherence in the philosophical articulations of the three thinkers when construed with this comprehensive philosophical knowledge-reification projection insight. In other words, Socratic anamnesis anticipates the implications of knowledge as virtue in the sense that human knowledge-reification, and so in all domains without exception, is one of a dynamic complementary relationship between dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/tranepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation and phronesis existential-contextualising-contiguity in order to grasp ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications—<as-to-existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates—to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence> as so reflected with prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, Socratic philosophy as of its knowledge is virtue contention recognises that the impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness of any given reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation whether of non-universalising sophistry or even prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation is not sufficient to ‘absolutely capture’ ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications—<as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
projective-perspective,—to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-
to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence>, and that such a
possibility lies in perpetual knowledge-reification disposition as of the-
Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework.
Thus Socratic philosophy as of its very ‘anamnesis core implications’ doesn’t only
supersedes prior non-universalising sophistry with universalising-idealisation but it can
equally be said that it anticipates prospective positivism/rational-empiricism phronesis
existential-contextualising-contiguity as it reconceptualises science, practical-virtue,
rationality, etc. in superseding universalising-idealisation phronesis existential-
contextualising-contiguity at the latter’s destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—
<including-virtue-as-ontology>, as well as anticipate the overall human institutional process
as herein conceptualised as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of
phronesis existential-contextualising-contiguity.
In concrete terms, we can contrastively construe of such akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema’ existential desublimation manifestation of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument contemplation may be construed as smart while it construes of the former as abhorrent, but then not
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
expliacting-ontological-contiguity of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of its
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
expliacting-ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-ontological-completeness of its
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Such a
notional–deprocrypticism articulation herein of akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag
complex as the structural/paradigmatic constraining pervasiveness of any given registry-
worldview/dimension akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex as of its
uninstitutionalised-threshold construes that: as of the-very-same-immanent-
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’, the
affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
validating-measuring.<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of
prospective relative-ontological-completeness like base-institutionalisation with regards to
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as from its
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism perspective, lent to the
akrasiatic judgment of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness like recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation as from its dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-
epistemic-determinism perspective, will be construed as of the latter’s
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
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sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is induced as of the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject in its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and so as of epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity reasoning-through/messianic-reason metaphoricity that exploits the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ which opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity. The reality thus is that prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity from a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective is not actual meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather such is rather acting as a constrained metaphoricity upon a social-setup supposedly coherent ontological-commitment to which the social-setup cannot overtly turn around and wholly assume a contradictory nihilistic disposition; with metaphoricity rather inducing prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology mostly as of prospective cross-generational reasoning-from-results/afterthought. In this regards as of the possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, this author is of the opinion that any intellectual endeavour must precedingly guarantee that it is truly involved in a transparent ontological reification exercise exclusively as of the full existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-confoundedness reflection of its ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence, and so rather than subject to sophistry, as the latter instance will fundamentally undermine and ridicule the underlying intellectual a priori aspiration for reification. In this regards, and as of extensive
contemplation, it is herein contended that in many ways such ontological virginity with regards to intellectual practice today is covertly being undermined at the more fundamental level of social emancipation contemplation, and explains why it has herein been seen as relevant to introduce the notion of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity anticipating of such anti-intellectual dispositions. As of a further indictment, this author is sceptical of ‘covert cohorting initiatives’ that substitute intellectual work for ontological-veracity with ‘politicised intellectualism’ as to which type of theories can be entertained or not, as if there can be knowledge without knowledge! Such cohorting initiatives pretences like those of many supposedly ‘thinking political societies’ since the end of the Cold War have rather had catastrophic consequences on the world all round in terms of the price of wars including with regards to the hegemonising policies these covert initiatives were supposed to instigate. Generally, the idea that such entities and initiatives covertly undermining the sovereignty of democracies, serve any given society, nation or human progressive purposes is rather counterproductive, as in fact this actually disrupts the natural course of sensible human answers to problems and issues and because of their parochial vision end up aggravating and escalating them, furthering a social narrative of double standards. The last frontier one can contemplate of with regards to such a proclivity is when it comes to undermining the intellectual sovereignty as of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Knowledge cannot and should not be forestalled because of any supposed politico-economic penchant. The idea that liberal society can only be upheld by artificial and anti-intellectual undermining of many a critical theory including postmodern-thought as of the vital possibility of human social regeneration, is ridiculous and speaks of intellectual lack of self-assuredness; with such institutional grip subterfuges rendering such inclinations just as objectionable as the former ousted communist regimes. Ultimately, it is up to free
intellectuals to affirm themselves as to what they think society and human intellectual potential can be, beyond the institutional constraints geared to such naïve conventioning-referencing which seem to imply that as of its anti-knowledge posture it will determine the limits of what can be human knowledge. Human history has systematically shown that despite human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor there is an effective mechanism of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation that draws out the best from mankind, and the more critical problem for human emancipation arises as of the contending sophistries that confuse-and-disrupt-as-of-significant-otherness that institutionalisation mechanism in one way or the other, and that’s why at all stages of human history, the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning disposition has more critically focussed rather on calling out the prospective institutionalisation perturbation of such sophistries; especially when these show no qualm in integrating the most ignoramus of \( \langle \text{amplituding/formative} \rangle \text{wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-`} \text{’nondescript/ignorable-void’}-\text{with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}> \) dispositions as of a supposed notion of intellectual advancement. In this regards, this author is very much proud of the theoretical orientation taking herein as of a strictly ontological-veracity inclination as to the reality of the fact that existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\( \langle \text{amplituding/formative} \rangle \text{epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness supersedes human-subpotency, and it is the latter that adjusts to the former. This is exactly what is reflected by ontological-fracturing, wherein the potential for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is structurally/paradigmatically fractured-at-given-ontologically-compromised-thresholds in the} 
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<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the successive given levels in
reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; from
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ontological-fracturing, base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation ontological-fracturing, universalisation–non-positivism-medievalism
ontological-fracturing, positivism–procrypticism ontological-fracturing towards futural
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
deprocrypticism ontological-normaley/postconvergence; as of the implications of the
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of
human-subpotency ontological-performance.<including-virtue-as-ontology>
equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-
coherence/contiguity’ in instigating ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’. Ontological-
fracturing as such is a reflection of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and points out that the way we tend to
conceptualise/construe-of idealisation as reflected in rules, institutional essence, institutional
processes and ideals is ontologically-flawed/wrong as the assumption is one that tends to
imply beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology.<in-existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought> only human intemporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> by mental-reflex, rather than the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of any given idealisation; speaking of the reality that any idealisation construed as of rules, institutional essence, institutional processes and ideals is structurally/paradigmatically bound to be ontological-fractured as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. The implication here is that all projections of idealisation should be anticipatory-and-preemptive of the possibility of their prospective ontological-fracturing, for efficient institutionalisation deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling, ‘in order to be more ontologically pertinent and resilient constructs’, as they are otherwise subject to the temporal denaturing of such idealisations with regards to their more profound transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity implications. In the same vein, we tend as of habit to construe of the fulfilment of human ideals as of the inherent institution and/or inherent individual identitive dispositions, rather than the fact that it is actually brought about by the structural/paradigmatic relations as of projected principles and essences implied intemporally (in cognisance of human temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-within-the-receptable-of-the-individual); and thus that our capacity to fulfil such principles and essences lies with our grasping-and-nurturing-appropriate-intemporal-individuation projection rather than falling back to identitive individual inherence or institutional inherence. As even where it may seem that any given individual or institutional ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> is inherent, the underlying structural/paradigmatic reality is rather guaranteed and accounted for as of the effective grasping-and-nurturing-appropriate-intemporal-individuation projection for ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-
ontology> in that individual or institution rather than just identitive inherence. In the bigger scheme of things, human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation outcome as of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling doesn’t substitute for the epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of the underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory~de-mentativity/epistemic-growth-or-conflectedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness~equalisation individuation disposition that of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning brought about secondnatured institutionalisation. The bigger point here is that there is never going to be an inherent suprasocial or wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) framework that ‘invents’ and accounts for prospective social transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity idealisation, in the way that human idealisation is often wrongly construed and propounded. All the human idealisation that exists is as of effective individuals and institutional intemporal individuation projection for prospective epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of what they as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning idealise as from their underlying baseline registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought and the subsequent secondnatured institutionalisation of its given intemporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>; and so, beyond the naivety of construing a given registry-worldview/dimension reasoning-from-results/afterthought as a suprasocial or wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
absolutising epistemic reference for our prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity rather than as of prospective intemporal individuation transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in our positivism–procrypticism to bring about futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective depprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Consider in this regards for instance that while we generally tend to wrongly imply of a suprasocial absolutising epistemic reference that can structurally/paradigmatically bring about human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity, it is inevitably the case that the examination of any such representation with say for instance the physics <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality since medievalism points that such transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity idealisation necessarily had to pass through the intemporal individuation transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Leibnizes, Poincarés, Rutherfords, Einsteins, Bohrs, etc and the subsequent secondnatured institutionalisation as of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling. There has never been any suprasocial or <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-
and institutional inherent absolutising epistemic reference of intemporality, as of the awareness of the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, that underlies the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of its retrospective, present and prospective possibilities. This doesn’t speak of subjectivity, no more than a doctor’s judgment is necessarily subjective as to the fact of its validation going by the primacy of the ‘superior party’ that is existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supерerogatory–epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality reflected in effective remedy as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over imagined suprasocial or <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-
<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)
opinionatedness, but rather that human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supерerogatory–de-mentativity idealisation is more operantly and effectively as of solipsistic occurrence as from intemporal individuations dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supерerogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation epistemic internalisation for intemporal ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>. The secondnatured institutionalisation as reflected as of suprasocial or <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-
<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) abstract integration/assimilation of such resultant intemporal ontological-performance-<including-
corresponding secondnatured institutionalisation of intemporal ontological-performance\textless{}including-virtue-as-ontology\textgreater{}, then all the critical human intemporal meaningfulness-and-teleology for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory\textendash{}dementativity that-exists-and-can-prospectively-exist-respectively effectively arises-and-lies in the ‘induced metaphoricity of such prospective intemporal individuation transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing--as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning and corresponding secondnatured institutionalisation of intemporal ontological-performance\textless{}including-virtue-as-ontology\textgreater’.

Just as demonstrated above with the physics \textless{}amplituding/formative\textgreater{}epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality, in the instance philosophy reflecting the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,\textendash{}as-to-

‘human\textless{}amplituding/formative\textgreater{}epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ we can as well appreciate, going by the \textless{}amplituding/formative\textgreater{}epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative\textendash{}implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism over identitve-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, that there was no suprasocial or \textless{}amplituding/formative\textgreater{}wooden-language\{imbued—averaging-of-thought\<{}as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’\<{}with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\}> absolutilising epistemic reference for the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory\textendash{}de-mentativity idealisation of say Plato’s idea concept nor say Descartes’s cogito concept but in both cases for their operant
contention-or-confliction interests drift within-and-across social-setups whether with regards to basic trading, curiosity, social competition and generally as of a predisposition to achieve optimum existential possibilities, implies that any such registry-worldview/dimension social-setup has basic structuring/paradigming supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for its effective functioning which lays it prospectively exposed to metaphoricity as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as from prospective existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional~projective-perspective; as such a registry-worldview/dimension would difficulty renege, as of contradictory and incoherent implications, on such critical prospective ontological-veracity implications of such prospective relative-ontological-completeness of meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is this element that equally ultimately renders the study of the social, notwithstanding its strong underlying <amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/aksasiatic-drag, as of potentially the same ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> possibility as with the natural sciences. That is the apparent conventioning-referencing of the social as of an immediacy perspective naively implies the social is of a poor supposedly coherent ontological-commitment but from a more profound level of appreciation this not the case as explained above, as in effect a society/social-setup conventioning projects correspondingly a profound supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of its ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ which is then enabling for the critical metaphoricity of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-veracity implications of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. In other words, as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-

We can appreciate both with regards to the social fabric as well as the natural sciences this common basis of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment from a long-term perspective, in the sense that technical and scientific progress associated with the industrial revolution
‘could hardly be socially reneged’ not only in Western Europe but with respect to its diffusion throughout the world, and so because the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment of human societies conventioning as of their ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ render themselves exposed to the transcendance-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness as projected by the industrial revolution underlying technical and scientific knowledge manifesting as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness selection/skewing of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and so because these project beyond subjectivity-of-truth-as-of-human-subpotency as implied by the universal objectivity as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness of the underlying sciences and their applications. It is this insight as of ‘existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness selection/skewing of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ that animates the elucidation of metaphoricity herein as of ontology-driven ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>‘, more than just a notion of mere subjective human-subpotency epistemic/notional–projective-perspective narratives; and so, as underlined by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
This ontology-driven assessment of intemporality/longness metaphoricity perspective rejects the often wrongly made critique of relative-for-the-mere-sake-of-relative-disparateness by atomising/taking-to-pieces identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism critiques when misrepresenting the ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/‘constatations’ as of ecstatic-totalising-entailing/nested-congruence of postmodern thinkers. Rather as construed herein, relative truth speaks to human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of the \textit{amplituding/formative} epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and so-construed as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism perspective. In other words, it is herein contended that the implied notion of relative truth expressed by postmodern-thought is not a rejection of truth as they are wrongly accused, but that truth deepens relatively with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\textit{amplituding/formative} epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation); and this notion of relative truth is reflected in their works/research-programmes that undermine our \textit{amplituding/formative} epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism perspective. Further, the implication as well is that the adjudicator as to transcendental-
signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity with regards to truth as it enables transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity then is existence-potency-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-amplituding-formative-epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-in-supererogatory-epistemic-confalatedness as of its ontological-primestmovers-totalitative-framework-amplituding-formative-epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity selecting/skewing for ontological-pertinence within the underlying human metaphoricity scheme of ‘intemrpal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-confalatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism-amplituding-formative-epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’, and not just mere human subjectivity. Even though in the short-term/immediacy perspective the specific metaphoricity of say a scientific and liberal worldview narrative as implied with the industrial revolution may actually be in the most part ignored/overlooked in a pre-industrial society from a merely meaningfulness-and-teleology transmission/spreading perspective, the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ exposes it to the metaphoricity of the scientific and liberal worldview narrative; wherein for instance such pre-industrial societies were constrained politically and as of national vision, economically and culturally to the effect of progressing industrialisation as it induced the requisite knowledge, skills, beliefs, lifestyle, organisations, etc. changes undermining systematically prior paradigms of societies. Such an overall prospective institutionalisation metaphoricity constraining is very much unlike what we may
naively imagine the prior human meaningfulness-and-teleology to be from an after the fact analysis; since such a process is much more critically more than just ‘mere transmission/spreading of scientific and liberal meaningfulness-and-teleology for say a suprasocial or <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} human mindset processing’, but critically was an epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity process that was in many ways beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> unlike our subsequent reasoning-from-results/afterthought contemplation afterwards ‘wrongly implying a metaphoricity as of a self-consciously instigated prior suprasocial or <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-<imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} comprehensive sense of prospective metaphoricity’. This points to a more comprehensive reality of human epistemic-veracity arising as of our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence with regards to the fact that while of immediate epistemic strive for knowledge we are naturally predisposed to immediate validation-and-falsifiability implications as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, in the long run our sense of epistemic-veracity is rather more aptly refined as of our overall existential knowledge insight as reflected with say the research-programme knowledge implications, and ultimately we come to realise that even then epistemic-veracity is in many ways more profoundly as of a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> ricochetting that speaks of the structural/paradigmatic reality of a human epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness appraisal. The reason for making this point is equally to undermine any overrating of human comprehensive contemplation of any such implied suprasocial or <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) presencing—absolutising-identititive-constitutedness mindset not dispensing-with-immediacy-for-prospective-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension, and so in order to effectively put in perspective the deficiency of epistemic-veracity so-inherent when it comes to prospective metaphoricity implications of operant prospective intemporal individuation transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguating-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. We can appreciate as well in the bigger scheme of things the ontological-veridicality of this scepticism with regards to any such suprasocial or <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) epistemic-veracity pretence, as expressed before with respect to Plato’s idea universalisation involving the undermining of the suprasocial epistemic-veracity pretence associated with sophistry or Descartes’ cogito implications of positivism/rational-empiricism involving the undermining of the suprasocial epistemic-veracity pretence of scholasticism pedantry. Just as we can appreciate that in ‘the very same physics <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought, the
epistemic-veracity as implied in succession from Copernicus, Galileo, Descartes, Newton, Leibniz, Faraday, Rutherford, Poincaré, Einstein, Bohr up to our very present 21st century physics is mostly as of ricocheting prospective non-presencing-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. In a certain way this is obvious, when we appreciate that having the right epistemic-veracity should provide the direct possibility for constructing its structural/paradigmatic meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge, such that the fact that a domain-of-study prospective knowledge possibility is thresholding/has-attained-its-limits somewhere is ever always directly related to the fact that its epistemic-veracity has equally thresholded/attained-its-limits, with the possibility of prospective breakthrough arising as of shifting epistemic-veracity; such that we can appreciate that the history of physics or any domain-of-study can be construed as the history of its developing epistemic-veracity in succession as ultimately constrained to ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework validation-and-falsifiability. Naivety will be the pretence of constraining the possibility for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge on a vague notion of any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic-veracity that at the very least doesn’t rise to projectively contemplate and appraise of such prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge prospectively implicated epistemic-veracity of research-programme and validation-and-falsifiability. Thus metaphoricity as such is a notion that is beyond just simplistic transmission/spreading of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge, even though this can be relevant as of a shared prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology as say the commonality of such metaphoricity inclined re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking~projective-insights'/epistemic-projection-in-
conflatedness’-of-notional-deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) thinkers sharing a common emancipatory metaphoricity mathesis/motif-thrownness-disposition like Socrates, Plato, Aristotle and their schools with their universalisation projection or the Descartes, Galileos, Copernicuses, Newton, etc. with budding positivism/rational-empiricism. But rather beyond such shared prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology that is instigative, metaphoricity is critically about the prospective ricochetting structuring/paradigming implications for inducing such prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology implications on the fabric of the social as an epistemic-totality framework beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, as the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment of ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of the social-setup exposes it to such an epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity metaphoricity. This is so because in the long run transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of temporal-to-intemporal–ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s-of-narratives is rather as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework selecting/skewing-towards intemporality/ontological-veracity as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism. It is important thus to grasp that a social-setup value construct lies somewhere between the possibility of its conventioning-referencing and its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, when it comes to assessing the possibility of prospective
meaningfulness-and-teleology inducing of metaphoricity. It is not necessarily the case that a society that doesn’t or poorly appreciate the implication of science will value as of immediacy prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology like the cultivation of science over its conventioning-referencing as a cultural inclination or metaphysical predisposition or a creed; as we can appreciate the contrasting disposition towards the cultivation of science as in Europe and the Arabic world during the medieval period, or even disparity in ontological progressiveness within the very same societies at various epochs. Thus the assumption that any given society or period is absolutely turned/committed to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology including our modern period, is a flawed appraisal; as in many ways, beyond our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perception, a closer look at institutional functioning easily points out the pre-eminence of spurious institutional-being-and-craft muddlement highlighting an uninstitutionalised-threshold as of the privileging of conventioning-referencing over purely prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and in many ways this explains at the more socially visible spectrum that is politics, the perceived political impotence today. This insight is critical for appreciating the implication of the conception of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism metaphoricity in our positivism–procrypticism; as its brings to the self-consciousness the reality that the implication of such a deprocrypticism articulation is bordering on the limits/thresholds of our
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so-reflected socially as of the uninstitutionalised-threshold. The underlying insight about such ontological-veracity destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> is that the state of human-subpotency is one where overall its capacity to reflect existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-suprerogatory~epistemic-conflicatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialialism is inherently limited such that human meaningfulness-and-teleology construal ever always varies as of ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s’, ‘<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} narratives ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s’, ‘suprasocial narratives ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s’ and ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’, with the latter as critically bound to fulfil ontological-veracity as of its direct and utter subjection to the superior party that is existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-suprerogatory~epistemic-conflicatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and then its deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling implications, while it can be appreciated that the preceding three dispositions as of their <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-
as to existence-potency, disclosed from prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness of intemporal ‘ontologically-hegemonising-
narrative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’, going by the fact that the
supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-
assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ opens it
up to the prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity of ‘ontologically-
hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’. The
reality of a regular and stable dynamic of human temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s-of-narratives across the institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recomposure, critically and naturally makes of anthropology more of
a universally and operantly principled construction of human existence reification as of
anthropopsychology, beyond more or less a traditional orientation categorising epistemic
disposition with regards to human cultural life, the social and practices of specific societies,
with respect to the coherence of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
determinacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative—implications, for explicating-ontological-contiguity as of the
structural/paradigmatic <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative—implications, for explicating-ontological-contiguity of ‘human akrasia-
susceptibility—or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-
fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’; as reflected as of singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-
onimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Basically, the possibility in reflecting the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process arises as of human
generation of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordionning—<as-of-varying-
individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,—as-to-the-
redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>›› ontological-performance—
<including-virtue-as-ontology>s-including-virtue-as-ontology of narratives’ as of the specific
destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-
decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> of ‘human
akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-
fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’. It is ultimately ‘ontologically-
hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ that is
implicit with respect to the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of
a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-
confliction’ opening it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity, such that
sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in reflecting
the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can effectively be
construed as of the dynamism of the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-
performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>’, as it supersedes temporal–ontological-
performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>s-of-narratives as of its constraining to
existence-potency,—disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—
in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness as of ontological-primumvorders-totalitative-
framework over human-subpotency, and so with respect to human construal of existence and
purviews of existence. We can appreciate in this regards the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-
narrative ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ drive in generally
overcoming human egregious superstitious beliefs towards our positivism and science
orientation today as well as ‘relatively free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary knowledge constructs’ about purviews-of-existence which are today articulated in institutionalised frameworks as of subject-matter narratives like physics, law, biology, etc. oelagating social opinionatedness and substituting social deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling for ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’.

cumulation/institutional-recomposure in succession of mainly the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness, while all ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives
ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s’,
‘<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
narratives ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s’ and ‘suprasocial
narratives ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s’ as of human sub-
potency constraining were discarded. The implication here is that prospective relative-
ontological-completeness will necessarily imply a discarding of our present positivism–
procrypticism ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performance-<including-
virtue-as-ontology>s’, ‘<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-
thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
narratives ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s’ and ‘suprasocial
narratives ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s’ as of human-
subpotency, for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-
of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocrypticism ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness involving
synergetising/circularity/interiorising as the mechanism of prospective positivism institutionalisation rather than engaging in defective non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-synergetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Besides and overlaid on this underlying human-subpotency background deficiency as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, is the reality that human meaningfulness-and-teleology fundamentally develops out of the constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality and destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> nature of the social-construct (as significant otherness to the individual), and as this social-construct conventioning-referencing is thereof reflected in its relationship with inherent ontological-veracity as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that goes into building the individual capacity to uphold ontological-veracity when the social-construct as its significant otherness is constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge while by the same token can undermine the individual capacity to uphold ontological-veracity when the social-construct as significant otherness is as of destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge; as social-construct settings are fundamentally the background of significant otherness for their inherent generalised purposefulness and their enlivening of the possibility for individual human purposefulness as well, such that
beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> the notion of ontological-veracity is not necessarily of absolute pertinence to the individual as of pure-ontology implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation where individual possible construal of ontological-veracity is subject to its perception/engagement/endearment of specific and/or underpinning--suprasocial-construct settings significant otherness destructuring-threshold<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating--desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance--<including-virtue-as-ontology> implications of its possible constructive/institutionalising/nascent--sublimating-decisionality construal of ontological-veracity. This destructuring-threshold<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating--desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance--<including-virtue-as-ontology> effect of social-construct settings with regards to individual possible constructive/institutionalising/nascent--sublimating-decisionality construal of ontological-veracity is validated by the idea that even the most assured critique in the ontological-veracity of their ideas when this elicits the uninstitutionalised-threshold cannot just articulate them as if the social-construct is ‘purely/absolutely receptive-as-constructive/institutionalising/nascent--sublimating-decisionality to ontological-veracity’ but need to implicitly recognise the social-construct predisposition to destructure such meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its conventioning-referencing for social-functioning-and-accordance at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so in order by its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension to strategically articulate such meaningfulness-and-teleology going by the possibility of the social-construct as of its potential constructive/institutionalising/nascent--sublimating-decisionality significant otherness to tolerate it in the immediacy, even as the social-construct is rather predisposed in the immediacy to destructure at this uninstitutionalised-threshold as of its registry-
institutional frameworks is more susceptible to spurious and specific temporal-ontological-performance-\(<\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}>\)s-of-narratives unlike the strictly formalised institutional frameworks tending to totalisingly-entailing/ontologising/institutionalising of narratives. It is this possibility of narratives recombination as of formative and enculturating implications as well as the criss-crossing of formal and informal spheres/settings differing temporal-to-intemporal value-references that renders even totalisingly-entailing/ontologising/institutionalising narratives susceptible to recombination with temporal-ontological-performance-\(<\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}>\)s-of-narratives, thus leading to their possible ontological denaturing as of uninstitutionalised-threshold implications. Ultimately, it is herein contended that conceptualising ontological-veracity reflecting existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\)epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness as to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism as this underlies retrospective, present to prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology rather boils down to grasping prospective relative-ontological-completeness \(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\)epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of notional~deprocrypticism. Effectively prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology, as articulated from ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-\(<\text{including-virtue-as-ontology}>\)’ reflecting existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\)epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness as to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism perspective, can be construed as: prospective relative-ontological-completeness re-structuring/re-paradigming in superseding/undermining/deflating the ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness perception of
prospective relative-ontological-completeness structuring/paradigming’; wherein the former’s apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its re-structuring/re-paradigming substitutes for the latter’s apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument structuring/paradigming, and so as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<$\text{amplituding}$/formative>$epistemic-
totalising~purview-of-construal’. This knowledge notion, construed as organic-knowledge, involving articulating prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its structuring/paradigming
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument substituting of prior meaningfulness-and-teleology structuring/paradigming apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument can be referred to as supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with regards to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—($<$amplituding$/formative>$epistemic-
totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) as of prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure; speaking of the recurrent edging towards completion of ontological-performance-$<$including-virtue-as-ontology$>$ as of intemporal ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance-$<$including-virtue-as-ontology$>$ equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of
existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’, which by that token as of the reference-of-thought-level induces the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-contiguity from notional–deprocrypticism. In other words, ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as organic-knowledge is more critically overtly walking into the evil forest and finding a root or leaf cure as emancipatory to such animistic social-setup beyond just the immediate remedy as mechanic knowledge but more profoundly as of the prospective worldview possibility of undermining the flawed ontological implications of the animistic social-setup mythology in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with the latter so-construed as its ‘identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, rather than surreptitiously sneaking around and getting the root or leaf cure from the evil forest as remedy but then failing as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibility for superseding/undermining/deflating-the-evil-forest-notion to enable the animistic social-setup to put into question and supersede the existential implications of its prior presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness structuring/paradigming apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for prospective non-presencing–<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> re-structuring/re-paradigming apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with the latter so-construed as of ‘difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’; in both cases, as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to-‘human<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ but with
of-construal-as-existence: wherein base-institutionalisation rulemaking edgily/incisively reconstrues existence as of rulemaking over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation construal of existence as of non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism; universalisation edgily/incisively reconstrues existence as of universalisation-directed-rulemaking over base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation construal of existence as of rulemaking; positivism/rational-empiricism edgily/incisively reconstrues existence as of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking over universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism construal of existence as of universalisation-directed-rulemaking; and prospectively, deprocrypticism edgily/incisively reconstrues existence as of preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism over positivism—procrypticism construal of existence as of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking. We thus appreciate that such reconstrual of existence is as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness implying the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism> an altogether prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and not incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness which will wrongly imply the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism> of the priorly superseded
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument instead of its
unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating.logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism>.
Supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as-of-
contrastive-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-and-postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking-differentiation reflection of historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing highlights ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-
.akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness
complex’ as of temporal-to-intemporal–ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-
ontology>s-of-narratives as so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level
difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
determinism reflected as the differing temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>s in the historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
aesthetic-tracing’ at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold, thus articulating the social
epistemic-totality possibility of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordion-<as-of-
varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation, as-to-the-
redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>> ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>s-including-virtue-as-ontology of narratives’. ‘Ontologically-
hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ as
intemporal/ontological is thus effectively as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-
of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–
epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
discourse narrative in reflecting the need to undermine human destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> to further advance its
constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality nature, thus overcoming
underlying logocentrism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness implications;
reflecting the fact that human knowledge is more completely a two-fold process involving
building the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thus the
knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness
as of projected conflatedness. This is very much unlike the Ricoeurian narrative theory
conception that while of palliative and practical significance is in relative constitutedness
since it poorly deals with logocentrism implications as of prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness on ontological-veracity; as it construes of ‘logocentric habituated social
conditions’ as inherently ontological or beyond ontological treatment while failing to
countenance the ‘decentering heavy lifting’ involved in undermining ontologically
impertinent ‘logocentric habituated social conditions’ in enabling the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology right up to our present, and as of prospective transformative
emancipatory possibilities. In the bigger scheme of things, the social-construct as significant
otherness is ever always inherently put into question itself given its
constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality and destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> nature speaking of its reasoning-from-
results/afterthought, with regards to its capacity-and-disposition to uphold prospective
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity ontological-
veracity/ontological-veridicality; as so implied in the epistemic- ricochetting/transepistemicity unorthodoxy herein expounding futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism, just as with the unorthodoxy of postmodern-thought or generally the unorthodoxy of all prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity meaningfulness-and-teleology whether with regards to the Socrates/Plato/Aristotle, Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Darwins, Rousseaus, Nietzsches, Einsteins, etc. as reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. This basic idea of the social-construct as of its constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality and destructuring-threshold<-uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> nature is effectively what underlies in ontologically neutral/objective terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct such displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject narratives like Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative. However, the capacity to appreciate the ontological neutrality/objectivity of a centering narrative like deconstruction as being fully more of a purely ontological notion is caught up in our positivism–procripticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness human social-stake-contention-or-confliction in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and thus deconstruction will tend to be deficiently construed in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the circumstantial social primacy of this temporal framework social-stake-contention-or-confliction over its fuller pure-ontology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocripticism; explaining in many ways the difficulty for Derrida to define deconstruction. Again, such a social situation is no more different with say the articulation of budding positivism/rational-empiricism science in say a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup as caught up in the universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness temporal framework of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, such that the more ontologically pure idea we may appreciate today as science is poorly disentangled from that circumstantial social primacy of the non-positivism/medievalism social-stake-contention-or-confliction like the entrenched interests that will rather focus mindsets rather in a nominal adversarial binarity perspective as of defending or attacking the traditional scholasticism pedantic literature over a more pure, nuanced and enlightening ontology contemplation of science as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness positivism, as a result of the failure of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-'notionally-collateralising/protohumanity'-to-'attain-sublimating-humanity'-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)); which will explain in many ways the difficulty of the Copernicus, Galileos, Descartes’, Diderots, etc. so effectively enculturate their budding positivism. With respect to deconstruction in this regard, it is herein contended that such a Derridean deconstruction notion like binary opposition effectively speaks of the fact that it is encrusted/caught-up in our positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness human social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought but that a more fuller pure-ontology appreciation of the deconstruction notion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism rather subsumes all such binary opposition conceptions basically into the binarity of intemporality/longness
and temporality/shortness as to human limited-mentation-capacity relative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>. It is effectively from this fuller pure-ontology perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism that we can appreciate more profoundly the universal ontological epistemic pertinence of decentering narratives like deconstruction, and so pervasively well beyond the stereotypical grand themes of gender, race, postcolonialism, power, etc. but rather just as of an all-pervasive universal ontological profundity for analysing everything as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism herein construed as human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation; with the implied knowledge emancipation rather construed as of mutual human emancipation beyond just the idea of a decentering narrative being about stronger and weaker but transcending that framework of contemplation in projecting of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/otherliness as of a converging vision of emancipation as conjoint human emancipation, as the reality of the supposedly unemancipated speaks of the ontological emancipative deficiency of the supposedly emancipated in need of the latter’s state very own deconstructing. Such a mutual-emancipation appreciation of deconstruction will appreciate for instance that the civil war ending slavery in the U.S. was both as emancipative to its practitioners as well as to the freed beyond just the overall social adversariality practical implications, just as in decolonising terms it will appreciate that the more matured as mutually-emancipative notion of decolonisation involved both the capacity of colonised territories to attain and choose independence in mutual cooperation and even in other cases with such territories choosing to follow a mutually respectful and healthy relationship with the metropolitan country which in a few cases turn out to be more beneficial to both. In this regards, we can appreciate that the human predisposition not to dispense-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension as of a nominal adversarial binarity predisposition in
many ways renders such an ontologically more profound construct of deconstruction difficult. In this very contrastive sense with regards to our present prospective relative-ontological-completeness positivism/rational-empiricism, we don’t ideally construe of science as of its pure-ontology as discriminatorily selective in its conclusions and we further appreciate that its usefulness is universally emancipatory as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and so in both instances with regards to say medicine or civil technology or consumer technology or even scientific and technological nomenclatures; with any such discriminatorily selective predisposition and failure to share its usefulness being an indictment of a lack of the requisite liberalism for perpetuating human scientific progress and basically overall human emancipation. Ultimately, the social-construct as of its constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality and destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating−desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> nature inherently points out why human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory−de-mentativity as of intemporal metaphoricity epistemic pertinence doesn’t lie with any inherent suprasocial framework or inherent <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} framework. The fact is that the inherent human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor renders such <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} framework or suprasocial framework epistemic pertinence for prospective transcendence-
and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity untenable, as susceptible to prospective dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Such epistemic pertinence for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is rather structured/paradigmed dynamically as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity possibility exploiting the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ which opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity. It is by this token that the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness can as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation induce transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity thus constraining the positive opportunism for prospective human secondnatured institutionalisation as of cross-generational deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling. The insight here is that the epistemic possibility for human prospective aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as reflected in all prior transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is more decisively about such intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning exploiting of the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-
authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, rather than a naïve reliance on \(<\text{amplituding/formative}}\text{wooden-language-}(\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>) \text{or}

suprasocial epistemic relevance which is actually the outcome as reasoning-from-results/afterthought of secondnatured institutionalisation poorly inclined to such requisite prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. Human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is rather reflected operantly and pertinently as of human ‘ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition’ so-construed from existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\(<\text{amplituding/formative}}\text{epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-veracity perspective and so over our human-subpotency epistemic/notional~projective-perspective which is rather in an ontologically-flawed \(<\text{amplituding/formative}}\text{epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. (It should be noted here thus that going by the entire projection of this work rather towards futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion~as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as of the notional~deprocrypticism framework as implied by existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\(<\text{amplituding/formative}}\text{epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional~projective-perspective as a more re-originary~as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking~‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional~deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) reformulation as of the
displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity with regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and living-development—as-to-personality-development implied as of deprocripticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought this author has rather thought it pertinent herein to use the term ‘akrasia’ differently from the more traditionally restricted personal development implications of the Greek interpretation as of a universalising-idealisation self-consciousness but very much along the lines of Socratic unification of knowledge and virtue, with a deliberate adherence to the derivation ‘akrasiatic’ rather than the traditional derivations ‘acratic’ or ‘akratic’ to mark such a break, and further the term ‘antiakrasiatic’ also along the same lines is further meant to emphasise the underlying idea that akrasia is a ‘notion of lack’ which ‘anti disposition’ as of relative-ontological-completeness is then about superseding the lack, and such relative-ontological-incompleteness is superseded rather as of supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) that goes well beyond a ‘golden mean’/moderation/temperance, etc. behaviour interpretation as implied with ‘enkrateia’ which, as explained and further elaborated elsewhere herein, doesn’t has an ontological-basis
as it is rather an impromptu articulation of a sense of desirability but fundamentally lacks the
Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
reference of ontological-contiguity but for naively and wrongly implying good-natured
qualities as being ontological; and such ‘antiakrasiatic disposition’ is more critically reflected
as of underlying human ‘intemoporal-as-ontologically-teridal/ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning parrhesiastic seeding-promise of prospective meaningfulness-
and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-
performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ with the ‘akrasiatic disposition’ construed as of
‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reasoning-from-
results/afterthought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising of prospective meaningfulness-and-
teleology as covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence–antiakrasiatic-aspiration-
ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>’.

This existence-potency—
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-
conflatedness ontological-veracity perspective reflects the fact that as of our human-
subpotency, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought> we-fail-to-factor-in/we-are-oblivious-to our human limited-mentation-
capacity implications as of our ontologically-compromised
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence, so-reflected with the
successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought-level reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, to then proceed in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> as of our existential-instantiations and so defectively as if we have no limited-mentation-capacity and no ontologically-uncompromised <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence; and this with respect to our articulated–or–acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, such that inherently our ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> is ever always constrained as of constructive and destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of meaningfulness-and-teleology. The destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of human articulated–or–acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, and as structurally/paradigmatically reflected at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, speaks of a threshold at which as of our human-subpotency we fail to assume the intellectual-and-moral responsibility arising as of ontological-veridicality so-reflected as from the full sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness ontological-veracity perspective insight of affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>. This is the overall notion explaining human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex,
and so as of human limited-mentation-capacity notional implications. Thereafter, understanding of this human ‘ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition’ is all about conceptualising the effective operant ontologically-constraining conditions as of human existential-instantiations given our limited-mentation-capacity implied as of temporality/shortness and intemporality/longness implications, and so construed epistemically as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence analysis. Insightfully, we can appreciate that the absolute human ontologically-veridical antiakrasiatic disposition can only be as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<br/>amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness will rather speak of prospective ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ which as of its inherent constructive ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> is of a structural/paradigmatic implication that ultimately supersedes the destructuring-threshold-
<br/>uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> notionally underlying human-subpotency. Thus all the problem of human ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition boils down to
construing the underlying human mental-processing disposition, construed as of phenomenal-abstractiveness implications, as from human-subpotency dispositional possibilities of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s to existence-potency, disclosed from prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-confalatedness possibility of ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>. In this respect, we can appreciate that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process are effectively differing structural/paradigmatic antiakrasiac dispositions-as-of-self-consciousness varying from most ontologically-flawed as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to most ontologically-veridical as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism. We can further appreciate that all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are marked at their reference-of-thought-devolving-level by temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s speaking of differing ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s including-virtue-as-ontology of intemporal and disambiguated temporal ontologically-flawed antiakrasiac-disposition as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation reflecting <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). This analysis so far sums up the overall framework of human temporal-to-intemporal ontologically-flawed antiakrasiac disposition as of the social epistemic-totality of
destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-
decisionality>--of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> in ‘a
metaphorising vacillating-conception’ of the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-
teleology as can be fully reflected from existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness epistemic
perspective in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. This thus points out that human-
subpotency ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ supposedly of universal-transparency-{transparency-of-
totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness} is mainly and rather the overtly presumed social posture of
articulated–or–acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>, and that human-subpotency implications of human limited-
mentation-capacity induces covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence–antiakrasiatic-
aspiration-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> construed as
destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity; as implying in effect a
destructuring-by-flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument thus denaturing
the true ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ from the ontologically-veridical existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-
conflatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective reflecting social-construct
constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, so that it is a
in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness constructiveness perspective”) as a nondescript/ignorable void that actually speaks of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives, and goes on to systematically ‘contend recurrently’ on the basis of its ontologically-flawed destructuring apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Consider the case of the destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> with a ‘God of plane’ proposition in say an animistic social-setup (reflecting the underlying ‘animistic superstitious <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-disposition’ and not any such notion as propositional attitude because human meaningfulness-and-teleology is <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating as of its given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument thus construed in notional–conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations and as its ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-disposition’ can then be reflected in an infinite number of propositions by that notional–conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations as so-construed in such approaches as Derridean deconstruction and Foucauldian discourse analysis, as such a reification is all about elucidating the ontological-veracity/ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of human-subpotency epistemic/notional–projective-perspective meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated within any given registry-worldview/dimension social-setup going by its supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as so-reflecting by its self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction exposing it to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-
epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness
epistemic/notional~projective-perspective of ontological-priemovers-totalitative-framework
as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative~implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’,
whereas the notion of propositional attitude is rather as of constitutedness and not in
conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations as failing to reflect the given
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument devolving
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating~narrative-
disposition’, and seem to imply that propositions themselves have their attitude rather than
the fact that the true ontological-depth lies with the underlying
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating~narrative-
disposition’ in notional~conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations which is
thus reflected in the devolving specific propositions
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring, wherein for instance as of a
totalising-entailing insight one or a few propositions in a series of propositions uttered may
actually decisively imply a ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating~narrative-disposition’ of temporal-as-ontologically-
flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology or intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical
meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to revealing the series of propositions implied
phenomenal-abstractiveness as of ontologically-flawed destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-
deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as when respectively projecting a destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating~desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
misconstrued proposition of non-positivism/superstitious aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as ‘God of plane’, a further proposition as of positivism aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring like ‘wings generate lift’ will just as well elicit a further proposition of non-positivism/superstitious aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring ‘along the lines of a superstitious effect from the wings’; with the positivism relative-ontological-completeness perspective rather reflecting the non-positivism/superstitious relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective as of a ‘\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema}’ while the latter perspective wrongly holds on to an ontologically-flawed ‘\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema}’. This is the fundamental conception underlying the notion of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as implying an underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument structural/paradigmatic misconstruing for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology, thus disambiguating/differentiating prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of ‘\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema}’ and the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of ‘\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema}’. This is equally what very much underlies from a prospective relative-ontological-completeness constructiveness perspective of deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought the social manifestation of a phenomenon like psychopathy and social
destructuring perspective rather reflecting wrongly as of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’. This insight can further be extended to explain the lingering pervasiveness of notions-and-accusation-of-sorcery in non-positivistic social-setups. In all these cases as explained further below as of the ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ of phenomenal-abstractiveness given its persistently pervasive reshuffling thoughtfulness as from human abstractiveness, the underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of any given registry-worldview/dimension as of its ‘equivalence/correspondence antikrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ is limited due to human limited-mentation-capacity with regards to the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that establishes prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, such that this reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument thus necessarily has a structural/paradigmatic prospective destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument denaturing and achieves existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
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with existence-potency-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflicatedness effectively reflected herein as of the varied depth
as from <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-random-as-impulsive,
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-nominal-as-tendentious,
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-ordinal-as-qualifying, interval-as-categorising
and <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism;
with <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism
is what exactly enables human-subpotency to be able to supersede destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> by the underlying specific existential-as-ontological disambiguating/differentiating disposition. We can thus contemplate of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism
phenomenal-abstractiveness as the human mental-processing capacity that is inclined to ever always expand the frontiers of human knowledge as ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative
phenomenal-abstractiveness disambiguation/differentiation. It is the phenomenal-abstractiveness existential reshuffling thoughtfulness as of its expansion of human knowledge frontier as ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ by its disambiguative/differentiative undermining of destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as it enables ‘ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’ that instigates the knowledge mechanism as it subsequently and summarily parcels out as of a depth-of-mental-processing-reflexes-contiguity into the more fully operant meaningfulness-and-teleology of lesser-and-lesser phenomenal-abstractiveness mental-processing tasking, and so rather as ‘already achieved constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>/institutionalisation’, as from the categorising register of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’, the qualifying register of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’, the tendentious register of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’ and the impulsive register of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’, reflecting the human understanding process (with this so-structured registers of lesser-and-lesser mental-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating psychologism-schema’ and is the reflected mental-state aftereffect when reflexively, contemplatively, implicitly or explicitly aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring propositions as of the given underlying registry-worldview’s/dimension’s narrative disposition in its notional–conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations, and it is necessarily induced-from and reflects the ‘developing <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating self-consciousness culturally-directed eliciting of concepts and contemplative frameworks in notional–conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations’; and so-contrued contrary to just a constitutedness conception as of singular quale which fails to grasp that the possibility for reflecting a quale arises rather as of an underlying ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ reflecting <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology within which any specific quale then imports as of its replicability-and-differentiability-in-a-‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–disambiguation-in-notional–conflatedness-with-existence-as-of-existential-instantiations’ such that for instance the self-consciousness for cognising colour and colour schemes with children develops rather as of culturally-directed eliciting of the colour and colour schemes devolving qualia-schema, as it is integrated with the child’s developing <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating self-consciousness and by extension we can grasp that the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are grasp rather as of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ as of relative-ontological-incompleteness so construed from relative-ontological-completeness as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-
epistemic/notional-projective-perspective of the given registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, though from existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-
conflatedness epistemic perspective of analysis as of prospective relative-ontological-
completeness it is shown to be ontologically-flawed. Basically thus prospective
destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-
decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> renders the
instigation of the categorising register, the qualifying register, the tendentious register and the
impulsive register, as of operant meaningfulness-and-teleology, susceptible to be

<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal–mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}
so-implied as of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation. It is only <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-
contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness as of its mental-
processing persistently pervasive existential reshuffling thoughtfulness as from human
anxiety that is bound at destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> to reconstrue the prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>/institutionalisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-reflected from existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~rewiring-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional perspective of analysis as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness to be ontologically-veridical. It is in this way that <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness expands the frontiers of human knowledge as ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’, and thereof instigating the knowledge mechanism as it subsequently and summarily parcels out as of a depth-of-mental-processing-reflexes-contiguity into the more fully operant meaningfulness-and-teleology of lesser-and-lesses phenomenal-abstractiveness mental-processing tasking, as from the categorising register, the qualifying register, the tendentious register and the impulsive register, and thus enabling new human understanding; from whence new meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring ensues as of human existential-instantiations. In the bigger scheme of things, this ‘constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> from destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ operation of the comprehensive human phenomenal-abstractiveness process reflecting the cumulation/recomposuring of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge, is what brings about the successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring instrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as of successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and is reflected in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> in
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’,
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ordinal-as-qualifying destructuring-
disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> in
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’ and
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–intervalist-as-categorising destructuring-
disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> in
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’, and as these
covertyly pass as being of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-
contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism constructiveness disposition in
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ thus undermining
‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance—<including-
virtue-as-ontology>’. Destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as
of elicited covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-
ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> articulated–or–acquiesced-to
meaningfulness-and-teleology at reference-of-thought-devolving-level, is induced as of
destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-
determinism as <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—{imbued—temporal–mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}
so-implied as of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-

discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-

delimination, and so-induced-and-complexified in association with instances/instantiations

of constructiveness disposition for ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration

ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’, to then effect as of the dual

implications ontologically-flawed overall perception of a primary commitment to

constructiveness disposition of ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration

ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ so that any such destructuring-

disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-

discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> in
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of covert-

pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence–antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance-

<including-virtue-as-ontology> as to destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-
deratiocination/deratiocontiguity articulated–or–acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology

ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> is overlooked as marginal; and so

with regards to implicated social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology, thus

inducing the peculiar social dynamism effect of destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-
deratiocination/deratiocontiguity wherein that temporally induced marginality mechanism as

of destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-
determinism as <amplitudding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}
is the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existentialextrication-as-of-
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determinism’,  ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~ordinal-as-qualifying destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing—
qualia-schema>  in  dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-
determinism’  and  ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~intervalist-as-categorising destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing—
qualia-schema>  in  dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-
determinism’  as  these  covertly  pass  as  constructiveness  disposition  in ‘equivalence/correspondence  antiakrasiatic-aspiration  ontological-performance-<including-
virtue-as-ontology>‘,  thus  distinctly  destructuring.  It  is  important  to  grasp  here  that  this destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating~desublimating-
decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>  analysis  is notionally/epistemically  as  to  existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional~projective-perspective  of  deprocrypticism  which  is  in  ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence  and  beyond/superseding  the  internal  positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought  human-subpotency  social-stake-contention-or-
confliction  perspective  wherein  the  human-subpotency  <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag  perspective  of analysis  as  of  its  prior  relative-ontological-incompleteness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument  will  rather  be  in a  muddling  undisambiguated  appraisal  of  its  destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating~desublimating~decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> in contrast to the epistemic/notional veracity of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness implication as of deprocrypticism in prospective relative-ontological-completeness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation; and this is akin to the existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness projection to prospective positivism insight of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation with regards to say the reflection of destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-

deratiocination/deratioccontiguity in the manifestation of notions-and-accusation-of-sorcery in a non-positivism social-setting social-stake-contention-or-confliction, with the construal of such purportedly constructiveness disposition of ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ as of positivism ontologically-hegemonising-narrative not necessarily telling from within the perspective of the non-positivism human-subpotency social-stake-contention-or-confliction narratives, but for the implied prospective metaphoricity as prospective ontologically-hegemonising-narrative of positivism. Insightfully, such an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-

decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> analysis insight is more like a projective contrast as with the case of the BODMAS characters deficient apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causeality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity operation of Arithmetic construed as of
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism in epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence and with regards to our normally conceived apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity for the operation of Arithmetic as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-normalcy. Basically, such an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> analysis speaks of the reality of human de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—
stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) insights; and the appreciation of the latter as to the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is a requisite for understanding such an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> analysis. The destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology> analysis is highly abstracted from such an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective (so-understood as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/Doppler-thinking perspective of analysis). It reflects the abstract development of human-subpotency ‘dynamic phenomenal-abstractiveness possibilities in their psychodynamic operant conflatedness with the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This psychodynamic operant conflatedness reflects human-subpotency ‘constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>/institutionalisation/nascent–sublimating-decisionality—by—destructuring-

parasitism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> this reflects the individual psyche conception of the social especially as of its extended-informality as not necessarily of high operant ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’, and is further reflected in a social dynamics of dual overt and covert implicit interpretations of social phenomenality arising as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> cognisance-and-adaptation to the reality of the ontologically compromisable possibility of social meaningfulness-and-teleology. Insightfully, it can be appreciated that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is one long process involving the undermining of destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity at uninstitutionalised-thresholds with relative ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ as of ontologically-hegemonising-narrative implied as of prospective ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. In this regard, we can appreciate anthropologically as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications the destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity that upheld superstitious beliefs in non-positivism social constructs but as of positivism/rational-empiricism ontologically-hegemonising-narrative implied with social enlightenment and the sciences rendered many purviews of existence as of relative ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’. We can similarly project of the
same with respect to our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as to be prospectively superseded by deprocrypticism preemting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontologically-hegemonising-narrative thus rendering human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> correspondence with the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-

elucidation/reification as ‘destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> analysis’ is rather notionally/epistemically reflective of the social-construct constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, as such an antiakrasiatic analysis of uninstitutionalised-thresholds notionally/epistemically reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; and so, similarly as the analysis of prospective possibilities of disease and illness is not about being pessimistic about the biology of human beings but is notionally/epistemically reflective of the possibility for the further development and provision of medicine and healthcare, and just as the projective analysis of lack of science and technology capacity is not about being pessimistic about human technical development but is notionally/epistemically reflective of the possibility for the further invention of technologies and scientific discoveries. We can appreciate here that the very same epistemic/notional conceptualisation with respect to the human subject as with natural subject-matters elicits in the former high emotional involvement whereas the latter as of its direct ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity elicits low emotional-involvement, but for the case where with regards to high and conflicting human social-stake-contention-or-confliction even the natural domain is not immune from high emotional-involvement as with the climate change issue for instance. The point being made here is that sober analyses of the social as herein articulated tends to elicit naïve criticism that human progress happens anyway, but then such naïve criticism only recounts the fact of human progress while failing to be reifying and is actually dereifying when by its ‘implicit passivity implications for prospective human progress’ it fails to account for how human progress occurs in the very first place or even whether there is any underlying process
for its occurrence or non-occurrence. Actually, human progress occurs because of effective human constructive disposition to supersede identified-and-defined destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> and as reflected at uninstitutionalised-thresholds. As the Copernicuses, Galileos, Darwins, Diderots, etc. of the world with their subsequently metaphorising societies didn’t progress on the basis that human progress occurs anyway but because they effectively superseded their identified-and-defined ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> and uninstitutionalised-threshold, and it is this difficult task of cross-generational mobilisation that enables the prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> for human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The implicated passivity behind such reflections that human progress occurs anyway again highlights why the intemporal mental-dispositions behind the superseding of destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> need to be integrated into the very core of such secondnatured formulaic/mechanical-knowledge outcome as part and parcel of knowledge, construed as organic-knowledge. Otherwise, the very vocation behind such organic-knowledge end up being denatured as of deficient apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument, and this inevitably actually occurs and reoccurs throughout the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; such that prospective social-construct constructiveness-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional~projective-perspective for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation such temporal-dispositions are rather unwarranted and irrelevant since such aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is rather geared towards the prospective relative-ontological-completeness implied social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm and not the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness social-stake-contention-or-confliction in extricatory/temporal paradigm; and candidly so to the extent that the intemporal-as-ontological dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness ⟨amplituding/formative⟩wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-⟨as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩}) is not interpreted from a temporal existential-extricatory-as-of-existential-unthought perspective as ineptness warranting the furtherance of temporal-dispositions as of untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality inclination and accompanying sophistic/pedantic complexes as well as to the extent of entailing prospective relative-ontological-completeness. We can appreciate in this regards that the intemporal projection as of base-institutionalisation implies an incisive/edgy apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity beyond recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of its ‘⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ in
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and likewise with the intemporal
projection as of universalisation over base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism
over universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively depocrypticism over
positivism–procrypticism. In this regards, the notion of preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism as reflected as of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ of prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness is tied-to and a necessarily associated notion with that of
postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as reflected as of
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ with respect to the possibility of a
protracted-consciousness conceptualisation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process; and as this explains the successive construction-of-the-
Self reflected in the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions. It is the possibility for the
human mind to dement as of a ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ by its self-
conscious <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-
perception/re-thought as of its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-
existence that structurally/paradigmatically allows for the possibility of prospective
institutionalisation involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject. Unlike our
naïve human-subpotency epistemic/notional–projective-perspective inclined to perceive prior
registry-worldviews/dimensions in their ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ in
stigmatising terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct, the ontological-veracity from existence-
potency, disclosed from prospective epistemic digression as of:

positivism–procrypticism with respect to prospective deprocrypticism. The fact is, even the
said prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity
emancipators across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process are just as equally
relatively enmeshed in many ways with their reference-of-thought old psychology
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ like say Newton’s involvement with alchemy, and
the idea of projecting to a prospective ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’
speaks of a first level of human uninhibitedness/decomplexification that is exactly what
allows for human emancipation. This further shows how our seemingly objectified
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness positivism–procrypticism disposition is
all-encompassing as of our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when we construe of ourselves as
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as of in-the-absolute’
without projecting that just as prior generations of humans were both postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as of their constructiveness-of-ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> reflected as of
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ at their relative-ontological-
completeness and preconverging-or-dmenting–apriorising-psychologism as of their
destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-
decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> reflected as of
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ at their relative-ontological-incompleteness, we
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} as of its ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, we are involved in a fundamental
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in the sense that we seem to imply in our <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that our ‘positivism–procrypticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ as reflected by our positivist science-ideology and humanism ideology seemingly surpasses the very ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process that engendered our positivism/rational-empiricism creating as of epistemic-ricochetting the said science without the science-ideology and the said human emancipation without the humanism ideology. This fundamental disjointedness explains why and how our positivist science-ideology and humanism ideology so-misconstrued beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> rather turns out to be denaturing and undermines prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-development, and explains our inclination to ask the wrong questions given the false sense of certainty arising from this ‘positivism–procrypticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’. Such questions with regards to how the humanities can be further developed as efficaciously as the natural sciences, how can philosophy be more socially potent, and on the social paradoxes of our suboptimum institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and living-development—as-to-personality-development, more critically point to the ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of its implied intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation registry-worldviews/dimensions; and so critically by the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. In this regards, as applies with our positivism–procrypticism and so just as with any other prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldviews/dimensions <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of their ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, there has always been an ontologically-flawed inclination that the given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ in its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inherently carries all the prospective possibilities of human emancipation and so oblivious-and-substituting of the underlying ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In other words, unlike we may contemplate as of our positivism/rational-empiricism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness mindset, the notion of prospective human emancipation wasn’t alien to the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation mindset though such a conception by mental-reflex was projected as of its very own ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
or capacity along the lines of our ‘positivism–procrypticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’, the reality of any such transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity will rather be ‘a more candid face-up with our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ as herein implied by this author as of the notion of ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> institutional-being-and-craft, muddlement and other intellectual complexes/inhibitions’ that structurally/paradigmatically as of a destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> cloud/undermine the potential for further intellectual emancipation, and so similar to the breakthrough that brought about budding positivism/rational-empiricism as of say the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning Galilean gesturing paradigm based on the fact that looking in the telescope we can appreciate how the planets moved around the sun and as this budding positivism/rational-empiricism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation was relayed by other budding positivists, and so over the destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> of traditional medieval no-trouble disposition to perceive and take comfort in traditional scholasticism reasoning-from-results/afterthought pedantry as if critical reification will arise by that pathway. In other words, the possibility of all human prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity arises not as we may naively construe vaguely as of exceptional occurrence on the basis of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness disposition but rather more concretely only after human decomplexing/uninhibiting paradigmatic development ‘weaning humankind from its traditional complexes/inhibitions reasoning-from-results/afterthought conceptualising flaws’
that then brings about the corresponding existence-potency-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-amplituding/formative-epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness level for human emancipation as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness; and this is effectively reflected in all cases of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity. Whether of low or high emotional-involvement, it is inevitably the case that the structural/paradigmatic possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity ever always and has ever always involved or been-grounded-on-prior ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ inducing the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure; as we can appreciate for instance that without the secondnatured institutionalisation arising as from the Galilean gesturing reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning highlighted above, there wouldn’t have been the human psychology reflected in the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of the resultant reasoning-from-results/afterthought later on in the 20th century to acquiesce to such breakthroughs like theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs with barely any social contestation. Thus psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, as of human de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) implied prospective postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and prior preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, is merely a
reflection of the fact that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always as of the very same overall purview that is existence but then as of various state of human relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of reference-of-thought so-construed as registry-worldviews/dimensions, such that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is thus of lower to higher ontological-veracity/ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as of relative-ontological-completeness. Further as of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence with human meaningfulness-and-teleology rather undertaken on the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
meaningfulness-and-teleology, as superseding the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism registry-worldview/dimension
‘shallower implied and underlying background Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology devolved institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as of its
devolving living-development–as-to-personality-development’ as of the prior
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought-devolving
meaningfulness-and-teleology. More spontaneously, a postconverging-or-dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism representation is construed as of the projection to a given
registry-worldview/dimension ‘ontological-depth framework of
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative’ as of its
‘implied and underlying background Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–
as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
devolved institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as of its devolving
living-development–as-to-personality-development’, while a preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism representation is construed as of the projection to the prospective
relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension ‘ontological-depth
framework of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative’ as of its ‘deeper/more-profound implied and underlying background Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology devolved institutional-development–as-to-
social-function-development as of its devolving living-development–as-to-personality-
development’ in reflecting the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-
worldview/dimension ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. However, from a traditional/modern/positivism history construal perspective, such a perceptive/astute historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing is hardly reflected as it tends to induce a naïve, flawed and incomplete representation of the past as being mainly as of the ‘cumulation of human postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism representations <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narratives and as this is often further skewed towards the locus of the present registry-worldview/dimension (positivism/rational-empiricism) postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism representation’, and thus in many ways failing to project fundamentally the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-

This ecstatic singularity of existence is its primordial ineffability, as beyond any epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence appraisal but then enabling the meaningfulness-and-teleology validatory possibility of any such state of epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence by way of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. The ecstatic singularity of existence is the very shepherding/ushering/heralding possibility for existence’s intelligibility. Thus the supervening unity of all existential sublimation manifestations arises as of their notional–conflatedness intelligibility derived from the primordial ineffability of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-
logicising/unsuitable measuring instrument - invalidating measuring - preconverging - or - dementing - apriorising - psychologism > in order to generate intelligibility as of varying ontological performance - including virtue as ontology - s as validated or invalidated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework < amplituding/formative > epistemic causality as to projective-totalitative implications, - for explicating ontological-contiguity of existence-potency, - disclosed from prospective epistemic digression as of - 
< amplituding/formative > epistemic totalising renewing realisation/re-perception/re-thought, - in supererogatory epistemic conflatedness. This very intertwining of existence-potency, - disclosed from prospective epistemic digression as of - < amplituding/formative > epistemic totalising renewing realisation/re-perception/re-thought, - in supererogatory epistemic conflatedness as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework potential implications with 'phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies - in transitive conflatedness - reflexivity, - in the full potency of existence' — in — < amplituding/formative > epistemic totalising thrownness in existence, - < of 'surrealistic-as-pseudoreal' - epistemic abnormalcy > is the metaphoricity ecstasy of existence in its supervening notional conflatedness intelligibility. This basically captures the very notions of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical epistemic-determinism and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic determinism as can be reflected in explicating 'phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies - in transitive conflatedness - reflexivity, - in the full potency of existence' — in — 
< amplituding/formative > epistemic totalising thrownness in existence, - < of 'surrealistic-as-pseudoreal' - epistemic abnormalcy > ontological veracity/ontological performance - including virtue as ontology - s as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework potential sublimating over desublimating implications of existence-potency, - disclosed from prospective epistemic digression as of - < amplituding/formative > epistemic totalising renewing realisation/re-perception/re-thought, - in supererogatory epistemic -
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imibued-temporal-to-intemparal-dispositions—existentialism—form-factor what is veridically
ever as of absolute certitude is ‘prospective intemparal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith—
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so—being—as-of-existential-reality—
parrhesiastic seeding—promise of reasoning—through/messianic—reasoning meaningfulness—and—
teleology’ and ‘temporal/sophistic—as-ontologically-flawed/ontological—bad-faith reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness—disposition—as—reproducibility-of—aestheticisation seeding—
misprising of reasoning—from—results/afterthought meaningfulness—and—
teleology’, construed respectively ‘as of equivalence/correspondence antiakrasia—aspiration as inducing
prospective <amplituding/formative>epistemic—totalising—ratio—contiguity/ratiocination—as—
referentialism as ontologically-veridical constructiveness of meaningfulness—and—
teleology’ and ‘as of covert pretence of equivalence/correspondence antiakrasia—aspiration as inducing
prospective deconstructing—transitoriness—as—of—deratiocination/deratiointiguiuty as
ontologically-flawed deconstructing—meaningfulness—and—teleology’; and thereof, what is ever
of absolute incertitude is ontologically-veridical identitive meaningfulness—and—teleology as
this is ever always in need for its prospective recuperation/recovery as from prospective
relative-ontological—completeness induced ‘postconverging—or—dialectical—thinking—
apriorising—psychologism as of apriorising—teleological—elevation—in—notional—
contiguity/epistemic—contiguity—<mentally—aesthetiscised—postconverging/dialectical—
thinking—qualia—schema>’ superseding prior relative—ontological—incompleteness induced
‘preconverging—or—dementing—apriorising—psychologism as of apriorising—teleological—
degradation—in—notional—discontiguity/epistemic—discontiguity—<mentally—
aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia—schema>’. Thus what is particular about the
deprocrypticism registry—worldview/dimension as preempting—disjointedness—as-of—
the Socratic/Platonic/Aristotelian individual emancipation as of universalising-idealisation was effectively in reaction to the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
disposition as of medieval tradition and pedantry; with all such efforts for human emancipation eliciting from the perspective of their times as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension like ending Slavery and the Slave-Trade in the United States involving the American civil war or the French Revolution for instance, meeting with sophistic/pedantic eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications) dispositions like ‘in many ways the slaves lives are better off than their kindreds in the darkness of Africa or that their conditions will be worse off when freed’, that ‘the toll of the American civil war was unnecessary’, or ‘in many ways the outcome of the French Revolution was far worse than was worth the struggle’. In all these instances, the sophists as of its existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction are ever always inclined to eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications) disposition, and when the outcome of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension accrue prospectively the sophists react as if ‘human progress occurs anyway’ as the idea of a human existential tale perpetuation and its implications is alien to the sophists since all that counts is the immediate now and its temporal/mortal social-stake-contention-or-confliction interests; and worst still, human limited-mentation-capacity in inducing prospectively relative-ontological-completeness as of the weaknesses associated in all human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is held by the sophists against any such reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Inherently, while the intemporal projection coherence of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning spans the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as the ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, what is peculiar about sophistry is that the whole tale of humanity starts-and-ends by their given registry-worldview/dimension and
other registry-worldviews/dimensions are just other ones and have nothing to say about the present one as of an overall human tale, as the threat of rationalising the implications of such a human existential tale perpetuation may jeopardise their present social-stake-contention-or-confliction temporal interests; and this pattern of sophistic/pedantic interpretation is the same at each and every given registry-worldview/dimension as it is obviously not oblivious to the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning which organic-contemplation spans registry-worldviews/dimensions and identifies the nature of the sophistic/pedantic inclination in each and every one of the registry-worldviews/dimensions. Inevitably thus since the possibility for human ideal as of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-delementativity implications necessarily involves a parrhesiastic reifying gesture of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension which is ‘never always the easiest of notion’ for human disposition, especially as this often always implies the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject, it is inevitably the case that such ideal as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ has to reckon with the temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction human sophistry eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for
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disposition meant at stifling the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. In all such instances as was realised by universalising-idealisation philosophers Socrates/Plato/Aristotle as well as budding positivists, the notion of dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence is not a given, and as the sophists commit to sophistry the genuine intellectual holds it against the sophists to imply they are effectively of ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema>’ rather than ‘apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity’ to avoid wrongly implying dialogical-equivalence, as the latter notion only arises as of mutual apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in relative-ontological-completeness as of the underlying registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved-apriorising-rule; as there can be no genuine contention between a universalising-idealisation mindset and a sophistic/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled—syllogising mindset or a positivising/rational-empiricism mindset and medieval pedantic/dogmatic mindset, if just for the mere sake of preserving and avoiding the denaturing of the universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology or positivising/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology. This is more critically the case as the fact is the possibility for prospective human emancipation is exactly the most difficult thing for humankind to countenance, and that is exactly why the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds arise in the first place; and the sophistic/pedantic treachery/muddlement/acting-out of usurping such difficult quest for its temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction has always been addressed not by a faulty pretence of mutually objectifying intellection between genuine intellectualism and sophistry,
which is of flawed epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity, but rather a blunt parrhesiastic disavowal of such sophistic/pedantic treachery/muddlement/acting-out for what it essentially is; as with the universalising-idealisation philosophers not wasting their time in pretence of engaging the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation of ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset or the budding positivists/rational-empiricists dismissing off-hand pedantic scholasticism. The habituated idea of dialogue/dialogical-equivalence arises as of the mental-reflex that ordinarily all meaningfulness-and-teleology as of a given registry-worldview/dimension is grounded on the same apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument notwithstanding the existential-instantiation soundness or unsoundness of its devolving aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring. But where in the instance of dissimilar apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, despite our habituation, dialogue/dialogical-equivalence as of ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’ does not avail as of epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity as of the ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’ closed <amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness which rather warrants psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective relative-ontological-completeness. This is akin to the mathematician opened to mutual calculating even where one could produce a wrong solution as of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring flawed ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> but this only holds with the
mathematical apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument
spirit for engaging genuinely and naturally in the calculations; where that
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument spirit is lost,
fundamentally the notion of mutual calculating is then ontologically and epistemically
flawed. Ultimately, the notion of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontological-veracity is
about the ‘reasoning-through transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ of contentions for the determination of existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflicatedness as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-
framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–
implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity; and it is rather different from a
sovereign construct grounded on sovereign choice whether there is ontological-veracity or
ontological-impertinence. The human existential tale as ‘humanity project’ has ever always
been one of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning’ as implied in the ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency
ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> equivalence/correspondence with
the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. The secondnatures
institutionalisation constructs as of sovereign institutions and establishment frameworks are
‘not to be necessarily-and-absolutely considered as knowledge reifying frameworks’, as
could falsely be implied by cohorting sovereign institutions and establishments
surreptitiously usurping the knowledge-reification role and as beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> surreptitiously
defining what can be thought or not thought. The fact is such implied underpinning–
suprasocial-constructs are mainly secondnatured whether as sovereign representation or
establishment constructs, and can easily be caught up in their own
<amplitud-ing/formative> epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
synergetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction and are thus not the
absolutising framework of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as the social knowledge-
reification role must always be opened to ‘intemporal individuation ontological-faith-notion-
or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as of the
possibility of its arising in any humans and in whatever specific purviews of existence, as this
is what is instigative of ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology’; as it is only by the latter process that the ‘suprasocial obsession/myopism as
of a given registry-worldview/dimension social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ can be
superseded, as of reconstruing recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation underpinning–
suprasocial-construct rather as of base-institutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation underpinning–suprasocial-construct rather as of universalisation,
universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism underpinning–suprasocial-construct rather as of
positivism, and prospectively positivism–procrypticism underpinning–suprasocial-construct
rather as of deprocrypticism or preemting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. We
can appreciate in this regards that the universalising-idealisation philosophers and budding
positivists trajectory of contemplation were actually counterintuitive to what their respective
underpinning–suprasocial-construct construed as human progress and the possibility for
human progress. The naivety of referring to the underpinning—suprasocial-construct conventioning-referencing as of its framework of establishments and sovereign institutions as if this was absolutely substitutive of ontology as of prospective ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ induced as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’, is nothing but <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which obviously doesn’t register/is-unaccounted internally because (but from the existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism deprocrypticism perspective) structurally/paradigmatically ‘no registry-worldview/dimension has the eyes to see of its defective ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as it surreptitiously implies that it is absolute beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’. The fact is, it is this possibility of the universalising-idealisation philosophers Socrates/Plato/Aristotle and the budding positivists putting into question their conventioning-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology and value that allows for prospective institutionalisation to arise as of universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism respectively. In this regards, it is important to grasp that what is peculiar about the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions is the sense that these as of their immediacy disposition are very much cognisant of the Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology leading to the establishment of their given registry-worldviews/dimensions over which their conventioning-referencing is setup but then tend to fail to construe of their prospective possibility of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and in this regards, we can appreciate that the pre-Socratic world very much construed of critical ontological insights that went into their various conventioning-referencing like say the Ancient Egyptians with their conventioning-referencing mobilising ontological insights much more obviously with the building of pyramids, the Persians mobilising their ontological insights in empire building, etc. but unlike these relatively cosmopolitan lands with greater technical and knowledge potential, it was the smaller and rustic Greece and specifically Athens that contemplated of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with the emergence of universalising-idealisation over ancient mythologies and cultism, likewise the medieval Europe scholasticism was the height of this universalising-idealisation as of its establishment and religious conventioning-referencing but it took budding positivists to come up with the prospect of renewed Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and likewise it is the case that our conventioning-referencing is rather predisposed to construe of our elaborate positivism/rational-empiricism as absolutising and hardly countenancing of its own effort for prospective Being/ontological-framework-expansion. It is herein contended that, as of the implications of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that in many ways just as the manifestation of postlogism-slantedness associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as of non-positivism whether as of animistic or medieval social-
setups, was difficultly amenable to address as of their given underlying muddlement of social-stake-contention-or-confliction associated fundamentally with their overall wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} and underpinning—suprasocial-construct meaningfulness-and-teleology integration of their given non-positivism and superstition, in many ways the manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy in our positivism—procrypticism is equally subject to our wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} and underpinning—suprasocial-construct underlying disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought muddlement of social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of our uninstitutionalised-threshold; and in both instances insightfully point to underlying reference-of-thought relative-ontological-incompleteness at destructuring-threshold-{uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> which is the grander issue of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as to the fact that fundamentally prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension supersedes-and-deflates the vices-and-impediments of non-positivism as of animism or medievalism and thereof their devolving associated manifestations of non-positivism and specific superstitious nature as well as the idea that prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought supersedes-and-deflates the overall vices-and-impediments of our positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought underlying the devolving social manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy. Thus the practice of construing absolutely the
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
synergetic/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of any given
registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness like our positivism–
procrypticism speaks of a loss of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to the given registry-worldview/dimension
conventioning-referencing. In this regards, we can appreciate that our own projection of
prospective deprocrypticism implied Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–
as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
as of its prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism
will construe of our present positivism–procrypticism conventioning-referencing as
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism to be more than
just as of our traditional, cultural and aesthetic idiosyncratic habituations grounded on our
positivism–procrypticism underlying reference-of-thought that more or less suppresses the
possibility of prospective ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology’, and equally garner that just as the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation of
ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset and medieval-scholasticism-pedants—
ideal-type-or-individuation never factored in that their respective supposedly presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness construal of ontology as sophistic/pedantic ad-
hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising and medieval scholastic pedantry were to be
reconstrued as rather being of contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing
respectively by Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and budding positivists as of
their respective prospective parrhesiastic revaluation of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-
human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation; psychology fails ontologically when it naively and wrongly construe of our given positivism–procrypticism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as being of ontological-normalcy to go on to imply a practice of reification of psychological traits is what is emancipatory of the human condition with the implication that any given registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought say animistic or medieval could just as well be considered in ontological-normalcy and that what is emancipatory of the human condition is the reification of psychological traits as of its

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology despite the supposed deficiency of its given meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative-ontological-incompleteness, thus failing to grasp that the more decisive transformation of the human subject is the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of construction-of-the-Self in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process underlined as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) antiakrasiatic disposition since this is effectively what paradigmatically/structurally by the induced ontological-performance-{<including-virtue-as-ontology> enables the superseding-and-deflating of the overall individual and social vices-and-impediments arising as of the relative-ontological-incompleteness of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; and wherein our conception of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing turns out to be rather skewed towards our positivism–procrypticism
epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence-as-of-recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence-as-of-recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by its reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument but then is warranted to ontologically-complete itself successively as of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. The human then is what is warranted to reconstrue Rousseauian perfectibility out of its
pretend to avoid this purposefulness as it is, as of its any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness state, the outcome of such purposefulness as relayed with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This coherently explains the inevitability of human ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as when the organic-knowledge avails it is much more than just an idea of choice but rather an obligation as of the implied inherently antiakrasiatic disposition that can’t afford to overlook as if lacking the organic-knowledge for degrading into <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. When the dialecticism of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its prospective ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> implications as of virtue at constructiveness-of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> and vices-and-impediments at destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> shows itself to be definitely determinable and is no longer the bigger issue for prospective human emancipation but rather the bigger issue becoming one of human psychological cognisance and adjustment to any such prospective emancipatory meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-reflected across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—dementativity. The underlying difficulty of all such psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure is all about how can a mindset adjusted as of its
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence as of its given
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for construing
meaningfulness-and-teleology in <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—
averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>)} ever gets prodded into contemplating an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology speaking supposedly of more ontologically profound prospective
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as implied as of prior transcendences from recurrent-utter-
institutionalisation to base-institutionalisation, etc. But then as all along the successive
registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendences, such a parrhesiastic exercise is ever always
caught up between accommodating human temporality/shortness and existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-
conflatedness which knows of no such accommodation for human temporality, inevitably the
existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness transcendental-
-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity implications necessarily comes ahead of
human temporality/shortness emotional convenience. The certitude and determination of
human meaningfulness-and-teleology as from this hindsight, as so-reflected from
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of prospective
deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology, will necessarily imply preconverging-or-
dementing-apriorising-psychologism implications of supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument with respect to our positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology as dissingularisation/epistemic-
nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism even as we are thereby emotionally
inconvenienced, just as singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
determinism as from our positivism perspective of meaningfulness-and-teleology will
necessarily imply preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism implications of supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument with respect to prior non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology as dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism even as we can appreciate the emotional inconvenience of the non-positivism/medievalism establishment mental-dispositions. Existence’s metaphoricity/ecstasy supervening-conflatedness as of ‘phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-
potency-of-existence>—in—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-
existence,<-of-‘surrealistic-as-pseudoreal’–epistemic-abnormalcy> given ‘apriorising-
teleological-thresholding–as-telological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ speak of transepistemic/epistemic-
ricochetting supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument as of organic-
knowledge in reflecting both singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
determinism-as-of-intemporality and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-
epistemic-determinism-as-of-temporality implications of meaningfulness-and-teleology
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; as for instance, such an existential constraining as a child-as-a-subpotency epistemic-conception coming into existence undergoes developmental metaphoricity as of its inherent supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as the defining-and-superseding basis for its acquisition of culture and language all along the way of its entire devolving possibility of flourishing in conflatedness-as-of-its-developing-commitment-with-existence as from its feeding, warmth, relating, aspiring, maturing, etc. towards the effective acquisition of culture and language, and by extension a social-setup-as-a-subpotency epistemic-conception is structurally/paradigmatically opened to prospective metaphoricity from existential-constraining/conflatedness-of-its-commitment-with-existence as of its inherently implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as with individuals and social groups are naturally involved in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving in conflatedness to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived existential possibilities such that a social-setup is already involved internally however restricted in its very own reinvention/circumventing/adaptation as of its implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment on the basis of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validatory implications as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness. Basically it is this supervening-conflatedness reflexivity of existence as of the ‘phenomenal/manifest~subpotencies<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>—in—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence,—<of–‘surrealistic-as-pseudoreal’–epistemic-abnormalcy> phenomena/manifestations shepherded/ushered/heralded as of existential constraining by
defining its prospective destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating-desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>; and this is exactly what explains the differentiatation of registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ structurally/paradigmatically defines the given
‘supererogatory—acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflected as of singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology’ arising from renewed ‘intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition
for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ that can then allow for the requisite ‘supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument reflected as of singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In this regard, we can more specifically appreciate the central and transformative implications of the Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation as of the prospective universalisation registry-worldview/dimension ‘social-construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, wherein such prospective ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ as induced by the Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation construed as universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism inducing the secondnatured institutionalisation of the universalisation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance ‘specific bottomline–of-mere-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’ brought about the coherently universalising construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology with the associated elevated level of ontological-performance–<including-virtue-as-ontology> as manifested with the Socratic method for universal consistency and coherence, Plato’s ideas for universal consistency and coherence and Aristotle’s qualifying-categories and universalising-syllogism for universal consistency and coherence; thus superseding/transcending the ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and sophistic/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset as of base-institutionalisation mere rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’. This is the more profound explanation for the hegemonising ontological-grip thereafter of the Socratic
philosophers defining universalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology thereafter over the antiquity and their defining relevance in the latter meaningfulness-and-teleology of all the medieval societies of the Mediterranean and beyond, and so especially as the increasing population mixing thereafter particularly with the Roman empire naturally required/called-for ‘universally coherent, consistent and credible meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that went well beyond traditional ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and sophistic/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset; as of the knowledge reifying capacity-and-template for developing and cumulating such universalising-idealisation coherence and consistency across culturally diverse peoples and across space and time. The Socratic philosophers crucial and defining emphasis for differentiating themselves from sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation was very much a self-conscious insight as of the requisite parrhesiastic gesturing of ‘intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’-as-to-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-confalation to supersede human temporality/shortness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) for prospective relative-ontological-
epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought–in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> as highlighted as of the constructiveness-anddestructuring-framework of ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ and as reflected in any given registryworldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance
‘specific bottomline–of-mere-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the constructiveness
of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’ arises as of
destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity,

so-construed

dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism
deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity;

wherein

as

as

of

induced
of

flawed

supererogatory~acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–ofapriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-forexplicating-ontological-contiguity,

preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism

representation is wrongly singularised/immanented while postconverging-or-dialecticalthinking–apriorising-psychologism representation is wrongly dissingularised/not-immanent.
This actually points out why dialogical-inequivalence/intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence as
of

‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-

<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’

is

associated

with

sophistic/pedantic representations as knowledge as well as temporal manifestations of
postlogism-slantedness and conjugated-postlogism manifestations including psychopathy and
social-psychopathy as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview. While as of
human-subpotency temporal <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencingsyncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag we may be inclined to construe of the
notion of dialogical-equivalence as absolutely requisite, the fact is dialogical-equivalence
cannot supersede existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,1811


in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation implications where its eliciting is structurally/paradigmatically flawed for the simple reason that knowledge as of implied underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalititative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity is all about existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness and not about human sovereignty; in the sense that for instance gravity on earth as 9.8 m/s² doesn’t heed to any human sovereignty exercise as of dialogue as the latter is only as pertinent as it structurally/paradigmatically implies an intermediative process for the deferred-outcome as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness but not otherwise, and as being subpotent with existence it is the human that has to ensure that its meaningfulness-and-teleology coincides with existential veracity, such that where dialogical-equivalence is wrongly implied and thus likely to undermine existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness what gives in is the false notion of dialogical-equivalence. This is equally reflected in the idea that the supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument of meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather as of the implication of relative-ontological-completeness associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
completeness’ as of <amplitudin/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought since existence or purviews-of-existence ever always structurally/paradigmatically remain the same and it is human-subpotency that is ever always undergoing its transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity not by cumulating but rather by ‘recomposuring construal of existence or purviews-of-existence’; and this further explains why seconndnatured institutionalisation reasoning-from-results/afterthought, induced as from parrhesiastic messianic-reason/reasoning-through, will tend to act as if meaningfulness-and-teleology is accumulated/in-accumulation thus ending up beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> ‘instigating enframed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument institutional-setups and meaningfulness-and-teleology implications that are poorly amenable to <amplitudin/formative>.epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’, and so structurally/paradigmatically limiting the possibility of prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity but for the instigation of prospective parrhesiastic messianic-reason/reasoning-through beyond/overflowing such existentialising—enframing. Critically just as ‘prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakraasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>’ is associated with supererogatory–acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising→self-referencing-
syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in existential-extrication-as-of-existent-unthought as of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}, wherein the last narratives as of pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness induces ontologically-flawed sense of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising→ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism in the interlocutor notwithstanding the postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>, as what is always pertinent for the narrator is the pseudo-rationalising of all prior narratives into-and-as-of the last narrative(s). The more simplistic example of such pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness is with the childhood psychopathy example of spilling water on a chair and accusing another and the dragging out of its postlogism-slantedness narratives as the simpler/uncomplexified representation of the adult psychopathy postlogism-slantedness mental-disposition, and this further points to the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity when such pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness

It has always been the case that successive registry-worldviews/dimensions seconndnatured institutionalisations as instigated as from human ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ have to contend as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction with corresponding sophistic/pedantic eliciting of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) whether as traditional witchdoctors, the sophists, medieval-pedants or in many ways intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) today, with the requisite intemporal-as-ontological reifying meaningfulness-and-teleology as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-confledatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
capacity-deepening- (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). It is this
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity construal of human meaningfulness-and-teleology
‘constrained-existentially-as-of-its supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’
that effectively validates the ‘epistemic-veracity of notional—singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’; wherein the notion of ‘relative-ontological-
incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of ontological-performance-<including-
virtue-as-ontology>’ captures the entire possibilities of human meaningfulness-and-teleology
ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>, and as such a
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity construal reflects overall reifying-and-empowering-
reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-
subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-
superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-
and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-
consciousness’. It is this <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal that allows for
intelligibility and renewing-intelligibility to arise in the first place as of relative-ontological-
completeness. This ‘intelligibility and renewing-intelligibility’ arises from
‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity conflatedness of construal-and-reconstrual of existential-
scientific explanation of the natural world \(<\text{amplituding/}\text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality speaks rather of relative-ontological-completeness. Such \(<\text{amplituding/}\text{formative}>\) epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal points out that disparateness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as often wrongly projected in many a social domain-of-study is not an inherently sovereign notion as to the fact that construal as of relative-ontological-incompleteness cannot be ‘qualified as sovereign and beyond the countenance of its ontological-veracity as from relative-ontological-completeness perspective’ given that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology are of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as so-reflectected by its self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’; such that while recognising the human-subpotency epistemic-veracity perspective of say a given social-setup attributing an ailment to say magic, this doesn’t override the notion of inherent ontological-veridicality as to existence-potency,–disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\(<\text{amplituding/}\text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective wherein modern society in relative-ontological-completeness attributes the ailment to say flu. In order words, sovereign commitments, recognised as of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation, do not override the pre-eminence of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as to existence-potency,–disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-\(<\text{amplituding/}\text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective, in which case no human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity will be possible.
Stated another way, if Einstein’s or Bohr’s seminal theories were viewed say unfavourably by the physics community of their time as of their sovereign predisposition, that wouldn’t annul the ontological-veracity of their theories even if Einstein or Bohr were to acquiesce to that sovereign predisposition over their own theories, for the simple reason that knowledge is constructed as of the absolute dominance of intrinsic-reality as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<ampilting/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory-epistemic-conflatedness over the mortals that we as human beings are in order for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity to be possible; and that reality with respect to knowledge doesn’t speak of totalitarianism as will often be sophistically usurped when it comes to the blurriness of the social domain-of-study, as the charge of totalitarianism can only apply with respect to sovereign choice. Further a <ampilting/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal equally points out that the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<ampilting/formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ or any
<ampilting/formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality does not imply the structural/paradigmatic change of existence-as-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity but rather that change is the outcome of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<ampilting/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness involving de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of prospective postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism representation and prior preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism representation; with the implication here that the issue of knowledge is all about developing human-subpotency towards existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness. The conflatedness of existential-contextualising-contiguity in the natural sciences is often poorly perceived inherently because of their subject-matter/domain-of-study implicated nature of philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’; such that it is often wrongly construed in atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity but with little consequence since such an atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness is generally an ontologically-flawed afterthought reflection/contemplation whereas operantly beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> scientists generally adopt a conflatedness of existential-contextualising-contiguity posture. The reality of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness here is validated by the fact that ‘abstract scientific notions are not the point-of-departure scientists contemplation’ as they are rather ‘delved in existential-contextualising-contiguity in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causeality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity conflatedness to then reflect abstract scientific notions in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification or depart from existential-contextualising-contiguity already reified abstract scientific notions to then reflect further abstract scientific notions in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’. For instance, we can appreciate that
physics never establish any absolute atomising/taken-into-pieces notion of say atoms, space, time, energy, etc. on which it merely then go on to be constituting meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge as physics knowledge-reification. Rather we can better appreciate the occurrence of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal in the sense that our ordinary thought process itself is as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity construal of notions like space, time, force, etc. with no absolutely given point of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness even when we may harbour such a confusion, and likewise the development of theories say Cartesian, Newtonian, Einsteinian, String theory, etc. are equally <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating as to the fact that these imply various ways of reconceptualising the notions of space, time, force, etc. as of the precedence of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of existential-contextualising-contiguity of such notions like space, time, force, etc. in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity conflatedness to then articulate their abstract/theoretical notions/conceptualisations of space, time, force, etc.; thus there isn’t any absolutely identitive atomising/taking-to-pieces notions of space, time, force, etc. which are ‘constituted once-and-for-all to later on build/reify physics knowledge as of progressive constituting’ but rather physics knowledge is always epistemic-retotalising/re-totalising-entailing of ‘the very same physics notions and their derived implications of new notions’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) hermeneutics in avoiding-and-
superseding any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. We can appreciate that the atomising/taking-to-pieces disposition that is often wrongly sought in other domains-of-study is often ontologically-flawed because it fails to see that ‘the more elaborate panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining nature of existential-contextualising-contiguity in epistemic-conflatedness in their domains-of-study’ implies that their knowledge-reification should increasingly be explicitly totalising-entailing/nested-congruence as to the hermeneutics involved in avoiding-and-superseding any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, as even the natural sciences are implicitly epistemically totalising-entailing by the mere fact of the ‘precedence of existential-contextualising-contiguity in epistemic-causeality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in epistemic-conflatedness to which their abstract notions are aligned’ as well as so-implied by their foregrounding—entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal–eliciting-of-prospective-suprerogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism orientations which drives their knowledge-reification gesturing for unification as to ontological-contiguity as not just an idle quest; and this misconstrual is further reflected by the fact that the life sciences (as of their axiomatic-construct ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’) have a more inherently elaborate panintelligibility—effusing/ecstatic—inlining nature of existential-contextualising-contiguity supervening-conflatedness thus rendering its methodology more explicitly totalising-entailing and teleological even as it is often naively and wrongly construed as ‘a relatively weaker natural science’ from a naïve epistemic constitutedness perspective. This underlying epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity insight reflects ecstatic-existence’s supervening-conflatedness as
to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-
<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>; wherein inherently ‘more
immediate epistemically constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’
domains-of-study like physics and the natural sciences generally are of a less elaborate
existential-contextualising-contiguity conceptualisation nature in epistemic-conflatedness and
can thus be ontologically-falsely be perceived as being of atomising/taking-to-pieces
epistemic constitutedness while inherently ‘less immediate epistemically constrained to
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ domains-of-study like the social domains-
of-study are more of an elaborate existential-contextualising-contiguity conceptualisation
nature in epistemic-conflatedness that speaks to the need for their appropriate totalising-
entailing hermeneutic depth of ontological-construal, and in both cases in reflecting the
implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) hermeneutics involved in avoiding-and-
superseding any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness for construing their
veridical historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. In many ways the
natural sciences by the immediate constraining of their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework implicitly avoid atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness but the
misunderstanding that their knowledge-reification gesturing is effectively as of
atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness in other domains-of-study ends up having naïve
and distortive effects on such domains-of-study knowledge-reification and particularly so
with regards to the development of their self-conscious philosophical depth of contemplation
as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-
existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-
implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity conception’, and this further indicts our traditional conception of induction as being epistemically incremental wrongly construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness that underlies dispositions for
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag because of ‘failure to draw
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity as of displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject and wrongly construing presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness situations as of absolute/absolutising grounding’, whereas in reality human
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence rather points out that the epistemic-veracity of induction is rather as of ‘maximalising
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity’ (which is rather as of epistemic-retotalising/re-totalising-
entailing of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness with regards to successive inductions) rightly construed as of maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness and ‘totalitatively involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}’ with displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject; and such a misconstruing of the effective notion of induction speaks of ‘an ontologically-flawed modern positivistic academicism proceduralism reflex of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness’ that misses-out-on and ends up pruning-and-existentialising—enframing the natural human
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity construal predisposition. The specific human-subpotency
as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-
<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, reflecting human underlying
supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, is ultimately potentiated as of human
‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality—parrhesiastic
askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as of the
‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-
ontology> equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-
coherence/contiguity’, as this drives epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in developing successive
reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation reflecting human successive self-
consciousness/construction-of-the-Self that transcendentally-and-sublimely transform human-
reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence so-construed as of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-
driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation; wherein we can appreciate
that the instigation of universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure
or subsequent positivising/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure
transform human potentiation construed as ‘human-subpotency convergence to existence’
with regards respectively to the specific base-institutionalisation or rational-
empiricism/positivism self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of the specific
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. This self-
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consciousness/construction-of-the-Self notion is what deflates such ‘issues implied with regards to human sovereign options/choice or freewill’ and ‘issues of natural determinism beyond human sovereign options/choice or freewill’, as human self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology implies ‘induced human potentiation of sovereign options/choice or freewill that invalidate natural determinism’. In this regards we can appreciate for instance that with the positivism/rational-empiricism modern society’s disease theory, parents failing to figure out that a baby is likely to get sick if kept in dirty surroundings due to bacteria and germs as well that high temperature is a sign that the baby needs medical care, such that were it to be established that the baby develops a serious medical condition because of such failure of parental care then the human potentiation of freewill of the parents is engaged with regards to the parents responsibilities as of the self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of our positivism/rational-empiricism Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, however, supposed a similar situation arises in a non-positivistic social-setup with the parents acting that way because of say animistic beliefs that are utterly normal in the given animistic social-setup then it is difficultly the case that the human-potentiation of freewill of the parents is engaged with regards to their responsibilities as of the self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of their non-positivism/animistic Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (as the relative-ontological-incompleteness in the latter case renders it as an ‘ought indeterminacy’ while the relative-ontological-completeness in the former case renders it as an ‘is determinacy’); but then, a general underlying human potentiation of freewill of all humans is engaged passively to the effect that prospective
relative-ontological-completeness inducing prospective self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self reflected as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in deflating human vices-and-impediments, necessarily warrants all humans to effectively aspire-for/be-receptive-to prospective relative-ontological-completeness. And such a more broad construal of freewill and natural determinism implications can be contemplated as elaborated elsewhere herein with regards to akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex; thus akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex further implies that the very state of unwariness with respect to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic disposition is structurally/paradigmatically potently conducive/endemising/enculturating to its vices-and-impediments, and as the very possibility for prospective ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> arises as of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as of its ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology> equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. Can we wish that we don’t have understanding whether directly, or indirectly as of reifying deferential-formalisation-transference, so that we aren’t intellectually-and-morally accountable then? How can we reconcile the fact that given human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence the possibility for prospective human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation enabling transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity could only arise as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that had no prior effective knowledge and virtue reference to go on to prospectively ‘invent’ reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning knowledge and virtue before the institutionalising of such reasoning-from-
results/afterthought emancipatory possibilities, and then contend to make any given reasoning-from-results/afterthought knowledge and virtue limits intellectually and morally deterministic as of a nihilistic \textit{amplituding/formative} wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-\textit{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-`}nondescript/ignorable-void’}-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\textit{>}? In this regard, the anti-nihilist stance implies that the very first notion of human ontological-performance-{\textit{including-virtue-as-ontology}} as of human \textit{amplituding/formative} epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence induced anxiety lies in the fact that as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—\textit{as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality} parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, humankind has the relative capacity to build and/or adhere to prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibilities. Further, in the specific instances it is important to recognise that natural determinism invalidation of sovereign options/choice or freewill \textit{applies critically only as of poor self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self} implications arising from the underdevelopment of \textit{Being/ontological-framework-expansion or self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self incapacity as of say insanity’}, and not necessarily as of lack of new knowledge-construct or technical-development; in the sense that say a criminal that had gone uncaught before a new technical-development like DNA testing establishes their criminal responsibility as of human potentiation, cannot talk of natural determinism implications as a defence just as covert predispositions associated with vices-and-impediments as of \textit{‘self-conscious drive’} cannot be qualified to be of natural determinism implications when unmasked. \textit{Reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-painintelligibility-}<{\textit{imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation}> ‘speaking
epistemically with respect to the overall phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> including human-subpotency epistemic-perspective’, inherently reflects the veridical-epistemic-determinism as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness in the construal of any such phenomenal/manifest-subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-
potency-of-existence> ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-
framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’, with human-subpotency ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-
framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ effectively construable in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The overall implied notion of ‘intemporality-asymmetric-
subsumption-of-temporality’ as advanced here is one of supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing over subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rather as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-
correspondence. Such a mental-disposition of substituting old reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with new ones of prospective registry-
worldview/dimension as implied by <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of institutional moulting underlies the concept of
‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-
reprojecting, in dealing with the fact that by reflex all registry-worldviews/dimensions are
structured not to construe of their very own prospective transcendence-and-
contiguity—ontological-preservation as projected <amplitudizing/formative><wooden_language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)> failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ‘valued-viability’ to expend on a ‘so-construed most important work’ that can be done in a positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, as of prospective institutionalisation into deprocrypticism (more like an archaeologist might don on dirty clothing and dig their hands in mud and rubbish ‘like an animal’ to find out about the treasures that are human histories); and by that equally implying prospectively the decentering and dialectical-dementation of positivism—procrypticism <amplitudizing/formative><wooden_language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—’nondescript/ignoreable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications)>). Such an insight can be appreciated as with the instance in the non-positivistic community where the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will most likely not necessarily perceive and construe the ‘achievement motives and temporal-stakes in animistic or medieval lives and living’ in the non-positivistic social-setup as ‘grandest living’ but rather the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘of positivistic transcendental institutionalisation projection over the animistic or medieval setup as much more of existential worth’ from its vantage ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional—projective-perspective. There is nothing inherently wrong with achievement motives across all registry-worldviews/dimensions conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. However, with regards to a prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
denaturing of meaningfulness-and-teleology so construed prospectively, whether as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism, such motives are necessarily superseded-and-overridden or subsumed-as-supplanted or transvaluated in the bigger picture of human eternalising aspiration as of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation mental-disposition of ‘inventing’ the successive becoming possibilities in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as inducing successively base-institutionalisation, universalisation, rational-empiricism/positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism; as going by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ across retrospective and by implication prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions. To rather assume the notion that ‘achievement motives across all registry-worldviews/dimensions conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the intemporal individuation mental-disposition of ‘inventing’ the successive becoming possibilities in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, comes with the contradictory implication that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) so-construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, which contradictorily as well, as ‘biting the hand of such intemporal-disposition inventing’, should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) so-construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ positivism–
procrypticism (that is, paradoxically we shouldn’t be existing today!), and which
contradictorily as well, as ‘biting the hand of such intemporal-disposition inventing’, itself
should not be transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially,
professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
denaturing <amplifying/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-
to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) so-
construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ prospective
deprocrypticism, rather reflecting intellectual absurdity; and speaking rather besides a natural
weakness of human incapacity that can arise and do arise as a result of our limited-mentation-
capacity rendering us unconscious/unaware/as-of-the-poorer-halves-of-ourselves which is
fathomable/understandable, of a graver problem if that was to be the case even when we then
‘understand’, of intellectual-and-moral irresponsibility of failing/not-upholding-<as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to do our own ‘homework’ with respect to our
forerunners in the bigger notion of the human species continuous emancipation. In order
words, the most vital human activities has to do, whether as of a consciously aware or
unconscious nature, with the ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-
conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting that enables human memetic-rescheduling
(institutional-recomposoure/psychoanalytic-unshackling) as from recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation to present day positivism—procrypticism and prospectively
deprocrypticism; together with the idea that by the very intemporal-disposition essence of
that ‘inventing’ it is inappropriate to construe such institutional-being-and-craft construct as a
framework of temporal extricatory paradigm relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology
(undermining the implied reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as
of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, by adhering by flaw rather to the
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing-
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
as deterministic thus subknowledging/mimicking the non-veridical hollow/empty form of the
meaning of narratives, and strangely enough ‘reflecting’ the uninstitutionalised-threshold,
represented ontologically as decentered and preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-
psychologism), but rather appreciative of the intemporal mental-disposition (as ontological-
faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality) behind the mental
projection associated with and contributing to such institutional-being-and-craft ‘inventing’.
But then transcendental constructs of meaningfulness going beyond the ‘conventioning
limits’ of a given registry-worldview/dimension by definition are not actually perceived as
‘most critical in value’ going by ‘intradimensional conventions’ which define registry-
worldviews/dimensions ontological and virtue limits; the effort of a Socrates, Galileo,
Diderot, Copernicus as of implying a prospective reference-of-thought of meaningfulness, is
an afterthought social recognition by the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
reference-of-thought institutionalisation, not the social recognition of their own registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought (as the prior/transcended/superseded), as
transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology involves psychical and institutional
recomposuring of high contrariety implications to human temporality/shortness as putting
into question the present as prior/old, but then the vocation of all transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as all knowledge is not about being
responsive to the mortals that we are (including this author’s mortality as anyone’s else) as of
social-aggregation-enabling but rather responsive to relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity of an intersolipsistic nature. It is equally important to grasp that transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is the more profound origination of reference-of-thought that enables knowledge conceptualisations, and that the praxis of knowledge may naively be construed as non-transcendental. So all knowledge is actually transcendental and this is not to be confused with its distance/remoteness as coming from the ‘transcendental origination of the reference-of-thought of the knowledge’ (whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or prospectively deprocrypticism knowledge), and the idea of neutral/equable knowledge is a ‘mental complex of institutional inherence’ arising from incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness naivety, as if a given institutionalised reference-of-thought for knowledge has always been that way. By its very nature as construed from relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity and not social-aggregation-enabling, transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity (transcendental knowledge) cannot be construed as a neutral/equable exercise that doesn’t involve contrariety, as it implies superseding the prior reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with the prospective one for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose) maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in contrast to a naïve incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-reflex. The idea that knowledge-as-virtue will be obtained neutrally and be inserted in the social-construct neutrally is rather a simplistic/naïve virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal, as at best such knowledge is not really neutral but rather remote/distant as coming from the
‘transcendental origination of the reference-of-thought of the knowledge’. For instance, scientific discoveries and our liberal notions today are grounded on the transcendental origination of positivistic modern scientific knowledge and liberal thinking reference-of-thought established and developed from the days of the Newtons, Galileos, Pasteurs, Copernicus, Descartes, Rousseaux, etc. who and others, then were transcendental as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination in their positivistic outlook relative to other outlooks then like alchemy, essences, mysticism, serfdom, feudalism, etc., while equally inducing high social contrariety then to supersedingly establish our positivistic psyche leading to corresponding institutionalisation implications like the culture of science, notions of human rights, etc.; and we now take for granted today such a scientific disposition by the low temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction but right back in their epoch this elicited a high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The point here is to highlight that where the need for ‘reappraisal of reference-of-thought’ arises as for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, it will be naïve to imply that knowledge is neutral failing/not-upholding<-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to register that all knowledge is the outcome of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as ‘reappraisals of references-of-thought’ and inducing their corresponding prospective psychologisms (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights). Effectively, the wrong argument of knowledge neutrality is actually the argument of the prior transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity of reference-of-thought that enabled it to be as of the present reference-of-thought, as a statement of knowledge neutrality respectively in non-positivism/medieval or positivism registry-worldviews/dimensions are just naively asserting respectively the former or the latter as the reference-of-thought for knowledge; implying that a mental-disposition doesn’t naturally factor in its very own relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Hence it is rather ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that is the viable construing reference of knowledge with its transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity implications for completing the reference-of-thought, and so not only with regards to transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity of retrospective registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought but equally with the implication of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity for prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as so validated by ontological-normalcy/postconvergerence. This insight about a more succinct social reality as of human institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-facets is critically vital for the appraisal of psychopathy and social-psychopathy as social manifestation of postlogism as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> within the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension ‘dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. The social dynamics of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction as elicited in psychopathy and social psychopathy are more decisively determined by its induced ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing–as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) hence speaking of the positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation; wherein prospective institutionalising-facet insight will
construe perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> while prospective uninstitutionalising-facet insight will rather overlook such implied denaturing as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. This very much mirrors such a dichotomy as articulated before within the same social space of relative perception of social-stake-contention-or-confliction at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold defining its very notions of lawfulness and lawlessness, social-functioning and social dysfunction, accordance and discordance, probity and corruption, principledness and unprincipledness, etc. across the full breadth and depth of human institutions dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction at that uninstitutionalised-threshold especially as of generalised-and-all-pervasive extended-informality. Such a dichotomy points out the reality in positivism–procrpticism that the construal of psychopathy and social psychopathy is in effect a social construction wherein while prospective institutionalisation mental-disposition relates-to-and-construes-a-narrative-of grave institutional implications of phenomenal psychopathy as of the social dichotomy notions implied above, and so as of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition will mostly construe irrelevance-and-benignancy as of temporal extricatory paradigm. This is very much in sync with the reality that at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold human solipsistic mental-dispositions are temporal-to-intemporal with the implication that such intemporal mental-orientation as ontology divulging is just one mental-disposition among others such that any such pre-eminence arises only as of positive opportunity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework induced untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-
constraining in the middle to long run or cross-generationally as intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality. This dichotomy of contradictory narratives explains why it is the bigger framework of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that perfectly grasp in sync a superseding institutionalising aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in deprocrypticism conflatedness and so over procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought denaturing and harkening back in undermining psychopathy and social psychopathy as the more specific individuation-level denaturing. Interestingly this construing of psychopathy and social psychopathy within a dichotomy of institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions with respect to dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction is very much reflective of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, as we can grasp the veracity/ontological-pertinence of this uninstitutionalised-threshold dichotomy more transparently with regards to say non-positivism/medievalism postlogism manifestation like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. We know that such incidents associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery speak of the more profound relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought issue wherein the incidental denaturing of such manifestations reflected a social denaturing of the registry-worldview/dimension itself as non-positivistic and susceptible to endemise/enculturate superstitiousness as of the ‘dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. And in both instances it is the corresponding institutionalising aetiologisation/ontological-escalation conflatedness directed to the bigger and subsuming issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought for inducing deprocrypticism over procrypticism or positivism over non-positivism/medievalism respectively that harkens back to undermine in a decisive and nonextricatory and non-
palliative manner the associated postlogisms. Conflatedness as such implies an utter shift as the curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought thus superseding the curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought now being construed as preconverging-or-dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as denaturing.] The defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) comparison can equally be used to illustrate how slanting is different from lying. Insightfully, we can grasp that the fundamental defect of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument just as with slanting arising as a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception explains why it keeps on falsely presupposing new narratives in deception just as a defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements systematically keeps on making wrong aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements (systematically flawed meaningfulness) as its fundamental registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> (in registry-worldview terms of implications). On the other hand, a lying deception is tantamount to undertaking an inappropriate measurement-as-of-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose (flaw logical-processsing/act-execution-implicitation meaningfulness) with an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that is not defective (thus appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness). This point to the ad-hoc nature of lying deception wherein there is nothing inherent that precludes
reference/implied-teleology as ‘logically contending’; from a pure ontological-veridicality perspective, more like a medieval mind with a superstitious registry-worldview reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation doesn’t has the implied-profile-or-implied-stature and the implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation to logically contend about the ontological veridicality of an accusation of witchcraft with a relatively suprastructuring positivistic mental-disposition). This technique of mentally grasping the psychopath and other postlogic minds is by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting a ‘distractive-or-circumventive-mental-alignment-or-postlogism’ (explained further in the text) as against an ‘integrative-mental-alignment-or-prelogism’ (the latter being the normal reflex by which the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind ordinarily aligns to meaning, and it is this mental-alignment reflex to meaning that makes it difficult to truly grasp the psychopath’s and other postlogic mental-dispositions which mental-alignment are rather as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to meaningfulness).

