

# GLOBAL MADNESS

By Peter V. Raktoe

25th March 2018

peterraktoe@hotmail.com

## ABSTRACT

Humanity is in danger, physicists are blinded by unrealistic theories/conclusions.

## INTRODUCTION

This is a fact, everything in nature is realistic. So physicists must always come up with a realistic theory/conclusion, an unrealistic theory/conclusion cannot describe anything in nature/reality. But that is not the case when you look at most theories/conclusions, they are unrealistic. And that has a reason, physicists don't know how to apply the scientific method. A theory can be substantiated with mathematics, experiments, observations and predictions, but physicists don't seem to realize that a theory must always be realistic. If it's obvious that a theory is unrealistic, then it can never describe something in nature/reality. But physicists don't care, they think that a theory/conclusion is realistic when it's substantiated with mathematics, experiments, observations and predictions. They are blinded by those unrealistic theories/conclusions, but what's worse, they intertwine other theories with unrealistic theories/conclusions (fiction upon fiction).

When you look at most theories/conclusions before Einstein, then you will see that they are realistic. Einstein changed the way that physicists think, his unrealistic theory of gravity was devastating. Einstein claimed that curved space was the reason for gravity, but it was an unrealistic theory. Einstein couldn't even visualize curved space in a realistic 3-dimensional model, so he deliberately visualized it in an unrealistic 2-dimensional model (curved flat space) and that was fraud. Einstein convinced physicists that it was realistic, physicists fooled themselves that his unrealistic 2-dimensional model was realistic because it was substantiated with mathematics, experiments, observations and predictions. And that huge mistake was devastating, physicists started to believe that unrealistic theories/conclusions could be realistic when they are substantiated with mathematics, experiments, observations and predictions. That is madness, an unrealistic theory will always remain unrealistic. Physicists changed into mathematicians, a mathematician is allowed to substantiate unrealistic theories/conclusions with mathematics, experiments, observations and predictions but a physicist must not do that. A physicist needs to substantiate a theory about something that can exist in nature, and they must always compare their theory to the things/processes in nature. But physicists prefer to substantiate things/processes that cannot be compared to anything in nature, they prefer to substantiate science fiction. Anyone who thinks that most theories/conclusions are realistic is a fool, physicists are blinded by science fiction (global madness) and that is devastating to humanity. Einstein was the biggest fool ever, and he was also a fraud because he used an unrealistic 2-dimensional model to visualize curved space. Einstein is the reason why modern theoretical physics turned into science fiction, physicists got lost in Einstein's maze 100 years ago and astronomers/etc followed them.

That maze contains things/processes that cannot exist, that maze is a matrix of mathematical fiction over reality. Physicists are blinded by that matrix, they see things that aren't out there. But that matrix also changed the way that they think, they truly believe that unrealistic theories/conclusions can be realistic if they are substantiated with mathematics, experiments, observations and predictions. So if the results are unrealistic then they will fool themselves that they are realistic, they don't realize that someone has made a mistake. Mathematics is the universal language in physics and that is necessary, but they need to talk about realistic things/processes. An unrealistic theory/conclusion must always be seen as a warning, it tells us that someone made a mistake.

## UNREALISTIC THEORIES

Let's look at some theories in modern theoretical physics, it's very obvious that they are unrealistic but physicists cannot see it.

### EINSTEIN'S UNREALISTIC THEORY OF GRAVITY

Einstein claimed that curved space was the reason for gravity, but it's obvious that he made 4 mistakes.

1.) Einstein couldn't visualize curved space in a realistic 3-dimensional model, so he deliberately visualized it in an unrealistic 2-dimensional model of curved flat space (that is fraud). Physicists fooled themselves that it was realistic, but anyone who uses or demonstrates that unrealistic 2-dimensional model is a fraud as well. But what's worse, a theory that is intertwined with that unrealistic 2-dimensional model is unrealistic as well, and Einstein's unrealistic theory is the foundation of modern theoretical physics. That is why there are so many mysteries, the mysteries are man-made and that is why they cannot solve them.

2.) Einstein didn't realize that he used two incompatible processes in his theory of gravity, he claimed that space bends and that it was also continuously twisted by a spinning celestial object (frame dragging). That combination is impossible, you can bend something when it behaves like a fabric but you cannot continuously twist it (fact). And because they already proved that space is continuously twisted by a celestial object, we can conclude that space cannot bend and that it must behave like a fluid. NASA claimed that they proved that space bends but they were wrong, they claimed that they used probes and a beam of light to prove it but that's impossible. The people at NASA fooled themselves, if you cannot visualize curved space in a realistic 3-dimensional model then you cannot visualize it with probes (fact). They might have proved that space bends in one direction, but they failed to verify if space bends in all directions. If they had used more probes then they would see that Einstein's theory of gravity was nonsense, they would see that space doesn't bend. There is a reason why light bends around a celestial object, I explained why in my Vixra paper 1508.0191.

