

---

**Solar Planet Data Geometrical Concepts disproves The Current Theory  
By Gerges Francis Twadrous**

2<sup>nd</sup> course student – Physics Department - Physics & Math Faculty –  
Peoples' Friendship University – Moscow – Russia -2010-2013

TEL +201022532292 [georgytawdrous@yandex.ru](mailto:georgytawdrous@yandex.ru) / [mrwaheid@gmail.com](mailto:mrwaheid@gmail.com)

The Assumption Of S. Virgin Mary -Written in Cairo – Egypt – 13<sup>th</sup> February 2019

**Abstract**

**There Are Serious Contradictions Between The Solar Planet Data Geometrical Concepts And The Solar Group Current Theory And Vision.**

This paper tries to show and prove this contradiction clearly as possible

That necessitates to build a new sufficient theory for the solar group origin and motions..

The new suggested theory should express the following concepts:

- 1- The human mind realization process effects on the solar group geometrical description and understanding
- 2- The solar group is one trajectory of Energy, each planet is a point on this same trajectory.
- 3- Planet Data is created depending on other planets data and no planet can be found or move independently.
- 4- The matter is created from the Energy based on **the quantum standardization**
- 5- There's a higher velocity in the solar group causes relativistic effects
- 6- The relativistic effects leads to increase the Vacuum storing capacity of Energy (where Space is Energy and has different densities of Energy)

**Gerges Francis Tawdrous** +201022532292

E-mail [georgytawdrous@yandex.ru](mailto:georgytawdrous@yandex.ru) [mrwaheid@gmail.com](mailto:mrwaheid@gmail.com)

LinkedIn <https://eg.linkedin.com/in/gerges-francis-86a351a1>

Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/gergis.tawadrous>

Twitter <https://twitter.com/TawdrousF>

Academia <https://rudn.academia.edu/GergesTawadrous>

All my papers [http://vixra.org/author/gerges\\_francis\\_tawdrous](http://vixra.org/author/gerges_francis_tawdrous)

## 1-Introduction

I claim that, the Solar group current theory and description are incorrect completely  
And  
contradict directly with the solar planet data geometrical meanings...  
let's discuss one example only for explanation...

### Example No.1

How the planet orbital distance is defined? How does the planet move? By gravity...  
The gravitation equation defines the planet orbital distance... !  
Let's examine this answer

### 1<sup>st</sup> critic /

Mercury – Venus – Earth ..... these 3 planets order lead us to conclude that, there's  
some relationship between the planet diameter and his orbital distance  
With a clear rule

#### **"Greater Diameter needs Greater Orbital Distance"**

The current theory tells us this is pure coincidences!

But

There's similar order (but reversed). Let's see that

Jupiter – Saturn – Uranus – Neptune – Pluto

The previous order tells us a reversed rule

#### **"Greater Diameter needs Shorter Orbital Distance"**

Something is occurred with Mars which caused the rule to be reversed after Mars!

The current theory still consider this second order also as "pure Coincidences"

But

Uranus Diameter is greater than Neptune Diameter, where Neptune Mass is greater  
than Uranus... that tells us the solar planets order is done depending on the diameter  
and not on the mass! disproving the gravity concept ...!

This critic also is "pure coincidences", the current theory still insists ...

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Critic/

If the planet moves by gravity, and defines his orbital distance by the gravitation  
equation, which depends on the masses, why Jupiter isn't in place of Mercury? Why  
the greatest Mass has no shortest orbital distance? According to the gravitation  
equation ( $m/r^2$ )? The told answer is because of the initial points!! And why we accept  
the gravitation equation based on that if there's no any proof for it?!

For myself I try to understand and don't believe deeply the current theory

So the conclusions are clear before my eyes

#### **1- There's A Relationship Between The Planet Diameter And His Orbital Distance**

#### **2- Mars causes Disturbance For This Relationship**

In this paper I provide many similar points which disprove clearly the current theory  
and push us to find more sufficient theory to explain the solar group origin and  
motion.

## 2- Research Methodology

I use the same method in all my researches, The Planet Data Analysis...