Paradoxically, this is the fundamental strength of psychopathy, i.e. to get the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind to wrongly elevate psychopathic meaningfulness-and-teleology as of veridical ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’ rather than reflect the reality of its ‘formulaic meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which is ‘meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated’. So when we talk about psychopathy we are talking about perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> rather than logical defect (defect of logical operation/processing/contention).
decentered understanding’. Slanting (and by derivation cohering-slanting) is ‘technically coherent logical articulation’ however over flawed or non-existent apriorising-reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements, and thus falsely implying the apriorising-reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology as being ‘existentially’ established, with the possibility of a further infinite possibility of logical faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge arising where the reference-of-thought-elements are wrongly implied as of existential-reality. Normally we assume that everyone is sound of mind (that is, assume everyone operates by soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, with contention arising by reflex rather with respect to logical coherence and not the soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in the first place) so ‘we don’t tend to question the being/ontological/existential veridicality of reference-of-thought—(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’). But with the phenomenon of psychopathy, this is a critical flaw at its adulthood stage, as at its childhood stage the ‘deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect’ of the implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry and its elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology is rather obvious and we don’t normally process/operate logically the childhood psychopathy’s non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives since ‘we just invalidate those apriorising-registry-elements to start with as not of being/ontological/existential veridicality’. For instance in the case above, where John were to witness Dad punish his sister Mary for spilling water on a chair, and by ‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of meaning’ (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) determines that if in a ‘dereifying act’ he spilt some water on a chair and said it was Peter, Peter will be punished by
dad; dad, however, having an ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity sense/projection of meaning’ doesn’t even dare to operate/process the logic articulated by John (a logic which in-of-itself while utterly sound technically, but is actually irrelevant in the given context by its fundamental logical-undueness as of its unsound-reference-of-thought/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion) as he simply engages his unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought by way of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and then reflect the reference-of-thought or registry-teleology of John as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or mental-perversion in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. In so doing determines that John is ‘manifesting a mental defect’ and more so, not an ad-hoc defect—of-logical-processing—or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, but rather registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect—<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect> that speaks to how John may act in many other similar situations, i.e. epistemic-decadence (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema>—as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking—<iterative-looping—‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>) by the denaturing of the reference-of-thought or the soundness—or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of meaning over which denaturing he tries to get interlocutors to operate/process logic; and ‘is not even contending and that he is the subject of prelogism—as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation contention about his perversion-
of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-
perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’. The above is the
fundamental nature of psychopathy and ‘it should not be lost even more critically at the
adulthood stage and the corollary of social psychopathy’ as increasingly prelogism-as-of-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds will tend to align to adult psychopaths and
other postlogic teleological mindsets wrongfully as prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation-or-candored/straightened/prelogism instead of rightfully keeping a
decandored/oblongated/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought

/threshold-of–
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (circumventive/distractive-temporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought). Such reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting
(reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) inherently implies a dialecticism involving
supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism narratives as of organic-comprehension-thinking
(organicalism)/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-
ontological-reprojecting or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism narratives. This points to a perversion-
of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> basically or a
registry-worldview denaturing (when it comes to a registry-worldview/dimension
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity). The dialecticism
involves De-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-
mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics),-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence pointing to the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) for intemporalisation/institutionalisation over the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, and enabling ontological-escalation or aetiologyisation as ‘metaphorical principle for an infinity/a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales’/aetiologyisation/ontological-escalation. The underlying fact about meaningfulness-and-teleology is that the apriorising–registry (as the individual grounding of the reference-of-thought of the social-construct registry-worldview/dimension) precedes logic as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing basis for logic. For instance, if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children, etc. The logical operation is entirely right and sound in abstract terms but does the apriorising–registry (reference-of-thought) apply? I.e. The faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is not with regards to the logic (which is technically true) but with the ‘implied’ denaturing of the elements of the apriorising–registry as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which are: implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape (the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape doesn’t exist since the psychopath doesn’t know the guy), implied-profile (the psychopath is projecting a false representation of itself and the situation), implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation (the psychopath has no stature to talk about the guy he doesn’t know), implied-assumptions (the assumptions implying the psychopath’s relationship with the guy and the guy’s relationship with children.
doesn’t exist), implied-value-reference (the psychopath’s elicitation of a sense of value reference in the interlocutor is unfounded and ridiculous) and implied-teleology (the psychopath’s articulation of a sense of purpose on its interlocutor about the guy is hollow mimicking). Finally, the psychopath has articulated a lot of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge but none to do with logic, but everything to do with the denaturing of registry/axiom/categorical-imperatives or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, i.e., slanting-deception or deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts or deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives or deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-preconverging-or-dementing-of-narratives! So with the psychopath, you don’t watch the logic, you watch out for the reference-of-thought/apriorising-registry for mental-perversion or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! Not only that, it is important to note that this unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation do protract and an ignorant prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind acting prelogically (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) on such postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives is ‘technically psychopathic as well’ as they are in hollow-constituting-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to the psychopath’s ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking-iterative-looping–set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-dementativity’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. This is known as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration (whether conjugated to in ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfite-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation), which is to be construed as ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and once it is induced by ignorance it leads to an undermining of ‘deductive social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) which protects the internal-coherence of meaning as of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and corresponding virtue’ and so by way of ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ of registry-worldviews, with subsequent conjugating ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfite-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, the conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration is derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> and goes on to lead to social psychopathy; more like a dumb-and-dumb/miscuing degeneration effect. It should be noted that both psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism cases of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought (as slanted and cohering-slanted, respectively), by their ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’, involve ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated
re-rationalises the latest iterated narrative as an elucidation rather than a further preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of adult psychopath/postlogism (as obvious with the child psychopathy ‘delirium effect’ as it slants and re-slants on the initial slanting in an absolving-logic/fleeting-logic/escaping-logic reflex); and, the falsely projected reference-of-thought implied-elements of logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology, create a new foundation for further preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism when wrongly eliciting in an interlocutor logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation issue, such that one salient manifestation of conjugated-postlogism arises with many of such an interlocutor vaguely articulating propositions based on such falsely ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’. The idea that the ‘natural level of human interlocution engagement is a perpetuation’ can be understood insightfully with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism setup wherein a contention arising in non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought terms when invalidated positivistic terms doesn’t imply that such interlocutors will instantly dramatically change their reference-of-thought into the positivistic terms with their successive contentions (due to <amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag), as their reference-of-thought remains rather in non-positivism/medievalism circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability, and in the big picture in all likelihood can only be ‘weaned from’ cross-generationally as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Likewise the ‘natural basis of human interlocutory engagement tends to be perpetuating’ when it comes
with psychopathy and social psychopathy with respect to its eliciting of a ‘least-and-derived-
 temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold-(as-procrypticism)’,
 thus equally implying a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the reference-of-thought as of the
uninstitutionalised-threshold or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought.
Thus the central notion for preempting psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism is
the ‘retracing of their sets-of-narratives as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’. That revealing unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-
of-reference-of-thought of the traces of sets-of-narratives is analogous to resolving a list of
BODMAS equations where the solution of the first equation is a variable of the second
equation and whose solution is a variable of the third equation whose solution is a variable of
the fourth; and where the first equation is fundamentally flawed (as of an
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument flaw, for
instance), systematically the three other equations will be wrong whether by
(ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfitre-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) mental-
disposition to resolve the equation of the traditional arithmetic principles as reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation without factoring that such reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are only as pertinent (not by habit
or tradition or expediency) but as of when they are truly for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-
or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or ontological-normalcy to then articulate the
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology, and not involve in any elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which will ‘hollow-constitute’ and falsely validate the deceptive foundation of ‘apriorising-reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. This is most apparent with childhood psychopathy as with the dereifying example of spilling water on a chair where it is directly obvious there is no elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity to be had/entertained nor any logical analysis but rather maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness invalidating that the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape of the child psychopath who deliberately in a ‘dereifying act’ spills water on the chair to accuse another even exists, its implied-profile is ridiculous, just as its implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation, its implied-assumptions, its implied-value-reference and its implied-teleology (or sense-of-purpose), and such an approach will equally extend with regards to social psychopathy where by ignorance at best or ‘other cynical temporal manifestations as of conjugating affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation’ an interlocutor was to falsely imply the need for logical analysis in order to falsely validate the foundational faulty-

This phenomenon of the ‘social protraction of psychopathy across individuals and society’ can be articulated as follows. It is important to grasp that the mechanism of SLANTING as of compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising is actually about ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. The suspected psychosomatic basis for the psychopath to be slanted/’cinglé’ is a ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge (entitlement folie/folie raisonnante)’ as opposed to a logical motivation of a supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogic mental-disposition. It is as if ‘the psychopath’s mental state is to take a faulty-mentation-procedure-shortcut’ to the normal process of prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation logical articulation with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Going by the example highlighted above, say for instance the interlocutor finds out that the other stranger isn’t really a child molester. The psychopath simply articulates another postlogic/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridicallogical-dueness/formulaic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical POSSIBILITY OF IT BEING-
formulaically-narrated) over the previous narrative, and so in ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’. For instance, by saying (in a different social spatial location where the interlocutor cannot verify the underlying contextual reality) it is critical that the stranger should not be taking young children in his house as it suspiciously points to a molester (which is certainly a sound statement but rather being parasitised for a perverse purpose of ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers, as the statement, not to take young children into his house, is sanctifying/as-not-requiring-any-further-contemplation to many a mental-disposition). Even if this latter narrative is proven to be false (as it is another perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or mental-perversion demonstrable as above with it faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the logic itself, but in wrongly implying as existentially real the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-
scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-
reference/teleology such that the mere fact of engaging logically with it validates these fundamental falsehood as a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge paving the way for an infinite possibility of second-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-
urge operating logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation on such false axioms. Thus, with respect to postlogism generally what is critical for the psychopath/postlogic-mindset is to be seen as being prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism even if it is a perception of ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation— postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ since that will validate the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ on the basis that it was the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation that was wrong hence the possibility and credibility not to question the reference-of-thought/apriorising–registry/categorical-imperatives/axioms and to re-engage logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation by ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation re-engaging reflex’ wrongly turning the issue into one of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation instead of construing a perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought manifestation’). The psychopath simply needs to loop another non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative over the previous one in ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers. What is critical for the psychopath is that ‘the last postlogic/formulaic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated’ allows its interlocutors to prelogically ‘rationalise’ (align in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation to or prelogism, at-a-pedestal,-in-this-case-ignorance-pedestal) the other narratives even if there are all ‘non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives’. This might
further involve juggling such hollow mimicking in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic among different set-of-interlocutors (this is simply because postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> operates by extrinsic-attribution, i.e. who can I convince to make my argument right as per 'perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness’ unlike postlogism as prelogism which operates by intrinsic-attribution, i.e. what is intrinsically real to uphold ontological virtue as per ‘existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at’), and inducing mutual misconstruing; and the reason for a perpetual psychopath’s extrinsic-attribution inclination is that the outcome of its postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (which is an unusual and rare social experience given that a psychopathic personality and postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> are an outlying phenomenon) with one set-of-interlocutors will involve either a temporal commitment to the postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (due to the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as inducing vices-and-impediments which will then make it alienating) or a 'fool-me-once-phenomenon’ where there is a relative insight on postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> from some interlocutors with no more commitment given the inconsistency of the hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
prelogic/prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind is ignorant of the slanted mental state of the psychopath.

The general and complete operative psychopath perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> mechanism (it isn’t necessarily completed in all manifestations as is rather a ‘mental roaming/drifting-cycle disposition known as postlogism-retreating’ that carries on depending on how the situation permits) involves the psychopath first projecting initially neutral narratives (pre-valuation), then narratives meant to elicit the sense of excellence/exception/accommodation of its interlocutor (pri-individuation) as well as any other person or notion the interlocutor holds in high esteem, which are then contrasted ‘out of context’ unfavourably with non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives about the psychopath’s ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction target’ (de-individuation) ensuring the latter narratives are articulated craftily and at different social locations/spaces. De-individuation further consists of four elements; ‘consternation’ wherein narratives with a ‘sense of dismay’ are induced on the interlocutor about the psychopath’s social-stake-contention-or-confliction target, ‘revulsion’ wherein narratives with a ‘sense of repugnance’ are induced on the interlocutor about the target, ‘certainty’ wherein narratives with a ‘false sense of undoubtedness’ are projected about the target on the interlocutor, and finally ‘a sense of passive or suggestive alienation’ towards the psychopath’s target is projected upon the interlocutor to ‘subconsciously induce a sense of alienation from the target’. The psychopath then strives to settle on the whole of this process circularly doing likewise with other new and pertinent interlocutors as well (commitment). By and large this circularity perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> thus involves these
four elements as pre-valuation/pri-individuation/de-individuation/commitment. Together with its corollary, social psychopathy, this disposition (passive or suggestive alienation) is at various level-of-consciousness-and-wittiness extended to the social-construct as a comprehensive nature of extrinsic-attribution. Passive or suggestive alienation as such with corresponding ‘temporal-dispositions miscuing’ which is ‘misconstrued as intrinsic ontological depth-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’.

reprojecting) being circumvented/distracted by threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism in an epistemic-totalising–self-
referencing-syncretising; and so, in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing along 3-pedestals (psychopath’s
slantedness/compulsive-dementing transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestal, temporal-dispositions
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestals, and the intemporal-disposition transversality-
of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
pedestal in their ontological-escalation/aetiologisation), enabling the de-
mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics), and not as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-
contendingly-in-phase, of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as being
distractive to organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-
reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’); to ultimately prevent its own ‘perceived social alienation’
by inducing the alienation of its ‘perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction target’ over
a social-stake-contention-or-confliction paradigm. Critically, it should be understood that
passive or suggestive alienation is actually the summum of the possibilities of the
psychopath’s meaningful finality that starts from prevaluation (neutral narrations).

It should be noted that the mental state of the psychopath’s interlocutor as ‘ignorance-
temporal-disposition conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ is not really ontologically-speaking
a prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mental state but rather technically a
‘miscuing/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase postlogic mental state’. There are two
stages at which an interlocutor can be in relation with the psychopathic manifestation: first, as
an ignorant of psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> to which the
interlocutor aligns prelicly and then miscues, and then secondly (in addition), as
‘committed-by-temporality/interest over intrinsic-veridicality’ whether in the form of
affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-
social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

It should be noted that this psychopathic manifestation process can be mimicked in
the context of social psychopathy, and more thoroughly when as ‘exacerbation-temporal-
disposition conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. Over a given or extended period the
underlying effect sought by the psychopath might stick, especially where the social target,
interlocutors and others are utterly unaware of the mental state of the psychopath, and so
evolving more like a social-discomfiture of relationship over ‘socially-perceived-value as of
social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (*social-discomfiture as such can be defined as the
subsequent, ignorant or deliberate/disingenuous, adherence as if veridical to the slanted and
hollow mimicking narratives of the psychopath with the corresponding perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> or mental-perversion in the social context). It is important to
see that such social-discomfiture is in reality not a veridical logical ‘contention’ but in
veridicality/ontologically a ‘protracted manifestation’ of notional–procrypticism/notional-
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as to underlying registry-worldview/dimension
uninstitutionalised-threshold perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism/‘logically contending’, more like a medieval mind with a superstitious registry-worldview doesn’t have the stature/presumptuousness to ‘logically contend’ about the ontological veridicality of an accusation of witchcraft with a suprastructuring positivistic mind, as the former makes syncretic/circular references to non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in its supposed articulation of logic).

Paradoxically, the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind is so attached by supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reflex or prelogic-reflex-admittance-reflex or in-phase-reflex to the notion of the essence of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism meaning (as it is not priorly inclined to put into question narratives but rather to quickly operate/process logic to arrive at outcome while ‘trusting’ that the other is also prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation in their apriorising–registry, and so because psychopathy is a relatively outlying phenomenon thus the natural human personality development doesn’t take it much into account in the bigger scheme of things, i.e. it will be ‘a waste of too much mental energy’ to be verifying in detail the apriorising–registry — implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology — of every interlocutor, so mentally the human mind has developed ‘a referencing scheme of trusting that involves closeness, familiarity, reputation and appearance’; but such a scheme is strictly speaking ontologically incomplete and underminable but it is standard as it ‘saves mental energy and time’, hence it is the strongest factor for the social prevalence of psychopathy and its social psychopathy corollary, and by extension all postlogisms//outcome-sought-precedes-logical-dueness across
all registry-worldviews/dimensions); that it will find it hard to articulate or for that matter not believe the comprehensiveness and extent by which the psychopath can produce non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives towards its end purpose, particularly as it is a rather social outlying phenomenon and hence not usually integrated in many an individual’s conceptualisation of social relations and phenomena. That’s why the manifestation of ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’, contrasted to the psychopath’s compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or compulsively-dementing, is ad-hoc, circumspect and highly contextualised since the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind even when acting temporally/badly has a hard time escaping from supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism (it has qualms/conscience) while the psychopath’s compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation is comprehensive since the psychopath naturally doesn’t attach any ‘emotional involvement’ and qualms to the meaning of the narratives it articulates (it views them just as non-veridical hollow mimicking form narratives that determine its interlocutors prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation dispositions and actions). In so doing, the psychopath has a parallel formulaic-representation-of-meaning/meaning-by-the-merely-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated which ‘subknowledging/mimics’ the fundamental elements of ‘supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism deductive meaning’ such that the (adult) psychopath’s non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives come across paradoxically as highly credulous. Basically the relevant question for the psychopath is: ‘how was the hollow mimicking form that can be grasped in a prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation mind deterministic of other prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds behaviours, and how can I then mimic-and-project this hollow mimicking form to determine how others minds will act. These parallelisation of mere formulaic-projection/extrinsic-attribution induced-meaningfulness elements (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) with their corresponding prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-intrinsic-attribution veridical-meaningfulness elements (which are subknowledged/mimicked) involve: ‘toning-triggering/snappings-of-impression/tenseness-of-interlocutory-engagement-(easily copied with conjugated-postlogism at an intuitive-level)’ as subknowledging ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation toning/mannerisms’; ‘hollow mimicking presumptuousness/arrogation/usurpation’ as subknowledging ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation suppositions’; ‘folie-raisonnante/non-veridical assumptions’ as subknowledging ‘veridical assumptions’; ‘absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic’ as subknowledging ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation logical operation narratives’; inductive/contextual limitation as subknowledging ‘principles/projected-logic’; structured-manipulation/deception-or-mimicking-or-gotcha-logic as subknowledging ‘value referencing/applicative-logic’; ‘taking-out-of-context/offsetting logic’ as subknowledging ‘veridical contexts logic’, and ‘extrinsic-attribution acts with respect to conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding contexts on the basis that acts by the psychopath to elicit the temporal-self-interest of its interlocutors will override intrinsic right or wrong; whether such actions include praising, endearing, owing a favour, gifting, assisting, being friendly towards, etc.’ as subknowledging ‘intrinsic-attribution of acts as inherently right or wrong’. On the above basis, the psychopath’s relation to ‘deductive meaning’ is actually reverting to ‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of postlogic
compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-
supererogation as to its threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ construed as ‘reverting deduction’ whereas ‘supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism deductions’ emphasise the intrinsic attributive essence of deductions with corresponding latent forms of prosody, psychopathic vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-
form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging ‘revert or postlogic compulsing–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation
backtracking—iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ deductions’ imply the psychopath overemphasises in a consciously active manner the empty forms of prosody in-of-themselves first and over the intrinsic attributive essence of meaning like overemphasising the toning form (toning triggering) and the supposition form (presumptuousness) in their expressed deductive reasoning, as it mimicks the fact that the forms of prosody tend to be overemphasised spontaneously when naturally expressing profound/deep conviction; thus naturally the psychopathic mindset/reference-of-thought has an unusually large repertoire of ‘sense of meaningfulness associated with empty forms of prosody’ since it artificially perceives them as more critical than the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism mind’s intrinsic meaningfulness the forms of prosody are latently associated with. The peculiarity with the psychopath and in the instance of protracted slantedness/social psychopathy with the case of exacerbation for instance, is the over-elaboration of such forms in a way that is rather an instrumentalisation of form of expression and not natural expression (mimicking or vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-
form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging). In fact, it is often the case that
such line of rather ‘overly emphasised forms of expression with peculiar tonality’ will be noticeable across an entire set of the psychopath interlocutor’s in conjugated-postlogism in their ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ (pointing to vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging), and can be an advanced insight of a ‘psychopathic/postlogic and social psychopathic/conjugated-postlogism situation’, construable with an appropriate maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. This mirrors the operant case highlighted further below, wherein the implied meaningfulness (of postlogic/psychopathic, conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration and supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mental-dispositions) is existentially-traced as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of ‘existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ to establish ontological-veridicality, and not simply operating on the ‘naïve supposition of universal human prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’ without factoring the ‘postlogism mere formulaic slanting compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation mental-disposition’ of the postlogic/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration mindsets/reference-of-thought.

It is important to note that the psychopath’s targeting is highly evolutive throughout its life (along human personality development stages) as ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ with others arise and ‘the possibility of going undetected’ permits. The psychopath being ‘out-of-phase’ is pushed by a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/urge/folie raisonnante, and the idea of psychopath’s having a grand plan/an overall scheme in its actions is ridiculous and unfounded (this idea again, is due to
non-ignorant temporal pedestal mindset/reference-of-thought whether affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation may find it in their temporal-self-interest to cynically elevate the psychopath’s postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or slantedness/threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism-or-mimicking-or-subknowledging, when this is not socially universally transparent (at uninstitutionalised-threshold). Further, the element of the need to be socially-functional-and-accordant first, implies that psychopathy is ‘more than just the drive of a pathological individual’ but inevitably psychopathy and correspondingly social psychopathy involves a ‘social split-dynamism’ wherein the ‘unordinary eliciting’ of temporal interest among some as extrinsic- attribution (praising, endearing, owing a favour, gifting, assisting, being friendly towards, etc.) is the basis for the targeting of another or others, further compounded by the fact that while so-called ‘rules of sound logic’ abstractly permeate more or less effectively most of our formal setups, their sociological pertinence is actually far from established, but for the fact that broad and large general education diminishes social egregiousness in this respect, as specifically ‘reasoning by significant others’ is actually the more common mental-disposition in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) including the ‘informal spaces’ of formal setups, with the result that this is a further factor that makes psychopathy poorly graspable as simply of individual denaturing dynamics rather than of social denaturing dynamics, thus better construed phenomenally as social psychopathy; as logic will often tend to be ‘rationalised in social rather than abstract terms’ depending on level of individuals intuition about the underlying dynamism of the postlogism-as-of-compulsing–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation mental-disposition (going by experience), and then their sense of abstraction or gullibility or disposition to bandwagon effect with respect to a critical aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. (The implication here is that, for instance, it will be very naïve for an investigation involving a psychopath without the investigators being extra-cautious with respect to the underlying social aggregation linkage of potential interlocutors).

hierarchisation), becomes ‘affordable’ (as it doesn’t think it has got anything to lose personally), ‘negatively opportunist’ (as it occasionally finds a temporal-self-interest in backing the psychopath, even though it knows better), ‘negatively exacerbatory’ (as it gains some insight in the psychopath’s mental process and actually strives to copy it adhocly, as a successful way of going about one’s temporal-self-interest). There is equally a social dynamism aspect wherein the issue of ‘social allegiance, affordability and initial prelogic-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation alignment to psychopath-and/or-the-protracted-postlogism’ comes to override the issue of ‘intrinsic rightness’ leading to what is known as ‘social-chainism or negative-social-aggregation or social-discomfiture’ which in turn (because individuals find ‘apparent social success and conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding’ in such social behaviour) leads to the ‘temporal endemisation/enculturation of social psychopathy’. The underlying mental-disposition of the psychopath as postlogic and the temporal prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds pedestals that endemise/enculturate this process thus becoming conjugated-postlogism, is known as ‘extrinsic-attribution’, i.e. the idea of satisfying an interlocutors sense of temporal interests is more important and critical in gaining their support than the notion of intrinsic truth/veridicality of meaning (intrinsic-attribution) thus reflecting their threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism. Ontologically, this requires an altogether PURIST and UNCOMPROMISING intemporal/ontological conceptualisation of such a-comprehensive-social-temporal-hodgepodging which is rather ontologically-discontinuous. This author qualifies as procrpticism preconverging—or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism, and so as ‘ONTOLOGICAL ENTRAPMENT’ going by the ‘human solipsistic/emanant template of institutionalisation/intemporalisation’, given that reality and predication doesn’t compromise with the ‘mortal’ that man is (more like the
positivistic mind can’t afford to compromise positivism to non-positivism/medievalism) exactly for the ‘intemporal good-of-man’.

At childhood the psychopath’s mental process can fully be seen in operation as the slanted effect of its thinking produces ‘a delirium effect’. However, as the psychopath matures it start adjusting to its failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> slanted mental process as it faces the negating social reaction of its immediate family environment and the grander society with respect to its compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising. But then in its child development psychology, this social negation is rather the backdrop by which it evolves (in a process of trial-and-error in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>-absolving-or-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic wherein ‘perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness, i.e. vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’) from ‘a direct and blatant faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge for postlogic slantedness’ in a given social space during its childhood to a state in which the psychopath ‘externalises, displaces and transfers its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge for postlogic slantedness to attain an apparent normal social equilibrium or socially-functional-and-accordant state within any given social space as it develops into adulthood’. It is in this way that a mechanism for psychopathic and postlogic slantedness is relayed to apparently sound supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutors, and so along five factors:
- MATURATION (as childish slanted delirious non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives
give way to increasingly adult and serious non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives which
unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/slantedness become harder to perceive);

- INDIRECTNESS (as the psychopath makes its motive, i.e. the psychopathic faulty-
mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge, less direct and obvious, by increasingly appearing to
bring up narratives in a neutral and unmotivated manner);

- SPATIALISATION (as the psychopath learns to articulate narratives at different ‘social
spaces/locations’ to prevent interlocutors from judging their non-veridical hollow mimicking
narratives and comparing with the effective social reality context to establish whether the
narratives are sound);

- CREDULITY (as with development from childhood to adulthood psychopathy, its
narratives increasingly mimic ‘genuine supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism narratives’
and at an even deeper level mimicking ‘profound supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mindsets on
issues’ the psychopath has witnessed or has experienced insight of, and projecting these out
of their social context to elicit the same effect) as well as readjusting its compulsive-
slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising in a roaming/drifting-cycle as per
evolving situation whether succeeding, being discovered and undermined, reassessing,
backing down whether momentarily or not, bifurcating with the compulsive-slanting—
preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising, etc. oince it is evolving in an ‘absolving or fleeting-
logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic’. Further slanting is done at what it perceives to be ‘the
credulity-level-of-slanting’ with respect to a given interlocutor which constantly evolves with
psychopathic maturation. While the childhood psychopathy slanting is rather haphazard and
by reflex, however the successive failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> is an experiential basis that ultimately skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity) it into more strategic postlogic slanting at adolescence and adulthood with more matured construction and themes. Thus implying a corresponding development from a low credulity effect at childhood to high credulity effect at adulthood with respect to interlocutors, in addition to the fact that at adulthood its postlogism-slantedness is not socially-universally-transparency, that is, it now passes the intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism or ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’) of many an interlocutor;

mental-dispositions; this is rather crude with the childhood-psychopath/cinglé such that it fails to elicit supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism in others as the postlogic-effect is rather ‘delirious’ then (as in the case of wetting a chair) but the postlogism at adulthood psychopathy becomes rather polished/less-crude in its effect ‘with maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity’ to the point then of eliciting a prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mental-disposition as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration (conjugated-ignorance, conjugated-affordability, conjugated-opportunism, conjugated-exacerbation, conjugated-social-chainism and conjugated-temporal-enculturation) which is hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> with respect to the meaningfulness of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology from the threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism. The psychopath perceives instances of rebuttal of its postlogism not essentially in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the rightness or wrongness of the postlogic acts as a prelogic supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism mental-disposition will but rather in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of not delivering well and failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> in its compelling—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of—shallow-supererogation postlogic narratives with the idea of how to further confound/muddle hence the reason it is recursive (postlogic-backtracking—<iterative-looping—‘set-of—dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>) as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic to the point of faking remorsefulness or being a victim as long as fundamentally it ‘succeeds in placing its interlocutor in a prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation relation to its
compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-
supererogation or postlogism mental-disposition’ in order for the former to conjoin to its
So basically, as social-and-confliction-stakes develop from childhood to adulthood, likewise
the psychopath’s postlogic narratives exercise develop and become increasingly serious in its
social consequences as the context of ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ moves from family, neighbourhood, school, company, administration, business, criminality, etc. depending on the development of the specific psychopath. The fact, however, is that many of those who grow together with the psychopath (immediate family, close family friends and relatives, etc.) generally have some insight, however wobbly, into this mental process. Further, psychopathic phenomenon meets with varying impact levels as it’s just a way of being/living for the psychopath, and differences in the setup of 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' context and time might play a role in making its social consequences benign or aggravated.

But then psychopathy and its social consequences, as a social phenomenon, is often wrongly perceived as exclusively due solely to an individual (the psychopath). This is rather an incomplete picture of things actually. The psychopath in a way can be said to suffer from a pathological dysfunction arising in the interaction of biology and the social environment. The psychopath has an urge or the inclination to take a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception to resolving ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’s. This is the reason why its narratives are of succeeding changing/decentering/non-cohering foci in order to wrongly imply the veridicality of the projected apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements which when wrongly acquiesced to is the foundation for its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge; as the succession of narratives are
successive slants over one another, more like a non-cohering deception which is a deception as the basis for a succeeding deception as the basis for a further succeeding deception, and so on, explaining its peculiar absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic and the deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect). Paradoxically, this faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge points to the fact that the slanted child psychopathy mind has ‘a developmental incompleteness (as it is so focussed on attaining its sought after outcome in advance that it construes of ‘presupposing/presuming/premising in concurrence’ as an independent mental activity that must not necessarily be derived-and-implied from existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, which is what validates logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as a process reflecting existential-reality as of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), in the formation of a basic and normal prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) mindset/reference-of-thought’ inducing rather a postlogic compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation mindset/reference-of-thought as it relates to meaningfulness as a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (explaining its absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic mental-disposition); rather than as of the ‘requisite existentially veridical logical-dueness (of apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements) and logical-processing-soundness driven construct’ associated with a prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mindset/reference-of-thought.
And this fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction of its postlogic compulsion–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation mindset/reference-of-thought then goes on to account for the developmental psychology of the psychopath from childhood to adulthood wherein it gains maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness in circumventing its postlogism failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> experiences at childhood and early adolescence to achieve the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance at adulthood. The paradox being that the prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mindset/reference-of-thought will project its own mental-disposition unwittingly upon the psychopath (in the case of adult psychopathy but not in the instance of childhood psychopathy where the latter’s deliriousness/delirious-effect/cingle-effect is often obvious due to lack of maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction), and paradoxically then wrongly validate the psychopath as prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism with respect to meaningfulness as of ‘requisite existentially veridical logical-dueness (of apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements) and logical-processing-soundness driven construct’. However, psychopathy tends to take a social dynamism all of its own which cannot only be explained by the nature of the psychopath who initiates it. The fact is, while supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism, the rest of the human mental-dispositions include varying levels of temporality/shortness (when there is no social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-
totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) of our acts at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ thus there is not ‘intemporal social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation,’ thus creating an ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>). That is, abstractly, with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ humans do solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly suffer perpetually, at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’, from the temporal-dispositions of slantedness (the psychopath), ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. These poor solipsistic abstract temporal-dispositions that pervade the social context tend to be overcome with institutionalisation/intemporalisation and formalisations with corresponding internalisation of values or secondnaturing. However, at circumstances where the institutionalisation/intemporalisation threshold is surpassed or often made irrelevant like in the ‘extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology), then ‘a induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ will elicit the ‘mediocrity/averageness of mind’. This is strongly the case with psychopathy which when ‘successful’ (and not perceived deliriously
but rather wrongly integrated prelogically/in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) will often perfectly elicit an ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality-dynamism’ in the social-construct such that others will find it to their temporal self-interest to perpetuate, whether circumstantially or profoundly, the phenomenon of psychopathy in society, so long as they can rationalise their dispositions and acts. This as ‘social psychopathy’ as a result of the psychopath’s initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (involving protracted/derived slantedness), in the absence of social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) on the veridicality of narratives with respect to social-and-confliction-stakes tends to induce ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (at the point of such lack of social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of its postlogism-slantedness to many a supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutor as the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’).

Hence psychopathy when studied dynamically is rather ‘social psychopathy’. Psychopathy through this social dynamism effect equally influences social behaviour as at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ human learned behaviour is primarily geared towards what is ‘perceived as succeeding or conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding rather than
ontological rightness for rightness sake’, whether intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) or temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), hence its relation to sociopathy which is a more generalised notion of social vices-and-impediments. The social psychopathy phenomenon (in describing the underlying abstract nature of man before institutionalisation/intemporalisation; institutionalisation/intemporalisation being the exercise of utilising the intemporal-disposition by its purist and universal projection rules in an ‘ontological entrapment’ exercise to undermine/override temporal-dispositions subknowledging/mimicking, by virtue of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and overall medium to long term good to the cross-section of human temporal interests) is equally associated with the notion of the stages of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity/civilisation, in an intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation exercise, from an recurrent-utter-institutionalised animal through subsequent stages of institutionalisation/intemporalisation (as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation exercise, ‘as against the temporal human disposition to subknowledge-(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge)/pervert intemporal categorical-imperatives) starting with base-institutionalisation (initial sense of social rules/organisation), universalisation, positivism and prospectively the future institutionalisation/intemporalisation this author qualifies as deprocrypticism (preempting procrypticism, so construed by ‘deprocrypticism ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’). That is, psychopathy as postlogism is associated with temporal-dispositions in their ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ (as prior intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of the various institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels (vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology behind a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation level that then warrants a subsequent ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation of prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). To grasp this better say for instance the normal arithmetic we know 2+2=4, 5+1=6, 7-3=4, etc. was to be undermine by a new human perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> caused by a disease wherein we tend to say 2+2=5, 5+1=7 and 7-3=3, then the traditional categorical-imperatives of addition and subtraction will be modified to take account of our perversion/defect by saying that additionality will involve subtracting 1 from the result and subtractivity will involve adding 1 to the result, so that arithmetic mirrors intrinsic reality outcome (intemporal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). Thus reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are ‘inventions’ that are as pertinent as the extent of their preservation of intemporal reality (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Hence a false subknowledging/mimicking-and-protracted-mimicking with no relationship to intrinsic reality renders categorical-imperatives/registry/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation null and void, calling for the overcoming of the slantedness/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of mental-devising-representation and the articulation of new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation reflecting intrinsic reality. These registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> include:

- RECURRENT-UTTER-UNINSTITUTIONALISATION (base perversion-of-
  reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation, resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant by BASE-
INSTITUTIONALISATION categorical-imperatives/registry-worldview/axioms-for-
temporal-preservation-entropy-as-of-ontological-normalcy),

- UNUNIVERSALISATION (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of base-institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology, resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant by UNIVERSALISATION categorical-
imperatives/registry-worldview/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-as-of-
ontological-normalcy),

- NON-POSITIVISM/MEDIEVALISM (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> of universalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant by POSITIVISM categorical-imperatives/registry-worldview/axioms-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-as-of-ontological-normalcy), and prospectively,

- Procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (slanted perversion-of-
  reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant prospectively
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In the bigger scheme of things such ‘institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure’ as articulated above gives coherence in conceptualising a continuity in the human emanant/becoming anthropological experience; as putting into perspective and not excepting any particular stage of institutionalisation/intemporalisation, as we might tend to do by focussing on the present positive registry-worldview which is just the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, while ignoring the ‘effective and causative intemporal-disposition behind the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure transcendental/psychoanalytic-unshackling process’, which skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—dementativity) ‘the cross-section of human entropic being’ in the medium to long run towards intemporal-disposition preservation while undermining temporal-dispositions. Such a depth-of-thought as projected by the ‘institutionalisation intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ is what creates ‘a sounder scientific foundation’ for ‘a hermeneutic psychological science’ termed ‘anthropopsychology’ or the ‘anthropological continuity’. This can be comparatively compared to the hydrocarbon fractionation column wherein virtue is ‘lightness’. We may be confused to think that being at a lighter state, a particular hydrocarbon fluid like kerosene is inherently the definition of virtue. But actually, the exceptionality (lightness) of kerosene is the result of the ‘distilling process’ which fractionates crude oil into kerosene. So if we start having issues of ‘lightness’ at the kerosene stage of the hydrocarbon fractionation column, what is called for is applying the ‘distilling process’ over kerosene to produce say petroleum gas. So inherently, all the hydrocarbon
fluids are hydrocarbon, with virtue being the application of the distilling process. Thus reasoning from the overall perspective of the human species we can’t afford not to pass ‘so-called modern man’ through the ‘distilling process’ (transcendence as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) as it is because every successive transcendental level ‘did its homework’ that we are in the positivistic world, and we can’t confuse ‘being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure’ with us being inherently exceptional (it is the transcendental/psychoanalytic-unshackling process of undermining perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> that is). Hence ‘our homework’ is to articulate our very own perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> for the possibilities of the future, and not strive to arrive at a normalcy of ‘our temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’ which speaks of inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy as we get at our ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’; instead enabling ‘intemporal preservation’ (by oblongating/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of our mental-devising-representation as a registry-worldview defect/perversion of positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms known as procrypticism preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, for a prospective anticipation and preemption of this known as ‘deprocrypticism’)!
It should be noted that while ‘institutional-cumulation’ and ‘institutional-recomposure’ are used interchangeably, however, the two terms carry two different connotative emphases necessary to make the conceptualisation complete. ‘Institutional-cumulation’ emphasises the contiguity of the process of human institutional transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity (with respect to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) while institutional-recomposure stresses the peculiarity of the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity/memetic-reordering wherein, for instance with regards to positivist institutionalisation/intemporalisation, the constituent institutionalisation and universalisation for positivism are recomposured peculiarly towards the positivism registry-worldview/dimension, and memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-institutionalisation and universalisation, and so too, the constituent institutionalisation recomposured in universalisation is memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-institutionalisation, and prospectively, the constituent institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism recomposured into deprocrypticism will be memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism. This speaks of snowballing/expansive recomposuring/memetic-reordering existential capacity depth with higher institutionalisations; a snowballing akin to the underlying evolutionary and genetic principles behind evolution from say amoebic cells across various other life-forms into a hominid like man, wherein the underlying basic principles go on to induce the complexity of man from simple amoebic cells. Institutional-recomposure also carries the idea that successive/prospective ‘memetic-reordering’ had tended to be based on the use of the outcome of prior memetic-reordering, and so focus mentation capacity on developing new memetic-reordering/recomposuring. This implies that mentation-capacity-wise, human
mentation-capacity across all successive institutionalisations is the same but latter institutional-recomposure/Successive memetic reordering show ‘grander institutionalisation/intemporalisation outcome’ as this is due to their being at the backend of the emanant institutional-cumulation paradigm, utilising the outcome of previous institutional-cumulation effort. Hence the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-confoundedness/transvalutative-rationalising/tranepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation instigation recurrently inducing the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process (is not analogical but a contiguous notion by it intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation across institutional-cumulations) applies universally across space and time (beyond the institutional mirage/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) such that ontologically speaking it is prospectively predicative of future institutionalisation/intemporalisation like deprocrypticism. This thus points to the fact that transcendental analysis (institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure analysis) is not, as may wrongly be thought, analogical but is rather ‘an ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness-and-teleology reference’ (given the contiguity in the ‘precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency-and-continuity of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation referencing’ across all cumulating/recomposuring institutionalisations); i.e., memetic contiguity as the underlying principle of memetic-reordering which is the ‘contiguous dynamism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in the continuous transdimensional/transcendental relation of intemporal and temporal-dispositions’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so, across all cumulating/recomposuring institutionalisations whether from a retrospective, present or prospective perspective. Psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure process can then
consciousness-awareness-teleology by the new registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology (and so deterministically and operantly without any discretion of appraisal which only leads to as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) such as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ by base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ by universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ by positivism, and prospectively, procrypticism ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ by deprocrypticism. This brings up the notion that while candoring/straightness is the way meaning is represented within any registry-worldview/dimension institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, this is just a mental-devising-representation for implying intemporality-of-thought without which meaningfulness is not functional in the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology, but then at that same prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity into a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology put into question this candoring/straightness mental-devising-representation and the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s consciousness-awareness-teleology is then represented as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/decandoring/oblongated. This process is known as collapsing/overriding the prior registry-worldview/dimension, and such perpetual representation in the mental-devising-representation of the registry-worldview/dimension as collapsed/overridden is known as stranding or de-mentation-
Each of such institutional-recomposures (along the institutional-cumulation process), have particular ‘central recomposuring determinants’ which the new registry-worldview is coming after, as follows:

(i) for Base-Institutionalisation, it has to do with the requisite ‘organising rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (as an inherently-’preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-or-subknowledging-or- perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,—and-corresponding-<amplitunding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ relation to meaningfulness).


(iii) for Positivism, it has to do with the requisite ‘empirical rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding non-positivism.medievalism (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of universalistic meaningfulness).

(iv) for Rational-Realism (Deprocrypticism), it prospectively has to do with ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accountability/intemporality-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory--de-mentativity) rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding procrypticism (as the pervasion-of-
Thus in the bigger scheme of things, just as a contrastive dialectical insight (from our present vantage position of the positivism backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process), will strongly highlight by ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’, recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation and non-positivism/medievalism as non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated as in perversion-of-reference-of-thought≪as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation≫ and-not-of-logical-contention, this shows ontologically speaking that it isn’t out-of-the-stranding-template to prospectively imply (beyond our own illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) such a prospective de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of our perversion-of-reference-of-thought≪as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation≫ as of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of our registry-worldview/dimension (positivistic meaningfulness) as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Noting as well that uninstitutionalised-thresholds like recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism equally had a sense of straightness/candor of their meaningfulness in a full blossoming of their own existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications paradigm as we do in our positivistic/procrypticism registry-worldview, within the ambits of their the-
Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. But then their stranding from their prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation represents them as oblongated/decandored/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as the transcendental backdrop/opportunity for the prospective registry-worldview/dimension. This when extrapolated will equally apply with our present positivism/procrypticism uninstitutionalisation/unintemporalisation for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation, and any ‘complex’ we’ll have about that has to do with our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage than the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective). This equally explains why uninstitutionalised-thresholds equally carried a complex about their registry-worldview/dimension and these complexes certainly sound unintelligible to us given our vantage perspective at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process.

With rational-realism (deprocrypticism), institutionalisation/intemporalisation raises the issue of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> (undisambiguation as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions are wrongly given the same elevation), and relevantly so at the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold. The very specific nature of the depocryptic transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity/institutionalisation is to recognise and articulate the veridicality of the fact of human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor at the procryptic uninstitutionalised-threshold, and conjugate this in meaningfulness by going beyond just logical operation/processing/contention of narratives but rather in the first instance introducing the notion of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation’ to avoid wrongfully operating/processing of logic by the reference-of-thought of the intemporal-disposition reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation which is ontological (i.e. is in sync with intrinsic-reality/veridicality), where the effective registries are actually temporal-dispositions thus to be construed as of their temporal references-of-thought. It involves de-mention-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mention-or-dialectical–de-mentionation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) temporal-dispositions manifest denaturing and thus to avoid elevating temporal-dispositions to intemporal logical contending status as this result in the miscuing of meaning as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity.<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>. Deproscripticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation takes stock of the veridicality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor; as successive circular/recurrent/repetitive/repeatable iterating preconverging constructs, and not as may wrongly be reflected by the natural reflex to be postconverging constructs, to emphasise the ‘dominance/supersedingness/suprastructuring of the intemporal-disposition skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity)’ for the fulsome articulation of ontology as ‘abject (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity in conscious transdimensional/transcendental-
memetic-depth (thinking-and-preconverging-or-dementing-dialectical-dynamism-or-dialectics) of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (unlike all prior institutionalisations which are rather intradimensional in their meaningful-depth construed only as a closed <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism dynamism’). As a corollary, meaningfulness or rather memetism or suprastructural-meaningfulness (the more veridical nature of meaningfulness beyond intradimensionality as being transdimensional/transcendental) should be notional and reflect this temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature of deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation to the point of inducing a collective consciousness/social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing<-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness} of ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ (knowledge as understanding not only of the ideal/intemporal but equally how the temporal/defective works distractively, to anticipate and preempt the latter perverseness but doing so rather in a superseding ontologically-minded manner) and intemporal skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity)/deferential-formalisation-transference as virtue and (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity; in contrast to the hotchpotching of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity<-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of temporal-dispositions and particularly in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) which covers all informal spheres of institutions and society.
generally. So because knowledge-notionalisation recognises that in a specie of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuation dispositions, deferential-formalisation-transference which is the bases for institutionalisation/intemporalisation by skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) for the supersedingness/lead of the intemporal-disposition individuation is responsible for elevating human uninstitutionalised-threshold across the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure by the resultant formalisation and internalisation involved in institutionalisation explaining effectively the dialectical evolution from deeper primitivites/mental-out-of-phasings to the present state (limited-and-shallower-human-mentation-capacity to limited-but-deeper-human-mentation-capacity) as a result of the inherent ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-confutedness-or-ontological-reprojecting skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity)/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporalisation/institutionalisation, and the implications prospectively. For instance, the uninstitutionalised-threshold for getting one’s way slyly will involve higher and higher thresholds with respect to virtue from a low threshold at recurrent-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation compared to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, then higher and higher with universalisation–non-positivism-or-medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively highest with deprocrypticism; in line with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of ontological-veridicality. For instance, some hideous acts will hardly be seen as vices in an recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised registry-worldview. Knowledge-notionalisation as such carries a transcendent-existentialism/in-full-existential-depth-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–implications which is more than just
reactionary to the possibility of temporality/shortness (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) but rather ‘a transcendent-existentialism maturing of thought’ (intemporality as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that takes abstract cognisance of temporality/shortness as an intransient potency (hitherto accounting for the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of human circular-uninstitutionalised-thresholds) to be conceptually understood and superseded recurrently and perpetually. Critically, this insight about the effective nature of ontological-normalcy (in its becoming in a conscious transdimensional/transcendental-meaningfulness or memetism or suprastructural-meaningfulness) as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism—by—preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism dialectics/dialectical-dynamism’ indicates that while psychoanalytically prior registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto been based on mental-devising-representations of ‘thresholding meaningfulness constructs’ (with their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) within their ‘functional institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, deprocrypticism going by ontological-normalcy implies a mental-devising-representation of ‘non-thresholding meaningfulness as transdimensional/transcendental-meaningfulness or memetic refinement (or a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism—by—preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism dialectics/dialectical-dynamism paradox) ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-thought’ in its ‘functional institutionalised/intemporalised-approximating-or-proxying-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ as renewing existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness and thought; with such
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag analysis. Insightfully, it implies the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework
illumination driven institutionalisation over an impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation as the-Good sticks by essence to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and reinvents reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview to comply with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation when the prior one fails, while the latter sticks by form to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether this fails intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or not. The conceptualisation of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology refers to the same deconstructed/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness notion; axioms emphasises and hints of ‘basis’ and ‘foundation’ as well as ‘fundamental validation’ as of existential-reality, categorical-imperatives emphasises and hints of ‘necessity’, ‘rigour’, ‘constraining’ and ‘enforcing’, while registry-teleology (short for the apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) emphasises the ‘operant’ aspect as of human situatedness existential-instantiation elements implied when producing meaningfulness-and-teleology. The reference-of-thought is the fundamental-dispositional mentation architecture for human referencing or construing of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and is capable of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction involving demonstration-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) with corresponding de-mentation-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—(as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for positivism or prospectively, positivism is failing/not-upholding—as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism required for deprocrypticism. Thus fundamentally preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought does not arise because of failure of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation but rather because of failure of reference-of-thought as of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. This is unlike the case where logical-engagement of mental-devising-representation as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought is still relevant where there is failing/not-upholding—as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (like calculating the answer of an arithmetic operation wrongly) so long as the reference-of-thought is sincerely/genuinely working in adherence to arithmetic axioms to produce the right answer. But this is invalid and not applicable where the issue is about deliberate disposition not to adhere to arithmetic axioms but usurp them (whether consciously, expediently or
is using pencils to count but inadvertently misplaced a pencil or doesn’t perfectly understand how to stack up the pencils to use to count the whole lot, then where his answer was to come out as \(5+4=8\), we talk of defect—of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as X sincerely wants to calculate to produce the right answer but X’s logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation failed. This doesn’t invalidate the notion that Y can still engage X as ‘possibly-of-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’/possibly-of-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in contending (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) with respect to another arithmetic operation, that is, possibly after pointing out to X where they went wrong in their operation of arithmetic. While threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism performs subsequent acts of-similar-or-protracted-contextualisation to their prior acts verified to be of threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism are priorly projectively invalidated by reflex as ‘possibly-of-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism’/possibly-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not ‘possibly-of-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’/possibly-of-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in implying the ‘revoking of their sound reference-of-thought status’. To illustrate, suppose X above rather slyly and deliberately (preconverging—or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation) miscalculated (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference) the answer (in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema>) and Y grasps this, then this invalidates the notion that Y can still ‘genuinely’ engage X (ontological-pertinence) with regards to another arithmetic operation of-similar-or-
protracted-contextualisation, with respect to the upheld context behind X’s sly and deliberate basis for miscalculating.

the base-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions) is attained
the reflex is to imply a mental-devising-representation of ‘soundness-or-authenticity-of-
reference-of-thought (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism–<stranded-as-
rightfully-oblorgated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase>) and thus
establishing reference-of-thought whether that is veridically the case or not, such that
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism wrongly get endemised/enculturated
as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/of-soundness-or-
authenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation at the socially-betraying-
threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis and this with its consequent implications is the
fundamental basis for the temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation of all perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> and the corresponding <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage,
explaining why we don’t have notions of sorcery and its practice with us today but we do
have the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy (with our socially-betraying-
threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis for the former/sorcery as a non-
positivism/medievalism perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> high enough or
relatively-ontologically-complete as it is rational-empiricism/positivising-driven to supersede
it but not the latter/psychopathy-and-social-psychopathy as perversion-of-reference-of-
thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> in our positivistic meaningful frame which is relatively
ontologically-incomplete for that as in need of the requisite deprocrypticism reference-of-
thought as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-
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rationalising/transepticism/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism. In fact every registry-worldview/dimension has its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (and the idea of questioning beyond it is hardly entertained, whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) which existentially explains the registry-worldview/dimension limits or relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ with respect to ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) in its specific grasp of (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity on the one hand, and on the other hand is the reason for the more profound/deeper socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension which is rather in ‘a suprastructural transcendental-meaningfulness conceptualisation with respect to the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension’, as it is construed suprastructurally beyond the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation given the less veridical reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of its ‘temporal conventioning compromise’ determined by its shallower socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis. Thus we know basically that the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose involved the following intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis with respect to their social-stake-contention-or-confliction specific to each registry-worldview/dimension defining its
behind rules—that-remain-of-existent-reality. The implication being that in a contention among interlocutors in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, the mentation is very much different from ours (positivism) as any imagined pretext is a legitimate one with emphasis being rather on established dominance/subservience relations, with base-institutionalisation the mentation was to arbitrarily invoke any of a number of recognised or incidentally introduced rules that are in one’s favour and again where dominance/subservience relations played a large part, while with universalisation while power relations also played a part the rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,-{(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) was set/given however skewed towards the dominance of say a leader or family/clanic group or priestly class or outright social class; with positivism though, while relatively universal and empirical, the weakness lies in the ontological-contiguity of the contextualisation of rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,-{as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument} (hence not ‘absolutely rational’ with regards to its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis) which preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologicallysame-existential-reality ‘preempting the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism of rational-empiricism/positivising-rules’ as to ‘uncompromising ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflicatedness’ focus, as enabling ‘fulsome ontologising’. Interestingly, while the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation explains how and why successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are at their given institutionalisation levels on the basis of a memetic/suprastructural-meaningfulness analysis or a transcendental/transdimensional-meaningfulness analysis, the notion of socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation actually initially applies intradimensionally in all registry-worldviews/dimensions and it is actually the ‘intemporal/ontological signal’ for the need of prospective transcending/superseding due to ‘failing/not-upholding–as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intradimensional ontologising/intemporal-preservation’. Insightfully, we can grasp the ‘intemporal/ontological signal’ pointing to a socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis with regards to a dimension’s/registry-worldview ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism phenomenon’ like psychopathy and social psychopathy (with respect to procrypticism or perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivistic meaningfulness) or accusations and notions of sorcery (with respect to medievalism); as this has to do with human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal–
disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>
incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought allowed, in order to sync with the
‘postconvergence/preceding/superseding nature of intrinsic reality’ which ‘doesn’t recognise’
nor is involved in temporal-and-social-trading with the mortals that we are to establish
ontological-reference and ontological-veridicality) instead of betraying
ontologising/ontological-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation thus inducing prospective
institutionalisation/intemporalisation by positive-opportunism and the intemporal percolation-
channelling of such emancipation/transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. Thus for instance with regards to adult
psychopathy and the induced social psychopathy, it will be naïve to simply analyse on a
dichotomous basis of psychopathy and its violation of social norm, with the idea that
psychopathy is associated with temporal-dispositions destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> ‘as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-
analysis’/socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation (in conjunction to
ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) and it is naïve
to simply analyse on the basis that other interlocutors have an intemporal/ontological
disposition, in the very first instance. Thus the need, in order to attain such a prior requisite
ontological/intemporal insight, to ontologically construe (as to deferential-formalisation-
transference) contexts of psychopathy and social psychopathy (and generally contexts of
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism in all registry-worldviews/dimensions to priorly achieve an ontological/intemporal insight), before conducting ‘a truly ontological/intemporal analysis’ as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct, which necessarily implies projecting into a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension, in this case deprocripticism; as otherwise the ‘ordinary’ reasoning of a social context imbued with interlocutors temporal-dispositions destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s on the basis of the fundamental ontologising limits or the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the registry-worldview/dimension (procrypticism being the fundamental ontologising limits of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension), will pervert/corrupt the possibility of ‘a truly ontological/intemporal analysis as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct’ preempting the said perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomenon. In this respect, it is equally important to be cognisant of potentially nefarious influences that may arise from pseudo-formalisms as well, and where these are construed out of their inherent context to wrongly imply a genuine ontological analysis especially given the gullible/susceptible nature of the social-construct as it ‘becomes existentially in a dynamism of conventioning and ontology’. Take the case of works of arts like novels and films primarily meant to entertain, and in so doing may induce wrong impressions and conceptions
with regards to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomenon like psychopathy wherein the whims of their creators, aesthetic quality and ultimate financial gain are the primary driving motif, and not necessarily a profound and candid ontological insight of the phenomenon and its social implications/consequences. Basically, as we all know novels and films, while excellent in articulating aesthetic qualities, are not the true world of human lives and consequences. While there is more or less some deontological practice implemented with respect to such tendencies when it comes to issues of gender equality, racism, recently homophobia as well as say the portrayal of victims of some degenerative diseases, such intellectually-sound deontology requiring aesthetic-representations-produced-from-sound-ontological-insight by their creators (which is often not the case but for a cursory understanding focused on entertainment) is not ubiquitous especially when the relevant ‘theme and the intellectual projection behind its ontological analysis’ seem rather aloof to many in society, as is the case with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy; such that the influential nature of such aesthetic products broadcasted or sold to millions of people can easily induce wrong insights, undue romanticism, a poor grasp of its nefarious effects at individuals-and-institutional levels, and worst still perpetuate social ignorance simply by wrongly implied, naïve and fallacious explanations. Central to all such fallacies prevalent in many an aesthetic product with regards to psychopathy is that these often tend to be short-sighted given the unsustainable nature of the arguments in the middle to long run, and tend to be based on inductive limitation or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be of entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be totalisingly-entailing, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-totalising-entailment but speak more of
temporal motive. In this respect, one can cite at individuals-levels instances of many a human interest story tragedy in the press which often go unanalysed, and in the bigger institutional-level for instance what is the underlying dynamics that lead many an organisation or corporate entities to fail inexplicably due to grave and unprincipled mismanagement with profound social repercussions. The implied intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, contrasted with a temporal extricatory paradigm, is necessarily the prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension. Consider the case of contending about a perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation like accusations and notions of sorcery in a non-positivism/medievalism setup where there is no intradimensional intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm given the obliviousness to a positivistic ontological-reference-of-veridicality/contending-reference-of-veridicality as it is suprastructural/beyond the registry-worldview’s/dimension’srecomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology to non-positivism/medievalism. Likewise the positivistic meaningful frame is oblivious to its procrypticism, and corresponding resolution as deprocrypticism as the prospective/transcending/superseding ontological-reference-of-veridicality/contending-reference-of-veridicality. Further, this notion of registry-worldviews/dimensions having socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (that need to be suprastructured by prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions) explains why a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ aligned with ontological-normalcy is what escapes and provides for grander emancipatory possibilities that an
intradimensionally mented or stigmatic psychology wouldn’t enable. The bigger notion of such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is to reconcile the idea that we have one ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality across all times whereas our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in reference (as ‘tentative references-of-thought’) of this same one (ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality and our corresponding/derived meaningfulness-and-teleology thereof, has been varying all along as we evolve from shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity; with the implication that the finality of such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is one that aligns with and is driven by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) wherein ontological-normalcy is ‘an abstract conceptualisation that by artifice covers for human limited but deepening mentation capacity’. Ontological-normalcy (postconvergence) abstractly refers to any relevant/implied registry-worldview/dimension that is in a reflected/perspectivated state of prospective transcending/superseding whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism as having ‘sound reference-of-thought status’, in relation to a corresponding reflected/perspectivated state of prior transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism which is then correspondingly devoid of reference-of-thought, and so going by the inherent human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor that arises by the mere fact that all the
institu

institutionalisations are of the same form-factor since their ‘snowballed differences’ arise solely due to ‘the deepening of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’. Ontological-normalcy as such will imply that the successive institutionalisations are rather shifts-in-the-curve-of-prospective-
which will graphically/as-imagery imply ‘human-grasping-capacity’ on one axis and ‘depth-
of-ontology/ontological-reference-of-veridicality/ontological-completeness’ as the
institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure on the other axis or dialecticisms-of-an-
imperfect-human-grasping-of-‘ontological-reference-of-veridicality’-which-mastery-
improves-dialectically) which rather implies defects of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> or unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of corresponding
prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions implying a voiding of their
reference-of-thought as ontologically-veridical as these become the subject of contention and
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation from the corresponding
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimension which is then the
ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought. It should be noted that a defect—of-logical-
processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-
functioning-and-accordance (unlike a perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>)
implies movement-along-the-same-curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought
whether as an inappropriate/poor-or-bad or appropriate/good or any other variation of the logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, and doesn’t fundamentally voids the ‘sound reference-of-thought status’ with regards to the possibility of an appropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation in another instance. This insight is critical because the defect—of-logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance will often be implied with regards to an issue and resolution of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> which rather speaks to a defect ‘revoking the sound reference-of-thought status’ construed as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> speaking of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect—<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect>. For instance, there is no intradimensional resolution of sorcery accusations and notions of sorcery as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm within a non-positivism/medievalism world, as what is required is a shift-in-the-curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-ontological-normalcy to imply a prospective transcending/superseding positivistic registry-worldview/dimension as the resolution wherein positivising/rational-empiricism takes pride of place as reference-of-thought of meaningfulness. This applies with all perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>s in all institutionalisations as the reference-of-thought is what gives registry/anchoring-of-
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, just in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process has to do with a human-limited-mentation-capacity maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completenessly institutionalising from prospective base-institutionalisation preempting recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), prospective universalisation preempting base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation),
prospective positivism preempting universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism),
and prospectively, prospective deprocrypticism preempting positivism–procrypticism (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of positivism–procrypticism); with the implication that deprocrypticism is actually recomposuringly subsuming of positivism which is subsuming of universalisation and it too recomposuringly subsuming of base-
institutionalisation (all these with their respective personhoods-and-socialhood-formation existentialisms/full-depths-existential-implications). Likewise their respective methodologies/implements are recomposuringly subsumed-as-supplanted constructs (of
varying ontologising-depths-of-analysis and of shallower to deeper socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis), with the deepest-to-shallowest, as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism of rational-empiricism/positivising-rules’ as to ‘uncompromising ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction’ methodology of deprocrypticism (which is very much an ‘uncompromising hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction’, as ‘a deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought analysis’ that is technically non-thresholding-and-proxying-or-approximating-to-ontological-veridicality-and-doesn’t-succumb-to-any-socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis, and also considering that science as we know today is hardly just a question of adopting scientific methods to obtain scientific results, an unspoken fact is that much of science relies on a ‘rudimentary phenomenology in a heuristic hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-
reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction by the researcher’, that simply passes as their personal talents, to obtain results applying scientific methods, and thus we can further imagine the possibilities if this reality came to be fully recognised and sophisticated hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction insights were to permeate scientific research and methodologies), is subsuming of ‘rational-empiricism/positivising’ methodology of positivistic science which is subsuming of the ‘universalising-of-rules’ methodology of universalisation and the latter subsuming of the rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) methodology of institutionalisation – these in reflection of the development of human shallower-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity cumulation/recomposuring/reordering/reorIENTATION. In the case of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism acts of-similar-or-protracted-contextualisation with regards to slantedness/compulsive-dementing (with an underlying element of physiological issue with regards to psychopathic personalities) and the derived social dynamisms of social psychopathy, such implied ‘deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle ‘de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought analysis’ is potentially beyond just ‘benign-and-specific-shallow-contexts-scale-of-implications’ but can be more profound involving institutions and individuals contextualisation as individuals-lives-and-institutional-lives-scale-of-implications and in the bigger scheme of things where such dynamics involve social structuring effects on perceived meaningfulness and values in the overall social-setup it has a
while the state of supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism implies a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation implying a veridical reference-of-thought with respect to interlocution (in the very first instance), and enabling the second instance of engaging in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of logical pertinence to establish (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity. Typically, such an insight with regards to compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation is obvious and transparent with respect to the childhood psychopathy/cinglé mental-disposition, given that an initial encounter often involves a natural ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reflex’ by the interlocutor with respect to their initial narratives but after some familiarisation we come to understand that the initial narratives are in fact preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and thus our expectation of the subsequent narratives they iterate is to initiate or be ready to align by a mental-devising-representation as a ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reflex’. This preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism veridicality explains both the childhood and adult psychopath disposition for absolving-logic-or-perpetually-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic based on extrinsic- attribution wherein the mental-disposition is to move postlogically/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness from one set of narratives to the other and one set of interlocutors to the other with the idea convincing is the notion of getting more people ‘mechanically convinced by vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’ and not an articulation of supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or existential-contextualising-
contiguity principle of reification, be it by adhering to the mere hollow form of principles and narratives in existential-decontextualisation as being deterministic of others inclinations and actions. Intrinsic-reality in its ontological-normalcy/postconvergence indicates that effectively the conjugating/inflecting/deriving/mimicking/in-protration-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (which is often the case with the adult-psychopathic preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) whether unconscious (ignorance) or conscious (affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) effectively underlies an ontologically valid mental-devising-representation reflex as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of such protracting threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. In the bigger scheme of things, it equally explains our mental-devising-representation preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought underlying reflex with respect to prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions and ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation underlying reflex with respect to prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions. A perversion-of-reference-of-thought<$ as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation$> speaks of a hollow-constituting<$ as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation$> defect (as sticking ‘in form’ to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are ontologically defective rather than as being an adjunct to
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’), and the temporal-dispositions to stick to the
previous one speaks not only of act defects but registry-worldview/dimension defects at this
socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis to the fact that such ‘of-
similar-or-protracted-contextualisation’, from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
insight that is preceding/superseding to any hollow-constituting<-as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of shallow
limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness}, will elicit a same defect
disposition thus the need to fundamentally undermine reference-of-thought of the registry-
worldview/dimension at that uninstitutionalised-threshold that endemises/enculturates the
ontological-or-existential-defect due to its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-
depth-of-analysis. It should thus be noted that the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism of reference-of-thought of a registry-worldview/dimension implicitly reflects a
defective/sub-par relative state-of-conceptualisation in hollow-constituting<-as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (a fundamentally
defective/sub-par state-of-disposition) with respect to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence,
as can be demonstrated by ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction,
(and has nothing to do, as-being-caused-by, with an inducing phenomena of ‘perversion-of-
reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’
behind say sorcery and psychopathy; even though such phenomena tend to instigate and
reveal the inherent defect/sub-par nature of registry-worldviews with respect to ontological-
normalcy, with the need for ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction).
In other words, the state of being non-positivism/medievalism with respect to ontological-
normalcy is already a defective state ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-
of-reference-of-thought  defective  reference-of-thought–categorical-
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imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for issues of superstition/lack-of-rational-empiricism to arise whether we talk of sorcery, bodily mutilations and their effects, charlatanisms, etc. Likewise, it will be naïve to imply that our registry-worldview as positivism–procrypticism is in absolute sync with ontological-normalcy by the mere fact that we are at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, as we can equally project prospectively from a retrospective projection insight to grasp how ‘from an abject hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction (of our temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature)’ how procrypticism (preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism as to mere formulaic positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology) in a positivistic registry-worldview structurally endemises psychopathy and social psychopathology. Insightfully, for a grander grasp of ontological-normalcy, the notion of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure and their related conceptualisations are not just ad-hoc in nature but of ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’; which is fundamentally defined by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (going by shallower-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity), in reflecting the precedence/supersedingness of intrinsic-reality/ontology to which an ‘animal’ comes-to-and-re-compose-with-cumulatively by ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction (which is the critical subsuming mechanism for re-establishing reference-of-thought and ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, above and beyond the simple hollow-constituting<-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of any registry-worldview/dimension and requiring their prospective suprastructuring). This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications
form-factor’ is the reflection of the contiguity of successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications across varying meaningful frames, references and registry-worldviews/dimensions; and is abstractly determined by the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology (ontological-normalcy) whatever the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and inherently implies ‘a universal existentialisms/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor across institutionalisations’; which define their specificities and potentials which are basically abstractly of ‘a same form-factor’, with regards to the reality of their temporal-to-intemtemporal-dispositions and the existential implications on every registry-worldview/dimension thereof, though of differing ‘snowballed recomposuring’ of meaningfulness and reference-of-thought. Ontological-entrapment (as a deterministic point of reference that defines dialectical-out-of-phasing/dialectical-primitivity registry-worldview/dimension, and thus avoiding any confusing effects to analysis of the de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics)) is attained by ‘keeping or aligning’ preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (with no shifting by reflex into postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of the wrong ontological-references/contending-references of all established perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions, in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemtemporal-preservation> failing/not-upholding<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemtemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy represented by the rightful ontological-references/contending-references of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions whose mentation/mental-devising representation are ‘kept or aligned’ as ‘ontologically-reconstituting’-or-prelogic-or-logical-process-precedes-outcome-or-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, as in ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation with sound reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. A ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as being ontologically-driven is one where placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (as to ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ mental-devising-representation or preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation) is the reflected/perspectivated implication either as of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ or of preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism as so-reflected/so-perspectivated from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and it is thus ontology-driven beyond any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness distorted meaningfulness-and-teleology. This equally explains why a prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is cross-sectionally dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive given it is sticking to its ‘good-natured’ but ‘ontologically-wrong and failing’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>) as the
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension has the-
Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with a hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>
postlogism-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness
placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
teleology alignment to imply dialectical-out-of-phasing/dialectical-primitivity) insightfully
deduced from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence represented by reference-of-thought of
the prospective/transcending/superseding deprocripticism registry-worldview/dimension.
Fundamentally, the reason for all the dimensions/registry-worldview perversion-of-reference-
of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation>s as limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) has to do with the veracity/ontological-
pertinence of our temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as individuations of shortness-to-
longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, such that whenever relatively sound
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are institutionalised/intemporalised, human temporality in hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>
individuation dispositions (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) will tend to relate, by limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), to this as hollow/formulaic
constraining deterministic constructs which have to be exploited by the mere determinism-of-
form about how others will act (hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>) rather than the essence as intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation being sought originally by
the institutionalised/intemporalised reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation (ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness). This fundamental dilemma of the cross-section of human mentation disposition is ‘a lost cause’, given the reality of the notion of a shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions inherent in a limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation); any resolution is not by wrongly implying any ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equality transformation’ but rather institutionalisation/intemporalisation by its inherent eliciting of positive-opportunism to the grander cross-section of society in the medium to long-run wherein intemporal-disposition/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology individuation dispositions by artifice/institutionalisation/intemporalisation come to constrain-or-dominate the social-construct (over temporal-dispositions/shortness-of-
register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-or-hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-
-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> individuations dispositions); with corresponding percolation-channelling facilitating the perpetuation of such intemporal enculturation even when such positive-opportunism gets weaker with grander institutionalisations/intemporalisations, and so as the grander human the-good. This underlies the fundamental construct of rational-realism that human progress is the outcome of human increasingly realistic grasp of what man is with ‘lesser and lesser vague idealisations’, and that such ‘rational-realism’ enables humans to fully grasp their ‘emancipatory potential’ over ‘deluded idealisms’ that simply create space for falsehood, dead-end dilemmas as well as the
consequent incapacity to take action, since basically knowing-is-acting as of conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity!

Rational-realism (as to prospective deprocrypticism) as such involves rather elucidating distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought/decandoring with three paradigmatic teleologies:
- subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing temporal-disposition (psychopath), with ‘slanted mechanical narratives’ (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase>);
- subknowledging-temporal-dispositions-teleologies (the-various-temporal-dispositions-teleologies), with ‘banal mechanical narratives discomfiture’ (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase>); and
- the intemporally given and ontologising teleology which ontologically reflects/perspectivates the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing-temporal-disposition-(psychopath) and the subknowledging-registries-teleologies (the-various-temporal-dispositions-teleologies), from a ‘organic-comprehension-thinking depth as the dementation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) backdrop of new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

Thus at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is counterintuitive for temporal-dispositions not to perceive their registry-worldview/dimension as ‘un-transcendable’ (acting as if in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation while actually in temporal preservation-as-pseudointemporality; hence dementable/no-longer-
thinking) due to amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence which blinds the temporal-dispositions to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘intemporal preservation discontinuity’ as a result of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-defects (and not logical defect) of compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising (psychopath) and the consequent derived – miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, and sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation; arising from the conjugation with the relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism. The reason why this is critical to grasp is that the veridical intemporal-disposition preserving emanance has to ‘organically and existentially pass-through’/reflect/perspectivate the registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure on the basis of prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. * It is not an ‘avoidable luxury’ as it is the necessary transcendental element in establishing the backdrop for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity/prospective-institutionalisation. Galileo’s medieval ‘round world utterances’ nor Darwin’s and others
‘evolution contentions’ are not idle-and-dispensable articulations as all transcendences (occurring at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level and not logical operation-processing/contention level, are fundamentally about a new existential mental-devising-representation orientation) need to ‘break-the-mind’ of the prior temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> existential mental orientation to avoid as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (for example, no ‘God of plane’ for say an animistic mental orientation that sees gods and spirits as causative, i.e. avoiding to operate the meaningfulness of a transcendent registry-worldview/dimension in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the transcended registry-worldview/dimension). This starts with the would-be transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity inducing intellectual(s)/emancipator(s) ‘owns reflexive individuation maximalising-as-transcendental liberation/emancipation’ from the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of such prior registry-worldview/dimension from which it/they necessarily come from as well as not heeding generalised-social-temporal-preserving-mental-inclinations; and so, consistently cross-generationally since transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity/institutionalisation is ‘beyond just logical argumentation/contention’ as it points to ‘being-or-ontological existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications structure defect’ (defect of reference-of-thought/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, and so beyond logical defect). It is more like (a knowledge-driven/not impression-driven) ‘intemporal preservation recomposing need or memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling’ for institutionalised/intemporalised
being/ontology over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised, universalised being/ontology over ununiversalised, positivistic being/ontology over non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocryptic being/ontology over procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought.

The dynamism of social psychopathy and the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation involved with regards to both the psychopath and protracted social psychopathy (requiring ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’) can be resumed as follows. Basically, the psychopath is involved in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> in a committed drifting-circularity/roaming (of non-veridical dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase narratives ‘it wants to falsely represent veridically’), leading to temporal-dispositions slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect (contrasted to ontologising/intemporal conventioning-rationalising) and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect, and these, hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, conjoining and conjugating to temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, and fundamentally referenced from base ontologising effectivity (intemporal preservation); in ephemeral/temporal and ontologic/intemporal contrast, thus reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the de-mentionation-{supererogatory~ontological~de-mentionation-or-dialectical~de-mentionation—
stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of temporal-dispositions denaturing of social psychopathy (subknowledging/mimicking) arising from initiating phenomenal psychopathy (subknowledging impulse) involving a distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought construal (as the backdrop of new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemtemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and ultimately enabling its transcendental collapsing/overriding for institutional-recomposure/prospective-memetic-reordering). That’s how the ‘given reality’ is being subknowledged/registry-perverted. The technique to be utilised comprehensively for grasping the social psychopathy dynamism is by articulating an intemtemporal-referencing transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reality construct (by intemtemporal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is meant an approach that makes the given prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation reality the ‘reference of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking’, and re-orientating the mimicking-subknowledging into a slantedness/decandoring)/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought based on:

related to as being in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /threshold-of-
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and are rather involved in ‘temporal
preservation’ and not intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
preservation),

2. Psychopath’s compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising (as
dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or hollow-mimicking) in hollow-constituting<as-
disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in
postlogic-backtracking< iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ > as
absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic in committed ‘circularity-of-extrinsic-attribute’ (it
should be noted that there is an internal contradiction reason why the psychopath in its
postlogism in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-
failing-intemporal-preservation>, and equally other temporal interlocutors mimicking the
psychopath’s postlogism in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, will carry on such a ‘circularity-of-
extrinsic-attribute’ as the need to square up to the priorly slanted hollow mimicking
narratives call for new slanted hollow mimicking perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> narratives even if it’s just to get a respite to enable an interlocutor’s or
another interlocutor’s prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation alignment to the
new hollow mimicking postlogism-formulaic slanting compelling–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation narrative,
a process known as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic),

3. Psychopath’s interlocutor’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in
hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex
narratives integration from its prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation
rationalisation (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at)
of the last psychopath’s postlogic non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives in circularity as
well,

4. Analyst’s reflection/perspectivation of the above 3 mechanisms as
postlogic/subknowledging/mimicking/registry-perverting with contention never being about
logical operation/processing/contention of the non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives but
rather mental-slantedness/decandoring (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) of the
psychopath and the interlocutors as ‘a manifestation of vice-and-impediment (never
contention), i.e. rEORIENTATION’,

5. Analyst’s intellectual articulation known as SUPRASTRUCTURING, wherein the
universal ontological implication of social psychopathy dynamism across the human species
(across space-and-time)/the-social/ontological-paradigm is drawn so that the principles so
articulated can be applied in all incidental cases of social psychopathy dynamism (with the
intellectual responsibility of avoiding just an ad-hoc/circumstantial based analysis and never
elevating such poor rationalisations into an ontology, i.e. avoid the extrication-paradigm).
SUPRASTRUCTURING effectively involves: (a) ‘registering’/de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
social psychopathy dynamism, i.e. procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
mental-slantedness/decandoring (b) ‘superseding’ by developing universal axiomatic
construct/categorical-imperatives preempting ‘(a)’ above which are habituated over a
generation or two of the human species for deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity involving its formalisations and internalisations (psychoanalytic-unshackling by: (i) articulating a social universal-
transparency–{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} of the registry-worldview-perversion, (ii) generating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘internal contradiction’ in the perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>
registry-worldview (iii) referencing/registering/decisioning or de-mentionation–
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentionation-or-dialectical–de-mentionation—strandng-or-
attributive-dialectics) the perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> perversion-of-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension (iv) intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-
objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic (being-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-
phase/logically-incongruent/transversal) to reflect/perspectivate a mental-devising-
representation of the superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension as ontologically-
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/dialectical-preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>/registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-
Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-
thought/mental-perversion/subknowledging/mimicking-and-corresponding-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising), inducing a
‘habituation’ of the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension
cross-generationally. For instance, structurally the positivistic mental frame is in alienated-
disposition/logically-incongruent and generates internal contradiction towards the non-
positivism/medievalism mental frame as otherwise you have
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag or the referencing/registering/decisioning
of meaning in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the registry-worldview/dimension that
needs to be superseded/preceded/overridden/abjected, for instance, retrospectively the ‘god of
plane’… type of proposition from an early animistic society which doesn’t comes to terms
with the prospective positivist worldview construct as it hangs on to its non-positivist
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and this will equally
apply prospectively between deprocrypticism and procrypticism as the procryptic
mindset/reference-of-thought will strive to register meaning not prospectively taking account
of procrypticism as a ‘mental perversion/defect’, and likewise retrospectively with the
‘medieval mindset’ with respect to the positivist mental frame. This obviously calls for an
‘intellectual/scientism detachment’ towards the perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> registry-worldview/dimension, with an intemporal-disposition sense of
contributing to the bigger possibilities for of the species, i.e. intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as opposed to an extricatory or incremental or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ or temporal-accommodation paradigm which is about temporal interest, and so, beyond ‘temporal emotional involvement’ or at ‘reality personality’ wherein the notion of human temporal compromising is not an ontological notion but rather defines and qualify the nature of human temporality/shortness in an ontological construct).

