Besides and together with a study of the social sciences and philosophy, this author’s intuitive confidence in this hermeneutic design insights that underlies the arguments and discourse, is inspired from ‘an intimate and spontaneous idiosyncratic philosophical exercise (praxis) in the quest for the essence of meaning’, a ‘craft’ that has been nurtured continuously for nearly 25 years now (without conscious planning at the beginning nor at any time thereafter) since his discovery of ‘philosophical questioning and discourse’ at high school. An exercise that mirrors the intimate idiosyncratic exercise/praxis allowing an artist like a musician to grasp and develop memes that latter down the years enable the artist to be more or less ‘consummate with respect to the personal orientation they give to their arts’. Central to all such idiosyncratic processes is a continuous idiosyncratic memetic refinement over time of rough-cuttings, internal coherences, insights, inspirations, intuitive validations, constraining, sense-of-failing, sense-of-succeeding, confidence, mental inflections and mental projections; of course as per ability and ultimate pertinence with respect to intrinsic reality!
Abstract

This paper is rather a profound hermeneutic enunciation putting into question our present understanding of psychopathy. It further articulates, in complement, a novel theoretical and methodological conceptualisation for a hermeneutic psychological science. Methodology-wise, it puts into question a traditional more or less categorical and mechanical approach to the social and behavioural sciences as it strives to introduce a creative and insightful approach for the articulation of ideas. It rather seeks to construe the scientific method as being more about falsifiability and validation but driven by a sense of creative understanding and insight of notions laid out as open-ended conceptualisations. Theory-wise, it sees continuity between anthropology and psychology as anthropopsychology behind an entropic construct of human psychology based on a recurrent re-institutionalisation mechanism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.
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An Intimate Insight on Psychopathy and a Novel Hermeneutic Psychological Science

Introduction

Quite possibly everything about this paper whether the authoring, the approach and the substance sparks of novelty bordering on the outlandish. Further, why not take a traditional categorical approach and clearly present scientific ideas the traditional way? It is a personal insight developed more than 20 years ago, and just when the author began his B.Sc. in Sociology and Anthropology; that a study of the social and behavioural should carry the philosophical and insightful at its very core above anything else given the inherent ephemeral nature of its subject matter. When I came across the term hermeneutics (and others like phenomenology), this author felt as a personal persuasion that that was the chart for the future of the social sciences. My vision in this regard is one of a social science that delves directly into the core of things and avoids platitudes. To come back to the point of this abstract, this explains my apparently tattered approach. But tattered really? No, as the central insight of my articulation is that the scientific method is a validation and falsifiability method, and not necessarily the creative method. The creative method as a hermeneutics isn’t supposed to roll down and stifle its very expressiveness, and at the same time it should be articulated in such a way that an exercise of falsifiability, validation and open-ended questioning can be undertaken over it. Such a hermeneutic science calls for a mutual sense of such a hermeneutics by both the author and would-be critic. I hopefully believe the way I have articulated ideas should be able to allow for such an examination. My hermeneutic inspiration in this regard can be analogised with musical creation and music theory. The latter is there to
ensure the appropriate articulation of rules but is not really the drive of musical creation, as musical creation is rather the musician’s hermeneutical insight of how to go about creating music while adhering to music theory, such that any such music is analysable/critiqued by the way it credibly adheres to music theory, and actually in exceptional cases further develop music theory. A second point that makes this method ideal is that the apparent enunciation of this paper (an outright call for a reinvention of the state of the art regarding our understanding of psychopathy and the underlying psychology science); is that it is doubtful such an articulation can be credibly presented in simple categorical terms, without rather utilising an entropic hermeneutic-referential approach based on an open-endedness for falsifiability and validation in future elaboration and development of ideas. Further, I thought it more critical (wary of platitudinising the occasion) that the purity of ideas expressed herein shouldn’t be overly clouded particularly as the treatment of this paper is largely in substance virgin territory, as of the underlying conceptualisation referential drive (beyond just simplistic rhyming/speculative/interpreted categories of philosophical theories and concepts but rather as ‘a driven distinct comprehensively coherent/contiguous operant-level of insights articulation, and carrying implicative and applicative operant-level possibilities going forward’; more like a song is a coherent referential whole beyond just naïve categories of disjointing/disparateness/disentailing percussions-and-tunes-more-or-less-similar-to-those-of-the-song construed as constituting the song.) As a matter of fact, I would rather I wrote another paper talking about influences for such an articulation for this paper going by my hermeneutic design insights. Moreover, going by the very nature of how humans develop new ideas; while many, if not most, of my arguments may be more or less ‘plainly intelligible’, I equally thought it important to articulate ideas I hold in deep conviction and further as many such ideas come with their requisite precise convoluted qualifications even if such ideas might not be quite intelligible from a plain and simple reading, with the notion that
such a requisite insight will be forthcoming in future critique as the very nature of the introduction of new ways of thinking often mean their unintelligibility at first (equally explains my repeating of many terms for ‘habituation’), but then it is not the pertinence of reality that compromises it is the impertinence of human certitudes that does!

In the bigger scheme of things, it is herein contended that human social and institutional progress and development is not structurally/paradigmatically contiguous as to the very inherent nature of any given institutionalised framework as all such frameworks arrive at apathetic threshold as these rather develop into denaturing \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)-wooden-language-(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) stifling prospective possibilities, thus requiring prospective fundamental reconception. While such prospective re-projection/re-anticipation recognises prior human cumulated knowledge as enabling institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure right up to the present, it also recognises at a certain point the ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge predisposition and its developed temporal institutional self-serving predisposition’ becomes critically a drawback for the possibility of knowledge-reification of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, as the dimensionality-of-sublimating—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)-epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation behind the ‘inventing’/‘creation’ of prior knowledge fades into secondnatured mechanical dispositions requiring the renewal of dimensionality-of-sublimating—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)-epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation prospectively. At which point, the more decisive issue is recognising and assuming the reality
of a fundamental apriorising/axiomatic/referencing intellectual break/schism/estrangement with such ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge predisposition and its developed temporal institutional self-serving predisposition’, as so-implied across sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing between non-universalising sophistry and prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation as well as in the case of medieval-pedantic dogmatism and prospective budding-positivism, and it is herein contended likewise with regards to our modern day intellectual-muddlement-

{(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (associated with a predisposition for disparateness-of-conceptualisation–<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-

‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>) and prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—

disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (foregrounding—entailment–(narrowing-down–

sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-

supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-

notional–deprocrypticism as of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-

spontaneity-implications–<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-

thought,–in-epistemic-conflicatedness–as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-

projective-perspective,–to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-

to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence>). Underlying all such
apriorising/axiomatic/referencing intellectual break/schism/estrangement because of teleological-decadence–as-lacking-in–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge predisposition and its developed temporal
institutional self-serving predisposition’ as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness perspective, as so-reflect ed in a <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) critically absconding (in
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as to limited-mentation-capacity
implications) on the basis of the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ecstatic-
existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications<as-to-existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-
the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-which-latter-human-
subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-
abnormalcy/preconvergence> (and rather reverting to eliciting untransvaluated—temporal-
intemporality values being passed for knowledge-reification while undermining the
prospective relative-ontological-completeness implications of dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as for
instance when statistics as the outcome of prior human originariness-parrhesia,—as—
spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in resolving prior human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint are
turned around to falsely imply progress occurs anyway to then paradoxically imply
surreptitiously there shouldn’t be any prospective human originariness-parrhesia,—as—
spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in resolving prospective human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint), is the
issue of the fundamental lack of dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as ‘knowledge becomes increasingly mechanical’ and is rather a secondary and derivational tool for temporal self-serving posturing and is poorly perceived as worthy in of itself but for the imprimaturing so projected and the perceived temporal social-value arising with such imprimaturing and as it is increasingly associated with generalised incuriosity in genuine intellectual development and the substituting of mere imprimatur totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought over genuine knowledge-reification as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. This has developed in our present age of intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) into the absurdity/ridiculousness of pop-intellectualism substituting for genuine and reifying thought, as to the relentless expansion of our modern merchandising mentality to which nothing resists; and paradoxically, such a disposition hangs onto the ‘dereified as-deficient-reflexivity of our<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) it then sophistically usurp in its teleological-degradation rather than teleologically-elevating it out of its<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>); with media-driven imprimaturing increasingly usurping the role of genuine academic standard
production and ultimate validity hanging on the mere imprimatur. As what becomes critical in such a context is no longer prospective knowledge-reification as the primary and essential constraining worth but rather obsession with mere sway and influence even to the point of undermining prospective knowledge-reification as supposed intellection is increasingly infused with obfuscations, falsehoods and subterfuges (as to the fact that misrepresentations and pretences to misunderstand are rather conveniently given as of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction and hardly reflecting a discernment about the possibility for advancing human progress) that apparently render human-subpotency/mortality bigger than existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confiliatedness/immortality. But then human intellection across all ages and times come to an end not because of inherently right or inherently wrong ideas per se (as the very basic genuine striving for intellectual progress is what is critically decisive as that exercise ensures that down-the-line correct and reifying ideas will arise anyway), but critically when deliberate deception-and-induced-deception-as-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity becomes more important than an aspiration for genuine intellection as an open-ended activity providing the possibility for human knowledge and reflexive empowerment from that knowledge. At which point, it is wrong for ‘genuine intellection’ not to recognise what is going on as to imply that it is veridically in dialogical-equivalence with such deception-and-induced-deception-as-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (whether or not, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) as this only leads to a destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance habituation and enculturation/endemisation of such deception-and-induced-deception-as-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity rendering the supposedly empowering activity of knowledge-reification
impotent as in many ways such denatured intellection openly claims as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> inclinations that poorly appreciate existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness implications of transcendence. In many ways this intellectual falsehood (so-construed by this author as to the implausibility of genuine lack of understanding as from a serious intellectual engagement but rather a ‘strategic/calculated behaviour of mere power even against genuine knowledge’ which this author intimately construes as a ‘decadent and dangerous conception of knowledge’ that is effectively destructive of prospective human knowledge reifying and empowering possibilities) is at the ‘root source’ for surreptitiously ensuring that the public debate fails and thus leading to public policy defaulting into vested postures and interests especially so when such an intellectual teleological-decadence–as-lacking-in<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness whether by mystifications-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity-that-are-vague-and-imprimatur-driven, misinterpretation-of-statistics-holistic-implications, denial-of-relativism-thus-foiling/undermining-relative-ontological-completeness-implications/conclusions/projections-of-prospective-knowledge-reification-in-a-dumbing-down-posturing-that-implies-that-the-present-is-unchangeable-as-of–presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, etymological-flouting-as-of-mere-conceptual-patterning-and-mere-stigmatising-of-competing-theories-and-concepts-on-the-naivety-that-such-stigmatising-representation-will-undermine/override-their-analysable-ontological-veracity and an-approach-as-of-the-ordinary-egotistic-perspective-in-existential-extrication-that-absolutises-the-present-that-is-passed-as-knowledge-reification all undermining informed insight and the requisite human intellectual and emotional sacrifice for genuine
knowledge-reification and prospective progress involving the authentic self and social transformation rather than ‘gimmicks instilling a merchandising mentality of ideas’. This then provides paradoxically the underlying meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for upholding the status quo and inducing in many ways the impotence of the social sciences in thoroughly addressing human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of society that ultimately have serious structural/paradigmatic consequences associated with institutional failures (which such intellectualism is hardly inclined to address). Critically, such a ‘self-contented intellectualism’ increasingly focuses not on knowledge-reification as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness or the critical analysis of such knowledge-reification but in the face of criticism rather consciously substitutes strategies of institutional ascendency as of a strategy of influence by default imprimatur status rather than genuine knowledge-reification pertinence. It will be as naïve as implying the validity of a common basis for doing arithmetic where an interlocutor insists on 2 + 2 as 5 but when appropriately explained the veridical assumptions of arithmetic goes on to insist 3 + 3 as 7, speaking not of a fundamental problem of arithmetic operation as of dialogical-equivalence but a fundamental question of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity on the naïve mental reflex that anyway dialogical-equivalence is ever always assumed to then adopt an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing attitude of abusing the notion of dialogical-equivalence as to wrongly implied logical-dueness. Faced with such an orientation the genuine intellectual reaction is to engage it upfront as of an inclination ‘not just to evaluate logical coherence as of correctness or incorrectness or any other evaluation in-between on the basis of ontological-good-faith/authenticity’, but beforehand ‘to equally evaluate the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-
bad-faith/inauthenticity (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology->in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) as of underlying existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidation/deblurring as well as whether the veracity of such apriorising/axiomatising/referencing can be established as being of relative-ontological-completeness implications as construed necessary herein and overriding naïve apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of presencing–absolutising-identitive-constitutedness in relative-ontological-incompleteness (that seem to undermine the absolute a priori of existence and imply that when existence doesn’t fit/digresses-from its conceptual-moulds then existence must have an inherent issue strangely enough as to be ignored/overcome by the stubborn/dogged/political upholding of such defective conceptual-moulds over inherent knowledge-reification implications as of existential-reality’). We can appreciate that while many a subject-matter will often seem to imply that dialogical-equivalence is just assumed ‘as to the fact of merely engaging as of logical coherence without questioning the underlying ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality in ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, the fact is this is rather the consequence of their universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework rendering the possibility of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity directly ridiculous as in the natural sciences given its direct universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) subjection to prediction, such that we can hardly contemplate of an interlocutor insisting to imply that gravity on earth is 7 m/s² to ensure that calculations conform to its expectations for one interest or another; but the reality
of that universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{-epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness}) \text{ as }

preempting such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity inclinations is not so directly obvious in many a social domain-of-study and that blurred possibility effectively elicits circumstances of

disparateness-of-conceptualisation-\langle\text{unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-}

‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’\rangle not only as of wrong ontological-conception out of
good-intent (failing ‘technical ontological-good-faith/authenticity’ as of its ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective conceptualisation) but equally as
of outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (in spirit). This idea is essential in the thought
of many such postmodern thinkers as Derrida and Foucault given the implications of human
limited-mentation-capacity as herein construed as reflecting human constructiveness-of-
ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-\langle\text{uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality}\rangle–of-ontological-performance. The fact is knowledge-reification is of ‘existential \langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{-epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construal for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–}\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{-epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as–}

sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’ and nothing can be construed in totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought whether as of ignoring or
on the other hand exaggerating, and just as we can fathom that we don’t have the choice to
fiddle with even a single number or operation without a mathematical equation going wrong
as of its existence-potency-prospective-digression-of–\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{-epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness over
our human-subpotency motives, the same actually do apply in all knowledge-reification and
claims of subject-matter specificities ‘rather speak of the difficulty with respect to human
emotional-involvement and associated lack of rigour relative to knowledge-reification in
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addressing human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’, but not inherent constraining existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\)epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness dissimilarity of subject-matters. Just as there is no magical arithmetic or physics to resolve such a more fundamental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing situation involving ‘abusing the assuming of dialogical-equivalence’, it is wrong and foolhardy not to bluntly recognise this reality in the social domain as to the possibility of then achieving prospective transcendence as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\)epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness implications. The fact is the ‘a priori or axiomatic conception’ is effectively what precedes and validates logic as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, however there is no logical-basis for the ‘a priori or axiomatic conception’ but for ‘its ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construal as of existence’ as can thereof be validated as of strong prediction arising as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation establishing its universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\)epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) (and so given the fact of human \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\)thrownness-in-existence,-imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness-(as-to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of–‘\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\)epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation’), speaking to the fact that logic is rather the inner working coherence/contiguity of any human apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construct); and thus the ‘a priori or axiomatic
conception’ is rather about ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ as to mere ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-⟨postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism⟩’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-⟨preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism⟩’ so-underlining existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. However, the universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩ generated in domains like mathematics and many a natural sciences is so efficient (as of the underlying positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought achieved ‘universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩ as of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism so-reflected as our present positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ first induced by budding-positivists like Copernicus, Galileo, Descartes, Newton, Leibniz, etc.) that in many ways mathematicians ‘don’t go on to be thinking about the soundness of axioms once these are construed as of existence’ for instance with the axioms-of-addition, but this doesn’t mean that the idea of unsoundness of ‘a priori or axiomatic conception’ (as to invalidate dialogical-equivalence) doesn’t exist especially so when it comes to blurred domains not only in the social sciences but sometimes in the natural sciences as well where lack of universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-
as-to-entailing-(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) arises such that there is nothing that transparently renders someone ridiculous from fiddling around ‘wrongly implying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence’ not only out of good-intent or ontological-good-faith/authenticity but ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as well. (In this regards, the idea of ‘putting in question dialogical-equivalence by not merely engaging for logical coherence but equally putting into question the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology pretense of being as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ is effectively central to all prospective institutionalisations in relative-ontological-completeness as reflected with the Socratic philosophers putting in question the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of non-universalising sophists specifically with Socrates during his trial as to his highlighting of the inconsistencies of his accusers sophistic non-universalising apriorising arguments priorly for the notion of a mutual logical coherent engagement to arise in the very first place with Socrates rather purporting that such a possibility of mutual logical coherent engagement could only arise on the basis of his universalising apriorising arguments as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and budding-positivists equally putting into question the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of non-positivising/non-rational-empiricists medieval-scholasticism pedants specifically as with Galileo’s implicit dismissal of any such pretence of logical coherence engagement in the face of what he could see positively through the telescope with respect to the ‘imaginary pedantic machinations’ of his interlocutors and so as to the prospective positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation; as in fact the very notion of prospective institutionalisation is one of renewing reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-
and-teleology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing prospectively as to existence—as-sUBLIMating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, putting into question the
<form/VIRTUALITIES/DEREIFICATION/AKRASIATIC-drag/dENATURED/PRECONVERGING-OR-DEMENTING-
{narratives—OF-THE-REFERENCE-OF-THOUGHT–CATegorical-IMPERATIVES/AXIOMS/REGISTRY-TELEOLOGY}
of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s presencing—ABSolutising-IDENTITIVE-
constitutedness superseded/transcended). With such teleologically-decadent—as-lacking-in-
<amplituding>formative>epistem-growth/transvaluative-
RATIONALISING/trANSEPSTICMicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness spirit of
intellectualism, it can difficulTly be fathomed how such a ground-breaking event as the
appearance of Einsteinian physics in early 20th century prompting great excitement and
curiosity among physicists recasting the contributions of prior physicists, and then eliciting
the work of many other physicists and mathematicians in the subsequent decades leading in-
between to the superseding of Einsteinian physics with Bohrian physics and then Feynmanian
physics, etc. as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<amplituding>formative>epistem-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness constraining, can be contemplated as of such a rather
impoverished conception of genuine intellection which poorly recognises the pre-eminence of
existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<amplituding>formative>epistem-
totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness over
human-subpotency, notwithstanding the fact that we are at the backend of human
institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and so because in many ways it is hardly
the case that the priority is obsession with such intellectual emancipation rather than
obsession with institutional-being-and-craft muddlement. While the natural sciences are
‘naturally’ constrained by the stronger necessity for prediction, there is nothing that says
because the social domain is relatively blurred the possibility for such rigour cannot be achieved in the social as well even as it is highly subject to social-stake-contention-or-confliction meddling; as the possibility of the undercutting of the latter’s

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}

with asceticism does exist as has existed throughout sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Beyond the seemingly intellectual ebullience ever so portrayed today, the question can be asked to which extent it usually reflect deep curiosity for prospective knowledge-reification rather than a culture of pop-intellectualism today that seem to define our human-subpotency/mortality purposes as superseding existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness/immortality purposes, as so-reflected in the supposed intellection values conferred in many a press operation with such vague catchphrases as ‘the-greatest/most-influential thinker of our times’ as of mere influence peddling and poorly advancing the inherent importance of prospective knowledge-reification as addressing the human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of our prior reproducibility—

mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation,

notwithstanding the sometimes crude and unsavoury social discomfort implications in this respect. Thus in many ways such an orientation is unsettling to upcoming/future young thinkers as to what can be of profound intellection value with respect to opting for a profound intellectual commitment for prospective knowledge-reification rather than just strategies of socially perceived intellectual success within deified temporal/mortal existential frameworks; especially in the underhanded institutional presence of such avowedly teleologically-
decadent—as-lacking-in—epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness mantras like theories die with the passing of their authors as so-implied with regards to many a postmodern scholar, wherein such highbrowning has been surreptitiously inclined to put-up their temporalities/mortalities (notwithstanding that knowledge is as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness consequences accruing to the entire humankind) to institutionally and socially undermine prospective knowledge-reification with stooges/foils muddying the ontological-veracity of genuine thought as of its true human emancipatory implications, as they ‘sneak-in and sneak-out about knowing and not knowing’ in a distorted conception of intellectualism as a Machiavellian/political exercise rather than the requisite magnanimity of engagement for a genuine knowledge-reification exercise! Actually the projection of values including intellectual values in such epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag are often prospectively deficient, given the fact that notions of value are only as pertinent as of their transvaluation implications in relative-ontological-completeness since the very same conception of value when construed on the basis of relative-ontological-incompleteness may actually be associated with vices-and-impediments, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> (given that virtue is rather as of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as to transcendent-enabling/sublimating and not the vagueness of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness <wooden-language—imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) in human-subpotency social-aggregation-enabling). We can grasp in this respect that the value conception as from the non-universalising sophistry perspective had construed as decadent the prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation just as did medieval-pedantic dogmatism of budding-positivists like Galileo and Descartes; as in many ways prospective knowledge-reification requires that we supersede our emotional-involvement starting with the very intellection striving for such prospective knowledge-reification. (In any case, ultimately the reality of human knowledge-reification involves ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so in transvaluation; as for instance, it can hardly be imagined that the reference-of-thought of the non-positivism/medievalism mindset as of its structural/paradigmatic ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology is apt as of its acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to grasp our modern day conception of say physics given its ‘valuation framework as of its
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ that needs to be transvaluated into a positivism mindset, and it can fairly be contended that prospective issues of knowledge-reification in modern day physics having to do with theory-of-everything conception arise because of our inappropriately apt acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of an occlusive-consciousness reference-of-thought requiring prospective deprocrypticism reference-of-thought structural/paradigmatic ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of a protensive-consciousness (out of a full
insight about causality as from the epistemic ‘relative-ontological-completeness
(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in conflatedness’ herein implied as ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework involving a ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate
construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as implied prospectively in
‘construing of both the right apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/ instrument mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination and thus the knowledge for that right mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination’), and we can better understand as such why
underlying confliction arises with all registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendences because
these involve human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
determinacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint transvaluation as putting in question the old
valuation, and in this regards the transcendental/transvaluating conception is universally
existential and cannot be just about the physical world without social world implications and
vice-versa as so-underlined with the fact that both are for-human-studies/for-human-
constructs by the underlying fact that these are the very same human-subpotency implications
as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-
<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>; as inevitably the apparently
innocuous Copernican, Galilean, Cartesian, Newtonian, etc. conception of the material world
in superseding the human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
determinacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of ‘traditional mythological/supernatural
conceptualisation of material world/things as of the universalising but non-positivism–
medievalism preclusive-consciousness’ have constructive implications about corresponding
requisite prospective social-values in superseding the human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of ‘traditional mythological/supernatural conceptualisation of the social-construct as of the universalising but non-positivism–medievalism preclusive-consciousness’, and the possibility for the further advancement of such material sciences arises from the effectively enabling social-values like freedom-of-speech, opened communication, etc. availing as of the transcending positivism/rational-empiricism occlusive-consciousness. Likewise, it is herein contended that the future possibility for the natural sciences advancement is inseparable from the possibility of social and social-organisational as of prospective human aporeticism transvaluation as to the prospective depocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought protensive-consciousness induced Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and so over our present procrypticism or disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought occlusive-consciousness, and in effect this conjoint-epistemic-relationship-and-fate in the conceptualisation of the material and social world is even confirmed today as with the social and social-organisational framework that underlied and was necessary for most of the scientific and technological advances after the second-world war). Basically, dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as such reflects the successively induced originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation specific ‘constructiveness-by-destructuring cut-offs/thresholds of ontological-performance’ so-construed as of notional–protensive-consciousness (trepidatious-consciousness/warped-consciousness/preclusive-consciousness/occlusive-consciousness/protensive-consciousness) implications; and as eliciting any such specific construction-of-the-Self and its given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–
supererogation) (involving prospective knowledge-reification and/or deferential-
formalisation-transference) for prospective seconndnatured institutionalisation as of renewed
prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation. Furthermore, besides the conceptualisation articulated herein, what
vindicates this idea of apriorising/axiomatic/referencing intellectual
break/schism/estrangement is effectively that the possibility for prospective meaningfulness-
and-teleology is associated with a renewed framework of ontologisation/ontological-
veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology which is in ‘affirmation/projection by its
underlying acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ to the
superseded framework of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-
ontology as unaffirmed/deprojected; as to the possibility of the recovery of dimensionality-
of-sublimating—<(amplitude)(formative)>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalisning/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality
prospectively, disentangled from ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge
predisposition and its developed temporal institutional self-serving predisposition’. And
finally, after many years of formative contemplation this author is rather dedicated to writing
henceforth even if read/skimmed just by a handful or fortuitously or never-but-potentially,
whatever cometh, hopefully over the next half a century, and thinks any human who
genuinely feels strongly about the need for profound human thought should be able to do
likewise, as ultimate responsibility and choice notionally lies with the individual.
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asceticism

asceticism speaks of the disposition of value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness
surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-
collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-
existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<amplitude>(formatitive>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness to supersede human
temporality/shortness <amplitude>(formatitive>wooden-language-
{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/resentiment/closed-
construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignoreable-
void ’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as it rather
enters into <amplitude>(formatitive>epistemic-totalising—self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akensiatic-drag of its
prior registry-worldview/dimension
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
to any such prospectively implied meaningfulness-and-teleology
reference-of-thought.;¶ and thus all human transcendence can only occur
as of asceticism induced psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure that is restructuring/reparadigming
(in the face of ecstatic—existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-
spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-
of—<amplitude>(formatitive>epistemic-totalising—renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness—as-to-
the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-
which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-
overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence>) the
possibility of the prior registry-worldview/dimension to ‘perceive value in
transvaluation as value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-
relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought’ as of the
prospective registry-worldview/dimension implications of value-construct,
and so practically as of the ascetic capacity to induce recurrent-utter-
institutionalisation to perceive base-institutionalisation value-construct
as of more pertinent transvaluation of value, base-institutionalisation—
ununiversalisation value-construct to perceive universalisation value-
construct as of more pertinent transvaluation of value, universalisation—
non-positivism/medievalism value-construct to perceive
positivism/rational-empiricism value-construct as of more pertinent
transvaluation of value, and prospectively our positivism—procrypticism
to perceive deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought as of more pertinent transvaluation of value, and as
we can appreciate that the non-universalising social-construct didn’t
perceive universalising-idealisation as of value but for the induced
psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure
afterthought/reasoning-from-results instigated by Socratic philosophers
and their successors, and likewise with medieval-pedantic dogmatism
social-construct relative to budding-positivists, and prospectively it is
herein contended that our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
thought disposition with respect to deprocrypticism or preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospective meaningfulness-and-
teleology;¶ and fundamentally the notion of ’asceticism as implying value-
ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness’ cannot be explained to any prior registry-worldview/dimension construed as a \(\langle \text{amplituding/} \text{formative}\rangle\) wooden-language-\(\text{imbued-averaging-of-thought-}\langle \text{as-to}\)-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\(\rangle\) on the basis of its relative-ontological-incompleteness aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology from its prior deficient/ontologically-impertinent acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument since the asceticism is rather as of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and this explains why the asceticism in transvaluation of universalising-idealisation disposition over non-universalising sophistry disposition, budding positivism over medieval-pedantic dogmatism and prospectively deprocrypticism over our procrypticism are non-intelligible to their respective non-universalising/medieval-pedantic-dogmatism/procrypticism ‘\(\langle \text{amplituding}/\text{formative}\rangle\) wooden-language-\(\text{imbued—temporal—mere—form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}\rangle\) as \(\langle \text{amplituding/} \text{formative}\rangle\) wooden-language-\(\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}\langle \text{as-to}\)-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\(\rangle\)’ as in effect it is simply ‘the projected habituation by the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s veridically think-qualia-schema reflection of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuring/threshold-\langle \text{uninstitutionalised}\)-threshold/presublimating—desublimating—decisionality—of-ontological-performance as of preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema’ that carries the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure explaining the asceticism,\(\rangle\) in other words, the full-picture of asceticism transvaluation implications can be garnered operantly by a preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema projection of ‘reasoning out’ the relative-ontological-incompleteness meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology exposing the former’s nondescript/ignorable void as of its preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema,\(\rangle\) and in the bigger scheme of things asceticism implied transvaluation speaks to the fact that ‘notions of values in relative-ontological-incompleteness destructuring/threshold-\langle \text{uninstitutionalised}\)-threshold/presublimating—desublimating—decisionality—of-ontological-performance are of teleologically-decadent—as-lacking-in-\(\langle \text{amplituding/} \text{formative}\rangle\) epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ontological-performance as of vices-and-impediment’ and
‘notions of values aspiring-for-and-in relative-ontological-completeness constructiveness-of-ontological-performance are of emancipatory/teleologically-elevated ontological-performance’, for instance in the sense that while there is nothing inherently wrong with achievement motives across all registry-worldviews/dimensions conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc., their implications as of the destructuring-threshold—uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating-desublimating-decisionality—of-ontological-performance in relative-ontological-incompleteness is bound to teleologically-decadent—as-lacking-in—epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness vices-and-impediments and likewise regarding the same context their overlooking/foregoing—with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor, -in-overcoming—notionally-collateralising-protohumanity—to—attain-sublimating-humanity, -as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression>—epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness —wooden-language—imbu—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—nondescript/ignorable-void—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of transvaluation for prospective relative-ontological-completeness constructiveness-of-ontological-performance brings about prospective emancipatory/teleologically-elevated ontological-performance, pointing out that all values are as ontologically-pertinent as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness transvaluation implications as to the fact that for instance ‘supposed friendship/family/social/professional values’ leading to involvement in say a genocide (as of the insight exposed from such an extreme example undermining human predisposition for ‘a nihilistic wooden-language—imbu—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—nondescript/ignorable-void—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) are effectively associated with vices-and-impediments as to existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, and thus pointing out that there are no true values without the prior conception of their transvaluation as of relative-ontological-completeness implications; the effective manifest ‘asceticism—as-of-parrhesiastic-askesis-or-acumen transvaluation development’ (as enabling the superseding of human prior epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatric-drag) can be contemplated as of reference-of-thought-level induced universalising-idealisation transvaluation as reflected with ‘Socrates principled ascetic stances associated with his maieutic eliciting of a basic sense of universalising-idealisation in his interlocutors even when bordering on the incongruous during his condemnation while upholding the ontological-pertinence of the incongruous universalising-idealisation over sophistic/pedantic apparently
congruous non-universalising’ developing into ‘Plato’s perpetuating of the philosophical tradition with his Academy with a further phronesis/practicality emphasis in striving, as of the deferential-formalisation-transference implications underlying all true knowledge-constructs (as of the underlying Socrates maieutic exercise ‘inconclusiveness insight’ which is rather more critical in eliciting/instigating a sense of knowledge-reification and so-reflecting the reality that the ordinariness as <(amplitude)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/resentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-’nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) framework lacks the requisite dispensing-with-
mediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—
estentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming,-’notionally-collateralisering-
protohumanity’-to-’attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-
potency-prospective-digation-of—<\(amplitude\)formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-
conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
\(<(amplitude)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-
thought-<as-to-leveling/resentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology-as-of-’nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) \rangle \) for profound knowledge-
reification as of human limited-mentation-capacity commitment induced
disinterest/indifference/apathy and thus ‘veridical knowledge-reification is
structured/paradigmed out-of-profoundly-developed-
interest/concern/care-induced-institutionalising as of deferential-
formalisation-transference for its requisite appropriate dispensing-with-
mediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’), to influence Dionysus I of Syracuse
along the philosopher-king paradigm’ and ‘Aristotle’s expansive approach
to philosophical and knowledge inquiry along the universalising-
idealisation paradigm, setting up the Lyceum together with the tutoring of
Alexander the Great’ along the same lines of reasoning as Plato, as well
as latter post-Socratic philosophical perpetuation like the Stoics, Cynics,
etc. and their institutional influence on Greek and Roman leadership and
society; this same asceticism ideal can be recounted with budding-
positivists as of Galileo, Copernicus, Descartes, etc. ascetic stances even
against the condemnation of their then present-day medieval establishment
creating the possibility for later enlightenment scientific and social
emancipatory thought (highlighting the incontrovertible necessity for
asceticism as of its broader meaning as to human originariness-
parrhedia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation renewing of
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation to overcome the
\(<(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatc-drag of any prior
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation mere complexification, as so-implied
with any given registry-worldview/dimension possibilities for prospective
transcendence)

beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> implies ‘conscious’ and/or ‘unconscious’ as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-epistemic-psychologism at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of a registry-worldview/dimension whether with regards to retrospective or prospective transcendental implications

blurriness speaks to ‘lack of intellectual lucidity/clarity with respect to supposed knowledge articulation as of existential-reality’ wherein a given human-subpotency registry-worldview/dimension acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—as-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument, for-aposteriorisation/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology


beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>
prospective positivism construction-of-the-Self/self-consciousness a non-positivism mindset as animistic or as medieval in its non-positivism ‘closed-minded unilateral-conceptualisation-of-knowledge’ (thus lacking the positivistic projective-insights as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism) will only end up ‘complexifying the mechanical outcome of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of its non-positivism as animism or as mediavalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument’ as implied in an animistic God of plane type of articulation and this applies likewise with our positivism–procrypticism with respect to prospective deprocrypticism, as this is exactly what explains the disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> of all registry-worldviews/dimensions as to the fact that successive registry-worldviews/dimensions involve successive renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as—reproducibility-of-aesthetisisation as of relative-ontological-completeness in reflection of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation> grasp of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness at their destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating—decisionality>—of-ontological-performance; but blurriness at the destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating—decisionality>—of-ontological-performance is what brings up the is–ought problem (which had hitherto traditionally been wrongly framed rather in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness terms as of elaboration-as—mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, because going by ecstatic-existence as it reflects human historiality/ontological—eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing becoming in existential-contextualising-contiguity, human ‘ontological/knowledge uncertainty’ inherently implies human sovereign choices and options are then necessarily of ‘ought indeterminacy’ as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness but prospective relative-ontological-completeness with respect to prospective knowledge implications provides the ‘ontological/knowledge certainty’ to turn such prior ‘ought indeterminacy’ into ‘is determinacy’ whether this prospective ‘is determinacy’ transformation carries with it the given prospective knowledge acceptance, rejection or any other qualified attribution associated with the prior ‘ought indeterminacy’) given that the prior registry-worldview/dimension reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation specific elaboration-as—mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity reaches its ‘is determinacy’ limits of analysis from whence its ‘ought indeterminacy’ arises at its destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised—
threshold/presublimating–desublimating–decisionality>—of-ontological-performance, speaking of an issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness that is only resolvable by the very fact that prospective relative-ontological-completeness changes the prior ‘ought indeterminacy’ as of prior normativities/conventions/practices into the prospective registry-worldview/dimension ontologically-veridical ‘is determinacy’ as reflected in renewed normativities/conventions/practices as to prospective institutionalisation, and in this regard we can appreciate how medieval-scholasticism non-positivism reference-of-thought-level pedantic dogmatism ‘ought indeterminacy’ emphasis gave way to the positivism/rational-empiricism scientific cause-and-effect ‘is determinacy’ emphasis or how ancient sophists non-universalising ‘ought indeterminacy’ gave way to the universalising-idealisation ‘is determinacy’ of Socratic philosophers or how notions like cannibalism, various practices of slavery and serfdom, etc. in human history as of ‘ought indeterminacy’ of their practices in relative-ontological-incompleteness gave way to the present ‘is determinacy’ of their rejection as of relative-ontological-completeness on the basis of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation;\[ blurriness as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-’immanent-ontological-contiguity’ > highlights that the destructuring-threshold-
existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—


contiguity’)—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism (that speaks more of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(�απρωτιζόμενη)formative)-epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in its becoming history-ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing) wherein foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—
sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism is more than just a question of arbitrary unification but rather is ‘a structural/paradigmatic confiscation/selectiveness of the possibility of prospective relative-ontological-completeness ontological-veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology that is reflexive of ecstatic-existence’, and foregrounding—
entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism effectively implies that at reference-of-thought-level ‘intellectual-entitlement to disparate-ness-of-conceptualisation—
<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect–‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> possibilities as from ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism (excludes all other supposed meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge ‘based on prior non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’) inducing prospective ‘base-institutionalisation foregrounding—entailment—
narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism’, likewise foregrounding—entailment—
narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism as from *base-institutionalisation—
uninstitutionalisation (as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prospective aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming implications) to universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism (excludes all other supposed meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge ‘based on prior rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’) to then induce prospective ‘universalisation foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to
normalcy/postconvergence prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming implications) rather to a specific-and-coherent conceptualisation of gene regulation and so except it can demonstrate a further foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting—of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrpticism (epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming implications) that implies the ‘holistic complementing-and/or-superseding-and/or-subsuming of gene regulation’ and the life scientist will hardly take seriously any such conceptualisation of biological hereditary that fails to fulfil the above conditions on mere ‘pedantic grounds of intellectual-entitlement to disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding—disentailment—failing-to-reflect—immanent-ontological-contiguity’>’ and so as of the life sciences need for existential-reality constraining ‘foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting—of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrpticism structural/paradigmatic confiscation/selectiveness of the possibility of the ontological-veracity of biological hereditary meaningfulness—teleology’;¶ (the overall implications of unblurriness reflected as from ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting—of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrpticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-perspective>’ is in highlighting that ecstatic-existence—as-the-absolute—a-priori—of-conceptualisation is of the inherent ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causeality—as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating—ontological-contiguity epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemically primacy and on this basis is all-defining/deterministic in the construing of knowledge-reification as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness’, and so as ecstatic-existence is what can ‘validate—and—falsify the ontological-veracity of any supposed ontological-primemovers—totalitative-framework’ and as it overrides any human secondary epistemic inclination that may wrongly be of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, with the inherent becoming of ecstatic-existence rather reflected in ontologically-veridical ‘knowledge-reification gesturing/process entailing—
\text{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-
completeness epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity implications of
aetiolisation/ontological-escalation} and in so doing ‘abstractively-and-
systematically justifying the socially imbued intellectual deferential-
formalisation-transference’ as to the fact that the knowledge-reification is
not of ‘mere imprimatur totally disentangling—discretion/whim-of-
thought that fails to justify abstractively-and-systematically any such
entailing–\text{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity
implications of aetiolisation/ontological-escalation}’, and thus
‘superseding-and-resolving the epistemic aporeticism of prospective
knowledge-reification’ with regards to ‘determining intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veracity’ as the latter is ever always caught up, given
human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-
temporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, in human ontological-
faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—so-being-as-of-ontological-reality
between ‘intemporalising/ontologising ontological-good-
faith/authenticity–structure and ‘temporalising ontological-bad-
faith/inauthenticity–structure’, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teology<in-existent-extrication-as-of-ontological-unthought>
\text{apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringin-
strument}–as-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
\text{by depending}<as-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
\text{circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability}–as-reflected-from-
conflation-perspective,—in-structural/paradigmatic-registry-worldview-
‘terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct’—of ‘perversion-and-derived-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supерerogation>,—
as-uninstitutionalised-threshold-circularity/subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ‘and ‘corresponding-ontological-
reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-
prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’\text{compulsing–nonconviction/made-
upness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supерerogation<as-existential-decontextualised-transposition,–}
flawedly-projected-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—in-caricaturing-
hollow-staging-and-performance>\text{conjoining-looping-set-of-
narratives}–(construed-as-of-slanted-cohering-
‘unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’–of-the-derived-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supерerogation>,–
and-avoiding-any-wrongly-implied-logical-processing-engaging)
conflatedness or conflation

conflatedness or effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology; so implied by

‘< (amplitudding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating epistemic conflating of conceptualisations with-and-as-of-the-precedence-of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplitudding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in epistemic-conflatedness as-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’, as of singularityisation/epistemic-immanent-epistemic-determinism in reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation—as it is effectively underscored by difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism;

conflatedness is structurally/paradigmatically validated by the underlying reality of human limited-mentation-capacity (speaking of human epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence to the human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of that moment) thus in a state of relative-ontological-incompleteness in need for prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—

<(amplitudding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to achieve relative-ontological-completeness, and so as of the-very-same—


constitutedness

constitutedness or effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology; so implied by

‘atomising epistemic constituting of conceptualisations as to falsely imply their existence-in-existence since existential-contextualising-contiguity—is thus-inherently-not-construed—as—

<(amplitudding)formative>formative>epistemic-totalisingly-preceding—and-redefining’, as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism by such misconception in

<(amplitudding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and logocentrism, failing to reflect the ecstatic singularity of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation—as constitutedness is rather falsely underscored by ideitative-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism; constitutedness is structurally/paradigmatically flawed given the underlying reality of human limited-mentation-capacity at any moment (speaking of human epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence with respect to the human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of that moment) such that constitutedness poorly construes of relative-ontological-completeness implications (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) as it is in an underlying state of homelessness (as failing to grasp that homelessness as to the possibility of attaining originariness/origination—<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> can only arise as human-subpotency pursues-and-achieves relative epistemic-normalcy as of prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to achieve relative-ontological-completeness) since the state of human limited-mentation-capacity implies that human understanding has-ever-and-is-ever-always about attaining apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination conception of the-very-same—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as it strives to reflect as from relative epistemic-normalcy the ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, but then the constitutedness epistemic stance by wrongly implying its prior attainment of epistemic-normalcy from the state of limited-mentation-capacity is in effect wrongly projecting flawed absolutilising/presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness thus veering-off from originariness/origination—<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> as of the absolute a priori that is existence/the-very-same—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as so-validated with causality as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework
denaturing

denaturing/usurping/arrogating/perverting-in-constitutedness
deneuterising

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>destructuring-transitoriness</td>
<td>destructuring-transitoriness—(construed-as-of-dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism-induced-deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dialectical-thinking—anamnesis</td>
<td>dialectical-thinking—⟨as-of-postconverging-epistemic-reflexivity—(as—to—the—‘postconverging-stranding/attribution’—of—the—ontological—dementation/dialectical—dementation—stranding/attributive—dialectics),—induced—disposedness—and—entailing,—of—ontologically—sound—‘teleology of unleveling/disambiguating’—so—construed—as—exist—‘as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation—perspective—of—notional—deprocripticism⟩</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
equalisation) as limiting or of prospective human-subpotency aporeticism’
which surpassing as to human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure enables the possibility for human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(⟨amplituding⟩formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-superoerogation) as of
prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and
prospectively deprocrypticism sublimation-over-desublimation of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as validated with predicative-effectivity—
sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and so with
regards to ‘the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation’

difference-in-
kind/difference-
in-aposteriorising-
or-logicising
difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising-<difference-
in-aposteriorising-or-logicising-or-deriving-in-determining—mutually-
relative-validity-by-invalidity-as-to-the-verity-of-any-given-existential-
instanitation’, though-in-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-
<mentally-aestheticised—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-
schema>—of-the-very-same-mutually-abstract-apriorising-or-axiomatising-
or-referencing-conceptualisation>

difference-in-
nature/difference-
in-apriorising-or-
axiomatising
difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising-
<difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising—or-referencing-as-to-mutual-
notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—mentally-
aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema>—of-abstract-
conceptualisation, rendering-irrelevant-any-mutual-aposteriorising-or-
logicising-or-deriving—as-validity-or-invalidity-as-to-the-verity-of-any-
given-existential-instantiation-is-aposteriorised-or-logicised-or-derived-
from-the-more-profound-apriorising-or-axiomatising—or-referencing-
conceptualisation>

dimensionality-
of-sublimating—
⟨amplituding⟩formative>epistemic-
-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation—(human-ontological-
performance-so-construed-as—from-prospective-ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic-projection-perspective-as-to-
reorignariness/reorigination-as-reflecting-difference-conflatedness-as-
totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
determinism)

dimensionality-
of-desublimating-
lack-of—
⟨amplituding⟩formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—
equalisation—(human-ontological-performance-so-construed-as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic-projection-
perspective-as-reflecting-distorted-originariness/distorted-origination-as-
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

\((\text{amplituding})\)\text{formative}\)-epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation\), and operantly, dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension doesn’t mean ‘giving up on life’ (as of \((\text{amplituding})\)\text{formative}\)-wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought–as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–’nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\)) dispositions and as prodded by sophist/pedantic distraction inclinations will wrongly imply as of a propensity to construe ‘existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as more of life as to the supposed precedence of human banality’), but rather speaks of ‘a more profound solipsistic contemplative appreciation of life as of the precedence of human sublime potential reflected in a projective disposition to rethinking human meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’, and as validated by the fact that the succession of human registry-worldviews/dimensions are grounded on such ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—


\((\text{amplituding})\)\text{formative}\)-wooden-language—


\((\text{amplituding})\)\text{formative}\)-wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought–as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–’nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\}) and as prodded by its given sophistry is paradoxically disinclined to its prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as it is ever always in

\((\text{amplituding})\)\text{formative}\)-epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its prospectively ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology as it seem to poorly construe of the ‘implications of apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—\(<\text{mentally}-

65
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> ‘ and as it wrongly substitutes for it a ‘communication-as-of-dialogical-equivalence issue’ like with the sophists accusing Socrates for not communicating well by the terms of their ‘warped/twisted ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled-as-of-their-non-universalising–syllogising’ faced with his universalising-idealisation or medieval scholastics by the terms of their ‘pedantic dogmatism’ blaming Galileo for not communicating well faced with his ‘budding positivism/rational-empiricism’, and a modern day naïve

\[\text{dissemination/seeing} \quad \text{maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘reification gesturing for prospective knowledge’ arising as from existential-contextualising-contiguity}\]

\[\text{dissingularisation} \quad \text{‘epistemically-not-immanent’ as-lacking-internal-necessity-and-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument so-construed as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation amenable to existence’s validation as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework;}\]

\[\text{and thus dissingularisation is construed ‘as from }\]

\[\text{epistemically-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-ontological-completeness}\]

\[\text{acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument so-construed as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation amenable to existence’s validation as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework;}\]

distractions alignment-to-reference-of-thought
epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
representation, with regards to the very-same-purview-of-construal refers to the ‘cut-through—deflating effect’ of relative-ontological-completeness-as—singularisation construal as of affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness—validating-logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument—validating-measuring—<postconverging—or—dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism> over relative-ontological-incompleteness—as—dissingularisation/epistemic—nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism construal as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness—invalidating—logicising/unsuitable-measuring—instrument—invalidating-measuring—<preconverging—or—dementing—apriorising-psychologism> (thus in both cases establishing their inherently-determinable—‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as—teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ with relative-ontological-incompleteness prospectively deneutered from its
<(amplitudiniformative)>epistemic-totalising—self—referencing—syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in pseudo—edginess/pseudo-incisiveness), underlying a postconverging—or—dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism representation over a preconverging-or—dementing—apriorising-psychologism representation as of the very-
same-purview-of-construal, wherein for instance as of relative-ontological-completeness theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism representation runs-through/deflates classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism representation given that the former just supersedes/transcends the latter as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of ‘the very same physics

purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality) and is not involved with the latter as of any incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness, and the same elucidation extends to the overall human

purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality wherein our present positivism/rational-empiricism <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism representation runs-through/deflates prior non-positivism/medievalism <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism representation or wherein prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism representation will cut-through/deflate our ‘positivism–deprocrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self’ <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism representation; such that we can fathom that this hermeneutic elucidation by its ‘mere prompting of what is implied by deprocrypticism’ <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is rather ‘sparing to our positivism–deprocrypticism emotional-involvement for the sake of intellectual engagement’ as it ‘doesn’t directly project the true acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument as of prospective deprocrypticism construal’ relative to our ‘positivism–deprocrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self’ dereifying-gesturing perspective’, and this sparingness thus should not be naively construed to imply that we can engage as of epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity such deprocrypticism <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising—meaningfulness-and-teleology in prospective relative-ontological-completeness from our relative-ontological-incompleteness ‘positivism–deprocrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self perspective’ as if as of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism representation whereas in reality such perspectival existentialising—enframing/engagement is rather flawed-and-tenable as it is just a furtherance of positivism–deprocrypticism preconverging-or-dementing—
apriorising-psychologism representation warranting rather prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the positivism–procrpticism mindset to effectively begin to contemplate and come to terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct with the

\[(amplituding)\]

formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications, for-explaining-ontological-contiguity of prospective deprocrpticism as a perspective that is prospectively-unenframed-to/edgily-and-incisively-spills-over-our-‘positivism–procrpticism shiftiness-of-the-Self’, such that even in the expanded-view-of-things just as budding positivists existentially impregnated in many ways with a non-positivism/medievalism mindset more critically simply grasped of the wake for more salient human ontological possibilities as of positivism/rational-empiricism down-the-line likewise this author and many disseminating postmodern thinkers existentially impregnated in many ways with positivism–procrpticism mindset as ‘occlusive self-consciousness shiftiness-of-the-Self’ more critically project rather of the wake of more salient human futural ontological possibilities implied by prospective deprocrpticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its ‘unenframed protensive self-consciousness nonshiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aesthetiscisation reifying-gesturing’

\[(amplituding)\]


\[(amplituding)\]

formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness),\[ reflecting intemporal-solipsistic—firstnatureness-of-epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/anamnestic-residuality-as-ratiocinative-integrity-(not-mythical-recollection)/transepistemicity


\[(amplituding)\]

formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness

epistemic/notional–projective-perspective of analysis in determining ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence’, and is contrasted with the notion of totalitarian as ‘being-all-defining-and-determining-rather-by-human-subpotency-obstinciacy/ideology-overt-projection/assertion that ignores-and-overlooks the epistemic construal from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\[(amplituding)\]

formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective of analysis in determining ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence’, such that
the notion of `<(amplituding)formative>`epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating is rather as of the epistemic
reflection of ontological-veracity about say a given
`<(amplituding)formative>`epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence
registry-worldview/dimension effective
`<(amplituding)formative>`epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected by the fact that
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instru-
ment by a positivistic mindset is
`<(amplituding)formative>`epistemic-totalisingly/circumscribingly/delineatingly different from a non-positivistic
mindset whereas the notion of totalitarian as-of-ideology/obstinacy is
rather about direct dogmatic commitment to a given meaningfulness-and-
teleology with the inclination to dispense whether extensively or partially
with ontological-veracity often on a supposed assumption of grander
overall ontological-veracity
- `<(amplituding)formative>`epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-as-wrongly-
implying-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instru-
ment-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought-that-is-prospectively-dementing)

epistemic-
totalising–thrown-
ness-in-existence

epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence refers to the fact that the
human mindset as of construction-of-the-Self is inherently of a given
‘determinable relative-ontological-completeness/incompleteness
apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-
framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-
devoiling-meaningfulness’ as reflected in its given
`<(amplituding)formative>`epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence
registry-worldview/dimension
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instru-
ment/axiomatising, such that ontologically there is variance of the human
mindset `<(amplituding)formative>`epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-
existence disposition successively as of the state of recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation trepidatious-consciousness, base-
institutionalisation–ununiversalisation warped-consciousness,
universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism preclusive-consciousness,
our present positivism–procrypticism occlusive-consciousness and
prospective deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness; and so in
reflection of the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-
tracing metaphoricity of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
underlying ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–
stranding/attributive-dialectics in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—
of-the-human-institutionalisation-process shifting phasing of
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’
representation and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism representation of the very ontologically same existence
purview as of relative-ontological-completeness

etotalitative

epistemic-totalitative is rather ‘of epistemic/notional projective evaluation about the ontological-performance as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisaiton/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness of all epistemic-totalities (and specifically as articulating the underlying ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process reflected in the epistemic succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought given epistemic-totalities of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and prospectively deprocrysticism, so-implied as notional–deprocrysticism) so-construed as

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ whereas epistemic-totality is rather about any inherent

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating given meaningfulness-and-teleology representation arising as of its

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’, and thus epistemic-totalitative contrasts with

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating (as of human-subpotency apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) in that while the latter refers to any given registry-worldview/dimension<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbed–averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of its social-stake-contention-or-confliction and so whether as of a given relative-ontological-incompleteness or relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension inherent


ontological-completeness reference-of-thought

event

ontological-completeness reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation wherein prospective universalisation is postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism and prior base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation is preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ or ‘budding positivists existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation evental instigation of positivism/rational-empiricism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation wherein prospective positivism/rational-empiricism is postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism and prior universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism is preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism’; ¶ with the underlying insight here that ‘existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation evental instigation(s)’ speaks of the possibility of aetiological/ontological-escalation as of ‘infinity/a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales implications’ of deflating/superseding the vices-and-impediments of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that structurally/paradigmatically recognises an issue of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> with regards to ‘ontologically-flawed


‘aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring on the basis of the its prospectively unrecognised ontologically-flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and the preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism implications’, such that the true ‘issue of prosecution’ with regards to Socrates or Galileo with respect to their asceticism stances was about the ontological-impertinence of their respective social-setup in failing to recognise prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which then exposed them to their social-setup sophistry in a pretence that theirs were just case-issues-and-not-of-event-implications thus with their respective sophistry

‘aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring on the basis of their respective social-setup ununiversalisation and non-
\(\langle \text{amplituding}\rangle\) formative—epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of its implied epistemic maximalising-recomposuring—for-relative-ontological-completeness veridically implies the
\text{apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation}>\) (as existential-contextualising-contiguity is rather about human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, and this point is important to preempt the ‘ontologically-flawed unforegrounding-disentailment’ of existential-contextualising-contiguity by way of vague and naïve elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as can be wrongly/unwittingly be projected with flawed used of ‘human conceptual-tools’ like language/logic/mathematics/statistics/algorithms/models/etc. that are only as pertinent as of their reflecting of the absolute a priori that is existence and ‘not superseding/overriding existential-reality in presencing—absolutising-identive-constitutedness/constitutedness’ (even as such conceptual-tools of formulation and representation can rather be of valid foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism as to their epistemically-construed phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> but not epistemically overriding/superseding inherent existence which is ever always absolutely the foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism), and this explains why existential-reality is priorly affirmative as to the epistemic validity/invalidity of contrastive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisations such that ‘the questioning of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing validity/invalidity of existence itself doesn’t arise in the very first place’ as it is existence in its foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism as the absolute a priori that gives reasons and the ‘human consciousness level of epistemic-sufficiency-constitutedness’ doesn’t inherently commits existence/existential-manifestation as to the fact that it is the human consciousness that recurrently has to readjust itself in its epistemic re-evaluation of existence/existential-manifestation from its prior posture of epistemic sufficiency, as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (as starkly manifested with such epiphenomenon like quantum entanglement);¶ further knowledge-reification as of existential-contextualising-contiguity as underlined by the ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied—as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or—
intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ reflects the veridicality that all epistemic-conceptions of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence> speak to the congruence of overall existence as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility–<imbued-and-educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> reflecting the ‘ontological-contiguity of the comprehensive supervening of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ as enabling human existential analysis as of transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest–subpotency–<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence> and so while invalidating any reductionist subpotency substituting for any other epistemic-conceptions of immanently imbibed phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies thus ‘enabling the transverse hermeneutic process that brings-about/yields human knowledge-reification’ as ultimately validated/invalidated by prospective sublimation-over-desublimation ontological implications; and this conception of human knowledge-reification as of existential-contextualising-contiguity is different from the typical notion of analogy/mere-analogising in the sense that the latter is rather generally about ‘mere conceptualisations of common/comparative patterning and the accompanying vague elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ without establishing the analogy/mere-analogising coherent ontological-contiguity as of existential-contextualising-contiguity and thus do not speak to ‘an entailing dynamics of existentially reflected ontological-contiguity as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ as is the case with ‘thought-experiments of mere common/comparative patterning’ thus inducing blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to disparateness-of-conceptualisation–<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> which do not project an entailing dynamics unlike thought-experiments of veridical existential-contextualisation-contiguity such as Einsteinian relativity conceptualisations as to their foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism and so since thought-experiments reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity because of their awareness of relative-ontological-completeness implications don’t fall into the ontological-flaws of equating/levelling-down everything across space and time associated with preserving—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness when it comes to reflecting ontological-contiguity projection in relative-ontological-completeness as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation given that existence—is-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation enabling sublimation-over-desublimation, and this differentiation between veridical knowledge—
reification and analogy/mere-analogising also highlights that actually knowledge is more critically a contiguous whole as to the underlying reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology (and this should be the overall expected epistemic attitude) but for the artificial divisions arising as to human limited-mentation-capacity warranting specialisations and the fact that various epistemic-conceptions of specialisations are of their ‘peculiar optimal epistemicity for inducing sublimation’, but then the requisite originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as to sublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure remains of the same ontological-congruence across all human knowledge-reification domains as reflected by the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology implied peculiar (‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing—of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—⟨as—to—underlying—ontological-commitment⟩’)
fore grounding—entailment—(narrowing—down—sublimation as to existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal—eliciting—of—progressive—supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent—ontological—contiguity’)—as—operative—notional—deprocrypticism and this insight will explain why conceptual/axiomatic epistemic-veracity analyses across subject-matters like physics, chemistry, biology, psychology, the-social are not ‘mere conceptualisations of common/comparative patterning’ but speak to an underlying overall reference-of-thought epistemic-veracity for sublimation warranted across all the subject-matters so-reflected as of overall philosophical epistemological conceptualisation (and so specifically as to the positivism/rational-empiricism overall epistemic attitude of reference-of-thought underlying all these subject-matters) but more thoroughly implicated in many a natural science domain (given the natural sciences very strong constraining to predicative-effectivity—sublimation—⟨as—to—underlying—ontological-commitment⟩ and low emotional-involvement inducing the requisite candidness for prospective knowledge-reification sublimation) but requiring a thoroughly insightful philosophical expliciting and elucidation to induce a more consciously profound epistemic-veracity in the-social as well as the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in enhancing overall human contemplation for knowledge-reification;\¶ such an existential-contextualising-contiguity conception of knowledge-reification unlike the mere aesthetisation of abstract dialecticism or analogy/mere-analogising makes a most profound claim to being ontological/scientific by the more profound veracity that it is epistemically embedded as to existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal—eliciting—of—progressive—supererogation (thus averting vague elaboration-as—mere—extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring—of—elucidation—outside—existential—contextualising—contiguity) and construes of existence—as—the—absolute—a—priori—of—conceptualisation enabling sublimation—over—
desublimation, that is, the existential-contextualising-contiguity of knowledge-reification projects/constres of transcendence and transcendental-enabling/sublimating in recognition of ‘an effective reality basis implying more and more profound reconstruals/reconceptualisations (and so as to \langle \text{amplituding/formative} \rangle \text{epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought arising by human limited-mentation—capacity—deepening—\langle \text{amplituding/formative} \rangle \text{epistemic-totalisingly,—as—to-existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective—supererogation} \rangle \text{ thus ‘is not mere eclecticism’ as can be interpreted from a naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic—projection perspective to knowledge-reification as to a relic/artifactual orientation poorly entertaining ontological-contiguity projection of relative-ontological-completeness implications and that then equates/level-down everything across space and time failing to reflect histioriality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing associated with prospective sublimation, and so just as say Einsteinian relativity in rearticulating prior physics conception like Lorentz transformation, Maxwell’s equations, etc. do not speak to ‘a soulless eclectic gathering of such conceptions’ but rather priorly a re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic—projection-in-conflatedness’—of-notional—deprocrypticism—prospective—sublimation) drivenness as to a prospective ontological-contiguity projection of relative-ontological-completeness that it is what develops the insight about the true prospective sublimating possibilities lying behind such prior physics conceptions as reflected with the Theory of relativity) inducing transformative implications with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology as transcendence (and so in contrast to the mere aestheticisation of abstract dialecticism or analogy/mere-analogue) with existential-contextualising-contiguity speaking thus of overall human sublimation—inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential—interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence, and we can consider in this regards for instance the veridicality that the convolutedness of say modern day genetics knowledge-reification in existential-contextualising-contiguity cannot be construed as of mere conceptual-patterning as say in terms of Mendelian hereditary (as conceptual-patterning can be so-elicited with the mere aestheticisation of abstract dialecticism or analogy/mere-analogue) since such a conceptual-patterning conception will be existentially/ontologically elusive by its poor reflection of relative-ontological-completeness and by the relic/artifactual orientation not structured/paradigmed in perpetually furthering/inducing the veracity of existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective—supererogation underlying the complex sublimating conception of genetics in existential-contextualising-contiguity and in many case such an approach as to blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology will rather distract from the more ontologically-profound issue of deeper and deeper induced sublimation of genetics science as of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity imbued sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential—interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ (and this mistake is often made
as of mere academicism in a flawed knowledge-reification gesturing that construe of the insights of latter existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidations as to ontological-contiguity projection of relative-ontological-completeness implications rather in terms of abstract and vague relic/artifactual conceptualisations failing to establish the entailing dynamics of existentially reflected ontological-contiguity as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation invalidating any existential-contextualising-contiguity analysis and end up equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms—conceptualisations by wrongly implying everything is of the same ontological-contiguity thus undermining historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing insights along the same lines like absurdly striving to idly rearticulate Mendelian hereditary as from the insight garnered from say modern day genetics with a poor capacity to discern their respective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing implications as to the overall human prospective knowledge-reification project of sublimation and human emancipation) and this insight underlies the contention herein to overcome blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology of our positivism—procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold for the prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and so-reflected as the deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) ('preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—in-superseding-mere-formulic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism') with regards to its given 'relative <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity')—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence—epistemic/notional—projective-perspective> as to its prospectively induced scalarising as of human supererogatory/messianic intemporal and secondnatured socially-optimal instigative potency’ at its given/defined institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall phenomenalit/manifestation of existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (and so over prior positivism—procrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as 'mere-formulaic-repositivism/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—that-is-not-of—
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
<(<amplituding)>formative>epistemic-growth/transvalutative-
rationalisang/TRANSEPISTEMICITY/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-
in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/AXIOMATISING/referencing—psychologism’ given ‘relative
disparateness-of-conceptualisation<-UNFOREGROUNDING-DENTAILMENT,-
failin-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> as to prior
descalaring totallytisingly-dentailment—discretion/whim-of-thought of
individuals-suboptimal instigative potency as of human temporal-to-
ontemporal-disposition accordin<as-of-varying-individuations-
contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,—as-to-the-
redounding/waving/wholeforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-
developed-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance’ at its
given/defined uninstitutionalised-threshold ontologically-deficient
epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall
phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-superreration’).\[
\]
critically with regards to the
<(<amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-
narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-superreration in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-
notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity<as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
epistemic/notional—projective-perspective‘, blurriness as to the very
nature of the social will often lead to the naïve ‘epistemic obviating of the
inherent existential-contextualising-contiguity foreground/operantly-
entailing—conception of many a social-domain (as to their veridical
ontological—primemovers-totalitative-framework as
<(<amplituding)>formative>epistemic—causality) accounting for the
resolution of underlying human—subpotency—
APORIA/UNDECIDABILITY/DILEMMA/OUGHT-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint implications’, for instance,
with the ‘flawed and paradoxical supposedly foregrounding—entailment-
(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-superreration in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-
notional—deprocrypticism statistics over the effectively veridical and
potent social-domain existential-contextualising-contiguity’ thus ‘ignoring
the social-domain existential-contextualising-contiguity effective
originariness/reifying/intellectualising—
idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-
institutionalisation responsible for the resolution of underlying human-
subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’ as prospectively
accounting for the manifestation of the statistical outcomes in the very first
place (consider for instance that the statistical outcomes arising from past
social aporia—resolving transformational initiatives like the New Deal, G.I.
bill, Medicare, civil rights, the post-war public infrastructure and
technology investments, etc. accounting-for/as-the-true existential-contextualising-contiguity foreground/operantly-entailing-conception for the growth of the U.S. middle-class specifically as well as the statistical outcomes associated with both international organisations public policies and countries-specific public policies worldwide are paradoxically being raised-and-foregrounded-over-the-ontological-veracity-of-the-social-existential-contextualising-contiguity to ‘surreptitiously’ imply that the need for such social aporia-resolving transformational initiatives in the future as advocated by many is unwarranted as ‘the statistical outcomes seem to be construed as their very own epistemic causation of the rise of the US middle-class and global population data improvements’ or in another respect the aporia-resolving nature of budding-positivists and before them universalising-idealisation thinkers in both instances as to their foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism social commitments in contributing towards and enabling the overcoming of the corresponding social and emancipatory limitations and social-vestedness/normativity of their societies and epochs is naively being interpreted-and-unforegrounded/disentailed as of our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness to wrongly imply ours is the era that ‘would hardly harbour any such critiquing for its further aporia-resolving emancipation and growth’ as to a ‘humanism’ that hardly grasp the existential-contextualising-contiguity ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,—as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness), likewise as manifested for instance in the economics domain the extensive use of mathematics as a conceptual-tool often takes on a purpose all of its own that overrides/unforegrounds/conceptually-disentails the socioeconomic-domain existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidation of veridical economic phenomena as it is often uncritically skewed in the direction of vested political and big-business interests perception of things bound to overlooked the underlying aporetic concerns associated with the recurrence of economic and financial crises and weak income growth and redistribution;] all such cases of blurriness that unforegrounds/conceptually-disentails existential-contextualising-contiguity are intimately related to the poor capacity of such blurry domains-of-study to naturally (as of their underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with regards to the ‘full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/reference—conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness) and clearly define their human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-
intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor framework/cadre (as to keep tab of the perpetual ‘<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional–projective-perspective>’ and preempting its unforegrounding-disentailment with flawed use of conceptual-tools), as such blurry domains rather adopt a presencing—absolutising-identitively-constitutedness disposition construed as ‘discrete functionalism of social-vestedness/normativity’ for their supposed originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating–meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation; whereas in many ways there is relatively more profound universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) in the natural sciences as to their very strong constraining of ‘human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint to ‘inherent existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness of construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as reflecting existential-reality/ontological-veracity’, (and where this fails as with climate change it again has to do with blurriness and the associated eliciting of social-vestedness/normativity) as we can appreciate as of a typical case in point how the similar integration of conceptual-tools like mathematics, statistics, algorithms, models, etc. operate between say the economic sciences and natural sciences wherein the latter relatively-tends to preserve their natural science existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism ‘as served by the conceptual-tools’ while the former (with the manifestation of mystification complexes of conceptual-tools) often end up overlooking their very own socioeconomic existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism ‘and seem to serve the conceptual-tools’ which take a purpose all of their own in the pursuit of a given ‘discrete functionalism of social-vestedness/normativity’ construal of things bent on ‘collateralising other critically aporetic things’
falsifiability refers to epistemic-veracity ‘determinable as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplitudizing)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confalatedness construal of ontological-primumovers-totalitative-framework as reflecting existential-reality/ontological-veracity’, and thus the broader implication of falsifiability is construed basically as ‘epistemic-veracity for determining existential-reality/ontological-veracity as of <(amplitudizing)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’;¶ with the implication that since existence is the absolute a priori, the ‘becoming of existence as ecstatic-existence’ is the inherent determinative basis of falsifiability as the latter is reflexive of ontological-primumovers-totalitative-framework, and where ecstatic-existence manifestation is rather as of an ‘overall singular/unrepeatable/nonrecurring/as-of-yet-unrepeatable-or-nonrecurring unfolding manifestation’ as implied with the ambit of such theories as the big bang theory, string theory, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process etc., falsifiability is reflected by determining the coherence-as-of-ontological-congruence and incoherence-as-of-ontological-incongruence of any such ambit implied ‘overall singular ecstatic-existence unfolding manifestation model-theory’ as reflected by ‘the falsifiability of its underlying-and-subsumed-phenomena’ with regards to the epistemic-veracity of their ontological-primumovers-totalitative-framework going by their specifically relevant repeatable/recurring methodological evaluations or observations or experiments, whereas where ecstatic-existence manifestation is about just a ‘repeatable/recurrent ecstatic-existence manifestation phenomenon’ then such an ecstatic-existence manifestation phenomenon is falsifiable as of the epistemic-veracity of its ontological-primumovers-totalitative-framework going by its specifically relevant methodological evaluations or observations or experiments
operative-notional–depocrypticism, as to

‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic/notional–projective-perspective’—(so construed as the knowledge-reification exercise of foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional–depocrypticism as to existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’ with regards to prospective knowledge and its overall coherence with the relevant relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought’s—nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations, with such explanations reflected as-of-ontological-contiguity-and-inducing-corresponding-prospective-sublimity and so as to the dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality involved in the dispensing—with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for such prospective knowledge-reification; and with regards to the reference-of-thought of all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in their successive relative-ontological-completeness as so construed in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ implied knowledge-reification, the foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional–depocrypticism of meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather as of the successive reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness conflatedness-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity—as-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’; it can also be appreciated for instance that the natural sciences aspire for comprehensive foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operative-notional–depocrypticism in other to reflect deeper and deeper ontological-contiguity and corresponding sublimation, and so in the sense that their articulated axiomatic-constructs and their ‘assemblages of axiomatic-constructs’ are meant as derivable-as-of-necessity-and-mutually-coherent in all existential instantiations and not as discretionary-and-incoherent, such that where issues undermining derivation-as-of-necessity-and-mutual-coherence arise at any given unreified-threshold then it is understood that prospective knowledge-reification requires defining-and-superseding that prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of poor derivation-as-of-necessity-and-mutual-coherence so-revealed as from foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating—

‘<(amplifying)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity in elucidating ontological-contiguity<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional-projective-perspective> speaks to the fact that existence can only truly epistemically be construed as of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> so-reflected as ‘foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity'), as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism as to overall reifying—empowering—reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility—<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif—and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>’, and this potency-driven epistemic-conception of existence’s foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity'), as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism reflects 'the relativeness to originariness/origination<so-construed-as—to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> of epistemic-situations as to phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies-and-their-corresponding-manifest—teleological-aporeticism in the full-potency of existence (so-construed as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic-projection perspective)’, and so with regards to the fact that transcendence—and—sublimity/sublimation and desublimation in existence is structured/paradigmed around phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (such that there is a notional—symmetrisation of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies-and-their-corresponding—phenomenal/manifest—teleological-aporeticism that is equally reflected in 'the human-subpotency consciousness phenomenal/manifest epistemicity in existence with regards to its notional—symmetrisation<as-to-symmetrisation—by—desymmetrisation—reflective—postconvergent—dialectical-thinking—by—preconvergent—dementing-perspectives—of—human-meaningfulness—thinking—thinking—teleology> underlying human ontological-performance’ and so with respect to the perspectival binarity as of human-subpotency epistemic-projection so-construed as temporality and human-subpotency epistemic-projection towards the full-potency of existence so-construed as intemporality, as so-reflected in both 'Derridean underdetermination—imbued force/violence conception’ and 'Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge—empowerment/ignorance—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, explaining the fact that such vague approaches turn out to be epistemically inefficacious/sublimating impracticalities when seriously considered, and reflecting that existence’s originariness/origination<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> is ‘the ontological-contiguity of the comprehensive supervening of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies<in-transitive-confaltedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ as that is what is of applicative veracity as to inherent subject-matters epistemic-conceptions of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies<in-transitive-confaltedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>; it can further be appreciated in this regards for instance that no amount of abstract mathematics can substitute for the requisite inherent physics epistemic-conception foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supерерогation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism,—as-to—‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity in elucidating the inherent physics epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotency<in-transitive-confaltedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence’ given the inherent physics epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotency<in-transitive-confaltedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-paintelligibility<imbuied-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> implied originariness/origination<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>, and the same can be said of any other inherent subject-matter epistemic-conception with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence, and just as the same can be said even of inherent mathematics epistemic-conception notwithstanding its rather contemplatable peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotency<in-transitive-confaltedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>, but then all other subject-matters are equally epistemic-conceptions as of their very own peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence (as even the social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies<in-transitive-confaltedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as of human living/institutional/Being implications do have transverse-phenomenal/manifest existential consequences as to the human organising-and-institutionalising capacity to elucidate the natural sciences phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies<in-transitive-confaltedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> even as the former don’t substitute for the inherent natural sciences phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies<in-transitive-confaltedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in elucidating the natural
rather the valid epistemic-conceptions of phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies—in-transitive-conflectedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to their peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies should not lead to naïve reductionist interpretations in constitutedness that pretend to then substitute for the other phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-conflectedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (as it can be noted not only with the naivety of physicalism reductionism or universal mathematical/informational reductionism or consciousness reductionism) ‘wrongly seeming to supersede the ontological-contiguity of existence/ecstatic-existence as of overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflectedness’ whereas ‘ultimately it is sublimation in existence’ as of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-conflectedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> induced sublimation (so-reflected as ‘foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supерerogation in reflecting ’immanent-ontological-contiguity’)—as-operational-notional—deprocrypticism as to overall reifying—and-empowering—reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility—<imbued—and-educed—human—subpotency—epistemic—perspective-of—aestheticising-motif—and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation>) that is the ‘defining and superseding epistemic-conception of originariness/origination—<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising—construal-of-existence> of the ontological-contiguity of existence’ as to the possibility of human limited—mentation—capacity—deepening—((amplituding)formative)epistemic—totalisingly—as-to-existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting—prospective—supererogation) induced epistemic-conceptions of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-conflectedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (and this actually allows for the epistemic-conception of any other possible phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-conflectedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> that are not as of yet divulged as to their correspondingly inducible sublimation in existence), and so over all such reductionist epistemic-conceptions wrongly construing peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies in constitutedness as substituting for other phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—in-transitive-conflectedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (and thus fundamentally since a physics reductionism of existence cannot generate the profound sublimation in existence of say a biology epistemic-conception of living phenomena or a biological/neurological reductionism of existence cannot generate the more profound sublimation in existence of say a social and socio-psychological epistemic-conception of social-constructs and institutions meaningfulness—end—teleology, such pretences are often at best unscientific postures riding-the-wave/exploit—without—corresponding—sublimation—as—to—existence—potency—implications of the success obtained in their relevant epistemic-conceptions of physical phenomena and living phenomena respectively to then wrongly project substitutive sublimation in another domain-of-study, and so—manifested at worst with the usurpation
of such natural sciences successes associated particularly with their desublimating projections in wrongly drawing profound social and socio-psychology interpretations)

\[(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\text{-causality-as-to-projective-\text{totalitative-implications,}-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity}\]


\[(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\text{-causality-as-to-projective-\text{totalitative-implications,}-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity}\text{is rather reflected as of the teleologies (‘phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological’)}\text{of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies-}\text{in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence}\text{as so-underlied as of overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-}\text{imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation},\] with the supererogatory implication that ‘the epistemic-projection perspectives of preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism and postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ are of ‘the very same notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding of referencing/registering/decisioning shallow-superceration—\text{to—}\text{profund-superceration}\text{’ (such that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is ‘the very same notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding of referencing/registering/decisioning shallow-superceration—\text{to—}\text{profund-superceration’}) thus reflecting the fact that the ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of the full-potency of existence’ as the absolute epistemic-projection perspective of profound-superceration is ‘not of referenced/registered/decisioned presence/constitutedness’ but rather ‘of referencing/registering/decisioning becoming/conflatedness/formative—superceration’ and by extension the ‘epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence of phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies-\text{organic-confinedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence}\text{’ as to their epistemic-projection perspectives of relative profound-superceration is not of referenced/registered/decisioned self-presence/self-constitutedness’ but rather ‘of referencing/registering/decisioning self-becoming/self-confinedness/formative—superceration’, and so as to imply that ‘intelligibility of phenomenality/manifestation in existence as to causality’ can only be divulged as of ‘any given sublimating (whether ‘of sublimating inline—manifestation/phenomenality’ or ‘of sublimating conceptive/epistemic-reflexive—manifestation/phenomenality’ so-underlied totalisingly as of overall panintelligibility—inlining)
historicity-tracing


historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing


identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in- dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism


incrementalismin-relativeontologicalincompleteness
intemporality

limitedmentationcapacitydeepening⟨<(amplituding)fo
rmative>epistemi
c-totalisingly,-asto-existence—assublimatingwithdrawal,eliciting-ofprospectivesupererogation⟩

akrasiatic–incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as to
lack-of-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluativerationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
so-reflected in the lack-of-the-epistemic-projective-perspective-ofontological-normalcy/postconvergence
or–ontological-preservation
constitutedness towards relative conflatedness⟩;¶ limited-mentationcapacity-deepening-⟨<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-asto-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospectivesupererogation⟩ fundamentally speaks of human knowledge-reification as
from time immemorial so-construed as involving human projective
conceptualising beyond animality (as from human recurrent-utteruninstitutionalisation trepidatious-consciousness, baseinstitutionalisation–ununiversalisation warped-consciousness,
universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism preclusive-consciousness,
our present positivism–procrypticism occlusive-consciousness and
prospective deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness), speaking of
human teleology so-construed as ‘human phenomenal/manifest epistemicreflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting
<(amplituding)formative>disposedness-⟨as-to-orientation/valueconstruct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising⟩ and
<(amplituding)formative>entailment-⟨as-to-totalisingcontiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability⟩)’, underlied as of overall
as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of95


meaningfulness-and-teleology), rather arising as of 'aestheticisation-and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology of human ontological-performance' underlying both 'motif-as-to-aestheticisation-<imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness>' and 'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as to aestheticisation-towards-ontology' (so-construed as '<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology involving 'the epistemic-totalising—resubjecting of motif-as-to-aestheticisation—<imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness>' to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in restructuring/reparadigmimg intelligibility—(as-to-human—aestheticising—re-motif-and-re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-process,—in—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—conceptualisation') and so-underscored by the reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving dynamics of re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting) of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to 'human existential-instantiations of both manifest motif (outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating—as-institutional-manifestation) and associated/attendant manifest aposteriorising/logicsing/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology', with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly—as-into-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as to aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology speaking to an emphasis on both its 'generativity potential' and its 'ontological-performance potential' (as reflected in issues of human meaningfulness-and-teleology induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) requiring appropriate human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension to ever always preserve human meaningfulness-and-teleology cross-fertilising 'generativity potential' and 'ontological-performance potential' as institutionally reflected respectively with the artistic, the philosophical and the scientific/ontological orientations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, and in this respect 'the philosophical as spanning aestheticisation (generativity potential) and aestheticisation-towards-ontology (ontological-performance potential) of human meaningfulness-and-teleology' speaks to the epistemic successes and failures as to human ontological-performance leading up to science/ontology as aestheticisation-towards-ontology (ontological-performance potential) and science (including the aspiration of the social sciences) is thus but the exactifying/precisioning—of—sublimation—<as-to-entailing-theoretical,-conceptual-and-operant-implications> of the philosophical from which it emerges as of natural philosophy (and human-nature philosophy as of human-subpotency construal with respect to aspiring social sciences) and is ever always implicitly anchored to the philosophical in the face of its prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming while the
philosophical as well must necessarily be concerned about its ultimate ontological-veracity relevance to avoid degenerating into a pedantry (as we can appreciate that both ancient-sophists and medieval-scholastics could be notionally/epistemically be considered as involved in philosophy however ontologically-flawed we may now think of their given closed mindsets very much as pseudoscience is decried by serious scientists as it is only such ontological-veracity by its perpetual epistemic-totalising—resubjecting to the validation/invalidation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation that can establish the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of philosophical knowledge to avoid its degeneracy into a poor and relic/artifactual knowledge-reification pedantic gesturing of mere aestheticisation hardly appreciative of the cogency of relative-ontological-completeness implications as to a conception of cumulative/recomposuring knowledge allowing for future knowledge-reification beyond a naïve institutionalised investedness as to relic/artifactual conception of knowledge weakened to the questioning of how-does-it-knows-that-what-it-says-is-true especially when it adopts disparateness-of-conceptualisation—unforegrounding-disentailment, failing-to-reflect ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’—over foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’) as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology that projects requisite <(amplituding)formative> disposedness—as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising) and <(amplituding)formative> entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent—factuality-of-variability) as herein implied/ambitioned), with the implication that the philosophical epistemic attitude gives a leeway for aestheticising inexactitude/tolerances for further aesthetising possibilities of human thought different-from/complementary-to an exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—<as-to-entailing-theoretical,—conceptual-and-operant-implications> scientific/ontological epistemic attitude that may by naivety utterly shut down alternate human aestheticising possibilities (as more radically manifested today with many a science-ideology approach) even as such alternate human aestheticising possibilities ‘inducible exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—<as-to-entailing-theoretical,—conceptual-and-operant-implications> elucidations’ may be required for science’s very own further development in its prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming (as increasingly appreciated with a postmodern influence on science) and so given that human thought at any given moment as of its aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology is not absolutely determinative/certain as so-reflected by the enframed—unenframed or enframed-overflowing or re-originary—as—unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation–imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’ ‘epistemic-projection—in-confalatedness ’—of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective—sublimation) veracity that truly underlies all human meaningfulness-and-teleology thus enabling the prospective possibility for human emancipation and progress (as even the sciences while ultimately aspiring for
exactifying/precisioning-of-sublimation-<as-to-entailing-theoretical,-conceptual-and-operant-implications> scientific accounts, will implicitly adopt practices of inexactitude/tolerances as to the more critical issue of their prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming wherein for instance it is mostly in the last 30-or-so years that astronomy has arrived at a highly cogent scientific account of astronomical phenomena, in the medical domain because of the critical nature of any developments to human health and preservation of life even the most flimsy statistics are often portrayed as of relevance however the possibility for pseudo-analysis or later retraction, and generally in this respect science at its ‘breakthrough-level of scientific accounts’ is rather of relatively high inexactitude/tolerances as nascent scientific conceptions even within say the physics domain are contested, with the critical notion of science-in-practice rather being about ultimate aspiration to continually converge towards more and more exactifying/precisioning-of-sublimation-<as-to-entailing-theoretical,-conceptual-and-operant-implications> scientific accounts);\¶ but then human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as to aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology necessarily priorly conforms to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation (and so over any human-subpotency institutionalising conceptions like philosophy and science), and in the bigger picture in this regards the institutionalised conception of philosophy for instance is a distorted Western metaphysics-of-presence notion of the more universal concept of overall human knowledge (pure and simple), with the flaw that speaking of say non-Western philosophy is a misnomer so-construed as ‘a distorted and undue epistemic intercession of supposed Western philosophy as a reference point of conception into any non-Western society aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology notion of overall human knowledge’ (as to any such non-Western social dynamics very own originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation inducing of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation) and furthermore such a misnomer as to its metaphysics-of-presence seem to supersede the more fundamental notion of human underlying ontological-commitment (as investigatively driving the human out of animality) as to the more pivotal/critical ‘fatedness-of—sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness (as reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process beyond any identitive conception as Western or non-Western or even differentiation internal to any such Western conception or non-Western conception), thus overlooking the dynamic underlying human constructive and cultural diffusionary process critically leading to various social-setups dynamics of relative-ontological-completeness in renewing of human meaningfulness-and-teleology'),\¶ human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening-\langle (amplituding)\rangle formative\rangle epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation\rangle thus implies that ultimately the actual knowledge attitude is that of the creative generation, elucidation and exactifying/precisioning—of-sublimation—\langle as-to-entailing-theoretical,—conceptual-and-operant-implications\rangle of human meaningfulness-and-teleology and so as to the requisite originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation within the artistic framing, philosophical framing or scientific/ontological framing as to their respective aporeticism need for aestheticisation (generativity potential) and/or aestheticisation-towards-ontology (ontological-performance potential), and so as we can appreciate that even the artistic as to aestheticisation is much more than just mere patterning but ‘a projection of aestheticising depth’ that speaks of its specific generative, elucidative and exactifying/precisioning—of—sublimation—\langle as-to-entailing-theoretical,—conceptual-and-operant-implications\rangle aspects as to specific human perception of artistic sublimation; and in this regards human limited-mentation-capacity—amplituding—\langle \rangle formative\rangle epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation\rangle needs to factor in that much of the institutional confusion associated with the artistic, philosophical and scientific speaks more of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing conscious and unconscious institutional politics of self-preservation whether from 'institutionalised philosophy' or 'institutionalised science' as to the overall politicisation of knowledge given that human limited-mentation-capacity warrants human institutional specialisations as subdividing the overall human knowledge aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology (while factoring that existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of—conceptualisation is not beholding to any such human-subpotency institutionalising) implying that scientific achievements are de facto philosophical achievements as inherent to the practice of science is notionally/epistemically 'implicated philosophy' whether the scientist is explicitly conscious or not of this such that faced with scientific dilemma some of the most novel philosophies are implicitly articulated in scientific works in need for their philosophical explicitation (as herein explicated as to the fact that nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations—\langle blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving—\rangle actually point to an overall reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning sublation as for instance with Newtonian physics pointing to an overall positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning), and likewise the scientific methods/methodologies/approaches were developed by philosophers involved in natural philosophy knowledge-reification gesturing firstly as
thought experiments and thereafter articulating effective practical methodologies not because they gave up on natural philosophy but because their normal living experience cognition they used was no longer sufficient for a more profound and creative insight into abstruse phenomenality and so they expanded upon their normal living experience cognition associated with thought experiments to ‘exactifying/precisioning–of-sublimation–<as-to-entailing-theoretical,-conceptual-and-operant-implications> framework of controlled experiences involving control methods’ as extension of their normal living experience cognition into the existentially atypical manifestation of natural phenomena and this is the very true meaning of scientific approaches and methods as not breaking away from philosophising but rather extension of philosophising into methodologically framed and controlled experiences known as experiments (with the naïve perspectiveless/soulless adoption of methods/methodologies/approaches in many a domain-of-study today by the mere token that this is the practice in the natural sciences losing sight of the underlying and relevant philosophising of such methods/methodologies/approaches as to profound and creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation required for the relevant domain-of-study as to reflecting its given epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotency—<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> pertinence to which any such scientific methods/methodologies/approaches are rather subjected);\| human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplitude)|formative|epistemic—totally,—as-to-existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation| as reflecting both overall knowledge-reification orientation associated with the overall philosophical and exactifying/precisioning–of-sublimation—<as-to-entailing-theoretical,—conceptual-and-operant-implications> orientation associated with science rather fundamentally speaks to the pre-eminence of their aetiologisation/ontological-escalation purpose so—reflected in the succession of ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing—of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ as narrowing-down selectivity of the intemporal-disposition as of ontological-pertinence for prospectively secondnaturised institutionalisation (as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—universalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, our positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness—as-of-reference—of—thought and prospectively deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness—as-of-reference—of—thought) and is thus primarily concerned about human prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development—as-infrastructure—of—meaningfulness—and—teleology and thereof the derived prospective living-development—as—to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social—function-development, so-speaking to a dispensing-with—immediacy—for—relative-ontological-completeness—by—reification/contemplative—distension
epistemic attitude, such that the philosophical nor the scientific cannot be
construed as a self-serving conception (as can be so-construed in modern
day psychology individual augmentation/enhancement notion in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) but rather ‘a self-
development conception structurally/paradigmatically construed in
association with the development of a better world as to the selfless
notional–asceticism implied’ (with a confusion as of individual
augmentation/enhancement rather arising from a misconstrual of the
Socratic philosophers and their successors like stoics and cynics emphasis
on self-development as to the fact that their universalising-idealisation as
to their given epoch implied a more fated/precarious/perilous/uncertain
world with their notion of self-development implying forming individuals
that can face such a world with valour in view to a constructive projection
of a better world), and such is the general basis for interpreting
philosophical thought as to its specific epochal aporeticism associated
with the corresponding human limited-mentation-capacity and the
prospective projective-insights from all such specific aporeticisms
concerning their retrospective and prospective implications and is in many
ways no different from a cumulative/recomposuring understanding as to
scientific aporeticisms reflection of human historicality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing while avoiding an epistemically-
flawed complex of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
along the same lines human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
((amplifying)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as
reflecting both overall knowledge-reification orientation further implies
that there can’t be any tradition/practice of knowledge that overrides
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation as it can be often naively implied in many a blurry and
pedantic domain-of-study subject to totalisingly-disentailing—
discretion/whim-of-thought with any such orientations claiming to ignore
ontological-veracity rather speaking of institutional bankruptcy as to the
fact that ‘human-subpotency cannot subject knowledge but is rather
subject to knowledge’ such that issues of human ineptness/incapacity
arising from disparateness-of-conceptualisation<-unforegrounding-
disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>
cannot be transformed and construed as structural/paradigmatic issues of
inherent knowledge as of the inherent nature of science or inherent nature
of the philosophical (failing to attend to prospective existential
aporeticisms while construing the framework of human agreeability and
agreeing as knowledge rather than the construal of ontological-veracity as
of the impersonal manifestation of the sublime as to existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as the
more fundamental purpose of the intellectual enterprise as to the reality of
the fact that true knowledge has ever always been about superseding
human limited-mentation-capacity and not defining it as a point of
reference however disagreeable the exercise), and in many ways this
drawback is reflected in the modern practice of philosophical
interpretations in the humanities as to a relic/artifactual way and
academic practice of going about knowledge-reification that equates/level-
down everything across space and time as to wrongly imply everything is of the same ontological-contiguity as to the proliferation of isms—conceptualisations without any ‘relative-ontological-completeness’ entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability reflecting historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ as well as mere conceptual-patterning with no contiguous knowledge-reification gesturing as to when for instance such notions as humanism and antihumanism, enlightenment and counter-enlightenment, etc. seem to imply that the latter conceptualisations are against humanity or enlightenment rather than being more profound conceptions of humanity and enlightenment over the former as shallow conceptions thus inducing blurriness of thought and in a further twisted relic/artifactual approach the very notion of postmodernism as of ‘postmodern-thought elucidation of ontologically-flawed desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’ is paradoxically construed as postmodern condition as of the modern’s take prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold of proscriptivism or disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought (as to an academically induced confusion equating postmodern-thought with the analytical criticism of modern society’s metanaratives so-articulated by postmodern-thought more like qualifying budding-positivists critiques of the non-positivising medieval-world/medievalism as the modern condition) with all this contradictory intellectual-muddling arising because of the precedence of institutional self-preservation over existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as we can easily appreciate that the lack of blurriness in many a natural science as to an untenable constraining of social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)>formative> epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) will avert any such relic/artifactual approach to knowledge (say for instance construing modern genetics as a deeper conception of hereditary as anti-hereditary or say quantum physics as a deeper conception of physics as anti-physics along the lines of equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms–conceptualisations because of institutional pre-eminence over relative-ontological-completeness conception as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), thus speaking of the requisite underlying ontological-good-faith/authenticity and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity insight (manifested beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<(in-existent-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought)> when going about knowledge-reification in domains-of-study subject to blurriness, and critically human knowledge-reification as to organic-knowledge is inherently of existential implications (as to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to—‘human’<(amplituding)>formative> epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal to which the sublimating relative-ontological-completeness has to be epistemically affirmed while the desublimating relative-ontological-incompleteness has to be epistemically unaffirmed and so with regards to the constraining implications as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—}
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation with no naïve notion of neutrality/goodnaturedness that wrongly leads to equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naïve absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms—conceptualisations) such that part and parcel of knowledge is to identify and qualify improbable, obscure and shady misanalyses passing for true knowledge (just as the Socratic philosophers as to their universalising-idealisation and budding-positivists understood respectively with regards to mere-sophistry and mere-scholasticism) with such blurrriness failing to grasp relative-ontological-completeness implications and equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naïve absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms—conceptualisations providing the ubiquitous framework for a poorly accounted for media-driven pop-intellectualism subject to marionetting subterfuges of dominance/vested-interest actors as to a circular interest holding down the profound emancipative potential of the humanities and social sciences as of their inherent sublimating nature (and likewise it is critical to grasp that human sublimation as induced from nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations—blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving> equally requires corresponding institutional sublimation that doesn’t just assume a relative-ontological-incompleteness—presublimation-construct—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology value-construct and methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising existentialising—enframing as we can appreciate for instance that such modern developments like nuclear science, general technical progress and even the Internet today require corresponding human referencing/registering/decisioning social and institutional sublimation that cannot simply be assumed by ‘default of institutional status/pre-eminence’ without profound questioning and reflection for corresponding prospective sublimation); and in this regards as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding><formative><epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>) as being ever always about the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to—‘human’<amplituding><formative><epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal (structuring/paradigming the veracity of knowledge necessarily as being in ontological-contiguity), knowledge-reification construed as of interpretation of say a given historical figure’s theory/philosophy/thought is ever always ‘priorly about the interpreter’s relative-ontological-completeness constructive construal as to the starting reference which is the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality’ such that in reality ‘the ontological-veracity of interpretation is never truly about a relic/artifactual notion of interpretation of any given historical figure’s theory/philosophy/thought without involving any relative-ontological-completeness conception as to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality’ but rather any such a given historical figure articulate their theory/philosophy/thought as of the projected ontological-veracity they make of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with existence being exactly the ‘starting/instigative concern (as to relative-ontological-completeness
construal) of the interpreter and thereof deriving the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing implications (as to aestheticisation and aestheticisation-towards-ontology) with respect to the given historical figure’s theory/philosophy/thought as to relative-ontological-completeness ontological-veracity (and we can appreciate in this regards for instance that as to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-‘human’<(amplitudinous)formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal there was no better interpretation of say the prior foregoing physics as to when say Einsteinian physics was introduced as rather providing the more profound epistemic-projection perspective for appreciating the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing implications of such prior foregoing physics like Newtonian mechanics and other subsequent prior physics conceptions like Lorentz transformation, Maxwell’s equations, etc. without adopting any relic/artifactual notion of their interpretation as to equate/level-down everything across space and time as to an improbable poor sense of relative-ontological-completeness underlying/organising their comprehensive conceptualisation), and this insight is very much implicated in the Derridean and Foucauldian conceptions of interpretation as to the implicated grasp of projective-insights in deconstruction and genealogy knowledge-reification gesturings respectively (which by their underlying/organising implicated ‘projective-insights’/’epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’ of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplitudinous)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as so-explicated herein, stand-out particularly as to their re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking,’projective-insights’/’epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality and thus structurally/paradigmatically effectively enabling the construal of sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing implications of relative-ontological-completeness just as it is so-explicated in the natural sciences unlike many a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness knowledge-reification posturing which are structurally/paradigmatically bogged down in desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as to their relic/artifactual postures equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms—conceptualisations with a poor sense of the projective-insights/epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as to underlying/organising relative-ontological-incompleteness by relative-ontological-completeness implications), and as is explicitly reflected herein as to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process imbued historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing
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projective-insights of relative-ontological-completeness implications (so-reflected as of notional–deprocrypticising or
<(amplituding)formative>notional–preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confal tedness; with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sub limating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to-‘human’<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal implying necessarily that the intellectual-and-moral valour in the human knowledge-reification exercise is all about articulating its historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as to relative-ontological-completeness ontological-veracity while collectively taking pride in the collective advancement so-arising with the very first commitment of the intellectual being ‘a priori commitment to inherent knowledge above all else’ including above their very own theoretical/philosophical/thought postures as so-allowing for the full human knowledge-reification potential as it is very often a relic/artifactual attachment to institutionally hallowed postures irrespective of the implications as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation that brings about the enculturation of strategies of institutional self-preservation over prospective knowledge-reification; and in this regards ‘re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation—(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-confal tedness’—of-notional–deprocrypticising-prospective-sublimation) relative-ontological-completeness implications as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality enabling the construal of sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ fundamentally reflects how prospective destructuring-threshold—uninstitutionalised-threshold—presublimating—desublimating-decisionality—of-ontological-performance of human meaningfulness-and-teleology are superseded by mere ‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-confal tedness’ as to the fact that there is no logical-basis/logic—<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> for any prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology with logic rather being the inner working coherence/contiguity of any such a relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construct with the consequence that the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness

<(amplituding)formative>epistem-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) as to their flawed fundamental knowledge-reification gesturing point-of-departure cannot intelligibly conceptualise the effective theoretical—conceptual—operant implications warranting the 'prospective/nascent relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning' respectively of Socratic philosophers 'universalising-idealisation knowledge-reification gesturing', budding-positivists 'rational-empiricism/positivism knowledge-reification gesturing' and prospective postmodern-thought 'deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought knowledge-reification gesturing' (as reflecting a rather more fundamental apriorising and psychoanalytic presublimating defect warranting prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure to supersede such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness mental-flex equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patternning and isms—conceptualisations and so in lieu of grasping the projective-insights for drawing sublimating relative-ontological-completeness implications), and in many ways such presublimating mental-reflex as of mere institutional pre-eminence pretense of integrating such nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations—blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving is not beholding upon existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-suprerogation and speaks to <(amplituding)formative>epistem-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that rather stifles prospective human knowledge possibilities as to their disparateness-of-conceptualisation—unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—immanent-ontological-contiguity') (rather than foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-suprerogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity')—as- operative-notional—deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology that projects requisite <(amplituding)formative>disposedness—(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising) and

<(amplituding)formative>entailment—(as-to-totalising-contiguous/compatible—factualty-of-variability)); ultimately, as to the fact
that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

(\textit{amplituding})formative\textit{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) is all
about 'genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to
existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

(\textit{amplituding})formative\textit{epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness in epistemic-
totalisingly–resubjecting the collective and individual mortals that we are
(however the emotional-involvement as succumbing to temporal impulses
is exactly what leads to relic/artifactual conceptions of knowledge bent on
institutional self-preservation rather than attending to prospective
aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming), there can't be any pretense as of
b vague human-subpotency temporal purposes to compromise knowledge as
to the fact that only the 'affirmation as of sublimating veracity' or
'unaffirmation as of desublimating impertinence' reflects organic-
knowledge as to its requisite
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstru-
ment rather than any social or institutional extrinsic-attribute decadent
crafts perceived as superseding the requisite intrinsic-attribute for
genuine knowledge (even to the extent of temporal institutional or social
non-recognition as the primary purpose of knowledge, especially as it
reflects prospective human destructuring-threshold.<uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance, is to enable the social and institutional attendance-
to/dealing-with its prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming as
to human self-surpassing and by this token rather construing of practices
of institutional or social recognition within prior institutionalised
framework as dispensable/superfluous with regards to prospective
knowledge imbued transcendence-and-sublimity parrhesiastic purposes of
prospective knowledge-reification and so beyond presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness

(\textit{amplituding})formative\textit{epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and blurriness
induced pedantic abandonment to desublimating incrementalism-in-
relative-ontological-incompleteness (in lieu of sublimating maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness with the so-induced
universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-
entailing—<\textit{amplituding})formative\textit{epistemic-totalising—in-relative-
ontological-completeness) part-and-parcel of the process of human cross-
generational transformation more critical and important than any
punctual enframed notions of knowledge acquiescence) and with the
appropriate intellectual attitude being one beyond the immediate
existentialising—enframing as to 'fundamentally skewing the dynamism in
the play of temporal-and-intemporal-dispositions of social-stake-
contention-or-confliction of the social-construct towards sublimating
ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure' and in this regards
knowledge-reification can only extend as far as eliciting human
ontological-commitment as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal and
subsequent second-natured human institutionalisation from the universal-
transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-
completeness), but knowledge-reification ends/should not aspire to any
‘convincing’ of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure as the latter
is nothing but a circular process that only ends up degrading knowledge
into falsehoods as individual supererogatory-shallowness or
supererogatory-profoundness inceptively lies with the individual and not
knowledge, well before sublimating knowledge can be of any relevance
thereof

logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—<construed-as-to-act-
execution-or-logical-implications-of-notion-of-agreement-or-
disagreement>

antiakrasiatic—maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
completeness as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—
equalisation so-reflected in the epistemic-projective-perspective-of-
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence—(unwinding-as-unfolding/dépliage-
as-détendre of elucidation—in grasping existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s—reifying/elucidating-of-relative-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context
as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same—existential-reality over wrongly-
projected decontextualising-
unimbricatedness/ubthreadedness/unrecomposuring—as-virtuality-or-
ontologically-flawed-construal (preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-
psychologism reference-of-thought in threshold-of—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism as shallowness-of-
thought-to-unsophistication-of-understanding))

meaningfulness-and-teleology
meaningfulness as of its inherent ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding—
as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of
contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ as of
conflatedness-with-existence-as-defining-background—Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology—as-well-as-derived-conventioning-referencing-with-regards-to-
institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development-and-living-
development—as-to-personality-development-possibilities;¶ construed as
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—
meaningfulness-and-teleology defining any given registry-
worldview/dimension in reflection of the fact that there can only be one

metaphoricity evolving-and-devolving—‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/conception-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’, construed ultimately as of the cross-generational superseding of any given registry-worldview/dimension

‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ for inducing intelligibility, such that the reification issue/problem with meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather derivational as of human relative ontological-performance as of ‘various relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ in reflecting meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating of meaningfulness-and-teleology

mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought (as to elicitable
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring’ improbable as both are affirmative whereas in reality the former should be affirmed and the latter should be unaffirmed thus explaining why only a ‘prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ can arise from the former over the latter to restore ontological-veracity, and this is enabled/validated only by their mutually supposedly coherent ontological-commitment underlying any society/social-setup conventioning as so reflected by its ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ enabling the relative-ontological-completeness ‘prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (and not propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence)’ over the relative-ontological-incompleteness cross-generationally as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework sublimating implications, reflecting the fact that there is no base-institutionalisation propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation but rather a ‘prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ arising as of their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework sublimating implications pointing out that base-institutionalisation is relatively as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confatedness and this notion of ‘prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (and not propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence)’ applies likewise in ‘affirming relative existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation implications’ of universalisation over base-institutionalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism over universalisation, and prospectively deprocrypticism over our positivism—procrypticism, and such a state of improbable propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence arises because of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness shiftiness-of-the-Self associated with human sovereign-constructs in<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which can naturally be overcomed by human insight of its limited-mentation-capacity
implications and ‘as requiring knowledge-construct specialisms’ involving human deferential-formalisation-transference to ‘perceived significant others’ with respect to such specialisms ‘limited-mentation-capacity-deepening’<(amplitudiniformative>epistemic-totalising,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) resources-and-talent focussing for knowledge-reification’, but then sophistic/pedantic dispositions as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction with regards to such issues like climate change, public policy, etc. can turn around and wrongly reaffirm the ‘ontological-veracity of human<(amplitudiniformative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence’ to undermine such ‘prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ enlightenment from its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension specialisms even though we know that the truly specialist lawyer, chemist, etc. doesn’t adopt any such propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence relation with<br>
<(amplitudiniformative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence and veridical intellectual ‘prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ also arises when it comes to prospective knowledge-reification of preceding/traditional normativities, conventions, practices, etc. (such as manifested with sophistic/pedantic mediums, shamans, witchdoctors, ancient Sophists, medieval-scholasticism pedants and modern day intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplitudiniformative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness)), and hence ultimately with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity implications sophistry can-and-is only undermined by prospective relative-ontological-completeness ‘prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ knowledge-reification in inducing
of sophistic/pedantic undermining like the eliciting of various temporal manifestations of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction implications) even if the vast majority of humans don’t have a thorough grasp of deprocrypticism implied profound/specialisms knowledge-construct implications

neuterising


neuterisation


nondescript/ignorable void


implied/appreciable preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema (so-reflected as from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-completeness perspective), as it rather reproduces circularly its ‘prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s nondescript/ignorable void as of its ontologically-flawed postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema’ over any such prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s veridically implied/appreciable preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema representation of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance, with the implication that the ‘destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-suprerogation)-'construal-of—'superseding—oneness-of-ontology' with respect to the prior pertinence of the ‘organic-spirit of knowledge’ over ‘mechanical-knowledge’, so-implied beyond the ‘epochal literal mysticism’ as naively analysed from their universalising-idealisation presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective, and noting as well here that the conceptual-patterning naivity of Platonism as merely prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is alien to Plato and the Socratic philosophers whose anamnesis rather speaks of originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation conceptualisation of their universalising-idealisation), as human-subpotency doesn’t constrain ‘the becoming of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier’ as of the latter’s transcendence-and-sublimity inducing implications such that ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications—<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
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worldview/dimension, by its prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompos
ture of the prospective construction-of-the-Self, induces 'value-
ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology' thus overriding the 'prior
registry-worldview's/dimension's nondescript/ignore
able void as of its ontologically-flawed postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema'
with regards to its destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance, such that a <(amplitudinous)formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-
reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
simply speaks of a registry-worldview's/dimension's

<(<amplitudinous)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of the 'shiftiness-
of-the-Self' whether as of trepidatious/warped/preclusive/occlusive
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/identitive-
constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-
as-flawed-epistemic-determinism

non-presencing
non-presencing—or-withdrawal—or—metaphysics-of-absence—or—
transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination
perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology as
to the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation implications of difference-
confoundedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-
epistemic-determinism; ¶ reflected as existence-potency-prospective-
digression—of—<(<amplitudinous)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness or
existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality; ¶ non-
presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>
speaks to the transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating
that is ecstatic-existence as phenomenologically reflecting existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supерerogation 'both as
signifier-as-to-transcending (speaking of human-subpotency ontological-
performance perspective of the changing transcending-and-sublimity of
existence reflected as to sublimating notional-contiguity/epistemic-
contiguity and desublimating notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
discontiguity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
(<amplitudinous)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supерerogation) implications)
and signified-as-to-immanency (speaking of ontological-
contiguity perspective of the unchanged immanency of existence as
oneness-of-ontology as to the coherence underlying the very possibility for
construing-and-reconstruing of intelligibility in existence)' so-construed
as reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, and critically in this regards
reductionist conceptions will wrongly tend to imply 'human-subpotency
non-scalarity/beholding—<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically—
structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation' supersedes the 'scarity/immanency of existence's ontological-normalcy/postconvergence' (this further explains why reductionisms (as to their <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating implications) fail to reflect non-presencing.<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> as to the requisite human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublumating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) knowledge-reification gesturing and with such reductionisms rather inducing presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity poor and relic/artifactual conceptions of knowledge that poorly contemplates of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublumating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications, and so as 'failing to override apriorising constitutedness with apriorising conflatedness as the latter enables relative-ontological-completeness implications to be drawn' in keeping tab of existence—as-sublumating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation 'both as signifier-as-to-transcending (speaking of human-subpotency ontological-performance perspective of the changing transcending-and-sublimity of existence reflected as to sublumating notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity and desublumating notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublumating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications) and signified-as-to-immanency (speaking of ontological-contiguity perspective of the unchanging immanancy of existence as oneness-of-ontology as to the coherence underlying the very possibility for construing-and-reconstruing of intelligibility in existence) 'so-construed as reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence, 'the failure to adopt such a non-presencing<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> apriorising conflatedness construal (underlined by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublumating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as to existential-contextualising-contiguity 'implied <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought—epistemic—confledness as of ontological-contiguity) is critically associated with presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness academicism proliferation of isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning' articulated rather as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (wherein the knowledge-reification gesturing is simply construed 'out of idly/singly abstractable logical possibilities for such 'isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning' and not-or-poorly aspiring to portray the unchanging
immanent-backdrop construable-and-re-construable as of existential contextualising in ontological-contiguity in

<(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’) as to disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment, -failing-to-reflect- ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> and thus with the ‘ontologically-flawed implication that the absolute a priori is not construed as existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ but instead any of such given isms–conceptualisations and associated reductionisms now substituting for the unchanging immanent-backdrop of existence-contextualising-contiguity as the absolute a priori of conceptualisation as of vague academicism proceduralisms in totallyising-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought, and so rather than a knowledge-reification gesturing of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism that starts-from-and-remains-in/is-of-epistemical-embeddedness-with existential-contextualising-contiguity (as to prospective knowledge-reification gesturing ‘implied <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-confalatednesses of ontological-contiguity’) in construing of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint to be conceptually superseded/overcome in transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation as is the case with all true science/ontology so-reflected in their historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (consider in this regards the apriorising conflatedness, in reflecting the unchanging immanent-backdrop of existence-contextualising-contiguity, of recurrent aspiration for ontological-contiguity across Galilean/Cartesian/Newtonian/Leibnizian physics to present day string-theory/loop-quantum-gravity/etc. as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, ever always being about conceptually superseding/overcoming the physics epistemic-conception prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in producing the ‘successive sublimating physics as successive


<(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating profound-and-contiguous knowledge-reification gesturing and in fact one of the most critical/challenging epistemic concern of physicists today given the increasing theoretical abstraction is in preempting such a development of a conceptualising that poorly aligns with the epistemic-totality of
existential-contextualising-contiguity however difficult the available experimental possibilities for portraying prospective sublimation, and it should further be noted here that the successive sublimating physics across-the-times 'are of complementary historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing and rather so as successive

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating profound-and-contiguous knowledge-reification gesturings and 'not any naïve shallowminded comparison of commonality of 'isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning' failing priorly to disambiguate the successive knowledge-reification gesturings across-the-times as preceding-and-framing any given concepts' like failing to realise that the 'notion of time in physics' priorly speaks to different physics 'knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications' across-the-times as to physics relative-ontological-completeness conception as from pre-Newtonian/Leibnizian notion of time, Newtonian/Leibnizian notion of time, Einsteinian notion of time up to present-day physics theories notion of time reflecting the epistemic-veracity that there is no sound concept and conceptualising without the 'priorly projected ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity and as of the relative-ontological-completeness implied profoundness' within which any such concept and conceptualising is articulated and 'this effectively contrasts with such apriorising constitutedness disposition naïve shallowminded isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning' that equates/level-down everything across space and time as to wrongly imply everything is of the same ontological-contiguity thus with a poor grasp of 'knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications' and so 'as to a superficiality and inauthenticity that is patently incapable of construing underlying human

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence relevant human-subpotency—atoria/undecibality/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint to be superseded and rather often directly/indirectly contravene/disregard such parrhesiastic insights’ as so-often instigated with such idle/single ‘isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning’ in apriorising constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existentional-contextualising-contiguity and which in so doing do not satisfy foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity')—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism as to

‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-perspective> ‘ with the consequence of failing/poorly reflecting 'the requisite ontologically-pertinent dynamic theoretical—conceptual—operant depth/profoundness for addressing subject-matters as epistemic-conceptions as to their given/defined human—
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint with respect to originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for–conceptualisation’, with foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’)–as-operative-notional–deprocrysticism operantly implying ‘drawing out the full <(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating implications of assertions/claims/conceptualisations as of ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity such that there is hardly any notional–disjointedness as the assertions/claims/conceptualisations as validating their ontological-veracity¶; on the other hand, the ‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications’ of deconstruction, genealogy and other critical theory practices are meant to articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology/conceptualisations by their derivation/delineation/disambiguation as from human epistemic-embeddedness in existence so-construed as thrownness (as to the phenomenological aspiration/possibility for overcoming imbued deficiency construed as metaphysics-of-presence as defining/given human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint by their originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for–conceptualisation) in reflecting relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness implications of knowledge-reification gesturing and in many ways the poor appreciation of postmodern-thought is very much associated with their critics fundamentally poor grasp of the precedence of ‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications’ over mere apriorising constitutedness shallowminded articulation of conceptualisations with a poor sense of relative-ontological-completeness implications ‘as so-exemplified with naïve truth relativism accusations as to the weirdly and wrongly implied posture that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

<(<amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) doesn’t occur’¶, and the specific articulation herein by this author is rather of a profound ‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications’ as reflecting ‘<(<amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-


<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation implied successive registry-worldviews/dimensions translated as the various specifically given de-scalarising of the 'scalarity/immanency of existence's ontological-normalcy/postconvergence' (as to the specific neuterising/ascriptivities construed as specifically given 'human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>') and so-reflected respectively as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation }<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—random-as-impulsive de-scalarising', base-institutionalisation—

〈amplituding/formative〉epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) institutional-being-and-craft ladened (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) with sophistic strategies of empty/vague process and pedantry, vague sensibility/decorum-drivenness, providing credence to frivolity over equanimity, emotional gimmickiness/manipulation as well as surreptitious practices of perfidious double-dealing/betraying as to ‘dilutive/drowning and sabotaging imposturing/jumbling/sleight in undermining prospective genuine knowledge-reification’ for agenda-driven deceitful/dastardly/scheming purposes in proximity with deceptive supposedly objectively mediative institutions, and so as to underlying ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure inducing a social intellectual impotency undermining the supposed purpose of veridically cumulating/expanding the breadth of human knowledge as to an intellectual potency that never/hardly comes but for its institutional-being-and-craft human-subpotency agency (in dispersateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment, failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> substituting for and in many ways not exposed to the sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

ent–for–conceptualisation’ so-reflected as of deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)
<(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment—
(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional–projective-perspective> as to its prospectively induced
scalarising as of human supererogatory/messianic intemporal and
secondnated socially-optimal instigative potency’ at its given/defined
institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception of ‘the
very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (and so
over prior positivism–procrypticism—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)
construed-as ‘mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—that-is-not-of-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ given ‘relative
disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect–immanent-ontological-contiguity’ as to prior
descalarising totalisingly-disentailing—discretion whim-of-thought of
individuals-suboptimal instigative potency as of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordion<as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,—as-to-the-
given/defined uninstitutionalised-threshold ontologically-deficient
epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall
phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’), with the ‘deprocrypticism—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative—
reinstigated originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness’ as to the fact that knowledge cannot be articulated to imply other human-beings are not warranted to project the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension arising from ontological-good-faith/authenticity but rather ‘just responding mechanically to the untenable constraining of social universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing—as-to-entailing—</amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) of any prospective knowledge-reification as to positive-opportunism’ as wrongly and seemingly implying that if such prospective knowledge-reification untenable constraining and positive-opportunism doesn’t avail then the human-being is enabled/entitled for corresponding intellectual-and-moral irresponsibility notwithstanding the fact that the possibility for all prospective knowledge-reification arises as of ontological-good-faith/authenticity reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning induced sublimation-over-desublimation), and in many ways human cognitive confliction at uninstitutionalised-thresholds doesn’t imply the given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness is the ontologically-veridical framing for reconstruing human ontological-performance even as it is the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism/mental-schema since it is fundamentally about overcoming the latter’s </amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of prospective secondnaturting institutionalisation as revealed when it turns away from inherent-and-genuine knowledge-reification into strategies of social-chainism/social-influence and effectively the possibility for all prospective human sublimation-over-desublimation rather implies the possibility for human solipsistic firstnature superseding and overriding of any given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness with re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation—(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—projective-insights’/epistemic-projection-in-conflicatedness’—of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation (as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—</amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness) and the corresponding social secondnaturring, as thus enabling and explaining the success of registry-worldviews/dimensions reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with genuine-knowledge ever always about ‘adopting an uncompromising bluntness to solipsistic falsehood and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ as to its self-contained intemporal purpose as of the very defining tradition of all such historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing sublimation-over-desublimation so-construed as intellectualism with respect to the fact that there can’t be any ontology/science where any mortal by mere status and influence can be excepted directly or indirectly from ontological analysis implications as this then structurally/paradigmatically defines how the supposed ontology/science
is bound to flop theoretically–conceptually–operantly (and in many ways explains the current crisis/isurpation of the social intellectual-function wherein socially dominant vested-interests/actors come to surreptitiously assume ascendence as to generalised social intellectual apathy that leads to the relegating of ‘true intellectualism’ into ‘expertising as a useful secondary adjunct’ to any whatever primary interest hence rendering the latter susceptible to perversion/impertinence/impotency and incapable of genuinely driving a specific or general human and social emancipatory vision) and this is particularly the case with an ontology/science that claims to construe of the pervasiveness of postlogism social implications as associated say with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in non-positivistic social-constructs or postlogism psychopathy social implications as to our positivism–procrypticism social-construct thus requiring that any such ontologically illegitimate perverted dynamics of social status and influence is necessarily trampled upon to structurally/paradigmatically preserve the possibility of an ontology/science and so notwithstanding any sophistic disposition to elicit
<(amplitu...-forming/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag against the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-refication/contemplative-distension associated with all such prospective aporetism-overcoming/unovercoming superseding sublimation-over-desublimation; in this respect, the ‘equalisation of all historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ as to the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplitu...growth/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation is exactly what reflects acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as ‘one long continuous whole of human originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ (that precedes-and-defines registry-worldviews/dimensions mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising as to human-subpotency) as it is so-fundamentally tied down to ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure reflecting the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplitu...totalisingly,—as—to-existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supericroration) in the face of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, as to the fact that the intemporal-projection (driven as of ontological-good-faith/authenticity) associated with the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in respectively superseding prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-
positivism/medievalism and procrypticism addressing/bound-to-address their given prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint are flipped-about mechanically as of mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising temporal-projection (driven as of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity) in respectively undermining the attainment of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism as to the fact that such temporal-projection associated with sophistic and pedantic tendencies are rather of presencing—absolutising-identitve-constitutedness relation with prior reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology originally meant to address prior human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint (as so-reflected with the sophists satisfaction with non-universalising sophistry in the face of Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation, medieval scholastics satisfaction with non-positising pedantry in the face of budding-positivism as well as with today’s intellectual-muddlement—(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing—((amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) of poor knowledge-reification gesturing that fails ‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications’ and for instance naively interprets enlightenment thinkers in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness terms while lacking the originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness for addressing our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint and wrongly and defectively decontextualising enlightenment thought into the present as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—'immanent-ontological-contiguity'> that fail the notional-deprocrypticism foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity'),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism operant test of 'drawing out the full ((amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating implications of assertions/claims/conceptualisations as of ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity such that there is hardly any notional–disjointedness of the assertions/claims/conceptualisations as validating their ontological-veracity') and to perfectly understand what is meant by ‘equalisation of all historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ as to the dimensionality-of—sublimating—((amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—
equalisation, the idea is that as of underlying maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure with regards to reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology implications had Socrates as typifying universalising-idealisation Socratic philosophers been at the more profound human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

\(<\text{(amplituding)format}e\text{e)m}st\text{ic-to}t\text{alisingly},-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-elicit\text{ing-of-prospective-supererogation})\) aporetic possibility for prospective positivism/rational-empiricism as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-elicit\text{ing-of-prospective-supererogation he} would have supererogatorily (even as there is no universalising-idealisation logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative, disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>) for advocating any such positivism/rational-empiricism but for Socrates ‘aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-performance’ which manifested in inducing universalising-idealisation over prior non-universalising sophistry which had no logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative, disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> for any such universalising-idealisation) acted as Descartes as typifying the budding positivists and likewise had Descartes and Socrates been at the more profound human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

\(<\text{(amplituding)format}e\text{e)m}st\text{ic-to}t\text{alisingly},-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-elicit\text{ing-of-prospective-supererogation})\) aporetic possibility for prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as articulated herein they would have supererogatorily adopted this same deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought insight as to the scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (as the underlying idea of notional—deprocrypticism as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-elicit\text{ing-of-prospective-supererogation speaks of} ‘the successive supererogatory maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as scalarisation for institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure cross-generational levels of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

\(<\text{(amplituding)format}e\text{e)m}st\text{ic-to}t\text{alisingly},-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-elicit\text{ing-of-prospective-supererogation})\) with regards to ‘reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed as of notional—deprocrypticism/<\text{(amplituding)format}e\text{e)m}st\text{ic—to}t\text{alisingly},-as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative, disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> inherent to any relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview/dimension validating its prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension but rather an ‘aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-performance’ as to projective-insights/epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with regards to

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic—totalisingly,—as—to—existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal, eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation) implications and rather adopting the framework of prior mere—
methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising reflecting the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-equalisation ‘as to the fact that dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is aporetically the more fundamental incipient/seedling originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation to both Descartes thinking-proposition for budding-positivism and Socrates’s universalising-idealisation in then secondarily inducing their respective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ and thus in many ways the naïve/flawed conception of Platonism and Cartesianism today arise as to a reasoning as from reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation perspective whereas Descartes and Plato—and—Plato’s Socrates are more fundamentally involved in an aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming exercise with respect to medieval-scholasticism non-positivising and ancient-sophists non-universalising respectively ‘which is defining of were philosophy commences’ as ‘philosophy commences with dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ and in turn such naïve conception of philosophy as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, by equating/leveling-down everything across space and time and failing to grasp the implications of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposing aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening.<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as—to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation so-underlied herein as to ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics, is what today underlies the misanalysis/overemphasis of say Humean or Kantian philosophy as if of differently evolved framing to Descartes’s thinking-proposition thus leading to their positivism/rational-empiricism relative presencing—absolutising-identitve-constitutedness existentialising—enframing reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation poorly contemplative prospectively of the more fundamental incipient/seedling originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for prospective philosophical framing as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as so-implied with advanced postmodern-thought), and their equalisation exactly implies that Descartes and budding-positivists and Socrates and universalising-idealisation Socratic philosophers are more profoundly construed more than just as of their mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but are rather critically construed as to their 'parrhesiastic disposedness' with regards to their prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming addressed in foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity'),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism and it is this that more profoundly informs their thought and make them ever always relevant as to their respective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in the overall human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (as the 'veracity of all prior human aporeticism self-surpassing of reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and—teleology in reflection of the immanence of existence as the very same all along' has ever always veridically been about attaining deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought but for human limited-mentation-capacity implications thus inducing the entailing dynamics of 'the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming thresholds of existential apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rule' as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening.—\((amplituding)\)-formative\(-epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation\) towards originariness/origination\(-so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence\) as deprocrypticism in overcoming any relative presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness' and so no different from say human aporeticism self-surpassing associated with construing what-matter-is-made-up-of as of the succession of such defining questioning and answers across registry-worldviews/dimensions even if just as with overall existence concerning overall human meaningfulness-and-teleology what-matter-is-made-up-of equally remains immanently the same all along but for human aporeticism implications of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening.—\((amplituding)\)-formative\(-epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation\) pointing out that the veracity of the questioning and answers about what-matter-is-made-up-of by the Democrituses and others is veridically as of the prospective profoundness of such questioning and answers being wrestled with today as the sublimated modern day and future developments of physics and so as to the physics epistemic-conception human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening.—\((amplituding)\)-formative\(-epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation\) implied 'originariness/origination\(-so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence\) in overcoming any relative presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness'), and our own present 'originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity.astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure' is rather about not construing of their prior mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity failing to factor in their relative-ontological-incompleteness human limited-mentation-capacity aporetic context so as to falsely justify our present procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought presencing—absolutising-ideitve-constitutedness and then fail to address our own prospective aporetic context as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, elicitng-of-prospective-supererogation but rather lies in conceptualising how to reconstrue of their projected ‘originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure’ in the light of our present human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—

(after/amplifying)formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, elicitng-of-prospective-supererogation) aporetic context so-reflected as our prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint and this is what crucially explains the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective of analysis assumed herein as to our prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought aporeticism resolvable as of procrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as a further human foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, elicitng-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’).—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism with this insight pointing to ‘the unassailability/centrality across all times of human dimensionality-of—sublimating—(after/amplifying)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation with regards to human knowledge-reification’ (given that later generations don’t need to reinvent from scratch the ontological-performance level achieved by the successive preceding generations as to institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure and can then redirect more critically their limited-mentation-capacity to further advance human self-surpassing to overcome prospective human aporeticism).¶ and this insight points out that human <(amplifying)formative>epistemic-causality is more fundamentally formative as to human projected ‘originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure’ and is a central conceptualisation for the deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, elicitng-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’).—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in undermining temporal distorting/undermining of prospective knowledge-reification categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
**faith/inauthenticity**


**ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity–structure**


**ontological-commitment**

researcher, prospective social transformation from the social scientist/advocate/policymaker, etc. rather supersedes human prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence—as-superseded-logical-basis (as to its naive pretence of mere logical convincing rather than prospective transcendence-and-sublimity implications) as the prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence—as-superseded-logical-basis is more of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought secondnatured institutionalisation derived from ‘prior reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning induced transcendence-and-sublimity out of prior human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’, thus dialogical-equivalence as of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation (especially as prospectively susceptible at the uninstitutionalised-threshold to human temporality/shortness


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag


and superseded social framework in its technologist, etc. initiative is not critically about logically engaging the ontological formation/establishment/superseding social/institutional/conceptual and superseding apriorising/axiomatising/faith/inauthenticity that could invent/made ontological superseded knowledge and scientific breakthroughs did not have any valid Darwins, Einsteins, etc. and as associated with corresponding human void' constructs temporality/shortness — amorphousness of thought — as to leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications) choices (as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality) of the Socrates, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Darwins, Einsteins, etc. and as associated with corresponding human knowledge and scientific breakthroughs did not have any valid prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—as-superseded-logical-basis> but for the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity that could invent/made-possible the prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—as-superseded-logical-basis> and so as of their ‘prospective transcendence-and-sublimity percolation-channelling as-to-social/institutional/conceptual-constructs formation/establishment/superseding—metaphoricity’; human ontological-commitment as such implies that the doctor, researcher, technologist, etc. initiative is not critically about logically engaging the social framework in its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—as-superseded-logical-basis> but rather eliciting ‘prospective transcendence-and-sublimity percolation-channelling as-to-social/institutional/conceptual-constructs
aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as from the perspective of relative-ontological-completeness in ontological-contiguity, for instance as of ‘the very same physics
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—
purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, the state of relative-ontological-completeness of theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs with respect to the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness of classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs implies that the former perspective is of ontological-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> since its perspective provides knowledge about itself and enlightens the interpretation of the latter as to its correctness-and-flaws, while the latter perspective is rather of ontological-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> since it cannot grasp the overall picture of its own correctness-and-flaws and furthermore it is inherently in no position to analyse and account for the picture of the correctness-and-flaws of the former, and insightfully this equally explains why prospective ontological–deprocrypticism perspective implying existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism is the ontological-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> for articulating and explaining the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process since it is the most profound human state of relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought affirmation/projection/assumption/donesty-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring/instrument-validating-measuring/postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought;\ it should be noted here that there is no such thing as ‘ontological-discontiguity’ by the mere fact that ontology/intrinsic-reality/existence/existential-reality is the superseding—oneness-of-ontology and any ‘supposedly implied ontological incoherence’ (that may arise from human poor grasp of ontology/intrinsic-reality/existence/existential-reality) is rather as of human reference-of-thought relatively deficient perception/construal that then actually speaks of ontological-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> just as human reference-of-thought relatively efficient perception/construal ‘supposedly attaining ontological-contiguity’ speaks of ontological-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>, likewise there is no such thing ‘ontological-decadence’ but rather ‘epistemic-decadence’, and going by the very same reasoning while there is ‘ontological-normalcy’ however there is no such thing as ‘ontological-abnormalcy’ but rather human ‘epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence’, and further there is no such thing as ontological-causality/metaphysical-causality as ‘existence as of its
inherent immanency is tautologically all the causation that there is as to its overall ontological-contiguity and all the notion of causality that is relevant thereof is undissociable from human-subpotency epistemic-situation (as to human teleology so-construed as ‘human phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting <amplituding>formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) and <amplituding>formative>entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguity/coherent–factuality-of-variability))’, underlied as of overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>) speaking of epistemic-causality as to human relative-ontological-completeness conflatedness implications, with the idea of ontological-causality/metaphysical-causality rather a confusion arising out of human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (and this further translates to imply that existence is what is of ‘immanent determination’ notwithstanding ‘human-subpotency epistemic-causality imbued underdetermination’ of the ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity determination that is existence’ such that a notion like overdetermination is also a confusion arising out of human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness given that there can’t be any determination superseding the ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity determination that is existence’ with any exaggerated-<as-supposedly-overdetermination> or understated-<as-supposedly-underdetermination> conception of determination rather speaking of ‘human-subpotency epistemic-causality imbued underdetermination’ in waiting for the validative/invalidative manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal–eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation that as such speaks of human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to implicated human <amplituding>formative>thrownness-in-existence, imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness-(as-to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of–’<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation’) reflecting the underdetermined potential for attaining ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as of the ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity determination that is existence’, with such underdetermined potential realisable as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal–eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation); interestingly it is important to grasp that ‘ontology as of ontological-contiguity’ is integrative of both notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> and notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> in the sense that ‘existence is a full-potency that reflects the epistemic-conception of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies-<in-transitive-confaltedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in both their notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-
epistemically underlies the construal of knowledge sufficiently relevant transitive existence specific human perspective panintelligibility phenomena/<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> (as epistemically-deficient and epistemically-efficient phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies,<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence> ontological-performances in existence are part-and-parcel of existence ‘with epistemic-deficiency rather speaking to phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies,<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence> perspective of ontological-deficiency construal’), and it should be pointed out as well that ‘existence’s reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility,<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> is conceptually/theoretically exactly what is most profoundly of epistemic-normalcy and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence about existence ‘as starkly manifested with such epiphenomenon like quantum entanglement (even as ‘classical interpretations about reality’ superficially as of’ human conscious level of epistemic-sufficiency-constitutedness’ seem to overlook-the-reflexivity-or-wrongly-imply-the-non-reflexivity of existential sublimating manifestation reflected with the epistemic-conception of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies,<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence>, failing to grasp that the ontological-veracity is one of transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity speaking of an ‘imbricated/threaded/recomposuring reflexivity-connection between epistemicity and ontologisation of existential-phenomena-and-epiphenomena-subpotencies as to overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness’) basically because there is nothing beyond existence and ‘all phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies are epistemic situations that speak to the transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity that is existence’ as ‘there is no whole that is construable as existence and then beside that whole the epistemic-conception of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies,<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence> of the said whole’ but rather ‘the full-potency of existence is integrative of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies in transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity as the whole’ such that a full human epistemic construal of existential phenomena/manifestations should necessarily involve insight (as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility,<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>) about ‘the specific human-subpotency in transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity in existence (just as of all other phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies,<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence> of sufficiently relevant epistemic-conception)’, and this is exactly what epistemically underlies the the construal of knowledge-reification as the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (as of its
<amplitude>(formative)> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity
foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—elicitng-of-prospectively-
supererogation in reflecting 'immanent-ontological-contiguity')—as-operate-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications—gambling-ontological-contiguity of knowledge-reification gesturing',¶ so-construed as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism or protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,—so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism', thus providing 'a seeding-level of philosophical meaningfulness-and-teleology that overcomes human-subpotency emotional—involvement and institutional existentialising—enframing', and can enable the social domain to truly attain the same ontological—depth of operant construal of existence-potency-prospective-digression—of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as is sought in the natural sciences, given that the 'conflatedness-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity—as-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications—gambling-ontological-contiguity knowledge-reification' is herein explicitly articulated with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process just as it is rather implicitly reflected in the natural sciences and as of yet is hardly/poorly countenance in the social tradition which 'tends to be lost in a maze of constitutedness as elaboration-as-mere—extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation—outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity ending up in its very own
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology that in many ways (as of our present positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension) increasingly amalgates in its practice


ontological-good-faith/authenticity


<amplituding/formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness

ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure-(as-of-formative-thrownness-arbitrariness/waywardness-‘imbued-psychologism’-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-(as-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking’) prospectively reflecting existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation

ontologically-hegemonising-narrative/narrativity/notional–deprocrypticism-narrative/totalitative-aspiring-or-‘hegemonising-intemporal-as-ontological-narrative-metaphoricty-as-of-ontological-aesthetic-tracing’-(ontologically-driven construal as of correspondingly profound supposedly coherent ontological-commitment underlying any society/social-setup conventioning as so reflected by its ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, which is then enabling for critical prospective metaphoricity ontological-vertex implications as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness given the absolute primacy of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness over human-subpotency as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

<amplituding/formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity

ontological-performance


socioeconomic,-education,-information,-environmental,-gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-healthcare-and-medical-delivery
reflects the 'epistemic-veracity of human conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising' towards construing the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier speaking of 'ontological-primemover-totalitative-framework as causality as of construction', whereas a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness will naively equate any one of the registry-worldview's/dimension's given perceptivity of 'health epiphenomenon of existence' in which it projects—mentally-by-its-reference-of-thought as the 'absolute basis for construing, defining and refining the conception of causality' failing to factor-in that it is rather in an 'epistemic situation as of epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence in relative-ontological-incompleteness' requiring not such a constitutedness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing but rather a conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in relative-ontological-completeness in reflecting the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier (this ontological-primemovers-totalitative-implications insight about causality as reflected with the health epiphenomenon can be extended to all domains construed as for-human-studies/or-human-constructs for the simple reason that all such domains are of 'epistemically manifest historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in existential-contextualising-contiguity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩); and this explains why a registry-worldview/dimension is a ⟨(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—an averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩) with the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness just as well aspiring for progress just as the state of relative-ontological-completeness but the former failing to grasp that progress structurally/paradigmatically arises rather by a change of acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology in existence, such that even such budding positivists like Newton or Descartes while making breakthroughs as of positivism/rational-empiricism are still caught up in ‘reasoning as of the old’ non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing respectively with Newton’s interests in alchemy and in the case of Descartes lingering religious sacrality/inviolability influence/grip on his thoughts; causality as herein construed as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework can thus be understood as the ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’ as so constructively implied herein, as to the reality that
'a traditional conception of causality as if human-subpotency is constituting the possibility for causations in existence’ is herein construed as ontologically-flawed as it fails to reflect that existence is already a given and the very exercise of ‘human-subpotency construal of causation is one of conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing about the already given existence’ and so as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-pantintelligibility.<imbu-and-educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, speaking to the fact that existence is rather about ecstatic reflexivity as all phenomena/manifestations in existence (so-construed as phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence) are as of their specifically/notionally enabled reifying and empowering;¶finally it is just as important to grasp also here that the ‘articulation as human-causative-construction’ of the notions of ‘temporal individuations or temporal-dispositions’ and ‘intemperal individuation or intemperate disposition’ are rather conceived epistemically as of their structural/paradigmatic implications from the perspective of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier and thus are construed as of their ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indegeneracy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’, reflecting a human-causative-construction conception in conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing about existence as ontologically-veridical (as it is the ‘totalitative epistemic/notional–projective-perspective’ that points out the veridical conception of causation) and so over a traditional reflex construal of human causation in constitutedness as of presenting—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (wherein for instance with regards to prospective human-causative-construction, as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-pantintelligibility.<imbu-and-educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, prospective aetiologisation/ontological-escalation say with respect to a temporal-disposition for accusing others of sorcery in a social-setup cognisant-and-integrative of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in conjugation and protraction of other temporal dispositions, speaks to the structural/paradigmatic implications of ‘non-positivism notional–procripticism/notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ induced vices-and-impediments as destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance requiring prospective intemperate-disposition projection as of the ‘specific notional–deprocripticism or

and this fundamental conception of aetiolisation/ontological-escalation applies in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with respect to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, including prospectively say as of our present positivism—procrypticism requiring the structural/paradigmatic implications of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought aetiolisation/ontological-escalation)

panintelligibility


<((amplituding)<formativ>epistemic-totalisingly,—as—to—existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation>) that underlies the notion of human ontological—dementation/dialectical—dementation—stranding/attributive—dialectics as factoring in the implications of human limited-mentation—capacity as to epistemic—abnormalcy/preconvergence and ontological—normalcy/postconvergence epistemic—projection perspectives reflected respectively as of preconverging—or—dementing—apriorising—psychologism and postconverging—or—dialectical—thinking—apriorising—psychologism);

panintelligibility is so-underlied as to teleology implied
‘phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological’, and with overall panintelligibility-inlining reflected as of ‘the full-potency of existence as epistemically integrative of phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies-intransitive-confatedness-reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence’ as the whole in ontological-contiguity or integrality’, and with panintelligibility conception as herein articulated speaking to the more profound-and-dynamic existential construal of difference educating sublimation-over-desublimation so-construed beyond the successive Heideggerian ontological-difference conception knowledge-reification gesturing (of shallow epistemicity insight) and the Derridean difference conception knowledge-reification gesturing (of more profound epistemicity insight as to its quasi-transcendental epistemicity) towards ‘an integral-difference of epistemic-as-ontological–reflexivity integrality of sublimation-over-desublimation’ knowledge-reification gesturing (panintelligibility as articulated herein rather projects of scientific exactifying/precisioning–of-sublimation-as-to-entailing-theoretical–conceptual-and-operant-implications, as so-underlied by ‘existential phenomenalities/manifestations projected perspective<(amplitudes)formative>disposedness–as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) and<(amplitudes)formative>entailment–as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability)>; and with this overall scientific conception of panintelligibility ‘differing from a metaphysical projection of a mere pan-conceptualisation of undefined theoretical–conceptual–operant aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as may be so-implied with panpsychism conception’ and so as panintelligibility is not about ‘any metaphysical/ideological advocacy’ but is rather asserted as of ontologically-veracity in the reflection of existential-reality in the sense that the conception of say an atom or a cell or the social inherently speak to their ‘phenomenal/manifest perspective epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological’ (and so-reflected by their projected perspective<(amplitudes)formative>disposedness–as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) and<(amplitudes)formative>entailment–as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability) as to the overall coherence/ontological-contiguity/integrality of their variously implied intelligibilities/teleologies construed as from ‘existence projected perspective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism backdorp’ rather so-reflected by ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous–epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility–inlining of existence’, implying that the atom is not construable-as-existentially-incongruous with the cell which is not construable-as-existentially-incongruous with the social or for that matter all phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–intransitive-confatedness–reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence> are necessarily construable-as-existentially-congruous as so-reflected by ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous–epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility–inlining of existence’), such that actually ‘all phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–intransitive-confatedness–reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence> are rather of reductionist
> (amplituding) formative epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence conception’ (with the underlying nonreduction being of overall panintelligibility–inlining of existence) and thus are supersedingly underlied by ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous–epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility–inlining of existence’ (as the ‘veridical perspective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism backdrop for sublimation-over-desublimation’ to which ‘< (amplituding) formative epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence epistemic-reflexivity adopts a projective-insights as of difference–conflatedness for sublimation-over-desublimation’), such that panintelligibility also ‘doesn’t actually speak of any constitutive-emergence conceptualisation (though entertains an overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness conceptualisation) as such a constitutive-emergence conceptualisation will rather imply the idea of any such ‘< (amplituding) formative epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence epistemic-reflexivity’ of say the conceptualisation of atomicity, cellularity or social-aggregation as constitutively superseding the ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous–epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility–inlining of existence’ thus wrongly inducing ‘a ‘< (amplituding) formative epistemic-totalising presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemicity reductionism as so-construing the full-potency of existence’ (and further failing to epistemically account for relative-ontological-incompleteness of reductionist ‘< (amplituding) formative epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence epistemic-reflexivity’ as to prospective supererogation for relative-ontological-completeness inherent epistemic-reflexivity imbuement of existence) rather than ‘a ‘< (amplituding) formative epistemic-totalising projective-insights as of difference–conflatedness epistemicity nonreductionism of phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–< in-transitive–conflatedness–reflexivity, -in-the-full-potency-of-existence’ as to ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous–epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility–inlining of existence’ (in other words phenomenal/manifest epistemicity reductionist conceptions are of ‘< (amplituding) formative epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence epistemic-reflexivity’ and cannot constitutively explain existence even as various phenomenal/manifest reductionist elucidations’ can provide in conflatedness of the various phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–< in-transitive–conflatedness–reflexivity, -in-the-full-potency-of-existence together with their relative-ontological-completeness implications’ the projective-insights about ‘superseding nonreductionist ontologically-contiguous–epistemicity of the underlying overall panintelligibility–inlining of existence’, and in fact existential supererogation as to ‘< (amplituding) formative epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence epistemic-reflexivity’ is always about driving towards ‘nonreductionist epistemic-reflexive conflating-construal of existential phenomenality/manifestation as to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective’ reflecting existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as well as existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, -eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and so over-and-beyond
positive-opportunism speaks to the fact that unlike is the case with intemporal solipsistic constructs, ‘suprasocial or

\[
\text{disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness epistemicity as to ontological-performance)}
\]

\[
\]

\[
\]

\[
\]

positive-opportunism

wooden-language-imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-’nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications) as deterministic validation of ontological-veracity is never a relevant element for prospective knowledge-reification’ given that the underpinning-suprasocial-construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected in any social-setup institutionally is rather ‘a secondnatured/habituated institutionalisation construct as from deferential-formalisation-transference’ arising from the ‘untenable existentially constraining knowledge-reifying and empowering reflexivity sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness induced metaphoricity from dimensionality-of-sublimating—<\text{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation ontological-faith-notation-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in solipsistic transversality,} and thus reflecting the ontological-veracity that any such suprasocial framework is not the inherently relevant basis for prospective knowledge-reification as of ‘a convincing of human-
subpotency’ but rather what is relevant is ‘the pertinence of its underlying deferential-formalisation-transference-as-non-sophistic’ and/or susceptibility to prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\(<(amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness implications’, for prospective deferential-formalisation-transference suprasocial meaningfulness-and-teleology to arise; as the fact is underpinning—suprasocial-constructs are rather afterthought/reasoning-from-results as for instance it is not the inherent budding positivists meaningfulness-and-teleology that induced a social transformation into positivist thinking but rather the ‘accruing constraining effect on existence’ of such budding positivism instigated positivist and liberal meaningfulness-and-teleology that then induced its social adoption later on as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction-with-regards-to-rationalising-the-benefits-of-the-world-as-of-technical, well-being, health-and-social-development-implications, as ‘underpinning—suprasocial-constructs remain beholden to their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness framework of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument as of apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ as \(<(amplituding)\)formative>wooden-language—imbued—averaging-of-thought—\(as\)-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignoreable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications’\(>\) with poor nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existing-uthought without such manifest positive-opportunism and the possibility for transcendence-and-sublimity can only arise as of untenable prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\(<(amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness constraining relative-ontological-completeness framework acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument as opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in its cross-generational transformative effect even as its initial instigation doesn’t elicit immediate positive-opportunism as of its dispensing-with-immediacy—for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,—as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression—\(<(amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness to supersede human temporality/shortness \(<(amplituding)\)formative>wooden-language—imbued—averaging-of-thought—\(as\)-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) explaining the inevitable/inherent conflictedness to such budding transformativestances as articulated by the Socrates, Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, and relevant ‘prophesiers of antiquity as philosophers’, with the

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causeality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity that any given suprasocial framework is inherently of ‘epistemically underdeterminative contemplation for ontologically and intellectually assessing its prospective transcendence-and-sublimity’ as the suprasocial mathetic/motified/thrown state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is of epistemically underdeterminative contemplation as of its

<amplituding>formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) for intellectually gauging about prospective base-institutionalisation, and likewise base-institutionalisation—unnuniversalisation with regards to universalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism with regards to positivism, and prospectively our positivism—procrypticism with regards to deprocrypticism as in all such cases the suprasocial and


<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ explaining why all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions sense-of-progress is foiled since
such sense-of-progress is wrongly ever along the same line of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation so-construed as pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness whereas in effect progress rather occurs by the 'unshackling of any such reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation towards better-and-better existential reflection of the underlying parrhesiastic seeding-promise-of-human-subpotency-ontological-performance-correspondence—with-the-full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity' speaking rather to their relative-ontological-incompleteness of reference-of-thought/psyche that has to be 'addressed psychoanalytically before engaging in prospective knowledge-reification'
<(amplituding)formativ>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signposting exercise' operating on the overall basis of the given registry-worldview's/dimension's 'social-construct
<(amplituding)formativ>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnaturin' when it comes to social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and as from the overall human aestheticisation—and—aesthetisation-towards-ontology existentialising—frame of ontological-performance, 'presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of social-vestedness/normativity' thus speaks of human-subpotency beholdingen-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhibited-mental-aestheticising (as manifested with the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of any given defined registry-worldview's/dimension's as to its given apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) and so undermining the bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing—disinhibited-mental-aestheticising as of the scalarity/immanency of existence's ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as 'bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing-
<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>', and in this respect the peculiarity of many of the terms/terminologies and overall
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conceptualisation articulated herein has to do with this critical recognition of ‘prospectively distortive structural/paradigmatic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing conceptualisation implications’ (as to ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism epistemic-projection perspective’ which fails to factor in that human limited-mentation-capacity implies that the

<amplituding>(formative)>epistemic-totalising construed as relatively deficient as of its epistemic constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) with respect the terms/terminologies and overall conceptualisation veridical non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology (herein rather construed as of appropriate non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> epistemic-conf拉动edness as of projective/reprojective—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in relative-ontological-completeness (as to ‘non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism epistemic-projection perspective’ which compensates for human limited-mentation-capacity ontologically deficient/disjointed <amplituding>(formative)>epistemic-totalising construed by epistemic-conf拉动edness as of projective/reprojective—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing), and so for instance with the notion of say teleology (construed herein as from non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>) as ‘phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological’ (so-reflecting <amplituding>(formative)>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) and <amplituding>(formative)>entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability))’ and ‘is not beholdening to any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness

<amplituding>(formative)>epistemic-totalising construed given epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence implied epistemic-projection perspective’ with the ontological-veracity of teleology projectively arising as herein construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implications of <amplituding>(formative)>epistemic-totalising construed, and this underlying projective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-conception is reflected with all the terms/terminologies articulated herein like solipsism, organicalism, akrasiatic-drag, temporality, intemporality, etc., as so-construed <amplituding>(formative)>epistemic-totalisingly (as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied holistically by the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process and thereof corresponding protracted living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development implications), with this projective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-conception conceptual approach herein including the very notion of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness rather construed herein as from non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence’ to imply the ontological-veracity of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness ‘is not present to itself’ but rather to its prospective relative-ontological-completeness perspective and so in ‘contrast to the epistemic-conception of such a notion like presentism’ (lacking such \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\) epistemic-totalising conception backdrop as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied holistically by the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implied epistemic-conflatedness as of projective/reprojective—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) and thus ends up ‘wrongly construing of the present circularly as of the epistemic-projection perspective of the very same present as its epistemic-conception is then wrongly constitutively absolutised in its present epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence’ thus failing to reflect the overall existential becoming/confaltedness/formative—supererogating (and so ‘epistemic-reflexively as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\) epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’) that structurally/paradigmatically veridically reflects the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (with this ‘overall existential becoming/confaltedness/formative—supererogating backdrop for conceptualising presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ rather construed as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied holistically by the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implied epistemic-confaledness as of projective/reprojective—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and ‘so-undergirded by human dimensionality-of-sublimating—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\) epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as of the operative human mental-devising-representation ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism—by—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism as to human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance deepening’)

procrysticism or disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought


reference-of-thought


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating backdrop for constructively setting-up the prospect of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the projected apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism) and reference-of-thought-devolving (so-construed as to human becoming existential-instantiations effective delineating of human meaningfulness-and-teleology anchored upon the reference-of-thought backdrop of overall conceptualisation as to overall reference of meaningfulness-and-teleology and so for articulating devolving-conceptualisations as devolving axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology), with reference herein thus implying relative-ontological-completeness implications as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (and this conception of reference is differs from a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective 'of referencing existence in absolute identitive terms' which fail to project the requisite epistemic insight as to the sublimating implications of human limited-mention-capacity-deepening-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) underlined by its dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation associated with the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to its difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism and so with regards to 'the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’s so-reflect as from originariness/origination<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>.)

reference-of-thought-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness


reification


<(amplitudizing)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness construed as maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness construed as incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness, wherein prospective relative-ontological-completeness is a reified/elucidated-as-of-more-profound construal overlooking/superseding the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as a dereified/poorly-elucidated-as-of-more-shallow construal; in other words, reification is about acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument resetting of the <(amplitudizing)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology purview to the prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

<(amplitudizing)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation).

relative-ontological-
potential antiakrasiatic—relative-ontological-completeness
relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications reflect reference-of-thought-construed-ontological-verbatimicality-as-so-determined-by-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-ontologically-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiatative-context and speaks to the fundamental acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrumentent meaningfulness-and-teleology implications as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨⟨amplituding⟩⟩formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (so poorly recognised as from presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective that by ‘elaboration-as-merere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elicitation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity develop an ontologically-flawed overall absolutising perspective of construal of existence’ by so-projecting of ‘an underlying absolute intelligibility framework’ that supposedly supersedes existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, with the consequence that such an ontologically-deficient knowledge-reification framework gesturing goes on to analyse sophisticated thought not making the same mistake as supposedly ontologically-flawed as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness instigated paradoxical criticism of relativation), factoring in that ‘existence is not beholdening to human-subpotency’ as to when the human projects any acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrumentent which needs to be validated as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation thus the conception of relative-ontological-completeness speaking rather of the validative pertinence imparted by existence and so relatively (with regards to registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to prosopospective deprocripticism acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrumentent as of the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (whereas the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective by equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as of naive absolutising conceptual-patterning and isms—conceptualisations as to wrongly imply everything is of the same ontological-contiguity in absolute terms as to its epistemic lack of projective-insights ‘will naively equate in absolutum such a relative-ontological-completeness projective-insights about the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to its difference-conflatedness—as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-


immediac-existentialising—enframing’ as-trepidating/warping/precluding/occluding-as-to-notional—procrypticism imbuued teleological-infections—as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing—

\(<\text{amplitudinous}^{\text{formative}}\>\) epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating) ‘respectively as its so-shifty-defined apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ reflected as of its mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation poorly contemplative of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation)


\(<\text{amplitudinous}^{\text{formative}}\>\) wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging—or-dementing—narratives—as the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) and sophistry reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
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storiend-construct/ontologically-valid-narration

subknowledging

sublimation-inducing—textuality/ermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence—so-construed-as-the-premeaningfulness/preframing-that-enables—foregrounding—


supererogation

supererogation speaks to the fact that the very possibility for all human meaningfulness-and-teleology arises by way of individuals solipsistic self-becoming/self-conflatedness/formative—supererogating detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression—

<(amplifying)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as to 'underlying individuals ontological-commitment so-reflected as from the contiguous/coherent superseding—oneness-of-ontology that is existence in inducing sublimation-over-desublimation' with 'existence itself inherently intersolipsistic to the formative possibility for all human meaningfulness-and-teleology' (and thus with 'human meaningfulness-and-teleology more precisely construed as intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions as to human individuals and collective-individuals phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence' with regards to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-paimnelligibility—imbedded-and—human—subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, such that the 'supposed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlined by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc. of any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing' is not the inherently given possibility for its very manifestation to inceptively arise in individuals but rather 'individuals are involved in self-becoming/self-conflatedness/formative—supererogating solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic epistemic-reflexivity as to
their self-eliciting/stimulating epistemic-conflicatedness as of projective/reproductive—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in existence’ for the possibility for any such ‘supposed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc. of any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing’ (as to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to arise/result as individuals and collective-individuals achieved human sublimation-over-desublimation in existence as of their self-becoming/self-conflicatedness/formative—supererogating;\\ supererogation thus speaks of the very ‘human epistemic-conflicatedness as of projective/reproductive—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing breath-of-life/making-alive’ that as to ‘effectively underlying human beholdening—inchng—apprehending.—taming—drive or aestheticising—surrealising/supererogating—drive of existentialising—framing/imprinting’ goes into grasping, mastering, developing, construing-of and contemplating-of meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of the inherent implications of human <>(amplifying)formative>thrownness-in-existence, imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness—(as-to-the-human—re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of—‘<(amplifying)formative>epistemic-totalising—conceptualisation’), with the attendant fact that the human is thus a subpotency in existence with possibilities of individuals and collective-individuals self-recreation/self-regeneration as to human developing-and-redeveloping intelligibility (so-implied as of ‘the epistemic-totalising—resubjecting of motif—as-to-aestheticisation—<(imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness) to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal—eliciting—so—supposed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc.’ but ever always rather individuals and collective-individuals ‘self-becoming/self-conflicatedness/formative—supererogating ontological-performance in existentially-instantiating such supposed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc.’ and so-reflected as of human supererogatory originariness-parrhesia,–as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation (in holding-forth as of restructuring/reparadigmimg intelligibility—(as-to-human-aestheticising—re-motif—and-re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-process,—in—<(amplifying)formative>epistemic-totalising—conceptualisation’), with the veridical implication here that there is truly no ‘supposed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc.’

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence) ever always comes out short with respect to the full-potential for ‘inherent immanent-existence overall withdrawn effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime or withdrawn sublimation-structure’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and that conversely the possibility for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–
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apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (but that while such human ‘aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-performance’ is relatively highly inducible with living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development within any given registry-worldview/dimension, the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing appraisal tends to fail to adopt the requisite and more profound ‘aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-performance’ with regards to its Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology reflecting prospective destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality—of-ontological-performance as to taxingness-of-originariness), as so-reflected by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with all the successive presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing underpinning—suprasocial-construct rather incapable of explaining the possibility for the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions with such an explanation arising only as of ‘human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplitudinal)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ (as reflected by the ‘aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-performance’ respectively of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and prospective deprocrypticism in relative-ontological-completeness out of respectively recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospective procrypticism in relative-ontological-incompleteness as to the fact that ‘human
<(amplitudinal)formative>epistemic-totalising—throwness-in-existence under the logical-basis/logic—<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness implied reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aesthetisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology underlied by language, culture, social institutions, technical knowhow, etc.’ don’t override existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation enabling human reappraisal as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in sublimatingly pointing to the ‘more profound relative-ontological-completeness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing logical-basis/logic—<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> ’ which the human can as of prospective ‘aporeticism—overcoming/unovercoming supererogating ontological-performance’ consciously choose to pursue (or opt not to pursue as to its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <(amplitudinal)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drug/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) turning a blind eye to existence—
as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) and so as of re-originary–as-unenframed/unbehellding/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-
‘projective-insights’/epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) profound-supererogation,¶ with the broader implications that all supererogating sublimating/desublimating human possibilities (and as these become prospective second-natured institutionalisation ‘reproducibility—
surrealisation

surrealisation

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-

circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when wrongly

implying no ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-

completeness’ implications of human meaningfulness and inducing

incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as to social-stake-

contention-or-confliction immediacy purposes at destructuring-threshold-

<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-

decisionality>–of-ontological-performance as structural/paradigmatic

impediment to ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and

ontological-veracity disposition’ supererogating instigations)

surrealisation

<as-to-supererogation> refers to ‘human

notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding of the real’ so-construed

as human <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising

notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding of the real in ‘perspective

ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ (as so reflecting human limited-

mentation-capacity ontological-performance ‘perspective epistemic-

abnormalcy/preconvergence’ scalarising-and-rescalarising epistemic-

confalatedness as of projective/reprojective—

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing for ‘perspective ontological-

normalcy/postconvergence’ and ‘so-undergirded by human

dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-

growth/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-

residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as of the operative human

mental-devising-representation ontological-dementation/dialectical-

dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics postconverging/dialectical-

thinking–apriorising-psychologism—by—preconverging/dementing–

apriorising-psychologism as to human meaningfulness-and-teleology

ontological-performance deepening’), so-reflected as to ‘germinative

intensification—amplituding of aestheticisation—beholding-out-of-

bechancing ’/taxingness-of-originariness,-sublimating-by-desublimating–

amplituding as to the backdrop-of-inherent-immanent-existence’s–

sublimation-structure<of–unsurrealistic-as-real’–ontological-

normalcy>’;‘critically herein thus surrealisation<as-to-

supererogation> speaks notionally and denotatively to human

supererogating epistemic-projection perspective openness/re-

ontologisation/rescalarisation (as of non-presencing<as-to-perspective-

ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>) for prospective relative-

ontological-completeness ‘reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-

thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of

prospective sublimating—nascence’ and this contrasts with

hyperrealisation which speaks notionally and denotatively to human

shallow-supерerogating epistemic-projection perspective

closure/subontologisation/descalarisation (as of any punctual

presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) in relative-

ontological-incompleteness as to its given relative-ontological-

incompleteness—presublimation-construct—of-meaningfulness-and-

teleology

temporality

temporality / shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology /
teleology speaks to 'phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting < (amplituding) formative> disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation-and-derived-parameterising) and < (amplituding) formative> entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent-factuality-of-variability))', and so to any given phenomenal/manifest-subpotency¬<in-transitive-confalatedness-reflexivity,in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility¬<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>; and teleology is thus the cognate to coherent intelligibility articulation of phenomena as to existential-reality, given that 'all phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies¬<in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> are epistemic situations that speak to the transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity that is existence' as 'there is no whole that is construable as existence and then beside that whole the epistemic-conception of phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies¬<in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> of the said whole' but rather 'the full-potency of existence is epistemically integrative of phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies¬<in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as the whole'; the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projective-perspective of ontological-contiguity (as the implied 'full epistemic coherence of existence' as to overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-confalatedness) inherently explains 'the specific decoherencing-effect of phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies¬<in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence>', wherein 'phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies¬<in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in relatively shallow < (amplituding) formative> epistemic-totalising/encircling/delineating mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition in existence' and 'phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies¬<in-transitive-confalatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in relatively deeper < (amplituding) formative> epistemic-totalising/encircling/delineating mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition in existence' are of a correspondingly shallow teleological-depth and deeper teleological-depth in the full-potency of existence, thusly reflecting the conflatedness epistemic-conception of existence as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility¬<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif— and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>; teleology as implied with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process 'as the cognate to coherent intelligibility articulation of human registry-worldviews/dimensions induced meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed as teleological-inflections-(as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing¬< (amplituding) formative> epistemic-
scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective ‘reflecting the
meaningfulness-and-teleology contiguity of iterative-looping-narrations at
any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold
so-construed as uttered as of its specific
notional–proscripticm/notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
thought ontological-performance’ (as to the fact that with regards to
human living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-
development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology, the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions
institutionalisation-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds are ‘successive
teleological-inflections-(as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing–
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating)
of meaningfulness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuring inflict-
ent–conceptualisation for their existential-instantiations
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring’ wherein the
teleological-inflection-(as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing–
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating)
state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is
‘structurally/paradigmatically cognisant-and-integrative-<as-to-its-
notional–disjointedness-imbued-preconverging-or-dementing-qualia-
schema> of failing non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism, -as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’,
the teleological-inflection-(as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing–
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating)
state of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation while ‘adhering to
rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism is structurally/paradigmatically cognisant-and-integrative-
<as-to-its-notional–disjointedness-imbued-preconverging-or-dementing-
qualia-schema> of failing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-
rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’, the
teleological-inflection-(as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing–
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating)
state of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism while ‘adhering to
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism is structurally/paradigmatically cognisant-and-integrative-<as-to-its-
notional–disjointedness-imbued-preconverging-or-dementing-qualia-
schema> of failing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’, and with the
teleological-inflection-(as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing–
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating)
state of positivism–proscripticm while ‘adhering to positivising/rational-
empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism is structurally/paradigmatically cognisant-and-integrative-<as-to-its-
notional–disjointedness-imbued-preconverging-or-dementing-qualia-

consciousness/construction-of-the-Self for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity to arise as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing induced reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, such that the notion of prospective human value and aspiration beyond the ‘given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation that underlies its underpinning—suprasocial-construct and

disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing further speaks to the fact of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument perspective ‘affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-
<postconverging–or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-
completeness’ over the ‘unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
assertion/undueness-invalidating-
logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-
<preconverging–or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prior relative-ontological-
 incompleteness’, wherein for instance the underlying
misinformation/misanalysis/misrepresentation about postmodern-thought
as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness arises because of
its assessment from the ontologically-flawed perspective of naïve identitivite
mere formulaic positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-
of-thought rather in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness with further
susceptibility to sophistry of intellectual falsehood and muddlement as of
institutional-being-and-craft, just as assessing budding
positivism/rational-empiricism thought from medieval scholasticism
perspective will induce a ridiculous and ontologically-flawed
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing outcome about budding positivism
which was further susceptible to medieval pedantic sophistry as of
institutional-being-and-craft;

furthermore, transversality-of-affirmative-
and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as
of its implied ‘existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ for aetiology/ontological-escalation entails that
‘appropriateness/soundness of human ontological-performance and hence
value-and-aspirational-construct’ is ‘precedingly and absolutely
determined rather as of relative-ontological-completeness over relative-
ontological-incompleteness <amplituming>formative>epistemic-causality-
as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-
contiguity’ wherein for instance the positivist relative-ontological-
completeness value-reference as walking into the forest to retrieve a plant
cure overrides as of the <amplituming>formative>epistemic-causality-as-
to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-
contiguity of ‘existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<amplituming>formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ the animistic social-setup ‘evil forest’ value-reference as of its
reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically’ is more effectively and existentially achieved rather as of ‘constraining positive-opportunism’ that is socially elicited as of the underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of more profound ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework validation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{—epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/reperception/re-thought,}\text{—in-epistemic-conflicatedness in inducing secondnatured institutionalisation and prospective underpinning—suprasocial-construct}


\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{—epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so as}


\text{universal/universa—universalised/universalising—universalling—when expressed specifically herein universal/universalised/universalising—}\text{—as—to-universalisation}\text{—refers to the specific universalisation registry-worldview/dimension as to its ‘universalising apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—rules of}

\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{—epistemic-totalising meaningfulness—and-teleology’ while when expressed herein in a general sense universal/universalised/universalising actually and precisely refers to ‘totalising-entailing of implied knowledge-reification gesturing’ for instance in the sense that mathematics is universal means mathematics is totalisingly-entailing (with this general sense applying with regards to any given registry-worldview/dimension as to its given ‘entailing—}\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{—epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—rules’ and as further reflecting the implication that registry-worldviews/dimensions of relative-ontological-completeness are of more profound ontologically totalising-entainment apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—rules as so implied as from ‘non-rules totalising-entailing, rulemaking-over-non-rules totalising-entailing, universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules totalising-entailing, and preempting—}
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules totalising-entailing', and so-construed as of their respective foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),-as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism'); actually the specific sense and general sense are thus linked on the basis that both imply totalising-entailing with the specific sense speaking of totalising-entailing as to the specific universalisation registry-worldview/dimension ‘when mankind initially consciously cognised that the profoundness of meaningfulness-and-teleology should be totalising-entailing but without necessarily differentiating such a conception of totalising-entailing between mythological and empirical totalising-entailing with both construed as universal meaningfulness-and-teleology’, while the general sense of universal implicitly captures and exactifies/precisions the conception of totalising-entailing in terms of ‘entailing-
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness’ as reflecting the implication of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as to the ‘notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding of totalising-entailing so-reflected by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ (along the same lines as notional—deprocrypticism) thus amplificatorily rendering the conception of totalising-entailing (as to notionally—universal) as more ‘profoundly construed as from perspective relative-ontological-completeness as of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existence/intrinsic-reality’


universal—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing—totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness)

There is a common word that already exists that best describes what a psychopath is philosophically-speaking. It is a French word that doesn't exactly exist in English. The word is ‘cinglé’ and is better translated in English as ‘slanted mind’ (in contrast to the straightness/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking of a ‘conviction-as-to-profound-supererogationly predisposed human mind’ as of prelogism or prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-(existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) so-construed as candidity/candour-capacity. It should equally be noted that sometimes the word cinglé is used intermittently with deranged (dérangé) which is a more general word that does not capture the socially-functional-and-accordant phenomenal specificity that is of relevance herein. In other words, ‘the cinglé’ perceives meaning as ‘a hollow mimicking form in-of-itself that determines others behaviour’ in contrast to the normal–as-of-candidity/candour-capacity human relation to meaning as of essence or supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism we abide by (and so, even in the case of ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ or bad prelogism where the bad logic of the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind operates by an ad-hoc and circumspect exaggeration or omission).

In other words, the psychopath manifests postlogism or postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation-(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) by its reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-
teleology construed as ‘how can a perverted sought after outcome be obtained with an interlocutor or interlocutors with respect to a targeted end-goal or targeted individual by falsely projecting hollow-abstract logic notwithstanding that it is existentially unreal or it is faked or it is opportunistically raised or raised out-of-context (existential-decontextualised-transposition)’, i.e. meaning-as-form or pathologically/compulsively hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, contrasted to the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds prelogic state (‘existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at’ construed as ‘what does the veridical logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of a given existential situation intrinsically imply as relevant and sound outcome’, i.e. meaning-as-ontologically-veridical/in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, whether thereafter the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation is rightly or wrongly assumed). Hence prelogism or prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation is all about the appropriateness of logic without any implication/questioning about any issue with the reference-of-thought on which logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation is based, and thus the idea of re-engaging is valid on the basis that the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation can be well performed subsequently despite an initial failure or possible initial failures. Whereas with postlogism or postlogism-as-of-compulsing-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation this essentially has to do not with an issue of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation but rather an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-

DIGRESSION (ON OVERALL CONCEPTION OF THE FULL POTENTIAL OF HUMAN ONTOLOGICAL-PERFORMANCE)

[Fundamentally thus the issue of postlogism associated with psychopathy is structurally/paradigmatically related to human prelogism underlined by candidity/candour-capacity as to an ontological-contiguity in notional–symmetrisation<-as-to-symmetrisation-
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averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>, despite the latter’s (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag apparent soundness, at its
uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism as (amplituding)formative>wooden-
language-{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). In this regard and dialectically,
‘meaningfulness-and-teleology is closed and opened successively’ as of the ‘successive
uninstitutionalised-thresholds and institutionalisations’ driven by the ontological-faith-notion-
or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; - as closed by non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-
mental-disposition in ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation’, - opened
as rule-making by rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism in ‘base-institutionalisation institutionalisation’ but then closed at the
uninstitutionalised-threshold as ‘ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation’, - opened as
universalisation by universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism in ‘universalisation institutionalisation’
but then closed at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as ‘non-positivism/medievalism
uninstitutionalised-threshold’, - opened as positivism by positivising/rational-empiricism-
based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism in ‘positivism institutionalisation’ but
then closed at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as ‘procrypticism uninstitutionalisation’, and
pointing out effectively that such a candidity/candour-capacity construal of deprocriptism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is what is normal–as-of-ontological-normalcy meaningfulness-and-teleology in the

distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought arising as of their respective relative
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
temporal-dispositions in failing to contrastively-construe at their respective uninstitutionalised-thresholds the
prospective precise ontological-normalcy in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as of
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'protensive-consciousness'—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
sidestepping any such archaeological-layers/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing limitation’ by rather construing-of-and-informing-as-to the inherent possibilities of pure-ontology insight as reflected by ‘inherent notional–conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence phenomenal insight about pure-ontology/existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echonest as highlighted with the ‘various as
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–random-as-impulsive/epistemic-
totalising–nominal-as-tendentious/epistemic-totalising–ordinal-as-qualifying/epistemic-
totalising–intervalist-as-categorising/epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocontinction-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abtractiveness-of-presence-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construed as notional–conflatedness’, and so conceptually as of an ahistorical-emancipation more like the science/laws of physics is inherently ahistorically-emancipated from physical phenomena occurrences/events archaeology/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing and is capable of construing-of-and-informing-as-to such physical phenomena occurrences/events archaeology/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, thus enabling for instance the veracity/ontological-pertinence of say astronomy as an archaeology/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing derived-science. Insightfully, such a candidity/candour-capacity deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology construed as most ontologically-veridical human psychical representation and so over our present positivism–procrypticism psychical representation, is effectively grounded on the
notion that placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is ‘by itself inherently an utterly discreet and arbitrary construct’ but for the fact that every registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought has been habituated to its own as of its existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness-and-teleology and considers its own by reflex to be sanctimonious. But then the fact is the true sanctimony lies with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality construed as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it so defines the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology veracity/ontological-pertinence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, as implied with the notion of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’.

Thus, however weird it may seem to our positivism–procrystalism psychical representation, in reflecting our positivism–procrystalism relative epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence to it a candidity/candour-capacity deprocrystalism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of


\[\text{(amplituding)formative}\text{epistemic-totalising-intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-occlusive-consciousness-enabling-}\]
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, and so just as the latter being more profound
ontologically with respect to the relative epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence of the
universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism psychical representation will seem weird to the
latter as of its \(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>epistemic-totalising-ordinal-as-qualifying-
phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'preclusive-consciousness'-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; underlying the placeholder-setup/mental-
devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology transformative
\(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity involved with ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as it induces the relative reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring/instrument-validating-measuring-\(<\text{postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism}>\text{of prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring/instrument-invalidating-
measuring-\(<\text{preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism}>\text{of prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and so beyond any registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s metaphysics-of-presence mental complexes. Thus
candidity/candour-capacity deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
of the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought and unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring-instrument-invalidating-
measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, contrary to the various ‘ascription-
constructs’ of the respective placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of positivism–procrypticism
<amplituding>formative> epistemic-totalising–intervalist-as-categorising ‘ascription-
construct of kindness-humility-helpfulness-etc. transience’, universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism <amplituding>formative> epistemic-totalising–ordinal-as-qualifying
‘ascription-construct of good-to-bad transience’, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation
<amplituding>formative> epistemic-totalising–nominal-as-tendentious ‘ascription-construct
of allegiance/subservience transience’, and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
<amplituding>formative> epistemic-totalising–random-as-impulsive ‘ascription-construct
of impulsive-or-accidented-or-haphazard-or-random transience’, is notionally construed not on a
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology basis as of ascription
but wholly as a <amplituding>formative> epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratio-cinication-
as-referentialism ‘ontological-performance-construct of candidity/candour-capacity’ as of
cumulations/institutional-recomposures in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process is grounded on its least common human
temporality/shortness-to-intemporality/longness denominator which is the ‘constraining
social universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—/in-relative-ontological-completeness); and
while the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent—thus-non-constraining-
element of ontological-faith-notion—or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being—as-of-existential-reality’ is aspirational as
inducing the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation mental-disposition behind the ‘inventing’ of prospective
institutionalisation, it is effectively occurs spontaneously to the intemporal disposition and
cannot be the basis for collective grounding of such human consciousness conflatedness as
this inevitably leads to temporal concatenation to intemporality, rather its import lies solely as
of solipsistic intemporal projection drive given that ontological-faith-notion—or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality is beyond the possibility of its secondnatured institutionalisation just
as implied with the notion of faith in creeds. Further, the dynamics of such a graduated
human consciousness as of notional—conflatedness of notional—deprocrypticism can be
reinterpreted operantly as of ‘notional—referentialism’ as it points to the fact that
categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,—as-
of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments
are actually ‘various levels of failing to achieve the deprocrypticism referentialism—
tionally-uncompromised-mediating,—as-of-conflatedness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that ensure ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, and thus are construed as of the same notion of referentialism, as of ‘pseudo-referentialism mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments levels’ given their respectively underlying limited-mentation-capacity in achieving referentialism. While in reality these are respectively of ‘categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments’ they still act as if of ‘deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, and so ‘in their beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ thus generating as of their ‘pseudo-referentialism mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments levels’ their respective neuterising construed as of ‘their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Neuterising thus refers to human attribution of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity misconstruing, with respect to existential social-stake-contention-or-confliction possibilities, such that its reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance is relatively ontologically-incomplete/of-ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness, and so-construed from the conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism; thus neuterising is specifically ‘a contextually developed perversion-or-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, that is secondnatured as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with the consequent implications of relatively defective meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. For instance, as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, an animist society might notice that going to a given forest leads to illness and ascribe evil to that forest but then a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought positivism interpretation may be that at a certain time of the day and during a certain time of the year that forest attracts mosquitoes that cause malaria for instance which can be prevented by rubbing a certain leaf on ones cloths and body, together with the fact that a given root can be used to cure the malaria, and in addition to a whole web of nuanced understanding available to the positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology relative to the ‘abject and brute’ animistic interpretation as meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising that it is an evil forest one should not trespass together with a whole cohort of ‘imaginary tales’ in shoring up that posture, speaking of its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. This is a most elaborate articulation of neuterising but it equally applies where meaningfulness-and-teleology is ‘just about miscued’ say between positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism with the latter underlying the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of the former as it neuterising, for instance in the case of psychopathy and corresponding conjugated-postlogism as social psychopathy as in the various illustrations highlighted herein and particularly as more obviously revealed with childhood psychopathy. In the bigger picture, ascriptivity-or-ascript-ion-hardening/pseudo-referentialism arises as of notional–referentialism/notional–deprocrypticism; wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s existential reference-of-thought deepest-level of neuterising is elicited by its ‘trepidatious-consciousness impulsive—ontologically-
compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument failing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
deprocrypticism existential reference-of-thought overcomes-neuterising/fully-deneuterises by its ‘protensive-consciousness referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as structurally/paradigmatically preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
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meaningfulness-and-teleology. As a further elucidation, a comparison can be made between a construct of ‘notional-referentialism’ disambiguated as referentialism, categorising neuterising, qualifying neuterising, tendentious neuterising and impulsive neuterising, and in parallel a reflection of ‘data conceptualisation’ disambiguated as ratio-contiguous referencing, intervalist pseudo-referencing, ordinal pseudo-referencing, nominal pseudo-referencing and random pseudo-referencing. We can grasp that effectively data conceptualisation as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is inherently ratio-contiguous as of ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought but then we don’t always have the capacity to reference ratio-contiguous data and so the other types of data conceptualisations are available to us as well ‘as of the limitations of our measuring capacity’, and we grasp that the latter are actually in ‘constructed-deficiency of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism’ as of their respective epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Here as well it is important to understand that it is the ratio-contiguous referencing data conceptualisation that provides the ‘overriding framework as of conflatedness’ for making-sense-of/construing the relatively deficient referencing data conceptualisations as of their ‘defined tolerable levels’ of neuterising. This elucidation is to point out that reference-of-thought constructs in epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in the very first place cannot be the basis for articulating, as of their given constitutedness, by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘as if in referentialism as of referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ but rather
mechanics—axiomatic-constructs, and rather reflects the ontological-veridicality that ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of its epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘construed as a constructed-deficiency of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective’, and the former can only be subsumed/implied/construed-as-non-contradictory to the latter. Such a basic conception of comparative axiomatic-constructs in their reflection of the very same <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality highlights that ontologically-veridical meaningfulness is a construction or derived-construction as of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or the closest axiomatic-construct approximation to it; the insight here being that ‘relative completeness/profoundness of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ is what is ontologically preeminent/critical for the notional perspective of ontological construal/conceptualisation. This is equally relevant with regards to the ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ which refers to the transcendental-enabling/sublimating conceptual framework that sets up the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought construction possibilities of derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue as of existential-instantiations’, on the same unchanging intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality construed/conceptualised by all registry-worldviews/dimensions, but generating with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
restricting, as to existence — as sublimating,
withdrawal, eliciting of prospective supererogation) successive more and more relatively
profound/complete registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought constructions of
derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-
constructs/theories/interolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
notions/articulations/virtue; with the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced or-
referentialism-induced) reference-of-thought — devolving-teleological-structure-of-
meaningfulness as of its intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved
axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-
constructs/theories/interolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
notions/articulations/virtue as the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought
‘abstract teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities’. For instance, all subsequent
axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-
constructs/theories/interolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
notions/articulations/virtue of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-
worldview/dimension are possible only by its (trepidatious-consciousness neuterising-
induced) reference-of-thought — devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness which is
non-rules — apriorising/axiomatising/referencing — psychology, as impulsive or accidented-
or random mental disposition as this basically defines the possibility of institutionalisation
within recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as inherently non-existent. Likewise it is the
habituated rulemaking-over-non-rules — apriorising/axiomatising/referencing — psychology
as of ontological-faith-notion or ontological-fideism — imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing — as so being as of existential-reality for the prospective
institutionalisation of base-institutionalisation that is the (warped-consciousness neuterising-
induced) reference-of-thought — devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness for
enabling intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue of base-institutionalisation. This insight extends to all successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations in construing their teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities. This equally explains the divergence of individuals and societies ontological-performance across registry-worldviews/dimensions even though all humans have the same basic intellectual potential; as within the institutionalisation limits of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as its underlying reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument, individuals cannot all of a sudden start thinking in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct enabled by a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’; given that there is a need for the requisite institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as of successive psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure underlying the transcendences in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The fact is that all meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performances, whether teleologically-degraded or teleologically-elevated, implied as of within a given reference-of-thought are necessarily in ontological-contiguity, construed as of a difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising of the same <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving. Such that a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought associated postlogism-slantedness manifestation, which is inevitably being instigated as postlogism denaturing <formative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—
and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as associated with say a medieval or animistic social-setup implies that a postlogism-slantedness, conjugated-postlogism or any other temporal mental-disposition with regards to say with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery will meet with a mental-reflex across the registry-worldview/dimension

\[ (\text{amplituding}) \text{formative} \] epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology that is cognisant-and-integrative as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity dereification in notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>, as in its questioning and analysing whether the accusation of sorcery is true and so as an assumed/presupposed-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘\[ (\text{amplituding}) \text{formative} \] epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfullness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of the overall reference-of-thought underlying

\[ (\text{amplituding}) \text{formative} \] epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating belief in superstition, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. Such a construal equally applies to our positivism–procrysticism associated manifestation of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought associated with a postlogism-slantedness, conjugated-postlogism or any other temporal mental-disposition instigation wherein our underlying procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-disposition is a notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> of the
positivism–procrypticism 

(epistemically-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performances as of ‘conscious–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation as teleologically-degraded’ or ‘naïve-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as flawed supposedly teleologically-elevated’ relationship with its centered-

(epistemically-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This explains why it is structurally/paradigmatically impossible for either such a non-positivistic social-setup or our procrypticism social-setup to resolve the vices-and-impediments associated with the corresponding reference-of-thought centered-

(epistemically-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, as it is in circular 

(epistemically-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument centered–epistemic-totalisation grounding; thus explaining the endemisation and enculturation of the associated vices-and-impediments. Rather than a difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising implied as of ‘notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-


(epistemically-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-
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and-teleology implied different and relatively-more-profound-and-complete reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which is non-cognisant and non-integrative and ‘not in notional contiguity’ with the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought, ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that can induce the ‘ontological break’ that is able to de-endemise and de-enculturate as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation the given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments cross-generationally. With a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising construal there is a double-gesture of reification as of implying more critically the inappropriateness of the centered–epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought as of its underlying meaningfulness-and-teleology implied same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, which then inherently points to the inappropriateness of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation on the basis of the centered–epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought and hence implying that there can’t be any dialogical-equivalence. Such that from a positivistic perspective, an argument in a non-positivistic social-setup of the type one may be accused of sorcery is construed as ridiculous since it is in notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>, with its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification cognisant-and-integrative with a non-positivistic superstitious meaningfulness-and-teleology centered–epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought, and that itself is perceived as of ‘aetiological concern’ as to the possibility of an
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of the same centered—epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought defect. Thus it is ontologically impossible to address any given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments as of that fundamental
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology centered—epistemic-totalisation, besides at best palliative constructs of a non-universal nature, as not of an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation nature. Thus further validating the idea that it is a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in secondnaturig such a prospective institutionalisation ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ that enables such a transformation whether from a retrospective or prospective transcendence perspective. This explains ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism as construing/conceptualising the most profound/complete ontologically-veridical ‘reference-of-thought construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as of the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions from
completeness-of-reference-of-thought, or in degradation-as-of-failing-ontological-veridicality/uninstitutionalised-threshold as of the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring-instrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; noting that the dialectical nature of the elevation and degradation so implied are inherently affirmed/unaffirmed respectively as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
uninstitutionalisation and deprocryptism institutionalisation’. The implication here is that with say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation social-setup, in order to construe ontological-veridicality; as of conflatedness we can’t simply imply the presence universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as the basis of instigating logical-dueness for elucidation and thereof construing ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, as such a mental-reflex representing/skewing-the-representation of the presence as universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation will overlook the presence uninstitutionalised-threshold and wrongly represent its meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of elevation/institutionalisation in soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought projection’. It is rather the conflatedness projective/anticipative contrast between the said uninstitutionalised-threshold however the mental-reflex complex of presence and the prospective positivism institutionalisation however the mental-reflex complex of the latter’s abstractness as from the presence uninstitutionalised-threshold perspective that enables their respective reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness contrastive fundamental elucidations in grasping ontological-veridicality as of their respective prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective. Thus it is the ‘anticipation/projection/thrownness-disposition of overall fundamental elucidative contrast’ between prior degradation/uninstitutionalised-threshold and prospective elevation/institutionalisation respectively implied reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness so-construed on the basis of ‘conflatedness as of the most ‘sound/profound/complete anticipation/projection’ relative to existence’s imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring existential-instantiations, which is at reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-
positivism–procripticism uninstitutionalisation (doing so by failing the
\textit{amplituding})formative\textit{wooden-language}\textit{imbuend--\textit{temporal--\textit{mere--
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing--
narratives--of-the-reference-of-thought--categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology})
of positivism–procripticism’ in de-emphasising the threshold-of--
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and emphasising the supplanting--
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-
teleology as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification); such that
supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism is actually as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing--as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality reflected as to ontological-good-faith/authenticity over ontological-
bad-faith/inauthenticity elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity, and
so as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—\textit{amplituding})formative\textit{epistemic-
growth/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness--equalisation. This reflects historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
aesthetic-tracing as of its notional--conflatedness nature of ontological-performance as anti-
nihilistically grounded on ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
derunderdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing--as-so-being-as-of-existent-
reality as enabled by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. It
points out that ontologically-veridical meaningfulness cannot be construed beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology--\textit{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>
as of a soulless nihilistic-teleology-for-the-attainment-of-temporality/human-mortal-whims as
it simply brings an end to the transcendental potential for the human existential tale perpetuation; as the organic-knowledge behind the ‘invention’ of prospective institutionalisation necessarily has to take precedence in further driving the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process over a conceptualisation as of denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Such an approach to transcendence is exactly what validates transcendental knowledge as of a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment and not a grounded knowledge-construct commitment; as an approach as of grounded knowledge-construct commitment that merely implies transcendence as being incremental to the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought doesn't undermine/unshackle that prior reference-of-thought with respect to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ as of the requisite undermining/unshackling by the prospective enlightenment of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ by the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ontological-performance given its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Rather implying a grounded knowledge-construct commitment merely ‘circularly-complexifies’ the uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought as it adopts by mental-reflex an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-disposition rather than a maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition and thus fails to fulfil the requisite <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought referencing/registering/decisioning–of-its-reference-of-thought—rather-as-preconverging-or-dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and its alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-
objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics, which is what allows for transcendence to the prospective reference-of-thought for renewal; that is, this will rather bring about the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the prior reference-of-thought in ‘incremental circular-complexification’ and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> on a false notion of ‘an intemporal temporality’, naively passing for intemporality/longness as of intersubjective eliciting of temporality. Such notional–conflatedness for ontological-performance implication is easily understood as of metaphysics-of-absence when we grasp that a mindset as of a non-positivistic social-setup needs to ‘wean off organically beyond mere mechanical adjustments’ its non-positivism before the notion of ‘a credible logical engagement in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of positivism/rational-empiricism with a mindset as of a positivistic social-setup’ can be genuinely entertained. In this regard, the budding positivists had to implied an utter break with medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation to avoid the circular problem of their positivism knowledge and science being interpreted in mystical and alchemic terms-as-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment equally highlights that the idea of a common universal human potential available to all individuals while true is not inherently existentially fulfilled/valorised if that human-subpotency is not effectively to-the-best-of-our-temporal/mortal-superseding-endavouring unleashed as of a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought. This conceptualisation insight

existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue. It is only a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in the medium to long-term that can transcendentally ‘wean off’ from such a teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities of a registry-worldview/dimension by habituating a prospective institutionalisation as of its reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional—referential-notions/articulations/virtue. This explains as of metaphysics-of-absence why for instance the mere demonstration to approval/acquiescence of positivistic principles/interpretations of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in a non-positivistic as animistic social-setup or medieval social-setup however frequent the demonstrations within a given limited period of time doesn’t mean that the social-setup has been transformed into a positivistic social-setup; since their existentially habituated state of animism or medievalism teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities as of ⟨warped-or-preclusive-consciousness neuterising-induced⟩-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional—referential-notions/articulations/virtue, will need to be undone/unshackled psychoanalytically in the medium to long-run to veridically achieve positivism; given that that uninstitutionalised-threshold is in a state of circular-pervasiveness-of—reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’! This equally explains the ⟨amplituding⟩formative—epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inherent in our prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation, together with
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} at this positivism—procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as of an existentially nihilistic mental-disposition in degeneration of the human existential tale; as all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness by mental-reflex keep on representing their uninstitutionalised-threshold as institutionalised, that is as ‘centered and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’, as a ‘delusion of an always institutionalised presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of its reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-
supererogation> as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. We can get a projected sense of this as of metaphysics-of-absence in that despite the articulation of positivistic principles/interpretations in the animistic social-setup or medieval social-setup, in the short to medium run individuals will keep on overriding and ignoring such positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology nihilistically, notwithstanding that we may recognise this as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and falling back to construe/conceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology in non-positivistic animistic or medieval terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct, construed from the positivistic perspective as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. As broadly speaking, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is as of ‘the existential individuations possibilities as to reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation and threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ reflecting the teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities, established as of its reference-of-thought–and-reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue; and it is nevertheless so made-up/bottomlined nihilistically, notwithstanding a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought that points prospectively to its relative ontologising-deficiency/epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as it is in the bigger picture structurally/paradigmatically ‘a lifetime mental and existential investment as of the specific prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<-in-existential-extrication-as-of-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions for non-transcendence, but rather as of a habituated mental-projection perspective from the prospective institutionalisations of positivism or deprocrypticism reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness. Thus counterintuitively to metaphysics-of-presence conception, human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as ‘banally’ portrayed historically is not as of an expanding ‘grounded knowledge construct’ from time immemorial as of a wrong incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-reflex as if humans have had only one ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. But actually the underlying process is one of ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling as of a succession of prospective institutionalisations maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construed from a succession of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ so implied by an ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ enabling successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy with respect to human notional deepening limited-mentation-capacity as of institutional-cumulation; such that counterintuitive to what we might be inclined to think, the development of human psychology is not as of ‘a grounded construction that simply varies incrementally across all
times’, but rather ‘a construction which teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities/teleological-potency are sharply rearticulated in succession of institutionalisations as of ontological conflatedness’, and this is important ‘to avoid unduly considering our whole psychical-nature-and-potential as of our present positivistic institutionalisation mindset/consciousness as of metaphysics-of-presence’, but rather grasp that there are teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities/teleological-potency of our mental-projection and mental-disposition as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘<amplitudine>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ beyond just what we can imagine as of our presence as positivism—procrypticism. This analysis brings out what is effectively meaningfulness as it shows that meaningfulness is more completely about apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights thus involving the ‘<amplitudine>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness and then ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ for effectively articulating their meaningfulness as of instantiative-context or existential-instantiations with respect to existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring; and these are the two underlying commitments that make-up meaningfulness. Within a registry-
while on the other hand the grounded uninstitutionalised-threshold recurrently overrides as of constitutedness beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> any notion of its ontologically deficient ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold and just triggers ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ on that basis for its intradimensional grounded meaningfulness-and-teleology, and this explains its ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’, and explaining why transcendence fully occurs as of a cross-generational habituation process. Remarkably, such a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process enabling the human existential tale in successive institutional-cumulations is always rather perceived intradimensionally as an exceptional-askance and unordinary. For instance, the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition in their own times advocating the end of such perverse human institutions like serfdom and slavery were construed in their own times by their dominant societies as of exceptional-askance and unordinary such that in effect these actually engendered great conflict before such practices came to an end; and such metaphysics-of-absence analysis does apply with respect to superstitions, universal human rights, free society, modern science, etc. but then as of our developed present institutionalisation the idea of not entertaining such practices is viewed as not an exceptional-askance and ordinarily to be expected. This explains human mental states respectively as of uninstitutionalised-threshold and as of prospective institutionalisation with respect to maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as the process enabling prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought of same <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality hitherto considered off limits to any challenging maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness at the uninstitutionalised-threshold but then acknowledged thereafter after prospective institutionalisation; with the implication that the possibility for all prospective transcendences as of opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology arise only by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness but presences in their <amplituding>formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} consider maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of exceptional-askance and unordinary due to their <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex avoiding being ontologically decentered and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Insightfully, this point out the circumspective nature of any transcendental knowledge construction exercise as of ontological-tolerance to avoid on the one hand outrightly articulating construed ontological-veridicality at the expense of avoiding any Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleological engagement, as such a psychoanalytical commitment necessarily recognises human potential to transcend, and the other hand the nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that ‘supersedes humankind and doesn’t factor in human moods and whims’ in its effectiveness. Caught between these two elements human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ‘often actually imbued with active and passive mental-strategies of compromise’ but which wouldn’t cut it with the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness necessary for human development and
progress. Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and progress requires
ontologically-veridical as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm ‘responses’ as of universal implications and
not temporal extricatory paradigms ‘reactions’ of mere circumstantial implications. Such a
maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness

\[\text{(amplituding)formative}\] epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought
prospective reference-of-thought ‘construes as circularity and

\[\text{(amplituding)formative}\] epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag pretences of knowledge and judgements
which are rather in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism in ordinariness

\[\text{(amplituding)formative}\] wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) social-
aggregation-enabling’ when expounded by a prior reference-of-thought going by its prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, since there is no
sound/authentic knowledge and judgements outside the prospective reference-of-thought
relatively sound/authentic knowledge and judgements as of its ontological-normalcy/relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in an
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm; and so structurally/paradigmatically as of
the relationship between non-positivism and positivism as well as our
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocryptism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. This underlying notion of ‘notional–conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness construal/conceptualisation’ can further be expanded upon contrastively with regards to knowledge practice in many an epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality not subject to immediate-constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework thus rather eliciting atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness that induces relatively poor ontological-performance. The central element here has to do with the pervasiveness of ‘conceptual patterning’ that actually speaks of a nombrilisticas <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag approach to conceptualising knowledge based on an intellectual exercise of producing patterns of thought with little consideration as to their underlying intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. At its worst, such an orientation construes of categorisation/taxonomisation of knowledge as inherently representative of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by that mere exercise. Such a constitutedness ends up misconstruing the organical depth involved and renders all knowledge constructs so categorised/taxonomised on the same vague plane of mechanical equivalence undermining their transcendental-enabling/sublimating, originality, organic nature and more often than not turning them into platitudes as rather concerned with perceived academic formulations and formats in of themselves rather than ontological-veracity as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. The underlying mental-reflex for this intellectual disposition associated with conceptual patternning is the assumption that by mere categorising/taxonomising ideas on the basis of
their similarities and differences it should be able to attain a grander truth as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. But then such an approach is naïve by its failure to reckon the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity which implies that human conceptualisation tends to develop from prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as of the incompleteness of the paradigm/structure of human reference-of-thought. Such that a naïve categorisation/taxonomisation conceptual patterning perspective on that basis equally inherits that relative-ontological-incompleteness of the paradigm/structure of human reference-of-thought; with the consequence that it is not ‘notionally structured’ to conceptually factor in human poor to perfect/near-perfect construal on the basis of conflatedness but rather suffers from constitutedness. This weakness is underlined and resolved by the notion of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that enables conflatedness in line with existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. It is such a conceptual patterning mental-reflex associated with categorising/taxonomising dispositions in constitutedness that is behind the naïve but poor influence of the saying that ‘every idea has already been thought of before’ with the nefarious consequence of ‘emphasising themes and authorial differentiation within such categorised/taxonomised thematics in of themselves’ as if an epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-study mainly involves intersubjective evaluation or evaluation among humans within the scope of their mortality on the naïve assumption that such categorising/taxonomising effectively covers analytically the entirety/potency of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, whereas such is
achieved rather by a conceptualising as implied by referentialism-as-of-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence that places existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context above intersubjective evaluation or evaluation among humans in their mortality in determining intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of intersolipsistic insight. Consider for instance that in the run up to the development of theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics in the early part of last century, the scientists involved weren’t in the exercise of evaluating their respective theories in a closed framework emphasising their respective ‘ownership-of-theories’ as mortals but rather an opened framework emphasising whosoever theories contribute in disclosing intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as the superior third party. This can equally be compared to naively articulating categories/taxonomies of sounds on the basis that their constitutedness defines the entire existential possibility/potency of musical compositions that can arise but then the ‘depth/axiomatic-construct of existence for musical compositions’ doesn’t submit to such a naïve categorising/taxonomising constitutedness but rather such ‘depth/axiomatic-construct of existence for musical compositions’ is as of an imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-instantiations that is graspable rather by a conflatedness as enabled by referentialism-as-of-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Given our limited-mentation-capacity, existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is then the preceding and transformative element of meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation as of our deepening limited-mentation-capacity enabling our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for grasping ontologically-veridical organic-knowledge articulated in any given <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-
domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality such that the wrong approach for prospective intellectual creation is one that simply lumps authorial articulations under given themes together in ‘mechanical association’ without factoring beforehand their respective ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating dynamism and implied organic-knowledge’ as of conflatedness. This equally underlies the pervasive disposition for misattributed and misfocused analyses as such blurry intellectual exercise become an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal-dispositions focussing less on the possibilities and insights of prospective elucidation and expansion of knowledge as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as being the transcendental-enabling/sublimating immortal/first-party, and turning more and more and placing the stakes rather on authorial second-parties/mortals competing analyses even to the extent on occasion of undermining the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating immortal/first-party. Further, such conceptual patterning will often fail to identify the appropriate point for grasping intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as instead of emphasising conflatedness in (re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation)) originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination projection into existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, it emphasises mere structural patterns inducing constitutedness, and so whether at detailing or synoptic levels of analysis. This extends to the way issues are raised, questions are posed, as well as their supposed resolutions; ultimately lacking in providing theoretical, conceptual and operant constructs of universal applicative pertinence, and explains a certain position of closure that holds that philosophy is just a vague thinking exercise. Furthermore, whereas an
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating construal highlights the ontological-contiguity of all knowledge as of their reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic relationship, conceptual patterning seem to naively imply a discreet relationship of knowledge constructs with little insight of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework interconnectedness as this is often not the primary driving focus, as it is naively assumed that the conceptual patterning is a correspondence of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of the mere structural conceptualisation in constitutedness rather than striving to expand the transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework existential-reality potential, and this easily leads to virtuality or ontologically-flawed construal. The defect of conceptual patterning is easily overlook mainly as philosophy is of first order knowledge, a level at which knowledge differentiation doesn’t easily manifest itself. Such errors of conceptual patterning will hardly arise in second-level knowledge where transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications arise in a specular way. For instance, while hereditary is an underlying conceptual patterning idea in biology, it will be unthinkable to try to lump together and undermine the originality of subsequent hereditary notions of genetics on the basis that these are of the same conceptual patterning as earlier notions like Mendelian heredity as the transcendental-enabling/sublimating differentiations are spectacular. Finally, one practical intellectual flaw arising out of such naïve categorising/taxonomising conceptual patterning has to do with a certain vague intellectual practice based on perceived intellectual pertinence in terms of the authorial ‘precedence of mentioned terms’ irrespective of association whether simple formalistic identifying of terms and notions with little consideration of the divergence of implied organic-knowledge as of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework nature and differences as well as their divergence in
meaningfulness-and-teleology implications. This again leads to lumping, artificial categorising and undermines originality and organic-knowledge, turning this into simplistic mechanical associations with the more serious consequence being that the more decisive notion for human knowledge renewal as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existentia

reality, becomes seriously undermined; as it refers to a transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework renewal of a same <ampituting>formative</ampituting>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but with such effort for renewal often laden with a tradition that is naively of constitutedness undermining requisite creativity as of conflatedness, as it ‘critically presupposes beyond the consciousness-awareness-teleology-

actually, and according to this author’s view, such a currently discussed philosophical issue as the hard problem of consciousness arises as a result of a fragmented thematic construal as of constitutedness wherein a more profound view of the philosophical enterprise as intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework here hasn’t been entertain sufficiently to point out that effectively it is a problem that actually ‘devolves out’ of the more fundamental issue of Being as of its but is rather being posed as of a ‘disjointed/fragmented analysis’ as a consciousness grounded problem. This equally explains this author’s construal of human consciousness development as rather of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; consciousness defined as of ‘notional <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag human-subpotency/subpotent-mimetic-echoess-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoess-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. The fundamental fact is that existence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is the absolute a priori of intrinsic-reality/superseding–oneness-of-ontology prior to any human derived knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue, and hence existence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is the foundational absolute a priori any (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-
of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness constructs, by which our limited-mentation-capacity can most pertinently accede to by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight. Thus existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation implies it is as of the entire ‘conflatedness for human construction of ontologically veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology’ implied as of notional-deprocrypticism; this is notionally known as historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. The implication here is that conceptualisations/construals not only of consciousness but virtue, aesthetics, episteme and nature together with their derived human notional <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag notions like psychologisms, ethics and moralities, arts, epistemologies and methodologies, and natural sciences are but as of the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue as derived conceptualisations/construals of the very conflatedness that is as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or existence-as-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness/existential-possibilities. The underlying insight explaining human limited-mentation-capacity flawed mental-disposition for constitutedness lies with human misconstruing from ‘existential-instantiations’ the ontological-veridicality of axiomatic-constructs as derived from the ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-
meaningfulness’. The ‘iterating nature of existential-instantiations in imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring’ as of existence’s is what provides humankind-as-of-it-subpotency with direct mental access to existential-reality/existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality, as humans don’t have direct mental access to conceptualised/construed existential-reality/existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality-as-of-its-full-potency, but rather projectively-or-anticipatorily construe of axiomatic-constructs about intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as derivable as from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation

imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring in elucidating existential-instantiations, as of (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, and so as of the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. Otherwise with a naïve mental-reflex of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of existential-instantiations, we will rather tend to wrongly construe ‘the conceptual patterning of existential-instantiations’ as rather being ‘axiomatic-constructs as of the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation

imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring ’, thus inducing virtualities or ontologically-flawed construals associated with the uninstitutionalised-thresholds. Thus, the ontological-veracity as prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of ‘the axiomatic-constructs of a (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation
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imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring , generating knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue implied as meaningfulness-and-teleology, is rather ensured by the construal of existential-instantiations as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness which is as of conflatedness, thus enabling the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. It is interesting to grasp here that we cannot from our ‘sense of conceptual patterning’ claim to put into question the inherent nature of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and as of its implied superseding–oneness-of-ontology, since existence is structurally/paradigmatically precedent and our conceptual patterning is arising secondarily as of our shoddy-and-incomplete construal of the ‘iterating nature of existential-instantiations’ as of existence’s imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring ; and any such pretence of conceptual patterning is nothing but a virtuality or ontologically-flawed construal as of naïve constitutedness. Of course, it is rather prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that will imply deeper ontological-veracity of the same underlying purview for the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition grounded on existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Insightfully and making the case against conceptual patterning as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of existential-instantiations, this points out that existence inherent superseding–oneness-of-ontology necessarily implies ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology is effectively as of a natural transcendental-enabling/sublimating existential-contextualising-contiguity-of-all-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness ‘in wait’ to be elucidated however imbricated/threaded/recompusured such an exercise, explaining why our knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-
notions/articulations/virtue of a given <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in conflatedness need to be as of a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, and more than just conceptual patterning that doesn’t or poorly attends to a natural transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating existential-contextualising-contiguity-of-all-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness. For all the above elucidations highlighting the ontological-veracity implications of constitutedness and conflatedness, it should be noted that emphasis is rather on the deficiency of limited-mentation-capacity in construing intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality such that the more profound/complete recomposuring of the very same <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality highlights/reflects in its subsuming interpretation the true deficiency of the shoddy/incomplete. This can be expanded upon as follows, the reason why relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence/destructuring can only be construed with certainty-as-to-their-real-ontological-deficiency ‘rather as a constructed-deficiency of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/conflatedness’ lies in the fact that the construal/conceptualisation of an epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is ‘supposedly as of a perfect or near-perfect or relatively-perfect ontological correspondence between such human construed/conceptualised meaningfulness-and-teleology and the inherent ontological-veracity/intrinsicness of the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
veridicality will be vaguely implied by mental-reflex; as is often the case with postlogism and conjugated-postlogism. By and large, this overall conceptualisation explains the nature of ‘notional constructs’ as implying a variance of poor-to-perfect ontological-performance of the same underlying idea conceptualised as of its perfect/near-perfect/relatively-perfect ontological-performance as in-sync/corresponding with inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of human construal/conceptualisation of it. This fully articulates the dynamic relationship of human limited-mentation-capacity as of its poor to perfect relationship-with/conceptualising-of existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality; respectively as poor as of constitutedness and as relatively-perfect/near-perfect/perfect conflatedness, construed as notional–conflatedness as of constitutedness-to-conflatedness of human limited-mentation-capacity. Insightfully, it highlights that constitutedness arises as of human limited-mentation-capacity ‘poor/unsound/shoddy/incomplete unanticipated/unprojected’ construal/conceptualisation-of-axiomatic-constructs-as-knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue from ‘the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring iterating of existential-instantiations’ as of ‘existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality’, while conflatedness arises as of human limited-mentation-capacity ‘good/sound/profound/complete anticipated/projected’ construal/conceptualisation-of-axiomatic-constructs-as-knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue from ‘the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring iterating of existential-instantiations’ as of ‘existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality’.

Notional~conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as such highlights an underlying historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of the constitutedness-to-

implying the \langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of pivoting nature of human knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue wherein it is about existence-as-enabling-of-humankind-potential/possibilities or existence-as-emancipatory-of-humankind-in-the-broadest-sense-of-its-thought-and-projective-potential-but-beyond-just-the-engrossed-contemplation-of-only-humankind. All knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue are thus for-human-studies/for-human-constructs in the sense that these do not add anything to the given abstract/imaginary existence but are simply enabling to human curiosity and emancipation; that is, whether humans in 2000 BC or 2000 AD are knowledgeable about notions as genetics, theory-of-relativity, universal human rights, etc. doesn’t add anything to ‘abstract/imaginary existence as a pre-given’ pointing to the fact that human existence is about human-subpotency

Thus in effect the natural sciences are actually for-human-studies/for-human-constructs whose specific ambit of human-subpotency is about ‘human consciousness as for material and physical effecting devolving teleologies as meaningfulness’ while the social domains of study are actually for-human-studies/for-human-constructs whose specific ambit of human-subpotency is about ‘human consciousness inherent effecting devolving teleologies as meaningfulness’. This validates the idea of dualism as ultimately human-subpotency effecting can only arise from the conflatedness of human consciousness in-its-embodiment as the potent ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle’ for human self-conscious existence and meaningfulness-and-teleology construal/conceptualisation as of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue, whereas the human body as matter though physically existent cannot as of such its constitutedness conception be construed/conceptualised as of such a ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle’. In the bigger framework,
profundness/completeness’ by an incisive \((\text{amplituding})\)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought that further expands human grasp of the given \((\text{amplituding})\)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as a non-derived/original mental-reflex of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. The latter is effectively what relays the ontological-veracity of the \((\text{amplituding})\)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality implied axiomatic-construct as of completeness/profoundness subsuming the reality of the perceived whole and parts within the incisive conflatedness; pointing out that the fundamental issue is how human limited-mentation-capacity effectively construes intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of its profoundness/completeness. Consider in this particular regards the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality reflected as akin to an engineering product like a jet engine wherein the conceptualisation is an incisive conflatedness that goes beyond the whole and parts of the jet engine to grasp a conceptualisation profoundness/completeness of required critical performances like fuel burn, maintenance cycles, robustness, etc. construed as of the articulated depth of the reference-of-thought of aircraft engine engineering science. This overall notional conception extends as well to the various ways by which human limited-mentation-capacity ‘accosts’ intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, bringing about the various registry-worldviews/dimensions categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating, as-of-their-specific-constitutedness induced neuterising or prospectively deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating, as-of-conflatedness meaningfulness-and-teleology. That is, the deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in its referencing of conflatedness, with no intermediating construct as of constitutedness, thus achieves ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness meaningfulness-and-teleology. While the occlusive/preclusive/warped/trepidatious-consciousnesses mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments by their successive intermediating categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive constructs as of constitutedness on conflatedness induce their successively categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness meaningfulness-and-teleology. This ultimately points to the centrality of the implications of the 'notion of limited-mentation-capacity' as of its deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness as a notional conception in construing meaningfulness-and-teleology, while avoiding its ontologically-flawed constitutedness construals in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the various neuterising. Hence the ‘notion of limited-mentation-capacity’ as it overcomes ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness towards ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness is what is effectively and ontologically defining of issues of reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology given that as of its ontologically veridical conflatedness it is the cumulative recomposuring of human limited-mentation-capacity as deepening limited-mentation-capacity that is behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process itself, and also underlies temporal-to-intemporal individuations differentiation as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-and-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of limited-mentation-capacity, and as this is so-conceptualised from the ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective of deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-
faith/nihilistic while construing prospective opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-and-centered-to-the-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-in-authenticity, thus literally expanding human access to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confatedness as to the existential possibilities that arise with successive institutional-cumulations or institutional-recomposures associated with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. This thus divulges the essence of existence as ‘the full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echonesthesis/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confatedness. In other words existence is already given rather as of its potency, and the real problem of existence is humankind’s access to existential possibilities as of humankind’s limited-mentation-capacity. That is, human transcendence is what achieves existence as a ‘potent construct’, as the notion of existence-as-a-grounded-construct doesn’t-make-sense/is-unavailable for any specific human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construct, including our positivism–procripticism registry-worldview/dimension, as this will falsely imply that our reference-of-thought <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is ‘developed enough’ as of Being-and-contemplation to have achieved the full potency of existence to then know what’s existence whereas in reality such <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag highlights human-subpotency/subpotent-mimetic-echonesthesis-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence. Thus our construal of
existence can only be an ‘as of existence’ exercise that rather highlights human potential to transcend towards grasping existence/existential-possibilities; with that potency only instigated as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for transcendence. Basically, existence as of prospective base-institutionalisation reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-a-

<formative\\epistemic-totalising\\self-referencing\\syncretising\\meniality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought but for the former transcendental instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, existence as of prospective universalisation reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-a-

<formative\\epistemic-totalising\\self-referencing\\syncretising\\meniality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation reference-of-thought but for the former transcendental instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, existence as of prospective positivism reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-a-

prospective deprocrypticism reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-a-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-meniality-or-
hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to positivism–procrypticism reference-of-
thought but for the former transcendental instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-
onontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; such that all that is left of permanence determination
about existence is its transcendental construct as of human limited-mentation-capacity-
deepening–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Interestingly, from our
vantage positivism/rational-empiricism perspective, we’ll certainly construe the supposed
intradimensional resolution of existential issues of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-
of-reference-of-thought as of ontological-performance including virtue-as-ontology arising in
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and rather
resolvable as of base-institutionalisation superseding projection/anticipation, and same with
base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation as intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and
rather resolvable as of universalisation superseding projection/anticipation, and same with
universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism as intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and
rather resolvable as of positivism/rational-empiricism superseding projection/anticipation, but
we won’t or hardly construe of the same as of our <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag about our
positivism–procrypticism as it being of intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and rather
resolvable as of deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
superseding projection/anticipation! This points to the flaw of a Heideggerian Dasein
conceptualisation as it wrongly implies ‘humankind has any developed mental state as of
Being-and-contemplation in any past-to-present epoch’ to ‘fully register as of that epoch’s
metaphysics-of-presence’ what is existence/existential-possibilities not factoring Being conflatedness \((\text{amplituding})\) formative\textsuperscript{ef} episemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as rather driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, and further in contradiction to the notion of human \((\text{amplituding})\) formative\textsuperscript{ef} episemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendentental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances). Existence is rather a ‘potency construct of transcendence as of human existential potential’ and not ‘a grounded construct for construing existence’ as wrongly implied/attempted with the Heideggerian Dasein notion, as all what ‘grounding’ does is to wrongly elevate the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in which such a construct is articulatedly grounded thus contradictorily undermining the possibility for transcendence by wrongly implying that the said registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is of absolute ontological-performance, whereas it is deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality in inducing prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments that allows for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought thus expanding human notion of existence/existential-possibilities. Anecdotally, the prophesying social scientists of their times who insist on the recurrence of the practices of the creed are ‘not stupid’ as they know very well that reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for meaningfulness-and-teleology are just that with respect to an animal of limited-mentation-capacity beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances), humankind has no ‘absolute past-or-present ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ for grounding the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology of the very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’, as such pretence circularly turns into constitutedness at the given reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold; highlighting the fact that human potential attainment of the deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is actually a ‘perpetual transcendence’ as of notional–deprocrypticism as <(amplituding)formative>notional–preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which points out that the various uninstitutionalised-thresholds from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to procryptism are actually levels of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and that the various institutionalisations from base-institutionalisation to notional–deprocrypticism are actually levels of preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought all reflected as of notional–deprocrypticism. The validity of the construal of existence as-of-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness rather as transcendence is that in the state of human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) humankind can only credibly adopt a ‘conflatedness exercise’ rather as of effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology in re-projection-or-re-anticipation to match existence as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness given existential ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of iterating-of-existential-instantiations ’ to further elevate its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to—
‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—purview—of-construal’. This thus validates the notion that existence can only be construed as a transcendental conflatedness as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality and not as a grounded constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity wrongly inducing <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag.

potency implies that what underlies historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional~conflatedness of notional~deprocrypticism is always the issue of ‘divulging prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ as of conflatedness, and so as the very essence of human limited-mentation-capacity relating to existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Hence the very essence of a deprocrypticism institutionalisation is one that comes into terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct with existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness and as reflected in transcendence as of conflatedness in avoiding meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing involved with grounded constitutedness posturing. Operantly, the phenomenological quest for an underlying and superseding knowledge construct, construed here as an enabling construct of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional~deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance determination as of human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances), is fulfilled by the notion of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought/nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought as the construct that reflects any registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional~conflatedness of notional~deprocrypticism highlighting the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the implications of its conflatedness as its given
the-human-institutionalisation-process as notional–deprocrypticism implies the circular ontologically-flawed/deficient implications of centered–epistemic-totalisation are done away with as of ontological-completeness with the $(amplituding)$formative$^{(amplituding)}$epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of the reference-of-thought-as-of-
‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought as we can do more things with the latter axiomatic-construct more-profound/grander meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance; and interestingly, physicists will surely fancy that they could do better in ultimately grasping theoretically the full-potency of existence divulgeable as of ‘the very same physics \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\) epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ with an ambition for a theory of everything. However, a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay is nevertheless critical as a first step for breaking away from a prior centered—epistemic-totalisation of a very same \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\) epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in relative deficient/flawed ontological-performance, and thus by extension with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\) epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal’ which is a given reference-of-thought, construed as ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’; and for all practical matters this has been the way Derridean deconstruction has been commonly applied as in effect all our meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance has been as of our positivism–procrysticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ horizon and such a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay is an inspired conception providing the groundwork as its initiates the centered—epistemic-totalisation exercise for the insight of a futural différance as of the latter’s transcendent-al—epistemic-totalisation that underlies conflatedness in breaking with the philosophical tradition or human knowledge conceptualisation tradition or towards fulfilling the understanding of Being. In this regard talking about the physics example again, such a Derridean freeplay différance is akin to the
‘putting in question exercise’ that surrounds the cooperation/mutual-complementing-ideas-among-various-physicists leading up to the critical breakthroughs; which then establish such physics centered–epistemic-totalisation schemes as Newtonian physics and later on Theory-of-relativity and Quantum-mechanics, and today with respect to various theoretical efforts with the potential of leading to a physics Theory of Everything. Inherent to futural différance is the notion of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-⟨in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought⟩, construed in the immediate-and-short-term as of ‘self-referencing’ as the uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal individuations circular undermining of the prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic implied transformation/shift as transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as well as the idea of temporal individuations ‘syncretising’ that underlies a spiralling cross-generational increasing undermining of the uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought which is in <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with its ultimate cross-generational collapsing for the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought; and so as of prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction dynamism with increasing social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought. Insightfully again, this idea of infinite-possibilities/circularity implied as of a Derridean infinite-decentered-freeplay of a given meaningful-frame/axiomatic-construct/model such as mathematical models/axiomatic-constructs circularity is familiar to physicists and other scientists who understand that there is
no infinity in the real-world/existence and infinity showing up in mathematical models/axiomatic-constructs point to the fact that there is a circular or undefined or undecidable problem arising from poor human limited-mentation-capacity conceptualisation implying the given mathematical model/axiomatic-construct is in circular-existential-disjointedness-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of the axiomatic-construct relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aesthetised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> in constitutedness, and thus a need for a more ontologically-complete mathematical model/axiomatic-construct that as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) then resolves/overcomes the circularity/circular-existential-disjointedness-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness reflected in the prior mathematical model/axiomatic-construct by the infinities-as-circular-or-undefined-or-undecidable with a new mathematical model/axiomatic-construct in relative ontological-contiguity as of conflatedness, and so as of the very same <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; and so because human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) induces paradigmatically/structurally grander human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance of human implicit-or-explicit constructed axiomatic-constructs of purviews/domains of construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and this equally applies by extension to reference-of-thought-as-of–reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the very same immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to–‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’. It should be noted thus that an axiomatic-construct is as of
an implied correspondence with the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ or <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and it supersedes and is defining of logic which is rather the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as reflected with any given explicated axiomatic-construct in the same way that insight/intuition is reflected rather with regards to any given implicated axiomatic-constructs; with an axiomatic-construct such as an idea or a concept or a notion or a theory being any conception as of meaningfulness-and-teleology of supposed existential-implications correspondence. That is the traditional knowledge conception articulated as ‘axioms of logic’ is rather vague, with the appropriate articulation being rather ‘logic of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought’, as the axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought is the effective human limited-mentation-capacity supposed correspondence relation with existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation for human-subpotency possibilities for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue, with increasing ontological-performance as of human transcendence; even though such a conception as ‘axioms of logic’ could be perceived rather as a meta-conception or more like a technical practicality akin to say the scaffolding of a building! In other words as the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, logic and by extension mathematics imply elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, whereas axiomatic-constructs as reflecting ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions are construed in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-
validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-
relative-ontological-completeness. But then as of ‘ontology of logic’ and ‘ontology of
mathematics’ as their very own respective conceptualised meta-axiomatic-constructs as
ontologies in terms of reflecting their philosophical depth of contemplation as of
‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-
coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-
of-embodied-consciousness’, both logic and mathematics are construed practically as
formalisations which are mainly as such constructs of faithful/reproducible syntaxisation on
the supposed basis of ‘smarter and simpler articulations’ for the sake of succinctness, clarity
and fungibility; however, without the implication of any other inherent transcendental-
enabling/sublimating of such formalisations besides their succinctness, clarity and fungibility
usefulness ‘thus-limitedly construed as their inherent meta-conceptualised ontological-
veracity/axiomatic-construct of logic and mathematics transcendental-enabling/sublimating’.
But then it is naïve to construe of mathematics, as logicists have tended to do, as essentially
an exercise of mathematical formalisation. The fact is that mathematics have always been
developed implicitly or explicitly in association with or inspired from the context/existential-
contextualising-contiguity of other applied and transcendental-enabling/sublimating activities
as of their axiomatic-constructs development and mathematics very own existential-reality of
developed axiomatic-constructs applicative orientation, including developing together with
heavily dependent mathematics domains like physics, engineering, other applied sciences and
statistical studies. This latter situation which is more real than generally said and makes of
mathematics ‘a <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-
of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality created axiomatic-
constructs’ and more so than the ‘abstract romantic image portrayed as of the mere
manipulation of numbers and forms’ as if not inspired as of existential-reality contextuality itself. Thus naively taking cue from the formalisation of mathematics as if it will enable the inherent transcendental-enabling/sublimating of any discipline is bound to lead to disappointment, as the inherent axiomatic-constructs as theories, concepts, notions and ideas of the existential domain in question have to be critically developed as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for logic and mathematics to then be relevant as of a secondary tool or at best a concomitant tool. In this regards, the ‘truly mathematical proof’ (over and above any formal mathematical proof) is rather about validation/invalidation of any such mathematics as it can be so-demonstrable in the occurrence of existential phenomena/manifestations; even as such a mathematical demonstration is rather so ‘existentially nominal’ that such phenomenal/manifest veracity of mathematics is often for all practical purposes mostly overlooked by mathematicians when involved in their formalisation exercise including ‘formal proofs’ as to the fact that the existential validation/invalidation of mathematics is so nominally obvious that hardly any experimenting is warranted for confirmation and this existential nominalism can easily lead to a reductionist confusion that mathematics (as to its epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest-subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence’) is not priorly subject to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (and this very insight about the ‘existentially nominal’ sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation of mathematics as of a ‘very existentially nominal acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for–conceptualisation as to the mere adequacy of formalised mathematics’ explains on the other hand why the mere introduction of mathematics, statistics and data in domains requiring
human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for-
conceptualisation’ is not construed as sublimating-validation in such domains where such mathematics, statistics and data are rather ‘distracting-from and not-contributing-to’ the inherent domain’s epistemic-conceptions phenomenal/manifest—subpotencies—<in-transitive-
conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> given ‘human corresponding-
sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for-
conceptualisation’). In physics the Newtons, Leibnizes, Einsteins, Poincarés, Schrodinger, Bohrs had to elicit the transcendental-enabling/sublimating of the physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality created axiomatic-constructs with mathematics being accessory to the transcendental-enabling/sublimating. They didn’t just start to develop ‘patterns of mathematical equations’ without the prior insight about the physics domain-of-study and what to strive for, and actually from that ‘physics reality precedence perspective’ got the insight to further develop their relevant branches of mathematics. Nor do even pure mathematicians just go about constructing ‘mathematical patterns’ as of formalisation without striving to get insight and inspiration from existential-reality as transcendental-enabling/sublimating; and we can appreciate in this regards how the human mathematical disposition adjust from a classical reflex with regards to existential phenomena/manifestations that assume a non-classical character like statistical-constructs, quantum phenomena, black holes, etc. as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-
of-prospective-supererogation. The naivety of logicism lies exactly in this respect of
construing formalisation as most of what is supposed to be achieved, and failing to grasp that when it comes to social reality its own transcendental-enabling/sublimating has to be ‘creatively construed’, and this in many ways explains the frustrated conclusion that will often then arise from such a naïve formalisation perspective that the philosophical exercise is not necessarily transcendental-enabling/sublimating, contrary to the precept of all other knowledge! Thus the conceptualisation of logic implied by any given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought-as-of-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to—‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal’ points to the fact that the various registry-worldviews/dimensions operate their own conception of logic as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as we can appreciate inherently as of metaphysics-of-absence that however deficient, that each registry-worldview/dimension does have its own sense of logic as of its self-conscious construed meaningfulness-and-teleology.

The notion of an absolutely valid logic can only arise on the backdrop of an absolutely valid reference-of-thought-as-of-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as implied by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation, wherein such a logic is its ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. In this regard, the link-up of all the concepts and notions articulated herein by this author speaks of ‘suprastructural logic’ that is critically articulated as of a prospective notional—deprocrypticism psychoanalytic-unshackling metaphysics-of-absence and conflatedness, and further subsumed in the word candidity or
candour-capacity. Such ‘suprastructural logic’ is even more damning about the naïve constitutedness construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology that besets the knowledge and philosophical tradition. Such a conception of logic and logical analysis points to the<br/>\[\text{amplituding}\]formative\(\text{-}\)epistemic-totalising\(\text{-}\)self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic\(\text{-}\)drag naivety and vagueness involved when construing logic and logical analysis as absolute without any explicitly implied or formulated reference-of-thought, construed as ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’; usually in our case, in a non-transcendental<br/>\[\text{amplituding}\]formative\(\text{-}\)epistemic-totalising\(\text{-}\)self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic\(\text{-}\)drag that is unconsciously implied as of our positivism\(\text{-}\)procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension. Insightfully, such a ‘suprastructural logic’ undermines metaphysical notions like good, essence and truth as being naively construed as of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s<br/>\[\text{amplituding}\]formative\(\text{-}\)epistemic-totalising\(\text{-}\)self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic\(\text{-}\)drag of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and in lieu emphasises Being construed as ontology’s\(\text{-}\)directedness-as-Being which best reflects and captures meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism \[\text{amplituding}\]formative\(\text{-}\)epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’.

