

## Zero Dark Matter and Zero Dark Energy

Includes: Analysis of five galaxies with flat rotation curves

Gene H Barbee

Revised October, 2019

Flat galaxy rotation curves were observed in the 1930's by Dutch Astronomer Jan Oort. Most cosmologists today attribute the difference between observed flat and calculated declining Keplerian velocity curves to dark matter despite decades of failed efforts to identify it. Recent WMAP [4] and PLANCK mission scientists believe it is 23% of critical density (the total mass and energy in the universe). There are other difficulties:

What is dark matter and why are baryons only 4.6% of critical density?

What is dark energy and why is it 72% of critical density?

What is the cosmic web?

What caused the temperature anisotropy measured by WMAP and PLANCK?

But even more basic:

What is space-time?

Quantum mechanics applies at the small scale but the general theory of relativity is large scale gravitational theory. Are they incompatible?

These are not easy problems to solve. Any claim regarding different percentages of critical density must address baryon/photon ratios that determine observed fractions of Deuterium, Helium3 and Lithium7. Different claims must also address conditions at equality of photon and mass density and the temperature anisotropy observed at decoupling (where the plasma clears and electrons can orbit protons). Understanding space and gravity more thoroughly than Einstein's general theory of relativity requires bridging small and large scale physics.

A neutron→proton mass model and cellular cosmology, both previously reported by the author, were combined into what the author believes is a first principles cosmology model that resolves these questions. In addition, the model exactly predicts temperature anisotropy at decoupling and star formation rates.

Where do the laws of physics reside? If they are built into nature, is there any way they can be detected besides observing nature and making observations? Actually, the cosmology model combined with Schrodinger based fundamentals provide a huge clue. The core of the cosmology model is inside the proton and it "produces" the universe. The proton describes the cell, including the space around it. But components of each proton are improbable ( $1/\exp(180)$ ) and there are  $\exp(180)$  protons. In three dimensions, cell radius\* $\exp(60)$  represents the universe!

## Background

If mass is distributed uniformly within a sphere the mass toward the outside will be in a preferred position. Since Newtonian gravity is based on central mass, the mass toward the outside will move toward the center. This is an unstable universe and gravitational laws are not uniform throughout the sphere. A model with no preferred position places the mass on the surface of a sphere. But it doesn't have to be a large sphere. It can be many small spheres that have the same surface area. The author developed a concept called cellular cosmology that defines space as  $N = \exp(180)$  spherical cells each with a proton. Furthermore, the proton has initial kinetic energy 10.15 MeV and orbits central gravitational field energy 2.801 MeV with radius  $7.045e-14$  meters. These specific values allow the gravitational constant to be calculated. As kinetic energy decreases and potential energy increases each cell expands. Kinetic energy inside each of  $\exp(180)$  cells is related to pressure acting outward on the surface. This expands the universe. Important cell properties quoted above originate in a Schrodinger based mass model of the neutron (that decays to a proton, etc.) [Appendix 1 and 2].

## Cellular Cosmology

Cells are defined by equating a large surface area with many small surface areas. This allows cellular cosmology to obey the rule "there can be no gravitational preferred position for mass" because all mass is on the equivalent of a large sphere. The number of cells in large R (representing the universe) is  $\exp(180)$  [Appendix 2].

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Area} &= 4 * \pi * R^2 \\ \text{Area} &= 4 * \pi * r^2 * \exp(180) \\ A/A &= 1 = R^2 / (r^2 * \exp(180)) \\ R^2 &= r^2 * \exp(180) \\ r &= R / \exp(90) \quad \text{surface area substitution} \\ M &= m * \exp(180) \quad \text{mass substitution} \end{aligned}$$

For gravitation and large space, we consider velocity V, radius R and mass M as the variables (capital letters for large space and lower case r, v and m for cellular space) that determine the geodesic (the radius with balanced inertial and gravitational force). The mass substitution is  $M = m * \exp(180)$  and the surface area substitution is  $R = r * \exp(90)$  for G large space = G cellular space.

<b>At any time during expansion</b>		
<b><u>Large space</u></b>		<b><u>Cellular Space</u></b>
		<b>With substitutions:</b>
		<b><math>R = r * \exp(90)</math> and <math>M = m * \exp(180)</math></b>
<b><math>R * V^2 / M =</math></b>	<b>G = G</b>	<b><math>r * \exp(90) * V^2 / (m * \exp(180))</math></b>
<b><math>R * V^2 / M =</math></b>	<b>G = G</b>	<b><math>(r * v^2 / m) / \exp(90)</math></b>

The extremely small value  $1/\exp(90)$  is the coupling constant for gravity. When measurements are made at the large scale to measure G, the above derivation indicates that we must multiply cellular scale values  $(r * v^2 / m)$  by  $1/\exp(90)$  for equivalent G. Geometric and mass relationships give the cell "cosmological properties". Velocity  $V = v$  for small cell orbits and large scale cell orbits.

### The source of space and time

The neutron mass model is the source of space, time and the gravitational field energy -2.801 MeV. The radius of a quantum circle with this field energy is:

<b>Identify the radius and time for the gravitational orbit described above</b>	
<b>Fundamental radius=1.93e-13/(2.801*2.801)^.5=7.045e-14 meters</b>	
<b>Fundamental time=7.045e-14*2*PI()/((3e8)=h/E=4.13e-21/2.801</b>	
<b>Fundamental time</b>	<b>1.476E-21 seconds</b>

Above, 1.92e-13 MeV-meters is hC, where h is Planck's reduced constant (6.58e-22 MeV-sec). The quantum radius 7.045e-14 meters and time 1.476e-21 seconds are fundamental to space and time. These never change. Coupled with these values kinetic energy (10.15 MeV/proton) from the Proton model [Appendix 2] is used in the calculations below that determine the gravitational constant.

### Calculating the gravitational constant G

The column below determines the gravitational constant [9][10] based on the cell above containing one neutron with kinetic energy 10.15 MeV. The neutron at Velocity  $V = (2*10.15/1.67e-27*1.6e-13)^{0.5} = 4.4e7$  meters/sec circles the small radius 7,045e-14 meters producing inertial force  $f=3.78e-38$  Nt opposing the 2.801 MeV gravitational field. The gravitational constant  $G = F R^2/(M/g)^2 = 6.69e-11$  [16]. G is almost constant throughout expansion of the universe except for small effects related to gamma.

GRAVITY		0.028	<b>expanded</b>
		<b>neutron</b>	
<b>Neutron Mass (mev)</b>		939.5654	<b>939.565</b>
<b>Neutron Mass M (kg)</b>		1.675E-27	<b>1.675E-27</b>
<b>Field Energy E (mev)</b>		2.801	<b>2.801</b>
<b>Kinetic Energy MeV Ke=10.15*r/7.045e-14</b>		10.151	<b>0.001</b>
<b>Gamma (g)=939.56/(939.56+ke)</b>		0.9893	<b>1.0000</b>
<b>Velocity Ratio v/C=(1-g^2)^0.5</b>		0.1458	<b>0.0015</b>
<b>Velocity (meters/sec)</b>		4.383E+07	<b>4.41E+05</b>
<b>R (meters) =(HC/(2pi))/(E*E)^0.5</b>		7.045E-14	<b>7.045E-10</b>
<b>Inertial Force (f)=(m/g*v^2/R)*1/EXP(90) Nt</b>		3.784E-38	<b>3.784E-46</b>
<b>Calculation of gravitational constant G</b>			
<b>G=F*R^2/(M*m/g)=NT m^2/kg^2</b>		6.621E-11	<b>6.693E-11</b>
<b>Published by Partical Data Group (PDG) [10]</b>			<b>6.674E-11</b>

Note: as expansion occurs KE decreases with  $R'/R$  and gamma (g) becomes 1.0. G was slightly lower at the beginning but approaches the value above.

In three dimensions the relationships give G for the surface of a sphere (or the equivalent area of many small spheres). If not it violates the "no preferred position" principle.

### Alternate and equivalent defining relationship for G

The defining relationship for the gravitational constant G uses potential energy value 20.3 MeV from the neutron/proton models in Appendix 2. (Expansion of the universe starts with 10.15 of potential energy

and 10.15 of kinetic energy but in the fully expanded condition each proton contains 20.3 MeV of gravitational potential energy. It is shown that G is simply potential energy 20.3 MeV\*radius 7.045e-14 m. It depends on the small factor 1/exp(90) that comes from cellular cosmology, the conversion constant 1.6e-13 Nt-m/MeV and the mass of two attracting neutrons (1.675e-27 kg). Cellular cosmology is based on area equivalence  $r=R/\exp(90)$  and  $\exp(180)$  protons.

$$G = \frac{10.15124 \times 2 \times 7.045 \times 10^{-14} \times 1.602 \times 10^{-13} / \exp(90)}{1.675 \times 10^{-27}^2}$$

$$6.69 \times 10^{-11} \text{ Grav Const Nt m}^2/\text{Kg}^2$$

Cells contain protons and they allow us to understand the large universe with principles established at the small scale. This equation can also be written without the small factor 1/exp(90) and a central mass of  $\exp(180) \times 1.67 \times 10^{-27} = 2.49 \times 10^{51}$  kg attracting a proton.


$$G = \frac{20.3 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-13} \times 8.59 \times 10^{25}}{(2.49 \times 10^{51} \times 1.67 \times 10^{-27})}$$

$$G = 6.69 \times 10^{-11} \text{ Nt m}^2/\text{kg}^2$$


The large circle has radius  $7.045 \times 10^{-14} \times \exp(90) = 8.59 \times 10^{25}$  meters, consistent with gravity being a long range force. Gravity is determined by the large scale and cellular cosmology is the small scale equivalent. This provides an understanding of gravity and a bridge from the quantum scale.

### Flat Velocity Curves for Galaxies

The kinetic energy and velocity of stars in a galaxy originate from conversion of potential energy to kinetic energy as their mass falls from their expansion determined radius. The fall is initiated by mass accumulation. Typical changes in kinetic and potential are shown below. The fall velocities are consistent with Newtonian  $V = (2 \times ke/m)^{0.5}$ .



All of the following galaxy profiles (search Wiki for velocity curves) are nearly flat:




(b)

Copyright © 2008 Pearson Education, Inc., publishing as Pearson Addison-Wesley.

### Calculating Flat Velocity Curves

The 240 km/sec velocity curve above is simulated below with an angular velocity value we call  $\Omega$ .  $\Omega$  is the reason the velocity curve is flat and will be explained.




The example below is for a galaxy with mass  $2e41$  Kg. Measurements of observed radius and observed luminosity are available (Wiki but astronomers have published data). The luminosity falls off rapidly with observed radius indicating that there is not much mass toward the outside (luminosity is proportional to mass). Calculations below sum the central mass (column 1) and calculate the Newtonian orbital velocity with the equation  $V_n = (GM/R)^{.5}$  M/sec. Using this equation predicts incorrect low velocities toward the edge (the row labelled  $V_n$  is  $2.1e5$  m/sec at 10 kpc but only  $1.3e5$  m/sec at 25 kpc radius). If mass toward the outside of the galaxy is increased artificially by assuming that it contains dark (no light emitted) matter the velocity would remain high toward the edge.

0	5	10	15	20	25.0	Radius (kiloparsec)	
	$1.54E+20$	$3.08E+20$	$4.62E+20$	$6.16E+20$	$7.7E+20$	Radius Meters	
	$1.19E+39$	$9.77E+37$	$8.01972E+36$	$6.58299E+35$	$5.4E+34$	Luminosity= $10^{\ast} \exp(-2/r)$	
	$1.309E+41$	$1.535E+40$	$1.25974E+39$	$1.03405E+38$	$8.5E+36$	Kg within each luminosity band	
	$1.87E+41$	$2.023E+41$	$2.03568E+41$	$2.03671E+41$	$2.0E+41$	Central mass for each radius	
0	$1.43E+05$	$2.09E+05$	$1.71E+05$	$1.49E+05$	$1.3E+05$	$V_n = (G M/R)^{.5}$	
	$2.15E+04$	$4.30E+04$	$6.45E+04$	$8.60E+04$	$1.07E+05$	$V_a = \Omega \ast R$	
0	$1.64E+05$	$2.52E+05$	$2.36E+05$	$2.34E+05$	$2.40E+05$	$V_n + V_a$	

The last three lines represent a way of simulating a flat velocity curve without assuming dark matter. The line labelled  $V_n$  is the normal Newtonian velocity that falls off with radius. Below that line, a line labelled  $V_a = \Omega \ast R$ . This velocity increases with radius. The final line in the table above is the sum of  $V_n$  and  $V_a$ . The value  $\Omega$  is explained below. The sum of declining  $V_n$  and increasing  $V_a$  produce a flat galaxy rotation curve matching measurements. After explaining the procedure for calculating  $\Omega$ , data from five galaxy velocity curves will be compared to calculations.

