

John Roach
P.O. Box 71
Yellville, AR 72687
870-416-9675
JohnRoach1955@gmail.com

RANDOM THOUGHTS & GIBBERISH

They were in a state of panic. Who but God Himself can judge the actions of mere mortals who are fighting to preserve their world (view)? If they had waited a few decades, then the normal progression of ideas would have dispelled the fog of uncertainty.

Theoretical physicists are no different than from mankind in general. The criminal psychopaths who shaped the 19th Century German philosophy were correct about human nature. The ancient Greeks were correct about human nature. Theoretical physicists require the same hierarchy of needs as the rest of humankind. The first need is to remain sane in a crazy world. The first need is to preserve the world (view).

Later, mental health professionals would identify the Mental Defense Mechanisms, but the theoretical physicists of the late 19th Century were born too early to take advantage of this psychological insight, if indeed they would be inclined, which is doubtful. They were, after all, in a state of panic. To understand the state of panic of the theoretical physicists of the late 19th Century, it is necessary to go to the beginning of the 19th Century to the scientific experiments of Francois Arago (1786-1853) of France. (Arago & Jean-Baptiste Biot (1774-1862) achieved fame by measuring the curvature of the longitudinal meridian in Spain – a feat complicated by Napoleon Bonaparte’s invasion of Spain.)

Arago turned his attention toward the debate on the nature of Light. Sir Isaac Newton (1642-1727) had proposed the Corpuscular Nature of Light. Christian Huygens (1629-1695) countered with the Wave Nature of Light. This debate had originated in medieval Islamic science & it continued into Renaissance Europe. The period of the Renaissance was fading into the past & the debate was not settled. Arago agreed with Newton.

It was assumed that Light propagated through transparent media of differing densities. The atmosphere provided the least dense of propagating media. This was followed by clear water. Finally, the densest of the propagating media were the transparent gems. If Newton was correct, then the rate of propagation would increase as light propagated from least dense to most dense. If Huygens was correct, then the opposite would occur. The rate of propagation would decrease.

Toward the desired outcome, Arago anticipated the famous “failed” Michelson & Morley Experiment of 1887. Arago utilized the newly – discovered Barnes Stellar Aberration which was caused by the Earth’s movement in space – the Earth’s Rotational movement. But, Arago’s experiment “failed” just as MMX of 1887 “failed.” The year was 1809.

Francois Arago would switch allegiances & advocate the Wave Nature of Light. Instrumental to this change in opinion was his association with fellow Frenchman Augustin-Jean Fresnel (1788-1827).

By 1802, Fresnel's experiments had been conclusive toward the interpretation of the Wave Nature of Light. When Englishman Thomas Young conducted the Double-Slit Experiment in 1802, it merely confirmed Fresnel's interpretation.

Arago had left the camp of Pierre-Simon LaPlace (1749-1827), Jean-Baptiste Biot (1774-1862) & Simeon Poisson (1781-1840). In 1838 in Paris, a contest was staged in the continuing debate. The judging committee was stacked with Newton's supporters, so in hindsight it is not difficult to second-guess the motive behind the contest. Fresnel submitted his proposal. Poisson criticized Fresnel's proposal on the grounds that such a hypothesis predicts a point of Light in the radial center of a shadow. Arago was a member of the judging committee & persuaded the committee to withhold judgement until experimental results either proved or disproved Fresnel's hypothesis. The result is known as POISSON'S DOT a.k.a. ARAGO'S DOT. Fresnel won the competition.

In 1818, Fresnel wrote to Arago concerning the Barnes Stellar Aberration. Fresnel proposed the PARTIAL ETHER-DRAG HYPOTHESIS.

Another scientist would enter Arago's life – Hippolyte Fizeau (1819-1896). Fizeau extended Arago's "failed" experiment of 1809 & succeeded in the FIZEAU EXPERIMENT of 1851. It is known as the FRESNEL-FIZEAU EFFECT OF LIGHT. Fizeau worked in collaboration with Leon Foucault (1819-1868). A few years earlier, Foucault & Fizeau measured the velocity of the propagation of Light in the atmosphere.

Christian Doppler (1803-1853) preceded Fizeau in proposing that the binary stars were demonstrating a shift in the wavelength of the color-spectrum of Light. This is the Doppler Effect of Light, also known as the Doppler-Fizeau Effect. Doppler died shortly afterward. Fizeau became the Lightning Rod of Criticism from the theoretical physicists of 19th Century Europe.

The Fizeau Experiment of 1851 was met with silence by the theoretical physicists of Europe. The Fresnel-Fizeau Effect – as Thomas Huxley might have said – is the Ugly Fact that slaughters a Beautiful Theory. The Doppler-Fizeau Effect was met with a blizzard of oppositional scientific papers published in respected scientific journals...another Ugly Fact that slaughters another Beautiful Theory.