This way of hermeneutic ‘ontological reasoning’ to arrive at ‘intemporal-or-ontological meaning’ that is beyond any <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/self-centered/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/mirage mental projection within just a given registry-worldview/dimension so as to ‘grasp fundamental intemporal-disposition as of the inherent nature of existential-reality’ is central to the Deprocripticism registry-worldview/dimension as a doppler-thinking exercise known as suprastructuralism. Suprastructuralism is grounded on ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight and places ‘abstract intrinsic-reality as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ above the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology devising (supposedly for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) meant to represent it in a given registry-worldview/dimension as prior/transcended/superseding (which as such is now construed as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in the mental-devising-representation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, thus requiring new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to ‘preserve the abstract and intrinsic-reality as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’. Deprocripticism’s suprastructuralism involves

[Referentialism involves a reference-of-thought (characteristic of deprocrypticism) construing existence and existential-conceptualisation/construal as about the ‘precedingness of becoming’ as of conflation rather than constitutedness (notwithstanding the instances of
the latter’s contingent approximating-nature for conceptualisation/construal construed as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness). Constitutedness tend to fallaciously imply ‘existence of things in existence’ whereas conflation rightly implies ‘things becoming in existence rather as subsumed-in-existence in a superseding–oneness-of-ontology’; so because constitutedness takes a simplistic shot at construal/conceptualisation of existential-reality practically presuming this to be ‘effectively absolutely real and final’ but then with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) this is erroneous hence the need for re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as ‘re-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ perpetually when aware of its deficiency. Conflation takes a shot at construal/conceptualisation of existential-reality from an open-ended insight/fugue as of referentialism from the more profound ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existential-reality factoring in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of metaphysics-of-absence, and as implied by the notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation that goes beyond <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) which are continually put into question, by being open-ended to upholding/not-failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication. Thus,
constitutedness will wrongly induce virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference, and so, with more and more profound defective construal/conceptualisation consequence with deeper and deeper categorisation and analysis. Often, and where aware, about the critical defective nature implied by constitutedness in categorisation schemes, there will be re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as a contingent resetting resolution for the induced ‘virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-of-constitutedness of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought’ (by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) that will then require another contingent resetting resolution for the subsequently induced ‘virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-of-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ down the line when aware of its further critical defect again (though, in a sense the entire recomposuring process could be qualified as a ‘practical presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ exercise). But then the inherent nature of existence in relation to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) construal of it is one of evasiveness as implied by the ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ such that we are only occasionally and partially aware about the critical defective nature implied by constitutedness in categorisation schemes, thus fundamentally defining the limits even of a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of existential-
conceptualisations/construals. The implication is beyond just the notion of knowledge construal/conceptualisation categorisation schemes and scheming but extends to the very inherent construal/conceptualisation of knowledge as of its implied ontological and virtue construct itself; so because the structural/paradigmatic basis of categorisation scheming are equally the structural/paradigmatic basis of the inherent analysis and meaningfulness-and-teleology construed/conceptualised. Since categorisation schemes (whether construed/conceptualised beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) define the ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’, it is critical to grasp that the inherent structural/paradigmatic limits/defects of such ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’ are systemic hence inducing ‘flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ as of ontological and virtue implications (as ontologically-perspectival-degraded-as-decentered/preconverging-or-dementing-reflexive/entailing-teleological-differentiation-as-of-subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) at the given ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’. Beyond its conceptualisation as of knowledge categorisation and categorisation scheming but rather as of effective ontological-and-virtue conceptualisation/construal, constitutedness implies a simplistic/trite categorical relation in the construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontological and virtue essence that is susceptible to defect as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation or derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation; and as such, constitutedness will speak of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and various shades of temporality/shortness in their ‘constitutedness and conjugated-
constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ including psychopathic slantedness constitutedness. The comparison highlighted further below with respect to the 6 BODMAS characters and character A (Addition) as the additionality defect character, is most telling of the inherent nature of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) induced constitutedness which is conceptually associated with conceptualisation/construal of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ (since such a construal fully reflect the reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal reference-of-thought nature, with high ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ of temporal-dispositions reference-of-thought, much like the ‘conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ of the other BODMAS characters to A’s fundamental postlogism-slantedness pathological condition/constitutedness as when insisting on upholding the <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) and not factoring in A’s underlying condition and defect as constitutedness, and so out of sync with the existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as the more fundamental a priori whose imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring reveals the fundamental defect of applying additionality reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity.). The resolution by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring is most telling of the inherent nature of conflation which is conceptually associated with ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’; as
conflation speaks of a more profound relation in the construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontological and virtue essence that is susceptible to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(&lt;amplituding/formative&gt;epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication, and so even when elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity is denaturing as exposed by existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, to further construe new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation factoring in the imbricatedness/threadness/recomposuring reflecting the existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Conflation, as so-construed in referentialism, by striving to sync with the very inherent evasive nature of existence in its imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring (with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(&lt;amplituding/formative&gt;epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)) as of referentialism is absolutely referencing on the basis of ontological-normalcy or postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as being the preceding notion for construal/conceptualisation with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, and so grasped as conflation
emphasises projective-insights for upholding ontological-normalcy or postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. Hence conflation will tend to avoid systemic defects of analysis associated with constitutedness requiring re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’. Conflation is thus naturally inclined to induce ‘appropriate-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ by the ontological and virtue implications (as ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing). As so articulated, these two concepts operantly address in a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration or any other operant conceptualisation the notion of a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as meaning produced apparently with the ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the various instances) but actually implying ‘different relations to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought’, underlined by the disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions. Further, constitutedness and conflation, as so articulated, are such fundamental notions with respect to how humans limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplinding-formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) come to grasp existential-reality/ontological-veridicality that these two underlying notions are critically definitional relative to existential-construal/conceptualisation of understanding and failing-understanding, and insightfully explain the fundamental basis of the consecutive transformations of human psychologisms as induced by ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ at the
transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional-level of institutionalisations as well as at
the individuation-level with respect to conception and misconceptions of meaningfulness-
and-teleology not only with respect to understanding but equally dynamics of ‘personality
formation and teleological-differentiation’, and so specifically as associated with the
dynamics implied of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, further reflected in the overall dynamics of
postlogism and conjugated-postlogism (including the dynamics of psychopathy and social
psychopathy as social reprising out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of psychopathic pathological insane-fitment, as
of fundamental/most-simplistic constitutedness socially reprised with ‘conjugated-
constitutedness of reference-of-thought’) as well as grasping fundamental dynamics of
institutions and especially as influenced by the extended-informality–{susceptible-to-
which is highly subject to the reality of human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor (emphasising
socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds rather than abject ontology, thus giving room for
‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-
incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-
threshold’). These two concepts are critical relative to grasping and analysing human
choice/notions relative to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology of meaningful-frameworks. Other implications have to do with human personality
development psychology in relation to meaningfulness extending to the
construal/conceptualisation of language development as well as aesthetics and virtue as reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. In a further elaboration of constitutedness and conflation with respect to psychologism, the reason why a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension needs its own knowledge-construct reference-of-thought psychologism has to do with the fact that every registry-worldview/dimension has ‘its own specific constitutedness/conflation psychological complex reflex mechanism’ wherein its limits in the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality are defined, and this is subpar to the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension knowledge-construct reference-of-thought which thus needs its own corresponding psychologism for its superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology, achieved by ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as constitutedness re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification’. Consider the example of the ‘God of plane’ type of expression in an animistic/base-institutionalisation setup, where their fundamental psychologism is so ingrained that every meaningfulness from a positivistic social-setup cultural diffusion is inevitably reconstrued in the animistic/base-institutionalisation psychologism, until down the line the latter’s meaningfulness-and-teleology <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, by way of continuous ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as ‘recurrent re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification of the prior constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ is critically rid of the very essence of animistic/base-institutionalisation psychologism inducing an overall break into a positivism psychologism. It is interesting to note that going by the psychologism of a base-institutionalisation social-setup reference-of-thought for instance, the idea of arithmetic as we may grasp today in a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and as of its operant nature, isn’t the case in its operant conceptualisation in such a base-institutionalisation of social-setup <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology as rather the mental-disposition apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in the use of numbers is more about acting in currying favours or in view to receiving favours meaningfully as of ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-’warped-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ (as can be observed by anthropologists in various forms in many a hunter-gatherer and animist societies), rather than use of numbers considered as of such a relatively independent-domain and exactness of meaningfulness-and-teleology orientation as we construe of arithmetic and mathematics in say a universalisation or positivism registry-worldview/dimension Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving. Thus use of numbers is defined by other ideas in such early hunter-gather and animist societies given Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology like the notion of wealth accumulation, which will be predominantly about ‘inducing a sense of social obligation or faithfulness or deference’ from other persons, and so together with other cultural peculiarities that avoid hoarding and emphasise wealth display,
gifts, etc. Psychologism (as being central in conflation or rather ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as recurrent re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification of constitutedness), refers to the underlying human reflex mental scheme of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ‘allowing for its given capacity to supersede its psychological complex in construing ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity and corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The bigger question could be asked; why doesn’t humans in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation spontaneously articulate and relate to meaningfulness-and-teleology as humans in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, who do not do likewise as humans in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, who do not do likewise as humans in positivism–procrypticism? Is it a difference in species, as of successive species? Obviously, no! As we know from history and anthropology that cultural diffusion has shown that all humans are able to come to terms and operate at the highest forms of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation. This fundamentally points to the centrality of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychology ‘placeholder-setup/mentation/mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as arising and determined by its specific limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness in relation to conflation) construal/conceptualisation as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’. The underlying human psyche is in need of a ‘framework of intelligibility construal/conceptualisation’ as its mental-scheme (psychologism) by which humans, given their limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), can then project ‘mental and existential investment’ in a world of perceived stakes (social, natural and/or supernatural) in a ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ (which holds the resources for individual and collective human possibilities, like prior developed culture,
language, skills, etc. available for individual and collective intersolipsistic exploitation and renewal). Noting that at stake is its existential survival and thriving, and so it is involved in a relative zero-sum game of existential possibilities, on the basis of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) determining its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as enabled by the ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. This ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ is highly linear as of the possibilities for construing human psychical and institutional readjustments in inducing successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure which are thus equally in a linearity. This notion of ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ harkens back to that of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation by its socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions further redefining the possibility of uninstitutionalised-threshold as the threshold for failing/not-upholding the institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and the possibility of prospective institutionalisation as renewing reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to the uninstitutionalised-threshold, thus further redefining successive prospective socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds as successive prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions. Thus, implying a dual-faceted representation of human mental-disposition as uninstitutionalised-and-institutionalised, wherein by metaphysics-of-presence, the present registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought by its inherent presencing-inclination disposition will asymmetrically be oriented as institutionalised in secluding its uninstitutionalised facet from placeholder-setup/mental—
devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with any sense of uninstitutionalised-threshold being rather an afterthought posture rather with respect to the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised facet of reference-of-thought. It is this appreciation successively implied registry-worldviews/dimensions prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought emphasising both institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-facets that naturally validates the notion of a ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ that is counterintuitive to a stigmatic/mented psychology as conceptualised today. Such a ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ by its contiguity in grasping the implications of human temporal (pseudointemporal)-to-intemporal mental-dispositions as a contiguity of shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology should be predicative of human meaningfulness-and-teleology (much the same way that the notion of temporality-to-intemporality thresholds driven construal enables an existentially operant <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construal of virtue beyond the ‘relatively impression-driven basis of conceptualisation’ associated with
referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-
enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, as conflation, of temporality-as-
pseudointemporality-to-intemporality of human individuations as is the case with
referentialism as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, as so implied by
‘notional–deprocrypticism’), under the positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-
of-thought as absolute value-judgment (not withstanding its prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as positivism–procrypticism); likewise, we’ll
necessarily be suspect with regards to a corresponding approach where for instance the non-
positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought equally construed a relatively
ontologically non-contiguous stigmatic/mented psychology construct based on its registry-
worldview/dimension ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-ordinal-as-qualifying-
phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context categorising dispositions’ or ‘second-level
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, on the basis of
its meaningfulness-and-teleology as value-judgment (not withstanding its prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism–{failing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-
directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism)
when factoring in such mental-dispositions as believing in superstitions, alchemy, notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, etc). As we come to recognise that such an approach renders the meaningfulness-and-teleology as value-reference of every registry-worldview/dimension at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as the absolute determinant of what can be psychology, with a naivety that doesn’t allow consciously, (as consciously decentering and pivoting with respect to human psychical and institutionalisation implications), for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity, as it doesn’t factor in the said registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought to then project that there may be a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought which meaningfulness-and-teleology as value judgment transforms psychological-construal/psychologism. The best possible outcome in this regard is as of the construal of a ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as it establishes prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by social universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. As setting up the relevant contingent psychologism is only by a construal that the best possible psychology-construct/psychologism is necessarily attained by successive registry-worldviews/dimensions construals/conceptualisations by their contingent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by social universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (that is, 'contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’), and so successively across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, whether retrospectively or prospectively. This insight about the nature of a mented/stigmatic psychology compares with the instance about a Kantian absolute apriorising/axiomatising/referencing exercise; in that in both instances, human mentation capacity is construed as absolutely given at all times, with that mentation capacity rather ‘reflexively and erroneously’ absolutely construed as of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, and what is not factored in is the fact that there is a human limited-mentation-capacity that maximalisingly-recomposes as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity inducing the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations reference-of-thought with their own 'specific institutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments’ as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to their social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-,<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; with the implications being that social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-,<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-
deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-

epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-

formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-

non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism). Thus, with

notional–deprocrypticism further enabling the abstract intemporal/ontological contiguity

grasp of human ‘individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level meaningfulness-

and-teleology’ as it can accrue at the intradimensional-level of individuals-notionally-as-

receptacles-of-temporal-to-intemporal-individuations and individuals-as-institutionally-

constrained-actors-as-of-intersolipsistic-deambulation, and hence ontologically-adoins in its

construal/conceptualisation the construct of the individual and the social as of

‘deprocrypticism suprastructuration’ or ‘deprocrypticism suprastructural psychical-and-

institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology synopsising-depth as of the

overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reconstrual of superseding—oneness-of-ontology’

(just as in the natural sciences, physics ontologically-adoins chemistry and chemistry

ontologically-adoins biology). This is in contrast with an ontologically non-contiguous

stigmatic/mented psychology construct which relative ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-

identitive-constitutedness’ largely limits its notion to ‘affect’, and not a full-blown

ontological-contiguity as conflation elaborated ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology’ determination

in full ontological converging with the social (as metaphysics-of-absence of the social,

‘conflation psychologism’ based on ‘temporal-to-intemporal contrastive-synopsising-depths-

of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ going by the ‘referentialism technique of point-

referencing, explained elsewhere,’ that restores existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-

reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in undermining procrypticism or

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is achieved. Insightfully, (beyond ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’) the full  

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of conflation as implied with referentialism as the underlying transcendental memetic/suprastructural-meaningfulness fugue reflecting existential-reality will take an even more critical bearing with respect to deprocrypticism psychologism as unlike the articulation as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (rather heuristically and beyond consciousness-awareness-teleology) in previous institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, with deprocrypticism conflation is rather bound to be perceived and construed as of the (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in its full potential on the basis of referentialism as of the full development of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Thus, the notion of conflation (including ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’) can be conceptualised across all transcendences as providing the ‘centering platform’ (that reflects the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-reality as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) as the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-
of-thought, for ‘decentering’ the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought in its ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ with respect to the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought overall existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context meaningfulness-and-teleology; (as ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality increasingly supersedes ‘prior-conventioning as social-aggregation-enabling’, wherein for instance scientific explanations psychologism (as of prospective conflation) supersed mythical/supernatural/alchemic explanations psychologism (as of prior constitutedness) as ‘prospective-conventioning as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity’; interestingly, highlighting how and why transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity for prospective institutionalisation is construed in transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity terms as its strive for a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought necessarily implies a more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with respect to the prior as uninstitutionalised-threshold prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought revealing which by reflex adopts a social-aggregation-enabling disposition with respect to the prior-conventioning). In this respect, ultimately the full achievement of conflation will involve fully expanding the sphere of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity, as of ‘intemporal-disposition knowledge constraining construct’, for thorough construal/conceptualisation of social reality which is relatively highly prone to ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought and thus resultant presencing—including-identitive-constitutedness’ as of social-aggregation-enabling, hence undermining relative
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality  transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity of the social. Ultimately, given the comprehensive and typical underlying proneness of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<amplitudining-formative>epistemic-totalisingly,\text{-as-to-existence}\text{—as-sublimating-withdrawal,\text{-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\)\) to constitutedness as its fundamental mentation deficiency at uninstitutionalised-threshold or as of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ (which it tends to resolve by ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ when aware of defective constitutedness) with respect to psychical-orientation, meaningfulness-and-teleology construal/conceptualisation, institutionalisation and its overall existential becoming, as so reflected in the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions; deprocrypticism by its very transcendental essence comprehensively comes into grips with the constitutedness in positivism–procrypticism as it attains more than just ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ but an overall comprehensive conflation insight as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism for superseding positivism–procrypticism. Conflation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism in superseding constitutedness, provides resolution as of 3 aspects of meaningfulness-and-teleology: firstly, with respect to temporal instigating as constitutedness like psychopathic-slantedness insane-fitment ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation and its derivation with respect to temporal reprisings of such constitutedness as ‘conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ associated with conjugated-postlogism temporal reprisings by construing/conceptualising such perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\(<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>\) phenomenon, and re-establishing social universal-transparency-\(\{\text{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,\text{-as-to-entailing}-}\)
that by itself is the fundamental basis for human knowledge-and-virtue; secondly, articulating the universal aetiolisation/ontological-escalation as of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness; and thirdly, highlighting the structural/paradigmatic pivoting/decentering as prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought possibilities. It should be noted that ‘a mentation reflex as decentered and in de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics)’ is no less valid with respect to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ (speaking of uninstitutionalised-threshold) as ‘a mentation reflex as centered and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ is valid with respect to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’; and so, with no relevant need for attending to any ‘psychological complexes’ with respect to a representation as of an uninstitutionalised-threshold wrongly being construed as of institutionalisation (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold) as being ‘a mentation reflex as centered and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ instead of ‘a mentation reflex as decentered and in de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics)’. The point of this statement is that when procrypticism as our uninstitutionalised-threshold is bound to be construed as of metaphysics-of-absence, the normal psychologism we know of as of our positivism institutionalisation will no longer apply, as our procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology will be represented as decentered and in de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as the necessary/requisite backdrop for the
construal of prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation ushering in deprocrypticism as prospective institutionalisation. In this regard, we’ll certainly inherently relate to preceding successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism effectively as decentered and in de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics), though this will most probably be resisted with respect to such a representation of our denaturing of positivistic meaningfulness as our prospective procrypticism uninstitutionalisation (just as the correspondingly humans in the preceding successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds by mentation reflex had, consciously and unconsciously, resisted a representation as decentered and in de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics)); while we can recognise successively the centered and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism nature of base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism, though probably less so of deprocrypticism institutionalisation as it points to the decentering and de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of our procrypticism uninstitutionalisation. Such institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold construal at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional-level is reflected/perspectivated operantly by the concepts of conflation as of centering and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought implied with institutionalisations and constitutedness as of decentering and ontologically/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought implied with uninstitutionalised-thresholds; prompting the respective institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold psychologisms as of the
apriorising/precedingness of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reflecting this reality beyond and above our subpar
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought in positivism–procrypticism from a deprocrypticism perspective, just as we’ll recognise for instance that a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mental-disposition contending against positivism institutionalisation meaningfulness is actually acting out a subpar
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought as of the apriorising/precedingness of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reflecting this reality beyond and above it from the positivism perspective. Thus it is fundamentally the case that the requisite construal/conceptualisation as decentered and in de-mentation-{supererogatory~ontological~de-mentation-or-dialectical~de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} of an uninstitutionalised-threshold is hardly just one of ‘simplistic knowledge elucidation’ but rather an elucidation as of intellectual courage in bluntly asserting decentering and de-mentation-{supererogatory~ontological~de-mentation-or-dialectical~de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics}. Intellectual courage as imbuing knowledge with organic profundness of intemporal-disposition philosophy rather than just a mechanical construct of technicalities is the central driver for all initiated transcendences and prospective institutionalisations, as this goes beyond intellectual institutional-being-and-craft, since there is ‘no magical knowledge technicality’ for implying a more profound ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over a relatively relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought but for such intellectual bravery to buck
the trend or subvert as so displayed by the many illustrious positivism registry-worldview/dimension enablers subverting a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought, fundamentally so with respect to such an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality knowledge construct issue associated with transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity rather than a conventioning sovereign construct/choice issue associated with social-aggregation-enabling. In this regard, the issue arising is ‘altogether not a knowledge elucidation problem’ with respect to the implied representation of uninstitutionalised-threshold as decentered and in de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) but rather a ‘psychological complex issue’ of the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. This explains why the issue is construed ontologically in ‘psychologism terms as of \(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag\)’, as requiring a coming to terms with the understanding implied by prospective institutionalisation as of its more profound existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; as more fundamentally, Galileo’s use of a telescope to demonstrate a heliocentric system with respect to the non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought is not about the inherent knowledge implications to which the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought has ‘mentally shut-off’ to, but fundamentally about the ‘psychological complex’ of the non-positivism/medieval world of countenancing such meaningfulness as jeopardising the prior (non-positivism/medievalism), with the implication rather for the need of the prospective psychologism as the positivism institutionalisation psychologism \( (<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought \) foundation as new placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology) requisite knowledge or meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought. Such equally applies with respect to deprocrypticism prospective institutionalisation relative to our procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold. In other words, prospective institutionalisation as transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is construed not in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘mechanical-knowledge’ which refers to ‘the simplistic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework outcomes construed as the overtly compelling aspect of the knowledge’ validating a knowledge construct but is construed rather in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘organic-knowledge’ which refers to ‘the mental-disposition and mental-orientation as reference-of-thought/psychologism construed as including the discreional contemplative aspect of the knowledge, behind the thought process that eventually leads to and is subsuming of the mechanical-knowledge’. Thus prospective institutionalisation as transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is grounded on such an underlying reference-of-thought associated with organic-knowledge qualified as the institutionalisation psychologism. In this regard, a chemist or botanist for instance in a non-positivistic as medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation setup will certainly not confuse the fact that its demonstration of chemical reactions or a plant demonstration to approval in such a social-setup necessarily imply that ‘the underlying positivism mental-disposition and mental-orientation as reference-of-thought/psychologism construed as including the discreional contemplative aspect as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of positivistic knowledge’ behind its thought process eventually producing the validating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework outcomes means the medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation setup has grasped the positivistic organic-knowledge, as it is very much likely that it will surreptitiously and
beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-conjure up explanations/meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of its non-positivistic medieval alchemic or non-positivistic animistic reference-of-thought psychologism; as it is naïve to think that implied organic-knowledge as of prospective institutionalisation transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity requiring its own reference-of-thought psychologism can simply be construed as ‘mechanical-knowledge’ while still upholding/keeping the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism, as the organic-knowledge rather points to ‘validating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework outcomes as its mechanical-knowledge aspect but further requires a development of the discretiononal contemplative aspect as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of the knowledge’, grounded rather on such a prospective institutionalisation psychologism as its ‘suprastructuration’ or its ‘suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology synopsising-depth as of the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reconstrual of superseding–oneness-of-ontology’, and not the prior/superseded/transcended uninstitutionalised-threshold psychologism. Such organic-knowledge gets institutionalised to an extent by the habituation as of circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the mechanical-knowledge implied reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling involving <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag towards the ultimate cross-generational alignment to the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought, as a positivistic registry-worldview reference-of-thought. Interestingly, and so across all successive institutionalisations, what tends to be lost ‘the failure to register
fully that the ‘intemporal-disposition projecting mental-disposition’ behind ontological-
primemovers-totalititative-framework validating the institutionalisation of ‘mechanical-
knowledge’ is rather the ‘vitality aspect’ of organic-knowledge and it is ‘not a passive
dispensation’, just as well that the ‘temporal mental-dispositions’ superseded towards
attaining the ‘mechanical-knowledge’ is ‘not simply a passive distraction’ with the insight
that there is a contiguity as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-disposition relative to
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality across all the
successive registry-worldviews as at all their uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal-
individuations-as-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are a drawback to
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity (by adherence to
‘<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
of prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions’ inducing their successive
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and critically so as across all registry-
worldviews postlogism leads to a characteristic mental-disposition at their
uninstitutionalised-threshold of deception-of-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-
presuming/false-premising-of-narratives and the consequent derivation, due to induced ‘lack
of constraining social universal-transparency–{(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,–as-to-
entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-
completeness), to other temporal-dispositions as conjugated-postlogism, and so beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>
whether conscious or unconscious) while the intemporal-individuation-as-longness-of-
technique of point-referencing (explained elsewhere), which involves ‘contrastive temporal-to-intemporal synopsising-depth from a deprocrypticism perspective’ that re-establishes existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and in so doing undermines the relatively defective terms of ‘positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation psychologism’ (disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and setting up ‘deprocrypticism organic-knowledge institutionalisation psychologism including the discreclional contemplative as of the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality aspect in preemting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought or upholding jointedness’, as structurally/paradigmatically transcending the overall vices-and-impediments of positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension. The further implication is that deprocrypticism is rather construed as a perpetuating metaphysics-of-absence which driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality can then enable that way the perpetual upholding of organic-knowledge. This ‘mechanical-knowledge by organic-knowledge’ implication for conceptualising institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is validated by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ across retrospective and by implication prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions. This can be further expounded as follows in similar terms. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process behind the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure doesn’t only imply that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process


<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-supererogatory—epistemic-conflatedness (with change rather reflected as a result of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)), such that in addition to the human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) eliciting
forming the new ‘organic-knowledge’. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process carries on this way right up to deprocrypticism, such that across the successive institutionalisations apart from the intemporal-threshold of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance as explained above; with respect to temporal-thresholds of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, temporal mental-dispositions are rather in arrogation/usurpation relation with the determinant nature of ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ as ‘mechanical-knowledge’, and so as


as well as postlogism inducing defect of reference-of-thought or perversion-of-reference-of-thought—\textit{as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation}. Postlogism as such involves deliberate and wrong pretence of rational projection of thought (as of teleologically-degraded synopsising-depth) whereas existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reveals that such thought derives from ‘denaturing axiomatic relation’ as the
dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) implied by the mechanical-knowledge explaining the successive need for ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality to overcome such distortion/perversion/misconstrual; as in fact despite such a vague idealism as intemporal-romanticism, implicitly where highly pressing we tend to be obliged to recognised this temporal-to-intemporal reality as implied in the way we go about developing many a social formal construct. Thus deprocrypticism knowledge as overlapping the mechanical with the organic, as of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the mechanical-knowledge, is a further validation of the idea of notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding of knowledge which emphasises in principle and beforehand/as-of-a-priori a deliberative consideration of this temporal-to-intemporal human disposition in relating to mechanical-knowledge as of prospective possibilities for a better preempting of temporality/shortness and skewing towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as of organic-knowledge overlapping. Further, the reality of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor means that human meaningfulness at all times is more of ‘a solipsistic transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffectervative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human meaningfulness as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffectervative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ and ‘not a ‘solipsistic commonness of meaningfulness that wrongly implies no temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions mental-dispositions’, as any
commonness is ‘a commonness implied with respect to secondnaturing institutionalisation as of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction thresholds’, with the implication that there is no point acting and relating with knowledge as if it is about a solipsistic transformation into intemporality/longness but rather relating to it as a secondnaturing exercise of skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity or deferential-formalisation-transference) with respect to the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process as virtue (a notion equally implied by many a prophesying metaphysico-theological construct as the intemporality/longness and transcendental projections as of their limited-mentation-capacity in their own times in resolving the issues of human temporality/shortness in their times). In which case while such intemporality/longness cannot be construed as of a social commonness of reference-of-thought, it’s occurrence if it does occur can only be construed in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (more like the abstract notion of faith, by definition and as implied in many a creed, however metaphysical though, can only be solipsistic to an individual and not amenable to a commonness of social contemplation) as of abstract intersolipsism. The Nietzschean metaphor ‘God is dead’, as of human emancipation, is one whose validity can only be countenance where it implies the capacity of human pretence of intellectual-and-moral sublimation, and not the notion of intellectual-and-moral decadence.

*Thus to sum up, the overall notion of confilation in relation with other elucidative associated notions can further be clarified as follows in ‘interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental terms in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ as well as ‘individuation terms of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions’. With regards to the
apriorising-psychologism’) and uninstitutionalised-threshold-facets (‘decentered/out-of-phase’ and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as caricaturing-hollow-staging-and-performance). Supposed a notional–conflatedness or conflation abstraction across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions on the basis of the referentialism technique of point-referencing

uninstitutionalisation, while it ‘pointlessly strives to be centered and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism by reflex’ by not recognising its uninstitutionalised-threshold or the procrypticism uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as all ‘present-states’ of registry-worldviews/dimensions do by reflex), and thus rather involved in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaning as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. But then we know and can appreciate that all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions were ‘decentered and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ going by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’. This ‘anti-transcendence as anti-uninstitutionalised-threshold and anti-prospective institutionalisation mental-disposition’ of all ‘present-states’ of all registry-worldviews/dimensions is due to the fact of such ‘present-states’ <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag desymmetrisation alignment overly-overemphasising the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation-facet in a corresponding relation with a dissymmetrical alignment over underemphasising its uninstitutionalised-threshold-facet, but with such representation becoming critically ontologically untenable at the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold where meaningfulness-and-teleology breaks into threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. With regards to individuation terms of
human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions (and in further articulation of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument with respect to registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘present-states’ as of their
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag), conflation
referentialism technique of point-referencing from the intemporal-projection/intemporality individuation point of point-referencing for conflation (given that the intemporal-disposition by longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is ontological as of supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing), in disambiguating/delineating the
‘various temporal-to-intemporal synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ by social
universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context with respect to prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and in so doing establishing ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-
<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework projection insight’ with respect to the distinctive alignment implications of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-
social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s (which are the very ‘temporal-distractively-
aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shallowness-of-

2008
thought/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) as of aetilogisation/ontological-escalation (which is the very ‘intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~social-context-construed-conflatedness’); such that an insightful storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration as elucidative of aetilogisation/ontological-escalation is necessarily one construed at the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing crossroads of temporal-to-intemporal individuations synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’.]

In other words, suprastructuralism (as of its referential and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence emanance perspective and as a doppler-thinking exercise) ushers in a whole new comprehensive registry-worldview across the entire social construction-of-meaning called deprocrypticism, much like positivism did over non-positivism/medievalism or universalisation over ununiversalisation or base-institutionalisation over tert-uninstitutionalisation. Central to such ‘a universal notion of deprocrypticism’ is the idea of an abject-recomposuring-ontologising by upholding ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation, involving postdication with postdicatory techniques and postdicatory mindset/reference-of-thought in reflection of the suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality (more like the positivistic registry-worldview is all about existential positivistic conceptualisations, positivistic techniques and basic positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought superseding existential alchemic conceptualisations, alchemic techniques and a basic alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought that defined the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension); involving ensuring intemporal-disposition organic-comprehension-thinking that upholds-and-is-the
grasp of intrinsic-reality/full-ontological-veridicality as fulfilling ontological-normalcy; all along the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure levels but for incomplete human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity the preceding institutionalisation levels are more like successive compromises towards deprocrypticism as ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation). A critical distinction between deprocrypticism institutionalisation and positivistic institutionalisation has to do with the former uncompromising relation with respect to upholding ontological-contiguity thus overcoming the temporal-emananances-registries hotchpotching (<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} or banality-of-thought dynamism, and specifically in the extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} even though it is very much present in the formal sphere as well) and the incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought inherent in the positivistic mindset, thus the latter tends relatively to be weakly ontologically-contiguous with all the existential implications thereof, whether with regards to virtue construal or subject-matters issues. Further as with all transcendences, the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity going from procrypticism, or the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology, to deprocrypticism will involve a psychoanalytically preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism deconstruction/ontological-
registrying/dueness with the corresponding de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) stranding the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension transdimensional-meaningfulness–
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as organic-comprehension-thinking and the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension intradimensional-meaningfulness as threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (just as successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought, in a conceptual grasp of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and the suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, had priorly moved from an utter-institutionalisation registrying/dueness/existentialism to a base-institutionalisation registrying/dueness/existentialism, to a universalisation registrying/dueness/existentialism and then presently a positivistic registrying/dueness/existentialism, with corresponding de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—
stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) stranding prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningfulness as organic-comprehension-thinking and the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningfulness as threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
should be noted that human uninstitutionalised-threshold refers to the point where a specific institutionalisation is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by a formulaic adherence (lip-servicing) to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation hence attaining its uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein the ontological-veridicality of the mental-devising-representation is ‘in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not organic-comprehension-thinking’, and we can envision retrospectively the points of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—strandng-or-attributive-dialectics) of preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions from our vantage point of being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process like an insight in the recurrent-utter-institutionalised ‘so-called savage’ mindset/reference-of-thought or the medieval mindset, for instance. Likewise such a threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism registry-worldview projection though of a different nature of the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension can be made prospectively from a deprocrypticism insight that overrides our illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/mirage given its more suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence vantage perspective in relation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontological-referencing. The general underlying principle for deprocrypticism methods and techniques is that of being abjectly ontologising, beyond positivistic meaningfulness conventioning and temporal-accommodation as ‘ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction’ for undermining notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–
placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity that are enablers of the associated institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure: for base-institutionalisation the circumspection is one of contrastive uninstitutionalised-threshold – institutionalisation analytical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding institutionalisation; with universalisation the circumspection involves contrastive ununiversalisation – universalisation analytical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding universalisation; with positivism the circumspection involves contrastive non-positivism/medieval/alchemic – positivism/rational-empiricism analytic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding positivism/rational-empiricism; and prospectively, for deprocrypticism the circumspection will involve contrastive temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions analytic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding the intemporal-disposition as ontology. Critically, human analytical mentation capacity mainly disambiguates what-is-in-effect organic-comprehension-thinking and threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, respectively as the mental-devising-representation of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism representation and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism representation. Equally, with regards to human mentation capacity, the effect of limited mentation capacity characterising a given registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level and its social-construct not only defines its inherent vices-and-impediments but such a social-construct further and critically structures and stifles the natural renewal of human emancipative dispositions. For instance, non-positivism/medievalism stifling inclinations to
think outside of medieval mental-dispositiona and likewise with regards to our procrypticism. The bigger point of successive institutionalisations has to do overall with their specific emancipative registry-worldview/dimension framework as fertilising the cross-section of human practical and conceptual incidental issues and endeavours as well as the virtue constructs at the said registry-worldview/dimension. What is interesting with regards to an incidental study like psychopathy and social psychopathy with respect to the grander deprocrypticism institutionalisation level within the treatment of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure meta-conceptual frame is that it provides (besides being critically important to grasp by itself as a parasitising/co-opting phenomenon that can potentially arise in all human locales) the incidental and the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework backdrop and background that informs and deepens understanding of the overall meta-conceptual analysis of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation issues (issues arising from the tempering or false implying of the apriorising-registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology and thus inducing a fundamental flaw with the reference-of-thought in the first place, and further at a second-order level in wrongly implying the existential veridicality of logical-dueness (thus making irrelevant the construing of soundness or unsoundness) of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation), which in turn further enlighten the incidental analysis of psychopathy and social psychopath. Such dynamic and mutually beneficial insight at the meta-conceptualisation and incidental further extends to other related incidental issues relevant to the meta-conceptualisation.
It should be noted that this overall explanatory exercise is ‘not reasoning by analogy’ but rather contiguous (ontological-contiguity) as the fundamental notion is institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy (intemporal-preservation contiguity; by a skewing device (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity)/deferential-formalisation-transference of the averageness of human temporal-dispositions, with corresponding formalisation and internalisation as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition which is inherently ontological and synes with intrinsic reality in its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and hence its supersedingness as it induces overall social virtue-as-of-ontology). Institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) involves:


- universalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation (whose reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-


- and prospectively deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation (whose reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation will carry the ‘virtuous and intellectual responsibility’ to recognise that ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ is an endemic human mental defect/perversion disposition retrospectively to prospectively, and that this is ‘a lost cause’ due fundamentally to mediocrity principle of humans having in reality ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ and not ‘universal intemporal-disposition’, and the construct of deprocryptic categorical-imperatives/axioms should be anticipatory and preemptive of ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ perpetually at the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’. More like the modern notion of medicine doesn’t work on the idea of exceptional people, as this will ultimately lead to a wrong and superstitious disease theory, but accepts that structurally bacteria, cancer, organ failure, etc. cause disease and that the virtue of medicine is about how to understand and preempt the above causations; likewise deprocryptic virtue operates on a realistic grasp of human subknowledging/mimicking/temporal-to-intemporal-solipsistic-projections at
uninstitutionalised-threshold and then strives to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference for the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition, which is ontological, for intemporal-preservation entropy/contiguity).

We can garner such emanant (becoming) ‘psychoanalytic unshackled insight’ of how we transcended from non-positivism/medievalism to a positivistic registry-worldview. A literary insight can also be grasped reading Chinua Achebe’s Things Fall Apart on how a community where a traditional registry-worldview with its sense of purpose had to deal with positivistic transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. Think of the state of the mind of Okonkwo of the Umuofia Clan. Though, in this case the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is by cultural diffusion rather than by internal philosophical transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. Basically, all transcendsences involve ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling of this sort’. Counterintuitively, it should be understood that no transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is rational because you rationalise by operating logic on a sound registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives but then the need for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity due to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-’threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ is putting the soundness of registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives in question (as reference-of-thought supersedes/precedes logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation), so you rather have a reinvention as
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought
of a new and better registry-worldview/axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives by the
psychoanalytic-unshackling coming from its better grasp/ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework of the world/intrinsic reality. Basically, we can say that human-
emanant/becoming-transcendence is the first level of human invention (incremental
inventions of relatively sounder minds; with the would-be ‘intellectual-analysts’ undergoing
their own philosophical/first-level transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity to liberate themselves before
seconndaturing/institutionalising for the new possibilities for the species; noting that, this
doesn’t mean that the Descartes, Comtes, Galileos, Newtons, Darwins… of the world,
miraculously came up with positivism to supersede/precede/override/abject medievalism, as
they were of medieval stock but by philosophical transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity could project beyond the limits of non-
positivism/medievalism even were they were still imbued with remnants of the old like
alchemic beliefs. Hence it is the transcendental process that is actually critical)!

Now what positive can come from psychopathy? From the intemporal perspective
NONE. Besides specific social consequences of psychopathy as the context of ‘socially-
perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ moves from family,
neighbourhood, school, company, administration, business, criminality, etc. depending on the
development of the specific psychopath; by and large, ontologically and as reflected by the
organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/“Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought”–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology), the psychopath’s and other postlogic articulations have a
‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>
registry-worldview/dimension defect of reference-of-thought-categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology: wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, as of its
inherently-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—state-in-relation-
to-meaningfulness-and-teleology requires prospective base-institutionalisation—
ununiversalisation which as of its inherently-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-
teleology requires universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism which as of its inherently-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism,—state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology requires positivism—
procrypticism as of its inherently-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-
directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—
state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and prospectively positivism—
procrypticism which as of its inherent disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought requires
deprocrypticism. And this memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling process, is
fundamentally about ‘the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency/postconvergence of the
entropy to preserve intemporality’ known as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
or—ontological-preservation, with the idea that reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
or—ontological-preservation are as pertinent only as these preserve intemporality, and are
collapsed/overridden by new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation,
when shown not to be preserving intemporality, as when of perversion-of-reference-of-
thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with regards to the preceding reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

Further a registry-worldview/dimension that so misanalyses is not ‘shaped’ to review but rather syncretises/is-circular in its failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation rather than implying prospective ones for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; such that ontologically-speaking the phenomenon is in a circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of reference-of-thought denaturing and relative-ontological-incompleteness, and endemised/enculturated (with a temporal rationalising reasoning that actually validates the veridicality of a human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as to shallow-supererogation—to—profound-supererogation that should not be confused with a secondnatured/institutionalised disposition in relation to virtue). This effectively forms the recomposured backdrop for prospective transcendental construct of deprocrypticism, as the ‘ontologising organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that reflects/perspectivates the protracted threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. But then, a psychopath can be so irrational that in temporal terms it might do a lot of ‘good’ to a specific individual or group of individuals (for instance, steal and distribute or even some other things but coming initially from a vice; as may be enabled by the psychopath’s faulty-mentation-procedure-deception—or-urge to attain an outcome). This dynamic element can make psychopathy and social
psychopathy difficult to deal with as a social phenomenon, as the questions are not only how culpable is the psychopath but extend to who is temporally getting what from the psychopathic situation, what accounts and narratives should be believed, etc., thus requiring an abject and intemporally uncompromising ontological conceptualisation to construct an ontological-primer-movers-totalitative-framework science. That said, beyond just about such a present worldly take to societal issues, there is a bigger question of the universal implications on human civilisation of postlogism in hollow-constituting-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation phenomena as reflected above regarding the contiguous process of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation behind human civilisation.

It is equally important to note that as much as the psychopath seem to have a weird mentality (slantedness), the incidence and initiation of psychopathy, equally has to do both with the nature of the psychopathic/postlogism mind contrasted to the nature of the ‘normal supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogic mind’, which are antipodal as the normal mind is by reflex prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as to existential-contextualising-contiguity and by reflex will tend to see prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism narratives while the psychopath is of postlogic compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) and does has
an covert vista (when the interlocutor is not forewarned/experienced about its nature) in wrongfully inducing a sense of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism in the normal mind by compulsion–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation projective narrating (an insight that is easily picked up seeing the childhood psychopathy growing into an adolescent and an adult, as its more covert mental structure at adulthood can be retraced and associated to the awkwardness of expression at early life in understanding what the adult psychopath is up to), hence the reason a mind in search of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism (normal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind) will speak of a pathological liar, by liar wrongly granting the psychopath a supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism, be it a ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’, in the very first place, hence aligning integratively to the psychopath instead of aligning in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. It is rather a flaw in the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind’s perception (prelogism or supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism while the psychopath’s mental-disposition is formulaic slanting compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogism in preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism)!

Straying into a basic elucidative anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity (a novel hermeneutic approach to psychology); extrinsic-attribution is a fairly common social
mental-disposition, at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ as we are not inherently intemporal (the-
Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in our solipsistic projection
but have the potential of temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)
solipsistic/emanant projections of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s. The mechanism of
institutionalisation/intemporalisation and formalisation ensures that because of the positive-
opportunism that the intemporal-disposition (as it syncs with intrinsic reality and is thus
ontological) brings to the cross-section of human temporal interests at 'socially-perceived-
value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction', it tends to skew (‘intemporality-
asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity)/deferential-
formalisation-transference and dominate temporal-dispositions in the medium to long
perspective. For instance, everyone will like to see a good legal system to ensure that they do
not fall afoul of a bad judgment even if, circumstantially, maybe they themselves may be
inclined not to have others or some others to enjoy the same (of course, the internalisation of
our ‘present institutionalised/intemporalised positivistic meaningful worldview’ will seem to
imply that we do have a first nature disposition to be inherently civilised to want to
universally wish that everyone have to deal with a fair legal system, that anyway is to the
credit of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process, but that is a
secondnatured/internalised construct). This explains why there is no need to breach the
scientific principle known as the ‘mediocrity principle’, (which says that there are no
exceptions/specialness in science), to wrongly say that man is inherently intemporal (as in
reality man is a temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions creature in its moral/virtuous-agency); to explain why society tends to improve/progress. Rather, the intemporal-disposition structurally brings more overall good and hence skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) man in the medium to long perspective towards ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework (institutionalised, formalised and internalised)’. This elucidation is important because while internalisation might point to the social good it is important to understand that when dealing with our solipsism at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ we aren’t anymore intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) than temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) going by the ‘mediocrity principle’, and the analysis should take account of this (by not just operating/processing logic but construing temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation with a de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) highlighting organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and the distracting threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Why talk of ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’? This is the underlying notion of ‘a grand theory of psychology’ that has been missing to turn psychology from a paradigm of the human present as modern into a paradigm of across-and-of-all-times! Why? The foundation of a human psychological science should be fundamentally about ‘the contiguity/entropy conceptualisation of the human psyche’ (and as this permits institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure or anthropopsychology or ‘the-anthropological-
continuity’, i.e. cumulating/recomposuring from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, based-institutionalisation–unununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism). The present treatment of psychology will seem to imply that all psychology is about psychoanalytic techniques on the modern positive mind, which is rather naïve and uninsightful not just in terms of scope but critically depth of conceptualisation. The answer to this ‘contiguity/entropy conceptualisation of the psyche’ is about how the underlying notion of ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation abstractly allows for human-subpotency survival/existence/emmanance/fulfilment/flourishing in existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness and assumes a fundamental referencing base in the study of the psyche (noting that by saying ‘notion’ is meant, the notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation covers the concepts of temporal preservation (including subknowledging, mimicking)-to-intemporal preservation, just as the notion of good covers the concepts of good-to-bad). Correspondingly, this notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation involves ‘mental candoring’ where mental-devising-representation syncs with intrinsic-reality and mental decandoring where mental-devising-representation is a wrong/flawed perverted representation of intrinsic-reality. If we have an anthropological continuity/anthropopsychology, then the continuity as entropy is the exercise of candoring as ‘straightness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought referencing/registering/decisioning or registry-teleology’ (being a functional representation of how an intemporalising registry-worldview/dimension perceives itself) and decandoring as ‘perverted/brazen-but-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought

Such a transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisation, for a novel genuinely universal psychology as anthropopsychology, involved in all successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is profoundly elucidated with associated notions as follows:

- The concept of ‘stranding’/de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) is the very drive (in providing insight on the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework, i.e. temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions) for such a conceptualisation of anthropopsychology or ‘genuinely universal psychology’. The philosophical conceptualisation of stranding is rather ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation’
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) can be implied as mental-devising-representation across all registry-worldviews/dimensions not withstanding any registry-worldview’s/dimension’s illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness mental-devising-representation, and so, by accounting anticipatorily and preemptively for the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,—of-its-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether a retrospective, present or prospective registry-worldview/dimension. Hence the need for ‘collapsing’/overriding of the transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with prospective transcending/superseding reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in anticipation and preemption as untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, as secondnaturining and ‘not as temporal-dispositions transformation’ to wrongly imply a universal dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation human predisposition. For instance, the veridical stranded mental-devising-representation we may have from a positivistic standpoint of the non-
positivism/medievalism mind as oblongated/decandored is not recognised by the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought by its syncretic reflex to be functionally in its mental straightness and candored (even though such a representation is ontologically wrong regarding its mental-devising-representation with respect to the its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism insititutionalisation/intemporalisation).

Prospectively, the de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of our own mental-devising-representation by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective depocrypticism as oblongated and decandored at our uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring depocrypticism institutionalisation/unintemporalisation will equally meet with an epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase reflex that will not recognise its slantedness and decandored veridicality. The intemporal-disposition is rather about emphasising institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling as the means and basis for prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation. This highlights the vacuousness in all transcendental relations wherein the transcended is vacuous with respect to the transcending. Such vacuous transcendental manifestations involves dialectically (the transcended and transcending relation with regards to:) deductive narratives instances, life episodes, life schemes, general being/existential dispositions and the specific existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications involved with a registry-worldview/dimension; wherein temporal-dispositions present-consciousness (in their illusions-of-the-present) perpetually portray candor and straightness but on retrospection are shown to be decandored and oblongated which ontologically implies these are veridically in de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) notwithstanding their as-wrongfully-straight/candored. This is ontologically foundational (more like the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument grounding spirit of arithmetic cannot be undermined in any way possible and you then have the possibility of sound arithmetic thereafter). De-mentation-{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} prevents temporal-dispositions (in the articulation and re-articulation of narratives) by the ‘temporal-dispositions disjunction/skipping’ to ‘wrongly imply the narratives subsequently articulated and re-articulated are of intemporal-disposition teleology hence wrongly implying candored and straightness, whereas these are in effect <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag iterating narratives of temporal-dispositions teleologies’; and so, by way of coring which involves accounting-for-temporal-dispositions-defect/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (the-perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) and avoiding setting-aside which rather involves glossing-over-temporal-dispositions-defect/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (the-perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). This ensures in effect ‘the De-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics),-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’.

Ontology is an altogether coherent construct with no room for excepting from coherence, which then simply implies the superseding of any such pretence of an excepting. (For instance, we can be calculating the sum \((5 \times 5) + 5 - 5\), and make the mistake to say \(5 \times 5 = 24\) but then overlook it and agree together that the answer should be 24 and go on to resolve
the entire equation as 24. This type of non-ontological thinking (a non-ontological thinking is also known as a misanalysis or misthinking or misreasoning or mislogic or as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase or circularity or notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema>, as there is no veridical meaningfulness that exists out of ontology or isn’t in ontological-contiguity) is highly prevalent in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of society as social-aggregation-enabling, the reason we strive to formalise whether in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of laws, institutions, organisations, etc. The basic fact is that the virtue of the intemporal-disposition constructs cannot accommodate non-ontology since reality doesn’t adjust to man and it is man that adjusts to reality. The De-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics),-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implies that an interlocutor’s retrospectively demonstrable narratives miscuing and subsequent perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> speaks of the real nature of its present and prospective narratives as decandored and oblongated in effect ontologically but that by an illusion-of-the-present reflex as well as for the sake of functioning we tend to represent by default such miscuing and perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> meaning as straightness/candored (intemporal) which is not ontologically veridical; in which case the prospective transcended registry-worldview strands such meaningfulness as decandored/oblongated (subknowledging/mimicking) even if the mental-disposition of the transcended registry-worldview is in an illusion-of-the-present straightness/candoring mental-devising-representation of meaning. In other words, de-
mentation-{supererogatory-ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—
positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought cross-generationally (consider the diffusion of positivistic registry-worldview and its psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of non-positivistic registry-worldviews in the 19\textsuperscript{th} and early 20\textsuperscript{th} century). Stranding defines the ‘decandored registry-worldview/dimension dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) mental-devising-representation’ such as the mental-devising-representation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, and so, beyond the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness of all these successive registry-worldviews/dimensions which in their <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present will tend to wrongly recover/syncretise to project straightness/candoring of mental-devising-representation as intemporality/longness rather than decandored/oblongated mental-devising-representation as temporality. Stranding is validated by the fact that transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisation speaks of an ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation constraint/secondnaturing’ and ‘not temporal-dispositions transformation into intemporal-disposition as dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’; and this idea is so foundational that it is beyond-and-supersedes/precedes/overrides/abjcts the consciousness-awareness-teleology of temporal-dispositions such that ‘they are not called upon in argumentation’, just as we are not consciously called upon to establish whether blood flows in our body, as it is a preceding/superseding truth that supersedes/precedes/overrides/abjcts our thinking or not of it! Thus de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological~de-mentation-or-dialectical~de-
mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) is rather intemporally/ontologically conceptualised for its validation and integration in the survival-and-flourishing imbued institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling (formalisms and internalisations) mechanism with the implied ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and positive-opportunism as ontological entrapment, with no temporal-dispositions firstnature-or-intemporal-level-validation but rather secondnatured-or-institutionalisation/intemporalisation-level-validation. At which point de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) articulates temporal-dispositions teleologies orientations as ‘subknowledging/mimicking/mental-perversions/slantedness manifestations at that ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’, i.e. the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of temporal-dispositions undermining the very ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy’ supposedly they are supposed to uphold). Ultimately and in the bigger picture, (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting <amplituding/formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) and <amplituding/formative>entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability))’ and with regards to the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-estheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>) the teleology of human de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) reflects the human-subpotency for attaining cross-generational transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-
mentativity with corresponding dialectical and psychoanalytic existential reorientations (‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), and it is well beyond the idea of just a ‘structural/paradigmatic argumentation convincing’ intradimensionally as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (based-on-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-of-the-registry-worldview/dimension as absolutised) as to a registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness that is ontologically-deficient/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, in the first place; as teleology as such reflects human-subpotency sublimation-over-desublimation possibilities in existence as to underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. Ontology being the intemporal-disposition, the exercise of ‘directing’ convincing as logical-processing/logical-operation to temporal-dispositions is inherently unwarranted and is rather of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase as it wrongly implies that temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of their dimension’s/registry worldview’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is of sound mental representation; rather what should be implied is the prospective intemporality/longness instead preserving prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with pertinence being about ‘articulating and directing’ intemporal/ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness-and-teleology towards the ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling’ as secondnaturings of the new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. For instance, the positive (intemporal mind) will not engage in a direct logical convincing with the non-positivistic/medieval mind as this just validates to the non-positivism/medievalism disposition that its non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology is sound such that it goes on to operate/process logic by <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-dragnon-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Rather the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will project the new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of positivism (as rational-empiricism/positivising basis of reasoning) through positivism institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling and highlighting, in the bigger scheme of things, the relative efficiency and positive-opportunism of a positivism-based rule of law, social organisation, polity, nation-building, etc. based on positivism axioms and which inherent effectiveness and supersedingness/transcendence breaks the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought (which are not rational-empirical/positivising and tend to essences, alchemic-logic, sorcery constructs, etc.) with its defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This takes an
utterly impersonal form (law, officialdoms and subject matter formalisms) which allows for an abstraction of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn’t allow reflexively. The ‘transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness complex-of-stranding’ refers to the counter-intuition from a registry-worldview/dimension perspective in not representing itself as stranded (decandored or oblongated or in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism when it is demonstrated that it is perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and rather syncretises in operating those same reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation prospectively; while that same registry-worldview/dimension intuitively recognises that a prior/superseded registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation as stranded is ontologically veridical as the prior/superseded registry-worldview/dimension subknowledges/mimics and self-reference-syncretises it’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. The reason for the human ‘transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness complex-of-stranding’ is that a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are fundamental and constitutive functional elements of its existentialism (full-existential-depth-
implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation and hence the complex when <amplitudating/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present. But then, if such a complex is to stand, the transcendental exercise by which man left the cave-to-so-called-modern-man wouldn’t have happened, and any registry-worldview/dimension (retrospective, present, prospective) that fails its own de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as to elucidation-and-superseding-of-its-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–as-to-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism to allow for prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for transcendence-as-the-grander-possibility-for-human-survival-and-flourishing is obviously failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> its ‘own homework’ for the bigger picture in the human species survival-and-flourishing scheme, notwithstanding it is at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process!

As an anthropopsychological disposition, rational-realism as deprocrypticism just like all successive transcendences in emphasising increasing realism counter-intuitively to a naïve temporal take is actually a ‘positive-minded/well-meaning disposition with respect to man/the-human-species’ with the idea that ‘it is better working with what intemporally/ontologically is (that is, the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) to achieve the best intellectual-and-moral outcome for man’ than ‘working with what-one-wishes’ from a wrong temporal/impression-driven construal’. The idea of understanding the ontology of human temporal mental defect is not to ‘idle’ in a temporal circularity that defeats-and-debase the
grandor of a universal/intemporal projection but rather strives to better stir man towards the intemporal-and-ontological as virtue, an exercise which while of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ with regards to human temporality/shortness wouldn’t however acquiesce to the naïve disconcertment that takes the ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ of intemporality/longness for temporal correctness towards which the intemporal-disposition is definitely intransigent and uncompromising for effective intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Such a rational-realism as deprocrypticism disposition views the fundamental anthropopsychology drive for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity which involves de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity by decandoring/oblongating (representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) on the basis of the veridicality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor rationally, and ontologically represents the social-construct (as validated by the shifting relation of social conventioning and purist ontology) as being in effect ‘a highly cohesive paradigm’ at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation but ‘a poorly cohesive extricatory paradigm’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold. The notion of the social-construct as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm is actually an aspirational
ideal and reference for ‘human intemporal projection towards it’ but it isn’t ontologically veridical by the inherent solipsistic human nature due to a temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions human reality, and thus the need for institutionalisation to skew (‘intemporal-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) towards intemporality/intemporal-preservation as human secondnaturing. This elucidation is vital in pointing out that the teleology of rational-realism as deprocrypticism (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest conceptivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting <amplituding/formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) and <amplituding/formative>entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability))’ and so as to the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>), is not to strive for the wrong notion of human intemporal/ontological ‘congruence’ with respect to knowledge and virtue (as human dispositions are not congruent, as thus the idea of ontological-congruence of the intemporal-disposition with temporal-dispositions will compromise intemporality, and hence compromise ontology), but rather to aspire for a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human intemporal-disposition with respect to temporal-dispositions (as this upholds and doesn’t compromise the ontological veridicality in intemporal-disposition projection as to the ontological reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions at uninstitutionalised-thresholds). That is, knowledge-notionalisation involving grasping and understanding both the ignorances/temporal-dispositions and ideals to better skew/deferential-formalisation-
transference towards idealism as the fulsome ontology, and not failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to understand or overlooking the ignorances/temporal-dispositions as the temporal on the wrong basis that all that matters is the ideal as intemporal. Furthermore, temporal-dispositions tendency to pervert/dement/subknowledge-(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge)/mimick-and-syncretise at uninstitutionalised-threshold with the dialectical consequence of the development of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions (institutionalisations) validates the appropriateness of striving rather for transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaффirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and not nested-congruence to uphold intemporality, and hence a complete ontology. To put it in other terms, for instance, transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaффirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of ‘keeping the faith’ only in the intrinsic operation of rules of arithmetic (transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaффirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing among interlocutors, in principle or notionally, so that at all times it is always about the intrinsic reality of the arithmetic and not the agreement-disagreement of any human interlocutors as we are all mortals and likely to corrupt such intemporal rules with our mortality out of an intemporal frame of reference that is transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) is vital to preserving ‘ontological arithmetic’ as transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity, whereas if the notion of arithmetic calculations was to involve social-and-temporal-trading with other humans (interlocutors logical nested-congruence) instead of intemporal exercise, it is obvious that down the line the notion of ‘ontological arithmetic’ will sooner or later be corrupted and/or teleologically-degraded as more likely than not the intemporality/purity of mathematics will be compromised to human mortals stakes of social-and-temporal-trading as social-aggregation-enabling, and so as of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s.

* It should be noted that in the De-mentation-{supererogatory~ontological–de-
mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics),-in-a-contiguity-
of-increasing-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dialecticism of transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity involving the transcended and the 
transcending dimensions, the terms highlighting the transcended dimension like decandored, 
oblongated, dialectically-out-of-phasing/dialectically-primitive, etc. do not carry the same 
connotation as a shallower temporal analysis intradimensional to the transcended dimension. 
The idea is not to idle in articulating meaningfulness within the dimension in need of 
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. For instance, a 
positive mind’s articulation of defective meaningfulness in non-positivism/medievalism 
registry-worldview/dimension is not to ‘idle’ by relating and staking such meaningful 
articulation in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the non-positivism/medievalism world 
sense of meaningful purposefulness but rather to project a positivistic worldview’s 
transcendental meaningful purposefulness. In that sense, actually for the social scientist and 
philosopher words like dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, primitive, decandored, 
perverted don’t carry the ordinary and temporal connotations of stigmatising under a 
temporal extricatory paradigm. Rather, these are critical and actively sought after notions that 
provide the ‘dialectical backdrop’ for enabling prospective transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity by psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. The idea is that these notions are 
veridically dialectical notions that apply in all transcendences unlike a simplistic ‘history
fixating conceptualisation’ will have. In other words, our non-positivism/medievalism ancestors’ possibility of being-represented/mental-devising-representation as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) is the opportunity for the contrastive construction of a superseding/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension that brought about the relative virtue in the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension of their great-grandchildren today. That is rather the uninhibited/decomplexified and forward-looking perspective imbued in a deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation with respect to procrypticism. In the bigger picture, identifying inherent virtue in the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process on the basis that humans of all generations (times and epochs) are ‘capacity-wise same’ as per temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions going by a paradigm of mentation-capacity (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, but for the semblance of the superiority of latter registry-worldviews/dimensions which is nothing but the result of being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process. ontological-normalcy/postconvergence equally involves articulating the possibility for the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition over temporal-dispositions as intemporalisation/institutionalisation, and so, involving ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accountability’ beyond an ‘idle temporal-dispositions stigmatisation’. In that spirit, it can be reasoned that the intradimensional ‘ontological blindspot’ in human mental-devising-representation (wherein temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> by miscuing, and in subsequent derivation of disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising of temporal-dispositions perversions/defects of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performance-including-virtue-as-ontology-conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-
protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism),
actually points to a decandored/slantedness of the temporal-dispositions (and not
candored/straightness), and is definitional of all registry-worldviews/dimensions persion-
of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> whether recurrent-
utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and
prospectively procrypticism, as these are in epistemic-decadence-and-derived-epistemic-
decadence, i.e. not veridical but perverted and requiring transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity. This basically undermines the idea that
any such registry-worldview/dimension temporal-dispositions should be encouraged to be
‘amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiat-drag in meaning’ in a logical engagement with
it from an intemporal/ontological perspective (of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence), as
it is rather in perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of its
reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation. Instead this requires a
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (due to the dialectically-out-of-phasing/unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-
psychologismness with regards to the veridical ontology of temporal-dispositions registries);
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism perversion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the backdrop for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as a structural/paradigmatic human-social-cross-sectional resolution for the virtues of deprocrypticism and superseding of the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. This construal is placed on a solid firmament (that is able to supplant any intradimensional illusion-of-the-present mental-devising-representation) by the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation articulation)’ that demonstrably oblongates/decandors temporal-dispositions as it articulates the dialecticism of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity (transcending-dimension/organicalism and transcended-dimension/mechanicalism), on the validity of the stranding-contiguity-of-ontology. Logic and logical-congruence is ontologically valid only as an after-transcendence exercise when through the institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling, the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the transcending-registry-worldview/dimension in organicalism is institutionalised/intemporalised by positive-opportunism with the induced social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-


* It should be noted that this element of deconstructed meaningfulness is obviously reflected in the articulation of this paper itself in a creative, referential and dynamic grasp of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness-and-teleology in a rather ephemeral subject, the social. In this regard, the hermeneutic exercise originates from an even more wildly idiosyncratic (but personal incommunicable) reflexive process initiated rather spontaneously by the author a few years back which has formed the backdrop for this ‘rather relatively benign idiosyncrasy’ in this paper as the reader may come across and is the explanation for many of the author’s insights. It is this mechanism of deconstructing meaningfulness
exhaustively in search of an idiosyncratic but profound philosophical and creative insight that allows the hermeneutic design in a ‘continuous meaningfulness reshuffling in the quest for veracity/ontological-pertinence’ analogical to a twisty puzzle cube exercise in order to infer and arrive at a profoundly explanatory hermeneutic insight extending to the possibility of a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ which is ‘profoundly ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibilities for transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation of deprocrypticism (superseding the vices-and-impediments of, as well as human emancipation over, procrypticism). Such ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as prospective reference-of-thought of renewing existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications of transdimensional-meaningfulness–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in various shades is just as critical for the necessary reconstitutive insight (deconstruction) that can be highly evasive and difficult to fully grasp at different registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningful-references or rather dialectically successive existentialisms.


To further elucidate, the underlying idea of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting (deconstruction/ontological-
reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking) holds that ‘critically what matters with respect to ontology and virtue is simply and completely intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective), and holds that other and subsequent notions are as pertinent as they are intemporally-preservational and where those same supposed notions social use was not intemporally-preservational but perverted/subknowledged/mimicked/confounded, their ontological and virtuous validity is nullified; as it is their relay of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation without notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity<-mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting<-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking<-iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>’ that matters.’ What’s the meaning of being good-natured/kind/humble/responsible/friendly/sociable/etc. in a subknowledging or perverted or corrupt social-setup or a philosophically-underdeveloped but presumptuous meaningful context (H.G. Well’s country of the blind paradigm, for instance), or worst still in teleologically-degraded social situations that may be mobbish or genocidal, wherein by our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousnessas <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag we apparently demonstrate such qualities but ontologically we aren’t veridically intemporal-preservational? And even more pertinent, what will those same qualities mean at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism, and prospectively deprocrypticism, with their evolving reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology wherein prospective meaningfulness-and-
teleology is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology->in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought? The only answer that cuts it in all ways, is inevitably intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (mentation-capacity-wise, as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, more than just an abstraction as it carries the notion of a contiguous existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinement as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness in dialectical transformation as of prospective reference-of-thought tied to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Even the idea of morality as being construed as of a sense of morality is vague self-referencing, as it is rather virtue as of knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional–referential-notion/articulation of superseding–oneness-of-ontology enabling the possibility in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions that is truly of ontological relevance. The idea of conceptualising morality out of such ontology-driven basis is more or less delusional however ‘good-natured’ when we consider that even a community of miscreants will have to construe of a semblance however perverted of moral conceptualisation that allows for individuals self-preservation and only of a degree of variance however big such a variance is perceived with supposed grander moral conceptualisations that do not factor in the structural relation of virtue to ontology as of successive developing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. As semblances of virtue-constructs out of ‘sense of good-naturedness’ not factoring in the ‘unchangeable’ reality of human temporal/shortness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology and intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-dispositions across all registry-worldviews will simply ‘out of goodnaturedness and naivety’ provide an ontologically-flawed deterministic framework that subject to temporal undermining by the adherence to the ‘<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology} of prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension’ in subverting intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation, pointing to the pertinence of analysing virtue and ontology contiguously as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality so-construed as organic-knowledge. This is the central idea of ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting that informs organic-comprehension-thinking. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting further holds that in the bigger scheme of things, it is intemporal-preservation in its entropy/contiguity that is the referencing of stranding as to de-mentation-{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} (as of preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism representation when temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-preservation or of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) or postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism representation when intemparally-preservational/ontological-contiguity. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting highlights effectively that ontological meaningfulness is contiguous as highlighted further in the paper with regards to virtue ‘as a
point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought as it is about assuming beforehand/as-of-a-priori for logical-contention as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and centered the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought (as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought) in preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and decentering the prior-as-present/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought (as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought), as validated by existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Critically, for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of an intemporal synopsising depth of analysis what is decisive with regards to a postlogism manifestation is the grasp of the reality of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for a postlogism manifestation; and just as we can appreciate that the organic-knowledge depth of base-institutionalisation is what is required as resolution for postlogism manifestations in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, likewise that of universalisation as resolution with postlogism manifestations in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, that of positivism as resolution with postlogism manifestations in universalisation–non-positivism/procrypticism, the organic-knowledge depth of deprocrypticism is what is required as resolution for postlogism manifestations in positivism–procryptism. On this basis distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought point-of-departure-construal technique of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation involves starting out not with the specific postlogism construal but rather implying a construal preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and decentering the more fundamental issue of the registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (whether as of ‘non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-or-failing-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ‘failing-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of deprocrypticism), which is ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology and endemising/enculturating the postlogism and social postlogism manifestation as well as other temporal phenomena construed as vices-and-impediments of the registry-worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; thus attaining the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing required for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. In other words, just as we can countenance that ontologically we’ll not engage a non-positivism/medieval social-setup in contending about say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery but rather supersede the non-positivism/medievalism meaningful-frame as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as being superstitious/non-positivist implies the fundamental need for its psychoanalytic-unshackling for $<$amplituding/formative$>$epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of a positivism registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; likewise our positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘not the profound ontologically-veridical meaningful-frame’ in which an issue of its corresponding postlogism as psychopathy and social psychopathy is resolved but rather its state of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is prospectively construed from deprocrypticism as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and decentered by its procrypticism/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’–as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology, implying the more fundamental-and-transversal-and-synergistic need is for our psychoanalytic-unshackling for $<$amplituding/formative$>$epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; thus enabling the attainment of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation
required for supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm that is transversally structural/paradigmatic for the resolution not only of the positivism–procrypticism postlogism as psychopathy and social-psychopathy but basically all its relative-ontological-incompleteness-reference-of-thought predicated temporal-phenomena construed as positivism–procrypticism vices-and-impediments. (It is important to grasp that tenseness-of-expressions made temporally/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension are just ‘vague candoring’ that are ontologically-empty and non-veridical by inherent-and-tautological ontological precedence of the prospective/transcending/superseding deprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over the prior/transcended/superseded positivism–procrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as what is precedingly warranted is the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and decentering of positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought beyond its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>; and this idea we can grasp from our vantage position with regards to a non-positivism/medieval setup striving to uphold its reference-of-thought psychologism which we understand is prospectively a relative ontological-incomplete-reference-of-thought, however the bigger issue difficult for us to envisage is rather in placing our own minds as not in a
and not ‘a false exercise of contending arising from a circular
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ego complex that rather circularly
upholds non-positivism/medievalism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, and
prospectively structurally/paradigmatically our state of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought
of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
means incapable of contending as of deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought
of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘conflation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ requiring rather the positivism–procrypticism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure from
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought
and not ‘a false exercise of contending arising from a circular
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ego complex that rather circularly
upholds procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’); as the
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology of
positivism–procrypticism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring
deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, as the non-
positivising/non-rational-empiricism of the universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring
positivising/rational-empiricism in want of positivism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, as the non-
universalising of the base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring
universalisation in want of universalisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and as the non
rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-
random-mental-disposition/failing-rule-making as impulsive-accidented-haphazard recurrent-
utter-uninstitutionalisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring rule-
making in want for base-institutionalisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. The reason
behind this conclusion is that in all registry-worldviews/dimensions apart from futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, the
reference-of-thought ‘fundamentally carries an underlying defect of relative-ontological-
incompleteness’ irrespective of the arising of a reference-of-thought incidental issue as of the
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-
accordance in the very first place and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, that makes it fundamentally
ontologically unsound; and as highlighted before the non-positivism/medieval state of being
superstitious and non-positivistic is an underlying foundational problem (as the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance defect
as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-
ontological-or-existential–defect>) ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-
of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology just as our procrypticism state of disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought (in misappropriating meaningfulness), as failing/not-upholding-<as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> in dissociating temporal ‘reference-of-thought—
degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ and intemporal reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘same-terms-of-expressions' (seemingly-same-implied-
meaningfulness) but actually implying ‘different relations to an ontologically veridical
reference-of-thought’, is an underlying foundational problem (as the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance defect
as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-
ontological-or-existential–defect>) ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-
of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> to be stirred-up/instigated and endemised/enculturated. This articulation is
also important because while it can be countenance retrospectively, however prospective our
circularly upholds procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument). For instance and as stated before, such a statement and mental-disposition of the type Socrates or Rousseau by their relative asceticism as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existent-unthought as compared to others of their statuses (conjugated as of various shades of temporal teleologically-degraded synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology psychology) in their respective social-setups from a non-transcendental as of its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective by its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is rather circularly impervious and will not recognise any dissociation between such a mental-projection/psychologism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the mental-projection/psychologism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of Socrates or Rousseau in construing the grander notion of social aetiologising/ontological-escalation as of a transcendental-perspective (as of a teleologically-elevated intemporal synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology psychology contrasted to such teleologically-degraded shades-of-temporal synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology). This elucidation is important because an insightful storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy and the overall relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as the underlying disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of procrypticism relative to prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as deprocrypticism will fundamentally be based on such contrastive mental-projections/psychologisms as of non-transcendental as <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective
and the primacy of transcendental perspective (inherently so because the state of prospective
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought precedes and supersedes the state
of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought by tautological
ontological-veridicality validated by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process itself), just as a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration of
say non-positivism/medieval postlogism manifestation as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery
will imply a ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought technical point-of-departure-of-
construal of reference-of-thought’ highlighting the non-transcendental as

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective mental-
projection/psychologism of the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought
of non-positivism/medievalism mental-projection/psychologism that doesn’t dissociate the
temporal-as-teleologically-degraded or intemporal-as-teleologically-elevated synopsising-
depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology, unlike a transcendental perspective that reflects
prospective institutionalisation intemporal teleologically-elevated synopsising-depth of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as the positivism psychologism as dissociated from various
temporal-shades of teleologically-degraded synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-
teleology as the non-positivism/medievalism psychologism (inherently so because the state of
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought precedes and
supersedes the state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought by
tautological ontological-veridicality validated by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process itself). That is, the technical point-of-departure-of-construal of
reference-of-thought for distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought with respect to the
‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing’ (for temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ involves:
articulating a dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (mentally sound) organic-comprehension-thinking of the intemporal-disposition as a coherent ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting which is in ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional—projective-perspective), and is veridically ‘the reference-of-thought-or-contending-reference of thought’,


 possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated of the psychopath, and is thus of notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-

misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-
backtracking-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting-
and is veridically ‘not the reference-of-thought as well but rather reflected/perspectivated as a
manifestation of prelogic-alignment to postlogic compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-
dementing-apriorising.

- With de-mention-<supererogatory-ontological-de-mentionation-or-dialectical-de-

mentionation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics> as dialectically/contendingly-in-phase and
prospective intemporalisation registry-worldview/dimension associated with organic-
comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—
as-confalatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology), and reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-
with) a dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase, retrospective perversion-of-reference-of-
thought—\(<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> registry-worldview/dimension associated with threshold-of—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism.

- And so, from the veridicality of human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, as ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework, wherein temporal-dispositions existentially are
preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism—<stranded-as-rightfully-
oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase>, in threshold-of—

nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of a retrospective registry-
worldview/dimension which is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism/subknowledging/mimicking/dialectically-out-of-phase-(with-the-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) on the one hand, and the
intemporal-disposition existentially postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism—<stranded-as-rightfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-
phase>, in organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-
reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology) as a prospective registry-worldview/dimension in intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation).
- And so, upholding the perpetual ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/supersedingness of
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation along the
continual limitation of uninstitutionalised-threshold, and which continual
superseding/transcendence is behind the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure
process.

Not adhering to this ‘point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought
technique of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought with respect to the ‘ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-
pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ as elaborated above, due to the
natural reflex to be in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-thinking,
and thus wrongly engaging logic by reflex, leads to the wrong elevation of the dialectically-
or-contendingly-out-of-phase/brazen-but-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-
thought) psychopathic perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (eliciting the
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stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) and wrongly imply their logical contention validity. Taken to the bigger registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level, this points to a registry-worldview/dimension derived-perversion state of temporal-dispositions at the present uninstitutionalised-threshold involving the subknowledging/mimicking-and-syncretising of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation of positivistic meaningfulness known as procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, calling prospectively for deprocrysticism. Without ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting disposition the possibility for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity from perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (as prior intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) to prospective ones which are intemporal-preservational, the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process will not occur and be regenerative, as the circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought mental-dispositions rather strives to arrive at an equilibrium at the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation of a registry-worldview/dimension whether these are intemporal-preservational or not, hence have little transcendental capacity. Going by an ‘ontologically contiguous comparison’ with reference to Arithmetic where a condition was to cause a character to resolve additionality as 1+3=5, 2+5=8, 5+6=12, etc., the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) of additionality with regards to this character will always involve as of reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that subtracts 1 from the results of that character’s operations of additions (as the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring for upholding existential-reality), and the usual principles of additionality (its traditional reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of simply summing directly) will be existentially rendered null and void in order to allow for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Now supposed such a framework (reference-of-thought) for resolving Arithmetic calculations now involves the contribution of 6 characters working in collaboration with each contributing their specific arithmetic principle role while taking cognisance of the others roles in ‘resolving arithmetic calculations’ (as ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so taking into account the prior mentioned character with its defect of additionality; wherein such a framework is BODMAS-based with character B working on brackets operations, character O working on order operations, character D working on division operations, character M working on multiplication operations, the priorly mentioned character A working on addition operations and character S working on subtraction operations, and so (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective) setup for resolving arithmetic calculations (ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought setup). Naturally, the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as the usual BODMAS Arithmetic rules) should apply but this is no longer existentially the case in this instance, where the equation is for instance 7(√64+3-1) - (6+4-2)÷2. Going by the natural arithmetic rules for BODMAS, the equation will be resolved first with the brackets, and within the brackets for the first brackets the order operation is first carried out, that is, √64=8 and then addition 8+3=11, then subtraction 11-1=10. For the second brackets, addition as 6+4=10, then subtraction as 10-2=8. The division operation then follows with the second brackets result as 8÷2=4. Then the
multiplication operation with the first brackets result as $7 \times 10 = 70$. Finally, comes the subtraction with $70 - 4 = 66$ as the final answer that is ontologically-veridical (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). But then, in this particular case where character A (Addition) operation of additionality is perverted as stated above as a result of its condition, the equation will resolve as $\sqrt{64} = 8$, $8 + 3 = 12$, $12 - 1 = 11$, for the first brackets, and $6 + 4 = 11$, $11 - 2 = 9$, for the second brackets. The division operation with the second brackets yields $9 \div 2 = 4.5$, and the multiplication operation with the first brackets yields $7 \times 11 = 77$. Finally, subtracting both brackets gives $77 - 4.5 = 72.5$ as the final result which is ontologically wrong (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective), and points to the fact that all the 6 BODMAS characters, not only A (Addition) the additionality defect character have failed ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective), as reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are not by themselves the definitive basis for ontology/intrinsic-reality/existential-reality as these are only as pertinent as they are ontologically-veridical/ontologically-continuous/contextually-contiguous (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). This ontological state with respect to all the characters registries (not only A) is known as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective) precedes projected <amplituding/formative>wooden-language–{imbued—temporal—mere-
(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) in affirming ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality (as the appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness over A’s induced preconverging-or-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>). Thus the new categorical-imperatives/axiom/registry-teleology—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation deployed with respect to resolving calculations (ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will integrate the notion that additionality requires subtracting 1 from its results as well as taking cognisance that other characters will be perverted in their operation if they do not take cognisance of A’s (Addition’s) condition and subtract 1 from it before their operation (whether by unconsciously by ignorance, expediently by affordability, and consciously by opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). For instance, B (Brackets) is still in a position to articulate an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective) by factoring in all the defects as follows: by reverting all other characters operation up to the point they had to deal with A (Addition) and subtracting 1 from the results at these points before allowing the other characters operations, which then yields the right result. That is $77 ÷ 7 = 11$ and $4.5 × 2 = 9$ as reverting back, then $11 - 1 = 10$ and $9 - 1 = 8$ to factor in A’s (Addition’s) additionality defect to yield the results of the two brackets. Before then letting back the division and multiplication operations for both brackets respectively, giving $8 ÷ 2 = 4$ and $7 × 10 = 70$. Finally $70 - 4 = 66$, giving the final result that is ontologically-veridical (in ontological-
for this new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-(for-intemporal-preservation-entropy) or affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (i.e., induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality); and so, fail to follow the latter reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation that are intemporally-preservational. That is, choosing circumventive/distinctive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought and thus failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> the possibility of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. That being the case, this doesn’t in anyway undermine the intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality/reference-of-thought (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) of the above equation as being equal to 66 with the need for new requisite reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation not only for this particular circumstance of the BODMAS characters but all such circumstances that may arise as a perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought thus requiring de-mentation-{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} of all such temporal-dispositions. It further speaks of how B will likely act in metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (of uninstitutionalised-threshold, where the constraining elements of institutionalisation are not available i.e. social universal-
transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} of
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-{as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, internal-
contradiction induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inoperance, de-
mentation-{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—
stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-{as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and
intemporal projection superseding the transcendance-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold
in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-
objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of temporality, with corresponding
formalisation and internalisation as values), thence defining the given temporal-dispositions
of B aetiologisation/ontological-escalation to be accounted for from similar individuations in
such situations as a registry-worldview/dimension problem, in order to ensure intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ontology. In the bigger
scheme of things, this calls for a prospective registry-worldview/dimension
institutionalisation articulation that supersedes/overrides such a temporal dynamism of
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-{as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> dispositions at
various social roles going from A’s condition, and the potential overlooking of the
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation dispositions by
all the other characters (B, O, D, M and S). Underlying such an intemporal orientation is the
idea that fundamentally the conjugation of such an de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) and subsequent conjugation as with B above to the temporal-
dispositions of a registry-worldview/dimension speaks fundamentally of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of that registry-worldview/dimension, reflected/perspectivated by the marginal perversion-of-reference-of-thought--<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defect of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with the prior registry-worldview/dimension now preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism–<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase>, with a prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the new straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase. De-mentation-{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} doesn’t confuse appropriateness of the prior reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for the prior institutionalisation as implying the prior mental-devising-representation is appropriate for prospective institutionalisation as it needs to undergo its own requisite ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure to enable and regenerate intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This by itself explains why the different registry-worldviews/dimensions are seemingly preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to one another (from the prospective perspectives), and not that we are talking about different species of humans, as transcendentalism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is the foundational concept
thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation
unto the uninstitutionalised-threshold that requires new mentation capacity, and this is not
ontologically consistent and fundamentally undermines and overlook the idea of an insight
about a prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity
with the present registry-worldview corresponding as the superseded perversion-of-reference-
of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> registry-worldview/dimension. Thus but for the inherent difficulty
of living and experiencing the effective personhoods-and-socialhood-formation existentialism
across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions, the
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘beyond any
one registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness’ like ours is perfectly possible in
garnering a more profound and informed insight on human nature whether presently,
retrospectively to prospectively. In the bigger scheme of things, just as logic can only be
grounded on coherent and concrete reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology based articulations for its ontological effectiveness and
veridicality, human ontological transcendental possibilities arise from human individuations
that correspond to the appropriate ‘intemporal-projecting existential becoming’ allowing for
such ontological possibilities, and the latter is made possible by the ‘so-renewed
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as to renewed
logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>’ going beyond the reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology within just a given registry-worldview/dimension as if
it were the absolute mental-devising-representation with respect to intrinsic-reality, and
instead hold that transdimensional/transcendental (unlike ordinary meaning which reasons
only on intradimensional reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology) is what brings us closer to absolute mental-devising-representation with respect to intrinsic-reality as ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation).

Methem as suprastructural-meaningfulness is able to do that because it can proxy ontological-normalcy in a dynamic dialectical juxtapositioning/doppler-thinking of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ and ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ from successive ontological dialectical-moments of human shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) behind the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, wherein the dialectically transcending/superseding institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of relatively deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) is the shifted reference-of-thought (dialectically-in-phase) and is thus of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ as it is in (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity while the prior transcended/superseded institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of relatively shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) is no longer the reference-of-thought (dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive) and is thus of ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ as it is of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>; thus transcendentally coming into grips with a shifting but more and more profound notion of reference-of-thought (in-phasing) and corresponding ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as enabled by ontological-normalcy or postconvergence.
The conceptual pertinence in this Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison can be rearticulated as follows for greater clarity. As previously highlighted the developmental psychology of the psychopath from childhood to adulthood, involves a child psychopath who is dysfunctional as its subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> is relatively transparent to interlocutors and it induces a ‘delirious effect’ given that it hasn’t yet maturated, is not yet indirect, is not yet spatialising, is not yet credulous and is not yet crafty in its postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation”; conditions which it increasingly attains from adolescence to adulthood with a corresponding inducing of the development of social psychopathy as its psychopathy conjugates/inflects/gets-mimicked with the temporal-dispositions of ignorance, unconsciously, and consciously with affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfigure-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, in an absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic eliciting social psychopathy involving moving from various non-veridical/hollow sets-of-postlogic-in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, to others and from different sets of interlocutors to others. It is obvious that A’s condition/subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing disposition as an adult psychopath isn’t systematic with every interlocutor but rather it arises only in the face of perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction-targets and furthermore the profoundness of the postlogism-slantedness manifestation is directly related to the gravity of the perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction the situation and how the ‘evolving social psychopathy situation permits’. Hence the notion of A having an
absolute condition wherein it increments additionality by 1 is rather an absolute ideal conceptualisation, as in reality it is a question of degree and highly circumscribed with the adult psychopath who needs to have a postlogic-equilibrium that can be socially-functional-and-accordant, unlike the dysfunctional child psychopath. This comparison equally articulates the nature of uninstitutionalised-thresholds. Consider B (together with the other BODMAS characters) in the instance where despite A’s conditions they were to stick to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology thus effectively producing the wrong result 72.5 for the particular equation which is not intemporal preservational (not ontologically ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) and likewise for all other equation where A’s condition applies, we’ll then be talking about an uninstitutionalised-threshold. The implication is that the registry-worldview/dimension then loses its qualification as being intemporally-preservational, and the psychological tool that is then elicited (from a prospective and new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as articulated with the arithmetic technique that corrected the equation result from 72.5 to 66 by adjusting for A’s condition which is now the reference-of-thought or veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference/ontologically-veridical/ontological-contiguity registry-worldview/dimension) is known as de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics). Even though going by its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, the superseded registry-worldview/dimension will still wrongfully strive for a mental-devising-representation at that uninstitutionalised-threshold of ‘ontological-thinking (not preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism)’/stranding-as-wrongfully-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase which is ontologically wrong, just as all
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness registry-worldviews/dimensions do at their uninstitutionalised-threshold. For instance, the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation mindset/reference-of-thought doesn’t think of itself that way but rather as a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) or a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-eпочé of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional~deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing with respect to its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and such a representation of its mentation is the invention/mental-devising-representation of the base-institutionalisation mindset by its better ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, likewise with ununiversalisation and universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively with procrypticism and deprocrypticism, we will certainly be hardly pre-inclined to acquiesce to a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation of our perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> with respect to the denaturing of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation of positivistic meaningfulness. This insights perfectly highlight that our psychological nature is actually about mental-devising-representation which is meant to serve notionally the pertinence of supposed ontological articulations with respect to intrinsic reality, and it doesn’t has any end to itself but for such dialectical readjustments to
ontological-veridicality as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase with regards to an intemporal-preservational registry-worldview/dimension institutionalised/intemporalised-threshold-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity−or−ontological-preservation, and with superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions which are not intemporal-preservational at their uninstitutionalised-threshold as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase explaining the nature of mental-devising-representation of all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure whether from the perspective of a retrospect, our present or prospective point-of-reference. Another aspect highlighted by the Arithmetic equation comparison is with respect to the appropriateness and defects of meaningful references with respect to ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality. The comparison highlights 3 transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestals of meaningfulness. Firstly, A’s condition with respect to additionality with the idea that it is bound to fail any arithmetic calculation involving additionality. Thus the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing pedestal is of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity−<mentally-aestheticised−preconverging/dementing−qualia-schema>/non-ontological-and-non-contending-referencing−<thus-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-of-preconverging-or-dementing−apriorising-psychologism> (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-preconverging-or-dementing-reference). This is effectively the pedestalled state of psychopathic postlogism-as-of-compulsing−nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation in hollow-constituting−<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as of vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-
vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging inducing existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/non-veridical-hollow-narratives to be reflected/perspectivated from the intemporal/ontological angle as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought or perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and so in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag or absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, from one set-of-postlogic-narratives to the other and one set of interlocutors to the other, in line with its ‘short cut’ mental relation to meaningfulness as extrinsic-attrition (the temporal eliciting of the temporality/shortness of others is the sufficient basis for getting one’s way) as opposed to intrinsic-attrition wherein the intrinsic ontological-veridicality of meaning is the complete and sufficient basis for its pertinence and upholding. This subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing disposition points out that the actual and given meaningfulness being subknowledged/pervertedly-represented is ontologically-veridical both registry-wise (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-wise) and logic-wise (the normal arithmetic operation of the BODMAS equation) as it is intemporally-preservational and thus ontologically-veridical/reference-of-thought/ontological-contiguity. It is this pedestal that is the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal, organic as it is both registry-wise (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-wise) and logic-wise striving for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. It is the superseding and intemporal pedestal for articulating ontological meaningfulness
(intrinsic-attribution). The third pedestal as demonstrated involves the integrating and
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by temporal-dispositions both
unconsciously (ignorance) and consciously (affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-
chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-
temporal-endemisation) with A’s condition/sub-knowledging impulse as if it was
ontologically veridical, and obviously leading to the wrong result thus failing/not-upholding-
<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation. In the case with B it involved resolving the Arithmetic equation
as if A’s condition was appropriate resulting in 72.5 which is ‘epistemically-decadent in
notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’ rather than 66 which is
ontologically veridical. This is the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-
to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal, as
registry-wise it is not striving for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation and so fundamentally its logical-contention is voided (as
apriorising–registry precedes and defines logical pertinence), such that such a disposition that
integrates subknowledging-or-mimicking-impulse/compulsive-dementing registry-
worldview-wise/dimensional-wise speaks of the registry-worldview/dimension as in de-
mentation–(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation–
stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) at that uninstitutionalised-threshold. The fourth
meaningful reference is actually a variance of the given organic-comprehension-thinking
(organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisatation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-
ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal
which is registry-wise and logic-wise pertinent. It is about the intellectual and virtue driven
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (as per this paper aim and other studies) in grasping the human ontological implications and articulating the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct for the possibility of a conceptual insight and structural/paradigmatic resolution with regards to (at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level) procrypticism/the-reality-of-human-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-with-consequential-positivistic-meaningfulness-perversion preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, resolved by deprocrypticism. Comparatively, for instance, articulating new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to resolve the uninstitutionalised-threshold from 72.5 to the ontologically-veridical 66, and so not only with regards to the specific but as a structural/paradigmatic institutionalisation/intemporalisation for perpetuating intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This pedestalled articulation points out that the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal (ontological-veridicality/reference-of-thought) is transversal/transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and not actually in logical-congruence with both the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing pedestal (ontological-decandence/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) and the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal (epistemic-decandence/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-

Ontologically-speaking, a temporal naivety with regards to psychopath and its protraction as social psychopathy is that going by the dynamism of its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge towards ‘extrinsic-attribution’ (the eliciting of the temporality/shortness of others is the sufficient basis for getting one’s way), is that the number of people ‘convinced’ by perverted extrinsic-attribution involving social-and-temporal-trading can have any bearing to the ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality in any way. While temporally-speaking, psychopathic situations often lead to a-country-of-the-blind-and-the-one-eye kind of scenario, wherein a thousand blinds may strive to convention out the one-eye, but then it wouldn’t still cut it, ontologically-speaking. (Certainly, it is equally and very possible that if such a one-eye isn’t beholden to a ‘sense of intemporality’ and it is rather temporally-inclined, it might equally take the easier route of reasoning in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of country-of-
the-blind temporality/shortness whether with respect to temporally outdoing or undermining the phenomena by acting in a manner that is overall of a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology nature. But that will still be temporality/shortness and the notion of an aetiology/ontological-escalation as of intemporality/longness will no more be better advanced. Further beyond and more than just with respect to one case of psychopathy but as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence construing the universal human social phenomena of psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism across space and time together with the bigger insight of grasping human nature and the overall possibilities thereof. Insightfully, as well it won’t be surprising that such a universal projection will possibly meet with a more protracted-and-protracting psychopathy and social psychopathy manifestation going by overall human temporal-to-intemporal mental-disposition existential-form-factor as varied temporal-dispositions come into the frame and are elicited, just as an intemporal projection within a non-positivism/medievalism setup aspiring for a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension-level resolutive construal of their corresponding postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and which is not palliative to a given situation will equally elicit a social protractedness of the phenomenon as varied temporal-dispositions come into the frame and are equally elicited. But then that is an inevitability with respect to the more critical universal projection low-life purposefulness in both meaningful-frameworks). Rather this then points to the nature of postlogic
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> with temporal-dispositions; (unconsciously) ignorance and (consciously) other temporal-dispositions of affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Ontologically, it is then
the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/\textquoteright Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought\textquoteright – as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal, both in apriorising–registry and registry-worldview terms as it is reflected/perspectivated as de-mentation-{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics}. The critical reason for this is that the intemporal-disposition is rather inclined to be abject about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the complete and sufficient stand for knowledge and virtue with anything else being denaturing much in parallel as intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity doesn’t accommodate human temporality, and so will not even entertain involving in anyway with social-and-temporal-trading exercise which is non-ontological (since it is fundamentally a perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\textless as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation Scotch, and has nothing to do with issues of defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance).

This can further be elucidated analysing perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\textless as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation Scotch of a different nature in a superseded registry-worldview/dimension like non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension which should provide an even greater insight analysing from our present perspective, and we can then comparatively project this with respect to deprocrypticism and procrypticism. For instance, accusations of witchcraft in non-positivism/medievalism societies are ontologically about subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\textless as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism based on the fact that such societies didn’t develop and integrate notions of empirical and rational cause-and-effect positivistic ideas as reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (a mentation-capacity that further furthers the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as present day positivistic registry-worldview), as it universally informs the present positivistic worldview and thus the impossibility to sound intelligible in case such an accusation of witchcraft is made today. So structurally, the non-positivism/medievalism society is shaped-and-inclined to integrate and entertain phantasmagorical notions of someone being accused as a witch or sorcerer. We can garner a similar insight just as with the ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ above, where supposed an intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought who is in a non-positivism/medievalism society was to be accused of witchcraft by someone inclined to accuse people of witchcraft (because of a pathological-condition/subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing) and who obviously is wrong, as we know today that the notion of witchcraft is ontologically unsound and ridiculous as the ability to perform magic and the like by anyone cannot be demonstrated veridically. The disposition to accuse people of witchcraft will be the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing pedestal. The disposition to entertain and further exploit such situations (as anthropologists perfectly understand the abhorrent role of such notions as witchcraft in the social-stake-contention-or-confliction of non-positivism/medievalism societies) in conjugation of temporal-dispositions that are universally-recurrent or universal across all times (postlogism-slantedness, ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) is the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal which is rather an extricatory paradigm (of the situation, to fulfil temporal inclinations or distinctive-temporal-prioritisaton and not intemporal preservation); given the lack of a social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the idea that the notion of witchcraft is bogus, with corresponding lack of perceived untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of such a notion, thus a collective-consciousness that doesn’t register it as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (as we do today) and finally, no ontological alienating reason for not believing, endemising and enculturating the phenomenon of witchcraft. The organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflededness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal will rather be an inclination to see that the lack of empirical and rational reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is actually, in the bigger scheme of things, what is at the basis of not only the ‘one locale accusation of witchcraft, specifically so with this individual but its general integration as a socially viable and entertained notion in this locale’. But more critically, from its intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm to be intempublically-preservational, more than the notion of just attaining only to the ‘one-locale’ accusation of witchcraft, for the intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought in organic-comprehension-thinking
organicalism/′Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) the problem is now the insight about the intellectually and morally wrong in metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of accusation of witchcraft and the implications across all societies of the human species qualified as non-positivism/medievalism, with the bigger ontological implications of this specific accusation rather being how is this enlightening structurally about the endemisation and enculturation of vices-and-impediments associated with superstition in the said registry-worldview/dimension. That is, the problem is now about the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that can be made to address such lack of positivistic empirical and rational notions in all possible human societies qualified as non-positivism/medievalism. In other words, the graver ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) problem’ for the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/′Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)/′Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestal is ‘why is society non-positivism/medievalism, and it is not in ‘mentation equivalence’ with a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing mindset/reference-of-thought pedestal accusing it of witchcraft and the specific locale where such an accusation is made in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism/temporal prioritisation pedestal that entertains notions of witchcraft (as the intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought is thus anecdotally ‘boxing far below its weight’). Rather it is about articulating a comprehensive structural/paradigmatic dialecticism reasoning-through/abjection (not reasoning-with incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness with temporal-dispositions mindsets)
between non-positivism/medievalism and positivism for prospective ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure away from the vices-and-impediments of a non-positivism/medievalism superstitious mental-disposition towards a prospective positivistic mental-disposition which is the virtue that is the ‘structural/paradigmatic resolution’ to the superseded registry-worldview/dimension not only superstitious specific vices-and-impediments but equally critical the overall structural/paradigmatic <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity such superstition to the creative emancipation of human meaningfulness and action. With this insight the ontological ‘terms of reasoning’ of the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing pedestal is a wrong and naïve ‘mentation equivalence’ in preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologismly striving to establish whether the accused is involved in witchcraft; the ‘terms of reasoning’ of the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal is a wrong and naïve ‘mentation equivalence’ in preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologismly striving to establish and examine whether the accusation of witchcraft is true or not, with all the implied existential implications meaningfulness in both cases; and the ‘terms of reasoning’ of the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) will be to be dismissive of the two prior pedestals as in dementation{(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) and of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-
contendingly-out-of-phase> since in reality the elements of their apriorising–registry are perverted (implied-logical-dueness – as to accusation of witchcraft, implied-profile, implied-presumptuousness/arrogation, implied-assumptions, implied-value-reference and implied-teleology), and the issue will rather be about reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of a registry-worldview/dimension that endemises and enculturates the belief in superstition and witchcraft for a structural/paradigmatic resolution as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. In other words, the temporal-dispositions are not logically-contending but ontologically or dialectically preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as they are rather the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation from the intemporal-disposition given that these are dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase and <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag.

The reason for the above ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling is simple. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling carries the implication that reference-of-thought and meaningfulness is fundamentally/ontologically structured for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and hence the precedence of higher-intemporal-teleologies (organic-comprehension-thinking pedestal) over low temporal teleologies of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism); and that
mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—strand-or-attributive-dialectics) with respect to non-positivism/medievalism has to do with not integrating empirical and rational positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and the corresponding social implications, the de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—strand-or-attributive-dialectics) with procrypticism has to do with not integrating the veridicality of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism of positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as knowledge-notionalisation and a corresponding de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—strand-or-attributive-dialectics) ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling to reflect/perspectivate the subknowledge-impulse/compulsive-dementing pedestal and the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal from an organic-comprehension pedestal ‘ontological-reference of thought and meaningfulness’ for a superseding deprocrypticism institutionalisation as a universal/intemporal/ontological/intrinsic-attribution/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/human-species-level paradigm across all space and all time (and not a temporal, extricatory, shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, individuals, extrinsic-attribution, incidental or incremental or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ or temporal-accommodation paradigm that endemises and enculturates procrypticism) to induce the appropriate prospective cross-generational ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or

This conceptual de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of (superseded registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension) mental-devising-representation as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism) and (superseding registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension) mental-devising-representation as straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (thinking) is critical in grasping the nature of ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting with respect to circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought as the former is ‘abject’ intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation (and thus the requisite reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in order to arrive at 66/intemporal-preservation is downright uncompromisable). Circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought involves various shades of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness temporal-accommodation with institutionalisation being rather a secondnaturing to a given set of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as per percolation-channelling and a positive-opportunism institutionalisation constraining. This is ‘no emanance transformation’ of temporal-dispositions into the intemporal-disposition; as such a notion can only be solipsistic to individuals beyond the possibility of institutionalisation secondnaturing (point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/induced-ring-of-

And critically, it should be noted that ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-confoundedness-or-ontological-reprojecting is about the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as registry-worldview/dimension defining, and not about good-naturedness/vague-temporal-impression-driven notions that may arise in circumstantial situations. This Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison equally gives an insight on why temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation is needed with 3 pedestals: organic-comprehension/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-confoundedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestal for which the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ontology supersedes perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (as prior intemporal
as-rightfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase> of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. In other words, across all times the ‘limits of thought’ is not ‘the averageness/banality/temporalisation of thought’ but rather ‘the disposition to intemporalise and ontologise human thought’, and so whether from a sense of intrinsic-reality one mortal is rightfully saying that the world is round and by expediency a majority of mortals are saying it is flat. That is the singular construct that man cannot lose across all generations to enable the perpetual existential regeneration of civilisation beyond just being a secondnatured construct as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft (which can often actually turn out to be alien to the intemporal-disposition apriorising–registry, that we can all potentially cultivate, that created, creates, and needs to keep creating the conditions for institutionalisation perpetuation)!

It should be noted that the establishment of the reality of an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–registry’s, or in the bigger picture, registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought, dialectical-out-of-phasing at an uninstitutionalised-threshold speaks of that apriorising–registry’s or registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase> (as it is ‘devoid of reference-of-thought and correspondingly ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity’ given its epistemic-decadence/psychopath or epistemic-decadence/psychopath’s-temporal-interlocutor, as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), and so, in a state of transversality-of-

The point then is that, from a transcending registry-worldview/dimension, the relation with its
postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism–<stranded-as-rightfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase>. De-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as such redefines psychology as a postdicatory science (tying the mental-devising-representation process to the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality referencing/correction-tool), that is memetically/meaningfully not limited to-and-within one dimension-or-registry-worldview/intradimensionally but by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, is transdimensional/transcendental in depth-of-meaningfulness as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). De-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as such is construed at the individuation-level as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability in delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. This involves maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness as enabled by de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) in disambiguating the intemporal-disposition as ontological and temporal-dispositions at the individuation-level; while at the registry-worldview/dimension-level it reflects the determination of the relative registry-worldviews/dimensions as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The implication is that soundness-or-authenticity-of-
reference-of-thought-of-meaningfulness is not given, as it is a devising mechanism (mental-
devising-representation) for ontological-veridicality as dialectically upheld for intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (ontological-normalcy or
postconvergence). There is no doubt that if by some secret manner ‘some individuals from
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension’ were to appear and be
able to live in our present positivistic social-setup (without us knowing beforehand that they
are coming from the past to avoid inducing a confounding effect in our analysis), and intent
on fully living based on the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of
the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation setup, our current psychology science most probably
will treat them as pathological (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism). At
which point, implying the conceptualisation of such an ontological-mental-pathology or
dementia (in contrast to a physiological mental pathology) is much more a question of
‘ontology valour’ (ontology valour being defined as a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
ontology depth in relation to its conventioning limitations with respect to pure-intemporal-
tonology). But then, crazy as it may seem, this extends ontological-mental-pathology or
dementia conceptualisation, on those very same terms of ontology valour, not only
retrospectively but equally prospectively, as from a prospective transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity (with a corresponding insight about
how we may be that preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism–<stranded-as-
rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase> from such
a prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity’s
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, of course, that is, when
precluding our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-
referencing-syncretising/mirage). In the bigger picture, de-mentation-
(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) effectively will seem to place human (recomposuring)-consciousness-
awareness-teleology in the backseat with ontology-in-its-inherent-dialectical-abstraction
taking the frontseat in the articulation of intrinsic reality and correspondingly human mental-
devising-representation. Actually, registry-worldviews/dimensions are rather prospectively

\textit{amplituding/formative} wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}
of their own specific evolving successive existentialisms (with their full-depths-of-
existential-implications specific evolving paradigms), and with specific evolving percolation-
channelling for prospective ontologising and ontologising-transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Fundamentally, without the possibility
of de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—
stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) dementability-of-the-human-psyche-for-prospective-
institutionalisation involving de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-
dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics), no registry-
worldview/dimension will be transcendable (hence dementable/no-longer-thinking) for
prospective institutionalisation. As it is from dementation (literally ‘de-mentation’) that an
unshackling/recomposuring/reordering/new-mentation of prospective intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is possible. This is because de-mentation-
(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) as such allows for a ‘human mentation capacity renewal’ by
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity (as it is by
cumulation/reordering/recomposuring the prior institutionalisation mentation-capacity for a contiguous upholding of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity occur) of the ‘veridical reference-of-thought of meaningfulness’ since it dents the mental-devising-representation of the old/retrospective/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension ‘as not postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought but preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase at its uninstitutionalised-threshold and references the mental-devising-representation of the new/prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as ‘effectively postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought as a new-and-greater-mentation-capacity and dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase; on the grounds that the veridicality of the reference-of-thought is what upholds ontological-normalcy or postconvergence/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. For instance, at its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring a prospective positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension which is rather superstitious/alchemy/aristocratic is rather ontologically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/dialectically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism in a de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) wherein its mental-devising-representation is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as not thinking/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase while the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation is postconverging-or-
ontological-normalcy or postconvergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation); as this counter-intuition for transdimensional reasoning (which is not easily superseded and not even by this author articulating the notion but for this abstraction insight) is basically due to the subconscious-strength of the ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy’ (epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage-inclination) reference of personhood-and-socialhood-formation existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications such that the other notions will tend-to-get-lost-down-the-line by unconsciously returning to and/or admitting to the wrong intradimensional reflex-conceptualisations, at one point or the other, and so in lieu of and undermining the ontological-veridicality of the effectively veridical transcendental reality. De-mentation-(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation-or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) ‘beats’ this counter-intuition by simply and immediately bringing to the mind an ‘overarching conceptualisation’ of a de-mentation-(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation-or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension (as straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) and a superseded/transcended registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension (as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase); around which all other dynamic constructions fall in place (whether organic-comprehension-thinking or threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/demeting—apriorising-psychologism, circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought, subknowledging-impulse, etc.). The other deconstructing terms while having specific analytical bearings do not carry this all-encompassing quality that liberates from ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy’(epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-
the-present/present-consciousness/mirage inclination) as de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentionation-or-dialectical–de-mentionation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) does as it further induces ‘transdimensional or memetic thinking’ by its implied de-mentionation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentionation-or-dialectical–de-mentionation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) in meeting up with ‘ontological-normalcy or postconvergence’ (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). For instance, while the term registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>’ brings to the mind a poor ontological disposition like the other BODMAS characters disposition to systematically operate additionality overlooking A’s condition, but it is a sense of de-mentionation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentionation-or-dialectical–de-mentionation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) that carries the intuition of an uninstitutionalised-threshold, and construes a superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension and a superseded/transcended registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension, and all the implications thereof. Now analysing the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>’ term thereafter, we grasp that it is the ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ in ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ that makes it registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> (and not
about defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) and this carries the implications of a registry-worldview/dimension defining defect (in a dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded and prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Specifically, de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as such implies registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<-as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect/>not-just-a-logical-processing-or-an-implicitation-of-act-execution-or-a-implicitation-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement-defect’ wherein we can perceive the complete picture of a registry-worldview/dimension defect by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ like recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (with respect to base-institutionalisation), unununiversalisation (with respect to universalisation), non-positivism/medievalism (with respect to positivism) and our own dimension procrpycticism’s (the-‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of positivistic-meaningfulness) de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) (with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrpycticism). A similar articulation can be made with regards to each of the other deconstructing terms where de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) provides the better overarching conceptualisation from an ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting
reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference). Furthermore, by its de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics), de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) is the only notional term that operantly and deterministically projects the requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/recomposuring/new-mentation with regards to the implied veridical existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications taking into account the veridicality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor hotchpotching wherein sound knowledge/virtue is pliable to temporal denaturing and corresponding conjugation/derivation thus the need for knowledge-notionalisation as a response to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor dilemma.

