

Denial that modal logics of finite direct powers of ω have the finite model property

© Copyright 2018 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

Abstract: From the section partitions of frames, local finiteness, and the finite model property, we evaluate that definition. Because it is *not* tautologous, subsequent equations in the conjecture are denied. This means it is a *non* tautologous fragment of the universal logic $V\mathbb{L}4$.

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/ $V\mathbb{L}4$ with Tautology as the designated proof value, **F** as contradiction, N as truthity (non-contingency), and C as falsity (contingency). The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal, or repeating fragments of 128-tables, sometimes with table counts, for more variables. (See ersatz-systems.com.)

LET \sim Not, \neg ; + Or, \vee, \cup ; - Not Or; & And, \wedge, \cap, \cdot ; \ Not And;
 $>$ Imply, greater than, $\rightarrow, \Rightarrow, \mapsto, \succ, \supset, \rightarrow$;
 $<$ Not Imply, less than, $\in, \prec, \subset, \not\equiv, \neq, \leftarrow, \lesssim$;
 $=$ Equivalent, $\equiv, :=, \Leftrightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \triangleq, \approx, \simeq$; @ Not Equivalent, \neq, \sqsubset ;
 $\%$ possibility, for one or some, \exists, \diamond, M ; # necessity, for every or all, \forall, \square, L ;
 $(z=z)$ **T** as tautology, \top , ordinal 3; $(z@z)$ **F** as contradiction, $\emptyset, \text{Null}, \perp$, zero;
 $(\%z\>\#z)$ N as non-contingency, Δ , ordinal 1;
 $(\%z\<\#z)$ C as contingency, ∇ , ordinal 2;
 $\sim(y < x)$ ($x \leq y$), ($x \subseteq y$); $(A=B)$ ($A \sim B$); $(B > A)$ ($A \vdash B$); $(B > A)$ ($A \models B$).
 Note for clarity, we usually distribute quantifiers onto each designated variable.

From: Shapirovsky, I. (2019).

Modal logics of finite direct powers of ω have the finite model property.
arxiv.org/pdf/1903.04614.pdf shapir@iitp.ru

Definition 1. Let $F = (W, R)$ be a Kripke frame. A partition A of W is tuned (in F) if for every $U, V \in A$,

$$\exists u \in U \exists v \in V uRv \Rightarrow \forall u \in U \exists v \in V uRv. \quad (2.1.1)$$

LET $p, q, r, u, v: U, V, R, u, v.$

$$(((\%u\<p)\&(\%v\<q))\&(\%u\&(r\&\%v)))\>(((\#u\<p)\&(\%v\<q))\&(\#u\&(r\&\%v))) ; \quad (2.1.2)$$

TTTT NTTT TTTT NTTT

F is tunable if for every finite partition A of F there exists a finite tuned refinement B of A .

Eq. 2.1.2 is *not* tautologous, hence denying subsequent equations in the conjecture.