Being as of its implied notional\(\text{-}\)depocrypticism’s conflatedness provides elucidation to such question as: what is the meaning of good/truth/essence in a recurrent-utter-institutionalised, an ununiversalised or a non-positivistic society? And invariably the answers will be a vague
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of each registry-worldview/dimension, and it is rather the emanant insight of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that carries the prospective transcendences which are the resolution of the successive prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold vices-and-impediments; and so by successive Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism respectively, and prospectively deprocrypticism. Being construed as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being thus enables the superseding of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence. Further, the fact is that it is rather axiomatic-constructs whether explicit or implicit that are supposedly in a meaningfulness-and-teleology correspondence relation with an epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality as of their given meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; so-construed as of the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity when developing axiomation-constructs, with the latter subject to their transcendence when prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought avails prospectively with regards to their meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. The implications here as well are that implicit axiomatic-constructs like analogies and
supposed intuitions/insights that do not reflect/align as of the coherence/contiguity of superseding–oneness-of-ontology implied as of the full-potency of existence coherence/contiguity, are ontologically naïve and vague. Thus axiomatic-constructs ontological-veracity are dependent on relative ontological-contiguity; as axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought in relative ontological-contiguity of
\[(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\text{-epistemic-totalising-devolved---purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality.}\]
Thus the relationship between a
prospective institutionalisation and the uninstitutionalised-threshold is one of relative ontological-contiguity–by–notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of their differing references-of-thought as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to–‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’; for instance, with regards to the relative ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought implied as of base-institutionalisation over the relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of reference-of-thought implied as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, as of their differing references-of-thought and thus implied logic with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to–‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’, reflected as of relative mutual unintelligibility. In axiomatic-construct terms, it is ‘mentally-unsound/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and by derivation illogical’ to be insisting on articulating notions of relevance to the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs like space-time or quanta in terms of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of their respectively corresponding relative ontological-contiguity and relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>, and so with regards to ‘the very same physics<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. Such mutual unintelligibility, with regards to reference-of-thought, speaks of differing ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as axiomatic-construct’ of the differing references-of-thought, with the traditional philosophical and knowledge anti-psychologism stance fundamentally grounded on a mix-up about the nature
of ‘axioms wrongly construed as elements of logic’ as implied with statements like ‘axioms of logic’ rather than the fact that axiomatic-constructs are ‘ontological wholes of correspondence’ as of supposed correspondence with <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality and thus carry transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), whereas logic and logical analysis is rather the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and at best yields formalisations grounded on the implied ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as axiomatic-construct’ but doesn’t reify meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge which can only arise as of the ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as axiomatic-construct’. Such a logicism disposition is rather in constitutedness and is behind such naïve contention that philosophy doesn’t carry transcendental implications and actually undermines other approaches that strive for transcendental-enabling/sublimating by way of conceptual patterning arguments blinded to transcendental implications of knowledge as derived from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. In the bigger scheme of things, this author holds that the deepest ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle’ in the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of transcendence reflected by metaphysics-of-absence is wholly sufficient as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) in accounting for
‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality
instigated
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of relative ontological-contiguity of reference-of-
thought with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality,-as-to-‘human⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising~purview-of-
construal’. This author phenomenological transcendental conception is articulated as of non-
speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant implications
construing/conceptualising in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process, not as an external speculative dialectics, but as a wholly internal
natural dialectics in conflatedness as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Such that human phenomenological
⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore
existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-
temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) is the ‘complete scientific archaeologcal
depth’ for grasping ontology and Being as of the conflatedness of human limited-mentation-
capacity implications construed from notional~deprocrypticism perspective as
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, and consequently doesn’t
carry any external ideological implication but rather for the inherent ontological and Being
implications. Further as of such phenomenological transcendental conflatedness, there is no
issue about existence itself as it is pre-given, as existence-as-of-its-mimetic-
echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, but rather an issue to humankind arising as of human-
subpotency in the full-potency of existence with all the problem of existence being the issue
of humankind’s limited-mentation-capacity implications as failing Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. The
phenomenological insight here about the nature of ‘existence as so construed as of
ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’ is that Being is the conflatedness as of intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation selectivity inherent in
existence that rather skews presence states towards the ‘ontological statistical-exception’ of
intemporality/longness over temporality/shortness possibilities, thus rendering existence as of
relative teleological orderliness and not teleological chaos in the case were all ontological-
possibilities as of temporality-to-intemporality were to be arising in equivalence/equal-
measure. Thus, such ontology’s-directedness-as-Being conflatedness

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness existentially supersede abstract/imagined/misconstrued/virtual
constitutedness possibilities as of elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity implications that are effectively as of non-existence. The further
implication is that human ‘prior existential-reality insight as arising by conflatedness as of the
coherence/contiguity of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’ rather ‘points to the ontological-
veracity of prospective existential-reality as of conflatedness upholding prospective
coherence/contiguity of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’; wherein as of human-subpotency
the ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as leading up to our present positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension speaks of a conflatedness as of successive opened-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding \(<\text{amplituding}\)formative\>wooden-language-\(\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>\) and from which Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology exercise we can’t as of soundness-or-authenticity exculpate ourselves to then pretend ours is the registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought that is non-transcendable as of our \(<\text{amplituding}\)formative\>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, when the insight of prospective transcendence implications as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought avails, and so as the conflatedness upholding prospective coherence/contiguity of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. This further explains why there is need for corresponding Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to human technical development, and as with prior technologies future technologies will necessarily imply renewed human self-consciousness which is not by itself a given and needs to be ‘thought through and effectively conceptualised’ with respect to the future implications of human development, nuclear weapons knowledge, electronic communication, artificial intelligence, etc. as ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
determinism is subject to epistemic-decadence as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-as-of-existential-unthought. Such ‘ontological statistical-exception’ of intemporality/longness as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being permeates all existential processes including life itself. This explains why the dimensionality-of-sublimating—growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation mental-disposition behind the ‘inventing’ of prior institutionalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as prior ontology’s-directedness-as-Being is necessarily the requisite mental-disposition for the ‘inventing’ of prospective institutionalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as prospective ontology’s-directedness-as-Being; and so, overcoming temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology on wooden-language—{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology} as of uninstitutionalised-threshold failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. Ultimately, phenomenology is all about grasping the conflatedness of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. Furthermore, just as a transcendental-enabling/sublimating biological science in relative ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought will dissociate modern day heredity DNA genetics as of its theoretical, conceptual, methodological, operant and applicative implications from say 19th century Mendelian heredity however its inherent merits, and will not naively purport to analyse the former on the
grounds of the latter which as axiomatic-construct is in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema> on the basis of a naïve conceptual patterning implied as of the common term ‘heredity’; this author likewise is very much critical and averse to such conceptual patterning mental-reflexes imbued in traditional non-transcendental philosophical and knowledge analysis all too ready to construe and articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology in sophistic/pedantic conceptual patterning terms overlooking transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications, and failing to fathom that conceptual patterning is no substitute for transcendental-enabling/sublimating work required for all knowledge notwithstanding setbacks and failures that may be involved, given the reality that human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance arises as an exercise of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of relatively profound and complete axiomatic-constructs/reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-'human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ or <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality! Consider for instance criticisms often levied against post-structuralism and specifically Derridean deconstruction as simply convoluted expressions of familiar and trite ideas. But then the effective transcendental-enabling/sublimating insight as of their applications arising in the social sciences and literal studies clearly demonstrate otherwise. Further many such critiques have tended to be naïve about what passes for theory whereby naïve conceptual patterning of general knowledge are articulated devoid of ‘new theory’, with little or no transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications, which in reality is nothing more than a sophistry of argument from authority.
This conception of relatively profound and complete axiomatic-constructs/reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity can equally be demonstrated in graphical terms as a problem ‘not along the curve created-by-human-limited-mentation-capacity’ in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of axiomatic-construct but rather a problem arising as of the need for ‘a change of the curve to-be-created-by-deepening-human-limited-mentation-capacity’ in relative ontological-contiguity of axiomatic-construct for grander human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, as of the very same <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. The <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-perception/re-thought involves taking cue from existence/existential-contextualising-contiguity/contexts as of existential-instantiations imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring in a maximalising–recomposuring–for-relative-ontological-completeness exercise as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; wherein say with a demand curve, the insight as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating–withdrawal,—eliciting–of-prospective–supererogation) of a significant rise in consumers’ salaries implies that everything else being equal the demand curve-axiomatic-construct will shift to the right as of relative ontological-contiguity. The notion of axiomatic-construct in ontological-contiguity arises out of its existential completeness and profoundness, for instance the axiomatic-construct in ontological-contiguity as concept of a bicycle arises by the completeness and profoundness of the bicycle in its existential wholeness of functionality and contents as its ontological-contiguity. Ontological-contiguity rather highlights relative perspectives as of ontological-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with this ‘ultimate social universal-
transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)’
supposedly overriding human temporality/shortness and thus ultimate basis of a centered–
epistemic-totalisation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance
construed theoretically as paralleling the ‘inherent centered–epistemic-totalisation-as-
existence’; and so as of humankind’s human-subpotency given Being project! Fifthly, the
implications of such transcinside centered–epistemic-totalisation with regards to the
‘certainty of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of sound ontological-performance’ rather lies
with such meaningfulness-and-teleology as being so-construed notionally as of a given
institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-
structure-of-meaningfulness’ transcendental-enabling/sublimating rules on the basis of social
universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness), and so
as of its implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought:
wherein, – non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-
accidented-or-random-mental-disposition in Recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation enables the
grasp of certain meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-of-accidentedness-or-randomness-of-
occurrents/existential-instantiations by its non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism,—abstracted-as-accidented-or-random human-limited-mentation-capacity type
of construal, as relevant in the meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of
trepidatious-consciousness about occurrences/existential-instantiations; – rulemaking-over-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism in Base-institutionalisation
enables the grasp of certain meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of rules-abstracted-as-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising; with such ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ maximally/most-profoundly/most-completely construed as of metaphysics-of-absence insight over presence institutionalisation reference-of-thought as implying meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of a transcendental level of appreciation beyond an epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal-dispositions thus divulging the conflatedness of existence/existential-possibilities as of prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought. Such an existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought phenomenological construal obviously goes ‘beyond our ordinary intradimensional 

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag framework of phenomenological contemplation’ in drawing out the full transcendental implications of human 

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) from a prospective notional~deprocrypticism perspective as the full depth of 

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional~deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance; as it is akin to how we can imagine ‘budding science’ in prior non-positivism registry-worldviews/dimensions say in the ancient and medieval worlds but grasping that you really get to systemic scientism rather in a positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights construed as positivism/rational-empiricism ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, reflected as of
construed socially as of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as abstract intemporal/ontological-driven conceptualisation as of respectively formal religion, formal science, legal system, etc. voiding free-for-all construals as of temporal social-aggregation-enabling teleological dispositions as of respectively animistic dispositions, alchemic and essences-driven explanation of nature, crude mob justice, etc. Insightfully, as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, anthropologists are very much aware that the social diffusion of new transcendental-enabling/sublimating practices into a given society are more likely to be adopted as of the society’s institutional and formal percolation-channelling framework than as of an dimensionality-of-sublimating—<\text{amplituding}>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation ‘direct convincing’ at individuals-level underlying deferring to institutional and formal meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the need for profoundness and rigour that doesn’t avail in ordinary thought for transcendence. Likewise, on occasion in the face of prior institutionalisation established and perceived vested interest such intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology could be ontologically undermined as of institutionalised-being-and-craft. Consider in this regard Establishment efforts undermining the Diderot-led Encyclopédistes project. Furthermore, every registry-worldview/dimension relates to its value construct as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought constitutedness as more or less absolute, and doesn’t factor in that its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is a structural/paradigmatic deficiency inducing the <\text{amplituding}>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of its value construct. But then
prospective institutionalisation necessarily implies a notion of prospective value construct as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness which will be unintelligible to the prior value construct, such that it is only a sense of intemporal consummation that drives transcendental dispositions as it is paradoxical to expect that what is in need for transcendence acts as transcended, as transcendence is inevitably and so across all registry-worldviews/dimensions a state of paradoxical conflictedness as more profoundly involving a cross-generational meaningfulness-and-teleology psychoanalytic-unshackling than a grounding conceptualisation! Furthermore, both the prior institutionalisation value construct and the prospective institutionalisation value construct are their respectively given centered—epistemic-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology, with transcendence conflictedly implying overriding the prior institutionalisation’s centered—epistemic-totalisation-facticity for the prospective institutionalisation’s centered—epistemic-totalisation-facticity. But then ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is an empirical fact, and thus the resolution of this transcendence paradox is rather reflected by the dynamics of human positive-opportunism as of human

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syneretisising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as social universal-transparency-
(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) avails with respect to social-stake-
contention-or-confliction, wherein while in the immediate-and-short-term human ‘self-
referencing’ will seem to imply that it is almost impossible to transcend from a given social
conventioning centered—epistemic-totalisation facticity but cross-generationally human ‘re-
conventioning whether driven by a sense of pure-ontology as of ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality or otherwise with say cultural-diffusion’, as
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‘syncretising-effecting’ on meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction induces human transcendence. Consider in this regard historical transcendence elicited by cultural diffusion whether with respect to trading or invasion or voyages of exploration. The fact is a social-setup is structurally/paradigmatically a framework where individuals are naturally involved in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived existential possibilities, and thus individuals and social groups are not in an absolutely given/set self-referencing centered–epistemic-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology within their social-setup and are predisposed on critical occasions as of syncretising-effecting to ‘reinvent’, circumvent or adapt as to what they perceive as optimum existential possibilities, such that a social-setup is already involved internally however restricted in its very own reinvention/circumventing/adaptation as of its very own internal ‘self-referencing and syncretising-effecting construed as $<\text{amplituding}\text{formative}\text{epistemic-totalising}\text{self-referencing-syncretising}$’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and it is this element that enables all human societies to have a minimal opening/overture/receptivity to each other, including at the very extreme between an industrial age society and a hunter-gatherer society. Without such a structural/paradigmatic ‘self-referencing and syncretising-effecting construed as $<\text{amplituding}\text{formative}\text{epistemic-totalising}\text{self-referencing-syncretising}/\text{circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}$ human nature’, both internal social transformation however lethargic and cultural diffusion will be basically impossible, and $<\text{amplituding}\text{formative}\text{epistemic-totalising}\text{self-referencing-syncretising}/\text{circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}$ induced transformation arises because human perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction drifts within-and-across social-setups whether with regards to basic trading, curiosity, social competition and generally as of a
predisposition to achieve optimum existential possibilities. In this regard, the rapid transformation implications of cultural diffusion arise because it makes relatively immediately available to individuals and social groups a comprehensive set of options however limited the nature and speed of their adoption. This syncretising-effecting mechanism ultimately explains why cross-generational transcendence occurs notwithstanding a seemingly self-referencing centered–epistemic-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology within a given social-setup in the immediate-and-short-term. Transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought occurs because structurally/paradigmatically it is social-dispositions and mental-dispositions of intemporal-as-ontological nature as of longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of more profound ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, as re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-{imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation}, that are most likely to be syncretised cross-generationally as providing the most overall positive-opportunism by their relative universal projection implications and are formally-and-overtly assumed, and so over temporal-as-ontologically-flawed social-dispositions and mental-dispositions which are more or less formally-and-overtly unassumed as of their temporal denaturing nature or poor universal projection. However, such a conception of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is not actively contemplated socially but occurs latently and passively with any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology as its inherent social-dispositions and mental-dispositions are rather as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> with regards to such transcendental implications! Despite the fact that all social-setups tend to be surreptitiously permeated with individuals temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology social-dispositions and mental-dispositions of suboptimal ontological implications for social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, every social-setup as a conventional-construct can only be held together in the long-term as of its requisite given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-level of minimally-expected basic conscious-adherence-at-best or token-adherence-at-worst to the said institutionalisation-level’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with regards to meeting a basic level of individuals and social existential-possibilities expectations. It may thus seem from within just one human generation perspective that the underlying human metaphoricity for transcendence is rather marginal especially when not associated with any external cultural diffusion. However, human metaphoricity as of cultural transformation had tended historically, in the main, to ebb in peaks and lows, and so as of the relative universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<\textit{amplituding})formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) about such metaphoricity instigative reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation direct, indirect and/or devolving implications. The fact that individuals in a social-setup are already involved internally however restricted in its very own reinvention/circumventing/adaptation in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived existential possibilities and is thus of a minimal opening/overture/receptivity to internal and external metaphoricity, also critically speaks to the fact that any social-setup is only able to hold together because of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment that is subject to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness validatory ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. As of its circularity, the lack or poorer cause-and-effect determinism of any such supposedly coherent ontological-commitment threshold of a social-setup meaningfulness-and-teleology’ allows for the possibility for prospective metaphoricity to reconstrue-and-redefine the social-setup meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such prospective metaphoricity possibility cannot be preempted because even the social-setup conventioning in its functional operation of meaningfulness-and-teleology needs this supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in other to affirm itself over any spontaneously arising disruptive meaningfulness-and-teleology that may be articulated by individuals or groups, with the result that a social-setup ever always exposes itself to prospective metaphoricity in one way or the other when such spontaneously arising disruptive meaningfulness-and-teleology is not of poorer but rather of a superseding ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of the social-setup given supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. We can consider in this regard that an animistic non-positivistic or medieval non-positivistic social-setup will certainly imply a supposedly coherent ontological-commitment respectively as of superstitious spiritualism meaningfulness-and-teleology or scholasticism pedantic dogmatism meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of the given social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ in its capacity to demonstrably and objectively uphold and function going by its specific registry-worldview/dimension as of superstitious spiritualism or scholasticism pedantic dogmatism. It is exactly this ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ that equally makes available the possibility for prospective metaphoricity to demonstrably undermine the implied supposedly coherent ontological-
commitment of such prior social-setups registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as of the prospectively induced ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional~projective-perspective of relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by way of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework such as with prospective positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, given the inherence of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, inevitably prospective metaphoricity undermines vested interests as of the direct, indirect and/or devolving implications of prospective metaphoricity and by that token elicit sophistic/pedantic inclinations to such prospective metaphoricity meaningfulness-and-teleology. Further any such prospective metaphoricity ultimately takes hold rather as of within the social deferential-formalisation-transference framework wherein it is driven by a sense of positive-opportunism as of particular and general social interest. That said, a social-setup is ever always ‘existentially invested’ to a given registry-worldview/dimension and the fact of greater existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification from prospective metaphoricity which may involve undermining such ‘existentially invested’ registry-worldview/dimension in its <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} means that it doesn’t necessarily construe such prospective metaphoricity as pertinent and so where it is nihilistically disinclined by its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as
of the individual-as-receptable-of-temporal-to-intemporal-individuations realistically implies that it is rather fundamentally a question of grasping the mechanism that tips the balance towards human intemporality/longness and subsequent prospective institutionalisation which is ontologically sufficient for prospective ontological-effectiveness, rather than a naïve engagement as if the human is all-essentially intemporal-as-of-an-absolute-ontological-commitment-disposition. More critically, such a conception of prospective metaphoricity cognisant of the decisiveness of deferential-formalisation-transference for institutionalisation and thus subsequent social percolation-channelling, come to grasp that sophistic/pedantic predispositions are the more salient entrenched interests beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> with respect to prospective metaphoricity as of the implications of such undermining of social deferential-formalisation-transference. In this regard, the sophistic/pedantic barriers to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism metaphoricity implications are necessarily spurious and associated with our positivism–procrypticism institutional-being-and-craft as of the direct, indirect and/or devolving prospective metaphoricity implications. We can appreciate in this regard that for the medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation, it doesn’t matter that budding positivism can be demonstrated as more ontologically pertinent as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, so long as it is socially and institutionally credible to uphold non-positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology in effect by undermining its deferential-formalisation-transference. It is with regards to such sophistic/pedantic disinclination to prospective metaphoricity that the latter elicits contortioning gesturing, wherein for instance Socrates with respect to the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation (as we can appreciate that however say a Protagoras engagement with Socrates may project coherence as of his
contextual appreciation of Socrates predisposition for coherence, this doesn’t exclude the possibility of a ‘floating sophistic’ inclination that simply adjusts to its interlocutor thus undermining in the bigger picture the notion of knowledge as of universal coherence idealisation, or still maybe Protagoras is just at the lower end of the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation) and budding positivists with respect to medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation (as we can appreciate that the recognition and then censure and then banning of Copernicus’s heliocentric world work or engagement with Galileo’s support of heliocentrism then his persecution for publishing, rather speaks paradigmatically of the covert/underhanded nature of the medieval establishment pedantic disposition as of the implications of ideas undermining medieval dogma as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction) construe of such sophistic/pedantic disinclination as implying notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema> with their prospectively implied metaphoricity; with the consequence that there can’t be common/mutual aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as of dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence and inherently so because of the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation and medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation inauthentic/unsound apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of respectively non-universalising and non-positivism/medievalism dogma prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought warranting their unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> for the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of prospective Socratic philosophers
universalising-idealisation and prospective positivism

meaningfulness-and-teleology respectively. Likewise, this author’s critique of the spurious institutional-being-and-craft muddlement of our positivism–procrystemic with respect to its structural/paradigmatic implicated undermining of the possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism is not an idle exercise, and so as of such <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional—referential-
notions/articulations/virtue’, and transcendentally-complemented by ‘human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality projection-or-anticipation of this human prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of human existential-unthought’, and thus enabling an epistemic/notional possibility of correspondence of human implied meaningfulness-and-teleology with the achievement of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of prospective deprocrypticism ‘inherent centered—epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’. It is those elements of an epistemic/notional possibility of correspondence, as of the
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and onto, that together effectively make human transcendence and the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process possible given that it immanently enables the possibility of successive human prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations. In other words, it is human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that ultimately ‘vouches’ for every given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold for the possibility of a correspondence between human limited-mentation-capacity and the ‘inherent centered—epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’, as of Being orientation of pursuing-and-attaining ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. It is only such a conflatedness perspective as of notional–deprocrypticism that can articulate a conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of a notional–correspondence to existence/existential-possibilities, thus avoiding
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
only valid as of ‘mutual conceptualisation as of a given institutionalisation with a common ontological-reference-of-thought’ wherein it is then strictly a matter of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation in determining ontological-veracity. But then at such a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, there is a relative variance of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in intemporality/longness entailing the prospective institutionalisation and the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in temporality/shortness entailing the uninstitutionalised-threshold; thus implying a relative variance in such intemporal and temporal teleological projection respectively as of elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation and teleologically-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold in determining ontological-veracity. In this sense we can garner that it is inappropriate to imply a ‘neuter framework of reference-of-thought putting the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as of the same axiomatic teleological projection’ and so, as of an uninstitutionalised-threshold and the prospective institutionalisation; given the variance of temporality/shortness rather as respectively in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism-or-medievalism, and prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with intemporality/longness rather as respectively in base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The bigger point here being that the very notion of transcendence as of conflatedness actually construes of more profound reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that override the prior reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as failing to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, and so as of differing references-of-thought in transversality-of-affirmative-
insight about such a deneuterising storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration from the fact that a non-positivism/medievalism or animistic social-setup is ‘not committed in a <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising−self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ to positivistic/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to occurrences and incidents best explained and dealt with by such positivistic meaningfulness as of the latter’s prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. As such non-positivism/medievalism or animistic social-setup ‘will not be self-effacing as of its ontologically-flawed <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising−self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-temporal-mental-dispositions as-if-always-in-a-state-of-institutionalisation, failing to psychoanalytically project about its uninstitutionalised-threshold of non-positivism and the prospective institutionalisation of positivism’. This equally explains how our positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition is construed in deneuterising from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism perspective ‘as not self-effacing as of its ontologically-flawed <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising−self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-temporal-mental-dispositions as-if-always-in-a-state-of-institutionalisation, failing to psychoanalytically project about the uninstitutionalised-threshold of its procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and the prospective institutionalisation of deprocrypticism’. This is actually the ontologically-veridical phenomenological transcendental framework for construing/conceptualising human temporal character and social formation mental-dispositions as of uninstitutionalised-threshold and prospective-institutionalisation based on the dynamics of limited-mentation-capacity, unlike a naïve neuterising mental-reflex that by its
epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag fails to attain such a conflatedness as of
notional–deprocrypticism deneuterising insight. Central and critical to achieving such a
deneuterising analysis in grasping the full and complete possibilities of ontologically-
veridical construal of human meaningfulness-and-teleology given human temporal-to-
intemporal mental-dispositions as of prospective institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-
threshold is the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. It is exactly what renders a veridical ontological-
escalation or aetiologisation of the human condition possible as the historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of conflatedness as of notional–deprocrypticism. It
is most critical because at any registry-worldview/dimension, human self-consciousness is a
epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex as of being-only-in-
institutionalisation-and-hence-only-of-a-meaningfulness-and-teleology-that-is-intemporal
while defectively ignoring-and-undermining the veridicality of uninstitutionalised-threshold-
and-its-assorted-and-conjugated-temporal-meaningfulness-and-teleology such that
transcendence is always perceived as unnatural when epistemic-
totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, in the sense that
‘it-is-others,-as-of-the-prior-registry-worldviews/dimensions,-that-have-an-
uninstitutionalised-threshold-and-the-notion-of-transcendence-is-only-relevant-to-them-as-
the-current-presence-is-normal’. The implications of such human
epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex as it overlooks human
uninstitutionalised-threshold points to the reality that the implied prior institutionalisation
‘projected reflex of entailing–
varying-existential-instantiations-mental-dispositions-ontological-performance or their characterisations-as-of-varying-existential-instantiations’, as fundamentally underscored by the implied uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought, wherein such temporal thresholding neuterisation with regards to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology reflects Being-underdevelopment; and so from the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ as of metaphysics-of-absence insight that ontology’s-directedness-as-Being lies with Base-institutionalisation institutionalisation over Recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation

\[
<\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, it lies with Universalisation institutionalisation over Base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation}\]

\[
<\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, it lies with Positivism institutionalisation over Universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation}\]

\[
<\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and it lies prospectively with Deprocrypticism institutionalisation over our Positivism–procrypticism}\]

\[
<\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This operantly defines procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as beyond just the construal of new supposedly intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prospective institutionalisation to preempt the temporally denaturing reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prior institutionalisation, but rather the deneuterising construal of the very ‘limited-mentation-}
capacity as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> constraining dynamism’ behind the denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the very first place; conceptualised henceforth as the very reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation as of its implied notional–deprocrypticism. Overall, the fact is that given that what is most relevant to the individual is the practicality as of their ‘rationalising threads of part-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation–or–part–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation perception-and-relation to meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over just abstract universal propositions, when it comes to social-stake-contention-or-confliction social-functioning-and-accordance constraints such temporal part-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation–or–part–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation mental-dispositions tend to be ultimately translated decisively onto issues of public repercussions like corruption, mismanagement, nepotism, etc. It is very much naïve to imagine that as of such uninstitutionalised-threshold as of Being/ontological-framework-expansion underdevelopment, individuals in positions of social-stake-contention-or-confliction with respect to upholding/failing probity will simply adhere, at the exclusion of engrained-habits-and-mental-dispositions, to mere propositions of probity rather than in the face of weak-institutional-constraints-and-penalties to perceive such universal propositions as mere linguistic appendages of relative practical insignificance. The notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> is
the effective and credible deneuterising enabling articulation that grasps such an ontologically flawed mental-reflex that recurrently permeates consciously and unconsciously human phenomenological mentation, as it ‘credibly’ grasps-and-accounts-for, without resorting to any neuterising, the full and complete possibilities of human mental-dispositions as of the exclusive dynamics of human limited-mentation-capacity across all registry-worldviews/dimensions involving the conjugation of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation and temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuations of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances. Ultimately, the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> given its psychoanalytic-unshackling as of prospective deprocryticism transcendence, points to a self-consciousness that should rather come to terms with the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions resolved beyond just the notion of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology but rather their protraction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality conflatedness of Being as implied as of deprocryticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The issue of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or Being underdevelopment is associated with that of the construal of knowledge as organic-knowledge or mechanical-knowledge respectively; with the latter construed as of the ‘mere effecting possibilities of knowledge’ without a coherence/contiguity with the ‘knowledge
inventing’ mental-disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the given knowledge, as implied with organic-knowledge. It is such a mechanical-knowledge as of ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-dispositions towards the mere effecting possibilities of the knowledge’ that induces the forgetting of Being construed as ontology’s-directedness-as-Being, by undermining the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality upholding of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation that is behind organic-knowledge. Human <$\text{formative}\text{amplituding}$>$epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag$ temporal mental-dispositions as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<$\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}>$ are all too ready to construe of the comprehensiveness of knowledge as mere effecting possibilities of knowledge at the given institutionalisation’s uninstitutionalised-threshold in temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology terms-as-of-axiomatic-construal as of the plainly implied opportunism with little consideration of the projective intemporal value dispositions behind the ‘knowledge inventing’ and its organic preservation. Thus the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process arises exactly to ensure deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing of knowledge as of organic-knowledge comprehensiveness. The following is enlightening in this regard. (For what it takes to get a medieval as non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, that is, suppose for instance where in a medieval social-setup an accusation of witchcraft is demonstrated by an outsider from a positivistic social-setup to be incorrect and unsound to the approval of all in that social-setup, that outsider understanding fundamentally that the
medieval setup by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ is in a state of<br>
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referring–
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of a medieval worldview will grasp that
that unique demonstration of medieval-postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> (as accusation of witchcraft) is not to be construed naively as an adequate basis for a new logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as ‘prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism re-
engaging mental-reflex’ that re-engages with non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-
of-thought, given the possibilities of further accusations of witchcrafts or by-and-large the vices-and-impediments potentially arising from such a non-positivism/medievalism worldview as of the ‘local community dynamism of individual interests involved’ that endemises and enculturates notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. It is rather the cross-
generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure transforming of the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought that is ontologically-speaking to be construed as the structural/paradigmatic resolution of the vices-and-impediments arising from a non-
positivism/medievalism worldview with respect to such notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery.
The same applies with respect to our positivism–procrypticism worldview and futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism worldview). We can appreciate such metaphysics-of-absence insight as of say in a situation
of cultural diffusion the requirement that a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation social-setup opportunistically grasping mere effecting possibilities of base-institutionalisation knowledge, as of relative convenience to individuals, are much more better off equally coming into terms institutionally with the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existentia-
reality induced intemporality/longness behind the ‘inventing of the base-institutionalisation culturally diffused knowledge’ for an optimum accrual of the Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation; that is, based on base-institutionalisation’s ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’ enabling the superseding of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation vices-and-impediments as of its ‘non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-
mental-disposition’. Such conceptualisation extends to all registry-worldviews/dimensions prospective institutionalisation including our positivism–procrypticism prospective transcendental emancipation to deprocrypticism. Underlying Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is the construal of knowledge in both its ‘immediate, cause-
and-effect and non-blurry practical and scientific knowledge’ and the ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’. In many ways as of human temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-reflex, the former is structurally/paradigmatically associated with relatively immediate-constraining ontological-
ontologically-veridical knowledge agents’ over ‘ontologically-flawed knowledge agents’. For instance as of the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality induced intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology positivism/rational-empiricism mental-disposition behind the articulation of Newtonian mechanics inducing its mere effecting possibilities of knowledge, the inherent possibilities of inventing things on this positivism/rational-empiricism knowledge intemporal value reference inherently undermines the pertinence of any other supposed knowledge value reference, like a mystical knowledge construal, of the very same physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, such that their inherent contrast disambiguates what is of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology from what is of Being underdevelopment. But then this ‘immediate, cause-and-effect and non-blurry practical and scientific knowledge’ is just one aspect of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as its mere effecting possibilities of knowledge however effective do not exist in a vacuum but rather within the ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’ which is the complementary background for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; as we can appreciate that despite the positivistic inclinations of the Copernicuses, the Galileos and the Newtons, the scientific advances that ultimately took hold arose because those budding scientists had a sense that the very ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’ background had to be superseded as of its scholasticism and mysticism underlying knowledge background for a
ontological-preservation wherein the abstract intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology behind the prior registry-worldview institutionalisation should equally be reflected as of prospective registry-worldview institutionalisation, and involving the requisite deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturizing of knowledge as organic-knowledge. We can appreciate the latter point in the sense that with the development of various positivistic scientific and knowledge fields, the knowledge agents weren’t naïve to imply that the ‘normal social temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions as of ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}’ are appropriate framework for engaging their subject-matter, as they rather promoted formal knowledge/scientific societies and adopted their specific jargons to ensure that the intemporal value reference mental-dispositions behind their respective ‘knowledge inventing’ was the institutional mental-disposition for engaging with the knowledge formally or as of secondnatured education practically available to everyone interested, and so while alienating and considering general social ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} as improper and unqualified. This was to avoid a circularity of ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} undermining of the intemporal-projection of their specific knowledge/science, as they contribute in overall Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The point here
is that at uninstitutionalised-thresholds the idea of ‘equal opinionatedness’ doesn’t apply by
the mere fact that knowledge of intrinsic-reality itself doesn’t arise by
\((\text{amplituding})\)formative\(<\text{wooden-language-}(\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}<\text{as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>)\) but
rather ontological-pertinence, and the point in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process as knowledge-led is to harness ontological-pertinence and
not \((\text{amplituding})\)formative\(<\text{wooden-language-}(\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}<\text{as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>)\), thus
explaining deferential-formalisation-transference as of institutional percolation-chanelling.
This point is central and critical to the very notion of society-as-social-construct, as society is
caught between the notion of sovereignty as-allowing-basic-level-of-universal-individual-
and-collective-self-affirmation-striving-for-social-equality and the notion of knowledge as-
of-selective-construal-of-social-value-and-institutional-hierarchisation-as-of-ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework-overriding-social-equality-for-the-sake-of-individual-
and-social-emancipation-as-of-efficient-ontological-performance-implications. The
implication of this dilemma is the reality that society is always subpar to a knowledge social
determination as well as subpar to a sovereignty social determination. This dilemma is
unavoidable by the very implications of a society: every social-setup as a conventional-
construct can only be held together in the long-term as of its requisite given registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-level of minimally-expected basic conscious-
adherence-at-best or token-adherence-at-worst to the said institutionalisation-level’s
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
with regards to meeting a basic level of individuals and social existential-possibilities expectations; such that the notions of knowledge and sovereignty can only be ‘socially effective’ within this articulated framework as enabled by ‘social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)’. This articulation can be elucidated more explicitly in cases of cultural diffusion between societies of differing institutionalisation level as such cultural diffusion isn’t by a simplistic institutionalisation knowledge-level transference, but involves a mutual sense of sovereign selectivity and recognition among the societies, however the drive for cultural diffusion; thus allowing for ‘acculturating-indigenising-pidginising transitioning settings and their social constructions as of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ prior to eventual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought accommodation. This is equally the knowledge and sovereignty dynamics that prevails within any given society. Thus, knowledge can effectively and efficiently be pushed forward but rather through an exercise of increasing ‘social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)’ thus enabling ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, all along this ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process a suboptimal relation
between knowledge and sovereignty undermines Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of various pertinent social manifestations: – wherein sovereignty is affirm over knowledge as ‘supposedly being knowledge’ by a culture of mere social-aggregation-enabling of temporal-to-intemporal hotchpotch opinionatedness, notwithstanding the underlying transcendental-enabling/sublimating in formal institutional deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling, with the result that beyond the underlying implied institutionalisation-level such a social-aggregation-enabling hotchpotching opinionatedness culture tends to critically and decisively inform individual and collective thought and action in a manner that is suboptimal to intemporality-as-ontology as of the manifestation of such a temporal-to-intemporal hotchpotching culture in the extended-informality that permeates even formal institutions; – wherein by exploiting of temporal mental-dispositions as of individuals and the collective-social sovereignty, knowledge is undermined by wrongly implying the pertinence of social-aggregation-enabling construed as ‘exploitation of sovereignty’/mobbishness as of ‘intellectual institutional-being-and-craft self-serving’ in lieu of upholding institutionalisation, including the tendency to degrade knowledge conceptualisations into popular frameworks of knowledge appraisal thus subverting institutional deferential-formalisation-transference rigorous knowledge framework as of their transcendental-enabling/sublimating as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness; – the ontologically-flawed articulation of knowledge by an intellectual disposition akin to <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology),-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
ontological-completeness)’. The above analysis point out that transcendental knowledge in particular involves more than just knowledge as a grounded construct but as well an understanding of how such knowledge is instigated in society as part and parcel of the knowledge construed as organic-knowledge; given that the social-construct-as-society is not necessarily of immediate receptivity and is of a suboptimal disposition to such transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications that are not priorly as of grounded constructs of knowledge. This will explain why the mere articulation of positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology constructs of knowledge wasn’t enough in undermining medieval mental-dispositions, and the persistent initiatives of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Rousseaux, Diderots, etc., were not vague actions but informed by an intuition about the nature of human society and how it develops given the inherently untransformable human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as of human limited-mentation-capacity. Thus in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, crucially the issue of ontological-veracity is only half the problem of knowledge, with the other half being the grasp of the underlying sovereignty and knowledge dynamics as of eliciting ‘social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness)’. As it is the latter that induces that social positive-opportunism for deferential-formalisation-transference and institutional percolation-channelling, as of social deferential attribution of power for the beneficial effect of knowledge as empowering various institutional domains. Further, as implying the superseding of entrenched grounded knowledge as of its psychoanalytic-unshackling implications and in destabilising the underlying existential reference-of-thought, transcendental knowledge is of a circular but consistent exercise of
and so due to the ‘existential and emotive commitments’ it is involved in undoing with regards to the implied prior notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> reference-of-thought and introducing the prospective ontological-contiguity reference-of-thought as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to-
‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal’. Consider in this regard, that the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as of prospective ontological-contiguity is more than just a reification gesturing of its very own axiomatic-construct affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring—<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism> but extends to encompass a de-assertion/preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism/unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring—<preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism> of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’, at the threshold where it supersedes ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’, as being of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> when analysed as of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’, and so with regards to ‘the very same physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as—intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. The ontological veridicality here is that such ‘double-gesture reification as the prospective axiomatic affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring—<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism>
together with the prior axiomatic de-assertion/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>’ implied as of the non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> induced transcendence-and-sublimity is not to be construed as an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of the superseded presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, but is rather a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in subsuming ‘the very same physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. While the emotional involvement and sense of ‘existential ego undermining’ involved in such a transcending reification gesturing of axiomatic-constructs as of the very same <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality is relatively trite as occurring within the same registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought as of the positivistic/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology mindset as well as its distance rather with respect to physical reality, such a transcending reification gesturing as of the grandest axiomatic-constructs having to do with consciousness with regards to the ‘very reference-of-thought itself’ wherein the prospective ontological-contiguity reference-of-thought as deprocripticism/disjointedness-as-reference-of-thought implies a transcending reification gesturing that not only affirms deprocripticism prospective registry-worldview/dimension but in that affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> as of its ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought de-asserts/dements our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, this will elicit an existential and emotional involvement that will rather convert into a circular neuterisation of deprocrypticism by a mental-complex avoiding such emotional discomfort and sense of existential ego undermining as is the case with all destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance with respect to their prospective institutionalisations. This explains why it is not a fundamental contradiction as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor at uninstitutionalised-thresholds that the positivistic/rational-empiricism initiatives of such enlightenment thinkers like Galileo, Descartes, Diderot, etc. were met with counteracting reactionary views, and as it further elicits ontologically-flawed ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold by prospective institutionalisation dialogical-equivalence’. This can’t be the case because dialogical-equivalence can only arise where there is ‘common reference-of-thought’ whereas a state of institutionalisation as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is veridically in an institutionalising/enlightening/educating exercise relative to a state of uninstitutionalised-threshold as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and not such a flawed notion of dialogical-equivalence. We can appreciate even within a same reference-of-thought like our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension that there is no dialogical-equivalence between the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs in ontological-contiguity and ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> but for the former’s enlightening the latter’s undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality. This insight reflects
the reality of transcendence in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, wherein uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-reflexes of \(\text{<(amplituding)formative>}\) epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in their incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity tend to perpetuate the representation of prospective institutionalisation as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) in an ontologically-flawed dereification gesturing of neuterisation, rather than maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of \(\text{<(amplituding)formative>}\) epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought implied as of prospective institutionalisation’s deneuterising. It should thus be noted that such a transcendental exercise is not about passing the test as of the judgment of uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-reflexes of \(\text{<(amplituding)formative>}\) epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which is ‘ontologically flawed and wanting’ but rather is as of a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal-projection transcendental-enabling/sublimating for prospective institutionalisation relative to such \(\text{<(amplituding)formative>}\) epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that circularly reinstitute the uninstitutionalised-threshold temporality/shortness as if intemporal in incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity. In other words prospective institutionalisation arises as of ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ which as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought is introducing a ‘new-as-of-the-prospective-institutionalisation ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’ that blocks-out/supersedes/de-asserts/denies as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-><mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema> the ‘prior-or-old-as-now-uninstitutionalised ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’; with the implication that our ‘procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning’ is not admissible to prospective ‘deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning’ and so from the moment of the event-construed-as-the-prospective-ontology-origination of deprocrypticism, just as ‘non-positivistic medieval reasoning’ is not admissible to prospective ‘positivism reasoning’ from the moment of the event-construed-as-the-prospective-ontology-origination of positivism, etc., across the successive institutionalisations in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; and so as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-><mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema> of the uninstitutionalised-threshold and the prospective institutionalisation. Such a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontologically-flawed predisposition in circularly striving to reassert the ‘prior-or-old-as-now-uninstitutionalised ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’ over the ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ is fundamentally due to the structural/paradigmatic lifetime ‘mental and existential investment’ in the former, such that by and large it is mostly a crossgenerational transcendence-and-sublimity that fully brings about the adaptation of the induced ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ as the ‘new-as-of-the-prospective-institutionalisation ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’. Such a
temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontologically-flawed circular predisposition arises due to human temporal-dispositions as of Being underdevelopment that tends to lead to the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> denaturing of knowledge as mechanical-knowledge and undermining organic-knowledge; wherein knowledge is related to as of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, that is, knowledge related to as of ‘the mere positive-opportunism it engenders at best’ with little or no cognisance that there is an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of intemporality/longness behind ‘knowledge invention’ that must be preserved and perpetuated as ‘the very core of knowledge’ and so to undermine knowledge denaturing, so-construed as organic-knowledge. Organic-knowledge requires the articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology rather in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct as the profound-and-complete articulation of knowledge, and as the very attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme behind knowledge that induces the appropriate psychoanalytic-unshackling for its reception. In other words, we can’t seriously contemplate a profound positivistic knowledge engagement with a non-positivistic as animistic or medieval mindset without the idea of priorly eliciting the appreciation-and-adoptions of a positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme when contending about any salient positivistic articulations as otherwise all such positivism/rational-empiricism articulations and explaining will be reconstrued circularly in animistic or medieval terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct as of the latter teleologically-degraded prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought relative to our positivism–procrypticism necessarily requires priorly the requisite apriorising-teleological-
elevation-in-ontological-contiguity from positivism–procrypticism’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset into deprocrypticism’s preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme as otherwise such knowledge will be teleologically-degraded in circular positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though in the latter case our <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence blinds us to appropriately appreciating this given the human mental-reflex of representing any uninstitutionalised-threshold as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) as of our <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. The point here is that the meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed has to supersede the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold perspective/framing/reference/horizon for its prospective transcendence-and-sublimity-enabling purpose, even if that implies being temporally unpalatable, given that the fundamental purpose for the underlying aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm and not temporal extricatory paradigm. Put another way, for instance, Newtonian physics doesn’t have any inherent meaningfulness-and-teleology as we can appreciate from a positivism/rational-empiricism perspective/framing/referencing/horizon with an animistic social-setup as of the latter’s attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme underlying its meaningfulness-and-teleology
ontology-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity’ whether with respect to any individual within any registry-worldview/dimension as well as the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s overall reference-of-thought, as of its specific reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme can pertinently be defined as the ‘assumed-and-unflinching apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ inducing a given specific non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> outcome with regards to prospective relative-ontological-completeness-or-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the construal-as-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and establishing-and-upholding the underlying framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with that attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme; and so, whether such a framework is a reference-of-thought as of overall construal-as-existence/existential-possibilities, or within a reference-of-thought like a social projection <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview—as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or specifically with living-as-of-human-personality-developing. For instance, with respect to coming across and living say in an early hunter-gather society with its interpretation of ill-health as of bad omen, we will still maintain an ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ as of the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of positivism’s/rational-empiricism’s perceptivity—as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct—as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation, at least as of our self-conscious awareness, even as this reflects mutual beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>
as when we publicly pretend to act otherwise by subscribing to the interpretation within such
a social-setup. As construed within a given reference-of-thought, say in our
positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought we can further have the conception of
the physics or biology or law or literature or even just entrepreneur or accountant or
technician specific attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, and further at the
individual level as of changing attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme with living-as-
of-human-personality-developing. Attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as so-
construed is critical fundamentally because the notionally inherent human capacity for
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is directly associated with ‘attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-
completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—
existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming–‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’–to-
‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,–as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,–in-epistemic-conflicatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>})) to be
able to achieve transcendence-and-sublimity’, and so as of intemporality. With regards to
living-as-of-human-personality-developing, we can appreciate in the case of a child’s
personality development as of its given attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme that it
has a poor dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension as of its more direct focus on instant-sensations-and-
carefreeness requiring that the child is directed to end at successive stages infantile habits as
it grows up with an increasing sense of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension that ultimately involves major stages like schooling, greater social autonomy and responsibility, and developing into an adult with even greater dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as for instance the notion of pleasure is increasingly substituted with that of work-and-pleasure, etc. Such living-development—as-to-personality-development as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension is construed as the more profound attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme for human optimum living, and so over say an animal-like immediacy attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of living. With regards to the second-level of social aetiologisation/ontological-escalation associated with ‘attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’, for achieving transcendence-and-sublimity; humankind construes of existence as ‘more than just plain living as animals’ but as enabling for various domains of social projections dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension so-implied across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions, whether in an animistic social-setup involving animistic practices or in the modern social-setup as of our modern practices involving subject-matter specialisms, trade roles, functionaries, arts, research, sports and other activities, etc.; with each involving their specific attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. The idea being that this provides more existential possibilities by the overall expanded human capabilities available directly or indirectly to fulfil individuals desires and needs. Finally the third-level reflects ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
get an insight of registry-worldviews/dimensions attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme contrast as clarified in the preceding example as of the technical and existential emancipatory possibilities that can be contemplated with a positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in an early hunter-gather social-setup inclined to construe of ill-health as bad omen; and appreciate that the human-subpotency is much more than stalling at any prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension, and so not only retrospectively but equally prospectively. Thus, an attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme can pertinently be defined as the ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ inducing a given specific non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> outcome with regards to prospective relative-ontological-completeness-or-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the construal-as-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and establishing-and-upholding the underlying framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with that attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. It can be construed with regards to prospective transcendence as a structural/paradigmatic adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification inducing-and-upholding a prospective ‘underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct as <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’. In other words, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is utterly apprehended decisively by its given attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of the ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’. This insight is critical as for instance with appreciating what is implied by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ required for the correspondingly required
meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. Basically, attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme is simply a reflection of level of deneuterising—referentialism
as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism. Ultimately for living-as-of-
human-personality-developing, social-projection-institutional-orientations and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, ‘the human toddling potential’ or the human
potential to develop from a relative-ontologically-flawed to a relative-ontologically-veridical
attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, can only arise by notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema> induced psychoanalytic-unshackling as of relative-ontologically-veridical
attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-
affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ over
relative-ontologically-flawed attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, with the latter
necessarily having to ascend to the relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme for the former’s implied meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
its ontological-performance to avail, and so in reflecting the ‘incisive-and-intransigent nature
of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’; as we can appreciate this with
regards to existence’s relative validation of the positivism/rational-empiricism ‘perceptivity-
as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-
conceptualisation’ interpretation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s ‘bad omen’
interpretation. Such an ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-affirmative-and-
unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ has ultimately nothing to
do with the deliberate willing of the relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme. As we can appreciate that without implying a dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of a child’s living-as-of-human-personality-developing, the child’s poorly developed attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme will poorly face optimum living of adult life or where such was the case about all human children then the human species will be no more culturally unique than any other animal. Again, as of human social-projection-institutional-orientations we know that subject-matter, trades and bureaucratic expertise come with a requisite implied attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in detachment from<br/><br/>(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}</br>) as we know that, everything being equal legitimately, it is the professional electrician as of its assumed-and-unflinching professionally-institutionalised-as-dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme whose workmanship is guaranteed to produce the best and safe outcome for electrical installations; and so dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of<br/><br/>(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
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attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme for prospective institutionalisations as mainly concerned with the physical human lifespan extricatory framework as absolute reference of meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘with little sense of coherence as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and thus the latter cannot unlike the former be the framework for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of universal implications, and particularly so as of the ‘naivety of eliciting mutual temporality/shortness as intemporality/longness or eliciting of ⟨amplituding⟩wooden-language-⟨imbued—averaging-of-thought-⟨as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’–with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩⟩’. This notion of fulfilling a given prospective institutionalisation’s requisite attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme underlies the very idea of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence as well as dialogical inequivalence/non-correspondence; as where one party does fulfils the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of a given institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought and thus its corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology, and the other doesn’t as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness. This further explains why epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting arise with the successive prospective institutionalisations in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, wherein for instance the positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of say a Galileo or Descartes is circularly beyond the contention framework of scholasticism meaningfulness-and-teleology, speaking of the impossibility of logical-congruence between the positivists and scholastics with only the utter dominance of positivism arising as of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
induced positive-opportunism as of scientific, medical, technical advancements, free society, etc. that leads to the crossgenerational collapsing of scholasticism. It is interesting to note here that such positivist scholars were ‘never beholden to a convincing exercise with scholasticism but rather with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’, and for which purpose rather opted to create internally-coherent positivist networks and societies for the perpetuation of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology while averting its denaturing by wrongly implying notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> with scholasticism. But rather implying notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> given the latter’s flawed paradigm as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The insight here is that more fundamentally knowledge is not about ‘interhuman negotiating or agreeableness’ but more critically about a third party validator known as ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ which is the transcendental-enabling/sublimating above the mortals that are humans, and that the exercise of knowledge construction is rather an interhuman transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing exercise in search for the validation of the ‘superior party that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework’, and so beyond institutional-being-and-craft and social-aggregation-enabling <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}). Where these latter practices become de rigueur as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> denaturing of the requisite intellectualism required for further Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-
to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and start undermining knowledge construction as of its intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, effectively there shouldn’t be any compunction as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm to overlook them and imply intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence and/or dialogical inequivalence/non-correspondence in other to preserve genuine knowledge over charlatanism; as such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity practices do not speak of ‘genuine intellectual disagreement’ but undermining of intellectualism basically and do not merit to be elevated teleologically to the level of intellectual contention because of their underlying knowledge denaturing predisposition. This is critically the case with registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought transcendence implied knowledge given that the old/prior/superseded as of its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought construes of ‘implied grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness while the new/prospective/superseding as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought construes of ‘implied grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of prospective non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. This brings home the reality that it is inevitable that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are necessarily ‘paradigmatically/structurally conflicted’, with prospective transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework being the critically fundamental determining arbiter of what will prospectively pass for knowledge
rather than the naivety of logical-congruence of dialogical-equivalence at any such uninstitutionalised-threshold; as fundamentally the issues faced by the Descartes, Galileos, Diderots, etc. as of ‘budding positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ are structurally/paradigmatically fundamentally inevitable as of their articulation within a non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism context. This is the case since at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, such a framework of logical-congruence of dialogical-equivalence is structurally/paradigmatically superseded, in the sense that every institutionalisation say for instance scholasticism scholarship has its ‘genuine intellectual engagement framework’ as of its underlying attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme reference-of-thought acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, but then at its uninstitutionalised-threshold (as implied from prospective positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme reference-of-thought acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) scholasticism and positivism are rather in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing; as so reflected in their mutually beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. This is equally reflected with regards to the prospective transcendence implying knowledge proponents, as the very notion of implying a prospective transcendental conceptualisation as of organic-knowledge is one that undervalues the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of its social-stake-contention-or-confliction while the very notion of perceiving highly the meaningfulness-and-teleology within a prior institutionalisation framework is one that is necessarily apprehensive
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) and untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality social-chainism, on the conation of upholding ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity contentions; by its deflating of the conception of ontologically-teridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human mortals contentions in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, wherein the ‘superior party’ of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-teridicality is the validator of ontological-pertinence as of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and thereof ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ as new reasoning-from-results/afterthought, and so over and above ‘interhuman negotiating or agreeableness’. Thus ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as of its charlatanlic effect undermines, as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, the articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that could jeopardise pre-established temporal interest, and cultivating rather incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought in overlooking concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework strife to uphold-and-promote the ‘superior party’ which is the non-presencing<-as-to-perspective-ontological-norma/ey/postconvergence> of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; with such intellectual-bad-faih rather advancing such an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness accommodating framework for strategically cultivating pre-established temporal interest. Central to such incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is a simplistic, poor and inadequate articulation of the notion of scepticism usurping genuine intellectual scepticism. Such a poor notion of scepticism operates by a spurious relationship with intellectual contentions that is susceptible to legitimise-or-delegitimise arguments
however ontologically pertinent or impertinent as of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, rather as of its commitment to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness that in many ways could just as well validate

\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\langle\text{wooden-language}\langle\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—}\langle\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle\rangle\text{and untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme and their social contentions. As in effect, such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity scepticism fails to act as a ‘knowledge-growth-mechanism with regards to the perpetuation of knowledge coherence and pertinence’ as is the case with genuine intellectual scepticism, but is rather geared towards a dogmatic pedantry/mandarinism that usurps the very notion of scepticism, and so as of the naïve implication that proceduralism is the substitute for existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as transcendental-enabling/sublimating.

This poor scepticism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme usurping the pre-established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, has existentialising—enframing implications as of the forestalling of prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ upholding of the primacy of the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and so over mere ‘interhuman negotiating or agreeableness’; as this subsequently undermines intemporal knowledge deferential-formalisation-transference behind the secondnaturting for prospective institutionalisation. Rather the attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme of genuine intellectual scepticism is encrusted within the very notion of prospective relative-ontological-completeness of human meaningfulness-and-teleolgy, given human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\langle\text{epistemic-totalisingly—as-to-existence—as-sublimating—}
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withdrawal, eliciting of prospective supererogation). Such a genuine intellectual scepticism construes of knowledge by its given ⟨amplituding⟩formative⟩epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
social transcendence and emancipation, social practices at any given period as ‘becoming constructs’ are not inherently ontologically sacrosanct by the fact that these are the outcome of preceding prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of preceding intemporal dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, and by that very implication this is what carries the possibility of ‘inventing’ as-of-prospective-institutionalisation social practices as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity ad-hoc pretences extolling social practices as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness but of a poor conception outside the prospective relative-ontological-completeness behind such social practices ‘inventing’ as-of-prior-institutionalisation and so-implied as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, are but denaturing and down the line equally undermines prospective relative-ontological-completeness for the further emancipation of human social practices. As such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity ad-hoc pretences extolling social practices as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness are of the same notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> kind that bathe in the <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} and untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality social-chainism that implied as much about extolling social practices presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought reasoning-from-results/afterthought attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and
today’s positivism–procrypticism, with little prospect/opening for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity. Essentially and constructively, all intellectualism as of their intemporal job description as emancipative is to relay in uninhibited/decomplexified terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct the blunt reality of the social as this is the very attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme that empowers prospective social emancipation however socially inconvenient it may sound; and so beyond habituated \(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag.} The fact that many that are institutionally anchored may speak otherwise or naively against such a stance doesn’t diminish in any way the ‘natural appropriateness’ of such a job description as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, but rather speaks of a poverty of institutionalisation that creeps into institutional anchors as of their reasoning-from-results/afterthought constructions subject to temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. As a result of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, the ever present reality of human uninstitutionalised-threshold as reflected successively with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism, has always implied resolution beyond just reasoning-from-results/afterthought that warrants successive non-presencing–\(<\text{as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence}>\text{as of the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocripticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought together}

The implication here is that ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality is rather about a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’, but that reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning adduced transcendence prospectively comes out short with the prospective reasoning-from-results/afterthought outcome, and so because of human limited-mentation-capacity at any moment. Thus the successive reasoning-from-results/afterthought outcomes as the logocentric constructs of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions arrive at their successive reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
\((\text{amplituding})\)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of grander dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. Finally as a further analysis, Being-
subterfuges are behind the awkward, unnatural and clobbered nature of human development for the past two centuries as civilisation is construed and developed in ‘an undertone reaction/anticipation of threat’ rather than natural as of human communion. Thus ‘subterfuges of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ arise as of the suboptimality of human intemporality/longness which suffers from human apprehensiveness of humans, thus undermining the notion of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. This underlying human mental-disposition arises as of the successive human as trepidatious/warped/preclusive/occlusive-consciousness in neuterising; as such neuterising is the outcrop of human limited-mentation-capacity. In other words neuterising can effectively be ‘decomposed-as-from-a-confiliatedness-perspective into the ontologically-veridical underlying limited-mentation-capacity manifestation’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction formative epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so-construed from the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-confiliatedness of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’. Such an exercise can be conceptualised as an abstract reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level of deneuterising—referentialism, wherein for instance, with regards to ‘the very same medical formative epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ as structurally/paradigmatically defining ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among individuals and the social-collective’:
- the trepidatious-consciousness of an early hunter-gatherer recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation society direct experience of misfortune say like catching an unknown
disease in a given forest may imply an existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen as of its relative neuterising as of its random-as-uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,–as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition (noting that such a poor reification is better than no reification at all in the sense that where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen provides a basic reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its trepiditious nature as to ‘a crude predisposition to avoid the forest’);

- for the warped-consciousness of an animistic base-institutionalisation society imply existential-contextualising-contiguity-second-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period as of its relative neuterising as of its tendentious–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism (noting as well that in the case where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period provides a relatively better reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its tendentious nature as to inducing tendentiously crude behaviours and psychological assurances associated with positive experiences over negative experiences);

- for the preclusive-consciousness of a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism society imply existential-contextualising-contiguity-third-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor as of its relative neuterising as of its qualifying–circumscribing-
as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism (noting that in the case where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor provides an even better reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its preclusive nature as to comprehensively-qualified narrative of a non-ad-hoc and weighty/profound existential interpretation inducing the predisposition as of a fateful universal narrative of human behaviour implications);


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-meroformulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism implied as of say post-structuralism ‘which factors in socioeconomic, education, information, environmental, gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery’ (noting finally that in the case where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation provides the best reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency as of its protensive nature as to coherent existential interpretation drawing out the full implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as a projective–totalitative-implications conception and superseding presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness naiveties as to the socially extended constructive construal of healthcare as more than just as of immediate disease/illness cause-and-effect implications). The latter as deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is the effective basis for evaluating the ontological-veracity of all preceding reference-of-thought as of its deneuterising—
referentialism that breaks-down the various neuterising to their basic human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics implications.

In this regard, their successive profoundness as of their ‘successive (uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ speaks of more and more profound convergence-as-of-accumulation of human-subpotency grasp of the full-potency of existence coherence/contiguity. It should be noted as well that the afore is focused on the abstract reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level of différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral, as it is actually reflecting ‘the backdrop construed as human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ for the effectively devolving différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral teleological process of meaningfulness; given that the abstract reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level so-established rather enframes teleologically-devolving-as-drifting meaningfulness with regards to ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among individuals and the social-collective’ construed from notional~deprocrypticism deneuterising, to fully reflect the ontological-veridicality of mental-states as of affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring->postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> and unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring->preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> stranding dialectics. For instance, reflecting in an early hunter-gatherer society the ‘candid existential expressiveness’ of how one is suffering from bad omen on the backdrop of its ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-

The uninstitutionalised-threshold as such, as of the reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, is the basis for determining both intemporal as well as temporal ontological-performances specifically as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances. This thus involves the disseminative-as-rearticulated <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving—différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral as conjugations as of intemporal-as-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation ontological-performance and also as the various temporal threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism denaturing, all as conjugating variously to the very same implied reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology underlying idea of bad omen interpretation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation going by its random-as—uncircumscribing/undelineating—
as-epistemic-totality ‘existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and with this reflecting the metaphoricity of ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among individuals and the social-collective’. The foregoing conception of disseminative-as-rearticulated

of-existence is fundamentally construable as of the developing scope of ‘the respective relative neuterising’ towards prospective deneuterising—referentialism. Overall, the emphasis here is as of a Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that is as of ‘various relative mentally-closed limited-mentation-capacity as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ as


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so as of non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>; wherein as for the trepidatious-consciousness

epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as reflected in the idea of failure to heed the Deity, while for the occlusive-consciousness as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination as of categorising—circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality ‘existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as reflected in the idea of full disease and scientific theory construct as the exclusive cause-and-effect conceptualisation’. Such that in the final analysis, there is an underlying tendency of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that decomposes-as-of-conflatedness ‘human mentally-closed limited-mentation-capacity as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’ induced neuterising into the underlying limited-mentation-capacity manifestation disambiguation basis for their ontologically-veridical construal’, and so-construed from a notional-deprocrypticism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective. Thus for the protensive-consciousness as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination as of referentialism—circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality ‘existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ implied say as of post-structuralism factoring in socioeconomic, education, information, environmental, gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery’; as of deprocrypticism is as of deneuterising—referentialism. This analysis conveys the reality of human cross-generational institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure due to the impossibility of the very first humans as of their limited-mentation-capacity and yet inexperience/unaccumulated-experience to be able to reason more than their initial apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument will permit as of their state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as—impulsive—or—accidented—or—random—mental-disposition’, and hence their construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘their relative neuterising’. Likewise the ultimate possibility of human cross-generational institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as enabling the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of notional—deprocrypticism/<(amplituding)formative>notional—preempting—disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought is the backdrop for deneuterising—referentialism enabling the full transparent ontologically-veridical elucidation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing; as of the possibility of deneuterising. In the bigger scheme of things, as of the notional—conflatedness of notional—deprocrypticism as deneuterising—referentialism, what had hitherto been conceived notionally as logicism is herein exposed as effectively superseded by the notion of différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral so-construed as of ‘reference-of-thought—or—axiomatic-construct-devolving—as-of-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness—différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ and as implied as-of-the-construal-of—différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral-of-meaningfulness—and—teleology; and so with respect to the more ontologically-veridical reality of human conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology always from a position of limited-mentation-capacity as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, thus in need for its prior deepening so-captured in the ‘human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation—of-existence as of the notional—conflatedness of notional—deprocrypticism différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ as transcendence-and-sublimity-enabling, whereas such a human limited-mentation-capacity implication is naively ignored with logicism in its metaphysics-of-presence/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage.

(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications-, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ construed-as institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and with regards to the successive registry-worldview/dimension rearticulated as of temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance of

(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving. The notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> also highlights theoretically why the Husserlian epoché or bracketing method construed as eidetic reduction is ontologically-flawed by its constitutedness as it naively imply circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality/delineating-as-epistemic-totality meaningfulness-and-teleology for its essence in presence, rather than the fact that presence reference-of-thought as ‘metaphysics-of-presence is structurally/paradigmatically an ontologically-flawed bracketing or epoché as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and is representing metaphysics-of-absence implications as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives)’ when it comes to presence uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought in its relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-

<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought for meaningfulness-and-ontology ontological-performance, as well as ignoring prospective institutionalisation implications construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Such an eidetic reduction is circularly constraint in

(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
institutionalisation relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. For instance, such epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting associated with the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process necessarily explains the ‘mutually transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing unintelligibility’ of the Galileos, Newtons, Diderots episteme articulating prospective positivising/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology and the Establishment scholasticism medieval dogmatic episteme. The implication here is that the articulation of transcendence as of reference-of-thought is by itself tied up to a prospective epistemic disruption, construed as of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, beyond just grounded knowledge as of the prior episteme which is rather construed as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. Such transcendental epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting arise because humankind is subpotent as of its knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue to the full-potency of existence, and in the human construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, the ‘superseding party’ is not any involved humans as knowledge agents but inherent existential-reality itself, with any such humans as knowledge agents only ‘pertinent in delegation’ as of their ‘kowtowing to existential-reality’, with such delegation inherently revoked as of their failed ‘kowtowing to existential-reality’. To the extent that human knowledge agents ‘achieve sufficient-and-recurrent credibility as of their knowledge methods and approaches’ with respect to social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness), an apparent episteme as of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising-purview-of-construal’ arises as of institutional-being-and-craft. But then, where transcendental implications as of prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought point to more profound reference-of-thought for construing/conceptualising existential-reality putting such a prior episteme in question, this induces a state of mutual ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity between the prospective episteme and the prior episteme as of the lack of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ with respect to social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness); and so more than just as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<(in-existential-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, but further because as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, there is ‘a drift from the ideal of knowledge agents only as ‘pertinent in delegation’ as of their ‘kowtowing to existential-reality’ towards a teleologically-degraded exercise of institutional-being-and-craft muddlement. It should be noted that such a notional construct of episteme interpreted herein is implied as of ‘dynamic social <-formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising’ across the entire social spectrum as of notional-episteme dynamically covering both informal institutional settings and formal institutional settings. In the bigger scheme of things, such transcendental epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting in transition associated with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor arise wherein ‘the prior shaman is being contested by a new shaman in a hunter-gatherer society’ with possible accusations of witchcraft as of institutionalised-being-and-craft, wherein ‘two or more traditional priesthoods of an early civilisation foment against one another’, wherein ‘sophistry and philosophy vie for what passes as valuable and true knowledge’, wherein ‘medieval scholasticism dogmatic knowledge and positivism/rational-empiricism knowledge vie for the interpretation of human and physical nature’, and in our case wherein ‘knowledge traditions including philosophical traditions are put into question as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, antinihilism and transcendental-enabling/sublimating knowledge perspectives’. Ultimately, this point out that epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting become inevitable wherein the prior knowledge episteme paradigmatically/structurally loses its way as of its initial justification as safeguarding the prospective possibility of enlightening human knowledge as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being, but then by its institutional-being-and-craft uninstitutionalised-threshold actually paradigmatically/structurally beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> undermines the prospective possibility of prospective enlightening human knowledge; and so, as increasingly the prior epistemic disposition is one that overlooks prospective inherent transcendental-enabling/sublimating of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance turning rather towards social-aggregation-enabling implications as meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, undermining the very notion of the intellectual exercise as about developing/institutionalising the social and not kowtowing-to-it construed as charlatanism!

Further in all such transcendental contexts despite the fact that the-new is derived from the-old as for instance the Descartes, the Galileos, the Leibnizes and the Newtons as budding positivists are the outcrop of Scholasticism itself, the-new epistemic-break/epistemic-
resetting is justified in that even the-old is predicated on upholding Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being going by the human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Insightfully, that exercise is actually reflected as of temporal-to-intemporal individuations wherein the individual is rather a receptacle of temporal-to-intemporal individuations with variance of mental-dispositions among individuals an issue of variance as of skewness towards temporality/shortness or intemporality; such that even the budding positivists carried elements of scholasticism but were more definitely of a positivistic outlook, and many scholastics articulated notions which could more fruitfully be developed in a positivistic outlook but were stifled by their scholasticism dogmatic intellectual commitments. In effect, human limited-mentation-capacity however the institutionalisation-level as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor implies that it is impossible for the intemporal projection as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that prospectively construes of successive frameworks of ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of implicitated-and-explicitated reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue’ as of the specific institutionalisation, to ensure that human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance will remain intemporal-as-ontological as of their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology given ‘the impossibility of overcoming the abstract human seed of
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought social universal-transparency-
{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) that makes it untenable for non-
positivism/medieval temporal mental-dispositions to elicit non-positivism/medieval implied
temporality. Likewise, prospectively it is a deprocrypticism registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-
of-reference-of-thought social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-
as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-
completeness) that can render it untenable for procrypticism temporal mental-dispositions to
elicit procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought implied temporality. Thus
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is not about transforming the reality of human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as overcoming temporality/shortness inherently, but
rather it is about bringing about prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-
of-thought. The reality of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation and
uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions imply that at the uninstitutionalised-threshold
prospective institutionalisation knowledge as transcendental-enabling/sublimating
is not socially integrated directly as of an dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation exercise
engaging with intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such prospective
intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is not necessarily perceived at the
uninstitutionalised-threshold as any more pertinent for attaining social approbation than other
temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the said uninstitutionalised-threshold. This
point out that maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-dispositions in their intemporality/longness or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are as of a projected-or-anticipated conflatedness of social universal-transparency-\langle \text{transparency-of-totalising-entailing, -as-to-entailing} \rangle (amplituding)\text{formative}\rangle (amplituding)-epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness for institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling. That is at the uninstitutionalised-threshold such intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is pragmatically expounded socially not in terms of its inherent dimensionality-of-sublimating—\langle (amplituding)\text{formative}\rangle (amplituding)\text{growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation} \text{ideal} which is socially-too-abstract but rather as a structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct of positive-opportunism as of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference percolation-channelling to attain social approbation. It is such a ‘conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct of positive-opportunism of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling to attain social approbation’ that holds together in social universal-transparency-\langle \text{transparency-of-totalising-entailing, -as-to-entailing} \rangle (amplituding)\text{formative}\rangle (amplituding)-epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) temporal-to-intemporal solipsistic mental-dispositions as of a given secondnatured institutionalisation. Out of such a conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct, intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is not necessarily perceived as any more pertinent for attaining social approbation than other temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, the ideal articulation of base-institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, just as that of universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively
deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism; are only pertinent for attaining social approbation as of their conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct of positive-opportunism of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling. This highlights that from the perspective of immediate-or-short-run social approbation, it is simpler though ontologically flawed as of constitutedness to engage a registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold rather by an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-disposition on the basis of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought or its same metaphysical framework of contention rather than adopting at its uninstitutionalised-threshold a more complex but ontologically-veridical maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition on the basis of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought or superseding metaphysical framework of contention as of conflatedness. That is, engaging a non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery on its same terms in case of an accusation of sorcery to imply the other is the sorcerer, etc. will sound more credible as of its \(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)\text{wooden-language}\{\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle\) in a non-positivism social-setup than say projecting to prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology and implying that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are not real speaking of both the defect of such accusation and the defective superstitious \(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)\text{wooden-language}\{\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle\) in the non-positivism social-setup. Ultimately, such a profound phenomenological
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional–deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance construal faced with the inherent dogmatic and psychological biases of human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) in many ways necessarily has to project out of ‘ordinariness of thought’ for pretence of arriving at a sound construct capable of a most profound reflection of social ontological-veridicality. Consider with respect to a most profound emotional-involvement the issue of human imperilment as a test for the capacity for such requisite depth of transcendental contemplation. Consider for instance that tens of millions including soldiers killed in both the first and second world wars pass for mere victims of the wars in a bizarre twist of mutual <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that shuts-off-the-mind to the odious reality of mutual genocide, to say the least. Consider that in Russia a dictator responsible for killing about 25 millions of his own citizens is still considered a national hero by the majority. Consider that the first president of the United States in position of power was a slave-owner thus encouraging the Atlantic slave trade that led to genocidal proportions of deaths but he is venerated by a majority as the greatest U.S. President. Consider in a different sense though non-exculpatory that Heidegger a leading intellectual joined the Nazi party leaving 2 years later with hardly any critical influence on the party and is universally condemned today. Consider as well that many an intellectual or public figure today actively or passively voiced for the recent wars killing millions whether in the Middle-East or elsewhere with a corresponding social indifference and mental shut-off. These profound considerations highlight the contemplative depth to which the social thinker needs to get to in
order to truly be engaged in a transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totallitative-framework construal as implied with notional–deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so be able to keep their head up from drowning in human \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)-epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) in order to be able to produce ‘veridical ontology’ on a same parity as nature constrains on the natural sciences. Effectively, such transcendental insight points out that existence/existential-possibilities is inherently a radical ontology beyond our \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)-epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in existence/existential-possibilities as ‘hyperbolic pretences of ontology’. This author thinks that there can effectively be an engaging and constructive approach for arriving at such a depth of radical ontology warranted by existence/existential-possibilities that is transcendental-enabling/sublimating for the social avoiding the platitudes of our times such that many an intellectual have even given up to ‘this all-powerful emotional-involvement element of the social’. Human \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)-epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) implies the need for a sound perpetuating construct of universal projection as intemporality-or-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as the opportunity for prospective transcendental-enabling/sublimating. Such a construct is a ‘response construal’ that inherently enables transformative universal implications as beyond presence issues and complexes as it sublimates presence out of its failure. This is unlike the all too frequent construct of ‘reactionary construal’ caught up in presence as it is presence-serving and so whether as of positive or negative reaction; as even as a positive act a reactionary construal is hardly of
entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness thus hardly as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. A hero as of a positive ‘reactionary construal’ may perfectly prevent a crime from happening and save the day but then such action is not dependable and the outcomes are unreliable as well together with the possibility on occasion of wrong judgement and/or wrong action or usurpation; thus the social construction of crime prevention needs an intellectualised social ‘response construal’ mechanism of universal implication that ensures dependability of crime prevention as of the foresight of law and policing management construed as of an intemporal-as-ontological intellectual projection exercise. This same depth-of-thought is warranted across the dynamic scope of the social including the political for true transcendental-enabling/sublimating beyond normative conventioned constructs bound to hold-up the possibility of prospective ‘visions of humankind emancipation’. Such a depth of contemplation will fathom for instance that humankind appeared on earth about 100000 years ago but the pervasive structural/paradigmatic determinism of the nation-state which became common just about 500 years ago has been a source of much of humankind’s problems as of ‘reactionary construal’ and humankind’s constitutedness to the notion of nation-state seems to create an impasse for human Being-and-contemplative development. Consider again the possibility capable of arising as of a ‘response construal’ as effectively articulated by Derrida in his analysis of spirit. Derrida grasps that Heidegger strove to produce universal human meaningfulness-and-teleology but was caught up in the<br><(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) as spirit failed to universalise and so Heidegger couldn’t carry the effective implications of his work to its true universal conclusion as he was caught up in the ‘reactionary construal’ of them-and-us, as his commitment to the ‘us’ overlooked/didn’t-
come-into-grips with what the ‘us’ was doing, not to mention the possibility of him actually acting as transcendental over the them-and-us as a position of making a universal ‘response construal’. This problem isn’t particular to Heidegger but for the fact that the underlying regime of ‘us’ were the Nazis, as the them-and-us logic is intellectually rampant such that even Derrida was being condemned by many for not adopting it. The question can be asked whether any genuine intellectualism as providing a ‘response construal’ for humankind overall can construe of emancipation meaningfulness-and-teleology in them-and-us basis and whether this isn’t a recipe for potential disaster as all them-and-us rationale are just variances of the same insanity! We can imagine that a true understanding and universal application of Derrida’s spirit insight as a ‘response construal’ could have educated thought-and-intellectualism and prevent say the subsequent Rwanda and Burundi genocides in Africa from occurring with many supposedly normal and educated persons caught up in the overall mobbishness; but such a lesson can hardly come out from the prevalent them-and-us lazy intellectualism ‘reactionary construal’ which simply provides

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag comfort to protagonists by its lack-of or pseudo universal projection. Basically, a phenomenological extended metaphysics-of-absence as of notional~deprocrypticism perspective points out that humankind does have the possibilities of adopting an uninhibited/decomplexified posture for ‘inventing’ a whole new renewal/re-percepting/re-thinking beyond our apparently constricted metaphysics-of-presence framework which in reality is just presence ‘hyperbolic dazing effect’ utterly distinct from the radical ontology possibilities of existence/existential-possibilities. Transcendence as implied here is with regards to reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ which is the ‘ontologically veridical enabling notion of transcendence’ as of the-very-same-immanent-
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existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ in epistemic conflation as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought. Such a conceptualisation of transcendence is actually what a Kantian transcendental imagination and other subsequent philosophies of transcendence it inspired would have strove to arrive at, but according to this author wrongly understood transcendence rather as of ‘phenomenal-abstractiveness’ as the basis/grounding to then construe/conceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology failing to factor in that ‘existential phenomenal-abstractiveness conflates-in-effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology all the way to consciousness as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’ for the possibility of meaningfulness-and-teleology to then arise on the basis of such a given apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’; given that it is consciousness that teleologically-registers/recognises phenomenal-abstractiveness as of meaningfulness-and-teleology in addition to the implications thereof with regards to the varying-as-transcending nature of consciousness with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) arising in further conflation as of human maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness in an exercise of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought that re-projects-or-re-anticipates the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’, and so as of a retrospective to prospective insight. Hence such philosophies failing to grasp that phenomenal-abstractiveness is ultimately as of ‘a conflation and so construed from the
perspective of \textit{\langle amplituding\rangle} formative\textendash{}epistemic\textendash{}totalising\textendash{}conflated\textendash{}meaningfulness\textendash{}and\textendash{}teleology\textendash{}as\textendash{}of\textendash{}notional\textendash{}deprocrypticism\textendash{}reflected\textendash{}historiality\textendash{}ontological\textendash{}eventfulness\textendash{}ontological\textendash{}aesthetic\textendash{}tracing’ actually ended up inducing constitutedness in striving to construe meaningfulness\textendash{}and\textendash{}teleology vaguely from phenomenal\textendash{}abstractiveness as of elaboration\textendash{}as\textendash{}mere\textendash{}extrapolating\textendash{}constituting\textendash{}abstracting\textendash{}deducing\textendash{}inferring\textendash{}of\textendash{}elucidation\textendash{}outside\textendash{}existential\textendash{}contextualising\textendash{}contiguity. Consciousness as the enabling point\textendash{}of\textendash{}focus for ‘human\textendash{}subpotency existential meaningfulness\textendash{}and\textendash{}teleology ontological\textendash{}performance’ as of maximalising\textendash{}recomposuring\textendash{}for\textendash{}relative\textendash{}ontological\textendash{}completeness induced apriorising\textendash{}axiomatising\textendash{}referencing\textendash{}intelligibilitysetup\textendash{}measuringinstrument\textendash{}for\textendash{}operant\textendash{}or\textendash{}incidenting\textendash{}predicative\textendash{}insights is actually the conflatedness point\textendash{}of\textendash{}focus that registers\textendash{}as\textendash{}of\textendash{}meaningfulness\textendash{}and\textendash{}teleology all human phenomenal\textendash{}abstractiveness whether as derived from sense organs like eyes construed specifically as sight ontological\textendash{}performance, the ear construed specifically as hearing ontological\textendash{}performance, etc., derived from embodied phenomenal\textendash{}abstractiveness like health\textendash{}illness ontological\textendash{}performance, vigour\textendash{}tiredness ontological\textendash{}performance, etc., and/or derived from mind phenomenal\textendash{}abstractiveness like thought ontological\textendash{}performance, emotional ontological\textendash{}performance, etc.; and so\textendash{}registered\textendash{}referenced\textendash{}decisioned in conflatedness as of consciousness’s point\textendash{}of\textendash{}focus \textit{\langle amplituding\rangle} formative\textendash{}epistemic\textendash{}totalising\textendash{}conflated\textendash{}meaningfulness\textendash{}and\textendash{}teleology\textendash{}as\textendash{}of\textendash{}notional\textendash{}deprocrypticism\textendash{}reflected\textendash{}historiality\textendash{}ontological\textendash{}eventfulness\textendash{}ontological\textendash{}aesthetic\textendash{}tracing ontological\textendash{}performance, so\textendash{}derived as it solipsistically constructs\textendash{}and\textendash{}reconstructs underlying ‘coherence\textendash{}contiguity\textendash{}of\textendash{}superseding\textendash{}oneness\textendash{}of\textendash{}ontology\textendash{}implied\textendash{}as\textendash{}of\textendash{}inherent\textendash{}existence\textendash{}coherence\textendash{}contiguity, and so\textendash{}constrained as the enabler of insight\textendash{}or\textendash{}intuition\textendash{}or\textendash{}foresight\textendash{}as\textendash{}of\textendash{}embodied\textendash{}consciousness’ (so\textendash{}enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological\textendash{}commitment as of ontological\textendash{}primemovers\textendash{}totalitative\textendash{}framework \textit{\langle amplituding\rangle} formative\textendash{}epistemic\textendash{}causality\textendash{}as\textendash{}to\textendash{}
coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

\((amplituding)\text{formative}\)epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides
existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) or ‘consciousness’
ontological-performance construed in amalgamation as of the-very-same-immanent-
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human\((amplituding)\text{formative}\)epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’’. This notion of
conflatedness construal of existence as of becoming-in-existence-rather-as-subsumed-in-
existence is critical in that all notions that naively imply an intercession between human
becoming and existence construed as existence-in-existence, such as the transcendental ego
perspective, end up in constitutedness as the said ‘transcendental ego cannot invent existence
as if preceding existence’ thus inducing constitutedness. Rather existence—as-the-absolute-a-
priori-of-conceptualisation is by itself construed as ‘the-very-same-immanent-
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human\((amplituding)\text{formative}\)epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ with nothing
else outside or preceding it’; as existence is an implied-axiomatic-construct-construed-as-
reference-of-thought as an implied-theory, with the ‘implied about existence’ arising as of a
given/specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
as of a given human limited-mentation-capacity implied registry-worldview/dimension
consciousness, such that meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of existence’s implied
axiomatic-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness-as-of-instantiative-context
with no meaningfulness-and-teleology construable outside it but for an epistemic-
totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of prospective
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
thought’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
implied prospective registry-worldview/dimension consciousness and its corresponding existence’s the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-


explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) for appropriate construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. The insight here is that we can’t be at a posture of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of the the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-

‘human’ and then pretend to ground meaningfulness-and-teleology about the nature of existence as if we are of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-

‘human’, as our state of
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relative-ontological-incompleteness perverts that grounding objective and rather points to the need for an notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema> induced psychoanalytic-unshackling towards a prospective state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. What is fundamentally warranted is priorly attaining psychoanalytically, as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
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apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism’ not cognisant of the conflatedness possibility of prospective positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and in our case ‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of positivism–procripticism’ not cognisant of the conflatedness possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such that it thus construes as absolutely reflecting existence/existential-possibilities by operations of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity on the basis of that given determination reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with the consequence that its constitutedness, since it doesn’t allows for superseding existence/existential-possibilities, now ‘contradictorily-and-naively supersedes-and-is-determinative-of existence itself’ rather than taking its cue from the conflatedness of existence/existential-possibilities given the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-instantiations and as reflected at registry-worldview/dimension depth of construal as of reference-of-thought; as it then fails to grasp that ‘there is no understanding to be had outside the conflatedness of existence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ with any such conceptualisation being nothing but vague virtuality that is not as of ontological-contiguity and ontological-veracity. Thus the problem of the philosophical tradition is notionally one of erroneous constitutedness, and this issue is recurrent-beyond-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing-with-the-latter-only-a-bi-manifestation-of-the-reccurence,-as-psychically-recurrent as of human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-
capacity due to inherent human temporality/shortness and intemporality/longness across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, and speaks of a human existential-extrication-as-ofexistential-unthought disposition reflected as historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-deprocrypticism behind the reality of a conceptualisation of human nature rather more completely as of institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions. As highlighted before: consciousness is the point-of-focus


\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\) epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) and developing meaningfulness-and-teleology as of understanding/reconstruing/correcting/adapting/maturing, taking its cue from the conflatedness of existential-instantiations successions as it construes of existence/existential-possibilities as living-being. Such ‘focusing construed as consciousness’ explains why axiomatic-constructs are explicited and implicated/intuited as of a living-being

\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\) epistemic-totalising–conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology in coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology. The above conception fundamentally underscore the development and how all human knowledge-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology speaks fundamentally of the entire narrative possibilities of the human species as of human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence. Such ‘consciousness conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is reflected by the signifying mirroring of meaningfulness-and-teleology that is language as of its metaphoricity. Metaphoricity can thus be construed as the signification of articulated meaningfulness-and-teleology as of reference to existential-instantiation contexts adjunctively and not as naturally devolving into the ‘underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as signification of reference-of-thought, such that metaphoricity is rather an ‘adjunctive incorporation’ to the ‘underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. The ‘underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of its self-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology is always susceptible to the further deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought such that prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology arises out of the adjunction to this ‘underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and is adjoined to it as metaphoricity, with metaphoricity construed as the signification implied as of syncretising-effecting meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus language effectively reflects the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reality of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as language is always a blending of the ‘underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ with the conflatedness adjunction of its metaphoricity. It is interesting
to grasp here that a signifying-construct as signification of ‘the self-referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is always \<(amplituding)formative\>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating and is effectively signifying a reference-of-thought as of ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. Such centered-
\<(amplituding)formative\>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as reference-of-thought, and its signification as implied by an ‘underlying \<(amplituding)formative\>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ necessarily has to do with the fact that meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of a ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework \<(amplituding)formative\>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) for intelligibility to arise, thus is construed as reference-of-thought as of the the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human\<(amplituding)formative\>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’; as we know intuitively that meaning is always about the-one-meaning as well as a perspective/framing/reference/horizon were all the-one-meaning cohere/are-in-ontological-contiguity metaphoricity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\<(amplituding)formative\>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) adhocly produces by conflatedness adjunctive significations where these do not fit in with the ‘underlying \<(amplituding)formative\>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-
construct of language’ due to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought when conceptualising about such an ‘underlying (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. But then an adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification so produced as reflected by ‘a transcendental syncretising-effecting meaningfulness-and-teleology’ like the construal of budding positivism/rational-empiricism in medieval society, may turn out in-due-course/cross-generationally to be of an even greater meaningfulness-and-teleology (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating effect over the prior notion of the ‘underlying (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and thus prospectively become the ‘underlying (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’; and so as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay, by SUBSUMING some significations of the prior ‘underlying (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, while ELIMINATING some significations of the prior ‘underlying (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and so together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, and finally LEAVING-OUT some significations of the prior ‘underlying (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and so together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of
the prior ‘underlying \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, as its very own as the prospective ‘underlying \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations to which other adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations could be incorporated adjunctively. Effectively, with the positivism/rational-empiricism self-referencing \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology, its adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification can be construed as of the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of cross-generational positivism/rational-empiricism reappropriation of the ancient mathesis universalis metaphoricity as its very own ‘underlying \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ ‘behind the instigative-drive for construing all human knowledge’ by such enlightenment thinkers like Galileo and ubiquitously with Descartes that rolled-over into later thinkers like Leibniz, Newton, and ultimately subverted medievalism and scholasticism leading to our present positivism/rational-empiricism dominant \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology. Existence itself as the absolute a priori underscores such a conception given the human species sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of existential-stakes migration; since the existential dispositions of human subjects relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction arises as of ‘their living existential-instantiations’, and where they construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as not self-referentially covered by the ‘underlying \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, they will inevitably articulate adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations
signifying-construct of languages’. In another respect with regards to language acquisition as mirroring a child’s existential integration into the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes, a new born child existential integration into society, from its perspective, develops as of a dynamics of adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations in ‘significations accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay construed here as the phenomenology of human language acquisition différance’ that fundamentally mirror the child’s developing existential social relationships as an ordered process of social existential overtures constraining-and-cohering the child’s adoption-of/integration-with the supposedly ‘underlying \((\text{amplituding})\)epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of a peculiar, intuitive and dynamic developing metaphoricity where ‘both the child and members of the overall social-construct existentially adjust to each other as of spurious meaningful utterances like mutual babbling and baby-talk’ while implicitly converging towards the child’s adoption/integration at various stages of its existential development of the ‘underlying \((\text{amplituding})\)epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as it is reflected by the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes. But then as might be phenomenologically appreciated the notion of language as of its existential import is thus utterly dynamic as an overall signification construct that is never ‘absolutely present’ but rather ‘immensely existentially present’ with an ‘absolute language signification construct imagery rather implied as of projection/anticipation but not phenomenologically real’ explaining the concrete variation of individuals linguistic performance, as the phenomenality of language is rather held together by ‘the given social-setup underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for its evolving-and-devolving construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’! Thus phenomenologically, ‘language arises, ebbs and flows as of a continuously-
elusive individual and collective-social consciousness steering that reflects the
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag dynamics of individual and collective-
social meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and this equally explains why language evolves and
transforms over time. In effect, ‘language is never phenomenologically the complete
possibilities of language as an absolute present conception but is rather a becoming as of an
immensely-existentially-present signification reflected by individuals and the collective-
social along existential development stages as of the dynamics of social-construct existential
situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes’. The above insight further points out
the pertinence of construing-of and analysing language more completely as of human
existentialism/thrownness/facticity, giving that language is more phenomenologically-and-
pragmatically a signification accompaniment of ‘individuals and the collective-social along
existential development stages as of the dynamics of social-construct existential
situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes’. This highlights the ‘knowledge
implications as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay with
regards to such a phenomenological conception of language as a lockstep veridical reflection
of human personality development all along the various existential stages as of a notion of the
dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes
from childhood to adulthood’, notwithstanding the fact that the privileged social
conceptualisation of language is as of ‘language as the complete possibilities of language as
of an absolute present conception usually of a privileged end-institution purpose’.
Metaphoricity is thus rather construed as of its overall conflatedness
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity of full consciousness development as of Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlying human sublimation-inducing—
textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-
of-existence, beyond just mere figurativeness but as of figurative projected implications of
individuals and the collective-social meaningfulness-and-teleology as of their
peculiarity/differentiation to the entire textual/hermeneutical rhetorical-stylistic-semantic
delivery, and as such metaphoricity induces <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating signification in producing, as of accreting-substitutive-
subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay, ‘underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and together with its
associated adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations. Overall, human explicit and implicit
signification as of language as articulated above is equally reflected in human aesthetics/arts
like music and even science. Ultimately, human adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations
conflatedness reflecting syncretising-effecting superseding of human self-referencing
signifying-constructs as of the need to supersede the limited certitude as of human limited-
mentation-capacity, inherently implies that the possibility for ‘absolute certitude as of its
theoretical possibility’ lies with such an adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations
conflatedness as of syncretising-effecting as ultimately converging towards a deprocripticism
or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so as of the prospect of an
ontologically-veridical Theory of Everything, and insightfully with regards to elucidating the
pervasiveness of ‘accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay construed
as différance in conflatedness’ associated with human existential grasp of knowledge as of
the implications of its limited-mentation-capacity. The notion of accreting-substitutive-
subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay as underlying human limited-mentation-capacity
induced différance highlights the phenomenological reality all along humanity’s existence of
‘the privileging of ontological-construction’ as from the
perspective/framing/reference/horizon of the end-purpose of the various relevant dominant social agencies and social institutions, and so as reflected as of humanity’s existence historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While such a privileging as of immediate/instant existential implications like say parents and society privileging the conception of what is language in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of its end-purpose as of the perspective of the child’s integration in various social structures and institutions; however, in the bigger picture the fact that social structures and social institutions dysfunction as of human limited-mentation-capacity, point to the ‘ontological-veracity of fundamentally re-evaluating the pertinence of only-a-social-and-institutional-end-purpose-perspective/framing/reference/horizon driven basis for ontological-construction’, and so as of a putting into question exercise. Ultimately, such privileged perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of its ‘non-recording and negation’ of a ‘diverse-and-complete existential effecting possibilities accountability for ontological-construction’, and rather assuming the approach of a ‘select privileged historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-construction’, instead incompletely portrays the operant reality of humanity’s existence as of the cumulation of successive humanity’s <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as implied with the various institutionalisations finalities. But then while that is pertinent, and so with regards to the successive institutionalisations outcomes of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as
successive transcendental outcomes, so reflected by the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing; this doesn’t reflect an inherent différance operant phenomenological process reality. Such a reality is actually reflected as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of various temporalo-to-intemporal perspectival existential amalgamation that structurally/paradigmatically reflect the dynamics of human ontologically-veridical construals and misconstruals towards transcendence. Accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay is thus reflective of the fulsome humanity existential ontological-conceptualisation dynamics than just as of the select ontological-veracity of the privileged as dominant social and institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Consider in this regard supposedly that ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs reflect an historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as transcendental outcomes of such différance, accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay is not only about the successive (amplituding)\textit{formative}\textit{epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag} as différance transcendental outcomes as of ‘developed classical mechanics’ and then ‘developed theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs’” as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness/relative-ontological-contiguity as axiomatic-constructs of ‘the very same physics (amplituding)\textit{formative}\textit{epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’}, but will grasp the deeper-level phenomenological insight with regards to all the background efforts and contributions that ultimately brought about these two successive (amplituding)\textit{formative}\textit{epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-}
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construed as the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of the différance. The implication here resonates with the idea that knowledge is much more than the construal of conceptual knowledge outcome, but rather its construal as notional–knowledge involving the dynamic understanding of both its temporality/misconstrual and intemporality-as-ontological-construal as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay involving specifically disambiguation as of human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics as of deneuterising—referentialism and thus beyond neuterising’ reflecting the difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising of the uninstitutionalised-threshold and the prospective institutionalisation; as the ‘effecting implications of knowledge’ are more than just about its conceptualised intemporality-as-ontology but involves grasping this together with the implications of temporality, and so because of the circular existential implications of human limited-mentation-capacity. Hence language can be more pertinently construed ontologically as of the social dynamics of existential meaningfulness-and-teleology signification than just as of just an outcome privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon that is in many ways ad-hoc and phenomenologically uninsightful as of the many existential implications behind comprehending language. Thus human privileged social and institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon tend to be in constitutedness. Further such accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay is the existentially veridical and effective basis for reflecting historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing transcendental outcome as can be implied in a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration as of existentially insightful meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such a perspective should possibly usher in a ‘suprastructural postmodernism in everything’ including such nascent contemplations for breaking out of currently perceived subject-matter doldrums as implied with postmodern
social sciences, postmodern humanities, postmodern art, postmodern science, postmodern mathematics and postmodern physics, and so notwithstanding a history of post-structuralism critiques of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity ‘with moronic incantations that fail the mark of even bad intellectual arguments as social-aggregation-enabling invocations’, granted as of their beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>; as such a statement is not gratuitous given the mere fact that where knowledge-as-of-organic-knowledge as of human intemporal-longness doesn’t take its due place, it is occupied by ignorance as of human temporality/shortness with consequent nefarious ramifications for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology.


Such phenomenology as the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ is operantly enabled by accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay and is the maximal ontologically veridical articulation of conflatedness that ‘undermines the privileging of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its ubiquitous-protractedness/structural-or-paradigmatic ‘ontological-contiguity or difference-of-kind’ disposition, and so beyond just reflecting such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness privilege undermining as of transcendental outcomes implied by historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ by its rather quasi-transcendental-freeplay orientation doesn’t quite get to such a phenomenological depth of conflatedness, it does effectively elicit such an underlying conception of phenomenological profoundness. As such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ is what is meant to be understood as a relatively more pertinent ontologically depth for such a more evolved and ‘experimental’ articulation of différance in the strive to maximally undermine

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag implied in the Glas experimental project which goal is well beyond the two texts but more fundamentally a demonstration of ‘sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ as multifaceted. Ultimately, ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ unsuspectingly points out that meaningfulness-and-teleology imply by default a given perspective/framing/reference/horizon, such that as of a

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology facet it is then already compromising non-presencing—or—withdrawal—or—metaphysics-of-absence—or—transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination meaningfulness-and-teleology facet. Thus, this author holds that such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ is fundamentally incomplete as of comparison with the implied conflatedness of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay which is truly
transcendental. The former fails to factor in that human limited-mentation-capacity has to establish the appropriate ‘perspective/framing/reference/horizon implications’ with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as disambiguating presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness from non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> by their respective acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, such that unsuspectingly the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ not doing that rather represents the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as the common perspective/framing/reference/horizon for both, thus falsely pointing to ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising between presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> (rather than difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising), and so contradictorily as if both are of the presencing acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. With the reality that non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> is wrongly-and-unsuspectingly given as of common presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, thus inducing a relative ontologically-flawed quasi-transcendental freeplay as non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> is rather in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> when analysed as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Consider in this regard ‘the very same physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ with the articulation as of prior
same physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ transcendence-and-sublimity as of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs interpretation as of non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. In any case thus such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freplay différance’ doesn’t have any serious ontological consequences with respect to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness since it is reflected with the Glas experimental project, but it fails to recognise the possibility of a futural différance where meaningfulness-and-teleology is construed as of the prospective non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument which points to a prospective relative-ontological-completeness/ontological-contiguity as of the very same <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; even though it is the first step towards such a futural différance transcendence-and-sublimity. It equally explains such a Derridean conclusion that human sublimation is an always evasive notion given its failure to recognise the difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising as of the transcendental implications of prospective non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> in inducing sublimation, with such a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising arrived at by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics involving ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

$(\text{amplituding})$formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), and validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing— as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘promise of correspondence between human-subpotency as of Being-and-consciousness development and existence as of ontological-veridicality’. It is interesting again to note that the so-renewed ‘underlying $(\text{amplituding})$formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating of physics’ as the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as of transcendence-and-sublimity, is not arbitrarily arising from any human-subpotency presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness but is rather divulged-as-of-relative-ontological-contiguity from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—$(\text{amplituding})$formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness by the fact of ‘human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality led projection/anticipation’ ultimate validation by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This meaningfulness-and-teleology centered–epistemic-totalisation-
inducing-transcendence-and-sublimity metaphoricity thus perfectly satisfies the ‘foreboding concern for ontological-veracity’ critically pursued by the Derridean freeplay différance, as it is existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation that phenomenological validates transcendence-and-sublimity, and so implying human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation); and thus, this point that enables the Derridean freeplay différance as of tendential-deliberation-of-decidability to achieving transcendence-and-sublimity is the full conflatedness reflecting existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation in its non-presencing,-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>, and so beyond just a Derridean freeplay différance which is then in constitutedness as not factoring in the process of a tendential-deliberation-of-decidability towards attaining transcendence-and-sublimity. Insightfully, we can grasp that the Derridean freeplay différance becomes as of constitutedness because ‘reasoning itself has become defective’ as presupposing-by-the-Derridean-freeplay to supersede existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. So because at the point of transcendence-and-sublimity reasoning is still presupposing thought-determination instead of given up to the possibility of existence’s divulgation construed as ontological-faith-notion/ontological-fideism, and so erroneously become the transcendental-signifier of existence despite the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity which priority at that point should be the need for validation from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and not make any determination priorly, even as of freeplay. Furthermore, it is wrong to construe/equate as imagination such ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that as ‘hunch’ restores existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, since in reality it is rather pushing reasoning to its very limits in a notional disposition that is not
guaranteed, and only occasionally as of tendential-deliberation-of-decidability is it confirmed by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as validatable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Thus behind ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as ‘hunch’ is a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing depth of reasoning and perspective which is pushed to its brink in projection/anticipation/expectancy. The fact is ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality exhausts-and-supersedes-reasoning as of projection/anticipation/expectancy with no prior certitude, and is more than just imagination which rather comes prior to and is exhausted-and-superseded-by-reasoning. Such a lack of prior certitude explains why transcendence-and-sublimity ‘are not really reasoned-out’ but rather discovered-as-divulged by existence, with the human-subpotency concern being one of adopting the right attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme that allows existence-as-full-potency to come up with the divulgation. Ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as such is equally the basis for implying a correspondence theory of human thought and reality, as not really arising as of any instantative absolute correspondence but rather as of the ‘promise of prospective human ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of non-presencing,<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> in continually opening-up ‘the-very-same-immanent-
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’’, and so-
reflected in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. It should be noted that
reasoning-as-intelligibility rather harkens back to a given ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
reference-of-thought <(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referring-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag established existential—epistemic-
totalisation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to which it tends to be engaged with in an
incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness reflex as of elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity. We can appreciate that the medieval mindset reasons in terms of
medievalism–non-positivism just as we reason in terms of our positivism–procripticism
mindset. The question can thus be asked is there more profound meaningfulness-and-
teleology beyond any given registry-worldview/dimension mindset divulgeable by
existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation? It is herein that we get into the
realm of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-
dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics inducible
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. In other words,
under sufficient constraint of existence/existential-reality-itself given its absolute a priori
status, as reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/contingency, human
intemporal individuation is predisposed to put in question even a ‘registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought <(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-
totalising—self-referring-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag established
existential–epistemic-totalisation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of a reconstrual of reference-of-thought and devolving-axiomatic-constructs implications, and so as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. This insight about ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality further reveals that prospective non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> implies prospective renewal of attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics which at once draws out the renewed implications of what qualifies as affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> and unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> respectively as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought and prior relative-ontological-incompleteness. In this regard we can imagine as of ‘the very same physics <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, the strange feeling upon physicists wedded to ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ with respect the prospective theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness articulation of such ideas as space-time, considering the ether as unreal, considering that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale, etc. as the fundamental basis for understanding the new physics as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such a construal as a shift in axiomatic-construct is more-or-less within the same positivism/rational-
empiricism registry-worldview, though it might pretty much be argued that the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs marks the beginning of a proto-postmodern science as of the fundamental human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation developments in physics since then, even though its meaningfulness-and-teleology remains intelligible, more or less, to the positive science essentially by the modern conception of observational and experimental validation. However, the idea of requisite shift in attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme from that simplistic ‘modern conception’ cannot be contested. Such an attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme implied shift as articulated above, construed as of an overall registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought transcendence is rather ‘massively distressing’ when implied ‘as of an instant of transitioning’ since the reality of such attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme transitioning have tended to take place rather cross-generationally as of human beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. As we can now imagine the transitioning of positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme from earlier crude conceptualisations of positivism/rational-empiricism as presently reflecting a more universal valid notion of positivism/rational-empiricism as of its spread worldwide and profoundness in today’s societies. Interestingly, this transitioning nature of human attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme renewal manifestation as of the social collective evolution, and is equally reflected in the individual as-receptacle-of-temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-ontological-performance; as at any given moment individuals and society are rather inclined to adopt an attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of dual-language/split-mentality as of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances). The implied
notion of human emancipation is always being articulated in an existentially dual-language/split-mentality that on the one hand fails the implied emancipation and on the other hand implies a strife for such emancipation. Consider in this regard, the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of warring nations in the early 20th century all too ready to arm themselves massively in preparation for the world wars and equally very much aware of the need for international peace, or in the 18th and 19th centuries the dual-language/split-mentality of universal human rights and ending slavery in the new world and the slave trade on the one hand and on the other still practicing it up to the point of wars like the American civil war to bring an end to it. In a more prosaic note, the dual-language/split-mentality associated with the evasiveness of emancipatory social and political dispositions as of relevant settings and contexts. In fact, this author will surmise that in many ways we already carry inklings of postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of the dual-language/split-mentality at appropriate contexts and settings extolling our liberality with progressive stakes while in other secluded settings and contexts espouse a damning language regarding such progressive stakes. The idea of requisite attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme renewal as implied for notional ontological-faith-notion-or-onto logical-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality induced transcendence-and-sUBLImity speaks of a ‘reality as of underlying human beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’, that reflects a human tacit awareness that the grounding of its meaningfulness-and-teleology is not certain-as-absolute at any given moment, and that it should be prepared to shift its attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme for more profound-and-complete meaningfulness-and-teleology. While such an inclination is more forthcoming as of less
profound-and-perceived personal existential implications with regards to the axiomatic-constructs within a reference-of-thought as articulated priorly with a shift for the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs within the positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought, however, as of more profound-and-perceived personal existential implications as drastically implied at the phenomenological depth of reference-of-thought transcendental conceptualisation this turns out to be much more difficult to countenance given individuals ‘mental and existential investment’ into meaningfulness-and-teleology as grounded on a given ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought  


(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness is such that in reality we are always tacitly aware of the
evasiveness of absolute certainty but often rather inclined as of practicality to hang on to a delusion of the results of prior non-presencing-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as if of absolute certainty, so-construed as reasoning-from-results/afterthought. But then veridical absolute certainty is ever a promise always held in prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness relative-ontological-completeness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, and so as of the certainty of human limited-mentation-capacity prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought <amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity for transcendence-and-sublimity, implied as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. This explains why ontology’s-directedness-as-Being is the direction of meaningfulness-and-teleology grounding as always prospective as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and so, as of the successive base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions non-presencing—as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence respectively as successive meaningfulness-and-teleology grounding for recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism–procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Interestingly we can appreciate that the attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme as of relevant existential issues of all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are wanting—as-relatively-ontologically-flawed from our positivism–procrypticism as prospective perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, we are hard-pressed to concede that from futural Being-development/ontological-
completeness as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness than any other prior non-constructed meaningfulness-
and-teleology simply because of the profoundness of its phenomenological depth of
projection/anticipation in the quest for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
validation, which ordinary <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-
of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
doesn’t even bother contemplating about by its incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-
incompleteness reflex of elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity as of existence’s presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness. This social knowledge human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-
recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation insight translate the reality that
‘conventioning and tradition grounded critiques’ of postmodernism fundamentally
misconstrue that they are departing, as of their reference-of-thought, from a less real position
to evaluate a more real position; more like the irony of trying to evaluate the theory-of-
relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs from a posture of
‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’. Here is what fundamentally underlies
the naïve misunderstanding of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-
recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation. For instance, the theory-of-relativity-
together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs actually reflects that priorly
conceptualised-notions like ‘space’, ‘time’, ‘ether’ and ‘the laws of physics at atomic scale
had to be the same as at the macroscale’, were all wrong. Thus ‘speaking of the reality of
human limited-mentation-capacity as of its existential analytic capacity’ in a state of prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. It is human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding}\)\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\) as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as subsequently assuming as more real the notion of ‘space-time’, ‘considering the ether as unreal’, ‘considering that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale from the macroscale’, etc. that as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation exercise brought about the more profound insight enabling the conception of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs ultimately validated as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\(<\text{amplituding}\)\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalising~renewing~realisation/re-perception/re-thought,~in-epistemic-conflatedness;~as~all~along~humankind~existence~as~of~human-subpotency,~the~new~reality~so-espoused~‘is~never~about~existence~in~itself~as-existence-is-given-whatever-it-is-that-is-given’,~but~about~human~limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding}\)\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\) for human emancipation. Thus implying existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\(<\text{amplituding}\)\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalising~renewing~realisation/re-perception/re-thought,~in-epistemic-conflatedness}\) is ‘not really about any variation as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation directed directly to inherent-existence-as-of-existential-reality/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\(<\text{amplituding}\)\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalising~renewing~realisation/re-perception/re-thought,~in-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality~whatever’},~as~it~rather~comes~down~to~the~human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-
singularisation as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(≪amplituding≫formative≫epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) bringing about a more profound and
complete grounding for human construing of the full-potency of existence, which remains-
whatever-it-is-ultimately. The postmodern insight here is rather that what is relevant to
humankind is human-subpotency development towards the abstract full-potency of existence-
whatever-it-is-ultimately. So the notion of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-
recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation has nothing to do with the inherent
nature of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Rather it has to do with
‘enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-
towards-singularisation’ of human limited-mentation-capacity which needs to be deepened
before humankind embarks on the task of ‘conceptualising meaningfulness-and-teleology that
increasingly reflects existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridical’. Thus this actually lead
to ‘more and more objective meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as we cannot argue that the
theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs is less
objective than classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs since it involved the human-
subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-
singularisation that led to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(≪amplituding≫formative≫epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Quite the contrary, it is that exercise in
inducing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-
of-thought that brings about greater objectivity, as reflected in the ontological-contiguity—
of-the-human-institutionalisation-process behind Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology. That naivety in failing to grasp this lies in the ontologically-flawed mental-
reflex of temporal \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-totalising-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, wherein mental-dispositions operate by default without a double-gesturing, on the ‘wrong assumption that they already have the most ontologically-developed perspective/framing/reference/horizon for grasping prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and failing to project/anticipate prospectively the implications of their very own shallow limited-mentation-capacity implications from a deeper prospectively-construed perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Such a ‘modern take’ is susceptible to construe of the presence as of metaphysics-of-presence/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage, with hardly any contemplation of the retrospective and prospective projective-insights for construing ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. This paradox for human knowledge, as implied with the postmodern double-gesture reification, highlights that the human paradigm for construing knowledge is similar to H.G. Well’s country of the blind narrative, with the more critical issue being about ‘human blindness which needs to be resolved first before proceeding to see’, as what is to be seen as of the world is already given-whatever-it-is, and our true issue-as-of-knowledge is to develop the necessary human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to see it. This fundamentally underlies the idea of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument/reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as underlying a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought for meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation and ontological-performance. In registry-worldview/dimension terms, the naivety of ‘failing to recognise that human limited-mentation-capacity deepens by human-
subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’ paradoxically and ridiculously amounts rather to construing of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in terms of the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold’s/uninstitutionalised-threshold’s reference-of-thought as of it prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The argument traditionally made about postmodern-thought as ‘sceptical with regards to ontologically-flawed-metanarratives/ideologies and the lack of objectivity of meaning’ is a wrongly articulated/made argument ontologically, since it is being wrongly articulated/made from the ‘modern perspective/frame/reference/horizon’ which is actually in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of a shallower limited-mentation-capacity (as to ‘redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-the-referencing-and-the-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance as to presublimation and nascent-sublimations overlapping-contiguity-of-referencing-and-devolved-referencing’ associated with historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition) and thus has to be decentered-as-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Rather the ontologically-veridical articulation of the postmodern argument as of its actual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought which has to be prospectively centered-as-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism over the modern take as prospectively decentered-as-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, should be affirmatory in articulating that postmodern-thought is about: the appraisal and supplanting of ontologically-flawed-metanarratives/ideologies including socio-econo-political ideologies and ontologically-flawed professed ideologies like demarcating ontological-flawed-ideology-of-science-and-its-distortive-implications from ontologically-veridical-science-in-practice, and its pursuit for the most profound-and-
complete objectivity of meaning as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by renewing appraisal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of human-subpotency existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’, and it is much more than just a naïve notion of a multiplicity of narratives as wrongly implied from the modern take of existentialising—enframing necessarily subject to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as of the modern’s take prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism or disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought in many ways explaining the difficulties of Derrida and Foucault in effectively qualifying their thought postures (when each was asked whether they were poststructuralist) underlied/organised respectively by messianicity and parrhesia but rather postmodern-thought is of a prospective ‘relative-ontological-completeness re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-{imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation} appraisal of human narratives as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’ thus implying rather a deprocrypticism institutionalisation ‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation-(reflecting-a-supererogatory-decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to–‘their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality–numbing-traction-desublimation’)-as-so-operationalising–‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–re-ontologisation’. The implication here is that hitherto postmodern-thought had been naively and falsely conceptualised within the ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and-episteme’ as of its procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, instead of
implying the ontologically-veridical ‘subverting of the modern take’ by its very own ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ which prospectively represents the modern as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism while the postmodern is postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism; as the point of assertion of postmodern-thought as deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is actually a point of prospective ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics. Of critical insight here is the fact that many postmodern authors like Foucault, Lyotard and Derrida adopted stances as of constructivism, relativism and deconstruction are rather ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/’constatations’ about the conception of social reality from their authentic analysis ‘without going further out-of-the-scope-of-ontological-veracity to ideologise constructivism, relativism and deconstruction beyond their implied ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/constatations’ as many of their critiques poorly misinterpret them; with the implications that their stances are open-ended and receptive to the elucidative justifications for their non-ideologised ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/’constatations’ about the constructivism, relativism and deconstruction manifestation/conception of social reality. Thus the ontologically affirmatory position adopted herein as of the prospective ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is not contradictory but rather complementing their positions as it rather reinterprets their observations/remarks/’constatations’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ in its relation with modernity wrongfully implied that it seeks the validation of modernity, and so as ridiculously as implying that budding positivism/rational-empiricism should have sought for its validation from medieval-scholasticism. In both cases, the fundamental issue once universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-</amplituding(formative)>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} avails as of overall underlying human ontological-commitment as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for relative-ontological-completeness, as herein implied originally/as-of-event with the ‘prospective/new postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’, is mostly about dismissing the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as when a critique of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> exposes the reality of a dialogical and intellectual inequivalence given their anti-intellectual stances against postmodern-thought preferring to ‘circumvent genuine
intellectual engagement’ for extra-intellectual activities of institutional-being-and-craft meant to preserve vested narrow interests beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. Just as it was perceived as a fool’s errand by the Descartes, Galileos, Diderots, etc., to contemplate of genuine intellectual engagement between their budding positivism/rational-empiricism ventures with traditional medieval scholasticism, especially with regards to the latter’s institutionally-associated dogmatic censure and persecution, and thus with the former resorting to discursive strategies for universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding(formative)epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness} as of overall underlying human ontological-commitment as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for relative-ontological-completeness; it is inevitably the case that what is most critically warranted is for the ‘prospective/new postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ to articulate its full-fledged discourse as of universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding(formative)epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness} as of the liberality of thought allowed for in open society notwithstanding such extra-intellectual and media-driven perverted representation of postmodern-thought. The reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor speaking of human shallow-to-deeper limited-mentation-capacity implies that prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge by its so-projected intemporality, at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, is not necessarily grasp as intemporal in the overall human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework as of the
lack of universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) for its prospective institutionalisation. Critical for the social validation and institutionalisation of any paradigmatic transcendental knowledge is the fact that its ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is not sufficiently decisive given that human temporal-to-intemporal nature as of the social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at the uninstitutionalised-threshold cannot adjudge-and-commit-to the ontological-pertinence of such prospective transcendental knowledge ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’. Consider in this regard, the ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ of the prospective positivism/rational-realism transcendental knowledge articulated by the Corpernicuses, Descartes, Galileo, Diderots, etc. as meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought validated by corresponding prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’. Such ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ was not a sufficient basis for their ideas to be socially adopted by the medieval establishment social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of non-positivism/medievalism. The point being made here is that within a given registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation framework the idea of ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is only more or less determinant as of the institutionalisation’s internal basis of validation of knowledge grounded on its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’. However, at its uninstitutionalised-threshold the prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as of the prospective institutionalisation’s
basis of validation of knowledge grounded on the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of the prospective institutionalisation’s <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ will not necessarily meet with the approbation of the prior institutionalisation now construed as the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so as of mutually beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. This has to do with the fact that the full-potency of existence that divulges relative ontological-vericality supersedes human-subpotency epistemising orientation towards its, and thus epistemic constructs as of human-subpotency construal are inevitably ad-hoc to ontological-veracity as of the full-potency of existence; as existence doesn’t adjust to human-subpotency with the reverse being true, equally it is human epistemic constructs that ad-hocly adjust to ontological-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. Thus while the idea of ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as the basis for the validation of knowledge is inherently ontologically veridical as of a given institutionalisation’s internal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of its <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, however, this is an overrated notion with regards to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as external/prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of its <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, which should and cannot be ignored by any proponent of
prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge. Rather human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework fundamentally subscribes to knowledge, given this paradox, as of ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ induced as of a paradigmatic transcendental knowledge ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ establishing and upholding it. The idea here is that the inherent and direct notions of positivism/rational-empiricism expounded by the Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, Copernicuses, etc. were not the fundamental basis for the ultimate human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework validation but rather their derived positive-opportunism that brought about the ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ implied-by-and deriving-from their notions of universal human rights and open society, technical advances, better social organisation, etc., then leading to a reasoning-from-results/afterthought institutionalisation and enculturation of such (re-originary-as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation)) originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination positivism/rational-empiricism thought. In other words, human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepsiasticity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as inclination to adhere to prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge as of its ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is very much limited and such prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ however its ontological-veridicality cannot be naively construed as all that which is needed to effectuate social transformation and transcendence. We can appreciate this for instance in the case of cultural diffusion with respect to many a non-modern traditional social-setting where modern
day medicine however its overall ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ over other types of premodern medicine, will often be suspected and avoided as of its poorly established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, and it is only after it has been ‘socially habituated-as-institutionalised’ that it has the requisite ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’. This equally manifests as of prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge construal, as implied for instance by postmodern-thought and particularly so as postmodern-thought has still been undergoing its full construction. The implication here is that all prospective transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding uninstitutionalised-thresholds do not come about as of simplistic continuity but rather as of epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting, involving successive ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ instigated-and-upheld by the associated successive prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ paradigms of ‘reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as of successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The implication of such an indirect nature of human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework validation of transcendental knowledge as of ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ and not just direct ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ implies that just as prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ could be ‘objected to as of human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework’ notwithstanding its inherent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought given its prior lack of ‘detour to social
goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness'; any such prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge must be construed and thought-out strategically as of its ultimate establishment of ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ that as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought supersedes the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, just as positivism/rational-empiricism superseded non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism. Likewise ‘concurrent ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework’ ontologically-flawed knowledge can be legitimately overlooked where such knowledge is implied as of priorly established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’. This latter cases arise with many a bogus social or natural science study and methodology grounded on the ‘mystifying imprimatur’ of positivistic science, as ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, but then on closer examination turns out to be poorly designed as well as the prevalence of institutional-being-and-craft suboptimal dispositions with regards to truly upholding the science ethos in many situations with regards to the ideal operation and promotion of scientific research; and so, as of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance of any ‘reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Already, postmodern interpretations have increasingly been much more relevant practically to many subject-matter domains and activities, with even greater potential for transformative implications if fully acted upon. Furthermore, the ‘prospective/new postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument attitude/mental-
modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’; thus leading to a sort of postmodern-thought mechanical knowledge that is in many ways just budding and poorly acted upon. Ultimately, a ‘new/prospective postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ cross-generational development, which is its very own apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is rather a notional~conflatedness as of deneuterising protensive-consciousness. The practical implications as well should be that meaningfulness and definitions often articulated about postmodern-thought that do not capture the postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme should be rejected; as the tendency for postmodern-thought to be misconstrued or perverted is not accidental, given the very fact that at its very core postmodern-thought is implying a prospective/new prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought requiring its own apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument. In this regard, central to translating-as-reconceptualising prior and new postmodern-thought as of its very own ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ organic-knowledge is the requirement for an affirmative mental-reflex with postmodern-thought construed ‘as the appraisal and supplanting of ontologically flawed metanarratives and its pursuit for the most profound-and-complete objectivity of meaning, by renewing appraisal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality.
involving its human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of human existential-contextualising-contiguity’; and it is much more than just a naïve notion of a multiplicity of narratives as wrongly implied from the modern take of existentialising—enframing necessarily subject to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as of the modern’s take prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism or disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought in many ways explaining the difficulties of Derrida and Foucault in effectively qualifying their thought postures (when each was asked whether they were poststructuralist) underlied/organised respectively by messianicity and parrhesia but rather postmodern-thought is of a prospective ‘relative-ontological-completeness re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-⟨imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation⟩ appraision of human narratives as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’ thus implying rather a deprocrypticism institutionalisation ‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation-⟨reflecting-a-supererogatory-decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to–‘their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality–numbingtraction-desublimation’⟩-as-so-operationalising–‘scalarisation-as-to-re scalarisation-as-re-ontologisation’. The ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/ intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ should equally enable the avoidance of the erroneously implication of ‘a metaphysical/ideological advocacy’ as postmodern-thought as to human-
subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-
singularisation is so with regards to the inherent ontological sublimating human possibility in
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as to human-subpotency implied human
potential, and so as emphasised and reflected with regards to the need for human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). We can
garner insight about how we tend to misconstrue any attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–
episteme that is different from our own ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–
episteme’, whether it is a ‘prior/old/superseded attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–
episteme’ or a ‘prospective/new/superseding attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’.

For instance, in the previous articulation of the existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-
level-reification perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen with ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ given its ‘non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-
mental-disposition’, the reality is that our mental-devising-representation still remains in our
‘present positivism–procrypticism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as of its
‘perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-
effect-conceptualisation’, and only ‘ad hocely-and-scantily identifies’ the ‘recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as it is wholly
immersed-and-engrossed in its ‘positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme for the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’; which it
‘skewedly construes as the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ while
tempering down any prior/old/superseded or prospective/new/superseding
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implied as of
‘the reality of human shallow-to-deeper limited-mentation-capacity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implications’ on the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<(amplitudin<iformative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’, in defining which reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is ‘relevant as the attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of wholly immersed-and-engrossed meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The point being made here is that our natural inclination is never meant to truly-and-comprehensively reflect any prior/old/superseded or prospective/new/superseding attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme by itself but rather in any such exercise always apriorises the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ and then reflect the other attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme referred to posteriorly, and hence the latter is adhocly-and-scantily identified. We can grasp this insight about this natural inclination to uphold-as-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ from the fact that ‘originary contacts’ between two cultures of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-and-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought doesn’t mean a wholly immersed-and-engrossed meaningfulness-and-teleology between the cultures, since their natural inclination is to both apriorise ‘their own present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ and respectively posteriorise the other culture attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of their respectively apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme; and so, as the framework of any subsequent cultural diffusion metaphoricity. Thus to fully grasp what is implied here ontologically by attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, beyond the natural inclination, is to
the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, successive institutionalisations reflect ‘successive and changing conceptions of human-subpotency existential scope’, and so from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as ‘the most supernatural/mythical/idolised conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as the most ‘realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’. Insightfully, what is critical about ‘the conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ is the paradoxical fact that the more waywardly supernatural/mythical/idolised it is, the least potent has been human-subpotency mastery of the the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’, while the more waywardly realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle it is, the more potent has been human-subpotency in its mastery of the the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to- ‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’. Effectively, ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ implied deprocrypticism is about a radicalisation of the ‘realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ as of its maximum potency for human subpotent mastery of the the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to- ‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’. This radicalisation is grounded on the rational-realism postulate that humankind as of its limited-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and is rather caught up, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, in the reasoning-from-results/afterthought effect of the positivism/rational-empiricism institutionalisation outcome as of its transcendence from non-positivism/medievalism, and as it construes of that outcome as the absolute possibility of human existential emancipation failing to factor in the positivism/rational-empiricism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, such that the latter is construed as not having its own uninstitutionalised-threshold which then implies its failure to apriorise.
the notion of a human temporal-to-intemporal nature at its ontologically-veridical uninstitutionalised-threshold. Consequently, by assuming such a positivism/rational-empiricism transcendental outcome reasoning-from-results/afterthought predisposition as the complete basis for construing humankind existential emancipation, ‘the modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ adopts an ontologically-flawed ‘conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ that is construed essentially as-of

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality at its ontologically-veridical uninstitutionalised-threshold, as it doesn’t even and fails to recognise any such uninstitutionalised-threshold pointing to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Thus, the manifestations of temporality/shortness at its unrecognised ontologically-veridical uninstitutionalised-threshold are construed as aberrations/oddities going from this wrongly implied intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology posture in<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, rather than a recognition of it prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, implying recognising its uninstitutionalised-threshold with the temporal-to-intemporal implications as of knowledge-notionalisation; thus providing the potency/empowering-consciousness for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity, as knowledge-notionalisation not only factors in conceptual knowledge dynamics but equally the dynamics of the conceptual ignorances to better skew meaningfulness-and-teleology towards intemporality/longness as of organic-knowledge. The paradox here is that by its ‘most realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ as of its maximum potency/empowering-consciousness for human subpotent mastery of the the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality.-as-to-
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by its ‘non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’ right up to the-most-unimmediateness/profoundness-of-
– recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation random-as–uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-
epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
trepidatious-consciousness ‘omnidimensional’ systemic-recomposuring construal of ill-
health, existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-bad-
omen;
– base-institutionalisation–universalisation tendentious–circumscribing-as-epistemic-
totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology, warped-consciousness ‘bidimensional’ seclusive-
recomposuring systemic construal of ill-health, further existential-contextualising-contiguity-
second-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-
specific-evil-period;
– universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism qualifying–circumscribing-as-epistemic-
totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology, preclusive-consciousness ‘tridimensional’ circumstantiating-
recomposuring seclusive-systemic construal of ill-health, further existential-contextualising-
contiguity-third-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-
Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor;
– positivism–procrypticism categorising–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-
as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology occlusive-consciousness ‘quadridimensional’ categorising-recomposuring circumstantiating-seclusive-systemic construal of ill-health, further perceptivity-as-of-full-
disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation;
– deprocrypticism referentialism–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-
epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
protensive-consciousness ‘transdimensional’ referentialism-recomposuring categorising-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought’; as much more than just with regards to a resolutory conception of acts and miscuings in temporality/shortness as of themselves circumstantially, but rather as of the relevance to myriad human social situations is much more critically an issue of universal import, escalated as of humankind’s temporal ontological-contiguity as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>.

attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme with its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification cognisant-and-integrative of such acts and miscuings in temporality, thus endemising and enculturating the reference-of-thought vices-and-impediments. Thus such Being underdevelopment, construed as of dynamic social-chainism of human temporality/shortness endemisation and enculturation as of the universal implications of such endemising and enculturating paradigm/structure in ontological-contiguity, warrants corresponding aetiologisation/ontological-escalation superseding ethos as of ‘deprocrypticism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought’ notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>. The fact is any registry-worldview/dimension as of its ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is structurally/paradigmatically oblivious-to and does-not-reflect its very own prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as the underlying basis of its own specific-level induced vices-and-impediments, and is rather palliative as of its selecting, triaging, mutually-concurring-and-accommodating and power-relations driven palliating virtue constructs. The question can actually be asked, as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of this ‘made-up’ normativity supposed ontological-contiguity, whether such a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as 

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is actually as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, and in a position, on the basis of such palliation, to address the actual fundamental grounding of its vices-and-impediments; which in reality are actually ontologically addressable/resolvable as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness so-implied as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. What is particular with notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> is this insight that fundamentally the appropriate prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology as
the latter is about systematic existential-instantiations devolving of the former, that is, as
teleologically-devolving-as-drifting meaningfulness it systematically makes reference to its
appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; as we know that no ‘normal
person’ in our positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought makes reference to the
non-appropriate non-positivism/medievalism scholastic pedantic dogmatism attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology that
is positivistically intelligible. This insight about Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology, that a reference-of-thought requisite
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme necessarily precedes-or-apriorises its
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology,
equally applies prospectively whereby at our prospective positivism–
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation, the idea of
prospective institutionalisation as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought implies that the latter’s
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme as reflected by the prospective ‘postmodern deprocrypticism
or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-
notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) metaphoricity instigation’ in the face of
any registry-worldview/dimension (amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—
averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>) natural inclination rather for construing meaningfulness-and-teleology as
‘wholly of its cloistered-consciousness living experience only’ whether as of recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation only, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation only, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism only or in our case positivism–procrypticism
only, with a rather poor inkling for appreciating meaningfulness-and-teleology as of a
protracted-consciousness associated with grasping Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology. This brings home the fact that however the human
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm implied as of a protracted-consciousness, and
specifically the prospective protensive-consciousness of deprocrypticism or preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology is practically inevitably constrained-and-potentially-jeopardised as of the
framework of the (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag cloistered-consciousness of any of the
successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in their respective reasoning-from-
results/afterthought logocentric constitutedness; as the ‘reasoning existentialising—
enframing’ of the registry-worldview/dimension apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is
prospective-apriorising-implications>); given that no secondnatured institutionalisation grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology exists for prospective transcendence. The ontological-veracity of such an dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation individuation reasoning-through as of Derridian messianic reasoning can be grasp when we contemplate that in a secondnatured institutionalisation framework of deferential-formalisation-transference we give pre-eminence to say a professional or technician for resolving a technical problem, and as non-technicians we don’t get involve in
<amplituding>formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} exercise to resolve the technical problem. This outlook is actually ‘seeded’ within the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation individuation reasoning-through that is instigative of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thereof, what is critical for enabling human successive transcendence is ‘appropriate prospective institutionalisation secondnaturing metaphoricity’. Consider in this regard, that the instigative matesis universalis metaphoricity by the Galileos, Descartes, etc. of budding positivism/rational-empiricism is structurally/paradigmatically ‘not a reasoning with non-positivism/medievalism’ but rather ‘reasoning-through or Derridian messianic reasoning’ over non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism’s pedantry as of its
<amplituding>formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
reasoning-from-results/afterthought logocentric constitutedness. Such altogether new
metaphoricity as of its instigating ‘out of thin air’ the budding positivism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument attitude/mental-
disposition/care—and—episteme further inspired its subsequent radicalisation by latter thinkers;
wherein for instance, the more thoroughly positivism/rational-empiricism development of
‘the very same physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—
purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’
was undertaken by Newton and Leibniz, extending the metaphoricity further even when we
contemplate that in many ways these metaphoricity relaying scientists were still imbued with
non-positivism/medievalism mystical and alchemic ideas. This ‘out of thin air’ metaphoricity
possibility arises because the ‘full-potency of existence in relation to human-subpotency-as-
human-knowledge grasp of that full-potency of existence’ is ever one of non-presencing-<as-
to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>; as the very notion of ‘human-
subpotency-as-human-knowledge grasp of the full-potency of existence’ given human
limited-mentation-capacity implies that such a grasp only opens up a ‘limited framework of
the full-potency of existence’ for new human existential and knowledge possibilities as of
new/prospective habits-and-tradition. But then this ‘limited framework of the full-potency of
existence’ as of new habits-and-tradition construed as ‘reason-from-results/afterthought
framework, ‘doesn’t induce a commitment upon the absolute transcendental possibility in the
full-potency of existence’. Such that by dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-
completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—
existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-
‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
\(\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\)-wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) with
respect to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
onologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, the further
insight of ‘out of thin air’ metaphoricity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\(\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\)-epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) comes with the possibility of its
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation by existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of—\(\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\)-epistemic-totalising—renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. In this regard, the
ontologically-veridical ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
disposition/care—and—episteme’ with respect to our modern take
\(\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\)-wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
reasoning-from-results/afterthought logocentric constitutedness is rather as of ‘reasoning-
through or Derridian messianic reasoning’ over our positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-
as-of-reference-of-thought, and so as of a postmodern affirmatory stance of dialogical
inequivalence that goes beyond idling in the ‘modern take rigmarole language’, just as we
can appreciate how budding positivism obviate non-positivism/medievalism pedantic
dogmatism language to affirm meaningfulness-and-teleology weeding out ornate pedantic
detours, to articulate blunt reality as of deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Insightfully, and as is the case with all prospective transcendence implied meaningfulness-and-teleology, we can appreciate that the foremost goal of budding positivists ‘was not to elicit the direct approval’ of the non-positivism/medievalism established arrangement, as in many ways they adopted a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ with respect to establishment social stakes, but rather sought to induce the requisite metaphoricity of budding positivism for the destruction-deconstruction of non-positivism/medievalism for prospective positivism, as their conception of achievement motive were tied down to prospective positivism institutionalisation as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, the prospective ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ is well beyond the notion of eliciting the approbation of the modern take established arrangement in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct, but rather is of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’, in inducing budding postmodern metaphoricity for the destruction-deconstruction of the modern take for prospective postmodern-deprocrypticism institutionalisation as of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. In both cases, the prospective institutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme is ontologically validated as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, divulging the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag vagueness and futility of the pretences
and judgments of the destructuring-threshold—uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality—of-ontological-performance. We can equally appreciate here that such a conception of transcendence is rather as of organic-knowledge and not mechanical knowledge, in the sense that what is critical is the induced apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument metaphoricity for prospective institutionalisation as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not simply a mechanical knowledge conception possibly tolerated as of a stale a posteriori adjunctiveness as with the Copernican heliocentric idea initially, needing a latter apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument metaphoricity reinvigoration as of the overall renewal of ‘the very same physics
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. It should be noted that such metaphoricity rather points to psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification organic-knowledge nature of such prospective institutionalisation transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology, which in its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘a dimensionality-of—sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation
inventing’ of the prospective notion of ‘thinking/postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ as positivism/rational-empiricism thinking or deprocrypticism thinking respectively, and so as their successive prospective reasoning-from-results/afterthought. In both cases, such metaphoricity as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning cannot be construed as grounded-as-intelligible on the superseded/transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of medievalism—non-positivism or positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
thought, but rather as of its very own transcendental-enabling/sublimating prospective institutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of positivism or deprocryticism respectively. Thus such metaphoricity is rather induced as of the framework of prospective concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in establishing its prospective ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’. Thus such metaphoricity as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is more aptly and consciously articulated at a dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,—as—to—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as—to—leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as—of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards—to—prospective-apriorising-implications>) depth/profoundness of human posterity; projecting well beyond the narrow and decadent obsessions of shallow as of extricatory/temporal paradigms of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, as it actively strives as of its prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology to supersede such existentialising—enframing and their associated institutional-anchoring and pedantry/mandarinism temporally induced denaturing of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning metaphoricity brings about the prospectively renewed
reasoning-from-results/afterthought instigating the secondnaturing of prospective institutionalisation, and so as of implied reference-of-thought/axiomatic-constructs reflection of the pre-eminence of the full-potency of existence as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework over human-subpotency with the latter adjusting to existence as-of-ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics enabling its prospective relative-ontological-completeness. The dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation articulation of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning cannot be construed as amenable to the contending disposition of prior deferential-formalisation-transference secondnatured institutionalisation, thus the irrelevance/impertinence of any such implied contending as of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought, as any such contention can only re-arise as of the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning renewing of secondnatured prospective ‘reason-from-results’/afterthought. Thus the direct implication of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is that it can only call upon ‘a kindred sense of things’, as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation contemplation that can surpass/overcome temporal nihilistic<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} as of a protracted-consciousness cognisant of the prospective ontological-performance and human emancipation implications of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. It should be noted here that the notion of<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of its nihilism rather speaks to social apathy towards veridical prospective ontological possibilities of emancipation as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications going by the very implications of knowledge-reification as being as of the relative-ontological-completeness perspective, and is not to be confused with naïve and literal interpretations in ‘untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality non-ontological terms of social-stake-contention-or-confliction conceptualisations’ that wrongly seem to imply that knowledge-reification can be contemplated paradoxically as being as of the relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective as may be reflected by mere conceptual-patterning in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness without contemplating that the underlying knowledge-reification process/gesturing implications is definitely as of the relative-ontological-completeness perspective since a untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality non-ontological interpretation will rather imply knowledge dereification and endemising/enculturating of temporal-dispositions as of vices-and-impediments for the simple reason that the latter ‘cannot be ignored and then by magic become virtue’ as the overall for knowledge-reification is to understand human destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance and then bring about prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>. This tendency to misconstrue the meaning of <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
transcendence-and-sublimity of the Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation as well as that of Descartes and other budding-positivists rational-empiricism/positivism were both originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation disseminative events induced as of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence> involving transcendence from non-universalising sophistry and medieval-scholasticism pedantic dogmatism respectively; and so as to the fact that dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is aporetically the more fundamental incipient/seeding originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation to both Descartes thinking-proposition for budding-positivism and Socrates’s universalising-idealisation in then secondarily inducing their respective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ and thus in many ways the naïve/flawed conception of Platonism and Cartesianism today arise as to a reasoning as from reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation perspective whereas Descartes and Plato—and—Plato’s Socrates are more fundamentally involved in an aporetism overcoming/unovercoming exercise with respect to medieval-scholasticism non-positivising and ancient-sophists non-universalising respectively. These induced transcendences later on became prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of their mere ‘atrophying mechanical practice’ with succeeding
generations, and so just as Nietzsche equally appreciated that Christianity was becoming a mere ‘atrophying mechanical practice’ of succeeding Christian generations as for instance with ascetic practices becoming more of symbolism/aura and losing their inceptive emancipatory inspiration. Thus with all these instances rather warranting renewed originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as to existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and so as of prospective projection as implied with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, but instead Heidegger will elicit a naïve turn to the pre-Socratics while Nietzsche will express admiration of Buddhism as both being of grander originariness and authenticity. However going beyond a ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’ disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> notion of philosophy, it is herein contended that this relatively deficient analysis reflects the fundamental ontological-deficiency of subsequent philosophies influenced by Kantian philosophy which is rather ‘as a projection within the very same intelligible Cartesian/budding-positivists induced rational-empiricism/positivism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ failing to conceive of the ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human- institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to difference-conflatedness—as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity successiveness of registry-worldviews/dimensions, with the result that Kantian implied transcendental idealism is
veridically ‘phenomenal-abstractiveness within the very same intelligible rational-empiricism/positivism registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought’ (as the true reality of transcendence is rather one of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation-stranding/attributive-dialectics involving ‘human mental-disposition successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reprojection-or-reanticipation capacity of registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought, inducing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩ as of the very ontologically same existence/existential-reality’ so-reflected as the ‘difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their successive reference-of-thought imbed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ construed ‘as the successive reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology in existence’, and so-construed as the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions consciousness-enabled phenomenal-abstractiveness), and this basic deficient and vacuous assumption fundamentally disorientated Nietzschean and Heideggerian thought wherein a more complete appraisal of Nietzschean transvaluation should rather be as of relative-ontological-completeness implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-sublimating—⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation implications beyond just ‘transformation from Roman/Master/Hierarchising/Aristocratic
value-construct to Judeo-Christian-Islamic-monotheisms/Slave/Dehierarchising/Commoner value-construct as of the very same universalising-idealisation’ speaking rather more of revaluation than transvaluation. It is this underlying misconception that induces subsequent philosophical misinterpretations of notions like <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language- ⟨imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩), ressentiment and leveling failing to appreciate that these are ontologically-driven as of underlying relative-ontological-completeness knowledge-reification basis of such conceptualisations arising as to the need for prospective emancipatory inspiration of prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation inducing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- ⟨<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩. Thus <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language- ⟨imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩⟩ is herein rather construed as <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language- ⟨imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩⟩ or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity with respect to ‘mechanical practice’ of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, we can appreciate that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology arises as of aestheticisation before converging towards ontologisation, just as rightfully implied by Nietzsche’s genealogy of morals, but this doesn’t imply valuelessness (as is often naively implied with Nietzschean thought) since aestheticisation convergence
towards ontologisation leads to grander ontological-performance. In this regards, we can appreciate that while from our vantage modern perspective the ontological-veracity of the Egyptian cultural system aestheticisation behind the construction of the pyramids will seem inherently impertinent, but that specific human aestheticisation induced technical, scientific and mathematical innovations were of lateral civilisational ontological-pertinence; likewise we can appreciate that while for the atheist the ontological-veracity of religion is unproven, however various specific religions human aestheticisation in many ways relayed laterally the ontological-veracity of universalising-idealisation thinkers as of the relatively conducive social conditions allowing for the arrival of medieval thinkers who then instigated the possibility for modern day science ontologisation; and besides, it can equally perfectly be claimed that even our modern day positivistic civilisation is not beyond a critique of ‘deficient ontologisation’ as we can appreciate the reality of the human aestheticisation of many modern activities (even those associated with technological development) held as of higher interest/worth which ontologisation value is questionable with respect to other possible activities of grander ontologisation but not necessarily held as of higher interest/worth (with the very worst case being media-driven merchandising associated with a generalised dumbing-down and de-intellectualisation increasingly and surreptitiously substituting for reifying intellectualism, increasingly undermining the citizenry capacity for democratic sovereign judgement). This analysis points to the convoluted relationship between human aestheticisation and ultimate ontologisation value. Rather than naïve and simplistic analysis, it is such an insight that better informs Heideggerian and Nietzschean thought with regards to ressentiment and leveling (as to \((\text{amplituding})^\text{formative}\text{wooden-language-}\text{(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—nondescript/ignorable-void—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}))\); pointing to the centrality of originariness-parrhesia—
as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as more critically about inducing the necessary human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of prior reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation transformation
towards prospective ontologisation rather than the mere critique of any given human
aestheticisation as of its inherence, as the fact is all human aestheticisations including religion
(which is often a target in modern times, however rightly so on many an occasion) are sub-
ontological–<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-
reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> and the more salient point is in instigating their
more profound ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of
relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity. Such a possibility recurrently arises mainly as of human
value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness
implications. Transvaluation notionally refers to the structural/paradigmatic referencing basis
of human value structure as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and is what critically
defines the variation of human ontological-performance as from
‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal inclination for human-
subpotency as of the underpinning–suprasocial-construct meaningfulness-and-teleology or its
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language–(imbued—averaging-of-thought–<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
determination’ to ‘ascetic intemporal inclination for existence-potency-prospective-
digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
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perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness determination’; and so as to the fact that prospective sublimation-over-desublimation of human reference-of-thought—and—reference-
of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology involves prospective ‘originariness-
parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation

acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’ in attending to the ‘prior requisite human experiential framework to be challenged-disproved-invalidated’ highlighting the facet of the existentially-withdrawn—(as—
‘unaccounted-for’—leftover-or-residuality-or-spirit-of—meaningfulness-and-teleology-so-
construed-as—metaphoricity,—informing—prospective-
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness,—so—reflected-and-compensated-with-the-
notion-of-dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation) as limiting or of prospective human-subpotency aporeticism’ and so-captured by the notion of prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation

for prospective human limited-mentation—capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as—to—existence—as—sublimating-
withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation) as to human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Transvaluation as of existence-
potency—prospective—digression—of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing—realisation/re—perception/re—thought,—in—epistemic-conflatedness implies
the ontological-veracity of all values is derived from their relative-ontological-completeness
implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of—the—human—institutionalisation—
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation capacity for the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process to be able to materially/substantively arise, notwithstanding the contradiction that secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is ‘bound to be reflected as teleologically-degraded’ prospectively as a destructuring-threshold<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance as of mere ‘mechanical practice’ that fails prospective anamnesis as of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>eipstemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence> from such human-subpotency prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, we can appreciate that when base-institutionalisation ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument arises, the value structure of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation collapses, and likewise across all the prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions, with the implication that our naïve conception of value as of mere-and-vague impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness is not what is structurally/paradigmatically deterministic but rather the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework lies in the structural/paradigmatic effectuation of transcendence-and-sublimity in the bigger social construct as of the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure involving the prospective construction-of-the-Self from trepidatious-
factor, in overcoming ‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’ to ‘attain-sublimating-humanity’, as to existence-potency-prospective-digression of—

<(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in epistemic-conflicatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness


associated with the successive registry-worldview’s/dimension’s self-conscious meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure so-implied successively as of trepidatious—self-consciousness, warped—self-consciousness, preclusive—self-consciousness, occlusive—self-consciousness and prospectively protensive—self-consciousness; as the human proclivity to even recognise and pursue any value-construct can only arise in the very first place with its correspondingly induced self-consciousness. But then, the fact remains that such dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative> epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation induced self-consciousness meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as instigative of the human reference basis reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of value-construct tend to be related to by the suprasocial-contruct and


dispositions as being beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> (as to when the inherent ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology implications of prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) is blanked out as nondescript/ignorable void), and rather tends to come at ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) and
specifically had to face up respectively with the value-construct conception of their
temporal/sycophantic-sophistic — presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
ontologically-flawed disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-
failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> whether with the Ancient Sophists or
medieval-scholasticism pedants. We can further appreciate the critical impact of the
universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure of the Socratic
philosophers and their successors as providing the appropriate meaningfulness-and-teleology
infrastructure for the Roman Empire and subsequent religio-political developments unlike the
case with say Ancient Egypt and Persia whose non-universalising sectarian cults perpetual
ideological conflicts ultimately sapped their stability despite their technical advancement, and
likewise Western enlightenment effectively arose as of the induced meaningfulness-and-
teleology infrastructure of budding positivists, with perverted consequences like annihilation
of Native Indians in the New World and the Transatlantic slavery rather arising as of their
outlying societies opportunistic activities distortive of budding positivism meaningfulness-
and-teleology infrastructure as so-construed in their core societies in Europe with respect to
the ending of serfdom, nascent socioeconomic emancipation and human rights. Thus
basically the idea of human value-construction is ever always caught up between on the one
hand human limited-mentation-capacity to come to terms with ‘transvaluation as
<amplituding)>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process anamnesis as of difference-conflectedness-as-totalitative-
reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism underlying the human
construction-of-the-Self’ and on the other hand ‘the effective ontological-
impertinence/dereification arising in the conceptualising of human value-construction as of a
<amplituding)>formative>wooden-language—<imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>

<(amplitunding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as construing of value-construction
within any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s presencing—absolutising-identititive-
constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and so whether as of trepidatious
(recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), warped (base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation),
preclusive (universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism) or occlusive (positivism–
procrysticism) implications’. This discrepancy (between the human capacity to achieve
transvaluation and effective social–value-construction narrative as of any given registry-
worldview/dimension) is reflected in the underlying reality that effectively practised human
value-construction is the ‘outcome of privileged institutional end-purpose
perspective/framing/reference/horizon’; wherein social–value-construction across the
successive registry-worldviews/dimensions arises as a functional necessity that is meant to
reflect supposedly coherent ontological-commitment and so in order to elicit stable social-
functioning-and-accordance for social-stake-contention-or-confliction, whether such social–
value-construction is ontologically-pertinent or not. In this respect, the reality in reflecting the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process points to changing
‘structural/paradigmatic marginal equity of social–value-construction’, so-construed as
‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’ and so rather as from the
structural/paradigmatic reference basis of ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ whether the
latter is implied-and-justified as of talent, royalty, class, productivity, mere traditional and
cultural practice justification, etc.; thus effectively reflecting the overall consequence of
social–value-construction as the ‘outcome of privileged institutional end-purpose
perspective/framing/reference/horizon’. In this regards, social–value-construction arises from
two levels; as of the inherent structural/paradigmatic implication of ‘outcome of privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ as of ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ and this in conjugation then with the individual inherently appraisable social–value-construction as of ‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’. In this respect, we can appreciate that an autocrat is more capable of ‘displaying greater social–value-construction’ than an ordinary denizen by the former’s mere social–value-construction ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ as of its status in the autocracy (however an autocrat’s apparent magnanimity on the basis of the prior perspective of the autocratic society will rather be construed as of deficient value-construction as from a prospective perspective of <(amplituding)|formative>|epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity comparison to the overall social and virtue progress implications of a better accountable political system, while on the other hand individuals effectively advocating for such a prospective political system may be construed as of deficient value-construction in the prior autocracy), while modern day social–value-construction ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ arises as of politico-bureaucratic, talent, entrepreneurial, socio-historical, traditional and cultural practice justification, etc. implications (but is just as well subject to transvaluation analysis as of <(amplituding)|formative>|epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, as it can perfectly be argued that the apparent magnanimity of plutocrats as of a capitalistic economic value-distributive system ‘excessively skewed towards final product/service/financial delivery as-of-first-come-near-monopoly and institutionally-skewed-possibility-for recurring wealth accumulation’ while excessively overlooking/devaluing the return to massive public externalities/external-resources contributions to economic production such as public education, human and social development, infrastructure, basic research, technological research, etc. rather speaks of
deficient social–value-construction, especially as such a system ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ as of its occlusive presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness is geared towards propping special interests, warfare spending, anti-taxation, anti-immigration, trivial interest in global human development, co-opted media narrative, etc. as of a suboptimal social–value-construction). But this doesn’t cancel the fact that individuals throughout sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing notwithstanding any disadvantaged ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ for social–value-construction, intuitively cognisant of the pertinence of human transvaluation have elicited the underlying ontological-veracity/ontological-impertinence of their social-construct value-construction as of its supposedly coherent ontological-commitment to induce the transformation of the social-setup value-construction; such that at various critical times the more salient ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ for social–value-construction had thus been basically intellectual-pertinence-as-of-ontological-veracity such that all other ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ for social–value-construction have tended critically to ultimately be grounded on intellectual-pertinence-as-of-ontological-veracity whether of genuine or surreptitious justification. The more salient issue then for the knowledge-reification of social–value-construction thus lies with its ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ narrative(s) with respect to underlying knowledge-reifying transvaluation implications projection as being of most profound intellectual-pertinence-as-of-ontological-veracity. In this regards, our present rational-empiricism/positivism occlusiveness warrants prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure transvaluation so-implied as of notional–deprocrypticism or <(amplituding)formative>notional–preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought appropriate foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},–as-operative-
notional–deprocrypticism; and so as the disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> of
our rational-empiricism/positivism occlusiveness in its <(amplituding)formative>wooden-
language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>} tend to rather reflect our
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. The occlusiveness of our
positivism/rational-empiricism social–value-construction as such from the prospective
perspective of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought can
be analysed-and-construed as imbued with occlusive collateral aspects of rather
nondescript/ignorable void falsely implying ‘the appropriate exhaustiveness of our rational-
empiricism/positivism stances’ thus speaking rather of ideology than ontological-veracity as
aptly reflected upon by postmodern-thought. Such occlusive collateral aspects take the form
of economic dysfunction and inequities as collateral to economic ideologism, social
dysfunction and discriminations as collateral to domineering and secluding social narratives,
sophistic/pedantic and vested interest undermining genuine sovereignty paradoxically as of
obscured-and-deluding knowledge and misinformation that undermines individuals sovereign
competence and choice with regards to increasingly skewed-contrived-and-limited stakes of
the democratic process thus eliciting protest voting, and in the bigger global framework of
competing politico-cultural values with individuals and societies rather construed as collateral
damages. Transvaluation analysis thus ensues from the human akrasia-susceptibility-or-
akrasiatic-drag complex which implies that the very state of unwariness with respect to prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic disposition is structurally/paradigmatically potently conducive/endemising/enculturating of its vices-and-
impediments. But then while such an abstract transvaluation perspective for the construal of social–value-construction is cogently obvious, however the fact remains that the human subject as of its limited-mentation-capacity exists in circumstances of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint as of its given reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation inducing its deficient ontological-performance thus explaining its given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments. Thus the transvaluation of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is critically of dimensionality-of-sublimating—


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness


transvaluation, social–value-construction is rather accomplished phronetically/in-practicality as of the specific social-setup universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-{<amplituding}formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and is bound rather to be highly infused with ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ narrative(s) where such universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-{<amplituding}formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} is muted and where such universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-{<amplituding}formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} is unmuted rather infused with ‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’ narrative(s). Basically, thus the reality of prospective social–value-construction critically arises as of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning induced originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation with respect to the prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, which when naively construed in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation simply reflects the {<amplituding}formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the prior registry-worldview/dimension as reflected with its social value-construct dilemmas. Consider in this regards the implications for an individual having to respond to an accusation of sorcery in a non-positivism social-setup as the individual and the social-setup both effectively believe in superstition. Transvaluation insight will point out that ontological-veracity as of
the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-
subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-
abnormalcy/preconvergence> required prospective deprocripticism or preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension construction-of-the-
Self (as of deprocripticism protensive–self-consciousness over our
value-construction induced dilemmas). Basically, as highlighted above such a transvaluation
knowledge-reification of social–value-construction reflects the prospective human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of any relative-ontological-incompleteness
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-
accordance as of its ontologically-flawed implied supposedly coherent ontological-
commitment; pointing to the ontological-veracity of a ‘direct bilateral relationship of
appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of
prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This
ontological reality basis of social–value-construction, it is often claimed, needs to account for
the reality of human sovereignty and free-will as to the ‘autonomy and independence of
human disposedness’. But then such a conception of human sovereignty and free-will seems
to imply an ‘existence-in-existence constitutedness ontologically-flawed paradigm’ as to
imply human sovereignty and free-will supersede-and-override existence—as-the-absolute-a-
priori-of-conceptualisation so- reflected as of <(amplituding)formative>formative>epistemic-
totalisingly-preceding-and-redefining-existential-contextualising-contiguity. We can
effectively appreciate that such human sovereignty and free-will implied ‘autonomy and
independence of human disposedness’ say with regards to a mystical cause of disease in a
non-positivistic society doesn’t stop existence as reflecting bacteria theory or any other
biological reason from being the cause of disease and such a reference-of-thought-devolving-level manifestation of the primacy of existence equally extends to reference-of-thought-level wherein overall existence ‘as transcendental-enabling’ for a rational-empiricism/positivism registry-worldview/dimension as of its acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument ‘is more effective’ with respect to human grasp of existential reality manifestations than a non-positivism registry-worldviews/dimension, just as a prior universalisation registry-worldview/dimension ‘is more effective’ as of its acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument in grasping existential reality manifestations than a preceding ununiversalisation registry-worldview/dimension. This however doesn’t implies the elimination of human sovereignty and free-will but rather effective speaks of human-subpotency within existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, so-construed as ‘human-subpotency ontological-performance within the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’; and specifically speaks as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, wherein within the absolute a priori framework that is existence, humankind can construe of existence becoming/emanance manifestations allowing for human knowledge-reification and empowerment from the knowledge-reification within existence, with this in itself inducing a human reflexivity as of a human reflexive influence within existence (wherein for instance, a positivistic disease theory of bacteria and biological causation structurally/paradigmatically induces a whole set of
human existential disposedness of emancipatory and curative implications in existence as of human sovereignty and free-will, but also in the very first place the fundamental human existential disposedness at reference-of-thought-level to rational-empiricism/positivism is structurally/paradigmatically conducive/preparatory for the possibility of such a positivistic disease theory of bacteria and biological causation to be construed by such humans). This then speaks to the fact that ‘human sovereignty and free-will is deflated going by the ontological-veracity of human \((amplituding)\)formative\)epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ as of ‘the specific human-subpotency implications as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-\(<\)imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation\)>‘; and so, as it applies to human knowledge-reification and empowerment from such knowledge-reification within existence as this defines human ontological-performance reflected as of constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-\(<\)uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality\)>–of-ontological-performance. In this regards, the broader and more profound conception of human sovereignty and free-will as reflected by human \((amplituding)\)formative\)epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence is rather grounded in the reality that all humans come into existence as of an overall framework of living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology

within which the notion of human sovereignty and free-will then arises in the very first place; such that in many ways human sovereignty and free-will is collectively predicated to the social-setup social-functioning-and-accordance as of its implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. Thus, on this basis, the reality of human ontological-performance
(reflected as of constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-
performance) towards the effective articulation of human sovereignty and free-will is actually
one that involves, with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity: ‘the deferential-
formalisation-transference overall and underlying social-setup conception of knowledge-
reification and empowerment from such knowledge-reification as enabling the framework of
living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-
function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and
then ‘the individual dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation mental-disposition and expression’ within the former (and it is the
latter that often comes to the mind when speaking of human sovereignty and free-will as
‘autonomy and independence of human disposedness’, while naively ignoring/overlooking
the underlying ‘superseding existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation
reflected in <(amplituding)formative>formative>epistemic-totalisingly-preceding-and-
redefining-existential-contextualising-contiguity implications upon human sovereignty and
free-will’). Interestingly, such a broader conception of the manifestation of human
sovereignty and free-will will recognise that the overall human deferential-formalisation-
transference actually has a historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing
character that extends right up to the very first humans and as with the production of
language and human institutions, with regards to constraining existence-potency-prospective-
digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness/existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-
conceptualisation, and as these institutions and institutional practices undergo metaphoricity
all along towards our present, and carries effective/ontologically-veridical teleological implication in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications, -for-explicating-ontological-contiguity successiveness of registry-worldviews/dimensions. The point here is that, ‘the individual dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation mental-disposition and expression’ driving the deferential-formalisation-transference knowledge-reification and empowerment from the knowledge-reification as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, even as of poor ontological-performance of social–value-construction so-construed as destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating–decisionality>—of-ontological-performance, can only achieve social-functioning-and-accordance by a claim to be as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, whether relatively real or surreptitious; and it is this preceding broader human sovereignty and free-willing disposedness for claiming social–value-construction for social-functioning-and-accordance as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment that gives the teleological orientation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as it then exposes human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—-as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to the prospective constraint to be as supposedly coherent ontological-commitment thus inducing the possibility
for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity when its any given meaningfulness-and-teleology is discovered/shown not to be ontologically veridical leading to its effective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Thus the bigger picture here with regards to social–value-construction for social-functioning-and-accordance as of human sovereignty and free-will implications speaks to relative-ontological-completeness as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and so as of existence constraint implied ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-sublimating—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism \(\text{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting both destructuring-threshold-}<\text{uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality}>–of-ontological-performance as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness implied preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema and constructiveness-of-ontological-performance as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness implied postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema as elucidation of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Ultimately, the naïve articulation of human sovereignty and free-will as of strict ‘autonomy and independence of human disposedness’ rather speaks of a poor ontological sense-of-things, and as such ontological-veracity ensues the notion of human sovereignty and free-will is rather subsumed as of human-subpotency knowledge-reification and derived empowerment reflexivity in existence; and as apparent in the sciences, we can’t imply that we have a choice of gravity on earth as \(6 \text{ m/s}^2\) rather than the existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\(\text{epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-}\)
thought—in-epistemic-confledness manifestation of 9.8 m/s² and our human sovereignty and free-will is then enabled reflexively with the latter and not the former where we develop and operate technology on that basis for instance, the same equally applies with respect to the social domain in other to avoid mere disparateness-of-conceptualisation—unforegrounding-disentailment—failing-to-reflect—immanent-ontological-contiguity. The conception of human sovereignty and free-will so-implied as of the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation’ basically underlies all human knowledge-reification whether with regards to philosophy as first-level ontology pertaining to ‘overall existence phenomenal appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality—as-to—human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal’ or with regards to second-level ontologies ‘specific epiphenomenon—(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-confledatedness) appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview—as-domain-of-construal—as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; differentiated by the fact that ‘overall existence phenomenal appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ across human generations as of ‘cumulative reference-of-thought relative-ontological-completeness implications’ is surprisingly of high ontological-contiguity explaining the cross-generational relative intelligibility of philosophical meaningfulness-and-teleology (for instance the questions and answers/contemplations about the why and how of human existence phenomena from the very first humans are just as relevant today even as of the differing contextual discernments, and so with regards to virtue, value attribution, aesthetics, episteme and Being) while ‘specific epiphenomenon—(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-confledatedness)
appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving relative-ontological-completeness implications’ is of high notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> explaining the unintelligibility of the explanation of epiphenomena as contrasted cross-generationally with various superstitious beliefs in the past compared with modern day science epiphenomenal explanations (for instance with the appraisal of ‘health epiphenomena of existence’ as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ranging from perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen, perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period, perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor, perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation, and perceptivity-as-of-factoring-in-socioeconomic,-education,-information,-environmental,-gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-healthcare-and-medical-delivery). Insightfully, the very essence of ‘overall existence phenomenal appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as associated with philosophical aspects (beyond the our artificial subject-matter divisions referring to aspect where virtue, value, ontological principles and epistemic issues are of central concern) is one of interpretation given that the ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence is ‘a directly comprehensive and fulsome framework amenable to interpretation’ whereas ‘specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness) appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ especially as of their unordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence like natural sciences while informed by ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence background/sense-of-things further require and accentuate their epiphenomenal manifestations (which are beyond ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence) with the devising of experimentation (as providing the prolongation for human interpretation
capacity with respect to such epiphenomenal manifestations, as in reality even the natural sciences are fundamentally interpretative as ‘specifically aphoristic/cogent/pointed extensions of the underlying human philosophical interpretative disposition for knowledge-reification’).

It is important to grasp here that mere experimentations, as often practised in many domains, that do not arise because of the veridical need to effectively accentuate epiphenomenal manifestations as of unordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence but rather ‘on the vagueness and naivety that experimentations by themselves demonstrate profoundness’ are ontologically-impertinent (in the sense that the ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence as ‘a directly comprehensive and fulsome framework amenable to interpretation’ is the more critical basis for a profound knowledge-reification interpretation than any such ad-hoc and simplistic experimentation vagueness and naivety); and in many ways this explains experimental delusions in many domains associated with poor reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as to the misunderstanding that experimentation should focus on the very critical epiphenomenal manifestations that are not amenable to the ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence as ‘a directly comprehensive and fulsome framework amenable to interpretation’. However, as of underlying human-subpotency sovereignty and free-will, what is definitely central to knowledge-reification is that it is grounded on human empowering reflexivity from prospective knowledge as of ‘ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confledness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence> from such human-subpotency prior reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’. This reflects the ontological-veracity that human sovereignty and free-will can only be construed in conflatedness as of human 
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence revealing the epistemic-impertinence of dispositions for ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as wrongly implying human sovereignty and free-will supersedes existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness rather than the epistemic-veracity of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of human meaningfulness-and-teleology. We can garner for instance that there is and has never been any truly ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ of the sciences as often wrongly implied by science ideologues, but that scientists across-the-times have allowed existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness to manifest itself in determining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; and so, as from the budding science of the days of Galileo and Copernicus, to Newtonian science, to Lavoisier laboratory science, to Einsteinian science to modern day institutional practices of science, with all fundamentally driven not by any ‘purported science-ideology’ but rather the practicality of results as of the constraint of the subject-domains of scientific study together with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications in transforming the conceptualisation within any such specific subject-domains of scientific study as of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification rather than ‘any implied notion that naively supersedes existence—as-
the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’. A further twist to such a poor conception of human sovereignty and free-will in the social arises as of an improper appraisal of the ‘implications of deferential-formalisation-transference as being structurally/paradigmatically both-intensional-and-extensional to the fulfilment of human sovereignty and free-will’. The fact is human sovereignty and free-will is more critically about its ‘fulfilment as of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’ rather than ‘mere appearance-of-fulfilment usurping-the-sense of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’. For instance, a plumber who draws up the costing for a plumbing job explaining to the customer what is advantageously entailed in a convincing manner (as of ‘mere appearance-of-fulfilment usurping-the-sense of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’) as they fail to ensure that their professional assessment will truly resolve the technical issue (as they are just looking to contract the job) is not really advancing the sovereign choice of the customer compared to another plumber who undertakes a candid professional assessment that may not sound advantageous with the customer (as they are more critically interested in the ‘fulfilment as of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’) but does solve the technical issue; as any such customer in a deferential-formalisation-transference situation will most likely agree. Such operation of human sovereignty and free-will, beyond more or less simplistic social situations as the case highlighted above, is supposedly implied in the operation of all human institutions as of their inherent deferential-formalisation-transference proxy nature; but in many ways such a notion of ‘implications of deferential-formalisation-transference as being structurally/paradigmatically both-intensional-and-extensional to the fulfilment of human sovereignty and free-will’ gets sunk with the increasing complexity and size of human institutions as to what such implications really are, and so especially as the idea of human sovereignty and free-will increasingly becomes abstracted and diffused in the
overall social-construct and its institutions as so-associated with ‘the protraction of political and institutional performance, evaluation and accountability’ as reflective of human sovereignty and free-will. However, with regards to the latter as of social protraction of political and institutional action, the possibility of protracted human sovereignty and free-will while indirect comes to be increasingly associated with the sense of ‘equanimity/balance of institutions’ as to their expected ‘equanimity/balance of contending frameworks and policy frameworks as reflexive of socially-perceived commendation and disapprobation’, whether as garnered ‘politically from the equanimity/balance of competing policies and politics as from polling and/or polls trends’ and ‘professionally with the equanimity/balance of mainstream/conventional complementary professional policy-recommendations and professional practices’. The question about the effectiveness of such implied equanimity/balance as reflecting of human sovereignty and free-will is often raised critically with regards to political and institutional performance particularly during crises. In many ways, the systemic interrelatedness of large institutions as to their complementary end purposes and practices, renders such an assessment of implied equanimity/balance rather structural/paradigmatic to the overall politico-institutional system itself; and particularly so as in many ways the possibility of readjustment is much more practically instigated politically especially as with public institutions the individual manifestation of sovereign choice is much more rigidly tied to political action unlike the relative ability for direct disengagement from private entities. However, the fundamental fact that human sovereignty and free-will is ever always a question of the ‘transverse relation of all humans sovereignty and free-will in society’ inherently implies the underlying possibility for the undermining of human sovereign choice as of inherent social differentiation. Beyond transvaluation implications as of the broader overall ‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’ in relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness
<(amplitudine)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; going by the phronesis/practicality as of our positivism–procrepticism occlusiveness, the assessment of institutionally implied ‘equanimity/balance of contending frameworks and policy frameworks as reflective of socially-perceived commendation and disapprobation’, as advancing human sovereignty and free-will as of deferential-formalisation-transference implications, can be rather straightforward with regards to relatively compact/self-contained institutional functions and roles usually involved in direct public service delivery but it is much more difficult with spurious/supporting institutional functions and roles. We can appreciate in this regards that public scandals generally tend to arise out of public services and private services delivery institutional frameworks as of their relatively compact/self-contained institutional functions and roles, and that issues of transparency rendering such assessment difficult generally arise with regards to underlying spurious/supporting/supervisory/regulatory institutional functions and roles. In another respect concerning the modern day media, the need for relevant and balanced/equanimous communication and information delivery to the general public has increasingly been taking a backseat, and so fundamentally as the media becomes more of a business-making institution and rather plays a weaker and ancillary/perfunctory role in public policies and politics accountability. This is paradoxically reflected in the reality that despite the huge choice of media today, strangely enough this has rather been associated with greater public muddlement with regards to political stakes and public policies; undermining the political process as increasingly public policies are paradigmed/structured to default/revert into the interests of powerful groups and corporations with the support of increasingly astute, surreptitious and media-savvy political and economic think-tanks, as their media underhandedness in many ways foil the possibility for credible and effective public interest
debate as of the distractedness of media reflexive anchoring on a stale, traditional, simplistic and increasingly irrelevant age-old left and right political narrative (and its derived politics and policies narratives) poorly reflecting the sophistication of the electorate that ‘doesn’t live in left and right worlds but a realistic world in want for solutions’! Strangely enough, such a media environment is now laden with public gurus holding outlandish views increasingly given the forum for their opinions (presented as reified-knowledge) not only in marginal media but mainstream media as well out of all proportion with the social and/or relevant expertising academic/professional resonance of such ideas, and so as of the underlying pretence of freedom-of-speech; as the notion of freedom-of-speech is increasingly being portrayed rather as the rationalising foundation for all sorts of discreetly, whimsically/fancifully and strategically prejudiced influences on media orientation. In this regards, the notion of freedom-of-speech as of such consequentially biased and disproportionate representation undermining ‘equanimity/balance of contending frameworks and policy frameworks as reflective of socially-perceived commendation and disapprobation’ (as thusly failing to advance human sovereignty and free-will as of deferential-formalisation-transference implications), is increasingly becoming the unbecoming/undoing of the modern day democratic political process. Direct media surreptitious drumming-up of specific policy stances and political movements have often interfered with political governance as with the tea-party movement for instance; when considering how political orientations are ‘strategically advanced/framed’ in the media at critical moments for upholding favourable political policies or foiling unfavourable political policies while undermining sound analytic public debate. It is no small wonder that a public opinion increasingly exposed to such media-driven ‘subterfuges’, overlooking the age-old party politics narrative entrapment, has been turning to protest voting as an expression of political disdain. Furthermore, the idea of human sovereignty and free-will across all times is intimately tied down to human limited-
in conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing about existence as ontologically-veridical (as it is the ‘totalitative epistemic/notional–projective-perspective’ that points out the veridical conception of causation) and so over a traditional reflex construal of human causation in constitutedness as of any given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in prospective relative-ontological-incompleteness. This insight about human sovereignty and free-will effectively points to the ontological-flaw of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conceptions whether as of the past, present or future, inherently as of failing to account for relative-ontological-completeness implications that effectively and empirically underline sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing; and so especially as it is often implied by a ‘naïve type of philosophising that the conception of human sovereignty and free-will can be abstracted outside existential-contextualising-contiguity as to the underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in wrongly implying that human sovereignty and free-will is rather veridically underlied by ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ outside existential-contextualising-contiguity implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness. But then such pretence of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness veracity of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ is both theoretically and empirically non-veridical, speaking more of the reality of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications than truly rational argumentations as of knowledge-reification implications. Such ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentations are often intimately associated with providing the meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for the
powerful and vested-interests, and their insinuations of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ as ‘outside existential-contextualising-contiguity implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness’ is in effect not truly about the irrelevance of existential-reality implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness but rather more critically ‘is in effect about defaulting to specifically unavowedly/surreptitiously implied convenient/advantageous interpretations about existential-contextualising-contiguity which are not to be subjected to a fulsome analysis for ontological-veracity as of implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness and so on the basis of merely projecting the term ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ and thereof implying logical-dueness and articulating logic on the so-narrowed and uncontested framework’. The reason why such a ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ supposedly pertinent argumentation about human sovereignty and free-will cannot hold is that all meaningfulness-and-teleology (as implied with the logical operation of any such projected ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’) operate on priorly established apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and inherently all apriorising/axiomatising/referencing purport to be as of existential-contextualising-contiguity thus subject to analysis as of relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications as to their existential-reality veracity, such that fundamentally such ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation about human sovereignty and free-will are
rather ‘internally inconsistent’ and more aptly reflect manifestations of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications when analysed as of relative-ontological-completeness. Consider in this regards for instance as of the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness notion of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ underlying slavery, such an implied ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ is inherently making a claim on existential-reality which rather more aptly reflect a manifestation of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that one human being has the right to own another human being (as actually not even the logical-dueness of such a ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation can arise from the perspective of relative-ontological-completeness as what is then implied from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective is the acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of any such implied slavery ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’). The proof that this is priorly ‘a power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and not of veridical logical-dueness’ lies in the fact that for instance the Haitian slave revolters wouldn’t countenance the logical-dueness of any such implied logic of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-
arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ underlying their enslavement but merely as of their relative-ontological-completeness perspective of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing undertake in revolt the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> of any such implied slavery ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’. This points to the reality that ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation do not truly escape the ontological prism as of existence being the absolute a priori, and rather speak of epistemic situations in epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence with the possibility for true causality implications to be drawn in relative-ontological-completeness as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construable ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’. The confusion here arises because of the habituation of any such ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ which is then taken to be natural to the point of ‘forgetting/overlooking that it is underlied by apriorising/axiomatising/referencing power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications’ to which even the weaker party might end up getting habituated to (over years, decades or centuries) as of little alternate existential choice and possibilities, and from which point a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness false sense of logical-dueness as of relative-ontological-completeness implications may seem to arise; but as with say the American civil war and the Haitian slave revolt, the reality that such implied ‘human social-
vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-
discrete-social–value-construction’ is rather of flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications is met not with logical-
dueness and logical-engagement in wrongly validating any such apriorising/axiomatising/referencing but is rather meted with relative-ontological-
completeness perspective acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument in
unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
logicising/unsuitable/measuring/instrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism>. In fact, besides the more starkly demonstrable case
with respect to say slavery this equally applies with less starkly obvious situations having to
do with human social differentiation as well as any other situations requiring prospective
knowledge-reification as the possibility for all human progress arises effectively as a result of
the transcending of all such human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint power-
grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications construed as ‘human social-
vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-
discrete-social–value-construction’ as well as their socially attendant situations in need for
prospective knowledge-reification; and so not as of a falsely implied logical-dueness and
logical engagement that wrongly validate the relative-ontological-incompleteness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied
contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’
as being of existential-reality in relative-ontological-completeness, but rather as of the
relative-ontological-completeness perspective acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-⟨preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism⟩ of such implied ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation. In fact, such an interpretation about the ontological-veracity of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation is not only relevantly undermined with respect to say highlighting the supposed weaker party perspective in such a framework of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing but is equally undermined/subverted when conveniently so by the stronger party for instance in the case of the various allied powers of the second-world war overlooking Nazi scientists direct or indirect participation in war crimes on the rationale of strengthening themselves to ensure future security, and one can imagine the same with regards with many ad-hoc arrangements having to do with spying activities, etc.; thus pointing fundamentally to the ascendancy of the ontological implications of human limited-mentation-capacity as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) possibilities of relative-ontological-completeness analysis over the absolutising of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation. Thus any such pretence that ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation is absolute as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and not subject to prospective relative-ontological-completeness implications with regards to an
animal of limited-mentation-capacity requiring its prospective limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (and thus paradoxically in want of its very own ‘prospective<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness magnanimity induced originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ as to cohere with ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence>) is effectively bound not to be able to address the very central/critical implications to prospective knowledge-reification of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor (with the latter involving ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as implied prospectively in ‘construing of both the right apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination and thus the knowledge for that right mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination’). Even with the modern day polity and law, the reality of human sovereignty and free-will implied in human rights takes precedence over any ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social—value-construction’ practicalities and is the basis for continual social and governmental reforms; and as so-implied by the ‘structural/paradigmatic
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness magnanimity induced
originariness-parrhesia—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ with teleologically-degraded
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of blatant two-facedness/falseness that would hardly
contemplate that ‘the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness institutional
framework structurally/paradigmatically undermines in many ways the possibility for
veridical prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity as of its
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag’. Beyond and informing this
analysis of human sovereignty and free-will ontological implications (in articulating the very
underlying ontological-veracity insights that expand/broaden our specific human-subpotency
as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-
<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and
-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>’), the notion of causality as of
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is basically tied to the resolving/elucidating
of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as of the full potential for human knowledge-
reification. Such a human-causative-construction as of the underlying notion of relative-
tional-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-
measuringinstrumenting in <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ as to wrongly imply that human transcendence-and-
sublimity is just of a direct intemporal-as-ontological nature rather than truly involving both
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalisation/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}.

This more effectively speaks to the fact that ‘the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’, instigative of the
opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-
lacking-in-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’

structurally/paradigmatically carries the possibility (as of its constitutedness epistemic stance
in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) for ‘prospective originariness-
parrhesia,–as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’, instigative of the ‘inventing’/‘creating’ of the
possibility for ‘prospective secondnatured institutionalisation as prospective renewed
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’ so-reflected in their existential desublimation manifestation of
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)} or
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)} in
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Hence the need for prospective re-
structuring/re-paradigmging apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought
as from the instigation of dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation, as the
latter as the intemporal-as-ontological structurally/paradigmatically reflects the ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) and
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought implications’ for veridical
ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology. The very ontological-
veracity of any such ‘notional conceptualisation’ lies in construing how these reflect causality
as of ontological-primemovers-totalititative-implications as so-implied with the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology. What is critical with respect to prospective deprocripticism or preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is effectively the fact that its prospective
institutionalisation is much more than just any such ‘secondnatured-institutionalisation—
existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness—
epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as
of relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-
high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,—lacking-in—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness’ as prospective deprocripticism involves ‘superseding existential-extrication-as-
of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective with the integration
of the necessary, abstract and non-eliciting-of-opportunism dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension into its
secondnatured institutionalisation’ thus providing the structural/paradigmatic interlocking of
deprocripticism meaningfulness-and-teleology with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process ‘re-inventing’/‘re-creating’ dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation; as
many ways temporal-dispositions to existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective over intemporal-disposition of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions reflected in the repetitive succession of 


secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-
motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (resolving the prior destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance given human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint), and so for the latter to paradoxically
prospectively become homeless as reflected with the successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-
thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). This
protensive-consciousness analysis (as from the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-
as-to-projective-totalitative—implications.,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of
prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought
registry-worldview/dimension) in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process highlights that while in many ways such a conundrum of deficient
ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology could
structurally/paradigmatically be overlooked with regards to prior human registry-
worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations as to their specific notional—deprocrypticism or
<(amplituding)formative>notional—preempting—disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought of
base-institutionalisation, universalisation and our positivism/rational-empiricism, the
prospective possibility for deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-
thought is only imaginable/conceivable with the resolution of this specific underlying
‘conundrum of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation formation
discrepancy/sundering’ as to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
deterministic-and-immuable-as-individuation-representations. Furthermore (even as prior secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—


‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). This insight speaks of a more profound notion of human psychology as to a veridical ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, reflecting the fact that the underlying conceptualisation involving the notions of construction-of-the-Self as to human constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and shiftiness-of-the-Self as to human destructuring-threshold<<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>>of-ontological-performance in addressing human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor is effectively of more profound ontological-veracity than naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conception of psychology in many ways rather in <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag ‘as the latter in its epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence naively and wrongly goes on to define the very human-in-its-temporality/shortness/mortality in want for its prospective development paradoxically as the determining agent (as in its very presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) of such prospective development’; such that there is an underlying
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing between such presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness and prospective originariness/reifying/intellectualising—
idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation that is
fundamentally irreconcilable, as to the former’s lacking-in-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness critical for
prospective human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-
collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising→renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness (as so-validated by the fact
that we’ll effectively recognised that ‘supposedly constructing psychology’ on the effective
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising→self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of any of the successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of either
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation and
universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism is effectively sub-ontological—<as-to-the-
limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-
potency-of-existence> but then go on to falsely imply the profoundness of thought as of the
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of our positivism—procrypticism in its
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising→self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; as insightfully, as herein implied, such a
most profound notion of psychological science is one of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of
ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-
diffusion possibilities are already limited as to the already globalised world warranting our very own prospective reinvention/recreation) but for effective human effectuation. Humanity is thus intimately tied to human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor relative-ontological-completeness implications of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to the fact that the ultimate attainment of humanity as from Hegelian proto-humanity has ever always been as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as reflected by the fact that our mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is rather ‘a positive-opportunism exploitation that poorly projects humanity prospectively as to an existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and notionally-collateralising posturing that is unwary of its relative-ontological-incompleteness to then aspire for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ and all the prospective humanity that can arise is ever always as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation that goes after that relative-ontological-completeness, as to the fact that the possibility for humanity to arise is ever always tied down with the possibility for the human to address human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. Humanity as a dynamic construct speaks to the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation that structurally/paradigmatically re-enables the possibility for humanity to arise (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,—as—to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness to
supersede human temporality/shortness ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩wooden-language-
(imbuéd—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications＞); as the Foucauldian take truly reflects the fact that
there is no given human nature but rather the becoming possibility of human nature as of the
ultimate construction-of-the-Self towards attaining deprocrypticism/preempting—
disjointedness-as-reference-of-thought, thus overriding/overcoming the hitherto ever present
‘human relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-
attrition-and-high-extrinsic-attrition-susceptibility,-lacking-in-
⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’, underlying prior
successive registry-worldviews/dimensions destructuring-threshold-⟨uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality⟩—of-ontological-performance. In more
explicit terms, this ‘conundrum of discrepancy/sundering in ontologisation/ontological-
veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology along human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
institutionalisation formation’ speaks to the ‘social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of
any human originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating–
meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation as to human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’; as so-reflected with
the susceptibility to variably teleologically-degraded ontological-performance in a ‘dynamic
social and institutional conjugation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-
performances-including-virtue-as-ontology at the destructuring-threshold-
⟨uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality⟩—of-ontological-
performance’ that ends up ‘reconstruing any implied originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation in its very own terms as to the effectively manifest dynamics of institutional and social relations, constraints and performances’ that as of varying implicated stakes are not ‘necessarily absolutely tied-down’ to the abstract originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation even as such framework-for-idealising/transcending/sublimating is clearly or abstrusely the reference of social and institutional deferential-formalisation-transference. Thus the underlying reflex in considering human originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation as more or less fulfilled with a satisfactory theoretical-and-practicable-projected-outcome in many ways is naïve and incomplete as to when it is ‘wrongly predicated on a conception of the social and institutional as merely a passive framework of exquisite integration of abstract originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation’ failing to factor in the dynamics of social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of any such abstract originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation as to a ‘dynamic social and institutional conjugation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology at the destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance’.

Ultimately, with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction the effectively practised meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation while guided/constraint/structured by such originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation theoretical-and-practicable-projected-outcome
performance’ speaks to the susceptibility of the destructuring-threshold—uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance (addressed as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation) to teleologically-degraded ontological-performance and more profoundly so specifically with enculturated/endemised postlogism and conjugated-postlogism social and institutional manifestations, and with regards to many social-stake-contention-or-confliction circumstances of poor social and institutional accountability. Basically, the bigger point here is that however the socially transformative implications as of prior originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation and beyond the elicited positive-opportunism underlying deferential-formalisation-transference, there is much more involved in overall social and institutional meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the ‘dynamic social and institutional conjugation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performances at destructuring-threshold—uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance’. This may be overlooked in critical ways as to the critical fact that prior secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology idealising/transcending/sublimating doesn’t necessarily speak of an outright/absolute prospective inclination for human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,—as—to—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought, in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) for the
possibility of renewed originariness-parrhesia, –as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation to induced
prospective secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-
of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness–epistemically-induced/constrained–reproducibility-
motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology idealising/transcending/sublimating; as a naïve and
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview/dimension reference-
of-thought including our positivism–procrypticism may falsely project of itself (beyond-the-
Thus prospective originariness/reifying/intellectualising—
idealising/transcending/sublimating–meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation must
necessarily contend/vie with social and institutional wonkiness-of-secondnaturing as to the
social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of originariness/reifying/intellectualising—
idealising/transcending/sublimating–meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation. Critically
such wonkiness-of-secondnaturing, as to the social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of
originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating–
meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation, involves ‘blurry social and institutional expanse
of accommodating, contradictory and modulatory <(amplituding)formative>wooden-
language—{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}’ that while of differing
functional/dysfunctional implications however critically lends itself to paradoxical accommodations, contradictions and modulations of the prospective originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation. In many ways thus such social and institutional ‘cognisance-and-integration of the associated dysfunctional

\[\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\_\text{wooden-language-}\langle\text{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drug/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}\rangle' \]

(as to shiftiness-of-the-Self and corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology implications) by itself provides ‘preparatory/foundational causation’ for existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought temporal-dispositions underlying institutional and social failures and crises as to their destructuring-threshold-\langle\text{uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality}\rangle—of-ontological-performance (however the seeming remoteness from such direct social and institutional issues, crises and failures); as associated with various social and institutionalised frames of \langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\_\text{wooden-language-}\langle\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—}\langle\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—’nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle>, and as further surreptitiously enabled with sophistic/pedantic dispositions predisposed to articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms eliciting human temporality/shortness but then of teleologically-decadent—as-lacking-in-\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\_\text{epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness holistic social and institutional implications that default to vested postures and interests. This analysis is critical by the very ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness}
secondnaturuing as of the social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation’ implies that any given registry-worldview/dimension is in a <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag conception of value-construction and overall meaningfulness-and-teleology that is subpar to prospective possibilities of human transcendence-and-sublimity; and this particular point is critical for the awareness that social thought can be developed that ‘transepistemically overlooks the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conception of value-construction and overall meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (as to its destructuring-threshold<-uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance-induced <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) for the possibility of prospective transvaluation as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation, as so-reflected empirically in the instigation of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations. Thus, there is a direct relation between human-subpotency and existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness (so underlied as of the parrhesiastic seeding-promise-of-human-subpotency-ontological-performance-correspondence-with-the-full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity), and this is effectively instigated/originated by the human capacity for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension in its construction-of-the-Self with respect to prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. The underlying point here is that there is no inherent meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather as of the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-

<imbued-and-educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, that is, as to ‘human-subpotency potential to epistemically converge to the full-potency of existence’; and this underlying structure of reflexivity is the very structure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, however, the surreptitious and opportunistic temporal interpretations to exploit its positive consequences at one moment and to reject it the moment it prospectively challenges-us/puts-us-to-question as of prospective implications of living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The implication here is that all human knowledge is necessarily for-human-studies/for-human-constructs whether with regards to the social or the natural sciences; as to the fact that all such knowledge is ever only referenced/registered/decisioned in the human consciousness (individual consciousness and collective consciousness respectively as to direct knowledge and indirect knowledge as of deferential-formalisation-transference implications) and functions to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness with regards to human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in existence. The very possibility for prospective human knowledge generation thus calls for human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation given
the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, with such human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation speaking
of true humanity projection for prospective secondnaturing institutionalisation (that goes on
to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness), and so over the wrongfully
elicted self-satisfaction of sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought failing to address the
universal implications of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. This underlying human knowledge-
notionalisation is what speaks of the distinction between the physician and quack-doctor, the
technician/engineer and the scammer, the intellectual and the sophist, etc. Critically, the
former as involved in prospective originariness/reifying/intellectualising—
idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation bluntly
profess that ‘human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>)’ is in want for secondnatured knowledge and
institutionalisation, and so as to the former human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (as to the specifically cultivated
arts/skills and time investment, and on the intimation that the implied deferential-
formalisation-transference is so-validated as of the supposedly coherent ontological-
commitment). In the bigger picture, this speaks to a human socially expanded framework of deferential-formalisation-transference as to various cultivated skills/arts and time investment with their knowledge deferential-formalisation-transference validation as of the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment; and implying a greatly expanded human collective consciousness as of differing for-human-studies/for-human-constructs of originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation. On the other hand, what is typical about quack-doctors, scammers, sophists, etc. with regards to prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint is a predilection for eliciting the idea that ‘human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—’nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}’ is basically of competent judgment (notwithstanding the latter’s underlying banal framework as to the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, and lack of related cultivated skills/arts and time investment as to the requisite human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}). It is on the basis of ‘so-prepping the human ego’ in an exercise not truly meant to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness (going by the eventual outcomes of such falsehoods) given that in the very first place the issue has nothing to do with inherent and genuine originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation but rather a lulling falsehood that sees our mortal
egos as the very target for surreptitiously inducing our moral and intellectual disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession; as in effect, overall sophistry as to its underlying social-vestedness/normativity undermining of human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension is effectively about discouraging the possibility for prospective humanity to manifest. But then this intellectualism and sophistry conundrum underlying knowledge-notionalisation (as of prospective human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), structurally/paradigmatically marks all human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint as to ‘the uninstitutionalised-threshold attendant framework of lack of social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)-or-understanding-of-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena’. This very fact is defining as without the latter there wouldn’t be any human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in the very first place; and this very much explains the defining relevance of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, as to the possibility for genuine human reification and emancipation to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness or disenfranchising falsehoods. The taxingness-of-originariness (as to the direct relation between human-subpotency and existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,--in-epistemic-conflatedness) is effectively what underlies human institutional paralysis and social-vestedness/normativity as well as the possibility for prospective human construction-of-the-Self in the face of increasingly technically aloof/remote and racing technological, organisational and social transformation; such that the requisite human thoughtfulness that can correspondingly broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness is increasingly out of the loop as humankind in the modern positivism age has increasingly become rather a self-subjugating agent to such transformations as to their lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation implications with the notion of human consciousness sublimation increasingly passivised and blanked to vested social and institutional frameworks actions. But then humankind faces the challenge of contemplatively articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology capable of reinventing/recreating and keeping the human at the driver seat rather than an object of unformulated/unthought-of driven existential emergence/becoming as of lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation over a ‘dreary blankness of consciousness’ (rather functioning to be attended-to and accommodated/unaccommodated by that lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation) as human consciousness is in want of its very own corresponding sublimation as to redefining the possibilities/potential for prospective humanity that can further broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness. Such ‘dreary blankness of consciousness’ (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) is predicated upon and drags along the shiftiness-of-the-Self as from prior human stake-contention-or-confliction conceptualisation in a psychological entrapment of defining naiveties and complexes (so-construed in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as historicity-tracing’), and so towards humankind’s supposed future (as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology); and in many ways this historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition has already been stifling/stalling the human prospective potential as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective conception of future historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing relevant to deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Such historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition is fundamentally defined by a certain enduring reproducibility passivity and blankness of human social processes, wary of the implications of prospective renewal possibilities as the psychological entrapment constraints of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition override prospective originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation possibilities, and the prospect for the future is ever so tied down to the psychological entrapment of prior human stake-contention-or-confliction framework that nullifies the possibility for renewal of humanity. Institutionalised historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition thus foregoes the construal of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as a construct of re-originariness/re-origination of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) so implied from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective as to maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness and rather adopts the temporality/shortness comfort as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness hanging on to historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness notional framework of human stake-contention-or-confliction. Historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition thus involves a \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating conception of social-vestedness/normativity as to an underlying human psychological entrapment (as of living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that is incapable to re-stake/put-back-at-stake meaningfulness-and-teleology out of its historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition social-vestedness/normativity in order to reflect the true prospective overall aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as to the unbridled ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective re-originariness/re-origination of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Such social and institutional social-vestedness/normativity for instance like in many ways the practice in modern day scholarship (especially when poorly constrained to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness) is bound to ‘make its own weather’ rather as from human-subpotency temporality/shortness; wherein ‘invested’ institutional and theoretical/conceptual postures take on an essence all of their own, and so independently and overlooking the precedence of existential-reality for the possibility for prospective sublimation and knowledge-reification and failing to ‘effectively re-stake/put-back-at-stake in re-originariness/re-origination the capacity of human ontological-performance in a renewing originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ over already set/established/determining prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, and so failing to be responsive to the fact that human
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness becomes a psychological entrapment of an overwhelming presence hardly capable of profound re-originariness/re-origination but for its thresholding to the accrued historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition perception of temporal/shortness human stakes-contention-or-confliction framework; with the consequence that this mitigates the possibility to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness off-the-beaten-path of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition (as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as to the relation with human lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation, as such a consciousness increasingly adopts a desublimation/gimmickiness rather than its very own sublimation in tandem with material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation. This is reflected with the increasing remoteness/alooofness and alienation of the generalised human subject from such material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation captured under abstract institutional frameworks of stewardship expecting a ‘dreary blankness of consciousness’ (rather functioning to be attended-to and accommodated/unaccommodated by the lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation) in order to maximise passive enculturation and merchandising as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’. Thus, the possibility for the generalised human subject capacity for consciousness sublimation is seized up and constrained in such socially and institutionally bureaucratising and deterministic frameworks that now structurally/paradigmatically determine the possibilities of human consciousness sublimation as to their abstracted defining conception of human stake-contention-or-confliction (as of
living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) such that the generalised human subject re-originariness/re-origination sublimation imaginary possibilities are already truncated as from prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective of re-originariness/re-origination as implied with prospective deprocrypticism or preemting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Today, many agile initiatives allowing more or less for the expression of the human subject imaginary and so specifically with start-up entrepreneurship increasingly highlight that in many ways traditional social and institutional frameworks are suboptimal conceptualisations of human consciousness sublimation possibilities as to their thoroughgoing beholdenness to ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’ bounded to prospective thresholds of passivity and blanking of human consciousness sublimation possibilities. In many ways because of poor appreciation of the ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ the modern mindset has tended to construe of its lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation implications naively as implying the comprehensive fulfilment of human potential with poor appreciation/sense that effectively as reflected with prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, the proximity of technology then never implied as today a generalised human consciousness passivity and blankness to the point of relative desublimation/gimmickiness over sublimation (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>); and so as potently contended by Baudrillard simulacrum conception wherein gimmicky formulaic representations of overall aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-
ontology increasingly substitute for more profound possibilities of human aestheticisation–
and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to the
potential for prospective human consciousness sublimation as of a holistic projection of
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension. Whereas historically the technological accessibility and
proximity to the generalised human consciousness of such events like the invention of metal
implements, the plough, writing, the printing press, etc. provided more profound possibilities
for human consciousness sublimation in re-orginariness/re-origination, beyond mere lopsided
 technological as of lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation in the framework
of ‘a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
given historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’ that
passivises and blanks thus undermining/stifling the possibility for prospective
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While a traditional
conception of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs–of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring is often articulated as resting
on ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-
coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness/constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation
perspective thus supposedly rendering irrelevant their analysis as of inherent ontological-
veracity (as to supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with regards to the ‘full-
conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation as of existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness), but
rather tending to a construal as of ‘inherent prior aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-
towards-ontology as of human social-vestedness/normativity’; such a traditional conception
explicating-ontological-contiguity as to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that the ontological-veracity as of prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (as to supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with regards to the ‘full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness) truly reflects the deterministic epistemic causality of existential sublimation manifestation, and so over any such conceptualisation of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’, rather in shallow
eminence as of their ‘prospectively projected relative-ontological-completeness dimensionality-of-sublimating—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—\(=\)equalisation’. It is rather such an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence conceptualisation as reflected by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in full-conflicatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness imbued ontological-veracity (reflected in supposedly coherent ontological-commitment) that actually reflects the underlying notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity—\(<\text{mentally-aestheticised—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema}>\) of existence/existential-reality speaking of ontological-contiguity, whereas the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation implied from ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement—or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social—value-construction’ perspective are actually varying levels of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—\(<\text{mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema}>\) in identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism speaking of their discreteness as not reflecting ontological-contiguity as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional—projective-perspective (since there are not in full-conflicatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic—
faith/authenticity–over–deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, wherein an item of trade/exchange is placed at a neutral location/spot in the hope that the other will take it and reciprocate out of ontological-good-faith/authenticity with a satisfactory trade/exchange item (and so with the very real possibility that it might be taken without reciprocity out of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity), and so as to their underlying correspondingly ‘instigatable/promptable ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation’, with ‘mutually-and-complementarily instigated/prompted ontological-good-faith/authenticity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation’ inducing the very creative dynamics for human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring as to human-subpotency potential for social formation, modes-of-living, language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence, cultural practices etc., as such ‘instigative/prompting ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation’ ontologically precede and define the possibility for the creative dynamics of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring as to human-subpotency potential for social formation, modes-of-living, language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence, cultural practices, etc. (as of the historial selectivity/deselectivity of underdetermined human social constructs, conceptualisations and theories as to existence constrained transcendence/sublimation as knowledge-reification and human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation in a foregrounding—entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism dynamics of the human reference-of-thought, as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation non-rules—
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referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation perspective in relative-ontological-incompleteness as underlying justification for the sustainability of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring (as it rather becomes prospectively from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective a

as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold) as defining the given registry-worldview/dimension
meaningfulness-and-teleology, and secondly ‘the ordering-of-values within the scope of the
social-rationalisation—as-reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation construed as of token/emblematic absolute’, and thirdly
‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
ralionalising/transepistemistic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation,—as-to—
the-prospective-lacking-in—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
ralionalising/transepistemistic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of the social-
rationalisation—as-reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’. These three criss-crossing rationalising-frameworks are
parametrically reflected as of ‘the varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-
restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-
ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’. This theoretical elucidation is critical from the
notional–deprocrypticism/<(amplituding)formative>notional–preempting—disjointedness—
as-of-reference-of-thought
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
epistemic/notional–projective-perspective of dispensing-with-immediacy—for-relative-
ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, in properly garnering the
requisite ontological-veracity/insight as to prospective deprocrypticism re-originariness/re-
origination construction-of-the-Self as of its implied psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic—
reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise of dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation

reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning; to further broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-

consciousness; beyond the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

‘gimmickiness of consciousness’ (as to the blanking and passivity associated with its

<amplituding>formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal–mere-

form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–

narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology))

to the requisite prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-
of-thought ‘sublimation of consciousness’, as the latter’s protensive–self-consciousness

prospectively overcome human relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-

low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness. This disambiguation

of ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘dynamically-

convergent-rationalising-frameworks of meaningfulness-and-teleology of differing

ontological-performance implications’ speaks to the fact that, as from the ontological-

normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective, the ontological-

performance of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (rather operantly construable as

temporal-to-intemporal individuations) reflect a ‘formative underlying human
decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation’ in

reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The

‘imaginary of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ is

a projection towards the prospective sublimating historiality/ontological-
supposedly most enlightening-giving notion of philosophy as to its decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation (as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective) from human philosophy, to varying philosophies as of African, Oriental, European, Arab, etc. as to desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition psychological entrapment that ultimately denatures the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing purity of the very notion of philosophy. This patent elucidation of the decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation as to such a supposedly most abstract and enlightening-giving notion that is philosophy is a basic insight (as construed from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective) of desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition psychological entrapment with respect to the overall prospective sublimating historiciality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (which structurally/paradigmatically seems to be entrapped/stifled in human taxingness-of-originariness). Effectively, human decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation arises as of ‘taxingness-of-originariness (what has gone before aesthetically structures/paradigms distortedly the possibility for the later aestheticisation). The idea of superseding the human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation (as to ‘abstractly projected finality in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’) for prospective sublimating historiciality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, patently makes obvious what the true implications of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought project with respect to its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension re-originariness/re-

Its defining question is whether and how can the human reconstrue meaningfulness-and-teleology in re-originariness/re-origination beyond its trailing/dragging foregone aestheticised meaningfulness-and-teleology construal? This limitativeness of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition is fundamentally an issue of human psychological entrapment ‘defining naiveties and complexes’ as to human shiftiness-of-the-Self as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (construable abstractly as fundamentally subpar to human effectuation potential but for the fact that the psychological entrapment is a paradoxical circular constituent of the human as to its ‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity by sublimating-humanity existentialism-form-factor’). Human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as the very seeding disposition for historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition is ever always characterised by its immediacy-reactive-criticality (over panoramic-sublimating-criticality) as to its constraining aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology framework; such that the propensity for human meaningfulness-and-teleology to be instigated (as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}) before any construable human panoramic-sublimating-criticality outcome of meaningfulness-and-teleology has ever
construal of prospective ontological-veracity—as-to-inherent-ontological-contiguity in the face of
‘manifest existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflededness in epistemic conflation’ rather veridically construable in
the prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing tranepistemicity (as of prospective
notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking—qualia-schema>)’. Historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-
hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as such actually reflects the structural/paradigmatic
limitation of the given human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s epistemic-gesturing for the
construal of ontological-veracity—as-to-inherent-ontological-contiguity at its prospective
uninstitutionalised-threshold; speaking of a state of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> in relative-
ontological-incompleteness in relation to the now prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-
contiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema> of
the relative-ontological-completeness, as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
epistemic/notional-projective-perspective. Effectively, historicity-tracing—presencing-
imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as of its implied contrastive
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of (relative-ontological-incompleteness
of
notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-
aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema>)

and apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of (relative-ontological-completeness in
prospective
notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity—<mentally-
aestheticised—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema>), can be reflected
historically with respect to say ‘an engrained traditional non-positivism/medievalism
conceptualisation of the world’ incapable/could-not-bring-itself to mentally process the
implications of planets shown with a telescope to be rather going around the sun in a nascent positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme implied by Galileo and further conceptually articulated by Descartes’ thinking proposition as to its mathesis universalis implications, such that it is as of a cross-generational transformation that humankind develops the positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism (as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) to grasp the full structural/paradigmatic implications of positivism/rational-empiricism as from the initial non-positivism/medievalism historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition with regards to the prospect of positivism/rational-empiricism aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, this insight can be extended in reflecting the historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of ‘an engrained traditional non-universalising conceptualisation of the world’ incapable/could-not-bring-itself to mentally process the implications of the nascent universalising-idealisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme implied by the Socratic philosophers as to its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism (as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) induced cross-generational transformation. In both instances it speaks to an underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism ‘wanting of human consciousness sublimation’ to effectively come to terms with ‘manifest existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness in epistemic conflation’, thus inducing its notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> as to the fact that notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical–
thinking–qualia-schema> is now implied prospectively as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective. Thus in the bigger picture, Baudrillard’s conception of hyperreality (as implied with respect to our present lopsided technological as of lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation) speaks to the underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism ‘wanting of human consciousness sublimation’ as to its capacity to sublimate beyond our positivism–procrypticism historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology; reflected as the epistemic insufficiency of our ‘gimmickiness of consciousness’ with regards to the potential for re-originariness/re-origination beyond procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition inclination now reflected as prior notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>, as so-construed projectively from the prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>. Human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as to its prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism recovery of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> with regards to ‘manifest existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness in epistemic conflation’ (overcoming the
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor (reflecting their uninstitutionalised-threshold) rather as
imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in
surmountable/unovercomable as to their given historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition psychological entrapment (in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity<-mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> of aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology) induced lack of
universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the-
Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
and its relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-
and-high-extrinsic-attribute-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness. The dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation,-as-to-the-prospective-lacking-in-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of all registry-
worldviews/dimensions is effectively what renders (by its ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-
existential-unthought) the possibility for the succession of prospective registry-
worldviews/dimensions underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process; and it is this dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-


Insightfully, while with prior registry-worldviews/dimensions human consciousness sublimation ontological-performance had rather assumed ‘an overall human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect’ (involving ‘a notionally-collateralising inclination detour of aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ as to the underlying ‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity by sublimating-humanity existentialism-form-factor’), the requisite protensive–self-consciousness of prospective deprocrypticism is one that as to its full grasp/understanding/universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing- ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} of the restructuring/reparadigming possibilities of prospective human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology should be amenable to a self-consciousness projection that should be able to engage with its corresponding level of taxingness-of-originariness (as to its own ‘humanity-sublimation homework’ at its given supposed growth/maturity at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) in adopting a re-originariness/re-origination consciousness sublimation over historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition that overcome ‘a notionally-collateralising inclination detour of aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ implicated in
the originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect (as so-implied with the deprocrypticism prospective superseding of human relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicted-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribute-and-high-extrinsic-attribute-susceptibility,-lacking-in-
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, given that prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing is more than just the prospective reproducibility potential of aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology but is actually the ‘equalisation of all historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’: as to imply that ‘the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’ = ‘the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation (as ‘equalisation of all historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’) speaks to ‘a transverse dimensionality about human consciousness sublimation originariness/origination—<so-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>), so-reflected in its
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing-
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology};
further speaking of the differentiation of these two dimensionalities as of originariness/mere-
reproducibility, driveness/mere-function, sublimation/mere-gimmickiness, reification/mere-
extrication, existential-thoughtfulness/mere-existential-unthoughtfulness, responsibility/mere-
indulgence, antinihilism/mere-nihilism etc. in the face of prospective human-subpotency-
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. This highlights that
the the epistemic-instigation of prospective deprocrypticism contemplation is necessarily as
of disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-
ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, and so before logical-dueness as to ontologically-valid
language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence can even arise in the first place; explaining in many
ways the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional—projective-perspective
projecting of a dynamic differentiated transversality—of-affirmative—and-unaffirmative,—
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human-subpotencies ontological-
performance as to the selective-and-deselective determination of existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, and so over the purported
inherent human-subpotency/mortal perspective pre-eminence over the sublimating-over-
desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
dialogical-equivalence, cultural practices, etc., as ‘manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—
construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-
reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In this
regards and more fundamentally (and as it is reflected in the aestheticisation—and-
aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology of human living-
development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-
function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
deepth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology),
historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition is
aestheticised (as from human mental-aestheticisation—architectonically-consigning—
aestheticised-perceptibility-and-disposition) more as of beholding-becoming—distortive-
originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing~inhibited-mental-aestheticising
as from human-subpotency epistemic/notional~projective-perspective of ontological-
performance (in contrast to the acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness of
bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing~disinhibited-mental-aestheticising, as from
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective of
ontological-performance as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness). Insightfully, ecstatic-existence (existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness) is not beholden to human
with regards to human mental-aestheticisation—architectonically-consigning—aestheticised-
perceptibility-and-disposition as of human-subpotency beholding-becoming—distortive-
While it is human mental-aestheticisation—architectonically-consigning—aestheticised-perceptibility-and-disposition that underlies ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics


acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing for mental-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’; the ontological-pertinence (as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) of
tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of intellectual-
muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of
institutional-being-and-craft in our positivism–procrpticism age is one ‘that in many ways
implies an abandonment of even the reality of prior human thoughtfulness that led to its
present as its present is construed as of decisively absolutised capacity of thought’, thus
falsely rendering/construing of human capacity in its present ‘the exceptional capacity of
excogitation’ unwary of its own ontological-impertinence as to the need to projectively
integrate the structuring/paradigming relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-
ontological-completeness implications of excogitation in its own present and the prospective
projection as reflected herein with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process conception. This occlusiveness of thought then goes on to ride-
the-wave/exploit-without-corresponding-sublimation-as-to-existence-potency-implications of
a lopsided scientific and technological sublimation as it falsely ‘usurps the latter’s
speakership as of a science-ideology elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity’ even as notable natural scientists as to their candid knowledge-
reification intuitions put in question such a naïve science-ideology hardly recognising the so-
implied commonality of epistemic and methodological applications reflected by the naïve
institutional-appendage of gatekeeping scientism such a naïve intellectual-muddlement-
(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) projects
as truly science and knowledge; and so, as its disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> and
desublimation/gimmickiness is poorly inclined as to its blurriness to be critically exposed to
the validative/invalidative sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness (as it hardly recognises the epistemic pre-eminence of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and the consequential relative-ontological-completeness implications), as its advancing of authority here is rather more seminal than the requisite confident knowledge-reification and elucidation of true thought for justifying its deferential-formalisation-transference beyond its mere institutional pre-eminence, and ‘an alien exercise of supposed intellectualism’ that fails to truly engage with critiques as it is surreptitiously involved in extra-intellectualism rather than reify and argue/prove/disprove speaking of a political development that can only undermine true human knowledge-reification potential as all such posturing end up assuming a corresponding social-vestedness/normativity role incapable of the requisite mental adventure for human consciousness sublimation as it is hardly bothered by the state-of-affairs of intellectual impotency it projects in the face of the conceptual and practical challenges of the social as it construes it rather as imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable (explaining in many ways such an intellectual-muddlement—(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) supposed conception of the end of history that fails to account for the fact that the ‘end of any human minds’ is not the end of the ecstatic-existence possibilities of human consciousness sublimation as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as so-effectively pointed out by Baudrillard), and as eventually the tool of the sophist is wielded as to a supposedly intellectual approach that
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiac-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}
now increasingly inducing sovereign disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession.
But then the requisite human intellecktion sublimation from our positivism–procrypticism
historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition (as from
prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence deprocrypticism perspective) is reflected
in the fact that the true prospect of the deprocrypticism imaginary/ideality as prospective
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing will effectively have to be
as of a variedly sublimating-humanity that humankind could generate cross-generationally by
its dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
derdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance
equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-
coherence/contiguity’ towards its potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so construed as of ‘ontologically-
uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-
consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-
reasoning’; as we can fathom that no singular minds in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
could metaphoricitically generate the comprehensive imaginary/ideality for the human
possibility of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, and likewise for prospective
universalisation–non-positivism-medievalism, likewise for prospective positivism—
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as to a much more profound deprocrypticism imaginary/ideality projection (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’). This is very much in line with the idea that every registry-worldview/dimension certainly has a conceptualisation of the notion of progress but such a conceptualisation is naively grounded on its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (as it engages in the complexification of meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of its very same apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument it construes/reproduces as absolute) and fails to appreciate that it is rather by putting in question its acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism that it then aligns to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness; and so because the initiation by human limited-mentation-capacity of the acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to reflect ecstatic-existence is of limited ontological-performance such that inherently the human should be able to anticipate the need for its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-
referencing/re-intelligibilitysetup/re-measuringinstrumenting so-explaining the
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation, as if the
human had absolute-mentation-capacity as falsely implied by presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness inclinations the very first humans will not
apriorise/axiomatis/reference meaningfulness-and-teleology as of recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation but will directly attain prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In this regards, the dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation and the
dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation are intimately related respectively to ontological-good-
faith/authenticity (enabling the possibility of human transcendence-and-sublimity) and
ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (assuming a desublimation/gimmickiness as to its
perceived presencing social-stake-contention-or-confliction), and so beyond-the-
Prospective deprocrypticism thus is ‘a projection beyond just about a deterministic
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatis/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, but a
fundamental grasp of the underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation and the
dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-

Interestingly, human rememoration/historical-recording is highly skewed towards the rememorising/recording of ‘transvaluative sublimating-outcomes-of-institutionalisation’ while overlooking the underlying ‘recurrent mental-orientations involved contendingly’ in producing the ‘transvaluative sublimating-outcomes-of-institutionalisation’. ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-

qualia-schema (as rather failing to attain prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>), in reflecting prospective ontological-contiguity: as to imply that ‘the lack-of-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’ = ‘the lack-of-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of base-institutionalisation–unniversalisation’ = ‘the lack-of-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism’ = ‘the lack-of-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of positivism–procrypticism’; so-construed as ‘the lack-of-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation (in
aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>) as given even in the face of its prospectively implied ‘abnormality’ (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) from the projected universalising-idealisation/rational-empiricism implications. This reality is equally applicable to our state of positivism–procrypticism as to a disinclination to perceive its prospectively implied ‘abnormality’ (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) as projected from prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In many ways, as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, this paradox is inevitable as the very state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation do not have the directly operant means as to its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism to project of the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, just as the latter with prospective universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, likewise the latter with prospective positivism–procrypticism, and likewise our positivism–procrypticism with prospective deprocrypticism. This emphasis is made rather to point to the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag underlying the supposed projection of intellection on the basis of the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation (in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective, as it rather reflects prospective notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>); as reflected in the fact
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint issues (requiring the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought), which articulation and constructive addressing should actually be the very conceptualisation of intellection. In this regards, we can appreciate that the Socratic philosophers and budding-positivists actually addressed and resolved the human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of their respective times as of sublimating intellectualism (as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought, involving a sense of intellectual-and-moral sacrifice as to the pre-eminence of ecstatic-existence implications as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness) undermining their respective gimmickiness-of-thought (in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective) associated with sophists and medieval-scholastics then respectively defining the ‘thought/intellectual Establishment’, and that the possibility for such sublimating intellectualism as to its crude and unsavoury social discomfort implications is hardly a question of eliciting human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’–with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of moral and intellectual disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession. In the bigger scheme of things the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation warrants that the prospective projection of any human meaningfulness-and-teleology as
transcendental-enabling/sublimating should be articulated in such a way as to imply that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology should assume the same disposition as to the possibility of enabling the sublimation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; such that ‘supposed reifying’ meaningfulness-and-teleology in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective effectively comes out as epistemically-decadent and in ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, as to the fact that in the face of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, if no human minds projected not of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought (eliciting the possibility for the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) but rather existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought (undermining the possibility for the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively in our positivism–procrysticism, then the structural/paradigmatic possibilities in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process wouldn’t be possibile. Such meaningfulness-and-teleology in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective as to the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation rather speaks of a parasitising conception of intellection that warrants that by some miracle the possibility of human sublimation induced as of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension should arise, for that sublimation to be then parasitised with gimmickiness-of-thought as to social-stake-contention-or-confliction eliciting of human temporality/shortness
In many ways, this dimensionality of desublimating-lack of—<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation explains a poor inclination-or-capacity to effectively interpret the projected meaningfulness-and-teleology of many a past thinker as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness institutional and social-vestedness/normativity
<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that naively think that being at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process inherently grants epistemic-profundity (not factoring that this is not necessarily the case with overall existence beholden frameworks which can actually suffer intellectual regression) unlike the case with epiphenomena as in the science domains (as providing the prolongation for human interpretation capacity with respect to epiphenomenal manifestations outside ordinary existential sublimation manifestations). In this regards, we can appreciate that the strong predictive constraining in many a natural science domain (as strongly constrained to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness) induces the manifestation of sublimating thought as from induced requisite cogency of knowledge-reification (as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought) unlike is the case in many a blurry domain highly subjected to imprimatur totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought as to poor deferential-formalisation-transference justification as often in the social not the least bothered about the overall cogency of projected knowledge-reification (thus
rather tending towards existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective). We can consider in this regards how authority actually serves its true deferential-formalisation-transference role quickly gives to prospective possibilities of sublimating knowledge-reification wherein for instance in the physics domain-of-study at the beginning of the 20th century the eminent physicists from say the cohorts of the Poincarés, the Einsteins, the Bohrs, the Feynmans, etc. successively passing on the baton (as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness), as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought; whereas in many a blurry domain-of-study, disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> tend to be the order of the day often assuming a quasi-political strategic orientation as to gimmickiness-of-thought as of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought postures (poorly appreciating the profound knowledge-reification sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness) as to the fact that the human mortal whim/discretion-of-thought projected as aura-and-imprimatur comes to be enshrined as being bigger than ecstatic-existence structural/paradigmatic implications. In many ways (unlike is the case with the natural sciences directly constrained to ecstatic-existence predicative-effectivity–sublimation-{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment}) induced constraining reifying-and-empowering reflexivity undermining human-subpotency totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought), many a blurry domain-of-study tend to be inclined to conceptualise supposed knowledge-reification as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-
outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity without the defining ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional~projective-perspective>’ as to the lack or poor predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) induced constraining reifying-and-empowering reflexivity leading to a social-vestedness/normativity reflex rather than ontological elucidation reflex. Such an approach is often projected contradictorily as methodologically emulating the natural sciences on the one hand but on the other hand implying that the knowledge-reification implications for the social are different as to the supposedly non-metaphysical (as non-ontological) nature of the social and cultural; failing to grasp/intuit that there can’t be any such thing as non-ontological as ‘all that there is’ is ontological, as existence is effectively all that there is and it is rather a question of the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> to epistemically come to terms with the absolute a priori that is existence as the ontological as to the overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness. Furthermore, the ‘social and cultural is rather priorly constrained to the ontological’ with regards to the fact that ‘scientific and technical capabilities and their implicated socio-organisational and value-referencing construct’ as to their inherent human reifying and empowering reflexivity implications, speaking of the ontological, are not necessarily ontologically-tied-to and/or ontologically-exclusive-of any social and cultural framework or
peoples (in the sense that scientific and technical phenomena like electricity, machines, modern medicine, etc., their enabling social utilities/utilisations, and the value/moral outlook of the underlying positivism/rational-empiricism conceptualisations like provision of modern public services, associated freedoms, prospective knowledge-reification and empowering implications, etc. are not strictly meant for given specific social and cultural frameworks, and are rather amenable to all human social and cultural frameworks with regards to relative-ontological-completeness implications as to ‘enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation’); as the ontological inherently permeates all social and cultural frameworks so-reflected as of their underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment thus inducing the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity when any of its given meaningfulness-and-teleology is discovered/shown not to be ontologically veridical leading to its effective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Such that all human social or cultural frameworks are construable as of relative-ontological-completeness implications as to ‘enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation’; and the idea of such relative-ontological-completeness implications is not about the subjugation of the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness but quite the contrary as the state of relative-ontological-completeness (as to its true human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-'notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-'attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <(amplitude)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) implies an ‘emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ in relation to ‘the other’ that is in the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness. Interpreting the historical failures associated with colonising or slaving or otherwise-exploitative-or-extminating societies (as in the specific case of positivism/rational-empiricism technical and scientific development it inevitably implied the coming-together/encountering/meeting of societies worldwide), to then imply such a notion of relative-ontological-completeness implications is irrelevant is rather a nuancing error that fails to assess/evaluate that the more critical issue had to do with ‘the appropriate emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as effectively and paradoxically such a lack of nuancing can then lead to the interpretation that such historical failures should equally be the unavoidable expectation prospectively in analogous circumstances of socio-cultural disparity of societies, rather than interpreted to mean the prospective need for the requisite human knowledge-reifying and empowering reflexivity of appropriate human emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in the relationship between the state of relative-ontological-completeness and the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness. Such a wrong interpretation arises as to lack-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness (reflecting mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation) that fails to make a nuance between on the one hand historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition implications as to the ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-
originariness/distortive-origination, rather than being fully assumed as marking positivism/rational-empiricism progress implied historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing originariness. Besides such an approach (that claims to mirror the sciences while at the same time claiming to be non-ontological as to non-metaphysical) fails to grasp that natural sciences are actually in ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional–projective-perspective>’ and so as of the ‘internally implicited epistemic reflection of natural sciences sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ in the sense that ‘scientists never-and-have-never really started scientific knowledge-reification apriorisingly/axiomatising/referencingly—as-from-scratch/as-from-zero-<wrongly-implying-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)-implications-of–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-as-so-reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby-directly-producing-the_absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes’ but rather the inherent ‘education of scientists as from basic notions while making reference to past scientists momentous contributions up to the state-of-the-art outcomes’ is the equivalent of ‘natural sciences own sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting construct’ (as of past, present and future projections of scientific
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)-implications-of–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-as-so-reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby-directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes (and as the social is permeated with historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as to the distorting epistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) makes the critical flaw of ignoring that such ‘a reference of conceptualisation/conception’ manifests its very own ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing defect of ontological-performance’ as to its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, that then fails to reflect the true social sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (as overall and defining ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional–projective-perspective>’), especially as it turns a blind eye to its more profound human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. Thus failing to allow existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and true transcendental signifier (going by the sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness) to epistemically enlighten the social sublimation process (as it is existence that enables without
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘precedes-and-defines thought’ and so as prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning (as to originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation) inducing secondnatured and subsequent reasoning-from-results/afterthought (as to reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation), with the latter being projected naively as absolute (in its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of conceptualisation as of its human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) when ‘logically’ conceptualising the social
apriorisingly/axiomatisingly/referencingly—as-from-scratch/as-from-zero—wrongly-
implying-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

(<<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)-implications-of-re-apriorising/re-
axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-as-so-
reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby-
directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes (and as the social is permeated
with historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as to
the distorting epistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity induced
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness). Such a critical epistemic and true
knowledge-reification implications flaw arises because of the failure in grasping the
‘projective implications’ of human limited-mentation-capacity (as to ‘human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening-(<<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation))) when
‘logically’ conceptualising the social apriorisingly/axiomatisingly/referencingly—as-from-
scratch/as-from-zero—wrongly-implying-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

(<<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)-implications-of-re-apriorising/re-
axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-as-so-
reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby-
directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes (and as the social is permeated
with historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition as to
the distorting epistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity induced
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness); as human limited-mentation-capacity-
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‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’), as-operative-notional-deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional-projective-perspective>’ that precedes and defines the pertinence of ‘methods/methodologies/approaches as to reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’. This inevitably means that a naïve and traditional conception of methods/methodologies/approaches as ‘mere deterministic alibis of profoundness of studies’ is uncalled for as to the fact that ‘this doesn’t inherently commits existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in—epistemic—conflatedness (when failing to truly reflect the requisite ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,—profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring—instrument—for—conceptualisation’), such that it is the precedence of the ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity drivenness of contemplation/analysis’ of the researcher/investigator that is vital as to cultivating ‘an internalised reappropriating of the existential-contextualising-contiguity implications of methods/methodologies/approaches as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’. The requisite ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,—profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring—instrument—for—conceptualisation’ reflect the ontological-veracity that ‘the human knowledge-reification project’ is rather a ‘commitment to origination/reorigination underlying originaliness—parrhesia,—as—spontaneity—of—aestheticisation as to human limited—mentation—capacity—deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic—totalisingly,—as—to—existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation’)’ so implied by its subjection to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confledness inducing of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as reflecting the dimensionality-of-sublimating—

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation (as the postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process); and so well beyond mere methods/methodologies/approaches as to ‘the historicity-tracing—presencing-imbuendyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of the merely affixed methods/methodologies/approaches of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in distorted-originariness/distorted-origination’ as reflecting the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—

explaining why the successive institutionalisations occur ‘by subverting their prior registry-worldview/dimension perceived methods/methodologies/approaches for prospective knowledge-reification’. The fact is ‘what is effectively lost-and-abandoned in practices of science-ideology supposedly based on scientific methods/methodologies/approaches’ is the fundamental reality that such methods/methodologies/approaches came-about/were-introduced/were-invented in a tight-and-entwined relationship of prior

totalising–renewing–realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as to the requisite prospectively-profound-and-recreative insight implications about prospective appropriateness of methods/methodologies/approaches with regards to profound knowledge-reification beyond presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’. The latter is so-criticised as to the fact that methods/methodologies/approaches, as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, are actually the mechanical-knowledge outcrop of the ‘successive reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning prospective idiosyncratic-framing of existential-reality as to the organic-knowledge of the Socrates, Platos, Aristotles, Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Leibnizes, Darwins, Rousseaus, etc. as to their induced prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseding-logical-basis>’ (which never existed before as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation), with regards to enabling ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,—profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation’ (as to implied ‘conceptualising implications about existential-reality’ in reflecting the ‘relevant-level human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’ to be surpassed/superseded/overcome for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity); speaking to the fact that ontological-good-faith/authenticity about existential-reality precedes-and-define the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity beyond just mere muddling/pedantising of methods/methodologies/approaches as to prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseded-logical-basis> in a poor ontological-good-
faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity relation to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confiliatedness as to the requisite prospectively-profound-and-recreative insight implications about prospective appropriateness of methods/methodologies/approaches with regards to existential-contextualisation-contiguity. Critically ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,—profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’ (which is actually constrained to ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional~deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from—prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional~projective-perspective>’), precedes-and-defines the pertinence of ‘methods/methodologies/approaches as to reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’; and so as to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with regards to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confiliatedness. More than just about abstract knowledge-reification the implications of science-ideology are ultimately social and institutional as to the implications of human emancipation; and so in the sense that contrary to what is generally thought, science itself as for-human-studies is the very first-level of social science as of the epistemic implications it projects upon society and
social meaningfulness-and-teleology, and critically so because in reality budding-positivists were actually the very first modern social scientists in the sense that their posturing wasn’t critically about the ‘technicalities of the budding natural science they advanced’ like a heliocentric world or rational-empiricism driven natural science basis of analysis (as to satisfy their mere natural science curiosity given that in many ways some of the notions where previously advanced in different forms), but they were rather critically engaged in a social posturing to epistemically reconstrue the society and social meaningfulness-and-teleology in those scientific terms and the future elaboration and development of the natural sciences could only be rendered possible with an open society responsive to such budding scientific meaning, and it was this social posturing which was the true source of their troubles and persecution. In fact, such ridiculous historical interpretations seeming to criticise budding positivists like Galileo for wrongly making the case for a heliocentric world for instance are paradoxically based on condemning the latter and other budding positivists for having a poor experimental framework as of ontologically-deficient presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness analyses that fail to factor in that the very notion of ‘positivistic science experimental framework historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ was developed and enculturated/constructed as scientific practices by these budding positivists with their medieval societies previously knowing nothing of such as to their scholastic pedantry (as to the mere disinclination and incuriosity to even look through a telescope and draw contemplative consequences); and such a criticism on the basis of the subsequently developed and more precise modern day science experimental framework speaks of the characteristic nature of a supposed knowledge-reification exercise that doesn’t factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplituding⟩formative-epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩ as of relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-
adapting to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness), and so much more than just an exercise of mere methods/methodologies/approaches reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseded-logical-basis>.