The very central idea about procrypticism and deprocrypticism (and for that matter the successive relative-ontological-completeness dialecticisms of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure so-construed as of notional—procrypticism and notional—deprocrypticism) with respect to the veridicality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor is in bringing to the fore and contrasting ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as to potential human ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> (longness-of-depth-of-meaningfulness and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and the reality of human temporal-dispositions at all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure
uninstitutionalised-thresholds perverting/undermining ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence, thus highlighting the follow dichotomies that are always 
associated with ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dialectics (underlied by teleological-
inflections-\{as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing-\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating}\}):

1) impetus for intemporal-preservation beyond reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at uninstitutionalised-threshold versus impetus rather 
for reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at 
uninstitutionalised-threshold

2) thinking as veridical reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-
preconverging-or-dementing-reference) of mental-devising-representation of the prospective 
registry-worldview/dimension as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought versus 
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as mental-devising-representation of 
the retrospective registry-worldview/dimension as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-
reference-of-thought as it is no longer an reference-of-thought (not-veridical-thinking-
reference-rather-preconverging-or-dementing-reference)

3) organic-comprehension-thinking as intemporal profoundness-of-thought-and-
meaningfulness (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) versus threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as temporal shallowness-of-thought-
and-meaningfulness (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)

4) ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-
reprojecting as defining the priority of life choices or existential living as in priority all that 
which preserve precedingly the intemporal as it creates the institutionalisation possibilities
for the furtherance of intemporal/longness versus circumventive/distinctive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought as defining the priority of life choices or existential living as priorly unaccountable to the possibility for the furtherance of intemporal/longness whether by temporal circumventing or distraction of institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

Central to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence that doesn’t recognise any uninstitutionalised-threshold to the projected `<amplituding/formative>` wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) considered circumventive/distinctive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought over inherent ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; at which point of uninstitutionalised-threshold, de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) is implied (in organic-comprehension-thinking over mechanical comprehension or as a de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics)) for a renewed/prospective mentation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting that ‘supersedes deterministically and operantly, without any discretion allowed’, circumventive/distinctive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought. That is de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) is effectively the notion that, in recognition of the unchanging, preceding and inherent nature of intrinsic-
reality with respect to the human psyche (and its mental-devising-representation of intrinsic reality) which is what ‘gives-in’/collapses ontologically/as an-ontological-reference; enables, for the articulation of new mentations as transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, the ‘giving-in’/collapsing of the mental-devising-representation of successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure mindsets, notwithstanding the fact that the de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) (of their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) is unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to these superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions mindsets due to their <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage disposition.

Supposed we were to make a profound analysis of our contiguous human mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (in-dialectical/recomposing-moments) from the appearance of human beings on earth, the effective linkage as new-mentations between those successive recomposing moments (whether recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism-or-medievalism, positivism–procrypticism and prospectively perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism) is as de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) in de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics); and this thus predicates or rather postdicates as well our own registry-worldview/dimension de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) over and as denaturing
reference-of-intemporal/ontological-thought, and that it is exactly for that reason that human progress has been and will remain dialectically possible. That is, the reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) can only be the pedestalling of an ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting as ontology with regards to apriorising—registry, contrasted to a circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought-reference implying a perverted-registry reflected/perspectivated by its de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics). Where the natural world is resolute with no compromise with the operation of such a notion as 1+1=2, the same cannot be resolutely affirmed in the human social-and-temporal-trading in the social world where on occasions 1+1 will add up to 5 where the effective constraining of institutionalisation is lacking. De-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) (stranding) has the merits of articulating that for reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) to establish veridicality, no such social-and-temporal-trading is beyond ontological-entrapment ‘by re-institutionalisation with new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation dialectically implying an de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation–or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding–or–attributive–dialectics) of transcended reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (in our present case, depocrypticism of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, for a structural/paradigmatic resolution of defective-issues or vices-and-impediments of our registry-worldview/dimension and just as critically the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human
emancipative potential; just as positivism is the structural/paradigmatic resolution of defective-issues or vices-and-impediments of non-positivism/medievalism together with the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential, and the same applies with ununiversalisation and universalisation, and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation; thus the potential to fully close the gap with regards to ontological-veridicality of the natural sciences in a ‘renewed maturation’ of the phenomenological ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology> conceptualisation of the social. Though with the weakness we must be able to rise up to, that ‘the social’ is existentially ‘emotionally involved’. But this can be and is effectively overcome by ‘appropriately universalising and detached meaningfulness by percolation-channelling’ as devised for all formalised and institutionalised settings capable of introducing, upholding and internalising the ascendancy of many a social outlying thoughts and meaningfulness which from a ‘purely mobbish social disposition’ as may arise in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) would hardly be countenanced. The bigger picture here (and of relevance to a registry-worldview/dimension transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity from procrypticism to deprocrypticism as the structural/paradigmatic and general resolution of the vices-and-impediments together with the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology, and specifically resolution of the implications of psychopathic subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) may be to think, given our own illusion-of-the-
present/present-consciousnessas <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, that such an analysis applies only to prior institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. But the fact is that such a profound conceptualisation will have to come to terms with the reality of the implied existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications beyond our present sense of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation if it were to avoid platitudinising, becoming circular with dead-ends and lose its intemporal purpose and hence ontological purpose, and so for the simple reason that it is the human psyche that ‘gives-in’ with respect to intrinsic-reality as renewed/prospective ontological-veridicality, starting with that of the intellectual analyst/analysts itself/themselves); as the human psyche gave-in from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation to universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism to positivism, and where renewed/prospective ontological-veridicality does establish a new registry-worldview/dimension transcendental paradigm shift as procrypticism to deprocrypticism, then the human psyche will equally have to give-in, and by the way all transcendences meet with some resistance or the other and thus a reason for transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflex to preserve the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of intrinsic-reality in adverting social-and-temporal-trading of meaningfulness. Part and parcel, of human intellectualism beyond mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft, as has historically been implied in the case with many a great human mind, is to recognise that the social-construct is ‘not an ontological absolute’ but rather a ‘conventioning construct at the limits of human ontological capacity’ and that that is ‘why it has got its defining issues and problems’ and further that ‘it progresses and transcends’, and the intellectual exercise goes beyond just reasoning within ambits of ‘temporally-and-socially-perceived-rightness-of-thinking’ to explore possibilities that might
actually be ‘outright unpalatable’ in the temporo-social sense but in the bigger picture as an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm are indispensable. With the idea that an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm that prolongs to intemporality/an-abstract-eternity while obviously of ‘less an immediate temporal existential sense of good to some humans’ is undoubtable of ‘an intemporal existential sense of good to all humans at all times’ by its percolation-channelling wherein for instance, the structural/paradigmatic effect of the law is allowing for civilisational living but its circumstantial construal and application may not be in tune with the temporal interests of many but for its institutionalising constraining. This contrast between humans appreciating intemporality/longness as potentially of universal import and at the same time disposed occasionally to advanced their temporality, is what warrants ‘a constraining institutionalisation’. In the same vain, one may ask what’s the temporal benefit to Rousseau or Galileo instead of striving for greater aristocratic privileges for themselves; for the one to rather carry the mantle from one royal court to the other of affirming the possibility of human emancipation (by which we are all percolatively benefiting from today) or the other the mantle of a principled engagement and possibility of science starting with an uncompromising supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism from observation that the earth is not at the centre of the solar system, by which a culture of science came to be established. And finally, how coherent are temporal meaningful frames built from such intemporal grand principles but lived on temporal dispositions in extrication in contradiction to such philosophies, and what is the very relevance of such temporal enculturation and endemisation to present-day social and institutional failures in society? And what’s the role of ‘intellectual irresponsibility’ in all of this?
From an intemporal hence ontological depth-of-meaningfulness, preceding/superseding, ‘limited-mentation-capacity’ (for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) is the reason for registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought—a-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defect at uninstitutionalised-threshold; implying that ‘ontological-normalcy or postconvergence’ is actually for prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation beyond the defective ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy or reflex-normalcy’ which is rather an epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) inclination to overlook/aside the notion of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity at its own (limited-mentation-capacity-threshold) uninstitutionalised-threshold though it will obviously and paradoxically recognise the need of prior registry-worldviews/dimensions to transcend (just as by reflex from our perspective we will recognise such a need for base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation over ununiversalisation, positivism over non-positivism/medievalism but hardly prospectively the notion that our dimension has an uninstitutionalised-threshold like procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with the need for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as deprocrypticism). However, as previously indicated such an insight can only be garnered, beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as all registry-worldviews/dimensions wrongfully imply, given that ‘doppler-thinking’ wherein our registry-worldview/dimension isn’t the absolute reference of meaningfulness (which is rather an intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy in lieu of the ‘ontological-normalcy or
postconvergence’ as that which allows for prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). It is this ‘ontological-normalcy or postconvergence’ that reflects/perspectivates perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defect as de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as against the defective reflex-normalcy/intradimensional subknowledging-normalcy that wrongfully represent it as straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase. Thus the general notion of an intemporal/ontological resolution of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> is more than just the instigating effect of the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing (psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>)) but harkens back to the notion of the intraregistry-worldview/dimension limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)/uninstitutionalised-threshold in the very first place. As this is the structuring disposition for the possibility of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> requiring ontological-normalcy or postconvergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. For instance, such perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as witchcraft in the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is fundamentally implying structurally a need for the right human mentation-capacity as the prospective transcendence-

Ontological-normalcy or postconvergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, beyond defective intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy/reflex-normalcy, points to factoring in temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation as ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ to avoid wrongfully operating/processing of logic by the reference of the intemporal-disposition reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation which is ontological (as it is in sync with intrinsic-reality/veridicality), where dealing effectively rather with temporal-dispositions. Knowledge-notionalisation factors in how temporal-dispositions relate to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/solipsistic/recomposuring/animality-thresholds-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy/reflex-normalcy) and at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds (ontological-normalcy or postconvergence). It should be noted that the peculiarity for achieving all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is about bringing the prior registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> to its placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology awareness for
the collective-mind to psychoanalytically-unshackle/memetically-reorder/institutionally-recomposure, and thus take-stock-and-supersede/transcend its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\)-threshold (uninstitutionalised-threshold). This is brought to the collective-consciousness so that with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction it renews its psychoanalytic-equilibrium, as the latest ‘capacity boost’ with respect to what is the grander individual-and-social good as positive-opportunism. For instance, achieving base-institutionalisation requires that it should be brought to the collective-consciousness that it is ‘perilous to survival-and-flourishing’ to remain recurrently-uninstitutionalised for the grander individual-and-social good as positive-opportunism. Once this enters the collective-consciousness this leads to an inclination for a renewed psychoanalytic-equilibrium/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview then becomes preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism–\(<\text{stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase}>\), as it is recurrently-uninstitutionalised, as the backdrop for the straightness/candoring-and-dialectically-in-phasing of base-institutionalisation registry-worldview. This is relatively direct by the existential implications to survival-and-flourishing with the lower institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism. For deprocrypticism, an even stronger emphasis has to be placed on the abstract percolation-channelling as setup from positive-opportunism for survival-and-flourishing, just as with the positivistic registry-worldview which as well is relatively deferential with percolation-channelling (undermining 

\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\text{wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>\) or
banality-of-thought) to formalised deference like the higher developed legal system involving lesser possibility for mob-and-disparate-justice as with the lower institutional-cumulations, grander subject-matter expertise and lesser hearsays-and-vague-opinions limiting the ambit of the influence of the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology); all geared to discriminate for supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) over temporal-dispositions (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as percolation-channelling not only in the present but prospectively. In other words, higher institutionalisations imply greater ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ wherein the ambits of the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with regards to meaningfulness shrinks as formal conceptualisations extend the intemporal-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity) and deferential model for construing meaningfulness. For instance, many a subject matter domain like meaning about the heavens, forces of nature, material nature, social laws, etc. are now effectively construed socially in deference to abstract intemporal-disposition teleological conceptualisation voiding social temporal-dispositions teleological dispositions. The reason is simple formal settings use the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework to construe knowledge and virtue conceptualisations as this is what proxies/syncs-with intrinsic-reality and hence their effective potency while on the other hand informal settings tend more to impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisations which may sound appropriate in their <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag but are often defective by lack of

The idea of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) fundamentally implies that reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation are limited at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the specific registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation they enable, and are not absolute with respect to the perpetuation of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as ontological-normalcy or postconvergence and thus need to be cumulated-upon (or rather more precisely be recomposured institutionally), wherein new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation allow for the furtherance of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. The positivistic institutionalisation reflex disposition is to imply only a human intemporal-disposition/ontological-disposition, thus wrongly elevating issues of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as being issues of intemporal-disposition/ontological-dispositions and thus wrongfully implying their
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism–form-factor disambiguation before engaging either with logical contention in the case of issues of intemporal-disposition/ontological-disposition or with reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) manifestations of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supерerogation> in the instance of issues of temporal-dispositions; bringing this conceptualisation to the collective-consciousness for the necessary psychoanalytic-reequilibrium/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure that should enable the superseding/transcending of the enculturating/endemising vices-and-impediments together with the inhibiting effect on human emancipation potential associated with procrysticism. To further elucidate, let’s explore again the Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison highlighted previously wherein character A had a condition whereby its results of additionality were systematically incremented by 1, its’s subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing highlighting an uninstitutionalised-threshold where the other characters wrongly calculated the result (the ontological-veridicality) failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, as actually intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation supersedes the mere-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the latter’s pertinence is rather about and subsumed as a mentation capacity to uphold the former. The bigger issue with regards to all the BODMAS characters is with respect to the limits of their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation which are readily predisposed to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing whether by character A or any other character rather than just the fact that the condition (psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> for instance) is the causative factor of their failure to in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ensure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. In any case the structural/paradigmatic resolution is with regards to the implications of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in the given registry-worldview/dimension as an aetiology/ontological-escalation (as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations predictable and determinable teleologies). That is, fundamentally the appropriate conceptualisation of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is structurally-speaking about perpetually ensuring intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the superseding/preceding notion (i.e. ontological-normalcy or postconvergence as prospective-transcendence-in-
perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
preservation). In this regard, we may easily construe the fundamental defects-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as these enable perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> with respect to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation wherein successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are analytical to
various defective instances in operating the BODMAS equation. That is, while the condition/subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with A’s additionality results are wrongly incremented by 1, leading to the uninstitutionalised-threshold to be rightfully corrected with new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation involving subtracting 1; the defect of a second registry-worldview/dimension may involve subtracting 1 from the result of S as a condition/subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing of S, requiring similarly new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation correction of the BODMAS characters as with the first registry-worldview/dimension to uphold the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Likewise, a third and fourth registry-worldview/dimensions defects could involve respectively a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/condition of M wherein the latter wrongly adds 1 to a multiplier before multiplying and a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/condition of D wherein D wrongly subtract 1 to a divisor before dividing, with these two latter registry-worldviews/dimensions equally requiring similarly new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation adjustment of the BODMAS characters as with the first and second registry-worldviews/dimensions to uphold the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Ultimately, a deprocrypticism construal of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process aiming to perpetually sync reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, is one that will bring to the mental-devising-representation, the
BODMAS characters potential temporal-dispositions to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> and subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with the resultant
integration unconsciously (ignorance) and consciously (other temporal-dispositions of
affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-
social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) inducing the various
uninstitutionalised-threshold, for a suprastructural resolution to human perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> disposition, enabling the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-
thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-
dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the
collective-consciousness towards knowledge-notionalisation; as the recognition of the reality of
human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor-pedestals-disambiguation then allows for
acknowledging, accounting for and the structural-superseding of our vices-and-impediments
thus enabling ontological-normalcy or postconvergence as prospective-transcendence-in-
perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
preservation involving the de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-
dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-
or-contendingly-out-of-phase> of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>, as de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–
de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) is the effective psychological tool for
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure.

The implications for the science of psychology can thus be drawn out. The articulated notion of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) brings up the central conceptual role of psychology as about understanding human mental-devising-representation and the implications thereof. Central to this de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) process is a dialectical exercise of stranding; either as mentally oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase to imply a superseded/transcended/unsound registry-or-registry-worldview/dimension or as mentally straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase to imply a superseding/transcending/sound registry-or-registry-worldview. De-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) further implies that instead of a ‘conventioning influenced and driven’ more or less notational study of human psychological phenomena as is the case today; we can ‘think’ of psychology in de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) terms of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinements as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-thought (de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) with respect to either mentally oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase representation or mentally straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase representation) as ‘directed’ simply by demonstrable ontological-veracity/ontological-relevance/reference-of-thought of transdimensional-meaningfulness– apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument; leading to a psychological science which is more comprehensive, timeless and unbounded by its conceptualisation as it emphasises psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation as more ‘ontologically-driven/ontologised’ rather than ‘conventioningly-driven/conventionalised’. In so doing, overriding and superseding the analyst illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/mirage referring to the instance where the personhood-and-socialhood-formation intradimensional conventioning induces an ‘analytical-complex’ with respect to an ontologically veridical psychological-representation or mental-devising-representation. As implied psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation is then fundamentally determined by the depth/profundness-of-ontological-veracity/depth/profundness-of-ontological-reference of a given registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension as it upholds ontological-normalcy or postconvergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) over reflex-normalcy or intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy. Ontological-normalcy or postconvergence appropriately points to the pertinence for ontological construal as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for an appropriate de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-
mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) exercise wherein the reference-of-thought (‘Intemporal-prioritisation-
of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting) is always a moving
target (due to the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process) in need for prospective
dialectical reconstitution (deconstruction), which then puts a science of psychology in phase
with the dialectical development of ontological-depth/profoundness-of-reference in
superseding relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-perversion-
of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, –or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, in line with intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; whereas a conventioning reference is
relatively in circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought and
fails to factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplitude/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,–eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) and the consequent uninstitutionalised-
threshold or relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’–threshold (as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, –or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) hence failing/not-upholding–<as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to imply a prospective dialectic ontological-
depth/profoundness-of-reference for an appropriate de-mentation-
(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-orattributive-dialectics) de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics). That is, a conventioning influenced-and-driven psychology tends to equate the conventional insights at one de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) dialectical moment or registry-worldview/dimension as intradimensionally set in stone and across all moments whereas an ontologically-driven psychology acknowledges and recomposes to the dialectical evolution of reference-of-thought for a comprehensive, appropriate and veridical de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) exercise. Such reference-of-thought of dialecticism registry-worldview-wise/dimension-wise (for de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) exercise in reflection/perspectivation of psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation) are the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-mediievalism, positivism/procrypticism preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and prospectively (critical for a prospective conceptualisation of psychology) perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism. This explains why this memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness/suprastructural-meaningfulness psychology is a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as it is driven/led by a reference to dialectical/ontological-veridicality (ontological-normalcy in successive ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/postdicatory ontological-reconstituting—as-of-
conflatedness/deconstruction of dialectical existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications as reference-of-thought, rather than intradimensional-subknowledge-normalcy or reflex-normalcy) for 'de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’ exercise in reflection/perspectivation of psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation, i.e. stranding-as-rightfully-mentally-oblongated/deandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase for the dialectically-and-ontologically superseded/transcended/unsound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension, and stranding-as-rightfully-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase for the dialectically-and-ontologically-superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension. This ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-omentum-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is the foundation of a pure, emancipated and disinhibited psychology (both registry-and-registry-worldview-wise) as such a psychology is grounded exclusively on ontologically demonstrable references of the veridicality of registries and registry-worldviews successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications, and the corresponding ontological veracities implied. Such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-omentum-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ contrasts with a ‘mented’ or ‘stigmatic’ psychology of weak memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness suprastructural-meaningfulness reference-of-thought for the simple reason that it is not founded on a pure dialecticism of ontological/dialectical-referencing but rather on intradimensional conventionalised referencing which wrongly hardly proxies the veridicality of ontological-normalcy or postconvergence or construe a dialectical-reference/ontological-reference for ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’ of psychological-representation/mental-
devising-representation at uninstitutionalised-threshold. Thus it mental-devising-representation is stigmatic or mented (set-in-place-or-a-period) as of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase> for the conventioning-superseded/transcended/unsound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension, and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism–<stranded-as-rightfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase> for the conventioning-superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension. This will explain in many ways the more or less fitful development of present day psychology, more or less ‘uncertain of the ontological/dialectical pertinence of temporal-as-out-of-phasing-representation’ (in reflecting preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) thus undermining its ontological-referencing veracity/ontological-pertinence with respect to an ‘de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’ exercise of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinements in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-confoundedness as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-thought. A dialectical ontological-reconstituting–as-of-confoundedness/deconstruction of reference-of-thought (recognising human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) and the need to re-institutionalised/re-intemporalised resulting in the subsequent institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure) as articulated above is not only the basis for memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness/suprastructural-meaningfulness, but as well for avoiding what can be termed
as the ‘ontological-circularity’ of present day psychology. Such ontological-circularities are engrafted in all registry-worldviews/dimensions wherein the naïve pretence for a quest for deeper ontological-veridicality is rather just syncretic/circular and hollow-constituting-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as fundamentally the reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation of the said registry-worldview/dimension are at a dead-end with a structural/paradigmatic impossibility for a critical breakthrough just by the mere fact that the registry-worldview/dimension has attained its mentation-capacity-limitation or uninstitutionalised-threshold (as the nature of intrinsic-reality with respect to the human psyche is ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or inherently preceding or inherently superseding as it doesn’t change an iota, and it is the human psyche that gives-in in its mental-devising-representation to conform to intrinsic-reality). With such naïve efforts to keep up and develop profound meaningfulness based on the same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology mostly a dead-end. Such ontological-circularities will include for instance the dead-end of medieval alchemy paradigm with respect to positivistic chemistry paradigm, a flat-world paradigm with respect to a round world paradigm, a creationism paradigm with respect to an evolution paradigm, a universal humanity paradigm with respect to aristocratic/racial/tribal paradigms, a science paradigm with respect to a superstition paradigm, etc. Naivety will be to think that issues of ontological-circularity in our present positivistic meaningfulness (for transcending beyond our vices-and-impediments and overcoming inherent inhibitions to human emancipation) are not in veridicality about a need for a shift in paradigm, prospectively. This brings forward fundamentally the limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)/uninstitutionalised-threshold construct of our times (procrypticism) and the paradigmatic implications specifically for such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ (as highlighted) over a relatively mented-psychology/stigmatic-psychology. What this reveals is that reality is ‘not a human mental-devising-representation processing exercise’; rather it is an intrinsic ontological-normalcy/postconvergence notion that doesn’t respond to human mental-devising-representation processing. The role of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as a mental-devising-representation mechanism that syncs with evolving ontological insight (insight about intrinsic reality) as ontological-normalcy is to reflect/perspectivate the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or dialectical-primitivity at the very limit of the capability as its mental-devising-representation of a registry-worldview/dimension (uninstitutionalised-threshold), which otherwise any <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview will overlook as it is a <amplituding/formative>wooden-language–(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-<of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) that is exclusively operant and deterministic only to its very own reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and is not tied to intrinsic-reality but rather pertinent only for when it proxies intrinsic-reality. It is only de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) that can create the foundation for a new mentation (unshackle it psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully reorder it/recomposure it) to in ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence come into grips with a more profound ontological-veridicality as a new reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) for a new existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness and thought. This insight about the intrinsic-nature-of-reality/intrinsic-reality is critical and central to understanding how ‘knowledge-deadend-paradigms’ can be overcome/superseded. Supposed B was to stick to resolving the BODMAS equation overlooking A’s condition on the basis that the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are set and given, whether these uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or not (which is what ensures proxying to intrinsic-reality), and further that the other BODMAS characters will do likewise anyway, this doesn’t in any way transform the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality from 66 to 72.5. Such a wrong disposition rather points aetiologically for the need (in ontological-escalation) of an de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of the BODMAS characters at that uninstitutionalised-threshold. In the bigger picture, ‘knowledge-dead-ends-paradigms’ (to varying degrees of pertinence) are often the explanation of underlying social issues and problems more than just about limited human ability or insufficiently directed effort towards the resolution of such issues and problems on the basis of present paradigms. It is inevitable that emancipation from such knowledge-dead-ends-paradigms will always require that the would-be intellectual-analyst or intellectual-analysts ‘blunt it’ (just as intrinsic-reality is uncompromisingly blunt) to the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage registry-worldview/dimension that what is fundamentally needed is a ‘paradigmatic-shift’. Much like observation and a rational interpretation of nature trumps dogma as with Galileo’s
heliocentric argument for instance, this author holds that a fundamental decomplexifying/uninhibiting of our own (procrypticism or preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supерerogation> of positivistic meaningfulness) psyche as being ontologically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/dialectically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) opens up a new world of transcendental possibilities (wherein a comprehensive insight for addressing psychopathy and social psychopathy and other implied epiphenomena/incidental-phenomena equally lies, and critically so since the fundamental argument for a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ has to do with the foundational nature of mental-devising-representation/mentation/recomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology in the construction of all knowledge) at our positivistic meaningfulness uninstitutionalised-threshold; much the same way like a positivistic world opened up from the de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. To further elucidate the criticality as indicated of such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as indicated with respect to a ‘mented’ or ‘stigmatic’ psychology can be further reemphasised clearly as such; a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-
dynamics’ is one that is being ontologically-driven or led by ontological-veridicality when it comes to mental-devising-representation by strictly adhering to the de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics). In other words, it overrides the mented/stigmatic intradimensional meaningfulness mental-devising-representation and enables a transdimensional-meaningfulness mental-devising-representation, wherein a mented/stigmatic mentation de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) in reflecting soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/apriorising—registry-soundness and unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/perversion-of-reference-of-thought—\(<\text{as effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation}>\) (respectively postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism—\(<\text{stranded-as-rightfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase}>\) and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism—\(<\text{stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase}>\)) is stranded to the ‘conventionalised institutionalised/intemporalised-threshold-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation’ whether such a threshold is the ‘appropriate basis for reference-of-thought or not and subsequent ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity or not, as it is limited to what is the convention thus hollow-constituting—\(<\text{as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation}>\) with the result that mented/stigmatic psychology is limited to hollow-constituting—\(<\text{as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation}>\) human intradimensional conventioning reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with no
prospective/transcending/superseding possibility. For instance, we can project insightfully that a mented/stigmatic mental-disposition in a non-positivism/medievalism setup in an impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness disposition but hollow-constituting-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>(failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) will raise an issue of say sorcery in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of who is the sorcerer or sorcerers among us, how should sorcery be stopped and prevented in the community, and not in a prospective positivistic paradigm that is more ontologically-veridical, putting in question the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the non-positivism/medievalism conventioning notion of sorcery, however ‘good-natured’/impression-driven, while raising the positivistic the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework of a positivising/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought. Such an insight prospectively will involve putting into question naïve and ever evolving constructs in our present day mented/stigmatic psychology science like personality disorders on the fundamental argument regarding the relatively poor insight about the requisite reference-of-thought to be established in the first place before then qualifying personalities with respect to such a philosophically and insightfully soundly established reference-of-thought, and not just naïve assumptions whether on the basis of popular axioms, vagueness and personal however well-meaning; with the idea of meaningfulness that goes beyond just a conventioning reference-of-thought and is rather inherently upheld by ontologically-veridical insight and pertinence. Further, such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ that is ontologically-driven will go beyond an exercise of mented/stigmatic phenotypes driven abstractly as inherent-personalities nature and in given
settings-of-time, but grasp that human personality is critically involved in the de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) educing-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology-into-the-existentialism-
becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation as so-reflecting ontological-
reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction as the more profound reference-of-thought
and analysis, and with a more fundamental interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental insight of the human existentialism form-factor. In this regard, it is the opinion of this author that many construed personality disorders that do not involve social deviances or not of physiological nature are actually adaptations at one time or the other in an ever-changing-
and-challenging-construct that individuals make of a ‘wanting and developing social world
with its stakes and confliction’, and it would rather be better to articulate personality as
driven by a pertinence of being/ontological-extension-into-existentialism-or-full-depth-of-
existential-implications with respect to such ‘a challenging and developing social world with
its stakes and conflicts’ in the first place, otherwise we are just affirming arbitrary social
classification schemes and not really involved in the requisite paradigmatic shifts; and such
could further be grasped regarding specifically how many an experimental psychology
schemes ‘desperately’ striving to draw social-world level conclusions can’t seem to
supersede the modesty of schemes that it is just too farfetched and synoptically-limiting, thus
trending more towards the defect of constitutedness in lieu of conflatedness as articulated by
this author. Foucault had qualified the current focus on abnormal psychology as tending more
to an ‘economic’ practice. What about the notion of de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) as the ‘surreptitious driving mechanism of human mental-devising-
representation or mentation’ that fully encapsulates and explains human psychological
development across all the times and the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-
recomposure of human existential emanance, and so as an articulation that is retrospectively, presentely and prospectively coherent? Given the fact that de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) very much explains human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as the recurrent ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of an animal of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ psychology driven by ontology or rather ontological-normalcy/postconvergence will be postdicatory, with the implications that this will fully focus the ‘kernels of postmodernism’ to usher in Suprastructuralism as an Age where humankind comes to grasp that its-meaningfulness-with-respect-to-intrinsic-reality as reflected by the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure has been progressing (more and more realistically) by successive suprastructuring of prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews ‘beyond their successive corresponding recomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology’, and introducing the veridical meaningful-frame/worldview of postmodernity with regards not only to the present but the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought past and future, with the insight that our present recomposured-placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview will be subjected to this suprastructuring-meaningfulness nature of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as well. In fact the underlying difficulty of deconstruction when extended from its ‘textual basis’ to its ‘full meaningfulness basis’ as ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’, has to do with the fact that the full implications of ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction is that it prospectively calls for suprastructuring or construal beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of prior registry-worldview mindset/reference-of-thought (and so as a tool of the prospective registry-worldview), as implied by the veracity/ontological-pertinence of ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’ as the underlying human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-awareness-teleology driving mechanism. Considering that deconstruction as ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’ necessarily implies not one but two dialectically opposed registries/meaningful-references/anchorings-of-meaning/ontological-references/contending-references/registry-worldviews of meaningfulness; with the implication that the prospective/transcending/superseding is suprastructural to (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>-of) the prior/transcended/superseded, and so as a deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation. The fact is that without the notion of suprastructuring, the exercise of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) will wrongly imply that the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ and the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism are of the same reference-of-thought of meaningfulness (which is obviously wrong), and is the effect of the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirageas <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing
syncrétising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as we recognise this fact from a vantage perspective to the prior (utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation) but have ‘a complex’ recognising such a fact at a disadvantaged positivistic/procrypticism perspective with respect to the prospective (deprocrypticism), just as all institutionalisations tend to demonstrate when their own transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is implied, and certainly so the higher the institutionalisation as the mindset/reference-of-thought is increasingly set to ‘relate to its institutionalised secondnatured construct as being our very own individuals essential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation and not a secondnatured construct’, and thus perceived as beyond or almost beyond analysis due to the implied temporal alienating effect on us (but then it is the human psyche that gives-in to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as the foremost rule of humanity’s existential strive).


Suprastructuring boldly answers the underlying issue involved with ‘communicating the true implications of deconstruction as ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’ by highlighting the paradox that it is all about ‘articulating a conceptualisation which involves implying that the reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of the seemingly reference-of-thought is unsound and needs to be superseded’. It is rather about in the very first instance putting into question a given reference-of-thought and projecting the appropriate reference-
of-thought, before even proceeding to articulate more specifically meaningfulness within the projected reference-of-thought. This is akin to the idea of a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought articulating chemistry rules and principles to an alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought for the latter’s validation, requiring the latter to adopt a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought in the very first place before issues of substantive pertinence about chemistry rule and principles are raised within their now mutually positivistic mindsets. Such an exercise requires a highly uninhibited/decomplexified human frame of mind. This may sound rather farfetched as a notion but it is important to remember that the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought itself is the outcome of the décomplexing/uninhibiting of the human mind from earlier successive institutionalisations. Such an exercise is necessarily about psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the positivistic/procryptic reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology in the middle to long run construed as of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism; and with regards to Suprastructuralism as a notion, the implication is that this is a requisite idea that has to come to the collective consciousness (not just unconsciously as with prior institutionalisations, for instance the fact that notions of superstition are false had to be consciously brought up to the attention/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought for it to effectively undergo the necessary ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by acting as the conscious backdrop that engenders prospectively a positivistic mindset) for human emancipation into a deprocrypticism mindset;
as with all psychoanalytic exercise whether of an individual or social conceptualisation nature, the idea of recognising/referencing/registering/decisioning the ontological-deficiency with respect to ontological-normalcy is central to superseding it. ‘Suprastructuring as such overcomes the ‘natural human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology reflex’ (in any registry-worldview/dimension) of ‘striving to avert preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation/mentation’ (whether such averting is ontologically-veridical or not) and so by a mistaken reflex to preserve a <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality (but which closure makes its representation of intrinsic-reality inherently incomplete and biased towards the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirages <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of its given registry-worldview metaphysics-of-presence), by effectively taking full cognisance of the fact that de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) is the driving mechanism of human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality and thus construe an opened-construct incorporating transcendental-insight-projection-capacities that enable the relative construal of the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ and the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’, and so expanding the potency in
construing a much more exact/thorough notion of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality and thus for ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction. In other words, in representing the veridically uninhibited/decomplexified nature of ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’ that is not limited by the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirageas <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of any registry-worldview/dimension and so at the deeper memetic/psychoanalytic level, suprastructuring as such reveals that ‘human psychology is very much an active construct associated with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ in the reflection as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of retrospective, present and prospective institutionalisations in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process points-of-reference, with the truer nature and representation of human psychology ultimately tied-to/driven-by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-construct’. Insightfully, just as highlighted later that existence-defines/precedes-essence, ideally the construction of psychology needs to be priorly subjected to ‘a becoming that defines psychology with its veracity/ontological-pertinence arising in the ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness of that existential becoming’. Is our understanding of psychology notionally complete when we can’t seem to understand what happens in
apparently mentally sound minds partaking in ‘socially degraded’ situations like murky human interest stories, mobs, genocides and even ‘the conventional acceptance and numbness to mass casualty warfare’. In other words, in the first place what is ‘ontologically normal’ beyond the subjective conventioning of the psychology science (before even worrying about the abnormal)? Further isn’t it possible to make the contribution of present day psychology more complete in constructing a more thorough and dynamic understanding of mentation/psyche in relation to individual-social-humanity aspiration, where psychology evolves in a complete existentialism cadre. In other words, so placed in a becoming/existential cadre, is psychology not meant rather than just encapsulating what the human psyche/mentation is all about as if it is a set and determinate construct (strangely enough inadvertently and often mirroring schemes of social classification, and hence of social power relations) equally involve in articulating aspiratory models for human mentation/psyche? And such a paradigm shift with regards to present day mented/stigmatic psychology can actually be implied by prospective ontological-normalcy as depacropticism (involving ‘ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction’ in upholding of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by ‘overriding failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> and renewing ever sound and appropriate’ reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’) over the ‘conventioningly-driven/conventionalised hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether the latter is failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Insight from ontological-normalcy as it matches placeholder-
ontological-veridicality (notwithstanding that this undermines habituated conventionalised
mented/stigmatic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation) representing
all the institutionalisations in a dialectical moment of appropriateness-of-reference-of-
thought-as-of-conflatedness and thus mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase as
simply involving the technique of a ‘prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation
placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
teleology teleological alignment reflex’ to the implied reference-of-thought since the
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is
prospective/transcending/superseding and ‘ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction’;
while representing all uninstitutionalised-thresholds in a dialectical moment of
appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness and thus mentally-
oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-out-of-phase-or-dialectically-primitive as simply
involving the technique of a ‘postlogism mere formulaic slanting compelling–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation
placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
teleology teleological alignment reflex’ to the implied reference-of-thought since the
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is
prior/transcended/superseded and rather hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-
of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>. And going by human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, a ‘postconverging-
or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
psychology-of-dynamics’ can perfectly represent the mentations/mental-devising-representations of all registry-worldviews/dimensions both as implied and driven by ontological-veridicality by way of ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflicatedness/deconstruction and point out their peculiar mented/stigmatic specificities in their hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> involving with all mented/stigmatic mental-devising-representations a circular preconverging-or-dementing-temporal-manifestation (subontologisation (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) of slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect. In the bigger picture, actually the fact is that the various institutionalisations/institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are actually the levels at which their specific quality (whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism) actively and comprehensively define and characterise each of the institutionalisations while bringing the notion to the collective-consciousness/personhoods-and-socialhood-formation successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications. But then, such notions which can be weakly sensed in all prior institutionalisations are actually inconspicuously, selectively and occasionally introduced in the prior institutionalisation in graduated/staggered stages starting with the proto-prospective-institutionalisation right up to the prospective-institutionalisation; whether as proto-base-institutionalisation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation up to the graduated/staggered attainment of base-institutionalisation, proto-universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation up to the graduated/staggered attainment of universalisation, proto-positivism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism up to the graduated/staggered
attainment of positivism, and effectively by a prospective insight, proto-deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism. For instance, many an alchemist in the medieval world were actually very thorough and methodical in their pursuit with skills that could be qualified as ‘rudimentary positivistic’. However, the fact that fundamentally their paradigm was a dead-end like the pursuit of the philosopher’s stone and the implications of not having an outright positivistic outlook/ideology is what mostly distinguishes them from the complexity of ‘true positivists’. Likewise, the ordinary practices in the positivistic world of deontological and jurisprudential nature, in disparate formal constructs and settings mostly, are mostly geared to carry abstract and coherent universal virtue implications with respect to all humans as the-Good/understanding-driven formal principles constructs, however approximate their applicative success (a principle is a notion that can coherently uphold itself, i.e. a principle is a notion that warrants that all persons covered by its ambit act the same way or are subjected to it in the same way, and not disparately, and it carries universal import; the opposite of ‘inductive limitation’ or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be of entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be totalisingly-entailing, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-totalising-entailment but speak more of a temporal motive). But behind that pursuit is a covert admittance that without the deontology and jurisprudence and the corresponding induced culture as artifices (however approximate their applicative success) humans in their social dynamics do not have the inherent exclusiveness of intemporal-disposition quality to ecstatically/spontaneously/solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly adhere to intemporal/universal notions on the mere basis of ‘preaching’ the intemporal/universal notions and virtues (as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework) without institutionalisation design or conceptualisation!

This is an unspoken recognition of the inherent reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations nature, and the need to skew/design/institutionalise/intemporalise ‘the social’ for the primacy of the intemporal-disposition individuation, as secondnaturing. This is equally an unspoken insight not only to modern institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation conceptualisation of the-Good (positivistic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework). Such an insight is equally implied in prior institutionalisations of the-Good conceptualisations wherein for instance the prophetic philosopher using the prophecy tools of their times, as the summum of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for the social criticism of their own times, won’t naively imply ‘I have preached to you thus you’ve attain the intemporal’, but rather construe insightfully of a practice (institutionalising practice) that cultivates a relative orientation towards the reinforcement of the intemporal, say like having the believers follow a whole routine from their expression of faith, praying in conscious reinforcement, to a way of living, however approximate in its applicative success in inducing an intemporal inclination. Positivistic secondnaturing of disparate frameworks of deontologies, constitutions and jurisprudence and the associated culture (as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) can be seen as proto-deprocrypticism, including their individual and social internalisation in the collective consciousness, and these unsurprisingly are the few elements in the sovereignty constructs of positivistic democracies with their constituent public or private organisations and associations as well as subject matters and specialisms, that are always ferociously, blindly and without further justification upheld by regulation and law and/or newer legitimately made regulation and law even against
popular whim given their ‘inherent assuredness to preserve the intemporal construct in a furtherance of intemporal-preservation percolation-channelling. Prospectively, deprocrypticism institutionalisation will imply a superseding memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure/new-mentation and further extension of formalisation as ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ of ‘deprocryptic formalisation’ into the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) implying a greater underlying demystification of positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning by way of the ontological-contiguity (as from prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>) with respect to the veridicality of human temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions nature that explains the nature of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> as we become more consciously insightful, preemptive and superseding of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology with its social-construct implications; and this insight prospectively defines the conceptualisation of the present positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments as the backdrop for the deprocrypticism paradigm shift. But this equally as with all institutionalisations imply bringing to the collective consciousness a dialectically preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation of the present procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension (which is prior) from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension (deprocrypticism) as the new reference-of-thought, which will seem
unintelligible to the prior even though it is actually more real suprastructurally and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, just as our representation of medievalism though more ontologically-veridical will seem unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought in its closed mental-devising-representation of intrinsic-reality. Central to the notion of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as the ‘veridical reference-of-thought’ articulation of (ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation over the positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> as of its perversion of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and so in a prospective de-mentation-(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation—dialectical—de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) moment wherein ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (ontology) supersedes intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy (temporal conventioning compromise). This dichotomy between conventioning and ontology is critical to understand human mentation development along the successive institutionalisations, as transcendental knowledge is by definition prospective and hence recognises the ontological limits/thresholds of conventioning as knowledge and virtue reference because to start with all conventioning institutionalisations are structurally in want of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity whether as recurrent-utter-institutionalised, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism in a prospective insight. Conventioning as such could only prospectively reflect ‘sound reference-
of-thought status’ when it prospectively coincides/proxies ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation; the holy grail of the deprocrypticism institutionalisation ideal. But actually a conventioning construct in contrast to attaining such a prospect of ‘abject-purism-of-ontology’ rather tends to operate on the basis of least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator for that conventioning construct, and the latter is thus the ‘effective meaningfulness-or-value-reference’ of the said conventioning construct notwithstanding any grander ontological meaningfulness-or-value-reference striving for abject-purism-of-ontology. The implication here is effectively that grander ontological and philosophical meaningfulness-or-value-references are no more pertinent in a conventioning construct than its least acceptable meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator but for discretional or prestige basis of discretional and disparate recognition, out of discretionary formalisation in inducing the secondnaturing and internalisation for that recognition. This insight is pertinent in that in the construct of ontology driven meaningfulness-and-value-references of intellectual grounding (purism-of-ontology), it is important to grasp that the social integration of meaningfulness-and-value-references in a conventioning construct is effectively a least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator-driven dynamism, and that it is by an effective utilisation of the institutionalisation percolation-channelling mechanism that such ‘purism-of-ontology’, by it’s the-Good, can stand out in bringing to bear its human and social emancipation potential. In the same token, thus it is equally important to grasp that primacy of meaningfulness-or-value-reference orientations in conventioning constructs do not necessarily has to do with a primacy of ontological-veridicality pertinence especially where it is not driven by intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity but by social-aggregation-enabling, notwithstanding that such a conventioning construct may be seen as the social reference of
narratives are slanting (subknowledging-impulse), miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising and their corresponding temporal enculturation/temporal-endemisation. Explained in another way, the actual depth-of-storying involves:


- and this being effectively wrongly elevated as of supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism by temporal-dispositions by their hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to these formulaic slanting compelling—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogism or hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking—<iterative-looping—‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic (whether unconsciously by ignorance, and consciously by affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative—
social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) inducing the temporal-dispositions threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism;


- and so, as an ontological-escalation/aetiologisation (the organic-comprehension-thinking analytical resolution) that is essentially and prospectively deprocrypticism; ideally such a resolution articulation technique comes down to an enigmatic ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-confaltededness as dialectical transformation storying reflecting-or-perspectivating a procrypticism (preconverging-or-dementing-of-positivistic-meaningfulness) registry-worldview/dimension as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> (at positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold) with respect to deprocrypticism abject ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality (postconvergence), and so as the bigger grounding for the resolution of the epiphenomenon/incidental-phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy.

By the way this operant conceptualisation is relevant with phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> in all registry-worldviews/dimensions. Wherein for instance in a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension:


supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism whether unconsciously by ignorance, or consciously by affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (the temporal-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’)


- and so, as an ontological-escalation/aetiologisation (the organic-comprehension-thinking analytical resolution) that is essentially and prospectively positivistic, just as the ontological-escalation/aetiologisation of psychopathy and social psychopathy is essentially deprocrysticism. Likewise, one can imagine the same type of enigmatic ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation storying reflecting-or-perspectivating a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity--<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> (at its uninstitutionalised-threshold) with respect to positivism as (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, as the bigger grounding for the
epiphenomenal-phenomenon of say a medieval phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> like sorcery. As fundamentally, intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm resolution as against an extricatory/temporal/non-ontological paradigm resolution fundamentally implies putting into question a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought (to be transcended by a prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension) that is structured to enable the endemisation and enculturation of a phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> like sorcery in the non-positivism/medievalism world; implying that an ‘intemporal-disposition mindset’ of positivistic disposition finding themselves in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup will not see the proffered accusation of sorcery against them or any other individual as simply requiring defending themselves or the accused of sorcery or ‘playing out’ in the social-and-temporal-trading of that social-setup to extricate themselves or the accused but rather project that the registry-worldview/dimension in endemising and enculturating the possibility of accusations and notions of sorcery is structurally dialectically-primitive/dialectically-out-of-phase (thus in need of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity), and the undermining of that registry-worldview/dimension is the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm resolution of the epiphenomenon of sorcery across metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation.
It should be noted that an intemporal or ontological or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology resolution to perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in any registry-worldview/dimension is well beyond the notion of resolving just an underlying causative subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing (condition from say a physiological cause), like psychopathy in the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension or a sorcerer accuser in a medieval registry-worldview/dimension. That may explain the initiation of a loss of intemporal social universal-transparency—<transparency-of-totalising-entailing—<as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—<in-relative-ontological-completeness> arising from postlogism in hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> for instance which is then at the base of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold (which is overall the structural/paradigmatic issue to be resolved), as temporal-dispositions are out of a ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’/skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—de-mentativity) institutionalisation setup, whether at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism from the insight of their respective prospective institutionalisation as the resolution in the form of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism. The point is reality is as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural and doesn’t respond to and have nothing to do inherently with human mental-devising-representation incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional—disjointedness, as it is up to us to proxy to it and hence we can’t say we want to think-one-way or we’ve-been-thinking-a-certain-way (as reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) to naively imply that reality will and should comply,
as failing/not-upholding,<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology speak of human mental-devising-representation dead-ends and the need for paradigm shifts. Likewise, a suprastructural conceptualisation is one construed beyond and not limited to the (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology or mental-devising-representation of a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, i.e. not limited to its temporal conventioning compromise. In that sense, the knowledge-notionalisation is about ‘a deterministic and operant construct preserving intemporality/longness as ontology’. This translates as:

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with the instigation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding,<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all recurrent-utter-institutionalised human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any human locale, requiring the de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by a de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of prior/transcended/superseded recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and prospective/transcending/superseding base-institutionalisation as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct (and so, in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory
deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending);

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with the instigation of ununiversalisation and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all ununiversalised human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any one human locale, requiring the de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of ununiversalisation by a de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of prior/transcended/superseded ununiversalisation as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and prospective/transcending/superseding universalisation as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct (and so, in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending);

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with the instigation of non-positivism/medievalism with such phenomenon as witchcraft and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-<as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all non-positivism/medievalism human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any one human locale, requiring the de-mentation-{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} of non-positivism/medievalism by a de-mentation-{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics} of prior/transcended/superseded non-positivism/medievalism as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and prospective/transcending/superseding positivism as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct; and prospectively (and so, in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending),

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with the instigation of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with such phenomenon as psychopathy and social psychopathy and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding<-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any one human locale, requiring the de-mentation-{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–

* In other words, fundamental construal about the conceptual-and-institutionalisation-phenomena has to do with how any and all conceptualisations and meaningfulness harken back to ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, qualified as the very essence of intrinsic-reality as a suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence conjoint-ontological-and-virtue-consistency upholding construct; and in so doing, explicates successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications. Hence the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/slantedness mechanism that induces perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure effectively define each registry-worldview/dimension respective uninstitutionalised-threshold while reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting its mental-devising-representation specific superseded/transcended ‘stranding-as-(mentally) oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’ that is its uninstitutionalised-threshold (going by the ‘de-
then occur. Otherwise, while such an insight is intuitive from our vantage positivistic registry-worldview point of reference with respect to prior registry-worldviews/dimensions dementability/de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-
mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics), ours will carry a complex implying wrongly it is undementable and thus non-transcendable. Such’perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>’ applies with regards to both psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/slantedness and its corresponding postlogism-as-of-
compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-
supererogation protraction as conjugation/inflection/deriving to temporal-dispositions implying consciously taking such insane-fitment mantle and acting like the psychopathic character once committed from ignorance (due to the postlogic inducing of a loss of social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} that acts as a constrain to temporal-dispositions for institutionalisation); at which point for all effective-predicative practicalities the temporal-dispositions character is ‘technically psychopathic’. This is the underlying basis for the development of social psychopathy. That is, after ignorance-temporal-disposition conjugation/inflection/deriving of psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/slantedness postlogism-as-of-compulsing–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation
protraction as assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-
dementing/slantedness in ignorance and out of bad-or-wrong supplanting–conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism, the other temporal-dispositions respectively involve: - (affordability-temporal-disposition)
assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/slantedness in
thinking-apriorising-psychologism reflex or prelogic-reflex-admittance-reflex or in-phase-reflex of wrongly implying prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as ‘poor or bad supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism’ wrongly implying logical nested-congruence—wrongly implying a logical contention); the specificity lies in the notion of ‘EMPTINESS of psychopathic postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-
dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> and the conjugation/inflection/protration of that EMPTINESS to the temporal-dispositions in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemperal-preservation> postlogism conjoining-looping-sets-of-narratives--(construed-as-of-slanted-cohering-’unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’-of-the-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>,--and-avoiding-any-wrongly-implied-logical-processing-engaging). It is the
‘reflection/perspectivation’ of this EMPTINESS of narratives/affirmations that is behind the notion of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and so as intemperal organic-comprehension-thinking insight over threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism distraction. In fact, the technique for
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism involves mentally interceding/intermediating the reflected/perspectivated insight of a postlogic interlocutor’s hollow-narratives or derived-hollow-narratives with emptiness to reflect/perspectivate its unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as a manifestation of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
existential–defect> given the narrative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>. It is critical to note that this EMPTINESS of mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> as the uninstitutionalised-threshold of (de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics)) de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-
dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) mentally-representing prior transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions as oblongated/decandored-and-
dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phasing-or-dialectical-primitivity with respect to prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions mentally-represented as mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase; is the underlying process that permits the ‘transcendental shifting of reference-of-thought (enabling ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) to the apriorising–registry of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension while the transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension is no longer a dialectically-in-phase reference-of-thought but of dialectically-out-of-phase meaningfulness-and-teleology perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to its preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. This process basically explains ontologically why and how humans from the very beginning to today are the same as it fundamentally grasps the dynamism of institutional-cumulation/institutional-
recomposure/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation that elucidates our human contiguous anthropological-continuity or anthropopsychology. Further, in the practical elucidation of social issues having to do with an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
like psychopathy-and-social-psychopathy, it points out that the critical point is to understand what meaningful apriorising–registry is the ‘veridical reference-of-thought’ as reflected/perspectivated by soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candoring-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase and what is rather non-ontological-and-non-contending-referencing--<thus-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-of-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> and hence preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as reflected/perspectivated by mental-slantedness/decandoring-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase; and so in an underlying conceptual framework of ontology as an ideal that pulls the social towards the intemporal and the real nature of the social rather as a ‘conventioning construct’ that while susceptible to ontological/intemporal influence is equally the milieu of temporal drawbacks that need to be critically undermined including with ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ involving not only the study of the ideal but ‘understanding how temporal-dispositions arise and work’ to better skew/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporality/ontology as institutionalisation/intemporalisation together with differentiating between good-naturedness which is rather impression-driven, vague and might actually be precarious by its meaningful disposition to extrinsic-attribution and associated perversion-of-reference-of-thought--<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> and the-Good which is about understanding in ontological-primeovers-totalitative-framework how reality is/how things work to deliver virtue and hence is the basis for formalisations, and actually the ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ has been the process by which throughout human history, increasingly segments of social thinking (present-day subject-matters) are taken out of common hotchpotching and undisambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions in the extended-informality ⟨susceptible-to-effecting-
parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to be given ‘formal deferential status’ to ensure the supersedingness and internalisation of intemporal-disposition inclination to ontological-veridicality. This de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) insight brings up another definition of the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure process relating human mental-devising-representation with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality, wherein we can imagine ‘an initial state for memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of base-dementation and imagine a completed state of memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of non-dementation’, with the underlying mental-devising-representation/(recomposure)-consciousness-awareness-teleology taking/institutionalising/intemporalising the abstract human mind from base-dementation to non-dementation; involving at successive uninstitutionalised-threshold of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, internal-contradictions induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inoperance, de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) divulging prospectively perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of temporality, with corresponding formalisation and internalisation as values. While this
process had occurred priorly rather beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> from base-institutionalisation, universalisation and up to positivism, it will possibly be more driven as-of-consciousness-awareness-teleology when it comes to attaining deprocrypticism as the latter registry-worldview/dimension is actually weaker than the preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions in eliciting a positive-opportunism and will more strongly depend on percolation-channelling of intemporality/longness to be realised. Preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as thus implied can be defined as reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting of the deficient mental-devising-representation (as so-referenced from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence so-construed as in prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as to suprastructural proxying of intrinsic-reality), beyond the deficient mental-devising-representation intradimensional representation of meaningfulness-and-teleology. The storying/narrating technique for relating preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism will involve projecting suprastructurally and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in the transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension for ‘ontological-reference meaningfulness’ as the intemporal-disposition (in ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting organic-comprehension-thinking), while representing temporal-dispositions as rather in the transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) meaningfulness-and-teleology which is not-of-ontological-reference, and in the place of the temporal-dispositions (in-circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologisms) preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism  wrongful-stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, imply their rightful-stranding-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase; just as all prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions mentally-represent-and-relate-with their prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions, even though all the latter naturally by <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag resist such representation by the former. Noting as well that teleologically, the transcending/superseding and the transcended/superseded are in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. That is, the two ‘reason pass each other’ (wherein the transcending/superseding is organic-comprehension-thinking while the transcended is in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) as the transcending/superseding is involved in ‘reasoning-through/over’ and not ‘reasoning-with’ the transcended/superseded (this explains why transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is ‘a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-constraining/secondnaturing process’ and not ‘a first-naturing transformation process’), just as a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought ‘can only be in reasoning-through/abjection over’ a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought and ‘not reasoning-with’ it as otherwise the former wrongly validates that there is no medieval mindset/reference-of-thought in preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase> (wrongly defining medievalism as of defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation within rational-empiricism/positivism postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} imposes cross-generationally the dominant as transcending/superseding meaningfulness over the dominated as transcended/superseded meaningfulness (there is no social-and-temporal-trading in that regard); as the intrinsic-reality that the transcending/superseding meaningfulness carries is suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and doesn’t adjust to the mortals, that we are, ‘social-and-temporal-trading’, otherwise the supposedly transcending/superseding compromises itself with respect to intrinsic-reality and losses its pertinence as a proxying reference-of-thought to intrinsic-reality, to start with. Such an insight can be garnered as, for instance, in the natural sciences we can’t negotiate about gravity being 9.8 m/s², but with ‘the social’ which is rather ‘emotionally involved’, such negotiated social-and-temporal-trading idiocy is surprisingly quite recurrently articulated. It
should be noted that the 'de-mentation-⟨supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation-stranding-or-attributive-dialectics⟩ of reference-of-thought' in upholding a mental-devising-representation of temporal-dispositions as preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism-⟨stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase⟩ is rather a comprehensive intemporality-preserving ontological-entrapment of the 'notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-⟨mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema⟩ of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument' (i.e., absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic-by-psychopathic-in hollow-constituting-⟨as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation⟩ in postlogic-backtracking-⟨iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’⟩/other-temporal-dispositions-hollow-constituting-⟨as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation⟩ or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex in wrongly implying and exploiting the supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism reflex or prelogic-reflex-admittance-reflex or in-phase-reflex so as to wrongly align to the next looped narratives as straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase whereas veridically these are also of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-⟨mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema⟩-as-of-epistemic-decadence as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or preconverging-or-dementing-and-not-thinking), as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-⟨as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation⟩ as to preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism state of temporal-dispositions more than just about specific narratives rather reflects (preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-⟨as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>) registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-
Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> (beyond defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-
implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) as-
registry-worldview-or-dimension-defect of recurrent (psychopathic) in hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in
postlogic-backtracking<iterative-looping–’set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as
absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, and (other-temporal-dispositions) hollow-
constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
preservation> conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives-of-postlogic-narratives/cohering-logic-
reflex by way of circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought
wrongly implying temporal-dispositions stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-
dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (wrongly implying ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought rather
than preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-
of-reference-of-thought in veridicality), and recurrently undermined/corrected from an
intemporal/reference-of-thought as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-
<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-
phase>; and so, superseding/overcoming a conceptualisation of temporal-dispositions
perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as to ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–
psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’
psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise for
prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation with respect to the prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism, which in so doing re-establishes ontological-contiguity in line with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation with a mental-devising-representation as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism--<stranded-as-rightfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase>. In fact, it is this latter veridical representation of the mental-devising-representation of temporal-dispositions as recurrently preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought--as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–with-corresponding <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and the rightful-stranding-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase recurrently, for all registry-worldviews/dimensions (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism), that suprastructurally and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence defines any specific registry-worldview/dimension dialectical-primitivity whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The bigger point is that fundamentally it is impossible to conjugate/inflect/protract intemporality/longness out of demonstrated temporality/shortness (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) as then one is just in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and wrongly implying the registry-worldview/dimension is beyond transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity or is non-transcendable (hence undementable/still-of-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism) when in fact it is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/subknowledging/registry-perverting-in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This latter idea is actually the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reflex of all prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to the suggestion of prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions, as we can appreciate from our vantage perspective at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process to be rather not true with prior transcendences though we’ll in turn obviously act by reflex in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with respect to the suggestion of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity undermining our registry-worldview’s/dimension’s categorical-imperatives/axiom/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

The ontological-normaley/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality as such explains why ontological-veridicality is rather a reasoning-through/abjection to apprehend intrinsic-reality, over incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–procrypticism/notional~disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which is more about ‘transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing human conceptual elucidation of reality’ (given that the former emphasises ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as all-determinant); with reasoning-through/abjection generally implied in formal constructs and settings as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
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settings while informal constructs and settings tend more to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-procryptic/notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and hence are highly teleologically-degraded as impression-driven/good-naturedness settings. The reason is that formal constructs and settings emphasise ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting in longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and hence are equally highly deferential whereas informal constructs and settings do not constrain temporal-dispositions and hence are highly subjected to circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought in shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and are unsurprisingly rather not deferential given that they are opened to hotchpotching/undisambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting points out that conventioning constructs like sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi-conventioning-rationalising do not supersede the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/intrinsic-veridicality, as may be naively advanced with circumventing/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought, such that just as the conventioning construct of non-positivism/medievalism cannot be evoked to imply that with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought a prospective positivism mindset, which is the outcrop of an ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting exercise in non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension, is unwarranted. Likewise, it is rather naïve and <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to advance circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought concerning psychopathic and its social psychopathic collorary (perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) in wrongly implying that a deprocrypticism ontological-escalation/aetiologisation is unwarranted. More like the evocation of circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought about a past war criminal or rapist based on conventioning constructs like their being in the past, their settled lives, etc. doesn’t dispense them from ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting, the need for their judgment and/or in advocating unfailingly/infallibly the uncompromising notions against rape or war crimes, and so without conjugating/inflecting/deriving any excepting human temporal circumstances into it by circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought. This further point to the dichotomy between temporal-compromising-conventioning and ontology, with a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation dialectics wherein ontology as reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation perpetually elevates conventioning. This further translates in the conceptualisation of value-and-valor with the implication that while aspiring for temporal values and valor may be the standard <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} perception, however, grander value and valor effectively lies in the universalising and philosophising orientations (as ontological-profoundsness-of-thought/ontological-normalcy in contrast to conventioning-profoundsness-of-thought/intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy) that enable the possibility, the construct and the upholding of human emancipation across successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in the very first place, that is, emancipation into base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. Aristotle’s advocating of the ‘golden mean’ is
more of a heuristic and aesthetic notion but doesn’t have an ontological-basis as it is rather an impromptu articulation of a sense of desirability but fundamentally lacks the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reference of ontological-contiguity but for naively and wrongly implying good-natured qualities as being ontological (rather than the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation validated by ontological-contiguity or a ratio-conguinty notion), and since the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process shows that ‘good-naturedness’, without the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological-contiguity, fundamentally has little import or worst bad implications. The truest value and valor resided in what Aristotle and other thinkers or even prophesiers were striving for actually. Aristotle nor Socrates nor Plato nor the prophets (working rather more assertively on supernatural paradigms) nor latter thinkers like Descartes, Kant, Darwin, Leibniz, Rousseau strove for the golden mean in their overall endeavours. Rather from an ontologically verifiable reality as a the-Good/understanding/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/ontological-contiguity they actually aspired for ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting, that is, they were prioritising and focussing on that which establishes universal and philosophical principles as first-order-ontology for-prospective-living as the backdrop for enabling better human emancipation and living (even though where relevant this will subsume-as-supplant-(as-of-relatively-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) the golden mean into ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting but with the latter rather superseding/encompassing it). It is the establishment of such first-order-
ontology for-prospective-living as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism which are of transcendental nature as ‘shaping the human psyche’ and providing the emancipatory umbrella for second-order-ontology and their temporal yearnings which are rather non-transcendental and cannot structurally resolve fundamental issues, and of circular institutionalised-being-and-craft. A Rousseau may not be the ‘shrewdest aristocrat’ in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the ordinary value of personal gain of the medieval world but the first-order-ontology resolution of issues of social emancipation passes by his and likeminded first-order-ontology philosophical projection. This certainly applies with regards to defining transformative impact of transcendental constructs across all registry-worldviews/dimensions that does not compare with ordinary being-and-craft second-order-ontology sense of value which is rather intradimensionally circular and is hardly of the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm addressed from first-order-ontology constructs. Granted if humans had absolute mentation capacity then ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting will be skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity) or rather supersede/encompass all such desirabilities implied by the golden mean. However, we don’t have absolute mentation capacity and the most intemporal of our dispositions should take pride of place in defining our achievement motives whether as philosophies, causes, skillsets and talents in our value and valor aspirations, in line with the notion of a true principle, with the implication that such value and valor is capable of rationally upholding itself and its registry-worldview prospectively when implied universally. Such an insight can further be expanded thus, it is critical to note that the institutional-
mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’ as stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) to-meet-up/proxy-with the ever dialectically suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intrinsic-reality, explaining the institutionalisations as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, as reflected/perspectivated by their organic-comprehension-thinking. This contrasts with the defective good-natured construct as impression-driven and intradimensionally-tied and all so apt to existentially fail ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-failing-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation as it is rather tied to and proxies, by mere-form, with intradimensional reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation irrespective of whether these are failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation; and thus as the corresponding ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’ mental-devicing-representation as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase>, explaining the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>, reflected in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of registry-teleology-mentation, behind this mental-devicing-representation of the registry-worldviews/dimensions of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively procrypticism as reflected/perspectivated by their threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism.
Briefly, such an anthropopsychological/the-anthropological-continuity conceptualisation as articulated above further enables the insightful conceptualisation of ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation articulation) analysis’ as expanded upon below, in the ‘ephemerality that is the social-construct’, on the basis of an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation understanding of the social-construct. This is central in articulating a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ which is ‘profoundly ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibilities for transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation of deprocripticism (superseding the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism):
- Institutionalised/uninstitutionalised thresholdings of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation

- (ontological/intemporal-disposition) organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism-Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought-as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in dialectic contrast to (temporal-dispositions) threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism with regards to depth of issues arising from deductive narratives, life episodes, life schemes, general existential being dispositions and specific existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications about the registry-worldview/dimension. * In the bigger scheme of things, anthropopsychology as the-anthropological-continuity as implied by intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation relation to reality as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/precedingness points out that at registry-worldview/dimension-level ontology as the transcending dimension is veridically an abject organicalism (organic-comprehension-thinking) over mechanicalism (threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation— preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism which is the transcended dimension. Further, such abject organicalism (organic-comprehension-thinking) in implying registry-worldview/dimension transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-dementativity takes stock of human perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in full dispositional capacity (as such manifestation in dispositional perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> fullness in particular highlights a highly compromised and teleologically-degraded social-construct validating such abject organisalism even if it seem counterintuitive to the transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s illusion-of-the-present perception. * So it is important to understand with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy that the level of profundness of its manifestation and consequences is directly related to the level of the associated perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> compromised and degradation of the social construct!)

- the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation (straightness-to-slantedness/candored-to-decandored) human ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework disposition which is ontological correct as contrasted to an ontologically wrong impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation which wrongly references as human ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework just an intemporal-disposition universally among all humans (straightness/candored only), at uninstitutionalised-threshold; while the latter will tend to be ontologically impertinent and wrong as it doesn’t account for temporal-dispositions and is hence not capable like the the-Good conceptualisation, working with what veridically is, to anticipate and preempt subknowledging/mimicking as <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to achieve veridical ontological/intemporal virtue.
- ‘Disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ (speaking-abstractly-to- metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/a-deterministic-and-predicative-‘being-construal’ as contrasted to just an ‘act construal’) to reflect by stranding (as decandored/oblongated) to represent the ‘existential being ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ in an ontological entrapment of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling at the uninstitutionalised-threshold.


*Such ‘CREATIVE EXISTENTIALISM (FULL-EXISTENTIAL-DEPTH-IMPLICATIONS) STORYING CONSTRUAL’ will utilise the ‘ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework-retracing  (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ to articulate relevant issues of ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confiction’ together with the implied percolation-channelling for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity highlighting for such successive issues the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions teleologies involved, analogical to concentric-cycles of teleological storying development, as follows: ONTOLOGY-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as organicalism teleology or intemporally/ontologically-given teleology)—EPISTEMIC-DECADENCE-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as in hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking—<iterative-looping—‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic in-a-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> teleology or distractive-slantedness teleology or meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated teleology; striving to undermine organicalism-or-intemporally/ontologically-given teleology)—to—EPISTEMIC-DECADENCE-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument teleology or threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism aligning to meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated teleology; with the temporal-dispositions teleologies of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s as these integrate/align-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-to psychopathic postlogism-slantedness in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> resulting into their miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising)—to—ONTLOGICAL-ESCALATION-TELEOLOGY (as ontological entrapment involving an intemporal teleology for stranding the temporal-dispositions as oblongated/decandored and ‘dialectically-aligning-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive with them’, as the backdrop for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism transcendence-and-sUBLImity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity principle teleology. That is, relating to them as ‘dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’ with respect to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation or ontological-contiguity/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence at the procrypticism uninstitutionalisation). And all these, as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation conceptualisation of perverse/low teleologies to higher teleologies. (That is, temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions teleological reference of solipsistic grandeur as the differentiating element of characters supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism depth highlighting-and-tracing the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, based on the fundamental fact that ‘registry/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought precedes logic’. This equally explains the reason for de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) including with regards to registry-worldview/dimension stranding where the veridicality of the ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework narratives is shown to be of perverse/low teleology ontologically speaking). The ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation) scheme’ is equally critical in other respects. It rightfully prevents the ontological mental-devising-representation from being flipped from formulaic slanting compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogism narratives in preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and wrongly represented parasitising/co-optingly as prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-ontologically-veridical narratives to be contended with rather than being rightfully reflected/perspectivated (in-reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) as manifestations of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-protracted-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/mimicking as amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag, as it is rightfully perceived during the psychopath’s childhood when the psychopath is ‘delirious’ as at the underdeveloped stage it is not decisively maturated, not decisively indirect, not decisively spatialising, not decisively credulous and not decisively crafty). Thirdly, the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation) scheme’ equally prevents the relaying of the postlogism in hollow-constituting-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as of formulaic–compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation initiated from the psychopath to its interlocutors, to wrongly imply that the veridicality of its interlocutors narratives induced postlogically as of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism then wrongly become as of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism, and as this conjugates/inflects (in-mimicking-protraction) with the temporal-dispositions of

Of course, this is more like a ‘notional template’ in a ‘dynamics of benign implications to grave existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications’ articulated over a functional social-construct which however ‘endemises psychopathy and social psychopathy rather at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the positivistic meaningfulness reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation persion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> known as procrypticism preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, requiring futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation (for the furtherance of the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality). Further, it is important to appreciate that just as with the profoundness of treatment of subject-matters and specialisms (and even more so with regards to ‘the social’ given its characteristic ‘emotional involvement’ aspect), corresponding subject-matter ‘focussing of analysis and jargon’ will seem rather unusual and unnatural to
‘ordinary thinking’. But then ‘ordinary thinking’ is responsible for mostly nothing, if not thinking mostly in the extended-informality-⟨susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiess-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology⟩, and cannot be made a reference of formal thinking as issues requiring profound treatment invariably are construed based mostly on unordinary formal constructs which, granted, should be able to ultimately by their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework demonstrate that such formal constructs are the best ontological and virtue conceptualisation with regards to the issue or domain of concern. That’s why the populace is not asked its opinion about the law or astronomy or medicine, for instance, as the need for deferential-formalisation-transference arises for the effective ontological/intemporal treatment of domains of reality but for when the issues at stake require a sovereignty exercise requiring individuals informed consent whether political or decisional or rather as social learning/inculcation exercise; but then sovereignty exercises are not pure knowledge/ontological constructs but for the construals/conceptualisations of inherently sovereign choices as knowledge/ontological constructs of the sovereign choices. Thirdly, the conceptualisation of this paper is rather unusual and unordinary as it is transcendental by its construct and the implied registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications, and even further unusual by its phenomenological and hermeneutics methodological approaches, which frankly speaking is the only way to creatively garner such insights in broad strokes. Like with all transcendental constructs, which by definition tend to put the usual/ordinary in question, it is not surprising that it will sound highly alienating to ordinary ways of thought. However, its ethos is that it is coming from a depth of conceptualisation that is more profound than our ordinariness when it grasps that other institutionalisations whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively
deprocrypticism, had their own ‘ordinariness’ in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag no less than we do, and that the underlying ontological reasoning is beyond the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence, of any registry-worldview/dimension including our positivistic meaningful frame, to arrive at a superseding and more profound ontological-veridicality or grasp of intrinsic-reality with corresponding illuminating implications. In that sense, an argument of the type our society is great as it is, will then be meted with a same argument that there were great things happening in medieval times as well and maybe we shouldn’t have transcended into positivism; speaking of a fundamental solipsistic ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity. One could argue in the logic of those times, the serfs were doing great feeding themselves, as many did argue; and there was no need for science, as many did argue, etc. The fact is we are the outcrop of the possibility and potential for human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity before which doesn’t end with us but proceeds to undermine our own registry-worldview/dimension as well. Fourthly, it is obvious that if and where what is factored in is only the folksy lifespan perspectives of individuals existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications of shallowness of scale and time, without the requisite philosophical depth requiring a profound appreciation, understanding and insights from ‘humanity existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications level scale and time’ which easily gets lost, and thus this bigger pursuit of this paper will be lost and misunderstood by such a shallowness of scale and time of thought, and non-contemplation and pseudologism as a mark of banality/folksy-logic. It is inevitable, as has been the case throughout the human past, that transcendental ideas are inevitably suprastructural/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology~<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag registry-worldview/dimension in which such notions are being advanced in. Fifthly, it is more likely that a banal/folksy inclination may hardly appreciate the difference between the outcome of a mindset/reference-of-thought as a secondnaturedness and internalisation construct across successive institutionalisations with their requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling, memetic-reordering and institutional-recomposure induced from intemporal-disposition individuation disposition, and correspondingly differentiate between being so-institutionalised with a secondnatured and internalisation mindset/reference-of-thought and the intemporal–individuation disposition that will equally be responsible out of mere intemporal-solipsism as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (and no secondnaturing and internalisation) for institutionalising/intemporalising with regards to the present registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold that will be behind the secondnaturing and internalisation of prospective registry-worldview/dimension. This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’ is the reflection of the contiguity of successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications across varying meaningful frames, references and registry-worldviews/dimensions; and is abstractly determined by the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology (ontological-normalcy) whatever the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and inherently implies ‘a universal existentialisms/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor across institutionalisations’ though of differing ‘snowballed recomposuring’ of meaningfulness and reference-of-thought, defining their specificities and potentials.
This is just a basic anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity elucidation which while original and useful on its own right, is equally pertinent for an insight in the social manifestation of psychopathy. Besides, one can imagine that a thorough grasp and creative application of the stranding-contiguity-of-ontology or ontological-normalcy or postconvergence drive, as this psychologically reflects/perspectivates dialectically de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) or as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase of mental-devising-representation by which human transcendences occur can ultimately be the avenue for liberating the human mind to its full potential and directed transcending capacity. That is, transcendental capacity not only by way of a spontaneous and natural dialectical cycle of social constraints of stakes and confliction behind the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose history but a ‘consciously directed’ abstract understanding, more like deprocrypticism-over-procrypticism could-be and would-need-to-be relatively highly consciously directed given the relatively lower immediate positive-opportunism (for survival-and-flourishing to the cross-section of human temporal interests) compared to the lower transcendences like base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism, but for its abstract veridical pertinence and potentially grander possibilities in the institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling. Such a veering to the creatively abstract, with respect to the philosophical and the social sciences, but nonetheless ontologically veridical will be liberating/emancipatory from the ‘spontaneously natural dialectical cycle of human progress’ and is increasingly certain to be the defining feature of human civilisation.
It should be noted that Entropy as defined (‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation’) relates that the intemporal-preservation-institutionalisation entropy is the preceding-and-defining reference for the hermeneutic-referencing of the ontological meaning of all other associated conceptualisations and notions. (By ontological meaning is implied intemporal/veridical/purism/operant-construct/predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) meaning or ontology/reality-centered-meaning as contrasted to temporal/non-veridical/compromised/non-operant-and-vagueas <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaning or metaphysical/speculative/banality/social-discomfiture/temporal-human-centered meaning).

Central to the hermeneutics approach towards elucidating psychopathy and the underlying psychological science is a method I qualify as ‘referentialism’ which makes reference to the supersedingness/precedingness of the ‘intemporal preservation institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy/contiguity’ before articulating concepts and notions in referential and organic elucidation of the entropic construct. Referentialism as such is actually central to the spontaneity required in hermeneutics. It differs from the traditional scientific categorisation of concepts and notions, in that referentialism implies a highly contiguous, circumstantial and dynamic referencing elucidating of the superseding/preceding entropic notion while categorisation tends to be basically constitutive, definitive and ‘weakly contiguous/relatively-fragmented overall’ in its elucidation of notions, concepts and ideas. Categorisation has been very efficient with the physical and biological sciences with its classification approach enabling a profoundness of analysis while enabling excellent subject matter organisation. However, this author is of the opinion that categorisation as an approach is actually less efficient in the social sciences (and notions of an ephemeral character) as it underemphasises the ‘organic dynamism’ of social concepts and often leads to relatively trite
classification schemes that are often inoperant or poorly operant given the relative ephemerality of the social world (a weakness of many categorisation classification schemes in the social sciences). On the other hand, referentialism carries the promise of ‘point-referencing’ notions and concepts in a contiguously dynamic, evolving and ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction way, putting emphasis on the relative relation of concepts and notions towards the central notion in its dynamic entropic conceptualisation. This author is also of the opinion that referentialism is actually the natural human cognitive development approach to acquisition and classification of knowledge with emphasis on ‘the organic dynamics of understanding’ wherein a child for instance doesn’t necessarily grasp outright the fullness of concepts-of-meanings but rather the ‘relevant dynamic contextualisation of meanings’ ensuring a strongly operant and ‘wealthy’ relationship with meaning in the social context. ‘Intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation’ with respect to uninstitutionalised-threshold of registry-worldviews/dimensions, can be construed as follows:

Supposed all humanity across space and time that ever existed was just ‘one human temporal-to-intemporal individuation’, the process of general-institutionalisation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to ununiversalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively to deprocrypticism, is actually one same process but for ‘lack of the human-mentation-capacity and need for time for the cumulation of the mentation-capacity’ (lack of ‘brain capacity’) to get it all right from the start (i.e. to fully grasp deprocrypticism starting from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to ununiversalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism as convergent concepts towards deprocrypticism (as ‘longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as induced by maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation’ and involving more profound/richer ontological-levels over shallower/poorer ontological-levels; with deprocrypticism thus implying a ‘full-cycle ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process undermining of subknowledging/mimicking/emanant-uninstitutionalisation-disposition’). Thus the successive institutionalisations are thus construed as ‘levels of compromise’ allowing for sufficient human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening (<amplitudizing/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to handle the requisite transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity even if from the very start the human doesn’t get a grasp of ‘higher institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldviews/dimensions’ all-at-once/as-a-whole but achieves the ‘comprehensive institutionalisation/intemporalisation frame’ only at deprocrypticism; as it goes on to take on the successive challenges of base-institutionalising, then universalising, then positivising, and finally with deprocrypticism absolute ontological-contiguity by undermining ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-in-arrogation’ (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). It should be noted that the issue of procrypticism had always been present at all times of human existence but the natural priority going by human shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) was first to have a base-institutionalisation institutionalisation, universalisation institutionalisation, positivism institutionalisation before prospectively deprocrypticism institutionalisation; more precisely, previous institutional-recomposures are indirectly (skewing towards) addressing base-institutionalisation,
universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism, up to the point of the respective institutionalisation/intemporalisation-recomposure where the reference-of-thought-as-the-registry-worldview is directly addressed. This thus explains ontological-normalcy/postconvergence across human mental-devising-representation as changes to accommodate intrinsic reality by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposures of successive illusions-of-the-present/present-consciousnesses/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/mirage at these successive institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels including the positivism–procrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation, towards intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; that has and will never change, and by way of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inducing of social universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\langle amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩ and internal logical coherence/contradiction this then validates the need for human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. In the bigger scheme of things, it points to the fact that ontologically for the full potential of human science, this should be ‘rising from this fundamental philosophical depth/profoundness of thought’ to then transversally address the issues it raises while projecting prospectively.

A further insight can be grasped regarding the relationship between psychopathy, anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity, veridicality (intrinsic reality/ontological representation), non-veridical reality (illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence), human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
non-positivism/medievalism mindset as the state of being of non-positivism/medievalism means we make reference to non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that end up endemising/enculturating such superstitious notions. Logic as logical-congruence only arises where there is a mutual registry-worldview reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. What is thus needed is a ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ of the medieval mindset/reference-of-thought (which is subknowledging/mimicking) wherein the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining generated by the positivist’s scientism (superseding) makes the medieval mind put in question its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the very first place. This ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling process’ equally applies prospectively (regarding the positivism–procrypticism and the deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions). In the phenomena of social psychopathy, it is important to grasp that the reflex to mentally represent the narratives of the psychopath and the protraction of the narratives by temporal supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism minds as ‘straightness/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking of mind’ is wrong, ‘overcoming the mental-slantedness/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is thus called for, more like we perceive the ‘slantedness of a childhood cinglé’ (in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the mental state of the psychopath as well as its protraction on the psychopath’s interlocutor). In other words, *the mind is actually a mental devising tool’ whose veracity/ontological-pertinence must be validated by an abstractly veridical intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In other words, the abstract grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality defines mental-devising-representation as the latter is not inherently given (it is a devising tool validated by abstract intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality established by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. For instance, while the traditional reflex of the human mental-devising-representation is disposed to think otherwise, Einstein theory-of-relativity abstraction, and likewise with many conceptualisations of a doppler-thinking nature, is more real by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, thus pointing to the error of the human reflex/impulse thinking. In another light, this explains the transformative evolution of our registry-worldviews/dimensions mental-devising-representations of reality from the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised earlymen to our current positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview, with the insight that our mental-devising-representation will evolve when prospective abstract reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework shows that it is defective/perverted as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, from a deprocryptic mental-devising-representation.

In the same vain, why we perceive the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mind as that of ‘a savage’, the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised in its ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence perceives its mind as straight/candored and as of organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought. It is the prospective base-institutionalised mind that ‘invents’ the representation of mental-slantedness/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mind; and likewise with the ununiversalised mind and universalised mind, non-positivism/medievalism mind and positivistic mind, and prospectively, procrypticism mind
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. The bigger scheme of things being the structural/paradigmatic preemption of a defective/perverted registry-worldview, in this case procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Such an emanant insight can be garnered from the fact that, positivism was established by the ‘diktat’/ordered-construct of the Descartes, Comtes, Galileos, Rousseaux, Newtons, Darwins… of the world, and the rest of humanity complied to the formalisms that ensue, by virtue of their proxying-to-intrinsic-reality and the positive-opportunism that led to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (towards human formalisation and internalisation)!

As registry-worldview/dimension defects or denaturing are responsible for the vices-and-impediments of the said registry-worldview/dimension; noting that the fundamental construction is a ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation’ making reference to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not a vague ‘impression/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation’ making reference to the banal <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as may illusionary be projected intradimensionally/intra-registry-worldview (the latter being represented as oblongated non-veridical narratives by the prospective intemporal-disposition-worldview)! The reason why virtue (knowledge is virtue) is treated scientifically as highlighted above is that virtue is a ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge construct’ and not a ‘good-natured/impression construct’. For instance, no non-positivism/medieval mindset is ‘good-natured/vague by the registry-worldview/dimension impression’ enough with the fundamental defective/perverted non-positivism/medieval worldview to be able to address ‘the-Good/understanding’ of a
positivistic mindset which will resolve or structurally-rendered-inoperant the problems of superstition and witchcraft as the former will always make reference to the defective/perverted reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of non-positivism/medievalism no matter how ‘good-natured/impression-driven’ it is. The same applies with procrypticism and deprocrypticism. No procrypticism (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) mindset as of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness has the requisite ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct’ insight to resolve/structurally-rendered-inoperant the issues of the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism as it is the deprocryptic mindset of ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge construct’ that is the virtue that carries the sound registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives to be able to do this.

- the-Good is an intemporal/ontological articulation referencing intemporality/longness in a contiguous emanance of ‘transcendental/superseding abstract intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ and corresponding derived reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; and is imbued with the ‘memetic reordering contiguity’ of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (base-institutionalisation-to-universalisation-to-positivism-to-deprocrypticism, and thereafter). The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is notionally more of ‘a capacity and scientific construct’ (high or low mentation-capacity) rather than a ‘stigmatising construct’ (positive or negative impressions).

- ‘Good-naturedness’ is a temporal articulation that wrongly references (distractively) for temporality-sake registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology priorly-and-over
‘transcending/superseding abstract intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’; and is imbued with the memetic notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> that undermines institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. Good-naturedness is notionally more of a ‘stigmatising construct’ (positive or negative stigmatising) rather than ‘a capacity and scientific construct’ (high or low mentation-capacity).

- Virtue (retrospectively to prospectively) is not determined by ‘good-naturedness’/impression-driven construal/conceptualisation of meaning but rather by the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation of meaning as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (the emanant/becoming ontological-normalcy/postconvergence determinant of veridicality/the-quality-of-being-emanantly-real). The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation (understanding) as per veridicality demonstrated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is the complete and sufficient elaborative framework for conceptualising virtue! Such ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is rather tangentially the purview of increasing realism of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as it is contiguous with ‘human transcending across shifting virtue paradigms for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (with corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure); going from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (impulsive-or-accidented-or-haphazard-or-random mental-disposition), base-institutionalisation (mythologies paradigm, which is of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’–enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and represents virtue in terms—as-of-axiomatic-
construct of allegiance/subservience transience), universalisation (mystical-principles
paradigm, which is of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ordinal-as-qualifying-
phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in–‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and represents virtue in terms—as-of-axiomatic-
construct of qualification/good-to-bad transience), positivism (principles-
rationalism/positivist-idealism paradigm, which is of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising–intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and represents virtue in terms—as-of-axiomatic-
construct of categorisations/kindness-humility-helpfulness-etc. transience), and prospectively
depocrypticism (rational-realism paradigm, which is a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-
presencing-in–‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality!

world, we no longer do institutional slavery, we talk of universal rights and equality of all people, mob judgment and mob killing is hardly practised anymore, accusations of witchcraft are now viewed as ridiculous, etc.; it is the integration of a positivist registry-worldview/dimension, with corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that enabled such human transformation from a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension; and not the inherent exceptionalism, as biological or otherwise, of humans living now over their forerunners.

Basically, human ‘supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism deductive reasoning’ as prelogism is effectively a sound construct for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and hence virtue; that is, so long as it is adhered to properly. However, this is not the case on two grounds. It is critical to distinguish a defect in improper processing/operating of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism which is rather construed as a singular/ad-hoc ‘implicitation-of-act-execution defect’ and can be then qualified as a ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’; it being nonetheless a supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism as it holds the teleological aim of ‘intemporal preservation with a principled adherence to supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ even though it delivered an inappropriate/poor-or-bad logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. On the other hand, a defect of postlogism/psychopathy compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation in
hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> operates on the ‘parasitising/co-opting’ basis that intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are mere formulaic determinants of human thought and action and is the basis for perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. Such a defect is ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>’ as it rather holds the teleological aim of ‘temporal preservation/undermining-of-intemporal-preservation without a principled adherence to prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’ and thus speaks to the disposition to act likewise technically in a large or infinite number of cases (syncretising). It should be noted that temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) are in-of-themselves act defects and not being defects. However, such temporal-dispositions are registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> when these relay postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as of formulaic slanting compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation as to threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (whether of the psychopath or not) inducing narratives that are slanted/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated as in perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and not-of-logical-contention; due to the miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, subpar/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation (occurring at the specific temporal-dispositions). For instance, going by the BODMAS equation highlighted before, the mere operation of arithmetic without factoring in A’s condition/subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness additionality with 1 leads to a systematic failure that is ontological and not a mere act defect, and defines an uninstitutionalised-threshold. It should be noted that at all uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) that enables the mental-reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with)-representation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> as perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in construing unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought (stranding-as-mentally-oblongated/decanedored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) from whence an exercise of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure with new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation initiates a cross-generational transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Ontologically, the mental-devising-representation of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> is as strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions, involving oblongating/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, that defines the dialectical-out-of-phasing (whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and, in the prospective representation, of procrypticism) as perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. For instance, in registry-worldview/dimension terms, medievalism/non-positivistic mental-disposition is systematically registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect—<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> at the uninstitutionalised-threshold where you need a positivisitc mental-disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. Likewise, procrypticism (threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/subknowledging/mimicking-and-corresponding<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) is registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> at the uninstitutionalised-threshold where you need deprocrypticism. Reality being blunt/incisive as it is rather preceding/superseding and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to us, is in essence of potent operant and deterministic phenomenality that doesn’t have any place for our thresholding discrete incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness notions but even for the cases where such discretion is artificially devised/implied, it is applied as operant and deterministic (consider quantum-mechanics). So ontologically, the
mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions is definitely accurate on two insightful grounds. Reality's bluntness/incisiveness doesn't leave room for discretionary judgments about ‘good-natured'/impression-driven conceptualisations of virtue and virtuous judgment within the overarching framework of such the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reality determinism, and such impressions can only pass for an illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness mirage and/or <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (attempting to operate logic in a superseding registry-worldview on the basis of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of a superseded registry-worldview; for instance, God of plane type of statement in say an animistic society that comes in contact with foreigners and a plane). The second reason is that we can garner insight on prior/superseded institutionalisations and understand that the vices-and-impediments are actually cross-sectional to the registry-worldviews/dimensions as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> and it is intemporal philosophical development that goes on to liberate/enlighten/moult-out ‘actors of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ who in turn then shine the light across society, i.e. institutionalisation/intemporalisation by skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity)/deferential-formalisation-transference for the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition over temporal-dispositions for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation. transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—dementativity as such is more of a deterministic and operant process than discretionary, and works on the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework basis, even though counterintuitively we tend to turn towards impressions to construe virtue which only confuses the issue as we then wrongly define fulfilling temporal whims (good-natured impressions or not) of the ‘collective consciousness of the corresponding present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present’ as an intemporal reference for defining virtue (with no ‘emanance disambiguation’/temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions), rather than a transcendental understanding of the-Good – i.e., knowledge/virtue-as-institutional-cumulation/recomposure-for-intemporal-preservation. This points to the fact that necessarily the structural/paradigmatic virtue construct (knowledge-driven) of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation is universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism is positivism, and prospectively, that of our positivism—pro crypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and so as a veridical and contiguous deterministic-and-operant psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, that knows no discretion!

There are ‘traditionally 4 human mental projections/representations/dispositions’ associated with virtuous paradigmatic construct, analysed from the perspective of an ontological-veridicality establishing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework:

(i) The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation (understanding) which is effectively ontologically operant.


(iv) An impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation involving perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or slantedness operance from an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework perspective; which generates (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversion or slantedness along reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation of the-Good conceptualisation; pointing to the fact that impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisations are rather inclined to induce vices-and-impediments given that the veridicality of reality (reflected by the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation) is all the virtue enabler that there is and other conceptualisations are rather
distractions that are in effect vice-ridden and an impediment, and more specifically when these undermine the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation.

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—(as ‘first-level presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for
base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, ununiversalisation failing/not-upholding—<as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—(as ‘second-level presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for
universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, non-positivism/medievalism failing/not-
upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> positivising/rational-empiricism-
based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—(as ‘third-level presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for
positivism—procrypticism or prospectively, positivism failing/not-upholding—<as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> ‘deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought,—as-to—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism,—(as conflation of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for
perpetuating-deprocrypticism). Practically, however ‘good intentioned or good-natured’ a
non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought it is bound to rely on medieval
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of sickness like a curse or witchcraft rather than a positivist notion like infection, and the virtuous outcome is fundamentally a question of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of positivistic understanding, and not any vague impression! Not only is impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation at best vague, ontologically speaking, it is bound to be extricatory (temporal/circumstantial/self-interest paradigm) rather than intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Alignment should rather be in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions as the backdrop for prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. Further, impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation induces both ‘logical and unconscionability-drags. A drag is a vague meaningful articulation arising out of veridical incongruence due to the nonreality of initiating narratives or propositions, and subsequent structural/paradigmatic contiguity of narratives and propositions thereafter from such initial miscues and/or intermittent miscues. For instance, supposed going by the example where a psychopath had wrongly accused someone of being a paedophile (not in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ or prelogism but rather compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation as to threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism due to the inexistence
of the psychopath’s implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), suppose the interlocutor was to go on to in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation relay these distortions with other interlocutors, we will talk of a ‘miscue’, and where other meaning grounded fundamentally on this miscue were to develop, we talk of ‘logical-drag’, further where comprehensive generation of social meaningfulness were to arise out of this, we talk of ‘unconscionability-drag’, and finally sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising refers to the temporal mental-disposition to use conventioning thinking as alibi for temporal-motivated dispositions (over the inherent sense of ontological meaningfulness). Actually, strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions are the characteristic backdrop mental-devising-representations of superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions when we think from an ontological perspective of the soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought projection/representation that captures the meaningful framework of a registry-worldview teleology whether regarding a society at its ununiversalisation whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, and medieval/non-positivisitic, and prospectively, we can garnered such strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions with respect to procrypticism from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation. Human mental development across time validate the notion that we have consistently been in a state of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as we institutionally skew/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with a better grasp of reality and ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Memetic-reordering (psychoanalytic-unshackling) inducing institutionalised skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’,
supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvalutive-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness~equalisation projection to overcome temporal-dispositions (to supersede as-
wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase), and so in a
pedestalled disambiguation of ontologically veridical intemporal-disposition pedestal,
slanting/postlogism in hollow-constituting.<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as subknowledging impulse by
psychopath pedestal and slantedness/postlogic-integration as perversion-of-reference-of-
thought.<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> by the temporal-dispositions pedestals. Memetic-reordering
 psychoanalytic-unshackling) is thus the central notion of a new and comprehensive human
psychology wherein the human psyche is more of a ‘mental devising tool’ involving
candoring/prelogism/organic-comprehension-thinking and decandoring/distractive-
alignment-to-reference-of-thought /threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. The former
(candoring/prelogism/organic-comprehension-thinking) mental orientation points to
supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism within any registry-worldview/dimension at
institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation basically focussed on operating/processing logic over supposedly
sound reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.–for-
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation while the latter
(decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental orientation points to
stake-contention-or-confliction’. At which point no articulation is inherently more right, however, the intemporal-disposition being ontological has ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework veridicality and carries a positive-opportunism that can allow it to dominate human temporal-dispositions reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) their registries/mental-representations perversion, and so, through social institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling in the medium to long-run. It is only after such uninstitutionalised-threshold is superseded/dominated/preceded/overridden/abjected by the intemporal-disposition as an ordered construct institutionalisation/intemporalisation with corresponding human secondnaturing as internalisation and formalisation that logic becomes pertinent as it now operates only on one axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives/registry-teleology that establishes the substantive/existential-contextualising-contiguity (not formulaic-projection/mimicry) and veracity/ontological-pertinence of interlocutors’ articulations.

Thus the basis for Rational-Realism as the initial institutionalisation/intemporalisation recomposure orientation that goes beyond just articulating reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation but involves anticipating human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions in preempting the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of prior/superseded registry-worldview’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; as rational-realism take stock of the fundamental reality across all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions and doesn’t just assume the wrong notion of just an intemporal-disposition with the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> result that temporal-dispositions induced manifestations are not accounted for, anticipated and preempted beforehand/as-of-a-priori to prevent their perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation at their uninstitutionalised-threshold thus ensuring ontological contiguity. So with rational-realism the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation comes around as the ‘full-cycle/dynamic recomposuring’ that specifically anticipates and preempt priorly/ahead in its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation the notion of temporal-dispositions to dement/subknowledge-(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge)/mimick-and-syncretise (rather than subsequently as a transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity). This raises two dilemma with respect to the conceptualisation of virtue as rational-realism implies that at the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold, we have to register/acknowledge priorly our inclination to subknowledge-(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge) positivistic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to paradoxically then be able to anticipate and stifle this in the active construction of deprocryptic meaning, at which point the ontological-veridicality of meaning then involves not only logical operation/processing/contention on the basis of a sole intemporal-disposition, but equally registries-disambiguation to account for perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>/mental-perversion/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism by temporal-dispositions:

aside at best induces ‘memetic-inching/psychoanalytic-realigning’ which are not of an immediate transcending nature.

