

Okay, Google: A preliminary evaluation of the robustness of Scholar metrics

H Qadrawxu-Korbau¹, D Smith², K Beryllium³

¹ Department of Lexicology, University of the Yales

² Department of Hermeneutics, Oxcart University

³ Department of Semiotics, University College Birmingham Alabama

ABSTRACT

Google Scholar provides a number of metrics often used as proxies for scientific productivity. It is, however, possible to consciously manipulate Scholar metrics, for instance via copious self-citation or upload of fake papers to indexed websites. Here, we post a paper on vixra, a preprint forum, and arbitrarily cite a completely random study to evaluate whether Scholar will count this submission toward the overall citation count of that study. We publish no results, as the publication of the paper is, in this case, the experiment.

LITERATURE REVIEW

This is the part of the paper wherein we do the citation¹.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Rosen, A. *et al.* Data-driven Assessment of Structural Image Quality. *bioRxiv* 125161 (2017). doi:10.1101/125161