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1 – Introduction

In [1] we showed that the three-dimensional Euler (\( \nu = 0 \)) and Navier-Stokes equations in rectangular coordinates need to be adopted as

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = \nu \nabla^2 u_i + \frac{1}{3} \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\nabla \cdot u) + f_i,
\]

for \( i = 1,2,3 \), where \( \alpha_j = \frac{dx_j}{dt} \) is the velocity in Lagrangian description and \( u_i \) and the partial derivatives of \( u_i \) are in Eulerian description, as well as the scalar pressure \( p \) and density of external force \( f_i \). The coefficient of viscosity is \( \nu \) and by ease we prefer to use the mass density \( \rho = 1 \) (otherwise substitute \( p \) by \( p/\rho \) and \( \nu \) by \( \nu/\rho \)).

An alternative equation is

\[
\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = \nu \nabla^2 u_i + \frac{1}{3} \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\nabla \cdot u) + f_i,
\]

thus making the pressure a vector: \( p = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \). In both equations is valid

\[
\frac{D u_i}{D t} = \frac{D u_i^E}{D t} = \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right) |_L,
\]

where the upper letter \( E \) refers to Eulerian velocity \( (u) \) and \( L \) to Lagrangian velocity \( (\alpha) \). The symbol \( |_L \) means the respective calculation in Lagrangian description, substituting each \( x_i \) as a function of time, initial value and eventually some parameters. With the notation \( \frac{D}{D t} \) we want, in principle, to make explicit that we are calculating a total derivative in relation to time, and the result is a function exclusively of time (and possibly a set of constant parameters and initial position), without the spatial coordinates \( x, y, z \), but when for some reason we need to leave the result as a
function of the spatial coordinates we can also do it. It is like when we want to write
\[
\frac{D u_i^E}{Dt} = \left. \frac{D u_i^L}{Dt} \right|_E,
\]
where the symbol \( \left. \right|_E \) means the respective calculation in Eulerian description.

A condition indicated by us in [1] were
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = 0, & \quad i \neq j, \\
\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial t} = u_i \partial t
\end{align*}
\]
because we have, by definition,
\[
u_i = \frac{dx_i}{dt},
\]
in Lagrangian description, and for this reason the velocity component \( u_i \), a priori, is not dependent of others variables \( x_j \), with \( x_j \neq x_i \). More than a rigorous mathematical proof, this is a practical approach, which simplifies the original system.

We will describe in section 2 a circular motion with uniform angular velocity and in section 3 a quite general movement, both in rectangular coordinates. In the section 4 we will write the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical coordinates and in section 5 in spherical coordinates. The section 6 will be our Conclusion, concluding again on the breakdown solutions and the necessity of use of vector pressure.

\section*{2 – Circular Motion in Rectangular Coordinates}

Let a circular motion of radius \( R \), centered at \((x_C, y_C)\) and with constant angular velocity \( \omega > 0 \) described by the equations:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} = x_C + R \cos(\theta_0 + \omega t) \\
\dot{y} = y_C + R \sin(\theta_0 + \omega t)
\end{align*}
\]
and consequently
\[
(x - x_C)^2 + (y - y_C)^2 = R^2.
\]

Then the velocity components are
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 = u_1^E = \dot{x} = -\omega R \sin(\theta_0 + \omega t) = -\omega (y - y_C) = u_1^E \\
\alpha_2 = u_2^E = \dot{y} = +\omega R \cos(\theta_0 + \omega t) = +\omega (x - x_C) = u_2^E
\end{align*}
\]
and the acceleration components are
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{Du_1^t}{Dt} &= \ddot{x} = -\omega^2 R \cos(\theta_0 + \omega t) = -\omega^2 (x - x_C) = \frac{Du_1^E}{Dt} \\
\frac{Du_2^t}{Dt} &= \ddot{y} = -\omega^2 R \sin(\theta_0 + \omega t) = -\omega^2 (y - y_C) = \frac{Du_2^E}{Dt}
\end{align*}
\]

Supposing that the particles of fluid obey the motion described by (6) to (9), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} &= -\omega, \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} = 0 \\
\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} &= +\omega, \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

apparently in disagree with (4) if \( \omega \neq 0 \). But, as \( x \) is a function of \( y \) and reciprocally, in this circular motion according (7), again (4) turns valid, for any signal of \( x \) and \( y \). For to complete a three-dimensional description, we define \( z = z_0 \), without dependence of time, and \( u_3 = 0 \).

This is a motion of velocity without potential, because \( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \neq \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \) for some \( i \neq j \), but if \( f = (f_1, f_2, f_3) \) has potential we have \( \frac{\partial S_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial S_j}{\partial x_i} \) for all \( i, j = 1,2,3 \), with

\[
S_i = -\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} - \sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + v \nabla^2 u_i + \frac{1}{3} v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\nabla \cdot u) + f_i,
\]

then the system (1) has solution.

A calculation for the scalar pressure of this motion is

\[
\begin{align*}
p &= \int_L (S_1, S_2, S_3) \cdot dl = \int_L \left( -\frac{Du}{Dt} + f \right) \cdot dl \\
&= \omega^2 \left[ \left( \frac{x^2}{2} - x_C x \right) \frac{x}{x_0} + \left( \frac{y^2}{2} - y_C y \right) \frac{y}{y_0} \right] + U - U_0 + q(t) \\
&= \omega^2 \left[ \left( \frac{x^2}{2} - x_C x \right) - \left( \frac{x_0^2}{2} - x_C x_0 \right) + \left( \frac{y^2}{2} - y_C y \right) - \left( \frac{y_0^2}{2} - y_C y_0 \right) \right] + U - U_0 + q(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f = \nabla U \), \( U_0 = U(x_0, y_0, z_0, t) \) and \( L \) is any smooth path linking a point \( (x_0, y_0, z_0) \) to \( (x,y,z) \). We can ignore the use of \( x_0 \), \( y_0 \), \( z_0 \) and \( U_0 \), and use only the free function for time, \( q(t) \), which on the other hand can include the terms in \( x_0, y_0 \) and \( z_0 \), and nevertheless this solution shows us that the pressure is not uniquely well determined, therefore we get to the negative answer to Smale’s 15th problem, according already seen in [2] and [3], even if we assign the velocity value on some surface that we wish and even if \( q(t) \) and \( U \) does not depend explicitly on the variable time \( t \). In this motion the pressure is dependent, besides of \( x, y \) and \( U \), without any problematic question, and \( x_C, y_C \) and \( \omega \), specific parameters of the movement.
conditions of a particle, of \( q(t) \), \( U_0 \) and more three parameters, \( x_0 \), \( y_0 \) and \( z_0 \), then there is not uniqueness of solution.

