

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in Einstein-Cartan Gravity coupled to the SU(2)xU(1) Electroweak Theory

J. Lee Montag, Ph.D.
September 2018

Abstract

We develop an alternative to the Higgs mechanism for spontaneously breaking the local SU(2)xU(1) gauge symmetry in the Electroweak Theory by coupling to Einstein-Cartan gravity in curved spacetime. This approach exhibits a local scale invariance in the unbroken phase, while the gravitational sector does not propagate in the conventional quantum field theory definition. The mass terms for the quantum fields are then generated by fixing a local reference mass scale. The reference mass scale remains fixed up to a local U(1) transformation plus a corresponding local scale transformation. This results in a spontaneously broken local SU(2) symmetry, while the Higgs boson remains a complex scalar field. We outline subtle changes in the resulting field interactions, while maintaining renormalizability of the quantum field theory.

1 Einstein-Cartan Gravity coupled to a Dirac Spinor

Here we outline the basic formulation of General Relativity[1][2] coupled to a Dirac spinor in curved spacetime[4][5][6]. In this formalism, we do exclude the conventional restriction on torsion and follow the approach introduced by Cartan[3]. The analysis does not result in a propagating theory of quantum gravity, and the lack of renormalizability in the traditional sense[7][8] does not pose any inconsistency. Furthermore, this approach does not lead to a symmetric energy-momentum tensor as in the Belinfante-Rosenfeld procedure[9][10].

For group and matrix indices we choose the lower case Roman letters (a, b, c, d), for flat spacetime indices we choose the lower case Roman letters (m, n, p, q), and for curved spacetime indices we choose the lower case Greek letters (μ, ν, ρ, σ).

We adopt the following conventions for the metric tensor and vierbein connection.

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{mn} &= (-+++)\end{aligned}$$
$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{mn} e_{\mu}^m e_{\nu}^n \tag{1}$$

We choose the Clifford algebra for γ matrices with this metric signature as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\{\gamma_m, \gamma_n\} &= -2\eta_{mn} \\ \gamma_5 &= -i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

The theory for a Dirac spinor in curved spacetime is symmetric with both general covariant and local Lorentz transformations. The covariant derivative acting on the vierbein, Dirac spinor, and γ matrices is defined as follows. We use the convention that $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma$ is the general covariant connection and the ω_μ^{mn} is the local Lorentz spin connection. We find it important to note that since η_{mn} is invariant under local Lorentz transformations, the vierbein transforms only as a general covariant vector.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu e_\nu^n &= \partial_\mu e_\nu^n + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho e_\rho^n \\ \nabla_\mu \psi &= \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{1}{2} \omega_\mu^{mn} S_{mn} \psi \\ \nabla_\mu \gamma_m &= \omega_{\mu m}^n \gamma_n\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\psi} &= \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \\ \nabla_\mu \bar{\psi} &= \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \omega_\mu^{mn} S_{mn}\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

In the spinor representation, we choose the following conventions for the local Lorentz group.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'(x) &= \Lambda(x)\psi(x) \\ \Lambda(x) &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta^{mn}(x)S_{mn}\right\} \\ \bar{\psi}'(x) &= \bar{\psi}(x)\Lambda^{-1}(x) \\ \Lambda^{-1}(x) &= \exp\left\{+\frac{1}{2}\theta^{mn}(x)S_{mn}\right\} \\ S_{mn} &= -\frac{1}{4}[\gamma_m, \gamma_n]\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

This representation satisfies the $\text{SO}(1, 3)$ Lie algebra of the generators S_{mn} for the local Lorentz group.

$$[S_{mn}, S_{pq}] = -\eta_{mp}S_{nq} - \eta_{nq}S_{mp} + \eta_{mq}S_{np} + \eta_{np}S_{mq}\tag{6}$$

We now adopt the following fundamental definition, which allows for both a unique covariant derivative and Riemann curvature tensor. The general covariant connection $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma$ can then be eliminated from the theory in favor of the vierbein and spin connection. Due to the presence of torsion, the vierbein and local Lorentz spin connections remain as independent fields.

$$\omega_\mu^{mn} := e^{\nu m} \nabla_\mu e_\nu^n \quad (7)$$

This definition leads directly to the desired results. After integrating by parts to determine the scalar curvature R , we find it does not contain any derivatives on the connections (e, ω) .

