

# ON THE KAKEYA SET CONJECTURE

J. ASPEGREN

ABSTRACT. In this article we will prove the Kakeya set conjecture. In addition we will prove that in the usual approach to the Kakeya maximal function conjecture we can assume that the tube-sets are maximal. Moreover, we will construct a tube-set where the well known  $L_2$  bound for the Kakeya maximal function is attained.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Kakeya maximal function conjecture and its variations have gained considerable interest especially after an influential paper by Bourgain [1]. For example, it would follow from the conjecture that the Kakeya sets and the Nikodym sets have necessarily full dimensions [11, 12, 6]. The case  $n = 2$  was proved by Davies see [4] and the finite field case by Dvir [5]. A Kakeya is a set that contains an unit line in every direction. For surveys see [16, 13, 2]. Almost all the necessary preliminaries for this paper can be found for example in [6], [11] and in [14]. Define the  $\delta$ -tubes in standard way: for all  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let

$$T_\omega^\delta(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |(x-a) \cdot \omega| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |proj_{\omega^\perp}(x-a)| \leq \delta\}.$$

Moreover, let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Define the Kakeya maximal function  $f_\delta^* : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  via

$$f_\delta^*(\omega) = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|T_\omega^\delta(a)|} \int_{T_\omega^\delta(a)} |f(y)| dy.$$

In this paper any constant can depend on dimension  $n$ . In study of the Kakeya maximal function conjecture we are aiming at the following bounds

$$(1) \quad \|f_\delta^*\|_p \leq C_\epsilon \delta^{-n/p+1+\epsilon},$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Remarkably, a bound of the form (1) follows from a bound of the form

$$(2) \quad \left\| \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} 1_{T_\omega(a_\omega)} \right\|_{p/(p-1)} \leq C_\epsilon \delta^{-n/p+1-\epsilon},$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , and for any set of  $\delta$ -separated  $\delta$ -tubes. See for example [12] or [6]. We will prove that we need to consider only the case where the set  $\Omega$  is maximal. As usual we define that " $A \lesssim B$ " iff for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and for all  $\delta > 0$ , it holds that  $A \lesssim C_\epsilon \delta^{-\epsilon} B$ . We will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a maximal set of  $\delta$ -tubes, then*

$$\left| \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} T_\omega \right| \approx 1.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B37, 28A75.  
*Key words and phrases.* Kakeya conjectures, density sets.

Thus,

**Corollary 1.** *Any Kakeya set has a full Minkowski-dimension.*

## 2. A REDUCTION TO THE CASE WHERE THE TUBE-SETS ARE MAXIMAL

Let  $\Omega'$  be any set of  $\delta$ -separated directions. We will prove that

$$\left\| \sum_{\omega' \in \Omega'} 1_{T_{\omega'}(a_{\omega'})} \right\|_{p/(p-1)} \leq \left\| \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} 1_{T_{\omega}(a_{\omega})} \right\|_{p/(p-1)},$$

where  $\Omega$  is maximal. We construct the set  $\Omega$  as follows. Let  $\Omega'$  be the original direction-set and let  $\Omega' \subset \Omega''$  be maximal. Define

$$\Omega'' := \{\omega'' \in S^{n-1} \mid \omega'' \in \Omega'' / \{\Omega'\}\}.$$

Moreover, let

$$\Omega := \Omega' \cup \Omega''.$$

Clearly,  $\Omega$  is maximal. We choose the tubes corresponding to directions in  $\Omega'$  to have origo as their center of masses. Thus, what we do is that we add tubes to the original tube-set so it becomes maximal. Now, we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\omega' \in \Omega'} 1_{T_{\omega'}(a_{\omega'})} \right\|_{p/(p-1)} &\leq \left\| \sum_{\omega' \in \Omega'} 1_{T_{\omega'}(a_{\omega'})} + \sum_{\omega'' \in \Omega''} 1_{T_{\omega''}(0)} \right\|_{p/(p-1)} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} 1_{T_{\omega}(a_{\omega})} \right\|_{p/(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we need only to consider the cases where the tube-sets are maximal.

## 3. PREVIOUSLY KNOWN RESULTS

We will use the following bound for the pairwise intersections of  $\delta$ -tubes:

**Lemma 1** (Corbòda). *For any pair of directions  $\omega_i, \omega_j \in S^{n-1}$  and any pair of points  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have*

$$|T_{\omega_i}^{\delta}(a) \cap T_{\omega_j}^{\delta}(b)| \lesssim \frac{\delta^n}{|\omega_i - \omega_j|}.$$

A proof can be found for example in [6].