Thus it is such an ideological conception of science and knowledge-reification on the latter basis (as of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseded-logical-basis>) that ultimately translates into the ‘methodological, epistemic, institutional and social sagging of human knowledge-reification’ reflected abstractly in crises of methodology, epistemicity and scholarship as well as derived human institutional and social crises as to underlying meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure; and critically so with regards to our own positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought relevant-level of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor that has to be addressed. In another respect, given the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension involved in true human consciousness sublimation, the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation warrants that the conception of veridical human knowledge and emancipation is not beholden on the mere eliciting of a basic positive-opportunism, as ‘the very abstract value-reference commitment for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’ that brings about sublimation needs to be construed as to imply ‘it is the underlying organic framing of the induced sublimation’, and so in order to
avoid ‘sublimation value-reference usurpation’ wherein the temporal induced positive-opportunism elicits parallel competing meaningfulness-and-teleology (in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective of the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation) and come to foreclose/undermine the instigative intemporal/longness dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension inducing sublimation as of the secondnaturing institutionalisation exercise. In many ways the underpinning–suprasocial-construct itself as to ‘a rather acerbic and direct positive-opportunism inclination’, while of abstractive apprehension of sublimation possibilities, tend to poorly appreciate the underlying and implied dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation and is functionally-speaking rather positive-opportunism beholden as to historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition implications; as in reality the fact is any underpinning–suprasocial-construct in its projection of social-stake-contention-or-confliction is hardly enamoured with the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as of the instigative disposition for prospective transcendent-al-enabling/sublimation possibilities in the sense that even the underpinning–suprasocial-construct framework of say enlightenment despots or philosophising emperors are not truly instigative of budding-positivism or universalising-idealisation thought respectively, nor is our modern day presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness politically clouded historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition underpinning–suprasocial-construct

Ultimately, the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension construed as the nascent prospect for overcoming the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack—of—<((amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation effectively projects the possibility of boundless human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology well beyond our present contemplation of what is implied by meaningfulness-and-teleology, as in many ways the reality of our past and present aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology has ‘paradoxically hugely been burdened with desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition induced preemptive anticipation/anxiety about the human’ rather than the summoning of the full possibilities of the human; as by a
soothing mental-reflex just as with all registry-worldviews/dimensions we tend to take comfort in our ‘beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhibited-mental-aestheticising as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ rather than contemplate about prospective possibilities of ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing—d uninhibited-mental-aestheticising as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’. Interestingly, in this regards in many ways the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process possibility is hardly just about human ‘mere technical capacity potential’ but it is rather more critically a psychological issue as of desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition psychological entrapment implications that limit/stifle the human imaginary/ideality as to its dimensionality—of—sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic—growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic—residuality/spirit—drivenness—equalisation capacity ‘to project in disseminative—selectivity—of—ontological—good—faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity—of—ontological—bad—faith/inauthenticity’ (as to the underlying human ontological—faith—notion—or—ontological—fideism—imbued—underdetermination—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as—so—being—as—of—existential—reality ‘seeding promise of human—subpotency—ontological—performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency—of—existence—as—of—its—coherence/contiguity). It is important to grasp here that such a construal of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness—as—of—reference—of—thought highlighting the prospective implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of—the-human-institutionalisation-process as of the specific human—subpotency as to overall reifying—and—empowering-reflexivity—of—ecstatic—existence—as—panintelligibility—&—imbued—and—educed—human—subpotency—epistemic—perspective—of—aestheticising—motif—and—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> (as to underlying human construction-of-the-Self) is not ‘a metaphysical/ideological advocacy’, no more than say the universalising-idealisation philosophers nor the budding-positivists were involved in any ‘metaphysical/ideological advocacy’, but rather just as modern day science such a conception speaks to ‘the inherent ontological implications as to human knowledge-reification and corresponding empowering reflexivity as to human-subpotency implied human potential’ (as implied in the differentiation between postmodern ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction/genealogy that exposes itself and is phronetically/practically encrusted/embedded/inlayed with inherent existence as to its underlying ontological claim sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation, and say a Hegelian dialectics and its derived-dialectics like Marxism wherein aspiration/ideology takes-a-leap-above/parts-with and is not utterly submitted to inherent existence ontological implications). Such a deprocrypticism conceptualisation of ‘boundless human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ speaks in itself of the ‘potentiative-paradox of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ (as the underlying potentiative-paradox of human paradoxes). Critically, at any given moment, potentiatively humankind is ever always inclined-and-amenable to face up to certain aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint while rather disinclined with respect to other aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint; and this very much explains the ‘potentiative-paradox of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ (as the underlying potentiative-paradox of human paradoxes). It speaks to a metaphoricity potentiation imbued
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing appraisal”; and so contrastively as of human underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation by lack-of-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation and dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation. That said all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their defining human contemplative moment arising from their very human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (while effectively contemplative of prospective progress), hardly/poorly project of prospective emancipation directly on the ontologically-veridical basis of the defining ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’ (associated with its defining prospective transvaluative-rationalising / sublimating-thoughtfulness / historiality-or-ontological-eventfulness-or-ontological-aesthetic-tracing / prospective-ontological-projection / ideality as to prospective
originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation) but rather directly proceed as of the ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ (reflecting its threshold of lack-of-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness / desublimating-or-gimmickiness-unthoughtfulness / historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition / social-vestedness-or-normativity / positive-opportunism-disposition), but then the latter is improvisably/uncontrollably potentiatively-transformed into the former as to the former existentially constraining implications of ontological-veracity. Thus the reality of prospective human emancipation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process rather as of such a ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ (as to the potentiative transforming/conversion, on the basis of existentially constraining implications of ontological-veracity, of human ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ into human ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’)) in many ways limits/stifles/undermines/derails human contemplative capacity for prospective emancipative implications (as can be so-contemplated from prospective notional~deprocrypticism conceptualisation of ‘boundless human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’); and so critically as to the presencing—absolutising-identititive-constitutedness human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag social-stake-contention-or-confliction
state inducing human psychological entrapment in want for prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. But then such apparently defining limitation to ‘boundless human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ when analysed as to the reality of human transformation across the time scale in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (wherein the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation right up to our present positivism and so as from the appearance of mankind on earth about 200000 years ago) show ‘a time-accelerated metaphoricity potentiation’ when we consider that our present positivism registry-worldview is just about 500 years; pointing out that as of our specific human-subpotency as to overall overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> (underlying human construction-of-the-Self) the human prospective capacity to serenely come to terms with ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’ as so induced by the latter’s existentially constraining implications of ontological-veracity, is not necessarily forever bound to be as of the ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ that undermines the possibility for such prospective deprocrypticism conceptualisation of ‘boundless human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ (as to the potential for a full human psychological uninhibitedness/decomplexification in superseding the ‘underlying human formative decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation’). But then such overcoming of ‘human consciousness tenuous self-
surpassing shift in its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing appraisal’ still has to be effectively achieved as to the requisite human prospective development of protensive–self-consciousness in the face of the ever present manifestations of desublimating/gimmicky sophistry and eliciting of human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and so over the requisite maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. The very forward-facedness of human consciousness as it defines human social-stake-contention-or-confliction is in many ways architectonically determinative and defining (as it projects postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema over preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema), with regards to the structural/paradigmatic circular recurrence of ‘potentiative-paradox of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ (as the underlying potentiative-paradox of human paradoxes); as to the ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ (as of the potentiative transforming/conversion, on the basis of existentially constraining implications of ontological-veracity, of human ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ into human ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’), and so with regards to the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process induced construction-of-the-Self. Effectively the
paradoxically the form of ‘iterative-looping-narrations though in successive registry-worldviews/dimensions deeper knowledge-reifications where the prior is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and the prospective is postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ with respect to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality.-as-to-
‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’). Such a ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ reflects the ‘potentiative-paradox of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ (as the underlying potentiative-paradox of human paradoxes) as to the fact that base-institutionalisation is instigated in recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation, universalisation is instigated in base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism is instigated in universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocryptic is instigated in our positivism–
procrypticism (and in all the above the given ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold prior-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>’
is overriden with the ‘succeeding institutionalisation prospective-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-
basis>’); and so as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics

acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
epistemic-totalising-tandemisation/abstractive-conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning/amplituding in the sense that the one notion is already caught up in the other notion in the sublimating/desublimating epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology just as for instance the notion of length is already caught up in the notion of width in the sublimating epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of a rectangle and so with regards to the fact that human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology of meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always about ‘idealised-typification in epistemic conflatedness sublimation or epistemic constitutedness/pseudoconflation desublimation/gimmickiness’ for eliciting sublimation/desublimation from the ‘full-potency of existence withheld as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projection-perspective’); as to the drivenness of originariness-parrhesia—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for reoriginariness/reorigination of dimensionality-of-sublimating—epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation thusly eliciting prospective human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology transcendence-and-sublimity so-constrained by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. Originariness-parrhesia—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation effectively reflects ‘human projective-capacity for reoriginariness/reorigination in epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating conflation’ while reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation reflects
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’) is fundamentally underlined by human
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–tandemisation/abstractive-
conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning/amplituding (driving
ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics dynamics)
as-so eliciting transcendence-and-sublimity or desublimation/gimmickiness; as of the specific
human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-
as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-
aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>. This
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–tandemisation/abstractive-
conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning/amplituding
(mental-aestheticising-becoming-manifestation as consciousness) eliciting of
desublimation/gimmickiness or transcendence-and-sublimity, is respectively and intimately
tied to its implied beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination–as-
to-historicity-tracing–inhibited-mental-aestheticising desublimation/gimmickiness or
bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination–as-to-historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing–disinhibited-mental-aestheticising transcendence-
and-sublimity. This speaks to human desublimating-or-sublimating-mental-aestheticisation-
representation of the possibility of existence; with the ‘full-potency of existence withheld as
from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projection-perspective’ as to the
‘epistemic/notional sublimating-capacity-as-of-historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing over desublimating-capacity-as-of-historicity-
tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’ induced from human
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–tandemisation/abstractive-
conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning/amplituding
(mental-aestheticising-becoming-manifestation as consciousness) driving ontological-
dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics dynamics.

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–tandemisation/abstractive-
conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning/amplituding further
reflects the fact that <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
conflatedness is associated with human sublimating-capacity-as-of-historiality/delineating
-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing whereas <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating pseudoconflation/constitutedness is associated with
human desublimating-capacity-as-of-historicity-tracing; as to the structural/paradigmatic
implications of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction,
epistemically/notionally involving respectively ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-
-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing—disinhibited-mental-aestheticising
epistemic/notional—projective-perspective’ and ‘human-subpotency beholdening-becoming—
distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhibited-mental-
aestheticising epistemic/notional—projective-perspective’.

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–tandemisation/abstractive-
conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning/amplituding as of
human sublimating/desublimating reflection of existential possibilities as from the ‘full-
potency of existence withheld as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic
projection-perspective’ rather underscores ‘a human exercise of epistemicity/notionality in
circular reoriginariness/reorigination and distorted-originariness/distorted-origination
reflexivity with its sublimation and desublimation’ so-construed as ‘generating
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence–<as-superseding-logical-basis>
organic-knowledge rather than just mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence–<as-superseded-logical-basis>
mechanical-knowledge in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith;
and critically so as of the enabling dynamics for human transcendence-and-sublimity as
reflected by the fact that germinative/seeding projections as of reasoning-through/messianic-
reasoning however their re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-
conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-
insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflicatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-
sublimation) nature are effectively what explain the possibility for the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process on the basis of eliciting the social-
construct supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. Critically, the ‘formative underlying
human decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation’
can be construed from the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure
handle as of the notional–conflicatedness of notional–deprocrypticism deneuterising—
referentialism’: as its enabling reifying-and-empowering apprehension of both ‘human
corresponding-sublimation-inducing,–profound-and-creative
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument–for–
conceptualisation’ (that create/invent methods/methodologies/approaches as to prospective-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence–<as-superseding-logical-basis>
organic-knowledge in ontological-good-faith/authenticity so-constrained by existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflicatedness) and
‘the desublimation/gimmickiness of mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence–<as-superseded-logical-basis>
mechanical-knowledge in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith
overlooking existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness. This ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-
of-departure handle as of the notional–conflicatedness of notional–deprocrypticism
deneuterising—referentialism’ is critically cognisant of the reality of ‘human temporal-to-
temporal-dispositions accordioning–<as–of–varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-
desublimation/sublimation,—as–to–the–redouding/wavering/waveforming—of–their-
referencing—and–their–devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance> at
uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—
presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ (as of human
self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in–overcoming–‘notionally–collateralising-
protohumanity’—to–‘attain–sublimating–humanity’,—as–to–existence–potency–prospective-
digression–of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language–{imbued—averaging–of–thought–<as–to–
‘nondescript/ignorable–void’–with–regards–to–prospective–apriorising–implications>}); as to
the fact that the ‘firstnatureness of human intemporality as of its inducing of transcendence-
and–sublimity for secondnaturedness’ in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of–the–human–
institutionalisation-process has ever always been a re–originary–as–
unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation–{imbued–postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–‘projective–insights’/‘epistemic–projection–in–conflicatedness’–of–
notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning phenomenon as to the structural/paradigmatic possibility of breaking away from ‘the desublimation/gimmickiness of mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-as-superseded-logical-basis> mechanical-knowledge prospectively in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith overlooking existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity; even as prospectively the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal nature sets in again as such transcendence-and-sublimity is further related to at its own implied uninstitutionalised-threshold in terms of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s least common denominator as<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) for social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction (in a social dynamics at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold that is a drawback-to/undermines prospective-knowledge-and-institutional deferential-formalisation-transference as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and rather is oriented towards sovereign extrication over knowledge-reification at this uninstitutionalised-threshold as of social-aggregation-enabling), as of its bare constraining mechanical-knowledge since reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are only ‘mechanistically’ constraining, lacking the organic-spirit or ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential—
commitment such that such prospective transcendence-and-sublimity prospectively put in question sophistic-pretences-of-playing-an-intellectual-and-moral-function as to when the social-construct is ultimately concerned with the prospective transcendence-and-sublimity intellectual-function to which such sophistic/pedantic pretences paradoxically rather adopt a tempering/discouraging penchant in a social disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession inclination’ (and further as to the sophistic/pedantic pretence that no human idealisation is warranted failing to factor in that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology is already idealisation that has already selected-and-deselected what is idealiseable and unidealiseable as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, such that from the ontological perspective the issue is not about no idealisation but rather the ontologically appropriate idealisation and appropriate human contemplation and execution as ‘postures of no idealisation’ carry with them poor contemplations and executions already ‘ignoring-and-devaluing’ human existential-contextualising-contiguity epistemic-situations of relative-ontological-incompleteness associated with vices-and-impediments). Thus the point in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process has never been a direct convincing process (as to the shallowness of contemplation projected by sophistic/pedantic thought in eliciting human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}), but is rather reflected in an exercise conveying ‘profound human transcendence-and-sublimity enabling conceptualisations’ at the ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’ (with regards to human living-development—as-to-
personality-development or institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development or
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions). Such a profound conceptualisation as herein contemplated is ‘not at
all concerned with satisfying the shallower perspectives elicited from sophistry as to our
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness human
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag social-stake-contention-or-confliction
state’, but rather targets the bigger picture to which sophistry poorly contemplate of; as to the
fact that such sophistry ‘fails to even display a prior-and-basic curiosity-and-enlightening-
attitude about inherent/authentic knowledge itself’ before even moving to the next stage of
contemplating the validity/invalidity of knowledge argumentations. The fact that prospective
human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint means prospective meaningfulness-and-
teleology is ever always caught up in ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions
accordioning<-as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-
desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-
referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance> at
uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—
presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’, speaks rather
of the opportunity for the social-construct intellectual-function to induce human elevation as
of prospective secondnatured institutionalisation (as herein implied as to prospective deprocrypticism or preemption—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with regards to its underlying intellectual exposition to falsifiability and validity/invalidity sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness) and not adopt sophistic/pedantic moral and intellectual disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession eliciting of human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} (passed for intellection out of poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity). In this regards, as to the ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ associated with the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, just as the possibility for prospective base-institutionalisation could not arise without the ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, and so successively up to our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension; the sophistic/pedantic pretence as impliciting that our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension is the ‘absolutely unassailable epistemic framework even beyond ontological analysis’ is its fundamental contrivance for eliciting human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications> in an exercise forestalling the meaningfulness-and-
teleology implications for contemplating prospective ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’ as
projected with postmodern-thought and herein implied as from the
notional–deprocrypticism/deprocrypticism epistemic projective-perspective. Such
sophistic/pedantic implicitation of no ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’ is often
articulated sophisticatedly in terms of <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—
temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-
dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology}, and more brazenly in terms of intellectual misanalyses/misrepresentations,
pretences-of-misunderstanding and muddlement of prospectively emancipating
conceptualisations as so-directed towards postmodern-thought. The fact is the possibility for
prospective human knowledge in all domains can only and have only been able to arise on the
basis of the ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’
involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,–eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as to the ‘conflating
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
reoriginariness/reorigination of re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-
intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting underlying human conceptualisation and then the devolving existential-instantiation implications as to posteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’); as to the fact that even secondnatured meaningfulness-and-teleology involves the exertion of the requisite prospective curiosity, contemplation and elevation ‘beyond a historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition gimmickiness/desublimation relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Critically, an ‘underlying dumbing-down public intellection and media industry’ thrive on cultivating ‘a historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition gimmickiness/desublimation relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and is in many ways at the root source of the modern day democratic crisis of political and socio-economic disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession, as it disenables/paralyses the possibility for sublimating debates thus in many ways rendering the public decisionmaking process ‘a defaulting process as to the social-vestedness/normativity of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Such undermining of the possibility of ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ is effectively critical with regards to historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition, as to the fact that by mitigating the possibility to
broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness off-the-beaten-path of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition for prospective possibilities of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, the human mind is psychologically entrapped in mental-reflexes of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as to the elicited <formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). At the root of this undermining of prospective ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ is the social dilution/enfeeblement of value-construction/value-aspiration as to their ‘ad-hoc and incoherent <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating implications supposedly non-ontological as to non-metaphysical’ (with regards to conceptualising the social-construct prospective transcendence-and-sublimity value-construction/value-aspiration), as associated particularly with ‘the specious usurpation of the overall social-construct’s intellectual-function as to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity’; with the paradox of such usurpation especially as of its drivenness in ‘intellectually mediating institutions as to popular-sovereignty’ including the media effectively projecting arbitrary social-vestedness/normativity constructs and frameworks of value-construction/value-aspiration while failing to intellectually editorialise/articulate/reflect the ontological equanimity/balance of conceptualisations as to the momentous implications of prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (thus implicitly upholding the notion that the social is non-ontological as non-metaphysical); especially given
that the equanimity/balance for upholding democratic sovereignty is in effect achievable only as of ‘structural/paradigmatic <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating operant considerations for equanimity/balance with regards to the social, political and media landscapes decision-making/editorialising processes’, as the often sparing instantiating existential frames of day-to-day social, political and media landscapes decision-making/editorialising processes are poorly amenable naturally to such ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating operant considerations for equanimity/balance’ and end up assuming social-vestedness/normativity defaulting postures with occasional clamours for equanimity/balance of the decision-making/editorialising processes quite often the niggling exceptions to entrenched and existentially-unthought reflex. Such that beyond ‘gimmickiness/desublimation frameworks of aestheticisation’ in many ways the social-construct’s intellectual-function itself (as of aestheticisation-towards-ontology with respect to prospective human emancipation) becomes capitalistically-captured-at-the-exclusion/denaturing-of-reifying-and-empowering-intellectual-reflection as to the precedence of media-business-relevant-aestheticisation, underhanded-media-capitalist-direct-ownership-and-indirect-sponsorship-distortive-influence, blatant-intellectual-misanalyses-and-sophistry, public-influence-and-lobbying-overtaking-inherent-intellectual-veracity, politicised-institutional-stakes-overtaking-inherently-objective-social-knowledge-production-in-higher-academia, a-consciously-aware-intellectual-functional-impotence-that-cynically-construes-of-the-possibility-for-prospective-sublimating-social-knowledge-as-the-opportunity-for-its-muddling-and-archiving, etc. These all contribute in making-more-and-more-of-an-empty-shell the supposed intellectual transparency and sovereign independence of the social-construct in present day democracies. But then more than just the more consciously immediate emancipation possibilities for momentous human prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-
their prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; as all such
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness fail to account for their ‘prior and
prospective becoming’ which ontologically-veridical rationalisation effectively lies with the
nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existentia-unthought human emancipatory
disposition associated with the dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation.
Similarly with respect to the ‘requisite human dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-

reification/contemplative-distension, in many ways just as prior human scientific and
technological sublimation momentously induced historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing inevitably required its accompanying social
sublimation (as the manifestations of failing social sublimation were in many ways the reason
for conflictual and exploitative encounters associated with budding-positivism), and so as of
the contiguity of both human techno-scientific and social sublimations giving their mutually
for-human-studies sublimating nature; it is inevitably the case that a naïve construal of
prospective science and technological development that seem to imply the requisite
prospective sublimation of the overall human as to its prospective construction-of-the-Self is
not critical, will inevitably lead to conundrums of prospective science and technology
development as to the very possibility for developing the full human potential of science and
technology as well as with respect to the underdevelopment of the human as to its shiftiness-
of-the-Self in the capacity to handle and deal with prospective science and technology in such
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ when it comes to social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and so overriding all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness ontologically-flawed representation of such ‘human instigated meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance capacity’ as of a ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence’. This reflects the reality that the transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively are effectively only marginally integratable respectively to prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as to cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), and so only as the former induce their ‘prospective predicative-effectivity—sublimation—⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩ constraining that prospectively transforms human ontological-performance capacity’; thus reflecting the tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity—sublimation—⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩ as the critical enablers for the possibility of prospective transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such an insight divulges the underlying structural/paradigmatic possibility that arise for sophistic/pedantic dispositions across all registry-worldviews/dimensions as to the prior ‘social-construct
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
that prospectively transforms human ontological-performance capacity’ is the ontological-veracity that all social-vestedness/normativity value-constructions are effectively ever as of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> as so-construed from ‘notional–deprocrypticism inducing relative-ontological-completeness of prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>’. In other words, the human as ‘manifesting presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is intellectually-and-morally incompetent with regards to articulating prospective sublimating value-construction’; as we can appreciate that the state of prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (so-construed as of ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ in their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) are respectively intellectually-and-morally incompetent with regards to articulating prospective sublimating value-construction as of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism or preemtping—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively. This insight points to the fundamental deficiency of all frameworks supposedly involved in articulating human prospective transcendence-and-sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology whereas there are as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>; as to the fact that with regards to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, the ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ (as
prospective ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/strument—for-
conceptualisation’ (that create/invent methods/methodologies/approaches as to prospective-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseding-logical-basis>
organic-knowledge in ontological-good-faith/authenticity so-constrained by existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness) so-
construed as originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation (which is actually constrained to ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—
sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-
notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-perspective>’), and so over ‘the desublimation/gimmickiness of mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseded-logical-basis>
 mechanical-knowledge prospectively in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith overlooking existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. The implication here is that with regards to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to the possibility of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence, the underlying ‘notional—deprocrypticism or <(amplituding)formative>notional—preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought imbued dimensionality-of-sublimating—
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’). The overall insight we can garner herein is that all registry-worldviews/dimensions will have their value-construction conception as of their social-vestedness/normativity presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ implies that the mere eliciting of prospective sublimation as of notional–deprocrypticism ‘is not structurally/paradigmatically transformative of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ as to the fact that ‘prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology doesn’t transform the underlying reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performance with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of the ever-present precedence of human ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality associated with human limited-mentation-capacity with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (so-construed as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projective-perspective). But rather the mere eliciting of prospective sublimation as of notional–deprocrypticism ‘can only undermine the prior uninstitutionalised-threshold apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—as-reflecting-its–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology in rendering ontological-bad-faith/inauthencity ridiculous-and-untenable’ as to the cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, such that with regards to the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions as to their notional–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-thresholds in prospective desublimation there is ever this underlying reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performance requiring ‘the prospective undermining of the prior uninstitutionalised-threshold apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—as-reflecting-its–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
worldviews’/dimensions’ reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of their overall decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation’ as of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as the inherent ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure. Prospective sublimation as to the overriding of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseded-logical-basis> with prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseding-logical-basis> as critically constrained to ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-suprerogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence—epistemic/notional—projective-perspective>, speaks to the transformation of ‘supposed knowledge-reification framework of human-subpotency determination as to a temporal mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing as desublimating’ into ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. In this regards, we can appreciate that ‘supposed knowledge-reification framework of human-subpotency determination as to a temporal mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency
existentialising—enframing as desublimating’ tend to eliciting ‘the breadth of human
temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions not structurally/paradigmatically a competent
intellectual-and-moral framework for instigating prospective human sublimation’ while
‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective
determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to
human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’ tends to be
rather constrained to both the ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ and its derived
deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing. The possibility of such a
transformation critically constrained to ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—
entailment- (narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence— as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-
operative-notional~deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional~projective-
perspective>’ underlying notional~deprocrypticism is only possible because of the tight-and-
entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all
registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions)
predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as the critical
enablers for the possibility of prospective transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology; with
foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence— as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’),—as-operative-notional~deprocrypticism thus being an exercise of satisfying that
tight-and-entwined relationship to then enable ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework
involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’ as of prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>.

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as prospectively overcoming human-subpotency underdetermination is conceptualised along the same vein with the ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ with regards to human phenomenal/manifest sublimation and desublimation in existence (as to the insight for mitigating the concomitant drawback of desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition in the pursuit for sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing at the very center of Foucault and Derrida contentions). Foregrounding—entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism invalidates presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
conception of knowledge-reification as of ‘supposed knowledge-reification framework of human-subpotency determination as to a temporal mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing as desublimating’; that fail to realise that ‘human self-satisfactory mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising constructs’ are not beholden to existence with regards to ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. We can appreciate in this regards that the classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseded-logical-basis> that did not recognise notions like space-time, considered the ether real, did not consider that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale, etc. speaking to ‘human self-satisfactory mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising constructs’ wasn’t in any way beholden to existence as to the prospective sublimation of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence—<as-superseding-logical-basis> that recognised notions like space-time, considered the ether as real, considered that the laws of physics are different at atomic-scale, etc., and so as ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling
prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. It is interesting to appreciate that given the prior enculturation of an underlying ‘scientific—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism encultured/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ induced by budding-positivists (associated with their persecution), the stage was set for the foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism of such a theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence<(as-superseding-logical-basis)> as to the tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as the critical enablers for the possibility of prospective transcendentental meaningfulness-and-teleology, without eliciting (as was the case with the Galileos/Descartes, etc. in the face of the medieval-scholastics pedantic dogmatism Establishment) ‘the breadth of human temporal-to-intemperal-dispositions not structurally/paradigmatically a competent intellectual-and-moral framework for instigating prospective human sublimation’ as to the sophistic/pedantic possibility for inducing human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) with regards to prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction. Interestingly as well, we can appreciate the more or less socially enculturated disposition in our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension (with regards to the ‘profoundly sublimating natural sciences’) of human appreciation of the ‘messianic-
structure of intemporality’ and its derived deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing, with regards to such sciences foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective—supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative—notional—deprocrypticism as to the tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to—underlying-ontological-commitment) as critically enabling prospective sublimation. Foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective—supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism as such induces the requisite ontological-faith-notion/authenticity and discipline both among natural scientists and any contending interlocutors as to the constraining implications of prospective sublimation thus allowing for ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence—potency—prospective—digression—of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic—totalising—renewing—realisation/re—perception/re—thought,—in—epistemic—conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and—constraining to human—subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation—over—desublimation’.

In contrast this author is critical of the notion that disparateness—of—conceptualisation—<unforegrounding—disentailment,—failing—to—reflect—‘immanent—ontological—contiguity’> subject to totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim—of—thought associated with presencing—absolutising—identitive—constitutedness conception of knowledge—reification as of ‘supposed knowledge—reification framework of human—subpotency determination as to a temporal mere—methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human—subpotency existentialising—enframing as desublimating’ that falsely ignore the structural/paradigmatic
social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ induced by budding-positivists and associated with their persecution), and further because of the very high predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) associated with the physical sciences and generally ‘much of the basic/fundamental and disinterested natural sciences’. However, the case with psychological, social and ‘interest-driven scientific frameworks’ is quite often ‘hardly one of high predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ with the result that such a ‘purist ontological and scientific framing of supposedly knowledge-reification issues as to prospective sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ is either indirectly or directly undermined with social-vestedness/normativity ideas which ‘structurally/paradigmatically speak to an underlying disengagement with the deeper notion of veracity/truth supposedly projected as pure scientific and pure ontological analysis in the relevant domains’, as to the ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction relative privileging of human methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising epistemic gadgetry’ (surreptitiously associated with (amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatric-drag/denatured/preconverging-ordementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)) over existence-potency-prospective-digression—(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. This difference between a ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ and the conception of veracity/truth as from the latitude of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social—value-construction’ is critically reflected in the fact that the former orientation is priorly-and-ultimately concerned with existence’s
foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism imbued sublimation whereas the latter is critically concerned with ‘conceptions of human abstract interpositions as of elaboration-as- mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside- existential-contextualising-contiguity’ that are not necessarily subject to phenomenal/manifest existence’s foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism; and so-peculiarly implied with the ‘importing/exporting of reductionisms’ (as to the fact that there is no physics reductionism of physics or say mathematics reductionism of mathematics or biology reductionism of biology as to being the real and natural orientation for the specific physics, mathematics and biology epistemic-conceptions of their respective epistemic-conceptions phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>) to explain human psychological and social phenomena that ‘end up implicitly denying the very obvious reality of the psychological and social subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’. In many ways taking such ontologically-flawed interpretations seriously induces human impotency and desublimation (as to the implicit contention that the human ‘supposedly has no profound sublimating social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ with the ‘supposedly profound phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ construed rather in reductionist terms of biology/neurology or physicalism) as is often also associated with social- vestedness/normativity disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-
failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’; thus ‘actually denying the
metaphysical nature and thus ontological nature of the sublimating social and socio-
psychological’ such that existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimation implications with regards to the social and
socio-psychological are hardly contemplated and recognised as so-projected herein as to the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. But then such
reductionism actually fails the ‘necessitation test of any science/ontology’ as in reality it is a
gimmicky exploitation of the sublimation of the natural sciences as to their inherent
phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-
potency-of-existence> to then ‘utilise the clout to falsely imply substitutive/reductionist
sublimation over the social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies-<in-
transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ (as so-reflected with
practices of science-ideology associated with biological/neurological and evolutionary
substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social and socio-psychological). But then the
giveaway of such a flawed conception of science/ontology lies in the fact that such
approaches do not project any ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame–of–ontological-contiguity’ as all
pretences of science/ontology must demonstrate and aspire to (consider in this regards the
‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation
frame–of–ontological-contiguity’ of physics, chemistry, biological, genetic theories as to the
ontological-contiguity imbued foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as
to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in
reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocripticism of
their respective inherent sublimating phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies-<in-transitive-
conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> wherein for instance with the
physics frame–of–ontological-contiguity succession of theories are developed aspiring
cogently for ontological-contiguity of the whole physics epistemic-conception
phenomenal/manifest-subpotency–<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-
potency-of-existence> as from say Galilean/Cartesian/Newtonian/Leibnizian physics to
present day string-theory/loop-quantum-gravity/etc. which all profess ontological-contiguity).
In other words, such biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist
interpretations of the social and socio-psychological shouldn’t epistemically be selective in
totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought (if truly of science/ontology as to
‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation
frame–of–ontological-contiguity’) but should rather go on to effectively explain away the
entire social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies–<in-transitive-
conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to human living-
development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-
function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and
so comprehensively articulating human organisational and institutional driven/potent
sociocultural, economic, political, legal, etc. manifestations on such biological/neurological
and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist basis of supposed sublimation as to their
‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-
contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism in elucidating
ontological-contiguity–<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
epistemic/notional–projective-perspective>‘. The reality of such biological/neurological and
evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social and socio-psychological is rather one that points out that the ‘traditional nature versus nurture debate itself is fundamentally an axiomatically bankrupt conception’ since ‘not even such proponents implicitly point to an underlying human drivenness and functioning of the social and socio-psychological framework on the basis of any such supposed ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame–of–ontological-contiguity of biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations’, but rather the strategies of such proponents (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) work paradoxically only by impliciting the reality of the ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame–of–ontological-contiguity of the social and socio-psychological epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (as to their implied sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences), and then surreptitiously project/select/pop-up (in totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought) opportune/ad-hoc biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social and socio-psychological frame–of–ontological-contiguity, and so as of vague disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect–immanent-ontological-contiguity’>. Such flawed and surreptitious representation that biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations are the ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame–of–ontological-contiguity’ of the social and socio-psychological (rather than the truly inherent social and socio-psychological epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as of their ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
substitutive/reductionist epistemic-conception then provide the room for sophistic/pedantic dispositions that construe of the inherent sublimation in the natural sciences qua natural sciences as the surreptitious opportunity to project gimmicky/desublimating interpretations about the social (on the basis of the ‘hollow impressiveness of the natural sciences’) as a psychological trick/gimmick as to rendering knowledge-reification sublimation in the social impotent with regards to varied social-stake-contention-or-confliction purposes. Such claims often project/imply that analysing the social qua social is just about irrelevant (or paradoxically ‘make their very own subterfuge social interpretations’ as from the psychological trick/gimmick of the projected hollow impressiveness of the natural sciences so-derived from the clout of a natural science without demonstrating the epistemic-veracity for such a bypassing/dodgery as to arrive at the social ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame–of–ontological-contiguity’ sublimating implications and consequences). Besides, such claims are often so-associated with vague non-metaphysical as non-ontological conceptualisations of the social in vague disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect–‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> as to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, and thus in many ways further undermine/distract-from the social ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating necessitation frame–of–ontological-contiguity’ conception of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in dealing with direct social and institutional issues, crises and failures. A ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ equally differs from the conception of veracity/truth as from the latitude of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’
with the former construing of ‘knowledge as to existential knowledge-reification privileging manifest sublimating outcome in existence’ in contrast to the latter construing of ‘knowledge as to collective acquiescence as to the privileging of human commendation-or-agreementing/convincing-among-mortals (rather than a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness) even over manifest sublimating outcome in existence’. Such a ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ construes of knowledge as a ‘perpetual off-balance act associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’ (as involved in the reconceptualisation of the physics state-of-the-art from Einsteinian physics, Bohrian physics, Feynmanian physics, etc., emphasising rather ‘the constancy of the intemporal individuation as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective’ and ‘not about the constancy of any notion of intemporal individual’). Such a ‘perpetual off-balance act associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’ speak to the more profound reality that the ordinariness of human thought across the succession of human registry-worldviews/dimensions points to their ‘epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence‘ despite the delusion of all registry-worldviews/dimensions in their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as being of ‘absolute epistemic-normalcy’; and it is because of this latter fact (as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective) that prospective human progress and emancipation as of human transcendence-and-sublimity can occur in the very first place (in contradiction to all such registry-worldviews/dimensions presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness failure to directly grasp their very own
latitude of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ is rather more bent upon emphasising human-subpotency methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising grounds for veracity/truth rather than eliciting prospective sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences. Such notions of veracity/truth without articulating existene-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness are vague disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect–‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>, and worse still when accompanied by claims of humility as to inherent institutionalised prescience are more often than not mere manifestations of intellectual entitlement; (as to imply the society is inherently beholden to the mere institutionalised imprimatur of intelllection even as to when it projects intellectual desublimation associated with intellectual-muddlement–{blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as well as intellectually-distortive practices such as blind institutionalised priming/funnelling/staking of specific theoretical postures over genuine and profound ontological elucidation as to existential contextualisation with the associated academic careerism at the very antipode of genuine sublimating intelllection) and so as reflecting the modern day intelllection relevant prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. Interestingly, the ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ projects prospective sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences to implicitly underscore ‘interlocutory humility’ induced as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
articulated elsewhere with the case of the Socratic philosophers and budding positivists it is always the case that the sophistic/pedantic dispositions will fathom that in relation to prospectively sublimating base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism the effective ‘world that exists to the majority people (as of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning<as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’) respectively is recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought to go on cynically eliciting <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} as of the latter. Ultimately, there is a ‘social underlying sublimating intellection proficiency’ to which all specific domains of study need to account for their sublimating pertinence; and the possibility of putting into question all ‘Establishment intellection as of their given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ (from across the most ancient civilisations to modern times and so as instigated by the Socrates, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, etc.) has always arisen within-or-without such epochal Establishment intellection by the prompting of their ‘social underlying sublimating intellection proficiency’ which contemplative consciousness is not to be underestimated as to a ‘decadence posturing of intellectual entitlement’. Critically, the possibility of prospective value-construction and pretence of projecting more profound value is indissociable from the capacity of producing
the relative-ontological-completeness knowledge that broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness as to the fact that just as prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively are intellectually-and-morally wanting with respect to prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism or preemptions—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought value-construction respectively; pretences of profound intellection as to the former are nothing but sophistic/pedantic exploitations of human limited-mentation-capacity as to ‘a delusion of generating knowledge and value from thin air’, and of vital importance in that regards is the fact that that which is in relative-ontological-completeness has to occupy the intellectual-and-moral ground imbued by such relative-ontological-completeness. Vague notions of arrogance and wretchedness are nothing but the ontological-veracity of the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness arrogance and wretchedness of thought (as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective) as to an epistemically-decadent <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drags/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}; and so as to the fact that the magnanimity of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension out of concern about human prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘is the most important human and humanity-producing enterprise’ notwithstanding the paradox that the prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively are intellectually-and-morally undeveloped to be the framework for appraising value-construction as of
prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism or preempts—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively in many ways explaining the underlying implications of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation as involving cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. This affirmation is not articulated idly as to the fact that part and parcel of human knowledge-reification is not to allow desublimating thought to occupy the ground of sublimating thought (as the latter has to include a challenge to the knowledge-destroying desublimating thought arrogance and wretchedness), however the subterfuges available to such desublimation whether as of sophistry and mere-institutional-appendaging as reflecting the veridical prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint; taking hint that it is fundamentally a question about existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness and no amount of human mortals methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising can supersede prospective sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences as otherwise the very idea of ontology/science then collapses and the supposed knowledge-reification exercise becomes pointless but as for institutional parading value. There is simply no knowledge without the effective demonstrated knowledge-reification implications and pretending otherwise as to ‘virtual wisdoms’ is nothing more than <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Hence basically the overall differentiation between ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ and ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ lies with their constraining whether towards inherent existence projected implications or towards human-
subpotency projected implications respectively. This underlying point has structural/paradigmatic implications with regards to human meaningfulness-and-teleology as to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. This differentiation can be rearticulated in aestheticisation terms to imply that existence (as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness) is ‘the scalar conception that enables prospective human sublimation as of aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ while on the other hand human-subpotency (as to human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) is ‘a non-scalar conception that induces prospective human desublimation aestheticisation’. The ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ as such is reflected with regards to prospectively implied ontological-normalcy/postconvergence construed as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness epistemic-projection perspective while ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ is reflected with regards to its prospectively implied epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness epistemic-projection perspective. Basically, ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ and ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ thus speak to the fact that human prospective transcendence-and-sublimity implied limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-
scalarity/beholdening-as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation’ underlying prospective human ontological-performance with regards to human meaningfulness-and-teleology speaks to the fact that prospectively induced human sublimation is bound to paradoxically distort-and-desublimate the ontological-veracity appraisal for inducing further and concomitant human sublimation (and so because of the structural/paradigmatic effect of relative limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) in constrast to what will prevail in case of ‘absolute-mentation-capacity of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’). But then such effect critically varies as to both ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ and ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’; in the sense that the latter poorly constrained to high predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) is strongly prone to desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation’ in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, while the former strongly constrained to high predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) is rather relatively amenable to sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. That said, human sublimation increasingly implies a ‘generalised background cultural,-organisation-and-institutional framework’ that itself needs to be sublimating, and it is here as well that even the propensity for sublimation of ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ can be desublimated by an ontologically-impertinent ‘generalised background
cultural-organisation-and-institutional framework’ adopting ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’. In many ways with regards to the overall social framework, the usurpation of the intellectual-function arising as of ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ is often associated with vague-and-surreptitious conceptualisations of business success and media-and-social influence (in desublimating historicity-tracing) as superseding social intellection itself as an inherent exercise for the social domain’s ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ (as to the latter’s prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing). Critically such a ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ analysis very much point out that the social-construct is riddled with narratives of ‘supposedly veridical ontological justifications/grounds’ but which on closer examination as of ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ turn out to be at the least sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence>; and so as to the relative impertinence of the ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ (so-construed as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective). This insight further informs prospective deprocrypticism appraisal of the ‘tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity–sublimation-{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} (reflecting ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’)). In this regards, the structural/paradigmatic implications of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordion<as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historiaity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’, reflect the fact that the originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness for prospective knowledge-reification implying a projection out of a prior human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation framework cannot be construed as of any exercise of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity on the basis of the prior institutionalisation secondnatured apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (thus wrongly implying that there is an underlying absolute sound basis for human knowledge-reification as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, whereas in reality such grounds are recurrently restructured/reparadigmed for relative-ontological-completeness as to re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting); hence implying that prospective sublimating historiaity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing at any uninstitutionalised-threshold is necessarily imbued with prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ and its derived deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing. We can appreciate in this regards that budding-positivists meaningfulness-and-teleology however relatively intelligible to us today, wouldn’t make sense to the ‘ordinariness/commonsensicality of the non-positivism/medievalism prior institutionalisation secondnatured apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as to
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) inducing the strongly enculturated predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) constraining of positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology today; likewise the deprocrypticism epistemicity further speaks to the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for the enculturation of a 'human deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construction-of-the-Self psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure' with regards to (the overall originariness/origination-
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propontism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). But then as across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, the uninstitutionalised-threshold is a fertile spot for sophistic/pedantic practices whether as with the Ancient sophists or medievalism-scholastics or today institutional-being-and-craft intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness). What is central to all such sophistry is their emphasis on the notion that prospective knowledge is attained as to the sensibility/decorum as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; explaining their pedantic obsession. On the other hand, what is central with prospective genuine knowledge is ever always the emphasis on the fact that knowledge-reification is fundamentally about sublimation-over-desublimation as to the implications of the ‘tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as critically enabling prospective sublimation’ so-implied as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. The strategic problem faced by the Ancient sophists and medievalism-scholastics in this respect (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) is how to exploit the fact that there is no ‘universalising-idealisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ and no ‘positivism/rational-empiricism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-
pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ to structurally/paradigmatically undermine respectively the possibility for both Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism implied transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology by eliciting presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum as of non-universalising Ancient sophistry and non-positivism medieval-scholasticism meaningfulness-and-teleology respectively. Likewise, it is herein contended that a tradition of philosophy introduced and propped up after the second-world-war and a general social science and humanities attitude and practices closely associated with this orientation (as to perceived geostrategic reasons for undermining the possibility of unfettered thought paradoxically uncritical/thoughtless about the social implications associated with poor/usurped social critique) is fundamentally grounded on an actively surreptitious exercise of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that in many ways (given the inherent impotency it induces as recognised explicitly and implicitly by even its very own leading figures) has had the consequence of ‘undermining the natural social critical thinking that should enable the proper intellectual framing and addressing of human and social issues leading to a rather subservient intellectual posturing to socially dominant vested-interests/actors’ as so-reflected in the current impotence of the political exercise with mediating institutions failing sovereign-equanimity as political, economic and social stakes cumulatively default to vested-interests as to their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing. Such an underlying intellectually deficient orientation is the surreptitious underhandedness failing social intellectual engagement in many ways explains the surreptitious campaigning against many a critical theory as to the possibility for a revitalised genuine and healthy social critique (and as it is especially so-
directed at muddling promising postmodern-thought which portrays a very profound ontological-veracity as to prospective sublimation possibilities in the face of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought–indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint); and so-enabled as to no ‘deprocrypticism–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of–predicative-effectivity–sublimation-{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment}’ (notwithstanding a natural scientific culture that points out that substantive issues are analysed on the basis of their relevant and operant substantive pertinence) as to the overriding possibility of ‘projecting such a presencing–absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum of institutional imprimatur’ that is rather obsessively defensive of institutional pre-eminence over inherent knowledge-reification. But then the Ancient sophists and medievalism-scholastics were the institutional imprimatur of their periods but their pedantic presencing–absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum was never in any way beholdening upon sublimating existence as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness allowing for prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and budding-positivism as to their respectively induced ‘universalising-idealisation–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of–predicative-effectivity–sublimation-{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment}’ and ‘positivism/rational-empricism–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of–predicative-effectivity–sublimation-{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment}’ constraining in the face of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning—<as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation, as-to-the-
academicism proceduralism with true sublimating science/ontology. All the knowledgereification that effectively can be is of existence—as sublimating-withdrawal, elicitin-
prospective-supererogation having to do with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplitudine)formative> epistemic-totalisingly, as to existence—as sublimating-
withdrawal, elicitin-of-prospective-supererogation) as enabling human-subpotency
epistemic-projection towards the full-potency of existence so construed as intemporality, and
not a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness human-subpotency epistemic-
projection in
<(amplitudine)formative> epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag so construed as temporality. But then the
inclination to assume an ontologically-flawed sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum strategy is ever always associated across all
registry-worldviews/dimensions with blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to
meaningfulness-and-teleology rather unconstrained to predicative-effectivity–sublimation-
(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as to lack of ‘relative-ontological-
completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturatedconstructed
social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation—(as-to-underlying-
ontological-commitment)’. Consider in this regards, the structural/paradigmatic possibility of
such an abstract human sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness sensibility/decorum strategy exercise with regards to say Einsteinian/theory-
of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs if there was ‘no
positivism/rational-empiricism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-
(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ (as produced by the efforts of budding-
positivists even as during their own epoch this was contested by their Establishment) that
allowed for sublimating scientific thought to be integrated or rejected by its mere predicative-
effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) (as to the
‘positivism/rational-empiricism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism
encultured/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-
(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment))’, then there is nothing inherently telling that the
latter physics Establishment will have just acknowledged such a theoretical construct as to its
then human sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
sensibility/decorum perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction (as to the reality of
‘human temporal-to-intemperal-dispositions accordionning-<as-of-varying-individuations-
contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation, as-to-the-
redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both
desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-
transposition and sublimating historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-
tracing possibilities’). The point here is to highlight that across all registry-
worldviews/dimensions blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology at uninstitutionalised-
threshold as to lack of ‘relative-ontological-completeness—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism encultured/constructed social-
pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-
commitment)’ inherently induces sophistic/pedantic dispositions (beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) with regards to
social-stake-contention-or-confliction as to the social lack of universal-transparency-
(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-
totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) in the face of its prospective human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. Further, all such successive ‘relative-
ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-
(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ are structurally/paradigmatically about
phenomenal/manifest sublimation-over-desublimation in existence as to: ‘fatedness-of-
sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-
faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, as the driver of
the human-subpotency potentiating existential becoming manifestation of sublimating-over-
desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-
cumulation/recomposuring all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process; as it dynamically induces (as of ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-
to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames—as-from-living,—
institutionalising,—and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—of
prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’) successive prospective reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning for reasoning-from-results/afterthought as the secondnatured-
institutionalisation of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and—
reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology so construed as ‘generating
varying human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs—of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as to their pre-eminence as of their ‘prospectively projected relative-ontological-completeness dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’.

Sublimation in existence as such is rather as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity(astuteness/edginess/incisiveness that doesn’t adhere to professed naiveties implied with presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum supposed projections of candour that tend to arise with social lack of universal-transparency—{(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness)

associated with blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology poorly amenable to predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment); and reflect the idea that there is no knowledge without sublimating knowledge in the very first place and such pretences often thrive on exploiting ‘a false sense of a categorically/absolutely sublimated social-construct originariness/commensicality and social-vestedness/normativity’, but then such an ontologically-flawed conception can be divulged when we contemplate of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation reflection of the relative-ontological-incompleteness of the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions rather pointing out that the latter are ever always involved in an exercise of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when analysed as from originariness/origination—<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of notional—deprocrypticism.

Insightfully it can be garnered that blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology (as leading to disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ given ‘relative
epistemic/notional–projective-perspective> as to its prospectively induced scalarising as of human supererogatory/messianic intemporal and secondnatured socially-optimal instigative potency’ at its given/defined institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception
of ‘the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (and so over prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as ‘non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-
mental-disposition,-that-is-not-rulemaking apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ given ‘relative disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect–’immanent-ontological-contiguity’> as to prior descalarising totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought of individuals-suboptimal instigative potency as of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning-<as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-
redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance>’ at its given/defined uninstitutionalised-threshold ontologically-deficient epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall
phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’),
positivising/rational-empiricism-based apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’
given ‘relative disparateness-of-conceptualisation<unforegrounding-disentailment, -failing-
to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> as to prior descalarising totalisingly-
disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought of individuals-suboptimal instigative potency as of
human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning-as-of-varying-individuations-
contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation, as-to-the-
redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-
imbued-ontological-performance>’ at its given/defined uninstitutionalised-threshold
ontologically-deficient epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall
phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation’), and prospectively
-
 deprocripticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism
enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-
(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought, as-to-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’
given ‘relative
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-
contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’), as-operative-notional—deprocripticism in elucidating
ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
epistemic/notional~projective-perspective> as to its prospectively induced scalarising as of
human supererogatory/messianic intemporal and secondnatured socially-optimal instigative
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)' in that deprocrypticism as of its originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>


thus involving the anticipation of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance of prospective knowledge-reification imbued reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (and so as to the deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism given ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure existential-condescension<of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism> projection of originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-
construal-of-existence>’);

with the above articulation of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ so-reflecting comprehensively the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) increasing ontological-performance as to ‘its originariness—parrhesia,—as—spontaneity—of—aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation inducing of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions increasingly profound seconndnatured methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ and so as human reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and—teleology engendered sublimating historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as—the—absolute—a—priori—of—conceptualisation (with the critical insight here for instance that the Socratic philosophers meaningfulness-and—teleology as of universalising—idealisation ‘is not a relic of thought’ and it is very much ‘historically alive/living’ as to being pertinent to modern day universalising implications of thought but for when prospective contextualisation requires universalising positivising/rational—empiricism just as we can garner that Newtonian/Leibzinian physics ‘is not a relic of thought’ and it is very much ‘historically alive/living’ as to being pertinent to modern day physics but for when prospective contextualisation requires theory—of—relativity—together—with—quantum—mechanics—axiomatic—constructs, and thus reflecting comprehensively that the ontological—contiguity—of—the—the—human—institutionalisation-process as to its implied overall notional—deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologisms ‘enculturated/constructed social—pragmatics—framing—of—predicative—effectivity—sublimation—(as—to—underlying—ontological—commitment) of relative—ontological—completeness’ rather speaks of human limited—mentation—capacity—deepening—(<(amplituding) formative> epistemic—totalisingly,—as—to—existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation) as of psychoanalytic—unshackling/memetic—reordering/institutional—recomposure prospectively induced meaningfulness—and—teleology as the successive registry—worldviews/dimensions apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologisms). Further, ‘human—subpotency ontological—faith—notion—or—ontological—fideism—imbued—underdetermination—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as—so—being—as—of—existential—reality as to the
procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness for the so-projected prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather its prospectively induced sublimation as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-suprerogation (as the logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> of prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather the inner working coherence/contiguity of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construct such that our positivism—procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> is structurally/paradigmatically incompetent-and-irrelevant but for our projective-insights capacity for grasping prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology sublimation as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-suprerogation). This further points out that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment}’ are rather ‘existence sublimation imbued cut-off points of logical engagement as transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ wherein for example there is no common logical-basis between non-universalising sophistry and universalising-idealisation of Socratic philosophers and likewise between budding-positivists and non-positivising medieval scholasticism and this author claims as well between present day institutional-being-and-craft intellectual-muddlement-{blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness} and prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as
of-conceptualisation). This insight equally explains why human
<(amplitudining)formative>epistemic-causality at its most profound construal is rather as of
underlying ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure over ontological-bad-
faith/inauthenticity–structure imbed sublimating-over-desublimating ontological
implications and so with regards to underlying human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-
or-ontological-fideism—imbeded-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; as the ontological-
good-faith/authenticity–structure (as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplitudining)formative>epistemic-growth/transvalutative-
rat rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as to its
profound dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension) reflects the originariness-parrhesia,–as-spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for-
conceptualisation as intemporal-projection reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that runs
all along the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions as to human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening–<(amplitudining)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) enabling human reference-
transcendence-and-sublimity whereas the ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity–structure (as of
dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<(amplitudining)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation as to its lack-of/shallow dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension) is besotted in temporality
upon the logical-basis of relative-ontological-incompleteness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism is the invalid logical-basis’. This point out that the successive relative-ontological-completeness as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism respectively are actually projective-insights speaking to the fact that human prospective emancipation should rather be construed as of ‘human reference-of-thought (as grandest axiomatic-construct level) research-programme conception’ as so-enabling the transcendence-and-sublimity of the respective prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism. Such ‘human reference-of-thought (as grandest axiomatic-construct level) research-programme conception’ reflects the fact that it is the ‘prospective structural/paradigmatic sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as so-induced by notional–asceticism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ that affirmatively validates any of the respective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldviews/dimensions instigated human emancipation, and so as to the fact that the corresponding reasoning-from-results/afterthought inducing secondnatured institutionalisation (that speaks to collective thought in any given registry-worldview/dimension) while serving its secondnaturin institutionalisation purpose ‘is overrated with regards to the challenge of human aporeticism at prospective uninstitutionalised-thresholds’ and shouldn’t be the threshold/limit for determining the possibility for prospective human emancipation (since it is relatively of poor responsiveness to prospective human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulneess-and-teleology) which rather requires instigative notional–asceticism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning (as to the fact that for instance it is naïve to conceive that it was the ‘pure articulation of positivism/rational-empiricism logic that convinced/converted the non-positivism/medieval world into our positivism world’ but rather decisive in the
secondnaturing of positivism/rational-empiricism was the notional–asceticism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning instigative detour to positivism/rational-empiricism structural/paradigmatic sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (manifested as of the ships that set sail around the world for spices and trade eliciting a positive commercial opportunism that is decisively responsible for destroying the collective social myth of a flat world; the bacteria theory that will ensure that one lives or die if we believe in it or not and draw the health implications constrained the destruction of a collective superstitious medical worldview; the scientific tools and knowledge that ensured that nation A or nation B will triumph if they believe in it or not, constrained the collective need to adopt a scientific worldview, etc.). Since the relative-ontological-completeness logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> is in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with the relative-ontological-incompleteness logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>, it is only the sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation that affirmatively upholds the relative-ontological-completeness over the relative-ontological-incompleteness (as to their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment). In other words, genuinely projected knowledge as of ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure is more than just the mechanical construct but speaks of the ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ as of veridical existential relationship/signature as organic-knowledge. This is more obviously grasped with respect to human living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development as to the positive-opportunism implications eliciting a
decomplexed placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of such ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ but less obvious and poorly grasped with regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. In this respect with regards to human living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as of our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension we can appreciate for instance that in a professional–client relationship like between a physician and a patient or a plumber and a customer, the two parties do not normally engage one another in equivocating as of the ordinary meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimation which wouldn’t achieve the sublimation of medical care meaningfulness-and-technology or plumbing technician technical meaningfulness-and-teleology (as to the fact that the client doesn’t go on pretending to engage the professional at its more profound level of technical knowledge contemplation) with the relation thus involving the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism> of the professional with a corresponding deferential apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of the client’ and so as reflecting the sublimating knowledge ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure beyond-and-above the desublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure of ordinary meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, this sublimating knowledge ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ across all registry-worldviews/dimensions is ever always poorly appreciated with regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (even though from a retrospective
perspective we can grasp the preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema of ‘the God of plane’ type of articulation of say base-institutionalisation as of animistic social-setup as from our positivism/rational-empiricism reflex ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ but it is important to note that such an animistic social-setup doesn’t project of any such preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology going by its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness just as we will be disinclined to contemplate about the more veridical preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema as to our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold as from a prospective deprocrypticism perspective projected placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology). This poor appreciation arises for the simple reason that the uninstitutionalised-threshold speaks of the registry-worldview/dimension notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>, and thus it is disinclined to recognise the prospective ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ imbibed foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional~deprocrypticism that can instill such a prospective sublimating knowledge ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ as to prospective living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development. In this regards, it can be appreciated with
respect to budding-positivism and universalising-idealisation respectively that where the epistemic-veracity of looking through a telescope and drawing positivistic ontological implications do not avail as in the scholastic-medievalism underpinning-suprasocial-construct or where construing meaningfulness in coherent universalising terms do not avail as in the non-universalising sophistry underpinning-suprasocial-construct, then there is a fundamental reality of desublimating ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity over which prospective sublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity knowledge respectively as of budding-positivism and universalising-idealisation can only be established as of their respectively requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ and naïve present day presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness interpretations in terms of the supposed arrogance of the Socrates, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, etc. is nothing more but a manifestation of dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation (as to the failure to appreciate that the surpassing of human-subpotency aporeticism is all about originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation that only arises as of ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring–<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring–<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>’). Indeed, as to when such ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed
social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ is institutionalised say with modern day positivism/rational-empiricism the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity existential-condescension—<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ of modern day scientific breakthroughs sublimation projected knowledge hardly put into question. Likewise, this insight about the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity existential-condescension—<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ for organic-knowledge needs to be explicited with regards to the blurrieness of meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with today’s institutional-being-and-craft intellectual-muddlement—{blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing—as-to-entailing—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness} with cynical, ridiculous and paradoxical pretenses of humility and sensibility/decorum that by that token (not unlike ancient sophistry and medieval-scholasticism) go on to induce ‘existentially invalid condescension’ as to their veridical desublimating presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ as of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure. The fact is where such pretenses are nowhere found in the terrain of knowledge-reification but rather surreptitious enterprises of<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} this signals their emperor has no clothes moment. In this regards, as to ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument-validating-measuring—<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism>’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating—
logicising/unsuitable measuring instrument invalidating measuring <preconverging or
dementing apriorising psychologism’> the requisite ‘ontological good faith authenticity
existential condescension of apriorising axiomatising referencing psychologism’ for
organic knowledge ‘speaks to an intellectual and moral responsibility associated with
knowledge as of the requisite dispensing with immediacy for relative ontological
completeness by reification contemplative distension for its elucidation and appropriate
second natured institutionalisation that is not dissociated from the very construction of the
Self’, and knowledge cannot thus be construed as ‘a minor and side thing of mere influencing
and stature’ that is dissociated with veridical human mental development and emancipation
in order to rather surreptitiously serve human subpotency as mortal
methodologising mutualising organising institutionalising perverted purposes (as so often
implicitly construed by many a social dominance vested interest actor and sycophantic
sophistry throughout human history in eliciting \((\text{amplituding formative} \\text{wooden-language-\(\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling\text{ressentiment\text{closed}\text{construct-of-}
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of\text{‘nondescript\text{ignorable-void’\text{with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications}>\) hardly showing disinterested interest in genuine
knowledge). The blunt fact is that as explained above and clearly obvious with human living-
development as to personality development and institutional development as to social
function development the ordinariness of meaningfulness and teleology is not to be exploited
as if it is a credible state of profound ontological veracity given the lack of dispensing with
immediacy for relative ontological completeness by reification contemplative distension (as
to a disparateness of conceptualisation unforegrounding disentailment failing to reflect
‘immanent ontological contiguity’ which pedantry and sophistry thrives on this lack of
universal transparency \((\text{transparency of totalising entailing as to entailing- \((\text{amplituding formative} \text{epistemic totalising in relative ontological completeness})\) with
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regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlying the social intellectual-function. Intellectualism as such is much more than just about presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising enterprise as to the fact that ‘all given registry-worldviews/dimensions as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness underpinning–suprasocial-construct relate to their given meaningfulness-and-teleology in absolute terms whereas in reality there are veridically relative subontologisation of ontology as metaphysics-of-presence’; and it is here that the social intellectual-function comes in to veridically reflect the reality that a social-construct is not of absolute scalarisation of human ontological-performance for the possibility for its prospective scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–re-ontologisation/supererogatory—involuting-or-guiding-or-amplifying–scalarisation<-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>, and the social intellectual-function as such is not about a naivest ‘discrete functionalism of social-vestedness/normativity’ as otherwise the possibility for the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence-and-sublimity right up to our present wouldn’t have availed speaking to our very own intellectual-and-moral responsibility for prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The social intellectual-function means that human thought can project beyond, overlook and override presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing conception of sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition; and so as to the fact that presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing actually tend to be skewed towards ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as to the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> positive-opportunism of living-development-as-to-personality-development and institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development) over ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (with regards to its supererogation-profundity–structure requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and in fact in many ways individuals intersolipsistic actions in society implicitly recognise this reality even as the overall underpinning–suprasocial-construct tends to be abstractly structured/paradigmed to skew towards ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as for instance professional choices and callings made well beyond just a question of their remunerative or supposed incidental social prestige worth). Part and parcel of the social intellectual-function is to undermine this skewing towards ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as to the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> positive-opportunism of living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development) and reconstrue human-subpotency aporeticism in terms of ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’. In this regards historically, without individuals making choices not to optimally pursue ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ as to their given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ but instead optimising their effort for ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ then the possibility will not arise for the very backbone of human value and ontological-veracity sublimation
(reflecting the ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’) upon which ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ is grounded. History knows that the ‘contorted human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness mentality of registry-worldviews/dimensions’ as of ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ do not truly pay their dues to the Socrates, Descartes, Kant, Newtons, Leibniz, Pasteurs, Rousseaux, Diderots, Einsteins, Teslas, etc. upon whose meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure building ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ arise and outlandishly skew human meaningfulness-and-teleology (and so not only with human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but is equally reflected in a poor-spirited bland conception of human living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development). This insight is critically important not as an idle exercise of merely stating the appropriateness of sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition but in reflecting that the skewed underpinning–suprasocial-construct projected and structured/paradigmed ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ cannot be construed as absolute as in effect it will ultimately prospectively stultifying the requisite ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ that acts as the backbone for human value and ontological-veracity sublimation (as has always been the manifest case for surpassing the uninstitutionalised-thresholds of registry-worldviews/dimensions). The fact is ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ as underlying presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness end up as the registry-worldviews/dimensions Establishments underpinning–suprasocial-construct as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness–<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-
exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-
threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation> of presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness existentialising—enframing of social-vestedness/normativity and social-
stake-contention-or-conflicction. It is the ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and 
ontological-veracity disposition’ (so-reflected in human historicality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing) that goes beyond presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness and generate the requisite structural/paradigmatic sublimation-
over-desublimation as reflected with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process while superseding ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-
<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-
for-the-later-ontologisation>’ disposition of ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value 
and ontological-veracity disposition’ as the latter at best construes of social reformation (and 
so across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions) in presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness existentialising—enframing subontologising palliative terms that as to their 
specifically defined ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-
arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ are very much 
integrative of collateral aspects as imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in 
surmountable/unovercomable with regards to social-stake-contention-or-conflicction and thus 
by dulling the social-construct’s conscience in this way rather distracts from the realisation 
and contemplation of the full possibilities for profound structural/paradigmatic 
transformation of ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity 
disposition’. The subtle manifestation of the social implications of ‘immediacy supposed 
absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as to the beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>
positive-opportunism of living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development) with regards to our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension can be appreciated in present day sycophantic-sophistry and intellectual-muddlement–(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing–as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness), media-driven disenfranchising narrative existentialising—enframing and dominance/vested-interest diffused institutional influence in many ways and occasions rendering formal and official languages of institutions smokescreens for underhanded


In many ways this presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing analysis as to the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s structural/paradigmatic social institutional beholding-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination–as-to-historicity-tracing~inhibited-mental-aestheticising implications is very much relevant however the underlying socio-econo-political subontologisation/ideology-over-ontology whether technocratic, capitalistic or communist (as in fact all such systems mirror each other as to their beholding-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination–as-to-historicity-tracing~inhibited-mental-aestheticising, besides the differentiating specificities as to ingrained cultural context, speaking of a more fundamental issue of positivism–procrypticism ontological-performance as to the prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint for prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought); as to the fact that the underlying institutional formativeness–<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued—
leftover-or-residuality-or-spirit-of–meaningfulness-and-teleology-so-construed-as-
metaphoricity,-informing-prospective-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness,-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality-
as limiting or of prospective human-subpotency aporeticism’ which surpassing as to human
psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure enables the
possibility for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’; and the ‘deprocrypticism driving
aesthetic-touch/aesthetic-sensibility of scalarising aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ is one
that in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process
projects of human ontological-performance as: formativeness-of-unintelligence-towards-
intelligence, so-rearticulated as formativeness—<as-to-intersolipsism-of-
premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology of unintelligence (beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-
origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhibited-mental-aestheticising) towards intelligence
(‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence’> as to ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing—disinhibited-mental-
aestheticising sublimation reclamation/recovery from beholdening-becoming—distortive-
originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhibited-mental-
aestheticising’). It is herein contended that the veridical social intellectual-function (as to the
creative dynamics of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-
development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and so across the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions as to its orientation towards reclamation/recovery of unenframed-conceptualisation is effectively what underlies the unenframed/unbeholding/bechancing–supererogation possibility of all prospective human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology enabling the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence-and-sublimity reflecting the fact that their underpinning–suprasocial-constructs as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existenstialising—enframing are otherwise hardly transcendental with regards to prospective construction-of-the-Self implications given their beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination–as-to-historicity-tracing~inhibited-mental-aestheticising. It is for the sake of preserving the full possibilities of prospective human value and ontological-veracity sublimation beyond presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existenstialising—enframing that the social intellectual-function must ever always remain independent and not be usurped by dominance/vested-interest actors and sycophantic-sophistry. Ultimately as with all human uninstitutionalised-thresholds the prospective deprocripticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-&of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ urges the human along beyond its limit of contemplation at which point such a taxingness-of-originariness upon human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality is more appropriately construed not as meaningfulness-and-teleology but metaphoricity as merely the setup for prospective human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibility for prospective
constitutedness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that structurally/paradigmatically projects of a ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance state failing to factor in human specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence’, human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence as to human limited-mentation-capacity veridically implies that ‘existence is not beholdening to that human thrownness and the critical human teleological as to ontological-performance issue is how to adjust to existence and is not about how existence adjusts to the human who is rather of a subpotent epistemic relation to the full-potency of existence’. The implication here is that the ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure existential-condescension—<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ is thus merely reflecting the veridicality of the possibility of prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity which is only possible as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation with regards to human formativeness—<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus it is only the possibility of ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure existential-condescension—<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ that can thus allow human existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation beyond naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (given that human ontological-performance cannot be neutrally be separated from human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence and the reflexive temporal-to-intemporal ontological implications on human ontological-performance). This
insight can be illustrated as follows: supposed say in 5000 BC an asteroid or virus could bring about a human cataclysm, such a ‘potential manifestation of existence is not beholdening to human appreciation of the existential implications of the notion and science behind the asteroid or virus’ and in this regard suppose extraterrestrials living in a ‘supposedly habitable Mars’ had achieved our present day civilisational and technological level, it is inevitable that they will effectively adopt ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension–<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ with regards to the human species on Earth and strife to preempt such a cataclysm as to their technical capacity. We can appreciate that the human species on Earth as to its relative-ontological-incompleteness doesn’t have a pretence to being of a ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance state failing to factor in human specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ but together with the extraterrestrials is rather structurally/paradigmatically in existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation relation as to the primacy of the full-potency of existence over any subpotency (speaking fundamentally to prior human ontological-commitment) with regards to the fact that the ontological-veracity of all humans as human-subpotency is priorily of existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation superseding pretenses of mere methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising presciences as to entitlements of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness articulated induced elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Speaking of the requisite ‘owning-up’ as to when relative-ontological-completeness avails rather than ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity in upholding relative-ontological-incompleteness (given that immortality/existence-perspective as to
intemporality cannot be construed as arising from our prior mortals whims superseding of existential sublimation entailment and such presumption rather speaks to preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism and not postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism). It is this pre-eminence of existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation that explains why the availing of relative-ontological-completeness as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation takes precedence in defining human intellectual-and-moral ontological-performance and so as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation. This <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence implied existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension—<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ effectively underlies the ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’, as the premeaningfulness/preframing—<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> from which human meaningfulness-and-teleology veridically arises. Thus existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation implies that the human is already ‘structurally/paradigmatically intellectually-and-morally existentially engaged as to its limited-mentation-capacity’ without any ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance state failing to factor in human specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’. This insight puts into perspective our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conception of intellectual-and-moral responsibility wherein supposedly failed/unsuccessful/ineffective
initiatives undertaken as to relative-ontological-completeness (for instance with regards to some public engagement aspiratory dispositions of such intellectuals like Sartre, Foucault, etc. and in the scientific domain for instance controversies associated with Louis Pasteur breakthroughs in microbial science) seem to be wrongly analysed from the posture of a supposedly neutral/objective social-setup conception of intellectual-and-moral responsibility (that ducks/ignores such relative-ontological-completeness aetiologisation/ontological-escalation posturing) without factoring in that ‘the social-setup’s relative-ontological-incompleteness specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given \(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence\)’ is not of neutrally/objectively sound ontological-performance; as to the fact that for instance the incidence of modern day wars and their man-made catastrophies do not speak of neutral/objective individuals and social intellectual-and-moral responsibility as to their existence within the meaningful sovereign frameworks that structurally/paradigmatically directly/indirectly validate such calamities. In other words, our intellectual-and-moral responsibility is already engaged as to our \(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence\) and the idea that any attitude of unconcern/indifference is intellectually-and-morally neutral/objective is bogus; and human intellectual-and-moral responsibility starts at the very least with an orientation to relative-ontological-completeness as to overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—\(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation\). Besides such a more stark elucidation as to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, existential-discursivity—implicit-sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’, thus
points to the primacy of ‘the very formative-epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence of human discursivity as to the possibility for prospective existential sublimation’ so-reflected in originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection as to overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—formative-epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation. The bigger point here is that prospective human sublimation underlying prospective knowledge-reification in relative-ontological-completeness cannot be engaged with any given registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness as if the latter is of a ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance state failing to factor in human specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given formative-epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence’ with regards to the fact that human formative-epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence is already engaged in existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ (and so very much countering the deceptive eliciting in desublimation of formative-wooden-language–imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–nondescript/ignorable-void’–with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications) by dominance/vested-interest actors and sycophantic-sophistry seeming to imply human-subpotency takes precedence over existence). In this regards, and in the bigger scheme of things existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension–of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ implies that as to existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, the respective state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism cannot be construed as of ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance state failing to factor in human specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given

\(<\text{amplituding}\)formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence’ with respect to prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism respectively; and as relative-ontological-completeness avails intellectual-and-moral responsibility is rather reflected as of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—\(<\text{amplituding}\)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation. Unlike it is often assumed from a sloppy conception of human sublimation in existence (caught up in any given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness self-justification of uncertainty of prospective human sublimation), the comprehensive coherence of human sublimation in existence as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is effectively highly regular and consistent (and this can only be fully appreciated from an ontologically sound conception of ‘existence as of its immanently tautologuous coherence speaking to its ontological-contiguity’ as to the possibility for intelligibility to arise as so-reflected with the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process so-associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<\text{amplituding}\)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). This confliction in the perception and relation to human sublimation in existence between metaphysics-of-presence as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness \(<\text{amplituding}\)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ on the one
hand and on the other hand difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as to relative-ontological-completeness

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, is aptly reflected in the entangled/enmeshed nature of human sublimation in existence as reflected with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. This is so fundamentally because of human teleology speaking of ‘human phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting

<amplituding>formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) and <amplituding>formative>entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability))’ (as reflecting the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)

underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process); such that human sublimation is hardly ‘purist’ and rather occurring as from successive human registry-worldviews/dimensions projections of their specifically flawed presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness given

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. The insight here is that human state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness structurally/paradigmatically impacts reflexively on human appraisal of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness sublimation implications, and so across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions right up to the originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which purportedly escapes any such
reflexive 

presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness 

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
technical knowhow and natural science as to their immediately amenable positive-opportunism social implications ultimately leading to subsequent human methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising sublimating overall meaningfulness-and-teleology. But the overall structure/paradigm of human sublimation in existence as such is not always coherent as to the discrepancy in the occurrence of specific sublimations and desublimations say material and technical sublimation pointing to relative-ontological-completeness and ‘immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness’ presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology instigating the referencing/registering/decisioning desublimation of the nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations–<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving>’. In this regards, we can appreciate how the subsequent immaterial/social sublimation required for prospective positivism/rational-empiricism came to be appreciated by such thinkers like the Rousseaux, Diderots, etc. as to the fact that the material possibilities of their epoch associated with the printing press and increasing technical knowhow rendered the immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of their epoch wanting, explaining for instance Rousseau’s appreciation of the ‘noble savage’ and nature as speaking to an aporeticism that recognised that mankind needed a more mature conception of interhuman relationship and human relation with nature as to when mankind/some-of-mankind began manifesting a more developed relationship with nature beyond just as of the immediacy of subsistence/survival relationship with nature (say for instance having technical more efficient guns with gunpowder didn’t imply just killing animals at whim); thus speaking of the prospectively requisite immaterial/social sublimation as to prospective positivism/rational-empiricism postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising- psychologism. In this regards even budding-positivists like Galileo, Descartes, etc. just as
well implicitly recognised this discrepancy of prospective material and technical sublimation positivistic science in relative-ontological-completeness and the immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of medieval-scholasticism associated with alchemic/magical thinking, to the point that in many ways their actions were directed towards articulating at the very least an underlying ‘scientific—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation–〈as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment〉’ as the requisite immaterial/social sublimation for enabling positivistic science as we know it today to arise. This very insight explains the enlightenment struggle against feudalism and slavery as to the fact that the technical and scientific progress as to relative-ontological-completeness weren’t the occasion to put such technical and scientific progress like shipbuilding and other ocean voyage technologies at the service of the prior medievally clouded immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology value-construct and methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising existentialising—enframing, but rather called for a renewed conceptualisation of humanity beyond a mentality of immediate subsistence/survival. Thus it is always the case that the positive-opportunism driving the second-natured institutionalisation of human sublimation induces discrepancy as to immediate material and technical possiblities of sublimation and the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension immaterial/social sublimation considerations that rise to the aporetic challenge of the immediate material and technical possibilities of sublimation. In many ways this discrepancy of material and technical sublimation and immediate distortive immaterial/social desublimation is reflected in the ‘historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition gimmickiness/desublimation relation with
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of our positivism–procrypticism, for instance as associated with an ‘underlying dumbing-down public intellection and media industry’; as media-access and its commercialisation function in many ways rather adhocly substitutes-for/undermines a profound social intellectual-function as to social-stake-contention-or-confliction implications. The further implication of this discrepancy is in highlighting that the supposed equanimity/balance of the overall politico-institutional system as to sublimating notions of sovereignty, democracy, free-will, etc. is only veridically effective as to the originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of notional–deprocrypticism given the perpetual challenge of material sublimation upon human immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; as prospective material/technical sublimation is associated with a discrepant ‘immaterial/social overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology instigating the referencing/registering/decisioning desublimation of the nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving>’ that goes on as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness to render the supposed equanimity/balance of the overall politico-institutional system as to sublimating notions of sovereignty, democracy, free-will, etc. increasingly of relic/artifactual human ontological-performance reflected in their failing effective outcomes of equanimity/balance; wherein their practice increasingly tends to dominance/vested-interest actors and sycophantic-sophistry induced desublimating narratives as to the <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) displayed in the public domain (caught-up/entrapped
in ‘a politico-institutional beholding relic/artefactual disenfranchising notion of both-sides’ as psyching-subterfuge that renders the common concrete pragmatic aspirations of sovereign individuals increasingly politically irrelevant as to the paradox for instance that the healthier political framework in the years following the second world-war, as hardly subject to closed-circles of effective direct/indirect politico-institutional influence rampant today, notwithstanding the even greater social prejudice/bigotry/closed-mindedness was able to induce critical progressive social transformations that in many ways the present day political framework as to a period of rather profound and real-world cosmopolitanism/opened-mindedness can only dream about) as the more potent possibilities for social transformation are increasingly subdued under politico-institutional defaulting frameworks-and-practices rather surreptitiously subjected to closed-circles of effective direct/indirect politico-institutional influence ‘as to a strategic capacity to elicit old and relatively aporetically irrelevant beholding narratives of identity as a divide-and-conquer strategy for undermining the real and concrete common sovereign narrative of social transformation possibilities’ as so-reflected with commonly held objective sovereign aspirations that cut across party/ideological affiliations when not subjected to the disenfranchising effects of crafty politicised beholding narratives of identity with their ‘ad-hoc/arbitrary popping-up in the media at critical electoral moments involving high emotional charge quelling cerebral thinking as of the modern day efficient disenfranchising technique of flawed apriorising deception involving arbitrarily-skewing-or-debasing-the-terms-of-supposedly-constructively-opened-public-debate’ (as to the wrong mental enculturation of the notion that the ‘political game’ in-of-itself precedes individuals and social sovereign aspirations as if the latter were just ‘paying fans to a sports encounter’ rather than a political process meant to serve them as so reflected with an enculturated media political narrative hardly/poorly making room for direct individual and social sovereign aspirations as centrally defining with the consequence
that substance is increasingly overwhelmed by a political characters portrayal of the political
debate with political actors then effectively turning over rather towards the levers of their
potential power which is paradoxically not necessarily/deterministically social sovereign
aspirations as to a relic/artifactual conception-and-projection in the public domain but rather
surreptitious/private closed-circles of effective direct/indirect politico-institutional influence
as so-plainly exposed by the fact that long-term consequences of public policies recurrently
‘default for dominance/vested-interest actors’). Even in the purely intellectual sense, modern
day scientific advancements and achievements have correspondingly given rise to a distorted
manifestation of science-ideology as a usurpatory mouthpiece of veridical science-in-practice
that effectively rides the wave of natural sciences accomplishments and in so doing projects
of a naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic conception of
science that in many cases poorly reflects upon effective scientific practices and craft as it
poorly appreciates the dynamics of the overall human knowledge and scientific enterprise as
to the aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology underlying the overall
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, so-reflected from such
science-ideology poor appreciation of the implications of the historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing rendering the scientific adventure as of a living
existential-contextualising-contiguity exercise. Such that by this token science-ideology
conception of science the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-
completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as to human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications in fully
appreciating human underlying aestheticisation scheming in conceptualising existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation behind the ultimate
development of human knowledge and science is lost to a flatminded interpretation of human
progress based on the mere elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity conception of methods/methodologies/approaches as to mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation with a poor appreciation for the prospective originariness-parrhesia, as spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation behind the invention and validation of any such methods/methodologies/approaches. Further science-ideology as to its dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<(amplituding)<(amplituding))formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation equally fails to appreciate how prior human aestheticisation scheming including human superstitions, belief systems and religions were a necessary pathway to the present even as modern science demonstrates their limits (given that we are an animal of limited-mentation-capacity reflected as to our human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality to which the notion of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is vital for perpetually enhancing that limited-mentation-capacity as of our aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology); as such mystical/spiritual narratives were veridically ‘triaising aestheticisation frameworks of human apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as of the affirmatory sublimating possibilities inducible as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-suprerogation’ that ultimately enabled and propelled human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)<(amplituding))formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (so-associated with such affirmatory sublimating possibilities strong selective cultural diffusion as to the sublimating strengthening and anchoring upon the social-setup that such mystical/spiritual narratives enabled), and so-construable as from the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure that led to our present day non-superstitious clairvoyance/clearsightedness with the important projective-insights that since human aestheticisation scheming has always been central and preceding human aestheticisation-towards-ontology (as even manifested in modern day natural sciences creativity) it would be foolhardy to adopt a mental-disposition as of science-ideology that poorly recognises the critical creative role for human aestheticisation in the perpetual development of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, especially so with regards to our own capacity to conceptualise of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology herein construed as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as to the requisite originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness behind the prospective creation/invention of sublimating methods/methodologies/approaches as secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in the face of prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming as to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, with budding-positivists inventing/creating the positivism/rational-empiricism sublimating methods/methodologies/approaches superseding medieval-scholasticism desublimating methods/methodologies/approaches and likewise Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation inventing/creating universalising-idealisation sublimating methods/methodologies/approaches superseding non-universalising
sophists desublimating methods/methodologies/approaches), as otherwise we’ll merely sanctify as absolute our present positivism–procrypticism level of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and its corresponding methods/methodologies/approaches associated with its living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as to wrongly imply ours is the human generation that don’t face any prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming. Along the same line of intellectual appreciation of prospective sublimation implications as to the fact that nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> ‘critically points to an overall nascent knowledge-reification gesturing directly or indirectly prescient of a comprehensive sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology conception of the given prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension’; the possibility for ontology/science is effectively ‘an ontological-contiguity projection as to an all-englobing/all-encompassing construction’ (notwithstanding the epistemic limitation inherent to human limited-mentation-capacity) that captures relative-ontological-completeness induced sublation as reflected in any subject-matter (as to its phenomenal/manifest-subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>) and so as to the subject-matter underlying existential-discursivity—implicated-sublation-over-desublation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ (and so as effectively reflected by the overall reference-of-thought and reference-of-thought-devolving/subject-matter ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublation-{as-to-underlying-ontological-
commitment)’. In this regards, we can appreciate that going by the positivism/rational-empiricism relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension, the natural sciences do not allow for any other external interpretations of their phenomenal/manifest-subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (but for issues of epistemic limitation inherent to human limited-mentation-capacity). In this regards, there can’t be any instance/circumstance to which the mathematician will construe of 1+1 as being equal to 4 as to totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought; as to the fact that inherent ontological-veracity precedes-and-supersedes ‘mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing’. The implication here that in the bigger scheme of things, the ‘apriorising decisions advancing mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing’ over inherent ontological-veracity as manifested in many a social domain (while equally relevant in the natural sciences especially when ‘mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency existentialising—enframing’ increasingly undermine the organisation behind the natural conduct of the natural sciences) go on to undermine their pretenses to a status of profound ontological-veracity as reflected of an ontology/science as to aestheticisation-towards-ontology. In this regard, relic/artifactual conception of veridical human historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing rather speaks to deficient knowledge-reification gesturing caught up in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhibited-mental-aestheticising. Likewise, deliberate intellectual decisions emphasising institutional self-preservation and rendering veridical knowledge elucidation secondary to such institutional self-preservation decisions, in many ways wrest
away from such supposed intellectual institutions their status as veridically knowledge producing as these increasingly become political as to their emphasising of a political motive ready to forego veridical knowledge-reification for its institutional self-preservation; with the consequence of increasing sycophantic-sophistry and social intellectual-function indifference or betrayal to dominance/vested-interest actors. This issue of institutional self-preservation is in many ways at the very root of the non-intellectual, media-driven and dishonest criticisms levied against postmodern-thought as to the latter obvious conclusive emancipatory implications; so-reflected in a practice of ‘clouded thought’ that has no true intellectual elucidation purpose but rather an extension of the political over veridical knowledge-reification (such that arguments about the accommodation of different intellectual practices tend to be articulated wrongly as to imply that ‘the true ontological-veracity as to sublimation-over-desublimation of intellectual practices’ are irrelevant and secondary to the mere purpose of institutional accommodation of different intellectual practices). It is herein contended that just as the prior successive registry-worldviews/dimensions required their specific ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ to usher in the possibility of their very own secondnatured institutionalisation unclouded knowledge-reification gesturing, the ultimate possibility for our positivism–procrypticism overcoming its intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) lies with the prospective ‘deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ imbued foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to

Critically thus the veracity of human sublimation is rather as to the originariness/origination—<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of deprocrypticism as effectively reflecting existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, and so as to the fact that the deprocrypticism given ‘directly relevant trace of prospective human effectively-purist-sublimation—<reflecting-prospective-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing> as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ is not ‘beholdening wrongly upon the overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This projected deprocrypticism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective points out that human sublimation in existence actually reflects the overall ontological-contiguity as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of prospective sublimating–
registry-worldviews/dimensions (as reflecting the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process) projectively entail ‘reference-of-thought—and—reference-
of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of prospective
sublimating–nascence’, and so as from: recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism overall-knowledge-reification-gesturing-
<of-variously-devolving—’axiomatising-conjugations’—so-reflected-in-its-nascent-particular-
sublimations>’ (as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘reference-of-thought—and—reference-
of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of prospective
sublimating–nascence’), base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation ‘rulemaking-over-non-
rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism overall-knowledge-reification-
gesturing—<of-variously-devolving—’axiomatising-conjugations’—so-reflected-in-its-nascent-
particular-sublimations>’ (as base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation ‘reference-of-
thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology
comprehensiveness of prospective sublimating–nascence’), universalisation—non-
positivism/medievalism ‘universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism overall-knowledge-reification-gesturing—
<of-variously-devolving—’axiomatising-conjugations’—so-reflected-in-its-nascent-particular-
sublimations>’ (as universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism ‘reference-of-thought—and—
reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of
prospective sublimating–nascence’), positivism—procrypticism ‘positivising/rational-
empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism overall-knowledge-reification-gesturing—
<of-variously-devolving—’axiomatising-conjugations’—so-reflected-in-its-nascent-particular-
sublimations>’ (as positivism—procrypticism ‘reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-
thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of prospective
knowledge-reification gesturing with respect to the haunting fact of human
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence as to any such
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing speaking to
such a <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence, such a
deprocypticism institutionalisation ‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–supererogation
parameterisation/reparameterisation-{reflecting-a-supererogatory-decisionality-of-
socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to-‘their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-
presublimatory-decisionality–numbing-traction-desublimation’}-as-so-operationalising-
‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–re-ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation–
and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology is more immediately-
and-constructively bound to ‘appraise the conception of sovereign equanimity/balance
driving human agency imbued sublimation as to <amplituding>formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating relative-ontological-completeness implications’. This
double epistemic orientation to a deprocypticism institutionalisation
‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation-
{reflecting-a-supererogatory-decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to-‘their-
nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality–numbing-
traction-desublimation’}-as-so-operationalising-‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–re-
ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-
ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology can be understood in the sense that just as we can
appreciate that if supposedly we are found in say an exclusively animistic social-setup with
supposedly no possibility to rejoin a positivistic social-setup, while at the very least we
appreciate that the material/technical capacity of a positivistic social-setup overall
meaningfulness-and-teleology will enhance such an animistic social-setup as to existence—
as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, the fact remains that our
thrownness in the animistic social-setup requires at least a basic engagement tolerable to its meaningfulness-and-teleology before any pretense to a projection of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology (as can so be appreciated with the cultural diffusion encounters throughout human history). In this regards as to a decisively globalising world we can’t conceive that ours will be the human generation bereft of ‘profound diffusionary/non-diffusionary aestheticisation prospective insight as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ given the increasingly relic/artifactual nature of traditional cultures in our modern age as to the potent lack of prospective creative aestheticisation off-the-beaten-path of an increasing convergence deadening of the possibility prospective reappraisals of human meaningfulness-and-teleology (as so-construed as of the dimensionality-of-sublimating–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation), as to the fact that overall human beholdening inclination (as to any defining overall relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology concerned mostly with human living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development in the priorly achieved Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) rather tends to reconverge to shallow <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence concreteness/concretism/existentialising—enframing—of-human-ontological-performance as reflected by the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing (when it comes to human overall ‘aestheticisation as reflecting the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically—as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,—so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’); thus as not necessarily
speaking of the absolute possibility of human consciousness projection in want for its recurrent parameterisation/reparameterisation-(reflecting-a-supererogatory-decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to-‘their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality-numbing-traction-desublimation’)-as-so-operationalising-
‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-ontologisation’ in optimising human ontological-performance (and our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension cannot be overlooked in this regards notwithstanding the fact that it is at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure). But then just like with all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, our positivism–procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing effectively projects a hurdle to any such structural/paradigmatic deprocrypticism conception of re-ontologisation as to its inherent
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag poorly amenable to profound alternative institutional aestheticising contemplation ‘given its calamitous conception and relation to the possibility for prospective re-ontologisation from its subontologisation’ such that any such profound alternative institutional aestheticising contemplation are traditionally bound to arise as disruptive institutional transformations whether or not involving power-showdown as associated with sudden/revolutionary transformations with ‘their drawback of having to think on their feet inducing deficient ontological-performance as well as generalised social apprehension which is then enigmatically held against them’ (however the merits of their underlying case) very much unlike ‘the latitude for articulating conceptualisations available for presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing’ (however their structural/paradigmatic flaws). Today manifestations (in the political domain) of protest votes for instance, more than just a question of poor political leadership actually has to do in many ways with ‘an alienating politico-institutional entrapment/frame-up of
sovereign choice’ within the supposed democratic process that ‘forestalls-and-narrows as of strategic rules and processes’ the effective political fulfilment of individual and social sovereign choices inducing anti-sovereign consequences as to defaulting policy consequences to dominance/vested-interest actors without truly being institutionally subject to competing profound alternative institutional aestheticising contemplation given their institutional ascendance. Such a beholdening presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing skews the fundamental ontology question by its inherent

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag gatekeeping stifling of the possibility for inquiring on the ontological-veracity of its practice as to a reflex for advancing the quietude of social-vestedness/normativity. This latter issue is the ultimate challenge to prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation ‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation–(reflecting-a-supererogatory-decisionality-of-
socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to–‘their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-
presublimatory-decisionality–numbing-traction-desublimation’)–as-so-operationalising–
’scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–re-ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation–
and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology; as of the paradox that a social-setup as to its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence is so pragmatically self-focussed that its aestheticisation and hence aestheticisation-towards-ontology dynamic-potential as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is narrowed/limited/constricted however its level of development (explaining the decisiveness/criticality of cultural diffusion imbued originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in re-ontologisation accompanying human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as can be appreciated throughout human history). This is explained by the fact that the human can relatively easily appreciate the ontological-
pertinence of new practices arising as from outside cultural diffusion but it is very much difficult to reconstrue of such practices as from the taxingness-of-originariness involved in surpassing an internalised \( \text{(amplituding)} \) formative\-epistemic-totalising\-self-referring-re-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic\-drag’ posture; and this very much explains the double epistemic orientation to deprocrypticism institutionalisation
‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing\-supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation-
(\reflecting-a\-supererogatory-decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to-‘their-
nascent-sublimations-dynamic\-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality\-numbing-
traction\-desublimation’)\-as\-so\-operationalis-‘scalarisation-as\-to\-rescalarisation-as\-re-
ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation\-and\-aestheticisation\-towards-
ontology\-meaningfulness\-and\-teleology as highlighted above (as to the need to feed our
\( \text{(amplituding)} \) formative\-epistemic-totalising\-thrownness\-in\-existence decisively
globalising world with aestheticising re\-originariness/re\-origination to uphold the capacity
for pure\-ontology as to re\-ontologisation). In this regards, all such ontologisation/re-
ontologisation potential for human meaningfulness\-and\-teleology: is structurally\/paradigmatically ever inducible as of human formativeness\-<as\-to-
intersolipsism\-of\-premeaningfulness\-preframing\-imbued\-mediativity\-and\-deferentialism>\-of-
meaningfulness\-and\-teleology, as to the underlying human\-subpotency ontological\-faith-
notion\-or\-ontological\-fideism\—imbued\-underdetermination\-of-
apriorising/axiomatising\-referencing\-as\-so\-being\-as\-of\-existential\-reality (given human
limited\-mentation\-capacity implications on human ontological\-performance) reflected in such
formativeness (going by its given aestheticisation\-and\-aestheticisation\-towards\-ontology of
the cultivated/beholdening\-construct\-of\-meaningfulness\-and\-teleology\-, ultimately\-construed-
as\-habit\-practice\-belief\-culture) and thereof the ontologically\-valid/ontologically\-invalid
beholdening implications arising from the cultivated/beholdening\-construct\-of–
meaningfulness-and-teleology,-ultimately-construed-as-habit/practice/belief/culture (when it comes to human overall ‘aestheticisation as reflecting the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’). The underlying insight here is that ‘the human apriorising/axiomatising/referencing process of \(((\text{amplituding})\text{formative})\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating conceptualisation}\) is effectively a ‘formative thrownness in existence imbued arbitrariness/waywardness’ as of manifestly induced sublimation or desublimation with regards to the aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology of cultivated/beholdening-construct-of—meaningfulness-and-teleology,—ultimately-construed-as-habit/practice/belief/culture’. Human \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\text{thrownness-in-existence,}-\text{imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness-}(\text{as-to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-‘}(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\text{epistemic-totalising~conceptualisation’})\) is what effectively captures all the possibilities of human sublimation or desublimation in existence and so as to human overall ‘aestheticisation as reflecting the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Critically, this human \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\text{thrownness-in-existence,-}\text{imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness-}(\text{as-to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-‘}(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\text{epistemic-totalising~conceptualisation’})\), as to when it converges to sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, goes on to prospectively reflect the relative-ontological-completeness ‘specific overallknowledge-reification-gesturing-<of-variously-devolving-‘axiomatising-conjugations’-so-reflected-in-its-nascent-particular-sublimations>’ (while as to
to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-
‘<(amplitunding)formative>epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation’)’) speaks to human
premeaningfulness/preframing–<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-
psychologism-of-existential-stake> with regards to formativeness–<as-to-intersolipsism-of-
premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology; as underlying the possibilities for human sublimation-inducing—
textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-
of-existence–<so-construed-as-the-premeaningfulness/preframing-that-enables-
‘foregrounding—entailment-as-reflecting-ontological-contiguity>). Thus it is by such a
‘sublimation-over-desublimation understanding’ of this
<(amplituding)formative>thrownness-in-existence,-imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness–<as-
to-the-human–re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-
‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–conceptualisation’) that the apparently
imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in
surmountable/unovercomable framework of our positivism–procrypticism presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing (as the challenge of the
double epistemic orientation to deprocrypticism institutionalisation
‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation-
(reflecting-a-supererogatory-decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions-as-to–‘their-
nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory-decisionality–numbing-
traction-desublimation’)–as-so-operationalising–‘scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as–re-
ontologisation’ for prospective aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-
ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology as highlighted above) can be looked at in a new and
enlightening perspective (beyond such a ‘positivism–procrypticism—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-
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pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ and so rather as from a prospective ‘deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’; and so as to the elucidation of such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing induced human

<(amplituding)formative>thrownness-in-existence,-imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness-(as-to-the-human—re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~conceptualisation’) deficient ontological-performance. Thus as being amenable both to ‘sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ and to ‘desublimation as failing existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’, human

<(amplituding)formative>thrownness-in-existence,-imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness-(as-to-the-human—re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~conceptualisation’) notionally speaks of an underpinning framework that is structural/paradigmatic to the potentiality for both emancipating ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure and human impeding ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure underlying human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. Human

<(amplituding)formative>thrownness-in-existence,-imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness-(as-to-the-human—re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing-process-of-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~conceptualisation’) as to its ‘effectively underlying human beholdening—inch-h, apprehending,-and-taming—drive or aestheticising—surrealising/supererogating—drive of existentialising—framing/imprinting’ is rather ‘manifested structurally/paradigmatically as reflecting human ontological-performance (with regards to constraining existential-contextualising-contiguity upon human
underlying ontological-commitment as to the possibility for sublimation or desublimation’ as at defining institutionalised-threshold or as at defining uninstitutionalised-threshold of human ontological-performance; so-underlined respectively by the dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension associated with postconverging (postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism-representation,-as-of-postconverging-aestheticisation) as at defining institutionalised-threshold or failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension associated with preconverging (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-representation,-as-of-preconverging-aestheticisation) as at defining uninstitutionalised-threshold. In this respect (with regards to the possibility for human sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to its ‘invention’/’creation’ of prospective methods/methodologies/approaches as to ‘prospective sublimation induced methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising’ (involving sublimating human ‘formativeness<-as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) is underlined by its ‘instigative–askesis-or-acumen structuring/paradigming the possibility for prospective sublimating and reifying socio-institutional conceptions/constructs/models as to prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming-overcoming for human social emancipative reinvigoration/disruption’ (reflected historically as of a sacral, monasterial, pastoral, hippocratic, etc. aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology and inconsistently echoed in modern day deonto-professional institutional practices); and so as to the ‘instigative–askesis-or-acumen projected perception’ that the disposedness of the generalised social-construct <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-
disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation philosophy (as to the fact that the ancient-sophists, medieval-scholasticism or present day intellectual-muddlement—(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) will falsely pretend that their respective presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing associated with the eliciting of their respective <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)—is of ‘existential and contemplative internal adequation’ respectively for the nascent contemplation of such universalising-idealisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and prospective postmodern-thought as herein projected with deprocrypticism conceptualisation whereas the skirting/peripheral initiation within such respective presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing as of the former effectively speaks to their ‘fundamental structural/paradigmatic falsehood’ for the possibility for the social intellectual-function prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming overcoming sublimation involving ‘their seeding-misprising ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure that covertly and/or overtly project respectively that afterall all the world that exists is-of-non-universalising-sophistry or is-of-non-positivising-scholasticism or is-of-disjointed-intellectual-muddling in contempt of relative-ontological-completeness implications’ and this ‘seeding-misprising ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure’ has to be factored into the prospective articulation of deprocrypticism,—as-to-the-ultimate-fulfilment-of-notional—deprocrypticism as to the fact that the complete possibility for ontology/science implies ‘accounting for everything potent’ including at the more fundamental level human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to its implied ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity–structure that are respectively instigative or forestalling of the possibility for prospective human aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming sublimation). This is further reflected in ‘the very postconverging-as-to-ontological-normalcy over preconverging-as-to-epistemic-abnormalcy conception of human transcendence-and-sublimity’ with regards to the fact that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and positivism–procrypticism respectively aren’t of the ‘existential and contemplative internal adequation’ for prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism, as to the ‘increasing crumbling of the former social intellectual-function’ into subterfuge of false-scepticism (as to the fact that veridical scepticism is of constructive knowledge commitment effectively exposing itself to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-suprerogation and so rather than idly critical and unaccountable totalisingly-disentailing— discretion/whim-of-thought), pedantry and <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) narratives increasingly ignoring-and-failing to engage with inherent veridical knowledge-reification. In this respect the possibility of human prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that goes on to induce prospective reasoning-from-results/afterthought as secondnatured-institutionalisation is ever always accompanied/framed by its ‘instigative–askesis-or-acumen structuring/paradigming the possibility for prospective sublimating and reifying socio-institutional conceptions/constructs/models as to prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming-overcoming for human social emancipative reinvigoration/disruption’ as to the resultantly
developed deferential-formalisation-transference socio-institutional model/construct (reflected historically as of a sacral, monasterial, pastoral, hippocratic, etc. aestheticisation—
and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology and inconsistently echoed in modern day deonto-
professional institutional practices); and so by the mere token of the structural/paradigmatic relative ontological-deficiency of the generalised social-construct

<amplituding>formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

However, the ontological-veracity of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance as at uninstitutionalised-threshold (so-underlined by human limited-mentation-capacity) speaks to the fact that even the ‘instigative–askesis-or-acumen structuring/paradigming the possibility for prospective sublimating and reifying socio-institutional
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postconverging/dialectical-thinking-of-notional–deprocrypticism/dimensionality-of-
sublimating—\((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-growth/scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-
as–re-ontologisation’> which goes on to instill (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology–\(<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>\) a social agency all of its
own associated with inducing prospective desublimating and dereifying of socio-institutional
conceptions/constructs/models. Such a hyperreal-transposition numbing-traction—of-
desublimating-meaningfulness-and-teleology–\(<as-perspective-lost-of-
‘acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness-as-to-the-imbued-
postconverging/dialectical-thinking-of-notional–deprocrypticism/dimensionality-of-
sublimating—\((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-growth/scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-
as–re-ontologisation’> is manifested not only with regards to specific socio-institutional
conceptions/constructs/models practices but englobes extended social institutions including
the underpinning–suprasocial-construct, the social intellectual-function as well as the media;
and in many ways is the enabler (as to its prompting of a supposedly
imponderable/inscrutable/avoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in-
surmountable/unovercomable presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
existentialising—enframing) of a human rationalising closedness that structures/paradigms
directly or indirectly the ‘patronising/disfranchising/disqualifying acceptability/seemliness’
of the given human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—
enframing imbued structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments, and so as to
dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—\((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation (thus undermining the challenge of the double epistemic orientation
to deprocrypticism institutionalisation ‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing–
supererogation parameterisation/reparameterisation—\(reflecting-a-supererogatory-
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sublimations—<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving> positivistic/rational-empiricism conceptions (as reflected with Newton’s interest in alchemy and the occult in association with his positivistic natural philosophy as well as Descartes’ underlying deistic interest in association with his incipient positivistic mathesis universalis schema/disseminative metaphoricity explicit in his thinking proposition and scepticism engendering our positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme). Along the same lines, it is interesting to note how Plato’s Socrates and Plato as to their universalising-idealisation instigation were in many ways rather beholdening to a pre-universalising Delphian spirituality conception (as so-reflected particularly by the Delphian motto know thyself) with regards to their universalising-idealisation approach mostly emphasising human and social virtue (as underlined with Socrates’ maieutics and Plato’s theory of Forms) and so very much in contrast to the latter Aristotelian approach in an all-expansive perspective of universalising-idealisation particularly so by its emphasis on overall universalising-idealisation pragmatic knowledge including practical and natural phenomena universalising-idealisation implications. This ‘redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-the-referencing-and-the-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance as to presublimation and nascent-sublimations overlapping-contiguity-of-referencing-and-devolved-referencing’ (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implications as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) is effectively what epistemically underlies the inherent ontological-veracity of the ‘postconverging/dialectical-thinking of reference-of-thought sublimating as to the implied ontological-normalcy of notional—deprocrypticism’ over the inherent ontological-flaw of the ‘preconverging/dementing of reference-of-thought in desublimation-as-presublimating as to
the implied epistemic-abnormalcy of notional-procrypticism’; as to the fact that the reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning speaks of the referencing projective-insights psychologically and apriorisingly underlying the prospective nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations<-blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving> as to their operant predicative-insights. Insightfully (as to its deneuterising—referentialism construed as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, notional—deprocrypticism enabled fundamental ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, and so superseding a naïve metaphysics-of-presence affect-driven mented or stigmatic psychology rather as of a shallow perspective of historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and vaguely articulated as of universal import but rather manifesting our positivism—procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing), the conception of human socio-institutional conceptions/constructs/models is rather as of ‘a supererogatory psychologistic protraction of human relevantly induced notional-asceticism (as to its skirting/peripheral initiation within a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing to constructively enable the veridical expression of its ‘instigative—askesis-or-acumen structuring/paradigming the possibility for prospective sublimating and reifying socio-institutional conceptions/constructs/models as to prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming-overcoming for human social emancipative reinvigoration/disruption’) in dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection of prospective methods/methodologies/approaches as from prospective sublimation induced
methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising’ (reflected historically as of a sacral, monasterial, pastoral, hippocratic, etc. aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology and inconsistently echoed in modern day deonto-professional institutional practices); and so unlike any given ‘naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing perspectiveless-and-soulless blinded adherence to prior methods/methodologies/approaches’ whether of ancient-sophistry, medieval-scholasticism or of present day disjointing/disparateness/disentailing intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness). The further implication is that ours cannot pretend to be the human generation that shuts-off from prospective knowledge-reification the analysis and criticism of its methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing (as to ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ without grasping the ontological-veracity of overall human ‘formativeness–<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and so as to human inherently embodied–vitality/survival/subsistence in existential becoming with regards to human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-defining the-social or human-social-potency’). This is necessary for fundamental ontology speaking of notional–deprocrypticism enabled fundamental ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ for inducing prospective human historiality/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Basically, notional-asceticism is ever always associated with the successive relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldviews/dimensions possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity to arise (as to the notional-asceticism instigating originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity.astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection of prospective methods/methodologies/approaches as from prospective sublimation induced methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising), and so because all the ‘existential and contemplative internal adequation’ available for any given relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview/dimension is as of its inherent apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism imbued logical-basis/logic—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing— that is not structured/paradigmed to recognise the prospective sublimating relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism imbued logical-basis/logic—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing— (with only the cross-generational positive-opportunism arising from the relative-ontological-completeness comprehensively induced sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation that then elicits the universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—which—
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness),
untenability and affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring—<postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism> of the relative-ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism imbued logical-basis/logic—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>). But then with such notional~asceticism associated with deprocrypticism factoring in that the projective-insights ‘out of thin air’ (as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning) that go on to contemplate of prospective relative-ontological-completeness sublimation is potentially a universal human capacity as of discretionary human disposition (as to when relative-ontological-completeness avails) for opting for sublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure or opting for desublimating ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity–structure, and that (as speaking to human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality) ‘this most fundamentally potent point of human-subpotency is the epistemic point-of-departure for construing ontology/science as from the notional~deprocrypticism projected human-subpotency profound-and-complete mentation-capacity ontological implications’; given that to avoid being merely a complexification of positivism–procrypticism as of the possibility for disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought deprocrypticism warrants the requisite human organic-disposition as of deprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism for prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning ‘rather than just another induced reasoning-from-results/afterthought equally subjected to human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ speaking of a circular positivism–procrypticism complexification as of "<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) as to human incapacity to psychically project the overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—"<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
underlying notional~asceticism. This very notional~asceticism insight (speaking of
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation) about the notional–deprocrypticism reflected in the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process explains why the universalising-idealisation of the Socratic philosophers is not a ‘disengaged articulation but subverts’ non-universalising sophistry, why budding-positivism is not a ‘disengaged articulation but subverts’ non-positivising medieval-scholasticism and prospectively why postmodern-thought and herein deprocrypticism is not a ‘disengaged articulation but subverts’ present-day disjointing/disparateness/disentailing intellectual-muddlement-{blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of thought; and so further reflected as to the fact that base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism (as of their respective prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) are respectively subversions of the aporeticisms of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and positivism–procrypticism. The veracity of human knowledge as ever always a ‘non-disengaging epistemic articulation as to the totalising oneness of existence manifest sublimations’ lies with the very immanent–ontological-contiguity of existence that epistemically speaks to the ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ as so divulging/disclosing existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation; such that human knowledge-reification is effectively in reality about addressing and superseding human aporeticisms (human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor) as surpassing epistemic-constructs of sublimation-over-desublimation so-implied with the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation (as to living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). The implication here is that human ‘epistemic-constructs of sublimation-over-desublimation’ are not-and-never optional/discretionary representations about existence (but for when ‘deliberately of mere aestheticisation as mere motif implications’ with no relative reference to any ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with regards to human epistemic aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology of immanent existence; reflected in the fact that all such epistemic-constructs as knowledge-reification (as referencing any ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) speak to an underlying human ontological-commitment as to the possibility for prospective sublimation-over-desublimation (so-implied with the self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction underlying human ontological-commitment). In this regards, we can appreciate that the successive human registry-worldviews/dimensions speak to successive human aporeticisms of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of—
construal’, with the implication that human epistemic limits arising due to human limited-mentation-capacity at the uninstitutionalised-thresholds respectively of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism–procrypticism as to their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing, do not speak of limits to prospective human knowledge-reification (as epistemic-constructs referencing prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) respectively as of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. But then with regards to the uninstitutionalised-thresholds of all registry-worldviews/dimensions in their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, the fact is that their socio-institutional decisional-construct for responding to their own given prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming take up a pedantising and institutional self-preservation nature that falsely turns around (breaks with ‘prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ for knowledge-reification) to undermine prospective human knowledge-reification, by wrongly implying any such prospective construal of ‘prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation) is about ‘a framework of metaphysical/ideological advocacy as of totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought (rather than truly being a framework of ontological-veracity
ontological-veracity implied relative-ontological-completeness

<amplituding>formative>entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability)’ to then falsely justify their non-universalising pedantising and institutional self-preservation and so over addressing their prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming necessarily warranting prospective universalising-idealisation; likewise the medieval-scholastics adopted ‘a non-positivising break with prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for knowledge-reification’ wrongly construing ‘the subverting epistemic implications of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation of budding-positivism’ as being about ‘a framework of metaphysical/ideological advocacy as of totalisingly-dissentaling—discretion/whim-of-thought (rather than truly being a framework of ontological-veracity implied relative-ontological-completeness

<amplituding>formative>entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability)’ to then falsely justify their scholastic non-positivising pedanticising and institutional self-preservation and so over addressing their prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming necessarily warranting prospective positivism/rational-empiricism; and likewise it is herein contended that present day disjointing/disparateness/dissentaling intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) adopts ‘a disjointing/disparateness/dissentaling break with prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for knowledge-reification (as to a strategically flawed anti-relativism interpretation that then overlooks and ignores relative-
ontological-completeness implications as of our present day presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing as to social-vestedness/normativity, with such a flawed anti-relativism interpretation a technical impossibility as it confuses/muddles non-universalising with relativism as to the fact that postmodern-thought like deconstruction and genealogy knowledge-reification gesturings implied relativism is of universal import of relative-ontological-completeness as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—

wrongly construing ‘the subverting epistemic implications of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation of many a postmodern-thought herein construed as human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as being about ‘a framework of metaphysical/ideological advocacy as of totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought (rather than truly being a framework of ontological-veracity implied relative-ontological-completeness

pedantising and institutional self-preservation and so over addressing its prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming necessarily warranting prospective

nondisjointing/nondisparate implications as of relative-ontological-completeness implications herein articulated as to ‘notional-deprocrypticism

explicating-ontological-contiguity as reflecting the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process’ underlied as of prospective deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation—(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) that protensively strives to explain everything as of notional—deprocrypticism </(amplituding)formative>entailment—as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent—factuality-of-variability (with such a postmodern-thought conception as human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation superseding the argument traditionally made about postmodern-thought as ‘sceptical with regards to ontologically-flawed-metanarratives/ideologies and the lack of objectivity of meaning’ as a wrongly articulated/made argument ontologically, since it is being wrongly articulated/made from the ‘modern perspective/frame/reference/horizon’ as to historicity-tracing—presencing—imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition induced ‘redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-the-referencing-and-the-devolved-referencing—imbued-ontological-performance as to presublimation and nascent-sublimations overlapping-contiguity-of-referencing-and-devolved-referencing’, and so as postmodern-thought is much more than just a naïve notion of a multiplicity of narratives as wrongly implied from the modern take of existentialising—enframing necessarily subject to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as of the modern’s take prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism or disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought in many ways explaining the difficulties of Derrida and Foucault in effectively qualifying their thought postures (when each was asked whether they were poststructuralist) underlied/organised respectively by messianicity and parrhesia, with such messianicity and parrhesia herein articulated and elaborated as to the supererogatory—unbeholding-conflatedness of nascent—human-decisionality-induced-sublimation—<of-blinded-relative-ontological-completeness-imbued—supererogatory—reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation’ thus implying rather a deprocrypticism institutionalisation ‘unenframed/unbeholdening/bechancing—supereration parameterisation/reparameterisation—(reflecting-a-supererogatory—decisionality-of-socioinstitutional-conceptions—as-to—‘their-nascent-sublimations-dynamic-preempting-of-presublimatory—decisionality—numbing-traction—desublimation’)—as-so-operationalising—‘scalarisation—as-to-rescalarisation—as—re-ontologisation’. In other words, the uninstitutionalised-thresholds of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions show a decadent wariness to ‘break with prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supereration for knowledge-reification’ as to the necessity for the prospective human aporeticism requisite ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed
formative disposedness—(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and-derived-parameterising) and ontologisation’ as so-reflected by the underpinning—suprasocial-construct (historically involving ‘dominance/vested-interest structure in relative-ontological-incompleteness—presublimation-construct—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating existentialising—decisionality’ as from blantant brutish conquest/subjugation conception of approportioning, dominion protection conception of approportioning, to the very natural-order-of-things conception of approportioning and to our subtle modern day institutionally-distorted/disjointed conception of approportioning as particularly the target as to Lyotard’s critique of such institutionally-distorted implied metanarratives especially with regards to their poor/sheepish/dubious/ineffectual social/institutional devolving parameterised equanimity/balance as putting in question their theoretical, conceptual and operative veracity, and speaking in all the above epochal instances of ‘inauthenticity—and—lack-of-equanimity of social/institutional process towards structural/paradigmatic priorly-defaulted/usurped social/institutional outcome as reflecting manifest lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’). But then such a presencing-distorted—meritocracy/totalising—sovereign-approportioning—of-human-ontological-performance conception of sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-construed fundamentally as to the underpinning—suprasocial-construct conception that mostly defines human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the constraint of ‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as to the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> positive-opportunism of living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development), is rather predisposed to overlook the supererogation-profundity—structure (with regards to the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension of
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relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology desublimating existentialising–decisionality’ as from blantant brutish
conquest/subjugation conception of appropriotioning, dominion protection conception of
appropriotioning, to the very natural-order-of-things conception of appropriotioning and to our
subtle modern day institutionally-distorted/disjointed conception of appropriotioning) that
then mostly overrides the ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-
veracity disposition’ (so-construed as to the ‘re-originary–as-
unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–’projective-insights’/’epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-
notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition supererogatory
rescalarisation of ontologisation and value-construction within any given registry-
worldview/dimension presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—
enframing’ imbued ‘authenticity-and-equanimity of social/institutional process towards
credible social/institutional outcome as reflecting manifest dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’). It is the capacity
for human self-reflexive questioning of how the ‘supererogation-profundity–structure of
nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations–<blinded-to-their-relative-
ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving>’ in reflection of the overall
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implications as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (beyond
‘immediacy supposed absolute sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’
implied presencing-distorted–meritocracy/totalising–sovereign-appropriotioning—of-human-
ontological-performance historically involving ‘dominance/vested-interest structure in
relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology desublimating existentialising–decisionality’ as from blantant brutish
appropriation, dominion protection conception of appropriation, to the very natural-order-of-things conception of appropriation and to our subtle modern day institutionally-distorted/disjointed conception of appropriation). Again, as to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions decadent wariness to ‘break-away from prospective ontological-contiguity conception of relative-ontological-completeness as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for knowledge-reification’ (hence inducing a flawed imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/insurmountable/unovercomable epistemic-projection perspective that undermines prospective re-ontologisation and value-construction) as to wrongly construing of any such prospective insight as rather being of ‘a framework of metaphysical/ideological advocacy as of totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought (rather than truly being a framework of ontological-veracity implied relative-ontological-completeness
supererogatory–unbeholding-conflicatedness projective-insights as of notional–deprocripticism’ as underlying the overall: ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-
desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-
faith/authenticity—over–deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’; the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-
referencing/registering/decisioning as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness
destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating—
decisionality>–of-ontological-performance adopt their respective ‘relic/artifactual–
beholdening-constitutedness presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing’ given presencing-distorted–meritocracy/totalising–sovereign–
construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating existentialising–decisionality’ as from blantant brutish conquest/subjugation conception of appropoportioning, dominion protection conception of appropoportioning, to the very natural-order-of-things conception of appropoportioning and to our subtle modern day institutionally-distorted/disjointed conception of appropoportioning as particularly the target as to Lyotard’s critique of such institutionally-distorted implied metanarratives especially with regards to their poor/sheepish/dubious/inffectual social/institutional devolving parameterised equanimity/balance as putting in question their theoretical, conceptual and operative veracity, and speaking in all the above epochal instances of prospective ‘inauthenticity–and–lack-of-
equanimitiy of social/institutional process towards structural/paradigmatic priorly-
defaulted/usurped social/institutional outcome as reflecting manifest lack of dispensing-with-
immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’).

Whereas (as of ‘authenticity-and-equanimity of social/institutional process towards credible social/institutional outcome as reflecting manifest dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’) it is ‘re-originary–as-
unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-
notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition supererogatory rescalarisation of ontologisation and value-construction (within any given registry-
worldview/dimension presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—
enframing) inducing prospective sublimation-over-desublimation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure thus effectively superseding any such given registry-worldview/dimension underpinning-suprasocial-construct prior conception of ontologisation and value-construction' and so as to the underlying 'tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and (corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions) predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) inherent in the ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ perspective that such re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocripticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition can induce, and with such ‘re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocripticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition supererogatory rescalarisation of ontologisation and value-construction induced sublimation-over-desublimation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’ structurally/paradigmatically explaining the possibility for the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions as to their induced living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction. Inherently, unlike the flawed intuitive human projection of meaningfulness-and-teleology in constitutedness terms inducing presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing distortion that seem to wrongly imply that human nature is of intemporal-disposition only without factoring the distorting implications on human ontological-performance of human temporal-dispositions with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction at uninstitutionalised-thresholds, in rather truly
transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) is so-
reflected in the succession of ‘relative-ontological-completeness—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-
pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-
commitment)’ as narrowing-down selectivity of the intemporal-disposition for prospectively
secondnatured institutionalisation. This disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>
insight (as to the mix-up/muddling of presublimating reference-of-thought/grandest-
axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning and prospective nascent-
particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-<blinded-to-their-relative-
ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving>) is equally reflected in the
manifestation of postlogism and social-postlogism (arising from conjugated-postlogism
induced meaningfulness-and-teleology) across the successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions (as associated with psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism
registry-worldview/dimension); wherein the possibility for the specifically given registry-
worldview/dimension induced postlogism and social-postlogism is fundamentally possible
only as of the specific registry-worldview/dimension destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-
performance presublimating reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-
referencing/registering/decisioning imbued apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism ontological-deficiency whether as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation,
ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought (notional–procrypticism). Such that the manifested postlogism-
(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) is directly
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related to the presublimating reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning  
\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\rangle\text{disposedness}-\{\text{as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising}\} \text{ to be cognisant-and-integrative in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (construed as if of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) of the same meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated as of postlogism manifestation (articulated rather as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) thus inducing the conjugated-postlogism; and so as to the fact that for instance a postlogism manifestation grounded in a social-setup as of say an animistic social-setup cognisant-and-integrative of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (as if of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) is susceptible to the postlogism of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery meaningfulness-and-teleology (articulated rather as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) which will be structurally/paradigmatically impossible to manifest in a non-superstitious positivistic registry-worldview/dimension. Thus the idea of ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation cognisance-and-integration in presublimation reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning  
\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\rangle\text{disposedness}-\{\text{as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising}\}’ speaks to the fact that more fundamentally postlogism and social-postlogism implications are ontologically escalating beyond just any particular/specific existential manifestation of postlogism and that inherently a presublimating reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning is rather structurally/paradigmatically an ontological-deficiency paradoxically in-wait for its manifest postlogism and social-postlogism and such a presublimating reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning as to its cognisance-and-
‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. The psychologistic and apriorising implications here is that with regards to say a God of plane proposition in an animistic social-setup, an engagement striving to elucidate the notion of plane involving any existential-instantiation aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring in terms of the animistic social-setup non-positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation, is structurally/paradigmatically already validating the animistic social-setup non-positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation as paradoxically valid for all instances of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring warranting positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation (thus inducing the animistic social-setup incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and its non-positivistic complexification); as to the fact that it is a positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation adopting rather a relation of ‘non-aposteriorising/non-logicising/non-deriving/non-intelligising/non-measuring as from the non-positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation of such an animistic social-setup God of plane non-positivistic proposition’ that enables the possibility for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as bringing to the consciousness-awareness-teleology of the animistic social-setup that the notion of plane implies an altogether superseding positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation induced psychologism of reference-of-thought (over their non-positivistic
priorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation psychologism of reference-of-thought) from whence aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring can then ensue in existential-instantiations of conceptualising. Furthermore, it is such ontologically-deficient incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness (as to its cognisant-and-integrative blending/incorporating of prospective ‘nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations–<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> as if of relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimating reference-of-thought/grandest-axiomatic-construct—as-to-referencing/registering/decisioning in circularly beholdening meaningfulness-and-teleology to human-subpotency’) that is behind the development of all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions given


so-construed as being of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence (as so-reflected from the undermined maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism conception in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective). As of practical existential implications maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness means that the positivistic

<(amplituding)formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) cannot be responsive to the social-stake-contention-or-confliction projected as of such a non-positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology, as to a fundamental positivistic disavowal of its non-positivistic <(amplituding)formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) as non-
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aposteriorising/non-logicising/non-deriving/non-intelligising/non-measuring (as from the holding-forth of its non-positivistic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation). By extension, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness psychologistic and apriorising implications (so-construed as from the technical ontological-veracity of originariness/origination–<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of notional–deprocrypticism), speaks to the fact that the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the respective registry-worldviews/dimensions in relative-ontological-completeness (base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism respectively) are projected in disavowal of their respective prior registry-worldviews/dimensions in relative-ontological-incompleteness (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism respectively) destructuring-threshold–<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance of ontological-performance as reflected by their <(amplituding)formative>disposedness–(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising), implying the latter are effectively non-aposteriorising/non-logicising/non-deriving/non-intelligising/non-measuring (as from the holding-forth of their respective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–conceptualisation). Thus, as to their respective presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, all relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism pretend to articulate what can prospectively be possible and impossible (in
such a way that ‘conveniently’ imply that theirs is the registry-worldview/dimension that ‘thinks right’ while ignoring projective-insights as of the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implications as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with respect to all corresponding prospective relative-ontological-completeness projective-insights implications of transcendence-and-sublimity; failing to factor in that their paradoxical contemplation in relative-ontological-incompleteness is exactly what renders their supposed determination of what can prospectively be possible and impossible structurally/paradigmatic nonsensical but for the convenience of falling back (even when relative-ontological-completeness avails) as of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity to the notion that afterall all the world that exists is-as-of-their-given-registry-worldview/dimension however its structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments (which mental-reflex is ever always ‘exactly the aporeticism’ to be superseded with prospective sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). In a further elucidation, the ‘redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-the-referencing-and-the-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance as to presublimation and nascent-sublimations overlapping-contiguity-of-referencing-and-devolved-referencing’ associated with human temporal inclination to historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition has to do fundamentally with the very nature of human sublimation (notwithstanding its constraint by human limited-mentation-capacity). Such a most profound insight about human sublimation in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as to ‘re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition supererogatory rescalarisation of ontologisation and value-construction (within any given
existence’. But then this equally points out that human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> is not inherently sublimation even as ‘human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> is as of a seemingly inseparable amalgamation with effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime’ as to the fact that effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime is as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as rather unbeholding to human-subpotency imbued human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> (even as when human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> in its sublimation-construct induces a convergence to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime with regards to such appropriately induced human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation>). Insightfully thus, all the inherent sublimation-structure that existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation can reveal/divulge to human-subpotency is tautologically given as of inherent immanent-existence (as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplitudining)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal) but then the effective potentiality for human-subpotency grasp of immanent-existence’s sublimation-structure (reflected by effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime) is tied to human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> capacity underlied by overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplitudining)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence so-reflected as to ‘re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-
distortedly the possibility for the later aestheticisation) inducing beholdening-becoming—
distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhhibited-mental-
aestheticising as reflected with the decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-for-institutionalisation underlined by the ‘redunding/wavering/waveforming—of-
the-referencing-and-the-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance as to 
presublimation and nascent-sublimations overlapping-contiguity-of-referencing-and-
devolved-referencing’. In other words, human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-
decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> capacity (as of its 
cumulating/recomposuring reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation) eliciting of corresponding ‘effectively-manifest-
sublimation/sublime in cumulation/recomposuring as aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-
towards-ontology’ is so-structurally/so-paradigmatically defining (implying ‘human-
decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation>
omni-potential commensurability with inherent immanent-existence’s sublimation-
structure’/omni-potentiality). Such human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-
decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> omni-potential (as to full-potential of 
aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology) rather corresponds to ‘inherent
immanent-existence overall withdrawn effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime or 
withdrawn sublimation-structure’ (so-reflected as of Deleuzian-Bergsonian virtuality
‘architectonic/executable/instantiatable backdrop-of-aestheticisation’), as it underlies the full-
potential of human aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology (as to human
overall ‘aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as reflecting the extensive
manifestable outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-
aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,—so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human
meaningfulness-and-teleology’) and so beyond just ‘prior human historical existentially-
instantiated aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology in their
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’–epistemically-induced/constrained–reproducibility-
motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Such that ‘human-decisionality–<as-to-play-of-
valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> omni-potential
commensurability with inherent immanent-existence’s sublimation-structure’/omni-
potentiality is effectively construable as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and thus
perspectively reflected as to ‘re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-
conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–’projective-
insights’/’epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-
sublimation) intemporal-disposition supererogatory rescalarisation of ontologisation and
value-construction (within any given registry-worldview/dimension presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing) inducing prospective
sublimation-over-desublimation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure thus effectively
superseding any such given registry-worldview/dimension underpinning–suprasocial-
construct prior conception of ontologisation and value-construction’. This elucidation of
human-decisionality–<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-
sublimation/desublimation> and effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as underlying
human sublimation-construct is very much insightful for grasping-and-analysing the issues
involved with prospective human aporeticism (human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint) as to
prospective desublimation, so-reflected with the ‘redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-
the-referencing-and-the-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance as to
presublimation and nascent-sublimations overlapping-contiguity-of-referencing-and-
devolved-referencing’; and so in the sense that effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as
so-reflected by their underpinning–suprasocial-construct (historically involving ‘dominance/vested-interest structure in relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating existentialising–decisionality’ as from blantant brutish conquest/subjugation conception of approportioning, dominion protection conception of approportioning, to the very natural-order-of-things conception of approportioning and to our subtle modern day institutionally-distorted/disjointed conception of approportioning) are effectively obligated to their ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction underlying human ontological-commitment’ in being epistemic-totalisingly–resubjectable to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation for inducing prospective effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime (thus explaining the possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity as of: ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’). However, human limited-mentation-capacity as it induces human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions with respect to human ontological-performance is effectively the critical structural/paradigmatic impediment to human omni-potentiality but that said the possibility for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) is equally what critically renders the elucidation of human omni-potential pertinent and vital (as herein undertaken beyond any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective in <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ as to social-vestedness/normativity historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition but rather
enabling the construing of the more ontologically-veridical perspective allowing for prospective historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing). From this insight what effectively underlies ‘human-decisionality-<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> as to the prospect for omni-potentiality’ (as reflecting the sublimating possibility for prospective ‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>’ as to ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing—disinhibited-mental-aestheticising sublimation reclamation/recovery from beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhhibited-mental-aestheticising’) is in successive absolutely-disruptive hierarchical-ordering: the implications of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (as can be so-constrained as of ‘<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism’ so-reflecting <amplituding>formative>disposedness—(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising) and <amplituding>formative>entailment—(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent—factuality-of-variability)), then presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing social-vestedness/normativity, followed by dominance/vested-interest—drivenness, and finally generalised social apprehension of the possibility for prospective re-ontologisation (however the merits of their underlying case); as to the fact that universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) over blurriness with regards to elucidated emancipatory/sublimating implications as to existence—
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conceptualisation not caught up in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness in order articulate an fundamental framework for ontological-veracity elucidation; and so, as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness unenframed-conceptualisation for effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime of nascent–human-decisionality-induced-sublimation<-of-blinded-relative-ontological-completeness-imbued-supere-


confledness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemperial-disposition supererogatory rescalarisation of ontologisation and value-construction (within any given registry-worldview/dimension presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing) inducing prospective sublimation-over-desublimation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure thus effectively superseding any such given registry-worldview/dimension underpinning–suprasocial-construct prior conception of ontologisation and value-construction’. That said, human-subpotency reifying-and-

empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility<-imbued-and-educed–
human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> in reflecting the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, underscores that the effective mechanism for overcoming ‘relic/artifactual–beholdening-constitutedness historicity-
tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition’ lies with the human capacity for reframing (as of supererogatory–unbeholdening-conflatedness historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing so-implied as of notional–deprocrypticism) whether as to mere aestheticisation reframing or aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology reframing (as to living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). Inherently the requisite originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for human reframing given human limited-mentation-capacity is rather more forthcoming with directly graspable contextually restricted frameworks-of-conceptualisation with human reframing capacity increasingly of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination impotence with frameworks-of-conceptualisation of overwhelming scale inducing increasing ‘sovereign-deference with lack of universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative)epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness}’ and leading to direct/indirect dominance/vested-interest—drivenness structural/paradigmatic domination/pre-eminence over social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The grander issue in this regards (as to optimal human reframing capacity with regards to the equanimity/balance of human theoretical-conceptual-operant institutionalised-conceptualisations) as of the present thus has to do with ‘generalised-and-representative human appreciation of its reifying and empowering reflexivity potential giving the perplexing/passivising modern day scale of organisationally and institutionally structured/paradigmed meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as to the fact that modern day organisational and institutional structure and purposes (by their social-stakes-contention-or-confliction) in critical ways render the sovereign human increasingly more of a mere cog
within systems that as of their technical, bureaucratic and socially-defining presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing purposes are already in many ways decisively structurally/paradigmatically predefined as imponderable/inscrutable/avoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable frameworks as not subject to prospective aporeticism-overcoming/unovercoming analysis, and thus increasingly undermining generalised-and-representative human appreciation of deconstructive acuity and reappraisal (but for such institutional and organisational predetermined distorted conception of paucity/deficiency as to their very presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing conceptualisations), as well as more fundamentally undermining the capacity for human re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—'projective-insights'/epistemic-projection-in-confoundedness—of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) engagement with existence as to all-encompassing (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought—in-epistemic-confoundedness in the contemplation of omni-potentiality. Ultimately (as to ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression—(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’), omni-potentiality is ever always directly and truly contemplatable as from the ‘absolutely-disruptive hierarchical-order implied as to the implications of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (as can be so-constrained as of ‘(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as
entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-
operative-notional–deprocrypticism’ so-reflecting <(amplitudine)formative>disposedness-
(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and-derived-parameterising) and
<(amplitudine)formative>entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-
variability)) is fundamentally about nurturing a psychological-disposition to
prospective/nascent sublimating supererogatory–unbeholdening-conflicatedness (bound to a
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing formativeness<-as-to-
tersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology of intemporal-projection) and so while undermining a
psychological-disposition to presublimating relic/artifactual–beholdening-constitutedness
(bound to a historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition
formativeness<-as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-
and-deferentialism>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>-of-ontological-
performance temporal-dispositions projection). Human limited-mentation-capacity
structurally/paradigmatically implies this seedingly/incipiently fundamental paradox of
‘prospective/nascent sublimating supererogatory–unbeholdening-conflicatedness’ and
‘presublimating relic/artifactual–beholdening-constitutedness’: so-reflected with the
‘aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology of human ontological-performance’
underlying both ‘motif-as-to-aestheticisation-<imbued-arbitrariness/waywardness>’ and
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument–for–
conceptualisation as to aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ (so-construed as
<(amplitudine)formative>epistemic-totalising–conflicatedness of meaningfulness-and-
teleology involving ‘the epistemic-totalising–resubjecting of motif-as-to-aestheticisation-
<imbued-arbitraryness/waywardness> to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supereogation in restructuring/reparadigming intelligibility-(as-to-human-aestheticising–re-motif-and-re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-process,-in-
etc. are rather of ‘structural/paradigmatic momentous-unbeholdening-aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ as to the inherent sublimating/emancipatory possibilities accruable to all humans and societies as to their underlying ontological-commitment of meaningfulness-and-teleology; so-reflecting the fact that overall human civilisation (notwithstanding any given societies/cultures of naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as to presencing-distorted–meritocracy/totalising–sovereign-appropriportioning—of-human-ontological-performance ‘merely-beholdening–aestheticising-reflex of meaningfulness-and-teleology’) could only be possible by the cumulating/recomposuring of all such ‘structural/paradigmatic momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ manifested at various stages across all human societies/cultures and diffusible likewise across all human societies/cultures with the implications that such ‘structural/paradigmatic momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ more fundamentally speak to ‘overall human momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime attainment’ (with such a truer ontological-veracity rather much more profound than the ‘merely-beholdening–aestheticising-reflex of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of various societies/cultures and as of such ontologically-flawed representation across various human historial epochs). In this respect the ontological-veracity of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (as of the accruing effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime from stone-age to bronze-age to iron-age involving the formation of agrarian societies and cities and subsequent development of universalising societies and today’s positivising modern world) rather more aptly speaks of ‘overall human
momentous-unbeholdening—aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime attainment’; with the profound idea that the more momentous grasp of the notion of say the civilisations of Ancient Zimbabwe, Ancient Egypt, Ancient Greece, Ancient China, Ancient India or Ancient Aztec, etc. are rather as of a more profound point-of-departure as from a ‘human psychological-disposition for supererogatory–unbeholdening-conflatedness historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of sublimating intelligibility’ divulging the underlying dynamism of human ‘structural/paradigmatic momentous-unbeholdening–aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (and so rather than a shallower point-of-departure as from a ‘human psychological-disposition for relic/artifactual–unbeholdening-constitutedness historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition of presublimating intelligibility’ of ‘merely-beholdening–aestheticising-reflex of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ caught up in complexes of ‘naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as to presencing-distorted—meritocracy/totalising–sovereign-appropoportioning—of-human-ontological-performance’ that end up inducing poor/distorted human understanding of the human). The underlying point here is that just as human tools, other technical/material capabilities like electricity, etc. are rather of ‘structural/paradigmatic momentous-unbeholdening—aestheticising-reflex effectively-manifest-sublimation/sublime as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ as to the inherent sublimating/emancipatory possibilities accruable to all humans and societies as to their underlying ontological-commitment of meaningfulness-and-teleology, a ‘human psychological-disposition for supererogatory–unbeholdening-conflatedness historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of sublimating intelligibility’ implies that the othernesses of human civilisations/cultures/societies carry a more profound
framing/imprinting’, and so in ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-
in-existence re-aestheticising/re-motif and re-procession/re-automatism–as-to-re-
apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing of their surrealist/supererrogatory–
drive of existentialising—framing/imprinting’ as their ‘interlay/organicalism supererogatory—
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness–differential ontological-
performance/potentiation’ in ‘germinative intensification—amplituding of aestheticisation—
beholdening-out-of-bechancing’/‘taxingness-of-originariness, sublimating-by-desublimating–
amplituding as to the backdrop-of-inherent-immanent-existence’s–sublimation-structure-<of-
‘unsurrealist-as-real’–ontological-normalcy>’ (as so-underlied by human epistemically-
reflexive consciousness overlying the substantive cumulated abstract tissue of overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-
educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> social emanance). A deepening of
this critical pure-ontology discernment as from the above elucidation of
‘phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-
potency-of-existence>-in-<<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-
in-existence,-<of–‘surrealist-as-pseudoreal’–epistemic-abnormalcy> (including human-
subpotency), surrealist/supererogatorily discloses that existentialising–decisionality is
structurally/paradigmatically of ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-
subontologisation/subpotentiation’ while sublimating–nascence is
structurally/paradigmatically of ‘unbeholdening ontologising-depth as to backdrop-of-
inherent-immanent-existence’s–sublimation-structure-<of–‘unsurrealist-as-real’–
ontological-normalcy>’ (such that perspectively ‘to beholden-as-sovereignising is to
underly/organise/decision existentialising subpotentiation’ and so potently constrained as
from perspective ‘unbeholdening sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-
potency of existence’); as to the fact that surrealisising/supererogatorily existentialising-decisionality is of ‘notional-presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness structuring/paradigming—beholding-as-to-effectuation’ and so potently constrained as from sublimating–nascence ‘notional–non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> as to backdrop-of-inherent-immanent-existence’s–sublimation-structure—<of–unsurrealistic-as-real–ontological-normalcy>’ (this overall conception underlies the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-tandemisation/abstractive-conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning/amplituding of ‘existentialising–decisionality with sublimating–nascence with regards to sublimation/desublimation’ just as so-reflected ‘between reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ and as so-underscored abstractly by the notion that ‘length is already caught up in the notion of width in the sublimating <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of a rectangle’). In other words, existentialising–decisionality and sublimating–nascence perspectively-reflect respectively ‘notional–presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and notional–non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> transversal continuum’, as to ‘thresholding conception of the relationship between perspective decisionality/human-decisionality—<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> and perspective sublimation/desublimation in existence’. Insightfully, such a perspective distinction between existentialising–decisionality and sublimating–nascence points out that there is ‘epistemical-reflexive psychological reorientation of human relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as to the contrast between ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisioning’ and ‘universal-transparency—{transparency-of-totalising-entailing—as-to-entailing—
formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of sublimating–nascence’ as to when ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisioning’ arises inducing defaulting ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ existentialising–decisioning psychological-disposition (as to relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). The implications of this dual existentialising–decisioning psychological-dispositions is critical particularly with regards to the social and institutional decisional frameworks of human meaningfulness-and-teleology of ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisioning’ as rather poorly amenable to profound ‘unbeholdening sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ as it is relatively the case in the natural sciences (and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>); as to the fact that existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation more readily makes ‘desublimating nonsense’ of human existentialising–decisioning meaningfulness-and-teleology failing ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness while the relative ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisioning’ of social and institutional decisional frameworks induce a relative orientation in the social towards presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness social-vestedness/normativity existentialising–decisioning meaningfulness-and-teleology (so-enabled by poor direct/immediate constraining to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). In this regards, many such social and institutional decisional frameworks can be construed as ‘frameworks of relatively shallow-ontologisation/subontologisation’ as the existentialising–decisioning psychological-disposition of defaulting individual and social ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ due to ‘blurriness in
profound reflection of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ in the sense that the technician and natural scientist are unconcerned with ‘any social and institutional frameworks existentialising–decisionality imbuement’ supposedly superseding existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation given that any such social and institutional pretense-of-sublimation cannot generate any inherent technical and scientific sublimating–nascence (wherein if such a social and institutional framework pretense-of-sublimation warrants gravity on earth to be considered as $7 \text{ m/s}^2$ for instance for one reason or another but for existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), rather the natural scientist and technician will view such social and institutional framework existentialising–decisionality pretense-of-sublimation as the very structural/paradigmatic undermining of the possibility of natural science and technical development as to sublimating–nascence beyond just the specific instance but as to a fundamentally underdeveloped social and institutional framework existentialising–decisionality that must be overridden (so that similar intellectual decadent pretense-of-sublimation should not arise) for the prospective possibility for science and technical development sublimating–nascence to flourish; and likewise it is herein contended that absolutising social and institutional framework existentialising–decisionality pre-eminence as to imprimatur and the dynamics of imprimatur (with regards to ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisioning’ associated with social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning) as ‘precedingly defining the possibility of prospective knowledge over inherent knowledge’ is itself the very structural/paradigmatic undermining of the possibility of veridical social and institutional prospective sublimation/emancipation as to sublimating–nascence, and in that respect no mortal (including the one mortal making this articulation herein) can pretend to a status bigger than existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation to then imply that genuine knowledge-
reification cannot cross-it/has-to-bow-to-it (for one reason or another), and in that regards the more profound knowledge-reification as to the structural/paradigmatic upholding at all instances of the possibility for prospective genuine knowledge-reification inducing sublimation/emancipation as to sublimating–nascence is more than just the specific knowledge-reification gesturing for sublimation but rather more critically overt articulation of the ‘veridical intellectual underdevelopment underlying any such a mortal claim’ as to the fact that no human can claim that 2 + 2 is not equal to 4 because they are vexed for one reason or another (as it is that condition of our mortality that then provides the possibility for our self-surpassing in prospective construction-of-the-Self) so-reflected in the fact that the underlying existentialising—frame of knowledge is the very requisite condition for eliciting the true meaningfulness-and-teleology of any given specific knowledge-reification gesturing for sublimation (as for instance there is little point articulating any given positivistic existentialising–decisionality specific knowledge-reification gesturing for sublimating–nascence as to positivistic nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-

where the underlying registry-worldview/dimension existentialising—frame of knowledge is of non-positivistic desublimating existentialising–decisionality and is not addressed/dealt-with as the Galileos, Descartes, etc. understood with respect to non-positivising medieval-scholasticism desublimating existentialising–decisionality or the universalising-idealisation Socratic-philosophers sublimating existentialising–decisionality understood with respect to non-universalising ancient-sophists desublimating existentialising–decisionality and in both instances as of their prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions implied incipient/seeding

as to sublimating–
nascence epistemic-conflatedness as of projective/reprojective—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, and it is herein contended as well that the conceptualisation herein is rather the more profound as to when its meaningfulness-and-teleology elucidates as to its deprocripticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought sublimating existentialising—decisionality ‘the desublimating existentialising—decisionality of such disjointing intellectual-muddlement-{blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing, -as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness} underlying existentialising—frame of knowledge as to underlying misanalysis’ as so-reflected also in ‘postmodern thinkers direct/indirect criticisms of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as the sublimating existentialising—decisionality predefining condition for their specific knowledge articulation to more profoundly be grasped/comprehended/realised), with human knowledge-construal being an altogether level playing field only driven as of the sublimating potential as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation (and in this regards theories and concepts cannot be articulated to imply that their subverting criticisms are rather personal/traditions attacks as is increasingly the case in todays institutional-being-and-craft intellectual-muddlement-{blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness} since the very first credo of the intellectual is for inherent knowledge above any given theories and concepts and traditions which are rather subordinate to the more profound purpose of the human knowledge-reification project as was so understood and propounded by such mid-twentieth century thinkers like Bertrand Russell, A.J. Ayer, Richard Rory, etc. even as their conceptions came under criticism because a genuine relation with knowledge is what can bring about appropriate prospective correction for sublimating knowledge when prospective inspiration avails notwithstanding the traditional approach to
knowledge so long as it remains self-critical whereas a false social and institutional pre-eminence driven relation to knowledge shoves existential issues under the table not because there is no human intelligence to tackle true knowledge but because the possibility for more profound contemplation is a-priori placed out-of-sight since ‘supposed knowledge-reification as to its gesturing’ is as of ‘existentialising–decisioning that desublimatingly precedes knowledge-reification’ rather than veridically ‘knowledge-reification as of its very own deriving/manifest/ensuing/eventuating sublimating existentialising–decisioning’ and as so-reflected when mere methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising as of human-subpotency is construed as doing away with priorly requisite-and-relevant acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for–conceptualisation with the off-the-shelf and made-to-measure projection of methods and statistics by itself construed as supposedly profound knowledge, and even then such an approach ends up losing out on vision while wrongly reinforcing knowledge as a self-serving punctual/expeditious institutional enterprise rather than of overall prospective human existential sublimation/emancipation). Overall the social-construct itself is reflexive of this ‘human existentialising–decisioning dual psychological-dispositions continuum-gradient of sovereignising—by—ontologising-depth in inducing desublimation/sublimation’ as to its very underlying social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction wherein the ‘implicated sublimating existentialising–decisionality’ underlying the ‘non-immediacy prospective sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ associated with nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations—<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving—> (as reflected by the dedication/selflessness/disinterest/magnanimity underlying such existentialising—
with social and intellectual pedantry dispositions which paradoxically as to their pretense-of-sublimation in defending such ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ do not correspondingly contend that such lax/sloppy existentialising–decisionality should be the case with nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sUBLimations—<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness—reference-of-thought-devolving> (speaking rather of self-serving social-vestedness/normativity ‘institutionalised-wisdom-of-irresponsibility’, as so-manifested across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, as to when institutional frameworks in their underlying ontologically-deficient underpinning–suprasocial-construct that poorly appreciate dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation are naively construed ‘as inherently superseding prospective human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>’ and so ‘by the mere presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising–enframing mystic of institutional pre-eminence whether intellectual or administrative/governmental’ as we can appreciate in such a case like Edward Snowden’s with a human desublimating existentialising–decisionality of vague ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ of such ‘institutionalised-wisdom-of-irresponsibility’ while paradoxically there is now an emerging social clamouring for increasing social and online privacy as a requisite for prospective human sublimation/emancipation as to the positive-opportunism sublimating existentialising–decisionality of ‘unbeholdening sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’). Ultimately, such structuring/paradigming intellectual or administrative/governmental institutions desublimating existentialising–decisionality as to
sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ given presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness existentialising–enframing gesturing is inherently construed as
superseding prospective ‘unbeholdening sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-
potency of existence’ which universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-
to-entailing.-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-
completeness) (as herein articulated) is exactly what accounts for human ‘fatedness-of-
sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,–in-epistemic-confledatedness in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process’, and so as to the possibility of ‘human-decisionality-<as-to-play-
of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> omni-potential
commensurability with inherent immanent-existence’s sublimation-structure’/omni-
potentiality. Whereas we can critically appreciate sublimating–nascence with regards to
nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-<blinded-to-their-relative-
ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> as to profound constraining to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation> as
associated with technical and scientific contexts of sublimation/desublimation thus inherently
inducing/eliciting a human deferential disposition when in ignorance/ineptitude/incompetence reflecting the naturally arising corresponding
‘distantiation of contemplative existentialising—frame as of transversality-of-affirmative-
and-unaaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/ axiomatising/referencing’ so-implicated with
nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-<blinded-to-their-relative-
ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> but this human deferential
disposition when in ignorance/ineptitude/incompetence often does not naturally arise with
social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning as of ‘blurriness
in existentialising–decisioning’ and thus must be actively implied in social knowledge conceptualisation as to ‘distantiation of contemplative existentialising—frame as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ not as utterly doing away with human sovereignty but rather as explicitly projecting the notion of appropriate-and-coherent human sovereignty deferential-formalisation-transference ‘in relation to prospective knowledge as of human specialisation-and-focussing, time-investment as well as effectively manifestable sublimation’ and so with regards to human limited-mentation-capacity as to this requisite expediency for profound human ontological-performance associated with human intemporal individuations firstnatured instigation of prospective sublimation and human positive-opportunism seconndnatured institutionalisation). This lack of ‘distantiation of contemplative existentialising—frame as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ as arising at destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance is the very element particularly acted upon by social and intellectual pedantry as to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-conceptualisation (as it can be appreciated for instance that the lack of ‘distantiation of contemplative existentialising—frame as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ in a non-positivistic social-setup between prospective positivistic knowledge and prior non-positivistic knowledge is exactly what can enable pedantic dispositions to cultivate non-positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology in such a social-setup), and critically in this regards it principally involves intellectual-muddlement-〈blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-〈(amplitu
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of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>. Such muddlement is more critically as of the inconsistency associated with both sceptical argumentations (with sceptical arguments not necessarily muddling when assuming a coherent/consistent threshold of scepticism in want for elucidation) as well as surreptitiously acquiescing/accommodating argumentations, wherein in both instances the inconsistency is bent on blurring/undermining universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as to a structural/paradigmatic implication that renders prospective knowledge impotent and so out of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity in desublimating existentialising–decisioning gesturing of attenuating/devaluing, blurring and trivialising wherein there is ‘supposedly no totalising-entailing conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ thus allowing for totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought pretense-of-sublimation rather unconstrained to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation. Critically the ‘unbeholding sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ associated with nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations–<blinded-to-their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> is necessarily of totalising-entailing as to the immediate-potency of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation thus relatively undermining such ‘beholding as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ gesturing relatively associated with social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning as of ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisioning’ (that is, where the latter does not extensively intrude into the former as in determining-and-demarcating the framework of natural sciences research). Hence in many ways prospective knowledge cannot elude the aporeticism
overcoming/unovercoming of such ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ gesturing and so relatively to the given domain-of-study/domain-of-interest blurriness, wherein blurriness is reflected with desublimating existentialising–decisioning supposedly taking precedence over prospective knowledge-reification rather than the very knowledge-reification gesturing as determining sublimating existentialising–decisioning; with this conflictual ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ and ‘unbeholdening sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ so-reflected across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions given human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as to prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>). Thus such an aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming necessarily imply the integration of the analysis of intellectual-muddlement-{blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} as part and parcel of prospective knowledge-reification as to knowledge-notionalisation, and especially as so-manifested increasingly with ‘extra-knowledge/knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-knowledge frameworks’ that on the baiting of imprimatur then switch on to propound ‘extra-knowledge/knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-knowledge constructs out-of and implicitly obviating the veracity of the universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} of knowledge-reification’ (and so as to self-serving social-vestedness/normativity) and this must effectively be contested. Such lousiness and as broadly reflected in poor media editorialising in many ways increasingly turns media accessibility into intellectual pre-eminence as ‘intellection is no longer about depth of contemplation and knowledge-reification for
sublimation but rather about gimmicky-and-flashy threads of mere communication performance’ with many such interlocutors openly admitting-and-manifesting their critical lack of relevant intellectual thematic competence as popularity then supposedly becomes the driving force of thought; the fact though remains (however the seemingly trivialising concern about such media driven pop-intellectualism as rather unimportant in some milieus of more profound intellectual contemplation) that unfortunately in many ways directly or indirectly (as to the social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning susceptibility to ‘blurriness in existentialising–decisioning’ and as encouraged by dominance/vested-interest actors) such pop-intellectualism end up being elevated as the summum of intellection in the social while overlooking the requisite depth of sublimating universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) of critical importance for effective social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning sublimating existentialising–decisionality (and as the ‘mediatic framework of access and communication of sublimating thought’ is rather turned around into ‘a framework that supposedly inherently create sublimating thought by mere access and communication’ especially as to naive social feel-good banalities as supposedly sublimation actually of desublimating existentialising–enframing as of vague impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’). But then the idea of knowledge driven as of totalising-entailing as so-demonstrable with say the momentous development of quantum physics with the physics totalising-entailing implications of argumentations of sublimating existentialising–decisionality at critical moments moving from one physicist to the other as of ‘totalising-entailing pertinence of thought upheld/elevated above anyone person’ (whether Bohr, Einstein, Dirac, Schrodinger, etc.) without any extra-knowledge/knowledge-outside-
knowledge/without-knowledge notion like reputation having any incidence, speaks to a more profound lack of constraining aporetic overcoming/unovercoming as to institutional convenience that fails to articulate such a ‘totalising-entailing pertinence of thought upheld/elevated above anyone person’ and thus renders in relative terms the social domain more intellectually impotent in inducing a similar level of sublimating existentialising-decisionality as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as is relatively the case in the natural sciences (and so notwithstanding the relative blurriness of the social which can effectively be brought to exactifying/precisioning-of-sublimation<-as-to-entailing-theoretical,-conceptual-and-operant-implications> as to the requisite self-criticality overcoming as well as emotional-involvement overcoming rather than assuming a relatively false social and institutional pre-eminence driven relation to knowledge); with the further implication of such ‘totalising-entailing pertinence of thought upheld/elevated above anyone person’ being that the ‘knowledge-reification process becomes highly impersonal and complementary in a natural way’ without the artifice of ‘politically-driven accommodation of ideas not necessarily as of the pre-eminence of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’ (and in this regards, it is contended that the argumentation articulated herein are strictly striving towards aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in reflection of ‘abstract human intemporal individuative ontological-performane as to notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions while striving for totalising-entailing pertinence of thought’ and so projecting beyond any implications of personalising/particularising import but rather turning towards ‘ontological elucidation import as it then reifyingly-and-empoweringly enables human sublimation as to prospective operationalising construals’ and so-reflected in the idea that the fundamental stakes of prospective knowledge-reification is about prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction
and not prior social-stake-contention-or-confliction as for instance prospective positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology is not developed to go about articulating/relation-to meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the prior social-stake-contention-or-confliction of non-positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology and so by the mere implications of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation even as such prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather being related to in dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation by the prior presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising–enframing). But then as well the fact remains that the reality of human knowledge-reification especially as speaking to prospective human destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance is inevitably infused with social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning manifest politically-driven motives of desublimating existentialising–decisionality beyond just neutral knowledge-reification as such frameworks project their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising–enframing conception of the ‘overall possibility of human existentialising–decisionality as to catchmenting-by-rejection’. In this respect, it is important to grasp that knowledge-reification then becomes an issue of more than just rightness or wrongness but involves a striving for interest/advantage/ascendancy/head-start with respect to existentialising–decisionality of prospective knowledge-reification, and so as of inherent human ‘referencing/registering/decisioning shallow-supererogation—to—profound-supererogation conception of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. In this regards, in many ways human thought history shows that ‘social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—
referencing/registering/decisioning manifest politically-driven motives of desublimating existentialising–decisionality beyond just mere knowledge-reification’ that cannot be ignored as to intellectually decadent practices of scepticism and blurring underlied by cynical reframing of thought at later moments which had been related to sceptically and in blurriness at previous moments, and so as to shallow-supererogation desublimating existentialising–decisionality driven by mere institutional-ascendency. In many ways thus the conceptualisation herein ‘is not caught-up/constrained to any such fooleries’ (as to the history of such ploy against postmodern thought) and is consciously articulated as to the profound-supererogation motive of human sublimation beyond/and-not-subjected-to the existentialising–enframing of any shallow-supererogation social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning as to the 8.5 billion humans on planet Earth and as any party of interest of profound-supererogation may find useful or not! In this respect, it is critical to understand what defines humanity as to the ‘firstnaturedness and derived secondnaturedness positive-opportunism’ required for human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-confledness; as to the fact that all human sublimation is instigated as of re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-confledness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) before secondnaturing positive-opportunisminstitutionalisation. It is this fact that explains why no underpinning–suprasocial-construct is able to coherently explain human ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought, in epistemic-conflicatedness in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ since it will always be caught-up in its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing as to its underlying presencing-distorted—meritocracy/totalising—sovereign-appropriationng—of-human-ontological-performance desublimating existentialising—decisionality. In other words ‘the legislation for human prospective sublimation’ (as to sublimating existentialising—decisionality) lies with the firstnatured intemporal individuation relation to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and the positive-opportunism arising thereof (as of a minimum) for human secondnaturing institutionalisation; and so as to the fact that the Socrates, Descartes, Kant, Newtons, Leibniz, Pasteurs, Rousseaus, Diderots, Einsteins, Teslas, etc. didn’t ask for any prior consent from the rest of the human species to undertake whatever sublimation they envisioned about humanity making nonsensical the idea that there is any ‘generalised human deterministically constraining contemplation of prospective sublimating’. Humanity as such has always been, is and will ever always be about temporal individuations imagination-and-capacity-for-prospective-sublimation (as to living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology implications) and in that regards the triteness of human pedantry and `<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} patently doesn’t count given such associated desublimating existentialising—decisionality existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought that fails aetiologisation/ontological-escalation; and so fundamentally since prospective sublimating—nascence engages human ontological-
commitment as to prospective sublimation-over-desublimation (so-implied with the self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction underlying human ontological-commitment). The fact is the intellectual exercise is more acutely/incisively about identifying the relevant aporetism overcoming/unovercoming in the very first place in order to then effectively relate to what is of prospective profound sublimating intellectualism and so over desublimating pedantry (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> as to the simple fact that human prospective destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>-of-ontological-performance means that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always caught up prospectively between intellectualism sublimating existentialising–decisionality and pedantry desublimating existentialising–decisionality) however of inconvenient implications for the very basic human sublimating existentialising–decisionality as to existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-suprerogation (and so as the ever always present challenge for intellectualism over pedantry); so-reflected as the ever always challenge for human dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as to requisite epistemic-conflatedness as of projective/reprojective—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as to ‘projective-insights for predicative-insight’. In this respect, intellectual-muddlement-(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) poor appreciation of ‘distantiation of contemplative existentialising—frame as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ (as to living-development–as-to-personality-
development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-
entertainment-ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology implications), is reflected in the ‘extra-
knowledge/knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-knowledge paradox’ when it
claims to co-opt/supersede prospective sublimating knowledge-reification (on the basis of
prior apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism in epistemic-
abnormalcy/preconvergence) that fail to grasp the underlying dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation of the
said prospective sublimating knowledge-reification; as to imply that (say with regards to
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) one can understand the
veracity of any specific positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology while remaining of non-
positivistic mindset, which inevitably induces a relative-ontological-incompleteness–
presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating existentialising–
decisioning. This ‘extra-knowledge/knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-
knowledge paradox’ when it claims to co-opt/supersede prospective sublimating knowledge-
reification can be further elucidated along the same lines (with regards to living-
development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-
function-development) wherein for instance the notion of say genius is supposed to imply the
‘supposed genius’ is exceptional/abnormal (by their specifically given sublimating
elucidation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation). But then actually the ‘supposed genius’ cannot be exceptional/abnormal for
the simple reason that ‘existence (so sublimatingly elucidated) is nothing but just normal as to
its ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ reflecting the fact that the social-construct
meaningfulness-and-teleology as from the moment of the sublimating elucidation is/has rather been of epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence, with the notion of ‘supposed genius’ serving (as of human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising-enframing beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existing-unthought>) to render obstruse the veracity of this epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence of the social-construct meaningfulness-and-teleology that the ‘supposed genius’ is pointing to as ‘the very issue at stake warranting the social-construct’s prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’ as the ‘supposed genius’ sublimating elucidation implies it has relatively achieved its own ‘prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’ and is of no inherent prospective issue in that respect. Such that in fact such a notion of genius thus as to wrongly implicated exceptionalism/abnormalcy is surreptitiously (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existing-unthought>) about substituting a different and desublimating existentialising–decisionality (whether of pedantry incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-conceptualisation or <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)) and particularly so in relatively blurry domains-of-study/domains-of-interest (as we can appreciate that such a ‘technically wrong presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising–enframing deficient notion of genius’ in spheres of inherently sublimating–nascence as to nascent-particular/incipient-and-material/technical-sublimations-<blinded-to-
their-relative-ontological-completeness–reference-of-thought-devolving> is rather inconsequential ‘as to their very knowledge-reification gesturing as determining sublimating existentialising–decisioning’ since the immediate-potency as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation will be highly challenging to any incompetent mind pretending to be technically/scientifically apt/of-sublimating-existentialising–decisionality in lieu of the truly apt/of-sublimating-existentialising–decisionality technician/scientist, and so unlike desublimating existentialising–decisioning taking precedence over prospective knowledge-reification arising relatively in blurry domains-of-study/domains-of-interest where such ‘pretense-of-sublimation as to desublimating existentialising–decisioning supposedly taking precedence over prospective knowledge-reification’ can more easily arise). This ‘extra-knowledge/knowledge-outside-knowledge/knowledge-without-knowledge paradox’ is exactly what underlies the flawed circular manifestation of ‘human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness </amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating existentialising–decisioning’ and warranting prospective cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure; and so as reflecting the difference between a conception of knowledge as of mechanical-knowledge and knowledge as of organic-knowledge as to the latter more profound and genuine knowledge conception implication for prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—</amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
supererogating’ (and so over the mechanical-knowledge conception implication of knowledge as a mere vague thing ready-at-hand ‘separate from human construction-of-the-Self’ thus wrongly implying dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<\(\text{amplituding}\)formative\text{-}epistemic\text{-}growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic\text{-}residuality/spirit\text{-}drivenness\text{-}equalisation as to structural/paradigmatic flawed ‘referenced/registered\text{-}decisioned self\text{-}presence/self-constitutedness’). This ‘human existentialising\text{-}decisioning dual psychological\text{-}dispositions continuum\text{-}gradient of sovereignising—by—ontologising\text{-}depth in inducing desublimation/sublimation’ effectively underlies the inherent existentialising\text{-}decisioning of underpinning\text{-}suprasocial\text{-}construct as to underlying socio\text{-}econo\text{-}political subontologisation/ideology\text{-}over\text{-}ontology whether technocratic, capitalistic or communist; as to the fact that in many ways ‘the very existentialising\text{-}realness of such abstract notions as to their nondisjointing tends to be <\(\text{amplituding}\)formative\text{-}epistemic\text{-}totalisingly absent/vague, relative/qualified and ephemeral/fleeting’ with the underpinning\text{-}suprasocial\text{-}construct more fruitfully identifiable/construable as to its ‘underlying social dynamics of presencing—absolutising\text{-}identitive\text{-}constitutedness social\text{-}vestedness/normativity’ that\text{-}drives/is\text{-}behind such subontologisation/ideology\text{-}over\text{-}ontology disjointing abstract notions as technocratic, capitalistic or communist which are rather ‘catchmenting\text{-}rejection vague/imaginary lures of social\text{-}stake\text{-}contention\text{-}or\text{-}confliction’ (as can be more vividly be observed in moments of crisis when such ‘underlying social dynamics of presencing—absolutising\text{-}identitive\text{-}constitutedness social\text{-}vestedness/normativity’ manifest themselves as superseding any such abstract ‘catchmenting\text{-}rejection vague/imaginary lures of social\text{-}stake\text{-}contention\text{-}or\text{-}confliction’ but also persistently across time in more subtle ways). Such ‘catchmenting\text{-}rejection vague/imaginary lures of social\text{-}stake\text{-}contention\text{-}or\text{-}confliction’ are geared on collectively inducing defaulting ‘beholdening as sovereignising\text{-}imbued-
subontologisation/subpotentiation’ existentialising–decisioning psychological-disposition (as to relative-ontological-incompleteness–presublimation-construct–of-meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimating existentialising–decisioning) that goes on to ‘surreptitiously/subconsciously distract-from/drown/dilute/enframe the possibility for prospective incisive and diligent ontological-veracity sublimation/emancipation analysis of any such underpinning–suprasocial-construct defining catchmenting-by-rejection of value and value-possibilities’ as to the underlying manifestations of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness social-vestedness/normativity (as more thoroughly elucidated further above); wherein as ‘supposedly forever-and-ever tried-and-tested ready-to-hand reflex existentialising–decisionality that do not know of human limited-mentation-capacity and thus the need for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation’) the analytical possibility for original prospective creative re-ontologisation (as required for human scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-ontologisation/supererogatory—involuting-or-guilding-or-amplifying–scalarisation<-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>) is structurally/paradigmatically closed-off, and there is ‘supposedly no sublimating/emancipating existentialising–decisionality meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that can arise outside the underpinning–suprasocial-construct existentialising–enframing as putting into question the very ontological-veracity of the subontologisation/ideology-over-ontology ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (as the underpinning–suprasocial-construct becomes an enclosing/hemming-in religiosity inculcated as defining the very notional/epistemic framework of human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-telology and so consciously/unconsciously as supposedly superseding pure-ontology) as we can appreciate that the very supposedly abstract notions of say social-science or economics-science or political-science do not actually socially exist in their ‘abstract semantic sense’ but are ‘already pragmatically deferring into the religiosity of the underpinning–suprasocial-construct catchmenting-by-rejection of value and value-possibilities’, such that in effect all thought gravitates around the religiosity whether critical or praising as to the existentialising–enframing of the religiosity with the idea of an altogether incisive and diligent engagement as to socio-econo-political re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholding/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) rather of overt-and-covert taboo status thus in many ways ripping away from the human the possibility to reproject originarily for ‘human-decisionality–<as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation> omni-potential commensurability with inherent immanent-existence’s sublimation-structure’/omni-potentiality. In this respect, the possibility of critical pure-ontology is rather underlied as of overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility–<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> as to the fact that human ontological-performance/potentiation optimisation/maximalisation rather arises from ‘universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing–as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as to profound ‘unbeholding sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ and so over any desublimating existentialising–enframing of vague impression-
of existence’ existentialising–decisionality is notionally operating but rather operating as to the enframing of that underpinning–suprasocial-construct ‘beholdening as sovereignising–imbued-subontologisation/subpotentiation’ (as reflecting the reality of human shallow-supererogation of supererogatory–progressivity). Thus beneath any supposedly underpinning–suprasocial-construct (reflected in the modern-day underlying socio-econo-political subontologisation/ideology-over-ontology whether technocratic, capitalistic or communist), is a more fundamental ‘non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> notion of supererogatory–progressivity’ (however the shallow-supererogation of supererogatory–progressivity) accounting for the possibility for prospective human social-and-institutional-frameworks-of—referencing/registering/decisioning sublimation/desublimation as in effect creatively permeating all such ‘underpinning–suprasocial-construct of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising–entailing’; and so (especially potent when such ‘non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> notion of supererogatory–progressivity’ is manifested as of profound supererogating entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation over shallow-supererogation of supererogatory–progressivity), as more critically superseding human delusions as to desublimating beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing~inhibited-mental-aestheticising (and thus reflecting the sublimating possibility for prospective ‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>’ as to ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing~disinhibited-mental-aestheticising sublimation reclamation/recovery from
beholding-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhibited-mental-aestheticising’, so-construed as reclamation/recovery of unenframed-conceptualisation). In this respect we can appreciate with regards to the capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ that its most critical/grave moments are moments at which it is hardly/poorly present/existent as to its ‘given implied totalising-entailing meaningfulness-and-teleology’ wherein for instance the social atrophying associated with the Great Depression rather elicited statal supererogatory-progressivity extending into the postwar era of sociopolitical and socioeconomic value renewal that can hardly be qualified as of capitalistic instigation in the pure sense of the word and in many ways the technocracy developed and resourced in the postwar years and the associated scientific and technical advancement especially in the face of the Cold War in many ways speak to an underlying supererogatory-progressivity on which waves the capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ rode as so-reflected by Eisenhower cautioning about the U.S. militarbo-industrial complex potential sycophantic exploitation of such overall national supererogatory-progressivity and further reflected as to the accruing of national technical and scientific dividends incommensurably to private capitalistic actors. Furthermore, moments of national socio-economic crises as to such capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ have always been critically involved with recouping and reallocating resources and means for ‘a poorly self-sustaining capitalistic model of social ascendency with respect to public externalities, taxation and public debt’ as such a capitalistic model increasingly developed in later years into a structural/paradigmatic parasitising renting economic model associated with the explosion of financialisation especially as it substitutes/arrogates the social capacity to instigate formative supererogatory-progressivity initiatives (as it can now be appreciated that in many ways
much of the postwar economy arose as of strong public and local governance directed investment in public infrastructure, housing and property which supererogatory–progressivity in many ways is now capitalistically substituted/arrogated rather as of a short-term renting-model that thrives upon creating winners and losers as to asset inflation strategy for skewed value-extraction). In a critical respect all the creative social supererogatory–progressivity after the postwar years is now reduced in terms of public mitigation of the deleterious fallouts from the capitalistic model all other social supererogatory–progressivity possibilities are now effectively assumed to lie with propping up a poorly self-sustaining capitalistic model (with respect to public subventions, bailouts, taxbreaks) and so notwithstanding the massive financial gains and transfers to tax havens as to a global economy of contrasting rising wealth disparity with the supererogatory–progressivity for individual and social creative initiatives construed as lying in a labour subsistence surrendering to whatever modest possibilities such capitalistic model makes available as supposedly an absolutely determining construct of human supererogatory–progressivity possibilities (while overlooking the reality of its manifest renting parasitising of social value and value possibilities). This in effect speaks to ‘a renting and skewed value-extraction capitalistic colonising of the social capacity for supererogatory–progressivity’ as to imply that the social capacity for initiative can only be logged/cultured into the expropriating/estranging/constraining/limiting capitalistic model and so-reflected as of a globalised framework of totalising-entailing interlocking corporate interests and corporate welfare that in effect critically and implicitly dictates to states (as of the subtle threat of runaway financial and economic disaster and/or state political-economy retrogradation for non-compliance) the very possibility for their full-capacity for supererogatory–progressivity while being well aloof of the public accounting that political actors running states have to fulfill thus speaking to a most fundamental globalised capitalistic induced democratic-deficit while relatively disempowered governments are left to
pick-up-the-pieces (while structurally/paradigmatically hemmed-in by the clerical counsels championing the capitalistic model) as to the blindness/sightlessness of a general public backlash (directed to media-driven impressionable narratives rather than to the protracted implications of the roguish capitalistic model), and so as to the more critical structural/paradigmatic international capitalistic system usurpation and undermining of the possibility for social supererogatory–progressivity and rendering democratic processes circularly unsatisfactory with the electorate increasingly resorting to protest and anti-incumbent votes. In many ways thus the supererogatory–progressivity potential of the global economy presents more opportunities than the capitalistic model arrogatingly seem to imply as in many ways it can be argued that as of individual and social supererogatory–progressivity much of ‘vocational rationale’, ‘vocational skills’, ‘vocational economic models’ and ‘vocational creativity’ underlying the capitalistic model can perfectly thrive without capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’; and so as to the fact that the very notion of capitalistic enterprising across the world takes various shapes and forms wherein ‘the more doctrinaire skewed value-extraction and market distorting models’ ride-the-wave of profound value creation activities (often of poorly compensated supererogatory–progressivity) and in many ways undermining the inclination for profound value creation as to the shortcut for short-term returns. This capitalistic model of skewed value-extraction undermines the possibility of overall human supererogatory–progressivity as to when in the contest between optimal-resource-allocation for value-creation as to the requisite creativity for individuals and social supererogatory–progressivity and skewed value-extraction eventually reflects poorly self-sustaining capitalistic model (but for mechanisms of external and foreign relocations exploiting the externalities investments in education and infrastructure of second and third world countries) but still posing the question as to how skewed value-extraction can
structurally/paradigmatically address in the long-run issues of requisite social and public investment as a requisite for a theoretically self-sustaining economic model (not critically driven and supported by the supererogatory–progressivity prioritisation of local or foreign state) as ‘arrogating public supererogatory–progressivity at the exclusion of overall social and resourcefulness/ingenious possibilities’. Interestingly, the more explicit manifestation of supererogatory–progressivity as underlying any given underpinning–suprasocial-construct is most obvious today with the Chinese economic revolution as to the creative impetus driving its overall socioeconomic transformation. Here again it is fair to say just like with the Japanese and South Korean economic revolutions (given their more uniform and deferential populations) there is a whole directedness here (beyond just a purist capitalism model especially of a renting and skewed value-extraction capitalistic model) and so as to ‘country supererogatory–progressivity directed whole socioeconomic transformation project’, and in many ways the capacity for the Chinese to now begin to invest abroad lies with this relatively healthy supererogatory–progressivity conception/model less betrothed to short-term skewed value-extraction poorly capable of fulfilling the necessary externalities investment to thrive in weaker developing markets (in contrast to the long-term resource-allocation needed make such markets stable and sustainable). But then in reality when push-came-to-shove the fact is that the postwar history of all modern developed governments was hardly about their naïve subjection to a purit capitalistic model to rebuild themselves as in reality their redevelopment involved initial and massive public-driven investments in association with already matured nation-building human resource as to the reality of their supererogatory–progressivity national development programmes (especially as in the middle of the 20th century international trade accounted for just a small part of economic growth) and it is this that purportedly then gave way in later years to a the rising capitalistic model associated with privatisations and private equitisation; and this supererogatory–progressivity model applied
in the postwar governments of Western Europe, the United States as well as China, Japan and South Korea as to their initial economic redevelopment. Paradoxically one of the most deleterious postwar economic policy stances advanced with respect to many a third world country as to the prodding of international economic organisations and as ‘abstractly and vaguely theorised’ by capitalist economists was the advocacy of nation-building in the third world following their postwar independence on the basis of the purist capitalistic model, thus leading in many ways to perpetuating the dependence of these nations on these international economic organisations as having to submit to the capitalistic shallow-supererogation of supererogatory–progressivity as so-associated with debt servitude and structural adjustment programmes. The fact then is that the only nations in the postwar years that ‘truly experienced anything closed to the pure capitalistic economic model as devoid of any national supererogatory–progressivity investment-drive and social programmes mitigation for the consequences of the capitalistic model’ are in many ways third world countries of limited human and natural resources to be capable of instigating national supererogatory–progressivity with respect to their incipiently disadvantageous circumstances (especially compounded by their limited nation-building human resources) and this in many ways accounts for their high and relatively inefficient and subsistence informal sectors as to the relative inability of state resources to construct profound and sustainable projects of socioeconomic development (and even then when given the chance with the little means available as of a natural intuition they recoursed essentially to supererogatory–progressivity initiatives like education and basic infrastructural capacities that will hardly pass the test of a true profit-driven and value-extraction capitalistic model), and more critically so as to their more profound interests in social stability in the very first place able to arise only as from a basic level of social wellbeing of their populations before even contemplating of any such abstract capitalistic model rationale (which in many ways actually served to induce a skewed
logic on the basis of which natural resources exploiting corporations from developed countries exploit third world natural resources on unfair terms of economic supererogation) and as the short-termism of such a capitalistic model can hardly contribute to inducing the requisite political stability for sustained economic progress (with the capitalistic model as to its self-serving requirement rather warranting the requisite externalities possibilities for its thriving to be established beforehand). The more abstract rationale here (as to ‘human-decisionality-&lt;as-to-play-of-valid/invalid-decisionality-imbued-sublimation/desublimation&gt; omni-potential commensurability with inherent immanent-existence’s sublimation-structure’/omni-potentiality) is to reflect the reality today of underlying human supererogatory–progressivity as to the incipient reality that human family, communal, clanic and national communities cannot truly operate on the totalising-entailing basis of a purist capitalistic model of social organisation (as to the very risk of undermining social organisation as reflected in the relative prioritisation of national education and basic public facilities in the post-independence years in many third world countries) with such a purist conception rather reflected as to capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ in a rather comprehensively developed framework of value-allocation and value-extraction. Further the capitalistic model as to its fabrication of winners and losers given its all englobing delimiting of human supererogatory–progressivity increasingly brings peoples at loggerheads across races, classes, regions and nations with the implication that since it is centrally/critically defining as to the present day statal conception of social supererogatory–progressivity possibilities, there must necessarily be losers and winners with no creative supererogatory–progressivity beyond this dilemma; thus as to the fact that there can’t be a profound humanity-level creative supererogatory–progressivity as well as decolonised–capitalistic-by-statal so-construed as ‘anarchical individual and social supererogatory–progressivity’. Such a representation as herein
articulated of the truer supererogatory–progressivity (however the shallow-supererogation of supererogatory–progressivity) beneath the capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ is hardly reflected today as to ‘hardened narratives of an absolutising pure capitalistic model’ as mirroring the very ruthlessness associated with the renting and skewed value-extraction capitalistic model (as so-enculturated socially and mediatically as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness social-vestedness/normativity). The relative veracity of supererogatory–progressivity is strongly seen with the state-driven Asian and European supererogatory–progressivity economies (with the Germans, Japanese and Chinese out-competing the U.S. with respect to trade balance and so without all the ‘grandiose capitalistic economic theorising’ but on the more veridical realism of policy-driven supererogatory–progressivity) and as even in the U.S. there is at least a critical level of strategic supererogatory–progressivity with local states definitely adopting incentives-driven approaches of supererogatory–progressivity; all this speaking from an entailing-totalising perspective analysis of the purist capitalistic model as poorly self-sustaining of its socioeconomic framework (especially its relative irresponsibility with regards to foundational externalities like education, infrastructure, well thought-out policies, collective social advancement, etc.).

The bigger question that then arises has to do with the possibility for optimal human supererogatory–progressivity ‘beyond just the statism and geostrategy/states-competition muddled framework’ that is structured/paradigmed to induce skewed shallow-supererogation of supererogatory–progressivity as to capitalistic ‘catchmenting-by-rejection vague/imaginary lures of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Taking a step aback, in many ways the reality of the very fundamental notion of the capitalistic model speaking of perfect markets do not exist, and rather ‘markets themselves develop as advantageously created situations after the facts’ as to the requisite human creative supererogatory–progressivity for a market to even
arise; and in this respect the supposed fittest notion of capitalistic competition as to punctual/immediate fitness tends to underperform the more advantageous supererogative contemplative deliberation of markets for critically efficient/optimising resource allocation/utilisation/development (as to the fact that structurally/paradigmatically the relatively deliberative conceptualisation of markets associated with say German, Japanese, Chinese, South Korean public-policy supererogatory–progressivity economic models participate in their competitive edge over ‘vague/abstract punctual/immediate fitness notion of capitalistic competition’ that speaks to an overall deliberative optimalising potential of human supererogatory–progressivity beyond any such capitalistic limitative-artifice-of-human-imaginary/metaphysical-conceptualisation as to ‘unbeholdening sublimating–nascence ontologising-depth of the full-potency of existence’ existentialising–decisionality). The so-construed notional–deprocrypticism epistemicity conception of predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as to the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process provides the requisite basis for prospective human ontological-performance convergence towards ‘scalality/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’, and so as to the fact that prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as of its superseding/transcending conception (beyond ‘social-construct <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnaturing’) technically equates to ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ so-implied with the protensive-consciousness ‘deepest phenomenological transcendent-al-point-of-departure handle as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’; and so as to the effective construal of the possibilities of human meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond ‘mere
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’) involves presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing manifestations as to:

and thus ‘prospective reference-of-thought re-ontologisation as to rescalarisation’ in many ways occurs in ontological-normaley/postconvergence rather as a ‘re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–’projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition’ mental-reflex of rescalarisation as to its criticality for the underpinning–suprasocial-construct prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with the reality of all such induced re-ontologisation whether with say the Socratic philosophers and budding-positivists rescalarisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology effectively implying a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with the prior registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness descalarisation in inducing the requisite positive-opportunism for prospective sublimation of the underpinning–suprasocial-construct since the prior underpinning–suprasocial-construct appreciation of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology most critically arises only as the backdrop for prospective induced living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction in the sense that the underpinning–suprasocial-construct appreciation of Socratic philosophy and budding-positivism didn’t arise as to their abstractly articulated universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism respectively (explaining their persecution at that instigative stage) but only took hold respectively as to the positive-opportunism respectively of a universalising-idealisation backdrop and positivism/rational-empiricism backdrop for the subsequent induced living-development–as-
to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction implications these ushered at which point the need to draw from their respective meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for prospectively induced living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction then elicted their appreciation. This reflect the fact that the rescalarising re-ontologisation respectively as of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought over the respective subontologisation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construed as descalarising, rather speak of a ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ and its derived deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing that goes well beyond the sophistic/pedantic contemplative pertinence or logical-basis/logic--as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> of any of the transcended registry-worldview/dimension caught up in its

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). The further implication is that such ‘a merely manifest positive-opportunism underpinning—suprasocial-construct conception of the instigative dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension for prospective Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology rather as to the positive-opportunism backdrop for prospective

950
induced living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ in its ontologically-deficient originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation implies an aloofness to the ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ as of the overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as the inherent ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure effectively reflected as of notional–deprocrypticism such that such an underpinning–suprasocial-construct conception as of positive-opportunism will rather be in a complexification of positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought that can’t truly contemplate of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which is a notion beyond just the possibility for secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as so-reflected by the requisite inducing of the capacity for originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection as to overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as the inherent ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure to truly contemplate of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of rescalaritition possibilities for re-ontologisation. In this regards with respect to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing conception of social-stake-contention-or-confliction in its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, in many ways the core incipient/nascent/instigative social intellectual-function as keeping opened/alive the ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ is about an
intemporal-disposition that is consummated as to its unenframed-conceptualisation and so in ‘articulating the universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications of originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection beyond just an absolutising divide between philosophers/sophists as reflected by the fact of Socratic philosophers engagement with supposed sophists as to the eliciting of the universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of philosophy implied universalising-idealisation as ontological-good-faith/authenticity over
scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-
distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ speaks to a mental-disposition that
reflexively assumes incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-
conceptualisation as to the priority of meaningfulness-and-teleology and value-construction
as of induced living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-
development—as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction
 enamoured to the prior ontologically-deficient/relative-ontological-incompleteness Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with a poorer capacity for the dispensing-
with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-
distension for the more profound implications of prospective Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as to ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence’ projected maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-
completeness unenframed-conceptualisation (given that originariness/origination-<so-
construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-
existence> as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications is as of the apriorising
conflatedness of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implied maximalising-
recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness unenframed-conceptualisation and not
apriorising constitutedness implied incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness
enframed-conceptualisation) and in many ways structurally/paradigmatically explains the
engrained manifestation for the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions elapsing into
‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing with the
subontologisation of ontology as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-as-to-its-
eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests-as-inducing-
prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation',
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing skewed
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating conception of
value-construction as to social-vestedness/normativity,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing construed as
the
imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in
surmountable/unovercomable framework with regards to social-stake-contention-or-
confliction,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag closed framework of sanctified probity
and probationary exercise,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing social
disenfranchising underlying desublimating influence-networking-<subverting-supposedly-
universal-possibilities-and-opportunities> falsely construed as prospectively sublimating,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing flawed
exemplifying/epitomising/palliation as supposedly sublimation in substitution of relevant
ontological optimisation exercise for prospective sublimation,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing
institutional and social dysfunctional stultifying/hampering as to constricted enframed outlets
of sublimation and defensive institutional threatening of chaos with regards to re-originary—
as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-{imbued-postconverging/dialectical-
thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional-deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) prospective sublimation possibilities it construes as valuelessness,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing desublimation as to formulaic hollowing-out/pedantising of priorly induced sublimation,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing catchmenting of budding sublimating ontologisation and value-construction into its constricted desublimating existentialising—enframing of institutionalised social-vestedness/normativity undermining the full potential for prospective ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology and value-construction,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing structural/paradigmatic demobilisation of human sovereign and full prospective sublimation capacity,
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as to preempting prospectively subverting sublimation, - presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing structurally/paradigmatically construing as calamitous the possibility for prospective re-ontologisation from its subontologisation; with ‘human superseding of so-articulated presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing’ keeping opened/alive the ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. Critically, scalarisation analysis operantly implies projecting the implied ‘scaling/scalar of reference’ as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective implications of analysis as to the prospective possibilities for ‘human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In this regards, it can very much be appreciated that human scalarisation potential (existentially manifestable as of successive rescalarisation as re-ontologisation as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications) reflects all the sublimation-over-desublimation possibility for the full possibility of human ontological-performance as can be so-construed as from notional~deprocrypticism prospectively implied originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>. But then inevitably human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications speaks to <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–tandemisation/abstractive-
conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as to mental-aestheticising-attuning/amplituding in the sense that human descalarisation is already caught up in the human aspiration for scalarisation as to the underlying sublimating-by-desublimating
\<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating manifestation of aestheticisation— and aestheticisation-towards-ontology. In this respect, scalarisation analysis is a projection beyond just a conceptually implied originariness/origination-<so construed-as to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> but is comprehensively and notionally/epistemically reflective of underlying structural/paradigmatic rescalarisation and descalarisation of human ontological-performance as to human limited-mentation-capacity implications. This incipient descalarisation reflex is critically manifested by the fact that the human is structurally/paradigmatically as of its
\<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence (as so attendant of overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for–conceptualisation) underlies the very possibility for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–\((<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)\) reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The overall point here is that the
human as ever always caught up in ‘human limited-mentation-capacity implied
phenomenal/manifest concreteness/concretism/existentialising—enframing—of-human-
ontological-performance descalarisation reflex’, the human capacity for scalarisation lies in a
‘distending/dragged-out scalarisation relationship’ with this ‘phenomenal/manifest concreteness/concretism/existentialising—enframing—of-human-ontological-performance descalarisation reflex’ as to the fact that human absolute scalarisation cannot be achieved as
to any resultant reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-
of-aestheticisation of concreteness/concretism/existentialising—enframing—of-human-
onontological-performance, as human absolute scalarisation is always a potential held-up in
originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as to the capacity for ‘human
gesturing of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
onontological-completeness’ (as can be so-appreciated with the notional–deprocrypticism or
\((<(amplituding)formative>notional–preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
derlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process); such that
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for–conceptualisation rather speaks of ‘one long continuous whole of human originariness-
parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as of notional–deprocrypticism’ which guiding
spirit no human prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation can
desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness shapes any such ontologically-flawed presence human psychology as to its given ‘aestheticisation of existentialising—enframing/imprintedness’ with regards to prospectively addressing such ‘phenomenal/manifest concreteness/concretism/existentialising—enframing—of-human-ontological-performance descalarisation reflex’ concerns identified above (as to ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing with the subontologisation of ontology as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-as-to-itseliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation’); and so with regards to overall underlying human ‘social and institutional crises/suboptimisation as to subontologisation’ prospective need for re-ontologisation. This overall construal of the determinative structure of human ontological-performance (as it reflects the ontological-veracity of human formativeness-as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over any given conception of human of intersubjectivity—of—meaningfulness-and-teleology’) rather undermines the ontological-pertinence as to the ontological-performance of the notion of human intersubjectivity—of—meaningfulness-and-teleology and so very much along the same lines of the Derridean criticism of intersubjectivity—of—meaningfulness-and-teleology going by his ‘heterogeneous genesis’ conception (even as the latter is more-or-less caught up in metaphysics-of-presence epistemic constitutedness as to its quasi-transcendental implications since genesis is rather truly as of the ‘full-conflatedness in the apriorising/referencing/axiomatising of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ involved with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-
successive registry-worldviews/dimensions are then construed wrongly as ‘beyond ontological analysis’ such that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process herein implied is then construed as ‘unintelligible’ as even the notion of how successive registry-worldviews/dimensions come about is obfuscated. This overall insight points to the fact that all the potentiality for human ontological-performance rather lies with grasping: human ‘formativeness—<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (so-construed as human <amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality potentiality of ontological-performance) and so as to human inherently embodied–vitality/survival/subsistence in existential becoming with regards to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development—as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-defining the-social or human-social-potency’. Human ‘formativeness—<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism>—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology construed scalarisation—as-to-rescalarisation—as–re-ontologisation/supererogatory—involuting-or-guilding-or-amplifying—scalarisation—<as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation>’ (as to prospective human ontological-performance potential for historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing over historicity-tracing) structurally/paradigmatically encompasses:


- human individual as solipsistic sovereign-emergence of drivenness beyond just ‘socially induced emancipatory/non-emancipatory drivenness’ as to the individual thrownness in any registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds of ontological-performance,

- human formative convoluted developmental echeloning in any registry-worldview/dimension as of socially translative ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity–structure reflecting respectively the structure of human intemporal and temporal ontological-performance,

- the social-construct uninstitutionalised-threshold defined as to the given registry-worldview/dimension prospectively ‘descalarising—in-structuring/paradigming first-moving/rentier/prerogative induced beholding-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing—inhibited-mental-aestheticising meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance (as to living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and


with such flipping-around/flipping-about rather reflecting respectively the implications of ‘originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness underlying the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
and-teleology as to recurrently self-surpassing meaningfulness-and-teleology and the resultant consecutive consequent presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness existentialising—enframing reflecting the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions imbued reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology respective less-and-less relative-ontological-incompleteness of ontological-performance; such that inherently the construal of their social-stake-contention-or-confliction are ever always construed in \( (\text{amplituding}) \text{formative}\)-epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-

Such a depth of contemplation as to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology effectively reflects a rather more profound conceptualisation of human psychology as to its transcendence-and-sublimity inducing potential as to the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (at the crossroads of prior meaningfulness-and-teleology and prospective metaphoricity) over approaches of relative gimmickiness-of-thought as to our positivism/rational-empiricism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that poorly address human egotistic/self-
referential complex in the face of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint and with the corresponding possibility for sophistic/pedantic moral and intellectual disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession (as the fact is when it comes to social-stake-contention-or-confliction ‘knowledge-reification tends to be notionally/epistemically caught up between a sublimation and desublimation/gimmickiness paradigm’ as reflected in the social reality of ‘a veil of knowledge associated with subterfuges’ reflected say in an ambiguous continuity between genuine-knowledge and chicanery, social/institutional intellectualism and social/institutional sycophantic-sophistry, treatment and placebo, alchemy and chemistry, quackery and medicine, technological-advancement and technical-mystification, flawed-industrial-analyses-and-certifications and disinterested-scientific-analyses-and-certifications, etc.). In other words, the notion of ‘the other’ as aetiology/ontological-escalation is much more than ‘magnanimity towards the other’ but more fully a stance that ‘calls upon a principled commitment to the notion of the other’ by the other as enabling the completeness of universal responsibility. Paradoxically, viewed from this angle as of the possibility of inducing prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> for ontologically-veridical virtue transcendence-and-sublimity, a different interpretation can be made about the posture of a thinker like Heidegger during the troubled years of the 1930s; as effectively, the implication of Heidegger’s analysis of the situation which he associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity points to ‘a conception emphasising ontology as defining virtue thus ultimately geared towards prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–
<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of the need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, but failing not because of the said orientation but with regards to the wrong conclusion about Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology misunderstood as implying that it lies with a historical tradition like the Ancient Greece tradition or German Folk tradition rather than lying with an underlying transcendental universal notion construed as ‘going beyond them-and-us logic’ as of the implications of universal human emancipatory potential of re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholden/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation), and this fundamentally scuppered his possibility of ‘attaining a conception of prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of the need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, rather than an ‘ontologically-flawed idea implying a certain given historical tradition’. Likewise, but with regards to virtue analysts analyses that are naively articulated on the basis of the ontological-contiguity of our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as of our <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought leading to palliation as of selecting, triaging, mutually-concurring-and-accommodating and power-relations driven palliating virtue constructs, an altogether different drawback is decisively apparent as we know that since those troubled years, wars, genocides, and other crimes against humanity have still been taking place and will probably continue to take place, as of the structural/paradigmatic consequence arising with such manifestations in ontological-contiguity of our ‘modern take
attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’; divulging that conceptualising virtue in ontological-contiguity is at best only of palliative consequence and not truly aetiologisation/ontological-escalation which rather warrants prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The fact is well-meaningness, good-intentions and/or good-naturedness however comforting to contemplate about doesn’t substitute for ontology/ontological-veridicality as of the need to truly understand the human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics behind human action for appropriate aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that brings an end to the endemisation and enculturation of any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s vices-and-impediments. This existential reality about ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is no more different between the social world and the natural world, and so as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation inherent ontological coherence/contiguity. This insight about virtue as lying with ontology has been to varying degrees implicitly understood by many postmodern thinkers, beginning with Heidegger pointing to a sophistication of thought but for the poor development and poor conclusions of his analysis during the troubled years of 1930s; and rather poorly interpreted by virtue critiques adopting a ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ in ontological-contiguity as of its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought perspective construed-as reasoning-from-results/afterthought of modernity. Such sophistication of thought to think in terms of inherent ontology, however ontologically-flawed with respect to Heidegger, has been further implicitly pursued by latter postmodern thinkers as of quasi-transcendental implications for construing virtue from the orientation of prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-
qualia-schema> as ‘futural way of thinking’, as it misperceived that any tradition can reveal
as of its inherent nature the ‘futural way of thinking’, rather than that this lies with ‘a
universal principle understanding of the transformation of traditions’ and thus how such
universal principle understanding as of its universal implications informs about the ‘futural
way of thinking’. In this regard, we can equally understand why Heidegger’s supposed
criticism of Cartesianism was altogether a misplaced analysis given that ‘a universal principle
understanding of the transformation of traditions’ as herein implied by this author in
reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, would have
provided the insight that Descartes was actually ‘establishing a positivism tradition as of
futural way of thinking’ breaking away from non-positivism/medievalism and so ‘as to the
fact that dimensionality-of-sublimating—<\texttt{amplituding}>formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation is aporetically the more fundamental incipient/seeding
originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation to Descartes thinking-proposition
for budding-positivism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ and thus in many ways the naïve/flawed Cartesianism
today arise as to a reasoning as from reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation perspective whereas Descartes is more
fundamentally involved in an aporeticism overcoming/unovercoming exercise with respect to
medieval-scholasticism non-positivising (as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<\texttt{amplituding}>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) which
philosophically precedes his secondary thinking-proposition as reasoning-from-
think therefore I am’ is not the idea that Descartes contemplates that he is the first person to be self-conscious about his thinking; rather his underlying reasoning is ‘more than just speculative doubting’ but ‘motivated doubting’ that is highly contextual-as-of-the-non-positivism/medieval-epoch and highly prefigurative-as-to-what-Descartes-wants-to-do-of-transformative-with-thinking-given-that-context aporeticism (underlying that Descartes’ dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplitudine)>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepticnicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation is aporetically the more fundamental incipient/seeding originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in then secondarily inducing his thinking-proposition for budding-positivism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. That is, Descartes seeks to affirm the ‘mereness of thought’ beyond any existing habit-and-tradition-of-thought as of non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism pedantic dogmatism reasoning-from-results/afterthought, and so liberated rearticulate thought ‘out of thin air’ as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as reflected by his novel mathesis universalis metaphoricity rationalism schema/dissemination that permeates all of his works such that even with his ontological argument something subtle and more original is happening, in that unlike many medieval scholasticism dogmatic interpretations that construe of a supernatural permeation into the natural, in affirming the ontological argument Descartes blocks-out/passivises the supernatural from the natural with the metaphoricity implication that the natural can be thought of operationally and in sublimation on its own terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct. Thus Descartes ‘I think therefore I am’ is rather a statement of intent as of a ‘futural way of thinking and sublimation’ and its budding positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, that is unique as ‘consciously setting up the pre-eminence of
thinking in eliciting-and-resolving systemic doubting and structuring/paradigmimg the possibility of elucidation of any subject on this thinking and sublimation basis’. In effect Descartes project is actually as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness relative-ontological-completeness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of positivism, and so from the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of non-positivism/medievalism. With both the budding positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme and postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, we may be forgiven to confuse-and-dismiss their schema/structural-or-paradigmatic-disseminative-implications as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as incoherent from a shallow-and-immediate uninsightful analytical perspective on the basis of the respectively prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought of non-positivism/medievalism and positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (since as of the latter relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective ‘all the reasoning in the world’ is only respectively as of non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism or positivism–procrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism); thus failing to perceive that the projective-insights for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming–‘notionally-collateralising,protohumanity’–to–‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-confatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness

(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)

as of
deneuterising ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ of
meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding/overriding prior reference-of-thought temporally
neuterising ‘interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ of
meaningfulness-and-teleology, reflects
Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications wherein ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality is sublimatively
rather about a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance
equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-
coherence/contiguity’ that comes out short and which ‘reinvigoration as of furthered
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ induces
the successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as to
the ‘ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of reference-of-
thought différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’. The appropriate contemplative
perspective for the appreciation of their schema/structural-or-paradigmatic-disseminative-
implications is effectively cross-generational as of the amplitude/breadth of reference-of-thought implied transcendence-and-sublimity; as we can effectively appreciate that the very mathesis universalis schema/disseminative metaphoricity engendering our positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is still ongoing today even as it is more clearly demarcated as initiated about 500 years ago. The overall logic of this notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> analysis, implied as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, can be understood simply as of the relation between existence which is already given and human-subpotency which as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought grasp more and more what is of the full-potency of existence by way of its axiomatic-constructs of existence or of purviews/domains of existence, with its grandest axiomatic-construct as an epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct being the reference-of-thought. We can grasp that it is not existence and purviews/domains of existence which will adjust to human-subpotency for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather human-subpotency adjusting as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplitude-formative)epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness; with such adjusting being construed as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. But then humankind as of its developed-and-invested habits and traditions about existence counterintuitively relates to existence and purviews/domains of existence as if it supersedes them, and thus do not or poorly construes of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct as an issue of human-subpotency adjustment as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification, implied as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-
dialectics with regards to the reference-of-thought transcendence. In lieu the poor intuition is to imply that we are already well grounded and that prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology is an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness to our already established psychoanalytic disposition rather than a maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness in resetting-our-psychoanalytic-disposition/prospective-grounding as of \( <\text{amplituding}> \text{formative}> \) epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought in conflatedness, such that this leads to constitutedness when so poorly psychoanalytically grounded on the naïve and ontologically-flawed basis that it is existence and purviews/domains of existence that adjust to our human-subpotency. Thus however counterintuitive, this overall conception structures the fact that it is as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics that our human \( <\text{amplituding}> \text{formative}> \) epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought is transcended for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought implied as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-\(<\text{mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema}>\). In this regard, ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism \( <\text{amplituding}> \text{formative}> \) epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is essentially one of shifting attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme by the successive institutionalisations reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> human induced bias leads to a wholly immersed-and-engrossed focussing only at its given present institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as if other retrospective-and-prospective institutionalisations’ reference-of-thought do not have their own attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of their underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought. This phenomenological insight in recognising that there is ‘an underlying metaphoricity-induced relative-emancipatory migration’ from the mindset of the early hunter-gathers as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation towards modern man as of positivism–procrypticism to the prospective postmodern man as of deprocrypticism, calls for a full appreciation of this most profound phenomenological transcendental process of corresponding ‘human attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme migration’ inducing successive apriorisings/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and so, as of retrospective and prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology interpretation construed as historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Such a conception that goes beyond our natural inclination of ‘referring to’ and ‘adhocly-and-scantily’ identify other retrospective and prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme from our present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, towards an ontologically-veridical transparent ‘to be or existing as wholly immersed-and-engrossed’ existential projection insight about all registry-
worldviews/dimensions attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme is what underlies the protensive-consciousness of deprocrypticism, from which standpoint as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought such an ontologically-veridical analysis of ‘human attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme migration’ can be undertaken, for retrospective and prospective attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme conception, and specifically as relevant for understanding prospective ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

When so construed prospectively, ‘postmodern exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is all about such a deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as implied by its human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation ‘originary postmodern-thought-process and other postmodern creative-processes avant-gardism’ that are not in a reasoning-from-results/afterthought ontological entanglement with our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’. Consider in this regard the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning prospective structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications as of Derridean différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral, Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse and Deleuzian immanence experimentation that can all be construed (and as equally implied by this author’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism conception of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing), as of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism for perpetuated/disseminative preemption of
That is singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism points out that there is no inherent meaning of existence about existence as existence is tautologically what it is as existence, rather the notion of meaning arises as of the notion of human-subpotency strife to ‘grasp what is existence’, and that latter notion is all about human-subpotency ‘axiomatic-constructs as of \(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation. In other words, meaning is always a human project to construe existence as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) of ‘axiomatic-constructs as of \(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
thought-devolving’. singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and as reflected by this author’s notion of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism conception of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-
tracing, points out that disingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-
determinism as of human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-
potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is ontologically-flawed, and that prospective relative-ontological-completeness reflects that singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of human-subpotency ontological-
performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality is what is rather ontologically-veridical. It is this prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism that reflects the effective possibility of a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-
coherence/contiguity’ as implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; attainable as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{-totalisingly,}-\text{as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\rangle\text{ of }\text{‘axiomatic-constructs as of }

\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\text{-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, and so reflected by the notion of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. This reality of the need to construe of human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism has increasingly been revealed as from the ‘strangely axiomatic teleologically-thorough singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism manifestations’ of quantum entanglement, relativity theory implications, the teleologically constrained nature of biological processes as more than just the parsimonious-or-disparate nature of organic matter but rather singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of whole living organisms, and likewise human meaningfulness itself is a structuring/paradigming singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of sharply defined teleological possibilities of social and individuals existence with respect to the different registry-worldviews/dimensions specific institutionalisations, etc. (Interestingly, as of this author’s conception of such a teleological perception of existence as of its singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation insights of postmodern-thought has been subject to naïve obfuscation
grounded on the supposed privilege of ‘science-ideology’ over science-in-practice as an opened construct of scientific knowledge as of cause-and-effect constraint, and with the form of science at various times continually moulting as from the budding science of the days of Galileo and Copernicus, to Newtonian science, to Lavoisier laboratory science, to Einsteinian science to modern day institutional practices of science, with all fundamentally driven not by any ‘purported science-ideology’ but rather the practicality of results as of the constraint of the subject-domains of scientific study as of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification rather than ‘any implied notion that naively supersede existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’. In this regard, it is interesting to note that the notion of science practised by the successive pioneers cited above are markly different from each other and all subjected rather to the implications of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of their purview/domain of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. It is interesting as well to note for example that when equations didn’t work out in reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity, Einstein rather rethought and subjected human assumptions to existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation for his science, with such notions as space-time rather than traditional space and time; pointing out that there cannot be any ideology about science and it is rather the constraint for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification that determines science practice, and so in existential conflatedness. Further, it had long dawned on this author that scam studies meant to undermine the validity of underlying constructivist and relativist insights about existential reality as implied by postmodern-thought including with respect to such implications in the natural sciences are rather ‘supposedly invalidating’ wholly with respect to the authors of such scam studies coming out with the arguments of their ‘intendedness of invalidation’; with the legitimate contention that such ploys are thus surreptitious manoeuvres for preempting a given orientation of thought ‘not because of the
inherent invalidity of such orientations as of inherent theoretical knowledge arguments in undermining such orientations’ but rather as a ploy of ‘inducing popularised scientific ideology’ to surreptitiously stifle such orientations without truly engaging in undermining its theorisation. Bogusness or non-bogusness is not a relevant scientific criteria, though granted it can be a relevant criteria for ‘surreptitious media-driven invalidation’, as science-in-practice is about ultimate cause-and-effect relationships, and in practical terms many scientific studies are rather elaborated as of ‘deferred cause-and-effect constraint’ as a reifying gesture for ultimate cause-and-effect determination. The fact that similar scam studies for the ‘intendedness of invalidation’ cannot be construed as scientifically valid with respect to any given orientation of study renders such manoeuvres intellectually void, and whatever their underlying ‘covert goals’ and however genuine their authors are of intent. It is very much important in this regard that intellectuals, whether in the natural sciences or in the social and humanities, not be cowered/enframed by non-intellectual/extra-intellectual approaches to ‘acknowledged intellectual ways and approaches for intellectual argumentation’, and not even if such approaches are media-driven, so because much that is central and critical to intellectualism is about exploring all possibilities.) All these highlight an underlying ontology’s-directedness-as-Being that bears notional–conflatedness singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism implications, as of ontologically-veridical singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism of human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality over ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism; and yet our psychological disposition is more often than not geared to ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism that tend to be absolutised in constitutedness of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought mental-reflexes of
<amplituding>formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing-
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology),
and so failing to grasp that the very principle of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-
recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process itself is one driven by the future as of its own reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ which reflects an increasing orientation away
from identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-
flawed-epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-of-thought towards
difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’, and so because the
future is as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and
takes precedence for its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of increasing
axiomatic teleological wholeness/nested-congruence or prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought. For instance, with regards to ‘the very same ill-health
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontological-veridical’, with the successive reference-
of-thought ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics at
their uninstitutionalised-thresholds inducing successive displacement of human-subpotency
reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, it
is rather singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontologically-
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veridical reference-of-thought-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-
congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
determinism’ that effectively reflects the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
aesthetic-tracing (and so over identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-
dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-
of-thought that will simply imply the obliviousness of one reference-of-thought from the
other since ‘identity of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is wrongly fixed-and-set as of each
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought cloistered-consciousness). As it is
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of human-subpotency
that brings about ‘better and better axiomatic teleological wholeness/nested-congruence of
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ increasing human-subpotency ontological-performance
correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,
and so from: existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-
bad-omen with recurrent-utter-ininstitutionalisation, to existential-contextualising-contiguity-
second-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-
specific-evil-period with base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, to existential-
contextualising-contiguity-third-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-
heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-
to-an-ancestor with universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, to existential-
contextualising-contiguity-fourth-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-
scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation with
positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively to existential-contextualising-contiguity-full-
reification perceptivity-as-of-factoring-in-socioeconomic,-education,-information,-
environmental,-gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-healthcare-and-medical-
delivery with deprocryptism that then achieves difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-
reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-
wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
epistemic-determinism’. This insight about ontological-performance as of prospective
relative-ontological-completeness of human-subpotency can be garnered with respect to any
axiomatic-construct as the meaningfulness-and-teleology representation of human-
subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-
of-its-coherence/contiguity or a purview/domain of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality, and so not only with regards to the reference-of-thought as the grandest
axiomatic-construct. This fundamentally points out that at uninstitutionalised-thresholds,
human cognition which is rather in ‘excogitative-blanking of prospective institutionalisation
existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ suffers-and-fails to relay the ‘seeding
promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the
full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ for prospective institutionalisation
as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-
epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-
the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’; since this potential
for such singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is denaturing
as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-
flawed-epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-of-thought at its
uninstitutionalised-threshold. We can appreciate that with regards to ‘the very same ill-health
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is very much imbued with a flawed ontological-performance, as is the case with all other prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, ‘when we seem to perceive-and-think that our social world of meaningfulness-and-teleology is coherent, failing to factor in that it is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as reflected as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’; as this false sense of coherence is actually the effect of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology),
of a given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought supposedly
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, as
of temporal dynamic manifestations of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performances beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-⟨in-existential-extrication-as-
of-existential-unthought⟩. This arises because within the institutionalisation framework of a
registry-worldview/dimension human construal of its existential-contextualising-contiguity
knowledge-reification is only as effective as of the institutionalisation reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in
universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩, thus
providing a ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-
teleology about its existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’. But then at
uninstitutionalised-thresholds where meaningfulness-and-teleology is denaturing, this prior
institutionalisation ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-
teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’ gives a false certainty/assurance, such
that human-subpotency existentially-constrained temporal ontological-performances as of
⟨(amplituding)formative⟩wooden-language-⟨imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–

We can appreciate in this sense that even within a non-positivistic social-setup as animistic or
preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism representation as temporal denaturing ontological-performances of the prior institutionalisation ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’. But this conception is a reflection of more than just ad-hoc temporal manifestations at uninstitutionalised-thresholds but rather points out, besides the trite or more grave consequences of this state of affairs as a result of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, that the possibility for all prospective institutionalisations necessarily passes through understanding ‘human-subpotency existentially constrained temporal ontological-performances as<br>\(<(amplituding)formative>\) wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology} of the prior registry-worldview/dimension in usurpation’, which understanding is actually what empowers the possibility for prospective institutionalisations that supersede/transcend it. In other words, humans in the various prior institutionalisations before our positivism were not limited to their various registry-worldviews/dimensions as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation and our positivism just because they were inherently different from us as a species, but because of the need for the necessary institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of understanding as of its organic-knowledge to enable the very same species to accede prospective institutionalisations as of human-subpotency adjusting to the full-potency of existence, and not the false certainty/assurance that any human registry-worldview/dimension is fully developed and that existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will adjust to it, however our myopic/cloistered 60 – 100 years of living perspective. That is, grounding of meaningfulness-
and-teleology is certainly required, but as of transcendence-and-sublimity it is not about grounding as of the present but rather as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and as highlighted elsewhere it is ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (of human-subpotency as of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\langle(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation\rangle) that can imply human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence. It should be noted here that this ontology’s-directedness-as-Being/ontologically-veridical notion of human-subpotency singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence is a notion of teleology in notional-conflatedness as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting \langle(amplitude)formative>disposedness-(as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation—and—derived-parameterising) and
\langle(amplitude)formative>entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent—factuality-of-variability)’ and so as to the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility—\langleimbued-and-educed—
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‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-


speaks of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity ontological-performance as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-verbatim,-as-to-

‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’; thus validating

registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought-level meaningfulness-and-teleology
at the exclusion-and-surpassing of any apriorising/axiomatising/referencing notion including the often misconstrued apriorising/axiomatising/referencing notions of space and/or time, as all such notions are rather in constitutedness since such notions seem to apriorise as if superseding the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing precedence of existence itself as the absolute a priori; construed herein rather as ‘ecstatic’ but not as of Heidegger’s ‘time/period ecstatic’ analysis, as it is herein contended that existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation construed as ‘ecstatic apriorising’ subjects even time and any other notion, with the implication that the phenomenality of the analysis herein is not time-bound but solely existential more like the principles of physics are abstractly existential and so beyond the time-archaeology of astronomical manifestations reflecting such physics principles. singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism thus speaks of how human subpotent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) induce transcendence-and-sublimity, with the ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness. This ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is what has ever always debunked human subpotent dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as from the human subpotent reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to our present positivism–procrypticism, as of an ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ that is increasingly in teleological
induced for the successive prior institutionalisations in order, in Foucauldian terms of parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen, to reflect the dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation

ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive’
reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as
reasoning-from-results/afterthought, as well as their correspondingly associated
uninstitutionalised-threshold dereifying ‘<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging/dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) as of temporal/shortness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing ontological-performance; and it is rather the
intemporal dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
derunderdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ strive
for potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
determinism construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism
emancipated apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
self-consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-
reasoning’ that holds the possibility for ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process as of difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
veridical-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ to arise and be
perpetuated in the very first place as it invigorates-and-reinvigorates the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process for potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. The successive transcendence-and-sUBLimity as ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ induced as from intemporal dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation

ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ strive for potentiative-

attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-

consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-

reasoning’, highlights the ontological-veracity as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-

conceptualisation, of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism which is ever always sought-and-resought by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-

fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-

as-of-existential-reality (that is, as of the teleological wholeness/nested-congruence from non-

rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of recurrent-utter-

ininstitutionalisation towards prospectively preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-

thought of deprocrypticism); with ontologically-veridical singularisation/epistemic-

immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism further implying, as of its potentiative-

attainment of ontological-performance correspondence with existence/intrinsic-

reality/ontological-veridicality, that existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is as of ‘ecstatic singularity’. This ‘ecstatic singularity’ about existence—as-the-absolute-a-
priori-of-conceptualisation can be delineated as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so-construed as of human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral for transcendence-and-sublimity in ‘phenomenological ecstatic releasement’. Thus our logocentric sense of certainty as marked by our ‘pervasively enframed logocentric constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as with all the prior logocentrism of prior successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, as of their relatively ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism is misplaced manifestation of ignorance, and thus in our case in need for our prospective intellectual-and-moral maturing as of prospective ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics for the deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension. Thus the

teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence—in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process,—so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-
immamence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’-construal-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology;
with-the-implication-here-that-hitherto-identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-
dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism-as-cloistered-within-the-
same-reference-of-thought-as-implied-with-historical-accounts-and-representations-are
incomplete, as ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology-is-as-of-the
aforementioned ‘reifying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—metaphoricity-
conception-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’—elaborateness-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-dynamic-differentiated-transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-of-the-ontological-performance-of
intemporality/longness-over-temporality. The articulation of sublimating
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing accounts of
meaningfulness-and-teleology-failing-to-highlight-this-process-of-human-subpotency
ontological-performance-differentiation-are-rather-incomplete-and-misrepresenting-of-human
nature-in-the ‘dynamic-human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor-as-of-both-dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation-mental-
dispositions-and-secondnatured-institutionalisation-mental-dispositions’ as the complete
operant-framework-of-human-subpotency, and so-construed-from-an-ontological-
normalcy/post-convergent-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-perspective (in
difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence—in-reflecting-the-
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’). This is ontologically
critical to understand because the wrong mental-reflex conception of uninstitutionalised-
threshold as mainly being as of ‘human intemporal secondnatured institutionalisation mental-
disposition’ will wrongly imply a human nature that is only intemporal and so as of the
secondnatured intemporality/longness of the prior institutionalisation. This fails to factor in
that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are rather a framework of ‘recurring dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
temporal-to-intemporal ’ requiring prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so without any intemporal
secondnatured institutionalisation induced universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-
entailing.-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness), deferential-formalisation-transference and habituation as of
positive-opportunism; and thus fully reflecting the ontological-veridicality of human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. It is this ‘recurring dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
temporal-to-intemporal ’ reality at all the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds that
fundamentally reflect ‘the same fundamental human potentiation as of human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ across all the
registry-worldviews/dimensions notwithstanding the institutionalisation-level but for the fact
impulsive-or-accidented-or-random’
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, -for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, -for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’
falling-short-as-needing-positivistic-universal-rules in construing existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge reification as of the prospective positivism institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thereof construed as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism; and prospectively positivism–
procripticism ‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-
over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’
existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification by futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism
institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought,
and thereof construed as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism. From an
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism insight as it reflects
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness,
we can garner that the implications of ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-
reification’ as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is
what actually generates the various registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations as of
their relative identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-
dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as cloistered-within-the-same-reference-
of-thought; such that their respective destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating—desublimating—decisionality>—of-ontological-performance are
actually in <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-
incompleteness denaturing of the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
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thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for-
meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is further critical to understand that while universal-

transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-

<\texttt{amplituding})formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) with associated nested-congruence and harmony is brought about as of prior institutional secondnaturing, this should not be naively expected at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as we very much know that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are conflicted as of their framework of ‘recurring dimensionality-of-sublimating—\texttt{amplituding})formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation temporal-to-intemporal ’ for prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Thus uninstitutionalised-thresholds, are necessarily imbued with varied temporal-to-intemporal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing narratives as of the ‘lack of intemporal secondnaturised institutionalisation induced universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<\texttt{amplituding})formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness), deferential-formalisation-transference and habituation in positive-opportunism’; since any uninstitutionalised-threshold ever always brings about human ‘recurring dimensionality-of-sublimating—\texttt{amplituding})formative>epistemic-


growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation temporal-to-intemporal ’ but with this recurring as of human dimensionality-of-sublimating—\texttt{amplituding})formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation temporal operating rather in denaturing the prior institutionalisation’s ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is transcendent-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemtemporal-ontological-performances) means that it is wrong to construe the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of a human temporal dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation transformation, and so fundamentally because of human limited-mentation-capacity and the correspondingly constraining consequences on its ontological-performance. Rather it is more candid to relate to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), and so as of prospective intemporal secondnatured institutionalisation induced universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness), deferential-formalisation-transference and habituation in positive-opportunism. Central to any such prospective institutionalisation transcendental-enabling/sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology is the fact that the human mind is not necessarily geared to come to terms with prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought without the necessary psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification as of the developed disposition to register such implications as of their intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology pertinence; as the notion of cross-generational ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics herein highlighted has ever always been an unconscious human mental process, wherein the mental-disposition hardly places itself in a situation of explaining how its own very present mental-disposition comes about from preceding generations mental-dispositions and drawing the implications, in going beyond excogitative-blanking as of the present in a cloistered-consciousness but which is paradoxically necessarily the framework of such transcendentally implying meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus the metaphoricity exercise of transcendence is
not one of necessarily eliciting instant meaningfulness-and-teleology universal approbation but rather instigating universal untenability as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework for prospective universal positive-opportunism; as we can appreciate that in reality the possibility of the successive institutionalisations was not the outcome of every human soul grasping the implications as of the successive transcendence but rather as of a generative dynamics as of critical drift/gravitating effect in reflection of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. Furthermore, the implications of ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as the latter reflects ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, with regards to the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology as teleologically-elevated or teleologically-degraded, is that the conception of ontological-veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology varies as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought; for instance with regards to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’, the meaningfulness-and-teleology of a positivistic mindset with the idea of going into a supposed evil forest to collect a plant root as a cure in say an animistic social-setup will probably be construed as ridiculous as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought despite the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification ontological-veracity that the possibility of curing ailments in the animistic social-setup lies with the

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-

surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-
protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,—as-to-existence-potency-prospective-
digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/resentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) as of
the underdevelopment issues of respectively living-development—as-to-personality-
development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, are ever always preconverging-or-
dementing—apriorising-psychologism as of living underdevelopment, institutional
underdevelopment and Being underdevelopment when construed as of the successive
destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-
decisionality>—of-ontological-performance in prospective prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as from the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process difference-confoundedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’,
while these are ever always postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism
as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-
social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-
to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
when construed as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations in
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought the ontological-

\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\) epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalititative–implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\) epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
dereification involves teleological embrangling/muddling/underdetermining meaningfulness-and-teleology to the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. This is because the lack of reification wrongly implies that the \(<\text{amplituding}\text{formative}>\text{wooden-language-} (\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-} <\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-} \text{'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>\) reference-of-thought framework of registry-worldviews/dimensions are the absolute determinants of intemporal value reference, such that the \(<\text{amplituding}\text{formative}>\text{wooden-language-} (\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-} <\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-} \text{'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>\) reference-of-thought framework of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism, are paradoxically-and-falsely equally the absolute determinants of intemporal value reference; whereas reification highlights that all the successive institutionalisations are as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human\(<\text{amplituding}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising-\text{purview-of-construal}}, \text{but of varying ontological-performance as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-}\(<\text{amplituding}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}. \text{Behind this possibility of ontologically-flawed dereification of human meaningfulness-and-teleology is the fact that given the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, ‘the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-}
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<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ is a seconndnaturing process as of elicited and seconndnatured positive-opportunism of instigated ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—


<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ implies that ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reflected as to ontological-good-faith/authenticity over ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity elucidatin/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ is not the sufficient reason for
prospective human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation, but warrants a secondnaturung process of elicited and secondnatured positive-opportunism as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology by skewing for universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) and social deferential-formalisation-transference. The implication here is that the social-construct has ever always been a threshold as of its prior institutionalisation as well as a threshold as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold; wherein respectively there is positive-opportunism for prior institutionalisation and no positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation, explaining the developing reality of the various successive human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisations, as of retrospective and prospective implications. This fundamentally points to a ‘human psychology of positive-opportunism as of prior-institutionalisation-reification and uninstitutionalised-threshold-dereification’, that points out that hitherto the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process has not been about ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituring)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation temporal individuations dispositions’ transformation into ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituring)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reflected as to ontological-good-faith/authenticity over ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’, but rather a constraining positive-opportunism secondnatureing to emancipating reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology;
and so, despite the fact that ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
ratationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as of
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reflected as to
ontological-good-faith/authenticity over ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity
elucidatin/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ is a human individuation
quality that avails potentially to all individuals as temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-
receptacles but as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance has not hitherto been
structurally/paradigmatically defining of ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process even as it has rather been instigative as of a re-originary–as-
unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–’projective-insights’/’epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-
notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) human intemporal-disposition. The basis
for this ‘human psychology of positive-opportunism as of prior-institutionalisation-reification
and uninstitutionalised-threshold-dereification’, is the fact that humankind is caught up in
intemporal-reification and temporal-dereification as of existential-constraint of ontological-
performance given its limited-mentation-capacity; wherein the ‘social-construct
uninstitutionalised-threshold’ as of ‘no positive-opportunism for prospective
institutionalisation’ is a threshold at which there is a structural/paradigmatic lack of
constraining institutionalisation to preempt ‘human temporal social-stake-contention-or-
confliction dynamics’ assuming of uninstitutionalised-threshold dereification threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-disposition as of ontologically-
1038
flawed relation with prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity
knowledge-reification <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalititative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. In other words, as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance given human limited-mentation-capacity:
  – at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’, thus allowing for ‘non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition,—that-is-not-rulemaking dereification behaviour’ at its prospective recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation;
  – at base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’, thus allowing for rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—that-is-not-universalisation-directed dereification behaviour’ at its prospective ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation;
  – at our positivism–procrypticism, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

of the elicitation/cultivation of human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation
and-teleology, as the very fact of ‘reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—
as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-
qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive’ reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology
underlies relative-ontological-incompleteness as of human living underdevelopment,
institutional underdevelopment and Being underdevelopment, as of a lack of ‘ontological-
faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’; as of the fact that
meaningfulness-and-teleology is always incomplete when conceived simplistically as being
all about ‘mechanical-constraints of rules without spirit’, construed as of reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation—as-of-
ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive implied
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. The full
implications here is that a deprocrypticism ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construal of
meaningfulness-and-teleology is more critically about eliciting the ‘subject intemporal sense
of knowledge-and-virtue as of its ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—
stranding/attributive-dialectics for a fully protracted-consciousness beyond a cloistered-
consciousness’ in line with Foucauldian hermeneutics of the subject futural implications.
Further, it is important to grasp that ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-
or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ is
actually associated with all the transcendences of all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, but that what is particular with deprocrypticism summoning of ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as implied by its ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, is the fact that it achieves the potentiative-aspiration of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’; and so, as of ‘human ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’’ that supplants the notion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is untenable to construe of the ultimate potential of human emancipation without the eliciting of this more fundamentally authentic basis of human emancipation as of the overcoming of human limited-mentation-capacity temporal dynamics beyond just ‘the elicitation of positive-opportunism to existential constraining’; as implied by ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism mirroring ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of inherent existence as ‘ecstatic singularity’, very much unlike reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation–as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-
qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive implied dissingularisation/epistemic-
nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of their given prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought that fail to mirror inherent existence as ‘ecstatic
singularity’. Such implied transcendental ontological-construal is rather originarily/as-of-
event as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning beyond prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought
endemising/enculturating<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
synergeticising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. We can appreciate that as of the
ordinariness<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-
<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} of say a
non-positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, whether animistic or medieval, notions-and-
accusations-of-sorcery as of the uninstitutionalised-threshold dereification of
meaningfulness-and-teleology will rather as of ‘no positivism/rational-empiricism
constraining prospective reification institutionalisation’ rather elicit spurious palliative
adaptive dereification dispositions as of human limited-mentation-capacity, however, when
positivism/rational-empiricism originarily/as-of-event reification avails as of the potential for
prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) then it is more about the metaphoricity
that portends to prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such
originarily/as-of-event reification construed futural Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preemption-of-
procrypticism-as-of-reference-of-thought equally do apply with regards to our positivism—
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procrypticism dereification beyond our positivism–procrypticism ordinariness

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-

leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignoreable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)

spurious palliative adaptive dereification disjointedness-of-reference-of-thought mental-dispositions as

of human limited-mentation-capacity, so-implied as of prospective human ontological-performance

potentiative-aspiration for singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-

epistemic-determinism thus enabling the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation behind the

ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process and specifically for futural

Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-

development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
deprocrypticism. Further besides this elucidated contrast articulated as of prospective

relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reification and prior relative-

ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought dereification; the concepts of reification

and dereification equally extend within a given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-

thought as framework of the reference-of-thought-devolving temporal-to-intemporal-

dispositions ontological-performance (especially as so-associated with postlogism-

slantedness and the dynamic conjugated-postlogism temporal denaturing of meaningfulness-

and-teleology implications) critically construing ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold dereification’

as the uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal-and-flawed ontological-performance (as of

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-

form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–

narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology))

undermining the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prospective ontological-performance.

