

Several Treasures of the Queen of Sciences

Leszek W. Gula

Lublin-POLAND

August 23, 2018

Abstract—The Gula's Theorem. The proper proof of the Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT). The proof of the Goldbach's Conjecture. The Conclusions. Supplement—the short proof.

Key Words—Algebra of sets, Diophantine Equations, Diophantine Inequalities, Exponential Equations, Fermat Equation, Goldbach Conjecture, Greatest Common Divisor, Newton Binomial Formula.

MSC—Primary: 11D41, 11P32; Secondary: 11A51, 11D45, 11D61

I. INTRODUCTION

The Gula's theorem [2], [3] and [5] is wider than the Pythagoras's theorem.

In this work we have the proper proof of the famous Fermats Last Theorem.

The Goldbach's Conjecture is one of the oldest and best-known unsolved problems in number theory and all of mathematics. It states: Every even integer greater than 2 can be expressed as the sum of two primes. [1]

II. THE GULA'S THEOREM

Theorem 1. For each given $g \in \{8,12,16, \dots\}$ or for each given $g \in \{3,5,7, \dots\}$ there exist finitely many pairs (u, v) of positive integers such that:

$$g = \left(\frac{g+q^2}{2q}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{g-q^2}{2q}\right)^2 = (u+v)(u-v) = \frac{g}{q}(u-v) = \frac{g}{q}q = g \Rightarrow g^2 = (u^2 - v^2)^2 = (u^2 + v^2)^2 - (2uv)^2,$$

where $q \mid g$ and $q < \sqrt{g}$ and $-q, \frac{g}{q} \in \{2,4,6, \dots\}$ with even g or $q \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ with odd g . [2]

III. THE FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM (FLT)

Theorem 2. For all $n \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$ and for all $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$: $A^n + B^n \neq C^n$.

Proof. Suppose that for some $n \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$ and for some $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$: $A^n + B^n = C^n$.

If $A + B \leq C$, then $(A^2 + B^2 \leq C^2 \wedge \dots \wedge A^{n-1} + B^{n-1} \leq C^{n-1}) \Rightarrow A^n + B^n < C^n$,

which is inconsistent with $A^n + B^n = C^n$.

Therefore it must be $(A + B > C \wedge A^2 + B^2 > C^2$ [4]).

Thus – For some $A, B, C, C - A, C - B, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} A - (C - B) &= B - (C - A) = 2v > 0 \\ \Rightarrow (C - B + 2v = A \wedge C - A + 2v &= B \wedge A + B - 2v = C). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

At present we can assume for generality of below that A, B and C are coprime. Then only one number out of a hypothetical solutions $[A, B, C]$ is even. Hence we can assume that $A, C - B \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$.

Let $\{3,5,7, \dots\} - \{(2a + b)b: a \in \mathbb{N} \wedge b \in [3,5,7, \dots]\} = \{3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47,53, \dots\} = \mathbb{P}$.

Every even number which is not the power of number 2 has odd prime divisor, hence sufficient that we prove FLT for $n = 4$ and for odd prime numbers $n \in \mathbb{P}$. [6]

A. Proof 1 For $n = 4$. If $(A^2)^2 + (B^2)^2 = (C^2)^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [U^2 - V^2 = A^2 \wedge \text{odd } U - V, u - v \geq 1 \wedge 2UV &= B^2 \wedge U^2 + V^2 = C^2 \wedge V^2 = (2uw)^2 \\ = U^2 - A^2 = C^2 - U^2 \wedge U &= u^2 + v^2 \wedge u^2 - v^2 = A \wedge \mathbf{gcd}(U, V) \\ = \mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1]. \end{aligned}$$

On the strength of the **Theorem 1** we will have

$$\left[C = \frac{(2uw)^2 + (2v^2)^2}{2 \cdot 2v^2} = u^2 + v^2 = U \in \mathbf{0} \right]. \quad \square$$

A.0. Proof 2 For $n = 4$. If $(pq)^4 = C^2 - B^4$, then for some $p, q, C \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ and for some $B \in \{2,4,6, \dots\}$ such that p, q, C and B are coprime and $q < p < C$: $(pq)^4 = C^2 - (B^2)^2$.