(ii) Conventioning metaphoricity involving in a continuum on one side ontologising rationalising though ontological-veridicality is not the sufficient reason for the social acceptance of rightness for rightness sake (as explained previously) and on the other side intemporality/ontology distractive sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising.

‘Rational-Realism as of Deprocrypticism or institutionalisation/intemporalisation full-cycle’ can thus be construed as a contiguous cumulation of successive memetic-reordering (as institutional recomposing) for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation; with such successiveness due to the limitation of human mentation-capacity to be able to mimetically (across suprastructural-meaningfulness) come full-cycle in one transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory--de-mentativity, explaining the recomposing of the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure; from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivis–procrypticism, and recomposuring full-cycle towards prospective rational-realism as of deprocrypticism.

Correspondingly, due to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), human memetic/psychoanalytic grasp-and-fulfilment of intemporal-preservation (in devising reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) is limited at successive instances of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory--de-mentativity/institutionalisation, due to:

(i) the reality of human dispositions not being just of intemporal-disposition but rather temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (with temporal-dispositions a drawback/distractive to
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation


Hence intemporal-preservation is a memetically/psychoanalytically evasive construct at uninstitutionalised-threshold, the pursuit of which is veridically the human species
eudaemonic contemplation, construed as ‘postconvergence memetic recomposuring’; recomposure is defined as ‘ontological-representation/ontological-memetism of intrinsic-meaningfulness (whether implying, on the one hand, an integrative/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking alignment or on the other hand, a distinctive/decandored alignment as threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (as validated by veridicality/ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework). This definition explains the succession of the recomposuring of institutionalisations with the notion that where intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is lost at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, a prospective registry-worldview/dimension is implied/recomposured that will ensure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and undermines notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/setting-aside by appropriate stranding/coring representation (-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions) as the backdrop for the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. That is, ‘human progress/transcendence happens as a matter of fact, with no registry-worldview/dimension having any ontological and veridical claim/pretence to extricate itself from psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure—as-dialectical-stranding-backdrop-for-prospective-transcendence once it is shown that it subknowledges-or-mimics (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, even though this from the temporal-dispositions mindset/reference-of-thought is always an unpalatable proposition. But then the state of being in a transcended registry-worldview/dimension (as in our present positivist registry-worldview/dimension) arises because other prior registry-worldviews/dimensions successively underwent their own psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure—as-dialectical-stranding-backdrop-for-prospective-transcendence for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, at their uninstitutionalised-threshold; and so, going back to the recurrent-utter-institutionalised early men who left the caves and trees, thus any denial of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity as articulated above is an argument which incoherence emanantly imply ‘we should go back to the caves and trees’, as we’ll seem to validate that prior registry-worldviews/dimensions should never had transcended up to our very own registry-worldview/dimension, and beyond, prospectively. Stranding (of-perverting-temporal-dispositions-of-reference-of-thought) should be construed at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold (the threshold where the registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), as the ‘base structural/paradigmatic decandored/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defect reflex’ (not a straightness/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking/prelogism reflex), and de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) rather points to ‘a (lack of) the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reflection/perspectivation’ (hence
a veridical ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as operant and deterministic, and not an impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness nor a veridically logically-disjointed/discretionary reflection/perspectivation). Stranding is thus articulated as slanting/miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/subpar-conventioning-rationalising conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>’ (induced from temporal-dispositions threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as to ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). The memetic-reordering is in recomposuring, at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism of (registry-worldview) apriorising–registry elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (i.e. reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) towards the transcending registry-worldview’s implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, in re-institutionalising the uninstitutionalised-threshold. There is no reason for de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) and recomposuring but for the fact that the internal coherence of a registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding–<as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, as its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism provides the dynamic association for psychopathic/postlogic subknowledging/mimicking impulse leading to the vices-and-impediments of the registry-worldview/dimension from an intemporal/ontological perspective; and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation veridicality (as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) is the drive that resolves lack of human mentation-capacity for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) by stranding-backdrop-for-transcendence and then recomposuring prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. The example highlighted on page 12 provides an excellent ‘logical insight’ on stranding-backdrop-for-transcendence and recomposuring of a registry-worldview/dimension that is failing/not-upholding—as of–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold …

To grasp this better say for instance the normal arithmetic we know 2+2=4, 5+1=6, 7-3=4, etc. was to be undermine by a new human subknowledging caused by a disease wherein we tend to say 2+2=5, 5+1=7 and 7-3=3, then the traditional categorical-imperatives of addition and subtraction will be modified to take account of our perversion/defect by saying that additionality will involve subtracting 1 from the result and subtractivity will involve adding 1 to the result, so that arithmetic mirrors intrinsic reality outcome (intemporal-transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). Thus

In practical terms, human/social VIRTUE is effectively articulated at ‘the crossroad of the notions’ of intemporal-disposition, ontologising/intemporal-disposition philosophical deference, conventioning, animality (the recurrent temporal-dispositions to subknowledge-〈preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge〉 intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation across successive institutionalisations) and institutional recomposuring (prospective memetic-reordering).

It is important to note that an ontological construct ‘escalates’ specific/particular instances of phenomena (in this case psychopathy and social psychopathy phenomenon) into
a universal conceptualisation which ‘knowledge principle conceptualisation’ then addresses (percolates into) the ‘infinity of related incidental phenomena and cases’, i.e. newton articulates the science of mechanics metaphorically from ‘an initial apple that hits his head why under a tree’ not because the science of mechanics will revolve around an apple that hit his head but because he’ll grasp the insight to understand the myriad and infinity of instances requiring those laws of physics. So the intemporal-as-ontological pedestal (in its treatment) involves universal projection to grasp universal principles and is not meant to ‘equivocate and idle’ with perversion-of-reference-of-thought-&lt;as-effectively-apriorising-innonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation&gt; temporal manifestations which are dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, but rather then apply the knowledge principles so articulated to the theoretically infinite incidental instances (on the validation and untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining or internal-contradictions induced by the knowledge principles ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework).

Of course, no registry-worldview/dimension thinks of itself as prospectively dialectically-primitive/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, and as such its ‘supposed contention’ will always by reflex strive to arrive at an equilibrium in the same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, but the template of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity shows that the intemporal prospective/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought takes precedence with contention construed by its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation by the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prioritisation of the relatively
in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> registry-worldview-perversion. For instance, men did not transcend from a medieval worldview to a positivistic worldview by a ‘logical exercise’ (the logical conceptualisation we have of such a transformation in today’s positive world is rather in effect an afterthought appraisal) but because the grander grasp on reality of positivism constrained and made the medieval registry-worldview untenable/internally-contradictory (the ships that set sail around the world for spices elicit a positive commercial opportunism that is responsible for destroying the social myth of a flat world; the bacteria theory that will ensure that one lives or die if we believe in it or not coerced the destruction of a superstitious medical worldview; the scientific tools and knowledge that ensured that nation A or nation B will triumph if they believe in it or not, coerces the need to adopt a scientific worldview, etc.). It is naïve to think that such progression occurred because of cross-sectional human ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation disposition’. Rather it is a secondnatured/ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as this notion inherently validates the anthropological-continuity by distinguishing between the notion of same human natural ability across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions and the notion more and more profound institutionalised registry-worldviews/dimensions arising out of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure to the capacity bestowed by their forerunners; such that human limited-mentation-capacity is always mostly directed to the transformative of activities while taking for granted much of the bestowed knowledge heritage. Hence we can’t overrate the ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ known as procrysticism preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, as the backdrop for ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; in the same way as the stranding-of-temporal-dispositions-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of non-positivism/medievalism provided the backdrop for positivism recomposuring or that of ununiversalisation for universalisation recomposure or that of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation for base-institutionalisation recomposure. It should be noted that at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, temporal-dispositions potential inclination for preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism is suppressed by formalism and internalisation involving intemporal meaningfulness social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness), internal-contradiction, referencing/registering/decisioning or stranding as sound or unsound, and alienating of unsound meaningfulness to stifle any such threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. At uninstitutionalised-threshold (extended informalities), no formalism and internalisation (generated by the intemporal-disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) exists in preemption leading potentially to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism. Basically, such a representation of organicalism and mechanicalism can be storied or narrated as follows:

Supposed going by the case highlighted where a psychopath met a stranger talking about another stranger as molesting children; the so accused stranger was actually a guardian of the child assuming various responsibilities that come with it (this represents the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/x/Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought— as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) depth of meaning), the psychopath fully aware of this none the less proffered such hollow mimicking narratives to the other stranger who aligned in-prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising—psychologismly/prelogicly to the psychopath but is veridically now in effect the threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism by ignorance, and goes on to miscue by articulating that the accused stranger should be reported to the police or any other relevant organisation, and possibly does that. Further still, this miscuing comes to develop into disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, temporal-dispositions preservation, and sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising wherein ‘a comprehensive depth of perverted narratives’ has now been cultivated in the social environment. All such denaturing (and as are conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism to human temporal defects of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s) are a perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-

supererogation> threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-

supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism to the organic
veridicality (deprocrypticism). In the bigger scheme of things, denaturing of apriorising–
registry (as the apriorising–registry is the axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives on
which logic operates/is processed pointing to a coherently systematic failure of logic at the
uninstitutionalised-threshold; consider that the non-positivism/medievalism apriorising–
registry will coherently fail logical operation/processing/contention with regards to its
uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism, that’s the same emanant issue with
procrypticism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring deprocrypticism) do not simply
point to an act defect but registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–
defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> about-and-defining the vices-and-
impediments of the said registry-worldview/dimension, that abstractly apply with regards in
this case not to one instance of human psychopathy and one case of social context of
protracted social psychopathy but points to a registry-worldview/dimension defect that points
abstractly to metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-

locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/an-ontological-or-existential-defect of such
psychopathic and protracted social psychopathy, in the same vain as the phenomena of
witchcraft in a non-positivist/medieval society ‘for an ontological/intemporal projecting
mind’ is more than just a case of witchcraft in a given non-positivism/medievalism locale but
goes beyond to define a dimensional defect of non-positivism/medievalism across all human
societies that are qualified as non-positivism/medievalism with the idea that the
‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-
escalation/aetiologisation’ in the bigger scheme of things is more than just a locale but a
universal articulation of positivistic thinking as the universal resolution of the vices-and-
impediments associated with a witchcraft and superstition endemising/enculturating worldview. It should be noted that however ‘good-natured an individual’ in that worldview the basic knowledge defect of that worldview as non-empirical/superstitious defines the disposition of any such individual, as they adhere to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension, to commit vices-and-impediments associated with non-positivism/medievalism, since virtue actually lies in the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ of being empirical/non-superstitious/positivistic. That’s equally the problem you have with procrepticism or perversion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of a positivistic registry-worldview as the virtue lies in the the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as involving psychopathic preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, and its corollary as social psychopathy involving conjugating/inflecting/deriving preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> by the temporal-dispositions of ignorance, unconsciously, and consciously, affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation; slanting/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of positivistic registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. That is, the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is the perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-
thought/preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-
psychologism/subknowledging/mimickingas <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/aikastic-drag of positivistic
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology-
for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. In which
case contention (being about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
preservation beyond just the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism/mimicking-or-subknowledging of ‘previously recomposured/invented’
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) becomes rather an
intemporal-disposition reflection/perspectivation and ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-
intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ of such
psychopathic/temporal-dispositions slantedness/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism/mimicking-or-subknowledging as perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>/mental-perversion, and not logical-contention/contending-articulation. Such
perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as-of-unsoundness-
or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought in effect involves on the part of psychopathic and
conscious conjugated-postlogism minds as with exacerbation-temporal-disposition ‘vice in
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism perversions’ wherein the
mimicry/subknowledging enters into an active dynamics with temporal-dispositions
prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation inducing their threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as miscuing psychopathic/postlogism-slantedness, and subsequent protraction into disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, temporal-dispositions preservation and sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising); such that this development is actually an instrumentalisation of the initial directed-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Directed-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as such being a conscious and operant mental awareness of psychopathic/postlogic minds of the void of their narratives and teleology but understanding and acting by instrumentalisation on the basis that prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds are disposed to elevate the hollow mimicking narratives (by ignorance and/or subsequently affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) to wrongly validate the apriorising–registry as veridical thus falsely implying an implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology.

Just as we work with the reality that all humans are disposed to have cancer and the virtue of curing is not denying but anticipating and preempting the possibility of having cancer with medicines, lifestyle, research, etc., i.e. ‘ontology is about working with what is/knowledge-driven, and not wishful-thinking/impression-driven’ to accede to intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as it enables ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. It is bluntly speaking a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise involving the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal-disposition for
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, to ‘pedestally dominate and override’ temporal-dispositions in the cross-section/averageness/banality of solipsistic human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought
-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. Reality is actually an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct. Mythologies, metaphysics and hearsays while proto-conceptual in human development are out of kilter, and the use of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation is the central notion of ontologies. Insightfully, human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor speak of ‘the-real-nature-of-man’ that can be skewed with institutional recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to explain how-man-can-be/the-nature-of-man at any registry-worldview level, retrospectively or prospectively. Whereas, man, if naively perceived as a whole rather only from the angle of a specific ‘institutionalisation/secondnaturing level’ which is in ‘existential immediacy’ this may seem to indicate that we are talking about ‘different species’ with ‘different ontological determinants’, which is naïve and false. The anthropopsychological approach to psychology is analogical to the development of physics which is not only on the basis of what is immediately at the conscious operational level of physicists but equally projecting into a physics conceptualisation of the macrocosm (astronomy and cosmology) as well as the microcosm (particle physics) in other to place the subject on a comprehensively sound footing. Central to such a sound footing in the ontological-normaley/postconvergence conceptualisation of the social domain is the idea of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions and institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure.
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On another note, it is critical to distinguish between a true philosophical development that arises by intemporal-disposition and an institutionalised development that is articulated to elicit ‘positive-opportunism’ in humans, so that the intellectual exercise doesn’t naively project a philosophical idealism where this doesn’t exist and by so doing undermine its work by naively projecting universal intemporality/longness and failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to articulate a realism that takes account of temporal mental-dispositions (knowledge-notionalisation, i.e. apprehending not only intemporal implications of any knowledge construct, but preempting by transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to potential temporal undermining of that intemporal idealism construct; the reason we institutionalise/intemportalise and formalise with subsequent internalisation/secondnaturing).

It should be noted that the use of the concepts of intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness is more scientific than the impression notions of good and bad. intemporality/longness points to ‘what generates the greatest universal virtue as ontological which is universally-centered’ (and that this corresponds to reality-referencing and the ontology pedestal) while temporality/shortness points to ‘what generates the non-ontological as shallow interest that may be self-centered, at various pedestals, (and that this corresponds to <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and metaphysical pedestals)’. intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness as such are operant knowledge concepts while good and bad are vague and non-operant impression concepts. In fact, why good and bad are impression-driven, intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness by their very definition above are made operant as an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework.
scientific principle (without making any reference to stigmatising impression of virtue) by the denotation as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (intemporality) and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (temporality). That is, with respect to 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) the intemporal mind conceptually asks what is the best disposition in universal-depth that abstractly delivers the greatest good to all humans in similar 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' setup across space and time; while temporal minds under the same notion (intemporality-temporality) conceptually assume lower and lower shades ‘in mentation-capacity terms’ of such an intemporal universal-depth concept articulation stressing in lieu of ‘all humans’ various shades of ununiversal, particular or temporal-self-interest dispositions. So there is a depth of continuity in ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in the notion of intemporality-temporality that doesn’t need any impression-drive, and this notion can certainly be made scientifically operant as it is a contiguous mentation-capacity-based notion in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of low to high mentation-capacity. The idea of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as such is devoid of stigmatisation which is the result of articulating meaning with respect to vague impression-driven temporal references harkening back to the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought rather than the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought; since shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are a contiguous value construct as in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation beyond just <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
consciousness’–enabling–
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–operant–or-
thought-devolving–as–of–instantiative-context (impulsive–or–accidented–or–haphazard–or–
random–mental–disposition), <amplituding/formative>epistemic–totalising–nominal–as–
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–operant–or–
thought-devolving–as–of–instantiative-context (allegiance/subservience–transience),
<amplituding/formative>epistemic–totalising–ordinal–as–qualifying–phenomenal–
abstractiveness–of–presencing–in–‘preclusive–consciousness’–enabling–
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–operant–or–
thought-devolving–as–of–instantiative-context (qualification/good–to–bad–transience),
abstractiveness–of–presencing–in–‘occlusive–consciousness’–enabling–
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–operant–or–
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (categorisation/kindness-humility-helpfulness-
etc. transience) of conceptualisation but arrive at rationality (contiguous mentation-
capacity/longness-or-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology transience) or a
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-
phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation with a corresponding
depth/register-of-meaningfulness (in memetic reordering depth) that allows for a grasp of the-
Good intemporal-disposition (i.e., beyond just an intradimensional ‘good-natured’
conceptualisation) of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
preservation, with the memetic-reordering directly associated with the referential entropy in
institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Thus by intemporality/longness as a
the-Good conceptualisation as ‘longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-
register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, that specificity (as pursued in this paper) that
informs ontological understanding of not idling and articulating meaningfulness in
equivalence of temporality/shortness in its various shades, but rather with intemporal purpose
and intent, and an ultimate quest for validation only as an ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework conceptualisation will be qualified as ‘longness-of-thought’; and it
strives to achieve a prospective structural/paradigmatic existential registry-
worldview/dimension conceptualisation of transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity wherein aetiologisation/ontological-
escalation for prospective transcendental intemporal virtue is the underlying drive. The non-implication of an equivalence between (‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting-pedestalling) with temporality/shortness in its various shades will imply a knowledge conceptualisation rather from the perspective of the comprehension of human species intemporal potential rather than mere extrication within a temporal inter-individuals-and-social-stake-contention-or-confliction context, wherein for instance the focus of a positivistic-inclined mindset/reference-of-thought is not to idly engage a medieval world in medieval terms to stigmatise as a final end but rather for the virtuous human species potentiality to transcend into positivism, and on the other hand equally not to shy away from articulating, however temporally unpalatable and unintelligible-or-existentially-suprastructural for the temporal present registry-worldview/dimension, an intemporal transcendental prospection on the validation that the present registry-worldview/dimension is the outcome of a same-kind intemporal transcendental prospection with a same-kind corresponding emanance unpalatability and unintelligibility for the preceding registry-worldview/dimension, be it in that case driven by a spontaneous and natural dialectical cycle of social constraints of stakes and confliction, in contrast now to a more ‘consciously directed’ abstract understanding regarding deprocrypticism-over-procrypticism (with intellectual responsibility itself being defined as the spirit for authentically upholding such construing/conceptualisation and/or facilitating it as enabling further self-development together with the furthering of social/specie development).

The use of ‘human mental-dispositions/individuations’ as of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions doesn’t mean ontologically that the analyst view is that some individuals are inherently/exclusively solipsistically temporal and others are inherently/exclusively solipsistically intemporal. But rather, it is an abstract construction of human temporal-to-
intemporal-dispositions mental-dispositions/individuation potential possibilities that can incidentally arise in any individual by a circumstance or circumstances across time and space; but with a strong propensity of specific dispositions being nurtured in varying profundity across different individuals as per context. This abstract and fleeting notion is known as ‘individuation’ (more like an abstract and superseding ‘hermeneutic-aetiology’ of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions s, and hence the possibility of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework or scientism), and is the more scientific notion over ‘individual’ (which is just the receptacle of individuations).

By pedestal is meant the ‘temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions of meaningfulness whether the intemporal-disposition individuation-pedestal or the temporal-dispositions individuations-pedestals (ignorance-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, affordability-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, opportunism-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, exacerbation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, or temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal). The intemporal and temporal-dispositions-registries individuations-pedestals imply and point to the underlying ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework basis of ‘the specific temporal-disposition meaningfulness-and-teleology. Further, by psychopathic or other postlogic subknowledging/mimicking-and-mimicking-protration, the ‘temporal-dispositions individuations-pedestals’ wrongly conjugate/inflect/protract their apriorising-registry-elements (implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) from aligning prelogically to postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> thus effectively being postlogic, and
ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, to
temporally arrive at entropy on the basis of temporal-dispositions teleologies or shortness-of-
register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (with the associated non-veridical temporal
implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) i.e. temporal preservation teleologies are
inclined to forego intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation
teleology (ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought) at a
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, which should definitely be
resisted by ‘intellectual responsibility’ which for the positivistic registry-
worldview/dimension holds that the intellectual disposition is all too willing to be ‘romantic’
about the idea of human firstnature cross-sectional inclination for the intemporal-disposition
and that intellectual responsibility is to acknowledge the veridicality of human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor and be preemptive of
the ‘non-ontological/non-knowledge/non-virtue temporal-dispositions threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ by futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism
institutionalisation based on absolute ontological-contiguity and taking account of temporal-
dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>); just as the present
positivism institutionalisation had been preemptive of human cross-sectional disposition for
superstition by emphasising rational-empiricism, and the universalisation institutionalisation
had been preemptive of human disposition for ad-hoc social-stake-contention-or-conflication
resolutions along whims and interests to imply a sense of universalisation, and base-
institutionalisation had been preemptive of human disposition for recurrent lawlessness to
imply a sense of institutionalised living with mutual expectations. ‘Unconscionability-drag’
(from an ontological/intemporal reference) refers to the comprehensive state of
undisambiguation of temporal-dispositions individuation-pedestals which are wrongly
associated to the intemporal-disposition to be ontological as these conjugate/inflect/protract
(in mimicking-protraction) with the psychopath’s compulsive-dementing insane-fitment/slantedness/mere-possibility narratives which are as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or hollow-mimicking) inducing temporal-dispositions epistemic-decadence

Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) also points to the fact that at any institutional registry-worldview/dimension, there can be two mental alignments; whether the apriorising–registry is at the institutionalised/intemporalised threshold of meaning (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) or at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of meaning involving perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> requiring distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and in
the latter case the reflex to be integratively aligned is lost across all the temporal-dispositions of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-innonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> dimension, and what is called for with the unconscionability-drag is a distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought which will explain a dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive alignment by oblongating/decandoring/downgrading. * I.e. Remember ‘mental-devising-representation’ is a devising construct of preceding/superseding abstract reality/veridicality (postconvergence) as the latter never changes, and it is mental devising that adjusts to the illumination/insight we get about abstract reality/veridicality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework!

In the bigger scheme of things, ‘unconscionability-drag’ as a notion points to ‘ontological abstraction and mental-devising-representation of reality/veridicality defect’ whether dealing with psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> or temporal-dispositions conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism postlogism in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> s or simply plain temporal-dispositions ‘defective mental-devising-representation of ontological reality/veridicality’. The notion of ‘unconscionability-drag’ thus extends to all mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-innonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of all registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to the prospective transcendent as the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation registry-worldview/dimension, which is the point of ontological referencing (point-referencing).
implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) from the superseding perspective of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation alienative-hierarchisation and ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). This actually represents the human ‘temporalities-to-intemporality constant’ at all registry-worldviews/dimensions (as postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s are universally present in all registry-worldviews).


Of course, this is just a most basic demonstration as ideally one can imagine a creative storied narrative should articulate the phenomenon to its utmost evolving complexities – a storying construal involving an underlying-and-superseding intemporal/ontologising emanant ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional—conflatedness of notional—deprocrypticism’ for ‘postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of deprocrypticism teleology’ putting into perspective


The reason for the above is that you can’t address a registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomenal defect (psychopathy) without addressing the defects of the registry-worldview/dimension (procrypticism) that endemises it from the reference of the prospective transcendental dimension, just as you can’t address witchcraft without fundamentally addressing a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview that will necessarily and readily endemise superstitions and witchcraft. The peculiarities of successive institutionalisations is that these address the successive emanant dimensional defects of: recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by emphasising ‘base-institutionalising’, ununiversalisation by emphasising ‘universalising’, superstition/non-positivism/medievalism by emphasising ‘positivising’, and procrypticism
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism by emphasising ‘undermining subknowledging/mimicking’ or deprocrypticism or ‘longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (noting that the latter institutionalisation/intemporalisation contains the previous institutionalisations up to its own threshold of institutionalisation/intemporalisation, with deprocrypticism being organically imbued with all the prior/superseded institutionalisations); all these, pointing to ‘an ontological psychoanalytic/memetic-contiguity deconstruction across anthropology’ which the present treatment of psychology doesn’t recognise:

(i) Psychopath narrative teleology: an adult psychopath meets a stranger and speaks to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children

(ii) temporal-dispositions narratives teleologies: a stranger not knowing the other stranger aligning prelogically to the psychopath’s narrative will have a ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism ignorance-temporal-disposition defect’ if it articulated the following narrative:

(a) Such a person should not be allowed to roam the streets and should be interned.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism affordability-temporal-disposition defect’ will arise if another interlocutor knowing the accused for not truly being a child molester but because of expediency with respect to the psychopath articulates the following narrative:

(b) the guy is actually a bad person and they will not be surprise that he is a child molester.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism opportunism-temporal-disposition
defect’ will arise if a different interlocutor knowing truly that the accused is not a child molester but for a favour or sense-of-favour they owe to the psychopath articulates the following narrative:

(c) this guy has been going around molesting young children for quite a while now.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism exacerbation-temporal-disposition defect’ will arise where another interlocutor knowing the truth about the whole thing, thinks they can have an advantage by acting likewise as the psychopath and articulates the following narrative

(d) they had actually witnessed the accused shoplifting.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism social-discomfiture/(social-chainism/negative-social-aggregation)-temporal-disposition defect’ will arise where

(e) such narratives are purposefully and consistently relayed in the social sphere based on ignorances, affordabilities, opportunisms and exacerbations, and individuals come to make it a reference for their relation with the accused.

And finally, a ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism temporal-enculturation (temporal-endemisation)-temporal-disposition defect’ arises where

(f) individuals come to learn that by having the appropriate social relations and social support network they can then initiate such narratives if they were to have competing 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' situations with others, and not only that it also includes individuals passively accepting and giving up on the principle of the intemporality/longness and intrinsicness of meaning.
It is important to distinguish all the above ‘temporal instances conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protration-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of the psychopath’s postlogism-slantedness in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>’, and is different from ‘a defect of logical operation/processing/contention which does not imply any temporal-disposition defect (in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or the denaturing of the reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology)’. With temporal-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (mental-perversion), the interlocutor deliberately (or naively in the case of ignorance) doesn’t project intemporally (i.e. projects in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or immediate-temporal-interest and not a universal ontological sense of meaning), comparatively more like a student guessing that the answer of a math question is say 5 ‘artificially’ operates an equation to yield 5 as answer. Whereas with ‘a defect of logical operation/processing/contention’ (which is not the case here), an interlocutor perfectly projects intemporally (i.e. projects in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or a universal ontological sense of meaning) but poorly operates/processes the logic adhocly. This latter case unlike the former doesn’t imply registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> but rather ‘an adhoc
defect—of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance whereas the former is ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect—as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect’ that speaks to the unprincipled—or-derived-unprincipled disposition of the interlocutor’s individuation that is, with respect to an infinite number of cases in the same situation (i.e. comparatively the disposition to go about answering math questions by figuring out their answers then ‘artificially’ trying to work out equations to yield the answers). Thus establishing the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of this slantedness/postlogic individuation defective nature ontologically, hence enabling its aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. This also requires the disambiguation of the registries (involving stranding-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions which refers to mental-devising-representation of temporal-dispositions-registries teleologies registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect—as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect>, i.e. oblongated/decandored as of threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism mechanicalism/alchemic-like-reasoning/circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>notional—procrypticism mindset as per postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism—or-social-discomfiture—or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation—or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance—as—including-virtue-as-ontology>s. For intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions implies ‘not wrongly implying precedingly the reflex of an intemporal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation reflex and reference on the subknowledging/mimicking-temporal-dispositions but rather reflexively downgrading as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/subknowledging/mimicking)-stranding’, i.e. registry-precedes-logic as perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> undermines the operation of logic, at which point contention is about the ‘generation of ontological stranding-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored’ of such temporal-dispositions denaturing to be reflected/perspectivated and ontologised by the intemporal mind as procrypticism as validated by ‘unconscionability-drag’ such that the temporal-dispositions, which are ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism slantedness’ as these are protractions of the psychopath’s as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or hollow-mimicking) insane-fitment/postlogism-slantedness, and hence are in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and should not be represented mentally going by the ‘unconscionability-drag’ as ‘logically/in-prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologismly articulating/composing i.e. not contending’ but rather as ‘a mentally-conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/subknowledging/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism,—and-oblongated i.e. a manifestation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ as is the case with the mental-devising-representation at all registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds, and should not be wrongly elevated/candored/straightened/integratively-
aligned/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase in equivalence with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation apriorising—registry (since they are not contending) but rather downgraded/decandored/protracted-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase in threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism and are rather manifestations of registry/mental defect or denaturing and are the subject of intemporal/ontological contention from the intemporal-disposition, more like at the registry-worldview/dimension defect level medievalism categorical-imperatives/axioms being superseded and undermined with respect to positivism categorical-imperatives/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation.

Very much counterintuitively with regards to ‘unconscionability-drag’, the transcendental requirement for a ‘habituation’ to a so-called ‘prospective intemporal and more veridical mental-devising-representation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is rather ‘unfathomable’ for the prior \( <\text{amplituding}/\text{formative}>\text{wooden-language}-(\text{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology} \) of the so-called ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> dimension’; this applies with regards to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation and universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively for upcoming times, procrypticism and deprocrypticism. The explanation is quite simple; as individuals in any institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldview/dimension are formed by the memetic-ordering/psychoanalytic-construction at
that registry-worldview/dimension which is ‘all-defining of meaningfulness (in terms--as-of-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought and logic)’ to the individuals and so right up to their subconscious mind. But then a prospective transcendental memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling is placing such a prior memetic-order/psychoanalytic-construction of their existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation in jeopardy, and it is only the ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing<-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising--in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the prospective intemporal dimension inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining with corresponding percolation-channelling impact from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension on the overall social-construct over a generation or two or more that allows for any such ‘habituation’ to a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity with its new recomposuring reference-of-thought--categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This will explain the difficulty of medieval minds (including institutions like the church) over centuries to come to terms with positivism and scientism such that the positivistic psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is still ongoing. Counterintuitively, every successive institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldview/dimension naively thinks it being at the backend of the ‘institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process’ means it is beyond transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory--de-mentativity as it doesn’t project of itself as being superseded by a prospective registry-worldview with its new recomposuring reference-of-thought--categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (as of supplanting--conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking--apriorising-psychologism) at the point where the former starts perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> its own reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and does not tend to represent itself as oblongated/decandored/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase as of threshold–of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism from a prospective dimension perspective in the sense that. the decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase insight we think of non-positivism/medievalism with corresponding phenomena like superstitions, witch-hunts, etc. has never been the way they represented themselves as they are candored/straight/integratively-aligned/*dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase* in their <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present mental-devising-representation of themselves. Rather it is the more profound grasp of reality from positivism that initiates that decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase mental-devising-representation of non-positivism/medievalism in the positivistic mind, and this is the case as well with all other dialectic institutionalisations across the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/anthropological-continuity/anthropopsychology.

The reason for making the above point is that we will most possibly as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present act likewise when it is time to imply our own decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation of our reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
with respect to a prospectively candored/straight/integratively-aligned/dialectically-or-
contendingly-in-phase deprocrypticism new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that is revealed by the ‘unconscionability-drag’
disambiguation of our temporal-dispositions-perversion associated with perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> in our dimension (procrypticism) including psychopathy-and-
its-social-psychopathy-corollary subknowledging/mimicking!

(iii) For Deprocrypticism, ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-
escalation/aetiologisation’ teleology: will involve identifying, defining, characterising,
qualifying and articulating the aetiology of this individuation perversion-of-reference-of-
thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> dynamism endemic in the social-construct and prospective
categorical-imperatives/axiomatic-construct for its preemption, more like a positive mind will
do with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism social-construct reference-of-thought.
(Though interestingly it is important to grasp that such transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity actually takes the natural form of a
‘cross-generational medium to long-term psychoanalytic-drag’ and not ‘instantaneous abject
transformation’ towards ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, even such an
‘instantaneous abject transformation conceptualisation’ is equally a necessary knowledge
exercise as the social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-
entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness)
constraining that allows for a ‘cross-generational medium to long-term psychoanalytic-
drag’): (a) articulating a social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-
as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-
completeness) of the registry-worldview-perversions, (b) generating ontological-
decadence and hence in ontological-discontinuity) as of de-mentation-
(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attribute-dialectics) preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase>, entails it doesn’t re-join by mere logical articulation the prospective superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, as the prospective institutionalisation is rather about a registry-worldview/registry, and not logical, transformation as a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure; with the notion that any such wrongly implied re-joining as logical articulation is rather <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
.syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the prior registry/registry-worldview reflex-defect in want of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. For instance, in the case mentioned before with regards to B (Brackets), where B was to stick with the same temporal-dispositions individuation disposition that delivered the wrong results with respect to subsequent equations of a similar context (uninstitutionalised-threshold) this will be epistemic-decadence, as conjugated/inflected/derived from A’s defective condition which is in epistemic-decadence, and the both A and B are of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> defining the registry-worldview/dimension apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument defect. This implies de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-
mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of B to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (as prior intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) is the effective backdrop for ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for the prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and this is rather cross-generational in nature (rather than instant intra-generational registry/registry-worldview transformation) as personhoods-and-socialhood-formation are rather grounded on the superseded/transcended/unsound reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. The above analysis shows that soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaningfulness is not given, as it is a devising mechanism (mental-devising-representation) for ontological-veridicality as dialectically upheld for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (ontological-normalcy or postconvergence).

Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) ensures the disambiguation of registries so that the psychopath’s and temporal-dispositions are not elevated to the intemporal level which then allows for, by reflex, a simple operation/processing of logic (whereas the fundamental defect being in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the apriorising–registry-elements, implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology of the registries, i.e. rather the unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought or the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase meaningful construct).
Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) is thus central to resolving the rational-realism paradigm as it accounts for the defect of temporal-dispositions teleologies of meaning (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) while projecting intemporally/ontologically.

The notion of ‘unconscionability-drag’ also explain how and why banal temporal-dispositions are not readily ‘integrative of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration’ (hence no distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) to the childhood and early adolescent psychopaths but come to develop a ‘mental-unconsciousness’ (unconscionability) to be ‘integrative of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness’ during the stage of late adolescence and adult psychopath.

Antipodal to the idea of ‘unconscionability-drag’ is the idea of ‘conventioning’/social-temporal-thresholding. ‘Unconscionability-drag’ points to an abstract but more veridical ontological construct of the ‘social construction of meaning’ that is ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, based on intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by using categorical-imperatives of the prospective superseding/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension whether such a representation is aligned or not with the society’s collective-social-psyche or present-consciousness. (For instance, we can generate an unconscionability-drag of a medieval society on the basis of a positivistic mental projection and categorical-imperatives; wherein we oblongate the solipsistic mental-dispositions of individuations in such a society. While such a representation, with its corresponding subknowledging/mimicking, is ontologically more accurate about such a society, however, the collective-social-psyche/present-consciousness of individuations in the said society will not recognise any such decandored/oblongated/logical-
incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase representation of themselves, rather the medieval society will represent itself as candored/straight/integratively-aligned/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase which is then the ‘conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding representation of the social construction of meaning’). Conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding thus refers to the fact that in a ‘social construction of meaning’, intrinsic-reality by itself and in of itself (as may be grasped ontologically from superseding/transcendental categorical-imperatives preserving intemporality) is not necessarily the deterministic basis for human social adherence to it.

Transcended and ontological meaningfulness of reality (contrary to conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding meaningfulness of reality which is rather towards
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/temporality-serving) requires a process of institutionalised/intemporalised social integration to induce untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining to ‘prior or circumstantial social integration gatekeeping construals or (institutionalisation/intemporalisation) percolation-channelling’ of ‘any social construction of meaning’ for there to be collective institutionalised social adherence (and by the relative positive-opportunism elicited). Institutionalisation/Intemporalisation percolation-channelling are the institutionalised relays for human survival-and-flourishing-teleology, whether diffusely from internalisation-and/or-formalism, and are increasingly vital with higher institutionalisations, and most vital for prospective perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism, such that abstractions that will normally hardly be socially integrated going just by averaging human temporal-to-intemporal nature, can actually come from re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition to inform social
institutionalisation/intemporalisation, thus emphasising how vital percolation-channelling are for institutional-cumulation beyond just the consciousness appraisal of temporal-dispositions. Institutionalisation/Intemporalisation percolation-channelling imply that the would-be intellectual analyst can perfectly uphold intrinsic reality over ‘social-and-temporal-trading’ and still impose veridicality (if truly veridical) over populist-inclined dispositions which are not veridical, just by the fact of the extendedly implied positive-opportunism for human survival-and-flourishing imbued in institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling. This implies that an exercise in institutionalisation/intemporalisation beyond just intemporal philosophical projection is needed for the social integration of any transcending veridicality paradigm (the latter being any notion that put in question informal or formal conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding ways of perceiving and doing things for supposedly prospective better ways). Correspondingly, the social-construct cannot be and should not be related to as a philosophical construct since it is rather ‘conventionalised from institutionalisation/intemporalisation (secondnatured), and has not evolved as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection; as it may be inclined to make references to temporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/of-perverted-registry/subknowledging/mimicking–and–epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-these. This brings forth the idea of ‘ordered construct’ between the intemporal firstnature/intemporal (organic-comprehension-thinking as to intemporal supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) and temporal-and-poorly-

‘Prior or circumstantial social integration gatekeeping construals or institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling’ that can enable the superseding of conventioning in the social integration of ontological veridicality include existing
percolation-channelling of formalisms/officialdom which have naturally been instituted to allow for the supersedingness of intemporal/ontological constructs and intemporal-dispositions. For instance, formal institutions selectivity mechanisms; and where the latter fail or are fallacious, basic positive-opportunism wherein the ontologising construct elicits positive-opportunism for the undermining of defective conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding constructs/categorical-imperatives of meaning (for instance, a natural causes disease conception leading to more cures such that positive-opportunism then undermines a superstitious-driven disease theory which leads to more pain and deaths). The big idea here is that, it is naïve philosophically to operate mainly on the basis of ‘ontological rightness of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ with respect to a species whose construct is structured to be temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) requiring skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity)/deferential-formalisation-transference to the latter. And any such ‘ontological transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity by mere rightness’ has never been acquiesced to for the sole reason of its intrinsic rightness. For instance, round world idea never took off even though it was ontologically right (as the medieval conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding construct and strongly ingrained social dispositions). It is the generated untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining together with positive-opportunism coming from sailors sailing around the world on this idea to seek for spices and create wealth that constrained/institutionalised the medieval world into such an ontological transformation/transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Part and parcel of ontological
transformation/transcendence is the existential cynicism to grasp the human sense of internal contradictions and positive-opportunism to introduce and uphold these by the mechanism known as institutionalisation/intemporalisation. Regarding futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism undermining of procrypticism, it is doubtful that pertinent ontological constructs and generally the ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> dynamics of procrypticism’ are by themselves a sufficient basis for the direct and immediate social integration of deprocrypticism because of its ‘rightness’ over conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding. Part and parcel of the intellectual exercise is to understand how to manage the mechanism of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity wherein new and more profound ontological constructs are introduced and upheld, particularly by way of institutional percolation-channelling for intemporal transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity.

However, it should be noted that the conceptualisation of ‘conventioning’ is not wholly antipodal to ‘ontologising/intrinsic-veridicality’ as the latter prospective integration in the social-construct is through the former; ‘conventioning’ is thus a dynamic conceptualisation articulating, on the one hand, how prospective temporality/shortness undermines/subknowledges-or-mimics the intemporal/ontological construction of meaning (like postlogism-slantedness, miscues, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par-conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation, with respect to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the intemporal meaning), and on the other hand, how prospective intemporality/longness is regenerated to supersede/transcend
such perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and bring about new
recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation).

Organic-comprehension-thinking (as to supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) as
highlighted above contrasts with threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism which is rather
temporal-driven (whether ignorance at best, slantedness/psychopathy, affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-
social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Organic-
comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–
as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology), being intemporal-driven, with respect to transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity points to the fact that the articulation of
meaning referenced/registered/decisioned differently in two registry-worldviews/dimensions, the
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as retrospective and
transcendental as prospective, is/should be wholly referenced/registered/decisioned
intemporally from the superseding transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity that upholds intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; as the ‘intemporal mind’ can’t go after
the value reference of both registry-worldviews/dimensions since transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is about ‘subverting’ perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
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as-to-shallow-supererogation> by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. For instance, the non-positivism/medievalism value references of aristocracy/class are contrarian to positivistic value references for the possibility of equal opportunities; and the intemporal projecting positivistic mind in medieval times has no business trying to appear ‘great and wonderful’ with respect to ‘conventioned’ value reference of aristocracy/class in the medieval world even though it is the dominant and encultured collective mental-disposition. Likewise, such logic will apply regarding deprocrypticism and procrypticism requiring a reasoning that goes beyond the ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemie-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present’ mindset/reference-of-thought of our current procryptic mental-disposition, i.e. ‘the limit of ontological thought is not the banal <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) of a registry-worldview/dimension’. Otherwise no progress is possible as a dimension progresses exactly because it has defects which when overcome enables the progress to occur! So the intemporal mind cannot as such ‘be impressionable’ by the banal <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) of a registry-worldview/dimension. It points to the fact that it is ‘perfectly ok’ to be ‘unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural and value-reference-wise unresponsive’ to the subknowledge-(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge) apriorising–registry but rather alienative as to the possibility for its psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. The ‘apparent profoundness’ of such temporal reference of thought is rather ‘depth-of-ignorance’ rather than ‘depth-of-elucidation’.
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism arises as a result of shallow mental-
dispositions induced by temporal-dispositions, and their disambiguation should be called for,
and not candored/straightened/integratively-aligned as if intemporal/longness in nature but
rather decandored / oblongated / transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as temporal/shortness. threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as such is rather a ‘flatness-of-the-mind’
involving temporality, ‘mental triteness’ and ‘gullibility’ with respect to, in the case of
psychopathy, insane/slantedness integration as social psychopathy; and more generally, ‘lack
of intemporal-disposition philosophical depth’, i.e. lack of spontaneous dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<amplituding-formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness~equalisation inclination (the-guy-who-spontaneously-stands-out-against-say-a-
genocide or the milgram-experiment-guy-who-sticks-with-what-is-reality-rather-than-going-
with-the-flow, etc.) not to be confused with secondnaturing/institutionalisation, and as a
consequence an inclination to compromise intemporality/longness as ‘conventioning (social-
temporal-thresholding) of meaning’ rather than ‘ontologising (intemporal-uncompromising)
of meaning’. Overall threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism points to the
fundamental processes of ‘social temporal miscuing of meaning’ and the effective temporal
consequences whether regarding defective enculturation or defective social
ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology. This thus requires
‘deconventioning-for-ontologising involving the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation exercise of undermining conventioning at

An essential element underlying the psychopathic and other postlogic relationship with meaning has to do with the nature of attachment to meaning. A postlogic mind doesn’t view meaning articulations as ‘inherently sanctuous’ and thus is inclined to produce mechanically whatever deductions that may engage an interlocutor in-prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising—psychologismly/prelogicly even if these are hollow mimicking non-veridical narratives, i.e. vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated). On the other hand, prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-thinking imply more of an organic alignment view of meaningful articulations as ‘inherently sanctuous’, i.e. ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity/meaningful-projection-of-intrinsicness’. Going by these two facts, the postlogic and psychopathic mindset/reference-of-thought is readily inclined to call upon a broad base of vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging narratives (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) whereas the prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mindset/reference-of-thought is inclined to call upon just the narratives it sincerely thinks are
relevant/due and intrinsically real. So it is critical not to confuse the over-articulation of postlogic narratives (vague mechanical stylising-of-locution) with an organic depth-of-thought or profoundness, given that these involve postlogism-slantedness, disjointed-logic, miscuing, inventions and platitudes from the postlogic mindset, requiring decandoring/oblongating/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. Ontologically speaking, meaning is an essential construct of human mental-devising-representation meant to allow for human intemporal teleology. A postlogic-formulaic slanting threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism relation to such a conceptualisation is sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi to ontology and is thus regarded as ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> referencing’ that is ontologically inconsistent as it counts on the fact that others remain intemporal/ontological for it to exist parasitising/co-optingly. Worst still such vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging tend to be integrated at uninstitutionalised-threshold of conventioning/social-temporal-thresholds.

Without a sense of ‘rational-realism’ (the veridicality of meaning involving not only the logical processing-operation of narratives but precedingly temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation, i.e. in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), by prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation reflex, prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation and postlogism-formulaic slanting narratives as to threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism will be analysed at the
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity can be basically be articulated as follows (the ontological entrapment):


– DOWNGRADING (psychopath’s hollow mimicking narrative wrongly ‘slanting the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism meaning’)


By ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ (where there is no ‘intemporal social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) as well as no temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation’) is meant, the possibilities of human dispositions and acts beyond frameworks that have not been institutionalised; manifesting as (uninstitutionalisation) ‘temporal-threshold logic’ or ‘discomfiture’. So the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the positive registry-worldview will refer to procrypticism (requiring deprocrypticism), to the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview it will refer to non-positivism/medievalism (requiring positivism), to the ununiversalised registry-worldview it will refer to ununiversalisation (requiring universalisation), and to the recurrent-utter-institutionalised apriorising–registry worldview it will refer to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (requiring base-institutionalisation). Institutionalisation and formalisation are based exactly on the fact that we don't have a universal intemporality/longness or the-good disposition, but rather according to the mediocrity principle of science we are solipsistically temporal-to-intemporal in our mental-disposition with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Hence we tend to build artifices (institutions with their formal rules) by the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendentental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity)/deferential-formalisation-transference of our collective thought process in the medium to long perspective towards intemporal-preservation-entropy, to dominate and preempt temporal dispositions. This explains why modern man (positivistic registry-worldview) is apparently more evolved/developed than he/she should normally be compared to previous generations (recurrent-utter-

2309
uninstitutionalised men, ununiversalised men, non-positivism/medievalism men, and prospectively, how he/she will be superseded by the deprocryptic man). It doesn't mean that modern man has a genetic makeup or hardware that is different from the others. The difference is the cumulated ‘software’ or institutionalisations and formalisations that have been internalised into modern man. Anthropologists know that if you were to take a newly born child from a society like those that do not have contact with the modern world, and raise the child in a modern family, there is no different outcome on average as with any other child bred in the modern world. So our faith in virtue is not in our inherent excellence/exceptionalism but the excellence/exceptionalism of the software/institutionalisation that has cumulated, and insightfully, which creative template we will prospectively develop! Incidentally institutionalisation and formalisation ensures that we take the best form of human individuation thinking/capacity potential and constrain society and individuals to that individuation thinking/capacity potential, and inherently so, by the overall positive-opportunism to the cross-section of the species since it better grasp intrinsic reality and its virtues! Solipsism means I exist alone (with respect to intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality), and this author notionally interpret solipsism as the deepest sense of existence and meaning available to an individual in its spontaneous emanance or becoming, and as it projects itself ‘purely and universally’. It is a firstnature/intemporal construct beyond and ‘inventing the possibility’ of secondnatured institutionalisation, and places all humans at all times at the same pedestal of virtuous and ontological appraisal, as it is about our ‘transcendental valour’ irrespective of the level of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure at which we are. It contrasts with institutionalisation/intemporalisation which is ‘a negotiated and secondnatured or nurtured construct with respect to existence and meaning around social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Institutionalisation/intemporalisation as such, by way of positive-opportunism
and inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of temporal-dispositions, has at least the merit of allowing for the possibility for human temporal-dispositions to be skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal-disposition, and thus enabling social transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity which is upheld by formalisation and internalisation. By ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is meant that ‘intrinsic reality’ is one and given (ontology), and that the flaws and corrections in how we go about representing ‘intrinsic reality’ (metaphysics or the human-centered temporal-perspective) has no influence on reality’s intrinsic nature. Our mental-devising-representation of the world in 5000 BC, 2000 AD and possibly 5000 AD might be worlds apart, but the intrinsic nature of reality never changed and will never change an iota. So our knowledge construct is more of a proxying to intrinsic reality to grasp the possibilities of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and thus a better grasp of the world; hence proxying mentation-capacity level as the various institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. That idea that intrinsic reality is preceding/superseding is known as ‘postconvergence’ (we are converging to reality and not adding or taking away anything from it, it is us being illuminated as reality is already given). In the exercise of construing ontological veridicality what gives in when the pertinence of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is known is the human psyche (whether by candoring/straightness/prelogism when pertinent or decandoring/slantedness/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought when impertinent), intrinsic reality never gives in (that’s why we are mortals and our hope is to always give-in to intrinsic reality for the possibilities of the future). This latter point is important as by reflex an

By ‘intemporal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ is meant ontological-normalcy/postconvergence meaningfulness-and-teleology as so articulated above is ontologically veridical but that does not necessarily imply the metaphysical framework temporal mental-dispositions will recognise that (i.e. there is no ontological-contiguity between registry-worldviews references-of-thought as this falsely implies ‘no temporal-to-intemporal disambiguation, i.e. equivalence of references-of-thought/no-alienative-hierarchisation, whereas what is warranted is ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling’); and that it is transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of such constructed veridicality in its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework determinism and operance that will undermine other possible ‘temporal perverted-transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing-meaning’ by rendering them untenable/internal-contradiction and inoperant (not a ‘convincing’ at the philosophical or
emanance level, rather a ‘constraining’ at the institutionalisation/intemporalisation secondnaturing level out of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework); noting that ‘temporal perverted-transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism meaning’ imply temporal existentialising–frame meaningfulness-and-teleology cannot-be-referenced/registered/decisioned as-of/having-the same reference-of-thought/registry of the intemporal-disposition which is ontological, and is thus rather preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’>, i.e. in distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, (and so all along the apriorising–registry-elements: implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) of the mental-devising-representation from the intemporal-disposition/ontological perspective. Ontology being of the intemporal-disposition, the exercise of ‘directing logical convincing’ to temporal-dispositions is inherently unwarranted and is rather <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, with pertinence being about ‘articulating and directing’ intemporal/ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness towards ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework which induces the positive-opportunism and untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining for its supersedingness in the ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling’; the latter being utterly impersonal (law, officialdoms and subject matter formalisms) and allows for an abstraction of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn’t allow reflexively. This is underlying transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity notion while often obscured in the
social <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality due to their ‘emotional involvement’ is immediately obvious with the natural sciences whereby the physicists nor chemists nor biologists worries about convincing anyone but is rather in the business of ‘the convincing from natural truths’ which then do not ask for human temporal validation but impose themselves because natural truths inherently supersede human egotistic or <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag opinionatedness!

Postconvergence, in the bigger scheme of things, implies that knowledge has to do with the development of our ‘mentation capacity’ (an entropic-referential memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling exercise), across ‘retrospective-and-prospective history’, in grasping ‘intrinsic reality/veridicality’ which ‘has always and will always be ontologically same’. So the concern is about ‘us’; in the appropriateness of the registries we make of intrinsic-reality across retrospective-and-prospective history or rather shifting dialectical moments of relative-ontological-completeness! The articulation of reality, registry-worldviews/dimensions, mental strands (perverted or not), and other constructs of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is ‘at-a-superseding-pedestal and incisive/blunt’ by the very nature of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reality. For instance, supposed a society with a non-positivism/medievalism belief system attributes the cause of a disease to say witchcraft, that doesn’t stop the reality of bacteria causing the disease even if such a representation of reality isn’t in the present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present of that society. Such an ontological conceptualisation of reality equally applies in our times where it can be demonstrated prospectively that our mental-devising-representation of meaning regarding a phenomenon is out of kilter, and reality won’t stop to accommodate
us or our banality of thought. Thus the conceptualisation of reality is rather articulated at this depth-of-thought whether it accommodates our present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present or not (reality personality), and operates by an ordered construct based on ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not a disposition of averageness/banality/popularity/extrinsic-attribution-of-thought recurrent in uninstitutionalised-threshold in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology), allowing for the possibility of transcendental meaning, institutionalisation/intemporalisation (skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity) for intemporal domination) and human progress; given human temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness dispositions. Such an articulation of reality introduces the concept of ‘reasoning-through/abjection’ over ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–disjointedness’. Reasoning-through/abjection refers to the uncompromising and non-negotiable nature of reality with respect to the meaningful frames of mortal creatures that we are as reality doesn’t adjust to our beliefs, desires, wishes, whims or miscues. Reasoning-through/abjection then implies that meaning is articulated exclusively in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and anything else is defined, whether to be candored or to be decandored, at that ordered construct point-of-reference or point-referencing. Reason is thus ontologically a ‘reasoning-through’ as allowed through in a ‘pure, organic and intemporally uncompromising state’ by reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘at-a-superseding-pedestal and incisively/bluntly’. Incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought refer to the human reflex to average minds or make reference to extrinsic elements rather than meaning by its inherence as can be
predicated effectively, and involves ‘reasoning with’, as it introduces ‘temporal and social trading’ elements over or clouding or compromising inherent intemporal veridicality. Incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as such is patently wrong; as can be perceived from point-referencing superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions such that the ontological representation of the veridicality is different from the different perspectives of an recurrent-utter-institutionalised registry-worldview and the superseding institutionalised registry-worldview, and likewise with the ununiversalised and superseding universalised registry-worldviews, the non-positivism/medievalism and superseding positivistic registry-worldviews, and prospectively the procryptic and superseding deprocryptic registry-worldviews. It implies that ‘it isn’t veridically weird’ to articulate depths-of-meaning that may apparently seem idiosyncratic in our present illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness registry-worldview, as the issue is not with such an articulation per se but rather ‘our defective apriorising–registry point-referencing threshold’, and implying rather the need for our psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. Fundamentally, incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in human thinking as indicated above with the various institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is superseded by reasoning-through/abjection; in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing at-a-superseding-pedestal, and represented as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as oblongated/decandored or failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, given the fact that this reflects apriorising–registry defect and not logical defect.

More precisely, how can meaningfulness-and-teleology be represented in ‘a prospective apriorising–registry state’ which is ontologically more real contrasted to ‘a present retrospective apriorising–registry’, as meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘temporally seems’ to vary depending on the uninstitutionalised-threshold point-of-reference to imply at one moment it is intemporal and at another it is temporal? This fundamentally has to do with our dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection irrespective of the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and calls for PEDESTALLED CONSTRUAL or PEDESTALLED DISAMBIGUATION to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference meaning towards the intemporal/longness disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, as institutionalisation/intemporalisation. Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation thus involves at a given uninstitutionalised-threshold translating the ‘apparently prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation or prelogic teleological finality of a temporal-disposition into its veridical preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as postlogic perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> teleological finality, and so successively in reflecting the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of temporal-dispositions registries (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) as rather referenced/registered/decisioned from the prospective intemporal-

Technically, pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation should involve reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting from the intemporal-disposition pedestal teleology finality/questioning mental-profoundness (deep candor) the relative longness/shortness-of-teleology of temporal-dispositions teleologies finalities/questioning mental-triteness (light candor), starting with slantedness pedestal finality/questioning (which is the psychopath’s insane/slantedness-fitment-roaming/drifting-cycle), and as it conjugates/inflects across other temporal pedestals teleology finalities/questioning (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation points to the fact that the social representation of meaning is transversal/logically incongruent at uninstitutionalised-threshold as reflected by human temporal-to-intemporal dispositions (hence the need to articulate various pedestals of ‘questioning depth-of-thought’ and ‘strands of depth-of-meaningfulness’ to reflect effective meaningful representation from the intemporal-disposition point-of-reference). Where meaning is not articulated within an institutionalised/intemporalised framework, the idea of logical-congruence (a common reference of meaning in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought and logic) should be avoided due to perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> whether psychopathic or not, and pedestalled construal/pedestalled
disambiguation is then required using distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought to establish the ontological pre-eminence of the intemporal-disposition. Instances of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> rather point to uninstitutionalised-threshold, whether retrospectively or prospectively, as there is wrong equivalence of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions in the articulation of meaning; instead of the pedestalled supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition as it is all about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (superseding various shades of temporal preservations). Otherwise, perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> induces a ‘free for all’ false equivalence wrongly construed as of intemporality/longness (rather than the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor). Accounting for distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is what ends such a ‘free for all’ and is the basis of pedestals alienative hierarchisation as referenced/registered/decisioned from the intemporal-disposition thus bringing about institutionalisation/intemporalisation (given the social cross-sectional eliciting of social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness), untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, positive-opportunism and transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith, for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in the medium to long-run percolation) with corresponding dismissal of temporal-dispositions-teleologies as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) as the backdrop for the
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry–teleology, for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the intemporal-disposition anticipation and preemption of these for the institutionalisation/intemporalisation. Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation explains the dynamism of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure going by a recurrent emanance template that involves:

meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework dispositions; thus enabling the stifling (undermining the ontological-veridicality) of temporal-dispositions and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity), by way of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition for institutionalisation’s/intemporalisation’s intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). For instance, a state of nature (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) application of the law variably making reference to circumstantial social power relations and spontaneously articulated notions of vices and virtues but no or poor universal rules (mob situations as well as social psychopathic situations will fall under such an interpretation as well).

(2) Pedestalling (‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling) articulates the relative grandor and virtuous consequence of the pedestalled supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition by its intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that then leads to society’s temporal-to-intemporal cross-sectional ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection induced deference’; whether deference with regards to a superstition/belief system/religion, essences/universal-notions, positivist idealism/principles-rationalism (and prospectively rational-realism as of deprocrypticism), involving a posture (institutionalised disposition) of the sort ‘the-say-that or it-is-said-that’ as ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation projection induced deference’ to the intemporal/longness disposition, for instance, ‘scientists say that’, ‘the Bible says that’, ‘it is said that one should not set foot in that forest as it will bring bad luck’, etc. This ‘the-say-that/it-is-said-that’ ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection induced deference’ explains why institutionalisation/intemporalisation has been happening across human history; whether deference from personalised/animists beliefs to philosophical, religious and other social belief systems, deference from haphazard application of social rules to universal notions, laws and principles, deference from spirit-and-mystical-driven notions of nature and various alchemies to a modern scientific construct system. Hence the very place of the averageness/banality-of-human-thought-and-meaning in history has been for it to defer to superseding intemporal-disposition construal by ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling. There is no such thing as allowing thought-and-meaning to the whims of masses thinking but rather deference to ‘reality/veridicality predicating constructs’; as enabled abstractly and existentially by the human individuation intemporal-emanant-registry in superseding human individuations temporal-dispositions.

‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling carries the implication that reference-of-thought and meaningfulness is fundamentally/ontologically structured for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and hence the precedence of higher intemporal teleologies over low temporal teleologies of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness; and that subpar structuring of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness not for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-

‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling underlines the fundamental nature of institutionalisation/intemporalisation not as a temporal-dispositions- to intemporal-disposition transformation (not emanance transformance) but rather ‘a positive-opportunism constraining construct’ involving ‘intemporal-disposition deferential-formalisation-transference’ (such that just as jurisprudentialism is dismissive of whatever we’ll like to think of it in our social-and-temporal-trading context about the law which is rather articulated as a formal conceptualisation and constraint to be internalised as a universal construct to avoid its ‘downgrading’ by mobbish or other temporal social inclinations, likewise with many a subject-matter domain). In the same vain, the outcrop of an organic-comprehension-thinking
‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting conceptualisation of deprocrypticism over procrypticism can only be construed within a formal institutionalised articulation not opened to ‘temporal/ordinary disposition contention’ as is the case with subject-matter constructs, but rather an institutionalised percolation-channelling exercise, so as to avoid temporal-dispositions denaturing as is the case with all formal constructs, which rather strive to uphold the intemporal/longness-of-register-or-depth-of-meaningfulness teleology while relying on principled methods.

progress/transcendence; and is necessary by the inherent fact of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, going by the mediocrity principle (if men were only of intemporal-disposition, no institutionalisation/intemporalisation nor ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling will be necessary as the mere exposure-to/contemplation-of ‘rightness of thought and meaning’ will suffice for transcendence; such a complete human being doesn’t and has never existed, and not even philosopher-kings from the Socrates, Aristotles and others who explore such possibilities, even though intemporal-disposition possibilities will tend to accrue more to such ‘philosopher-kings’ individuals). For the big picture, this point to the fact that institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose/anthropological-continuity/anthropopsychology is only possible for one reason, a continuity in the intemporal-disposition institutionalisation/intemporalisation (with ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflicatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection induced deference’) of the cross-section of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. Where, and if, intemporal-disposition was to possibly end or be upended (either because of lack of further human intemporal-disposition mentation-capacity for higher levels-of-transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, in the dynamism of individual potential, i.e. the solipsistic disposition of individuals’ individuations to assume universal projection of longness-of-thought-and-meaning, or social-construct potential, i.e. where

(3) The establishment of institutionalisation/intemporalisation involves necessarily ‘delegated gatekeeping and institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling processes’ to uphold it thereafter with formalisms and officialdom surrounding it with respect to temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>s and corruption dispositions. For instance, the institutionalisation/intemporalisation of ‘scientific chemistry’ comes with a ‘chemistry lingua’ accessible to those sharing and/or educated to uphold the meaningful frame, on the justification that they explain and account more about the material world than any other alternative. This justification goes on to make them formalism and officialdom percolation-channelling to the extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} such that over time alchemic and superstitious conceptualisations of material meaning are effectively destroyed while equally seeing to it that pseudo-scientism is kept at bay. ‘Delegated
gatekeeping and institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling processes’; because such a pedestalled supersedingness is only as valid as to when it is the grandest construal of material meaning until, and if, it is shown not to be the case. A further and nonetheless important reason for such delegation is the relative superficiality generally associated with averageness/banality-of-thought dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation projection construal of meaning, and not to speak of its discomposure to the convolutedness often required in articulating and grasping intemporal meaning as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring—for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Besides, this raises other issues related to a more or less temporal take of an ontological/intemporal enterprise with regards to articulations that are meant to have universal import (import of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-localesaetiologisation/ontological-escalation across space and time) rather than for the sake of any particular circumstantial/temporal take/extricatory-situation in whichever locale, that is, an extricatory paradigm. A failure to grasp the intellectual-analyst posture rather as a proxying-of-intrinsic-reality-as-ontology as per ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation and that there-is-no-discretionary-construal-of-ontology/ontological-reality since intrinsic reality is superseding of all mortals including the intellectual-analyst. Basically the issue of the intellectual-analyst exercise in grasping such an intrinsic-reality is a proxying one superseded by the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of reality ‘which in no way depends on any notion of the intellectual-analyst’s choice/luxury’ (as the intellectual-analyst might actually have by another individuation chose not an intemporal/ontological projection but a temporal posture ‘in moral/intellectual equivalence with temporal mental projections’ with nefarious temporal
consequences). Basically, there is nothing like an intemporal temporality/shortness whereby there is any intemporality/longness in accommodating human temporality. Likewise, supposedly the intellectual-analyst was to come short in its intemporal projection or other universal values by temporal manipulation, it is very naïve to ‘reason and projecting temporally’ that eliciting such ‘an inductive-limitation (the-paradox-of-a-universal-rule-that-doesn’t-apply-universally-but-to-a-specific-circumstance-to-satisfy-a-temporal-urging)/gotcha-logic/suggestibility’ should undermine the essence of ontological/intemporal meaning which is ‘above a human intellectual proxying exercise to it’ and doesn’t depend on it to exist inherently, is nothing but temporal naivety. The reality of a round world doesn’t depend on its recognition of a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought for it to exist likewise with any veridicality/intrinsic-reality regarding psychopathy and a social manifestation whether it is palatable or not. Finally, temporal-dispositions as eliciting temporal vices-and-impediments are in no way qualified to contend about intemporal articulation/projection. In effect, such temporal pretence are nothing but epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions meant to satisfy the ‘mortals temporal preservation’ on the basis of ‘locale context logic’ and not ‘intemporal preservation as ontological veridicality with the potential for a grander human good’ on the basis of ‘universal implications’; as inevitably, ontologically, the resolution of ontological/being perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defects (and as per their manifestation and conjugation as postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance<-including-virtue-as-ontology>s) are as prospective registry-
worldviews/dimensions constructs that supersede the prior/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (uninstitutionalisation structurally superseded/resolved/rendered-inoperant by base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation by universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism by positivism, and prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought by deprocrypticism). Supposed the intellectual-analyst was to act temporally to the point of overlooking such ontological implications to the level of lowly temporal minds, lowly because not universal-projecting, it won’t mean that the ontological reality will evaporate. It will simply mean that the intellectual-analyst has failed in its intemporal/ontological projection, more like Darwin doesn’t have the choice/luxury of deciding from his insight that evolution doesn’t exist in placating any temporal mortals or Galileo doesn’t have the choice/luxury of deciding from his insight that the world is not round in placating any temporal mortals, and if they were to make that choice they affirm nothing more than their ‘aggrandised mortality’. The blunt/incisive reality is that they being in that position to affirm intemporality/ontology/intrinsic-reality-as-providing-future-universal-possibilities-for-the-human-species are the ‘very tip of the possibility of human civilisation’ and their moral/intellectual posture is to ‘bluntly look down’ to the ‘little mortal creatures of temporality’ and ‘shepherd the sheepishness-of-the-species’ to grander civilisational grounds. It is an ontological ‘moral and intellectual responsibility and privilege’, actually, to be in any such position, going by the eudaemonic-contemplation which is what ‘effectively grants existential moral and intellectual superiority’ and not naïve temporality/shortness accommodating conventioning constructs about any such pretence which is nothing more than temporal/the-mortal’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism; as any such is not the intemporal-disposition that started base-institutionalisation (to thwart recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) through universalisation (to thwart ununiversalisation), positivism (to thwart non-positivism/medievalism), and prospectively its intemporal-disposition that will enable deprocrypticism (to thwart procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and thereafter; the intemporal individuation as such projects in an ‘abstract eternity’ which is what allows for the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Temporal-dispositions may not need to understand as of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present for the pertinence of intrinsic reality to be established as it is preceding in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, anyway, that is why it is ‘a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation secondnaturing exercise’, and ‘not human temporal-dispositions transformation exercise’ into intemporality! Ultimately, like all institutionalisation/intemporalisation construct, there is a ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection induced deference’ to such an ontological construal by way of formalism-and-officialdom as the temporality/averageness/banality-of-thought is not allowed to imply an dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection depth with respect to such ontological construal (due to the reality of the mediocrity principle that we are not as of intemporal-disposition but temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions, and hence the need for the artifice to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporality as enabling ontologisation and re-ontologisation)
otherwise we would be working with moral philosophy and not law, subject-matter informalities and not formalisms, etc. There is no such thing as ‘intemporal temporality’ as mental-dispositions ‘geared to accommodate temporality’ (as to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness) are doing nothing but providing the anchoring for the endemisation and enculturation of the vices-and-impediments associated with such temporal registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> as perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and hence are doing nothing but <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising; as the state of inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,—or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation with respect to ontological-normalcy (the latter assumed to be fully conceptually completed as deprocrypticism) as successively recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation recurrence, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism and positivism/procrypticism, is an inherent registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> in want for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity (notwithstanding that the defect-intemporal-preservation is instigated from postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness mental-disposition eliciting temporal inclinations of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology do not endemise/enculturate the notion and the social vices-and-impediments arising from it thereof. On the contrary, structurally the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology endemises/enculturate this with the consequent social vices-and-impediments. It is very naïve to think that psychopathy as a social phenomenon is limited in scope to contexts where psychopaths are involved rather than involving a much wider social basis to explain how the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension integrates, enculturates and endemises it as ‘social psychopathy’. Just as prior/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions have undergone their prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence once it is established that the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are subknowledged/registry-perverted/dialectically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism at their uninstitutionalised-threshold and thus the need for new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, likewise the positivistic dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> subknowledging/mimicking/registry-perverting/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation known as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought implies that ‘it is not and cannot be beyond a prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence exercise’ known as deprocrypticism which highlights the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
enculturated/endemised vices-and-impediments associated with its perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and so, as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal, and not as a vague impression-driven construal. By and large, virtue is best understood as the knowledge/lack-of-knowledge ontological possibility offered in a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought (whether as base-institutionalised, universalised, positivising or deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality) and not vagueness based on impression of discreet human or social qualities which just serve to confuse and distort the fundamental knowledge/lack-of-knowledge/understanding issue. This is very much in line with the virtues of all human subject-matter formalisms which are the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not vague impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness.

This elucidation shows that intrinsic-reality, accessible by ‘reasoning-through transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ only at-a-superseding-pedestal that is ontologically abject and incisive/blunt over human incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, is graspable in transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity only by an active transversality-of-

As a reminder to the fact that pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation is with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversion (threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism defect or a defect outside the logical paradigm of the said registry-worldview) and not logical defect (conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation defect or a defect in the operation/processing of the logical paradigm of the said registry-worldview); it is critical to note that the mental state of the registry-worldview/dimension involved with the psychopath’s slantedness-integration is not a ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ (which is a supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism nonetheless) but an elicited threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, construed by the slanted social protraction of the psychopath’s slantedness inducing a social psychopathy; and it is these strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions including that of the psychopathy that are the subject of every institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure level’s psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Technically, it can be said that the underlying psychopathic phenomenon known as postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation is associated with all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure

This ‘institutionalisation template’ as articulated above implying ‘a next best case approach’ in ‘construing the institutionalisation/intemporalisation of human virtue’ where we are face with the reality that man is not as of intemporal-disposition but rather temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness dispositions may be counterintuitive with respect to our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, as any present-consciousness is shaped to perceive itself as intemporal with the notion that its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology are perfectly sound. But we simply need to take a ‘postconvergence’ look of such ‘ontological strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions’ regarding recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation from base-institutionalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference, ununiversal from universalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference, non-positivism/medievalism from positivism institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference, and prospectively our procrypticism from deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference; to appreciate that such a representation is not farfetched and its implication of the need of our psychoanalytic-
as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversion) an interlocutor effectively integrates the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversions, at this ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold i.e. procrypticism’, the normal institutionalised/intemporalised logic (involving secondnaturing/supersedingness of institutionalised intemporal-disposition pedestal solipsistic/emanant disposition) do no longer operate cross-sectionally socially (as mental-dispositions revert there to temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions). This involves: (i) the ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic-point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ (which leads to acting as if the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversion projected by the psychopath is not perverted) as there is a corresponding ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)’ (in the collective human mental-devising-representation at this uninstitutionalised-threshold) about the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversion that would have made upholding such a perverted behaviour in the social-construct inopportune/tenable; (ii) this process can effectively be grasped ontologically (at the intemporal-disposition pedestal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing disposition by the mechanism of alienative-hierarchisation) wherein a ‘given supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism construct’ is as of postlogism-slantedness undermined postlogically/perversion-of-
defective reflex of human mental devising of representation of meaning such that it is the
latter, the psyche, that gives in when demonstrated to be impertinent abstractly, and hence in
lieu of ‘prelogism/candoring/straightness reflex’, ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-
thought (as decandored/oblongated) is always the mental apriorising–registry alignment with
regards to the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> registry-worldview,
as positivism by de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-
mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) aligns non-positivism/medievalism
distractively/decandored/oblongated as threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, universalisation by de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) aligns ununiversalisation distractively/decandored/oblongated as
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, base-institutionalisation by de-
mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—
stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) aligns recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
distractively/decandored/oblongated as threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and prospectively (though
counterintuitive, as well) depocrypticism by de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-
mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) aligns
procrypticism distractively/decandored/oblongated as threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism; (v) in the bigger scheme of things,
distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ will perfectly explain how ‘apparently sound human mental-dispositions’ within the scope of ‘institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ go on to produce such consequences as ‘crowd effects’ and worst still in teleologically-degraded social and political environments rationalise and/or partake in ‘genocidal acts’, for instance. Technically, distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought by the temporal-dispositions involves simply conjugating/inflecting the underlying ‘(as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or hollow-mimicking) insane/slantedness fitment’ of the postlogic mind of the psychopath to ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfite-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

In the bigger scheme of things, the articulation of reality as referentially of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence enables and allow creative projective-insights thought possibilities that the all too common ‘fixated traditional categorisation conceptualisation of reality’ doesn’t allow, as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism has the strength of overcoming the fundamental difficult issue of ephemerality (as priorly explained with the concept of unconscionability-drag) as ‘it enables mental-devising-representation contiguity in recomposuring’ across all institutional-recomposes/institutional-cumulations.

The reason this is possible is that such a referential ontological-normalcy/postconvergence representation is not shaped to prioritise any registry-worldview/dimension as being inherently the absolute reference of thought, such as we unwittingly do with our representation of reality due to the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness (a massive drawback in grasping veridical ontological reality especially in the ephemeral social world). With ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism we place reality as an abstract
construct of oneness that is preceding-and-supersedes our-and-all temporal representations of meaning, and the exercise of articulating ontological/intemporal meaning then becomes ‘one of recomposuring how our temporal-and-all-temporal representations of meaning are recomposured to be internally coherent with the abstract ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism ‘sense of oneness of preceding-and-superseding intemporal/ontological meaning’ as implied by the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’. The insight we can thus garner is that in absolute terms veridical meaning as represented in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is ‘a hypothetical abstraction’ of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (more like attaining the abstract but veridical purity in a field of study like mathematics) in ‘unwinding’ applicative ‘colour/emotion/temporal-frame/aesthetics/memetics/psychical-representation’ of manifest teleologic-articulations as ‘subexistence-in-existence/existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness possibilities) – subexistence-in-existence being that which holds existential possibilities or existential potency for existential reality or ontological veridicality, as allowed by referential-depth or (‘allant’ or ‘fugue’ in French) or ‘natural emanant dynamic creative vitality/drive’, i.e. ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ‘unwinding’ as deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness – (more like the subconscious is that which holds existential possibilities/existentail potency for ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness consciousness reality/veridicality, or more like quantum-mechanics is actually an ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness about evasive atomic-level physical reality, more like musical and/or artistic creativity hermeneutics is the subexistence-in-existence possibilities or existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplitude-formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness/existentia – poten cy for ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ‘unwinding’ concrete music and/or art production). Thereafter, the ontological exercise is about having ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation) as ‘an ontologically-veridical abstract and infallible referencing/correction-tool’ enabling dynamic recomposuring projecting-and-reflecting: on the one hand, candoring/prelogism/organic-comprehension-thinking ontologising, or on the other hand, decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dem enting–apriorising-psychologism, even as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation implies a continually-evasive/ephemeral social world dynamics but that is graspable in referential terms. This allows for a truly universal and dynamic psychological science (and sound foundation for grasping ‘the veridicality of meaning’). The tools for such an ontological entrapment is basically about ‘de-mentation-{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’ of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications ‘transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic refinements’ as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as prospective reference-of-thought involving fundamentally the organic harnessing of the notions of candoring/prelogism, dialectically-or-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’) but ‘rather ties the mental-devising-representation process to the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality referencing/correction-tool’ (given that this allows for complete/abject understanding by the very nature of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence notion, of course in an ‘abstract and evasive caricature’), hence overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness inherent in any (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology representing the mentally devised state of any registry-worldview/dimension. Postdication is all about an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence institutionalisation/intemporalisation-constraining for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) educing-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology-into-the-existentialism-
becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation (existential-storying-in-contiguity). An
analogical case in point will be ontological theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics
wherein the abstractions go beyond our habitual mental-devising-representation of meaning
as in the positivist registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-
awareness-teleology. However, the bigger picture is that if prior/superseded institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recompose have effectively occurred and so, counterintuitively to
their natural (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleologies, as anticipated by
postdication right up to our present positivistic institutionalisation/intemporalisation owns
(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology; there isn’t any particular ontological
reason for intemporal/ontological meaning not to be construed in ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence (postdication) as more veridically/ontologically real, beyond and
counterintuitively to the positivistic mind’s temporal (recomposured)-consciousness-
awareness-teleology (even if it is unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to it). Such
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Even if this sounds unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural, in any case a retrospective registry-worldview/dimension is ‘existentially parochial/narrow-minded as reflected/perspectivated by its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism denaturing from an organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/"Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought"—as-confoundedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)-ontologising from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension’. For instance, where a positivist mind might see a forest as a subject of scientific inquiry/understanding, a non-positivist/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought might rather see a mentally unconscious man going into the ‘evil forest’. Such ‘existential parochial perspectives’ will arise anyway from procrypticism viewed from deprocrypticism, though of a different nature than the example expressed above. In that sense, the deprocryptic mind might actually seem ridiculous in the procryptic registry-worldview/dimension but ‘there should be no temptation to want to appear great or adjust in such a perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> perspective but rather to make it irrelevant’ otherwise the deprocryptic mind compromises the essence of its purpose, just as a positivistic mind going by the ‘evil forest’ comparison ‘cannot afford to compromise its positivist stance’ by trying ‘to be wonderful’ in a non-positivism/medievalism perspective that is rather ‘in want of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity’; as it is exactly because the temporal non-positivism/medievalism reference is defective that it is being transcended. This speaks to the specificity of the would-be intellectualism involved in a transcendental construct, as different from just intellectualism as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft; it carries the element of knowledge not only as an abstract intradimensional conceptual construct but in its fullness with existential implications and insights of the dialecticism and psychoanalytic-reorientations involved in all transcendences, requiring that such an intellectual analyst be of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with temporal meaningful frames which do not define and are not a point-of-reference to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness’ with the registry-worldview/dimension in need of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity (procrypticism) to avoid dividing its meaningful-referencing instead of taking it prospectively (deprocrypticism), for instance, medieval intellectuals like Galileo and Rousseau have to be of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with temporal meaningful frames which do not define and are not a point-of-reference to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness’ with the medieval registry-worldview to generate prospective positivistic registry-worldview which at their time is not intelligible to a medieval take (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) on meaningfulness! This can be further expanded on as follows. The intradimensional meaningful frame is ‘an abstraction to the structural/paradigmatic conceptual limits (uninstitutionalised-threshold) of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension, which do not supersede/precede/override/undermine intrinsic-reality ontology; and the issue that then arises is that it doesn’t carries the meaningfulness sought for transcendentally. On the other hand, transdimensional/transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology is precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency accruing as ‘existential psychoanalytic ontological form (in full blossoming of the transcending dimension)’ beyond the superseded intradimensional structural/paradigmatic conception limits (uninstitutionalised-threshold) of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension.
worldview/dimension (which itself had been the outcome of a preceding existential psychoanalytic ontological form). Memetism as to suprastructural meaningfulness-and-teleology will refer to the projective conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond and superseding an intradimensional registry-worldview abstraction scope to the scope of transdimensional/transcendental existential psychoanalytic ontological form (in full blossoming of the transcending dimension with its existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications personhoods-and-socialhood-formation); highlighting as ontologically wrong any relation to intradimensional meaningfulness as (intemporally/ontologically)-sanctuous-by-reflex (as this wrongly undermines the de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of temporal-dispositions-postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping,-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>-subknowledging/mimicking-set-of-narratives, and wrongly leads to their <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-straight/candored’ at that registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring prospective memetic-reordering. (As a side note, this will explain while ‘referentialism’ in contrast to ‘categorisation’ is the appropriate knowledge-cadre for such a more or less deconstructive articulation in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural, as is the case with this paper, by the fact of the need for a requisite ‘habituation-into and repeatability-from-different-textual-meaningfulness-perspectives’ that is necessary to get-to-and-grasp not only an explanation but critically as well the requisite psychoanalytic-state of a construed existential psychoanalytic ontological form, in full blossoming of the transcending dimension, as ontological meaningfulness.) Finally, it is just a matter of fact going by the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process that human cross-sectional mentation-capacity in relation to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation is limited given perversion-of-reference-of-

Such a ‘postconvergence referentialism’ skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity) hermeneutic-circle goes beyond a traditional hermeneutics exercise of subjective interpretation and rather arrives at an exercise in ‘universal objective (ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) ontological explanation’ as it emphasises transversally/incongruently ‘the recomposuring precedingness/supersedingness/ascendancy of abstract ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism notion of reality’ in referencing meaningfulness apriorising–registry (whether candored / integratively-aligned / straightness / dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase or decandored / transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing / dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase colour/emotion/temporal-frame/aesthetics/memetics/psychical-representation), and so, as coming from an intemporal-disposition/ontological skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity) point-of-referencing. It further holds a promise that goes beyond our notions of reference-of-thought and
meaningfulness (as rather intradimensional or a registry-worldview constructs), and arrives at the grander notion of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument which grasp should enable greater human transcendental possibilities.

Of course, ontologically (i.e. ‘the-Good/understanding’ contrasted with ‘good-natured/impression-driven’) the bigger issue is how do our development and institutionalisation/intemporalisation of true knowledge ‘save us from potent-temporality and its vices-and-impediments with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, rather than how do we over-idealise ourselves and thus fail to be preemptive (as the ‘human cross-sectional mental equilibrium disposition’, at any successive transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity/institutionalisation in the ‘human essential temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions equilibrium nature which is ontologically true’, under-accounts for ‘temporal-nature which is not ontologically true’, and over-accounts for ‘intemporality/longness nature which is equally not ontologically true’ – the insight for this is that institutionalisation/intemporalisation is a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure tool, it doesn’t transform temporal-dispositions which is the exclusive purview of individual sense of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplitudes/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation and by its very nature is ‘beyond a philosophical transformation exercise’ as the latter exercise is mainly to ‘construct articulations for secondnaturing’ at best (articulate new institutionalisation/intemporalisation deterministic-and-operant possibilities for skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), hence the need to refer analytically to human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions s as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness highlighting the uninstitutionalised-threshold and not analytically implying by reflex solely on the basis of a human intemporal-disposition mental-disposition); and prospectively, do our part of the ‘transcendental homework’ that has brought the human species this far taking cue from retrospective transcendences.

By extension this explains how the notion of ‘knowledge problem’ is to be apprehended transcendently/transdimensionally/interdimensionally (as a contiguous intemporal ontological construct). Commonly, intradimensionally, the knowledge problem as ‘social problem/questioning’ is an ‘intradimensional focus’ around logical operation/processing/contention based on the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the registry-worldview/dimension ‘towards resolution’, with the temporal defect of possible denaturing of such reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation undermining the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. However, ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (preceding/superseding intrinsic reality) insight points to a depth-of-focus of the knowledge problem as ‘social problem/questioning’ on the ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy’ itself-and-beyond-any-set-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-implying-it (and by extension accounting for incompleteness of human mental/brain mentation-capacity which is
the reason of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process) to define ‘social problem/questioning’ as implying a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling to enable intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation when at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the registry-worldview/dimension (the contiguous referential exercise of recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling to perpetually enable intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is known as ‘postdication’, a term that is in contrast with ‘predication’ which is based on ‘constitutive categorisation elaboration on an intradimensionally affixed reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology whereas postdication refers to a transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally/across-all-institutional-recomposures/cumulations entropy as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction); involving avoiding making an intemporal-disposition representation (with the implication of a purely logical operation/processing/contention) instead of a temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions representation (with the implication of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation before logical operation/processing/contention; as apriorising–registry disambiguation, into the intemporal-disposition and conjugating temporal-dispositions as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, allowing for contextualisation in articulating the contrast of the intemporal-disposition’s organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology) and temporal-dispositions threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism – involving slanting by psychopath, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, and sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising – with temporal-dispositions in varied shades of temporal conjugation/inflection to psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework dispositions; thus enabling the stifling (undermining the ontological-veridicality) of temporal-dispositions and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity), by way of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition for institutionalisation’s/intemporalisation’s intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Thus the ontological veridicality of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> at it uninstitutionalised-threshold is articulated, with contention then being about reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting and aetiologising/ontologising this, even if it is intradimensionally unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural and unpalatable (consider in this regard, the development of positivism from non-positivism/medievalism). It should be noted then that the paradigm is an intemporal/ontological projection referencing paradigm beyond-and-the-non-implication of an equivalence between (‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling) with the intradimensional ‘consciousness-awareness frame-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of the temporal/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism dimension, more like
the positivist ontological biology and medicine paradigm is beyond/supersedes-and-is-a-non-implication of an equivalence with the ‘consciousness-awareness frame-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of say non-positivism/medievalism temporal value dispositions with respect to the notion of disease, that is, it’s point is to define an altogether different and superseding meaningful frame or paradigm and is not involved in an idle exercise of elevating and articulating its meaning in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of and implying an equivalence with non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness. That is equally the relation between a transcending deprocrpytism registry-worldview and the transcended procrpytism worldview.

Postdication as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (postconvergence), as an ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflectedness psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully allows for a purist (candored/decandored) ontological grasp/predication of the veridicality of any institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (retrospectively to prospectively); avoiding the defect of intradimensional-referencing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and consequently a superseded/transcended registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension as as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase undermining ontological veridicality.

This transcendental insight is in line with the idea of low teleologies or temporal concerns in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and ontologically short in a temporal 80-to-90-years-of-life-mental-project, and higher teleologies or intemporal/transcendental concerns in organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflectedness-or-
ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and ontologically long in an intemporal/species-possibilities/abstract-eternity-of-being-mental-projection/eudaemonic-contemplation), and their corresponding abstract individuation aetiologies (even though in effect individuals as ‘receptacles of specific individuation aetiologies’ cannot realistically be construed as absolutely tied to low or higher teleologies but rather as tending to accrue towards a specific-individuation-aetiology/characteral-disposition whether of low or higher teleology; hence any such ‘storied/articulated’ absolutely specific-individuation-aetiologies are caricatural of the realistic nature of individuals as ‘receptacles of individuation aetiologies’, though all such storied/narrated specific individuation aetiologies represent the full possibilities of any and all individuals ‘as receptacles of individuation aetiologies’).

By ‘higher teleologies’ is meant ‘existential disposition’ which is ‘in essence intemporally preserving solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly’ (and so, by a profound-supererogation disposition that is beyond just one institutionalised/intemporalised registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology but abstractly and supererogatorily across all transcendental retrospective-and-prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldviews/dimensions as so-reflected by dimensionality-of-sublimating→<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation); with the implication that the highest teleologies of Base-institutionalisation (as percolation-channelling undermining of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and its vices-and-impediments) – equivocates as of profound-supererogation to the highest teleologies of Universalisation (as percolation-channelling undermining of ununiversalisation and its vices-and-impediments) – equivocates as of profound-supererogation to the highest teleologies of
Positivism (as percolation-channelling undermining of non-positivism/medievalism and its vices-and-impediments) – and prospectively, equivocates as of profound-supererogation to the highest teleologies of Deprocrypticism (as percolation-channelling undermining of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and its vices-and-impediments). It should thus be noted as such that ‘higher teleologies’ are ‘equivalences of existential’ (in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions), and not equivalences of institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels. That is, being in a transcended institutionalised/intemporalised registry-worldview/dimension (internalisation and formalisation induced as a secondnature) doesn’t equivocate as highest teleologies to the existential projection that ‘had the vision’ in the prior superseded subknowledge/mimicking/untranscended registry-worldview/dimension (‘with-no-elicited-positive-opportunism/much-more-likely-temporal-negative-disincentive’ and ‘out-of-the-blue’) to articulate-and-uphold-for-percolation-channelling the prospect of the transcended-registry-worldview/dimension-with-its-prospective-universal-virtue-over-the-vides-and-impediments-of-the-prior-registry-worldview/dimension even as it seem unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to the prior superseded untranscended/preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism registry-worldview/dimension. So in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘higher teleologies’ (emphasising the existential intemporal-disposition as a seed-of-virtue over institutionalisation/intemporalisation outcome, which the former enables) being in an institutionalised/intemporalised positivistic world doesn’t necessarily equivocate us to the Galileos, Descarteses, Newtons, Leibnizes, Rousseaux, Darwins … behind the articulation-and-upholding-for-percolation-channelling of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension (even though together with them we all may recognise and operate within a positivistic world). That is, the ‘existential profound-supererogating that enables the articulation-and-
upholding-for-percolation-channelling of a transcending registry-worldview/dimension as to
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory—de-
dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ is the
higher teleology ‘over the mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft’ in such a transcended
registry-worldview/dimension. And why is this distinction critical? Because prospective
(intemporality) need for prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence for
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation necessarily calls
upon the (intemporal)-kind that articulated-and-upheld-for-percolation-channelling the
superseding institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence; and the condition of mere-
institutionalised-being-and-craft in the untranscended registry-worldview/dimension doesn’t

speak of a disposition to prospectively articulate-and-uphold-for-percolation-channelling an
intemporally requisite prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation/intemporalisation that is intemporally preserving (in ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence), highlighting the veridicality and need for ‘human registries-
disambiguation at uninstitutionalised-threshold’, and as being temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions. The notion of higher teleologies as such is specific to the human species in
holding that beyond just ‘a physical animal passing of specie generational succession’ for
survival and optimising-specie-flourishing, with higher teleologies there is ‘an even more
critical passing of generational succession’ as memetic-skewing-or-reordering/philo-cultural
optimising of possibilities of the species towards intemporal virtue as civilisational over
temporal vices-and-impediments (philo-cultural and not cultural, because philosophy
notionally supersedes and defines cultural possibilities); and so, by virtue of the exceptional
possibility, in time and space, of human transformation/transcendence by philo-cultural
skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity)/memetic-reordering with respect to the base physical animal selectivity process (genetics) of the human species generational succession.

On other issues of pertinence in the bigger scheme of things:

(i) Meaningfulness of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as to ‘existential idealism/success’ as these define mental orientations or registry-worldview teleological-dispositions. Going by the human ‘institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure’ process involving variously candored/straightness/prelogism and decandored/oblongated/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation of registry-worldviews dependent on which registry-worldview is considered perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or transcendental/superseding; in any given registry-worldview’s social context, the notion of ‘existential idealism/success’ is averagely viewed invariably as ‘living to the ‘opportunistic ideals or conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding’ of the inherent registry-worldview’ irrespective of whether it is perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or transcending/superseding, and not necessarily by its veracity/ontological-pertinence. But then given that what allows for the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure-process transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity to take us from an uninstitutionalised animal to now a positivistic one and prospectively a deprocryptic one; it is difficult to contemplate ‘existential success/idealism’ from a knowledge/ontological perspective (in contrast to a temporal <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) perspective) without identifying that intemporal-disposition in contrast to temporal mental-dispositions is what is ‘truly existential success’ as the intemporal-disposition is very much what allows for human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity and subsequent institutionalisation/intemporalisation, much as the distilling process allows for the lightness of hydrocarbons, ‘where lightness is virtue’. Basically, it can be said that without the human quality of the ‘aetiologisation/ontological-escalation individuation of the intemporal ’ we’ll still be probably in caves. Of course, such a depth-and-projecting-scale-of-thought requires an appreciation of the ‘percolative impact’ of the ‘firstnature/intemporal’ (which is not readily available to the immediacy/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of minds of temporal-dispositions). For instance, men did not ‘by magic’ develop the possibilities of civilisations whether the stone, bronze, copper, iron ages, the antiquities, the medieval and today modern positivism; without a corresponding ‘psychoanalytic liberation’ that allowed for such a development induced by philosophical revolution, however, prosaic the philosophy. For instance, it is not by magic that science and vaccines were not developed in antiquities but were developed in early industrial Europe, as the ‘psychoanalytic liberation’ of the ideas expressed by the Descartes and Galileos ‘shaped subsequent common minds’ to be inclined to rationalise profoundly their grasp of physical phenomena like Pasteur and others. Likewise, the philosophical development in antiquities not being ‘profoundly applicative enough’ and more or less cultic (available more or less to a priestly class and poorly universalising in many such slaving-and-class society), such a psychoanalytic liberation percolation-channelling effect could hardly be obtained from say Aristotle’s writings (granted, it percolated into the medieval Arabic and European worlds), and in addition the ‘intellectualism’ was more like contained in a ‘cultic class’, and hardly the bread
prospective-ontology-origination psyche rule of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
classical enabling/sublimating/supercogitate~de-mentativity as of phenomenal-
abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiatie-context conceptualisation’ leading up to our
positivism–prosognosis registry-worldview/dimension mental-disposition. In other words
in the human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist
therefore existence is of classical enabling/sublimating/supercogitate~de-mentativity
to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performance-
<including-virtue-as-ontology>s) finitude of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
registry-worldview/dimension, we may be forgiven going by human limited-mentation-
capacity by its ‘non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism, as-
impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’ to be unable to grasp greater
emancipatory ‘(re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholden/outlier-conceptualisation-
(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–projective-insights’/epistemic-projection-in-
confledness’–of-notional–deprosognosis–prospective-sublimation)) originary/event-of-
prospective-ontology-origination psyche rules of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
classical enabling/sublimating/supercogitate~de-mentativity as of phenomenal-
abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiatie-context conceptualisation’ successively as of base-
institutionalisation–ununiversalisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism, universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism, positivism–procrysticism
positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-
rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism, and Deprocrysticism
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as-to-
\langle amplituding/formative\rangle epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutive-

rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism. This highlights that our own
location at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process doesn’t dispense us from our own de-mentation–
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) for prospective transcendental possibilities. Basically, the entropy
behind such a philosophical-driven conceptualisation of human meaning and corresponding
psychoanalytic-unshackling, percolation-channelling into an overall relaying defining the
human anthropological-continuity or anthropopsychology or institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recomposure could be summed up this way:

 - a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of mythologies (of superstitious causations
with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘inducing a human psychoanalytic-
unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ which has the merit of introducing
comprehensive social institutionalisation/intemporalisation suprastructurally based around
such mythologies (underlying suprastructurally the creation of superstitious practices,
religions and belief systems, and practically ‘institutionalised living’ whether with respect to
nature or among humans);

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of mystical-principles (a system of the
appropriate relations humans need to have with such superstitious causations with respect to
human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘renewing the human psychoanalytic-unshackling or
registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ which has the merit of redefining comprehensive
social institutionalisation/intemporalisation as rules/principles-driven though still based on
mythological systems (underlying the suprastructural introduction of rules/principles in
superstitious practices, religions and belief systems, and practically ‘universal rules of
institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans);

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of principles-rationalism (of
principles/rules of causation-in-reflecting-ontology as not superstitious with respect to human
and existential destiny/teleology) ‘redefining the human psychoanalytic-unshackling or
registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ and has as merit the superseding of superstitions
based on rationalising systems of universalisation, positivism and science (underlying the
suprastructural introduction of intemporal principles in the operation of social endeavours
including social rules and science, and practically ‘the categorical-positivising/rational-
empiricism of institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans); and
prospectively

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of rational-realism of ‘principles/rules of
human representation of effective-causation-as-it-reflects-ontology’ as ‘not wholly
solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly intemporal’ but rather ‘temporal-to-intemporal’ or
shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology (rather a notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding of
knowledge and meaningfulness, where ‘a skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-
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of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory˙de-mentativity) agency towards intemporality/longness in secondnaturing is what is critical and not a false idealism wrongly implying a direct/immediate cross-sectional intemporal-disposition of humankind’), with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology ‘reorienting the human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ and has as merit a realistic and hence more ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation over ontologically-flawed-intemporal-construction-with-the-drawback-of-temporal-dispositions˙‘preconverging-or-dementing˙apriorising-psychologism underlying the suprastructural and practical introduction of deprocrypticism postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking˙apriorising-psychologism rules/principles (postconvergence referentialism entropy of institutionalisation/intemporalisation).