### Omega is a quantum property related to spin

Cells have quantum properties related to collapse of the wave equation (Appendix 1). The property is called spin at the quantum level. The diagram below is for the gravitational field 2.801 MeV and as pointed out earlier, is the source of space and time. The circle on the right is spin around the central proton mass.



$E \cdot t / H = 1$  for the quantum mechanical collapse point (see Appendix 1). The value H is Planck's constant. The particle according to conventional quantum mechanics has the property spin.

### Equivalent cells that maintain G

Understanding that the gravitational constant G can be calculated with  $ke_0 = 10.15$  MeV/proton of kinetic energy in a cell of radius  $r = 7.045e-14$  meters allows further development of cellular cosmology gravitational relationships (small m below =  $1.67e-27$  Kg).

G remains constant during expansion	
$ke_0 = 10.15$ MeV/neutron	
$r_0 \cdot V^2 / m = r \cdot v^2 / m$	
$(mv/mV)^2 = (r/r_0)$	
$ke/ke_0 = (r/r_0)$	
$r = r_0 \cdot 10.15 / ke$	


Using relationships from cellular cosmology, the orbital radius of a central mass can be calculated.

Orbital R for galaxy= GM/V <sup>2</sup> where M is the central mass	
substitute G=r <sub>0</sub> v <sup>2</sup> /m*(1/exp(90))	
R= r v <sup>2</sup> /m*(1/exp(90))*M/V <sup>2</sup>	
v <sup>2</sup> /V <sup>2</sup> =1 (cell v and large V equal)	
m/M=m/(m*number of cells in galaxy)	
R= r*(1/exp(90))*M/m	
multiply top and bottom by exp(180)	
R=r*exp(90)*M/(m*exp(180))	
m*exp(180)=Muniverse	
R=r*exp(90)*(Mgalaxy/Muniverse)	
r=r <sub>0</sub> *10.15/ke=7.04e-14*10.15/ke	
R=7.04e-14*10.15/ke*exp(90)*(Mgalaxy/Muniverse)	
<b>R=r<sub>0</sub>*10.15/ke*(Mgalaxy/1.67e-27)*(1/exp(90))</b>	
<b>R=7.04e-14*10.15/2.74e-4*(2e41/1.67e-27)*(1/exp(90))</b>	

The new relationship  $R=r_0*10.15/ke*(Mgalaxy/1.67e-27)*(1/exp(90))$  where  $r_0=7.045e-14$  is another way of writing  $R=GM/V^2$  but it provides an understanding of the cosmology involved. From a gravitational viewpoint, the central mass is orbited by one proton (1.67e-27 Kg). The quantum scale  $r=r_0*10.15/ke$  is the cell radius as the universe expands. Maintaining G equivalence between the large scale and cellular scale requires multiplying small scale values by  $(Mgalaxy/1.67e-27)*(1/exp(90))$ . A cell is the proton and its gravitational space but it can be quite large. Radius R defined by a large central mass  $(R=GM/V^2)^{.5}$  is the gravitational equivalent of one proton moving at velocity V. Large R retains the small scale spin property.

The cell enlarges (circle below in the middle) from the diagram above as expansion occurs but retains the quantum property of particle spin that we call angular velocity (Omega) at the sub quantum level. The cell further enlarges as shown in the rightmost diagram. The cell on the right has low curvature because it has been enlarged by  $R=r_0*10.15/ke*(Mgalaxy/1.67e-27)*(1/exp(90))$  but it also retains the angular velocity property of the particles in the mass. With  $\omega = 1.39e-16$ , the flat velocity profile matches measurements.





The velocity arrows rotate around mass on the circumference of a circle.

### Calculation procedure for Omega

The calculation on the left below defines gravitational space for the cells of the galaxy. As expansion occurs, the kinetic energy is converted from kinetic energy to potential energy. The cell enlarges but again becomes somewhat smaller as the mass falls into a developing galaxy and potential energy is converted back into kinetic energy. The definition of cells requires equal velocities between the galaxy cells and the galaxy velocity itself. The circle on the right is the gravitational equivalent at a higher mass scale.


	Quantum cell	Galaxy cell Small	Galaxy "cell" Large
Mass (Kg)	$1.67 \times 10^{-27}$	$1.67 \times 10^{-27}$	$2.00 \times 10^{41}$
$K_e = 0.5 \cdot m \cdot v^2 / 1.6 \times 10^{-13}$ (MeV)	10.15	$2.70 \times 10^{-4}$	
$r = 7.04 \times 10^{-14} \cdot 10.15 / k_e$ (m)	$7.05 \times 10^{-14}$	$2.65 \times 10^{-9}$	
V (m/sec)	$4.41 \times 10^7$	$2.27 \times 10^5$	$2.27 \times 10^5$
R <sub>galaxy</sub> (m)			$2.58 \times 10^{20}$
$\Omega_{\text{cell}} = 1 / (2 \cdot \pi \cdot r) / V$ (1/sec)	$9.97 \times 10^{19}$	$1.36 \times 10^{13}$	
Mass scale = $M / 1.67 \times 10^{-27} / \exp(90)$			$9.81 \times 10^{28}$
$\Omega_{\text{galaxy}} = \Omega_{\text{cell}} / \text{Mass scale}$			$1.39 \times 10^{-16}$



The table above shows the important value Omega highlighted in red. The cell's Omega is scaled to large radius (angular velocity decreases dramatically). In the calculation table above  $\Omega_{\text{galaxy}} = 1.36 \times 10^{13} / \text{Mass scale} = 1.39 \times 10^{-16}$  (the value in red above). The diagram on the right is for the central mass of the galaxy. Angular velocity Omega is around the central mass. Angular velocity looks like a distant merry-go-round but within its reference frame protons move at  $V_n$  determined by Newtonian gravity. From our viewpoint each radius across the galaxy has angular velocity Omega  $1.39 \times 10^{-16}$ /sec associated with its cells. Multiplying  $\Omega = 1.39 \times 10^{-16} \cdot \text{Radius}$  at various points across the galaxy =  $V_a$  (line labelled  $V_a$  above). Added its Newtonian velocity ( $V_n$ ) at various points across the galaxy to the velocity we see ( $V_a$ ) determines the galaxy velocity profile.



### Show me the data

Using the procedure above, Data for NGC 7664 is compared with  $V_t = V_n + V_a$ ,  $V_n$  is Newtonian velocity and  $V_a$  is  $\Omega \cdot R$ .

	Calculation Procedure NGC 7664					
Radius Kilo parsec (KPC)	0	5	10	15	20	25
Radius= 3.08e19*KPC (meters)	0	1.54E+20	3.08E+20	4.62E+20	6.16E+20	7.7E+20
Velocity Data (Km/sec)	0	170	195	180	195	200
Mass=(V*1000)^2*R/6.67e-11 (Kg)		6.67E+40				
Ke=0.5*1.67E-27*(V*1000)^2*6.24e12 (MeV)		1.51E-04				
rcell=10.15/ke*7.045e-14 (meters)		4.75E-09				
omega cell=1/(2*PI()*(Vdata*1000))		5.70E+12				
Mass scale		3.27E+28				
Omega galaxy= omegacell/Massscale		1.74E-16				
Va= Omega galaxy* Radius (km/sec)	0	26.81	53.61	80.42	107.23	134.03
Vn=(6.67e-11*Mass/Radius)^0.5/1000 (km/sec)		141.67	120.21	98.15	85.00	76.03
Vtotal=Va+Vn (km/sec)	0	168.47	173.82	178.57	192.23	210.06







### What about our solar system?

One could reasonably ask “if the above is true, why don’t the planets in our solar system all have the same velocity?” We will examine data for our 7 planets. Velocity measurements are based on observations regarding the period of each planet and the distance it travels through its orbit. Distance has been measured by various means including laser timing devices. The mass of the sun is determined by  $M=V^2 R/G$  ( $G=6.67e-11$  Nt  $kg^2/m^2$ ) and can be calculated for each planet’s Velocity  $V$  and distance from the sun.


There are two cases:

### Case 1: spinning reference frame

If our reference frame is spinning, there may be no  $\Omega \cdot R$  additions. We use observed velocities and distances in Newtonian formulas. But there is another case that should be considered. What observations could be made from a reference frame outside the solar system?

### Case 2: stationary reference frame


The procedure above can be used to determine an  $\Omega \cdot R$ . It depends on  $V$ , mass of the Sun and  $R$ . The graph plot below is for the 7 planets. Even though we are using the same calculation procedure we used for galaxies, the velocity still falls off. This brings out one difference. Galaxies analyzed above have most of their mass about 8 KPC from the galactic center. The mass at this radius determines  $\Omega$ . Our solar system has almost all of its mass centered in the sun. The other difference is that  $R$  is low compared to galactic radii.  $\Omega \cdot R_{\text{planets}}$  is low except for the three outer planets.



The difference between observed and calculated total velocity is significant for the outer planets. This large difference if it existed would have been observed. Based on this result, it appears that case 1 is correct. The data and calculations are presented below.

# Planetary Fact Sheet - Metric

	<a href="#">MERCURY</a>	<a href="#">VENUS</a>	<a href="#">EARTH</a>	<a href="#">MARS</a>	<a href="#">JUPITER</a>	<a href="#">SATURN</a>	<a href="#">URANUS</a>	<a href="#">NEPTUNE</a>	<a href="#">PLUTO</a>	<a href="#">MOON</a>
<a href="#">Mass (1024kg)</a>	0.33	4.87	5.97	0.642	1898	568	86.8	102	0.0146	0.073
<a href="#">Diameter (km)</a>	4879	12,104	12,756	6792	142,984	120,536	51,118	49,528	2370	3475
<a href="#">Density (kg/m3)</a>	5427	5243	5514	3933	1326	687	1271	1638	2095	3340
<a href="#">Gravity (m/s2)</a>	3.7	8.9	9.8	3.7	23.1	9	8.7	11	0.7	1.6
<a href="#">Escape Velocity (km/s)</a>	4.3	10.4	11.2	5	59.5	35.5	21.3	23.5	1.3	2.4
<a href="#">Rotation Period (hours)</a>	1407.6	-5832.5	23.9	24.6	9.9	10.7	-17.2	16.1	-153.3	655.7
<a href="#">Length of Day (hours)</a>	4222.6	2802	24	24.7	9.9	10.7	17.2	16.1	153.3	708.7
<a href="#">Distance from Sun (106 km)</a>	57.9	108.2	149.6	227.9	778.6	1433.5	2872.5	4495.1	5906.4	0.384*
<a href="#">Perihelion (106 km)</a>	46	107.5	147.1	206.6	740.5	1352.6	2741.3	4444.5	4436.8	0.363*
<a href="#">Aphelion (106 km)</a>	69.8	108.9	152.1	249.2	816.6	1514.5	3003.6	4545.7	7375.9	0.406*
<a href="#">Orbital Period (days)</a>	88	224.7	365.2	687	4331	10,747	30,589	59,800	90,560	27.3
<a href="#">Orbital Velocity (km/s)</a>	47.4	35	29.8	24.1	13.1	9.7	6.8	5.4	4.7	1
<a href="#">Orbital Inclination (degrees)</a>	7	3.4	0	1.9	1.3	2.5	0.8	1.8	17.2	5.1
<a href="#">Orbital Eccentricity</a>	0.205	0.007	0.017	0.094	0.049	0.057	0.046	0.011	0.244	0.055
<a href="#">Obliquity to Orbit (degrees)</a>	0.034	177.4	23.4	25.2	3.1	26.7	97.8	28.3	122.5	6.7
<a href="#">Mean Temperature (C)</a>	167	464	15	-65	-110	-140	-195	-200	-225	-20
<a href="#">Surface Pressure (bars)</a>	0	92	1	0.01	Unknown*	Unknown*	Unknown*	Unknown*	0.00001	0
<a href="#">Number of Moons</a>	0	0	1	2	79	62	27	14	5	0
<a href="#">Ring System?</a>	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
<a href="#">Global Magnetic Field?</a>	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unknown	No



[iams,dave.williams@nasa.gov](mailto:iams,dave.williams@nasa.gov)  
 ide 690.1  
 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center  
 Greenbelt, MD 20771