The scientific team of Michelson & Morley entered like a tsunami. In the year of 1886, Michelson & Morley confirmed Fizeau in 1851 confirming Fresnel in 1818. Like the left hand of Muhammed Ali, this experiment caused the theoretical physicists of Europe to stand "pole-axed." Then like the right upper cut of Mike Tyson, MMX 1887 struck. The theoretical physicists of Europe dropped to the canvas, knocked unconscious, & counted "out." In hindsight, it is called The Crisis in Physics.

The famous "failed" Michelson & Morley Experiment of 1887 failed to detect the movement of the Earth. Modern residents of society (ca 2018 A.D.) might have ridden a commercial airliner at cruising altitude. Although

traveling sufficiently fast enough to produce aerodynamic lift from the airfoil to maintain altitude, the passengers are unable to detect the movement of the commercial airliner. The residents of 1887 society might have been passengers in seagoing vessels. Powered by steam engines at a full head of steam, a large ship absorbs the buffeting of the waves & the passengers are unable to detect the movement of the commercial ocean liner.

Let the passenger gaze out of the portal. The evidence of their movement can be seen by the changing view. Overtaking a flock of geese in flight should be convincing enough. No one has seen geese fly backwards. Overtaking a herd of galloping horses on shore should be convincing enough. Let us remember that the Barnes Stellar Aberration is formulated under the assumption of the rotating Earth, yet no one on Earth can detect this movement.

Rather than accept defeat, the theoretical physicists of Europe made matters worse. They began to talk about the Principle of Relativity. Modern commercial & military seagoing vessels & aircraft are routinely equipped with R.A.D.A.R. A transmitting antenna broadcasts a radio signal at a set frequency/wavelength. (Submarines use S.O.N.A.R. & it is the same principle.) Stationary objects reflect this radio beam back to the vessel where a receiving antenna is waiting. The radio beam did not change in frequency/wavelength when it “bounced” off the stationary object. The radio beam that returns to the vessel is identical in every aspect to the radio beam that leaves the vessel. The difference is what makes R.A.D.A.R. effective. The movement of the vessel affects the frequency/wavelength as it is received.

And so, if only the theoretical physicists had waited, if they had not panicked....

What caused the theoretical physicists of Europe to panic after MMX 1887? Sitting at ringside watching the referee count “out” the unconscious opponent lying prostrate on the canvas were the GEOCENTRISTS.

***DR. RUSSELL ARNDTS “SAW” THIS.
THIS AUTHOR OFFERS A MUCH DIFFERENT GEOCENTRIC MODEL,
VIXRA 1805.0450. DR. RUSSELL ARNDTS STRENGTH WAS IN
UNDERSTANDING THE ATTITUDES & MINDSET OF THE THEORETICAL
PHYSICISTS OF RELATIVITY.***

This author (John Roach) in earlier essays (VIXRA 1804.0471, VIXRA 1805.0450 & VIXRA 1807.0299) criticized the foundations that led to the proposals of Heliocentricity & Relativity. The late DR. Russell Arndts (1935-2010) contended that Relativity is a theoretical construct whose main function is to “rescue” the Heliocentric Hypothesis. Indeed, many modern (ca 2018 A.D.) authors consider that Einstein’s Relativity ended the debate between Geo-centricity & Heliocentricity because all motion is “relative.”

*But, only God Himself can judge mere mortals
who are in a state of panic.*

AT THIS POINT, THIS AUTHOR (JOHN ROACH) PROPOSES A SOLUTION TO THE BARNES STELLAR ABERRATION. THE DENSEST PROPAGATING MEDIUM IS THE LENS OF THE TELESCOPE. THE FRESNEL-FIZEAU EFFECT AND THE MOVEMENT OF THE RECEIVING LENS “INDUCES” THE REFRACTION OF THE PROPAGATING LIGHT WITHIN THE TRANSPARENT MEDIA OF THE LENS.

This author now notes another author, John-Erik Persson of Sweden & his study of MMX 1887. J.E. Persson published FUNDAMENTAL ERRORS IN PHYSICS in Foundational Questions Institute.

In a short article, THE CREATION OF PARADOX, he notes the influence of Alfred Potier (1840-1905) upon Hendrik Lorentz’s interpretation of MMX 1887 & references FUNDAMENTAL ERRORS IN PHYSICS. This does not imply that J.E. Perssons agrees with this author.

