

Conformal Symmetry Breaking in Einstein-Cartan Gravity coupled to the Electroweak Theory

J. Lee Montag, Ph.D.
October 2018

Abstract

We develop an alternative to the Higgs mechanism for spontaneously breaking the local $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge invariance of the Electroweak Theory by coupling to Einstein-Cartan gravity in curved spacetime. The theory exhibits a local scale invariance in the unbroken phase, while the gravitational sector does not propagate according to the conventional quantum field theory definition. We define a unitary gauge for the local $SU(2)$ invariance which results in a complex Higgs scalar field. This approach fixes the local $SU(2)$ gauge without directly breaking the local $U(1)$. We show how the electroweak symmetry can be spontaneously broken by choosing a reference mass scale to fix the local scale invariance. The mass terms for the quantum fields are then generated without adding any additional symmetry breaking terms to the theory. We point out subtle differences of the quantum field interactions in the broken phase.

1 Einstein-Cartan Gravity coupled to a Dirac Spinor

Here we outline the basic formulation of General Relativity[1][2] coupled to a Dirac spinor in curved spacetime[4][5][6]. In this formalism, we exclude the conventional restriction on torsion and follow the approach introduced by Cartan[3]. The analysis does not result in a propagating theory of quantum gravity, and the lack of renormalizability in the traditional sense[7][8] does not pose any inconsistency. Furthermore, this approach does not necessarily lead to a symmetric canonical energy-momentum tensor as in the Belinfante-Rosenfeld procedure[9][10].

For group and matrix indices we choose the lower case Roman letters (a, b, c, d), for flat spacetime indices we choose the lower case Roman letters (m, n, p, q), and for curved spacetime indices we choose the lower case Greek letters (μ, ν, ρ, σ).

We adopt the following conventions for the metric tensor and vierbein connection.

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^{mn} &= (-+++)\end{aligned}\begin{aligned}g^{\mu\nu} &= \eta^{mn} e_m^\mu e_n^\nu\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

We choose the Clifford algebra for γ matrices with this metric signature as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\{\gamma^m, \gamma^n\} &= -2\eta^{mn} \\ \gamma_5 &= i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

In the spinor representation, we adopt the following conventions for the local Lorentz group.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'(x) &= \Lambda(x)\psi(x) \\ \Lambda(x) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\theta^{mn}(x)S_{mn}\right) \\ \bar{\psi} &= \psi^\dagger\gamma^0 \\ \bar{\psi}'(x) &= \bar{\psi}(x)\Lambda^{-1}(x) \\ \Lambda^{-1}(x) &= \exp\left(+\frac{1}{2}\theta^{mn}(x)S_{mn}\right) \\ S_{mn} &= -\frac{1}{4}[\gamma_m, \gamma_n]\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

This representation satisfies the $\text{SO}(1, 3)$ Lie algebra of the generators S_{mn} for the local Lorentz group.

$$[S_{mn}, S_{pq}] = -\eta_{mp}S_{nq} - \eta_{nq}S_{mp} + \eta_{mq}S_{np} + \eta_{np}S_{mq}\tag{4}$$

The theory for a Dirac spinor in curved spacetime is symmetric with respect to both general covariant and local Lorentz transformations. We use the convention that $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho$ is the general covariant connection and ω_μ^{mn} is the local Lorentz spin connection. In our approach, the index order of the connections are set deliberately. We also find it important to note that since η^{mn} and the Clifford algebra are invariant under local Lorentz transformations, the vierbein transforms only as a general covariant vector. The covariant derivative acting on the vierbein and Dirac spinor is defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu e_\nu^n &= \partial_\mu e_\nu^n + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho e_\rho^n \\ \nabla_\mu \psi &= \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{1}{2}\omega_\mu^{mn} S_{mn}\psi \\ \nabla_\mu \bar{\psi} &= \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi} S_{mn}\omega_\mu^{mn}\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

We make use of the following fundamental definitions, which allow for both a unique covariant derivative and Riemann curvature tensor. The general covariant connection can then be eliminated from the theory in favor of the vierbein and spin connection which remain as independent fields.