Msun (Kg)	1.99E+30	1.99E+30	1.99E+30	1.99E+30	1.99E+30	1.99E+30	1.99E+30	1.99E+30	1.99E+30	
Vt=Vn+Va (m/sec)	47917	35121	29944	24429	14919	14245	19953	31153	43688	
Average Vt/Vobs	MERCURY	VENUS	EARTH	MARS	JUPITER	SATURN	URANUS	NEPTUNE	PLUTO	
	1.907	1.001	1.003	1.005	1.013	1.141	1.468	2.922	5.699	9.211
Vobs (m/sec)	47848	35018	29790	24124	13074	9700	6829	5466	4743	
Vn= (GM/R)^.0.5 (m/sec)	47880	35025	29787	24133	13057	9623	6798	5434	4741	
r <sub>cell</sub> =0.5*1.67E-27*(A70)^2*6.2e12 (r	1.19E-05	6.39E-06	4.62E-06	3.03E-06	8.91E-07	4.90E-07	2.43E-07	1.56E-07	1.17E-07	
ke=10.5/r <sub>cell</sub> *7.045e-14 (MeV)	6.20E-08	1.16E-07	1.60E-07	2.44E-07	8.30E-07	1.51E-06	3.04E-06	4.75E-06	6.31E-06	
Omega <sub>cell</sub> =1/(2*PI()*r <sub>cell</sub> /(Vobs)) (1/	6.38E+08	8.72E+08	1.03E+09	1.27E+09	2.34E+09	3.15E+09	4.47E+09	5.59E+09	6.44E+09	
Mass scale= Msun/1.67e-27/exp(90)	9.76E+17	9.76E+17	9.76E+17	9.76E+17	9.76E+17	9.76E+17	9.76E+17	9.76E+17	9.76E+17	
Omega planets =Omegacell/Mass scal	6.54E-10	8.93E-10	1.05E-09	1.30E-09	2.39E-09	3.22E-09	4.58E-09	5.72E-09	6.59E-09	
Va=Omega Rplanets (m/sec)	38	97	157	295	1863	4622	13156	25719	38948	

### Problem Resolution; What is Dark Matter?

When we look at a galaxy we observe real distances and real velocities. They have flat velocity curves. If all else fails, believe the data (flat rotation curves). Also believe Newtonian gravity and consider the possibility that the know quantum effect called spin becomes angular velocity for large galaxies. The calculations presented are straightforward and allows one to calculate the flat rotation curve. I believe that the Mach Principle (galaxy rotation randomized) is obeyed overall. It is clear that velocity profiles in galaxies make them appear as spinning disks. If the velocities obeyed only Newtonian gravity the spiral arms would wrap tightly around the center more over time. The proposal above explains flat velocity curves without inferring dark matter.

### Problem 2; What is the Cosmic Web?

Observations of light bending show streaks between stringy galaxy clusters. This is also attributed to dark matter. In cellular cosmology, a proton is on the surface of each cell. As mass accumulates cells change their size according to the kinetic energy regained from falling from the expansion determined radius. Potential energy + kinetic energy=10.15 MeV.

<b>G remains constant during expansion</b>	
ke0=10.15 MeV/neutron	
$r_0 * V^2/m = r * v^2/m$	
$(m v/m V)^2 = (r/r_0)$	
ke/ke0= (r/r0)	
$r=r_0 * 10.15/ke$	

The gas between the stars is treated with thermodynamics. The protons/atoms are still associated with a cell but the relationship  $P=\rho R T$  where  $\rho=m/\text{volume}$  means that the volume of the gas “cells” no

longer follow the relationship  $r=r_0*10.15/ke$ . The cell radius in the space between large objects can be as large as 0.3 meters in the fully expanded gas down to  $1e-6$  meters. Cells in solid objects like planets are about  $5e-11$  meters in size since the electrons repel each other and limit further contraction. Yet further contraction occurs in black holes. Galaxies and the gas within are gravitationally bound and can't enlarge with time. Space continues to expand elsewhere. One can simulate this situation by placing a piece of cloth on a surface and gathering (pinching together) the cloth in spots. Ridges are formed between the pinch points indicating the distribution of mass.

### Problem resolution; What is the cosmic web?

The general theory of relativity gives the deformation of space by mass but according to work above, mass has angular velocity associated with it that may bend space and affect light transmission. Curved space deflects light. This might be imaged as the cosmic web.

### Problem 3; Where is normal matter (only 4% discovered)?

Cosmologists use measurements and models to understand the first few hundred seconds after the big bang. Specifically, when and under what conditions were He4 and residual isotopes formed? WMAP analysis accepted the astrophysics literature [6] value of  $4.4e-10$  baryons/photons which is associated with the measured He4, He3 and Li7 fractions (measured uniformly throughout the universe and therefore formed with He4). The baryon/photon density equation [1] is below: Radius R and Temperature T are both to power 3. Further as radius expands temperature is reduced in direct proportion to radius. This means that the baryon/photon density ratio is the same now as it was after He4 was formed. At 2.801 K (the current temperature of the cosmic background radiation) the photon density is  $5.77e8/m^3$  and the mass number density is  $\exp(180)/(4/3*\pi()*4.02e25^3)$ .

$$\text{Baryon/photon}=(x*\text{EXP}(180)/(4/3*\text{PI}()*R^3))/(8*\text{PI}()/(4.31e-21*3e8)^3*(1.5*8.62e-11*T)^3)$$

WMAP analysis [2][4] reduced the baryon content  $X*\exp(180)$  of the universe to a very low value ( $X=0.046$ ) because they did not find combinations of R and T that would meet the  $4.4e-10$  baryon/photon criteria. The present analysis will show a period when temperature and radius values gives a value similar to  $4.4e-10$  without reducing the baryon content. This required an accurate expansion model.

### Expansion Model

A first principles cellular expansion model with the following capabilities was used to determine cosmological parameters.

1. Early history of helium formation including Deuterium, Helium3 and Lithium7 residuals.
2. History of the period from equality (matter and photon density) to decoupling (clearing of the plasma and cosmic background radiation pictures).
3. History of energy additions during expansion.
4. Star formation and its effect on expansion.


An expansion model calculates the radius of the universe as a function of time. The model places  $\exp(180)$  spherical cells into a large sphere. The initial radius of each small sphere is, as explained above,  $r_0=7.045e-14$  meters. This means that the initial radius is  $7.045e-14*\exp(60)=8.25e12$  meters (in three dimension,  $\exp(180)/3=\exp(60)$ ). This same sphere has a surface area  $=4 \pi*r_0^2*\exp(180)=4 \pi*R^2$ .



The gravitational constant G remains constant throughout expansion. Kinetic energy follows the relationship below:

G remains constant during expansion			
ke0=10.15 MeV/neutron			
$r_0 \cdot V^2/m = r \cdot v^2/m$			
$(mv/mV)^2 = (r/r_0)$			
$ke/ke_0 = (r/r_0)$			
$r = r_0 \cdot 10.15/ke$			

The proton mass model has initial kinetic energy= 10.15 MeV/neutron associated with the measured value  $G=6.674e-11 \text{ Nt M}^2/\text{Kg}^2$ . Expansion converts kinetic energy to potential energy (10.15 MeV total energy/proton is constant). This calculation is made possible by the use of the simple equation  $f = (mV^2/r) \cdot 1/\exp(90)$  and potential energy = integral  $F \cdot dR$ , dR is the increase in gravitational radius of each cell.



For convenience cosmologists use  $ke' = ke \cdot (\text{time}/\text{time}')^{(2/3)}$ . (Primed values mean the next value in incremental calculations across time). The universe expands because kinetic energy is being converted to potential energy. Cell radius increases as kinetic energy decreases  $r' = r \cdot ke/ke'$ . Combining the relationships above,  $r' = r \cdot (\text{time}'/\text{time})^{(2/3)}$ . The gravitation constant  $G = Fr^2/(m/g)^2$  is maintained throughout expansion where lower case  $g = \text{gamma} = 938.27/(938.27+ke)$ . Potential energy (PE) =  $0.5 \cdot F \cdot (\Delta R)/(1.6e-13 \text{ Nt-m/MeV})$ .

### Constructing the expansion radius

There is uncertainty in current literature regarding the initial radius of the universe. Some say it was a point and an exponential expansion known as inflation quickly increased the radius. The WMAP [4] expansion model (called the concordance model or Lambda Cold Dark Matter model) calculates expansion with  $R' = R \cdot (\text{time}'/\text{time})^{2/3}$  plus a second component based on a constant called lambda suggested by Einstein.

### Expansion model based cellular cosmology

An expansion model can be constructed with a few facts (results of huge efforts throughout history):

Facts from WMAP and Planck [14]: The current temperature called Cosmic Background Radiation (CBR) temperature =2.801 K. The current Hubble constant =2.26e-18/sec. The Hubble constant is strongly associated with the current density 9.14e-27 Kg/M<sup>2</sup> in a flat universe. This is also considered critical density. The current age of the universe =13.8 billion years.

Facts from Proton model: Values in the neutron mass model determine the starting radius  $r_0=7.045e-14$  M. The gravitational field energy  $E=2.8012$  MeV determines  $r_0$ .  $R_0=7.045e-14*\exp(60)=8.04e12$  meters. The Proton model provides the initial kinetic energy=10.15 and slightly later value 10.15 MeV/proton.

Based on probabilities for the neutron components the number of protons=  $\exp(180)$  and the mass of the universe=  $\exp(180)*1.673e-27=2.49e51$  Kg. [Appendix 2 topic entitled “The number of neutrons in nature”]. Cellular cosmology places N cells in a large sphere. For this calculation we will assume that the critical density is neutrons but this will be checked several ways. This means that one cell of radius r represents the universe with  $R=r*\exp(60)$ . Initially all  $\exp(180)$  cells are identical and one cell provides a great deal of information if we know the properties of the cell.

Radius calculation	
7.05E-14	Initial radius
1.68E-27	Mass per cell (one neutron)
9.16E-27	Density of cell kg/m <sup>3</sup> (Omega)
1.83E-01	Volume=density/mass
0.352	$r=((3/4)*\text{volume}/\pi)^{(1/3)}$
For exp(60) cells	
8.05E+12	Initial radius
4.0211E+25	Radius M

At the current time the universe density is 9.14e-27 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The volume that would contain  $\exp(180)*1.67e-27$  Kg=2.48e51 Kg is  $2.48e51/9.14e-27=2.72e77$  m<sup>3</sup>. Assuming a sphere, the current radius is 4.02e25 meters. This includes both expansion components.

Facts from Astrophysics: During early expansion the temperature falls to 8e8 K and the SAHA equilibrium value approaches unity where He4 is readily formed [1][5][6][7]. The measured fraction of He4 is in the range 0.23 to 0.27.

### Radius and temperature history from beginning to He4 fusion

First we construct a time scale based on the age of the universe (13.8 billion years =4.33e17 sec). Fundamental time  $7.045e-14*2*\pi/3e8=1.47e-21$  seconds (nature counts forward as this time repeats). Logarithms will be used to decrease the number of computational iterations. Natural log(4.33e17/1/5e-21)=88.6 will be the current time. Natural log 45 is a good starting point ( $\exp(45)*1.47e-21=0.051$  sec). Time in seconds for the x axis will be  $\exp(45+\text{increment})*1.47e-21$  seconds. The increment is the number of calculation columns from 45 to 88.6.

Next we will calculate the cell radius (r) as a function of time. The force f on the cell surface is calculated two ways and is equal:  $f=(m/g)*V^2/r*(1/exp(90))= G(m/g)^2/r^2$  where  $m=1.673e-27$  Kg.  $\Gamma g=938.27/(938.27+ke)$  and  $velocity=C*(1-\Gamma^2)^{.5}$  in meters/sec. Each cell is an expanding orbit with  $ke'=ke*(time/time')^{.5}$  and  $r=r0*10.15/ke$  (primed values mean the next value in an incremental calculation over time) Velocity is calculated from  $V=C*(1-g^2)^{.5}$  or  $V=((2*ke/m)/1.6e-13)^{.5}$  when g becomes very close to 1.0. G was slightly different at the beginning but calculations near the end of expansion  $G= 6.6743e-11$  Nt  $M^2/Kg^2$ .

Initial temperature= $10.15/(1.5B)=7.6e10$  K, where B=Boltzmann's constant  $8.6e-11$  Mev/K and  $T'=T*(R/R')$ . The calculations below are the first few steps. Lower case letters will be used to represent cellular values and upper case letters will be used for the large sphere (the universe). The equations are shown. If you are following this with an Excel® spreadsheet, copy these equations to 809 seconds. The information in green exists in each proton. The proton provides further cosmology properties as subsequent events occur.

Note: The reader may have to move back and forth in the document. For example, the finding that this is the proton is discussed further in the section entitled "Conclusions".