We assume that the number C is minimal. On the strength of the **Theorem 1** we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B^2 = \frac{p^4 - q^4}{2} = \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2}(p^2 - q^2) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{p^2 + q^2}{2} = w^2 \wedge p^2 - \right. \\ \left. q^2 = r^2\right) \Rightarrow w^2 = \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2} = \frac{(u^2 + v^2)^2 + (u^2 - v^2)^2}{2} = u^4 + \\ v^4 \Rightarrow w < C, \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with minimal C . \square

B. Proof For $n \in \mathbb{P}$. Without loss for this proof we can assume that $4 \nmid B, C$. In view of (1) we will have –

For some $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and for some $C, B, C - A \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and for some $C - B, A, v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$:

$$\left[\begin{aligned} (C - B + 2v)^n &= (C - B + B)^n - B^n \\ &\Rightarrow (C - B)^{n-2}v \\ &\quad + (n-1)(C - B)^{n-3}v^2 + \dots \\ &\quad + 2^{n-2}v^{n-1} + \frac{2^{n-1}v^n}{n(C - B)} \\ &= \frac{B}{2} \left[(C - B)^{n-2} + \frac{n-1}{2}(C - B)^{n-3}B \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + B^{n-2} \right] \wedge n | v \\ &\quad \wedge (n | B \vee n | C) \end{aligned} \right] \wedge$$

$$\left[\begin{aligned} (C - A + 2v)^n &= (C - A + A)^n - A^n \Rightarrow (C - A)^{n-2}2v \\ &\quad + \frac{n-1}{2}(C - A)^{n-3}(2v)^2 + \dots \\ &\quad + (2v)^{n-1} + \frac{(2v)^n}{n(C - A)} \\ &= A \left[(C - A)^{n-2} + \frac{n-1}{2}(C - A)^{n-3}A \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + A^{n-2} \right] \wedge n | v \\ &\quad \wedge (n | A \vee n | C) \end{aligned} \right] \wedge$$

$$\left[\begin{aligned} A^n + B^n &= C^n = (A + B - 2v)^n \\ &= A^n + nA^{n-1}B \\ &\quad + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}A^{n-2}B^2 + \dots + nAB^{n-1} + B^n \\ &\quad + n(A + B)^{n-1}(-2v) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(A + B)^{n-2}(-2v)^2 \\ &\quad + \dots + n(A + B)(-2v)^{n-1} + (-2v)^n \\ &\Rightarrow 0 \\ &= AB \frac{\left(A^{n-2} + \frac{n-1}{2}A^{n-3}B + \dots + B^{n-2} \right)}{A + B} \\ &\quad + (A + B)^{n-2}(-2v) + \frac{n-1}{2}(A + B)^{n-3}(-2v)^2 + \dots \\ &\quad + (-2v)^{n-1} + \frac{(-2v)^n}{n(A + B)} \wedge n | v \\ &\quad \wedge (n | A \vee n | B \vee (n^{n-1} | A + B \wedge n | C)) \end{aligned} \right] \Bigg].$$

If $n | A \equiv 1$, then

$$\left[\begin{aligned} (n | A \vee n | C) &\equiv 1 \wedge (n | B \vee n | C) \\ &\equiv 0 \\ &\quad \wedge (n | A \vee n | B \\ &\quad \vee (n^{n-1} | A + B \wedge n | C)) \equiv 1 \end{aligned} \right] \in \mathbf{0}.$$

If $n | B \equiv 1$, then

$$\left[\begin{aligned} (n | A \vee n | C) &\equiv 0 \wedge (n | B \vee n | C) \\ &\equiv 1 \\ &\quad \wedge (n | A \vee n | B \\ &\quad \vee (n^{n-1} | A + B \wedge n | C)) \equiv 1 \end{aligned} \right] \in \mathbf{0}.$$

If $n | C \equiv 1$, then

$$\left[\begin{aligned} (n | A \vee n | C) &\equiv 1 \wedge (n | B \vee n | C) \\ &\equiv 1 \\ &\quad \wedge (n | A \vee n | B \\ &\quad \vee (n^{n-1} | A + B \wedge n | C)) \equiv 1 \end{aligned} \right] \in \mathbf{1}.$$

For some $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and for some $e, m, c, h \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ such that n, e, m, c and h are coprime:

$$\left[\begin{aligned} nemch &= v \wedge n \nmid emch \\ &\quad \wedge (h^n = C - A \vee 2^n h^n = C - A) \wedge c^n \\ &\quad = C - B. \end{aligned} \right]$$

B.1. Proof For Odd $A, B, C - B$.