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_\mu^{mn} &:= e^{\nu m} \nabla_\mu e_\nu^n \\ R_{\mu\nu}{}^{mn} &:= e^{\sigma m} [\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] e_\sigma^n\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

These definitions lead directly to the desired results with $\omega_\mu^{mn} + \omega_\mu^{nm} = 0$ giving $\nabla_\mu g^{\nu\rho} = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu \gamma_\nu &= 0 \\ \nabla_\mu \gamma_m &= -\omega_{\mu m}{}^n \gamma_n \\ \nabla_\mu (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\nu \psi) &= \partial_\mu (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\nu \psi) + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\rho \psi) \\ R_{\mu\nu}{}^{mn} &= \nabla_{[\mu} \omega_{\nu]}{}^{mn} + \omega_{[\mu}{}^{mp} \omega_{\nu]p}{}^n \\ R &= e_m^\mu e_n^\nu \omega_{[\nu}{}^{mp} \omega_{\mu]p}{}^n\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where $\gamma_\nu = e_\nu^m \gamma_m$ and $R = e_m^\mu e_n^\nu R_{\mu\nu}{}^{mn}$. After integrating by parts to evaluate the scalar curvature R , we note that it no longer contains any derivatives on the connections (e, ω) . We now write the Lagrangian density and gravitational field equations for Einstein-Cartan Gravity coupled to a Dirac spinor.

$$\begin{aligned}eL &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} e_m^\mu \gamma^m i \nabla_\mu \psi - \frac{1}{2} (i \nabla_\mu \bar{\psi}) e_m^\mu \gamma^m \psi + m \bar{\psi} \psi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} e_m^\mu \gamma^m i \partial_\mu \psi - \frac{1}{2} (i \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) e_m^\mu \gamma^m \psi + \frac{i}{4} \omega_\mu{}^{pq} e_m^\mu S^m{}_{pq} + m \bar{\psi} \psi \\ e\kappa \frac{\delta L}{\delta e_m^\mu} &= R_\mu{}^m - \frac{1}{2} e_\mu^m R + \frac{\kappa}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^m i \partial_\mu \psi - \frac{\kappa}{2} (i \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) \gamma^m \psi + \frac{i\kappa}{4} \omega_\mu{}^{pq} S^m{}_{pq} = 0 \\ e\kappa \frac{\delta L}{\delta \omega^\mu{}_{pq}} &= \omega_\mu{}^{pq} + \frac{i\kappa}{4} e_\mu^m S^m{}_{pq} = 0\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where $e = \det(e_m^\mu)$ and $S^m{}_{pq} = \bar{\psi} \{\gamma^m, S_{pq}\} \psi$ is the totally anti-symmetric spin field. The spin connection is now totally anti-symmetric since the other components may be eliminated by their equations of motion.

2 Einstein-Cartan Gravity coupled to the Electroweak Theory

We develop the formalism for Einstein-Cartan Gravity coupled to the Electroweak Theory[11][12][13] in curved spacetime. This approach exhibits a local scale invariance in the unbroken phase[17][18]. However, we do not follow the procedure of the Higgs mechanism for spontaneously breaking the local SU(2)xU(1) gauge invariance of the Electroweak Theory[14][15][16]. Instead, we show how the electroweak symmetry can be spontaneously broken by choosing a reference mass scale to fix the local scale invariance.

We define a unitary gauge for the local SU(2) invariance that results in a complex Higgs scalar field. This approach fixes the local SU(2) gauge without directly breaking the local U(1). Technically, we fix the local SU(2) unitary gauge then break the remaining local U(1) by choosing a reference mass scale. Therefore, the

reference mass is arbitrary up to a local U(1) transformation and a corresponding local scale transformation. We do not reduce the Higgs scalar to a constant as in[19], since the real and imaginary components cannot both be fixed to a constant by a single local scale transformation.

We introduce the complex scalar SU(2) doublet with weak hypercharge $Y = +1/2$. We follow the convention $Y = Q - J_3$, where Q is the electromagnetic charge and J_3 is the corresponding SU(2) generator.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ J_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

We also define the following local SU(2) transformation $T(x)$ and the reversal matrix $m(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}T &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 / (|\phi_0| + i|\phi_+|) & -\phi_+ / (|\phi_0| + i|\phi_+|) \\ \bar{\phi}_+ / (|\phi_0| - i|\phi_+|) & \bar{\phi}_0 / (|\phi_0| - i|\phi_+|) \end{pmatrix} \\ T\phi &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |\phi_0| + i|\phi_+| \end{pmatrix} \\ TmT^\dagger &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

We introduce a chiral SU(2) doublet for the $(\textit{neutrino}, \textit{electron})_L$ fields with $Y = -1/2$, and a chiral SU(2) doublet for the $(\textit{proton}, \textit{neutron})_L$ fields with $Y = +1/2$. We follow the standard representation for chiral spinors. $(\nu, e, p, n)_L = [(1 - \gamma_5)/2](\nu, e, p, n)$ and $(\nu, e, p, n)_R = [(1 + \gamma_5)/2](\nu, e, p, n)$.

$$\begin{aligned}T\psi &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \\ T\chi &= \begin{pmatrix} p_L \\ n_L \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

By convention, we choose SU(2) singlets for the $(\nu, n)_R$ fields with $Y = 0$, an SU(2) singlet for the electron field e_R with $Y = -1$, and an SU(2) singlet for the proton field p_R with $Y = +1$.

