

Refutation of quantum gates: Hadamard; Pauli-X, -Y, -Z; Toffoli; and Fredkin

Copyright © 2018 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/VL4 with τ autology as the designated *proof* value, F as contradiction, N as truthity (non-contingency), and C as falsity (contingency). The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal.

LET: p, q, r, s : probability, $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \sqrt{2}$; \sim Not; $\&$ And; $+$ Or; $-$ Not Or;
 $>$ Imply, greater than; $<$ Not Imply, less than; $=$ Equivalent; $@$ Not Equivalent;
 $\%$ possibility, for one or some; $\#$ necessity, for all or every;
 $(p@p)$ ordinal 0; $(\%p\>\#p)$ ordinal 1; $(\%p<\#p)$ ordinal 2; $(p=p)$ ordinal 3.

From: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_logic_gate for the Hadamard gate (H):

Basis states (basis vectors) as qubits are defined as:

$$|0\rangle \text{ to } (|0\rangle+|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \text{ and} \tag{0.1.1}$$

$$q>((q+r)\backslash s) ; \quad \text{TTTT TFTF TTTT TFTF} \tag{0.1.2}$$

$$|1\rangle \text{ to } (|0\rangle-|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \tag{0.2.1}$$

$$r>((q-r)\backslash s) ; \quad \text{TTTT TTTT TTTT TTTT} \tag{0.2.2}$$

"... which means that a measurement will have equal probabilities to become 1 or 0" (0.3.0)

We write Eq. 0.5.0 to mean: the measurement of the basis states imply a combined probability of]0,1[. (0.3.1)

$$(p>(p@p))\&(p<(\%p\>\#p)) ; \quad \text{FFFF FFFF FFFF FFFF} \tag{0.3.2}$$

We evaluate the following gates: Hadamard; Pauli-X, -Y, -Z; Toffoli; and Fredkin.

$$\text{Hadamard (H) gate: } |0\rangle \text{ to } (|0\rangle+|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}; \text{ and } |1\rangle \text{ to } (|0\rangle-|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}. \tag{1.1}$$

$$(p=((q>((q+r)\backslash s))\&(r>((q-r)\backslash s))))>((p>(p@p))\&(p<(\%p\>\#p))) ; \tag{1.2}$$

$$\text{TFTF TFTE TFTE TFTE} \tag{1.2}$$

$$\text{Pauli-X gate: } |0\rangle \text{ to } |1\rangle; \text{ and } |1\rangle \text{ to } |0\rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

$$(p=((q>r)\&(r>q)))>((p>(p@p))\&(p<(\%p\>\#p))) ; \quad \text{TFTE FTTE TFTE FTTE} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\text{Pauli-Y gate: LET } s=i; |0\rangle \text{ to } i|1\rangle; \text{ and } |1\rangle \text{ to } -i|0\rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

$$(p=((q>(s\&r))\&(r>(\sim s\&q))))>((p>(p@p))\&(p<(\%p\>\#p))) ; \tag{3.2}$$

$$\text{TFTE FTTE TFTE FTTE} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\text{Pauli-Z gate: } |0\rangle \text{ to } |0\rangle; \text{ and } |1\rangle \text{ to } -|1\rangle. \tag{4.1}$$

$$(p=((q>q)\&(r>\sim r)))>((p>(p@p))\&(p<(\%p\>\#p))) ; \quad \text{TFTE FTTE TFTE FTTE} \tag{4.2}$$

Toffoli (CCNOT): $|a, b, c\rangle$ to $|a, b, c \oplus ab\rangle$. (5.1)

$$((p=((q=r)=(\%p>\#p))>(s=(s@(q&r))))+(p=(q@r)))>((p>(p@p))\&(p<(\%p>\#p))) ;$$

FFTF TFFN FFTF TFFN (5.2)

Fredkin (CSWAP):

$$C_{out} = C_{in}; O_1 = (\text{Not } C \text{ And } I_1) \text{ Or } (C \text{ And } I_2); O_2 = (C \text{ And } I_1) \text{ Or } (\text{Not } C \text{ And } I_2) \quad (6.1)$$

$$(p=((r=((\sim q&r)+(q&s)))\&(s=((q&r)+(\sim q&s))))>((p>(p@p))\&(p<(\%p>\#p))) ;$$

TFTF TFFT TFTF TFFT (6.2)

As rendered, Eqs. 1.2, 2.2, 3.2, 4.2, 5.2, and 6.2 are *not* tautologous. This means the following quantum gates are refuted: Hadamard; Pauli-X, -Y, -Z; Toffoli; and Fredkin.