For some $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and for some $e, m, c, h \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ such that n, e, m, c and h are coprime:

$$\left[\begin{aligned} c^n + 2nemch &= A \wedge h^n + 2nemch = B \wedge 2^n n^{n-1} m^n \\ &= A + B \\ &= c^n + h^n + 4nemch \wedge c^n + B = C \\ &\Rightarrow [2^n n^{n-1} m^n \\ &= c^n + h^n + 4nemch \wedge n | c + h \wedge n^2 \\ &| c^n + h^n] \Rightarrow n | emch, \end{aligned} \right]$$

which is inconsistent with $n \nmid emch$. ♠

B.2. Proof For Even $B, C - A$.

For some $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and for some $e, m, c, h \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ such that n, e, m, c and h are coprime:

$$\left[\begin{aligned} c^n + 2nemch &= A \wedge 2^n h^n + 2nemch = B \wedge n^{n-1} m^n \\ &= A + B \\ &= c^n + 2^n h^n + 4nemch \wedge c^n + B \\ &= C \\ &\Rightarrow [n^{n-1} m^n \\ &= c^n + 2^n h^n + 4nemch \wedge n \\ &| c + 2h \wedge n^2 | c^n + 2^n h^n] \\ &\Rightarrow n | emch, \end{aligned} \right]$$

which is inconsistent with $n \nmid emch$. This is the proof.

Remark 1. If $n, A \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and $B \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $n | A + B$ and $\gcd(A, B) = 1$, then

$$\left[\frac{(A + B - B)^n + B^n}{A + B} \text{ is odd} \wedge n^2 | A^n + B^n \right],$$

which is obviously. This is the remark.

Theorem 3. For each pair (u, v) of the relatively prime natural numbers u and v such that $u - v$ is positive and odd there exists exactly one a primitive Pythagorean triple $(u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2)$ and each the primitive Pythagorean triple arises exactly from one pair (u, v) of the relatively prime natural numbers u and v such that $u - v$ is positive and odd. Hence – For each equation equations $(p, q) = (u + v, u - v)$ of the relatively prime odd natural numbers p and q such that $p > q$, and of the relatively prime natural numbers u and v such that $u - v$ is positive and odd there exists exactly one the primitive Pythagorean triple $(pq, \frac{p^2 - q^2}{2}, \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2}) = (u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2)$ and each this primitive Pythagorean triple arises exactly from one equation $(p, q) = (u + v, u - v)$ of the relatively prime odd natural numbers p and q such that $p > q$, and of the relatively prime natural numbers u and v such that $u - v$ is positive and odd. This is the theorem.

IV. PROOF OF THE GOLDBACH'S CONJECTURE

On the strenght of the proof of the Goldbach's Conjecture [2], [3] and of the theorems 1 and 3 we have –

Theorem 4. For all $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$ and for some relatively prime $u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $p > q$ and $u - v$ is positive and odd: [5]

$$pq = \left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right)^2 = u^2 - v^2 = (u+v)(u-v) \\ \Rightarrow \left[\left(pq, \frac{p^2 - q^2}{2}, \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2} \right) \right. \\ = (u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2) \\ \wedge (p+q)(p-q) = 4uv \wedge p+q \\ = 2u \wedge p-q = 2v \wedge p = u+v \wedge q \\ = u-v \wedge (p+q = 2u = 8, 10, 12, \dots) \\ \left. \wedge (p-q = 2v = 2, 4, 6, \dots) \right].$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that

$$4^2 - 1^2 = 5 \cdot 3 \Rightarrow (5 + 3 = 8 \wedge 5 - 3 = 2), \\ 5^2 - 2^2 = 7 \cdot 3 \Rightarrow (7 + 3 = 10 \wedge 7 - 3 = 4), \\ 6^2 - 1^2 = 7 \cdot 5 \Rightarrow (7 + 5 = 12 \wedge 7 - 5 = 2), \\ 7^2 - 4^2 = 11 \cdot 3 \Rightarrow (11 + 3 = 14 \wedge 11 - 3 = 8), \\ 8^2 - 3^2 = 11 \cdot 5 \Rightarrow (11 + 5 = 16 \wedge 11 - 5 = 6), \\ 8^2 - 5^2 = 13 \cdot 3 \Rightarrow (13 + 3 = 16 \wedge 13 - 3 = 10), \\ 9^2 - 2^2 = 11 \cdot 7 \Rightarrow (11 + 7 = 18 \wedge 11 - 7 = 4),$$

