

Refutation of measures for resolution and symmetry in fuzzy logic of Zadeh Z-numbers

Copyright © 2018 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/VL4 with τ as the designated *proof* value, \mathbb{F} as contradiction, \mathbb{N} as truthity (non-contingency), and \mathbb{C} as falsity (contingency). Results are a 16-valued truth table in row-major and horizontal.

LET $p, q, r, s: A, B, H, Z;$
 \sim Not; $>$ Imply, greater than; $<$ Not Imply, less than; $=$ Equivalent;
 $\%$ possibility, for one or some, sharpened; $\#$ necessity, for every or all;
 $(\%p>\#p)$ ordinal 1, truthity. Note: $\sim(x<y) = (x\geq y)$.

From: Deng, Y.; Lia, Y. (2018). Measuring fuzziness of Z-numbers and its application in sensor data fusion. vixra.org/pdf/1807.0245v1.pdf dengentropy@uestc.edu.cn

Proof. Assume the fuzziness measure, H , ...

For G3 [resolution], denoted $A* = (A*, B*)$, where $A*, B*$ are [a] sharpened version of A and B , respectively. So $H(A)\geq H(A*)$ and $H(B)\geq H(B*)$, therefore $H(A)+H(B)\geq H(A*)+H(B*) > H(Z)\geq H(Z*)$. (3.1)

$(\sim((r\&p)<(r\&\#p))\&\sim((r\&q)<(r\&\#q))) > (\sim(((r\&p)+(r\&q))<((r\&\#p)+(r\&\#q)))) >$
 $\sim((r\&s)<(r\&\#s))$; TTTT TTTT TTTT NTTT (3.2)

For G4, [symmetry] $H(A)=H(1-A)$ and $H(B)=H(1-B)$, so $H(A)+H(B)=$
 $(H(1-A))+H(1-B)) > HZ(Z)=HZ(Z(1-A,1-B))$. (4.1)

$((r\&p)=(r\&((\%p>\#p)-p)))\&((r\&q)=(r\&((\%p>\#p)-q))) >$
 $((((r\&p)+(r\&q))=((r\&((\%p>\#p)-p))+(r\&((\%p>\#p)-q)))) >$
 $((r\&s)\&s)=((r\&s)\&(s\&(((\%p>\#p)-p)\&((\%p>\#p)-q))))$; TTTT TTTT TTTT CTTT (4.2)

Eqs. 3.2 and 4.2 as rendered are *not* tautologous. This means the commonly accepted measures G3 (resolution) and G4 (symmetry) for the Zadeh (Z-numbers) fuzzy logic are refuted.