The reason for a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory˙de-mentativity from the superstitious/religion, universal-notions/essences, principles-rationalism/positivist-idealism and then rational-realism as of deprocrypticism is that psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully the human psyche is inclined/shaped/desires to find an all-in-all-encompassing-response (magic wand) to explain its world, but then realises across institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure that successive introduction of more and more ‘realistic’ conceptualisations enable a grander ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and grasp of its world.

Further, what differentiates principles-rationalism/positivist-idealism and rational-realism as of deprocrypticism is that the ‘institutionalising threshold for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ of the latter introduces the disambiguation of dispositions in meaning construal and subsequent logical
supererogation> defect by recognising the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions in principle and preempting this in principle in its operant conceptualisation i.e. in principle the deprocryptic reflex is not to simply operate/process logic, it anticipates the verification of soundness of apriorising–registry to establish that this isn’t subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/slanted/psychopathy as well as the conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protration-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> by the temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

Such ‘deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ (as with any other institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity) involves the development of preemptive and prospective categorical-imperatives/axiomatic-construct/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation over the prior now dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-, for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation stranded-rightfully-as-decandored/oblongated, and so with the ‘aetiologisation/ontological-escalation’ highlighting temporal-dispositions de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics). It should be noted that while the prior superseded transcendences to
positivistic institutionalisations have been rather incremental-to-abject, it is likely that procryptic to deprocryptic transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity is most probably an outrightly blunt/incisive abject construct, and why, because higher institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure imply higher perversion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry–teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are ‘not readily perceived as undermining intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and are often wrongly analysed as being intemporally preservational’ but for a very insightful ontological reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting exercise of organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) ontological-escalation/aetiologising over threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism; requiring a corresponding intellectually decisive and abject articulation for procryptic-to-deprocryptic cross-generational deprocryptic transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism, as the procryptic perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> is weakly graspable in the cross-section of the social-construct for the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity to work effectively by incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as to notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought even though such incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought might later arise in social integration from
institutionalisation/intemoralisation percolation-channelling following an intellectually abject and decisive articulation, or possibly with successive other such intellectual articulations, of the perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity. Methodologically, it should draw on phenomenological-and-hermeneutic-insights, as with this research paper, and extending into a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ as the ‘ontologically effective, applicative and operant articulation insight’ to this background phenomenological-and-hermeneutic-insights. Its highlighting of such a transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity should be similar to say a literary work like Things Fall Apart by Chinua Achebe even though the latter is rather more about cultural-diffusion-from-Western-philosophical-transcendence which positivistic transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity integration into the society’s institutionalisation/intemoralisation percolation-channelling undermines-psychoanalytically/psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure the society’s existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation allowing for positivistic transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity. But then unlike Things Fall Apart, such a perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity being not a cultural-diffusion-from-another-society’s-philosophical-transcendence but rather a universal-human-intradimensional-philosophical-transcendence can be creatively devised as being in substitution to an ‘abstract cultural-diffusion-from-another-society’s-philosophical-transcendence transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity’, for an in-depth insight. However, the latter storying will have to be more deterministic, operant and of aesthetic applicability, unlike just a simple literary work, with strong
thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> ‘as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ respectively, as is implied in all the transcendences from recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism, positivism/procrypticism, and prospectively perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism. This serves to provide the perspective/reflection to the present positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought explaining while the ‘seemingly unlikely preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation of its mind’ at its uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought so reflected/perspectivated from deprocrypticism is more veridical than its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousnessas <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ representation. In the bigger scheme of things, such a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ on perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism re-elaborated to a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ of all the transcendences provides an even more profound and emanant-insight understanding of the anthropological continuity/anthropopsychology and the proper place of the present positivistic mind in the bigger scheme, and what is prospectively implied, as a perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity).

Another ontological element of the perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is that it is ‘weakly positive opportunistic’ to the cross-section of the social construct. Prior/superseded transcendences are relatively ‘strongly positive opportunistic’ with base-institutionalisation transcendence-
and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation being the strongest in its positive-opportunism as the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of: ‘organising rules/principles’/base-institutionalisation are opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate survival itself, i.e. such an uninstitutionalised state with uncertainty, lack-of-knowledge about the environment and relative lawlessness ‘focuses the individual’s mind’ to adhere to any dependable organised rules/principles/laws, even where such organising rules/principles/laws are bad so long as they are predictable, be it circumstantially (and effectively, base-institutionalisation is a state where such organising/rules/principles/laws are constantly being remade competitively with respect to survival-possibilities and power-relations, but on the other hand base-institutionalisation tends to have weak institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling for intemporal transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity in the long run due to ‘holding-on-to-the-initial-proven-survival-and-flourishing-assets/tradition’ and ‘a question of power relations’, and more likely than not, in such human society in ‘clanic turbulence’ base-institutional-recomposure is a highly-diffusionary-juggling-and-reconstituting-transcending-across-clans rather than oriented towards just a singular intra-social intemporal-philosophical transcending, but also involving on the rare occasion a lopsided diffusion from an altogether different and dominant cultural grouping); those of ‘projecting rules/principles’ or universalisation are less opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate survival but are relatively vital and extend the amnbits of the former; while those of ‘empirical rules/principles’/positivism are even less positive-opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate for immediate/direct survival but relatively critical for flourishing (science,
human rights, democracy, etc.). So these institutionalisations transcendences can elicit, in effect, a grander sense of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm rather than a temporal extricatory paradigm in their cross-section of the social-construct. However, it will probably be more facile for such a cross-section of the social-construct to be strongly disposed to adopt an extricatory/temporality paradigm rather than intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm regarding the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accountability as intemporality-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) rules/principles’ or deprocrypticism with regards to their temporal direct/immediate survival opportunism statistically to individuals on the cross-section of the social-construct. An intemporal disposition as ontological projecting that may elicit a sense of positive-opportunism for survival itself with base-institutionalisation will not necessarily have the same adherence effect on the cross-section of the social-construct when it comes to a transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity which temporal directness/immediacy for ‘individuals sense of survival-and-flourishing’ is not so obvious but for its abstract ontological veridicality and abstract intemporal transformation implications as is the case with deprocrypticism; but is rendered possible because of the relatively ‘strong preset institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ (on the basis of its
untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining generation capacity); more like it would be fair to say that many an abstract and boring scientific efforts do not necessarily appeal temporarily but for the strongly preset institutionalisation/intemperalisation percolation-channelling for their social integration. Basically, with transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as temporal directness/immediacy weaken on the one hand, the element of untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining (with institutional percolation-channelling for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity) in assuring prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity strengthens.

To sum up, this highlights the ‘temporal existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications practicality aspect’ involved in all human transcendences. That is, transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity is more of a human-mentation-capacity driven construct and its mundane recognition is not inherently by its supposed virtue (given that survival-and-flourishing, and not veracity/ontological-pertinence, are the more immediate/direct basis for the human temporal drive). To the extent that transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity highlights critically that it is what is the best enabler for survival-and-flourishing then it is a force of social transformation. Equally, an ontologically-veridical but not immediately/directly survival-and-flourishing will not, with regards to human temporal practicality, by mere ontological-veridicality be a basis for its social integration, if the insight that it provides a grander survival-and-flourishing scheme isn’t immediately palpable. As in this case human temporal practicality disposition is perfectly inclined to threshold at its registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold. But then with an increasing cerebral grasp of our nature and our surrounding world rather than just passive endurers of nature-in-action, we can fairly

The referential memetism as suprastructural-meaningfulness implying that meaning is in fact a ‘human mental devising construct’ (not inherently ontological or intrinsic-reality) and it is grounded on its validation/veridicality by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in showing it is proxying to ‘abstract and inherent ontology/intrinsic-reality/veridicality’ which is a preceding/superseding notion (postconvergence) to our mental devising of meaning; explaining why we adjust our meaning model/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored, and then mentally-oblongated/decandored with respect to new/superseding soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored) when the proxying-registry-construct is internally-contradictory and demonstrated to be flawed at successive uninstitutionalised-threshold whether from recurrent-utter-institutionalised to base-
institutionalised, ununiversalised to universalised, non-positivism/medievalism to positivistic, and prospectively procrypticism to deprocrypticism.

More than just an exercise of grasping the possibilities of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, it is critical that for future transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity we don’t confuse the development of a ‘banal/temporal/averaging-of-temporal-thoughts’ notion in ‘our shortness of the lives of mortals’ (80 or 100 years or so) as defining what is ‘existential idealism/success’ on the basis of such ‘mental shortness’ (which isn’t even solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly the intemporal responsibility for the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity that enabled its world, the positive worldview from non-positivism/medievalism, but has been rather ‘institutionalised and secondnatured there’, and so is ‘philosophically irresponsible’ prospectively with respect to the bigger scheme of things regarding transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity/prospective-institutionalisation, necessarily so when inclined to an extricatory temporal-disposition that is not solipsistically intemporally responsible). Intellectually and knowledge-wise, the articulation of ‘existential idealism/success’ must be the exclusive purview of the aetiological individuation of the intemporal-disposition whose organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’s universal projection/intemporality keeps alive the notion of existential idealism/success as long as from its intemporal-disposition that started base-institutionalisation (to thwart recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) through universalisation (to thwart ununiversalisation), positivism (to thwart non-positivism/medievalism), and prospectively its intemporal-disposition that will
enable deprocrypticism (to thwart procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and thereafter; the intemporal mind as such projects in an ‘abstract eternality’ that is what allows for the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation.

In the bigger scheme of things, all the vices-and-impediments of successive registry-worldviews can be directly ascribed as corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> of temporal-dispositions at the registry-worldviews uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively procrypticism (pointing to the fact that virtue is about ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework constructs’ of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, and not ‘good-natured/impression constructs’ which are vague, as it is inevitable that there is no good-naturedness/impression-drive that exist to prevent an recurrent-utter-institutionalised mind from deterministically committing the vices-and-impediments of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, of an ununiversalised mind those of ununiversalisation, of a non-positivism/medievalism mind those of non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively of a procryptic mind (as subknowledging/mimicking/perverting positivistic meaningfulness) those of procrypticism. Virtue is plainly and simply about the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct with corresponding virtuous consequences of knowledge or lack-of-knowledge thereof). It is critical for the sake of the temporal mortal that we are, not to be allowed to be our own God; that is exactly what creates transcendental possibilities, otherwise we syncretise and preserve and articulate our temporality/shortness as being intemporal!
(ii) ‘Intellectual solipsistic/emanant irresponsibility’ referring to ‘intellectual idealism’ success in conceiving intemporal meaning but failure in preserving intemporal meaning from ‘temporal mimicking, denaturing and subknowledging’ with corresponding poor temporal-dispositions orientations/registry-worldview over that intemporal meaningfulness in relation to the bigger picture of human/social progress paradigm. While intellectual ontological/intemporal meaningfulness may strive to articulate a universal idealism/intemporal projection, it is rather naïve to operate on the ‘romantic’ basis that universal idealism/intemporal projection is the sole disposition of humans as temporal dispositions like postlogism-slantedness (the psychopath), ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation are endemically part and parcel of the reality of human dispositions; and so, as a matter of fact on a simple ‘scientific basis of determining first principles’ and not necessarily to stigmatise, as reality works on the basis that ‘what is, is what is!’ That then being the case, what then is the relevant question is how do we ensure by institutionalisation/intemporalisation (based on the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not impression/good-naturedness/wishfulness vagueness) the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition-worldview (as ontological and upholding virtue in the medium to long perspective) over the cross-section of human mental temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions s, i.e. secondnaturing as formalisation and internalisation. For instance, if men were of an intemporal-disposition we will only need ‘moral philosophy’ and ‘no law’ as the institutionalising principle of the law is a tacit recognition that realistically we need ‘dominating/superseding artifices’ or ‘institutions and their rules and narratives’ whether the human subjects have a grasp of the ‘philosophical’ universal end purpose or not). This is the attitude that preserves the virtue inherent in the intemporal conceptualisation of meaning and
‘not any temporal romantic idealism’ which only leads to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> that goes on to undermine directly or by sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising conjugations the virtue in knowledge, and so in particular in the ‘extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ (informal settings) where the constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) (usually introduced in formal settings) is not available. Hence intellectual responsibility warrants that the intellectual exercise (as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation) involves both a construction of the intemporal ideal and equally a stifling of the possibilities of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. This involves avoiding the naivety of articulating meaning only in the sense of the intemporal ideal but including a constraining and temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-disambiguating realism that upholds/preserves intemporality/longness and stifles temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> inclinations. Such an approach is known as the ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ or knowledge as a continuum from ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions to knowledge/intemporality which then allows for scrutinising and preempting ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions, i.e. apprehending not only intemporal implications of any knowledge construct, but being transversally/logically-incongruent preemptive to potential temporal undermining of that intemporal idealism construct).
‘Intemporal and temporal disjuncture’ basically refers to the fact that in the elaboration of conventioning with respect to ontological-veridicality with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction both the intemporal and temporal-dispositions are preservational in their finalities, i.e. temporal-dispositions do not transcend philosophically but by untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, and it is vague and naïve to intemporal/ontologically engage at the philosophical level to wrongly imply such a solipsistic transcendental process as this should not be confused with the formalisation effect of secondnaturing and internalisation. ‘Intemporal and temporal disjuncture’ can equally be analysed as ‘transcendental-or-transdimensional prospective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and intradimensional-meaningfulness disjuncture’ given there is mutual unintelligibility between prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and intradimensional meaningfulness for instance respectively as deprocrypticism and as procrypticism (persion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivistic meaningfulness), just as there is mutual unintelligibility between positivism and non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness. This mutual unintelligibility should not be ‘addressed logically’ actually by the intemporal-disposition or prospective-memetism or prospective/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as this naively implies both registry-worldviews share the same reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (going from the insight of a common vantage perspective of mutually unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural positivism and non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation); wherein it is transversality-
of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that plays out to enable the abject superseding/transcendence of the intemporal-disposition or prospective memetism or prospective/transcendental/superseding registry-worldview/dimension over the prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness. For the simple reason that intrinsic-reality being preceding as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence it won’t let the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought (as intrinsic-reality/ontology is inherently suprastructural or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of the mortals that we are, in the sense that a cholera epidemic that was to occur say in 100 b.c. Will not stop from occurring because human beings did not know of notions-of-bacteria-as-causing-diseases-and-instead-believed-in-bad-omen-for-not-making-the-right-sacrifices-or-so-so-and-so; thus naivety will be to strive to syncretise in temporal-and-social-trading our discomfort/unpalatability in construing intrinsic-reality/ontology) to be involved in social-and-temporal-trading with the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought as inherently all the greater possibilities of grasping a more profound intrinsic-reality/ontology lies with ‘reasoning-through/abjection’ with the prospective memetism of positivism which actual mental-devising-representation of non-positivism/medievalism is as preconverging-ordementing-apriorising-psychologism (where the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is the prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness perspective). The validation arises from the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the long-run of non-positivism/medievalism, as the more profound positivistic meaningfulness takes hold in the-Good/understanding/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework institutionalisation percolation-channelling mechanism. This ontological insight (transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that plays out to enable the abject
prospective/superseding/transcending of the intemporal-disposition or prospective memetism or prospective/transcendental/superseding registry-worldview/dimension) also informs, as with all transcendences, the relation between the prospective meaningfulness/memetism or transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension as deprocrypticism and prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness/memetism as our procrypticism, with the latter superseded/transcended as of ‘reasoning-through/abjection’ and represented as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism in line with the preceding ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology, likewise with the idea that deprocrypticism validation will arise from the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of procrypticism as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism takes hold in the the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework institutionalisation percolation-channelling mechanism. So deterministically and operantly, without any discretion allowed, from the intemporal/ontological perspective, it is a cross-generational collapsing/overriding-and-superseding of temporal-dispositions and a registry-worldview/dimension-intradimensional-meaningfulness that is perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> construed in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing involving reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as the backdrop of new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation for
prospective institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation that enables prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Thus technically, preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism arises simply by a shift of reference-of-thought (in the strive for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation wherein the latter reference-of-thought as a registry-worldview/dimension is shown to be more intemporally-preservational); with the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reflected/perspectivated in the mental-devising-representation fully implied by the new transcending/superseding reference-of-thought (of postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) about the prior transcended/superseded reference-of-thought (and so, beyond the latter’s registry-worldview/dimension wrongful reflex to set-aside/ignore the implications of its demonstrated ontological-impertinence as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> and go on to be of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag this now shown-to-be-wrong reference-of-thought). Preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as such is easily and spontaneously reflected of a prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension like for instance a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation reflecting the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of a medieval registry-worldview/dimension. But then this is because the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension doesn’t have to deal with any existential illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage that the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension personhoods-and-socialhood-formation has to deal with. However, implying similarly the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension from its
intradimensional perspective where its own reference-of-thought is superseded/transcended by a prospective reference-of-thought as depocrypticism will, this time around by the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension existential illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage that its personhoods-and-socialhood-formation has to deal with, lead to the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension by reflex setting-aside/ignoring the prospective and veridical reference-of-thought and corresponding (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, and go on to self-reference-syncretise its transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. In concrete terms for instance, whereas a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will likely shift the reference-of-thought with regards to say a non-positivism/medievalism context of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery where A were to accuse B for being a sorcerer who caused A’s illness, the mental-devising-representation of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will be that A is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and that a germ and biological functioning theory of the human body is the reference-of-thought for A’s disease. But then intradimensionally, A and B and their society of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation and existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications that are non-positivism/medievalism will tend to harken back to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that uphold the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought that admits to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. The effective anthropological and dialectical evidence (mostly from diffusional transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity given the relative abruptness of cultural diffusions compared to an intra-society philosophical transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity which is rather slow in the making) shows that it is the cross-generational habituation by
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
synergetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag into reference-of-thought of the
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension (in this instance the
positivistic registry-worldview/dimension) that will ultimately ‘wean’ the
prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension (in this instance non-
positivism/medievalism) from its defective non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought
and its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology towards a positivistic reference-of-thought and its
prospective/transcending/superseding relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, where contention can then take place to establish
(postconvergence) relative ontological-veridicality. Likewise, the concrete analysis from a
deprocrypticism insight shows that our procrypticism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> of positivistic meaningfulness) mindset/reference-of-thought will by reflex
emanantly act the same at its own uninstitutionalised-threshold; wherein the idea that
positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought as of its characteristic postlogism associated
with psychopathy and social psychopathy with its overall beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology,<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> defect of
brings about a shift to a new reference-of-thought and reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation as transcending/superseding deprocrypticism, will sound
mental-devising-representation of a retrospective/transcended/superseded impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construct is always a preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism construct, and so across all institutionalisations indicating that the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality as ontological-normalcy or prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation effectively construes impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness constructs as rather of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> and hence its preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism. This equally implies that our very own ‘good-naturedness constructs’ in the positivism/procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension are of preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. The reason why ontological-normalcy/postconvergence indicates that ‘good-naturedness constructs’ are defective is quite simple as it is based on adhering to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, which along the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are successively shown to be defective-as-always-being-sub-par-to-intrinsic-reality and defining the uninstitutionalised-threshold. Virtue and ontology/intrinsic-reality rather lies in the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and not its reference-of-thought—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, with the latter only being pertinent in the sense where it relays intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Such a relaying is not within the ambits of good-naturedness constructs but rather the-Good as a continuous refinement of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that ensures re-institutionalisation/re-intemporalisation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation when ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework so reveals it. Thus supposed an individual shows good-naturedness following the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension that warrants that one simply gets one’s way no matter the situation even if it means committing murder to have some food for oneself and close ones; a good-natured quality that is highly rated for survival in an recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised setup. That is perfectly within the good-naturedness ambits of a survival-driven registry-worldview/dimension but prospectively it is the creativeness of the-Good/understandingknowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that carries the virtuous and ontological insight to grasp that a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation as base-institutionalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism will provide a grander virtuous and ontological outcome for humans, and not a good-naturedness inclination which is stuck at the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation. This same fundamental dilemma arises with all other institutionalisations. For instance, the procripticism inclination to stick to the reference-of-
representing the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the registry-worldview/dimension as absolute intrinsic-reality/ontology without any factoring of intrinsic-reality/ontology ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework does. This fundamentally explains why all prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage are necessarily preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism from the mental-devising-representation of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension in the requisite ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise that enables the existentialism (full-depth-of-existential-implications) deconstructed/‘ontologically-reconstituted’ becoming of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension. The bigger insight here has to do with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality. Intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is already given and what is required to access it absolutely is not the notion of ‘any hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> initiative/effort’ from the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of a reference/registry/registering/registry-worldview/dimension that is necessarily sub-par to intrinsic-reality/ontology (this is the central idea that fundamentally explains how perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism arise, due to
sub-par reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in misconstruing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intrinsic-reality — and so, by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect); but rather the notion of a ‘requisite and grander and grander sense of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ illuminating reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (which is ‘more or less ontologically-reconstituting/deconstructional’, in the sense that in the bigger scheme to absolutely grasp intrinsic-reality/ontology in cumulation/recomposing from recurrent-utter-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism, reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are, strictly speaking, of a more-and-more-precise-heuristic-nature in their strive to grasp intrinsic-reality/ontology as-we-predicate-better-and-more-about-the-world, notwithstanding the fact that a registry-worldview/dimension acts more-or-less-in-abject-trust to its given reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation mainly for the compromising sake of ‘effective functioning’, and so at one dialectical moment till a better one arises at another dialectical moment, as a transcending/superseding reference/registry/registry-worldview/dimension) that simply ‘open-up’/’throw-up’/’reveal’ in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications of the notion of what is meant by intrinsic-reality; more precisely and effectively, as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflicatedness as dialectical transformation as (prospective)
transdimensional-meaningfulness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument or (prospective) existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications, i.e. the overall enterprise is about deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness-towards-intrinsic-reality wherein existence-defines-essence (along Sartrean existence-precedes-essence or existence-meeting-essence), as it is existentialism which is the ‘becoming that defines essence’ with ‘essence-of-meaningfulness being-veridically-in-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’ and not a traditionally naïve ‘wrong hollow-constituting—<as-disjoined-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> perception or construct-of-essence-of-meaningfulness-in-an-abstract-classification-scheme-which-is-out-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ that is usurpable/impostored by mere form. This is the veridical ontological depth of mental-devising-representation/psychological-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology informed by the de-mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation—or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding—or-attributive-dialectics). The institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as specific successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications imply their mental-devising-representation in a reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting transdimensional/transcendental dialectics enabled by de-mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation—or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding—or-attributive-dialectics) wherein the de-mentation—(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation—or-dialectical—de-mentation—stranding—or-attributive-dialectics) sets prior/transcended/superseded institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as ‘dialectically-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ (mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) and the prospective/transcending/superseding institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as
entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of a reference/registrying/registry-worldview/dimension is necessarily sub-par to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intrinsic-reality/ontology, and thus ‘dialectically-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ to enable its prospective superseding/transcending), and this is rightfully transcended/superseded by the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting their rightful/veridical ‘preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism registry-teleology-mentation that articulates transdimensionally successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications disposition’ with the rightful implication of the transcendability of these respective institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (given the rightful prospective superseding/transcending of their ‘failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> and ontologically-wrong’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; as going by the bigger scheme for absolute grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontology in cumulation/recomposuring from-utter-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism, reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are, strictly speaking, rather of a more-and-more-precise-heuristic-nature in their strive to grasp intrinsic-reality/ontology as—we-predicate-better-and-more-about-the-world). This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications paradox’ involving wrongfully intradimensional <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-teleology-mentation and rightfully transdimensional ontological-veridicality rather in an ontological-preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism registry-teleology-mentation is critical in understanding how to circumvent temporal-dispositions circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought/temporal-preservation inclination associated with postlogism in hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>
(psychopathy and social psychopathy), in lieu of 'Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/intemporal-preservation inclination associated with prelogism. Fundamentally, conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> is always based on a wrong
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-teleology-mentation in recurrent
in hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>
in postlogic-backtracking-
<iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic (psychopath) or
hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>
or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex
(derived social psychopathy) of hollow narratives, and wrongfully that this is reference-of-thought; and correspondingly, a rightful transdimensional ontological-representation should imply it is a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-<stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase> registry-teleology placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
teleology and by so doing, to start with, rightfully denying it reference-of-thought which then fundamentally collapses its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, as the hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
preservation> postlogism-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism counts on the natural inclination (as ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation re-engaging reflex’) of the ‘ontologically-reconstituting-or-prelogic-or-logical-process-precedes-outcome-or-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mindset/reference-of-thought to reflexively engage contendingly/logically with its hollow narratives, with the grander faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the hollow narratives per se but in wrongfully implying its veracity/ontological-pertinence as reference-of-thought and implying the falsely apriorising-registry-elements of its implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology; as being an even grander faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>’ nature of registry-teleology mental-devising-representation/mentation, that speaks not only to an act defect but a registry-worldview/dimension defect. Thus this insight in transcendental analysis is that by its very nature in that it puts into question ways, assumptions and traditions of thought and practices, the possibility of truly profound insights that go well beyond more or less platitudes and inevitably requires taking stock of the full-depth-of-existential-implications/existentialism of transcendental-meaningfulness–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, given the need to boldly overcome intellectual dead-ends and introduce paradigm shifts often with unconvenient and unpalatable implications to the given registry-worldview/dimension personhoods-and-socialhood-formation. It requires more than just a sense of professional and technical craft but often more critically a profound sense of intemporal/firstnature emanant commitment, an attribute that is by definition of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
meaningfulness’ and the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process. This convergent selectivity is perpetually directed by ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ (not to be confused with good-naturedness/impression-drive) towards the validation of intemporality-potency and the dismissal of temporality-potency, and so in dialectical succession of registry-worldviews as the successive/snowballing institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations. Thus establishing a human approximating/proxying/aligning relationship with the ‘potency of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality (ontological-normalcy) which is a coherent oneness’ that can very much be anticipated as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. In this regard, it should be reiterated that ‘registry (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) establishes reference-of-thought, and acts as the basis for and defines the operation of logic or logical processing’, and it is notionally all about registry-soundness (reflected as soundness of thought) when we are of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> when we are of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as with the hollow and formulaic narratives slanted by psychopath and mimicked by temporal-dispositions (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness). Unlike the ‘notion of agreement-disagreement’ dealing with soundness/unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation wherein a common apriorising–registry of interlocution is already established, there is no logical-basis for one apriorising–registry disposition as a prospective/superseding/transcending reference-of-thought like a positivistic registry-worldview to convince another apriorising–registry disposition as a prior/superseded/transcended reference-of-thought like a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview that it is the former’s reference-of-thought that is sound, other than for the fact that its better ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will in the middle to long-run be untenable with respect to the latter thus ‘collapsing’ it; and so reflecting ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ as to mere ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>’ so-underlining existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Intradimensionally within a registry-worldview like positivism, this could be construed as there is no basis for a mindset/reference-of-thought advocating for scientific medicine as practised in hospitals to ‘logically convince’ another mindset/reference-of-thought advocating rather for traditional medicine (involving a mix of herbalism, incantations, spirits, etc.) that the former is more ontologically-veridical on purely logical terms (as the traditional medicine interlocutor operates logic according to the apriorising–registry or reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology behind its traditional medicine meaningful-frame while the scientific medicine
interlocutor operates logic according to the apriorising-registry or reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of a positivistic meaningful-frame), and it

is purely the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework fact in that by and large more

patients survive/get-cured by going to hospitals which then collapses the traditional medicine

interlocutor’s reference-of-thought in the middle to long-run to impose the scientific

medicine interlocutor’s reference-of-thought as a common one, and it is only when this

common reference arises that the ‘notion of agreement-disagreement’ with regards to logical

processing is now relevant, and it is irrelevant and non-applicable before that. The

implication is that a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-

and-teleology’ as meaning produced apparently with the ‘same-terms-of-expressions

(seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological reference-of-

thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-

entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the various instances) but actually

implying ‘different relations to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought’, underlined

by the disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (aetiological ontological-

primemovers-totalitative-framework construct), and so whether with regards to the

epiphenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy (or with respect to ontological-

veridicality or issues of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness generally):

- As the ‘intemporal-disposition’ disposition which is prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-

profound-supererogation-or-existential-contextualising-contiguity with respect to the ‘same-
terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (based on ontologically-

veridical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-

intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation since its

apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-

stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are
ontologically-veridical), which are ‘ontologically-reconstituted/deconstructed’ and hence of sound/veridical reference-of-thought (registry-soundness reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought), and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-in-phase as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’.


- As conjugating by interlocutors deriving directly-or-indirectly/unconsciously-or-consciously from the consciously-slanting-as-psychopathic/postlogic-temporal-disposition as ‘derived-slanted-ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation’ dispositions thus which are parenthetically/incidentally-(by-their-specific-conjugations-to-the-slanting/postlogism) as of threshold-of—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as formulaic-projection/postlogism with
respect to the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’) (as
ontologically non-veridical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation
since their slanting/postlogism-induced-and-implied-registry-elements of their respective
implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are not ontologically-veridical), which are
‘hollow-constituted’ and hence are of unsound/non-veridical reference-of-thought
(perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>), and in registry-
worldview terms dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism.

- As in registry-worldview terms, all the temporal-dispositions in their ‘dynamic-cumulative-
aftereffect of subontologisation’ paradoxically define and establish the said registry-
worldview’s ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (or socially-betraying-
threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or uninstitutionalised-
threshold) as rather hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-
and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, and requiring the ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-
conflatedness’/deconstruction of new/prospective ‘terms of expressions’ (along
new/prospective veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation) for new/prospective sound/veridical reference-of-thought
(registry-soundness reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought), and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-in-phase as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’.

- As ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ implies that ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) being prospective given human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), the prospective registry-worldview in achieving the ontological-prospection ‘is ontologically-veridical and thus dialectically-in-phase as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ while the prior registry-worldview inherently failing/not-upholding-⟨as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing⟩ the ontological-prospection ‘becomes non-veridical ontologically and dialectically-out-of-phase as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, and in the broader sense the projective cumulation/recomposuring of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) along such successive dialecticisms of ontological-prospections is what enables the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process by defining human mentation-capacity-limit in a prior reference-of-thought in hollow-constituting-⟨as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation⟩ (as the new preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism), and the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought that redefines human mentation-capacity-limit by ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction (as the new ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’). By ‘reflecting a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-

- As ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the various instances) highlights broadly the socially shared/common reference-of-thought and meaningfulness primarily based on language in reflection of ontological-
veridicality/intrinsic-reality, but how with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction
our temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions/individuations contextually have differing relations
to ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought and meaningfulness, notwithstanding the
‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ and corresponding
seemingly common reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology–
for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with the
‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction with respect to the
ontologically non-veridical hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> leading-to/enabling human registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation.

- As with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy, ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-
onologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in order to effectively construe
ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity and disambiguate notional-
contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–qualia-schema> from notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> requires the operational technique
of ‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-protraction-of-perversion-of-
reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation>–of-meaningfulness’ *which refers to how on the one hand
from a suprastructuring construal–<as-of–‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-
thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation>–as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-
subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’–and–‘corresponding-ontological-
reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-
institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’} delineating
existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-
contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-
relative-ontological-completeness insight, the psychopath/postlogic-character is contextually
in vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-
hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging as of in–compulsing–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or
postlogically from social occasions and experiences it witnesses, and wrongly reproduces this
from a suprastructuring construal-{as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-
thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation}–as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-
subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’–and–‘corresponding-ontological-
reconstituting—as-of-conflicatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-
institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’} delineating
existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-
contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-
relative-ontological-completeness insight, in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping–‘set-
of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> by its slantedness-of-meaningfulness as ‘relevant-
occasions-of-opportune’ (of social-stake-contention-or-confliction) arise on the basis that the
‘copied-hollow-form-of-meaningfulness’ is mechanically deterministic of others behaviours
such that they can so be swayed, and by following a teleological disposition of ‘inductive
limitation’ or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot
truly be of entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-
completeness as they require that others do not act likewise as the psychopath/postlogic-
character or their implications should be limited to a given target or targets and not be
implied as totalisingly-entailing, as the fundamental teleology/purpose for articulating them is
not intemporal/not-of-totalising-entailment but speaks more of a temporal motive, and in a

delineating

existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualisingcontiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-forrelative-ontological-completeness insight, on the other hand how circumstantially it’s
interlocutors

unconsciously-or-consciously/wittingly-or-unwittingly

by

temporal-

accommodation-or-interest seemingly in-prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profoundsupererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologismly alignment
(as

conjoining)

to

this

formulaic

slanting

compulsing–

nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation

or

postlogic meaningfulness, and so recurrently in conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives to the
psychopathic/postlogic-character

slantedness-of-meaningfulness

<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>;
requires

from

an

ontological/intemporal

postlogic-backtrackingwherein

perspective

of

this

rather

threshold-of–

nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism reflection of both the (postlogicbacktracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>)
psychopathic/postlogic-character and by extension the (conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives)
interlocutors, and thus as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive, that is, as they are
involved

in

the

perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in2410


nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivistic-meaningfulness or procrypticism, and beyond just procrypticism, with regards to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight of meaningfulness) and so establishing their notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> or ontological-non-veridicality. This technique is a proof of the Sartrean notion of ‘existence-preceding-essence’ or the Derridean notion of ‘there is nothing outside the text’ (with the text, from an overall insight of presence and absence metaphysics, rather construable as ontological meaningfulness, with the implication that there is no meaningfulness that is not in ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, or by the Sartrean argument, there is no essence-of-meaningfulness outside existential contextualisation of meaningfulness); as the wrong notion of ‘non-existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology’ or mere form state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ (in the case where essence-of-meaningfulness is considered as definitely/absolutely given by the mere form of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology without considering whether these are in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in the very first place) is the basis of psychopathic/postlogic-character and their interlocutors (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology but failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to uphold intemporal-preservation/entropy/contiguity) by vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging and

For instance, if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children, etc. The logical operation is entirely right in abstract terms but does the
apriorising–registry apply? I.e. The faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is not with regards to the logic (which is technically true) but with the ‘implied’ denaturing of the elements of the apriorising–registry as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (by simply implying their ‘static or abstract non-veridical/vacuous state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ over suprastructuring construal–<as-of–‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>–as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’-and–‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existentia-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight of essence-of-meaningfulness) which are: implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape (the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape doesn’t exist since the psychopath doesn’t know the guy), implied-profile (the psychopath is projecting a false representation of itself and the situation), implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation (the psychopath has no stature to talk about the guy he doesn’t know), implied-assumptions (the assumptions implying the psychopath’s relationship with the guy and the guy’s relationship with children doesn’t exist), implied-value-reference (the psychopath’s elicitation of a sense of value reference in the interlocutor is unfounded and ridiculous) and implied-teleology (the psychopath’s articulation of a sense of purpose on its interlocutor about the guy is hollow mimicking). Finally, the psychopath has articulated a lot of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge but none to do with logic, but everything to do with the denaturing of registry/axiom/categorical-imperatives or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! So with the psychopath, you don’t watch the logic, you watch out for the apriorising–registry for mental-perversion or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! Not only that, it is important to note that this unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought do protract and an ignorant prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind acting prelogically (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) on such postlogic (outcome precedes logical process) non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives is ‘technically psychopathic as well’ as they are in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to the psychopath’s postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>. This is known as postlogism or preconverging-or-dementing-integration or compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising or conjugated-postlogism (whether conjugated to in ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfite-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation), which is to be construed by ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and once it is induced by ignorance it leads to an undermining of ‘deductive social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’ and so by way of the ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/poin-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ of registry-worldviews, with subsequent conjugating ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfite-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, the
conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration is derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> and goes on to lead to social psychopathy; more like a dumb-and-dumb/miscuing degeneration effect. The insight here is that without having at hand a ‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-protration-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–of-meaningfulness’ technique which is able to disambiguate the underlying existential reality of the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ with regards to the various interlocutors, whether unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as slanted/psychopathic/postlogic interlocutor as well as the various (conjugated-postlogism) temporal-dispositions as derived-slanted ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation interlocutors or soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ intemporal-disposition interlocutor, the natural human reflex when a contestation arises is to be supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at (without putting into question in the very first place the veridical state of the various interlocutors registry/registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology with respect to contestation, and by foregoing this it wrongly attributes the implied essence-of-meaningfulness without the insight of existential-contextualisation by simply and wrongly implying that everybody must be of intemporal-disposition and voiding the notion of

- As previously explained, it is important to grasp that temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions are within the receptacles that are individuals, and hence there is no contradiction in saying that all individuals potentially have both the intemporal-disposition and temporal-dispositions, with the major existential/contextual difference among individuals with regards to the existential/contextual inclination to preserve-intemporality or fail-intemporality/temporality as social-stake-contention-or-confliction arise varying with regards to the implications of graver and graver temporal consequences (wherein as an archetype elucidation for instance, Socrates or Galileo will strive to keep on preserving intemporality/longness even when the conventional social-stake-contention-or-confliction threaten as they view the perpetuation of the ideas and principles they stood for were more critical for human posterity, but again ‘a sense of intemporality’ may vary from an
intellectual nature where for instance an ordinary person may spontaneously save from drowning or defend another or others at risk to themselves, etc., implying that individuals ‘solipsistic or secondnaturized philosophies’ with respect to the acuteness of social-stake-contention-or-confliction is more critical in determining their dispositions to preserve-intemporality or fail-intemporality/temporality); thus explaining a same notional and contiguous conceptualisation (rather as a variation of degree and not different notions) construed as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and equally explaining why institutionalisation/intemporalisation is possible, as the framework/social-construct wherein social-stake-contention-or-confliction arise can be construed/designed to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-halving/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity) towards and encourage the intemporal-disposition to preserve-intemporality over failing-intemporality/temporal-dispositions of postlogism-slantedness (postlogism-as-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–instigation-at-a-given-registry-worldview/dimension, that is instigative to the turning of the prospective ‘temporal defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance into registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>), and its subsequent conjugation with ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Critically, this accounts for how individuals arrive at their various teleologies/finalities of the intemporal-
disposition as ‘logically sound acts’ or temporal-dispositions as ‘logically unsound acts’ or
defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-
as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-
thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (in the latter case, which are more or less
incidental and salvable as just contingent). Further in a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of
subontologisation’ induced when such defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-
implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-
accordance conjugate to (psychopath or other character) instigated postlogism as perverted-
outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness (a mental-disposition that
from its instigation ‘gives-up on ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity’ not only in
terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of failing/not-upholding–<as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in
human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,–eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication but is not even
predisposed/inclined to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought to meaningfulness but
rather relating to meaning as a hollow-form which determines how others act, so-long-as/to-
the-limit-that the postlogic character can remain as of the socially-functional-and-accordant
in so doing) inducing in turn temporal-dispositions conjugated-postlogic mental-dispositions
(whether unconsciously or consciously, when aligning in-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation to the postlogic compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
threshold-of-shallow-supererogation) conjugating with ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. In many ways issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> are rather with respect to ‘a-country-of-the-blind-scenario’, so to speak; wherein perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> necessarily imply a dialectical situation between two ontological-references with the one being prior/transcended/superseded and the other prospective/transcending/superseding. It is important to grasp that going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process where this is skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) by deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal (intemporalisation) is actually an artifice (artificial conceptualisation) that is habituated for its relative positive-opportunism with regards to the cross-section of human interest in the middle to long run construed as of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics). However, no institutionalisation construct, going by its implied transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity alienating ‘present as prior/transcended/superseded ontological-reference conceptualisation’ for ‘future as prospective/transcending/superseding ontological-reference conceptualisation’, has ever been acquiesced to socially without resistance even in instance induced by diffusion involving the power dominance of one cultural entity over another, with such resistance being at least in the short-term of a covert nature and of a <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag nature as well. Resistance is even stronger where transcendental institutionalisation is implied within a same cultural entity. Thus it might just be the case that the more or less itinerating clanic or tribal groups of early humans were the perfect model for a sort of complementary diffusion of transcendentalism that quickly enabled a hominid to achieve the core assets for its perpetuation of civilisation as complex meaningfulness enabled by language and culture. Insightfully as well the possibility of positivism/rational-realism arising in Western Europe was greater by this same mechanism of complementary diffusion of transcendentalism given the mutually feeding diffusional dynamics across the constitutive feudal entities of Medieval Europe sharing a common referent Judaeo-Christian worldview of a ‘relatively weak dogmatism’; and this can be contrasted during or just before the same period with the hegemonic or near-hegemonic governance of China and of the Islamic world ultimately stifling their nascent positivistic inclinations involving the stifling of a potential Chinese age of voyage and trading as it turned inward or the stifling of Islamic learning and science respectively. Equally, anthropological examination of various cultural groups shows that human progress is not a given and that if the appropriate conditions are not satisfied there is nothing that says a given society will fulfil its potential for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, and this author thinks that applies to us as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview as we are not beyond ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality by mere vague egotistic/self-referential complex but rather as of a lucid contemplation and subjection to insight about prospective ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality axiomatic-construal, in much the same way positivism institutionalisation transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity came about. The bigger point here is that while within ‘institutionalised constructs’, there is more or less summative perception of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-
contention-or-confliction on the basis of common/same/shared registry-worldview reference-of-thought priorly institutionalised by prospective-institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity, however, at uninstitutionalised-threshold, we should be expecting nothing less than the ‘normal’ human-subpotency~aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions~existentialism-form-factor, and so at the threshold between recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation, universalisation and ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively procrypticism and deprocrypticism. The implication is that naturally all prospective institutionalisations by their implied transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity are ‘antagonistic by inducing contrariety in the temporal sense’ even though we’ll appreciate that their intemporal valor is inestimable (at least when we are looking retrospectively in appreciating that a positivistic outlook should supersede a non-positivism/medievalism outlook, and in the case where we are not uninhibited/decomplexified to equally construe that prospectively as a deprocrypticism outlook should supersede a procrypticism outlook). This insight equally highlights that institutionalisation/intemporalisation is implied with regards to human-subpotency~aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions~existentialism-form-factor, and is critical for would-be emancipation-inducing intemporal individuations in grasping the whys and hows of social reaction to transcendental conceptualisation going by human-subpotency~aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions~existentialism-form-factor, how temporal ‘resistance’ is superseded, the mechanism of percolation-channelling and how transcendental ideas are taken up over time
and induce untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism in the short run and secondnaturing in the middle to long run construed as of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics). The fact is that while the social-construct is by and large a conceptualisation that determines individuals possibilities, the reality is equally that the social-construct does has ‘powerful channels’ that enable individuals to drastically redefined what is the social. The individual, it is often ignored, is an abstract-atomic-social-construct, as in the individual is priorly implied in the social, beyond just in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of social aggregation in implying a meaningfulness and value-reference construct relationship to the abstract summative social. Such insight on the nature of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity will certainly highlight why the Encyclopédistes coordinated by Diderot played a relevant role in inducing a domino effect contributing in transforming medieval European societies mindsets into a positive worldview by cynically putting together all the positive knowledge they could muster and disseminating it throughout Europe, and so over the forces of obscurity of the days who understood the implications of such a venture. The fact here as well as with all issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (by the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-'threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus-'in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, say of a medieval mindset/reference-of-
thought with respect to a prospective positivistic mindset, as implied by ontological-
normalcy), is that there was obviously no mutually common/same reference-of-thought between the Encyclopédistes as positivists and many in the medieval establishment as non-positivists for any mutually intelligible logical exercise. But rather it was a case of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of positivistic meaningfulness over non-positivism/medievalism ontologically imposed the positivistic reference-of-thought, as the former elicits untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the latter as well as its relative positive-opportunism from its relative ontological effectiveeness such that it ends up being secondnatured further by percolation-channelling. Insightfully, in an intellectual conceptualisation exercise which, though conceptually contiguous, and while not necessarily implying similar dramatisation, in addition to its relatively diffuse implications in the sense of the contention being rather about human-mentation-capacity-furtherance and the fact that as a latter institutionalisation it is apparently less dramatic, at least as of its apparent negative social consequence given it is so focussed on human individuations as atomic-level point-of-departure of transformation but rather finding its radicalness more in the boldly implied décomplexing/uninhibitedness (suprastructuring/metaphysics-of-absence) emancipation of the positive/procryptic human, and as with all other institutionalisations, it is thus not an issue that deprocrypticism meets in the short-term and temporary with ‘resistance’ or rather criticism (possibly by and large more in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of intellectual agreement/disagreement, as obviously every notion seriously contemplated about is); such that focus should be relatively more about construing veracity/ontological-pertinence and percolation-channelling thereof, as an objectively engaged intellectual/emancipatory exercise.

- As the above circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability (of temporal-dispositions acting-recurrently-in-temporal-preservation …) is the basis for the registry-
prospective institutionalisation to resolve the given relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy, and transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally this further explains ontological-normalcy as being about representing successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as of ‘diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence’ so that the perspective is one of ‘abnormalcy’, such that the mindset/reference-of-thought in no institutionalisation including ours/positivistic should be ‘so-complexed’ as to wrongly imply a perspective of ‘its ontological-normalcy’ to be then defining itself as prospectively non-transcendable/unsupersedeable at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, thus being falsely ‘dialectically-undementable/dialectically-unprimitivable and dialectically-un-out-of-phaseable’ while intuitively it appreciates that prior registry-worldviews had been thus-construed in succession to deliver its own; thus speaking of an ‘ontological-bad-faith’ for the prospective possibilities of the future.

- As it is important to grasp that the postlogic/psychopathic characters instigation of conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration in the other temporal-dispositions doesn’t mean postlogism characters are the causation of the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’ that induces the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive registry-worldview as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Rather, from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight, this points to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalisingly,—as-to-existence— as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) at that registry-worldview/dimension-level or registry-worldview/dimension as the threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation— preconverging/dementing—apriorising—psychologism (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation), which is ‘in wait’ to be revealed by the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s corresponding postlogism perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation> instigation at that registry-worldview/dimension-level or registry-worldview/dimension. For instance, the corresponding postlogism as perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation> instigation in non-positivism/medievalism instigating say of notions of sorcery and accusations of the type while effective in inducing perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation> in a non-positivism/medievalism setup will not be effective in a positivistic social-setup, as the non-positivism/medievalism condition of being superstitious and non-empirical is by itself a condition ‘in wait’ for accusations and notions of sorcery to arise and be endemised/enculturated. Likewise, from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight, with regards to our positivistic registry-worldview reflected/perspectivated as being dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as procrypticism at its human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) registry-worldview/dimension-level as the threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising—psychologism, our
meaningfulness as ‘intrinsic-attribution’ leading to social-and-temporal-trading, and so whether consciously-or-unconsciously/wittingly-or-unwittingly’, and thus inducing notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema> speaking of epistemic-decadence (postlogism). Insightfully again, going by the first example, it might (wrongly) be argued, by human ‘temporal extricatory paradigm’, that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-positivism/medievalism setup should imply that any such accused should equally ‘make-up’ accusations in their own defence to neutralise and possibly defend their own interests. But such a stance is a temporal extricatory paradigm that faces human temporality/shortness with human temporality. Intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm will garner the insight that humanity-at-large at all such non-positivism/medievalism setups is rather in need (as the resolution) of a renewed institutionalisation prospectively as the positivistic registry-worldview based on rational-empiricism as the paradigm for superseding the vices-and-impediments that the enculturation/endemisation of the notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery speak of inherently, together with the social-structural implications and derivations arising, with regards to the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview. The vocation of the intemporal-disposition (intemporality/ontological-construct/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) is not-to-come-to-and-construe meaningfulness-and-teleology at a same pedestal as a temporal-dispositions extricatory paradigms, and this invariably means that its on-occasion/incidental insight about temporal-dispositions defects (temporality) is ‘necessarily escalated ontologically at a humanity-at-large scale of <amplituding-formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’. This construal is what enables ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-
preservation) or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and its <amplitudforming>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity on human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imibued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor across all the
registry-worldviews whether retrospective, present or prospective. In other words, inherent
human ontologising-deficiency as implied by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence due to
human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening(<amplitudforming>epistem-
totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) is the inherent reason why humankind has to ‘make-up-for’ (by projection as
‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction) its ontologising-deficiency
by renewing its reference-of-thought/implied-registry-worldview in successions as
transcendences involving a ‘placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology dialecticism’ (‘de-mention-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentioning-de-mentation—stranding-of-attributive-dialectics)
of reference-of-thought’) that involves
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ which is dialectically-in-phase over
prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism which is dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive. With the
various registry-worldview/dimensions postlogisms/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-
existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-
veridical-logical-duennessal-operation perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>s
(whether instigating from physiological or enculturated basis) being incidental phenomena
worldview-perversion is established together with the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of that perversion, thus facilitating the referencing/registering/decisioning or stranding of the implied dialecticism in the social-psyche/collective-consciousness of what is effectively ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ and what is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, with the latter being alienated in the operation of meaningfulness as the new institutionalisation is established. This straightforwardness, directness and definitiveness is fundamentally undermined by the iterability/iteration nature (of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-ontological-reference) induced by the postlogic hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> distorting effect including psychopathic which renders establishing social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> or registry-worldview-perversion together with the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> with respect to other temporal-dispositions rather obscure, and further so as conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions equally assume a distortional purposefulness with respect to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness of their own. Postlogically perverted/distorted induced iterability with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-ontological-reference (as denaturing the apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) takes the form of ‘denaturing postlogic-
backtracking-<iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'>-with-
‘succesive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-
shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over 
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ as non-veridical and 
dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase, absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic and 
extrinsic-attribute with respect to successive sets of interlocutors, and as conjugated-
postlogism mental-dispositions equally assume a purposefulness of their own (that must be 
factored-in when analysing psychopathic/postlogic and social-psychopathic situations). This 
in turn induces ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-
thought’ as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration-of-temporal-
dispositions in a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontology’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-
drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-
enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect). Thus strengthening the temporality/shortness 
preservation dispositions of temporal-dispositions as the mere dynamism of the conjugating 
state of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-
chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-
temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s takes a turn into registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
existential–defect> when these become temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-
preservation as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-
transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology in a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of

2442
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) with respect to the mental-dispositions of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought wherein obviously the latter’s more ontological-completeness construes that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, however serene the mental states of persons in such medieval setup, are without any doubt ridiculous from its positivistic perspective as there is no explanation for them but for the fact that having arrived at its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of—

nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,—or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) the human mindset/reference-of-thought (medieval in this instance) with respect to social-and-confliction-stake is just as well, whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>-manifestation

intradimensionally, inclined to engaged in what is in reality preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism (as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a medieval setup). Thus

at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold or relative-ontological-
incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’-threshold (as-
it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,—or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation), its disposition for temporal-preservation-
as-pseudointemporality-preservation (whether instigated postlogically or arising from
enculturated-postlogism) is bound to reflect the corresponding registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism that speaks
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preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, –or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-
and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought>–manifestation intradimensionally); and equally so, as the successive
relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’-threshold will reflect as of
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism the ‘recurrent-utter-institutionalised
mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to base-institutionalised mental-dispositions’ as
from the base-institutionalised perspective, likewise the ‘ununiversalised mindset/reference-
of-thought with respect to universalised mental-dispositions’ as from the universalised
perspective, the ‘non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to
positivistic mental-dispositions’ as from the positivistic perspective, and prospectively so, the
‘procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to deprocrypticism mental-
dispositions’ as from the deprocrypticism perspective. (This preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism reflection of the other lower registry-worldviews/dimensions
mental-devising-representation naturally occurs to us but not when our positivism–
procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is so-construed as of preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to prospective deprocrypticism; and so as
from the overall insight of a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or
psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ grounded at the
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, there is an eliciting of hollow-constituting–
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of
its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by temporal-
dispositions (as temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation instigated by
postlogism and enculturated-postlogism) manifested in various social constructions of
meaningfulness such that these are in effect derived–‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ and whose ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness is defective (as intradimensional existential-decontextualised-transposition),
requiring prospective transcending/superseding institutionalisation by ontological-
reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction/(engaged)-destruktion, with temporal-
dispositions further in hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the latter transcending/superseding
institutionalisation at its point of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-perversion-
of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, inducing new derived–‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ social constructions of meaningfulness,
and the cycle carries on this way till the attainment of ontological-normalcy (deprocrypticism) as ontological-completeness brings an end to derived-’threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ social constructions of meaningfulness that are veridically-unreal. These derived-’threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ social constructions of meaningfulness are in effect reflecting the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-thresholds requiring corresponding prospective institutionalisations/intemporalisations (whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existent-unthought>-manifestation intradimensionally); and it is important to grasp that uninstitutionalised-thresholds (however nefarious the consequences from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence appreciation) are as critical and defining in their existentialism/full-depth-of-existent-implications just as institutionalisations, to fully appreciate the very nature of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity as the most important thing/purposefulness of humanity-at-large. But then, our human intemporal-disposition responsible for the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process is equally inclined to focus-the-mind-more-thoroughly when dealing with phenomena that undermine ontological-veridicality and so specifically with the undermining of soundness of reference-of-thought, and so across the various institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. It is more likely that in this regard, more likely than not perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomena as postlogic effect including psychopathic may actually have been a boost for more rapid human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation as our intemporal-
disposition going by its own intemporal preservational individuation disposition (in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) is rather prone to apprehend and deal with perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> issue at the humanity-at-large scale for the need of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation as secondnaturing given that with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(≪amplituding/formative≫epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating
withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) it is naïve to operate on the basis of a ‘human transformation on the wrong dependence of our intemporal-disposition as firstnatureness’, thus the reason why we institutionalise as secondnaturing taking cognisance of the reality of our temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions. Just as implied elsewhere in this paper, the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—de-mentativity) (from shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of capacity as shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity, is the trascendental construct of human virtue, and so as a contiguity notion, and not of abstract analogy. This notion of contiguity is what explains the capacity for humankind to accumulate/recomposure/reorder its institutionalisation/intemporalisation capacity. This can be explained as follows. Considering the instance where for instance the target of accusations of sorcery was to equally adopt a temporal stance by making a vague accusation of sorcery as well. Seemingly, such a temporal approach will more or less be more effective in preemting the ‘incidental resolution of temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’ (with respect to themselves in their specific locale) associated with the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic,
logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/aliibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect) rather as an extricatory/temporal paradigm in serving their purpose of a temporal mortal. In so doing incidentally it doesn’t actually preempt but fails the ‘universal resolution of temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’ (at humanity-at-large scale) as it advances an argument that still enculturates/endemises the upkeep of notions of superstition and sorcery. This approach of temporal-dispositions of dealing with temporality/shortness with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>s in all the registry-worldviews (institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure) is what endemises/enculturates the dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive. A truly intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm warrants a transcendental posture of universal-projection/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that overlooks resolving temporality/shortness with temporality/shortness and seeks to grasp the universal implications of all such temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation inclinations of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> at the humanity-at-large level of all locales and situations, and only then in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that all such incidentals of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation endemisation/enculturation are construed and resolved by deferential-formalisation-transference of the intemparal-disposition approach as institutionalisation/intemparalisation. It is only such an intemporal approach that
suprastructurally (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-\(<\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}\>-\text{of-temporal-dispositions}\)) allows for the requisite base-institutionalising of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation of ununiversalisation, positivising/rational-empiricism of non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively deprocrypticising/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The fact has always been that throughout the various institutionalisations this human intemporal-disposition individuation disposition has always been an indispensable re-originary-as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-\(<\text{imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-’projective-insights’/’epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notchational–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation}\) (as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction and the reason for its conceptualisations to be construed as institutionalisation-as-virtue even though going by temporal-dispositions inclinations, ‘such abstract projection basically would hardly make sense’. The fact is that this intemporal inclination, while often not downright articulated for what it is but rather implied, is actually behind all formal constructs with an adoption of a ‘maximalist approach’ in the construal of social phenomenal possibilities. Likewise, the hermeneutic orientation of this paper takes up such a maximalist approach in understanding phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\(<\text{as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation}\>\) and more precisely psychopathy and social psychopathy in the social-construct even though from a simplistic temporal perception it may seem at times overblown (very much like in a core medieval setup a positivistic maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness disposition such as Galileo’s or Darwin’s or Rousseau’s or Descartes’s assertions will seem overblown to the ‘core non-
positivism/medievalism mindset’ going by its customary perception), since it doesn’t accommodate temporal/incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ ways of thinking and instead strives for a universal implications depth-of-thought. Basically, on the same token the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of formal constructs is all about construing human transcendental potential as a ‘virtue tipping exercise’ wherein for instance the seemingly overblown representation of humans as susceptible to malfeasance/offence by the construct of the Law doesn’t necessarily imply that everything about humans is how they are likely to commit malfeasance/offence but rather that the transcendental potential of the construct of Law caters for and is a virtue tipping exercise for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness the possibility of limited committing of malfeasance/offence, just as likewise the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct of medicine of humans as likely to be diseased doesn’t necessarily mean that everything about humans is how they will get an ailment but is a human transcendental potential as a virtue tipping exercise for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness the possibility of human health. The reason for this deferential-formalisation-transference disposition is simple, as formal constructs ‘reason’ on the basis of intemporality/abject-ontological-veridicality in the quest for reifying abstract universal projection very much unlike everyday informal conceptualisations that are rather driven by vague impressions and good-naturedness and tend to construe meaningfulness by reflex without factoring in relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,−‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ of ordinary day to day thinking (common sense), and tend to be unsure, poorly methodical, poorly universalising, poorly insightful, and with elevated subjectivity (not only with regards to facts but with the purported reference-of-thought as well as the apriorising–reference-of-thought-
elements/apriorising–registry-elements which are implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), and so beforehand/as-of-a-priori even without the instigating effect of any perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> like postlogism/psychopathy; such that such temporal/incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ reasoning is best left for inconsequential and trite matters of day to day living, as validated by the processes and procedures of our formal institutions however approximate in their success given the pervasiveness of the extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} even in formal setups, with its susceptibility to undermine or overlook ‘formal effectiveness’ (which can sometimes be naively construed as weakness of formalism rather than insufficiently effective formalism or extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} disruption of formal effectiveness). Abstractly maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness carries an intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and universal coherence that incremental meaningfulness doesn’t, and thus maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is actually the drive for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposition, with human ontological development from ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness} to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative conflation} reconstrual/reconceptualisation’ and hence it is ontologically-contiguous as a virtue construct that is self-sustaining. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as such is the mental-disposition to
abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence elicits, and in lieu it is rather of a
temporality/shortness reflex mental-disposition such that correspondingly developed
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is related to in virtuality-or-
ontologically-flawed-construal (being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-
shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference) terms, whether unconsciously (ignorance),
expeditently (affordability) or consciously. Thus as mental-disposition, incrementalism-in-
relative-ontological-incompleteness across all registry-worldviews involves teleological-
decadence<-in-dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflicatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation> at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, speaking fundamentally of the
reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor and underlining the ‘de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—strandng-or-
attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought threshold’ with respect to reference-of-thought
mental representations between intemporality/longness as candored-supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and temporality/shortness as decandored-
subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. Incrementalism-in-relative-
ontological-incompleteness wrongly construes meaningfulness (both ontology and virtue
perspectives) as rather a process of additionality over the prior reference-of-thought whereas
in reality (from the insight that our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology develops from shallow limited-
mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity–(as
of relative conflation) by way of the ‘de-mention-(supererogatory–ontological–de-
mentation-or-dialectical–de-mention—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-
thought’) meaningfulness-and-teleology develops rather as a maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness process of recomposuring towards a deeper
superseding–oneness-of-ontology, with recomposuring reflecting that human progress is
rather an ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (as
secondnaturing/institutional-design defined by skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-
subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) as deferential-
formalisation-transference by the intemporal-disposition/longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology) and critically without the transformation of the reality of
human individuation dispositions as temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology)–to–intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of
human existential-form-factor. Thus the implication is that the ontological-contiguity—of-
the-human-institutionalisation-process succumbs to uninstitutionalised-threshold due to the
dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of human temporality/temporal-dispositions as of shortness-
of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in inducing uninstitutionalised-threshold which
can only further be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness recomposre as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity. Basically, incrementalism-in-relative-
ontological-incompleteness relation to meaningfulness as ‘a comprehensive additionality
exercise’ thus fails to account for human temporality/temporal-dispositions as ‘not
transformed’ and will tend at uninstitutionalised-threshold towards the perversion/derived-
perversion of the institutionalisation reference-of-thought or reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (whether unconsciously, expediently or consciously), involving flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought. This insight equally explains the nature of human progress as the natural mental-reflex is to think that human progress occurs incrementally as an exercise of additionality to the prior reference-of-thought and institutionalisation, which is wrong as human progress is all about our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology grasp of the same intrinsic-reality-as-ontological-veridicality in construing meaningfulness-and-teleology/teleological-differentiation involving rather a ‘continuous maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness exercise’ of the same intrinsic-reality-as-ontological-veridicality but with deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) arising from the overall and specific accumulated human experiential possibilities of being on earth. Thus human progress as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is a change of human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) enabled by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, and it not about being incremental/additional but is rather a ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness emerging-through (by maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation) of prospective-institutionalisation over the old/uninstitutionalised-threshold due to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’), as base-institutionalisation is not an addition/increment over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation but
a ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness emerging-through’,
just as is universalisation over ununiversalisation, positivism over non-
positivism/medievalism, and prospectively deprocrypticism over procrypticism; as a
maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness process in the
recomposuring accrual of human ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative
constitutedness) towards deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’
wherein the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is rather
construed as of ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality’ providing existential-context priorly-and-over
elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-
outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity due to the fact that when not so existentially-
contextualised our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) in an elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity exercise is bound to induce ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-
unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-
construal (which is rather ‘a prior threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-
to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-
of-thought’ in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding), in wrong grasp
of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
Insightfully with respect to the notion of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, the law typically operates on the basis of anticipating maximally the possibilities of criminal acts with the anticipation of the maximal possibilities of victimisation from such acts (when it regulates weapons ownership, for example) in effectively construing optimal prevention of criminality in society as a structural/paradigmatic construct that more vitally shapes human action and its ‘effective enforcement’ is actually a minor portion of the structural/paradigmatic construct of law over lawlessness; as it carries an inherent intemporal/longness that is further summonable in improving the law with human ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) reconstrual/reconceptualisation’. Like all formal constructs it wouldn’t rely on incremental-dispositions or temporal-accommodation of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) that may lead to temporal mobbish dispositions, the fundamental point being that that element of ‘abstraction-of-thought/principled-thought’ is decisive as with all knowledge constructs. Rather the limit of such intemporal thinking is not the <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) but operates and is based in effect on intemporal projection-of-thought in an intersolipsistic relation to intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality on the validity of the intercession of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework implied predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and by extension the intercession of formal/conventioning rules as institutionalisation arising in validation of the former, and their
corresponding percolation-channelling in deferential-formalisation-transference. The notion of intersolipsism is actually the notional validation of the solipsistic argument as it frames the question in the right manner, that is, inversely (contrary to the traditional philosophical framing of the solipsism question, which by so doing naively and wrongly implies that ‘individuals precede and/or are in supposedly in existence in existence’ upon an affirmative solipsistic response, rather than the idea of becoming solipsistically in existence which subsumes their individuality and projecting of the same about others in an intersolipsistic recognition arising from individuals’ own solipsistic insights of predication-and-projection as so-reflected as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, since it priorly implies existential emanance-or-becoming validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework about a superseding–oneness-of-ontology as the intercessory basis for mutual-solipsism/intersolipsism. This author equally conceptualise of a difference between solipsism and subjectivity in that solipsism is rather purely ontological as it implies notionally the individual’s perspective in existential becoming as of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness (however effective-as-solipsistically-intemporal or ineffective-as-solipsistically-temporal such perspectival performance), whereas subjectivity refers to our animate-existential-referencing-as-subjectification which is not necessarily oriented to the ontological appropriateness/veridicality of that reference but rather is a notional construal of the reality of ‘human condition of perceived ontological appropriateness/veridicality’ irrespective of whether it can be said of such perception as being
objectively right or wrong going by inherent ontological-veridicality. So solipsism speaks of
the human projection in notionally construing ontological veridicality/appropriateness
notwithstanding the perspectival effectiveness or ineffectiveness of such a construal as of
solipsistic-temporality to solipsistic-intemporality and as such solipsism as of solipsistic-
intemporality is the drive behind ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality. Whereas subjectivity speaks notionally of a human condition orientation with respect
to perceived ontological veridicality/appropriateness no matter whether right or wrong. This
possibility of distinguishing an inherently ontological foundation of existential meaning
different from an ontological as human epistemic-conception reflexivity of perceived
existential meaning is central to a deprocrypticism mindset in enabling the most elaborate
transcendentally-enabling-level—of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism
construal since necessarily intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is inherently
tautologuous, and ‘human capacity to grasp the possibilities of referential relations to
inherent existential tautology as of human animate-existential-referencing/subjectification’ in
conjunction with ‘human construal of the inherent existential tautology’ is exactly the
definition of notional knowledge. Supposed for instance a child comes to learn the rules of
addition for all types of number additions such that the child understands the addition
principle, but then there is a deliberate ploy by the teacher and other ‘supposed learners’ all
along to constantly calculate 2+2 as equals to 5. Sooner or later the child’s solipsistic sense of
meaning (as becoming into existence alone in an intersolipsistic relationship with others
interceded with ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inducing projective-insights
and predicative-insights) will become a self-made revolutionary and question the teacher
indicating the correct answer to 2+2 as being 4; depending equally on its notional sense of intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology relative to temporality/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the child’s underlying ‘conception of the ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure’, further explaining in the bigger picture why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness pursuits, apparently unnecessary from a temporal interest point of view, are intemporal-solipsistically undertaken. Insightfully despite the constant ‘social affirming’ that the correct answer is 5, unlike it might be erroneously be thought, the child’s insistence now that the answer is 4 is ‘not truly’ out of the ordinary as with respect to its construal of all other meaning including other additions, the child’s knowledge and learning has always been about confirming any such meaning by its notional sense-of-solipsism as of superseding–oneness-of-ontology; but this particular solution for the addition rather becomes outlying for the child because despite the ‘social affirming’ of 2+2 as being 5, such a confirmation by a notional intemporal sense-of-solipsism as of superseding–oneness-of-ontology is not forthcoming, and in lieu rather gets the solipsistic confirmation as 2+2=4! Thus this points out that our interrelationship to meaningfulness is most authentically and fundamentally by pointing out a notional intemporal ‘sense of solipsism’ in each of us to access intrinsic meaning. Such ‘intersolipsistic-pointing exercise’ is only possible because of: our common underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of
derivation ‘intuitively-assigns projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ as of the ‘coherence/contiguity of the actual insight-giving relevant-and-implied knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional–referential-notion/articulation (enabled obviously by language as well as any human meaning relaying medium like signs, whether active or passive or implied or direct)’. By extension, our consciousness-awareness-teleology as of a solipsistic epistemic/notional–construct is equally the result of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification as of our existential underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns-and-accrues projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’, and existentially so as of our ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. So there is no medium for intersolipsism but for the fact of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness accruing to each individual, implying our limited-mentation-capacity enables us at any given phase of our existence to mutually be able to ‘solipsistically reference a common sense of inherent existential-reality’, and so increasingly as of our common species, common registry-worldviews, common communities, common institutions and common personhoods and socialhood; and so, however ontologically-veridical our meaningfulness-
and-teleology within institutionalised-threshold or as of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism at uninstitutionalised-threshold. This will equally explain why in the rare cases reported in the media of infants abandoned and adopted by animals like dogs and monkeys, such infants often tend to adopt behaviours of the animals as of ‘mutual solipsism or intersolipsism of reference to underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), as the capacity for the infant to act and behave like a human effectively requires its personality development in a mutual solipsism or intersolipsism of underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) with other humans from whence the existential specificity/instantiation basis as of the family, neighbourhood, local institutions, sociocultural context and increasingly in a globalised world social trends of all sorts whether fashion, cultural, educational, intellectual, political, environmental, social media, etc. are now critical determinants of its subjective and
intersubjective meaningfulness-and-teleology. Supposed again in a non-positivism social-
setup a case of accusation-of-sorcery was to be brought up, wherein as of the relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought implied beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of the registry-
worldview/dimension, it is a generalised certainty that sorcery and sorcerers/sorceresses do
exist (as of the non-positivism social-setup own threshold-of—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism at their non-positivism
uninstitutionalised-threshold). This conception speaks of that registry-worldview/dimension
subjectivity and intersubjectivity as of ‘a <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-
{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>} human condition of construal of intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality as knowledge’ which is the ‘indubitable reality’ as far as they
are concerned. Such a subjectivity and intersubjectivity conceptualisation/construal can be
implied as well as of ‘<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-
thought-<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality supposedly as
knowledge’ across all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions (including the
subjectivity and intersubjectivity in our positivism–procrypticism) with respect to their
respectively relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought implied
uninstitutionalised-threshold. However, without a solipsistic notion of construal of intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality as of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and so
beyond subjectivity and intersubjectivity, arising as of purely ‘solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic
insights in referencing underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) episodic causality as to projective-totalitative–implications, for explicating ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) as a potential capacity in all individuals, then the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will tend to actually be defined whether beyond the consciousness-awareness teleology (in existential-extrication as of existential-unthought) as implied by subjectivity and intersubjectivity as a ‘construct of human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as supposedly knowledge’, with the consequence that humankind construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is naively-and-wrongly interpreted as superseding ‘inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ at registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-threshold (which is obviously fallacious, as it is ‘the possibility of humankind being subjected to the meaningfulness-and-teleological implications of further solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic elucidations in referencing underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework episodic causality as to projective-totalitative–implications, for explicating ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that allows for the requisite pivoting/decentering as of
psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure enabling human emancipation and progress, and not the other way round). The further implication is that by a retrospective and prospective analysis the possibility of human transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity, usually initiated as a re-originary-as-unenframed/unbeholding/oulier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflicatedness’-of-notional-deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic activity in referencing of underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), will largely be jeopardised since the ‘putting-into-question’ as a solipsistic exercise with the possibility of getting at the very core of what is ‘further divulge-able’ by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, is largely compromised by a subjectivity and intersubjectivity <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) mental-disposition. This distinction between subjectivity and intersubjectivity as referencing human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality from solipsism and intersolipsism as referencing human effective/ineffective construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, is actually important because (while less critical to elucidate this in the natural sciences given the immediacy of constraint from intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—dementativity hence implicit), the implications for its comprehensive and conscious understanding in the social world (for conceptualising knowledge while superseding human temporality/shortness as ignorances, so-construed as ‘knowledge-notionalisation’) is decisive as it requires both an understanding of ‘the human condition in its construal/relation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ and ‘understanding of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’; and so, as a prerequisite for the organic-knowledge necessary for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview institutionalisation. For instance, the concepts of constitutedness, first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and conflatedness of temporal-to-intemporal individuations as of reference-of-thought—prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation to threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism so-articulated previously as of ‘notional—conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness perspectivation of ontologically-veridical dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ in enabling a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation insight, can only be properly construed as of such a disambiguation in conceptualising not only inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but equally the human temporal-to-intemporal conditions/states of perception/relation with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This is fundamentally so because ‘inherent existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is already what it is as given whether humankind knows about it or not’ but rather the point of human knowledge is an emancipatory exercise involving the need to decenter/pivot and
supersede our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification as of the
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag human condition to derive knowledge-and-virtue, and so as human-subpotency/'subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness). Solipsism as such is truly the foundational notion of all phenomenological conceptualisations and derivation of value and meaningfulness as intersolipsistic teleological constructs from a transversal-and/or-common perceived existential-reference/existential-tautologisation and derived-representations of existential-reference/existential-tautologisation. It is what allows for the possibility of human construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity to supersede social-aggregation-enabling as a knowledge and virtue construct. The implication being that there is a contiguity in solipsistic insight as simplistically elucidative in the relatively more simpler experimental framework of natural phenomenon studied by the natural sciences (which practice is categorisation-driven, more like elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity but then with a high risk of inducing virtualities thus explaining the continually reshaping/re-categorisation/re-optimising of experimental content when the virtualities come to be seen as unreal or deficient or suboptimal, and so more critically with the practitioner’s experience tend to be driven heuristically actually as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness or conflatedness) but such solipsistic insight extends to the more convoluted social phenomenon studied by the social sciences, as well as the
phenomenal convoluted equally inherent in scientific domains like quantum-mechanics, as herein contemplated should ideally be understood as of referentialism implied ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective, more like maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness from the most profound of conceptualisation which is intemporality/longness or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, as of inherent superseding–oneness-of-ontology, and so on the basis of the absolute a priori, ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality, construed as of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(＜amplituding/formative＞epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) in the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology construal’, in the staggered elucidation of less and less profound but critical conceptualisations as undertaken in this hermeneutic design. Furthermore, solipsism will equally explain why human meaningfulness-and-teleology is developed rather by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of the same superseding–oneness-of-ontology as of our deepening limited-mentation-capacity (whereby successive generations take a shot at superseding–oneness-of-ontology like Ancient Civilisations like Greece establishing that matter is made up of water, fire, air, earth and ether critically establishing the psyche of matter as composed of basic elements and successive recomposurings right up to our modern day quantum-mechanics recomposuring as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing), rather than it erroneously being construed as an incremental exercise; as it is only incremental in the literal sense but in
the ‘operant sense’ it is an exercise of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing overall reconstruing/reconceptualising rather than just incrementing. This insight is important for critical thought and analysis as oftentimes it is naively assumed that prospective knowledge is to be simply obtained by ‘additioning’ or ‘cumulating’ to prior works rather than the more pertinent insight of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought
as of a same superseding~oneness-of-ontology that is existence. On the same token, this tautological insight about the precedingness of existence can be extended to the notion of nothingness with nothingness rather existing in existence as there is no nothingness or for that matter anything out of existence which is ‘conceptually’ emanation-as-to-the-all-defining-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-intercession, with nothingness rather the ‘conceptual devising of the metaphysics-of-absence of existence’ with existence conceptually construed in metaphysics-of-presence; but then with existence being its very own metaphysics-of-presence, the mutual equivalence of both metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics-of-absence implying that nothingness is likewise tautologically the emanation-as-to-the-all-defining-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-intercession of existence. Basically a nothingness conceptualisation is necessarily and tautologically an existential conceptualisation as ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ which is necessarily ‘the absolute a priori’ (as ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-
context as to existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality construed as of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening

\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\text{-epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-

sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\) in the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology construal’) of superseding—oneness-of-ontology/oneness-of-meaningfulness and just as well the notion of nothingness can’t ‘conceptually’ exist out of the notion of meaningfulness which references existence and all that is in existence as ontological. Actually nothingness is rather a ‘constructive tautological device’ as is actually the case with all human knowledge (mental-devising-representation of teleological reorientation), as it doesn’t speak of any inherent change in intrinsic-reality but rather of change of human

\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\text{-epistemic-

totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-

ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–

psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology),

just as the many conceptualisation herein like the registry-worldviews/dimensions and ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process are actually speaking of human rescheduling of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology in grasping a superseding—oneness-of-ontology/intrinsic-reality that has been so all the time; and so critically talk of transcending from shallow to deeper superseding—oneness-of-ontology is no more than about human

\(<\text{amplituding/formative}\text{-epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-

thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-

prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-
within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/>formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness already given as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence oneness) along the same lines with the notion of de-mentionation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentionation-or-dialectical–de-mentionation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) in compensation of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) reconstrual/reconceptualisation’. That is, such ‘conceptual devices’ are reformulations arising from ‘grander/transcendental insights’ about the same question but implying a radical transformation of ontological/meaningful conceptualisation of the human mind and human teleology. The idea is that ‘intrinsic-reality/ontology is not changed’ but rather it is ‘human <amplituding/>formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing~psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that is changed’. Technically, the implication is that existence/being cannot be thought outside of human thought/limited-mentation-capacity); as a conclusion driven by the insight that human thought/limited-mentation-capacity in construing existence/being implies human meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework or contingent. However the disavowal rather than renewal/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness of human thought/limited-mentation-capacity will imply its dissolving into a ‘nihilism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as the alternate logical outcome, but then with this latter
construal/conceptualisation being rather ‘an unequal measure alternative’ since it has the
drawback of ‘putting an end to contemplation itself’, of ‘misunderstanding that contemplation
is a human growth activity and not an absolutely achieved activity’, besides abandoning the
notion of human existentialism/thrownness/facticity behind human strife itself thus
contradictorily undermining again the assumption of such an alternate logical outcome as
itself a ‘contemplated strife’ construed as arising only by the implication of such
existentialism/thrownness/facticity, and further failing to factor in that deepening human
thought/limited-mentation-capacity increasingly narrows the framework of human existential
contingency/ontological-prmemovers-totalitative-framework ‘enabling human existential
development as less and less a question of fate’ on the basis of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality
instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
confulatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity’. Thus the bigger issue is not existence/being in itself as it
is given, whatever it is that is given. Rather the bigger issue of concern is our human
thought/limited-mentation-capacity in apprehending existence/being as of our ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework/contingent reconstruals/reconceptualisations of
existence/being as of human deepening thought/limited-mentation-capacity so enabled by our
capacity for de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-
mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) behind the successive institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recomposure narrowing the framework of human existential
contingency, with the further possibility of prospective <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as deprocrypticism as of
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Such maximalist intemporal projection reasoning doesn’t entertain banal ordinary logic (that is all too readily incremental, ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporal-preservation) of the sort: she deserves to be rape because she was scantily clad as well dressed women will not be raped; his goods deserve to be stolen as he didn’t look after them properly; those people/group/ethnicity deserved what happened to them because they are so and so; etc. The intemporal reasoning maximalist approach (non-incremental, non-‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and striving for the ontologically-abject) that permeates many a formalised construct does not entertain meaningfulness within the sphere of temporal-and-social-trading and is rather transcendental inherently, as it simply supersedes and skews (‘intemporal-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity) meaningfulness-and-teleology towards the universal/intemporal as of implication. In other words, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is construed as of the apparently least possibly perceived constraining context in order to truly affirm the universalism of rules or any ontological-constructs; as the test of incrimination with respect to the above apparently least possibly perceived constraining specific crimes contexts is effectively what validates the universalism for all other contexts of such specific crimes. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, across all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose, is effectively the projective mechanism as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that reinvents new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as a metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisation in further human limited-mentation-capacity-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm over ordinariness

mental-disposition within the second-natured institutionalisation of such percolation-channelled meaningfulness-and-teleology marked by temporal extricatory paradigm. This latter point is pertinent as invalidating any implied equivalence of reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology between a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition and an ordinariness mental-disposition going by their different existential paradigms; as the ordinariness mental-disposition will emphasise a registry-worldview/dimension in a temporal extricatory paradigm as of human existential physical lifespan as if such arose all by itself whereas a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition emphasises the human existential tale as of the succession of opened-
structures of meaningfulness-and-teleology that account for the possibility of our present and prospectively opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology for enabling future possibilities. Even when it comes to the social integration of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigms, it is often the case that such meaningfulness-and-teleology is bound to the denaturing in many ways as of human ordinariness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) temporal extricatory paradigm concatenation to it, if the requisite percolation-channelling institutionalisation and formalisation constructs are not priorly attended to. Even such that notions like exceptional, genius, prophesying, etc. associated with maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-dispositions, as recognised by the Nieschean imagination are more often than not construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> as ‘derogation to the fact that such maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigms can hypothetically be incumbent of all humans as to their choice of intellectual-and-moral orientation and their specific focus’, and thus paradoxically implying as of the blurriness of the social domain that such so-called exceptional, genius, prophesying, etc. are ‘abnormal’ with the paradox that their implied ontological-veridicality is ‘abnormal’, thus by that same token falsely upholding the ontological-pertinence of ordinariness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as a non-decenterable <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>.

Maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness summoning a depth of ‘ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality enables humankind to supersede the circularity of intradimensional hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (which temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation actually speaks of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, thus ‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, and defines successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure uninstitutionalised-threshold explaining why institutionalisation becomes stuck at that level until the corresponding threshold is superseded for a prospective/transcending/superseding institutionalisation) for prospective transcendental possibilities. On the basis of such hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> circularity, one may perfectly argue that any of the institutionalisations are just as good so long as people are relatively satisfied but such an argument is never made of lower/prior institutionalisations with the implications that its elicitation within a registry-worldview as present is nothing more but an act of ‘ontological-bad-faith’, but then a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness approach is one that doesn’t reason in temporal-accommodation but provides the opportunity for prospective institutional possibilities. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness was what was in the minds of the Copernicus, Galileos, Rousseaux, Darwins and the enlightenment Encyclopédistes led by Denis Diderot in
cynically vouching for the possibilities of the future of positivism over a non-positivism/medievalism worldview. Such that vague arguments of the type we’ve been living well without such ideas are nothing but avowals of temporal-dispositions poor grasp of how their present institutionalisation came about and future institutionalisation possibilities; since we can project that all humans in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation were recurrent-utter-institutionalised, all humans in ununiversalisation were ununiversalised, all humans in medieval non-positivism were non-positivistic, and by extention (but for the complexes arising from our metaphysics-of-presence) all humans in our pro crypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought are pro cryptic and it is no use turning around to our fellow mortals to do social-aggregation-enabling; with the more criticial issue being what is the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implication as from the prospective epistemic-projection perspective! Such temporal-dispositions are characteristically draggy across all registry-worldviews/dimensions explaining why all transcendences meet with temporal resistance going by human-subpotency-aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor which take the form of subontologisation (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect).

As the ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignoreable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’
disposition tends to wrongly define the reference-of-thought of a given prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as the absolute framework of
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’, and so by reflex, as if the successive prior institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure were geared to end at its own registry-worldview as the absolute registry-worldview that doesn’t incur perversion-of-reference-of-thought→<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (in our case, the positivistic registry-worldview) without any notion of a prospective registry-worldview by which, where our own perversion-of-reference-of-thought→<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> arises, we will be preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive, at our threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—


syncetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, cannot correspondingly ‘dialectically-think’ in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the reference-of-thought mindset/reference-of-thought of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, going by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-


preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation).

However, contrary to the ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness


disposition, it is only solipsism-of-thought by its emphasis on intrinsicness (I come to reality alone solipsism) that has the requisite and socially-uncompromised backdrop for construing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, that is, ‘at such uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity’, by the possibility for its adherence to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and hence the requisite transcendental limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal, eliciting of prospective supererogation) to put the prior/transcended/superseded into question (including and priorly, the transcendental emancipator own’s mentation) for the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought; and so, with the notion that the prior/transcended/superseded is preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive, with no place for its ‘amplituding/formative’ epistemic-totalising- self-referencing-syncretising’ which is no more than its ‘internal myth/metaphysics’ that has nothing to do with ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity. As such, solipsism enables the requisite ‘moulting’ of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- ‘amplituding/formative’ epistemic-totalisingly, as to existence—as sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting of prospective supererogation) of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions to allow for successive transcendences; and as a social conceptualisation operates as ‘a relation of intersolipsistic mindsets in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing led by the preceding/superseding intercession of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as validated by ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework’. (Noting that beyond this point of solipsistic contemplation is the end of ontology, as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework/contingent-projective-and-predicative-validation, and metaphysics arises though metaphysical constructs tend to harken back towards ontology in trying to explain the metaphysical-as-of-existential thus explaining the blurring that often arises between metaphysics and ontology as there is hardly any metaphysical construct that doesn’t strive to be existentially relevant as of the present, thus carrying ontological implications of conceptualisation whether it is demonstrably ontologically-veridical or not; and this latter point answers the fundamental philosophical quest to escape metaphysics for ontology as of the very ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process which is rather about ‘successions of metaphysics-of-
absence insights as the successive transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—dementativity rules in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process yielding in-lockstep the successively more ontologically profound metaphysics-of-presence construed as the successive institutionalisations as implied by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ towards the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension which is what then achieves ontology as ‘attained ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’.

Likewise, since in effect there is hardly any ‘present pure-ontology’ as one that is beyond existential implications contentions about the purity/absoluteness/unassailability of its veracity, this rather validates a novel and positive construal of metaphysics as that which is subject to present existential implications contentions such that all supposed present ontologies are metaphysical constructs as of their non-elucidations. Hence even science itself despite its positive perspective is a metaphysical construct.) Hence, from a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-synecretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)’ disposition is rather the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought to be construed as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive with respect to a prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought that is ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ as dialectically-in-phase.

- As informing human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
meaningfulness as the conjugated-postlogic disposition, meted with the ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflictedness/deconstruction compensating-alteration or realteration of meaningfulness’ of the intemporal-disposition), as the basis of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation processs at registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level, and ultimately explaining the-transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness level successiveness of institutionalisations (as recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medicinalism, positivism/procrypticism, and perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism); and so, by ‘a human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\text{epistemic-totalisingly},-\text{as-to-existence}—\text{as-sublimating-}
iterability dynamism’ at the-individuation-level takes the form of an existential-flux
(‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’) of recursive/recurrent
alterity/alterations which tend to be perpetuating (like the pathological psychopath’s
disposition out of a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/‘urge’/entitlement-folie of
postlogism-slantedness effect) or progressive alterity/alterations which could be regular (like
an exacerbation or opportunism interlocutors in conjugated-postlogism) or regressive
alterity/alterations which could be momentary (like an ignorance or affordability interlocutors
in conjugated-postlogism). The notion of iterability as ‘the induced effect of
alterity/alterations (by the temporal-dispositions hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> and the
intemporal-disposition compensation-alterity/alteration by ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-
conflatedness’/deconstruction) in the repeatability/recurrence of same-terms-of-expressions
or same-implied-meaningfulness’, implies that temporal-dispositions being just as
preservational as the intemporal-disposition thus inducing the circular recurrence of
iterability (as prospective successive institutionalisations and uninstitutionalised-thresholds),
the exercise of institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not about transforming temporal-
dispositions as of an dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding/formative>supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation exercise but rather institutionalisation/intemporalisation or
secondnaturing, which is about ‘skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-
temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity)/constraining towards’ the intemporal-
disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to
enable the given prospective institutionalisation. Thus the fact is that this iterability (of
meaningfulness and ontological-reference) is not a property of ‘intrinsic-reality as existence-emanance’ but actually the result/effect of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(amplitude/formative) coming into grips with intrinsic-reality as existence-emanance, and so in the succession of institutionalisations. The implication of this iterability (due to temporality-preservational-ality/alterations in distraction/circumvention of intemparality-preservation-iteration for construct of intemparal/ontologically-veridical meaningfulness) is that all issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (as opposed to issues of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation), can only be construed as implying ‘a perpetual construct for upholding intemparality-in-preservational-compensation-ality/alteration over temporality-in-preservational-distorting-ality/alterations’ hence validating the notion of intemparal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence; and that the ‘illusion-of-definitiveness-of-ontological-construal-on-the-basis-of-an-intemparal/ontological-definitive-construct-as-a-common-ontological-reference-of-the-meaningfulness-of-the-various-temporal-to-intemparal-dispositions’ is wrong, as this simply allows for temporality-in-preservational-ality/alterations to ‘hollow-constitute’ at that supposed ‘intemparal/ontological-definitive-construct-as-a-common-ontological-reference-of-the-meaningfulness-of-the-various-temporal-to-intemparal-dispositions’. And just as we grasp this notion of ‘the-upholding-of-intemparal/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ at the-interdimension level where the registry-worldviews/dimensions are intemporally ‘ontologically-reconstituted’/deconstructed, only to be temporally ‘hollow-constituted’ requiring prospective intemparal ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-
conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect).

Ultimately the philosophical pessimism of many a philosopher stems from this confusion about the achievement of human emancipation and virtue, in naively construing that such an achievement is a definitiveness-construct-of-meaningfulness rather than an ‘iterability-construct-of-meaningfulness for the upholding of the intemporal construct of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ as implied by the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy or postconvergence. Strangely enough, this idea can be derived from the contrastive implications of metaphysics-of-presence (with its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising) and metaphysics-of-absence as postdication (suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection-capacities). Ontologically speaking, the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in their evolving de-mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) registry/registry-worldview/ontological-reference dialecticisms as at one moment ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ and at another preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism are effectively a reflection of the reality of a dynamic dialectics of ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ and ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ retracing of ontologically-veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology retrospectively, presently and prospectively, going by a human shallow limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative conflation) institutionalisation/intemporalisation process. Such an insight points out that a non-positivism/medievalism ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ will ‘wrongly be contending’ on the basis of a non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought with regards to issues of sorcery and so and so, instead of the requisite ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ as a suprastructuring
effectively with the present-considered-as-being-in-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence-perspective-(preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism-reference-of-thought)-and-hence-suprastructurable by ‘metaphysics-of-absence’-perspective-(‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’-reference-of-thought) which is then actually prospective (to-resolve-the epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence); and not ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ conceptualisation which ‘wrong pretence of being in ontological-normalcy’ is actually stifling the prospective orientation by its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirages <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This posture is validated by the decreasing epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence nature of the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure from retrospective to present to prospective, whereby there is decreasing epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence as the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process veers towards ontological-normalcy (from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation to universalisation to positivism and prospectively to deprocrypticism). With respect to the postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (reflected as mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought) phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy, the Derridean (existential)-trace as the suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection (metaphysics-of-absence) reference-of-thought, wherein there is perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as to-shallow-supererogation> of positivistic reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness as procrypticism preconverging-or-dementing –apriorising-psychologism, in need of
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‘induced by social universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising-~in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩ of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness with respect to that of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s and the positive-opportunism thereof’, and thus undermining human temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation behind the uninstitutionalised-threshold and institutionalisation/intemporalisation secondnaturing; and not as may wrongly be construed as an emanance transformation exercise from temporal-dispositions as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to intemporal-disposition as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness. This latter point is to highlight that ontological focus should rather be placed on the ‘abstract conceptualisation that enables institutionalisation-as-virtue and not any naïve purported presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness poorly appreciative of dimensionality-of-sublimating—⟨amplituding/formative⟩supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equality, as in the bigger scheme of things the latter is delusional (for an animal whose potency under social-stake-contention-or-confliction is rather as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor thus needing its secondnatured skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as deferential-formalisation-transference to the intemporal for its transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity) and that’s why society and more specifically formal organisations ‘operate on the clairvoyance of institutionalising principles and rules’, and ‘not the purported impression-
driven/good-naturedness dispositions of the one or the other’, as this is an unsustainable construct and is simply a call for institutional failure in the middle to long run. A human secondnaturing institutionalising construct is a requisite because, at best even the intemporal-disposition individuation in individuals purporting prospective emancipation comes from and are from the stock of the prior reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold registry-worldview/dimension, and such prospective emancipation involves such individuals own ‘moulting’, as actually intemporality/longness is a ‘potential construct of orientation’ as implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) and it is only a devised institutionalisation construct as secondnaturing that achieves that potential-construct-of-orientation and not any naïve inherently intemporal-disposition in individuals. By that token there is no base-institutionalised individual in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, no universalised individual in ununiversalisation, no positivistic individual in non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively no deprocrypticism individual in procrypticism, as at best such emancipating intemporal individuals are ‘moulting’ their intemporal individuations and implying-of-the-same of their registry-worldview in prospective institutionalisation design/conceptualisation, as the effective institutionalisation is what is really and effectively attained.