Let's explain the idea here again

In Pythagoras triangle we found that  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ , this rule we can conclude from the triangle data if its dimensions are 3, 4 and 5... so the data may show the geometrical rules...similar to that, I use the solar planets data analysis to conclude the main geometrical rules which explain the solar planets origin and motion...

Let's use this method to explain the moon orbital motion in following:

### Preface:

$$\frac{\text{Apogee Orbital Circumference (r=0.406mkm)=2.58mkm}}{\text{Moon Orbital Circumference (r=0.384mkm)=2.41mkm}} = 1.0725$$

Also

$$\frac{25.2 \text{ Mars axial tilt}}{23.4 \text{ Earth axial tilt}} = \frac{26.7 \text{ Saturn axial tilt}}{25.2 \text{ Mars axial tilt}} = \frac{28.3 \text{ Neptune axial tilt}}{26.7 \text{ Saturn axial tilt}} = 1.0725$$

Let's explain the previous Equation firstly

28.3 degrees (Neptune axial tilt) is the main value which is contracted by lorentz length contraction effect (by the 1.0725) to produce 26.7 deg (Saturn axial tilt) which also is contracted again to produce 25.2 deg. (Mars axial tilt) and then contracted again to produce 23.4 deg. (Earth axial tilt) so these 4 values are equal but the difference is found by relativistic effects

### The Moon Orbital Motion:

- The moon moves 2.58 mkm daily = Earth motion daily (otherwise they will be separated from each other)
- If there's no relativistic effects in the solar system, the moon should be seen as a bright stationary point in the sky because Earth and Moon move by the same velocity.

But

- Because of the relativistic effects this value (2.58 mkm) will be contracted with the rate 1.0725 to produce the value 2.41 mkm (as in 1<sup>st</sup> Equation)
- So the moon daily motion =2.58 mkm but this value is seen =2.41mkm (because of lorentz length contraction phenomenon)
- The difference between both = 0.17 mkm which causes **The Moon Daily Displacement** (0.17 mkm = 2 x **88000 km**)
- Why the difference 0.17 mkm = **2** x moon daily displacement 88000km? may that occur because of the motions opposite directions.

This theory can answer easily why the moon orbital circumference at apogee radius (2.58mkm) = Earth daily motion..... because this circumference express the daily motion...

Please review ...

**"The Moon Orbital Motion"**

<https://www.academia.edu/s/77becf288e/the-moon-orbital-motion>

or

<http://vixra.org/abs/1812.0200>

or

<https://www.slideshare.net/Gergesfrancis/the-moon-orbital-motion>

### 3-Planet orbital distance definition

- 3-1 Saturn orbital distance
- 3-2 Solar Planets Diameters Discussion
- 3-3 Planet orbital distance equation
- 3-4 Planet velocity disproves the gravity concept

### 3-1 Saturn Orbital Distance

#### I- Data

- Saturn orbital Distance = 1433.5 mkm =
- Mars Orbital Circumference = 1433.5 mkm =
- Uranus Orbital Distance = 1433.5 mkm x 2
- Neptune Orbital Distance = 1433.5 mkm x  $\pi$
- Mercury Jupiter orbital diameter = 1441.4 mkm (0.5%)

#### More Relationships

- Mercury Neptune Distance = Saturn Pluto Distance
- Mercury Saturn Distance = Neptune Pluto Distance
- Jupiter Pluto Distance = Uranus Neptune Circumference
- Earth Neptune Distance = Mercury Saturn Circumference (0.5%)
- Jupiter Uranus Distance = Jupiter Saturn Circumference (1.5%)

#### II- Data

- Saturn Orbital Distance = (Saturn diameter x Venus diameter)
- Saturn Orbital Distance = (Venus Circumference)<sup>2</sup> (where Saturn diameter = Venus diameter x  $\pi^2$ )

#### III- Data

Now let's assume that the value 1433.5 mkm is transformed to be 1433.5 days  
Let's see what will happen .....The new value is **1433.5 days**