Potential energy + kinetic energy (MeV)	20.30	20.34	20.36
Potential energy (MeV)=.5FdR/1.6e-13	10.15	12.49	14.30
$r0=7.22e-14*9.872/ke$	7.22E-14	9.34E-14	1.21E-13
$ke=10.15*(time/time')^{.5}$	10.150	7.85E+00	6.07E+00
$g=938.27/(938.27+ke)$	9.8930E-01	9.9170E-01	9.9357E-01
$V=(1-(g)^2)^{.5}*C$	4.3742E+07	3.8536E+07	3.3935E+07
$fgrav=(1.673E-27*V^2/(r0*EXP(90)))$	3.6702E-38	2.1974E-38	1.3152E-38
time (seconds)	5.16E-02	7.58E-02	1.12E-01
$G=fgrav*r^2/(m/g)^2$	6.681E-11	6.722E-11	6.754E-11

Facts from Appendix 5:

Increased radius  $dR=de/fcell*exp(60)$  where de is the energy available for expansion/proton. Force resisting expansion is  $fcell = f grav*exp(90)$ . Pressure inside the cell  $p=fcell/(4\pi*r^2)$ . Temperature (T)=  $p/(nB)$  where p is pressure, n is the number density of neutrons and B is the Boltzmann constant.

### The He4 transition

The calculations for the cellular base with decreasing kinetic energy continue across the time axis until the period below is reached. The calculation column for the He4 transition at 1190 seconds is shown in yellow below. When the temperature decreases to slightly lower than  $8e8$  K, He4 fuses (due to free neutrons and reduced Deuterium photodisintegration [15]). The He4 fusion energy causes an enlarged orbit without changing the cellular base (the column of calculations below at time 1749 seconds). This is similar to a satellite being launched with kinetic energy increasing the radius.



The equal force increased radius orbit is calculated by increasing potential energy and decreasing kinetic energy.

Temp= $p/(n*B)/1.6e-13$ (K)	4.40E+09	3.40E+09
Baryon/Photon ratio for T	4.3987E+09	3.4014E+09
$R=R+dR=1.02e15+dE/(2.$	4.84E+16	6.25E+16
	5.72E-10	7.39E-10
$p/n=f_{cell}/(4*PI()*r_{cell}^2)/($	6.05E-14	4.68E-14
$F_{enlarged}=1.67e-27*V^2$	1.04E-45	6.20E-46
	6.44E+15	8.32E+15
Temperature (K)	9.82E+07	7.59E+07
r radius cell (M)	5.64E-11	7.29E-11
kinetic energy (MeV)	1.27E-02	9.81E-03
gamma	9.9999E-01	9.9999E-01
Velocity (M/sec)	1.5590E+06	1.3710E+06
$f_{grav}=mV^2/(r*\exp(90))$ (	5.9120E-44	3.5352E-44
	1167.26	1716.55
Grav const (Nt M^2/Kg^2)	6.693E-11	6.710E-11

The radius is cell radius plus the increase in energy related to fusion  $R= 8.93e-12*\exp(60)+ 1.3*\exp(60)/(2.79e-44*\exp(90))*1.6e-13=9.32e15$  M. (Each proton released 7.07 MeV binding energy= $0.23*7.07= 1.62$  MeV available).

Pressure= $f_{cell}/(4\pi*r^2)=2.05e17$  Nt/M<sup>2</sup> and  $n=\exp(180)/(4/3*\pi*R^3)$ . At this point in expansion, we know P and n and can calculate  $T=P/(nB)$ .  $T= 2.05e17/(4.42e29*8.6e-11)= 6.32e10$  K.

The Baryon/Photon ratio is calculated with  $T=3.37e10$  K and  $R=9.32e15$  M.

$Baryon/photon=(EXP(180)/(4/3*PI()*9.32e15^3))/(8*PI()/((4.31e-21*3e8)^3*(1.5*8.62e-11*3.37e10e10)^3))=4.02e-10$	
--	--

The WMAP criterion (4.4e-10) is satisfied. We will return to consequences of this calculation but focus on the expansion model. After He4 fusion the radius R is a function of kinetic energy.

### After the He4 transition

The He4 transition is an explosion (0.25 of all matter releases fusion energy) and the initial result is an increase in radius but conditions stabilize at 1190 seconds. The release of 2.55 MeV fusion energy/proton increases the temperature from 7.7e8 K to 2.05e10K. This establishes the required baryon/photon ratio.

	Neutron model start	Neutron model He4 transition	Proton model spike	Proton model now
	2.53E+14	2.64E+18	2.64E+18	2.13E+33
	10.1500	0.0993	2.6482	6.3430E-10
	E*Wke=10.15*(16.58-0.5*ln(time))		E*Wke=2.64*(28.277-2/3*ln(time))	
Temperature before He4 K=Wke/(1.5*B)	7.87E+10	7.70E+08		
R meters=8.05e12*10.15/(E*wke)	8.04E+12	8.22E+14	8.22E+14	
He4 + NtoP MeV			2.55	
R after spike=8.23e14+2.55*1.6e-13/(3.6e-42*exp(90)*exp(60))			1.14E+16	3.54E+25
Temperature after He4=Wke/(1.5*B)			2.05E+10	2.53
baryon photon ratio			3.93E-10	
	Stars light up and increase radius and T			
				2.73
				4.00E+25

At 4.3e17 seconds, the universe reaches the radius 3.53e25 meters and temperature 2.55 K. This radius will increase to 4.02e25 meters and the temperature will increase to 2.73 K after the second component of expansion is added. This is the subject of Problem 4 below.

### Consequences of Baryon/Photon ratio


The calculation above at the He4 transition gave a baryon/photon ratio= 4.0e-10. This meets the astrophysical requirement with exp(180) neutrons. This means there is no missing matter. The residuals are formed in proportion to the He4 fraction and are relative fixed (see the discussion on the point in Peebles [1]). The values below under the heading “Calculated” agree with the measured values.

	Time seconds		810	1190
	Radius (meters)		9.32E+15	7.91E+15
	Temperature (K)	7.50E+08	3.37E+10	1.71E+10
	baryon/photon ratio		4.02E-10	5.00E-09
Measured	Formulas for D, He3 and Li7		Calculated	
2.37E-05	D=4.6e-4*(B/P*1e10)^(-1.67)*1/exp(SAHA)		4.51E-05	6.68E-07
6.65E-05	He3=3e-5*(B/P*1e10)^-0.5	3.3e-5 to 1e-4	1.50E-05	4.24E-06
6.00E-09	Li7=5.2e-10*(B/P*1e10)^-2.43+6.3e-12*(B/P*1e10)^2.43		2.03E-10	8.48E-08
<a href="http://cds.cern.ch/record/262880/files/9405010.pdf">http://cds.cern.ch/record/262880/files/9405010.pdf</a>			-2.65E+00	3.67E+01
	SAHA		SAHA	



$$\text{SAHA value} = \text{LN}(4/3*((1*0.8)/((4.3E+67)/(0.5*EXP(180))))^(3/2)) + \text{LN}((0.697^2)*(8.16e8/1000000000)^(3/2)) - (2.58/(8.16e8/1000000000))$$

### Summary of expansion and temperature history

Overall, the expansion radius and temperature is represented by the following graphs.



The temperature after the He4 transition is due to heat addition from He4 primordial fusion. As expansion occurs the temperature falls as  $R_h/R$  and yields 2.801K as the current value. Orbital KE (MeV) determines the temperature ( $T=KE/(1.5*8.6e-11)$  K). The slope following the spike is  $(\text{time}/\text{time}')^{2/3}$



### Energy history summary

Energy is available at the beginning and added at two additional places in the expansion curve. The original kinetic energy of 10.15 MeV/proton comes from the proton mass model [1] [10](Appendix 2). Secondly He4 fusion releases 1.3 MeV/proton when He4 forms (called primordial nucleosynthesis in the literature). Finally, stars light up and release radiation energy. The arrows labelled reduced show the change in the energy value/proton due to expansion. The kinetic energy can be calculated from the Boltzmann relationship;  $k_e = 1.5 * B * T$ , where B is  $8.62e-11$  MeV/K.

	Release 1	Release 2	Release 3	Expanded Energy
				Now
	Initial energy	He4 fusion	Star energy	MeV/proton
R meters	8.05E+12	5.04E+15	1.20E+24	3.97E+25
		reduced	to 4.e25	
MeV/proton	10.15	→ 0.0162		2.06E-12
	He4 MeV/prot	1.3000		
			reduced	
		Star E MeV/pr	0.60	→ 1.77E-11

### Problem Resolution; Where is all of the normal matter (only 4% discovered)? What conditions existed when residual D, He3 and Li7 formed?

WMAP starts at a different radius and, as far as I can tell, does not add energy to account for primordial He4 formation (2.5 MeV). WMAP analysis used the astrophysics literature value of  $4.4e-10$  baryons/photons because it explains the measured residual isotopes. But they reduced the baryon content of the universe to a very low value (0.046) to meet the criteria. They didn't have the radius and temperature histories associated with cellular cosmology. Using cellular cosmology, the temperature and radius calculations at this transition combine in a way that yield a baryon/photon density ratio of  $4.4e-10$  with  $\exp(180)$  baryons. X is 1.0 in the following calculation, not 0.046. The critical density is  $\exp(180) * 1.67e-27 \text{ Kg} / (4/3 * \pi * 4.02e25^3) = 9.14e-27 \text{ Kg/M}^3$ .

$$\text{Baryon/photon} = (x * \text{EXP}(180) / (4/3 * \pi * R^3)) / (8 * \pi / (4.31e-21 * 3e8)^3 * (1.5 * 8.62e-11 * T)^3)$$

Overall, the baryon/photon ratio does not cause baryons to be severely limited like WMAP [4] and other documents suggest. (X=1.0)


### Problem 4; What is Dark Energy?

Observations of the universe's expansion created discussion regarding dark energy. There is consensus that late stage expansion currently is more linear than the equation  $R' = R * (\text{time}' / \text{time})^{2/3}$ . Since this equation represents conversion of kinetic energy to potential energy and is a curve, data [3] showing that late stage expansion is linear or expanding appears to violate energy conservation and require a dark (unknown) energy source. Two literature proposals (cosmological constant Lambda and quintessence) attempt to account for this unknown energy source.

This paper presents calculations indicating that energy produced by stars causes the linear expansion curve. The analysis draws on the rate of star formation and the energy they release. A calculation procedure for expansion was developed that allows one to add energy and predict its effect on late stage expansion. It was surprising that a small amount of energy has a large effect on expansion. In fact, it will be shown that the energy addition is required to match the current temperature (2.801K) since the above models ended at 2.45 K. Energy produced by stars is fusion energy and provides a physical alternative to dark energy. Concordance models use Lambda as the second expansion component but WMAP analysis concluded that there was dark energy and it was a large fraction (0.719) of critical density. The expansion curve, energy release points and associated temperature curve is presented. Analysis shows that although the density is  $9.14e-27 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , the mass fractions should be all normal matter.

### Background

Expansion and cosmology parameters are currently based on differential radiometer projects known as COBE, WMAP [3][4], and Planck [14]. They are compared to supernova data from Cmagic [3] that suggest an accelerating universe. Expansion follows  $R=R'(time'/time)^{(2/3)}$  throughout almost all of expansion. But this gives the wrong Hubble constant (slope of the expansion curve/divided by the radius at the present time). The Hubble constant has been accurately measured by many projects and is equal to  $2.26e-18/\text{sec}$  [4]). This means that a second expansion component is increasing the radius, but what causes it? The graph below shows the problem. Data suggests the upper curve but this requires an unknown energy source. The concept “dark energy” is a placeholder and the author explored the possibility that energy produced by stars is the unknown energy source.




### Exploration

The sky temperature is 2.725K. Star formation starts at about  $z=16 = (R_f/R-1)$ . The average star is about  $5e29 \text{ Kg}$  [4] but there are potentially a significant fraction  $2.49e51/5e29 = 1.2e21$  stars if their mass is  $2e30 \text{ kg}$  similar to our sun (fraction is about 0.1 of potential). The sun emits  $2.37e39 \text{ MeV/second}$  and has a lifetime of about 10 billion years. Since early star formation many atoms have moved through a well-documented solar burning cycle. Our sun is mainly hydrogen but a supernova in our vicinity



produced the heavier elements that make up the earth and other planets. Heavier elements are measured throughout the universe and NIST publishes data regarding elemental abundance.

Our goal is to determine the expansion energy available after stars form. This expansion component will be called R3. The question is can this replace what cosmologists call the Lambda component of expansion? One might think that this energy is redshifted away but in cellular cosmology expansion is driven by energy, energy related to temperature and the energy is inside the cell. We will base our estimate on stars that are similar to our sun. The first step is to determine the number of stars as a function of time.