Another calculation for pressure is possible due to fact that we can describe the acceleration \( \frac{Du}{Dt} \) of a particle of fluid as a function only of time, \( \frac{D\alpha}{Dt} \), without the variables \( x, y, z \), and then

\[
p = -\frac{D\alpha}{Dt} \cdot \int_L dl + U - U_0 + q(t)
= +\omega^2 R [\cos(\theta_0 + \omega t) (x - x_0) + \sin(\theta_0 + \omega t) (y - y_0)]
+ U - U_0 + q(t),
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} &= +\omega^2 R \cos(\theta_0 + \omega t) + f_1 = +\omega^2 (x - x_C) + f_1 \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} &= +\omega^2 R \sin(\theta_0 + \omega t) + f_2 = +\omega^2 (y - y_C) + f_2 \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} &= f_3
\end{align*}
\]

in fact derivatives such as can be obtained from (12).

Note that in order to continue using the traditional form of the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations we will have non-linear equations, which can make it difficult to obtain the solutions and bring all the difficulties that we know. To make sense to use the velocity in Eulerian description rather than the Lagrangian description in \( \alpha_j \) it is necessary that, for all \( t \geq 0 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
u^E(x(t), y(t), z(t), t) = \alpha(t) = \left( \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt}, \frac{dz}{dt} \right) = u^L(t),
\end{align*}
\]

omitting the use of possible parameters of motion, then nothing more natural than the definitive substitution of the terms \( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \), as well as \( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \)

in the traditional form, by \( \frac{Du_i^L}{Dt} \) or \( \frac{D\alpha_i}{Dt} \). This brings a great and important simplification in the equations, and to return to having the position as reference it is enough to use the conversion or definition adopted for \( x(t), y(t) \) and \( z(t) \), including the possible additional parameters, for example, substituting initial positions in function of position and time, etc.

Thus, more appropriate Euler (\( \nu = 0 \)) and Navier-Stokes equations with scalar pressure are, in index notation,

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{D\alpha_i}{Dt} = \nu \nabla^2 u_i + \frac{1}{3} \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\nabla \cdot u) + f_i.
\]
3 – Generic three-dimensional motion in Rectangular Coordinates

Suppose that a particle of fluid moves according to equation

\[ x_i = A_i(t)x_i^0 + B_i(t), \]

\( A_i(0) = 1, \) \( B_i(0) = 0, \) \( A_i, B_i \in C^\infty([0, \infty)), \) \( i = 1, 2, 3, \) \( (x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv (x, y, z), \) where \( (x_i^0, x_2^0, x_3^0) \equiv (x_0, y_0, z_0) \) is the initial position of this particle in relation to three-orthogonal system of reference considered at rest.

Your velocity in relation to this system is, for \( i = 1, 2, 3, \)

\[ \dot{x}_i = \frac{d}{dt} x_i = u_i^L = \alpha_i = A_i'(t)x_i^0 + B_i'(t), \]

with acceleration

\[ \ddot{x}_i = \frac{d}{dt} \dot{x}_i = \frac{D}{dt} u_i^L = \frac{D}{dt} \alpha_i = A_i''(t)x_i^0 + B_i''(t). \]

We are using both the superior point (\( \dot{x} \)) and the prime mark (\( A' \)), and respective repetitions, for indicate differentiations in relation to time.

We are going to transform Lagrangian velocity into Eulerian velocity through transformation

\[ x_i^0 = \frac{x_i - B_i(t)}{A_i(t)}, \]

which results in

\[ u_i^E = u_i^L|_E = \left( A_i'(t)x_i^0 + B_i'(t) \right)|_E = A_i'(t) \frac{x_i - B_i(t)}{A_i(t)} + B_i'(t), \]

\[ = \frac{A_i'(t)}{A_i(t)} x_i - \frac{A_i'(t)B_i(t)}{A_i(t)} + B_i'(t) \]

and

\[ \frac{Du_i^E}{dt} = \frac{Du_i^L}{dt}|_E = \left( A_i''(t)x_i^0 + B_i''(t) \right)|_E = A_i''(t) \frac{x_i - B_i(t)}{A_i(t)} + B_i''(t), \]

\[ = \frac{A_i''(t)}{A_i(t)} x_i - \frac{A_i''(t)B_i(t)}{A_i(t)} + B_i''(t). \]

We see that both \( u_i^E \) and \( \frac{Du_i^E}{dt} \) are linear functions in \( x_i \) or only functions of time if \( A_i(t) \equiv 1. \) We still want the limits \( \lim \frac{A_i'(t)}{A_i(t)} \) and \( \lim \frac{A_i''(t)}{A_i(t)} \) to be finite for all \( t \geq 0, \) otherwise we will have infinite velocities or accelerations in these instants of infinity if
the corresponding values in Lagrangian description also are. When \( A_i(t) = 0 \) the values respect to Eulerian description are equal to the corresponding Lagrangian description.