This conception of reification as of institutionalisation in prospective relative-ontological-
effectively reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of prior successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure towards the attainment of deprocrypticism. Thus reification aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is implied as of human ontological-performance potentiative-aspiration for singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. Ultimately, it is the reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that reflects intemporal value reference, and not the \<(amplituding)formative\> wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Consider in this regard, the peregrinations of say a Descartes or Rousseau wherein in many ways they will fail to fulfil the mundane medieval world conception of ‘the supposedly good life’ as of its \( <(amplituding)formative> \) epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as they reify meaningfulness-and-teleology by their peregrinations to construe of the structural/paradigmatic underdevelopment/unenlightenment of their society as in need of prospective positivistic reflection of the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-\(<\)mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of non-positivism/medievalism as of their ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism reified insight. The insight here about reification is that all their intemporal value references are rather as subsumed in their ‘positivistic reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with the corresponding implications of human ‘prospective positivistic transcendence-and-sublimity ontological-performance’ as aetiology/ontological-escalation, and so over non-positivism/medievalism vices-and-impediments. By that token they are effectively of the most intellectually-and-morally inclined persons of their society. Contrastively, the temporal value reference as of non-positivism/medievalism \( <(amplituding)formative> \) wooden-language-\(<\)imbued—averaging-of-thought-\(<\)as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications-\> mental-dispositions of persons like ‘honourable aristocrats’ simply reified to the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism registry-
worldview/dimension with its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought vices-and-impediments, while favourably looked upon as of non-positivism/medievalism society <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag from a prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism insight points to such a prior registry-worldview/dimension denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology, and implying effectively that they are of lesser intellectual-and-moral dialogical-equivalence. This further explains why vague classification schemes of value like good-naturedness, kindness, honesty, etc. have no inherent meaning as of themselves, as all the meaningfulness-and-teleology that there is and can exist is ontological as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, such that any such implied meaning is only ontologically intelligible with its reification as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as so implied from singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as the reflection of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. This points out that as of its very own <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is not the ontologically-veridical point of conceptualisation of intemporal value reference, which is rather as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as we can appreciate with regards to all prior institutionalisations but will certainly be complexified/inhibited to construe the same as of our positivism–procrypticism as from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempts—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-completeness perspective. The fact is no registry-worldview/dimension
as of its temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology   \
\langle (amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
\langle nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-aperiodising-implications>  

instigated prospective transcendence, is construed as ‘putting-into-question its existentially  
invested conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, which is rather a contradiction of  
sorts given human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-  
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. Rather besides cultural-diffusion pressures, all  
human transcendence as of internal processes are rather as of re-originary—as—  
enenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-  
thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of—  
notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuations dynamic metaphoricity instigation in  
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reifying gestures as of  
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of—  
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, which by this  
token is rather concerned with the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—\langle in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> denaturing of the prior institutionalisation  
reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at its  
uninstitutionalised-threshold in ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-with/falling-
short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’.  
However, this ‘ontologically-veridical reification of value reference as of prospective  
relative-ontological-completeness’ and the ‘ontologically-flawed dereification of value  
reference as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness’ is associated with a fundamental

paradox/confusion with regards to sound human intellection at destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-
performance . As this reification/dereification of meaningfulness-and-telelogy
paradox/confusion has always provided the room for intellectual-and-moral charlatanism
throughout human history as of lack of universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-
entailing,-as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness). With such charlatanism certainly knowing better but opting for
denaturing conceptions of value reference as of <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language–
(imbued—averaging-of-thought–<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) advancement of temporal interests in stifling the
possibility of prospective human intellectual-and-moral emancipation. The idea of
ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity raised herein by this author is a reflection of the reality
that knowledge as organic-knowledge is existentially all-committal by the mere fact of
human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, with the possibility of denaturing as of social-stake-
contention-or-confliction, and particularly so in spurious and blurry domains of study not
readily/easily constraint to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reflection of
existential-contextualising-contiguity. This brings up the implication of what is truly
transcendental knowledge by its nature as of knowledge-notionalisation and organic-
knowledge. Transcendental knowledge is actually institutionalising and re-institutionalising,
implying it supersedes institutional practices and constructs as to the possibility for
prospective institutionalisation, and so as of its dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
inducing institutional secondnaturering. It is rather not out of the question that knowledge so-
construed as of prospective transcendence implications put-into-question as ‘charlatanic’
institutions and their practices construed as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought specifically as extra-intellectual and pedantic orientations that
undermine the advancement of their supposed prospective intellectual and emancipatory
vocations. Interestingly, we can garner that positivistic knowledge arose and was cultivated
as of ‘its very own apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
disposition/care–and–episteme conception of knowledge’ that superseded and didn’t
recognise-and-submit to scholastic pedantry for its validation, as it construed that the latter
wasn’t meant/structured/paradigmed to uphold and perpetuate positivism implied
transcendental knowledge as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-
of-thought; and in due course, by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
constraining it cross-generationally overrode scholastic pedantry. It is herein contended that it
isn’t out of the question that a creeping and slumbering institutional-being-and-craft
intellectual tedium today increasingly fails to elicit the full re-originary–as-
unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–‘projective-insights’/’epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-
notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) potential for prospective intellectual
emancipation, and so rather as of structural institutionally-induced and societally-induced
anti-intellectualism implications. The question can further be asked whether transcendental
implied knowledge can actually be construed as the subject of ‘understanding’ of prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with the latter’s
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, given the psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification implications of transcendental knowledge. Is transcendental knowledge as of that token rather more a metaphoricity constraint as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework for the possibility of prospective transcendence as more than just about abstract intellection but extending intellectualism to supersede the existential-investment implications that underlie excogitative-blanking to such prospectively implied ‘understanding’ as of transcendental knowledge. From the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought naïve non-transcendental <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, it may be thought/reasoned that a transcendentally projecting intemporal mental-disposition is rather uncanny about the ‘existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought malignity reality of existence’ construed as pragmatic living, but this rather confirms the ‘dereifying irresponsibility’ of such temporal thought/reasoning mental-dispositions ‘caught up mainly in their 60-to-100 years of existence reality of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The intemporal ‘reifying choice-and-adherence’ to the ‘reified assumed-responsibility’ of aetiologgisation/ontological-escalation is ever always a reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that by definition is not in a ‘reasoning with’ relation with reasoning-from-results/afterthought deficient prior institutionalising; and certainly explaining why uninstitutionalised-thresholds transcending has ever always been conflicted as to the necessary reality of imposing the ‘superior party’ that is as of the full-potency existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality over the denaturing mortals that we are for our prospective emancipation. Without an insight about reification and dereification, the notion of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as it reflects ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology is easily misconstrued since denaturing of meaning in
dereification will be teleologically-elevated and meaning produced as of reification will be
teleologically-degraded; as so blatantly obvious particularly with the dereification
manifestation of childhood psychopathy postlogism-slantedness but then takes on a wholly
cover nature as of adulthood psychopathy and social psychopathy dynamics. In this regard,
divergent as of temporal-to-intemporal dynamics of human ontological-performance of
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology
reflecting dereified and reified construals of existential-contextualising-contiguity is to be
expected, and assessable on the basis of a commonly expected
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, which then
speaks of a dialogical-equivalence of both temporal mental-dispositions and the intemporal
mental-disposition with no dereification and reification contrast. However, compounding this
situation making relevant the need to contrast reification and dereification and imply moral-
and-intellectual inequivalence together with dialogical inequivalence, and so between
temporal mental-dispositions and intemporal mental-disposition, is specifically the flawed
ontological-performance manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy which is
‘structurally/paradigmatically associated with the denaturing of the
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, and arises so
fundamentally with regards to the
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which is the
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag backdrop for existential-instantiations
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology;
with the fundamental implication that there are thus divergent
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments as of

But then again, the reality of theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-con structs as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness will point out that such ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism is in reality preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness. This insight equally applies at the reference-of-thought-level, for instance, with regards to the fact that our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension doesn’t recognise-nor-register any such notion as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought that speaks of our prospective preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism at our prospective positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so as reflected from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness. Interestingly, it should be noted here that with such phenomenon as psychopathy and social psychopathy that
is ‘structurally/paradigmatically associated with the denaturing of the
\textit{(amplituding)formative\textendash{devolved
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as of our
positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold (just as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism social-setup is ‘structurally/paradigmatically associated with the
denaturing of the \textit{(amplituding)formative\textendash{devolved
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as of their
positivism–procrypticism, in articulating ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-
totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism from this
projected ‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’
as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought perspective
or attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme over our positivism–procrypticism, so-
 implied as of their disseminative-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—contrastive-
reification-dissemination-and-dereification-dissemination-implications. But then just as the
reflex mental state and attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in a universalisation–
non-positivism/medievalism social-setup will be resistant to an elucidation of notions-and-
accusations-of-sorcery adopting the perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–
episteme of the reifying prospective positivism to arrive at ontological-veridicality, likewise
more fundamental in undermining the elucidation of the manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy is the fact of an ordinariness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignoreable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) reflex mental state and attitude/mental-
disposition/care—and—episteme in our positivism—procrpticism that will be resistant to
adopting the reifying perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of futural
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
deprocrpticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought to arrive at
ontological-veridicality that rather implies the dialectical-dementation of our positivism—
procrpticism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold; and as we falsely go on to construe
existential-contextualising-contiguity—in-reification/dereification by adopting the positivism—
procrpticism dereifying perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme in its
prior relative-ontological-incompleteness in an exercise of ontologically-flawed identitive-
constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-
determinism. Further and insightfully again, with the manifestation of childhood psychopathy
where the postlogism-slantedness is universally transparent there is no occurrence of
interlocutors cognisant-and-integrative apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity—in-reification/dereification as of the childhood
slantedness, but with respect to adult psychopathy with the attendant maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness, such interlocutors cognisant-and-integrative apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity—in-reification/dereification arise as of their
temporal threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, which implies an invested social commitment as of thought and association that is then inclined to overlook inherent ontological-veridicality, as of interlocutors postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances leading to the dynamics of social psychopathy, and this logic also explains how and why notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are endemised/enculturated in a non-positivism social-setup; with the insight as articulated by this author that more critically manifestations of postlogism-slantedness across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions are rather revelatory of the fundamental prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, with transcendental implications that goes well beyond the ad-hoc conception of manifestations of postlogism-slantedness but more broadly conceive as of the destructuring/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications arising from underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought with regards to human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underdevelopment issues. This underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought \((amplituding)\)formative\-epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications, -for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of analysis, as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’, highlights that
human mental-disposition as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor operates in its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag on the
‘ontologically-flawed basis of a rather <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
absolutised/unchanging/given reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-
and-teleology’; thus underlying a ‘human psychology of passivity to the underlying
metaphoricity of human limited-mentation-capacity as of human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’. The question can
then be asked with regards to the capacity of such a positivism–procrypticism self-
consciousness psychology to attend to living-development–as-to-personality-development,
institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development,
Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrasture-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underdevelopment issues/problems directly
related to the lack of ‘futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocrypticism self-consciousness psychology that recognises-and-registers the
prospective metaphoricity need as of human limited-mentation-capacity due to human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,−for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ has always called upon a certain apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument development of the human subject itself as enabling-and-making-available the capacity for that human subject to tackle the prospective issues of its world. In this regard, the question could be asked: what is the capacity of the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mindset to tackle prospective issues warranting a positivism self-consciousness psychology, and by extension what is the capacity of our positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset to tackle prospective issues warranting a deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought self-consciousness psychology? The ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ involves prospective reference-of-thought dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,−in-overcoming-'notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human

metaphoricticity impetus in dispensing–with-immediacy–for-relative-ontological-completeness–by-reification/contemplative-distension as of existence-potency–prospective-digression–of—<((amplituding)formative)epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness, with base-institutionalisation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation from base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism from universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocrypticism from positivism–procrypticism as reflecting the overall notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness as the ‘ontologically-veridical point-of-focus-as-consciousness prospective exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’. Insightfully, this author further addresses the common criticism of postmodern-thought with regards to virtue, as of postmodern implied human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-
singularisation. Structurally/paradigmatically a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-
of-thought points fundamentally to its ‘underlying reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’

with regards to the latter’s ‘temporality–as-shortness–of-register–of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology to intemporality-as-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performances’ as of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>. Such that it is fundamentally the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought that becomes the ‘lack-of-virtue or vice issue’, beyond just any associated incidental existential problems, as requiring aetiology/ontological-escalation as of the need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought to address the myriad <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag existential possibilities of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s vices-and-impediments as fundamentally bound to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought ‘underlying reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’;
and so beyond just <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and ad-hoc palliative resolutions.
Consider in this regard the temporal ontological-performance as of say a postlogism-slantedness or any other temporal or derived-temporal mental-disposition associated with vicious accusations-of-sorcery for instance in a non-positivistic as animistic or medieval social-setup. The fact that even an intemporally-inclined mental-disposition in that social-setup has an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification that is ‘mutually cognisant-and-integrative beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
extistential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’ with notions-and-accusations-of-
witchcraft itself as of their ‘underlying reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’, that fundamentally undermines such endemisation; and hence it is not by accident that our present positivism registry-worldview/dimension is devoid of such issues since it paradigmatically/structurally undermines temporal-to-intemporal cognisance and integrativeness of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as of the positivism ‘underlying reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’, construed as ‘transcendental human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation that reflects ‘modern suprastructuralism’; just as a ‘postmodern suprastructuralism’ reflects deprocrypticism as of its preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought over our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. This insight about the need for prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> underlies a postmodern understanding, as it is herein contended, that it is by the exercise of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as of the need for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so over our positivism—procrypticism temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions ‘mutual cognisance and integrativeness of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’, that we provide the ontologically-veridical aetiologisation or ontological-esclation resolving the vices-and-impediments of our ‘so-prospectively deprocrypticism-construed’ procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its underlying <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so beyond just our ad-hoc palliative construals of virtue. Basically when post-structuralists speak of ‘the other’ this translates into aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of ‘universal projection implications attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme event-or-operant implications to all and sundry’ as implied in the above analysis, as postmodern-thought portends to be non-ideology-driven, non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant. This insight is also very much conscious of the ontologically-flawed misconstrual of ‘the other’ that pervades human <amplituding>formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} mental-dispositions as of ‘mutual temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology eliciting’ construed as ‘intemporal temporality’. Such tendencies are hardly of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as their emphasis lies in existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought, rather than nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in enabling Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘universal projection implications attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme event-or-operant implications to all and sundry’; such that fundamentally, such

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
tendencies do not address structurally/paradigmatically defining issues of a registry-worldview/dimension as of its vices-and-impediments like the comprehensive implications of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought/procrypticism with regards to our positivism—procryticism or say the comprehensive implications of non-positivism in a medieval or animistic social-setup. Prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema> thus effectively implies

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ontological-contiguity palliative virtue constructs as of variance of the very same reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology,

\[
\text{\textless (amplituding)formative\textgreater\textasciitilde epistemic-totalising\textasciitilde self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}
\]

virtue conception is caught up within such a registry-worldview/dimension internal social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology existentialising—enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks as of the given reference-of-thought, with these elements in need for prospective transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought but paradoxically now defining the conception of virtue. The fact is our pretences and arguments of practice, as not critically pinned down to their ontological-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, can similarly be meted with pretences and arguments of practice as of each and every registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought practices, and thus conceptualising virtue by

\[
\text{\textless (amplituding)formative\textgreater\textasciitilde epistemic-totalising\textasciitilde self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag while circumventing as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology\textasciitilde in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}
\]

the vices-and-impediments of each registry-worldview/dimension in want of its ‘pure-ontology’ virtue resolution as of aetiology/ontological-escalation. In this regard such palliative virtue constructs overlooking fundamental underlying structural/paradigmatic ontological implications about our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–
episteme’ reflected by the ‘postmodern deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology existentialising—enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks, are no different to say ‘non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ overlooking its own social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology existentialising—enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks as reflected from ‘positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’. However, approbating we may be predisposed to such palliative virtue constructs as of lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, the fact is these are not really the underlying drivers for virtue transcendence and are peripheral to more ontologically profound theorised-or-untheorised emancipatory events driving virtue transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, notwithstanding our state of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. The fact is from an ontological standpoint, we inherently are no more virtuously exceptional even with regards to the earliest of humans, and so as of the very same species potency, and thus we can’t ascribed inherent virtuous superiority by the mere token of our own practice. Rather the exceptionality behind human virtuous potential lies ontologically with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated

prospective transcendence-and-sublimity, more like could the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, etc. call upon the very same non-positivism/medievalism in need for prospective positivism transcendence to underwrite the subversion of its entrenched non-positivism/medievalism internal social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology existentialising—enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks; and, hence the ontologically-veridical paradox of the very structuring/paradigming implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\langle(amplituding)\text{formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\rangle\text{renders any registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought ever deficient as of its need for psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology.}

Ultimately, anti-constructivism and anti-relativism criticisms of postmodern-thought come down to our ‘modern positivism/rational-empiricism ontologically-flawed as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ constitutedness construal of categorising/taxonomising schemes that pervades the ‘modern categorising mental-disposition’ as of our occlusive-consciousness neuterising, as we fail to grasp the implication of an implied apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that is naively superseding the true apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument nature of existential reality as the absolute a priori’; such that the meaningfulness-and-teleology that arises is a relatively virtual-or-ontologically-flawed-construal. On the contrary it is conflatedness that ensures that our apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument syncs with the true apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument nature of existential reality as the absolute a priori, and so as of an ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence posture which rather ‘turns the idea of analysing and conceptualising on its head’ into one of ‘grasping human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications as of the underlying psychoanalytic-unshackling’ for human-subpotency construal of the full-potency that is existence. This insight about the complete relationship between developing human-subpotency and its potential to fully grasp the full-potency of existence, fundamentally underlies the protensive-consciousness referentialism of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism. However, it is equally critical to grasp the double-gesture reification implied in such a postmodern-as-suprastructural conception of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation. Such a postmodern suprastructuralism double-gesture reification holds that knowledge involving virtue-as-ontology is truly organic-knowledge as of its appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme with respect to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction; with the adherence to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of such organic-knowledge construed in intemporality as supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism, whereas mechanical-knowledge is rather predispose to adhere as of temporal threshold–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation– preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism to such mere reference–of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. The latter points to an inappropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme which is not beholden to the prospective institutionalisation but rather is of existential-extrication-as-
of-existential-unthought relation with it. More concretely, consider the practice of serfdom in Europe, or the annihilation of many Native American tribes and slavery and slave trade in the new world, while at the same time in a registry-worldview/dimension transitioning from the non-positivism/medievalism to the positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview with this contrastive mechanical-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme and organic-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. While the full implications of a positivism/rational-empiricism organic-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme will imply an end to such practices as of universal human rights, ‘economic-opportunistic-and-then-enculturated tenants’ of such blatant moral supremacy and thus racial supremacy distorted the implications of the technical and social organisation advancement brought about from budding positivism/rational-empiricism to reconceptualise by their specific interests meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the prior non-positivism/medievalism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus justify their nefarious practices; speaking of mechanical-knowledge in positivism/rational-empiricism. Whereas progressive organic-knowledge tenants construed positivism/rational-empiricism as an openness to the potential of all societies and peoples to rather arrive at the higher possibility of positivism/rational-empiricism virtue, and so as of a human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation posture that allows for universal human emancipation as expressed by the Quakers movement, Rousseaux, Diderots, etc. Incidentally, the positivism/rational-empiricism mechanical-knowledge contenders as of the economic-opportunism-and-then-enculturation of their nefarious practices, were very much countervailing the practice and trend within their own societies of origin undergoing-positivism/rational-empiricism-transformation and the underlying dual-language/split-
mentality unscrupulousness was given away as of the ‘out-of-sight demeanour’ in their main societies, rather than being fully assumed as marking positivism/rational-empiricism progress. The occasional development of enlightenment and positivism/rational-empiricism by its technical and social organisation transformation implications wasn’t the opportunity for such societies to turn around and then dehumanise other societies and humanities that haven’t done likewise, but rather as of organic-knowledge called for a double-gesture reification in recognising that such positivism/rational-empiricism implications are about all of humanity, just as implied in preceding human cultural emancipations. Suprastructuralism or postmodernism double-gesturing of virtue doesn’t function on the naïve basis of ‘merely construing relative implied levels of virtue development and making relative conclusions’ but rather orientate meaningfulness-and-teleology to the more profound perspective of all of humanity’s potential as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and then reconstrue the possibility of all of humanity-as-of-societies to ultimately fulfil it virtuous potential; and this is the optimum and emancipatory virtue disposition for all humankind and human societies. It adopts this orientation because it always put into question the idea of ‘grounding meaningfulness-and-teleology as of any specific human society relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as fundamentally denaturing, and likely to induce transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing dehumanising of some cultures and societies by others’; as it recognises, however tepid, that all societies and humans are curious, predisposed to their emancipation and achieving optimum existential possibilities, and can uphold universal values, and so as of universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness). Ultimately, such a double-gesturing hold out the possibility in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as pertinent for all humankind, whether as of internal social-progress, cultural diffusion or cultural-reappropriations. This practically translates, say considering an instance of a given traditional practice that is abhorrent to modern positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme, by implying from a postmodern perspective that emancipation truly arises when the humans come to assume as well by themselves a universal positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in transforming their society. We can appreciate that supposed a space civilisation come to earth, implying for instance in a position of strength that we are too violent, disorganise, etc. and thus morally inferior, and that our best interests was just to take our cue from them. Here as well, the postmodern double-gesture reification of virtue will project that we do have the potential for further development, and that to be ourselves we cannot be utterly alienated from ourselves like robots in our relationship with them, and that our curiosity and openness will correspondingly bring about our functional moral equivalence with universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,as-to-entailing–⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness). Further arguing that if they are truly more advanced than us, then that advancement is necessarily about a greater aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposing-constructivism-towards-singularisation that will necessarily subscribe to recognising ‘the other’ that we are to them; as insightfully, grander aetiologisation/ontological-escalation come with relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme. Claims of such grander aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as implying dehumanising interpretations are ontologically-flawed as such claims are rather surreptitiously based on prior registry-
worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology–for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as teleological-degradations-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>. In other words, the organic-knowledge in its true appreciation of ‘the other’ as of aetiologisation or ontological escalation implies a ‘universal projection implications attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme event-or-operant to all and sundry’. Finally, the naivety when facing such anti-constructivism and anti-relativism arguments is to think that these are always about fair and objective intellectual disagreements; but then the history of many such criticisms has revealed its underlying perfidy; as to when for instance, supposed critiques of postmodern relativism make mention of the anti-relativism stances of many a creed like Christianity (which are necessarily absolutist as to their doctrinal practices) thus decontextualising and equating the framework of secular intellectual discourse with that of a creed, something which even such creeds do not do given the mortal framework of human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (as to when even the Christian Jesus refers to giving to Caesar what belongs to Caesar and to the Christian God what belongs to God as of a necessary relativistic stance with respect to human mortality which requires constructiveness and this stance is further reflected with interfaith dialogue which will be absolutely impossible if creeds were to engage each other on the absolute basis of their doctrinal practices), and furthermore much of the criticisms levied against postmodern relativism is ‘forged criticism’ in the sense that the critiques make their own flimsy interpretations of postmodern-thought and then go on to criticise the flawed interpretation for instance the idea that pastiche art or the fact that Las Vegas Strip as-copying-other-notable-places-architectures are necessarily inauthentic and flawed is not necessarily a postmodern criticism as
authenticity and veracity is more fundamentally about the re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’—of-notional—deprocripticism-prospective-sublimation) creative insight and appreciation of any pastiche work or of such a Las Vegas Strip replication of other notable places. With regards to all these ‘forged criticisms’ the underlying falsehood is rather geared to elicit a non-intellectual emotional response than true knowledge-reification insight. Further, as of organic-knowledge and knowledge-notionalisation, this author holds that it is naïve to conceptualise of human knowledge mainly as of pure erudition warranting mainly sound arguments, proofs and convincing demonstrations, and that the reality all along ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ shows that there has always been beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>‘institutional investment’ that is not always just of eruditic ideal, inclined to undermined prospective knowledge as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-constructs-and-reference-of-thought, and that true knowledge especially as it portends to transcendence cannot be conceptualised losing sight of this fact. The blunt fact is that postmodern-thought has shown itself to be more useful and applicable across the humanities with a massive potential for furthering human emancipation, however the tentativeness of many of its bold ideas, and so much more than the vagaries peddled by many such critiques surreptitious anti-intellectual media-driven waylaying who on the contrary seem to construe
projection/re-anticipation’ about ‘the very same physics<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ which was then validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and so divulged by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness; as prior human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness experience wouldn’t have thought about space-time, considered the ether as unreal, considered that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale, etc. In other words, there wasn’t any prior ‘logocentric transcendental-signifier’ as of the prior classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs construed as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enabling the obtention of any such conclusions from the given classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs constitutedness, but rather it is by conflatedness with regards to ‘the very same physics<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ that the prospective theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs was construed as of non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalecy/postconvergence>. Interestingly, as of the underlying phenomenology-driven ontology, it is rather more pertinent with respect to transcendence-and-sublimity to grasp that such ultimate decidability is construed as of human intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation mental-disposition in ‘a tendential-deliberation-of-decidability as enabled by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework tendential validation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. Such a construal of human transcendence-and-sublimity will cover the seminal contributions prior and after the defining-threshold epistemic-
break/epistemic-resetting of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs by Einstein and Bohr. Such an ontological-basis for construing sublimation overrides our neuterising laden modern convention ways of judging breakthroughs overemphasising singular initiative, as it is rather grounded more soundly on an abstract notion of ‘intemporal-as-ontological individuation’ as the basis of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\langle(amplituding)formative\rangle\text{-}epistemic-totalisingly,\text{-}as\text{-}to\text{-}existence—\text{-}as\text{-}sublimating\text{-}withdrawal,\text{-}eliciting\text{-}of\text{-}prospective\text{-}supererogation\rangle analysis; and insightfully, as reflected in the underlying conflatedness of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay, sublimation is achieved rather out of the notional obviating of human temporal-as-non-ontological neuterising as of deneuterising—referentialism and with correspondent intemporal-as-ontological rearticulation/reconstrual of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of dynamics of insight of shallow-to-deeper human limited-mentation-capacity implications, and so as of protensive-consciousness of notional–deprocrypticism perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Similarly, this author’s articulation of futural-différance as of transcendence-and-sublimity is necessarily construed ontologically as of a rearticulated protractedness as futural différance that coincides-and-is-contiguous with a prior Derridean différance as of quasi-transcendence and evasiveness of sublimation. In both cases, this highlights that ‘decidability is not instantaneous as of inherent spontaneous identification and occurrence of decisional act’ but that decidability in enabling transcendence-and-sublimity is as of an ‘overall différance tendential-deliberation-of-decidability’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\langle(amplituding)formative\rangle\text{-}epistemic-totalisingly,\text{-}as\text{-}to\text{-}existence—\text{-}as\text{-}sublimating\text{-}withdrawal,\text{-}eliciting\text{-}of\text{-}prospective\text{-}supererogation\rangle process. Thus sublimation is equally reflected in the deliberateness involved in cultivating artistic, educational, technical or research capabilities/skill in the final outcomes derived forthwith, as of the quality imbued on
human limited-mentation-capacity to deepen itself; and this translates into human contemplation of the existential-possibilities attainable by its human-subpotency. Tendential-deliberation-of-decidability is thus the central ontological insight attached to différance as ‘a continguously theoretical and operant phenomenological construct involving necessarily the deliberateness as of Derridean freeplay différance, as a putting into question exercise, and subject to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation before attaining defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity’; and différance as of such ‘existential-reality concreteness dynamics’ is scientific and utterly dissimilar from a speculative idealisation exercise à la Hegelian dialectics and well beyond the latter’s conceptual patterning. Ultimately, such tendential-deliberation-of-decidability for attaining defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity, arises from more than just a blatant/flatminded notion of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\)epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) or say the vague social convention idea of talent, it is more critically beyond and about a question of human mental-disposition with respect to the prescience of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\(\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\)epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness so-implied as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. This is the very meaning of organic-knowledge beyond the conception of mechanical-knowledge as-knowledge-as-a-mere-thing-to-be-acted-upon-for-given-outcomes. Organic-knowledge as such implies priorly a supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism deference to the prescence of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\(\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\)epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness over any human-as-mortal framing of meaningfulness-and-teleology including oneself-as-human-as-mortal, as it is human mortality-as-temporality
that is rather what is in need for further Being and consciousness development. Thus the paradigm of sublimation for a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought, as reflected in the Derridean social ethics stance, is rather one for the ‘subsumptive inventing’ of the prospective ontological possibilities of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over human normativity/conventioning as of the latter’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and so by maximalising-recomposuring<br
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as of organic-knowledge. A nonextricatory existential paradigm of sublimation implying that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism–procrypticism, are successively-wanting of prospective defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity going by their successively-given mechanical-knowledge in temporality-as-of-neuterisation(relative-ontological-incompleteness/existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. In other words, an intemporal-as-ontological mental-disposition projecting of the organic-knowledge as of prospective registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought in prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought can’t sidestep such implied prospective defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity, and undertake existence as of the prior registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, even if it such a mental-disposition could lead to such an outcome as in H.G. Well’s country of the blind or Galileo say with the medieval Establishment; despite the fact that the possibilities of such outcomes arise out of establishment Charlatanism, which knows better, but exploits lack of ‘social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-

\[(amplituding)\text{formative}\]\text{epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness)’. But then it is actually a sign of ‘propounded theoretical health and pertinence’ when all such
Establishment charlatanism comes to dodge such substantive-and-frontal articulation of prospective knowledge, and in lieu come up with worn out refrains and sidestepping manoeuvres avowing their true ‘intellectual blankness’ grounded on institutional-being-and-craft; as we know that in all genuinely inclined intellectual pursuits the very central tenet has always been about theoretical disputative engagement and not acts of escapism and downgrading of intellectual arguments as of ‘solo media exploits of intellectual popularity’. Thus by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought as futural diffsérence, accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-diffsérence-freeplay comes into terms with both presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> on the basis of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness/ontological-contiguity of the latter over the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of the former as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to—‘human<amputating>formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’. Thus what is being correctly implied is not ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising but rather difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising between presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. Such an insight is enabled as of the fundamental awareness that human knowledge construction fundamentally involves two different exercises; with the first factoring in that at the fundamental level of knowledge construction humankind has a limited-mentation-capacity that needs to be developed as a ‘developed consciousness perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness/notional-contiguity’ construed as its
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to then be able at an operative level to articulate sound-or-authentic meaningfulness-and-teleology grounded on such a developed consciousness perspective/framing/reference/horizon. This explains why it is impossible for a ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of trepidatious-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ to grasp base-institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘base-institutionalisation mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of warped-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; for a ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ to grasp universalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘universalisation mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of preclusive-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; for a ‘universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ to grasp positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘positivistic mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of occlusive-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; and prospectively for a ‘positivism–procrypticism mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ to grasp deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-meaningfulness without first developing a ‘deprocrypticism mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of protensive-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’. As we can get that the fundamental stake for the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, etc. during the
Enlightenment wasn’t just about the specific positivistic knowledge they articulated or else they would have been satisfied with just their personal curiosity and enlightenment and leave it at that, but rather they surreptitiously undermined many of the prevailing social norms and rules in trying to expound their knowledge and vision, and more critically so because they knew it is the ‘formation of a positivistic social consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ that would enable the anchoring of all such prospective positivistic knowledge, and this sense of things fully underscored such a more comprehensively directed project-and-purpose undertaken later by the Encyclopédistes; with the underlying insight that while a social state of generalised prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is enabling to surreptitious Establishment charlatanism, however with increasing ‘social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)’ such charlatanism is exposed for what it really is, explaining the panickiness and falsehood associated with such charlatanism as with the reactionaries to the Encyclopédistes project, as if the articulation of knowledge by itself was a threat rather than subject to disputation! Underlying as the non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical and conceptual possibility for such futural différance consciousness development is the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics which by pointing out an epistemic-break as of difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising/ontological-discontinuity, underscore at once ‘both as affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument-validating-measuring-<(postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism)> of the consciousness in ontological-contiguity/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and as unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
logicising/unsuitable measuring instrument invalidating measuring <\preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism> of the consciousness of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity <\mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema>/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and not incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness, as of the very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’”. As futural différend is enabled, unlike the case with the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différend’, as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality involving human mental-disposition successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reprojection-or-reanticipation capacity inducing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}; overriding the idea that the perspective/framing/reference/horizon of contemplation is absolutely given-and-determined as of the implication that all meaningfulness-and-teleology should be as of ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising, but rather reconceptualising the possibility of difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought bringing about transcendence-and-sublimity as of non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. Thus such a phenomenology associated with accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différence-freeplay further divulges, unlike the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différend’, the full possibility of human sublimation. Consider in this regard the decisive transitions-as-sublimitys that occurred in physics: with ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the theory-of-
relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs; wherein the successive axiomatic-constructs in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness and prospective relative-ontological-completeness, with regards to ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as of ‘the very same physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological- veridicality/existential-reality’ are not as of a ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising but rather a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising; with human-subpotency aligning towards the full potency of existence which thus divulges the possibility of human sublimation as of the physics science implications today. It is interesting to note that the difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising bringing about the successive physics axiomatic-constructs/theories are successive ‘epistemic-breaks’ from prior reasoning and are akin to ‘leaps of faith’ which then ‘establish new reasoning’ that then becomes the internal ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising of the new physics as the new presencing; brought about from the transcendence of non-presencing<-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. In other words, human consciousness tends to be constraint to its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and thus assumes a ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising mental-disposition as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. But existence/ontology’s-directedness-as-Being as of non-presencing<-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> is beyond and not constraint by human consciousness as of its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and thus hints-at the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality possibilities of transcendence-and-sublimity as of ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework validation that is at the very center of the ‘promise of correspondence between human-subpotency as of Being-and-consciousness development and existence as of ontological-veridicality’, and so despite the complexifying/inhibiting metaphysics-of-presence of any given (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag from a ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising posture; such that humankind then overlooks presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and re-projects/re-anticipates non-presencing<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> enabling human transcendence-and-sublimity. Therefore, metaphoricity as highlighted herein is actually construed as of ‘its natural ontology implications’, and this natural ontological notion of metaphoricity is construed herein as superseding-and-englobing all other differentiated adjunctive significations including conventional figures-of-speech. Metaphoricity as such simply refers to signification adjunctiveness to ‘underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of both the meaningfulness-and-teleology implications to the so-renewed ‘underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and the specific adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification within such renewed ‘underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. Metaphoricity is very much a mirroring of existential ‘syncretising-effecting’ going by the latter’s existential implications on ‘human underlying self-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology as an epistemic-totalising/circular construal’. This ‘epistemic-totalisation/circularity epistemic-breaking’ of self-referencing associated existentially with syncretising-effecting as mirrored
in metaphoricity arises because of human limited-mentation-capacity, and is a reflection of the circular deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of growing certitude from the opening up of non-presencing-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence by human re-projection/re-anticipation ultimately validated by existence/ontology’s-directedness-as-Being ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Further, metaphoricality as such speaks of the evasiveness of all human meaningfulness-and-teleology at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrently pointed out herein as of token threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism possibilities relation to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity implications. The implications of this reality as of metaphoricality explains why epistemes are fundamentally and necessarily constricted as of their specific registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought; as ultimately epistemes are as relevant as the ontological-possibilities divulgeable by presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, such that in the case of the latter there is no prior insight about the veracity of any episteme before it is divulged with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Consider in this regard Galileo’s implying positivistic episteme metaphoricality over a medieval Establishment scholasticism-and-mysticism episteme as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as the necessary backdrop for the knowledge he articulates and all subsequent positivistic knowledge. In many ways, this author as of
organic-knowledge is very much aware of the ‘drawback implications’ of our positivism–
procrypticism episteme as of its constitutedness with respect to futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism
psychoanalytic-unshackling organic-knowledge, as of the full articulation of accreting-
substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay with respect to our
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation and futural
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
deprocrypticism institutionalisation implications representation, and so beyond just our
natural inclination for <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akraitsic-drag. Galileo could well had possibly recasted
his implied positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology in scholasticism-mysticism terms, just
as Copernicus work was held back priorly in limbo, but then the implications as he perceived
would have been a degradation and lost of the essence of what he was doing, and so more
than just the specific scientific knowledge but more critically it warranted a psychoanalytic-
unshackling into the non-presencing–or–withdrawal–or–metaphysics-of-absence–or–
transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination
perspective/framing/reference/horizon of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology we
entertain today. Likewise, as of such metaphoricity episteme, the meaningfulness-and-
teleology herein implied as of its essence cannot do without this hermeneutic circle
phenomenological ontology elucidation as of its psychoanalytic-unshackling conflatedness;
and the ideal backdrop for this lies in a further developed postmodern-thought
phenomenological-depth of construction, as implied herein by this author as of accreting-
substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay. This author conceives that at the
very core to such genuine understanding of postmodern-thought is a double-gesture reification that consists of perspective/framing/reference/horizon and then contention/argumentation within such articulated perspective/framing/reference/horizon, as so implied by postmodern-thought together with other kindred though less dramatic textuality-thinkers like Gadamer and Habermas; as of the need to adopt/instigate the appropriate mindset for knowledge appraisal given the fundamental distorting effect, beyond just perception, of human limited-mentation-capacity. This double-gesture reification reality for construing human knowledge amounts to a quasi-psychoanalytic-unshackling, as it reflects the fact that The-Given as of existentialism/thrownness/facticity is always an insufficiently/poorly developed perspective/framing/reference/horizon for direct instigation of contention/argumentation aspiring for profundity and completeness. Such that this double-gesture reification of the textuality-driven intellectuals involves their ‘special focus orientations’ profundity say like genealogy with Foucault, deconstruction with Derrida, etc., and this together with transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing complementarity and criticisms of all such ‘special focus orientations’, go on to conjointly-and-fruitfully define what is postmodern-thought. Postmodern-thought as such can be analogised with the anecdote of the blind men striving to determine what an elephant is, but with each one saying authentically what the find in front of them in developing the relevant specific imageries and overall imagery of what an elephant is. This in itself is a milestone in theorisation, and as an overall conception postmodern-thought, besides the ‘special focus orientations’ of the specific textuality-driven intellectuals, is primarily about ‘consistently taking a best shot’ at reality and is not inherently driven at its core by ideology but rather authenticity. As such it effectively achieves a more potent construal of the human condition and knowledge especially as it is ‘driven by such transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing cumulative authenticities that augment the possibilities of human limited-mentation-capacity’ thus going a long way to open up new and coherent thought possibilities as of its grander and overall conception and spirit. Interestingly, what is central about the ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critique of postmodern-thought is the lack-of-insight/feinting-lack-of-insight about all these underlying elements of postmodern-thought construction: as failing to grasp/recognise the implied double-gesture reification as of its transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications, and by not appreciating due to ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness the implications of perspective/framing/reference/horizon before contention/argumentation as of any given perspective/framing/reference/horizon, thus implying ‘poor critical judgment’. With such ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness further protracting into a poor grasp of postmodern theorists ‘special focus orientations’ with the tendency to engage postmodern-thought as of an uninsightful literal and shallowminded/banal/flimsy reading; and with the ultimate outcome that all such naive uninsightful literal and shallowminded/banal/flimsy readings are cumulated and summated as the entirety of the postmodern theoretical construct, and so on an apparently implied flawed logic that the discretion allowed for criticism doesn’t engage the intellectual credibility of the critique, a notion that is especially abused within a media background. Such ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness with respect to postmodern-thought fails to grasp that all subject-matter as of their inherently deferential-formalisation-transference as of institutional percolation-channelling are necessarily construed as of a double-gesture reification that supersedes the ordinariness/banality of day to day social existence analysis as of <$\langle$amplituding$>$formative$>$wooden-language-$\langle$imbued—averaging-of-thought-$\langle$as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-$\langle$nondescript/ignorable-void$\rangle$-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications$\rangle$), such that as of the history of such critiques it will be naive not to factor in the reality of
ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity and so particularly as it tends to shy away from genuine intellectual engagement with postmodern-thought, and highlighting that the idea of arrogance peddled about postmodernism strangely enough speaks of the ‘ignoble arrogance’ of such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critiques, as structurally/paradigmatically that which attributes value judgments is that which is knowledgeable-as-of-its-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism and not that which is ignorant-as-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Such that there is no dialogical-equivalence that then arises by the fact that the former is a nonextricatory/intemporal/ontological relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology while the latter is an existential-extrication/temporal/non-ontological relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology, in the sense that it is the former intemporal-as-ontological individuation mental-disposition that is responsible for bringing about human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process retrospectively and prospectively while the latter as of its false ‘untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality’ is rather existentially extricatory and oblivious to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. As ultimately, it is the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought pursued by the former that supersedes and dissolves human vices-and-impediments as of prospective registry-worldview/dimension transcendence reference-of-thought. The overall insight here of such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity can be construed analogically as say in a non-positivistic social-setup where the modern disease theory is not yet socially familiar
such that patients may assume that they should be cured immediately/instantly after treatment with no perspective/framing/reference/horizon of appreciation for judging medicine as optimally an over-a-time-period-bodily-reparation construed as the basis of a positivist physician practice; a notion being spread and advocated by the positivist physician in the social-setup. Now consider a competing healer very much aware of such a non-positivist social-setup ‘lack of social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing,-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩’ with regards to such over-a-time-period-bodily-reparation notion and throwing a spanner in the works by pretending that the physician should confirm that patients are cured immediately as otherwise the physician must be practising witchcraft on the patients, understanding fully well the authentic disposition of the physician to affirm a practice of over-a-time-period-of-bodily-reparation for a long term dependable notion of medicine. While they are pragmatically inclined to advanced opportunistically whatever explanation to justify that their healing is immediate/instant and so involving any such stratagem like opportunistically accusing patients or some other persons for any implied failure of immediate/instant cure having the effect on the most part of shutting-off any complain or at least negative allegations about the healer’s cure, and so-enabled on the basis of the healer priorly institutionalised deferential-formalisation-transference posture in the social-setup. Such a healer encouraging the social-setup notion of immediate/instant cure as a ploy as of the possibility of the positivistic disease theory conception subverting their own non-positivistic healing practice notwithstanding ontological-veracity. The manifest acts of many such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critiques with respect to postmodern-thought: whether when pretending to misunderstand postmodern double-gesture reification of meaningfulness, blatantly caricaturing in the most inane terms postmodern-thought, avoiding genuine intellectual-level disputation, and so rather opting for subversive
formative

wooden-language-

(imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-

leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)

‘uncritical social media preaching towards sold publics-of-conquest’ paradoxically while
claiming not to grasp postmodern-thought, with subterfuges of unoriginal thought usurping
the notion of science and intellectualism towards such uncritical publics; and all this as a
manifestation of perverted intellectual institutional-being-and-craft. While postmodern-
thought is not and has never been immune from genuine intellectual criticism not only from
other schools-of-thought but among postmodern and poststructuralist thinkers themselves,
and this calling out of such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critics is much more than an
issue about postmodern-thought but about all intellectualism generally as such malpractices
tend to mark the beginning of intellectual teleological-decadence—as-lacking-in-

(epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness

subversion of

progressive thinking and go on to permeate social practices and media practice, thus
rendering social and critical thought impotent. Further knowledge as understood by this
author is more than just the conception of its intemporal-as-ontological nature but knowledge
is much more completely and potently notional knowledge as it understands as well the
implications of temporal-as-non-ontological mental-dispositions dynamics in relation to pure-
ontology, and thus in the face of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity shouldn’t take the bait
of overlooking and thus falsely elevating teleologically as intellectually pertinent ontological-
bad-faith/inauthenticity rather than relating to it at its teleologically-degraded level for what it
truly is, and so as part and parcel of a complete conception of knowledge. Ultimately,
intellectual statuses are as pertinent as veridically enabling to human emancipation as of
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposing-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, and intellectuals’ choice of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity is nothing less than self-inflicting irreverence and cannot thus turn around to intimate irreverence when surreptitiously undermining knowledge of universal consequential implications. This author as of metaphysics-of-absence will summate that prior postmodern thinking is akin-and-pointing-to a proto-prospective reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought over a (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as prior reference-of-thought, and that necessarily it speaks by its double-gesture reification of quasi-psychoanalytic-unshackling thus requiring a psychoanalytic-reorientation to such an implied prospective reference-of-thought ‘as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought of a better knowledge perspective/reference-of-thought before/as-preceding contention/argumentative-engagement, and so avoiding ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness. The underlying current of postmodern-thought is that our limited-mentation-capacity induces our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness with regards to reference-of-thought and its derived meaningfulness-and-teleology, with the implication that we need to a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought to be able to articulate intemporal-as-ontological construal as of the internal-dialectics/différance of meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, all concepts, notions as of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, are made to have their internal-dialectics/différance as of non-presencing.<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> for their sublimation and transcendence into more profound and more complete meaningfulness-and-teleology. For instance the ‘postmodern take’ about science is rather a more profound and complete notion of science than the ‘modern take’, such that a ‘modern approach’ to the conception of science naively fails to factor in unlike the
‘postmodern approach’ the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity and the need to deepen it, thus translated into the prior need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness; wherein the ‘modern take’ might naively consider medicine as simply providing medications and remedies, the ‘postmodern take’ by an internal-dialectics/différance of the notion of medical science will factor in socioeconomic, education, information, environmental, gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery as a more profound and complete notion of medical science; construed effectively as of deprophabeticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Thus, for postmodern-thought the capacity to attain relative ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology comes down to the capacity of arriving at the very essence of meaningfulness-and-teleology while overcoming the drawback of our human limited-mentation-capacity. This insight about the essence of things is what underlies fundamentally Heideggerian-essencing-as-of-the-ontological-difference, Sartrean-existence-precedes-essence and Derridean-différance-as-there-is-nothing-outside-the-text, all construed by this author as of existential-contextualising-contiguity; is the enabling approach for human ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. Basically thus, the overall postmodern project implication is that we deepen our limited-mentation-capacity first (and so as of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation of our acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) to ensure that we go about deriving ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative-ontological-completeness. This is in reality the ultimate scientific insight as such an internal-dialectics/différance is articulated as of non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical,
conceptual and operant scientific implications; and this is reflected in the very initiation of
the postmodern paradigm with Heidegger’s criticism of Hegelian dialectics, with the latter
construed by this author as ‘not founded-on-and-constrained-by ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’, but rather
dialectical discretion, imagination and speculation ‘as to lack of a congruent,-cogent-and-
operant entailing framework of ontological-contiguity’ as herein implied by this author with
‘the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process congruent,-cogent-
and-operant entailing framework of ontological-contiguity’. Anecdotally, the
shallowmindedness of a ‘modern take’ in failing to recognise the postmodern double-gesture
reification will simply consider the blind men reporting of an elephant as a tree-trunk, a rope,
a wall, a fan or a spear as ‘postmodern madness’ without factoring in the underlying double-
gesture reification for perspective and insight, given the problematic of human limited-
mentation-capacity that itself needs to be factored in and thus actually strengthen the human
thought process in its aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. In the bigger scheme of things,
such an internal-dialectics/différance is what explains the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology and so-construed as suprastructuralism beyond just the specific interpretation
of suprastructuralism as of postmodernism with respect to modernism. This internal-
dialectics/différance as of successive transcendence-and-sublimity is behind the respective
registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their given reference-of-thought specific neuterising as
well as the ultimate deneuterising—referentialism of deprocrypticism. But then ontological-
bad-faith/inauthenticity is equally elicited by ‘lack of social universal-transparency-
(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness)’ as of a cynicism of institutional-being-and-
craft. The transcendental implications of a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ arises for instance in the sense that however ‘wishful’ the ontological-prime mover-totalitative-framework transcendental-possibilities/potential as of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue and human emancipation potential/possibilities of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension like positivism as of its ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, cannot avail to a prior registry-worldview/dimension like non-positivism/medievalism. In this regard the Copernicus, Galileos and Diderots of their eras, and more explicitly Descartes in his direct construal of the positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, would have certainly sensed that their specific knowledge conceptualisations wasn’t the more critical issue but rather their insistence was an implicit understanding that the non-positivistic ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ was structurally/paradigmatically a framework that wouldn’t be enabling for their positivistic and all other positivistic knowledge conceptualisations as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought (and were thus more fundamentally projective dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation). Such conflatedness imbued in postmodern-thought address more than just constitutedness implications of knowledge construction as articulated herein but equally points critically to intellectually decadent institutional dispositions and practices where imprimatur and the dynamics of imprimatur by themselves are increasingly construed as of more critical epistemic pertinence for knowledge constructions undermining the possibilities of breakthroughs given that the primacy of intellectualism as of the pertinence of intellectual
arguments increasingly takes a back seat, with intellectual postures increasingly defended with non-intellectualism obsession of ideologies of schools-of-thought as of institutional-being-and-craft. This manifests itself in the form of many an intellectual increasing disposition ‘to misunderstand’ others works, as there are little common stakes for breakthroughs but rather the stakes are increasingly of institutions academic visibility and tenure with emphasis on likeminded networks and forums driven increasingly by influence than carefree universal intellectual curiosity. Furthermore intellectualism has increasingly been surreptitiously mingling-and-yielding to social and economic interests undermining its obligation for enabling social clairvoyance, with a resultant sense of socioeconomic and socio-political impotence as such a blurriness is increasingly undermining the relevance of intellectualism in its public discourse and enlightenment mission. Ultimately, the epistemic and structural paradigm of academic institutional setups are not dissociated from the effective possibility for transcendental-enabling/sublimating, especially as such breakthroughs require the spontaneity of Dionysian arrangements. This author’s construes of deprocrypticism or preemting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ conceptualisation as of ontological-escalation or aetiolagisation, with respect to our present positivism—procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as the more fundamental transcendental issue for prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework transcendental-possibilities/potential beyond self-referencing-syncretism and circular palliative knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue with regards to attending to the inherent deficient uninstitutionalised-threshold of knowledge-construct possibilities and vices-and-impediments imbued in our positivism—procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. Such a paradox of human
ontological-performance is effectively construed as arising out of human
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore
existence is of transcendentental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-
temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) implying a
premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-
psychologism-of-existential-stake> idiosyncrasy that underlies presence institutionalisation
reference-of-thought consciousness as it develops presence meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of-prospective-thought-and-reflexivity idiosyncrasy. Thus human meaningfulness-and-
teleology is always at the crossroads of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought and its ontologically undermining metaphysics-of-presence construal as
of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and in conjugation with
perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> implications as of
postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-
social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performances and both as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-
extrication-as-of-existent-unthought>; ensuing out of human
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore
existence is of transcendentental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-
temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) limited-mentation-capacity implications of
premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-
psychologism-of-existential-stake> idiosyncrasy. Human premeaningfulness/preframing-
<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>
idiosyncrasy as of the cumulation of all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemproal-ontological-performances) as of metaphysics-of-presence is the idea that the underlying idiosyncratic, intricate, compounded and pervasive ‘notional–conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness premeaningfulness/preframing-
<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’ reflecting human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as such, is concomitant with a ‘dynamic cumulative remnant-and-co-opting premeaningfulness/preframing-
<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>
elicitation of constitutedness as of shallow limited-mentation-capacity, for instance, as can be elicited as of the given postlogisms and conjugated-postlogisms associated with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in shallow limited-mentation-capacity denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as


undermining the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Such a dynamic cumulative remnant-and-co-opting premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> arises, as of the cumulative succession of prior ontologically-compromised-mediating consciousnesses covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-of-circular-complexification with respect to the specific presence institutionalisation-consciousness reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. That is, as of

reference-of-thought to further undermine-and-overcome the ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism for covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-of-circular-complexification’ with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The reason why social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) is empowering for prospective institutionalisation in superseding uninstitutionalised-threshold lies in the fact that the ‘succession of premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’ idiosyncrasy as of human thrownness in existience that allowed for prior institutionalisations are inherently predicated on their successive social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) such that even at presence uninstitutionalised-threshold, involving denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as 
<(amplitude)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) thus failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, the supposedly implied assumption though false is one of social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as all uninstitutionalised-thresholds-or-uninstitutionalised-thresholds-are-overtly-unassuming-and-rather-parasitising-or-coopting-of-institutionalisation-in-false-representation-as-
institutionalisation such that prospective social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness}) elucidation of prospective institutionalisation reflecting the inherent veridicality of the uninstitutionalised-threshold in its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-\langle\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}\rangle\text{collapse} it. Thus the ‘notion of limited-mentation-capacity’ is basically the ‘underlying veridical human meaningfulness-and-teleology notion’ for which ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’ construed as ontologically-flawed constructs in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘neuterising as of \langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving}’ whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-\langle\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}\rangle, and so elucidated from the ontological-normalcy:relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective of deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness protensive-consciousness sound conceptualisation perspective’. In so doing, the latter reflects the limited-mentation-capacity dynamism of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of notional–deprocrypticism as well as temporal-to-intemperal individuations mental-dispositions, by way of deneuterising—referentialism, in lieu of neuterising. Thus this notion of human limited-mentation-capacity as the basis of différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral divulges ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’ and as of their ontologically-flawed constructs of neuterising, with regards to articulating teleological elevation-as-of-upholding-ontological-veridicality or teleological degradation-as-of-failing-ontological-veridicality respectively either as of conflatedness or destructuring respectively. Basically, the construal/conceptualisation of human \langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-}
totalising-thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendent-
enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-
onlogical-performances) has always involved a disparateness-of-ontologically-construed-
social-reality as of on the one hand a dichotomy of ‘intemporal-projection transcendent-
enabling/sublimating abstraction of prospective Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology-
construal as of organic-knowledge implications and so as reductive construction however-
non-mechanical and intemporal-as-ontological-its-projection and hence as an open-ended-
incompleteness/nonachievement-of-ontological-normalcy construal of social reality’, and on-
the other hand ‘an ad-hoc open-ended summative hotchpotch conventioning of temporal-
projections and intemporal projection grounding of social reality construction including-
organic-knowledge as well as mechanical-knowledge implications’; such that from the-
onlogical-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective,
the overall social Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology transcendentally-enabling-level-
of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-
or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism is-
onologically-limited as of organic-knowledge implications reductive constructions in an-
open-ended-incompleteness/nonachievement-of-ontological-normalcy, as of the ontological-
deficiency of mechanical-knowledge denaturing implications as well as perversion-and-
derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of temporal-
projections as of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performances, all occurring as of the conjoined dynamism of conflatedness and distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. This overall disparateness-of-ontologically-construed-social-reality dynamism is reflected in ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’ as of their neuterising: wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation has the deepest reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic as ‘impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness consciousness flawed conceptualisation perspective’ neuterising by its trepidatious-consciousness, while on the other extreme in contrast deprocrypticism rather has a reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness protensive-consciousness sound conceptualisation perspective’ that by its ‘reference-of-thought-devolving—différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ grasp the ontologically-veridical ‘underlying human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics of
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving, and so without being subject to any neuterising’ as is the case with all ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’. Thus by its deneuterising—referentialism construed as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, deprocrypticism enables a fundamental ontology-driven ‘postconverging—or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, and so superseding a naïve metaphysics-of-presence affect-driven mented or stigmatic psychology rather as of a shallow perspective and vaguely articulated as of universal import. The idea here with regards to human transcendental-enabling/sublimating/transcendence-and-sublimity, is that from a creative perspective: the notion of a given neuterising is equinominal/equivalent with a given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, and
as this speaks of human limited-mentation-capacity prospectively-construed ontologically-flawed implications as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. It is over this neuterising that human transcendental-enabling/sublimating/transcendence-and-sublimity is achieved from the prospective notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism and so by deneuterising—referentialism, which is equinominal/equivalent to non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>. In other words the historical implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} is that ‘as of a less and less ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology towards ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, ‘it projectively/anticipatorily brought about the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions

{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ as of their given neuterisation, construed as equinominal/equivalent with their successively given neuterising. From the above insight, transcendental-enabling/sublimating/transcendence-and-sublimity, is attainable as of deneuterising, construed as equinominal/equivalent with deneuterising—referentialism as the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism that produces the ontologically-veridical historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Ultimately, this sociohistorical disparateness-of-ontologically-construed-social-reality dynamism comes down to the limited/incomplete association of human ‘invention’ of organic-knowledge with the reflection of ‘this organic-knowledge underlying mental-disposition as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology rather defectively as of mechanical-knowledge construal in existential instantiations’, inducing prospective neuterising. This disparateness is increasingly closed-down all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—
it supersedes as an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology the
(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-
as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) beyond-
the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-(in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought> incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness dispositions of
prior/transcended/superseded perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>. A candidity/candour-capacity deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-
devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of ‘futural
différance’ is one that structurally/paradigmatically factors in the defining human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology, and
thus grasp as of knowledge-notionalisation that any implied meaningfulness-and-teleology
should be construed by conflatedness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of ‘the
concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-
in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection with the former in relative longness-of-register-
of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’ in order to better skew for
intemporality/longness as ontology. So a futural différance necessarily projects
structurally/paradigmatically conflatedness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of ‘the
concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-

in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection with the former in relative longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’ as to imply the ontologically-veridical construal of human relations meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of prospective secondnatures institutionalisation ensuring relative longness; implied as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation exercise, more like a genuine notion of faith lies fully and completely within the individual without any pretence to external interpersonal appraisal, as such a latter manoeuvre simply opens up the avenue for human mortal-to-mortal impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
teleology ‘superseding successive defining human finitudes as destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating–decisionality>–of-ontological-
performance towards attaining successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought as institutionalisations’. Such a construal of futural différance
structurally/paradigmatically answers the Heideggerian techne concern as construed by this
author of humankind thrown in the midst of the technical as utility while without ‘matching
notional philosophically developed mindset/reference-of-thought for a coherent grasp and
aligning with the organic mental origination as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality enabling that technical knowledge to arise-and-be-elevating-of-
contemplation-and-Being in the very first place and prospectively’. But rather related to as of
transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-
objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic marked by
incoherence of contemplative mindset/reference-of-thought development in the midst of the
technical world as rather literally ‘hurling along’ prospectively prospectively-
underdeveloped-Being-as-of-unexpanded-ontological-framework; and so as reflected by
conflatedness 
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–
implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of ‘the concatenation of intemporal-
projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-
intemporal-projection’. Consider a metaphysics-of-absence elucidation with regards to say a
remote/isolated non-positivistic animist/base-institutionalisation society for instance which
by some token has sustainable-and-learned access to basic but greatly enhancing productive
techniques from travellers of a positivistic culture but without a substantial corresponding
organisational and institutional diffusion associated with such greatly enhancing productive
techniques due to the very brief nature of the encounter or
disconnected/incoherent/perfunctory/chaotic nature of their relations, this will structurally/paradigmatically have degenerative effect on such an animistic social organisation wherein this isn’t enhancing of the society’s social organisation and relations and will be possibly disruptive. This example isn’t that farfetched as anthropological evidence of such cases abounds with many native societies so disrupted by culturally alienating positivistic material diffusion. Human material/technical development and corresponding mentality as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are inextricable and critical in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process including our positivism–procypticism registry-worldview/dimension. Inevitably the disparity of being thrown in the midst of technical development associated with ‘the underdevelopment of Being construed herein as of individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with respect to our positivism–procypticism registry-worldview/dimension’ is by itself a structural/paradigmatic basis for human vices-and-impediments whether at a micro-level interactional or macro-level social and political paradigm basis, notwithstanding our inclination for \(<\text{(amplituding)formative>}\text{-epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}\) where what passes as profound is our temporal mortal-to-mortal acquiescing as social-aggregation-enabling rather than a sense of intersolipsistic intemporal projection of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; with mental-dispositions rather geared towards temporal extricatory paradigm as of constitutedness, rather than intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as of conflatedness as enabling and upholding the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. Without the development of Being à la Heideggerian imagination the ontological-
and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’, implied with regards to Being underdevelopment across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions also speaks to how intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can and is often usurped by eruditic establishments by a nombrilistic elicitation of temporal mental-dispositions as to the commonsense/social-aggregation-enabling of a given registry-worldview/dimension as a denaturing construal in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct that are effectively divorced and subnaturating construal in enabling the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The idea that intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating is only the panache of the technical as of the sciences and that there is no need for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to be instigative-and-be-elevating-of-contemplation-and-Being in complement as of human development is nothing less than a derogation that renders such an establishment erudition no different, as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, from the mediums, shamans, witchdoctors, dogmatic scholastics of prior registry-worldviews/dimensions as vested in their ‘circular-pervasiveness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}’ rather than moving ahead of human blithe and their platitudes, and construing the real possibility of human emancipation as of a prospective opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology;
as the masses-defined-as-non-specialists can effectively be ‘tolerated’ to be ignorant as of the focussing possibility of human limited-mentation-capacity but that which is duty bound to a human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology domain/specialism beyond-just-an-institutional-construct-but-existentially is morally-and-intellectually bound to spearhead the effective development of that Being domain/specialism and not be involved in dithering, and so as of an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm.]

END OF DIGRESSION (ON OVERALL CONCEPTION OF THE FULL POTENTIAL OF HUMAN ONTOLOGICAL-DYNAMICS)


ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of diminishing–human-epistemic-
abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-
institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, as successive 
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-
perception/re-thought of the construal/conceptualisation of the same ontological-
veridicality/intrinsic-reality going by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly–as-to-existence–as-sublimating-
withdrawal–eliciting–of–prospective–supererogation), can effectively be construed as a 
maximalising–recomposuring–for–relative–ontological–completeness ‘successive shifting in 
meaningfulness–and–teleology’ (rather than a naïve construal based on incrementalism–in-
relative–ontological–incompleteness as successive additions which will wrongly imply an 
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as reference–of–thought comparison, the implication is one of successive ‘transformative 
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ (successive 
transformative references–of–thought) undertaking respectively the 
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–purpose—of–obtained-
measurements (as logical–processing–or–logical–implicitation—supposedly–apriorising–in-
conviction–as–to–profound–supererogation) of the same inherent existential-reality but with 
‘respective dramatic changes in the 
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–purpose—of–obtained-
measurements’ (as dramatic changes in meaningfulness–and–teleology from the successive 
registry–worldviews/dimensions references–of–thought), together with an underlying recurrent
awareness-teleology as of priorly unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism by its positivism-procrypticism reference-of-thought. Just as the very nature of existential-reality by our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalisingly},-,\text{as-to-existance}\text{—as-sublimating-withdrawal},-\text{eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\) construal/conceptualisation of it is rather ‘an uncompromising windedness/foldedness susceptible to our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalisingly},-,\text{as-to-existance}\text{—as-sublimating-withdrawal},-\text{eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}\) virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal as decontextualising/unimbricating/unrecomposuring of its inherent nature’, correspondingly the exercise of ontologically-veridical reasoning is rather maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. Correspondingly, from the vantage position of our present positivising/rational-empirical ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism worldview, we can garner an insight of the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism of the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism in a non-positivism/medievalism setup, wherein faced with arguments of the sort who is the sorcerer, how are they using their sorcery, etc., speaking of the non-positivism/medievalism relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (given that sorcery doesn’t exist, going by the insight of positivistic prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought whereas the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is ridden with a whole complexity of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism construct of
worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought ‘tend to convention’ and in so doing close the ‘existential frame-of-ontology/meaningfulness (which is the transcendental-enabling/sublimating)’ in their conventioning, and thus to the exclusion of prospective ontological profoundness of reference-of-thought. Thus all registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto been <$\langle$amplituding$\rangle$formative$\langle$wooden-language$\rangle$-$\langle$imbued—averaging-of-thought$\rangle$-$\langle$as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications$\rangle$).

However human existential closure of meaningfulness as conventioning doesn’t supersede but is rather superseded by existential ontological-veridicality, explaining the susceptibility of registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought to be transcended/superseded with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-$\langle$amplituding$\rangle$formative$\langle$epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation$\rangle$ expansion of ontological-depth as increasing ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (or reducing relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’). Existential closure of meaningfulness as conventioning induces psychically a registry-worldview/dimension ‘exclusive representing’ of itself as as ‘candored and straight’ with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology whereas its transcending/superseding by the prospective registry-worldview/dimension exposes psychically that it is rather ‘decandored and oblongated’ with respect to more profound prospective/transcending/superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology. A further example will be say ‘the God of plane’ type of articulation wherein such a base-institutionalisation as of animistic social-setup which is not positivistic (not the case of non-positivistic as medieval) is psychically ‘candored and straight’ with itself in <$\langle$amplituding$\rangle$formative$\langle$epistemic-totalising–self-referencing$\rangle$.
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (its metaphysics-of-presence) and goes on articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology even in the new existential transcendental/superseding contextualisation in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the doubly-prior/transcended/superseded base-institutionalisation/animistic registry-worldview/dimension. Given such a state of *(amplituding)*formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, the notion of generating meaningfulness-and-teleology from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective priorly implies a requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, and so by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. While excluding any exercise of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity since the latter is only appropriate in the instance of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as the base-institutionalisation (animistic) prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,—

respect to the base-institutionalisation (animistic) registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>. Equally we can imagine that making a positivistic argument in the midst of a non-positivism/medievalism setup will seem ‘deranged’ from their perspective and their mental orientation will be geared to their traditional sense of meaning and living as absolutely defining, but then the ‘center’ had moved from their world (from non-positivistic as base-institutionalisation/animistic or medieval preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism decenter) to the positivistic world (as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism center). Likewise such a suprastructural articulation of our positivism–procrypticism relationship to its postlogism that includes psychopathy and social psychopathy will apparently not make any sense to our present but then ontologically our present is now decentered as threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, though our mental-reflex will be a traditional sense of meaning and living as sound-and-not-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as well. However, to the extent that it is ‘not such <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-synchretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology inclinations’ that drove human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisations and resolved uninstitutionalised-thresholds from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism (as by reflex the temporal mental-disposition will rather be inclined to temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) extrication in any registry-worldview/dimension with no upholding of transcendental possibilities), to that extent the intemporal-disposition should rather construe/conceptualise its intemporal-disposition as the tip of human transcendental
institutionalisation possibility and thus inherently that it transversally takes precedence over human temporal complexes (and such a ‘transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing confliction’ resolved intemporally by prospective ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework and secondnaturering. This actually explains the inevitable contrariety involved in the making of transcendental human progress involving a prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought and a prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought; given the blunt fact that ‘there is no untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality’ and pretences of inevitability of human progress without need for intemporal projection are falsehoods ‘arising as temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology distraction’ with respect to the institutionalising/intemporalising constraining effect of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projections.). Critically, the notion of transcendence and transcendental-enabling/sublimating associated with intemporality/longness and institutionalisation/intemporalisation as of its very defining core is rather one of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework as it propounds the supersedingness/primacy/ascendency of intrinsic-reality as a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven construct over human ‘good-naturedness’/impression-driven constructs as well as social-aggregation-enablers. The idea being that ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework is much more than a notion associated with the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension (as has naively been traditionally implied) but is a central heuristic drive in defining and structuring meaningfulness-and-teleology in all prior registry-worldviews as well however relatively inefficient; given that with corresponding shallow to limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}, as institutionalising ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework
successively induce more and more profound ‘mimetic-echoness to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ as of the full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

\(<\text{amplitudding)}\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,~in-epistemic-conflatedness. (Consider the case with ancient Egyptians and even ancient Greeks where their relations with their deities were closely related to the fortune they expected on an empirical basis whether with respect to such occurrences like droughts, warfare, etc. which technically speaking is a rational allocation as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology going by their limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-}\(<\text{amplitudding)}\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)}\text{). Transcendence and transcendentental-enabling/sublimating as so construed is more than just a vague notion of dialecticism but one that recognises on ‘an effective reality basis that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-}\(<\text{amplitudding)}\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)}\text{’ implies more and more profound reconstruals/reconceptualisations}\(<\text{amplitudding)}\text{formative}\>\text{epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought}) inducing transformative implications with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology as transcendence; in contrast to the mere aestheticisation of abstract dialecticism or analogy/mere-analogising speaking thus of human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence. As knowledge conception as contrasted to sovereign conception, ‘transcendence and transcendentental-enabling/sublimating doesn’t recognise any human discreet primacy with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ but rather intrinsic-reality is the inherent purveyor of pertinence and primacy. For instance, we don’t have a choice in deciding that
gravity is about 9.8 m/s² on earth since intrinsic-reality imposes that idea and the corresponding knowledge construction and organisation where intrinsic-reality is ascendant is rather based on an ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating. This is not to be confused with sovereign constructions and organisations driven by human sovereign choices such as political choices or marketing choices or other sovereign choices based on practices and habits. The latter are social-scientific (besides the previous notion of social-scientific referring to intrinsic social reality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating), with respect to transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating construals/conceptualisations only as of existence-in-its-mimetic-echoness as inclusive of the human condition, i.e., human existential sovereign choices of meaningfulness-and-teleology as ontological construals ‘not in terms of the inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-verdicality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology itself’ but ‘rather as of the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the reality of the human sovereign choices as of themselves as humans values independent of their inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as ontologically construing the reality of human condition’, and so with respect to historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, politicisation and other social choices like moralisation, cultural value, economic value, etc. This distinction is critical because very often sovereign choices as conventions will tend to be acted upon as if these were transcendent-al knowledge of intrinsic-reality/ontology construal of the social in a wrong equivalence, and further because the transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating as of the intrinsic-reality/ontology construal of the social is more fundamental as the tool for ‘creating/inventing-and-destroying/deconstructing conventions’ for more and more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/superseding–oneness-of-ontology as of human subpotent knowledge. Sovereign constructs can as such be construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology<-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>
to stifle the possibility of intrinsic-reality/ontology of the social, construed as ontology/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating knowledge, from arising. This insight explains why all deferential-formalisation-transference are only of pertinence as they justify and are derived from relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating conceptualisations, and collapse when they fail that test. For instance, notions such as arguments from authority are useful in ensuring social efficacy but when authority is demonstrated as relatively fallacious, it then has no pretence to the sanctity of not being undermined. Ultimately, the veridical nature of knowledge beyond ‘institutionalised-being-and-craft’ (as established by prior transcendence) to prospective transcendence is not as an exercise of ‘logical mere convincing’ as of social-aggregation-enabling about what is knowledge and appropriate, but rather as a critical exercise of channelling of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating as secondnaturizing institutionalisation percolation-channelling to elicit the necessary positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation as skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating) towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness. The fact is as construed by the Galileos, Copernicus, Diderots and others of the world, transcendent-al knowledge (as relatively ‘consecrated’ by relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating) necessarily carries a ‘cynicism-of-grandeur-as-of-effective-intemporal-solipsistic-commitment’ to deal with the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor (and so as of ‘circular-complexification’/perpetual-reinstitutionalisation as a result of the same human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor mental-dispositions across all the successive ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process registry-worldviews/dimensions). In the bigger scheme of things, as of the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional~conflatedness of notional~deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ reflected by metaphysics-of-absence in the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of the transcendental implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue, we can appreciate that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions conventioning are increasingly ontologically-driven in their value construct as it is more and more profound ontological-veridicality that enables human transcendence and the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process in the first place; with the deprocrypticism institutionalisation conventioning supposedly attaining absolute ontological grounding. The insight here is that the relative pure-ontology-drive of a Socrates philosophical clairvoyance superseding Athenian society conventioning limits but then with the latter perceiving in <$\text{amplituding}$>$\text{formative}$>$epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as absolutely ontological, Socrates is paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent and thus accused of heresy. Such an argument can also be extended to say a Copernicus or a Galileo whose relative pure-ontology drive advocating a heliocentric universe in medieval society comes against medieval society scholastics dogmatism conventioning limits but then with the latter perceiving in <$\text{amplituding}$>$\text{formative}$>$epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as absolutely
ontological, Copernicus and Galileo are paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent. This highlights that a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s construes in

<amplituding>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as being the absolute ontological determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, and that meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relative pure-ontology superseding it is paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent. This is relevant with regards to the ‘intellectual projection’ choices made as of their transformative implications on society; wherein such highly unconventional thinkers like Diderot of more dramatic social transformation implications are actually less appreciated as of the

<amplituding>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of their epochal society conventioning limits naively construed by mental-reflex as the absolute ontological determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, over similar thinkers whose thought are more forthcoming towards such societal conventioning limits. As of relevance to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with regards to our positivism–procrypticism, such a phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle reflected by metaphysics-of-absence for the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance is necessarily ‘suspicious’ of our presence society ‘conventioning-limits’ in its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> naively construed

<amplituding>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex as the absolute ontological
determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, with regards to its capacity of appreciating prospective relatively profound pure-ontology as herein implied that paradigmatically/structurally supposedly supersedes our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought. This explains why fundamentally most human transcendental ideas of progress have been re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/’epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) ideas which ‘proponents ultimate purpose (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>)’ weren’t fundamentally a ‘direct convincing’ of humans exercise as of social-aggregation-enabling but rather in projecting a big picture of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-drive as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, however unintelligible, as a prospective institutional percolation-channelling exercise as validated by ultimate ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework with subsequent corresponding formalisation and secondnaturering. The point of this construal/conceptualisation is inevitably equally along the same lines. In fact, it can be further contended going by the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor that ‘human knowledge is necessarily a secondnaturering construction’ and not an ‘intemporal disposition construction’ as the latter will wrongly imply that we are only intemporal-as-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, which is obviously false since we are temporal-to-intemporal by our mental-disposition and our virtue with the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation is actually to understand (as knowledge/the-Good) this and paradoxically be superseding in that respect by a pivoting/decentering psyche and
institutionalisation, and not an artificial projection that is not real and hence will be ineffective and circular as threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism. Thus human knowledge is a dynamic secondnatured construct in upholding-and-vouching for the intemporal while preempting of the temporal, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>.

[The notion of ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’ as used herein goes beyond the notions of ‘consciously’ or ‘unconsciously’ as we normally understand them, in the sense that ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’ speaks of the mental state as of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought at the point of uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/solipsistic/recomposuring/animality-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (also referred to as ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’) where the mental-disposition/mindset/reference-of-thought is rather emphasised as being in ‘a state of relative incapacity’ rather than one of full-conscious-capacity but neither full-unconscious-capacity mental-disposition. Thus unlike just ‘conscious’ or ‘unconscious’, the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> implies ‘conscious’ and/or ‘unconscious’ as of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of a registry-worldview/dimension whether with regards to retrospective or prospective transcendental analysis. For instance say in a non-positivistic as medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation social-setup someone accused another of
sorcery. It is hardly the case that we can absolutely say they committed a conscious immoral act with their accusation of sorcery since the ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as knowledge-framework available to them doesn’t enable their full conscious appraisal of such a judgment call as they are in an insecure-certitude-by-incertitude-and-virtue-by-vice-mental-flux with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. However, supposed they adopted such an attitude not only by such ignorance but rather affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-entculature-or-temporal-endemisation, then they are effectively relatively conscious with respect to their action as a dishonest/deceitful/immoral act even though beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existentia-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. Of course, where supposed someone from a positivistic social-setup found themselves in such a non-positivistic social-setup and equally proffered such an accusation of sorcery, then their conscious immorality is fully engaged as being in full-conscious-capacity with respect to their deception going by their positivistic prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that supersedes superstitions including notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. By extension, psychopathic/postlogic induced deception can only be construed as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existentia-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> as when eliciting ignorance (as of 'lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the psychopath’s mental-disposition of postlogism-(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness)), and while construed as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existentia-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> as when eliciting affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, is not disculpating. Ultimately, going by the very decisiveness of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as it leads to ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\(\textit{amplituing}\))formative–in-relative-ontological-completeness), associated with the successive uninstitutionalised-threshold states, the notion of ‘human beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–\(<\textit{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}>\)’ is actually in the bigger picture the larger determinant of manifest human vices-and-impediments as of virtue-as-ontology conceptualisation, speaking fundamentally of the specific registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–\(<\textit{as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect}>\)’ inherent with the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism. Whereas the notion of human conscious vices-and-impediments as of defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance is mostly able to arise incidentally ‘within the scope’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–\(<\textit{as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect}>\)’ as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–\(<\textit{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}>\) of the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold; as social universal-transparency–\(\textit{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-}\(\textit{amplituing}\))formative–in-relative-ontological-completeness) is a strong inherent deterrent of human temporality/shortness and enabler of human intemporality/longness (explaining why knowledge is truly virtue), even though at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of such knowledge-as-virtue arises the temporal-dispositions denaturing its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This nature of ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>’ as induced beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> as of registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold explains why fundamentally issues of reference-of-thought defect or perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> point more decisively/fundamentally as to their resolution as aetiologue/ontological-escalation towards the need for ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations-in-superseding-their-corresponding-uninstitutionalisation with regards to base-institutionalisation-superseding-recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation-superseding-ununiversalisation, positivism-superseding-non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocripticism-superseding-procripticism. Thus structurally/paradigmatically, this is the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing associated with intemporality/longness and construed as ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’ since it is ‘not equable’ with the relative shallowness as temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in intradimensional construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology but projects directly in grasping fundamentally the issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the corresponding virtue-as-ontology implications; as insightfully, an arising issue of accusation of sorcery in non-positivism as medieval or animistic setting is more fundamentally/structurally/paradigmatically as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation a question of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as it endemises/enculturates such notions as its vices-and-impediments and the same approach applies to our state of positivism–procripticism involving procripticism/disjointedness-as-of-

This effective realism as of rational-realism is the requisite insight in understanding how supposedly re-originary-as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional-deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) transcendental notions of intemporality/longness in successive epochs become dominant notions of human knowledge and institutionalisation by giving man access to relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. Further along the rational-realism line of thinking, the fact is paradoxically that as more cuttingly demonstrated with ‘cultural diffusion driven transcendence’, the mechanism of transcendence is not a simplistic transference from a more ontologically-completeness-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview to a lesser one. Surprisingly, the lesser one is actually in the position of determination in the contention for transcendence, and it is the competitiveness of ideas that are more ontologically-complete and ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and inconsistency that initially leads to the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag towards the path of its transcendence; as notions and ideas of the prospective reference-of-thought gradually creep over those of the prior reference-of-thought. (This should be distinguish from the case of the transference of
ideas where there is a common reference-of-thought, for instance, the-theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics are spectacular developments from Newtonian physics but they still share the same common reference-of-thought of positivism/rational-empiricism enabling the new theories to be quickly adopted within the mechanism of the common reference-of-thought in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of psychical and institutional orientation. Consider in this regard the case in an animistic social-setup wherein failure to be cured from the traditional healer tempts individuals in that setup as a matter of life and death to approach the newcomers of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, and with a successful cure sowing doubts about animistic tradition relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-verbatim transcendental-enabling/sublimaturing, and with various other such positivistic outcomes inducing in the middle to long run further <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasia-drag of thought; as explanations for the cure will still be advanced in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the old reference-of-thought (giving human natural predisposition to social-aggregation-enabling) but increasingly ridding such explanations of their credible substance until there is critical transference into the new registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought. <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasia-drag is actually the process by which transcendent entailmentfulness, as of prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought, is institutionalised; underlying the essential contiguity of human mental-disposition across all registry-worldviews/dimensions. This equally highlights a superficiality-of-inherent-sanctimony displayed by succeeding institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, which may wrongly imply being out of the scope of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought–indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal--
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and thus fundamentally undermine ontologically-veridical analysis where exceptionalism is adhered to instead of the mediocrity principle. This quite sums up the (amplituding)formative\-epistemic-totalising\-self\-referencing\-syncretising/circularity\-interiorising/akrasiatic\-drag mechanism by which re-originary\-as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation\-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking\-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflicatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective\-sublimation) transcendental ideas (transcendental in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of putting in question the prior (amplituding)formative\-epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving, beyond just novel ideas within the same reference-of-thought), whether by diffusion or internal transformation, come to be dominant when ontologically pertinent; as even the ‘moulting’ intellectual/emancipator, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology\-(in\-existential-extrication-as\-of\-existential\-unthought\>), is coming from a point of habituation with prior traditional ideas (consider the case of Newton with alchemic notions), wherein acceptance of the new ideas they are purporting only comes after an unconscious process of suspicion and denial of such nagging new ideas until they arrive at a firm point of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism before admitting to themselves the possible veracity/ontological-pertinence of the ideas, and so as their very own (amplituding)formative\-epistemic-totalising\-self\-referencing\-syncretising/circularity\-interiorising/akrasiatic\-drag which makes it unsurprising that even socially (amplituding)formative\-epistemic-totalising\-self\-referencing\-syncretising/circularity\-interiorising/akrasiatic\-drag is a necessary process for the ultimate acceptance of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as this subsumes-as-supplant\{as\-of\-the\-more\-profound\-construal\-of\-existential\-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) the prior ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework. It is hardly the case of just a direct intemporal sense of meaningfulness-and-
teleology transference of transcendental notions. The bigger point being that the
construal/conceptualisation of transcendental ideas is not necessarily validated by their
immediate recognition, a notion the would-be intellectuals/emancipators should be of a
‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’, but
rather as providing fodder in the competitive ideas assuring human progress with emphasis
rather with respect to cross-generational import (prospective-institutionalisation
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-
as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-{by-a-renewing-of-
aprriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-
prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as enabled by psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure). It is doubtful that Galileo or
Diderot and others of their inclination were naïve to think that their initiatives will
immediately lead to a positivistic transformation of society but they certainly had a cynical
sense of cross-generational purposefulness (whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>). This equally explains why
in all epochs, however different the nature, there is an inherent temporal mental-disposition
aborrence of transcendental ideas as putting into question the present and present interests
(for instance, even the industrial revolution when considered as actually generating material
wealth was poorly perceived by many trade guilds). It is only the
‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-
there is a given context where the solution to additions of the
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-
measurements (meaningfulness-and-teleology) taken involves rewards depending on how big
is the number with the Donor not in a position to pay particular attention to the exact sums to
be resolved if a character is in a position to fiddle with the implied sum to be resolved like
deliberately using the defective
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
measurements as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (more like the
‘covert negative vista’ of the hidden-nature/unavailable social universal-transparency-
(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing,-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) of psychopathy especially at adulthood).
Now supposed to resolve a ‘purposeful measurement’ (meaningfulness-and-teleology), A
appropriately uses a correct
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
measurements (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) and find out
that the numbers measured and to be added are 5 + 2 and is trying its best thereafter to
resolve the sum but fails in its logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-
apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation and gives 9 as the answer, this
doesn’t void logically re-engaging with A with respect to other sums in terms of
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-
measurements to be undertaken (as to logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—
supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) so long as A learns and
understands the addition principle well. This instance of A’s reference-of-thought where it is
not perverted (correct
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
measurements) but its logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-
in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation has failed because of A’s genuine incapacity for
addition calculations is part and parcel (whether successful or not) of prelogism. Now
supposed B is in a position and has the mental-disposition to covertly add 1 to any of the
numbers measured and to be involved in the calculations to be undertaken before then
calculating and so as to measurement (so-construed as use of a defective
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
measurements speaking of B’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) such
that its calculations as aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring— purpose—
of-obtained-measurements (meaningfulness-and-teleology) is undertaken erroneously rather
implying 6 + 3 instead of 5 + 2 (with respect to the same correct
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as measurement
undertaken by A for subsequent calculation as 5 + 2) and then resolved correctly to be 9 as
well just as A did out of wrong calculation, fundamentally the idea of re-engaging with B for
solutions of additions (as to logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-
apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) is flawed since B is not committed
due to its perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (incorrect
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
measurements) to genuinely strive for correct answers (ontological-veridicality), and this
speaks of the possibility of B denaturing an infinite number of additional calculations (to the
extent where it is ‘socially-functional-and-accordant’ to do so, i.e. functionally possible in the
social context). Unlike the case with A having to do with A’s addition ability but whose
reference-of-thought is not perverted, such that A’s defect is a defect—of-logical-processing—or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, on the other hand B’s defect is a Being/ontological/existential—defect, i.e., the teleological disposition of B inherently carries the defect (to the point that B can be socially-functional-and-accordant while committing the defect, i.e. where the veridical notion/axiomatic-construct of the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is not universally transparent as a ‘negative covert vista’). Now supposed we are in a social context where C, D, E, F are to calculate additions as well but from the solutions arrived at by A and B. In the instance where C is ignorant of B’s Being/ontological/existential—defect, there is a possibility of re-engaging with C but only where B’s condition is exposed to it, but where the characters are not that ignorant but in any of the mental states (implying undermining the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-allowing/sublimating of normal additionality with such a social-aggregation-enabler situation) and so as of expediency or affordability for D, opportunism for E, exacerbation for F, social-chainism/social-discomfitter/negative-social-aggregation for B, C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F or temporal-endemisation/temporal-enculturation of B’s condition for B, C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F. It should be noted that C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F technically speaking have a ‘derived-Being/ontological/existential—defect’ as well, and so to the point that they consciously perceive it can be socially-functional-and-accordant to them wherein lack of ‘social universal-transparency—{transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness} which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’ enables their own ‘covert negative
vista’ however ad-hoc as conjugated-postlogism i.e. as to the conjugated-ignorance of C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it), conjugated-affordability of D, conjugated-opportunism of E, conjugated-exacerbation of F, and conjugated-social-chainism of B, C (where B’s condition is not exposed it) D, E and F, and conjugated-temporal-enculturation to B’s condition of B, C (where B’s condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F; and they cannot therefore be re-engaged logically with (as of ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation re-engaging reflex’) on the basis that they will relay in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument (persion-and-derived-persion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of— apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) elicited by B in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of B’s postlogism-as-of-compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation and C, D, E and F relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation— preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ that is ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to enable their conjugated-postlogism, where it is socially-functional-and-accordant to do so. It should be qualified that postlogism (psychopathy) and conjugated-postlogism (as social psychopathy) are enabled, endemised and enculturated by the possibility of the phenomena being socially-functional-and-accordant without negative consequences to its agents so long as it is not socially universally transparent, and so eliciting the respective temporality/shortness over the intemporality/longness of adhering to proper
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology). Further more than postlogism and conjugated-postlogism being just passively socially-functional-and-accordant, a more active socially-functional-and-accordant framework is often induced by extrinsic-attribution on the token of eliciting ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’. This is highly specific and circumscribe for efficacy-sake from accrued involvement with childhood psychopathy (with regards to adult psychopathy or adult postlogism) wherein achieving the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance threshold enabling postlogism/psychopathy and/or conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy involves an insight about how ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(ampli\text{\textipa{d\textipa{ing}}})\text{\textipa{f\textipa{ormative}}})\text{\textipa{epistemic-totalising--in-relative-ontological-completeness}) of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> determines how prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds will act as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Besides and critically as well, in addition to this inherently induced faulty-mentation-procedure-deception involved with the state of postlogism-as-of-compulsing-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation and its protraction into conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy, postlogism and conjugated-postlogism is equally and decisively sustained socially by the accompanying inherent disposition to uphold the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance thereafter as of mechanical-knowledge (given that inevitably social confliction is bound to arise in the social-setup with the phenomena of
...postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy), and as the mere recurrence of such social conflicts associated with the postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy characters might ultimately jeopardise the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (even when other prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds do lack a social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the veridical postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy underlying phenomena of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness). In this regard, prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds generally adopt a generalising approach for determining ‘the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance experiences and recounts with any specific individual’ including psychopathic or conjugated-postlogism, and in so doing construe dichotomously the said individual’s as adhering or not-adhering to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (and so specifically judged rather in various shades of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance implied mechanical-knowledge), as entails with associating or not associating the said individual in given occasions or in specifically given aspects of life depending on such experiences and recounts. With this in mind (based on its dormant childhood development experience), the adult psychopathy personality arising from its growth experience (and correspondingly the protraction into conjugated-postlogism behaviour in this regard), wherein its childhood psychopathy failing the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-
thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance induced a shift in behaviour such that in lieu of ‘such preposterous acts-and/or-narratives of vicious postlogism-as-of-compulsing–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation’ at childhood, the childhood psychopathy comes to grasp that ‘acts-and/or-narratives of vicious postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation’ as of ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ will lead to relative social overlooking of the ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’; and so cultivating its deterministic ontological-prime-movers-
totalitative-framework faulty-mentation-procedure-deception ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’. For instance, as highlighted further below where John in a ‘dereifying act’ spills water on a chair, his ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’ involving such a mental-disposition of ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ may be to do some house chore but rather in ‘crude behaviour manner’ that reveals an ad-hoc quest to re-establish the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-
thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance with others. The adult psychopathy personality development arising from this fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’ at childhood, further evolves a long way with a constantly readjustment process to ultimately enable the credulity for the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance at adult psychopathy, such that at adulthood social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-
totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness) as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-
of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context of its underlying postlogism-as-of-compulsing–
as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ as of an association between the ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’, and ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ towards relevant significant others, wherein that compensating is not a trite equivalence but rather involves ‘high-proportionality of overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ relative to ‘specific or given postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ in order to enable the postlogism/psychopathic manifestation achieve the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (with such overcompensation involving sought after overall preceding and subsequent sense of social allegiance with relevant significant others and then corresponding ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ towards relevant significant others, whether relevant individuals and/or relevant social network, as overall ‘social investment’ that should allow its instigated ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ with respect to another individual or situation, as the occasion may arise, to be overlooked/absolved/exonerated/exculpated socially). This faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition at adulthood psychopathy is more profound than just an ad-hoc trite association between committing a given vicious act and initiating a given limited ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue act-and/or-narrative’ in compensation as is the case at childhood psychopathy, since the adult psychopath discovers at that stage that such triteness of association is relatively inefficient for attaining the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (but rather requires a more profound association of the ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ and ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’). As then during its childhood the ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ are relatively universally transparent socially for what these truly are, as rather being associated with its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining- as-to-shallow-supererogation>, ‘than just merely or confused with innocent virtue acts-and/or-narratives’; and as ‘interlocutors in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation come to grasp the deliberativeness/consciousness of the artificial and fallacious systematic eliciting of ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ as a crude-trite-compensating mechanism for its urge to commit ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow- supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ and is thus socially-dysfunctional at childhood. Whereas at adulthood psychopathy the overcompensating involves a surreptitious upending/undermining/blurring of this underlying insight that the ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ is rather as of a personality development derived-from and connected-with such fallacious crude-trite-compensating at childhood; such that it is then adopted and relayed as contending thus wrongly validating its apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (which are actually outside existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) as first-level deception, and thus enabling the infinite possibilities of second-level deception from their logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. This
underlying postlogism/psychopathic faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition and its protraction in conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy involving deliberative/conscious or unconscious (conjugated-ignorance) artificial, fallacious and surreptitious systematic eliciting of ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ systematically enabling the possibility for committing ‘postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ with respect to another individual or situation, as the occasion may arise, while ensuring social overlooking/absolving/exonerating/exculpating is a central enculturating/endemising mechanism at the registry-worldview/dimension-level (beyond the individuation-level) of human temporalities-drives to adhere to the (amplituding)formative>wooden-language-imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) (failing/not-upholding<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). Further, at the confluence of postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy with respect to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology arises disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; inherent in temporality/shortness and as of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions (shallowness-of-thought construed as of temporal-extricatory reasoning as well as incoherent and awkwardly implied universal projections, but which actually speaks of (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag explaining why its ‘universal projection lip-servicing nature or inductive limitation fails the test of a true principle’, basically highlighting a dynamic reference-of-thought relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology

pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings but is rather bent principally on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading notions of a renewal of the human condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism; such that the \((amplituding)formative\) wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-\(\text{as-to-}
\text{leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-}
\text{‘nondescript/ignoreable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\}) in such setups will certainly be rife with distraction of such ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shallowness-of-

thought/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing; wherein a Socrates or Rousseau individuation ‘intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as articulated above will face in the same space of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance thresholds with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology such ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shallowness-of-thought/subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as stated above, as the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,\(\text{as-to-entailing-}
teleology/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing

as-to-(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~social-context-construed-conflicatedness’ of aetiology/ontological-escalation as implied in this write-up, in principle, is rather alien as of its purposefulness/ontological-aspiration (notwithstanding the debatableness of veracity/ontological-pertinence as all knowledge constructs must necessarily be opened to) to many ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synapsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shallowness-of-thought/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. This fundamentally arises due to the fact that prospective transcendence arises as ‘an exercise of outward-facing prospective institutionalisation metaphysics-of-absence value-referencing’ relative to a ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inward facing uninstitutionalised-threshold value-referencing’.[

temporal *(amplituding)*formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-*as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
logically-contending’), successively as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought.


Rather than the idea of resetting relations anew and overlooking, a true intellectual-and-moral elevation is instead achieved by a prospective institutionalisation secondnaturing process construing the inherent reality and derived-implications of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> for its superseding, which effectiveness skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating) to the veritable intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in deferential-formalisation-transference as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework construct; and so construed suprastructurally as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>-of-the-prior/transcended/superseded. In other words, recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by base-institutionalisation reference-of-thought, ununiversalisation manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by universalisation reference-of-thought, non-positivism/medievalism manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by positivism reference-of-thought, and prospectively procrypticism manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by deprocrypticism reference-of-thought. As palliative construal is rather ontologically incoherent as the idea for striving to construe intemporal-longness from temporality/shortness is rather naïve and actually as of ontologically-flawed <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag here implies that every registry-worldview/dimension is rather pre-inclined to represent its own threshold of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation— preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism at worst as a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) or a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–conflated-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, and so rather than as truly
‘decandored/oblongated and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and
dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase or decentered’, and doing so beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology.<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>,
to avoid its ‘ontologically-perspectival-degraded-as-decentered/preconverging-or-dementing-
reflexive/entailing-teleological-differentiation-as-of-subtransversality—threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ with respect to prospective
notional–deprocrypticism ‘ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-
postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’; though paradoxically it will effectively recognise such
a representation about prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions. For
instance, we’ll be hard pressed to acquiesce to an argument with regards to medieval
manifestation of postlogism for instance as it instigates notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery,
associated with a logic in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of non-positivism/medieval
relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-’threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ of the type ‘A’s action was what
brought about the accusation of witchcraft, and A should stop the practice’, from our
positivistic transcendentally <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of its positivism prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and would rather imply ‘the decandored/oblongated
and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically/contendingly-
out-of-phase nature’ of such non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought priorly
without its contending status even arising in the very first place; but then with respect to our own postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as psychopathy and social psychopathy pointing to our own relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—


as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising—psychologism’ (in the case of procrypticism, which is rather of ‘ontologically-perspectival-degraded—as-decentered/preconverging-or-dementing-reflexive/entailing-teleological-differentiation-as-of-subtransversality—threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—

as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising—psychologism’), ignoring the notion of prospective transcending with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—

as-to-shallow-supererogation> or derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising—

in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—

as-to-shallow-supererogation> or by
prospective institutionalisation ‘is not about ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework implying equivalence between the prior/transcended/superseded and the prospective/transcending/superseding’. It is rather about the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendancy of the latter in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and inequivalence with the former. For instance the factual ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework/effectiveness validations of say a chemistry mindset/reference-of-thought (with demonstrations of chemistry principles by chemical reactions producing elements and compounds) say in a non-positivism/medievalism setup prone to alchemy and essences-driven explanations ‘is not and cannot be construed as a logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation validation as of alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought’ but rather ‘a chemistry scientific mindset/reference-of-thought validation’, critically because the issue is fundamentally not about the specific validations of chemistry principles but rather about the non-positivism/medievalism alchemy and essenses-driven explanations defective mindset/reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mental-disposition reflex with respect to metaphorically-as-of-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of interpretive defects of that may arise from such non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought based on alchemy and essences-driven explanations given its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,- ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. Thus wrongly implying that a contending engagement between the two is of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, ‘wrongly elevates and
validates the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought’ as the mindset/reference-of-thought of contention, as such a possibility of contending engagement from the chemistry mindset/reference-of-thought is about harkening rather to a paradigmatic and conflatedness (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) of the alchemy and essences-driven explanations mindset/reference-of-thought reflex for the ascendency of a positivistic chemistry registry-worldview reflex as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it addresses the former defect of \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/metaphysics-of-presence and thus provides the possibility for resolving metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of defects of that non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought based on alchemy and essences-driven explanations given its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,~‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. This insight equally comes to the mind as we can equally imagine that a mere demonstration or demonstrations of positivistic meaningfulness effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in say a base-institutionalisation/animistic social-setup or non-positivism/medievalism social-setup to their approbation is not a sufficient basis to imply that they are thereafter of positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought and to be engaged with as of logical-processing-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, as any such positivistic demonstration pertinence is not about its factual effectiveness approbation in the base-institutionalisation/animistic social-setup per se but rather as of its paradigmatic and conflatedness (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) of the underlying base-institutionalisation/animistic relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,~‘threshold-of–

It should be noted as well that the idea of ‘\<(amplituding)formative\>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)⟩ enabled by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is the deterministic phenomenon behind ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ and the specific institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and deprocrypticism. It captures the true notion of transcendence as a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness involving utterly putting-into-question/reshuffling/remaking the human psyche/placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology in the very first instance, and on a second-level then imply eliciting the corresponding meaningfulness-and-
teleology for such renewed psyche as reference-of-thought. Such ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ involves specific ‘memeticism/meaningfulness circular-caricature’ with respect to the implied registry-worldview/dimension in their respective institutionalisation state (as candored/straight and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism/dialectically-or-contendingly in-phase) and their uninstitutionalised-threshold state (in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as decandored/oblongated and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/dialectically-or-contendingly out-of-phase). The notion of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ as being of true transcendence can be further elucidated with regards to two remarkable historical developments which while inherently exceptional, to say the least, aren’t truly transcendental. Consider for instance that transcendental is generally considered as the central notion of Kantian philosophy. The reality however is that the supposed transcendentalism is actually an elaboration in the terms of the actual and true rational-empiricism/positivism reference-of-thought transcendence established by Descartes’ thinking proposition and scepticism exercise as the fundamental basis for continuously re-elaborated ‘extended rationalism’ right up to the present. Kantian supposed transcendence (Copernican revolution) is not eliciting a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
psychology-of-dynamics’ of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-{by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology}’ (which is exactly what Descartes’ thinking proposition and scepticism exercise does with respect to the non-positivism/medievalism psyche/placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology). The Kantian construct is an elaboration well within the psychical framework established by the rationalism thinking proposition and scepticism exercise, and Kantian meaningfulness-and-teleology is utterly comprehensible and intelligible to that psyche, though in many ways it is a more profound elaboration of meaningfulness-and-teleology issues. So it is actually an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument within the extended-rationalism reference-of-thought that doesn’t psychically and meaningfully supersede it but elaborates within it; and it doesn’t reference an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-{by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology}’ as implied by a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ from Recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to Base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, to Universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, to Positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively to Deprocrypticism; as successively non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,–as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘base constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

ratationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,-(as ‘conflatedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument); and wherein the successive mindsets/references-of-thought and institutionalisations are suprastructural to each other (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology--<in-existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought>). Insightfully, this highlights that human mentation capacity is in a dynamic cumulation as of the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). It puts into question the Kantian philosophical exercise (Copernican revolution) of striving to establish universal human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing principles with respect to a mental state that is perpetually in a transformative becoming state of shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). (This latter condition inherently means that the certitude of such an enterprise itself can only be grounded on the human existential existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as the absolute apriorising.) It is this author’s contention that the Kantian conceptualisation exercise while interesting is in many ways rather a heuristic construct given its grounding on a categorisation reflex that poorly syncs with and is in constant need for heuristic re-adaptation to match ‘an existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality existential reality nature that is preceding-and-superseding to any human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of it’, and thus rendering such an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conceptualisation exercise highly heuristic (to constantly resolve the virtualities it raises by re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification), and so when not employing a referentialism reflex that is naturally inclined to be contiguous with intrinsic-reality as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. A further weakness is the naive implication thus that an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing exercise of human mental understanding only starts and ends with the positivistic/rational-empiricism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as if it is the only one that had existed, against
the anthropological and historical trend, and without explaining how previous meaningful-frames developed into the positivistic/rational-empiricism and how the latter could develop prospectively. Besides the Kantian argument that the transcendent (in all its connotations beyond direct experiences) cannot be known is equally anthropologically and historically erroneous as even in his days, with respect to adopting of a positivistic/rational-empiricism worldview over non-positivistic/alchemic/essences/medieval registry-worldview/dimension certainly does has a name (transcendence). But then it is more the case that from an epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag posture holding only one registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as absolute, then prospective transcendence is rather a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> notion. Besides, Kant’s notion of transcendence (transcendental idealism) and subsequent philosophical development of the notion is one relating to immediate phenomenal conceptualisation rather construed as ‘phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence’ (and more precisely phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence as of ‘the positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights’ transcendence implied by Descartes) rather than a construal of transcendence as implied herein as of deepening limited-mentation-capacity with respect to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ as superseding–oneness-of-ontology as an all-encompassing <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of human psychical and institutionalisation disposition for meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though fundamentally enabled by developing human phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence as of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-
construal/conceptualisation of a superseding–oneness-of-ontology construed as transcendental-enabling/sublimating. Insightfully, this author construes an existential-reference/existential-tautologisation basis of such human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing process for the transcendental-enabling/sublimating of successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-as-transcendental registry-worldviews/dimensions rather as of an exercise of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness over conceptualisations of human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing process on a simple categorisation reflex basis as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which tend to require constant heuristic adaptations to sync in contiguity with existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality of existential-reality and avoid virtualities, as wrongly operating on the basis of an absolute point of human thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that doesn’t recognise that successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-as-transcendental registry-worldviews/dimensions are defining/transcendental-enabling/sublimating for new prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. In the bigger framework, this author holds that conceptually and operantly nothing is certain but for the certitude of existence and its oneness, thereafter defining relative certitudes by the contextualising-contiguity of existence as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\langle (amplituding)\text{formative} \rangle_{\text{epistemic-totalisingly}, \text{as-to-existence}—\text{as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}} \rangle as of its successively developed transcendental psychical and institutionalisation notions from apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism, as impulsive or accidented or random mental-disposition to successively profound apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument rules associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}epistemic-totalisingly, as to existence— as sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), as further elaborated in this paper. This same insight can be extended with respect to an Einstein and Bohr led theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics physics respectively in relation to the physics of Newton, Galileo, Leibniz; wherein the latter established the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psyche as ‘\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–\langle\text{by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology}\rangle\text{of positivistic physics right back then in their epoch such that the overall underlying principle of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as transcendental-enabling/sublimating back then is still what prevails today. It is that physics psyche established back then which enabled seemingly aloof conceptualisations of physics like theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics within a decade or so of their articulations as of more profound elaboration of transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework to establish themselves as the central physics theories with little or no quarrel. It is interesting to grasp that such a physics and science psyche wasn’t available to a Copernicus in what may be construed today as a relatively benign conceptualisation of a heliocentric model of the world, with the revolt of Galileo and others ultimately establishing that physics and science psyche over a non-positivism/medievalism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument relationship to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that is not ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of its non-scientific psyche. In other words however ‘good-natured, well-meaning and wishful for enabling human progress’ the mental-disposition in that epoch as alchemic and non-positivistic was structurally not ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, and instinctively one may argue that it is by coming out from the frustration of not achieving anything decisive but for ‘palliative results’ in terms of progress with an alchemic and non-positivistic psyche that the Newton’s of that epoch increasingly adopted a positivistic sense of things which they increasingly came to realise as being ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. This same ‘ontological misconstrual’ naively grounded on ‘palliative constructs and naïve conceptual patterning’ driven by ‘good-naturedness, well-meaningfulness and wishfulness’ is pervasive in the social sciences today as of its poor ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating construction having to do with an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag agent of limited-mentation-capacity that we are as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification wherein our <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaningfulness-and-teleology is often wrongly construed as ontological as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology.

Consider for instance a situation where statistically people likely to rest more in their home in winter are compared with people spending more time outdoors with regards to prevalence of flu, and then arriving at the conclusion that the treatment for flu is resting more at home.
Such a construct as basic constitutedness is at best a sound palliative construct and naïve conceptual patterning however good-natured, well-meaning and wishful, but doesn’t deal with the required pure-ontology conflatedness as of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating in establishing a comprehensive disease theory for flu that syncs with other human diseases theories and human biology theories and general biology theories and informed by the bigger ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ (construed rather as of an organic depth of ontological coherence/contiguity that is structurally/paradigmatically transcendental-enabling/sublimating contiguously as from the deeper apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ and not vague ad-hoc mechanical patchwork of non-transcendental-enabling/sublimating conceptualised/construed relations), and so as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology.

The practice in many a social science specialism is often to articulate concepts whose linkage with other social science concepts and the overall social science background knowledge construct is vague such that ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating is hardly established but for bare ‘palliative constructs and naïve conceptual patterning’ that are more often than not <(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag than truly ontological when examined closely such that the test of transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism when the implications of such notions are examined as of metaphysics-of-absence not only in terms of one registry-
rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
transcendently-enabling-level—of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as
base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-
rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism transcendentally-enabling-level—
of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism transcendentally-enabling-level—of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as positivism—procrypticism, and prospectively preeminent—disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluable-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic—positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism transcendentally-enabling-
level—of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as deprocrypticism; explaining the successive developments of the human psyche transcendentally-enabling-level—of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as ontologically-driven as of increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. It is this author’s contention that the ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ as so transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism provides the requisite ontologically-veridical background referencing as of its conflatedness (in the same vein as the prior positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension bigger ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ with regards to non-positivism/medievalism) as of the prospective-and-more-profound deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension bigger ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ as herein implied by this hermeneutic psychology suprastructuralism insight construed as of metaphysics-of-absence as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, not only with regards to the social sciences but also when it comes to the many instances of poor scientific studies thus enabling the decisive superseding of palliative construals and conceptual-patterning that can hardly be qualified as ontological. The underlying contention of both such a present ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ and prospective ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ as of their respective relative ontologically-veridical psychical background referencing as of conflatedness for knowledge/meaningfulness-and-teleology has to do with the bigger ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reality (of ontologically valid knowledge/meaningfulness-and-
teleology) as of its notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as the structural/paradigmatic basis by which ‘ontological-deficiency (conceptually represented as subsuming of virtue-defect or vices-and-impediments ‘with virtue not truly differentiated from ontology’ but rather such a conceptual-differentiation being represented as of our notional \((amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag animate-existential-referencing/subjectification emotional-involvement implications)’ is construed fundamentally going by a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought relative deficiency as prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought (as its uninstitutionalised-threshold) thereby resolvable structurally/paradigmatically by the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; thus validating with regards to both reference-of-thought respectively as the ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ and the ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ their relative ontologically-veridical background referencing as of conflatedness as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Since we can perfectly conceptualise with both reference-of-thought the articulation of coherent meaningfulness-and-teleology respectively in non-positivism terms–as-of-axiomatic-constructs and non-deprocrypticism/procrypticism terms–as-of-axiomatic-constructs, or rather in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct that do not grasp structurally/paradigmatically the respective reference-of-thought organic grounding as of underlying ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implications, and so beyond just a question of vague ad-hoc mechanical patchwork of non-transcendental-enabling/sublimating conceptualised/construed relations. This elucidation points out that transcendence ‘must truly’ involve an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics with the utter decentering of understanding itself
by the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought over the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and/or desymmetrisation for perceived temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ associated with distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/ontological-asymmetrisation as of deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness in aetiologisation/ontological-escalation); such that on a logical-basis the
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) in
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation will be more inclined to turn towards the ‘prior
conventional non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism, as-impulsive-
or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’ as reference-of-thought—categorical-
impervatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and so over the ‘prospective relative pure-ontology
confoundedness implying rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism’. This is because a registry-worldview/dimension is a ‘circular-pervasiveness
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’) wherein
achievement motives and temporal-stakes of the conventional constructs as of human finite
aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—
averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications> so-construed prospectively, will tend to ‘take precedence as of relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-
reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as
of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (as implied by
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as heuristic but
non-constraining compensation for human limited-mentation-capacity where constraining
social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) doesn’t
yet avail) even though, it is such relative pure-ontology conflatedness that is the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality enabling (by ultimately making available such prospective constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)) the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. Even then and ultimately, it is mainly a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that progressively rids the prior conventional constructs of their essence as of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that enables prospective registry-worldview/dimension suprastructuration/transcendence. This insight extends to all the successive registry-worldviews including ours as positivism–procrypticism as the relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality implying such a construct as the deprocrypticism institutionalisation suprastructuration (preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—(as conflation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument)) will certainly be a remote contemplation of such a <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought–as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>) mental-disposition of our registry-worldview/dimension, rather construing its circular-pervasiveness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-
thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} as absolute by reflex beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-
as-of-existential-unthought> wherein achievement motives and temporal-stakes of the conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} so-construed prospectively, will tend to ‘take precedence as of relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-
thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness notion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and implying rather a prospective transcendental depth-of-thought/reference-of-thought. This equally explains why the implied supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is necessarily a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-
of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation that needs to take into account this ‘paradox of transcendence’. And critically so, because beyond just ‘human conscious willing’, transcendence necessarily implies the ‘prospect of humans to appreciate/understand meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’; such that, structurally/paradigmatically/necessarily, that which gets to ‘conceptualise/construe beyond-
the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’ is necessarily ontologically-asymmetrical as rather imbued with intellectual-and-moral responsibility over that which doesn’t get there (and so, even with regards to a basic non-transcendental construal of asymmetrisation within a same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought like Doctor – Patient, Parent – Child, Server – Customer, Teacher – Student etc. as ensues from a Derridean binary opposition analysis). However at uninstitutionalised-threshold, the notion of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation is not readily acquiesced to for the simple reason that two references-of-thought/axiomatic-constructs are at play with those adhering to the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology inclined beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> to uphold meaningfulness-and-teleology as such, whereas in contrast adherence to the prospective/transcending/superseding as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will certainly grasp the pertinence of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation as of deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness aetiologisation/ontological-escalation; so construed, as prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought brings about deepening sense as to apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of transcendently-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology construal for a sounder and sounder relationship with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In this respect, it should be noted that in the example on the denaturing of Additionality as further articulated below with regards to the characters A, B,
C, D, E, F and Z, it is naïve to think that the characters A, B, C, D, E, F will simply acquiesce to Z’s supposedly ontologically-veridical posture, as by their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought they may operate on a logic that once such a situation as A induced additionality defect deception develops as of ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplitudinizing)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness), that’s fine and implicitly others could just as well consciously go along with it, and that it is just as implicitly legitimate as of the ‘<(amplitudinizing)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension’ notwithstanding its failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; highlighting how across the successive registry-worldviews threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising–psychologism arise, however, different the perception from ‘very-crude’ (with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) to ‘seemingly polished’ (with our positivism–procrypticism) depending on prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This is to point out that at uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal-dispositions as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought do not necessarily acquiesce to intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality or asymmetrisation (as Z’s … looking down on A, B, C, D, E and F mental-dispositions
perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\langle\text{as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation}\rangle \text{ as allowing for the endemisation/enculturation of the denaturing of additionality and the implications thereof of subsequent denaturing in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability that ensue where socially-functional-and-accordant due to lack of constraining social universal-transparency-}\langle\text{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-}\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness}\rangle \text{ which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’; not only as a specific/particular construal/conceptualisation but of universal import as having to do with endemisation/enculturation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\langle\text{as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation}\rangle. Does the ‘intellectual romanticism’ of a Rousseau articulation of universal human rights necessarily register fully in the mindset/reference-of-thought-of the }\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\langle\text{wooden-language-}\langle\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}\langle\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle\rangle \text{ of his epoch or is it rather more truly a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-}\langle\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}\rangle \text{ notion until the necessary psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure generations latter that brings this beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-}\langle\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}\rangle \text{ notion to the fore of the }\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\langle\text{wooden-language-}\langle\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}\langle\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle\rangle, \text{ and this interrogation could be extended to say superstitious notions and their implications in a non-positivistic social-setup as the drive of say a rational-empiricism/positivistic emancipating agent in many ways will be a beyond-the-}
consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>

notion for the <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-
<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) in such a
social setting, and equally similar issues faced today in many a traditional society like female
genital mutilation is more than just an issue of stopping the practitioners of genital mutilation
but has to do with <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-
thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)
meaningfulness-and-teleology in such social-setup that is a question of a beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>

notion with respect to recasting of gender rights in a prospective meaningfulness-and-

teleology. Likewise, it could be asked whether such an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation

notion as deprocrypticism institutionalisation implied suprastructuration over our positivism—
procrypticism is rather not a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> notion as of the present
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) mental-
disposition and mental-projection. The fact is that registry-worldviews/dimensions operate
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of their ontological representation of reality within the
limits of their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which provide

them with their

‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific
referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ (so derived
from prior ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideisim induced projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction), but then the further possibility of expanding the axiomatic-construal/axiomatic-conceptualisation of ontological representation of reality as prospective registry-worldview/dimension suprastructuration requires new projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction to establish more profound reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as new/prospective ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’; but then, such ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ of each registry-worldview/dimension suprastructuration comes with a fundamental mentation-reflex flaw that their given ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ is absolute and non-transcendable beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, failing to grasp that projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction (factoring in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}) about prospectively more profound reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology will certainly imply an altogether new/prospective ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ and notwithstanding the fact that that present registry-worldview/dimension is the result of prior projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction induced transcendence. Such that it is a cross-
generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology¬<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> notion that enables the fulfilment of the promise of projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality effectively with deconstruction/engaged-destruktion/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness; and so, with respect to transcending from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation right up to our positivism—procrypticism institutionalisation suprastructuration, and prospectively the same human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor issues arise with respect to the possibility of our prospective transcendence to deprocrypticism, as we perceive our ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ as absolute failing to construe the all-encompassing redefining implications of projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction with respect to the possibility of an altogether new/prospective ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ (as preemption—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) mental-dispositions most profound relationship to meaningfulness-and-teleology tends to be geared rather towards the given ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ as-an-only-one as this enables human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) so-
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Further, even more decisively though by reflex we naively-and-erroneously tend to construe of human virtuous-dispositions or vices-and-impediments as arising mainly as of their conscious choices, paradigmatically/structurally a registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> notion is the more decisive/salient notion as to human ‘objectively construed/analysed virtuous-dispositions or vices-and-impediments’ even though individual ‘conscious choices’ will tend to ‘simply qualify the effective possibility of such virtuous-dispositions or vices-and-impediments arising’; such that a registry-worldview/dimension incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is paradigmatically/structurally susceptibility as a state of ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the vices-and-impediments so implied to arise-and-be-endemised/enculturated beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. This explains why the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is basically about shifting apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments to supersede the state of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) in handling the more and more profound/depth of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality construing reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct that avails as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or increasing ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; (such that such meaningfulness
as expressed herein is more than just of logical construct implying simple logical meaningfulness as within only a single-as-our-present positivistic predicative-insights framework of reasoning and understanding, but requires a more profound retrospective and prospective mental-projection in its contemplation). This equally explicates the empirical reality associated with the occurrence of human transcendence cross-generationally as the timeframe for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction induced prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension
meaningfulness-and-teleology (so implied by metaphysics-of-absence as of our procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). By mental-reflex a postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation stand is a ‘mental-shortcut’ that is fundamentally perverted as it perceives meaning as ‘deterministic of others behaviours by its empty-form’ while a prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation stand is one that relates to meaning on the basis of its assumed existential validity, or at worst involves omissions or exaggerations relative to such fundamental existential validity, but doesn’t countenance by mental-reflex the projection of empty-form of meaningfulness which is ‘existentially invalid’ in the very first place. Consequently, where there is ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} at the uninstitutionalised-threshold due to relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation implied meaningfulness-and-teleology will tend to be incidentally conjugated with prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation dispositions as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. This is the case beyond just any such specific instances and such specific postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation character(s) and specific conjugated-postlogism character(s) but rather as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect, and thus defining together with the registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought at its ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ with regards to human limited-mentation-capacity and as of ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting. This conceptualisation as a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is empirically more true of human development which by a flawed metaphysics-of-presence overly construes in <$amplituding$formative$>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag the positivistic psyche almost as if it is the sole and genuine one without factoring in the notion of a continuous <$amplituding$formative$>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-{by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology}’ in successions of human psyches arising with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening <$amplituding$formative$>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), with the further implication of a prospective ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as a deprocrypticism psyche and its corresponding memetism or suprastructural meaningfulness-and-teleology.

Now supposed Z was another character inclined for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as preserving the inherent intemporality/longness of additionality as allowing civilisational/institutional-being-and-craft setup preservation, brought in by the Donor, there is no question that Z will register the newly divulged ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality of the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and its derived-
meaning for virtue’), not only as a specific/particular construal/conceptualisation but of universal import as having to do with endemisation/enculturation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> speaking fundamentally of the given prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,—‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (wherein Z’s disposition is an ordered-construct or secondnaturung institutionalisation over B, C, D, E and F mental-anarchy/mentarchy inducing of ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’). Though metaphorically in the mortal’s temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology terms, that ‘low-life’ of universal import may be utterly oblivious to the practicalities of B, C, D, E and F so engrossed in a world of ‘high-life’ of temporality/extrication as the ‘fullness of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over the appreciation of the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, be it that the latter disposition as philosophically intemporal is what creates-and-enables the being in civilisation/institutionalised-being-and-craft in the first place, as the metaphorically ‘high-life’ of temporality/extrication cannot count on an overall principle of temporality/extrication for its existential sustainability (as B, C, D, E and F needs that the Donor grants the rewards by not factoring in the deceit, thus their existential principle doesn’t sustain the ‘civilisation/institutionalised-being-and-craft setup’ in which they are living in, hence qualified as extricatory/temporal/parasitising/co-opting as ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’) but unavowedly and paradoxically rather on the parasitising/co-opting of the
existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality or B, C, D, E and F). In order words, this situation highlights the universal issue across all registry-worldviews/dimensions underlying the notion of temporality/shortness and intemporality. Wherein reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation for the intemporal mental-disposition individuation are meant to uphold intemporality/longness incontrovertibly and where such is blurred or undermined given relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ going by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,–eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation} requiring a further accruing as deeper human limited-mentation-capacity-
deepening–{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,–eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} as ‘an existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-
potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-
epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ that ‘retraces’ the existential-reality for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-
preservation by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation with the implications thereof
ushering in the successive institutionalisations as the need for new ‘contextualising-contiguity
of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as of-existential-reality’ when the idea of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,’threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ arises (as uninstitutionalised-threshold); i.e., from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism and prospectively to deprocrypticism. While for the temporal mental-disposition individuations the form-and-perception or derived-form-and-perception of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether upholding ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality or not (and so whether unconsciously, expediently or consciously) is a sufficient basis so long as it is socially-functional-and-accordant such that the possibility of blurring or undermining existential-reality by ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposing-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
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implied by its implied relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced—‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. Hence the reason why the vices-and-impediments inherent of a given registry-worldview/dimension cannot be structurally/paradigmatically/ontologically resolved within it as there is need for prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought structured to inherently supersede such vices-and-impediments, whether as base-institutionalisation in superseding recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation superseding base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, positivism superseding universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and deprocrypticism superseding positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The central idea here being that the most critically important notion in the situation of A, B, C, D, E, F and Z, is Z’s upholding of prospective transcendental-enabling/sublimating over any temporal extricatory paradigm, however, the enculturation and mass thinking behind temporal extricatory paradigm. (* Noting that individuation as defined elsewhere speaks of temporal-to-intemporal trait characteristic, as anywhere between shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that can accrue atleast incidentally/on-occasion in all individuals-as-receptacles-of-individuations but more recurrently as teleologically defining in a-life-phase-or-life-phases-of-given-individuals, thus critically enabling a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect intradimensional and transcendental/transdimensional/interdimension/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness analysis as metaphysics-of-absence/postdication). Finally, thus it is critical to note that the existential contextualisation above as ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-
of temporal-dispositions). Wherein within their respective registry-worldviews/dimensions setups, their maximalising-as-transcendental recomposuring mental-dispositions in projection for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft, i.e. ontologising of future conventioning, as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as the grander intellectual-and-moral effort that can be made within their registry-worldviews/dimensions) is rather poorly construed to the ordinariness/averageness of thought within their respective registry-worldviews/dimensions setups (which mental-dispositions and conventioning – as ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought’in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ – will rather think as irrational the projective disposition of a Socrates that doesn’t rather advance a temporal interest in the city-state polity but is rather bent on spreading new ideas as a natural philosopher while prioritising as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in his asceticism the prospective intemporal over the temporal status quo, and likewise with a Rousseau who isn’t advancing a temporal interest that his aristocratic stature should warrant like actively pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings but is rather bent principally on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading notions of a renewal of the human condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism. This is certainly because emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically temporal-dispositions do not
appreciate that there is a more ‘profound level of living in the realm of human thoughtfulness’ based on eudaemonic-contemplation of ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting that then ‘invents/creates’ the structural/paradigmatic possibility for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft as there isn’t any inherent intemporality/longness needed for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness out of the ordinariness/averageness of any institutionalised-being-and-craft setup. Hence such intemporality/longness as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness need its

‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’’. The implication is that acting as-of-a-secondnatured nature is not enough for articulating prospective institutionalisation requiring ‘intemporal projection <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ for the requisite prospective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and such conceptualisations from only a secondnaturedness of thought as rather contextually temporal is not intemporal as of-universal-and-abstractive nature but is in ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising’/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage
as metaphysics-of-presence. Thus a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation secondnaturedness is challenged by its very own level of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ marking its uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation with base-institutionalisation, non-positivism-or-mediievalism with universalisation and procrypticism with positivism, in need for a renewed institutionalisation respectively as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. This equally explain why the notion of human transcendental progress is relatively ‘re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) driven’ as it requires an intemporal-solipsism as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of thought more than just institutionalised secondnaturting such that it has often been the erudition periphery of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure that had tended to fundamentally put into question their present with new paradigm shifts. It is ontologically-speaking impossible to comprehensively undermine a dimension’s/registry worldview’s postlogism without undermining the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought itself as implied by its state of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, for instance psychopathy in positivism–procrypticism or notions of sorcery in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism (wherein from the prospective point-of-reference respectively as deprocrypticism or positivism, it is in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–
stranding/attributive-dialectics as of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology), given that this fundamental
relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-’threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ of the given registry-
worldview/dimension as reflected from ontological-normaley/postconvergence
epistemic/notional–projective-perspective, by its ‘contextualising-contiguity of existence-
potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-
epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ means it is
structurally bound to enculturate/endemise its given postlogism. Obviously we can appreciate
that without a positivistic outlook/reference-of-thought there is no chance that a non-
positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension will do away with notions-and-
accusations-of-sorcery, as the latter is bound to arise as of human threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism in non-positivism/medievalism where
the mindset/reference-of-thought is not rationally-empirical/positivising. Likewise the
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought wherein the perversion-of-reference-
of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> from a psychopathic character is contextually likely to be engaged
with (as ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation re-engaging reflex’) and
even exploited (whether unconsciously, expeditiously or consciously), implies a
comprehensive structural/paradigmatic undermining of the phenomena of psychopathy and
social psychopathy is impossible without putting in question and undermining our
uninstitutionalised-threshold as procrypticism for futural Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism which is effectively the structural/paradigmatic resolution of psychopathy and social psychopathy (besides palliative conceptualisations that can hardly make a dent on the comprehensively defined structural/paradigmatic phenomenon in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the larger aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) just as positivism is the structural/paradigmatic ontological resolution of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, and ad-hoc tempering with medieval postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) as instances of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery doesn’t grasp the underlying and comprehensive medieval social-construct structural/paradigmatic endemisation/enculturation of such a phenomenon. Further, registry-worldviews/dimensions being prospectively

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
'nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} with
their ‘intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ or
‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–
ontological-preservation’ determined by their sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-
enablers, there is a need to circumvent and break these sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers by prospective ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendentalent-enabling/sublimating’ to allow for new defining transcendentental meaningfulness and its corresponding grander teleological-differentiation/teleology that can then perceive the prior registry-worldview/dimension as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-
‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ and accessorily its
enculturating/endemising of its postlogism, and superseding both of these in the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation. For instance, the intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating of a medicine based on natural causes and drugs as natural cures carried the effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that undermined non-positivism/medievalism sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers to do away with such notions as curses, sorcerers, etc. being the cause of disease, and undermine the whole teleologically-degraded dispositions based on such sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers. Likewise only by articulating comprehensive and effective aetiologisation/ontological-escalation resolutions to the defect of procrypticism and its postlogism first with respect to formal constructions that the derived effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework can feed back as percolation-channelling to dimensionally (registry-worldview) to undermine the relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ of our procrypticism and accessorially its enculturating/endemising of psychopathy and social psychopathy. Thus suprastructurally (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) and as of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective, ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ implies a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as ‘a relative teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation of references-of-thought’ by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of prospective reference-of-thought as supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought’ (as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness by way of prospective intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as it supersedes the prior reference-of-thought ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ or ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ determined by its sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers) and ‘the prior subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought’ (as denaturing postlogic-backtracking threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism towards the reference-of-thought sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers in undermining prospective intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating); is comprehensively rearticulated all across the ‘reference-of-thought existentialism construct’ i.e., from the registry-worldview (meaning by its specific teleological differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation construct), the contending-reference (meaning teleological construct), the ontological-reference (being/existential construct of meaning), meaningful-reference (meaning contextualisation construct), the reference-of-thought (operant construal of meaning), and right down to the apriorising–registry (basic defining construct of meaning, in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of logical-dueness/profile/presumption/assumptions/value-reference/teleology). This suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight from an ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought point-of-departure-of-construal underlines ontologically that, Deprocrypticism (by its ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology i.e., deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) is abject-ontologising-recomposuring by subsuming-as-supplanting-(as-of-relatively-more-profound-construal-of-


positivism/medievalism, positivism–procripticism and deprocrypticism successively recomposure more and more profound existentialism a priori contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality-of-rules successively as from non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘base constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument),
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—(as ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument),
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), and
deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with such notion
of rules speaking in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of both the developing capacity of human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology in its construing/conceptualising of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as defining the given registry-worldview/dimension-level specific
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, as well as developing institutionalisation capacity as meaningfulness-and-teleology differentiations; and so as human
<br/>
<br/>

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-

prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-

reordering/institutional-recomposure. As explained, the reason for the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-

the-human-institutionalisation-process has to do with human limited-mentation-capacity-

deepening–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-

sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) inducing successive recomposuring from shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity construed as diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence towards ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Hence notionally speaking if humans had completed-mentation-capacity there will only be deprocrypticism institutionalisation and not the subsuming-succession of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–

ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, with all mutually implied as subsumed-as-supplanted in deprocrypticism as of achieved ontological-completeness–of-reference-of-thought; subsumed-as-supplanted successively as of non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism–as-impulsive-or-

accidented-or-random-mental-disposition–(as ‘base constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), rulemaking-

over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism–(as ‘first-level
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presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument),
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism,—(as ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of
reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument),
‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-
rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’—(as ‘third-level presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), and ultimately
with deprocrypticism, ‘deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
thought—(as ‘conflatedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument). This
existential-becoming-transitioning to deprocrypticism as well as the overall existential-
becoming-transitioning nature of existence/existential-reality is the validation of the notion of
existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. That is existence is
existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, such that it inherently
implies the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process which can be
construed as deprocrypticism-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/deprocrypticism-in-reverberation or
ontological-normalcy-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/ontological-normalcy-in-reverberation or
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. By extension such projective-insights from a
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), and up to when uninstitutionalised-threshold is structurally/paradigmatically superseded by ‘notional~deprocrypticism’ construed as deprocrypticism-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/deprocrypticism-as-of-its-reverberation as ‘notional~deprocrypticism’ accounts for both deprocrypticism and procrypticism since it is a potency-construal and not a given reference-of-thought construal (contrasted with ‘conceptual deprocrypticism’ as a given reference-of-thought construal); just as ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ implies a potency-construal of both knowledge and the ignorances wherein the enlightening referencing of knowledge extends to a grasp of the nature and possibilities of the ignorances as well, in contrast to human ‘knowledge conceptualisation’ as of knowledge as of its enlightening or intemporal referencing only. Thus just as deprocrypticism subsuming perspective (of institutionalisation-upholding) construed as notional~deprocrypticism, on the basis of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening→{(amplitude)|formative}\:epistemic-totalisingly→,as→to-existence→as-sublimating-withdrawal→eliciting→of→prospective→supererogation\:maximalising\:recomposuring→for→relative\:ontological\:completeness\:institutionalisation,\:will\:construe\:the\:successive\:institutional-cumulation\:institutional-recompose\:as\:of\:'the\:successive\:structural\:paradigmatic\:apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument\:for\:aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring\:-of\:-meaningfulness\:-and\:-teleology\:towards\:deprocrypticism\:-as\:-the\:-real\:-notion\:as\:of\:ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or—ontological-preservation\:'\:likewise\:a\:procrypticism\:subsuming\:perspective\:(as\:failing-to-uphold-institutionalisation/upholding-uninstitutionalised-threshold)\:construed\:as\:notional~procrypticism,\:will\:construe\:the\:successive\:uninstitutionalised-thresholds\:as\:of\:'the\:successive\:structural\:paradigmatic\:apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument\:for


– the postlogism (including psychopathy and social psychopathy, etc.) associated with
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The prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’ for relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought are explained by the fact that:


Postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) is ‘the abnormal application of logic for virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-
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follows with psychopathy at childhood (which at this point is relatively transparent to the critical observer). Let’s say John is a psychopath, he wants to get his brother Pete punished for annoying him. John knows that dad will punish anyone who spills water on the chair. John, in a ‘dereifying act’, then spills water on a chair and goes and tell dad Peter has spilled water on the chair, and waits for Peter to get punished (and, this way of acting and thinking is not limited only to a benign notion like spilling water as it could be setting fire, destroying an equipment, etc.). This is different even from ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supерerogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ or prelogism in that a child who has a ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supерerogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ or prelogism is ad-hoc and circumspect by taking advantage or reacting to a situation that has developed to accuse another as of temporal-existential constraint. They don’t initiate such a situation ‘as a rational way of thinking’ and even less to the gravity that the psychopath does.

One other major flaw in the perception of the psychopath is that they are liars (a pathological liar, it is said). This again is a flawed notion. To lie is to be in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supерerogation (‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supерerogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’), whether by omitting or exaggerating in a circumspect and ad-hoc manner but relative to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context.
Lying as such is ‘an ad-hoc defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supерerogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance that doesn’t speak of the true postlogism/psychopathic phenomenon which has to do with the
supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism as a state of ‘conscious, principled and
uninstrumentalised supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism in veridical soundness-or-
authenticity-of-reference-of-thought as the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism
mindset/reference-of-thought ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework value-
reference’. This is the fundamental fact that explains the evasiveness in grasping the
psychopath in its motive and orientation as the psychopath’s actions can be as simple as a
basic formulaic (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-
narrated-or-postlogism-formulaic slanting compelling–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation as to
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) understanding of the effects on
interlocutors of endearing, pleasing, laughter, etc. in inducing distraction, empathy,
suspension-of-profound-reasoning or reference-of-thought teleological-degration in relation
to its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism in undermining an prelogism-as-of-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation perspective which reference-of-thought is
veridical. All the ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ terms above, i.e. lying,
bullying, manipulating, fooling, etc., wrongly point to the fact that the psychopath is having a
‘deliberative prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mental process’ with
respect to its end purpose, and thus wrongly implying it is in ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-
to-profound-supererogation’ with the wrong idea that its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
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<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> is not lying (or manipulating or bullying), in fact the psychopath will prefer that normal supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism minds think it is lying (or any notion of a ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ as it wrongly elicits just a defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation rather than the idea of compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation), as at least they will then wrongly realign prelogically/(existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) again to it with respect to its subsequent narratives to examine the pertinence of its logic/logical-processing i.e. engaging logical operating/processing and wrongly granting it supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism (be it even ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ as this will then wrongly imply its wrong or poor performance of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, rather than its hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>/vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging/slanting of empty narratives that are flawed or non-existent as postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation) thus wrongly involved in prelogism hence wrongly validating as real its ‘fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ which is its ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements, that in reality are out of existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (instead of examining in the very first place their relevance/pertinence or its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought); in so doing, analysing its meaning as essence instead of analysing it as non-veridical hollow mimicking form or vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging or meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated or non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives. What the psychopath is doing is ‘SLANTING’ as of compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising. That is to arrive at a sought-outcome by subknowledging-or-mimicking the non-veridical hollow-form of the meaning of other persons supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism narratives which it perceives as ‘being blatantly deterministic’ of the views and actions of the ‘normal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind’, i.e. the psychopath is ‘narrating veridical emptiness/hollow narratives’. The idea being about arriving at a sought-outcome by taking a posture that does not attach a depth of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism on narratives but rather simply ‘the mere possibility of the hollow narratives being articulated, and then integrated by interlocutors as real’. Thus the psychopathic postlogic mindset and by derivation conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration mindset is one of relating to meaningfulness as valid by ‘the mere performative-form representation of meaningfulness’ rather than veracity/ontological-pertinence of meaningfulness. The psyche is thus fundamentally one geared towards how to perform in interlocution rather than express a genuine sense of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and hence the disposition for extrinsic-attribution by active social-aggregation-enabling. Meaningfulness is seen not as an end-construct that is of passive social determinism by its inherent veracity/ontological-pertinence as of intrinsic-attribution associated with transcendental-enabling/sublimating, but rather as a potent and active construct of social determinism which requires actually eliciting a sought after outcome and not a notion of intrinsic existential/ontological inheritance. This mental-disposition is qualified as epistemic-decadence or postlogism and its derivation/adoptation by temporal-dispositions is derived-epistemic-decadence in conjugated-postlogism. More precisely, it is critical to distinguish between the notion of slanting (cingle in French) as postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation and the notion of a lie which is prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (be it a ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’) as with a lie the implied-logical-dueness (with the corresponding implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry elements) are existentially veridical with the ‘lying deception’ being of ad-hoc exaggeration or omission or inappropriate accounting of circumstantiality and/or factuality but as of ‘effectively due’ logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. The narratives-and-acts-foci of the set-of-narratives of a ‘lying deception’ do not successively shift (as with slanting) but carry an overall coherence implying deception-but-as-of-successively-cohering-narratives. This is because a lie is more of deception arising out of ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) ad-hocly articulated as deception-but-as-of-successively-cohering-narratives to resolve the ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s), and lying doesn’t fundamentally imply where such ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) is non-existent the interlocutor will still not be predisposed to a veridical and appropriate logical-engagement/interlocution/implicitation.
This equally explains why a lie collapses as a whole (or whole pieces of the lie) since such a collapse arises out of the truth/ontological-veridicality resolution of the contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) behind the coherent structure(s) of the lying deception. Slanting on the other hand speaks of a fundamental pathological faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge associated with postlogism-as-of-compulsing-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction (and by extension ‘derived-slanting’ induced as conjugated-postlogism-opportunism and conjugated-postlogism-exacerbation arises out of purposeful enculturation/endemisation of the slanting habit where it is viewed by some interlocutors of the psychopath as socially-functional-and-accordant, since its manifestation is not universally transparent as ontologically decadent); due to the slanted child psychopathy mind’s developmental incompleteness (as it is so focussed on attaining its sought after outcome in advance that it construes of ‘presupposing/presuming/premising in concurrence’ as an independent mental activity that must not necessarily be derived-and-implied from existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, whereas the latter is exactly what validates logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as a process reflecting existential-reality as of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), with respect to construing meaningfulness as prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, but instead construes meaningfulness as postlogism-as-of-compulsing-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation explaining the circular nature and its particularly overblown extrinsic-attribution mental-disposition to elicit social-aggregation-enabling over relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating with regards to inherent reality and meaningfulness. The peculiarity of slanting is that it is deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts wherein the initiation of a hollow falsehood narrative is followed by the projection of another hollow falsehood narrative on the basis of the former as if the former was true, and the projection of another falsehood narrative on the basis of the previous one as if the previous one was true, and so on. Thus slanting doesn’t have a ‘coherent whole of narratives’ with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as is the case when someone tells a lie, and actually where such a ‘coherent whole of narratives’ with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is wrongly implied about slanting, it has to do with prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation mind/mental-disposition ‘wrongly conjoining the succession of slanting narratives from the last iterated slanted narrative’ to wrongly imply that the slanting psychopath narratives are a ‘coherent whole of narratives as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, and this is the mechanism that induces conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration by some interlocutors of the adult psychopath, whether conscious or unconsciously. It is interesting to note that at childhood psychopathy where the mental-disposition is relatively universally-transparent what is perceived and related to by supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism interlocutors is not a ‘coherent whole of narratives’ but a deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect/mental-unsoundness-effect arising out of its contemplation (as if it were true), pointing
out that the reality of mental-states in wrong prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation alignment to psychopathic slanting is actually a mental-unsoundness not different as contemplating aligning in supplaning–conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism to the childhood psychopathy slanting as with the dereifying example of spilling water on a chair and accusing another. A salient comparison that strongly highlights the difference between slanting and lying, is that a lying child doesn’t come across as delirious since its lying deception is a coherent whole as of contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) while a slanting deception is as of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge due to psychopathic developmental failure to relate to meaningfulness as of prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation with the personality development out of that developmental failure bringing about the adult psychopath slanting mental-disposition with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and as the adult psychopath developed maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, induces interlocutors prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism alignment to its postlogic compulsioning–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-suprerogation narratives whereas at childhood psychopathy interlocutors will not align in-prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologismly (in order not to wrongly conjoin the psychopathic postlogic slanting narratives as deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts as if of coherent whole as prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism narratives, and this is what actually occurs by inducing conjugated-
postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration in interlocutors at adulthood psychopathy) given the obvious and transparent deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect associated with slanting over a slant over a slant, successively. Hence, this slanting deception (deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts) is also qualified as deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives or deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Thus, with slanting the implied-logical-dueness (with the corresponding implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry elements) are existentially unreal/non-veridical/flawed explaining the meaningful emptiness/hollowness of slanting (as not even an exaggeration or omission or inappropriate accounting of circumstantiality and/or factuality as of ‘effectively due’ logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation), thus explaining why ‘slanting and derived-slanting’ is construed as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as opposed to lying deception construed in a shade of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought. Insightfully, it points out as well that the basis of the postlogism/psychopathic induced deception is not the psychopath itself (as it is commonly asserted about psychopathic manipulation), but rather it lies in the very nature of the reasoning of the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation interlocutor mental engagement reflex who ‘aligns in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’ as it will ‘normally do’ with other prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism minds to a postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation mind, and then wrongly validates that the postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation mind is
in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. In order words, the operation of the psychopathic mind as of its incomplete mentation development (as inclined to induce a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception) as it fails to construe meaningfulness as based on prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation but rather as based on postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation with its personality development into adulthood on this basis, paradoxically leads to the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind’s deception since the latter operates on the basis that everyone must be supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism (be it ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ at worst) and the notion of postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation doesn’t register naturally except where the personality development of the childhood psychopathy into an adult psychopath is experienced closely, and the adulthood psychopath mentation processes structure can be retraced to the delirious mentation processes structure at childhood psychopathy when it is universally transparent as maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness continually developed during its personality development into adulthood psychopathy now enables it becoming socially-functional-and-accordant. This induced deception does not however occur at childhood psychopathy since it is very much transparent as a deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect as the childhood psychopathy has hardly achieved maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness of its slanting-deception mental-disposition. What underlies the slanting of the psychopath is its rather unnuanced understanding and gauging of social situations and social cues as out of existential-contextualising-contiguity by its dereification on a mental-processing disposition that is
rather a ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’, and so in contrast with the expected ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-processing’ of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism dispositions in existential-contextualising-contiguity, however bad-or-poor their ontological-performance of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mental-processing. This underlies the apparent vividness of interlocution with the psychopath especially with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction due to a ‘supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism manifestation of the interlocutor by compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation manifestation of the psychopath cross-perception effect’ wherein the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutor by its mental-reflex is wrongly inclined to perceive and so specifically with adult psychopathy a ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-processing’ in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification with regards to the psychopath ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’ as to inducing the interlocutor reifying perception of the psychopath’s dereifying projection of existential-contextualising-contiguity, while the psychopath view of the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutor’s supposedly ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-processing’ in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification is rather as of its ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’ inclination as to inducing the interlocutors reifying perception of the psychopath’s dereifying projection of existential-contextualising-contiguity. While at childhood
psychopathy such a ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’ as to inducing the interlocutors reifying perception of the psychopath’s dereifying projection of existential-contextualising-contiguity is socially inefficacious and trouble-inducing giving the deliriousness effect from universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-{(amplituding)formative}epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} of its acts, at adulthood psychopathy the lack of such universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-{(amplituding)formative}epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} of the postlogism-slantedness rather makes the latter ‘sound impassioned/stirring/vivid/spirted’ to the unsuspecting interlocutor who by mental-reflex wrongly assumes as ontologically-veridical the falsely implied existential-contextualising-contiguity, giving the psychopath life-long learnedness and adaptation from its childhood inefficacy as of its increasing maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness with adulthood, and this latter ‘apparently impassioned/stirring/vivid/spirted but rather falsely implied existential-contextualising-contiguity’ disposition tends to be socially enculturated/endemised as of conjugated-postlogism. But then, more than just the deception this state of affairs has a further nefarious effect on the natural human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, as the induced ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-{(amplituding)formative}epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} with respect to intrinsic meaningfulness further elicits supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism minds temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-
negative-social-aggregation-temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, which can actually be more decisive grounds for the perpetuation of psychopathy as social-psychopathy, as the fact is the psychopath is very much pathological and tends to act compulsively in its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception as of circumstantiality.

disposition’ which is why humankind pursues institutionalisations as devising human collective emancipation from base-institutionalisation to universalisation to positivism and prospectively to deprocrypticism in resolving the vices-and-impediments of their respective uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism. But exactly for the purpose of ensuring the perpetuation of this human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation capacity (as in enabling futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism) as the very essence of human virtue itself, it is equally important to understand how institutionalisation comes to be limited at successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor) to grasp how we can then supersede/transcend prospectively. ‘Human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ refers to our fixation to the mere-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought but failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> prospective intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication as construed from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought, and as revealed by this prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’s-elucidation-of-

effectively acquiesce to as of the uninstitutionalised-thresholds but will rather have a mental complex when this is implied prospectively to imply our uninstitutionalised-threshold as procrypticism, just as all registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto displayed a mental complex when their construal as uninstitutionalised-threshold is implied. Thus this implied human ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as driven by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence will explain the specific natures of registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought (as ‘underlying scheduling of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’) behind the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose peculiar psychologisms/psychologism-constructs of meaningfulness in explaining the empirical-realities of the various anthropological societies mindsets/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology; whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation psychologism, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation psychologism, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism psychologism, positivism–procrypticism psychologism, and prospectively deprocrypticism psychologism equally qualified as suprastructuralism. Hence, our present positivism mental-disposition is just one of human historical psychologisms/psychologism-constructs, and it is not absolute as to imply there aren’t or weren’t other human psychologisms/psychologism-constructs, wherein in their own realisation, perception and thought they are ‘not decentered’ and ‘not preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-caricaturing-hollow-staging-and-performance rather so construed from a higher psychologism’s articulation of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as ontologically-veridical. Thus,
deprocryp
ticism as decentering and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism
the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview reference-of-thought will certainly imply an
altogether different psychologism of meaningfulness-and-teleology as suprastructuralism. It
should be noted that the implied meaning of psychologism here has to fundamentally do with
a psychology arising out of ontological development in the construal of intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality establishing a mindset/reference-of-thought of
meaningfulness-and-teleology with its psychologism/psychologism-construct, and so it is
ontologically-driven. As further ontological development in the construal of intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality arises (as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)) a renewing of mindset/reference-of-
thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology with its corresponding
psychologism/psychologism-construct occurs, with this ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process leading to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions
reference-of-thought psychologisms/psychologism-constructs, and implied prospectively as
well with the deprocrypticism worldview/dimension reference-of-thought
psychologism/psychologism-construct. Critically, a psychologism/psychologism-construct
takes an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument form
that construes meaningfulness from the prior (and even lower) registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism up to its own registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism as of its more profound
existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in
reflecting/perspectivating their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-
induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-caricaturing-hollow-staging-and-

Basically, this idea of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ as metaphysics-of-absence points out that ontological analysis should rather be from the prospectively implied ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’, and in this instance implying an ontological analysis of psychopathy and social psychopathy from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview reference-of-thought and not the present positivism–procrypticism, just as analysing notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery should rather be from the prospective positivism registry-worldview reference-of-thought and not its present universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview reference-of-thought; as of the fact of fundamental registry-worldview/dimension ‘prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<-as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>, so construed in order to supersedes its structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments. Paradigmatically, this idea extends to all issues implying metaphysics-of-absence ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’. This brings home the underlying notion of rational-realism as construed herein, as rational-realism attends to
the idea of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\(<\text{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,}-\text{as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,}-\text{eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)}\) as enabling its more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by way of a concurrently more and more ‘rational realistic’ construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of a natural human psychological growth disposition (‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’). Wherein, going by its first impulse with respect to its ‘construal/conceptualisation activity as of its coming into existence in the world’, human natural mental-reflex starts out with a simplistic idealism to account at one fell swoop for the comprehensiveness/complexity of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality it faces and has to contend with while construing/conceptualising fundamental meaningfulness-and-teleology. This then gives rise to such a simplistic idealism of the natural idea of Gods or God or Spirits, as taking away the chore of understanding and purpose, and giving a sense of intuitive guidance, hope, peace of mind and as to what humans should expect in their existence. But as of the intrinsic-reality constraints of having to deal with matters of the world on its own by developing notions of understanding and purposefulness as the mere imagination of God or Gods or Spirits by itself doesn’t give agency (or at the least ‘perceived’ sufficient agency) in resolving human issues of the world and making its need for understanding and purposefulness go away. This induces a bifurcation of human intellectual-and-moral allegiance to the supernatural and the real in adjunction, as of their ‘perceived’ effectiveness. With a commitment to the idealism of the supernatural not only as of its ‘perceived’ virtuous import, but as of ‘perceived’ nefarious effects to human nolition to it, man hangs on to both an effective realistic as well as idealistic conceptualisation/construal in existence. Such a growth psychology ultimately goes beyond construing idealism as the supernatural but as a complement to more and more profound
realistic understanding and purposefulness in existence, but then having to readjust such idealism wherein the real as of its critical import to critical existence issues increasingly comes to take presence as of its effectiveness. Such that as construed today, human history overall has been an exercise in toning down the grander notion of idealism as of notions of the supernatural, essences and metaphysical ideals, and enabling increasing permutation and/or superseding of such notions with an effectiveness-driven realism leading to a general and increasing elevation of knowledge as the-human-and-social-emancipator, the present ascendency of philosophies increasingly concerned with the human realities of existence (strongly so, lately with such movements as positivism, phenomenology, existentialism and post-structuralism) and science in all its facets whether physical, biological or social, as well as a human-centeredness of arts and culture. Rational-realism is grounded on this historic empirical state of affairs of increasing human realism in taking hold of its destiny on ‘the premise of a deference to intrinsic-reality as of its effective inherence validated by ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework’ that has accompanied human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) in construing/conceptualising meaningfulness-and-teleology. Rational-realism thus finds in the grander notion of idealism, an avowal of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) that actually is behind all threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation— preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; with the idea that there is no place to hide behind idealisms and that human emancipation and virtue has been and is fundamentally about buckling down and undertaking the requisite effort in ‘understanding for real’ and not differing to ‘thin air’ in the
name of idealism. Rational-realism pushes the grander notion of realism further by asking the question, have all the idealisms as of the grander idealism been identified and superseded? It comes to the conclusion that while that has been decisively the case with supernaturalism, belief in essences and metaphysical idealism, as of structural/paradigmatic social implications, one other sort of idealism remains to be recognise as ‘false realism’; the idealism that doesn’t grasp what man itself is, rather as overly indulgent in not recognising how a thorough understanding of itself in enabling pivoting/decentering is effectively the strongest asset for its full emancipation. Central to such a most basic realism is grounding human knowledge of itself and thereof all knowledge on the ‘mediocrity principle’ as to enable the full construal of both metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics-of-absence ontologies as enabling a further human emancipation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism, deprocrypticism psychologism. This is the insight behind the articulation of the social construed in threshold terms of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction rather as socially-functional-and-accordant. This insight further divulges the reality across all registry-worldviews/dimensions of ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ and ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’, as powerful conceptualisations for framing issues in their appropriate psychologism however unpalatable/inconveniencing, as history has always shown that unpalatability, inconvenience and contrariety have always been the test that all humans have had to undergo to effectively achieve their respective prospective registry-worldview/dimension transcendence, and the more complete conceptualisation of knowledge goes beyond its technicalities and plainness to imply its underlying sense of dedication as the very intemporal-solipsism as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality disposition behind
its creation, cultivation and projection. And as with all previous realism drives, the idea of rational-realism is not as an articulation within the finite scope of the present meaningfulness-and-teleology frame of thought and social-stake-contention-or-confliction but rather carries a prospective scope, just as the vocation of the realism of a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought in a non-positivistic social-setup should not be about elaborating meaning as of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology to engage the non-positivistic social-setup in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of its non-positivistic sense of social-stake-contention-or-confliction of human relations as that will certainly just induce an ‘idle circularity and contrariety’ within the non-positivistic social-setup. But rather the point is all about recognising ‘human prospective institutionalisation capacity as the very essence of human virtue’ available to all humans past and present, that enabled this animal among all creatures to be engaged in a grander collective exercise of ‘existential-tautological eudaemonic-contemplation’ (as of human ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness’), to imply that there is a prospective virtuous possibility of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation that can be grasped, and so expressed in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the notion of social-stake-contention-or-confliction of that prospective institutionalisation psychologism, just as the vocation of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought is all about eliciting the notion of social-stake-contention-or-confliction in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of positivistic psychologism to imply that the non-positivistic community has the capacity and should come to terms with its human emancipatory institutionalisation potential. Insightfully, the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument comparison can be used to reveal the ‘perpetually stable temporal-to-intemporal nature of human mental-disposition as of institutionalisation or uninstitutionalised-threshold’, across all registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought but for the fact that they have different
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for perpetuating-deprocrypticism). Supposed there was no apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument defect (no perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) with social universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing.—as-to-entailing—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the calculations to be done, it is fair to say ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ in this reference-of-thought is of quasi-intemporal-disposition (and the whole point of human knowledge aspiration and virtue is to achieve this state or deferential-states-of-this-state as with formalisations and percolation-channelling). Thus calculations (logically-derived meaningfulness) in such an institutionalised framework are effectively in ontological-good-faith/authenticity but for failure in performance as defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance. But then human existential-reality comes with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with limited grasp of intrinsic-reality at various stages of human emancipation up to the present day, such that social universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing.—as-to-entailing—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) required for ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ has been made transcendentally available only in partial construals/conceptualisations that are as-of existential-reality, and where non-available at uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is naïve to construe human mental-disposition as of quasi-
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intemporal-disposition; as the anthropological and historical evidence consistently points to a
different structure with regards to the ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-
disposition’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-
context elucidated ontological-normaley/postconvergence. It points to a fundamental
structural disposition for human temporalities-drives to adhere to the
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal–mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
(failing/not-upholding<-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-
normaley/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-
deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} by a re-equilibrating
metaphysics-of-absence/postdication) of the given registry-worldview/dimension, when
incapable of construing a prospective registry-worldview reference-of-thought as providing
the resolution for the vices-and-impediments associated with such a present registry-
worldview/dimension institutionalisation. Such notions as the following that can be at the
very centre of ways of thought in various social-setups or subcultures are not fortuitous but
speaks of the reality (as metaphysics-of-absence) of the notion of ‘human temporal
uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ that structurally/paradigmatically
‘notionally acquiesce to the possibility of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
temporality/shortness and is non-transcendental to that possibility’: she deserves to be rape
because she was scantily clad as well dressed women will not be raped; his goods deserve to
be stolen as he didn’t look after them properly; those people/group/ethnicity deserved what happened to them because they are so and so; etc.

[We can note here that such statements as of a variance of more banal to weightier nature can be made as being socially-functional-and-accordant (without or hardly any negative consequences at the acceptable socially-functional-and-accordant-threshold like being repudiated or incriminated, etc.), construed as ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ in the same social space that statements of ‘maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation’ are made but with both construed in the conventioning of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as effectively ‘non-dissociable’, thus validating the notion that institutionalisation is not about solipsistic transformation into the intemporality-drive (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology disposition) but rather about acceptable thresholds for the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation defined social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, explaining why uninstitutionalised-thresholds are bound to arise successively in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (out-of-human temporality) together with corresponding prospective institutionalisations (out of-human intemporality) with the latter enabling <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of defined social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of the notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. This equally explain why
and in particular in certain domains like the philosophical construed as ‘notional philosophical’ (by its very ‘first-ontology responsibilities’), the social-construct conventioning cannot and should not be considered and related to as an absolute determinant of meaningfulness, value and worth as it is more of a conventioning however ontologically-informed the conventioning, and ‘the need for the social-construct further development requires that it can utterly be put into question by pure-ontology conflatedness with no conventioning complexes’! (As a reminder, the notion of intemporality/temporality is an ontological-as-of-being construct and the apparent references to virtue imply the subsumed construal of virtue by the ontological-as-of-being construct, such that it is important to grasp that all notions articulated herein are ontological, just as the notions of the being domains-of-study of the natural world are ontological, and the high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction nature of the being domains-of-study of the social world should not naively imply a construct that isn’t ontological or otherwise, as in both instances the aspiration is for ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as an otherness from any emotional-involvement/subjectification/notional <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag predilection of the inquirer’. This elucidation is equally to highlight that the idea of socially-functional-and-accordant ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-dissociability is beyond just a construal as of virtue analysis but rather an ontological analysis, as it applies in all social conceptualisations of performance and functionality whether virtuous or virtuously-neutral but necessarily as of the social being/existence domains-of-study.) The conventioning of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction effectively ‘non-dissociable’ modular construal of temporal-dispositions and intemporal-disposition
rather as of socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds, has deterministic implications with regards to ‘interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis’ as well as ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuation-level of analysis’; for construing the implications of such ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-dissociability social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction effectiveness-or-ineffectiveness and ontological-resolution as of ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism by way of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ in resolving registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance capabilities, as the very foundational operant conceptualisation of an ontologically-contiguous ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’. This fundamentally highlights a ‘notional~conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness dynamic relationship’ with meaningfulness-and-teleology as directly reflecting ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dynamics (in abstractly elucidating any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘suprastructuration’ or its ‘suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology synopsising-depth as of the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reconstrual of superseding–oneness-of-ontology’, and so by the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in corresponding snowballing succession of synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology reconstrual going by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implications); involving successively, <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in–‘trepidatious-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/’second-level presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of socially-
functional-and-accordant ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-
dissociability-{as of universalisation constraining universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism, and non-constraining
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality prospective
institutionalisation as positivism), <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-intervalist-
as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-
enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/’third-level presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of socially-
functional-and-accordant ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-
dissociability-\{as of positivism/rational-empiricism constraining positivising/rational-
empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism, and non-constraining ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
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incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/epistemic-totalising-intervalist-as-
categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-
enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/epistemic-totalising-ratio-
contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of Stevens taxonomy, ‘possibly reveal an
unrecognised mathematical depth in the reality of the evolved human condition’ rendering
possible the full mathematised interpretation of the social sciences as of
‘conflatedness/conflation of analysis’ (just as the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating constructed scientific reference-of-thought of the natural
sciences, as ontological-reference-of-thought, revealed a mathematical depth that enabled
their full mathematisation; as mathematics just like logic cannot reveal the full intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating constructed reference-of-thought/axiomatic-framework of a domain-of-study like the social but once it is revealed enables its full mathematisation)! Critically, central to attaining (intemporal) ontological-
contiguity as of the deprocrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-
for-social-functioning-and-accordance with no-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-non-
dissociability (due to social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of deprocryptism meaningfulness-and-teleology), is equally the need to supersede human ‘emotional involvement’. As ‘emotional-involvement’ is self-centering-and-definitional of human consciousness as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification, but actually such reality is otherwise of the same ontologically-veridical nature as existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflicatedness into which everything else is caught into as superseding–oneness-of-ontology (even though our high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction will often tend to induce a relatively flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology construal in this regard, that explains our metaphysics-of-presence mental-disposition). Thus an appropriate ontologically-veridical social-conceptualisation and/or storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration as aetiological/ontologically-escalatory that has the capacity to supersede the inherent human high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction specific element (which tend to denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology construal, as high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is behind manifest human ‘non-dissociability’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance temporal-to-intemporal thresholds’ within the ontological scope of any given institutionalisation), should be able to imply the same underlying ontologically-
veridical existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<\textit{amplituding}>formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness of the superseding—oneness-of-ontology as any other truly ontologically-veridical conceptualisation, be it of animate or inanimate nature. The implication being that the underlying notional <\textit{amplituding}>formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag(of our ‘emotional-involvement’ as self-centering-and-definitional of human consciousness as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification) can perfectly be escaped from to more profound and unsuspecting depths of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology construal (enabling ‘dissociability of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance temporal-to-intemporal thresholds’ ontologically), and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, ushering in ‘an ontologically-veridical existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<\textit{amplituding}>formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness contemplation to a point that subsumes equably both animate-existentia-reference/subjectification and inanimate-existentia-effecting, wherein the underlying teleological-determinism of human functional and performance thresholds are effectively desubjectifiable-as-objectifiable to the point of attaining ‘effecting teleological-determination’ of the same level as inanimate ‘effecting determination’ (with little temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology construal), and so enabled with the referentialism technique of point-referencing for conflation in construing temporal-to-intemporal contrastive-
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology construal for a sounder and sounder relationship with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; an idea we appreciate as we can garner that we, as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, are relatively psychologically geared to handle meaningfulness in a relatively objective way than say a non-positivism/medievalism mindset cannot and rather parse over towards arriving at its final ‘greater egotistic or
<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag driven’ belief/conclusion and this explains why their mental-dispositions were relatively alchemic, feudal of mentality, etc. For instance and why the corresponding transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antihilism of our registry-worldview enabled the natural sciences to arise, our relatively developed sense of democracy, globalisation, etc. Likewise we can appreciate with such phenomena today like ‘fake news’ easily spreading socially and often just as ‘real news’ our very own limitations of transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antihilism meaning fulness-and-teleology construal as manifested in our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview, with the implication of metaphysics-of-absence insight that a prospective registry-worldview as deprocrypticism will be an improvement over our transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antihilism
and-teleology as its institutionalisation which rather points to an inclination for
transcendability and dementability as of organic-knowledge once it does conceptualise the
veridicality of the uninstitutionalised-threshold as ontologically-flawed. Such construal of
temporal individuations threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism at uninstitutionalised-
threshold is critical because then and in effect, the mental-reflex to ontologically validate
these as of reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation
mental-disposition so-construed as of sound/existential-contextualising-contiguity logical-
dueness is ontologically put into question given the perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-
reference-of-thought¬<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation>. Such that ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating is projectable about the uninstitutionalised-threshold,
and not as it is circularly construed within the uninstitutionalised-threshold frame as a
construal of logical pertinence (logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-
apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation), but rather involving priorly the
determination of temporal individuations threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as these fail to reflect soundness-or-
authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, that is, establishing whether or not there is perversion-
and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought¬<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in the first place
before any implication of logical-dueness/logical-pertinence arises. Consider as of
metaphysics-of-absence or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence analysis the case of
notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-positivistic social-setup uninstitutionalised-
threshold which is rather in want of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology. Effectively

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of such non-positivism reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such that it is not a logical exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) that is in order which will rather be circular as fundamentally operating on false non-positivism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of superstition but rather one of determination of temporal individuations threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as this reflects postlogism denaturing and conjugated-postlogism derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as deconstruction of ontological-veridicality in implying and projecting about the prospective institutionalisation as of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (rather than a naïve operation of logic as is further highlighted below). The fact is with or without postlogism and derived conjugated-
postlogism, human reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation tends to be relative. That is, even within a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation basis we don’t necessarily function socially absolutely on the basis of veridical sound logic as we are limited by capacity (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) given our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and secondly by arbitrariness in the choices we make, and this get even worst at the uninstitutionalised-threshold. Consider in this regard even the case of Heidegger as one of the greatest thinker of the last century in his ‘perplexed cooperation’ with the Nazi regime. The closest we come to absolute reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation has to do with the abstract and uncompromising determination of mathematical meaningfulness, and receding more and more as we get towards domains of increasing ‘emotional involvement’ (the social) as ontological-veridicality increasingly takes a backseat to extricatory/temporal paradigms and further so with respect to increasing informality as in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of all human institutions, and particularly where social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) is blurred and not forthcoming as logic tends out to be an issue of making-a-mistake-at-one-moment-expressing-the-most-profound-conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation-at-the-other-moment in a circular reference-of-thought. This tendency is further exacerbated with the dynamic conjugation of temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) to postlogism-slantedness. This reality of our reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation as being in effect subpar rather than absolute and specifically more compromised at uninstitutionalised-threshold and as associated with postlogism as conjugated-postlogism is what qualifies contextually as temporal individuations threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as a temporal mental-disposition defect contrasted to a wrongfully implied supposedly reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as of ontologically-sound mental-disposition. This manifestation as a social dynamic (dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect) of such contrastive threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation takes the form of temporal-to-intemporal social interlocutors beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existentiel-extrication-as-of-existentiel-unthought> de-convergence as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. Such a distinction particular at the uninstitutionalised-threshold is required because it then implies ontologically the relegation of logical engagement as rather irrelevant and in lieu determines ontological-veridicality by the soundness-of-the-reference-of-thought as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the first place to establish or not perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. This delineation is in line with the idea of human temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) individuations nature as implicitly recognised in the structuring of formal constructs like the law, formal institutions, etc. It equally falls in line with the idea of knowledge-notionalisation on the basis that it is equally critical to understand the possibility of the ignorances just as
<amtformative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)
rendering the prior registry-worldview/dimension threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (as operant construal) untenable. This
brings to the fore the idea that the salient point about human mental-disposition whether construed as of institutionalisation basis or at its uninstitutionalised-threshold has to do with the possibility of attaining or not attaining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness). Where this is effectively attained, it becomes psychically and institutionally untenable for interlocutors to act as of subpar (threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) with regards to reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. This will explain why the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism within a prior registry-worldview/dimension utterly disappears within the prospective registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology, in the sense that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery for instance are not entertained in a positivism social-setup as the positivism/rational-empiricism social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) knows this to be non-veridical ontologically-speaking giving its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This imbued potency in social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) across all registry-worldviews/dimensions is what explains the possibility of social transcendence. The reason for this is that the entire construct of human social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as the ‘social existential contract’ is implicitly built on supposed reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation to meaningfulness-and-teleology as of both the individual’s expectation and the social’s expectation such that failure
in this respect arises mostly surreptitiously since even the most disingenuous individuation
will want the social-construct to function well in order to ‘parasitise’ it, as a failing social-
construct as of ‘universal social surreptitious parasitising/co-opting’ puts even such
individuation in jeopardy. We can appreciate this notion by the fact that even a miscreant will
tend to advance, however dubious, a rationale that is meant to be socially functional.
Basically, the postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism arises out of its temporal individuation’s
surreptitiousness (‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-
totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness) such that it can induce threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism rule) as of marginal social instigation
(consider the targeted nature of the adult psychopath’s
maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness within the scope of social
functionality) while socially enabled circularly (due to the underlying prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as social procrypticism/disjointedness-
as-of-reference-of-thought is itself an enabler for psychopathy just as a non-positivistic
registry-worldview/dimension social superstition is itself an enabler for its corresponding
postlogism for ‘imaginary’ accusations of sorcery); and so, while socially inducing temporal-
dispositions conjugated-postlogisms derived threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and so overall, on the flawed mental-
reflex that such protraction of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism is supposedly
reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (as to the
lack of constraining social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,–as-to-
entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-
completeness)). Such conditions as highlighted above (surreptitiousness, marginality and
circularity) are not fulfilled at childhood psychopathy explaining why conjugated-postlogism
as a social dynamism of protracted threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-
to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism doesn’t
socially take hold then, as such childhood postlogism perversion-of-reference-of-thought–
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> hasn’t superseded the social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-
totalising-entailing,–as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness) in further inducing temporal-dispositions derived-perversion-of-
reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation>. The further implication is that such surreptitiousness,
marginality and circularity with regards to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s temporal-
dispositions are often construed rather as circumventive issues as of temporal extricatory
paradigm, and not by ontological-veridicality insight as of structural/paradigmatic
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity with respect to vices-and-impediments. Thus ensuring
ontological-veridical social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,–as-
to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-
completeness) is structurally/paradigmatically inherently ‘advantaged ultimately’ by the
social-construct functioning. (But then this can rather be achieved in the medium to long term
as of a cross-generational transcendence import and hardly so in the short-run, given that in
the short-run the issue of the registry-worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is a drawback in this respect. As the framework of generalised social referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology is a circular-pervasiveness closed-structure as of the habituated predicative-insights for meaningfulness-and-teleology based on the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of the registry-worldview/dimension as prior (despite the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness). So the transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of projective-insights about the prospective registry-worldview/dimension predicative-insights of meaningfulness-and-teleology going by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought doesn’t supersede the prior’s ‘circular-pervasiveness closed-structure of habituated predicative-insights for meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in the short run. Chinua Achebe’s Things Fall Apart Okonkwo returning from his long banishment construes meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms of the old/prior whereas his Umuofia village which had the same inclination as his as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought before he was banished and likewise at the very beginning of the foreigners cultural diffusion inducing a subsequent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought had moved on to the new/prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology which is now antipodal to his, hence his confliction with his circular-pervasiveness «(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications)>» which is equally a reflection of the confliction the village had had with the same prior circular-pervasiveness «(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-
thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) when
the foreign cultural diffusion arrived before superseding it cross-generationally. We can
equally construe of the inverse situation as in H.G. Well’s The Country of the Blind which
also highlights the implications of relative contrast of ontological-completeness-by-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology
construal where Nunez’s ‘seeing of the environment’ reference-of-thought as of it
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-
thought doesn’t make an impression but is actually frowned upon on the habituated ‘feeling
of the environment’ reference-of-thought as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness.
This is because the personhood and socialhood formation have been constructed in circular-
pervasiveness out of the prior reference-of-thought as ‘feeling of the environment’ explaining
why a registry-worldview is a <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—
averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>) that hardly entertains its own transcendability/dementability, and why
transcendence is rather cross-generational for the requisite personhood and socialhood
psychoanalytic-unshackling exercise to be initiated. Consider that the ‘existential value
references as what is worth living for’ for both Okonkwo and ‘feeling of the environment’
reference-of-thought are temporally construed as definite-and-set as of their given
perspectives or apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-
for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights in the circularly-pervasive closed-structure of
their reference-of-thought’ despite their respective inherent prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought without room for countenancing new perspective-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-new-
predicative-insights overcoming their circularly-pervasive closed-structure of reference-of-thought, speaking of their distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Interestingly, facing their respective conundrum to take a drastic and immediate decision as of their ‘existential value references as what is worth living for’, and without the prospect for cross-generational adjustment, their decisions are equally dramatic in terms of considering physically doing away with Nunez’s notion of ‘seeing of the world’ reference-of-thought, and Okonkwo’s tragic acts upon the foreigners messenger and subsequently upon himself. This reflects the mental-disposition of all registry-worldviews uninstitutionalised-thresholds, including our own as positivism–procrypticism as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with regards to their ‘existential value references as what is worth living for’ rather temporally construed as definite-and-set as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought notwithstanding any notion of relative prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Furthermore, it should be noted that the relative validity of a prospective
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights ‘is not at all about the demonstrable instantiative logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation validity’ but rather such a demonstration is more structurally/paradigmatically, together with all other such demonstrations of the prospective
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apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights, ‘a contributory invalidation of the prior
<amplitudating>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights in its circular-pervasiveness’ at its uninstitutionalised-
threshold as of its ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-
of-thought; thus qualified as transcendence suprastructuration. Just as the exercise of
demonstrative convincing on the basis of a scientific principle within a non-positivistic social
context ‘is not at all about the demonstrable instantiative logical-processing-or-logical-
implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation validity’
but rather structurally/paradigmatically, together with all other such demonstrations as of
scientific and positivistic principles/axioms/reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-
teleology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights, ‘a contributory invalidation of non-scientific and
non-positivism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights in circular-pervasiveness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold
We can grasp an abstract sense of this situation as follows. Supposed human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplitudating>formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as inducing
more and more profound projective-insights construed as the successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments representing
perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) will hardly countenance operating the perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights of the former as more ontologically profound, given its ‘circular-pervasiveness closed-structure of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements for earth landscape aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements’ on the basis of its ‘sea-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’; and this same mental-reflex applies successively to relatively ‘lower-level-heights perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (prior registry-worldviews/dimensions) with respect to relatively ‘higher-level-heights perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions). The fundamental difficulty is that ‘no given perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (registry-worldview/dimension) recognises that there is any above it, and by reflex circularly undertakes predicative-insights from its perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (and it is only the long run cross-generational habituation construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics with the prior ontologically construed as decentered and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, with the implication that
its logical-dueness doesn’t exist just as the logical-dueness of the animist reference-of-thought with their God of plane proposition doesn’t ontologically exist.) We can grasp as well that it is the ‘space-satellite-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (as deprocrypticism) that ultimately provides the ideal ‘ascertaining-perspectives for gauging the overall earth landscape’. Besides, why the explication herein is necessarily implying a prospective reference-of-thought (as the author in here with a supposed deprocrypticism reference-of-thought construal as implying a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over our positivism–procrypticism), the fact is that any transcendental analysis is caught in two worlds as two different reference-of-thought in striving to explicate the ontological pre-eminence of the prospective reference-of-thought as of ontological-normalcy/’postconvergence, thus facing the dilemma that by mental-reflex we are not ‘habituated’ to the notion of our reference-of-thought being construed as ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not thinking’, and so whether speaking of being construed within our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not thinking, within non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not thinking, within ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not thinking, and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not thinking. We can grasp this by imagining how a non-positivism uninstitutionalised-threshold will react when construed as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not thinking with say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery it considers given as a matter of fact, and imagine of such a reaction with a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not thinking representation of
procrypticism/disjoinedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology,
and so in both cases as of the relative ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of non-positivism and procrypticism/disjoinedness-
as-of-reference-of-thought). But then wholly carried out in both instances it will be off-
putting to both prior reference-of-thought, explaining why a transcendental analysis is a
deconstructive-engagement/engaged-destruktion recognising and harnessing the human
potential to psychoanalytically-unshackle. This is more than just an abstract conceptualisation
but an empirical reality of how cultural diffusion possibility as of ‘relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought’ took place historically (and so for instance, as of the relative
‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ allowed to the animist to say ‘God of
plane’ in the view that in due course there will be psychoanalytic-unshackling towards
positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology; considering as well as of registry-worldview level
of analysis that such a conceptualisation of ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-
truth’ is cross-generationally associated with the meeting of cultures wherein their meeting
points often as of cultural and commercial relationships initiate ‘acculturating-indigenising-
pidginising transitioning settings and their social constructions as of
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ prior to
eventual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought accommodation). Likewise, this ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ as of a
deprocrypticism construal herein may elicit a misconstrual from a positivistic perspective
failing to factor in the circular-pervasiveness implied in the notion of positivism–
procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as procrypticism/disjoinedness-as-of-reference-of-
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thought reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and thus failing to grasp the deprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights that construes our positivism–procrypticism as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/not-thinking and decentered, and wrongfully trying to engage meaningfulness-and-teleology in positivism–procrypticism terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct failing to factor in the circular-pervasiveness of the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. (More like a non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought insisting to contendingly engage a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought but failing to grasp the implications as of circular-pervasiveness of being of non-positivistic of reference-of-thought as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Such insight point out that the ‘mental tools’ available to a mental state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to an implied prospective state of base-institutionalisation, the ‘mental tools’ available to a mental state of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to an implied prospective mental state of universalisation, the ‘mental tools’ available to a state of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to an implied prospective mental state of positivism, and prospectively the ‘mental tools’ available to a state of positivism–procrypticism are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to an implied prospective mental-state of deprocrypticism. Thus unlike is the case with issues of
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perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation is rather vague, as the more fundamental issue here is that human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity for construing virtue-as-ontology/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is ‘ever structurally/paradigmatically in need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ and that is what is to be sought after as with the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised striving for base-institutionalisation, the base-institutionalised–ununiversalised striving for universalisation, the universalised–non-positivist/medievalist striving for positivism and in our case the positivist–procryptist striving for deprocrypticism as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; and so as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} enabled by reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
stranding/attributive-dialectics. Such naïve construal of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting is on the impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness basis that human mental capacity is a given as if there is no structural/paradigmatic issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought with no recognition of any such ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process as human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} retrospectively to prospectively. This
equally explains the ontological vagueness when it comes to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation not only with regards to the notions of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting but also such notions associated with positive psychology as positivity, flourishing, emotional intelligence, etc. as naively instigating social (amplituding)formative,epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with their implications when considered at a more profound level turning out to be rather vague and at best palliative since these are not construed structurally/paradigmatically as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating within the framework in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding)formative,epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). In other words, what does it mean in a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mental state to have a positive psychology when its fundamental structural/paradigmatic issue as failing rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism is not factored-in in its virtue-as-ontology construal/conceptualisation? And the same can be asked of us with regards to our positivism—procreticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In which case such vague approaches will simply imply beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology,<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> naïve perpetuation in (amplituding)formative,epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the fundamental vices-and-impediments with both uninstitutionalised-thresholds, thus explaining the fundamental dilemma of all institutional Establishments in their (amplituding)formative,wooden-language—imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reflex such that such notions as forgiveness/overlooking/resetting and notions of positive psychology are rather just a failure to structurally/paradigmatically recognise the implied perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and what we are doing then is ‘re-referencing from the same positivism–procrypticism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ and thus wrongly implying our undementability hence our untranscendability for a structural/paradigmatic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’, and paradoxically thus by implication that there is no relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, to then wrongly imply such articulations of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting and positive-psychology are of intemporal projection
whereas these are actually of conscious or unconscious beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projection. This insight explains the bizarreness we face from time to time discovering that even institutions we imagine should relatively be spared by scandals as human vices-and-impediments like many public-facing institutions, the media, faith institutions, etc. are now-and-then plague with scandals bound to re-occur because of this misunderstanding of knowledge as virtue-as-ontology/ontology articulated above as of structural/paradigmatic nature of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation, and not naïve at best palliative construals in impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness. A further reason for the difficulty has to do thus with the fact that each registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is inherently a metaphysics-of-presence construed as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought that is in a circular-evasiveness from more ontologically-veridical metaphysics-of-absence construals/conceptualisations as implied by prospective relative completeness-of-reference-of-thought which rather construes it as a preconverging-or-dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. The ontological implication is that beforehand/axiomatically with respect to the cross-engagement of a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, the former is priorly invalidated into a preconverging-or-dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought by the latter as a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, invalidating by implication the logical-dueness/logical-pertinence as of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the former. This we can grasp retrospectively in a cross-engagement with say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery between our positivism and the non-positivism/medieval registry-worldview/dimension going by our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. But since we have been habituated as of our existential formation within our \(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\) wooden-language-\(<\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—}\) as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\)> to be in logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation by default and thus always contendingly relevant on the basis of sharing a mutual positivism reference-of-thought, we will hardly entertain though a deprocriptism cross-engagement implied invalidation of our logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation and thus rendering us contendingly irrelevant on the basis of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought construed as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. But then ironically such a undementability posture could as well be adopted by a non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought in its own existential formation that recognises non-positivistic ideas and notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as relevant and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with its logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation valid by default. This point out that there is necessarily a central growth element of a
structural/paradigmatic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology for cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ allowing for dementability and thus transcendability as enabling human virtue-as-ontology/ontology. Further to the points made this far, talk of such a narrative as of such structural/paradigmatic <$\text{(amplituding)}$>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of vices-and-impediments of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought that does not focus on substantive critiquing/assessment of the arguments made but is rather geared to imply beforehand that such arguments are impropriety, is actually nothing more than our falsehood as mortals circularly pretending to imply that humankind-in-its-deficit does have a status above its mortal shortfall, and so paradoxically as a flawed and unsubstantiated route to wrongly imply no such argumentation is admissible. This is often a choice deterrent of institutional and eruditical Establishments of presence failing to recognise that more profound human insights arise from Dionysian dispositions and not just a reflex of looking at the presence as forever given as it is. The bluntness of reality/ontology doesn’t recognise the mortals that we are and we can’t advance our mortal statuses as superseding inherent reality/ontology, but we are rather bound to be much more substantive than that to avoid ‘human closure of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which easily arises given our temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The fact is such an articulation is not idle but rather the requisite fervour associated with many an enlightening thought, however qualified as impropriety, as a <$\text{(amplituding)}$>formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-)<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
arising when we temporally carve away statuses out of the reach of ontological contention making the mortals that we are bigger than intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality.) On any such occasion, ontological-veridicality as of deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is restored by doing away with ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ and articulating a ‘mental break-in’/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology at its procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought from deprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights, just as we’ll appreciate that were the animists insistent say on relating to the plane as God of plane to a point implying their potential non-transcendability as of psychoanalytic-unshackling in due course, ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ is no longer warranted but a direct ‘mental break-in’/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism by a demonstration to uphold ontological-veridicality. Such a demonstration might be construed as of a simple paper plane demonstration of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework principles or extraordinarily a flight from the flight deck with explanation or more extensively articulating that things work by natural causes and effects with no spirits inside them thus implying that a positivism-centered meaningfulness-and-teleology is more ontologically pertinent. Certainly such a ‘mental break-in’/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism demonstration with regards to our procrypticism reference-of-thought as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construed from a deprocrypticism reference-of-thought perspective or apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights will look weird to us going by our circularly
pervasive \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, but it is more of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality even though we are unhabituated to it since it is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-\(<\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}>\) and not yet by social universal-transparency-\{(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-\((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising--in-relative-ontological-completeness\), just as had been the case from the perspective or apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights of all the uninstitutionalised-thresholds reference-of-thought with respect to the ‘mental break-in’/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of their corresponding prospective institutionalisations reference-of-thought. The bigger point being that by definition a reference-of-thought doesn’t fathom the nature and degree of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights. (Thus suggesting base-institutionalisation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, implying universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, suggesting positivism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and suggesting deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism will be perceived initially as ‘bullshit’ going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as of our temporal inclination to subjectification/nombrilism/self-referencing. But then human temporal inclination to utter expletives is not intellectual argument but a mark of intellectual ineptness, with the ‘ontologically relevant’ intellectual issue being about understanding the ‘habituation
exercise’ as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and percolation-channelling involved in the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as pertinent for deprocrypticism ‘without in the very least entertaining’ the
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
) mental-reflex as has been the case across all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure that has always been a drawback as of temporal extricatory paradigm and parasitising/co-
opting inclination subpar to the warranted ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
existential-reality perpetually upholding the currency in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process across-the-times; as at this point,
intellectual commitment overtly meets ontology.) Explained in other terms, implying in a
non-positivism social-setup that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are inherently vices-and-
impediments as of the transcendental prospective positivism prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought will-not-be-convincing-on-a-par-with-other-
argumentators in that social-setup but rather for such temporal/shortness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology purpose requires making a ‘temporal palliation argument’ of
the type oneself or another person is not involved in sorcery or a counterargument that the
accuser is the sorcerer, and so on the basis of the prior non-positivism prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, to-be-more-convincing-on-a-par-with-
other-argumentators in that non-positivism social-setup (but then all this will wrongfully
validate superstition and thus fail the very point of ontology/aetiologisation/ontological-
escalation as an exercise in ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating as intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/asymmetrisation and not a temporal extrication exercise of ‘social-aggregation-enabling as of symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought, as this is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and/or desymmetrisation for perceived temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction’). Thus there is a fundamental ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality argumentation handicap in the short run for undermining the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery associated with the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought social referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology which is ‘superstitious’ in the very first instance such that any argumentator putting into question superstitiousness like there is nothing like sorcery is ‘shooting itself on the foot’ in the short run. It is rather the long run cross-generational resolution construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics by superseding the prior non-positivism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by ‘continuous habituation going by the latter’s ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in the long run as superseding the prior beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-⟨in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought⟩ and initiating the appropriate prospective social universal-transparency-⟨transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness⟩ that will structurally/paradigmatically harken back to undermine the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism grounded on notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery associated with the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension. That is, it is by turning the non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic
ideas where the former provide in the big picture the possibility for the social-construct to function better by social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) at a cross-generational depth of analysis, and equally explains human historical suspicions of new ideas just in case their social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) turn out to be better and possibly leading to the dismantling of the prior and vested and contingent interests. It should be grasped that the comprehensiveness/dynamic-cumulative-aferffect of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (as an operant construal) at its uninstitutionalised-threshold is what defines it as uninstitutionalised-threshold which is decentered and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism from the prospective institutionalisation perspective while that of its reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (as an operant construal) of its institutionalisation is what defines it as prior institutionalisation. (As implied by this author the nature of human individuations accounts respectively for human intemporality/longness and human temporality/shortness as the ‘more fundamentally ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework analysable operant agency of the human condition as of human knowledge-and-virtue or vices-and-impediments respectively as such individuations then accrue in varying degrees in individuals as of varying circumstances’; and so-construed respectively as of intemporal individuation conflatedness which enables prospective institutionalisations or temporal individuations distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought that induce uninstitutionalised-thresholds at all the institutionalisations uninstitutionalised-threshold.)

The conceptual technique for disambiguating individuations as to reference-of-thought–
human intemporal and temporal mental-dispositions that establish the ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of meaningfulness-and-teleology whether as of
‘direct or derived vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-
of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging out of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ with temporal-
dispositions or logical-dueness as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context with the intemporal/conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation mental-disposition; so-construed as of their contrastive-synopsising-depths-
of-meaningfulness-and-teleology rather for a ‘conflation construal/conceptualisation’ and not
a rather deceptive analytical reflex of ‘constitutedness of reference-of-thought construal/conceptualisation’. The fact is by mental-reflex we relate to social meaningfulness-
and-teleology by constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity which by habit or chance will often turn out to be as of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of the
institutionalisation ambits of the domain-of-concern preceding so-established/so-
institutionalised by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and
so with hardly any consequence for our methodological imprecision/inexactitude where the
established/institutionalised reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-
The implication is that postlogism/psychopathy and other human temporal phenomena (and so, across all registry-worldviews) which speak of uninstitutionalised-threshold are often wrongfully construed on the basis of intemporal secondnatured institutionalisation human nature whereas the conflatedness requires ‘synopsising-depth of a human temporal-to-intemporal nature’ and so by conflatedness to establish the uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology rather as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (construed as intimately tying down our limited-mentation-capacity by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring to the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) as should be the case at all uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so over the mental-reflex of assuming secondnatured institutionalisation reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (construed as letting our limited-mentation-capacity by unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring out of the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) as the latter is only practically effective when dealing with an already established human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/institutionalised-construct but not at uninstitutionalised-threshold which require their own new specific reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology which so established then enables the practical effectiveness of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Consider the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair and accusing another, even at that relatively social universal-
there is a chance of mistaking as with the visitor sitting on the wet chair and needing an explanation of the whole situation including the child’s condition, and such insight gets more and more opaque with the manifestation of adulthood psychopathy. This is an uninstitutionalised-threshold situation which is necessarily beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> and without social universal-transparency-<transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-＜(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) level of the visitor. This example is exactly along the lines of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology needed for construing postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism as of its social model at uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so by way of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (the latter is what sets up apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments and is of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, in contrast to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which is what renders-operant/incidenting predicative-insights). It is only then that such an established institutionalisation framework allows for elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity on the basis of the established reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
Such a conceptualisation/construal is dramatically different from how we ordinarily conceive the construal of social meaningfulness-and-teleology before the institutionalisation of such a specific uninstitutionalised-threshold takes place. (Consider in this respect how the visitor erred in its relation with the childhood psychopathy on the basis of its commonly assumed social elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. At this individuation-level representation of the disambiguation of the transcending and transcended registry-worldviews, the visitor is using the ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights’ of positivism–procrypticism that do not factor in the possibility of the childhood psychopathy’s slantedness as inducing procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology going by the visitor’s relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of positivism–procrypticism, while the explainer of the situation has factored in deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology to preempt the induced procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology from the childhood psychopathy slantedness. At this individuation-level, the fact is that in order to be certain to avoid a similar deception again in its relation with the childhood psychopathy the visitor will now construe of deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology to preempt the slanted inducing of procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology and gives up on positivism–procrypticism

with respect to its relations with the childhood psychopathy. Thus at this individuation-level uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to the childhood psychopathy, a new depicrocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology has superseded the prior positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, as it is the one to be circularly/recurrently/repetitively/repeatedly be utilised for operant/incidenting predication as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existentia-contextualising-contiguity. This is equally implied at the registry-worldview/dimension-level by dynamic-cumulative aftereffect, but in this instance factoring in well more than just one incident of childhood psychopathy but rather the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect implications on the social structure of myriad cases of psychopathy, and as of postlogism/psychopathic personalities development from childhood to adulthood together with the implications of conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy not only with regards to conjugated-ignorance as with the visitor but all the temporal-dispositions including ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as of habits and thinking patterns consequences as of the extended-informality–{susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} by formality dynamics; with the implication of lack of social universal-transparency–{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as the manifestation is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> at this uninstitutionalised-threshold, together with the inherent human complex of non-transcendability and hence undementability across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions. At this registry-worldview/dimension-level it is obvious that a straightforward articulation going by the incidental situation of such an individuation-level analysis will not be the case, but rather requires focussing on the bigger structural/paradigmatic picture of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. However, suggesting at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis the ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality of a new deporcriptism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology–for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology that implies that the registry-worldview/dimension is in circular-pervasiveness of proscriptism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’–as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology will meet with a mental-complex of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage metaphysics-of-presence and can only arise as of a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. (Such an insight can be further elucidated in a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration given the limits of the possibility of explanation as herein about the ‘lived social’ as of the aforementioned implied deporcriptism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology–for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology construing a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration driven by such
postlogism/psychopathic associated vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging
maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness induced narration-construed-as-instantiative-moultng involving childhood psychopathy to adulthood psychopathy
hollow-staging-and-performance; and so construed as of ‘themes-driven underlying-agency-or-sous-agencement dynamics for narration-construed-as-instantiative-moultng’). However,
we can still get a sense of such structural/paradigmatic <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity
from a retrospective registry-worldview/dimension perspective like postlogism in a non-
positivistic social-setup as of our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective but it is more difficult to grasp from a deprocrypticism prospective
perspective of analysis where we will rather be unpalatably represented as decentered and
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, given our state of metaphysics-of-
presence. Supposed with regards to a case of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as
highlighted before as of a social-setup whose relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought is non-positivistic, a positivism minded interlocutor arguing that
notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery do not exist upon an accusation of sorcery is literally
undermining itself but is seen as ontologically necessary for the cross-generational possibility
of prospective transcendence. Supposed however that the interlocutor isn’t an isolated individual but a member from a positivistic society bringing about a cultural diffusion in the non-positivistic society such that the latter looks up to the former by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it effectively has greater control on intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality reflected by way of say its relative technology, then in this case the non-positivistic social-setup will at least in ad-hoc instances be circumspect in countenancing that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery do not exist as of


will more likely be taken-up-fully/habituated only cross-generationally in the middle run as the mental-reflex will constantly relapse into notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and superstition of the prior non-positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology,

highlighting that a postlogism like psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism or one associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in non-positivism social-setup is not truly speaking an isolated phenomenon as construed from an individuation-level of analysis but speaks in the bigger picture of an underlying registry-worldview/dimension registry-worldview/dimension-level relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
unthought> and ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness}; such that implying that our prior positivism–procrypticism, as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, cannot longer be upheld at such uninstitutionalised-threshold but requiring in lieu a deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology will be difficult to countenance but for a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure since the issue is one of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>. Thus supposed the case of the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair arose in say a non-positivistic social-setup, as of its superstitiousness, with its explanation that the reason had to do with its suspicion of sorcery from the brother. While the social-setup entertains superstitious notions however the childhood psychopathy relatively poor maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness means that it is more likely to be disbelieved in this instance as well in addition to the household familiarisation with the psychopathic/postlogism condition of the child. Likewise, a visiting stranger in such a non-positivistic social-setup might just as well have a similar reaction as the visitor in a positivism–procrypticism social-setup by believing and reacting to the childhood psychopathy manifestation as the non-positivism social-setup apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification entertains/is-cognisant-and-integrative-of/is-in-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema—\textsuperscript{-}with superstitious claims in its meaningfulness-and-teleology. An explainer to the visiting stranger in the non-positivism social-setup case about the whole situation would have articulated at the individuation-level of analysis a prospective ‘logically-due prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation conflatedness as of positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—\textsuperscript{-}for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over the visiting stranger prior superstition believing ‘logically-undue conjugated-postlogism/conjugated—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation derived-denaturing as of non-positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—\textsuperscript{-}for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’, with both latter logically reference-of-thought construed as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought or lacking-an-ontologically-veridical-reference-of-thought due to their derived-denaturing which as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect at registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis is the very ontologically-central notion of every registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold which should thus be always construed as being in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought with respect to its prospective institutionalisation. It is effectively derived-denaturing that induces threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of uninstitutionalised-thresholds, as
we can appreciate that the childhood psychopathy and the visitor’s meaningfulness-and-
teleology are in effect ontologically-speaking threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. But then at the registry-
worldview/dimension-level of analysis however, when compared to the simplistic
individuation-level postlogism analysis insight, implying ontological-veridicality/ontological-
reality on the basis of ‘logically-due prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation conflatedness as of positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’
with respect to the overall non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension as of its dynamic-
cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect with regards to the manifest registry-worldview/dimension-
level social construal of superstitions and notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in general, can
only arise from a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure, as the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension in
relation to the prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension is a
<amplituding formative> wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}> just as
our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension in relation to futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-
worldview/dimension is a <amplituding formative> wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-
of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, in that as with all registry-worldviews/dimensions both do not contemplate of their transcendability and thus dementability, and keep on relapsing into their respective non-positivism and procrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology in lieu of the respective prospective positivism and deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This is further rendered difficult by a natural human ‘emotional involvement’ driven social-aggregation-enabling as of human condition that undermines intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. This insight equally explains the pertinence of understanding postlogism/psychopathy in general as an epiphenomenon that can provide deeper insight about human nature given its ‘lateral-and-transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing disruptive nature on human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and with the structure/paradigm relatively easily perceived at childhood, much like the early modern human biologists relatively simplistic but counterintuitive-as-of-their-epochs understanding of disease provided deeper insight in understanding how the complexity of the human body works. Both individuation-level understanding of postlogism in a non-positivism as of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and positivism social-setup as of psychopathy and social psychopathy divulge a bigger reality at the registry-worldview/dimension-level dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect that is hidden by registry-worldview/dimension-level complexity, wherein the childhood postlogism individuation-level construal points out the reality at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of respectively a conventioning non-positivism in lieu of an ontologically-veridical
positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology and a conventioning positivism–procrypticism as procrypticism in lieu of an ontologically-veridical deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. That insight then brings up the idea of how does a registry-worldview/dimension-level dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect reflect the more simplistic individuation-level ontological-veridicality at childhood postlogism/psychopathy; which is the more elaborate purpose herein. That is, how distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought as undermining conflatedness induces psychological-complexes pointing to, as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect, the registry-worldview/dimension-level ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Considering again the childhood psychopathy case in a ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair, these basic elements can be expounded at the individuation-level of analysis. It should be noted that the visitor ‘as of its conjugated-postlogism as conjugated-ignorance’ is rather inclined to wrongly imply a ‘symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought but which is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that may induced its inclination for desymmetrisation for its perceived temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction but for the fact of the relative contextual innocuousness with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction when it comes to childhood psychopathy compared to adulthood psychopathy’. The explainer of the situation ‘as of its prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-of-reference-of-thought’ is in an ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/asymmetrisation relative to the visitor and childhood psychopathy with respect to the construal of ontological-veridicality. Hence the explainer of the situation construes the
intemporal meaningfulness-and-teleology as ontological; as such symmetrisation and subsequent desymmetrisation will wrongfully lead to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of the visitor’s reference-of-thought so ontologically-destructured by the childhood psychopathy postlogism ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair and accusing another, thereby undermining ontological-veridicality where logic-as-of-prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation is wrongly assumed thus supposedly implying logical-processing-
or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation is now to be engaged on the basis of the visitor’s ontologically-destructured reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct rather than implying the reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of the explainer of the situation reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct as soundness-or-
authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the visitors and childhood psychopathy ‘reference-
of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. The implication here is that the construal/conceptualisation of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology lies entirely/exclusively/supersedingly on the reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct/curve-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the explainer of the situation while the logical-dueness of the visitor’s ‘supposed but rather non-existential/non-
onontological reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct/curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ doesn’t even arise in the very first place and fundamentally explains why its meaningfulness-and-teleology is operantly qualified as of ‘distractiveness’/distractive-alignment/dismissal-as-being-in-arrogation and so more aptly as
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> possibilities; such that an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is not one that simply identify a perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and ‘build a structural/paradigmatic ontology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought going from this more comprehensive-possibilities bases that doesn’t allow for incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness’ with the implication that no logical interlocution of the <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-
of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) arises as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. We can
appreciate that the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair is a
distractiveness-drive with no existentially/ontologically veridical reference-of-thought which
when wrongly implied as valid prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation
reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct leads to its reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
wrongly transforming the issue into one of logic-as-of-prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation thus supposedly implying logical-processing-or-logical-
implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation by
wrongly enabling logical-dueness to arise instead of an issue of unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought implying its dismissal as directive-alignment-to-
reference-of-thought ; and this flaw extends into the visitor’s conjugated-postlogism as
conjugated-ignorance given its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought
as of positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which is cognisant-
and-integrative as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification of the childhood
psychopathy slantedness, and so as a derived-distractiveness-drive with no
existentially/ontologically veridical reference-of-thought which when wrongly implied
falsely as ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct also leads to its
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
wrongly transforming the issue into one of logic-as-of-prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation thus supposedly implying logical-processing-or-logical-
implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation by
procrystalism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought will respectively be wrongfully construed to be existentially/ontologically veridical. The bigger point being that symmetrisation implying mutual recognition of reference-of-thought can only arise where there is mutual appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness as existentially/ontologically veridical thus enabling the logical-dueness of both interlocutors to arise as of their soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in the very first place, notwithstanding thereafter the appropriateness or inappropriateness of the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-suprererogation exercise which is then an altogether different issue of effective/ineffective logic-as-prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation, and this latter is what tends to be falsely implied in situations of postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy, and need to be ‘ontologically dismissed offhand’ and brought back to the fundamental issue of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> rather reflected-as-of-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in determining whether logical-dueness arises in the very first place. Central to such a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect registry-worldview/dimension-level analysis derived from such an individuation-level insight is the idea that social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is contiguous as of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis, notwithstanding it developing complexification as of dynamic-cumulative-after-effect as from the individuation-level to the registry-worldview/dimension-level and thus with a greater opportunity for the simplistic individuation-level childhood postlogism/psychopathy phenomenon relatively resolvable at that individuation-level to fail resolution with the myriad of such cases at the circular-complexification registry-worldview/dimension-level of more
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry–teleology) failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-
or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to be construed as socially-functional-and-
accordant, with the possibility for such epistemic-decadence being superseded arising only as
of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought driven by the ‘non-constraining and
abstract organic mental-disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-
existential-reality’ in rearticulating such a prospective institutionalisation ‘constraining social
universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry–teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation taking cognisance of the
prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought; wherein notional~conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness reflects their
institutionalisation and denaturing reflects their uninstitutionalised-threshold. Hence in the
bigger picture explaining why the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions are construed as
of diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence towards
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. As of a protracted analysis given human limited-
mentation-capacity with respect to social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-
entailing,-as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness) which critically tends to be solicited at its beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>
as in this individuation-level analysis, conflatedness can equally be construed as tying down
transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of a notional
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-synchronized/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag agent of limited-mentation-capacity that we are as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification, such that our transcendentally-enabling-level—of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism enabling our ontology/virtue-construal capacity is more fundamentally a drive for ontological-completeness—of-reference—of-thought driven by conflatedness as articulated above over denaturing, and explaining why conflatedness as of ontological-faith-notion—ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being—as-of-existential-reality instigating the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process behind the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is the very determinant of human ontology/virtue-construct, and so more than just an affixed as denaturing referencing of any one registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference—of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing intemporal-preservation-entropy—or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy, notwithstanding the mere fact of simply being secondnatured/institutionalised at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of our positivism—procrypticism. Notional—conflatedness/constitutedness—to-conflatedness points out that it is the aspiration for base-institutionalisation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, for universalisation from base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, for positivism from universalisation—non—positivism/medievalism and prospectively for deprocrypticism from our positivism—
procrypticism that are of ontology/virtue equivalence as of ontological-faith-notion-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; and not the \((\text{amplituding})\)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referring-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-complex of considering the \((\text{amplituding})\)formative>wooden-language\{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology\) while failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality within the given registry-worldview/dimension, be it at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as our positivism–procrypticism. A naïve conceptualisation of ontology/virtue construal ideal by the mere fact of simply being at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of our positivism–procrypticism institutionalisation doesn’t speak of our firstnature/intemporal projection-of-thought but rather of a secondnatured institutionalisation that induced our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process that cannot be confused with the idea of construing our present positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought as the definite ontology/virtue closed-structure, but rather warrants that we take stock of the exceptional ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process that has gone before in providing the secondnatured possibilities of our present as of ontological-faith-notion-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven notional–conflicatedness/constitutedness-to-conflicatedness, and in that respect conjure how we

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’, as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. For instance, the immediacy of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling in the natural sciences which is implicit in those fields by their ‘relatively high results-constraining-effectiveness nature’ provides metaphysics-of-absence insights with regards to obviating the high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction bound to disrupt thought and analysis in the social as of its ‘relatively low results-constraining-effectiveness nature’. Along the same argument and with regards to the high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction inherent in the social, it is important to grasp that such an epiphenomenon/incidental-phenomenon insight as implied herein with postlogism/psychopathy and corresponding human social dynamics implications is rather a social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment that goes well beyond any given specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflicatedness)/incidental occurring behind the inspired/insight-for-the social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for
universal retrospective to prospective understanding of postlogism/psychopathy and human social dynamics implications. In other words such a social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is inherently the more expansive, universal, decisive, objective and easier basis for critiquing its theorising-conceptualising-operationalising narratives ‘in order to assess the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of the possibilities of easily transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism myriad retrospective and prospective social contexts of analysis, and so more critically rather than an obscured/muddled/obfuscated and difficult critiquing grounded on ‘assessing the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment rather on the basis of any such specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness)/incidental occurring as of its relatively poorly objectifiable-as-desubjectifiable/subjectified incidental social context for analysis. Consider similarly that an epiphenomenal/incidental occurrence of an-apple-hitting-Newton-on-the-head-while-he-sat-under-a-tree thus inspiring/providing-insight-for his laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for explaining mechanical phenomena. Certainly, the inherently more expansive, universal, decisive, objective and easy basis for critiquing its theorising-conceptualising-operationalising narratives ‘in order to assess the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of his laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is the possibilities of easily transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism myriad retrospective and prospective mechanical phenomena for analysis, and so more critically rather than an obscured/muddled/obfuscated and difficult critiquing grounded on ‘assessing the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment on the basis of the specific epiphenomenal/incidental occurrence of an-apple-hitting-Newton-on-the-head-while-he-sat-under-a-tree as of the latter relatively poorly objectifiable-as-desubjectifiable/subjectified incidental mechanical occurrence for analysis. In both instances, such an apparently naïve intellectual disposition will point to relative intellectual impertinence at best, and at worst conscious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity angling to cynically undermine universal veracity/ontological-pertinence as of the opportunity of implying poorly objectifiable-as-desubjectifiable/subjectified incidental analysis as pre-eminently of universal import. While this logic is immediately obvious with the low temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction nature of many a natural sciences (<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with their disposition for replication and other experiments and observations analyses as hardly any scientist will go on if it is problematic to objectively ascertain the contextual reality of an-apple-hitting-Newton-on-the-head-while-he-sat-under-a-tree to contend that Newton’s laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is wrong, such an insight about the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment being wholly construed as of its ‘very own veracity/ontological-pertinence as of any of its objectifiable contexts’ can-and-is often easily flouted and sidetracked with the high temporal-to-
intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncertising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction that permeates the study of the social as of its blurriness. This equally explains why it is actually better and more critical to construe/conceptualise social knowledge not only on the basis of the inherent veracity/ontological-pertinence of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as with the natural sciences but equally factoring in the human social condition as of high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncertising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and so as of a knowledge-notionalisation exercise. In other words metaphysics-of-absence refers to any such projections, as of human imaginative capacity derived from our underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) and existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency; thus enabling human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) insights as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidententing-predicative-insights. We can further get a sense with respect to the implications of what is meant by reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-
teleology, relative to the construal/conceptualisation from the middle of the last century in the biological domain as of its specific uninstitutionalised-threshold then over which the DNA-based genetics reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology was developed which induced an altogether new dramatically different but ontologically-veridical imagery/picture of the nature of biology at that uninstitutionalised-threshold that then became a new specific institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology thereafter amenable to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existentia-contextualising-contiguity such that the prior non DNA-based construal/conceptualisation (as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to that now DNA-based genetics specific institutionalised <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of biology cannot longer be upheld, and this is so in the bigger picture as a contributory conflatedness within the same positivism registry-worldview institutionalisation. (In fact, the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are the conjoined effect of all specific uninstitutionalised-threshold institutionalisation breakthroughs of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology construed conjointly as of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation.) In this case, however the ‘emotional involvement’ in conflatedness within the same positivism registry-worldview of appraisal is way low compared to the high ‘emotional
looseness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness). The establishment or rather coming into being of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought can thus be construed as of pure-ontology conflatedness for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so because it is both the mechanical-knowledge as the constraining technical outcome and the non-constraining driving underlying intemporal-disposition ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, with both constituting the organic-knowledge. This transcendental knowledge construct establishes a dominant social framework of knowledge grounded on its inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (as it supersedes the
as of its bare constraining mechanical-knowledge since reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are only ‘mechanistically’ constraining, lacking the organic-spirit or ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Anecdotally, we know as of our uninstitutionalised-threshold that in effect the technical constraints of the law tend to supersede the spirit of the law as it is naïve to think that a ‘sense of rightness’ is all that matters before the law, and this extends to human meaningful and organisational principles in general. Such that temporal-dispositions fulfilment of such ‘mechanistic’ effectiveness as mechanical-knowledge ‘without the non-constraining and abstract organic mental-disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of the emanant-kind that-had-driven the reference-of-thought construal in the first place’ distort in due course organic meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of temporal mental-dispositions of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus such implied prospective reference-of-thought, social organisations and institutions as organic meaningfulness-and-teleology then tend to develop ‘subcultural reorientations’ that are ‘mildly alien’ and ‘on-occasional gravely alien’ to the (especially in the extended-informalities of the social and institutions) original organic-knowledge conceptualisation as of the implied prospective reference-of-thought social and institutions meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus for an ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal for the deprocrypticism prospective institutionalisation, it is critical to grasp both the inherent ontological-veracity of the meaningfulness-and-teleology behind the construal of deprocrypticism and the ‘reality of a human condition of temporal-dispositions distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and so as of notional~confulatedness/constitutedness-to-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. This process is mirrored with the various conjugated-postlogisms conscious or unconscious aligning to the psychopathic/postlogic postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-
vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging. Thus effectively such a postlogism-as-of-
compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-
supererogation process is rather very simplistic, and the deception arises actually from the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mental-states to be by mental-
reflex in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation thus inducing wrongful teleological elevation of the postlogism/psychopathic meaningfulness-and-teleology, which wouldn’t occur at childhood psychopathy. Finally, as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect and across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, the ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of any registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its organic-knowledge’ can be construed and analysed across 3 lines; - the initiating temporal postlogism distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, - the generalised temporal-dispositions to integrate such ontologically-destructured meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought explaining its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-\(<\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existing-unthought}>\) and ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-\(<\text{transparency-of-totalising-entailing-as-to-entailing}>\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising-in-relative-ontological-completeness}>\) , - and the prospective institutionalisation construing/conceptualising the ontological-veridicality and analysis of such registry-worldview/dimension
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism in non-positivism/medievalism or failing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as-to-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvalutative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism in procrypticism, and thus requiring respectively transcending/superseding to base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism, is that meaningfulness-and-teleology can then still be upheld on the basis of the same uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalised apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights rather than the more ontologically-veridical implication of prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights enabling utter psychical-and-institutional conflatedness. Explicating thus the structural/paradigmatic implication of the non-positivistic or our positivism–procrypticism perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> construed respectively as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as an altogether positivism or deprocrypticism utter psychical-and-institutional conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and not wrongfully setting-aside/glossing-over/ignoring with the idea that meaningfulness-and-teleology is still to be construed as of non-positivism/medievalism or positivism–procrypticism; as the grander human living as of the species ‘existential tale’ is in construing that the respective prospective institutionalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights when availed by contemplation as based-
The statements articulated priorly (before the square brackets texts digression) speak of the reality of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ even in our own positivism reference-of-thought registry-worldview. It is fair to say the statement made before, “Z … will look down on B, C, D, E and F mental-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as allowing for the endemisation/enculturation of the denaturing of additionality and the implications thereof of subsequent denaturing in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability” is circumstantially relevant even in our positivist registry-worldview wherein ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
((amplituding)formative) epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) induces
a ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ temporality/shortness or shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology drive. The Milgram experiments, a demonstration par excellence of the human condition at uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction constraints as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), truly reflect the inherent nature of 'human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition'; and the deprocrypticism-driven understanding of which should rather be an avenue for a pivoting/decentering psychologism with respect to positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimensions vices-and-impediments (just as with all previous transcendences of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’, rather than a naïve metaphysics-of-presence mental complex that only serves ‘flawed egos’ and is of no ontologically-veridical import). The point of this distinction made between the nature of ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought and ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought, as of prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is to put into perspective the idea that the present and as of our present social construction and individuations as being relatively more exceptional than the solipsistic nature of humans in prior epochs is false, with such wrongly implied exception rather being a confusion between ‘cumulated institutionalisation’ (which we carry by being
seconndnatured at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) leading to the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension) and that our inherent solipsistic sense of intemporality/longness (which overall is no more greater than that of humans of previous successive registry-worldviews/dimensions); and further that we are just of the same ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ as all humans past when it comes to making solipsistic choices at uninstitutionalised-threshold, which choices when of intemporality-drive solipsistic-choices are maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness leading to prospective institutionalisations. This notion of human mental-disposition and by extension meaningfulness-and-teleology as comprising, rather as a more complete and grander conceptualisation, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-facet and an uninstitutionalised-threshold-facet, so-construed by metaphysics-of-absence, carries institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold implications with respect to the determination of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of pertinent scientific conceptualisation (scientific approach, methodology and methods) as rather construed most critically by its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. Such metaphysics-of-absence considerations are critically relevant in fully appreciating the articulation herein by this author of such notions (that rather speak of uninstitutionalised-threshold implications with respect to ‘a social pretence of scientific conceptualising as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’), like deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling and transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing.
Insightfully, it is the case that our present-day positivistic institutionalisation secondnatured scientific practice outcome of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating is grounded on institutionally-determined peerage/collegiality as of positivistic institutionalisation deferential-formalisation-transference, so supposedly recognised within the social collective or ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. But then we grasp that at the disjuncture of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology (as ‘moulting’ firstnature/intemporal conceptualisation of what developed to become today our scientific practice institutionalisation as of its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) from the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension, we can definitely fathom that the enlightenment actors like the Descartes’s, Galileos, Diderots, etc. of those transitioning times would have certainly been circumspect with regards to any such notion of preceding social approval (for their scientific meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating), given the social non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalised-threshold non-scientific disposition, as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>. This points to an altogether different social relation with the notion of scientific practice construed as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, by such intemporal-solipsism as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality mental-disposition that conceive of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology in the uninstitutionalised-threshold social-setup of non-positivism/medievalism where they were institutionally-outlying. As exemplarily implied with the Encyclopédistes led by Diderot, such construal is grounded on a more basic and potent construct of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework and actually reveals in many ways the reality of a natural Foucauldian
power relations which it turns out is actually in the medium to long term a social-granting-of-
power-exercise with respect to the virtue of true knowledge, as of the social percolation-
channelling possibilities enabling promising ideas, however institutionally-outlying or
institutionally-central, to take hold in society depending on their relative intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of
veracity/ontological-pertinence; without heed given to mere centrality as
veracity/ontological-pertinence but decentering if the centrality is not ontologically pertinent,
and rather further secondnaturing prospective institutionalisation of scientific practice as of
its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendence-enabling; very much
highlighting the prospective institutionalisation pertinence of such notions articulated by this
author like deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling
and transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. In another respect, with regards to scientific
meaningfulness-and-teleology and as it informs the social-construct of knowledge and
deferential-formalisation-transference (as power relations with respect to knowledge as
socially empowering), it is critical to grasp that it is relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating that induces social deference to formal
knowledge constructs and other formal constructs, on the basis that that will ‘produce the
greater human Good’, as at the prior as uninstitutionalised-threshold when such domains
lacked or were deficient with respect to formal knowledge constructs or other formal
constructs like officialdoms, it was rather a question of ‘relatively free-for-all
opinionatedness and imaginary knowledge constructs’ with relatively impulsive and
simplistic contending mental-dispositions on the basis of the determining or non-determining
need for ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human temporal
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
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leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-
dispositions and projections’ and not necessarily emphasising ‘social consensus as of relative
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating by human
intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’; explaining why higher and higher registry-
worldviews/dimensions as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought increasingly defer domains of meaningfulness-and-teleology more and
more to formal constructs while increasingly reducing the sphere of the extended-informality-
(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-
meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of its free-for-all nature. The bigger point being that even
in our positivism–procrysticism registry-worldview/dimension with relatively strong ‘social
consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating by human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’ in many
domains; however, with regards to domains (and so, more than just about broad subject
matters and broad spheres of other formal constructs including officialdoms, but rather
and critically the specifically relatively undeveloped knowledge spheres of such broad
subject matters and broad spheres of other formal constructs including officialdoms, and as
specific in this instance as with regards to our understanding of psychopathy) that are
spurious and blurry, these are often not socially related to in profound knowledge/scientific
meaningfulness-and-teleology terms on the basis of ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating by human intemporal
mental-dispositions and projections’ profound treatment, and are rather prone to ‘relatively
free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary knowledge constructs’ in rather relatively
impulsive and simplistic contending mental-dispositions on the basis of the determining or
non-determining need for ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human
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‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} mental-
dispositions and projections’ and not necessarily emphasising ‘social consensus as of relative
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating by human
intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’. This contrasts with those domains that are
more pertinently and decisively intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating which quickly obtain deferential-formalisation-transference (deferential
as not opinionating randomly with respect to imagining the legal implications of one
another’s actions but deferring one’s understanding to the formal legal domain, appreciating
in deference scientific principles and not opinionating about what we imagine about the stars
but deferring to the astronomer and physicist, appreciating statistics and human geography
methods and not imagining how censuses and polls should be done but deferring to the
demographer and statistician, etc.; as providing a grander depth of knowledge by deferential-
formalisation-transference pointing out that ‘human intemporal mental-dispositions and
projections’ are the basis for ‘inventing’ human knowledge and corresponding virtue (as of
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation), and not ‘human temporal
*(amplituding)*formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} mental-
dispositions and projections’. Hence the construal of knowledge construct in such domains
that are spurious and blurry as with respect to postlogism/psychopathy social implications
should as of precedence be about articulating the illuminating insight that ultimately allows
for the attainment of their own deferential-formalisation-transference based on ‘social
consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating by human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’, and undermining a social relations with regards to knowledge and virtue that is based on ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human temporal

\langle (amplituding)formative \rangle \text{wooden-language-\{imbued--averaging-of-thought-\langle as-to-

leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\rangle \) mental-dispositions and projections’, and so in order to release the inherent virtue imbued in true knowledge. The afore elucidations are mainly to point out that it is naïve to construe the analysis of postlogism phenomenon including psychopathy on the assumption of an overall ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ of the social as of the present as metaphysics-of-presence instead of assuming a ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ of the social by prospective metaphysics-of-absence, since the construal of our postlogism as of psychopathy and social psychopathy is necessarily, from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective, reflected from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought. Insightfully, by metaphysics-of-absence we can appreciate this logic with respect to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as intuitively we’ll be hard-pressed to recognise that the non-positivism/medievalism social-construct mental-disposition is one of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation of an intemporality-drive whereas in fact it is one of human uninstitutionalised-threshold of temporalities-drives such that it is endemised/enculturated in various temporality/shortness shades (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) as of
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence from a prospective positivism registry-worldview/ dimension’s reference-of-thought. The same applies with psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism, as the \(<\text{amplituding}>)\text{wooden-language-} (\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-} <\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'} \text{'nondescript/ignorable-void'} \text{'}-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> ) in such a context should not and cannot be the trusted reference of intellectual contemplation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in the elucidation of psychopathy and social psychopathy (just as it is not a trusted reference with regards with priorly established formal knowledge constructs whether subject-matter disciplines or formalising constructs including the law, officialdom, etc.), as it is effectively poorly ontological or non-ontological in the sense that it tends to be of an extricatory/temporal paradigm and not intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as when it fails to appreciate the virtuous implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (metaphorically-as-of-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales) as providing the possibility for prospective institutionalisation as structurally/paradigmatically superseding the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments! It is thus important to grasp that the notion of virtue as of our temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions is more than just about the notion of being at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, but rather the intemporal mental-disposition (intemporal-disposition) to strive as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for base-institutionalisation to supersede recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation equates that striving for universalisation to supersede base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation equates that striving for positivism to supersede universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism equates that striving for
Interestingly, supposed by some circumstance an individual of a positivistic insight found themselves in a non-positivistic community, whether base-institutionalisation/animistic or medieval, facing a disease attributed to a negative spirit or so, but the positivistic individual knows it is a case of an infection with the idea that a certain root or leaf in the nearby forest can be used as cure, however, the community rather believe that the forest is an evil forest and this will just make things worse for them overall. Obviously, as of its positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, by ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting its mental-disposition will be to unleash its maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporality-drive to supersede the non-positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that the evil forest brings bad omen substituting it with the positivistic one that the root or leaf in the forest brings about cure by walking over the supposed ‘evil forest’, and more than just the circumstantial situation will equally appreciate that positivistic thinking over animistic or medieval thinking will go a long way in improving the community’s existence. It is interesting to grasp the difference in the dereifying and reifying construal of existential-contextualising-contiguity here between the non-positivists mindsets and the positivist mindset as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought and respectively as of their divergent non-positivists dereification perspective and positivist reification perspective; as seeing the positivist stranger walking into the supposed ‘evil forest’ will be the confirmation for members of the non-positivist social-setup of its viciousness-or-supernaturalness-or-evil-disposition. It can be noted here that seeing the positivist walking into the evil forest will be branded as proof/evidence by the non-positivists of its viciousness-or-supernaturalness-or-evil-disposition going by their supernatural conception of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification as of their prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, contrasted with the positivist naturalist conception of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification as-seeking-a-cure as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and possibly ensuing into a country of the blind scenario. This insight equally highlights the evasiveness of ‘what is meant by proof/evidence’ even in our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as the notion of proof/evidence is more critically tied down to existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; just as postmodern-thought notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> in decentering the ‘modern-take thinking’ reveals the underlying bias of the latter meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected particularly more vividly in gender, race, class, etc. Interestingly, this paradox is very much typical of all transcendental situations and explains the universal ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ contorted gesturing associated with transcendental thresholds. As we can garner in this case that the positivist constrained to existence rather in such a country-of-the-blind scenario cannot simply be deferential to living and Being as of the non-positivist social-setup value reference while very much aware of the structural/paradigmatic virtue implications as of prospective positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus will ‘contortively’ hold on to the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning possibility of positivist value references over non-positivistic value reference, even as the latter is always in <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; with the implication that such
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen/asceticism as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning contortion is rather in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the contorted prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought from their respective existentialism intelligibility stances. This contortion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought projection is what marks ‘transcendental acts of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen/asceticism as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ whether of philosophical implications as with say Socrates or philo-religious implications as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought. The contortion arises because inherently the state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought ever always fails to accompany prospective state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought but for the induced cross-generational transcendental metaphoricity possibility, and the contortion is more of a token as of the metaphoricity possibility for prospective transcendence and without which token contortion there is ‘no existential reference for such transcendence’, as a gesturing of metaphoricity that is ‘beyond the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought full meaningfulness-and-teleology implications contemplation’. The contortion implies that there is ‘nothing any more important than upholding the metaphoricity possibility for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’; as transcendental instigation can’t be of ordinary inclination at one moment and at another moment of transcendental inclination, as this will only ‘teleologically-degrade and devalue’ the implied
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought transcendence into the ordinariness of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought thus psychoanalytically/exegetically/symbiologically existentially undercutting the token contortion existential reference for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought transcendence. Thus ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ only evolves into such asceticism as of contortive metaphoricity gesturing for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought; and has historically acted as a sort of internal cultural diffusion disposition. Such a prospective ontological conception of asceticism rather as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning asceticism, different from asceticism as reasoning-from-results/afterthought or institutional asceticism, should basically be understood as of the general notion that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology are naturally ‘correlate-aesthetic-constructs as of the various reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-towards-ontological-completenessss-of-deprocrypticism’ as of their specific reflection of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,–as-to—‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ (just as implied with the case highlighted herein of the ‘ill-health<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’); and are so derived as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-
knowledge-reification’ at a given reference-of-thought structural/paradigmatic nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) threshold as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness construed as uninstitutionalised-threshold, while falsely implying the given reference-of-thought mere identitive conceptualisations/‘candid existential expressiveness’ are existentially veridical; and it is important to grasp that every registry-worldview/dimension is of a reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument that by its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation falsely implies that its meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily as of ‘identitive <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ even at its uninstitutionalised-threshold where it is effectively preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation fails to induce an ontologically-veridical reifying trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of existential-contextualising-contiguity. We can imagine as of a non-positivistic social-setup reference-of-thought identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology, the ‘candid existential expressiveness’ that ‘integrates superstition as-thinking’ as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold, much like as from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism perspective we can imagine the ‘candid existential expressiveness’ in our positivism–procrypticism that ‘integrates procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as-thinking’ as of its
construed on the basis of ordinarily assumed meaningfulness-and-teleology
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring which doesn’t put into question its
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as it is rather
submerged/drowned into it by mental-disposition reflex; but rather as implied as of
reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, such a hermeneutic psychology is more about
instigating a parrhesiastic psychoanalytic-unshackling soul-searching acumen. In this regard,
it is akin for instance to budding positivism reasoning-through/messianic reasoning implied
within a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup, in the sense that that budding positivism
reasoning-through/messianic reasoning then ‘is-not reasoning as-of-yet’ as reasoning is then
as of the non-positivism/medievalism social-setup
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘as non-
positivism reasoning susceptible to superstition and scholasticism-like pedantry construed as
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism but not yet as of rational-empiricism’; with such budding positivism rather a
metaphoricity instigation of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality parrhesiastic soul-searching for the psychoanalytic-unshackling of the human subject
as of a structural/paradigmatic Lacanian displacement/decentering of the human subject from
its prior ‘epistemic-totality/reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of non-
positivism/medievalism’ to a prospective ‘epistemic-totality/reference-of-thought/epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity conception of meaningfulness-and-
teleology as of positivism/rational-empiricism’, that is the fundamental
structural/paradigmatic seeding-resolution of the ‘non-positivism/medievalism human subject
superegoic vices-and-impediments’. This has the very same metaphoricity implications in
meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring. In this regard, we can construe that even the \(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\>\text{wooden-language-}
(\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}<\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-}
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) mental-disposition in a non-positivism/medievalism
social-setup has a sense of human knowledge development and emancipation but with a
mental-reflex that such a conception is necessarily by way of the non-positivism/medievalism
social-setup reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation as of reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for

The idea that ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ articulation of prospective
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation in prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of positivism reference-
of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring is
the route for ontologically-veridical human knowledge transformation and emancipation as of
prospective positivism is very much alien to the non-positivism/medievalism cloistered-
consciousness. Likewise, the \(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\>\text{wooden-language-}(\text{imbued—}
averaging-of-thought-<\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-}
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>) mental-disposition in our positivism–procrypticism effectively do has a sense
of human knowledge development and emancipation but as of a mental-reflex that such a
conception is necessarily by way of our positivism–procrypticism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring. In the same vain, the idea that ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ articulation of prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring is the route for ontologically-veridical human knowledge transformation and emancipation in futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism is very much alien to our positivism–procrypticism cloistered-consciousness. In both instances the notion of prospective metaphoricity is one that necessarily faces the fact that the human mind is ever always entrapped in an existentially-invested ‘epistemic-totality/reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which effective dislodgment/displacement/decentering is as of a cross-generational instigation, but then wouldn’t happen just by accident and thus has to be instigated for prospective relative-ontological-completeness! In fact such an insight can be extended across ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ to imply that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is cognisant of emancipation but doesn’t anticipate that emancipation as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness is rather as of base-institutionalisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, and likewise the latter doesn’t anticipate the universalisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, with the latter not anticipating our positivism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation which itself doesn’t anticipate prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism. The fact is human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor at its uninstitutionalised-thresholds implies that the human psychological reflex as of its limited-mentation-capacity at any such uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘is not geared to adhere to abstract ontological-veridicality’ as it will operate its state of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as if in a fully-attained state of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, as of the-very-central-implication-of-throwness, as reflected by the successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation towards ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and thus from a strictly ontologically-veridical point-of-view/perspective, and so beyond our enculturated-conception,-normalisation-and-
practice-of-psychology and just as various mystical-and-mythical-practices of prior non-positivism registry-worldviews/dimensions were their own sort of enculturated-conception-normalisation-and-practice-of-psychology as of their own times, the notion of a psychological science as reinforcing/propping-up human psychology in any prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology state is downright ontologically ridiculous and the manifestation of an epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag naivety. We can appreciate that the psychoanalytic-unshackling of all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought is rather one that shouldn’t wrongly be reinforcing/propping-up the human subject as if a given reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism has its very own complete transformative and emancipative potential as if of fully-attained singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, but an ontologically-veridical psychology rather warrants implying the human subject displacement/decentering as the structural/paradigmatic possibility of the human subject emancipation with regards to the successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldviews/dimensions superegoic vices-and-impediments; wherein postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism reasoning-from-results/afterthought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold is construed as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of prospective postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation up to the prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism of deprocrypticism. As of its inherent organic knowledge, such a hermeneutic psychology parrhesiastic articulation as
herein ‘doesn’t do gimmicks of communication’ as if to imply any favour whatever as of ‘emotional or whatever feel-good trading for the appreciation of the possibility for prospective human emancipation’, since by its ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ it is beyond the idea of convincing for convincing sake as it is simply ‘a blunted eliciting of a solipsistic sense of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projection in any human and no more’ with no point going beyond that point as it then becomes as of intellectual-and-moral apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>; and so, as its essential meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of a solipsistic transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflection of the ontologically ‘superior party’ that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation in its ecstatic singularity, on the same token that a natural scientist is in a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflection of its object of study as of existence as the ontologically ‘superior party’ without any need to be involved in any bogus exercises that may imply that gravity may not be 9.8 m/s² on earth if any given human subject isn’t accommodated for in some way somehow however faintly, be it that it may be the case that gravity is not 9.8 m/s² but that as well needs to be established as of the ontologically ‘superior party’ that is existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. But then the human reality across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, isn’t inherently ‘of immediate intellectual responsiveness’ to the notion of its uninstitutionalised-threshold and the corresponding superseding of this as of prospective institutionalisation; as even the disposition to assume an intellectually enlightening mental-disposition is existentially-invested and not necessarily a given. We can
appreciate from our positivistic perspective the ‘obvious reality’ of the fact that superstitious
beliefs are bogus, but then paradoxically from the beginning of times superstitious beliefs had
pervaded all the echelons of human societies whether as of true belief or opportunistically,
and have only been increasingly undermined with the advent of positivistic reasoning at the
beginning of modern times about 500 years ago. This has to do with the ‘existentially
invested nature as of assumed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ of human ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology’/reference-of-thought-
devolving. Thus any given registry-worldview/dimension is strongly constrained to represent
itself as of its ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ prior
institutionalisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought and very weakly constrained to
represent itself as of its preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism
uninstitutionalised-threshold which it tends to represent as nondescript/ignorable void
(actually speaking of akrasiatic-drug-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives),
for the possibility of its prospective transcendency-and-sublimity into prospective
institutionalisation. This reality is known as human ‘dementative constraint’ to prospective
institutionalisation transcendency-and-sublimity as of the possibility of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Human dementative constraint is
fundamentally associated with poor universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-
entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness) with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction at
uninstitutionalised-thresholds. This then fails to induce the necessary existential assurance for
prospective transcendency-and-sublimity and on that token fails to tip the balance over the
‘social obfuscation dynamic effect’ of <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-
{(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-

\[(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\text{-epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness}\]


\[(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\text{-epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-}