Star energy is added starting at z=16 where stars light up [Wiki]. Papers also present the rate of star formation. Each has a surface area and in cellular cosmology the surface area is mathematically the surface of a large sphere.

The basic equation for  $\text{MeV}/\text{meter}^2 = 3.54e5 * T^4$ , where T is the surface temperature (K).

The surface area of all the stars with surface temperature 5778 K is giving off photons at  $3.54e5 * 5778^4 = 3.59e20 \text{ MeV}/\text{M}^2$  but the remaining dark sky area is only giving off  $3.54e5 * 2.44^4 = 1.25e7 \text{ MeV}/\text{M}^2$ .

Area overall sky =  $4 * \pi * 4.02e25^2 = 6.77e51 \text{ M}^2$

Calculate the average temperature =  $(1.97e7 / 3.54e5)^{.25} = 2.801 \text{ K}$ . The average temperature is a composite of T=5778 K and 2.44 K.

area (M <sup>2</sup> )	3.54e5*5778 <sup>4</sup> (Mev/M <sup>2</sup> )		
3.67E+38	3.95E+20	1.45E+59	area*mev/area
2.03E+52	1.25E+07	2.55E+59	area*mev/area
		6.77E+51	total area
Temp (K)	Temp (K)	1.97E+07	mevtotal/area total
2.44	5778	2.73E+00	$(1.97e07/3.54e5)^{.25}$


In cellular cosmology all added energy counts and the stars add a significant amount of energy. Delta E is the difference between sky temperature with stars (2.801 K) and the temperature without stars (2.45 K). These values apply to the end of expansion at 4.02e25 M.  $\Delta E = (2.801 - 2.45) / (1.5 \times 8.6 \times 10^{-11}) = 3.63 \times 10^{-11}$  MeV. This delta E increases the radius.  $\Delta R = \Delta E / F \times 1.6 \times 10^{-13} = 3.63 \times 10^{-11} / 6.69 \times 10^{-49} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-13} = 8.67 \times 10^{24}$  M.

The calculations below represent energy released by stars as a function of time. The calculation procedure is an incremental calculation using the force in the cell and the energy addition by stars.  $\Delta R = dE / F \times 1.6 \times 10^{-13}$  (1.63e-13 is an energy conversion constant).


### The expansion curve for star energy

1.19E-02	1.53E-02	1.98E-02	2.56E-02	3.32E-02	4.29E-02	5.54E-02	rgrav = 7.22e-14*9.87/ke	
6.01E-11	4.65E-11	3.60E-11	2.78E-11	2.15E-11	1.66E-11	1.29E-11	ke (MeV)	
1.0000E+00	1.0000E+00	1.0000E+00	1.0000E+00	1.0000E+00	1.0000E+00	1.0000E+00	gamma	
1.07E+02	9.43E+01	8.29E+01	7.29E+01	6.41E+01	5.64E+01	4.96E+01	Velocity (M/sec)	
1.3306E-60	7.9592E-61	4.7608E-61	2.8476E-61	1.7033E-61	1.0188E-61	6.0941E-62	Fcell=mV^2/r*(1/exp(90))	
6.67E-11	6.67E-11	6.67E-11	6.67E-11	6.6743E-11	6.67E-11	6.6743E-11		
3.67E+00	2.61E+00	1.80E+00	1.16E+00	6.72E-01	2.93E-01	0.00E+00	Z=Rfinal/R-1	1.21E+00
6.73E+24	8.72E+24	1.13E+25	1.49E+25	1.98E+25	2.74E+25	4.03E+25	R1+R3	9.30E+07
1.293E-01	1.000E-01	7.734E-02	5.981E-02	4.626E-02	3.578E-02	2.767E-02	star growth	-1.00E+00
5.82E+18	8.56E+18	1.26E+19	1.85E+19	2.72E+19	4.00E+19	5.88E+19	stars	3.69E+08
6.08E+09	2.18E+09	7.78E+08	2.78E+08	9.96E+07	3.56E+07	1.28E+07	3.54e5*2.73^4	1.00E+00
3.95E+20	3.95E+20	3.95E+20	3.95E+20	3.95E+20	3.95E+20	3.95E+20	3.54e5*5778^4	
9.3006E+50	1.5549E+51	2.5995E+51	4.3460E+51	7.2657E+51	1.2147E+52	2.0308E+52	Area sky w/o stars area	
3.54E+37	5.20E+37	7.65E+37	1.13E+38	1.65E+38	2.43E+38	3.58E+38	Area sky with stars	
1.15E+01	8.87E+00	6.87E+00	5.34E+00	4.19E+00	3.33E+00	2.73E+00	Temp with Stars	
1.14E+01	8.85E+00	6.85E+00	5.30E+00	4.10E+00	3.17E+00	2.45E+00	Temp w/o stars	
9.11E-13	1.73E-12	3.28E-12	6.18E-12	1.15E-11	2.10E-11	3.63E-11	Delta E (MeV)	
1.02E+22	3.25E+22	1.03E+23	3.25E+23	1.01E+24	3.08E+24	8.92E+24	dR=de/f*exp(60)*1.6e-13	

The radius without stars would be R1=3.13e25 meters at the present time if stars did not add energy. The calculations above show Delta E for earlier R where there were fewer stars and the associated Delta R (called R3). Adding R1 and R3 gives expansion with stars as a function of time.



Stars have a significant effect on expansion because the star Delta E (MeV) is a sizable fraction of normal expansion energy. Calculations show that this keeps the expansion curve from following the curve proportional to  $R^3 = R^3 \cdot (\text{time}'/\text{time})^{(2/3)}$  after stars. But considering energy from stars an expansion curve is produced that replaces the Lambda component. It considers the rate the rate of star formation.



### Hubble Check

We subtract the last two radius columns and divide by the difference in the last two times. The check Hubble, we divide again by R. The WMAP Hubble value was 2.26e-18/sec. The values match.

2.74E+25	4.03E+25	R1+R3	9.27E+07	Delta R
2.96E+17	4.35E+17	Delta time	2.31E-18	H=Delta R/R

### Dark Energy Resolution

Currently very little energy is required for expansion since most of the original and He4 fusion kinetic energy has been converted to other forms of energy. The energy produced by stars as they light up must be considered in cellular cosmology. Delta R expansion from star energy is on the order of  $R^3 = 8e24$  meters. The concept of dark energy was a place holder until the true cause was uncovered. Stars produce enough energy to explain observations. Photon energy released by stars flattens (or accelerates) the curve like the WMAP Lambda expansion component or the data reported by expansion model CMAGIC [3].

### Problem 5; Baryon fraction at equality


Another limitation is related to the radius and temperature where equality of radiation and mass occurs. The thought was that baryons had to be limited so that equality occurred early enough to allow development of the measured primary hot spot at decoupling. After equality waves occur. Their speed in the plasma is  $V = C/3^{.5}$  meters/sec. The wave progression radius  $R = V * \text{delta time} = 2.31e21 / (\pi * Ru) = 0.0106$  radians at decoupling [4] (pi is used because they are measuring distance in radians against the radius of the universe at that point). WMAP data was updated for 9 years as additional data came in [4]. But listen to the language in the report: “The peak at 74.5 micro-degrees K is due to the baryon-photon fluid falling into pre-existing wells resulting from Gaussian disturbances from inflation and dark matter”. Really?

### WMAP interpretation that ratio of peaks determines dark/light ratio

The WMAP limitation on baryon fraction was based on the interpretation of hot spots measured by WMAP and refined by PLANCK scientists. We will first review the WMAP data [4] reduction (a power spectrum expected from acoustic waves).

L	$L*(L+1)/2\pi*cl$ micro K <sup>2</sup>	La	$L*(L+1)/2\pi$	cl	delta temp K	radius (meters)
		0.735				5.10E+23
220	5580.1	299.32	7738.11	0.72	7.47E-05	2.32E+21
412	1681.0	560.54	27081.17	0.06	4.10E-05	1.24E+21
531	2601.0	722.95	45022.14	0.06	5.10E-05	9.60E+20
850	2500.0	1156.46	213038.79	0.01	0.00005	6.00E+20
1200	1020.0	1632.65	424496.26	2.64E-03	3.34664E-05	4.25E+20

The WMAP power spectrum for the above measurements is shown below:



## Results from cellular cosmology model:

The calculations below show the period from equality to decoupling with 1.0 baryon critical density. Equality and decoupling occur at the correct radius and temperature combinations and wave progression produces the same primary 0.0106 radian hot spot.

Radius R (meters)		8.54E+21	1.10E+22	1.43E+22	1.85E+22	2.39E+22	3.09E+22	3.99E+22	5.16E+22	6.67E+22
Z=R/R-1		4707.77	3640.74	2815.51	2177.28	1683.67	1301.92	1006.68	15.51	11.77
photon density (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	equality	Wmap calcs						decoupling →		
Temperature (K)		2.03E+04	1.57E+04	1.21E+04	9.39E+03	7.26E+03	5.61E+03	4.34E+03	3.36E+03	2.60E+03
8*PI()/((H*C)^3*(1.5*B*T)^3)		2.38E+20	1.10E+20	5.09E+19	2.36E+19	1.09E+19	5.04E+18	2.33E+18	1.08E+18	4.99E+17
Proton mass dens=1.67E-27*EXP(180)/((4/3*PI())*R^3)		9.54E-16	4.41E-16	2.04E-16	9.45E-17	4.37E-17	2.02E-17	9.35E-18	4.33E-18	2.00E-18
photon mass dens=8*PI()/((H*C)^3*(1.5*B*T)^3)		1.11E-15	3.98E-16	1.42E-16	5.09E-17	1.82E-17	6.51E-18	2.33E-18	8.34E-19	2.98E-19
dens ratio= proton mass dens/photon mass dens		1.16E+00	9.00E-01	6.96E-01	5.39E-01	4.17E-01	3.22E-01	2.49E-01	1.93E-01	1.49E-01
progression of wave (spot) at C/λ.5		2.26E+20	3.32E+20	4.88E+20	7.17E+20	1.05E+21	1.55E+21	2.28E+21	3.35E+21	4.92E+21
Spot size (radians=spot/(2*pi*R)		0.0000	0.0048	0.0054	0.0062	0.0070	0.0080	0.0091	0.0103	0.0118

3.05E+22	3.94E+22
1029.61	15.89
	decoupling →
2.52E+03	1.95E+03
4.58E+17	2.12E+17
2.09E-17	9.68E-18
2.66E-19	9.52E-20
1.27E-02	9.84E-03
1.30E+21	1.84E+21
0.0136	0.0148

## Calculation of dt


The temperature peaks called dt are in micro degrees (2.8010074 K). The thermal peaks are a function of density. There is a misunderstanding that progression of the wave causes densification. In fact the density of the universe (decoupling and slightly sooner) is recorded in the wave. The waves at that point become visible (the plasma clears). That period is recorded by radiometers but the radiation has been highly red shifted to 2.801 degrees, Density near the decoupling radius is provided by the cosmology model. This density is the key to understanding WMAP temperature anisotropy.

$$dt=2.73*(1.2*(9.14e-27/4.33e-18)^.5+1)^.333-2.73$$

Delta t (dt) is calculated from the density near decoupling compared to the final density (also critical density). Spots inside larger spots are earlier densities that are visible to radiometers in the CMB as time and the wave progresses. The following combinations of wave progression and temperature produce the power spectrum below. The important combination at decoupling yields exactly 74 micro degrees from first principles!

wave progress:	5.30E+19	1.31E+20	2.46E+20	4.14E+20	6.62E+20	1.03E+21	1.56E+21	2.35E+21
radians	0.0015	0.0029	0.0042	0.0055	0.0068	0.0082	0.0096	0.0112
Delta t (dt)		7.299E-06	1.073E-05	1.578E-05	2.320E-05	3.411E-05	5.015E-05	7.373E-05

model result dt (K)	wave R progression	wave R with harmonic	L 5.1e23/prog	L*(L+1)/2pi*cl micro K^2	La 0.735	L*(L+1)/2pi	cl cl=(dt*1e6)^2/((L*(L+1)/2pi)	delta temp K
73.73	2.35E+21	2.35E+21	217	5436.1	295.38	7536.01	0.72	7.373E-05
		1.17E+21	434	1156.0	590.75	30074.94	0.04	3.400E-05
50.15	1.56E+21	1.56E+21	327	2514.8	444.31	17025.16	0.15	5.015E-05
50.15	1.56E+21	7.81E+20	653	2514.8	888.62	125816.33	0.02	5.015E-05
34.11	1.03E+21	5.13E+20	994	1163.4	1352.41	291312.81	0.004	3.411E-05
23.20	6.62E+20	3.31E+20	1541	538.2	2096.74	700029.01	0.001	2.320E-05
10.73	4.14E+20	2.07E+20	2463	115.2	3351.22	1787946.28	0.000	1.073E-05
7.30	2.46E+20	1.23E+20	4153	53.3	5650.66	5082714.20	0.000	7.299E-06



### Result of possible baryon limitation from hot spot data

The entire equality to decoupling analysis was based of 1.0 baryon fraction of critical. The hot spots measured by WMAP were calculated. The density of the universe and how waves progress are the two variables of interest. There is no reference to dark matter in the calculation and the ratio of the first and second spots is NOT the dark to normal matter ratio (contrary to a WMAP statement). I believe I have characterized the hot spots and they do not limit baryon fraction to 0.046 fraction of critical density.