The expression (22) is also obtained through the chain rule

\[
\frac{D u_i^E}{D t} = \frac{\partial u_i^E}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \frac{\partial u_i^E}{\partial x_j'},
\]

being

\[
\frac{\partial u_i^E}{\partial t} = \frac{A_i'' A_i - (A_i')^2}{A_i^2} x_i - \left( \frac{A_j B_i}{A_i} \right)' + B_i'',
\]

\[
\left( \frac{A_i' B_i}{A_i} \right)' = \frac{A_i'' B_i + A_i' B_i'}{A_i} - \left( \frac{A_i'}{A_i} \right)^2 B_i
\]

and

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \frac{\partial u_i^E}{\partial x_j} = \alpha_i \frac{\partial u_i^E}{\partial x_i} = \left( A_i' x_i^0 + B_i' \right) \frac{A_i'}{A_i}.
\]

With movements where there is some linear relation between the spatial coordinates, as

\[
x_i = A_{i1}(t)x_1^0 + A_{i2}(t)x_2^0 + A_{i3}(t)x_3^0 + B_i(t),
\]

\( A_{ij}(t), B_i(t) \in C^\infty([0, \infty)) \) for \( i, j = 1, 2, 3 \), we can transform

\[
(28.1) \quad A_{i1}(t) \mapsto A_{i1}(t)x_1^0
\]

\[
(28.2) \quad B_i(t) \mapsto A_{ij}(t)x_j^0 + A_{ik}(t)x_k^0 + B_i(t)
\]

into the previous equations (17) to (26), with \( j < k, \ i \neq j \neq k, \ i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \), and we will arrive at results similar to those already obtained.

If the relation between the coordinates is more complicated, not just linear, for example when the particles need follow a specific family of surfaces of type \( z = g(x, y) \) (omitting other possible parameters), for \( g \) smooth function, then we can abandon the dependency of position, at least in one coordinate, as

\[
z = g(x, y) = g(x(t), y(t)) = h(t),
\]

and therefore
\[
\begin{align*}
    u_1 &= \varphi_1(x, t) \\
    u_2 &= \varphi_2(y, t) \\
    u_3 &= \varphi_3(z, t) = \varphi_3(h(t), t) = \alpha_3(t)
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, (4) holds in an infinity of cases and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations has solution in this way (if the external force \( f \) is conservative).

Note that in both examples, sections 2 and 3, the solutions for velocity are at most linear in relation to spatial coordinates, and then there is no necessity of calculation of second derivatives of velocity, i.e., \( \nabla^2 u = 0 \) for any viscosity coefficient and the Navier-Stokes equations are reduced to the Euler equations. In general terms we have, from (21),

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_i^0 &= \frac{A_i'(0)}{A_i(0)} x_i - \frac{A_i'(0)B_i(0)}{A_i(0)} + B_i'(0),
\end{align*}
\]

where we suppose that \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{A_i'(t)}{A_i(t)} \) is finite for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). If it is necessary that \( \nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot u^0 = 0 \) (incompressible fluids) then it must be valid, for all \( t \geq 0 \), the relation

\[
\begin{align*}
    \frac{A_1'(t)}{A_1(t)} + \frac{A_2'(t)}{A_2(t)} + \frac{A_3'(t)}{A_3(t)} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

In all functions of time \( A_i(t), A_{ij}(t) \) and \( B_i(t) \) are implicit the inclusion of constant parameters of movement, as \( R, \theta_0, \omega, x_C, y_C, \nu, \) etc.

The scalar pressure is equal to

\[
\begin{align*}
    p &= \int_L (S_1, S_2, S_3) \cdot dl = \int_L \left( -\frac{D u}{D t} + f \right) \cdot dl \\
    &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{x_i^0}^{x_i} \left( -\frac{Du_i}{Dt} \right) dx_i + U - U_0 + q(t),
\end{align*}
\]

if \( f \) is a conservative external force, \( f = \nabla U \), with

\[
\begin{align*}
    S_i &= -\frac{D u_i}{D t} + f_i
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial S_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial S_j}{\partial x_i}, \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 3, \quad \text{i.e., } \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i},
\]

and then there is solution for Euler equations in this case.

As we have seen previously, the calculation of pressure is not unique and we can use \( \frac{D u_i}{D t} \) as a function of \( x_i \) and \( t \) or only of \( t \). The simpler calculation gives
\( p = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} [A''_i(t)x_i^0 + B''_i(t)](x_i - x_i^0) + U - U_o + q(t), \)

using

\( \frac{Du_i}{Dt} = \frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = A''_i(t)x_i^0 + B''_i(t), \)

according (19). The pressure is not dependent only of position and time, but also initial position, although there is a one-to-one correspondence between initial position with time and position, according (17) and (20).

See that we use \( A_i(t) \not= 0 \) because any particle start from some position and it is not possible all particles start from the same position, but if \( A_i(t) = 0 \) for some \( t > 0 \) use for (18) to (37) the results equivalents to \( A'_i(t) = A''_i(t) = 0 \) and \( A_i(t) = 1 \), except (20) which is no sense in this case, and (17) will be \( x_i = B_i(t) \).

Another calculation for scalar pressure gives, from (33) and using

\( \frac{Du_i}{Dt} dx_i = d_{i=1}^{dx_i} d_{ui} u_i = u_i du_i, \)

the interesting result

\( p = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{2}(u_i^2 - u_i^0) + U - U_o + q(t) \)

\( = -\frac{1}{2}(u^2 - u^0) + U - U_o + q(t), \)

as the Bernoulli’s law with \( \frac{d\phi}{dt} = 0, u = \nabla \phi, \) compatible with the velocity \( u \) in Lagrangian description, \( u = u^L = \alpha \), but whose value may be converted to Eulerian description too, using \( u^E_i = u^L_i|_{E} \) as (21).