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu \gamma_\nu &= 0 \\ \nabla_\mu (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\nu \psi) &= (\bar{\psi} \partial_\mu \gamma_\nu \psi) + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\rho \psi) \\ R_{\mu\nu}^{mn} &= \nabla_{[\mu} \omega_{\nu]}^{mn} + \omega_{[\mu}^{mp} \omega_{\nu]p}^n \\ R &= \eta_{pq} (\omega_\mu^{\nu p} \omega_\nu^{q\mu} + \omega_\mu^{\mu p} \omega_\nu^{\nu q}) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We now write the Lagrangian density for Einstein-Cartan Gravity coupled to a Dirac spinor in curved spacetime and the corresponding gravitational field equations.

$$\begin{aligned} eL &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} e_m^\mu \gamma^m i \nabla_\mu \psi - \frac{1}{2} (i \nabla_\mu \bar{\psi}) e_m^\mu \gamma^m \psi + m \bar{\psi} \psi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} e_m^\mu \gamma^m i \partial_\mu \psi - \frac{1}{2} (i \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) e_m^\mu \gamma^m \psi + \frac{i}{4} \omega_\mu^{pq} e_m^\mu S^m{}_{pq} + m \bar{\psi} \psi \\ e\kappa \frac{\delta L}{\delta e_m^\mu} &= R_\mu{}^m - \frac{1}{2} e_\mu^m R + \frac{\kappa}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^m i \partial_\mu \psi - \frac{\kappa}{2} (i \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) \gamma^m \psi + \frac{i\kappa}{4} \omega_\mu^{pq} S^m{}_{pq} = 0 \\ e\kappa \frac{\delta L}{\delta \omega_\mu^{pq}} &= \omega_\mu^{pq} + \frac{i\kappa}{4} e_\mu^m S^m{}_{pq} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $e = \det(e_m^\mu)$, $R_\mu{}^m = e_n^\nu R_{\mu\nu}{}^{mn} = e_n^\nu R_{\nu\mu}{}^{nm}$, $R = e_m^\mu R_\mu{}^m$, and $S^m{}_{pq} = \bar{\psi} \{\gamma^m, S_{pq}\} \psi$ is the totally anti-symmetric spin field. Here, we vary only with respect to the totally anti-symmetric spin connection, since the other components can be eliminated by their equation of motion.

2 Einstein-Cartan Gravity coupled to the Electroweak Theory

We present a theory of Einstein-Cartan Gravity coupled to SU(2)xU(1) Electroweak Theory[11][12][13] in curved spacetime and discuss the details of a local scale invariance in the unbroken phase[17][18]. However, we do not follow the formalism of the Higgs mechanism for spontaneous symmetry breaking[14][15][16]. We show how the electroweak symmetry can be spontaneously broken by fixing a reference mass scale.

We choose a unitary gauge only for the local SU(2) symmetry, and the resulting Higgs boson remains a complex field in this approach. While the local SU(2) symmetry is spontaneously broken, the reference mass scale remains fixed up to a local U(1) transformation plus a corresponding local scale transformation. Therefore, we do not remove all the physical degrees of freedom for the Higgs boson as in[19], since the real and imaginary components cannot both be fixed to a constant by a local scale transformation.

We choose the complex scalar SU(2) doublet with weak hypercharge $Y = +1$. We follow the convention $Y/2 = Q - J_3$, where Q is the electric charge and J_3 is the corresponding SU(2) generator. For the scalar SU(2) doublet, we use the standard representation.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ J_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

We also define the following local SU(2) transformation $T(x)$ and the SU(2) matrix $m(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}T &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 / (|\phi_0| + i|\phi_+|) & -\phi_+ / (|\phi_0| + i|\phi_+|) \\ \bar{\phi}_+ / (|\phi_0| - i|\phi_+|) & \bar{\phi}_0 / (|\phi_0| - i|\phi_+|) \end{pmatrix} \\ T\phi &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |\phi_0| + i|\phi_+| \end{pmatrix} \\ TmT^\dagger &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

We choose an SU(2) doublet for the (neutrino, electron) fields with $Y = -1$, and an SU(2) doublet for the (proton, neutron) fields with $Y = +1$. We follow the standard representation for chiral spinors. $(\nu, e, p, n)_L = [(1 - \gamma_5)/2](\nu, e, p, n)$ and $(\nu, e, p, n)_R = [(1 + \gamma_5)/2](\nu, e, p, n)$.

$$\begin{aligned}T\psi &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \\ T\chi &= \begin{pmatrix} p_L \\ n_L \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

We choose SU(2) singlets for the (neutrino, neutron)_R fields with $Y = 0$, an SU(2) singlet for the electron field e_R with $Y = -2$, and an SU(2) singlet for the proton field p_R with $Y = +2$.