\text{syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag} \text{ incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-}
incompleteness is rather counter to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality disposition by its deterministic hanging onto prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought reasoning-from-results/afterthought while ignoring/overlooking the ontological-veracity implications of the trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of reifying existential-contextualising-contiguity, and thus adopting a dereification posture as enabled by ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness}’). Such a human disposition to decontortion at uninstitutionalised-thresholds arise on the naïve basis that human temporal willing/volition can effectively supersede the ontological integrity/veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology as it reflects existence’s coherence/contiguity as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. But then such a decontortioning disposition as can be manifested by a falsely striving to elevate the temporal frame of our 60–100 years of living above the intemporal/ontological frame of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality is rather definitional of our uninstitutionalised-threshold where we are actually preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and prospectively dialectically-primitive, notwithstanding our attendant {(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and vague untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality gesturing. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can thus be construed as one of increasingly undermining the human subject temporal decontortion disposition not to dispense-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness; wherein across the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, decontortion is ontologically-constrained both as of the ‘dynamic construal of appropriate-as-intemporal existential phenomenality/phenomenal-
manifestation and construal of appropriate-as-intemporal existential human mental-disposition’. The former is ontologically-constrained as of ontological-primemovers-totallitative-framework in undermining the human temporal inclination to phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation decontortion, while human temporal mental-disposition for decontortion is additionally ontologically-constrained with availability of universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising--in-relative-ontological-completeness).
Relatively objectified phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation as implied in the natural sciences is hardly subjected to decontortion while relatively subjective phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation as implied in the social is rather easily subjected to decontortion as of blurriness and emotional-involvement. In another respect the implications of flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism also has implications with the ontological-performance as of the effective productivity potential of human knowledge construction. In this regard, it is herein contended that the historically recurrent critique of naïve formalisation particularly in many a field of study that uncritically strive to adhere to a ‘supposedly pre-given science methodology and epistemology naively construed as of inherent transcendental signifier’ such as in the analytic tradition of philosophy, naïve scientific psychology as of facetious methodologies as well as many a natural science domain, that purport to conceptualise complex social meaningfulness-and-teleology in naïve naturalistic methodology terms, all arise because of a flawed predisposition to identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism implied as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism that in many ways ignores/overlooks existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; and so, as of their ‘formalisation credo as identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism’ thus leading to a disposition that considers knowledge as an exercise of mere conceptual patterning inherently validated by formalisations on the basis of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity without the constraint of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as its very own transcendental signifier which ultimately manifestly-as-inherently enables transcendence-and-sublimity as the very essence of knowledge. This has led in many ways to a dissonance between their knowledge productivity implications and existential reality wherein for instance psychological and psychiatric science seems to imply that all along its practice human psychological illnesses have multiplied many times over as of ever transforming and expanding formalisation credo, while the analytical tradition of philosophy by the avowals of its internal critics has been involved in a recurrent second-guessing exercise as of its visceral inclination for ‘abstracting reality by formalisation outside of social reality’ wrongly mimicking a natural science tradition whose domain-of-study ecstatically allows for such an attitude/mental-disposition/care-and—episteme. Such an approach that atomises/takes-to-pieces analysis ‘as supposedly elucidative’ tends to be rather abstract as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Such that beyond its abstracting exercise, as when it returns in striving to supposedly elucidate social and other existential phenomenality, it is lost to it that social and other existential phenomenality is already precedingly/supersedingly as of ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’, with the consequence that it naively construes of reification as simply projecting ‘the supposedly reifying
atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation analysis’ on the social and other existential phenomenality. Hence it ends up abstractly pulling-apart the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality and thus misrepresenting, denaturing and producing relatively ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such articulations tend out to be merely implied decontextualised/abstracted constructs with poor appreciation and construal of their conceptualisations as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness with respect to temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance which is what enables the reification of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In this regard for instance, the well-articulated Foucauldian discourse of ‘speech activity’ conceptualisation associated with the notion of parrhesia more critically enables its existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification with regards to the possibility of human transcendence-and-sublimity as can be projected from an Ancient Greece context right up to our modern and futural context in contrast to say analytic philosophy ‘speech act’ which by its atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation orientation is in many ways by its mere denotative/connotative constitutedness nature just an implied existentially decontextualised/abstracted construct as of its poor ontological-as-existential-commitment with respect to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, in contrast to the reifying conflatedness connotative nature of ‘speech activity’ discourse as of its contextualising ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence; such that the former assumes rather an identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism

<\(\text{amplituding}\text{formative}\)epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity posture as of atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation rather than a difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <\(\text{amplituding}\text{formative}\)epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity posture that is as of ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence as with the latter. Such a conclusion can be extended to other analytic tradition concepts assuming rather an atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation orientation like the broader notion of language games when rather analysed as of a denotative/connotative constitutedness nature outside existential-contextualising-contiguity whereas in contrast this author construes of the ontologically-veridical reflection of the social purview as better served by the notion of ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ as of its reifying conflatedness connotative nature reflecting the ontological-veracity/ontological-performance of human-subpotency epistemic/notional–projective-perspective meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated within any given registry-worldview/dimension social-setup going by its supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as so-reflected by its self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction exposing it to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<ampformative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness


<ampformative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity; thus further articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology as from prior relative-ontological-incompleteness to prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and so from the epistemic/notional perspective of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<ampformative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-
uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism and this ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ orientation is theoretically, conceptually and operantly ontologically efficacious inherently by its ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence as it reflects holistically the ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning-as-of-varying-individuations-contextually-transverse-desublimation/sublimation,-as-to-the-redounding/wavering/waveforming—of-their-referencing-and-their-devolved-referencing-imbued-ontological-performance> ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology of narratives’ as of the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology. This holistic insight is reflected in the Derridean deconstruction orientation with its obvious narratology implications pertinence to literary studies as of its conflatedness with existential-contextualising-contiguity in contrast to such a notion like language games when construed rather in constitutedness. This difference of conceptualising comes down to the atomising/taking-to-pieces flaw reflex of constituting-towards-epistemic-totality implied as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as against the ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence disposition for reifying-epistemic-totality-for-completeness implied as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism; wherein the conflatedness mental-reflex is involved in construing of both the right apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination and thus the knowledge for that right mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination for completeness as of ontologically-uncompromised ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism/postdication projected conflatedness (as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism
formative epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of ‘acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with regards to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ which speaks of the recurrent edging towards completion of ontological-performance of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation), whereas the constitutedness mental-reflex assumes uncritically of its right apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset,-in-positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness and goes on as of its categorising constituting to construe knowledge for completeness without questioning its mindset,-in-positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness as if it has got an absolutely veridical apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and this is exactly what is implied by displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness. This specific deficiency of the analytic tradition as so-reflected in many of its conceptualisations has to do with the very notion of knowledge as being about supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ‘affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as axiomatic-
construct’, and logic actually being in effect the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, with the implication that all the knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology that exists is about existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment implied as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. In this regard, ‘speech activity’ discourse speaks of an supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as expressed above (with regards to the social contextualisation beyond just speech for the possibility of human transcendence-and-sublimity…) which is then being reified/elucidated for the prospective possibility of human emancipation, with logic being the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of this articulated ontological-as-existential-commitment having to do with such social contextualisation’. Likewise the underlying notion of ontological-performance as herein articulated by this author is as difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of— <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism supposedly coherent ontological-commitment about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’; articulating knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-
teleology as of the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of human underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. This underlying notion of ontological-performance speaks more fundamentally of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, as explicitly underlined in all transcendence-and-sublimity elucidating/reifying subject-matters and sciences, unlike approaches that do-not-or-poorly-appreciate the fact that just as scientific studies are transformative the study of the social rightly articulated beyond-institutional-being-and-craft is just as transformative with regards to prospective human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though it is more subject to higher emotional-involvement as of its displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject <amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Whereas the analytic tradition posture as with ‘speech act’ gives precedence to logical-commitment as reflected in its atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach (implied as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) geared towards identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, which by the token of working by atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation on specific aspects or specific interpretation as of formalisation construct ignores/overlooks ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as the veridical supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in want of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, as can be
validated and falsified by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity. This fundamental difference of conceptualisation very
often underlies the disagreements between the analytic philosophical orientation and other
philosophical traditions, in the sense that while the latter might be implicitly implying
supposedly coherent ontological-commitment about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of
eccstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ when making its argument, the former
will tend to be making a logical-commitment argument as of formalisation construct that
ignores/overlooks-and-hence-is-poorly-constrained to the
precedence/supersedingness/ascendancy of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of eccstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ in need of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity, and goes on to naively deploy outside existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification such logic notions like non-sequitur, fallacies, etc. and/or mere categorising denotative/connotative formalisations in constitutedness as ends in themselves, rather than construing logic as of the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of eccstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for knowledge elucidating/reifying which validation and falsifiability is rather a matter of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. The fundamental point here is that logic (reflected by the atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach) is instead the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of eccstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of Being and beings as reflected in first-level
ontology and second-level ontologies, and logic cannot derive the superseding/preceding ecstatic existential veridicality of Being and beings which validation and falsifiability is ever always a matter of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications, for explicating-ontological-contiguity. Being and beings construed-as-of-ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in the conceptualising of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as-to-
‘human’ epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ or any epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any-issue-in-existence as knowledge, and so as of articulated axiomatic-constructs; is rather reflected either in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring–postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> when the conceptualising is in prospective relative-ontological-completeness or is reflected in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring–preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> when the conceptualising is in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, and in both instances as substantiated or unsubstantiated respectively by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in reflection of the ascendency of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness. For instance, with the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring–postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs over classical-
mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring-instrument-invalidating-measuring-
<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>. This is also the case as of the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument-validating-measuring-
<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>; for instance, futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism over our positivism–procripticism or in the case of our positivism over prior non-positivism–medievalism. Logic arises as a mental-reflex of the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ in knowledge construing-as-of-ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of Being and beings. However, because a reference-of-thought is already an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of its underlying affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument-validating-measuring-
<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>, logic seems to be the only mental exercise involved since the underlying affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitable-measuring-instrument-validating-measuring-
<preconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of the
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is ever so pervasive-and-transparent to contemplation by mental-reflex, such that when the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of covert flawed-as-dementing apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is implied with regards to say adulthood psychopathic postlogism-slantedness as of the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of its meaningfulness-and-teleology as from difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-contiguity, we go on to aposteriorise/logicise/derive/intelligise/measure and thus wrongly validating the flawed affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> as of the flawed-as-dementing apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and so instead of implying its unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>, as will be done at childhood psychopathy where it is overt and obvious. Further temporal individuation dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation conjugating to this postlogism-slantedness speaks of socially derived affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> of flawed-as-dementing
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, equally requiring unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>; as so implied at the uninstitutionalised-thresholds including as of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The underlying insight can be garnered as of the temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology in 

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any-issue-in-existence as knowledge, by
affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>
and unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism>  <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of underlying
relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness, is further elucidative
of the notions of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. Wherein incrementalism-in-relative-
ontological-incompleteness as associated with mechanical-knowledge is geared on construing
on the basis of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument the
‘<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic.drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology)
of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension’ as
deterministically affirmative of emancipatory/sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology.
Whereas maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness associated with
organic knowledge is about ‘utterly resolving as of  <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ the-very-same-immanent-
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ or any
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any-issue-in-existence as of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought

‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’
involving acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity. Incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness
can undermine knowledge development and as of its sophistic/pedantic peddling of

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} while
straddling inbetween the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought
conventioning-referencing and the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of social-
stake-contention-or-confliction induced institutional-being-and-craft with possible denaturing
of such prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought organic
knowledge, and by social-construct destructuring postures of significant-otherwise.
Fundamentally thus there structural/paradigmatic divergence imbued notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema> of their incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness from the
prospective

notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> of maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, with maximalising-recomposuring-for-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology common


apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective relative-ontological-completeness but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> devaluing their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conventioning-referencing in

scholasticism pedantry


apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective relative-ontological-completeness but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> devaluing the

conventioning-referencing as of aristocratic/despotic self-aggrandisement
conventioning-referencing <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and by that token is geared towards antinihilistic undermining of sophistic/pedantic dispositions as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness. With the very blurry nature of the social, even with the best of intentions as when continental philosophers try to engage the analytic tradition, the experience has often turned out poorly given the failure to explicitly grasp/appreciate the conflicting implications of their differing knowledge commitments as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment implied ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence with the former and logical-commitment implied atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation with the latter; even as going by conceptual-patterning, it can be naively implied that similar conceptual wordings imply similar knowledge commitments and operant articulations. In the same vein, one can say that notions like spacetime, force, atoms, etc. in the physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-verbatim/existential-reality are inherent supposedly coherent ontological-commitment about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ that are in need of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and logic can only be the ‘inner working
coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of such supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, and all the physics that is relevant is their further existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as physics knowledge as of its ontological-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as can be validated and is falsifiable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Even mathematics it is often underestimated works rather on supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, as of the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification constraining implications of its ‘equal sign’, speaking of a self-conscious awareness that calculations should reflect-and-be-constrained as per calculations operative validation and falsifiability with regards to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, and with mathematical logic as of mathematics supposedly coherent ontological-commitment ‘concurrent formatting as formalisation’ being the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ towards that purpose. Such reflecting-and-constraining to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ can difficulty be said with regards to the overall atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach as of its <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag presumption; which strangely enough has been subjected to no less than five major successive internal indictments but still keeps up its operative predilection of atomising/taking-to-pieces, with this author of the opinion that such an in-built institutional grip might be in many ways inducing diversion of intellectual and scholarly resources from a more profound advancement of philosophy for greater human
transformation implications. It is important to grasp here that ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ is superseding/preceding as of existence’s ecstatic singularity, such that ontology supersedes logic which is rather ontology’s ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. It is rather ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ that provides the ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as axiomatic-construct’ insight about supposedly coherent ontological-commitment articulated as ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and not mere logic, with logic not able by itself to derive ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as it is often naively implied but instead reflecting the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and as any such implied derivation is rather as of explicated/implicit coherence/contiguity with another/other ‘transversally devolving-or-complementary ontological/axiomatic-construct conceptions’ as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. Interestingly, such notions like experimentation, testing, trials, case studies, observational studies, interview, data analysis, content analysis, statistics and basically overall research orientations and research methods as of their formal study implications are just focussed-and-contrasted extensions, with regards to the general and normal day to day experience about living itself for the inspired construing of ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ providing insight about supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in producing knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology; such that critically, appropriate philosophical phenomenal
insight with regards to ‘the general and normal day to day experience about living itself’ as of observational and articulated ontological-pertinence sufficiency, and as supplemented with the grasp and engagement with other philosophical works, speaks of veridical scientific insight and validity subject to ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework, and so because such well-inspired experience-and-interpretation from ‘general and normal day to day experience about living itself’ in the philosophical domain-of-study is generally more ontologically profound and comprehensive as of conflatedness than any contrasted ad-hoc and focussed domain study, even though such domain studies may be insightfully relevant in specific ways but still as of the more profound background of well-inspired experience-and-interpretation from ‘general and normal day to day experience about living itself’. The point here is to highlight that by its very given domain-of-study with respect to overall existence, philosophical knowledge more profoundly makes a holistic conflatedness demand on human living experience for the inspired construing of ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ than other more specific domains-of-study for which ad-hoc and focussed domain study methods are pervasively decisive for ontological pertinence. But then this is more a question of ‘expanded onticising construal of existence as of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved purviews of existence so-construed as subject-matters/domains-of-study’. The ontological-veracity and epistemic-veracity of all such <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality are effectively as of the very same underlying congruent philosophical domain-of-study construal of ecstatic manifestation of existence but for their ‘onticising specifisms of existence’s ecstatic manifestation’; as so-implied as of overall existence metaphoricity/ecstasy reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility–<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic–
perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> as of supervening-conflicatedness. Knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology, whether of underlying ontological-construal or ontical-construal, is epistemically validated as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Inherently, because human-subpotency supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is very much intimately linked with the ontological-performance of human as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness appraisal, it is always ever the case that as of human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence the validation of knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is equally as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-

‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ or <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality constructs; which construal is necessarily as of conflatedness with respect to the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ or <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality and relative-ontological-completeness as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), thus invalidating the epistemic-veracity of constitutedness of knowledge. The implication here is that the epistemic-veracity of knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather as of the ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construal as of existence’ with <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved~purview-as-domain-
‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ or <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality, hence implicitly-or-explicitly liable to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. This constitutedness nature of the notion of cause-and-effect so-implied veridically as ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework arises as of the ‘basic and mere mimicking and deployment’ of supposedly science approaches and methodologies on the naïve assumption that their mere deployment is inherently of epistemic-veracity, such that such deployment when it undermines the ‘inherently nested-congruence of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ or <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality’ is in effect just elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-
elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Rather any such science approaches and methodologies striving to validate knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology by the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, is necessarily instigated as from a philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. Insightfully, while in many ways such an elucidation hardly needs to be explicit in many a natural science domain-of-study as of their directly constraining cause-and-effect nature such that such nested-congruence with existence will often tend to arise naturally as of valid/invalid outcome constraining of ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, this unexplicitely implicitness should not be confused with the notion that the natural sciences are essentially reduced to their science approaches and methodologies; as is often and awkwardly naively construed from without in many a social domain-of-study. The fact is notwithstanding the ‘onticising specifisms of existence’s ecstatic manifestation’ of the natural science domains-of-study, these are just as driven by a philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ as reflected in the often ‘unspoken/unelaborated scientific hunches and fine-tuning’ which is effectively what drives their deployed science approaches and methodologies for their sought after scientific reifying outcomes; and it is this subsuming/nestedness that keeps such science
approaches and methodologies in nested-congruence with existential-contextualising-contiguity as of conflatedness; so-implied as of their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. In other words, science approaches and methodologies in reality are simply the extension of philosophical depth of contemplation when it comes to ‘onticising specifisms of existence’s ecstatic manifestation’ as of the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of natural sciences; with the implication that the philosophical depth of contemplation has to be undertaken, notwithstanding the fact that the implicated nature in the natural sciences of their onticising direct sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation outcomes as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will seem to wrongly imply otherwise. Such a philosophical depth of contemplation in nested-congruence as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ is very often incomplete, of-divvied-theorisation and/or ‘poor coherence of theoricisation with operant approaches and methodologies’, when it comes to many a social domain-of-study; as quite often theorisation in many a social domain-of-study strives on disparateness, rather than a tendency to ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness enforced’ unifying coherence as in many a natural science domains-of-study, with the consequence that studies are often aloof to direct existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge reifying exercise as of a tendency to technicality as of institutional-being-and-
craft imprimatur, ‘fallback to unquestioned/dogmatic normativities’ and ‘habituated dispositions’ which priorly enframed subject-matters and institutional-setups structurally/paradigmatically stifle the possibility for conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic—confalatedness sublimating—validation/desublimating—invalidation implications, beyond their conventioning—referencing existentialising—enframing. Ultimately the bigger issue arises as of the poorly—singularised/poorly-immanented nature of many a social domain—of—study unlike the grand singularised/immanented ‘<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing—down—sublimation as to existence—as—sublimating—withdrawal,—eliciting—of—prospective—supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent—ontological—contiguity’),—as—operative—notional—deprocrypticism’ that are actually actively sought in the natural sciences; and this author portends that the suprastructuralism/postmodernism as of deprocrypticism ontology as ‘true-ontology—as—of—Being—development/ontological—framework—expansion—as—to—depth—of—ontologising—development—as—infrastructure—of—meaningfulness—and—teleology’ holds the promise for such effective grand singularised/immanented social conceptualisation that doesn’t dodge/ignore/disregard outstanding questions about the human existential reality including structural/paradigmatic biases arising beyond—the—consciousness—awareness—teleology—<in—existential—extrication—as—of—existential—unthought> as of human emotional—involvement and sophistic/pedantic distortion of perception of reality so—implied in our present positivism—procrypticism ‘contingent—ontology—as—of—conventioning—referencing’ and just as well when ‘science—ideology’ seem to subvert and undermine science—in—practice. Worst still while in effect the idea of specialisation in many a natural science domain is often the natural progression of a ‘comprehensively elucidated/reified foregrounding—entailment—
narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism of the given natural science domain-of-study’ with specialism more of a furtherance of such a foregrounding—entailment—narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism scheme in a strong arborescent syncing with the subject-matter general-theoretical-level, in many such social domain-of-study of disparateness-of-conceptualisation—unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> (including some science domains as well which naively tend to draw comprehensive social and human implications of their studies) the drawback to such specialisms is often associated with ‘major interpretative loopholes at the general-theoretical-level of the subject-matter’ with regards to the knowledge-reification implications of supposedly specialisation domains and their studies since such an approach fails to effectively validate its methodological and conclusive implications with respect to the subject-matter general-theoretical-level implied ontology as of the subject-matter specific epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest—subpotency—<intransitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall reifying—and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-painintelligibility—<imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> so-reflected in its philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology—implied—as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed—as-the-enabler-of-insight—or-intuition—or-foresight—as-of-embodied-consciousness’. This weakness is often reflected in naïve use of statistics and methods as well as drawing out conclusions based rather on ordinary average-thinking interpretation as of human-subpotency ‘rather than
interpretations and conclusions ensuing naturally and arborescently as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness knowledge-reification implications derived from the general-theoretical-level of the subject-matter as reflecting ontological-contiguity’ whereas this is ever always the case with good practice in the natural sciences and just as well as with an increasingly self-conscious social science as specifically upheld by postmodern-thought. For instance, the internal-coherence/nested-congruence speaking of the underlying foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism implications articulated herein in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can be garnered by the fact that all the knowledge-reification herein implied arises as of the very same underlying ‘objectifying cogent unifying process and gesturing’ as of ‘the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness’, which is exactly what avails in the good practices of the natural sciences as driven by their ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ whether with regards to say ‘objectifying chemical processes articulation’, ‘objectifying physical principles articulation’ or ‘objectifying biological processes articulations’, contrary to a practice of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> in many a social domain-of-study wherein supposedly reified knowledge ‘hardly has any underlying implied knowledge-reification process/gesturing for its derivation’ as ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ such that these turn out to be poorly operant or non-operant with the conceptual-patterning gesturing of mere-referring-confused-with-explicating, mere-mentioning-
confused-with-deriving and mere-conceptual-synonymising-confused-for-knowledge-reification, such that the underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ of the supposed knowledge-reification is hardly operantly existent or is operantly non-existent. Bizarrely, the blurriness of the social seem to be misconstrued as implying knowledge-reification in the social should reflect such blurriness-as-of-disparateness rather than the ultimate objectifying foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism, and so by conjugating ‘relative-ontological-completeness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalititative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ together with ‘subject-matter breadth and depth’ to achieve such an overall subject-matter knowledge-reification as of objectifying foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism, in order to elucidate the blurriness. Such that quite often as of institutional practice the notion of foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism is often misconstrued non-aporetically/undilemmatically/unreframed/untransformed as ‘merely bringing together disparate conceptualisations for their cross-examination (on the basis of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’) in a naïve substitution of the idea that foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism truly speaks of human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint elicited reframing/transforming/reconstrual underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ that ‘runs-through/deflates’ implied conceptualisations in elucidating their ontological-veracity by its capacity to ‘objectively deflate-all-conceptualisations as of operant<br/>(amplituding)<br/>(formative)<br/>(epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’ as herein implied (involving prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for veridical ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology), rather than vague contrasting-and-comparison of disparate conceptualisations poorly reflecting underlying existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness; and further, such an insight of underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ as herein implied is often misconstrued as being monotonous (whereas such ‘supposedly monotonous process/gesturing of knowledge-reification’ reflecting inherent domains-of-study as of their given epistemic-conceptions<br/>(phenomenal/manifest–subpotency–<br/>(in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,–in-the-full-potency-of-existence<br/>as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility–<br/>(imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation<br/>) takes the form of the process/gesturing of knowledge-reification in say physics with the ‘supposed monotony’ of differential equations on physical variables, in chemistry with the ‘supposed monotony’ of valence bonding explaining chemical reactions or in biology with the ‘supposed monotony’ of gene regulation rather ultimately central to all biological processes), with the false implication of construing that disparities-of-conceptualisation–<br/>(unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect–‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’<br/>is inherently convenient as of a mental-reflex oriented towards ordinary<br/>(amplituding)<br/>(formative)<br/>(wooden-language–{imbued—averaging-of-thought–<br/>(as-to–
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) human-
subpotency ways-of-looking-at-things rather than adopting-the-intellectual-hat for reifying
the former in a mental-reflex oriented towards existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity ways-of-looking-
at-things. Critically, lost to many naïve ‘science ideologues’ preaching about modelling the
social domains-of-study along the natural sciences, is the fact that more than mere adoption-
and-mimicking of scientific methods and approaches, the truly pertinent and decisively
scientific notion of the natural sciences lies with their ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’
from whence statistical, mathematical and other scientific methods become interpretatively
intelligible; such that merely adopting-and-mimicking such methods without precedingly
construing of the ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ of any such social domain-of-study is
‘massively uninsightful/shallow and subject to institutional-being-and-craft sophistic/pedantic
misconstrual and manipulation’ as it is rather such a ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ as
of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness that points to the specific scientific
methodology of relevance or irrelevance, given that in certain cases the qualitative nature of
things will for instance render statistical and mathematical methods irrelevant. This further
explains why Derridean deconstruction and Foucauldian discourse analysis have been found
in many social domains-of-study, including domains like medical and healthcare practice for
instance, to provide a ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ that ‘fully-address-in-depth social
issues’; in the sense that Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-
knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative address the displacement/decentering-of-the-
human-subject in reflecting the need to undermine human destructuring-threshold-
of ontological-performance to further advance its constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality nature, thus overcoming underlying logocentrism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness transcendental-and-sublimity implications, and thus reflecting the fact that human knowledge is more completely a two-fold process involving building the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thereof the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of the

\(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness. It is thus not surprising that naive disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> leads to subject-matters and studies whose supposed knowledge-reification tend to be most heavily dependent on ‘peering to a fault’ of the contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing of institutional-being-and-craft that is poorly constrained to existential-reality, rather than a peering process that is heavily constrained to existential-reality as of underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as validatable and falsifiable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

\(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in-epistemic-conflatedness as it is critically the case in the good practices of the natural sciences. The implication here is that the modern positivist ‘identitive conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism is basically caught up in its very own enframed \(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{wooden-language-imbued—averaging-of-thought–as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignoreable-void’-with-regards-to-}
explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. This explains why postmodern-thought cannot truly be understood in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of naïve identitive positivistic modern thought because the meaningfulness-and-teleology of postmodern-thought only arise rather in the reification process/gesturing involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject implied as of its (amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness for elucidating, deriving and knowledge-reification of its concepts and conceptualisations; as naïve identitive positivistic modern thought in its (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag very often and systematically rather construes of such postmodern concepts and conceptualisations substitutively in its predisposition of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness by its mere referring, mentioning and synonymising of postmodern concepts and conceptualisations thus undermining the inherent postmodern-thought implied elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of concepts and conceptualisations, and as such identitive positivistic modern thought fundamentally fails to recognise and factor in the aforementioned postmodern-thought knowledge-reification process/gesturing as of (amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. Such a recurrent ontologically-flawed predisposition is tantamount to say construing Newtonian physics in the absolute terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of its concepts and conceptualisations of say space, time, force, etc. to then project this predisposition by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation of these Newtonian physics concepts and conceptualisations as if of Einsteinian physics in the hope that this will enable
the elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of Einsteinian physics, whereas the latter implies an utterly different reification process/gesturing for its specific physics elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification as of its episodic-epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. It is rather the suprastructuralism/postmodernism reification process/gesturing as of episodic-epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness that supersedingly induces postmodern-thought implied concepts and conceptualisations elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification, just as the same can be said of Einsteinian physics reification process/gesturing as of episodic-epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness in supersedingly inducing its specific implied concepts and conceptualisations elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of say space-time, force, etc. In both instances, when interpreted from the relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective in ontologically-flawed presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of naïve positivistic modern thought or Newtonian physics respectively, suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought and Einsteinian physics will be ‘qualified negatively as relativistic’ since the latter do not assume a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness with concepts like truth, space, time, force, etc. and the latter rather perceive these as ontologically-flawed elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective which emphasises construing existential-reality as it manifests itself as of existential-
contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness; and likewise, the fact that existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness ‘epistemically implies human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\langle (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation \rangle for construing ontological-veracity’, thus ‘putting-in-question/deflating by difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness traditional conceptions beyond their simplistic conceptual-patterning to reflect underlying ecstatic-existence, will tend to be construed from the relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as nominalistic rather than as of ‘foregrounding—entailment-\langle narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as from the relative-ontological-completenece perspective. In other words, the concepts and conceptualisations of postmodern-thought are meaningless without their relevant and underlying theoretical background framework gesturing, and there is no point in construing them as of simplistic conceptual-patterning by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation as if these are of positivistic modern thought theoretical background framework gesturing just as the same can be said of striving for the elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of Einseinian physics concepts and conceptualisations as if of Newtonian physics concepts and conceptualisations by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation as if of the latter. In both cases, the \langle amplituding \rangle formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness-relative-ontological-completeness implied
displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject points to different sense-of-conscious-representation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology between the relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness such that the former is rather in pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness implying the need for its unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/dueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring-instrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> and cannot simply be projected as the latter which is what is rather truly and effectively of acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring-instrument
<br/>(amplituding) formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications-, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness/relative-ontological-incompleteness, such that for instance even a naïve traditional conception of the physics domain-of-study as of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness is shown to be veridically rather as of existential-contextualising-contiguity
conflatedness going by the successive relative-ontological-completeness physics conception of such notions as space, time, etc. in <formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating development of successive theories say Cartesian, Newtonian, Einsteinian, String theory, etc. using the very same notions and derived-notions but with different implications. This <formative> epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating nature of all domains-of-study in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness as of <formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, speaks of the epistemic-veracity of the fact that ‘all knowledge is truly developed as of a hermeneutic circle for relative-ontological-completeness’ that involves human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<formative> epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}. This hermeneutic circle knowledge-reification process/gesturing is furthermore reflected in both human scholarly-and-pedagagic exercise wherein subject-matters/domains-of-study are grasped in successive articulations of deeper and deeper hermeneutic insight as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. The implication here is that postmodern knowledge-reification process/gesturing simply integrates this notion in the sense that top-level postmodern scholars articulate their knowledge-reification process/gesturing at its ‘appropriate hermeneutic circle level of postmodern knowledge-reification’ no different from say top-level physicists and natural scientists articulating their knowledge-reification process/gesturing at their ‘appropriate hermeneutic circle level of top-level physics/natural-science knowledge-reification’. In both instances, the knowledge-reification process/gesturing implies that the scholar or student striving to engage at that top-level understanding, needs to grasp the ‘preceding formative/pedagogic hermeneutic circle levels of knowledge-reification’. Such a supposed scholar or student cannot depart from
ordinary/banal \(<\text{amplituding}\)formative>\)wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-
<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
\non{\text{nondescript/ignorable-void'}}-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\>
level of knowledge conception to then claim that the top-level physics/natural-science/postmodern-
thought hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification process/gesturing should be directly and
fully graspable to it as of a \(<\text{amplituding}\)formative>\)wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-
of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
as-of-\non{\text{nondescript/ignorable-void'}}-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\>
) predisposition to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness. The fact is the
various pedagogic hermeneutic circle levels of any subject-matter/domain-of-study as of
successive maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness are meant to
transmit a \('<\text{amplituding}\)formative>\)epistemic-totalising/comprehensive organic-attitude-to-
knowledge which is much more than just its technical knowledge veracity’ and that
\('<\text{amplituding}\)formative>\)epistemic-totalising/comprehensive organic-attitude-to-
knowledge’ is needed together with the induced technical dispensation of the lower
hermeneutic circle of pedagogic knowledge-acquisition to then be able to engage with the
higher/top-level scholarly/pedagogic hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification in its
maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. It is important to
understand here that the top-level physics/natural-science/postmodern-thought hermeneutic
circle of knowledge-reification process/gesturing cannot strive to engage the supposed
scholar or student at any such ordinariness/banal \(<\text{amplituding}\)formative>\)wooden-
language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-\non{\text{nondescript/ignorable-void'}}-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications\>) level of knowledge conception, and implicated in its
knowledge-reification gesturing/process is the notion that the prior/all-the-prior hermeneutic
circle level(s) of the subject-matter/domain-of-study need to be grasped beforehand; and this is basically because such a top-level is imbued with fundamental and new knowledge-reification priorities. While in many ways the unblurred/sharply-delineated nature of the natural sciences renders such a ‘hermeneutic circle of levels of understanding’ more or less very transparent, with regards to the blurriness of the social such a postmodern-thought ‘hermeneutic circle of levels of understanding’ rather requires increasing familiarisation, habituation and contemplation with regards to such critical texts and analyses (and as is particularly necessary with regards to the ‘parrhesiastic nature of philosophy that is behind the engendering/parrhesiastic-aestheticisation of underlying reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and thereof derived domains-of-study reified-knowledge as from the underlying reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’, and one’s intemporal solipsistic level of parrhesiastic contemplation is itself a decisive element for the capacity to appreciate-and-understand philosophical thought more than just an issue of technical acquisition of philosophical knowledge as of mere knowledge mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition). More critically, social and philosophical knowledge are no different from any other type of knowledge subject to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of inherent existence/ontological implications, as fundamentally requiring contemplative reification arising with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), with the implication that any philosophical, historial and social conception of knowledge is not an imprimatur totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought exercise on the basis of ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’ induced disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-
failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> but rather implying a furtherance of the overall hermeneutic exercise involved in the advancement of all human knowledge as of<br/>(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, wherein all such knowledge-reification is a hermeneutic circle involving: the analyst’s/philosopher’s baseline re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-{imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) up-to-date knowledge-reification process/gesturing of the specific knowledge area as of inherent existence/ontological implications whether say with a natural science domain like hereditary as of its given specificity or philosopher’s thought as of the general ontological comprehensiveness of philosophical thought; to then credibly analyse the coherence of the given prior contribution on the basis of the analyst’s/philosopher’s baseline re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-{imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) up-to-date knowledge-reification process/gesturing of the specific knowledge area as of inherent existence/ontological implications as to what it brings and reflects about current knowledge-reification; and then the analyst’s/philosopher’s reflection on the shortfall in the ontological-performance of the given prior contribution while reflecting the epochal constraints for such a shortfall going beyond a construal of the given prior contribution as mere ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’; and finally, the analyst’s/philosopher’s conceptual interpretation as its prospective contribution that is subject to validation and falsifiability as of inherent existence/ontological implications thus amenable to foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-
notional–deprocryptism with other so-constructed knowledge-reification, that are well beyond a disparateness-of-conceptualisation←unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> orientation driven by the cultivation of mere imprimatur totalisingly-disentailing—discretion/whim-of-thought ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’ disposition. It is important to appreciate here that a history of postmodern-thought criticism driven by populism, media operations, false intellectual engagement and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, is particularly telling not about postmodern thinkers knowledge-reification epistemic-veracity but rather ‘the knowledge-reification epistemic-veracity of such critics who often pride themselves on not understanding postmodern-thought then by a strange paradox have the knowledge to produce a profound criticism of postmodern-thought which they supposedly do not understand’. Even more critically, the question can be raised whether such critics profoundly appreciate the overall human knowledge-reification process/gesturing as herein articulated, and whether this very fact isn’t linked to the knowledge-reification methodological difficulties arising in many social domains-of-study ‘assuming a disparateness-of-conceptualisation←unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> epistemic-disposition that is in many ways poorly constrained to existential-reality’ with the result of their relative knowledge-reification passivity with regards to many a social issue ‘but for adventures into social commentary divorced from genuine operant knowledge-reification implications’; and in this regards could it be that the true ‘unsaid issue with suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought’ lies with its parrhesiastic emphasis on the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject for the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thereof the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of projected existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness, an issue that has always been a difficult knot throughout the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process but which inevitably has to be dealt with for the possibility of prospective human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation. Such weaknesses manifested by many a postmodern critic fundamentally points to an atomising/taking-to-pieces predisposition that poorly appreciates the \((amplituding)\) epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications, for explicating-ontological-contiguity involved in knowledge-reification, and is reflected in a lack of parrhesiastic and hermeneutic insight that ‘poorly grasp the philosophical analysis implications of the existential background/development of becoming-as-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, as if philosophy only started as of our present positivist era with a naivety that seems to imply that all-that-should-have-been,-that-is-and-that-will-be,-as-of-the-human-potential is as of a modern positivist \((amplituding)\) wooden-language-[imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) in its given reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation with no or poor insight of prior-and-prospective human becoming as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness’; and so when it generally comes to analysing philosophical texts requiring a sense of parrhesia and hermeneutic insight. This lack is quite often reflected in such misconstrued analyses of traditional philosophical figures by a failure to understand the overall coherent narrative of such figures as of an atomising/taking-to-pieces predisposition to identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism ending up quite often claiming the incoherence of such figures and/or of their narrative accounts, and so in a ‘naïve insight’ arising exactly because the possibility for understanding requires the critic’s own parrhesiastic insight and then hermeneutic conceptualisation to then develop the capacity to grasp first of all such traditional philosophical figures underlying
knowledge-reification process/gesturing and thus be able to understand how such knowledge-reification process/gesturing develops and why, and thus enabling the grasp not only of the accuracy of narrated accounts and notions but equally insight about the nuanced and covertly narrated accounts and notions, and all these while being informed by the immediate and broader underlying social background and implicated social and philosophical stakes of contention-and-confliction. In this regards, more than just the simpleminded analysis of traditional philosophical figures, such parrhesiastic and hermeneutic analytical insight actually converges with the epochal philosophical implications of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness and are actually more scientifically profound in that respect than meets the eye as to the fact that such analyses are more than just ‘archivistic retrieving’ but structurally/paradigmatically conceptualise the extended existential possibilities of falsifiability and validation in determining ontological-veracity as of a critical exercise of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. In this regards, such hermeneutic and parrhesiastic depth of analysis is more profoundly driven beyond the specific accuracy of narrative accounts about traditional philosophical figures but goes on to analyse the structural/paradigmatic possibilities of overall human social transformation reflected in the narrative accounts of such traditional philosophical figures. For instance, the ontological-veracity of Socratic philosophy is rather more strongly based on the overall social implications and underlying narrative of its novel universalising-idealisation that ‘runs-through/is-deflating’ by its event instigating traditional philosophical figures and schools, and as pursued by their successors including the stoics, cynics, etc. and as to its induced universalising-idealisation transformative meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure impact
with respect to societies of the Mediterranean including the Roman empire and subsequent religio-political developments. In another respect, it is often touted from a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness orientation that Socratic philosophers were institutionally ‘anti-democratic’, going particularly by the Platonic emphasis on philosopher kings, by the naivety and mere token that the prevailing ancient Athens ‘mob-rule democracy’ is of the same conceptual-patterning as our modern conception of democracy; but this is rather unnuanced with regards to what was a more pressing question of good governance in Ancient Athens and in the sense that such a ‘mob-rule democracy’ is not what prevails today and more critically the fact is the modern democracy model whether of direct or indirect manifestations is rather more critically informed by these criticisms of the Socratic philosophers (and not intellectual inspiration from any such mob-rule instigating sophists) wherein we rather place emphasis on ‘informed expertising and expertising-institutions for the comprehensive process of our modern democracy’ such that modern day crises of democratic governance with regards to bad governance, institutional crisis, economic crisis or undesirable wars are rather generally construed as arising from ‘failure or sophistry of expertise and expertising-institutions’ in need of better expertising, and furthermore major political calamities of the 20th century leading to totalitarian governments and their instigation of genocides arose exactly due to misinformed populist democracy. Paradoxically, this insight validates the point advanced herein that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is critically more than just its mechanical-knowledge reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but rather an organic-knowledge as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation that then feeds into prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation; emphasising as of any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s specific limited-mentation-capacity that knowledge ‘more profoundly lies with the knowledge-reification gesturing and organic implications’, just as we cannot simplistically interpret the importance of Aristotelian science in terms of its constitutive elements as earth, water, air, fire and aether on a naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness basis from the vantage perspective of our modern positivism (as being at the receiving backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) but rather the more critical insight lies with its novel and transformative universalising-classificatory knowledge-reification gesturing as opening up the possibility for prospective human reconceptualisation of science providing the backdrop from which modern science took off from the medieval times to the present. Likewise, the transformative nature of budding positivism more than just as garnered from the precised narrative accounts about budding positivist thinkers, lies more profoundly with its meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure impact on the developing enlightenment social developments and as this budding positivism metaphoricity epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically brought about our positivism/rational-empiricism modern society. The analyses of human becoming so-implied as of parrhesiastic and hermeneutic development is in of itself a pure science that is epistemically-derivable as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’, and so beyond the specific accuracy of narrative accounts of traditional philosophical figures and besides such parrhesiastic and hermeneutic insight actually informs about the ontological-pertinence of such narrative accounts. In another respect, even with a most natural sense of parrhesia and
hermeneutic insight, many a figure predispose to atomising/taking-to-pieces analysis, including founders of this orientation and other of its leading figures, have ultimately come to realise its relative underlying platitude with respect to prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity such that a prevailing notion has developed within as to imply philosophy doesn’t necessarily involve a transcendental-and-sublimity promise as of a nombrilistic institutional-being-and-craft predisposition; and as such a merely reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation knowledge culture that ‘dodges potential parrhesiastic implications from its very own tentative analyses’ speaks of ‘a supposed intellectualism’ that does not lead prospective social progress as it becomes a sophistic/pedantic problem for prospective social progress especially so when it originates from the ‘mother of all disciplines’. The fact is ‘philosophy just as any of its derived domain-of-study is not the ownership of any institutional culture’ but rather ‘a human abstract-property co-opted institutionally in deferential-formalisation-transference to the extend that that deference fulfils its promise of knowledge-reification for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity’. In this regards, the transcendental-and-sublimity possibilities of 7.5 billion humans today and human posterity cannot be construed as hanging on such terms of institutional-being-and-craft dispositions prevailing in many a social domain-of-study and even some of the natural sciences as of naïve science-ideology, and so because beyond the temporal human disposition to contemplate of existence as of a lifespan-of-existence-implications there need to be ‘human intemporal contemplation that abstractly lives/exists beyond lifespan-of-existence-implications to fetch for prospective possibilities of meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’, something which a lifespan-of-existence-implications projection as of a ⟨amplitudes⟩formative⟩wooden-language-⟨imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>) is not structured/paradigmed to do! But then the phenomenological question arising with respect to the fact that many a social domain-of-study ‘tend to assume a disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> epistemic-disposition that is in many ways poorly constrained to existential-reality’, is how exactly does such lack of ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ affect the realisation of the full knowledge-reification potentiality of domains-of-
study as of their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as reflected by ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency? Insightfully, this fundamentally has to do with the contrastive implications in construing ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework as of good-practice/epistemic-veracity and bad-practice/epistemic-
impertinence for knowledge-reification; wherein objectifying foregrounding—entailment-
{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—as-operative-
notional–deprocrypticism as good-practice/epistemic-veracity of knowledge-reification involves the construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ‘cogent-
unifying-operant-dynamics of primemovers’ so-construed veridically as ‘ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’, whereas disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-
failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> as bad-practice/epistemic-impertinence of knowledge-reification involves the construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework as ‘disjointing/disparateness/disentailing of primemovers’ so-construed wrongly as ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in constitutedness outside existential-
contextualising-contiguity’. Thus ‘disjointing/disparateness/disentailing of primemovers as disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>’ basically undermines the veridical underlying

‘disjointing/Disparateness/Disentailing of primemovers as disparateness-of-conceptualisation<-unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>’ undermines the inherent ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics of primemovers’ reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness, such that the supposed exercise of knowledge-reification ends up ‘losing the acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument of axiomatic-constructs as reflective of existential-reality’; as of the flawed disjointing/disparateness/disentailing of overall inherent existential-reality acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness, and further reflected variously as temporal over-emphasising and/or underemphasising/ignoring of primemovers reflecting ‘ontological-totalitative-framework as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’, and so due to ‘human-subpotency presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’

\[\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle^{\text{formative}}\text{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as well as lack of prospective intemporal parrhesiastic aestheticisation for prospectively renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation}\]

thus undermining existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

\[\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle^{\text{formative}}\text{epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness}\]

\[\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle^{\text{formative}}\text{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. While in many a natural}\]
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reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; and so as ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing-
profund-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument—for-
conceptualisation’ so-construed as originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
(which is actually constrained to ‘<amplituding>formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—
entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-
operative-notional—deprocrypticism in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-
prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional—projective-
perspective>’), precedes-and-defines the pertinence of ‘methods/methodologies/approaches
as to reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’. This inversely-varying-emphasis of originariness-parrhesia,—as—
spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—
as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, given human limited-mentation-capacity implications,
is reflected in all human aestheticisation construals whether as of reflex aestheticisation
construct, instant aestheticisation construct, shallow aestheticisation construct, dragged-out
aestheticisation construct, profound aestheticisation construct or subsuming aestheticisation
construct with respect to sought out ontological-performance implications. The inevitability
of this relation of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation in all human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology lies with the
fact that, however human limited-mentation-capacity-deepe...

sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidication implications is not compromisable, and so over temporal nihilistic dispositions of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation susceptible to compromising ontological-performance of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human-subpotency<$\text{(amplituding)formative}>\text{wooden-language-}(\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}<\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—}'\text{nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>)$ and
intermediating ascriptivity’), is reflective of underlying ‘hermeneutic reactualising as
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’
human aestheticisation process with respect to living-development–as-to-personality-
development meaningfulness-and-teleology, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-
development meaningfulness-and-teleology and Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so epistemic-
ricochettingly/transepistemically as of ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’ with respect to unduly aporetic/undecidable/dilemmatic/indeterminate/deficient/limitative/constraining ontological-
performance wherein originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation re-
stakes/puts-back-at-stake the reconstruing of existential-reality despite the taxingness-of-
originariness. This human aestheticisation process involves inversely-varying-emphasis of
originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation (so-construed
as of ‘high/low parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation’ with respect to ‘existentially
developing/becoming-as-of-social-integration-and-evolving relevant meaningfulness-and-
teleology’), reflecting the ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’. For instance with regards to living-development–as-to-personality-
development meaningfulness-and-teleology, human aestheticisation is reflected in childhood
to adulthood social development wherein a child’s development as of its ‘existentially
developing/becoming-as-of-social-integration-and-evolving relevant meaningfulness-and-
teleology’ involves initially a more direct focus on instant-sensations-and-carefreeness with
the child aspiring for social-integration-and-evolving at successive stages as it grows up with an increasing sense of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension in a ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ as of its ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ that ultimately involves major stages like language acquisition achievement, schooling achievement, greater social autonomy and responsibility achievement, and developing into an adult with even greater dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as for instance the notion of pleasure is increasingly substituted with that of work-and-pleasure, etc. It is critical to grasp here that such living-development—as-to-personality-development human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology (‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ as of a ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’) in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness involving ‘hermeneutic reactualising as <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ always entails the three human aestheticisation manifest elements: ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’, ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’, and ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’. This human aestheticisation insight is informing about what exactly is meant by such major stages of human personality development like language acquisition achievement, schooling achievement, greater social autonomy and responsibility achievement, etc. in the sense that the underlying/induced ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-
and-evolving’ already speaks of the ‘hermeneutic reactualising as
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’
long before a child’s language acquisition achievement recognition, schooling achievement
recognition, greater social autonomy and responsibility achievement recognition, etc. More
specifically we can thus factor in that language as formally defined, and so specifically as this
reflects a particular phonetic/written signification construct, is rather in reality the
‘teleological outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-
incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’ of a rather ‘complex sense of
meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ induced from a ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-
of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ driven ‘hermeneutic reactualising as
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’
that starts long before a child’s ‘recognised’ acquisition of any such ‘language-as-
phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-
the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’, as the
child already has a ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ before
its ‘recognised’ acquisition of ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-
incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’, and that acquisition of a specific
‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-
historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-
manifestation’ in due course (though annunciative) is rather secondary-and-prolongative of
the child’s evolving underlying human ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology
aestheticisation’ as of its ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-
integration-and-evolving’. It is this underlying ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-
teleology aestheticisation’ that is truly of ‘existentially developing/becoming-as-of-social-
function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions like language’ which are not truly absolutely of present-at-hand as to wrongly imply presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (even as the privileged social conceptualisation of say language is as of ‘language as the complete possibilities of language as of an absolute present conception usually of a privileged end-institution purpose’). Insightfully, we can garner that it is ‘human <(amplituding)formative> epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity purposefulness-reflexivity for prospective relative-ontological-completeness orientation’ implied as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that fundamentally renders/makes human institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions’ to be necessarily as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness and not in constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. In another respect, ‘living-development—as-to-personality-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ is of ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ with regards to human childhood to adulthood personality development as of the forming individual need to assimilate/integrate human progressive cultural cumulation, and this is very much in contrast to ‘institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ that rather cumulatively holds-on-to and complexifies the culturally cumulated outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historically-as-of-the-specifically-
secondnature. This fundamental originariness and secondnature conundrum in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is reflected by the fact that the human Self is ever always in disseminative constructiveness/destructuring defining its given registry-worldview/dimension shiftiness-of-the-Self as of ‘a subpar existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confledness/human-subpotency disposition to construe as of full existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confledness at its uninstitutionalised-threshold’ its prior secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; and so in obfuscation and pedantry. The possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity has ever always been able to arise at such uninstitutionalised-thresholds of registry-worldviews/dimensions not by a ‘false pretence’ that the ontologically-veridical underlying issue of prospectively-aporetic/prospectively-undecidable/prospectively-dilemmatic/prospectively-indeterminate/prospectively-deficient/prospectively-limitative/prospectively-constraining ontological-performance of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in the construal of ecstatic-existence, is one in want of candid analysis as of the very same prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but rather the ontological-veracity of originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for prospective/renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; as perfectly understood by the Socratic philosophers advancing of universalising-idealisation relative to the Ancient sophists non-universalising inclination, budding positivists/rational-empiricists advancing of positivism/rational-empiricism relative to the medieval-scholastics pedantic dogmatism and
prospective-apriorising-implications>); and this temporal nihilism at uninstitutionalised-thresholds has ever always been associated with a corresponding intemporal asceticism for opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (not partaking as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in any such ‘wooden language’) that is the sine qua non for the habituation of the possibility of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity. Overcoming this ‘aporia of underdetermined madness’ despite human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, has ever always been the absolutely determinative possibility for the fulfilment of the construction-of-humanity-as-of-its-developing-construction-of-the-Self enabling human transcendence-and-sublimity to arise; as its overcoming has ever always elicited humankind’s ability to ascetically go beyond its ‘prior comfort zone’ to reconstrue its future emancipatory possibilities. In this regard, the idea of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation, however its recurrent re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation—(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’—of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal instigation as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, speaks to the fact that the sense of prospective base-institutionalisation in prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is potentially an actionable possibility as of the latter’s ‘parrhesiastic structure’ construed as ‘its-given-developed-level-of-Will/Spirit/Drive in dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
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successive human knowledge-reification capacity. This is achieved rather as of
deprocrypticism self-conscious construing of human ontological-performance at
constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and vices-and-impediments at destructuring-
threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>-of-
ontological-performance as inherently defined structurally/paradigmatically by ‘the
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process in reflection of underlying human limited-mentation-capacity as
of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-
ontological-completeness implications induced/spawned/hatched/emerged difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
as instigating both human constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and human
destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-
decisionality>-of-ontological-performance across the successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions; thus eliciting the construal of aetiologyisation/ontological-escalation
as of a reflection of human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-
cestatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-
perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
conceptualisation> in structurally/paradigmatically upholding human virtue at
constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and undermining human vices-and-impediments
at destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-
decisionality>-of-ontological-performance’. This structural/paradigmatic process orientation
with regards to human virtue at constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and vices-and-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. We can thus appreciate that just as a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism assessment of the virtue and vices-and-impediments of individuals in any of the preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions will find them relatively wanting/deficient with regards to our positivism, this ‘is not decisively/critically the case on the basis that we are inherently better individuals than any of the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions individuals’ but rather a question of us being at the vantage backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}, pointing out that what is decisive/critical for inducing human virtue over vices-and-impediments rather lies with the assessment of any such registry-worldview/dimension prospective ‘point of {<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ as so-implied by the prospective registry-worldview/dimension acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as it reflects upon the preceding registry-worldview/dimension ‘notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ in order to construe/assess/supersede by its induced virtue at the prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance over vices-and-impediments at the destructuring-threshold-
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension perspective for post-logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring cognisant-and-integrative social meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (that is, so-construed as from the perspective of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s transcendence-and-sublimity induced constructiveness-of-ontological-performance); explaining why the prospective registry-worldview/dimension is rather a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising as of its acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument from the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s given acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument due to the
ontologically-flawed inordinary/unexpected/anormal catching-up-by-extrinsic-attribution for
social-functioning-and-accordance now construed rather as from the
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument of the
prospective registry-worldview/dimension for
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring cognisant-and-integrative social
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ speaks of the structural/paradigmatic manifestation of the
given prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s corresponding
notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness (whether such a corresponding
notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness, starting as from the basis of ‘fundamental
animality failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension’, is recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s trepidatious–
self-consciousness specific notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness of ‘failing
rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism given
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension’ from base-institutionalisation perspective, 
ununiversalisation’s warped–self-consciousness specific notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness of ‘failing
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism given
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension’ from universalisation perspective, non-
positivism’s/medievalism’s preclusive–self-consciousness specific notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness of ‘failing positivising/rational-empiricism-
based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism given dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension”: reflected as of ‘non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-
mental-disposition-or-failing-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with recurrent-
utter-uninstitutionalisation, ‘failing-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with base-
institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, ‘failing-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
psychologism’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, ‘failing-preempting—disjointedness-as-
of-reference-of-thought,—as-to-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
on-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
thought,—as-to-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
on-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with deprocrypticism); wherein at the destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-
‘prelogism/postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism
constructiveness-of-ontological-performance’ (as from within the very same registry-worldview/dimension acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument perspective), is the fact that ‘all that humankind has got for conceptualising ecstatic-existence, as ever the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism respectively reflexive of their ‘prelogism/postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism
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constructiveness-of-ontological-performance’ disposition as of their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, do not factor in that their destructuring-threshold-
constructiveness-of-ontological-performance’ disposition imply respectively that the prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism are then effectively of ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-as-of-postlogism/psychopathy-(as-of-the-‘preconverging/dementing–quality-schema’-at-its-uninstitutionalised-threshold-it-wrongly-implies-as-nondescript/ignorable-void) at the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuriing-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ disposition. The point is that ‘ecstatic-existence doesn’t have any inherent/supposed limit of manifestation tied-down/bogged-down to human limited-mentation-capacity as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness’ (successively as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism), such that the implied difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism between the prior and prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions involving prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument construal of ecstatic-existence, as ever the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-
disposition of all registry-worldviews/dimensions is very much capable of countenancing however fragile prospective relative-ontological-completeness implications; that is, until when that fragility is exploited by temporal sophistic/pedantic dispositions in wrongly and cynically implying the equivalence of prospective intemporal-projection and prior temporal-projection as to when ancient Sophists elicit the contemplation of Socratic philosophers intemporal universalising-idealisation narrative in terms of their epochal

non-universalising narrative, as to when medieval-scholasticism fail to engage prospective budding positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology and harkening rather to its dogmatism pedantry, and as to when modern day intellectual-muddlement-

seems to be blinded to the implication of ‘prospective event/aporetic thinking implied deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and take the route of eliciting disparateness-of-conceptualisation-as-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’ unconstrained to existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework such that even the idea of a human existential narrative tends to be put into question together with a tendency to question the pertinence of historically transformative figures and movements, and so in a ‘disparateness-of-conceptualisation-as-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’ impotence-inducing exercise’ (as to the fact that where there is uncertainty, whether real or unreal, ontological implications cannot then be effectively
thought, in-epistemic-conflatedness value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness implications necessarily imply the prospective devaluing of the ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ implied hierarchisation-of-values. However, the reality as of human limited-mentation-capacity is that however a seemingly universal disposition for ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology furtherance, such a disposition is not open-ended as reflected at any destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance as of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, in the sense that the human investment as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ in prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation implies that it can be rather inclined to reject/ignore prospective ‘human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-presencing—<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’ of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology, and so where this discrepancy is sophistically perceived as advantageous to the socially-vestedness/normativity of social-stake-contention-or-confliction (as manifested with sophistic/pedantic mediums, shamans, witchdoctors, ancient Sophists, medieval-scholasticism pedants and modern day intellectual-muddlement—{blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness}). In this regards, the value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness implications of a social-setup epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity hierarchisation-of-values (rather in —<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
reference-of-thought-level
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument for
meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring’.

This is fundamentally what explains why the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation cannot all of a sudden start reasoning as of base-institutionalisation, and the latter as of universalisation, the latter as of positivism/rational-empiricism and prospectively the latter as of deprocrypticism. The overall point here is that it is the ‘parrhesiastic structure’ as of parrhesiastic-aestheticisation that ‘invents/creates’ the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
by-reification/contemplative-distension’). Such an ‘absolutising disposition with the registry-worldview/dimension mere-form of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reprodicibility-of-aestheticisation’ is what underlies disparateness-of-conceptualisation—\textless unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’\textgreater at a registry-worldview/dimension destructuring-threshold—\textless uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality\textgreater —of-ontological-performance ‘wherein normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as secondnature institutionalised constructs assume absolute determinism that flawly override any parrhesiastic \langle\textit{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\textit{–reproducible}—\text{of}—\textit{aestheticisation}—\text{as of}—\text{its lack of}\textit{prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment—\langle\textit{narrowing-down–sublimation as to} existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting—\text{of}—\text{prospective—supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},—\textit{as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument\textrangle on the basis
that such social practices are absolutely deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, adherence to prospective knowledge-reification as of human temporality/shortness arises as of the existentially constraining untenability of positive-opportunism induced reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but doesn’t necessarily elicits intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation for prospective knowledge-reification as of ‘a weak social mental-reflex that any parrhesiastic <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ontological-veracity will put in question prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as can be reflected in normativities, conventions, practices, etc.’, and this is what explains the prevalence of disparateness-of-conceptualisation—<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect—‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’> at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as ‘mere-form of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ temporally takes pride-of-place and so unconstrained to prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation implications ‘as of parrhesiastic <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ontological-veracity’ thus providing the framework for ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity and sophistry hanging on unto secondnatured normativities, conventions, practices, etc. thus rendering prospective transcendence-and-sublimity impotent. Thus ‘the possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity is ever always a renewed parrhesiastic structure’ that as of its reasoning-through/messianic-
reasoning can overcome such a ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩wooden-language-⟨imbued—averaging-of-thought-⟨as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩⟩, and so counterintuitively to any given registry-worldview/dimension notion/sense of transcendence-and-sublimity as rather occurring along its already secondnatured established reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation normativities, conventions, practices, etc.; and this very much explains why the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions are successive parrhesiastic instigation of renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. Further the ‘renewed parrhesiastic structure’ in undermining prior ‘reference-of-thought-level and thus reference-of-thought-devolving-level of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-⟨unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’⟩’ implies ‘foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of— ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, and not ‘unification as of human-subpotency elicited contrasting-and-comparison’ as the latter just leads to a complexification of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-⟨unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’⟩ along the very same reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of an ontologically-flawed human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence that ‘allows the mortals that we are to average our thoughts’ rather than existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness
imposing ontological-veracity as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This explains why the universalising-idealisation of Socratic philosophers, budding positivists thought and herein as well suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought are all characterised in their knowledge-reification not by an articulation along the prior established reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but rather prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness constraining parrhesiastic aestheticisation of prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, that in all three cases looks down upon the notion of human-subpotency sophistic/pedantic pretence of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,–eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism that is no more than complexification of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’>. Critically as of such parrhesiastic instigation of prospective relative-ontological-completeness the prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation ‘sycophantic-sophistic pretences of candour’ are edgily/incisively trampled-upon parrhesiastically as the Socratic philosophers go out of their way to highlight the intellectual discredit of the sophists, as budding positivists go out of their way to highlight medieval-scholasticism dogma, and likewise suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought is beyond just our positivism–procrypticism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and as reflected herein with the parrhesiastic highlighting of institutional-being-and-craft and intellectual-muddlement–(blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-

acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument; as all that is as of knowledge-reification at uninstitutionalised-thresholds is necessarily as of prospective parrhesiastic instigation beyond the priorly parrhesiastic instigated reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In all these three instances of parrhesiastic instigation for human transcendence-and-sublimity, it is important to grasp that their validation lies in their 'parrhesiastic

ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ reflecting a foregrounding—entailment-
(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-
prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-
notional–deprocrypticism so-implied in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process successive registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—(<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-
to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)
implications of acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring, and
so ‘over human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence implied disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-
contiguity’> unification as of an ontologically-flawed human-subpotency contrasting-and-
comparison driven notion of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting
‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism’. Rather the
Socratic philosophers are not obstinate as all the possibility for prospective transcendence-
and-sublimity that can-exist-as-of-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
(<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness (as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise dimensionality-of-
sublimating—(<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
realising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation for
prospective knowledge-reification, with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-

deeper-\langle(amplituding)\rangle formative\rangle epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) can only arise as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\langle(amplituding) \rangle formative\rangle epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in-epistemic-conflatedness implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness parrhesiastic instigation implications of universalising-idealisation as the foregrounding—entailment\langle(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’), as-operative-notional-deprocrypticism at reference-of-thought-level for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and ‘not contrasting-and-comparison disparateness-of-conceptualisation\langle(unforegrounding-disentailment, failing-to-reflect ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’\rangle in human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence as of non-universalising sophistry reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation secondnatured normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as of its lack of prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation foregrounding—entailment\langle(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’), as-operative-notional-deprocrypticism acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument’; likewise the budding positivists are not obstinate as all the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity that can-exist-as-of-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\langle(amplituding) \rangle formative\rangle epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in-epistemic-conflatedness (as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise dimensionality-of-
notional–deprocrypticism acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’. In furtherance of this prospective epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity indictment, this author laments a covert practice of an intellection that has been critical of postmodern-thought but in latter years ‘reformulates the implications of postmodern ideas’ as original thought even as such practices supposedly passes their institutional thresholds of admissibility with the caveat though that much of such thought is poorly operant given its ad-hoc depth of knowledge-reification gesturing/process as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-\langle unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’\rangle implications, and along the same parrhesiastic prospective epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity line this author is very much befuddled of a perverted exercise to undermine the originality of this work supposedly because of the theoretical orientation by a naïve ad-hoc synonymising exercise that this author is very much confident fails as it overlooks the coherence and knowledge-reification gesturing/process articulated herein. Generally, such perversion of thought as it discreetly networks fails society in the long-run when it seems to assume a foreshadowing posture with regards to what can be thought or not thought as of a ‘realpolitiking of thought’ exercise. Such intellectual shadiness of vague highmindedness is no more different from the gross inanity of ancient sophists or medieval-scholastic pedants, as of naïve shallominded incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a poor sense of intemporality/longness beyond earthly materialism. The transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting veracity of all singularising/immanenting subject-matters/domains-of-study ‘\langle amplituding\rangle formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism’ reflecting existence’s overall reifying-and-empowering
reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-
subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>, as of the implications of 
philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-
on-tology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-
enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’, whether with 
respect to say evolutionary theory in the biological sciences or physics foregrounding—
entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-
eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’},–as-
operative-notional–deprocrypticism theories for instance can ultimately imply the 
reconceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology in order to supersede the fundamental 
approach of ‘finite categorising axiomatisation’ as of positivism 
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument towards 
hermeneutic existential-contextualising-contiguity reifying 
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought referentialism 
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in ontological-
normalecy/postconvergence involving ‘ontologically-projective-as-of-ontological-
normalecy/postconvergence aestheticising/designed axiomatisation insight’. Basically thus, 
naïve mimickry of mere scientific approaches and methodologies isn’t inherently 
on-tologically-pertinent but for vague ‘science-ideology imprimatur’ as of institutional-being-
and-craft, as priorly any study as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to–‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–purview-of-construal’ or any <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-

elaboration of postmodern difference conception, as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism contends that this effectively captures-and-reflects the evolving reality of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so over analytic atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism that goes on to analyse as if all the analysis that has ever been is as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness while ignoring the

<\textit{amplituding}formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of human underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness with respect to temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance as from past to present to future with regards to existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. Another criticism is the inclination for such atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation predisposition to start out with ad-hoc disparate conceptualisations as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism that often poorly reflect the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality rather than the contrary approach that delves directly in existential-contextualising-contiguity and then reifies-out conceptualisations as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. The implication here is that quite often when required to explicate social phenomena outside the framework of such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach, what happens is that responses will often tend not to be as of the direct import of such analytical atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation frameworks of supposed reification/elucidation, but rather as extra-contemplative articulations and commentaries that in many ways fall back into the very <\textit{amplituding}formative>wooden-
language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} that is supposed to be reified but now under the imprimatur of authority. This is very much unlike the case with proponents of ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ whose social and existential analyses are just a natural reification/elucidation projection as from within the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality framework of their study. Furthermore this contrast equally produces other distractive effects in the sense that when such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation analysis is presumed to be more profound as of its poorly nuanced interpretation of existential-contextualising-contiguity in a rather blurry social domain-of-study, then it assumes that issues of mutual misunderstanding are due to poor writing, poor use of language or ambiguous conceptualisations of such ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ proponents thought, failing to factor in the existential-contextualising-contiguity dereifying effects of abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation as decontextualising and pulling-apart the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality, wherein the constraining effect of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as the ‘superior party’ is ignored/overlooked on the naïve token of working on specific aspects or specific interpretation, and so out of sync with existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Again, what is loss of critical pertinence here is exactly what is implied by ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’, as being rather all about elucidating the necessary-existential-states-and-conditions so-construed as ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, and not presuming-and-skirting-around them, before further expanding on the elucidation/reification of their manifestations as validated or can be falsifiable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; or otherwise this simply leads to a loss of
the sense of ontologically-veridical reality. Ultimately, such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation tendencies and further as of a frequently gestational knowledge state with respect to the possibility for prospective social transcendence-and-sublimity, induces a penchant for flawed intellectually supplementing rhetorisation rather than reification as well as naïve focussing on disparateness of conceptualisations-and-interpretations as of lack or poor constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework disposition rather than an orientation towards the ‘transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing or transversal-analysis-towards-validatory-selectivity-for-foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),–as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism of conceptualisations-and-interpretations’ as constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework which is what further reifies the body of knowledge by enabling existence as the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/transcendental-signifier to continually select the trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of sound and complementary conceptualisations-and-interpretations out of a genuine ecstatic reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation disseminative insight, with unsound/superseded conceptualisations-and-interpretations being discarded thereafter. Concretely, we can easily appreciate the greater pertinence of a Foucauldian statement of relative truth as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, construable rather as a more precise theoretical, conceptual and operant notion of truth by its existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reifying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as reflected with ‘intemporal
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and so when compared to the atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation notion of truth-value as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. Such a construal of relative truth doesn’t imply a lack of commitment in truth, but is utterly the contrary as of ‘a much more critical and ontologically decisive commitment to truth and growing truth’ as any pertinent critique can garner in Foucault’s truth-delogocentering works/research-programme and its extensive interpretational citability in other scholarly works/research-programmes as of its scholarly advancing of the humanities and social sciences; as his works/research-programme quest for truth ‘expands the conception of truth beyond our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions as if all the world that has ever existed is as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’, and displaces/decenters the human subject as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness cloistered-consciousness for a more mature and nuanced conception of truth and the implications of truth; and so, beyond the contemplation of naïve atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation dereifying rhetorisations that border on <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> populist interpretations rather than elevating human ontological construal of the social domain-of-study! It is herein contended that existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as of its ecstatic singularity actually points to appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of every domain-of-study; as the fact remains that the domain-of-study of the social world is utterly different as of existential-contextualising-contiguity from the domain-of-study of the natural world, and not to mention that even within the natural world or social world there are equally subject-matters peculiarities that require their own specific approaches to elucidation/reification as of existential-contextualising-contiguity – and this said without undermining the idea of the ecstatic singularity of existence from which all such subject-matter-human-specialisms ecstatically arise as veridically implied by singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism speaking of an underlying ecstatic commonness though not common phenomenality. Thus, in all cases the overall implications for the optimum advancement of human knowledge is most critically about constraining knowledge to existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidation/reification rather than just mere formalisation as of conceptual patterning for its own sake. The fact is the natural sciences are already naturally constraint to existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification by the implicated immediate-constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework transcendence-and-sublimity whereas the human world is rather blurry in this regard and hence requires the requisite explicited insight about existence as of its ecstatic singularity for its appropriate approach for transcendence-and-sublimity. In many ways such an insight is often implied in the natural sciences as of its relative transparency of cause-and-effect reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity but not by a
naïve/mimicked formalisation as of mere conceptual patterning. Consider in this regard the implications of interpreting natural science transcendental-enabling/sublimating knowledge say between Mendelian heredity and DNA genetics or say Descartes Physics and Newton and Leibniz Physics on the basis of naïve formalisation as of conceptual patterning, then in many ways the latter contributors would be poorly appreciated given that the spectacular transcendence-and-sublimity implications of their studies are massively overlooked by a poor appreciation that knowledge is critically all about formalisation as of conceptual patterning rather than existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification.</div>
repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-

‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ rather reflected as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism which implied singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism enables transcendence-and-sublimity which is ‘concurrently formatted as formalisation’. Thus we know of the recurrent stories of ‘mathematics invented by physicists or mathematicians working under the physics existential-contextualising-contiguity guise’ as of the insight of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of the physics domain-of-study, with such mathematics ‘very often not well presented but essentially sublime’, and thereafter such existential-contextualising-contiguity initially reified mathematics is further reified as of mathematics more generalised-level of existential-contextualising-contiguity insight while ‘exquisitely formalised in concurrence’. This reality of ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-

‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ is very much obvious from the accounts of ‘successive partial contributions-and-failures’ that lead to major breakthroughs in the natural sciences as of the ‘very same <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’;
with this ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ construed as occurring within the very same scientist, across scientists of the same interest-of-study in a generation, and across scientists of the same developing interest-of-study cross-generationally as of the ‘very same <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. In this regard, we can appreciate that as of their differing ontological-performance the threshold where the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs projects its prospective relative-ontological-completeness is considered as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism, and striving to operate the classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs in its projected prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is effectively preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism; even though both address the ‘very same physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. The implications of flawed formalisation credo as of conceptual patterning identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism implied dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism extends, as of its flawed primacy of conceptual patterning on the basis of a conception of knowledge that tends to belittle and trivialise original knowledge contributions geared towards creative existential-
contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification while naively overrating contributions to knowledge of a conceptual patterning orientation, in further blurring the study of the social with mischaracterisations and poor appreciation of transcendence-and-sublimity implications and ultimately induces self-perpetuating artifices of institutional-being-and-craft that mechanically ‘paradoxically then supersede knowledge’ as of its very organic authenticity. One recurrent consequence of the formalisation credo that keeps on arising for instance in the analytic tradition of philosophy as of its non-holism or ‘poor conflatedness of holism/nested-congruence’, is that the underlying conception about growing the body of human knowledge seems to be the ‘incrementing of all such conceptual patterning conceptualisations’ going by their cross-analysis as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Basically, the underlying implication of conflatedness, and so over naïve constitutedness, is that all ontologically-veridical conceptualisations can only be veridical by their ‘abstract reduction to the holistic/nested-congruence implication of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as of its ecstatic singularity’, and thus implies the articulation of all such ontologically-veridical conceptualisations as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; while avoiding any such conceptualising naivety that may imply ‘existence in existence’ as this can only lead to flawed conceptualisations, ⟨amplituding⟩formative⟩epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-synchronising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag and logocentrism as of constitutedness.

Critically, no concepts have any veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but only rather as of their conflatedness with existence, and cannot be construed as ‘existing in existence’ as implied by constitutedness which just leads to ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism implied identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-
epistemic-determinism. We can appreciate that the naïve conceptual patterning of conceptualisations in many a social domain-of-study failing to disambiguate divergent knowledge implications-and-contributions as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification end up transforming subject-matters into descriptive enunciations of weak existentially explanatory and predicative capacity. The entire project of human meaningfulness-and-teleology is nothing but one of creatively elucidating/reifying existence/existential-possibilities, ‘with no out of existence knowledge project’, which is merely delusional. Thus, what is critically missing here is the fundamental constraining reality for creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, and so over the mere possibilities for abstracting conceptualisations. This very much explains why many of those who subscribe to the formalisation credo have a poor existential projection and appreciation for grasping the existential-contextualising-contiguity reifying gestures of postmodern-thought and other critical theories, and end up often haranguing such orientations by striving to constrain them on the basis of vague abstractions as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. This failure in fully appreciating the import of ontologically-veridical difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ as of implied singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism has fundamental <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity, as transcendence-and-sublimity only arise as of human expansion of its reifying grasp of existential-contextualising-contiguity. Consider in this regard that the repeated maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness articulation by this author on the theme of conceptual patterning here further complements as of further articulated reification of this very theme elsewhere herein, more than just about a mechanical repeating; and this knowledge-reification insight often goes missing with many a subscriber to the formalisation credo, as of reification along the three frames indicated above (as of same scholar interest-of-study, scholars of the same generation interest-of-study and scholars cross-generationally developing interest-of-study). In this regard, the contribution of post-structuralist scholars like Foucault, Derrida, Lyotard, Lacan, Deleuze have now and then been belittled as not original, as of a very much naïve conceptual patterning conception of knowledge; going by their profound association with earlier scholars and more specifically Heidegger and Nietzsche. From a creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification perspective of knowledge construal, this is no less silly as dismissing and belittling as unoriginal the ideas of later physicists since their contributions are just more evolved formalisation as of conceptual patterning of concepts originally/as-of-event available to earlier contributors to the ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ propounded by Newton together with the conceptual patterning influences of Galileo, Descartes, Leibniz, etc. as of the conceptual patterning of such concepts like space, time, force, etc. Such a conclusion certainly reflects a ‘massive ontological dearth’ in failing to appreciate the creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of the latter contributors in both instances. This further speaks of a poor grasp of the human knowledge project as being all about further reifying human grasp of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-
as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’, with the intellectual’s job to the best of their abilities rather being about orientating its effort for the best possibility to further this goal whether as of critical altogether new thought development or critical recomposuring of prior thought, or both. More likely than not the headway made by prior scholars means that the good intellectual knows as of the true goal of human knowledge advancement beyond just institutional-being-and-craft that their best effort is rather in further advancing/reifying/elucidating the headway as of ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’. This is especially the case where such headway mirrors ‘pure-ontology’ articulation, as there is only one ontological as existential reality. This orientation and rearticulating exercise by postmodern-thought speaks rather of an assurance that they are on a solid ontological pathway just as physicists orientation and redevelopment of the ontic lines setup by the early Galileos, Newtons and Leibnizes speaks of an assurance of ontological depth, in both instances as of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. Ultimately, and it is this author’s contention, the various scholarly contributions to postmodern-thought can be understood as rather pointing to the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontologically-veridical difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. We can equally
appreciate that much of the disseminative rational-empiricism/positivism implications of the works of such pioneers like Copernicus, Galileo, and specifically Descartes, etc. created ‘a rational-empiricism/positivism disseminative metaphoricity orientation making the human subject thinking as of mathesis universalis conceptualisation central’ reflected by Descartes ‘I think therefore I am’, and as followed and adopted to resolve various human knowledge issues by subsequent thinkers in successive generations as of human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning wherein in their states of undecidability/aporia ‘left it’ to existence as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as the veritable transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating to ‘continually select’ rational-empiricism/positivism disseminative orientations for transcendence-and-sublimity, leading to our present refined positivism/rational-empiricism conception! But then because our present ‘positivism–procrypticism human subject is rather undecentered’ relative to the prospective postmodern—deprocrypticism self-conscious mindset we fail to truly appreciate the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of postmodern-thought as of the prospective exercise of ‘leaving it’ to existence as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as the veritable transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating to ‘continually select’ postmodern—deprocrypticism disseminative orientations for transcendence-and-sublimity, in the same vain that the ‘non-positivism/medievalism undecentered human subject’ failed to truly appreciate the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of prospective positivism/rational-empiricism thought. On the other hand, recurrent conceptual patterning predispositions and orientations arise because of poor appreciation/reference for judging knowledge often as of poor institutional mechanical conceptualisation of knowledge, wherein the constraining metrics of institutional setups
including strangely enough also many such tertiary institutions where poststructuralist thinkers studied-and-taught-as-outlying-intellectuals, ‘apparently and falsely surpass existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’. Such institutional nombrilistic inclinations operate on the naivety that institutional processes are inherently reifying by their mere infrastructure and deferential-formalisation-transference, and set up enframed constraints that are in many ways self-defeating for the purpose of profound existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity. But then with regards to the social notwithstanding its high emotional-involvement disruptiveness to knowledge, more profoundly existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification here implies human displacement/decentering even though our temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology dispositions certainly have a hard time assuming the full implications of such prospectively implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology. This further speaks to the fact that human knowledge is much more than distantly/remote-abstracted conceptions of meaningfulness-and-teleology of trite existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, as on critical occasions this puts the human subject itself into question; and so, as of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ even where this edges into contortioning asceticism as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought. Such ‘pure-ontology’ orientation grounded on creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification is ever always a ‘conflatedness holism/nested-congruence’ as it aspires to grasping and articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology as portends to the wholeness/nested-congruence of the-very-same-immanent-
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as to-
‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’; with such
construal in reality rather very much as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
epistemic-determinism rather than dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-
epistemic-determinism. It is thus not a surprise that many natural sciences in their ‘creative
existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ develop as and aspire to be
whole/congruent in conception, even though their concepts can be misconstrued as rather
disparate but in effect are ‘operant as of wholeness/nested-congruence’. Likewise, the
underlying deprocrypticism-or-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
conflatedness holism/nested-congruence suprastructuralism conception herein is rather
articulated as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of
epistemic reflection of the ecstatic singularity of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-
conceptualisation. Unlike the constitutedness rampant with human and social
conceptualisations, it is important to grasp that conceptualisations in many a natural science
domain tend to be naturally as of conflatedness holism/nested-congruence given their
theoretical, conceptual and operant existential contiguity/congruence
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative~implications, for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity with ‘the ecstatic singularity of the-very-same-immanent-
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as to-
‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ implied with
regards to all such seemingly ad-hoc conceptualisations being contiguously reflected across
space and time’. We can consider in this regard the strongly nested-congruence/contiguity of
seemingly disparate conceptualisations as force, energy, etc. in physics or hereditary and
functional conceptualisations in biology; reflected as of the specifically ecstatically nested-
congruence of such conceptualisations with the existential wholeness, and so more than just
abstractable conceptualisations out of sync with effective nesting as of the existential wholeness. In other words, the nestedness of the conceptualisations imply that there is a natural or existential cogency-and-fluidity among the concepts, speaking-of-and-reflecting their wholeness; the implication is not necessarily that all the whole field-of-study must be grasped all at once but rather that this existential cogency-and-fluidity speaking-of-and-reflecting wholeness must insightfully be grasped before articulating existentially/ontologically pertinent conceptualisations that are equally cogent-and-fluid with the wholeness. That underlying dynamic theoretical-conceptual-operant interrelatedness speaking of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is often very much lacking in many a social domain-of-study which ad-hoc nature of conceptualisations can easily be misconstrued as of the same wholeness/nested-congruence nature with many natural science conceptualisations. This reality of comprehensive depth of knowledge is easily lost to ad-hoc and disparate social conceptualisations that by their constitutedness token tend to give up on the central issue of knowledge as of its wholeness/nested-congruence reflection ‘as of creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation in its ecstatic singularity. The naivety of implied constitutedness in the social is in the expectation that the unity of disparateness of conceptualisations as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, -as-to-
‘human<(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ will take care of itself in reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence without human self-conscious wholeness/nested-congruence conception as of conflatedness in this respect; but then such parsimony loses more than just wholeness/nested-congruence in the sense that sound conceptualisations cannot be done without a sense of wholeness/nested-congruence in the first place, and more precisely as of ‘holistic/nested-congruence conflatedness with existence
as of its ecstatic singularity’. While in many ways the natural sciences as immediately-and-directly constrained by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework are naturally and ad-hocly structured/paradigmed to implicitly construe wholeness/nested-congruence of conception as of ‘holistic/nested-congruence conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic singularity’ with regards to their conceptualisations, this cannot be said of the same of the social as of the need for its self-conscious understanding of wholeness/nested-congruence conception as of ‘conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic singularity’ given its inherent blurriness, <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and emotional-involvement, in order to then achieve parallel level of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework knowledge conception as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. In effect this ontological difficulty fundamentally has to do with the inherent difficulty of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming—‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’—to—‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,—as—to—existence-potency-prospective-digression—of—<\(\text{amplituding}\)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in—epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as—to—leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)) construed as ‘dispensing-with-shallow-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’—for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification; with human self-consciousness rather prone to its given reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for its knowledge construal. The insight for singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism being that as of its ‘dispensing-with-shallow-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification, as increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought towards ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism avails, effectively the construal of the social assumes the requisite reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for wholeness/nested-congruence conceptualisation as of the conflatedness of ‘prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, as implied by the suprastructuralism conception herein in fully reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, and so over our present parsimony/disparateness of conceptualisations ‘reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising positivism—procrypticism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’. Thus we can appreciate here that ultimately singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is not just artificially prompted but is rather the structural/paradigmatic consequence of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme, ultimately as of prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism or


social emanance built up by ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
immanence-function-conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence over neurology, social emanance-function-conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence over mental/psychological, and narrativity (hegemonising intemporal-as-ontological narrative metaphoricity as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing) immanence-function-conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence over social, and as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning immanence-function-conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence over reasoning-from-results/afterthought. Basically, immanence-function-conflatedness speaks of the counterintuitive mental-reflex for drawing out the full \( \langle \text{amplituding} \rangle \text{formative} \) epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity for ‘creative understanding’/insight as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, going by existence’s ecstatic singularity as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility–\( \langle \text{imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation} \rangle \). This immanence-function-conflatedness insight is effectively what marks prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of an utterly different protensive self-consciousness from our hesitant and occlusive positivism—procrypticism self-consciousness. Hence existence’s ecstatic singularity is very much akin with the Deleuzian plane of immanence construed herein as of existence’s ecstatic singularity immanence/internal-necessity \( \langle \text{amplituding} \rangle \text{formative} \) epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; the ontological implication here being that ‘we are as potently transcendental as from our flawed constitutedness’ or ‘we are as potently immanent as of our virtuous conflatedness’. Immanence-function-conflatedness points out
that the mental-reflex for objectifying discursivity between prospective relative-ontological-completeness and prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is fundamentally flawed as of constitutedness, as all the objectifying discursivity that is ontologically-veridical is as of the conflatedness of prospective relative-ontological-completeness over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness construed as immanence-function-conflatedness. Thus metaphoricity of non-positivism mindset ‘supposedly in an objectifying/contending discursivity’ with a positivism mindset registers as of positivism immanence-function-conflatedness reflection of the underlying non-positivism mental-disposition with regards to such issues like existential desublimation manifestations of superstition, spiritualism, etc. This same conception holds with the deprocrypticism immanence-function-conflatedness overriding the meaningfulness-and-teleology of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset ‘supposedly in an objectifying/contending discursivity’ with the deprocrypticism mindset, as the latter reflects the underlying positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition mindset with regards to existential desublimation manifestations of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In both instances, the issue lies in the lack of a common apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring, with immanence-function-conflatedness implying that all the meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness over the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness; respectively as of positivism and deprocrypticism. If by anticipation we do know immanently that a non-positivism mindset is bound to a non-positivistic-as-existentially-superstitious apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of structural/paradigmatic internal-necessity/determinism insight from positivism immanence-function-conflatedness with the obviousness there is no point implying an ontologically-
flawed objectifying/contending discursivity in assessing the non-positivism existentially-superstitious inclination, the same implication will extend to deprocripticism immanence-function-conflatedness as of structural/paradigmatic internal-necessity/determinism insight with regards to anticipating the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset of our positivism–procripticism mental-disposition with no pretence of such a positivism–procripticism ontologically-flawed objectifying/contending discursivity in assessing the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought inclination. In other words, immanence-function-conflatedness is all about reflecting the straightforwardness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in arriving at ontological-veridicality over the human mindset flawed-and-naive predisposition to make of its objectifying/contending discursivity as structurally/paradigmatically deterministic by mere mental-reflex of naively elevating prior relative-ontological-incompleteness meaningfulness-and-teleology as if of prospective relative-ontological-completeness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Immanence-function-conflatedness equally highlights knowledge as of its essential organic construct implications. As a constitutedness predisposition tends to imagine that knowledge is basically a cumulative exercise to an already soundly structured/paradigmed mindset, but nothing could be farther from the truth as knowledge is really an exercise of re-forming-or-reshaping-as-transforming the structuring/paradigming of the mind. In other words, it is rather vague to ‘surreptitiously sneak in supposedly positivism knowledge’ into an unquestioned/unchallenged non-positivism mindset, as at best the outcome will be simply a further complexification of the non-positivism mindset apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as with such a
reflection as ‘God of plane’ in a non-positivism animistic social-setup, speaking of non-positivism complexification and not positivism knowledge acquisition. This is effectively what validates the notion of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ as central to the very notion of organic knowledge as it enables prospective transcendence-and-sublimity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such a ‘decentering of the human subject’ implies that the false ontological-certitudes of the non-positivism mindset as of its non-positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument are necessarily ironically trampled-upon in the discourse of positivism organic knowledge in a non-positivism social-setup. For instance, walking into the evil forest to retrieve a plant cure with induced curing eliciting psychoanalytic-unshackling with respect to the non-positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as its superstitious value-reference structure is shown to be inadequate given that it is the violation of that non-positivism value-reference that is what carries the potential for its prospective emancipation into-and-as-of-the-implications-of a prospective positivism mindset. Thus organic knowledge as of its transcendental implications cannot imply that the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is an appropriate framework for prospectively implied reference-of-thought knowledge acquisition. Likewise, it is herein contended that similarly a deprocrypticism contortion reifying gesture necessarily questioning our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for the possibility of psychoanalytic-unshackling implications as of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ is the necessary organic knowledge for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
The study of the social as of immanence-function-conflatedness insight grasp that the blurriness, <\text{amplituding}\text{formative}>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and remoteness of cause-and-effect invoke a more refined conception of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework as reflecting existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Such a refinement while cognisant of the pertinence of falsifiability and validation is more in line with the Lakatosian research-programme perspective given the complexity of the social just as many a complex domain in the natural sciences in effect assume the research-programme epistemic model; consider that while the natural sciences are generally more amenable to strong immediate cause-and-effect determination, such complex studies like string theory in physics, medical research, etc. send to assume in effect the research-programme epistemic model. The underlying insight here is that many a complex study purview as well as the study of the social given its poorly constraining immediate cause-and-effect determination, renders knowledge validation more of a ‘construct of comprehensive-coherence and competitive claim to ontological pertinence as of extensive research-programme implications’, but this should however implicitly reflect concurrently the underlying notions of falsifiability-or-deferring-falsifiability and validation-or-deferring-validation. It is herein contended that it is the implicated orientation of many post-structuralists thinking as of the research-programme epistemic model as articulated herein that renders their thought scientifically credible and pertinent as such scholars like Foucault, Derrida, Deleuze, to cite just these few have turn out to be the dominant scholarly-cited authors in the general humanities, and so precisely because of the very thorough existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification in their scholarly output, and paradoxically so over purported scholarly approaches ‘supposedly of a more scientific methodology but when evaluated as of such authorial scholarly comprehensive research-programmes’ turn out to be of weaker existential-contextualising-
contiguity knowledge-reification. This insight equally informs this author’s supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism that it is ultimately as of such comprehensive research-programme epistemic model as articulated herein and its further existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, as well as existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of the disposition for advancing the metalevel transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’),—as-operative-notional—deprocrypticism of the ‘structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of postmodern and other human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ thought, that the ontological-pertinence assumes ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework unassailability; and so, not for the mere sake of research-programme extensiveness but as of its internal constraining to falsifiability-or-deferred-falsifiability and validation-or-deferred-validation as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as implied by the articulation of authenticity herein as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme ‘implicitation of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’, on the basis that the very first epistemic frontier for ontological-pertinence lies with the scholarly developed creative insight for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge. Ultimately, postmodern-thought has been unassailable to vague scepticism and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity criticism exactly because of its strong scholarly research-programme existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, and thus an immanence-function-conflatedness insight in the study of the social as of its inherent complex nature is certainly justified to adhere to a research-
programme epistemic model as herein articulated. In another respect, while intellectualism as of organic knowledge implications in many ways commands massive social deference and adherence, it is equally important not to naively assume that at uninstitutionalised-thresholds, human existential-investment as of its temporality/shortness cannot be predisposed to anti-intellectualism, as this insight is pertinent in the sense that transcendental knowledge is articulated mostly as of its undermining of human temporal existential-investment. The bigger point here being that the possibility of prospective transcendence lies in upholding-and-defending authentic intellectualism even as of metaphoricity beyond

\text{‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) socially intelligible meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation in}
\[\text{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Metaphoricity as such ironises on social}
\text{intellectual nihilism as it is bent on undermining any temporality/shortness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-}
\text{apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality solipsistic intemporality/longness parrhesiastic askance, and as of immanence-function-conflatedness}
\text{‘highlights and keeps wide-opened the prospect’ for prospective authentic intellectualism by}
\text{undermining its blending with inauthentic untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality manifestations that usurp and undermine human transcendence. Further, while ‘human}
\text{projected conception of knowledge cumulation’ seems to be ever always ‘perceived absolutely as within an only same institutionalisation reference-of-thought’, with their merits}
\text{at least for expanding human mastery of its environment at their given level as well as their}
\text{defects as of undermining the possibility for prospective knowledge, for instance as of the}
animistic social-setup to perceive its animistic knowledge system as absolute, as of the medieval/non-positivism social-setup to perceive its medieval scholasticism as absolute or as of our positivism–procrypticism social-setup to perceive our positivism–procrypticism humanistic knowledge system as absolute; it is immanence-function-conflatedness by its implied internal-necessity construct that best reflects the reality of human knowledge cumulation by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology conception, recognising the underlying retrospective and prospective epistemic dynamics behind knowledge as of protracting self-consciousness over the cloistering self-consciousness of falsely absolutising specific registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought. With such immanence-function-conflatedness insight, the epistemic and methodological pretences as of our humanistic positivism–procrypticism are evaluated on their true merits, and such an evaluation reveals that such epistemic and methodological pretences while ‘developed institutional practice’ are just that as-more-or-less-mechanically-institutionalised, and that critically from a deeper perspective the reality is that it is the research-programme as articulated above that underlies human knowledge cumulation, and so as of the competitive evaluation of various epistemic and methodological commitments made in immediacy and their ultimate prospective evaluation as of their research-programmes productive outcomes. The research-programme as such can be reconstrued as the reevaluation of any propounded knowledge and epistemic paradigms as of their ultimate existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge; such that the immediacy of contention of appropriateness of epistemic and methodological approaches is less critical, as ultimately all knowledge constructs and their epistemic and methodological commitments face their long term bottomline reevaluation as to their relative existential-contextualising-contiguity
knowledge-reification as knowledge construed as their research-programmes. This speaks of the fact that such a conception of epistemic commitment as of research-programme is effectively one of epistemic singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism so-implied as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence associated with ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocripticism; and very much overcoming the limiting effect of our present conception of epistemic commitment as rather dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of ontologically-compromised—categorising positivism–procripticism. Thus, if immanence-function-conflatededness reveals that it is the ‘projected research-programme of any given knowledge construct as of its prospective relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ that is its preeminent epistemic and methodological validation, ‘pretences of pre-given epistemic predispositions’ that do not attend pertinently and similarly to prospective relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification are nothing more but <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag predispositions that pretend to supersede existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, and institutionalised, such <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag predispositions may actually be structurally/paradigmatically stifling for the possibility of prospective knowledge and transcendence, and more seriously so where the possibility of varied research-programme choices are difficultly entertainable without institutional backing for research needing major funding and/or resources. Finally, the research-programme epistemic model attends to the social as of the reality of human emotional-involvement by its extensiveness. Consider that many a transformative natural science idea have certainly been ‘supposedly gross conceptualisations’ but with varied social responses as of their given social epoch.
sensitivities; consider in this regard Copernicus and Galileo heliocentric world argument eliciting social sensitivities then and equally stark physics ideas at the beginning of the last century with relativity and quantum mechanics hardly eliciting any social sensitivities, rather as of the disarming effect on conventioning simply on the basis of their matter-of-fact cause-and-effect. In many ways the prospect of prospective knowledge very much lies with a shakeup of the social ‘sense of presence’ and this is not contradictory in the sense that if the present was all that great then its very transcendence wouldn’t be occurring, and so the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality warrants that transcendence occurs as to conflict with the naïve social ‘sense of presence’ as absolute, and so because it is all about existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality warrants that transcendence occurs as to
contrastive underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. It is quite absurd to think that the possibility of prospective human transcendence especially, as of our apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/strument, lies wholly within the ambit of our ‘sense of presence’ agreeableness; as this rather speaks of the framework of our limited certitudes as this limits/stifles the possibility of further profound existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity.

While today that notion of contrariety has in many ways sanked in and been accepted with natural science knowledge especially so as it hardly elicits social emotional-involvement, the fact of the matter is that the possibility of the profound study and emancipation of the social inevitably comes with a contrariety of our social ‘sense of presence’. Just as the ‘decentering of the subject’ was what brought about the positivistic mindset today that allowed for modern day science to develop and just as well modern day social science, it is inevitable that a further development of human knowledge as of its organic knowledge construct warrants a
further ‘decentering of the human subject’ as implied by deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and justified by the fact that if previous generations had to undergo their psychoanalytic-unshackling for prospective institutionalisation, we can only ever be pushed into the corner of our intellectual nihilism when we seem to pretend that we are beyond the prospect of our transcendence. Immanence-function-conflatedness analytical implications equally arise as of the ‘countervailing transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing relation induced as of ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework’ between ‘existence/existential-possibilities as the selecting transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ and ‘the ever developing human limited-mentation-capacity as of its deepening from relative uninstitutionalised-threshold to relative institutionalisation so-construed as prospective institutionalisation dissemination’, as this transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing is exactly what validates epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness as relevant for the protracted-consciousness of notional–deprocrypticism. Thus for such a notion of research-programme as articulated herein rather than just implying mere epistemic latitude/anarchy, it speaks instead of the construal/justification of epistemic-veracity as of precedence of prospective relative-ontological-completeness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalititative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and so as of the structural/paradigmatic implication of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Thus prospective relative-ontological-completeness is inherently bound with its very own epistemic <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalititative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of the ‘decentering of the
human subject’ involved in knowledge-reification. This inherently projects a ‘practical picture of human epistemic determination’ of ‘maximal disseminative human epistemic articulations at relative uninstitutionalised-threshold’ and ‘minimum select human epistemic articulations at prospective institutionalisations’, and so as of existence/existential-possibilities as the transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating transversally induced ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework selective epistemic-veracity transcendence-and-sublimity. In this regard and at the general epistemic level of reference-of-thought-devolving, we can appreciate the massively shrunk epistemic-veracity possibilities available for our present positivism credible construal of ontological-veridicality over the epistemic-veracity possibilities previously available for non-positivistic social-setups credible construal of ontological-veridicality as of their full existential cognition of superstition, witchcraft, spiritualism, etc., and their social implications; and this reflects the very fact that ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ is one associated with increasing thinning out of epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Central to such epistemic-veracity thinning out is the very essential process behind increasing ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process which is deferential-formalisation-transference. Besides deferential-formalisation-transference associated epistemic-veracity relevance for institutional construction and institutional rules of
critical importance for human organisation like political and legal institutions, such deferential-formalisation-transference associated epistemic-veracity has been inherently of strongest relevance in knowledge domains more easily amenable to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and low emotional involvement like the natural sciences but weakly so inherently in many a social domain-of-study not readily amenable to strong ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and of high emotional involvement, and as such social domains practically tend to get into amalgamation with the extended-informality as of its deficient <(amplitude)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}

epistemic impertinence. Prospective notional–deprocrypticism necessarily implies a further epistemic-veracity thinning out as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought associated ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, with the implication that our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold epistemic-veracity is in many ways construed as of epistemic impertinence at its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold and superseded by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism disseminative epistemic-veracity and so as the prospective epistemic-veracity thinning out outcome of existence/existentiatal-possibilities as the transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating determinant selector as of the deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought disseminative research-programme coherence and ontological-contiguity. The idea being that the deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity as of such disseminative research-programme coherence and ontological-contiguity equally imply an underlying falsifiability-or-deferred-falsifiability and validation-or-deferred-validation as a
constraint to the social domain-of-study meant to render it more thoroughly amenable to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework less <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity capable of reflecting the unassailability of the most transversally profound theorisations and conceptualisations on the basis of their demonstrable operant implications as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity. Such a deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity implication is pertinent because blurriness and undisambiguation underlies the indecision and relative impertinence in many an instance of social knowledge conception that is not thoroughly subjected to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, such that it is obvious to all that the epistemic-veracity as of existence/existential-possibilities selective function of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as developed in the natural sciences tends to be poorly developed in many a domain-of-study of the social. In this regard, we can appreciate for instance in the physics and other natural sciences <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, the ‘thin epistemic-veracity line’ arrived at transversally as of concurrent cause-and-effect determinations that allows for developed singular or near-singular comprehensive explanations of phenomena ‘discarding the demonstrably impertinent conceptions’, while in contrast with many a domain-of-study in the social, without necessarily implying this as all-encompassing but still critically and substantively so, such a spearheading towards the ontologically decisive is lost/obliterated in an approach driven by theoretical and conceptual mutuality/equilibrium rather than a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing constraining to the ‘superior party’ that is existence/existential-possibilities, and thus specifically giving room for many an instance of obvious muddlement as well as ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity with a corresponding
relative passivity to social issues and problems as if institutional-being-and-craft was an end in itself as structurally/paradigmatically knowledge certifying. Furthermore, while the idea of falsifiability and validation have traditionally been associated with the fundamental research methodologies of experimentation and observation, however the complex nature of social phenomena and even some natural science phenomena has dragged out the epistemic-veracity of the scientific methodology. Such that what increasingly underlies the scientific methodology is more extensive as of the reflection of pertinent phenomenality experimented or stated or demonstrated, by the coherence and implied ontological-contiguity of observations, conceptualisations and predictions, in their conflatedness holism/nested-congruence or how these conflate as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness with existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Ultimately, the contrastive epistemic-veracity of theoretical and conceptual articulations rather lies with regards to their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of their critical operant implications and unmuddled conceptions. Furthermore, the deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity implies a further extension of deferential-formalisation-transference as of less predisposition to extended-informality <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). With the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalititative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity that the deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought extended-informality requires an organic-knowledge type of pedagogy based on eliciting an ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality solipsistic sense-of-things, over the usual mechanical-knowledge type of pedagogy which is rather based on
eliciting positive-opportunism sense-of-things. This is critical because the deprocrypticism reference-of-thought warrants a more originary/as-of-event mental-disposition ‘beyond just responsiveness to seconndnatured institutionalisation’ but equally the capacity to assume the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<$\text{amplituding}$>$\text{formative}$>$epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen behind the ‘inventing’ as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning with respect to ‘upholding and defending ontological-veridicality beyond constraining-and/or-seconndnatured institutionalisation framework’ as well as actually perpetuating prospective ontologically-veridical sublimation-as-of-deprocrypticism-immanented-implications, and so as of a fundamental mental-disposition for perpetually preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. With the foregoing immanence-function-conflatedness insight, of most critical importance and decisiveness as structurally/paradigmatically anchoring futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology is the need for a deprocrypticism reconceptualised conception of the human construction-of-the-Self. In this regard, we can appreciate critically that hitherto and as of a natural human predisposition to <$\text{amplituding}$>$\text{formative}$>$epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, the psychology traditions have tended to ad-hocly construe construction-of-the-Self as of a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference, and so over an existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<$\text{amplituding}$>$\text{formative}$>$epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness absolutising epistemic reference, specifically as so-construed from our positivism–
procryptic registry-worldview/dimension flawed absolutising epistemic reference. The fact that existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality precedes human-subpotency thus questions the veracity of the ontological orientation of traditional psychology/psychoanalysis; wherein ‘the human psychology of absolutising epistemic reference is wrongly conceived as of ontological-normalcy rather than as of epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence’ considering the necessarily decontorting human-subpotency psyche on the constraint of our ontologically-compromised reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of our <ami formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence. The implication here is that we cannot have a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference that as of human-subpotency can surpass the ontological-veracity of the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as absolutising epistemic reference as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and so given human-subpotency prior relative-ontological-incompleteness implied flawed prospective ontological-performance. Such a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference for meaningfulness-and-teleology can be construed as of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’; as of ‘human-subpotency temporality/shortness flawed absolutising epistemic reference’ as it induces flawed ontological-performance as by its <ami formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag it ‘wrongly seem to advantageously substitute’ for the potent as intemporal absolutising epistemic reference ontological-performance of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<ami formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. It is this construction-of-the-Self human-subpotency deficiency element construed as ‘human akrasia-
susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’ that raises-the-charge-that-and-reflects-the-notion-that the mental-disposition of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is structurally/paradigmatically bound to fail the ontological-performance of base-institutionalisation mental-disposition, that of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation will likewise fail as of universalisation mental-disposition, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism will likewise fail as of positivism mental-disposition, and prospectively our positivism–procrypticism will likewise fail as of deprocrypticism mental-disposition. This element of the dynamic evolution of the human psyche and the underlying instigative agency, herein articulated as ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’, is mostly lost to traditional psychology that doesn’t register our own positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism notional–deprocrypticism perspective of analysis as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. We can perceive the ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ associated with akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex only from the perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so as of the latter’s difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as from the ontological-conguity of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, as it reflects-and-contemplates of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, whereas the
inevitably reconstrued/devolved in the animistic/base-institutionalisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
thought psychologism of meaningfulness-and-teleology in
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with its uninstitutionalised-threshold as a
nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-
preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) whereas such a representation as a
nondescript/ignorable void wouldn’t be recognised from the positivism/rational-empiricism
perspective as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought.
Likewise, as of prospective insight, the nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of
akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) we imply as of our
positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is certainly prospectively
contemplatable in futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-
of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocrypticism reflection of our akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag
complex ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ of positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought in ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of difference-conflicatedness-as-
totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism from the
deprocrypticism ontological-contiguity, whereas from our positivism–procrypticism
perspective we’ll tend to a ‘resetting of the
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ of positivism–
procrypticism in ontological-disconguity as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-
totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. This expansion
Self is the notion of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-
Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’. Interestingly, many a
traditional take on the notion of akrasia, construed herein as akrasia-susceptibility-or-
akrasiatic-drag complex, like the Socratic argument of its non-veridicality strangely enough
rather confirms its veridicality, in the sense that such arguments are being made from the
perspective of human-subpotency, which is exactly the irrelevant perspective for ontological-
veridicality articulation. Consider the idea that a cholera epidemic that was to occur say in
100 B.C. will not stop from occurring because human beings did not know of notions-of-
bacteria-as-causing-diseases-and-instead-believed-in-bad-omen-for-not-making-the-right-
sacrifices-or-so-so-and-so; as existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will not
factor in such a state of ‘human-subpotency in its less-amplituding-formative>epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’, and adjust to
it by stopping such an epidemic. This is exactly why ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-
and-teleology implies a displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject with its
emancipation arising as of its submitting to the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality as is falsifiable and can be validated by ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework. Thus intemporal ontological-performance ever always
warrants human prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for
empowering and responsible meaningfulness-and-teleology for transcendence-and-sublimity.
Thus akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex further implies that the very state of
unwariness with respect to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic
disposition is structurally/paradigmatically potently conducive/endemising/enculturating to
its vices-and-impediments, and as the very possibility for prospective ontological-
performance arises as of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-so-being-as-of-
existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as of its ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. Can we wish that we don’t have understanding whether directly, or indirectly as of reifying deferential-formalisation-transference, so that we aren’t intellectually-and-morally accountable then? How can we reconcile the fact that given human

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence the possibility for prospective human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation enabling transcendence-and-sublimity could only arise as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that had no prior effective knowledge and virtue reference to go on to prospectively ‘invent’ reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning knowledge and virtue before the institutionalising of such reasoning-from-results/afterthought emancipatory possibilities, and then contend to make any given reasoning-from-results/afterthought knowledge and virtue limits intellectually and morally deterministic as of a nihilistic

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}? In this regard, the anti-nihilist stance implies that the very first notion of human ontological-performance as of human

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence induced anxiety lies in the fact that as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, humankind has the relative capacity to build and/or adhere to prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibilities. It is this insight that validates the ontological-veracity of the conception of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-
ontological-performance implications with respect to the constructiveness-of-ontological-performance of the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology so-reflecting in construction-of-the-Self all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process). The psychoanalytic pertinence of human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex, so-implied as ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’, is hinted at even by traditional psychology but rather indirectly as of its ontologically-flawed perspective as of human-subpotency <$amplituding$formative$>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when it recognises that we do fall short of intemporal ontological-performance, but strangely enough hardly has there been articulated any conception about this obviously fundamental structuring/paradigmning ontologically-veridical implication of human-subpotency psyche limitation/compensative complex as from the perspective of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<$amplituding$formative$>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality which is exactly what is ontologically pertinent, and so out of our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <$amplituding$formative$>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inclination. Thus, human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is rather construed here as of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness <$amplituding$formative$>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative~implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in the shiftiness-of-the-Self as of living, institutional and Being ontological-performances arising as of human temporality; wherein ‘human-subpotency temporality/shortness flawed absolutising epistemic reference’ as it induces flawed ontological-performance by its <$amplituding$formative$>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-


- the occlusive-consciousness shiftiness-of-the-Self complex (by its epistemic positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism relative neuterising as of its categorising–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality


This reality in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process very much explains the statement ‘I know that I know nothing’ made by Socrates reflecting his conception of anamnesis, as the state of human limited-mentation-capacity implies that it is foolhardy to articulate in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness terms meaningfulness-and-teleology as of absolutising reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but rather ‘the anamnesis of meaningfulness-and-teleology reflects prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as of recurrent transepistemic renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ (and so, in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity). This explains why Socrates construed knowledge as virtue, given that what approaches absolutising capacity in the human is rather the ‘sense-of-right-orientation with regards to human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency limitation/constraint of existentially-becoming-and-developing phronetic/practicality situations as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness (with anamnesis so-construed as ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation mental-disposition’) and not any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility–of-aestheticisation. This in many ways explains many a critic misinterpretation of a rift between Socrates and Plato as of their emphasis on anamnesis and the forms/ideas on the one hand and Aristotle on the other hand as of his phronesis/practicality emphasis (on the basis of the specific universalising-idealisation phronetic/practicality situations as to its defining existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness). The fact is that Socrates (and as momentously reflected in his abhorrence of writing as of his focus on the ‘very spirit-of-things in his pedagogy’ over ‘mere reproducing by writing that is not necessarily pedagogically instructive’, and thus not contradictory with Plato’s writing as of recording-for-posterity) and Plato were more engaged with establishing overall
philosophical insight beyond just their universalising-idealisation renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation over non-universalising sophistry (even as their association of anamnesis with mythical recollection was caught up in the universalising-idealisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism but by the practical demonstration is relevant in all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of the example articulated as well herein by this author with regards to a child’s solipsistic sense of meaning wherein after grasping the rules of additionality even a deliberately collective social misleading will not derail the child’s true sense of meaning) as they factored that any such renewal is being undertaken phronetically/practically with human limited-mentation-capacity that is not of absolutising conceptualisation, speaking prospectively of destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance, and thus what is more profoundly critical is knowledge-reification as of the transepistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<((amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Aristotle as successor to their thought effectively had to move on to more fruitfully and complementarily elaborate phronetically/practically the implications of universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of science, practical-virtue, rationality, etc., rather than just theoretically reiterating his predecessors, and as such phronesis as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is what induces existential-contextualising-contiguity and thus allows prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—<((amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation insight for further human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
Copyright © 2023 by SAGE Publications. All rights reserved.

disposition,–as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, Socratic philosophy as of its knowledge is virtue contention recognises that the impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness of any given reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation whether as of non-universalising sophistry or even prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation is not sufficient to ‘absolutely capture’ ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications—<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence>, and that such a possibility lies in perpetual knowledge-reification disposition as of the-Good/understandingknowledge-reification/ontological-primeiders-totalitative-framework. Thus Socratic philosophy as of its very ‘anamnesis core implications’ doesn’t only supersedes prior non-universalising sophistiking with universalising-idealisation but it can equally be said that it anticipates prospective positivism/rational-empiricism phronesis existential-contextualising-contiguity as it reconceptualises science, practical-virtue, rationality, etc. in superseding universalising-idealisation phronesis existential-contextualising-contiguity at the latter’s destructuring-threshold,<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance, as well as anticipate the overall human institutional process as herein conceptualised as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism<br />
<br />
In concrete terms, we can contrastively construe of such akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ existential desublimation manifestation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of both a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension with regards to ‘mental-dispositions of general social living, institutional and Being ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity geared to undermine ontological-veracity’; but then the positivism–procrypticism perspective as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness will be less complexed in identifying the mental flaw of the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism manifestation of akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ as of the former’s ‘<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as it underlies non-positivism preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism acts ‘like say a plot to accuse someone of sorcery’ than its own akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ as of its ‘<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag underlying nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-preconverging-or-dementing-narratives) of its preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism acts of disjointedness ‘say like a plot to frame-up someone’; as the latter on occasion as of a positivism–procrypticism ‘<amplituding>formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought–<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
synergising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
conventioning-referencing over any such prospective base-institutionalisation pretence of
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity, and as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction it further
elicits sophistic/pedantic significant-otherness dispositions inclined to undermine such
prospective transcendental implications as it falsely absolutises the conventioning-referencing
of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation over any such implied prospective Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective base-institutionalisation; as so
reflected across the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process inducing human transcendence-and-
sublimity. This explains why prospective transcendence is actually reflected by the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of transversality-of-
affirmative-and-unaffirmative,–disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
epistemic-ricochettering/transepistemicity reasoning-through/messianic-reason metaphoricity,
and not incisively about dialogical-equivalence level of contemplation induced transcendence
even as such a dialogical conception arises as of mutual
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument say with
Socrates/Plato/Aristotle with their schools Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology

common

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective
relative-ontological-completeness but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring-instrument-invalidating-
measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> devaluing their
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conventioning-referencing as of
sophistry apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument in
prior relative-ontological-incompleteness or as with budding positivists Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology common
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument in prospective
relative-ontological-completeness but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring-instrument-invalidating-
measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> devaluing their
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conventioning-referencing in
scholasticism pedantry
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument in prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness or with a Rousseau Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social enlightenment common
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument in prospective
relative-ontological-completeness but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitable-measuring-instrument-invalidating-
measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> devaluing the
conventioning-referencing as of aristocratic/despotic self-aggrandisement
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility-setup/measuring-instrument in prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness. Thus more critically prospective transcendence is
induced as of the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject in its prior relative-
on-ontological-incompleteness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument, and so as of
epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity reasoning-through/messianic-reason metaphoricity
that exploits the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup
‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’
which opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity. The reality thus is
that prospective transcendence from a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
perspective is not actual meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather such is rather acting as a
constrained metaphoricity upon a social-setup supposedly coherent ontological-commitment
to which the social-setup cannot overtly turn around and wholly assume a contradictory
nihilistic disposition; with metaphoricity rather inducing prospective meaningfulness-and-
teleology mostly as of prospective cross-generational reasoning-from-results/afterthought. In
this regards as of the possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism transcendence, this author is of the opinion
that any intellectual endeavour must precedingly guarantee that it is truly involved in a
transparent ontological reification exercise exclusively as of the full existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness reflection of its ontological-
veracity or ontological-impertinence, and so rather than subject to sophistry, as the latter
instance will fundamentally undermine and ridicule the underlying intellectual a priori
aspiration for reification. In this regards, and as of extensive contemplation, it is herein
contended that in many ways such ontological virginity with regards to intellectual practice
today is covertly being undermined at the more fundamental level of social emancipation
contemplation, and explains why it has herein been seen as relevant to introduce the notion of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity anticipating of such anti-intellectual dispositions. As of a further indictment, this author is sceptical of ‘covert cohorting initiatives’ that substitute intellectual work for ontological-veracity with ‘politicised intellectualism’ as to which type of theories can be entertained or not, as if there can be knowledge without knowledge! Such cohorting initiatives pretences like those of many supposedly ‘thinking political societies’ since the end of the Cold War have rather had catastrophic consequences on the world all round in terms of the price of wars including with regards to the hegemonising policies these covert initiatives were supposed to instigate. Generally, the idea that such entities and initiatives covertly undermining the sovereignty of democracies, serve any given society, nation or human progressive purposes is rather counterproductive, as in fact this actually disrupts the natural course of sensible human answers to problems and issues and because of their parochial vision end up aggravating and escalating them, furthering a social narrative of double standards. The last frontier one can contemplate of with regards to such a proclivity is when it comes to undermining the intellectual sovereignty as of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Knowledge cannot and should not be forestalled because of any supposed politico-economic penchant. The idea that liberal society can only be upheld by artificial and anti-intellectual undermining of many a critical theory including postmodern-thought as of the vital possibility of human social regeneration, is ridiculous and speaks of intellectual lack of self-assuredness; with such institutional grip subterfuges rendering such inclinations just as objectionable as the former ousted communist regimes. Ultimately, it is up to free intellectuals to affirm themselves as to what they think society and human intellectual potential can be, beyond the institutional constraints geared to such naïve conventioning-referencing which seem to imply that as of its anti-knowledge
posture it will determine the limits of what can be human knowledge. Human history has systematically shown that despite human-subpotency--aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions--existentialism-form-factor there is an effective mechanism of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation that draws out the best from mankind, and the more critical problem for human emancipation arises as of the contending sophistries that confuse-and-disrupt-as-of-significant-otherness that institutionalisation mechanism in one way or the other, and that’s why at all stages of human history, the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning disposition has more critically focussed rather on calling out the prospective institutionalisation perturbation of such sophistries; especially when these show no qualm in integrating the most ignoramus of \((\text{amplituding})\) wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignoreable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) dispositions as of a supposed notion of intellectual advancement. In this regards, this author is very much proud of the theoretical orientation taking herein as of a strictly ontological-veracity inclination as to the reality of the fact that existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\((\text{amplituding})\) epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness supersedes human-subpotency, and it is the latter that adjusts to the former. This is exactly what is reflected by ontological-fracturing, wherein the potential for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is structurally/paradigmatically fractured-at-given-ontologically-compromised-thresholds in the \((\text{amplituding})\) epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the successive given levels in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ontological-fracturing, base-institutionalisation—
ununiversalisation ontological-fracturing, universalisation–non-positivism-medievalism ontological-fracturing, positivism–procrypticism ontological-fracturing towards futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence; as of the implications of the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-
potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ in instigating ‘intemporal ontological-
faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity’. Ontological-fracturing as such is a reflection of human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and points out that the way we tend to conceptualise/construe-of idealisation as reflected in rules, institutional essence, institutional processes and ideals is ontologically-flawed/wrong as the assumption is one that tends to imply beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> only human intemporal ontological-performance by mental-reflex,
rather than the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances of any given idealisation; speaking of the reality that any idealisation construed as of rules, institutional essence, institutional processes and ideals is structurally/paradigmatically bound
to be ontological-fractured as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. The implication here is that all projections of idealisation should be anticipatory-and-preemptive of the possibility of their prospective ontological-fracturing, for efficient institutionalisation deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling, ‘in order to be more ontologically pertinent and resilient constructs’, as they are otherwise subject to the temporal denaturing of such idealisations with regards to their more profound transcendence-and-sublimity implications. In the same vein, we tend as of habit to construe of the fulfilment of human ideals as of the inherent institution and/or inherent individual identitive dispositions, rather than the fact that it is actually brought about by the structural/paradigmatic relations as of projected principles and essences implied intemporally (in cognisance of human temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-within-the-receptable-of-the-individual); and thus that our capacity to fulfil such principles and essences lies with our grasping-and-nurturing-appropriate-intemporal-individuation projection rather than falling back to identitive individual inherence or institutional inherence. As even where it may seem that any given individual or institutional ontological-performance is inherent, the underlying structural/paradigmatic reality is rather guaranteed and accounted for as of the effective grasping-and-nurturing-appropriate-intemporal-individuation projection for ontological-performance in that individual or institution rather than just identitive inherence. In the bigger scheme of things, human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation outcome as of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling doesn’t substitute for the
\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of the underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness–equalisation individuation disposition that of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning brought about secondnatured institutionalisation. The bigger point here is that there is never going to be an inherent suprasocial or <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) framework that ‘invents’ and accounts for prospective social transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation, in the way that human idealisation is often wrongly construed and propounded. All the human idealisation that exists is as of effective individuals and institutional intemporal individuation projection for prospective <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of what they as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning idealise as from their underlying baseline registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought and the subsequent secondnatured institutionalisation of its given intemporal ontological-performance; and so, beyond the naivety of construing a given registry-worldview/dimension reasoning-from-results/afterthought as a suprasocial or <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) absolutising epistemic reference of ontological-veracity for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation. We can garner that it is intemporal individuations transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existing-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation that induced prospective base-institutionalisation and not a suprasocial or <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-


absolutising epistemic reference of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism; and so prospectively it is naivety as well to construe that we do have a suprasocial or <\text{amplituding} formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}

this regards for instance that while we generally tend to wrongly imply of a suprasocial absolutising epistemic reference that can structurally/paradigmatically bring about human transcendence-and-sublimity, it is inevitably the case that the examination of any such representation with say for instance the physics <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality since medievalism points that such transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation necessarily had to pass through the intemporal individuation transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Leibnizes, Poincarés, Rutherfords, Einsteins, Bohrs, etc and the subsequent secondnatured institutionalisation as of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling. There has never been any suprasocial or <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}

absolutising epistemic reference of ontological-pertinence for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation as we seem to construe/contemplate of today-or-at-any-given-presence-epoch as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought, as the fact is human transcendence-and-sublimity arises ultimately as of internalised epistemic responsibility of intemporal individuation transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that supersede the
opinionatedness, but rather that human transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation is more operantly and effectively as of solipsistic occurrence as from intemporal individuations dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transeptistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation epistemic internalisation for intemporal ontological-performance. The secondnatured institutionalisation as reflected as of suprasocial or <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<(amplituding)formative>meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) abstract integration/assimilation of such resultant intemporal ontological-performance is ever always ontologically jeopardisable/compromisable as of the structural/paradigmatic reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, wherein human temporal individuations are ever always bound to prospectively denaturing secondnatured institutionalised intemporal ontological-performance at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as without the constraining prior institutionalisation mechanical-knowledge the underlying ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality sense of intemporal-projection behind its ‘inventing’ is lost; as is needed for prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness epistemic want of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning to overcome the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Interestingly, thus if there is

absolutising epistemic reference of ontological-veracity for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity but for prospective dimensionality-of-sublimating—

(amplituning)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation


Just as demonstrated above with the physics (amplituning)formative>epistemic-totalising~devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality, in the instance philosophy reflecting the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,—as-to-

‘human(amplituning)formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal’ we can as well
towards intemporality, is effectively of both intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology manifestations. But any given social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ in its capacity to demonstrably and objectively uphold and function going by its specific registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology as well as the fact that human perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction interests drift within-and-across social-setups whether with regards to basic trading, curiosity, social competition and generally as of a predisposition to achieve optimum existential possibilities, implies that any such registry-worldview/dimension social-setup has basic structuring/paradigming supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for its effective functioning which lays it prospectively exposed to metaphoricity as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as from prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional~projective-perspective; as such a registry-worldview/dimension would difficultly renege, as of contradictory and incoherent implications, on such critical prospective ontological-veracity implications of such prospective relative-ontological-completeness of meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is this element that equally ultimately renders the study of the social, notwithstanding its strong underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as of potentially the same ontological-performance possibility as with the natural sciences. That is the apparent conventioning-referencing of the social as of an immediacy perspective naively implies the social is of a poor supposedly coherent ontological-commitment but from a more profound level of appreciation this not the case as explained above, as in effect a society/social-setup
conventioning projects correspondingly a profound supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of its ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ which is then enabling for the critical metaphoricity of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-veracity implications of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. In other words, as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human metaphoricity of temporal-to-intemporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives, we know that the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that underlies existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation implications of ontological-veracity is bound in the long run to select/skew-toward the intemporal/ontological over the temporal, whether as of internal cultural transformation or cultural diffusion. This is exactly why the overall ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism

desublimating historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-transposition and sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’. We can appreciate both with regards to the social fabric as well as the natural sciences this common basis of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment from a long-term perspective, in the sense that technical and scientific progress associated with the industrial revolution ‘could hardly be socially reneged’ not only in Western Europe but with respect to its diffusion throughout the world, and so because the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment of human societies conventioning as of their ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ render themselves exposed to the transcendence-and-sublimity of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness as projected by the industrial revolution underlying technical and scientific knowledge manifesting as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness selection/skewing of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and so because these project beyond subjectivity-of-truth-as-of-human-subpotency as implied by the universal objectivity as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness of the underlying sciences and their applications. It is this insight as of ‘existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness selection/skewing of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ that animates the elucidation of metaphoricity herein as of ontology-driven ‘ontologically-
hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, more than just a notion of mere subjective human-subpotency epistemic/notional-projective-perspective narratives; and so, as underlined by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\((<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation})\) inducing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought \((<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity.}\)

This ontology-driven assessment of intemporality/longness metaphoricity perspective rejects the often wrongly made critique of relative-for-the-mere-sake-of-relative-disparateness by atomising/taking-to-pieces identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-indissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism critiques when misrepresenting the ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/’constatations’ as of ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence of postmodern thinkers. Rather as construed herein, relative truth speaks to human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of the \((<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and so-construed as of difference-conflicatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism perspective.}\) In other words, it is herein contended that the implied notion of relative truth expressed by postmodern-thought is not a rejection of truth as they are wrongly accused, but that truth deepens relatively with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\((<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation})\); and this notion of relative truth is reflected in their works/research-programmes that undermine our \((<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–}
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syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism perspective. Further, the implication as well is that the adjudicator/transcendental-enabling/sublimating/transcendental-signifier with regards to truth as it enables transcendence-and-sublimity then is existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness as of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity selecting/skewing for ontological-pertinence within the underlying human metaphoricity scheme of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-confoundedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’, and not just mere human subjectivity. Even though in the short-term/immediacy perspective the specific metaphoricity of say a scientific and liberal worldview narrative as implied with the industrial revolution may actually be in the most part ignored/overlooked in a pre-industrial society from a merely meaningfulness-and-teleology transmission/spreading perspective, the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ exposes it to the metaphoricity of the scientific and liberal worldview narrative; wherein for instance such pre-industrial societies were constrained politically and as of national vision, economically and culturally to the effect of progressing industrialisation as it induced the requisite knowledge, skills, beliefs, lifestyle, organisations, etc. changes undermining
systematically prior paradigms of societies. Such an overall prospective institutionalisation metaphoricity constraining is very much unlike what we may naively imagine the prior human meaningfulness-and-teleology to be from an after the fact analysis; since such a process is much more critically more than just ‘mere transmission/spreading of scientific and liberal meaningfulness-and-teleology for say a suprasocial or

\[(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\langle\text{wooden-language-}\langle\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}\langle\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle\text{human mindset processing}\rangle, \text{but critically was an epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity process that was in many ways beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-}\langle\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}\rangle, \text{unlike our subsequent reasoning-from-results/afterthought contemplation afterwards ‘wrongly implying a metaphoricity as of a self-consciously instigated prior suprasocial or }\langle\text{amplituding}\text{formative}\langle\text{wooden-language-}\langle\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}\langle\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle\text{) comprehensive sense of prospective metaphoricity’}\rangle.

This points to a more comprehensive reality of human epistemic-veracity arising as of our

\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle\text{formative}\langle\text{epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence with regards to the fact that while of immediate epistemic strive for knowledge we are naturally predisposed to immediate validation-and-falsifiability implications as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, in the long run our sense of epistemic-veracity is rather more aptly refined as of our overall existential knowledge insight as reflected with say the research-programme knowledge implications, and ultimately we come to realise that even then epistemic-veracity is in many ways more profoundly as of a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-}\langle\text{in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought}\rangle, \text{non-presencing-}
<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> ricocheting that speaks of the structural/paradigmatic reality of a human epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness appraisal. The reason for making this point is equally to undermine any overrating of human comprehensive contemplation of any such implied suprasocial or
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness mindset not dispensing-with-immediacy-for-prospective-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension, and so in order to effectively put in perspective the deficiency of epistemic-veracity so-inherent when it comes to prospective metaphoricity implications of operant prospective intemporal individuation transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. We can appreciate as well in the bigger scheme of things the ontological-veridicality of this scepticism with regards to any such suprasocial or <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
epistemic-veracity pretence, as expressed before with respect to Plato’s idea universalisation involving the undermining of the suprasocial epistemic-veracity pretence associated with sophistry or Descartes’ cogito implications of positivism/rational-empiricism involving the undermining of the suprasocial epistemic-veracity pretence of scholasticism pedantry. Just as we can appreciate that in ‘the very same physics
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview/domain-of-
construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought, the epistemic-veracity as implied in succession from Copernicus, Galileo, Descartes, Newton, Leibniz, Faraday, Rutherford, Poincaré, Einstein, Bohr up to our very present 21st century physics is mostly as of ricochetting prospective non-presencing-as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. In a certain way this is obvious, when we appreciate that having the right epistemic-veracity should provide the direct possibility for constructing its structural/paradigmatic meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge, such that the fact that a domain-of-study prospective knowledge possibility is thresholding/has-attained-its-limits somewhere is ever always directly related to the fact that its epistemic-veracity has equally thresholded/attained-its-limits, with the possibility of prospective breakthrough arising as of shifting epistemic-veracity; such that we can appreciate that the history of physics or any domain-of-study can be construed as the history of its developing epistemic-veracity in succession as ultimately constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation-and-falsifiability. Naivety will be the pretence of constraining the possibility for transcendence-and-sublimity as of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge on a vague notion of any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic-veracity that at the very least doesn’t rise to projectively contemplate and appraise of such prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge prospectively implicated epistemic-veracity of research-programme and validation-and-falsifiability. Thus metaphoricity as such is a notion that is beyond just simplistic transmission/spreading of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge, even though this can be relevant as of a shared prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology as say the commonality of such metaphoricity inclined re-originary-as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-imbued-
postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional-deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) thinkers sharing a common emancipatory metaphoricity mathesis/motif-thrownness-disposition like Socrates, Plato, Aristotle and their schools with their universalisation projection or the Descartes, Galileos, Copernicuses, Newton, etc. with budding positivism/rational-empiricism. But rather beyond such shared prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology that is instigative, metaphoricity is critically about the prospective ricochetting structuring/paradigming implications for inducing such prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology implications on the fabric of the social as an epistemic-totality framework beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, as the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment of ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of the social-setup exposes it to such an epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity metaphoricity. This is so because in the long run transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of temporal-to-intemporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives is rather as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework selecting/skewing-towards intemporality/ontological-veracity as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism. It is important thus to grasp that a social-setup value construct lies somewhere between the possibility of its conventioning-referencing and its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, when it comes to assessing the possibility of
prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology inducing of metaphoricity. It is not necessarily the case that a society that doesn’t or poorly appreciate the implication of science will value as of immediacy prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology like the cultivation of science over its conventioning-referencing as a cultural inclination or metaphysical predisposition or a creed; as we can appreciate the contrasting disposition towards the cultivation of science as in Europe and the Arabic world during the medieval period, or even disparity in ontological progressiveness within the very same societies at various epochs. Thus the assumption that any given society or period is absolutely turned/committed to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology including our modern period, is a flawed appraisal; as in many ways, beyond our <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perception, a closer look at institutional functioning easily points out the pre-eminence of spurious institutional-being-and-craft muddlement highlighting an uninstitutionalised-threshold as of the privileging of conventioning-referencing over purely prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and in many ways this explains at the more socially visible spectrum that is politics, the perceived political impotence today. This insight is critical for appreciating the implication of the conception of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism metaphoricity in our positivism–procrypticism; as its brings to the self-consciousness the reality that the implication of such a deprocrypticism articulation is bordering on the limits/thresholds of our
uninstitutionalised-threshold. The underlying insight about such ontological-veracity destructuring-threshold—of-ontological-performance is that the state of human-subpotency is one where overall its capacity to reflect existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in—epistemic—conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised—ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism is inherently limited such that human meaningfulness-and-teleology construal ever always varies as of ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging—of—thought—<as—to—leveling/ressentiment/closed—construct—of—meaningfulness—and—teleology—as—of—‘nondescript/ignorable—void’—with—regards—to—prospective-apriorising—implications—)> narratives ontological-performances’, ‘suprasocial narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, with the latter as critically bound to fulfil ontological-veracity as of its direct and utter subjection to the superior party that is existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in—epistemic—conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and then its deferential-formalisation—transference and percolation-channelling implications, while it can be appreciated that the preceding three dispositions as of their <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag are not critically as so-committed to ontological-veracity. Narratives as such are the very <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag drive for human meaningfulness-and—teleology underlying language development, wherein ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative
ontological-performance’ as of its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness profundness is as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and so over the temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Unsuspectingly, the reality of projected narratives as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor across the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is rather regular and stable as of the dynamics of temporal-to-intemporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives, and so as of their respectively poor to profound dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension implications with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction at the given registry-worldview/dimension. It is equally critical to note that as of the profoundness of their social-stake-contention-or-confliction existential-investment, temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives will drag out as of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism–‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’ of akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex in obviation of prospective ontological-veracity without the constraining untenability as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness of intemporal ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, going by the fact that the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-IMPLIED as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ opens it up to the prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity of ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-
hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ that is implicated with respect to the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ opening it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity, such that sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can effectively be construed as of the dynamism of the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, as it supersedes temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives as of its constraining to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over human-subpotency, and so with respect to human construal of existence and purviews of existence. We can appreciate in this regards the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ drive in generally overcoming human egregious superstitious beliefs towards our positivism and science orientation today as well as ‘relatively free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary knowledge constructs’ about purviews-of-existence which are today articulated in institutionalised frameworks as of subject-matter narratives like physics, law, biology, etc. oelagating social opinionatedness and substituting social deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling for ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive overcoming of uninstitutionalised-thresholds involves a migration of the hegemony of social meaningfulness-and-teleology away from ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to—
prospective-apriorising-implications) narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘suprasocial narratives ontological-performances’ which reflect human-subpotency
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, towards the hegemony of ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ rather reflecting existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as validated or invalidated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, thus involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject with regards to human transcendence-and-
sublimity arising as of constraining to existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. As such we can appreciate that our present positivism institutionalisation outcome is the result of prior institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in succession of mainly the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ as of existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, while all ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘<(amplituding)formative>wooden-
language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘suprasocial narratives ontological-performances’ as of human sub-potency constraining were discarded. The implication here is that prospective relative-ontological-completeness will necessarily imply a discarding of our present positivism–procrypticism ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
institutionalisation based on deprocriptivism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising, and so just as with the positivism projection of the requisite deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling of positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising as the mechanism of prospective positivism institutionalisation rather than engaging in defective non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument


<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance nature of the social-construct (as significant otherness to the individual), and as this social-construct conventioning-referencing is thereof reflected in its relationship with inherent ontological-veracity as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that goes into building the individual capacity to uphold ontological-veracity when the social-construct as its significant otherness is constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge while by the same token can
undermine the individual capacity to uphold ontological-veracity when the social-construct as significant otherness is as of destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge; as social-construct settings are fundamentally the background of significant otherness for their inherent generalised purposefulness and their enlivening of the possibility for individual human purposefulness as well, such that beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> the notion of ontological-veracity is not necessarily of absolute pertinence to the individual as of pure-ontology implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation where individual possible construal of ontological-veracity is subject to its perception/engagement/endearment of specific and/or underpinning–suprasocial-construct settings significant otherness destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance implications of its possible constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality construal of ontological-veracity. This destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance effect of social-construct settings with regards to individual possible constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality construal of ontological-veracity is validated by the idea that even the most assured critique in the ontological-veracity of their ideas when this elicits the uninstitutionalised-threshold cannot just articulate them as if the social-construct is ‘purely/absolutely receptive-as-constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality to ontological-veracity’ but need to implicitly recognise the social-construct predisposition to destructure such meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its conventioning-referencing for social-functioning-and-accordance at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so in order by its dispensing-with-
immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension to strategically articulate such meaningfulness-and-teleology going by the possibility of the social-construct as of its potential constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality significant otherness to tolerate it in the immediacy, even as the social-construct is rather predisposed in the immediacy to destructure at this uninstitutionalised-threshold as of its registry-worldview/dimension structural ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’. From the foregoing, while the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity, it is rather ‘naïve to construe of social-stake-contention-or-confliction in any social-setup as absolutely about ontological-veracity’ giving a social-construct predisposition to destructure meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its conventioning-referencing for social-functioning-and-accordance at its uninstitutionalised-threshold; with any such superseding ontological-veracity at the social-setup uninstitutionalised-threshold rather beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, as base-institutionalisation implied meaningfulness-and-teleology is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, that of universalisation is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, that of positivism is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively that of deprocrypticism is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of positivism–procrypticism; and so because any given registry-worldview/dimension


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag. In this regards, ontological-veracity as of a perpetual predisposition for prospective relative-ontological-completeness is ensured by supposedly coherent ontological-commitment to undermine the social-construct predisposition to destructure meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its conventioning-
institutional frameworks is more susceptible to spurious and specific temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives unlike the strictly formalised institutional frameworks tending to totalisingly-entailing/ontologising/institutionalising of narratives. It is this possibility of narratives recombination as of formative and enculturating implications as well as the criss-crossing of formal and informal spheres/settings differing temporal-to-intemporal value-references that renders even totalisingly-entailing/ontologising/institutionalising narratives susceptible to recombination with temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives, thus leading to their possible ontological denaturing as of uninstitutionalised-threshold implications. Ultimately, it is herein contended that conceptualising ontological-veracity reflecting existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplitunding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflicatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism as this underlies retrospective, present to prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology rather boils down to grasping prospective relative-ontological-completeness <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of notional–deprocripticism. Effectively prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology, as articulated from ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ reflecting existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflicatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism perspective, can be construed as: prospective relative-ontological-completeness re-structuring/re-paradigmimg in superseding/undermining/deflating the ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness perception of prospective relative-ontological-completeness structuring/paradigmimg’; wherein the former’s apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument as of its re-
determinism in ontological-contiguity from notional-deprocripticism. In other words, ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as organic-knowledge is more critically overtly walking into the evil forest and finding a root or leaf cure as emancipatory to such animistic social-setup beyond just the immediate remedy as mechanic knowledge but more profoundly as of the prospective worldview possibility of undermining the flawed ontological implications of the animistic social-setup mythology in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument with the latter so-construed as its ‘identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, rather than surreptitiously sneaking around and getting the root or leaf cure from the evil forest as remedy but then failing as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibility for superseding/undermining/deflating-the-evil-forest-notion to enable the animistic social-setup to put into question and supersede the existential implications of its prior presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness structuring/paradigming apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument for prospective non-presencing-<as-to-perspective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence> re-structuring/re-paradigming apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument with the latter so-construed as of ‘difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’; in both cases, as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal’ but with differing ontological-performances of meaningfulness-and-teleology as it is such ‘difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ construed as
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that induces the animistic social-setup reference-of-thought-level prospective society-wide transcendence-and-sublimity into positivism/rational-empiricism. Thus, the prospect of all human meaningfulness-and-teleology arises as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—of-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askeisis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent/relaying instigating, at uninstitutionalised-thresholds, in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\langle (\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) implications for prospective relative-ontological-completeness inducing the sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. We can appreciate in this regards that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are actually in an acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument relation with each other as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness with regards to construing the very same \langle (\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating purview-of-construal-as-existence: wherein base-institutionalisation rulemaking edgily/incisively reconstrues existence as of rulemaking over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation construal of existence as of non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism; universalisation edgily/incisively reconstrues existence as of universalisation-directed-rulemaking over base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation construal of existence as of
rulemaking; positivism/rational-empiricism edgily/incisively reconstrues existence as of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking over universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism construal of existence as of universalisation-directed-rulemaking; and prospectively, deprocrypticism edgily/incisively reconstrues existence as of preempting—disjointedness—of-reference—of-thought,—as-to-

Acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
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authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism implied as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism, just as with the natural sciences and so beyond the notion of subjectivity as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation and falsifiability implications. It is important to grasp that since every registry-worldview/dimension social-construct is involved in a constructive (as of its institutionalising disposition) and destructuring (as of its disposition at its uninstitutionalised-threshold) relationship with ontological-veracity, this is exactly what inevitably validates the articulation of ontological-veracity/ontological-veridicality as more completely involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject priorly as implied with Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative in reflecting the need to undermine human destructuring-threshold–<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance to further advance its constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality nature, thus overcoming underlying logocentrism as of prospective relative-ontological-completenesss implications; reflecting the fact that human knowledge is more completely a two-fold process involving building the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thus the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of projected conflatedness. This is very much unlike the Ricoeurian narrative theory conception that while of palliative and practical significance is in relative constitutedness since it poorly deals with logocentrism implications as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness on ontological-veracity; as it construes of ‘logocentric habituated social conditions’ as inherently ontological or beyond ontological treatment while
failing to countenance the ‘decentering heavy lifting’ involved in undermining ontologically impertinent ‘logocentric habituated social conditions’ in enabling the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology right up to our present, and as of prospective transformative emancipatory possibilities. In the bigger scheme of things, the social-construct as significant otherness is ever always inherently put into question itself given its constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality and destructuring-threshold-

<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance nature speaking of its reasoning-from-results/afterthought, with regards to its capacity-and-disposition to uphold prospective transcendence-and-sublimity ontological-veracity/ontological-veridicality; as so implied in the epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity unorthodoxy herein expounding futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, just as with the unorthodoxy of postmodern-thought or generally the unorthodoxy of all prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology whether with regards to the Socrates/Plato/Aristotle, Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtions, Darwins, Rousseaus, Nietzsches, Einsteins, etc. as reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. This basic idea of the social-construct as of its constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality and destructuring-threshold-

<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance nature is effectively what underlies in ontologically neutral/objective terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct such displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject narratives like Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative. However, the capacity to appreciate the ontological neutrality/objectivity
of a decentering narrative like deconstruction as being fully more of a purely ontological notion is caught up in our positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness human social-stake-contention-or-confliction in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and thus deconstruction will tend to be inefficiently construed in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the circumstantial social primacy of this temporal framework social-stake-contention-or-confliction over its fuller pure-ontology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism; explaining in many ways the difficulty for Derrida to define deconstruction. Again, such a social situation is no more different with say the articulation of budding positivism/rational-empiricism science in say a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup as caught up in the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness temporal framework of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, such that the more ontologically pure idea we may appreciate today as science is poorly disentangled from that circumstantial social primacy of the non-positivism/medievalism social-stake-contention-or-confliction like the entrenched interests that will rather focus mindsets rather in a nominal adversarial binarity perspective as of defending or attacking the traditional scholasticism pedantic literature over a more pure, nuanced and enlightening ontology contemplation of science as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness positivism, as a result of the failure of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming–‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’–to–‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,–as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

(AMPLITUDDING)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications)); which will explain in many ways the difficulty of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes’, Diderots, etc. so effectively enculturate their budding positivism. With respect to deconstruction in this regard, it is herein contended that such a Derridean deconstruction notion like binary opposition effectively speaks of the fact that it is encrusted/caught-up in our positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness human social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought but that a more fuller pure-ontology appreciation of the deconstruction notion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism rather subsumes all such binary opposition conceptions basically into the binarity of intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness as to human limited-mentation-capacity relative ontological-performance. It is effectively from this fuller pure-ontology perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism that we can appreciate more profoundly the universal ontological epistemic pertinence of decentering narratives like deconstruction, and so pervasively well beyond the stereotypical grand themes of gender, race, postcolonialism, power, etc. but rather just as of an all-pervasive universal ontological profundity for analysing everything as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism herein construed as human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation; with the implied knowledge emancipation rather construed as of mutual human emancipation beyond just the idea of a decentering narrative being about stronger and weaker but transcending that framework of contemplation in projecting of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/otherliness as of a converging vision of emancipation as conjoint human emancipation, as the reality of the supposedly unemancipated speaks of the ontological emancipative deficiency of the supposedly emancipated in need of the latter’s state very own deconstructing. Such a mutual-emancipation appreciation of deconstruction will appreciate
for instance that the civil war ending slavery in the U.S. was both as emancipative to its practitioners as well as to the freed beyond just the overall social adversariality practical implications, just as in decolonising terms it will appreciate that the more matured as mutually-emancipative notion of decolonisation involved both the capacity of colonised territories to attain and choose independence in mutual cooperation and even in other cases with such territories choosing to follow a mutually respectful and healthy relationship with the metropolitan country which in a few cases turn out to be more beneficial to both. In this regards, we can appreciate that the human predisposition not to dispense-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension as of a nominal adversarial binarity predisposition in many ways renders such an ontologically more profound construct of deconstruction difficult. In this very contrastive sense with regards to our present prospective relative-ontological-completeness positivism/rational-empiricism, we don’t ideally construe of science as of its pure-ontology as discriminatorily selective in its conclusions and we further appreciate that its usefulness is universally emancipatory as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and so in both instances with regards to say medicine or civil technology or consumer technology or even scientific and technological nomenclatures; with any such discriminatorily selective predisposition and failure to share its usefulness being an indictment of a lack of the requisite liberalism for perpetuating human scientific progress and basically overall human emancipation. Ultimately, the social-construct as of its constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality and destructuring-threshold–<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance nature inherently points out why human transcendence-and-sublimity as of intemporal metaphoricity epistemic pertinence doesn’t lie with any inherent suprasocial framework or inherent <(amplinding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought–<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and–}
<formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}> framework or suprasocial framework epistemic pertinence for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity untenable, as susceptible to prospective dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Such epistemic pertinence for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity is rather structured/paradigmed dynamically as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity possibility exploiting the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ which opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity. It is by this token that the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness can as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework validation induce transcendence-and-sublimity thus constraining the positive opportunum for prospective human secondnatured institutionalisation as of cross-generational deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling. The insight here is that the epistemic possibility for human prospective aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as reflected in all
prior transcendence-and-sublimity is more decisively about such intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existing-reality—parrhesiastic-askesis-or-acumen-reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning exploiting of the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, rather than a naïve reliance on (amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—'nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) or suprasocial epistemic relevance which is actually the outcome as reasoning-from-results/afterthought of secondnatured institutionalisation poorly inclined to such requisite prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. Human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasia-drag complex is rather reflected operantly and pertinently as of human ‘ontologically-flawed antiakrasia disposition’ so-construed from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-veracity perspective and so over our human-subpotency epistemic/notional—projective-perspective which is rather in an ontologically-flawed (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasia-drag. (It should be noted here thus that going by the entire projection of this work rather towards futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as of the notional—deprocrypticism framework as implied by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional—projective-perspective

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity with regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-developement-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and living-development—as-to-personality-development implied as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought this author has rather thought it pertinent herein to use the term ‘akrasia’ differently from the more traditionally restricted personal development implications of the Greek interpretation as of a universalising-idealisation self-consciousness but very much along the lines of Socratic unification of knowledge and virtue, with a deliberate adherence to the derivation ‘akrasiatic’ rather than the traditional derivations ‘acric’ or ‘akratic’ to mark such a break, and further the term ‘antiakrasiatic’ also along the same lines is further meant to emphasise the underlying idea that akrasia is a ‘notion of lack’ which ‘anti disposition’ as of relative-ontological-completeness is then about superseding the lack, and such relative-ontological-incompleteness is superseded rather as of acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process
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withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) that goes well beyond a ‘golden mean’/moderation/temperance, etc. behaviour interpretation as implied with ‘enkrateia’ which, as explained and further elaborated elsewhere herein, doesn’t has an ontological-basis as it is rather an impromptu articulation of a sense of desirability but fundamentally lacks the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework reference of ontological-contiguity but for naively and wrongly implying good-natured qualities as being ontological; and such ‘antiakrasiatic disposition’ is more critically reflected as of underlying human ‘intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning parrhesiastic seeding-promise of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ with the ‘akrasiatic disposition’ construed as of ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reasoning-from-results/afterthought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence–antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance’.) This existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-veracity perspective reflects the fact that as of our human-subpotency, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> we-fail-to-factor-in/we-are-oblivious-to our human limited-mentation-capacity implications as of our ontologically-compromised <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence, so-reflected with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought-level reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity, to then proceed in
affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
validating-measuring—<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism> as
of our existential-instantiations and so defectively as if we have no limited-mentation-capacity and no ontologically-uncompromised
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–thrownness-in-existence; and this with respect to our articulated–or–acquiesced-to
meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, such that inherently our ontological-performance is ever always constrained as of constructive and destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-
performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology. The destructuring-threshold-
<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-
performance of human articulated–or–acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology
ontological-performance, and as structurally/paradigmatically reflected at the
uninstitutionalised-threshold, speaks of a threshold at which as of our human-subpotency we
fail to assume the intellectual-and-moral responsibility arising as of ontological-veridicality
so-reflected as from the full sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness
ontological-veracity perspective insight of affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-
validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring—<postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>. This is the overall notion explaining human
akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex, and so as of human limited-mentation-
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capacity notional implications. Thereafter, understanding of this human ‘ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition’ is all about conceptualising the effective operant ontologically-constraining conditions as of human existential-instantiations given our limited-mentation-capacity implied as of temporality/shortness and intemporality/longness implications, and so construed epistemically as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence analysis. Insightfully, we can appreciate that the absolute human ontologically-veridical antiakrasiatic disposition can only be as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism so-reflected with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, over human-subpotency—as-of-ontologically-compromised-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence so-reflected variously with the preceding successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; wherein notional—deprocrypticism as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness will rather speak of prospective ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ which as of its inherent constructive ontological-performance is of a structural/paradigmatic implication that ultimately supersedes the destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance notionally underlying human-subpotency. Thus all the problem of human ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition boils down to construing the underlying human mental-processing disposition, construed as of phenomenal-abstractiveness implications, as from human-subpotency dispositional possibilities of ontological-performances to existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflectedness
possibility of ontological-performance. In this respect, we can appreciate that the successive
registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process are effectively differing
structural/paradigmatic antiakrasiatic dispositions-as-of-self-consciousness varying from
most ontologically-flawed as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to most ontologically-
veridical as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
onologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocrypticism. We can further appreciate that all the successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are marked at their reference-of-thought-
devolving-level by temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances speaking of differing
ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology of intemporal and disambiguated
temporal ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic-disposition as of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
dendemisation reflecting <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—
mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—
This analysis so far sums up the overall framework of human temporal-to-intemporal
ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition as of the social epistemic-totality of
meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process. Further and of much more profound reification implications, is
the reality that the social-construct constructive and destructuring nature can be
fundamentally accounted for by the fact that human antiakrasiatic disposition aspiration is
meaningfulness-and-teleology is \langle\textit{amplituding}\rangle\textit{formative}epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating as of its given \langle\textit{amplituding}\rangle\textit{formative}epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument thus construed in notional–conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations and as its ‘\langle\textit{amplituding}\rangle\textit{formative}epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-disposition’ can then be reflected in an infinite number of propositions by that notional–conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations as so-construed in such approaches as Derridean deconstruction and Foucauldian discourse analysis, as such a reification is all about elucidating the ontological-veracity/ontological-performance of human-subpotency epistemic/notional–projective-perspective meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated within any given registry-worldview/dimension social-setup going by its supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as so-reflected by its self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction exposing it to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\langle\textit{amplituding}\rangle\textit{formative}epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness

epistemic/notional–projective-perspective of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness \langle\textit{amplituding}\rangle\textit{formative}epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’,

whereas the notion of propositional attitude is rather as of constitutedness and not in conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations as failing to reflect the given \langle\textit{amplituding}\rangle\textit{formative}epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument devolving ‘\langle\textit{amplituding}\rangle\textit{formative}epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-disposition’, and seem to imply that propositions themselves have their attitude rather than
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attitude fails to reflect the fact of varying registry-worldviews/dimensions as of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness with their varying

\(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence}\text{reference-of-thought–level apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument}

\(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-dispositions’ translating in the differing nature of propositions veridically admissible by differing registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought as implied in the contrastive example here between a positivism and a non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension with their differing ‘\(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ and ‘\(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’\), since it is fundamentally an ontologically-flawed deestructuring

non-positivism/superstitious apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument eliciting this misconstrued proposition of non-positivism/superstitious aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as ‘God of plane’, a further proposition as of positivism aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring like ‘wings generate lift’ will just as well elicit a further proposition of non-positivism/superstitious aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring ‘along the lines of a superstitious effect from the wings’; with the positivism relative-ontological-completeness perspective rather reflecting the non-positivism/superstitious relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective as of a ‘\(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ while the latter perspective wrongly holds on to an ontologically-flawed ‘\(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating}
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag into its lingering social manifestation (just as the non-positivism/superstitious apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring enters a lingering social manifestation in striving to interpret positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected about a plane on the basis of its non-positivism/superstitious propositions as it narrative disposition, and reflected by its ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’); with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospectively constructiveness perspective rather reflecting it veridically as of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ while our positivism–procrypticism prospectively destructuring perspective rather reflecting wrongly as of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’. This insight can further be extended to explain the lingering pervasiveness of notions-and-accusation-of-sorcery in non-positivistic social-setups. In all these cases as explained further below as of the ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ of phenomenal-abstractiveness given its persistently pervasive reshuffling thoughtfulness as from human anxiety, the underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-
match-and-restore existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-

thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective of
ontological-veridicality as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought in order to overcome the preceding destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-

threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance, and so-

implied in this work as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-

depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of

prospective deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-

aestheticisation

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
constructiveness-of-ontological-performance reflected as of
‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ with respect to our positivism–

procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prior reproducibility—

mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation
of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument destructuring-

threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-

ontological-performance reflected as of  ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’. The bigger
point here is that, the social as purportedly driven by its constructiveness-of-ontological-
performance is rather supposedly all about overtly implicated ‘equivalence/correspondence
antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ of articulated–or–acquiesced-to
meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance with regards to the universal-
transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of social
<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> in
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism of the
‘possibilities-of-human-phenomenal-abstractiveness with respect to their
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for ontological-performance’, as deviating-from/being-wrongly-imputed-as-of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic perspective of
tonological-performance construed as ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment
ontological-performance’, and the social dynamics developing thereof as of social-stake-
contention-or-confliction. Thus human-subpotency destructuring-disposition—
flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-

Phenomenal-abstractiveness as of human-subpotency mental-processing for equivalence/correspondence with existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness effectively reflected herein as of the varied depth as from

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-random-as-impulsive,
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-nominal-as-tendentious,
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-ordinal-as-qualifying, interval-as-categorising and
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism; with
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism human phenomenal-abstractiveness is what exactly enables human-subpotency to be able to supersede destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—
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postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ rather arises as of the implied reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as centered–epistemic-totalisation associated ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating psychologism-schema’ and is the reflected mental-state aftereffect when reflexively, contemplatively, implicitly or explicitly aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring propositions as of the given underlying registry-worldview’s/dimension’s narrative disposition in its notional–conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations, and it is necessarily induced-from and reflects the ‘developing <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating self-consciousness culturally-directed eliciting of concepts and contemplative frameworks in notional–conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations’; and so-contrued contrary to just a constitutedness conception as of singular quale which fails to grasp that the possibility for reflecting a quale arises rather as of an underlying ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ reflecting <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology within which any specific quale then imports as of its replicability-and-differentiability-in-a-‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–disambiguation-in-notional–conflatedness-with-existence-as-of-existential-instantiations’ such that for instance the self-consciousness for cognising colour and colour schemes with children develops rather as of culturally-directed eliciting of the colour and colour schemes devolving qualia-schema, as it is integrated with the child’s developing <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating self-consciousness and by extension we can grasp that the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are grasp rather as of
the tendentious register and the impulsive register will end up being ontologically-flawed but not recognised as such from the human-subpotency epistemic/notional-projective-perspective of the given registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument in
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, though from existence-potency-prospective-digression—of—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic perspective of analysis as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness it is shown to be ontologically-flawed. Basically thus prospective destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating—decisionality>—of—ontological-performance renders the instigation of the categorising register, the qualifying register, the tendentious register and the impulsive register, as of operant meaningfulness-and-teleology, susceptible to be
so-implied as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism—or—social-discomfiture—or—negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation—or—temporal-endemisation. It is only <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—ratio-contiguity/ratiocination—as—referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness as of its mental-processing persistently pervasive existential reshuffling thoughtfulness as from human anxiety that is bound at destructuring-threshold—<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating—desublimating—decisionality>—of—ontological-performance to
reconstrue the prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation of meaninglessness-and-teleology as so-reflected from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness epistemic/notional perspective of analysis as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness to be ontologically-veridical. It is in this way that <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness expands the frontiers of human knowledge as ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, and thereof instigating the knowledge mechanism as it subsequently and summarily parcels out as of a depth-of-mental-processing-reflexes-contiguity into the more fully operant meaninglessness-and-teleology of lesser-and-lesser phenomenal-abstractiveness mental-processing tasking, as from the categorising register, the qualifying register, the tendentious register and the impulsive register, and thus enabling new human understanding; from whence new meaninglessness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring ensues as of human existential-instantiations. In the bigger scheme of things, this ‘constructiveness-of-ontological-performance from destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance’ operation of the comprehensive human phenomenal-abstractiveness process reflecting the cumulation/recomposuring of human meaninglessness-and-teleology as knowledge, is what brings about the successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as of successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and is reflected in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process reification of reference-of-thought-level successive self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions,
and so conceptualised as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
perspective of the non-positivism human-subpotency social-stake-contention-or-confliction narratives, but for the implied prospective metaphoricity as prospective ontologically-hegemonising-narrative of positivism. Insightfully, such an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating–decisionality>--of-ontological-performance analysis insight is more like a projective contrast as with the case of the BODMAS characters deficient apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity operation of Arithmetic construed as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism in epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence and with regards to our normally conceived apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
lack of a comprehensive expectation of ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ arises because of destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as of its implied destructuring-threshold-uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality–of-ontological-performance parasitism <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> this reflects the individual psyche conception of the social especially as of its extended-informality as not necessarily of high operant ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’, and is further reflected in a social dynamics of dual overt and covert implicated interpretations of social phenomenality arising as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought cognisance-and-adaptation to the reality of the ontologically compromisable possibility of social meaningfulness-and-teleology. Insightfully, it can be appreciated that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is one long process involving the undermining of destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity at uninstitutionalised-thresholds with relative ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance’ as of ontologically-hegemonising-narrative implied as of prospective ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. In this regard, we can appreciate anthropologically as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation implications the destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity that upheld superstitious beliefs in non-positivism social constructs but as of positivism/rational-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> of the social-construct as from the elucidation/reification as ‘destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance analysis’ is rather notionally/epistemically reflective of the social-construct constructiveness-of-ontological-performance, as such an antiakrasiatic analysis of uninstitutionalised-thresholds notionally/epistemically reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; and so, similarly as the analysis of prospective possibilities of disease and illness is not about being pessimistic about the biology of human beings but is notionally/epistemically reflective of the possibility for the further development and provision of medicine and healthcare, and just as the projective analysis of lack of science and technology capacity is not about being pessimistic about human technical development but is notionally/epistemically reflective of the possibility for the further invention of technologies and scientific discoveries. We can appreciate here that the very same epistemic/notional conceptualisation with respect to the human subject as with natural subject-matters elicits in the former high emotional involvement whereas the latter as of its direct ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity elicits low emotional-involvement, but for the case where with regards to high and conflicting human social-stake-contention-or-confliction even the natural domain is not immune from high emotional-involvement as with the climate change issue for instance. The point being made here is that sober analyses of the social as herein articulated tends to elicit naïve criticism that human progress happens anyway, but then such naïve criticism only recounts the fact of human progress while failing to be reifying and is actually dereifying when by its ‘implicated passivity implications for prospective human progress’ it fails to account for how human progress occurs in the very first place or even whether there is any underlying process
for its occurrence or non-occurrence. Actually, human progress occurs because of effective human constructive disposition to supersede identified-and-defined destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance and as reflected at uninstitutionalised-thresholds. As the Copernicuses, Galileos, Darwins, Diderots, etc. of the world with their subsequently metaphorising societies didn’t progress on the basis that human progress occurs anyway but because they effectively superseded their identified-and-defined ontological-performance destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance and uninstitutionalised-threshold, and it is this difficult task of cross-generational mobilisation that enables the prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance for human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The implicated passivity behind such reflections that human progress occurs anyway again highlights why the intemporal mental-dispositions behind the superseding of destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance need to be integrated into the very core of such secondnatured formulaic/mechanical-knowledge outcome as part and parcel of knowledge, construed as organic-knowledge. Otherwise, the very vocation behind such organic-knowledge end up being denatured as of deficient apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and this inevitably actually occurs and reoccurs throughout the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; such that prospective social-construct constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and institutionalisation is ever always a process of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness to prospectively recapture the
prospective relative-ontological-completeness implied social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm and not the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness social-stake-contention-or-confliction in extricatory/temporal paradigm; and candidly so to the extent that the intemporal-as-ontological dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-'notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) is not interpreted from a temporal existential-extricatory-as-of-existential-unthought perspective as ineptness warranting the furtherance of temporal-dispositions as of untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality inclination and accompanying sophistic/pedantic complexes as well as to the extent of entailing prospective relative-ontological-completeness. We can appreciate in this regards that the intemporal projection as of base-institutionalisation implies an incisive/edgy apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity beyond recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of its ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ in <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and likewise with the intemporal projection as of universalisation over base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism over universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and
prospectively deprocripticism over positivism–procripticism. In this regards, the notion of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as reflected as of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is tied-to and a necessarily associated notion with that of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as reflected as of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ with respect to the possibility of a protracted-consciousness conceptualisation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; and as this explains the successive construction-of-the-Self reflected in the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions. It is the possibility for the human mind to dement as of a ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ by its self-conscious <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence that structurally/paradigmatically allows for the possibility of prospective institutionalisation involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject. Unlike our naïve human-subpotency epistemic/notional~projective-perspective inclined to perceive prior registry-worldviews/dimensions in their ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ in stigmatising terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct, the ontological-veracity from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional~projective-perspective is one that rather entails a forward-thinking appreciation that the possibility of all prospective relative-ontological-completeness
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postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reflected as of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ can only arise as of the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposuring possibility of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reflected as of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’, and so whether from a retrospective, present or prospective perspective; speaking of the ‘miracle of the human mind malleable potential as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’, and implying an obligation for any given registry-worldview/dimension to maximalise this human capacity for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its growing self-consciousness and self-awareness. In fact, the notion of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as such speaks of the fact that the entire cross-section of humanity as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is of a ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ with respect to prospective base-institutionalisation ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’, and likewise universalisation with respect to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism with respect to positivism, and our present positivism–procrypticism with respect to prospective deprocrypticism. The fact is, even the said prospective transcendence-and-sublimity emancipators across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process are just as equally relatively enmeshed in many ways with their
reference-of-thought old psychology ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ like say Newton’s involvement with alchemy, and the idea of projecting to a prospective ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ speaks of a first level of human uninhibitedness/decomplexification that is exactly what allows for human emancipation. This further shows how our seemingly objectified presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness positivism–procripticism disposition is all-encompassing as of our <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when we construe of ourselves as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as of in-the-absolute’ without projecting that just as prior generations of humans were both postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as of their constructiveness-of-ontological-performance reflected as of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ at their relative-ontological-completeness and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of their destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance reflected as of ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’ at their relative-ontological-incompleteness, we equally manifest the same and so-perceived from the prospective relative-ontological-completeness of deprocripticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The critical point here has to do with the fact that beyond the ‘contingent-ontologies—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, in their <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of 
their ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-
dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, that are enabled by human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩ as herein 
implied successively as of non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of 
⟨<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
ingraphs-specificity/idealizing-epistemic-totality-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism; the 
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can thus be qualified as the 
‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
onologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as its opened-
construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology reflects the comprehensive ontological-veracity 
of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions becoming as of ontologically-veridical 
difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
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development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process that engendered our positivism/rational-empiricism creating as of epistemic-ricochetting the said science without the science-ideology and the said human emancipation without the humanism ideology. This fundamental disjointedness explains why and how our positivist science-ideology and humanism ideology so-misconstrued beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> rather turns out to be denaturing and undermines prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-development, and explains our inclination to ask the wrong questions given the false sense of certainty arising from this ‘positivism–procrysticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’. Such questions with regards to how the humanities can be further developed as efficaciously as the natural sciences, how can philosophy be more socially potent, and on the social paradoxes of our suboptimum institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and living-development–as-to-personality-development, more critically point to the ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of its implied intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation registry-worldviews/dimensions; and so critically by the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. In this regards, as applies with
performance as reflected by their uninstitutionalised-threshold; and as such an epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag suprasocial or
\textit{(amplituding)}formative\textit{wooden-language-\textit{(imbued—averaging-of-thought-\textit{(as-to-}
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\}) relative-
ontological-incompleteness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument predilection is
further subject to its internal social-stake-contention-or-confliction sophistry, with the
implications that all prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology as
reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning must necessarily be wary of all such sophistry that
go on to emphasise logic as of the deficient destructuring-threshold-\textit{(uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality\textit{)}—of-ontological-performance and thus
fails reification as of prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
\textit{(amplituding)}formative\textit{epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
\textit{(amplituding)}formative\textit{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,--for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in relative-
ontological-completeness, and not wrongfully imply its ontological-elevation as of
common/mutual logical-dueness implied ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism’ but rather realise the reality of its notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
discontiguity–\textit{(mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema\textit{)} that speaks
of its prospective preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and thus
ontological-degradation. In other words the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-

postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating–decisionality>--of-ontological-performance as reflected by ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema’. Ultimately, human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics is the notion underlying human self-consciousness as of construction-of-the-Self all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. It all arises from the ‘human capacity for decomplexified/uninhibited preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ in order to then ‘prospectively induce originarily/as-of-event prospective postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’. In this regards, we can factor in for instance that more critically rather than construing the prospective reification of the humanities and philosophy for instance in terms of breakthroughs along the lines of say exceptional methods or capacity along the lines of our ‘positivism–procrypticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’, the reality of any such transcendence-and-sublimity will rather be ‘a more candid face-up with our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ as herein implied by this author as of the notion of ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> institutional-being-and-craft, muddlement and other intellectual complexes/inhibitions’ that structurally/paradigmatically as of a destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating–decisionality>--of-ontological-performance cloud/undermine the potential for further intellectual emancipation, and so similar to the breakthrough that brought about budding positivism/rational-empiricism as of say the
reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning Galilean gesturing paradigm based on the fact that looking in the telescope we can appreciate how the planets moved around the sun and as this budding positivism/rational-empiricism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as reproducibility-of-aestheticisation was relayed by other budding positivists, and so over the destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating-desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance of traditional medieval no-trouble disposition to perceive and take comfort in traditional scholasticism reasoning-from-results/afterthought pedantry as if critical reification will arise by that pathway. In other words, the possibility of all human prospective transcendence-and-sublimity arises not as we may naively construe vaguely as of exceptional occurrence on the basis of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness disposition but rather more concretely only after human decomplexing/uninhibiting paradigmatic development ‘weaning humankind from its traditional complexes/inhibitions reasoning-from-results/afterthought conceptualising flaws’ that then brings about the corresponding existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness level for human emancipation as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness; and this is effectively reflected in all cases of human transcendence-and-sublimity. Whether of low or high emotional-involvement, it is inevitably the case that the structural/paradigmatic possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity ever always and has ever always involved or been-grounded-on-prior ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, as reproducing-aestheticisation’ inducing the displacement/decentering-of-
the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure; as we can appreciate for instance that without the secondnatured institutionalisation arising as from the Galilean gesturing reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning highlighted above, there wouldn’t have been the human psychology reflected in the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of the resultant reasoning-from-results/afterthought later on in the 20th century to acquiesce to such breakthroughs like theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs with barely any social contestation. Thus psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, as of human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics implied prospective postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism and prior preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism, is merely a reflection of the fact that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always as of the very same overall purview that is existence but then as of various state of human relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of reference-of-thought so-construed as registry-worldviews/dimensions, such that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is thus of lower to higher ontological-veracity/ontological-performance as of relative-ontological-completeness. Further as of human \(\text{(amplituding)formative}\) epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence with human meaningfulness-and-teleology rather undertaken on the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-'human\(\text{(amplituding)formative}\) epistemic-totalising~purview-of-construal’ and thereof devolving as of existence-as-of-existential-instantiations, the implication is that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is thus ‘a-given-\(\text{(amplituding)formative}\) epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence construct on existence-as-of-devolving-existential-instantiations’ as reflected in the ontological-veracity/ontological-performance of its given \(\text{(amplituding)formative}\) epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence registry-


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought-devolving meaningness-and-teleology. More spontaneously, a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism representation is construed as of the projection to a given registry-worldview/dimension ‘ontological-depth framework of

meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge-reification. This comprehensive elucidation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confalatedness and human-subpotency implications of ontological-performance articulated above, can more fully be abstracted to reflect the overall ‘effecting-phenomenality underlying existence and existential-manifestations’. The implied underlying singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism of existence as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confalatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism notionally/epistemically reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence speaks of the imbedded structural/paradigmatic unity of the reflected existential sublimation manifestations. Such an ecstatic singularity of existence is what renders intelligibility possible as of the ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human). This ecstatic singularity of existence is its primordial ineffability, as beyond any<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence appraisal but then enabling the meaningfulness-and-teleology validatory possibility of any such state of<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence by way of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
The ecstatic singularity of existence is the very shepherding/ushering/heralding possibility for existence’s intelligibility. Thus the supervening unity of all existential sublimation manifestations arises as of their notional–conflatedness intelligibility derived from the primordial ineffability of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework  <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human); and this primordial ineffability is thus the epistemic guidance for the construal of intelligibility in all existential sublimation manifestations. This never failing ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework  <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), as shepherding/ushering/heralding the possibility of intelligibility to arise, is ‘the outstanding/in-waiting/in-abeyance/in-pending of existence as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness that is perpetually stood out’ for ‘phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies–in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence>—in—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence,—<of-'surrealistic-as-pseudoreal’–epistemic-abnormalcy> as of transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting veracity on the basis of the latter inherently implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ rather points to the ontological-veracity of its conflatedness (and not constitutedness as is easily mistaken from an ontologically-flawed <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag human-subpotency perspective projecting as if of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness/ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism), with the
phenomenal/manifest metaphoricity/ ecstasy of existence rather arising as of
supervening-conflatedness <$\text{amplituding}$> formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-
implications, for-explicating-ontological-contiguity defining
‘phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies <$> in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity, in-the-full-
potency-of-existence>— in — <$\text{amplituding}$ > formative > epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-
existence, <$> of ‘surrealistic-as-pseudoreal’–epistemic-abnormalcy> given ‘apriorising-
teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework
of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ as of constructiveness-of-
ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold <$> uninstitutionalised-
threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>—of-ontological-performance; as so-
reflected as of the supervening purviews underlying conventional subject-matters as from the
natural sciences to the social sciences and humanities. Thus existence’s metaphoricity/ecstasy
supervening-conflatedness underlying human-subpotency ontological purviews of existence
intelligibility as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-
panintelligibility <$> imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-
aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> is more
than just of transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting veracity in the construal of ontologically-
veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, it equally speaks of a presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness historicity-tracing—presencing-imbued-hyperrealisation/hyperreal-
transposition ever always confounded between ‘phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies <$> in-
transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity, in-the-full-potency-of-existence> — in —
<$\text{amplituding}$ > formative > epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence, <$> of ‘surrealistic-as-
pseudoreal’–epistemic-abnormalcy> construal in constitutedness as of alienation—as-
inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-
human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor what is veridically ever as of absolute certitude is
‘prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-
reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-
flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought
meaningfulness-and-teleology’, construed respectively ‘as of equivalence/correspondence
antiakrasiatic-aspiration as inducing prospective <$\text{amplituding}$>formative>epistemic-
totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism as ontologically-veridical
constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and ‘as of covert pretence of
equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration as inducing prospective destructuring-
transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as ontologically-flawed destructuring-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and thereof, what is ever of absolute incertitude is
ontologically-veridical identitive meaningfulness-and-teleology as this is ever always in need
for its prospective recuperation/recovery as from prospective relative-ontological-
completeness induced ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as
of apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity<$\text{mentally-
aestheticised}$–postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>’ superseding prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness induced ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism as of apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
discontiguity<$\text{mentally-aestheticised}$–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’. Thus
what is particular about the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is that it is ‘beyond just a constraining institutionalisation secondnaturing articulation of a reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought’ by which the human mindset can be attached to mechanically as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought while displaying ‘<(amplitude)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}
of such reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,–as–reproducibility-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-
factor,-in-overcoming–‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’–to–‘attain-sublimating-
humanity’, as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confalatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness


’nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}
dispositions like ‘in many ways the slaves lives are better off than their kindreds in the darkness of Africa or that their conditions will be worse off when freed’, that ‘the toll of the American civil war was unnecessary’, or ‘in many ways the outcome of the French Revolution was far worse than was worth the struggle’. In all these instances, the sophists as of its existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction are ever always inclined to eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) disposition, and when the outcome of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension accrue prospectively the sophists react as if ‘human progress occurs anyway’ as the idea of a human existential tale perpetuation and its implications is alien to the sophists since all that counts is the immediate now and its temporal/mortal social-stake-contention-or-confliction interests; and worst still, human limited-mentation-capacity in inducing prospectively relative-ontological-completeness as of the weaknesses associated in all human transcendence-and-sublimity is held by the sophists against any such reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for transcendence-and-sublimity. Inherently, while the intemporal projection coherence of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning spans the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as the ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, what is peculiar about sophistry is that the whole tale of humanity starts-and-ends by their given registry-worldview/dimension and other registry-worldviews/dimensions are just other ones and have nothing to say about the present one as of an overall human tale, as the threat of
rationalising the implications of such a human existential tale perpetuation may jeopardise their present social-stake-contention-or-confliction temporal interests; and this pattern of sophisticated/pedantic interpretation is the same at each and every given registry-worldview/dimension as it is obviously not oblivious to the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning which organic-contemplation spans registry-worldviews/dimensions and identifies the nature of the sophisticated/pedantic inclination in each and every one of the registry-worldviews/dimensions. Inevitably thus since the possibility for human ideal as of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity implications necessarily involves a parrhesiastic reifying gesture of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension which is ‘never always the easiest of notion’ for human disposition, especially as this often always implies the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject, it is inevitably the case that such ideal as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ has to reckon with the temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction human sophistry eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for disposition meant at stifling the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought>. In all such instances as was realised by universalising-idealisation philosophers Socrates/Plato/Aristotle as well as budding positivists, the notion of dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence is not a given, and as the sophists commit to sophistry the genuine intellectual holds it against the sophists to imply they are effectively of ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’ rather than ‘apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity’ to avoid wrongly implying dialogical-equivalence, as the latter notion only arises as of mutual apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument in relative-ontological-completeness as of the underlying registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved-apriorising-rule; as there can be no genuine contention between a universalising-idealisation mindset and a sophistic/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset or a positivising/rational-empiricism mindset and medieval pedantic/dogmatic mindset, if just for the mere sake of preserving and avoiding the denaturing of the universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology or positivising/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology. This is more critically the case as the fact is the possibility for prospective human emancipation is exactly the most difficult thing for humankind to countenance, and that is exactly why the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds arise in the first place; and the sophistic/pedantic treachery/muddlement/acting-out of usurping such difficult quest for its temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction has always been addressed not by a faulty pretence of mutually objectifying intellection between genuine intellectualism and sophistry, which is of flawed epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity, but rather a blunt parrhesiastic disavowal of such sophistic/pedantic treachery/muddlement/acting-out for what it essentially is; as with the universalising-idealisation philosophers not wasting their time in

(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness which rather warrants psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective relative-ontological-completeness. This is akin to the mathematician opened to mutual calculating even where one could produce a wrong solution as of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring flawed ontological-performance but this only holds with the mathematical apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument spirit for engaging genuinely and naturally in the calculations; where that apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument spirit is lost,
fundamentally the notion of mutual calculating is then ontologically and epistemically flawed. Ultimately, the notion of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontological-veracity is about the ‘reasoning-through transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ of contentions for the determination of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising— renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity;
and it is rather different from a sovereign construct grounded on sovereign choice whether there is ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence. The human existential tale as ‘humanity project’ has ever always been one of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as implied in the ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. The secondnatured institutionalisation constructs as of sovereign institutions and establishment frameworks are ‘not to be necessarily-and-absolutely considered as knowledge reifying frameworks’, as could falsely be implied by cohorting sovereign institutions and establishments surreptitiously usurping the knowledge-reification role and as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> surreptitiously defining what can be thought or not thought. The fact is such implied underpinning—suprasocial-constructs are mainly secondnatured whether as sovereign representation or establishment constructs, and can easily be caught up in their own <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction and are thus not the absolutising framework of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as the social knowledge-reification role must always be opened to ‘intemporal individuation ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as of the possibility of its arising in any humans and in whatever specific purviews of existence, as this is what is instigative of ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; as it is only by the latter process that the ‘suprasocial obsession/myopism as of a given registry-worldview/dimension social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ can be superseded, as of reconstruing recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation underpinning—suprasocial-construct rather as of base-institutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation underpinning—suprasocial-construct rather as of universalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism underpinning—suprasocial-construct rather as of positivism, and prospectively positivism—procrypticism underpinning—suprasocial-construct rather as of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. We can appreciate in this regards that the universalising-idealisation philosophers and budding positivists trajectory of contemplation were actually counterintuitive to what their respective underpinning—suprasocial-construct construed as human progress and the possibility for human progress. The naivety of referring to the underpinning—suprasocial-construct conventioning-referencing as of its framework of establishments and sovereign institutions as if this was absolutely substitutive of ontology as of prospective ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ induced as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’, is nothing but ((amplituding)formative)epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which obviously doesn’t register/is-unaccounted internally because (but from the existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—((amplituding)formative)epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism deprocrypticism perspective) structurally/paradigmatically ‘no registry-worldview/dimension has the eyes to see of its defective ontological-performance as it surreptitiously implies that it is absolute beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology.<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>’. The fact is, it is this possibility of the universalising-idealisation philosophers Socrates/Plato/Aristotle and the budding positivists putting into question their conventioning-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology and value that allows for prospective institutionalisation to arise as of universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism respectively. In this regards, it is important to grasp that what is peculiar about the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions is the sense that these as of their immediacy disposition are very much cognisant of the Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology leading to the establishment of their given registry-worldviews/dimensions over which their conventioning-referencing is setup but then tend to fail to construe of their prospective possibility of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and in this regards, we can appreciate that the pre-Socratic world very much construed of critical
ontological insights that went into their various conventioning-referencing like say the Ancient Egyptians with their conventioning-referencing mobilising ontological insights much more obviously with the building of pyramids, the Persians mobilising their ontological insights in empire building, etc. but unlike these relatively cosmopolitan lands with greater technical and knowledge potential, it was the smaller and rustic Greece and specifically Athens that contemplated of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with the emergence of universalising-idealisation over ancient mythologies and cultism, likewise the medieval Europe scholasticism was the height of this universalising-idealisation as of its establishment and religious conventioning-referencing but it took budding positivists to come up with the prospect of renewed Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and likewise it is the case that our conventioning-referencing is rather predisposed to construe of our elaborate positivism/rational-empiricism as absolutising and hardly countenancing of its own effort for prospective Being/ontological-framework-expansion. It is herein contended that, as of the implications of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that in many ways just as the manifestation of postlogism-slantedness associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as of non-positivism whether as of animistic or medieval social-setups, was difficultly amenable to address as of their given underlying muddlement of social-stake-contention-or-confliction associated fundamentally with their overall <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-
meaningfulness-and-teleology integration of their given non-positivism and superstition, in many ways the manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy in our positivism–procrpyticism is equally subject to our <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought=<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) and underpinning–suprasocial-construct underlying disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought muddlement of social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of our uninstitutionalised-threshold; and in both instances insightfully point to underlying reference-of-thought relative-ontological-incompleteness at destructuring-threshold–<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance which is the grander issue of aetiology/ontological-escalation as to the fact that fundamentally prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension supersedes-and-deflates the vices-and-impediments of non-positivism as of animism or medievalism and thereof their devolving associated manifestations of non-positivism and specific superstitious nature as well as the idea that prospective depocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought supersedes-and-deflates the overall vices-and-impediments of our positivism–procrpyticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought underlying the devolving social manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy. Thus the practice of construing absolutely the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument of any given registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness like our positivism–procrpyticism speaks of a loss of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to the given registry-worldview/dimension conventioning-referencing. In this regards, we can appreciate that our own projection of prospective deprocrypticism implied Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism will construe of our present positivism–procrypticism conventioning-referencing as dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism to be more than just as of our traditional, cultural and aesthetic idiosyncratic habituations grounded on our positivism–procrypticism underlying reference-of-thought that more or less supresses the possibility of prospective ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and equally garner that just as the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation of ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset and medieval scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation never factored in that their respective supposedly presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness construal of ontology as sophistic/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising and medieval scholastic pedantry were to be reconstrued as rather being of contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing respectively by Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and budding positivists as of their respective prospective parrhesiastic revaluation of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; likewise, our supposedly positivism–procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness construal of ontology as reflected in present subject-matters in many ways will be reconstrued as contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing as of deprocrypticism implied prospective parrhesiastic revaluation of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought say animistic or medieval could just as well be considered in ontological-normalcy and that what is emancipatory of the human condition is the reification of psychological traits as of its

\(\text{amplituding} \text{formative}\) epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence

\(\text{amplituding} \text{formative}\) epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
synecretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology despite the supposed deficiency of its given meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative-ontological-incompleteness, thus failing to grasp that the more decisive transformation of the human subject is the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of construction-of-the-Self in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process underlined as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

\(\text{amplituding} \text{formative}\) epistemic-totalisingly-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) antiakrasiatic disposition since this is effectively what paradigmatically/structurally by the induced ontological-performance enables the superseding-and-deflating of the overall individual and social vices-and-impediments arising as of the relative-ontological-incompleteness of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; and wherein our conception of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing turns out to be rather skewed towards our positivism–procrypticism

\(\text{amplituding} \text{formative}\) epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
synecretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective with the implication of history considered mainly as of succession of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism representations inducing a loss of authentic-and-profound contemplative human projection both retrospectively and prospectively, as can be more pertinently be derived as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative implications reflecting the dynamics of human
event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as when the organic-knowledge avails it is much more than just an idea of choice but rather an obligation as of the implied inherently antiakrasiatic disposition that can’t afford to overlook as if lacking the organic-knowledge for degrading into \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. When the dialecticism of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its prospective ontological-performance implications as of virtue at constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and vices-and-impediments at destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>–of-ontological-performance shows itself to be definitely determinable and is no longer the bigger issue for prospective human emancipation but rather the bigger issue becoming one of human psychological cognisance and adjustment to any such prospective emancipatory meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-reflected across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence-and-sublimity. The underlying difficulty of all such psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is all about how can a mindset adjusted as of its \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence as of its given \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for construing meaningfulness-and-teleology in \((amplituding)\)wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’–with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) ever gets prodded into contemplating an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology speaking supposedly of more ontologically profound prospective
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of meaningfulness-and-teleology as implied as of prior transcendences from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation, etc. But then as all along the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendences, such a parrhesiastic exercise is ever always caught up between accommodating human temporality/shortness and existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness which knows of no such accommodation for human temporality, inevitably the existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications necessarily comes ahead of human temporality/shortness emotional convenience. The certitude and determination of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as from this hindsight, as so-reflected from singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology, will necessarily imply preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism implications of acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with respect to our positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology as dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism even as we are thereby emotionally inconvenienced, just as singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as from our positivism perspective of meaningfulness-and-teleology will necessarily imply preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism implications of acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with respect to prior non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology as
‘<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence  of phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies<-in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ as to their ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ points to the supervening-conflatedness reflexivity of existence, wherein the ontological-veracity/ontological-performance of ‘phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies<-in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>—in—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence,<-of-‘surrealistic-as-pseudoreal’–epistemic-abnormalcy> phenomena/manifestations are transepistemically/epistemic-ricochettingly construed as of their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as can be validated by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; as for instance, such an existential constraining as a child-as-a-subpotency epistemic-conception coming into existence undergoes developmental metaphoricity as of its inherent supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as the defining-and-superseding basis for its acquisition of culture and language all along the way of its entire devolving possibility of flourishing in conflatedness-as-of-its-developing-commitment-with-existence as from its feeding, warmth, relating, aspiring, maturing, etc. towards the effective acquisition of culture and language, and by extension a social-setup-as-a-subpotency epistemic-conception is structurally/paradigmatically opened to prospective metaphoricity from existential-constraining/conflatedness-of-its-commitment-with-existence as of its inherently implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as with individuals and social groups are naturally involved in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving in conflatedness to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self due to its corresponding lack of ‘intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) for prospective relative-ontological-completeness that can then allow for the requisite ‘acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflected as of singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In this regard, we can more specifically appreciate the central and transformative implications of the Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation as of the prospective universalisation registry-worldview/dimension ‘social-construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, wherein such prospective ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ as induced by the Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation construed as universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism inducing the secondnatured institutionalisation of the universalisation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance ‘specific bottomline–of-mere-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’ brought about the coherently universalising construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology with the associated elevated level of ontological-performance as manifested with the Socratic method for universal consistency and coherence, Plato’s ideas for universal consistency and coherence and Aristotle’s qualifying-categories and universalising-syllogism for universal consistency and
coherence; thus superseding/transcending the ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and
doctrine/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset as of base-institutionalisation mere rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’. This is the more profound explanation for the hegemonising ontological-grip thereafter of the Socratic philosophers defining universalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology thereafter over the antiquity and their defining relevance in the latter meaningfulness-and-teleology of all the medieval societies of the Mediterranean and beyond, and so especially as the increasing population mixing thereafter particularly with the Roman empire naturally required/called-for ‘universally coherent, consistent and credible meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that went well beyond traditional ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and doctrine/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset; as of the knowledge reifying capacity-and-template for developing and cumulating such universalising-idealisation coherence and consistency across culturally diverse peoples and across space and time. The Socratic philosophers crucial and defining emphasis for differentiating themselves from sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation was very much a self-conscious insight as of the requisite parrhesiastic gesturing of ‘intemporal antikrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming–‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to–‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language–(imbued—averaging-of-thought–<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of
human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ to allow for the requisite
universalising-idealisation ‘acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflected as of
singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the
meaningfulness-and-teleology’; which otherwise would be highly underminable as of a
predisposition to ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and sophistic/pedantic ad-
hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset by which populist
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}) could
easily be elicited were the Socratic philosophers to imply dialogical-equivalence and
intellectual-and-moral-equivalence as of common/mutual
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring whereas in reality there were of
dissimilar apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as to
imply such sophistic/pedantic dispositions were rather in ‘apriorising-teleological-
degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’, and it was more critically a
question of upholding universalising-idealisation reifying meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism representation is wrongly singularised/immanented while postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism representation is wrongly dissingularised/not-immanent. This actually points out why dialogical-inequivalence/intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence as of ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’ is associated with sophistic/pedantic representations as knowledge as well as temporal manifestations of postlogism-slantedness and conjugated-postlogism manifestations including psychopathy and social-psychopathy as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview. While as of human-subpotency temporal <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag we may be inclined to construe of the notion of dialogical-equivalence as absolutely requisite, the fact is dialogical-equivalence cannot supersede existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation
implications where its eliciting is structurally/paradigmatically flawed for the simple reason that knowledge as of implied underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is structurally/paradigmatically flawed for the simple reason that knowledge as of implied underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is structurally/paradigmatically flawed for the simple reason that knowledge as of implied underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. -for-explicating-ontological-contiguity is all about existence-potency-prospective-digression-of--<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,--in-epistemic-confledness and not about human sovereignty; in the sense that for instance gravity on earth as 9.8 m/s² doesn’t heed to any human sovereignty exercise as of dialogue as the latter is only as pertinent as it structurally/paradigmatically implies an intermediative process for the deferred-outcome as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of--<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,--in-epistemic-confledness but not otherwise, and as being subpotent with existence it is the human that has to ensure that its meaningfulness-and-teleology coincides with existential veracity, such that where dialogical-equivalence is wrongly implied and thus likely to undermine existence-potency-prospective-digression-of--<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,--in-epistemic-confledness what gives in is the false notion of dialogical-equivalence. This is equally reflected in the idea that the acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument of meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather as of the implication of relative-ontological-completeness associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) from the perspective of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of--<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,--in-epistemic-confledness as to-

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Thus acuity/perspicacity(astuteness/edginess/incisiveness)—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of the-very-same-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,–as-to–‘human<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ or <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, rather points to the fact that meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘is not to be construed as accumulated/in-accumulation’ but that it is effectively ‘as recomposured in prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ as of <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought since existence or purviews-of-existence ever always structurally/paradigmatically remain the same and it is human-subpotency that is ever always undergoing its transcendence-and-sublimity not by cumulating but rather by ‘recomposuring construal of existence or purviews-of-existence’; and this further explains why secondnature
institutionalisation reasoning-from-results/afterthought, induced as from parrhesiastic messianic-reason/reasoning-through, will tend to act as if meaningfulness-and-teleology is accumulated/in-accumulation thus ending up beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-

in-existential-extraction-as-of-existential-unthought> ‘instigating enframed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument institutional-setups and meaningfulness-and-teleology implications that are poorly amenable to

(formative)epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’, and so structurally/paradigmatically limiting the possibility of prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity but for the instigation of prospective parrhesiastic messianic-reason/reasoning-through beyond/overflowing such existentialising—enframing. Critically just as ‘prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ is associated with acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of-

(formative)epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
qualia-schema’ engaging with interlocutors rather in temporal
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought as of <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-
of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>},
wherein the last narratives as of pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness induces ontologically-
flawed sense of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-
as-referentialism in the interlocutor notwithstanding the postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-
looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>, as what is always pertinent for the
narrator is the pseudo-rationalising of all prior narratives into-and-as-of the last narrative(s).
The more simplistic example of such pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness is with the
childhood psychopathy example of spilling water on a chair and accusing another and the
dragging out of its postlogism-slantedness narratives as the simpler/uncomplexified
representation of the adult psychopathy postlogism-slantedness mental-disposition, and this
further points to the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity when such pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness
phenomenon is rather at the level of maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness
associated with adult psychopathy and associated social psychopathy, or as we can appreciate
as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
determinacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor manifestations of sophistic/pedantic dispositions
social eliciting of <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-
ought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
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wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>) whether as traditional witchdoctors, the sophists, medieval-pedants or in many ways intellectual-muddlement-{blurring/undermining-of-prospective-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-


and in this regards, the futural possibility of developing-and-cumulating the capacity-and-template for the renewed and more profound meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective deprocrypticism preempts—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-

or-confliction’; such that while recognising the human-subpotency epistemic-veracity perspective of say a given social-setup attributing an ailment to say magic, this doesn’t override the notion of inherent ontological-veridicality as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective wherein modern society in relative-ontological-completeness attributes the ailment to say flu. In order words, sovereign commitments, recognised as of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation, do not override the pre-eminence of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional–projective-perspective, in which case no human transcendence-and-sublimity will be possible. Stated another way, if Einstein’s or Bohr’s seminal theories were viewed say unfavourably by the physics community of their time as of their sovereign predisposition, that wouldn’t annul the ontological-veracity of their theories even if Einstein or Bohr were to acquiesce to that sovereign predisposition over their own theories, for the simple reason that knowledge is constructed as of the absolute dominance of intrinsic-reality as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness over the mortals that we as human beings are in order for transcendence-and-sublimity to be possible; and that reality with respect to knowledge doesn’t speak of totalitarianism as will often be sophisticatedly usurped when it comes to the blurriness of the social domain-of-study, as the charge of totalitarianism can only apply with respect to sovereign choice. Further a <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal equally points out that the-very-same-immanent-
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,-as-to-
‘human<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal’ or any
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality does not imply the structural/paradigmatic change of
existence-as-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity but rather that change is the outcome of
human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
involving ontological-dentation/dialectical-dentation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of
prospective postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism representation
and prior preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism representation; with the
implication here that the issue of knowledge is all about developing human-subpotency
towards existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. The
conflatedness of existential-contextualising-contiguity in the natural sciences is often poorly
perceived inherently because of their subject-matter/domain-of-study implicated nature of
philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-
ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-
enable-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’; such that it is
often wrongly construed in atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness as of elaboration-as-
mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-
existential-contextualising-contiguity but with little consequence since such an
atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness is generally an ontologically-flawed afterthought
reflection/contemplation whereas operantly beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> scientists generally adopt a
conflatedness of existential-contextualising-contiguity posture. The reality of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness here is validated by the fact that ‘abstract scientific notions are not the point-of-departure scientists contemplation’ as they are rather ‘delved in existential-contextualising-contiguity in <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications‚-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity conflatedness to then reflect abstract scientific notions in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification or depart from existential-contextualising-contiguity already reified abstract scientific notions to then reflect further abstract scientific notions in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’. For instance, we can appreciate that physics never establish any absolute atomising/taken-into-pieces notion of say atoms, space, time, energy, etc. on which it merely then go on to be constituting meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge as physics knowledge-reification. Rather we can better appreciate the occurrence of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications‚-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal in the sense that our ordinary thought process itself is as of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity construal of notions like space, time, force, etc. with no absolutely given point of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness even when we may harbour such a confusion, and likewise the development of theories say Cartesian, Newtonian, Einsteinian, String theory, etc. are equally <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating as to the fact that these imply various ways of reconceptualising the notions of space, time, force, etc. as of the precedence of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of existential-contextualising-contiguity of such notions like space, time, force, etc. in <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications‚-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity conflatedness to then articulate their abstract/theoretical notions/conceptualisations of space, time, force, etc.; thus there isn’t any absolutely identitive atomising/taking-to-pieces notions of space, time, force, etc. which are ‘constituted once-and-for-all to later on build/reify physics knowledge as of progressive constituting’ but rather physics knowledge is always epistemic-retotalising/re-holistic of ‘the very same physics notions and their derived implications of new notions’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

\langle\text{amplituding}\rangle \text{formative}\rangle \text{epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence — as-sublimating-withdrawal, -eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} \rangle \text{ hermeneutics in avoiding-and-superseding any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. We can appreciate that the atomising/taking-to-pieces disposition that is often wrongly sought in other domains-of-study is often ontologically-flawed because it fails to see that ‘the more elaborate panintelligibility–inlining nature of existential-contextualising-contiguity in epistemic conflatedness in their domains-of-study’ implies that their knowledge-reification should increasingly be explicitly holistic/nested-congruence as to the hermeneutics involved in avoiding-and-superseding any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, as even the natural sciences are implicitly epistemically holistic by the mere fact of the ‘precedence of existential-contextualising-contiguity in } \langle\text{amplituding}\rangle \text{formative}\rangle \text{epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications, -for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in epistemic conflatedness to which their abstract notions are aligned’ as well as so-implied by their foregrounding—entailment-} \langle\text{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, -eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation in reflecting ‘immanent-ontological-contiguity’}\rangle, -\text{as-operative-notional–deprocrypticism orientations which drives their knowledge-reification gesturing for unification as to ontological-contiguity as not just an idle quest; and this misconstrual is further reflected by the fact that the life sciences (as of their
axiomatic-construct ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding-as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’) have a more inherently elaborate panintelligibility–inlining nature of existential-contextualising-contiguity supervening-conflicatedness thus rendering its methodology more explicitly holistic and teleological even as it is often naively and wrongly construed as ‘a relatively weaker natural science’ from a naïve epistemic constitutedness perspective. This underlying <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating existential-contextualising-contiguity insight reflects ecstatic-existence’s supervening-conflicatedness as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>; wherein inherently ‘more immediate epistemically constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ domains-of-study like physics and the natural sciences generally are of a less elaborate existential-contextualising-contiguity conceptualisation nature in epistemic conflatedness and can thus be ontologically-falsely be perceived as being of atomising/taking-to-pieces epistemic constitutedness while inherently ‘less immediate epistemically constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ domains-of-study like the social domains-of-study are more of an elaborate existential-contextualising-contiguity conceptualisation nature in epistemic conflatedness that speaks to the need for their appropriate holistic hermeneutic depth of ontological-construal, and in both cases in reflecting the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) hermeneutics involved in avoiding-and-superseding any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness for construing their veridical historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. In many ways the
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> implies the ‘primacy of a
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity basis for conception due to human
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ as ‘existence doesn’t
wait for the human to incrementally have the complete picture’ and thus it is ‘the human
subject who has to aspire maximalisingly to conform-as-of-its-self-consciousness-growth
with existence in a <amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity conception’, and this further
indicts our traditional conception of induction as being epistemically incremental wrongly
construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness that underlies
dispositions for <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag because of ‘failure to draw
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity as of displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject and
wrongly construing presencing—absolutising-identtitve-constitutedness situations as of
absolute/absolutising grounding’, whereas in reality human
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence rather points out that
the epistemic-veracity of induction is rather as of ‘maximalising
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity’ (which is rather as of epistemic-retotalising/re-holistic of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as to <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness with regards to successive
inductions) rightly construed as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
completeness and ‘totalitatively involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
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universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure or subsequent positivising/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure transform human potentiation construed as ‘human-subpotency convergence to existence’ with regards respectively to the specific base-institutionalisation or rational-empiricism/positivism self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of the specific Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. This self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self notion is what deflates such ‘issues implied with regards to human sovereign options/choice or freewill’ and ‘issues of natural determinism beyond human sovereign options/choice or freewill’, as human self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology implies ‘induced human potentiation of sovereign options/choice or freewill that invalidate natural determinism’. In this regards we can appreciate for instance that with the positivism/rational-empiricism modern society’s disease theory, parents failing to figure out that a baby is likely to get sick if kept in dirty surroundings due to bacteria and germs as well that high temperature is a sign that the baby needs medical care, such that were it to be established that the baby develops a serious medical condition because of such failure of parental care then the human potentiation of freewill of the parents is engaged with regards to the parents responsibilities as of the self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of our positivism/rational-empiricism Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, however, supposed a similar situation arises in a non-positivistic social-setup with the parents acting that way because of say animistic beliefs that are utterly normal in the given animistic social-setup then it is difficultly the case that the human-potentiation of freewill of the parents is
engaged with regards to their responsibilities as of the self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of their non-positivism/animistic Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (as the relative-ontological-incompleteness in the latter case renders it as an ‘ought indeterminacy’ while the relative-ontological-completeness in the former case renders it as an ‘is determinacy’); but then, a general underlying human potentiation of freewill of all humans is engaged passively to the effect that prospective relative-ontological-completeness inducing prospective self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self reflected as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in deflating human vices-and-impediments, necessarily warrants all humans to effectively aspire-for/be-receptive-to prospective relative-ontological-completeness. And such a more broad construal of freewill and natural determinism implications can be contemplated as elaborated elsewhere herein with regards to akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex; thus akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex further implies that the very state of unwariness with respect to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic disposition is structurally/paradigmatically potently conducive/endemising/enculturating to its vices-and-impediments, and as the very possibility for prospective ontological-performance arises as of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as of its ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. Can we wish that we don’t have understanding whether directly, or indirectly as of reifying deferential-formalisation-transference, so that we aren’t intellectually-and-morally accountable then? How can we
reconcile the fact that given human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence the possibility for prospective human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation enabling transcendence-and-sublimity could only arise as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that had no prior effective knowledge and virtue reference to go on to prospectively ‘invent’ reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning knowledge and virtue before the institutionalising of such reasoning-from-results/afterthought emancipatory possibilities, and then contend to make any given reasoning-from-results/afterthought knowledge and virtue limits intellectually and morally deterministic as of a nihilistic <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)? In this regard, the anti-nihilist stance implies that the very first notion of human ontological-performance as of human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~thrownness-in-existence induced anxiety lies in the fact that as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, humankind has the relative capacity to build and/or adhere to prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibilities. Further, in the specific instances it is important to recognise that natural determinism invalidation of sovereign options/choice or freewill ‘applies critically only as of poor self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implications arising from the underdevelopment of Being/ontological-framework-expansion or self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self incapacity as of say insanity’, and not necessarily as of lack of new knowledge-construct or technical-development; in the sense that say a criminal that had gone uncaught before a new technical-development like DNA testing
establishes their criminal responsibility as of human potentiation, cannot talk of natural determinism implications as a defence just as covert predispositions associated with vices-and-impediments as of ‘self-conscious drive’ cannot be qualified to be of natural determinism implications when unmasked. Reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-

aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation> ‘speaking epistemically with respect to the overall phenomenal/manifest–subpotencies-<in-transitive-

conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> including human-subpotency epistemic-perspective’, inherently reflects the veridical-epistemic-determinism as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-

totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness in the construal of any such phenomenal/manifest–subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-

teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-

meaningfulness’, with human-subpotency ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-

teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instnatiative-devolving-

meaningfulness’ effectively construable in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-

human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-

framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-

meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The overall implied notion of ‘intemporality-asymmetric-

subsumption-of-temporality’ as advanced here is one of supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing over subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rather as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-
correspondence. Such a mental-disposition of substituting old reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with new ones of prospective registry-
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worldview/dimension as implied by \(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising–renewing–}

realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of institutional moulting underlies the concept of ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-

reprojecting, in dealing with the fact that by reflex all registry-worldviews/dimensions are structured not to construe of their very own prospective transcendence, and thus relating to their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology–for-intemporal-

preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation on an incrementalism-in-

relative-ontological-incompleteness basis as ‘absolute by the mere form’ whether failing/not-

upholding-\(<\text{as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing}>\intemptoral-preservation-entropy-or-

contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at the uninstitutionalised-threshold. The non-

positivistic animistic or medieval social setup as of its incrementalism-in-relative-

ontological-incompleteness disposition coming into grips with the positivistic interlocutor’s purpose will probably construe it as most contemptuous by its construal of existential-

contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-

completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (whether as of its rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,–\{as ‘first-

level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument\} of base-

institutionalisation/animism or as of its universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-

rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,–\{as ‘second-level presencing—

absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument\} of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism), though we know from an ontological-

normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective that the positivistic existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism inclined agent given its ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting can effectively forego the normally construed positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as projected <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology} failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ‘valued-viability’ to expend on a ‘so-construed most important work’ that can be done in a positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, as of prospective institutionalisation into deprocrypticism (more like an archaeologist might don on dirty clothing and dig their hands in mud and rubbish ‘like an animal’ to find out about the treasures that are human histories); and by that equally implying prospectively the decentering and dialectical-dementation of positivism–procrypticism <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}). Such an insight can be appreciated as with the instance in the non-positivistic community where the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will most likely not necessarily perceive and construe the ‘achievement motives and temporal-stakes in animistic or medieval lives and living’ in the non-positivistic social-setup as ‘grandest living’ but rather the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘of positivistic transcendental institutionalisation projection over the animistic or medieval setup as much more of existential worth’ from its vantage ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
epistemic/notional-projective-perspective. There is nothing inherently wrong with achievement motives across all registry-worldviews/dimensions conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. However, with regards to a prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s \textit{(amplituding)formative} wooden-language-(\textit{imbued—averaging-of-thought—}\textit{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}) denaturing of meaningfulness-and-teleology so construed prospectively, whether as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism, such motives are necessarily superseded-and-overridden or subsumed-as-supplanted or transvaluated in the bigger picture of human eternalising aspiration as of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation mental-disposition of ‘inventing’ the successive becoming possibilities in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as inducing successively base-institutionalisation, universalisation, rational-empiricism/positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism; as going by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ across retrospective and by implication prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions. To rather assume the notion that ‘achievement motives across all registry-worldviews/dimensions conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing \textit{(amplituding)formative} wooden-language-(\textit{imbued—averaging-of-thought—}\textit{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—}
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) so-construed prospectively’ take precedence and are not ‘necessarily superseded-and overridden or subsumed-as-supplanted or transvaluated in the bigger picture of human intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the intemporal individuation mental-disposition of ‘inventing’ the successive becoming possibilities in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, comes with the contradictory implication that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} so-construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, which contradictorily as well, as ‘biting the hand of such intemporal inventing’, should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} so-construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, which contradictorily as well, as ‘biting the hand of such intemporal inventing’, should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-<imbuied—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) so-
construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ positivism—
procrypticism (that is, paradoxically we shouldn’t be existing today!), and which
contradictorily as well, as ‘biting the hand of such intemporal inventing’, itself should not be
transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally,
family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-<imbuied—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) so-
construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ prospective
deprocrypticism, rather reflecting intellectual absurdity; and speaking rather besides a natural
weakness of human incapacity that can arise and do arise as a result of our limited-mentation-
capacity rendering us unconscious/unaware/as-of-the-poorer-halves-of-ourselves which is
fathomable/understandable, of a graver problem if that was to be the case even when we then
‘understand’, of intellectual-and-moral irresponsibility of failing/not-upholding-<as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to do our own ‘homework’ with respect to our
forerunners in the bigger notion of the human species continuous emancipation. In order
words, the most vital human activities has to do, whether as of a consciously aware or
unconscious nature, with the ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-
conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting that enables human memetic-rescheduling
(institutional-recomposure/psychoanalytic-unshackling) as from recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation to present day positivism–procrypticism and prospectively
deprocrypticism; together with the idea that by the very intemporal essence of that
‘inventing’ it is inappropriate to construe such institutional-being-and-craft construct as a framework of temporal extricatory paradigm relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology (undermining the implied reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, by adhering by flaw rather to the <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology> as deterministic thus subknowledging/mimicking the non-veridical hollow/empty form of the meaning of narratives, and strangely enough ‘reflecting’ the uninstitutionalised-threshold, represented ontologically as decentered and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism), but rather appreciative of the intemporal mental-disposition (as ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality) behind the mental projection associated with and contributing to such institutional-being-and-craft ‘inventing’. But then transcendental constructs of meaningfulness going beyond the ‘conventioning limits’ of a given registry-worldview/dimension by definition are not actually perceived as ‘most critical in value’ going by ‘intradimensional conventions’ which define registry-worldviews/dimensions ontological and virtue limits; the effort of a Socrates, Galileo, Diderot, Copernicus as of implying a prospective reference-of-thought of meaningfulness, is an afterthought social recognition by the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought institutionalisation, not the social recognition of their own registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought (as the prior/transcended/superseded), as transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology involves psychical and institutional recomposuring of high contrariety implications to human temporality/shortness as putting
into question the present as prior/old, but then the vocation of all transcendence as all knowledge is not about being responsive to the mortals that we are (including this author’s mortality as anyone’s else) as of social-aggregation-enabling but rather responsive to relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating of an intersolipsistic nature. It is equally important to grasp that transcendence is the more profound origination of reference-of-thought that enables knowledge conceptualisations, and that the praxis of knowledge may naively be construed as non-transcendental. So all knowledge is actually transcendental and this is not to be confused with its distance/remoteness as coming from the ‘transcendental origination of the reference-of-thought of the knowledge’ (whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or prospectively deprocrypticism knowledge), and the idea of neutral/equable knowledge is a ‘mental complex of institutional inherence’ arising from incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness naivety, as if a given institutionalised reference-of-thought for knowledge has always been that way. By its very nature as construed from relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating and not social-aggregation-enabling, transcendence (transcendental knowledge) cannot be construed as a neutral/equable exercise that doesn’t involve contrariety, as it implies superseding the prior reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with the prospective one for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in contrast to a naïve incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-reflex. The idea that knowledge-as-virtue will be obtained neutrally and be inserted in the social-construct neutrally is rather a simplistic/naïve virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal, as at best
such knowledge is not really neutral but rather remote/distant as coming from the ‘transcendental origination of the reference-of-thought of the knowledge’. For instance, scientific discoveries and our liberal notions today are grounded on the transcendental origination of positivistic modern scientific knowledge and liberal thinking reference-of-thought established and developed from the days of the Newtons, Galileos, Pasteurs, Copernicus, Descartes, Rousseaux, etc. who and others, then were transcendental as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination in their positivistic outlook relative to other outlooks then like alchemy, essences, mysticism, serfdom, feudalism, etc., while equally inducing high social contrariety then to supersedingly establish our positivistic psyche leading to corresponding institutionalisation implications like the culture of science, notions of human rights, etc.; and we now take for granted today such a scientific disposition by the low temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction but right back in their epoch this elicited a high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The point here is to highlight that where the need for ‘reappraisal of reference-of-thought’ arises as for prospective transcendence, it will be naïve to imply that knowledge is neutral failing/not-upholding<-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to register that all knowledge is the outcome of transcendence as ‘reappraisals of references-of-thought’ and inducing their corresponding prospective psychologisms (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights). Effectively, the wrong argument of knowledge neutrality is actually the argument of the prior transcendence of reference-of-thought that enabled it to be as of the present reference-of-thought, as a statement of knowledge neutrality respectively in
non-positivism/medieval or positivism registry-worldviews/dimensions are just naively asserting respectively the former or the latter as the reference-of-thought for knowledge; implying that a mental-disposition doesn’t naturally factor in its very own relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Hence it is rather ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that is the viable construing reference of knowledge with its transcendence implications for completing the reference-of-thought, and so not only with regards to transcendence of retrospective registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought but equally with the implication of transcendence for prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as so validated by ontological-normalcy/postconvergernce. This insight about a more succinct social reality as of human institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-facets is critically vital for the appraisal of psychopathy and social-psychopathy as social manifestation of postlogism as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> within the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension ‘dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. The social dynamics of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction as elicited in psychopathy and social psychopathy are more decisively determined by its induced ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-〈transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-〈(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) hence speaking of the positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation; wherein prospective institutionalising-facet insight will construe perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> while prospective uninstitutionalising-facet insight will rather overlook such implied denaturing as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-〈in-existential-extrication-as-of
existential-unthought>. This very much mirrors such a dichotomy as articulated before within the same social space of relative perception of social-stake-contention-or-confliction at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold defining its very notions of lawfulness and lawlessness, social-functioning and social dysfunction, accordance and discordance, probity and corruption, principledness and unprincipledness, etc. across the full breadth and depth of human institutions dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction at that uninstitutionalised-threshold especially as of generalised-and-all-pervasive extended-informality. Such a dichotomy points out the reality in positivism–procrypticism that the construal of psychopathy and social psychopathy is in effect a social construction wherein while prospective institutionalisation mental-disposition relates-to-and-construes-a-narrative-of grave institutional implications of phenomenal psychopathy as of the social dichotomy notions implied above, and so as of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition will mostly construe irrelevance-and-benignancy as of temporal extricatory paradigm. This is very much in sync with the reality that at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold human solipsistic mental-dispositions are temporal-to-intemporal with the implication that such intemporal mental-orientation as ontology divulging is just one mental-disposition among others such that any such pre-eminence arises only as of positive opportunity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework induced untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the middle to long run or cross-generationally as intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality. This dichotomy of contradictory narratives explains why it is the bigger framework of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that perfectly grasp in sync a superseding institutionalising
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in deprocrypticism conflatedness and so over procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought denaturing and harkening back in undermining psychopathy and social psychopathy as the more specific individuation-level denaturing. Interestingly this construing of psychopathy and social psychopathy within a dichotomy of institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions with respect to dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction is very much reflective of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, as we can grasp the veracity/ontological-pertinence of this uninstitutionalised-threshold dichotomy more transparently with regards to say non-positivism/medievalism postlogism manifestation like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. We know that such incidents associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery speak of the more profound relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought issue wherein the incidental denaturing of such manifestations reflected a social denaturing of the registry-worldview/dimension itself as non-positivistic and susceptible to endemise/enculturate superstitiousness as of the ‘dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. And in both instances it is the corresponding institutionalising aetiologisation/ontological-escalation conflatedness directed to the bigger and subsuming issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought for inducing deprocrypticism over procrypticism or positivism over non-positivism/medievalism respectively that harkens back to undermine in a decisive and nonextricatory and non-palliative manner the associated postlogisms. Conflatedness as such implies an utter shift as the curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought thus superseding the curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought now being construed as preconverging-or-dementing-and-decentered-prior-
institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as denaturing.] The defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) comparison can equally be used to illustrate how slanting is different from lying. Insightfully, we can grasp that the fundamental defect of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument just as with slanting arising as a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception explains why it keeps on falsely presupposing new narratives in deception just as a defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements systematically keeps on making wrong aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–purpose—of-obtained-measurements (systematically flawed meaningfulness) as its fundamental registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
existential–defect> (in registry-worldview terms of implications). On the other hand, a lying deception is tantamount to undertaking an inappropriate measurement-as-of-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–purpose (flaw logical-
processssing/act-execution-implicitation meaningfulness) with an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that is not defective (thus appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness). This point to the ad-hoc nature of lying deception wherein there is nothing inherent that precludes subsequent appropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-
in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation meaningfulness where the contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) are resolved. In the bigger scheme of things (at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional level) postlogism epistemic-decadence and
suprastructuring positivistic mental-disposition). This technique of mentally grasping the psychopath and other postlogic minds is by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting a ‘distractive-or-circumventive-mental-alignment-or-postlogism’ (explained further in the text) as against an ‘integrative-mental-alignment-or-prelogism’ (the latter being the normal reflex by which the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind ordinarily aligns to meaning, and it is this mental-alignment reflex to meaning that makes it difficult to truly grasp the psychopath’s and other postlogic mental-dispositions which mental-alignment are rather as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to meaningfulness).

Paradoxically, this is the fundamental strength of psychopathy, i.e. to get the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind to wrongly elevate psychopathic meaningfulness-and-teleology as of veridical ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’ rather than reflect the reality of its ‘formulaic meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which is ‘meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated’. So when we talk about psychopathy we are talking about perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> rather than logical defect (defect of logical operation/processing/contention). This distinction is critical. Why? Basically, meaning is what defines/predicates value, thought and action. Meaning has two elementary aspects: reference-of-thought or axioms or categorical-imperatives (reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, by the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and logic (logical-operation/processing/contention/implicitation-of-act-execution, and so, ‘fundamentally and validatorily’ on the basis of sound reference-of-thought–categorical-
infinite possibility of logical faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge arising where the reference-of-thought-elements are wrongly implied as of existential-reality. Normally we assume that everyone is sound of mind (that is, assume everyone operates by soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, with contention arising by reflex rather with respect to logical coherence and not the soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in the first place) so ‘we don’t tend to question the being/ontological/existential veridicality of reference-of-thought—(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’). But with the phenomenon of psychopathy, this is a critical flaw at its adulthood stage, as at its childhood stage the ‘deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect’ of the implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry and its elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology is rather obvious and we don’t normally process/operate logically the childhood psychopathy’s non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives since ‘we just invalidate those apriorising—registry-elements to start with as not of being/ontological/existential veridicality’. For instance in the case above, where John were to witness Dad punish his sister Mary for spilling water on a chair, and by ‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of meaning’ (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical POSSIBILITY OF IT BEING FORMULAICALLY NARRATED) determines that if in a ‘dereifying act’ he spilt some water on a chair and said it was Peter, Peter will be punish by dad; dad, however, having an ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity sense/projection of meaning’ doesn’t even dare to operate/process the logic articulated by John (a logic which in-of-itself while utterly sound technically, but is actually irrelevant in the given context by its fundamental logical-undueness as of its unsound-reference-of-thought/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion) as he simply engages his unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought by way of distractive-alignment-to-
reference-of-thought and then reflect the reference-of-thought or registry-teleology of John as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation or mental-perversion in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. In so doing determines that John is ‘manifesting a mental defect’ and more so, not an ad-hoc defect—of-logical-processing—logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning—and-accordance, but rather registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect—as-Being—or-ontological—or-existential—defect that speaks to how John may act in many other similar situations, i.e. epistemic-decadence (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—as-mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema—as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness—and-failing—intemporal-preservation in postlogic-backtracking—iterative-looping—‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’) by the denaturing of the reference-of-thought or the soundness—or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of meaning over which denaturing he tries to get interlocutors to operate/process logic; and ‘is not even contending and that he is the subject of prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation contention about his perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation'/mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’. The above is the fundamental nature of psychopathy and ‘it should not be lost even more critically at the adulthood stage and the corollary of social psychopathy’ as increasingly prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds will tend to align to adult psychopaths and
instances-and-locales’aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. The underlying fact about meaningfulness-and-teleology is that the apriorising–registry (as the individual grounding of the reference-of-thought of the social-construct registry-worldview/dimension) precedes logic as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing basis for logic. For instance, if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children, etc. The logical operation is entirely right and sound in abstract terms but does the apriorising–registry (reference-of-thought) apply? I.e. The faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is not with regards to the logic (which is technically true) but with the ‘implied’ denaturing of the elements of the apriorising–registry as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which are: implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape (the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape doesn’t exist since the psychopath doesn’t know the guy), implied-profile (the psychopath is projecting a false representation of itself and the situation), implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation (the psychopath has no stature to talk about the guy he doesn’t know), implied-assumptions (the assumptions implying the psychopath’s relationship with the guy and the guy’s relationship with children doesn’t exist), implied-value-reference (the psychopath’s elicitation of a sense of value reference in the interlocutor is unfounded and ridiculous) and implied-teleology (the psychopath’s articulation of a sense of purpose on its interlocutor about the guy is hollow mimicking). Finally, the psychopath has articulated a lot of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge but none to do with logic, but everything to do with the denaturing of registry/axiom/categorical-imperatives or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, i.e., slanting-deception or deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts or deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives or deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-
preconverging-or-dementing-of-narratives! So with the psychopath, you don’t watch the logic, you watch out for the reference-of-thought/apriorising–registry for mental-perversion or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! Not only that, it is important to note that this unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation do protract and an ignorant prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind acting prelogically (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) on such postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives is ‘technically psychopathic as well’ as they are in hollow-constituting-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to the psychopath’s ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking-iterative-looping-’set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. This is known as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration (whether conjugated to in ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation), which is to be construed as ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and once it is induced by ignorance it leads to an undermining of ‘deductive social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) which protects the internal-coherence of
of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ and ‘conjugated-
potlogism cohering-slanted unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought derived-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (preconverging-or-
dementing-integration)’; as in successive postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-
dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> and corresponding conjugated-postlogic conjoining
of the iterating narratives, the succeeding changing/decentering/non-cohering foci (thus
revealing the ‘deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect’ as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-
of-reference-of-thought inducing the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism
which is particularly obvious at childhood psychopathy but its perception easily gets lost at
adult psychopathy with psychopath increasing maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-
accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction) are constantly modified with
circumstantial hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-
failing-intemporal-preservation> by ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-
reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-
the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’; and so in order to wrongly imply the apriorising–
reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements as the foundation for its faulty-
mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge. However, the natural level of human interlocution
engagement ‘is not the enlightenment of the retracing of an interlocutor’s sets-of-narratives’
(as this could vary anywhere from say a few days or weeks to years of supplanting–
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism engagement, for such an insight to arise), but rather as of ‘specific singular
circumstantial narrative of interlocution without a comprehensive existential-contextualising-
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conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation issue, such that one salient manifestation of conjugated-postlogism arises with many of such an interlocutor vaguely articulating propositions based on such falsely ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’). The idea that the ‘natural level of human interlocution engagement is a perpetuation’ can be understood insightfully with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism setup wherein a contention arising in non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought terms when invalidated positivistic terms doesn’t imply that such interlocutors will instantly dramatically change their reference-of-thought into the positivistic terms with their successive contentions (due to \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}\), as their reference-of-thought remains rather in non-positivism/medievalism circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability, and in the big picture in all likelihood can only be ‘weaned from’ cross-generationally as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Likewise the ‘natural basis of human interlocutory engagement tends to be perpetuating’ when it comes with psychopathy and social psychopathy with respect to its eliciting of a ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold-(as-procrypticism)’, thus equally implying a \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\rangle\text{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}\) circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the reference-of-thought as of the uninstitutionalised-threshold or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Thus the central notion for preemption psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism is
the ‘retracing of their sets-of-narratives as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’. That revealing unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of the traces of sets-of-narratives is analogous to resolving a list of BODMAS equations where the solution of the first equation is a variable of the second equation and whose solution is a variable of the third equation whose solution is a variable of the fourth; and where the first equation is fundamentally flawed (as of an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument flaw, for instance), systematically the three other equations will be wrong whether by (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) mental-disposition to resolve the equation of the traditional arithmetic principles as reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation without factoring that such reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are only as pertinent (not by habit or tradition or expediency) but as of when they are truly for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or ontological-normalcy to then articulate the necessary ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ over naïve elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (as of ⟨amplituding⟩formative⟩wooden-language-{imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. This is most apparent with childhood psychopathy as with the dereifying example of spilling water on a chair where it is directly obvious there is no elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity to be had/entertained nor any logical analysis but rather maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness invalidating that the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape of the child psychopath who deliberately in a ‘dereifying act’ spills water on the chair to accuse another even exists, its implied-profile is ridiculous, just as its implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation, its implied-assumptions, its implied-value-reference and its implied-teleology (or sense-of-purpose), and such an approach will equally extend with regards to social psychopathy where by ignorance at best or ‘other cynical temporal manifestations as of conjugating affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation’ an interlocutor was to falsely imply the need for logical analysis in order to falsely validate the foundational faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge of the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’.

