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Hillary Rodham Clinton comes from an affluent background of legal scholarship. A graduate of Yale Law School, Mrs. Clinton has held higher political office since 2001 as New York state senator. Having risen to fame as the First Lady, Clinton rose to power as a high-profile legal scholar (eventually marrying the former president Bill Clinton). As governor of Arkansas, Bill Clinton presided as the key political decision-maker. Battling a slow economy and the emerging neo-conservative wing of the Republican party -- whereby, scandal almost overtook the media limelight.

With growing public approval, the Clintonites set out to win the 1992 American presidency against the 43rd President George W. Bush.
A landslide victory, the Clintonites “transformed” themselves into a transformative democratic couple that revolutionized the edifice of the political process, i.e., through centrism and neo-liberalism. Liberalizing the American economy and relaxing barriers in corporate entrepreneurship, the Clintonites achieved popular policies that led to strong economic growth. Where popularity can invigorate the political process and yet strong decision-making can change the outlook of U.S. power and infrastructure.

Better said, the Clintonites -- though marked by scandal, became a beacon of both progressivism and liberal statism.
Hillary Clinton ran for the 2002 New York state senatorial under the auspices of the Bill Clinton era. Bringing attention to her undying commitment to impoverish groups and to dismantle key Republican policies that favored the super-rich. Using Bill Clinton’s example of centrism through popularity, Hillary Rodham Clinton manage to win the Senatorial race with ease.

Yet more ambitious, the Clintonites set out for the 2008 American presidential race.

Running under the ticket of more centrism through popularity, populace politics became center stage in the American pre-election of 2008. The world economy was then struck by a massive housing crisis, yet the Clintonites manage to dissociate from any direct responsibility. Even from Bill
Clinton’s decision to dismantle the Glass Steagall act that relax banking regulation (implemented during the New Deal).

Either way, the Clintonites sparked mass populist opposition against the Republican party. Populist opposition that proved essential to the Democrats in winning the 2008 elections (using massive funding from the business sector). Also, quintessential to rewarding the private sector that led to the corporate take-over of American political decision-making.

Yet radicalizing their base, Hillary Clinton became Secretary of State under the Barack Obama 2008-2012 presidency -- presiding in key policy-making that altered U.S. foreign affairs and national legislation. Policy-making that is a result of radical maneuvering to invigorate both the Democratic
Party and the liberal establishment. Running twice, the Clintonites nevertheless kept their loss in good stride.

Speaking out and reaching out, but keeping a distant watch on the radical transformation of American politics.

It’s apparent that the Clintonite scandals are an indication of political attempts to evade U.S. legal norms: overreaching decision-making to secure greater political power, using populace politics to steer public opinion against political opponents, and applying unorthodox means to protect their constituency and benefactors. The Clintonites are indicative of populace politics that fails to realize their false promises of progressive change. Instead the Clintonites aspire for more political fame to achieve a Clinton dynasty.
By rewarding the business sector to further intensify failed policy-making -- in an attempt, to secure more presidential privilege. Encouraging a misleading political self-image of liberal centrism. It appears, nonetheless, that Hillary Clinton is a *Palpatine political figure* whose motives are unclear. Yet driven by populace rhetoric and manipulation of key-players -- in an attempt, to present herself as a figure-head that will heed the desperation of the masses by delegitimizing a debating Congress. Pose policy-recommendations meant for their business benefactors that spearheaded her rise to fame and popularity. Using contemporary trends to claim progressive decision-making -- in hopes, of greater political power to invigorate a misleading and yet declining self-image of liberal centrism.