The Mass in Open Repositories –
A Reality Check for All.
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A Roaming Scholar is an actual living scholar – existing to research and
to contribute articles for the sake of society and scholar community.
With the recommendations from multidisciplinary scientists globally;
AR Scholar supports open repositories. A common action to support
other similar platforms (effortlessly created by worthy engineers and
initiative taking scientists).

There may be no actual credible repository. Neither full quality and
safety control for each repository. Simply, we are still stuck at the cycle
of from grant, to salary, to publish, to record, to dominate, to power, to capitalize. We are also having another
cycle of from co-create, initiate, share, compromise, to develop, to nurture. In a material world, there is an
awareness that though chasing the QS, ranking, fame, and making comparisons raises the ego and credibility
of a person – that makes no different to those who are already long givers, invisible victims, abused, the less
fortunate, the generous, or the less famous, the more introvert, or the less political, and the less cynical.

Open repository – we can name a few (out of the hundreds out there being selected by emeritus, reputable
scholars, up to novice scholars). Each scientist and each scholar (at any experience level, or accreditation level)
chooses to deposit his/her work on the basis of specific purpose (measured by either short term and long term).

Justify not the quality of repository, and neither the full quality and full credibility of a scholar. 1 type
of submission can justify 1 type of quality. 1 type of admission, can justify 1 type of example. And yes, each
submission will be controversial. We have observed that a 300+page writing on sensitive themes “eg. religion”
or bizarre contents and uncanny submission trend (indicating easy acceptance by reviewers or unreviewed
practices – as per norm of an open repository free service). In results of the open repository weakness, users
then shall not be praising and not honouring these works entirely. On the other hand, users shall not scrutinize
and fully be cynical to the type of work either. Accidents and weird submissions do happen at open repositories;
often performed by unwise, and/or the less cautious scholars. Weird submissions also gives us more clarity of
events and mysteries. And ‘naughty’ scholars or ill-intentions public citizen can perform an act. Eg. operating
fake social media account in jeopardizing a person life.

In conclusion, repository shall always be treated with respect. Scholars who misuses repositories, and
unethically using other scholars name – is an actual crime. Each repository user therefore, should be more
wise and more experienced – to comment, to use, and to accept or to reject a type of article, and a type of
work. Each open repository therefore whether the best, the most reputable, the most “trust-worthy”, still can
contain at least 1 error accepted deposit (actually more than 1 !!). Similar to journals and scopus-indexed (that
is the reality of dirty competition and publishing reality!).

Repositories serves a more common purpose - still. To welcome open journalism and repository for scholar
professional work, or to initiate dialogues and to document purposeful bibliographic themes that can provide
for different engineers, scientists, educators; while, creating a living space for any inadvertence scholar environment.
Allowing “a being” to surrender his/her: work, thoughts, and a more up-close development of a scholar
experience and journey, at different life periods. Some deposits can repair ill situations, and give evidence to
other scholars – to understand, to learn, and to make amendments. Some deposits can be ground-breaking,
life-changing, and yes – also destructive. Most deposits on the other hand, there is a human out there – one
human who writes, who works, who research, who seeks, who may be lost, who may be too wise, or lonely?
Deposits though – some pride on attention, some pride to be more connected, and some pride to be more
supported. Each open repository, is at the responsibility of the depositer and scholar. For today technology
systems is so advance to prove the actual purpose, provide us forensics of each deposits roots.
AR scholar “Preaches with ethics, preaches with fairness, preaches for safe transparency and preaches transferring knowledge into practice at humane level. In every scholar, there is a human, in every human, there is some meaningful work, a wisdom to ponder, an impartial reasoning to infer, a possibility to understand, and most of all – in every work, in every thought, in every journey, is possible to compromise, yet only with mutual respect and with an everlasting compassion. A human scholar, is one who compassions”.
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