For any (spherical) cap  $\Omega \subset S^{n-1}$ ,  $|\Omega| > 0$ ,  $r > 0$ , define its  $\delta$ -entropy  $N_{\delta}(\Omega)$  as the maximum possible cardinality for an  $\delta$ -separated subset of  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 2.** *In the notation just defined*

$$N_{\delta}(\Omega) \sim \frac{|\Omega|}{\delta^{n-1}}.$$

Again, a proof can be found in [6]

4. THE  $L_2$  ESTIMATE

The next estimate leads to a well known  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  estimate. Let  $\Omega$  be any collection of  $\delta$  - tubes. We will show that

$$(3) \quad \left\| \sum_{T_\omega} 1_{T_\omega} \right\|_2 \lesssim \delta^{(2-n)/2}.$$

After rising everything to the power of two and using Fubini we need to show that

$$\int \sum_{\Omega} \sum_{\Omega'} 1_{T_\omega} 1_{T_{\omega'}} \lesssim \delta^{2-n}.$$

It suffices to show that for every  $T_{\omega'}$

$$\sum_{\Omega} |T_{\omega'} \cap T_\omega| \lesssim \delta.$$

Split the sum over angle of separation between  $\omega'$  and  $\omega$ . So the estimate (4) becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\log(1/\delta)} \sum_{T_\omega: \theta(\omega', \omega) \sim 2^{-k}, T_\omega \cap T_{\omega'} \neq \emptyset} |T_{\omega_1} \cap T_{\omega_2}| \lesssim \delta.$$

Notice that we do not need to consider the term where  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ . We use lemma 1 to bound the intersection of  $T_{\omega'}$  and  $T_\omega$  by  $2^k \delta^n$ . So after a rearrangement of the previous inequality, we reduce to showing that

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\log(1/\delta)} \#\{T_\omega : \theta(\omega, \omega') \sim 2^{-k}, T_\omega \cap T_{\omega'} \neq \emptyset\} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\log(1/\delta)} 2^k \delta^n 2^{-k(n-1)} \delta^{1-n} \lesssim \delta. \end{aligned}$$

The directions in (4) belong to a cap of size  $\lesssim 2^{-k(n-1)}$ . So we can  $\delta$  -separate the cap via 2 and get the inequality (4). Now we have proved (3). Next, we prove that the bound is tight. Split the domain of integration via dyadic decomposition:

$$E_{2^k} := \{x | 2^k \leq \sum_{\Omega} 1_{T_\omega}(x) \leq 2^{k+1}\}.$$

Suppose that each tube has its center of mass in the origo. Now, the set contains an origo centered  $\delta$  - ball. Let  $2^i \leq |E_{2^i}| \leq 2^{i+1}$ . Then via lemma 1

$$\left\| \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} 1_{T_{\omega(0)}} \right\|_2 \gtrsim \#\Omega |E_{2^i}|^{1/2} \gtrsim \delta^{1-n} \delta^{n/2} = \delta^{(2-n)/2}.$$

Thus, the bound (3) is tight.

## 5. A PROOF OF THE KAKEYA SET CONJECTURE

In this section we will prove 1. Consider the integral

$$\int \sum_{\Omega} 1_{T_\omega} = \sum_{\Omega} |T_\omega|.$$

Split the domain of integration via dyadic decomposition:

$$E_{2^k} := \{x | 2^k \leq \sum_{\Omega} 1_{T_\omega}(x) \leq 2^{k+1}\}.$$

Integrating inequality

$$2^k \leq \sum_{\Omega} 1_{T_{\omega}}(x) \leq 2^{k+1}$$

over the domain  $E_{2^k}$  we obtain

$$2^k |E_{2^k}| \leq \sum_{\Omega} |T_{\omega} \cap E_{2^k}| \leq 2^{k+1} |E_{2^k}|.$$

Let  $\#(\Omega) = N$ . Now,  $k \in [0, \dots, C \log N]$ . Notice that there exists  $k$  such that

$$(5) \quad 1 \sim \sum_{\Omega} |T_{\omega}| \lesssim \log N \sum_{\Omega} |T_{\omega} \cap E_{2^k}| \sim \log N 2^k |E_{2^k}|.$$

Now, consider the terms  $|T_{\omega} \cap E_{2^k}|$  in the above sum. We want to prove that we can essentially take them to be  $\approx \delta^{n-1}$ . Split the sum in two parts where  $|T_{\omega'} \cap E_{2^k}| \approx \delta^{n-1}$  and  $|T_{\omega''} \cap E_{2^k}| \lesssim \delta^{n-1+\alpha}$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq \infty$ .