Table No.1	error
-1433.5 days x Mercury velocity daily 4.095 mkm = 5870 mkm Pluto Orbital Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Venus velocity daily 3.02 mkm = 4329 mkm Venus Neptune Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Earth velocity daily 2.58 mkm = 3699 mkm Jupiter Neptune Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Mars velocity daily 2.082 mkm = 2984.5 mkm Uranus Pluto Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Jupiter velocity daily 1.1318 mkm = 1622.4 mkm Uranus Neptune Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Saturn velocity daily 0.838 mkm = 1201 mkm Mars Saturn Distance	0.3%
-1433.5 days x Uranus velocity daily 0.5875 mkm = 842 mkm	
-1433.5 days x Neptune velocity daily 0.4665 mkm = 670 mkm Venus Jupiter Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Pluto velocity daily 0.406 mkm = 582 mkm Mercury Earth distance*2II	1%

(This table discussion is in Point no.5"there are relativistic effects in the solar group")

## **I- Discussion**

Here we discuss only (I-Data and II-Data)

What do we learn from this data? How to understand it?

The current theory, through a very naive vision toward the solar group, sees the previous data as only "pure coincidences" ...

No thinking about square whose sides are equal, or even equilateral .... The theory believes that, each planet is separated and independent from the others where the orbital distances are found by interaction of gravity with initial points concepts....

The fighting gives us nothing almost

We offer solar planet analysis and the current theory tells us one clear answer

"All this data and analysis are found simply by pure coincidences"

In my different papers I have suggested many new concepts and theories to explain the solar planet data.... We have no room to discuss them here, I want only to explain that, these theories and suggestions are mere tries to understand the solar planet data, but the current theory doesn't help by anyhow, simply she refuses any analysis or even question and repeat the concepts to be kept by heart....

- The planet moves by gravity- even there's no proof !- it's not problem
- The planet is found and move independently from the other planets- even no logic behind...!
- The solar planet is created by a random process (the big bang theory)... but the planet data disproves this idea ....it's not important.... The current theory can still in live regardless any logic against....

Let's see if the planet can be created by random process (based on the Big Bang theory)

### 3-2 Solar Planets Diameters Discussion

I-Data

Table No.2		No Error More Than 1.5%
The Relationship		The Rate
Earth Diameter / Venus Diameter	=	A
= Mars Diameter / Earth Radius	=	
Mars Diameter / Venus Radius	=	A <sup>2</sup>
= Venus Diameter / Moon Circumference	=	
= Moon Radius * π/ Mercury Diameter	=	
= Pluto Circumference / Mars Diameter	=	
= Uranus Circumference / Jupiter Diameter	=	
= lunar apogee radius / lunar perigee radius	=	
Pluto Circumference / Earth Radius	=	A <sup>3</sup>
= Mercury Circumference / Earth Diameter	=	
= Earth Diameter / Moon Circumference	=	
= Uranus Radius / Mars Circumference	=	
= Jupiter Diameter / Saturn Diameter	=	
= Saturn diameter / Uranus Diameter	=	
Venus Radius / Mercury Diameter	=	A <sup>4</sup>
= Uranus Diameter / Earth Circumference	=	
Uranus Diameter / Venus Circumference	=	A <sup>5</sup>
Jupiter Radius / Uranus Diameter	=	A <sup>6</sup>
= Mercury Diameter / Moon Diameter	=	
= Mars Diameter / Mercury Diameter	=	

### II-Discussion

How the planet diameter is created? What the current theory tells us? a big star followed the sun and exploded. From this star matter the solar planets created their matters by effect of gravity forces...

So when 9 planets are created by a random process, the previous relationships can be found by "pure coincidences" .....is it possible? Of course not....

What's the truth?

The solar planets are created depending on each others based on geometrical rules and concepts..... let's think about square as geometrical structure.... If I want to create a square I'll create his 4 sides equal each other....

That means the solar planet diameters are created to form one geometrical structure, that's why the previous relationships are found

It's not useful to ask the current theory how to explain the previous- she sees nothing more than the pure coincidences....!