4 – Cylindrical Coordinates \((r, \varphi, z)\)

Using the transformations

\[ \begin{align*}
  r &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \\
  \varphi &= \arctan \frac{y}{x}
\end{align*} \]

and the inverse transformations

\[ \begin{align*}
  x &= r \cos \varphi \\
  y &= r \sin \varphi
\end{align*} \]

for radius \( r \), azimuthal angle \( \varphi \) and elevation \( z \) as shown in figure 1, it is possible write the Euler and Navier-Stokes Equations in cylindrical coordinates. Note that the inverse
tangent denoted in $\varphi = \arctan \frac{y}{x}$ must be suitably defined, taking into account the correct quadrant of $(x, y)$.

Fig. 1 – Cylindrical coordinates $(r, \varphi, z)$.

Based on Landau and Lifshitz\textsuperscript{[4]}, for viscous incompressible fluid we have for the three components the new form

(42.1) $\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla) u_r - \frac{u^L_{\varphi} u_{\varphi}}{r} = \nu \left( \nabla^2 u_r - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{u_r}{r^2} \right) + f_r,$

(42.2) $\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla) u_\varphi + \frac{u^L_r u_r}{r} = \nu \left( \nabla^2 u_\varphi + \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{u_\varphi}{r^2} \right) + f_\varphi,$

(42.3) $\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla) u_z = \nu \nabla^2 u_z + f_z,$

where the Lagrangian velocity is

(43) $u^L = \left( u^L_r, u^L_\varphi, u^L_z \right),$

the Eulerian velocity is

(44) $u^E = u = \left( u_r, u_\varphi, u_z \right),$

and, for $\nu: \mathbb{R}^3 \times \{0, \infty\} \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth scalar function,
\begin{align}
(45) \quad (u^L \cdot \nabla) v &= u^L_r \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{u^L_\varphi}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi} + u^L_z \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}, \\
(46) \quad \nabla^2 v &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2}.
\end{align}

The incompressibility condition (equation of continuity) is

\begin{align}
(47) \quad \nabla \cdot u &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r u_r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = 0.
\end{align}

You can consult in internet for a brief comparison the links
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Navier%E2%80%93Stokes_equations and
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Del_in_cylindrical_and_spherical_coordinates. In the first link the terms \( \frac{1}{3} \nu \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2}, \frac{1}{3} \nu \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \varphi^2} \) and \( \frac{1}{3} \nu \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} \) are added respectively in right side of each one of equations (42) for viscous compressible fluid.

Using the substitutions

\begin{align}
(48) \quad \begin{cases}
  x_1, x &\mapsto r \\
  x_2, y &\mapsto \varphi \\
  x_3, z &\mapsto z
\end{cases}
\end{align}

and, respectively,

\begin{align}
(49) \quad \begin{cases}
  u_1, \alpha_1, A_1, B_1, A_{1j} &\mapsto u_r, \alpha_r, A_r, B_r, A_{rj} \\
  u_2, \alpha_2, A_2, B_2, A_{2j} &\mapsto u_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi, A_\varphi, B_\varphi, A_{\varphi j} \\
  u_3, \alpha_3, A_3, B_3, A_{3j} &\mapsto u_z, \alpha_z, A_z, B_z, A_{zj}
\end{cases}
\end{align}

in section 3, equations (17) to (22) and (27) to (31) for rectangular coordinates, it is possible obtain similar relations for cylindrical coordinates, such that

\begin{align}
(50) \quad \begin{cases}
  r = A_r(t) r_0 + B_r(t) \\
  \varphi = A_\varphi(t) \varphi_0 + B_\varphi(t) \\
  z = A_z(t) z_0 + B_z(t)
\end{cases}
\end{align}

supposing the time functions are smooth, with the initial conditions

\begin{align}
(51) \quad \begin{cases}
  A_r(0) = A_\varphi(0) = A_z(0) = 1 \\
  B_r(0) = B_\varphi(0) = B_z(0) = 0
\end{cases}
\end{align}

Differentiating in relation to time we have the velocity components in Lagrangian description
\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{r} &= \frac{d}{dt} r = u^E_r = \alpha_r = A'_r(t) r_0 + B'_r(t) \\
\dot{\varphi} &= \frac{d}{dt} \varphi = u^E_{\varphi} = \alpha_\varphi = A'_\varphi(t) \varphi_0 + B'_\varphi(t) \\
\dot{z} &= \frac{d}{dt} z = u^E_z = \alpha_z = A'_z(t) z_0 + B'_z(t)
\end{align*} \] (52)

and using the initial conditions parameters obtained from (50)

\[
\begin{align*}
  r_0 &= \frac{r-B_r(t)}{A_r(t)} \\
  \varphi_0 &= \frac{\varphi-B_\varphi(t)}{A_\varphi(t)} \\
  z_0 &= \frac{z-B_z(t)}{A_z(t)}
\end{align*} \] (53)

in the Lagrangian velocity components (52) we have the Eulerian velocity components

\[
\begin{align*}
u_r &= u^E_r = u^L_r|_E = \frac{A'_r(t)}{A_r(t)} r - \frac{A'_r(t) B_r(t)}{A_r(t)} + B'_r(t) \\
u_\varphi &= u^E_{\varphi} = u^L_{\varphi}|_E = \frac{A'_\varphi(t)}{A_\varphi(t)} \varphi - \frac{A'_\varphi(t) B_\varphi(t)}{A_\varphi(t)} + B'_\varphi(t) \\
u_z &= u^E_z = u^L_z|_E = \frac{A'_z(t)}{A_z(t)} z - \frac{A'_z(t) B_z(t)}{A_z(t)} + B'_z(t)
\end{align*} \] (54)

Differentiating (52) in relation to time we have the Lagrangian acceleration components