- As the notion of ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of ontology and subontologisation (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect),’ is rather an operant conceptualisation that highlights the need for an operant conceptualisation of psychology in grasping human dynamics. But then psychological science as we know today in many ways mainly takes the form of an adjunct construct in grasping the social as is equally the case with social
psychology; as the focus of can mostly be resumed to ‘identity’ of individual dispositions such that psychology tends more to have a subjective intercessory practice nature involving intersubjective valuation). Thus, as with all such approaches it is hardly surprising that we haven’t got an academic ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ (as an ontology-driven <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation); but rather a ‘psychology of qualifications’ as is equally the case with social psychology. The author as previously implied with the notion of a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ perceives the need for defining human psychology from a transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism and thus operant perspective of ontologically-dynamic-and-coherent construal/conceptualisation, as a profound superseding-onesness-of-ontology. This is implied in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and should be more precisely invigorated in the construal/conceptualisation of the ‘reference-of-thought as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as metaphysics-of-absence of the positivism/procrypticism reference-of-thought metaphysics-of-presence’; implying an

With ontology-driven implying that our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is just a ‘placeholder-setup’ that doesn’t has any inherent ontological validity, but is rather as valid as its representation/schedule of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality, such that with the insight of more profound ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality, the ‘placeholder-setup’ as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is accordingly rescheduled psychoanalytically (‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), validating and explaining why our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology has been developing all along from the mindset/reference-of-thought of an recurrent-utter-institutionalised, base-institutionalised, universalised and positivised, with the implication that the latter’s mindset/reference-of-thought is not beyond prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity where such prospectively more profound ontology is demonstrated to imply a renewal of human reference-of-thought of meaningfulness (as deprocrypticism), and with the further implication
that all along it is essentially about a same species of a same underlying human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor induced dynamism of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation). In fact, psychoanalysis is actually a natural existential human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology process with the difference that such comprehensively conceptually-directed constructs as is implied with deprocrypticism with respect to the present positivism/procrypticism are relatively more focussed and thus potent where ‘ontologically-pertinent and so-demonstrated to be ontologically-pertinent’; and by and large form part and parcel of the human psychoanalytic experience with regards to passive to conceptually-directed constructs of human teleological projection. transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity (prospective) as a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology effectuation, is not technically achieved as may naively-counterintuitively be implied by construing directly of a prospective placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (from the present) but rather, on the basis of ‘prospective reference-of-thought transcendental insights’, it correspondingly implies ‘construing the present as metaphysics-of-present as the transcended/superseded/prior placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation’ to be represented as ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought’, and so implied by the ‘prospective reference-of-thought transcendental insights’, such that the prospective (transcending/superseding) placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought’ is naturally implied as
being the new and prospective suprastructuring, (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) of the ‘old present’/retrospective as prior. That is it is critical to grasp that de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism’ and preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism is never about generating a prospective ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism’ (with respect to the present as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism’), but such de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) is rather about decentering and preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism/oblongating the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of the present as preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism which becomes ‘old-present’/retrospective as prior’ and dialectically ushering contrastively from that backdrop a new and prospective ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism’. This is actually about maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness of the implied prospective meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/ontological-reference/contending-reference, rather than attempting its elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which will ‘wrongly make reference to and wrongly elevate’, and so by mix-up, the prior reference-of-thought as veridical. Maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness being about optimally rescheduling the ‘placeholder-setup’ (as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation) with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, on the ontological backdrop of a more profound superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation of existential-contextualising-
whereas it is an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and thus not
upholding intemporality/longness in the contiguity as of the
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-
iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existentia-contextualising-contiguity-
reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology and reflected/perspectivated as
structural/paradigmatic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–
defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> or intradimensional defect’. Basically,
maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness creatively puts into
perspective temporality/shortness in non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting–<as-
disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> terms
as ‘shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation’, and longness-of-
register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in existentialist/‘ontologically-reconstituting’ terms
as ‘deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation’ veering towards
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. That is, by
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity is meant dispose to
construe the ontological resolution of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-
threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>
transcendently/transdimensionally/interdimensionally, as needing a prospective registry-
worldview/dimension; for instance, capable of putting in question medieval intradimensional
superstition in the first place supersedingly/transcendently by implying the need for
positivising rather than a usual temporalities-drives reciprocity of superstitious contentions or
capable of putting into question positivism–procrypticism postlogism-and-conjugated-
postlogism in the first place supersedingly/transcendently by implying the need for
deprocrypticism rather than temporalities-drives reciprocal equivalence of
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Further the notion of deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology conceptualisation and shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology conceptualisation, central to a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, can be demonstrated as follows: supposed A has the (existentially veridical) mental projection with respect to a housing project and undertook the initiative of bringing together and obtaining advanced payments from prospective buyers for the project, and B was to by non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> mental-disposition spread stories of the scheme being a scam (not to the buyers who have all the documentations validating the genuineness of A’s housing project) but rather other interlocutors mainly to undermine A’s business credibility, and so whether B is pathological/psychopathic or postlogically-enculturated, and supposed some other interlocutors, not only by ignorance but affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation further engaged in such vilifying (as social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-{amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness} of their mental denaturing disposition is socially opaque); engaging meaningfulness at a same reference-of-thought will wrongly imply that there is an issue of ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’ at hand rather than in veridicality one of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, requiring instead a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that is ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ from the ‘deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation’ as existentialist/“ontologically-reconstituting’ of A as intemporally-preservational, (in a
relation to intrinsic-reality/ontology and given our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), there thus tend to develop a mix-up of
our representation (with unsound/vacuous/denaturing hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of reference-of-
thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) when reflecting/perspectivating
ontologically-veridical existential reality, such that there is a rule of recurrence in existential-
transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology defined by the uninstitutionalised-threshold
which arises structurally and accounts for vices-and-impediments. This is more than just a
question of acts-execution/logical-processing defects but registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-
uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect—<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect>,
that speaks of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-
induced,—’threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus—’in-
wait’—for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,—or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation. That is at the basis of the
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-circularity nature of a registry-worldview/dimension
vices-and-impediment. This is equally why epistemologically-speaking categorisation
schemes tend to be incomplete and requiring further re-categorisations and readjustments as
rather construed/conceptualised on an epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag basis of organisation that isn’t in the full
potency for grasping intrinsic reality and requiring further adjustments all along (the whole
exercise actually being ‘ad-hoc referentialism’), and why referentialism as previously articulated, though ‘relatively abstract as a notion of representation’ is a conceptualisation basis needing constant insights, it is actually a better conceptualisation scheme of prospective being/becoming notions particularly of an ephemeral nature. Just as we will represent the non-positivism/medievalism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology allusions to superstition in its "amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referring-
or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as of a postconvergent/ontological-normalcy cadre and as becoming into the social, for its analytic purposes and framework. ‘Possibly’ this won’t imply ‘doing away’ with concepts and conceptualisations of the current ‘psychology of qualifications and qualification schemes’, but will however be uncompromising with respect to being ontology-driven, and thus ‘possibly’ enable the reconstrual of such psychology concepts as the self, ego, id, etc. in their metaphysics-of-absence/postdication (as the existential social) articulation. Insightfully, a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ rather mobilises maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as is necessarily the case with all metaphysics-of-absence/postdication conceptualisations (which must avert the mix-up induced by the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as metaphysics-of-presence) in ontologising/ontological-conceptualising. This thus validates and operates on the fundamental assumption that the individual-as-of-its-temporal-to-intemporal-individuation-potency is an abstract-atomic-social-construct capable-of-and-as-the-basis-for-both-social-effectuation-and-institutionalisation/intemporalisation. What is then qualified as social phenomenon is determined and effectively deconstructible/ontologically-reconstructutable from the inherent dynamism of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor; and in construing/conceptualising the ‘transcendence and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity)/deferential-formalisation-transference’ of meaningfulness-(and-value) towards the intemporal-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity towards ontological-normalcy. As previously indicated, a registry-worldview/dimension ontological/being-construal-defect (as its subontologisation) is ‘not caused’ by compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogism, whether pathological/psychopathic or enculturated, (as this is priorly due to the inherent registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘in wait’ for such compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogism elicitation of its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, for instance, the state of being superstitious in non-positivism/medievalism is itself ‘in wait’ for notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery to elicit its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism in such a social-setup by corresponding non-positivism/medievalism compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogism), whereas the positivistic registry-worldview reference-of-thought has the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for the eliciting of such a notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism not to arise. However, as highlighted again previously, the subsequent temporal-preservation-as-pseuointemporality-preservation of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s subontologisation is largely due to the perpetuating recurrence, as an intradimensional dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of such pathological/psychopathic-and-enculturated compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or
postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration that undermine and blur recurrently intemporal-disposition supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism to induce social universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing—as-to-entailing—\textless \textit{amplituding/formative}\textgreater \textit{epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness}) of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontological/being-construal-defect as unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness and the positive-opportunism thereof” for prospective institutionalisation transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity and leading to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold endemised/enculturated temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation. This aspect of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation endemisation/enculturation is thus the more salient construal for the de-endemisation/de-enculturation of ontological/being-construal-defect as unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness, as defined by recurrence and ‘non-transient transcendability’ at the uninstitutionalised-threshold; (in contrast with either a state of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation that doesn’t speak of ‘recurrence of perversion/unsoundness of reference-of-thought’ or an ‘abstract’ state of inherent uninstitutionalised-threshold but which is ‘transiently transcendable’ as it is not in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation instigated by postlogism-as-of-compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation). Thus it is the condition of ‘recurrence’ and ‘non-transience’ transcendability arising from postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration that is ontologically relevant for ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction for prospective transcendability (as it conceptually defines the
actually central to suprastructuring or a conceptualisation that can integrate both relevant metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics-of-absence, with the capacity of easily reflecting both preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as implied from a renewed human mentation transcendental insights (in reflexivity) about intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism implies that at registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold at which they are prospectively reflected/perspectivated as being in epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence (as shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation) with respect to ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation), correspondingly the ontological-veridicality of human dispositions is construed as requiring a temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation of reference-of-thought (rather than naively, an assumption of universal human intemporal-disposition as reflected/perspectivated within a functional institutionalised registry-worldview existentialising—enframing’), with the implication that the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ are actually of disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions reference-of-thought and meaningfulness. This broadly sums up the importance of elucidating the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism when it comes to registry-worldviews/dimensions construed as to their uninstitutionalised-thresholds as being in epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence, as it enables the conceptual articulation of meaningfulness that the ‘perspective of a functionally institutionalised registry-
worldview/dimension existentialising—enframing’ doesn’t permit beyond its
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-
present/present-consciousness/mirage limits at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. The
suprastructuring effect of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism is what
actually allows to prospectively reflect/perspectivate perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> and as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive at the
uninstitutionalised-threshold marking out recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation from base-
institutionalisation, ununiversalisation from universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism
from positivism and procrypticism from deprocrypticism; thus enabling the requisite
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or
natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by which prospective
institutionalisation/intemporalisation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation as ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction is
undertaken to supersede (as deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology
construal/conceptualisation) the drawback or vices-and-impediments of the prior registry-
worldview/dimension as now preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and
dialectically-out-of-phase. Thus the reality of threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism implies that virtue shouldn’t naively be
perceived in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘a universal human intemporal-disposition
nature or intemporal-disposition nature’ since human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor speaks otherwise (even though such an axiom of ‘a universal human intemporal-disposition’ is only surreptitiously implied, as a necessary ‘functional pseudo-conceptualisation’ which functionally assumes intemporality/longness to avoid the cumbrous need for disambiguating reference-of-thought of meaningfulness into temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (at any singular instances) ‘within established institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’ but virtue cannot be assumed beyond the uninstitutionalised-threshold; that is, virtue is structurally/paradigmatically the result of intemporalisation-as-institutionalisation secondnaturing, for instance, we can broadly argue that the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension implies more or less a ‘universal positivistic intemporality’ as a functional pseudo-conceptualisation of intemporality/longness ‘as people do not act medieval by and large’ but at our uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein procrystalism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought arises our positivistic registry-worldview/dimension can only be qualified as of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions since the requisite intemporalisation-as-institutionalisation as deprocrystalism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought secondnaturing is wanting), but virtue should rather be construed as the superseding/transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation design/conceptualisation that by inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism in the short run and secondnaturing in the long run enables the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation; it is this focus on institutionalisation/intemporalisation that is effectively institutionalisation-as-virtue given that in the succession of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, no institutionalisation effectively transforms human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature into an absolutely intemporal-disposition nature, but rather reduces human epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence towards ontological-normalcy as deeper and deeper
superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisations. The bigger point being that it is by effectively grasping that any human intemporal-disposition individuations that can ‘spontaneously’ arise in whatever concern there is should be directed/skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) (as deferential-formalisation-transference of meaningfulness) for institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-virtue for secondnaturering, and not a wrong implication of functionally grounding virtue on human ‘temporal disposition’ which will inevitably bring about temporal-and-social-trading with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. The fact is that our institutional and organisational constructs at their very core, unspokenly do imply this notion of institutionalisation-as-virtue (in tacit recognition of our temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions), however, the notion of ‘consciously-spoken’ as herein highlighted is that it enables the necessary uninhibitedness/decomplexification that allows the requisite ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure required in fully assuming the reference-of-thought of any prospective registry-worldview/dimension. Actually, it could be argued that the more critical element of medieval emancipators/enlighteners had to do often not with their specific discoveries, which were more or less debated issues as well in their societies, but critically the idea that they were ready to imply ‘a new psychological orientation as positivistic’ that in itself structured the possibilities of a new worldview and many other positivistic discoveries once it became mainstream. Insistence of making mainstream such ideas as a heliocentric solar system by Galileo a century after Copernicus based on observations, the evolution of living things by Darwin based on research analysis, ‘amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising rationalism’ by Descartes based on methodical thinking, universal human rights by
Rousseau based on thorough analysis of the human condition, principles explaining physical phenomena by Newton and Leibniz based on physical observation, etc. all speak of a new mindset/reference-of-thought as a paradigmatic shift that has no complexes and is uninhibited with respect to notions of the old notions of dogmas, alchemies, essences and myths. The fact is that (unlike we may naively reason by reflex from our relatively vantage position at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process) this is not spontaneously given, when we consider that many of such emancipators were equally relatively enmeshed with the old psychology like Newton’s involvement with alchemy, for instance. This point to the critical importance of the psychological state of the mind for the very possibility of prospective ontologically-veridical transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity to occur; as ontology is already given as a oneness and it is up to the human psyche to ‘moulт itself’ (psychoanalytical-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) towards a more profound construal/conceptualisation as of that superseding–oneness-of-ontology, however strongly we might naively believe in our ideas in any given epoch as of its metaphysics-of-presence. Thus metaphysics-of-absence notion of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (substituting, to induce ‘a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mentation reflex’ in sync with the ontological perspective, over the same notion as subontologisation as metaphysics-of-presence, which rather wrongly induces ‘a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mentation reflex’ out of sync with the ontological perspective, thus is subject to <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage) effectively arises from a maximalist construct in grasping the salience of a transcending/abject conceptualisation that mirrors the uncompromising nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology over incrementalism-in-
worldview/dimension, as such a purpose will wrongly and paradoxically imply that the logical-dueness/logical-pertinence of the uninstitutionalised-threshold is sound as its reference-of-thought is prospectively defective (for instance a positivistic implied transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity cannot be logically intelligible to a medieval setup that harkens back to medieval reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for its logic, i.e. ‘issue of articulating chemistry rules and principles for the evaluation of an alchemist not logically cognisant of chemistry rules and principles, in the very first place’), but rather it is a middle to long run construed as of de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) instigation of prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought as of a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (though we can mostly grasp such an insight not from instances of ‘natural intra-society transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ since this takes a longer time to occur and is relatively obscure, but transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity by cultural diffusion associated with conquests where the dominant is at a more advanced stage of institutionalisation or in the rare cases where it is the reverse like Ancient Egypt or Ancient Greece, with the dominated actually relatively dominating or in parity with the dominant culturally as of divergent aspects). The implication here is that transcendental maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is rather grounded on a relatively intemporal-and-deeper existential-reference-of-meaningfulness with the positive-opportunism of the prospective institutionalisation ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over its corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold to put in question the latter’s reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the ones of the prospective institutionalisation, and it is only after that that the notion of mutual logical intelligibility arises (it is only after the alchemist ‘psychoanalytically-unshackle’ into a positivistic-inclined mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to appreciating notion of natural cause-and-effect and experimentation as well that the notion of mutual intelligibility of chemistry rules and principles makes sense, until then there cannot be much of intelligibility without such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise from the perspective of the prospective chemist). That explain why maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct are meant to be detached and totalisingly-entailing so as to act as a backdrop for prospective institutionalisation, and not to necessarily make sense in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘the now temporal mental-disposition reference-of-thought’ which, it is contended, is in want of prospective institutionalisation with its corresponding psychologism. In the bigger scheme of things, it is inevitable that suprastructuring (the conceptualisation that renders de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) relative-mutual-construal of the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation over the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension as shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation by (suprastructurally) reflecting/perspectivating, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of the prior/superseded/transcended, respectively the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as dialectically-in-phase’ and the ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as dialectically-out-of-phase’), is rendered operant by the notion of
‘existential-decontextualising-transposition (threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism defect) of ontology/ontologically-
veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ in operantly grasping such suprastructuring
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-
mentativity/transdimensional/interdimensional construct; as it perpetually upholds
ontological-veridicality by its ‘existential-reality’ (not non-veridical/vacuous hollow-
constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
preservation>) on the basis of, first and critically, the validity of the reference-of-thought so-
reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought if valid and unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought if invalid (before even recognising whether the
‘implicitation-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement’ or ‘of logical-processing’ arises) to
determine the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and
dialectically-in-phase’ over the ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and
dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive’. It is critical to grasp that the notion of
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism is rather of conceptual metaphysics-of-
absence (meant to ensure a natural maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
completeness to avoid mix-up of reference-of-thought) with such a mix-up arising from the
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
synerretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasia-ent-drag (whether wittingly or unwittingly)
induced subontologisation (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) so-
construed as metaphysics-of-presence. So both notions are conceptually the same but
implying different approaches with respect to the temporal undermining of ontological-
veridicality; with subontologisation referencing/biased within the contextual perspective of
institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, with existential-decontextualised-transposition referencing/biased within the contextual perspective of uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, thus the latter enabling an appropriate disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions with respect to ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought, and by extension it is the concept of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism that is appropriate in all instances of implied uninstitutionalised registry-worldviews/dimensions as metaphysics-of-absence perspective since it avoids the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referring-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage that is inevitable when reasoning by a metaphysics-of-presence induced subontologisation. Besides even within the intradimension contextual perspective of institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, it is equally the best approach with respect to the construal/conceptualisation of the instigating of postlogism-as-of-compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> mental-disposition that will induce temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation in temporal-dispositions as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration (by hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> on the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives=axioms/registry-teleology of the priorly institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension) and by so doing reflecting the uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension. That is an construal/conceptualisation approach that construes the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence. Effectively, such a highlight of how human secondnaturizing within institutionalised construct implies a
pseudo-conceptual universal human intemporal-disposition as metaphysics-of-presence in contrast to a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor mental-dispositions highlight at uninstitutionalised construct as metaphysics-of-absence is effectively the unspoken psychoanalytic conceptualisation which needs to ‘be referenced/registered/decisioned–as-consciously-recognised’ as the backdrop for superseding into deprocrypticism. Such a psychoanalytic insight about the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’ grasps how postlogism instigates the temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation inclination of temporal-dispositions that enculturates/endemises the various uninstitutionalised-thresholds even though the state as dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dispositions is in ‘ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-caricaturing-hollow-staging-and-performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, with respect to ontological-normaley’ by ‘undermining social universal-transparency—{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness} for ontological-veridicality’; wherein the postlogic mental-disposition is recursive in eliciting temporal-preservation, the conjugated exacerbatory/opportunistic mental-dispositions are progressive in upholding temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation and the conjugated ignorance/affordable mental-dispositions as largely summative of the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, are geared towards upholding or undermining temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation by supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism inclination whether naively conjugating to postlogism as misconstrual or good supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism when the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism of ontological-veridicality is established from an intemporal-disposition, in which latter case as being largely summative of the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect it leads to the collapsing of postlogism mental-disposition recursiveness and exacerbatory/opportunistic mental-dispositions progressiveness with respect to temporal-preservation, and thus orienting towards intemporal-preservation/intemporalisation and the possibility for prospective institutionalisation, itself subjectable to temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Thus this is the underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding/formative>supererogatory-de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvalutive-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation in the psychoanalytic dynamism of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor across all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) explaining the alternation of prospective institutionalisation (as ontologically-reconstituting) and uninstitutionalised-threshold (in hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> with regards to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prior institutionalisation) which need to be brought to the collective consciousness appraisal for the necessary

- As beyond the epiphenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy, as it provides a peculiar perspective for insight on human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with respect to reference-of-thought and meaningfulness; ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ implies preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism as deprocrypticism. Insightfully, ontological-normalcy/postconvergence establishes beyond human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) that there is a potent and overall oneness/contiguity of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness which transverses and supersedes all other conceptualisations of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (which are therefore approximates) by mere ‘ontological-consistency’ whether with regards to virtue conceptualisation (as highlighted with the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) or second-level ontological constructs as is the case with subject matters conceptualisations. Ultimately, the capacity for philosophy to further clarify such an ‘ontological-consistency’ will be a further critical foundation for broadening the efficacy of all second-level ontologies (as the veritable job of philosophy). Inherently, ‘ontological-consistency’ as superseding–oneness-of-ontology is by itself the complete rationale for explaining human possibilities with regards to knowledge and virtue as so reflected/perspectivated by the very potency of ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence, as the latter is ‘the potency for all the text-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness that can exist’. Ontological-consistency in the inherent intemporalisation/institutionalisation orientation of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence validates virtue conceptualisation not as a discreet notion of choice, but rather a necessary disposition as ‘intemporal projection’ (or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) for human-mastery-of-reality or knowledge, as inherently implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). The reason is simple. It is impossible, for instance, for an utter-ununiversalisation setup ‘to access’ the emancipatory ontological possibilities available to a prospective base-institutionalisation setup without the ‘requisite solipsistic insight’ of intemporal-disposition individuation within the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview that ‘projects’ that rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) as a paradigm for superseding the vices-and-impediments inherent to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is a necessity-for-its-own-and-by-extension-the-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘mouling’ in the middle to long run construed as of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) into a base-institutionalisation registry-worldview. Such solipsistic insight is the effective ‘transcendental virtue conceptualisation’ that drives ontological-normalcy/postconvergence across all the successive institutionalisations and by that token coincides with ontology as a necessary ontological development driver in an animal of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation). This analysis is very much in line with the notion of virtue as a

(amplituding/formative)epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) of shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in the intransience of ontological-normalcy (from shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology to deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology). This ontology-driving nature of virtue characteristic of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor points out that it is rather such intemporality/longness solipsistic ‘transcendental virtue projection’ that enables the superseding of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the various registry-worldviews/dimensions as institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. In other words, it is the necessary ‘transcendental virtue projection’ for a prospective registry-worldview superseding the vices-and-impediments of the prior registry-worldview that enables the ontological possibilities for such prospective registry-worldview to even arise existentially; as the temporally-inclined recurrent-utter-institutionalised individuation is non-cognisant of any such thing as base-institutionalisation and the ontological possibilities availing to it, likewise with the temporally-inclined ununiversalised individuation with respect to universalisation and its ontological possibilities, the temporally-inclined non-positivism/medievalism individuation with respect to the positivistic and its ontological possibilities, and
prospectively the temporally-inclined procrypticism individuation with respect to deprocrypticism and its ontological possibilities, and all such possibilities as allowed by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. A question that arises will be how can a society deliver an Einstein or a Bohr respectively that will articulate the theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics without it having the necessary institutional-recomposure (orientation and capacities) and memetic-reordering (of the individual mindset/reference-of-thought and associated other contributing mindsets) that allows for the possibility of such discoveries? In other words what was the possibility for the theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics to be delivered in the Middle Ages, for instance? Rather improbable. As a side note, such an insight equally attends to such a debate we currently entertain with respect to coming into contact with an advanced alien civilisation. A transcendental virtue conceptualisation will hold that in the very first place such a civilisation won’t be able to exist without the necessary virtue construct (as successions of metaphysics-of-absence insights yielding in-lockstep the successively more ontologically profound metaphysics-of-presence as implied by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) that enables it to come into being; as necessarily they will be base-institutionalising, universalising, positivising and probably deprocrypticising, such that it will be untenable and inconsistent to have cosmic travellers that are savage-inclined or of a medieval age, for instance, going by the mere human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. Insightfully thus, while ontological-normalcy/postconvergence expands human ontological possibilities (comprehensively), it also leads to a growth in human institutionalised virtue disposition in equivalence which sustains such ontological development. However wary we should be with the possibility of nuclear annihilation, we equally can recognise that the ‘better’ registry-worldview/dimension-level, in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of its relative transcendental
virtue conceptualisation, to handle such weapons is the present one (positivistic) with regards to the possibility of averting a global annihilation compared to say feuding tribal or medieval setups (that is, if by some imaginary circumstances they could have access to and utilise such weapons). This points out that virtue is rather an inherent and necessary construct of ontology, existentially speaking; as the transcendental construct that enables the expanding of the ontological possibilities of an animal of shallow limited-mentation-capacity—(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity—(as of relative conflation) by enabling ‘solipsistic moulting’ (as ‘intemporal-disposition individuation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold states, with a human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor mental-disposition due to lack of social universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) about virtue inducing supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’) and the secondnaturizing of the social-construct (as institutionalisation-as-virtue) including the requisite human psychical pivoting/decentering. In another respect, ontological-consistency as highlighted previously is in coherence with the notion of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, and as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—one-ness-of-ontology with the implication that ‘the
reflected/perspectivated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation’ (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold) as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, underlines the iterability/iteration nature of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, grasped from the perpetuating intemporal-disposition ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction realteration over the perpetuating hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> alteration by temporal-dispositions. Fundamentally, a normally institutionalised functional disposition warrants that there is ‘a common/same ontological-reference of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ but this is voided at the uninstitutionalised-threshold where temporal-dispositions become temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-preservation whether by recurrence registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> (whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>), as may arise with postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism, with the effective consequence of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-disambiguated-mental-dispositions’ wherein the hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of temporal-dispositions are reflected/perspectivated as rather in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’, with their meaningfulness ontologically being suprastructured (as perverted beyond their consciousness-awareness-teleology) by the intemporal-disposition in construing the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. This disambiguated-mental-dispositions as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-
iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
reification-superseding–oneness-of-ontology develops, with changing contextualisation, at
the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level as the ‘dynamic-cumulative-
aftereffect of subontologisation’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic,
logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi
conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect), and
is equally characteristic across registry-worldviews; with the implication that this is an
attribute of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. That is, the uninstitutionalised-threshold is
characterised by the ‘trace of disambiguated-mental-dispositions as temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. It is mainly a ‘Différence-
disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that can establish
the ontological-veridicality-of-meaningfulness precisely by disambiguating the effective
ontological-references of the various temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations, and
so not only at an instant or act or specific circumstance or context (which is rather an act
construal and not a being/ontological construal) but projectively in their retrospective-to-
present-to-prospective existentialism-deambulation/meandering which provides the full
insight of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations mental-
dispositions/meaningful-references/ontological-references/contending-references as
ontological-entrapment. Such a being/ontological-basis, as described above, of a ‘Différence-
disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is in line with and
further elucidates the ‘Différence-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-protration-of-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,—of-meaningfulness’
technique. Going respectively by the Sartrean and Derridean principles for establishing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, that is, ‘existence precedes/defines essence’ or ‘there is nothing outside the text’ in evaluating ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ with respect to their veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in various instances as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. What is critical to understand here is to distinguish between: (i) recurrence in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness basis of meaningfulness that is grounded on grasping that reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are deterministic by virtue of reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting their recurrent context of reality and thus subjects them to ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflicatedness’/deconstruction in upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and (ii) an elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity basis of meaningfulness that is purely and wrongly grounded on grasping that reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ are by themselves abstractly deterministic, even as this fail intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which

Intemporal-disposition as supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism disposition (whether appropriate/good or inappropriate/poor-or-'poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’) are construed as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness basis of meaningfulness on the ground that successive-instances-of-’existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness requires their subjection to ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflicatedness’/deconstruction to establish the existential context of reality thus establishing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness. On the other hand, the postlogic/psychopathic disposition (and by extension temporal-dispositions conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration dispositions) adhere to an elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity basis of meaningfulness on the ground that plausibly construing a false-premising to an existential-context-of-reference-narrative ‘provides licence’ to then (‘recursively’ in concurrence – in the case of the postlogic/psychopathic character, progressively – in the case of a conjugated-exacerbatory and conjugated-
opportunism characters, and regressively – in the case of a conjugated-ignorance and conjugated-affordability characters) comprehensively articulate any possible existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives (on the basis of a conceptualisation of mere hollow-constituting-<as-disjoined-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> static-or-abstract non-veridical/vacuous-state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ with respect to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and hence failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) by exploiting the plausibility derived from the concurrently-false-premising existential-context-of-reference-narrative. So the latter disposition, and so particularly with the postlogic/psychopathic mindset, is to induce or generate or exploit any plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative to then unleash slanted-and-formulaic hollow existentially-unreal-and-abstract narratives by concurrently-false-premising on the plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative. In other words, the postlogic/psychopathic individuation character gets that there is a human mental-reflex to grasp ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness on ‘static-or-abstract non-veridical/vacuous-state (abstract reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of essence-of-meaningfulness terms, so long as their existential basis is established, including and critically for its purpose, where it is so deceptively implied’, to artificially or opportunistically construe a plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative which then ‘provides licence’ to articulate existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> concurrently-false-premising on the initial plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative, with the idea that that human mental-reflex will by reflex naively-and-wrongly imply the existential/contextualisation ontological-veridicality of its generated slanted-and-formulaic hollow existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives; and so, in terms–
as-of-axiomatic-construct of the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology as highlighted priorly. This preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism is in contrast with a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism (when the latter is of inappropriate/bad or appropriate/good supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) which is always inclined to ensure that the succession-of-narratives it propounds are tied to successive-instances as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. Thus, the reason why the ontological construal (ontological-entrapment) of the postlogic/psychopathic individuation characters and conjugated-postlogic/preconverging-or-dementing-integration individuation characters is rather as an intemporal/ontological suprastructuring (implying de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics)) of their hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, as this fail intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Going by the example of a medieval setup again as effectively in <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in–‘protensive-consciousness’–enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and not analogy (epistemic-totalising–ratio-
contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context insightfully implying all
institutionalisations/registry-worldviews/dimensions are about ‘construing the same
underlying ontology’, though yield different but more and more accurate representations of
ontology, due to different but improving human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of
constitutedness towards conflation) from shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-
deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with the succession of
institutionalisations, but with the non-positivism/medievalism as being lower from our
positivistic perspective, thus providing a sound basis of transcendental analytical insight since
the positivistic present is in metaphysics-of-absence with it, in contrast to our more or less
blurred disposition to <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when analysing transcendental issues
within our present positivistic/procryptic registry-worldview/dimension as its own
metaphysics-of-presence problem), if say a totem was to be presented as proof that a targeted
individual was a sorcerer (as existential-context-of-reference-narrative) for establishing
plausibility for subsequent comprehensive articulation of existentially-unreal-and-abstract-
narratives accusing the target of sorcery, a transcendental/abject/intemporal conceptualisation
will imply rather a prospective ontological-reference of essence-of-meaningfulness as positivism, with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implication of construing not only the accuser as being of ‘medieval mental-perversion/perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> but the temporal-dispositions and overall social-enculturation of that inclination abstractly with respect to metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologically/ontological-escalation as a fundamental ontological/being-construal-defect of such a medieval reference-of-thought; noting as well that there is no need ontologically/intemporally for such a target to adjust to such accusation but rather a dismissive disposition with respect to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism and its defective ontological-reference of meaningfulness, as acting otherwise like ‘being logical’ with such implied meaningfulness by saying for instance it is not its totem or it doesn’t know about it or it is somebody else’, wrongly validates that the reference-of-thought of such medieval accusation is valid and is thus rather contributing then to upholding its temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation, as where there is perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> there is no logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) to start with in the very first place but rather a superseding/transcendental representation of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism and actually implying a
suprastructuring (beyond its consciousness-awareness-teleology) at the said (non-positivism/medievalism) uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism registry-worldview reference-of-thought institutionalisation. Thus unlike in a case of defect-of-logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance the idea of falling-back to the same exercise to correctly do the exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) in a same or different circumstance, is invalidated when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect—as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect> (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can’t be mutual contention but rather transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the superseding (and ontologically-veridical) reference-of-thought can only construe of the superseded (and ontologically unsound) as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblongated requiring psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to transcend into the superseding reference-of-thought in the very first instance, before any ontologically-veridical pretence to mutual contention. Certainly this same reaction is what is warranted in the example highlighted before (if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about,...) In the bigger perspective with regards to the institutionalisation of deprocrypticism for instance, it is such an existentialism construal from a transcendental intemporal reference-of-thought over temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> that allows for the superseding of vices-and-impediments as prospective registry-worldview/dimension structural-resolution of positivism–procrystalism preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. It should be noted that as earlier articulated, intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm (in contrast to a temporal extricatory paradigm) can only be transcendental as superseding (by implying an altogether different reference-of-thought as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’), and not incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ (wrongly operating on the same temporal registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-orexistential–defect> reference-of-thought which is actually preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/oblongated and dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase). Taking the previously articulated case of sorcery in a non-positivism/medievalism setup, it has no ontological structural-resolution by reciprocity of sorcery accusations on the same reference-of-thought terms but rather by the transcendental undermining of such non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought with an altogether superseding positivistic reference-of-thought that is in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with a non-positivism/medievalism ontological-reference (registry-worldview). Even though, inevitably (and as in the ‘present as-present-consciousness’ of all registry-worldviews with regards to their own corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomena), there is bound to be more or less a dumb-and-dumb effect of summative social acquiescence to a superstitious mindset/reference-of-thought in a non-positivism/medievalism setup, that will in the short term temporal perspective be a drawback to such a transcendental projection of
positivistic mental-disposition, and likewise there will inevitably be more or less be a dumb-and-dumb effect of summative social discontentment where a transcendental deprocripticism mental-disposition is implied in a procripticism setup. This shows that going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, in all registry-worldviews/dimensions the more or less summative mindset/reference-of-thought is bound to be incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and not transcending such that would-be emancipating individuation’s projection (that is, if ontologically pertinent) is necessarily the middle to long run construed as of de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) percolation-channelling for the necessary ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure accompanying such prospective transcendental institutionalisation. That is, by transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity is meant dispose to construe the ontological resolution of an intradimensional ontological/being-construal-defect transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally; for instance, capable of putting in question non-positivism/medievalism intradimensional superstition as of the registry-worldview defect in the first place supersedingly/transcendentally rather than a usual attendant/incidental reciprocity of superstitious contentions or capable of putting into question procripticism/perversion-of-positivistic-meaningfulness with its corresponding postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism of psychopathy and social psychopathy as of the registry-worldview in the very first place supersedingly/transcendentally rather than a temporally reciprocal equivalence. Basically, such an intemporal-disposition/ontologically-veridical transcendental disposition storied-
construct/ontologically-valid-narration will be of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as existential-tracing of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness reflecting temporal-dispositions rather in ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. The fact being that, in the short term, the temporally-minded recurrent-utter-institutionalised individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) notion’ (for base-institutionalisation) of the intemporal-minded individuation; the temporally-minded ununiversalised individuation (in base-institutionalisation) has no place for the ‘transcendental rules universalising notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; the temporally-minded non-positivism/medievalism individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental positivising/rational-empiricism notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; and likewise, prospectively, the temporally-minded procrypticism individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental deprocrypticism/rational-realism notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; rather as the subontologisation moves from slantedness-effect, miscuing towards sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising in all the different registry-worldviews/dimensions, ‘for intradimensional functionality sake a transcendental articulation is beyond the intradimensional summative mental-disposition of value-referencing’, as the summative mental projection of individuals is more of an earthily life-span conceptualisation rather than transcendental or poorly appreciative of the transcendentalism that is structurally responsible for present reference-of-thought to project to the structural/paradigmatic need of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. This further points
out that with regards to ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ projection (in overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising), across all registry-worldviews from prior to prospective there are basically two ways by which the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology works with respect to the same intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness; for the ‘intradimensional reflex’ sake of having a coherent functioning by sharing a common/same reference-of-thought as it is obvious that if one was to drop in a thoroughly non-positivism/medievalism setup and insisted absolutely to articulate meaningfulness in positivistic terms, there will be no mutual understanding, at least at the (positivistic) uninstitutionalised-threshold of that medieval setup, whether at one moment or another it fails intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation, any registry-worldview/dimension as prior wrongly represents that such its registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> is non-transcendable/unsupersedable by its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ thus upholding its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought by ignoring the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> while the prospective registry-worldview/dimension implying a new reference-of-thought that structurally resolves the prior’s registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> represents the prior as prior/transcended/superseded and hence unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/suprastructurable (at that uninstitutionalised-threshold). The bigger point here
is that just as we will represent the non-positivism/medievalism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology allusions to superstition in its <amplituding-formative>-epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as abjectly preconverging-or-dementing~apriorising-psychologism and unintelligible/existentially-suprastructured, a deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset/reference-of-thought will rather be construed as decentered and preconverging-or-dementing~apriorising-psychologism, unintelligible/existentially-suprastructured with respect to ‘our positivism–procrypticism terms of meaningfulness’ that is, at the (deprocrypticism) uninstitutionalised-threshold in order to effectively and adequately reflect the requisite metaphysics-of-absence necessary to act as the referenced/registered/decisioned–psychical-backdrop for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, as implied by de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) as-uninstitutionalised-threshold-suprastructuring de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) that is the mechanism of a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose for prospective institutionalisation. This latter notion is important as with all psychoanalysis whether of an individual or social conceptualisation nature, the idea of recognising/referencing/registering/decisioning the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
existential–defect> is central to superseding it, and so the idea of implying preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism/out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive is ‘beyond the notion of an idle denotative exercise’, be it validly so, and the meaningfulness of such conceptualisations certainly do not carry the poorer connotations of temporal/banal mental-dispositions, but rather it is technically a necessary and useful ontological conceptualisation in the memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose from our shallow limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative conflation). Thus psychoanalysis is actually in effect an existentialism process of human skewing towards intemporal-disposition as we construe meaningfulness and value-referencing, and so beyond the Foucauldian referenced critique of a relatively ‘economic/traded/exchange/battered’ conceptualisation of psychology we know of when we talk of psychoanalysis in the subject matter of psychology, but rather construed as a natural ontologically-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ behind human secondnaturizing across the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. As a side note though, it is important to grasp that the registry-worldviews as the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose are actually broad categorisations and that actually human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness varies (though not varying in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the central defining conceptualisation of each registry-worldview/dimension) within each registry-worldview/dimension from its early to later spectrum, given human more or less passive continuous psychoanalytic readjustment to ‘ontological experience’. For instance, there is certainly a marked difference in scope and depth between the positivistic construct in the 19th century with its nature in the late 20th and
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,—of-meaningfulness’
technique as well as plausibly concurrently-false-premising to an existential-context-of-
reference-narrative providing licence for postlogic narratives, a third elucidation provides an
even more profound insight of the distortion/perversion of essence-of-meaningfulness and the
implications at the comprehensive existential level. This basically has to do with the
ontological consequences and implications of the ‘existentialist’ and ‘non-veridical/vacuous’
conceptualisation of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness, and so with respect to
perception of registry-soundness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and
perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as-of-unsoundness-
or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, and ultimately the disambiguation of ontological-
reference (trace) with respect to postlogism and conjugated-postlogism threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism individuation characters, and
supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism individuation characters. Basically the ontological-
veridicality of meaningfulness is construed in ‘non-veridical/vacuous’ terms of reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology ‘supposedly’ in intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and this ‘supposedly-ness’ is
only validated if ‘existentially real’ as ontologically-veridical. However there is an ‘existentialist-shortfall’ of the human supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mind with respect to assuring the ‘existential-reality’ in the face of ‘non-veridical/vacuous terms of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This ‘existentialist-shortfall’ has to do with the fact that it will be ‘a waste of too much mental energy’ to be verifying in detail the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology – of every interlocutor, and so mentally the human mind has developed ‘a referencing scheme of trusting that involves closeness, familiarity, reputation and appearance’; but such a scheme is strictly speaking ontologically incomplete and can be undermined and usurped, but it is standard as it ‘saves mental energy and time’. This ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is relatively inconsequential where interlocutors are mutually of prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation or existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and even better when mutually of good supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism (than when one or the other is of ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ even though the latter is relatively circumspect and ad-hoc in its misrepresentation of reality, and so its consequence with respect to the ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is rather limited as defect–of-logical-processing—or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance rather than registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect associated with postlogism, whether pathological/psychopathic or enculturated, and conjugated-postlogism). However, with the psychopathic/postlogic and social psychopathic case where compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness is the underlying principle as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging, this ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is highly consequential as it is the basis of the induced registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect; by wrongly and so comprehensively implying the ‘existential-reality’ of ‘non-veridical/vacuous <amplituding/formative> wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic—drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) articulated in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> or otherwise by the rather non-veridical/vacuous implied meaningfulness and reference-of-thought or otherwise by the non-veridical/vacuous implied meaningfulness and reference-of-thought based on inductive limitation nature or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be of entailing-<amplituding/formative> epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be totalisingly-entailing, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-totalising-entailment but speak more of temporal motive. In other words
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of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can’t be mutual contention but rather transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the superseding (and sound) reference-of-thought can only construe of the superseded (and non-veridical) as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblengated requiring psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to transcend into the superseding reference-of-thought in the very first instance before any ontologically-veridical pretence to mutual contention. The nature of how ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaning thread/tracing’ arises can equally conspicuously be understood at childhood psychopathy situation wherein the childhood psychopathy blatantly attempts to initiate a dereifying narrative like in the case of spilling water on a chair highlighted before to which if concurred to by the interlocutor will be the basis for the child to assume apparently normal logical contentions but fundamentally based on this distorted deceptive high-point of concurrently-false-premising as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is basically the same process with an adult psychopath but for the fact of the highly opaque nature of adult psychopath mental-disposition unlike a child psychopath, and as previously explained is ‘maturated’ in its theme on issues that are rather of serious import, ‘spatialising’ (to confound by not acting postlogicly/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness within the same spatialisation of relevant social interlocutors, which may raise the hollow nature of its narratives from cross-examination), being ‘indirect’ (by
increasingly appearing neutral and unmotivated unlike at childhood), increasingly ‘credulous’ (by effective eliciting of social threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as to subontologisation miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-conventioning-rationalising/temporal-enculturation where its ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ as implied—logical-dueness-orscape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are all false) and ‘crafty’ (with increasingly greater staging and performance: as the psychopath perceives instances of rebuttal of its postlogism not essentially in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the rightness or wrongness of the postlogic acts in its personality development into adulthood, as a prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mental-disposition will, but rather in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of its failure in performing the postlogic acts well with the idea of how to further confound/muddle hence the reason it is recursive as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic to the point of faking remorsefulness or acting as a victim as long as fundamentally its ‘interlocutor is in a prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation relation to its postlogism-formulaic slanting compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness mental-disposition’ in order for the interlocutor to go on to conjoin the psychopath’s postlogic-backtracking—<iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>). Paradoxically, the basis of the adult psychopath ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaningful thread/tracing’ is the disposition of a supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism
dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure decomplexifying/uninhibiting paradigm for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, in contrast to a ‘wrongly misconstrued universal human intemporal-disposition nature’ (which is rather a ‘functional construal/conceptualisation’ arising from intemporalisation/institutionalisation within an institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension as secondnatured but not beyond its uninstitutionalised-threshold) as it will fail to account and register for the ontological/being-construal-defect of the present as procrystalism which should enable superseding for the prospective transcendent institutionalisation secondnaturing as deprocrystalism.

This explains how a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ gives ontological-anchoring for a Derridean metaphysics-of-presence (due to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amp@l@t#m@#dih#ng/>formative)epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)) propped up by a metaphysics-of-absence (rather as human projection in ‘making-up for’ its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amp@l@t#m@#dih#ng/>formative)epistemie-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), and so beyond a Derridean pessimism, ‘making-up for’ with the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referencing/correction-tool as postdication, which upholds intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), to paradoxically transcend and supersede towards deeper ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality, as so enabled by the dialecticism of ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’ in construing the reference-of-
thought and meaningfulness of ‘the prospective’ (of a more intemporal-potency as it further deepens the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation or threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism over ‘the prior’ in the strive for ontological-normalcy (potency of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) along with disambiguating human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as the pathway towards intrinsicness/essence, reality, truth and virtue. Such a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is rather about the ontological-veridicality of reference-of-thought. It should not be confused with the more familiar issue involving existentially veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logicial-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, and this doesn’t put into question the soundness/appropriateness or unsoundness/inappropriateness of reference-of-thought. Thus unlike in the instance of defect—of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance the idea of falling-back to the same exercise to correctly do the exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) in a same or different circumstance, is invalidated when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect—<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect> (with regards to both postlogism and
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology in contrast to defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, and rather implying a ‘structural or paradigmatic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> that defines a registry-worldview/dimension as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising- psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological- veridicality going by its hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (take the case of the BODMAS characters highlighted previously where the other characters simply went along calculating without factoring A’s defect), such that where there is induced derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> when such defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical- implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and- accordance dispositions are conjugated to postlogism (which directly perverts reference-of- thought), temporal-dispositions are rather then construed as in registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existent–defect>’ in line with a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’ of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as being in a dialectically-out-of-phase state which is thus preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, while the intemporal-disposition is inclined to ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal projection-of-thought’ (implying deprocrypticism in its
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-as-to-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> is inclined to solipsistically-put-into-question/ontologically-reconstituting of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
metaphysics-of-presence conceptualisation), forming the very backbone of the human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation process that is behind
the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as it dialectically leaves by the wayside human temporality/shortness and temporal reference-of-thought and meaningfulness. Critically, the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology implications are utterly different between such a familiar logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation and a ‘Différence-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as the latter calls upon de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) in setting up two dialectical reference-of-thought, wherein the one as prior/present/transcended/superseded is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and the other as prospective/transcending/superseding is postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism. In other words, ‘Différence-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is dealing with perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation or threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) is all about articulating the ‘dialectically-in-phase reference’ (which is relatively sound ontologically/intemporally) over the ‘dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive reference’ (which is relatively unsound ontologically/intemporally). In registry-worldview terms of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’, this establishes ontological precedence/supersedingness/ascendency. The grander insight and answer to the elusive Derridean conundrum is that the full <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-
to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of a ‘Differance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ renders our presencing-as-positivistic meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview ‘dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive’ as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism to a prospective-as-deprocryptic reference-of-thought, which is ‘dialectically-in-phase’ as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism. The latter (as with all relative postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism references) can only be ‘habituated’ over the former, and so ‘by virtue of its more profound intemporality-potency’ validated by its greater ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in the middle to long-run with respect to the dialectically corresponding prior meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview. For instance, there is no logical-basis for a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought to convince a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought that it reference-of-thought is better but for the fact that its better ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will in the middle to long-run be ontologically untenable thus ‘collapsing’ the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought; and so reflecting ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ as to mere ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring;<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring;<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>’ so-underlining existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. This is the only basis for establishing the relative ascendancy of divergent reference-of-thought (not to be confused with ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation convincing’ as this by definition will instead make circular references to a prior reference-of-thought that is already established and uncontested in the very first place; thus highlighting the notion that it is the veridicality of the prospective reference-of-thought that precedes and defines the pertinence of an exercise of ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation convincing’ whereby interlocutors already share this common reference-of-thought, and not the other way around). Such a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism over preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism habituation (at their respective ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’) with regards to the postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism dialecticism of meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview’ developed as base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation over ununiversalisation, positivism over non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocrypticism over procrypticism. It should equally be noted that just as no reference-of-thought will recognise itself as rather preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (from its own present placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of itself as postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) as we may appreciate from our relative vantage point being at a higher registry-worldview ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, we will equally have a hard time recognising a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of our present positivistic registry-worldview as rather preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (as procrepticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrepticism higher registry-worldview ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as in both instances, the ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ highlights that the prior preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought faces a ‘Heideggerian (engaged)-destruktion’, as it is not about substituting our species but enabling the further development of our same species as institutionalisation/intemporalisation, articulated as a Derridean deconstruction involving ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’ of the prospective postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought over the hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of the prior preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/ontological-reference. So our natural ‘argumentation reflex’/new logical-processing-or.logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as ‘prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism re-engaging reflex’ with respect to the more familiar existentially veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, as the various
‘temporal-dispositions individuations’ will, at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold, betray
ontologising/ontological-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation by hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> at
their specific temporal-dispositions individuations thresholds (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfite-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Thus
providing the basis for a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness not only at a
registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level of hollow-constituting<-as-
disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> but also
at temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations level of hollow-constituting<-as-
disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, which
then allows for disambiguated ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework with respect
to individuals teleologies as being of any of the various temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions
individuations (for instance, psychopath postlogic-backtracking<-iterative-looping-'set-of-
dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'>-as-reflex-fleeting-logic, psychopath’s or postlogic
interlocutor conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-reflex-cohering-logic, etc.). This
effectively allows for ‘différance conceptualisation’ of hollow-constituting<-as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> and ontological-
reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction analysis’ of intradimensional phenomena,
and rather construed as of the conflation of the corresponding registry-worldview reference-
of-thought transcendental dialectics. Such a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-
veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ thus goes on to encompass the de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) educing-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology-into-the-existentialism-
becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation marking any registry-worldview
reference-of-thought. The underlying idea here being that faced with incidental issues arising
in various effective social contexts, the ‘ontological/intemporal paradigm approach’ is to
have at hand a ‘universal cadre’ that conceptualises and is geared towards attending-
to/resolving all such and other incidental issues as it is suprastructural to all such incidentals.
That universal cadre with regards to issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> pointing to ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, is human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor conjugating with respect to intemporal/ontological
meaningfulness requiring re-institutionalisation/re-intemporalisation in successive
institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, cumulating/recomposuring along various
ontologising-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation (as institutionalising, universalising, positivising and fully/abjectly-
ontologising into deprocrypticism). Human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as such is
ontologically a preceding and defining construct that provides insight on ‘existentialism/full-
depth-of-existential-implications issues’ across all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-
recomposure since ‘it grasps the ontological-veracity of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions
as it recomposes across all the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure’; due to the inherent/permanent nature of human shallow to profound limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting of prospective-supererogation) (temporal to intemporal-dispositions individuations dispositions) along the successive/snowballing institutional-recomposes with respect to the succession of recomposed human meaningfulness-and-action based-on/given this same form-factor. This implies individuality is then simply ‘the unique incidence’ of ‘human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations dispositions (as form-factor)’ in the ‘receptacle’ that is an individual in a given ‘recomposured-existentialism contextualisation’, and as such a given ‘recomposured-existentialism contextualisation’ harbours other individuals (as receptacles) of their own ‘unique incidence’ of ‘human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations dispositions’. A further implication is that going by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) that is behind the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose involving the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor (as human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation, and thus recomposuring-in-a-snowballing-effect base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism, and prospectively deprocrypticism. It also points out that the exercise of institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not an exercise of human emanance transformation from temporal-dispositions to intemporal-disposition (as we wrongly imply by intuition) but a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation or secondnaturing exercise, explaining why we are continually the same species from utter-institutionalisation to prospectively deprocrypticism. This point can be demonstrated by the fact that when a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview is institutionalised, our same temporality/shortness as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor will now rather conjugate temporarily as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (conjugated: postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>s) to the new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation at the new institutionalisation’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, and thus eliciting the need for prospective intemporalisation/institutionalisation. The need for successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure thus leads to deprocrypticism which specificity going by the increasing ‘rational-realism’ of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process is to recognise the veridicality of this human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor (as of the intemporal-disposition and temporal-dispositions of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s) and construct prospective knowledge factoring it in, as ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ or knowledge construct not only based on intemporal idealisation but that also factors in how the temporalities will relate to meaning, and be conceptually preemptive of human temporality/shortness since human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor can’t be emanantly/becomingly/solipsistic transformed as ‘of intemporal-disposition only’ (it’s a lost cause as that is not our firstnatureness since we are effectively of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions given our human-subpotency ever limited-mentation-capacity relative to the full-potency of existence as existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory–epistemic-conflatedness) and avoid articulating knowledge as if the human mentation is by reflex only intemporal of emanance reference-of-thought when in reality it is of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions, and so by way of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling. Effectively given that going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, the determinant nature of intemporal/ontological constructs induced by institutionalisation with respect to social-stake-contention-or-
confliction is always bound to elicit two classes of human mental-dispositions with respect to it whether as a temporal extricatory paradigm or as an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, and knowledge-notionalisation is grounded on addressing meaningfulness insightfully in these two respects. The veridical insight to the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor lies in the fact that the cross-section of humankind at any institutionalisation is institutionalised at its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation or uninstitutionalised-threshold or threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism; as basically intemporality/longness is a pathway from base-institutionalisation to universalisation to positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism as the fulfilment of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence potency, and any pretence at a positivistic registry-worldview to be non-transcendable (in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’) is untenable as the same could be implied at base-institutionalisation and universalisation, which obviously we won’t recognise and acquiesce to, implying the temporal-difficulty of dealing with the transcendental implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process often lead to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor! The grander insight being that ‘institutionalisation devising and devices’ already speaks a lot about human
potential and capacity (and are basically our virtue with no need for ‘false idealisation’ that just induces ‘vain-temporality passing for intemporality’), and just as previous institutionalisations prospered, due to increasing realism, because they did away with deities and spirits in recognising that human potential lies in what humans can do themselves, and strived even more by doing away with essences in recognising that understanding effectively what happens in the world is what gives power and effectiveness over nature, a further extension of rational-realism is to do away with the ‘false feel good’ naivety of construing man by reflex in intemporal terms (not recognising or rather taking full cognisance of the implications that we have temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> teleologies) which failure only leads to unrealistically grounded reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (characterised by the readiness to overlook vices-and-impediments of our registry-worldview/dimension as side notes rather than the idea that these point to our deficiencies and ‘that these are actually the necessary pathway for superseding/transcending’ for prospective paradigms, just as preceding registry-worldviews had to deal with their paradigms that led up to our positivistic registry-worldview) and aspiring for the intemporal while factoring in the temporal. In a further elaboration, there is no pathway for prospective base-institutionalisation without a recognition of recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation for its superseding, no pathway for prospective universalisation without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,-of-base-institutionalisation-as-ununiversalisation for its superseding, no pathway for prospective positivism without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,-of-
universalisation-as-non-positivism/medievalism for its superseding, and there is equally no pathway for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>—positivism-as-procrypticism for its superseding. However, such an intemporal-disposition of transcendental depth-of-thought, it must be acknowledged is hardly the panacea of a <amplitudining/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} temporal mental-disposition that is more predisposed to project mainly in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘temporal lifespan of living scale’ rather than ‘humanity-at-large spatial and timeless scale’ of intemporal projection-of-thought mental-disposition; with the inherent moral and intellectual superiority of the latter warranting an uncompromising stance over the former, in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, as has always been the case all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, and so ‘looking down’ at temporality/shortness effects of ‘country-of-the-blind effect’ and ‘crowd effects’. Already with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, our formalisation mechanisms acknowledge unspokenly/tacitly/by-mere-intuition the veracity/ontological-pertinence of our potential ‘perverting temporal-dispositions inclinations’ by its ‘abstract preemptive mechanisms’, the bigger prospect though lies in fully unleashing such a potential for a knowledge-notionalisation emancipation that is consciously aware of the full implications and thus
inducing temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation defining the corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-

<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> manifestation, thus represented as

‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’, and thus the ‘point of engagement’ with all established uninstitutionalised-thresholds is rather a ‘reflection of postlogism-formulaic–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation-or-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ reflex disposition or
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ and not the ‘natural institutionalisations inclination to reflect a prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism re-engaging
reflex or thinking reflex’, for instance ‘we don’t think’ with a non-positivism/medievalism
uninstitutionalisation-mindset/reference-of-thought as the point-of-meaningful-engagement’
with it from our positivistic perspective is its out-of-phase decentering and preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism, likewise the point-of-meaningful-engagement from
futurul Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
deprocrypticism perspective with our registry-worldview/dimension
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is ‘not a thinking relation’ but a
‘decentering and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as dialectically-
out-of-phase and logically-incongruent) arise because of intermittent/relative universal
transparencies induced by knowledge in grasping over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation-
recurrency the notion of rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism,—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of
reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness} as base-
institutionalisation which temporal hollow-constituting-{as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation} as ununiversalisation led to
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism,—(as ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of
reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) social
universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness} as universalisation which temporal hollow-constituting-{as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation} as non-positivism/medievalism led to
positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-
rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—(as ‘third-level presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) social
universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness} as positivism/rational-empiricism, and which temporal hollow-constituting-{as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation} as procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought should lead to preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to-{amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing<-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as deprocrypticism. The conceptualisation of ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ is rather based on the fundamental notion of a superseding–oneness-of-ontology with respect to knowledge-and-virtue conceptualisation such that so-construed it is rather a ‘referential-as-natural’ conceptualisation of knowledge that consciously tautologically subsumes temporal-dispositions and intemporal-disposition (as opposed to our present ‘categories-as-artificial’ conceptualisation of knowledge often predisposed to overlook the temporal, and critically so, with respect to understanding the social as of the human condition together with inherent ontological-veridicality in naively assuming the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology by reflex focussed mostly on inherent ontological-veridicality, and whose artificially-demarcated subject-matters and hierarchical relationship with the first-order-ontology/philosophy is by itself a structural/paradigmatic shortcoming with respect to our understanding possibilities, given that our artificial subject-matter categories-schemes do not precede nor define intrinsic-reality as ‘knowledge-in-its-oneness-and-entirety’), and is postconvergent in its ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptualisation of reality in a unison of second-order-ontologies with the first-order-ontology/philosophy wherein second-order subject-matters aren’t discontinuously hollowed out from the first-order-ontology but rather their inter-relational and hierarchical relationship with the first-order-ontology (philosophy) is subsumptive with the latter as superseding–oneness-of-ontology and the place for elucidating epistemic disagreement (with the practical desire for an appropriate proportion of subject-matter experts directly studying and understanding the first-order-ontology/philosophy elucidations and the possibilities implied for their subject-
matters), and as the first-order-ontology/philosophy furthermore is the ‘abstractly inventing conceptualising construct that construes the requisite overhanging knowledge psychical-orientation/psyche’, as the fact is it was a philosophical orientation whether explicit with Descartes’s ‘I think therefore I am’ establishing the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology so excellently, with the later requalification of Hume, Kant and others of that same mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology and actually ‘in complement to it’ than truly criticisms (which is often philosophically misconstrued, as Descartes’s ‘thinking proposition’ is so profound that it is the very ‘transparent pillar or social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing—amplituding/formative—epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) for the tenability of the supposed critiques of rationalism, which are actually in complement to it, by latter philosophers, and it is rather the failure to compare what the ‘thinking proposition’ implies with respect to the prior as the core-medieval mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology of essences, alchemies and superstition as an altogether different <amplituding/formative—epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of human mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology, together with the naïve predisposition for categorisation of knowledge in artificial human categories undermining the ‘natural referentialism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of knowledge’ that is at the basis of misapprehending the complementing as criticisms, as in fact these will actually be better construed as Extended Rationalism – rationalism, empiricism, subjectivism, realism, idealism, phenomenology, as the fact is none of the latter claims to be ‘irrational’) or less-explicit with Copernicus, Galileo, Darwin, etc. scientific endeavours/postures that ‘invented-and-upheld’ the positivistic psyche/psychical-orientation for our present-day positivistic knowledge form, as the fact is Descartes ‘abjectly-thinking-proposition psyche’ is not a given
as of its epistemological and ontological implications as to projective dimensionality-of-
sublimating—as-amplituding/formative-supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation, and in the same token there is a case to be made that
suprastructuralism as a meaningful-frame ushered in by post-structuralism will be the
requisite human mindset/reference-of-thought/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-
teleology of <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought for the prospective knowledge-form/meaningfulness-and-teleology
associated with deprocrypticism as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dimensionality-of-
sublimating—as-amplituding/formative-supererogatory–de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation; as ‘different institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure
have their knowledge-form/meaningfulness-and-teleology psyches (psychologisms) which is
a difficult notion to grasp when operating only within a same registry-worldview/dimension
psyche of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing without projecting of varying/successive
fundamental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing framing, but this can be elucidated by an
ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-
mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ highlighting the defining stage by
stage psychical development as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-
institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to universalisation–non-positivism-or-medievalism to
positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism psyche. Suprastructuralism
ultimately reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process by
bringing to the ‘collective-human-psyche-and-consciousness as a transparent-pillar or social
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universal-transparency→(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising→in-relative-ontological-completeness) the
insight of a lockstep relationship of the-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-narrative—
by—the-preconverging-or-dementing-narrative’ in grasping ontology/ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality across all human retrospective, present and prospective
institutionalisations, as implied by de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological–de-mentation-
or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) with a corresponding
comprehensive grasp of the implications of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor with respect to
institutionalisation possibilities and more precisely and prospectively, preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism as deprocrypticism-and-its-potential-for-prevailing-over-or-superseding-
well as knowledge-notionalisation undermining the prospective denaturing of
institutionalisation possibilities as subknowledging. Going by our mirage/illusion-of-the-
present/present-consciousness we will possibly think otherwise, but this rather points to how
our forerunners felt psychologically when their worlds built of deities and later essences were
being put into question by ‘an increasing realism insight’ of an intrinsic-reality that is
ontologically given and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to us, with the
implication that it is our psyche that ‘gives-in’ to intrinsic-reality and not the other way
around.
- As central to an overall Suprastructuralism conceptualisation that subsumes all the transcendental concepts highlighted with regards to grasping ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality, and corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> with respect to ushering in the requisite preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to—<ampli
du
growth-or-conf
di
or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residualuity/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism that should define and conceptualise the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension (as the effective attainment of ontological-normalcy), is the idea of a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’. Basically, a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ (in defining individual, summative intradimensional and transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness reference-of-thought), renders suprastructuralism and associated transcendental concepts comprehensively operant (as well as rendering ontologically-pertinent a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration enabling a more profound intuitive elucidation of the phenomena reflected by the conceptualisations in this paper) as such a conceptual-scheme effectively construes the reality of human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect in its failing-and-succeeding representation of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-
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differentiation that has to do with arbitrary human categorisation out of practicalities of
division of labour and organisation, while equally leading to confusions. Actually knowledge
as a whole imply the two basic elements: its conceptualisation and the causal effectiveness
thereof of the conceptualisation. Knowledge conceptualisation and causal effectiveness can
successively be construed in three respects; specific, intermediary and general, with all
aspects of conceptualisations being notionally philosophical as providing meaningful insights
while all aspects of causal effectiveness provide confirmatory and predicative-insights to
meaningful insights. (Interesting it is important to note that empiricism speaks of the
possibility of knowledge revelation by the inherent nature of the subject-matter and not an
abstract approach as often naively construed; with the implication that empiricism can be
construed as deriving from a confirmatory analysis of a mere insight, observation or
experiment depending on the inherent nature of the said subject-matter, so long as this then
allows for ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework.) Thus notionally speaking all
human knowledge is philosophical knowledge as being about meaningful insights. For
practicalities, the general basis for establishing conceptual pertinence as of the more general
abstract notions of knowledge is attributed to the philosophical disciplines (involving
philosophy and the philosophies of subject-matters including sciences, and its extension in
the humanities and social sciences) even though in further practical terms such construal will
be punctually undertaken as well when relevant to specific disciplines of immediate cause-
and-effect construals/conceptualisations. This equally practically partakes in the denotative
and connotative disambiguation of subject-matters. The practical basis for intermediate
conceptual pertinence has to do with the inter-relation and delineating of subject-matters with
a lesser direct implication of the philosophy, and even less so when it comes to the practical
basis for specific conceptual pertinence as practised within subject-matters/specialisms
themselves. Thus in human practical terms, knowledge can be construed as a wheel made up
of three parts with the central part viewed as the hub of the wheel (philosophical) that provides control (as asking the most basic notional questions of meaningfulness and logic), the outer part of subject-matter (tyre) that connects with the ground (as causal effectiveness asking the more immediate questions of specific domains of nature and reality) and the middle part as the rim and spoke of the wheel holding the other two parts together (providing logical coherence, construed both within subject-matters/specialisms and philosophical disciplines). For practical purposes though, any of these conceptualisation – logical-coherence – causal-effectiveness dispositions can be overemphasised or underemphasised, but it is critical to grasp that any such underemphasising or overemphasising doesn’t speak of a change of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality but a human practicality purpose (conventioning) which pertinence lies in not losing sight of and ultimately recovering the superseding ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality. This basic conception of knowledge fundamentally explains what to expect of the philosophical as first-order ontology or the sciences including all other applied studies of second-order ontology. Often times, issues are raised which underlying presumption/presupposition/premise should actually be wholly or partially of fundamental philosophical conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology but naively purported to be answered wholly as of a second-order ontology terms. Broadly speaking philosophy as the first-order ontology (acting as a cog) has been more about providing the overall scope for meaningful insights and the broader conceptual background for other subject-matters while science and other second-order ontology disciplines (as the wheel that meets the ground) draws on a sound and broad philosophical conceptual background to articulate causal effectiveness (as of the inherent nature of their subject-matters). It is rather naïve to depart from a philosophical angle and try to imply causal effectiveness of a natural science nature (rather than effective validation techniques relevant to transversal nature of philosophical conceptualisation) just as the same holds true the other
way round. The reality is that if science was the best method to answer philosophical questions as of its subject-matter, then it would have already taken over from philosophy as practised and the reverse holds true as well, as in reality it is all about human practical organisation in construing a superseding–oneness-of-ontology while dealing with our given limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-({amplituding/formative}epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). The fact is science is structurally bound to construe causal effectiveness as of the inherent nature of its domains of reality and philosophy is fundamentally conceptualising by its very nature and providing the broad conceptual background for all human knowledge with the implication that without such conceptualisation the historical insight for the need and upholding of the sciences and scientific method wouldn’t have come about while equally defining the limits of what science can achieve. Insightfully and beyond their practical differentiations, with all knowledge actually being conceptually philosophical, a lot of science is actually a sort of impromptu and punctual heuristic philosophy at sciences subject-matter level. So it is rather critical here to distinguish between a human denotative and segmenting exercise (as not determining inherent reality) which is conventioned knowledge and the inherent connotation of the reality of knowledge as the superseding knowledge ontology inherent structure. In that sense, one often misconstrued notion with respect to notional philosophy is that it is not as successful as the sciences, which is a naïve conceptualisation as the very idea of such notional philosophy is its conceptualising irrigation of second-order ontology with the more immediate and ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework success being not only a success of the second-order ontology but a percolated success of notional philosophy as of its historical development of human conceptualisation in inducing the second-order-ontologies and irrigating them with meaningful-insights, whether we talk about the sciences, jurisprudence and law, ethics, engineering, aesthetics, etc. (This insight means that the
classical conception we have of philosophy as mainly about great philosophical thinkers is incomplete as we equally need to understand the ‘organic-knowledge’ as of ontological-faith

notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of other thinkers as they were developing second-order ontologies, and analyse such thoughts in philosophical terms and make these part and parcel of philosophy without necessarily going deeply in their concrete ‘operant mechanical-knowledge’ except where this clarifies their ‘organic-knowledge’. That’s why the work of such transcendental thinkers like Newton, Galileo, Einstein, Bohr, Pasteur, etc. are ‘more than just technicalities’ as these involve a certain commitment as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-

underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality which needs to be properly relayed not only in the further development of the ‘mechanical-knowledge’ they advanced but equally about elucidating the profundity of knowledge itself. This insight is equally valid with respect to great artists like Michelangelo, among others. While critically, highlighting how human emancipation has been associated with such ‘organic-knowledge’ brought by scientists, artists and philosophers as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality across various epochs, such that the history of philosophy is much more than just biographical and analytical accounts of past masters but further involves the active relation of these in construing the ‘becoming-and-emancipating human psyche as of individual and social implications then and now’.) ‘Notional philosophy’ as articulated above is the very profundity behind the human (‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’) imagination, projection, development, articulation and conceptualisation-resourcing possibilities for all second-order ontologies; not so as an instant present development (of philosophers and philosophy-impacting scientists
and artists) but rather as of its historical development, accrual and drive into today’s second-order ontologies, as inventing the overall knowledge psyche and their perspectives in the very first place. A notion that is often hardly grasped because of the poor imagination of the notional philosophical work across epochs inducing human amplituding/formative epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, and psychically and institutionally bringing about our present conventioned knowledge being naively related to as if our present mentation-capacity and insights are simply a given, lacking a full appreciation of prior notional philosophical transformations of mindsets/references-of-thought/psychologisms and human developments of knowledge construal/conceptualisation, and correspondingly lacking a full appreciation of prospective overall human knowledge development possibilities of future philosophical amplituding/formative epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of a prospective mindset/reference-of-thought/psychologism for the construal/conceptualisation of all human knowledge. It should be noted that this articulation about the role of notional philosophy speaks of the ontologically philosophical beyond just conventioning/classical sense of conceptual philosophy. That is, a scientist that develops insights about issues of philosophical import is ontologically contributing to philosophy even though qualified as a scientist by conventioning (as the natural ontological construct of knowledge as intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality doesn’t recognise our artificial delimitations of knowledge organisation), just as the reverse equally holds true as well.

Consider that Aristotle set out as a philosopher but in many ways has turned out to be the true father of science. Notional philosophy in the bigger framework construed of organic-knowledge itself as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as the superseding drive behind the ‘inventing/creating’ of all human
technicalities/mechanical-knowledge refers to the mental-disposition to break from ‘ordinary apathy and constraining framework of secondnatured institutionalisation’ to rearticulate the dimensionality-of-sublimating—supererogatory—dementativeness/epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation projection underlying the ‘inventing/creating’ of prospective secondnatured institutionalisation possibilities as prospective knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue. Ultimately and beyond shallow technicalities/professions of presences as has been variously and decisively the case throughout humankind history, the most important philosophical work is the preservation of the human existential tale in prolongation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being—as-of-existential-reality by ‘maintaining a contemplative distance/detachment from ordinary human blithe’ susceptible to render meaningfulness-and-teleology a closed-structure (as merely-exploiting-Being-as-of-its-presence-state-with-poor-regards-for-Being-underdevelopment-and-development-potential-construed-as-nihilism as of <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>})) as of its temporal <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by adopting a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ as ‘looking down upon the value-reference constructs of all successive presences construed as conventioned-aberrations of pure-ontology’ in order to ‘keep agape’ an opened-structure (as developing-Being-potential-over-mere-exploiting-of-presence-state-of-Being-construed-as-antinihilism-or-opened-
construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) for prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology; as
no registry-worldview/dimension ‘as a product of seconndnature institutionalisation’ should
be construed as defining itself ‘in its self-referencing/nombrilism as being the ultimate
grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, be it at the backend in reflecting the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. That is the most important
work of all human jobs whether it is done as of ‘institutionally seconndnature construed
technical/professional philosophy’ or not, as seconndnature institutionalisation by itself
doesn’t guarantee such a requisite dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation projection even though the latter does ensue in any case as of
notional philosophy. Such ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding/formative>supererogatory~de-mentativeness/epistemic-growth-or-
conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation projection notional philosophical dispositions’ upholding an opened-
construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to enable prospective institutionalisation as
assumed by the Socrates, Aristotles, Avicennas, Mansa-Musas, Zheng-Hes, Buddhas,
Copernicuses, Galileos, Rousseaux, Diderots, Darwins, etc. as-‘inventing’-or-‘creating’-or-
‘upholding’-new-intellectual-paradigms-of-societies, are the ‘most social of human acts’ as
keeping up by renewing–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of prospective conflatedness as
of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence behind the possibility of prolonging the human
existential tale for prospective civilisation, and so not on the same pedestal with ‘nombrilistic
presences of registry-worldviews/dimensions in their <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag temporal-
dispositions’ as <amplituding/formative>wooden-language—imbued—averaging-of-thought-
<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) blithe to
such retrospective-and-thus-prospective insight by their temporal extricatory paradigms in
distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought as of epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence.
This is enabled by the tautological/referential/existential-reference nature of intrinsic-
reality/ontology/existence allowing for ‘predication or predictive-insight’ and ‘postdication or
projective-insights’, the latter very much attached with the arts and aesthetic forms but hardly
hitherto associated with the predicting of the former like in scientific constructions, though
such postdication-as-predictive can possibly be enabled as ‘metaphysics-of-absence
conceptualisations’ in domains concerned with predication as introduced (besides the
‘projective intemporal-preservation-contiguity/referential analysis’ of this author in this paper
taking cognisance of metaphysics-of-absence as the need to supersede our illusion-of-the-
present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncetising/mirage) in
the form of conceptualisations based on ‘creative-spaces-of-metaphors’ (or for that matter the
jargon as can reasonably be expected of the thoroughness of all inherently analytical subject
matter especially in this case by the highly exploratory nature of such analysis, as such
writing are not ‘story writings’ nor should the artificial excuse in the case of core post-
structural writings like quoting Einstein in saying that good science is associated with
beautiful equation as obviously just as $E=MC^2$ is beautiful but the underlying physics is a
head-scratcher one can equally say ‘there is nothing outside the text’ is a beautiful statement
but don’t expect the underlying Derridean deconstruction and implications to be child’s play,
nor should the fact that the meaningfulness of the social ‘being closer to us emotionally’
compared to the natural sciences that this should preclude its analysis if and when we are
temporally uncomfortable with it, as that is part and parcel of our human development as our
forerunners had taken their responsibilities about that to usher in our positivistic registry-
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worldview/dimension and we can’t exclude ourselves from prospective transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity), which ultimate knowledge-credential
is not in the ‘metaphors themselves’, as misunderstood by naïve critics, since these are just a
‘conceptualisation detour’ with respect to apprehending a fleeting-perception of reality but
rather ‘as-of-the-implied-or-derived-elucidation’ which is the actual ‘product of ontological
import’, by such thinkers as Deleuze, Guattari, Lacan, Rory, Derrida and others, and so, as
pertinent and as so-validated by ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework and insight.
Central to such ‘ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ is the
idea of superseding–oneness-of-ontology, as obviously there can’t be any predication-and-
postdication without a ‘sole ontology’ with a ‘sole intrinsic ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness’ (otherwise meaningfulness will be chaotic-and-meaningless), not to be
confused with human constantly evasive meaningful grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontology
having to do with our relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ due to our limited-mentation-capacity-
deepening–(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), with such a conceptual scheme thus
enabling aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. However, with our human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening–(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), we are actually involved in
a ‘developmental notional–teleology of ontology’ construed as coherent shallow
superseding–oneness-of-ontology to coherent deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology in
reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; with such
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-
to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) reflected
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-
of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, which is transcending/superseding as
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’, and at the ‘individuation-
level of conceptualisation of knowledge’ construed as predisposed to either hollow-
constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
preservation>’ and ‘ontologically-reconstituting (upholding-intemporal-preservation)’ as of
the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-
iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existentiel-contextualising-contiguity-
reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-
reality. Secondly, with respect to the psychological/psychoanalytical basis of meaningfulness
representation (placeholder-setup/mentation/mental-devising-representation/consciousness-
awareness-teleology), with regards to the fact that the ‘reflex supplanting–conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism
mental-disposition’ is a ‘purely abstract construct’ of reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology representation of meaningfulness but then without
‘existential reality validation’ is wrong (particularly beyond the scope of a registry-
worldview’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought where intemporality//longness-of-
register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology has been more or less secondnatured, at its
uninstitutionalised-threshold) as this fails to reflect the fact that the same-terms-of-
expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness have various temporal-to-intemporal
conjugations of meaningfulness with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness when
supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation then arises in protraction in an altogether different construction only if appropriate/soundness/authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness is established, dismissing hollow-constituting-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>/non-veridical/vacuous constructs of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> with the apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology as non-existent and bogus). With respect to social-and-confliction-stakes ‘the same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ have different implications with respect to whether the interlocutor is an supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutor or postlogic/psychopathic/postlogic-backtracking< iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> interlocutor or conjugated-postlogic/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor, and is what makes it a requisite to construe as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existentional-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. We can’t be certain about the ontological-veridicality of ‘separate dots as separate narratives’ themselves as the 3 different interlocutors can all express ‘the same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ going by their mental-dispositions with the latter two, postlogic/psychopathic/postlogic-backtracking< iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> interlocutor or conjugated-postlogic/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor, being deceptive by their mental-
dispositions (recursively with postlogic/psychopathic, progressively with exacerbation/opportunism and regressively with ignorance/affordability). However, we can ascertain the true motive and ontological-veridicality of the 3 types of interlocutors by the ‘trace of their dots as separate narratives’ in revealing their true mental-dispositions and motives, as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of ‘existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ quickly reveals that however coherent and sound each separate narrative of the postlogic/psychopathic/postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping–set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> interlocutor or conjugated-postlogic/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor (particularly as recursive and progressive), the ‘perception-together-in-succession or as-a-trace’ of their ‘expressed dots as separate narratives’ reveals ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation that shines the light on the fundamental driver/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the postlogism/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism interlocutors as well as the reality of the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism whereas the same exercise with supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutor will show a coherence of the trace-of-dots-as-narratives and actually in the case where a supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutor is actually the target of such postlogism-slantedness inducing ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ about the latter, that trace-of-dots-as-narratives from the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and the postlogic/psychopathic and/or conjugated-postlogic interlocutors will
reveal the ontological nature of the ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’. The reason why ‘separate dots as separate narratives’ lead to postlogic and conjugated-postlogic faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is that their extrapolation is actually an extrapolation of perversions-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation of ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness as if supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ whereas retracing of the mental-disposition foregoes elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of separate dots as separate narratives, and thus is existentially involved in construing the reality to the point of revealing ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation in the trace-of-successive-dots-as-(hollow)-narratives that shines the light on the fundamental driver/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the postlogic and/or conjugated-postlogic interlocutor as well as the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of its narratives. That’s why spatialisation, indirectness and craftiness are critical to postlogic and conjugated-postlogic mental-dispositions so as to evade their prospective interlocutors ‘putting one and one together as will arise in an existentially veridical context and so that their interlocutors should rather undertake elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity the purely abstract meaning as seemingly sound separate dots as separate narratives but which are non-existentially real, rather than existentially trace the successive dots as separate narratives. This is what enables
acts. Ultimately, this highlights generally that at relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’-threshold (as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, –or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation)s or uninstitutionalised-threshold, hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meanfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>/extrapolating/inferring to derive essence-of-meanfulness is not a credible notion with respect to an human animal of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions wherein ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meanfulness’ is bound to be perverted by temporal-dispositions, though within institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation this is secondnatured, for instance, with respect to the fact that a medieval postlogic phenomenon like witchcraft cannot be credibly implied both in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of eliciting abstract/extrapolating/inferring hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meanfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> nor existential-transitioning/iterability-tracing-of-dots-as-{hollow}narratives in our present institutionalised positivistic registry-worldview. Vitally, with regards to postlogism and conjugated-postlogism, it is always about ‘falsely and parasitisingally/co-optingly’ staking a claim to the reference-of-thought in order to wrongly elicit its implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology to a prospective interlocutor, and so recursively (psychopathic/postlogic-character), progressively (conjugated-exacerbation and conjugated-opportunism characters) and regressively (conjugated-ignorance and conjugated-affordability characters). Generally, this insight harkens back to the previous elucidation with regards to the BODMAS characters where the
pure arithmetic operation as a deductive/inferring/extrapolation exercise is no longer valid when the fundamental axiom is breached due to a pathological condition, and with the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplitudiny/formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness) resulting in other temporal characters, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, operating arithmetic as if the condition never existed; and thus there is a need for a retracing to establish the existential reality of the breaching or non-breaching of axiomatic rules, before determining the ontological-veridicality of the results of the arithmetic operations. In a further elucidation of psychological/psychoanalytical basis of meaningfulness representation, this further confirms the fact that temporality/shortness (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and intemporality/longness (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) are both basically the same notion of intemporality, but with temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) being rather in various grades of poor execution of intemporality/longness (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) but that in so doing such temporal-dispositions of individuation ‘falsely retaining their teleology/purposefulness’ as if of intemporal-disposition leading to their ‘pseudointemporality’ (and so with respect to their apriorising-registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), inducing structural/paradigmatic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> where such false-retention construed as temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation is rather in conjugated-postlogism; with the idea that this ‘false-retention’ by temporal-dispositions individuations results in ‘disjointedness-as-of-
than morality as derived from intemporality/longness which is about ‘full potency of ontological-and-virtue effectiveness’) by de-emphasising the naïve but wrong intuition that these notions have their own ‘mental-dispositional drives-as-teleology’ (to be bad, to be evil, to be wicked, etc.) by rather highlighting that ‘mental-dispositional incapacity for intemporality’ of such individuations induces ‘notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation (at individuation-level as relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, -‘threshold-of- nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-
of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, -or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, which when taken into preservation, as
temporal-preservation, is rather in pseudointemporality, while with respect to a traditional
conceptualisation it is wrongly ‘vaguely imbued with a dispositional-drive-as-teleology’ as
bad, as evil, as wicked… etc. Now, the consequences of pseudointemporality individuations
(postlogism-slantedness, postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s) are reflected developmentally in the social
fabric which is a ‘framework of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ as the transference, in
dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of such pseudointemporality individuations into ‘individual
personalities dispositions and social dispositions’ induces correspondingly subontologisation
in ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology
in arrogation (at individuation-level relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, -‘threshold-
of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-pervention-
of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, on ‘social ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness’ and is the basis, in dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of given registry-
worldviews/dimensions vices-and-impediments, and how these can be
superseded/transcended, because the reality is that humans have transcended retrospectively
to the present and there is no particular reason to think that there can’t be prospective
transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity going by human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. Such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–
psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’
‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ will
further highlight in contrast to the present ‘psychology of qualification/qualification-
schemes’ that human psychology is actually much more of a becoming dynamic construct,
rather than static, which wholly readjusts to human deepening grasp of ontologically-
veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality/existence as a retrospective, present and
prospective development; that collectively-and-inclusively-individuals-and-their-social-
constructs do have latitude for the choices they make in existence more than and beyond the
limits of personality traits and social character, and further that the human mind is ‘not
irresponsible’ with respect to given personalities dispositions (whether with respect to
abnormal psychology or functional psychology) with the idea that such stances taken by a
‘psychology of qualifications/qualification-schemes’ induces a confounding-effect with
respect to individual personalities themselves in assuming their self-emancipation possibilities and what they can aspire for together with their interveners/relators, whether social or clinical. Such insight do arise when we factor in that all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, human secondnaturing is actually the very central ontologically-led developmental element as the critical tool of human psychological renewal that enabled ‘an animal in many ways’ to emancipate itself developmentally across epochs such that the ‘insightful depth’ of such a developmental understanding of human psychology is necessarily much more than ‘a cultural universe of several decades of modernity’, as it conceives that human psychology is an ongoing active construct such that a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ rather captures the ontological undercurrents that constantly redefine human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as it recognises that (and explains why) the mental-disposition/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a recurrent-utter-institutionalised mindset/reference-of-thought varies from that of a based-institutionalised/ununiversalised mindset, the latter from that of a universalised/non-positivistic-or-medieval mindset, the latter from that of a positivistic/procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought (our own mental-disposition), and the latter from that of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism mindset, while not ignoring as well the intradimensional spectrum of variation within each mindset; and wherein de-mentation-(supererogatory—ontological—de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) is the central concept for such a succession of human ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ renewal
contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality that supersedes the elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’, when so-construed from our ‘limited-mentation-capacity as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism”. Existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality in sync with existence ‘speaks of threaded-or-intertwined subsumed referencing of all in existence’ beyond just elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, thus validating philosophically such approaches in physics as string-theory concepts lending support to the string phenomenology approach. This conceptually implies that the ‘all-in-one/oneness’ (of ontology) implied of existence supersedes our elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity conceptualisations, and while these are ‘mental tools of analysis’ we have in grasping knowledge, as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity these are rather ‘sub-par to the full grasp of existential reality’ (given that our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–⟨amplituding-formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, will often fail to reference the
underlying being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation ‘for a
contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existentia-reality’.

For instance say in the case of the BODMAS characters highlighted before, where the other
characters ignore the given pathological condition in simply operating arithmetic rules,
however, the inherence of existential reality will not be superseded simply by such
elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-
outside-existentia-contextualising-contiguity of arithmetic rules in protraction as ‘virtuality-
or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-
existential-reference’, as such arithmetic rules of
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring will have to be adjusted-in-a-
‘threadedness/imbricatedness/recomposuring’ like subtracting 1 to A’s results to sync with
the existential reality implications of A’s pathological condition of wrongly adding 1 to the
correct result of arithmetic operations), and as metaphysics-of-presence (i.e., ‘virtuality-or-
Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-
existential-reference’) metaphysics-of-absence is rather the ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence correction-tool of postdication, as-of projective-insights for
predication, which is equally construed as ontological-reconstituting–as-of-
conflatedness/deconstruction (i.e. implying ‘projective-insights of
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’). This is more of a simplistic though conceptually correct demonstration, and the implications to meaningfulness can be much more elaborate and as explained further below, with the notion of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as ontologically-veridical only as abstract-construal (such as the abstract arithmetic operations) but its wrong ontological derivation in lieu of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation is ontologically wrong/non-veridical as it leads to ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ (wherein the elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity in protraction of the abstract arithmetic operations wrongly overlooks existential-reality as of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation given by the existential pathological condition), instead of ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency, disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ as the ontological-veridicality of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation (which in the face of the ‘existential pathological condition’ as being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation upholds existential-reality by way of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring by subtracting 1
from A’s result to existentially account for its pathological condition). It is thus not a coincidence that a Deleuzian approach and string phenomenology approaches intuitively develop the same insight about the need for ‘creative-spaces-of-expression/metaphors’ to be able to conceptualise by projective-insights on topics that critically highlight this more fundamental nature of existential reality as a contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality so-construed from the perspective of our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’, in order to avoid elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity inducing ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. It is important to grasp here that elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity are not ontologically wrong concepts in themselves as of abstract-construal but are ontologically wrong when implied in lieu of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation as this leads to ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. Philosophically, this critically brings up the reality of how the ontological-veridicality of an ‘abstract-construal’ and a ‘being-construal’ can be established; going by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. An abstract-construal is of vague-reference/vague-tautologisation, and is of existential import only as of a being-construal, and is effectively conceptualised by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity and this is ontologically-veridical by abstract-construal/abstractly.
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reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-
epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-
aftereffect/aftereffect’ as with all metaphysics-of-absence can be ontologically-
reconstituted/deconstructed from the corresponding metaphysics-of-presence as ‘virtuality-
or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-
existential-reference’, even though the latter is ontologically wrong/non-veridical (not to be 
confused with elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-
of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which is ontologically-veridical 
as abstract-construal). This ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction is 
rather a ‘honing exercise’/recomposure of ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-
construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ to deliver 
‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-
potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ as 
ontologically-veridical, as it reflects-and-supersedes the defectiveness of ‘virtuality-or-Being-
construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-
reference’ with respect to ontological-veridicality and in so doing attaining ontological-
veridicality or veracity/ontological-pertinence as a being-construal/existential-
reference/existential-tautologisation. This can readily be appreciated when we grasp that we 
cannot just operate basic principles in producing scientific research for instance, as there is a
makes reference to the comprehensive implications existentially with respect to mental-dispositions along the apriorising-registry-elements /anchoring-of-meaning-elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology, and involving the potency of both consciousness-awareness-teleology representations and implications, for instance, the difference of the reference-of-thought as an alchemist and a chemist is much more than just an on-occasion/incidental difference (difference in abstract-construal) with respect to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of meaning but carries derived being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation differences with respect to their consciousness-awareness-teleologies and registry-worldviews/dimensions <amplituding-formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. In fact, ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflicatedness/deconstruction which always refers rather to the issue of reference-of-thought is actually of ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aferffect/aftereffect’ nature and it is about implying a prospective reference-of-thought, rather than just a différance (differentiation) as within the same prior/given reference-of-thought as of a basic abstract-construal. This is one of the reasons for its misapprehension as it implies an overall change in the reference-of-thought of appreciation which ends up putting everything ‘of old/of prior’ into question, contrary to the traditional analytical expectation of selective-or-limited
critique/contestation usually of a non-transcendental nature. Insightfully, the overall relation of deconstruction as ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness to the existential framework of ontological-veridicality should further allay the confusion. Deconstruction is actually tautological with respect to intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality because it is always about the same existential reality being dealt with by improving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\langle\text{amplituding/formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalisingly,}-\text{as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\rangle\text{as shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity ontological-reconstituting—of-conflatedness; generating differing consciousness-awareness-teleology outcomes of the same existential reality whether talking of deconstruction at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level or individuation-level. Since it is always about the same existential reality, in effect the readjustment for intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is actually a human ‘changing-of-the-psyche’/psychical-readjustment (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) with its increasing-ontological-completeness or diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence as implied by an ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, wherein placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology scheduling ‘is not inherently sanctimonious’ (the naïve way every registry-worldview tends to relate to its mental-disposition) but is determined and shaped (by way of ‘de-mentation-\langle\text{supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics}\rangle\text{of reference-of-thought’}) by construed ontological-veridicality. Since it is always about the same existential reality but improving-rather-as-cumulating/recomposuring human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

has been so all the time, and so critically talk of transcending from shallow to deeper
superseding–oneness-of-ontology is no more than about human
uninstitutionalisation/ununiversalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism/procypticism. The implications at the individuation-level is that our limited-mentation-capacity, as of our temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions, in the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality tends towards temporality/shortness as of constitutedness that ultimately fails hence inducing virtualities. And so, when initially striving to explicate the coherence of a given ontological/being phenomenon or explicating its coherence with other ontological/being phenomena or more profoundly explicating its coherence with the overall existential ontological/being phenomenon. This is inherently-and-intuitively underscored by our underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ as of the ‘coherence/contiguity of the actual insight-giving relevant-and-implied knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue for the
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality articulation’ such as logic/mathematics/virtue/space/time/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing/instantaneity/cogency/methodology (or in the case herein ‘human limited-mentation-capacity construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence metaphysics-of-absence/Doppler-thinking as it disambiguates human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor meaningfulness-and-
television

commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications.-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ as of the ‘coherence/contiguity of the actual insight-giving relevant-and-implied knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional–referential-notion/articulation for the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality articulation’ should be construed to compensate for our temporality/shortness disposition associated with constitutedness, with this compensating exercise construed as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ or more consummately as conflation/conflatedness. This presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and conflatedness compensation mechanism, given our limited-mentation-capacity for the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontology, equally clarifies why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intimately tying down our limited-mentation-capacity by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring to the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) takes precedence over elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (as letting our limited-mentation-capacity by unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring out of the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality). With regards to logic and by extension mathematics, this equally points out that logic as well as mathematics (and for that matter all other knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue like time, space, virtue,
superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-
and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-
consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity
and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency
potential to manifest as human), likewise, mathematics is about mathematical axiomatic-
construct-incidenting (construed as mathematical ‘ontological reference-of-thought or
axiomatic-construct’ incidenting) as ‘implicated by underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-
superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-
and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-
consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity
and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency
potential to manifest as human); and by extension any knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-
intercessory-notion/notional~referential-notion/articulation is about its axiomatic-construct-
incidenting (construed as its ‘ontological reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct’
incidenting) as ‘implicated by underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-
ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-
enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by
underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague
innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human);
notional–deprocrypticism! (It is important in this regard to distinguish what is implied by ‘incidenting’ not to be confused with ‘instantiation’, as incidenting implies an ‘abstract construction’ of the implication of logic or any ‘knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue’ that may or may not be of existential-instantiation, whereas instantiation refers actually to ‘actual existential instance’. It is critical to uphold this distinction with respect to the existentially contingent nature, as of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring, of human limited-mentation-capacity grasp of all ‘intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions’/knowledge including our grasp of logic or mathematics. As ‘abstractly-speaking’ there is no absolute certitude that in say a million years from now ‘a given as of yet unelucidated notion’, as a further imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring, will invalidate in a million years from now the ‘existential-instantiations’ validity of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue including logic and mathematics as we know of them today. Such distinction as of more immediate concern is to point out the subsuming precedence of existence as of its inherent intrinsicianess beyond-and-over human construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology about it as at best the latter can only achieve as of its upper limit ‘a correspondence of construal/conceptualisation of existence’; noting here as well for coherence sake that such a statement cannot be made about existence itself as the absolute a priori, simply because any arising existential-instantiations no matter the strangeness or abnormality to what is traditionally thought or expected however imbricated/threaded/recomposured or unimbricated/unordered/unrecomposured is of the inherently valid scope of existence itself as of its superseding–oneness-of-ontology and precedence, thus meaningful.) Logic and mathematics (and any such knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-
conflatedness), but it wouldn’t work out the other way round on the basis of simple methodological mimicry starting out from the mimicked construal/conceptualisation of logic and mathematics (and any such knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue) on the naïve goal of then grasping a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of a given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. For instance, the need to develop a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of the specific biology <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as DNA-based genetics that explains genes and genetic principles is ontologically preceding and defining of how the knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue of mathematics, logic, information processing, etc. can further contribute in elaborating DNA-based genetics but it is rather naïve to think mathematics, logic, information processing or for that matter any other knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue like ‘mere research methodologies lacking critically the requisite ontological cogency’ can by themselves develop a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of a given <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by such vague methodological mimicry. The latter at best induces a vague and blurred ‘conceptual patterning’ particularly in such
domains-of-study where the positive or negative sanctioning by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity is not immediately perceptible but rather remote like in the human sciences and to some extent as well with some studies in the natural sciences (where for instance the overall cogency of the whole experimental framework relative to the conclusions advanced of many a research study is dubious as not pertinently unconfounded). Supposedly a mathematical and/or statistical methodological analysis was to be introduced with regards to the underlying articulation herein and based say on an ‘arbitrary historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing grounded methodology on the basis of just vague impression’ it will rather be conceptual patterning. What is required is an underlying reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology (as implied by this author herein, as of ‘human limited-mentation-capacity construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence metaphysics-of-absence/Doppler-thinking as it elicits human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’). The contention being that studies and research that do not develop their conceptual formulations validly and succinctly as the underlying framework of the <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but simply expect to dangle/associate methodologies including statistical and mathematical analyses are rather involved in vague conceptual patterning as of reference-of-though constitutedness. This insight is critical with respect to the validity of interpretations and conclusions in many experimental and study frameworks in the social sciences often
‘under-elaborating the ontological reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct of their study’
to which the implications of statistical and mathematical methodologies and analyses are
naively brought to bear. This further speaks in the bigger scheme of things, of the need for
the articulation of what will be a ‘fully intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity constraining social
science’ as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion~as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview psychologism should fully enable (rather as
an overall grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology that overcomes disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness) just as the positivism registry-
worldview psychologism relatively enabled an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity natural sciences
including an emerging and upcoming social science. Insightfully, this analysis equally
underlines that there is a ‘human sense-of-ontology/intersolipsistic-intercession as of
underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-
inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-
intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly
coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative~implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides
existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) anchoring the
human in the becoming of existence’ allowing for human subpotent-mimetic-echoness-
derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-
reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-
‘ununiversalisation–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ and ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’; and the correspondingly reflected/perspectivated/highlighted suprastructural construal of each of the corresponding uninstitutionalised-thresholds (as beyond their respective corresponding consciousness-awareness-teleology) which we will readily acknowledge from the vantage backend of our positivistic prospective registry-worldview position of analysis equally speaks of the validity of such a corresponding suprastructural construal of deprocrypticism as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of our present ‘procrypticism–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. Thus it may be useful for ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining (as we are more likely to have complexes about our positivistic/procrypticism registry-
worldview/dimension as untranscendenable) by articulating the same aetiologisation/ontological-escalation storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration at a ‘deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as against procrypticism-virtuality’ as well as ‘positivism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as against non-positivism-or-medieval-virtuality’ wherein from our vantage positivistic position we’ll recognise the suprastructurally implied preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase state of non-positivism/medievalvirtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal putting us in a paradox with respect to recognising the same from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism about the suprastructurally implied preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase state of our procrypticism–virtuality; and so, introducing the grounds for our prospective ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure wherein deprocrypticism is the structural-resolution for the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as the structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments of our positivistic meaningfulness. The fact is all constructs as transcending or implying transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity are always by definition in confliction with the constructs being transcended. The reason is rather straightforward as there is a ‘mental/psychoanalytic investment’ behind the construal of meaningfulness in a given way within a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought defining its ontological-capacity with respect to inherent intrinsic-reality/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. Where its
ontological-capacity is limited is known as its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-
‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, and includes the following registry-
worldviews/dimensions recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and positivism–
procrysticism. At the point of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ or uninstitutionalised-threshold
meaningfulness in the registry-worldview/dimension is related to as if there isn’t any relative-
ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as of
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology<-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) hence inducing
uninstitutionalised-threshold, as it is impossible to critically extend ontological-capacity on
the basis of the same reference-of-thought/psyche/psychological-paradigm but for a new
reference-of-thought/psyche/psychological-paradigm with respect to existential reality to
enable prospective institutionalisation over the uninstitutionalised-threshold with the result
that all prospective institutionalisations are equally about annulling corresponding
uninstitutionalised-thresholds; whether annulling notions of deities, sorcery, essences, etc.,
and prospectively annulling the incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and
notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought associated with procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought for deprocrysticism existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-
potency-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality ‘preempting the threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism of rational-empiricism/positivising-
rules’. This consequent ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-
of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’
reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-
worldview of positivism—procrypticism (temporal-dispositions-in-temporal-preservation-as-
pseudointemporality-preservation) as ‘preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-
psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase’ is so about their non-committal (whether with
respect to good or bad commitment as ‘good or poor/bad supplanting—conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’)
as threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism with respect to the reference-of-
thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in ontological-
normaacy/postconvergence of new/prospective institutionalisation as depprocrypticism;
(beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought> manifestation), in ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prior institutionalisation as
positivism known as procrypticism uninstitutionalisation (‘procrypticism-
uninstitutionalisation of positivism-institutionalisation’), in threshold-of—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism of the positivistic reference-of-thought—
epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect (as the nature of existential-reality) reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting procrypticism uninstitutionalisation virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (abstract-construal-of-positivistic-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-existential-reference-as-virtuality)’. Correspondingly, such a ‘deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring referential-depth-or-existentia-reference-or-tautologisation storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation’ as of the reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting of ‘procrypticism uninstitutionalisation threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ will be critically about:

(i) the phased storied articulation of procrypticism uninstitutionalisation threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as being a social-construct ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold mirroring development of the fundamental insane-fitment of the childhood-psychopath/cinglé perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> mental-disposition structure’ (which is very much socially universally transparent at childhood and thus does not start to elicit protracted social postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration by temporal-dispositions at that point, as it is frowned upon and the childhood-psychopath is socially dysfunctional with its postlogism),
(ii) and creatively protracting this fundamental phased storied articulation in ‘successive phased phases of integration with the social construction’ (wherein the ‘increasing shrewdness and selectivity’ of the growing-and-developing childhood-psychopath postlogism lessens the social dysfunctioning of its postlogism as it learns from past experience and is now select and targeted as per social circumstances and interlocutors), and obviously at this point the social integration as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism is rather ‘storied-construed/conceptualised from a broader society-at-large/humanity-at-large angle-of-perception as of a creative dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of temporal-to-intemtemporal-dispositions individuations and social-circumstances phenotyping elucidation in the social-construct, wherein the-social-dynamics-of-individuation-phenotypes-of-individuals is a construable metaphysics-of-absence of the social as metaphysics-of-presence’ (arising because of the decreasing social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing<-amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the cinglé’s postlogism-slantedness/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness as well as increasing temporal-dispositions enculturation and thus endemisation of conjugated-postlogism-slantedness in a social atmosphere where it is not universally transparent to be the denaturing of reference-of-thought with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction), as postlogism-and-its-conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration is upheld by temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism of the procrypticism
uninstitutionalisation, and thus is temporally integrated by conjugated-ignorance/conjugated-
affordability/conjugated-opportunism/conjugated-exacerbation/conjugated-social-
chainism/conjugated-temporal-enculturation, of course, with the broader point and purpose
for aetiological/ontological-escalation here being that ‘our virtue is not inherent’ but rather
our ‘understanding/knowledge/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construction’
is what creates our virtue in superseding our vices-and-impediments, just as for instance,
‘medieval vices-and-impediments’ weren’t inherently because they were a different human
species to us but rather due to their lack of positivistic understanding/knowledge which
creation-and-accrual led to our relatively grander state of virtue and knowledge, likewise the
point here is about articulating such prospective understanding/knowledge/ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework and its corresponding ‘institutional-designing by
deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling’ as our virtue and
knowledge potential),