### 3-3 Planet Orbital Distance Equation

(Gerges Francis Tawdrous Equation)

$$d^2 = 4d_0(d-d_0)$$

Where

d= Planet Orbital Distance

d<sub>0</sub>= Previous Planet Orbital Distance

There are 3 exceptions which are:

- Earth depends on Mercury
- Mars depends on Venus
- Pluto depends on Uranus

The equation disturbances are found only with Mars, Pluto as we expected and Earth also as a result of Mars immigration

**Let's see this equation using in following**

1-

Venus Orbital Distance 108.2 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Mercury Orbital Distance 57.9mkm x 50.3mkm  
Mercury Venus distance. (No error)

2-

(Earth Orbital Distance.149.6 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Mercury Orbital Distance 57.9mkm x91.7 mkm  
Mercury Earth distance) (2.5%)

3-

(Mars Orbital Distance 227.9 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Venus Orbital Distance 108.2 mkm x 120 mkm  
Venus Mars distance) (No error)

4-

(Ceres Orbital Distance 415 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Mars Orbital Distance 227.9 mkm x187 mkm  
Mars Ceres distance) (No error)

5-

(Jupiter Orbital Distance 778.6 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Ceres Orbital Distance 415 mkm x 364 mkm  
Ceres Jupiter distance) (No error)

6-

(Saturn Orbital Distance 1433.5 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Jupiter Orbital Distance 778.6 mkm x 655.7  
mkm Jupiter Saturn distance) (No error)

7-

(Uranus Orbital Distance 2872.5 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Saturn Orbital Distance 1433.5 mkm x 1439  
mkm Saturn Uranus distance) (No error)

8-

(Neptune Orbital Distance 4495.1 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Uranus Orbital Distance 2872.5 mkm x  
1622 mkm Uranus Neptune distance) (4%)

9-

(Pluto Orbital Distance 5870 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Uranus Orbital Distance 2872.5 mkm x 2997.5  
mkm Uranus Pluto distance) (No error)

#### 3-4-1 The Discussion

The Equation works perfectly with all solar planets where the exceptions are only with Mars, Earth and Pluto .... Why?

This question I have answered before. I have claimed that Mars had immigrated from his original orbital point at 84 mkm from the sun to dwell in his new orbital point at 227.9 mkm from the sun. and Pluto was Mercury Moon and had to immigrate also because of Mars immigration... please review my papers

Mars Immigration Proves (Revised) <http://vixra.org/abs/1807.0268>

Pluto was "The Mercury Moon" <http://vixra.org/abs/1807.0331>


### 3-4 Planet Velocity disproves The Gravity Concept

#### I – Data (Velocities)

**Planet Velocity daily x another planet Velocity daily =  $2\pi$  mkm<sup>2</sup>**

Let's examine that is following

- 4.095 mkm (Mercury Velocity daily) x 1.535 mkm (Ceres Velocity daily) =  $2\pi$  mkm<sup>2</sup>
- 3.02 mkm (Venus Velocity daily) x 2.08 mkm (Mars Velocity daily) =  $2\pi$  mkm<sup>2</sup>
- 2.58 mkm (Earth Velocity daily) x 2.41 mkm (Moon Velocity daily) =  $2\pi$  mkm<sup>2</sup>



#### Note Please (1)

The previous data shows no cross-sectional points which supports the claim there's geometrical reason behind these velocities (and not the gravity forces)

Also

#### Note Please (2)

- Pluto orbital period 90588 days = Earth orbital Period 365.25  $(2\pi)^3$
- I conclude that, the solar group is one trajectory of Energy where Earth and Pluto are the 2 terminal points of this trajectory... so the rate  $2\pi$  is found by 2 planets for 3 times to provide the rate  $(2\pi)^3$  which makes Pluto orbital period to depend on Earth orbital period and by this way, Earth and Pluto be as 2 gears move with other by help of the other planets (other gears)....

