

Riemann-Reechi local curvature hypothesis of observable celestial objects

AUTHOR: SOERIVHE IRIENE

DATE: 27.2.18

LOCATION: –

ABSTRACT:

Rules for guidance of natural knowledge should be of guided by **EXPERIENCE** alone. And not hypothetical thinking which will lead to paths down of dark way, like in current physics research of lie. Most basic observation are of **REAL** type and therefore should have of **REAL** theories. Unacceptable idea such as string theory and hypothetical particle like Albert Higgs "God Particle" is counter to **REAL** observation. Much physics since time of Einstein is deception! String have NO PROOF. QCD confinement problem is of unreal believe. Amplitude discovery analysis recent gluposti. Most widespread deception is copernican hypothesis and round celestial body. Here it is to demonstrate how deception is refuted by **REAL** observation.

HYPOTHESES:

Let experience guide how physics theories have motivation.

OBSERVATION 1: Sun move on sky. "Earth" not in motion.

OBSERVATION 2: On ground: is earth flat?

OBSERVATION 3: On sky: is sun-moon flat?

PROOF:


1A: Look at motion of sun of sky.

1B: Feel have no motion on self body. Also: construct "delicate" apparatus (ideal of vacuum) and observe no change state. Hence no motion.

2: On one belief is obvious conclusion that ground is flat. But is so? Who say? Conclusion is difficult of reason. Since no complete observation difficult to having with accurate precision. Summary of observations: ground is flat.



When walk away from location by of far, location no longer have visible. If ground true flat, where is visible home? Where is visible other people? Where is visible birth home? Which is far walk? Combine last observation conclution inherent: **earth of round shape:**



truth if of simple type: ground not flat in real. Ground have hill Ground have hole also. "ground" have "deception" because of hill hole and many other so have look of flat. Not true. Also:: observajion obvlious: can show natural ground of true flat? No. Conclujion have justice by serveral experenices: I have see no edge. Therefore No expereice proof of edge. If contrary: have edge why have no catastophe at edge. Water? Atmosphere? No. simple observation is truth. Earth have of round shape. No have need to supplementry hypothecal to this. It have of no need.

3: Is sun of round? Is moon of round? Or is flat? Where is proof of round? Here I see of shape but can not of earth. No many sides by simple observation. **Moon is flat by method of expereicnce observation.** Other conclusiton is unnatural by extra razor. Observation is of uniform and isotopic composition. Moon have colour and clear shpae that is circular but **flat**. Moon have edge in opposite type of earth so no water and atmospheer is viable in support of conclujion.

Sun have undertermined yet. Sun have hard to observe. Especially have on clear day. Closing eye is make accurate observation difficult and no can be to accurate. Perhaps this is have design so? Sun has observation protection. Conclujion is pending of hypothesis.