The complete Lagrangian density follows, where τ_a are the Pauli matrices.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla, W^a, \mathcal{B}) &= e_m^\mu \gamma^m (\nabla_\mu, W_\mu^a, B_\mu) \\
W_{\mu\rho}^a &= \nabla_{[\mu} W_{\rho]}^a + g \epsilon^a{}_{bc} W_\mu^b W_\rho^c \\
B_{\mu\rho} &= \nabla_{[\mu} B_{\rho]}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
eL &= \frac{1}{6}(\phi^\dagger \phi)R + g^{\mu\rho}(i \partial_\mu \phi + \frac{1}{2}g\tau_a W_\mu^a \phi + \frac{1}{2}g' B_\mu \phi)^\dagger (i \partial_\rho \phi + \frac{1}{2}g\tau_a W_\rho^a \phi + \frac{1}{2}g' B_\rho \phi) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(\phi^\dagger \phi)^2 \\
&+ \bar{\psi}(i\nabla + \frac{1}{2}g\tau_a W^a - \frac{1}{2}g'\mathcal{B})\psi + \bar{\nu}_R i\nabla \nu_R + \bar{e}_R(i\nabla - g'\mathcal{B})e_R + G_\nu(\bar{\psi}m\phi\nu_R + \bar{\nu}_R\phi^\dagger m^\dagger\psi) + G_e(\bar{\psi}\phi e_R + \bar{e}_R\phi^\dagger\psi) \\
&+ \bar{\chi}(i\nabla + \frac{1}{2}g\tau_a W^a + \frac{1}{2}g'\mathcal{B})\chi + \bar{p}_R(i\nabla + g'\mathcal{B})p_R + \bar{n}_R i\nabla n_R + G_p(\bar{\chi}m\phi p_R + \bar{p}_R\phi^\dagger m^\dagger\chi) + G_n(\bar{\chi}\phi n_R + \bar{n}_R\phi^\dagger\chi) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}W_{\mu\rho}^a W_a^{\mu\rho} + \frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\rho}B^{\mu\rho}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

There exists a local scale invariance $\Omega(x)$ of the action $S = \int d^4x L$.

We present the field transformations in four spacetime dimensions.

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{\mu\rho} &\rightarrow \Omega^2(x)g^{\mu\rho} \\
e_m^\mu &\rightarrow \Omega(x)e_m^\mu \\
e &\rightarrow \Omega^4(x)e \\
\omega_\mu^{mn} &\rightarrow \omega_\mu^{mn} - g^{\rho\sigma} e_\mu^{[m} e_\rho^{n]} \Omega^{-1}(x) \partial_\sigma \Omega(x) \\
\phi &\rightarrow \Omega(x)\phi \\
\psi &\rightarrow \Omega^{3/2}(x)\psi \\
\chi &\rightarrow \Omega^{3/2}(x)\chi \\
(\nu, e, p, n)_R &\rightarrow \Omega^{3/2}(x)(\nu, e, p, n)_R \\
W_\mu^a &\rightarrow W_\mu^a \\
B_\mu &\rightarrow B_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We now fix a reference mass scale in the unitary gauge for SU(2) with the transformation $T(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
T\phi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s + iH(x) \end{pmatrix} \\
\phi^\dagger \phi &= \frac{1}{2}(s^2 + H^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

In this approach, $H(x)$ is the Higgs boson and $\kappa s^2 = 6$.

The SU(2) symmetry has been (spontaneously broken) by fixing the local scale invariance and choosing a reference mass scale defined by the gravitational coupling constant. We can now show the resulting Lagrangian density representing the physical degrees of freedom for the field theory.

