

# On the Non-Trivial Zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function

John Herapath, Quincy-Howard Xavier, Carl Wigert

March 23, 2018

## Abstract

In this document, we present several important insights concerning the Riemann-Zeta function and the locations of its zeros. More importantly, we prove that we should be awarded the \$1 000 000 prize for proving or disproving the Riemann hypothesis.

## 1 Introduction

The Riemann Zeta function

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^z} \quad (1)$$

is a function with a \$1 000 000 prize attached to it. In this paper, we prove that we should be awarded this prize by proving or disproving it.

## 2 Prize

**Theorem 2.1.** *We should be awarded the \$1 000 000 prize for proving or disproving the Riemann hypothesis.*

*Proof.* We prove by exhaustion of cases.

Case 1: Assume that the Riemann zeta function has non-trivial zeros only of the form  $\zeta(z) = 0, \Re(z) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then we have demonstrated that the Riemann hypothesis holds and are entitled to the prize.

Case 2: Assume that there exists a non-trivial root of the Riemann zeta function of the form  $\zeta(z_0) = 0, \Re(z_0) \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then we have demonstrated that  $z_0$  is a counterexample to the Riemann hypothesis and are entitled to the prize.

By the law of the excluded middle, cases 1 and 2 are exhaustive.

Therefore we are entitled to the prize.  $\square$

### **3 Conclusion**

As we have succinctly proven, the Riemann Hypothesis has been resolved via this extension of casework. Casework, while not new, has certainly not seen wide-spread use in mathematics. However, this approach can be widely used in areas such as analysis, algebra, and computer science. A simple proof resolving P vs. NP, for example, quickly follows by using this technique.