### Problem 6; Mass Accumulation

At decoupling the plasma clears and normal matter can accumulate. The first accumulation is densification into a volume that will form clusters of galaxies. The wave (velocity=C/3<sup>.5</sup>) that starts at equality and progresses to decoupling determines the first accumulation. The wave starts as high density and progresses outward. As it reaches decoupling, it determines central mass because matter inside the wavelength radius has more density than the outside radius (all gravitation is based on central mass and this defines what is central). Here is the calculation:

6.67E+22	R decoupling (M)
2.29E+04	N clusters
2.35E+21	Jeans at decoupling (M)
1.09E+47	Avg mass of cluster (Kg)

This determines the number and mass of clusters (N= 2.29e4= (6.67e22/2.35e21)<sup>.33</sup>) and mass/galaxy=2.49e51/N=1.09e47 Kg).

Mass accumulation starts at this point and the equation derived below determines acceleration (a) toward the central mass (M) for a time period (t).

<b>Touch down equation</b>	
$L=at^2/2=1/2*GM/R^2*(2R/at)^2=GM/(at^2)$	
$at^2=2GM/(at)^2$	
$a^3*t^4=2GM$	
$a=(2GM/t^4)^.333$	

Mass M can be cluster central mass 1.09e47 Kg, galaxy central mass or star central mass.

Next, the radius that “reaches out” from (a) and “pulls in” mass during the time period (delta t) is calculated:

$$R(\text{reach}) = a * (\text{delta time})^2 / 2.$$

From this the volume ( $4/3\pi R^3$ ) multiplied by the density available determines the developing central mass for this time period.

Mass moved to center = volume \* density.

The calculation is repeated, adding mass as time progresses (line 2):

4.24E+46	6.91E+46	1.09E+47	M Cluster	1.70E+02
3.67E+44	7.37E+44	1.28E+45	Mc accumulation=M+dM	
9.35E-18	4.33E-18	2.00E-18	density	
2.65E-05	1.87E-05	1.30E-05	touch dwn	
1.85E+20	2.73E+20	4.01E+20	Reach	
3.70E+44	5.44E+44	8.00E+44	Vol*dens	

However, for clusters the reach is limited to  $R=Vdt$  where V is limited to 4.4e7 m/sec (the kinetic energy of the fall cannot exceed 10.15 MeV). In addition, reach is later limited to 2.35e21 meters since that determined the central mass at decoupling. Clusters do not densify mass because they do not create an orbit. For stars, once a stable orbit is reached, expansion within the orbit stops. Recall that expansion is pressure driven. If there is no orbit, the pressure (and density) will everywhere be the same.

### Galaxy Mass Accumulation

Galaxies form by the above process except the Jeans wavelength drops. The wave progression velocity was  $C/3^{.5}$  before decoupling but after the plasma clears the speed drops to the speed of sound and the Jeans wavelength falls to approximately 1.9e19 meters.

2.4E+21	R decoupling (M)
1.8E+06	N galaxies in cluster
1.9E+19	Jeans for galaxy (M)
6.0E+40	Avg mass of galaxy (Kg)

This determines the number and mass of galaxies ( $N=(2.4e21/1.9e19)^{.33}$ ) and mass/galaxy= $1e47/N=6e40$  Kg because the Jeans wavelength determines the boundary of the central mass. Mass accumulation is from “virgin density” ( $2.49e51/\text{total volume}$ ).

### Star mass Accumulation

The process again repeats determined by waves determining the volume of central mass. The fractional Jeans wavelength (empirical)  $4e15$  meter determines the average mass of the stars.

1.9E+19	R Jeans for galaxy (M)
1.0E+11	N stars in galaxy
4.1E+15	Jeans for stars (M)
5.2E+29	Avg mass of star (Kg)

Detailed WMAP ratios give number of clusters & stars		Ratio	Mass (kg)	
			$1.67e-27 \text{ kg} \cdot \exp(180)$	<b>2.5E+51</b> Kg Universe
Taking values from table	R1+R2	6.67E+22		
Number of clusters/universe		<b>2.3E+04</b>	$((4.72e22)/1.62e21)^3=2.6e4$	← divide by 2.6e4 →
	spot (m)	2.35E+21	(Radius/spot)	
	spot*2 (m)	2.35E+21		
Number of galaxys/cluster		<b>1.8E+06</b>	$((3.17e21)/2.67e19)^3=1.7e6$	← divide by 1.7e6 →
	Jeans lo speed	1.93E+19	<b>1.93E+19</b>	(Spot/Jeans length)
	red-empirical			
	Jeans lo (m)	1.93E+19		
stars/galaxy		<b>1.2E+11</b>	$(2.67e19/5.6e15)^3=1.1e11$	← divide by 1.1e11 →
	Jeans fraction	<b>3.95E+15</b>	(Jeans length/Jeans fraction)	
<a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jeans_instability">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jeans_instability</a>				stars/universe=clusters/universe*galaxys/cluster*stars/galaxy

### Star formation rates

The cosmology model developed above in Problem 3 allows star formation rates to be calculated. The number of stars is used in calculations for expansion component R3 (Problem 4 Dark Energy). The calculation uses the number of clusters, galaxies and stars listed above.

$$\text{Stars} = \text{sum}(2.3e4 * (\text{Mc}/1.1e47) * 1.8e6 * (\text{Mg}/6.0e40) * 1.2e11 * (\text{Ms}/5.2e29)).$$

The ratios  $(\text{Mc}/1.1e47)$ ,  $(\text{Mg}/6.0e40)$ , and  $(\text{Ms}/5.29e29)$  are lower than 1 because R (reach= $a \cdot t^{2/2}$ ) calculated with acceleration (a) from the touchdown equation is limited to the Jeans wavelength since the central mass was established at earlier points in expansion (Z). As the universe expands, the central mass associated with the wavelength does not change. This leaves some mass out of reach. As stars develop, star number= sum(stars formed per time increment).



1.14E+47	1.14E+47	1.14E+47	1.14E+47	1.14E+47	M Cluster	1.70E+02
2.07E+46	2.07E+46	2.07E+46	2.07E+46	2.07E+46	Mc accumulation=M+dM	
2.80E-25	1.25E-25	5.46E-26	2.29E-26	9.08E-27	density	
1.55E-10	9.29E-11	5.56E-11	3.33E-11	1.99E-11	touch dwn	
2.35E+21	2.35E+21	2.35E+21	2.35E+21	2.35E+21	Reach	
6.33E+40	6.33E+40	6.33E+40	6.33E+40	6.33E+40	M Galaxy	
4.83E+39	4.83E+39	4.83E+39	4.83E+39	4.83E+39	Mg accumulation=M+dM	
2.80E-25	1.25E-25	5.46E-26	2.29E-26	9.08E-27	dens	
2.26E-12	1.35E-12	8.11E-13	4.85E-13	2.90E-13	touch dwn	
1.90E+19	1.90E+19	1.90E+19	1.90E+19	1.90E+19	Reach	
1.54E+05	1.35E+05	1.19E+05	1.05E+05	9.22E+04		
1.78E+20	2.30E+20	2.98E+20	3.85E+20	4.96E+20		
2.05E-22	9.47E-23	4.38E-23	2.03E-23	9.45E-24		
5.42E+29	5.42E+29	5.42E+29	5.42E+29	5.42E+29	M Star	
3.76E+28	3.76E+28	3.76E+28	3.76E+28	3.76E+28	Ms accumulation=M+dM	
2.80E-25	1.25E-25	5.46E-26	2.29E-26	9.08E-27	dens	
4.67E-16	2.80E-16	1.67E-16	1.00E-16	5.99E-17	touch dwn	
4.10E+15	4.10E+15	4.10E+15	4.10E+15	4.10E+15	Reach	
5.78E+19	6.13E+19	6.48E+19	6.83E+19	7.17E+19	Sum stars	
				3.48E+18	Stars for dt	
Stars= 1.15*sum(2.3e4*(1.37e46/1e47)*1.9e6*(3.32e39/6e40)*1e11*(2.3e28/5.2e29))						

The star numbers calculated above are used (yellow below) for calculating temperature and expansion due to star energy addition (R3). The value 1.15 is in very good agreement with the energy required to raise the temperature from 2.45 K to 2.801K and accelerate expansion. This model indicates that stars developed earlier than observations, perhaps as early as 2e6 years. But the current time is only 13.8 billion years and stars can burn for 10 billion years. Starting early still allows two generations.

4.20E+07	1.50E+07	3.54e5*2.73^4
3.95E+20	3.95E+20	3.54e5*5778^4
9.3906E+51	1.5699E+52	Area sky w/o stars area
1.71E+38	1.80E+38	Area sky with stars
3.43E+00	2.73E+00	Temp with Stars
3.30E+00	2.55E+00	Temp w/o stars
1.35E-11	1.77E-11	Delta E (MeV)
2.26E+24	4.94E+24	dR=de/f*exp(60)*1.6e-13

Another interesting value from the cosmology model is; Velocity=a\*time calculated with acceleration (a). It shows that the velocity produced by the star central mass and planet central mass is not enough to establish an orbit. This means that "solid" objects form. (Mass densification associated with clusters and galaxies form orbits from which stars develop but they themselves are not solid objects.)

### Successive densification and black holes

The cosmology model indicates that stars normally develop from virgin density (2.49e51 Kg/(Volume of universe). Densification occurs when stars falls into orbits. Successive densification can occur where galaxies form. Taking Z=20 as the reference point (where early mass accumulation has been observed), a galaxy can contain high density. New or interacting bodies can develop from the high density matter. This accelerates mass accumulation and may promote black hole development.

Z=20	Radius	Kg	Density	
R universe	1.62E+24	2.49E+51	1.40E-22	virgin (Kg/M^3)
Rfall Galaxy	2.28E+19	6.33E+40	1.28E-18	galaxy (Kg/M^3)

### Summary; Cosmological parameter comparison

WMAP parameters are compared below with the revised parameters from this document summarized in the rightmost column. The total mass/volume is  $\exp(180) * 1.67e-27 \text{ kg}/1e79 = 9.14e-27 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3$ . Baryon density is given by  $\exp(180)/\text{volume}$  at each of the radius values with no dark matter. Cosmological parameters with dark energy removed (and replaced with star photon energy) are shown below. The table shows normal matter fraction of critical density (1.0), dark matter fraction of critical density (0) and dark energy fraction of critical density (0).

WMAP					THIS PAPER	
NOW published			equality	decoupling	NOW	
4.02E+25	Inferred Radius (m)		3.89E+21	5.08E+22	4.02E+25	= R1+R3
					4.94E+24	= R3
2.26E-18	H0				3.53E+25	= rR1
8809	Temperature at equality (K)		3.48E+04		2.73	
	Photon mass density					
	Proton mass density					
2973	Temperature (K) decoupling			2668	2.73	
0.0106	Spot angle (radians)			0.0109		
0.254	baryon number density				5.473	
5.77E+08	Photon number density				5.77E+08	
4.400E-10	baryons/photon				4.00E-10	
0.235	Dark matter fraction				0	
6.57E-27	dark matter density in kg/m^3				0	
4.24E-28	baryon matter density in kg/m^3				9.14E-27	
0.719	Dark energy fraction				0	
9.14E-27	critical density				9.14E-27	
0.0464	Baryon fraction				1.000	
2.72E+77	Overall volume (m^3)			2.46E+65	2.72E+77	
2.814E-01	overall mass density			rhoC	Volume	
				9.135E-27	2.72E+77	
				mass=rhoC*Volume (kg)		
					2.486E+51	

### Conclusions

#### WMAP measured a flat universe, what does that mean?

The standard method of simulating expansion involves the Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) model [10]:

$$H^2 = H_0^2 * (\Omega_{\text{Matter}} * (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\text{R}} * (1+z)^2 + \Omega_{\text{Lambda}})$$

Where:

$$\Omega_{\text{Total}} = 1 \quad \text{WMAP result}$$

$\rho_{\text{crit}} = H_0^2 / (8/3 \pi G)$  (critical density)  
 $\Omega R(1+z)^2 = 0$  (wrong shape)  
 $\Omega_{\text{Matter}}$  separated into  $\Omega_{\text{cold dark matter}}$  and baryons  
 $\Omega_{\text{Lambda}}$  is the cosmological constant  
 $H_0 = 2.26 \times 10^{-18} / \text{sec}$  WMAP 9 year result  
 $z = (r_f/r - 1)$  where radius is the developing radius and  $r_f$  is the final radius.

