

# FLT PROVEN SIMPLY AND DIRECTLY

(V. 3) PHILIP A. BLOOM; BRAINEMAIL1@GMAIL.COM

ABSTRACT. No simple proof of FLT has been established for  $\{n > 2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . We devise, for  $n \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a detailed algebraic identity,  $r^n + s^n = t^n$ , that holds for  $(r, s, t) | r, s, t \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which we relate to  $(x, y, z) | x, y, z \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  holds. For  $r, s, t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  we infer that  $\{(r, s, t)\} = \{(x, y, z)\}$  by using the unrestricted variable in our identity. For  $n > 2$ , we show there exists no  $(r, s, t) | r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So, for  $n > 2$ , there exists no  $(x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Fermat's last theorem (FLT) states, for  $n > 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , that  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  does not hold for  $(x, y, z) | x, y, z \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . There is no *simple* proof of FLT for  $\{n > 2\}$ .

We argue, for  $n > 2$ , as if  $\{(x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \emptyset$  is not yet at all proven.

## 2. OUR ALGEBRAIC IDENTITY : THE BASIS OF OUR DIRECT ARGUMENT

We start a *direct argument* (not BWOC) with an *algebraic identity* we designed to be *sufficient* for implying FLT, though, not necessarily unique, our equation (1):

$$(1) \quad \left( (4q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^n + \left( (m - 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^n = \left( (m + 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^n.$$

For all integral values of  $n \geq 1$  : Term  $q$  has all positive rational values, and term  $m$  has all positive real values such that  $m > 2q^n$ .

Use  $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , respectively, to *denote*  $(4q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ ,  $(m - 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ , and  $(m + 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .

With  $r^n, s^n, t^n \geq 1$ , existing values of  $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  each is a unique  $n$ -th root.

Rational  $q$  is *legitimate*, being *sufficient* for our argument, per Prop. 3.1, below.

## 3. THE DIRECT ARGUMENT

We want to relate  $r^n + s^n = t^n$ , which holds for  $\{(r, s, t) | r, s, t \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , with the Fermat equation,  $x^n + y^n = z^n$ , which holds for  $\{(x, y, z) | x, y, z \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

We intend to show for these equations that  $\{(r, s, t) \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Establishing this equality would confirm our belief, with  $x^n + y^n = z^n$ , for  $n = 3$  as an example, that  $\{(x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \emptyset$  - - - since we have additionally established with  $r^n + s^n = t^n$ , for  $n > 2$ , that  $\{(r, s, t) | r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \emptyset$  (in Sect. 4).

### 3.1. Formal Sets Defined for Any Given Value of $n$ . :

Let  $A$  be  $\{(r, s, t) | r, s, t > 0 \in \mathbb{R}\}$  for which  $r^n + s^n = t^n$  holds.

Let  $B$  be  $\{(r, s, t) \in A | r, s, t \text{ are coprime}\}$  for which  $r^n + s^n = t^n$  holds.

Let  $C$  be  $\{(r, s, t) \in B | r, s, t \text{ are coprime}\}$  for which  $r^n + s^n = t^n$  holds.

Let  $D$  be  $\{(x, y, z) | x, y, z > 0 \in \mathbb{R}\} \supset \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  holds.

Let  $E$  be  $\{(x, y, z) \in D | x, y, z \text{ are coprime}\}$  for which  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  holds.

Let  $F$  be  $\{(x, y, z) \in E | x, y, z \geq 1 \text{ are coprime}\}$  for which  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  holds.

Let  $G$  be  $\{\frac{rs}{t} \in \mathbb{R} | (r, s, t) \in A\}$ .

Let  $H$  be  $\{\frac{rs}{t} \in G | r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ .

Let  $I$  be  $\{\frac{rs}{t} \in H | (r, s, t) \in B\}$ .

Let  $J$  be  $\{\frac{xy}{z} | (x, y, z) \in D\}$ .

Let  $K$  be  $\{\frac{xy}{z} \in J | x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ .

Let  $L$  be  $\{\frac{xy}{z} \in K | (x, y, z) \in E\}$ .

### 3.2. Formal Propositions.

**Proposition 3.1.** For any given  $n$ , with sets  $H, K$  nonempty,  $H = K$ .

*Proof.* For any given  $n$  : Due solely to varying unrestricted real  $m$ , term  $\frac{rs}{t} \in G$  or, alternate expression  $\frac{(4q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}(m-2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{(m+2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}}$ , takes every value of  $\frac{xy}{z} \in J$ .

