

Using Klauder's Enhanced Quantization to set a bound to the Cosmological constant, in Pre Planckian space- as a way to ascertain the most important fundamental physics question.

Andrew Walcott Beckwith

Physics Department, Chongqing University, College of Physics, Chongqing University Huxi Campus, No. 44 Daxuechen Nanlu, Shapinba District, Chongqing 401331, People's Republic of China

Rwill9955b@gmail.com; abeckwith@uh.edu

Abstract

We are looking at comparison of two action integrals and we identify the Lagrangian multiplier as setting up a constraint equation (on cosmological expansion). In FFP 15, in the Author's presentation, one of the two compared action integrals was from Hamblen's Quantum Gravity reference, while another was given as similar to the action principle of the Hilbert idea of General Relativistic derivation of the Einstein Field Equations. What we have done is to replace the Hamblen Quantum gravity reference based action integral with a result straight from John Klauder's "Enhanced Quantization" tome. In doing so, with also a nod to Thanu Padamabhan's treatment of the inflaton, we then commence to initiate an explicit bound upon the cosmological constant. The further approximation is to use the inflaton results, and conflate them with John Klauder's Action principle for a way to , if we have the idea of a potential well, generalized by Klauder, with a wall of space time in the Pre Planckian regime to ask what bounds the Cosmological constant prior to inflation. We argue this is the most important fundamental question in physics today, for reasons we elaborate upon in the conclusion. Section 6 details my actual answers to the essay's ideas, in lieu of the creation of this answer to the cosmological constant due to enhanced quantization.

Key words, Ricci Scalar, inflaton physics.

1. Basic idea, can two First Integrals give equivalent information?

We admit this paper has some similarity to [1], what we will do is instead of using the Hamblen result of [2] as to a first integral we are instead using what John Klauder wrote in [3] as to form a first integral in order to make a 1 to 1 equivalence with the first integral associated with general relativity [4], [5] As what was done in [1] we have a 1 to 1 relationship between two first action integrals, i.e. and the idea is to avoid a point cosmic singularity, but to instead have a regime of space-time incorporating the idea of a cosmic bounce, as given in [6] with interior and exterior regimes, i.e. this also overlaps with work done by the author in [7] with the caveat that there is a barrier between interior and exterior regimes of space-time and that we are evaluating the space in the interior of a space-time bubble. Having said that. The Integrands in the two integrals are assumed to have a 1-1 and onto relationship to one another. And we will in the next section identify the **two first integrals**.

2. Now for the General relativity First integral. From [1]

We use the Padmabhan 1st integral [8] of the form , with the third entry of Eq. (1) having a Ricci scalar defined via [9] and usually the curvature \mathfrak{R} set as extremely small, with the general relativity version of , from [1]

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^4x \cdot (\mathfrak{R} - 2\Lambda) \\
 &\& - g = -\det g_{uv} \\
 &\& \mathfrak{R} = 6 \cdot \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{\mathfrak{K}}{a^2} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Also, the variation of $\delta g_{tt} \approx a_{\min}^2 \phi$ as given by [10, 11] will have an inflaton, ϕ given by [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &\approx a_{\min} t^\gamma \\
 \Leftrightarrow \phi &\approx \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi G}} \cdot \ln \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}} \cdot t \right\} \\
 \Leftrightarrow V &\approx V_0 \cdot \exp \left\{ -\sqrt{\frac{16\pi G}{\gamma}} \cdot \phi(t) \right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Leading to [1, 9] to the inflaton which is combined into other procedures for a solution to the cosmological constant problem.

$$\phi \approx \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi G}} \cdot \ln \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}} \cdot t \right\} \tag{3}$$

Here, we have that a_{\min} is a minimum value of the scale factor presumably given by [12] as a tiny but non zero value. Or at least a quantum bounce as given by [1]

3. Next for the idea from Klauder

We are going to go to page 78 by Klauder [3] as to his idea of what he calls on page 78 a restricted Quantum action principle which he writes as: S_2 where we then write a 1-1 equivalence as in [1] so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_2 &= \int_0^T dt \cdot \left[p(t) \dot{q}(t) - H_N(p(t), q(t)) \right] \\
 &\approx S_1 = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^4x \cdot (\mathfrak{R} - 2\Lambda)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Our assumption is that Λ is a constant, hence we assume then the following, i.e. a Pre Planckian instant of time, say some power of Planck Time length, hence getting the following approximation

$$\Lambda \approx \frac{-\left[p(\tilde{t})\dot{q}(\tilde{t}) - H_N(p(\tilde{t}), q(\tilde{t}))\right]}{\frac{1}{\kappa} \int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^3x} + \frac{\frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^3x \cdot \left(\mathfrak{R} = 6 \cdot \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{\aleph}{a^2}\right)\right)\Big|_{t=\tilde{t}}}{\frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^3x} \quad (5)$$

4. Filling in the details of the above using details from [3] with explanations

To do this, we are making several assumptions.