#### II – Data (Velocities)

- 1-  $\frac{\text{Venus Velocity}}{\text{Earth Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Moon Velocity}}{\text{Mars Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Neptune Velocity}}{\text{Pluto Velocity}} = 1.17 = A$  (Max error 1.8%)
- 2-  $\frac{\text{Mercury Velocity}}{\text{Venus Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Mars Velocity}}{\text{Ceres Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Ceres Velocity}}{\text{Jupiter Velocity}} = 1.355 = A^2$  (Max error less 1%)
- 3-  $\frac{\text{Uranus Velocity}}{\text{Neptune Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Earth Velocity}}{\text{Mars Velocity}} = 1.239 = B$  (Max error 1.6 %)
- 4-  $\frac{\text{Saturn Velocity}}{\text{Uranus Velocity}} = 1.4263 = B^2$  (Max error 1.8 %)
- 5-  $(B/A) = (B^2/A^2) = 1.0725$  (Max error 1.3%)

#### III-Discussion

The previous data tells us that, the solar planets velocities are found by geometrical reasons behind, where each velocity depends on the others, that means there's no planet velocity is found by gravity forces... that tells us the gravity was almost a mystery....

To understand much better the previous data we need to recognize the rate 1.0725 which is created as a result of relativistic effects in the solar group... let's discuss this idea in following point...

## 4- There Are Relativistic Effects In The Solar Group

4-1 Relativistic effects are found in the solar group

4-2 Special Theory of relativity Extension

4-3 The relativistic effects reasons

### 4-1 Relativistic effects are found in the solar group

I have referred to the relativistic effects in this paper methodology but let's see the data first and then we'll discuss it...

#### I-Data

<b>1st-</b>	$\frac{\text{Earth Daily Motion } 2.58 \text{ mkm}}{\text{Moon Orbital Circumference } 2.41 \text{ mkm}} = 1.0725$	(No Error)
<b>2nd-</b>	$\frac{\text{Apogee orbital radius } (406000 \text{ km})}{\text{Total Solar Eclipse radius } (378500 \text{ km})} = 1.0725$	(No Error)
<b>3rd-</b>	$\frac{778.6 \text{ mkm Jupiter Orbital Distance}}{720.3 \text{ mkm Jupiter Mercury distance}} = 1.0725$	(0.7%)
<b>4th-</b>	$\frac{720.3 \text{ mkm Jupiter Mercury distance}}{670 \text{ mkm Jupiter Venus Distance}} = 1.0725$	(No Error)
<b>5th-</b>	$\frac{670 \text{ mkm Jupiter Venus Distance}}{629 \text{ mkm Jupiter Earth Distance}} = 1.0725$	(0.6%)
<b>6th-</b>	$\frac{\text{Jupiter Orbital Circumference } (4894 \text{ mkm})}{\text{Neptune Orbital Distance } (4495.1 \text{ mkm})} = 1.0725$	(Error 1.5 %)
<b>7th-</b>	$\frac{\text{Saturn Orbital Distance } (1433.5 \text{ mkm})}{\text{Sarurn Venus Distance } (1325.3 \text{ mkm})} = 1.0725$	(Error 0.8%)
<b>8th-</b>	$\frac{\text{Saturn Earth Distance } (1284 \text{ mkm})}{\text{Sarurn Mars Distance } (1205.6 \text{ mkm})} = 1.0725$	(Error 0.7%)
<b>9th-</b>	$\frac{\text{Uranus Orbital Distance } (2872.5 \text{ mkm})}{\text{Uranus Mars Distance } (2644 \text{ mkm})} = 1.0725$	(Error 0.7%)

#### Equation No.10

$$\frac{\text{Apogee Orbital Circumference } (r=0.406\text{mkm})=2.58\text{mkm}}{\text{Moon Orbital Circumference } (r=0.384\text{mkm})=2.41\text{mkm}} = \frac{29.53 \text{ days (Synodic Month)}}{27.3 \text{ days (Sidreal Month)}} = \frac{\text{Apogeeradius } (r=0.406\text{mk})}{\text{t.s.eclipse radius } (r=0.378\text{mk})} = 1.0725$$

We have seen the rate 1.0725 frequently in this paper (1<sup>st</sup> in methodology- 2<sup>nd</sup> in Planet Velocity 3-4 and for 3<sup>rd</sup> time here) why this rate is repeated as such in the solar planets data? Let's try to answer this question with our discussion

## **II-Discussion**

Let's ask the question ....