The Lagrangian density follows, where τ_a are the Pauli matrices and ϵ^a_{bc} are the SU(2) structure constants.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\not{\nabla}, \not{W}^a, \not{B}) &= e_m^\mu \gamma^m (\nabla_\mu, W_\mu^a, B_\mu) \\
W_{\mu\rho}^a &= \nabla_{[\mu} W_{\rho]}^a + g \epsilon^a_{bc} W_\mu^b W_\rho^c \\
B_{\mu\rho} &= \nabla_{[\mu} B_{\rho]}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
eL &= \frac{1}{6}(\phi^\dagger\phi)R + g^{\mu\rho}(i\partial_\mu\phi + \frac{1}{2}g\tau_a W_\mu^a\phi + \frac{1}{2}g'B_\mu\phi)^\dagger(i\partial_\rho\phi + \frac{1}{2}g\tau_a W_\rho^a\phi + \frac{1}{2}g'B_\rho\phi) + \lambda^2(\phi^\dagger\phi)^2 \\
&+ \bar{\psi}(i\not{\nabla} + \frac{1}{2}g\tau_a \not{W}^a - \frac{1}{2}g'\not{B})\psi + \bar{\nu}_R i\not{\nabla}\nu_R + \bar{e}_R(i\not{\nabla} - g'\not{B})e_R + G_\nu(\bar{\psi}m\phi\nu_R + \bar{\nu}_R\phi^\dagger m^\dagger\psi) + G_e(\bar{\psi}\phi e_R + \bar{e}_R\phi^\dagger\psi) \\
&+ \bar{\chi}(i\not{\nabla} + \frac{1}{2}g\tau_a \not{W}^a + \frac{1}{2}g'\not{B})\chi + \bar{p}_R(i\not{\nabla} + g'\not{B})p_R + \bar{n}_R i\not{\nabla}n_R + G_p(\bar{\chi}m\phi p_R + \bar{p}_R\phi^\dagger m^\dagger\chi) + G_n(\bar{\chi}\phi n_R + \bar{n}_R\phi^\dagger\chi) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}W_{\mu\rho}^a W_a^{\mu\rho} + \frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\rho}B^{\mu\rho}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

There exists a local scale invariance $\Omega(x)$ of the action $S = \int d^4x L$. We present the corresponding field transformations that generate the invariance in four spacetime dimensions. We also find it important to note that the embedded torsion tensor does not transform.

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{\mu\rho} &\rightarrow \Omega^2(x)g^{\mu\rho} \\
e_m^\mu &\rightarrow \Omega(x)e_m^\mu \\
e &\rightarrow \Omega^4(x)e \\
\omega_\mu^{mn} &\rightarrow \omega_\mu^{mn} - g^{\rho\sigma}e_\mu^{[m}e_\rho^{n]}\Omega^{-1}(x)\partial_\sigma\Omega(x) \\
\phi &\rightarrow \Omega(x)\phi \\
\psi &\rightarrow \Omega^{3/2}(x)\psi \\
\chi &\rightarrow \Omega^{3/2}(x)\chi \\
(\nu, e, p, n)_R &\rightarrow \Omega^{3/2}(x)(\nu, e, p, n)_R \\
W_\mu^a &\rightarrow W_\mu^a \\
B_\mu &\rightarrow B_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

We now fix the local scale invariance by choosing a reference mass scale in the unitary gauge for SU(2).

$$\begin{aligned}
T\phi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s + iH(x) \end{pmatrix} \\
\phi^\dagger\phi &= \frac{1}{2}(s^2 + H^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We identify $H(x)$ as the Higgs boson and $\kappa s^2 = 6$.

The Electroweak symmetry has been spontaneously broken by choosing a reference mass scale to fix the local scale invariance in relation to the gravitational coupling constant. The classical gravity sector connections (e, ω) do not exhibit quantum fluctuations and now may be set to their vacuum expectation values $(\delta, 0)$. They may be thought of as auxiliary fields in the quantum theory to complete the local scale invariance. All masses for the quantum fields are generated by the reference mass scale, and the Higgs boson does not develop a vacuum expectation value by adding any additional terms to the Lagrangian density.