3. Einstein claimed that space bends, but a gravitational field is a fictional sphere around a celestial object. You cannot bend the inside of a sphere (fact), so his theory of gravity is nonsense.

4.) When you transform Einstein's unrealistic 2-dimensional model of flat curved space to a 3-dimensional model, then you will get a fictional sphere around a celestial object (a gravitational field). But that celestial object pushes space away in that unrealistic 2-dimensional model, so Einstein didn't realize that there is no space inside that fictional sphere when you transform it to a 3-dimensional model. So it's obvious that his theory/model of gravity was unrealistic, but physicists fooled themselves that it was realistic.

## THE UNREALISTIC THEORY OF SPACETIME

Einstein/Minkowski claimed that space and time were merged, they claimed that time was the 4th dimension because gravity and time change simultaneously. Einstein claimed that space and time were merged in a fabric, so he changed his theory of curved space in curved spacetime. But that theory was nonsense as well, because you cannot add a dimension in a dimension. This is a fact, the next dimension cannot exist in the previous dimension. Einstein/Minkowski failed to see that gravity and time could be simultaneously affected by something else, and my Vixra paper 1508.0191 explains that they are simultaneously affected by the speed of the surrounding ether. And because a gravitational wave can only exist in spacetime, we can also conclude that it cannot exist as well. That is why those discoveries were only based on data, they forgot to prove that a gravitational wave can exist (Vixra paper 1606.0202).

## THE UNREALISTIC THEORY OF MASSIVE BLACK HOLES

Physicists are convinced that a black hole (or the center of a galaxy) is massive but they don't even know what a black hole is, they cannot see or detect anything inside a black hole but they fool themselves that it's massive. So it's obvious that they are guessing, they based that unrealistic mass on the mass and the speed of the surrounding stars. Physicists concluded that the mass of a black hole must be enormous, otherwise it cannot keep the stars in their orbit. They don't care if that mass is unrealistic, they ignore the option that it could also be empty. And that enormous mass was not absurd enough, they noticed that the enormous mass is not enough in spiral galaxies. So they came up with the unrealistic theory of dark matter to solve that problem, that theory was unrealistic as well but they didn't care. So they were not even sure if a black hole was massive, and they based another unrealistic theory on that unrealistic unproven theory. Physicists don't care if the mass of a black hole is unrealistic, and they ignore the fact that a mass becomes incompressible at a certain point. So it's very obvious that a massive black hole is nonsense, and my Vixra paper 1508.0191 explains why it's empty. Physicists ignore an important clue, a black hole or a galaxy has two visible/invisible jets and a jet can only be found at the empty eye of a whirlpool. And that is a very important clue because a whirlpool on Earth has only one jet due to gravity, so those two jets tell us that gravity doesn't apply to a black hole and a galaxy. And because a whirlpool always requires a medium we can also conclude that ether exists, so a black hole and a galaxy are invisible ether whirlpools. And those jets tells us that the ether inside that eye is continuously pushed out in jets, a black hole is black because light cannot enter it. Light has all properties of a transfer speed of a medium, it cannot enter a black hole because it's medium is missing. Light is transferred by ether particles, I explain why in my Vixra paper 1706.0367.

## THE UNREALISTIC THEORY OF DARK MATTER

The speed of certain stars in a spiral galaxy is too high, so Rubin came to the conclusion that there must be some kind of dark matter out there (something that we cannot see or detect). Rubin didn't believe in dark matter and most physicists were sceptical, but after a while the physicists fooled themselves that her theory was realistic. And that was also devastating, because physicists based other theories and research on that unrealistic unproven theory. Physicists failed to see that the definition of dark matter already tells us that it's nonsense, because dark matter can be found where it's needed and it does what it needs to do (magic).

My Vixra paper 1508.0191 explains why it's not necessary, the speed of the stars looks too high because they are dragged along by an invisible ether wave (spiral arm) and they cannot be thrown away at those high speeds because they are stuck in an ether whirlpool.

#### THE UNREALISTIC THEORY OF A BIG BANG

The Big Bang theory suggests that the enormous universe emerged from an explosion, they claim that it emerged from an unrealistic point of infinite density (a singularity). Physicists know that a mass becomes incompressible at a certain point but they don't care, they fool themselves that a point of infinite density is realistic. They cannot explain why the universe is expanding, so they fool themselves with an unrealistic theory. And that was devastating, because physicists based other theories and research on that unrealistic theory. My Vixra paper 1803.0165 explains that there was no Big Bang, the universe is expanding/growing because something is continuously creating ether and matter/energy.