This phenomenon of the ‘social protraction of psychopathy across individuals and society’ can be articulated as follows. It is important to grasp that the mechanism of SLANTING as of compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising is actually about ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-
narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-
‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-
aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. The
suspected psychosomatic basis for the psychopath to be slanted/‘cinglé’ is a ‘faulty-
mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge (entitlement folie/folie raisonnable)’ as opposed to a
logical motivation of a supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogic mental-disposition.
It is as if ‘the psychopath’s mental state is to take a faulty-mentation-procedure-shortcut’ to
the normal process of prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation logical
articulation with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-
confliction’. Going by the example highlighted above, say for instance the interlocutor finds
out that the other stranger isn’t really a child molester. The psychopath simply articulates
another postlogic/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-
dueness/formulaic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-
possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) over the previous narrative, and so in
‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-
or-prelogism-basis’. For instance, by saying (in a different social spatial location where the
interlocutor cannot verify the underlying contextual reality) it is critical that the stranger
should not be taking young children in his house as it suspiciously points to a molester
(which is certainly a sound statement but rather being parasitised for a perverse purpose of
‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-
or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers, as the
statement, not to take young children into his house, is sanctifying/as-not-requiring-any-
further-contemplation to many a mental-disposition). Even if this latter narrative is proven to
be false (as it is another perversion-of-reference-of-thought--<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or mental-perversion demonstrable as above with it faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the logic itself, but in wrongly implying as existentially real the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology such that the mere fact of engaging logically with it validates these fundamental falsehood as a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge paving the way for an infinite possibility of second-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge operating logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation on such false axioms. Thus, with respect to postlogism generally what is critical for the psychopath/postlogic-mindset is to be seen as being prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism even if it is a perception of ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ since that will validate the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ on the basis that it was the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation that was wrong hence the possibility and credibility not to question the reference-of-thought/apriorising–registry/categorical-imperatives/axioms and to re-engage logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—
supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation by ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation re-engaging reflex’ wrongly turning the issue into one of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation instead of construing a perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought manifestation’). The psychopath simply needs to loop another non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative over the previous one in ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers. What is critical for the psychopath is that ‘the last postlogic/formulaic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated’ allows its interlocutors to prelogically ‘rationalise’ (align in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation to or prelogism, at-a-pedestal,-in-this-case-ignorance-pedestal) the other narratives even if there are all ‘non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives’. This might further involve juggling such hollow mimicking in hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking—<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic among different set-of-interlocutors (this is simply because postlogism in hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> operates by extrinsic-attribution, i.e. who can I convince to make my argument right as per ‘perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness’ unlike postlogism as prelogism which operates by intrinsic-attribution, i.e. what is intrinsically real to uphold ontological virtue as per ‘existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at’), and inducing
mutual misconstruing; and the reason for a perpetual psychopath’s extrinsic-attribution inclination is that the outcome of its postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (which is an unusual and rare social experience given that a psychopathic personality and postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> are an outlying phenomenon) with one set-of-interlocutors will involve either a temporal commitment to the postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (due to the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative}epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as inducing vices-and-impediments which will then make it alienating) or a ‘fool-me-once-phenomenon’ where there is a relative insight on postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> from some interlocutors with no more commitment given the inconsistency of the hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, in time speaking to the fundamental mental denaturing involved in postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, and so for the shallowness of the postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> the extrinsic-attribution inclination is in constant need for new sets-of-interlocutors. The mental process that takes place in the ignorant prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind is a prelogic/existential-contextualising-contiguity /conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation alignment (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) to the

The general and complete operative psychopath perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> mechanism (it isn’t necessarily completed in all manifestations as is rather a ‘mental roaming/drifting-cycle disposition known as postlogism-retreating’ that carries on depending on how the situation permits) involves the psychopath first projecting initially neutral narratives (pre-valuation), then narratives meant to elicit the sense of excellence/exception/accommodation of its interlocutor (pri-individuation) as well as any other person or notion the interlocutor holds in high esteem, which are then contrasted ‘out of context’ unfavourably with non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives about the
psychopath’s ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction target’ (de-individuation) ensuring the latter narratives are articulated craftily and at different social locations/spaces. De-individuation further consists of four elements; ‘consternation’ wherein narratives with a ‘sense of dismay’ are induced on the interlocutor about the psychopath’s social-stake-contention-or-confliction target, ‘revulsion’ wherein narratives with a ‘sense of repugnance’ are induced on the interlocutor about the target, ‘certainty’ wherein narratives with a ‘false sense of undoubtedness’ are projected about the target on the interlocutor, and finally ‘a sense of passive or suggestive alienation’ towards the psychopath’s target is projected upon the interlocutor to ‘subconsciously induce a sense of alienation from the target’. The psychopath then strives to settle on the whole of this process circularly doing likewise with other new and pertinent interlocutors as well (commitment). By and large this circularity thus involves these four elements as pre-valuation/pri-individuation/de-individuation/commitment. Together with its corollary, social psychopathy, this disposition (passive or suggestive alienation) is at various level-of-consciousness-and-wittiness extended to the social-construct as a comprehensive nature of extrinsic-attribution. Passive or suggestive alienation as such with corresponding ‘temporal-dispositions miscuing’ which is ‘misconstrued as intrinsic ontological depth-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’.

The underlying reason for the entirety of this mental process in the psychopath has to do with its ‘mere formulaic constrained/unconstrained perception and relation to meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) which poorly perceives ‘supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-
wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, of threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as being distractive to organic-
comprehension-thinking (organicalism/"Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—
as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology’); to ultimately prevent its own ‘perceived social alienation’ by inducing the
alienation of its ‘perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction target’ over a social-stake-
contention-or-confliction paradigm. Critically, it should be understood that passive or
suggestive alienation is actually the summum of the possibilities of the psychopath’s
meaningful finality that starts from prevaluation (neutral narrations).

It should be noted that the mental state of the psychopath’s interlocutor as ‘ignorance-
temporal-disposition conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ is not really ontologically-speaking
a prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mental state but rather technically a
‘miscuing/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase postlogic mental state’. There are two
stages at which an interlocutor can be in relation with the psychopathic manifestation: first, as
an ignorant of psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> to which the
interlocutor aligns prelogically and then miscues, and then secondly (in addition), as
‘committed-by-temporality/interest over intrinsic-veridicality’ whether in the form of
affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-
social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

It should be noted that this psychopathic manifestation process can be mimicked in
the context of social psychopathy, and more thoroughly when as ‘exacerbation-temporal-
disposition conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. Over a given or extended period the underlying effect sought by the psychopath might stick, especially where the social target, interlocutors and others are utterly unaware of the mental state of the psychopath, and so evolving more like a social-discomfiture of relationship over ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (*social-discomfiture as such can be defined as the subsequent, ignorant or deliberate/disingenuous, adherence as if veridical to the slanted and hollow mimicking narratives of the psychopath with the corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or mental-perversion in the social context). It is important to see that such social-discomfiture is in reality not a veridical logical ‘contention’ but in veridicality/ontologically a ‘protracted manifestation’ of notional–procrypticism/notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as to underlying registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of both the psychopath and its interlocutors (even when the interlocutor is at best ignorant of the underlying psychopathic state), requiring ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ initiated by the psychopath’s postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, and resolved suprastructurally by a deprocryptic mindset/reference-of-thought making reference to superseding deprocryptic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (just like an accusation of witchcraft in medieval society is not veridically/ontologically a ‘contention’ but rather a ‘protracted manifestation’ of non-positivism/medieval registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-

Paradoxically, the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind is so attached by supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reflex or prelogic-reflex-admittance-reflex or in-phase-reflex to the notion of the essence of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism meaning (as it is not priorly inclined to put into question narratives but rather to quickly operate/process logic to arrive at outcome while ‘trusting’ that the other is also prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation in their apriorising-registry, and so because psychopathy is a relatively outlying phenomenon thus the natural human personality development doesn’t take it much into account in the bigger scheme of things, i.e. it will be ‘a waste of too much mental energy’ to be verifying in detail the apriorising-registry – implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology – of every interlocutor, so mentally the human mind has developed ‘a referencing scheme of trusting that involves closeness, familiarity, reputation and appearance’; but such a scheme is strictly speaking ontologically incomplete and underminable but it is standard as it ‘saves mental energy and time’, hence it is the strongest factor for the social prevalence of psychopathy and its social psychopathy corollary, and by extension all postlogisms//outcome-sought-precedes-logical-dueness across all registry-worldviews/dimensions); that it will find it hard to articulate or for that matter not believe the comprehensiveness and extent by which the psychopath can produce non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives towards its end purpose, particularly as it is a rather social outlying phenomenon and hence not usually integrated in many an individual’s conceptualisation of social relations and phenomena. That’s why the manifestation of ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’, contrasted to the psychopath’s compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or compulsively-dementing, is ad-hoc, circumspect and highly contextualised since the prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind even when acting temporally/badly has a hard time escaping from supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism
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(it has qualms/conscience) while the psychopath’s compelling—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation
is comprehensive since the psychopath naturally doesn’t attach any ‘emotional involvement’
and qualms to the meaning of the narratives it articulates (it views them just as non-veridical
hollow mimicking form narratives that determine its interlocutors prelogism-as-of-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation dispositions and actions). In so doing, the
psychopath has a parallel formulaic-representation-of-meaning/meaning-by-the-mere-
illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated which ‘subknowledging/mimics’ the
fundamental elements of ‘supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism deductive meaning’ such that
the (adult) psychopath’s non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives come across
paradoxically as highly credulous. Basically the relevant question for the psychopath is: ‘how
was the hollow mimicking form that can be grasped in a prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation mind deterministic of other prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation minds behaviours, and how can I then mimic-and-project this
hollow mimicking form to determine how others minds will act. These parallelisation of mere
formulaic-projection/extrinsic-attribution induced-meaningfulness elements (meaning-by-the-
mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) with their corresponding
prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-intrinsic-attribution veridical-
meaningfulness elements (which are subknowledged/mimicked) involve: ‘toning-
triggering/snappings-of-impression/tenseness-of-interlocutory-engagement-(easily copied
with conjugated-postlogism at an intuitive-level)’ as subknowledging ‘prelogism-as-of-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation toning/mannerisms’; ‘hollow mimicking
presumptuousness/arrogation/usurpation’ as subknowledging ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-
to-profound-supererogation suppositions’; ‘folie-raisonnante/non-veridical assumptions’ as
subknowledging ‘veridical assumptions’; ‘absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic’ as subknowledging ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation logical operation narratives’; inductive/contextual limitation as subknowledging ‘principles/projected-logic’; structured-manipulation/deception-or-mimicking-or-gotcha-logic as subknowledging ‘value referencing/applicative-logic’; ‘taking-out-of-context/offsetting logic’ as subknowledging ‘veridical contexts logic’, and ‘extrinsic-attribution acts with respect to conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding contexts on the basis that acts by the psychopath to elicit the temporal-self-interest of its interlocutors will override intrinsic right or wrong; whether such actions include praising, endearing, owing a favour, gifting, assisting, being friendly towards, etc.’ as subknowledging ‘intrinsic-attribution of acts as inherently right or wrong’. On the above basis, the psychopath’s relation to ‘deductive meaning’ is actually reverting to ‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of postlogic compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation as to its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ construed as ‘reverting deduction’ whereas ‘supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism deductions’ emphasise the intrinsic attributive essence of deductions with corresponding latent forms of prosody, psychopathic vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging ‘revert or postlogic compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation backtracking—iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ deductions’ imply the psychopath overemphasises in a consciously active manner the empty forms of prosody in-of-themselves first and over the intrinsic attributive essence of meaning like
overemphasising the toning form (toning triggering) and the supposition form (presumptuousness) in their expressed deductive reasoning, as it mimicks the fact that the forms of prosody tend to be overemphasised spontaneously when naturally expressing profound/deep conviction; thus naturally the psychopathic mindset/reference-of-thought has an unusually large repertoire of ‘sense of meaningfulness associated with empty forms of prosody’ since it artificially perceives them as more critical than the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mind’s intrinsic meaningfulness the forms of prosody are latently associated with. The peculiarity with the psychopath and in the instance of protracted slantedness/social psychopathy with the case of exacerbation for instance, is the over-elaboration of such forms in a way that is rather an instrumentalisation of form of expression and not natural expression (mimicking or vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging). In fact, it is often the case that such line of rather ‘overly emphasised forms of expression with peculiar tonality’ will be noticeable across an entire set of the psychopath interlocutor’s in conjugated-postlogism in their ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ (pointing to vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging), and can be an advanced insight of a ‘psychopathic/postlogic and social psychopathic/conjugated-postlogism situation’, construable with an appropriate maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. This mirrors the operant case highlighted further below, wherein the implied meaningfulness (of postlogic/psychopathic, conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration and supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mental-dispositions) is existentially-traced as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of ‘existential-

It is important to note that the psychopath’s targeting is highly evolutive throughout its life (along human personality development stages) as ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ with others arise and ‘the possibility of going undetected’ permits. The psychopath being ‘out-of-phase’ is pushed by a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/urge/folie raisonante, and the idea of psychopath’s having a grand plan/an overall scheme in its actions is ridiculous and unfounded (this idea again, is due to prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mental-alignment or in-phasing or prelogism to the last narrative(s) of the psychopath and rationalising prelogically/by-essence/candor all its previous ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase over ‘the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ instead of mentally aligning postlogically/by-form/slantedness/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought before reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) a protracted unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/insanity). In fact, the
of postlogism mere formulaic slanting compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation further elicits a ‘sense of temporality’ as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in many an acquainted or non-acquainted (ignorance) supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism minds to the psychopathic postlogism mere formulaic slanting compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism narratives as if it was truly of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as to ontologically-veridical reality thus inducing the phenomenon of social-psychopathy threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Thus, a non-ignorant temporal pedestal mindset/reference-of-thought whether affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation may find it in their temporal-self-interest to cynically elevate the psychopath’s postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or slantedness/threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism-or-mimicking-or-subknowledging, when this is not socially universally transparent (at uninstitutionalised-threshold). Further, the element of the need to be socially-functional-and-accordant first, implies that psychopathy is ‘more than just the drive of a pathological individual’ but inevitably psychopathy and correspondingly social psychopathy involves a ‘social split-dynamism’ wherein the ‘unordinary eliciting’ of temporal interest among some as extrinsic-
attribution (praising, endearing, owing a favour, gifting, assisting, being friendly towards, etc.) is the basis for the targeting of another or others, further compounded by the fact that while so-called ‘rules of sound logic’ abstractly permeate more or less effectively most of our formal setups, their sociological pertinence is actually far from established, but for the fact that broad and large general education diminishes social egregiousness in this respect, as specifically ‘reasoning by significant others’ is actually the more common mental-disposition in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) including the ‘informal spaces’ of formal setups, with the result that this is a further factor that makes psychopathy poorly graspable as simply of individual denaturing dynamics rather than of social denaturing dynamics, thus better construed phenomenally as social psychopathy; as logic will often tend to be ‘rationalised in social rather than abstract terms’ depending on level of individuals intuition about the underlying dynamism of the postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation mental-disposition (going by experience), and then their sense of abstraction or gullibility or disposition to bandwagon effect with respect to a critical aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. (The implication here is that, for instance, it will be very naïve for an investigation involving a psychopath without the investigators being extra-cautious with respect to the underlying social aggregation linkage of potential interlocutors).

Hence, the above phenomenon is further compounded in increasing profundness (i.e. where the psychopath’s childhood delirium gives way to an adulthood mental articulation which is diffused/with-hardly-any-social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness)-but-rather-select-transparency-to-some about the nature of the
psychopath’s veridical mental state) when the ‘temporal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation interlocutor’, by the mechanism of ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at the point of lack of social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) about the psychopathic postlogism/slantedness compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (and wherein there is no universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) about temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation), becomes ‘affordable’ (as it doesn’t think it has got anything to lose personally), ‘negatively opportunistic’ (as it occasionally finds a temporal-self-interest in backing the psychopath, even though it knows better), ‘negatively exacerbatory’ (as it gains some insight in the psychopath’s mental process and actually strives to copy it adhocly, as a successful way of going about one’s temporal-self-interest). There is equally a social dynamism aspect wherein the issue of ‘social allegiance, affordability and initial prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation alignment to psychopath-and/or-the-protracted-postlogism’ comes to override the issue of ‘intrinsic rightness’ leading to what is known as ‘social-chainism or negative-social-aggregation or social-discomfiture’ which in turn (because individuals find ‘apparent social success and conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding’ in such social behaviour) leads to the ‘temporal endemisation/enculturation of social psychopathy’. The underlying mental-disposition of the psychopath as postlogic and
the temporal prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds pedestals that endemise/enculturate this process thus becoming conjugated-postlogism, is known as ‘extrinsic-attribution’, i.e. the idea of satisfying an interlocutors sense of temporal interests is more important and critical in gaining their support than the notion of intrinsic truth/veridicality of meaning (intrinsic-attribution) thus reflecting their threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Ontologically, this requires an altogether PURIST and UNCOMPROMISING intemporal/ontological conceptualisation of such a-comprehensive-social-temporal-hodgepodging which is rather ontologically-discontinuous. This author qualifies as procrypticism preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and so as ‘ONTOLOGICAL ENTRAPMENT’ going by the ‘human solipsistic/emanant template of institutionalisation/intemporalisation’, given that reality and predication doesn’t compromise with the ‘mortal’ that man is (more like the positivistic mind can’t afford to compromise positivism to non-positivism/medievalism) exactly for the ‘intemporal good-of-man’.

At childhood the psychopath’s mental process can fully be seen in operation as the slanted effect of its thinking produces ‘a delirium effect’. However, as the psychopath matures it start adjusting to its failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> slanted mental process as it faces the negating social reaction of its immediate family environment and the grander society with respect to its compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising. But then in its child development psychology, this social negation is rather the backdrop by which it evolves (in a process of trial-and-error in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-
looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>-absolving-or-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic wherein ‘perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness, i.e. vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’) from ‘a direct and blatant faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge for postlogic slantedness’ in a given social space during its childhood to a state in which the psychopath ‘externalises, displaces and transfers its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge for postlogic slantedness to attain an apparent normal social equilibrium or socially-functional-and-accordant state within any given social space as it develops into adulthood’. It is in this way that a mechanism for psychopathic and postlogic slantedness is relayed to apparently sound supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutors, and so along five factors:

- MATURATION (as childish slanted delirious non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives give way to increasingly adult and serious non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives which unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/slantedness become harder to perceive);

- INDIRECTNESS (as the psychopath makes its motive, i.e. the psychopathic faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge, less direct and obvious, by increasingly appearing to bring up narratives in a neutral and unmotivated manner);

- SPATIALISATION (as the psychopath learns to articulate narratives at different ‘social spaces/locations’ to prevent interlocutors from judging their non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives and comparing with the effective social reality context to establish whether the narratives are sound);

- CREDULITY (as with development from childhood to adulthood psychopathy, its narratives increasingly mimic ‘genuine supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism narratives’ and at an even deeper level mimicking ‘profound supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mindsets on issues’ the psychopath has witnessed or has experienced insight of, and projecting these out of their social context to elicit the same effect) as well as readjusting its compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising in a roaming/drifting-cycle as per evolving situation whether succeeding, being discovered and undermined, reassessing, backing down whether momentarily or not, bifurcating with the compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising, etc. oince it is evolving in an ‘absolving or fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic’. Further slanting is done at what it perceives to be ‘the credulity-level-of-slanting’ with respect to a given interlocutor which constantly evolves with psychopathic maturation. While the childhood psychopathy slanting is rather haphazard and by reflex, however the successive failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> is an experiential basis that ultimately skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) it into more strategic postlogic slanting at adolescence and adulthood with more matured construction and themes. Thus implying a corresponding development from a low credulity effect at childhood to high credulity effect at adulthood with respect to interlocutors, in addition to the fact that at adulthood its postlogism-slantedness is not socially-universally-transparency, that is, it now passes the intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation or threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism or ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’) of many an interlocutor;

So basically, as social-and-confliction-stakes develop from childhood to adulthood, likewise the psychopath’s postlogic narratives exercise develop and become increasingly serious in its social consequences as the context of ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ moves from family, neighbourhood, school, company, administration, business, criminality, etc. depending on the development of the specific psychopath. The fact, however, is that many of those who grow together with the psychopath (immediate family, close family friends and relatives, etc.) generally have some insight, however wobbly, into this mental process. Further, psychopathic phenomenon meets with varying impact levels as it’s just a way of being/living for the psychopath, and differences in the setup of 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' context and time might play a role in making its social consequences benign or aggravated.
But then psychopathy and its social consequences, as a social phenomenon, is often wrongly perceived as exclusively due solely to an individual (the psychopath). This is rather an incomplete picture of things actually. The psychopath in a way can be said to suffer from a pathological dysfunction arising in the interaction of biology and the social environment. The psychopath has an urge or the inclination to take a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception to resolving ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’s. This is the reason why its narratives are of succeeding changing/decentering/non-cohering foci in order to wrongly imply the veridicality of the projected apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements which when wrongly acquiesced to is the foundation for its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge; as the succession of narratives are successive slants over one another, more like a non-cohering deception which is a deception as the basis for a succeeding deception as the basis for a further succeeding deception, and so on, explaining its peculiar absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic and the deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect). Paradoxically, this faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge points to the fact that the slanted child psychopathy mind has ‘a developmental incompleteness (as it is so focussed on attaining its sought after outcome in advance that it construes of ‘presupposing/presuming/premising in concurrence’ as an independent mental activity that must not necessarily be derived-and-implied from existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, which is what validates logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as a process reflecting existential-reality as of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), in the formation of a basic and normal
maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-
accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction), and paradoxically then wrongly
validate the psychopath as prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism with respect to meaningfulness
as of ‘requisite existentially veridical logical-dueness (of apriorising–reference-of-thought-
elements/apriorising–registry-elements) and logical-processing-soundness driven construct’.
However, psychopathy tends to take a social dynamism all of its own which cannot only be
explained by the nature of the psychopath who initiates it. The fact is, while supplanting–
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism, the rest of the human mental-dispositions include varying levels of
temporality/shortness (when there is no social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-
totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness) of our acts at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ thus there is not
‘intemporal social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-
entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-
completeness) of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation,’ thus creating an ‘induced-ring-of-
gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism in
hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation>). That is, abstractly, with respect to 'socially-perceived-value as of
social-stake-contention-or-confliction' humans do solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly
suffer perpetually, at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’, from the temporal-dispositions of
slantedness (the psychopath), ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-
chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. These poor solipsistic abstract temporal-dispositions that pervade the social context tend to be overcome with institutionalisation/intemporalisation and formalisations with corresponding internalisation of values or secondnaturing. However, at circumstances where the institutionalisation/intemporalisation threshold is surpassed or often made irrelevant like in the ‘extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’, then ‘a induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ will elicit the ‘mediocrity/averageness of mind’. This is strongly the case with psychopathy which when ‘successful’ (and not perceived deliriously but rather wrongly integrated prelogically/in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) will often perfectly elicit an ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality dynamism’ in the social-construct such that others will find it to their temporal self-interest to perpetuate, whether circumstantially or profoundly, the phenomenon of psychopathy in society, so long as they can rationalise their dispositions and acts. This as ‘social psychopathy’ as a result of the psychopath’s initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (involving protracted/derived slantedness), in the absence of social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing-<amplituding>formative}epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) on the veridicality of narratives with respect to social-and-confliction stakes tends to induce ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (at the point of such lack of social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of its postlogism-slantedness to many a supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutor as the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’).

Hence psychopathy when studied dynamically is rather ‘social psychopathy’. Psychopathy through this social dynamism effect equally influences social behaviour as at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ human learned behaviour is primarily geared towards what is ‘perceived as succeeding or conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding rather than ontological rightness for rightness sake’, whether intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) or temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), hence its relation to sociopathy which is a more generalised notion of social vices-and-impediments. The social psychopathy phenomenon (in describing the underlying abstract nature of man before institutionalisation/intemporalisation; institutionalisation/intemporalisation being the exercise of utilising the intemporal-disposition by its purist and universal projection rules in an ‘ontological entrapment’ exercise to undermine/override temporal-dispositions subknowledging/mimicking, by virtue of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and overall medium to long term good to the cross-section of human temporal interests) is equally associated with the notion of the stages of human transcendence/civilisation, in an intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation exercise, from an recurrent-utter-institutionalised animal through subsequent stages of institutionalisation/intemporalisation (as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation exercise, ‘as against the temporal human
disposition to subknowledge—(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-sound-
knowledge)/pervert intemporal categorical-imperatives) starting with base-institutionalisation
(initial sense of social rules/organisation), universalisation, positivism and prospectively the
future institutionalisation/intemporalisation this author qualifies as deprocrypticism
(preempting procrypticism, so construed by ‘deprocrypticism ontologically-perspectival-
elevated/pedestaling-as-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-differentiation-as-of-
supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’). That is, psychopathy as
postlogism is associated with temporal-dispositions in their ‘perversion-of-reference-of-
thought—\textit{as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation}’ (as prior intemporal reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of the various institutionalisation/intemporalisation
levels (vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-
hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of the reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology behind a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
institutionalisation/intemporalisation level that then warrants a subsequent ‘intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation of
prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). To
grasp this better say for instance the normal arithmetic we know $2+2=4$, $5+1=6$, $7-3=4$, etc.
was to be undermine by a new human perversion-of-reference-of-thought—\textit{as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation}
caused by a disease wherein we tend to say $2+2=5$, $5+1=7$ and $7-3=3$, then the traditional
categorical-imperatives of addition and subtraction will be modified to take account of our
perversion/defect by saying that additionality will involve subtracting 1 from the result and
subtractivity will involve adding 1 to the result, so that arithmetic mirrors intrinsic reality
outcome (intemporal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-


In the bigger scheme of things such ‘institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure’ as articulated above gives coherence in conceptualising a continuity in the human emanant/becoming anthropological experience; as putting into perspective and not excepting any particular stage of institutionalisation/intemporalisation, as we might tend to do by focussing on the present positive registry-worldview which is just the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, while ignoring the ‘effective and causative intemporal behind the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure transcendental/psychoanalytic-unshackling process’, which skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) ‘the cross-section of human entropic being’ in the medium to long run towards intemporal-disposition preservation while undermining temporal-dispositions. Such a depth-of-thought as projected
by the ‘institutionalisation intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation’ is what creates ‘a sounder scientific foundation’ for ‘a hermeneutic psychological science’ termed ‘anthropopsychology’ or the ‘anthropological continuity’. This can be comparatively compared to the hydrocarbon fractionation column wherein virtue is ‘lightness’. We may be confused to think that being at a lighter state, a particular hydrocarbon fluid like kerosene is inherently the definition of virtue. But actually, the exceptionality (lightness) of kerosene is the result of the ‘distilling process’ which fractionates crude oil into kerosene. So if we start having issues of ‘lightness’ at the kerosene stage of the hydrocarbon fractionation column, what is called for is applying the ‘distilling process’ over kerosene to produce say petroleum gas. So inherently, all the hydrocarbon fluids are hydrocarbon, with virtue being the application of the distilling process. Thus reasoning from the overall perspective of the human species we can’t afford not to pass ‘so-called modern man’ through the ‘distilling process’ (transcendence as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) as it is because every successive transcendental level ‘did its homework’ that we are in the positivistic world, and we can’t confuse ‘being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure’ with us being inherently exceptional (it is the transcendental/psychoanalytic-unshackling process of undermining perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> that is). Hence ‘our homework’ is to articulate our very own perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> for the possibilities of the future, and not strive to arrive at a normalcy of ‘our temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’ which speaks of inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-
thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy as
we get at our ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’; instead enabling ‘intemporal preservation’ (by
oblongating/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of our mental-
devising-representation as a registry-worldview defect/perversion of positivistic categorical-
imperatives/axioms known as procrypticism preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism, for a prospective anticipation and preemption of this known as
‘deprocrypticism’)!  

It should be noted that while ‘institutional-cumulation’ and ‘institutional-
recomposure’ are used interchangeably, however, the two terms carry two different
connotative emphases necessary to make the conceptualisation complete. ‘Institutional-
cumulation’ emphasises the contiguity of the process of human institutional transcendence
(with respect to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation)
while institutional-recomposure stresses the peculiarity of the transcendence/memetic-
reordering wherein, for instance with regards to positivist institutionalisation/intemporalisation, the constituent institutionalisation and universalisation
for positivism are recomposured peculiarly towards the positivism registry-
worldview/dimension, and memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-
institutionalisation and universalisation, and so too, the constituent institutionalisation recomposured in universalisation is memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-
institutionalisation, and prospectively, the constituent institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism recomposured into deprocrypticism will be
memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism. This speaks of snowballing/expansive
recomposuring/memetic-reordering existential capacity depth with higher institutionalisations; a snowballing akin to the underlying evolutionary and genetic principles behind evolution from say amoebic cells across various other life-forms into a hominid like man, wherein the underlying basic principles go on to induce the complexity of man from simple amoebic cells. Institutional-recomposure also carries the idea that successive/prospective ‘memetic-reordering’ had tended to be based on the use of the outcome of prior memetic-reordering, and so focus mentation capacity on developing new memetic-reordering/recomposuring. This implies that mentation-capacity-wise, human mentation-capacity across all successive institutionalisations is the same but latter institutional-recomposure/successive memetic reordering show ‘grander institutionalisation/intemporalisation outcome’ as this is due to their being at the backend of the emanant institutional-cumulation paradigm, utilising the outcome of previous institutional-cumulation effort. Hence the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<\textit{amplituding}\textit{formative}>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equality instigation recurrently inducing the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process (is not analogical but a contiguous notion by it intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation across institutional-cumulations) applies universally across space and time (beyond the institutional mirage/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) such that ontologically speaking it is prospectively predicative of future institutionalisation/intemporalisation like deprocrypticism. This thus points to the fact that transcendental analysis (institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure analysis) is not, as may wrongly be thought, analogical but is rather ‘an ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness-and-teleology reference’ (given the contiguity in the ‘precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency-and-continuity of intemporal-preservation-
stranding-dialectics, and not formative epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism with the corresponding ‘collapsing’/overriding and ‘stranding-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored’ of the prior registry-worldview/dimension ‘mental-devising-representation’ as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/decandored/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase consciousness-awareness-teleology by the new registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology (and so deterministically and operantly without any discretion of appraisal which only leads to formative epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) such as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ by base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ by universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ by positivism, and prospectively, procrypticism ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation’ by deprocrypticism. This brings up the notion that while candoring/straightness is the way meaning is represented within any registry-worldview/dimension institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation, this is just a mental-devising-representation for implying intemporality-of-thought without which meaningfulness is not functional in the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology, but then at that same prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, transcendence into a prospective
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology put into question this candoring/straightness mental-devising-representation and the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s consciousness-awareness-teleology is then represented as preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism/decandoring/oblongated. This process is known as collapsing/overriding the prior registry-worldview/dimension, and such perpetual representation in the mental-devising-representation of the registry-worldview/dimension as collapsed/overridden is known as stranding or stranding-dialectics. Stranding purely has to do between placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology and ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective); with the ontologically-veridical/ontological-contiguity mental-devising-representation stranded/represented as straight, and various shades of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-’set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> strand as oblongated/decandored in reflection/perspectivation of their veridical perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, beyond their <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present. Hence we know of the following stranded registry-worldviews/dimensions: recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (our own prospective mental stranding); as these form the backdrop for the articulation of transcending anticipatory and
preemptive reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
temparal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the prospective
registry-worldview/dimension that are the resolution to the vices-and-impediments of the
prior (uninstitutionalised-threshold) registry-worldview/dimension, successively as base-
institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively, depacropticism.

Each of such institutional-recomposures (along the institutional-cumulation process),
have particular ‘central recomposuring determinants’ which the new registry-worldview is
coming after, as follows:

(i) for Base-Institutionalisation, it has to do with the requisite ‘organising
rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation (as an inherently–’preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism-or-subknowledging-or–perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>–and-
corresponding–<((amplituding)formative)epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’
relation to meaningfulness).

(ii) for Universalisation, it has to do with requisite ‘projection rules/principles’ as ‘a
memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding ununiversalisation (as perversion-of-
reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> of base-institutional meaningfulness).

(iii) for Positivism, it has to do with the requisite ‘empirical rules/principles’ as ‘a
memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding non-positivism/medievalism (as perversion-
of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of universalistic
meaningfulness).

equally had a sense of straightness/candor of their meaningfulness in a full blossoming of their own existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications paradigm as we do in our positivistic/procrypticism registry-worldview, within the ambi̇ts of their the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. But then their stranding from their prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation represents them as oblongated/decandored/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as the transcendental backdrop/opportunity for the prospective registry-worldview/dimension. This when extrapolated will equally apply with our present positivism/procrypticism uninstitutionalisation/unintemporalisation for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation, and any ‘complex’ we’ll have about that has to do with our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage than the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective). This equally explains why uninstitutionalised-thresholds equally carried a complex about their registry-worldview/dimension and these complexes certainly sound unintelligible to us given our vantage perspective at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process.

With rational-realism (deprocrypticism), institutionalisation/intemporalisation raises the issue of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> (undisambiguation as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions are wrongly given the same elevation), and relevantly so at the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold. The
very specific nature of the deprocryptic transcendence/institutionalisation is to recognise and articulate the veridicality of the fact of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor at the procryptic uninstitutionalised-threshold, and conjugate this in meaningfulness by going beyond just logical operation/processing/contention of narratives but rather in the first instance introducing the notion of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation’ to avoid wrongfully operating/processing of logic by the reference-of-thought of the intemporal-disposition reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation which is ontological (i.e. is in sync with intrinsic-reality/veridicality), where the effective registries are actually temporal-dispositions thus to be construed as of their temporal references-of-thought. It involves stranding-dialectics temporal-dispositions manifest denaturing and thus to avoid elevating temporal-dispositions to intemporal logical contending status as this result in the miscuing of meaning as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>. Deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation takes stock of the veridicality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor; as successive circular/recurrent/repetitive/repeatable iterating preconverging constructs, and not as may wrongly be reflected by the natural reflex to be postconverging constructs, to emphasise the ‘dominance/supersedingness/suprastructuring of the intemporal-disposition skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)” for the fulsome articulation of ontology as ‘abject (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-
contiguity in conscious transdimensional/transcendental-memetic-depth (thinking-and-preconverging-or-dementing-dialectical-dynamism-or-dialectics) of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation (unlike all prior institutionalisations which are rather intradimensional in their meaningful-depth construed only as a closed <(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism dynamism’). As a corollary, meaningfulness or rather memetism or suprastructural-meaningfulness (the more veridical nature of meaningfulness beyond intradimensionality as being transdimensional/transcendental) should be notional and reflect this temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature of deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation to the point of inducing a collective consciousness/social universal-transparency~(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplitude)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ (knowledge as understanding not only of the ideal/intemporal but equally how the temporal/defective works distractively, to anticipate and preempt the latter perverseness but doing so rather in a superseding ontologically-minded manner) and intemporal skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference as virtue and (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity; in contrast to the hotchpotching of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity~<(mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> of temporal-dispositions and particularly in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) which covers all informal spheres of institutions and society.
generally. So because knowledge-notionalisation recognises that in a specie of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuation dispositions, deferential-formalisation-transference which is the bases for institutionallisation/intemporalisation by skewing (‘intemporal-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) for the supersedingness/lead of the intemporal-disposition individuation is responsible for elevating human uninstitutionalised-threshold across the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure by the resultant formalisation and internalisation involved in institutionalisation explaining effectively the dialectical evolution from deeper primitivites/mental-out-of-phasings to the present state (limited-and-shallower-human-mentation-capacity to limited-but-deeper-human-mentation-capacity) as a result of the inherent ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting skewing (‘intemporal-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporalisation/institutionalisation, and the implications prospectively. For instance, the uninstitutionalised-threshold for getting one’s way slyly will involve higher and higher thresholds with respect to virtue from a low threshold at recurrent-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation compared to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, then higher and higher with universalisation–non-positivism-or-medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively highest with deprocrypticism; in line with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of ontological-veridicality. For instance, some hideous acts will hardly be seen as vices in an recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised registry-worldview.

Knowledge-notionalisation as such carries a transcendent-existentialism/in-full-existential-depth-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–implications which is more than just reactionary to the possibility of temporality/shortness (shortness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology) but rather ‘a transcendent-existentialism maturing of thought’ (intemporality as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that takes abstract cognisance of temporality/shortness as an intransient potency (hitherto accounting for the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of human circular-uninstitutionalised-thresholds) to be conceptually understood and superseded recurrently and perpetually. Critically, this insight about the effective nature of ontological-normalcy (in its becoming in a conscious transdimensional/transcendental-meaningfulness or memetism or suprastructural-meaningfulness) as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism—by—preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism dialectics/dialectical-dynamism’ indicates that while psychoanalytically prior registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto been based on mental-devising-representations of ‘thresholding meaningfulness constructs’ (with their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) within their ‘functional institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, deprocrypticism going by ontological-normalcy implies a mental-devising-representation of ‘non-thresholding meaningfulness as transdimensional/transcendental-meaningfulness or memetic refinement (or a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism—by—preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism dialectics/dialectical-dynamism paradox) ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-thought’ in its ‘functional institutionalised/intemporalised-approximating-or-proxying-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ as renewing existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness and thought; with such non-thresholding ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory
positivism or prospectively, positivism is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism required for deprocrypticism. Thus fundamentally preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought does not arise because of failure of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation but rather because of failure of reference-of-thought as of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. This is unlike the case where logical-engagement of mental-devising-representation as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought is still relevant where there is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (like calculating the answer of an arithmetic operation wrongly) so long as the reference-of-thought is sincerely/genuinely working in adherence to arithmetic axioms to produce the right answer. But this is invalid and not applicable where the issue is about deliberate disposition not to adhere to arithmetic axioms but usurp them (whether consciously, expediently or unconsciously). Soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought on the other hand implies being-or-ontological-or-existential-or-meaningfulness-and-teleology disposition as of supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism (reflecting sound logical-processing-or-logical-
implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation and at worst defect—of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) and so in effective prelogism wherein logical-process-precedes-outcome thus upholding intemporal/veracity/ontological-pertinence; so construed from a more profound ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight. This is the fundamental basis and backdrop for an insight for drawing ‘the implications of the (preceding and superseding) nature of intrinsic-reality as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation)’, in reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting ‘the mental-devising-representations of registries/references constructs and protractedly of registry-worldviews/dimensions (on the basis of the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics) whether as of registry-soundness and thus as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism representations’ (postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism or stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase) or as of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and thus as ‘preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism representations’ (preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism or stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-out-of-phase-or-dialectically-primitive), and so as stranding-dialectics educing-human-meaningfulness-and—teleology—into—the—existentialism—becoming of personhoods—socialhood—formation. Such dialectical articulation of mental—devising—representations can be conceptualised as defining individuations in terms—as—of—axiomatic—construct of supplanting—conviction—as—to—profound—supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism (postconverging—dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism or stranded—as—straight/candored-and—
(which in this latter case will speak of a mental-disposition to act as of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism with regards to subsequent acts of similar context by their performers). Hence the postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representations of either sound logical-processing—or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation and defect—of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation are ‘projectively validated by reflex as possibly—of-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism/possibly—of-soundness—authenticity—of-reference—of-thought’ (and not projectively invalidated by reflex as possibly—of-preconverging—or—dementing—apriorising-psychologism/possibly—of-unsoundness—or—inauthenticity—of-reference—of-thought) in implying the ‘upholding of their sound reference—of-thought status’. To illustrate, suppose X and Y are contending (ontological-reference) to know what 5+4 will give as answer (ontological-veridicality), if X is using pencils to count but inadvertently misplaced a pencil or doesn’t perfectly understand how to stack up the pencils to use to count the whole lot, then where his answer was to come out as 5+4=8, we talk of defect—of-logical-processing—or—logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as X sincerely wants to calculate to produce the right answer but X’s logical-processing—or—logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation failed. This doesn’t invalidate the notion that Y can still engage X as ‘possibly—of-postconverging—or—dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’/possibly—of-soundness—or—authenticity—of-reference—of-thought
contending (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) with respect to another arithmetic operation, that is, possibly after pointing out to X where they went wrong in their operation of arithmetic. While threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism performs subsequent acts of-similar-or-protracted-contextualisation to their prior acts verified to be of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism are priorly projectively invalidated by reflex as ‘possibly-of-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’/possibly-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not ‘possibly-of-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/possibly-of-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in implying the ‘revoking of their sound reference-of-thought status’. To illustrate, suppose X above rather slyly and deliberately (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation) miscalculated (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference) the answer (in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) and Y grasps this, then this invalidates the notion that Y can still ‘genuinely’ engage X (ontological-pertinence) with regards to another arithmetic operation of-similar-or-protracted-contextualisation, with respect to the upheld context behind X’s sly and deliberate basis for miscalculating.

normalcy deploying of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ in enabling full mastery/grasp of such ‘convolutedness of social dynamics’ as of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation with respect to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality, and so based on ‘a deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-confoundedness perpetuation of a hermeneutic circle as ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’, which is technically non-thresholding/doesn’t-technically-succumb-to-any-socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis in its ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity proxying/approximating exercise; as when the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (which can equally be qualified as the ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, given that ‘ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ can be construed as ‘intemporal-preservation/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ which is actually ‘ontologically-reconstituting’, reconstituting from the base-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions) is attained the reflex is to imply a mental-devising-representation of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought (stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase) and thus establishing reference-of-thought whether that is veridically the case or not, such that preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism wrongly get endemised/enculturated as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/of-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation at the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-
analysis and this with its consequent implications is the fundamental basis for the temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation of all perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation and the corresponding <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage, explaining why we don’t have notions of sorcery and its practice with us today but we do have the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy (with our socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis for the former/sorcery as a non-positivism/medievalism perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> high enough or relatively-ontologically-complete as it is rational-empiricism/positivising-driven to supersede it but not the latter/psychopathy-and-social-psychopathy as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in our positivistic meaningful frame which is relatively ontologically-incomplete for that as in need of the requisite deprocrypticism reference-of-thought as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ with respect to ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) in its specific grasp of (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity on the one hand, and on the other hand is the reason for the more profound/deeper socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension which is rather in ‘a suprastructural transcendent-meaningfulness conceptualisation with respect to the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension’, as it is construed suprastructurally beyond the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation given the less veridical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of its ‘temporal conventioning compromise’ determined by its shallower socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis. Thus we know basically that the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose involved the following intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis with respect to their social-stake-contention-or-confliction specific to each registry-worldview/dimension defining its ‘inherent institutionalisation and snowballed recomposuring’ going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor: for the mentation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalis—basically ‘arbitrary/spontaneous/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reasoning as non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition–(as ‘base constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuringinstrument) as socially-
betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis; for the mentation at base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation – basically ‘haphazard and incidental rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) as socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis; for the mentation at universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism – basically ‘universal-bases for the contextualisation of rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) however contestable such universal-bases’; for the mentation at positivism–procrypticism – basically ‘introducing empirical insight in articulating the universal-bases of the contextualisation of rules and rule-making’; and for the mentation of deprocrypticism – basically ‘upholding an abject ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as ontological-contiguity (over recurrent notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>/’disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in positivism–procrypticism) with regards to the underlying intemporal-preservation behind rules-that-remain of existential-reality. The implication being that in a contention among interlocutors in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, the mentation is very much different from ours (positivism) as any imagined pretext is a legitimate one with emphasis being rather on established dominance/subservience relations, with base-institutionalisation the mentation was to arbitrarily invoke any of a number of recognised or incidentally introduced rules that are in one’s favour and again where dominance/subservience relations played a large part, while with universalisation while power relations also played a part the rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,—
(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) was set/given however skewed towards the dominance of say a leader or family/clanic group or priestly class or outright social class; with positivism though, while relatively universal and empirical, the weakness lies in the ontological-contiguity of the contextualisation of rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism.—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) (hence not ‘absolutely rational’ with regards to its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis) which preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to-


‘preempting the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism of rational-empiricism/positivising-rules’ as to ‘uncompromising ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’ focus, as enabling ‘fulsome ontologising’. Interestingly, while the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation explains how and why successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are at their given
institutionalisation levels on the basis of a memetic/suprastructural-meaningfulness analysis or a transcendental/transdimensional-meaningfulness analysis, the notion of socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation actually initially applies intradimensionally in all registry-worldviews/dimensions and it is actually the ‘intemporal/ontological signal’ for the need of prospective transcending/superseding due to ‘failing/not-upholding–<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intradimensional ontologising/intemporal-preservation’. Insightfully, we can grasp the ‘intemporal/ontological signal’ pointing to a socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis with regards to a dimension’s/registry-worldview ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism phenomenon’ like psychopathy and social psychopathy (with respect to procrypticism or perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivistic meaningfulness) or accusations and notions of sorcery (with respect to medievalism); as this has to do with human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations dispositions wherein intradimensionally, the ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) is rather an overall registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> aftereffect rather as an indirect comprehensive socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity—or—ontological-preservation or threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism) arising from the ‘cumulative effect’ of the various temporal-to-intemparal-dispositions individuations dispositions with respect to intradimensionally operant implications of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, as the various ‘temporal-dispositions individuations’ will, at that uninstitutionalised-threshold, betray ontologising/ontological-depth-of-analysis/intemparal-preservation by hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemparal-preservation> at their specific temporal-dispositions individuations thresholds (postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving-ontological-performances) with the idea that ‘human intemparal-disposition individuation’ will rather be abjectly emancipatory/transcendental by ‘ontologically-reconstituting’/deconstruction (and so, without any hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemparal-preservation> incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought allowed, in order to sync with the ‘postconvergence/preceding/superseding nature of intrinsic reality’ which ‘doesn’t recognise’ nor is involved in temporal-and-social-trading with the mortals that we are to establish ontological-reference and ontological-veridicality) instead of betraying ontologising/ontological-depth-of-analysis/intemparal-preservation thus inducing prospective institutionalisation/intemparalisation by positive-opportunism and the intemparal percolation-channelling of such emancipation/transcendence. Thus for instance with regards to adult psychopathy and the induced social psychopathy, it will be naïve to simply analyse on a
dichotomous basis of psychopathy and its violation of social norm, with the idea that psychopathy is associated with temporal-dispositions destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance ‘as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’/socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (in conjugation to ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) and it is naïve to simply analyse on the basis that other interlocutors have an intemporal/ontological disposition, in the very first instance. Thus the need, in order to attain such a prior requisite ontological/intemporal insight, to ontologically construe (as to deferential-formalisation-transference) contexts of psychopathy and social psychopathy (and generally contexts of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism in all registry-worldviews/dimensions to priorly achieve an ontological/intemporal insight), before conducting ‘a truly ontological/intemporal analysis’ as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct, which necessarily implies projecting into a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension, in this case deprocripticism; as otherwise the ‘ordinary’ reasoning of a social context imbued with interlocutors temporal-dispositions destructuring-threshold-<uninstitutionalised-threshold/presublimating–desublimating-decisionality>--of-ontological-performance of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performances on the basis of the fundamental ontologising limits or the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the registry-worldview/dimension (procripticism being the fundamental ontologising limits of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension), will pervert/corrupt the possibility of ‘a truly ontological/intemporal analysis as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-construct’ preempts the said perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomenon. In this respect, it is equally important to be cognisant of potentially nefarious influences that may arise from pseudo-formalisms as well, and where these are construed out of their inherent context to wrongly imply a genuine ontological analysis especially given the gullible/susceptible nature of the social-construct as it ‘becomes existentially in a dynamism of conventioning and ontology’. Take the case of works of arts like novels and films primarily meant to entertain, and in so doing may induce wrong impressions and conceptions with regards to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomenon like psychopathy wherein the whims of their creators, aesthetic quality and ultimate financial gain are the primary driving motif, and not necessarily a profound and candid ontological insight of the phenomenon and its social implications/consequences. Basically, as we all know novels and films, while excellent in articulating aesthetic qualities, are not the true world of human lives and consequences. While there is more or less some deontological practice implemented with respect to such tendencies when it comes to issues of gender equality, racism, recently homophobia as well as say the portrayal of victims of some degenerative diseases, such intellectually-sound deontology requiring aesthetic-representations-produced-from-sound-ontological-insight by their creators (which is often not the case but for a cursory understanding focused on entertainment) is not ubiquitous especially when the relevant
‘theme and the intellectual projection behind its ontological analysis’ seem rather aloof to many in society, as is the case with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy; such that the influential nature of such aesthetic products broadcasted or sold to millions of people can easily induce wrong insights, undue romanticism, a poor grasp of its nefarious effects at individuals-and-institutional levels, and worst still perpetuate social ignorance simply by wrongly implied, naïve and fallacious explanations. Central to all such fallacies prevalent in many an aesthetic product with regards to psychopathy is that these often tend to be short-sighted given the unsustainable nature of the arguments in the middle to long run, and tend to be based on inductive limitation or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be of entailing-in-relative-ontological-completeness as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be totalisingly-entailing, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-totalising-entailment but speak more of temporal motive. In this respect, one can cite at individuals-levels instances of many a human interest story tragedy in the press which often go unanalysed, and in the bigger institutional-level for instance what is the underlying dynamics that lead many an organisation or corporate entities to fail inexplicably due to grave and unprincipled mismanagement with profound social repercussions. The implied intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, contrasted with a temporal extricatory paradigm, is necessarily the prospective transcending superseding registry-worldview/dimension. Consider the case of contending about a perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation like accusations and notions of sorcery in a non-positivism/medievalism setup where there is no intradimensional
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm given the obliviousness to a positivistic ontological-reference-of-veridicality/contending-reference-of-veridicality as it is suprastructural/beyond the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s recomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology to non-positivism/medievalism. Likewise the positivistic meaningful frame is oblivious to its procrypticism, and corresponding resolution as deprocrypticism as the prospective/transcending/superseding ontological-reference-of-veridicality/contending-reference-of-veridicality. Further, this notion of registry-worldviews/dimensions having socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (that need to be suprastructured by prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions) explains why a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ aligned with ontological-normalcy is what escapes and provides for grander emancipatory possibilities that an intradimensionally mented or stigmatic psychology wouldn’t enable. The bigger notion of such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is to reconcile the idea that we have one ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality across all times whereas our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in reference (as ‘tentative references-of-thought’) of this same one (ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality and our corresponding/derived meaningfulness-and-teleology thereof, has been varying all along as we evolve from shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity; with the implication that the finality of such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is one that aligns with and is driven by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-
perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) wherein ontological-normalcy is ‘an abstract conceptualisation that by artifice covers for human limited but deepening mentation capacity’. Ontological-normalcy (postconvergence) abstractly refers to any relevant/implied registry-worldview/dimension that is in a reflected/perspectivated state of prospective transcending/superseding whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism as having ‘sound reference-of-thought status’, in relation to a corresponding reflected/perspectivated state of prior transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procripticism which is then correspondingly devoid of reference-of-thought, and so going by the inherent human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor that arises by the mere fact that all the institutionalisations are of the same form-factor since their ‘snowballed differences’ arise solely due to ‘the deepening of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’. Ontological-normalcy as such will imply that the successive institutionalisations are rather shifts-in-the-curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-ontological-normalcy (shifts-in-the-curve-of-human-grasp-of-one-ontology/‘ontological-reference-of-veridicality’, which will graphically/as-imagery imply ‘human-grasping-capacity’ on one axis and ‘depth-of-ontology/ontological-reference-of-veridicality/ontological-completeness’ as the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure on the other axis or dialecticisms-of-an-imperfect-human-grasping-of-‘ontological-reference-of-veridicality’–which-mastery-improves-dialectically) which rather implies defects of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
or unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of corresponding prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions implying a voiding of their reference-of-thought as ontologically-veridical as these become the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation from the corresponding prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimension which is then the ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought. It should be noted that a defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (unlike a perversion-of-reference-of-thought--<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>)

implies movement along the same curve of prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought whether as an inappropriate/poor-or-bad or appropriate/good or any other variation of the logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, and doesn’t fundamentally voids the ‘sound reference-of-thought status’ with regards to the possibility of an appropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation in another instance. This insight is critical because the defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance will often be implied with regards to an issue and resolution of perversion-of-reference-of-thought--<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> which rather speaks to a defect ‘revoking the sound reference-of-thought status’ construed as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
non-positivism/medievalism (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism), and prospectively, prospective deprocrypticism preempting positivism–procrypticism (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of positivism–procrypticism); with the implication that deprocrypticism is actually recomposuringly subsuming of positivism which is subsuming of universalisation and it too recomposuringly subsuming of base-institutionalisation (all these with their respective personhoods-and-socialhood-formation existentialisms/full-depths-existential-implications). Likewise their respective methodologies/implements are recomposuringly subsumed-as-supplanted constructs (of varying ontologising-depths-of-analysis and of shallower to deeper socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis), with the deepest-to-shallowest, as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
‘preempting the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism of rational-
empiricism/positivising-rules’ as to ‘uncompromising ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction’ methodology of deprocrypticism (which is very much an ‘uncompromising hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction’, as ‘a deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’ that is technically non-thresholding-and-proxying-or-approximating-to-ontological-veridicality-and-doesn’t-succumb-to-any-socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis, and also considering that science as we know today is hardly just a question of adopting scientific methods to obtain scientific results, an unspoken fact is that much of science relies on a ‘rudimentary phenomenology in a heuristic hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction by the researcher’, that simply passes as their personal talents, to obtain results applying scientific methods, and thus we can further imagine the possibilities if this reality came to be fully recognised and sophisticated hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction insights were to permeate scientific research and methodologies), is subsuming of ‘rational-empiricism/positivising’ methodology of positivistic science which is subsuming of the ‘universalising-of-rules’ methodology of universalisation and the latter subsuming of the rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) methodology of institutionalisation – these in reflection of the development of human shallower-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity cumulation/recomposuring/reordering/reorientation. In the case of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’ reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting temporal-dispositions pseudo-ontological-finalities, across social-setups and institutional settings with their evolving ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. The state of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism requires preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representations and implies the ‘revoking of sound reference-of-thought status’ with respect to interlocution of similar-or-protracted-contextualisation (in the very first instance) while the state of supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism implies a ‘postconverging—or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation implying a veridical reference-of-thought with respect to interlocution (in the very first instance), and enabling the second instance of engaging in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of logical pertinence to establish (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity. Typically, such an insight with regards to compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-suprerogation is obvious and transparent with respect to the childhood psychopathy/cinglee mental-disposition, given that an initial encounter often involves a natural ‘postconverging—or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism reflex’ by the interlocutor with respect to their initial narratives but after some familiarisation we come to understand that the initial narratives are in fact preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism and thus our expectation of the subsequent narratives they iterate is to initiate or be ready to align by a mental-devising-representation as a ‘preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism reflex’. This preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism veridicality explains both the childhood and adult psychopath disposition for
absolving-logic-or-perpetually-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic based on extrinsic-attribute where the mental-disposition is to move postlogically/perverted-outcome-sought-preccedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness from one set of narratives to the other and one set of interlocutors to the other with the idea convincing is the notion of getting more people ‘mechanically convinced by vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’ and not an articulation of supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism or existential-contextualising-contiguity principle of reification, be it by adhering to the mere hollow form of principles and narratives in existential-decontextualisation as being deterministic of others inclinations and actions. Intrinsic-reality in its ontological-normalcy/postconvergence indicates that effectively the conjugating/inflecting/deriving/mimicking/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism (which is often the case with the adult-psychopathic preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism) whether unconscious (ignorance) or conscious (affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) effectively underlies an ontologically valid mental-devising-representation reflex as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of such protracting threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism. In the bigger scheme of things, it equally explains our mental-devising-representation preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought underlying reflex with respect to prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions and ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or—
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ over circumventing/distractive <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mechanical-comprehension in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> defectively/non-veridically of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether or not it fails intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’), and the temporal-dispositions to stick to the previous one speaks not only of act defects but registry-worldview/dimension defects at this socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis to the fact that such ‘of-similar-or-protracted-contextualisation’, from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight that is preceding/superseding to any hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative constitutedness}, will elicit a same defect disposition thus the need to fundamentally undermine reference-of-thought of the registry-worldview/dimension at that uninstitutionalised-threshold that endemises/enculturates the ontological-or-existential-defect due to its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis. It should thus be noted that the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of reference-of-thought of a registry-worldview/dimension implicitly reflects a defective/sub-par relative state-of-conceptualisation in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (a fundamentally defective/sub-par state-of-disposition) with respect to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, as can be demonstrated by ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction, (and has nothing to do, as-being-caused-by, with an inducing phenomena of ‘perversion-of-
reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ behind say sorcery and psychopathy; even though such phenomena tend to instigate and reveal the inherent defect/sub-par nature of registry-worldviews with respect to ontological-normalcy, with the need for ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction). In other words, the state of being non-positivism/medievalism with respect to ontological-normalcy is already a defective state ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for issues of superstition/lack-of-rational-empiricism to arise whether we talk of sorcery, bodily mutilations and their effects, charlatanisms, etc. Likewise, it will be naïve to imply that our registry-worldview as positivism–procrypticism is in absolute sync with ontological-normalcy by the mere fact that we are at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, as we can equally project prospectively from a retrospective projection insight to grasp how ‘from an abject hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction (of our temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature)’ how procrypticism (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as to mere formulaic positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology) in a positivistic registry-worldview structurally endemises psychopathy and social psychopathy. Insightfully, for a grander grasp of ontological-normalcy, the notion of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure and their related conceptualisations are not just ad-hoc in nature but of ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’; which is fundamentally defined by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (going by shallower-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity), in reflecting the precedence/supersedingness of intrinsic-reality/ontology to which an ‘animal’ comes-to-and-re-compose-with-cumulatively by ontological-reconstituting–as-of-
conflatedness/deconstruction (which is the critical subsuming mechanism for re-establishing reference-of-thought and ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, above and beyond the simple hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of any registry-worldview/dimension and requiring their prospective suprastructuring). This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’ is the reflection of the contiguity of successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications across varying meaningful frames, references and registry-worldviews/dimensions; and is abstractly determined by the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology (ontological-normalcy) whatever the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and inherently implies ‘a universal existentialisms/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor across institutionalisations’; which define their specificities and potentials which are basically abstractly of ‘a same form-factor’, with regards to the reality of their temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions and the existential implications on every registry-worldview/dimension thereof, though of differing ‘snowballed recomposuring’ of meaningfulness and reference-of-thought. Ontological-entrapment (as a determinstic point of reference that defines dialectical-out-of-phasing/dialectical-primitivity registry-worldview/dimension, and thus avoiding any confusing effects to analysis of the standing-dialectics of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–standing/attributive-dialectics) is attained by ‘keeping or aligning’ preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (with no shifting by reflex into postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
teleology as of the wrong ontological-references/contending-references of all established
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>
prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions, in hollow-constituting-
disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>
failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> the reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy represented by
the rightful ontological-references/contending-references of the
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions whose
mentation/mental-devising representation are ‘kept or aligned’ as ‘ontologically-
reconstituting’-or-prelogic-or-logical-process-precedes-outcome-or-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation, as in ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction
of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with sound
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. A ‘postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
psychology-of-dynamics’ as being ontologically-driven is one where placeholder-
setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (as to
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ mental-devising-
representation or preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-
representation) is the reflected/perspectivated implication either as of ‘postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ or of preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism as so-reflected/so-perspectivated from ontological-
ormalcy/postconvergence, and it is thus ontology-driven beyond any presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness distorted meaningfulness-and-teleology. This equally explains why a prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is cross-sectionally dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive given it is sticking to its ‘good-natured’ but ‘ontologically-wrong and failing’ reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (hollow-constituting<-as-disjoined-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>) as the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension has the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework sound reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (in ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction); wherein no amount of ‘good-naturedness’ of any individuation based on the former (prior/transcended/superseded) reference-of-thought can fundamentally supersede its structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments, but for the ‘emancipatory moulting’ (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/recomposuring) into reference-of-thought of the latter (prospective/transcending/superseding) of such would-be emancipating individuation/intellectuals and consequent institutionalisation/intemporalisation as transcendence. That is why there is no ontologically-veridical intradimensional resolution of issues and notions of sorcery for instance in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup with any such pretence being nothing but a ‘temporal extricatory paradigm’ to satisfy temporal preservation’, but for implying a prospective need for a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in satisfying intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Likewise there is no intradimensional
(<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), to this as hollow/formulaic constraining deterministic constructs which have to be exploited by the mere determinism-of-form about how others will act (hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>) rather than the essence as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation being sought originally by the institutionalised/intemporalised reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness). This fundamental dilemma of the cross-section of human mentation disposition is ‘a lost cause’, given the reality of the notion of a shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions inherent in a limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation); any resolution is not by wrongly implying any ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—(<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation transformation’ but rather institutionalisation/intemporalisation by its inherent eliciting of positive-opportunism to the grander cross-section of society in the medium to long-run wherein intemporal-disposition/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation dispositions by artifice/institutionalisation/intemporalisation come to constrain-or-dominate the social-construct (over temporal-dispositions/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-or-hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> individuations dispositions); with corresponding percolation-channelling facilitating the perpetuation of such intemporal enculturation even when such positive-opportunism gets weaker with grander
institutionalisations/intemporalisations, and so as the grander human good. This underlies the fundamental construct of rational-realism that human progress is the outcome of human increasingly realistic grasp of what man is with ‘lesser and lesser vague idealisations’, and that such ‘rational-realism’ enables humans to fully grasp their ‘emancipatory potential’ over ‘deluded idealisms’ that simply create space for falsehood, dead-end dilemmas as well as the consequent incapacity to take action, since basically knowing-is-acting!

Rational-realism (deprocrypticism) as such involves rather distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /deandoring with three paradigmatic teleologies:
- subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing temporal-disposition (psychopath), with ‘slanted mechanical narratives’ (stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/deandored and not &lt;(amplituding)formative&gt;epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase);
- subknowledging-temporal-dispositions-teleologies (the-various-temporal-dispositions-teleologies), with ‘banal mechanical narratives discomfiture’ (stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/deandored and not &lt;(amplituding)formative&gt;epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase); and
- the intemporally given and ontologising teleology which ontologically reflects/perspectivates the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing-temporal-disposition-(psychopath) and the subknowledging-registries-teleologies (the-various-temporal-dispositions-teleologies), from a ‘organic-comprehension-thinking depth as the stranding-dialectics backdrop of new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.
Thus at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is counterintuitive for temporal-dispositions not to perceive their registry-worldview/dimension as ‘un-transcendable’ (acting as if in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation while actually in temporal preservation-as-pseudointemporality; hence dementable/no-longer-thinking) due to \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)-epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-synergising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence which blinds the temporal-dispositions to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘intemporal preservation discontinuity’ as a result of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\(<\text{as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation}>\) as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-defects (and not logical defect) of compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising (psychopath) and the consequent derived – miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, and sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation; arising from the conjugation with the relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism. The reason why this is critical to grasp is that the veridical intemporal-disposition preserving emanance has to ‘organically and existentially pass-through’/reflect/perspectivate the registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\(<\text{as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation}>\) as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure on the basis of prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. * It is not an ‘avoidable luxury’ as it is the necessary transcendental element in establishing the backdrop for transcendence/prospective-institutionalisation. Galileo’s medieval ‘round world utterances’ nor Darwin’s and others ‘evolution contentions’ are not idle-and-dispensable articulations as all transcendences (occurring at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level and not logical operation/processing/contention level, are fundamentally about a new existential mental-devising-representation orientation) need to ‘break-the-mind’ of the prior temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> existential mental orientation to avoid <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (for example, no ‘God of plane’ for say an animistic mental orientation that sees gods and spirits as causative, i.e. avoiding to operate the meaningfulness of a transcendent registry-worldview/dimension in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the transcended registry-worldview/dimension). This starts with the would-be transcendence inducing intellectual(s)/emancipator(s) ‘owns reflexive individuation maximalising-as-transcendental liberation/emancipation’ from the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of such prior registry-worldview/dimension from which it/they necessarily come from as well as not heeding generalised-social-temporal-preserving-mental-inclinations; and so, consistently cross-generationally since transcendence/institutionalisation is ‘beyond just logical argumentation/contention’ as it points to ‘being-or-ontological existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications structure defect’ (defect of reference-of-thought/soundness-or-
authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, and so beyond logical defect). It is more like (a knowledge-driven/not impression-driven) ‘intemporal preservation recomposuring need or memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling’ for institutionalised/intemporalised being/ontology over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised, universalised being/ontology over ununiversalised, positivistic being/ontology over non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocryptic being/ontology over procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought.

The dynamism of social psychopathy and the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> involved with regards to both the psychopath and protracted social psychopathy (requiring ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’) can be resumed as follows. Basically, the psychopath is involved in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> in a committed drifting-circularity/roaming (of non-veridical dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase narratives ‘it wants to falsely represent veridically’), leading to temporal-dispositions slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect (contrasted to ontologising/intemporal conventioning-rationalising) and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect, and these, hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, conjoining and conjugating to temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, and fundamentally referenced from base ontologising effectivity (intemporal preservation); in
ephemeral/temporal and ontologic/intemporal contrast, thus reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the stranding-dialectics of temporal-dispositions denaturing of social psychopathy (subknowledging/mimicking) arising from initiating phenomenal psychopathy (subknowledging impulse) involving a distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought construal (as the backdrop of new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation and ultimately enabling its transcendental collapsing/overriding for institutional-recomposure/prospective-memetic-reordering). That’s how the ‘given reality’ is being subknowledged/registry-perverted. The technique to be utilised comprehensively for grasping the social psychopathy dynamism is by articulating an intemporal-referencing transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reality construct (by intemporal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is meant an approach that makes the given prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation reality the ‘reference of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking’, and re-orientating the mimicking-subknowledging into a slantedness/decandoring)/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought based on:

teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) are not veridically and demonstrable to be ontologically real and should be related to as being in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and are rather involved in ‘temporal preservation’ and not intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation),

2. Psychopath’s compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising (as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or hollow-mimicking) in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking<iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic in committed ‘circularity-of-extrinsic-attribution’ (it should be noted that there is an internal contradiction reason why the psychopath in its postlogism in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, and equally other temporal interlocutors mimicking the psychopath’s postlogism in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, will carry on such a ‘circularity-of-extrinsic-attribution’ as the need to square up to the priorly slanted hollow mimicking narratives call for new slanted hollow mimicking perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> narratives even if it’s just to get a respite to enable an interlocutor’s or another interlocutor’s prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation alignment to the new hollow mimicking postlogism-formulaic slanting compulsion–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation narrative, a process known as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic),

4. Analyst’s reflection/perspectivation of the above 3 mechanisms as postlogic/subknowledging/mimicking/registry-perverting with contention never being about logical operation/processing/contention of the non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives but rather mental-slantedness/decandoring (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) of the psychopath and the interlocutors as ‘a manifestation of vice-and-impediment (never contention), i.e. rEORIENTATION’,

5. Analyst’s intellectual articulation known as SUPRASTRUCTURING, wherein the universal ontological implication of social psychopathy dynamism across the human species (across space-and-time)/the-social/ontological-paradigm is drawn so that the principles so articulated can be applied in all incidental cases of social psychopathy dynamism (with the intellectual responsibility of avoiding just an ad-hoc/circumstantial based analysis and never elevating such poor rationalisations into an ontology, i.e. avoid the extrication-paradigm). SUPRASTRUCTURING effectively involves: (a) ‘registering’/stranding-dialectics of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> associated with social psychopathy dynamism, i.e. procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-slantedness/decandoring (b) ‘superseding’ by developing universal axiomatic
construct/categorical-imperatives preempting ‘(a)’ above which are habituated over a
generation or two of the human species for deprocrypticism
institutionalisation/intemoralisation transcendence involving its formalisations and
internalisations (psychoanalytic-unshackling by: (i) articulating a social universal-
transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the
registry-worldview-perversion, (ii) generating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework ‘internal contradiction’ in the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>
registry-worldview (iii) referencing/registering/decisioning or stranding-dialectics the
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversion/dimension defect for prospective
preemption with new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension (iv) intemoral
projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in
alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-
objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic (being-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-
phase/logically-incongruent/transversal) to reflect/perspectivate a mental-devising-
representation of the superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension as ontologically-
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/dialectical-preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>/registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-
Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-
thought/mental-perversion/subknowledge/mimicking-and-corresponding-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising), inducing a
‘habituation’ of the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension
cross-generationally. For instance, structurally the positivistic mental frame is in alienated-
disposition/logically-incongruent and generates internal contradiction towards the non-
positivism/medievalism mental frame as otherwise you have
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag or the referencing/registering/decisioning
of meaning in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the registry-worldview/dimension that
needs to be superseded/preceded/overridden/abjected, for instance, retrospectively the ‘god of
plane’… type of proposition from an early animistic society which doesn’t comes to terms
with the prospective positivist worldview construct as it hangs on to its non-positivist
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and this will equally
apply prospectively between deprocrypticism and procrypticism as the procryptic
mindset/reference-of-thought will strive to register meaning not prospectively taking account
of procrypticism as a ‘mental perversion/defect’, and likewise retrospectively with the
‘medieval mindset’ with respect to the positivist mental frame. This obviously calls for an
‘intellectual/scientism detachment’ towards the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> registry-worldview/dimension, with an intemporal-disposition sense of
contributing to the bigger possibilities for of the species, i.e.
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as opposed to an extricatory or incremental
or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ or temporal-accommodation paradigm which is about temporal interest, and so, beyond ‘temporal emotional involvement’ or at ‘reality personality’ wherein the notion of human temporal compromising is not an ontological notion but rather defines and qualify the nature of human temporality/shortness in an ontological construct).

This way of hermeneutic ‘ontological reasoning’ to arrive at ‘intemporal-or-ontological meaning’ that is beyond any <$\text{(amplituding)}$>formative$>$epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/self-centered/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/mirage mental projection within just a given registry-worldview/dimension so as to ‘grasp fundamental intemporal as of the inherent nature of existential-reality’ is central to the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as a doppler-thinking exercise known as suprastructuralism. Suprastructuralism is grounded on ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight and places ‘abstract intrinsic-reality as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ above the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology devising (supposedly for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) meant to represent it in a given registry-worldview/dimension as prior/transcended/superseding (which as such is now construed as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-$<$as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation$>$ in the mental-devising-representation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, thus requiring new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to ‘preserve the abstract and intrinsic-reality as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’. Deprocrypticism’s suprastructuralism involves ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness so-construed as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and so, beyond just about a prospective moral virtue but the prospective overall the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct as ‘ontology and its subsuming of virtue’, just as positivism is beyond just about a moral virtue but comprehensively an overall the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct carrying a virtue that supersedes the vices-and-impediments of the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldvieww/dimension). It calls for a knowledge construct, whether social or physical, beyond just positivistic categorisation of knowledge but as ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation ontology’. Thus, the doppler-thinking exercise of suprastructuralism enables the conceptualisation/construal of institutionalisation-or-intemporalisation-or-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in grasping the denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence basis of analysis, and by so doing grasping the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendancy of intrinsic-reality.

[Referentialism involves a reference-of-thought (characteristic of deprocrypticism) construing existence and existential-conceptualisation/construal as about the ‘precedingness of becoming’ as of conflation rather than constitutedness (notwithstanding the instances of the latter’s contingent approximating-nature for conceptualisation/construal construed as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness). Constitutedness tend to fallaciously
imply ‘existence of things in existence’ whereas conflation rightly implies ‘things becoming in existence rather as subsumed-in-existence in a superseding–oneness-of-ontology’; so because constitutedness takes a simplistic shot at construal/conceptualisation of existential-reality practically presuming this to be ‘effectively absolutely real and final’ but then with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) this is erroneous hence the need for re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as ‘re-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ perpetually when aware of its deficiency. Conflation takes a shot at construal/conceptualisation of existential-reality from an open-ended insight/fugue as of referentialism from the more profound ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existential-reality factoring in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation as of metaphysics-of-absence, and as implied by the notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation that goes beyond ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩wooden-language-⟨imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology⟩ which are continually put into question, by being open-ended to upholding/not-failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication. Thus, constitutedness will wrongly induce virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-
reference, and so, with more and more profound defective construal/conceptualisation consequence with deeper and deeper categorisation and analysis. Often, and where aware, about the critical defective nature implied by constitutedness in categorisation schemes, there will be re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as a contingent resetting resolution for the induced ‘virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-of-constitutedness of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought’ (by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) that will then require another contingent resetting resolution for the subsequently induced ‘virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-of-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ down the line when aware of its further critical defect again (though, in a sense the entire recomposuring process could be qualified as a ‘practical presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ exercise). But then the inherent nature of existence in relation to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) construal of it is one of evasiveness as implied by the ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ such that we are only occasionally and partially aware about the critical defective nature implied by constitutedness in categorisation schemes, thus fundamentally defining the limits even of a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of existential-conceptualisations/construals. The implication is beyond just the notion of knowledge construal/conceptualisation categorisation schemes and scheming but extends to the very
inherent construal/conceptualisation of knowledge as of its implied ontological and virtue construct itself; so because the structural/paradigmatic basis of categorisation scheming are equally the structural/paradigmatic basis of the inherent analysis and meaningfulness-and-teleology construed/conceptualised. Since categorisation schemes (whether construed/conceptualised beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) define the ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’, it is critical to grasp that the inherent structural/paradigmatic limits/defects of such ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’ are systemic hence inducing ‘flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ as of ontological and virtue implications (as ontologically-perspectival-degraded-as-decentered/preconverging-or-dementing-reflexive/entailing-teleological-differentiation-as-of-subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) at the given ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’. Beyond its conceptualisation as of knowledge categorisation and categorisation scheming but rather as of effective ontological-and-virtue conceptualisation/construal, constitutedness implies a simplistic/trite categorical relation in the construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontological and virtue essence that is susceptible to defect as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>; and as such, constitutedness will speak of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and various shades of temporality/shortness in their ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ including psychopathic slantedness constitutedness. The comparison highlighted further below with respect to the 6 BODMAS characters and
character A (Addition) as the additionality defect character, is most telling of the inherent nature of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding)formative>epistemetic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-suppererogation) induced constitutedness which is conceptually associated with conceptualisation/struval of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ (since such a construal fully reflect the reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal reference-of-thought nature, with high ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ of temporal-dispositions reference-of-thought, much like the ‘conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ of the other BODMAS characters to A’s fundamental postlogism-slantedness pathological condition/constitutedness as when insisting on upholding the <amplituding>formative<wooden-language>(imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-ordementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) and not factoring in A’s underlying condition and defect as constitutedness, and so out of sync with the existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as the more fundamental a priori whose imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring reveals the fundamental defect of applying additionality reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity.). The resolution by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring is most telling of the inherent nature of conflation which is conceptually associated with ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’; as conflation speaks of a more profound relation in the construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontological and
virtue essence that is susceptible to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-({\textit{amplituding}}formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication, and so even when elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity is denaturing as exposed by existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, to further construe new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation factoring in the imbricatedness/threadness/recomposuring reflecting the existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Conflation, as so-construed in referentialism, by striving to sync with the very inherent evasive nature of existence in its imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring (with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-({\textit{amplituding}}formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)) as of referentialism is absolutely referencing on the basis of ontological-normalcy or postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as being the preceding notion for construal/conceptualisation with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, and so grasped as conflation emphasises projective-insights for upholding ontological-normalcy or postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Hence conflation
will tend to avoid systemic defects of analysis associated with constitutedness requiring re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’. Conflation is thus naturally inclined to induce ‘appropriate-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ by the ontological and virtue implications (as ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing). As so articulated, these two concepts operantly address in a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration or any other operant conceptualisation the notion of a ‘Différence-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as meaning produced apparently with the ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the various instances) but actually implying ‘different relations to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought’, underlined by the disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions. Further, constitutedness and conflation, as so articulated, are such fundamental notions with respect to how humans limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨amplituding⟩formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) come to grasp existential-reality/ontological-veridicality that these two underlying notions are critically definitional relative to existential-construal/conceptualisation of understanding and failing-understanding, and insightfully explain the fundamental basis of the consecutive transformations of human psychologisms as induced by ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional-level of institutionalisations as well as at the individuation-level with respect to conception and misconceptions of meaningfulness-
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elaboration of constitutedness and conflation with respect to psychologism, the reason why a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension needs its own knowledge-construct reference-of-thought psychologism has to do with the fact that every registry-worldview/dimension has ‘its own specific constitutedness/conflation psychological complex reflex mechanism’ wherein its limits in the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality are defined, and this is subpar to the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension knowledge-construct reference-of-thought which thus needs its own corresponding psychologism for its superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology, achieved by ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as constitutedness re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification’. Consider the example of the ‘God of plane’ type of expression in an animistic/base-institutionalisation setup, where their fundamental psychologism is so ingrained that every meaningfulness from a positivistic social-setup cultural diffusion is inevitably reconstrued in the animistic/base-institutionalisation psychologism, until down the line the latter’s meaningfulness-and-teleology <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-dr...
institutionalisation social-setup (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology as rather the mental-disposition apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument in the use of numbers is more about acting in currying favours or in view to receiving favours meaningfully as of ‘(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ (as can be observed by anthropologists in various forms in many a hunter-gatherer and animist societies), rather than use of numbers considered as of such a relatively independent-domain and exactness of meaningfulness-and-teleology orientation as we construe of arithmetic and mathematics in say a universalisation or positivism registry-worldview/dimension Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving. Thus use of numbers is defined by other ideas in such early hunter-gather and animist societies given Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology like the notion of wealth accumulation, which will be predominantly about ‘inducing a sense of social obligation or faithfulness or deference’ from other persons, and so together with other cultural peculiarities that avoid hoarding and emphasise wealth display, gifts, etc. Psychologism (as being central in conflation or rather ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as recurrent re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification of
constitutedness), refers to the underlying human reflex mental scheme of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ‘allowing for its given capacity to supersede its psychological complex in construing ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework transcendental-enabling/sublimating and corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The bigger question could be asked; why doesn’t humans in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation spontaneously articulate and relate to meaningfulness-and-teleology as humans in base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, who do not do likewise as humans in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, who do not do likewise as humans in positivism–procrypticism? Is it a difference in species, as of successive species? Obviously, no! As we know from history and anthropology that cultural diffusion has shown that all humans are able to come to terms and operate at the highest forms of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation. This fundamentally points to the centrality of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism ‘placeholder-setup/mentation/mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as arising and determined by its specific limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness in relation to conflation) construal/conceptualisation as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’. The underlying human psyche is in need of a ‘framework of intelligibility construal/conceptualisation’ as its mental-scheme (psychologism) by which humans, given their limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<amplifying>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), can then project ‘mental and existential investment’ in a world of perceived stakes (social, natural and/or supernatural) in a ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ (which holds the resources for individual and collective human possibilities, like prior developed culture, language, skills, etc. available for individual and collective intersolipsistic exploitation and renewal). Noting that at stake is its existential survival and
thriving, and so it is involved in a relative zero-sum game of existential possibilities, on the basis of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding)formative-epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) determining its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as enabled by the ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. This ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ is highly linear as of the possibilities for construing human psychical and institutional readjustments in inducing successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure which are thus equally in a linearity. This notion of ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ harkens back to that of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation by its socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions further redefining the possibility of uninstitutionalised-threshold as the threshold for failing/not-upholding the institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and the possibility of prospective institutionalisation as renewing reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to the uninstitutionalised-threshold, thus further redefining successive prospective socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds as successive prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions. Thus, implying a dual-faceted representation of human mental-disposition as uninstitutionalised-and-institutionalised, wherein by metaphysics-of-presence, the present registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought by its inherent presencing-inclination disposition will asymmetrically be oriented as institutionalised in secluding its uninstitutionalised facet from placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with any sense of uninstitutionalised-threshold being rather an afterthought posture rather with respect to the
prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised facet of reference-of-thought. It is this appreciation successively implied registry-worldviews/dimensions prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought emphasising both institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-facets that naturally validates the notion of a ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ that is counterintuitive to a stigmatic/mented psychology as conceptualised today. Such a ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ by its contiguity in grasping the implications of human temporal (pseudointemporal)-to-intemporal mental-dispositions as a contiguity of shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology should be predicative of human meaningfulness-and-teleology (much the same way that the notion of temporality-to-intemporality thresholds driven construal enables an existentially operant <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in–
‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construal of virtue beyond the ‘relatively impression-driven basis of conceptualisation’ associated with
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-
abstractiveness-of-presencing-in–‘trepidatious-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, \( (amplituding) \) formative> epistemic-
totalising~nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-
consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving allegiance/subservience driven 
construal, \( (amplituding) \) formative> epistemic-totalising~ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-
abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving qualification/good-to-bad driven 
construal, \( (amplituding) \) formative> epistemic-totalising~intervalist-as-categorising-
phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context categorisation/kindness-humility-helpfulness-
etc. driven construal), superseding the non-contiguous nature of present stigmatic/mented 
psychology. Such a ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven 
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context that led to the base-institutionalisation—unniversalisation psychologism grounded on rule-making differing from the non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism, as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition psychologism of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, with its corresponding grander ontological and virtue implications. Interestingly consider for comparison our mented/stigmatic psychology construct (which is relatively ontologically non-contiguous by the positivism registry-worldview/dimension
‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in—‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument’, as it doesn’t construe a
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in—‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring/instrument-for-
operator-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, as conflation, of temporality-as-
pseudointemporality-to-intemporality of human individuations as is the case with
referentialism as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, as so implied by
‘notional–deprocrypticism’), under the positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-
of-thought as absolute value-judgment (not withstanding its prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as positivism–procrypticism); likewise, we’ll
necessarily be suspect with regards to a corresponding approach where for instance the non-
positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought equally construed a relatively
ontologically non-contiguous stigmatic/mented psychology construct based on its registry-
worldview/dimension ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–ordinal-as-qualifying-
phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context categorising dispositions’ or ‘second-level
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, on the basis of
its meaningfulness-and-teleology as value-judgment (not withstanding its prior relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism–(failing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-
directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism)
when factoring in such mental-dispositions as believing in superstitions, alchemy, notions-
and-accusations-of-sorcery, etc). As we come to recognise that such an approach renders the
meaningfulness-and-teleology as value-reference of every registry-worldview/dimension at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as the absolute determinant of what can be psychology, with a naivety that doesn’t allow consciously, (as consciously decentering and pivoting with respect to human psychical and institutionalisation implications), for prospective transcendence, as it doesn’t factor in the said registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought to then project that there may be a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought which meaningfulness-and-teleology as value judgment transforms psychological-construal/psychologism. The best possible outcome in this regard is as of the construal of a ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as it establishes prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by social universal-transparency-⟩{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. As setting up the relevant contingent psychology is only by a construal that the best possible psychology-construct/psychologism is necessarily attained by successive registry-worldviews/dimensions construals/conceptualisations by their contingent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by social universal-transparency-⟩{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-⟨(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (that is, ‘contingent ontologising-
capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’), and so successively across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, whether retrospectively or prospectively. This insight about the nature of a mented/stigmatic psychology compares with the instance about a Kantian absolute apriorising/axiomatising/referencing exercise; in that in both instances, human mentation capacity is construed as absolutely given at all times, with that mentation capacity rather ‘reflexively and erroneously’ absolutely construed as of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, and what is not factored in is the fact that there is a human limited-mentation-capacity that maximalisingly-recomposures as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity inducing the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations reference-of-thought with their own ‘specific institutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments’ as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to their social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<\textit{amplituding})formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; with the implications being that social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<\textit{amplituding})formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought redefines prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology and the corresponding
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, implying an epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought based on prospective maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness ultimately as of ‘notional–deprocrypticism’; as this consciously factors in the reality of the need of transcendence as decentering/pivoting with respect to psychical-orientation, meaningfulness-and-teleology construal/conceptualisation, institutionalisation and overall existential becoming. This validates the notion of ‘postconverging–or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as of its construing of notional–deprocrypticism as ‘deprocrypticism suprastructuration’ or ‘deprocrypticism suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the overall registry-worldview/dimension reconstrual of superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ (enabling the <$\text{(amplituding)formative}>$epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in–‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-

reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/conflation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of the deprocrypticism socially-functional-and-accordant as of intemporal/ontological contiguity, with no-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-non-dissociability, thus upholding deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as-to-
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non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism). Thus, with notional–deprocrypticism further enabling the abstract intemporal/ontological contiguity grasp of human ‘individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as it can accrue at the intradimensional-level of individuals-notionally-as-receptacles-of-temporal-to-intemporal-individuations and individuals-as-institutionally-constrained-actors-as-of-intersolipsistic-deambulation, and hence ontologically-joins in its construal/conceptualisation the construct of the individual and the social as of ‘deprocrypticism suprastructuration’ or ‘deprocrypticism suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology synapsising-depth as of the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reconstrual of superseding—oneness-of-ontology’ (just as in the natural sciences, physics ontologically-joins chemistry and chemistry ontologically-joins biology). This is in contrast with an ontologically non-contiguous stigmatic/mented psychology construct which relative ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ largely limits its notion to ‘affect’, and not a full-blown ontological-contiguity as conflation elaborated ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology’ determination in full ontological converging with the social (as metaphysics-of-absence of the social, ‘conflation psychologism’ based on ‘temporal-to-intemporal contrastive-synapsising-depths-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ going by the ‘referentialism technique of point-referencing, explained elsewhere,’ that restores existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in undermining procrypticism or disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). Hence by recurrent re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification of constitutedness of reference-of-thought as a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ exercise at worldview-level, institutional-level and operant-level of meaningfulness-and-teleology, the requisite psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for
\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)-epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought
for prospective transcendence is achieved. Insightfully, (beyond ‘presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness’) the full \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\)-epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of conflation as
implied with referentialism as the underlying transcendental memetic suprastructural-
meaningfulness fugue reflecting existential-reality will take an even more critical bearing
with respect to deprocripticism psychologism as unlike the articulation as presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (rather heuristically and beyond consciousness-
awareness-teleology) in previous institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, with
deprocripticism conflation is rather bound to be perceived and construed as of the
(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in its full potential on the basis of
referentialism as of the full development of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Thus, the
notion of conflation (including ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’) can be
conceptualised across all transendences as providing the ‘centering platform’ (that reflects
the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-reality as of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
preservation) as the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-
of-thought, for ‘decentering’ the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-
threshold reference-of-thought in its ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of
reference-of-thought’ with respect to the prospective registry-worldview/dimension
institutionalisation reference-of-thought overall existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context meaningfulness-and-teleology; (as ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality increasingly supersedes ‘prior-conventioning as social-aggregation-enabling’, wherein for instance scientific explanations psychologism (as of prospective conflation) supersedes mythical/supernatural/ALCHEMY explanations psychologism (as of prior constitutedness) as ‘prospective-conventioning as transcendental-enabling/sublimating’; interestingly, highlighting how and why transcendence for prospective institutionalisation is construed in transcendental-enabling/sublimating terms as its strive for a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought necessarily implies a more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with respect to the prior as uninstitutionalised-threshold prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought revealing which by reflex adopts a social-aggregation-enabling disposition with respect to the prior-conventioning). In this respect, ultimately the full achievement of conflation will involve fully expanding the sphere of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, as of ‘intemporal knowledge constraining construct’, for thorough construal/conceptualisation of social reality which is relatively highly prone to ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought and thus resultant presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as of social-aggregation-enabling, hence undermining relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating of the social. Ultimately, given the comprehensive and typical underlying proneness of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(amplituding)<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to constitutedness as its fundamental mentation deficiency at uninstitutionalised-threshold or as of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ (which it tends to resolve by ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ when aware of defective constitutedness) with respect
to psychical-orientation, meaningfulness-and-teleology construal/conceptualisation, institutionalisation and its overall existential becoming, as so reflected in the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions; deprocrypticism by its very transcendental essence comprehensively comes into grips with the constitutedness in positivism–procrypticism as it attains more than just ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ but an overall comprehensive conflation insight as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism for superseding positivism–procrypticism. Conflation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism in superseding constitutedness, provides resolution as of 3 aspects of meaningfulness-and-teleology: firstly, with respect to temporal instigating as constitutedness like psychopathic-slantedness insane-fitment ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation and its derivation with respect to temporal reprisings of such constitutedness as ‘conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ associated with conjugated-postlogism temporal reprisings by construing/conceptualising such perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomenon, and re-establishing social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) that by itself is the fundamental basis for human knowledge-and-virtue; secondly, articulating the universal aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness; and thirdly, highlighting the structural/paradigmatic pivoting/decentering as prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought possibilities. It should be noted that ‘a mentation reflex as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’ is no less valid with respect to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of
‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ (speaking of uninstitutionalised-threshold) as ‘a mentation reflex as centered and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ is valid with respect to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’; and so, with no relevant need for attending to any ‘psychological complexes’ with respect to a representation as of an uninstitutionalised-threshold wrongly being construed as of institutionalisation (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold) as being ‘a mentation reflex as centered and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ instead of ‘a mentation reflex as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’. The point of this statement is that when procrypticism as our uninstitutionalised-threshold is bound to be construed as of metaphysics-of-absence, the normal psychologism we know of as of our positivism institutionalisation will no longer apply, as our procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology will be represented as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as the necessary/requisite backdrop for the construal of prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation ushering in deprocrypticism as prospective institutionalisation. In this regard, we’ll certainly inherently relate to preceding successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism effectively as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics, though this will most probably be resisted with respect to such a representation of our denaturing of positivistic meaningfulness as our prospective procrypticism uninstitutionalisation (just as the correspondingly humans in the preceding successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds by
mentation reflex had, consciously and unconsciously, resisted a representation as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics); while we can recognise successively the centered and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism nature of base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism, though probably less so of deprocrypticism institutionalisation as it points to the decentering and ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of our procrypticism uninstitutionalisation. Such institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold construal at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional-level is reflected/perspectivated operantly by the concepts of conflation as of centering and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought implied with institutionalisations and constitutedness as of decentering and ontologically/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought implied with uninstitutionalised-thresholds; prompting the respective institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold psychologisms as of the apriorising/precedingness of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reflecting this reality beyond and above our subpar <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought in positivism–procrypticism from a deprocrypticism perspective, just as we’ll recognise for instance that a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mental-disposition contending against positivism institutionalisation meaningfulness is actually acting out a subpar <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought as of the apriorising/precedingness of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context reflecting this reality beyond and above it from the positivism perspective. Thus it is fundamentally the case that the requisite construal/conceptualisation as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of an uninstitutionalised-threshold is hardly just one of ‘simplistic knowledge elucidation’ but rather an elucidation as of intellectual courage in bluntly asserting decentering and ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. Intellectual courage as imbuing knowledge with organic profoundness of intemporal philosophy rather than just a mechanical construct of technicalities is the central driver for all initiated transcendences and prospective institutionalisations, as this goes beyond intellectual institutional-being-and-craft, since there is ‘no magical knowledge technicality’ for implying a more profound ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over a relatively relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought but for such intellectual bravery to buck the trend or subvert as so displayed by the many illustrious positivism registry-worldview/dimension enablers subverting a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought, fundamentally so with respect to such an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality knowledge construct issue associated with transcendental-enabling/sublimating rather than a conventioning sovereign construct/choice issue associated with social-aggregation-enabling. In this regard, the issue arising is ‘altogether not a knowledge elucidation problem’ with respect to the implied representation of uninstitutionalised-threshold as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics but rather a ‘psychological complex issue’ of the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. This explains why the issue is construed ontologically in ‘psychologism terms as of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiac-drag’, as requiring a coming to terms with the understanding implied by prospective institutionalisation as of its
more profound existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; as more fundamentally, Galileo’s use of a telescope to demonstrate a heliocentric system with respect to the non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought is not about the inherent knowledge implications to which the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought has ‘mentally shut-off’ to, but fundamentally about the ‘psychological complex’ of the non-positivism/medieval world of countenancing such meaningfulness as jeopardising the prior (non-positivism/medievalism), with the implication rather for the need of the prospective psychologism as the positivism institutionalisation psychologism

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought foundation as new placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology) requisite knowledge or meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought. Such equally applies with respect to deprocrypticism prospective institutionalisation relative to our procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold. In other words, prospective institutionalisation as transcendence is construed not in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘mechanical-knowledge’ which refers to ‘the simplistic ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework outcomes construed as the overtly compelling aspect of the knowledge’ validating a knowledge construct but is construed rather in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘organic-knowledge’ which refers to ‘the mental-disposition and mental-orientation as reference-of-thought/psychologism construed as including the discretional contemplative aspect of the knowledge, behind the thought process that eventually leads to and is subsuming of the mechanical-knowledge’.

Thus prospective institutionalisation as transcendence is grounded on such an underlying reference-of-thought associated with organic-knowledge qualified as the institutionalisation psychologism. In this regard, a chemist or botanist for instance in a non-positivistic as
medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation setup will certainly not confuse the fact that its demonstration of chemical reactions or a plant demonstration to approval in such a social-setup necessarily imply that ‘the underlying positivism mental-disposition and mental-orientation as reference-of-thought/psychologism construed as including the discrentional contemplative aspect as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of positivistic knowledge’ behind its thought process eventually producing the validating ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework outcomes means the medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation setup has grasped the positivistic organic-knowledge, as it is very much likely that it will surreptitiously and beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> conjure up explanations/meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of its non-positivistic medieval alchemic or non-positivistic animistic reference-of-thought psychologism; as it is naïve to think that implied organic-knowledge as of prospective institutionalisation transcendence requiring its own reference-of-thought psychologism can simply be construed as ‘mechanical-knowledge’ while still upholding/keeping the prior/transceded/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism, as the organic-knowledge rather points to ‘validating ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework outcomes as its mechanical-knowledge aspect but further requires a development of the discrentional contemplative aspect as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of the knowledge’, grounded rather on such a prospective institutionalisation psychologism as its ‘suprastructuration’ or its ‘suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology synopsising-depth as of the overall registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s reconstrual of superseding–oneness-of-ontology’, and not the prior/superseded/transcended uninstitutionalised-threshold psychologism. Such organic-knowledge gets institutionalised to an extent by the habituation as of circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the mechanical-knowledge implied reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling involving \(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag towards the ultimate cross-generational alignment to the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought, as a positivistic registry-worldview reference-of-thought. Interestingly, and so across all successive institutionalisations, what tends to be lost ‘the failure to register fully that the ‘intemporal projecting mental-disposition’ behind ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validating the institutionalisation of ‘mechanical-knowledge’ is rather the ‘vitality aspect’ of organic-knowledge and it is ‘not a passive dispensation’, just as well that the ‘temporal mental-dispositions’ superseded towards attaining the ‘mechanical-knowledge’ is ‘not simply a passive distraction’ with the insight that there is a contiguity as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-disposition relative to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality across all the successive registry-worldviews as at all their uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal-individuations-as-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are a drawback to transcendence (by adherence to ‘\(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\) wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions’ inducing their successive threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocriptivism institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology implies that transcendence rather reasoned in our positivism–procriptivism terms of psychologism is inevitably denaturing as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective; as it is in need of the organic-knowledge of the prospective institutionalisation psychologism or deprocriptivism psychologism as conflatedness (conflation psychologism) on the basis of the ‘referentialism technique of point-referencing (explained elsewhere), which involves ‘contrastive temporal-to-intemporal synopsising-depth from a deprocriptivism perspective’ that re-establishes existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and in so doing undermines the relatively defective terms of ‘positivism–procriptivism uninstitutionalisation psychologism’ (disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and setting up ‘deprocriptivism organic-knowledge institutionalisation psychologism including the discretional contemplative as of the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality aspect in preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought or upholding jointedness’, as structurally/paradigmatically transcending the overall vices-and-impediments of positivism–procriptivism registry-worldview/dimension. The further implication is that deprocriptivism is rather construed as a perpetuating metaphysics-of-absence which driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality can then enable that way the perpetual upholding of organic-knowledge. This ‘mechanical-knowledge by organic-knowledge’ implication for conceptualising institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is validated by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity
driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing—realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness (with change rather reflected as a result of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)), such that in addition to the human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) eliciting the successive ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ as highlighted above equally inherently imply (and so, as of complement to human limited mentation capacity), a grander non-constraining element qualified as ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ in-complemento to and reflecting the incompleteness of the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’; with both the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ and the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ implying the ‘organic-knowledge’ while just the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ is the ‘mechanical-knowledge’. The underlying idea is that an individuation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation notwithstanding its non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition (social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct), wherein human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor still applies and if they project
intemporally/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, is not necessarily utterly
devoid of a basic sense of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework as virtue-as-of-ontological-emancipation on the basis
that it doesn’t recognise rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism as of ‘mechanical-knowledge’, but while that can as well be the case when
projecting temporally/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-
accordance in such a setup as not constrained by any rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism (based on mere ‘mechanical non-
knowledge’ of non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism,-as-impulsive-
or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation),
however at the intemporal-threshold as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-
of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance notwithstanding its limited-mentation-
capacity, by intemporal-projection it will be able to summon heuristically a sense of the-
Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
from its ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-
element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (beyond the
mere ‘mechanical non-knowledge’ of non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism,-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition) as ‘organic-
knowledge’, for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal—mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—
narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology),
while failing/not-upholding<-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> the
‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ which together
with the ‘mechanical-knowledge’ make up the ‘organic-knowledge’, and so rather as of temporal extricatory paradigm. This further involves shades-of-temporal as postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-

discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-

demandisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-

performances inducing defect-of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-
apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as well as postlogism inducing
defect of reference-of-thought or perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-

Postlogism as such involves deliberate and wrong pretence of rational projection of thought
(as of teleologically-degraded synopsising-depth) whereas existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reveals that such thought derives
from ‘denaturing axiomatic relation’ as the ‘<amplituding>formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of mechanical-knowledge’ as
deterministic for temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology purpose in
disdain of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology essence of
knowledge as of its organic essence. The conjugation of other shades-of-temporal as to
postlogism induces their respective conjugated-postlogism leading by dynamic-cumulative-
aftereffect to a broader social derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>
construed as social-postlogism that fundamentally is denaturing of meaningfulness-and-
teleology at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold as threshold-of—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, in want for prospective
institutionalisation. The underlying insight being that human formulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily incomplete because of its limited-mentation-capacity and thus comes with an inherent sense/projection of ontological-appropriateness, and as of human developing ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as the driving element in upholding ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality. This notion as reflected by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (as it enables the further expansion of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance intemporal-thresholds and so as of ontological-emancipation-beyond-just-virtue) should be the critical and decisive constructive/institutionalising/nascent–sublimating-decisionality element for attaining deprocrypticism wherein the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ as mechanical-knowledge is construed as overlapping with the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ as organic-knowledge. The reality of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process points to the fact that the traditional construal of knowledge often tacitly as of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is incomplete and rather speaks of ‘vague intellectual intemporal-romanticism’ and doesn’t fit with the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought—
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as upheld by the mediocrity principle underlying a rational-realism perspective, and explains why articulating knowledge merely as ‘mechanical-knowledge’ is bound to lead to its distortion/perversion/misconstrual by the mere fact of human temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition adhering rather to <(amplituding)formative> wooden-language-{imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology} implied by the mechanical-knowledge explaining the successive need for ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality to overcome such distortion/perversion/misconstrual; as in fact despite such a vague idealism as intemporal-romanticism, implicitly where highly pressing we tend to be obliged to recognised this temporal-to-intemporal reality as implied in the way we go about developing many a social formal construct. Thus deprocripticism knowledge as overlapping the mechanical with the organic, as of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the mechanical-knowledge, is a further validation of the idea of notionalisation/notional-conception/amplituding of knowledge which emphasises in principle and beforehand/as-of-a-priori a deliberative consideration of this temporal-to-intemporal human disposition in relating to mechanical-knowledge as of prospective possibilities for a better preempting of temporality/shortness and skewing towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as of organic-knowledge overlapping. Further, the reality of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor means that human meaningfulness at all times is more of ‘a solipsistic transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human meaningfulness as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ and ‘not a ‘solipsistic commonness of meaningfulness that wrongly implies no temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions’, as any commonness is ‘a commonness implied with respect to secondnaturing institutionalisation as of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction thresholds’, with the implication that there is no point acting and relating with knowledge as if it is about a solipsistic transformation into intemporality/longness but rather relating to it as a secondnaturing exercise of skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating or deferential-formalisation-transference) with respect to the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process as virtue (a notion equally implied by many a prophesying metaphysico-theological construct as the intemporality/longness and transcendental projections as of their limited-mentation-capacity in their own times in resolving the issues of human temporality/shortness in their times). In which case while such intemporality/longness cannot be construed as of a social commonness of reference-of-thought, it’s occurrence if it does occur can only be construed in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (more like the abstract notion of faith, by definition and as implied in many a creed, however metaphysical though, can only be solipsistic to an individual and not amenable to a commonness of social contemplation) as of abstract intersolipsism. The Nietzschean metaphor ‘God is dead’, as of human emancipation, is one whose validity can only be
countenance where it implies the capacity of human pretence of intellectual-and-moral sublimation, and not the notion of intellectual-and-moral decadence. *Thus to sum up, the overall notion of conflation in relation with other elucidative associated notions can further be clarified as follows in ‘interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental terms in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ as well as ‘individuation terms of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions’. With regards to the interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process level, we can construe of conflation as of the<br>
reality/ontological-veridicality such that such varying is attributed to human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening-\langle \text{amplituding}\rangle \text{formative}\rangle \text{epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-
existence— as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} \rangle \text{ as of}
conflatedness (or construed as from constitutedness/’presencing— absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness’ to conflation) inducing both the registry-worldviews/dimensions
institutionalisation-facets (‘centered/in-phase’ and ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—
apriorising-psychologism’) and uninstitutionalised-threshold-facets (‘decentered/out-of-
phase’ and preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism as caricaturing-hollow-
staging-and-performance). Supposed a notional—conflatedness or conflation abstraction
across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions on the basis of the referentialism technique of
point-referencing (‘notional—deprocrypticism-or-as-from-recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation— to—deprocrypticism’) is undertaken with respect to establishing
‘reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance relative to social-
stim-contention-or-confliction’, it will fundamentally be perceived sceptically by the
respective uninstitutionalised-thresholds as it ‘decenters and dments beforehand/as-of-a-
priori’ as of their respective prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought, so implied by their given social universal-transparency—\langle \text{transparency-of-totalising-
entailing, as-to-entailing--}\langle \text{amplituding}\rangle \text{formative}\rangle \text{epistemic-totalising— in-relative-
ontological-completeness} \rangle \text{ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-
of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context; that is, as ‘decentering and preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-
psychologism beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation given its non-
rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism, as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-
random-mental-disposition or as of its failing/not-upholding—\langle \text{as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing}\rangle \text{rulemaking-over-non-rules—}
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism, as ‘decentering and preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation as failing/not-upholding–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism, as ‘decentering and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism
beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism as failing/not-
upholding–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–positivising/rational-empiricism-
based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism, and as ‘decentering and preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ positivism–procrypticism
as failing/not-upholding–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as-to-<amplituding>formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism. Critically and interestingly with the last stage since our positivism–
procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is necessarily in
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as with all ‘present-states’ of registry-
worldviews/dimensions as construed from their backend perspectives in reflecting the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, it would hardly be
inclined to interpret such conflation referentialism technique of point-referencing
(notional–deprocrypticism) that ‘decenters and dents it beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ on the
basis of such ‘doppler-thinking’ based on contingent-ontologising-capacity driven
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or
natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ and thus rendering its meaningfulness-and-teleology threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism at the positivism—procrypticism uninstitutionalisation, while it ‘pointlessly strives to be centered and postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism by reflex’ by not recognising its uninstitutionalised-threshold or the procrypticism uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as all ‘present-states’ of registry-worldviews/dimensions do by reflex), and thus rather involved in

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaning as of

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. But then we know and can appreciate that all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions were ‘decentered and preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ going by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’. This ‘anti-transcendence as anti-uninstitutionalised-threshold and anti-prospective institutionalisation mental-disposition’ of all ‘present-states’ of all registry-worldviews/dimensions is due to the fact of such ‘present-states’ <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag desymmetrisation alignment overly-overemphasising the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation-facet in a corresponding relation with a dissymmetrical alignment over underemphasising its

In other words, suprastructuralism (as of its referential and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence emanance perspective and as a doppler-thinking exercise) ushers in a whole new comprehensive registry-worldview across the entire social construction-of-meaning called deprocrypticism, much like positivism did over non-positivism/medievalism or universalisation over ununiversalisation or base-institutionalisation over iter-uninstitutionalisation. Central to such ‘a universal notion of deprocrypticism’ is the idea of an abject-recomposuring-ontologising by upholding ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation, involving postdication with postdicatory techniques and postdicatory mindset/reference-of-thought in reflection of the suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality (more like the positivistic registry-
worldview is all about existential positivistic conceptualisations, positivistic techniques and basic positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought superseding existential alchemic conceptualisations, alchemic techniques and a basic alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought that defined the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension); involving ensuring intemporal-disposition organic-comprehension-thinking that upholds-and-is-the reference-of-thought for ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality, over threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism by temporal-dispositions meaningfulness hotchpotching disjointing/disparateness/disentailing’ as perverted-and-derived-perverted-reference-of-thought and induces notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. In the bigger picture of human institutional transcendence, this is very much in line with the transcending-superseding of human uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘with increasing cumulation of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity’ that defined the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure specificities as: existential base-institutionalising with base-institutionalising techniques and base-institutionalising mindset/reference-of-thought (Base-institutionalisation); existential universalising with universalising techniques and universalising mindset/reference-of-thought (Universalisation); existential positivising/rational-empiricism with positivising techniques and positivising mindset/reference-of-thought (Positivism); and prospectively ‘existential ontologising’, and so beyond its conventioning incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of temporal-accommodation of positivistic meaningfulness, as ‘existentially abject postdicatory ontological-normalcy/prospective-
transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—
onological-preservation”, with postdicatory methods and techniques and an overall
postdicatory mindset/reference-of-thought (Deprocrypticism). Existential ontologising is
effectively the human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology aspiration towards a fulsome
grasp of intrinsic-reality/full-ontological-veridicality as fulfilling ontological-normalcy; all
along the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure levels but for incomplete human
placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
teleology capacity the preceding institutionalisation levels are more like successive
compromises towards deprocrypticism as ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-
in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-
preservation). A critical distinction between deprocrypticism institutionalisation and
positivistic institutionalisation has to do with the former uncompromising relation with
respect to upholding ontological-contiguity thus overcoming the temporal-emananances-
registries hotchpotching (<amplituding>formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-
of-thought—<as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
as-of—’nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) or
banality-of-thought dynamism, and specifically in the extended-informality—(susceptible-to-
even though it is very much present in the formal sphere as well) and the incrementalism-in-
relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
inherent in the positivistic mindset, thus the latter tends relatively to be weakly ontologically-
contiguous with all the existential implications thereof, whether with regards to virtue
construal or subject-matters issues. Further as with all transcendences, the transcendence
going from procrypticism, or the preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism
(perversion-of-reference-of-thought,<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology, to
deprocrepism will involve a psychoanalytically preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness of our present
positivistic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-
awareness-teleology wherein this is presently stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-
or-contendingly-in-phase to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology wherein the deprocrepism
mindset/reference-of-thought reflects/perspectivates the positivistic placeholder-
setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology at its
uninstitutionalised-threshold in hollow-constituting,<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> or stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-
and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase. So the deprocrepism institutionalisation
(as a renewed existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness-and-
teleology or memetic-refinement) ontologising involves an ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-
conflatedness as dialectical transformation, as-prospective reference-of-thought, of
intradimensional-meaningfulness psychoanalytically into-dementation/as-preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism of our present positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought
at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Even though as with all transcended registry-
worldviews/dimensions such an implied veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology will probably sound
unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural due to our positivistic illusion-of-the-
present/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/mirage; as
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. It should be noted that human uninstitutionalised-threshold refers to the point where a specific institutionalisation is failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by a formulaic adherence (lip-servicing) to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation hence attaining its uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein the ontological-veridicality of the mental-devising-representation is ‘in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not organic-comprehension-thinking’, and we can envision retrospectively the points of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions from our vantage point of being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process like an insight in the recurrent-utter-institutionalised ‘so-called savage’ mindset/reference-of-thought or the medieval mindset, for instance. Likewise such a threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism registry-worldview projection though of a different nature of the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension can be made prospectively from a deprocrypticism insight that overrides our illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/mirage given its more suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence vantage perspective in relation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontological-referencing. The general underlying principle for deprocrypticism methods and techniques is that of being abjectly ontologising, beyond positivistic meaningfulness conventioning and temporal-accommodation as ‘ontologically-
successive institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations elicit successive circumspections (as recomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology) in human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity that are enablers of the associated institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure: for base-institutionalisation the circumspection is one of contrastive uninstitutionalised-threshold – institutionalisation analytical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding institutionalisation; with universalisation the circumspection involves contrastive ununiversalisation – universalisation analytical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding universalisation; with positivism the circumspection involves contrastive non-positivism/medieval/alchemic – positivism/rational-empiricism analytic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding positivism/rational-empiricism; and prospectively, for deprocrypticism the circumspection will involve contrastive temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions analytic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding the intemporal-disposition as ontology. Critically, human analytical mentation capacity mainly disambiguates what-is-in-effect organic-comprehension-thinking and threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, respectively as the mental-devising-representation of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism representation and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism representation. Equally, with regards to human mentation capacity, the effect of limited mentation capacity characterising a given registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level and its social-construct not only defines its inherent vices-and-impediments but such a
social-construct further and critically structures and stifles the natural renewal of human emancipative dispositions. For instance, non-positivism/medievalism stifling inclinations to think outside of medieval mental-dispositiona and likewise with regards to our procrypticism. The bigger point of successive institutionalisations has to do overall with their specific emancipative registry-worldview/dimension framework as fertilising the cross-section of human practical and conceptual incidental issues and endeavours as well as the virtue constructs at the said registry-worldview/dimension. What is interesting with regards to an incidental study like psychopathy and social psychopathy with respect to the grander deprocrypticism institutionalisation level within the treatment of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure meta-conceptual frame is that it provides (besides being critically important to grasp by itself as a parasitising/co-opting phenomenon that can potentially arise in all human locales) the incidental and the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework backdrop and background that informs and deepens understanding of the overall meta-conceptual analysis of perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> issues (issues arising from the tempering or false implying of the apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology and thus inducing a fundamental flaw with the reference-of-thought in the first place, and further at a second-order level in wrongly implying the existential veridicality of logical-dueness (thus making irrelevant the construing of soundness or unsoundness) of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation), which in turn further enlighten the incidental analysis of psychopathy and social psychopath. Such dynamic and mutually beneficial insight at the meta-conceptualisation and incidental further extends to other related incidental issues relevant to the meta-conceptualisation.
It should be noted that this overall explanatory exercise is ‘not reasoning by analogy’ but rather contiguous (ontological-contiguity) as the fundamental notion is institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy (intemporal-preservation contiguity; by a skewing device (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference of the averageness of human temporal-dispositions, with corresponding formalisation and internalisation as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition which is inherently ontological and syncs with intrinsic reality in its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and hence its supersedingness as it induces overall social virtue-as-of-ontology). Institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) involves:


- universalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation (whose reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity—or—ontological-preservation ‘persion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ as non-positivism/medievalism intemporally calls for positivism),


- and prospectively deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation (whose reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy—or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation will carry the ‘virtuous and intellectual responsibility’ to recognise that ‘persion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ is an endemic human mental defect/persion disposition retrospectively to prospectively, and that this is ‘a lost cause’ due fundamentally to mediocrity principle of humans having in reality ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ and not ‘universal intemporal-disposition’, and the construct of deprocryptic categorical-imperatives/axioms should be anticipatory and preemptive of ‘persion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ perpetually at the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’. More like the modern notion of medicine doesn’t work on the idea of exceptional people, as this will ultimately lead to a wrong and superstitious disease theory, but accepts that structurally bacteria, cancer, organ failure, etc. cause disease and that the virtue of medicine is about how to understand and preempt the above causations; likewise deprocryptic virtue operates on a realistic grasp of human subknowledging/mimicking/temporal-to-intemporal-solipsistic-projections at
uninstitutionalised-threshold and then strives to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference for the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition, which is ontological, for intemporal-preservation entropy/contiguity).

We can garner such emanant (becoming) ‘psychoanalytic unshackled insight’ of how we transcended from non-positivism/medievalism to a positivistic registry-worldview. A literary insight can also be grasped reading Chinua Achebe’s Things Fall Apart on how a community where a traditional registry-worldview with its sense of purpose had to deal with positivistic transcendence. Think of the state of the mind of Okonkwo of the Umuofia Clan. Though, in this case the transcendence is by cultural diffusion rather than by internal philosophical transcendence. Basically, all transcendences involve ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling of this sort’. Counterintuitively, it should be understood that no transcendence is rational because you rationalise by operating logic on a sound registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives but then the need for transcendence due to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ is putting the soundness of registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives in question (as reference-of-thought supersedes/precedes logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation), so you rather have a reinvention as <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of a new and better registry-worldview/axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives by the psychoanalytic-unshackling coming from its better grasp/ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework of the world/intrinsic reality. Basically, we can say that human-emanant/becoming-transcendence is the first level of human invention (incremental inventions of relatively sounder minds; with the would-be ‘intellectual-analysts’ undergoing their own philosophical/first-level transcendence to liberate themselves before secondnaturing/institutionalising for the new possibilities for the species; noting that, this doesn’t mean that the Descartes, Comtes, Galileos, Newtons, Darwins… of the world, miraculously came up with positivism to supersede/precede/override/abject medievalism, as they were of medieval stock but by philosophical transcendence could project beyond the limits of non-positivism/medievalism even were they were still imbued with remnants of the old like alchemic beliefs. Hence it is the transcendental process that is actually critical)

Now what positive can come from psychopathy? From the intemporal perspective NONE. Besides specific social consequences of psychopathy as the context of ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ moves from family, neighbourhood, school, company, administration, business, criminality, etc. depending on the development of the specific psychopath; by and large, ontologically and as reflected by the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), the psychopath’s and other postlogic articulations have a nefarious effect, on social meaningfulness-and-teleology particularly in ‘spheres of extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ of society in general and social institutions, as the postlogic perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> induces threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism with many an interlocutor, and which by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect, undermines the sophistication/intricacy of thought involved with organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/"Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought"–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and often leads to a social dynamism of plainness and mediocrity which is subpar and corrupting to social and institutions teleological potential. In-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (prelogically), threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—


idea of ‘a higher teleology complex of being more profound with respect to threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ with respect to meaningfulness-and-
teleology ontological-veracity in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of registry-teleology
implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. However, with psychopathy and
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism of the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
existential–defect>, as meaningfulness is now not about a ‘defect of failing/not-upholding-
<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> contiguity’ intemporality/ontological-
veridicality as of specific existential-instantiation ontological-performance but rather
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of meaningfulness-
and-teleology. And this, in its fulsome articulation taken beyond individual and social
contexts to the comprehensive registry-worldview/dimension speaks of an underlying
‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> registry-
worldview/dimension defect of reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology: wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, as of its
inherently-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism, state-in-relation-
to-meaningfulness-and-teleology requires prospective base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation which as of its inherently-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology requires universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism which as of its inherently-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology requires positivism—procrypticism as of its inherently-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and prospectively positivism—procrypticism which as of its inherent disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought requires deprocrypticism. And this memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling process, is fundamentally about ‘the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency/postconvergence of the entropy to preserve intemporality’ known as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, with the idea that reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation are as pertinent only as these preserve intemporality, and are collapsed/overridden by new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, when shown not to be preserving intemporality, as when of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism with regards to the preceding reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation.

Further a registry-worldview/dimension that so misanalyses is not ‘shaped’ to review but rather syncretises/is-circular in its failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>—reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation rather than implying prospective ones for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation; such that ontologically-speaking the phenomenon is in a circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of reference-of-thought denaturing and relative-ontological-incompleteness, and endemised/enculturated (with a temporal rationalising reasoning that actually validates the veridicality of a human temporal-to-intemporal disposition that should not be confused with a secondnatured/institutionalised disposition in relation to virtue). This effectively forms the recomposured backdrop for prospective transcendental construct of deprocrypticism, as the ‘ontologising organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that reflects/perspectivates the protracted threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. But then, a psychopath can be so irrational that in temporal terms it might do a lot of ‘good’ to a specific individual or group of individuals (for instance, steal and distribute or even some other things but coming initially from a vice; as may be enabled by the psychopath’s faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge to attain an outcome). This dynamic element can make psychopathy and social psychopathy difficult to deal with as a social phenomenon, as the questions are not only how culpable is the psychopath but extend to who is temporally getting what from the psychopathic situation, what accounts and narratives should be believed, etc., thus requiring an abject and intemporally uncompromising ontological conceptualisation to construct an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework science. That said, beyond just about such a present worldly take to societal issues, there is a bigger question of the universal implications on human civilisation of postlogism in hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-

It is equally important to note that as much as the psychopath seem to have a weird mentality (slantedness), the incidence and initiation of psychopathy, equally has to do both with the nature of the psychopathic/postlogism mind contrasted to the nature of the ‘normal supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogic mind’, which are antipodal as the normal mind is by reflex prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as to existential-contextualising-contiguity and by reflex will tend to see prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism narratives while the psychopath is of postlogic compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) and does has an covert vista (when the interlocutor is not forewarned/experienced about its nature) in wrongfully inducing a sense of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism in the normal mind by compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation projective narrating (an insight that is easily picked up seeing the childhood psychopathy growing into an adolescent and an adult, as its more covert mental structure at adulthood can be retraced and associated to the awkwardness of expression at early life in

Straying into a basic elucidative anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity (a novel hermeneutic approach to psychology); extrinsic-attribution is a fairly common social mental-disposition, at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ as we are not inherently intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in our solipsistic projection but have the potential of temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) solipsistic/emanant projections of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performances. The mechanism of institutionalisation/intemporalisation and formalisation ensures that because of the positive-opportunism that the intemporal-disposition (as it syncs with intrinsic reality and is thus ontological) brings to the cross-section of human temporal interests at 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction', it tends to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference and dominate temporal-dispositions in the medium to long perspective. For instance, everyone will like to see a good legal system to ensure that they do not fall afoul of a bad judgment even if, circumstantially, maybe they themselves may be inclined not to have others or some others to enjoy the same (of course, the internalisation of our ‘present institutionalised/intemporalised positivistic meaningful worldview’ will seem to imply that we do have a first nature disposition to be inherently civilised to want to universally wish that everyone have to deal with a fair legal system, that anyway is to the credit of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process, but that is a secondnatured/internalised construct). This explains why there is no need to breach the scientific principle known as the ‘mediocrity principle’, (which says that there are no exceptions/specialness in science), to wrongly say that man is inherently intemporal (as in reality man is a temporal-to-intemporal creature in its moral/virtuous-agency); to explain why society tends to improve/progress. Rather, the intemporal disposition structurally brings more overall good and hence skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) man in the medium to long perspective towards ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (institutionalised, formalised and internalised)’. This elucidation is important because while internalisation might point to the social good it is important to understand that when dealing
with our solipsism at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ we aren’t anymore intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) than temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) going by the ‘mediocrity principle’, and the analysis should take account of this (by not just operating/processing logic but construing temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation with a stranding-dialectics highlighting organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and the distracting threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Why talk of ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’? This is the underlying notion of ‘a grand theory of psychology’ that has been missing to turn psychology from a paradigm of the human present as modern into a paradigm of across-and-of-all-times! Why? The foundation of a human psychological science should be fundamentally about ‘the contiguity/entropy conceptualisation of the human psyche’ (and as this permits institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure or anthropopsycho-ology or ‘the-anthropological-continuity’, i.e. cumulating/recomposing from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, based-institutionalisation–ununaversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism). The present treatment of psychology will seem to imply that all psychology is about psychoanalytic techniques on the modern positive mind, which is rather naïve and uninsightful not just in terms of scope but critically depth of conceptualisation. The answer to this ‘contiguity/entropy conceptualisation of the psyche’ is about how the underlying notion of ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation abstractly allows for human-subpotency survival/existence/emanance/fulfilment/flourishing in existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency–
prospective-digression-of—((amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness and assumes a fundamental
referencing base in the study of the psyche (noting that by saying ‘notion’ is meant, the
notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation covers
the concepts of temporal preservation (including subknowledging, mimicking)-to-intemporal
preservation, just as the notion of good covers the concepts of good-to-bad). Correspondingly, this notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation involves ‘mental candoring’ where mental-devising-representation
syncs with intrinsic-reality and mental decandoring where mental-devising-representation is a
wrong/flawed perverted representation of intrinsic-reality. If we have an anthropological
continuity/anthropopsychology, then the continuity as entropy is the exercise of candoring as
‘straightness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought
referencing/registering/decisioning or registry-teleology’ (being a functional representation of
how an intemporalising registry-worldview/dimension perceives itself) and decandoring as
‘perverted/brazen-but-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought
referencing/registering/decisioning or registry-teleology’ (being a functional representation of
how a prospective intemporalising registry-worldview/dimension perceives the prior-and-
‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism registry-worldview/dimension); with
this latter representation undermining the ‘temporal-dispositions solipsistic/emmanent postlogic
miscuing presumptuousness/arrogation effect’ as the unconscionability-drag responsible for
perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> across the
institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose, whether in the perversion-of-reference-of-
thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrpticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought.

Such a transcendent/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisation, for a novel genuinely universal psychology as anthropopsychology, involved in all successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation is profoundly elucidated with associated notions as follows:

- The concept of ‘stranding’/stranding-dialectics is the very drive (in providing insight on the transcendent/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, i.e. temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions) for such a conceptualisation of anthropopsychology or ‘genuinely universal psychology’. The philosophical conceptualisation of stranding is rather ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation’ which serves to avoid the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reflex or prelogic-reflex-admittance-reflex or in-phase-reflex (instead of rightly aligning by the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase reflex or transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflex) of ‘intemporal-disposition’ being wrongly attributed to all interlocutors by reflex without ensuring that their disposition is effectively intemporal and not temporal. Stranding-dialectics, and the corresponding notion of ⟨amplituding/formative⟩epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, are central to transcendentnal psychoanalytic-unshackling and memetic-reordering. Stranding ensures the ‘upholding of the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-
ontological-preservation whether a retrospective, present or prospective registry-worldview/dimension. Hence the need for ‘collapsing’/overriding of the transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with prospective transcending/superseding reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in anticipation and preemption as untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, as secondnaturizing and ‘not as temporal-dispositions transformation’ to wrongly imply a universal dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation human predisposition. For instance, the veridical stranded mental-devising-representation we may have from a positivistic standpoint of the non-positivism/medievalism mind as oblongated/decandored is not recognised by the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought by its syncretic reflex to be functionally in its mental straightness and candored (even though such a representation is ontologically wrong regarding its mental-devising-representation with respect to the its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism institutionalisation/intemporalisation). Prospectively, the stranding-dialectics of our own mental-devising-representation by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as oblongated and decandored at our uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring deprocrypticism institutionalisation/unintemporalisation will equally meet with an epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase reflex that will not recognise its slantedness and decandored veridicality. The intemporal-disposition is rather about emphasising
institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling as the means and basis for prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation. This highlights the vacuousness in all transcendental relations wherein the transcended is vacuous with respect to the transcending. Such vacuous transcendental manifestations involves dialectically (the transcended and transcending relation with regards to:) deductive narratives instances, life episodes, life schemes, general being/existential dispositions and the specific existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications involved with a registry-worldview/dimension; wherein temporal-dispositions present-consciousness (in their illusions-of-the-present) perpetually portray candor and straightness but on retrospection are shown to be decandored and oblongated which ontologically implies these are veridically in stranding-dialectics notwithstanding their

\textit{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored. This is ontologically foundational (more like the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument grounding spirit of arithmetic cannot be undermined in any way possible and you then have the possibility of sound arithmetic thereafter). Stranding-dialectics prevents temporal-dispositions (in the articulation and re-articulation of narratives) by the ‘temporal-dispositions disjunction/skipping’ to ‘wrongly imply the narratives subsequently articulated and re-articulated are of intemporal-disposition teleology hence wrongly implying candored and straightness, whereas these are in effect <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag iterating narratives of temporal-dispositions teleologies’; and so, by way of coring which involves accounting-for-temporal-dispositions-defect/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (the-perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) and avoiding setting-aside which rather involves glossing-over-temporal-dispositions-defect/preconverging-or-}
dementing–apriorising-psychologism (the-perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). This ensures in effect ‘the stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. Ontology is an altogether coherent construct with no room for excepting from coherence, which then simply implies the superseding of any such pretence of an excepting. (For instance, we can be calculating the sum \(5 \times 5 + 5 - 5\), and make the mistake to say \(5 \times 5 = 24\) but then overlook it and agree together that the answer should be 24 and go on to resolve the entire equation as 24. This type of non-ontological thinking (a non-ontological thinking is also known as a misanalysis or misthinking or misreasoning or mislogic or \(\text{<amplituding>formative}\)epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase or circularity or notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–\(\text{<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>}\), as there is no veridical meaningfulness that exists out of ontology or isn’t in ontological-contiguity) is highly prevalent in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of society as social-aggregation-enabling, the reason we strive to formalise whether in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of laws, institutions, organisations, etc. The basic fact is that the virtue of the intemporal-disposition constructs cannot accommodate non-ontology since reality doesn’t adjust to man and it is man that adjusts to reality. The stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implies that an interlocutor’s retrospectively demonstrable narratives miscuing and subsequent perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> speaks of the real nature of its present and prospective narratives as decandored and oblongated in effect ontologically but that by an illusion-of-the-present reflex
as well as for the sake of functioning we tend to represent by default such miscuing and
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> meaning as
straightness/candored (intemporal) which is not ontologically veridical; in which case the
prospective transcended registry-worldview strands such meaningfulness as
decandored/oblongated (subknowledgeing/mimicking) even if the mental-disposition of the
transcended registry-worldview is in an illusion-of-the-present straightness/candoring mental-
devising-representation of meaning. In other words, stranding-dialectics ensure an affixing of
temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> teleologic
orientations denaturing to the corresponding temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> mindsets in their ontological-escalation/aetiologisation without letting for a
disjunction/skipping into intemporal/straightness-of-mental-devising-representation
disposition teleologic orientation, and so, to the point of the temporal-dispositions
collapsing/overriding (‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-
mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) with the new prospective
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the transcending registry-
worldview/dimension. For instance, the mental-devising-representation of a non-
positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought relating to say an accusation of sorcery
by an intemporal positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will not be limited to that
particular instance but carries the ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as
ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ that speaks to metaphorically-a-million-and-one-
instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation dispositions of that non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought by way of stranding-dialectics from the intemporal positivistic mindset, and upholding such the ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ for the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that collapses/overrides the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought cross-generationally (consider the diffusion of positivistic registry-worldview and its psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of non-positivistic registry-worldviews in the 19th and early 20th century). Stranding defines the ‘decandored registry-worldview/dimension dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) mental-devising-representation’ such as the mental-devising-representation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, and so, beyond the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness of all these successive registry-worldviews/dimensions which in their<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present will tend to wrongly recover/syncretise to project straightness/candoring of mental-devising-representation as intemporality/longness rather than decandored/oblongated mental-devising-representation as temporality. Stranding is validated by the fact that transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisation speaks of an ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation constraint/secondnaturing’ and ‘not temporal-dispositions transformation into intemporal-disposition as dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation’; and this idea is so foundational that it is beyond-and-supersedes/precedes/overrides/abjects the
consciousness-awareness-teleology of temporal-dispositions such that ‘they are not called upon in argumentation’, just as we are not consciously called upon to establish whether blood flows in our body, as it is a preceding/superseding truth that supersedes/precedes/overrides/abjacts our thinking or not of it! Thus stranding-dialectics is rather intemporally/ontologically conceptualised for its validation and integration in the survival-and-flourishing imbued institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling (formalisms and internalisations) mechanism with the implied ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and positive-opportunism as ontological entrapment, with no temporal-dispositions firstnature-or-intemporal-level-validation but rather secondnatured-or-institutionalisation/intemporalisation-level-validation. At which point stranding-dialectics articulates temporal-dispositions teleologies orientations as ‘subknowledging/mimicking/mental-perversions/slantedness manifestations at that ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’, i.e. the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of temporal-dispositions undermining the very ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy’ supposedly they are supposed to uphold). Ultimately and in the bigger picture, (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting $<\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}>\text{disposedness-}$ (as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation–and–derived-parameterising) and $<\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}>\text{entailment-(as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent–factuality-of-variability)}$’ and with regards to the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-paintelligibility-$<\text{imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation}>$) the teleology of human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics reflects the human-
subpotency for attaining cross-generational transcendence with corresponding dialectical and psychoanalytic existential reorientations (‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), and it is well beyond the idea of just a ‘structural/paradigmatic argumentation convincing’ intradimensionally as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (based-on-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-of-the-registry-worldview/dimension as absolutised) as to a registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness that is ontologically-deficient/preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation, in the first place; as teleology as such reflects human-subpotency sublimation-over-desublimation possibilities in existence as to underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. Ontology being the intemporal-disposition, the exercise of ‘directing’ convincing as logical-processing/logical-operation to temporal-dispositions is inherently unwarranted and is rather of 

entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with pertinence being about ‘articulating and directing’ intemporal/ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness-and-teleology towards the ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling’ as secondnaturing of the new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. For instance, the positive (intemporal mind) will not engage in a direct logical convincing with the non-positivisitic/medieval mind as this just validates to the non-positivism/medievalism disposition that its non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology is sound such that it goes on to operate/process logic by \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}<\text{epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Rather the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will project the new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of positivism (as rational-empiricism/positivising basis of reasoning) through positivism institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling and highlighting, in the bigger scheme of things, the relative efficiency and positive-opportunism of a positivism-based rule of law, social organisation, polity, nation-building, etc. based on positivism axioms and which inherent effectiveness and supersedingness/transcendence breaks the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought (which are not rational-empirical/positivising and tend to essences, alchemic-logic, sorcery constructs, etc.) with its defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This takes an
utterly impersonal form (law, officialdoms and subject matter formalisms) which allows for an abstraction of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn’t allow reflexively. The ‘transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness complex-of-stranding’ refers to the counter-intuition from a registry-worldview/dimension perspective in not representing itself as stranded (decandored or oblongated or in threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism when it is demonstrated that it is perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation, and rather syncretises in operating those same reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation prospectively; while that same registry-worldview/dimension intuitively recognises that a prior/superseded registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation as stranded is ontologically veridical as the prior/superseded registry-worldview/dimension subknowledges/mimics and self-reference-syncretises it’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. The reason for the human ‘transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness complex-of-stranding’ is that a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are fundamental and constitutive functional elements of its existentialism (full-existential-depth-
implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation and hence the complex when

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-

consciousness/illusion-of-the-present. But then, if such a complex is to stand, the

transcendental exercise by which man left the cave-to-so-called-modern-man wouldn’t have

happened, and any registry-worldview/dimension (retrospective, present, prospective) that

fails its own stranding-dialectics/elucidation-and-superseding-of-its-perversion-of-reference-
of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-

shallow-supererogation>,–as-to-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism to

allow for prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-

recomposure for transcendence-as-the-grander-possibility-for-human-survival-and-

flourishing is obviously failing/not-upholding<-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing>

its ‘own homework’ for the bigger picture in the human species survival-and-flourishing

scheme, notwithstanding it is at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-

recomposure ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process!

As an anthropopsychological disposition, rational-realism as deprocrypticism just like

call successive transcendences in emphasising increasing realism counter-intuitively to a naïve

temporal take is actually a ‘positive-minded/well-meaning disposition with respect to

man/the-human-species’ with the idea that ‘it is better working with what

intemporally/ontologically is (that is, the-Good/understanding/knowledge-

reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) to achieve the best intellectual-

and-moral outcome for man’ than ‘working with what-one-wishes’ from a wrong

temporal/impression-driven construal’. The idea of understanding the ontology of human

temporal mental defect is not to ‘idle’ in a temporal circularity that defeats-and-debase the

grandor of a universal/intemporal projection but rather strives to better stir man towards the

intemporal-and-ontological as virtue, an exercise which while of ‘presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ with regards to human temporality/shortness wouldn’t however acquiesce to the naïve disconcertment that takes the ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ of intemporality/longness for temporal correctness towards which the intemperoral-disposition is definitely intransigent and uncompromising for effective intemtemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. Such a rational-realism as deprocrypticism disposition views the fundamental anthropopsychology drive for transcendence which involves stranding-dialectics for transcendence by decandoring/oblongating (representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-innonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) on the basis of the veridicality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemtemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor rationally, and ontologically represents the social-construct (as validated by the shifting relation of social conventioning and purist ontology) as being in effect ‘a highly cohesive paradigm’ at institutionalised/intemtemporalised-thresholds-of-intemtemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation but ‘a poorly cohesive extricatory paradigm’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold. The notion of the social-construct as intemtemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm is actually an aspirational ideal and reference for ‘human intemtemporal projection towards it’ but it isn’t ontologically veridical by the inherent solipsistic human nature due to a temporal-to-intemtemporal-dispositions human reality, and thus the need for institutionalisation to skew (‘intemtemporal-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating) towards intemporality/intemporal-preservation as human secondnaturing. This elucidation is vital in pointing out that the teleology of rational-realism as deprocrypticism (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting \(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\rangle\text{disposedness-\{as-to-orientation/value-construct/valuation--and-derived-parameterising\} and \(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\rangle\text{entailment-\{as-to-totalising-contiguous/coherent--factuality-of-variability\}}\rangle\rangle\rangle\rangle\rangle\), and so as to the specific human-subpotency as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-panintelligibility-\(<\text{imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation}>\), is not to strive for the wrong notion of human intemporal/ontological ‘congruence’ with respect to knowledge and virtue (as human dispositions are not congruent, as thus the idea of ontological-congruence of the intemporal-disposition with temporal-dispositions will compromise intemporality, and hence compromise ontology), but rather to aspire for a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human intemporal-disposition with respect to temporal-dispositions (as this upholds and doesn’t compromise the ontological veridicality in intemporal-disposition projection as to the ontological reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions at uninstitutionalised-thresholds). That is, knowledge-notionalisation involving grasping and understanding both the ignorances/temporal-dispositions and ideals to better skew/deferential-formalisation-transference towards idealism as the fulsome ontology, and not failing/not-upholding-\(<\text{as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing}>\) to understand or overlooking the ignorances/temporal-dispositions as the temporal on the wrong basis that all that matters is the ideal as intemporal. Furthermore, temporal-dispositions tendency to pervert/dement/subknowledge-\(<\text{preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge}>\)/mimick-and-syncretise at
uninstitutionalised-threshold with the dialectical consequence of the development of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions (institutionalisations) validates the appropriateness of striving rather for transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and not nested-congruence to uphold intemporality, and hence a complete ontology. To put it in other terms, for instance, transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of ‘keeping the faith’ only in the intrinsic operation of rules of arithmetic (transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing among interlocutors, in principle or notionally, so that at all times it is always about the intrinsic reality of the arithmetic and not the agreement-disagreement of any human interlocutors as we are all mortals and likely to corrupt such intemporal rules with our mortality out of an intemporal frame of reference that is transcendental-enabling/sublimating) is vital to preserving ‘ontological arithmetic’ as transcendental-enabling/sublimating, whereas if the notion of arithmetic calculations was to involve social-and-temporal-trading with other humans (interlocutors logical nested-congruence) instead of intemporal exercise, it is obvious that down the line the notion of ‘ontological arithmetic’ will sooner or later be corrupted and/or teleologically-degraded as more likely than not the intemporality/purity of mathematics will be compromised to human mortals stakes of social-and-temporal-trading as social-aggregation-enabling, and so as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances.

* It should be noted that in the stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dialecticism of transcendence involving the
transcended and the transcending dimensions, the terms highlighting the transcended dimension like decandored, oblongated, dialectically-out-of-phasing/dialectically-primitive, etc. do not carry the same connotation as a shallower temporal analysis intradimensional to the transcended dimension. The idea is not to idle in articulating meaningfulness within the dimension in need of transcendence. For instance, a positive mind’s articulation of defective meaningfulness in non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is not to ‘idle’ by relating and staking such meaningful articulation in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the non-positivism/medievalism world sense of meaningful purposefulness but rather to project a positivistic worldview’s transcendental meaningful purposefulness. In that sense, actually for the social scientist and philosopher words like dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, primitive, decandored, perverted don’t carry the ordinary and temporal connotations of stigmatising under a temporal extricatory paradigm. Rather, these are critical and actively sought after notions that provide the ‘dialectical backdrop’ for enabling prospective transcendence by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. The idea is that these notions are veridically dialectical notions that apply in all transcendences unlike a simplistic ‘history fixating conceptualisation’ will have. In other words, our non-positivism/medievalism ancestors’ possibility of being-represented/mental-devising-representation as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) is the opportunity for the contrastive construction of a superseding/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension that brought about the relative virtue in the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension of their great-grandchildren today. That is rather the uninhibited/decomplexified and forward-looking perspective imbued in a depocalyptic institutionalisation/intemporalisation with respect to procrypticism. In the bigger picture, identifying inherent virtue in the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process on the basis that humans of all generations (times and epochs) are ‘capacity-wise same’ as per temporal-
to-intemporal going by a paradigm of mentation-capacity (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, but for the semblance of the superiority of latter registry-worldviews/dimensions which is nothing but the result of being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process. ontological-normalcy/postconvergence equally involves articulating the possibility for the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition over temporal-dispositions as intemporalisation/institutionalisation, and so, involving ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accountability’ beyond an ‘idle temporal-dispositions stigmatisation’. In that spirit, it can be reasoned that the intradimensional ‘ontological blindspot’ in human mental-devising-representation (wherein temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought—effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> by miscuing, and in subsequent derivation of disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconsciousability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi-conventioning-rationalising of temporal-dispositions perversions/defects of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protration-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism), actually points to a decandored/slantedness of the temporal-dispositions (and not candored/straightness), and is definitional of all registry-worldviews/dimensions perversion-of-reference-of-thought—effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, as these are in epistemic-
decadence-and-derived-epistemic-decadence, i.e. not veridical but perverted and requiring transcendence. This basically undermines the idea that any such registry-worldview/dimension temporal-dispositions should be encouraged to be ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
synecretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in meaning’ in a logical engagement with it from an intemporal/ontological perspective (of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence), as it is rather in perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Instead this requires a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (due to the dialectically-out-of-phasing/unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologismness with regards to the veridical ontology of temporal-dispositions registries); wherein the intemporal-disposition (which is ontological) doesn’t recognise nor acquiesce to the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape and subsequent apriorising–registry-elements of implied-profile-or-implied-stature, implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation, implied-assumptions, implied-value-reference and implied-teleology projected by the temporal-dispositions, but rather advances that there is perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> requiring a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-
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the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. This
construal is placed on a solid firmament (that is able to supplant any intradimensional
illusion-of-the-present mental-devising-representation) by the ‘ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation
articulation)’ that demonstrably oblongates/decandors temporal-dispositions as it articulates
the dialecticism of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s transcendence (transcending-
dimension/organicalism and transcended-dimension/mechanicalism), on the validity of the
stranding-contiguity-of-ontology. Logic and logical-congruence is ontologically valid only as
an after-transcendence exercise when through the institutionalisation/intemporalisation
percolation-channelling, the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms.registry-
teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of
the transcending-registry-worldview/dimension in organicalism is
institutionalised/intemporalised by positive-opportunism with the induced social universal-
transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) (of both
the pversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> transcended registry-
worldview/dimension and the discovered ontological-veridicality of the transcending registry-worldview/dimension),
untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-
incoherence/institutional-constraining (of transcended registry-worldview/dimension, from
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the transcending registry-
worldview/dimension), referencing/registering/decisioning or stranding (of transcended registry-worldview/dimension
pversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as
backdrop for prospective transcendence), and intemporal superseding of the transcendence-
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straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (operating-the-same-mindset),
coring (accounting-for-registry-subknowledging/mimicking/defect) / setting-aside, (glossing-
over-registry-’preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/defect), transcending-
or-superseding / transcended-or-superseded).

* It should be noted that this element of deconstructed meaningfulness is obviously reflected in the articulation of this paper itself in a creative, referential and dynamic grasp of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness-and-teleology in a rather ephemeral subject, the social. In this regard, the hermeneutic exercise originates from an even more wildly idiosyncratic (but personal incommunicable) reflexive process initiated rather spontaneously by the author a few years back which has formed the backdrop for this ‘rather relatively benign idiosyncrasy’ in this paper as the reader may come across and is the explanation for many of the author’s insights. It is this mechanism of deconstructing meaningfulness exhaustively in search of an idiosyncratic but profound philosophical and creative insight that allows the hermeneutic design in a ‘continuous meaningfulness reshuffling in the quest for veracity/ontological-pertinence’ analogous to a twisty puzzle cube exercise in order to infer and arrive at a profoundly explanatory hermeneutic insight extending to the possibility of a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ which is ‘profoundly ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
recomposure possibilities for transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation of deprocrypticism (superseding the vices-and-impediments of, as well as human emancipation over, procrypticism). Such ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as prospective reference-of-thought of renewing existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-
implications of transdimensional-meaningfulness–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in various
shades is just as critical for the necessary reconstitutive insight (deconstruction) that can be highly evasive and difficult to fully grasp at different registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningful-references or rather dialectically successive existentialisms.


temporal-dispositions by stranding-dialectics while avoiding


To further elucidate, the underlying idea of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting/organic-comprehension-thinking) holds that ‘critically what matters with respect to ontology and virtue is simply and completely intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective), and holds that other and subsequent notions are as pertinent as they are intemporally-preservational and where those same supposed notions social use was not intemporally-preservational but perverted/subknowledge/mimicked/confounded, their ontological and virtuous validity is nullified; as it is their relay of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation without notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>–as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking–<iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying–
hollow-narratives-and-acts’">’ that matters.’ What’s the meaning of being good-natured/kind/humble/responsible/friendly/sociable/etc. in a subknowledging or perverted or corrupt social-setup or a philosophically-underdeveloped but presumptuous meaningful context (H.G. Well’s country of the blind paradigm, for instance), or worst still in teleologically-degraded social situations that may be mobbish or genocidal, wherein by our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousnessas <$\text{(amplituding)formative}$> epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag we apparently demonstrate such qualities but ontologically we aren’t veridically intemporal-preservational? And even more pertinent, what will those same qualities mean at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism, and prospectively deprocrypticism, with their evolving reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology wherein prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-$<$in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>$>$? The only answer that cuts it in all ways, is inevitably intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (mentation-capacity-wise, as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, more than just an abstraction as it carries the notion of a contiguous existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinement as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness in dialectical transformation as of prospective reference-of-thought tied to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Even the idea of morality as being construed as of a sense of morality is vague self-referencing, as it is rather virtue as of
knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional-referential-
notion/articulation of superseding-oneness-of-ontology enabling the possibility in reflecting
the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions that is truly of ontological relevance. The idea of conceptualising
morality out of such ontology-driven basis is more or less delusional however ‘good-natured’
when we consider that even a community of miscreants will have to construe of a semblance
however perverted of moral conceptualisation that allows for individuals self-preservation
and only of a degree of variance however big such a variance is perceived with supposed
grander moral conceptualisations that do not factor in the structural relation of virtue to
ontology as of successive developing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought. As semblances of virtue-constructs out of ‘sense of good-naturedness’
not factoring in the ‘unchangeable’ reality of human temporal/shortness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology and intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology mental-dispositions across all registry-worldviews will simply ‘out of
goodnaturedness and naivety’ provide an ontologically-flawed deterministic framework that
subject to temporal undermining by the adherence to the ‘<(amplituding)formative>wooden-
language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of prior/transcended/superseded registry-
worldview/dimension’ in subverting intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–
ontological-preservation, pointing to the pertinence of analysing virtue and ontology
contiguously as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality so-construed as organic-knowledge. This is the central idea of ‘Intemporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting that
informs organic-comprehension-thinking. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting further holds that in the bigger scheme
of things, it is intemporal-preservation in its entropy/contiguity that is the referencing of
stranding as to stranding-dialectics (as of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism representation when temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-
preservation or of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) or postconverging-or-dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism representation when intemporally-
preservational/ontological-contiguity. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-
conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting highlights effectively that ontological
meaningfulness is contiguous as highlighted further in the paper with regards to virtue ‘as a
contiguous mentation-capacity (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over
shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology transience)’ of ontological-contiguity
conceptualisation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
preservation. Finally, by affirming ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of
reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) over notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>–as-of-
epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking–<iterative-
looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as perverted, ‘Intemporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting validates
‘the stranding/mental-devising-representation of temporal-dispositions in threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
}
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totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ) as
transversal/logically-incongruent-and-in-distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought to
organic-comprehension-thinking (intemporal-disposition’s ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-
reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting). Basically, with regards
to the ‘psychologism of precedence as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology’ with respect to ‘a
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought psychology’ as postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and centered over ‘a
prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought psychology’ as preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism and decentered and beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of the latter psychology,
even before appraising reference-of-thought issue as of the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance
construed as of temporal-to-intemporal thresholds within the ambit of distractive-alignment-
to-reference-of-thought, given the inherent-and-tautological ontological precedence of the
prospective/transcending/superseding psychology as of its prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought over the prior/transcended/superseded psychology;
‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought refers to the operant
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument point-of-
departure-of-construal technique involving a transcendental perspective that dissociates the
psychologism of ‘the prospective institutionalisation as of teleologically-elevated intemporal
synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology psychology and so postconverging-or-
dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and centered’ and the psychology of the
‘uninstitutionalised-threshold as teleologically-degraded shades-of-temporal (postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances) synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as in distraction of the prospective institutionalisation psychologism and so preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and decentered’, and a non-transcendental metaphysics-of-presence or

non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism”
is ‘not the profound ontologically-veridical meaningful-frame’ in which an issue of its corresponding postlogism as psychopathy and social psychopathy is resolved but rather its state of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is prospectively construed from depocrypticism as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and decentered by its procrypticism/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’–as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology, implying the more fundamental-and-transversal-and-synergistic need is for our psychoanalytic-unshackling for <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of the depocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; thus enabling the attainment of aetiology/ontological-escalation required for supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm that is transversally structural/paradigmatic for the resolution not only of the positivism–procrypticism postlogism as psychopathy and social-psychopathy but basically all its relative-ontological-incompleteness-reference-of-thought predicated temporal-phenomena construed as positivism–procrypticism vices-and-impediments. (It is important to grasp that tenseness-of-expressions made temporally/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension are just ‘vague candoring’ that are ontologically-empty and non-veridical by inherent-and-tautological ontological precedence of the prospective/transcending/superseding depocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over the prior/transcended/superseded positivism–procrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as what is precedingly warranted is the preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism and centering of positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought beyond its 
and this idea we can grasp from our vantage position with regards to a non-positivism/medieval setup striving to uphold its reference-of-thought psychologism which we understand is prospectively a relative ontological-incomplete-reference-of-thought, however the bigger issue difficult for us to envisage is rather in placing our own minds as not in a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and centered but rather a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and decentered position, as implying the need for prospective institutionalisation as deprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which is prospectively postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and centered). Distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought as such basically by definition dismisses ‘the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s relatively relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought
structurally/paradigmatically being non-positivism/medievalism of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
means incapable of contending as of positivism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘third-level–
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ requiring rather the non-positivism/medievalism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure from
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought
and not ‘a false exercise of contending arising from a circular
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ego complex that rather circularly
upholds non-positivism/medievalism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, and
prospectively structurally/paradigmatically our state of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
means incapable of contending as of deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘conflation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ requiring rather the positivism–procrypticism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure from
formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought and not ‘a false exercise of contending arising from a circular amplituding(formative)>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
random-mental-disposition/failing-rule-making as impulsive-accidented-haphazard recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
thinking–apriorising-psychologism and centered in the very first place’ but rather that the non-positivism/medieval
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implied
meaningfulness-and-teleology is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and
decentered, likewise beforehand/as-of-a-priori engaging (from futural Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism-as-of-
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of psychologism prospective relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought) our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with respect to
its associated postlogism perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> issue of psychopathy
and social psychopathy implies beforehand/as-of-a-priori an ontologically-veridical
engagement that ‘doesn’t recognise our contending status as postconverging-or-dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism and centered in the very first place’ but rather that our
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implied
meaningfulness-and-teleology is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and
decentered; as the starting point of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is rather in
reflecting the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity with respect to reference-of-thought defect or perversion-
of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> issue, ‘as a
a transcendental-perspective (as of a teleologically-elevated intemporal synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology psychologism contrasted to such teleologically-degraded shades-of-temporal synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology). This elucidation is important because an insightful storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy and the overall relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as the underlying disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of procrypticism relative to prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as deprocrypticism will fundamentally be based on such contrastive mental-projections/psychologisms as of non-transcendental as


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective mental-projection/psychologism of the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of non-positivism/medievalism mental-projection/psychologism that doesn’t dissociate the temporal-as-teleologically-degraded or intemporal-as-teleologically-elevated synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology, unlike a transcendental perspective that reflects prospective institutionalisation intemporal teleologically-elevated synopsising-depth of

- articulating a dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (mentally sound) organic-comprehension-thinking of the intemporal-disposition as a coherent ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-confaltedness-or-ontological-reprojecting which is in ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective), and is veridically ‘the reference-of-thought-or-contending-reference of thought’,

nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’, and then


and is veridically ‘not the reference-of-thought as well but rather reflected/perspectivated as a manifestation of prelogic-alignment to postlogic compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-
dementing-apriorising.
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- And so, upholding the perpetual ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/supersedingness of intemporal-preservation-entropy—or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation along the continual limitation of uninstitutionalised-threshold, and which continual superseding/transcendence is behind the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process.
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threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism integration and its conjugating/deriving
of the psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as ‘subknowledging/mimicking
manifestations of unsoundness—or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/perversion-of-
reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ which are the subject of logical contention; thus avoiding to
wrongly validate the subknowledging/mimicking-and-syncretising of the elements of
apriorising–registry (that is, the implied implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-
stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) and wrongly
imply their logical contention validity. Taken to the bigger registry-worldview/dimension or
intradimensional level, this points to a registry-worldview/dimension derived-perversion state
of temporal-dispositions at the present uninstitutionalised-threshold involving the
subknowledging/mimicking-and-syncretising of the reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation of positivistic meaningfulness known as
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, calling prospectively for
deprocrypticism. Without ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-
conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting disposition the possibility for transcendence from
perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
onconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (as prior intemporal
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) to prospective ones
which are intemporal-preservational, the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure
process will not occur and be regenerative, as the circumventive/distractive-temporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought mental-dispositions rather strives to arrive at an
equilibrium at the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry–teleology–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of a registry–worldview/dimension whether these are intemporal-preservational or not, hence have little transcendental capacity. Going by an ‘ontologically contiguous comparison’ with reference to Arithmetic where a condition was to cause a character to resolve additionality as $1+3=5$, $2+5=8$, $5+6=12$, etc., the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) of additionality with regards to this character will always involve as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry–teleology that subtracts 1 from the results of that character’s operations of additions (as the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring for upholding existential-reality), and the usual principles of additionality (its traditional reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry–teleology of simply summing directly) will be existentially rendered null and void in order to allow for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Now supposed such a framework (reference-of-thought) for resolving Arithmetic calculations now involves the contribution of 6 characters working in collaboration with each contributing their specific arithmetic principle role while taking cognisance of the others roles in ‘resolving arithmetic calculations’ (as ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so taking into account the prior mentioned character with its defect of additionality; wherein such a framework is BODMAS-based with character B working on brackets operations, character O working on order operations, character D working on division operations, character M working on multiplication operations, the priorly mentioned character A working on addition operations and character S working on subtraction operations, and so (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) setup for resolving arithmetic calculations
(ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought setup). Naturally, the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as the usual BODMAS Arithmetic rules) should apply but this is no longer existentially the case in this instance, where the equation is for instance $7(\sqrt{64}+3-1) - (6+4-2)\div2$. Going by the natural arithmetic rules for BODMAS, the equation will be resolved first with the brackets, and within the brackets for the first brackets the order operation is first carried out, that is, $\sqrt{64}=8$ and then addition $8+3=11$, then subtraction $11-1=10$. For the second brackets, addition as $6+4=10$, then subtraction as $10-2=8$. The division operation then follows with the second brackets result as $8\div2=4$. Then the multiplication operation with the first brackets result as $7\times10=70$. Finally, comes the subtraction with $70-4=66$ as the final answer that is ontologically-veridical (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). But then, in this particular case where character A (Addition) operation of additionality is perverted as stated above as a result of its condition, the equation will resolve as $\sqrt{64}=8$, $8+3=12$, $12-1=11$, for the first brackets, and $6+4=11$, $11-2=9$, for the second brackets. The division operation with the second brackets yields $9\div2=4.5$, and the multiplication operation with the first brackets yields $7\times11=77$. Finally, subtracting both brackets gives $77-4.5=72.5$ as the final result which is ontologically wrong (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective), and points to the fact that all the 6 BODMAS characters, not only A (Addition) the additionality defect character have failed ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,—‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective), as reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are not by themselves the
definitive basis for ontology/intrinsic-reality/existential-reality as these are only as pertinent as they are ontologically-veridical/ontologically-continuous/contextually-contiguous (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). This ontological state with respect to all the characters registries (not only A) is known as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective) precedes projected


in affirming ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality (notwithstanding their traditional personhoods-and-socialhood-formation mental-dispositions anchored on projected

\textit{<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-}{\textit{(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology))}. In which case the resolution for the Arithmetic equation (supposedly where A, Addition, is unamendable due to a condition), will involve the other characters taking cognisance of A’s (Addition’s) condition and adhere to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation over projected \textit{<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) in affirming ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality (as the appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness over A’s induced preconverging-or-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>). Thus the new categorical-imperatives/axiom/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation deployed with respect to resolving calculations (ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will integrate the notion that additionality requires subtracting 1 from its results as well as taking cognisance that other characters will be perverted in their operation if they do not take cognisance of A’s (Addition’s) condition and subtract 1 from it before their operation (whether by unconsciously by ignorance, expediently by affordability, and consciously by opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). For instance, B (Brackets) is still in a position to articulate an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
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ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective) by factoring in all the defects as follows: by reverting all other characters operation up to the point they had to deal with A (Addition) and subtracting 1 from the results at these points before allowing the other characters operations, which then yields the right result. That is \(77 \div 7 = 11\) and \(4.5 \times 2 = 9\) as reverting back, then \(11 - 1 = 10\) and \(9 - 1 = 8\) to factor in A’s (Addition’s) additionality defect to yield the results of the two brackets. Before then letting back the division and multiplication operations for both brackets respectively, giving \(8 \div 2 = 4\) and \(7 \times 10 = 70\). Finally \(70 - 4 = 66\), giving the final result that is ontologically-veridical (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). So this approach is the new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation which is ontologically-veridical/of-intrinsic-reality that B should be operating. In the bigger scheme of things, this explains institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation with respect to an animal that is always bound to perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism by the very fundamental veridicality of its temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature. But then, this being an uninstitutionalised-threshold, B going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor at uninstitutionalised-threshold may just as well due to there being ‘no institutionalisation constraining’ (i.e., no social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,–as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, no internal-contradiction induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, no preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and no intemporal projection superseding the transcendence–unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of temporality/shortness inducing corresponding formalisation and internalisation as values), choose to act because of one temporal reason or the other whether by ignorance of the need for this new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-(for-intemporal-preservation-entropy) or affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (i.e., induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/solipsistic–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality); and so, fail to follow the latter reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are intemporally-preservational. That is, choosing circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought and thus failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> the possibility of transcendence. That being the case, this doesn’t in anyway undermine the intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality/reference-of-thought (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) of the above equation as being equal to 66 with the need for new requisite reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation not only for this
dispositions at various social roles going from A’s condition, and the potential overlooking of the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation dispositions by all the other characters (B, O, D, M and S). Underlying such an intemporal orientation is the idea that fundamentally the conjugation of such an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics and subsequent conjugation as with B above to the temporal-dispositions of a registry-worldview/dimension speaks fundamentally of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of that registry-worldview/dimension, reflected/perspectivated by the marginal perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defect of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with the prior registry-worldview/dimension now preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism or stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, with a prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the new straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics doesn’t confuse appropriateness of the prior reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for the prior institutionalisation as implying the prior mental-devising-representation is appropriate for prospective institutionalisation as it needs to undergo its own requisite ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure to
enable and regenerate intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This by itself explains why the different registry-worldviews/dimensions are seemingly preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to one another (from the prospective perspectives), and not that we are talking about different species of humans, as transcendentalism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is the foundational concept retrospectively, presently and prospectively; even though by the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage, all dimensions, and not only ours, tend to think of themselves as definitely mentally straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase with no uninstitutionalised-threshold which is obviously fallacious. The reason for this is that ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought (as mental straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) starts-and-ends/is-sound at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation where the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy/configuity is in ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective). Where instead such reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>/non-ontological-and-non-contending-referencing–<thus-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-of-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-preconverging-or-dementing-reference), it is ‘dementation’ that is occurring (stranded-as-rightfully-
oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase). This is further compounded by<br><br>\texttt{<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}, that is, wrongful upholding and projection of the stranding-as-mentally-straight-and-candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase mental-devising-representation at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation<br>unto the uninstitutionalised-threshold that requires new mentation capacity, and this is not ontologically consistent and fundamentally undermines and overlook the idea of an insight about a prospective transcendence with the present registry-worldview corresponding as the superseded perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> registry-worldview/dimension. Thus but for the inherent difficulty of living and experiencing the effective personhoods-and-socialhood-formation existentialism across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions, the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘beyond any one registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness’ like ours is perfectly possible in garnering a more profound and informed insight on human nature whether presently, retrospectively to prospectively. In the bigger scheme of things, just as logic can only be grounded on coherent and concrete reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology based articulations for its ontological effectiveness and veridicality, human ontological transcendental possibilities arise from human individuations that correspond to the appropriate ‘intemporal-projecting existential becoming’ allowing for such ontological possibilities, and the latter is made possible by the ‘so-renewed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as to renewed logical-basis/logic-<as-to—transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-

aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>; thus transcendentally coming into grips with a shifting but more and more profound notion of reference-of-thought (in-phasing) and corresponding ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as enabled by ontological-normalcy or postconvergence.

The conceptual pertinence in this Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison can be rearticulated as follows for greater clarity. As previously highlighted the developmental psychology of the psychopath from childhood to adulthood, involves a child psychopath who is dysfunctional as its subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> is relatively transparent to interlocutors and it induces a ‘delirious effect’ given that it hasn’t yet maturated, is not yet indirect, is not yet spatialising, is not yet credulous and is not yet crafty in ‘its postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation’; conditions which it increasingly attains from adolescence to adulthood with a corresponding inducing of the development of social psychopathy as its psychopathy conjugates/inflects/gets-mimicked with the temporal-dispositions of ignorance, unconsciously, and consciously with affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, in an absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic eliciting social psychopathy involving moving from various non-veridical/hollow sets-of-postlogic-in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, to others and from different sets of interlocutors to others. It is obvious that A’s condition/subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing disposition as an adult psychopath isn’t systematic with every interlocutor but rather it arises
only in the face of perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction-targets and furthermore the profoundness of the postlogism-slantedness manifestation is directly related to the gravity of the perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction the situation and how the ‘evolving social psychopathy situation permits’. Hence the notion of A having an absolute condition wherein it increments additionality by 1 is rather an absolute ideal conceptualisation, as in reality it is a question of degree and highly circumscribed with the adult psychopath who needs to have a postlogic-equilibrium that can be socially-functional-and-accordant, unlike the dysfunctional child psychopath. This comparison equally articulates the nature of uninstitutionalised-thresholds. Consider B (together with the other BODMAS characters) in the instance where despite A’s conditions they were to stick to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology thus effectively producing the wrong result 72.5 for the particular equation which is not intemporal preservational (not ontologically ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) and likewise for all other equation where A’s condition applies, we’ll then be talking about an uninstitutionalised-threshold. The implication is that the registry-worldview/dimension then loses its qualification as being intemporally-preservational, and the psychological tool that is then elicited (from a prospective and new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as articulated with the arithmetic technique that corrected the equation result from 72.5 to 66 by adjusting for A’s condition which is now the reference-of-thought or veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference/ontologically-veridical/ontological-contiguity registry-worldview/dimension) is known as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. Even though going by its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, the superseded registry-worldview/dimension will still wrongfully strive for a

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and such a representation of its mentation is the invention/mental-devising-representation of the base-institutionalisation mindset by its better ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, likewise with ununiversalisation and universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively with procrypticism and deprocrypticism, we will certainly be hardly pre-inclined to acquiesce to a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation of our perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> with respect to the denaturing of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
or–ontological-preservation of positivistic meaningfulness. This insights perfectly highlight
that our psychological nature is actually about mental-devising-representation which is meant to serve notionally the pertinence of supposed ontological articulations with respect to intrinsic reality, and it doesn’t has any end to itself but for such dialectical readjustments to ontological-veridicality as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase with regards to an intemporal-preservational registry-worldview/dimension institutionalised/intemporalised-threshold-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and with superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions which are not intemporal-preservational at their uninstitutionalised-threshold as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase explaining the nature of mental-devising-representation of all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure whether from the perspective of a retrospect, our present or prospective point-of-reference. Another aspect highlighted by the Arithmetic equation comparison is with respect to the appropriateness and defects of meaningful references with respect to ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality. The comparison highlights 3 transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestals of meaningfulness. Firstly, A’s condition with respect to additionality with the idea that it is bound to fail any arithmetic calculation involving additionality. Thus the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing pedestal is of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>/non-ontological-and-non-contending-referencing-<thus-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-of-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism> (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-preconverging-or-dementing-reference). This is effectively the pedestalled state of psychopathic postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-
impropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as of vague-
rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-
vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging inducing existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/non-veridical-hollow-narratives to be
reflected/perspectivitated from the intemporal/ontological angle as unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought or perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and so in
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag or absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-
logic, from one set-of-postlogic-narratives to the other and one set of interlocutors to the
other, in line with its ‘short cut’ mental relation to meaningfulness as extrinsic-attribution (the
temporal eliciting of the temporality/shortness of others is the sufficient basis for getting
one’s way) as opposed to intrinsic-attrition wherein the intrinsic ontological-veridicality of
meaning is the complete and sufficient basis for its pertinence and upholding. This
subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing disposition points out that the actual and
given meaningfulness being subknowledged/pervertedly-represented is ontologically-
veridical both registry-wise (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-wise) and
logic-wise (the normal arithmetic operation of the BODMAS equation) as it is intemporally
preservational and thus ontologically-veridical/reference-of-thought/ontological-contiguity. It
is this pedestal that is the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/’Intemporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-
reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal, organic as it is
both registry-wise (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-wise) and logic-wise striving for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. It is the superseding and intemporal pedestal for articulating ontological meaningfulness (intrinsic-attribution). The third pedestal as demonstrated involves the integrating and <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by temporal-dispositions both unconsciously (ignorance) and consciously (affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) with A’s condition/sub-knowledging impulse as if it was ontologically veridical, and obviously leading to the wrong result thus failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. In the case with B it involved resolving the Arithmetic equation as if A’s condition was appropriate resulting in 72.5 which is ‘epistemically-decadent in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>’ rather than 66 which is ontologically veridical. This is the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal, as registry-wise it is not striving for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and so fundamentally its logical-contention is voided (as apriorising–registry precedes and defines logical pertinence), such that such a disposition that integrates subknowledging-or-mimicking-impulse/compulsive-dementing registry-worldview-wise/dimensional-wise speaks of the registry-worldview/dimension as in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics at that uninstitutionalised-threshold. The fourth meaningful reference is actually a variance of the given organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/“Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-
of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal which is registry-wise and logic-wise pertinent. It is about the intellectual and virtue driven aetiology/ontological-escalation (as per this paper aim and other studies) in grasping the human ontological implications and articulating the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct for the possibility of a conceptual insight and structural/paradigmatic resolution with regards to (at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level) procrystalism/the-reality-of-human-temporal-to-intemperal-dispositions-with-consequential-positivistic-meaningfulness-perversion preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, resolved by deprocrystalism. Comparatively, for instance, articulating new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemperal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to resolve the uninstitutionalised-threshold from 72.5 to the ontologically-veridical 66, and so not only with regards to the specific but as a structural/paradigmatic institutionalisation/intemperalisation for perpetuating intemperal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This pedestalled articulation points out that the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘Intemperal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal (ontological-veridicality/reference-of-thought) is transversal/transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative-,disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and not actually in logical-congruence with both the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing pedestal (ontological-decadence/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) and the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal (epistemic-decadence/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) which is relates to as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (as their implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are all undue and pervertedly implied). So we then speak of an abject/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (not incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness) ‘ordered construct’ of the meaningfulness of the intellectual aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/“Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the registry/registry-worldview defects of both the subknowledging-impulse pedestal and the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal. Ontologically-speaking, a temporal naivety with regards to psychopath and its protraction as social psychopathy is that going by the dynamism of its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge towards ‘extrinsic-attribution’ (the eliciting of the temporality/shortness of others is the sufficient basis for getting one’s way), is that the number of people ‘convinced’ by perverted extrinsic-attribution involving social-and-temporal-trading can have any bearing to the ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality in any way. While temporally-speaking, psychopathic situations often lead to a-country-of-the-blind-and-the-one-eye kind of scenario, wherein a thousand blinds may strive to convention out the one-eye, but then it wouldn’t still cut it, ontologically-
speaking. (Certainly, it is equally and very possible that if such a one-eye isn’t beholden to a ‘sense of intemporality’ and it is rather temporally-inclined, it might equally take the easier route of reasoning in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of country-of-the-blind temporality/shortness whether with respect to temporally outdoing or undermining the phenomena by acting in a manner that is overall of a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology nature. But that will still be temporality/shortness and the notion of an aetiology/ontological-escalation as of intemporality/longness will no more be better advanced. Further beyond and more than just with respect to one case of psychopathy but as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence construing the universal human social phenomena of psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism across space and time together with the bigger insight of grasping human nature and the overall possibilities thereof. Insightfully, as well it won’t be surprising that such a universal projection will possibly meet with a more protracted-and-protracting psychopathy and social psychopathy manifestation going by overall human temporal-to-intemporal mental-disposition existential-form-factor as varied temporal-dispositions come into the frame and are elicited, just as an intemporal projection within a non-positivism/medievalism setup aspiring for a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension-level resolutive construal of their corresponding postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and which is not palliative to a given situation will equally elicit a social protractedness of the phenomenon as varied temporal-dispositions come into the frame and are equally elicited. But then that is an inevitability with respect to the more critical universal projection low-life purposefulness in both meaningful-frameworks). Rather this then points to the nature of postlogic perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> with temporal-
dispositions; (unconsciously) ignorance and (consciously) other temporal-dispositions of affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Ontologically, it is then the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal, both in apriorising–registry and registry-worldview terms as it is reflected/perspectivated as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. The critical reason for this is that the intemporal-disposition is rather inclined to be abject about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the complete and sufficient stand for knowledge and virtue with anything else being denaturing much in parallel as intrinsic-reality transcendent- enabling/sublimating doesn’t accommodate human temporality, and so will not even entertain involving in anyway with social-and-temporal-trading exercise which is non-ontological (since it is fundamentally a perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and has nothing to do with issues of defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance).

This can further be elucidated analysing perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of a different nature in a superseded registry-worldview/dimension like non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension which should provide an even greater insight analysing from our present perspective, and we can then comparatively project this
with respect to deprocrypticism and procrypticism. For instance, accusations of witchcraft in non-positivism/medievalism societies are ontologically about subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism based on the fact that such societies didn’t develop and integrate notions of empirical and rational cause-and-effect positivistic ideas as reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (a mentation-capacity that further furthers the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as present day positivistic registry-worldview), as it universally informs the present positivistic worldview and thus the impossibility to sound intelligible in case such an accusation of witchcraft is made today. So structurally, the non-positivism/medievalism society is shaped-and-inclined to integrate and entertain phantasmagorical notions of someone being accused as a witch or sorcerer. We can garner a similar insight just as with the ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ above, where supposed an intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought who is in a non-positivism/medievalism society was to be accused of witchcraft by someone inclined to accuse people of witchcraft (because of a pathological-condition/subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing) and who obviously is wrong, as we know today that the notion of witchcraft is ontologically unsound and ridiculous as the ability to perform magic and the like by anyone cannot be demonstrated veridically. The disposition to accuse people of witchcraft will be the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing pedestal. The disposition to entertain and further exploit such situations (as anthropologists perfectly understand the abhorrent role of such notions as witchcraft in the social-stake-contention-or-confliction of non-positivism/medievalism
societies) in conjugation of temporal-dispositions that are universally-recurrent or universal across all times (postlogism-slantedness, ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) is the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal which is rather an extricatory paradigm (of the situation, to fulfil temporal inclinations or distractive-temporal-prioritisaton and not intemporal preservation); given the lack of a social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing,-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the idea that the notion of witchcraft is bogus, with corresponding lack of perceived untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of such a notion, thus a collective-consciousness that doesn’t register it as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (as we do today) and finally, no ontological alienating reason for not believing, endemising and enculturating the phenomenon of witchcraft. The organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal will rather be an inclination to see that the lack of empirical and rational reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the non-positivism/mittelalism registry-worldview/dimension is actually, in the bigger scheme of things, what is at the basis of not only the ‘one locale accusation of witchcraft, specifically so with this individual but its general integration as a socially viable and entertained notion in this locale’. But more critically, from its intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm to be intemporally-preservational, more than the notion of just attaining only to the ‘one-locale’ accusation of witchcraft, for the intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought in organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) the problem is now the insight about the intellectually and morally wrong in metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of accusation of witchcraft and the implications across all societies of the human species qualified as non-positivism/medievalism, with the bigger ontological implications of this specific accusation rather being how is this enlightening structurally about the endemisation and enculturation of vices-and-impediments associated with superstition in the said registry-worldview/dimension. That is, the problem is now about the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that can be made to address such lack of positivistic empirical and rational notions in all possible human societies qualified as non-positivism/medievalism. In other words, the graver ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics problem’ for the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestal is ‘why is society non-positivism/medievalism, and it is not in ‘mentation equivalence’ with a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing mindset/reference-of-thought pedestal accusing it of witchcraft and the specific locale where such an accusation is made in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism/temporal prioritisation pedestal that entertains notions of witchcraft (as the intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought is thus
anecdotally ‘boxing far below its weight’). Rather it is about articulating a comprehensive structural/paradigmatic dialecticism reasoning-through/abjection (not reasoning-with incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness with temporal-dispositions mindsets) between non-positivism/medievalism and positivism for prospective ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure away from the vices-and-impediments of a non-positivism/medievalism superstitious mental-disposition towards a prospective positivistic mental-disposition which is the virtue that is the ‘structural/paradigmatic resolution’ to the superseded registry-worldview/dimension not only superstitious specific vices-and-impediments but equally critical the overall structural/paradigmatic<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications, -for-explicating-ontological-contiguity such superstition to the creative emancipation of human meaningfulness and action. With this insight the ontological ‘terms of reasoning’ of the subknowledge-impulse/compulsive-dementing pedestal is a wrong and naïve ‘mentation equivalence’ in preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologismly striving to establish whether the accused is involved in witchcraft; the ‘terms of reasoning’ of the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation— preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal is a wrong and naïve ‘mentation equivalence’ in preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologismly striving to establish and examine whether the accusation of witchcraft is true or not, with all the implied existential implications meaningfulness in both cases; and the ‘terms of reasoning’ of the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) will be to be dismissive of the two prior pedestals as in
ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics and stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase since in reality the elements of their apriorising–registry are perverted (implied-logical-dueness – of accusation of witchcraft, implied-profile, implied-presumptuousness/arrogation, implied-assumptions, implied-value-reference and implied-teleology), and the issue will rather be about reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of a registry-worldview/dimension that endemises and enculturates the belief in superstition and witchcraft for a structural/paradigmatic resolution as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. In other words, the temporal-dispositions are not logically-contending but ontologically or dialectically preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as they are rather the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation from the intemporal-disposition given that these are dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase and <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag.

The reason for the above ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling is simple. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling carries the implication that reference-of-thought and meaningfulness is fundamentally/ontologically structured for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and hence the precedence of higher-intemporal-teleologies (organic-comprehension-thinking pedestal) over low temporal teleologies of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-
thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure.

This conceptual ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of (superseded registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension) mental-devising-representation as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) and (superseding registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension) mental-devising-representation as straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (thinking) is critical in grasping the nature of ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting with respect to circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought as the former is ‘abject’ intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (and thus the requisite reference-of-thought–categorial-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in order to arrive at 66/intemporal-preservation is downright uncompromisable). Circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought involves various shades of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness temporal-accommodation with institutionalisation being rather a secondnaturing to a given set of reference-of-thought–categorial-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as per percolation-channelling and a positive-opportunism institutionalisation constraining. This is ‘no emanance transformation’ of temporal-dispositions into the intemporal-disposition; as such a notion can only be solipsistic to individuals beyond the possibility of institutionalisation secondnaturing (point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/induced-ring-of-

And critically, it should be noted that ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflectedness-or-ontological-reprojecting is about the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as registry-worldview/dimension defining, and not about good-naturedness/vague-temporal-impression-driven notions that may arise in circumstantial situations. This Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison equally gives an insight on why temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation is needed with 3 pedestals: organic-comprehension/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflectedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestal for which the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as ontology supersedes perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation> (as prior intemporal
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) which are actually meant to represent it at uninstitutionalised-threshold, threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism pedestal for which reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are wrongly related to as an end by themselves at uninstitutionalised-threshold, and postlogic-including-psychopathic/subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging pedestal for which the hollow form of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness is a sound existential construct. That is, in the bigger scheme when it comes to deciding between ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) and the human temporal psyche, what gives-in is the human temporal psyche (and so for the betterment of the species); that is, from an animal that was emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically successively of a mental-devising-representation perspective stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and from a prospective articulation, procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and so respectively, for their successive institutionalisations mental-devising-representation perspectives as stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and
prospectively deprocrypticism. In other words, across all times the ‘limits of thought’ is not ‘the averageness/banality/temporalisation of thought’ but rather ‘the disposition to intemporalise and ontologise human thought’, and so whether from a sense of intrinsic-reality one mortal is rightfully saying that the world is round and by expediency a majority of mortals are saying it is flat. That is the singular construct that man cannot lose across all generations to enable the perpetual existential regeneration of civilisation beyond just being a secondnatured construct as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft (which can often actually turn out to be alien to the intemporal-disposition apriorising-registry, that we can all potentially cultivate, that created, creates, and needs to keep creating the conditions for institutionalisation perpetuation)!

It should be noted that the establishment of the reality of an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-registry’s, or in the bigger picture, registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought, dialectical-out-of-phasing at an uninstitutionalised-threshold speaks of that apriorising-registry’s or registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (as it is ‘devoid of reference-of-thought and correspondingly ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity’ given its epistemic-decadence/psychopath or epistemic-decadence/psychopath’s-temporal-interlocutor, as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), and so, in a state of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as perceived from the superseding/transcending intemporal-disposition or registry-
worldview/dimension which voids the registry-perverting/subknowledging/preconverging-or-
dementing-temporal-dispositions’ transcended-or-superseded-registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-
scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-
reference/teleology. This as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–
stranding/attributive-dialectics is what prevents the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising-as-straight-and-candored, of the recurrence-of-in
hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking<iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-
hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic (which are
veridically of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) as wrongly implied postlogicly-as-
rather-being-prelogic; as the instigation (by psychopath) recurrently-of-in hollow-
constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
preservation> in postlogic-backtracking<iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-
narratives-and-acts’> and as the hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> integration/conjoining (psychopath’s
temporal-interlocutors) recurrently-of-in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-
backtracking<iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>, and in so
doing intemporally/ontologically reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting the ontological-
veridicality/ontological-reality of the psychopath’s effective epistemic-decadence and the
psychopath’s temporal-interlocutors’ epistemic-decadence as effectively stranded-as-
rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase in various
shades of temporality. For instance in registry-worldview/dimension terms, the ‘ontological-

The point then is that, from a transcending registry-worldview/dimension, the relation with its transcended registry-worldview/dimension is ‘not ontologically an exercise in logical-congruence with the transcended registry-worldview/dimension as a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism exercise’ but rather ontologically an exercise
referencing/correction-tool), that is memetically/meaningfully not limited to-and-within one dimension-or-registry-worldview/intradimensionally but by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-

Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as such is construed at the individuation-level as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability in delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. This involves maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as enabled by ontological-
dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics in disambiguating the intemporal-disposition as ontological and temporal-dispositions at the individuation-level; while at the registry-worldview/dimension-level it reflects the determination of the relative registry-worldviews/dimensions as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The implication is that soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaningfulness is not given, as it is a devising mechanism (mental-devising-representation) for ontological-veridicality as dialectically upheld for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (ontological-normalcy or postconvergence). There is no doubt that if by some secret manner ‘some individuals from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension’ were to appear and be able to live in our present positivistic social-setup (without us knowing beforehand that they are coming from the past to avoid inducing a
confounding effect in our analysis), and intent on fully living based on the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation setup, our current psychology science most probably will treat them as pathological (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism). At which point, implying the conceptualisation of such an ontological-mental-pathology or dementation (in contrast to a physiological mental pathology) is much more a question of ‘ontology valour’ (ontology valour being defined as a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontology depth in relation to its conventioning limitations with respect to pure-intemporal-ontology). But then, crazy as it may seem, this extends ontological-mental-pathology or dementation conceptualisation, on those very same terms of ontology valour, not only retrospectively but equally prospectively, as from a prospective transcendence (with a corresponding insight about how we may be that ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decanored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’ from such a prospective transcendence’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, of course, that is, when precluding our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage). In the bigger picture, ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics effectively will seem to place human (recomposuring)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in the backseat with ontology-in-its-inherent-dialectical-abstraction taking the frontseat in the articulation of intrinsic reality and correspondingly human mental-devising-representation. Actually, registry-worldviews/dimensions are rather prospectively<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of their own specific evolving successive existentialisms (with their full-depths-of-existential-implications specific evolving paradigms), and with specific evolving percolation-channelling for prospective ontologising and ontologising-transcendence. Fundamentally, without the possibility of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics dementability-of-the-human-psyche-for-prospective-institutionalisation involving stranding-dialectics, no registry-worldview/dimension will be transcendable (hence dementable/no-longer-thinking) for prospective institutionalisation. As it is from dementation (literally ‘de-mentation’) that an unshackling/recomposuring/reordering/new-mentation of prospective intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is possible. This is because ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as such allows for a ‘human mentation capacity renewal’ by transcendence (as it is by cumulation/reordering/recomposuring the prior institutionalisation mentation-capacity for a contiguous upholding of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that transcendence occur) of the ‘veridical reference-of-thought of meaningfulness’ since it dents the mental-devising-representation of the old/retrospective/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension ‘as not postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought but preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase at its uninstitutionalised-threshold and references the mental-devising-representation of the new/prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as ‘effectively postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought as a new-and-greater-mentation-capacity and dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase; on the grounds that the
veridicality of the reference-of-thought is what upholds ontological-normalcy or postconvergence/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. For instance, at its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring a prospective positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension which is rather superstitious/alchemy/aristocratic is rather ontologically-preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism/dialectically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism in a stranding-dialectics wherein its mental-devising-representation is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as not thinking/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase while the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation is postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, thus ‘granting the latter reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference)’ over the former which is ‘no longer reference-of-thought’ in the sense that ‘we can’t think in medieval terms and be considered soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought today but rather ontologically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. This dialectical conceptualisation equally applies regarding procrypticism and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions. In fact, a deconstruction insight with regards to all the interchangeable deconstructing terms in reference to the notion of ‘failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intradimensional reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (i.e., ontological-
indicates that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics is ultimately the ‘ideal reference term’ for the simple reason that unlike the other terms it ‘beats’ the ‘intuition for intradimensional/non-transcendental/non-transdimensional reasoning’ and succeeds to convey, overcoming the counter-intuition, the requisite transdimensional/transcendental reasoning that achieves ontological-normalcy or postconvergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation); as this counter-intuition for transdimensional reasoning (which is not easily superseded and not even by this author articulating the notion but for this abstraction insight) is basically due to the subconscious-strength of the ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy’ (epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage inclination) reference of personhood-and-socialhood-formation existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications such that the other notions will tend-to-get-lose-down-the-line by unconsciously returning to and/or admitting to the wrong intradimensional reflex-conceptualisations, at one point or the other, and so in lieu of and undermining the ontological-veridicality of the effectively veridical transcendent reality. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics ‘beats’ this counter-intuition by simply and immediately bringing to the mind an ‘overarching conceptualisation’ of a stranding-dialectics of superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension (as straight/candored-
and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) and a superseded/transcended registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension (as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase); around which all other dynamic constructions fall in place (whether organic-comprehension-thinking or threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought, subknowledging-impulse, etc.). The other deconstructing terms while having specific analytical bearings do not carry this all-encompassing quality that liberates from ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy’(epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage inclination) as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics does as it further induces ‘transdimensional or memetic thinking’ by its implied stranding-dialectics in meeting up with ‘ontological-normalcy or postconvergence’ (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). For instance, while the term registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>’ brings to the mind a poor ontological disposition like the other BODMAS characters disposition to systematically operate additionality overlooking A’s condition, but it is a sense of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics that carries the intuition of an uninstitutionalised-threshold, and construes a superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension and a superseded/transcended registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension, and all the implications thereof. Now analysing the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>’ term thereafter, we grasp that it is the ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ in ‘notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema>
of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as of the
perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ that makes it registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-
uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> (and not
about defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-
conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) and this carries the implications of a registry-
worldview/dimension defining defect (in a dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded and
prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation). Specifically, ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia–
stranding/attribution-dialectics as such implies registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-
uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>/not-
just-a-logical-processing-or-an-implication-of-act-execution-or-a-implication-of-notion-of-
agreement-or-disagreement-defect’ wherein we can perceive the complete picture of a
registry-worldview/dimension defect by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,
‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ like recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation (with respect to base-institutionalisation), ununiversalisation (with
respect to universalisation), non-positivism/medievalism (with respect to positivism) and our
own dimension procrypticism’s (the–‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism
of positivistic-meaningfulness) ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia–
stranding/attribution-dialectics (with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-

The very central idea about procrypticism and deprocrypticism (and for that matter the successive relative-ontological-completeness dialectics of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure so-construed as of notional–procrypticism and notional–deprocrypticism) with respect to the veridicality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor is in bringing to the fore and contrasting ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as to potential human
ontological-performance (longness-of-depth-of-meaningfulness and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and the reality of human temporal-dispositions at all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure uninstitutionalised-thresholds perverting/undermining ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, thus highlighting the follow dichotomies that are always associated with ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dialectics (underlied by teleological-inflections-{as-to-more-profound-nondisjointing–<\(\text{(amplitude}\text{)}\text{formative}\text{)}\text{epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating}}):


2) thinking as veridical reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) of mental-devising-representation of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought versus preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as mental-devising-representation of the retrospective registry-worldview/dimension as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as it is no longer an reference-of-thought (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-preconverging-or-dementing-reference)

4) ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting as defining the priority of life choices or existential living as in priority all that which preserve precedingly the intemporal as it creates the institutionalisation possibilities for the furtherance of intemporality/longness versus circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought as defining the priority of life choices or existential living as priorly unaccountable to the possibility for the furtherance of intemporality/longness whether by temporal circumventing or distraction of institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

the notion that, in recognition of the unchanging, preceding and inherent nature of intrinsic-reality with respect to the human psyche (and its mental-devising-representation of intrinsic reality) which is what ‘gives-in’/collapses ontologically/as-an-ontological-reference; enables, for the articulation of new mentations as transcendence, the ‘giving-in’/collapsing of the mental-devising-representation of successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure mindsets, notwithstanding the fact that the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics (of their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) is unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to these superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions mindsets due to their <(amplituding)>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage disposition.

Supposed we were to make a profound analysis of our contiguous human mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (in-dialectical/recomposing-moments) from the appearance of human beings on earth, the effective linkage as new-mentations between those successive recomposing moments (whether recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism-or-mediavalism, positivism–procrypticism and prospectively perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism) is as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics in stranding-dialectics; and this thus predicates or rather postdicates as well our own registry-worldview/dimension ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics over and as denaturing positivistic meaningfulness reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (procrypticism) and implying a prospective need for deprocrypticism. Postdication, when alluding to an ontological-
dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics defining psychological science, will effectively hold that the conceptualisation of the social is very much a contiguous ontological disambiguation of a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism social of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation in existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions, from a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Postdication means reasoning from a basis of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence wherein the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension is no longer referenced/registered/decisioned (as reference-of-thought) but ‘dialectically preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ while the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension is referenced/registered/decisioned (as reference-of-thought) as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ in construing meaningfulness. The grander issue that always arises is in existentialism terms, whether with regards to an obvious human disposition for temporal-accommodation as circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought of being-and-existence as conceptualised within the successions-of-existing-in-human-life-spans or rather an abstract eternal-projecting disposition of ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting wherein the articulation of meaning, being and existence is in existentialism-terms intemporally-driven on the basis that that which is in need of transcendence-and-the-intemporal (the temporal) cannot be seen-as-or-made-a-reference-of-intemporal/ontological-thought, and that it is exactly for that reason that human progress has been and will remain dialectically possible. That is, the reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) can only be the pedestalling of an ‘Intemporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting as ontology with regards to apriorising–registry, contrasted to a circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought-reference implying a perverted-registry reflected/perspectivated by its ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia–stranding/attributive-dialectics. Where the natural world is resolute with no compromise with the operation of such a notion as 1+1=2, the same cannot be resolutely affirmed in the human social-and-temporal-trading in the social world where on occasions 1+1 will add up to 5 where the effective constraining of institutionalisation is lacking. Ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia–stranding/attributive-dialectics (stranding) has the merits of articulating that for reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) to establish veridicality, no such social-and-temporal-trading is beyond ontological-entrapment ‘by re-institutionalisation with new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation dialectically implying an ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia–stranding/attributive-dialectics of transcended reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (in our present case, deprocrypticism of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, for a structural/paradigmatic resolution of defective-issues or vices-and-impediments of our registry-worldview/dimension and just as critically the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential; just as positivism is the structural/paradigmatic resolution of defective-issues or vices-and-impediments of non-positivism/medievalism together with the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential, and the same applies with ununiversalisation and universalisation, and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation); thus the potential to fully close the
gap with regards to ontological-veridicality of the natural sciences in a ‘renewed maturation’ of the phenomenological ontological-performance conceptualisation of the social. Though with the weakness we must be able to rise up to, that ‘the social’ is existentially ‘emotionally involved’. But this can be and is effectively overcome by ‘appropriately universalising and detached meaningfulness by percolation-channelling’ as devised for all formalised and institutionalised settings capable of introducing, upholding and internalising the ascendency of many a social outlying thoughts and meaningfulness which from a ‘purely mobbish social disposition’ as may arise in the extended-informality-⟨susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology⟩ would hardly be countenanced. The bigger picture here (and of relevance to a registry-worldview/dimension transcendence from procrypticism to deprocrypticism as the structural/paradigmatic and general resolution of the vices-and-impediments together with the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-⟨as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation⟩ as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology, and specifically resolution of the implications of psychopathic subknowledge/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-⟨as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation⟩) may be to think, given our own illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousnessas ⟨amplituding⟩formative-epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-synchretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, that such an analysis applies only to prior institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. But the fact is that such a profound conceptualisation will have to come to terms with the reality of the implied existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications beyond our present sense of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation if it were to avoid platitudinising, becoming circular
with dead-ends and lose its intemporal purpose and hence ontological purpose, and so for the simple reason that it is the human psyche that ‘gives-in’ with respect to intrinsic-reality as renewed/prospective ontological-veridicality, starting with that of the intellectual analyst/analysts itself/themselves); as the human psyche gave-in from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation to universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism to positivism, and where renewed/prospective ontological-veridicality does establish a new registry-worldview/dimension transcendentald paradigm shift as procrypticism to deprocrypticism, then the human psyche will equally have to give-in, and by the way all transcendences meet with some resistance or the other and thus a reason for transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflex to preserve the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendancy of intrinsic-reality in adverting social-and-temporal-trading of meaningfulness. Part and parcel, of human intellectualism beyond mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft, as has historically been implied in the case with many a great human mind, is to recognise that the social-construct is ‘not an ontological absolute’ but rather a ‘conventioning construct at the limits of human ontological capacity’ and that that is ‘why it has got its defining issues and problems’ and further that ‘it progresses and transcends’, and the intellectual exercise goes beyond just reasoning withinambits of ‘temporally-and-socially-perceived-rightness-of-thinking’ to explore possibilities that might actually be ‘outright unpalatable’ in the temporo-social sense but in the bigger picture as an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendentald/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm are indispensable. With the idea that an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendentald/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm that prolongs to intemporal/an-abstract-eternity while obviously of ‘less an immediate temporal existential sense of good to some
humans’ is undoubtable of ‘an intemporal existential sense of good to all humans at all times’ by its percolation-channelling wherein for instance, the structural/paradigmatic effect of the law is allowing for civilisational living but its circumstantial construal and application may not be in tune with the temporal interests of many but for its institutionalising constraining. This contrast between humans appreciating intemporality/longness as potentially of universal import and at the same time disposed occasionally to advanced their temporality, is what warrants ‘a constraining institutionalisation’. In the same vain, one may ask what’s the temporal benefit to Rousseau or Galileo instead of striving for greater aristocratic privileges for themselves; for the one to rather carry the mantle from one royal court to the other of affirming the possibility of human emancipation (by which we are all percolatively benefiting from today) or the other the mantle of a principled engagement and possibility of science starting with an uncompromising supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism from observation that the earth is not at the centre of the solar system, by which a culture of science came to be established. And finally, how coherent are temporal meaningful frames built from such intemporal grand principles but lived on temporal dispositions in extrication in contradiction to such philosophies, and what is the very relevance of such temporal enculturation and endemisation to present-day social and institutional failures in society? And what’s the role of ‘intellectual irresponsibility’ in all of this?

From an intemporal hence ontological depth-of-meaningfulness, preceding/supersedingly, ‘limited-mentation-capacity’ (for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) is the reason for registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defect at uninstitutionalised-threshold; implying that ‘ontological-normalcy or postconvergence’ is
actually for prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation beyond the defective ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy or reflex-normalcy’ which is rather an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) inclination to overlook/aside the notion of prospective transcendence at its own (limited-mentation-capacity-threshold) uninstitutionalised-threshold though it will obviously and paradoxically recognise the need of prior registry-worldviews/dimensions to transcend (just as by reflex from our perspective we will recognise such a need for base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation over ununiversalisation, positivism over non-positivism/medievalism but hardly prospectively the notion that our dimension has an uninstitutionalised-threshold like procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with the need for prospective transcendence as deprocrypticism). However, as previously indicated such an insight can only be garnered, beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as all registry-worldviews/dimensions wrongfully imply, given that ‘doppler-thinking’ wherein our registry-worldview/dimension isn’t the absolute reference of meaningfulness (which is rather an intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy in lieu of the ‘ontological-normalcy or postconvergence’ as that which allows for prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). It is this ‘ontological-normalcy or postconvergence’ that reflects/perspectivates perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defect as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as against the defective reflex-normalcy/intradimensional subknowledging-normalcy that wrongfully represent it as straightness/candored-and-
dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase. Thus the general notion of an intemporal/ontological resolution of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> is more than just the instigating effect of the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing (psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>) but harkens back to the notion of the intraregistry-worldview/dimension limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-


Ontological-normalcy or postconvergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation, beyond defective intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy/reflex-normalcy,
points to factoring in temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation as ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ to avoid wrongfully operating/processing of logic by the reference of the intemporal-disposition reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation which is ontological (as it is in sync with intrinsic-reality/veridicality), where dealing effectively rather with temporal-dispositions. Knowledge-notionalisation factors in how temporal-dispositions relate to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation

at uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/solipsistic/recomposing/animality-thresholds-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation

(intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy/reflex-normalcy) and at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds (ontological-normalcy or postconvergence). It should be noted that the peculiarity for achieving all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is about bringing the prior registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> to its placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology awareness for the collective-mind to psychoanalytically-unshackle/memetically-reorder/institutionally-recomposure, and thus take-stock-and-supersede/transcend its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)-threshold

(uninstitutionalised-threshold). This is brought to the collective-consciousness so that with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction it renews its psychoanalytic-equilibrium, as the latest ‘capacity boost’ with respect to what is the grander individual-and-social good as positive-opportunism. For instance, achieving base-institutionalisation requires
that it should be brought to the collective-consciousness that it is ‘perilous to survival-and-flourishing’ to remain recurrently-uninstitutionalised for the grander individual-and-social good as positive-opportunism. Once this enters the collective-consciousness this leads to an inclination for a renewed psychoanalytic-equilibrium/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview then becomes preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, as it is recurrently-uninstitutionalised, as the backdrop for the straightness/candoring-and-dialectically-in-phasing of base-institutionalisation registry-worldview. This is relatively direct by the existential implications to survival-and-flourishing with the lower institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism. For deprocrypticism, an even stronger emphasis has to be placed on the abstract percolation-channelling as setup from positive-opportunism for survival-and-flourishing, just as with the positivistic registry-worldview which as well is relatively deferential with percolation-channelling (undermining <$\text{amplituding}$formative$>$wooden-language- (imbued—averaging-of-thought-$<$as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications$>$) or banality-of-thought) to formalised deference like the higher developed legal system involving lesser possibility for mob-and-disparate-justice as with the lower institutional-cumulations, grander subject-matter expertise and lesser hearsays-and-vague-opinions limiting the ambit of the influence of the extended-informality- (susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology); all geared to discriminate for supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) over temporal-dispositions (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as percolation-
channelling not only in the present but prospectively. In other words, higher institutionalisations imply greater ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ wherein the ambits of the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with regards to meaningfulness shrinks as formal conceptualisations extend the intemporal-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) and deferential model for construing meaningfulness.

For instance, many a subject matter domain like meaning about the heavens, forces of nature, material nature, social laws, etc. are now effectively construed socially in deference to abstract intemporal-disposition teleological conceptualisation voiding social temporal-dispositions teleological dispositions. The reason is simple formal settings use the- Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework to construe knowledge and virtue conceptualisations as this is what proxies/synchs-with intrinsic-reality and hence their effective potency while on the other hand informal settings tend more to impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisations which may sound appropriate in their <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag but are often defective by lack of universality, not ontologically-driven in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of understanding and often with temporal/immediate interests/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. In this light, the articulation of the ontological-veridicality/reference-of-thought of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor-pedestals-disambiguation of our mental-devising-representation in explication of our ‘mentation capacity limitations’ accounting for our perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-


The idea of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) (for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) fundamentally implies that reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation are limited at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the specific registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation they enable, and are not absolute with respect to the perpetuation of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as ontological-normalcy or postconvergence and thus need to be cumulated-upon (or rather more precisely be recomposured institutionally), wherein new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation allow for the furtherance of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. The positivistic institutionalisation reflex disposition is to imply only a human intemporal-disposition/ontological-disposition, thus wrongly elevating issues of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as being issues of intemporal-disposition/ontological-dispositions and thus wrongfully implying their ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional—projective-perspective) rather than rightfully their notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema>/non-ontological-and-non-contending-referencing—<thus-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-of-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism> (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-preconverging-or-dementing-reference), and thus wrongly engaging in logical contentions instead of reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) manifestations of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation>, thus resulting in the consequent endemisation/enculturation of the specific vices-and-impediments of the positivistic registry-worldview (procrypticism/disjoinedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). In contrast, the particularity of the superseding/transcending ‘deprocrypticism institutionalisation’ disposition over procrypticism is that prospectively it points to the ontological-veridicality of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor-pedestals-disambiguation (at positivistic meaningfulness uninstitutionalised-threshold) to its mental-devising-representation to enable the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the collective-consciousness, and so as a knowledgenotionalisation. That is, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation setup that perpetually acknowledges and accounts for human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor-disambiguation before engaging either with logical contention in the case of issues of intemporal-disposition/ontological-disposition or with reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) manifestations of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in the instance of issues of temporal-dispositions; bringing this conceptualisation to the collective-consciousness for the necessary psychoanalytic-reequilibrium/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure that should enable the superseding/transcending of the enculturating/endemising vices-and-impediments together with the inhibiting effect on human emancipation potential associated with procrypticism. To
further elucidate, let’s explore again the Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison highlighted previously wherein character A had a condition whereby its results of additionality were systematically incremented by 1, its’s subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing highlighting an uninstitutionalised-threshold where the other characters wrongly calculated the result (the ontological-veridicality) failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, as actually intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation supersedes the mere-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the latter’s pertinence is rather about and subsumed as a mentation capacity to uphold the former. The bigger issue with regards to all the BODMAS characters is with respect to the limits of their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation which are readily predisposed to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing whether by character A or any other character rather than just the fact that the condition (psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting–as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> for instance) is the causative factor of their failure to in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ensure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. In any case the structural/paradigmatic resolution is with regards to the implications of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-andlocales of perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in the given registry-worldview/dimension as an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations predictable and determinable teleologies). That is, fundamentally the appropriate conceptualisation of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is structurally-speaking about perpetually ensuring intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the superseding/preceding notion (i.e. ontological-normalcy or postconvergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). In this regard, we may easily construe the fundamental defects-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as these enable perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> with respect to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation wherein successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are analogical to various defective instances in operating the BODMAS equation. That is, while the condition/subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with A’s additionality results are wrongly incremented by 1, leading to the uninstitutionalised-threshold to be rightfully corrected with new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation involving subtracting 1; the defect of a second registry-worldview/dimension may involve subtracting 1 from the result of S as a condition/subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing of S, requiring similarly new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation correction of the BODMAS characters as with the first registry-worldview/dimension to
uphold the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Likewise, a third and fourth registry-worldview/dimensions defects could involve respectively a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/condition of M wherein the latter wrongly adds 1 to a multiplier before multiplying and a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/condition of D wherein D wrongly subtract 1 to a divisor before dividing, with these two latter registry-worldviews/dimensions equally requiring similarly new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation adjustment of the BODMAS characters as with the first and second registry-worldviews/dimensions to uphold the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Ultimately, a deprocripticism construal of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process aiming to perpetually sync reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, is one that will bring to the mental-devising-representation, the BODMAS characters potential temporal-dispositions to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with the resultant integration unconsciously (ignorance) and consciously (other temporal-dispositions of affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) inducing the various uninstitutionalised-threshold, for a suprastructural resolution to human perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> disposition, enabling the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-
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The implications for the science of psychology can thus be drawn out. The articulated notion of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’ brings up the central conceptual role of psychology as about understanding human mental-devising-representation and the implications thereof. Central to this ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics process is a dialectical exercise of stranding; either as mentally oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase to imply a superseded/transcended/unsound registry-or-registry-worldview/dimension or as mentally straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-
phase to imply a superseding/transcending/sound registry-or-registry-worldview. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics further implies that instead of a ‘conventioning influenced and driven’ more or less notational study of human psychological phenomena as is the case today; we can ‘think’ of psychology in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics terms of stranding-dialectics of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinements as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-thought (stranding-dialectics with respect to either mentally oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase representation or mentally straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase representation) as ‘directed’ simply by demonstrable ontological-veracity/ontological-relevance/reference-of-thought of transdimensional-meaningfulness–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument; leading to a psychological science which is more comprehensive, timeless and unbounded by its conceptualisation as it emphasises psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation as more ‘ontologically-driven/ontologised’ rather than ‘conventioningly-driven/conventionalised’. In so doing, overriding and superseding the analyst illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/mirage referring to the instance where the personhood-and-socialhood-formation intradimensional conventioning induces an ‘analytical-complex’ with respect to an ontologically veridical psychological-representation or mental-devising-representation. As implied psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation is then fundamentally determined by the depth/profoundness-of-ontological-veracity/depth/profoundness-of-ontological-reference of a
given registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension as it upholds ontological-normalcy or postconvergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) over reflex-normalcy or intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy. Ontological-normalcy or postconvergence appropriately points to the pertinence for ontological construal as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for an appropriate ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics stranding-dialectics exercise wherein the reference-of-thought (‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting) is always a moving target (due to the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process) in need for prospective dialectical reconstitution (deconstruction), which then puts a science of psychology in phase with the dialectical development of ontological-depth/profoundness-of-reference in superseding relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,−‘threshold-of—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’—for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,−or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, in line with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; whereas a conventioning reference is relatively in circumventive/distinctive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought and fails to factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
{(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating—
withdrawal, eliciting of prospective supererogation) and the consequent uninstitutionalised-threshold or relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising—psychologism’-threshold (as-it-is-thus—‘in-wait’—for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>—or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) hence failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to imply a prospective dialectic ontological-depth/profondness-of-reference for an appropriate ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics stranding-dialectics. That is, a conventioning influenced-and-driven psychology tends to equate the conventional insights at one ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics dialectical moment or registry-worldview/dimension as intradimensionally set in stone and across all moments whereas an ontologically-driven psychology acknowledges and recomposes to the dialectical evolution of reference-of-thought for a comprehensive, appropriate and veridical ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics exercise. Such reference-of-thought of dialecticism registry-worldview-wise/dimension-wise (for ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics exercise in reflection/perspectivation of psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation) are the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose as recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism, positivism/procrypticism preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising—psychologism, and prospectively (critical for a prospective conceptualisation of psychology) perpetuation—of-deprocrypticism. This explains why this memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness suprastructural-meaningfulness psychology is a
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as it is driven/led by a reference to dialectical/ontological-veridicality (ontological-normalcy in successive ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/postdicatory ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction of dialectical existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications as reference-of-thought, rather than intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy or reflex-normalcy) for ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ exercise in reflection/perspectivation of psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation, i.e. stranding-as-rightfully-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase for the dialectically-and-ontologically superseded/transcended/unsound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension, and stranding-as-rightfully-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase for the dialectically-and-ontologically-superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension. This ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is the foundation of a pure, emancipated and disinhibited psychology (both registry-and-registry-worldview-wise) as such a psychology is grounded exclusively on ontologically demonstrable references of the veridicality of registries and registry-worldviews successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications, and the corresponding ontological veracities implied. Such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ contrasts with a ‘mented’ or ‘stigmatic’ psychology of weak memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness/ suprastructural-meaningfulness reference-of-thought for the simple reason that it is not founded on a pure dialecticism of ontological/dialectical-referencing but rather on intradimensional conventionalised referencing which wrongly hardly proxies the
veridicality of ontological-normalcy or postconvergence or construe a dialectical-reference/ontological-reference for ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ of psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation at uninstitutionalised-threshold. Thus it mental-devising-representation is stigmatic or mented (set-in-place-or-a-period) as stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/deandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase for the conventioning-superseded/transcended/unsound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension, and stranded-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase for the conventioning-superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension. This will explain in many ways the more or less fitful development of present day psychology, more or less ‘uncertain of the ontological/dialectical pertinence of temporal-as-out-of-phasing-representation’ (in reflecting preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) thus undermining its ontological-referencing veracity/ontological-pertinence with respect to an ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ exercise of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinements in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-confulatedness as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-thought. A dialectical ontological-reconstituting–as-of-confolatedness/deconstruction of reference-of-thought (recognising human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supercognition) and the need to re-institutionalised/re-intemporalised resulting in the subsequent institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure) as articulated above is not only the basis for memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness-suprastructural-meaningfulness, but as well for
avoiding what can be termed as the ‘ontological-circularity’ of present day psychology. Such ontological-circularities are engrained in all registry-worldviews/dimensions wherein the naïve pretence for a quest for deeper ontological-veridicality is rather just syncretic/circular and hollow-constituting-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation-as fundamentally the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation of the said registry-worldview/dimension are at a dead-end with a structural/paradigmatic impossibility for a critical breakthrough just by the mere fact that the registry-worldview/dimension has attained its mentation-capacity-limitation or uninstitutionalised-threshold (as the nature of intrinsic-reality with respect to the human psyche is ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or inherently preceding or inherently superseding as it doesn’t change an iota, and it is the human psyche that gives-in in its mental-devising-representation to conform to intrinsic-reality). With such naïve efforts to keep up and develop profound meaningfulness based on the same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology mostly a dead-end. Such ontological-circularities will include for instance the dead-end of medieval alchemy paradigm with respect to positivistic chemistry paradigm, a flat-world paradigm with respect to a round world paradigm, a creationism paradigm with respect to an evolution paradigm, a universal humanity paradigm with respect to aristocratic/racial/tribal paradigms, a science paradigm with respect to a superstition paradigm, etc. Naivety will be to think that issues of ontological-circularity in our present positivistic meaningfulness (for transcending beyond our vices-and-impediments and overcoming inherent inhibitions to human emancipation) are not in veridicality about a need for a shift in paradigm, prospectively. This brings forward fundamentally the limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly-as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)/uninstitutionalised-threshold construct of our times (procrypticism) and the paradigmatic implications specifically for such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ (as highlighted) over a relatively mented-psychology/stigmatic-psychology. What this reveals is that reality is ‘not a human mental-devising-representation processing exercise’; rather it is an intrinsic ontological-normalcy/postconvergence notion that doesn’t respond to human mental-devising-representation processing. The role of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics as a mental-devising-representation mechanism that syncs with evolving ontological insight (insight about intrinsic reality) as ontological-normalcy is to reflect/perspectivate the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or dialectical-primitivity at the very limit of the capability as its mental-devising-representation of a registry-worldview/dimension (uninstitutionalised-threshold), which otherwise any<br>
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing—
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview will overlook as it is a  
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) that is exclusively operant and deterministic only to its very own reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
or—ontological-preservation and is not tied to intrinsic-reality but rather pertinent only for
when it proxies intrinsic-reality. It is only ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—
stranding/attributive-dialectics that can create the foundation for a new mentation (unshackle
it psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully reorder it/recomposure it) to in ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence come into grips with a more profound ontological-veridicality as a
new reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) for a new existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness and thought. This insight about the intrinsic-nature-of-reality/intrinsic-reality is critical and central to understanding how ‘knowledge-deadend-paradigms’ can be overcome/superseded. Supposed B was to stick to resolving the BODMAS equation overlooking A’s condition on the basis that the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are set and given, whether these uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or not (which is what ensures proxying to intrinsic-reality), and further that the other BODMAS characters will do likewise anyway, this doesn’t in any way transform the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality from 66 to 72.5. Such a wrong disposition rather points aetiology for the need (in ontological-escalation) of an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of the BODMAS characters at that uninstitutionalised-threshold. In the bigger picture, ‘knowledge-dead-ends-paradigms’ (to varying degrees of pertinence) are often the explanation of underlying social issues and problems more than just about limited human ability or insufficiently directed effort towards the resolution of such issues and problems on the basis of present paradigms. It is inevitable that emancipation from such knowledge-dead-ends-paradigms will always require that the would-be intellectual-analyst or intellectual-analysts ‘blunt it’ (just as intrinsic-reality is uncompromisingly blunt) to the formative-epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage registry-worldview/dimension that what is fundamentally needed is a ‘paradigmatic-shift’. Much like observation and a rational interpretation of nature trumps dogma as with Galileo’s heliocentric argument for instance, this author holds that a fundamental decomplexifying/uninhibiting of our own (procrypticism
or preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivistic meaningfulness) psyche as being ontologically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/dialectically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference) opens up a new world of transcendental possibilities (wherein a comprehensive insight for addressing psychopathy and social psychopathy and other implied epiphenomena/incidental-phenomena equally lies, and critically so since the fundamental argument for a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ has to do with the foundational nature of mental-devising-representation/mentation/recomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology in the construction of all knowledge) at our positivistic meaningfulness uninstitutionalised-threshold; much the same way like a positivistic world opened up from the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. To further elucidate the criticality as indicated of such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as indicated with respect to a ‘mented’ or ‘stigmatic’ psychology can be further reemphasised clearly as such; a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is one that is being ontologically-driven or led by ontological-veridicality when it comes to mental-devising-representation by strictly adhering to the stranding-dialectics of ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. In other words, it overrides the
mented/stigmatic intradimensional meaningfulness mental-devising-representation and
enables a transdimensional-meaningfulness mental-devising-representation, wherein a
mented/stigmatic mentation stranding-dialectics in reflecting soundness-or-authenticity-of-
ference-of-thought/apriorising–registry-soundness and unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-
ference-of-thought/perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (respectively
stranded-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase and
stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase)
is stranded to the ‘conventionalised institutionalised/intemporalised-threshold-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ whether such a threshold is
the ‘appropriate basis for reference-of-thought or not and subsequent ontological-
veridity/onological-contiguity or not, as it is limited to what is the convention thus
hollow-constituting<-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation> with the result that mented/stigmatic psychology is limited to
hollow-constituting<-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation> human intradimensional conventioning reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-,for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with no prospective/transcending/superseding
possibility. For instance, we can project insightfully that a mented/stigmatic mental-
disposition in a non-positivism/medievalism setup in an impression-driven/good-
naturedness/wishfulness disposition but hollow-constituting<-as-disjointed-misappropriation-
of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>(failing/not-upholding<-as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-,for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation) will raise an issue of say sorcery in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of who is the sorcerer or sorcerers among us, how should sorcery be stopped and prevented in the community, and not in a prospective positivistic paradigm that is more ontologically-veridical, putting in question the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the non-positivism/medievalism conventioning notion of sorcery, however ‘good-natured’/impression-driven, while raising the positivistic the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of a positivising/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought. Such an insight prospectively will involve putting into question naïve and ever evolving constructs in our present day mented/stigmatic psychology science like personality disorders on the fundamental argument regarding the relatively poor insight about the requisite reference-of-thought to be established in the first place before then qualifying personalities with respect to such a philosophically and insightfully soundly established reference-of-thought, and not just naïve assumptions whether on the basis of popular axioms, vagueness and personal however well-meaning; with the idea of meaningfulness that goes beyond just a conventioning reference-of-thought and is rather inherently upheld by ontologically-veridical insight and pertinence. Further, such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ that is ontologically-driven will go beyond an exercise of mented/stigmatic phenotypes driven abstractly as inherent-personalities nature and in given settings-of-time, but grasp that human personality is critically involved in the stranding-dialectics educing-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology-into-the-existentialism-becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation as so-reflecting ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction as the more profound reference-of-thought and analysis, and with a more fundamental interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental insight of the human existentialism form-factor. In this regard, it is the opinion of this author that many
construed personality disorders that do not involve social deviances or not of physiological nature are actually adaptations at one time or the other in an ever-changing-and-challenging-construct that individuals make of a ‘wanting and developing social world with its stakes and confliction’, and it would rather be better to articulate personality as driven by a pertinence of being/ontological-extension-into-existentialism-or-full-depth-of-existential-implications with respect to such ‘a challenging and developing social world with its stakes and conflicts’ in the first place, otherwise we are just affirming arbitrary social classification schemes and not really involved in the requisite paradigmatic shifts; and such could further be grasped regarding specifically how many an experimental psychology schemes ‘desperately’ striving to draw social-world level conclusions can’t seem to supersede the modesty of schemes that it is just too farfetched and synoptically-limiting, thus trending more towards the defect of constitutedness in lieu of conflatedness as articulated by this author. Foucault had qualified the current focus on abnormal psychology as tending more to an ‘economic’ practice. What about the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as the ‘surreptitious driving mechanism of human mental-devising-representation or mentation’ that fully encapsulates and explains human psychological development across all the times and the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of human existential emanance, and so as an articulation that is retrospectively, presently and prospectively coherent? Given the fact that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics very much explains human transcendence as the recurrent ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of an animal of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). Such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ psychology driven by ontology or rather ontological-normalcy/postconvergence will be postdicatory, with the implications that this will fully focus the ‘kernels of postmodernism’ to usher in Suprastructuralism as an Age where humankind comes to grasp that its-meaningfulness-with-respect-to-intrinsic-reality as reflected by the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure has been progressing (more and more realistically) by successive suprastructuring of prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews ‘beyond their successive corresponding recomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology’, and introducing the veridical meaningful-frame/worldview of postmodernity with regards not only to the present but the formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought past and future, with the insight that our present recomposured-placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview will be subjected to this suprastructuring-meaningfulness nature of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as well. In fact the underlying difficulty of deconstruction when extended from its ‘textual basis’ to its ‘full meaningfulness basis’ as ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’, has to do with the fact that the full implications of ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction is that it prospectively calls for suprastructuring or construal beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existentia-extrication-as-of-existentia-unthought of prior registry-worldview mindset/reference-of-thought (and so as a tool of the prospective registry-worldview), as implied by the veracity/ontological-pertinence of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ as the underlying human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-
awareness-teleology driving mechanism. Considering that deconstruction as ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’ necessarily implies not one but two dialectically opposed registries/meaningful-references/anchorings-of-meaning/ontological-references/contending-references/registry-worldviews of meaningfulness; with the implication that the prospective/transcending/superseding is suprastructural to (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>-of) the prior/transcended/superseded, and so as a deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation. The fact is that without the notion of suprastructuring, the exercise of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics will wrongly imply that the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ and the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism are of the same reference-of-thought of meaningfulness (which is obviously wrong), and is the effect of the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirageas <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as we recognise this fact from a vantage perspective to the prior (utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation) but have ‘a complex’ recognising such a fact at a disadvantaged positivistic/procrypticism perspective with respect to the prospective (deprocrypticism), just as all institutionalisations tend to demonstrate when their own transcendence is implied, and certainly so the higher the institutionalisation as the mindset/reference-of-thought is increasingly set to ‘relate to its institutionalised secondnatured construct as being our very own individuals essential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplitunding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation and not a secondnatured construct’, and thus perceived as beyond or almost beyond analysis due to
the implied temporal alienating effect on us (but then it is the human psyche that gives-in to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as the foremost rule of humanity’s existential strive). Suprastructuring allows for the necessary transcendental-insight-projection-capacities for grasping the evasive Derridean conceptualisation of ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ projection/postdication in overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirageas \(<(\text{amplituding})\) formative\>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as ‘metaphysics-of-presence’.

Suprastructuring boldly answers the underlying issue involved with ‘communicating the true implications of deconstruction as ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’ by highlighting the paradox that it is all about ‘articulating a conceptualisation which involves implying that the reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of the seemingly reference-of-thought is unsound and needs to be superseded’. It is rather about in the very first instance putting into question a given reference-of-thought and projecting the appropriate reference-of-thought, before even proceeding to articulate more specifically meaningfulness within the projected reference-of-thought. This is akin to the idea of a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought articulating chemistry rules and principles to an alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought for the latter’s validation, requiring the latter to adopt a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought in the very first place before issues of substantive pertinence about chemistry rule and principles are raised within their now mutually positivistic mindsets. Such an exercise requires a highly uninhibited/decomplexified human frame of mind. This may sound rather farfetched as a notion but it is important to remember that the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought itself is the outcome of the décomplexing/uninhibiting of the human mind from earlier successive institutionalisations. Such an exercise is necessarily about psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the positivistic/procryptic reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology in the middle to
long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism; and with regards to Suprastructuralism as a notion, the implication is that this is a requisite idea that has to come to the collective consciousness (not just unconsciously as with prior institutionalisations, for instance the fact that notions of superstition are false had to be consciously brought up to the attention/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought for it to effectively undergo the necessary ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by acting as the conscious backdrop that engenders prospectively a positivistic mindset) for human emancipation into a deprocrypticism mindset; as with all psychoanalytic exercise whether of an individual or social conceptualisation nature, the idea of recognising/referencing/registering/decisioning the ontological-deficiency with respect to ontological-normalcy is central to superseding it. ‘Suprastructuring as such overcomes the ‘natural human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology reflex’ (in any registry-worldview/dimension) of ‘striving to avert preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation/mentation’ (whether such averting is ontologically-veridical or not) and so by a mistaken reflex to preserve a <$\text{amplituding}<$formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignoreable-void’–with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality (but which closure makes its representation of
determinism —<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ in the reflection as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of retrospective, present and prospective institutionalisations in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process points-of-reference, with the truer nature and representation of human psychology ultimately tied-to/driven-by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-construct’. Insightfully, just as highlighted later that existence-defines/precedes-essence, ideally the construction of psychology needs to be priorly subjected to ‘a becoming that defines psychology with its veracity/ontological-pertinence arising in the ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness of that existential becoming’. Is our understanding of psychology notionally complete when we can’t seem to understand what happens in apparently mentally sound minds partaking in ‘socially degraded’ situations like murky human interest stories, mobs, genocides and even ‘the conventional acceptance and numbness to mass casualty warfare’. In other words, in the first place what is ‘ontologically normal’ beyond the subjective conventioning of the psychology science (before even worrying about the abnormal)? Further isn’t it possible to make the contribution of present day psychology more complete in constructing a more thorough and dynamic understanding of mentation/psyche in relation to individual-social-humanity aspiration, where psychology evolves in a complete existentialism cadre. In other words, so placed in a becoming/existential cadre, is psychology not meant rather than just encapsulating what the human psyche/mentation is all about as if it is a set and determinate construct (strangely enough inadvertently and often mirroring schemes of social classification, and hence of social power relations) equally involve in articulating aspiratory models for human mentation/psyche? And such a paradigm shift with regards to present day mented/stigmatic psychology can actually be implied by prospective ontological-normalcy as deprocrypticism
involving the technique of a ‘postlogism mere formulaic slanting compelling–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation
placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
teleology teleological alignment reflex’ to the implied reference-of-thought since the
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation
is
prior/transcended/superseded and rather hollow-constituting--<as-disjointed-misappropriation-
of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>. And going by human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, a ‘postconverging-
or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
psychology-of-dynamics’ can perfectly represent the mentations/mental-devising-
representations of all registry-worldviews/dimensions both as implied and driven by
ontological-veridicality by way of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-
conflatedness/deconstruction and point out their peculiar mented/stigmatic specificities in
their hollow-constituting--<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation> involving with all mented/stigmatic mental-devising-
representations a circular preconverging-or-dementing-temporal-manifestation
(subontologisation (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) of
slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag,
sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-
enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-
endemisation effect. In the bigger picture, actually the fact is that the various
institutionalisations/institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are actually the levels
at which their specific quality (whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation,
positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism) actively and comprehensively define and characterise each of the institutionalisations while bringing the notion to the collective-consciousness/personhoods-and-socialhood-formation successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications. But then, such notions which can be weakly sensed in all prior institutionalisations are actually inconspicuously, selectively and occasionally introduced in the prior institutionalisation in graduated/staggered stages starting with the proto-prospective-institutionalisation right up to the prospective-institutionalisation; whether as proto-base-institutionalisation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation up to the graduated/staggered attainment of base-institutionalisation, proto-universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation up to the graduated/staggered attainment of universalisation, proto-positivism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism up to the graduated/staggered attainment of positivism, and effectively by a prospective insight, proto-deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism. For instance, many an alchemist in the medieval world were actually very thorough and methodical in their pursuit with skills that could be qualified as ‘rudimentary positivistic’. However, the fact that fundamentally their paradigm was a dead-end like the pursuit of the philosopher’s stone and the implications of not having an outright positivistic outlook/ideology is what mostly distinguishes them from the complexity of ‘true positivists’. Likewise, the ordinary practices in the positivistic world of deontological and jurisprudential nature, in disparate formal constructs and settings mostly, are mostly geared to carry abstract and coherent universal virtue implications with respect to all humans as the-Good/understanding-driven formal principles constructs, however approximate their applicative success (a principle is a notion that can coherently uphold itself, i.e. a principle is a notion that warrants that all persons covered by its ambit act the same way or are subjected to it in the same way, and not disparately, and it carries universal import; the opposite of ‘inductive limitation’ or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such
arguments cannot truly be of entailing-formative-epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be totalisingly-entailing, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-totalising-entailment but speak more of a temporal motive). But behind that pursuit is a covert admittance that without the deontology and jurisprudence and the corresponding induced culture as artifices (however approximate their applicative success) humans in their social dynamics do not have the inherent exclusiveness of intemporal-disposition quality to ecstatically/spontaneously/solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly adhere to intemporal/universal notions on the mere basis of ‘preaching’ the intemporal/universal notions and virtues (as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) without institutionalisation design or conceptualisation! This is an unspoken recognition of the inherent reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations nature, and the need to skew/design/institutionalise/intemporalise ‘the social’ for the primacy of the intemporal-disposition individuation, as secondnaturting. This is equally an unspoken insight not only to modern institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation conceptualisation of the-Good (positivistic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework). Such an insight is equally implied in prior institutionalisations of the-Good conceptualisations wherein for instance the prophetic philosopher using the prophecy tools of their times, as the summum of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for the social criticism of their own times, won’t naively imply ‘I have preached to you thus you’ve attain the intemporal’, but rather construe insightfully of a practice (institutionalising practice) that
cultivates a relative orientation towards the reinforcement of the intemporal, say like having the believers follow a whole routine from their expression of faith, praying in conscious reinforcement, to a way of living, however approximate in its applicative success in inducing an intemporal inclination. Positivistic secondnaturung of disparate frameworks of deontologies, constitutions and jurisprudence and the associated culture (as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) can be seen as proto-deprocrypticism, including their individual and social internalisation in the collective consciousness, and these unsurprisingly are the few elements in the sovereignty constructs of positivistic democracies with their constituent public or private organisations and associations as well as subject matters and specialisms, that are always ferociously, blindly and without further justification upheld by regulation and law and/or newer legitimately made regulation and law even against popular whim given their ‘inherent assuredness to preserve the intemporal construct in a furtherance of intemporal-preservation percolation-channelling. Prospectively, deprocrypticism institutionalisation will imply a superseding memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure/new-mentation and further extension of formalisation as ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ of ‘deprocryptic formalisation’ into the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) implying a greater underlying demystification of positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning by way of the ontological-contiguity (as from prospective deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~postconverging/dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>) with respect to the veridicality of human temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions nature that explains the nature of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised~preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema> as we become more consciously insightful, preemptive and superseding of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivism–
procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology with its social-construct implications; and this insight prospectively defines the conceptualisation of the present positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments as the backdrop for the deprocrypticism paradigm shift. But this equally as with all institutionalisations imply bringing to the collective consciousness a dialectically preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation of the present procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension (which is prior) from the prospective registry-
worldview/dimension (deprocrypticism) as the new reference-of-thought, which will seem unintelligible to the prior even though it is actually more real suprastructurally and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, just as our representation of medievalism though more ontologically-veridical will seem unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought in its closed mental-devising-representation of intrinsic-reality. Central to the notion of deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as the ‘veridical reference-of-thought’ articulation of (ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence) as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation over the positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–
qualia-schema> as of its perversion of reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation, and so in a prospective ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics moment wherein ontological-
normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (ontology) supersedes intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy (temporal conventioning compromise). This dichotomy between conventioning and ontology is critical to understand human mentation development along the successive institutionalisations, as transcendental knowledge is by definition prospective and hence recognises the ontological limits/thresholds of conventioning as knowledge and virtue reference because to start with all conventioning institutionalisations are structurally in want of prospective transcendence whether as recurrent-utter-institutionalised, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism in a prospective insight. Conventioning as such could only prospectively reflect ‘sound reference-of-thought status’ when it prospectively coincides/proxies ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; the holy grail of the deprocrypticism institutionalisation ideal. But actually a conventioning construct in contrast to attaining such a prospect of ‘abject-purism-of-ontology’ rather tends to operate on the basis of least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator for that conventioning construct, and the latter is thus the ‘effective meaningfulness-or-value-reference’ of the said conventioning construct notwithstanding any grander ontological meaningfulness-or-value-reference striving for abject-purism-of-ontology. The implication here is effectively that grander ontological and philosophical meaningfulness-or-value-references are no more pertinent in a conventioning construct than its least acceptable meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator but for discretional or prestige basis of discretional and disparate recognition, out of discretionary formalisation in inducing the secondnaturiing and internalisation for that recognition. This insight is pertinent in that in the construct of ontology driven meaningfulness-and-value-references of intellectual grounding (purism-of-ontology), it is important to grasp that the social integration of meaningfulness-
and-value-references in a conventioning construct is effectively a least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator-driven dynamism, and that it is by an effective utilisation of the institutionalisation percolation-channelling mechanism that such ‘purism-of-ontology’, by it’s the-Good, can stand out in bringing to bear its human and social emancipation potential. In the same token, thus it is equally important to grasp that primacy of meaningfulness-or-value-reference orientations in conventioning constructs do not necessarily has to do with a primacy of ontological-veridicality pertinence especially where it is not driven by intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating but by social-aggregation-enabling, notwithstanding that such a conventioning construct may be seen as the social reference of grander meaningfulness-and-value-references in its subject area, and so fundamentally because it is a least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference play-out notion and not an-abject-purism-of-ontology-reference notion. Thus the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> of meaningfulness in our positivistic registry-worldview/dimension should prospectively be subject to ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics with corresponding stranding-dialectics even though it won’t be intelligible from our vantage superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension point just as with all transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions. The narrative/storying technique for a comprehensive postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism—by—preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism dialectical representation involves articulating a comprehensive organic-comprehension-thinking narrative in ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting by which varied induced threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism narratives in circumventing/distractive-

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism narratives as stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase to articulate an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and so whether such threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism postlogic narratives are slanting (subknowledging-impulse), miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising and their corresponding temporal enculturation/temporal-endemisation. Explained in another way, the actual depth-of-storying involves:


- and this being effectively wrongly elevated as of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism by temporal-dispositions by their hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-
and-failing-intemporal-preservation> or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-
logic-reflex to these formulaic slanting compelling-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or
postlogism or hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-
dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic (whether
unconsciously by ignorance, and consciously by affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-
social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) inducing the temporal-

- then the reference-of-thought as the intemporal-disposition organic-comprehension-thinking in
‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-
reprojecting reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-
with) of the two above as non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-rather-
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as being in veridicality psychopathic-
and-social-psychopathic phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>;

- and so, as an ontological-escalation/aetiologisation (the organic-comprehension-thinking
analytical resolution) that is essentially and prospectively deprocryptic; ideally such a
resolution articulation technique comes down to an enigmatic ontological-
ormalecy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-
conflicatedness as dialectical transformation storying reflecting-or-perspectivating a
procrypticism (preconverging-or-dementing-of-positivistic-meaningfulness) registry-
worldview/dimension as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aesthetised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> (at positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold) with respect to depcrypticism abject ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality (postconvergence), and so as the bigger grounding for the resolution of the epiphenomenon/incidental-phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy.