- Why the rate 1.0725 is found frequently in the solar planets data?
- Because the rate 1.0725 is created by relativistic effects which are found widely in the solar group...!

We have 2 task here...

- 1- to explain that the rate 1.0725 is found by relativistic effects
- 2- to explain why there are relativistic effects in the solar group...

let's Start with the first Task

### **1<sup>st</sup> Task**

Lorentz proper length equation

$$L_o = \frac{L}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$

If  $v = 0.99c$  so  $\frac{L_o}{L} = 7.071$

But this result doesn't correspond with our rate 1.0725

So what's happened?

$$\frac{L_o}{L} = \frac{100}{100} + \frac{7.071}{100} = 1.072$$

What we have learnt from the previous equation

$v = 0.99c$

It's truth

But the description is incorrect

1.0725 is Not a rate between 2 distances ( $L_o/L$ )

But 1.0725 is a rate in the Equation

$$\frac{L_o}{L} = \frac{100}{100} + \frac{7.071}{100} = 1.072$$

i.e.

there's a velocity  $v = 0.99c$  in the solar group

but we need to modify our description to see clearly how this same velocity  $v = 0.99c$  effects because its effect can't be direct between 2 length but its effect is done through the Equation

$$\frac{L_o}{L} = \frac{100}{100} + \frac{7.071}{100} = 1.072$$

### **Note Please**

- The rates 7.1 and  $(7.1)^2$  also are used in the solar group as well as the rates 71 and  $(71)^2$

### **2<sup>nd</sup> Task**

(This task should be discussed with sub-point 4-3 the relativistic effects reasons)

## 4-2 Special Theory of relativity Extension

### I- Light velocity equation

$$X = CT$$

Distance = times multiplies with light velocity...

If  $C=1$

So

**time will be equivalent to the distance!**

What does mean the time is equivalent to the distance?

Our daily experiences cause serious difficulty to accept such idea

But this idea –if we can prove- will be an addition to the special theory of relativity

i.e.

### **A Development For SR Theory**

let's explain the idea and later provide the proof

If Energy (or particle) moves with  $c$  velocity, the following features will be occurred

1. Mass increasing
2. Time dilation
3. Length contraction

#### **4. The time values will be seen as distance values**

**Point No. 4 is my contribution in the Special Theory of Relativity.**

### II-The General Discussion

Solar group data tells us that the time and distance values can be equivalent sometimes  
i.e.

Not all distance values can be seen as time values but just some of them (depending on the observer position and case) ...let's consider one example to explain that

25920 years is the Cycle of Precession

(Earth Axis needs 25920 to rotate a complete rotation around himself)

But

25920 million km = the distance passed by the light his velocity 0.3mkm/sec during one complete day 86400 second

Also

25920 million km= 17.76 solar planets total velocities per day x 1461 days (earth 4 years Cycle)

(25920 is the distance moved by all solar planets during 1461 days)

So we see the same number in 2 different units (one in time and the other is distance)!

That's my idea

This effect is happened because the solar group motions are relativistic motions

Einstein couldn't conclude this effect when he formed Special Theory of relativity because he used the electrodynamics, so he limited to  $C$  velocity as the SR main hypothesis tells, so he has no motion depends on  $c$  velocity

This effect or feature we concluded because we use the solar group data analysis

**(the time will be equivalent to the distance when you move with  $c$  velocity)**

### III-Saturn Orbital Distance Table Discussion

Table No.1(repeated)	error
-1433.5 days x Mercury velocity daily 4.095 mkm = 5870 mkm Pluto Orbital Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Venus velocity daily 3.02 mkm = 4329 mkm Venus Neptune Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Earth velocity daily 2.58 mkm = 3699 mkm Jupiter Neptune Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Mars velocity daily 2.082 mkm = 2984.5 mkm Uranus Pluto Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Jupiter velocity daily 1.1318 mkm = 1622.4 mkm Uranus Neptune Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Saturn velocity daily 0.838 mkm = 1201 mkm Mars Saturn Distance	0.3%
-1433.5 days x Uranus velocity daily 0.5875 mkm = 842 mkm	
-1433.5 days x Neptune velocity daily 0.4665 mkm = 670 mkm Venus Jupiter Distance	0
-1433.5 days x Pluto velocity daily 0.406 mkm = 582 mkm Mercury Earth distance*2Π	1%

All solar planets real velocities performed real distances in the solar group except Uranus!  
Why? Because Uranus is on vertical level relative to the other planets positions...

