

Refutation of quantum arithmetic using repeat-until-success circuits

© Copyright 2018 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

We assume Meth8/VL4 where the designated *proof* value is \top tautology. The truth table is repeating fragments of 16-values, row major and horizontal.

LET $p q r s: \phi_1 \phi_2;$
 \sim Not; $+$ Or; $\&$ And; $\#$ necessity, for all; $\%$ possibility, for one or some.

Definition	Axiom	Symbol	Name	Meaning	2-tuple	Binary ordinal
1	$p=p$	T	tautology	proof	11	3
2	$p@p$	F	contradiction	absurdum	00	0
3	$\%p\>\#p$	N	non-contingency	truthity	01	1
4	$\%p<\#p$	C	contingency	falsity	10	2

From:

Wiebe, N.; Roetteler, M. (2016). "Quantum arithmetic and numerical analysis using repeat-until-success circuits". *Quantum information and computation*. v 16: 1&2. 0134–0178. pdfs.semanticscholar.org/8590/ca37e1266fbd7b58fddf8aee0258f0b93433.pdf

[R]epeat until success circuits can be used to implement a form of multiplication ...

$$\text{Assume that } \phi_1 \approx \phi_2 \approx 1 \text{ then ... } \phi_1\phi_2 = -1 + \phi_1 + \phi_2 + (1 - \phi_1)(1 - \phi_2); \quad (28.1)$$

$$((p=q)=(\%p\>\#p))>((p\&q)=((\sim(\%p\>\#p)+(p+q))+(((\%p\>\#p)-p)\&((\%p\>\#p)-q))))); \quad (28.2)$$

TNNT TNNT TNNT TNNT

$$\text{Now let us assume that } \phi_1 \approx 0 \text{ and } \phi_2 \approx 1. \text{ We can then use similar reasoning to show that } \phi_1\phi_2 = \phi_1 - \phi_1(1 - \phi_2); \quad (29.1)$$

$$((p=((\%p\>\#p)-(\%p\>\#p)))\&(q=(\%p\>\#p)))>((p\&q)=(p-(p\&((\%p\>\#p)-q))))); \quad (29.2)$$

TTCT TTCT TTCT TTCT

Eqs. 28.2 and 29.2 as rendered are *not* tautologous. This means the use of quantum arithmetic using repeat-until-success circuits is flawed and hence is refuted. We abandoned further analysis here.