

Impossibility of the existence of Black-holes in nature

Gokaran Shukla

School of Physics, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland

(Dated: August 18, 2018)

Existence of the Black-holes are the direct threat to the Pauli exclusion principle and Bragg's diffraction condition. In this paper we will show that there will "*never*" be Black-holes in nature, otherwise Pauli exclusion principle and Bragg's diffraction condition (indirectly whole quantum mechanics) will break down!

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

A number of attempt has been made to prove the existence of Black-holes in nature using general relativity and Hawking Black-hole radiation theory. However, these attempt have only tried to mislead the scientific community as a large. These so called great astro-physicist have no clue (we are very sure) that "*why*" crystal structure exist at first place? "*Why*" there is always a finite lattice parameter of any crystal structure at any pressure and temperature? "*Why*" every fundamental force start repelling at a very small scale? "*What*" is the implication of repelling at the crystal volume? Will it be "*zero*" in any circumstances? "*Why*" mass only appear where more than one Bragg's planes intersect during Brillouin zones construction? From where mass come from? Which come first mass or gravity? Which come first magnetic field or the rotation of the any fundamental particle? So called great astro-physicist have "*never*" tried (we are very sure that they are unable to answer any of these question) to answer any of these question, but tried to puncture the space which is perfectly connected and infinitely elastic using Black-holes model!

Black-holes arises when heavy mass collapses under the own gravitational pull. During this process mass turn into singular point with infinite density! Infinite density means nearly "*Zero*" volume, which means nearly "*zero*" lattice parameter of any crystal structure! All

these "*moronic*" thought are purely against the Pauli exclusion principle and Bragg's diffraction conditions. So, either these two very fundamental rule (Pauli exclusion principle and Bragg's diffraction) will survive or "*moronic-thought -experiment*" black-holes will survive! We know that Pauli exclusion principle and Bragg's diffraction condition are the building block of quantum mechanics which nature follows "*absolutely*". Therefore, we are rejecting that there will "*never*" be any Black-holes in nature!

II. WHAT WENT WRONG WITH BLACK-HOLES?

1, Existence of Black-holes are arises due to pure ignorance effects, and inability to understand the quantum mechanics rules. First ignorance is that mass can shrink into singular point due to own gravitational pull. Who so ever has proposed this "*MORONIC*" idea have no clue (perhaps he/she has never understand quantum mechanic a bit) that "*why*" Pauli exclusion principle nature follows absolutely? What is the implication of Pauli exclusion principle on the stiffness of a material? *Why* it is "*impossible*" to make lattice parameter zero of any crystal structure at any pressure and temperature? *Why* Bragg's diffraction happens at first place? "*What*" consists of Bragg's planes? "*Why*" diffraction always happens from the center of slit? "*Why*" normal reaction

develop between any two surface? “*Why*” no two surface can touch each other (zero-gap) at nano-scale level?

2, Derivation of Black-holes temperature and entropy are also against the well established classical thermodynamics rules. Possibly, the person who has derived these equation have “*no-clue*” that why there is $Q_{reversible}$ written in entropy definition in standard thermodynamics book, and what is the implication if one does not use “*reversible*” heat?

3, Black-holes inventors has no idea that “*why*” every mass (be it smallest fundamental particle like proton, electron and neutron or bigger mass like earth, and sun) in nature rotates about it axis and revolves around the singular point? “*Why*” and “*how*” singular point arises? “*Why*” magnetic lines forms close loop at first place? “*Why*” it is impossible for the existence of monopole in nature at any point of time?

4, Black-holes inventors are the firm believer of big-bang theory and expansion of universe. They believe that nature was chaotic in beginning? “*What*” if all these proposition are “*wrong*”? Fortunately, nature is very “*ordered*” and stationary. Every mass revolves around the singular point (for more details, read my other paper “*Origin of*

mass and a unified theory for four fundamental forces in nature”¹, “*Structure of nucleus*”²).

III. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have addressed the *flaw* that exist in the *Black-holes* model. We have shown that the there will *never* be any Black-holes in nature otherwise Pauli exclusion principle, and Bragg’s diffraction condition will break down!. Also, the existence of Black-holes is a serious “*threat*” to the existence of nature! Either nature exist or Black-holes! We know nature exist and thus we are completely rejecting the Black-holes theory. We hope that this paper will stimulate the scientific community to start looking the physical observations using the quantum mechanical rules rather than fictional rules through which comic science story has been written. Unfortunately, now a days science has been captured by “*dishonest science-mafia*” who are trying to demolish every truth about the nature. But, we know that at the end only nature will “*trumph*”, and these mafia will go down like a great losers in the history of man-kind.

¹ <http://vixra.org/abs/1803.0378>

² <http://vixra.org/abs/1808.0059>