3 Field Definitions in the Spontaneously Broken Theory

Finally, we examine the physical content of the spontaneously broken theory. Following convention, we define the Weinberg angle in terms of the coupling constants.

$$\sin \theta = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}$$

$$\cos \theta = \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}$$

$$W_\mu^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu^1 \mp i W_\mu^2)$$

$$Z_\mu = -W_\mu^3 \sin \theta + B_\mu \cos \theta$$

$$A_\mu = +W_\mu^3 \cos \theta + B_\mu \sin \theta$$

$$W_\mu^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu^+ + W_\mu^-)$$

$$W_\mu^2 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu^+ - W_\mu^-)$$

$$W_\mu^3 = A_\mu \cos \theta - Z_\mu \sin \theta$$

$$B_\mu = A_\mu \sin \theta + Z_\mu \cos \theta$$

$$M_H = s\lambda$$

$$M_W = \frac{sg}{2}$$

$$M_Z = \frac{s}{2}\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$$

$$M_A = 0$$

(17)

All the fermion masses are given by $sG_f/\sqrt{2}$ with the relevant fermion subscript for G_f . The fermion interaction term takes the following form, where the weak neutral current J_Z^μ can be easily found using the above definitions.

$$L_{int} = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)e + W_\mu^+ \bar{p}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)n + H.C.) + Z_\mu J_Z^\mu + \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}A_\mu(\bar{p}\gamma^\mu p - \bar{e}\gamma^\mu e) \quad (18)$$

The fermion interaction term is the same as in the standard model. However, there is a chiral change to the Yukawa couplings between the Higgs boson and the fermions in form of $iHG_f\bar{f}\gamma_5 f/\sqrt{2}$. This brings into question the actual parity of the physical Higgs boson.

References

- [1] A. Einstein (1915). “*Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation*” [The Field Equations of Gravitation]. Königlich Preussische Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin): 844–847.
- [2] A. Einstein (1916). “*Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie*” [The Foundation of the Generalised Theory of Relativity]. *Annalen der Physik*. 354 (7): 769–822.
- [3] Élie Cartan (1922). “*Sur une généralisation de la notion de courbure de Riemann et les espaces à torsion*”. *C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris)*. 174: 593–595.
- [4] Hermann Weyl (1929). “*Elektron und Gravitation I*” [Gravitation and the Electron]. *Zeitschrift für Physik*. 56: 330–352.
- [5] S. Weinberg (1972) “*Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*”. John Wiley & Sons.
- [6] R.M Wald (1984). “*General Relativity*”. University of Chicago Press.
- [7] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman (1974) “*One-loop divergences in the theory of gravitation*”. *Annales de l’Institute H. Poincaré*. A20 (1): 69–94.
- [8] P. van Nieuwenhuizen (1977) “*On the renormalization of quantum gravitation without matter*”. *Annals of Physics*. 104 (1): 197–217.
- [9] F. J. Belinfante (1940). “*On the current and the density of the electric charge, the energy, the linear momentum and the angular momentum of arbitrary fields*”. *Physica* vii: 449–474.
- [10] L. Rosenfeld (1940). “*Sur le tenseur d’impulsion-énergie*”. *Mémoires Acad. Roy. de Belgique*. 18: 1–30.
- [11] S. Glashow (1961). “*Partial-symmetries of weak interactions*”. *Nuclear Physics*. 22 (4): 579–588.
- [12] S. Weinberg (1967). “*A Model of Leptons*”. *Physical Review Letters*. 19: 1264–1266.
- [13] A. Salam, J.C. Ward (1959). “*Weak and electromagnetic interactions*”. *Nuovo Cimento*. 11 (4): 568–577.
- [14] P.W. Higgs (1964). “*Broken Symmetries and the Masses of Gauge Bosons*”. *Physical Review Letters*. 13 (16): 508–509.
- [15] F. Englert and R. Brout (1964). “*Broken Symmetry and the Mass of Gauge Vector Mesons*”. *Physical Review Letters*. 13 (9): 321–323.
- [16] G.S. Guralnik, C.R. Hagen, T.W.B. Kibble (1964). “*Global Conservation Laws and Massless Particles*”. *Physical Review Letters*. 13 (20): 585–587.
- [17] R. Penrose (1964). “*Relativity, Groups and Topology*”. Gordon and Breach, London.
- [18] N. A. Chernikov and E. A. Tagirov (1968). “*Quantum theory of scalar field in de Sitter space-time*”. *Annales de l’Institute H. Poincaré*. A9 (2): 109–141.
- [19] M. Pawłowski, R. Raczka (1994). “*A Unified Conformal Model for Fundamental Interactions without Dynamical Higgs Field*”. *Found. Phys.* 24 (1994) 1305–1327