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Abstract—Sorting is one of the most researched topics of Computer Science and it is one of the essential operations across computing devices. Given the ubiquitous presence of computers, sorting algorithms utilize significant percentage of computation times across the globe. In this paper we present a sorting algorithm with worst case time complexity of O(n).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Sorting is not only the most researched and analyzed topic among researchers but also the most prevalent and ever present algorithm for every introductory computer science classes. As a result of its ubiquitous nature the audience it has attracted in solving it is humongous. The best worst case complexity achieved by any comparison based sorting algorithm so far is O(nlogn), like quicksort, merge sort, heap sort etc, and non-comparison based sorting algorithm is O(dn), for radix sort where d is the key size. In this paper I have developed a novel stable sorting algorithm of worst case time complexity O(n) using Combinatorics and the divide and conquer paradigm. For the ease of understanding and analysis, the whole algorithm, called Just Sort, is presented in its naive form, having separate sub routines for each of the base cases. The core subroutine of this algorithm is based on Combinatorics, a branch of Mathematics, and uses the Hash Table data structure. Hence it is termed as the Combinatorial Hash Sort sub routine.

II. MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND

Given n elements, the problem of sorting can be restated mathematically as finding the only permutation, assuming this singleton condition for stability, out of n! permutations which contains all n elements in various orders where the n elements are arranged in ascending order. Thus for a sorting problem we have n!-I incorrect outputs and only one correct output in which the elements are in sorted order. Unlike permutations, there is only one combination which consists of all these n elements. For a set of n unique numbers whose range is k, the sorting problem can be solved partially by identifying a combination out of 2^{k-I} combinations, which contains all n unique numbers. We are deriving and exploiting a constraint relationship between the set of n unique elements and 2^{k-I} combinations in our approach.

As mentioned before, the heart of this algorithm is based on the knowledge derived from Combinatorics. According to Combinatorics the total number of possible combinations of n distinct items is given by 2^n. Let there be, for instance, 5 numbers from 0 to 4. The total number of possible combinations of these 5 numbers is 32 and it is listed in Table I where the presence or absence of a number in a combination is represented in binary digit and the numbers in column headers are in sorted order. 1 denotes its presence and 0 denotes its absence in that particular combination. The decimal equivalents of these binary numbers represented by these combinations are given under the Sum column of Table I. It is easy to note that these binary numbers represented in decimal number system are continuous in nature and it is unique for each sequence. In other words, the presence or absence of a number in a sequence is reflected in its decimal sum S. Let b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3 and b_4 be the bits of these binary numbers from least to most significant bit, its decimal sum is given by

\[ S = b_0 \times 2^0 + b_1 \times 2^1 + b_2 \times 2^2 + b_3 \times 2^3 + b_4 \times 2^4 \]

In general the Sum of j^{th} row is given by

\[ S_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i^j \times 2^i \quad (1) \]

Where n is the number of elements in our collection and b_i^j is the i^{th} bit of the binary number corresponding to the j^{th} row of Table I.

We are storing the numbers in an array which are present in each combination from Least Significant Bit to Most Significant Bit, i.e. from b_0 to b_4, only if they are present i.e. if b_i=1 or its face value in binary sequence is 1, while maintaining its order from LSB to MSB. For instance the first combination has no elements and the tenth combination has 1 and 4, which is stored in an array in the exact order. We are storing this knowledge in the form of a two dimensional array and we are denoting it as Sort Table(sortable), where the outer array is indexed by the respective decimal sum S_j of the j^{th} combination calculated by (1). For instance, the 10^{th} row and 32^{nd} row of the sort table are denoted as

\[ \text{sortable [9]} = \{1,4\} \]

\[ \text{sortable [31]} = \{1,2,3,4,5\} \]

Where the term within square brackets denote the Sum column of Table I and its corresponding elements present are represented as an array within curly braces.
Equation (1) can be restated as

$$S_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i^j \cdot w_i \quad (2)$$

Where \( w_i = 2^i \) is the weight or place value of that element in the binary sequence of the \( j \)th combination or the contribution of \( i \)th bit towards the sum \( S_j \). For the scenario considered above, the value of \( n \) would be 5, as there are 5 elements the weight values \( w_i \) are stored in an array \( w \) of size 5.