$H_0$		2.26E-18	(1/sec)
$\rho_{\text{crit}}$	$8/3 \pi G/H_0^2$	9.124E-27	(Kg/M <sup>3</sup> )

Historically, the equations are written to be consistent with geometric models of the universe involving metric tensors that characterize a four dimension universe where  $ds^2 = \text{three distances}^2 + (C \cdot \text{time})^2$ . If the overall density equals critical density the universe is considered to be flat. The term flat refers to possible shapes (hyperbolic, etc.) but also means that kinetic energy is converted to potential energy (a fact that most agree on). The model is also known as the Lambda Cold Dark Matter model or the concordance model. Lambda stands for the famous Einstein constant related to the concept of dark energy. WMAP scientists believe that Hubble's constant gives the critical density  $9.14 \times 10^{-27} \text{ Kg/M}^3$ . They believe in a flat universe but added lambda, dark matter and dark energy to make the total  $9.14 \times 10^{-27}$ . **The present work shows that the reason the universe is flat is that the density is actually  $9.14 \times 10^{-27} \text{ Kg/M}^3$  but it is 100% baryons.**

### What is space-time?

Space is defined by the Proton model gravitational field  $r_0 = hC/2.801 = 7.045 \times 10^{-14}$  meters. Initially space is comprised of  $\exp(180)$  cells, each with the radius  $7.045 \times 10^{-14}$  meters. Each cell contains a neutron (that decays to a proton). The cell radius is a balanced force orbit that establishes and maintains the gravitational constant  $G = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{ Nt M}^2/\text{Kg}^2$ . The orbital radius is a function of its original kinetic energy and kinetic energy. As kinetic energy is converted to potential energy the cell (and the universe) expands. This is a function of  $(\text{time}/\text{time}')^{2/3}$ . Time is measured around the fundamental cell circumference (cycle time  $= 2 \cdot \pi \cdot 7.045 \times 10^{-14} / C = 1.47 \times 10^{-21}$  seconds). Time counts forward by repeating this cycle. The value gamma equals  $(\text{mass} + \text{ke})/\text{mass}$ . When performing orbital calculations, the orbital mass is  $\text{mass}/\text{gamma}$  (a result of special relativity).  $\text{Gamma} = (m + \text{ke})/m$  is related to Schwarzschild  $dt = 1/\text{gamma} - 1$ . Time is slowed slightly and in this regard space-time is a proper concept. Space-time expands as kinetic energy (ke) is converted to potential energy. Space-time is very close to space since the only relativity effect is gamma and it approaches 1.0 early in expansion. If particles gain a huge amount of kinetic energy gamma becomes significant (mesons and baryons entering our atmosphere and artificially in high energy accelerators).

There is a Schrodinger based energy=0, probability=1 construct (Appendix 1) associated with orbits defined by the Proton model. These orbits are circular leading to the question what curves space-time? At the quantum level a sine wave varying with time is represented by a circle with one imaginary axis and one distance axis. However, real orbits like those of orbiting stars follow curves because the cells that make up space are curved and G equivalence exists between the large and small scale.

### What is quantum gravity?

Gravity is defined and maintained by the neutron and its associated outer orbit (cell). The information we need about gravity is provided by the Proton model, cellular cosmology and the number of initial neutrons determined by probability considerations ( $1 = \exp(180) / (\exp(90) \cdot \exp(90))$ ). The Schrodinger equation is based on quantum theory and the Proton model is based on the Schrodinger equation. The Proton model gravitational field energy 2.801 MeV is a quantum value but cellular cosmology provides a bridge between small and large scales ( $M = m \cdot \exp(180)$  and  $R = r \cdot \exp(90)$ ).

### What does this model imply regarding creation?

The Proton model is anchored by the Schrodinger equation. The equation also appears to anchor properties of all mesons and baryons. This equation described by MIT as unitary evolution [15] is the basis of a broad theory. The equation gives probability  $P = \exp(iEt/H) * \exp(-iEt/H)$  where  $H =$  Planck's constant,  $E$  is field energy and time  $t$  is the time around a quantum circle at velocity  $C$ .

Probability in the left hand side of the Schrodinger equation is related to energy and time in the right hand side of the equation. Probability=1 occurs at the instant of wave function collapse. Historically observation is fundamental to quantum mechanics and the Copenhagen interpretation indicates that we can only describe the probability of an event within certain limits. If we use Shannon's definition of information (Information = -natural logarithm(Probability)), the left hand side of the equation yields information. Many associate quantum mechanical probabilities with the process of observation but some authors [13] call it consciousness. Zero energy and probability 1 appear to be initial conditions [17]. This implies that creation is based on separations from zero and 1. The Schrodinger equation requires a proper set of probabilities to represent the Proton model. **The probability 1, zero energy derivation naturally transitions from probability sets (p/p'=e/e') to energy sets that describes reality through the Proton model and cellular cosmology.**

### Where are the laws of nature?

The proton model is a manifestation of the laws of nature. Previously I thought it was static. The core of the cosmology model is repeated below but time and potential energy are added.

Potential energy + kinetic energy (MeV)	20.30	20.34	20.36
Potential energy (MeV)=.5FdR/1.6e-13	10.15	12.49	14.30
$r_0 = 7.045e-14 * 10.15 / ke$	7.05E-14	9.11E-14	1.18E-13
$ke = 10.15 * (time/time')^{0.5}$	10.150	7.85E+00	6.07E+00
$g = 938.27 / (938.27 + ke)$	9.8930E-01	9.9170E-01	9.9357E-01
$V = (1 - g)^2 * 0.5 * C$	4.3742E+07	3.8536E+07	3.3935E+07
$f_{grav} = (1.673E-27 * V^2 / (r_0 * EXP(90)))$	3.7635E-38	2.2532E-38	1.3486E-38
time (seconds)	5.16E-02	7.58E-02	1.12E-01
$G = f_{grav} * r^2 / (m/g)^2$	6.516E-11	6.556E-11	6.586E-11

Time is around the gravitational orbit  $R = hc/2.801$ . Fundamental time =  $1.5e-21$  seconds (from problem 3 above). As time counts forward, kinetic energy decreases by  $ke' = ke * (time/time')^{(2/3)}$ .

This provides the startling insight: The information in green above is inside every proton [18]. The gravitational orbit has counted time cycles from the big bang and we experience this as increasing time. Time emanates from inside the proton. The sum of kinetic energy and potential energy remain constant over time. Temperature emanates from kinetic energy in the proton and when it reaches  $8e10$  K, part of the fusion energy 10.15 is released to increase the radius of the cell. It is now low, close to 2.801K. As stars light up, their fusion energy, again part of the value 10.15 MeV, is released to once again increase the radius of the cell. The proton is the cell. Components of the proton are improbable ( $1/exp(180)$ ) but there are  $exp(180)$  cells in the universe and the universe is huge ( $r_{cell} * exp(60)$ ). Overall, the proton and interactions with other protons creates the universe!

### References

Some references can be downloaded at <http://vixra.org/>. Search at top of page Gene H Barbee.

1. Peebles, P.J.E., *Principles of Physical Cosmology*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
2. Bennett, C.L. et al. *First Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Preliminary Maps and Basic Data*, Astrophysical Journal, 2001
3. A. Conley, et al, (*THE SUPERNOVA COSMOLOGY PROJECT*), *Measurement of Omega mass and Omega lambda from a blind analysis of Type Ia supernovae with CMAGIC*.
4. Hinshaw, G. et.al, *Nine Year WMAP Observations*, draft June 5, 2013.  
<http://arxiv.org/pdf/1212.5226v3.pdf> Table 2.
5. Bergstrom, L. and Goobar, A., *Cosmology and Particle Astrophysics*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Springer-Praxis Books in Astrophysics and Astronomy, 2004.
6. <http://cds.cern.ch/record/262880/files/940501.pdf> Review of work by N. D. Schramm.
7. Patrick Peter, Jean Philippe Uzan, *Primordial Cosmology*, OUP Oxford, Feb 14,2013.
8. Barbee, Gene H., *A Top-Down Approach to Fundamental Interactions*, FQXi essay, June 2012, <http://www.viXra.org/pdf/1307.0082> ,revised Nov, 2014. Reference Microsoft ® spreadsheet Unifying concepts of nature.xls.
9. Barbee, Gene H., *On the Source of the Gravitational Constant at the Low Energy Scale*, <http://www.viXra.org/pdf/1307.0085> , revised Sept 2019. Prespacetime Journal Vol. 5 No. 3 March 2014.
10. Barbee, Gene H., *Discovery of Quantum Gravity*, <http://viXra.org/pdf/1508.0120> , Aug 15 2015. Revised Sept, 2019.
11. Barbee, Gene H., *Schrodinger Fundamentals for Mesons and Baryons*, <http://viXra.org/pdf/1710.15306>, October 2017.
12. Barbee, Gene H., *Nature and Information*, <http://www.vixra.org/pdf/1611.0302v1.pdf>, December 2016. <http://prespacetime.com/index.php/pst/issue/view/91>
13. Edwin Klingman, private communication
14. [https://web.archive.org/web/20130323234553/http://www.sciops.esa.int/index.php?project=PLANCK&page=Planck\\_Published\\_Papers](https://web.archive.org/web/20130323234553/http://www.sciops.esa.int/index.php?project=PLANCK&page=Planck_Published_Papers)
15. Search “MIT22 Evolution of Function Chap 6”.
16. D. E. Groom et al. (Particle Data Group). Eur. Phys. Jour. C15, (2000) (URL: <http://pdg.lbl.gov>).
17. Barbee, Gene H., *Creation and Schrodinger's Equation*, <http://www.viXra.org/pdf/1811.0334v1> , January 2018
18. Barbee, Gene H., *How Nature Computes*, Academia.edu, March 29, 2019.
- 19.

## Appendix 1 Schrodinger Fundamentals of the Proton model

The work below derives relationships that obey energy zero and probability one initial conditions. Everything will be created through separation. One result is a model of the neutron, proton and electron that provides insights into physics and cosmology.

Restrictions:  $P = \exp(-i Et/H) * \exp(i Et/H) = 1$  where  $Et/H = 1$ . This means we deal with the unitary point where the wave function collapses on a quantum circle. The time (t) to circle radius  $R = HC/(2\pi E)$  is  $t = 2\pi R/C$ , where E is field energy and H is Planck's constant ( $4.13e-21$  MeV-sec). We are dealing with circles that represent spheres, not translation of particles (x,y and z) like the Dirac equation.

### Components of P=1

The RHS of the Schrodinger equation will have pairs of complex conjugates  $\exp(iEt/H) * \exp(-iEt/H)$ . Each pair of components will represent waves moving through time cycles. A sinusoidal wave is

represented on a circle with a vertical imaginary axis and a real horizontal axis ( $\exp(i \theta) = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$ ). If there is mass and kinetic energy in the circles with balanced forces they are orbits with real vertical and horizontal axis. Looking ahead, four orbits in the proton mass model represent four fundamental interactions. The  $P=1$  constraint and the  $E=0$  constraint are further defined below.

**Probability= 1 constraint**

The probabilities contain exponential functions  $\exp(N)$ . The fraction  $0.431 = 1/3 + \ln(3) - 1$ .

**Probability 1 Constraint**

$1 = p_1 * p_2 / (p_3 * p_4)$  but each probability =  $1/\exp(N)$

$N_1 = 13.431$

$N_3 = 15.431$

$N_2 = 12.431$

$N_4 = 10.431$

$p_1 = 1/\exp(13.431)$

$p_3 = 1/\exp(15.431)$

$p_2 = 1/\exp(12.431)$

$p_4 = 1/\exp(10.431)$

$1 = 1/\exp(13.431) * 1/\exp(12.431) / (1/\exp(15.431) * 1/\exp(10.431))$

These N values represent  $P=1$ , but it has four probability components.

Review of natural logarithms: Multiply probabilities by adding logarithms. Find the result with the anti-logarithm ( $\exp(0)=1$ ).