$$1 \approx \sum_{\Omega'} |T_{\omega'} \cap E_{2^k}| + \sum_{\omega'' \in \Omega''} |T_{\omega''} \cap E_{2^k}|.$$

It's clear that because the number of terms is  $\lesssim \delta^{n-1}$ , the last term above is negligible. Next, we want to prove that if  $|T_{\omega} \cap E_{2^k}| \approx \delta^{n-1}$ , then  $k \approx 1$ . Now,  $|T_{\omega} \cap E_{2^k}| \approx \delta^{n-1}$ , is an intersection of  $2^k$   $\delta$ -tubes. Let's suppose that  $2^k \gtrsim \delta^{-\beta}$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \delta^{n-1}$ . First, let's suppose that some tube intersecting  $T_{\omega}$  has its direction outside of a cap of side  $\sim \delta^{n-1+\beta}$  on the unit sphere. Then the angle between them is greater than  $\sim \delta^{1+\beta/(n-1)}$ . Thus by lemma 1 the intersection is less than  $\sim \delta^{n-1-\beta/(n-1)}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus, we can suppose that the directions in the intersection  $E_{2^k} \cap T_{\omega}$  belong to a cap of size  $\delta^{n-1+\beta}$ . If we  $\delta$  separate the cap via lemma 2 we get that the cap can contain at most  $\delta^{\beta}$  tubes which is a contradiction. Thus,  $2^k \approx 1$ . From inequality (5) we have that

$$1 \sim \sum_{\Omega} |T_{\omega}| \lesssim \log N \sum_{\Omega} |T_{\omega} \cap E_{2^k}| \sim \log N 2^k |E_{2^k}| \lesssim |E_{2^k}| \lesssim \left| \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} T_{\omega} \right|.$$

Thus, we have the theorem 1. For the corollary note that

$$1 \approx \left| \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} T_{\omega} \right| \lesssim |K_{\delta}|,$$

where  $K_{\delta}$  is a  $\delta$ -neighbourhood of a Kakeya set. Thus,

$$n = n - \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \left| \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} T_{\omega} \right|}{\log \delta} \leq n - \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log |K_{\delta}|}{\log \delta}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain, *Besicovitch Type Maximal Operators and Applications to Fourier Analysis, Geometric and Functional Analysis 1* (1991), 147-187.
- [2] J. Bourgain, *Harmonic analysis and combinatorics: How much may they contribute to each other?*, IMU/Amer. Math. Soc. (2000), 13-32
- [3] A. Córdoba, *The Kakeya Maximal Function and the Spherical Summation Multipliers*, American Journal of Mathematics 99 (1977), 1-22.
- [4] R.O. Davies, *Some Remarks on the Kakeya Problem*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 69 (1971), 417-421.
- [5] Z. Dvir, *On the Size of Kakeya Sets in Finite Fields*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (2009), 1093-1097.
- [6] E.Kroc, *The Kakeya problem*, available at <http://ekroc.weebly.com/uploads/2/1/6/3/21633182/mscessay-final.pdf>

- [7] N.H. Katz, I. Laba and T. Tao, *An improved bound on the Minkowski dimension of Besicovitch sets in  $R^3$* , Annals of Math. (2) 152, 2 (2000), 383–446.
- [8] N.H. Katz, T. Tao, *New bounds for Kakeya problems*, J. Anal. Math. 87 (2002), 231-263.
- [9] I. Laba, T. Tao, *An improved bound for the Minkowski dimension of Besicovitch sets in medium dimension*, Geometric and Functional Analysis 11 (2001), 773–806.
- [10] E. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press (1993)
- [11] T. Tao, *Lecture Notes*, available at [math.ucla.edu/~tao/254b.1.99s/](http://math.ucla.edu/~tao/254b.1.99s/) (1999)
- [12] T. Tao, *The Bochner-Riesz Conjecture Implies the Restriction Conjecture*, Duke Math. J. 96 (1999), 363-375.
- [13] T. Tao, *From rotating needles to stability of waves: emerging connections between combinatorics, analysis, and pde*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 48(3), (2001), 294–303.
- [14] T. Tao <https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2009/05/15/the-two-ends-reduction-for-the-kakeya-maximal-conjecture/>
- [15] T. Wolff, *An Improved Bound for Kakeya Type Maximal Functions*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 11 (1995), 651-674.
- [16] T. Wolff, *Recent work connected with the Kakeya problem* Prospects in mathematics (Princeton, NJ, 1996), (1999), 129–162.

*E-mail address:* [jaspegren@outlook.com](mailto:jaspegren@outlook.com)