\[
\begin{align*}
  w &= \{ 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 \} \\
  \textbf{TABLE I \ Combination sequences of 5 elements and its decimal sum.}
\end{align*}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Four(b_4)</th>
<th>Three(b_3)</th>
<th>Two(b_2)</th>
<th>One(b_1)</th>
<th>Zero(b_0)</th>
<th>Sum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This knowledge can be used for sorting in the following way. For instance if we are to sort an array \{4, 3, 2\} we can traverse the array and add the corresponding weights \(w_i\) to compute \(S\) cumulatively which will be equal to 28 at the end of our traversal and we can get the corresponding sequence of numbers from the sorttable whose index \(S\) is equal to 28 i.e. \(S[28]\) which has the elements \{2, 3, 4\} which is sorted. Thus we just need to traverse these elements in order to sort it.

If the numbers to be sorted are not within the interval \([0, 4]\), for instance 14, 13 and 12, but its range lies in the interval \([0, 4]\), we could consider the modulo 5 operation of the numbers and use the result as indices to store the numbers in an array, which holds a constraint that the value of an index is directly proportional to the value of the number stored in that index and hence the number stored in lesser value of index is always lesser than the number stored in greater value of index. We calculate \(S\) from the value of indices and then we could retrieve the sorted indices from the sorttable whose index is \(S\), from which we could retrieve the numbers in sorted order.

Thus we could partition the numbers to be sorted such that the range of each partition is less than the range of the sorttable and then we could sort the elements using sorttable by using the method elucidated above.

We are defining the Order of a Sort Table \(\alpha\) as the number of unique elements present in it and TABLE I is of the order 5 as it has 5 unique elements. We are using this combinatorial knowledge in the form of two dimensional array denoted as sorttable, as a pre-computed constant in our sorting algorithm. The computation time of this Sort Table of order 5 is infinitesimal, obviously, and we need not even bother computing it and it can be integrated into the libraries of programming languages. For the ease of analysis, going forward we use a Sort Table of order \(\alpha\) 10 in our algorithm, which has elements from 0 to 9, inclusive, and it takes 1024 computations which is executed under 6 milliseconds in Java Standard Edition 8.
III. JUST SORT OVERVIEW

We use the knowledge derived above in our sorting algorithm using divide and conquer paradigm by partitioning the numbers into buckets whose size is equal to the Order of Sort Table $\alpha$. Let $A[n]$ denote an array of $n$ elements and $R$ be the range of the numbers in it. The primary data structure used by this sorting algorithm is Hash table. The size of the Hash table $\beta$ is determined by the range $R$ of the numbers to be sorted and the Order of the Sort Table $\alpha$. Each entry of the Hash table represents a bucket. Therefore the size of the Hash table $\beta$ is equal to the number of buckets. We divide the Range $R$ into $k$ buckets of size $\alpha$. Thus each entry of the Hash Table $H$ corresponds to a bucket. We define a suitable hash function involving $\alpha$ and place each element of the array in its corresponding bucket.

$$\text{Size of Hash Table} = \frac{\text{Range}}{\text{Order of the Sort Table}}$$

$$\beta = \frac{R}{\alpha}$$

The hash function $f(A[i])$ is given by

$$f(A[i]) = A[i]/\alpha \quad (4)$$

where the operator ‘/’ in (4) denotes integer division and $A[i]$ is the $i^{th}$ element of array $A$ and the above division is integer division and it just gives the quotient excluding the remainder.

It is obvious that the space complexity of this algorithm is dependent on the range of the numbers to be sorted. In order to minimize the space complexity we first separate the numbers into groups, where every number in a group has same number of digits. If necessary we further divide the groups as per our space constraints. We then sort each group separately and then merge them in the end.

**Algorithm 1. Range Reduction**

**Input:** $A[1 \ldots n]$

**Output:** Array of arrays(or list of lists) $B$

1: $i = 0$
2: $k = 0$
3: While $i \neq n$
4: \hspace{1em} $k = A[i]/10$
5: \hspace{1em} Add $A[i]$ to $B$ based on $k$ value
6: \hspace{1em} $i++$
7: end while
8: return $B$

While we are traversing each element of the array, we identify the corresponding bucket using the hash function given by (4) and we calculate the sum $S_{f(A[i])}$ for that bucket simultaneously by adding the respective weight $w_{f(i)}$ to that bucket’s sum $S_{f(A[i])}$, where $f(i)$ is given by the number modulo $\alpha$ in (5).