#### THE UNREALISTIC THEORY OF DARK ENERGY

Dark energy is an unrealistic theory as well, it's the result of an unrealistic conclusion and my Vixra paper 1803.0165 explains why. Physicists claimed that the universe is expanding at an accelerated rate, but that conclusion is unrealistic. The data showed that some stars were further away than expected and that their light was not as bright as it should be, but that doesn't mean that the universe is expanding at an accelerated rate. Physicists didn't know why the results were that strange, but they fooled themselves that an accelerated rate of expansion was a realistic conclusion (it's nonsense). And that unrealistic conclusion was devastating as well, because physicists based other theories and research on that unrealistic conclusion.

#### THE UNREALISTIC STRING THEORY

It's very obvious that string theory is unrealistic, my Vixra paper 1801.0168 explains why. String theory is intertwined with Einstein's unrealistic theory of gravity and it's based on 11 dimensions, physicists claim that there are 7 hidden dimensions next to the 4th dimension spacetime. But I already explained that the next dimension cannot exist in the previous dimension, so it's obvious that string theory is nonsense.

#### THE UNREALISTIC THEORY OF THE LORENTZ LENGTH CONTRACTION

Lorentz was a fool as well and his unrealistic theory of length contraction influenced Einstein, Lorentz claimed that the length of an object would contract if it would speed up in a frictionless environment. That is nonsense, an object will never contract in that situation. Lorentz preferred an unrealistic conclusion because he couldn't explain what was happening, he changed the length of that object because he was convinced that time couldn't change. My Vixra paper 1612.0206 explains why Lorentz was wrong, he failed to see that time dilation is a difference in the length of the seconds. There are two constant factors in that mind experiment, the speed of light and the length of that object. Lorentz failed to see that the seconds changed in length, so he fooled himself that length contraction was realistic.

And that was devastating, because physicists based other theories and research on that unrealistic conclusion. That is why Einstein came up with his unrealistic theory of special relativity, he claimed that time was relative but it's not. Einstein's famous but unrealistic equation  $E=mc^2$  is also the result of the unrealistic conclusion of Lorentz, it's nonsense as well because it suggests that a mass requires an infinite amount of energy to reach the speed of light. So it's obvious that it's unrealistic, but physicists fool themselves that it's realistic.  $E=mc^2$  is based on two mistakes, time is not relative and a photon has no impulse at it's starting position (my Vixra paper 1708.0009 explains why).

#### THE UNREALISTIC THEORY OF A SINGULARITY

Hawking claimed that a universe emerged from a point of infinite density, a singularity. But it's obvious that it's nonsense, Hawking came to that conclusion because he believed that the universe started with a Big Bang. Hawking didn't know if there was a Big Bang, so it's obvious that he based his theory on an unrealistic unproven theory. And that was devastating, physicists fooled themselves that it was realistic and they based other theories and research on the unrealistic theory of the Big Bang. My Vixra paper 1803.0165 explains that there was no Big Bang, the universe is expanding/growing because something is continuously creating ether and matter/energy.

#### THE UNREALISTIC THEORY OF ENTANGLEMENT

Physicists believe that entanglement is spooky action at a distance, they believe that they have proved that a change in the quantum state of particles is instantaneous. They claim that it doesn't require time to cover a distance, but it's obvious that it's an unrealistic conclusion because you require time to cover a distance. That unrealistic conclusion also requires a speed that is much higher than the speed of light, that's also impossible. But physicists don't care, they fool themselves that spooky action at a distance is realistic. My Vixra paper 1707.0229 explains that they failed to see the only realistic option, that time doesn't apply to what they have measured.

#### THE UNREALISTIC THEORY OF WAVE PARTICLE DUALITY

Physicists claim that the results of the double slit experiment prove that particles can also behave like a wave, they fool themselves that it's realistic because they cannot explain what happens. Common sense tells us that it's nonsense but physicists don't care, they are all convinced that it's realistic. When a conclusion is unrealistic then you need to find out why, but physicists prefer to accept that particles are magical. They cannot explain what happens because they are convinced that ether doesn't exist, but my Vixra paper 1612.0040 explains that they failed to see 4 important clues in nature and they tell us that ether exists. When they realize that there are ether particles colliding near those slits, then they will realize that there is a double interference near those slits. That is why it looks as if those particles can behave like a wave, the particles are deflected towards the slits by the interference waves in ether (Vixra paper 1803.0262).

And the list of unrealistic theories/conclusions goes on, it's very obvious that modern theoretical physics is a collection of unrealistic theories/conclusions (science fiction).

## CONCLUSION

Physicists are lost in science fiction, they are blinded by unrealistic theories/conclusions and Einstein is to blame. Physicists need to wake up, physicists who are blinded by unrealistic theories/conclusions are a threat to humanity.