(iii) and so subsumed and articulated in a creative ‘psycho-ontological-
tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme of insightful ‘tone-as-
temperament and thematic construal of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individualisations
teleologies/teleological-differentiations (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
onological-completeness covering the concepts articulated in this paper on social-construct
and social institutions teleology and value-reference as of deprocrypticism
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring with regards to the ‘implications of postlogism-
and-procrypticism mental orientations’,
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, even though such an appreciation is rather counterintuitive.

existential–defect> having to do with the defect of reference-of-thought and relative-ontological-incompleteness is utterly different from ‘a defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought–for-social-functioning-and-accordance which doesn’t bar a new logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as ‘prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism re-engaging reflex’ as the latter is with regards to wrong logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation which might be well/soundly-be logically-processed or effectively-executed upon reengagement, so long as the reference-of-thought for the reengaging is not unsound/perverted and not undermined by relative-ontological-incompleteness. A registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<-as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> on the other hand having to do with defect of reference-of-thought needs a more fundamental transformation as a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the reference-of-thought, and so a decentering of meaningfulness; the <amplituding/formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity being more like what it takes to get a medieval as non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, that is, suppose for instance where in a medieval social-setup an accusation of witchcraft is demonstrated by an outsider from a positivistic social-setup to be incorrect and unsound to the approval of all in that social-setup, that outsider understanding fundamentally that the medieval setup by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ is in a state of
implying that inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is already given and the
perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> is in the bigger
picture revealing an inherent problem as of the prior human reference-of-thought
conceptualisation of inherently given intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and that the
‘occurred event of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> is simply ‘pointing to an altogether deeper underlying human relative-
onological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought issue, in this case as of psychopathy and
its conjugated-postlogism at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of positivism–procrypticism as
well as providing a revealing overall understanding of the human uninstitutionalised-
threshold-by-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with
deprocrypticism prospective institutionalisation <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-
as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, which are
then the-entire-reconceptualised-problem as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation’ as the
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; just as an apple
falling on Newton’s head under a tree is simply ‘pointing to an altogether deeper underlying
human non-positivistic relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought issue
which is then the-entire-reconceptualised-problem as of the aetiologisation/ontological-
escalation in producing the science/laws of physics and equally inspiring other such similar
positivistic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework approaches in human
conceptualising of the natural world as the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought. Hence contrary to what we may think from our
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective the mere fact of relative-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
) in non-positivism/medievalism with its reference-of-thought is inclined to relate to perversion-and-
derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomenon as a
non-positivism/medieval postlogism phenomenon such as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery
on the basis of non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
of ‘great living’ as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought but then a
‘conflatedness of conceptualisation’ will convert such perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> in terms of the ‘Being defect as uninstitutionalised-threshold
of the so-called great living of non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought’ to arrive at
the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of positivism
opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology which structurally/paradigmatically
resolves the vices-and-impediments of non-positivism/medievalism. This same process
applies to our positivism–procrypticism with respect to psychopathy and social psychopathy
wherein the associated perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> will elicit an ordinariness <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-
{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>}
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} of ‘great
living’ as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought but then a
‘conflatedness of conceptualisation’ will convert such perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-
structurally/paradigmatically resolves the vices-and-impediments of our positivism–procripticism; as basically, our intellectual-and-moral constructs as of our
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag are shown to be of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and thus ontologically-speaking our
logical-dueness doesn’t even arise, no more than the logical-dueness of a non-positivism/medievalism mindset arises as with respect to medieval postlogism phenomenon like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as in both cases ontologically-veridical
meaningfulness-and-teleology exists beyond their <amplituding/formative>wooden-
language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>} as of the respective deprocrypticism as preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and positivism reference-of-thought that carry the
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought opened-construct-of-
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meaningfulness-and-teleology. Ultimately, the very transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing between the prior registry-worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘the very paradox of meaningfulness-and-teleology explaining their discordance, construed as the paradox of transience-and-sublimity/sublimation/superrorogatory-de-mentativity’. In other words, if the former had a grasp of its state ‘as to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ with the transcendental structural/paradigmatic <amplinding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity arising thereof it would have paradoxically transcended, thus explaining the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposition nature of transience-and-sublimity/sublimation/superrorogatory-de-mentativity as of a cross-generational exercise and why such implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology might seem arbitrary when meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather interpreted in terms of the prior reference-of-thought. This further explains ‘the socially conflicted nature of all implied transcendental constructs’ whether with prophesying metaphysico-theological constructs of early times reflected in non-universal and universal creeds up to our metaphysico-ontological worldviews implied transience-and-sublimity/sublimation/superrorogatory-de-mentativity, and so as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intempest-temporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor; but then humankind has always been called upon to show itself capable of surperseding/surpassément for prospective possibilities to avail. This is exactly what underlies the notion of de-mentation-
(superrorogatory-ontological-de-mentation-or-dialectical-de-mentation—stranding-or-
thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of relative epistemic- abnormalcy/preconvergence for relative ontological-normalcy as of de-mentation-
(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-
attributive-dialectics) stranding dynamics ‘which is effectively the concatenated mechanism
that engenders sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing
towards prospective notional–deprocrypticism’. Thus this further explains the very thorny
difficulty of dealing with psychopathy and social psychopathy, because more than just an
individuation phenotype and incidental/on-occasion phenomenon, it speaks of a registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s our dimension, relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-
‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought in endemising/enculturating it, thus in need of deprocrypticism as
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as an overall structural/paradigmatic
resolution to the vices-and-impediments of our positivism–procrypticism registry-
worldview/dimension. That is, with acts of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-
of-thought->as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> ‘it is vague to consider just arriving at ontological-
veridicality/intrinsic-reality construal of such acts as of the paradox of their universally
implied prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ with the latter by
itself becoming the grander problematic, more like the relative non-positivism/medievalism
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought itself is the grander problematic
with respect to the endemisation/enculturation of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery
acts/occurrences, and so more than just an act or acts of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery
construed as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought->as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, as
revealing of the grander framework of vices-and-impediments inherent to the relative non-positivism/medievalism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Rather it is about articulating the ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as ‘Being correction’ as of base-institutionalisation institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation, universalisation institutionalisation over ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation, positivism institutionalisation over non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation, and prospectively deprocrypticism institutionalisation over our procrypticism uninstitutionalisation. Obviously a traditional approach of analysis of psychopathy (as so construed from this papers totalising-entailing/nested-congruence insight including psychopathy and social psychopathy) will tend to be just as palliative as a non-positivism/medievalism world’s postlogism associated with their social cognisance-and-integration of say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery were individuals will equally be wary of non-positivism/medievalism perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and will equally be inclined to palliation regarding notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery depending on circumstances; though obviously the ontologically structural/paradigmatic resolution in both instances is with respect to the necessary ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in overcoming <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag by prior/transcended/superseded non-positivistic or procrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with prospective/transcending/superseding positivistic or deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity-or-ontological-preservation. So perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> has always been recurrent in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process because institutionalisation is not emanance
transformation of temporal-dispositions as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology into the intemporal-disposition as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology but designed to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for
relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) towards the intemporal-disposition,
such that where institutionalisation reaches its design limits given human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening-(<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), the possibility for
perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> arises with its
corresponding enculturation/endemisation as uninstitutionalised-threshold in want for
prospective institutionalisation as the ontologically-veridical structural/paradigmatic
resolution. When that insight avails (a Derridean event), it is properly time to ‘trample’ the
melee of common sense disposition for self-preserving extrication/temporal paradigm with
the elicited intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, as has been the case along
and defining human history ultimately ushering our very own registry-worldview/dimension.
The breaking of ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-
narratives by SUPRATRANSVERSALITY—APRIORISING/AXIOMATISING/REFERENCING (ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought of ‘deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ of psychopathy and social psychopathy along all implied thematics of the social-construct whether as of phenomenal/criminal/social/corporate/value-structure/social-structure/registry-worldview insight for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation rather as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence with the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing; and so by way of the-transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity—that-is-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality as against ‘social-aggregation-enablers undermining of prospective intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ with perverted use of such notions as differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation or so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake and thus of temporal-disposition, etc.), while the ‘induced pri-individuation reference-of-thought’ of psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism in its virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference) of narratives is construed as SUBTRANSVERSALITY—APRIORISING/AXIOMATISING/REFERENCING (in perverted-or-derived-perverted-reference-of-thought procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought extricatory-
and-temporal incidental construals of meaningfulness-and-teleology wrongly striving to
equivocate its extrication/temporality by using ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—de-
mentativity’ in undermining the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory—de-
mentativity—that-is-of-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality upheld by the
deprocrypticism supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity). The

disambiguation of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffective,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing into a ‘supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology’
over a ‘subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ can equally be understood by comparison with the notion of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as reference-of-
thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as there can’t be common reference-of-thought of
contention (mutually intelligible aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as
mutually intelligible meaningfulness-and-teleology) between a flawed
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
(subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of
meaningfulness-and-teleology, as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism
from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective) and a
correctly functioning
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
(supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of
meaningfulness-and-teleology, as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-
psychologism’ from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective). It is the idea of the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the latter over the former that will existentially/ontologically impose the latter, and not common/mutual logical-processing as logic is then ‘a lower, inappropriate and inherently defective level of meaningfulness-and-teleology processing’ in relation to ‘appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness processing’ (just as there can’t be logical intelligibility between a non-positivisit/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology with a positivistic one); by its ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining as the correct functioning apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (the appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) in the middle to long run construed as of de-mentation-(supererogatory~ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics). This process can be qualified as the ‘blunt act of existence over the human temporal egotistic/self-referential complex to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity/superseding ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality reference-of-thought’, and is the actual basis for all transcendences for prospective institutionalisations since the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure do not arise because of the reality of a ‘human intemporal-emanance philosophical acquiescence’ but rather by ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of existential reality as a constraint for the secondnaturing of institutionalisation, without transforming the underlying reality of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations. That
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements) in intemporal/longness projection for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation over the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought (as-of defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) of temporal extricatory paradigm incidental construal in wrong equivalence to the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought. This equally validates the notion of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as logical-incongruence of appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness and perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. This is structurally the most elevated construct for the production of human knowledge as transcendental knowledge and as implied in its dissemination along formal constructs based on a structuring for skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity) towards intemporality, and not wrongly averaging of human thought in equivalence as logical-congruence of temporality/shortness and intempolity/longness-of-meaningfulness, such that knowledge is not constructed as a ‘human mutual agreement exercise for its construal/conceptualisation/discovery/invention/development’ since solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly we are of temporal/shortness to intemporal/longness mental-dispositions and this cannot be averaged to get transcendental knowledge which is rather the outcome of an enabling process as ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling’ that allows what is intemporal as of mental-disposition to be effective by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological and virtue constructs, and be imposed as knowledge. Thus it is critical to understand that the exercise of
reconstituting ontological veridicality is a wholly maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in grasping ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’, even when it would seem weird due to metaphysics-of-presence, and is creatively grounded on ‘on phased phases construed in mirroring the fundamental insane/postlogism-fitment of the childhood-psychopath perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> mental-disposition structure as it induces conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration later on and most effectively at adulthood psychopathy’. This fundamental structure of the denaturing nature of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration can be demonstrated with the blatantly obvious case of the childhood-psychopath even though the denaturing of its mental-disposition is relatively socially-universally-transparent (enabling an understanding-of-ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework-of-the-underlying-phenomenon). In the case were in a ‘dereifying act’ water is spilled on a chair, and a visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality by ignorance) not aware of the mental-disposition of the childhood-psychopath coming into the scene after the event and sitting unknowingly on the soaked sofa, and was to frown and remonstrate against or possibly smack the innocent brother, such a stranger is in ignorance-conjugated-postlogism or conjugated-ignorance as its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ led it to align in-prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising—
psychologismly (as-of-pseudointemporality) to the childhood-psychopath’s postlogic narrative, and so in ‘ignorance-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’, that it was the brother that spilled the water on the chair on purpose (noting that even at this level, for all practical purpose the visiting stranger’s meaningfulness is ‘supposedly in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (as-of-pseudointemporality) but is rather effectively ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ with respect to the ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase, of the childhood-psychopath’s meaningfulness is effectively in conjugated-postlogism and has ‘joined the childhood-psychopath in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase’ with respect to ontologically-veridical existential-reality as construed from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and further it state of ignorance speaks of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which can’t be overlooked for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation conceptualisation by the fact that the visiting stranger or more precisely an individuation of the type expressed by the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality by ignorance) might act the same way he acted in ‘metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales’ as aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and this particular example symbolises why virtue is a
‘The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitave-framework construct’ and not ‘impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construct’ as reality is above all ‘effectivity’ by its manifestation. But then given the relative social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness} at this childhood stage, it is more likely that the whole situation will be explained to the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) and will assume mostly an incidental/on-occasion conjugated-postlogism effect in the contingent social space. The fact is at this childhood stage conjugated-postlogism will tend to be incidental and mostly arise as ignorance-conjugated-postlogism. (Such a construal can further be articulated not only in the case of ignorance as ignorance-conjugated-postlogism but equally as the child-psychopath develops into adulthood and is less and less socially-dysfuntional and social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness} of the postlogism is lost socially with its maturation/spatialisation/indirectness/credulity/craftiness, giving rise to the conjugated-postlogism cases of conjugated-affordability, conjugated-opportunism, conjugated-exacerbation, conjugated-social-chainism and conjugated-temporal-enculturation by temporal-dispositions where the effect is ‘more than just benign and incidental/on-occasional with dramatic social consequences and as there is further eliciting of enculturated postlogism as social psychopathy, however ad-hoc and opportunistic’. At the grander transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness level as dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
psychologism as existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at means that we rather tend to assume by reflex that the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape of every interlocutor we engage with or by extension of the referenced interlocutor(s) of the interlocutor with whom we are engaging with is sound, thus by default validating all the ‘apriorising-reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’, which is the psychopath foundational faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge as first-order level of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge, as it further enables an infinitely expansive second-order level deception arising from wrongful logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation once we wrongly go on to operate the fundamental first-order level of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge logically/’elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existent-contextualising-contiguity’ wherein we end up hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> inducing the virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existent-reference, and that’s why psychopathy as a outlying mental-disposition we are not often used to, will tend to be deceptive and so fundamentally not because of the psychopath but the supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mind’s own reflex mental-disposition to be prelogic supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at). Critically, the concepts articulations in the storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing contends about the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> of the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing which is in
protracted-pseudointemporality; more like a deprocrypticism, positivism, universalisation or
base-institutionalisation supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-
non-pseudointemporality) contending correspondingly about the perversion-of-reference-of-
thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> of the procrypticism, non-positivism/medievalism, ununiversalisation or recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporality). The implication here is that
from a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation,
just as a positivistic supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-
pseudointemporality) will imply a deeper intellectual-and-moral ontological construct (in a
projection of a positivistic worldview where the mental-dispositions and conventioning in a
non-positivism/medievalism setup are construed as prospectively questionable) of non-
equivalence over that projected by a non-positivism/medievalism subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporality) as a ‘distractive looping-
alignment-of-narratives’ in distraction to the former, with the positivistic supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rather a
maximalising/transcendental firmament for obtruding the subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of its ‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, reflected by the subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing subontologisation (in-a-social-dynamism-of-
meaningfulness-misappropriation) by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic,
logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi
conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect; the
same analysis will be drawn for a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation with respect to deprocrypticism supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) and procrypticism
subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporality) in
terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of their implied intellectual-and-moral implications (in a
projection of a deprocrypticism worldview where the mental-dispositions and conventioning
in a procrypticism setup are construed as ‘prospectively questionable’). Such a
supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing over subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing insight can transcendentally be grasped in the archetype
characters of say a Socrates or Rousseau. Wherein within their respective registry-
worldviews/dimensions setups, their maximalising/transcendental mental-dispositions in
projection for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft, i.e. ontologising of future
conventioning, as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as the grander
intellectual-and-moral effort that can be made within their registry-worldviews/dimensions)
is rather poorly construed to the ordinariness/averageness of thought within their respective
registry-worldviews/dimensions setups (which mental-dispositions and conventioning – as
‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-
virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-constral (which is rather ‘a prior threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-
thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ – will rather think as irrational the projective disposition of a Socrates that doesn’t rather advance a temporal interest in the city-state polity but is rather bent on spreading new ideas as a natural philosopher while prioritising as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in his asceticism the prospective intemporal over the temporal status quo, and likewise with a Rousseau who isn’t advancing a temporal interest that his aristocratic stature should warrant like actively pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings but is rather bent principally on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading notions of a renewal of the human condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism. This is certainly because emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically temporal-dispositions do not appreciate that there is a more ‘profound level of living in the realm of human thoughtfulness’ based on eudaemonic-contemplation of ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting that then ‘invents/creates’ the possibility for prospective registry-worldview/dimension as there isn’t any inherent intemporality/longness but for the disposition for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness out of the apathy of the ordinariness/averageness of any prior registry-worldview/dimension. Hence such intemporality/longness as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness needs its <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of secondnatured institutionalisation given that the-succession-of-registry-worldviews-or-dimensions-institutionalisations/the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is ‘not a human emanance transformation of temporal-dispositions/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology into the intemporal-disposition/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather is solely a
secondnature to supersede the uninstitutionalised-threshold’. The implication is that acting as-of-a-secondnatured nature is not enough for articulating prospective institutionalisation requiring ‘intemporal projection <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ for the requisite prospective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and such conceptualisations from only a secondnaturedness of thought as rather contextually temporal is not intemporal as of-universal-and-abstractive nature but is in ‘<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence. Thus institutionalisation secondnaturedness is challenged by its very own level of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,—‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ marking its uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism-or-medievalism and procrypticism in need for a renewed institutionalisation respectively as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. This is rather addressed by transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing non-pseudointemporality-as-thinking-and-in-phase over subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pseudointemporality-as-preconverging-or-dementing-and-out-of-phase so reflected in storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation evolving thematic and tone-as-temperament rather by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,—disclosed-from-
prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality-as-existential-reality, for the ultimate cross-generational purpose of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose). The transcendental first-order-ontology/ontological-construal work derived by maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving base-institutionalisation, maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving universalisation, maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving positivism, and prospectively maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in positivism–procrypticism inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving deprocrypticism, are the most important effort available at every corresponding registry-worldview as defining the institutionalisation possibilities and psyches that secondnatured as institutionalisation as their corresponding institutionalised-being-and-craft setups even though paradoxically the ordinariness within such institutionalised-being-and-craft setups may be impervious to what is behind this very creation/invention in the first place as it fails philosophically to appreciate the need for transcendental first-order-ontology/ontological-construal in the elucidation (as institutionalisation and psychical-reorientation) of meaningful-and-teleological pertinence within its own registry-worldview/dimension but equally in ‘inventing/creating’ the institutionalisation possibilities and psyche for the prospective institutionalised-being-and-
craft setup. Thus it is generally not surprising that the transcendental first-order-ontology/ontological-construal by an ascetic intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Socrates will be passed by the ordinariness/earthliness of thought in that institutionalised-being-and-craft setup as vague while upholding its shallow notion of value with the true worth and value of such implied transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory-de-mentativity grasped, at least expediently, mostly in the prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft setup it ushers, the same could be said of a an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Copernicus, an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Rousseau, an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Galilei or an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Darwin, and so as a fact of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. But then mental-dispositions that come to intemporal notions by expediency cannot truly have the pretence of engaging such on the basis of shallow temporal extricatory paradigms as of institutionalised-being-and-craft setup whose temporal-dispositions terms are alien to the intemporal disposition required for transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness first-order-ontology/ontological-construal required for ‘creating/inventing’ the prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft setup! That failed test of understanding the transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness not in a prospective appreciation, but rather possibly as of retrospective appreciation and expediency, speaks of the social-construct as more of a secondnatured institutionalised-construct rather than an intemporal-disposition construal, and therefore assertive pretences that naively imply
the latter should necessarily be suspect of their threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism without the corresponding
demonstration of the requisite salient philosophical insight of
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm (that goes beyond subontologisation as
slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag,
sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-
enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect); and the fundamental issue that will then arise in
that instance is one of ‘irrealism and corresponding virtualities’ that will undermine analytical
pertinence, as man has to be understood exactly for what man is in effective reality, to then
articulate effective knowledge constructs that are actually most efficient because of their
realism, and that is paradoxically our virtue, not a wrong or false idealism (which
metaphorically ends up hiding things under the table beyond the analysis required for their
understanding and resolution)! It equally speaks of the ‘requisite specialness of the discipline
of philosophy as a first-order ontology’ among all subject-matters (or-as-it-protrudes-into-
subject-matters-or-second-order-ontologies), as the one that can least afford to be of normal
trade, as it starts with a commitment of the mind (rather like modern day religion) rather than
just a normal craft, and further requiring the central quality of transcendentally-enabling-
level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of
thought, postures and teleology above anything else (not even the value of institutional
recognition as Socrates, Rousseau, Sartre and others intuitively understood, necessarily so,
since it is what is of a priori definition and can’t be compromised in institutional-constructs-
and-setups)! The blunt fact here is that, with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction within a given registry-worldview, the everyday <amplituding/formative> wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) or banality-of-thought doesn’t necessarily as of solipsistic intemporal projection appreciate ‘the need for prospective transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm over the extricatory/temporal/expediency paradigm with respect to its registry-worldview/dimension’ (even though it does appreciate this retrospectively with respect to prior registry-worldviews/dimensions), but for effective secondnatured institutional devising. Inevitably an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation construct is rather about intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm which is necessarily antipodal to the everyday temporal extricatory paradigm mental-disposition, ontologically justifying ‘subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing(as-of-pseudointemporalities)/suprastraversality ‘point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought technique of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought given its applicative pertinence and validation to the ontologically-veridical but counterintuitive notion of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism underlying all uninstitutionalised-thresholds, and so beyond their consciousness-awareness-teleologies; with the implication that (from a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) is ‘unprofound’-or-of-a-non-transcendental/extricatory/impostoring
disjointing/disparateness/disentailing-of-narratives-implied-intellectual-and-moral-disposition
while the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) is ‘profound’-or-of-a-transcendental-intemporal/totalisingly-entailing-
ontologically-hegemonising-narrative-implied-intellectual-and-moral-disposition. We would
possibly appreciate this argument from a retrospective insight of how the retrospective
institutionalisation came about to the present, but it will certainly be alienating to think the
same of our present in those transcended terms from a prospective transcending reference,
even though the ontological insight points in that direction. This ‘subtransversality-by-
supratransversality technique of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ is further rendered operant as the
teleological structure of the storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation based on the underlying principle involved in the
example of the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) or generally the BODMAS
characters. This underlying principle is one of ‘decentering’ wherein apparently the visiting
stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) was of ‘sound registry-{reflected-as-soundness-or-
authenticity-of-reference-of-thought}’ in its circumstantial/existential relationship with
meaningfulness but it turned out that its ‘ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-
induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-caricaturing-hollow-staging-and-
performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought’ (as lacking deprocrypticism
from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective)
arising from its procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as social universal-
transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) about the
child-psychopath’s postlogism wasn’t available to it) implied an existential-reality of
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring that ‘decentered’ (by maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness) its meaningfulness as of threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism, as subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities), of the visiting stranger
rather as a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-
as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference given the visiting stranger’s
(as-of-pseudointemporality) ignorance-conjugated-postlogism, such that it was actually in
‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. This ‘decentering drive’ rather
construed by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that then
reveals the true center as ‘deprocrypticism supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as of
transcendental-projection/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as existential-reality’
(while undermining various shades of virtualities/being-construals-as-abstract-construal-as-
of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference), is ‘the underlying
teleological conceptualisation of the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy in
society in its absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic’; as it uncompromisingly ‘decenters
temporal-dispositions as postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-
veridical-logical-dueness) and conjugated-postlogisms’ (in the latter case whether beyond-
the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought>-as-ignorance) as per their ‘ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-
induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-caricaturing-hollow-staging-and-
performance-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought’ (as being
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought), starting with the psychopath’s
postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> itself wherein its
decentering (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
‘unwinding-as-unfolding/dépliage-as-détendre of élucidation’) is reflected as a virtuality-or-
onlogically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-
shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference in threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism while ‘establishing the center’ as the
‘deprocrypticism supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as of transcendental-
projection/intemparal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
completeness imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
onlogically-same-existential-reality’) by its ‘effective supplanting–conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as to
intemparal-preserving/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness teleological reference-of-thought’ as supratranversality, and as
conjugated-postlogisms/preconverging-or-dementing-integration (as per the corresponding
mental-dispositions highlighted earlier for the various conjugated-postlogisms, with
conresponding ‘contrastive intellectual-and-moral tone-as-temperament and thematic
teleological constructs of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, as-of-
pseudointemporalities, in relation to supratransversality—
(with regards to ‘associated-themes-and-social-contexts’/thematic) psychopathy as postlogism interlocks with temporal-dispositions (instigating social psychopathy in 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction situations') as temporal-dispositions are already preset/in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for its induced conjugated-postlogism by inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (notional–procrypticism, i.e. the corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold), such that the postlogism dynamism in its social protraction reflects a threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of temporality/non–transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity/incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness in corresponding conjugated-postlogisms of temporal-dispositions with the protracting effect of ‘significant others basis of logic’, as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities). Such that grasping and superseding of psychopathy and social psychopathy ontologically requires 'avoiding to construe the generality/averaging of the social-construct as being of the sound/appropriate ontological cadre/framework' but rather ontologically adopting deferential-formalisation-transference (as all formal constructions whether the law, subject-matters, formal institutions, etc. have always been conceived) to 'abstractly reference prospective institutionalising as a secondnaturing that is of universal implications/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for all times and all humans' by factoring-in the requisite supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as of transcendental-
projection/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
completeness construct that transcends/supersedes subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities), as supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality). Such a technique for
articulating supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-
pseudointemporality) in aetiologisation/ontological-escalation with respect to ‘associated-
themes-and-social-contexts’/thematic as deferential-formalisation-transference involves
‘construing supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-
pseudointemporality) over subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-
pseudointemporalities) wherein the differentiated-conjugated-postlogisms are construed as
interlocking with postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-
narratives-and-acts’> (as the conjugated-postlogisms conjoin to and elevate postlogic-
backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>) in the
‘associated-themes-and-social-contexts’/thematic framework/cadre. The fact is this thematic
construal is further compounded by the varying tone-as-temperament associated with
psychopathy and social psychopathy wherein the threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism of postlogism/, conjugated-postlogism
or temporal-dispositions means that it is ‘ontologically wrong to be engaged solely on the
basis of a supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism tone as temperament’; as the
‘consciously eluding/circumventing’ psychopathy as postlogism mental-disposition adopts
various ‘hollow tones as temperaments’ on the basis of its perceived position of
weakness/disadvantage or strength/advantage, with implications on soundness of reference-
of-thought, whether acting (threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) by ‘imploring, contesting, affirming, condescending, rebelling or self-victimising’ depending on what it perceives as advancing its postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation–(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) at one moment or the other, and this mental-disposition is naively (where ignorant-conjugated-postlogism) or consciously adopted by conjugated-postlogisms mental-dispositions particularly when exacerbatory or opportunistic. This ‘contrastive intellectual-and-moral tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological constructs of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) in relation to supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality)’ is central in articulating a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that further elucidates the conceptualisations herein. The conceptual background for this tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological conceptualisation (for the storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) lies in the notion that human construal of meaningfulness/memetism defines and structures its teleology/teleological-differentiation with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ situations whether in ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuation terms’ and as this in dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect defines individuals actions intradimensionally or transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally/maximalisingly. For instance, in the latter case a meaningfulness/memetism fundamentally based on spirits as causes-and-effects will fundamentally be predisposed to a defining teleology/teleological-differentiation of animism practices, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live patterns; likewise a meaningfulness/memetism fundamentally based on a grand religion will fundamentally be
structured on the basis of such religious practices, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live pattern (depending on the degree of religious absolutism) as its defining teleology/teleological-differentiation, and likewise a meaningfulness/memetism that is mostly secular-inclined will be predisposed to the defining teleology/teleological-differentiation of down-to-earth interests including utilitarianism and practical knowledge/scientism, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live patterns. Going by the defining temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions of individuals action intradimensionally (and as recurrently affirmed by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions, giving rise to prospective institutionalisations and uninstitutionalised-thresholds), this establishes that there is a deterministic existential-tautologisation/existential-reference of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor mental-dispositions with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ highlighting a teleology/teleological-differentiation at the individuation-level in a continuum from pseudointemporality (involving the ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ of postlogism-slantedness and the derived-by-conjoining temporal-accommodation-of-this-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as conjugated-postlogisms/preconverging-or-dementing-integration, grounded on ‘extrinsic-attribution involving inducing sociologically significant others basis of meaning and logic’) as it induces the uninstitutionalised-thresholds—to—non-pseudointemporality (of intemporal mental-disposition inclined to account for pseudointemporality as intemporal-preservation/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation operating on a teleology/teleological-differentiation of ‘intrinsic-attribution based on solely eliciting intersolipsistic understanding
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation in a state of mentarchy/mental-anarchy logical-undueness as reflected by postlogism and conjugated-postlogisms) but from whence/which-point the teleology/teleological-differentiation attached to that as of mental-disposition orientation made, whether as of various temporal-dispositions as postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performance-<including-virtue-as-ontology>s or intemporal-disposition, is wholly deterministic-as-predictable/projectable enabling ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation). Existence/existential-reality is thus a teleological-contiguity/oneness-of-teleology ‘with teleological-discretion being defined only by epistemic choice/differentiation’, as epistemically-situated chosen/differentiated meaningfulness (as to ontology/ontological-veridicality which is epistemically/notionally a contiguity construed-as ontological-contiguity/superseding–oneness-of-ontology), defines and structures teleology/teleological-differentiation in its derivation as ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’). Beyond, the individuation-level and the intradimensional perspectives, at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness perspective as across all institutional-cumulation/institutional-
recomposure, this maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
decentering drive in a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect (wherein prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-induced,—‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising–psychologism’
<amplitudening/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity on meaningfulness ‘as to social dynamism of threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising–psychologism’, is decentered with the more
ontologically-complete emerging at the centre as supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising–psychologism as of
transcendental-projection/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-
potency,—disclosed—from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of–
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality’ as from the perspective of the ‘postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-
thought’) is what ‘decenters/drives-out’ by ‘de–mentation–(supererogatory–ontological–de-
mentation–or–dialectical–de–mentation—stranding—or–attributive–dialectics) of reference-of-
thought’ of an uninstitutionalised-threshold (like non-positivism/medievalism) to ‘center’ the
corresponding and prospective institutionalisation (like positivism) reference-of-thought, and
ultimately reflects/perspectivates/highlights/decenters the uninstitutionalised-threshold as of
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising–psychologism, from the perspective of the succeeding
institutionalisation/centered. Thus, decentering is what divulges all the uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, while ‘centering’ divulges all the institutionalisations as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively depprocrypticism; and so with their ontological possibilities and limits as well as corresponding ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ or registry-worldview/dimension orienting/pivoting/decentering psyches (by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), reference-of-thought and teleologies/teleological-differentiations. Insightfully from metaphysics-of-absence, we’ll certainly grasp that a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought ‘is not qualified/sound’ by virtue of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as not being positivising/rationally-empirical given that its meaningfulness is based on its non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation thus failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> any meaningfulness requiring prospective positivising/rationally-empirical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and that its pretence otherwise is nothing but <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage that simply goes on to uphold/enculturate/endemise the prior inherent vices-and-impediments inherent from its relative-ontological-incompleteness-
induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ (non-
positivism/medievalism) of lacking a positivising/rationally-empirical mindset, we can just as
well project of the same of our procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to our
relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ of the lack of a deprocrypticmindset/reference-of-thought as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context involving existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-
digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality ‘preempting the threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism of rational-empiricism/positivising-
rules’ based ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-
disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality’) and a disposition for our metaphysics-of-presence as
<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-
present/present-consciousness/mirage, and thus the ‘rational need’ for our own
psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure to supersede the
vices-and-impediments associated with a positivism–procrypticism mental frame, even
though we’ll possibly carry-complexes/complexé about the blunt fact, as all registry-worldviews/dimensions prior to ours had equally done. Decentering thus fundamentally speaks of human shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation capacity recomposuring from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence point of reference maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness across all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. The notion of pivoting/decentering as fundamentally psychoanalytic actually extends to the construal of understanding itself with regards to the underlying rescheduling of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, as the idea of pivoting/decentering extends to the notions of the ‘self’s own pivoting/decentering for understanding’. It is an aberration to construe ‘transcendental text’ which puts into question the reference-of-thought itself in non-transcendental terms ‘as the transcendental reality (divulged by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding-formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with corresponding recomposuring of ontological import) that is being implied given the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of transcendental text doesn’t concede to a human temporal complex of its established metaphysics-of-presence conventioning/traditional-ways of understanding as superseding but rather superseded, and having to cave in’. In other words the aporetic nature of a Derridean deconstruction text doesn’t speak of the poor writing of Derrida, it speaks of the reader’s ‘complex of understanding’ that fails to recognise its need to psychoanalytically-unshackle, construed in interdimensional transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity terms as akin to a positivistic laden text articulated in a non-positivism/medievalism setup implying a necessary psychoanalytic-unshackling as requiring the pivoting/decentering of the reader for its understanding as it is more than an explanation
in the terms of the old as non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology but more critically an invitation into the new as of a positivising/rational-empirical mindset/reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology; having to do fundamentally with the human mind complex and reflex of failing/not-upholding↔as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing→ to acquiesce to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity and so all across the various institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, even though it will readily acquiesce from a standpoint of retrospectively implied construal of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity. Such a pivoting/decentering of understanding itself is what is implied by ‘projective-insights’/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence; further explaining the underlying notion of suprastructuralism as the ability to construe/conceptualise meaningfulness across different ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, our present positivism–procrypticism or futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, with the necessary de-mentation-(supererogatory–ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) involved in such a pivoting/decentering as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Suprastructuralism as such will also explain the underlying logic of Bruno Latour’s famous criticism of the notion that scientists reported discovery of TB as being the cause of Pharaoh Ramses II death together with the organisation of an official ceremony in full honours in celebration of Ramses II corpse and the discovery, as being an entanglement of references-of-thought between the
modern frame-of-reference/collective-consciousness-awareness-teleology and the Ancient Egypt pharaonic era frame-of-reference/collective-consciousness-awareness-teleology (a mix-up that must not occur for history itself to conceptually exist ‘since history wouldn’t deny its object of study its very own frame-of-reference, as being oblivious here to the notion of TB’, for an exercise of understanding the past and projecting to the future); as if it were ‘possible and desired’ that the modern frame-of-reference equally carry modern weapons back in time in Ancient Egypt and fight pharaoh Ramses II wars (which is obviously ridiculous). Suprastructuralism as such highlights the ‘mental complex of all present mindsets as metaphysics-of-presence’, and going by ‘projective-insights’/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence is equally what can enable our own prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity in grasping a more profound intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as deprocrypticism which is deeper than our present positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview reference-of-thought. As implied in this paper, the implication of pivoting/decentering for understanding itself is that our metaphysics-of-presence traditional/conventioning reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is put into question, and the notion of understanding itself is pivoted/decentered such as implied by the referentialism approach of this hermeneutic design (as opposed to a categorisation constituting elaboration basis for understanding). As the referential harkens to the most profound concept (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation also construed as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) and ontologically-reconstructs/deconstructs lesser and lesser profound concepts in relation to the most profound concept by a referencing understanding. The implication is that the entirety of the text is a unity in contiguity perceptible from the subtexts fusion with the unity. Hence the organisation of the text can only be cross-referencing (and not, wrongly, an organisation based on categorisation
constituting elaboration) to retain its cross-referencing coherence of prospective meaningfulness. The recognition for the need to disambiguate human mental-dispositions as of temporal-to-intemporal is not an exception here as all our formalisations implicitly operate on this basis as deferential-formalisation-transference, tacitly confirming its veracity/ontological-pertinence. It should be noted that the representation of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-thresholds as of ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ based on their respective relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ while most ontologically-veridical from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective, such a suprastructural-meaningfulness/memetism is rather unordinary and suprastructural (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) to the given uninstitutionalised-threshold registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought; since in our positivism–procrysticism uninstitutionalisation (which is procrysticism), ‘abject-ontologising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) will reflect/perspectivate/highlight procrysticism to be rather of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-


preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (thus pivoting/decentering/‘psychoanalytically-unshackling/memetically-reordering/institutionally-recomposuring’ into base-institutionalisation suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving reference-of-thought by way of the given maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness); in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation ununiversalisation, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as sup
shallow-supererogation> to be instigated, upheld and be enculturated and endemised, for the structural/paradigmatic perpetuation of the vices-and-impediments structurally associated ‘with respect to the fundamental relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,’ ‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ and postlogism phenomenon’. The suprastructural (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology <-in-existential-extrication-
as-of-existential-unthought>) <amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity at the individuation-level is that with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ situations, there is an underlying meaningfulness-and-teleological differentiation of human mental-dispositions as of non-pseudointemporality as of supplanting–conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and pseudointemporality as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (including as derived/conjugated pseudointemporality as to threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism), and so in contrast to the social/normal reflex of naively-and-wrongly construing and falling back to the idea of meaningfulness-and-
teleology (as of reference-of-thought) rather essentially of non-pseudointemporality as of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism. For pseudointemporality as of threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
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as of a sound human universal mental-disposition for construing ontologically-veridical meaningfulness as virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference, in order to account for such ‘parasitism/parasitising/co-opting-meaningfulness’ by parasitising/co-opting association with the essential/intrinsic/inherent attributions behind the representations of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as intemporal-preservation/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation enabling prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that override such ‘parasitism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as temporal arrogation/disjointedness/impostoring/extrication/misappropriation whether consciously/by-expediency/unconsciously. This is the intemporal-disposition individuation decentering mechanism with respect to ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness in a dynamic-cumulative-foreffect at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level that brings about prospective institutionalisations by rescheduling the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with respect to construed prospective ontology/ontological-veridicality (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) explaining why we are able and do transcend; or else as in all prior registry-worldviews, the pseudointemporality logic will tend to become one of conscious or unconscious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity that construes of the present (by its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether being usurped/disjointed/impostored/parasitized/co-opted) as of absolute reference-value regardless, failing/not-upholding<-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to register that the grandest value as ontologically-coherent (as a principle sustaining its perpetuation) is the transcendent/maximum-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-
completeness as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/intemporality that accounts for the becoming from all the priors to the present to the prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations, thus not wrongly implying an equivalence between such a meaningful construct of universal import with temporal extricatory paradigm contentions (more like metaphorically an apple falling on Newton’s head and his projection of this in grasping the universal implications of the laws of motion being wrongly equivocated in the terms of say an apple merchant and other interests in extricatory/temporal fear of the idea that understanding the laws of motions will be ‘temporally’ undermining in one way or the other). Critically, it isn’t idle idealism but rather a realistic insight, as just as articulations of notions of positivism like evolution, universal human emancipation, rationalism, empiricism and science cannot be sustainably intelligible in a mindset/psyche that is non-positivism/medievalism and has not been pivoted (psychoanalytically-unshackled/mimeticly-reordered/institutionally-recomposured) to a positivistic mindset/psyche thus explaining why their proponents actively undermined the overall ordinary meaningful-frame of non-positivism/medievalism including such effort as the Encyclopédistes, likewise it is naïve to think that deprocrypticism (by its deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality) is an inherent meaningfulness that is perfectly construable within just a positivism—procrypticism mental-disposition and the latter’s many compromised assumptions as articulated in this paper, as deprocrypticism is priorly implying futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
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nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>). Critically, ontological-normalcy/postconvergence points out that paradoxically the transcendental mindset/reference-of-thought associated with a ‘knowledge construct of intrinsic-reality’ should priorly be established (‘centered’ over the prior meaningful-frame which is ‘decentered’) for the knowledge construct to take hold by the continuing ‘moulting’ of its proponents and corresponding social construct, as intrinsic-reality doesn’t adjust its inherent meaningfulness to us but rather humans need to achieve a given psychical development to have-access-to or be-able-to-register the knowledge construct of the more profound existential-reference/existential-tautologisation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that that psychical development allows for, in meaningfulness-and-teleological terms. This is rather a difficult task as it implies ‘de-mentation-(supererogatory-ontological–de-mentation-or-dialectical–de-mentation—stranding-or-attributive-dialectics) of reference-of-thought’ behind the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, and no registry-worldview/dimension sees itself as dementable prospectively, as being decentered for a prospective centering, even where it acquiesces to the notion retrospectively up to its own institutionalisation; pointing that ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is the genuine perspective for construing the dynamism of knowledge-and-virtue or meaningfulness-and-teleology. The fundamental point of a knowledge construct (which is necessarily tautological as intrinsic-reality/ontology is already given) is rather an exercise of ‘human <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-

Pivoting/decentering as such for transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity at the individuation-level speaks of intemporal-disposition maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness value and disposition re-ontologising terms even though for temporal-dispositions value and disposition conventioning terms this may sound unintelligible. Such a transcendental/intemporal pivoting/decentering necessarily construed from the prospective institutionalisation (whether base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism, as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective), of temporal-dispositions individuations in uninstitutionalised-thresholds (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism) as being of ‘mental anarchy’ (mentarchy) which ‘speaks of a defining state of
the basis of referencing ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ by the temporal-dispositions references-of-thought (whether consciously, expeditently or unconsciously) in order to undermine the referencing of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as intemporal reference-of-thought (thus implying a mental-representation-devising/mentation/placeholder-setup of the ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ as ontologically preconverging-or-dementing~apriorising-psychologism from the perspective of the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as ontologically thinking). Insightfully, for a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, such a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of individuation/intradimensional/transcendental-or-transdimensional-or-interdimensional levels of conceptualisation’ ontologically validates ‘a deterministically teleological-differentiated storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration’ of projectable/predictable-relative-existential-implications of the various ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness temporal-dispositions incremental/shortness-disposition-relative-finitudes’ and ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal-disposition superseding/longness-disposition-to-finitude’; finitude being the full-depth-of-existential-implications/existentialism arising when acting (as-being/as-existing) with regards to one’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness/ontological-completeness of reference-of-thought. As a side note, such a notion of mentarchy in its dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect should be able to highlight the peculiarity of reference-of-thought associated with human languages from ancient ones to modern ones (as of the registry-worldview/dimension-levels of the corresponding societies), facilitating the deciphering and understanding of ancient languages,
as well as the reconceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology across history, which conceptual exercise tends to be rather biased towards a modern perspective metaphysics-of-presence. Finally, a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation will need to take cognisance of the very peculiar nature of the social world (in contrast to the natural world) that makes the social ‘susceptible to incorrect understanding and analysis’ particularly at a practical and operant level by the fact that it is highly emotionally-involved/politically-driven especially so with disturbing issues, and this is further compounded by the ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity’, and finally from a transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness perspective human mental-disposition with regards to the social can be poorly ontological with unconscious, expedient or conscious emphasis on significant others basis of logic as well as <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) mental-dispositions (social-aggregation-enablers) undermining the solipsistic relationship with intrinsic-reality required for veracity/ontological-pertinence (transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity). In this regard, it will actually be naïve to assume that an articulation of veracity/ontological-pertinence as with the natural sciences is all that is necessary in achieving effectiveness. With the weaknesses highlighted above with regards to grasping the social, it is important that such veracity/ontological-pertinence is effectively emphasised within the ‘realistic social contexts of mental-dispositions and actions’ driven by social-aggregation-enabling, wherein for instance the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity that is intrinsic-reality/ontology
grounded on intrinsic-attribution can easily take a backseat over social-aggregation-enabler grounded on extrinsic-attribution driven by such ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity’ as perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation (so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake), etc., and so, including intellectual milieus as well. The implications for a truly ontologically effective social science can be construed as follows; say for instance an accused miscreant was to articulate a credibly demonstrable notion in physics or chemistry, the ‘promptness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity’ will easily allow for such veracity/ontological-pertinence to establish itself without undermining of the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity that is intrinsic-reality/ontology by any social-aggregation-enabler (perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation or so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake, etc.). The ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ makes this altogether a more difficult proposition in the social sciences particularly with issues that are highly emotionally-involved/‘interested’/politically-driven wherein even in intellectual circles arguments of differentness/subtle-infamy-implications/status/significant-others-basis-of-logic/repute are often easily advanced in undermining inherent veracity/ontological-pertinence. One such notorious argument with regards to poststructuralists involved the notion that French poststructuralism was developed by peripheral intellectuals of French society but then failing to equally say that a lot of the good science and social science in many Western countries have generally had the same personalities attributes. Of course, such a narrative will not be countenanceable in the promptness of effectiveness driven natural science of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, for instance, holding that Einstein’s theory-of-relativity is flawed with the non-substantive argument he was a peripheral intellectual to German or Swiss or American society. The bigger point here with respect to a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, is that veracity/ontological-pertinence by mere articulation of sound ontological conceptualisations as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity-of-intrinsic-social-reality in the social contextualisation especially where blurry is often not sufficient purely by itself but that it needs to be creatively construed in facing off ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ with the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity-of-intrinsic-social-reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This weakness actually takes a turn for the worst when it comes to the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy as this phenomenon is actually the quintessence of active extrinsic-attribution ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ as driven by postlogism—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>, and corresponding conjugated-postlogism conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives of such postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>, respectively in recursiveness (psychopathic), progressiveness (opportunistic and exacerbatory) and regressiveness (ignorance and affordability). So a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation will need to demonstrate veracity/ontological-pertinence of the conceptualisations highlighted in this paper not purely by themselves as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity-of-intrinsic-social-reality but rather such conceptualisation in a supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing should be over-and-face-off a subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of temporal undermining by ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ such as perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation (so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake), etc., and this is the realistic developing social contextualisation within which psychopathy and social psychopathy manifests itself. Further the social-aggregation-enabler mechanism is what brings about social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation as well as the temporal-endemisation/temporal-enculturation of psychopathy and social psychopathy by eliciting of
differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation, etc., to induce subontologisation or existential-decontextualised-transposition. Ontologically, thus the construal/conceptualisation of the Social paradigm is necessarily a construct that harkens to the intemporal-projection enabling the thoughtfulness as the imbued intemporal-preservation consciousness-awareness-teleology with the corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology as ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process/institutional-design inducing the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness enabling the development and endemisation/enculturation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism, as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition) of base-institutionalisation (rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism) social-setup, universalisation (universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism) social-setup, positivism (positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism) social-setup and prospectively deprocrypticism (preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as-to-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth-or-conflatedness/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism) social-setup. The implication being that the Social is much more than aggregativity (social-aggregation) wherein a mental-disposition of ‘overt aggregative social
disposition’ that conceives that a social-setup reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are simply ‘perceptively-and-formulaically deterministic’ for ‘its purpose of temporal extricatory paradigm relating with the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation’)’ that undermines the imbued intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the social-setup ‘is not ontologically social’ (as aggregativity construals and mental-dispositions about social relations of extricatory temporal-dispositions are perfectly construable as of varying covert to overt ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’). Likewise a mental-disposition of ‘overt non-aggregative social disposition’ conceiving the social-setup reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation ‘as of inherent essence and to be upheld and maximalisingly recomposured’ (as appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) ‘is ontologically social’. The Social as such is an abstract construct not about the ‘equability in mutuality of the mortals that we are’ but rather the opportunity for transcendental construal of our potential for intemporality. Paradoxically and across all registry-worldviews this has always imply sociologically that uninstitutionalised-thresholds are in a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of these two divergent mental-dispositions with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology whether conceptualisation of the transcendental as defining prospective social ontology in a sense of intellectual solipsistic fulfilment driven by relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity or conceptualisation in
aggregativity/social-aggregation as of \(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\) wooden-language-\(<\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought}<\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>\) driven by social-aggregation-enabling, explaining the underlying confliction implied by any prospective institutionalisation as transcendental. This insight can be grasped from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective, when we garner that the ‘equability in mutuality of temporally-disposed minds as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup doesn’t supersede the ontological-veridicality of a social ontology insight providing anchoring for prospective positivistic institutionalisation construed reference-of-thought. Plausibly most likely the ‘developing consciousness-awareness-teleology mindset’ of such a ‘social ontology insight about prospective positivism’ (as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) may lead to its very own circumspection with the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness-and-teleology and possibly non-aggregativity. Consider the instance of such characters as Galileo and Newton, at the crossroad of ‘what is to be considered as valued meaningfulness-and-teleology’ with respect to the prospective as the posivistic registry-worldview/dimension and the prior as the non-positivism/medievalism world, as consciously-or-unconsciously they register that the prior needs to be ‘decentered’ and the prospective ‘centered’, even though by reflex the prior will construe of itself as undecenterable center of meaningfulness-and-teleology. This may go a long way in explaining such biographic accounts about Isaac Newton as unsocial wherein a naïve conceptualisation of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construal as virtue (in lieu of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework in its \(<\text{amplituding/formative}>\) epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-
as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context-of-intemporality will not factor in the inherent deficiency in value judgment of a non-positivism/medievalism inclined ordinary mindset/reference-of-thought from which such accounts are coming from (given such a society’s state of paradox of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–dementativity of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’) about a figure involved in ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting as partaking in the ‘inventing/creating’ of the structural/paradigmatic possibility (and the corresponding psychologism) for prospective positivism institutionalised-being-and-craft, more like biting a hand that intemporal-solipsistically as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality provides the opportunity for prospective structural/paradigmatic human flourishing, with the underlying fact being that inherently such a personality type rather as of a solipsistic-intemporality individuation disposition, by its contemplative reappraisal, is exactly what can provide the opportunity for such transcendental possibilities (when we come to grasp that the true profoundness of knowledge is more than just ‘mechanical as something construed soullessly’ without a more complete appreciation of knowledge as ‘organic as something construed with a profound sense of intemporal projection philosophy as to profound-supererogation’ with the idea that the type of knowledge construed as of first order transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity is not based on an ordinary notion of ‘intelligence as we’ll normally think of as simply technical’ but rather on such a sense of intemporal philosophical projection and more than just a ‘product’ for a materiality purpose but a driven sense of human emancipation). In fact, this equally points to a major flaw of the inherently implied value judgement in a lot of what passes for social sciences today explaining the vagueness, platitude and emptiness of little or no relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity implication as an epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag circular exercise, wherein the unabated recourse to naïve feel good averaging of thought mental-dispositions are equated with ontological-veridicality uncritically, rather than construing that the animal that we are is in want of knowledge as a construct that enable it to supersede/transcend itself rather than a vain exercise of nombrilism, in which case one may argue that each registry-worldview/dimension <amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to—leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} ideas should be the basis for construing its social science! In fact, technically Newton might be the most inclined person for social engagement but then will he as of intemporal projection be inclined to ‘go along as social’ where he construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> ‘the medieval social’ as in want of its further development (this highlights a contrast between a stigmatic/mented psychology of the present, as of any ‘present registry-worldview/dimension’, with value references related to as absolute without or poorly factoring in that the animal that is the human is rather a becoming animal in constant psychological development of its limited-mentation-capacity with respect to social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-
entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplitudering/formative>epistemic-totalising~in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as determining its value reference and defining its underlying placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, and hardly addressing such a more fundamental question as implied by ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’). In this respect, this makes many such so-called ‘social science approaches’ ‘poorly grounded on a social relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity’ more or less sciences of methodological mimicry, as we know that much of the ‘true sciences’ (including the natural sciences and many a true social science are not grounded on an epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construal but identify objective reality by its naturally constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, as differing from sovereign constructs, as the determinant of pertinence (and such profound transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity basis of knowledge are then bound to further redevelop sovereign constructs and conventions, with the sovereign constructs and conventions not becoming intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in of themselves but rather as of social, institutional, cultural, moral or historical reality of the human condition); though much more easier for the natural sciences as hardly any or nobody feels impinged today with scientific discoveries and inventions given that their transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of a positivism outlook psychologism of the world had taken place both in philosophical and practical scientific terms with the Descartes, Hobbes’s, Kants, Copernicuses, Galileos, Newtons, of the
past. Whereas a lot of present day social science is relatively pulled back in many an unsuspecting manner, by elicited emotional involvement and underlying constraints of their institutional setups. Such can equally be implied with regards to procrypticism from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as.infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism insight, wherein positivism–procrypticism is decentered and deprocrypticism is centered, and so in comprehensive psychologism terms; with the idea that the possibly unsavoriness is not of this author’s or anyone’s chosen but rather that the test for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory→de-mentativity set by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality requires us coming to terms with it, no lesser than the test set by positivistic transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory→de-mentativity in the non-positivism/medievalism epoch intrinsic-reality required them to come to terms with this, however unpalatable to many then, and this underlying vitality across all epochs as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, induced by prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is what counts as true knowledge beyond the blurriness-in-reflecting-and/or-coming-to-terms-with-implied-transcendence that often tends to arise with all institutionalisations institutionalised-being-and-craft erudition! More fundamentally, as previously highlighted with the mediocrity principle of science as it applies to humankind as well (as the notion of metaphysics-of-absence is pushed to its full implications over metaphysics-of-presence as our present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage), the reality of a human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor may actually more objectively (and so beyond-our-consciousness-awareness-teleology) point to the idea that institutionalisation (the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) as intemporalisation is actually ‘a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness recomposured abstract-construction/institutionalisation-designing’ which ‘in its operant effectuation (due to limited-mentation-capacity as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’) defines its very own prospective interspersing with uninstitutionalised-threshold’ articulated as ‘socially-functional-and-accordant temporalisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as from idiosyncratic individuations frame-of-reference at childhood to full-blown threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism individuations frame-of-reference at adulthood’; that is, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process or institutionalisation design construed rather as about reducing-human-temporalisation-(shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as uninstitutionalised-threshold, with such a notion of uninstitutionalised-threshold being the central notion of conceptualisation/construal for a thorough the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct (however counterintuitive from our natural thinking reflex metaphysics-of-presence ‘based on reasoning in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of cumulating institutionalisations’). Such a construal/conceptualisation of ‘institutionalisation as of uninstitutionalised-threshold’ will explain why with regards to ‘all the successive institutionalisations formal constructs’ as of their respective ‘comprehensive abstract setups of deferential-formalisation-transference institutionalised meaningfulness-and-teleology’, there is a tendency associated with their
corresponding extended-informality-\{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology\} wherein there is ‘parallel construed extended-informality-\{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology\} meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination’ of a subpar and occasionally of a superseding practical applicative bearing/effectiveness over the supposedly formal construct. By and large, this will often arise within the scope of blurry institutional setups not construed for operant effectiveness. Strangely enough we do actually tend to elicit such extended-informality-\{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology\} construal as more determinant when the principles of formal constructs are rearticulated operantly in extended-informality-\{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology\} meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination terms; and often contributing to institutional inefficiencies and failures of all sorts whether with respect to mismanagement, misappropriation, incompetence, etc. from a modern perspective of analysis. Further, the fact is such extended-informality-\{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology\} effect can be more than just about the operant effect but equally protracted as ‘designed-formalisation-ineffectiveness’ in ensuring the ascendency of extended-informality-\{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology\} meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination over formal constructs. By and large, this can be construed as the residual temporalisation effect arising from the fundamental reality of a human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor with respect to all
(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) and protracted-conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions contendingly perceive the sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers as the point of ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ when facing the ‘intrinsic-reality/veracity/ontological-pertinence transcendental enabler’. Concretely, the fact is that psychopathic postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> and conjugated-postlogism as ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ of postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> are ‘denaturing devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ towards the given institutionalisation’s sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers in order to override, undermine and escape from the intrinsic-reality/veracity/ontological-pertinence transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity. As in the case previously highlighted where a psychopath spoke to an interlocutor that it is a bad thing for a said individual to be molesting children, with its logic being sound from an abstract/virtuality appreciation but with the existential-reality of its ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology being utterly unfounded as a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge potentially enabling an infinite possibility of second-order level deception if re-engaged as of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. Where the interlocutor finds out that the other stranger isn’t really a child molester. The psychopath simply articulates another postlogic/perverted-outcome-sought-
precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness/formulaic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) over the previous narrative, and so in ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’. For instance, by saying (in a different social spatial location where the interlocutor cannot verify the underlying contextual reality) it is critical that the stranger should not be taking young children in his house as it suspiciously points to a molester (which is certainly a sound statement but rather being parasitised for a perverse purpose of ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers, as the statement, not to take young children into his house, is sanctifying/as-not-requiring-any-further-contemplation to many a supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism mind). Even if this latter narrative is proven to be false (as it is another perversion-of-reference-of-thought->as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or mental-perversion demonstrable as above with it faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the logic itself, but in wrongly implying as existentially real the ‘apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology such that the mere fact of engaging logically with it validates these fundamental falsehood as a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge paving the way for an infinite possibility of second-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge operating logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation on such false axioms. Thus, with respect to postlogism generally what is critical for the psychopath/postlogic-mindset is to be seen as being of prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism even if it is a perception of ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ (and not to be seen as being of postlogic compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation) since that will validate the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ on the basis that it was the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation that was wrong hence the possibility and credibility not to question and imply the denaturing of reference-of-thought as perverted reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and thus to wrongly re-engage logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation turning the issue into one of ‘notion of agreement or disagreement’ instead of construing a perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism manifestation’ implying and requiring intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing). This equally applies in the instance of derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as conjugated-postlogism by temporal-dispositions of
emancipation come from and are of the stock of the prior reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold registry-worldview/dimension, and such prospective emancipation involves such individuals own ‘moulting’, as actually intemporality/longness is a ‘potential construct of orientation’ as implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation) and it is only a devised institutionalisation construct that achieves that potential-construct-of-orientation and not any implied inherent emanance intrinsicness (though the meaningfulness as articulated as such, and as the meaningfulness in this entire paper, is rather of an intemporal register validation and not of any temporal register validation, since an authentic psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is what underlies transcendence-and-sUBLImity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity as a ‘deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’ existential-tautologisation/existential-reference pivot/decenter to reconstrue/reconceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology; more like a jurisprudential maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness contention for rehabilitation is not of the same meaningful-framework as a temporal mental-disposition of illicitness for shifty expectation of rehabilitation which it should necessarily anticipate and preempt). By that token there is no base-institutionalised individuation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, no universalised individuation in ununiversalisation, no positivistic individuation in non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively no deprocrypticism individuation in procrypticism; as at best such emancipating intemporal individuation are ‘mouling’ and implying-of-the-same of their registry-worldview in prospective institutionalisation design/conceptualisation, as the effective institutionalisation is what is really and effectively attained.
The notion of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as defining the registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds is rather a most real idea from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective wherein we can very much fathom out that the successive relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as the successively reducing-ontological-abnormalities of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation, non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation and procripticism uninstitutionalisation effectively speaks of their threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as the respective uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to the superseding–oneness-of-ontology which as existential-reality isn’t changed but rather the respective cumulating/recomposuring uninstitutionalised-thresholds are due to ‘changes in human meaningfulness and the teleological implications thereof’ confirming by extension that the reality of their threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism is veridical or a most real idea with implications on psychical-orientations/mindsets as structured by the ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’. However apparently logical this idea, it is an altogether different to mentally register the idea of such an threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism construct and perception about our own registry-worldview uninstitutionalised-threshold as procripticism just as it would be by reflex
difficult in all the successive registry-worldviews, often requiring a generation or more for transcendental implications to sink in. This threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism conceptualisation of ‘the social as at its uninstitutionalised-threshold threshold’ wherein the representation as ‘being in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ is more real (from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) than the actual placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect of conscious mindsets within the given uninstitutionalised-thresholds registry-worldview/dimension (as the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism insight is suprastructural to it or beyond-its-consciousness-awareness-teleology); is an ontological validation of Derridean hauntology/hantologie conceptualisation of the social in cinematographic terms of meaningfulness (and will seem very much akin, from an ontological perspective, to the central notion of ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as the superseding referential conceptualisation of ontology and inherently imbued with ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as a centering/decentering mechanism’ as implied in this paper, though hauntology/hantologie is not quite articulated in such more precise ontological terms but imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring notion of existential-reality in there can be grasped), and equally highlights the fundamental ‘paradox of post-structural deconstruction by its transcendental implications’, in that the mental-disposition/psychical-orientation of the present registry-worldview/dimension as positivism–procrypticism is not developed enough (in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of its reference-of-thought–categorical-
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation), just as the core non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought wasn’t developed enough to grasp the implications of created-and-accruing positivistic meaningfulness and redefined mindset/psyche inducted by the Descartes, Copernicuses, Galileos, Newtons, Kants, Rousseaux and it had to psychoanalytically-unshackle/memetically-reorder/institutionally-recomposure over generations ‘for what were re-originary-as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) outlying ideas to become the defining ideas of modernity’. Thus the apparent issues today raised with post-structuralism have as much to do with the psychical orientation (as underdeveloped) of its critiques as well as the requisite effort required to further develop, elucidate and focus it; and in this regard why there have been many serious and constructive criticisms of post-structuralism as required for any subject-matter, most of the ‘popular criticisms’ levied against post-structuralism fail to
past the test of intellectual criticism and have mostly been populist and media-driven attacks, gaining traction by social trending than genuine intellectual validity. The most popular being an initiative on an unrecognised social science journal which by that mere token disqualifies the so-called criticism but has turned out to be the most populist ploy by all accounts for condemning post-structuralism. Furthermore and critically, the intellectual exercise as with all institutional processes operate fundamentally on a basis of mutual trust. However, the methodologies, theories, concepts what can be articulated as new knowledge is not necessarily assessed on the basis that any peer review mechanism is absolutely full-proof particularly as the new knowledge is often at the margin of what is understood, and thus much of peer reviewing is not really an approval of the knowledge but rather an admission into the body of institutionally or formally acknowledgeable perspectives for further elucidation. Even then many a study not approved with peer reviewed journals have later on down the years ended up becoming dominant theory. So there isn’t any inherent sanctity in peer reviewing but for its practicality in formal knowledge organisation (and not even so with approval). Technically the majority of all new knowledge down the years will be found wanting in many ways, and the objective of the overall peer review process is to channel potentially admissible and debatable knowledge towards further elucidation in the overall scheme of establishing overall human knowledge as of veracity/ontological-pertinence. Review of new knowledge doesn’t end with a journal’s peer review though that point tends to be a ‘highly political point nowadays’ as of the increasing bean-counting institutional reflex of funding implications and sometimes at the detriment of novel approaches to knowledge. The abstract notion of reviewing goes well beyond journals approval and extends with the continual critiquing of knowledge whether dominant or outlying. Ultimately, the more fundamental test in such a negotiated process is a strive for consistency and validatory clues with no guarantees of effectiveness but for the overall consistency, as of the very cutting edge
of peer reviewed knowledge. Just for the sake of perspective here, it might equally be argued that peer-reviewing and by extension all epistemological and their corresponding methodological activities are not natural knowledge activities as of inherent pure-ontology in of itself but derived activities as of human norms, practices and policies for establishing thresholds that then enable articulated qualifications as of pure-ontology; in other words, any such epistemological and methodological activity is irrelevant if pure-ontology can be arrived at without it. Consider for instance that mathematicians hardly make use of experimental designs or that many secret research by corporations and government aren’t peer reviewed, at least not publicly. Besides at a more fundamental level the question can be asked what are the metaphysics-of-absence implications of knowledge epistemology, methodologies and peering as to the weightier construal of the successive human ontological developments involving increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought associated with the overall institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, beyond just an intra-positivism registry-worldview/dimension illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage conceptualisation of knowledge epistemology, methodologies and peering naively articulated-and-implied-as ‘universally applicable’, à la Kantian positivism registry-worldview/dimension <amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presence however remarkable, to all registry-worldviews/dimensions particularly since such a conceptualisation doesn’t factor in ‘transcendental implications’ as structurally/paradigmatically overthrowing/fazing-out/collapsing the uninstitutionalised-threshold of meaningfulness-and-teleology of the prior/old registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as a decentering subsumption; along the same line as the medieval ‘dogmatic scholastics’ insisting that the now established positivism registry-
worldview/dimension knowledge constructs, which were then transcendental, should conform to their ‘institutionalised dogmatic scholasticism methods and processes of reviewing’. By extension the question can be asked whether beyond our ‘amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag institutionalised positivism conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ whether such is truly in a ‘requisite contemplative-and-Being position as of the prospective transcendentally-enabling-level—of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism’ of ‘evaluating a construct of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory—de-mentativity’ as herein implied about futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology which paradoxically structurally/paradigmatically entails overthrowing/fazing-out/collapsing the positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as a decentering subsumption; when we factor that such a contemplation-and-Being as from a positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology is being called upon to evaluate as to ‘a meaningfulness-and-teleology world beyond its ordinary contemplation’ with the mental tools for such a prospective projection mostly of abstract projective contemplation for grasping the prospective organic-knowledge implied, and so beyond an ordinary evaluation within an implied same reference-of-thought. It should be noted here that the more pertinent quality for such implied transcendentalism as of its implied organic-knowledge beyond just a mechanical construct is ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality explaining the disparate nature of the development of human knowledge. This author as previously articulated points out that there is a more profound basis for how and why new/prospective knowledge whether outlying or main stream is socially integrated in driving ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism \textless amplituding/formative\textgreater epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ across all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as the very human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor implying that human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s have institutionalised-thresholds and uninstitutionalised-thresholds broken only in the medium to long-run beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-\textless in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought\textgreater ‘by a power relations dynamics structurally ingrained in the social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–\textless amplituding/formative\textgreater epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness); and so as of ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’, and thereafter the eliciting of positive-opportunism, deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of opposing axiomatic-constructs/references-of-thought that allows for the more ontologically-veridical to supersede as inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining. This is the
more profound suprastructural-construct of ‘human validation-conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge’ applicable across all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of ‘a notional futural différance’ construed as of a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, notwithstanding the more superficial constructions of ‘human validation-conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge’ within a same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation whether base-7/institutionalisation/animistic–universalisation shamanism, universalisation–non-positivism/medieval dogmatic scholasticism or our positivism–procrpticism ‘categorisation epistemes’; but also the conflatedness of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective depocrypticism ‘referentialism as epistemological’ (as of notional–depocrypticism which reflects ontological-construal along the full potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness). Such a notional futural différance as a suprastructural construct appreciation of epistemological implications about social integration of knowledge certainly informs a commitment to re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–depocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) ideas as being ultimately validatable in effect as of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, if that is as of what they truly are, in the medium to long-run. Basically the transcendental as (re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-
conflatedness’-of-notional-deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation)) originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination to a knowledge and its knowledge system however remote the origination, in the very first place, speaks of the notion of<br>&lt;amplituding/formative&gt;epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought associated with ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ behind any retrospective or prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought validation-conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge/ontological-construal. Ultimately, the very transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing between the prior registry-worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘the very paradox of meaningfulness-and-teleology explaining their discordance, construed as the paradox of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity’. In other words, if the former had a grasp of its state ‘as to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ with the transcendental structural/paradigmatic &lt;amplituding/formative&gt;epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity arising thereof it would have paradoxically transcended, thus explaining the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure nature of transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity as of a cross-generational exercise and why such implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology might seem arbitrary when meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather interpreted in terms of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought not factoring its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. But this is simply valid on the fact that a more
profound axiomatic-construct on a given domain of reality as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is of intemporal-or-ontological prioritisation as of its conflatedness relative to a less profound axiomatic-construct on that same given domain of reality as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its constitutedness, as the latter is rather in shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness to the former as of reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance—<including-virtue-as-ontology>.

organic effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology over a non-positivism/medievalism alchemic material construal. This further explains ‘the socially conflicted nature of all implied transcendental constructs’ whether with prophesying metaphysico-theological constructs of early times reflected in non-universal and universal creeds up to our metaphysico-ontological worldviews implied transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory–de-mentativity, and so as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor; but then humankind has always been called upon to show itself capable of superseding/surpassément for prospective possibilities to avail. A second weakness of many critiques is by naively misrepresenting post-structural meaningfulness, and going on to criticise this. For instance, such arguments about post-structuralism as a theory that has no worldview are not made by poststructuralists who in their transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism have been rather questioning openly what the reality of the meaningfulness they construct implies, as a basis for further intellectual development. This explains the convoluted responses of say Derrida because that is the intrinsic-reality insight at hand, and the issue is rather how to further develop. This will be tantamount to criticising early quantum physics for contending that the fundamental particles are rather like waves and evasive without yet establishing an advanced basis of the science. Knowledge is not an exercise of one set of individuals arguing against another nor is it a popularity contest but rather it is all about finding out what constitutes intrinsic-reality as it permits ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; intrinsic-reality being the superseding transcendental enabler, and not any humans no matter their statuses. A third
weakness has been by relating to poststructuralists as if they have got to get all their ideas right on by the instant, as if the theoretical framework isn’t in development like all theoretical frameworks (by the same token imagine all the unanswered questions that underlie quantum physics for over half a century that are still being elucidated, for instance, string theory which is so highly speculative but is still credibly a basis for research and analysis). The purpose of a theoretical framework is not to provide an immediate answer for everything but rather to provide a framework for constant critical development of ideas. Otherwise, it will be best to develop a correlational construct that may statistically be coherent with many arguments at any given point in time but is of little predicative or projective value because it hasn’t got a profundity as a genuine theoretical construct which may actually be mostly incoherent with many arguments at its earlier stage but provides a wealthy framework for the continuous articulation of ideas and resolutions, and this is actually the point of a theory in the very first place. It is thus no accident that many other disciplines have found post-structuralism as a relatively ideal tool for invoking much needed insight. A fourth criticism has to do with the ‘political nature’ of human affairs obviously, and even the intellectual is not beyond this especially with ideas of ‘socially-perceived disturbing implications’ (as has been the case throughout human history) and further so in a social domain that is not immediately amenable to predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as with the natural domain even though the latter equally faces similar issues but to a lesser extent. When we come to reflect that the leading poststructuralist of his time had an entire school, rather than focusing on developing research criticisms of his work and other poststructuralists (which would have been the more impressive thing to do) instead taking a ‘political stance’ for the denial of his recognition with an institution of higher learning. Thus it is obviously, naïve for anyone to think that intellectualism and ideas occur in an absolute neutral environment particularly when of socially-perceived disturbing implications. While it is
generally recognised that knowledge is determined on its own merits as an interest-free principle, the fact is in the real world of ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ situations, human mental-disposition is not that intemporal and principled, whether wittingly or unwittingly, and extra-intellectual meaningfulness becomes fair game. Fifthly, the argument of unintelligibility of post-structural meaning is outright ridiculous with respect to the exegetical aims of its authors, and no less so as expecting advanced chemistry, biology and physics writing to be popularly intelligible. Jargon is rather a mechanism of deferential-formalisation-transference permeating all subject-matters and disciplines, which speaks to the idea that the ‘ordinariness of thought’ is not the sound basis for construing issues raised in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of profoundness of contemplation. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process by its deferential-formalisation-transference is an exercise of shrinking the melee of common sense wherein spheres previously opened for common opinionatedness are shoved away as ‘deferred to’ specialisms whether institutional or subject-matters by the mere effectiveness, with ‘informed common and individual opinions’ being the panache for the expression of sovereignty whether about the polity or individual choices, but not to be confused as a sign of inherent knowledge as of popularity. The idea that there is a common sense social science is a falsehood no more than there is no common sense natural science, and intellectuals are irresponsible when peddling the notion that readers shouldn’t acquire the requisite ‘intellectual elevation’ to grasp the profundity of meaningfulness and rather expect that they should be able to satisfactorily engage at the same intellectual level (reference-of-thought) involving advanced studies and research on the basis of ordinariness of thought. This should not be confused with a popularising exercise meant to stir popular interest like popular science, though in fact there is no truly popular science for that matter but serious/candid science. Such a confusion can hardly arise in the natural sciences because of the ‘promptness
of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ in constraining veracity/ontological-pertinence of thought by the immediate effectiveness of studies, discoveries and inventions wherein a flaw thought proposition will be proven wrong by its ontological ineffectiveness with relatively little concern for third-party convincing over the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, whereas the ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity’ in the social sciences allows for propositions to crop up that are hardly constrained by immediate effectiveness of studies, discoveries and inventions, such that such propositions will often border on popular thinking or the political (technically) or a concern priorly driven with garnering support and agreement, rather than of genuine intellectual strife for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity. In this regard, the central tenet of poststructuralists with respect to their pursuit has been transcendently-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism with respect to their reflections, studies and research at all cost, even at the cost of many poststructuralists not recognising explicitly that they are poststructuralists or not recognising similarities in their works with other poststructuralists, so because fundamentally they can only vouch for their authentic reflections and analyses without a ‘surreptitious pretence’ for such amalgamation which will undermine their authenticity with regards to conceptualising intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with the idea that the notion of a commonness of their ideas and as a movement
will take care of itself if they are truly articulating an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
that reflects that commonness; more like the Indian story of blind men who came across an
elephant and each one sincerely/authentically said what their capacity enabled them to say, no
more no less, with the idea that if what they say is of-the-reality of an elephant, that notion
will take care of itself but their first posture is to say authentically what is in front of them.
This speaks of the essential nature of all sciences wherein the researcher considers the most
determinant element to be not itself or other humans (who are together mortals; mortal
because they/humans don’t really invent any rules of existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-on
tological-veridicality but rather at best discover them or utilise them as ‘supposed
inventions’ – and the scientist is all about a validation by intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality-as-the-transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity in
contrast to a mental-disposition of social-aggregation-enabler where the emphasis is naively
about convincing the other mortal or mortals over a validation by intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabler thus leading to subontology in-a-
social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation, rather than the
supersedingness/precedingness of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental
enabler) but the superseding transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-
mentativity which is intrinsic-reality/existential-reality/ontological-veridicality as reflected by
effectiveness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and projection; with the
latter wholly the focus of intellectual contention. The medical researcher involved in seeking
a cure by reflex is concerned about what the transcendental-
enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality/existence ‘naturally and best construed/conceptualised’ in the crafted jargon of
biomedical sciences will make available as cure as the ‘superior party’ over whatever they
themselves or for that matter any other humans no matter their statuses may ‘sovereignly’
want to think or imagine. This same notion applies in the construct of knowledge in the social sciences, the pursuit of the social scientist as the study of social reality is ‘not about convincing people or making sense to people’ (that can be accessory) but rather about grasping/conceptualising the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of the social as the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity whatever the jargon required for that purpose; the social education/enlightening exercise that arise thereafter just as a popular science exercise is an altogether different exercise of education and not first-level scientific engagement, and even then such education exercise will still call for a degree of intellectual elevation of the general public. It is critical that in the natural competition of intellectual ideas, intellectuals do not fall in the pattern of using debased or social feel good basis of non-intellectual logic in eliciting ‘mass thinking’ in order to advance their postures but rather fairly and squarely engage at the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality level in proving or disproving those they agree or disagree with as of ontological-primumovers-totalititative-framework ontological implications of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Sixth, thus the idea of deferential-formalisation-transference behind formal predicates of institutions and subject-matter specialisms is all about construing meaningfulness in a depth-of-thought (intemporality) that is not available to ordinariness of thought, wherein there is a disambiguating of the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as a construct of formalised reference-of-thought that is of intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/totalisingly-entailing/maximalising/transcendental over the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing informal reference-of-thought as melee of common sense of temporality/non-totalisingly-entailing/non-maximalising/non-transcendental constructions. The idea is that such a disambiguating is a necessity going by human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor requiring skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-
subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) towards the
intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as the ontological construct
that institutionalises (intemporalises). Hence such a skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-
subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity) in the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of shrinking the melee of common
sense involves developing institutional and subject-matter specialisms as
supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing narratives (for instance, the
developing sciences and institutional specialisms) that induce corresponding
untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining by
effectiveness on the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as the melee of
common sense inducing the latter’s ‘deference’, for instance, such deference as such postures
as the law says that…, physicists say that…, etc. and not a common sense posture of the sort I
think that…, thus relegating the melee of common sense out of the construal and
conceptualisation of institutional or domain specialisms which hitherto had been free-for-all
opinionatedness. Such an exercise is not just retrospective but prospective as well in the
expansion of human formalised constructs and including in this case the relatively profound
insights of such social science as post-structuralism which sadly get undermined
paradoxically by some critiques not by a same-level supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intellectual criticism but raising subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing narrative to wrongly imply that post-structuralism
should be as intelligible as common sense thinking, which is paradoxically never the case with say the jargon of law, natural sciences, etc. exactly for the reason highlighted above. The fact is the melee of common sense as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing hasn’t got the requisite intemporality/longness in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of universal projection of reference-of-thought and the logical-dueness/profile/presumption/assumptions/value-reference/teleology that arises from such a formal reference-of-thought (for instance, as the universal/intemporal proposition underlying this paper’s purported construct for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in grasping the phenomenon of postlogism in general and the general background human science conceptualisation; together with its exposure for falsifiability/validation from subsequent critical analyses). Such that there will tend to be ‘confusion of reference-of-thought’ where such subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing melee of common sense was apparently to act assumingly/presumptuously rather than ‘to defer’, or otherwise the instance where individuals assume the requisite intellectual elevation (whether by corresponding education and reflection) for a first-level engagement with such specialisms. As our melee of common sense defers when it comes to the natural sciences, it defers when it comes to the legal science, it shouldn’t expect otherwise but to defer when it comes to rigorous post-structural and other social science constructions however their approximations, and so as the best construction potential of human meaningfulness and teleological possibilities. On that same token the notion of validation of supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with respect to subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing is not one of contending/argumentative validation at a same contending pedestal but rather as a validation of the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought as intellectually-and-morally institutionalising and not implying its equivalence with subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing melee of common sense reference-of-thought, wherein for instance a consistent demonstration of a chemistry science (as supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) effectiveness earns chemistry science the deferential-
formalisation-transference of no longer being engaged at a same contending pedestal as the
melee of common sense with respect to human social contention about material constitution
in order to avoid the circular drawback of constantly making arguments in
<amplituding/formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
terms—
as-of-axiomatic-construct, such that social deference is now institutionalised as ‘chemists say
that/it is said in chemistry that’ rather than a social melee of common sense equivalence of
‘chemists think that but I also think that going by my common sense’. This argumentation is
not idle as the social sciences as ‘being closest to human conscious sense of sovereignty’ tend
to be most affected by such fallacies as highlighted that should be superseded by all
knowledge whether natural or social-construct, and while such notion are often intuitively
grasped with other formalisms whether institutional, legal or in the natural sciences subject-
matter specialisms, for the social sciences there is a need to actively bring this notion to the
consciousness-awareness-teleology in order to circumvent such nature of knowledge fallacies
with regards to an emotionally charged domain that is the social. This equally explain why
the studies of the social are easiest prone to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, whether
beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought>, as even where contending intellectual postures are of relative elevated formal
knowledge paradigm, it is quite easy for a muddling with <amplituding/formative>wooden-
language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) mentality in order to advance one intellectual posture, and so as intellectual politics rather than genuine intellectualism. Seventh, as advanced by this author the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of intrinsic-reality as reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process validates and restores the notion of essential meaningfulness (the notion of a center – be it conceptualised as an ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’) to post-structural thought as its scholars had rather previously mostly focussed on disambiguating/clarifying the certitude/lack-of-certitude of human meaningfulness and thought. Even then the practical application and conceptualisation of post-structural meaningfulness has always been one that has tended to restore a sense of re-equilibrium with respect to perceived vested interest and skewed power relations whether with regards to its articulation in feminist studies, postcolonial studies, power relations in social settings with regards to appropriate deliverance and more responsive public services, etc. as post-structuralism has often been a framework giving weaker and subjected meaningful frames public voice. Thus the so-called ‘human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation of post-structuralism’ has been in real and practical world terms more a question of abstract reconstructive thinking since such practical applications have tended to be effective further highlighting the need rather for more decentering contemplations. Besides, post-structuralism practical emphasis has mostly been methodical rather than dogmatic. In the bigger scheme of things, this author further highlights that post-structuralism by implying ‘decentering’ is implying transcendence-and-
sublimity/sublimation/supererogatory~de-mentativity or an ‘existential-reference/existential-tautologisation pivoting/decentering’ such that ‘the center’ as the new basis of analysis/knowledge-construct has moved to the prospective/transcendental/superseding reference-of-thought putting into question the now-and-present way of thinking as prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. What has been misconstrued is exactly the idea of ‘existential-conversion’ that is actually central to all subject-matters wherein the abstract articulation of principles is of existential-tautologisation/existential-reference neutrally. For instance, physics principles can be used for either aggressive and warring applications or peaceful and life-enhancing applications, and to say that physics principles are wrong because these can be construed as applicable for non-peaceful purposes is to misunderstand the fundamental nature of theoretic knowledge as fundamentally construing the possibility of existential-reality. Hence human application of knowledge as ‘human existential-conversion’ implies human self-preservation disposition in redefining meaningfulness-and-teleology from existential-tautologisation/existential-reference as of human subpotent existential-teleology within the full potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency,-disclosed-from-prospective-epistemic-digression-as-of-<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,-in-supererogatory~epistemic-conflatedness. In other words, abstract post-structural construct as any other theoretical constructs have no commitments to upholding any value-disposition and teleology but rather construe the ontological possibility conflated as of existential reality. The idea of discretely eliciting value-disposition and teleology choices/options is a secondary exercise of human social application (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest concepitivity/epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting <amplituding/formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–
derived-parameterising) and $\langle\text{amplituding}/\text{formative}\rangle$-entailment-{as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent--factuality-of-variability})’ and so with regards to the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>), and specifically with regards to the practical application of post-structural thought as a re-equilibrium exercise derived from the ‘theoretic reshuffling-of-the-cards/putting-into-question’. Thus post-structuralism being so construed as ontologically-driven (having a center as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence grasable by ‘the dynamics of metaphysics-of-absence or postdication insight with respect to metaphysics-of-presence’ involving diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence/increasing-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in construing-ontological-veridicality as determined-by-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context due to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<$\text{amplituding}/\text{formative}$>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} as ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity to deeper limited-mentation-capacity{(as of relative conflation) development’}) effectively heralds post-ideology as ideas and notions are validated/invalidated by their demonstrated ontological-veracity/ontological-pertinence. In order words the supposed ontological-terms of notions and ideas are the basis for their analysis as ontologically-pertinent or impertinent, and so more than just perfunctory analyses constrained by the limiting framework of institutionalised-being-and-craft constructs and setups but at an existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications level highlighting the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of ontologically-driven analysis over ‘habits’, ‘conventions’ and rights-of-
precedence/entitlement fallacies. Post-structuralism as such should posit to remedy and supersede the inherent ‘conceptual hyperbole’ imbued in the often ‘poorly-ontological, non-ontological or metaphysical constructions permeating ideologies’ and projected as worldviews, to ‘restore existential veracity/ontological-pertinence as the central notion behind worldview construction and representation’, and so beyond just ‘present-driven conceptualisations’ of ideologies, but of an insight derived from a historical and anthropological depth with respect to human mentation, meaningfulness and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as implied by a suprastructuralism highlighting of metaphysics-of-absence or postdication. Such a grounding of post-structuralism provides the underlying ontological outlet of analysis with regards to issues and conundrums of veracity/ontological-pertinence faced by earlier poststructuralists like Sartre (not often recognised as a poststructuralist but whose work interpretively does fit the mould, just as the works of many ‘seriously engaged’ critiques of post-structuralism like Gadamer and Habermas have been highly beneficial to post-structuralism), Foucault and Derrida when it came to draw out veracity/ontological-pertinence from such hyperbolic traditional ideologies including Marxism as constructs highly laden with metaphysics/non-ontology, on the one hand, while addressing, on the other hand, the imbued liberal and neoliberal dogmas of their times wrongly upholding that its ‘dogmatic practices and conventions’ are beyond ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction, and pertinently so by highlighting their underlying ontological failures with recurrent just about decadal institutional crises and social malaises, speaking of the ontological-wobbliness of a liberal thought that has become highly contradictory as marked by its very own perpetual second-guessing. Eighthly, it is this author’s ‘suprastructural contention’ that human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor and a social world is
inherently hampered by a blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity’. Thus approaching a scientific study of the Social on the same operational basis as that of the natural world is necessarily deficient as the latter’s immediacy of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity as well as the fundamental pivoting/decentering of understanding involving the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that took place starting over 500 years ago in establishing the positivising/rational-empirical mindset/reference-of-thought by the Galileos, Newtons, Leibnizes, Darwins, etc. of the world, such that an Einstein could perfectly articulate the idea of the-theory-of-relativity that would normally make no sense even to the majority of the scientific community at the time but for the ‘very strength’ of the established positivistic/rational-empiricism psyche (operating on the basis that what predicates on rational-empirical basis takes precedence) already established which ensured its transcendental enabling. The positivistic/rational-empirical psyche today, it is this author opinion, is not strong enough (of sufficient ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in construing-ontological-veridicality as determined-by-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context for the further development today of the study of the Social as of its fleeting nature (on such terms of what predicates should take precedence). It must be said that the notion of transcendental enabler with regards to the Social today is rather relatively weak such that critically a lot of the basis for the social sciences today is influenced rather by practice, authority, and more or less intellectual-politics driven beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought>, rather than truly ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework deterministic ontological ‘projected constructs’. Consequently despite the projected candour, the study of the social is inevitably permeated with ‘intellectual-ontological-bad-faith’ (unconsciously or consciously), and by this is meant it will be naïve to think that all issues of intellectual disagreements with respect to the study of the social are necessarily in purely logical terms without factoring the possibility of ‘intellectual perfidy’. What the blatant constraining of the natural world can do to thinking by mere ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework under the rational-empiricism paradigm is often weakly possible with the Social particularly where there is perceived interest to act otherwise. This is particularly the case with regards to the undermining of social criticism and especially post-structuralism with the intellectual standards of such criticisms strangely enough falling incredibly so low (and mostly finding credibility by ‘pride of place’ of intellectual engagement often beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> abused as objective bases of intellectual criticism get discarded easily for highly subjective ones); and this author equally holds that a ‘fully emancipated social science’ will only prevail with the requisite pivoting/decentering of understanding as deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought psychoanalytical-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, which should enable the attainment of a suprastructural/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> level of social thought involving deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. More like in many ways the level of thought in the natural sciences is wholly divorced from our consciousness-awareness-teleology and is fully transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory-de-mentativity by confirmatory existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with little or no social-aggregation-enabling but say for human
organisational issues and wrong preconceptions induced by social-aggregation-enabling. This arises because it is inevitable to have conscious or unconscious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity just going by human temporal-to-intemporal nature without an inherently strong transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity. While in the natural and mathematical sciences the subject-matter by itself is highly transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory~de-mentativity this is not the case with the subject-matter of the social due to its high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction requiring rather a further strengthening of ontologising rules as of knowledge-notionalisation and abject-ontologising-recomposuring (deprocrypticism as preempting-procrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) beyond the present just positivistic/rational-empiricism striving social science bringing together profound insight with causal effectiveness. This doesn’t necessarily imply a naïve mimicry of the experimental approach as is often the case it can be argued as prevalent in the psychological sciences, and even in the natural sciences there is need for thorough insight when experimenting like say much of quantum physics is often based on elaborate abstractness of thought that is merely validated by critical confirmatory experiments. In fact, this author will contend that the overall ‘insightful empirical’ conceptualisation of this paper is actually more profound than catches the eye in a naïve empirical sense that cannot see beyond our positivistic registry-worldview to recognise human successive transcendental states like recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism; as even empirical conceptualisations requires insight and it is more than just a matter of obtaining results because an experiment has been made which is certainly simplistic as the very existential state of things when disambiguated
is actually a more profound notion of experiment. It is interesting to note that this argument on the specific basis of (conscious or unconscious) ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity for the requisite condition of a ‘fully emancipated social science’ is more than just of circumstantial and idle implication but is rather construed as a structural/paradigmatic notion much like saying it is impossible to have a fully emancipated science in a transitory non-positivism/medievalism to positivistic social-setup still emphasising essences and supranatural causations over a transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–dementativity of rational-empiricism/positivising based knowledge of intrinsic-reality, as transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity positivistic contentions will still be undermined with such a discrepancy of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> in the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct. Likewise, the positivism–procrypticism meaningful-frame is not sufficiently beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of social-aggregation-enabling with respect to its social reality subject-matter as of its spurious/remote nature, for a more profound transcendental-enabling/sublimating/supererogatory–de-mentativity (unlike the relative case with the physical reality subject-matter as immediate) as required for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridical transcendental enabling. Thus, the only credible logic this author can think of is that post-structuralism as one of the major critical theories given its potential ontological vigour has been seen as a threat with a deliberate covert non-intellectual effort to stifle it and limit its influence often having to do with misrepresenting the ideas and implications of the ideas of its main proponents (as in fact, one of the central issue with
regards to post-structural thinking with respect to other intellectual postures has had to do with the unusually high level of accusations of its proponents of misrepresentation of their ideas by many of their critiques whether with respect to such accusations of nihilism or untruth, with a central characteristics of many of such critiques being a failure of recognising exactly the central point of post-structural thinking as rather ‘a putting-into-question/shuffling-of-the-cards for a more profound perspective for ontological analysis’. Consider in this case one media-driven and popularised argument that Karl Rove ‘we make our own reality’ quote during the Bush mandate, is due to post-structuralism. Such arguments are revealing of the ‘non-intellectual spirit’ of many such critics, and in this instance wrongly intimating that Karl Rove considered himself a poststructuralist whereas a sincere take will garner that this is nothing other than a Machiavellian, opportunistic and unprincipled statement than ‘truly post-structural theory inspired’ as with or without post-structuralism it is no less likely that the same statement would have been uttered. And the pseudointellectual exercise of linking the two is revealing not only of such out-of-the-way criticism but equally the ‘wayward mindset’ that is often brought into supposedly rigorous social science on the basis of such anything-goes-rhyming-logic! Post-structuralism generally occupy a relatively sound position when it comes to all the practical applications of post-structural thought which, to say the least, have always highlighted a sense of re-equilibrium rather than the bogus and insincere criticisms of nihilism or untruth which this author construes as ‘in-effect ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ of ‘parodying’ of poststructuralists positions and analysing the ‘parody’ in usurpation as against a genuinely candid critical intellectualism of their true postures in authenticity. Post-structural exposition of the realities of the social are not value judgements in themselves just as natural sciences exposition of natural and physical reality doesn’t carry any value judgements. For instance, discovering that bacteria cause disease is a simple objective truth then giving rise to human animate-existential-
referencing/subjectification inducing the teleological meaningfulness to pivot/decenter that knowledge into avoiding disease and finding cure for diseases. This is no more different with post-structural thought which is not a metaphysical/ideological advocacy but telling the social reality for what it is, with human pivoting/decentering to apply that knowledge for its defined teleological meaningfulness. One of the serious consequence of such a weakened social criticism driven by such a targeted and induced atmosphere of quasi-anti-intellectualism is the result that the domain of the political economy and corresponding economic interests have been spared from the critical analysis of such powerful ontological tools; specifically going by the issues of misallocation and inequality we face today based on axioms of models that remain critically beyond analysis, as effectively an anti-intellectualism with respect to social criticism including post-structuralism is cultivated in favour of a default socially uncritical political economy practice (with the cover-up of an ‘intellectually platitudinal’ media) to protect them. Notwithstanding the impressive theoretical conceptualisations of an ever second-guessing economics science, the ‘underlying liberal political economy axiomatic constructs’ on which it rests are massively arbitrary, flawed and degenerate; and this is one area in which developed social criticism including post-structuralism could do an excellent job in debunking the ‘underlying mysticism’, as the domain of the political economy beyond competition of ideas at such a fundamental level is the very foundation of the uncritical preservation of such axioms. Such issues as political choices for bailouts, reallocations and remuneration practices are strictly speaking not economic science issues but political economy issues that require a criticism with respect to social choice about the political economy, but this has been usurped uncritically as if of a natural economic allocation mechanism (a falsehood). This author makes this latter point on the belief that knowledge is an existential exercise and that the intellectual should sincerely put their ‘hand in fire’ at the risk of being proven wrong, as the intellectual exercise is not one of self-veneration but
discovering the truth (even at the risk of sounding/looking ridiculous). If there is one area of speculative thinking allowed to this author in this paper, it is such a proposition together with the idea that it is incredible to think that a lot of the criticisms directed to post-structuralism since the 1980s arises out of such (it is herein contended) ‘intellectual triteness’ by such critics particularly going by the ‘frivolous arguments’ advanced compared to the high intellectual standards they have been able to show elsewhere, together with the notion that these have tended to be unusually media driven in inducing a populist effect. Imagination will point to the idea that something much more ‘cynical and non-intellectual’ must be at work but passing for legitimate intellectualism; or is it, more like the medieval scholasticism erudition establishment more or less grasping the true implications of a non-medieval positivistic thinking on the whole intellectual, belief system and social-construct, and cynically upholding notions they knew better to be wrong but for their overall sense of preservation of their present and their present interests. This impression can be extended as well with respect to the idea of the social implications of postlogism-as-of-compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation as of its ontological-resolution (aetiollogisation/ontological-escalation) in all the successive registry-worldviews given human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. As we can grasp that an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as resolution for non-positivism/medievalism world postlogism which is more than just palliative/incidental-in-its-implication with regards to a specific instance or specific instances of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery for instance, but rather construing the whole non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ (as of
metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales as enabling the possibility of the phenomenon of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and other vices-and-impediments of the state of non-positivism/medievalism and thus requiring structurally and comprehensively a positivistic ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will structurally elicit a non-positivism/medievalism world sense of ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’ that wouldn’t necessarily construe the social manifestations of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery with their associated vices-and-impediments as abstractly and ontologically unwarranted universally (which we know was actually the case, with the ‘establishment’ idea being that the masses didn’t need to know about such ‘positivistic stuff’ even if such stuff was ontologically-veridical), to ensure its ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’. Likewise an articulation as of aetiologicalisation/ontological-escalation (ontological-resolution) that is more than just palliative/incidental-in-its-implication with respect to the notion of psychopathy and social psychopathy with regards to a specific instance or specific instances of psychopathy and social psychopathy but by pointing to the bigger picture to the procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,’threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (as enabling the possibility of the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy as of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales as well as other vices-and-impediments of procrypticism structurally and comprehensively requiring a deprocrypticism ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will structurally elicit a human procrypticism sense of ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’ that wouldn’t necessarily construe the social manifestations of psychopathy and social psychopathy with their associated vices-and-impediments as abstractly and
totalising--in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the defect) as at adulthood, the postlogism ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation tends to extend as conjugated-postlogism ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation involving the temporal elicitation of derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought--<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and it is thus naïve to construe postlogisms without such a corresponding differentiation of social analysis in the construing/conceptualisation of ontological-veridicality. Now the criticism of populism-driven critiques of post-structuralism is not raised idly, as an exercise that purports to articulate such breadth and depth of novel ideas as this paper does necessarily requires that the authorship effectively assume the profile and presumption that the implied knowledge construct warrants (which obviously every truly intellectual spirit will appreciate for what it is, if not agree with the arguments). Such an articulation is driven by the idea that knowledge as a transcendence-enabling construct is more than just about its craftiness/technique but part and parcel of the intellectual exercise is to articulate meaningfulness by its existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications. And just as faced with the evasive nature of quantum theory the physicists never said reality is wrong since it is difficult to understand, likewise it is naïve to imply that the reality reflected by post-structuralism is wrong because it doesn’t quite fit into our ordinary everyday way of thinking (that is exactly the point, our ordinary everyday way of thinking is in want of its further development, just as all prior ordinary everyday ways of thinking had to be psychoanalytically-unshackled)!