$$f(i) = A[i] \mod \alpha \quad (5)$$

We use this sum $S_{f(A[i])}$ to retrieve the corresponding array from the sortable whose values denote the sorted indices of the elements present in that particular bucket. While traversing the numbers, we insert the active buckets, i.e. the bucket which contains atleast one element in it, into a list denoted as keys. We then sort keys using the algorithm keyssort. It is evident that the total number of active buckets is always lesser than or equal to the total number of element in the array $A[n]$ and as a result the time complexity of sorting the keys, which is a list of active buckets, is always lesser than or equal to the time complexity of sorting $A[n]$. Thus we are placing the numbers to be sorted into its corresponding buckets while computing the sum $S_{f(A[i])}$ for every active bucket simultaneously during the traversal and we are sorting keys, which is the list of active buckets, using keyssort algorithm recursively, which internally calls the algorithm Combinatorial hashsort. We traverse the list keys whose elements are now sorted, i.e. it contains active buckets in sorted order, and with the help of the sum $S_{f(A[i])}$ calculated for each active bucket, we retrieve the sorted indices for each active bucket present in keys using the algorithm Combinatorial hashsort_d, and from those indices we are retrieving the numbers present in that active bucket in sorted order and storing it in a list. We then merge these lists which contains the elements in sorted order.

This algorithm comprises of three subroutines which are called internally by the main algorithm JUST SORT.
A. Keysort

This subroutine sorts the active buckets recursively and its output consist of a list which consists of the active buckets in sorted order. The input of this sub routine consists of unique elements as it is evident that the buckets are unique and it calls the Combinatorial Hash Sort sub routine internally.

B. Combinatorial Hash Sort

The input of this subroutine consists of unique numbers whose range is always lesser than or equal to the order of the sortable $\alpha$ and it is invoked by Keysort subroutine. Thus the maximum number of elements passed as its input never exceeds the order of the sortable $\alpha$. This is the key subroutine of this algorithm and its input elements are traversed and its sum $S$ is computed and then the sorted indices are retrieved from the sortable. This algorithm exploits the key idea discussed in section II.

C. Combinatorial Hash Sort_d

This subroutine is similar to the above sub routine but it handles duplicate elements in its input. This is invoked by the main algorithm to sort the elements in each active bucket.

Each entry of the hash table is associated with a list whose head and tail pointers are maintained so as to merge two list in $O(1)$ time. Other list operations like Insert are also completed in $O(1)$ time. The order of the sortable used in the following sections is 10.

IV. CORRECTNESS

The line by line explanation of the subroutines of this algorithm is as follows

A. Just Sort

In lines 1 to 3, the size of the hash table $H$ is determined.

We are maintaining an array, $C_0$, of size $k$ whose purpose is to track the presence of active buckets followed by its insertion into the list of active buckets $L$ and by default all its entries are initialized to 0. Once an element is insert into $L$ at line 7, the entry of $C_0$ corresponding to that particular active bucket is assigned a value of 1 in line 8. The input array $A[1...n]$ is traversed in lines 4 to 11. The variable key in line 5 denotes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm 2. Just Sort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input :</strong> $A[1...n]$, HashTable $H[0...k]$, $C_0[0...k]$, List $L$, max, min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output :</strong> List $result$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: $k = max-min$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: $start = min/10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: $k = k/10 +1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: <strong>for</strong> $i = 1$ to $A.length$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: key = $(A[i]/10) - start$ //we are shifting index range of $H$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: <strong>if</strong> $C_0[key] = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: <strong>LIST-INSERT</strong> $(L, key)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: $C_0[ key ] = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: <strong>end if</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: <strong>INSERT</strong> $(H, key, A[i])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>end for</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: keys = <strong>keysort</strong> $(L, k)$ //keys are sorted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13: temp = keys.head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14: <strong>while</strong> temp $\neq$ null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15: templist = <strong>combinatorialhashsort_d</strong> $(H[temp.value])$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16: result = <strong>LIST-MERGE</strong> $(result, templist)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17: temp = temp.next</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>end while</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the hash function given by equation (4) and it denotes a bucket. The range is shifted by subtracting the variable \(\text{start}\) from \(\text{key}\) so as to shift the range towards zero. In line 6 the value of \(C[\text{key}]\) is checked and if it is equal to 0, which indicates the absence of a bucket, that particular \(\text{key}\) value is inserted into list \(L\) which contains all active buckets. In line 8 the value of \(C[\text{key}]\) is set to 1 and it indicates that the bucket denoted by its index is added to List \(L\). The element \(A[i]\) is inserted into the hash table \(H\) in line 8, at the entry denoted by \(\text{key}\). In line 12 the \text{Keysort} algorithm is called internally and its output is assigned to the variable \(\text{keys}\) which is a sorted list of active buckets, i.e. the active indices of the hash table \(H\) in sorted order. In lines 14 to 18, for each node of list \(L\), the \text{Combinatorialhashsort}\_d sub routine is called internally and the output list is merged with the result list which gives the sorted elements of \(A[1...n]\).