By the way this operant conceptualisation is relevant with phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in all registry-worldviews/dimensions. Wherein for instance in a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension:


- and so, as an ontological-escalation/aetiologisation (the organic-comprehension-thinking analytical resolution) that is essentially and prospectively positivistic, just as the ontological-
escalation/aetiologisation of psychopathy and social psychopathy is essentially deprocrypticism. Likewise, one can imagine the same type of enigmatic ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-confoundedness as dialectical transformation storying reflecting-or-perspectivating a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> (at its uninstitutionalised-threshold) with respect to positivism as (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, as the bigger grounding for the epiphenomenon/incidental-phenomenon of say a medieval phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> like sorcery. As fundamentally, intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm resolution as against an extricatory/temporal/non-ontological paradigm resolution fundamentally implies putting into question a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought (to be transcended by a prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension) that is structured to enable the endemisation and enculturation of a phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> like sorcery in the non-positivism/medievalism world; implying that an ‘intemporal-disposition mindset’ of positivistic disposition finding themselves in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup will not see the proffered accusation of sorcery against them or any other individual as simply requiring defending themselves or the accused of sorcery or ‘playing out’ in the social-and-temporal-trading of that social-setup to extricate themselves or the accused but rather project that the registry-worldview/dimension in endemising and enculturating the possibility of accusations and notions of sorcery is
structurally dialectically-primitive/dialectically-out-of-phase (thus in need of prospective transcendence), and the undermining of that registry-worldview/dimension is the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm resolution of the epiphenomenon of sorcery across metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation.

It should be noted that an intemporal or ontological or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology resolution to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in any registry-worldview/dimension is well beyond the notion of resolving just an underlying causative subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing (condition from say a physiological cause), like psychopathy in the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension or a sorcerer accuser in a medieval registry-worldview/dimension. That may explain the initiation of a loss of intemporal social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) arising from postlogism in hollow-constituting<-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> for instance which is then at the base of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold (which is overall the structural/paradigmatic issue to be resolved), as temporal-dispositions are out of a ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’/skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) institutionalisation setup, whether at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism from the insight of their respective prospective institutionalisation as the resolution in the form of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism. The point is reality
is as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural and doesn’t respond to and have nothing to do inherently with human mental-devising-representation incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness, as it is up to us to proxy to it and hence we can’t say we want to think-one-way or we’ve-been-thinking-a-certain-way (as reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) to naively imply that reality will and should comply, as failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology speak of human mental-devising-representation dead-ends and the need for paradigm shifts. Likewise, a suprastructural conceptualisation is one construed beyond and not limited to the (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology or mental-devising-representation of a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, i.e. not limited to its temporal conventioning compromise. In that sense, the knowledge-notionalisation is about ‘a deterministic and operant construct preserving intemporality/longness as ontology’. This translates as:

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with the instigation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all recurrent-utter-institutionalised human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any human locale, requiring the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by a stranding-dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and
prospective/transcending/superseding base-institutionalisation as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct (and so, in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending);

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with the instigation of ununiversalisation and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all ununiversalised human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any one human locale, requiring the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of ununiversalisation by a stranding-dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded ununiversalisation as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and prospective/transcending/superseding universalisation as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct (and so, in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending);
- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with the instigation of non-positivism/medievalism with such phenomenon as witchcraft and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all non-positivism/medievalism human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any one human locale, requiring the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of non-positivism/medievalism by a stranding-dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded non-positivism/medievalism as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and prospective/transcending/superseding positivism as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct; and prospectively (and so, in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending),

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing with the instigation of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with such phenomenon as psychopathy and social psychopathy and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any one human

* In other words, fundamental construal about the conceptual-and-institutionalisation-phenomena has to do with how any and all conceptualisations and meaningfulness harken back to ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, qualified as the very essence of intrinsic-reality as a suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence conjoint-ontological-and-virtue-consistency upholding construct; and in so doing, explicates successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications. Hence the subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/slantedness mechanism that induces perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure effectively define each registry-worldview/dimension respective uninstitutionalised-threshold while reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting its mental-devising-representation specific superseded/transcended ‘stranding-as-(mentally) oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’ that is its uninstitutionalised-threshold (going by the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-
thought’). This transcended/superseded uninstitutionalised-threshold in the stranding-dialectics is a universal notion in establishing that that which is perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and therefore not ontologically-veridical (superseded/transcended stranding-as-mentally- oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) or the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and that which is not perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and ontologically-veridical (superseding/transcending stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) or the institutionalised threshold. This is critical in overcoming our very own
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inclination with respect to procrypticism, perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivistic meaningfulness, that is, positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), and so beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness as more of a veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality to a veridical existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) over which memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling can then occur. Otherwise, while such an insight is intuitive from our vantage positivistic registry-worldview point of reference with respect to prior registry-worldviews/dimensions
psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing/slantedness in opportunism
postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ wrongly implying logical
nested-congruence–wrongly implying a logical contention); the specificity lies in the notion
of ‘EMPTINESS of psychopathic postlogic-backtracking–*set-of-
dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> and the conjugation/inflection/protration of that
EMPTINESS to the temporal-dispositions in hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> postlogism
conjoining-looping-sets-of-narratives–(construed-as-of-slanted-cohering–’unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’-of-the-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>,–and-avoiding-any-wrongly-implied-logical-processing-engaging). It is the
‘reflection/perspectivation’ of this EMPTINESS of narratives/affirmations that is behind the
notion of perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and so as
intemporal organic-comprehension-thinking insight over threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism distraction. In fact, the technique for
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism involves mentally
interceding/intermediating the reflected/perspectivated insight of a postlogic interlocutor’s
hollow-narratives or derived-hollow-narratives with emptiness to reflect/perspectivate its
unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as a manifestation of registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
existential–defect> given the narrative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>. It is critical to note that
this EMPTINESS of mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought–
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
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supererogation> as the uninstitutionalised-threshold of (ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics) stranding-dialectics mentally-representing prior transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phasing-or-dialectical-primitivity with respect to prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions mentally-represented as mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase; is the underlying process that permits the ‘transcendental shifting of reference-of-thought (enabling ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) to the apriorising–registry of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension while the transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension is no longer a dialectically-in-phase reference-of-thought but of dialectically-out-of-phase meaningfulness-and-teleology perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to its preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. This process basically explains ontologically why and how humans from the very beginning to today are the same as it fundamentally grasps the dynamism of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation that elucidates our human contiguous anthropological-continuity or anthropopsychology. Further, in the practical elucidation of social issues having to do with an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> like psychopathy-and-social-psychopathy, it points out that the critical point is to understand what meaningful apriorising–registry is the ‘veridical reference-of-thought’ as reflected/perspectivated by soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candoring-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase and what is rather non-ontological-and-non-
contending-referencing—<thus-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-
of-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism> and hence preconverging-or-
dementing—apriorising-psychologism as reflected/perspectivated by mental-
slantedness/decandoring-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase; and so in an
underlying conceptual framework of ontology as an ideal that pulls the social towards the
intemporal and the real nature of the social rather as a ‘conventioning construct’ that while
susceptible to ontological/intemporal influence is equally the milieu of temporal drawbacks
that need to be critically undermined including with ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ involving
not only the study of the ideal but ‘understanding how temporal-dispositions arise and work’
to better skew/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporality/ontology as
institutionalisation/intemporalisation together with differentiating between good-naturedness
which is rather impression-driven, vague and might actually be precarious by its meaningful
disposition to extrinsic-attribution and associated perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> and the-Good which is about understanding in ontological-prime movers-
totalitative-framework how reality is/how things work to deliver virtue and hence is the basis
for formalisations, and actually the ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ has been the
process by which throughout human history, increasingly segments of social thinking
(present-day subject-matters) are taken out of common hotchpotching and undisambiguated
temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions in the extended-informality—{susceptible-to-effecting-
parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} to be
given ‘formal deferential status’ to ensure the supersedingness and internalisation of
intemporal-disposition inclination to ontological-veridicality. This ontological-
dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics insight brings up another
definition of the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure
process relating human mental-devising-representation with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality, wherein we can imagine ‘an initial state for memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of base-dementation and imagine a completed state of memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of non-dementation’, with the underlying mental-devising-representation/(recomposure)-consciousness-awareness-teleology taking/institutionalising/intemporalising the abstract human mind from base-dementation to non-dementation; involving at successive uninstitutionalised-threshold of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-(as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, internal-contradictions induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inoperance, stranding-dialectics divulging prospectively perversion-of-reference-of-thought-(as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of temporality, with corresponding formalisation and internalisation as values. While this process had occurred priorly rather beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-(in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> from base-institutionalisation, universalisation and up to positivism, it will possibly be more driven as-of-consciousness-awareness-teleology when it comes to attaining deprocrypticism as the latter registry-worldview/dimension is actually weaker than the preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions in eliciting a positive-opportunism and will more strongly depend on percolation-channelling of
the former. Noting as well that teleologically, the transcending/superseding and the transcended/superseded are in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. That is, the two ‘reason pass each other’ (wherein the transcending/superseding is organic-comprehension-thinking while the transcended is in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) as the transcending/superseding is involved in ‘reasoning-through/over’ and not ‘reasoning-with’ the transcended/superseded (this explains why transcendence is ‘a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-constraining/secondnaturizing process’ and not ‘a first-naturing transformation process’), just as a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought ‘can only be in reasoning-through/abjection over’ a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought and ‘not reasoning-with’ it as otherwise the former wrongly validates that there is no medieval mindset/reference-of-thought preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (that defines medievalism as stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase given its defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as warranted for rational-empiricism/positivism but speaking of its comprehensive narratives registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> given the rather continuous <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-synchretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of medieval meaningfulness, and warrants in lieu of any pretence of medieval mindset/reference-of-thought contention, which is rather a manifestation of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as medieval meaningfulness, a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective positivistic mindset reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the first place for the notion of mutual contention to even arise) and in so doing wrongly validating the medieval meaningful frame (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-elements-of: implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) as mentally sound. It is the cause-and-effect-effective-predication by its grander grasp of intrinsic-reality that by way of untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and social universal-transparency-{(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
\textit{amplituding\textsuperscript{(formative)}}}epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) imposes cross-generationally the dominant as transcending/superseding meaningfulness over the dominated as transcended/superseded meaningfulness (there is no social-and-temporal-trading in that regard); as the intrinsic-reality that the transcending/superseding meaningfulness carries is suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and doesn’t adjust to the mortals, that we are, ‘social-and-temporal-trading’, otherwise the supposedly transcending/superseding compromises itself with respect to intrinsic-reality and losses its pertinence as a proxying reference-of-thought to intrinsic-reality, to start with. Such an insight can be garnered as, for instance, in the natural sciences we can’t negotiate about gravity being 9.8 m/s\(^2\), but with ‘the social’ which is rather ‘emotionally involved’, such negotiated social-and-temporal-trading idiocy is surprisingly quite recurrently articulated. It should be noted that the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ in upholding a mental-devising-representation of temporal-dispositions as rightfully-stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) is rather a comprehensive intemporality-preserving
\[(amplitudine)formative]\epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and the rightful-stranding-as-mentally-
oblengated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase recurrently, for all registry-worldviews/dimensions (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism), that suprastructurally and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence defines any specific registry-worldview/dimension dialectical-primitivity whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, unununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The bigger point is that fundamentally it is impossible to conjugate/inflect/protract intemporal\[ity/longness out of demonstrated\[ temporality/shortness (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>) as then one is just in
\[(amplitudine)formative]\epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and wrongly implying the registry-
worldview/dimension is beyond transcendence or is non-transcendable (hence undementable/still-of-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism)

when in fact it is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/subknowledging/registry-perverting-in 
\[(amplitudine)formative]\epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This latter idea is actually the \[(amplitudine)formative]\epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reflex of all prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to the suggestion of
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions, as we can appreciate from our vantage perspective at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process to be rather not true with prior transcendences though we’ll in turn obviously act by reflex in \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}\) with respect to the suggestion of prospective transcendence undermining our registry-worldview’s/dimension’s categorical-imperatives/axiom/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

The ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality as such explains why ontological-veridicality is rather a reasoning-through/abjection to apprehend intrinsic-reality, over incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which is more about ‘transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative–disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing human conceptual elucidation of reality’ (given that the former emphasises ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as all-determinant); with reasoning-through/abjection generally implied in formal constructs and settings as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework settings while informal constructs and settings tend more to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–procrypticism/notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and hence are highly teleologically-degraded as impression-driven/good-naturedness settings. The reason is that formal constructs and settings emphasise ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting in longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and hence are equally highly deferential whereas informal constructs and settings do not constrain temporal-dispositions and hence are highly subjected to circumventive/distractive-temporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought in shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and are unsurprisingly rather not deferential given that they are opened to hotchpotching/undisambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting points out that conventioning constructs like sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising do not supersede the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/intrinsic-veridicality, as may be naively advanced with circumventing/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought, such that just as the conventioning construct of non-positivism/medievalism cannot be evoked to imply that with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought a prospective positivism mindset, which is the outcrop of an ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting exercise in non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension, is unwarranted. Likewise, it is rather naïve and (<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to advance circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought concerning psychopathic and its social psychopathic collorary (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-innonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) in wrongly implying that a deprocrypticism ontological-escalation/aetiologisation is unwarranted. More like the evocation of circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought about a past war criminal or rapist based on conventioning constructs like their being in the past, their settled lives, etc. doesn’t dispense them from ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting, the need for their judgment and/or in advocating unfailingly/infallibly the uncompromising notions against rape or war crimes, and so without conjugating/inflecting/deriving any excepting human temporal
circumstances into it by circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought. This further point to the dichotomy between temporal-compromising-conventioning and ontology, with a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation dialectics wherein ontology as reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation perpetually elevates conventioning. This further translates in the conceptualisation of value-and-valor with the implication that while aspiring for temporal values and valor may be the standard \((\text{amplituding})\)formative›wooden-language‹imbued—averaging-of-thought‹as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications›) perception, however, grander value and valor effectively lies in the universalising and philosophising orientations (as ontological-profoundness-of-thought/ontological-normalcy in contrast to conventioning-profoundness-of-thought/intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy) that enable the possibility, the construct and the upholding of human emancipation across successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in the very first place, that is, emancipation into base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. Aristotle’s advocating of the ‘golden mean’ is more of a heuristic and aesthetic notion but doesn’t have an ontological-basis as it is rather an impromptu articulation of a sense of desirability but fundamentally lacks the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reference of ontological-contiguity but for naively and wrongly implying good-natured qualities as being ontological (rather than the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation validated by ontological-contiguity or a ratio-conguity notion), and since the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process shows that ‘good-naturedness’, without the-
Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological-contiguity, fundamentally has little import or worst bad implications. The truest value and valor resided in what Aristotle and other thinkers or even prophesiers were striving for actually. Aristotle nor Socrates nor Plato nor the prophets (working rather more assertively on supernatural paradigms) nor latter thinkers like Descartes, Kant, Darwin, Leibniz, Rousseau strove for the golden mean in their overall endeavours. Rather from an ontologically verifiable reality as a the-Good/understanding/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/ontological-contiguity they actually aspired for ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting, that is, they were prioritising and focussing on that which establishes universal and philosophical principles as first-order-ontology for-prospective-living as the backdrop for enabling better human emancipation and living (even though where relevant this will subsume-as-supplant-(as-of-relatively-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) the golden mean into ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting but with the latter rather superseding/encompassing it). It is the establishment of such first-order-ontology for-prospective-living as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively depocrypticism which are of transcendental nature as ‘shaping the human psyche’ and providing the emancipatory umbrella for second-order-ontology and their temporal yearnings which are rather non-transcendental and cannot structurally resolve fundamental issues, and of circular institutionalised-being-and-craft. A Rousseau may not be the ‘shrewdest aristocrat’ in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the ordinary value of personal gain of the medieval world but the first-order-ontology resolution of issues of social emancipation passes by his and likeminded first-order-ontology philosophical projection.
This certainly applies with regards to defining transformative impact of transcendental constructs across all registry-worldviews/dimensions that does not compare with ordinary being-and-craft second-order-ontology sense of value which is rather intradimensionally circular and is hardly of the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm addressed from first-order-ontology constructs. Granted if humans had absolute mentation capacity then ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting will be skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) or rather supersede/encompass all such desirabilities implied by the golden mean. However, we don’t have absolute mentation capacity and the most intemporal of our dispositions should take pride of place in defining our achievement motives whether as philosophies, causes, skillsets and talents in our value and valor aspirations, in line with the notion of a true principle, with the implication that such value and valor is capable of rationally upholding itself and its registry-worldview prospectively when implied universally. Such an insight can further be expanded thus, it is critical to note that the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are developments of human mentation capacity in grasping its ‘internal ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction intermediating environment’ and the external environment. The former refers to the teleological devised representation of the relationship with the external environment like language, organisation, culture and other institutional construct by which it existentially accesses the external environment. In effect, though counterintuitive, human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation is actually an ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/intemporal-preservation preemptive construct which paradoxically
supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’; and so, in the relation between human developing mentation capacity and suprastructural-and-postconvergence-intrinsic-reality in ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation). In this regard, transcendental institutionalisation is basically an ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/intemporal-preservation preemptive conceptualisation. Such ‘‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/intemporal-preservation preemption that actually create institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure’ is in fact the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework which in the face of ontological-normalcy as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation harkens back to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework to establish prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (as the corresponding mental-devising-representation of the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ as stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) to-meet-up/proxy-with the ever dialectically suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intrinsic-reality, explaining the institutionalisations as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocriptism, as reflected/perspectivated by their organic-comprehension-thinking. This contrasts with the defective good-natured construct as impression-driven and intradimensionally-tied and all so apt to existentially fail ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-failing-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as it is rather tied to and proxies, by mere form,

Briefly, such an anthropopsychological/the-anthropological-continuity conceptualisation as articulated above further enables the insightful conceptualisation of ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation articulation) analysis’ as expanded upon below, in the ‘ephemerality that is the social-construct’, on the basis of an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation understanding of the social-construct. This is central in articulating a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ which is ‘profoundly ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure
possibilities for transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation of deprocripticism (superseding the vices-and-impediments of procripticism):

- Institutionalised/uninstitutionalised thresholdings of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation


- (ontological/intemporal-disposition) organic-comprehension-thinking

(organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-
ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in dialectic contrast to (temporal-dispositions) threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism with regards to depth of issues arising from deductive narratives, life episodes, life schemes, general existential being dispositions and specific existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications about the registry-worldview/dimension. * In the bigger scheme of things, anthropopsychology as the-anthropological-continuity as implied by intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation relation to reality as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/precedingness points out that at registry-worldview/dimension-level ontology as the transcending dimension is veridically an abject organicalism (organic-comprehension-thinking) over mechanicalism (threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism which is the transcended dimension. Further, such abject organicalism (organic-comprehension-thinking) in implying registry-worldview/dimension transcendence takes stock of human perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in full dispositional capacity (as such manifestation in dispositional perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> fullness in particular highlights a highly compromised and teleologically-degraded social-construct validating such abject organicalism even if it seem counterintuitive to the transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s illusion-of-the-present perception. * So it is important to understand with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy that the level of profoundness of its manifestation and consequences is directly related to the level of the associated perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> compromised and degradation of the social construct!

- the-Good/understandingknowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation (straightness-to-slanthedness/candored-to-decandored) human ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework disposition which is ontological correct as contrasted to an ontologically wrong impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation which wrongly references as human ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework just an intemporal-disposition universally among all humans (straightness/candored only), at uninstitutionalised-threshold; while the latter will tend to be ontologically impertinent and wrong as it doesn’t account for temporal-dispositions and is hence not capable like the the-Good conceptualisation, working with what veridically is, to anticipate and preempt subknowledging/mimickingas (<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalisingself-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to achieve veridical ontological/intemporal virtue.

- ‘Disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ (speaking-abstractly-to- metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/a-deterministic-and-predicative-‘being-construal’ as contrasted to just an ‘act construal’) to reflect by stranding (as decandored/oblongated) to represent the ‘existential being ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ in an ontological entrapment of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling at the uninstitutionalised-threshold.

- Institutional recomposuring implying that the fundamental issue of the-Good/understandingknowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

*Such ‘CREATIVE EXISTENTIALISM (FULL-EXISTENTIAL-DEPTH-IMPLICATIONS) STORYING CONSTRUAL’ will utilise the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ to articulate relevant issues of ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ together with the implied percolation-channelling for transcendence highlighting for such successive issues the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions teleologies involved, analogical to concentric-cycles of teleological storying development, as follows: ONTOLOGY-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as organicalism teleology or intemporally/ontologically-given teleology)—EPISTEMIC-DECADENCE-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> as
absolving/fleeing/reflex-logic in-a-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema> teleology or distractive-slantedness teleology or meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated teleology; striving to undermine organicalism-or-intemporally/ontologically-given teleology)—to—EPISTEMIC-DECADENCE-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema>
of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument teleology or threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
transcendence principle teleology. That is, relating to them as ‘dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’ with respect to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or ontological-contiguity/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence at the procrypticism uninstitutionalisation). And all these, as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation conceptualisation of perverse/low teleologies to higher teleologies. (That is, temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions teleological reference of solipsistic grandeur as the differentiating element of characters supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism depth highlighting-and-tracing the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, based on the fundamental fact that ‘registry/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought precedes logic’. This equally explains the reason for stranding-dialectics including with regards to registry-worldview/dimension stranding where the veridicality of the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework narratives is shown to be of perverse/low teleology ontologically speaking). The ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation) scheme’ is equally critical in other respects. It rightfully prevents the ontological mental-devising-representation from being flipped from formulaic slanting compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogism narratives in preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and wrongly represented parasitising/co-optingly as prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-ontologically-veridical narratives to be contended with rather than being rightfully reflected/perspectivated (in-reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) as manifestations of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-protracted-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/subknowling/mimickingas <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as it is rightfully perceived
during the psychopath’s childhood when the psychopath is ‘delirious’ as at the underdeveloped stage it is not decisively maturated, not decisively indirect, not decisively spatialising, not decisively credulous and not decisively crafty). Thirdly, the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation) scheme’ equally prevents the relaying of the postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as of formulaic–compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation initiated from the psychopath to its interlocutors, to wrongly imply that the veridicality of its interlocutors narratives induced postlogically as of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism then wrongly become as of postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism, and as this conjugates/inflects (in-mimicking-protraction) with the temporal-dispositions of ignorance-affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfurance-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, and inducing miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Finally, the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ allows for the possibility of a registry-worldview/dimension transcendence by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) both psychopathic postlogic subknowledging-impulse/compulsive-dementing (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>/non-

Of course, this is more like a ‘notional template’ in a ‘dynamics of benign implications to grave existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications’ articulated over a functional social-construct which however ‘endemises psychopathy and social psychopathy rather at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the positivistic meaningfulness reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> known as procrypticism preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, requiring futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation (for the furtherance of the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality). Further, it is important to appreciate that just as with the profoundness of treatment of subject-matters and specialisms (and even more so with regards to ‘the social’ given its characteristic ‘emotional involvement’ aspect), corresponding subject-matter ‘focussing of analysis and jargon’ will seem rather unusual and unnatural to ‘ordinary thinking’. But then ‘ordinary thinking’ is responsible for mostly nothing, if not thinking mostly in the extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology}, and cannot be made a reference of formal thinking as issues requiring profound treatment invariably are construed based mostly on unordinary formal constructs which, granted, should be able to ultimately by their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework demonstrate that such formal constructs are the best ontological and virtue conceptualisation with regards to the issue or domain of concern. That’s why the populace is not asked its opinion about the law or astronomy or medicine, for instance, as the need for deferential-formalisation-transference arises for the effective ontological/intemporal treatment of domains of reality but for when the issues at stake require a sovereignty exercise requiring individuals informed consent whether political or decisional or rather as social learning/inculcation exercise; but then sovereignty exercises are not pure knowledge/ontological constructs but for the construals/conceptualisations of inherently sovereign choices as knowledge/ontological
constructs of the sovereign choices. Thirdly, the conceptualisation of this paper is rather unusual and unordinary as it is transcendental by its construct and the implied registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications, and even further unusual by its phenomenological and hermeneutics methodological approaches, which frankly speaking is the only way to creatively garner such insights in broad strokes. Like with all transcendental constructs, which by definition tend to put the usual/ordinary in question, it is not surprising that it will sound highly alienating to ordinary ways of thought. However, its ethos is that it is coming from a depth of conceptualisation that is more profound than our ordinariness when it grasps that other institutionalisations whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism, had their own ‘ordinariness’ in \((amplituding)\)formative\(>\)epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag no less than we do, and that the underlying ontological reasoning is beyond the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence, of any registry-worldview/dimension including our positivistic meaningful frame, to arrive at a superseding and more profound ontological-veridicality or grasp of intrinsic-reality with corresponding illuminating implications. In that sense, an argument of the type our society is great as it is, will then be meted with a same argument that there were great things happening in medieval times as well and maybe we shouldn’t have transcended into positivism; speaking of a fundamental solipsistic ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity. One could argue in the logic of those times, the serfs were doing great feeding themselves, as many did argue; and there was no need for science, as many did argue, etc. The fact is we are the outcrop of the possibility and potential for human transcendence before which doesn’t end with us but proceeds to undermine our own registry-
worldview/dimension as well. Fourthly, it is obvious that if and where what is factored in is only the folksy lifespan perspectives of individuals existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications of shallowness of scale and time, without the requisite philosophical depth requiring a profound appreciation, understanding and insights from ‘humanity existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications level scale and time’ which easily gets lost, and thus this bigger pursuit of this paper will be lost and misunderstood by such a shallowness of scale and time of thought, and non-contemplation and pseudologism as a mark of banality/folksy-logic. It is inevitable, as has been the case throughout the human past, that transcendental ideas are inevitably suprastructural/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview/dimension in which such notions are being advanced in. Fifthly, it is more likely that a banal/folksy inclination may hardly appreciate the difference between the outcome of a mindset/reference-of-thought as a secondnaturedness and internalisation construct across successive institutionalisations with their requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling, memetic-reordering and institutional-recomposure induced from intemporal individuation disposition, and correspondingly differentiate between being so-institutionalised with a secondnatured and internalisation mindset/reference-of-thought and the intemporal–individuation disposition that will equally be responsible out of mere intemporal-solipsism as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (and no secondnaturizing and internalisation) for institutionalising/intemporalising with regards to the present registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold that will be behind the secondnaturizing and internalisation of prospective registry-worldview/dimension. This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-
implications form-factor’ is the reflection of the contiguity of successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications across varying meaningful frames, references and registry-worldviews/dimensions; and is abstractly determined by the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology (ontological-normalcy) whatever the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and inherently implies ‘a universal existentialisms/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor across institutionalisations’ though of differing ‘snowballed recomposuring’ of meaningfulness and reference-of-thought, defining their specificities and potentials.

This is just a basic anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity elucidation which while original and useful on its own right, is equally pertinent for an insight in the social manifestation of psychopathy. Besides, one can imagine that a thorough grasp and creative application of the stranding-contiguity-of-ontology or ontological-normalcy or postconvergence drive, as this psychologically reflects/perspectivates dialectically stranding-dialectics or \textless (amplituding)formative\textgreater epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase of mental-devising-representation by which human transcendences occur can ultimately be the avenue for liberating the human mind to its full potential and directed transcending capacity. That is, transcendental capacity not only by way of a spontaneous and natural dialectical cycle of social constraints of stakes and confliction behind the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure history but a ‘consciously directed’ abstract understanding, more like deprocrypticism-over-procrypticism could-be and would-need-to-be relatively highly consciously directed given the
relatively lower immediate positive-opportunism (for survival-and-flourishing to the cross-
section of human temporal interests) compared to the lower transcendences like base-
institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism, but for its abstract veridical pertinence
and potentially grander possibilities in the institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-
channelling. Such a veering to the creatively abstract, with respect to the philosophical and
the social sciences, but nonetheless ontologically veridical will be liberating/emancipatory
from the ‘spontaneously natural dialectical cycle of human progress’ and is increasingly
certain to be the defining feature of human civilisation.

It should be noted that Entropy as defined (‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation’) relates that the intemporal-
preservation-institutionalisation entropy is the preceding-and-defining reference for the
hermeneutic-referencing of the ontological meaning of all other associated conceptualisations
and notions. (By ontological meaning is implied intemporal/veridical/purism/operant-
construct/predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)
meaning or ontology/reality-centered-meaning as contrasted to temporal/non-
veridical/compromised/non-operant-and-vagueas \<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic.drag meaning or
metaphysical/speculative/banality/social-discomfiture/temporal-human-centered meaning).

Central to the hermeneutics approach towards elucidating psychopathy and the
underlying psychological science is a method I qualify as ‘referentialism’ which makes
reference to the supersedingness/precedingness of the ‘intemporal preservation
institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy/contiguity’ before articulating concepts and
notions in referential and organic elucidation of the entropic construct. Referentialism as such
is actually central to the spontaneity required in hermeneutics. It differs from the traditional
scientific categorisation of concepts and notions, in that referentialism implies a highly contiguous, circumstantial and dynamic referencing elucidating of the superseding/preceding entropic notion while categorisation tends to be basically constitutive, definitive and ‘weakly contiguous/relatively-fragmented overall’ in its elucidation of notions, concepts and ideas. Categorisation has been very efficient with the physical and biological sciences with its classification approach enabling a profoundness of analysis while enabling excellent subject matter organisation. However, this author is of the opinion that categorisation as an approach is actually less efficient in the social sciences (and notions of an ephemeral character) as it underemphasises the ‘organic dynamism’ of social concepts and often leads to relatively trite classification schemes that are often inoperant or poorly operant given the relative ephemerality of the social world (a weakness of many categorisation classification schemes in the social sciences). On the other hand, referentialism carries the promise of ‘point-referencing’ notions and concepts in a contiguously dynamic, evolving and ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction way, putting emphasis on the relative relation of concepts and notions towards the central notion in its dynamic entropic conceptualisation. This author is also of the opinion that referentialism is actually the natural human cognitive development approach to acquisition and classification of knowledge with emphasis on ‘the organic dynamics of understanding’ wherein a child for instance doesn’t necessarily grasp outright the fullness of concepts-of-meanings but rather the ‘relevant dynamic contextualisation of meanings’ ensuring a strongly operant and ‘wealthy’ relationship with meaning in the social context. ‘Intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation’ with respect to uninstitutionalised-threshold of registry-worldviews/dimensions, can be construed as follows:

Supposed all humanity across space and time that ever existed was just ‘one human temporal-to-intemporal individuation’, the process of general-institutionalisation from
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to
ununiversalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism, and
prospectively to deprocrypticism, is actually one same process but for ‘lack of the human-
mentation-capacity and need for time for the cumulation of the mentation-capacity’ (lack of
‘brain capacity’) to get it all right from the start (i.e. to fully grasp deprocrypticism starting
from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to
ununiversalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism as convergent
concepts towards deprocrypticism (as ‘longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as induced by maximal-as-intemporal-
operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation’ and involving
more profound/richer ontological-levels over shallower/poorer ontological-levels; with
deprocrypticism thus implying a ‘full-cycle ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process undermining of subknowledge/mimicking/emanant-
institutionalisation-disposition’). Thus the successive institutionalisations are thus
construed as ‘levels of compromise’ allowing for sufficient human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening→<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to handle the requisite
transcendence even if from the very start the human doesn’t get a grasp of ‘higher
institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldviews/dimensions’ all-at-once/as-a-whole
but achieves the ‘comprehensive institutionalisation/intemporalisation frame’ only at
deprocrypticism; as it goes on to take on the successive challenges of base-institutionalising,
then universalising, then positivising, and finally with deprocrypticism absolute ontological-
contiguity by undermining ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-in-arrogation’ (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). It should be noted that the issue of procrypticism had always been present at all times of human existence but the natural priority going by human shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) was first to have a base-institutionalisation institutionalisation, universalisation institutionalisation, positivism institutionalisation before prospectively deprocrypticism institutionalisation; more precisely, previous institutional-recomposures are indirectly (skewing towards) addressing base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism, up to the point of the respective institutionalisation/intemporalisation-recomposure where the reference-of-thought-as-the-registry-worldview is directly addressed. This thus explains ontological-normalcy/postconvergence across human mental-devising-representation as changes to accommodate intrinsic reality by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposures of successive illusions-of-the-present/present-consciousnesses/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/mirage at these successive institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels including the positivism–procrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation, towards intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; that has and will never change, and by way of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework inducing of social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} and internal logical coherence/contradiction this then validates the need for human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. In the bigger scheme of things, it points to the fact that ontologically for the full potential of human
science, this should be ‘rising from this fundamental philosophical depth/profoundness of thought’ to then transversally address the issues it raises while projecting prospectively.

and-failing-intemporal-preservation> and veridical prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation, but for a dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (as-the-
temporal-mind-is-dialectically-out-of-phase) ‘ordered construct from the superseding
registry-worldview/dimension validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
and implying a psychoanalytic-unshackling of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> registry-worldview’. For instance, there isn’t any logical nested-congruence
between the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought and the positivistic
mindset). A positivistic mind can’t explain the denaturing of the notion of witchcraft to a
non-positivism/medievalism mindset as the state of being of non-positivism/medievalism
means we make reference to non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that end up endemising/enculturating such
superstitious notions. Logic as logical-congruence only arises where there is a mutual
What is thus needed is a ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
recomposition’ of the medieval mindset/reference-of-thought (which is
subknowledging/mimicking) wherein the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-
incoherence/institutional-constraining generated by the positivist’s scientism (superseding)
makes the medieval mind put in question its reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the very first place. This ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling process’ equally applies prospectively (regarding the positivism–procrypticism
and the deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions). In the phenomena of social
psychopathy, it is important to grasp that the reflex to mentally represent the narratives of the
psychopath and the protraction of the narratives by temporal supplanting–conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or
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prelogism minds as ‘straightness/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking of mind’ is wrong, ‘overcoming the mental-slantedness/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is thus called for, more like we perceive the ‘slantedness of a childhood cinglé’ (in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the mental state of the psychopath as well as its protraction on the psychopath’s interlocutor). In other words, *the mind is actually a mental devising tool’ whose veracity/ontological-pertinence must be validated by an abstractly veridical intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In other words, the abstract grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality defines mental-devising-representation as the latter is not inherently given (it is a devising tool validated by abstract intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality established by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. For instance, while the traditional reflex of the human mental-devising-representation is disposed to think otherwise, Einstein theory-of-relativity abstraction, and likewise with many conceptualisations of a doppler-thinking nature, is more real by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, thus pointing to the error of the human reflex/impulse thinking). In another light, this explains the transformative evolution of our registry-worldviews/dimensions mental-devising-representations of reality from the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised earlymen to our current positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview, with the insight that our mental-devising-representation will evolve when prospective abstract reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework shows that it is defective/perverted as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, from a deprocryptic mental-devising-representation.

In the same vain, why we perceive the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mind as that of ‘a savage’, the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised in its `<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-
(reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) it as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. That is, an understanding of the abstract temporal-dispositions as a specie-level/universal/intemporal paradigm as prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, i.e. transcendence as deprocripticism. It is a psychoanalytic-unshackling ordered construct (as-the-temporal-minds-pedestals-are-out-of-phase-dialectically-or-dialectically-primitive-by-a-bare-matter-of-fact) from the intemporal-solipsistic/emmanent-registry-pedestal in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,—disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. The bigger scheme of things being the structural/paradigmatic preemption of a defective/perverted registry-worldview, in this case procripticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Such an emanant insight can be garnered from the fact that, positivism was established by the ‘diktat’/ordered-construct of the Descartes, Comtes, Galileos, Rousseaux, Newtons, Darwins… of the world, and the rest of humanity complied to the formalisms that ensue, by virtue of their proxying-to-intrinsic-reality and the positive-opportunism that led to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (towards human formalisation and internalisation)!

As registry-worldview/dimension defects or denaturing are responsible for the vices-and-impediments of the said registry-worldview/dimension; noting that the fundamental construction is a ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation’ making reference to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not a vague ‘impression/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation’ making reference to the banal <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to—
prospective-apriorising-implications>) as may illusionary be projected intradimensionally/intra-registry-worldview (the latter being represented as oblongated non-veridical narratives by the prospective intemporal-disposition-worldview)! The reason why virtue (knowledge is virtue) is treated scientifically as highlighted above is that virtue is a ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge construct’ and not a ‘good-natured/impression construct’. For instance, no non-positivism/medieval mindset is ‘good-natured/vague by the registry-worldview/dimension impression’ enough with the fundamental defective/perverted non-positivism/medieval worldview to be able to address ‘the-Good/understanding’ of a positivistic mindset which will resolve or structurally-rendered-inoperant the problems of superstition and witchcraft as the former will always make reference to the defective/perverted reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of non-positivism/medievalism no matter how ‘good-natured/impression-driven’ it is. The same applies with procrypticism and dePROCrypticism. No procrypticism (preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) mindset as of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness has the requisite ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct’ insight to resolve/structurally-rendered-inoperant the issues of the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism as it is the dePROCryptic mindset of ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge construct’ that is the virtue that carries the sound registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives to be able to do this.

- the-Good is an intemporal/ontological articulation referencing intemporality/longness in a contiguous emanance of ‘transcendental/superseding abstract intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ and corresponding derived reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; and is imbued with the ‘memetic reordering contiguity’ of institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recomposure (base-institutionalisation-to-universalisation-to-positivism-to-deprocrypticism, and thereafter). The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is notionally more of ‘a capacity and scientific construct’ (high or low mentation-capacity) rather than a ‘stigmatising construct’ (positive or negative impressions).

- ‘Good-naturedness’ is a temporal articulation that wrongly references (distractively) for temporality-sake registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology priorly-and-over ‘transcending/superseding abstract intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’; and is imbued with the memetic notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> that undermines institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. Good-naturedness is notionally more of a ‘stigmatising construct’ (positive or negative stigmatising) rather than ‘a capacity and scientific construct’ (high or low mentation-capacity).

- Virtue (retrospectively to prospectively) is not determined by ‘good-naturedness’/impression-driven construal/conceptualisation of meaning but rather by the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation of meaning as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (the emanant/becoming ontological-normalcy/postconvergence determinant of veridicality/the-quality-of-being-emanantly-real). The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation (understanding) as per veridicality demonstrated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is the complete and sufficient elaborative framework for conceptualising virtue! Such ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is rather tangentially the purview of increasing realism of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as it is
contiguous with ‘human transcending across shifting virtue paradigms for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (with corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure); going from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (impulsive-or-accidented-or-haphazard-or-random mental-disposition), base-institutionalisation (mythologies paradigm, which is of

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abtractiveness-of-presencing-in–‘warped-consciousness’–enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and represents virtue in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of allegiance/subservience transience), universalisation (mystical-principles paradigm, which is of

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abtractiveness-of-presencing-in–‘preclusive-consciousness’–enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and represents virtue in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of qualification/good-to-bad transience), positivism (principles-rationalism/positivist-idealism paradigm, which is of

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abtractiveness-of-presencing-in–‘occlusive-consciousness’–enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and represents virtue in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of categorisations/kindness-humility-helpfulness-etc. sランスience), and prospectively deprocrypticism (rational-realism paradigm, which is a ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-


Deprocrypticism being the ontological foundation for the next human virtue paradigmatic

nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. Thus structurally it is the prospective registry-worldview/dimension which is always the ‘prospective virtue potential’ for the prior/superseded registry-worldview/dimension. Basically, base-institutionalisation enabled the virtuous resolution of vices-and-impediments of the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, and likewise with universalisation and ununiversalisation, positivism and non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively, deprocrypticism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In the present world, we no longer do institutional slavery, we talk of universal rights and equality of all people, mob judgment and mob killing is hardly practised anymore, accusations of witchcraft are now viewed as ridiculous, etc.; it is the integration of a positivist registry-worldview/dimension, with corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that enabled such human transformation from a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension; and not the inherent exceptionalism, as biological or otherwise, of humans living now over their forerunners.

Basically, human ‘supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism deductive reasoning’ as prelogism is effectively a sound construct for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation and hence virtue; that is, so long as it is adhered to properly. However, this is not the case on two grounds. It is critical to distinguish a defect in improper processing/operating of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism which is rather construed as a singular/ad-hoc ‘implicitation-of-act-execution defect’ and can be then qualified as a ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’; it being nonetheless a supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking-apriorising-psychologism or prelogism as it holds the teleological aim of ‘intemporal preservation with a principled adherence to supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism’
even though it delivered an inappropriate/poor-or-bad logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. On the other hand, a defect of postlogism/psychopathy compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation in hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> operates on the ‘parasitising/co-opting’ basis that intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are mere formulaic determinants of human thought and action and is the basis for perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. Such a defect is ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect—<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>’ as it rather holds the teleological aim of ‘temporal preservation/undermining-of-intemporal-preservation without a principled adherence to prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’ and thus speaks to the disposition to act likewise technically in a large or infinite number of cases (syncretising).

It should be noted that temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) are in-of-themselves act defects and not being defects. However, such temporal-dispositions are registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect—<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> when these relay postlogism in hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as of
psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure with new reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation initiates a cross-generational transcendence.
Ontologically, the mental-devising-representation of such perversion-of-reference-of-
thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> is as strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions, involving
oblongating/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, that defines the
dialectical-out-of-phasing (whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation,
non-positivism/medievalism and, in the prospective representation, of procrypticism) as
perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>. For instance, in
registry-worldview/dimension terms, medievalism/non-positivistic mental-disposition is
systematically registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-
Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> at the uninstitutionalised-threshold where you
need a positivistic mental-disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation. Likewise, procrypticism (threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-
reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/subknowledging/mimicking-and-corresponding
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of positivistic reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) is registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-
uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> at the
uninstitutionalised-threshold where you need deprocriptism. Reality being blunt/incisive as it is rather preceding/superseding and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to us, is in essence of potent operant and deterministic phenomenality that doesn’t have any place for our thresholding discrete incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness notions but even for the cases where such discretion is artificially devised/implied, it is applied as operant and deterministic (consider quantum-mechanics). So ontologically, the mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation as strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions is definitely accurate on two insightful grounds. Reality's bluntness/incisiveness doesn’t leave room for discretionary judgments about 'good-natured'/impression-driven conceptualisations of virtue and virtuous judgment within the overarching framework of such the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework reality determinism, and such impressions can only pass for an illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness mirage and/or <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-synchretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (attempting to operate logic in a superseding registry-worldview on the basis of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of a superseded registry-worldview; for instance, God of plane type of statement in say an animistic society that comes in contact with foreigners and a plane). The second reason is that we can garner insight on prior/superseded institutionalisations and understand that the vices-and-impediments are actually cross-sectional to the registry-worldviews/dimensions as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> and it is intemporal philosophical development that goes on to liberate/enlighten/moult-out ‘actors of
transcendence’ who in turn then shine the light across society, i.e. institutionalisation/intemoralisation by skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference for the supersedingness of the intemoral-disposition over temporal-dispositions for intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Transcendence as such is more of a deterministic and operant process than discretionary, and works on the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework basis, even though counterintuitively we tend to turn towards impressions to construe virtue which only confuses the issue as we then wrongly define fulfilling temporal whims (good-natured impressions or not) of the ‘collective consciousness of the corresponding present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present’ as an intemoral reference for defining virtue (with no ‘emanance disambiguation’/temporal-to-intemoral-dispositions), rather than a transcendental understanding of the-Good – i.e., knowledge/virtue-as-institutional-cumulation/recomposure-for-intemoral-preservation. This points to the fact that necessarily the structural/paradigmatic virtue construct (knowledge-driven) of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation is universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism is positivism, and prospectively, that of our positivism–procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is deprocrysticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and so as a veridical and contiguous deterministic-and-operant psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for intemoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, that knows no discretion!
There are ‘traditionally 4 human mental projections/representations/dispositions’ associated with virtuous paradigmatic construct, analysed from the perspective of an ontological-veridicality establishing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework:

(i) The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation (understanding) which is effectively ontologically operant.


imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation of the-Good conceptualisation; pointing to the fact that impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisations are rather inclined to induce vices-and-impediments given that the veridicality of reality (reflected by the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation) is all the virtue enabler that there is and other conceptualisations are rather distractions that are in effect vice-ridden and an impediment, and more specifically when these undermine the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation.

Impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation lack veridical ontological-contiguity. One may query what is the meaning of good/truth/essence in a recurrent-utter-institutionalised, an ununiversalised or a non-positivistic society? And invariably the answers will be a vague (amplituding formative) epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of each registry-worldview/dimension, and it is rather the emanant insight of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that carries the prospective transcendences which are the resolution of the successive prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold vices-and-impediments; and so by successive Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism respectively, and prospectively deprocrypticism. I.e.

Increasing knowledge-as-virtue understanding, as of reference-of-thought–categorical-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism—\{as conflation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument\} required for perpetuating-deprocrypticism). Practically, however ‘good intentioned or good-natured’ a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought it is bound to rely on medieval reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of sickness like a curse or witchcraft rather than a positivist notion like infection, and the virtuous outcome is fundamentally a question of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of positivistic understanding, and not any vague impression! Not only is impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation at best vague, ontologically speaking, it is bound to be extricatory (temporal/circumstantial/self-interest paradigm) rather than intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Alignment should rather be in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions as the backdrop for prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. Further, impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation induces both ‘logical and unconscionability-drags. A drag is a vague meaningful articulation arising out of veridical incongruence due to the nonreality of initiating narratives or propositions, and subsequent structural/paradigmatic contiguity of narratives and propositions thereafter from such initial miscues and/or intermittent miscues. For instance, supposed going by the example where a psychopath had wrongly accused someone of being a paedophile (not in terms—as-of-
axiomatic-construct of ‘poor or bad supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—
postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ or prelogism but rather
compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-
supererogation as to threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism due to the inexistence
of the psychopath’s implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-
or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), suppose the interlocutor was to go on
to in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation relay these distortions with other
interlocutors, we will talk of a ‘miscue’, and where other meaning grounded fundamentally
on this miscue were to develop, we talk of ‘logical-drag’, further where comprehensive
generation of social meaningfulness were to arise out of this, we talk of ‘unconscionability-
drag’, and finally sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising
refers to the temporal mental-disposition to use conventioning thinking as alibi for temporal-
motivated dispositions (over the inherent sense of ontological meaningfulness). Actually,
strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions are the characteristic backdrop mental-devising-
representations of superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions when we think
from an ontological perspective of the soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought
projection/representation that captures the meaningful framework of a registry-worldview
teleology whether regarding a society at its ununiversalisation whether as recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, and medieval/non-positivisitic, and prospectively,
we can garnered such strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions with respect to
procrypticism from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation. Human mental development across time
validate the notion that we have consistently been in a state of psychoanalytic-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. At uninstitutionalised-threshold, given the veridicality of human emanance as temporal-to-intemporal, logic is ridiculous because of the variance and unshared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology with respect to argumentation, ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. At which point no articulation is inherently more right, however, the intemporal-disposition being ontological has ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework veridicality and carries a positive-opportunism that can allow it to dominate human temporal-dispositions reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) their registries/mental-representations perversion, and so, through social institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling in the medium to long-run. It is only after such uninstitutionalised-threshold is superseded/dominated/preceded/overridden/abjected by the intemporal-disposition as an ordered construct institutionalisation/intemporalisation with corresponding human secondnaturing as internalisation and formalisation that logic becomes pertinent as it now operates only on one axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives/registry-teleology that establishes the substantive/existentia-contextualising-contiguity (not formulaic-projection/mimicry) and veracity/ontological-pertinence of interlocutors’ articulations.

Thus the basis for Rational-Realism as the initial institutionalisation/intemporalisation recomposure orientation that goes beyond just articulating reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation but involves anticipating human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions in preemting the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> of prior/superseded registry-worldview’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; as rational-realism take stock of the fundamental reality across all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions and doesn’t just assume the wrong notion of just an intemporal-disposition with the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> result that temporal-dispositions induced manifestations are not accounted for, anticipated and preempted beforehand/as-of-a-priori to prevent their perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation at their uninstitutionalised-threshold thus ensuring ontological contiguity. So with rational-realism the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation comes around as the ‘full-cycle/dynamic recomposuring’ that specifically anticipates and preempt priorly/ahead in its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation the notion of temporal-dispositions to dement/subknowledge–(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-soundnowledge)/mimick-and-syncretise (rather than subsequently as a transcendence). This raises two dilemma with respect to the conceptualisation of virtue as rational-realism implies that at the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold, we have to register/acknowledge priorly our inclination to subknowledge–(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-soundnowledge) positivistic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to paradoxically then be able to anticipate and stifle this in the active construction of
deprocryptic meaning, at which point the ontological-veridicality of meaning then involves not only logical operation/processing/contention on the basis of a sole intemporal-disposition, but equally registries-disambiguation to account for perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversion/preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism by temporal-dispositions:

(i) <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag or Setting-aside (as being in denial of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defect) arises where a registry-worldview returns to its same reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation that have been shown to be subknowledge—(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge)/perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversion at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and hence remains candored/integratively-aligned; contrasted with the instance of the adoption of a new registry-worldview’s (superseding the uninstitutionalised-threshold) reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in anticipation and preemption of the afore perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> registry-worldview. This latter instance involves Stranding-dialectics or Coring (in reflection/perspectivation and acknowledgment of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) with corresponding decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-
reference-of-thought and is what enables memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling whereas \((\text{amplituding})\)formative\(\text{-totalising}\)\-self-referencing-syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag or Setting-aside at best induces ‘memetic-inching/psychoanalytic-realigning’ which are not of an immediate transcending nature.

(ii) Conventioning metaphoricity involving in a continuum on one side ontologising rationalising though ontological-veridicality is not the sufficient reason for the social acceptance of rightness for rightness sake (as explained previously) and on the other side intemporality/ontology distractive sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventionaling-rationalising.

‘Rational-Realism as of Deprocrypticism or institutionalisation/intemporalisation full-cycle’ can thus be construed as a contiguous cumulation of successive memetic-reordering (as institutional recomposuring) for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation; with such successiveness due to the limitation of human mentation-capacity to be able to mimetically (across suprastructural-meaningfulness) come full-cycle in one transcendence, explaining the recomposuring of the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure; from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivis–procrypticism, and recomposuring full-cycle towards prospective rational-realism as of deprocrypticism.

Correspondingly, due to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\((\text{amplituding})\)formative\(\text{-totalisingly,}\)-as-to-existence—-as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), human memetic/psychoanalytic grasp-and-fulfilment of intemporal-preservation (in devising reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) is limited at successive instances of transcendence/institutionalisation, due to:

(i) the reality of human dispositions not being just of intemporal-disposition but rather temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (with temporal-dispositions a drawback/distractive to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at uninstitutionalised-threshold; since these induced in any given institutionalisation a ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-


Hence intemporal-preservation is a memetically/psychoanalytically evasive construct at uninstitutionalised-threshold, the pursuit of which is veridically the human species eudaemonic contemplation, construed as ‘postconvergence memetic recomposuring’; recomposure is defined as ‘ontological-representation/ontological-memetism of intrinsic-meaningfulness (whether implying, on the one hand, an integrative/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking alignment or on the other hand, a distractive/decanrored alignment as threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (as validated by veridicality/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework). This definition explains the succession of the recomposuring of institutionalisations with the notion that where intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is lost at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, a prospective registry-worldview/dimension is implied/recomposured that will ensure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and undermines notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema>/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/setting-aside by appropriate stranding/coring representation (-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions) as the backdrop for the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. That is, ‘human progress/transcendence happens as a matter of fact, with no registry-worldview/dimension having any ontological and veridical claim/pretense to extricate itself from psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure—as-dialectical-stranding-
backdrop-for-prospective-transcendence once it is shown that it subknowledges-or-mimics (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, even though this from the temporal-dispositions mindset/reference-of-thought is always an unpalatable proposition. But then the state of being in a transcended registry-worldview/dimension (as in our present positivist registry-worldview/dimension) arises because other prior registry-worldviews/dimensions successively underwent their own psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure—as-dialectical-stranding-backdrop-for-prospective-transcendence for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, at their uninstitutionalised-threshold; and so, going back to the recurrent-utter-institutionalised early men who left the caves and trees, thus any denial of prospective transcendence as articulated above is an argument which incoherence emanantly imply ‘we should go back to the caves and trees’, as we’ll seem to validate that prior registry-worldviews/dimensions should never had transcended up to our very own registry-worldview/dimension, and beyond, prospectively. Stranding (of-perverting-temporal-dispositions-of-reference-of-thought) should be construed at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold (the threshold where the registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), as the ‘base structural/paradigmatic decandored/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> defect reflex’ (not a straightness/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking/prelogism reflex), and stranding-
dialectics rather points to ‘a (lack of) the-Good/understanding/knowledge-
reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reflection/perspectivation’ (hence
a veridical ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as operant and deterministic, and
not an impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness nor a veridically logically-
disjointed/discretionary reflection/perspectivation). Stranding is thus articulated as
slanting/miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/subpar-conventioning-
rationalising conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
existential–defect>’ (induced from temporal-dispositions threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as to

ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). The

memetic-reordering is in recomposuring, at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as the threshold-
of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism of (registry-worldview) apriorising–
registry elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-
or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (i.e. reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) towards the transcending registry-worldview’s

implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology) for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, in

re-institutionalising the uninstitutionalised-threshold. There is no reason for stranding-
dialectics and recomposuring but for the fact that the internal coherence of a registry-
worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, as its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism provides the dynamic association for psychopathic/postlogic subknowledging/mimicking impulse leading to the vices-and-impediments of the registry-worldview/dimension from an intemporal/ontological perspective; and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation veridicality (as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) is the drive that resolves lack of human mentation-capacity for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) by stranding-backdrop-for-transcendence and then recomposuring prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. The example highlighted on page 12 provides an excellent ‘logical insight’ on stranding-backdrop-for-transcendence and recomposuring of a registry-worldview/dimension that is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold …

To grasp this better say for instance the normal arithmetic we know 2+2=4, 5+1=6, 7-3=4, etc. was to be undermine by a new human subknowledging caused by a disease wherein we tend to say 2+2=5, 5+1=7 and 7-3=3, then the traditional categorical-imperatives of addition and subtraction will be modified to take account of our perversion/defect by saying that additionality will involve subtracting 1 from the result and subtractivity will involve adding 1 to the result, so that arithmetic mirrors intrinsic reality outcome (intemporal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative-,disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). Thus

In practical terms, human/social VIRTUE is effectively articulated at ‘the crossroad of the notions’ of intemporal-disposition, ontologising/intemporal philosophical deference, conventioning, animality (the recurrent temporal-dispositions to subknowledge-(preconverging-or-dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge) intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation across successive institutionalisations) and institutional recomposuring (prospective memetic-reordering).

It is important to note that an ontological construct ‘escalates’ specific/particular instances of phenomena (in this case psychopathy and social psychopathy phenomenon) into
a universal conceptualisation which ‘knowledge principle conceptualisation’ then addresses (percolates into) the ‘infinity of related incidental phenomena and cases’, i.e. newton articulates the science of mechanics metaphorically from ‘an initial apple that hits his head why under a tree’ not because the science of mechanics will revolve around an apple that hit his head but because he’ll grasp the insight to understand the myriad and infinity of instances requiring those laws of physics. So the intemporal-as-ontological pedestal (in its treatment) involves universal projection to grasp universal principles and is not meant to ‘equivocate and idle’ with perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> temporal manifestations which are dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, but rather then apply the knowledge principles so articulated to the theoretically infinite incidental instances (on the validation and untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining or internal-contradictions induced by the knowledge principles ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework).

Of course, no registry-worldview/dimension thinks of itself as prospectively dialectically-primitive/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, and as such its ‘supposed contention’ will always by reflex strive to arrive at an equilibrium in the same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, but the template of human transcendence shows that the intemporal prospective/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought takes precedence with contention construed by its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prioritisation of the relatively intemporal/universal/intrinsic, hence, ‘the inherent cumulating/recomposuring
worldview-perversion. For instance, men did not transcend from a medieval worldview to a positivistic worldview by a ‘logical exercise’ (the logical conceptualisation we have of such a transformation in today’s positive world is rather in effect an afterthought appraisal) but because the grander grasp on reality of positivism constrained and made the medieval registry-worldview untenable/internally-contradictory (the ships that set sail around the world for spices elicit a positive commercial opportunism that is responsible for destroying the social myth of a flat world; the bacteria theory that will ensure that one lives or die if we believe in it or not coerced the destruction of a superstitious medical worldview; the scientific tools and knowledge that ensured that nation A or nation B will triumph if they believe in it or not, coerces the need to adopt a scientific worldview, etc.). It is naïve to think that such progression occurred because of cross-sectional human ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation disposition’. Rather it is a secondnatured/ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as this notion inherently validates the anthropological-continuity by distinguishing between the notion of same human natural ability across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions and the notion more and more profound institutionalised registry-worldviews/dimensions arising out of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure to the capacity bestowed by their forerunners; such that human limited-mentation-capacity is always mostly directed to the transformative of activities while taking for granted much of the bestowed knowledge heritage. Hence we can’t overrate the ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation disposition’ development of the cross-section/averageness/banality of solipsistic human thought to wrongly imply human dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
disposition is inherently intemporal, for the possibilities of human progress (due to the
veridicality of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor at the uninstitutionalised-threshold across all levels of
institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure – ‘a lost cause’ which will never be changed with the result that temporal-dispositions will always dement (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> inducing registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/subknowledging/mimicking-and-corresponding-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ known as procrepticism preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, as the backdrop for ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; in the same way as the stranding-of-temporal-dispositions-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of non-positivism/medievalism provided the backdrop for positivism recomposuring or that of ununiversalisation for universalisation recomposure or that of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation for base-institutionalisation recomposure. It should be noted that at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, temporal-dispositions potential inclination for preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism is suppressed by formalism and internalisation involving intemporal meaningfulness social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness), internal-contradiction, referencing/registering/decisioning or stranding as sound or unsound, and alienating of unsound meaningfulness to stifle any such threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. At uninstitutionalised-threshold (extended informalities), no formalism and internalisation (generated by the intemporal-disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) exists in preempting leading potentially to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Basically, such a representation of organicalism and mechanicalism can be storied or narrated as follows:
Supposed going by the case highlighted where a psychopath met a stranger talking about another stranger as molesting children; the so accused stranger was actually a guardian of the child assuming various responsibilities that come with it (this represents the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/?Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) depth of meaning), the psychopath fully aware of this none the less proffered such hollow mimicking narratives to the other stranger who aligned in-prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologismly/prelogicly to the psychopath but is veridically now in effect the threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism by ignorance, and goes on to miscue by articulating that the accused stranger should be reported to the police or any other relevant organisation, and possibly does that. Further still, this miscuing comes to develop into disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, temporal-dispositions preservation, and sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising wherein ‘a comprehensive depth of perverted narratives’ has now been cultivated in the social environment. All such denaturing (and as are conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protruction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism to human temporal defects of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances) are a perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-effectively—apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism to the organic veridicality (deprocrypticism). In the bigger scheme of things, denaturing of apriorising–registry (as the apriorising–registry is the axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives on which logic operates/is processed pointing to a coherently systematic failure of logic at the uninstitutionalised-threshold; consider that the non-positivism/medievalism apriorising–registry will coherently fail logical operation/processing/contention with regards to its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism, that’s the same emanant issue with procrypticism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring deprocrypticism) do not simply point to an act defect but registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> about-and-defining the vices-and-impediments of the said registry-worldview/dimension, that abstractly apply with regards in this case not to one instance of human psychopathy and one case of social context of protracted social psychopathy but points to a registry-worldview/dimension defect that points abstractly to metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/an-ontological-or-existential-defect of such psychopathic and protracted social psychopathy, in the same vain as the phenomena of witchcraft in a non-positivist/medieval society ‘for an ontological/intemporal projecting mind’ is more than just a case of witchcraft in a given non-positivism/medievalism locale but goes beyond to define a dimensional defect of non-positivism/medievalism across all human societies that are qualified as non-positivism/medievalism with the idea that the ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ in the bigger scheme of things is more than just a locale but a universal articulation of positivistic thinking as the universal resolution of the vices-and-impediments associated with a witchcraft and superstition endemising/enculturating worldview. It should be noted that however ‘good-natured an individual’ in that worldview
psychologism/subknowledging/mimicking as
<amplitudin>
formative>
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as-of-unsoundness-
or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought in effect involves on the part of psychopathic and conscious conjugated-postlogism minds as with exacerbation-temporal-disposition ‘vice in preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism perversions’ wherein the mimicry/subknowledging enters into an active dynamics with temporal-dispositions prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation inducing their threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as miscuing psychopathic/postlogism-
slantedness, and subsequent protraction into disjointed-logic, logical-drag, un conscionability-
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drag, temporal-dispositions preservation and sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising); such that this development is actually an instrumentalisation of the initial directed-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Directed-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as such being a conscious and operant mental awareness of psychopathic/postlogic minds of the void of their narratives and teleology but understanding and acting by instrumentalisation on the basis that prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation minds are disposed to elevate the hollow mimicking narratives (by ignorance and/or subsequently affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) to wrongly validate the apriorising–registry as veridical thus falsely implying an implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology.

Just as we work with the reality that all humans are disposed to have cancer and the virtue of curing is not denying but anticipating and preempting the possibility of having cancer with medicines, lifestyle, research, etc., i.e. ‘ontology is about working with what is/knowledge-driven, and not wishful-thinking/impression-driven’ to accede to intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as it enables ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. It is bluntly speaking a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise involving the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal-disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, to ‘pedestally dominate and override’ temporal-dispositions in the cross-section/averageness/banality of solipsistic human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. Reality is actually an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct. Mythologies, metaphysics and hearsays while proto-conceptual in human development are out of kilter, and the use of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation is the central notion of ontologies. Insightfully, human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor speak of ‘the-real-nature-of-man’ that can be skewed with institutional recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to explain how-man-can-be/the-nature-of-man at any registry-worldview level, retrospectively or prospectively. Whereas, man, if naively perceived as a whole rather only from the angle of a specific ‘institutionalisation/secondnaturing level’ which is in ‘existential immediacy’ this may seem to indicate that we are talking about ‘different species’ with ‘different ontological determinants’, which is naïve and false. The anthropopsychological approach to psychology is analogical to the development of physics which is not only on the basis of what is immediately at the conscious operational level of physicists but equally projecting into a physics conceptualisation of the macrocosm (astronomy and cosmology) as well as the microcosm (particle physics) in other to place the subject on a comprehensively sound footing. Central to such a sound footing in the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence conceptualisation of the social domain is the idea of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions and institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure.

On another note, it is critical to distinguish between a true philosophical development that arises by intemporal and an institutionalised development that is articulated to elicit
‘positive-opportunism’ in humans, so that the intellectual exercise doesn’t naively project a philosophical idealism where this doesn’t exist and by so doing undermine its work by naively projecting universal intemporality/longness and failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to articulate a realism that takes account of temporal mental-dispositions (knowledge-notionalisation, i.e. apprehending not only intemporal implications of any knowledge construct, but preempting by transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to potential temporal undermining of that intemporal idealism construct; the reason we institutionalise/intemporalise and formalise with subsequent internalisation/secondnaturing).

It should be noted that the use of the concepts of intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness is more scientific than the impression notions of good and bad. intemporality/longness points to ‘what generates the greatest universal virtue as ontological which is universally-centered’ (and that this corresponds to reality-referencing and the ontology pedestal) while temporality/shortness points to ‘what generates the non-ontological as shallow interest that may be self-centered, at various pedestals, (and that this corresponds to

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and metaphysical pedestals)’. intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness as such are operant knowledge concepts while good and bad are vague and non-operant impression concepts. In fact, why good and bad are impression-driven, intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness by their very definition above are made operant as an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework scientific principle (without making any reference to stigmatising impression of virtue) by the denotation as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (intemporality) and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (temporality). That is, with respect to
'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) the intemporal mind conceptually asks what is the best disposition in universal-depth that abstractly delivers the greatest good to all humans in similar 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' setup across space and time; while temporal minds under the same notion (intemporality-temporality) conceptually assume lower and lower shades ‘in mentation-capacity terms’ of such an intemporal universal-depth concept articulation stressing in lieu of ‘all humans’ various shades of ununiversal, particular or temporal-self-interest dispositions. So there is a depth of continuity in ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in the notion of intemporality-temporality that doesn’t need any impression-drive, and this notion can certainly be made scientifically operant as it is a contiguous mentation-capacity-based notion in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of low to high mentation-capacity. The idea of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as such is devoid of stigmatisation which is the result of articulating meaning with respect to vague impression-driven temporal references harkening back to the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought rather than the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought; since shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are a contiguous value construct as in <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in–‘protensive-consciousness’–enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation beyond just <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising—random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘trepidatious-consciousness’—enabling—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s—
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (categorisation/kindness-humility-helpfulness—etc. sransience) of conceptualisation but arrive at rationality (contiguous mentation—
capacity/longness-or-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology transience) or a
\((amplituding)formative\)-epistemic-totalising→ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-
referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-
enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation with a corresponding
depth/register-of-meaningfulness (in memetic reordering depth) that allows for a grasp of the-
Good intemporal-disposition (i.e., beyond just an intradimensional ‘good-natured’
conceptualisation) of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity→or→ontological-
preservation, with the memetic-reordering directly associated with the referential entropy in
institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/transcendence. Thus by
intemporality/longness as a the-Good conceptualisation as ‘longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, that specificity
(as pursued in this paper) that informs ontological understanding of not idling and articulating
meaningfulness in equivalence of temporality/shortness in its various shades, but rather with
intemporal purpose and intent, and an ultimate quest for validation only as an ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation will be qualified as ‘longness-of-
thought’; and it strives to achieve a prospective structural/paradigmatic existential registry-
worldview/dimension conceptualisation of transcendence wherein
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for prospective transcendental intemporal virtue is the
underlying drive. The non-implication of an equivalence between (‘Intemporal-prioritisation-
of-reference-of-thought’→as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling) with
temporality/shortness in its various shades will imply a knowledge conceptualisation rather
from the perspective of the comprehension of human species intemporal potential rather than
mere extrication within a temporal inter-individuals-and-social-stake-contention-or-confliction context, wherein for instance the focus of a positivistic-inclined mindset/reference-of-thought is not to idly engage a medieval world in medieval terms to stigmatise as a final end but rather for the virtuous human species potentiality to transcend into positivism, and on the other hand equally not to shy away from articulating, however temporally unpalatable and unintelligible-or-existentially-suprastructural for the temporal present registry-worldview/dimension, an intemporal transcendental prospection on the validation that the present registry-worldview/dimension is the outcome of a same-kind intemporal transcendental prospection with a same-kind corresponding emanance unpalatability and unintelligibility for the preceding registry-worldview/dimension, be it in that case driven by a spontaneous and natural dialectical cycle of social constraints of stakes and confliction, in contrast now to a more ‘consciously directed’ abstract understanding regarding deprocrypticism-over-procrypticism (with intellectual responsibility itself being defined as the spirit for authentically upholding such construing/conceptualisation and/or facilitating it as enabling further self-development together with the furthering of social/specie development).

The use of ‘human mental-dispositions/individuations’ as of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions doesn’t mean ontologically that the analyst view is that some individuals are inherently/exclusively solipsistically temporal and others are inherently/exclusively solipsistically intemporal. But rather, it is an abstract construction of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions/individuation potential possibilities that can incidentally arise in any individual by a circumstance or circumstances across time and space; but with a strong propensity of specific dispositions being nurtured in varying profundity across different individuals as per context. This abstract and fleeting notion is known as ‘individuation’ (more
like an abstract and superseding ‘hermeneutic-aetiology’ of temporal-to-intemporal dispositions, and hence the possibility of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework or scientism), and is the more scientific notion over ‘individual’ (which is just the receptacle of individuations).

By pedestal is meant the ‘temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions of meaningfulness whether the intemporal-disposition individuation-pedestal or the temporal-dispositions individuations-pedestals (ignorance-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, affordability-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, opportunism-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, exacerbation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal or temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal). The intemporal and temporal-dispositions-registries individuations-pedestals imply and point to the underlying ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework basis of ‘the specific temporal-disposition meaningfulness-and-teleology. Further, by psychopathic or other postlogic subknowledging/mimicking-and-mimicking-protraction, the ‘temporal-dispositions individuations-pedestals’ wrongly conjugate/inflect/protract their apriorising-registry-elements (implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) from aligning prelogically to postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> thus effectively being postlogic, and this can thus be predicated as per the ‘specific temporal-disposition’. Such postlogic temporal-dispositions individuations-pedestals are conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked-protraction-to-psychopath’s compulsive-dementing (as derived from both psychopathic and others postlogism in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-

While the prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation ‘ontologically-reconstituting’ intemporal-disposition-teleology is rather the ontologising individuation-pedestal as it strives perpetually to define-and-redefine categorical-imperatives (by its ontologically-veridical associated registry-teleology-mentation elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) for ‘intemporal/ontological preservation entropy/contiguity’ as it perpetuates institutionalisation/intemporalisation/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology despite the natural reflex at every registry-worldview/dimension, whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, to temporally arrive at entropy on the basis of temporal-dispositions teleologies or shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (with the associated non-veridical temporal implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) i.e. temporal preservation teleologies are inclined to forego intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation teleology (ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought) at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, which should definitely be resisted by ‘intellectual responsibility’ which for the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension holds that the intellectual disposition is all too willing to be ‘romantic’ about the idea of human firstnature cross-sectional inclination for the intemporal-disposition and that intellectual responsibility is to acknowledge the veridicality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor and be preemptive of the ‘non-ontological/non-knowledge/non-virtue temporal-dispositions threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation based on absolute ontological-contiguity and taking account of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>; just as the present positivism institutionalisation had been preemptive of human cross-sectional disposition for superstition by emphasising rational-empiricism, and the universalisation institutionalisation had been preemptive of human disposition for ad-hoc social-stake-contention-or-confliction resolutions along whims and interests to imply a sense of universalisation, and base-institutionalisation had been preemptive of human disposition for recurrent lawlessness to imply a sense of institutionalised living with mutual expectations. ‘Unconscionability-drag’ (from an ontological/intemporal reference) refers to the comprehensive state of

Given that at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ human learned behaviour is primarily geared towards what is ‘perceived as succeeding’, whether intemporal (the-Good as longness-

Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) also points to the fact that at any institutional registry-worldview/dimension, there can be two mental alignments; whether the apriorising–registry is at the institutionalised/intemporalised threshold of meaning (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) or at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of meaning involving perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> requiring distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and in the latter case the reflex to be integratively aligned is lost across all the temporal-dispositions of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> dimension, and what is called for with the unconscionability-drag is a distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought which will explain a dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or dialectically-
primitive alignment by oblongating/decandoring/downgrading. * I.e. Remember ‘mental-devising-representation’ is a devising construct of preceding/superseding abstract reality/veridicality (postconvergence) as the latter never changes, and it is mental devising that adjusts to the illumination/insight we get about abstract reality/veridicality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework!


The reason why the ‘study of the social’ had hitherto been EPHEMERAL is because of the lack of contiguity in referencing the two elements of ontological meaning (reference-of-thought and logic); with reference-of-thought being hitherto undisambiguated in the social construction of meaning, thus leading to a ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency- (transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of temporal-dispositions prior relative-

However as articulated above, the ‘unconscionability-drag’ carries the resolution for disambiguating reference-of-thought in the ontological social construction of meaning as it is fully aligned or ‘in ratio alignment’ to ‘an emanant transdimensional (across registry-worldviews) point-referencing of intemporal-preservation-entropy’ while reflecting a social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) that shows the fallibility of temporal dimensions <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-

‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-

incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-

reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context point-referencing and as this further discomfitures in the social-construct of meaning, and hence the perversion-and-derived-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-

nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and elicits an ordered construct of meaning reference-of-thought (in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) from the superseding perspective of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation alienative-
hierarchisation and ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-
escalation/aetiologisation’ (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). This actually represents the human ‘temporalities-to-intemporality constant’ at all registry-
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worldviews/dimensions


Of course, this is just a most basic demonstration as ideally one can imagine a creative storied narrative should articulate the phenomenon to its utmost evolving complexities – a storying construal involving an underlying-and-superseding intemporal/ontologising emanant ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional–conflatedness of notional–deprocrypticism’ for ‘postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of deprocrypticism teleology’ putting into perspective ‘temporal emanant conjugations/inflections shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of procrypticism teleologies’.

For instance, the storying construal ‘ontological/intemporal veridicality’ of non-positivism/medievalism perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> will be ‘abjectly referenced’ from positivism; likewise that of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation inherently-'preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism will be ‘abjectly referenced’ from base-institutionalisation, that of ununiversalisation perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> will be ‘abjectly referenced’ from universalisation, and thus that of
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> has to be ‘abjectly referenced’ from deprocrypticism/longness-of-register-of-
The reason for the above is that you can’t address a registry-worldview/dimension
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomenal defect
(psychopathy) without addressing the defects of the registry-worldview/dimension
(procrypticism) that endemises it from the reference of the prospective transcendental
dimension, just as you can’t address witchcraft without fundamentally addressing a non-
positivism/medievalism registry-worldview that will necessarily and readily endemise
superstitions and witchcraft. The peculiarities of successive institutionalisations is that these
address the successive emanant dimensional defects of: recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
by emphasising ‘base-institutionalising’, ununiversalisation by emphasising ‘universalising’,
superstition/non-positivism/medievalism by emphasising ‘positivising’, and procrypticism
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism by emphasising ‘undermining
subknowledging/mimicking’ or deprocrypticism or ‘longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (noting that the
latter institutionalisation/intemporalisation contains the previous institutionalisations up to its
own threshold of institutionalisation/intemporalisation, with deprocrypticism being
organically imbued with all the prior/superseded institutionalisations); all these, pointing to
‘an ontological psychoanalytic/memetic-contiguity deconstruction across anthropology’
which the present treatment of psychology doesn’t recognise:
(i) Psychopath narrative teleology: an adult psychopath meets a stranger and speaks to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children

(ii) temporal-dispositions narratives teleologies: a stranger not knowing the other stranger aligning prelogically to the psychopath’s narrative will have a ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism ignorance-temporal-disposition defect’ if it articulated the following narrative:

(a) Such a person should not be allowed to roam the streets and should be interned.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism affordability-temporal-disposition defect’ will arise if another interlocutor knowing the accused for not truly being a child molester but because of expediency with respect to the psychopath articulates the following narrative:

(b) the guy is actually a bad person and they will not be surprise that he is a child molester.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism opportunism-temporal-disposition defect’ will arise if a different interlocutor knowing truly that the accused is not a child molester but for a favour or sense-of-favour they owe to the psychopath articulates the following narrative:

(c) this guy has been going around molesting young children for quite a while now.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism exacerbation-temporal-disposition defect’ will arise where another interlocutor knowing the truth about the whole thing, thinks
they can have an advantage by acting likewise as the psychopath and articulates the following narrative

(d) they had actually witnessed the accused shoplifting.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protration-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism social-discomfite/(social-chainism/negative-social-aggregation)-temporal-disposition defect’ will arise where

(e) such narratives are purposefully and consistently relayed in the social sphere based on ignorances, affordabilities, opportunisms and exacerbations, and individuals come to make it a reference for their relation with the accused.

And finally, a ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protration-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism temporal-enculturation (temporal-endemisation)-temporal-disposition defect’ arises where

(f) individuals come to learn that by having the appropriate social relations and social support network they can then initiate such narratives if they were to have competing 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' situations with others, and not only that it also includes individuals passively accepting and giving up on the principle of the intemporality/longness and intrinsicness of meaning.

It is important to distinguish all the above ‘temporal instances conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protration-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of the psychopath’s postlogism-slantedness in hollow-constituting<-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>', and is different from ‘a defect of logical operation/processing/contention which does not imply any temporal-disposition defect (in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or the denaturing of
the reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology’). With temporal-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (mental-perversion), the interlocutor deliberately (or naively in the case of ignorance) doesn’t project intemporally (i.e. projects in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or immediate-temporal-interest and not a universal ontological sense of meaning), comparatively more like a student guessing that the answer of a math question is say 5 ‘artificially’ operates an equation to yield 5 as answer. Whereas with ‘a defect of logical operation/processing/contention’ (which is not the case here), an interlocutor perfectly projects intemporally (i.e. projects in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or a universal ontological sense of meaning) but poorly operates/processes the logic adhocly. This latter case unlike the former doesn’t imply registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> but rather ‘an adhoc defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance whereas the former is ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> that speaks to the unprincipled-or-derived-unprincipled disposition of the interlocutor’s individuation that is, with respect to an infinite number of cases in the same situation (i.e. comparatively the disposition to go about answering math questions by figuring out their answers then ‘artificially’ trying to work out equations to yield the answers). Thus
establishing the ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework of this slantedness/postlogic
individuation defective nature ontologically, hence enabling its aetiologisation/ontological-
escalation. This also requires the disambiguation of the registries (involving stranding-of-
perverting-temporal-dispositions which refers to mental-devising-representation of temporal-
dispositions-registries teleologies registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-
threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>, i.e. oblongated/decandored
as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism mechanicalism/alchemic-like-
reasoning/circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in distinctive-alignment-to-
reference-of-thought of perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>
notional–procrypticism mindset as per postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performances. For intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
preservation, strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions implies ‘not wrongly implying
precedingly the reflex of an intemporal prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation reflex and reference on the subknowledging/mimicking-temporal-dispositions
but rather reflexively downgrading as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-
phase/subknowledging/mimicking)-stranding’, i.e. registry-precedes-logic as perversion-of-
reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> undermines the operation of logic, at which point contention is
about the ‘generation of ontological stranding-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored’ of such
temporal-dispositions denaturing to be reflected/perspectivated and ontologised by the intemporal mind as procrypticism as validated by ‘unconscionability-drag’ such that the temporal-dispositions, which are ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism slantedness’ as these are protractions of the psychopath’s as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or hollow-mimicking) insane-fitment/postlogism-slantedness, and hence are in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffective,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and should not be represented mentally going by the ‘unconscionability-drag’ as ‘logically/in-prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologismly articulating/composing i.e. not contending’ but rather as ‘a mentally-conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/subknowledging/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism,-and-oblongated i.e. a manifestation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>’ as is the case with the mental-devising-representation at all registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds, and should not be wrongly elevated/candored/straightened/integratively-aligned/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase in equivalence with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation apriorising–registry (since they are not contending) but rather downgraded/decandored/protracted-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and are rather manifestations of registry/mental defect or denaturing and are the subject of intemporal/ontological contention from the intemporal-disposition, more like at the registry-worldview/dimension defect level medievalism categorical-imperatives/axioms being
superseded and undermined with respect to positivism categorical-imperatives/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

Very much counterintuitively with regards to ‘unconscionability-drag’, the transcendental requirement for a ‘habituation’ to a so-called ‘prospective intemporal and more veridical mental-devising-representation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is rather ‘unfathomable’ for the prior

\langle \text{amplituding}\rangle \text{formative}\langle \text{wooden-language}\rangle\langle \text{imbued—temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing—narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology}\rangle

of the so-called ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\langle \text{as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation}\rangle\text{ dimension’}; this applies with regards to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation and universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively for upcoming times, procrypticism and deprocrypticism. The explanation is quite simple; as individuals in any institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldview/dimension are formed by the memetic-ordering/psychoanalytic-construction at that registry-worldview/dimension which is ‘all-defining of meaningfulness (in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought and logic)’ to the individuals and so right up to their subconscious mind. But then a prospective transcendental memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling is placing such a prior memetic-order/psychoanalytic-construction of their existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation in jeopardy, and it is only the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–\langle \text{amplituding}\rangle \text{formative}\langle \text{epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness}\rangle\text{ of the prospective intemporal dimension inducing untenability/internal—}
contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining with corresponding percolation-channelling impact from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension on the overall social-construct over a generation or two or more that allows for any such ‘habituation’ to a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s transcendence with its new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This will explain the difficulty of medieval minds (including institutions like the church) over centuries to come to terms with positivism and scientism such that the positivistic psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is still ongoing. Counterintuitively, every successive institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldview/dimension naively thinks it being at the backend of the ‘institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process’ means it is beyond transcendence as it doesn’t project of itself as being superseded by a prospective registry-worldview with its new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (as of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) at the point where the former starts perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> its own reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and does not tend to represent itself as oblongated/decaned/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism from a prospective dimension perspective in the sense that. the decanored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase insight we think of non-positivism/medievalism with corresponding phenomena like superstitions, witch-hunts, etc. has never been the way they represented themselves as they are
candored/straight/integratively-aligned/‘dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase’ in their
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncetising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present mental-devising-representation of themselves. Rather it is the more profound grasp of reality from positivism that initiates that decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase mental-devising-representation of non-positivism/medievalism in the positivistic mind, and this is the case as well with all other dialectic institutionalisations across the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/anthropological-continuity/anthropopsychology.

The reason for making the above point is that we will most possibly as of act same when it is time to imply our own decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation of our reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with respect to a prospectively candored/straight/integratively-aligned/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase deprocrypticism new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that is revealed by the ‘unconscionability-drag’ disambiguation of our temporal-dispositions-perversion associated with perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in our dimension (procrypticism) including psychopathy-and-its-social-psychopathy-corollary subknowledge/mimicking!

(iii) For Deprocrypticism, ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ teleology: will involve identifying, defining, characterising, qualifying and articulating the aetiology of this individuation perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/being-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/logically-incongruence with the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-superoeration> registry-worldview, inducing a ‘habituation’/’postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose as of the prospective apriorising–
registry worldview cross-generational (over a generation or two) intemporal projection
superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic; implies that the mental-devising-representation of a
superseded/transcended/unsound registry/registry-worldview (which is rather in epistemic-
decadence and hence in ontological-disconuity) as ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-
and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, entails it doesn’t re-join by mere logical
articulation the prospective superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview
stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, as the
prospective institutionalisation is rather about a registry-worldview/registry, and not logical,
transformation as a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-
mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose; with the notion that any such
wrongly implied re-joining as logical articulation is rather
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syceretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the prior registry/registry-worldview
reflex-defect in want of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. For instance, in the case mentioned before with regards to B (Brackets), where B was to stick with the same temporal-dispositions individuation disposition that delivered the wrong results with respect to subsequent equations of a similar context (uninstitutionalised-threshold) this will be epistemic-decadence, as conjugated/inflected/derived from A’s defective condition which is in epistemic-decadence, and the both A and B are of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> defining the registry-worldview/dimension apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument defect. This implies ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of B to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (as prior intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) is the effective backdrop for ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for the prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and this is rather cross-generational in nature (rather than instant intra-generational registry/registry-worldview transformation) as personhoods-and-socialhood-formation are rather grounded on the superseded/transcended/unsound reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. The above analysis shows that soundness-or-authenticity-of-
reference-of-thought-of-meaningfulness is not given, as it is a devising mechanism (mental-devising-representation) for ontological-veridicality as dialectically upheld for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (ontological-normalcy or postconvergence).

Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) ensures the disambiguation of registries so that the psychopath’s and temporal-dispositions are not elevated to the intemporal level which then allows for, by reflex, a simple operation/processing of logic (whereas the fundamental defect being in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the apriorising–registry-elements, implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology of the registries, i.e. rather the unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought or the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase meaningful construct).

Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) is thus central to resolving the rational-realism paradigm as it accounts for the defect of temporal-dispositions teleologies of meaning (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) while projecting intemporally/ontologically.

The notion of ‘unconscionability-drag’ also explain how and why banal temporal-dispositions are not readily ‘integrative of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration’ (hence no distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) to the childhood and early adolescent psychopaths but come to develop a ‘mental-unconsciousness’ (unconscionability) to be ‘integrative of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness’ during the stage of late adolescence and adult psychopath.
Antipodal to the idea of ‘unconscionability-drag’ is the idea of ‘conventioning’/social-temporal-thresholding. ‘Unconscionability-drag’ points to an abstract but more veridical ontological construct of the ‘social construction of meaning’ that is ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, based on intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation by using categorical-imperatives of the prospective superseding/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension whether such a representation is aligned or not with the society’s collective-social-psyche or present-consciousness. (For instance, we can generate an unconscionability-drag of a medieval society on the basis of a positivistic mental projection and categorical-imperatives; wherein we oblongate the solipsistic mental-dispositions of individuations in such a society. While such a representation, with its corresponding subknowledging/mimicking, is ontologically more accurate about such a society, however, the collective-social-psyche/present-consciousness of individuations in the said society will not recognise any such decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase representation of themselves, rather the medieval society will represent itself as candored/straight/integratively-aligned/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase which is then the ‘conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding representation of the social construction of meaning’). Conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding thus refers to the fact that in a ‘social construction of meaning’, intrinsic-reality by itself and in of itself (as may be grasped ontologically from superseding/transcendental categorical-imperatives preserving intemporality) is not necessarily the deterministic basis for human social adherence to it.

Transcended and ontological meaningfulness of reality (contrary to conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding meaningfulness of reality which is rather towards <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/temporality-serving) requires a process of institutionalised/intemporalised social integration to induce
untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining to ‘prior or circumstantial social integration gatekeeping construals or (institutionalisation/intemporalisation) percolation-channelling’ of ‘any social construction of meaning’ for there to be collective institutionalised social adherence (and by the relative positive-opportunism elicited). Institutionalisation/Intemporalisation percolation-channelling are the institutionalised relays for human survival-and-flourishing-teleology, whether diffusely from internalisation-and/or-formalism, and are increasingly vital with higher institutionalisations, and most vital for prospective perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism, such that abstractions that will normally hardly be socially integrated going just by averaging human temporal-to-intemporal nature, can actually come from re-originary-as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking-‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’-of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) intemporal-disposition to inform social institutionalisation/intemporalisation, thus emphasising how vital percolation-channelling are for institutional-cumulation beyond just the consciousness appraisal of temporal-dispositions. Institutionalisation/Intemporalisation percolation-channelling imply that the would-be intellectual analyst can perfectly uphold intrinsic reality over ‘social-and-temporal-trading’ and still impose veridicality (if truly veridical) over populist-inclined dispositions which are not veridical, just by the fact of the extendedly implied positive-opportunism for human survival-and-flourishing imbued in institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling. This implies that an exercise in institutionalisation/intemporalisation beyond just intemporal philosophical projection is needed for the social integration of any transcending veridicality paradigm (the latter being any notion that put in question informal or formal conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding ways of perceiving and doing things for supposedly prospective better ways). Correspondingly, the social-construct cannot be and
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism) since only a developed sense of moral
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm rather than a temporal extricatory paradigm. ‘Prior or circumstantial social integration gatekeeping construals or institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling’ that can enable the superseding of conventioning in the social integration of ontological veridicality include existing percolation-channelling of formalisms/officialdom which have naturally been instituted to allow for the supersedingness of intemporal/ontological constructs and intemporal dispositions. For instance, formal institutions selectivity mechanisms; and where the latter fail or are fallacious, basic positive-opportunism wherein the ontologising construct elicits positive-opportunism for the undermining of defective conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding constructs/categorical-imperatives of meaning (for instance, a natural causes disease conception leading to more cures such that positive-opportunism then undermines a superstitious-driven disease theory which leads to more pain and deaths). The big idea here is that, it is naïve philosophically to operate mainly on the basis of ‘ontological rightness of transcendence’ with respect to a species whose construct is structured to be temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) requiring skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-
subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference to the latter. And any such ‘ontological transcendence by mere rightness’ has never been acquiesced to for the
sole reason of its intrinsic rightness. For instance, round world idea never took off even though it was ontologically right (as the medieval conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding construct and strongly ingrained social dispositions). It is the generated untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining together with positive-opportunism coming from sailors sailing around the world on this idea to seek for spices and create wealth that constrained/institutionalised the medieval world into such an ontological transformation/transcendence. Part and parcel of ontological transformation/transcendence is the existential cynicism to grasp the human sense of internal contradictions and positive-opportunism to introduce and uphold these by the mechanism known as institutionalisation/intemporalisation. Regarding futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism undermining of procrypticism, it is doubtful that pertinent ontological constructs and generally the ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> dynamics of procrypticism’ are by themselves a sufficient basis for the direct and immediate social integration of deprocrypticism because of its ‘rightness’ over conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding. Part and parcel of the intellectual exercise is to understand how to manage the mechanism of transcendence wherein new and more profound ontological constructs are introduced and upheld, particularly by way of institutional percolation-channelling for intemporal transcendence.

However, it should be noted that the conceptualisation of ‘conventioning’ is not wholly antipodal to ‘ontologising/intrinsic-veridicality’ as the latter prospective integration in the social-construct is through the former; ‘conventioning’ is thus a dynamic conceptualisation articulating, on the one hand, how prospective temporality/shortness


Organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/'Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), being intemporal-driven, with respect to transcendence points to the fact that the articulation of meaning referenced/registered/decisioned differently in two registry-worldviews/dimensions, the perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as retrospective and transcendental as prospective, is/should be wholly referenced/registered/decisioned intemporally from the superseding transcension that upholds intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation; as the ‘intemporal mind’ can’t go after the value reference of both registry-worldviews/dimensions since transcendence is about ‘subverting’ perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. For instance, the non-positivism/medievalism value references of aristocracy/class are contrarian to positivistic value references for the possibility of equal opportunities; and the intemporal projecting positivistic mind in medieval times has no business trying to appear ‘great and wonderful’ with respect to ‘conventioned’ value reference of aristocracy/class in the medieval world even though it is the dominant and encultured collective mental-disposition. Likewise, such logic will apply regarding deprocrypticism and procrypticism requiring a reasoning that goes beyond the ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present’ mindset/reference-of-thought of our current procryptic mental-disposition, i.e. ‘the limit of ontological thought is not the banal<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} of a registry-worldview/dimension’. Otherwise no progress is possible as a dimension progresses exactly because it has defects which when overcome enables the progress to occur! So the intemporal mind cannot as such ‘be impressionable’ by the banal<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} of a registry-worldview/dimension. It points to the fact that it is ‘perfectly ok’ to be ‘unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural and value-reference-wise unresponsive’ to the

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism arises as a result of shallow mental-dispositions induced by temporal-dispositions, and their disambiguation should be called for, and not candored/straightened/integratively-aligned as if intemporal/longness in nature but rather decandored / oblongated / transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as temporal/shortness. threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as such is rather a ‘flatness-of-the-mind’ involving temporality, ‘mental triteness’ and ‘gullibility’ with respect to, in the case of psychopathy, insane/slantedness integration as social psychopathy; and more generally, ‘lack of intemporal philosophical depth’, i.e. lack of spontaneous dimensionality-of-sublimating—


preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism points to the fundamental processes of ‘social temporal miscuing of meaning’ and the effective temporal consequences whether
regarding defective enculturation or defective social ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology. This thus requires ‘deconventioning-for-ontologising involving the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation exercise of undermining conventioning at uninstitutionalised-threshold (due to the inescapable veridicality of human individuation temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness which inevitably induces perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> at uninstitutionalised-threshold); deconventioning as such skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) and restores ontological veridicality for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

An essential element underlying the psychopathic and other postlogic relationship with meaning has to do with the nature of attachment to meaning. A postlogic mind doesn’t view meaning articulations as ‘inherently sanctuous’ and thus is inclined to produce mechanically whatever deductions that may engage an interlocutor in-prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologismly/prelogicly even if these are hollow mimicking non-veridical narratives, i.e. vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated). On the other hand, prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-thinking imply more of an organic alignment view of meaningful articulations as ‘inherently sanctuous’, i.e. ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity/meaningful-projection-of-intrinsicness’. Going by these two facts, the postlogic and psychopathic mindset/reference-of-thought is readily inclined to call upon a broad base of vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-
vocalisation-or-subknowledging narratives (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) whereas the prelogic/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mindset/reference-of-thought is inclined to call upon just the narratives it sincerely thinks are relevant/due and intrinsically real. So it is critical not to confuse the over-articulation of postlogic narratives (vague mechanical stylising-of-locution) with an organic depth-of-thought or profundness, given that these involve postlogism-slantedness, disjointed-logic, miscuing, inventions and platitudes from the postlogic mindset, requiring decandoring/oblongating/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. Ontologically speaking, meaning is an essential construct of human mental-devising-representation meant to allow for human intemporal teleology. A postlogic-formulaic slanting threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism relation to such a conceptualisation is sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi to ontology and is thus regarded as ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> referencing’ that is ontologically inconsistent as it counts on the fact that others remain intemporal/ontological for it to exist parasitising/co-optingly. Worst still such vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging tend to be integrated at uninstitutionalised-threshold of conventioning/social-temporal-thresholds.

Without a sense of ‘rational-realism’ (the veridicality of meaning involving not only the logical processing/operation of narratives but precedingly temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation, i.e. in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), by prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation reflex,
The application of the universal technique of human transcendence to procrypticism-deprocrypticism transcendence can be basically be articulated as follows (the ontological entrapment):


- DOWNGRADING (psychopath’s hollow mimicking narrative wrongly ‘slanting the supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism meaning’)


of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn’t allow reflexively.

By ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ (where there is no ‘intemporal social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} as well as no temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation’) is meant, the possibilities of human dispositions and acts beyond frameworks that have not been institutionalised; manifesting as (uninstitutionalisation) ‘temporal-threshold logic’ or ‘discomfiture’. So the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the positive registry-worldview will refer to procrypticism (requiring deprocrypticism), to the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview it will refer to non-positivism/medievalism (requiring positivism), to the ununiversalised registry-worldview it will refer to ununiversalisation (requiring universalisation), and to the recurrent-utter-institutionalised apriorising–registry worldview it will refer to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (requiring base-institutionalisation). Institutionalisation and formalisation are based exactly on the fact that we don’t have a universal intemporality/longness or the-good disposition, but rather according to the mediocrity principle of science we are solipsistically temporal-to-intemporal in our mental-disposition with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Hence we tend to build artifices (institutions with their formal rules) by the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference of our collective thought process in the medium to long perspective towards intemporal-preservation-entropy, to dominate and preempt temporal dispositions. This explains why modern man (positivistic registry-worldview) is apparently
more evolved/developed than he/she should normally be compared to previous generations (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised men, ununiversalised men, non-positivism/medievalism men, and prospectively, how he/she will be superseded by the deprocryptic man). It doesn't mean that modern man has a genetic makeup or hardware that is different from the others. The difference is the cumulated ‘software’ or institutionalisations and formalisations that have been internalised into modern man. Anthropologists know that if you were to take a newly born child from a society like those that do not have contact with the modern world, and raise the child in a modern family, there is no different outcome on average as with any other child bred in the modern world. So our faith in virtue is not in our inherent excellence/exceptionalism but the excellence/exceptionalism of the software/institutionalisation that has cumulated, and insightfully, which creative template we will prospectively develop! Incidentally institutionalisation and formalisation ensures that we take the best form of human individuation thinking/capacity potential and constrain society and individuals to that individuation thinking/capacity potential, and inherently so, by the overall positive-opportunism to the cross-section of the species since it better grasp intrinsic reality and its virtues! Solipsism means I exist alone (with respect to intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality), and this author notionally interpret solipsism as the deepest sense of existence and meaning available to an individual in its spontaneous emanance or becoming, and as it projects itself ‘purely and universally’. It is a firstnature/intemporal construct beyond and ‘inventing the possibility’ of secondnatured institutionalisation, and places all humans at all times at the same pedestal of virtuous and ontological appraisal, as it is about our ‘transcendental valour’ irrespective of the level of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure at which we are. It contrasts with institutionalisation/intemporalisation which is ‘a negotiated and secondnatured or nurtured construct with respect to existence and meaning around social-stake-contention-or-
confliction’. Institutionalisation/intemporalisation as such, by way of positive-opportunism and inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of temporal-dispositions, has at least the merit of allowing for the possibility for human temporal-dispositions to be skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal-disposition, and thus enabling social transcendence which is upheld by formalisation and internalisation. By ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is meant that ‘intrinsic reality’ is one and given (ontology), and that the flaws and corrections in how we go about representing ‘intrinsic reality’ (metaphysics or the human-centered temporal-perspective) has no influence on reality’s intrinsic nature. Our mental-devising-representation of the world in 5000 BC, 2000 AD and possibly 5000 AD might be worlds apart, but the intrinsic nature of reality never changed and will never change an iota. So our knowledge construct is more of a proxying to intrinsic reality to grasp the possibilities of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and thus a better grasp of the world; hence proxying mentation-capacity level as the various institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. That idea that intrinsic reality is preceding/superseding is known as ‘postconvergence’ (we are converging to reality and not adding or taking away anything from it, it is us being illuminated as reality is already given). In the exercise of construing ontological veridicality what gives in when the pertinence of ontological-primemovers-totalititative-framework is known is the human psyche (whether by candoring/straightness/prelogism when pertinent or decandoring/slantedness/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought when impertinent), intrinsic reality never gives in (that’s why we are mortals and our hope is to always give-in to intrinsic reality for the possibilities of the future). This latter point is important as by reflex an

By ‘intemporal transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ is meant ontological-normalcy/postconvergence meaningfulness-and-teleology as so articulated above is ontologically veridical but that does not necessarily imply the metaphysical framework temporal mental-dispositions will recognise that (i.e. there is no ontological-contiguity between registry-worldviews references-of-thought as this falsely implies ‘no temporal-to-intemporal disambiguation, i.e. equivalence of references-of-thought/no-alienative-hierarchisation, whereas what is warranted is ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling’); and that it is transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of such constructed veridicality in its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework determinism and operance that will undermine other possible ‘temporal perverted-transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing-meaning’ by rendering them untenable/internal-contradiction and inoperant (not a ‘convincing’ at the philosophical or
emanance level, rather a ‘constraining’ at the institutionalisation/intemporalisation secondnaturing level out of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework); noting that ‘temporal perverted-transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism meaning’ imply temporal meaning cannot-be referenced/registered/decisioned as/have-the-registry of the ‘intemporal-disposition which is ontological’, so are stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored (dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive or dialectically-out-of-phase)’, i.e. are in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, (all along the apriorising-registry-elements: implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) of the mental-devising-representation from the intemporal-disposition/ontological perspective. Ontology being of the intemporal-disposition, the exercise of ‘directing logical convincing’ to temporal-dispositions is inherently unwarranted and is rather

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, with pertinence being about ‘articulating and directing’ intemporal/ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness towards ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework which induces the positive-opportunum and untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining for its supersedingness in the ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling’; the latter being utterly impersonal (law, officialdoms and subject matter formalisms) and allows for an abstraction of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn’t allow reflexively. This is underlying transcendental-enabling/sublimating notion while often obscured in the social

<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality due to their ‘emotional involvement’ is immediately obvious with the natural sciences whereby the physicists nor chemists nor biologists worries about convincing anyone but is rather in the business of ‘the convincing from natural truths’ which then do not ask for human temporal validation but impose themselves because natural truths inherently supersede human egotistic or <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag opinionatedness!

Postconvergence, in the bigger scheme of things, implies that knowledge has to do with the development of our ‘mentation capacity’ (an entropic-referential memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling exercise), across ‘retrospective-and-prospective history’, in grasping ‘intrinsic reality/veridicality’ which ‘has always and will always be ontologically same’. So the concern is about ‘us’; in the appropriateness of the registries we make of intrinsic-reality across retrospective-and-prospective history or rather shifting dialectical moments of relative-ontological-completeness! The articulation of reality, registry-worldviews/dimensions, mental strands (perverted or not), and other constructs of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework is ‘at-a-superseding-pedestal and incisive/blunt’ by the very nature of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reality. For instance, supposed a society with a non-positivism/medievalism belief system attributes the cause of a disease to say witchcraft, that doesn’t stop the reality of bacteria causing the disease even if such a representation of reality isn’t in the present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present of that society. Such an ontological conceptualisation of reality equally applies in our times where it can be demonstrated prospectively that our mental-devising-representation of meaning regarding a phenomenon is out of kilter, and reality won’t stop to accommodate us or our banality of thought. Thus the conceptualisation of reality is rather articulated at this
depth-of-thought whether it accommodates our present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present or not (reality personality), and operates by an ordered construct based on ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not a disposition of averageness/banality/popularity/extrinsic-attribution-of-thought recurrent in uninstitutionalised-threshold in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology), allowing for the possibility of transcendental meaning, institutionalisation/intemoralisation (skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) for intemoral domination) and human progress; given human temporal/shortness-to-intemoral/longness dispositions. Such an articulation of reality introduces the concept of ‘reasoning-through/abjection’ over ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–disjointedness’. Reasoning-through/abjection refers to the uncompromising and non-negotiable nature of reality with respect to the meaningful frames of mortal creatures that we are as reality doesn’t adjust to our beliefs, desires, wishes, whims or miscues. Reasoning-through/abjection then implies that meaning is articulated exclusively in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and anything else is defined, whether to be candored or to be decandored, at that ordered construct point-of-reference or point-referencing. Reason is thus ontologically a ‘reasoning-through’ as allowed through in a ‘pure, organic and intemporally uncompromising state’ by reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘at-a-superseding-pedestal and incisively/bluntly’. Incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought refer to the human reflex to average minds or make reference to extrinsic elements rather than meaning by its inherence as can be predicated effectively, and involves ‘reasoning with’, as it introduces ‘temporal and social trading’ elements over or
clouding or compromising inherent intemporal veridicality. Incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as such is patently wrong; as can be perceived from point-referencing superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions such that the ontological representation of the veridicality is different from the different perspectives of an recurrent-utter-institutionalised registry-worldview and the superseding institutionalised registry-worldview, and likewise with the ununiversalised and superseding universalised registry-worldviews, the non-positivism/medievalism and superseding positivistic registry-worldviews, and prospectively the procryptic and superseding deprocryptic registry-worldviews. It implies that ‘it isn’t veridically weird’ to articulate depths-of-meaning that may apparently seem idiosyncratic in our present illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness registry-worldview, as the issue is not with such an articulation per se but rather ‘our defective apriorising–registry point-referencing threshold’, and implying rather the need for our psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. Fundamentally, incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in human thinking as indicated above with the various institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is superseded by reasoning-through/abjection; in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing at-a-superseding-pedestal, and represented as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supерerogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as oblongated/decan
dored or failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, given the fact that this reflects apriorising–registry defect and not logical defect.
More precisely, how can meaningfulness-and-teleology be represented in ‘a prospective apriorising–registry state’ which is ontologically more real contrasted to ‘a present retrospective apriorising–registry’, as meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘temporally seems’ to vary depending on the uninstitutionalised-threshold point-of-reference to imply at one moment it is intemporal and at another it is temporal? This fundamentally has to do with our dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation projection irrespective of the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and calls for PEDESTALLED CONSTRUAL or PEDESTALLED DISAMBIGUATION to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference meaning towards the intemporal/longness disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, as institutionalisation/intemporalisation.Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation thus involves at a given uninstitutionalised-threshold translating the ‘apparently prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation or prelogic teleological finality of a temporal-disposition into its veridical preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism as postlogic perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> teleological finality, and so successively in reflecting the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—<mentally-aestheticised—preconverging/dementing—qualia-schema> of temporal-dispositions registries (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) as rather referenced/registered/decisioned from the prospective intemporal-disposition in postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism to reconstrue new recomposuring reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation— for
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation while superseding the prior registry-worldview/dimension as backdrop of temporal perversion of the prior reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Technically, pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation should involve reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting from the intemporal-disposition pedestal teleology finality/questioning mental-profoundness (deep candor) the relative longness/shortness-of-teleology of temporal-dispositions teleologies finalities/questioning mental-triteness (light candor), starting with slantedness pedestal finality/questioning (which is the psychopath’s insane/slantedness-fitment-roaming/drifting-cycle), and as it conjugates/inflects across other temporal pedestals teleology finalities/questioning (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfitude-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation points to the fact that the social representation of meaning is transversal/logically incongruent at uninstitutionalised-threshold as reflected by human temporal-to-intemporal dispositions (hence the need to articulate various pedestals of ‘questioning depth-of-thought’ and ‘strands of depth-of-meaningfulness’ to reflect effective meaningful representation from the intemporal-disposition point-of-reference). Where meaning is not articulated within an institutionalised/intemporalised framework, the idea of logical-congruence (a common reference of meaning in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought and logic) should be avoided due to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> whether psychopathic or not, and pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation is then required using distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought to establish the ontological pre-eminence of the intemporal-disposition. Instances of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> rather point to
uninstitutionalised-threshold, whether retrospectively or prospectively, as there is wrong
equivalence of temporal-to-intemparal-dispositions in the articulation of meaning; instead of
the pedestalled supersedingness of the intemparal-disposition as it is all about intemparal-
reservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (superseding various shades
of temporal preservations). Otherwise, perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>
induces a ‘free for all’ false equivalence wrongly construed as of intemparality/longness
(rather than the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemparal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor). Accounting for distractive-alignment-to-reference-
of-thought is what ends such a ‘free for all’ and is the basis of pedestals alienative
hierarchisation as referenced/registered/decisioned from the intemparal-disposition thus
bringing about institutionalisation/intemparalisation (given the social cross-sectional eliciting
of social universal-transparency—(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing-
<amplituding>(amplifying)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness),
untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, positive-
opportunism and transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-
inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith, for
psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in the medium to
long-run percolation) with corresponding dismissal of temporal-dispositions-teleologies as
dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) as the backdrop for the
reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemparal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the intemparal-disposition
anticipation and preemption of these for the institutionalisation/intemparalisation. Pedestalled
construal/pedestalled disambiguation explains the dynamism of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure going by a recurrent emanance template that involves:

reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating), by way of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition for institutionalisation’s/intemporalisation’s intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). For instance, a state of nature (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) application of the law variably making reference to circumstantial social power relations and spontaneously articulated notions of vices and virtues but no or poor universal rules (mob situations as well as social psychopathic situations will fall under such an interpretation as well).

(2) Pedestalling (‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling) articulates the relative grandor and virtuous consequence of the pedestalled supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition by its intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that then leads to society’s temporal-to-intemporal cross-sectional ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection induced deference’; whether deference with regards to a superstition/belief system/religion, essences/universal-notions, positivist idealism/principles-rationalism (and prospectively rational-realism as of deprocrypticism), involving a posture (institutionalised disposition) of the sort ‘the-say-that or it-is-said-that’ as ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection induced deference’ to the intemporal/longness disposition, for instance, ‘scientists say that’, ‘the Bible says that’, ‘it is said that one should not set foot in that forest as it will bring bad luck’, etc. This ‘the-say-that/it-is-said-that’ ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
projection induced deference’ explains why institutionalisation/intemporalisation has been happening across human history; whether deference from personalised/animists beliefs to philosophical, religious and other social belief systems, deference from haphazard application of social rules to universal notions, laws and principles, deference from spirit-and-mystical-driven notions of nature and various alchemies to a modern scientific construct system. Hence the very place of the averageness/banality-of-human-thought-and-meaning in history has been for it to defer to superseding intemporal-disposition construal by ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling. There is no such thing as allowing thought-and-meaning to the whims of masses thinking but rather deference to ‘reality/veridicality predicking constructs’; as enabled abstractly and existentially by the human individuation intemporal-emanant-registry in superseding human individuations temporal-dispositions.

‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling carries the implication that reference-of-thought and meaningfulness is fundamentally/ontologically structured for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and hence the precedence of higher intemporal teleologies over low temporal teleologies of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness; and that subpar structuring of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness not for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation but rather as perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-innonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supерerogation> of subpar reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as uninstitutionalised-threshold is ‘perverted reference-of-thought and meaningfulness’ (<amplituding>formative>epistemic-
totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag), and is ontologically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (dialectically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism) whether from a superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview reference-of-thought/veridical-thinking-reference-over-preconverging-or-dementing-reference that is retrospective (like base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), present (like positivism over non-positivism/medievalism) or prospective (like deprocripticism over procripticism/the-’preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism-of-the-positivistic-registry-worldview-or-dimension-categorical-imperatives-or-axioms-or-registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation).

‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling underlines the fundamental nature of institutionalisation/intemporalisation not as a temporal-dispositions- to intemporal-disposition transformation (not emanance transformance) but rather ‘a positive-opportunism constraining construct’ involving ‘intemporal deferential-formalisation-transference’ (such that just as jurisprudentialism is dismissive of whatever we’ll like to think of it in our social-and-temporal-trading context about the law which is rather articulated as a formal conceptualisation and constraint to be internalised as a universal construct to avoid its ‘downgrading’ by mobbish or other temporal social inclinations, likewise with many a subject-matter domain). In the same vain, the outcrop of an organic-comprehension-thinking ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting conceptualisation of deprocripticism over procripticism can only be construed within a formal institutionalised articulation not opened to ‘temporal/ordinary disposition contention’ as is the case with subject-matter constructs, but rather an institutionalised percolation-channelling exercise, so as to avoid temporal-dispositions denaturing as is the
case with all formal constructs, which rather strive to uphold the intemporal/longness-of-register-or-depth-of-meaningfulness teleology while relying on principled methods.

Prospectively, the intellectual exercise involved in articulating procrypticism-deprocrypticism and psychopathy and its corollary social psychopathy, will have to imply a ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation projection induced deference’ of the averageness/banality-of-thought (temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions) for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation projection induced deference’ of the cross-section of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor to the intemporal-disposition in order for institutionalisation/intemporalisation to take place is critical in inducing the requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (in relation to the unchanging-nature/same-intrinsicness of reality) for human retrospective-and-prospective progress/transcendence; and is necessary by the inherent fact of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, going by the mediocrity principle (if men were only of intemporal-disposition, no institutionalisation/intemporalisation nor ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’—as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling will be necessary as the mere exposure-to/contemplation-of ‘rightness of thought
and meaning’ will suffice for transcendence; such a complete human being doesn’t and has never existed, and not even philosopher-kings from the Socrates, Aristotles and others who explore such possibilities, even though intemporal-disposition possibilities will tend to accrue more to such ‘philosopher-kings’ individuals). For the big picture, this point to the fact that institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/anthropological-continuity/anthropopsychology is only possible for one reason, a continuity in the intemporal-disposition institutionalisation/intemporalisation (with ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—\((amplituding)formative>\)epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation projection induced deference’) of the cross-section of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. Where, and if, intemporal-disposition was to possibly end or be upended (either because of lack of further human intemporal-disposition mentation-capacity for higher levels-of-transcendence, in the dynamism of individual potential, i.e. the solipsistic disposition of individuals’ individuations to assume universal projection of longness-of-thought-and-meaning, or social-construct potential, i.e. where grander institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not confused and implied on the naivety that the institutionalised social-construct is of intemporal-disposition rather than a temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions construct requiring ‘transcending any perversion-of-reference-of-thought—\(as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>\) of the \((amplituding)formative>\)wooden-language—\(\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought—}\)as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications\)>\), then ‘human transcendence and civilisation will stall’ (of course, such an insight is purely from an
ontological point-of-reference, and not a temporal \<(amplituding)\>formative\>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness point-of-reference)!

(3) The establishment of institutionalisation/intemporalisation involves necessarily ‘delegated gatekeeping and institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling processes’ to uphold it thereafter with formalisms and officialdom surrounding it with respect to temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>s and corruption dispositions. For instance, the institutionalisation/intemporalisation of ‘scientific chemistry’ comes with a ‘chemistry lingua’ accessible to those sharing and/or educated to uphold the meaningful frame, on the justification that they explain and account more about the material world than any other alternative. This justification goes on to make them formalism and officialdom percolation-channelling to the extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} such that over time alchemic and superstitious conceptualisations of material meaning are effectively destroyed while equally seeing to it that pseudo-scientism is kept at bay. ‘Delegated gatekeeping and institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling processes’; because such a pedestalled supersedingness is only as valid as to when it is the grandest construal of material meaning until, and if, it is shown not to be the case. A further and nonetheless important reason for such delegation is the relative superficiality generally associated with averageness/banality-dimensionality-of-sublimating—\<(amplituding)\>formative\>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation projection construal of meaning, and not to speak of its discomposure to the convolutedness often required in articulating and grasping intemporal meaning as
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Besides, this raises other issues related to a more or less temporal take of an ontological/intemporal enterprise with regards to articulations that are meant to have universal import (import of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation across space and time) rather than for the sake of any particular circumstantial/temporal take/extricatory-situation in whichever locale, that is, an extricatory paradigm. A failure to grasp the intellectual-analyst posture rather as a proxying-of-intrinsic-reality-as-ontology as per ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation and that there-is-no-discretionary-construal-of-ontology/ontological-reality since intrinsic reality is superseding of all mortals including the intellectual-analyst. Basically the issue of the intellectual-analyst exercise in grasping such an intrinsic-reality is a proxying one superseded by the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of reality ‘which in no way depends on any notion of the intellectual-analyst’s choice/luxury’ (as the intellectual-analyst might actually have by another individuation chose not an intemporal/ontological projection but a temporal posture ‘in moral/intellectual equivalence with temporal mental projections’ with nefarious temporal consequences). Basically, there is nothing like an intemporal temporality/shortness whereby there is any intemporality/longness in accommodating human temporality. Likewise, supposedly the intellectual-analyst was to come short in its intemporal projection or other universal values by temporal manipulation, it is very naïve to ‘reason and projecting temporally’ that eliciting such ‘an inductive-limitation (the-paradox-of-a-universal-rule-that-doesn’t-apply-universally-but-to-a-specific-circumstance-to-satisfy-a-temporal-urging)/gotcha-logic/suggestibility’ should undermine the essence of ontological/intemporal meaning which is ‘above a human intellectual proxying exercise to it’ and doesn’t depend on it to exist inherently, is nothing but temporal naivety. The reality of a round world doesn’t depend on its recognition of a
medieval mindset/reference-of-thought for it to exist likewise with any veridicality/intrinsic-reality regarding psychopathy and a social manifestation whether it is palatable or not. Finally, temporal-dispositions as eliciting temporal vices-and-impediments are in no way qualified to contend about intemporal articulation/projection. In effect, such temporal pretence are nothing but \(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising~self-referringencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions meant to satisfy the 'mortal\'}s temporal preservation’ on the basis of ‘locale context logic’ and not ‘intemporal preservation as ontological veridicality with the potential for a grander human good’ on the basis of ‘universal implications’; as inevitably, ontologically, the resolution of ontological/being perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defects (and as per their manifestation and conjugation as postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances) are as prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions constructs that supersede the prior/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (uninstitutionalisation structurally superseded/resolved/rendered-inoperant by base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation by universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism by positivism, and prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought by deprocrypticism). Supposed the intellectual-analyst was to act temporally to the point of overlooking such ontological implications to the level of lowly temporal minds, lowly because not universal-projecting, it won’t mean that the ontological reality will evaporate. It will simply mean that the
intellectual-analyst has failed in its intemporal/ontological projection, more like Darwin doesn’t have the choice/luxury of deciding from his insight that evolution doesn’t exist in placating any temporal mortals or Galileo doesn’t have the choice/luxury of deciding from his insight that the world is not round in placating any temporal mortals, and if they were to make that choice they affirm nothing more than their ‘aggrandised mortality’. The blunt/incisive reality is that they being in that position to affirm intemporality/ontology/intrinsic-reality-as-providing-future-universal-possibilities-for-the-human-species are the ‘very tip of the possibility of human civilisation’ and their moral/intellectual posture is to ‘bluntly look down’ to the ‘little mortal creatures of temporality’ and ‘shepherd the sheepishness-of-the-species’ to grander civilisational grounds. It is an ontological ‘moral and intellectual responsibility and privilege’, actually, to be in any such position, going by the eudaemonic-contemplation which is what ‘effectively grants existential moral and intellectual superiority’ and not naïve temporality/shortness accommodating conventioning constructs about any such pretence which is nothing more than temporal/the-mortal’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism; as any such is not the intemporal-disposition that started base-institutionalisation (to thwart recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) through universalisation (to thwart ununiversalisation), positivism (to thwart non-positivism/medievalism), and prospectively its intemporal-disposition that will enable deprocrypticism (to thwart procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and thereafter; the intemporal individuation as such projects in an ‘abstract eternality’ which is what allows for the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Temporal-dispositions may not need to understand as of for the pertinence of intrinsic reality to be established as it is preceding/postconvergence, anyway, that is why it is
‘a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise’, and ‘not human temporal-dispositions transformation exercise’ into intemporality! Ultimately, like all institutionalisation/intemporalisation construct, there is a ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepi-stemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection induced deference’ to such an ontological construal by way of formalism-and-officialdom as the temporality/averageness/banality-of-thought is not allowed to imply an dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection depth with respect to such ontological construal (due to the reality of the mediocrity principle that we are not as of intemporal but temporal-to-intemporal, and hence the need for the artifice to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporality) otherwise we would be working with moral philosophy and not law, subject-matter informalities and not formalisms, etc. There is no such thing as ‘intemporal temporality’ as mental-dispositions ‘geared to accommodate temporality’ (as to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness) are doing nothing but providing the anchoring for the endemisation and enculturation of the vices-and-impediments associated with such temporal registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> as perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and hence are doing nothing but <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising; as the state of inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,~‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, – or temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation with respect to ontological-normalcy (the latter assumed to be fully conceptually completed as deprocrypticism) as successively recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation recurrence, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-meditevalism and positivism/procrypticism, is an inherent registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> in want for prospective transcendence (notwithstanding that the defect-in-temporal-preservation is instigated from postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness mental-disposition eliciting temporal inclinations of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in upholding its temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation).

That is why psychopathy is better dealt with as ‘social psychopathy’ given that what is often and mostly overlooked is not with regards to the psychopath and its postlogic impulse to ‘hollow-constitute’/fail-intemporal-preservation as perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> but rather the ‘distortional effect on analysis’ arising from ‘postlogic/psychopathic elevation wittingly or unwittingly’ by prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mental-dispositions in conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration (by ignorance, at best, then affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) which then wrongly provide ‘supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism credulity’ to elevate and integrate the perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of a ‘slanted mind’. As of , virtuous construal arises structurally from a universal/intemporal projection which is operant and deterministic with no room for ‘temporal discretion’ regarding the manifestation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in any registry-worldview/dimension. The coherent and recurrent manifestation of phenomenal perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> defect in a registry-worldview/dimension speaks of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s disposition to endemise/enculturate it. More like we don’t have issues of sorcery and so in the positivistic society as structurally the positivistic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology do not endemise/enculturate the notion and the social vices-and-impediments arising from it thereof. On the contrary, structurally the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology endemises/enculturate this with the consequent social vices-and-impediments. It is very naïve to think that psychopathy as a social phenomenon is limited in scope to contexts where psychopaths are involved rather than involving a much wider social basis to explain how the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension integrates, enculturates and endemises it as ‘social psychopathy’. Just as prior/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions have undergone their prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence once it is established that the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are subknowledge/registry-perverted/dialectically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism at their
uninstitutionalised-threshold and thus the need for new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, likewise the positivistic dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> subknowledging/mimicking/registry-perverting/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation known as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought implies that ‘it is not and cannot be beyond a prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence exercise’ known as deprocrypticism which highlights the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s enculturated/endemised vices-and-impediments associated with its perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and so, as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal, and not as a vague impression-driven construal. By and large, virtue is best understood as the knowledge/lack-of-knowledge ontological possibility offered in a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought (whether as base-institutionalised, universalised, positivising or deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality) and not vagueness based on impression of discreet human or social qualities which just serve to confuse and distort the fundamental knowledge/lack-of-knowledge/understanding issue. This is very much in line with the virtues
of all human subject-matter formalisms which are the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not vague impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness.

This elucidation shows that intrinsic-reality, accessible by ‘reasoning-through transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ only at-a-superseding-pedestal that is ontologically abject and incisive/blunt over human incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and


As a reminder to the fact that pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation is with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>/mental-perversion
(threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism defect or a defect outside the logical paradigm of the said registry-worldview) and not logical defect (conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation defect or a defect in the operation/processing of the logical paradigm of the said registry-worldview); it is critical to note that the mental state of the registry-worldview/dimension involved with the psychopath’s slantedness-integration is not a ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ (which is a supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
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supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or prelogism nonetheless) but an elicited threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, construed by the slanted social protraction of the psychopath’s slantedness inducing a social psychopathy; and it is these strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions including that of the psychopathy that are the subject of every institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure level’s psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Technically, it can be said that the underlying psychopathic phenomenon known as postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation is associated with all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure by its eliciting of ‘protracted slantedness’ in temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfure-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation), and so given the structural/paradigmatic relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Hence, the need for ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection induced deference’ to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-disposition as to prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation.

This ‘institutionalisation template’ as articulated above implying ‘a next best case approach’ in ‘construing the institutionalisation/intemporalisation of human virtue’ where we are face with the reality that man is not as of intemporal but rather temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness may be counterintuitive with respect to our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, as any present-consciousness is shaped to perceive itself as
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism; (v) in the bigger scheme of things,
distraction-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ will perfectly
explain how ‘apparently sound human mental-dispositions’ within the scope of
‘institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ go on to produce such consequences as ‘crowd
effects’ and worst still in teleologically-degraded social and political environments rationalise
and/or partake in ‘genocidal acts’, for instance. Technically, distraction-alignment-to-
reference-of-thought by the temporal-dispositions involves simply conjugating/inflecting the
underlying ‘(as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or hollow-mimicking)
insane/slantedness fitment’ of the postlogic mind of the psychopath to
ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

In the bigger scheme of things, the articulation of reality as referentially of
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence enables and allow creative projective-insights thought
possibilities that the all too common ‘fixated traditional categorisation conceptualisation of
reality’ doesn’t allow, as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism has the
strength of overcoming the fundamental difficult issue of ephemerality (as priorly explained
with the concept of unconscionability-drag) as ‘it enables mental-devising-representation
contiguity in recomposuring’ across all institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations.
The reason this is possible is that such a referential ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
representation is not shaped to prioritise any registry-worldview/dimension as being
inherently the absolute reference of thought, such as we unwittingly do with our
representation of reality due to the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness (a massive
drawback in grasping veridical ontological reality especially in the ephemeral social world). With ontological-normaley/postconvergence referentialism we place reality as an abstract construct of oneness that is preceding-and-supersedes our-and-all temporal representations of meaning, and the exercise of articulating ontological/intemporal meaning then becomes ‘one of recomposuring how our temporal-and-all-temporal representations of meaning are recomposured to be internally coherent with the abstract ontological-normaley/postconvergence referentialism ‘sense of oneness of preceding-and-superseding intemporal/ontological meaning’ as implied by the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’. The insight we can thus garner is that in absolute terms veridical meaning as represented in ontological-normaley/postconvergence is ‘a hypothetical abstraction’ of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (more like attaining the abstract but veridical purity in a field of study like mathematics) in ‘unwinding’ applicative ‘colour/emotion/temporal-frame/aesthetics/memetics/psychical-representation’ of manifest teleologic-articulations as ‘subexistence-in-existence/existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<(amplitudding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness possibilities) – subexistence-in-existence being that which holds existential possibilities or existential potency for existential reality or ontological veridicality, as allowed by referential-depth or (‘allant’ or ‘fugue’ in French) or ‘natural emanant dynamic creative vitality/drive’, i.e. ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ‘unwinding’ as deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness – (more like the subconscious is that which holds existential possibilities/existential potency for ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness consciousness reality/veridicality, or more like quantum-mechanics is actually an ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness about evasive atomic-level physical reality, more like musical and/or artistic creativity hermeneutics is the subexistence-in-existence possibilities or existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

<amplituding
formative\>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness/existentia-potency for ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ‘unwinding’ concrete music and/or art production). Thereafter, the ontological exercise is about having ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) as ‘an ontologically-veridical abstract and infallible referencing/correction-tool’ enabling dynamic recomposuring projecting-and-reflecting: on the one hand, candoring/prelogism/organic-comprehension-thinking ontologising, or on the other hand, decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /threshold–of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, even as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation implies a continually-evasive/ephemeral social world dynamics but that is graspable in referential terms. This allows for a truly universal and dynamic psychological science (and sound foundation for grasping ‘the veridicality of meaning’). The tools for such an ontological entrapment is basically about ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications ‘transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic refinements’ as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical
outcome of ‘incomplete/incremental/temporal-accommodation human brain limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)’ but ‘rather ties the mental-devising-representation process to the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-verbatim referencing/correction-tool’ (given that this allows for complete/abject understanding by the very nature of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence notion, of course in an ‘abstract and evasive caricature’), hence overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness inherent in any (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology representing the mentally devised state of any registry-worldview/dimension. Postdication is all about an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence institutionalisation/intemporalisation-constraining for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as stranding-dialectics educing-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology-into-the-existentialism-becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation (existential-storying-in-contiguity). An analogical case in point will be ontological theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics wherein the abstractions go beyond our habitual mental-devising-representation of meaning as in the positivist registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology. However, the bigger picture is that if prior/superseded institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure have effectively occurred and so, counterintuitively to their natural (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleologies, as anticipated by postdication right up to our present positivistic institutionalisation/intemporalisation owns (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology; there isn’t any particular ontological reason for intemporal/ontological meaning not to be construed in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (postdication) as more veridically/ontologically real, beyond and counterintuitively to the positivistic mind’s temporal (recomposured)-consciousness-
by its threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism denaturing from an organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)-ontologising from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension’. For instance, where a positivist mind might see a forest as a subject of scientific inquiry/understanding, a non-positivist/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought might rather see a mentally unconscious man going into the ‘evil forest’. Such ‘existential parochial perspectives’ will arise anyway from procrypticism viewed from deprocrypticism, though of a different nature than the example expressed above. In that sense, the deprocryptic mind might actually seem ridiculous in the procryptic registry-worldview/dimension but ‘there should be no temptation to want to appear great or adjust in such a perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> perspective but rather to make it irrelevant’ otherwise the deprocryptic mind compromises the essence of its purpose, just as a positivistic mind going by the ‘evil forest’ comparison ‘cannot afford to compromise its positivist stance’ by trying ‘to be wonderful’ in a non-positivism/medievalism perspective that is rather ‘in want of transcendence’; as it is exactly because the temporal non-positivism/medievalism reference is defective that it is being transcended. This speaks to the specificity of the would-be intellectualism involved in a transcendental construct, as different from just intellectualism as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft; it carries the element of knowledge not only as an abstract intradimensional conceptual construct but in its fullness with existential implications and insights of the dialecticism and psychoanalytic-reorientations involved in all transcendences, requiring that such an intellectual analyst be of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with temporal meaningful frames which do not define and are not a point-of-reference to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness’ with the registry-worldview/dimension in need of transcendence (procrypticism) to avoid dividing its meaningful-referencing instead of taking it prospectively (deprocrypticism), for instance, medieval intellectuals like Galileo and Rousseau have to be of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with temporal meaningful frames which do not define and are not a point-of-reference to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness’ with the medieval registry-worldview to generate prospective positivistic registry-worldview which at their time is not intelligible to a medieval take (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) on meaningfulness! This can be further expanded on as follows. The intradimensional meaningful frame is ‘an abstraction to the structural/paradigmatic conceptual limits (uninstitutionalised-threshold) of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension, which do not supersede/precede/override/undermine intrinsic-reality/ontology; and the issue that then arises is that it doesn’t carries the meaningfulness sought for transcendentally. On the other hand, transdimensional/transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology is precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency accruing as ‘existential psychoanalytic ontological form (in full blossoming of the transcending dimension)’ beyond the superseded intradimensional structural/paradigmatic conception limits (uninstitutionalised-threshold) of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension (which itself had been the outcome of a preceding existential
psychoanalytic ontological form). Memetism as to suprastructural meaningfulness-and-teleology will refer to the projective conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond and superseding an intradimensional registry-worldview abstraction scope to the scope of transdimensional/transcendental existential psychoanalytic ontological form (in full blossoming of the transcending dimension with its existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications personhoods-and-socialhood-formation); highlighting as ontologically wrong any relation to intradimensional meaningfulness as (intemporally/ontologically)-sanctuous-by-reflex (as this wrongly undermines the stranding-dialectics of temporal-dispositions-postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>-subknowledging/mimicking-set-of-narratives, and wrongly leads to their <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-straight/candored’ at that registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring prospective memetic-reordering. (As a side note, this will explain while ‘referentialism’ in contrast to ‘categorisation’ is the appropriate knowledge-cadre for such a more or less deconstructive articulation in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural, as is the case with this paper, by the fact of the need for a requisite ‘habituation-into and repeatability-from-different-textual-meaningfulness-perspectives’ that is necessary to get-to-and-grasp not only an explanation but critically as well the requisite psychoanalytic-state of a construed existential psychoanalytic ontological form, in full blossoming of the transcending dimension, as ontological meaningfulness.) Finally, it is just a matter of fact going by the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process that human cross-sectional mentation-capacity in relation to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is limited given perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, as virtue is rather extended by successive re-institutionalisation in
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (not nested-congruence) by the intemporal-disposition
intemporalisation skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for
relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) as
deferential-formalisation-transference, going from base-institutionalisation, universalisation,
positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism.

Such a ‘postconvergence referentialism’ skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-
subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating) hermeneutic-circle goes beyond a traditional
hermeneutics exercise of subjective interpretation and rather arrives at an exercise in
‘universal objective (ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) ontological
explanation’ as it emphasises transversally/incongruently ‘the recomposuring
precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of abstract ontological-
ormalcy/postconvergence referentialism notion of reality’ in referencing meaningfulness
apriorising–registry (whether candored / integratively-aligned / straightness / dialectically-or-
contendingly-in-phase or decandored / transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing / dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-
phase colour/emotion/temporal-frame/aesthetics/memetics/psychical-representation), and so,
as coming from an intemporal-disposition/ontological skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-
subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
transcendental-enabling/sublimating) point-of-referencing. It further holds a promise that
goes beyond our notions of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (as rather
intradimensional or a registry-worldview constructs), and arrives at the grander notion of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which grasp
should enable greater human transcendental possibilities.
Of course, ontologically (i.e. ‘the-Good/understanding’ contrasted with ‘good-natured/impression-driven’) the bigger issue is how do our development and institutionalisation/intemporalisation of true knowledge ‘save us from potent-temporality and its vices-and-impediments with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, rather than how do we over-idealise ourselves and thus fail to be preemptive (as the ‘human cross-sectional mental equilibrium disposition’, at any successive transcendence/institutionalisation in the ‘human essential temporal-to-intemporal equilibrium nature which is ontologically true’, under-accounts for ‘temporal-nature which is not ontologically true’, and over-accounts for ‘intemporality/longness nature which is equally not ontologically true’ – the insight for this is that institutionalisation/intemporalisation is a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure tool, it doesn’t transform temporal-dispositions which is the exclusive purview of individual sense of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation and by its very nature is ‘beyond a philosophical transformation exercise’ as the latter exercise is mainly to ‘construct articulations for secondnaturings’ at best (articulate new institutionalisation/intemporalisation deterministic-and-operant possibilities for skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation), hence the need to refer analytically to human temporal-to-intemporal dispositions as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existentiel-contextualising-
contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness highlighting the uninstitutionalised-threshold and not analytically implying by reflex solely on the basis of a human intemporal mental-disposition); and prospectively, do our part of the ‘transcendental homework’ that has brought the human species this far taking cue from retrospective transcendences.

By extension this explains how the notion of ‘knowledge problem’ is to be apprehended transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally (as a contiguous intemporal ontological construct). Commonly, intradimensionally, the knowledge problem as ‘social problem/questioning’ is an ‘intradimensional focus’ around logical operation/processing/contention based on the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the registry-worldview/dimension ‘towards resolution’, with the temporal defect of possible denaturing of such reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation undermining the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. However, ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (preceding/superseding intrinsic reality) insight points to a depth-of-focus of the knowledge problem as ‘social problem/questioning’ on the ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy’ itself-and-beyond-any-set-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-implying-it (and by extension accounting for incompleteness of human mental/brain mentation-capacity which is the reason of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process) to define ‘social problem/questioning’ as implying a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling to enable intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation when at
the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the registry-worldview/dimension (the contiguous referential exercise of recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling to perpetually enable intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is known as ‘postdication’, a term that is in contrast with ‘predication’ which is based on ‘constitutive categorisation elaboration on an intradimensionally affixed reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology whereas postdication refers to a transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally/across-all-institutional-recomposes/cumulations entropy as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction); involving avoiding making an intemporal-disposition representation (with the implication of a purely logical operation/processing/contention) instead of a temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions representation (with the implication of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation before logical operation/processing/contention; as apriorising–registry disambiguation, into the intemporal-disposition and conjugating temporal-dispositions as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, allowing for contextualisation in articulating the contrast of the intemporal-disposition’s organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and temporal-dispositions threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism – involving slanting by psychopath, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, and sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising – with temporal-dispositions in varied shades of temporal conjugation/inflection to
psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework dispositions; thus enabling the stifling (undermining the ontological-veridicality) of temporal-dispositions and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating), by way of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition for institutionalisation’s/intemporalisation’s intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation). Thus the ontological veridicality of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/maledupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> at it uninstitutionalised-threshold is articulated, with contention then being about reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting and aetiolgising/ontologising this, even if it is intradimensionally unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural and unpalatable (consider in this regard, the development of positivism from non-positivism/medievalism). It should be noted then that the paradigm is an intemporal/ontological projection referencing paradigm beyond-and-the-non-implication of an equivalence between (‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting pedestalling) with the intradimensional ‘consciousness-awareness frame-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of the temporal/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism dimension, more like the positivist ontological biology and medicine paradigm is beyond/supersedes-and-is-a-non-implication of an equivalence with the ‘consciousness-awareness frame-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of say non-positivism/medievalism temporal value dispositions with respect to the notion of disease, that is, it’s point is to define an altogether different and superseding meaningful frame or paradigm and is not involved in an idle exercise of
elevating and articulating its meaning in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of and implying an equivalence with non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness. That is equally the relation between a transcending deprocrypticism registry-worldview and the transcended procrypticism worldview.


This transcendental insight is in line with the idea of low teleologies or temporal concerns in threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, and ontologically short in a temporal 80-to-90-years-of-life-mental-project, and higher teleologies or intemporal/transcendental concerns in organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and ontologically long in an intemporal/species-possibilities/abstract-eternity-of-being-mental-projection/eudaemonic-contemplation), and their corresponding abstract individuation aetiologies (even though in effect individuals as ‘receptacles of specific individuation
aetiologies’ cannot realistically be construed as absolutely tied to low or higher teleologies but rather as tending to accrue towards a specific-individuation-aetiology/characteral-disposition whether of low or higher teleology; hence any such ‘storied/articulated’ absolutely specific-individuation-aetiologies are caricatural of the realistic nature of individuals as ‘receptacles of individuation aetiologies’, though all such storied/narrated specific individuation aetiologies represent the full possibilities of any and all individuals ‘as receptacles of individuation aetiologies’).

By ‘higher teleologies’ is meant ‘existential disposition’ which is ‘in essence intemporally preserving solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly’ (and so, by a disposition that is beyond just one institutionalised/intemporalised registry-worldview/dimension and abstractly across all transcendental retrospective-and-prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldviews/dimensions); with the implication that the highest teleologies of Base-institutionalisation (as percolation-channelling undermining of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and its vices-and-impediments) – equivocates as of to the highest teleologies of Universalisation (as percolation-channelling undermining of ununiversalisation and its vices-and-impediments) – equivocates as of to the highest teleologies of Positivism (as percolation-channelling undermining of non-positivism/medievalism and its vices-and-impediments) – and prospectively, equivocates as of to the highest teleologies of Deprocrypticism (as percolation-channelling undermining of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and its vices-and-impediments). It should thus be noted as such that ‘higher teleologies’ are ‘equivalences of existential ’ (in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions), and not equivalences of institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels. That is, being in a transcended institutionalised/intemporalised registry-worldview/dimension (internalisation and formalisation induced as a secondnature) doesn’t equivocate as highest teleologies to the
existential projection that ‘had the vision’ in the prior/superseded subknowledging/mimicking/untranscended registry-worldview/dimension (‘with-no-elicited-positive-opportunism/much-more-likely-temporal-negative-disincentive’ and ‘out-of-the-blue’) to articulate-and-uphold-for-percolation-channelling the prospect of the transcended-registry-worldview/dimension-with-its-prospective-universal-virtue-over-the-vents-and-impediments-of-the-prior-registry-worldview/dimension even as it seem unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to the prior/superseded untranscended/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism registry-worldview/dimension. So in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘higher teleologies’ (emphasising the existential intemporal as a seed-of-virtue over institutionalisation/intemporalisation outcome, which the former enables) being in an institutionalised/intemporalised positivistic world doesn’t necessarily equivocate us to the Galileos, Descarteses, Newtons, Leibnizes, Rousseaux, Darwins … behind the articulation-and-upholding-for-percolation-channelling of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension (even though together with them we all may recognise and operate within a positivistic world). That is, the ‘existential that enables the articulation-and-upholding-for-percolation-channelling of a transcending registry-worldview/dimension’ is the higher teleology ‘over the mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft’ in such a transcended registry-worldview/dimension. And why is this distinction critical? Because prospective (intemporal) need for prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation necessarily calls upon the (intemporal)-kind that articulated-and-upheld-for-percolation-channelling the superseding institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence; and the condition of mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft in the untranscended registry-worldview/dimension doesn’t speak of disposition to prospectively articulate-and-uphold-for-percolation-channelling an
intemporally requisite prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation/intemporalisation that is intemporally preserving (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence), highlighting the veridicality and need for ‘human registries-disambiguation at uninstitutionalised-threshold’, and as being temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions. The notion of higher teleologies as such is specific to the human species in holding that beyond just ‘a physical animal passing of specie generational succession’ for survival and optimising-specie-flourishing, with higher teleologies there is ‘an even more critical passing of generational succession’ as memetic-skewing-or-reordering/philo-cultural optimising of possibilities of the species towards intemporal virtue as civilisational over temporal vices-and-impediments (philo-cultural and not cultural, because philosophy notionally supersedes and defines cultural possibilities); and so, by virtue of the exceptional possibility, in time and space, of human transformation/transcendence by philo-cultural skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/memetic-reordering with respect to the base physical animal selectivity process (genetics) of the human species generational succession.

On other issues of pertinence in the bigger scheme of things:

(i) Meaningfulness of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as to ‘existential idealism/success’ as these define mental orientations or registry-worldview teleological-dispositions. Going by the human ‘institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure’ process involving variously candored/straightness/prelogism and decandored/oblongated/distinctive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation of registry-worldviews dependent on which registry-worldview is considered perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
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nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or transcendental superseding; in any given registry-worldview’s social context, the notion of ‘existential idealism/success’ is averagely viewed invariably as ‘living to the ‘opportunistic ideals or conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding’ of the inherent registry-worldview’ irrespective of whether it is perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or transcending superseding, and not necessarily by its veracity/ontological-pertinence. But then given that what allows for the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure-process/transcendence/civilisation to take us from an uninstitutionalised animal to now a positivistic one and prospectively a deprocryptic one; it is difficult to contemplate ‘existential success/idealism’ from a knowledge/ontological perspective (in contrast to a temporal <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-⟨imbued—averaging-of-thought-⟨as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩) perspective) without identifying that intemporal in contrast to temporal mental-dispositions is what is ‘truly existential success’ as the intemporal-disposition is very much what allows for human transcendence and subsequent institutionalisation/intemporalisation, much as the distilling process allows for the lightness of hydrocarbons, ‘where lightness is virtue’. Basically, it can be said that without the human quality of the ‘aetiologisation/ontological-escalation individuation of the intemporal’ we’ll still be probably in caves. Of course, such a depth-and-projecting-scale-of-thought requires an appreciation of the ‘percolative impact’ of the ‘firstnature/intemporal’ (which is not readily available to the immediacy/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-of minds of temporal-dispositions). For instance, men did not ‘by magic’ develop the possibilities of civilisations whether the stone, bronze, copper, iron ages, the antiquities, the medieval and today modern positivism; without a
corresponding ‘psychoanalytic liberation’ that allowed for such a development induced by philosophical revolution, however, prosaic the philosophy. For instance, it is not by magic that science and vaccines were not developed in antiquities but were developed in early industrial Europe, as the ‘psychoanalytic liberation’ of the ideas expressed by the Descartes and Galileos ‘shaped subsequent common minds’ to be inclined to rationalise profoundly their grasp of physical phenomena like Pasteur and others. Likewise, the philosophical development in antiquities not being ‘profoundly applicative enough’ and more or less cultic (available more or less to a priestly class and poorly universalising in many such slaving-and-class society), such a psychoanalytic liberation percolation-channelling effect could hardly be obtained from say Aristotle’s writings (granted, it percolated into the medieval Arabic and European worlds), and in addition the ‘intellectualism’ was more like contained in a ‘cultic class’, and hardly the bread and butter of commoners (and even then, Athens was outlying without scale and time and the sufficient lack of chaos and war). As the establishment of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘(re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation–(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation)) originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination psyche rule of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidententing-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation’ is what allows for human individual and collective orienteering–focussing–persisting of construal/conceptualisation by that transcendental-enabling/sublimating (re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-
ontology-origination psyche rule of our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension mental-disposition should inherently be obvious. But that doesn’t factor in the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism. This highlights that our own location at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process doesn’t dispense us from our own ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics for prospective transcendental possibilities. Basically, the entropy behind such a philosophical-driven conceptualisation of human meaning and corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling, percolation-channelling into an overall relaying defining the human anthropological-
continuity or anthropopsychology or institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure could be summed up this way:

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of mythologies (of superstitious causations with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘inducing a human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ which has the merit of introducing comprehensive social institutionalisation/intemporalisation suprastructurally based around such mythologies (underlying suprastructurally the creation of superstitious practices, religions and belief systems, and practically ‘institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans);

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of mystical-principles (a system of the appropriate relations humans need to have with such superstitious causations with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘renewing the human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ which has the merit of redefining comprehensive social institutionalisation/intemporalisation as rules/principles-driven though still based on mythological systems (underlying the suprastructural introduction of rules/principles in superstitious practices, religions and belief systems, and practically ‘universal rules of institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans);

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of principles-rationalism (of principles/rules of causation-in-reflecting-ontology as not superstitious with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘redefining the human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ and has as merit the superseding of superstitions based on rationalising systems of universalisation, positivism and science (underlying the suprastructural introduction of intemporal principles in the operation of social endeavours including social rules and science, and practically ‘the categorical-positivising/rational-
empiricism of institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans); and prospectively


The reason for a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence from the superstitious/religion, universal-notions/essences, principles-rationalism/positivist-idealism and then rational-realism as of deprocrypticism is that psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully the human psyche is inclined/shaped/desires to find an all-in-all-encompassing-response (magic wand) to explain its world, but then realises across institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure that
successive introduction of more and more ‘realistic’ conceptualisations enable a grander ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and grasp of its world.

dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation. Deprocrypticism is particular, as imbued/recomposuring with the other
institutionalisations and across all the institutional-cumulation (institutional-recomposures),
in that it addresses the fundamental issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought=<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> defect by recognising the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal in
principle and preempting this in principle in its operant conceptualisation i.e. in principle the
deprocryptic reflex is not to simply operate/process logic, it anticipates the verification of
soundness of apriorising–registry to establish that this isn’t subknowledging-
impulse/compulsive-dementing/slanted/psychopathy as well as the
conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism perversion-of-reference-of-thought=<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> by the
temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-
or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation.

Such ‘deprocryptism institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence’ (as with
any other institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence) involves the development of
preemptive and prospective categorical-imperatives/axiomatic-construct/registry-teleology-
for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or-ontological-preservation over the prior
now dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) perversion-of-
reference-of-thought=<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation stranded-rightfully-as-decandored/oblongated, and so with the ‘aetiologisation/ontological-escalation’ highlighting temporal-dispositions stranding-dialectics. It should be noted that while the prior/superseded transcendences to positivistic institutionalisations have been rather incremental-to-abject, it is likely that procryptic to deprocryptic transcendence is most probably an outrightly blunt/incisive abject construct, and why, because higher institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure imply higher perversion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are ‘not readily perceived as undermining intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and are often wrongly analysed as being intemporally preservational’ but for a very insightful ontological reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting exercise of organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) ontological-escalation/aetiologising over threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation— preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism; requiring a corresponding intellectually decisive and abject articulation for procryptic-to-deprocryptic cross-generational deprocryptic transcendence supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation— postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism, as the procryptic perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> is weakly graspable in the cross-section of the social-construct for the transcendence to work effectively by incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as to notional–disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought even though such incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-
incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought might later arise in social integration from institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling following an intellectually abject and decisive articulation, or possibly with successive other such intellectual articulations, of the perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence. Methodologically, it should draw on phenomenological-and-hermeneutic-insights, as with this research paper, and extending into a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ as the ‘ontologically effective, applicative and operant articulation insight’ to this background phenomenological-and-hermeneutic-insights. Its highlighting of such a transcendence should be similar to say a literary work like Things Fall Apart by Chinua Achebe even though the latter is rather more about cultural-diffusion-from-Western-philosophical-transcendence which positivistic transcendence integration into the society’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling undermines-psychoanalytically/psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure the society’s existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation allowing for positivistic transcendence. But then unlike Things Fall Apart, such a perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence being not a cultural-diffusion-from-another-society’s-philosophical-transcendence but rather a universal-human-intradimensional-philosophical-transcendence can be creatively devised as being in substitution to an ‘abstract cultural-diffusion-from-another-society’s-philosophical-transcendence transcendence’, for an in-depth insight. However, the latter storying will have to be more deterministic, operant and of aesthetic applicability, unlike just a simple literary work, with strong existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications insights with respect to percolation-channelling effects as predication/deferred-predication and application/deferred-application to human and social issues based on temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions conceptual articulation as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework about the ‘abstract
nature of man’. This will involve ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications)
storying construal’ in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing articulated in a dynamic relationship along the three
pedestals of: psychopathic characters slantedness as insane/slantedness-fitment in absolving-
or-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-
backtracking-<iterative-looping-’set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>-to-last-
narrative-wronglyly-allowing-interlocutors-prelogic-or-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation-alignment; temporal-dispositions (of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation)
insane/slantedness integration/conjugation in threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-
drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi
conventioning-rationalising/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation of the organic-
comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–
as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology) intemporal point-of-referencing veridicality; and the intemporal-disposition
organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/’Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology) on the basis of a higher teleology complex of being more
profound with respect to threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ with respect
to intrinsic-meaning/veridicality, in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of its implied—logical-
positivism-or-medievalism, positivism/procrypticism, and prospectively perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism. This serves to provide the perspective/reflection to the present positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought explaining while the ‘seemingly unlikely preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation of its mind’ at its uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought so reflected/perspectivated from deprocrypticism is more veridical than its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousnessas \(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ representation. In the bigger scheme of things, such a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ on perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism re-elaborated to a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ of all the transcendences provides an even more profound and emanant-insight understanding of the anthropological continuity/anthropopsychology and the proper place of the present positivistic mind in the bigger scheme, and what is prospectively implied, as a perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence).

Another ontological element of the perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence is that it is ‘weakly positive opportunistic’ to the cross-section of the social construct. Prior/superseded transcendences are relatively ‘strongly positive opportunistic’ with base-institutionalisation transcendence from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation being the strongest in its positive-opportunism as the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of: ‘organising rules/principles’/base-institutionalisation are opportunistically critical for
temporal direct/immediate survival itself, i.e. such an uninstitutionalised state with uncertainty, lack-of-knowledge about the environment and relative lawlessness ‘focuses the individual’s mind’ to adhere to any dependable organised rules/principles/laws, even where such organising rules/principles/laws are bad so long as they are predictable, be it circumstantially (and effectively, base-institutionalisation is a state where such organising/rules/principles/laws are constantly being remade competitively with respect to survival-possibilities and power-relations, but on the other hand base-institutionalisation tends to have weak institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling for intemporal transcendence in the long run due to ‘holding-on-to-the-initial-proven-survival-and-flourishing-assets/tradition’ and ‘a question of power relations’, and more likely than not, in such human society in ‘clanic turbulence’ base-institutional-recomposition is a highly-diffusionary-juggling-and-reconstituting-transcending-across-clans rather than oriented towards just a singular intra-social intemporal-philosophical transcending, but also involving on the rare occasion a lopsided diffusion from an altogether different and dominant cultural grouping); those of ‘projecting rules/principles’ or universalisation are less opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate survival but are relatively vital and extend the ambits of the former; while those of ‘empirical rules/principles’/positivism are even less positively opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate for immediate/direct survival but relatively critical for flourishing (science, human rights, democracy, etc.). So these institutionalisations transcendences can elicit, in effect, a grander sense of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm rather than a temporal extricatory paradigm in their cross-section of the social-construct. However, it will probably be more facile for such a cross-section of the social-construct to be strongly disposed to adopt an extricatory/temporality paradigm rather than
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm regarding the reference-of-thought-categories-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accountability as intemporality-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) rules/principles’ or deprocrypticism with regards to their temporal direct/immediate survival opportunism statistically to individuals on the cross-section of the social-construct. An intemporal disposition as ontological projecting that may elicit a sense of positive-opportunism for survival itself with base-institutionalisation will not necessarily have the same adherence effect on the cross-section of the social-construct when it comes to a transcendence which temporal directness/immediacy for ‘individuals sense of survival-and-flourishing’ is not so obvious but for its abstract ontological veridicality and abstract intemporal transformation implications as is the case with deprocrypticism; but is rendered possible because of the relatively ‘strong preset institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling for transcendence’ (on the basis of its untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining generation capacity); more like it would be fair to say that many an abstract and boring scientific efforts do not necessarily appeal temporarily but for the strongly preset institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation-channelling for their social integration. Basically, with transcendence as temporal directness/immediacy weaken on the one hand, the element of untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining (with institutional percolation-channelling for transcendence) in assuring prospective transcendence strengthens.

To sum up, this highlights the ‘temporal existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications practicality aspect’ involved in all human transcendences. That is, transcendence
is more of a human-mentation-capacity driven construct and its mundane recognition is not inherently by its supposed virtue (given that survival-and-flourishing, and not veracity/ontological-pertinence, are the more immediate/direct basis for the human temporal drive). To the extent that transcendence highlights critically that it is what is the best enabler for survival-and-flourishing then it is a force of social transformation. Equally, an ontologically-veridical but not immediately/directly survival-and-flourishing will not, with regards to human temporal practicality, by mere ontological-veridicality be a basis for its social integration, if the insight that it provides a grander survival-and-flourishing scheme isn’t immediately palpable. As in this case human temporal practicality disposition is perfectly inclined to threshold at its registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold. But then with an increasing cerebral grasp of our nature and our surrounding world rather than just passive endurers of nature-in-action, we can fairly anticipate and supersede intellectually our human temporal practicality dispositions, in this case with regards to deprocrypticism, and attain prospective knowledge-and-virtue generally. Meaning (defined previously as what defines/predicates value, thought and action) is actually a referential memetic construct in the referential exercise of the entropic preservation of preceding-intemporality/intrinsic-reality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This leads in the instance of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> to the notion of ‘memetic-corruption or psychoanalytic-misrepresentation of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; requiring a referential ‘memetic reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the entropic preservation of intemporality/intrinsic-reality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework.
The referential memetism as suprastructural-meaningfulness implying that meaning is in fact a 'human mental devising construct' (not inherently ontological or intrinsic-reality) and it is grounded on its validation/veridicality by its ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework in showing it is proxying to 'abstract and inherent ontology/intrinsic-reality/veridicality' which is a preceding/superseding notion (postconvergence) to our mental devising of meaning; explaining why we adjust our meaning model/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored, and then mentally-oblongated/decandored with respect to new/superseding soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored) when the proxying-registry-construct is internally-contradictory and demonstrated to be flawed at successive uninstitutionalised-threshold whether from recurrent-utter-institutionalised to base-institutionalised, ununiversalised to universalised, non-positivism/medievalism to positivistic, and prospectively procrypticism to deprocrypticism.

More than just an exercise of grasping the possibilities of human transcendence, it is critical that for future transcendence we don’t confuse the development of a ‘banal/temporal/averaging-of-temporal-thoughts’ notion in ‘our shortness of the lives of mortals’ (80 or 100 years or so) as defining what is ‘existential idealism/success’ on the basis of such ‘mental shortness’ (which isn’t even solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly the intemperal responsibility for the transcendence that enabled its world, the positive worldview from non-positivism/medievalism, but has been rather ‘institutionalised and secondnatured there’, and so is ‘philosophically irresponsible’ prospectively with respect to the bigger scheme of things regarding transcendence/prospective-institutionalisation, necessarily so when inclined to an extricatory temporal-disposition that is not solipsistically intemporally responsible). Intellectually and knowledge-wise, the articulation of ‘existential
idealism/success’ must be the exclusive purview of the aetiological individuation of the intemporal-disposition whose organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflicatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’s universal projection/intemporality keeps alive the notion of existential idealism/success as long as from its intemporal-disposition that started base-institutionalisation (to thwart recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) through universalisation (to thwart ununiversalisation), positivism (to thwart non-positivism/medievalism), and prospectively its intemporal-disposition that will enable deprocrypticism (to thwart procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and thereafter; the intemporal mind as such projects in an ‘abstract eternality’ that is what allows for the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

In the bigger scheme of things, all the vices-and-impediments of successive registry-worldviews can be directly ascribed as corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of temporal-dispositions at the registry-worldviews uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively procrypticism (pointing to the fact that virtue is about ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework constructs’ of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, and not ‘good-natured/impression constructs’ which are vague, as it is inevitable that there is no good-naturedness/impression-drive that exist to prevent an recurrent-utter-institutionalised mind from deterministically committing the vices-and-impediments of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, of an ununiversalised mind those of ununiversalisation, of a non-positivism/medievalism mind those of non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively of a procryptic mind (as
subknowledging/mimicking/perverting positivistic meaningfulness) those of procrypticism. Virtue is plainly and simply about the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct with corresponding virtuous consequences of knowledge or lack-of-knowledge thereof). It is critical for the sake of the temporal mortal that we are, not to be allowed to be our own God; that is exactly what creates transcendental possibilities, otherwise we syncretise and preserve and articulate our temporality/shortness as being intemporal!

(ii) ‘Intellectual solipsistic/emanant irresponsibility’ referring to ‘intellectual idealism’ success in conceiving intemporal meaning but failure in preserving intemporal meaning from ‘temporal mimicking, denaturing and subknowledging’ with corresponding poor temporal-dispositions orientations.registry-worldview over that intemporal meaningfulness in relation to the bigger picture of human/social progress paradigm. While intellectual ontological/intemporal meaningfulness may strive to articulate a universal idealism/intemporal projection, it is rather naïve to operate on the ‘romantic’ basis that universal idealism/intemporal projection is the sole disposition of humans as temporal dispositions like postlogism-slantedness (the psychopath), ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation are endemically part and parcel of the reality of human dispositions; and so, as a matter of fact on a simple ‘scientific basis of determining first principles’ and not necessarily to stigmatise, as reality works on the basis that ‘what is, is what is!’ That then being the case, what then is the relevant question is how do we ensure by institutionalisation/intemporalisation (based on the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not impression/good-naturedness/wishfulness vagueness) the supersedingness of the
intemporal-disposition-worldview (as ontological and upholding virtue in the medium to long perspective) over the cross-section of human mental temporal-to-intemporal dispositions, i.e. secondnaturening as formalisation and internalisation. For instance, if men were of an intemporal-disposition we will only need ‘moral philosophy’ and ‘no law’ as the institutionalising principle of the law is a tacit recognition that realistically we need ‘dominating/superseding artifices’ or ‘institutions and their rules and narratives’ whether the human subjects have a grasp of the ‘philosophical’ universal end purpose or not). This is the attitude that preserves the virtue inherent in the intemporal conceptualisation of meaning and ‘not any temporal romantic idealism’ which only leads to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> that goes on to undermine directly or by sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising conjugations the virtue in knowledge, and so in particular in the ‘extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ (informal settings) where the constraining social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) (usually introduced in formal settings) is not available. Hence intellectual responsibility warrants that the intellectual exercise (as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) involves both a construction of the intemporal ideal and equally a stifling of the possibilities of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. This involves avoiding the naivety of articulating meaning only in the sense of the intemporal ideal but including a constraining and temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-disambiguating realism that upholds/preserves intemporality/longness and stifles temporal-dispositions perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation inclinations. Such an approach is known as the ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ or knowledge as a continuum from ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions to knowledge/intemporality which then allows for scrutinising and preempting ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions, i.e. apprehending not only intemporal implications of any knowledge construct, but being transversally/logically-incongruent preemptive to potential temporal undermining of that intemporal idealism construct).

‘Intemporal and temporal disjuncture’ basically refers to the fact that in the elaboration of conventioning with respect to ontological-veridicality with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction both the intemporal and temporal-dispositions are preservational in their finalities, i.e. temporal-dispositions do not transcend philosophically but by untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, and it is vague and naïve to intemporally/ontologically engage at the philosophical level to wrongly imply such a solipsist transcendental process as this should not be confused with the formalisation effect of secondnaturing and internalisation. ‘Intemporal and temporal disjuncture’ can equally be analysed as ‘transcendental-or-transdimensional prospective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and intradimensional-meaningfulness disjuncture’ given there is mutual unintelligibility between prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and intradimensional meaningfulness for instance respectively as deprocripticism and as procripticism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivistic meaningfulness), just as there is mutual unintelligibility between positivism and non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness. This mutual unintelligibility should not be ‘addressed logically’ actually by the intemporal-disposition or prospective-memetism or
prospective/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as this naively implies both registry-worldviews share the same reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (going from the insight of a common vantage perspective of mutually unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural positivism and non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation); wherein it is transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that plays out to enable the abject superseding/transcendence of the intemporal-disposition or prospective memetism or prospective/transcendental/superseding registry-worldview/dimension over the prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness. For the simple reason that intrinsic-reality being preceding as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence it won’t let the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought (as intrinsic-reality/ontology is inherently suprastructural or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology--<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of the mortals that we are, in the sense that a cholera epidemic that was to occur say in 100 b.c. Will not stop from occurring because human beings did not know of notions-of-bacteria-as-causing-diseases-and-instead-believed-in-bad-omen-for-not-making-the-right-sacrifices-or-so-so-and-so; thus naivety will be to strive to syncretise in temporal-and-social-trading our discomfort/unpalatability in construing intrinsic-reality/ontology) to be involved in social-and-temporal-trading with the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought as inherently all the greater possibilities of grasping a more profound intrinsic-reality/ontology lies with ‘reasoning-through/abjection’ with the prospective memetism of positivism which actual mental-devising-representation of non-positivism/medievalism is as preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism (where the non-positivism/medievalism registry-
worldview/dimension is the prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness perspective). The validation arises from the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the long-run of non-positivism/medievalism, as the more profound positivistic meaningfulness takes hold in the Good/understanding/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework institutionalisation percolation-channelling mechanism. This ontological insight (transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that plays out to enable the abject prospective/superseding/transcending of the intemporal-disposition or prospective memetism or prospective/transcendental/superseding registry-worldview/dimension) also informs, as with all transcendences, the relation between the prospective meaningfulness/memetism or transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension as deprocripticism and prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness/memetism as our procrypticism, with the latter superseded/transcended as of ‘reasoning-through/abjection’ and represented as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism in line with the preceding ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology, likewise with the idea that deprocripticism validation will arise from the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of procrypticism as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism takes hold in the the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework institutionalisation percolation-channelling mechanism. So deterministically and operantly, without any discretion allowed, from the intemporal/ontological perspective, it is a cross-generational collapsing/overriding-and-superseding of temporal-dispositions and a registry-worldview/dimension-intradimensional-meaningfulness that is perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>

construed in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing involving reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting
(reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the stranding-dialectics as the backdrop of new
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for prospective institutional-
recomposure/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation that enables prospective
transcendence. Thus technically, preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism
arises simply by a shift of reference-of-thought (in the strive for intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation wherein the latter reference-of-thought as
a registry-worldview/dimension is shown to be more intemporally-preservational); with the
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reflected/perspectivated in the
mental-devising-representation fully implied by the new transcending/superseding reference-
of-thought (of postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) about the prior
transcended/superseded reference-of-thought (and so, beyond the latter’s registry-
worldview/dimension wrongful reflex to set-aside/ignore the implications of its demonstrated
ontological-impertinence as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity–<mentally-
aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> and go on to be of
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag this now shown-to-be-wrong reference-
of-thought). Preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as such is easily and
spontaneously reflected of a prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension like
for instance a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation
reflecting the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of a medieval registry-
worldview/dimension. But then this is because the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension
doesn’t have to deal with any existential illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage that the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension personhoods-and-socialhood-formation has to deal with. However, implying similarly the preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism of the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension from its intradimensional perspective where its own reference-of-thought is superseded/transcended by a prospective reference-of-thought as deprocrypticism will, this time around by the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension existential illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage that its personhoods-and-socialhood-formation has to deal with, lead to the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension by reflex setting-aside/ignoring the prospective and veridical reference-of-thought and corresponding (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, and go on to self-reference-syncretise its transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. In concrete terms for instance, whereas a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will likely shift the reference-of-thought with regards to say a non-positivism/medievalism context of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery where A were to accuse B for being a sorcerer who caused A’s illness, the mental-devising-representation of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will be that A is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and that a germ and biological functioning theory of the human body is the reference-of-thought for A’s disease. But then intradimensionally, A and B and their society of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation and existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications that are non-positivism/medievalism will tend to harken back to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,.for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that uphold the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought that admits to notions-and-accusations-of-
sorcery. The effective anthropological and dialectical evidence (mostly from diffusional
transcendence given the relative abruptness of cultural diffusions compared to an intra-
society philosophical transcendence which is rather slow in the making) shows that it is the
cross-generational habituation by \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalising-self-
referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag into reference-of-thought of
the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension (in this instance the
positivistic registry-worldview/dimension) that will ultimately ‘wean’ the
prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension (in this instance non-
positivism/medievalism) from its defective non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought
and its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology towards a positivistic reference-of-thought and its
prospective/transcending/superseding relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, where contention can then take place to establish
(postconvergence) relative ontological-veridicality. Likewise, the concrete analysis from a
deprocrypticism insight shows that our procrypticism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> of positivistic meaningfulness) mindset/reference-of-thought will by reflex
emanantly act the same at its own uninstitutionalised-threshold; wherein the idea that
positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought as of its characteristic postlogism associated
with psychopathy and social psychopathy with its overall beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> defect of

nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality. A prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework mental-devising-representation of a retrospective/transcended/superseded impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construct is always a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism construct, and so across all institutionalisations indicating that the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality as ontological-normalcy or prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation effectively construes impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness constructs as rather of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> and hence its preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. This equally implies that our very own ‘good-naturedness constructs’ in the positivism/procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension are of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. The reason why ontological-normalcy/postconvergence indicates that ‘good-naturedness constructs’ are defective is quite simple as it is based on adhering to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, which along the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are successively shown to
be defective-as-always-being-sub-par-to-intrinsic-reality and defining the uninstitutionalised-threshold. Virtue and ontology/intrinsic-reality rather lies in the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation, and not its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, with the latter only being pertinent in the sense where it relays intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. Such a relaying is not within the ambiets of good-naturedness constructs but rather the-Good as a continuous refinement of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that ensures re-institutionalisation/re-intemporalisation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation when ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework so reveals it. Thus supposed an individual shows good-naturedness following the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension that warrants that one simply gets one’s way no matter the situation even if it means committing murder to have some food for oneself and close ones; a good-natured quality that is highly rated for survival in an recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised setup. That is perfectly within the good-naturedness ambiets of a survival-driven registry-worldview/dimension but prospectively it is the creativeness of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that carries the virtuous and ontological insight to grasp that a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation as base-institutionalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism will provide a grander virtuous and ontological outcome for humans, and not a good-naturedness inclination which is stuck at the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation. This same fundamental dilemma arises with all other institutionalisations. For instance, the procrypticism inclination to stick to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension viewed as deterministic by projected

about the veridical nature of good-naturedness construct is that it is intradimensionally
\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative} \cap \text{epistemic}\)\text{-totalising} \cap \text{self-referring}-
syncretising\text{/circularity}\text{/interiorising}\text{/akrasiatic}\text{-drag} with the wrong implications of inherently
representing the reference-of-thought\text{–}\text{categorical}\text{-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,} \text{for}-
intemporal\text{-preservation}\text{-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological}\text{-preservation} of the registry-
worldview/dimension as absolute intrinsic-reality/ontology without any factoring of intrinsic-
reality/ontology ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature as the-
Good/understanding/knowledge\text{-reification/ontological}\text{-primemovers-totalitative}\text{-framework}
does. This fundamentally explains why all prior/transcended/superseded registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s present-consciousness/illusion\text{-of-the-present/epistemic}-
totalising\text{-self-referring-syncretising/mirage} are necessarily preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising\text{-psychologism} from the mental\text{-devising}\text{-representation} of the
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension in the requisite
‘postconverging-or-dialectical\text{-thinking–psychology} or psychology\text{-of-mentation-dynamics} or
natural psychology\text{-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recompose\text{exercise} that enables the existentialism (full-depth-of-
existential-imlications) deconstructed\text{/ontologically-reconstituted’ becoming of the
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension. The bigger insight here
has to do with the ontological\text{-normalcy/postconvergence} nature of intrinsic-reality. Intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality is already given and what is required to access it absolutely is
not the notion of ‘any hollow\text{-constituting--<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> initiative/effort’ from the reference-of-
thought\text{–}\text{categorical}\text{-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,} \text{for-intemporal}\text{-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological}\text{-preservation} of a reference/registriring/registry-
worldview/dimension that is necessarily sub-par to intrinsic-reality/ontology (this is the
of the notion of what is meant by intrinsic-reality; more precisely and effectively, as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as (prospective) transdimensional-meaningfulness–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument or (prospective) existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications, i.e. the overall enterprise is about deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness-towards-intrinsic-reality wherein existence-defines-essence (along Sartrean existence-precedes-essence or existence-meeting-essence), as it is existentialism which is the ‘becoming that defines essence’ with ‘essence-of-meaningfulness being-veridically-in-ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’ and not a traditionally naïve ‘wrong hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> perception or construct-of-essence-of-meaningfulness-in-an-abstract-classification-scheme-which-is-out-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ that is usurpable/impostored by mere form. This is the veridical ontological depth of mental-devising-representation/psychological-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology informed by the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. The institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose as specific successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications imply their mental-devising-representation in a reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting transdimensional/transcendental dialectics enabled by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics wherein the stranding-dialectics sets prior/transcended/superseded institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose as ‘dialectically-preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) and the prospective/transcending/superseding institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose as
entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation of a reference/registrying/registry-worldview/dimension is necessarily sub-par to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intrinsic-reality/ontology, and thus ‘dialectically-preconverging-or-dementoing—apriorising-psychologism’ to enable its prospective superseding/transcending, and this is rightfully transcended/superseded by the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting their rightful/veridical ‘preconverging-or-dementoing—apriorising-psychologism registry-teleology-mentation that articulates transdimensionally successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existental-implications disposition’ with the rightful implication of the transcendability of these respective institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (given the rightful prospective superseding/transcending of their ‘failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> and ontologically-wrong’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation; as going by the bigger scheme for absolute grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontology in cumulation/recomposuring from-utter-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism, reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation of successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are, strictly speaking, rather of a more-and-more-precise-heuristic-nature in their strive to grasp intrinsic-reality/ontology as—we-predicate-better-and-more-about-the-world). This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications paradox’ involving wrongfully intradimensional <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatc-drag registry-teleology-mentation and rightfully transdimensional ontological-veridicality rather in an ontological-preconverging-
or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism registry-teleology-mentation is critical in understanding how to circumvent
temporal-dispositions circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought/temporal-preservation inclination associated with postlogism in hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>
(psychopathy and social psychopathy), in lieu of 'Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting/intemporal-preservation inclination
associated with prelogism. Fundamentally, conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-
dementing-integration hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> is always based on a wrong
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-teleology-mentation in recurrent
in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation> in postlogic-backtracking<iterative-looping~'set-of-dereifying-
hollow-narratives-and-acts' as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic (psychopath) or
hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation> or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex
(derived social psychopathy) of hollow narratives, and wrongfully that this is reference-of-
thought; and correspondingly, a rightful transdimensional ontological-representation should
imply it is a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism registry-teleology
placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
teleology ‘stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/deandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-
out-of-phase’ and by so doing, to start with, rightfully denying it reference-of-thought which
then fundamentally collapses its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, as the
hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation> postlogism-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism counts on the natural inclination (as ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation re-engaging reflex’) of the ‘ontologically-reconstituting-or-prelogic-or-logical-process-precedes-outcome-or-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mindset/reference-of-thought to reflexively engage contendingly/logically with its hollow narratives, with the grander faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the hollow narratives per se but in wrongfully implying its veracity/ontological-pertinence as reference-of-thought and implying the falsely apriorising-registry-elements of its implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology; as being an even grander faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect--as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect’ nature of registry-teleology mental-devising-representation/mentation, that speaks not only to an act defect but a registry-worldview/dimension defect. Thus this insight in transcendental analysis is that by its very nature in that it puts into question ways, assumptions and traditions of thought and practices, the possibility of truly profound insights that go well beyond more or less platitudes and inevitably requires taking stock of the full-depth-of-existential-implications/existentialism of transcendental-meaningfulness–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, given the need to boldly overcome intellectual dead-ends and introduce paradigm shifts often with unconvenient and unpalatable implications to the given registry-worldview/dimension personhoods-and-socialhood-formation. It requires more than just a sense of professional and technical craft but often more critically a profound sense of intemporal/firstnature emanant commitment, an attribute that is by definition of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation
projection nature and hardly just secondnatured, in thriving for an abstract sense of the
intemporal beyond just functioning within the ambits of given reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with their intemporal preservation
limitations as well as their corrupting nature as distractive/circumventive
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Within all registry-worldviews as
institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations, there is a convergence that ensures
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by selecting as
appropriate the ‘relatively ontologically/intemporally veridical’ among myriad possibilities
and contradictions of human reference-of-thought and meaningfulness, turning away from
human shallow-limited-mentation-capacity/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology/temporality-potency/perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>
(wherein ‘ontological/intemporal reference-of-thought and meaningfulness’ is wrongly re-
conjugged with the temporal-dispositions teleologies/dispositions of
ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, inducing
corresponding denaturing of the ‘ontological/intemporal reference-of-thought and
meaningfulness’ by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag,
unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-
rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-
enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect) towards profound-limited-mentation-
capacity/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/intemporality-potency/registry-soundness
which is behind the generation of ‘ontological/intemporal reference-of-thought and
meaningfulness’ and the institutionalisation/intemoralisation process. This convergent selectivity is perpetually directed by ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primesters-totalitative-framework’ (not to be confused with good-naturedness/impression-drive) towards the validation of intemporality-potency and the dismissal of temporality-potency, and so in dialectical succession of registry-worldviews as the successive/snowballing institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations. Thus establishing a human approximating/proxying/aligning relationship with the ‘potency of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality (ontological-normalcy) which is a coherent oneness’ that can very much be anticipated as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. In this regard, it should be reiterated that ‘registry (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) establishes reference-of-thought, and acts as the basis for and defines the operation of logic or logical processing’, and it is notionally all about registry-soundness (reflected as soundness of thought) when we are of supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation— postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism or perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> when we are of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation— preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as with the hollow and formulaic narratives slanted by psychopath and mimicked by temporal-dispositions (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness). Unlike the ‘notion of agreement-disagreement’ dealing with soundness/unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation wherein a common apriorising-registry of interlocution is already established, there is no logical-basis for one apriorising-registry disposition as a prospective/superseding/transcending reference-of-thought like a positivistic registry-worldview to convince another apriorising-registry disposition as a prior/superseded/transcended reference-of-thought like a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview that it is the former’s reference-of-thought that is sound, other than for the fact that its better ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will in the middle to long-run be untenable with respect to the latter thus ‘collapsing’ it; and so reflecting ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ as to mere ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>’ so-underlining existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Intradimensionally within a registry-worldview like positivism, this could be construed as there is no basis for a mindset/reference-of-thought advocating for scientific medicine as practised in hospitals to ‘logically convince’ another mindset/reference-of-thought advocating rather for traditional medicine (involving a mix of herbalism, incantations, spirits, etc.) that the former is more ontologically-veridical on purely logical terms (as the traditional medicine interlocutor operates logic according to the apriorising-registry or reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology behind its traditional medicine meaningful-frame while the scientific medicine
interlocutor operates logic according to the apriorising–registry or reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of a positivistic meaningful-frame), and it is purely the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework fact in that by and large more patients survive/get-cured by going to hospitals which then collapses the traditional medicine interlocutor’s reference-of-thought in the middle to long-run to impose the scientific medicine interlocutor’s reference-of-thought as a common one, and it is only when this common reference arises that the ‘notion of agreement-disagreement’ with regards to logical processing is now relevant, and it is irrelevant and non-applicable before that. The implication is that a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as meaning produced apparently with the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the various instances) but actually implying ‘different relations to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought’, underlined by the disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (aetiological ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct), and so whether with regards to the epiphenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy (or with respect to ontological-veridicality or issues of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness generally):

- As the ‘intemporal-disposition’ disposition which is prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-existential-contextualising-contiguity with respect to the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (based on ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation since its apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are
ontologically-veridical), which are ‘ontologically-reconstituted/deconstructed’ and hence of sound/veridical reference-of-thought (registry-soundness reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought), and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-in-phase as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’.

- As the ‘consciously-slanting-(whether-psychopathic-or-other-postlogic)-temporal-disposition’ disposition which as of the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism or formulaic-projection/postlogism with respect to the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (based on ontologically non-veridical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation since the implied slanting apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are not ontologically-veridical but rather usurping/impostoring), which are ‘hollow-constituted’ and hence of unsound/non-veridical reference-of-thought (perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-


- As conjugating by interlocutors deriving directly-or-indirectly/unconsciously-or-consciously from the consciously-slanting-as-psychopathic/postlogic-temporal-disposition as ‘derived-slanted-ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-

discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-

demisation’ dispositions thus which are parenthetically/incidentally-(by-their-specific-

conjugations-to-the-slanting/postlogism) as of threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as formulaic-projection/postlogism with
respect to the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’) (as
ontologically non-veridical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation
since their slanting/postlogism-induced-and-implied-registry-elements of their respective
implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are not ontologically-veridical), which are
‘hollow-constituted’ and hence are of unsound/non-veridical reference-of-thought
(perversion-of-reference-of-thought->as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>), and in registry-
worldview terms dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism.
- As in registry-worldview terms, all the temporal-dispositions in their ‘dynamic-cumulative-
aftereffect of subontologisation’ paradoxically define and establish the said registry-
worldview’s ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (or socially-betraying-
threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation or uninstitutionalised-
threshold) as rather hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-
and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, and requiring the ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-
conflatedness’/deconstruction of new/prospective ‘terms of expressions’ (along
new/prospective veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
or–ontological-preservation) for new/prospective sound/veridical reference-of-thought
(registry-soundness reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought), and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-in-phase as 'postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism'.

- As 'threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism' implies that ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) being prospective given human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), the prospective registry-worldview in achieving the ontological-prospection 'is ontologically-veridical and thus dialectically-in-phase as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ while the prior registry-worldview inherently failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> the ontological-prospection 'becomes non-veridical ontologically and dialectically-out-of-phase as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, and in the broader sense the projective cumulation/recomposuring of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) along such successive dialecticisms of ontological-prospections is what enables the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process by defining human mentation-capacity-limit in a prior reference-of-thought in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (as the new preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism), and the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought that redefines human mentation-capacity-limit by ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction (as the new ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’). By ‘reflecting a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-

- As ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the various instances) highlights broadly the socially shared/common reference-of-thought and meaningfulness primarily based on language in reflection of ontological-

existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, the psychopath/postlogic-character is contextually in vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging as of in–compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogically from social occasions and experiences it witnesses, and wrongly reproduces this from a suprastructuring construal-{as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>–as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’–and–‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’} delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, in postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping–‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> by its slantedness-of-meaningfulness as ‘relevant-occasions-of-opportune’ (of social-stake-contention-or-confliction) arise on the basis that the ‘copied-hollow-form-of-meaningfulness’ is mechanically deterministic of others behaviours such that they can so be swayed, and by following a teleological disposition of ‘inductive limitation’ or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be of entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness as they require that others do not act likewise as the psychopath/postlogic-character or their implications should be limited to a given target or targets and not be implied as totalisingly-entailing, as the fundamental teleology/purpose for articulating them is
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivistic-meaningfulness or procrypticism, and beyond just procrypticism, with regards to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in all registry-worldviews (given that postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness is behind all registry-worldviews/dimensions perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in all registry-worldviews/dimensions perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>s whether instigated from a physiological condition or not). This ‘postlogic denaturing of temporal-dispositions individuations ontological-performance as conjugated-postlogism’ is so-inherently linked with the registry-worldview uninstitutionalised-thresholds associated with perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–in-recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation, perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–of-base-
institutionalisation or ununiversalisation, perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–of-
universalisation or non-positivism/medievalism, and perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>,–of-positivism or procrypticism, and so going by the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> of their respective meaningfulness and corresponding reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in accordance with human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-form-relative-ontological-completeness insight of meaningfulness) and so establishing their notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised–preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> or ontological-non-veridicality. This technique is a proof of the Sartrean notion of ‘existence-preceding-essence’ or the Derridean notion of ‘there is nothing outside the text’ (with the text, from an overall insight of presence and absence metaphysics, rather construable as ontological meaningfulness, with the implication that there is no meaningfulness that is not in ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, or by the Sartrean argument, there is no essence-of-meaningfulness outside existential contextualisation of meaningfulness); as the wrong notion of ‘non-existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ or mere form state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ (in the case where essence-of-meaningfulness is considered as definitely/absolutely given by the mere form of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology without considering whether these are in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the very first place) is the basis of psychopathic/postlogic-character and their interlocutors (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology but failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to uphold intemporal-preservation/entropy/contiguity) by vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging and implying wrongly they are in a state of supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-

For instance, if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children, etc. The logical operation is entirely right in abstract terms but does the apriorising–registry apply? I.e. The faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is not with
regards to the logic (which is technically true) but with the ‘implied’ denaturing of the elements of the apriorising–registry as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (by simply implying their ‘static or abstract non-veridical/vacuous state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ over suprastructuring construal–(as-of–‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>–as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’–and–‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflicatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight of essence-of-meaningfulness) which are: implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape (the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape doesn’t exist since the psychopath doesn’t know the guy), implied-profile (the psychopath is projecting a false representation of itself and the situation), implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation (the psychopath has no stature to talk about the guy he doesn’t know), implied-assumptions (the assumptions implying the psychopath’s relationship with the guy and the guy’s relationship with children doesn’t exist), implied-value-reference (the psychopath’s elicitation of a sense of value reference in the interlocutor is unfounded and ridiculous) and implied-teleology (the psychopath’s articulation of a sense of purpose on its interlocutor about the guy is hollow mimicking). Finally, the psychopath has articulated a lot of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge but none to do with logic, but everything to do with the denaturing of registry/axiom/categorical-imperatives or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! So with the psychopath, you don’t watch the logic,
you watch out for the apriorising-registry for mental-perversion or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! Not only that, it is important to note that this unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought do protract and an ignorant prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mind acting prelogically (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) on such postlogic (outcome precedes logical process) non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives is ‘technically psychopathic as well’ as they are in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to the psychopath’s postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>. This is known as postlogism or preconverging-or-dementing-integration or compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising or conjugated-postlogism (whether conjugated to in ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfitle-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation), which is to be construed by ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and once it is induced by ignorance it leads to an undermining of ‘deductive social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—in-relative-ontological-completeness) which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’ and so by way of the ‘induced-ring-of-\textit{gyges-effect/solipsistic}–point-of-temporal-thresholding/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ of registry-worldviews, with subsequent conjugating ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfitle-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, the conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration is derived from the
psychopath’s initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting-as-disjoined-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and goes on to lead to social psychopathy; more like a dumb-and-dumb/miscuing degeneration effect.

The insight here is that without having at hand a ‘Différence-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-protracion-of-pervasion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation-of-meaningfulness’ technique which is able to disambiguate the underlying existential reality of the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ with regards to the various interlocutors, whether unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism as slanted/psychopathic/postlogic interlocutor as well as the various (conjugated-postlogism) temporal-dispositions as derived-slanted ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation interlocutors or soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism’ intemporal-disposition interlocutor, the natural human reflex when a contestation arises is to be supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-suprerogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism as existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at (without putting into question in the very first place the veridical state of the various interlocutors registry/registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology with respect to contestation, and by foregoing this it wrongly attributes the implied essence-of-meaningfulness without the insight of existential-contextualisation by simply and wrongly implying that everybody must be of intemporal-disposition and voiding the notion of disambiguating-and-establishing the existential-contextualisation of the- various-characters-
states-of-minds/the-various-characters-registries with respect to ontological/intemporal meaningfulness in establishing veridicality in the very first place (whether of temporal-dispositions (conjugated-postlogism), intemporal-dispositions or postlogism compulsive-slanting—preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising), hence wrongly turning the analysis into a logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation issue, rather than an analysis of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> in the very first place, as a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-
veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. So without existential-contextualisation, the hollow forms of the essence-of-meaningfulness are available for arrogation/impostoring by slanted/postlogic as of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and in protraction/conjugation by the temporal-dispositions (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>).

- As previously explained, it is important to grasp that temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions are within the receptacles that are individuals, and hence there is no contradiction in saying that all individuals potentially have both the intemporal-disposition and temporal-dispositions, with the major existential/contextual difference among individuals with regards to the existential/contextual inclination to preserve-intemporality or fail-intemporality/temporality as social-stake-contention-or-confliction arise varying with regards to the implications of graver and graver temporal consequences (wherein as an archetype elucidation for instance, Socrates or Galileo will strive to keep on preserving intemporality/longness even when the conventional social-stake-contention-or-confliction threaten as they view the perpetuation of the ideas and principles they stood for were more critical for human posterity, but again ‘a sense of intemporality’ may vary from an intellectual nature where for instance an ordinary person may spontaneously save from
drowning or defend another or others at risk to themselves, etc., implying that individuals ‘solipsistic or secondnatured philosophies’ with respect to the acuteness of social-stake-contention-or-confliction is more critical in determining their dispositions to preserve-intemporality or fail-intemporality/temporality); thus explaining a same notional and contiguous conceptualisation (rather as a variation of degree and not different notions) construed as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and equally explaining why institutionalisation/intemporalisation is possible, as the framework/social-construct wherein social-stake-contention-or-confliction arise can be construed/designed to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) towards and encourage the intemporal-disposition to preserve-intemporality over failing-intemporality/temporal-dispositions of postlogism-slantedness (postlogism-as-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,--instigation-at-a-given-registry-worldview/dimension, that is instigative to the turning of the prospective ‘temporal defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance into registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect>), and its subsequent conjugation with ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Critically, this accounts for how individuals arrive at their various teleologies/finalities of the intemporal-disposition as ‘logically sound acts’ or temporal-dispositions as ‘logically unsound acts’ or defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-
as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (in the latter case, which are more or less incidental and salvable as just contingent). Further in a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’ induced when such defect-of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance conjugate to (psychopath or other character) instigated postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness (a mental-disposition that from its instigation ‘gives-up on ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity’ not only in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of failing/not-upholding<-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩ by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication but is not even predisposed/inclined to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought to meaningfulness but rather relating to meaning as a hollow-form which determines how others act, so-long-as/to-the-limit-that the postlogic character can remain as of the socially-functional-and-accordant in so doing) inducing in turn temporal-dispositions conjugated-postlogic mental-dispositions (whether unconsciously or consciously, when aligning in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation to the postlogic compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation) conjugating with ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation and leading to their registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<-as-Being-or-
ontological-or-existential–defect>, because the temporal-dispositions-so-conjugated-to-
postlogism are now ‘acting-recurrently-in-temporal-preservation, no-longer-as-contingent
(defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-
as-to-profound-supererogation), while wrongly implying (beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) they are
ontologically-veridical or in intemporal-preservation’ in their state of conjugated-postlogism.

By ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’ this defines the given registry-
worldview’s ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (uninstitutionalised-
threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-
betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
preservation), and thus it is dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive. It is the exercise
(defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-
as-to-profound-supererogation), while wrongly implying (beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) they are
ontologically-veridical or in intemporal-preservation’ in rather hollow-constituting–<as-
disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>
conjugated-postlogism (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>) that is behind all the
dialectical-out-of-phases/dialectical-primitivities registry-worldviews as recurrency-of-utter-
uninstitutionalisation (perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> in recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation), ununiversalisation (perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of
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nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> are rather with respect to ‘a-country-of-the-blind-scenario’, so to speak; wherein perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> necessarily imply a dialectical situation between two ontological-references with the one being prior/transcended/superseded and the other prospective/transcending/superseding. It is important to grasp that going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process where this is skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabling/sublimating) by deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal (intemporalisation) is actually an artifice (artificial conceptualisation) that is habituated for its relative positive-opportunism with regards to the cross-section of human interest in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. However, no institutionalisation construct, going by its implied transcendence alienating ‘present as prior/transcended/superseded ontological-reference conceptualisation’ for ‘future as prospective/transcending/superseding ontological-reference conceptualisation’, has ever been acquiesced to socially without resistance even in instance induced by diffusion involving the power dominance of one cultural entity over another, with such resistance being at least in the short-term of a covert nature and of a <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag nature as well. Resistance is even stronger where transcendental institutionalisation is implied within a same cultural entity. Thus it might just be the case that the more or less itinerating clanic or tribal groups of early
humans were the perfect model for a sort of complementary diffusion of transcendentalism that quickly enabled a hominid to achieve the core assets for its perpetuation of civilisation as complex meaningfulness enabled by language and culture. Insightfully as well the possibility of positivism/rational-realism arising in Western Europe was greater by this same mechanism of complementary diffusion of transcendentalism given the mutually feeding diffusionary dynamics across the constitutive feudal entities of Medieval Europe sharing a common referent Judaeo-Christian worldview of a ‘relatively weak dogmatism’; and this can be contrasted during or just before the same period with the hegemonic or near-hegemonic governance of China and of the Islamic world ultimately stifling their nascent positivistic inclinations involving the stifling of a potential Chinese age of voyage and trading as it turned inward or the stifling of Islamic learning and science respectively. Equally, anthropological examination of various cultural groups shows that human progress is not a given and that if the appropriate conditions are not satisfied there is nothing that says a given society will fulfil its potential for prospective transcendence, and this author thinks that applies to us as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview as we are not beyond ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality by mere vague egotistic/self-referential complex but rather as of a lucid contemplation and subjection to insight about prospective ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality axiomatic-construal, in much the same way positivism institutionalisation transcendence came about. The bigger point here is that while within ‘institutionalised constructs’, there is more or less summative perception of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction on the basis of common/same/shared registry-worldview reference-of-thought priorly institutionalised by prospective-institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-transcendence, however, at uninstitutionalised-threshold, we should be expecting nothing less than the ‘normal’ human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and so at the threshold between recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation, universalisation and ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively procrypticism and deprocrypticism. The implication is that naturally all prospective institutionalisations by their implied transcendence are ‘antagonistic by inducing contrariety in the temporal sense’ even though we’ll appreciate that their intemporal valor is inestimable (at least when we are looking retrospectively in appreciating that a positivistic outlook should supersede a non-positivism/medievalism outlook, and in the case where we are not uninhibited/decomplexified to equally construe that prospectively as a deprocrypticism outlook should supersede a procrypticism outlook). This insight equally highlights that institutionalisation/intemporalisation is implied with regards to human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and is critical for would-be emancipation-inducing intemporal individuations in grasping the whys and hows of social reaction to transcendental conceptualisation going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, how temporal ‘resistance’ is superseded, the mechanism of percolation-channelling and how transcendental ideas are taken up over time and induce untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism in the short run and secondnaturin in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. The fact is that while the social-construct is by and large a conceptualisation that determines individuals possibilities, the reality is equally that the social-construct does has ‘powerful channels’ that enable individuals to drastically
redefined what is the social. The individual, it is often ignored, is an abstract-atomic-social-construct, as in the individual is priorly implied in the social, beyond just in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of social aggregation in implying a meaningfulness and value-reference construct relationship to the abstract summative social. Such insight on the nature of human transcendence will certainly highlight why the Encyclopédistes coordinated by Diderot played a relevant role in inducing a domino effect contributing in transforming medieval European societies mindsets into a positive worldview by cynically putting together all the positive knowledge they could muster and disseminating it throughout Europe, and so over the forces of obscurity of the days who understood the implications of such a venture. The fact here as well as with all issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (by the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, say of a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to a prospective positivistic mindset, as implied by ontological-normaley), is that there was obviously no mutually common/same reference-of-thought between the Encyclopédistes as positivists and many in the medieval establishment as non-positivists for any mutually intelligible logical exercise. But rather it was a case of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework of positivistic meaningfulness over non-positivism/medievalism ontologically imposed the positivistic reference-of-thought, as the former elicits untenability/internal-
contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the latter as well as its relative positive-opportunism from its relative ontological effectiveeness such that it ends up being secondnatured further by percolation-channelling. Insightfully, in an intellectual conceptualisation exercise which, though conceptually contiguous, and while not necessarily implying similar dramatisation, in addition to its relatively diffuse implications in the sense of the contention being rather about human-mentation-capacity-furtherance and the fact that as a latter institutionalisation it is apparently less dramatic, at least as of its apparent negative social consequence given it is so focussed on human individuations as atomic-level point-of-departure of transformation but rather finding its radicalness more in the boldly implied décomplexing/uninhibitedness (suprastructuring/metaphysics-of-absence) emancipation of the positive/procryptic human, and as with all other institutionalisations, it is thus not an issue that deprocrypticism meets in the short-term and temporary with ‘resistance’ or rather criticism (possibly by and large more in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of intellectual agreement/disagreement, as obviously every notion seriously contemplated about is); such that focus should be relatively more about construing veracity/ontological-pertinence and percolation-channelling thereof, as an objectively engaged intellectual/emancipatory exercise.

cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’ as the subontologisation (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect; superseded/resolved not by logical-processing but as apriorising-registry (reference-of-thought) perversion, by the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the prospective apriorising-registry as it elicits by its positive-opportunism its untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining with respect to the prior one, going by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. This articulation of the ‘given dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive registry-worldview as a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’’ can be construed going by an ontologically-veridical insight from a ‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-protraction-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,−of-meaningfulness’ technique which allows essence-of-meaningfulness to be seen for what it really is as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding−oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-and-contextualisation, as can be understood insightfully by the notion of ‘existence defining/preceding essence’, as existential reality sets up the veridical contextualisation of analysis that is preemptive of a hollow-form/postlogic arrogation/impostoring with respect to the ‘essence-of-meaningfulness as of intemporal-preservation’), and this as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding−oneness-of-ontology wherein temporal-dispositions
normalcy as being about representing successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as of ‘diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence’ so that the perspective is one of ‘abnormalcy’, such that the mindset/reference-of-thought in no institutionalisation including ours/positivistic should be ‘so-complexed’ as to wrongly imply a perspective of ‘its ontological-normalcy’ to be then defining itself as prospectively non-transcendable/unsupersedeable at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, thus being falsely ‘dialectically-undementable/dialectically-unprimitivable and dialectically-un-out-of-phaseable’ while intuitively it appreciates that prior registry-worldviews had been thus-construed in succession to deliver its own; thus speaking of an ‘ontological-bad-faith’ for the prospective possibilities of the future.

- As it is important to grasp that the postlogic/psychopathic characters instigation of conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration in the other temporal-dispositions doesn’t mean postlogism characters are the causation of the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’ that induces the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive registry-worldview as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. Rather, from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight, this points to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩ at that registry-worldview/dimension-level or registry-worldview/dimension as the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation), which is ‘in wait’ to be revealed by the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s corresponding
(that is, the conjugating of the temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation to the postlogism-slantedness associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy) specifically in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of the positivism registry-worldview’s permeating on occasion its formalities, rather than maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness where the veridical ontological-reference is an ‘abstract-sense-of-adherence-to-intrinsic-reality’ as validated by the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/understanding/knowledge-driven, and not impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness meaningfulness associated with the ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness \(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\) wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-\(<\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-}
\text{meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-}'\text{nondescript/ignorable-void}'\text{-with-regards-to-}
\text{prospective-apriorising-implications}>\)' that ‘tends to reference/accommodate/orientate for a disposition to rather seek other humans ‘temporal-validation’ as rather ‘angling for the summative human mental-disposition’ with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction as ‘extrinsic-attribution’ over a ‘validation by inherent-veridicality/intrinsic-reality’ of meaningfulness as ‘intrinsic-attribution’ leading to social-and-temporal-trading, and so whether consciously-or-unconsciously/wittingly-or-unwittingly’, and thus inducing notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-\(<\text{mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing-qualia-schema}>\) speaking of epistemic-decadence (postlogism). Insightfully again, going by the first example, it might (wrongly) be argued, by human ‘temporal extricatory paradigm’, that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-positivism/medievalism setup should imply that any such accused should equally ‘make-up’ accusations in their own defence to
neutralise and possibly defend their own interests. But such a stance is a temporal extricatory paradigm that faces human temporality/shortness with human temporality. Intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm will garner the insight that humanity-at-large at all such non-positivism/medievalism setups is rather in need (as the resolution) of a renewed institutionalisation prospectively as the positivistic registry-worldview based on rational-empiricism as the paradigm for superseding the vices-and-impediments that the enculturation/endemisation of the notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery speak of inherently, together with the social-structural implications and derivations arising, with regards to the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview. The vocation of the intemporal-disposition (intemporality/ontological-construct/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) is not-to-come-to-and-construe meaningfulness-and-teleology at a same pedestal as a temporal-dispositions extricatory paradigms, and this invariably means that its on-occasion/incidental insight about temporal-dispositions defects (temporality) is ‘necessarily escalated ontologically at a humanity-at-large scale of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’.
This construal is what enables ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity on human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor across all the registry-worldviews whether retrospective, present or prospective. In other words, inherent human ontologising-deficiency as implied by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence due to
ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This differs from issues in relation with existentially veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logically-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation that ‘comes only after the notion of a sound reference-of-thought is established in the first place’ and are intradimensional, and doesn’t put-into-question/imply the soundness/unsoundness of registry/axioms/ontological-reference/contending-reference/meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-mean/meaning-orientation-of-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-or-soundness-of-mind/registry-worldview, and furthermore are grounded on a same/common reference-of-thought/implied-registry-worldview. Thus if strictly speaking a postlogism phenomenon (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) like a psychopathic disposition is not the causation of a reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, then what is its relevance and pertinence? The fact is with or without postlogism including psychopathic individuations, human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) warrants that our temporal-dispositions will nonetheless still fail the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation at the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold that correspondingly mark the successive uninstitutionalised-threshold states of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, just by the mere fact of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, –or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, (ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought involving institutionalising, universalising, positivising and deprocrypticising, with deprocrypticism ‘conceptually’ marking ontological-completeness as it subsumes-as-supplant-(as-of-the-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) all the rest). The critical thing however is that at these uninstitutionalised thresholds, without the postlogic effects including psychopathic, the corresponding requisite human transcendences will be more straightforward, direct and definite from the prior preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism to the prospective ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ as temporal-dispositions are less predisposed to temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation once social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or registry-worldview-perversion is established together with the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of that perversion, thus facilitating the referencing/registering/decisioning or stranding of the implied dialecticism in the social-psyche/collective-consciousness of what is effectively ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ and what is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, with the latter being alienated in the operation of meaningfulness as the new institutionalisation is established. This straightforwardness, directness and definitiveness is fundamentally undermined by the iterability/iteration nature (of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-ontological-reference) induced by the postlogic
enough to instigate prospective institutionalisation as transcendence, breaking the temporal-
dispositions acts-execution/logical-processing defects that had become registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-as-Being-or-ontological-or-
existential–defect by temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation as of the
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-
itertools-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology due to relative-ontological-incompleteness-
induced,–threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-
wait’–for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation,. Of course, in registry-worldview terms
it’s more than just the individuations of individuals, but rather a dynamic-cumulative-
aftereffect construed at the comprehensive institutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold
level. Basically, by blurring (by way of hollow-constituting–as-disjointed-misappropriation-
of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> in-iterating alterations or slanting)
the notion that a reference-of-thought is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism given it relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’–for-perversion-
of-reference-of-thought–as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, postlogism induces temporal-preservation
by circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of unprincipled-or-derived-unprincipled
mental-dispositions in temporal-dispositions (which equally assume a purposefulness of their
faulty-mentation-procedure-shortcut to meaningfulness towards its naively sought-outcome/end-purpose as ‘meaning by its mere form as being deterministic of how others will act’, such that this is actually part and parcel of its developmental psychology. While other temporal-dispositions individuations come to pseudointemporality by ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, whether-consciously-expeditently-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-


is associated with intradimensional temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold or relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’-threshold (as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-

such that equally temporal-dispositions are effectively in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-

This can be highlighted by the fact that from a positivistic perspective, a truly medieval mindset/reference-of-thought at its core is fundamentally and structurally of a relative
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’-threshold will reflect as of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism the ‘recurrent-utter-institutionalised mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to base-institutionalised mental-dispositions’ as from the base-institutionalised perspective, likewise the ‘ununiversalised mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to universalised mental-dispositions’ as from the universalised perspective, the ‘non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to positivistic mental-dispositions’ as from the positivistic perspective, and prospectively so, the ‘procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to deprocrypticism mental-dispositions’ as from the deprocrypticism perspective. (This preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reflection of the other lower registry-worldviews/dimensions mental-devising-representation naturally occurs to us but not when our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is so-construed as of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism with respect to prospective deprocrypticism; and so as from the overall insight of a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ grounded at the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, as ontological-completeness/ontological-normalcy driven). Taking the case of a non-positivism/medievalism context as highlighted above at its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’-threshold (as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation>,–or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) warranting the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, we can appreciate that there is a whole gamut of seemingly genuine ontological/being/existential dispositions as social practices within the non-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>-manifestation intradimensionally); and it is important to grasp that uninstitutionalised-thresholds (however nefarious the consequences from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence appreciation) are as critical and defining in their existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications just as institutionalisations, to fully appreciate the very nature of transcendence as the most important thing/purposefulness of humanity-at-large. But then, our human intemperoral-disposition responsible for the institutionalisation/intemperoralisation process is equally inclined to focus-the-mind-more-thoroughly when dealing with phenonena that undermine ontological-veridicality and so specifically with the undermining of soundness of reference-of-thought, and so across the various institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. It is more likely that in this regard, more likely than not perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomena as postlogic effect including psychopathic may actually have been a boost for more rapid human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemperoralisation as our intemperoral-disposition going by its own intemperoral preservational individuation disposition (in intemperoral-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) is rather prone to apprehend and deal with perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> issue at the humanity-at-large scale for the need of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemperoralisation as secondnaturizing given that with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} it is naïve to operate on the basis of a ‘human transformation on the wrong dependence of our intemperoral-disposition as firstnatureness’, thus the reason why we institutionalise as secondnaturizing taking cognisance of the reality of our temporal-to-intemperoral individuations dispositions. Just as implied
elsewhere in this paper, the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-
temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcenden
tal-enabling/sublimating) (from shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to 
longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of capacity as shallow-limite
mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity, is the transcendental construct of 
human virtue, and so as a contiguity notion, and not of abstract analogy. This notion of 
contiguity is what explains the capacity for humankind to accumulate/recomposure/reorder its 
institutionalisation/intemporalisation capacity. This can be explained as follows. Considering 
the instance where for instance the target of accusations of sorcery was to equally adopt a 
temporal stance by making a vague accusation of sorcery as well. Seemingly, such a temporal 
approach will more or less be more effective in preempting the ‘incidental resolution of 
temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’ (with respect to themselves in 
their specific locale) associated with the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of 
subontologisation’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, 
unconsciousability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conven ioning-
rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect) rather as an 
extricatory/temporal paradigm in serving their purpose of a temporal mortal. In so doing 
incidentally it doesn’t actually preempt but fails the ‘universal resolution of temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’ (at humanity-at-large scale) as it advances 
an argument that still enculturates/endemises the upkeep of notions of superstition and 
sorcery. This approach of temporal-dispositions of dealing with temporality/shortness with 
respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>s in all the registry-
worldviews (institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure) is what 
endemises/enculturates the dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive. A truly
meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction and the reason for its conceptualisations to be construed as institutionalisation-as-virtue even though going by temporal-dispositions inclinations, ‘such abstract projection basically would hardly make sense’. The fact is that this intemporal inclination, while often not downright articulated for what it is but rather implied, is actually behind all formal constructs with an adoption of a ‘maximalist approach’ in the construal of social phenomenal possibilities. Likewise, the hermeneutic orientation of this paper takes up such a maximalist approach in understanding phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> and more precisely psychopathy and social psychopathy in the social-construct even though from a simplistic temporal perception it may seem at times overblown (very much like in a core medieval setup a positivistic maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness disposition such as Galileo’s or Darwin’s or Rousseau’s or Descartes’s assertions will seem overblown to the ‘core non-positivism/medievalism mindset’ going by its customary perception), since it doesn’t accommodate temporal/incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ ways of thinking and instead strives for a universal implications depth-of-thought. Basically, on the same token the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of formal constructs is all about construing human transcendental potential as a ‘virtue tipping exercise’ wherein for instance the seemingly overblown representation of humans as susceptible to malfeasance/offence by the construct of the Law doesn’t necessarily imply that everything about humans is how they are likely to commit malfeasance/offence but rather that the transcendental potential of the construct of Law caters for and is a virtue tipping exercise for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness the possibility of limited committing of malfeasance/offence, just as likewise the maximalising-recomposuring-for-
relative-ontological-completeness construct of medicine of humans as likely to be diseased doesn’t necessarily mean that everything about humans is how they will get an ailment but is a human transcendental potential as a virtue tipping exercise for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness the possibility of human health. The reason for this deferential-formalisation-transference disposition is simple, as formal constructs ‘reason’ on the basis of intemporality/abject-ontological-veridicality in the quest for reifying abstract universal projection very much unlike everyday informal conceptualisations that are rather driven by vague impressions and good-naturedness and tend to construe meaningfulness by reflex without factoring in relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ of ordinary day to day thinking (common sense), and tend to be unsure, poorly methodical, poorly universalising, poorly insightful, and with elevated subjectivity (not only with regards to facts but with the purported reference-of-thought as well as the apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements which are implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), and so beforehand/as-of-a-priori even without the instigating effect of any perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> like postlogism/psychopathy; such that such temporal/incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ reasoning is best left for inconsequential and trite matters of day to day living, as validated by the processes and procedures of our formal institutions however approximate in their success given the pervasiveness of the extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} even in formal setups, with its susceptibility to undermine or overlook ‘formal effectiveness’ (which can sometimes
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to match developing ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative conflation)’/relative-ontological-completeness/diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence. Whereas incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness tends to operate as if at any one instance human meaningfulness is absolutely set (and so rather as a mere form) and thus incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is non-transcendental, and so with reference to the underlying intemporality/longness (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) that ontological development from ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative conflation)’/relative-ontological-completeness/diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence elicits, in lieu it is rather of a temporality/shortness reflex mental-disposition such that correspondingly developed reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is related to in virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference) terms, whether unconsciously (ignorance), expediently (affordability) or consciously; thus as mental-disposition, incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness across all registry-worldviews involves ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation over temporality’ at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, speaking fundamentally of the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of human temporality/temporal-dispositions as of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in inducing uninstitutionalised-threshold which can only further be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness recomposre as transcendental-enabling/sublimating. Basically, incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness relation to meaningfulness as ‘a comprehensive additionality exercise’ thus fails to account for human temporality/temporal-dispositions as ‘not transformed’ and will tend at uninstitutionalised-threshold towards the perversion/derived-perversion of the institutionalisation reference-of-thought or reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology.-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (whether unconsciously, expeditently or consciously), involving flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought. This insight equally explains the nature of human progress as the natural mental-reflex is to think that human progress occurs incrementally as an exercise of additionality to the prior reference-of-thought and institutionalisation, which is wrong as human progress is all about our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology grasp of the same intrinsic-reality-as-ontological-veridicality in construing meaningfulness-and-teleology/teleological-differentiation involving rather a ‘continuous maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness exercise’ of the same intrinsic-reality-as-ontological-veridicality but with deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) arising from the overall and specific accumulated human experiential possibilities of being on earth. Thus human progress as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is a change of human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-
ontology/ontological-veridicality speaks of a ‘decentering’ to the prospective ontological-construct that maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness effectively enables by placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology rescheduling (as it perpetually recompose to the intemporal as the relative absolute in value and ontology) over incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness which wrongly falls back to the relatively limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as—sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} of the temporal presencing—as-if-definitely-set in wrongly construing it as the relative absolute reference-of-thought. Insightfully with respect to the notion of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, the law typically operates on the basis of anticipating maximally the possibilities of criminal acts with the anticipation of the maximal possibilities of victimisation from such acts (when it regulates weapons ownership, for example) in effectively construing optimal prevention of criminality in society as a structural/paradigmatic construct that more vitally shapes human action and its ‘effective enforcement’ is actually a minor portion of the structural/paradigmatic construct of law over lawlessness; as it carries an inherent intemporality/longness that is further summonable in improving the law with human ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity—{as of relative constitutedness} to deeper limited-mentation-capacity—{as of relative conflation} reconstrual/reconceptualisation’. Like all formal constructs it wouldn’t rely on incremental-dispositions or temporal-accommodation of {<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language—{imbued—averaging-of-thought—<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}>} that may lead to temporal mobbish dispositions, the fundamental point being that that element of ‘abstraction-of-thought/principled-thought’ is
decisive as with all knowledge constructs. Rather the limit of such intemporal thinking is not the \(<(amplituding)formative>\) wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-\(<as-to-
levelling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>\) but
operates and is based in effect on intemporal projection-of-thought in an intersolipsistic
relation to intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality on the validity of the intercession
of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework implied predicative-effectivity–
sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and by extension the intercession of
formal/conventioning rules as institutionalisation arising in validation of the former, and their
responding percolation-channelling in deferential-formalisation-transference. The notion
of intersolipsism is actually the notional validation of the solipsistic argument as it frames the
question in the right manner, that is, inversely (contrary to the traditional philosophical
framing of the solipsism question, which by so doing naively and wrongly implies that
‘individuals precede and/or are in supposedly in existence in existence’ upon an affirmative
solipsistic response, rather than the idea of becoming solipsistically in existence which
subsumes their individuality and projecting of the same about others in an intersolipsistic
recognition arising from individuals’ own solipsistic insights of predication-and-projection as
so-reflect as to overall reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence-as-
panintelligibility-\(<imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-
aestheticising-motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation>\), since it
priorly implies existential emanance-or-becoming validated by ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework about a superseding–oneness-of-ontology as the intercessory basis for
mutual-solipsism/intersolipsism. This author equally conceptualise of a difference between
solipsism and subjectivity in that solipsism is rather purely ontological as it implies
notionally the individual’s perspective in existential becoming as of existence/intrinsic-
reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-confoundedness

(however effective-as-solipsistically-intemporal or ineffective-as-solipsistically-temporal
such perspectival performance), whereas subjectivity refers to our animate-existential-
referencing-as-subjectification which is not necessarily oriented to the ontological
appropriateness/veridicality of that reference but rather is a notional construal of the reality of
‘human condition of perceived ontological appropriateness/veridicality’ irrespective of
whether it can be said of such perception as being objectively right or wrong going by
inherent ontological-veridicality. So solipsism speaks of the human projection in notionally
construing ontological veridicality/appropriateness notwithstanding the perspectival
effectiveness or ineffectiveness of such a construal as of solipsistic-temporality to solipsistic-
intemporality and as such solipsism as of solipsistic-intemporality is the drive behind
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality.