Therefore,  $\{\frac{rs}{t} \in H \subset G\} = \{\frac{xy}{z} \in K \subset J\}$ .  $\square$

Rational  $q$  is legitimate, being sufficient for Prop. 3.1 to be true, as follows :

Irrational values of  $q$  are irrelevant because values taken by  $m, q$ , with  $q$  independent of determining Prop. 3.1, are sufficient for our proof of Prop. 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2.** For any given  $n$  with  $B, E$  nonempty,  $B = E$ .

*Proof.* Per Prop. 3.1 :  $\frac{(4q^n)(m-2q^n)}{m+2q^n} = \frac{(xy)^n}{z^n}$ . With  $q$  and  $\frac{xy}{z}$  each rational, the solutions for  $m$  are solely rational values. So,  $(4q^n)$ ,  $(m-2q^n)$ , and  $(m+2q^n)$  are each rational : The terms  $r, s, t$  are rational for which  $(4q^n)$ ;  $(m-2q^n)$ ;  $(m+2q^n)$  are existing  $n$ -th power rationals; alternatively,  $r, s, t$  is rational for which  $(m+2q^n)$  and  $(4q^n)(m-2q^n)$  are each existing  $n$ -th power rationals.

Reducing both sides of the equation  $\frac{rs}{t} \in H = \frac{xy}{z} \in K$  to lowest terms yields  $\frac{rs}{t} \in I \subset H = \frac{xy}{z} \in L \subset K$ . Note :  $(rs), t$  are coprime, and  $(xy), z$  are coprime.

Consequently,  $\{rs \in B\} = \{xy \in E\}$  and  $\{t \in B\} = \{z \in E\}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *For any given  $n$ , we determine  $\{(r, s, t) \in B\}$  uniquely.*

*Proof.* For any given  $n$ , with nonempty set  $H$ , notate taken-as-known values of  $\frac{rs}{t} \in I$  by  $\frac{v}{w}$  for which  $v, w$  are positive coprime values,  $|v \neq w$ . Thus,  $\{\frac{rs}{t}\} = \{\frac{v}{w}\}$ . So,  $\{t \in B\} = \{w\}$ , and  $\{rs \in B\} = \{v\}$ , are each determined uniquely, as follows:

Solving  $t = w$  and  $rs = v$  simultaneously with  $r^n + s^n = t^n$  yields

$$(r^n)^2 - (r^n)(w^n) + v^n = 0 \text{ and } (s^n)^2 - (s^n)(w^n) + v^n = 0.$$

Existing solutions in  $I$  are  $r = \left(\frac{w^n \pm \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ ;  $s = \left(\frac{w^n \mp \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ ;  $t = w$ .

Therefore,  $\{(r, s, t) \in B\}$  is determined uniquely.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *For any given  $n$ , we determine  $\{(x, y, z) \in E\}$  uniquely.*

*Proof.* For any given  $n$  with nonempty set  $K$ , we notate taken-as-known values of  $\frac{xy}{z} \in L$  by  $\frac{v}{w}$ , with coprime  $v, w$ , as with Prop. 3.3, per Props. 3.1, 3.2. Thus,  $\{\frac{xy}{z}\} = \{\frac{v}{w}\}$ . So,  $\{z \in E\} = \{w\}$ , and  $\{xy \in E\} = \{v\}$  are determined uniquely: Solving  $z = w$  and  $xy = v$  simultaneously with  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  gives the same result as in Prop. 3.3, viz.,  $(x^n)^2 - (x^n)(w^n) + v^n = 0$  and  $(y^n)^2 - (y^n)(w^n) + v^n = 0$ .

Existing solutions in  $L$  are  $x = \left(\frac{w^n \pm \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ ;  $y = \left(\frac{w^n \mp \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ ;  $t = w$ .

Therefore,  $\{(x, y, z) \in E\}$  is determined uniquely.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *For any given  $n$  with set  $C$  and set  $F$  nonempty,  $C = F$ .*

*Proof.*  $\{(r, s, t) \in B\} = \{(x, y, z) \in E\}$ . Thus,  $\{r, s \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

Hence,  $\{r, s \text{ are coprime} \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{R} = \{x, y \text{ are coprime} \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Therefore,  $\{(r, s, t) \in C\} = \{(x, y, z) \in F\}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

The triple  $\left\{\left((4q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}, (m - 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}, (m + 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)\right\}$  is such that  $(4q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{\frac{2}{n}}q$ . For  $n > 2$ , with  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , thus,  $\{2^{\frac{2}{n}}q \in \mathbb{Q}\} = \emptyset$ , hence,  $\{2^{\frac{2}{n}}q \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}\} = \emptyset$ .

Hence, for  $n > 2$ , equation (1) does not hold for  $(r, s, t) | r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Consequently, for  $n > 2$ , the Fermat equation  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  does not hold for  $(x, y, z) | x, y, z \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , per proposition 3.5.

QED