B. \text{Keysort}

This algorithm sorts the list of active buckets \(L\) using \text{Combinatorialhashsort} sub routine by portioning the list \(L\) into buckets of size \(\alpha\) similar to \text{Just Sort} and it recursively calls itself until the range is lesser than 10, which is the order of the sortable \(\alpha\) and then the recursive calls stop. Its input consists of list \(L\) and its range \(k\). If the value of \(k\) is

\begin{verbatim}
Algorithm 3. Key Sort

Input : Hashable \(H[0 \ldots k]\), \(C[0 \ldots k]\),
List \(L\), \(L_\text{s}\), \(k\),
Output : List result
1: if \(k > 9\)
2: \(k = k/10 + 1\)
3: \(\text{temp} = L.\text{head}\)
4: while \(\text{temp} \neq \text{null}\)
5: \(\text{key} = \text{temp}.\text{value} / 10\)
6: if \(C[\text{key}] = 0\)
7: \(\text{LIST-INSERT}(\ L_\text{s}, \text{key})\)
8: \(C[\text{key}] = 1\)
9: end if
10: \(\text{INSERT}(H, \text{key}, \text{temp}.\text{value})\)
11: \(\text{temp} = \text{temp}.\text{next}\)
12: end while
13: \(L_\text{s} = \text{keysort}(L_\text{s}, k)\) //\(L_\text{s}\) is now sorted
14: else
15: \(L_\text{s} = \text{combinatorialhashsort}(L_\text{s}, k)\) //\(L_\text{s}\) is now sorted
16: return \(L_\text{s}\)
17: end if else
18: \(\text{temp} = L_\text{s}.\text{head}\)
19: while \(\text{temp} \neq \text{null}\)
20: \(\text{templist} = \text{combinatorialhashsort}(H[\ \text{temp}.\text{value}])\)
21: \(\text{result} = \text{LIST-MERGE}(\text{result}, \text{templist})\)
22: \(\text{temp} = \text{temp}.\text{next}\)
23: end while // result now has elements of \(L\) in sorted order
24: return result
\end{verbatim}

lesser or equal to 9, then lines 2 to 13 are skipped and \text{Combinatorialhashsort} sub routine is called directly as in line 15, else the value of \(k\) is updated in line 2 and List \(L\) is traversed in lines 4 to 12. Similar to \text{Just Sort} a variable \(C[0 \ldots k]\) is maintained to keep track of active buckets and the the active buckets are inserted into the list \(L_\text{s}\). The value of \(A[i]\) is inserted into the hash table \(H[0 \ldots k]\). \(L_\text{s}\) at lines 13 and 15 has elements in sorted order. In lines 18 to 23 the list \(L_\text{s}\) is traversed and for each entry the \text{Combinatorialhashsort} sub routine is called and the resulting list is merged with the list \(\text{result}\). At line 24 the \(\text{result}\) list is returned which consists of the active buckets in sorted order.

C. Combinatorial HashSort

This subroutine traverses its input elements in lines 2 to 7. The range of the input elements is always less than 10, as \(\alpha\) is equal to 10. The \(\text{key}\) value is computed using equation (5) in line 4 and it is inserted accordingly in Hashable \(H[0 \ldots 10]\) which is an array of size 10 in this sub routine. The sum value is calculated by the variable \(C\) cumulatively. The sorted active indices of Hashable \(H[0 \ldots 10]\) are retrieved in line 8 from sortable and assigned to the variable \(\text{ind}\) and the elements of \(H[0 \ldots 10]\) are populated into the list \(\text{result}\) in sorted order in lines 9 to 11. This \(\text{result}\) list is returned in line 12. Thus the
elements are traversed, its decimal sum is computed for the combination of input list \( L \) and the elements are populated in sorted order using the index array returned by the \( sortable[C] \).