$$9^2 - 4^2 = 13 \cdot 5 \Rightarrow (13 + 5 = 18 \wedge 13 - 5 = 8), \\ 10^2 - 3^2 = 13 \cdot 7 \Rightarrow (13 + 7 = 20 \wedge 13 - 7 = 6), \\ 10^2 - 7^2 = 17 \cdot 3 \Rightarrow (17 + 3 = 20 \wedge 17 - 3 = 14), \\ 11^2 - 6^2 = 17 \cdot 5 \Rightarrow (17 + 5 = 22 \wedge 17 - 5 = 12), \\ 11^2 - 8^2 = 19 \cdot 3 \Rightarrow (19 + 3 = 22 \wedge 19 - 3 = 16), \\ 12^2 - 5^2 = 17 \cdot 7 \Rightarrow (17 + 7 = 24 \wedge 17 - 7 = 10), \\ 12^2 - 7^2 = 19 \cdot 5 \Rightarrow (19 + 5 = 24 \wedge 19 - 5 = 14), \\ 13^2 - 6^2 = 19 \cdot 7 \Rightarrow (19 + 7 = 26 \wedge 19 - 7 = 12), \\ 13^2 - 10^2 = 23 \cdot 3 \Rightarrow (23 + 3 = 26 \wedge 23 - 3 = 20), \\ 14^2 - 3^2 = 17 \cdot 11 \Rightarrow (17 + 11 = 28 \wedge 17 - 11 = 6), \\ 14^2 - 9^2 = 23 \cdot 5 \Rightarrow (23 + 5 = 28 \wedge 23 - 5 = 18), \\ 15^2 - 2^2 = 17 \cdot 13 \Rightarrow (17 + 13 = 30 \wedge 17 - 13 = 4), \\ 15^2 - 4^2 = 19 \cdot 11 \Rightarrow (19 + 11 = 30 \wedge 19 - 11 = 8), \\ 15^2 - 8^2 = 23 \cdot 7 \Rightarrow (23 + 7 = 30 \wedge 23 - 7 = 16), \\ 16^2 - 3^2 = 19 \cdot 13 \Rightarrow (19 + 13 = 32 \wedge 19 - 13 = 6), \\ 17^2 - 6^2 = 23 \cdot 11 \\ \Rightarrow (23 + 11 = 34 \wedge 23 - 11 = 12), \\ 17^2 - 12^2 = 29 \cdot 5 \Rightarrow (29 + 5 = 34 \wedge 29 - 5 = 24), \\ 17^2 - 14^2 = 31 \cdot 3 \Rightarrow (31 + 3 = 34 \wedge 31 - 3 = 28), \\ 18^2 - 5^2 = 23 \cdot 13 \\ \Rightarrow (23 + 13 = 36 \wedge 23 - 13 = 10), \\ 18^2 - 11^2 = 29 \cdot 7 \Rightarrow (29 + 7 = 36 \wedge 29 - 7 = 22), \\ 18^2 - 13^2 = 31 \cdot 5 \Rightarrow (31 + 5 = 36 \wedge 31 - 5 = 26), \\ 19^2 - 12^2 = 31 \cdot 7 \Rightarrow (31 + 7 = 38 \wedge 31 - 7 = 24), \\ 20^2 - 3^2 = 23 \cdot 17 \Rightarrow (23 + 17 = 40 \wedge 23 - 17 = 6), \\ 20^2 - 17^2 = 37 \cdot 3 \Rightarrow (37 + 3 = 40 \wedge 37 - 3 = 34), \\ \dots$$

This is the proof.

V. SUPPLEMENT

Theorem 5. For all $n \in \{3,5,7, \dots\}$ and for all $z \in \{3,7,11, \dots\}$ the equation $z^n = u^2 + v^2$ has no primitive solutions.