At this point, the author (John Roach) issues an OPEN LETTER OF COMPLAINT to Florida State University. The internet address is micro.magnet.fsu.edu/optics/timeline/people/fizeau.html. Its contents are at variance with the following:

- <https://update.relovy.com/topic/fizeauexperiment&itm-type+topic>
- <https://ipso.io/.../wiki/velocity-addition-formla.html>
- [https://en.m.wikisource.org/wiki page:1860-Fizeau-en.djvu/1](https://en.m.wikisource.org/wiki/page:1860-Fizeau-en.djvu/1)
- www.mathpages.com/home/kmath702/kmath702.html
- Everything.explained.today/Fizeau-experiment
- www.liquisearch.com/fizeay-experiment
- www.Astronomycast.com/2015/03/ep-369-the-fizeau-experiment

Secondly ,this author (John Roach) asks the following question:

DOES ANYONE ELSE NOTICE THIS?

**BARYCENTRIC PHYSICS “debunked” Kepler’s Elliptical Orbits & Newton’s Celestial Mechanics of Universal Gravitation.
Does anyone else notice this?**

**The Total Solar Eclipse of 1919 demonstrated convex bending around the Sun but geometric Gravitation predicts concave bending toward the Sun.
Does anyone else notice this?**

PHOTOSYNTHESIS requires a continuous supply of “fresh” water molecules to replace the water molecules which are destroyed to yield carbohydrates & oxygen.

Does anyone else notice this?

A “moving” mountain glacier cannot transport a mountain boulder.

Does anyone else notice this?

Scientists have conducted **IMPACT STUDIES**. An asteroid that strikes the Earth cannot write its own exception to the Laws of Physics & create its own **MAGICAL DUST CLOUD**.

Does anyone else notice this?

MACRO-EVOLUTION & MICRO-EVOLUTION are MORE THAN polar opposites on the same spectrum. They are opposite processes. They are mutually exclusive. They are incompatible.

Does anyone else notice this?

Albert Einstein & Edwin Hubble shook hands in 1932 regarding George Lemaitre’s clever plagiarism of Aleksandr Friedmann’s & Willem de Sitter’s Expanding Universe. Yet, the Big Bang Theory lost in the scientific debates in the decade of the 1950’s. But by 1970, the Big Bang Theory became the “accepted scientific fact” & the Concordant Cosmology. The Big Bang Model brought its own unique **TIMELINE OF EVENTS**. Despite lip-service to the scientific method, Evolutionists scrapped & abandoned its precious **EVOLUTIONARY TIMELINE OF EVENTS** & fabricated, without scientific method or rigorous review, a “compatible” **TIMELINE**.

Does anyone else notice this?

An Accelerometer is a scientific instrument which uses a Gyroscope to detect acceleration. In the Pound-Rebka Experiment of 1949-1950, an Accelerometer detected the acceleration that is known as Gravity. In 1968, James Carter accidentally detected the same acceleration. The Accelerometer’s Gyroscope would not interact with or react to **BRAHMA-GUPTA’S/ISAAC NEWTON’S Hidden Attractant Force**. Contrary to Einstein’s metaphorical analogy, Geometric Gravity does not generate acceleration (deceleration) upon a stationary object. The stationary object & the stationary surface share the same Inertial Reference Frame.

Does anyone else notice this?

Opportunistic photographers capture the image of a Setting Sun which is very unusual. The Setting Sun is unusually **LARGE**. The Setting Sun is unusually **LESS RADIANT**. The Setting Sun is unusually **ORANGE-RED**.

Does anyone else notice this?

Kepler’s Elliptical Orbits, Newton’s Celestial Mechanics, Universal Gravitation, the Total Solar Eclipse of 1919, Photosynthesis & the “missing”

**water supply, the foundational premise of the ICE AGES, the Alvarez Asteroid, MACRO-EVOLUTION vs. MICRO-EVOLUTION, the “new” evolutionary Timeline of Events, James Carter’s Gravity & the infrequent image of the Setting Sun.
Does anyone else notice this?**

Thirdly, this author invites the reader toward the following internet websites to read about the link between MMX 1887 & Einstein’s Relativity.

- <https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Michelson?Morley-experiment>
- <https://pricipia-scientific.org/michelson-morley-interferometer...>
- <https://www.the-scientist.com/books-etc-/michelson-morley-the...>
- <https://www.britannica.com/science/Michelson-Morley-experiment>
- <https://en.m.wikiquote.org/wiki/Michelson?Morley-experiment>
- <https://www.reddit.com/...the-results-of-the-1887-michelson-morley>

Finally, this author (John Roach) contends that the DARK AGES never ended.

Around 1500 A.D., medieval Islamic Science lost political patronage, or else the modern world would be much different today.

The Natural Philosophers of Renaissance Europe replaced one Dark Age with another Dark Age.

Aristotle held sway in the ancient GrecoRoman world from 300 B.C. to 400 A.D. & then in Medieval Europe from 1300 A.D. to 1600 A.D.

How long will this circus of clowns be accepted?

THANK YOU.