Algorithm 4. Combinatorial HashSort

Input : Hashable \( H [0 \ldots 10] \), \( C_w[10] \), List \( L \)
Output : List result

1: \( C = 0 \)
2: \( \text{temp} = \text{L.head} \)
3: While \( \text{temp} \neq \text{null} \)
4: \( \text{key} = \text{temp.value} \mod 10 \)
5: \( C = C + C_w[\text{key}] \)
6: \( H[\text{key}] = \text{temp.value} \)
7: \( \text{temp} = \text{temp.next} \)
8: end while
9: \( \text{ind} = \text{sortable}[C] \) // ind array contains sorted indices
10: for \( i = 0 \) to \( \text{ind.length} \)
11: \( \text{LIST-INSERT}(\text{result},H[\text{ind}[i]]) \)
12: end for // result contains elements of \( L \) in sorted order
13: return \( \text{result} \)

D. Combinatorial HashSort_d

This subroutine is similar to the above sub routine except that it handles duplicate elements. This is called by the main algorithm and each entry of the hash table \( H[0 \ldots 10] \) contains a list with its head and tail pointers.

The elements are placed initially in its respective buckets using the hash function given by equation (4). The list of active buckets, i.e. \( L \) is populated when an element is inserted into a bucket for the first time. The active buckets are sorted by the Keysort sub routine and it returns a list \( keys \) which contains elements of \( L \) in sorted order. The list \( keys \) returned by Keysort is traversed and the elements in those active buckets are sorted using CombinatorialHashsort_d and the results are merged into list \( result \) which contains the elements of the array \( A[1\ldots n] \) in sorted order.

Algorithm 5. Combinatorial HashSort_d

Input : Hashable \( H [0 \ldots 10] \), \( C_1 [10] \), \( C_w[10] \), List \( L \)
Output : List result

1: \( C = 0 \)
2: \( \text{temp} = \text{L.head} \)
3: while \( \text{temp} \neq \text{null} \)
4: \( \text{key} = \text{temp.value} \mod 10 \)
5: if \( C_1[\text{key}] = 0 \)
6: \( C = C + C_w[\text{key}] \)
7: \( C_1[\text{key}] = 1 \)
8: end if
9: \( \text{INSERT}(H,\text{key},\text{temp.value}) \)
10: \( \text{temp} = \text{temp.next} \)
11: end while
12: \( \text{ind} = \text{sortable}[C] \) // ind array now contains sorted indices of keys
13: for \( i = 1 \) to \( \text{ind.length} \)
14: if \( H[\text{ind}[i]] \) contains floats, discretize it and call Just Sort internally and then retransform it to get sorted float values.
15: \( \text{result} = \text{LIST-MERGE}(\text{result},H[\text{ind}[i]]) \)
16: end for // now result contains elements of \( L \) in sorted order
17: return \( \text{result} \)
V. ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS

Let us analyze the algorithm asymptotically starting from smaller subroutines or the internally used subroutines.

A. Combinatorial HashSort_d

Let the input list \( L \) of the subroutine contain \( n \) elements. It is evident that lines 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 has time complexity of \( O(1) \). The while loop in line 3 is executed once for all \( n \) elements of list \( L \), which contains \( n \) elements, and therefore its complexity is \( O(n) \). The complexity of lines 12 and 14 are also \( O(1) \). The length of the array \( ind \) is always lesser than or equal to \( n \). For instance let the list \( L \) has input elements 11, 11, 12, 16, 11, 19, 19, 17 and 14. Then after line 11, the hash table \( H \), has the above numbers stored at the indices 1, 2, 6, 9 and 7 which is retrieved in sorted order in line 12. Thus the worst case complexity of the for loop at line 13 is \( O(n) \) where the list \( L \) has no duplicate elements. As a result the overall worst case complexity of this sub routine is \( O(n) \).

B. Combinatorial HashSort

Similar to the above analysis, let the input list consist of \( n \) elements. The time complexity of the lines 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 11 is \( O(1) \). The while loop at line 3 is executed \( n \) times and hence its complexity is \( O(n) \). It is evident that the array \( ind \) has \( n \) elements always and therefore the complexity of lines 10 to 12 is \( O(n) \). Thus the overall worst case time complexity of this sub routine is \( O(n) \).

