I was four months in a homeless shelter:

I’m not ashamed; I’m not proud; it’s a fact.

Please examine the following image:

Look at the thing in orange. It’s a fragment/symbol of a data fragment. Something happened on my computer to cause that thing to appear on my desktop. I’m not a computer scientist nor conventional physicist. I hate theory; I’m a concept/idea guy.
For me, that orange thing represents the unknown in science. We normally ONLY understand anything in retrospect. I personally understand much of physics history because it’s the past. That’s almost a cake-walk for anybody.

The “trick” with science is to make predictions *before* they happen. Like, my prediction about the decay rate of anti-\(^9\)Be. My scientific ideas are *useless* unless they make accurate predictions about experiments in the future.

---

**scientific notion of projection**

---

**a theological adaptation of projection**
For me, the Holy Trinity is an incomplete concept. I believe the most appropriate term might be Holy Quadry: Father, Son, Holy Spirit, and Mother. Mother is the theological projection of Mary onto the Trinity.

I consider Richard Feynman to be the greatest physicist of human history. One of his contributions was Feynman diagrams:

But they were not the only Feynman contributions: the path-integral reformulation of quantum electrodynamics the path-integral formulation of quantum field theory* and helped design the first atomic bomb.

*"The path integral formulation of quantum field theory represents the transition amplitude (corresponding to the classical correlation function) as a weighted sum of all possible histories of the system from the initial to the final state. A Feynman diagram is a graphical representation of a perturbative contribution to the transition amplitude.”

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Path_integral_formulation
My personal contribution to science, like Feynman’s use of path integrals, is the concept of *temporal elasticity*. I believe 100 years from now, they’ll use the concept to unify the nuclear strong force with gravitation. I’ve proposed it conceptually, but that’s a far-cry from developing the theory.

At this point in the discussion, I have two questions:

1. If Feynman was *so* great, why didn’t *he* discover temporal elasticity?

2. If Catholic theologians care *so* much about their own faith, how in *hell* could they miss the Quadry?

Surprisingly, the answer is the SAME to both questions:

1. Feynman’s *own misconceptions of physical reality* prohibited him from discovering temporal elasticity.

2. Catholic theologians’ similar misconceptions about God prohibited them from seeing the Holy Quadry.

So *WHY* was I in a homeless shelter for four months?

Because the Devil was *afraid* I would write this essay.

QED, sgm, 2018/JUN/19