How does a light-mill work?

By Philip Gibbs

Abstract: This answer to the Frequently Asked Question first appeared in the Usenet Physics FAQ in 1997.

In 1873, while investigating infrared radiation and the element thallium, the eminent Victorian experimenter Sir William Crookes developed a special kind of radiometer, an instrument for measuring radiant energy of heat and light. Crookes's Radiometer is today marketed as a conversation piece called a light-mill or solar engine. It consists of four vanes, each of which is blackened on one side and silvered on the other. These are attached to the arms of a rotor which is balanced on a vertical support in such a way that it can turn with very little friction. The mechanism is encased inside a clear glass bulb that has been pumped out to a high, but not perfect, vacuum.

When sunlight falls on the light-mill, the vanes turn with the black surfaces apparently being pushed away by the light. Crookes at first believed this demonstrated that light radiation pressure on the black vanes was turning it around, just like water in a water mill. His paper reporting the device was refereed by James Clerk Maxwell, who accepted the explanation Crookes gave. It seems that Maxwell was delighted to see a demonstration of the effect of radiation pressure as predicted by his theory of electromagnetism. But there is a problem with this explanation. Light falling on the black side should be absorbed, while light falling on the silver side of the vanes should be reflected. The net result is that there is twice as much radiation pressure on the metal side as on the black. In that case the mill is turning the wrong way.

When this was realised, other explanations for the radiometer effect were sought and some that people came up with are still mistakenly quoted as correct. It was clear that the black side of each vane would absorb heat from infrared radiation more than the silver side. This would cause the rarefied gas to be heated on the black side. In that case, the obvious explanation is that the pressure of the gas on the darker side increases with its temperature, creating a higher force on the dark side of the vane which thus pushes the rotor around. Maxwell analysed this theory carefully — presumably being wary about making a second mistake. He discovered that, in fact, the warmer gas would simply expand in such a way that there would be no net force from this effect, just a steady flow of heat across the vanes. So this explanation in terms of warm gas is wrong, but even the Encyclopaedia Britannica gives this false explanation today. A variation on this theme is that the motion of the hot molecules on the black side of the vane provides the push. Again this is not correct, and could only work if the mean free
path between molecular collisions were as large as the container, instead of its actual value of typically less than a millimetre.

To understand why these common explanations are wrong, think first of a simpler setup in which a tube of gas is kept hot at one end and cool at the other. If the gas behaves according to the ideal gas laws with isotropic pressure, it will settle into a steady state with a temperature gradient along the tube. The pressure will be the same throughout, since otherwise net forces will disturb the gas. The density will vary inversely with temperature along the tube. There will be a flow of heat from the hot end to the cold end, but the force on both ends will be the same because the pressures at the ends are equal. Any suggested mechanism that yields a stronger force on the hot end with no tangential forces along the length of the tube cannot be correct, since otherwise there would be a net force on the tube with no opposite reaction. The radiometer is a little more complex, but the same idea should apply. No net force can be generated by normal forces on the faces of the vanes, because pressure would quickly equalize to a steady state with just a flow of heat through the gas.

Another blind alley was the theory that the heat vaporises gases dissolved in the black coating, which then leak out and propel the vanes round. Actually, such an effect does exist; but it is not the real explanation. This can be demonstrated by cooling the radiometer, for then the rotor turns the other way. Furthermore, if the gas is pumped out to make a much higher vacuum, the vanes stop turning altogether. This suggests that the rarefied gas is involved in the effect. For similar reasons, the theory that the vanes are propelled by electrons dislodged via the photoelectric effect can also be ruled out. One last incorrect explanation sometimes given is that the heating sets up convection currents with a horizontal component that turns the vanes. Sorry, wrong again. The effect cannot be explained in this way.

The correct solution to the problem was provided qualitatively by Osborne Reynolds, better remembered for the "Reynolds number". Early in 1879 Reynolds submitted a paper to the Royal Society in which he considered what he called "thermal transpiration", and also discussed the theory of the radiometer. By "thermal transpiration", Reynolds meant the flow of gas through porous plates caused by a temperature difference on the two sides of the plates. If the gas is initially at the same pressure on the two sides, it flows from the colder to the hotter side, resulting in a higher pressure on the hotter side if the plates cannot move. Equilibrium is reached when the ratio of pressures on either side is the square root of the ratio of absolute temperatures. This counterintuitive result is due to tangential forces between the gas molecules and the sides of the narrow pores in the plates. The effect of these thermomolecular forces is very similar to the thermomechanical effects of superfluid liquid helium. This liquid, which lacks all viscosity, will climb the sides of its container towards a warmer region. In fact,
this form of liquid helium climbs so quickly up the sides of a thin capillary tube
dipped into it, that a fountain is produced at the tube's other end.

The vanes of a radiometer are not porous. To explain the radiometer, therefore,
one must focus attention not on the faces of the vanes, but on their edges. The
faster molecules from the warmer side strike the edges obliquely and impart a
higher force than the colder molecules. Again, these are the same
thermomolecular forces responsible for Reynolds' thermal transpiration. The effect
is also known as thermal creep, since it causes gases to creep along a surface that
has a temperature gradient. The net movement of the vane due to the tangential
forces around the edges is away from the warmer gas and towards the cooler gas,
with the gas passing around the edge in the opposite direction. The behaviour is
just as if there were a greater force on the blackened side of the vane (which as
Maxwell showed is not the case); but the explanation must be in terms of what
happens not at the faces of the vanes, but near their edges.

Maxwell refereed Reynolds' paper, and so became aware of his
suggestion. Maxwell at once made a detailed mathematical analysis of the
problem, and submitted his own paper, "On stresses in rarefied gases arising from
inequalities of temperature", for publication in the Philosophical Transactions; it
appeared in 1879, shortly before his death. The paper gave due credit to Reynolds'
suggestion that the effect is at the edges of the vanes, but criticised Reynolds'
mathematical treatment. Reynolds' paper had not yet appeared (it was published in
1881), and Reynolds was incensed by the fact that Maxwell's paper had not only
appeared first, but had criticised his unpublished work! Reynolds wanted his
protest to be published by the Royal Society, but after Maxwell's death this was
deemed inappropriate.

On a last note, it is possible to measure radiation pressure using a more refined
apparatus. One needs to use a much better vacuum, suspend the vanes from fine
fibers and coat the vanes with an inert glass to prevent out-gassing. When this is
done, the vanes are deflected the other way - as predicted by Maxwell. The
experiment is very difficult; it was first done successfully in 1901 by Pyotr
Lebedev and also by Ernest Nichols and Gordon Hull.
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