3 Field Transformations in the Spontaneously Broken Theory

Here we examine the physical content of the spontaneously broken quantum field theory. We adopt the Weinberg angle in terms of the coupling constants to make the field transformations more transparent.

$$\cos \theta = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}$$

$$\sin \theta = \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}$$

$$W_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu^1 - i W_\mu^2)$$

$$Z_\mu = -W_\mu^3 \cos \theta + B_\mu \sin \theta$$

$$A_\mu = +W_\mu^3 \sin \theta + B_\mu \cos \theta$$

$$W_\mu^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu + \bar{W}_\mu)$$

$$W_\mu^2 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu - \bar{W}_\mu)$$

$$W_\mu^3 = A_\mu \sin \theta - Z_\mu \cos \theta$$

$$B_\mu = A_\mu \cos \theta + Z_\mu \sin \theta$$

$$M_H = s\lambda$$

$$M_W = \frac{sg}{2}$$

$$M_Z = \frac{sg}{2 \cos \theta}$$

$$M_A = 0 \tag{16}$$

All the fermion masses are given by $sG_f/\sqrt{2}$. The fermion and vector boson interaction terms take the same form as in the Standard Model, where the weak neutral current J_Z^μ can be found using the above field definitions. For simplicity, we do not show the interaction terms containing only vector bosons.

$$L_f = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \{ [\bar{\nu} \not{W}(1 - \gamma_5) e] + [\bar{e} \not{\bar{W}}(1 - \gamma_5) \nu] + [\bar{p} \not{W}(1 - \gamma_5) n] + [\bar{n} \not{\bar{W}}(1 - \gamma_5) p] \}$$

$$+ Z_\mu J_Z^\mu - g \sin \theta (\bar{e} \not{A} e) + g \sin \theta (\bar{p} \not{A} p) \tag{17}$$

The primary differences in this approach are the absence of a single Higgs coupled to two vector bosons and a chiral change to the Yukawa coupling of the Higgs to fermions in form of $iHG_f \bar{f} \gamma_5 f / \sqrt{2}$. These results bring into question the Higgs decay channels to vector bosons and its expected positive parity.

References

- [1] A. Einstein (1915). “*Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation*” [The Field Equations of Gravitation]. Königlich Preussische Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin): 844–847.
- [2] A. Einstein (1916). “*Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie*” [The Foundation of the Generalised Theory of Relativity]. *Annalen der Physik*. 354 (7): 769–822.
- [3] Élie Cartan (1922). “*Sur une généralisation de la notion de courbure de Riemann et les espaces à torsion*”. *C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris)*. 174: 593–595.
- [4] Hermann Weyl (1929). “*Elektron und Gravitation I*” [Gravitation and the Electron]. *Zeitschrift für Physik*. 56: 330–352.
- [5] S. Weinberg (1972) “*Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*”. John Wiley & Sons.
- [6] R.M Wald (1984). “*General Relativity*”. University of Chicago Press.
- [7] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman (1974) “*One-loop divergences in the theory of gravitation*”. *Annales de l’Institute H. Poincaré*. A20 (1): 69–94.
- [8] P. van Nieuwenhuizen (1977) “*On the renormalization of quantum gravitation without matter*”. *Annals of Physics*. 104 (1): 197–217.
- [9] F. J. Belinfante (1940). “*On the current and the density of the electric charge, the energy, the linear momentum and the angular momentum of arbitrary fields*”. *Physica* vii: 449–474.
- [10] L. Rosenfeld (1940). “*Sur le tenseur d’impulsion-énergie*”. *Mémoires Acad. Roy. de Belgique*. 18: 1–30.
- [11] S. Glashow (1961). “*Partial-symmetries of weak interactions*”. *Nuclear Physics*. 22 (4): 579–588.
- [12] S. Weinberg (1967). “*A Model of Leptons*”. *Physical Review Letters*. 19: 1264–1266.
- [13] A. Salam, J.C. Ward (1959). “*Weak and electromagnetic interactions*”. *Nuovo Cimento*. 11 (4): 568–577.
- [14] P.W. Higgs (1964). “*Broken Symmetries and the Masses of Gauge Bosons*”. *Physical Review Letters*. 13 (16): 508–509.
- [15] F. Englert and R. Brout (1964). “*Broken Symmetry and the Mass of Gauge Vector Mesons*”. *Physical Review Letters*. 13 (9): 321–323.
- [16] G.S. Guralnik, C.R. Hagen, T.W.B. Kibble (1964). “*Global Conservation Laws and Massless Particles*”. *Physical Review Letters*. 13 (20): 585–587.
- [17] R. Penrose (1964). “*Relativity, Groups and Topology*”. Gordon and Breach, London.
- [18] N. A. Chernikov and E. A. Tagirov (1968). “*Quantum theory of scalar field in de Sitter space-time*”. *Annales de l’Institute H. Poincaré*. A9 (2): 109–141.
- [19] M. Pawłowski and R. Raczka (1994). “*A Unified Conformal Model for Fundamental Interactions without Dynamical Higgs Field*”. *Found. Phys.* 24 (1994) 1305–1327