C. Key Sort

Lines 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 has time complexity of \( O(1) \). At line 13 a recursive call is made. Let the input list \( L \) consist of \( n \) elements and the list \( L_i \) consists of \( n_i \) elements. It is evident that on successive recursive calls, the number of elements in list \( L \) of the successive recursion will be lesser than \( n \) as a result of partitioning the elements into buckets and the number of input elements will be lesser than or equal to 10 upon termination of the recursion. Hence we can say that the time complexity of this recursion will be lesser than or equal to \( O(n) \). The time complexity of line 15 is \( O(n) \). Lines 18, 21 and 22 has the time complexity of \( O(1) \). The List data structure we use here has head and tail pointers and as a result the merge operation in line 21 is completed in constant time. The while loop at line 19 traverses all the active buckets denoted by list \( L_i \) and for each bucket it calls Combinatorial Hashsort subroutine. Let \( n_i \) be the number of elements in list \( L_i \) and let the \( n \) elements be partitioned into active buckets ranging from 0 to \( k \). Let \( n_tr \) be the number of elements in the \( i^{th} \) active bucket. Then \( n \) is given by the following equation.

\[
 n = \sum_{i=0}^{k} n_i \tag{6}
\]

For each iteration of the while loop the Combinatorial Hashsort subroutine is called which has time complexity of \( O(n_tr) \) and by aggregate analysis the time complexity of the while loop at line 19 is \( O(n) \). Thus the overall time complexity of this subroutine is \( O(n) \).

D. Just Sort

Lines 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 10 has time complexity of \( O(1) \). The list data structure we use in this algorithm has head and tail pointers and so the insertion is done in constant time. Let the array \( A \) contain \( n \) elements. The for loop at line 4 has \( n \) iterations and hence its time complexity is \( O(n) \). Line 12 calls keysort subroutine and its complexity is \( O(n) \). Lines 13, 16 and 17 has time complexity of \( O(1) \). Again, the List data structure we use here has head and tail pointers and as a result the merge operation in line 16 is completed in constant time. As array \( A \) has \( n \) elements, these elements are partitioned into the buckets represented by the entries of the hash table. The active buckets are inserted into list \( L \) at line 7 and this list is sorted by the keysort subroutine in line 12. As a result, he list \( keys \) in line 12 contains all the active buckets list \( L \) in sorted order. The sum of the elements present in all the active buckets is equal to \( n \). The while loop at line 14 iterates over all the active buckets contained by the list \( keys \) which are in sorted order. Thus by aggregate analysis the time complexity of the while loop at line 14 is \( O(n) \) and hence the overall time complexity of this subroutine is \( O(n) \).

While the overall time complexity of this algorithm is \( O(n) \) the space complexity of this algorithm is \( O(k) \) where \( k \) is the range of the numbers to be sorted. Based on the optimum and affordable value of memory, which varies greatly based on system configuration, the input array \( A \) can be partitioned into different segments of same or different range and each segment can be sorted independently and the sorted lists could be merged. In this way, the space complexity of this algorithm can be reduced to a desired value and also it can be used in distributed environment using parallelism and also external sorting can be used.
VI. ADAPTATIONS

This algorithm can be easily applied to strings using its ASCII values and if the input consists of negative and positive values, values can be separated and then sorted and can be combined finally. Reversal of the sort table entries leads to the output being in descending order which can be used for sorting negative values. Based on the availability of memory space, the input elements can be partitioned range wise, sorted, and then combined. In this way, the performance can be tuned as per the configuration of the system.

VII. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

This algorithm is implemented in java, using sort table of order 10 and evaluated using the dataset T40I10D100K [2]. The list and hash table data structures are implemented using arrays. The sorting algorithms to be compared with this algorithm are Quick sort, Merge sort and Heap sort. The performance is measured in terms of execution time in Milli seconds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Execution time (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Just Sort</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick Sort</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge Sort</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heap Sort</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the above data, it is clear that Just sort is nearly 37% faster than Quick Sort, which is the fastest among the three popular state of the art algorithms. The performance is measured in a system with the configuration of Intel i5 2nd generation desktop processor @ 3.00 GHz and 8 GB RAM.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed a novel sorting algorithm and have elucidated and analyzed its correctness. Last but not the least, the name of this sorting algorithm points to the adjective form of the word ‘Just’.
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