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\dot{r}} &= \frac{d}{dt} \dot{r} = \frac{D}{Dt} u^L_r = \frac{D}{Dt} \alpha_r = A''_r(t) r_0 + B''_r(t) \\
\dot{\dot{\varphi}} &= \frac{d}{dt} \dot{\varphi} = \frac{D}{Dt} u^L_{\varphi} = \frac{D}{Dt} \alpha_\varphi = A''_\varphi(t) \varphi_0 + B''_\varphi(t) \\
\dot{\dot{z}} &= \frac{d}{dt} \dot{z} = \frac{D}{Dt} u^L_z = \frac{D}{Dt} \alpha_z = A''_z(t) z_0 + B''_z(t)
\end{align*} \] (55)

and using again the initial conditions parameters (53) now in (55) we have the Eulerian acceleration components

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{D u^E_r}{Dt} &= \frac{D u^L_r}{Dt}|_E = \frac{A''_r(t)}{A_r(t)} r - \frac{A''_r(t) B_r(t)}{A_r(t)} + B''_r(t) \\
\frac{D u^E_\varphi}{Dt} &= \frac{D u^L_\varphi}{Dt}|_E = \frac{A''_\varphi(t)}{A_\varphi(t)} \varphi - \frac{A''_\varphi(t) B_\varphi(t)}{A_\varphi(t)} + B''_\varphi(t) \\
\frac{D u^E_z}{Dt} &= \frac{D u^L_z}{Dt}|_E = \frac{A''_z(t)}{A_z(t)} z - \frac{A''_z(t) B_z(t)}{A_z(t)} + B''_z(t)
\end{align*} \] (56)

Being true that numerically we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{Du_r}{Dt} &= \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla) u_r - \frac{u^L \cdot u_\varphi}{r}, \\
\frac{Du_\varphi}{Dt} &= \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla) u_\varphi + \frac{u_\varphi^L \cdot u_r}{r}, \\
\frac{Du_z}{Dt} &= \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla) u_z
\end{align*}
\]

when all functions are converted into time functions, where

\[
\begin{align*}
(u^L \cdot \nabla) u_r &= u^L_r \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} \\
(u^L \cdot \nabla) u_\varphi &= \frac{u^L_\varphi}{r} \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} \\
(u^L \cdot \nabla) u_z &= u^L_z \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z}
\end{align*}
\]

for

\[
\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \varphi} = \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial \varphi} = 0,
\]

according (54), we write the Navier-Stokes equations (42) in cylindrical coordinates as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{Du_r}{Dt} &= \nu \left( \nabla^2 u_r - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{u_r^2}{r^2} \right) + f_r \\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{Du_\varphi}{Dt} &= \nu \left( \nabla^2 u_\varphi - \frac{u_\varphi^2}{r^2} \right) + f_\varphi \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{Du_z}{Dt} &= \nu \nabla^2 u_z + f_z
\end{align*}
\]

From (46) and (54) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla^2 u_r &= \frac{A_r'(t)}{A_r(t)} \frac{1}{r} \\
\nabla^2 u_\varphi &= \nabla^2 u_z = 0
\end{align*}
\]

and then in this case the Navier-Stokes equations are

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{Du_r}{Dt} &= \nu \left( \frac{A_r'(t)}{A_r(t)} \frac{1}{r} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{A_\varphi'(t)}{A_\varphi(t)} \frac{u_r}{r^2} \right) + f_r \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial \varphi} + r \frac{Du_\varphi}{Dt} &= -\nu \frac{u_\varphi}{r} + rf_\varphi \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{Du_z}{Dt} &= f_z
\end{align*}
\]

Defining
\[
\begin{align*}
S_r &= -\frac{Du_r}{Dt} + \nu \left( \frac{A_r(t)}{A_r(t)} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{A_r(t)}{A_r(t)} \frac{u_r}{r^2} \right) + f_r \\
S_\varphi &= -r \frac{Du_\varphi}{Dt} - \nu \frac{u_\varphi}{r} + rf_\varphi \\
S_z &= -\frac{Du_z}{Dt} + f_z
\end{align*}
\]

(63)

to have some solution to the system (62) it is necessary that

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial \varphi} &= \frac{\partial S_\varphi}{\partial r} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial \varphi} = -\frac{Du_\varphi}{Dt} + \nu \frac{u_\varphi}{r^2} + f_\varphi + r \frac{\partial f_\varphi}{\partial r} \\
\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial S_z}{\partial r} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial f_z}{\partial r} \\
\frac{\partial S_\varphi}{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial S_z}{\partial \varphi} \Rightarrow r \frac{\partial f_\varphi}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial f_z}{\partial \varphi}
\end{align*}
\]

(64)

so there is not always a solution to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical coordinates, according to the above system, as too occurs in the case of rectangular coordinates.

When there is some solution for the system (62), given the velocity and external force, a solution for pressure is then as in the rectangular coordinates case,

\[
p = f_A^A S \cdot dl + q(t),
\]

(65)

for \( A = (r, \varphi, z) \), \( A_0 = (r_0, \varphi_0, z_0) \), \( \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial p}{\partial \varphi}, \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right) = (S_r, S_\varphi, S_z) = S \) and with the line differential element equal to \( dl = (dr, r \ d\varphi, \ dz) \), being also possible the use of the vector \( S \) transformed as time function only, the Lagrangian description. The value of line integral is independent of path. \( q(t) \) is any smooth and limited time function, a physically reasonable time function.

5 – Spherical Coordinates \((r, \theta, \varphi)\)

Using the transformations

\[
\begin{align*}
r &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \\
\theta &= \arccos \frac{z}{r} \\
\varphi &= \arctan \frac{y}{x}
\end{align*}
\]

(66)

and the inverse transformations

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= r \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\
y &= r \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\
z &= r \cos \theta
\end{align*}
\]

(67)
where

\[ 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, \ \text{\( \theta \)} \text{ is the polar angle or colatitude,} \]

\[ 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi, \ \text{\( \varphi \)} \text{ is the azimuthal angle,} \]

\[ r \geq 0 \text{ is the radius,} \]

as shown in figure 2, it is possible write the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in spherical coordinates.