Proof. Suppose that for some $n \in \{3,5,7, \dots\}$ and for some $z \in \{3,7,11, \dots\}$: $z^n = u^2 + v^2$. The numbers z, u and v are coprime and odd $u - v > 0$.

On the strength of the **Theorem 1** we get –

For some $n \in \{3,5,7, \dots\}$ and for some $z \in \{3,7,11, \dots\}$ and for some $d, k \in \{1,3,5,7,9, \dots\}$ and for some and for some $s, u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ such that $u - v$ is odd and $k > 2s$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\left(\frac{z^n + d^2}{2d} \right)^2 \right] &= \left(\frac{2k + 1 + 4s + 1}{2d} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{k + 2s + 1}{d} \right)^2 \\ &= u^2 + \left(\frac{z^n - d^2}{2d} \right)^2 + v^2 \wedge \frac{z^n - d^2}{2d} \\ &= \frac{2k + 1 - 4s - 1}{2d} = \frac{k - 2s}{d} \in \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

inasmuch as

$$[4 \mid (k + 2s + 1)^2 \wedge 4 \nmid u^2 + (k - 2s)^2 + v^2]. \quad \square$$

Golden Nyambuya proved reputedly that – For all $n \in \{3,5,7, \dots\}$ the equation $z^n = u^2 + v^2$ has no primitive solutions in $\{1,2,3, \dots\}$ with $z \in \{3,5,7, \dots\}$ – $\{3^2, 5^2, 7^2, \dots\}$. [7]

Corollary 1. For some $n \in \{3,5,7, \dots\}$ and for some $z \in \{5,9,13, \dots\}$ and for some relatively prime natural numbers u, v such that $u - v$ is positive and odd:

$$z^n = u^2 + v^2 \Rightarrow (u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2).$$

Example 1.

$$5^3 = 11^2 + 2^2 \Rightarrow (11^2 + 2^2, 44, 11^2 + 2^2).$$

Example 2.

$$17^3 = 52^2 + 47^2 \Rightarrow (52^2 - 47^2, 4888, 52^2 + 47^2).$$

Example 3.

$$\begin{aligned} 29^3 &= 145^2 + 58^2 \\ &\Rightarrow (145^2 - 58^2, 16820, 145^2 + 58^2). \end{aligned}$$

This is the corollary. This is the supplement.

REFERENCES

- [1] En.Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Goldbach%27s_conjecture
- [2] L. W. Gula, Disproof of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture, American Journal of Educational Research, **Volume 4**, No 7, 2016, pp 504-506, doi: 10.12691/education-4-7-1 | Original Article electronically-published-on-May-3,2016 <http://pubs.sciepub.com/EDUCATION/4/7/1/index.html>
- [3] L. W. Gula, Several Treasures of the Queen of Mathematics, International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, **Volume 6**, Issue 1, January, 2016, pp 50-51 http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume6Issue1/IJETAE_0116_09.pdf
- [4] L. W. Gula, The Truly Marvellous Proof, International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, **Volume 2**, Issue 12,December,2012,pp-96-97 http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume2Issue12/IJETAE_121_2_14.pdf
- [5] L. W. Gula, International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Volume 6, Issue 1, ISSN (Online): 2347–9051, January, 2018. http://ijism.org/administrator/components/com_jresearch/files/publications/IJISM_708_FINAL.pdf
- [6] W. Narkiewicz, Wiadomości Matematyczne XXX.1, Annals PTM, Series II, Warszawa 1993, p. 3.
- [7] G. G. Nyambuya, On a Simpler, Much More General and Truly Marvellous Proof of Fermat’s Last Theorem (II), Department of Applied Physics, National University of Science and Technology, Bulawayo, Republic of Zimbabwe, Preprint submitted to **viXra.org Version-3**,September,24,2014,pp-6-12, <http://www.rxiv.org/pdf/1405.0023v3.pdf>

AUTHOR'S PROFILE. Place of birth: Stare Miasto in Lublin. Month and day of birth: 3.14. Defense of the master's thesis (Faculty of Pedagogy and Psychology UMCS) entitled: Efekty Orientacji Zawodowej Uczniów Klas Ósmych (Year 1981). Professional title: MSc of techniki, the teacher. From 1987: invalidity pension. E-mail: yethi@wp.pl