Whereas

subjectivity speaks notionally of a human condition orientation with respect to perceived
ontological veridicality/appropriateness no matter whether right or wrong. This possibility of
distinguishing an inherently ontological foundation of existential meaning different from an
ontological as human epistemic-conception reflexivity of perceived existential meaning is
central to a deprocrypticism mindset in enabling the most elaborate transcendentally-
enabling-level—of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism
construal since necessarily intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is inherently
tautologuous, and ‘human capacity to grasp the possibilities of referential relations to
inherent existential tautology as of human animate-existential-referencing/subjectification’ in conjunction with ‘human construal of the inherent existential tautology’ is exactly the definition of notional knowledge. Supposed for instance a child comes to learn the rules of addition for all types of number additions such that the child understands the addition principle, but then there is a deliberate ploy by the teacher and other ‘supposed learners’ all along to constantly calculate 2+2 as equals to 5. Sooner or later the child’s solipsistic sense of meaning (as becoming into existence alone in an intersolipsistic relationship with others interceded with ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inducing projective-insights and predicative-insights) will become a self-made revolutionary and question the teacher indicating the correct answer to 2+2 as being 4; depending equally on its notional sense of intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology relative to temporality/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the child’s underlying ‘conception of the ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure’, further explaining in the bigger picture why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness pursuits, apparently unnecessary from a temporal interest point of view, are intemporal-solipsistically undertaken. Insightfully despite the constant ‘social affirming’ that the correct answer is 5, unlike it might be erroneously be thought, the child’s insistence now that the answer is 4 is ‘not truly’ out of the ordinary as with respect to its construal of all other meaning including other additions, the child’s knowledge and learning has always been about confirming any such meaning by its notional sense-of-solipsism as of superseding–oneness-of-ontology; but this particular solution for the addition rather becomes outlying for the child because despite the ‘social affirming’ of 2+2 as being 5, such a confirmation by a notional intemporal sense-of-solipsism as of superseding–oneness-of-ontology is not forthcoming, and in lieu rather gets the solipsistic confirmation as 2+2=4! Thus this points out that our interrelationship to meaningfulness is most authentically and fundamentally by
pointing out a notional intemporal ‘sense of solipsism’ in each of us to access intrinsic meaning. Such ‘intersolipsistic-pointing exercise’ is only possible because of: our common underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ as of the ‘coherence/contiguity of the actual insight-giving relevant-and-implied knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional–referential-notion/articulation (enabled obviously by language as well as any human meaning relaying medium like signs, whether active or passive or implied or direct)’. By extension, our consciousness-awareness-teleology as of a solipsistic epistemic/notional–construct is equally the result of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification as of our existential underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns-and-accrues projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’, and existentially so as of our ‘social framework of
intersolipsistic deambulation’. So there is no medium for intersolipsism but for the fact of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness accruing to each individual, implying our limited-mentation-capacity enables us at any given phase of our existence to mutually be able to ‘solipsistically reference a common sense of inherent existential-reality’, and so increasingly as of our common species, common registry-worldviews, common communities, common institutions and common personhoods and socialhood; and so, however ontologically-veridical our meaningfulness-and-teleology within institutionalised-threshold or as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism at uninstitutionalised-threshold. This will equally explain why in the rare cases reported in the media of infants abandoned and adopted by animals like dogs and monkeys, such infants often tend to adopt behaviours of the animals as of ‘mutual solipsism or intersolipsism of reference to underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework \<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), as the capacity for the infant to act and behave like a human effectively requires its personality development in a mutual solipsism or intersolipsism of underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’
(so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion
of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as
human) with other humans from whence the existential specificity/instantiation basis as of the
family, neighbourhood, local institutions, sociocultural context and increasingly in a
globalised world social trends of all sorts whether fashion, cultural, educational, intellectual,
political, environmental, social media, etc. are now critical determinants of its subjective and
intersubjective meaningfulness-and-teleology. Supposed again in a non-positivism social-
setup a case of accusation-of-sorcery was to be brought up, wherein as of the relative-
ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought implied beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of the registry-
worldview/dimension, it is a generalised certainty that sorcery and sorcerers/sorceresses do
dwell (as of the non-positivism social-setup own threshold-of—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism at their non-positivism
uninstitutionalised-threshold). This conception speaks of that registry-worldview/dimension
subjectivity and intersubjectivity as of ‘a <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-
(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications>) human condition of construal of intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality as knowledge’ which is the ‘indubitable reality’ as far as they
are concerned. Such a subjectivity and intersubjectivity conceptualisation/construal can be
implied as well as of ‘<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-
thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)

human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality supposedly as knowledge’ across all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions (including the subjectivity and intersubjectivity in our positivism–procrypticism) with respect to their respectively relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought implied uninstitutionalised-threshold. However, without a solipsistic notion of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and so beyond subjectivity and intersubjectivity, arising as of purely ‘solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic insights in referencing underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) as a potential capacity in all individuals, then the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will tend to actually be defined whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> as implied by subjectivity and intersubjectivity as a ‘construct of human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as supposedly knowledge’, with the consequence that humankind construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is naively-and-wrongly interpreted as superseding ‘inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ at registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-threshold (which is obviously fallacious, as it is ‘the possibility of humankind being subjected to the meaningfulness-and-teleological implications of further solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic elucidations in referencing underlying
\[
\[
\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}\] epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), will largely be jeopardised since the ‘putting-into-question’ as a solipsistic exercise with the possibility of getting at the very core of what is ‘further divulge-able’ by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, is largely compromised by a subjectivity and intersubjectivity
\[
\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}\] wooden-language–(imbued—averaging-of-thought–<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) mental-
disposition. This distinction between subjectivity and intersubjectivity as referencing human
condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality from solipsism and
intersolipsism as referencing human effective/ineffective construal of intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality, is actually important because (while less critical to elucidate
this in the natural sciences given the immediacy of constraint from intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating hence implicated), the
implications for its comprehensive and conscious understanding in the social world (for
contectualising knowledge while superseding human temporality/shortness as ignorances,
so-construed as ‘knowledge-notionalisation’) is decisive as it requires both an understanding
of ‘the human condition in its construal/relation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’
and ‘understanding of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’; and so, as a
prerequisite for the organic-knowledge necessary for futural Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview
institutionalisation. For instance, the concepts of constitutedness, first-level presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness, third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and
conflatedness of temporal-to-intemporal individuations as of reference-of-thought–prelogism-
as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation to threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism so-articulated previously as of
‘notional~conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness perspectivation of ontologically-
veridical dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ in enabling a storied-
construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiology-isation/ontological-escalation insight, can only be properly construed as of such a disambiguation in conceptualising not only inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but equally the human temporal-to-intemporal conditions/states of perception/relation with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This is fundamentally so because ‘inherent existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is already what it is as given whether humankind knows about it or not’ but rather the point of human knowledge is an emancipatory exercise involving the need to decenter/pivot and supersede our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification as of the


<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,~in-epistemic-conflatedness). Solipsism as such is truly the foundational notion of all phenomenological conceptualisations and derivation of value and meaningfulness as intersolipsistic teleological constructs from a transversal-and/or-common perceived existential-reference/existential-tautologisation and derived-representations of existential-reference/existential-tautologisation. It is what allows for the possibility of human construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating to supersede social-aggregation-enabling as a knowledge and virtue construct. The implication being that there is a contiguity in solipsistic insight as simplistically elucidative in the relatively more simpler experimental framework of natural phenomenon studied by the natural sciences (which practice is categorisation-driven, more like elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity but then with a high risk of inducing virtualities thus explaining the continually reshaping/re-categorisation/re-optimising of experimental content when the virtualities come to be seen as unreal or deficient or suboptimal, and so more critically with the practitioner’s experience tend to be driven heuristically actually as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness or conflatedness) but such solipsistic insight extends to the more convoluted social phenomenon studied by the social sciences, as well as the phenomenal convoluted equally inherent in scientific domains like quantum-mechanics, as herein contemplated should ideally be understood as of referentialism implied ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective, more like maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness from the most profound of conceptualisation which is intemporality/longness or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation, as of inherent superseding–oneness-of-ontology, and so on the basis of the absolute a priori, ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality, construed as of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) in the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology construal’, in the staggered elucidation of less and less profound but critical conceptualisations as undertaken in this hermeneutic design. Furthermore, solipsism will equally explain why human meaningfulness-and-teleology is developed rather by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of the same superseding–oneness-of-ontology as of our deepening limited-mentation-capacity (whereby successive generations take a shot at
superseding–oneness-of-ontology like Ancient Civilisations like Greece establishing that matter is made up of water, fire, air, earth and ether critically establishing the psyche of matter as composed of basic elements and successive recomposurings right up to our modern day quantum-mechanics recomposuring as of historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing), rather than it erroneously being construed as an incremental exercise; as it is only incremental in the literal sense but in the ‘operant sense’ it is an exercise of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing overall reconstruing/reconceptualising rather than just incrementing. This insight is important for critical thought and analysis as oftentimes it is naively assumed that prospective knowledge is to be simply obtained by ‘additioning’ or ‘cumulating’ to prior works rather than the more pertinent insight of 

\[ \text{(<amplituding>formative>} \text{epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought}

as of a same superseding–oneness-of-ontology that is existence. On the same token, this tautological insight about the precedingness of existence can be extended to the notion of nothingness with nothingness rather existing in existence as there is no nothingness or for that matter anything out of existence which is ‘conceptually’ emanation-as-to-the-all-defining-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-intercession, with nothingness rather the ‘conceptual devising of the metaphysics-of-absence of existence’ with existence conceptually construed in metaphysics-of-presence; but then with existence being its very own metaphysics-of-presence, the mutual equivalence of both metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics-of-absence implying that nothingness is likewise tautologically the emanation-as-to-the-all-defining-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-intercession of existence. Basically a nothingness conceptualisation is necessarily and tautologically an existential conceptualisation as ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’–reifying/elucidating-
of prospective relative ontological completeness of reference of thought devolving as of instantiative context as to existence potency prospective digression rules of apriorising axiomatising referencing that further epistemically unconceal the very ontologically same existential reality which is necessarily 'the absolute a priori' (as existential contextualising contiguity's reifying elucidating prospective relative ontological completeness of reference of thought devolving as of instantiative context as to existence potency prospective digression rules of apriorising axiomatising referencing that further epistemically unconceal the very ontologically same existential reality construed as of increasing human limited mentation capacity deepening (amplituding formative epistemic totalisingly as to existence as sublimating withdrawal eliciting prospective supererogation) in the apriorising axiomatising referencing of meaningfulness and teleology construal of superseding oneness of ontology oneness of meaningfulness and just as well the notion of nothingness can't 'conceptually' exist out of the notion of meaningfulness which references existence and all that is in existence as ontological. Actually nothingness is rather a 'constructive tautological device' as is actually the case with all human knowledge (mental devising representation of teleological reorientation), as it doesn't speak of any inherent change in intrinsic reality but rather of change of human amplituding formative epistemic totalising renewing realisation re perception re thought as utter placeholder setup ontological rescheduling by a renewing of apriorising axiomatising referencing psychologism as the new referencing basis of prospective meaningfulness and teleology, just as the many conceptualisation herein like the registry worldviews dimensions and ontological contiguity of the human institutionalisation process are actually speaking of human rescheduling of placeholder setup mental devising representation mentation consciousness awareness teleology in grasping a superseding
oneness-of-ontology/intrinsic-reality that has been so all the time; and so critically talk of transcending from shallow to deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology is no more than about human <$\text{(amplituding)}$>formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-{by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing~psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology} as ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<$\text{(amplituding)}$>formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,--in-epistemic-conflatedness already given as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence oneness) along the same lines with the notion of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’ in compensation of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{$<$<($\text{(amplituding)}$)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,--as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} as ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) reconstrual/reconceptualisation’. That is, such ‘conceptual devices’ are reformulations arising from ‘grander/transcendental insights’ about the same question but implying a radical transformation of ontological/meaningful conceptualisation of the human mind and human teleology. The idea is that ‘intrinsic-reality/ontology is not changed’ but rather it is ‘human <$\text{(amplituding)}$>formative>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-{by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing~psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology} that is changed’. Technically, the implication is that existence/being cannot be thought outside of human thought/limited-mentation-capacity); as a conclusion driven by the insight that human thought/limited-mentation-capacity in
construing existence/being implies human meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework or contingent. However the disavowal rather than renewal/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness of human thought/limited-mentation-capacity will imply its dissolving into a ‘nihilism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as the alternate logical outcome, but then with this latter construal/conceptualisation being rather ‘an unequal measure alternative’ since it has the drawback of ‘putting an end to contemplation itself’, of ‘misunderstanding that contemplation is a human growth activity and not an absolutely achieved activity’, besides abandoning the notion of human existentialism/thrownness/facticity behind human strife itself thus contradictorily undermining again the assumption of such an alternate logical outcome as itself a ‘contemplated strife’ construed as arising only by the implication of such existentialism/thrownness/facticity, and further failing to factor in that deepening human thought/limited-mentation-capacity increasingly narrows the framework of human existential contingency/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘enabling human existential development as less and less a question of fate’ on the basis of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’. Thus the bigger issue is not existence/being in itself as it is given, whatever it is that is given. Rather the bigger issue of concern is our human thought/limited-mentation-capacity in apprehending existence/being as of our ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/contingent reconstruals/reconceptualisations of existence/being as of human deepening thought/limited-mentation-capacity so enabled by our
capacity for ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics behind the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure narrowing the framework of human existential contingency, with the further possibility of prospective \<(amplituding)formative\> epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as depocrypticism as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Such maximalist intemporal projection reasoning doesn’t entertain banal ordinary logic (that is all too readily incremental, ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) of the sort: she deserves to be raped because she was scantily clad as well dressed women will not be raped; his goods deserve to be stolen as he didn’t look after them properly; those people/group/ethnicity deserved what happened to them because they are so and so; etc. The intemporal reasoning maximalist approach (non-incremental, non-'disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and striving for the ontologically-abject) that permeates many a formalised construct does not entertain meaningfulness within the sphere of temporal-and-social-trading and is rather transcendental inherently, as it simply supersedes and skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) meaningfulness-and-teleology towards the universal/intemporal as of implication. In other words, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is construed as of the apparently least possibly perceived constraining context in order to truly affirm the universalism of rules or any ontological-constructs; as the test of incrimination with respect to the above apparently least possibly perceived constraining specific crimes contexts is effectively what validates the universalism for all other contexts of such specific crimes. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, across all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, is effectively the projective mechanism as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
whether in early times as of non-universal and universal metaphysico-theological creeds or as of metaphysico-worldviews nature and practices in later human history marked by the structural/paradigmatic emphasis of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm over ordinariness

mental-disposition within the secondnatured institutionalisation of such percolation-channeled meaningfulness-and-teleology marked by temporal extricatory paradigm. This latter point is pertinent as invalidating any implied equivalence of reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology between a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition and an ordinariness mental-disposition going by their different existential paradigms; as the ordinariness mental-disposition will emphasise a registry-worldview/dimension in a temporal extricatory paradigm as of human existential physical lifespan as if such
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
’nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
) arose all by itself whereas a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
mental-disposition emphasises the human existential tale as of the succession of opened-
structures of meaningfulness-and-teleology that account for the possibility of our present and
prospectively opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology for enabling future
possibilities. Even when it comes to the social integration of maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigms, it is often the case that such
meaningfulness-and-teleology is bound to the denaturing in many ways as of human
ordinariness  

\[
\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}\rangle\text{wooden-language-}\langle\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}
\langle\text{as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications}\rangle
\text{temporal}
\text{extricatory paradigm concatenation to it, if the requisite percolation-channelling
institutionalisation and formalisation constructs are not priorly attended to. Even such that
notions like exceptional, genius, prophesying, etc. associated with maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-dispositions, as recognised by
the Niezschean imagination are more often than not construed beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-\langle\text{in-existing-extrication-as-of-existing-unthought}\rangle\text{as ‘derogation to
the fact that such maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigms can hypothetically be incumbent of all humans as to their choice of intellectual-
and-moral orientation and their specific focus’; and thus paradoxically implying as of the
blurriness of the social domain that such so-called exceptional, genius, prophesying, etc. are
‘abnormal’ with the paradox that their implied ontological-veridicality is ‘abnormal’, thus by
that same token falsely upholding the ontological-pertinence of ordinariness
\langle\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}\rangle\text{wooden-language-}\langle\text{imbued—averaging-of-thought-}\langle\text{as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>!)
Actually the paradox is that, no transcendentally implied construct is effectively a ‘grounded
knowledge-construct commitment’ inherently as it inevitably and fundamentally puts into
question the underlying intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework notion, which is the
prior <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its (given
consciousness’s neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-
structure-of-meaningfulness. Such transcendental implications arise as a transitional construct
that is in effect as of a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
recomposure articulation by its cross-generational transcendental implications. By the mere
fact of implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over
prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought a prospective
transcendence involves the prospective reference-of-thought rather ‘registering-and-reflecting
a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology—meaningfulness-and-teleology as of organic-knowledge Being correction’ of the
prior reference-of-thought, such that the prior reference-of-thought logical-dueness doesn’t
even arise as the prospective reference-of-thought is the relatively complete ‘ontological-
resetting’ in an ‘organic effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over the prior reference-of-thought ‘effecting parsimony-as-of-shodiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; just as the introduction
of chemistry science carries an organic effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology over a non-positivism/medievalism alchemic material construal. Basically, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness summoning a depth of ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflicatedness’/deconstruction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality enables humankind to supersede the circularity of intradimensional hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (which temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation actually speaks of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, thus ‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, and defines successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure uninstitutionalised-threshold explaining why institutionalisation becomes stuck at that level until the corresponding threshold is superseded for a prospective/transcending/superseding institutionalisation) for prospective transcendental possibilities. On the basis of such hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> circularity, one may perfectly argue that any of the institutionalisations are just as good so long as people are relatively satisfied but such an argument is never made of lower/prior institutionalisations with the implications that its elicitation within a registry-worldview as present is nothing more but an act of ‘ontological-bad-faith’, but then a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness approach is one that doesn’t reason in temporal-accommodation but provides the opportunity for prospective
institutional possibilities. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness was what was in the minds of the Copernicus, Galileos, Rousseaux, Darwins and the enlightenment Encyclopédistes led by Denis Diderot in cynically vouching for the possibilities of the future of positivism over a non-positivism/medievalism worldview. Such that vague arguments of the type we’ve been living well without such ideas are nothing but avowals of temporal-dispositions poor grasp of how their present institutionalisation came about and future institutionalisation possibilities; since we can project that all humans in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation were recurrent-utter-institutionalised, all humans in ununiversalisation were ununiversalised, all humans in medieval non-positivism were non-positivistic, and by extention (but for the complexes arising from our metaphysics-of-presence) all humans in our procrypticism are procryptic and it is no use turning around to our fellow mortals to do social-aggregation-enabling; with the more critical issue being how is the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as from the prospective epistemic perspective! Such temporal-dispositions are characteristically draggy across all registry-worldviews/dimensions explaining why all transcendences meet with temporal resistance going by human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor which take the form of subontologisation (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect).

- As the ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness
<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)’
disposition tends to wrongly define the reference-of-thought of a given prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as the absolute framework of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-apriorising-psychologism’, and so by reflex, as if the successive prior institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure were geared to end at its own registry-worldview as the absolute registry-worldview that doesn’t incur perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (in our case, the positivistic registry-worldview) without any notion of a prospective registry-worldview by which, where our own perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> arises, we will be preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive, at our threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation); as our relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ endemises/enculturates the denaturing and generally explains the vices-and-impediments of any registry-worldview/dimension as of its given limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,–as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}. As by reflex ‘the-<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought–<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of–‘nondescript/ignorable-void’–with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>}’ wrongly ignores the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (prospective-
transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation) nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, such that when there is a need to achieve ontologically-veridical meaningfulness by prospective reference-of-thought with new reference-of-thinking-categories/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation, the ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness’ simply engages in ‘<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)’ to its prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought with its prior/old reference-of-thinking-categories/axioms/registry-teleology that are failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation due to their temporal-preservational nature with respect to their own perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> threshold. It is only the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and positive-opportunism of the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation-stranding/attributive-dialectics that will induce its untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and the collapsing/overriding of the prior/transcended/superseded (as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose), and so going by their ‘relative ontological-effectivity’. This explains why a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised, an
ununiversalised, a non-positivism/medievalism, or prospectively a procrypticism mindset, by 

\(<\text{amplituding}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, cannot correspondingly ‘dialectically-think’ in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the reference-of-thought mindset/reference-of-thought of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, going by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening->\text{amplituding}</text>\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalisingly-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation}) as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction in all registry-worldviews, thus rather requiring the corresponding institutionalisation at the corresponding threshold of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation). However, contrary to the ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness <\text{amplituding}>\text{formative}>\text{wooden-language—(imbued—averaging-of-thought—as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’—with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications)}>’ disposition, it is only solipsism-of-thought by its emphasis on intrinsicness (I come to reality alone solipsism) that has the requisite and socially-uncompromised backdrop for construing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, that is, ‘at such uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring prospective transcendence’, by the possibility for its adherence to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and hence the requisite transcendental limited-mentation—
capacity-deepening-\langle amplituding\rangle formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) to put the
prior/transcended/superseded into question (including and priorly, the transcendental
emancipator own’s mentation) for the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-
thought; and so, with the notion that the prior/transcended/superseded is preconverging-or-
dementing–apriorising-psychologism as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive,
with no place for its ‘\langle amplituding\rangle formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising’ which is no more than its ‘internal myth/metaphysics’ that has nothing to do
with ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity. As such, solipsism enables the requisite
‘moulting’ of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\langle amplituding\rangle formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions
to allow for successive transcendences; and as a social conceptualisation operates as ‘a
relation of intersolipsistic mindsets in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing led by the preceding/superseding
intercession of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as validated by ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework’. (Noting that beyond this point of solipsistic
contemplation is the end of ontology, as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework/contingent-projective-and-predicative-validation, and metaphysics arises though
metaphysical constructs tend to harken back towards ontology in trying to explain the
metaphysical-as-of-existential thus explaining the blurring that often arises between
metaphysics and ontology as there is hardly any metaphysical construct that doesn’t strive to
be existentially relevant as of the present, thus carrying ontological implications of
conceptualisation whether it is demonstrably ontologically-veridical or not; and this latter
point answers the fundamental philosophical quest to escape metaphysics for ontology as of
the very ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process which is rather about ‘successions of metaphysics-of-absence insights as the successive transcendental-enabling/sublimating rules in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process yielding in-lockstep the successively more ontologically profound metaphysics-of-presence construed as the successive institutionalisations as implied by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ towards the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension which is what then achieves ontology as ‘attained ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. Likewise, since in effect there is hardly any ‘present pure-ontology’ as one that is beyond existential implications contentions about the purity/absoluteness/unassailability of its veracity, this rather validates a novel and positive construal of metaphysics as that which is subject to present existential implications contentions such that all supposed present ontologies are metaphysical constructs as of their non-elucidations. Hence even science itself despite its positive perspective is a metaphysical construct.) Hence, from a maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, the $(amplituding)$formative$<$epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness $<$amplituding$>$formative$<$wooden-language$<$imbued—averaging-of-thought$<$as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’–with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications$>$’ disposition is rather the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought to be construed as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive with respect to a prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought that is ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ as dialectically-in-phase.
(seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)” wherein there is ‘induced alterity/alteration’ of ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)” of the repetititon/repeatability/recurrence, as ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)” by temporal-dispositions is rather ‘hollow-constituted’ which is then ‘ontologically-reconstituted’/deconstructed by the intemporal-disposition, and thus the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)” revealing, in the bigger picture, the alterities/alterations of the the-individuations, the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level and the-interdimension/transcendental’. The insight here is that the spontaneous and generalised human prelogism-reflex-as-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at/’conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-reflex’/intemporal-disposition-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex is wrong when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,—(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought)-(registry-worldview/contending-reference/ontological-reference/meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry) arising due to human temporal-compromises/temporal-accommodation incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (whether consciously, expeditiously or unconsciously) and particularly so at thresholds where there is no deferential-formalisation-transference as institutionalisation (uninstitutionalised-threshold), and this fundamentally undermines the ‘ontological validity and veracity’ of such a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as supposedly of prelogism-reflex-as-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at/’conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-reflex’/intemporal-disposition-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex. Beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-
consciousness/mirage as <amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag positivistic registry-worldview perspective, we can grasp that the lower registry-worldviews ‘mentally projected prelogism-reflex-as-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at/’conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-reflex’/intemporal-disposition-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex’ are flawed at their uninstitutionalised-threshold, and the same applies to us in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. The nature of this ‘conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-reflex flaw’ is that it actually defines ‘a threshold of circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reflex’ in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability, effectively as its uninstitutionalised-threshold. For instance, where a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought keeps on arguing a case of sorcery recurrently in non-positivism/medievalism terms which inherently defines its placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as non-positivism/medievalism, and the same insight does applies from a prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reference (as deprocrypticism) wherein we’ll need to psychoanalytically-unshackle/mimetically-reorder/institutionally-recomposure from a positivism–procrypticism mindset/mental-devising-representation/mentation. Further, the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions implies that where there is postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as uninstitutionalised-threshold, the more ontologically-veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology reflex is actually of preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reflex (and not new logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as ‘prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-
ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness). In the bigger picture and as with all natural iterations, this ‘alterations-iterability dynamism’ at the-individuation-level takes the form of an existential-flux (‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’) of recursive/recurrent alterity/alterations which tend to be perpetuating (like the pathological psychopath’s disposition out of a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/’urge’/entitlement-folie of postlogism-slantedness effect) or progressive alterity/alterations which could be regular (like an exacerbation or opportunism interlocutors in conjugated-postlogism) or regressive alterity/alterations which could be momentary (like an ignorance or affordability interlocutors in conjugated-postlogism). The notion of iterability as ‘the induced effect of alterity/alterations (by the temporal-dispositions hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> and the intemporal-disposition compensation-alterity/alteration by ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction) in the repeatability/recurrence of same-terms-of-expressions or same-implied-meaningfulness’, implies that temporal-dispositions being just as preservational as the intemporal-disposition thus inducing the circular recurrence of iterability (as prospective successive institutionalisations and uninstitutionalised-thresholds), the exercise of institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not about transforming temporal-dispositions as of an dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation exercise but rather institutionalisation/intemporalisation or secondnaturings, which is about ‘skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-enabled/sublimating)/constraining towards’ the intemporal-disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to enable the given
prospective institutionalisation. Thus the fact is that this iterability (of meaningfulness and ontological-reference) is not a property of ‘intrinsic-reality as existence-emanance’ but actually the result/effect of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) coming-into-grips with intrinsic-reality as existence-emanance, and so in the succession of institutionalisations. The implication of this iterability (due to temporality-preservational-alterity/alterations in distraction/circumvention of intemporality-preservation-iteration for construct of intemporal/ontologically-veridical meaningfulness) is that all issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (as opposed to issues of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation), can only be construed as implying ‘a perpetual construct for upholding intemporality-in-preservational-compensation-alterity/alteration over temporality-in-preservational-distorting-alterity/alterations’ hence validating the notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence; and that the ‘illusion-of-definitiveness-of-ontological-construal-on-the-basis-of-an-intemporal/ontological-definitive-construct-as-a-common-ontological-reference-of-the-meaningfulness-of-the-various-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ is wrong, as this simply allows for temporality-in-preservational-alterity/alterations to ‘hollow-constitute’ at that supposed ‘intemporal/ontological-definitive-construct-as-a-common-ontological-reference-of-the-meaningfulness-of-the-various-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’. And just as we grasp this notion of ‘the-upholding-of-intemporal/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ at the-interdimension level where the registry-worldviews/dimensions are intemporally ‘ontologically-reconstituted’/deconstructed, only to be temporally ‘hollow-constituted’
logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect). Ultimately the philosophical pessimism of many a philosopher stems from this confusion about the achievement of human emancipation and virtue, in naively construing that such an achievement is a definitiveness-construct-of-meaningfulness rather than an ‘iterability-construct-of-meaningfulness for the upholding of the intemporal construct of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ as implied by the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy or postconvergence. Strangely enough, this idea can be derived from the contrastive implications of metaphysics-of-presence (with its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising) and metaphysics-of-absence as postdication (suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection-capacities). Ontologically speaking, the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in their evolving ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics registry/registry-worldview/ontological-reference dialecticisms as at one moment ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ and at another preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism are effectively a reflection of the reality of a dynamic dialectics of ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ and ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ retracing of ontologically-veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology retrospectively, presently and prospectively, going by a human shallow limited-mentation-capacity—(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity—(as of relative conflation) institutionalisation/intemporalisation process. Such an insight points out that a non-positivism/medievalism ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ will ‘wrongly be contending’ on the basis of a non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought with regards to issues of sorcery and so and so, instead of the requisite ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ as a
effectively with the present-considered-as-being-in-epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence-perspective-(preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism-reference-of-thought)-and-hence-suprastructurable by ‘metaphysics-of-absence’-perspective-(‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’-reference-of-thought) which is then actually prospective (to-resolve-the epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence); and not ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ conceptualisation which ‘wrong pretence of being in ontological-normalcy’ is actually stifling the prospective orientation by its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirageas \((\text{amplituding})\)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This posture is validated by the decreasing epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence nature of the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure from retrospective to present to prospective, whereby there is decreasing epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence as the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process veers towards ontological-normalcy (from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation to universalisation to positivism and prospectively to deprocrypticism). With respect to the postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation persion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (reflected as mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought) phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy, the Derridean (existential)-trace as the suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection (metaphysics-of-absence) reference-of-thought, wherein there is persion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of positivistic reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness as procrypticism preconverging-or-dementing –apriorising-psychologism, in need of
reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology, with the fundamental faulty-mentation-
procedure-deception-or-urge being the wrongful validation as supplanting—conviction-as-to-
profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism of
its reference-of-thought in the very first place as in reality the reference-of-thought reflected
from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview will be suprastructural to it (or beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology.<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>
of the procrypticism perversion-of-reference-of-thought.<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as reflected/perspectivated as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism). The idea
equally is that as a perversion-of-reference-of-thought.<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, there isn’t any
‘definitiveness-intemporal/ontological-construal-of-meaningfulness-as-there-is-no-common-
reference-of-thought-relative-to-the-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ but rather
‘iterability-(of-ontological-veridicality)-by-(ontologically-reconstituting/deconstructing)-
alteration/alterity-for-intemporal/ontological-construal-as-the-basis-for-suprastructurally-
disambiguating-reference-of-thought-of-the-various-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ in
grasping and preempting postlogism and temporal-dispositions-conjugated-postlogism in
temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation. As by implying rather a
‘definitiveness-of-intemporal/ontological-construal-of-meaningfulness-on-the-basis-of-a-
common-reference-of-thought-relative-to-the-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ will just
be a basis for the further iterability-(of-ontological-veridicality)-by-(hollow-constituting—<as-
disjoined-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>)-
alteration/alterity of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness by the postlogism-and-temporal-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> including postlogisms are more-than-just-and-beyond an issue of a temporal frame of contemplation as this requires an overall registry-worldview/dimension transcendental structural/paradigmatic resolution, as of the comprehensive ontologising of notional–deprocrypticism with respect to notional–procrypticism, notwithstanding the further palliative conceptualisation of the necessity of the resolution as of temporal existentialising—enframing of issues of psychopathy in the present positivistic registry-worldview. Thus psychopathy and social psychopathy should rather be related to suprastructurally (as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism consciousness-awareness-teleology which reference-of-thought is invalid in the very first instance, going by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). The nature of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness with respect to that of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s and the positive-opportunism thereof’, and thus undermining human temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation behind the uninstitutionalised-threshold and institutionalisation/intemporalisation secondnaturating; and not as may wrongly be construed as an emanance transformation exercise from temporal-dispositions as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to intemporal-disposition as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness. This latter point is to highlight that ontological focus should rather be placed on the ‘abstract conceptualisation that enables institutionalisation-as-virtue and not any naïve purported presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness poorly appreciative of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation, as in the bigger scheme of things the latter is delusional (for an animal whose potency under social-stake-contention-or-confliction is rather as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor thus needing its secondnatured skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as deferential-formalisation-transference to the intemporal for its transcendence) and that’s why society and more specifically formal organisations ‘operate on the clairvoyance of institutionalising principles and rules’, and ‘not the purported impression-driven/good-naturedness dispositions of the one or the other’, as this is an unsustainable construct and is simply a call for institutional failure in the middle to long run. A human secondnaturing institutionalising construct is a requisite because, at best even the intemporal-disposition individuation in
individuals purporting prospective emancipation comes from and are from the stock of the prior reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold registry-worldview/dimension, and such prospective emancipation involves such individuals own ‘moulting’, as actually intemporality/longness is a ‘potential construct of orientation’ as implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) and it is only a devised institutionalisation construct as secondnaturizing that achieves that potential-construct-of-orientation and not any naïve inherently intemporal-disposition in individuals. By that token there is no base-institutionalised individual in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, no universalised individual in ununiversalisation, no positivistic individual in non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively no deprocrypticism individual in procrypticism, as at best such emancipating intemporal individuals are ‘moulting’ their intemporal individuations and implying-of-the-same of their registry-worldview in prospective institutionalisation design/conceptualisation, as the effective institutionalisation is what is really and effectively attained.

- As the notion of ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of ontology and subontologisation (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drug, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect),’ is rather an operant conceptualisation that highlights the need for an operant conceptualisation of psychology in grasping human dynamics. But then psychological science as we know today in many ways mainly takes the form of an adjunct construct in grasping the social as is equally the case with social psychology; as the focus of can mostly be resumed to ‘identity’ of individual dispositions such that psychology tends more to have a subjective intercessory practice nature involving intersubjective valuation). Thus, as with all such approaches it is hardly surprising that we
haven’t got an academic ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ (as an ontology-driven
(preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought) of the prior positivism/procrypticism with respect to ontological-normaley perspective of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism (‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ reference-of-thought). With ontology-driven implying that our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is just a ‘placeholder-setup’ that doesn’t has any inherent ontological validity, but is rather as valid as its representation/schedule of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality, such that with the insight of more profound ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality, the ‘placeholder-setup’ as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is accordingly rescheduled psychoanalytically (‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), validating and explaining why our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology has been developing all along from the mindset/reference-of-thought of an recurrent-utter-institutionalised, base-institutionalised, universalised and positivised, with the implication that the latter’s mindset/reference-of-thought is not beyond prospective transcendence where such prospectively more profound ontology is demonstrated to imply a renewal of human reference-of-thought of meaningfulness (as deprocrypticism), and with the further implication that all along it is essentially about a same species of a same underlying human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor induced dynamism of shallow limited-mentation-
capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation). In fact, psychoanalysis is actually a natural existential human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology process with the difference that such comprehensively conceptually-directed constructs as is implied with deprocryptionism with respect to the present positivism/procryptionism are relatively more focussed and thus potent where ‘ontologically-pertinent and so-demonstrated to be ontologically-pertinent’; and by and large form part and parcel of the human psychoanalytic experience with regards to passive to conceptually-directed constructs of human teleological projection. Transcendence (prospective) as a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology effectuation, is not technically achieved as may naively/counterintuitively be implied by construing directly of a prospective placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (from the present) but rather, on the basis of ‘prospective reference-of-thought transcendental insights’, it correspondingly implies ‘construing the present as metaphysics-of-present as the transcended/superseded/prior placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation’ to be represented as ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought’, and so implied by the ‘prospective reference-of-thought transcendental insights’, such that the prospective (transcending/superseding) placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought’ is naturally implied as being the new and prospective suprastructuring, (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>) of the ‘old present’/retrospective as prior. That is it is critical to grasp that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism is never about generating a prospective ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ (with respect to the present as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’), but such ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics is rather about decentering and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/oblongating the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of the present as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism which becomes ‘old-present’/retrospective as prior’ and dialectically ushering contrastively from that backdrop a new and prospective ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’. This is actually about maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of the implied prospective meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/ontological-reference/contending-reference, rather than attempting its elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which will ‘wrongly make reference to and wrongly elevate’, and so by mix-up, the prior reference-of-thought as veridical. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness being about optimally rescheduling the ‘placeholder-setup’ (as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation) with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, on the ontological backdrop of a more profound superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. This involves a pointedness-of-prospective reference-of-thought which maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness then ‘upholds in contiguity’ the ‘trace of disambiguated-mental-dispositions-and-meaningfulness implied by intemporal/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation mental-dispositions, postlogism/psychopathic mental-dispositions and

transcendently/transdimensionally/interdimensionally, as needing a prospective registry-worldview/dimension; for instance, capable of putting in question medieval intradimensional superstition in the first place supersedingly/transcendentally by implying the need for positivising rather than a usual temporalities-drives reciprocity of superstitious contentions or capable of putting into question positivism–procrypticism postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism in the first place supersedingly/transcendentally by implying the need for deprocrypticism rather than temporalities-drives reciprocal equivalence of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Further the notion of deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology conceptualisation and shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology conceptualisation, central to a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, can be demonstrated as follows: supposed A has the (existentially veridical) mental projection with respect to say a housing project and undertook the initiative of bringing together and obtaining advanced payments from prospective buyers for the project, and B was to by non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting<&as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> mental-disposition spread stories of the scheme being a scam (not to the buyers who have all the documentations validating the genuineness of A’s housing project) but rather other interlocutors mainly to undermine A’s business credibility, and so whether B is pathological/psychopathic or postlogically-enculturated, and supposed some other interlocutors, not only by ignorance but affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation further engaged in such vilifying (as social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of their mental denaturing disposition is socially opaque); engaging meaningfulness at a same reference-of-thought will wrongly imply that there is an issue of ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation’ at hand rather than in veridicality one of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, requiring instead a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-comPLEtENESS that is ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ from the ‘deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation’ as existentialist/‘ontologically-reconstituting’ of A as intemporally-preservational, (in a pointedness of deprocrypticism prospective reference-of-thought which maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness then ‘upholds in contiguity’ the ‘trace of disambiguated-mental-dispositions-and-meaningfulness implied by intemporal/conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation deprocryptic mental-dispositions, postlogism/psychopathic procryptic mental-dispositions and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration procryptic mental-dispositions’ as universal and aetiological ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct), and
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor which is intemporally/ontologically prompted with an on-occasion/incidental manifestation of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration ontological/being-construal-defects in our positivistic/procrypticism registry-worldview from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview ontological point-of-reference (as the deeper superseding—oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation, rather of a transcendental/abject nature in line with intrinsic-reality/ontology, and not incremental). A rule of thumb with maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness will be to void the wrongly implied existentialist-as-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness by perceiving the reference-of-thought of postlogic/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration mental-dispositions as purely non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>. Effectively, reality/existence/being as becoming is actually an ‘unwinding elucidation’ model construct. However, since meaningfulness involves an interceding placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as reference-of-thought in relation to intrinsic-reality/ontology and given our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), there thus tend to develop a mix-up of our representation (with unsound/vacuous/denaturing hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) when reflecting/perspectivating ontologically-veridical existential reality, such that there is a rule of recurrence in existential-
transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology defined by the uninstitutionalised-threshold which arises structurally and accounts for vices-and-impediments. This is more than just a question of acts-execution/logical-processing defects but registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>, that speaks of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation. That is at the basis of the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag nature of a registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediment. This is equally why epistemologically-speaking categorisation schemes tend to be incomplete and requiring further re-categorisations and readjustments as rather construed/conceptualised on an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag basis of organisation that isn’t in the full potency for grasping intrinsic reality and requiring further adjustments all along (the whole exercise actually being ‘ad-hoc referentialism’), and why referentialism as previously articulated, though ‘relatively abstract as a notion of representation’ is a conceptualisation basis needing constant insights, it is actually a better conceptualisation scheme of prospective being/becoming notions particularly of an ephemeral nature. Just as we will represent the non-positivism/medievalism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology allusions to superstition in its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and not-contending’? ‘Anchorings-
of-meaning as base-institutionalisation’ over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ‘anchoring-
of-meaning as universalisation’ over perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation>,–of-base-institutionalisation-as-ununiversalisation, ‘anchoring-of-meaning as positivism’ over perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–of-universalisation-
as-non-positivism/medievalism or ‘anchoring-of-meaning as deprocrypticism’ over
perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–of-positivism-as-
procrypticism. A ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-
mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ will actually be about a novel
construal of the social as ‘metaphysics-of-absence’/postdication of the individual as
‘metaphysics-of-presence’; with the implication that the concepts and conceptualisations of
the individual of the current ‘psychology of qualification and qualification schemes’ are
actually and effectively construed by the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology
or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as of a
postconvergent/ontological-normalcy cadre and as becoming into the social, for its analytic
purposes and framework. ‘Possibly’ this won’t imply ‘doing away’ with concepts and
conceptualisations of the current ‘psychology of qualifications and qualification schemes’,
but will however be uncompromising with respect to being ontology-driven, and thus
‘possibly’ enable the reconstrual of such psychology concepts as the self, ego, id, etc. in their
metaphysics-of-absence/postdication (as the existential social) articulation. Insightfully, a
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or
natural psychology-of-dynamics’ rather mobilises maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness as is necessarily the case with all metaphysics-of-absence/postdication conceptualisations (which must avert the mix-up induced by the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirageas \(<\text{amplituding}>)\text{formative}\geq\text{epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag}\) as metaphysics-of-presence) in ontologising/ontological-conceptualising. This thus validates and operates on the fundamental assumption that the individual-as-of-its-temporal-to-intemporal-individuation-potency is an abstract-atomic-social-construct capable-of-and-as-the-basis-for-both-social-effectuation-and-institutionalisation/intemporalisation. What is then qualified as social phenomenon is determined and effectively deconstructible/ontologically-reconstitutable from the inherent dynamism of human-subpotency- aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor; and in construing/conceptualising the ‘transcendence and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference’ of meaningfulness-(and-value) towards the intemporal-disposition (ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology – tautologically construed as ontology-in-the-advancement-of-intemporality or institutionalisation or intemporalisation) of that abstract-atomic-social-construct or individual-as-of-its-temporal-to-intemporal-individuation-potency. At all registry-worldview/dimension-levels, for there to be transcendence prospectively as the ‘structural/paradigmatic resolution of the vices-and-impediments of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension’, human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor implies that the ‘determination of the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism in such a social-setup by corresponding non-positivism/medievalism compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogism), whereas the positivistic registry-worldview reference-of-thought has the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for the eliciting of such a notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism not to arise. However, as highlighted again previously, the subsequent temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s subontologisation is largely due to the perpetuating recurrence, as an intradimensional dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of such pathological/psychopathic-and-enculturated compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation or postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration that undermine and blur recurrently intemporal-disposition supplanting–conviction-as-to–profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism to induce social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<[(amplituding)formative]epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontological/being-construal-defect as unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness and the positive-opportunism thereof” for prospective institutionalisation transcendence and leading to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold endemised/encultured temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation. This aspect of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation endemisation/enculturation is thus the more salient
construal for the de-endemisation/de-enculturation of ontological/being-construal-defect as unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness, as defined by recurrence and ‘non-transient transcendability’ at the uninstitutionalised-threshold; (in contrast with either a state of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation that doesn’t speak of ‘recurrence of perversion/unsoundness of reference-of-thought’ or an ‘abstract’ state of inherent uninstitutionalised-threshold but which is ‘transiently transcendable’ as it is not in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation instigated by postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation). Thus it is the condition of ‘recurrence’ and ‘non-transience’ transcendability arising from postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration that is ontologically relevant for ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction for prospective transcendability (as it conceptually defines the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism), and it basically encapsulates the phenomenality of preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation of postlogism and temporal-dispositions-conjugated-postlogism so-construed as threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation— preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (and so-reflected of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s social-construct of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions at its uninstitutionalised-threshold defined by recurrence and ‘non-transient transcendability’). Thus subontologisation is induced as threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism so-associated with postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism leading to temporal-preservation, and so at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold defined by recurrence
and ‘non-transient transcendability’. The ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct’ for prospective institutionalisation transcendence is thus fundamentally grounded on the ‘backdrop’ of the construal of the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism which is reflected and superseded postconvergently as of supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism in existentially-veridical ontology as shallow to deeper superseding—oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation. The so-reflected ‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow—supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound—supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising—psychologism’ is actually central to suprastructuring or a conceptualisation that can integrate both relevant metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics-of-absence, with the capacity of easily reflecting both preconverging—or—dementing—apriorising—psychologism and postconverging—or—dialectical-thinking—apriorising—psychologism as implied from a renewed human mentation transcendental insights (in reflexivity) about intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow—supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising—psychologism implies that at registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold at which they are prospectively reflected/perspectivated as being in epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence (as shallow superseding—oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation) with respect to ontological-normalcy/prospective—transcendence—in—perpetually—upholding—intemporal—preservation—entropy—or—contiguity—or—ontological—preservation (as deeper superseding—oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation), correspondingly the ontological-veridicality of human dispositions is construed as requiring a temporal—to—intemporal—dispositions disambiguation
of reference-of-thought (rather than naively, an assumption of universal human intemporal-disposition as reflected/perspectivated within a functional institutionalised registry-worldview existentialising—enframing’), with the implication that the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ are actually of disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions reference-of-thought and meaningfulness. This broadly sums up the importance of elucidating the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism when it comes to registry-worldviews/dimensions construed as to their uninstitutionalised-thresholds as being in epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence, as it enables the conceptual articulation of meaningfulness that the ‘perspective of a functionally institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension existentialising—enframing’ doesn’t permit beyond its

institutionalisation/intemporalisation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—
onological-preservation as ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction is
undertaken to supersede (as deeper superseding—onestness-of-ontology
construal/conceptualisation) the drawback or vices-and-impediments of the prior registry-
worldview/dimension as now preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism and
dialectically-out-of-phase. Thus the reality of threshold-of—
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism implies that virtue shouldn’t naively be
perceived in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘a universal human intemporal-disposition
nature or intemporal nature’ since human-subpotency—aporia/undefinability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor speaks otherwise (even though such an axiom of ‘a
universal human intemporal-disposition’ is only surreptitiously implied, as a necessary
‘functional pseudo-conceptualisation’ which functionally assumes intemporality/longness to
avoid the cumbrous need for disambiguating reference-of-thought of meaningfulness into
temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (at any singular instances) ‘within established
institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’ but virtue cannot be assumed beyond the
uninstitutionalised-threshold; that is, virtue is structurally/paradigmatically the result of
intemporalisation-as-institutionalisation secondnatur- ing, for instance, we can broadly argue
that the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension implies more or less a ‘universal
positivistic intemporality’ as a functional pseudo-conceptualisation of intemporality/longness
‘as people do not act medieval by and large’ but at our uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein
procrepticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought arises our positivistic registry-
worldview/dimension can only be qualified as of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions since
the requisite intemporalisation-as-institutionalisation as deprocrepticism/preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought secondnaturing is wanting), but virtue should rather be construed as the superseding/transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation design/conceptualisation that by inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism in the short run and secondnaturing in the long run enables the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation; it is this focus on institutionalisation/intemporalisation that is effectively institutionalisation-as-virtue given that in the succession of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, no institutionalisation effectively transforms human temporal-to-intemporal nature into an absolutely intemporal nature, but rather reduces human epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence towards ontological-normalcy as deeper and deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisations. The bigger point being that it is by effectively grasping that any human intemporal-disposition individuations that can ‘spontaneously’ arise in whatever concern there is should be directed/skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) (as deferential-formalisation-transference of meaningfulness) for institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-virtue for secondnaturing, and not a wrong implication of functionally grounding virtue on human ‘temporal disposition’ which will inevitably bring about temporal-and-social-trading with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. The fact is that our institutional and organisational constructs at their very core, unspokenly do imply this notion of institutionalisation-as-virtue (in tacit recognition of our temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions), however, the notion of ‘consciously-spoken’ as herein highlighted is that it enables the necessary uninhibitedness/decomplexification that allows the requisite ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure required in fully assuming the reference-of-thought of any prospective registry-worldview/dimension. Actually, it could be argued that the more critical element of medieval emancipators/enlighteners had to do often not with their specific discoveries, which were more or less debated issues as well in their societies, but critically the idea that they were ready to imply ‘a new psychological orientation as positivistic’ that in itself structured the possibilities of a new worldview and many other positivistic discoveries once it became mainstream. Insistence of making mainstream such ideas as a heliocentric solar system by Galileo a century after Copernicus based on observations, the evolution of living things by Darwin based on research analysis, ‘<(amplituming)formative>epistemic-totalising rationalism’ by Descartes based on methodical thinking, universal human rights by Rousseau based on thorough analysis of the human condition, principles explaining physical phenomena by Newton and Leibniz based on physical observation, etc. all speak of a new mindset/reference-of-thought as a paradigmatic shift that has no complexes and is uninhibited with respect to notions of the old notions of dogmas, alchemies, essences and myths. The fact is that (unlike we may naively reason by reflex from our relatively vantage position at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process) this is not spontaneously given, when we consider that many of such emancipators were equally relatively enmeshed with the old psychology like Newton’s involvement with alchemy, for instance. This point to the critical importance of the psychological state of the mind for the very possibility of prospective ontologically-veridical transcendence to occur; as ontology is already given as a oneness and it is up to the human psyche to ‘moult itself’ (psychoanalytical-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) towards a more profound construal/conceptualisation as of that superseding–oneness-of-ontology, however strongly we might naively believe in our ideas in any given epoch as of its metaphysics-of-presence. Thus metaphysics-of-absence notion of threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (substituting, to induce ‘a
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism mentation reflex’ in sync with the
ontological perspective, over the same notion as subontologisation as metaphysics-of-
presence, which rather wrongly induces ‘a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–
apriorising-psychologism mentation reflex’ out of sync with the ontological perspective, thus is subject to
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage) effectively arises from a
maximalist construct in grasping the salience of a transcending/abject conceptualisation that
mirrors the uncompromising nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology over incrementalism-in-
relative-ontological-incompleteness notional–procrypticism or notional–disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought as the natural intradimensional summative temporal mental-disposition
(which speaks of a registry-worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-
induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is–thus–‘in-
wait’-for-pversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, and the need for ontological-
normaely/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), which incrementalism-in-relative-
ontological-incompleteness notional–procrypticism or notional–disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought however represents the enculturation/endemisation that is defining of
given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold. In other words, without a
maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness disposition no
prospective institutionalisation transcendence will be possible, as base-institutionalisation is
the ultimate maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct over a summative mental-disposition of \(<\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag }\) in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation enabling the latter’s transcendence, likewise universalisation is the ultimate maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct over a summative mental-disposition of \(<\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag }\) in ununiversalisation enabling the latter’s transcendence, so too with positivism over non-positivism, and prospectively deprocripticism over procrypticism/as-the-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–of-positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. An ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition (threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism defect) of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality conceptualisation’ is equally critical, along with the implied psychological uninhibitedness/décomplexing for a prospective registry-worldview/dimension as deprocripticism, with respect to the central concept of ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ wherein understanding is much more than about grasping the ideals but equally preemptively construing the possibilities of ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions as part and parcel of knowledge construct, not for an idle temporal motive, but to better skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) for institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-virtue, as a specific necessity for a deprocripticism registry-worldview/dimension preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<\text{(amplituding)}\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-growth/transvaluative-}
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism as deprocrypticism. Ultimately the purpose of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as an intemporal conceptualisation of transcendental implication should be of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ and is not for the sake of ‘immediate intelligibility’ within a given uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension in want for a prospective corresponding institutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension, as such a purpose will wrongly and paradoxically imply that the logical-dueness/logical-pertinence of the uninstitutionalised-threshold is sound as its reference-of-thought is prospectively defective (for instance a positivistic implied transcendence cannot be logically intelligible to a medieval setup that harkens back to medieval reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for its logic, i.e. ‘issue of articulating chemistry rules and principles for the evaluation of an alchemist not logically cognisant of chemistry rules and principles, in the very first place’), but rather it is a middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics instigation of prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought as of a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposition (though we can mostly grasp such an insight not from instances of ‘natural intra-society transcendence’ since this takes a longer time to occur and is relatively obscure, but transcendence by cultural diffusion associated with conquests where the dominant is at a more advanced stage of institutionalisation or in the rare cases where it is the reverse like Ancient Egypt or Ancient Greece, with the dominated actually relatively dominating or in
parity with the dominant culturally as of divergent aspects). The implication here is that transcendental maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is rather grounded on a relatively intemporal-and-deeper existential-reference-of-meaningfulness with the positive-opportunism of the prospective institutionalisation ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over its corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold to put in question the latter’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the ones of the prospective institutionalisation, and it is only after that that the notion of mutual logical intelligibility arises (it is only after the alchemist ‘psychoanalytically-unshackle’ into a positivistic-inclined mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to appreciating notion of natural cause-and-effect and experimentation as well that the notion of mutual intelligibility of chemistry rules and principles makes sense, until then there cannot be much of intelligibility without such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise from the perspective of the prospective chemist). That explain why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct are meant to be detached and totalisingly-entailing so as to act as a backdrop for prospective institutionalisation, and not to necessarily make sense in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘the now temporal mental-disposition reference-of-though’ which, it is contended, is in want of prospective institutionalisation with its corresponding psychologism. In the bigger scheme of things, it is inevitable that suprastructuring (the conceptualisation that renders ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics relative-mutual-construal of the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construed/conceptualisation over the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension as shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology
construal/conceptualisation by (suprastructurally) reflecting/perspectivating, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of the prior/superseded/transcended, respectively the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as dialectically-in-phase’ and the ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism as dialectically-out-of-phase’), is rendered operant by the notion of ‘existential-decontextualising-transposition (threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism defect) of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ in operantly grasping such suprastructuring transcendence/transdimensional/interdimensional construct; as it perpetually upholds ontological-veridicality by its ‘existential-reality’ (not non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>) on the basis of, first and critically, the validity of the reference-of-thought so-reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought if valid and unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought if invalid (before even recognising whether the ‘implicitation-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement’ or ‘of logical-processing’ arises) to determine the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-in-phase’ over the ‘preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive’. It is critical to grasp that the notion of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism is rather of conceptual metaphysics-of-absence (meant to ensure a natural maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness to avoid mix-up of reference-of-thought) with such a mix-up arising from the <$\text{amplituding}$><formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (whether wittingly or unwittingly)
induced subontologisation (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) so-construed as metaphysics-of-presence. So both notions are conceptually the same but implying different approaches with respect to the temporal undermining of ontological-veridicality; with subontologisation referencing/biased within the contextual perspective of institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, with existential-decontextualised-transposition referencing/biased within the contextual perspective of uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, thus the latter enabling an appropriate disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions with respect to ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought, and by extension it is the concept of threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism that is appropriate in all instances of implied uninstitutionalised registry-worldviews/dimensions as metaphysics-of-absence perspective since it avoids the *(amplituding)*formative*>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage that is inevitable when reasoning by a metaphysics-of-presence induced subontologisation. Besides even within the intradimension contextual perspective of institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, it is equally the best approach with respect to the construal/conceptualisation of the instigating of postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> mental-disposition that will induce temporal-preservation-as-pseudo-temporality-preservation in temporal-dispositions as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration (by hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> on the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the priorly institutionalised
temporal-preservation, the conjugated exacerbatory/opportunistic mental-dispositions are progressive in upholding temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation and the conjugated ignorance/affordable mental-dispositions as largely summative of the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, are geared towards upholding or undermining temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemptorality-preservation by supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism inclination whether naively conjugating to postlogism as misconstrual or good supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism when the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism of ontological-veridicality is established from an intemporal-disposition, in which latter case as being largely summative of the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect it leads to the collapsing of postlogism mental-disposition recursiveness and exacerbatory/opportunistic mental-dispositions progressiveness with respect to temporal-preservation, and thus orienting towards intemporal-preservation/intemporalisation and the possibility for prospective institutionalisation, itself subjectable to temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Thus this is the underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation in the psychoanalytic dynamism of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor across all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) explaining the alternation of prospective

- As beyond the epiphenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy, as it provides a peculiar perspective for insight on human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with respect to reference-of-thought and meaningfulness; ‘Différence-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ implies preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as-to-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as deprocrypticism. Insightfully, ontological-normalcy/postconvergence establishes beyond human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supерerogation) that there is a potent and overall oneness/contiguity of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness which transverses and supersedes all other conceptualisations of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (which are therefore approximates) by mere ‘ontological-consistency’ whether with regards to virtue conceptualisation (as highlighted with the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) or second-level ontological constructs as is the case with subject matters conceptualisations. Ultimately, the capacity for philosophy to further clarify such an ‘ontological-consistency’ will be a further critical
foundation for broadening the efficacy of all second-level ontologies (as the veritable job of philosophy). Inherently, ‘ontological-consistency’ as superseding–oneness-of-ontology is by itself the complete rationale for explaining human possibilities with regards to knowledge and virtue as so reflected/perspectivated by the very potency of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, as the latter is ‘the potency for all the text-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness that can exist’. Ontological-consistency in the inherent intemporalisation/institutionalisation orientation of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence validates virtue conceptualisation not as a discreet notion of choice, but rather a necessary disposition as ‘intemporal projection’ (or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) for human-mastery-of-reality or knowledge, as inherently implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation). The reason is simple. It is impossible, for instance, for an utter-ununiversalisation setup ‘to access’ the emancipatory ontological possibilities available to a prospective base-institutionalisation setup without the ‘requisite solipsistic insight’ of intemporal-disposition individuation within the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview that ‘projects’ that rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) as a paradigm for superseding the vices-and-impediments inherent to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is a necessity—for-its-own-and-by-extension-the-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘moult’ in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics into a base-institutionalisation registry-worldview. Such solipsistic insight is the effective ‘transcendental virtue conceptualisation’ that drives ontological-normalcy/postconvergence across all the successive institutionalisations and by
that token coincides with ontology as a necessary ontological development driver in an animal of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation). This analysis is very much in line with the notion of virtue as a \(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construal, representing virtue ‘contiguously’ in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-\(<(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\) epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) of shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in the intransience of ontological-normalcy (from shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology to deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology). This ontology-driving nature of virtue characteristic of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor points out that it is rather such intemporality/longness solipsistic ‘transcendental virtue projection’ that enables the superseding of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the various registry-worldviews/dimensions as institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. In other words, it is the necessary ‘transcendental virtue projection’ for a prospective registry-worldview superseding the vices-and-impediments of the prior registry-worldview that enables the ontological possibilities for such prospective registry-worldview to even arise existentially; as the temporally-inclined recurrent-utter-institutionalised individuation is non-cognisant of any
such thing as base-institutionalisation and the ontological possibilities availing to it, likewise with the temporally-inclined ununiversalised individuation with respect to universalisation and its ontological possibilities, the temporally-inclined non-positivism/medievalism individuation with respect to the positivistic and its ontological possibilities, and prospectively the temporally-inclined procrypticism individuation with respect to deprocrypticism and its ontological possibilities, and all such possibilities as allowed by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. A question that arises will be how can a society deliver an Einstein or a Bohr respectively that will articulate the theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics without it having the necessary institutional-recomposure (orientation and capacities) and memetic-reordering (of the individual mindset/reference-of-thought and associated other contributing mindsets) that allows for the possibility of such discoveries? In other words what was the possibility for the theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics to be delivered in the Middle Ages, for instance? Rather improbable. As a side note, such an insight equally attends to such a debate we currently entertain with respect to coming into contact with an advanced alien civilisation. A transcendental virtue conceptualisation will hold that in the very first place such a civilisation won’t be able to exist without the necessary virtue construct (as successions of metaphysics-of-absence insights yielding in-lockstep the successively more ontologically profound metaphysics-of-presence as implied by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) that enables it to come into being; as necessarily they will be base-institutionalising, universalising, positivising and probably deprocrypticising, such that it will be untenable and inconsistent to have cosmic travellers that are savage-inclined or of a medieval age, for instance, going by the mere human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. Insightfully thus, while ontological-normalcy/postconvergence expands human ontological possibilities
(comprehensively), it also leads to a growth in human institutionalised virtue disposition in equivalence which sustains such ontological development. However wary we should be with the possibility of nuclear annihilation, we equally can recognise that the ‘better’ registry-worldview/dimension-level, in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its relative transcendental virtue conceptualisation, to handle such weapons is the present one (positivistic) with regards to the possibility of averting a global annihilation compared to say feuding tribal or medieval setups (that is, if by some imaginary circumstances they could have access to and utilise such weapons). This points out that virtue is rather an inherent and necessary construct of ontology, existentially speaking; as the transcendental construct that enables the expanding of the ontological possibilities of an animal of shallow limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative conflation) by enabling ‘solipsistic moulting’ (as ‘intemporal-disposition individuation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold states, with a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor mental-disposition due to lack of social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing, as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) about virtue inducing supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’) and the secondnaturting of the social-construct (as institutionalisation-as-virtue) including the requisite human psychical pivoting/decentering. In another respect, ontological-consistency as highlighted previously is in coherence with the notion of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology with the implication that ‘the reflected/perspectivated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation’ (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold) as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, underlines the iterability/iteration nature of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, grasped from the perpetuating intemporal-disposition ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction realteration over the perpetuating hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> alteration by temporal-dispositions. Fundamentally, a normally institutionalised functional disposition warrants that there is ‘a common/same ontological-reference of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ but this is voided at the uninstitutionalised-threshold where temporal-dispositions become temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-preservation whether by recurrence registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> (whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>), as may arise with postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism, with the effective consequence of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-disambiguated-mental-dispositions’ wherein the hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of temporal-dispositions are reflected/perspectivated as rather in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’, with their meaningfulness ontologically being suprastructured (as perverted beyond their consciousness-awareness-teleology) by the intemporal-disposition in construing the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of the
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. This disambiguated-mental-dispositions as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology develops, with changing contextualisation, at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level as the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect), and is equally characteristic across registry-worldviews; with the implication that this is an attribute of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. That is, the uninstitutionalised-threshold is characterised by the ‘trace of disambiguated-mental-dispositions as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. It is mainly a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that can establish the ontological-veridicality-of-meaningfulness precisely by disambiguating the effective ontological-references of the various temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations, and so not only at an instant or act or specific circumstance or context (which is rather an act construal and not a being/ontological construal) but projectively in their retrospective-to-present-to-prospective existentialism-deambulation/meandering which provides the full insight of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations mental-dispositions/meaningful-references/ontological-references/contending-references as ontological-entrapment. Such a being/ontological-basis, as described above, of a ‘Différance-
disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is in line with and further elucidates the ‘Différence-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-protraction-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–of-meaningfulness’ technique. Going respectively by the Sartrean and Derridean principles for establishing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, that is, ‘existence precedes/defines essence’ or ‘there is nothing outside the text’ in evaluating ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ with respect to their veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in various instances as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. What is critical to understand here is to distinguish between: (i) recurrence in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness basis of meaningfulness that is grounded on grasping that reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are deterministic by virtue of reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting their recurrent context of reality and thus subjects them to ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction in upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and (ii) an elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity basis of meaningfulness that is purely and wrongly grounded on
grasping that reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ are by themselves abstractly deterministic, even as this fail intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

<intemporal-disposition as supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism disposition (whether appropriate/good or inappropriate/poor-or-'poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’)

are construed as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existenti-al-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness basis of meaningfulness on the ground that successive-instances-of-

‘existentia-l-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existenti-al-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness requires their subjection to ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflectedness’/deconstruction to establish the existential context of reality thus establishing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness. On the other hand, the postlogic/psychopathic disposition (and by extension temporal-dispositions conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration dispositions) adhere to an elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-
existential-contextualising-contiguity basis of meaningfulness on the ground that plausibly construing a false-premising to an existential-context-of-reference-narrative ‘provides licence’ to then (‘recursively’ in concurrence – in the case of the postlogic/psychopathic character, progressively – in the case of a conjugated-exacerbatory and conjugated-opportunism characters, and regressively – in the case of a conjugated-ignorance and conjugated-affordability characters) comprehensively articulate any possible existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives (on the basis of a conceptualisation of mere hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> static-or-abstract non-veridical/vacuous-state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ with respect to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and hence failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) by exploiting the plausibility derived from the concurrently-false-premising existential-context-of-reference-narrative. So the latter disposition, and so particularly with the postlogic/psychopathic mindset, is to induce or generate or exploit any plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative to then unleash slanted-and-formulaic hollow existentially-unreal-and-abstract narratives by concurrently-false-premising on the plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative. In other words, the postlogic/psychopathic individuation character gets that there is a human mental-reflex to grasp ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness on ‘static-or-abstract non-veridical/vacuous-state (abstract reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of essence-of-meaningfulness terms, so long as their existential basis is established, including and critically for its purpose, where it is so deceptively implied’, to artificially or opportunistically construe a plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative which then ‘provides licence’ to articulate existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives in hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
preservation> concurrently-false-premising on the initial plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative, with the idea that that human mental-reflex will by reflex naively-and-wrongly imply the existential/contextualisation ontological-veridicality of its generated slanted-and-formulaic hollow existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives; and so, in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology as highlighted priorly. This preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism is in contrast with a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism (when the latter is of inappropriate/bad or appropriate/good supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism) which is always inclined to ensure that the succession-of-narratives it propounds are tied to successive-instances as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. Thus, the reason why the ontological construal (ontological-entrapment) of the postlogic/psychopathic individuation characters and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration individuation characters is rather as an intemporal/ontological suprastructuring (implying ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics) of their hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, as this fail intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Going by the example of a medieval
setup again as effectively in \text{(amplituding)formative}epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring instrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and not analogy (epistemic-totalising–ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility/setup/measuring instrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context insightfully implying all institutionalisations/registry-worldviews/dimensions are about ‘construing the same underlying ontology’, though yield different but more and more accurate representations of ontology, due to different but improving human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of constitutedness towards conflation) from shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(\text{(amplituding)formative} epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—-as-
sublimating-withdrawal, -eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) with the succession of institutionalisations, but with the non-positivism/medievalism as being lower from our positivistic perspective, thus providing a sound basis of transcendental analytical insight since the positivistic present is in metaphysics-of-absence with it, in contrast to our more or less blurred disposition to \text{(amplituding)formative} epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syneretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when analysing transcendental issues within our present positivistic/procryptic registry-worldview/dimension as its own
metaphysics-of-presence problem), if say a totem was to be presented as proof that a targeted individual was a sorcerer (as existential-context-of-reference-narrative) for establishing plausibility for subsequent comprehensive articulation of existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives accusing the target of sorcery, a transcendental/abject/intemporal conceptualisation will imply rather a prospective ontological-reference of essence-of-meaningfulness as positivism, with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implication of construing not only the accuser as being of ‘medieval mental-perversion/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> but the temporal-dispositions and overall social-enculturation of that inclination abstractly with respect to metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-
locales/aetiologically/ontological-escalation as a fundamental ontological/being-construal-
defect of such a medieval reference-of-thought; noting as well that there is no need ontologically/intemporally for such a target to adjust to such accusation but rather a dismissive disposition with respect to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> as to preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and its defective ontological-reference of meaningfulness, as acting otherwise like ‘being logical’ with such implied meaningfulness by saying for instance it is not its totem or it doesn’t know about it or it is somebody else’, wrongly validates that the reference-of-thought of such medieval accusation is valid and is thus rather contributing then to upholding its temporal-
enculturation/temporal-endemisation, as where there is perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> there is no logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—
supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) to start with in the very
first place but rather a superseding/transcendental representation of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and actually implying a suprastructuring (beyond its consciousness-awareness-teleology) at the said (non-positivism/medievalism) uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism registry-worldview reference-of-thought institutionalisation. Thus unlike in a case of defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance the idea of falling-back to the same exercise to correctly do the exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) in a same or different circumstance, is invalidated when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can’t be mutual contention but rather transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffective,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the superseding (and ontologically-veridical) reference-of-thought can only construe of the superseded (and ontologically unsound) as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblongated requiring psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to transcend into the superseding reference-of-thought in the very first instance, before any ontologically-veridical pretence to mutual contention. Certainly this same reaction is what is warranted in the example highlighted before (if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him
about another stranger whom it knows nothing about,...) In the bigger perspective with
guards to the institutionalisation of deprocrypticism for instance, it is such an existentialism
construal from a transcendental intemporal reference-of-thought over temporal perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation> that allows for the superseding of vices-and-impediments as
prospective registry-worldview/dimension structural-resolution of positivism–procrypticism
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism. It should be noted that as earlier
articulated, intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm (in contrast to a temporal
extricatory paradigm) can only be transcendental as superseding (by implying an altogether
different reference-of-thought as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-
psychologism’), and not incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ (wrongly
operating on the same temporal registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-
threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> reference-of-thought which
is actually preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/oblongated and
dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase). Taking the previously articulated case of sorcery in
a non-positivism/medievalism setup, it has no ontological structural-resolution by reciprocity
of sorcery accusations on the same reference-of-thought terms but rather by the
transcendental undermining of such non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-
thought with an altogether superseding positivistic reference-of-thought that is in
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with a non-positivism/medievalism ontological-
reference (registry-worldview). Even though, inevitably (and as in the ‘present as-present-
consciousness’ of all registry-worldviews with regards to their own corresponding
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomena), there is bound to be more or less a dumb-and-dumb effect of summative social acquiescence to a superstitious mindset/reference-of-thought in a non-positivism/medievalism setup, that will in the short term temporal perspective be a drawback to such a transcendental projection of positivistic mental-disposition, and likewise there will inevitably be more or less be a dumb-and-dumb effect of summative social discontentment where a transcendental deprocrypticism mental-disposition is implied in a procrypticism setup. This shows that going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, in all registry-worldviews/dimensions the more or less summative mindset/reference-of-thought is bound to be incremental/’disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and not transcending such that would-be emancipating individuation’s projection (that is, if ontologically pertinent) is necessarily the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics percolation-channelling for the necessary ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure accompanying such prospective transcendental institutionalisation. That is, by transcendence is meant dispose to construe the ontological resolution of an intradimensional ontological/being-construal-defect transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally; for instance, capable of putting in question non-positivism/medievalism intradimensional superstition as of the registry-worldview defect in the first place supersedingly/transcendentally rather than a usual attendant/incidental reciprocity of superstitious contentions or capable of putting into question procrypticism/perversion-of-positivistic-meaningfulness with its corresponding postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism of psychopathy and social psychopathy as of the
registry-worldview in the very first place supersedingly/transcendentally rather than a temporally reciprocal equivalence. Basically, such an intemporal-disposition/ontologically-veridical transcendental disposition storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration will be of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as existential-tracing of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness reflecting temporal-dispositions rather in ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. The fact being that, in the short term, the temporally-minded recurrent-utter-institutionalised individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) notion’ (for base-institutionalisation) of the intemporal-minded individuation; the temporally-minded ununiversalised individuation (in base-institutionalisation) has no place for the ‘transcendental rules universalising notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; the temporally-minded non-positivism/medievalism individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental positivising/rational-empiricism notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; and likewise, prospectively, the temporally-minded procrypticism individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental deprocrypticism/rational-realism notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; rather as the subontologisation moves from slantedness-effect, miscuing towards sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising in all the different registry-worldviews/dimensions, ‘for intradimensional functionality sake a transcendental articulation is beyond the intradimensional summative mental-disposition of value-referencing’, as the summative mental projection of individuals is more of an earthily life-span conceptualisation rather than transcendental or poorly appreciative of the transcendentalism that is structurally responsible
for present reference-of-thought to project to the structural/paradigmatic need of prospective transcendence. This further points out that with regards to ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ projection (in overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising), across all registry-worldviews from prior to prospective there are basically two ways by which the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology works with respect to the same intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness; for the ‘intradimensional reflex’ sake of having a coherent functioning by sharing a common/same reference-of-thought as it is obvious that if one was to drop in a thoroughly non-positivism/medievalism setup and insisted absolutely to articulate meaningfulness in positivistic terms, there will be no mutual understanding, at least at the (positivistic) uninstitutionalised-threshold of that medieval setup, whether at one moment or another it fails intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, any registry-worldview/dimension as prior wrongly represents that such its registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> is non-transcendable/unsupersedable by its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ thus upholding its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought by ignoring the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> while the prospective registry-worldview/dimension implying a new reference-of-thought that structurally resolves the prior’s registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> represents the prior as prior/transcended/superseded and hence unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-
psychologism/suprastructurable (at that uninstitutionalised-threshold). The bigger point here is that just as we will represent the non-positivism/medievalism placeholder-setup/mentaland-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology allusions to superstition in its <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as abjectly preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism and unintelligible/existentially-suprastructured, a deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset/reference-of-thought will rather be construed as decentered and preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism, unintelligible/existentially-suprastructured with respect to ‘our positivism–procrypticism terms of meaningfulness’ that is, at the (deprocrypticism) uninstitutionalised-threshold in order to effectively and adequately reflect the requisite metaphysics-of-absence necessary to act as the referenced/registered/decisioned–psychical-backdrop for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, as implied by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as-uninstitutionalised-threshold-suprastructuring stranding-dialectics that is the mechanism of a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective institutionalisation. This latter notion is important as with all psychoanalysis whether of an individual or social conceptualisation nature, the idea of recognising/referencing/registering/decisioning the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> is
central to superseding it, and so the idea of implying preconverging-or-dementing-apriorising-psychologism/out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive is ‘beyond the notion of an idle denotative exercise’, be it validly so, and the meaningfulness of such conceptualisations certainly do not carry the poorer connotations of temporal/banal mental-dispositions, but rather it is technically a necessary and useful ontological conceptualisation in the memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure from our shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation). Thus psychoanalysis is actually in effect an existentialism process of human skewing towards intemporal as we construe meaningfulness and value-referencing, and so beyond the Foucauldian referenced critique of a relatively ‘economic/traded/exchange/battered’ conceptualisation of psychology we know of when we talk of psychoanalysis in the subject matter of psychology, but rather construed as a natural ontologically-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ behind human secondnaturizing across the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. As a side note though, it is important to grasp that the registry-worldviews as the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure are actually broad categorisations and that actually human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness varies (though not varying in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of the central defining conceptualisation of each registry-worldview/dimension) within each registry-worldview/dimension from its early to later spectrum, given human more or less passive continuous psychoanalytic readjustment to ‘ontological experience’. For instance, there is certainly a marked difference in scope and depth between the positivistic construct in the 19th century with its nature in the late 20th and
only validated if ‘existentially real’ as ontologically-veridical. However there is an ‘existentialist-shortfall’ of the human supplanting-conviction-as-to-profund-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mind with respect to assuring the ‘existential-reality’ in the face of ‘non-veridical/vacuous terms of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This ‘existentialist-shortfall’ has to do with the fact that it will be ‘a waste of too much mental energy’ to be verifying in detail the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology – of every interlocutor, and so mentally the human mind has developed ‘a referencing scheme of trusting that involves closeness, familiarity, reputation and appearance’; but such a scheme is strictly speaking ontologically incomplete and can be undermined and usurped, but it is standard as it ‘saves mental energy and time’. This ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is relatively inconsequential where interlocutors are mutually of prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profund-supererogation or existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and even better when mutually of good supplanting–conviction-as-to-profund-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism (than when one or the other is of ‘poor or bad supplanting–conviction-as-to-profund-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’ even though the latter is relatively circumspect and ad-hoc in its misrepresentation of reality, and so its consequence with respect to the ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is rather limited as defect–of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profund-
supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance rather than registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> associated with postlogism, whether pathological/psychopathic or enculturated, and conjugated-postlogism). However, with the psychopathic/postlog and social psychopathic case where compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-sup ererogation or postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness is the underlying principle as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging, this ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is highly consequential as it is the basis of the induced registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>; by wrongly and so comprehensively implying the ‘existential-reality’ of ‘non-veridical/vacuous <(amplituding)formative> wooden-language-{imbued—temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag/denatured/preconverging-or-dementing–narratives—of-the-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology} articulated in hollow-constituting<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> or otherwise by the rather non-veridical/vacuous implied meaningfulness and reference-of-thought or otherwise by the non-veridical/vacuous implied meaningfulness and reference-of-thought based on inductive limitation nature or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be of entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be totalisingly-entailing, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-totalising-entailment but speak more of temporal motive. In other words
shallow-supererogation> as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can’t be mutual contention but rather transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the superseding (and sound) reference-of-thought can only construe of the superseded (and non-veridical) as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblongated requiring psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to transcend into the superseding reference-of-thought in the very first instance before any ontologically-veridical pretence to mutual contention. The nature of how ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaning thread/tracing’ arises can equally conspicuously be understood at childhood psychopathy situation wherein the childhood psychopathy blatantly attempts to initiate a dereifying narrative like in the case of spilling water on a chair highlighted before to which if concurred to by the interlocutor will be the basis for the child to assume apparently normal logical contentions but fundamentally based on this distorted deceptive high-point of concurrently-false-premising as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is basically the same process with an adult psychopath but for the fact of the highly opaque nature of adult psychopath mental-disposition unlike a child psychopath, and as previously explained is ‘maturated’ in its theme on issues that are rather of serious import, ‘spatialising’ (to confound by not acting postlogicly/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness within the same spatialisation of relevant social interlocutors, which may raise the hollow nature of its narratives from cross-examination), being ‘indirect’ (by increasingly appearing neutral and unmotivated unlike at childhood), increasingly ‘credulous’
(by effective eliciting of social threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as to
subontologisation miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-
conventioning-rationalising/temporal-enculturation where its ‘apriorising–reference-of-
thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ as implied—logical-dueness-or-
scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-
reference/teleology are all false) and ‘crafty’ (with increasingly greater staging and
performance: as the psychopath perceives instances of rebuttal of its postlogism not
essentially in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of the rightness or wrongness of the postlogic
acts in its personality development into adulthood, as a prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-
to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism
mental-disposition will, but rather in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of its failure in
performing the postlogic acts well with the idea of how to further confound/muddle hence the
reason it is recursive as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic to the point of faking
remorsefulness or acting as a victim as long as fundamentally its ‘interlocutor is in a
prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation relation to its postlogism-
formulaic slanting compelling–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-
shallow-supererogation or perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-
dueness mental-disposition’ in order for the interlocutor to go on to conjoin the psychopath’s
Paradoxically, the basis of the adult psychopath ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaningful
thread/tracing’ is the disposition of a supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism
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reordering/institutional-recomposure decomplexifying/uninhibiting paradigm for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, in contrast to a ‘wrongly misconstrued universal human intemporal-disposition nature’ (which is rather a ‘functional construal/conceptualisation’ arising from intemporalisation/institutionalisation within an institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension as secondnaturaed but not beyond its uninstitutionalised-threshold) as it will fail to account and register for the ontological/being-construal-defect of the present as procrypticism which should enable superseding for the prospective transcendent institutionalisation secondnaturing as deprocrypticism.

This explains how a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ gives ontological-anchoring for a Derridean metaphysics-of-presence (due to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding>)formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation)) propped up by a metaphysics-of-absence (rather as human projection in ‘making-up for’ its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening–(<amplituding>)formative>epistemic-totalisingly, as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation), and so beyond a Derridean pessimism, ‘making-up for’ with the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referencing/correction-tool as postdication, which upholds intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation), to paradoxically transcend and supersede towards deeper ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality, as so enabled by the dialecticism of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ in construing the reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of ‘the prospective’ (of a more intemporal-potency as it further deepens the socially-betraying-
threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism over ‘the prior’ in the strive for ontological-normalcy (potency of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) along with disambiguating human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as the pathway towards intrinsicness/essence, reality, truth and virtue. Such a ‘Différence-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is rather about the ontological-veridicality of reference-of-thought. It should not be confused with the more familiar issue involving existentially-veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logicoal-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation, and this doesn’t put-into-question the soundness/appropriateness or unsoundness/inappropriateness of reference-of-thought. Thus unlike in the instance of defect–of-logical-processing-or-logicoal-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance the idea of falling-back to the same exercise to correctly do the exercise (logical-processing-or-logicoal-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation) in a same or different circumstance, is invalidated when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can’t be mutual contention but rather
transversality-of-affirmative-and-una‌ffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the superseding (and sound) reference-of-
thought can only construe of the superseded (and unsound) as preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblongated
requiring psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to
transcend into the superseding reference-of-thought in the very first instance before any
ontologically-veridical pretence to mutual contention). It is based on perpetuating the
precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency over reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of
the intemporal-disposition as ontological over the temporal-dispositions; as the latter, going
by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
determinacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor are inclined to ‘incrementalism-in-relative-
ontological-incompleteness <(amplituding)formative> wooden-language-{imbued—
averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/resentment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
implications>}’ (implying incremental/temporal-accommodation meaningful dispositions of
postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-
social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performances as defect-of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-
apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, and
worst still when conjugated to postlogism become temporally-preservational-as-
pseudointemporality-preservation or conjugated-postlogism as of
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-
of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology in contrast to defect—of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, and rather implying a ‘structural or paradigmatic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect—<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect> that defines a registry-worldview/dimension as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality going by its hollow-constituting—<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> (take the case of the BODMAS characters highlighted previously where the other characters simply went along calculating without factoring A’s defect), such that where there is induced derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to-shallow-supererogation> when such defect—of-logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance dispositions are conjugated to postlogism (which directly perverts reference-of-thought), temporal-dispositions are rather then construed as in registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect—<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect>’ in line with a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of subontologisation’ of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as being in a dialectically-out-of-phase state which is thus preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism, while the intemporal-disposition is inclined to ‘maximalising—recomposuring—for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal projection-of-thought’ (implying deprocrypticism in its preempting—disjointedness—as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to—
veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ renders our presencing-as-positivistic meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview ‘dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive’ as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism to a prospective-as-deprocryptic reference-of-thought, which is ‘dialectically-in-phase’ as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism. The latter (as with all relative postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism references) can only be ‘habituated’ over the former, and so ‘by virtue of its more profound intemporality-potency’ validated by its greater ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in the middle to long-run with respect to the dialectically corresponding prior meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview. For instance, there is no logical-basis for a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought to convince a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought that it reference-of-thought is better but for the fact that its better ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will in the middle to long-run be ontologically untenable thus ‘collapsing’ the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought; and so reflecting ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ as to mere ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring-<postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism>’ over ‘desublimation unaaffirmation/depresentation/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring-<preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism>’ so-underlining existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-
of-conceptualisation. This is the only basis for establishing the relative ascendency of divergent reference-of-thought (not to be confused with ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation convincing’ as this by definition will instead make circular references to a prior reference-of-thought that is already established and uncontested in the very first place; thus highlighting the notion that it is the veridicality of the prospective reference-of-thought that precedes and defines the pertinence of an exercise of ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation convincing’ whereby interlocutors already share this common reference-of-thought, and not the other way around).

Such a postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism over preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism habituation (at their respective ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation or threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’) with regards to the postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism and preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism dialecticism of meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview’ developed as base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation over ununiversalisation, positivism over non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocrypticism over procrypticism. It should equally be noted that just as no reference-of-thought will recognise itself as rather preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism (from its own present placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of itself as postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism) as we may appreciate from our relative
vantage point being at a higher registry-worldview ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, we will equally have a hard time recognising a preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of our present positivistic registry-worldview as rather preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism (as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism higher registry-worldview ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as in both instances, the ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ highlights that the prior preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought faces a ‘Heideggerian (engaged)-destruktion’, as it is not about substituting our species but enabling the further development of our same species as institutionalisation/intemporalisation, articulated as a Derridean deconstruction involving ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’ of the prospective postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought over the hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> of the prior preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/ontological-reference. So our natural ‘argumentation reflex’/new logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as ‘prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism re-engaging reflex’ with respect to the more familiar existentially veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implication—supposedly-apriorising-in-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, as the various
‘temporal-dispositions individuations’ will, at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold, betray
ontologising/ontological-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation by hollow-constituting-
<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> at
their specific temporal-dispositions individuations thresholds (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Thus
providing the basis for a ‘Diffèrance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness not only at a
registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level of hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> but also
at temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations level of hollow-constituting–<as-
disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>, which
then allows for disambiguated ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework with respect
to individuals teleologies as being of any of the various temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions
individuations (for instance, psychopath postlogic-backtracking–<iterative-looping–’set-of-
dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’–as-reflex-fleeting-logic, psychopath’s or postlogic
interlocutor conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-reflex-cohering-logic, etc.). This
effectively allows for ‘diffèrance conceptualisation’ of hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> and ontological-
reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction analysis’ of intradimensional phenomena,
and rather construed as of the conflaction of the corresponding registry-worldview reference-
of-thought transcendental dialectics. Such a ‘Diffèrance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-
veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ thus goes on to encompass the stranding-dialectics
educing-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology-into-the-existentialism-becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation marking any registry-worldview reference-of-thought. The underlying idea here being that faced with incidental issues arising in various effective social contexts, the ‘ontological/intemporal paradigm approach’ is to have at hand a ‘universal cadre’ that conceptualises and is geared towards attending-to/resolving all such and other incidental issues as it is suprastructural to all such incidentals. That universal cadre with regards to issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-\langle as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation\rangle pointing to ‘Diffèreance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, is human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor conjugating with respect to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness requiring re-institutionalisation/re-intemporalisation in successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose, cumulating/recomposuring along various ontologising-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as institutionalising, universalising, positivising and fully/abjectly-ontologising into deprocrypticism). Human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as such is ontologically a preceding and defining construct that provides insight on ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications issues’ across all the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose since ‘it grasps the ontological-veracity of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as it recomposes across all the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose’; due to the inherent/permanent nature of human shallow to profound limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not an exercise of human emanance transformation from temporal-dispositions to intemporal-disposition (as we wrongly imply by intuition) but a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation or secondnaturing exercise, explaining why we are continually the same species from utter-institutionalisation to prospectively deprocrypticism. This point can be demonstrated by the fact that when a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview is institutionalised, our same temporality/shortness as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor will now rather conjugate temporarily as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or perversion-of-reference-of-thought—effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (conjugated: postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances) to the new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation at the new institutionalisation’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, and thus eliciting the need for prospective intemporalisation/institutionalisation. The need for successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose thus leads to deprocrypticism which specificity going by the increasing ‘rational-realism’ of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process is to recognise the veridicality of this human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor (as of the intemporal-disposition and temporal-dispositions of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances) and construct prospective knowledge factoring it in, as ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ or knowledge construct not only based on intemporal idealisation but that also factors in how the temporalities will relate to meaning, and be conceptually preemptive of human temporality/shortness since human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor can’t be emanantly/becomingly/solipsistic transformed as ‘of intemporal-disposition only’ (it’s a lost cause as that is not our firstnatureness since we are effectively of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions given our human-subpotency ever limited-mentation-capacity relative to the full-potency of existence as existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness) and avoid articulating knowledge as if the human mentation is by reflex only intemporal of emanance reference-of-thought when in reality it is of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions, and so by way of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling. Effectively given that going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, the determinant nature of intemporal/ontological constructs induced by institutionalisation with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction is always bound to elicit two classes of human mental-dispositions with respect to it whether as a temporal extricatory paradigm or as an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, and knowledge-notionalisation is grounded
on addressing meaningfulness insightfully in these two respects. The veridical insight to the
reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor lies in the fact that the cross-section of humankind at
any institutionalisation is institutionalised at its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-
depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or
contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or uninstitutionalised-threshold or threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism; as basically intemporality/longness is a
pathway from base-institutionalisation to universalisation to positivism and prospectively
deprocrypticism as the fulfilment of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence potency, and any
pretence at a positivistic registry-worldview to be non-transcendable (in terms–as-of-
axiomatic-construct of ‘‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’’) is untenable as the same could be implied at base-
institutionalisation and universalisation, which obviously we won’t recognise and acquiesce to,
implying the temporal-difficulty of dealing with the transcendental implications in
reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process often lead to
ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as human-subpotency–
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor! The grander insight
being that ‘institutionalisation devising and devices’ already speaks a lot about human
potential and capacity (and are basically our virtue with no need for ‘false idealisation’ that
just induces ‘vain-temporality passing for intemporality’), and just as previous
institutionalisations prospered, due to increasing realism, because they did away with deities
and spirits in recognising that human potential lies in what humans can do themselves, and
strived even more by doing away with essences in recognising that understanding effectively
what happens in the world is what gives power and effectiveness over nature, a further
extension of rational-realism is to do away with the ‘false feel good’ naivety of construing
man by reflex in intemporal terms (not recognising or rather taking full cognisance of the
implications that we have temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as shortness-to-longness-of-
register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-
effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> teleologies) which failure only leads to unrealistically grounded reference-
of-thought and meaningfulness (characterised by the readiness to overlook vices-and-
impediments of our registry-worldview/dimension as side notes rather than the idea that these
point to our deficiencies and ‘that these are actually the necessary pathway for
superseding/transcending’ for prospective paradigms, just as preceding registry-worldviews
had to deal with their paradigms that led up to our positivistic registry-worldview) and
aspiring for the intemporal while factoring in the temporal. In a further elaboration, there is
no pathway for prospective base-institutionalisation without a recognition of recurrence-of-
utter-uninstitutionalisation for its superseding, no pathway for prospective universalisation
without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, of-base-
institutionalisation-as-ununiversalisation for its superseding, no pathway for prospective
positivism without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, of-
universalisation-as-non-positivism/medievalism for its superseding, and there is equally no
pathway for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
temporal mental-disposition that is more predisposed to project mainly in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘temporal lifespan of living scale’ rather than ‘humanity-at-large spatial and timeless scale’ of intemporal projection-of-thought mental-disposition; with the inherent moral and intellectual superiority of the latter warranting an uncompromising stance over the former, in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, as has always been the case all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, and so ‘looking down’ at temporality/shortness effects of ‘country-of-the-blind effect’ and ‘crowd effects’. Already with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, our formalisation mechanisms acknowledge unspokenly/tacitly/by-mere-intuition the veracity/ontological-pertinence of our potential ‘perverting temporal-dispositions inclinations’ by its ‘abstract preemptive mechanisms’, the bigger prospect though lies in fully unleashing such a potential for a knowledge-notionalisation emancipation that is consciously aware of the full implications and thus paradoxically uninhibited/decomplexified in dealing with this realism rationally and further expand human intemporal potential as the deprocrypticism registry-worldview. Actually the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension we will be able to supersede human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor because its preemting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought,-as-to-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalisng/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enables ‘absolute social
universal-transparency–{(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) about
the real nature of human action’ thus undermining the disposition for human temporal-
preservation-and-prevarication behind relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-
‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism”; as in fact the successive institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recomposure (as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–
apriorising-psychologism and in-phase/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ in
voiding/annulling the ‘supposed pretence of a contending posture or reference-of-thought’ of
the successive corresponding uninstitutionalised-thresholds as actually the ontological
reflection of their mental-disposition is ‘of threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> manifestation
intradimensionally) as temporal-dispositions are actually involved in pseudointemporality
inducing temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation defining the
corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> manifestation, thus represented as
entailing–(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) as deprocrypticism. The conceptualisation of ‘knowledge-notionalisation’ is rather based on the fundamental notion of a superseding–oneness-of-ontology with respect to knowledge-and-virtue conceptualisation such that so-construed it is rather a ‘referential-as-natural’ conceptualisation of knowledge that consciously tautologically subsumes temporal-dispositions and intemporal-disposition (as opposed to our present ‘categories-as-artificial’ conceptualisation of knowledge often predisposed to overlook the temporal, and critically so, with respect to understanding the social as of the human condition together with inherent ontological-veridicality in naively assuming the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology by reflex focussed mostly on inherent ontological-veridicality, and whose artificially-demarcated subject-matters and hierarchical relationship with the first-order-ontology/philosophy is by itself a structural/paradigmatic shortcoming with respect to our understanding possibilities, given that our artificial subject-matter categories-schemes do not precede nor define intrinsic-reality as ‘knowledge-in-its-oneness-and-entirety’), and is postconvergent in its ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptualisation of reality in a unison of second-order-ontologies with the first-order-ontology/philosophy wherein second-order subject-matters aren’t discontinuously hollowed out from the first-order-ontology but rather their inter-relational and hierarchical relationship with the first-order-ontology (philosophy) is subsumptive with the latter as superseding–oneness-of-ontology and the place for elucidating epistemic disagreement (with the practical desire for an appropriate proportion of subject-matter experts directly studying and understanding the first-order-ontology/philosophy elucidations and the possibilities implied for their subject-matters), and as the first-order-ontology/philosophy furthermore is the ‘abstractly inventing conceptualising construct that construes the requisite overhanging knowledge psychical-orientation/psyche’, as the fact is it was a philosophical orientation whether explicit with
Descartes’s ‘I think therefore I am’ establishing the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology so excellently, with the later requalification of Hume, Kant and others of that same mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology and actually ‘in complement to it’ than truly criticisms (which is often philosophically misconstrued, as Descartes’s ‘thinking proposition’ is so profound that it is the very ‘transparent pillar or social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) for the tenability of the supposed critiques of rationalism, which are actually in complement to it, by latter philosophers, and it is rather the failure to compare what the ‘thinking proposition’ implies with respect to the prior as the core-medieval mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology of essences, alchemies and superstition as an altogether different <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of human mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology, together with the naïve predisposition for categorisation of knowledge in artificial human categories undermining the ‘natural referentialism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of knowledge’ that is at the basis of misapprehending the complementing as criticisms, as in fact these will actually be better construed as Extended Rationalism – rationalism, empiricism, subjectivism, realism, idealism, phenomenology, as the fact is none of the latter claims to be ‘irrational’) or less-explicit with Copernicus, Galileo, Darwin, etc. scientific endeavours/postures that ‘invented-and-upheld’ the positivistic psyche/psychical-orientation for our present-day positivistic knowledge form, as the fact is Descartes ‘abjectly-thinking-proposition psyche’ is not a given as of its epistemological and ontological implications as to projective dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation, and in the same token there is a case to be made that suprastructuralism as a meaningful-frame ushered in by post-structuralism will be the requisite human mindset/reference-of-thought/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology of
\((\text{amplituding})\)formative\>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought for the prospective knowledge-form/meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with deprocrypticism as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dimensionality-of-sublimating—
\((\text{amplituding})\)formative\>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation; as ‘different institutional-cumulation/institutional-recompose have their knowledge-

form/meaningfulness-and-teleology psyches (psychologisms) which is a difficult notion to grasp when operating only within a same registry-worldview/dimension psyche of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing without projecting of varying/ Successive fundamental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing framing, but this can be elucidated by an ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-
dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ highlighting the defining stage by stage psychical development as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-
institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to universalisation–non-positivism-or-medievalism to positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism psyche. Suprastructuralism ultimately reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process by bringing to the ‘collective-human- psyche-and-consciousness as a transparent-pillar or social

universal-transparency–\((\text{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-})\)

\((\text{amplituding})\)formative\>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) the insight of a lockstep relationship of the-postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-narrative—
by—the-preconverging-or-dementing-narrative’ in grasping ontology/ontologically-veridical-
meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality across all human retrospective, present and prospective
institutionalisations, as implied by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—
stranding/attributive-dialectics with a corresponding comprehensive grasp of the implications
of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor with respect to institutionalisation possibilities and
more precisely and prospectively, preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought—
as-to—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationaising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychology as deprocripticism-and-its-
potential-for-prevailing-over-or-superseding-human-vice-and-impediments-as-arising-from-
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as well as knowledge-notionalisation undermining
the prospective denaturing of institutionalisation possibilities as subknowledging. Going by
our mirage/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness we will possibly think otherwise,
but this rather points to how our forerunners felt psychologically when their worlds built of
deities and later essences were being put into question by ‘an increasing realism insight’ of an
intrinsic-reality that is ontologically given and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with
respect to us, with the implication that it is our psyche that ‘gives-in’ to intrinsic-reality and
not the other way around.
- As central to an overall Suprastructuralism conceptualisation that subsumes all the
transcendental concepts highlighted with regards to grasping ontology/ontologically-
veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality, and corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-
thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to—
shallow-supererogation> with respect to ushering in the requisite preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism that should define and conceptualise the Deprocrypticism registry-
worldview/dimension (as the effective attainment of ontological-normalcy), is the idea of a
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or
natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-
reference conceptual-scheme’. Basically, a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–
psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’
‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ (in
defining individual, summative intradimensional and
transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness meaningfulness reference-of-thought), renders suprastructuralism
and associated transcendental concepts comprehensively operant (as well as rendering
ontologically-pertinent a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration enabling a more
profound intuitive elucidation of the phenomena reflected by the conceptualisations in this
paper) as such a conceptual-scheme effectively construes the reality of human placeholder-
setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-awareness-teleology defect in
its failing-and-succeeding representation of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-
reality grasped as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-
transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness; with the idea that deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality ‘preempting the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness, as the given subject-matter in a full-blossoming unison of second-order ontology with first-order ontology. Insightfully, superseding–oneness-of-ontology points out that human ascription of knowledge into various categories as science, humanities, arts, etc. is actually an unnatural differentiation that has to do with arbitrary human categorisation out of practicalities of division of labour and organisation, while equally leading to confusions. Actually knowledge as a whole imply the two basic elements: its conceptualisation and the causal effectiveness thereof of the conceptualisation. Knowledge conceptualisation and causal effectiveness can successively be construed in three respects; specific, intermediary and general, with all aspects of conceptualisations being notionally
philosophical as providing meaningful insights while all aspects of causal effectiveness
provide confirmatory and predicative-insights to meaningful insights. (Interesting it is
important to note that empiricism speaks of the possibility of knowledge revelation by the
inherent nature of the subject-matter and not an abstract approach as often naively construed;
with the implication that empiricism can be construed as deriving from a confirmatory
analysis of a mere insight, observation or experiment depending on the inherent nature of the
said subject-matter, so long as this then allows for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework.) Thus notionally speaking all human knowledge is philosophical knowledge as
being about meaningful insights. For practicalities, the general basis for establishing
conceptual pertinence as of the more general abstract notions of knowledge is attributed to
the philosophical disciplines (involving philosophy and the philosophies of subject-matters
including sciences, and its extension in the humanities and social sciences) even though in
further practical terms such construal will be punctually undertaken as well when relevant to
specific disciplines of immediate cause-and-effect construals/conceptualisations. This equally
practically partakes in the denotative and connotative disambiguation of subject-matters. The
practical basis for intermediate conceptual pertinence has to do with the inter-relation and
delineating of subject-matters with a lesser direct implication of the philosophy, and even less
so when it comes to the practical basis for specific conceptual pertinence as practised within
subject-matters/specialisms themselves. Thus in human practical terms, knowledge can be
construed as a wheel made up of three parts with the central part viewed as the hub of the
wheel (philosophical) that provides control (as asking the most basic notional questions of
meaningfulness and logic), the outer part of subject-matter (tyre) that connects with the
ground (as causal effectiveness asking the more immediate questions of specific domains of
nature and reality) and the middle part as the rim and spoke of the wheel holding the other
two parts together (providing logical coherence, construed both within subject-
matters/specialisms and philosophical disciplines). For practical purposes though, any of these conceptualisation – logical-coherence – causal-effectiveness dispositions can be overemphasised or underemphasised, but it is critical to grasp that any such underemphasising or overemphasising doesn’t speak of a change of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality but a human practicality purpose (conventioning) which pertinence lies in not losing sight of and ultimately recovering the superseding ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality. This basic conception of knowledge fundamentally explains what to expect of the philosophical as first-order ontology or the sciences including all other applied studies of second-order ontology. Often times, issues are raised which underlying presumption/presupposition/premise should actually be wholly or partially of fundamental philosophical conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology but naively purported to be answered wholly as of a second-order ontology terms. Broadly speaking philosophy as the first-order ontology (acting as a cog) has been more about providing the overall scope for meaningful insights and the broader conceptual background for other subject-matters while science and other second-order ontology disciplines (as the wheel that meets the ground) draws on a sound and broad philosophical conceptual background to articulate causal effectiveness (as of the inherent nature of their subject-matters). It is rather naïve to depart from a philosophical angle and try to imply causal effectiveness of a natural science nature (rather than effective validation techniques relevant to transversal nature of philosophical conceptualisation) just as the same holds true the other way round. The reality is that if science was the best method to answer philosophical questions as of its subject-matter, then it would have already taken over from philosophy as practised and the reverse holds true as well, as in reality it is all about human practical organisation in construing a superseding- oneness-of-ontology while dealing with our given limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- ⟨(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation). The fact is science is structurally bound to construe causal effectiveness as of the inherent nature of its domains of reality and philosophy is fundamentally conceptualising by its very nature and providing the broad conceptual background for all human knowledge with the implication that without such conceptualisation the historical insight for the need and upholding of the sciences and scientific method wouldn’t have come about while equally defining the limits of what science can achieve. Insightfully and beyond their practical differentiations, with all knowledge actually being conceptually philosophical, a lot of science is actually a sort of impromptu and punctual heuristic philosophy at sciences subject-matter level. So it is rather critical here to distinguish between a human denotative and segmenting exercise (as not determining inherent reality) which is conventioned knowledge and the inherent connotation of the reality of knowledge as the superseding knowledge ontology inherent structure. In that sense, one often misconstrued notion with respect to notional philosophy is that it is not as successful as the sciences, which is a naïve conceptualisation as the very idea of such notional philosophy is its conceptualising irrigation of second-order ontology with the more immediate and ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework success being not only a success of the second-order ontology but a percolated success of notional philosophy as of its historical development of human conceptualisation in inducing the second-order-ontologies and irrigating them with meaningful-insights, whether we talk about the sciences, jurisprudence and law, ethics, engineering, aesthetics, etc. (This insight means that the classical conception we have of philosophy as mainly about great philosophical thinkers is incomplete as we equally need to understand the ‘organic-knowledge’ as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of other thinkers as they were developing second-order ontologies, and analyse such thoughts in philosophical terms and make these part and parcel
of philosophy without necessarily going deeply in their concrete ‘operant mechanicalknowledge’ except where this clarifies their ‘organic-knowledge’. That’s why the work of such transcendental thinkers like Newton, Galileo, Einstein, Bohr, Pasteur, etc. are ‘more than just technicalities’ as these involve a certain commitment as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality which needs to be properly relayed not only in the further development of the ‘mechanical-knowledge’ they advanced but equally about elucidating the profundity of knowledge itself. This insight is equally valid with respect to great artists like Michelangelo, among others. While critically, highlighting how human emancipation has been associated with such ‘organic-knowledge’ brought by scientists, artists and philosophers as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality across various epochs, such that the history of philosophy is much more than just biographical and analytical accounts of past masters but further involves the active relation of these in construing the ‘becoming-and-emancipating human psyche as of individual and social implications then and now’.) ‘Notional philosophy’ as articulated above is the very profundity behind the human (‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’) imagination, projection, development, articulation and conceptualisation-resourcing possibilities for all second-order ontologies; not so as an instant present development (of philosophers and philosophy-impacting scientists and artists) but rather as of its historical development, accrual and drive into today’s second-order ontologies, as inventing the overall knowledge psyche and their perspectives in the very first place. A notion that is often hardly grasped because of the poor imagination of the notional philosophical work across epochs inducing human <(amplitudesiformative)>epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, and psychically and institutionally bringing about our present
conventioned knowledge being naively related to as if our present mentation-capacity and insights are simply a given, lacking a full appreciation of prior notional philosophical transformations of mindsets/references-of-thought/psychologisms and human developments of knowledge construal/conceptualisation, and correspondingly lacking a full appreciation of prospective overall human knowledge development possibilities of future philosophical <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of a prospective mindset/reference-of-thought/psychologism for the construal/conceptualisation of all human knowledge. It should be noted that this articulation about the role of notional philosophy speaks of the ontologically philosophical beyond just conventioning/classical sense of conceptual philosophy. That is, a scientist that develops insights about issues of philosophical import is ontologically contributing to philosophy even though qualified as a scientist by conventioning (as the natural ontological construct of knowledge as intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality doesn’t recognise our artificial delimitations of knowledge organisation), just as the reverse equally holds true as well. Consider that Aristotle set out as a philosopher but in many ways has turned out to be the true father of science. Notional philosophy in the bigger framework construed of organic-knowledge itself as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as the superseding drive behind the ‘inventing/creating’ of all human technicalities/mechanical-knowledge refers to the mental-disposition to break from ‘ordinary apathy and constraining framework of secondnaturized institutionalisation’ to rearticulate the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemic/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection underlying the ‘inventing/creating’ of prospective secondnatured institutionalisation possibilities as prospective knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-
intercessory-notions/notional–referential-notions/articulations/virtue. Ultimately and beyond shallow technicalities/professions of presences as has been variously and decisively the case throughout humankind history, the most important philosophical work is the preservation of the human existential tale in prolongation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality by ‘maintaining a contemplative distance/detachment from ordinary human blithe’ susceptible to render meaningfulness-and-teleology a closed-structure (as merely-exploiting-Being-as-of-its-presence-state-with-poor-regards-for-Being-underdevelopment-and-development-potential-construed-as-nihilism as of \((amplituding)\)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-\(as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of its temporal \((amplituding)\)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncetising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by adopting a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ as ‘looking down upon the value-reference constructs of all successive presences construed as conventioned-aberrations of pure-ontology’ in order to ‘keep agape’ an opened-structure (as developing-Being-potential-over-mere-exploiting-of-presence-state-of-Being-construed-as-antinihilism-or-opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) for prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology; as no registry-worldview/dimension ‘as a product of secondnatured institutionalisation’ should be construed as defining itself ‘in its self-referencing/nombrilism as being the ultimate grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, be it at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. That is the most important work of all human jobs whether it is done as of ‘institutionally secondnatured construed technical/professional philosophy’ or not, as secondnatured institutionalisation by itself
doesn’t guarantee such a requisite dimensionality-of-sublimating—epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection even though the latter does ensue in any case as of notional philosophy. Such ‘dimensionality-of-sublimating—epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation projection notional philosophical dispositions’ upholding an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to enable prospective institutionalisation as assumed by the Socrates, Aristotles, Avicennas, Mansa-Musas, Zheng-Hes, Buddhas, Copernicuses, Galileos, Rousseaux, Diderots, Darwins, etc. as-‘inventing’-or-‘creating’-or-‘upholding’-new-intellectual-paradigms-of-societies, are the ‘most social of human acts’ as keeping up by renewing-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of prospective conflatedness as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence behind the possibility of prolonging the human existential tale for prospective civilisation, and so not on the same pedestal with ‘nombrilistic presences of registry-worldviews/dimensions in their epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal-dispositions’ as wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications) blithe to such retrospective-and-thus-prospective insight by their temporal extricatory paradigms in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought as of epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence. This is enabled by the tautological/referential/existential-reference nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/existence allowing for ‘predication or predictive-insight’ and ‘postdication or projective-insights’, the latter very much attached with the arts and aesthetic forms but hardly hitherto associated with the predicting of the former like in scientific constructions, though
such postdication-as-predictive can possibly be enabled as ‘metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisations’ in domains concerned with predication as introduced (besides the ‘projective intemporal-preservation-contiguity/referential analysis’ of this author in this paper taking cognisance of metaphysics-of-absence as the need to supersede our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage) in the form of conceptualisations based on ‘creative-spaces-of-metaphors’ (or for that matter the jargon as can reasonably be expected of the thoroughness of all inherently analytical subject matter especially in this case by the highly exploratory nature of such analysis, as such writing are not ‘story writings’ nor should the artificial excuse in the case of core post-structural writings like quoting Einstein in saying that good science is associated with beautiful equation as obviously just as \( E=MC^2 \) is beautiful but the underlying physics is a head-scratcher one can equally say ‘there is nothing outside the text’ is a beautiful statement but don’t expect the underlying Derridean deconstruction and implications to be child’s play, nor should the fact that the meaningfulness of the social ‘being closer to us emotionally’ compared to the natural sciences that this should preclude its analysis if and when we are temporally uncomfortable with it, as that is part and parcel of our human development as our forerunners had taken their responsibilities about that to usher in our positivistic registry-worldview/dimension and we can’t exclude ourselves from prospective transcendence), which ultimate knowledge-credential is not in the ‘metaphors themselves’, as misunderstood by naïve critics, since these are just a ‘conceptualisation detour’ with respect to apprehending a fleeting-perception of reality but rather ‘as-of-the-implied-or-derived-elucidation’ which is the actual ‘product of ontological import’, by such thinkers as Deleuze, Guattari, Lacan, Rory, Derrida and others, and so, as pertinent and as so-validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and insight. Central to such ‘ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ is the idea of superseding–oneness–
explicating-ontological-contiguity of ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ 

misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation are twofold. Firstly, with respect
to the nature of human knowledge development as a constant deepening (with augmenting 
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework with respect to intrinsic-
reality/ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness) from a ‘shallow coherent
superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ towards a ‘deeper coherent superseding–oneness-of-
ontology’ by the institutionalisation dynamism of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’ inducing ‘placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology rescheduling’ wherein a given
present registry-worldview of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’-for-perversion-
of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, is transcended/superseded as
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism ushering in a new present registry-
worldview of less relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’-for-perversion-
of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-
preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, which is transcending/superseding as
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism’, and at the ‘individuation-
level of conceptualisation of knowledge’ construed as predisposed to either hollow-
constituting<-as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
preservation’ and ‘ontologically-reconstituting (upholding-intemporal-preservation)’ as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existent-ontological-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality. Secondly, with respect to the psychological/psychoanalytical basis of meaningfulness representation (placeholder-setup/mentation/mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology), with regards to the fact that the ‘reflex supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mental-disposition’ is a ‘purely abstract construct’ of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology representation of meaningfulness but then without ‘existential reality validation’ is wrong (particularly beyond the scope of a registry-worldview’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought where intemporality/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology has been more or less secondnatured, at its uninstitutionalised-threshold) as this fails to reflect the fact that the same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness have various temporal-to-intemporal conjugations of meaningfulness with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness when truly reflecting the reality of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor unlike a naïve foundation wrongly based solely on an intemporal human nature conceptualisation specifically at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, and that in all instances, to ensure ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, this is deduced of recurrence in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existent-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology as non-existent and bogus). With respect to social-and-confliction-stakes ‘the same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ have different implications with respect to whether the interlocutor is an supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism interlocutor or postlogic/psychopathic/postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> interlocutor or conjugated-postlogic/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor, and is what makes it a requisite to construe as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposing–for-relative-ontological-completeness. We can’t be certain about the ontological-veridicality of ‘separate dots as separate narratives’ themselves as the 3 different interlocutors can all express ‘the same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ going by their mental-dispositions with the latter two, postlogic/psychopathic/postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> interlocutor or conjugated-postlogic/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor, being deceptive by their mental-dispositions (recursively with postlogic/psychopathic, progressively with exacerbation/opportunism and regressively with ignorance/affordability). However, we can ascertaing the true motive and ontological-veridicality of the 3 types of interlocutors by the ‘trace of their dots as separate narratives’ in revealing their true mental-dispositions and motives, as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of ‘existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ quickly reveals that however coherent and sound each separate narrative of the postlogic/psychopathic/postlogic-backtracking-
iterative-looping—‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’—interlocutor or conjugated-postlogic/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor (particularly as recursive and progressive), the ‘perception-together-in-succession or as-a-trace’ of their ‘expressed dots as separate narratives’ reveals ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation that shines the light on the fundamental driver/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the postlogism/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism interlocutors as well as the reality of the threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism whereas the same exercise with supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism interlocutor will show a coherence of the trace-of-dots-as-narratives and actually in the case where a supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism interlocutor is actually the target of such postlogism-slantedness inducing ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ about the latter, that trace-of-dots-as-narratives from the supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism and the postlogic/psychopathic and/or conjugated-postlogic interlocutors will reveal the ontological nature of the ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’. The reason why ‘separate dots as separate narratives’ lead to postlogic and conjugated-postlogic faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is that their extrapolation is actually an extrapolation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought—<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> of ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness as if supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ whereas retracing of the mental-disposition foregoes elaboration-as-mere—
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of separate dots as separate narratives, and thus is existentially involved in construing the reality to the point of revealing ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation in the trace-of-successive-dots-as-(hollow)-narratives that shines the light on the fundamental driver/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the postlogic and/or conjugated-postlogic interlocutor as well as the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledgeing of its narratives. That’s why spatialisation, indirectness and craftiness are critical to postlogic and conjugated-postlogic mental-dispositions so as to evade their prospective interlocutors ‘putting one and one together as will arise in an existentially veridical context and so that their interlocutors should rather undertake elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity the purely abstract meaning as seemingly sound separate dots as separate narratives but which are non-existentially real, rather than existentially trace the successive dots as separate narratives. This is what enables the establishment, as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of ‘existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology’, at the relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation), defining the typical threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism psyche of successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> manifestation intradimensionally, and so-construed from the perspective of their corresponding superseding/transcending/prospective institutionalisations) as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation preconverging-or-dementing-psyche, ununiversalisation preconverging-or-dementing-psyche, non-positivism/medievalism preconverging-or-dementing-psyche and our uninstitutionalised-threshold as procrystalism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought preconverging-or-dementing-psyche. This equally reflect how the childhood psychopathy psyche is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologismly perceived though at childhood temporal-dispositions-conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration to psychopathy is not significant as its perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> is still universally transparent as delirious and thus it doesn’t elicit temporal-preservation by conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration, since it is not spatialising, maturing, and being sufficiently indirect, credulous and crafty to be non-transparent by its motives and acts. Ultimately, this highlights generally that at relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’-threshold (as-it-is-thus–‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought–<as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation)s or uninstitutionalised-threshold, hollow-constituting–<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation>/extrapolating/inferring to derive essence-of-meaningfulness is not a credible
notion with respect to an human animal of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions wherein ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ is bound to be perverted by temporal-dispositions, though within institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation this is secondnatured, for instance, with respect to the fact that a medieval postlogic phenomenon like witchcraft cannot be credibly implied both in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of eliciting abstract/extrapolating/inferring hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation> nor existential-transitioning/iterability-tracing-of-dots-as-{hollow}narratives in our present institutionalised positivistic registry-worldview. Vitally, with regards to postlogism and conjugated-postlogism, it is always about ‘falsely and parasitisingally/co-optingly’ staking a claim to the reference-of-thought in order to wrongly elicit its implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology to a prospective interlocutor, and so recursively (psychopathic/postlogic-character), progressively (conjugated-exacerbation and conjugated-opportunism characters) and regressively (conjugated-ignorance and conjugated-affordability characters). Generally, this insight harkens back to the previous elucidation with regards to the BODMAS characters where the pure arithmetic operation as a deductive/inferring/extrapolation exercise is no longer valid when the fundamental axiom is breached due to a pathological condition, and with the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) resulting in other temporal characters, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology–<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, operating arithmetic as if the condition never existed; and thus there is a need for a retracing to establish the existential reality of the breaching or non-breaching of axiomatic rules, before determining the
ontological-veridicality of the results of the arithmetic operations. In a further elucidation of psychological/psychoanalytical basis of meaningfulness representation, this further confirms the fact that temporality/shortness (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-telology) and intemporality/longness (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-telology) are both basically the same notion of intemporality, but with temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) being rather in various grades of poor execution of intemporality/longness (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-telology) but that in so doing such temporal-dispositions of individuation ‘falsely retaining their teleology/purposefulness’ as if of intemporal-disposition leading to their ‘pseudointemporality’ (and so with respect to their apriorising-registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), inducing structural/paradigmatic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect—<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> where such false-retention construed as temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation is rather in conjugated-postlogism; with the idea that this ‘false-retention’ by temporal-dispositions individuations results in ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation with respect to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness as meaningfulness become ‘an exercise in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (whether-consciously-or-unconsciously), as can be so established as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existent-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness. This conceptualisation of temporality/shortness as being about failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-intemporality/longness (which perfectly syncs intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, beyond just a qualification notion but rather a (amplituding)formative-epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'protensive-consciousness'-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construct), equally perfectly renders the notion of temporality/shortness and intemporality/longness operant for a 'postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' 'psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme'. The notion of temporality/shortness as actually 'pseudointemporality' provides a deeper insight to such traditional notions as bad, evil, wicked, etc. that we attach to temporal-dispositions (specifically, in the moral sense as temporality/shortness is much more than morality as derived from intemporality/longness which is about 'full potency of ontological-and-virtue effectiveness') by de-emphasising the naïve but wrong intuition that these notions have their own 'mental-dispositional drives-as-teleology' (to be bad, to be evil, to be wicked, etc.) by rather highlighting that 'mental-dispositional incapacity for intemoporality' of such individuations induces 'notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought' misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation (at individuation-level as relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, which when taken into preservation, as temporal-preservation, is rather in pseudointemporality, while with respect to a traditional conceptualisation it is wrongly ‘vaguely imbued with a dispositional-drive-as-teleology’ as bad, as evil, as wicked… etc. Now, the consequences of pseudointemporality individuations (postlogism-slantedness, postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-performances) are reflected developmentally in the social fabric which is a ‘framework of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ as the transference, in dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of such pseudointemporality individuations into ‘individual personalities dispositions and social dispositions’ induces correspondingly subontologisation in ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation (at individuation-level relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing-apriorising-psychologism’, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>,–or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, on ‘social ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ and is the basis, in dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of given registry-worldviews/dimensions vices-and-impediments, and how these can be superseded/transcended, because the reality is that humans have transcended retrospectively
to the present and there is no particular reason to think that there can’t be prospective transcendence going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. Such a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ will further highlight in contrast to the present ‘psychology of qualification/qualification-schemes’ that human psychology is actually much more of a becoming dynamic construct, rather than static, which wholly readjusts to human deepening grasp of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality/existence as a retrospective, present and prospective development; that collectively-and-inclusively-individuals-and-their-social-constructs do have latitude for the choices they make in existence more than and beyond the limits of personality traits and social character, and further that the human mind is ‘not irresponsible’ with respect to given personalities dispositions (whether with respect to abnormal psychology or functional psychology) with the idea that such stances taken by a ‘psychology of qualifications/qualification-schemes’ induces a confounding-effect with respect to individual personalities themselves in assuming their self-emancipation possibilities and what they can aspire for together with their interveners/relators, whether social or clinical. Such insight do arise when we factor in that all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, human secondnaturing is actually the very central ontologically-led developmental element as the critical tool of human psychological renewal that enabled ‘an animal in many ways’ to emancipate itself developmentally across epochs such that the ‘insightful depth’ of such a developmental understanding of human psychology is necessarily much more than ‘a cultural universe of several decades of modernity’, as it conceives that human psychology is an ongoing active
construct such that a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ rather captures the ontological undercurrents that constantly redefine human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as it recognises that (and explains why) the mental-disposition/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a recurrent-utter-institutionalised mindset/reference-of-thought varies from that of a based-institutionalised/ununiversalised mindset, the latter from that of a universalised/non-positivistic-or-medieval mindset, the latter from that of a positivistic/procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought (our own mental-disposition), and the latter from that of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism mindset, while not ignoring as well the intradimensional spectrum of variation within each mindset; and wherein ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics is the central concept for such a succession of human ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ renewal retrospectively, presently and prospectively, with ontological-normalcy/postconvergence teleology being the central determinant driving and defining human psychology construed by its metaphysics-of-absence as diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence. Interestingly, psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference as a human disposition for correspondence/equalisation/squaring-off with existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology, as of sub-potency-to-full-potency as qualified by recomposuring from shallow limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity–(as of relative conflation), speaks of the mind as an abstract ‘teleologically imbricated tautologisation/existential-reference’ (‘teleologically imbricated tautologisation/existential-
reference ‘being-in-existence’/existing implies there can’t be any elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity (induced by our ‘limited-mentation-capacity as of our relative-
ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’’)

‘outside of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-
context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality that syncs with existential reality’, in wrongly implying
existence-in-existence which is nothing but ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-
construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ (wherein the
disposition to ‘constitute/abstract/extrapolate/deduce/infer essence-of-meaning is wrongly
preceding/defining or even superseding existential reality’ rather than the Sartrean reality of
‘existence or existential reality preceding/defining essence’), so actually ‘existence is rather a
contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality that supersedes the elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity’, when so-construed from our ‘limited-mentation-capacity as of
our relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’’. Existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-
prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-
epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality in sync with 
existence ‘speaks of threaded-or-intertwined subsumed referencing of all in existence’ 
beyond just elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-
elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, thus validating philosophically 
such approaches in physics as string-theory concepts lending support to the string 
phenomenology approach. This conceptually implies that the ‘all-in-one/oneness’ (of 
tonality) implied of existence supersedes our elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity conceptualisations, and while these are ‘mental tools of analysis’ 
we have in grasping knowledge, as elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity these are rather ‘sub-par to the full grasp of existential reality’ 
(given that our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-
supererogation) as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’, will often fail to reference the 
underlying being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation ‘for a 
contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality that syncing with existential reality’. For instance say in 
the case of the BODMAS characters highlighted before, where the other characters ignore the 
given pathological condition in simply operating arithmetic rules, however, the inherence of 
existential reality will not be superseded simply by such elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of arithmetic rules in protraction as ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’, as such arithmetic rules of extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring will have to be adjusted-in-a-‘threadedness/imbricatedness/recomposuring’ like subtracting 1 to A’s results to sync with the existential reality implications of A’s pathological condition of wrongly adding 1 to the correct result of arithmetic operations), and as metaphysics-of-presence (i.e., ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’) metaphysics-of-absence is rather the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence correction-tool of postdication, as-of projective-insights for predication, which is equally construed as ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction (i.e. implying ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’). This is more of a simplistic though conceptually correct demonstration, and the implications to meaningfulness can be much more elaborate and as explained further below, with the notion of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as ontologically-veridical only as abstract-construal (such as the abstract arithmetic operations) but its wrong ontological derivation in lieu of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation is ontologically wrong/non-veridical as it leads to ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-
shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ (wherein the elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity in protraction of the abstract arithmetic operations wrongly overlooks existential-reality as of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation given by the existential pathological condition), instead of ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ as the ontological-veridicality of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation (which in the face of the ‘existential pathological condition’ as being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation upholds existential-reality by way of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring by subtracting 1 from A’s result to existentially account for its pathological condition). It is thus not a coincidence that a Deleuzian approach and string phenomenology approaches intuitively develop the same insight about the need for ‘creative-spaces-of-expression/metaphors’ to be able to conceptualise by projective-insights on topics that critically highlight this more fundamental nature of existential reality as a contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality so-construed from the perspective of our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,—eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation} as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining—as-to—

‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-afereffect/aftereffect’, and should not mistakenly be confused with the notion of an abstract-construal since this is ontologically non-veridical as it will lead to virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference; as reference-of-thought as being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation makes reference to the comprehensive implications existentially with respect to mental-dispositions along the apriorising-registry-elements/anchoring-of-meaning-elements-of-implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology, and involving the potency of both consciousness-awareness-teleology representations and implications, for instance, the difference of the reference-of-thought as an alchemist and a chemist is much more than just an on-occasion/incidental difference (difference in abstract-construal) with respect to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existentia
contextualising-contiguity-of-meaning but carries derived being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation differences with respect to their consciousness-awareness-teleologies and registry-worldviews/dimensions
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitave-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. In fact, ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction which always refers rather to the issue of reference-of-thought is actually of ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of
existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-affereffect/aftereffect’ nature and it is about implying a prospective reference-of-thought, rather than just a différance (differentiation) as within the same prior/given reference-of-thought as of a basic abstract-construal. This is one of the reasons for its misapprehension as it implies an overall change in the reference-of-thought of appreciation which ends up putting everything ‘of old/of prior’ into question, contrary to the traditional analytical expectation of selective-or-limited critique/contestation usually of a non-transcendental nature. Insightfully, the overall relation of deconstruction as ontological-reconstituting—as-of-confledtedness to the existential framework of ontological-veridicality should further allay the confusion. Deconstruction is actually tautological with respect to intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality because it is always about the same existential reality being dealt with by improving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation) as shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity ontological-reconstituting—as-of-confledtedness; generating differing consciousness-awareness-teleology outcomes of the same existential reality whether talking of deconstruction at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level or individuation-level. Since it is always about the same existential reality, in effect the readjustment for intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is actually a human ‘changing-of-the-psyche’/psychical-readjustment (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) with its increasing-ontological-completeness or diminishing–human-epistemic-abnormalcy/diminishing–preconvergence as
aftereffect/aftereffect’ unlike an elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity which will wrongly hollow-constitute and induce ‘virtuality-or-
Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-
existential-reference’. So the tautological implication of deconstruction as ontological-
reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness is all about human rescheduling of placeholder-
setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology in
deepening its grasp of a superseding–oneness-of-ontology/intrinsic-reality that has been so all
the time, and so critically talk of transcending from shallow to deeper superseding–oneness-
of-ontology is no more than about human <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-
ontological-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as
’subpotent-mimetic-echoness- derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-
reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness already
given as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence oneness, and prospectively transcendentally
’a psychoanalytic-rescheduling from procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
to deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving
existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality
‘preempting the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-

actual-basis for logic or mathematics domains-of-study articulations. Thus, requiring on our part an imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring exercise in grasping how the underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework \(<\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\text{-epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,–for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ as of the ‘coherence/contiguity of the actual insight-giving relevant-and-implied knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional–referential-notion/articulation for the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality articulation’ should be construed to compensate for our temporality/shortness disposition associated with constitutedness, with this compensating exercise construed as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ or more consummately as conflation/conflatedness. This presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and conflatedness compensation mechanism, given our limited-mentation-capacity for the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontology, equally clarifies why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completenessness (as intimately tying down our limited-mentation-capacity by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring to the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) takes precedence over elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (as letting our limited-mentation-capacity by
unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring out of the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality). With regards to logic and by extension mathematics, this equally points out that logic as well as mathematics (and for that matter all other knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue like time, space, virtue, historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, instantaneity, cogency, methodology, etc.) are abstract constructs that underscore the underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation by presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness or conflatedness ‘intuitively-assign projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ in the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontology. That is, these are notions that reflect existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-
causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity
and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency
potential to manifest as human). Logic is thus about logical axiomatic-construct-incidenting
(construed as logic ‘ontological reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct’ incidenting) as
‘implicated by underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-
implicated-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-
insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying
supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-
framework )\((amplituding)\)formative\(\)epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-
implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness
besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), likewise,
mathematics is about mathematical axiomatic-construct-incidenting (construed as
mathematical ‘ontological reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct’ incidenting) as
‘implicated by underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-
implicated-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-
insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying
supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-prime movers-totalitative-
framework )\((amplituding)\)formative\(\)epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-
implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness
besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human); and by
extension any knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional–referential-
notion/articulation is about its axiomatic-construct-incidenting (construed as its ‘ontological
reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct’ incidenting) as ‘implicated by underlying
‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implicated-as-of-inherent-existence-
coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-
knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue, thus reflecting the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ontological-performance as of its historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as so-analysed as from notional~deprocrypticism! (It is important in this regard to distinguish what is implied by ‘incidenting’ not to be confused with ‘instantiation’, as incidenting implies an ‘abstract construction’ of the implication of logic or any ‘knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue’ that may or may not be of existential-instantiation, whereas instantiation refers actually to ‘actual existential instance’. It is critical to uphold this distinction with respect to the existentially contingent nature, as of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring, of human limited-mentation-capacity grasp of all ‘intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions’/knowledge including our grasp of logic or mathematics. As ‘abstractly-speaking’ there is no absolute certitude that in say a million years from now ‘a given as of yet unelucidated notion’, as a further imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring, will invalidate in a million years from now the ‘existential-instantiations’ validity of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue including logic and mathematics as we know of them today. Such distinction as of more immediate concern is to point out the subsuming precedence of existence as of its inherent intrinsicness beyond-and-over human construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology about it as at best the latter can only achieve as of its upper limit ‘a correspondence of construal/conceptualisation of existence’; noting here as well for coherence sake that such a statement cannot be made about existence itself as the absolute a priori, simply because any arising existential-instantiations no matter the strangeness or abnormality to what is traditionally thought or expected however imbricated/threaded/recomposured or
elaboration (as of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness), but it wouldn’t work out the other way round on the basis of simple methodological mimicry starting out from the mimicked construal/conceptualisation of logic and mathematics (and any such knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue) on the naïve goal of then grasping a reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology of a given <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview—as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. For instance, the need to develop a reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology of the specific biology <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising—devolved—purview—as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as DNA-based genetics that explains genes and genetic principles is ontologically preceding and defining of how the knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue of mathematics, logic, information processing, etc. can further contribute in elaborating DNA-based genetics but it is rather naïve to think mathematics, logic, information processing or for that matter any other knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional~referential-notions/articulations/virtue like ‘mere research methodologies lacking critically the requisite ontological cogency’ can by themselves develop a reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology of
a given \(<\text{amplituding}\)formative\>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by such vague methodological mimicry. The latter at best induces a vague and blurred ‘conceptual patterning’ particularly in such domains-of-study where the positive or negative sanctioning by ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating is not immediately perceptible but rather remote like in the human sciences and to some extent as well with some studies in the natural sciences (where for instance the overall cogency of the whole experimental framework relative to the conclusions advanced of many a research study is dubious as not pertinently unconfounded). Supposedly a mathematical and/or statistical methodological analysis was to be introduced with regards to the underlying articulation herein and based say on an ‘arbitrary historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing grounded methodology on the basis of just vague impression’ it will rather be conceptual patterning. What is required is an underlying reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology (as implied by this author herein, as of ‘human limited-mentation-capacity construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence metaphysics-of-absence/Doppler-thinking as it elicits human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor \(<\text{amplituding}\)formative\>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’). The contention being that studies and research that do not develop their conceptual formulations validly and succinctly as the underlying framework of the \(<\text{amplituding}\)formative\>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but simply expect to dangle/associate methodologies including statistical and
mathematical analyses are rather involved in vague conceptual patterning as of reference-of-though constitutedness. This insight is critical with respect to the validity of interpretations and conclusions in many experimental and study frameworks in the social sciences often ‘under-elaborating the ontological reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct of their study’ to which the implications of statistical and mathematical methodologies and analyses are naively brought to bear. This further speaks in the bigger scheme of things, of the need for the articulation of what will be a ‘fully intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating constraining social science’ as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism registry-worldview psychologism should fully enable (rather as an overall grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology that overcomes disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness) just as the positivism registry-worldview psychologism relatively enabled an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating natural sciences including an emerging and upcoming social science. Insightfully, this analysis equally underlines that there is a ‘human sense-of-ontology/intersolipsistic-intercession as of underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework ((amplituding))formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) anchoring the human in the becoming of existence’ allowing for human subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-
echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-
thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness wherein we pivot/decenter (psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose) in defining-and-redefining
meaningfulness-and-teleology; with this sense-of-ontology/solipsistic-intercession as of
underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-
inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-
intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly
coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides
existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) acting as the
fundamental human drive for its being and conceptualisations of any meaningfulness-and-
teleology in existence. Basically, the induced social universal-transparency—(transparency-of-
totalising-entailing,—as-to-entailing—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-
ontological-completeness)’ of meaningfulness from ‘projective-insights of
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-
digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-
aftereffect/aftereffect’ of its deeper being-construal/existential-reference/existential-
tautologisation (as of intemporal-disposition/ontological-veridicality) in superseding-and-
representing-as-preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism ‘virtuality-or-Being-
construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-
more likely to have complexes about our positivistic/procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as untranscendenable) by articulating the same aetiologisation/ontological-escalation storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration at a ‘deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as against procrypticism-virtuality’ as well as ‘positivism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as against non-positivism-or-medieval-virtuality’ wherein from our vantage positivistic position we’ll recognise the suprastructurally implied preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase state of non-positivism/medievalvirtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal putting us in a paradox with respect to recognising the same from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism about the suprastructurally implied preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase state of our procrypticism–virtuality; and so, introducing the grounds for our prospective ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure wherein deprocrypticism is the structural-resolution for the perversion-of-reference-of-thought--<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as the structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments of our positivistic meaningfulness. The fact is all constructs as transcending or implying transcendence are always by definition in confliction with the constructs being transcended. The reason is rather straightforward as there is a ‘mental/psychoanalytic investment’ behind the construal of meaningfulness in a given way within a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought defining its ontological-capacity with respect to inherent intrinsic-reality/superseding–oneness-of-
aftereffect (as the nature of existential-reality) reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting procrypticism uninstitutionalisation virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (abstract-construal-of-positivistic-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-existential-reference-as-virtuality)’. Correspondingly, such a ‘deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring referential-depth-or-existential-reference-or-tautologisation storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation’ as of the reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting of ‘procrypticism uninstitutionalisation threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ will be critically about:

(i) the phased storied articulation of procrypticism uninstitutionalisation threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as being a social-construct ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold mirroring development of the fundamental insane-fitment of the childhood-psychopath/cinglé perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>mental-disposition structure’ (which is very much socially universally transparent at childhood and thus does not start to elicit protracted social postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration by temporal-dispositions at that point, as it is frowned upon and the childhood-psychopath is socially dysfunctional with its postlogism),
(ii) and creatively protracting this fundamental phased storied articulation in ‘successive phased phases of integration with the social construction’ (wherein the ‘increasing shrewdness and selectivity’ of the growing-and-developing childhood-psychopath postlogism lessens the social dysfunctioning of its postlogism as it learns from past experience and is now select and targeted as per social circumstances and interlocutors), and obviously at this point the social integration as conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism is rather ‘storied-construed/conceptualised from a broader society-at-large/humanity-at-large angle-of-perception as of a creative dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations and social-circumstances phenotyping elucidation in the social-construct, wherein the-social-dynamics-of-individuation-phenotypes-of-individuals is a construable metaphysics-of-absence of the social as metaphysics-of-presence’ (arising because of the decreasing social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing–<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the cinglé’s postlogism-slantedness/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness as well as increasing temporal-dispositions enculturation and thus endemisation of conjugated-postlogism-slantedness in a social atmosphere where it is not universally transparent to be the denaturing of reference-of-thought with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction), as postlogism-and-its-conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration is upheld by temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-
to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism of the procrpticism uninstitutionalisation, and thus is temporally integrated by conjugated-ignorance/conjugated-affordability/conjugated-opportunism/conjugated-exacerbation/conjugated-social-chainism/conjugated-temporal-enculturation, of course, with the broader point and purpose for aetiologyisation/ontological-escalation here being that ‘our virtue is not inherent’ but rather our ‘understanding/knowledge/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construction’ is what creates our virtue in superseding our vices-and-impediments, just as for instance, ‘medieval vices-and-impediments’ weren’t inherently because they were a different human species to us but rather due to their lack of positivistic understanding/knowledge which creation-and-accrual led to our relatively grander state of virtue and knowledge, likewise the point here is about articulating such prospective understanding/knowledge/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and its corresponding ‘institutional-designing by deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling’ as our virtue and knowledge potential),

(iii) and so subsumed and articulated in a creative ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme of insightful ‘tone-as-temperament and thematic construal of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations teleologies/teleological-differentiations (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness covering the concepts articulated in this paper on social-construct and social institutions teleology and value-reference as of deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring with regards to the ‘implications of postlogism-and-procrpticism mental orientations’,

(iv) and further, the possibility of a remaking of the above storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologyisation/ontological-escalation (as elaborated in i, ii and iii above)
* The underlying technique for perpetually upholding ontological-veridicality as
‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-
digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-
completeness as depth-of-thought’) and preempting virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference), is by not allowing for the ‘breaking of the threadedness/thread of
ontologically-veridical meaningfulness (as such a breaking induces virtuality-or-
ontologically-flawed-construal leading correspondingly to the false uptake as ontologically-
veridical of the wrongly implied soundness/non-perverted-reference-of-thought, i.e.,
unsound/perverted ‘apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements
(out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
including implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology); by rather
reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting the points where such ‘breaking-of-the-
threadedness/thread-of-ontologically-veridical meaningfulness’ occur as of ‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (in postlogism and conjugated-
postlogism) and as preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-
out-of-phase’, as ‘the very notion of postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-
dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> and conjugated-postlogism conjoining-looping-set-
logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance which doesn’t bar a new logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation as ‘prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism re-engaging reflex’ as the latter is with regards to wrong logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation which might be well/soundly-be logically-processed or effectively-executed upon reengagement, so long as the reference-of-thought for the reengaging is not unsound/perverted and not undermined by relative-ontological-incompleteness. A registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect–<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> on the other hand having to do with defect of reference-of-thought needs a more fundamental transformation as a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the reference-of-thought, and so a decentering of meaningfulness; the <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity being more like what it takes to get a medieval as non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, that is, suppose for instance where in a medieval social-setup an accusation of witchcraft is demonstrated by an outsider from a positivistic social-setup to be incorrect and unsound to the approval of all in that social-setup, that outsider understanding fundamentally that the medieval setup by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,—‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ is in a state of <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of a medieval worldview will grasp that
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomenon as a non-positivism/medieval postlogism phenomenon such as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery on the basis of non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought

<(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-


relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘the very paradox of meaningfulness-and-teleology explaining their discordance, construed as the paradox of transcendence’. In other words, if the former had a grasp of its state ‘as to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ with the transcendental structural/paradigmatic $(amplituding)\text{formative}\text{epistemic-}\text{causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity arising thereof it would have paradoxically transcended, thus explaining the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure nature of transcendence as of a cross-generational exercise and why such implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology might seem arbitrary when meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather interpreted in terms of the prior reference-of-thought. This further explains ‘the socially conflicted nature of all implied transcendental constructs’ whether with prophesying metaphysico-theological constructs of early times reflected in non-universal and universal creeds up to our metaphysico-ontological worldviews implied transcendence, and so as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor; but then humankind has always been called upon to show itself capable of surperseding/surpassément for prospective possibilities to avail. This is exactly what underlies the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics in that relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought ‘is not a logical issue/problem’ but ‘a Being/existential/ontological/axiomatic-construct problem’ with its structural/paradigmatic implied vices-and-impediments, as it is rather an issue of uninstitutionalised-threshold as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation requiring base-institutionalisation institutionalisation, ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation requiring universalisation institutionalisation, non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation requiring positivism
completeness) inducing the conjugated-postlogism of temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as a grounding for the social extension of ‘denaturing of the form of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Thus at that uninstitutionalised-thresholds which highlight ‘denaturing of the form of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as temporality/shortness in concatenation with ‘conflatedness’ as intemporality, it is only a renewed ‘conflatedness’ as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that induces a prospective ‘universally-transparent constraining mechanical-knowledge as new bare reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as axiomatic-construct’ and ‘its social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as the creating-and-essence-attributing drive for knowledge-and-virtue’ that brings about prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; construed as ‘ontological-resetting’ of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of relative epistemic-abnormalcy/preconvergence for relative ontological-normalcy as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics stranding dynamics ‘which is effectively the concatenated mechanism that engenders sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing towards prospective notional–deprocrypticism’. Thus this further explains the very thorny difficulty of dealing with psychopathy and social psychopathy, because more than just an individuation phenotype and incidental/on-occasion phenomenon, it speaks of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s our dimension, relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought in endemising/enculturating it, thus in need of deprocrypticism as
preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as an overall structural/paradigmatic
resolution to the vices-and-impediments of our positivism–procrypticism registry-
worldview/dimension. That is, with acts of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-
of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> ‘it is vague to consider just arriving at ontological-
veridicality/intrinsic-reality construal of such acts as of the paradox of their universally
implied prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ with the latter by
itself becoming the grander problematic, more like the relative non-positivism/medievalism
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought itself is the grander problematic
with respect to the endemisation/enculturation of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery
acts/occurrences, and so more than just an act or acts of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery
construed as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-
apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, as
revealing of the grander framework of vices-and-impediments inherent to the relative non-
positivism/medievalism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Rather
it is about articulating the ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as ‘Being
correction’ as of base-institutionalisation institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation, universalisation institutionalisation over
ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation, positivism institutionalisation over non-
positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation, and prospectively deprocrypticism
institutionalisation over our procrypticism uninstitutionalisation. Obviously a traditional
approach of analysis of psychopathy (as so construed from this papers holistic/nested-
congruence insight including psychopathy and social psychopathy) will tend to be just as palliative as a non-positivism/medievalism world’s postlogism associated with their social cognisance-and-integration of say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery were individuals will equally be wary of non-positivism/medievalism perversion-of-reference-of-thought←as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> and will equally be inclined to palliation regarding notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery depending on circumstances; though obviously the ontologically structural/paradigmatic resolution in both instances is with respect to the necessary ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in overcoming ←(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by prior/transcended/superseded non-positivistic or procrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are failing/not-upholding←as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with prospective/transcending/superseding positivistic or deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,–for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. So perversion-of-reference-of-thought←as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-suprerogation> has always been recurrent in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process because institutionalisation is not emanance transformation of temporal-dispositions as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology into the intemporal-disposition as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but designed to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)
towards the intemporal-disposition, such that where institutionalisation reaches its design limits given human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
\(<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation\), the possibility for perversion-of-
reference-of-thought-\(<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-
as-to-shallow-supererogation>\) arises with its corresponding enculturation/endemisation as
uninstitutionalised-threshold in want for prospective institutionalisation as the ontologically-
veridical structural/paradigmatic resolution. When that insight avails (a Derridean event), it is
properly time to ‘trample’ the melee of common sense disposition for self-preserving
extrication/temporal paradigm with the elicited intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximising-recomposing-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, as has been the case along and defining
human history ultimately ushering our very own registry-worldview/dimension. The breaking
of ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-
digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the
‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-
completeness as depth-of-thought’) thus take the form of postlogism-slantedness and its
conjugation to temporal-dispositions as conjugated-ignorance (unconsciously), conjugated-
affordability (expeditiously), and (consciously with) conjugated-opportunism, conjugated-
exacerbation, conjugated-social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation,
and conjugated-temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation; inducing their corresponding
virtualities/being-construals-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-
veridical-existential-reference. With the ‘breaking-of-the-threadedness/thread-of-
ontologically-veridical meaningfulness’ always disambiguated creatively as ‘a
supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing transitioning construal’ of
‘ontologically-veridical meaningfulness with reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as intemporally-preservational’ distracted by ‘the
breaking or a subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ‘disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation as
ontologically non-veridical with reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding—<as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-
ontological-preservation’, ‘in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought -as-the-
arrogation-or-disjointedness-of-acting-in-pseudointemporality (by temporal-dispositions in
postlogism and conjugated-postlogism) with respect to the supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as ‘deprocrypticism
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness’, thus
‘reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting temporal-dispositions (postlogism and conjugated-
postlogism) as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, preconverging-or-
dementing—apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’,
and so by a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that is
ontologically-reconstituting (deconstruction) of the threadedness/thread, with no elaboration-
as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-
existential-contextualising-contiguity (that will falsely validate the wrongly implied
soundness/non-perverted reference-of-thought, i.e., unsound/perverted ‘apriorising–
reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-
digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ is a virtuality-or-ontologically-
flawed-construal/non-existent/unreal; as ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-
digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ is ‘conceptually the very absolute
irreducible a priori of all human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as it is divulged with human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨⟨(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-
to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩⟩
in the construal of superseding–oneness-of-ontology. The reason for the disambiguation of
transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing into a supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought over a subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought for the ontological-reconstituting–
as-of-conflatedness of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
ontologically-same-existential-reality’ has to do with the fundamental basis of the perversion-
of-reference-of-thought-⟨as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation⟩ behind all the
postlogisms/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness of all
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apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as there can’t be common reference-of-thought of contention (mutually intelligible aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as mutually intelligible meaningfulness-and-teleology) between a flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective) and a correctly functioning apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective). It is the idea of the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the latter over the former that will existentially/ontologically impose the latter, and not common/mutual logical-processing as logic is then ‘a lower, inappropriate and inherently defective level of meaningfulness-and-teleology processing’ in relation to ‘appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness processing’ (just as there can’t be logical intelligibility between a non-positivist/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology with a positivistic one); by its ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining as the correct functioning apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (the appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-
dialectics. This process can be qualified as the ‘blunt act of existence over the human temporal egotistic/self-referential complex to prospective transcendence/superseding ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality reference-of-thought’, and is the actual basis for all transcendences for prospective institutionalisations since the successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure do not arise because of the reality of a ‘human intemporal-emanance philosophical acquiescence’ but rather by ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of existential reality as a constraint for the secondnaturing of institutionalisation, without transforming the underlying reality of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations. That is while the implied aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–purpose—of-obtained-measurements (implied meaningfulness-and-teleology) imply speaking the same language but the existential/ontological/being realities are utterly different with the correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements (supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) being real and the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (being unreal as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism), without mutual intelligibility of logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation but for the effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements (supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness that collapses the defective
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
transcendental-enabling/sublimating) towards intemporality, and not wrongly averaging of human thought in equivalence as logical-congruence of temporality/shortness and intempolity/longness-of-meaningfulness, such that knowledge is not constructed as a ‘human mutual agreement exercise for its construal/conceptualisation/discovery/invention-development’ since solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly we are of temporal/shortness to intemporal/longness mental-dispositions and this cannot be averaged to get transcendental knowledge which is rather the outcome of an enabling process as ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling’ that allows what is intemporal as of mental-disposition to be effective by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological and virtue constructs, and be imposed as knowledge. Thus it is critical to understand that the exercise of reconstituting ontological veridicality is a wholly maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in grasping ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’, even when it would seem weird due to metaphysics-of-presence, and is creatively grounded on ‘on phased phases construed in mirroring the fundamental insane/postlogism-fitment of the childhood-psychopath perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> mental-disposition structure as it induces conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration later on and most effectively at adulthood psychopathy’. This fundamental structure of the denaturing nature of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/preconverging-or-dementing-integration can be demonstrated with the blatantly obvious case of the childhood-psychopath
even though the denaturing of its mental-disposition is relatively socially-universally-transparent (enabling an understanding-of-ontological-prime movers-totalitative-framework-of-the-underlying-phenomenon). In the case were in a ‘dereifying act’ water is spilled on a chair, and a visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality by ignorance) not aware of the mental-disposition of the childhood-psychopath coming into the scene after the event and sitting unknowingly on the soaked sofa, and was to frown and remonstrate against or possibly smack the innocent brother, such a stranger is in ignorance-conjugated-postlogism or conjugated-ignorance as its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ led it to align in-prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologismly (as-of-pseudointemporality) to the childhood-psychopath’s postlogic narrative, and so in ‘ignorance-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’, that it was the brother that spilled the water on the chair on purpose (noting that even at this level, for all practical purpose the visiting stranger’s meaningfulness is ‘supposedly in prelogism-as-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation (as-of-pseudointemporality) but is rather effectively ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ with respect to the ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase, of the childhood-psychopath’s meaningfulness is effectively in conjugated-postlogism and has ‘joined the childhood-psychopath in threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and is preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase’ with respect to ontologically-veridical existential-reality as construed from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and further it state of ignorance speaks of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-
‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as procrpticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which can’t be overlooked for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation conceptualisation by the fact that the visiting stranger or more precisely an individuation of the type expressed by the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality by ignorance) might act the same way he acted in ‘metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales’ as aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and this particular example symbolises why virtue is a ‘The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct’ and not ‘impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construct’ as reality is above all ‘effectivity’ by its manifestation. But then given the relative social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) at this childhood stage, it is more likely that the whole situation will be explained to the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) and will assume mostly an incidental/on-occasion conjugated-postlogism effect in the contingent social space. The fact is at this childhood stage conjugated-postlogism will tend to be incidental and mostly arise as ignorance-conjugated-postlogism. (Such a construal can further be articulated not only in the case of ignorance as ignorance-conjugated-postlogism but equally as the child-psychopath develops into adulthood and is less and less socially-dysfunional and social universal-transparency-(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-
totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the postlogism is lost socially with its
acted in belief – and so, as an ‘unwinding-as-unfolding/dépliage-as-détendre of elucidation’),
and no elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-
elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as the visiting stranger (as-of-
pseudointemporality) wrongly did (as the latter only arises where ‘apriorising–reference-of-
thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’) are ontologically-veridical as
implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology, even though the natural reflex to be of
prelogic supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking–apriorising-psychologism as existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-
logical-outcome-arrived-at means that we rather tend to assume by reflex that the implied-
logical-dueness-or-implied-scape of every interlocutor we engage with or by extension of the
referenced interlocutor(s) of the interlocutor with whom we are engaging with is sound, thus
by default validating all the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-
elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-
context)’, which is the psychopath foundational faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-urge as first-order level of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-urge, as it further enables
an infinitely expansive second-order level deception arising from wrongful logical-
processing-or-logical-implicitation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-
supererogation once we wrongly go on to operate the fundamental first-order level of faulty-
mentation-procedure-deception-urge logically/’elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity’ wherein we end up hollow-constituting-<as-disjointed-

of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) in relation to supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality)’ as instigated by postlogism/enculturated-postlogism in protraction as temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation that tends to generate threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism reflecting the uninstitutionalised-thresholds at institutionalisations’ uninstitutionalised-threshold. Basically, from a transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, the supratransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing contends about the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-
supererogation> of the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing which is in protracted-pseudointemporality; more like a deprocrypticism, positivism, universalisation or base-institutionalisation supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) contending correspondingly about the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-
<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-
shallow-supererogation> of the procrypticism, non-positivism/medievalism, ununiversalisation or recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporality). The implication here is that from a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, just as a positivistic supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) will imply a deeper intellectual-and-moral ontological construct (in a projection of a positivistic worldview where the mental-dispositions and conventioning in a non-positivism/medievalism setup are construed as prospectively questionable) of non-equivalence over that projected by a non-positivism/medievalism subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporality) as a ‘distractive looping-alignment-of-narratives’ in distraction to the former, with the positivistic supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rather a maximalising/transcendental firmament for obtruding the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of its ‘threshold-of—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism’, reflected by the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing subontologisation (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect; the same analysis will be drawn for a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation with respect to deprocrypticism supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) and procrypticism subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporality) in terms— as-of-axiomatic-construct of their implied intellectual-and-moral implications (in a projection of a deprocrypticism worldview where the mental-dispositions and conventioning in a procrypticism setup are construed as ‘prospectively questionable’). Such a supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing over subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing insight can transcendentally be grasped in the archetype characters of say a Socrates or Rousseau. Wherein within their respective registry-worldviews/dimensions setups, their maximalising/transcendental mental-dispositions in projection for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft, i.e. ontologising of future conventioning, as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as the grander intellectual-and-moral effort that can be made within their registry-worldviews/dimensions)
is rather poorly construed to the ordinariness/averageness of thought within their respective registry-worldviews/dimensions setups (which mental-dispositions and conventioning – as ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism reference-of-thought-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ – will rather think as irrational the projective disposition of a Socrates that doesn’t rather advance a temporal interest in the city-state polity but is rather bent on spreading new ideas as a natural philosopher while prioritising as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in his asceticism the prospective intemporal over the temporal status quo, and likewise with a Rousseau who isn’t advancing a temporal interest that his aristocratic stature should warrant like actively pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings but is rather bent principally on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading notions of a renewal of the human condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism. This is certainly because emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically temporal-dispositions do not appreciate that there is a more ‘profound level of living in the realm of human thoughtfulness’ based on eudaemonic-contemplation of ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting that then ‘invents/creates’ the possibility for prospective registry-worldview/dimension as there isn’t any inherent intemporality/longness but for the disposition for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
ontological-completeness out of the apathy of the ordinariness/averageness of any prior registry-worldview/dimension. Hence such intemporality/longness as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness needs its

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought

as of given that the-succession-of-registry-worldviews-or-dimensions-institutionalisations/the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is

‘not a human emanance transformation of temporal-dispositions/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology into the intemporal-disposition/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather is solely a secondnaturizing to supersede the uninstitutionalised-threshold’. The implication is that acting as-of-a-secondnatured nature is not enough for articulating prospective institutionalisation requiring ‘intemporal projection

<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’

for the requisite prospective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and such conceptualisations from only a secondnaturedness of thought as rather contextually temporal is not intemporal as of-universal-and-abstractive nature but is in

‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence. Thus institutionalisation secondnaturedness is challenged by its very own level of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ marking its uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism-or-medievalism and procrypticism in need for a renewed institutionalisation respectively as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. This is rather addressed by transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as supratransversality—
and psyches that secondnaturized as institutionalisation as their corresponding institutionalised-being-and-craft setups even though paradoxically the ordinariness within such institutionalised-being-and-craft setups may be impervious to what is behind this very creation/invention in the first place as it fails philosophically to appreciate the need for transcendental first-order-ontology/ontological-construal in the elucidation (as institutionalisation and psychical-reorientation) of meaningful-and-teleological pertinence within its own registry-worldview/dimension but equally in ‘inventing/creating’ the institutionalisation possibilities and psyche for the prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft setup. Thus it is generally not surprising that the transcendental first-order-ontology/ontological-construal by an ascetic intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Socrates will be passed by the ordinariness/earthliness of thought in that institutionalised-being-and-craft setup as vague while upholding its shallow notion of value with the true worth and value of such implied transcendence grasped, at least expediently, mostly in the prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft setup it ushers, the same could be said of a an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Copernicus, an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Rousseau, an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Galilei or an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Darwin, and so as a fact of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbuued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. But then mental-dispositions that come to intemporal notions by expediency cannot truly have the pretence of engaging such on the basis of shallow temporal extricatory paradigms as of institutionalised-being-and-craft setup whose temporal-dispositions terms are alien to the intemporal
disposition required for transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness first-order-ontology/ontological-construal required for ‘creating/inventing’ the prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft setup! That failed test of understanding the transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness not in a prospective appreciation, but rather possibly as of retrospective appreciation and expediency, speaks of the social-construct as more of a secondnature institutionalised-construct rather than an intemporal-disposition construal, and therefore assertive pretences that naively imply the latter should necessarily be suspect of their threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism without the corresponding demonstration of the requisite salient philosophical insight of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm (that goes beyond subontologisation as slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect); and the fundamental issue that will then arise in that instance is one of ‘irrealism and corresponding virtualities’ that will undermine analytical pertinence, as man has to be understood exactly for what man is in effective reality, to then articulate effective knowledge constructs that are actually most efficient because of their realism, and that is paradoxically our virtue, not a wrong or false idealism (which metaphorically ends up hiding things under the table beyond the analysis required for their understanding and resolution)! It equally speaks of the ‘requisite specialness of the discipline of philosophy as a first-order ontology’ among all subject-matters (or-as-it-protrudes-into-subject-matters-or-second-order-ontologies), as the one that can least afford to be of normal trade, as it starts with a commitment of the mind (rather like modern day religion) rather than
just a normal craft, and further requiring the central quality of transcendentally-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of thought, postures and teleology above anything else (not even the value of institutional recognition as Socrates, Rousseau, Sartre and others intuitively understood, necessarily so, since it is what is of a priori definition and can’t be compromised in institutional-constructs-and-setups)! The blunt fact here is that, with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction within a given registry-worldview, the everyday <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) or banality-of-thought doesn’t necessarily as of appreciate ‘the need for prospective transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm over the extricatory/temporal/expediency paradigm with respect to its registry-worldview/dimension’ (even though it does appreciate this retrospectively with respect to prior registry-worldviews/dimensions), but for effective secondnatured institutional devising. Inevitably an aetiolgisation/ontological-escalation construct is rather about intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm which is necessarily antipodal to the everyday temporal extricatory paradigm mental-disposition, ontologically justifying ‘subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing(as-of-pseudointemporalities)/suprastraversality ‘point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought technique of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought given its applicative pertinence and validation to the ontologically-veridical but counterintuitive notion of
threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism underlying all uninstitutionalised-
thresholds, and so beyond their consciousness-awareness-teleologies; with the implication
that (from a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) the
subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) is
‘unprofound’-or-of-a-non-transcendental/extricatory/impostoring
disjointing/disparateness/disentailing-of-narratives-implied-intellectual-and-moral-disposition
while the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-
pseudointemporality) is ‘profound’-or-of-a-transcendental-intemporal/totalisingly-entailing-
ontologically-hegemonising-narrative-implied-intellectual-and-moral-disposition. We would
possibly appreciate this argument from a retrospective insight of how the retrospective
institutionalisations came about to the present, but it will certainly be alienating to think the
same of our present in those transcended terms from a prospective transcending reference,
even though the ontological insight points in that direction. This ‘subtransversality-by-
supratransversality technique of transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ is further rendered operant as the
teleological structure of the storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration
aetiologisation/ontological-escalation based on the underlying principle involved in the
example of the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) or generally the BODMAS
characters. This underlying principle is one of ‘decentering’ wherein apparently the visiting
stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) was of ‘sound registry-{reflected-as-soundness-or-
authenticity-of-reference-of-thought}’ in its circumstantial/existential relationship with
meaningfulness but it turned out that its ‘ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-
induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-caricaturing-hollow-staging-and-
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) about the child-psychopath’s postlogism wasn’t available to it) implied an existential-reality of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring that ‘decentered’ (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness) its meaningfulness as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, as subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities), of the visiting stranger rather as a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference given the visiting stranger’s (as-of-pseudointemporality) ignorance-conjugated-postlogism, such that it was actually in ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’. This ‘decentering drive’ rather construed by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that then reveals the true center as ‘deprocrypticism supplanting–conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism as of transcendental-projection/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as existential-reality’ (while undermining various shades of virtualities/being-construals-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference), is ‘the underlying teleological conceptualisation of the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy in society in its absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic’; as it uncompromisingly ‘decenters
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recursive/progressive/regressive-preconverging-or-dementing-distractive-looping-narratives-of-arrogation/impostoring/disjointedness-non-contending-meaningful-reference of temporal-dispositions (postlogism and conjugated-postlogisms) as the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities), to their collapsing (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure). Thematically (with regards to ‘associated-themes-and-social-contexts’/thematic) psychopathy as postlogism interlocks with temporal-dispositions (instigating social psychopathy in ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction situations’) as temporal-dispositions are already preset/in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for its induced conjugated-postlogism by inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (notional–procrypticism, i.e. the corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold), such that the postlogism dynamism in its social protraction reflects a threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as of temporality/non-transcendence/incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness in corresponding conjugated-postlogisms of temporal-dispositions with the protracting effect of ‘significant others basis of logic’, as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities). Such that grasping and superseding of psychopathy and social psychopathy ontologically requires 'avoiding to construe the generality/averaging of the social-construct as being of the sound/appropriate ontological cadre/framework' but rather ontologically adopting deferential-formalisation-transference (as all formal constructions whether the law, subject-matters, formal institutions, etc. have always been conceived) to
'abstractly reference prospective institutionalising as a secondnaturising that is of universal implications/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for all times and all humans' by factoring-in the requisite supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism as of transcendental-projection/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct that transcends/supersedes subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities), as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporalities). Such a technique for articulating supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporalities) in aetiologisation/ontological-escalation with respect to ‘associated-themes-and-social-contexts’/thematic as deferential-formalisation-transference involves ‘construing supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporalities) over subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) wherein the differentiated-conjugated-postlogisms are construed as interlocking with postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> (as the conjugated-postlogisms conjoin to and elevate postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>) in the ‘associated-themes-and-social-contexts’/thematic framework/cadre. The fact is this thematic construal is further compounded by the varying tone-as-temperament associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy wherein the threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism of postlogism/, conjugated-postlogism or temporal-dispositions means that it is ‘ontologically wrong to be engaged solely on the basis of a supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism tone as temperament’; as the
‘consciously eluding/circumventing’ psychopathy as postlogism mental-disposition adopts various ‘hollow tones as temperaments’ on the basis of its perceived position of weakness/disadvantage or strength/advantage, with implications on soundness of reference-of-thought, whether acting (threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism) by ‘imploring, contesting, affirming, condescending, rebelling or self-victimising’ depending on what it perceives as advancing its postlogism-as-of-compulsing—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation—(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) at one moment or the other, and this mental-disposition is naively (where ignorant-conjugated-postlogism) or consciously adopted by conjugated-postlogisms mental-dispositions particularly when exacerbatory or opportunistic. This ‘contrastive intellectual-and-moral tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological constructs of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) in relation to supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality)’ is central in articulating a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that further elucidates the conceptualisations herein. The conceptual background for this tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological conceptualisation (for the storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) lies in the notion that human construal of meaningfulness/memetism defines and structures its teleology/teleological-differentiation with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ situations whether in ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuation terms’ and as this in dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect defines individuals actions intradimensionally or transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally/maximalisingly. For instance, in the
latter case a meaningfulness/memetism fundamentally based on spirits as causes-and-effects will fundamentally be predisposed to a defining teleology/teleological-differentiation of animism practices, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live patterns; likewise a meaningfulness/memetism fundamentally based on a grand religion will fundamentally be structured on the basis of such religious practices, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live pattern (depending on the degree of religious absolutism) as its defining teleology/teleological-differentiation, and likewise a meaningfulness/memetism that is mostly secular-inclined will be predisposed to the defining teleology/teleological-differentiation of down-to-earth interests including utilitarianism and practical knowledge/scientism, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live patterns. Going by the defining temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions of individuals action intradimensionally (and as recurrently affirmed by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions, giving rise to prospective institutionalisations and uninstitutionalised-thresholds), this establishes that there is a deterministic existential-tautologisation/existential-reference of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor mental-dispositions with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ highlighting a teleology/teleological-differentiation at the individuation-level in a continuum from pseudointemporality (involving the ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ of postlogism-slantedness and the derived-by-conjoining temporal-accommodation-of-this-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness(bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as conjugated-postlogisms/preconverging-or-dementing-integration, grounded on ‘extrinsic-attribution involving inducing sociologically significant others basis of meaning and logic’) as it induces

This then validates the idea that teleology/teleological-differentiation is not a discrete construct but rather deterministic as of existential-reference/existential-tautologisation/ontology/ontological-veridicality of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (as a naïve free-willist conceptualisation may construe teleology/teleological-differentiation as discrete, as a conceptualisation of teleology is rather valid by ‘emanance/becoming/existential-intersolipsism reflexivity’ with regards to reference-of-thought as to postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation from whence logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation—
supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation arises whether the
supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-
thinking—apriorising-psychologism is appropriate/good or inappropriate/poor-or-bad, over
preconverging/dementing—apriorising-psychologism mental-devising-representation in a state
of mentarchy/mental-anarchy logical-undueness as reflected by postlogism and conjugated-
postlogisms) but from whence/which-point the teleology/teleological-differentiation attached
to that as of mental-disposition orientation made, whether as of various temporal-
dispositions as postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of reference-of-thought-devolving ontological-
performances or intemporal-disposition, is wholly deterministic-as-predictable/projectable
enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation).
Existence/existential-reality is thus a teleological-contiguity/oneness-of-teleology ‘with
teleological-discretion being defined only by epistemic choice/differentiation’, as
epistemically-situated chosen/differentiated meaningfulness (as to ontology/ontological-
veridicality which is epistemically/notionally a contiguity construed-as ontological-
contiguity/superseding—oneness-of-ontology), defines and structures teleology/teleological-
differentiation in its derivation as ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of
existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to
existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from
the perspective of the ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in
relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’). Beyond, the individuation-level and

threshold as of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism, from the perspective of the succeeding institutionalisation/centered. Thus, decentering is what divulges all the uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, while ‘centering’ divulges all the institutionalisations as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism; and so with their ontological possibilities and limits as well as corresponding ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ or registry-worldview/dimension orienting/pivoting/decentering psyches (by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), reference-of-thought and teleologies/teleological-differentiations. Insightfully from metaphysics-of-absence, we’ll certainly grasp that a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought ‘is not qualified/sound’ by virtue of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as not being positivising/rationally-empirical given that its meaningfulness is based on its non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation thus failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> any meaningfulness requiring prospective positivising/rationally-empirical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and that its pretence otherwise is nothing but <(amplituding)<amplitumb>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-
recomposure to supersede the vices-and-impediments associated with a positivism-procrypticism mental frame, even though we’ll possibly carry-complexes/complexé about the blunt fact, as all registry-worldviews/dimensions prior to ours had equally done. Decentering thus fundamentally speaks of human shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation capacity recomposuring from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence point of reference maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness across all institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. The notion of pivoting/decentering as fundamentally psychoanalytic actually extends to the construal of understanding itself with regards to the underlying rescheduling of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, as the idea of pivoting/decentering extends to the notions of the ‘self’s own pivoting/decentering for understanding’.

It is an aberration to construe ‘transcendental text’ which puts into question the reference-of-thought itself in non-transcendental terms ‘as the transcendental reality (divulged by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩ with corresponding recomposuring of ontological import) that is being implied given the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of transcendental text doesn’t concede to a human temporal complex of its established metaphysics-of-presence conventioning/traditional-ways of understanding as superseding but rather superseded, and having to cave in’. In other words the aporetic nature of a Derridean deconstruction text doesn’t speak of the poor writing of Derrida, it speaks of the reader’s ‘complex of understanding’ that fails to recognise its need to psychoanalytically-unshackle, construed in interdimensional transcendence terms as akin to a positivistic laden text articulated in a non-positivism/medievalism setup implying a necessary psychoanalytic-unshackling as requiring the pivoting/decentering of the reader for its understanding as it is
more than an explanation in the terms of the old as non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology but more critically an invitation into the new as of a positivising/rational-empirical mindset/reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology; having to do fundamentally with the human mind complex and reflex of failing/not-upholding—<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to acquiesce to prospective transcendence and so all across the various institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, even though it will readily acquiesce from a standpoint of retrospectively implied construal of transcendence. Such a pivoting/decentering of understanding itself is what is implied by ‘projective-insights’/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence; further explaining the underlying notion of suprastructuralism as the ability to construe/conceptualise meaningfulness across different ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, our present positivism—procrypticism or futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective depcrypticism, with the necessary ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics involved in such a pivoting/decentering as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Suprastructuralism as such will also explain the underlying logic of Bruno Latour’s famous criticism of the notion that scientists reported discovery of TB as being the cause of Pharaoh Ramses II death together with the organisation of an official ceremony in full honours in celebration of Ramses II corpse and the discovery, as being an entanglement of references-of-thought between the modern frame-of-reference/collective-consciousness-awareness-teleology and the Ancient Egypt pharaonic era frame-of-reference/collective-consciousness-awareness-teleology (a mix-up that must not
occur for history itself to conceptually exist ‘since history wouldn’t deny its object of study its very own frame-of-reference, as being oblivious here to the notion of TB’, for an exercise of understanding the past and projecting to the future); as if it were ‘possible and desired’ that the modern frame-of-reference equally carry modern weapons back in time in Ancient Egypt and fight pharaoh Ramses II wars (which is obviously ridiculous). Suprastructuralism as such highlights the ‘mental complex of all present mindsets as metaphysics-of-presence’, and going by ‘projective-insights’/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence is equally what can enable our own prospective transcendence in grasping a more profound intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as deprocrypticism which is deeper than our present positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview reference-of-thought. As implied in this paper, the implication of pivoting/decentering for understanding itself is that our metaphysics-of-presence traditional/conventioning reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is put into question, and the notion of understanding itself is pivoted/decentered such as implied by the referentialism approach of this hermeneutic design (as opposed to a categorisation constituting elaboration basis for understanding). As the referential harkens to the most profound concept (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation also construed as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) and ontologically-reconstitutes/deconstructs lesser and lesser profound concepts in relation to the most profound concept by a referencing understanding. The implication is that the entirety of the text is a unity in contiguity perceptible from the subtexts fusion with the unity. Hence the organisation of the text can only be cross-referencing (and not, wrongly, an organisation based on categorisation constituting elaboration) to retain its cross-referencing coherence of prospective meaningfulness. The recognition for the need to disambiguate human mental-dispositions as of temporal-to-intemporal is not an exception here as all our formalisations implicitly operate
uninstitutionalisation (which is non-positivism/medievalism), maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness as suprastructural or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> of non-positivism/medievalism core meaningfulness of reference’ is reflected/perspectivated/highlighted as rather of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—

preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism (thus pivoting/decentering/*psychoanalytically-unshackling/memetically-reordering/institutionally-recomposing’ into positivism suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving reference-of-thought by way of the given maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness). Thus suprastructuralism as such validates the reality of an underlying ontology-driven human ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ in rescheduling (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation, as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—

imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. The fundamental point about a transcendental conceptualisation as implied in a positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation by the ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ into deprocrypticism suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving reference-of-thought by way of abject-ontologising/maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness’, is not about logical nested-congruence but as with the transcendence of all prospective institutionalisations rather the transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of the transcendental/suprastructural meaningfulness-and-teleology/teleological-differentiations
association with the essential/intrinsic/inherent attributions behind the representations of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as intemporal-preservation/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation enabling prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that override such ‘parasitism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as temporal arrogation/disjoinedness/impostoring/extrication/misappropriation whether consciously/by-expediency/unconsciously. This is the intemporal-disposition individuation decentering mechanism with respect to ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness in a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level that brings about prospective institutionalisations by rescheduling the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with respect to construed prospective ontology/ontological-veridicality (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) explaining why we are able and do transcend; or else as in all prior registry-worldviews, the pseudointemporality logic will tend to become one of conscious or unconscious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity that construes of the present (by its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether being usurped/disjointed/impostored/parasitized/co-opted) as of absolute reference-value regardless, failing/not-upholding-<as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing> to register that the grandest value as ontologically-coherent (as a principle sustaining its perpetuation) is the transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/intemporality that accounts for the becoming from all the priors to the present to the prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations, thus not wrongly implying an equivalence between such a meaningful construct of universal import with temporal extricatory paradigm contentions (more like
metaphorically an apple falling on Newton’s head and his projection of this in grasping the universal implications of the laws of motion being wrongly equivocated in the terms of say an apple merchant and other interests in extricatory/temporal fear of the idea that understanding the laws of motions will be ‘temporally’ undermining in one way or the other). Critically, it isn’t idle idealism but rather a realistic insight, as just as articulations of notions of positivism like evolution, universal human emancipation, rationalism, empiricism and science cannot be sustainably intelligible in a mindset/psyche that is non-positivism/medievalism and has not been pivoted (psychoanalytically-unshackled/mimetically-reordered/institutionally-recomposured) to a positivistic mindset/psyche thus explaining why their proponents actively undermined the overall ordinary meaningful-frame of non-positivism/medievalism including such effort as the Encyclopédistes, likewise it is naïve to think that deprocrypticism (by its deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality) is an inherent meaningfulness that is perfectly construable within just a positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition and the latter’s many compromised assumptions as articulated in this paper, as deprocrypticism is priorly implying futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism psyche/mindset. This equally raises the fundamental issue with post-structuralism, does it fully make sense in a ‘modern mindset’ of reference or reference-of-thought or rather it is implying priorly a prospective ‘postmodern mindset’ of prospective reference or reference-of-thought as its existential-
proponents and corresponding social construct, as intrinsic-reality doesn’t adjust its inherent meaningfulness to us but rather humans need to achieve a given psychical development to have-access-to or be-able-to-register the knowledge construct of the more profound existential-reference/existentia-tautologisation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that that psychical development allows for, in meaningfulness-and-teleological terms. This is rather a difficult task as it implies ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ behind the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, and no registry-worldview/dimension sees itself as dementable prospectively, as being decentered for a prospective centering, even where it acquiesces to the notion retrospectively up to its own institutionalisation; pointing that ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is the genuine perspective for construing the dynamism of knowledge-and-virtue or meaningfulness-and-teleology. The fundamental point of a knowledge construct (which is necessarily tautological as intrinsic-reality/ontology is already given) is rather an exercise of ‘human

\[(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\]epistemic-totalising~renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-onto-logical-rescheduling–(by-a-renewing-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-
prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-
within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-
reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—

\[(\text{amplituding})\text{formative}\]epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness wherein we pivot/decenter (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) for redefined meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus for a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in ‘grasping the uninstitutionalised-threshold reflecting
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procrypticism involving postlogism and conjugated-postlogism’, the knowledge construct will assume this same fundamental goal of ‘human \((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising}\text{–renewing}\text{–realisation/re}\text{–perception/re}\text{–thought-as-utter}\text{–placeholder}\text{–setup-ontological}\text{–rescheduling}\}^{(\text{by-a}\text{–renewing}\text{–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new}\text{–referencing-basis-of-prospective}\text{–meaningfulness-and-teleology)}\) as subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—\((\text{amplituding})\text{formative}>\text{epistemic-totalising}\text{–renewing}\text{–realisation/re}\text{–perception/re}\text{–thought,–in-epistemic-conflatedness. Pivoting/decentering as such for transcendence at the individuation-level speaks of intemporal-disposition maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness value and disposition re-ontologising terms even though for temporal-dispositions value and disposition conventioning terms this may sound unintelligible. Such a transcendental/intemporal pivoting/decentering necessarily construed from the prospective institutionalisation (whether base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticsm, as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective), of temporal-dispositions individuations in uninstitutionalised-thresholds (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism) as being of ‘mental anarchy’ (mentarchy) which ‘speaks of a defining state of ontologically-defective meaningfulness-and-teleology, arising from lack of common (lack of an ordered construct of deferential-formalisation-transference) ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought, wherein both temporal-dispositions in various shades and the intemporal-disposition are socially-perceived as meaningfully-and-teleologically entitled-in-equivalence ‘notwithstanding veridical veracity/ontological-pertinence conveyable by imbricatedness/threadednes/recomposuring of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-

Mentarchy/Mental-anarchy (as inducing ‘threshold-of-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism and dialectically-out-of-phase’ and uninstitutionalised-threshold) can also be construed as a disposition for temporal-finitude on the basis of referencing ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating’ by the temporal-dispositions references-of-thought (whether consciously, expediently or unconsciously) in order to undermine the referencing of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating as intemporal reference-of-thought (thus implying a mental-representation-devising/mentation/place-holder-setup of the ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendent-al-enabling/sublimating’ as ontologically
preconverging-or-dementing–apriorising-psychologism from the perspective of the transcendental-enabling/sublimating as ontologically thinking). Insightfully, for a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, such a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of individuation/intradimensional/transcendental-or-transdimensional-or-interdimensional levels of conceptualisation’ ontologically validates ‘a deterministically teleological-differentiated storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration’ of projectable/predictable-relative-existential-implications of the various ‘incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness temporal-dispositions incremental/shortness-disposition-relative-finitudes’ and ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal-disposition superseding/longness-disposition-to-finitude’; finitude being the full-depth-of-existential-implications/existentialism arising when acting (as-being/as-existing) with regards to one’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness/ontological-completeness of reference-of-thought. As a side note, such a notion of mentarchy in its dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect should be able to highlight the peculiarity of reference-of-thought associated with human languages from ancient ones to modern ones (as of the registry-worldview/dimension-levels of the corresponding societies), facilitating the deciphering and understanding of ancient languages, as well as the reconceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology across history, which conceptual exercise tends to be rather biased towards a modern perspective metaphysics-of-presence. Finally, a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation will need to take cognisance of the very peculiar nature of the social world (in contrast to the natural world) that makes the social ‘susceptible to incorrect understanding and analysis’ particularly at a practical and operant level by the fact that it is highly emotionally-involved/politically-driven especially so with disturbing issues, and this is further compounded by the ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating’, and finally from a transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness perspective human mental-disposition with regards to the social can be poorly ontological with unconscious, expedient or conscious emphasis on significant others basis of logic as well as <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) mental-dispositions (social-aggregation-enablers) undermining the solipsistic relationship with intrinsic-reality required for veracity/ontological-pertinence (transcendental-enabling/sublimating). In this regard, it will actually be naïve to assume that an articulation of veracity/ontological-pertinence as with the natural sciences is all that is necessary in achieving effectiveness. With the weaknesses highlighted above with regards to grasping the social, it is important that such veracity/ontological-pertinence is effectively emphasised within the ‘realistic social contexts of mental-dispositions and actions’ driven by social-aggregation-enabling, wherein for instance the transcendental-enabling/sublimating that is intrinsic-reality/ontology grounded on intrinsic-attribution can easily take a backseat over social-aggregation-enabler grounded on extrinsic-attribution driven by such ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ as perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation (so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake), etc., and so, including intellectual milieus as well. The implications for a truly
ontologically effective social science can be construed as follows; say for instance an accused miscreant was to articulate a credibly demonstrable notion in physics or chemistry, the ‘promptness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ will easily allow for such veracity/ontological-pertinence to establish itself without undermining of the transcendental-enabling/sublimating that is intrinsic-reality/ontology by any social-aggregation-enabler (perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation or so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake, etc.). The ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ makes this altogether a more difficult proposition in the social sciences particularly with issues that are highly emotionally-involved/‘interested’/politically-driven wherein even in intellectual circles arguments of differentness/subtle-infamy-implications/status/significant-others-basis-of-logic/repute are often easily advanced in undermining inherent veracity/ontological-pertinence. One such notorious argument with regards to poststructuralists involved the notion that French post-structuralism was developed by peripheral intellectuals of French society but then failing to equally say that a lot of the good science and social science in many Western countries have generally had the same personalities attributes. Of course, such a narrative will not be countenanceable in the promptness of effectiveness driven natural science of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, for instance, holding that Einstein’s theory-of-relativity is flawed with the non-
substantive argument he was a peripheral intellectual to German or Swiss or American society. The bigger point here with respect to a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, is that veracity/ontological-pertinence by mere articulation of sound ontological conceptualisations as transcendental-enabling/sublimating-of-intrinsic-social-reality in the social contextualisation especially where blurry is often not sufficient purely by itself but that it needs to be creatively construed in facing off ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ with the transcendental-enabling/sublimating-of-intrinsic-social-reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This weakness actually takes a turn for the worst when it comes to the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy as this phenomenon is actually the quintessence of active extrinsic-attribution ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ as driven by postlogism—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> and corresponding conjugated-postlogism conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives of such postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’>, respectively in recursiveness (psychopathic), progressiveness (opportunistic and exacerbatory) and regressiveness (ignorance and affordability). So a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation will need to demonstrate veracity/ontological-pertinence of the conceptualisations highlighted in this paper not purely by themselves as transcendental-enabling/sublimating-of-intrinsic-social-reality but rather such conceptualisation in a supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing should be over-and-face-off a subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of temporal undermining by ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’
such as perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation (so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake), etc., and this is the realistic developing social contextualisation within which psychopathy and social psychopathy manifests itself. Further the social-aggregation-enabler mechanism is what brings about social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation as well as the temporal-endemisation/temporal-enculturation of psychopathy and social psychopathy by eliciting of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation, etc., to induce subontologisation or existential-decontextualised-transposition. Ontologically, thus the construal/conceptualisation of the Social paradigm is necessarily a construct that harkens to the intemporal-projection enabling the thoughtfulness as the imbued intemporal-preservation consciousness-awareness-teleology with the corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology as ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process/institutional-design inducing the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness enabling the development and endemisation/enculturation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism,—as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition) of base-institutionalisation (rulemaking-over-non-rules—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism) social-
recomposured’ (as appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) ‘is ontologically social’. The Social as such is an abstract construct not about the ‘equability in mutuality of the mortals that we are’ but rather the opportunity for transcendental construal of our potential for intemporality. Paradoxically and across all registry-worldviews this has always imply sociologically that uninstitutionalised-thresholds are in a transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of these two divergent mental-dispositions with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology whether conceptualisation of the transcendental as defining prospective social ontology in a sense of intellectual solipsistic fulfilment driven by relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating or conceptualisation in aggregativity/social-aggregation as of <(amplitudding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-&as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
’nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) driven by social-aggregation-enabling, explaining the underlying confliction implied by any prospective institutionalisation as transcendental. This insight can be grasped from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional~projective-perspective, when we garner that the ‘equability in mutuality of temporally-disposed minds as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup doesn’t supersede the ontological-veridicality of a social ontology insight providing anchoring for prospective positivistic institutionalisation construed reference-of-thought. Plausibly most likely the ‘developing consciousness-awareness-teleology mindset’ of such a ‘social ontology insight about prospective positivism’ (as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) may lead to its very own circumspection with the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness-and-teleology and possibly non-aggregativity.
Consider the instance of such characters as Galileo and Newton, at the crossroad of ‘what is to be considered as valued meaningfulness-and-teleology’ with respect to the prospective as the posivistic registry-worldview/dimension and the prior as the non-positivism/medievalism world, as consciously-or-unconsciously they register that the prior needs to be ‘decentered’ and the prospective ‘centered’, even though by reflex the prior will construe of itself as undecenterable center of meaningfulness-and-teleology. This may go a long way in explaining such biographic accounts about Isaac Newton as unsocial wherein a naïve conceptualisation of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construal as virtue (in lieu of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-prime-movers-totalitative-framework in its \[(amplituding)\]formative>epistemic-totalising-ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-

‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of intemporality) will not factor in the inherent deficiency in value judgment of a non-positivism/medievalism inclined ordinary mindset/reference-of-thought from which such accounts are coming from (given such a society’s state of paradox of transcendence of relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,-
‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—
preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’) about a figure involved in ‘Intemporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’–as-conflatedness-or-ontological-reprojecting as partaking in the ‘inventing/creating’ of the structural/paradigmatic possibility (and the corresponding psychologism) for prospective positivism institutionalised-being-and-craft, more like biting a hand that intemporal-solipsistically as of ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality provides the opportunity for prospective structural/paradigmatic human flourishing, with the underlying fact being that inherently such a personality type rather as of a solipsistic-intemporality individuation disposition, by its contemplative reappraisal, is exactly what can provide the opportunity for such transcendental possibilities (when we come to grasp that the true profoundness of knowledge is more than just ‘mechanical as something construed soullessly’ without a more complete appreciation of knowledge as ‘organic as something construed with a profound sense of intemporal philosophy’ with the idea that the type of knowledge construed as of first order transcendental-enabling/sublimating is not based on an ordinary notion of ‘intelligence as we’ll normally think of as simply technical’ but rather on such a sense of intemporal philosophical projection and more than just a ‘product’ for a materiality purpose but a driven sense of human emancipation). In fact, this equally points to a major flaw of the inherently implied value judgement in a lot of what passes for social sciences today explaining the vagueness, platitude and emptiness of little or no relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating implication as an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag circular exercise, wherein the unabated recourse to naïve feel good averaging of thought mental-dispositions are equated with ontological-veridicality uncritically, rather than construing that the animal that we are is in want of knowledge as a construct that enable it to supersede/transcend itself rather than a vain exercise of nombrilism, in which case one may argue that each registry-worldview/dimension ⟨(amplituding)formative⟩wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-⟨as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩} ideas should be the basis for construing its social science! In fact, technically Newton might be the
most inclined person for social engagement but then will he as of intemporal projection be inclined to ‘go along as social’ where he construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> ‘the medieval social’ as in want of its further development (this highlights a contrast between a stigmatic/mented psychology of the present, as of any ‘present registry-worldview/dimension’, with value references related to as absolute without or poorly factoring in that the animal that is the human is rather a becoming animal in constant psychological development of its limited-mentation-capacity with respect to social universal-transparency-{transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness} as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as determining its value reference and defining its underlying placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, and hardly addressing such a more fundamental question as implied by ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’). In this respect, this makes many such so-called ‘social science approaches’ ‘poorly grounded on a social relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ more or less sciences of methodological mimicry, as we know that much of the ‘true sciences’ (including the natural sciences and many a true social science are not grounded on an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construal but identify objective reality by its naturally constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, as differing from sovereign constructs, as the determinant of pertinence (and such profound transcendental-enabling/sublimating basis of knowledge are then bound to further redevelop sovereign constructs and conventions, with the sovereign
constructs and conventions not becoming intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in of themselves but rather as of social, institutional, cultural, moral or historical reality of the human condition; though much more easier for the natural sciences as hardly any or nobody feels impinged today with scientific discoveries and inventions given that their transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of a positivism outlook psychologism of the world had taken place both in philosophical and practical scientific terms with the Descartes, Hobbes’s, Kants, Copernicus, Galileos, Newtons, of the past. Whereas a lot of present day social science is relatively pulled back in many an unsuspecting manner, by elicited emotional involvement and underlying constraints of their institutional setups. Such can equally be implied with regards to procrypticism from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism insight, wherein positivism–procrypticism is decentered and deprocrypticism is centered, and so in comprehensive psychologism terms; with the idea that the possibly unsavoriness is not of this author’s or anyone’s chosen but rather that the test for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism transcendence set by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality requires us coming to terms with it, no lesser than the test set by positivistic transcendence in the non-positivism/medievalism epoch intrinsic-reality required them to come to terms with this, however unpalatable to many then, and this underlying vitality across all epochs as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, induced by prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is what counts as true knowledge beyond the blurriness in reflecting-and/or-coming-to-terms-with-
implied-transcendence that often tends to arise with all institutionalisations institutionalised-being-and-craft erudition! More fundamentally, as previously highlighted with the mediocrity principle of science as it applies to humankind as well (as the notion of metaphysics-of-absence is pushed to its full implications over metaphysics-of-presence as our present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising→self-referencing-syncretising/mirage), the reality of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor may actually more objectively (and so beyond-our-consciousness-awareness-teleology) point to the idea that institutionalisation (the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) as intemporalisation is actually ‘a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness recomposured abstract-construction/institutionalisation-designing’ which ‘in its operant effectuation (due to limited-mentation-capacity as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’’) defines its very own prospective interspersing with uninstitutionalised-threshold’ articulated as ‘socially-functional-and-accordant temporalisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as from idiosyncratic individuations frame-of-reference at childhood to full-blown threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism individuations frame-of-reference at adulthood’; that is, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process or institutionalisation design construed rather as about reducing-human-temporalisation-(shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as uninstitutionalised-threshold, with such a notion of uninstitutionalised-threshold being the central notion of conceptualisation/construal for a thorough the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct (however
counterintuitive from our natural thinking reflex metaphysics-of-presence ‘based on reasoning in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of cumulating institutionalisations’). Such a construal/conceptualisation of ‘institutionalisation as of uninstitutionalised-threshold’ will explain why with regards to ‘all the successive institutionalisations formal constructs’ as of their respective ‘comprehensive abstract setups of deferential-formalisation-transference institutionalised meaningfulness-and-teleology’, there is a tendency associated with their corresponding extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} wherein there is ‘parallel construed extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology}’ meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination’ of a subpar and occasionally of a superseding practical applicative bearing/effectiveness over the supposedly formal construct. By and large, this will often arise within the scope of blurry institutional setups not construed for operant effectiveness. Strangely enough we do actually tend to elicit such extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} construal as more determinant when the principles of formal constructs are rearticulated operantly in extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination terms; and often contributing to institutional inefficiencies and failures of all sorts whether with respect to mismanagement, misappropriation, incompetence, etc. from a modern perspective of analysis. Further, the fact is such extended-informality-{susceptible-to-effecting parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology} effect can be more than just about the operant effect but equally protracted as ‘designed-formalisation-ineffectiveness’ in ensuring the ascendancy of extended-informality-{susceptible-to-
effecting parsimony as of shoddiness and incompleteness to meaningfulness and teleology
meaningfulness and teleology as of a relatively poor institutionalising inclination over
formal constructs. By and large, this can be construed as the residual temporalisation effect
arising from the fundamental reality of a human-subpotency aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions existentialism-form-factor with respect to all
the successive institutionalisations; with the notion of deprocrypticism requiring
referencing/registering/decisioning the reality of human-subpotency aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions existentialism form-factor without any
complexes and psychically pivoting/decentering (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/institutional-recomposure) over its deprocrypticism or preempting—
disjointedness as of reference of thought (just as the ‘positivistic mindset’ arose from
referencing/registering/decisioning the reality of defective essences, alchemic, spirits, etc.
Universalising rules and psychically pivoting/decentering for rational
empiricism/positivising rules, just as the ‘universalising mindset’ arose from
referencing/registering/decisioning the reality of vague, sporadic, incidental, and animistic
rulemaking over non rules apriorising axiomatising referencing psychologism, (as ‘first
level presencing absolutising identitive constitutedness of reference of thought’
apriorising axiomatising referencing intelligibility setup/measuring instrument) and
psychically pivoting/decentering for universalisation directed rulemaking over non rules apriorising axiomatising referencing psychologism, (as ‘second level presencing
absolutising identitive constitutedness of reference of thought’ apriorising axiomatising referencing intelligibility setup/measuring instrument), and just as the
‘base institutionalised mindset’ arose from referencing/registering/decisioning the reality of
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> (postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism) wherein the instigated postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) and protracted-conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions contendingly perceive the sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers as the point of ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking deverted-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ when facing the ‘intrinsic-reality/veracity/ontological-pertinence transcendental enabler’. Concretely, the fact is that psychopathic postlogism-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> and conjugated-postlogism as ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ of postlogic-backtracking-<iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’> are ‘denaturing deverted-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ towards the given institutionalisation’s sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers in order to override, undermine and escape from the intrinsic-reality/veracity/ontological-pertinence transcendental-enabling/sublimating. As in the case previously highlighted where a psychopath spoke to an interlocutor that it is a bad thing for a said individual to be molesting children, with its logic being sound from an abstract/virtuality appreciation but with the existential-reality of its ‘apriorising-reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology being utterly unfounded as a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge potentially enabling an infinite possibility of second-order level deception if re-engaged as of logical-processing-or-logical-implication—
supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation. Where the interlocutor finds out that the other stranger isn’t really a child molester. The psychopath simply articulates another postlogic/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridicallogical-dueness/formulaic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-formulaically-narrated) over the previous narrative, and so in ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’. For instance, by saying (in a different social spatial location where the interlocutor cannot verify the underlying contextual reality) it is critical that the stranger should not be taking young children in his house as it suspiciously points to a molester (which is certainly a sound statement but rather being parasitised for a perverse purpose of ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers, as the statement, not to take young children into his house, is sanctifying/as-not-requiring-any-further-contemplation to many a supplanting-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking–apriorising-psychologism mind). Even if this latter narrative is proven to be false (as it is another perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> or mental-perversion demonstrable as above with it faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the logic itself, but in wrongly implying as existentially real the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiatiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology such that the mere fact of engaging logically with it validates these fundamental falsehood as a first-order faulty-mentation-
procedure-deception-or-urge paving the way for an infinite possibility of second-order faulty-mentionation-procedure-deception-or-urge operating logical-processing-or-logical-implicationation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation on such false axioms. Thus, with respect to postlogism generally what is critical for the psychopath/postlogic-mindset is to be seen as being of prelogic supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism even if it is a perception of ‘poor or bad supplanting—conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation—postconverging/dialectical-thinking—apriorising-psychologism’ (and not to be seen as being of postlogic compelling—nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation) since that will validate the ‘apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ on the basis that it was the logical-processing-or-logical-implicationation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation that was wrong hence the possibility and credibility not to question and imply the denaturing of reference-of-thought as perverted reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and thus to wrongly re-engage logical-processing-or-logical-implicationation—supposedly-apriorising-in-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation turning the issue into one of ‘notion of agreement or disagreement’ instead of construing a perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> ‘preconverging-or-dementing—apriorising-psychologism manifestation’ implying and requiring intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence in transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing). This equally applies in the instance of derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<-as-effectively-apriorising-in-
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> as conjugated-postlogism by temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. The psychopath simply needs to loop another non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative over the previous one in ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers. Summarily, instances of such sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers could be exemplified in dereifying context as: in the case of child psychopathy, - pour water on chair, - point stranger to sit on, - accuse brother, - when found out, postlogically retreat with delirious statement accident happened, etc.; in the case of adult psychopathy (including the conjugated-postlogism acts involved in protraction of postlogism), - commit offence, - act as morally ascendant, - when the postlogic and conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions are ontologically undermined, ‘falsely contend’ by extrinsic-attribution of ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought<-as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>} as ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’ towards the sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers in order to undermine the intrinsic-attribution/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, - when further undermined claim in ‘denaturing postlogic-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-as-to-profound-supererogation-or-prelogism-basis’, things have moved on, on the basis of sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers over and undermining intrinsic-reality/veracity/ontological-pertinence transcendental enabler as a
impediments’ for prospective base-institutionalisation, ‘engaged-
destruction/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness of prospective
ununiversalisation vices-and-impediments’ for prospective universalisation, ‘engaged-
destruction/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness of prospective non-
positivism/medievalism vices-and-impediments’ for prospective positivism, and ultimately,
‘engaged-destruction/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness of
prospective procrypticism vices-and-impediments for futural Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism. That exercise has always
been one of decentering of the defective center for the emergence of a new and more
ontologically-complete-reference-of-thought center, and no registry-worldview/dimension
can pretend to imply it is ‘un-decenterable (implying its preconverging-or-dementing–
apriorising-psychologism and out-of-phasing for the prospective thinking centering and in-
phasing) by its (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage speaking of its
metaphysics-of-presence, as that is the full implication of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-
notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality
instigated
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
correlatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
explicating-ontological-contiguity’ for our present as well, its psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. As with all prospective
institutionalisations, a human secondnatureing institutionalising construct is a requisite
because, at best even the intemporal-disposition individuation individuals, purporting (by
maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness) prospective emancipation come from and are of the stock of the prior reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold registry-worldview/dimension, and such prospective emancipation involves such individuals own ‘moulting’, as actually intemporality/longness is a ‘potential construct of orientation’ as implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation) and it is only a devised institutionalisation construct that achieves that potential-construct-of-orientation and not any implied inherent emanance intrinsciness (though the meaningfulness as articulated as such, and as the meaningfulness in this entire paper, is rather of an intemporal register validation and not of any temporal register validation, since an authentic psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is what underlies transcendence as a ‘deeper limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative conflation}’ existential-tautologisation/existental-reference pivot/decenter to reconstrue/reconceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology; more like a jurisprudential maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness contention for rehabilitation is not of the same meaningful-framework as a temporal mental-disposition of illicitness for shifty expectation of rehabilitation which it should necessarily anticipate and preempt). By that token there is no base-institutionalised individuation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, no universalised individuation in ununiversalisation, no positivistic individuation in non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively no deprocrypticism individuation in procrypticism; as at best such emancipating intemporal individuation are ‘moulting’ and implying-of-the-same of their registry-worldview in prospective institutionalisation design/conceptualisation, as the effective institutionalisation is what is really and effectively attained.
The notion of threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as defining the registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds is rather a most real idea from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional-projective-perspective wherein we can very much fathom out that the successive relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ as the successively reducing-ontological-abnormalities of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation, non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation and procrypticism uninstitutionalisation effectively speaks of their threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism as the respective uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to the superseding–oneness-of-ontology which as existential-reality isn’t changed but rather the respective cumulating/recomposuring uninstitutionalised-thresholds are due to ‘changes in human meaningfulness and the teleological implications thereof’ confirming by extension that the reality of their threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism is veridical or a most real idea with implications on psychical-orientations/mindsets as structured by the ontology-driven ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’. However apparently logical this idea, it is an altogether different to mentally register the idea of such an threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism construct and perception about our own registry-worldview uninstitutionalised-threshold as procrypticism just as it would be by reflex
difficult in all the successive registry-worldviews, often requiring a generation or more for transcendental implications to sink in. This threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism conceptualisation of ‘the social as at its uninstitutionalised-threshold threshold’ wherein the representation as ‘being in threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ is more real (from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional–projective-perspective) than the actual placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect of conscious mindsets within the given uninstitutionalised-thresholds registry-worldview/dimension (as the threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism insight is suprastructural to it or beyond-its-consciousness-awareness-teleology); is an ontological validation of Derridean hauntology/hantologie conceptualisation of the social in cinematographic terms of meaningfulness (and will seem very much akin, from an ontological perspective, to the central notion of ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the superseding referential conceptualisation of ontology and inherently imbued with ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as a centering/decentering mechanism’ as implied in this paper, though hauntology/hantologie is not quite articulated in such more precise ontological terms but imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring notion of existential-reality in there can be grasped), and equally highlights the fundamental ‘paradox of post-structural deconstruction by its transcendental implications’, in that the mental-disposition/psychical-orientation of the present registry-worldview/dimension as positivism–procrypticism is not developed enough (in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of its reference-of-thought–categorical-
imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologicallysame-existential-reality’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation), just as the core non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought wasn’t developed enough to grasp the implications of created-and-accruing positivistic meaningfulness and redefined mindset/psyche inducted by the Descartes, Copernicuses, Galileos, Newtons, Kants, Rousseaux and it had to psychoanalytically-unshackle/memetically-reorder/institutionally-recomposure over generations ‘for what were re-originary—as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-{imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking—‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’—of-notional—deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation} outlying ideas to become the defining ideas of modernity’. Thus the apparent issues today raised with post-structuralism have as much to do with the psychical orientation (as underdeveloped) of its critiques as well as the requisite effort required to further develop, elucidate and focus it; and in this regard why there have been many serious and constructive criticisms of post-structuralism as required for any subject-matter, most of the ‘popular criticisms’ levied against post-structuralism fail to past the test of intellectual criticism and have mostly been
populist and media-driven attacks, gaining traction by social trending than genuine intellectual validity. The most popular being an initiative on an unrecognised social science journal which by that mere token disqualifies the so-called criticism but has turned out to be the most populist ploy by all accounts for condemning post-structuralism. Furthermore and critically, the intellectual exercise as with all institutional processes operate fundamentally on a basis of mutual trust. However, the methodologies, theories, concepts what can be articulated as new knowledge is not necessarily assessed on the basis that any peer review mechanism is absolutely full-proof particularly as the new knowledge is often at the margin of what is understood, and thus much of peer reviewing is not really an approval of the knowledge but rather an admission into the body of institutionally or formally acknowledgeable perspectives for further elucidation. Even then many a study not approved with peer reviewed journals have later on down the years ended up becoming dominant theory. So there isn’t any inherent sanctity in peer reviewing but for its practicality in formal knowledge organisation (and not even so with approval). Technically the majority of all new knowledge down the years will be found wanting in many ways, and the objective of the overall peer review process is to channel potentially admissible and debatable knowledge towards further elucidation in the overall scheme of establishing overall human knowledge as of veracity/ontological-pertinence. Review of new knowledge doesn’t end with a journal’s peer review though that point tends to be a ‘highly political point nowadays’ as of the increasing bean-counting institutional reflex of funding implications and sometimes at the detriment of novel approaches to knowledge. The abstract notion of reviewing goes well beyond journals approval and extends with the continual critiquing of knowledge whether dominant or outlying. Ultimately, the more fundamental test in such a negotiated process is a strive for consistency and validatory clues with no guarantees of effectiveness but for the overall consistency, as of the very cutting edge of peer reviewed knowledge. Just for the sake
of perspective here, it might equally be argued that peer-reviewing and by extension all epistemological and their corresponding methodological activities are not natural knowledge activities as of inherent pure-ontology in of itself but derived activities as of human norms, practices and policies for establishing thresholds that then enable articulated qualifications as of pure-ontology; in other words, any such epistemological and methodological activity is irrelevant if pure-ontology can be arrived at without it. Consider for instance that mathematicians hardly make use of experimental designs or that many secret research by corporations and government aren’t peer reviewed, at least not publicly. Besides at a more fundamental level the question can be asked what are the metaphysics-of-absence implications of knowledge epistemology, methodologies and peering as to the weightier construal of the successive human ontological developments involving increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought associated with the overall institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, beyond just an intra-positivism registry-worldview/dimension illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising~self-referencing-syncretising/mirage conceptualisation of knowledge epistemology, methodologies and peering naively articulated-and-implied as ‘universally applicable’, à la Kantian positivism registry-worldview/dimension <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising~intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractionness-of-presence however remarkable, to all registry-worldviews/dimensions particularly since such a conceptualisation doesn’t factor in ‘transcendental implications’ as structurally/paradigmatically overthrowing/fazing-out/collapsing the uninstitutionalised-threshold of meaningfulness-and-teleology of the prior/old registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as a decentering subsumption; along the same line as the medieval ‘dogmatic scholastics’ insisting that the now established positivism registry-worldview/dimension knowledge
constructs, which were then transcendental, should conform to their ‘institutionalised dogmatic scholasticism methods and processes of reviewing’. By extension the question can be asked whether beyond our ‘<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag institutionalised positivism conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ whether such is truly in a ‘requisite contemplative-and-Being position as of the prospective transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism’ of ‘evaluating a construct of prospective transcendence’ as herein implied about futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology which paradoxically structurally/paradigmatically entails overthrowing/fazing-out/collapsing the positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as a decentering subsumption; when we factor that such a contemplation-and-Being as from a positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology is being called upon to evaluate as to ‘a meaningfulness-and-teleology world beyond its ordinary contemplation’ with the mental tools for such a prospective projection mostly of abstract projective contemplation for grasping the prospective organic-knowledge implied, and so beyond an ordinary evaluation within an implied same reference-of-thought. It should be noted here that the more pertinent quality for such implied transcendentalism as of its implied organic-knowledge beyond just a mechanical construct is ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality explaining the disparate nature of the development of human knowledge. This author as previously
worldviews/dimensions as of ‘a notional futural différance’ construed as of a ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, notwithstanding the more superficial constructions of ‘human validation-conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge’ within a same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation whether base7/institutionalisation/animistic–universalisation shamanism, universalisation–non-positivism/medieval dogmatic scholasticism or our positivism–procrypticism ‘categorisation epistemes’; but also the conflatedness of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective depcrypticism ‘referentialism as epistemological’ (as of notional–deprocrypticism which reflects ontological-construal along the full potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of—<(amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness). Such a notional futural différance as a suprastructural construct appreciation of epistemological implications about social integration of knowledge certainly informs a commitment to re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation) ideas as being ultimately validatable in effect as of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, if that is as of what they truly are, in the medium to long-run. Basically the transcendental as (re-originary–as-unenframed/unbeholdening/outlier-conceptualisation-(imbued-postconverging/dialectical-thinking–‘projective-insights’/‘epistemic-projection-in-conflatedness’–of-notional–deprocrypticism-prospective-sublimation)) originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination to a knowledge and its knowledge system however remote the
origination, in the very first place, speaks of the notion of (amplituding)formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought associated with ‘postconverging-or-dialectical-thinking–psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ behind any retrospective or prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought validation-conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge/ontological-construal. Ultimately, the very transversality-of-affirmative-and-unaffirmative,-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing between the prior registry-worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘the very paradox of meaningfulness-and-teleology explaining their discordance, construed as the paradox of transcendence’. In other words, if the former had a grasp of its state ‘as to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ with the transcendental structural/paradigmatic <(amplituding)formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity arising thereof it would have paradoxically transcended, thus explaining the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure nature of transcendence as of a cross-generational exercise and why such implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology might seem arbitrary when meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather interpreted in terms of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought not factoring its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. But this is simply valid on the fact that a more profound axiomatic-construct on a given domain of reality as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is of intemporal-or-ontological prioritisation as of its conflatedness relative to a less profound axiomatic-construct on that same given domain of reality as of prior relative-ontological-
implied transcendence, and so as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor; but then humankind has always been called upon to show itself capable of superseding/surpassément for prospective possibilities to avail. A second weakness of many critiques is by naively misrepresenting post-structural meaningfulness, and going on to criticise this. For instance, such arguments about post-structuralism as a theory that has no worldview are not made by poststructuralists who in their transcendentally-enabling-level—of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification—as-objectification/ontological-faith—notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism have been rather questioning openly what the reality of the meaningfulness they construct implies, as a basis for further intellectual development. This explains the convoluted responses of say Derrida because that is the intrinsic-reality insight at hand, and the issue is rather how to further develop. This will be tantamount to criticising early quantum physics for contending that the fundamental particles are rather like waves and evasive without yet establishing an advanced basis of the science. Knowledge is not an exercise of one set of individuals arguing against another nor is it a popularity contest but rather it is all about finding out what constitutes intrinsic-reality as it permits ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; intrinsic-reality being the superseding transcendental enabler, and not any humans no matter their statuses. A third weakness has been by relating to poststructuralists as if they have got to get all their ideas right on by the instant, as if the theoretical framework isn’t in development like all theoretical frameworks (by the same token imagine all the unanswered questions that underlie quantum physics for over half a century that are still being elucidated, for instance, string theory which is so highly speculative but is still credibly a basis for research and analysis). The purpose of
a theoretical framework is not to provide an immediate answer for everything but rather to provide a framework for constant critical development of ideas. Otherwise, it will be best to develop a correlational construct that may statistically be coherent with many arguments at any given point in time but is of little predicative or projective value because it hasn’t got a profundity as a genuine theoretical construct which may actually be mostly incoherent with many arguments at its earlier stage but provides a wealthy framework for the continuous articulation of ideas and resolutions, and this is actually the point of a theory in the very first place. It is thus no accident that many other disciplines have found post-structuralism as a relatively ideal tool for invoking much needed insight. A fourth criticism has to do with the ‘political nature’ of human affairs obviously, and even the intellectual is not beyond this especially with ideas of ‘socially-perceived disturbing implications’ (as has been the case throughout human history) and further so in a social domain that is not immediately amenable to predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as with the natural domain even though the latter equally faces similar issues but to a lesser extent. When we come to reflect that the leading poststructuralist of his time had an entire school, rather than focusing on developing research criticisms of his work and other poststructuralists (which would have been the more impressive thing to do) instead taking a ‘political stance’ for the denial of his recognition with an institution of higher learning. Thus it is obviously, naïve for anyone to think that intellectualism and ideas occur in an absolute neutral environment particularly when of socially-perceived disturbing implications. While it is generally recognised that knowledge is determined on its own merits as an interest-free principle, the fact is in the real world of ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ situations, human mental-disposition is not that intemporal and principled, whether wittingly or unwittingly, and extra-intellectual meaningfulness becomes fair game. Fifthly, the argument of unintelligibility of post-structural meaning is outright
ridiculous with respect to the exegetical aims of its authors, and no less so as expecting advanced chemistry, biology and physics writing to be popularly intelligible. Jargon is rather a mechanism of deferential-formalisation-transference permeating all subject-matters and disciplines, which speaks to the idea that the ‘ordinariness of thought’ is not the sound basis for construing issues raised in terms–as-of-axiomatic-construct of profoundness of contemplation. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process by its deferential-formalisation-transference is an exercise of shrinking the melee of common sense wherein spheres previously opened for common opinionatedness are shoved away as ‘deferred to’ specialisms whether institutional or subject-matters by the mere effectiveness, with ‘informed common and individual opinions’ being the panache for the expression of sovereignty whether about the polity or individual choices, but not to be confused as a sign of inherent knowledge as of popularity. The idea that there is a common sense social science is a falsehood no more than there is no common sense natural science, and intellectuals are irresponsible when peddling the notion that readers shouldn’t acquire the requisite ‘intellectual elevation’ to grasp the profundity of meaningfulness and rather expect that they should be able to satisfactorily engage at the same intellectual level (reference-of-thought) involving advanced studies and research on the basis of ordinariness of thought. This should not be confused with a popularising exercise meant to stir popular interest like popular science, though in fact there is no truly popular science for that matter but serious/candid science. Such a confusion can hardly arise in the natural sciences because of the ‘promptness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ in constraining veracity/ontological-pertinence of thought by the immediate effectiveness of studies, discoveries and inventions wherein a flaw thought proposition will be proven wrong by its ontological ineffectiveness with relatively little concern for third-party convincing over the transcendental-enabling/sublimating that is
existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, whereas the ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ in the social sciences allows for propositions to crop up that are hardly constrained by immediate effectiveness of studies, discoveries and inventions, such that such propositions will often border on popular thinking or the political (technically) or a concern priorly driven with garnering support and agreement, rather than of genuine intellectual strife for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. In this regard, the central tenet of poststructuralists with respect to their pursuit has been transcendently-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism with respect to their reflections, studies and research at all cost, even at the cost of many poststructuralists not recognising explicitly that they are poststructuralists or not recognising similarities in their works with other poststructuralists, so because fundamentally they can only vouch for their authentic reflections and analyses without a ‘surreptitious pretence’ for such amalgamation which will undermine their authenticity with regards to conceptualising intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with the idea that the notion of a commonness of their ideas and as a movement will take care of itself if they are truly articulating an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that reflects that commonness; more like the Indian story of blind men who came across an elephant and each one sincerely/authentically said what their capacity enabled them to say, no more no less, with the idea that if what they say is of-the-reality of an elephant, that notion will take care of itself but their first posture is to say authentically what is in front of them. This speaks of the essential nature of all sciences wherein the researcher considers the most determinant element to be not itself or other
humans (who are together mortals; mortal because they/humans don’t really invent any rules of existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality but rather at best discover them or utilise them as ‘supposed inventions’ – and the scientist is all about a validation by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality-as-the-transcendental-enabling/sublimating in contrast to a mental-disposition of social-aggregation-enabler where the emphasis is naively about convincing the other mortal or mortals over a validation by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabler thus leading to subontologisation in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation, rather than the supersedingness/precedingness of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabler) but the superseding transcendental-enabling/sublimating which is intrinsic-reality/existential-reality/ontological-veridicality as reflected by effectiveness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and projection; with the latter wholly the focus of intellectual contention. The medical researcher involved in seeking a cure by reflex is concerned about what the transcendental-enabling/sublimating that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existence ‘naturally and best construed/conceptualised’ in the crafted jargon of biomedical sciences will make available as cure as the ‘superior party’ over whatever they themselves or for that matter any other humans no matter their statuses may ‘sovereignly’ want to think or imagine. This same notion applies in the construct of knowledge in the social sciences, the pursuit of the social scientist as the study of social reality is ‘not about convincing people or making sense to people’ (that can be accessory) but rather about grasping/conceptualising the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of the social as the transcendental-enabling/sublimating whatever the jargon required for that purpose; the social education/enlightening exercise that arise thereafter just as a popular science exercise is an altogether different exercise of education and not first-level scientific engagement, and even then such education exercise will still call for a degree of intellectual elevation of the general public. It is critical that in the
natural competition of intellectual ideas, intellectuals do not fall in the pattern of using debased or social feel good basis of non-intellectual logic in eliciting ‘mass thinking’ in order to advance their postures but rather fairly and squarely engage at the transcendental-enabling/sublimating of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality level in proving or disproving those they agree or disagree with as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ontological implications of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Sixth, thus the idea of deferential-formalisation-transference behind formal predicates of institutions and subject-matter specialisms is all about construing meaningfulness in a depth-of-thought (intemporality) that is not available to ordinariness of thought, wherein there is a disambiguating of the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as a construct of formalised reference-of-thought that is of intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-totalisingly-entailing/maximalising/transcendental over the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing informal reference-of-thought as melee of common sense of temporality/non-totalisingly-entailing/non-maximalising/non-transcendental constructions. The idea is that such a disambiguating is a necessity going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor requiring skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as the ontological construct that institutionalises (intemporalises). Hence such a skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process of shrinking the melee of common sense involves developing institutional and subject-matter specialisms as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing narratives (for instance, the developing sciences and institutional specialisms) that induce corresponding untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining by effectiveness on the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as the melee of common sense inducing the latter’s ‘deference’, for instance, such deference as such postures as the law says that…, physicists say that…, etc. and not a common sense posture of the sort I think that…, thus relegating the melee of common sense out of the construal and conceptualisation of institutional or domain specialisms which hitherto had been free-for-all opinionatedness. Such an exercise is not just retrospective but prospective as well in the expansion of human formalised constructs and including in this case the relatively profound insights of such social science as post-structuralism which sadly get undermined paradoxically by some critiques not by a same-level supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intellectual criticism but raising subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing narrative to wrongly imply that post-structuralism should be as intelligible as common sense thinking, which is paradoxically never the case with say the jargon of law, natural sciences, etc. exactly for the reason highlighted above. The fact is the melee of common sense as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing hasn’t got the requisite intemporality/longness in terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct of universal projection of reference-of-thought and the logical-dueness/profile/presumption/assumptions/value-reference/teleology that arises from such a formal reference-of-thought (for instance, as the universal/intemporal proposition underlying this paper’s purported construct for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in grasping the phenomenon of postlogism in general and the general background human science conceptualisation; together with its exposure for falsifiability/validation from subsequent
critical analyses). Such that there will tend to be ‘confusion of reference-of-thought’ where such subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing melee of common sense was apparently to act assumingly/presumptuously rather than ‘to defer’, or otherwise the instance where individuals assume the requisite intellectual elevation (whether by corresponding education and reflection) for a first-level engagement with such specialisms. As our melee of common sense defers when it comes to the natural sciences, it defers when it comes to the legal science, it shouldn’t expect otherwise but to defer when it comes to rigorous post-structural and other social science constructions however their approximations, and so as the best construction potential of human meaningfulness and teleological possibilities. On that same token the notion of validation of supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with respect to subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing is not one of contending/argumentative validation at a same contending pedestal but rather as a validation of the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought as intellectually-and-morally institutionalising and not implying its equivalence with subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing melee of common sense reference-of-thought, wherein for instance a consistent demonstration of a chemistry science (as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) effectiveness earns chemistry science the deferential-formalisation-transference of no longer being engaged at a same contending pedestal as the melee of common sense with respect to human social contention about material constitution in order to avoid the circular drawback of constantly making arguments in "(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-{imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of—‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>\) terms—as-of-axiomatic-construct, such that social deference is now institutionalised as ‘chemists say
that/it is said in chemistry that’ rather than a social melee of common sense equivalence of ‘chemists think that but I also think that going by my common sense’. This argumentation is not idle as the social sciences as ‘being closest to human conscious sense of sovereignty’ tend to be most affected by such fallacies as highlighted that should be superseded by all knowledge whether natural or social-construct, and while such notion are often intuitively grasped with other formalisms whether institutional, legal or in the natural sciences subject-matter specialisms, for the social sciences there is a need to actively bring this notion to the consciousness-awareness-teleology in order to circumvent such nature of knowledge fallacies with regards to an emotionally charged domain that is the social. This equally explain why the studies of the social are easiest prone to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, as even where contending intellectual postures are of relative elevated formal knowledge paradigm, it is quite easy for a muddling with <(amplituding)formative>wooden-language-(imbued—averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) mentality in order to advance one intellectual posture, and so as intellectual politics rather than genuine intellectualism. Seventh, as advanced by this author the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of intrinsic-reality as reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process validates and restores the notion of essential meaningfulness (the notion of a center – be it conceptualised as an ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’) to post-structural thought as its
scholars had rather previously mostly focussed on disambiguating/clarifying the
certainty/lack-of-certitude of human meaningfulness and thought. Even then the practical
application and conceptualisation of post-structural meaningfulness has always been one that
has tended to restore a sense of re-equilibrium with respect to perceived vested interest and
skewed power relations whether with regards to its articulation in feminist studies,
postcolonial studies, power relations in social settings with regards to appropriate deliverance
and more responsive public services, etc. as post-structuralism has often been a framework
giving weaker and subjected meaningful frames public voice. Thus the so-called ‘human-
subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-
singularisation of post-structuralism’ has been in real and practical world terms more a
question of abstract reconstructive thinking since such practical applications have tended to
be effective further highlighting the need rather for more decentering contemplations.
Besides, post-structuralism practical emphasis has mostly been methodical rather than
dogmatic. In the bigger scheme of things, this author further highlights that post-structuralism
by implying ‘decentering’ is implying transcendence or an ‘existential-reference/existential-
tautologisation pivoting/decentering’ such that ‘the center’ as the new basis of
analysis/knowledge-construct has moved to the prospective/transcendental/superseding
reference-of-thought putting into question the now-and-present way of thinking as
prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. What has been misconstrued is exactly
the idea of ‘existential-conversion’ that is actually central to all subject-matters wherein the
abstract articulation of principles is of existential-tautologisation/existential-reference
neutrally. For instance, physics principles can be used for either aggressive and warring
applications or peaceful and life-enhancing applications, and to say that physics principles are
wrong because these can be construed as applicable for non-peaceful purposes is to
misunderstand the fundamental nature of theoretic knowledge as fundamentally construing
<amplituding>formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought,—in-epistemic-conflatedness. In other words, abstract post-structural construct as any other theoretical constructs have no commitments to upholding any value-disposition and teleology but rather construe the ontological possibility conflated as of existential reality. The idea of discretely eliciting value-disposition and teleology choices/options is a secondary exercise of human social application (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest epistemic-reflexivity in existence as ontological (so-reflecting
construing-ontological-veridicality as determined-by-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context due to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨(amplituding)formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal,-eliciting-of-prospective-supererogation⟩ as ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-{as of relative conflation) development’) effectively heralds post-ideology as ideas and notions are validated/invalidated by their demonstrated ontological-veracity/ontological-pertinence. In order words the supposed ontological-terms of notions and ideas are the basis for their analysis as ontologically-pertinent or impertinent, and so more than just perfunctory analyses constrained by the limiting framework of institutionalised-being-and-craft constructs and setups but at an existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications level highlighting the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of ontologically-driven analysis over ‘habits’, ‘conventions’ and rights-of-precedence/entitlement fallacies. Post-structuralism as such should posit to remedy and supersede the inherent ‘conceptual hyperbole’ imbued in the often ‘poorly-ontological, non-ontological or metaphysical constructions permeating ideologies’ and projected as worldviews, to ‘restore existential veracity/ontological-pertinence as the central notion behind worldview construction and representation’, and so beyond just ‘present-driven conceptualisations’ of ideologies, but of an insight derived from a historical and anthropological depth with respect to human mentation, meaningfulness and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as implied by a suprastructuralism highlighting of metaphysics-of-absence or postdication. Such a grounding of post-structuralism provides the underlying ontological outlet of analysis with regards to issues and conundrums of veracity/ontological-pertinence faced by earlier poststructuralists like Sartre (not often recognised as a poststructuralist but whose work interpretively does fit
the mould, just as the works of many ‘seriously engaged’ critiques of post-structuralism like Gadamer and Habermas have been highly beneficial to post-structuralism), Foucault and Derrida when it came to draw out veracity/ontological-pertinence from such hyperbolic traditional ideologies including Marxism as constructs highly laden with metaphysics/non-ontology, on the one hand, while addressing, on the other hand, the imbued liberal and neoliberal dogmas of their times wrongly upholding that its ‘dogmatic practices and conventions’ are beyond ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction, and pertinently so by highlighting their underlying ontological failures with recurrent just about decadal institutional crises and social malaises, speaking of the ontological-wobbliness of a liberal thought that has become highly contradictory as marked by its very own perpetual second-guessing. Eighthly, it is this author’s ‘suprastructural contention’ that human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor and a social world is inherently hampered by a blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’. Thus approaching a scientific study of the Social on the same operational basis as that of the natural world is necessarily deficient as the latter’s immediacy of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as well as the fundamental pivoting/decentering of understanding involving the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that took place starting over 500 years ago in establishing the positivising/rational-empirical mindset/reference-of-thought by the Galileos, Newtons, Leibnizes, Darwins, etc. of the world, such that an Einstein could perfectly articulate the idea of the-theory-of-relativity that would normally make no sense even to the majority of the scientific community at the time.
but for the ‘very strength’ of the established positivistic/rational-empiricism psyche (operating on the basis that what predicates on rational-empirical basis takes precedence) already established which ensured its transcendental enabling. The positivistic/rational-empirical psyche today, it is this author opinion, is not strong enough (of sufficient ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in construing-ontological-veridicality as determined-by-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context for the further development today of the study of the Social as of its fleeting nature (on such terms of what predicates should take precedence). It must be said that the notion of transcendental enabler with regards to the Social today is rather relatively weak such that critically a lot of the basis for the social sciences today is influenced rather by practice, authority, and more or less intellectual-politics driven beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought>, rather than truly ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework deterministic ontological ‘projected constructs’. Consequently despite the projected candour, the study of the social is inevitably permeated with ‘intellectual-ontological-bad-faith’ (unconsciously or consciously), and by this is meant it will be naïve to think that all issues of intellectual disagreements with respect to the study of the social are necessarily in purely logical terms without factoring the possibility of ‘intellectual perfidy’. What the blatant constraining of the natural world can do to thinking by mere ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework under the rational-empiricism paradigm is often weakly possible with the Social particularly where there is perceived interest to act otherwise. This is particularly the case with regards to the undermining of social criticism and especially post-structuralism with the intellectual standards of such criticisms strangely enough falling incredibly so low (and mostly finding credibility by ‘pride of place’ of intellectual engagement often beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> abused as objective bases of intellectual criticism get discarded easily for highly subjective ones); and this author equally holds that a ‘fully emancipated social science’ will only prevail with the requisite pivoting/decentering of understanding as deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought psychoanalytical-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, which should enable the attainment of a suprastructural/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought> level of social thought involving deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. More like in many ways the level of thought in the natural sciences is wholly divorced from our consciousness-awareness-teleology and is fully transcendental-enabling/sublimating by confirmatory existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with little or no social-aggregation-enabling but say for human organisational issues and wrong preconceptions induced by social-aggregation-enabling. This arises because it is inevitable to have conscious or unconscious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity just going by human temporal-to-intemporal nature without an inherently strong transcendental-enabling/sublimating. While in the natural and mathematical sciences the subject-matter by itself is highly transcendental-enabling/sublimating this is not the case with the subject-matter of the social due to its high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction requiring rather a further strengthening of ontologising rules as of knowledge-notionalisation and abject-ontologising-recomposuring (deprocrypticism as preempting-procrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) beyond the present just positivistic/rational-empiricism striving social science bringing together profound insight with causal effectiveness. This doesn’t necessarily imply a naïve mimicry of the experimental approach as is often the case it can be argued as
prevalent in the psychological sciences, and even in the natural sciences there is need for thorough insight when experimenting like say much of quantum physics is often based on elaborate abstractness of thought that is merely validated by critical confirmatory experiments. In fact, this author will contend that the overall ‘insightful empirical’ conceptualisation of this paper is actually more profound than catches the eye in a naive empirical sense that cannot see beyond our positivistic registry-worldview to recognise human successive transcendental states like recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism; as even empirical conceptualisations requires insight and it is more than just a matter of obtaining results because an experiment has been made which is certainly simplistic as the very existential state of things when disambiguated is actually a more profound notion of experiment. It is interesting to note that this argument on the specific basis of (conscious or unconscious) ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity for the requisite condition of a ‘fully emancipated social science’ is more than just of circumstantial and idle implication but is rather construed as a structural/paradigmatic notion much like saying it is impossible to have a fully emancipated science in a transitory non-positivism/medievalism to positivistic social-setup still emphasising essences and supranatural causations over a transcendental-enabling/sublimating of rational-empiricism/positivising based knowledge of intrinsic-reality, as transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivistic contentions will still be undermined with such a discrepancy of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised-preconverging/dementing–qualia-schema> in the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct. Likewise, the positivism–procrypticism meaningful-frame is not sufficiently beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-<in-existential-extrication-as-
of-existential-unthought> of social-aggregation-enabling with respect to its social reality subject-matter as of its spurious/remote nature, for a more profound transcendental-enabling/sublimating (unlike the relative case with the physical reality subject-matter as immediate) as required for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridical transcendental enabling. Thus, the only credible logic this author can think of is that post-structuralism as one of the major critical theories given its potential ontological vigour has been seen as a threat with a deliberate covert non-intellectual effort to stifle it and limit its influence often having to do with misrepresenting the ideas and implications of the ideas of its main proponents (as in fact, one of the central issue with regards to post-structural thinking with respect to other intellectual postures has had to do with the unusually high level of accusations of its proponents of misrepresentation of their ideas by many of their critiques whether with respect to such accusations of nihilism or untruth, with a central characteristics of many of such critiques being a failure of recognising exactly the central point of post-structural thinking as rather ‘a putting-into-question/shuffling-of-the-cards for a more profound perspective for ontological analysis’. Consider in this case one media-driven and popularised argument that Karl Rove ‘we make our own reality’ quote during the Bush mandate, is due to post-structuralism. Such arguments are revealing of the ‘non-intellectual spirit’ of many such critics, and in this instance wrongly intimating that Karl Rove considered himself a poststructuralist whereas a sincere take will garner that this is nothing other than a Machiavellian, opportunistic and unprincipled statement than ‘truly post-structural theory inspired’ as with or without post-structuralism it is no less likely that the same statement would have been uttered. And the pseudointellectual exercise of linking the two is revealing not only of such out-of-the-way criticism but equally the ‘wayward mindset’ that is often
brought into supposedly rigorous social science on the basis of such anything-goes-rhyming-logic! Post-structuralism generally occupy a relatively sound position when it comes to all the practical applications of post-structural thought which, to say the least, have always highlighted a sense of re-equilibrium rather than the bogus and insincere criticisms of nihilism or untruth which this author construes as ‘in-effect ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ of ‘parodying’ of poststructuralists positions and analysing the ‘parody’ in usurpation as against a genuinely candid critical intellectualism of their true postures in authenticity. Post-structural exposition of the realities of the social are not value judgements in themselves just as natural sciences exposition of natural and physical reality doesn’t carry any value judgements. For instance, discovering that bacteria cause disease is a simple objective truth then giving rise to human animate-existential-referencing/subjectification inducing the teleological meaningfulness to pivot/decenter that knowledge into avoiding disease and finding cure for diseases. This is no more different with post-structural thought which is not a metaphysical/ideological advocacy but telling the social reality for what it is, with human pivoting/decentering to apply that knowledge for its defined teleological meaningfulness. One of the serious consequence of such a weakened social criticism driven by such a targeted and induced atmosphere of quasi-anti-intellectualism is the result that the domain of the political economy and corresponding economic interests have been spared from the critical analysis of such powerful ontological tools; specifically going by the issues of misallocation and inequality we face today based on axioms of models that remain critically beyond analysis, as effectively an anti-intellectualism with respect to social criticism including post-structuralism is cultivated in favour of a default socially uncritical political economy practice (with the cover-up of an ‘intellectually platitudinal’ media) to protect them. Notwithstanding the impressive theoretical conceptualisations of an ever second-guessing economics science, the ‘underlying liberal political economy axiomatic
constructs’ on which it rests are massively arbitrary, flawed and degenerate; and this is one area in which developed social criticism including post-structuralism could do an excellent job in debunking the ‘underlying mysticism’, as the domain of the political economy beyond competition of ideas at such a fundamental level is the very foundation of the uncritical preservation of such axioms. Such issues as political choices for bailouts, reallocations and remuneration practices are strictly speaking not economic science issues but political economy issues that require a criticism with respect to social choice about the political economy, but this has been usurped uncritically as if of a natural economic allocation mechanism (a falsehood). This author makes this latter point on the belief that knowledge is an existential exercise and that the intellectual should sincerely put their ‘hand in fire’ at the risk of being proven wrong, as the intellectual exercise is not one of self-veneration but discovering the truth (even at the risk of sounding/looking ridiculous). If there is one area of speculative thinking allowed to this author in this paper, it is such a proposition together with the idea that it is incredible to think that a lot of the criticisms directed to post-structuralism since the 1980s arises out of such (it is herein contended) ‘intellectual triteness’ by such critics particularly going by the ‘frivolous arguments’ advanced compared to the high intellectual standards they have been able to show elsewhere, together with the notion that these have tended to be unusually media driven in inducing a populist effect. Imagination will point to the idea that something much more ‘cynical and non-intellectual’ must be at work but passing for legitimate intellectualism; or is it, more like the medieval scholasticism erudition establishment more or less grasping the true implications of a non-medieval positivistic thinking on the whole intellectual, belief system and social-construct, and cynically upholding notions they knew better to be wrong but for their overall sense of preservation of their present and their present interests. This impression can be extended as well with respect to the idea of the social implications of postlogism-as-of-compulsing–
nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation as of its ontological-resolution (aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) in all the successive registry-worldviews given human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. As we can grasp that an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as resolution for non-positivism/medievalism world postlogism which is more than just palliative/incidental-in-its-implication with regards to a specific instance or specific instances of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery for instance, but rather construing the whole non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced,–‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ (as of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales as enabling the possibility of the phenomenon of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and other vices-and-impediments of the state of non-positivism/medievalism and thus requiring structurally and comprehensively a positivistic ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will structurally elicit a non-positivism/medievalism world sense of ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’ that wouldn’t necessarily construe the social manifestations of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery with their associated vices-and-impediments as abstractly and ontologically unwarranted universally (which we know was actually the case, with the ‘establishment’ idea being that the masses didn’t need to know about such ‘positivistic stuff’ even if such stuff was ontologically-veridical), to ensure its ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’. Likewise an articulation as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (ontological-resolution) that is more than just palliative/incidental-in-its-implication with respect to the notion of psychopathy and social psychopathy with regards to a specific instance or specific instances of psychopathy and
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor due to their respective relative-ontological-incompleteness-induced, ‘threshold-of–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation—preconverging/dementing–apriorising-psychologism’ with respect to their respective perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation> phenomena. Thus in all registry-worldviews reference-of-thought, postlogism-as-of-compulsing–nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-threshold-of-shallow-supererogation once it is ‘as of socially-functional-and-accordant’ (beyond the case at childhood where it is accompanied by overt delirium and social universal-transparency–(transparency-of-totalising-entailing,-as-to-entailing-<(amplituding))formative>epistemic-totalising–in-relative-ontological-completeness) of the defect) as at adulthood, the postlogism ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation tends to extend as conjugated-postlogism ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation involving the temporal elicitation of derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought<as-effectively-apriorising-in-nonconviction/madeupness/bottomlining-as-to-shallow-supererogation>, and it is thus naïve to construe postlogisms without such a corresponding differentiation of social analysis in the construing/conceptualisation of ontological-veridicality. Now the criticism of populism-driven critiques of post-structuralism is not raised idly, as an exercise that purports to articulate such breadth and depth of novel ideas as this paper does necessarily requires that the authorship effectively assume the profile and presumption that the implied knowledge construct warrants (which obviously every truly intellectual spirit will appreciate for what it is, if not agree with the arguments). Such an articulation is driven by the idea that knowledge as a transcendence-enabling construct is more than just about its craftiness/technique but part
and parcel of the intellectual exercise is to articulate meaningfulness by its existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications. And just as faced with the evasive nature of quantum theory the physicists never said reality is wrong since it is difficult to understand, likewise it is naïve to imply that the reality reflected by post-structuralism is wrong because it doesn’t quite fit into our ordinary everyday way of thinking (that is exactly the point, our ordinary everyday way of thinking is in want of its further development, just as all prior ordinary everyday ways of thinking had to be psychoanalytically-unshackled)!