![Spherical coordinates](image)

**Fig. 2** - Spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \varphi)\) as commonly used in physics (ISO convention): radial distance \(r\), polar angle \(\theta\) (theta), and azimuthal angle \(\varphi\) (phi). The symbol \(\rho\) (rho) is often used instead of \(r\).

Based on Landau and Lifshitz\[4\], for viscous incompressible fluid we have for the three components the new form

\[(68.1) \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla) u_r - \frac{u^L u_\theta + u^L u_\varphi}{r} = \]

\[ \nu \left( \nabla^2 u_r - \frac{2}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial (u_\theta \sin \theta)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{2}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{2u_r}{r^2} \right) + f_r \]

\[(68.2) \quad \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla) u_\theta + \frac{u^L u_r}{r} - \frac{u^L u_\varphi \cot \theta}{r} = \]

\[ \nu \left( \nabla^2 u_\theta - \frac{2 \cos \theta}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} - \frac{u_\theta}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right) + f_\theta \]

\[(68.3) \quad \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial u_\varphi}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla) u_\varphi + \frac{u^L u_r}{r} + \frac{u^L u_\theta \cot \theta}{r} = \]

\[ \nu \left( \nabla^2 u_\varphi + \frac{2}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{2 \cos \theta}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{u_\varphi}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right) + f_\varphi \]

where the Lagrangian velocity is
The Eulerian velocity is
\[ \mathbf{u}^E = \mathbf{u} = (u_r, u_\theta, u_\phi), \]
and for \( v: \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) smooth scalar function,
\[ (\mathbf{u}^L \cdot \nabla)v = u_r^L \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{u_\theta^L}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} + \frac{u_\phi^L}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \phi}, \]
\[ \nabla^2 v = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \phi^2}. \]

The incompressibility condition (equation of continuity) is
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 u_r) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (u_\theta \sin \theta) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi} = 0. \]

You can also consult in internet for a brief comparison the links [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Navier%E2%80%93Stokes_equations](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Navier%E2%80%93Stokes_equations) and [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Del_in_cylindrical_and_spherical_coordinates](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Del_in_cylindrical_and_spherical_coordinates). In the first link the terms \( \frac{1}{3} v \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, \frac{1}{3} v \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \text{ and } \frac{1}{3} v \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \) are added respectively in right side of each one of equations (68) for viscous compressible fluid.

Using the substitutions
\[ \begin{align*}
    x_1, x & \mapsto r \\
    x_2, y & \mapsto \theta \\
    x_3, z & \mapsto \phi
\end{align*} \]

and, respectively,
\[ \begin{align*}
    u_1, \alpha_1, A_1, B_1, A_{1j} & \mapsto u_r, \alpha_r, A_r, B_r, A_{rj} \\
    u_2, \alpha_2, A_2, B_2, A_{2j} & \mapsto u_\theta, \alpha_\theta, A_\theta, B_\theta, A_{\theta j} \\
    u_3, \alpha_3, A_3, B_3, A_{3j} & \mapsto u_\phi, \alpha_\phi, A_\phi, B_\phi, A_{\phi j}
\end{align*} \]

in section 3, equations (17) to (22) and (27) to (31) for rectangular coordinates, it is possible obtain similar relations for spherical coordinates, such that
\[ \begin{align*}
    r &= A_r(t) r_0 + B_r(t) \\
    \theta &= A_\theta(t) \theta_0 + B_\theta(t) \\
    \phi &= A_\phi(t) \phi_0 + B_\phi(t)
\end{align*} \]

supposing the time functions are smooth, with the initial conditions
\[ \begin{align*}
(A_r(0) &= A_\theta(0) = A_\varphi(0) = 1) \\
(B_r(0) &= B_\theta(0) = B_\varphi(0) = 0)
\end{align*} \]

Differentiating in relation to time we have the velocity components in Lagrangian description

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{r} &= \frac{d}{dt} r = u_r^E = \alpha_r = A_r'(t) r_0 + B_r'(t) \\
\dot{\theta} &= \frac{d}{dt} \theta = u_\theta^E = \alpha_\theta = A_\theta'(t) \theta_0 + B_\theta'(t) \\
\dot{\varphi} &= \frac{d}{dt} \varphi = u_\varphi^E = \alpha_\varphi = A_\varphi'(t) \varphi_0 + B_\varphi'(t)
\end{align*} \]

and using the initial conditions parameters obtained from (76)

\[ \begin{align*}
r_0 &= \frac{r-B_r(t)}{A_r(t)} \\
\theta_0 &= \frac{\theta-B_\theta(t)}{A_\theta(t)} \\
\varphi_0 &= \frac{\varphi-B_\varphi(t)}{A_\varphi(t)}
\end{align*} \]

in the Lagrangian velocity components (78) we have the Eulerian velocity components

\[ \begin{align*}
u_r &= u_r^E = u_r^L|_E = \frac{A_r'(t)}{A_r(t)} r - \frac{A_r'(t)B_r(t)}{A_r(t)} + B_r'(t) \\
u_\theta &= u_\theta^E = u_\theta^L|_E = \frac{A_\theta'(t)}{A_\theta(t)} \theta - \frac{A_\theta'(t)B_\theta(t)}{A_\theta(t)} + B_\theta'(t) \\
u_\varphi &= u_\varphi^E = u_\varphi^L|_E = \frac{A_\varphi'(t)}{A_\varphi(t)} \varphi - \frac{A_\varphi'(t)B_\varphi(t)}{A_\varphi(t)} + B_\varphi'(t)
\end{align*} \]