- That the two mentioned integrals are evaluated from a Pre Planckian to Planckian space-time domain. i.e. in the same specified integral of space-time. $S_2 \approx S_1$
- That in doing so, the Universe is assumed to avoid the so called cosmic singularity. In doing so assuming a finite “Pre Planckian to Planckian” regime of space time similar to that given in [1]. With reference also, to the cosmic bounce given in [7]
- assuming that even in the Pre Planck-Planck regime that curvature \aleph will be a very small part of Ricci scalar \mathfrak{R} and that to first approximation even in the Plank time regime, that to first order [13] has a value altered to be

$$\mathfrak{R} = 6 \cdot \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{\aleph}{a^2}\right) \sim 6 \cdot \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2\right) \quad (6)$$

Furthermore we can make assumptions as to the nature of the cosmic bubble, in assuming that there is a barrier between the Pre-Planckian to Planckian physics regimes so that we have a quantum mechanical style potential well, so to speak in evaluation of the [7] reference which has then if we use Klauder’s [3] notation that N represents the strength of the wall, i.e. the Pre Planckian to Planckian bubble boundary

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_0^2}{2} &= \frac{p_0^2(N)}{2} + N; \quad \text{for } 0 < N \leq \infty \\ q &= q_0 \pm p_0 t \\ V_N(x) &= 0; \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1 \\ V_N(x) &= N; \quad \text{otherwise} \\ H_N(p(t), q(t)) &= \frac{p_0^2}{2} + \frac{(\hbar \cdot \pi)^2}{2} + N; \quad \text{for } 0 < N \leq \infty \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Our innovation is to then equate $q = q_0 \pm p_0 t \sim \phi$ and to assume small time step values. Then

$$\Lambda \approx \frac{-\left[\frac{V_0}{3\gamma-1} + 2N + \frac{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma-1)}{8\pi G \cdot \tilde{t}^2}\right]}{\frac{1}{\kappa} \int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^3x} + \frac{\int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^3x \cdot \left(6 \cdot \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2\right)\right)\Big|_{t=\tilde{t}}}{\int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^3x} \quad (8)$$

$$\sim \frac{-\left[\frac{V_0}{3\gamma-1} + 2N + \frac{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma-1)}{8\pi G \cdot \tilde{t}^2}\right]}{\frac{1}{\kappa} \int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^3x} + \left(6 \cdot \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2\right)\right)\Big|_{t=\tilde{t}}$$

These are terms within the bubble of space-time given in [7] using the same inflaton potential. The scale factor is presumed here to obey the value of the scale factor given in [12]

5. Why this is fundamental. i.e. of decisive import in terms of foundational essential questions

Klauder's program is to isolate a regime of space time for a proper canonical quantization of a classical system. i.e. what we did is to utilize the ideas of [3] so as to make the identification of Eq. (7) which when combined with inflaton physics to have enhanced quantization of the often assumed to be classical inflaton, as given in Eq.(3). I.e. to embed via Eq.(7) as a quantum mechanical well for a Pre Planckian system for inflaton physics as given by Eq. (3).

In short, the scaling of our problem for a bound as to the cosmological constant, in Pre Planckian space-time, as given in Klauder's treatment of the action integral as of page 87 of [3] where Klauder talks of the weak correspondence principle, where an enhanced classical Hamiltonian, is given 1-1 correspondence with quantum effects, in a non vanishing fashion. This, in page 87 is elaborated in Klauder's description, in his Eq. 5.15 as to what he calls application of the Weak Correspondence principle.

We have utilized this same procedure as to the use of inflaton physics, and Eq.(7) where we model via example, the Pre Planckian physics regime via the idea of Enhanced quantization. We regard the usage of enhanced quantization as to the Cosmological constant as THE outstanding problem and question of physics today. And have started its application to the Cosmological constant problem, with an implied solution methodology sketched out above.

I.e. for the sake of Argument we will make the following assumptions which may be debatable, i.e.

$$\sqrt{-g} \text{ is approximately a constant} \quad (9)$$

For extremely small time intervals (in the boundary between Pre Planckian to Planckian physicboundary regime). As given in [11]. This approximation is why the author assumes Eq. (9).

$$g_{tt} \sim \delta g_{tt} \approx a_{\min}^2 \phi \quad (10)$$

6. Now for the essays actual questions, and my replies

- a. Are "more fundamental" constituents physically smaller? Not always: if inflation is correct, quanta of the inflaton field are as large as the observable universe.