P	$p_1 * p_2 = \exp(-i Et/H) * \exp(i Et/H)$
	with $Et/H=1$
multiply by adding the logarithms	
$\ln P$	$\ln(p_1 * p_2) = -i + i = 0$
P	$\exp(0) = 1$

Example of exponent sign change:

$\exp(2) = 7.39 = 1/\exp(-2)$

**Evaluate the RHS of the Schrodinger solution**

**Energy= 0 constraint**

Apply the constraint: Energy components have overall zero energy. Mass and kinetic energy are positive and field energy is negative. It will be shown that the Schrodinger equation becomes relativistic, like the Dirac equation with  $P=1$  and energy=0. The example math below is similar to Dirac's development with  $Et/H=1$ . It allows us to separate energy terms from time terms.

**Constrain Energy to zero**

$1 = \exp(itE/H) * \exp(-itE/H)$

take the natural log and divide both sides by i

$0 = itE/H - itE/H$

$0 = t/H * E - t/H * E$

take the square root. Since  $Et/H=1$ ,  $E=1/(t/H)$

$0 = (E-E) * (t/H - t/H)$

$0 = E1 - E1$

Example:

$a = 1/b$

$a = .5$

$b = 2$

$ab - ba$

$0$

$(a-a) * (b-b) = 0 \quad (0.5 - 0.5) * (2 - 2) = 0$

The example math above is expanded to give the energy =0 constraint with four components, each with matching complex conjugates.

$$1 = \exp(itE1/H) * \exp(-itE1/H) * \exp(itE2/H) * \exp(-itE2/H) * \exp(itE3/H) * \exp(-itE3/H) * \exp(itE4/H) * \exp(-itE4/H)$$

The natural log of the RHS is:

$$0 = (itE1/H) + (-itE1/H) + (itE2/H) + (-itE2/H) + (itE3/H) + (-itE3/H) + (itE4/H) + (-itE4/H)$$

Using the square root procedure above with each  $t/H = 1/E$ , we only need the energy terms that are equal and opposite. The square root also has a  $(t/H - t/H) = 0$  solution that contains inverted terms.

$$E1 - E1 + E2 - E2 + E3 - E3 + E4 - E4 = 0$$

$$E1 + (E3 + E4 - E1 - E2) + E2 - E3 - E4 = 0$$

### Evaluating E

Next evaluate E. Looking ahead, there is another meaning associated with  $P=1$ . Overall the initial condition of the universe is probability 1, meaning it does indeed exist. There are many protons, each with mass that make up the universe. Specifically:

$P = 1 = \text{probability of each proton} * \text{number of particles} = 1/\exp(N) * \exp(N)$ . The probability of each proton is  $1/\exp(N)$ . The proton itself is made of improbable components like quarks. We can evaluate the probability of particles that makes up the proton if energy is itself a probability, i.e.  $p = e0/E = 1/\exp(N)$ , where  $e0$  is a small constant.

$$p = e0/E = 1/\exp(N), \text{ i.e. } E = e0/p.$$

$$\text{With } p = 1/\exp(N), E = e0 * \exp(N).$$

$$E1 - E1 + E2 - E2 + E3 - E3 + E4 - E4 = 0$$

Identify E as  $E = e0 * \exp(N)$ , using the same N values as the LHS.

$$0 = e0 * \exp(13.431) - e0 * \exp(13.431) + e0 * \exp(12.431) - e0 * \exp(12.431) + e0 * \exp(15.431) - e0 * \exp(15.431) + e0 * \exp(-15.431) + e0 * \exp(10.431) - e0 * \exp(-10.431)$$

Mass plus kinetic energy will be defined as positive separated from equal and opposite negative field energy.  $E1$  is the only mass term,  $E3$  and  $E4$  are field energy and the remainder is kinetic energy.

$$E1 + (E3 + E4 - E1 - E2) + E2 - E3 - E4 = 0 \text{ (rearrange)}$$

$E1$  is mass,  $(E1 + E4 - E1 - E2) + E2$  is kinetic energy.

$E3$  and  $E4$  are equal and opposite field energies

$$\text{mass} + \text{kinetic energy} - \text{field energy} - \text{field energy} = 0$$

Probability 1 in the LHS gives the probability of finding mass with kinetic energy at the collapse point on the circle defined by  $\exp(iE1t/H) * \exp(-iE1t/H) * \exp(iE2t/H) * \exp(-iE2t/H)$ , etc..

### Summary

The  $E = 0$  construct was derived using the N's from the  $P = 1$  construct. We then took the natural log of both sides of the equation. The (LHS) natural log of  $P = 1$  equals 0. The RHS natural log converts the values to additions and subtractions, depending on their sign. We then multiplied each value by  $e0$  which



gives  $E=e_0 \cdot \exp(N)$  for the eight matched energy values. We rearranged the N values. We define a probability component  $p = e_0/E$  where  $e_0$  is a constant and has the same units as E. This means energy is increased by a low probability, i.e.  $E=e_0/p$ . Schrodinger's equation shows  $\exp(iEt/H)$  with the imaginary number i. Using complex probabilities on both sides of the equation eliminates imaginary numbers. The LHS imaginary numbers are eliminated because the four complex probabilities multiply with their four conjugates ( $1/1 \cdot 1/1=1$ ). The RHS imaginary numbers are eliminated because the imaginary probability multiplies with iE ( $iE \cdot i/P$ ). This gives  $E= i^2 e_0 \cdot 1/(-\exp(N))=e_0 \cdot \exp(N)$ . Energy  $E=e_0 \cdot \exp(N)$  can be high since it follows an exponential relationship but  $Et/H=1$  is maintained because each time t is corresponding low.

## Appendix 2 The Proton model

### Number of neutrons in nature

There have been several missions (COBE, WMAP [4], HSST, and PLANCK) and earlier work [5] that yield a great deal of information about the universe. Measurements and models allow astronomers, astrophysicists and cosmologists [1][5] to estimate the number of neutrons in the universe.

### Neutron components

The author found N values for neutron components based on the way three quark masses and their kinetic energies add to the neutron mass. The related information components total  $N=90$  for the neutron. They are listed in Table 1 below.

	Neutron particle and kinetic energy N		Neutron field energy N	
Quad 1	15.43	quark 1	17.43	strong field 1
	12.43	kinetic energy	10.43	gravitational field component
Quad 2	13.43	quark 2	15.43	strong field 2
	12.43	kinetic energy	10.43	gravitational field component
Quad 3	13.43	quark 3	15.43	strong field 3
	12.43	kinetic energy	10.43	gravitational field component
Quad 4	10.41		-10.33	
	-10.33		10.41	gravitational field component
Quad 4'	10.33	pre-electron	10.33	
	0.00		0.00	
	90.00	Total	90.00	Total
	Table 1		Table 2	

There is a remarkable relationship between the natural logarithms 90 and the natural logarithm 180. Information (N) is a measure of how improbable an event is. It is very improbable that a single proton will form with exactly the N values listed in table 1. The probability that it will contain the particle and kinetic energy N values is:  $P=1/\exp(N)=1/\exp(90)$ . Likewise, it is highly improbable that the proton will contain fields with the N values of table 2. Again the probability  $P=1/\exp(90)$ . Probabilities multiply and the probability of a neutron with these particles *and* field energies is  $P=1/\exp(90) \cdot 1/\exp(90)=1/\exp(180)$ .



But we know that neutrons exist. When we know something for certain, its probability is 1.0. Mass plus kinetic energy is equal and opposite field energy. Both exist and together they make up neutrons. Nature apparently creates mass equal to  $\exp(180)$  to maintain probability=1 as an initial condition.

$P=1/\exp(180)*\exp(180)$ , where the probability of one mass with kinetic energy and its field is very low but there are many neutrons and fields.

The “big bang” duplicates the zero based neutron many times. Neutrons decay to protons, electrons and neutrinos in space.

### Schrodinger’s wave functions for the neutron

Details of the Proton model are in Appendix 2 but the table above labelled “Neutron components” specifies quad 2 (one of the quarks) below:

The Proton model energy values (E) are the exponents in the MIT unitary evolution equation [22] with four parts:

The E=0 construct is below with  $E= 2.02e-5*\exp(N)$  MeV:

		mev			mev		
		$E=e0*\exp(N)$			$E=e0*\exp(N)$		
<b>N1</b>	<b>13.43</b>	<b>13.8</b>	<b>E1 mass</b>	<b>N3</b>	<b>15.43</b>	<b>101.95</b>	<b>E3 field</b>
<b>N2</b>	<b>12.43</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>E2 ke</b>	<b>N4</b>	<b>10.43</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>E4 field</b>

$E1= 2.02e-5*\exp(13.43)= 13.79$ ,  $E2= 2.02e-5*\exp(12.43)=5.07$ ,  $E3= 2.02e-5*\exp(15.43)=101.95$ ,  $E4= 2.02e-5*\exp(10.43)= 0.69$  (all in MeV).

Energy zero construct					
$E3+E4-E1-E2$					
<b>E1 mass</b>	<b>ke</b>	<b>E2 ke</b>	<b>E3 field1</b>	<b>E4 field2</b>	<b>Esum</b>
mev	mev	mev	mev	mev	
<b>13.80</b>	<b>83.76</b>	<b>5.08</b>	<b>-101.95</b>	<b>-0.69</b>	<b>0.00</b>

Overall, above:  $E1+(E3+E4-E1-E2)+E2-E3-E4=0=(E1-E1)+(E2-E2)+(E3-E3)+(E4-E4)$

Surprisingly this means mass E1 with kinetic energy (E3+E4-E1-E2) orbiting field E3 and mass+ke also orbiting field E4 with kinetic energy E2. The energy  $E2+E2= 10.15$  MeV is fundamental to atomic fusion and expansion.

### Schrodinger equation Left Hand Side:

$$P= 1= (1/\exp(13.43)*1/\exp(12.43))/(1/\exp(15.43)*1/\exp(10.43))$$

### Schrodinger Equation Right Hand Side:

$P \text{ (RHS)}=\exp(ie0*\exp(N1) t/H)*\exp(ie0*\exp(N2) t/H)*\exp(-ie0*\exp(N3) t/H)*\exp(-ie0*\exp(N4) t/H)$
---

$N1= 13.43$ ,  $N2= 12.43$ ,  $N3= 15.43$  and  $N4= 10.43$  and  $e0= 2.02e-5$  MeV.

*Proton model review*

For reference the Proton model is shown below. The left hand side defines N values for four probabilities associated with three quark (quads 1, 2 and 3) and N values that lead to the electron (quads 4 and 5). The right hand side of the table below describes the Energy=0 construct. This model shows 129.54 for the mass of the quarks. Study of mesons and baryons [17] indicated that 129.5 MeV transitions to 9.34 MeV + kinetic energy. The quark masses agree with Particle Data Group (PDG) [23] data, one with 4.36 and two with 2.49 MeV (multiples of 0.622 MeV from Quad 5).

	<b>N for Neutron Energy Interactions</b>				<b>Mass, Kinetic Energy and Fields for Neutron=0</b>						
	mass	Energy	S field	Energy	Mass	Difference	Weak KE		Expansion	Strong field	Gravitational
	ke	MeV	G field	MeV	MeV	MeV	MeV	MeV	MeV	MeV	MeV
<b>Quad 1</b>	15.43	101.95	17.43	753.29	101.95	652.03				-753.29	
	12.43	5.08	10.43	0.69							-0.69
<b>Quad 2</b>	13.43	13.80	15.43	101.95	13.80	88.84				-101.95	
	12.43	5.08	10.43	0.69							-0.69
<b>Quad 3</b>	13.43	13.80	15.43	101.95	13.80	88.84				-101.95	
	12.43	5.08	10.43	0.69		-30.45	→10.15	→10.15			-0.69
<b>Quad 4</b>	-10.33	0.00	-10.33	0.00							
	10.41	0.67	10.41	0.67				0.671 t neut ke			-0.67
<b>Quad 5</b>	10.33	0.62	10.33	0.62		0.62				-0.62	
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
	90.00	sum	90.00	sum	129.54	799.87	939.5654133	<b>0.671</b>	20.30	-957.81	-2.73
							<b>NEUTRON MASS</b>		Total m+ke	Total fields	
									Total positive	Total negative	
									960.54	-960.54	
									MeV	MeV	

The neutron energy 939.5654 MeV is constant and agrees with the PDG [23] data within many significant digits.

**Appendix 3 Orbits associated with the Proton model**

The Proton model above is a P=1, E=0 construct that defines the quarks and their orbits (unification of strong interactions listed as Orbits 1, 2 & 3 below). Orbit 4 is associated with atomic fusion.