Differentiating (78) in relation to time we have the Lagrangian acceleration components

\[ \begin{align*}
\ddot{r} &= \frac{d}{dt} \dot{r} = \frac{D}{Dt} u_r^L = \frac{D}{Dt} \alpha_r = A_r''(t) r_0 + B_r''(t) \\
\ddot{\theta} &= \frac{d}{dt} \dot{\theta} = \frac{D}{Dt} u_\theta^L = \frac{D}{Dt} \alpha_\theta = A_\theta''(t) \theta_0 + B_\theta''(t) \\
\ddot{\varphi} &= \frac{d}{dt} \dot{\varphi} = \frac{D}{Dt} u_\varphi^L = \frac{D}{Dt} \alpha_\varphi = A_\varphi''(t) \varphi_0 + B_\varphi''(t)
\end{align*} \]

and using again the initial conditions parameters (79) now in (81) we have the Eulerian acceleration components

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{Du_r^E}{Dt} &= \frac{Du_r^L}{Dt}|_E = \frac{A_r''(t)}{A_r(t)} r - \frac{A_r'(t)B_r(t)}{A_r(t)} + B_r''(t) \\
\frac{Du_\theta^E}{Dt} &= \frac{Du_\theta^L}{Dt}|_E = \frac{A_\theta''(t)}{A_\theta(t)} \theta - \frac{A_\theta'(t)B_\theta(t)}{A_\theta(t)} + B_\theta''(t) \\
\frac{Du_\varphi^E}{Dt} &= \frac{Du_\varphi^L}{Dt}|_E = \frac{A_\varphi''(t)}{A_\varphi(t)} \varphi - \frac{A_\varphi'(t)B_\varphi(t)}{A_\varphi(t)} + B_\varphi''(t)
\end{align*} \]
Being true that numerically we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{Du_r}{Dt} &= \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla)u_r - \frac{u^L u_\theta + u^L u_\phi}{r} \\
\frac{Du_\theta}{Dt} &= \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla)u_\theta + \frac{u^L u_r}{r} - \frac{u^L u_\phi \cot \theta}{r} \\
\frac{Du_\phi}{Dt} &= \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial t} + (u^L \cdot \nabla)u_\phi + \frac{u^L u_r}{r} + \frac{u^L u_\theta \cot \theta}{r}
\end{align*}
\]

when all functions are converted into time functions, where

\[
\begin{align*}
(u^L \cdot \nabla)u_r &= u^L_r \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} \\
(u^L \cdot \nabla)u_\theta &= u^L_\theta \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} \\
(u^L \cdot \nabla)u_\phi &= u^L_\phi \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi}
\end{align*}
\]

for

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \theta} = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

according (80), we write the Navier-Stokes equations (68) in spherical coordinates as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{Du_r}{Dt} &= \nu \left( \nabla^2 u_r - \frac{2}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial (u_\theta \sin \theta)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{2}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi} \right) + f_r \\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} + \frac{Du_\theta}{Dt} &= \nu \left( \nabla^2 u_\theta - \frac{2 \cos \theta}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi} - \frac{u_\theta}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right) + f_\theta \\
\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} + \frac{Du_\phi}{Dt} &= \nu \left( \nabla^2 u_\phi - \frac{u_\phi}{r^2 \sin \theta} \right) + f_\phi
\end{align*}
\]

From (72) and (80) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla^2 u_r &= \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} \right) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{A'_r(t)}{A_r(t)} \right) = \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{A'_r(t)}{A_r(t)} \\
\nabla^2 u_\theta &= \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{A'_\theta(t)}{A_\theta(t)} \cot \theta \\
\nabla^2 u_\phi &= \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 u_\phi}{\partial \phi^2} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

and then in this case the Navier-Stokes equations are

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{Du_r}{Dt} &= \nu \left( \frac{2 A'_r(t)}{r A_r(t)} - \frac{2}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial (u_\theta \sin \theta)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{2}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{A'_\phi(t)}{A_\phi(t)} - \frac{u_r}{r^2} \right) + f_r \\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} + \frac{Du_\theta}{Dt} &= \nu \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{A'_\theta(t)}{A_\theta(t)} \cot \theta - \frac{2 \cos \theta}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{A'_\phi(t)}{A_\phi(t)} - \frac{u_\theta}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right) + f_\theta \\
\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} + \frac{Du_\phi}{Dt} &= \nu \left( -\frac{u_\phi}{r^2 \sin \theta} \right) + f_\phi
\end{align*}
\]
Defining

\[
\begin{align*}
S_r &= -\frac{D u_r}{D t} + \nu \left( \frac{2 A' r}{r A_r} - \frac{2}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial (u \sin \theta)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{2}{r^2 \sin \theta A_r} - \frac{2 u_r}{r^2} \right) + f_r \\
S_\theta &= -r \frac{D u_\theta}{D t} - \nu \left( \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{A_\theta (t)}{A_\theta (t)} \cot \theta - \frac{2 \cos \theta A_\phi(t)}{\sin^2 \theta A_\phi(t)} - \frac{u_\theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) + r f_\theta \right) \\
S_\phi &= -r \sin \theta \frac{D u_\phi}{D t} - \nu \frac{u_\phi}{\sin \theta} + r \sin \theta f_\phi
\end{align*}
\]

(89)

to have some solution to the system (88) it is necessary that

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial S_\theta}{\partial r} \Rightarrow -\nu \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial \left( \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial (u \sin \theta)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta A_r} \right)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{D u_\theta}{D t} + \nu \frac{1}{r^2} \sigma + f_\theta + r \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial r} \\
\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial \phi} &= \frac{\partial S_\phi}{\partial r} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial \phi} = -\sin \theta \frac{D u_\phi}{D t} + \nu \frac{u_\phi}{r^2 \sin \theta} + \sin \theta \left( f_\phi + r \frac{\partial f_\phi}{\partial r} \right) \\
\frac{\partial S_\theta}{\partial \phi} &= \frac{\partial S_\phi}{\partial \theta} \Rightarrow r \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial \phi} = -r \cos \theta \frac{D u_\phi}{D t} + \nu \frac{u_\phi \cos \theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} + r \left( \cos \theta f_\phi + \sin \theta \frac{\partial f_\phi}{\partial \theta} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(90)

where

\[
\sigma = \left( \frac{A'_\theta(t)}{A_\theta(t)} \right) \cot \theta - \frac{2 \cos \theta A'_\phi(t)}{\sin^2 \theta A_\phi(t)} - \frac{u_\theta}{\sin^2 \theta},
\]

(91)

so there is not always a solution to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in spherical coordinates, according to the above system, as too occurs in the cases of rectangular and cylindrical coordinates.

