

The Mass of the Neutron Reviewed: The Role of Two New Quarks Rather Than One

George R. Briggs

Abstract: Reviewing my quite recent work concerning the mass of the neutron, it is becoming evident that both the up and the down quark of the neutron have been revised: both have been slightly altered in mass to accurately produce the observed mass of the neutron. This means that 8 quark types exist instead of 6, as expected for E8 symmetry.

To fully understand my most recent flow diagram¹, I carefully reviewed how the accurate² mass of the neutron was calculated. This mass was 939.57 MeV, and after dividing by **1.0000055** to get 939.56483 it is accurate to within 1.0000006 to the neutron's measured mc^2 of **939.56541 MeV**.

The calculation (amazingly!) starts with the mass of the Z(4430) tetraquark. The 4430 MeV tetraquark mc^2 is first divided by the ratio (age of the universe now/age of the universe at the end of the last cycle)² (*signal that holography is involved*) = $4430 / (13.799/13.5)^2 = 4430/1.0447865 = 4240.1007$ MeV. This corrected value of mass is then divided by the mc^2 mass of the tau neutrino (15.5 MeV) to find one of **nature's** most useful dimensionless ratios; 273.55488. *The number 27 signals the 10^{27} galaxies of our universe and can be ignored for this work.*

Taking the remaining signal 3.55488, we split off 3.55, which is a signal of the existence of one or several new quarks. The remaining 0.00488 we use (XMeV) to either increase or decrease the mc^2 of the up quark u_n or the down quark d_n for

the neutron. We first try $4.8 + 0.00488 = u_n$ and $2.3 + 0.00488 = d_n$: the sum of one up quark and two down quarks = $4.80488 + 2 \times 2.30488 = 4.80488 + 4.60976 = 9.41464$ (too large).

We next try $4.80488 + 2 \times 2.29512 = 4.80488 + 4.59024 = 9.39512 = \mathbf{9.395}$ correct to 4 digits for the mc^2 of the neutron.

We next try $4.79512 + 2 \times 2.30488 = 4.79512 + 4.60976 = 9.40488$ (too large).

We finally try $4.79512 + 2 \times 2.29512 = 4.79512 + 4.59024 = 9.38536$ (too small).

We next alter the hypothetical mass 939.57 Mev slightly to 939.56. Now we *mutiply rather than divide by 1.0000055 to get 939.56516* and now $\mathbf{939.56541}/939.56516 = 1.0000002$ (extremely close agreement). Next we set up the equation $9.3956 = 4.8 + 0.00Y + (2 \times 2.3) - 0.002Y$ and solve for Y. We get $Y = 440$ and the dimensionless number becomes 273.55440.

We note also that the accurate³ calculation of the mass of the proton uses the same dimensionless multiplier **1.0000055** as the neutron. This may be a signal of new physics or just another signal (together with the often-used dimensionless constant **1.0000155**) for the holographically important $1/3 \sim \mathbf{1.0000055/1.0000155}$.

This review has revealed several mistakes in the neutron story which I have now attempted to correct.

1. George R. Briggs, "An MHCE8S flow diagram emphasizing peculiar Z-boson phenomena and the existence of one new quark", ViXra 1902, (2019)

2. George R. Briggs, "Calculating the mass of the neutron in a better way with HCE8S theory", ViXra 1808.0168, (2018)

3. George R. Briggs, "Calculating the mass of the proton in a better way with with HCE8S theory", ViXra 1808.0626, (2018)