The most fundamental constituent to physics, I assert is the cosmological constant, and this by the path way of Enhanced Quantization as given by [3]. The idea of what is fundamental here is independent of size, but is in terms of basic physical parameters.

- b. Are "less fundamental" things made out of "more fundamental" ones? Perhaps – but while a cell is indeed "made of" atoms, it is perhaps more so "made of" structural and genetic information that is part of a long historical and evolutionary process. Is that process more fundamental than the cell?

The answer is yes, if the Cosmos is set by the derived cosmological constant, then less fundamental processes are, by design from fitting physical parameters which are derivatives of the cosmological constant. Which here is set, as independent of actual fine tuning.

- c. Does a "more fundamental" description uniquely specify a "less fundamental" one? Not in many cases:

The answer to this, as I view it is emphatically YES. I.e. the way Enhanced quantization specified the setting of inflationary parameters, independent of presumed fine tuning.

7. Acknowledgements

This work is supported in part by National Nature Science Foundation of China grant No. 11375279. We also thank Dr. Christian Corda for recommending that this author be allowed to participate in Frontiers of Fundamental physics, as also did Johnathan Dickau in communications with the organizers of FFP 15. Finally, and not least is profound thanks to Dr. John Klauder who was a gracious explainer of the physics of his insightful reference [3] which the author bought upon return from Frontiers of Fundamental physics 15. The recommendations of both Corda and Dickau lead to the author's fortuitous introduction to the marvellous physics of Dr. John Klauder which the author thinks are of fundamental import.

References

- [1] Beckwith, Andrew, "Creating a (Quantum?) Constraint, in Pre Planckian Space-Time Early Universe via the Einstein Cosmological Constant in a One to One and Onto Comparison between Two Action Integrals. (Text of Talk for FFP 15, Spain November 30, 11 am-11:30 Am, Conference)", <http://vixra.org/abs/1711.0355>
- [2] Hambler, Herbert, "Quantum Gravitation , The Feynman Path Integral Approach", Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany, 2009
- [3] Klauder, John, "Enhanced Quantization, Particles, Fields&Gravity", World Press Scientific, Singapore, Republic of Singapore, 2015
- [4] Dalarsson , Mirjana, and Dalarsson, Nils, " Tensors, Relativity and Cosmology" , Elsevier, Academic Press, 2005, London, United Kingdom
- [5] Weinberg, Stephen, "Gravitation And Cosmology: Principles And Applications Of The General Theory Of Relativity", John Wiley and Sons, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, 1972
- [6] Rovelli, C. and Vidotto, F, "Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2015

- [7] Beckwith, Andrew, “How to Determine a Jump in Energy Prior to a Causal Barrier, with an Attendant Current, for an Effective Initial Magnetic Field. in the Pre Planckian to Planckian Space-Time”, <http://vixra.org/abs/1707.0250>
- [8] Padmanabhan, T., “Understanding Our Universe; Current Status, and Open Issues”, pp 175-204, of , “ 100 Years of Relativity , Space-Time, Structure: Einstein and Beyond”, World Scientific, P.T.E. LTD, Singapore, Republic of Singapore, 2005. <http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0503107>
- [9] Padmanabhan, Thanu, “An Invitation to Astrophysics”, World Press Scientific, [World Scientific Series in Astronomy and Astrophysics](#): Volume 8, Singapore, Republic of Singapore, 2006
- [10] Beckwith, A. (2016) Gedanken Experiment for Refining the Unruh Metric Tensor Uncertainty Principle via Schwarzschild Geometry and Planckian Space-Time with Initial Nonzero Entropy and Applying the Riemannian-Penrose Inequality and Initial Kinetic Energy for a Lower Bound to Graviton Mass (Massive Gravity). *Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology*, **2**, 106-124. doi: [10.4236/jhepgc.2016.21012](https://doi.org/10.4236/jhepgc.2016.21012)
- [11] Giovannini, M. (2008) A Primer on the Physics of the Cosmic Microwave Background. World Press Scientific, Hackensack, New Jersey, USA <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/6730>
- [12] Camara, C.S., de Garcia Maia, M.R., Carvalho, J.C. and Lima, J.A.S. (2004) Nonsingular FRW Cosmology and Non Linear Dynamics. Arxiv astro-ph/0402311 Version 1, Feb 12, 2004