When there is some solution for the system (88), given the velocity and external force, a solution for pressure is then as in the rectangular and cylindrical coordinates cases,

\[
p = \int_{A_0}^A S \cdot dl + q(t),
\]

(92)

where \( A = (r, \theta, \phi), \ A_0 = (r_0, \theta_0, \phi_0), \ \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \right) = (S_r, S_\theta, S_\phi) = S \) and the line differential element is \( dl = (dr, r \, d\theta, r \sin \theta \, d\phi) \). It is also possible the use of the vector \( S \) transformed as time function only, the Lagrangian description. The value of line integral is independent of path, \( q(t) \) is any smooth and limited time function, a physically reasonable time function.
6 – Conclusion

Essentially the present conclusion has already been obtained in [1], using only rectangular coordinates, and here we are only updating it with the three coordinate systems we have seen.

From equation (16) in rectangular coordinates,

\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{D a_i}{D t} = \nu \nabla^2 u_i + \frac{1}{3} \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\nabla \cdot u) + f_i, \]

we realize that if \( \nu = 0 \) and \( f \) is not conservative then there is no solution for Euler equations, as well as if \( u \) is conservative and \( f \) is not conservative there is no solution for Navier-Stokes equations, which now it is very clear to see and it is complementing [5]. More specifically, if \( u^0 \), the initial velocity, is conservative (irrotational or potential flow) and \( f \) is not conservative then there is no solution for Navier-Stokes equations, because it is impossible to obtain the pressure. This then solve [6] for the cases (C) and (D), the breakdown of solutions, for both \( u^0 \) and \( f \) belonging to Schwartz Space in case (C), and smooth functions with period 1 in the three orthogonal directions \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \) in case (D). As \( u^0 \) need obey to the incompressibility condition, \( \nabla \cdot u^0 = 0 \), with \( \nabla \times u^0 = 0 \) and \( u^0 = \nabla \phi^0 \), where \( \phi^0 \) is the potential of \( u^0 \), we have \( \nabla^2 u^0 = 0 \) and \( \nabla^2 \phi^0 = 0 \), i.e., \( u^0 \) and \( \phi^0 \) are harmonic functions, unlimited functions except the constants, including zero. As \( u^0 \) need be limited, we choose \( u^0 = 0 \) for case (C) (where it is necessary that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u^0|^2 dx \, dy \, dz \) is finite) and any constant for case (D), of spatially periodic solutions. In case (D) the external force need belonging to Schwartz Space with relation to time.

The conditions (64) for cylindrical coordinates and (90) for spherical coordinates also show that there is not always a solution to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, with even more difficult equations to be obeyed.

Note that the application of a non conservative force in fluid is naturally possible and there will always be some movement, even starting from rest. So that this is not a paradoxical situation it seems certain that the pressure in this case cannot be scalar, but rather vector, and thus the equation returns to solution in all cases (assuming all derivatives are possible, etc.). It is as indicated in (2), or substituting \( p \) by \( p_i \) in (16) and \( p_r, p_\varphi, p_z, p_\theta \) in the others correspondant coordinates.

According to what we saw in this article, solve the Navier-Stokes equations can be synonymous to solve the Euler equations, at least in rectangular coordinates, and we can take advantage of this facility. For the time being, specifically in rectangular coordinates case, section 3, I do not know any reason for having to a more complicated solution than the those described here, when the use of \( \nabla^2 u \neq 0 \) is necessary, except if the compromise with the motion of particles is forgotten or we
intend to describe a spatially periodic solution in Fourier series or the pressure is given and is not $\nabla p = f$ or yet, the worst, the velocity is not smooth ($C^\infty$) and there are boundary conditions. Nevertheless, even in the most complicated cases, the movement of particles can be transformed into functions exclusively of time and parameters as initial position and others. Perhaps naval or aeronautical engineers have other motives, but with a greater rigor, involving temperature and the collision of particles (between them and on rigid surfaces), other equations must be constructed.

So that there are no contradictions between the three reference systems, if in rectangular coordinates the solution is independent of the coefficient of viscosity, then the same movement in the cylindrical and spherical coordinates will also be, taking into account the additional terms that appear after $\nabla^2 u_r, \nabla^2 u_\varphi$ and $\nabla^2 u_\theta$ in (42) and (68) and the terms related to the partial derivatives of $\nabla \cdot u$ (equation of continuity).

We deduce all these equations thinking about a generic particle of fluid, hence with a single initial position, as $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ in rectangular coordinates. We can generalize all these results also for several particles and its respective initial positions, $(x_{0m}, y_{0m}, z_{0m}), (r_{0m}, \varphi_{0m}, z_{0m}), (r_{0m}, \theta_{0m}, \varphi_{0m})$, using for example an identification index for the various functions and variables, as $A_{im}, B_{im}, A_{rm}, A_{\theta m}, A_{\varphi m}, A_{zm}, P_m$, etc., $1 \leq m \leq n$, with $n$ the total number of particles (ideally $n \to \infty$) and $m$ indicating the specific particle. An interesting study is to calculate the time instants of the various collisions between particles, and the consequences of these collisions. A statistical treatment seems to be the most appropriate.
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