Let's first see How the 1433.5 mkm can be 1433.5 days

i.e. 1 day = 1 mkm

- The moon orbit regresses 19 degrees yearly causes the eclipse cycle to regress by 19 days, means 1 day = 1 degree
- Mercury orbital Circumference = 364 mkm and his degrees = 360 degrees i.e. 1 degree = 1 mkm (approximately)
- Now if 1 degree of the moon orbit = 1 Degree of the Mercury Orbital Circumference we will perform our goal.....how these 2 values can be equal...? If the solar group is one machine it's possible to perform this equality
- So the mechanism by which 1 million km = 1 day is Not clear before us because we don't understand the solar group main description

#### Note Please

The previous table provides more proves for this SR same extension

This table is discussed before in my previous paper

The Time Definition

<http://vixra.org/abs/1805.0523>

### 4-3 The Relativistic Effects Reasons

Why there are relativistic effects in the solar group?  
to answer this question we need to discuss our old question .....  
How the matter is created?

#### The Main Idea

- 1- The human mind contains electromagnetic waves (travel by  $c$  velocity in vacuum)
- 2- I suppose The Realization Process in human mind depends on  $c$  velocity
- 3- In Young Experiment (Double Slit Experiment), the light coherence produces bright fringes and dark fringes
- 4- I claim that, the solar group is created by a coherence of 2 light beams
- 5- We see the bright fringes as matters (because our bodies are created from bright fringes) (That's why we have body... because our mind realization process depends on  $c$  velocity, so our body which is made of bright fringes we see as matter)
- 6- We see the dark fringes as distance or space (that's why the space is Energy)
- 7- This explains the planet diameter and orbital distance relationship.
- 8- This also explain why the planet move by a physical law and not in chaos... because the matter in the universe is created as AN EFFECT OF THE HUMAN MIND ON THE UNIVERSE and the human logic is the basic mirror between the observer and the universe.

#### **The Conclusion**

The relativistic effects and the matters both are created because of Earth and Moon motions relative to the sun and space around

The Earth & moon high velocities are equal our mind operation velocity which produce the matter around us

i.e.

the matter has no real existence and the relativistic effects are the natural results of the matter creation

#### **Please review**

Theory of Matter Creation

<http://vixra.org/abs/1812.0473>

Vacuum Energy Theory

<http://vixra.org/abs/1901.0163>

Why Energy has Different Forms?

<http://vixra.org/abs/1812.0116>

The Solar Group is One Geometrical Structure

<http://vixra.org/abs/1811.0523>

### **Author Other Papers**

The Relativistic Effects Reason

<http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0097>

Life Is Found On Earth Only (Claim)

<http://vixra.org/abs/1901.0422>

Is the 2737 Phenomenon a Real One?

<http://vixra.org/abs/1901.0381>

Vacuum Energy Theory

<http://vixra.org/abs/1901.0163>

The Moon Orbit Triangle

<http://vixra.org/abs/1901.0082>

The Solar Day Production

<http://vixra.org/abs/1812.0426>

The Moon Orbital Motion

<http://vixra.org/abs/1812.0160>

Why Energy has Different Forms?

<http://vixra.org/abs/1812.0116>

### **Author curriculum vitae**

<http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0044>

or

[https://www.academia.edu/38285624/Gerges\\_Francis\\_Tawdrous\\_Curriculum\\_Vitae\\_.doc](https://www.academia.edu/38285624/Gerges_Francis_Tawdrous_Curriculum_Vitae_.doc)

or

<https://www.slideshare.net/Gergesfrancis/gerges-francis-tawdrous-curriculum-vitae>